29th Legislative Day 4/20/2007

HB0039	First	Reading	129
HB0042	First	Reading	129
HB0050	First	Reading	129
HB0117	First	Reading	129
HB0120	First	Reading	129
HB0121	First	Reading	129
HB0132	First	Reading	130
HB0150	First	Reading	130
HB0151	First	Reading	130
HB0153	First	Reading	130
HB0166	First	Reading	130
HB0170	First	Reading	130
HB0192	First	Reading	130
HB0196	First	Reading	130
HB0236	First	Reading	130
HB0254	First	Reading	130
HB0270	First	Reading	130
HB0333	First	Reading	130
HB0335	First	Reading	130
HB0362	First	Reading	130
HB0375	First	Reading	130
HB0412	First	Reading	131
HB0414	First	Reading	131
HB0439	First	Reading	131
HB0449	First	Reading	131
HB0463	First	Reading	131
HB0473	First	Reading	131
HB0479	First	Reading	131
HB0486	First	Reading	131
HB0494	First	Reading	131
HB0502	First	Reading	131
HB0516	First	Reading	131
HB0566	First	Reading	131
HB0615	First	Reading	131
HB0616	First	Reading	131
HB0619	First	Reading	132
HB0678	First	Reading	132
HB0691	First	Reading	132
HB0719	Third	Reading	120
HB0724	First	Reading	132
HB0729		Reading	132
HB0811		Reading	132
HB0813		Reading	132
		<b>-</b>	

29th Legislative Day		4/20/2007
НВ0830	First Reading	132
HB0840	First Reading	132
HB0841	First Reading	132
HB0857	First Reading	132
HB0877	First Reading	132
HB0892	First Reading	132
HB0909	First Reading	132
HB0949	First Reading	132
HB0950	First Reading	133
HB0975	First Reading	133
HB0981	First Reading	133
HB0983	First Reading	133
HB0985	First Reading	133
HB0987	First Reading	133
HB1030	First Reading	133
HB1031	First Reading	133
HB1041	First Reading	133
HB1059 HB1076	First Reading	133 133
HB1076 HB1080	First Reading First Reading	133
HB1084	First Reading First Reading	133
HB1105	First Reading	133
HB1233	First Reading	134
HB1236	First Reading	134
HB1241	First Reading	134
HB1289	First Reading	134
HB1330	First Reading	134
HB1366	First Reading	134
HB1382	First Reading	134
HB1391	First Reading	134
HB1400	First Reading	134
HB1403	First Reading	134
HB1407	First Reading	134
HB1422	First Reading	134
HB1452	First Reading	134
HB1462	First Reading	134
HB1497	First Reading	135
HB1504	First Reading	135
HB1509	First Reading	135
HB1535	First Reading	135
HB1542	First Reading	135
HB1553	First Reading	135
HB1554	First Reading	135
HB1559	First Reading	135

29th Legislative Day		4/20/2007
HB1562	First Reading	135
HB1563	First Reading	135
HB1608	First Reading	135
HB1610	First Reading	135
HB1647	First Reading	135
HB1648	First Reading	135
HB1663	First Reading	135
HB1666	First Reading	136
HB1671	First Reading	136
HB1673	First Reading	136
HB1702	First Reading	136
HB1705	First Reading	136
HB1711	First Reading	136
HB1718	First Reading	136
HB1743	First Reading	136
HB1744	First Reading	136
HB1778	First Reading	136
HB1780	First Reading	136
HB1795	First Reading	136
HB1822	First Reading	136
HB1833	First Reading	136
HB1855	First Reading	137
HB1864	First Reading	137
HB1881	First Reading	137
HB1901	First Reading	137
HB1910	First Reading	137
HB1919 HB1921	First Reading	137 137
нв1921 НВ1926	First Reading	137
HB1940	First Reading First Reading	137
HB1959	First Reading	137
HB1964	First Reading	137
HB1972	First Reading	137
HB1978	First Reading	137
HB1979	First Reading	137
HB1988	First Reading	137
HB2781	First Reading	138
HB2782	First Reading	138
HB2783	First Reading	138
HB2786	First Reading	138
HB2787	First Reading	138
HB2918	First Reading	138
HB3132	First Reading	138
HB3327	First Reading	138
1123321	1 1100 110001119	130

29th Legislative Day		4/20/2007
HB3393	First Reading	138
HB3395	First Reading	138
HB3425	First Reading	138 138
HB3452 HB3504	First Reading First Reading	138
HB3509	First Reading First Reading	138
HB3573	First Reading	139
HB3583	First Reading	139
HB3586	First Reading	139
HB3614	First Reading	139
HB3618	First Reading	139
HB3672	First Reading	139
HB3721	First Reading	139
HB3729	First Reading	139
SB1426	Recalled	2
SB1426	Third Reading	3
SB1433	Out Of Record	7
SB1433	Recalled	5
SB1433	Third Reading	6
SB1433	Third Reading	12
SB1434	Recalled	14
SB1434	Third Reading	15
SB1452	Third Reading	16
SB1453	Third Reading	17
SB1464	Third Reading	18
SB1468	Third Reading	20
SB1479	Recalled	31
SB1479	Third Reading	32
SB1481 SB1508	Third Reading Third Reading	33 37
SB1506 SB1509	Third Reading Third Reading	39
SB1509 SB1511	Recalled	40
SB1511	Third Reading	40
SB1514	Recalled	43
SB1514	Third Reading	44
SB1553	Third Reading	47
SB1557	Third Reading	57
SB1559	Recalled	59
SB1559	Third Reading	60
SB1566	Recalled	61
SB1566	Third Reading	62
SB1576	Third Reading	66
SB1580	Recalled	67
SB1580	Third Reading	68

#### 4/20/2007 29th Legislative Day Discussed SB1592 93 Held On Second 92 SB1592 Recalled SB1592 70 SB1592 Third Reading 94 Resolution Offered SR0147 2 Resolution Offered SR0148 125 Resolution Offered SR0149 125 HJR0001 Resolution Offered 129 Resolution Offered HJR0017 129 Resolution Offered HJR0027 129 Resolution Offered HJR0030 129 Resolution Offered HJR0047 129 Adopted HJR0050 125 Resolution Offered HJR0050 125 Senate to Order-Senator DeLeo 1 Prayer-The Reverend Martin Woulfe 1 Pledge of Allegiance 1 Journal-Approved 1 Committee Reports 2 Moment of Silence Observed for Virginia Tech 8 Victims Remarks Concerning Virginia Tech victims 8 Moment of Silence Observed for Virginia Tech 12 Victims Senate Stands in Recess/Reconvenes 70 Senate Stands in Recess/Reconvenes 93 Message from the House 124 Resolutions Consent Calendar-Adopted 125 Message from the Secretary of State 126 Message for the Governor 126 Messages from the House 126 Adjournment 139

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

The regular Session of the 95th General Assembly will please come to order. Will the Members please be at their desk? Will the guests in the galleries please rise? The invocation today will be given by Reverend Martin Woulfe, the Abraham Lincoln Unitarian Universalist Congregation, here in Springfield, Illinois. Reverend.

THE REVEREND MARTIN WOULFE:

(Prayer by the Reverend Martin Woulfe)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Please remain standing for the Pledge of Allegiance. Senator Maloney, to lead, sir.

SENATOR MALONEY:

(Pledge of Allegiance, led by Senator Maloney)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Madam Secretary, Reading and Approval of the Journal, please.

SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Senate Journal of Thursday, April 19, 2007.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

Mr. President, I move that the Journal just read by the Secretary be approved, unless some Senators has additions or corrections to offer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Senator Hunter moves the approval of the Journals just read by the Secretary. There being no objection, so ordered. Madam Secretary, Resolutions, please.

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

#### SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Senate Joint Resolution, offered by -- I'm sorry. Senate Resolution 147, offered by Senator Haine and all Members.

It's a death resolution, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Madam Secretary. Consent Calendar. Madam Secretary, Committee Reports.

### SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Senator Collins, Chairperson of the Committee on Financial Institutions, reports House Bills 352, 623, 744 and 1288 Do Pass. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

We'd ask all Members within the sound of my voice please return to the Senate Chamber immediately. We will be going to the Order of 3rd Reading, final passage. All Members within the sound of my voice please return to the Senate Floor. Thank you. Okay. Ladies and Gentlemen, if I could have your attention please, we will now be going to the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading. I'd ask the Members to turn their Calendars to page 36. Page 36 of your Calendar. Senate Bills 3rd Reading. We'll start with Senate Bill -- where we left off yesterday, last evening at Senate Bill 1426. Senator Murphy. Do you wish to proceed, sir? He indicates he wishes to proceed. Senator Murphy seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 1426 to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purpose of amendment. Is that correct, sir? Indicates yes. Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Now, on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1426. Madam Secretary, has there been any amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Yes, Mr. President. Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

Senator Murphy.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Murphy, to explain Floor Amendment No. 1, sir.

SENATOR MURPHY:

Thank you, Mr. President. The amendment becomes the bill. I'd be happy to discuss it on 3rd Reading.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, Senator Murphy moves the adoption of Floor Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1426. All in favor will say Aye. All opposed will say Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment's adopted. Madam Secretary, has there been any further Floor amendments -- approved for consideration?

SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. 3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1426. Senator Murphy, do you wish to proceed? He indicates yes. Madam Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Senate Bill 1426.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Murphy, to the bill, sir.

SENATOR MURPHY:

Thank you, Mr. President. What this bill would require us to do, school districts or any consortium containing a school district would be required, when they purchase electricity, to

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

either do so through a competitive bidding process or through a request for proposal process. I'm aware of no opposition to the bill. It passed out of the Education Committee unanimously and I'd request an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Okay. Ladies and Gentlemen, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1426 pass. Senator Millner, are you seeking recognition, sir? Senator Millner.

#### SENATOR MILLNER:

Thank you, Mr. President. It's my understanding that this is his first bill. And I think he was trying to keep that under the radar. Now I don't want to create any problems, but there's a number of questions that I have and I think many people in this Assembly have questions regarding this bill. And I would -- wish we could ask those questions at this point.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Sponsor indicates he'll yield for a question, sir.

#### SENATOR MILLNER:

Can you describe your bill in ten words or less so that we can all understand it?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Murphy.

#### SENATOR MURPHY:

First of all, let me -- let me thank my colleague from Schaumburg for -- from the Schaumburg district for -- for raising the awareness. I certainly wouldn't want to miss out on the love and affection that comes with the first bill from my fellow Members. Ten words or less, I -- I count on my colleague to count them. Electricity purchases, school districts and

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

consortium, competitive bidding.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Millner.

SENATOR MILLNER:

Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator -- Senator Millner, before you ask the next question, once again, the Chair would invoke the Cronin rule. The Cronin rule is now in effect. Senator Millner.

SENATOR MILLNER:

I'll -- I'll pass then.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Okay. Ladies and Gentlemen, once again, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1426 pass, on the gentleman's first bill. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Madam Secretary, please take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1426, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Sandoval, on Senate Bills 3rd Reading. Senator Sandoval, on 1428. Senator Marty Sandoval, do you wish to proceed, sir? Senator Sandoval. Out of the record. Senator John Cullerton. Senator Cullerton, on 1429, sir. Out of the record. Senator Althoff. Senator Pam Althoff, on 1430, ma'am. Do you wish to proceed? Out of the record. Senator Hunter. Senator Mattie Hunter, on 1433, ma'am. Do you wish to proceed? She indicates -- I believe, Senator Hunter seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 1430 {sic} to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purpose of amendment. Is

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

that correct, ma'am? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. I'm sorry. We'll have to correct the board here. 1433, Madam Secretary. Would the board so reflect? Thank you. Okay. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1433. Madam Secretary, has there been any amendments approved for consideration?

#### SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Yes, Mr. President. Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Hunter.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Hunter, on Amendment No. 1, ma'am.

#### SENATOR HUNTER:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This Amendment 1 is basically clean-up language. It retains the underlying bill and it makes one change and I'll discuss it further on 3rd Reading.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you very much. Senator Hunter moves the adoption of Floor Amendment No. 1 to -- to Senate Bill 1433. All those in favor will say Aye. All those opposed will say Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Madam Secretary, has there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

### SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

No further amendments reported.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1433. Senator Hunter, do you wish to proceed, ma'am? She indicates she'd like to proceed. Madam Secretary, please read

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

the bill.

SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Senate Bill 1433.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. As introduced, Senate Bill 1433 exempts cigarettes used for research purposes from the Cigarette Tax Act. And this amendment...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Excuse me. Senator Hunter, because of the time of the day, we'll come back to your bill in just one -- a couple minutes. I'd ask you just to take this out of the record and then we'll -your -- your bill will be on the Order -- Senate Bill 1433 will be on the Order of 3rd Reading and we'll return to you. Ladies and Gentlemen, could I ask all Members, please be in their seats. I'd ask staff, all non-Members retire to the rear of the Chamber, please. All Members please be at their desk. Staff retire to the rear of the Chamber. Ladies and Gentlemen, all Members please be at their desk. Ask staff to retire from the Floor. As I mentioned last night in closing moments, the Governor has proclaimed today -- gentlemen, could I ask you, please be at your The Governor has proclaimed today a day of mourning for the Virginia Tech victims and has asked all citizens to join in the ringing of the bells at exactly the hour of 11 a.m. in memory of those who lost their lives. Please join me in a moment of silence in which the Chair will ring the -- Senate bell thirty-

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

two times. One for each of their lives that were lost. After, I'd ask all Members please rise. We will start the memorial and we'll ask for people that will have comments, please wait until after we do the ringing of the toll. Thank you.

(Senate observes a moment of silence in remembrance of Virginia Tech victims)

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Is there any discussion? Senator Hendon, for what purpose you seek recognition, sir?

### SENATOR HENDON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Clearly today is a sad day throughout America, not just in Virginia at Virginia Tech or here in Illinois. And I'm going to ask God Almighty and the families of the victims to allow me to just briefly, Mr. President, address the fact that guns were involved in this tragedy. someday, perhaps, Mr. President, starting today, will earnestly address the issue of weapons in America and this nation's fascination with guns. These young people trying to get their education are just like our sons and daughters and nieces and nephews that we have in the various college campuses around And let's not fool ourselves, this could happen America. anywhere in America. If we strengthen gun laws, will it stop every incident? No, it won't. But we should give these babies a chance to live, a chance to have a future. We must address eventually, my friends, the issue of guns, violent videos, and our -- fascination with weapons. Our hearts go out to the families. Our heart goes out to Virginia Tech. Our hearts go

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

out to all of us -- all of us have mourned together just as we did with Columbine. And here we are again. Pray for the families, everyone. And after we're done doing that, let's earnestly address the issue of the availability of guns in America. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Senator. Senator Hunter.

### SENATOR HUNTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. I, too, am -- am truly saddened by the tragedy that occurred in Virginia. I know that Senator Hendon just mentioned the fact about the availability of guns, so I'm not going to address that issue. However, I am concerned about the -- the mental health issue, the behavioral issue and all the other issues that our -- that society faces, especially our children. I think that we need to -- to -- to pay closer attention to our students who present themselves with problems. I think that we need to respond quicker. We need to put more resources into this particular issue, because I feel that our -- our young people are calling out for help and we're not listening, we're not doing enough to help these young people. Thank you very much.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Senator Hunter. Senator Sieben.

