39th Legislative Day 4/23/2013

НВ0083	First Reading	4
НВ0207	Motion	128
НВ0973	First Reading	2
HB1200	First Reading	4
HB1323	First Reading	2
НВ1854	First Reading	2
НВ2275	Motion	128
НВ2517	First Reading	4
НВ2536	First Reading	2
HB2618	First Reading	4
НВ2809	First Reading	2
НВ2879	First Reading	2
НВ2905	First Reading	2
нв2977	First Reading	2
нв2996	First Reading	4
нв3147	First Reading	4
нв3236	First Reading	2
нв3260	First Reading	3
нв3270	First Reading	3
SB0002	Second Reading	114
SB0092	Third Reading	6
SB0114	Third Reading	11
SB0205	Third Reading	7
SB0333	Third Reading	12
SB0336	Second Reading	114
SB0448	Out Of Record	115
SB0448	Second Reading	115
SB0448	Second Reading	120
SB0625	Second Reading	115
SB0722	Third Reading	13
SB0723	Third Reading	14
SB0923	Second Reading	119
SB1043	Out Of Record	24
SB1043	Third Reading	15
SB1147	Third Reading	24
SB1162	Second Reading	119
SB1192	Third Reading	25
SB1207	Third Reading	26
SB1226	Third Reading	27
SB1229	Recalled	27
SB1229	Third Reading	28
	Initia heading	20

39th Legislative Day		4/23/2013
SB1323	Third Reading	29
SB1354	Third Reading	35
SB1404	Third Reading	111
SB1409	Third Reading	36
SB1417	Third Reading	39
SB1458	Third Reading	40
SB1545	Third Reading	40
SB1567	Second Reading	117
SB1568	Third Reading	41
SB1588	Second Reading	120
SB1598	Second Reading	120
SB1606	Third Reading	42
SB1609	Third Reading	43
SB1621	Third Reading	44
SB1622	Third Reading	45
SB1625	Third Reading	58
SB1640	Second Reading	122
SB1657	Second Reading	123
SB1667	Third Reading	59
SB1681	Second Reading	123
SB1697	Third Reading	60
SB1704	Third Reading	60
SB1718	Third Reading	61
SB1735	Third Reading	62
SB1743	Second Reading	124
SB1757	Third Reading	63
SB1772	Third Reading	64
SB1775	Third Reading	65
SB1790	Second Reading	125
SB1791	Third Reading	66
SB1820	Third Reading	67
SB1831	Third Reading	68
SB1842	Third Reading	69
SB1847	Second Reading	125
SB1849	Third Reading	70
SB1851	Third Reading	70
SB1852	Second Reading	126
SB1896	Second Reading	129
SB1925	Third Reading	71
SB1931	Third Reading	72
SB1932	Third Reading	73
SB1951	Third Reading	74

39th Legislative Day		4/23/2013
SB1988	Third Reading	75
SB2047	Third Reading	76
SB2071	Third Reading	76
SB2086	Recalled	77
SB2086	Third Reading	78
SB2105	Recalled	79
SB2105	Third Reading	80
SB2105	Vote Intention	91
SB2106	Recalled	80
SB2106	Third Reading	81
SB2136	Second Reading	129
SB2184	Third Reading	82
SB2197	Third Reading	86
SB2202	Second Reading	130
SB2218 SB2221	Third Reading	86
SB2231	Third Reading	87 112
SB2231 SB2233	Third Reading Third Reading	113
SB2235	Third Reading Third Reading	88
SB2244	Third Reading Third Reading	89
SB2256	Third Reading Third Reading	90
SB2305	Second Reading	131
SB2306	Third Reading	91
SB2312	Second Reading	131
SB2314	Third Reading	92
SB2339	Third Reading	93
SB2340	Second Reading	132
SB2350	Second Reading	132
SB2352	Third Reading	95
SB2356	Third Reading	96
SB2380	Third Reading	106
SB2380	Vote Intention	111
SB2381	Third Reading	110
SB2389	Second Reading	133
SB2393	Second Reading	134
SR0091	Adopted	134
SR0240	Resolution Offered	1
SR0241	Resolution Offered	1
SR0242	Resolution Offered	2
SR0243	Resolution Offered	2
SJR0023	Adopted	135
SJR0024	Adopted	136

4/23/2013 39th Legislative Day AM0200 Read into Record 5 AM0201 Read into Record 5 5 AM0202 Read into Record Senate to Order-Senator Clayborne 1 Prayer-The Reverend Chance Newingham 1 Pledge of Allegiance 1 Journals-Postponed 1 Senate Stands in Recess/Reconvenes 4 Introduction of Guest-Senator Sullivan 9 Remarks by Miss Illinois Megan Ervin 9 Introduction of Guests-Senator Collins 10 Remarks by Mr. Jabari Parker 11 Senate Stands at Ease/Reconvenes 126 Committee Reports 126 Adjournment 137

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

... (microphone disengaged) ...

THE REVEREND CHANCE NEWINGHAM:

(Prayer by the Reverend Chance Newingham)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CLAYBORNE)

The Pledge of Allegiance will be led by Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

(Pledge of Allegiance, led by Senator Jacobs)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CLAYBORNE)

Mr. Secretary, Reading and Approval of the Journal.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Journals of Thursday, April 18th; Friday, April 19th; and Monday, April 22nd, 2013.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CLAYBORNE)

Senator Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

Mr. President, I move to postpone the reading and approval of the Journal just read by the Secretary, pending arrival of the printed transcript.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CLAYBORNE)

Senator Hunter moves to postpone the reading and approval of the Journal, pending arrival of the printed transcript. There being no objection, so ordered. There's a media request by James Carder of the Blueroomstream.com. Seeing no objection, permission is granted. Mr. Secretary, Resolutions.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 240, offered by Senator Manar and all Members.

Senate Resolution 241, offered by Senator Forby and all Members.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

And Senate Resolution 242, offered by Senator Haine and all Members.

They are all death resolutions, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CLAYBORNE)

Mr. Secretary, House Bill 1st -- Mr. -- Mr. Secretary, Consent Calendar.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 243, offered by Senator Delgado.

It is substantive.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CLAYBORNE)

House Bills 1st Reading.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 973, offered by Senator Bertino-Tarrant.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1323, offered by Senator Martinez.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1854, offered by Senator Mulroe.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2536, offered by Senator Collins.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2809, offered by Senator Raoul.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2879, offered by Senator Hunter.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2905, offered by Senator Harmon.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2977, offered by Senator Harmon.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3236, offered by Senator Harmon.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3260, offered by Senator Hastings.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3270, offered by Senator Martinez.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st Reading of these House bills.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CLAYBORNE)

Senator Jacobs, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR JACOBS:

Mr. President, I ask a request. Request is, is that we give Senator Sandoval an excused absence for his -- he's -- as you know, he was thrown off a horse. And Senator Sandoval is in recovery. He's broken a couple ribs. And, you know, I noticed that Governor Quinn has taken to calling Senator Sandoval "el Caballo". I think I might have said that wrong. But it means "the horse". And I would like to report that Senator Sandoval was riding el Tornado when he was thrown, and I've asked him, in future references, if he would ask for "Buttercup", as that may be a better horse for him to ride.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CLAYBORNE)

Record will be so noted. Senator Althoff, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Thank you, Mr. President. Point of announcement.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CLAYBORNE)

Please state your announcement.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Thank you. The Republicans would like to caucus today in Room 400 immediately upon recess, for about fifty minutes.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CLAYBORNE)

Fifty? Correct? Yes. That is in order, and we will... Senator Althoff has moved that the Senate recess for purposes of one hour -- for Senate Republican Caucus. Seeing no objection, the motion is granted. The Senate now stands in recess to the call of the Chair. After Senate -- after the Senate Republican Caucus, the Senate will reconvene for purposes of Floor action. The Senate stands in recess to the call of the Chair.

(SENATE STANDS IN RECESS/SENATE RECONVENES)

PRESIDENT CULLERTON:

The Senate will come to order. All Members within the sound of my voice please come to the Senate Floor. Mr. Secretary, House Bills 1st Reading.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 83, offered by Senator Holmes.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1200, offered by Senator Syverson.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2517, offered by Senator Althoff.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2618, offered by Senator Haine.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2996, offered by Senator Althoff.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3147, offered by Senator Delgado.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bills. 1st Reading of the bills.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

PRESIDENT CULLERTON:

Mr. Secretary, Appointment Messages.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Appointment Message 200

To the Honorable Members of the Senate, Ninety-Eighth General Assembly:

I, Pat Quinn, Governor, am nominating and, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, appointing the following named individual to the office enumerated below. The advice and consent of this Honorable Body is respectfully requested.

To be Public Administrator and Public Guardian for Carroll County:

Carol Lois Gloor

Supersedes Appointment Message 51 of the Ninety-Eighth General Assembly.

Appointment Message 201

To the Honorable Members of the Senate, Ninety-Eighth General Assembly:

I, Pat Quinn, Governor, am nominating and, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, appointing the following named individual to the office enumerated below. The advice and consent of this Honorable Body is respectfully requested.

To be a Member of the Board of Trustees of Chicago State University:

Spencer Leak

Appointment Message 202

To the Honorable Members of the Senate, Ninety-Eighth General Assembly:

I, Pat Quinn, Governor, am nominating and, by and with the

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

advice and consent of the Senate, appointing the following named individual to the office enumerated below. The advice and consent of this Honorable Body is respectfully requested.

To be a Member of the Board of Trustees of Chicago State University:

Horace Smith

PRESIDENT CULLERTON:

Ladies and Gentlemen, we're going to proceed to 3rd Reading. All Senators within the sound of my voice please come to the Floor immediately. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Readings. Please turn to page 6, on the Order of 3rd Readings. Senate Bill 3. President Cullerton. Out of the record. Senate Bill 4. President Cullerton. Out of the record. Senate Bill 5. President Cullerton. Out of the record. Senate Bill 6. President Cullerton. Out of the record. Senate Bill 7. President Cullerton. Out of the record. Senate Bill 8. President Cullerton. Out of the record. Senate Bill 8. President Cullerton. Out of the record. Senate Bill 11. President Cullerton. Out of the record. Senate Bill 12. President Cullerton. Out of the record. We'll skip over to Senate Bill 45. Senator Steans. Senator Steans. Out of the record. Senate Bill 46. Senator Frerichs. Out of the record. Senate Bill 92. Leader Sullivan. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 92.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Sullivan, on Senate -- Leader Sullivan, on Senate

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Bill 92.

SENATOR SULLIVAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Sorry for the delay there. Ladies and Gentlemen, Senate Bill 92 gives a -- it's actually a bill that we've seen here before. I passed it out of the Senate last year with unanimous support. It's the same bill. It allows a -- a -- a -- a auctioneer to sell real estate -- with proper certification to sell real estate at auction. It's an agreed-to bill. I know of no opposition and be more than happy to answer any questions. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 92 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 52 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 92, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 112. President Cullerton. Out of the record. With leave of the Body, we'll skip over to Senate Bill 202 -- or, 114. I'm sorry. Senator Kotowski. Senator Kotowski. Out of the record. Senate Bill 202. Leader Haine. Out of the record. Senate Bill 203. President Cullerton. Out of the record. Senate Bill 205. Leader Muñoz. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 205.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Muñoz, on Senate Bill 205.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Authorizes the Comptroller to use third-party vendors to assist in identifying persons who owe debt to local governments and also receive payments from the State. Once identified, the State will withhold a payment and return the old funds to the local governments. I know of no opposition.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is... Seeing no discussion, the question is, shall Senate Bill 205 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there 52 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 205, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator LaHood, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR LaHOOD:

Mr. President, point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

SENATOR LaHOOD:

Mr. President, today in the gallery, behind the Republican side here, we are joined by about a hundred and fifty people from the Knox County Right to Life organization. They brought a bunch of young people. And I would like to recognize them today and give them a warm Springfield welcome.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Welcome to Springfield. President Cullerton in the Chair. PRESIDENT CULLERTON:

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Ladies and Gentlemen, today we have a couple of special guests. I would like to ask Senator Sullivan to make the first introduction. After that, we're going to have Senator Collins to make an introduction. So, Senator Sullivan in the Chair. SENATOR SULLIVAN:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen. I -- I do have a very special quest with me here this afternoon. Megan Ervin is Miss Illinois and she is a -- a hometown girl. She is from my hometown of Rushville. And we're so very, very proud of her. She competed and won Miss Macomb in 2011, Miss Quincy in 2012, which qualified her for Miss Illinois, which last June she competed and won. As Miss Illinois, then she got to compete in Miss America. And she made it to the final round of Miss America. She was in the top ten. And she comes from a wonderful family in Schuyler County. And she is a -- I'm just so thrilled and delighted to have her here today. I've taken her around and shown her and -and introduced her to many of you. I'll -- I'll continue to do that. But some of you may recall when she was here as Miss Macomb. I introduced her on the Senate Floor. And several of you at that time said -- after you had met her, said, "Wow. She could go all the way." And I'll tell you what, she has just done -- she has done that certainly in our minds, when she won Miss Illinois and then made it to the final round in the top ten of Miss America. So, without any further ado, I would like all of you to join me in welcoming Miss Illinois, Megan Ervin, here to the Illinois Senate. Megan.

MISS ILLINOIS MEGAN ERVIN:

(Remarks by Miss Illinois Megan Ervin) SENATOR SULLIVAN:

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Senator Link in the Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

We will now have Senator Collins come up here for the purpose of introducing some special guests.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, may I have your attention, Once again, I have the honor of welcoming the Simeon Career Academy Wolverines to Springfield - this time as State champions for the fourth consecutive year. This is a team that has overcome many obstacles and withstood tremendous pressure and media scrutiny to prevail with a brand of basketball that values skill over showmanship and teamwork over star power. With seven State championships - six in the past eight years - the Wolverines have more than established their legacy as one of the greatest teams in the State history. But speaking of star power, I do want to congratulate Simeon's nationally ranked forward Jabari Parker. Please raise your hand, Jabari, for those who don't know. He's six seven, by the way. You can tell standing next to me. But I want to recognize him not because he stands alone in this team, but because he exemplifies the values of Simeon at its best. Mr. Parker, the first ever two-time recipient of the Illinois Mr. Basketball Award and the All-American McDonald's Player of the Year. He's headed to Duke University in the fall. He is a scholar, an athlete, and a role model. But we also have other team leaders, including Kendrick Nunn. Raise your hand. Kenneth {sic} Nunn, he's a four-time All-State and All-City champion, who was selected to play in the American Family Insurance High School Slam Dunk Championship this month. And he is headed to the University of Illinois. We also have Kendall Pollard. He's ranked the thirty-

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

fifth small forward in the country, who will play for the Dayton Flyers. And we also have Jaylon Tate, point guard, who will also attend the University of Illinois. Raise your hand. I just want to say to them publicly: Thank you, young men, for standing strong for all the young people who look forward and look to you as an example of what an athlete can be - an academic and an athlete. I would also like to recognize Coach Robert Smith, who also coached Derrick Rose of the Bulls. And special thanks are owed to Larry Huggins and Timothy and Everett Rand of the Chicago Football Classic for providing transportation to the team. I would like to welcome 'em to the Capitol and the Illinois State Senate, and I hope you enjoy your visit. I'm going to open the Floor for a few words from our all-star athlete and academic, Jabari Parker.

MR. JABARI PARKER:

(Remarks by Mr. Jabari Parker)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Jason Ronimous of WICS-TV seeks permission to videotape. Seeing no objection, leave is granted. I'd just like to welcome one of our fabulous former colleagues to the Senate Floor, the Honorable Rickey "Hollywood" Hendon. With leave of the Body, we'll go back to Senate Bill 114. Senator Kotowski. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 114.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Kotowski, on Senate Bill 114.

SENATOR KOTOWSKI:

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Thank you very much, Mr. President. Senate Bill 114 repeals the Supported Employees Act and amends the School Code to no longer require that CMS and DHH -- DHS maintain and operate the supported employees program in coordination with the Supported Employee {sic} Act. It's just an initiative of the Department of Central Management Services. It removes an outdated program.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 114 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 50 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 114, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 333. Leader Harmon. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 333.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Harmon, on your bill.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. You -- you might recall, last year we dealt with the charity care issue, relating to hospitals providing charity care. We had to deal with the charity care provided by investor-owned hospitals differently. We did so in the form of a tax credit. That language has been construed by the Department to be more limited than we thought it would be. This bill would permit the investor-owned

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

hospitals to -- to apply the tax credit to the withholding taxes they collect and remit for their employees, much like we do for the EDGE tax credit. We amended the bill recently to ensure that it's subject to the same sunset provisions as other tax credits. I'm not aware of any opposition and I ask for your Aye votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 333 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 50 Ayes, no Nays, 1 voting Present. Senate Bill 333, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 334. President Cullerton. Out of the record. Senate Bill... We're going to skip down to Senate Bill 577. Senator Koehler. Out of the record. Senate Bill 579. Senator Steans. Out of the record. With leave of the Body, we'll skip down to Senate Bill 627. Leader Harmon. Out of the record. We'll skip down to Senate Bill 722. Senator Hutchinson. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 722.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson, on your bill.

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 722, as amended by Floor Amendment No. 1, allows the patron of a winery to remove the partially consumed bottle of wine from

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

the premises so long as the bottle has been re-corked by the winery and placed in a transparent one-time use tamper-proof bag. Thank you. And I'd -- I'm happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 722 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 51 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 722, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 723. Leader Muñoz. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 723.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Muñoz, on your bill.

SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This bill will allow the City of Chicago to issue a liquor license to a restaurant that is located within a hundred feet of a church if the restaurant meets certain criteria, which it has. This is just -- establishment is -- addressed, restaurant by the name of Lao Hunan restaurant. We do have letters of support from the alderman and from the church. I know of no opposition.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR RIGHTER:

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Will the sponsor yield, please, Mr. President?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you. Senator, I think I heard you say there at the tail end, we have letters of support or consent from the affected entities. Is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Muñoz.

SENATOR MUÑOZ:

That's correct, Senator. From the church and from the local alderman. That restaurant will be in Chinatown, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 723 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 49 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 723, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. With leave of the Body, we'll go to Senate Bill 848. Senator Raoul. Out of the -- out of the record. Senate Bill 850. Senator Noland. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1005. Senator Raoul. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1043. Senator Hutchinson. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1043.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Senator Hutchinson, on your bill.

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Body. Senate Bill 1043 amends the Manufactured Home Landlord and Tenant Rights Act to establish a procedure for reimbursement of displaced owners of manufactured homes when a manufactured home community ceases operation. Happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Will the sponsor yield, please, Mr. President?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates she will.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you. Senator Hutchinson, it's my understanding that, in Senate Bill 1043, there is a -- a fluctuating fee, if you will. I'm curious about what kind of fluctuation we find in the new fee and who pays it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Can we keep the noise on the Floor down, please? Senator Hutchinson.

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

I'm not sure exactly what you mean by fluctuating. But I think it's capped at three dollars -- or, it can't go above three dollars and it's one dollar per pad.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Who pays the fee, Senator?

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson.

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

The operators -- or the owners of the establishment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

And what's the fee for? I mean, where does that money go and what is it used for?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson.

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

To provide assistance for the relocation of displaced manufactured home owners when the community has been closed through no fault of the person who owns the property on top of the land that they do not own.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Is the -- is -- the money go into a pool, then -- and then disbursed for that specific property that's being closed, or is it going into some kind of statewide pool that then is used for assistance? I'm not sure, are we talking locale by locale, or are we talking about a centralized system and then we send money out from that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson.

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

It would be one fund to help anyone relocated who lives in a

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

manufactured home community across the State.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Now, as I'm reading the bill, I understand, Senator, that it requires the owner - I guess the owner of the -- of the -- of the -- of the place that's being closed down - to pay for fifty percent of the following: either -- a thousand dollars per tenant for lodging fees during relocation and relocation costs for every tenant. Is that an accurate description of what's in the bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson.

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Yes, it is, Senator Righter.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Help me with the math then. Senator, everybody has to pay the fee whether or not their mobile home park or whatever, the property, is being closed down. I want to make sure that I'm correct on that. And, two, based on the closures in the last, let's say, twelve months, how much money would be taken out of that fund to pay for those relocation costs and the -- and the expenses that the tenant incurs in the meantime? That looks to me -- that sounds to me like it'd be like a lot of money.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson.

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

The purpose of the fund is to make sure that people who -when the -- when the community is -- is foreclosed and they have to bear the brunt of moving a -- a manufactured home at their own expense, to -- to give help for those people who are in that This is something that has been talked about for a number of different years, because we don't have a fix for people who don't have relatives, who are on fixed incomes, and whose community has been closed out from under them. It almost puts them in the exact same position as a person who lives in a rented property that's been foreclosed and they have to leave. There are certain rights and responsibilities that could be -- that should be expected on behalf of the landowner when the tenant did nothing wrong. So the purpose of the fund is to make sure that people have access to some sum of money, because it's very expense to move a manufactured home -- a home once the -- once a community has been closed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Is the -- is the one -- first, about the fee that's being charged, how often is it charged and who makes the decision about whether it's one dollar, two dollars, three dollars, and how do they make that decision, Senator?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson.

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

It is a one-dollar monthly fee for each pad -- each rented pad.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Is there any limit on the expenses that can be charged to the fund, Senator? And let me -- if -- if the fund is going to pay for fifty percent of the lodging costs for someone, can that -- is that capped? In other words, can -- can -- if I'm someone who's had -- had this happen to them, can I stay at the Crowne Plaza while I'm waiting to find another place to stay?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson.

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

I'm sorry. Could you repeat -- I missed the second part of that question. Are you insinuating that people -- you're -- are we going towards people who might be using this fund fraudulently or gaming the system in some kind of way? Because, really, the intent of this -- and this is -- the -- the renter pays a portion of this as well. So -- and this is to help for relocated services when they need additional assistance to do something that ends up being very expensive. So, are you talking about the ability of folks to use this in places where they don't need it, or in a situation where they don't intend to need it? I'm -- I'm not sure where you're going.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

No. I -- I do appreciate the intent, Senator, but sometimes the intent gives way to the actual language in the bill, and that's what I want to talk about here. And that is, is there a cap on the relocation expenses that can be charged to the fund, other

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

than it's fifty percent of whatever it's incurred? Is there a ceiling dollar amount that says, okay, you get fifty percent up to this amount?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson.

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

The Act does give some guidelines as to how you determine what the costs are. And it's for a relocation expense allowance in the amount of a thousand dollars to cover the costs of suitable lodging while the manufactured home or -- home owner is relocating and the costs of security deposits and other moving expenses, payable to the displaced manufactured home owner. not -- I do not believe that this bill gives you the opportunity to say suitable lodging is at the Grand Plaza, and it has nothing to do with where it is you're actually going to be relocated to. And if the displaced manufactured home owner elects not to receive payment of relocation costs under subsection, then they may abandon the manufactured home in the manufactured home community, and then that goes back to the owners. So this is really to help people who need the help in their relocation expenses - security deposits and hotels, or any of those things that you need to do while you're in the process of moving. The people who would take advantage of this are the folks who need to take advantage of this.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Okay, let me -- let me narrow it down a little more specifically. Is there a cap on the amount of money that any one person can draw out of the fund for relocation

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

costs? A hard cap.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson.

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Yes, there is - one thousand dollars.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Well, I see the thousand-dollar cap for lodging fees, but then there are other relocation costs that I guess I'm not under the impression that those are subject to the dollar amount. Now, am I wrong?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson.

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

I don't read it that way. We read it as one thousand dollars for relocation expenses. And those relocation expenses are security deposits and other moving expenses, payable to the displaced manufactured home owner no later than the time of the departure that took place -- of the displaced manufactured home owner from the manufactured home community. Remember, this is when it is closing, basically out from under the person who lives in the structure on top of this land that they don't own. Under any other circumstances, there is a responsibility in that landlord/tenant relationship. That's all this is trying to address.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Just -- just a moment, if I might. Okay. I'm looking at the bill {sic} (amendment), Senator, page 12, subparagraph (1) talks about relocation expense allowance in the amount of a thousand Then at the very end of subsection {sic} (1) there's an "and", and then talks about actual relocation costs. Now, to me, the plain reading of that is there's a thousand dollars in subparagraph (1) and then there's whatever you ring up in subparagraph (2). That's the way it's written. I understand that maybe that's not your intent, but that appears to be the way it's written. And, Senator, to be clear, I'm not insinuating that every case is going to be fraud, although the more we open doors like this and don't tighten it down with the language, the more you give that opportunity. My concern is for the fiscal solvency of the fund. If you're not careful about this, at some point you're going to have a fund that owes way more money than people are putting into it and then we're going to be asked to put General Revenue Fund money into it, and that's what I want to avoid. So, going back to my question, do you not agree that the plain reading here is a thousand dollars plus the relocation costs?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson.

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Because sometimes there is a difference in intent in the plain language, give me just one moment, because I do not read it that way. But give me a moment on this one. Okay. The intent of this language is a thousand dollars to give to every person who needs to be relocated out of this thing. I am going to -- to check on that one particular issue you brought up, I'm going to pull this from the record now. And let me get an answer for that before we

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

continue debate on it. So, I'd like to pull this from the record. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Out of the record. Senator McCarter. Okay. Senate Bill 1132. Leader Harmon. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1147. Senator Rose. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

Senate Bill 1147.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rose, on your bill.

SENATOR ROSE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill is almost identical to a bill we passed last week for Senator Jacobs unanimously, same thing last year for Senator Koehler. It would allow a project in my area to be considered for high impact business. The Governor's Office supports this. Labor supports this. It would be about two thousand construction jobs in my area, another hundred and fifty permanent jobs, another thirty to forty maintenance jobs. And by the way, those permanent jobs are about a hundred-thousand-dollar total salary and benefit package. Another thirty or forty maintenance jobs and about another twenty-five to thirty-five trucking jobs. So this is very good for all of central Illinois. I thank Senator Manar for his help. I thank Senator Frerichs for his help. And also, I want to send a special thank you to President Cullerton for giving me one of his shell bills to move this forward. I would appreciate the Body's consent to this legislation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1147 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 51 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1147, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1164. Leader Haine. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1192. Senator Delgado. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1192.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Delgado, on your bill.