### SENATOR SIEBEN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I didn't -- I wasn't able to count the bells that rang during the beginning of this presentation, or this memorial moment. But I heard in your-opening remarks you mentioned the bell would be rung thirty-two times. As I understand this tragedy, my heart's touched by the

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

fact that there were thirty-three victims in this horrendous incident. And, so, I would ask that we remember all the victims of this tragedy. And I think perhaps we could take a lesson from the Amish people in Pennsylvania that healing in this situation or healing in our country will only happen when we have a moment and a place in our hearts for forgiveness. And I -- I ask that we remember all the victims, certainly the parents and family of those students who were killed innocently in this case have a great hurt and a great emptiness in their hearts. I would imagine that the parents of the perpetrator of this crime, of the shooter in this situation, also have a great hurt and a great emptiness for the son that they thought they knew. And I would ask that we remember all the victims and that we pray for all the people that have been victims of this senseless tragedy.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Senator. Senator Reverend Meeks. SENATOR MEEKS:

Thank you so much, Mr. President. Today is the anniversary of the Columbine shooting. Yesterday was the anniversary of the Waco killing and it was the anniversary of the Oklahoma bombing. I think that one of the things that we have to all remember here in our society is how valuable human lives are. The reason we pause today is because there is power in the blood of the innocent. These young people were studying to become doctors, lawyers, teachers, politicians and now they're no longer here. I would simply ask, as we reflect upon the Virginia Tech shooting, that we would remember how valuable every life is. I would hope that this would cause us to remember how valuable the life of all of our colleagues, whether downstate, upstate, black, white,

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

Democrat, Republican. All lives are valuable and I think that sometimes we seem to not recognize the value of a human being. That's the only thing that could cause somebody to take a bomb and put it in a building and not care how many people they -- they hurt or they assassinate. Sometimes I think we even forget character assassination. And so on this day that we pause to remember the lives of the young people to pray for their families, to pray for their relatives and that school, I think also we should remember that each human life is valuable, each person in this Chamber is valuable. And as we see each other throughout the day, I think that we need to remember the value of a human life. Thank you so much, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Reverend. In closing, President Jones. SENATOR E. JONES:

Thank you, Mr. President. These kids were the best their -their generation had to offer, said Peter Read, the father of
nineteen-year-old Mary Karen, who was one of the thirty-three
victims of this week's tragedy at Virginia Tech. Today is a day
of remembrance and to honor these men and women and their
families. Mr. Read and the other families of those killed asked
that their lives be celebrated and be remembered for their
beliefs, their joy, and their love of life. The candles,
thousands strong, lighted for those who did not survive, they're
reaching out from one person to another. The support and -commemoration of life -- of human life is a legacy they leave
behind. Let us all join them in their legacy. In a civil
society, I hear often talk about the Constitution and rights in
the Constitution. But the rights in pursuit of happiness and joy

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

must be balanced against the other rights. And sometime in the civil society in order to have rights you must give up rights. Until we reach that point, I'm concerned about in this great State - SIU, Western, U of I, Illinois State - all our great universities in this State are not immuned {sic} from this type of tragedy. Until we come to the realization that this incident can happen again, in order to have those rights and pursuit of happiness, we must give up some rights. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. We'll close with a moment of silence to reflect for all the victims of this terrible tragedy. Thank you.

(Senate observes a moment of silence)

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. I'd ask to bring the Members' attention to Senate Bills 3rd Reading. We'll go back to page 36. At the time of the hour of 11 o'clock having arrived, Senator Hunter your bill was on the Order of 3rd Reading. Madam Secretary, please read the bill. It's been previously read a third time. Senator Hunter, to the bill, ma'am.

#### SENATOR HUNTER:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. As introduced, Senate Bill 1433, basically exempts cigarettes used for research purposes from the Cigarette Tax Act. And this amendment is needed to maintain both the intent and integrity of the legislation that both in-state and out-of-state retailers are on the same footing with respect to taxation. And

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

I ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Senator Hunter. Is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, Ladies and Gentlemen, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1433 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Madam Secretary, please take the record. On that question, there are 57 Ayes, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1433, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Righter, for what purpose you seeking recognition, sir?

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

Point of personal privilege, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Please state your point, sir.

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you. Mr. President, in the gallery right above your head, there are a group of young people that I'd like to introduce here today. They're a group of students from Eastern Illinois University. They are doing here today what we hope all young people will do in greater numbers than just them in the numbers that are present now, and that is engaging in the political process. I would ask that the Senate give a warm welcome to the Members of the EIU...

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Will our guests from Eastern Illinois please rise and be recognized? Welcome to the Illinois State Senate. Welcome. Okay. Ladies and Gentlemen, continuing on page 36 of your

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

Calendar is Senate Bill 1434. Senator Cullerton. Senator Cullerton, I believe you're seeking leave of this Body to return Senate Bill 1434 to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purpose of amendment. Is that correct, sir? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1434. Madam Secretary, has there been any amendments approved for consideration?

### SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Cullerton.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

...you. Senator Cullerton, to explain Floor Amendment No. 1, sir.

### SENATOR CULLERTON:

Yes. Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. This is really just a technical amendment which changes some language in the public policy finding Section of the bill. It's not controversial. I'd like to adopt the amendment.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, Senator Cullerton moves the adoption of Floor Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1434. All those in favor will say Aye. All those opposed will say Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Madam Secretary, has there been any further Floor amendments approved for our consideration?

### SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

No further amendments reported, Mr. President.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. 3rd Reading. Now, on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1434. Senator Cullerton, you wish to proceed? He

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

indicates he wishes to proceed. Madam Secretary, please read the bill.

### SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Senate Bill 1434.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Cullerton, to the bill, sir.

#### SENATOR CULLERTON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. This bill is in response to a threat of what's called Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Policy {sic} (Participation). It's referred to as a SLAPP, legislation that a number of other states have -- have passed. And what it's about is to -- address the concern that certain lawsuits that could be filed that significantly would and diminish citizen participation in government or voluntary public service or the exercise of those constitutional rights. So, what the bill does is to first declare the public policy that we want to encourage, obviously, our citizens to -their constitutional rights -- to exercise their constitutional rights of free speech and the right to petition and redress grievances. And then it provides for a procedural protection, if you will, when they are sued. And I'll give you an example, let's say a community organization makes recommendations to a local alderman concerning zoning changes. They just give advice, then the party that might not agree with the decision, the vote of the alderman, they -- that person, that landowner would file a lawsuit, not just against the municipality, but also against the community organization that gave the advice. Even though all

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

they were doing was giving advice to their elected officials. So, that's what the purpose of the bill is. We worked out an -- an agreement with the -- the trial lawyers so they are no -- no longer in opposition. Municipal League is in favor. Be happy to answer any questions, and ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, Ladies and Gentlemen, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1434 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. All those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Madam Secretary, take the record. On that question, there are 57 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1434, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Hunter, on 1435, ma'am. Out of the record. Senator Hunter, on 1436, ma'am. 1436. Out of the record. Ladies and Gentlemen, turn to page 37 of your Calendars. Senate Bills 3rd Reading on the top of the Calendar is Senate Bill 1446. Senator Sandoval. Marty Sandoval. Out of the record. Sandoval, on 1448. Senator Sandoval, on 1448. Out of the record. Senator Harmon, on 1452, sir. Senator Harmon, do you wish to proceed? He indicates he wishes to proceed. Madam Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Senate Bill 1452.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Sandoval, to the bill, sir. Senators

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

Harmon/Sandoval. Senator Harmon.

#### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 1452 amends the Property Tax Code and provides that in Cook County the filing of a tax objection complaint that would reduce the assessed value of a property by more than a hundred thousand dollars triggers an obligation on behalf of the plaintiff to provide notice to the municipality, school district, and community college district in which the property is located, and then gives to those governmental entities the right to intervene in the case. I'm not aware of any opposition, and I'd ask for your Aye votes.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Senator Harmon. Is there any discussion? there any discussion? Seeing no further discussion, Ladies and Gentlemen, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1452 pass. those in favor will vote Aye. All those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Madam Secretary, please take the record. On that question, there are 52 Ayes, 2 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1452, having received the required majority, is declared constitutional passed. Illinois Information Service is seeking leave of the Body to videotape the service -- the proceedings. Seeing no objection, leave is granted. Senator Harmon, on 1453, sir. Do you wish to proceed? He indicates he wishes to proceed. Madam Secretary, read the bill.

#### SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Senate Bill 1453.

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Harmon.

### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 1453 is a modernization of the Public Building Commission Act. It is identical to Senate Bill 2049 from the last Session, which passed by a vote of 58 to nothing. It increases certain relocation payments to displaced persons.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1453 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. All those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Madam Secretary, take the record. On that question, there are 57 Ayes, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1453, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Harmon, on 1454, sir. Out of the record. Senator Sandoval, on 1455, sir. Out of the record. Senator Lightford, on 1462, ma'am. Do you wish to proceed on 1462, ma'am? Out of the record. Senator Lightford, on 1464, ma'am. She indicates she wishes to proceed. Madam Secretary, read the bill.

### SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Senate Bill 1464.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

Senator Lightford.

### SENATOR LIGHTFORD:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1464, Ladies and Gentlemen, amends the Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practice {sic} (Practices) Act, regarding mortgage marketing material. I had a constituent send me a letter indicating, that since she purchased a home, that she's been receiving all types of letters saying information is important about your lender's mortgage, or your final notice, complete and return. And she's finding that that isn't the case from her actual mortgage lender. So we want to try to help the consumers who purchase new homes here. And I'd be happy to answer questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Is there any discussion? Senator Rutherford, for what purpose do you rise, sir?

#### SENATOR RUTHERFORD:

Mr. President, thank you. To stand in support of Senator Lightford's piece of legislation. We worked together in Financial Institutions on this, and I would encourage an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, sir. Senator Lightford, to close, ma'am.

#### SENATOR LIGHTFORD:

I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Okay. Ladies and Gentlemen, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1464 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. All those opposed will -- vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

Madam Secretary, please take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1464, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Rutherford, are you seeking recognition, sir? Senator Lightford, on 1468, ma'am. Do you wish to proceed? She indicates she wishes to proceed. Madam Secretary, read the bill. SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Senate Bill 1468.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Lightford, on -- to the bill, ma'am.

### SENATOR LIGHTFORD:

Thank you, Mr. President. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this legislation amends the Payday Loan Reform Act of 2005. It's actually cleaning up a loophole that we, as Members here, worked on at least five years to six years to regulate an industry that I believe is misusing the concept of payday loan. Many of the percentage rates are skyrocketing and we worked together as a bipartisanship effort to make sure that this industry was regulated. And what we find out in good faith of our negotiation, we added an amendment that allowed up to one hundred and twenty days to be exempt from the actual legislation -- well, past a hundred twenty days, excuse me. So what the payday loan industry has now began to do is to create an installment loan practice that has superseded the payday loan practice. And these loans are now being loaned up to seven hundred and one percent. I have loans -- samples of loans -- I have samples of loans here where an individual went in and

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

borrowed, or financed thirty-five hundred dollars. Their finance charge would be at two hundred and ninety percent APR. would pay seven thousand three hundred and forty-eight dollars in finance charges over a twenty-six payment period, which meant they would have to pay eight hundred and thirty-five dollars a month back on a loan. And at the end of the day, they would be paying almost eleven thousand dollars for a thirty-five-hundreddollar loan. And that's just one example. There's many others that I can give as we continue on with this debate, but I just want us to know that we negotiated in good faith and we're trying to protect consumers and regulate an industry. legislation passed out of here in 2005 with 59 Ayes. And it's just our duty and responsibility to come back to the table and say we need to recognize the loophole. We need to close it out and that's what I'm asking the Body to do on -- today. I'd be happy to answer questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Senator Rutherford, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Mr. President. For a few questions of Senator Lightford.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator indicates she'll yield for a question, sir.

#### SENATOR RUTHERFORD:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Lightford, we know that there is still some opposition to the legislation and we've talked about this in our Senate Financial Institutions Committee. There had been some meetings taking place and unfortunately some

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

-- some folks were not perhaps in the room at that time, and it was no -- no specific intent to do that, it just happened. Recognizing that there's still some opposition, is it your willingness to continue to hear dialogue, those that have opposition, and see if you can find, yet, consensus as you move this thing forward if it were to go over to the House? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Lightford.

#### SENATOR LIGHTFORD:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate -- Senator Rutherford, it is my intention to allow further negotiations to take place in the House, but I do want to bring to the Body's attention that there was many meetings over the years, that there was a meeting that took place. The room was full to capacity and I want to apologize for you not getting that information or -- or your staff, perhaps, not being informed in a timely fashion. However, I can let you know that the industry was there and no one else was left out. I accepted suggestions from them, some ways that we could possibly amend the legislation to accommodate them, finding out that some of their suggestions, according to the Attorney General's Office, are unlawful and there were things that we could not do. And so those amendments, even if they bring 'em up in the House, they would not be eligible because they are illegal suggestions by the industry. But, however, discussions will continue to carry on in the House and I'm just hoping that we do a just job here and recognize that there is a flaw that we need to close.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Further discussion? Senator Schoenberg.

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

#### SENATOR SCHOENBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in support of the bill and I commend the sponsor's efforts. This is yet another case where we're going to have to be very vigilant and diligent and build better mousetraps. The dynamics of behavior, starting with the very entities who make the loans at the same locations, the fact that the lenders don't perform credit checks or otherwise determine the borrower's ability to pay for payday installment loans; the fact that the loan is quote, unquote, secured through a method of payment that's directly linked to the borrower's payday or wages; the fact that the annual percentage rates are exorbitant - here's a case where the industry has found a way to adapt to our latest regulatory effort. And I would anticipate that the industry will continue to seek ways to skirt the mousetraps that we build. So I would urge us to support this and to be mindful of the fact that we'll probably, likely, have to revisit this issue as other products are cleverly brought to bear. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Further discussion? Senator Millner.

#### SENATOR MILLNER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Would the sponsor yield too, for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

The lady indicates she'll yield for a question, sir.

#### SENATOR MILLNER:

Senator, I know there's some opposition to it. Can you explain the opposition's opinion and what their concerns were that your bill does not address?

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Lightford.

### SENATOR LIGHTFORD:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator, I'll do my best. Most of their analogies I find to be inconsistent, so I can't really speak for the opponents. But what I do recognize is that there were some installment loan practices that were fair and just and -- and companies that had been providing this service. But in all honesty, Household Finance Corporation, Wells Fargo, they provide installment loans up to thirty-six percent. They're not groping people at two hundred and ninety percent, five hundred percent, and seven hundred percent. So there installment loan providers that do a decent APR at thirty-six percent. While the payday loan has shifted from a short-term loan to installment loans and now they have almost stepped over into the lanes of the installment loan traditional providers. And, now, in order for us to close that loophole, the opponents have basically fallen into the trap of the payday loan industry. So, it -- it wasn't by design that we wanted to go after the installment loan providers, it's just that the payday loan industry has now fallen into their installment loan category. And now they're taking on their product, and this is the product that has skyrocketed over the last year and a half.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Any further discussion? Senator Millner.

#### SENATOR MILLNER:

Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. And to the bill: I appreciate what the sponsor is trying to do, but there are organizations that are fair and just and trying to do their job

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

that are caught here in the -- caught in the switches, if you will, and -- they're going to be adversely affected by this piece of legislation. And just for that reason, I'm -- I'm urging a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Further discussion? Senator Lauzen.