SENATOR DELGADO:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1192, as amended, allows the Department of Juvenile Justice to provide aftercare services, which are currently offered to some juveniles, to all paroled juveniles statewide. The bill makes changes to several Acts, including adding aftercare release, aftercare specialist in cross-references to relevant statutes. The bill also clarifies and outlines standards for the aftercare program, including DJJ functions, program elements, procedures for release, supervision of aftercare. And I would ask for your Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1192 -- 1192 -- all those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed,

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1192, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1194. Leader Haine. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1204. Leader Harmon. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1207. Leader Haine. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1207.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Haine.

SENATOR HAINE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is a bill which amends the Abused and Neglected Child Reporting Act. And what it basically does, in simple terms, is to allow for the tolling of this sixty-day period of time to -- to make a determination as to indicate child abuse until after a court proceeding is finished. If there's a finding of guilt in the criminal case, then the report is final. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1207 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1207, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1210. Leader Silverstein. Out

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

of the record. Senate Bill 1226. Senator Kotowski. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1226.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Kotowski, on your bill.

SENATOR KOTOWSKI:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1226 is an initiative of the Danny Did Foundation that works with families who have children and family members at risk of sudden, unexplained {sic} (unexpected) death from {sic} (in) epilepsy. We worked in concert with the Coroners Association to make sure when someone dies from a seizure, they are -- there's an inquiry made at an autopsy to determine if they have died from sudden, unexplained death from epilepsy, and that information is reported to the national registry on SUDEP. This is an excellent bill. It's going to help us save lives. And I'd simply ask for an Aye vote. And I want to thank Nia Hassan for -- Odeoti {sic} (Odeoti-Hassan) for all of her help on the vote {sic}. Thank you very much.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1226 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 52 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1226, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1229. Leader Martinez. Oh!

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Senator Martinez seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 1229 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1229. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Martinez.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Martinez, to explain your amendment.

SENATOR MARTINEZ:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. The amendment makes some technical changes and I'll be happy to discuss it on 3rd Reading.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1229. Leader Martinez, do you wish to call your bill? Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1229.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Martinez, on your bill.

SENATOR MARTINEZ:

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. As amended, it makes a technical correction to the definition of "medically prescribed diet" in the Dietitian Nutritionist Practice Act. It specifies that a physician who recommends or prescribes a medically prescribed diet must be licensed under the Medical Practice Act of 1987, rather than licensed to practice medicine in all of its branches, unless the medically prescribed diet is — is ordered in a nursing home. It also includes some cleanup language in it that — for the Act, of the Dietitian Nutritionist Practice Act. And I'll be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1229 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1229, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1269. Leader Haine. Out of the record. We'll skip over 1307. Senate Bill 1323. Leader Hunter. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1323.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 1323 amends the Youth and Young Adult

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Employment Act of 1986. And the bill gives the Department of Community {sic} (Commerce) and Economic Opportunity the authority to administer a fifty-two-week, year-round community youth employment program. The program provides competitive grants for up to five thousand low-income youth. The recipients are given a stipend for wages and trained to do age-appropriate work. And I would ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Righter, for what... Senator Murphy. Leader Murphy, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR MURPHY:

Question of the sponsor, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates she will yield.

SENATOR MURPHY:

Senator, this is -- this is subject to appropriation. Is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

Yes, it is.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Murphy.

SENATOR MURPHY:

And this -- has this program ever been funded in the past?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

Yes, it has for the past several years, Senator.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Murphy.

SENATOR MURPHY:

So, my -- well, my understanding is that the program has been in existence for a while. The last couple years it has not been in the budget, but previous to that, it was in the budget. Is that accurate?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

Yes, that's accurate.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Murphy.

SENATOR MURPHY:

Can you help us understand a little bit - when this program was funded previously, what types of programs the money went to?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

Oh, okay. Yes. Hold on. They were age-appropriate training, Senator, and experience-appropriate, as well as the ability-appropriate. And we're talking about job training, life skills, education counseling, work readiness skills, for their wages -- for the wages earned.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Murphy.

SENATOR MURPHY:

Do we have any data, as we go into the possibility of appropriating this again, as to how many jobs were created with

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

the amount of money that was spent before? And I guess, also, how much money was spent on this program in the last year it was funded?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

I do not have that data. I'm sure the Governor's Office do {sic}, or the department that administered the program last year. However, I'll be more than happy to request that information, and once I get it, I'll be more than happy to supply it to you, Senator. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Murphy.

SENATOR MURPHY:

And then one -- one final question. I see where we're going from a ten-week program to a fifty-two-week program. If we didn't have the money to do it over ten weeks, how are we going to have the money to do it over fifty-two? And is it -- is -- do you compromise the effectiveness of it by trying to stretch it over fifty-two instead of leaving it at ten?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

I've been in negotiations with the Governor's Office for a while now and I am told that there is -- there are dollars available and there was many discussions as to whether or not we would allow a -- summer employment. However, I think it'll be more beneficial to our youth to spread it over a fifty-two-week period, allowing the young people that come in from college, as well as our kids who are still in school, to work. And so the Governor's Office

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

has assured me that they would have the -- that the dollars are available.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Murphy.

SENATOR MURPHY:

How much money did the Governor assure you would be going into this program and from where does he expect to get that money? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

Well, I am told that the funds will be payable through the Fiscal '09 Budget Relief Fund for grants, contracts administrative -- administrative expenses associated with the Summer Jobs for Youth Program for fourteen million dollars.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Murphy.

SENATOR MURPHY:

Did -- in your conversations with the administration, did they indicate where the money would be spent around the State? Will it be throughout the State? Will it be primarily in Chicago? Will it be -- how -- how will the -- what will be the framework for how that fourteen million gets spent in this program? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

We've never had that discussion, Senator, because I've always -- I've always assumed that all youth throughout the State can take advantage of that program and not only youth from particular areas of the State.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Murphy.

SENATOR MURPHY:

Thank you, Senator, for your -- your answers and your interest on this issue. To the bill: I guess this sounds like there's the potential for this to go well, but I've got some concerns. Number one, about where we're going to come up with new money. That fund that this is coming out of, I think has had some tainted past and I'm a little bit concerned about that. And maybe we'd be better off reappropriating that money into other funds, maybe even education. I guess, without a little bit clearer picture of exactly what it is we're going to do here, I'm concerned about this, Senator. And I -- I reluctantly, with all due respect to you, 'cause I know how hard you work for your district and for the kids in your district, but I've got some -- some questions still about this and, unfortunately, have to encourage a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion? Senator Hunter, to close? SENATOR HUNTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. What I'd like to share with all of my colleagues here in the Chamber is that, whether you know it or not, our kids need jobs. Okay? And I'm not only pushing this bill for the kids in my district; I am pushing this bill for the kids in the State of Illinois. We're talking about young people who are in high school, as well as college. And every year I happen to attend a panel discussion for young people, who show up and they testify; they identify the -- the -- the -- the current situation that they cannot find jobs. They want to work. They want skills. They want to learn. They -- they would like to --

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

learn a work ethic. And it is our responsibility as legislators, regardless of whether they come from my district, the City of Chicago, or the State of Illinois, to find the dollars. If we can find dollars for everything around this State that we need dollars for, we can certainly find dollars to put our kids to work. And I would ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 1323 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 34 Ayes, 16 Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1323, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1330. Senator Raoul. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1332. Senator Raoul. Out of the record. We'll skip over... Oh! With leave of the Body, Senator Muñoz will carry this bill. Senate Bill 1354. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1354.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Muñoz.

SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This bill amends the Horse Racing Act, as well as the Riverboat Gambling Act. It's just requiring that the Gaming and Racing Boards establish rules and goals for awarding of contracts — licensees and businesses owned by minorities and females, persons

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

with disabilities. I know of no opposition to the bill. That's why there's the Amendment 3, and I will answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion on the bill? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1354 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 46 Ayes, 5 Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1354, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. We'll skip over 1399. Senate Bill 1404. Leader Harmon. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1407. Leader Clayborne. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1408. Leader Clayborne. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1409. Leader Clayborne. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1409.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Clayborne, on your bill.

SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Committee -- I mean, of the -- of the Senate. Senate Bill 1409 amends the State Finance Act to create the Riverfront Development Fund. It also amends the Riverfront -- Rivers Edge Zone {sic} (River Edge Redevelopment Zone Act), stating that the incremental income tax attributable to a new employee of an individual, partnership, corporation, or other entity that develops within a certain specific rivers edge development zone shall be deposited into the Riverfront

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Development Fund. Moneys in the Fund shall be used by the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity to make grants available to eligible developers for infrastructure improvements. It also states these loans or other funds made available pursuant to the Riverfront Development Fund are subject to the requirement of the prevailing wage. I would ask for your favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator McCarter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR McCARTER:

A question of the sponsor, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will yield.

SENATOR McCARTER:

Is it -- Senator, how much do you believe that this will increase the cost of the investment into this area, as in a -- dollars per hour as far as wages?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Clayborne.

SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

You mean this particular bill? I would say, right now, it would significantly, because the only two businesses right now that are on this particular riverfront are a casino and Cargill. I think it's about over sixteen hundred acres, prime land for development, and we're just trying to assure that we create jobs and opportunity for those individuals in East St. Louis in the Metro East area.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McCarter.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

SENATOR McCARTER:

I'll just -- let me just speak to the bill, because I think there's another part of this...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR McCARTER:

One moment. There -- there's another part of this bill and I'll wait to comment on that part of it. But this is the prevailing wage part of the -- the Development Fund. But this does increase the cost of doing business considerably. Just -- just the pension amount alone on, say, a machinist is another ten-dollar add-on per hour. And you're -- you know, when you're trying to help people that need help and you -- you want -- you've got limited resources, you want to get the most you can for what you've got. And I think the better way to -- to help and to invest more in this community is to not put these restrictions on them. And so I would encourage a No vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion? Leader Clayborne, to close. SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

Again, this bill has passed before. Again, I'm trying to create opportunities for members of this community, which is one of the poorest communities in Illinois, to be self-sufficient, to live a productive life, to also fund the educational system and take some of the pressure off of government resources and help this community to help themselves. I would ask for your favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 1409 pass. All those in

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Secretary, take the record. On that question, there are 38 Ayes, 13 Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1409, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1410. Senator Tom Cullerton. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1415. Leader Dillard. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1417. Senator Noland. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1417.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Noland, on your bill.

SENATOR NOLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Chamber. Senate Bill 1417 allows a fire chief or its -- their -- his or her designated officer of the fire department to collect fees associated with the fire department having to extinguish an open burning that is otherwise prohibited. We worked this out with the Farm Bureau. They went from opposition to neutral. I know of no opposition at this point. Ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1417 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 52 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1417, having received the required constitutional majority,

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

is declared passed. Senate Bill 1454. Senator Delgado. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1458. Senator Noland. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1458.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Noland, on your bill.

SENATOR NOLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is a bill that -- it was worked out between the ICC and the industry and it allows -- or provides a simplified method to resolve pipeline safety violations. I now know of no opposition and ask for an Aye vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall 1458 pass. All those in favor -- will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1458, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1471. Senator Holmes. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1528. Senator Rose. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1532. Senator Rose. Out of the record. We'll skip -- skip over to Senate Bill 1544. Senate Bill 1545. Senator Noland. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1545.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

2nd -- 3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Noland, on your bill.

SENATOR NOLAND:

Thank you, again, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Chamber. Senate Bill 1545 amends the Illinois Income Tax Act and the State Finance Act, creating an income tax checkoff for contributions to State firefighters for Essential Training Fund - for their Essential Training Fund. The Fund will be used by the Illinois Fire Service Institute for developing, producing, and distributing basic firefighting essentials through DVD/CD ROM programs. I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1545 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 52 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1545, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1551. Leader Radogno. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1568. Senator Delgado. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1568.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Delgado, on your bill.

SENATOR DELGADO:

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1568, as -- as amended, retains the underlying bill and establishes increased fines for employers required to pay a non-waivable administration fee. This is an initiative of the Department of Labor. The amendment will require an employer to pay two hundred and fifty dollars if the amount ordered by Department of Labor as wages is -- owed is three thousand or less. And I'll be open to any other questions regarding this legislation. We are very excited about this crime/theft wage Act and we want to commend Director Costigan for the great work over at the Department of Labor. I'm available for any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1568 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 52 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1568, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. We'll skip over 1594. Senate Bill 1606. Senator Steans. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1606.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Steans, on your bill.

SENATOR STEANS:

Thank you, Mr. Chair {sic} and Members of the Senate. This bill amends the Illinois Condo Property Act to just clarify the

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

maximum requirement of three-quarter votes for unit owners applies to all condo kinds of associations. It's really a cleanup bill and I don't know of any opposition.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1606 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1606, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1609. Senator Koehler. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1609.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Koehler, on your bill.