#### SENATOR LAUZEN:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. I agree with the former speaker and I applaud the intention of the bill. The intention is very good and it would feel very good to vote Yes on this bill. I do have some reservations. When we passed legislation about a year or two ago on mortgages, where somehow we were going to restrict that, you know, lenders could not be predators on consumers, I remember doing a calculation at that time to see what the interest rate was. We thought we were going to be lowering interest rate, but the interest rate was still three hundred and sixty-five percent a year. So I ended up being the only No vote on that, because I -- I know from working with constituents and clients in the past, that you can go bankrupt just as effectively at three hundred and sixty-five percent a year as you can at a higher level. What we found after that bill went through, was that some -- consumers were cut off from lending and some of these people are the people who need the funding and the financing the worst. And, so, I guess my fundamental question here is if we -- if we put a warning, I think that what you're trying to do as the sponsor of this legislation, is you're trying to create a flashing light on -like a warning, that, you know, at thirty-six percent, folks, you're -- you're one step out of the financial grave on -- on

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

that. And, so, I think you're flashing a red -- a -- a blinking red light, which I think is admirable - if people don't figure it out on their own. If we call it a payday loan are there any restrictions? Because the concern is that it's better to have access to some borrowing, even if it's at a higher cost - not at an exorbitant rate - but it's better to have access to some, even if I have to pay a higher rate, than to be cut off from all borrowing. So, what are the implications of a loan that is called a payday loan?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Lightford.

#### SENATOR LIGHTFORD:

Thank you, Senator. There -- thank you, Senator Lauzen, for finding me to be an admirable and to acknowledge this red blinking light that you suggest that I'm trying to create, which is just your opinion. The honest -- the honesty here goes to the fact that we passed legislation in 2005 creating a Payday Loan Act. There's nothing different that I'm trying to do from the --Payday Loan Act that we established. I'd like for them to follow the laws, to follow the rules that this Body set up - took us five years in negotiations to do. Former Senator Kay Wojcik and I worked on this like year after year. And, so, I'm not creating anything different. I feel that this industry has responsibility to find -- to abide by the laws that we have established. They came to us in the very last hour and asked us to include an amendment, and we included this concept in good faith, not knowing that they would then turn around and begin to offer loans at one hundred and twenty-one days to circumvent the law. So as long as they abide by the current law and not provide

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

installment loans as their new pet-peeve project, then, that way, consumers who do not understand will be more knowledgeable. fact, they have charts. And here is an example of a product comparison chart, that they're handing out to individuals who come into the store and say, "Well, if you get a fourteen-day payday loan, this is what'll happen. But if you purchase our installment loan product, you have a longer period to pay, you have smaller payments to pay," which is a misleading concept, because I shared with you at the beginning of testimony borrowing thirty-five hundred dollars, paying back eleven grand in twentysix months is not a good concept. They're not treating the consumers fairly and they're actually misleading them with the concept that an installment loan would be better for them than a payday loan in the long front. So I'm not trying to change anything here. This industry has a responsibility to abide by the laws that we have established, like all other industries.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Lauzen.

### SENATOR LAUZEN:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. I -- I hope that the sponsor doesn't think that my comments were anything other than, you know, trying to clearly understand what it is that you're doing. I agree with you that we ought to have honesty in lending. I also agree with you that people should treat other people fairly. However, it is also true that my guess is that there are people in this Senate Chamber who have credit cards in their pocket right now where the interest rate is more than thirty-six percent when you take a hard look at it. And so, my basic question - and I'm positive of that number, because I've

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

gone through to do those kinds of calculations for people - so my question is, will your bill restrict who can get borrowing, you know, once they have their eyes open?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Lightford.

#### SENATOR LIGHTFORD:

Let me go back to the original payday loan. Nothing's any different. In our original Payday Loan Act that we established, borrowers were limited to twenty-five percent of their gross monthly income or one thousand dollars, whichever is less. Lower -- we lowered the caps and the fees where they were paying fifteen-fifteen, fifteen dollars and fifteen cents per hundred dollars that was borrowed. We had a borrower time-out period where they can only borrow a maximum of up to two loans in a given period of time. We had options of debt repayment plans. Now, they have this big balloon payment attached to the end of installment loans. We had a seven-day debt recovery time where you could not borrow a loan within a seven-day period of paying the loan off. We had statewide consumer reporting services to help enforce this regulation. And, so, I'm not doing anything different. This legislation doesn't change any of the provisions that were already set in place. What I'm asking this Body to do is to retain the underlying law that we established and to reinstate the concept that payday loan laws should be regulated in the State of Illinois. And they need to follow the Payday Loan Act of 2005 and not come up with new ways to circumvent this law and charge people seven hundred percent on a loan. Senator Lauzen, if -- if I could pay you back eight hundred and fifty-four dollars a month, I wouldn't need to have come to you

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

and borrow the three grand in the first place. That's just a -quite a bit. And at the end of the day, I want to bring to your
attention the balloon concept. There's about fifteen hundred
dollars on that loan alone would be a final payment in addition
to the eight hundred forty-five dollars that individual pays. So
for that last month, they're asking that person to pay almost
twenty-four hundred dollars. But if they don't have it, it's
okay, 'cause we'll give you another installment loan on that
amount. And that individual is being caught into this vicious
cycle of debt that we attempted to regulate. I'm not saying that
they shouldn't provide loans. I'm not saying that they're not a
good market. There's many consumers that do need their product.
Be fair to the consumers. Don't get them caught into a vicious
cycle of debt at extremely high APRs.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Further discussion? Senator Crotty. SENATOR CROTTY:

Thank you very much. I -- I, too, rise in very strong support of this piece of legislation. I also had a constituent that came in to get a -- a -- went in to get a loan to help pay for a car repair because they absolutely needed their car for work and ended up finding out that, in fact, they owe now four times what the original loan was. And when he -- they came into my district office, I thought we had already established a law to prevent this from happening. But last summer I, too, attended a -- a hearing on this issue and some lenders have found a way to circumvent the Payday Loan Reform Act by offering payday installment loans. So, we need to act on this legislation really to protect our consumers in this State and I commend you for

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

bringing it forward. Thank you very much.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Senator. Senator Lightford, to close, ma'am. SENATOR LIGHTFORD:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I'd just like to bring to the Body's attention that I need to make a correction there were 58 Ayes that went out of here before. Senator Lauzen was the only No vote. I'd like to see that same concept on today's vote, because we have to protect ourselves as Legislators. We spent time down here recognizing a problem. We provided a very good concept through years of negotiation, nothing that we just shoved down one another's throat at a last hour, as they did the amendment that we a -tacked on in good faith, that they're now circumventing the system with. So I'd ask all of us just to continue to protect the very laws that we've established, and please vote Aye.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Okay. Ladies and Gentlemen, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1468 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. All those -- opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Madam Secretary, please take the record. On that question, 44 Ayes, 7 voting Nay, 1 voting Present. Senate Bill 1468, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator John Sullivan, for what purpose you seeking recognition, sir?

### SENATOR SULLIVAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Point of personal privilege. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

State your point, sir.

#### SENATOR SULLIVAN:

I have guest with me today, a -- a gentleman standing here beside me. He is the Chairman of the Board of Amtrak. His name is David Laney and he is down visiting Springfield. It's his first time to Springfield, but a very knowledgeable man with -- with regard to Amtrak and passenger rail. I'd like for -- everybody to welcome here to the Senate Floor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Welcome to the Illinois State Senate. Welcome Springfield. Okay. Ladies and Gentlemen, Senate Bills 3rd Reading in the bottom of page 37 is Senate Bill 1471. Kotowski. Out of the record. Senator Lightford, on 1473, ma'am. Would you like to proceed on that? Out of the record. Lightford, on 1475, ma'am. Out of the record. Senator Demuzio, on 1478, ma'am. Out of the record. Ladies and Gentlemen, we will turn our Calendars to page 38. On the top of page 38 is Senate Bill 1479. The Senator is going to request that we -shall seek leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 1479 to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purpose of an amendment. Is that correct, ma'am? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1479. Madam Secretary, has there been any amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Yes, Mr. President. Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Demuzio.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Demuzio, to explain Floor Amendment No. 1, ma'am. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

Yes. Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Floor Amendment No. 1 adds and makes changes -- some of the language to clarify some points in the original bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Okay. Senator Demuzio moves the adoption of Floor Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1479. Is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, all those in favor will say Aye. All those opposed will say Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Madam Secretary, has there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1479. Excuse me. I did not -- for -- like to make a technical clarification here. This bill is now on the Order of 3rd Reading, Madam Secretary. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1479. Senator Demuzio, you wish to proceed? She indicates she wishes to proceed. Madam Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Senate Bill 1479.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Demuzio, to the bill, ma'am.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Yes. Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate.

This legislation allows IEMA to adopt federal laws and

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

regulations and -- and other orders that are required for Illinois to maintain the regulatory program. As different rules and regulations are coming down from the federal government, IEMA wants to say -- stay consistent with those rules and regulations and these make some changes. What Senate Bill 1479 does make those changes and brings us into compliance with all of the rules and regulations.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Seeing no discussion, Senator Demuzio, to close. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Okay. Ladies and Gentlemen, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1479 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. All -- those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Madam Secretary, please take the record. On that question, there are 57 Ayes, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1479, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Demuzio, on 1481, ma'am. Do you wish to proceed? She indicates she wishes to proceed. Madam Secretary, read the bill.

### SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Senate Bill 1481.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Demuzio, to the bill, ma'am.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

Yes. Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1481 amends the State Employees Article of the Illinois Pension Code to permit nurses to purchase service credit for any work under a contractual agreement through the Department of Public Aid or its successor agency, the Department of Human Services. The employees worked in the client assessment unit and -- and subsequently they have been classified a State employee by United States Internal Revenue and the Illinois Labor Relations Board. Presently what they are doing, the twenty-two nurses, are seeking to buy back their time and service so that they can be -- have credit for the time that they have worked with those departments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Is there any discussion? Senator Burzynski, for what purpose you seek recognition?

### SENATOR BURZYNSKI:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill: I just rise in support of the bill. The Senator is trying to address a situation and correct a situation in putting these nurses as State employees, allowing them to buy into the retirement program. I mean, after all who are we to argue with the IRS, who has found that they were State employees? So I -- I would urge its support. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Senator. Any further discussion? Any further discussion? Senator Lauzen, what purpose are you seeking recognition?

## SENATOR LAUZEN:

Question for the sponsor.

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

The lady indicates she'll yield for a question, sir.

## SENATOR LAUZEN:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. I -- actually I have made a career out of arguing with the IRS, so the previous speaker, I respect what he's saying, but disagree with him. How many nurses -- how many individuals are involved? How much will the State portion -- my -- how much will the State portion of the contribution into the Pension Fund be? What I'm trying to get at is how much is the unfunded liability that we're creating. We have the worst funded pension system in the country and my analysis shows that this'll make that condition six hundred and fifty thousand dollars worse.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Demuzio.

### SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Yes. Thank you. And I appreciate the question. They -- we are looking at twenty-two nurses and they are going to be paying back their contribution, plus interest. Basically, what we feel is that as -- they -- the twenty-two, they are not all going to be retiring at the same time. I think presently we're looking at maybe three that are -- that have an interest, but we're looking at maybe at tops cost neutral -- maybe about six hundred thousand over the period of time.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Lauzen.

### SENATOR LAUZEN:

I -- I was with you about that it's a small number -- relatively small number of people, twenty-two people, and that

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

they're going to pay the entire contribution, but then in the end of that statement it is that it's still going to cost us six hundred thousand dollars. Could you clarify it -- it? You -- I can't -- you know, the whole obligation cannot be paid and still have a six-hundred-and-fifty-thousand-dollar unfunded liability.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Demuzio.

## SENATOR DEMUZIO:

...Department is going to be paying six hundred thousand dollars. Okay. And I think the retirement system as they -- as my records show here that -- that there was not a -- that -- that -- that -- that it was cost neutral for the retirement system.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Lauzen.

### SENATOR LAUZEN:

So, okay, I understand it better. I appreciate the sponsor's explanation on that. So you're saying that DHS is going to make that contribution into the Pension Fund so that the funds are there. That makes sense to me. Now, assuming that that's the case, is there an appropriation for that six hundred/six hundred and fifty thousand dollars? Is it in this year's budget?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Demuzio.

### SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Subject to appropriation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Seeing no further discussion, Senator Demuzio, to close, please.

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

## SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Yes. I ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Okay. Ladies and Gentlemen, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1481 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. All those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Madam Secretary, take the record. On that question, there are 52 Ayes, 4 voting Nay, 2 voting Present. Senate Bill 1481, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Continuing on Senate Bills 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1482. Senator Lightford, do you wish to proceed on Senate Bill 1482, ma'am? Out of the record. Senator Althoff, on 1493, ma'am. How are you, Senator? Nice to see you. Out of the record. Thank you. Senator Althoff, on 1494, ma'am. Out of the record. Thank you. Senator Wilhelmi. Senator Wilhelmi, on 1497, sir. Out of the record. ABC NewsChannel 20, here in Springfield, seeking leave to videotape the proceedings. Leave is granted. Senate Bill 1508. Senator Crotty, do you wish to proceed, ma'am? She indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. ...one moment. Ladies and Gentlemen, we're in 3rd Readings, final passage. Could we ask for a little respect to the speaker? Please lower your conversations. Take your conversations to the back of the Chamber. Thank you. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 1508.

(Secretary reads title of bill) 3rd Reading of the bill.

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Crotty.

### SENATOR CROTTY:

Thank you very much. Senate Bill 1508 now states that once a building permit is issued, the applicable local building codes that are in effect at the time of the permit is issued shall be the only building codes that apply for the duration of that permit.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Is there any discussion? Senator Althoff.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

The bill, ma'am.

### SENATOR ALTHOFF:

I rise in support of this legislation and I'd like to take the opportunity to thank the sponsor. Senator Crotty worked very, very hard to get this to be an agreed-to bill. And I appreciate her efforts. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Seeing no further discussion, Ladies and Gentlemen, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1508 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. All those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Secretary, take the record. On that question, there are 58 Ayes, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1508, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Raoul, on Senate Bill 1509, sir. Do you wish to proceed? He indicates he

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill. ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 1509.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Raoul, to the bill, sir.

## SENATOR RAOUL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the -- of the Senate. Senate Bill 1509, as amended, provides that where a sex offender is incarcerated for another offense during their period of registration, the -- the balance of their ten-year period of registration, if they have a ten-year period of registration, shall be told during the time that they're incarcerated for a -- a -- a non-related offense. I ask for your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, Senator Raoul, to close, sir. SENATOR RAOUL:

I urge your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1509 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. All those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Secretary, take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1509, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

passed. Senator Raoul, on 1511, sir. I believe the Senator is requesting that this bill -- he will seek leave of this Body to return Senate Bill 1511 to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purpose of an amendment. Is that correct, sir? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1511. Mr. Secretary, has there been any amendments approved for consideration?

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Floor Amendment No. 4 is offered by Senator Raoul.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Raoul, to explain Floor Amendment No. 4, sir.

SENATOR RAOUL:

Floor Amendment No. 4 deletes all, replaces the bill. I'll explain it on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

...there any discussion on the amendment? Seeing no discussion, Senator Raoul moves the adoption of Floor Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1511. All those in favor will say Aye. All those opposed will say Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Mr. Secretary, has there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

No further amendments reported, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

...you. 3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1511. Senator Raoul, do you wish to proceed? He indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 1511.

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Raoul, to the bill, sir.