SENATOR KOEHLER:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. The Public Act added social workers, caseworkers, and investigators employed by State —department to the definition of "public official" for the purposes of threatening public officials. The — this bill would also define social workers, caseworkers, or investigators employed by an agency or organization providing social work, casework and investigative services. There was an amendment adopted that said that these people have to be working under a grant or a contract with the Department of Human Services, the Department of Children and Family Services, Department of Healthcare and Family Services,

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

or the Department of {sic} (on) Aging. I'd be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Righter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR RIGHTER:

To the bill, if I might, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. I -- rise in support of Senate Bill 1609. There is a real need out there and this bill hopefully will go a long ways towards addressing that. And I appreciate Senator Koehler's willingness to listen to the comments of the committee and -- and address some of the issues we found in the bill. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rose, for what purpose do you rise? Senator Koehler, to close. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1609 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1609, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1621. Senator Kotowski. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1621.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Kotowski, on your bill.

SENATOR KOTOWSKI:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1621 fulfills the statutory charge of the Budgeting for Results legislation to propose the elimination of unnecessary, duplicative, or overly burdensome mandates. The -- the Budgeting for Results Commission, which is a bipartisan commission, created a Mandates Commission -- Committee to work with State agencies to identify unnecessary statutory requirements to which agencies are subject. There were five agencies that were reviewed and there were a whole series of mandates that were determined to be unnecessary and duplicative. This is a good initiative. It's going to save taxpayers money. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

TREBUING OFFICER. (BERTION ETIM)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1621 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1621, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1622. Senator Noland. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1622.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Noland, on your bill.

SENATOR NOLAND:

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 1622 creates the Office of the Education Ombudsman for the purpose of providing information to parents, students, and others regarding their rights and responsibilities with respect to the State's public education system and advocating on behalf of students. It is subject to appropriation. Ask for your Aye vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing -- oh! Senator Luechtefeld, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR LUECHTEFELD:

A question of the sponsor, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will yield.

SENATOR LUECHTEFELD:

Senator, you say this is subject to appropriations. Tell me, if -- if we did have appropriations, what would it cost us?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Noland.

SENATOR NOLAND:

Senator, I don't have an answer for that. But...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Luechtefeld.

SENATOR LUECHTEFELD:

You know, one of the reasons that it received some No votes in the Education Committee, even though it is subject to appropriations, is that -- is -- is, do we -- do we want to add this layer onto a -- a -- certainly the education area that is already simply -- can't -- they simply can't pay their bills at the present time. You know, this -- this is something that sounds

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

good, I think, and I -- and I always believe that most -- most legislators mean well. I -- I guess I would say, if you feel like -- likely this will not be funded this year. Is it -- is -- is this really that good -- is this really that good, Senator, that you -- you think it's really important that we do this?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Noland.

SENATOR NOLAND:

Yes, thank you, Senator. I think you make a couple of important points. What the Body needs to understand is that this would be funded through the State through General Revenue Fund. This would not be a burden to the individual school districts. But what this would allow is probably for the reduction of lawsuits and other angst and discontent that builds within these school districts. This is an opportunity for parents and teachers and students to address concerns regarding management.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Luechtefeld.

SENATOR LUECHTEFELD:

Senator, I -- I -- I just disagree that we really need this at this time, and it's -- even -- even if -- even if it comes from the State, the State's broke too. You would agree with that, I'm sure. And we simply don't have the money to do that. Plus, it adds another layer of -- of almost mandates on schools that they do these certain things. They have enough problems already. Again, that's one of the reasons why it -- it got the No votes, and I'm -- I'm hoping it can get a lot of No votes here in the full Senate. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Is there any further discussion? Senator Righter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Will the sponsor yield, please, Mr. President?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will yield.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Senator Noland, we all -- I mean, if we're paying any attention to our districts back home at all, we all, at least from time to time, have parents who will come to us and say, "I'm concerned at the XYZ school district's education plan for my student is inadequate", or "I don't believe that the PE teacher at the fifth grade school is really doing his or her job", or whatever. And I'm under the impression that you think that, at least to some extent, creating this new office in the Governor -- in the Governor's Office is going to help alleviate that concern. Am I under the wrong impression?

Am I under the wrong impression:

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Noland.

SENATOR NOLAND:

Well, Senator, I think there were an awful lot of moving parts to that concern, but let me just say that my impression is, the - my proper response should be yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Then let's -- let's go ahead and walk down that road a little further, Senator. Let's say that you or I have that parent, who comes from our district, who has that concern about, let's say,

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

the individualized education plan for their student. And we direct them to the office -- or the ombudsman, excuse me. How would that person access that individual who's the ombudsman? And what would you anticipate that that person would do for them?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Noland.

SENATOR NOLAND:

That raises an excellent point, Senator. That person's information presumably would be on the website of the school district, but it would be independent of the school district and very likely to have its own website. And people throughout the State, and I -- you know, the way this is envisioned, there would be regional ombudsmans {sic}, feeding into or answering to a State-appointed ombudsman that the Governor would be appointing, but that this ombudsman would be independent from the school districts. And that's why the -- the -- the parents and the teachers would have an advocate, in a sense, to discuss matters with the school districts.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Now, you've talked about regional ombudsmen, and I wonder if you have a -- a -- a network in mind, or a format in mind. How many people would there be? Where would they be throughout the State? And, Senator, how would they work with the regional offices of education and those superintendents? Because some, I suspect, would say, "Okay, why isn't this something that the ROEs can do?" PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Noland.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

SENATOR NOLAND:

Yes. Well, Senator, once upon a time, the IASB, the -- I'm not sure exactly what that acronym stands for, but this -- this was an organization that addressed -- it was the Illinois Association of School Board presidents - let me correct myself. Thank you. Their regions were divided throughout the State into, as I'm looking at this - and I can share this with you - approximately twelve different districts, and so I think that the ombudsmen could follow a similar model as the Illinois Association of State Board {sic}, you know, regions as well. I don't see any -- any problem with that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'm sorry. Senator, I want to make sure I understand. You're saying there used to be a like network out there that doesn't exist any longer. But I -- I wasn't clear, Senator, on who -- what -- what that network was. What -- you said -- I think you said ISB, but I wasn't clear on that. Can you -- can you -- give me a little more detail on what that was, and if they're not around anymore, why they're not?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Noland.

SENATOR NOLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President. No. The Illinois Association of School Boards is still around, as far as I know. And their regions are separated into -- they have about -- approximately thirteen regions or so. I'm trying to count here while I'm discussing this with you at the same time. It's not easy. But if you're asking

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

me if I see it being divided by region, I think the answer is yes. But I think a lot of this is going to have -- be -- have to be worked out in the future. Today, we're just establishing the Office of the Ombudsman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Okay. Senator, I appreciate that. So we're talking about something along the lines of thirteen regions. I understand you're not wedding yourself to that number, but some kind of regional like that. Assuming that you want to put at least one person in an office in each of those ten/twelve/thirteen places, then we can start to kind of develop an idea of how much this might cost. And I wonder if you've had any discussions with anyone, even with your staff, about what kind of dollar figure you would have to attach to something like this. And then I -- I want to add on a question I asked a second ago, and that is, what about the ROEs? Governor's Office in which the ombudsman will be placed, ironically, is trying to get rid of the ROEs, or at least was trying to get rid of the ROEs. And I don't know if you were in support of that effort or not, but is there some way to use the regional offices of education to accomplish this purpose, rather than creating another layer in the Governor's Office?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Noland.

SENATOR NOLAND:

Yes, Senator, thank you. Once again, the ROEs, as you know, are elected and they work closely with the school districts. The experience from some of the parents and teachers and -- and others

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

that have brought me this bill in my district are concerned that the ROEs are perhaps a little too closely aligned and associated with the school districts and management, and so they would like their independent voice. Now, to your question as to how it's going to be funded in the future, well, I'm prepared to vote for revenue to fund it. And I understand that you and I may have a differing opinion about the important role of government, but the people back in my district believe that this is an important role of government and they would like to see it and it would be empowering to them. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. I appreciate your patience. Senator Noland, let's give it one more try. Do you have a -- do you have an idea insofar as how much an Office of the Ombudsman - that really does what you really have articulated here on the Senate Floor that it would do - how much that's going to cost? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Noland.

SENATOR NOLAND:

Well, I noticed in that the chart that I have -- or the -- the -- the image of the State divided into these regions are divided into eleven different regions. So, you know, I would say that the ombudsmen themselves might have to be paid a competitive salary. Well, let's just round it off to a hundred thousand. Let's say it would cost a million dollars. I'll just kind of guesstimate this at -- at -- at best.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

To the bill, if I might, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, we have an opportunity here, but I'm going to suggest to you that it's an opportunity different than the opportunity that Senator Noland might suggest, and that is an opportunity to cast a vote on a bill to send a message back home to your constituents that regardless of what your party is and regardless of what your philosophy may be when it comes to governing, either on education or any other issue, this is an opportunity for all of us to stand together and say, we do not believe that any of the answers to our education problems lie in creating another office in the Office of the Governor and then spraying those people across the State. If the regional offices of education, which this Governor has tried to get rid of, are not accountable to the parents, then we've got a problem. Because those regional offices of education, as the Senator pointed out, are elected. They're not elected by the school districts and the teachers. They're elected by the people who parent the children who go to our schools. They are the appropriate advocates for our schoolchildren and our parents if advocate needs to be taken up with regards to a school district or a school issue. This is the ROE's job. And if it's not -- if the ROEs are not doing this job, then they should be instructed to undertake this job, rather than putting on another layer of officials or bureaucracy in the Governor's Office. I would urge

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

a No vote. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Delgado, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR DELGADO:

Will the sponsor yield, please?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

He indicates he will.

SENATOR DELGADO:

Senator Noland, I rise in -- a couple questions of your legislation. You were asked the question, the role of an education ombudsman. Would you point out a duty could be, to handle the objective complaints of a -- of a student, a parent, from that same school district, to be able to take it out of the realm of the argument of that school district and bring it to an objective person here at the State? Is that some of your intention?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Noland.

SENATOR NOLAND:

Absolutely, Senator. And, in fact, that's precisely my point. Thank you very much.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Delgado.

SENATOR DELGADO:

And so, if you -- and some school districts are so tiny that the REO {sic} is the principal of the school and, therefore, wear two hats. And that would clear up any conflict of interest if you had an ombudsman to deal maybe with the smaller school districts that have -- that use -- play more than one role. That would also create some objectivity, is that correct?

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Noland.

SENATOR NOLAND:

Yes, indeed, it would, Senator. I represent the second-largest school district. I have others, but -- and that's not a situation that has arisen. But, you are correct, it would eliminate that apparent -- or actual conflict of interest. Yes. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Delgado, you got to remember you're in the Senate, not the House anymore. Senator Delgado.

SENATOR DELGADO:

Mr. President, I've only asked two questions. The other side have asked at least nine. These are -- I want -- I...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

I -- I just meant I got to recognize you before you can start talking. That's all.

SENATOR DELGADO:

Yeah, we tend to have a lot of gesturing - some of my cousins from where I come from. Anyway, with that said and done, Senator Noland, so if, indeed, we had on file a civil rights complaint against a school district that I had to do just a couple of years ago in -- in the -- in the downstate school district because of bullying and racial intimidation, would a complaint like that -- would I've been able to go, since I'm -- nowhere else to go, and the parents came to me about a school district that actually was in Governor Edgar's former school -- in his hometown, and a bullying case arose there of a Latina female who was being bullied by their students. I had nowhere to go. The REO {sic} was unresponsive to me. Could I have filed that complaint with an

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

ombudsman if we had 'em here, instead of me filing a civil rights complaint, like I did?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Noland.

SENATOR NOLAND:

Senator, the correct response is that although the ombudsman would not be a venue or have the jurisdiction to hear the case, it might be able to direct the family that would have that complaint, and, in fact, because of its intermediary role, might actually help the school district avoid that lawsuit. So this is, in part, the reason for -- for the bill. Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Delgado.

SENATOR DELGADO:

So, indeed, it will be a facilitator, not a dictator, but a facilitator to at least channel that complaint that I had to file in that school district on a child who was being harassed by her classmates because of the color of her skin, and the principal, who knew the -- the -- the security guard in the school, who was a part-time teacher and also an employee of the city, and the bullier's {sic} father was a State Police officer, who also knew the principal, therefore the child had no recourse and had to come to this legislator, who doesn't even live in that county or -- or part of the State. Therefore, having to file an action and then finally see some movement. So therefore, to the bill, Mr. President. I think I've made my point. Members, in order to -- for us to have some kind of objectivity in the school districts -- and some of us don't want to get involved and say that local control shouldn't be stepped on. But what happens in the best

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

interest of the child when they're put in a position where we have a <u>Peyton Place</u> setting and everyone knows your business, even the corner store, when you go out at night, because it's such a small setting? What happens when you are fearful to tell your neighbor, because they're all in cahoots and your best friend is now forced out of school too, 'cause she sided with you and now must be homeschooled? They need a place to go. And so Senator Noland is trying to find that place to -- go, called an ombudsman, who can deal with a variety of issues, from dealing with school information, essential requirements for schooling, and also to refer complainants and other appropriate resources. So, with that said and done, I would ask for a strong Aye vote. And to make sure that our young people afraid of going to some adults will still have at least an authoritative source, and I would ask for all green votes. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion? Senator Noland, to close. SENATOR NOLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President. To further respond to Senator Righter's concern regarding how much this would cost. This is currently costing the State of Washington nine hundred thousand dollars. I would imagine it would cost us approximately about a million dollars a year once we're able to fund this. And, of course, we have our challenges with that, but we'll get that done. To Senator Delgado's statements regarding essentially what I think he very appropriately identified as a safe harbor: That's what this is. It's just an opportunity for people to come together within a school district concerned about our children to find a way to work out our problems with objectivity and independence.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

That's it. That's it. Real simple. Straightforward. I urge an Aye vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 1622 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Secretary, take the record. On that question, there are 22 Ayes, 26 Nays, 0 Present. Senate Bill 1622, having not receiving the required constitutional majority, is declared failed. Senator Noland, for what purpose do you rise? Senate Bill 1625. Senator Collins. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1625.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Collins, on your bill.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 1625 makes several changes to the School Safety Drill Act, authorizing school evacuation drills to include suspicious person incidents and requiring law enforcement drills to include shooting incidents. The bill also requires local law enforcement agencies to actively participate on-site in law enforcement drills during each year if a mutually agreed upon date for the drill can be reached between the law enforcement agency and the school. I know of no opposition and I ask for your vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion on the bill? Seeing none, the

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

question is, shall Senate Bill 1625 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 50 Ayes, no Nays, 1 voting Present. Senate Bill 1625, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1633. Senator Jones. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1667. Senator Collins. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1667.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Collins, on your bill.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1667 amends the Residential Mortgage License Act to allow entities that are characterized as a -- federally exempt banks to extend exemption of residential mortgage license to the entity's mortgage agents who already hold a mortgage loan originator license. This is at the behest of State Farm, which has -- is a federally exempt bank with insurance agents working with the bank. They already have one license and they feel that the other is duplicative and they were -- asked for an exemption. I know of no opposition.

RESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1667 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1667, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1686. Senator LaHood. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1689. Senator Biss. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1697. Leader Muñoz. Out of the record. We -- could we go back to that bill, Mr. Secretary? Please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1697.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Muñoz, on Senate Bill 1697.

SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. 1697, it just allows the RTA or a Service Board, besides the CTA, to hedge fuel costs with the approval of the RTA Board of Directors, Suburban Bus Board or the Commuter Rail Board. I know of no opposition.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1697 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1697, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1704. Senator Holmes. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1704.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Holmes, on your bill.

SENATOR HOLMES:

Thank you so much, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1704, as amended, is a compromise between the IEPA and the Illinois Manufacturers' Association. As amended, it stipulates that if an applicant for an air permit files a timely and complete application for renewal of an air operating permit with federally enforceable conditions, all terms and conditions of the previously issued permit will remain in effect during the review of the renewal application. This will affect approximately seven hundred and seventy-five businesses. What it does is, is it actually provides a permit shield so that the businesses can legally operate in compliance with the previous permit's terms, even after the prior permit has expired and before the EPA acts on the renewal application.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1704 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1704, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1708. Leader Silverstein. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1718. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Senate Bill 1718.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator -- Leader Silverstein, on your bill.

SENATOR SILVERSTEIN:

Thank you -- thank you, Mr. President. As amended, Senate Bill 1718 specifies the types of investments that insurance companies are authorized to hold to maintain the capital and surplus amounts required by the Department of Insurance and the financial characteristics that each investment vehicle must possess. I would take any questions, if there are any.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1718 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1718, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1735. Senator Rose. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1735.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rose, on your bill.

SENATOR ROSE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill is from a constituent in

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

-- in southern Champaign County who has been hit by someone who was driving on a suspended license and had been previously convicted four times of driving on a suspended license, and it was on her fifth, she darn near killed Mr. Vernon Kleiss of Pesotum, Illinois. His life will be forever changed to the worse. He now walks with a cane. Before that, he didn't. He had to retire from the practice of farming. This would say that for a second or subsequent conviction - and that was at the request of Senator Mulroe that we put that in there - that this would go from a Class A misdemeanor to the Class 4 felony if the violation is the proximate cause of injury and the accident causes personal injury and death. Also at the request of the Cook County Public Defender, we included the definition of "personal injury" from the DUI statute. And this does apply with the -- the Cullerton principle or corollary, or whatever it was called the other day that I was admonished in committee, of only going up one at a time. So, we should be in good shape.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1735 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 48 Ayes, 2 Nays, 1 voting Present. Senate Bill 1735, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Van Pelt, is -- oh! Senate Bill 1757. Senator McGuire. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1757.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McGuire, on your bill.

SENATOR McGUIRE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1757 is an initiative of the Secretary of State. The bill implements a federal rule regarding commercial learner permits and -- and testing standards. All states are required to come into compliance with this regulation no later than July 8th, 2014, or face the loss of federal highway moneys. I know of no opposition. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1757 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 50 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1757, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1764. Senator Kotowski. Out of the record. With leave of the Body, Senate Bill 1772, Leader Muñoz will deliver. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1772.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Muñoz, on Senate Bill 1772.

SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

This bill imposes a five percent tax on the rental of automobiles

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

for periods of less than one year. There is a corresponding exemption from sales tax for these vehicles. It adds B trucks as a type of vehicle that would be subject to a tax. B truck is a second division vehicle that weighs eight thousand pounds or less. I know of no opposition.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1772 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 49 Ayes, no Nays, 1 voting Present. Senate Bill 1772, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1775. Leader Haine. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1775.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Haine.

SENATOR HAINE:

Yes, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this amends the Insurance Code by allowing insurance policies and endorsements used by a company for a -- casualty, fidelity or surety insurance, or fire and marine insurance, to be delivered, mailed, on websites, cell phones, and other things that brings the insurance industry completely into the modern era - however much I may hate it - and as long as these -- the -- the identification and the -- the information which is identifiable is kept secure.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

There is no opposition. It's an initiative of the Illinois Insurance Association, with the approval of the Department of Insurance.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1775 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1775, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. We'll skip over 1779. Senate Bill 1788. Senator Jacobs. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1791. Leader Martinez. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1791.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Martinez.

SENATOR MARTINEZ:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. The --Senate Bill 1791 provides the respective administrative responsibilities of the Illinois Student Assistance Commission with the Golden Apple Foundation following the merger of the Golden Apple and the Illinois Future Teachers {sic} (Teacher) Corps. This bill clarifies the General Assembly's intent that the Golden Apple may use appropriated funds for operations and tuition assistance. Most of the language in the current Golden Apple status -- statute was created to govern the operations of the Illinois Futures

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Teachers {sic} (Future Teacher) Corps, a program that will not exist in any form in 1411 -- FY'11 {sic} (FY'14), I'm sorry.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1791 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 52 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1791, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1795. Leader Martinez. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1801. Leader Clayborne. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1820. Senator LaHood. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. Senator LaHood seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bills... Excuse me. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

Senate Bill 1820.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator LaHood, on your bill.

SENATOR LaHOOD:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1820 is legislation that would force casinos and racetracks in the State of Illinois to intercept winnings for child support. Currently in the State of Illinois, we have about 3.1 billion dollars owed in delinquent child support. This legislation would require that casinos and racetracks check a real-time registry to determine whether someone who wins money at a racetrack or at a casino owes child support. If they do, that will be intercepted

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

and then given to the Department, DHFS. And this legislation was worked out with the gaming industry and also with the Department. And I know of no opposition. Be happy to answer any questions. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1820 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Aye, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1820, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1831. Leader Brady. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1831.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Brady, on your bill.

SENATOR BRADY:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is an initiative of the Conservation Police Officers. It makes some changes in who can represent a landowner when his property has been misused. It also makes it unlawful to knowingly shoot an animal on someone else's property.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1831 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1831, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1842. Senator Mulroe. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1842.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Mulroe, on your bill.

SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. This is a Secretary of State initiative. It's a work in progress. It's also agreed by the vehicle repair industry that these changes should be made. This bill would move the process to enforce liens for unpaid costs related to repairing and storing cars from the Storage and Lien Act {sic} (Labor and Storage Lien Act) and Storage and Lien Small Act {sic} (Labor and Storage Lien (Small Amount) Act) to the Vehicle Code. As I said, it's a work in process {sic}. We have to iron out a few other things. I'd ask that we pass it over to the House and then we'll see it again on a concurrence. I'd ask for your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1842 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1842, having received the required constitutional majority,

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

is declared passed. Senate Bill 1849. Senator Connelly. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1849.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Connelly, on your bill.

SENATOR CONNELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1849 amends the Illinois Vehicle Code to require defendants found guilty or placed on supervision for DUI to pay the costs associated with a blood test if the defendant has refused to submit to a Breathalyzer request. I want to thank the Illinois Hospital Association for its work on this. And I know of known {sic} opposition.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1849 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 52 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1849, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1851. Senator Connelly. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1851.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Senator Connelly, on your bill.

SENATOR CONNELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1851 amends the statute of limitations on all lawful {sic} video recording offenses from the typical three-year period to one year after the discovery of the video by the victim. I know of no known opposition and I'll answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1851 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 51 Ayes, no Nays, 1 voting Present. Senate Bill 1851, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1867. Senator Rose. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1877. Senator Manar. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1880. Senator Manar. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1900. Senator Biss. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1925. Senator Koehler. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1925.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Koehler, on your bill.

SENATOR KOEHLER:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. This Senate bill gives the IEPA authority to deny applications for asphalt shingle beneficial use determinations based upon repeated

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

violations of local, State or federal law. It specifically allows the IEPA to deny applications based on gross carelessness or incompetence in recycling asphalt roofing shingles. We added a couple amendments. One is that the IEPA can consider an applicant's criminal history. Number two, it narrows that to being only relevant, in terms of criminal history, to issues associated with asphalt shingle recycling operations. Happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1925 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 51 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1925, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1931. Leader Bivins. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1931.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Bivins.

SENATOR BIVINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. SB 1931 is part of a school security package. It creates the School Security (and) Standards Task Force to study the security of Illinois schools, make recommendations, and draft minimum standards for use by schools to provide a safer learning environment for students. Be happy to

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1931 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1931, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1932. Leader Bivins. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1932.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Bivins.

SENATOR BIVINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1932 requires school boards to consult with law enforcement and security experts in the design and planning stages of the construction of a new school building or additions to or major remodeling of an existing school building. Be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1932 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 48 Ayes, 1 Nay, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1932, having received the required constitutional majority,

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

is declared passed. Senate Bill 1951. Leader Althoff. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1951.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Althoff.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1951 is an initiative of the Department of Revenue in response to audit findings. It amends the Service Use Tax Act and Service Occupation Tax Act to collect and distribute amounts to the General Revenue Fund and the Common School Fund. It also amends the Local Government {sic} Mass Transit District Act and Water Commission Act to give more time to distribute local government tax collections. It now is two preceding months, instead of one. And it also amends the Senior Citizen {sic} (Citizens) Real Estate Tax Deferral Act to provide that in the event of a shortfall in funding, payments will be made within fourteen days after appropriation or when a sufficient fund balance exists. Be happy to answer any questions, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1951 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1951, having received the required constitutional majority,

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

is declared passed. Senate Bill 1961. Leader Haine. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1967. Leader Murphy. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1969. Senator McCarter. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1977. Leader Radogno. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1983. Leader Murphy. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1984. Leader Radogno. Out of the record. With leave of the Body, we'll go to Senate Bill 1988. Senator Righter. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1988.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter, on your bill.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Chamber. Senate Bill 1988 simply changes the threshold, insofar as the asset level, after which a financial institution would have to report to the State Treasurer's Office that the property is abandoned property. Right now, that threshold is at twenty-five dollars. This would move that number down to five dollars. It's an effort by the State Treasurer to get more unclaimed property back in the hands of rightful owners. I'll be happy to answer any questions. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1988 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Bill 1988, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 2047. Leader Althoff. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2047.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Althoff.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Thank you, again, Mr. President. Senate Bill 2047 actually codifies what has been common practice by townships located in areas that were once summer recreational havens. It amends the Illinois Highway Code to allow townships to use funds from other sources, never to exceed the amount that would be derived from the Motor Fuel Tax Fund, for the maintenance and improvement of nondedicated subdivision roads. Again, I'd be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2047 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2047, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 2071. Leader -- Syverson. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2071.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Syverson.

SENATOR SYVERSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. This legislation is just cleanup legislation from the Department of Insurance and IMRF, and it just clarifies the definition dealing with retiree health coverage. Know of no -- no opposition for this.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2071 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2071, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Steans, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR STEANS:

Yes, for purpose of an introduction.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

SENATOR STEANS:

Yes, I have a -- a class of seventh graders here from Peirce Elementary School. They're up in the gallery behind us on the Senate Dems side. Just want to welcome them to Springfield. It's a fantastic school. Their scores keep going up. They do a great job. Welcome to Springfield, guys.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Welcome to Springfield. Senate Bill 2086. Leader Bivins.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Leader Bivins seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 2086 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2086. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Bivins.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Bivins, to present your amendment.