### SENATOR RAOUL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate Bill 1511, as amended, determines that in order to promote business competition and the stability in growth of small businesses, the Capital Development Board may accept a surety bond quaranteed by the federal Small Business Administration or by a second party bond for a public construction contractor -- contract, if the contractor is a small There was an issue raised in committee with regard to business. this bill with regards to the Capital Development Board adopting rules to implement this Section. The statute uses permissive language. I've committed to the Senator who asked the question that in the House if this -- bill were so -- to be so moved to the House, that that language would be changed to have mandatory language in there.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Is there any discussion? Senator Peterson, for what purpose do you seek recognition, sir?

SENATOR PETERSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

...indicates he'll yield for a question, sir.

### SENATOR PETERSON:

Senator, how does your bill define a small business? What monetary value do you put with a small business?

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Raoul.

## SENATOR RAOUL:

A small business is a construction business with annual sales and receipts of no more than twenty-seven million dollars.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Peterson.

## SENATOR PETERSON:

Well, I think you have a big conflict with the Governor. His definition is two million. Anything over that is big business. So we're help -- this vote is for big business is what we're really doing, right?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Raoul.

### SENATOR RAOUL:

I'm the Senator of the 13th Legislative District. I have not announced any candidacy for Governor, yet, so I can only speak for the Senator of the 13th Legislative District.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Peterson.

## SENATOR PETERSON:

Thank you, Senator. But I can see that this Chamber is going to have a hard time defining monetary values and if we can't do it now, we're certainly not going to do it for the GRT. So, thank you for your response.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Seeing no further discussion, Senator Raoul, to close, sir. SENATOR RAOUL:

I urge your support on this bill. Thank you.

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Ladies and Gentlemen, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1511 pass. All those in -- favor will vote Aye. All those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Secretary, please take the record. On that question, there are 57 Ayes, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1511, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Continuing on Senate Bills 3rd Reading on the bottom of page 38, is Senate Bill 1514. Senator Raoul. The gentleman is seeking leave of this Body to return Senate Bill 1514 to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purpose of amendment. Is that correct, sir? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1514. Mr. Secretary, has there been any amendments approved for consideration?

CIINO DECREIANI NAIDEN.

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Raoul.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Raoul, to explain your amendment, sir.

SENATOR RAOUL:

Floor Amendment No. 1 replaces everything after -- the enacting clause and becomes the bill. I'll explain it on 3rd. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Seeing no discussion on the amendment, Senator Raoul moves the adoption of Floor Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1514. All those in favor will say Aye. All those opposed will say Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Mr. Secretary, has there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

## ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

No further amendments reported, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

...you. 3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1514. Senator Raoul, do you wish to proceed, sir? He indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill. ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 1514.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Raoul, to the bill, sir.

### SENATOR RAOUL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 1514, as amended, allows corporate authorities of any home rule municipality to order the county clerk to abate any percentage of the taxes levied by the municipality and any other taxing district on a parcel of residential property owned by the surviving spouse of a fallen police officer or rescue worker. I urge your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Is there any discussion? Any discussion? Senator Cronin, what purpose do you rise, sir?

## SENATOR CRONIN:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

To the bill, sir.

### SENATOR CRONIN:

I applaud the basic intent of this bill, my learned

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

colleague from the other side of the aisle. Relief to survivors of fallen officers and emergency personnel is also -- is always a group of people that we want to do anything and everything we can for. And while having said that, it also means that we need to be responsible about how we go about it, and we don't use that sympathy or that -- that -- that -- that passion that we have for those people to do something that may not be good policy. And I -- I'm very concerned that we're giving to one taxing body, namely the -- the municipality, the -- the -- the mayor or the village president of a town, the authority to control the property taxes of another taxing body. Why should the mayor have control over the school -- school district's taxes? the mayor of a town tell the school board that you cannot levy a tax because this is a policy decision that we, at the city council or the village board have made and you better abide by it? Why can't we amend this bill and give each authority -- or each elected board the authority to make decisions on their own, if they so choose? I mean, school funding has a lot of problems and issues and challenges. This bill creates yet another one. And why is the education community opposed to it as was noted in the Senate Revenue Committee? We got enterprise zones allowing each taxing body to decide whether it wants to abate taxes. hope that the Senator, who is my friend and I think a very thoughtful and responsible Legislator, will work with the House to come up with an amendment that makes this concept, a -- a -- a -- a laudable concept, one that is far more responsible. we're all in a spot right now and I don't appreciate being here. But I'll go along with you Senator, because I trust your good intent. But, I -- I think from a policy perspective this bill

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

should change.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Senator Cronin. Senator Cronin. Senator Cronin, could you identify the very attractive young lady sitting in your seat?

## SENATOR CRONIN:

Thank you very much. My daughter, my little Gracie, my nine-year-old daughter is spending the day with me learning about State government and I'm delighted to introduce her to you. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Gracie Cronin, would you stand up and be recognized? And welcome to the Illinois Senate. Senator Raoul, to close, sir. SENATOR RAOUL:

I -- I appreciate the concerns and comments raised by my friend on the other side of the aisle. And as I did in committee, I -- I -- I invite the schools to have conversations in the House if they have an objection to the spouse of fallen police officers who serve and protect all citizens, including the schools and the -- the kids in our schools, who are not great in number. This is not going to have a huge impact on any school district. It's -- it's -- it's going to have a -- a -- a minimal impact to do something to relieve the burden on the spouse of a fallen police officer. So, I urge every Member to support this bill.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Okay. Ladies and Gentlemen, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1514 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Secretary, take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, 2 voting Nay, 1 voting Present. Senate Bill 1514, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bills 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1527. Senator John Cullerton. Senator John Cullerton, on Senate Bill 1527, sir. Senator -- Senator John Cullerton. Out of the record. Senator Forby. Senator Gary Forby, on 1529, sir. Out of the record. Ladies and Gentlemen, continuing on Senate Bills 3rd Reading, we come to the top of page 39 of your Calendar. Senator Frerichs, on 1553, sir. Do you wish to proceed? He indicates he wishes to proceed. Madam Secretary, please read the bill.

## SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Senate Bill 1553.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Frerichs, to the bill, sir.

## SENATOR FRERICHS:

Okay. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1553 protects disabled firefighters by providing that disability cannot constitute the cause for a discharge. If a pension board determines that a firefighter is not disabled, then the marshal or chief must immediately return the firefighter to payroll. Firefighters who devote their lives to protecting the public deserve the peace of mind to know that in case of disability, their families will be taken care of with a duty disability pension benefit. And I would answer questions. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Senator Brady, for what purpose do you seek recognition, sir? SENATOR BRADY:

Ask a question of the sponsor, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Gentleman said -- he indicates he'll yield for a question, sir.

## SENATOR BRADY:

Thank you. Senator, is the IML in support or in opposition of this?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Frerichs.

## SENATOR FRERICHS:

...forward various proposals, but we have not been able to reach consensus with them. They are not in support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Brady.

## SENATOR BRADY:

For those who didn't have the privilege of being in committee, could you reiterate what you're trying to work out with them and what their opposition is?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Frerichs.

## SENATOR FRERICHS:

I'm new to this. There's a disagreement with the Illinois Municipal League about firefighters being forced to return to work. They were afraid that sometimes there would be some people they wouldn't want to return. Our compromise agreement would have at least made sure the firefighters in disagreement would be

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

receiving a salary. The problem we were trying to rectify here is a situation that has happened in the State of Illinois where a firefighter became disabled, went on to receive his disability pension, went through rehabilitation, the pension board told him he was okay to go back to work, stopped receiving his disability pension and then the municipality said, we don't want to take you back. We think you're still disabled. And that firefighter was left without. So, there is -- there has been some disagreement about how best to accomplish that. We tried to work with the Municipal League.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Brady.

## SENATOR BRADY:

Of course, we have deadlines, Senator. Is it your intention that this will likely go over to the House, continue negotiations and hopefully come up with a compromise language and come back to us?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Frerichs.

### SENATOR FRERICHS:

My intention for it to move over to the House. I know that there are people over there who would like to continue dialogues. But I think at -- at some point we need to move forward with this.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Any further discussion? Senator Burzynski.

## SENATOR BURZYNSKI:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield for a question?

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Gentleman will yield for a question, sir.

## SENATOR BURZYNSKI:

Thank you. Senator, Senator Brady asked if your intention was for it to be -- continue negotiations in the House. You indicate yes. However, my question is, is if the compromise is not reached in the House, is it your intent then -- well, obviously, they'll call the bill and it will go on and pass. Is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Frerichs.

### SENATOR FRERICHS:

That is correct.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Burzynski.

### SENATOR BURZYNSKI:

Thank you. Then -- then also, though, just for the Members of the -- of the Senate, the real sticking point here is how many physicians it might take for that individual to be able to go back to work. I think when we were talking about that in committee, we were talking about the fact that three physicians would have to agree. We suggested that at least two of the three. But that is really the sticking point, is it not, with this bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Frerichs.

### SENATOR FRERICHS:

There have been many negotiations with the Municipal League and that is one sticking point with them. I would say this

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

clarifies the three physicians selected by the board need not -need not agree to the existence of any disability or the nature
and extent of the disability. This is simply a restatement of
existing law. Case law demonstrates the statute to require
firefighters to see three physicians, but that these three
physicians don't have to report on the same diagnosis. An -- an
example is the case in 2005 in Oak Park where the judge upheld a
pension board's disability pension award to a firefighter even
though none of the doctors certified the firefighter's hearing
loss linked to a performance of his duty. Because the pension
board was able to consider other relevant facts, like that the
firefighter had served for twenty-two years riding in loud fire
trucks every day and being exposed to noise from gas-powered
generators, they awarded him the benefit and the Appellate Court
of Illinois agreed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Further discussion? Senator Burzynski.

## SENATOR BURZYNSKI:

Well, to the earlier point, I'd just like to point out that the IML does disagree that this addresses the situation that -- that I was trying to put forward. So, I was a No vote in committee, will continue to be a No vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Further discussion? Senator Viverito.

### SENATOR VIVERITO:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator, I have the highest regard for what you're trying to do, but I am really concerned when I see that the municipalities are -- are really all opposed to this and I'm -- I -- I obviously feel that -- is it a

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

financial of consideration? Is that why they're opposed to it? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Frerichs.

### SENATOR FRERICHS:

Yes. They do believe that this could constitute an increased liability for the municipalities. But for my opinion, if we don't pass this, we're putting the burden on the firefighters who go and serve the public every day.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Viverito.

### SENATOR VIVERITO:

Senator, is there perhaps a way that maybe you could hold this a bit and negotiate with the Municipal League and make them a little bit more comfortable with this bill? Because, obviously, nobody negotiates the contracts more than the mayors and village presidents, and they're more familiar with their budgetary restrictions and the financial burdens and responsibilities that they feel. Is there some way that you might be able to renegotiate with them?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Frerichs.

## SENATOR FRERICHS:

We -- we have negotiated at length with the Illinois -- Municipal League over the last nearly two months we've worked with them. Have not been able to reach a compromise. I -- I would hope they'd be willing to -- to -- to give up a little more. They were unable to. So it's my intention to move the bill. If they are willing to further compromise, we'd hope they'd reach that in the House.

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Viverito.

### SENATOR VIVERITO:

Senator, as much as I respect you and what you're trying to do, I have been a strong proponent of the Municipal Leagues for many, many years. I have worked with the west and southwest mayors association {sic} (Mayors and Managers Association) and I have had numerous calls on this. And they are deeply concerned and that's why I asked you to hold it. So I'm going to have to vote No on this issue. And I'm -- I'm sorry about that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Further discussion? Senator Raoul, what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR RAOUL:

To -- to the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

To the bill, sir.

## SENATOR RAOUL:

I rise in support of the bill. I -- I think the sponsor has done an admirable job of negotiating the bill and -- trying to work with the Municipal League. With regards to the issue raised with regards to the -- having a majority of three physicians agree, as the sponsor indicated, case law and -- and the current status of things would not -- not necessitate that a majority of physicians agree and this would be a codification of that. And -- and it's important to -- to -- to understand why. You know, having done workers' compensation litigation myself, you know, you always have the battle of the physicians and every case is a very unique situation. And one physician can bring a -- a unique

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

perspective on a case which -- in which a determination could be made where -- which is inconsistent with the opinion of two other physicians. But that doesn't mean that a majority of physicians are in the right. So I -- I urge all of my colleagues to support this bill on behalf of the hardworking firefighters.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Further discussion? Senator Righter, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Will the sponsor yield, please, Mr. President?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

The gentleman -- indicates he'll yield for a question, sir. SENATOR RIGHTER:

Senator, two -- two areas. First, it's my understanding there's a provision in the bill that would award attorneys' fees to a firefighter who goes to court claiming that they were wrongfully denied disability payments, even if they lose that claim. Is there -- is that -- first of all, is that accurate where -- where attorneys' fees will -- be reimbursed to the claimant even if they lose?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Frerichs.

### SENATOR FRERICHS:

Yeah, I think if they're not immediately put back on payroll, then, yes, they would receive those benefits, or they -- those costs.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Frerichs are you aware of another area in law where we reimburse attorneys' fees to the loser?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Frerichs.

SENATOR FRERICHS:

I am unaware.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Righter.

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

Senator, around here we get caught up in interest groups and associations and we divide people out like firefighters and police officers and -- and other public safety or -- or public health officials. And I want to -- so I want to ask is, I -- I tend to, and I think most of us tend to think, particularly when we're back home, we think of firefighters and let's say, police officers in the same category. I mean, they're both serving the public. They're both there for the public -- for public safety and for public -- public safety, excuse me. If this becomes law, will it -- will the law treat a firefighter differently than a police officer in terms of that individual's ability to claim a disability and receive disability benefits?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Frerichs.

### SENATOR FRERICHS:

I would support this if -- if it passed for firefighters. I would support it for police officers, as well. And in answer to a earlier question, it wouldn't necessarily be losers being paid. But if -- if they were not immediately returned to work as the

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

bill requires and if it had to go to court, whether they win or lose, their costs would be paid by the municipality.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Righter.

## SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Going back again, Senator, to the question I asked earlier. I mean, I appreciate that you would support a similar provision if it applied to police officers were it before the Senate. That's not before the Senate. Can I take from your answer that you agree that the process in order to be declared disabled will be easier for a firefighter, if this becomes law, than it currently is for a police officer?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Frerichs.

## SENATOR FRERICHS:

I think probably currently that -- that might be the case, but that's not the intention long-term.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Righter.

## SENATOR RIGHTER:

...bill, please, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

To the bill, sir.

## SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you. I respectfully and regretfully rise in opposition to the bill. In addition to some of the other points that have been made, you know, when we represent our constituents back home and they're concerned about public safety, whether it's against crime or whether it's fire issues or other public hazards

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

like that, those people back home deserve to be treated equally. This now sets - and I appreciate it's not the sponsor's intent, but it will be the result of the bill if it becomes law - we're now going to put them on different plateaus and we're going to say for -- for some reason we're going to treat firefighters in a better manner than we do police officers. I don't think that we should be doing that. I think both sets of officials do extraordinarily valuable work for our constituents back home. Therefore, I would urge a No vote. Thank you, Mr. President. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Seeing no further discussion, Senator Frerichs, to close, sir.

## SENATOR FRERICHS:

I have nothing more to say. I would just encourage a -- a Yes vote.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Okay. Ladies and Gentlemen, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1553 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Madam Secretary, take the record. On that question, there are 39 Ayes, 14 Nays, 2 voting Present. Senate Bill 1553, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Frerichs, on 1557, sir. Do you wish to proceed on that? He indicates he wishes to proceed. Madam Secretary, read the bill.

## SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Senate Bill 1557.

(Secretary reads title of bill) 3rd Reading of the bill.