SENATOR BIVINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Floor Amendment No. 1 creates a sales tax exemption on firearm safety devices until January 1, 2015. Firearm safety devices include safes, lock boxes, trigger and barrel locks, and other items for home firearm safety.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion on the amendment? Seeing none, those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment has been adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is 2086. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2086.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Bivins, to present your bill.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

SENATOR BIVINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd simply ask for an Aye vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2086 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Aye -- opposed. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, 1 voting Present. Senate Bill 2086, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 2005 {sic}. Senator Althoff seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 2105 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2105. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Althoff.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Althoff, on your bill.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Actually, the amendment becomes the bill and I'd be happy to explain it on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

3rd Reading. Leader Althoff, on the bill. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2105.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Althoff.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Thank you, again, Mr. President. Senate Bill 2105 amends the State Budget Law of the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. It requires, within thirty days of enacting the State budget, GOMB will publish on its website the budget of the State of Illinois for the coming fiscal year in its entirety. GOMB also shall publish to its website a list of each sweep or administrative charge-back that will be required to implement that budget and that will result in the transfer of moneys from a special fund in the State treasury to any other fund in the State treasury. Be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2105 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, 1 Nay, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2105, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 2106. Leader Althoff. Leader Althoff seeks the leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 2106 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Reading is Senate Bill 2106. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Althoff.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Althoff.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Again, this amendment becomes the bill and I'll discuss it on 3rd Reading, sir.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2106.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Althoff, on your bill.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Thank you, again, Mr. President. Senate Bill 2106 creates the Governor's Office of Management and Budget Act's Governmental Transparency Task Force. It will be a sixteen-member board comprised of budgeting -- excuse me, comprised of appointments by

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

the Governor and the four legislative leaders. The task force stated goal will be to develop a plan to make the State budgeting process the most transparent, publicly accessible budgeting process in the nation. It'll also take a look at all of the recent transparency bills that we've passed over the past year and make sure that people are aware of what we're doing here in the State of Illinois and ensure that we're not duplicating what we've done in the past two years. Be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2106 pass. All those in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2106, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 2107. Leader Althoff. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2153. Senator Cunningham. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2169. Senator Noland. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2178. Senator Harris. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2182. Leader Harmon. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2184. Senator Mulroe. Please read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2184.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Mulroe, on your bill.

SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. This is a

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Department of Labor initiative. It's also a work in progress. It amends the Carnival and Amusement (Rides Safety) Act. It would change the process to implement rules to address public safety concerns and to timely respond to issues affecting public health and -- and safety concerns. Would also authorize the Department of Labor to suspend permits and attractions that are deemed unsafe. Would also create the Carnival and Amusement Ride Safety Fund {sic} (Carnival Safety Fund), which would be used to enforce the provisions of the Act. It would also increase the liability limits from a hundred thousand to one million, which is actually the current minimum coverage that is written by insurers in practice today. The industry and the Department are about eighty percent in agreement with this bill as it exists today. But I would ask that it be passed out of the -- the Senate today and they continue to work on an amendment and we'll see it back on concurrence.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Althoff, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Thank you. I just want to make a comment to the bill. The sponsor is absolutely correct. He had promised in committee to continue to work on this piece of legislation over in the House. And I would assume, looking at him across the room, that we will not see this bill back until it does make all of those amendments as we talked about in committee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion? Senator Righter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield, please?

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you. Senator Mulroe, can you -- as I'm looking at the bill, can you walk through -- and I'm sorry. I didn't hear all of what you said about the changes that you plan on making over in -- I -- I guess over in House, since we're on 3rd Reading, to the bill as it's currently constituted.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Mulroe.

SENATOR MULROE:

Okay. Currently, they have a -- a process; if something wants to get reviewed or a rule implemented, they have to go to the -there's a carnival, I guess, board that the -- the Department has to petition, and the -- the board is made up of members of the industry and I think there's about eleven, and other people. And they have to get their consent before they actually can go to JCAR to actually have the rules implemented, so it's a two-step process. And what they want to do is, in cases where there's new rides or where rules need to be enacted swiftly for safety concerns, they want to bypass the -- the board. They would consult with the board, rather than having to get their advice and consent before they can go to -- to -- to get rules on this. So that -- that one, the Department would -- actually, they're -- they firmly want that. There's other provisions within the bill that provided the same thing for -- fees, but the Department's backing off on that. So it's -- that's going to stay the same. And I think everything else is -- is about the same. The only issue that really remains in contention for the Department and the industry is that -- that

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

one for rules. And it's -- I -- the -- the -- the example they gave is these ziplines. They're -- it's a new product. It took a -- a long time to try to implement rules to address the zipline and what would be safe and what would not be safe.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. One last area of inquiry, Senator. I noticed the bill ups the liability insurance requirement from one hundred thousand to one million dollars. I'm just curious if the industry has signed off on that. I mean, that's a big jump. And when's the last time that that number -- the last time that number was increased?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Mulroe.

SENATOR MULROE:

That -- that's a good question. I actually talked to Senator Oberweis about this after committee. That's the -- the minimum that the insurance industry is actually issuing right now. So it would just reflect what's in practice today. There's -- they no longer issue a hundred-thousand-dollar policy for carnival rides. The minimum is a million.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Mulroe, to close, if you want to. Okay. The question is, shall Senate Bill 2184 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 47 Ayes, 4 Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2184, having received the required

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 2187. Leader Harmon. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2191. Senator Jacobs. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2194. Leader Sullivan. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2197. Leader -- Muñoz. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2197.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Muñoz, on your bill.

SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Just -- the bill amends the Lottery Law by changing the Lottery Superintendent title to Director through the Act, just so it can be consistent with -- with other agencies and state lotteries. I know of no opposition.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2197 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 51 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2197, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 2218. Senator Frerichs. Mr. Secretary, read the bill, please.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2218.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Frerichs.

SENATOR FRERICHS:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. Senate Bill 2218, the with the amendment, replaces everything after the enacting
clause. It changes the language to simply include decorative and
plano power contact lenses under the definition of "contact
lenses", and ensures that nothing -- ensures that nothing in the
language will prohibit the sale of contact lenses by a firm or
corporation that operates with a practicing licensed optometrist.
What we're attempting to do here is prohibit the dispensing of
contact lenses by anyone other than a licensed optometrist.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2218 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2218, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 2221. Leader Sullivan. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2221.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Sullivan, on your bill.

SENATOR SULLIVAN:

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 2221 amends the Clerks of the Court Act {sic} (Clerks of Courts Act). It requires the Department of Corrections, Department of Juvenile Justice, and the Department of Human Services to reimburse county clerks for administrative costs tied to the correctional, juvenile, treatment and detention facilities located within those counties. The reimbursements are not to exceed five thousand per county. The total cost of this program would be a hundred and fifty-five thousand spread between the three agencies that I mentioned. I'd be more than happy to answer any questions. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2221 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2221, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 2226. Senator Steans. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2231. Senator Raoul. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2233. Senator Raoul. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2235. Leader Haine. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill -- Senate Bill 2235.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Haine.

SENATOR HAINE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

This is an initiative of the Sheriffs' Association and Attorney General Madigan's Office and it changes the distribution of a hundred-dollar fee for the registration of sex offenders. It also provides that if a sex offender says that he cannot pay the hundred dollars, then he is -- the judge is mandated to require community service work.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2235 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2235, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 2240. Leader Sullivan. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2243. Senator Holmes. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2244. Leader Radogno. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2244.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Radogno.

SENATOR RADOGNO:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill would ban the commercial use of indoor ultraviolet tanning for minors under the age of eighteen. I'd be happy to try to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Senate Bill 2244 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 42 Ayes, 9 Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2244, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 2255. Leader Clayborne. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2256. Leader Harmon. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2256.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. As you well know, the Property Tax Extension Limitation Law limits the amount of taxes that can be levied by a taxing district. A - an unintended consequence of that law is that taxing bodies are levying the maximum amount they are permitted to levy, whether then need it in that fiscal year or not. It's the advice of their counsel and financial advisors. They do so because if they don't levy the maximum amount, they cannot recapture it in future years should they need it. This bill would allow a taxing body to forgo the maximum extension but still recover it in a graduated way going forward. We passed a similar bill in the last General Assembly without the restrictions on how they recover the -- the levy amount in future years. The House did not approve of that approach, so this would permit the -- the recapture in increments of one-third

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

of the amount that the taxing body chose not to extend in the taxing year. I'm not aware of any opposition and I'd ask for your Aye votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2256 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 51 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2256, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Raoul, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR RAOUL:

On Senate Bill 2105, I believe I was recorded as a No vote and it was certainly my intention to support my good colleague, Senator Althoff, on that bill, and I would like to be recorded as —— let the record reflect that I intended to vote Yes. I'm —— Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The record shall reflect your Yes vote. Senate Bill 2258. Leader Harmon. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2306. Leader Radogno. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2306.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Radogno.

SENATOR RADOGNO:

Thank you, Mr. President. Last year, we passed a bill that

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

banned employers from asking for -- passwords for social media. This bill provides a narrow exemption for professional accounts where the employers are required to monitor the activity on those accounts. Be happy to try to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2306 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, 1 Nay, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2306, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 2314. Leader Hunter. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2314.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Hunter, on your bill.

SENATOR HUNTER:

Thank you, Mr. -- Mr. President. Senate Bill 2314 requires the written summary that IDPH develops concerning early detection and treatment of breast cancer to contain a provision that informs individuals of the meaning and consequences of dense breast tissue per the guidelines of the Breast Imaging and -- Reporting and -- and Data Systems {sic} (System) of the American College of Radiology. I know of no opposition and I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Senate Bill 2314 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2314, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 2321. Senator Bertino-Tarrant. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2339. Senator Mulroe. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2339.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Mulroe.

SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. I can assure you this will not come back. This is an agreed bill that we're passing over to the House. Before I get into the bill, I'd -- I'd like to thank Senator Haine for all his hard work. We've brought all the industry together and the -- the Department of Insurance together and came to a compromised bill. I'd also like to thank Senator Syverson. He was very helpful in negotiating the -- the well. What this bill does is matter as amends the Intergovernmental Cooperation Act by requiring that insurance pools file an opinion by an independent actuary that the pool's reserves are adequate for the payment of claims with the Director of -- of Department of Insurance. This would allow the Department of Insurance to ensure that these public -- publicly financed pools can cover potential insurance claim -- claims. A

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

pool is exempt from filing an actuarial opinion on its primary level of insurance coverage if the pool has reinsurance and if the pool supplies an actuarial opinion from the pool's reinsurer. The certification must be filed within a hundred and fifty days after the end of each fiscal year. It provides for penalties to be assessed on pools that fail to comply with these reporting requirements in an amount of five hundred dollars per day, capped at ten thousand dollars per -- for each violation. It also requires that if a joint insurance pool requires a member of the pool to submit a written notice in order for the member to withdraw from the pool, then the written notice cannot be required to be submitted for more than a hundred twenty days before the member withdraws from that pool. This requirement only applies to joint insurance pool agreements entered into, modified, or renewed on or after the effective date of this Act and does not apply during the first year of -- of membership in a joint insurance pool. I'd ask for your Yes votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Brady, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR BRADY:

Will the sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will.

SENATOR BRADY:

Senator, I thought you -- I think you left out describing the fourth paragraph on page 5. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR BRADY:

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Appreciate the Senator's efforts to come to an agreed process and all those involved. We support the legislation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2339 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2339, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 2345. Senator Jacobs. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2352. Senator Steans. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2352.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Steans, on your bill.

SENATOR STEANS:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. This bill amends the Fiscal Note Act to require that whenever a measure that has an effect on rebalancing long-term care services - that is, moving folks either between residential settings to a -- from -- in a community to a -- well, residential to a community or vice versa setting - that agencies include the costs of that move as well in their fiscal note. I would urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2352 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed,

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2352, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 2356. Senator Oberweis' first bill. Do you wish to proceed? Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2356.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Oberweis, on your first bill.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is a bipartisan, good government bill, which -- which would -- which would allow the maximum speed limit on tollways and interstate highways to rise to seventy miles an hour to bring us in line with most of the rest of our country.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion on the Senator's first bill? Senator Jacobs, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR JACOBS:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will yield.

SENATOR JACOBS:

I was just wondering, have you had the opportunity to do any time studies to see if this will increase the milk route, so we

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

can get -- get to the customer quicker than -- rather than later?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Oberweis, you have to be recognized. Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Yes, Senator. Thank you. We believe that will save some time, not only for our industry, but all industries in Illinois. And this could be viewed as a business friendly bill, something very unlikely to come out of this Senate.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

I just want to assure my colleagues across the way that the Democrat side of the aisle is very interested in -- in making sure the milkman gets there on time.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Haine, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR HAINE:

Will the distinguished Senator yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Reluctantly, ves.

SENATOR HAINE:

Senator, I want to know how many Members of the General Assembly have been observed going twenty-six miles an hour or over in the past six months. Do you have any idea? Have you talked to the State Police about this?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Yes, Senator, I have. And the answer is zero.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Haine.

SENATOR HAINE:

You've got to be kidding. Okay. Now, we also have Madison County in there. This bill allows the counties of Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, -- McHenry, St. Clair and Will to adopt ordinances. I don't remember anyone discussing this bill with me or Senator Clayborne. Do you have any recollection of that? Shouldn't we, who represent these areas, have a say in whether to invest our county boards with this tremendous power to raise this to a Class B misdemeanor?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Yes, Senator. Actually, this is a recommendation from IDOT to help provide additional safety for Illinois.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Haine.