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Frerichs, on the bill.

## SENATOR FRERICHS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Thank you, Members of the Senate. This legislation was brought to me by a family who lost a loved one through a tragic and very avoidable -- traffic accident that occurred in my district. A twenty-five-year-old man, Matt Wilhelm, lost his life while riding his bike in Champaign County because a teen driver was so occupied downloading ringtones onto her cell phone that she allowed her car to go onto the shoulder and striking Mr. Wilhelm when he was safely riding on the road. Struck him with the front left side of her car, so far had she gone off the road. This is one of many like incidences that have occurred across the country because young drivers do not understand the dangers posed by distracted driving. The number of drivers faced with distractions is increasing significantly, with the proliferation of cell phones and other handheld devices. Senate Bill 1557 answers the urgent need for new legislation addressing distracted driving among our young drivers by amending the Driver Education Act of the School Code to include this The bill would require high school driver education issue. courses to acknowledge distracted driving as a major traffic safety issue, by adding classroom instruction on distracted driving to their curriculum. This bill passed unanimously in committee. Thank you.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, Ladies and Gentlemen, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1557 pass. All

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

those in favor will vote Aye. All those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Madam Secretary, take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1557, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Frerichs, on 1559, sir. I think you're seeking leave of the Body -- the Senator is requesting leave of the Body to return this Senate Bill 1559 to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purpose of amendment. Is that correct, sir? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1559. Madam Secretary, has there been any amendments approved for consideration?

### SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Yes, Mr. President. Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Frerichs.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Senator Frerichs, to explain Floor Amendment No. 1, sir.

## SENATOR FRERICHS:

Sure. This amendment retains the underlying bill and makes a technical change that transfers twenty-five million dollars to the fund a year, instead of the two hundred and fifty million dollar that was originally mistyped.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, Senator Frerichs moves the adoption of Floor Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1559. All those in favor will say Aye. All those opposed will say Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

adopted. Madam Secretary, has there been any further Floor amendments approved for our consideration?

SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. 3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1559. Senator Frerichs, do you wish to proceed? He indicates he wishes to proceed. Madam Secretary, read the bill. SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Senate Bill 1559.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Frerichs, to the bill, sir.

### SENATOR FRERICHS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1559 creates the Illinois Corn Grain to Fuel Research Consortium Assistance Program. This program will provide grants to assist members of the consortium setting aside twenty-five million dollars in each of the fiscal years 2008 through 2012. In '08 and '09 seventy percent of the annual grant funds are to be used for ethanol facilities and thirty percent for bio facilities. And I would answer any questions that the Chamber has.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Senator Dahl, for what purpose do you rise, sir?

SENATOR DAHL:

Mr. President, to the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

To the bill, sir.

### SENATOR DAHL:

This bill passed out of -- out of Ag Committee unanimously, and I encourage a Yes vote. It's a good bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Seeing no further discussion, Senator Frerichs, to close, sir.

## SENATOR FRERICHS:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. I also think this is something - in a time of rising gas prices and our increasing dependence on -- foreign oil - a step that this country -- this State needs to take a lead on and I would encourage a favorable vote from the Body.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

...you. Ladies and Gentlemen, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1559 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. All those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Secretary, take the record. On that question, there are 58 Ayes, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1559, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Continuing on Senate Bills 3rd Reading in the middle of page 39 of your Calendar, is Senate Bill 1566. Senator Righter. I believe Senator Righter is going to seek leave of this Body to return this bill to 2nd Reading for the purposes of amendment. Is that correct, sir? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1566. Madam Secretary, has there been any amendments approved for consideration?

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

### SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Floor Amendment No. 4, offered by Senator Righter.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Righter, to explain Floor Amendment No. 4, sir.

## SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Floor Amendment 4 changes the start date for the newborn screening that's the subject of the bill, Mr. President, from July 1st, 2008 to six months after both the Centers for Disease Control have in place the quality assurance testing methodology and the certification letter that comes to the Food and Drug Administration from the company that designed the newborn screens. I'd be happy to answer any questions about the amendment.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Is there any discussion on the amendment? Is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, Senator Righter moves the adoption of Floor Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1566. All those in favor will say Aye. All those opposed will say Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Madam Secretary, has there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

## SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

No further amendments reported, Mr. President.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. 3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1566. Senator Righter, do you wish to proceed? He indicates he wishes to proceed. Madam Secretary, read the bill. SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Senate Bill 1566.

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Righter.

## SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate Bill 1566 is a bill that deals with newborn screening. It has three separate provisions. First, it would, as a matter of statute, protect the newborn screening fund which is the money that pays for the newborn screening program here in Illinois from any kinds of sweeps from the administration or from the General Assembly. The second provision would codify the newborn screening advisory committee, which has been in place here in Illinois under the Department of Public Health since The third provision of the bill, and by far the most important provision of the bill, is a provision that would begin Illinois to -- down the next path of what is next for newborn screening in this country, and that is screening for what are termed Lysosomal Storage Disorders. Those are enzyme disorders. Not to give a medical lesson - not that I'm one to give a medical lesson - Mr. President, but very briefly children who suffer from Lysosomal Storage Disorders appear fine at birth and over -usually over the course of two years, their physical appearance changes dramatically, but not as dramatic as the pain that they are usually in before they pass away, usually before the age of This bill would start us screening for five of the fortythree Lysosomal Storage Disorders that currently exist. bill, as we talked about, Mr. President, has been amended to give the Department - and it was at the Department's suggestion - more

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

flexibility with regards to the start date and some testing and quality assurance issues. My intention here, Mr. President, after speaking with several Members on the -- on your side of the aisle and with the Department of Public Health, is to move this bill over into the House where there will be at least one more -- amendment that will come forth that will grant the Department even -- greater flexibility with regards to budgetary matters, the start of the screens and with regards to laboratory space they may need. I'd be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? The lady from Cook County, my mentor, Senator Carol Ronen.

SENATOR RONEN:

I am -- I'm speechless, Senate President, but thank you very much. I am -- rise in support of this piece of legislation. Senator Righter asked me to work on a -- a piece of legislation dealing with LSD and I said well, of course. Why wouldn't I want to do that? But seriously, bad jokes aside, this is a really important step we are taking. The bill will help us set up a system that really can identify these terrible disorders at an early stage and save lives. And the Senator has done a wonderful, wonderful job of working on this and dealing with so many different pressures from State departments and different interest groups. And I just want to commend him on his hard work and I look forward to working with him to make this law.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Further discussion? Senator Crotty. SENATOR CROTTY:

Thank you, Mr. President. And I, too, do rise in support of

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

this legislation. We've met quite a few times with Senator Righter on this particular bill, and I think I certainly was educated quite a bit to this particular disease and understanding that there is no cure. But as parents, I think the early detection and trying to get as much help as they can possibly get for their -- their youngster is certainly worth our vote. So, I commend Senator Righter and I look forward to continuing, if I can, working with him as this continues right up to the Governor's Office for his signature. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Senator. Senator Garrett, for what purpose do you rise, ma'am?

SENATOR GARRETT:

To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

...the bill.

### SENATOR GARRETT:

I just want to also thank Senator Righter for all the work he did. We had some issues with this in Public Health, being that this particular procedure has not been certified by the FDA at this point. So he has really put a process together that will allow the State of Illinois to afford this and to do it in a way that actually makes sense. And -- and when it's complete, we will be one of the first states in the country to do it. So I just wanted to let the Body know that we are in full support of it. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Senator. Seeing no further discussion, Senator Righter, to close, sir.

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

Simply ask for an Aye vote. Thank you, Mr. President. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Okay, Ladies and Gentlemen, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1566 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Madam Secretary, take the record. On that question, there are 58 Ayes, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1566, having received the -- the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Noland, on Senate Bill 1576, sir. Do you wish to proceed? He indicates he wishes to proceed. Madam Secretary, please read the bill.

### SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Senate Bill 1576.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Noland, to the bill.

## SENATOR NOLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1576 simply amends the Business Corporations Act of 1983 and the Limited Liability Company Act revoking the authority of a foreign corporation or foreign limited liability company to transact business in the State of Illinois when the Secretary of State receives a copy of a memorandum of judgment that there is a money amount owed to a unit of local government or school district, together with a statement filed that the judgment has not been satisfied and that no appeal has been filed. This authorizes the Attorney General

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

to bring an action to restrain a foreign corporation or foreign limited liability company from transacting business in the State if that authority has not been revoked -- excuse me, I'm sorry, if that authority has been revoked under the listed Sections of the Business Corporations Act of -- 1983 and Limited -- Liability Act. This passed unanimously in committee and I know of no opposition.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, Senator Noland, to close.

SENATOR NOLAND:

Something wrong with my... I simply ask for an Aye vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1576 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. All those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Madam Secretary, take the record. On that question, there are 57 Ayes, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1576, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Susan Garrett, on 1580, ma'am. I believe Senator Garrett is seeking leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 1580 to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purpose of an amendment. Is that correct, ma'am? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1580. Madam Secretary, has there been any amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

Yes, Mr. President, Floor Amendment No. -- I'm sorry, Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Garrett.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Garrett, on Floor Amendment No. 2, ma'am.

### SENATOR GARRETT:

Thank you, Mr. President. Floor Amendment No. 2 deals strictly with some drafting errors of the bill, technical changes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Is there any discussion on the amendment? Seeing no discussion on the amendment, Senator Garrett moves the adoption of Amendment -- Floor Amendment No. 2 to -- to Senate Bill 1580. All those in favor will say Aye. All those opposed will say Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Madam Secretary, has there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

### SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. 3rd Reading. Now, on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1580. Senator Garrett, do you wish to proceed, ma'am? She indicates she wishes to proceed. Madam Secretary, read the bill.

### SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Senate Bill 1580.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Garrett, to the bill, ma'am.

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

### SENATOR GARRETT:

Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1580 is a recommendation of the Hemophilia Foundation of Illinois. The purpose of this bill is to reinstate the Hemophilia Advisory Review Board as an entity of the Department of Public Health.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Is there any discussion? Is there any -Senator Pankau, for what purpose do you rise, ma'am?
SENATOR PANKAU:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill passed unanimously from committee and I urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you very much, Senator. Ladies and Gentlemen, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1580 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. All those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Madam Secretary, please take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1580, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Susan Garrett, on 1583, ma'am. Out of the record. Senator Frerichs, on 1587. Senator Burzynski, for what purpose do you rise, sir?

Thank -- thank you, Mr. President. We would request a Senate Republican Caucus in Senator Watson's Office right now.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Burzynski, could you approximately give me the length of time, sir? That order is always in request  $\{sic\}$ . We'd just like to have a timeframe.

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

### SENATOR BURZYNSKI:

I -- I believe we can make that in about twenty minutes, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

We will return back here at five minutes till one. The Senate will stand in recess to the call of the Chair.

(SENATE STANDS IN RECESS/SENATE RECONVENES)

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Okay. All Members within the sound of my voice will please come to the Senate Floor. We're about the start Senate Bills 3rd Reading. Senate Bills 3rd Reading. We're on page 39 of the Calendar. Page 39 of the Calendar. Senate Bills 3rd Reading. Will all Members please report to the Senate Floor immediately? Okay. Ladies and Gentlemen, before we -- Senate will reconvene we will -- we'll go to page -- the Senate will come to order. Going back to Senate Bills 3rd Reading, page 39 of your Calendar is Senate Bill 1592. Senator Forby. Senator Forby, I believe, seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 1592 to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purposes of an amendment. Is that correct, sir? That is correct. Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1592. Madam Secretary, has there been any amendments approved for consideration?

### SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Yes, Mr. President. Floor Amendment No. 3, offered by Senator Forby.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

To -- Senator Forby, to explain your amendment. Senator Forby. Senator Forby, to explain the amendment, sir. SENATOR FORBY:

Can you hear me now? Thank you, Mr. President. amendment deletes the words "fewer than two million" from the bill. Now requires a resident of ComEd included in the rate freeze. A rollback funding will be for the resident customers of any amount of -- from 1-1-07 -- ten days after the effective date of the Act exceeding last year's rate would become part of the bill. And what this bill is -- consists of is, I had several people from the ComEd district come to me a few weeks ago, said, "Gary, we want ComEd on your bill." And I said, I don't -- I said, you know, I didn't have a -- really a big problem with that, 'cause Ameren is in my district, and putting ComEd on the bill, they said it would make it a better bill. I'm convinced what they done had that. So, I -- we've made a -- passed this out of committee. Now I'm asking for this Amendment No. -- No. 3 to be, and when this is all said and done, I would like to have roll call on this.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Is there any discussion on the amendment? Any discussion on the amendment? Senator Righter, for what purpose do you rise, sir?

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. I -- maybe I caught it at the very tail end of Senator Forby's comments about requesting a roll call. Has a roll call been requested then on the adoption of this amendment?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

Gentleman has requested a roll call.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Further discussion? Senator Risinger.

### SENATOR RISINGER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Just quickly to the -- to the amendment. I rise in support of the amendment. I think that any time we vote on a -- a freeze for any of our major utilities, we ought to have 'em all included. Whenever they have comparable rates and -- and -- and comparable situations, they ought to all be included. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, sir. Further discussion? Senator Silverstein, for what purpose do you rise, sir?

SENATOR SILVERSTEIN:

To the amendment, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

...amendment, sir.

### SENATOR SILVERSTEIN:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I do support this amendment. The issue of utility prices, -- we've been -- we have been dealing this with -- since November. Unfortunately, I don't believe we're in any better shape as we are today as we were back then. And I've been speaking out on this issue, and I truly think this issue affects every citizen from Cairo to Waukegan. And the question is, how we going to solve the problem? You know, the House sends us a bill over here. We don't do anything. Now we have an amendment,

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

which whether it passes or not - we'll find out very shortly but if the amendment fails and the underlying bill does get out of here, more than likely this amendment will be tacked on to the bill and come back here. So what we're doing here is playing political ping-pong with the citizens of the State of Illinois. Now, we've seen over the past several months how our neighbors down in -- south have been hit with high utility bills. Senator John Jones told me a story about one of his constituents which is extremely sad and unbelievable. Now, for us Legislators who live in the northern part of the State, don't be fooled. If we don't do anything, this crisis is going to hit us over the summer months. And, what are we going to tell our constituents at that time? Hopefully, we're out of here by the summer. We have no answers. And, I don't have an answer. And, we need to solve the problem now. Now, I -- I'm not going to point fingers at anybody and who the blame for this crisis is, because that's not what we're here for, we're here to solve the problem. And I think this is a real crisis through the State. And, we need to get our act together. And, we need to be in negotiations with the House, with the utilities. So, I do support the amendment. I want to thank Senator Forby by putting ComEd on. I'm hoping that before we leave here sometime in May or June or whenever, this issue will be solved. Thank you very much.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Further discussion? Senator James Clayborne.

### SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

Thank you, Mr. President and -- and Members of the Senate, and my -- my good friend, Senator Forby. It's -- it's been a long road since January and we've made some accomplishments.

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

Still have a long way to go. And as a result, I -- I do know that the Senate has been proactive in trying to come up with a I believe that everyone who truly understands this issue understands that a rate freeze will not lower anybody's rates if it is passed. What it will do will, it will get bogged up into litigation. If the utilities are successful, then what happens is that rate goes into effect. If the utilities lose, then there's a possibility of bankruptcy and at that point in time who buys the power? So as a result of all of that -- as a result of all of the uncertainty, there have been negotiations that have taken place. Through the result of - and I'll talk about ComEd at this point - through the result of negotiations, where the two utilities have put up money as well as generation companies have put up money and the result of that negotiations -ComEd has put up -- well, ComEd -- the portion that affects ComEd is that there's eight million dollars for space heat. And what that does is, for those who are in ComEd territory that are at the top -- the twenty-eight percent the all -- all electric, that eight million dollars is supposed to bring them down to the twenty-four percent, consistent with everybody else. there's the summer bill credit for LIHEAP for seniors, that's 6.1 million dollars. Again, what we do in that area, we raise the level of -- of the criteria from a hundred percent of poverty, to two hundred percent of federal poverty, to again address those concerns that those seniors have. And what that does, again, that -- that is -- that -- that is LIHEAP money, I'm sorry, not for the summer, but that's LIHEAP money, additionally for those individuals who, after the eight million dollars has been spent, still have a high bill, they can get some additional relief.

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

Then there's for the summer, there's a summer credit of 4.2 million dollars. Again, we raise the -- the -- the LIHEAP -- the criteria for the -- the -- from a hundred and fifty percent to two hundred and fifty percent of poverty to address that category. Then there's another category still for those people who still have a high bill, there's High Bill Relief Fund. million dollars, again, to address that -- that concern that people have in terms of experiencing higher bills. Then there's another fund called the Special Hardship Fund. And this is for residential and non-residentials who have -- who can apply who may not have fit in the other two categories, that they can apply for this money. And on the ComEd side that's five million dollars for residential and non-residential, two million dollars. Then there's the issue only in the -- the ComEd territory regarding the common areas in -- condominiums. It's been estimated that to reclassify those back to residential, that there's four million dollars to classify that back to residential and to put them back in the position with the other -- the other Then there's LIHEAP weatherization to help those consumers. individuals who, looking forward, would like to have their -their home a little more efficient. There's 3.9 million dollars for the ComEd territory. And then there's other programs in the ComEd territory called customer care. That package, just in the ComEd territory, for -- for this year is 43.7 million dollars. That also includes the ability to carry -- or, to phase in, if you desire, the 7-7-7 over the next three years with no carrying charges. So, if this passes, then these are monies that will be given up. These are monies that will probably not go to -- that will be taken off the table. So, just to inform you all of what

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

the risks are. Just to inform you all of -- of what this vote means.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Senator. WAND-TV Channel 17 in Decatur seeking leave to videotape the proceedings. Seeing no objection, leave is granted. Further discussion? The lady from Lake County, Susan Garrett. Senator Garrett.

### SENATOR GARRETT:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

The amendment, ma'am.

### SENATOR GARRETT:

Thank you. Like many of my colleagues, I wanted to look at the whole picture. So I held two hearings, one in Lake County and one in Cook County. The first one in Lake County I invited ComEd, CUB and others. And we had a lively discussion - really, it was ComEd and CUB going back and forth arguing on technicalities. But it was the hearing that I had recently in Cook County where we had - standing room only - condominium residents who are telling us that they weren't even aware of the fact that their rates in common areas of condominiums had changed from residential to commercial, thus bumping up their rates sometimes a hundred percent. ComEd, to their credit, decided that they would do something about this. And I think my overall goal - and I think yours, too - is to provide some immediate relief for our constituents. And to that point, ComEd has sent us a letter stating that, effective the next bill, for all the condominium owners in the State who have witnessed this reclassification of residential to commercial, they will get an

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

immediate credit on their bill. And then when I asked them what happens when you go ahead and you file for this official change with the ICC, they've also put it in the letter - which I have a copy, which I'd be happy to distribute - that they will also cover those credits until they get final approval from the ICC. So, the point I guess I'd like to make is that this isn't a onesize-fits-all issue, that there really is a difference between Ameren and ComEd. ComEd has opened the door. They have asked us what we need, what our constituents need and they have complied. Is it everything that we want? No. But when you look at it, Ameren has done just the opposite. They've closed the door. And so, for us, I think it's unfair really to equate Ameren and ComEd as one in the same. They are very different. And I hope that when we look at this amendment, we're not penalizing or punishing ComEd because of the arrogance and insensitivity of Ameren. And I would ask that we vote No.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Senator. Further discussion on Amendment No. 3. Senator Crotty.

### SENATOR CROTTY:

Thank you very much. When first hearing about the rate increase back toward the summertime, I had that knee-jerk reaction of I'll make sure that we need to extend this freeze. And then I came down to Springfield and I was one of those who sat till 2:35 in the morning listening to the testimony in the hearing in the House. If you recall, this Session I brought a water bill to the Floor of the Senate. And, in caucus when I heard from my downstate colleagues, it reminded me of the same situation that I had in my district - a company that, in fact,

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

didn't listen to their consumers, certainly didn't call back the Legislators in trying to work out a -- a situation with their billing. I've heard from many of you on both sides of the aisle about another water company that wasn't treating their consumers like that. And, I continued to work on that bill to make sure that the culprit is really held responsible. And, I really see this Amendment No. 3 being much of the same. recognize those companies that understand us as Legislators, as people who were elected to stand up for our constituents. I've never been a huge proponent of ComEd. But in all the discussions that we have had with that company, I would have to say they listened and they worked with us. Ameren, on the other hand, has not. And, they remind me quite a bit of the situation that I had in my district. What happens as we extend another freeze? years ago, I was a newly elected Legislator and I can tell you I probably - 'cause I don't remember taking the vote on the freeze - but I probably voted for this freeze, and I thought I was a hero when I went home. And, here, ten years later I think to myself, what could have I been thinking - holding a freeze for ten years. Now, we look at different bills. Freeze for another year. Freeze for three years. We're still going to be sitting here with the same situation that we're in today. The idea of having competition is what we should really be looking for. That's really what we're here to do. I know that. And my understanding in the many discussions that I've had, we have a couple of -- of companies that are looking to come in and compete in the State of Illinois. But, they will not do that if we artificially keep those prices down. So sometimes, and most times, as a Legislator, we really need to look at the facts.

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

Fred and Ethel at home may not have all the information that we do have, but when I go home I'm certainly -- and I've already started with Fred and Ethel to make sure that -- to educate them on this very, very confusing situation that we are -- are looking at today. So, in that vein, I hope that all of us remember, we need to really do the right thing in this particular instance. And I would ask for a Yes vote -- a No vote on this amendment. A Yes vote on the bill.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Senator Crotty. Ladies and -- Gentleman, WTTW requests permission to videotape the proceedings. Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Discussion on Floor Amendment No. 3. Senator John Sullivan.

### SENATOR SULLIVAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I think, without a doubt, this is the most difficult issue that I, and I'm sure that many if not most of my colleagues, have faced since serving in this Body. I do believe -- and it's -- it's -- it's a most difficult issue because it's probably the most complex issue we've ever dealt with. And the time and the energy that it takes to try to understand the -- the complexity of it is -- is enormous. I do believe that we need to have a comprehensive discussion about energy costs in the State of Illinois. Energy is not a local issue. It's not a State issue. It's a national issue. The price of energy deals with supply and demand. We have not to this point - and I want to thank my good friend and colleague, Senator Clayborne, for his hard work on putting together a package, he has worked tirelessly to do that - but we still have not had a comprehensive discussion on this issue. We have not

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

brought the House, the Senate, Democrat, Republican, the utility companies, the consumer groups to the table and had that discussion as of yet. That needs to happen before we have real competition in the State of Illinois. I don't know what's going to happen with this vote we're about to take, but I'm convinced that if this amendment is not adopted, that that will not allow us to have that discussion. I ask for your support of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Further discussion? Senator Schoenberg.

### SENATOR SCHOENBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in strong support of the And I do so echoing those sentiments of colleagues, acknowledging the tireless efforts Clayborne, who has taken this game into the eighth inning, but I think now needs to hand the ball to the closer. And here's specifically what I mean. Our primary goal should be to provide the Attorney General with as much leverage as possible to seek the most favorable outcome for the consumers of Illinois. I have great regard for our individual and collective talents in working through some of the most complex issues that we face, such as this one. However, we are inherently limited within this venue because we do not have the detailed, nuanced and proprietary information that's available if this issue moves out of this venue, where arguably one could say it is a path of lesser resistance, to a different venue. So, therefore - because the Attorney General inherently has more tools to access that proprietary and confidential financial data in order to drive, what we all believe needs to be, the greatest level of relief for

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

Illinois consumers throughout this State, not just in downstate, not just in the Chicago metropolitan area. Therefore, I believe we should include and vote favorably for Amendment No. 3. has become an exercise in leverage. There are inherent risks being assumed by all the players and all the actors in this -- in this -- on this stage. In the case of Ameren, none of us forced them to go on an aggressive acquisition program that left them cash poor while they were consuming and scarfing up a monopoly within their market. That's a decision they made. themselves cash poor and no better was it illustrated, not just by their inability to respond effectively to severe -- to severe problems as a result of bad weather, but also as a result of the rate increases which the customers in their area have seen. the case of the Chicago metropolitan area, I will give credit where credit is due. I remember those days in the summer, the winter, throughout the year, when power outages severely hampered residential and business customers throughout the Chicago metropolitan area. And I give Commonwealth Edison a great deal of credit for investing in the infrastructure necessary to not only ensure that people had more sound and reliable electric service, but that we could all derive the economic benefits as a result of those investments. Having said all that, I believe that at this juncture we are settling for pennies on the dollar, should we exclude Commonwealth Edison from this bill. therefore, I commend Senator Forby and I urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Further discussion? Majority Leader Senator Halvorson.

SENATOR HALVORSON:

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. I was one of those Senators that asked Gary Forby -- or Senator Forby to put ComEd on the bill. I felt that was the only way, as we move through this process, that we would get true leverage. sitting through many of those hours with Senator Clayborne and some of the other Legislators, ComEd came to the table and spent hours and hours answering every single concern that we brought to I won't read the numbers again, because Senator Clayborne did a great job of that. And I know every single one of us in this room have spent many hours on both sides of the issue trying to understand what it is that would be the right thing to do. We all know that the easy way out is just to continue the agony and let someone else worry about it. But with the numbers of the money that ComEd has agreed to give us in true relief now, I feel it would be the most irresponsible thing we could do to continue the agony of doing nothing. I held several hearings and attended many, many meetings where I was able to speak on some of the meetings that I was at with ComEd. And at the end of every single one that I was at, most people were nodding in agreement. They were interested in relief now. They know that, even with a freeze, their bills are not going to go down. We don't know what would happen. And I think that we should never take the easy way out. We need to be responsible. And depending on each -- how each and every one of you feel, will depend on how the responsible way it is to do this. I believe, through the many hours of negotiation and the many people I've listened to, the responsible thing for us to do now is to not add ComEd to this bill, because they have come to the table and given us the relief that our customers need. And it is a shame, and my heart goes

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

out to every single person who has Ameren as their -- electric company, because of the things that have happened. So, please, join with me in doing the right thing to do and bear with us as we want to provide relief now and not prolong the agony and just take the easy way out. So, I also encourage a No on the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Senator. Our final Senator seeking recognition to speak on Floor Amendment No. 3, President Jones.

SENATOR E. JONES:

Thank you, Mr. President. I really did not plan to speak on this issue, but after listening to my colleagues - and I agree with Senator Schoenberg, John Sullivan, about the issue and the complexities of this issue. The framers of the Constitution of the State of Illinois felt that this issue, as it relate to utilities and rates, should not be politicized. They recognized that those individuals who stand for office is going -- most of them will say what the people want to hear, even though in many instances they recognize that it may not be true. This issue has been before the Commerce Commission. And I agree with Jeff Schoenberg, he said that -- the Attorney General, the -- the spokesperson for the people - you don't have to speak, John --Schoenberg, but I always like to recognize you - they don't speak for the people. But what he did not tell you, that this issue has been in the courts -- this issue alone, by the Attorney General, about fourteen or fifteen times. And in each instance, they've lost. In each instance, they have lost. So, we, the Members of the Legislature, should act responsibly to do what we believe is best and not what we feel is the most popular thing to

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

do. I was one of those who probably voted for that freeze bill, ten years ago. I admit now that that was a big mistake. framers of that bill, who is now pushing for the freeze, should have included in there the cost of doing business. It was not included in there. And now we find ourselves, we're individuals playing to the cheers of the crowd. The people in the State of California, lawmakers, governors did the same identical thing. They played to the cheers of the crowd and they ran the utilities out. But they had a provision in the Constitution of the State of Illinois {sic}, a recall provision. And, those individuals who were responsible, they ran them out of office because they They told the people, you're going to get all were recalled. this and it's not going to cost you. They told the people a lie. So, we find ourselves at this crossroad - regardless to the relationship that folks had, and I know all the lies that's been written - but we must be responsible. We should do the right thing. I could have asked, which I did not, the Rules Committee, "Say, let's hold this amendment." That did not happen. Let the issue come out. Let us -- let us debate and discuss the issue. Senator Forby knew quite well what happened as it relate to this amendment, even -- even his bill. But we should not promise people something when it's not really true. And that's why I go back to the framers of the Constitution who created the Commerce Commission, recognizing that they have the professional staff to look at all of this which they did and they made decisions. The AG took 'em to court, based on their decisions, and lost every case. On the pretense of telling people you're going to get this, it not going to cost you anything, this amendment should be defeated. I want to thank my colleague, Senator Clayborne, the

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

Chairman of the Energy and Environment Committee {sic}, for doing a great job trying to bring everyone together to try to deal with the issue. But, we must at one time -- some time begin to act responsibly. I urge a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Senator. Senator Forby, to close, sir. SENATOR FORBY:

Thank -- thank you, Mr. President. I agree. What James Clayborne's done - he's done a excellent job. He throwed a bunch of numbers out there in front of you. Can anybody in this room tell me that's enough numbers? What's going to happen when the money runs out? What's going to happen to your senior citizens? What's going to happen to the people making four hundred dollars -- twelve hundred dollars a month? What's going to happen then? Have they been truthful to everyone in this room? I'd like to hear that - one hand stick up there and tell me that. people came to me. If it wasn't for me putting a freeze on their bill, they would have never got what they asked for. wouldn't have got one dime of what they asked for. Now that they got what they want, the hell with Forby. I need your help. I tried to help them. I'm asking for your help to help me. I got people in my district needs help bad. You got people in your district needs help and you will be getting them calls. there will be robocalls, but not now, it will be election times. And they said there's been some words about me and President That is not right. He's my President and I think the world of him. We got two different districts here. We got a north and the south. People, this -- this is -- this is not right. How can you believe? Think about it. Think about what's

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

going to happen. Have you seen anything in writing? One thing I learned in Springfield, you don't do nothing, unless you get it in writing. Has anybody studied this? Has anybody looked at it? Are you just going to say -- does lawyers -- when they -- they just take agreements when they go in to do a case? No, they don't do that. They have court reporters there. They have something to back up on. What do we have to back up on? we going to go? What's going to happen when you get them phone When we run out of money? My bill is a year long, calls? people. They've already agreed that they got a long ways to go. They've got a long ways to go to get where they're going. In a year from now, they get it all together, say bring it to us. Here it is people. Read it. Take it home to your people. That's what I want to do. My people does not believe it. went too long. If we'd have got this done in January, it'd probably been fine. I've always said I was against the freeze. I'm still against the freeze. But I don't have nothing in writing. What can I go home and show my people? You know, most every Rep in this room that's -- and in your district voted for the freeze. Talked about Lisa Madigan. They ran her down pretty good today. She's our Attorney. She thinks a freeze is the right thing to do. The Governor thinks a freeze is the right thing to do. That might hurt me saying that, but that might -but the Governor thinks the freeze is the right thing to do. People, I mean, this -- I mean, I know it is funny, but it's serious. When you get the phone calls that I'm getting at home, I'm getting ninety-five percent of my phone calls and don't believe that you're not going to start getting some of 'em. you think you're not, you just wait and see. ComEd needs to be

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

on this bill. We need to work together. And if we don't work together, you're going to see some big time issues. James Clayborne's a fantastic friend of mine. He's done a heck of a job. We went too long without doing something. The people says, enough is enough. Gary, they call me, January and February, what are you doing? What are you doing? We're in negotiations. We're in negotiations. We're trying to work this out. I went to most of the negotiations. I'm a little upset on the negotiations, 'cause I thought more people should have been involved in the negotiations. You know, ComEd, I can understand where the ComEd people come. You know, several negotiations -we never had nobody from ComEd in negotiations, when we first I mean, every one of us got two hundred thousand people in our district. You have to answer for them. Up till four days ago, I was pulled this way, pulled this way, and pulled. I've lost a lot of sleep over this. This is the hardest thing I ever done. I still say the freeze is wrong, but we're not there yet. You got to take care of your people. That's what they elected you for. So let's work together. Let's keep ComEd in here. Let's go back to the table. Let's don't quit. One year's not very long. We can work something out. I'm ready to work something out. But let's do the right thing. Let's put ComEd back on this bill. Thank you.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Okay. Ladies and Gentlemen, the sponsor of the Floor Amendment No. 3, Senator Forby, has requested a roll call vote on this motion. So, Ladies and Gentlemen, the question is, shall Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1592 be adopted. All those in favor will vote Aye. All those opposed will vote Nay. The

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 33 Ayes, 24 Nays. The amendment is adopted. Okay. A motion has been filed by Senator Hendon, voting on the prevailing side to reconsider the vote on Amendment No. 3. The filing of this motion suspends the adoption of Amendment No. 3. As there are no further Floor amendments are approved for consideration, Senator Forby, do you wish to proceed with your bill in its current form, sir? Senator Forby.