SENATOR HAINE:

Senator Oberweis, you are not a Senator from IDOT. You are a Senator of Illinois and you have colleagues who represent this area and you have willfully and contumaciously ignored all those who represent these counties, and you expect us to vote for this bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

I -- I am hopeful that you will, Senator.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Haine.

SENATOR HAINE:

So this sets a -- this raises to the level of a Class B misdemeanor the offense of driving twenty-six mile {sic} per hour over the current speed limit. Right?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

I -- I'm sorry, Senator, could -- could you repeat that? But I did -- did want to make one question {sic}. I -- I believe I did talk to you about this. In fact, I believe you are a sponsor of this bill. Oops!

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Haine, on I don't know if he answered your question, but he made a great statement. Leader Haine.

SENATOR HAINE:

Sponsorships come and go, Senator. Let's talk about the bill. And the bill is mandating a six-month jail term. Now, say we have a young father taking his wife to the hospital to have a baby. She's in the middle of labor and he's stopped by the State Police doing thirty-five miles an hour over. Your bill will say that this young man, after that baby's birth, is to be handcuffed and dragged off to the county jail to be thrown in the dungeon for six months. Is that the gist of your bill? Answer yes or no.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

No, Senator.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Haine.

SENATOR HAINE:

Say the same individual is doing -- actually, I stated one {sic}; it would be a year - one year in the county jail. One year. Can you really expect us to vote someone into the county jail? Our county -- jails are filled with child molesters, murderers, the dregs of humanity, - excluding, of course, the deputy sheriffs that run them. You expect us to vote for a bill, which would incarcerate an average American citizen for merely hitting the open road in a brand-new car and just seeing what it would do on Highway 255, an autobahn, or driving their wives to the hospital? Is this really what you want us to vote for?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Oberweis, I think there was a question there somewhere.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

No, Senator. I believe you have misinterpreted the bill that you are sponsoring. Actually, the current law says that that would happen at thirty-one miles per hour over speed limit or, in other words, thirty-one miles per hour over sixty-five, which would be ninety-six. Under the provision of this new law, it would be reduced to twenty-six miles per hour over the new speed limit of seventy, which is, once again, ninety-six. So, there is absolutely no change whatsoever in that gentleman who's out testing his new car or driving his wife to the hospital.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Haine.

SENATOR HAINE:

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

I was informed by staff that I am not a cosponsor to this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Yes. I apologize. It's Senator Clayborne who is the sponsor. You had mentioned yourself and Senator Clayborne. It's Senator Clayborne.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Haine.

SENATOR HAINE:

Well, we lawyers all look alike. I know. I thank you for your efforts on this bill. And I will do my best to do everything I can to have it hit a speed bump. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

Senator, I just have one question. I want to make sure that -- are...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

He indicates he will yield.

SENATOR RAOUL:

Are -- are all vehicles covered by this bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Yes, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

SENATOR RAOUL:

Does that include trucks?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Yes, it does.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

Does that include delivery trucks?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Yes, Senator, even milk delivery trucks.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

There we go. Conflict of interest. There's a conflict of interest on this bill. I ask you to pull this bill out of the record. You know, this -- you just -- you're just trying to move ice cream and milk fast. This is about your own personal business. This is not about the citizens of the State of Illinois. I respectfully request that you pull this bill out of the record, maybe transfer the sponsorship to somebody else. But we cannot have ice cream and milk trucks traveling at this speed with kids running, trying to reach -- there aren't any kids that can run seventy miles per hour. They'd be in the Olympics. I'd ask you to take this bill out of the record.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Mr. President. Questions to the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will yield.

SENATOR JONES:

Senator, how did you get your bill out of committee -- out of Transportation? What techniques did you use?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Senator, this was widely viewed as a bipartisan bill that was also sponsored by the Chairman of the Transportation Committee, who unfortunately was not driving. He was doing something else a week or two ago. He would have been safer going seventy miles an hour on a -- on a tollway at the time.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

That's not the question I asked you. I asked you, how did you get your bill out of committee? 'Cause from what I -- I remember, you had a backpack with some ice cream in it. Do you have ice cream for the Members on the Floor today to vote for your bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

No, Senator, I do not.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

To the bill: I urge a No vote - a No vote, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Kotowski.

SENATOR KOTOWSKI:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will yield, reluctantly.

SENATOR KOTOWSKI:

Thank you. You know, I -- I notice there's -- there is a chief co-sponsor on this bill - who's not here. Does this bill have anything to do with horses?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Senator Sandoval made me promise that it would not apply to horses. But, generally, they don't go seventy miles an hour anyway, so it's probably okay.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Kotowski.

SENATOR KOTOWSKI:

Just curious, did the aforementioned sponsor tell you how fast the horse was going while he was riding the horse?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Yes, Senator. He said it had ramped up to eight miles an hour.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Kotowski.

SENATOR KOTOWSKI:

So, this wouldn't apply to that horse?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

That is correct. It would not apply to the -- well, it does apply to the horse, because the maximum speed limit is seventy miles an hour. It does not state a minimum.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Kotowski.

SENATOR KOTOWSKI:

What if you're eating ice cream and you're riding a horse?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

As long as you stay on the horse, you'll be quite happy.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Syverson. No. Okay. Senator Oberweis, to close. SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Thank you very much. I urge a Yes vote for this good government, bipartisan bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 2356 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 41 Ayes, 6 Nays, 1 voting Present. Senate Bill 2356, having received the required

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 2363. Leader Martinez. Leader -- Leader Martinez. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2365. Leader Harmon. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2366. Leader Harmon. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2375. Leader Haine. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2380. Leader Radogno. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2380.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Radogno, on your bill.

SENATOR RADOGNO:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill simply makes it explicit in State law that grant recipients are prohibited from using taxpayer funds or grants for goods or services purchased with grants for prohibited political activity.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing -- Senator Jacobs, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR JACOBS:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

She -- the sponsor indicates she will yield.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Yeah, I'm just curious, how do you know whose money they're using, if it's State money or if it's their money?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Radogno.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

SENATOR RADOGNO:

They will have to track that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Who will track that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Radogno.

SENATOR RADOGNO:

Well, when they apply for the grant funding, they say what it's for and they would -- could not use it for prohibited political activity. So, for example, this really came about from the Neighborhood Recovery Program, where there were grant funds distributed and the grantee used it for march -- for paying people to march in a parade. That's a prohibited political activity, and this just makes it explicit that that is not allowed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

So, who among us -- I mean, how do they -- how in the hell could you possibly know that? I mean, the money -- if a grant's given to a group and then the person may have money other than that grant, might they? And if they do, how do I know which money is which money? Do they -- do they actually take the check right from the State and then direct it right to their walkers? Is that what -- I mean, I don't know what they did.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Radogno.

SENATOR RADOGNO:

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Well, they have to track the money regardless. I mean, they're -- so this just says what you cannot use it for.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

I would just point out that I think that the idea is probably needed, and I think that it's a suggestion, rather than a -- a -- a thing you can enforce. I would just point out that we got to be careful with big government - big government looking into everything we do, looking into our personal emails. We have to be really careful that we just don't become so -- so big that we become a nanny state here in Illinois.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion? Senator -- Leader -- oh, Senator Van Pelt.

SENATOR VAN PELT:

I have a question for the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

She indicates she will yield.

SENATOR VAN PELT:

So, is -- currently, right now, it is against the law to use State funds anyway. Is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Radogno.

SENATOR RADOGNO:

Yes, but the Ethics Act doesn't currently apply to the grant recipients right now. This makes the language that's in the Ethics Act that states what is prohibited apply to State grants. And the next bill I have actually goes a little bit further, because what

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

it will do is it will put that information out on -- online so people can track it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Van Pelt.

SENATOR VAN PELT:

I know with the grants, when I ran a non-profit, we had — the grant clearly said we could not use it for advocacy, lobbying, or anything like that. So it was something we tracked and it was very easy to track, because we simply applied the funds — we just stated where we got the funds from to do the advocacy. And we never reported that we did advocacy or lobbying with State dollars. So, I've already seen that in — in my grants that I've got in the past. But you're saying this will be adding it to ethics statement. Is that — that's what's happening differently here? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Radogno.

SENATOR RADOGNO:

Well, you obviously were working for an agency that did not have a problem in that regard. They were using the funds appropriately. And I think the vast majority do use them appropriately. But there are instances where it hasn't been appropriate, and this just makes it explicit that it's not appropriate. That's all this bill does, is it says you can't use it for a prohibited political activity, which is defined in the Ethics Act. The next bill I have will go a step further and actually put information online regarding the grants. But I'll talk about that in a moment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion? Leader Radogno, to close.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

SENATOR RADOGNO:

I just ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 2380 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2380, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 2381. Leader Radogno. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2381.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Radogno, on your bill.

SENATOR RADOGNO:

Thank you. Along the lines with grant transparency, this bill will require the Chief Information Officer of the State - that's an already existing position - to put information online regarding grants. It will have to include the name of the agency, the zip codes that are served by the grantee, a description of the purpose of the grant, the amount of the award, the date of the award, and the duration of each award of the grant funds. So, again, the idea is to just put more transparency out there with respect to the grants that we give various agencies.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2381 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed,

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2381, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Holmes, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR HOLMES:

Mr. President, I failed to press my button on Senate Bill 2380. I would never diss Senator Radogno and not vote on her bill. Could I please be recorded as an Aye?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The record will show -- reflect your wishes. With leave of the Body, we'll turn back to page 11. Senate Bill 1404. Leader Harmon. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1404.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Harmon, on your bill.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 1404 is an initiative of the -- the Illinois State Bar Association. It simply extends the period between scavenger tax sales from two years to three years. This is to accommodate some court cases that have found buyers liable for taxes that -- where the paperwork didn't catch up with the sale. The extension in the time would allow the paperwork to -- to find its way into the transaction before it's done. I'm not aware of any opposition and I ask for your Aye votes.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1404 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1404, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. With leave of the Body, we'll go back to page 16. Senate Bill 2231. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2231.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul, on your bill.

SENATOR RAOUL:

This is a bill that Senator Bivins and I -- oh! Oh, wrong -- wrong bill. This is -- Senate Bill 2231 enhances penalties for criminal damage to property and criminal defacement of property if the property damaged or defaced is property which memorializes police officers, fire fighters, members of the Armed Services, or National Guard, or veterans.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion on the bill? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2231 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2231, having received the required

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 2233. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2233.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul, on your bill.

SENATOR RAOUL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 2233 removes the June 1, 2013 date of repeal for provisions regarding design-build contracts in Cook County.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2233 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2233, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Leader Harmon in the Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, we are about to turn to the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading. I want to make sure the Members are aware that tomorrow, Wednesday, April 24th, is the final day that we will be turning to the Order of Senate Bills on 2nd Reading. If you wish to advance your bills to 3rd Reading, tomorrow, April 24th, will be the last opportunity to do so. So please keep that in mind. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, on top of page 2 of your printed Calendar, beginning at the top of

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, is Senate Bill 2. Senator Manar. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 31. Senator Noland. Senate Bill 41. Senator Muñoz. Senate Bill 52. Senator Sullivan. Senate Bill 103. Senator Frerichs. Senate Bill 105. Senator Frerichs. Senate Bill 272. Senator Kotowski. Senate Bill 336. Senator Manar. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 336.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Manar.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Manar, to explain your amendment.

SENATOR MANAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment represents a -- a result of feedback from members of the committee. It limits the program to five years. Limits the cost in the State budget. Also

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

provides new reporting requirements for the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, Senator Manar moves to adopt Floor Amendment No. 2. All in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Have there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 338. Senator Hutchinson. Senator Hutchinson. Senate Bill 448. Senator Martinez. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 448.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Martinez.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Martinez, on your amendment. We'll let you catch up with the paperwork there, Senator. With leave of the Body, we'll return to Senate Bill 448. Senate Bill 494. Senator Tom Cullerton. Senate Bill 572. Senator Kotowski. Senate Bill 576. Senator Sullivan. Senate Bill 625. Senator Sullivan. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 625.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Sullivan.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Sullivan, to explain your amendment.

SENATOR SULLIVAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. It's a technical change requested by the Department. I can discuss it further on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, Senator Sullivan moves to adopt Floor Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 625. All in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment's adopted. Mr. Secretary, have there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Sullivan.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Sullivan, on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR SULLIVAN:

Give me one second, Mr. -- Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Sullivan.