I think most of the people knows how I feel in here, talking about the amendment. I'll tell you one more time, that I'm not

for the freeze, I think we ought to have something worked out.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Forby, just a moment, sir. Senator Righter, are you seeking recognition, sir?

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

SENATOR FORBY:

Mr. -- I am, Mr. President, thank you. Inquiry of the chair, if I might. It's my...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

State your inquiry, sir?

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you. It's my understanding that there is a motion to reconsider that is on file already. Is that right?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

It was just filed with the Clerk. That's correct, sir. SENATOR RIGHTER:

Are we going to have a vote on that motion, Mr. President? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

No. Senator, as you know, under Rule 17 {sic} of our Rules, the suspension of the -- amendment automatically occurs when the motion is -- was -- is filed, sir. Senator Righter.

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Then, I would make a motion to table the motion to reconsider, please. We'd like -- we'd like a roll call on that motion, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Sure. Senator, would you be so kind to submit your motion in writing, please?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Now, Senator Forby, if you wish to proceed with your bill, sir. Senator Forby. Senator Forby. Senator Righter, are you seeking recognition again, sir?

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

I am, Mr. President. The Republicans would request an immediate caucus, please, in Senator Watson's Office.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Okay. Prior to your request for a caucus, sir, we just want to finish up one little point here. Senator Forby, do you wish to proceed on your bill? Is there -- Madam Secretary, are there any further amendments to -- approved for consideration, ma'am? SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

No further amendments reported.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Forby, what is your -- do you wish to proceed, sir? The bill goes to 3rd Reading, Madam Secretary.

### SENATOR FORBY:

I want to -- I want to proceed with my motion on the 3rd

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

Reading.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

The -- the -- the bill -- the bill is on the Order of -- 3rd Reading. Madam Secretary, 3rd Reading. Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Mr. President, it's my understanding that a request for a caucus is always in order. And...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Yes, it is, sir.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

I mean, I -- I appreciate...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Yes, it is, but... Senator, absolutely. That request has never been refused ever. We're in the middle of a bill. And I don't ever recall in this Chamber, in the middle of a -- of a bill, that we broke and asked for a caucus. Now we'd like to finish up this bill and we can -- can -- we'd -- we'd honor your request, sir. Senator Righter.

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

Mr. President, the rules don't say that a caucus -- a motion for a caucus is always in order when the Chair decides to get around to it, with all due respect. A motion for a caucus is always in order at the time it is made. Now, I appreciate, kind of, the procedural machinations that we're going through here, Mr. President, and with the filings of the motions and issues like that...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

I respect...

SENATOR RIGHTER:

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

The Senate Republicans...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Righter, I respect your request. Let me finish the bill and we will honor your request, sir. Senator Forby, the -- the -- the -- the bill is on 3rd Reading. Do you wish to proceed, sir?

SENATOR FORBY:

Can I have a -- a answer of the Chair? Inquire.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Inquiry of the Chair, sir?

SENATOR FORBY:

Is my amendment part of this bill now?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

No, it is not, sir. Senator Forby.

SENATOR FORBY:

Don't you think this is a little ridiculous?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Forby, if you wish to leave this bill in its present form, we'll pass and go on to the next bill, sir. If you'd like to call -- proceed with your bill as it is intact, you have that right, sir. So my request for the last time, Mr. -- Senator Forby, would you like to proceed with the bill in its current form, sir, or would you like to hold it on 3rd Reading? SENATOR FORBY:

Can -- can I ask you how this bill got to 3rd Reading?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Forby, are you seeking leave of the Body to return your bill to 2nd Reading? What is the bill sponsor's request, sir? ...the Chair -- what was indicated to the Chair that you

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

wanted it moved to 3rd Reading. If you -- the bill sponsor, if you'd like -- if your request, as the bill sponsor, you'd like it to remain on 2nd Reading, that could -- that would be fine, sir. Senator Forby.

### SENATOR FORBY:

I would like to leave this on 2nd Reading for now.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. The bill will remain on 2nd Reading. Now... Okay. So... We have a request from Senator Burzynski for a Republican Caucus. Is that correct? Senator Watson, are you still proceeding... Senator -- Senator Righter.

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

Yes, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

The -- is your request still in...

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

It sure is, Mr. President. Absolutely.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Okay. So the Senate Republicans request a caucus in -- in Leader Watson's Office immediately, for approximately...

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

Twenty minutes, please, Mr. President.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Twenty minutes. We will -- the Senate will stand in recess to the call of the Chair. At... And just -- Ladies and Gentlemen, once again, just for clarification -- Ladies and Gentlemen, can I have your attention, please? Madam Secretary, please ensure the sponsor's request for Senate Bill 1592 is on the Order of 2nd Reading. ...Secretary. The Senate will stand in recess to call of

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

the Chair, or 2:15 - the hour of 2:15. Thank you.

(SENATE STANDS IN RECESS/SENATE RECONVENES)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

The Senate will come to order. There's been a request by Illinois Issues, permission to take still pictures. Hearing no objection, leave is granted. State Journal-Register also makes the same request to take still photos. Leave is granted. Okay, Ladies and Gentlemen, continuing on Senate Bills 3rd Reading, on page 39 of your Calendar is... Senator Righter, for what purpose do you seek recognition, sir?

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

A inquiry of the Chair, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

State your inquiry, sir.

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

With regards to Senate Bill 1592, am I correct that procedurally there is a motion to reconsider Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 1592? Is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Yes, sir. Senator Righter.

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

I would request a roll call vote on that motion, please. The motion to reconsider.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

That motion is not before us at this time, sir. It was... SENATOR RIGHTER:

What motion's not before us, Mr. President?

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

The motion that you -- the motion that was filed, sir, was a motion in writing that will be put on the Calendar, just like your motion in writing. That's not before us right now, sir. We're on Senate Bills 3rd Reading. Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

I would move to go to that order of business, Mr. President, and have a roll call on that motion to reconsider, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

We'll get -- we'll get back with you in a little bit on that, sir. Senator Forby, on Senate Bill 1592, sir. Senator Forby.

SENATOR FORBY:

Can -- can I move it to 3rd Reading?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

You may, sir. 3rd Reading. Madam Secretary, read the bill. SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Senate Bill 1592.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Forby, on Senate Bill 1592, sir.

SENATOR FORBY:

This has been some day. I -- I guess if I live to a hundred years old, I never will forget this day. And here's one for the team. This bill now, I guess, has not got ComEd on it, which I went over and aboard for the ComEd people so they could get what they want. And we all know where this bill's going to go. Very, very simple - we know where it's going to go, every one of us in

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

this room. Nobody has to say anything, where this bill's going to go and what it's going to do. We all know it. When this bill passes out of here, what happens? Everybody in this room knows what's happened. It goes over to the House. ComEd is put on it. Comes back here and it's dead in Rules. Dead in Rules. Can I get much plainer than that? '07, '07 - what a year. What a year. People, I ask for your vote on this Ameren bill...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Senator.

### SENATOR FORBY:

No matter what -- no matter what happens, I would appreciate the people that did vote with me on this, because I think they know the issues that I'm going through. You know, one thing as a Legislator you do, and I've been pulled back and forth the last thirty days, you got to take care of your people. And, you -- a lot of people ain't been hit with a hundred percent, two hundred percent, three hundred percent. I mean, we got senior citizens every place in the State of Illinois. Does anybody care about our seniors? Does anybody care that that person makes four hundred dollars, twelve hundred dollars a month? Does anybody care about them? I came up the hard way, Ladies and Gentlemen. I never had nothing ever gave to me. I know what it's like. I never had a silver spoon. I understand what them poor people are going through. And our kids - what about our kids in a house? You have to turn the heat down to forty degrees. This is not fair, Ladies and Gentlemen. I'm going to tell you, right now. This is not fair. This is going to come back. This is not going to go away. And I feel for some people. This is wrong. for an Aye vote. Thank you.

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Watson. SENATOR WATSON:

Yes, thank you very much, Mr. President, and I'm -- I -- I'm sorry that you were in the Chair when that debacle took place just a moment ago. I've been in this process -- got elected in 1978, came over here in 1982. I've never, ever seen anything like was just witnessed. And, I feel bad for you, because you've been fair. You've been the kind of guy that we can -- we can work with and you've been fair to us. And, I'm sorry that you were in the Chair to be a part of that. Never -- it -- to -- to deny a motion, Mr. President, you denied a motion from Senator Righter. And I feel bad - Gary, I don't know how in the world you can move ahead with this bill. John Sullivan got up on the Floor a moment ago and said this bill is nothing without Commonwealth Edison in it. And, Gary, you have to realize that this is a sham. It's a sham. I've never -- this issue -- we've dealt with this and -- Dale Risinger, our staff, Scott Foiles, Senator Clayborne, you, Gary, have worked on this and we wanted to be a part of this. We said last spring that we ought to deal with this issue. We said last spring, don't let January 1st, 2007 come and go without some sort of recognition of the problem that's going to occur. I talked to the President of the Senate. I talked to Speaker of the House. Nothing. Nothing. It -- this is politics at its worst. It is truly -- has nothing to do with what might happen in the House; it's what's going to happen when it comes back from the House. We were willing participants. We would have been willing to sit down and discuss and talk about decisions that needed to be made. We didn't want to see --

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

interrupted service to the people -- electric power to the people we represent. We understand the needs of the industry. personally didn't think a freeze was a good idea. I said that publicly and still do to this day. But, were we involved? No. Were meaningful discussions taking place in which everyone had the opportunity to put something on the table and give and take? No. No, this is -- somebody said the easy way out - I heard that from one of the Senators over there. Rates should not be politicized, I heard from the Senate President. What do you think we're doing here? What do you think this is all about? It is political. That's exactly what's going on right now. only way this bill would have meant anything, meant anything at all, would have been Commonwealth Edison included. Thirty-three people, thirty-three people voted in the affirmative on this Floor of the Senate to allow that amendment to go on. And to have the charade taken place by the President of the Senate sitting in the Chair and not allowing that amendment to go forward is a denial of the people of this State. Thirty-three of us on this Floor voted Yes. It's a sad day, Mr. President. It is a sad, sad day. And who loses, ultimately, when this kind of charade goes on? The people - the people that we're sent here to represent. How can we in downstate Illinois in which Ameren is our -- is our provider -- we vote, we'll support this, but we know full well that this means absolutely nothing. And, Gary, you shouldn't be a part of this. Senator, you should -- after what happened to you thirty minutes ago, you should not be a part of this. It is truly a sad day.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Senator Jacobs, further discussion, sir.

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

### SENATOR JACOBS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in strong support of Senator Forby's bill. You know, it's always darkest before the dawn. We don't always get what we want in this Body. But, I, for one - to my colleagues across the aisle - am a little tired of judging what the leadership in the other House is going to do with our bills. You know, the problem isn't Senator Emil Jones; the problem is Speaker Mike Madigan. If Mike Madigan can't make a decision, then he ought to get out of the way and let Gary Forby run his bill. But, what we're doing now is placating what the Speaker's going to do. I don't care what the Speaker's going The fact is for twenty-two years that man's been running this place. Times have changed. They're changing. Now, I'm going to get in a lot of trouble for speaking my mind, but I don't care, because somebody needs to say it. This is a -- this is a Body that's run by Senator Emil Jones, the House is run by Speaker Mike Madigan, the Governor's Office is -- is run by Rod Blagojevich. The three -- and Jimmy DeLeo -- the three men ought to get together -- the three men ought to get together - and maybe that's part of the problem is there ought to be a woman in there too - but they need to get together and figure out where this State is going, because if the leadership in this State doesn't have the courage to run it, then the Membership will. And, Gary Forby, I want you to know the people in your district should be very proud of you and respect you for what you've done. You've worked very hard to solve their problems. Now, I don't think you have single Ameren customer in your district -- or excuse me -- a single Commonwealth Edison customer in your district. I don't know what makes you feel that you're

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

responsible for ComEd, when your district is Ameren. I salute you for what you've done and I would encourage people in your district to get on their telephones and call the Speaker of the Illinois House, because that's where your problem is, sir.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Senator. Senator John Jones.

SENATOR J. JONES:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

...the bill, sir.