SENATOR SULLIVAN:

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment 2 just corrects a drafting error.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, Senator Sullivan moves to adopt Floor Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 625. All in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Have there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Continuing on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 822. Senator Hastings. Senate Bill 847. Senate Bill 923. Senator Muñoz. Senate Bill 1003. Senator Muñoz. Senate Bill 1004. With leave of the Body, we'll return to Senate Bill 1042. Senate Bill 1162. Senator Hutchinson. Senate Bill 1190. Senator Hutchinson. Senate Bill 1245. Senator Radogno. Senate Bill 1290. Senator Manar. Senate Bill 1341. Senate Bill 1346. Senate Bill 1352. Senate Bill 1353. Senate Bill 1361. Senator Kotowski. Senate Bill 1403. Senator Noland. Senate Bill 1432. Senator Collins. Senate Bill 1448. Senator Muñoz. Senate Bill 1469. Senator Sullivan. Senate Bill 1479. Senator Morrison. Senate Bill 1514. Senator Biss. Senate Bill 1567. Senator Delgado. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1567.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Delgado.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Delgado, on Floor Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR DELGADO:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Floor Amendment No. 2 creates -- on the Executive summary, creates the Illinois Mental Health First Aid Training Program {sic} Act. Senate Bill 1567 with Amendment No. 2 requires the Department of Human Services to administer a training program on how to identify and assist an individual experiencing a mental health or substance abuse crisis if appropriations are made for this purpose. DHS and other State agencies may distribute mental health first -- training grants, if appropriations allow, to help subsidize program training fees. Training grants are to be distributed with a focus on rural areas and areas with underserved populations or professional shortages. And we know of no opponents and I'm asking for your Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion of the amendment? Seeing none, Senator Delgado moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment No. 2. All in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Mr. Secretary, have there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

3rd Reading. Mr. Secretary, with leave of the Body, we're going to turn back to the bottom of page 2 to Senate Bill 923. Senator Muñoz wishes to advance that to 3rd Reading. If you can put that up on the board. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 923.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Executive adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Mr. Secretary, with leave of the Body, we're going to return to page 3. Near the top of the page -- or, at the top of the page is Senate Bill 1162. Senator Hutchinson. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1162.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue adopted Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Turning to the top of page 4 of your printed Calendar, still on the Order of Senate Bills 3rd {sic} Reading, Senate Bill 1571. Senate Bill 1572. Senate Bill 1588. Senator Mulroe. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1588.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1598. Senator Hunter. Mr.

Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1598.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Criminal Law adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, we got off to a good clip on Senate Bills 2nd Reading. There were a couple that we had to pass over. Senator Martinez is now ready to proceed on Senate Bill 448. Mr. Secretary, please read that bill into the record.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 448.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

And have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Martinez.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Martinez, to explain Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR MARTINEZ:

Thank you. Thank you very much, Mr. President. It amends - - Floor Amendment No. 1 amends the -- 448. It streamlines and clarifies the composition and election of members of the Chicago Teachers Pension Board.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Is there any discussion of the amendment? Seeing none, Senator Martinez moves to adopt Floor Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 448. All in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Have there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? Mr. Secretary, were there further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. All right. We're going back to page 4, back to the regular order. We are at Senate Bill 1610. Senator Silverstein. Senate Bill 1615. Senate Bill 1630. Senator Haine.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Senate Bill 1640. President Cullerton. Senate Bill 1657. Senator -- oop! I'm sorry. Mr. -- Mr. Secretary, please read Senate Bill 1640 into the record.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1640.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Executive adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by President Cullerton.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

President Cullerton, on the amendment.

SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. This amendment is technical in nature, just to make -- make it clear that the Members of the task force would not be able to be compensated.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Mr. President. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, President Cullerton moves to adopt Floor Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1640. All in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Have there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1657. Senator Holmes. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1657.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Holmes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Holmes, on the amendment.

SENATOR HOLMES:

The amendment deletes all and becomes the bill. I'll be happy to explain it on $3 \, \mathrm{rd}$.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, Senator Holmes moves to adopt Floor Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1657. All in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Have there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1681. Senator Link. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1681.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Local Government adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Link.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Link, on the amendment.

SENATOR LINK:

The amendments just make minor changes in the bill to include defining a "Joint Committee" and -- explaining the part of Unified Fire Protection Districts. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Is there any -- oop! Is there any discussion? Seeing none, Senator Link moves to adopt Floor Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1681. All in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Have there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1711. Senator Hastings. Senate Bill 1724. Senator Mulroe. Senate Bill 1740. Senate Bill 1743. Senator Rose. Senator Rose. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1743.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1790. Senator Martinez. Mr.

Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1790.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Local Government adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

...been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?
SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1847. Senator Radogno. Mr.

Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1847.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Executive adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. The last bill on page 4 of your printed Calendars is Senate Bill 1852. Senator Connelly. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1852.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, the Senate will stand at ease for a few moments to allow the Committee on Assignments to meet. If all members of the Committee on Assignments could report immediately to the President's Anteroom. The Senate will stand in recess {sic} to allow the Committee on Assignments to meet. (at ease) The Senate will be in order. Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senator Harmon, Chairman of the Committee on Assignments, reports the following Legislative Measures have been assigned: Refer to Criminal Law Committee - Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1005, Floor Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1006; refer to Education Committee - Floor Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 1572; refer to Executive Committee - Floor Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 494, Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 577, Floor Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1132, Floor Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 1708, Floor Amendment 2 to

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Senate Bill 1788 and Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 2136; refer to Higher Education Committee - Floor Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 1900, Committee Amendment 1 to House Bill 2370; refer to Human Services Committee - Floor Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 1454; refer to Insurance Committee - Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1194, Floor Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 1194, Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 2178, Floor Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 2178 and Floor Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 2366; refer to Judiciary Committee -Floor Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 1399 and Floor Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 1912; refer to Local Government Committee - Committee Amendment 2 to House Bill 1349; refer to Revenue Committee - Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 338, Floor Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 2194 and Floor Amendment 2 to House Bill 192; refer Transportation Committee - Floor Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 1479 and Committee Amendment 1 to House Bill 772; Be Approved for Consideration - Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 850, Floor Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 2362 and Floor Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 2365.

Signed, Senator Don Harmon, Chairman.

Senator Harmon, Chairman of the Committee on Assignments, reports the following Legislative Measures have been assigned: Refer to Agriculture and Conservation Committee - House Bills 733 and 2619; refer to Appropriations I Committee - House Bills 207 and 2275; refer to Criminal Law Committee - House Bills 801, 1443, 1561, 2471, 2647, 2691, 2953 and 3014; refer to Education Committee - House Bills 160, 1288 and 1868; refer to Energy Committee - House Bills 1070, 1745, 2586 and 2623; refer to Executive Committee - House Bills 83, 631, 1042, 1570, 2311, 2408, 2506, 2930, 2943 and 2979; refer to Executive Appointments Committee - Appointment

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Messages 169 through 199; refer to Financial Institutions Committee - House Bill 1572; refer to Human Services Committee -House Bills 1516, 2362, 2591 and 2786; refer to Insurance Committee - House Bills 1335 and 1460; refer to Judiciary Committee - House Bills 71, 2339, 2643, 2934 and 2992; refer to Labor and Commerce Committee - House Bills -- House Bill 2540; refer to Licensed Activities and Pensions Committee - House Bill 84, 116, 140, 1052, 1849, 2210, 2721, 2726 and 2839; refer to Local Government Committee - House Bills 58, 163, 983, 1710 and 2664; refer to Public Health Committee - House Bills 1457, 2760 and 3003; refer to Revenue Committee - House Bills 189, 438, 1206 and 2918; refer to State Government and Veterans Affairs Committee - House Bills 353, 1871, 2363, 2654 and 2955; refer to Transportation Committee - House Bills 774, 1238, 1345, 1461, 1529, 1809, 1817, 2489, 2563, 2773 -- and 2829; Be Approved for Consideration - House Bills 1405 and 1538.

Signed, Senator Don Harmon, Chairman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Steans, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR STEANS:

I move to waive all notice and posting requirements so that House Bills {sic} 207 and House Bill 2275 can be heard Wednesday, April 24th, in the Senate Appropriations I Committee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Steans moves to waive all notice and posting requirements so that House Bills 207 and 2275 can be heard on Wednesday, April 24th, in the Senate Appropriations I Committee. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and all notice and posting requirements have been waived. Ladies

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

and Gentlemen of the Senate, I'd like to call your attention to our committee schedule for this evening and tomorrow morning. The Committee on Education will meet in Room 400 at 6:30 p.m. tonight. The Committee on Public Health will meet in Room 409, also at 6:30 p.m. tonight. The Committee on Labor and Commerce will meet in Room 212 at 6:50 tonight. The Committee on Insurance will meet in Room 400 at 7:15 p.m. this evening. And the Committee on Revenue will meet in Room 409, also at 7:15 this evening. morning, the Local Government Committee will meet in Room 212 at 9:30 a.m. and the Criminal Law Committee will meet in Room 409, also tomorrow, at 9 a.m. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, we're going to continue on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading at the top of page 5 of your printed Calendar. Senate Bill 1853. Senator Bivins. Senator Bivins. Senate Bill 1855. Senator Muñoz. Senator Muñoz. Senate Bill 1868. Senator Rose. Senate Bill 1873. Senator Mulroe. Senator Mulroe. Senate Bill 1874. Senator Rose. Senate Bill 1896. Senator Manar. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1896.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1898. Senator Biss. Senate Bill 1909. Senator McCann. Senate Bill 1912. Senator Raoul. Senate Bill 1934. Senator Muñoz. Senate Bill 1945. Senator Silverstein. Senate Bill 2136. Senator Althoff. Senator Althoff. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2136.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 2141. Senator Rezin. Senate Bill 2202. Senator Link. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2202.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Link.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Link, to present your bill.

SENATOR LINK:

Thank you...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Amendment.

SENATOR LINK:

Thank you, Mr. President. This just clarifies, in the amendment, what the intent of the bill is.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, Senator Link moves to adopted Floor Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2202. All in favor,

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Have there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 2224. Senate Bill 2232. Senator Sullivan. At the top of page 6 of your printed Calendar. Senate Bill 2251. Senate Bill 2253. Senate Bill 2305. Senator Link. Senator Link. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2305.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Higher Education adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 2312. Senator Luechtefeld. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2312.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Public Health adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 2319. Senator Hunter. Senator Hunter. Senate Bill -- I'm sorry, 2337. Senator McGuire. Senate Bill 2340. Senator Steans. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2340.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Education adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 2350. Senator Collins. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2350.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Energy adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Collins.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Collins, to explain your amendment.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Amendment No. 2 requires electric utilities and gas utilities serving more than a hundred thousand customers to offer Commission-approved on-bill financing programs to owners of multifamily residential or mixed-use buildings with more than -- five or more residential units. We will be bringing Amendment No. 3, agreed-upon language, to the committee this week.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Is there any discussion? Senator Rezin, do you... Is there any discussion? Seeing none, Senator Collins moves to adopt Floor Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2350. All in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Have there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

...Reading. Senate Bill 2362. Senate Bill 2389. Senator Steans. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2389.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Education adopted Amendment -- Amendment No. 1.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 2393. Senator Manar. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2393.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, we're going to turn to page 25 of your printed Calendar. At the bottom of the page is the Order of Secretary's Desk, Resolutions. We have Senate Resolution 91. Senator Bivins. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 91, offered by Senator Bivins.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Bivins.

SENATOR BIVINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Resolution 91 is -- is part four of a four-part package for school security. Senate Resolution 91 resolves that a school crime watch program should be established based on the Neighborhood Watch concept and independently or alternatively incorporated into Neighborhood Watch programs in

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

order to make schools safer for our children. It further encourages all citizens of Illinois to participate in a school crime watch program and to develop partnerships and {sic} (with) school authorities and law enforcement to report suspicious activity before it can escalate. This does have the support of the National Sheriffs' Association. Be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Is there any discussion? Any discussion of the resolution? The question is, shall Senate Resolution 91 pass. As this resolution requires the expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote will be required. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. Senate Resolution 91, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. Senate Resolution 157. Senator Hutchinson. Senate Resolution 218. Senator Manar. Senate Resolution -- Senate Joint Resolution 23. Senator Althoff. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Joint Resolution 23, offered by Senator Althoff.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Althoff, to explain the resolution.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. Senate Joint Resolution 23 designates the Illinois Route 31 bridge, currently being constructed in Crystal Lake, as the "William 'Bill' Dwyer Bridge" {sic} ("William 'Bill' F. Dwyer Jr. Bridge"). The Illinois

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

Department of Transportation is requested to erect a suitable -- at suitable locations appropriate signage giving notice to the name William 'Bill' Dwyer. William was not only a county board member, but he was the chairman of the transportation committee in McHenry County.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Is there any discussion of the resolution? Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Joint Resolution 23 pass. As this resolution requires the expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote will be required. All those in favor will vote Aye. And opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 52 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. Senate Joint Resolution 23, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed -- adopted. Next on our Calendar, Senate Joint Resolution 24. Senator Althoff. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Joint Resolution 24, offered by Senator Althoff.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Althoff, to explain your resolution.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Thank you, again, Mr. President. Senate Joint Resolution 24 is similar to Senate Joint Resolution 23, but in this case, it declares that the Illinois Route 31 bridge, which is being constructed over Illinois Route 62, should be named the "President John C. Schmitt Bridge". He is the current president of the Village of Algonquin and was the mastermind behind what we refer to as the Algonquin bypass.

39th Legislative Day

4/23/2013

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Is there any discussion of the resolution? Any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Joint Resolution 24 pass. As this resolution requires the expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote will be required. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? record. On that question, there are 52 -- 53 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. Senate Joint Resolution 24, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, we have concluded our Floor action for the day. Our business is moving forward. I would remind all Members that we do have a fairly full committee schedule, commencing at 6:30 p.m. tonight. Please catch your breaths and report to the appropriate committees at 6:30. We will have committees meeting again in the morning. And we will return to Senate action at 10 a.m. tomorrow. There being no further business to come before the Senate, the Senate stands adjourned until the hour of 10 a.m. on the 24th day of April, 2013. Senate stands adjourned.