SENATOR J. JONES:

You know, as I've witnessed what's gone on here today, and -- and this is thirteen years that I've been around here. I've not been around as long as you have, Mr. President, or Senator Watson, Senate President Emil Jones, but I've been around here long enough to know that this is the worst day that I have ever seen in the Illinois General Assembly. The charade that has gone on here today - and any Member, I don't care whether you're Democrat or Republican - that when a Member is treated like Senator Forby has been treated today is absolutely ridiculous. And, I've heard Members on your side of the aisle over there say the very same thing, since we came out of caucus. You know, this is not going to solve the problem. And, Senator Jacobs, you can -- you can preach all you want to about this bill - whether it will be heard, or won't be heard over in the House. We all know what's going to happen. Every one of us knows that this bill is going to go over to the House - it's going to be voted out of here favorably today. It's going to go over to the House, and either Speaker Madigan will sit on it, or he will amend it with

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

ComEd in it. And then he will send it back over and it'll go to Rules and it will never see the light of day again. I'm very disappointed in the other side of the aisle over there, because I've talked with Senator Clayborne, I've talked with Senator Forby, I've talked a little bit with Senator Sullivan. quite frankly, I think if we had all been in the negotiations that's gone on behind closed doors, if the Republicans had been included - not only the Senate Republicans, but the House, Senate would have all been included - we could have worked something out. But, the bad thing about it is we all knew this a year ago. And, then we came up here in Veto Session and the volleyball started. You know, one Chamber volleys over a bill of theirs and the other Chamber sends one over here. And, nothing's voted on in either Chamber with -- with the same bill. The Governor has showed a real lack of leadership in this deal, because, quite frankly, I remember when he was campaigning last year for reelection and he said, you know, if this doesn't get resolved, I'll call a special Session. Well, I have written him three letters and I still have not heard from him. I know Senator Silverstein back there has written him also and has never heard from the Governor. You know, where is the leadership in this building from the Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House? It's time that we got to work and resolved this issue for the people back home. And I don't mean just the people in the 54th Senate District, or Senator Forby's district, the 59th District, I mean the people of this entire State. Because you people with -- with ComEd, wait till you turn the air conditioners on, you ain't seen nothing yet. When the air conditioners comes on and those bills start rolling in and we

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

still haven't resolved the problem, you're going to be getting the phone calls that we've been getting downstate, only it's going to be about the high cost of electricity for your air conditioners. This is a very sad day in the General Assembly, extremely sad, and, you know, I -- I look around and there's TV cameras up there, they've witnessed all this. Quite frankly, each and every one of you ought to show the entire show, don't just edit it out and show a couple thirty-second clips. Show the whole thing so the people in the State of Illinois will have witnessed what went on here today. You're the eyes for them; let 'em see what happened here today. This is a disgrace. Thank you.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

...Senator. WCIA Channel 3 here in Springfield is asking leave to videotape the proceedings. Seeing no objection, leave is granted. Majority Leader Halvorson, for further discussion, ma'am.

### SENATOR HALVORSON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. You know, there's been a lot of discussion today and presumptions of what's going to happen to this bill once it goes over to the House. I think we need to make sure that people understand the necessity and what's happened to the Ameren customers. That once it goes over to the House we should not allow this bill to be kept, held hostage. That the Speaker needs to understand and everybody should be calling the Speaker to make sure he knows that this bill needs to be called, because Gary Forby, our great Senator in southern Illinois, has worked so hard for the people of his district. We have to make sure that this bill is heard over in

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

the House and should never be held hostage. With respect to the meetings and not being invited, I invited myself into those meetings. They were open. I don't think Senator Clayborne told anybody they could not attend. And as we went in there and it grew and more people were there, we added our two cents worth. And I knew somebody had to be in there, a Senator who had ComEd in their region and that's why I went. But right now, we have a bill at hand that addresses a very, very important issue and its Ameren customers and what's happened to them. It needs to be passed over to the House and it needs to be called in the House as is. Let's not be presumptuous thinking that it's going to come back a different way. Let's just hope for the best and make sure that it's called over there the way it is, so that Ameren customers can get the need -- the relief that they need. encourage everybody to not only pass this over to the House, but when it gets over there, to hold the Speaker's feet to the fire to make sure that the Ameren bill is called the way it needs to be.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Further discussion? Senator Dillard.

### SENATOR DILLARD:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'm sorry that this issue has become a political one. But I rise as a lawyer with just a couple of suggestions and questions, as this bill moves over to the House, for Senator Forby and -- and Jim Clayborne, Senator Clayborne who's done a very good and noble job trying to negotiate some type of conference here. On pages 173 and 174 of the bill {sic}, there are provisions that amend and modify the original deregulation act from the mid-1990s. If Senator Forby's

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

bill - the one that's before us today - becomes law and is found unconstitutional, it may revive the original non-severability act from the one law that we passed in the 1990s, which literally leaves us with no law. And if we have no law and we revert - and this is the kicker here - we revert to the law that we had back in the mid-1990s. We have had a lot of divestiture by utility companies and the game - like it has in the telephone industry has greatly changed and there will be no way to have an electrical distribution system in the State of Illinois. And, literally, it could be like a California situation where you could have just chaos with respect to the electrical power distribution in the State of Illinois. So I just urge you, Senator Forby and Senator Clayborne, as this baby goes over to the House - and this will not be the final bill we're going to vote on this spring - you need to think that particular legal And I was not comfortable voting for the issue through. Commonwealth Edison amendment that we voted on that's been wiped out by the parliamentary procedure here. But it was something that was out there and sometimes we cast votes for statements. But, I will say this, I do believe that this particular bill has a special legislation constitutional problem. And in the future, somebody better think about whether or not this is special legislation. I believe it is, because it only applies to one particular power company and I think you're setting yourself up for some -- some court - a big one across the street - to find this law, if it passes in this form, unconstitutional. So, I hope, as we go forward here, this isn't a political decision. I -- I agree with the Senate President that we need to look at this. This is a major public policy issue and I hope we take a

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

couple of steps back and -- and try to have a process that allows us to focus on public policy here rather than politics. But, Senator Forby, I'm for your bill. I rise in support of it. But I just want you to think those two issues through with lawyers and with Senator Clayborne, so that whatever we pass here isn't going to lead us into some type of situation like California where we have chaos in our electrical distribution system. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Senator -- Dillard. Continuing on discussion on Senate Bill 1592, Senator Lauzen.

#### SENATOR LAUZEN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would just like to state for the record for my constituents back home that today the Democrat Senate Leadership has frustrated the will of the Senate majority and has maneuvered a premeditated parliamentary scheme that will cost every utility-paying constituent in the Commonwealth Edison region...

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Lauzen, may I interrupt please? Under the rules that govern this Body, remarks on debate must be confined to the issue, the pending question on the bill, the merits of the bill. So please keep your remarks confined to the four corners of Senate Bill 1592, sir. Thank you. Senator Lauzen.

#### SENATOR LAUZEN:

Mr. President, I appreciate that. I'm -- I'm a little confused by that, because I know that it's well-intentioned advice. We're talking about utility rates in Illinois. I would ask folks to remember that thirty-three out of fifty-nine, a

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

clear majority of this Body representing twelve and a half million people in the State of Illinois, a clear majority of these Senators, have voted to include an amendment, but that was overruled by a powerful few who have the State Senate government in their clenched fists.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Senator Silverstein, for further discussion, sir.

#### SENATOR SILVERSTEIN:

I'll make it extremely brief. Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Forby, thank you very, very much for putting on the amendment adding on ComEd. It's unfortunate, what happened today. You can go back home with your head up high, 'cause I'm sure this bill is going to get out of here. However, I'm very displeased with the shenanigans that went on today. You were -- we were out-maneuvered. And, yes, some people might have won the battle today, but I think a war is going to continue on...

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Silverstein, let me just -- let me just remind you, like I did Senator Lauzen, to the debate on the bill, the merits. You'll debate the merits on the bill, sir. Senator Luechtefeld, for further discussion, sir?

#### SENATOR LUECHTEFELD:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. You know, I'm always amazed at the spin that people put on something. And -- and I thought, how can you spin this one - that it's going to be somebody else's fault? But, all of a sudden, it's the Speaker's fault. It's amazing. We have a bill sitting here, I think, that's a -- a freeze bill from the -- from the House, I

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

think, that's sitting here that we've not done a thing with. There's no way you can spin this one, am I wrong? I -- I -- I don't see how you can spin it any differently. The second thing is, even if this -- even if Senator Forby's bill would have happened, it would have passed and it would have gone to -- to the House and the House passed it, and the Governor would have held a big news conference saying how great a job he did to freeze our rates, there probably would have been a lawsuit which would have stopped that. And, unless the President and Speaker and the Governor were willing to come together, nothing was going to happen anyway. And it's -- it is, I think, the perfect example of the worst political game I've seen since I've been here. So, thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

...you, Senator. Further discussion? Senator Koehler. SENATOR KOEHLER:

for me to enter this discussion at this -- this point, because I think we're talking about the wrong thing. And I'm going to try to change the tone of this a little bit, because, so far, what we've had is a political discussion about whether we should add ComEd or whether we should, you know, send this over to the House in one way or another. And I want to try to bring us back to really the -- what I think is the central issue and that is should we pass a freeze bill or not? And, so, if you'd just, you know, give me the -- the courtesy of -- of hearing my argument out, let me just state why I think that passing a freeze bill at all - whether you have ComEd on it or not - is really not the

right thing. And, Senator Luechtefeld does it -- it -- is

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. It's tough

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

a little bit on just what you said. First all, there is a misnomer that if we pass a freeze bill - if both houses would pass and the Governor would sign it - they would roll rates back to 2006 rates. I don't think that's true. The folks that I've talked about have indicated that what that'll do is really send it to federal court. And the courts will decide on what happens with this. And we lose complete control of it, don't we? And I think we've all gotten caught up in this, because -- and there was a -- Senator Risinger knows this - I made a statement a few weeks ago. And, I said, "I'm not going to be supporting the freeze." And my picture was on the front page of the Journal Star saying, Koehler, you know, backs off the freeze. And I got all kinds of calls. And it's -- and -- and I talked to everyone of them. I said, "Let me explain my perspective on this; that there really is no freeze, that a freeze is not going to give you any kind of return very soon." This is why I think that there's another strategy out there. See we passed - not we, I was not here - but what was passed in 1997 was deregulation. mistake, we should have had continued think that was а regulation, but, nonetheless, that's hindsight. So, we pass a deregulation bill in 1997 and then we have yet in 19 -- in 2007, have yet to have a free market where we allow competition in. Now, what kind of deregulation process is that? My wife and I just recently started a business in Peoria. And I just signed up with the Illinois Commodity -- Aggregation Association to buy electricity for our business through this cooperative approach. And this is very similar to how the twenty-eight members of the Illinois Cooperatives buy electricity. Do you-all have this publication that was given to you? There are -- there are

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

twenty-eight cooperatives in Illinois where you can buy power from some other source. I signed up for this. Our business is going to buy electricity from other -- another source other than And, I said, "Well, I'd like to also sign up, residentially, for this." And they said, "Well, we're not going to do that." And I said, "Why not?" And they said, "Well, because we don't know what the environment is going to be like in Illinois because we don't know where the freeze bill is going." And, so, we deregulate electricity in 1997 and we have yet to have a free market where we have choice. That's the issue, not whether ComEd is on a freeze bill or not. And, I say it's about time that we allow what we initially intended to -- to work, because we -- we play this game like -- like Ameren and ComEd is the only place to buy electricity. Well, the City of Springfield has -- has been immune to this whole discussion, because they have their own generating plant. And excuse me, but I'm going to vote No on this, because a freeze is not the right thing. I want choice where I buy electricity. And, right now, I don't have that choice.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Senator. Further discussion? Senator James Clayborne.

#### SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

Thank -- thank you, Mr. President. My name has been mentioned throughout. I -- I don't know where to start, because I -- I believe that back in January - even prior to January, when this issue wasn't resolved last year - I went to the President and asked the President if I could have meetings, if I could sit down and discuss this issue with the utilities, bring the

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

consumer advocates in, all those who were interested. Yet, I hear today that people felt they weren't a part. That truly bothers me, because I made everybody a part that wanted to be a part of the process. I went out and I traveled to other communities to make people a part and try to resolve this issue. Yet, I hear people saying they -- they weren't a part. There was a point in our discussions trying to resolve this issue when all the consumer groups walked away and would not come up with a solution. And, if -- I was very disappointed in the fact that they -- they walked away. And at that point in time, I was left with the fact that I had the desire to move forward. And I began to talk to the utilities, I began to talk to the generation companies, and I called them in. I threatened taxes. threatened everything that I could, to come up with a solution. And nothing that we do here in this Body that - I've been here for twelve years - has been perfect, because there's always compromise. And as a result of the compromise, as a result of what I came up with, not at one time - people knew I was negotiating - not at one time that those individuals, who I heard say today that they weren't a part, call me and say, can I come to the meetings? Now, this is what we came up with for Ameren. The first point or the first premise to resolve this problem was the people who were complaining of all electric. So the first thing we wanted to do was to bring them down to the same level as the -- as the people who heat with gas. Fifty-five million dollars we were told would bring them down to the level of everyone else. Now, this is real money. This is predictable. LIHEAP, again, as I discussed earlier, would be increased to the -- the criteria will be increased from a hundred and fifty to two

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

hundred percent of poverty, three million dollars for that. Again, that addresses the poor, low-income. Summer bill credit for seniors: 2.2 million dollars to give them some assurance during the summer that we will assist them. High bill relief fund: 2.8 million dollars, again, for those individuals who may not have qualified for LIHEAP, but still have a high bill, relief fund. And then there's a special hardship fund for -- again for residential, at three million, nonresidential, five million and LIHEAP space heating, for 1.9 million for a total of 7.2 million dollars. Because they go out again next year, there's -- for -for to buy the power, we left thirty-five million dollars open for next year - just in case, if there was a problem. real money. Now, when you plug these numbers in - and this is an actual bill from the old usage rates - the person, moving forward, paid one thousand one hundred and twelve dollars and ninety cents in a twelve-month period. Under this bill, they -if they paid -- made their payments and they qualify for everything, the difference is - from those old rates - to the current rates - with the -- with these numbers plugged in, they would pay almost -- just over a hundred and twenty-six dollars more for the next year. I've never said that this would solve the problem. I said that the calls that I was receiving -- would give immediate relief to those individuals. I don't know what's going to happen with the rate freeze. I don't know the scenarios. And nobody's discussed that, other than Senator Koehler. I don't know that if they go to federal court if the companies, utilities win then the rates are froze -- I mean then -- then there's a permanent injunction entered and the rates stay high and there's no reason, no incentive for the companies to

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

come to the table. If they lose in federal court and we're required to give them a refund, because not only does this bill talk about a one-year freeze, it says until there's competition. So, history has shown us -- history has shown us that when we keep rates low, competition will not come in. So even if the companies lose, do they go into bankruptcy? Who buys the power? Is that something that we truly, truly want to get into, or do we want to try to provide immediate relief? Now, what has happened is we've set the bar so high that, unfortunately, the people back home believe that the only solution is a rate freeze. And I'm telling you today that we have real money, real money that we can put on people's bills. And, in the bill that I talked about, that individual will receive almost eight hundred dollars in credits going back to their bill. I say to you all that we really need to do the right thing and we really need to stop playing politics and we really need to try to give our people true relief.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Further debate? Senator Risinger.

SENATOR RISINGER:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

To the bill, sir.

#### SENATOR RISINGER:

You know, the Senator said it -- it bothered him because people wasn't in -- didn't show up at the meeting or didn't come to the meeting. I was involved in the -- in the meeting that we had in East Saint Louis. And I was involved in the meeting that we had in Peoria. And I was involved in some of those meetings

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

that we had with the AG's Office, CUB, and -- and so forth. when it got down to talking about the real money, about where the generators were going to kick in and everything, we weren't involved in that. The first time I saw it was in a meeting with Frank -- in Frank Watson's Office and the Senator admitted at that time that -- that we hadn't been involved in the process. I wish we would have been involved in the process. We want to be involved in the process. Do not feel sorry for Mr. Forby, Senator Forby's been sitting in every one of Senator Forby. these meetings. Senator Forby -- all of these issues have been laid out for him, but nothing short of a freeze will solve his If that's the case, why did you sit in all the Why didn't you call the bill long ago? meetings? There isn't anything that would appease the Senator. I was amused whenever I heard from all of you over there about how ComEd gave -- had all this money and addressed all their issues and Ameren didn't do If you take a look at the numbers that Senator Clayborne gave me from their negotiations, it says to me there's seventy-two -- 72.9 million dollars that Ameren is giving forth and only about 43.7 into the ComEd area. Ameren has addressed issues. It should be, if we're -- if we're going to vote for a freeze, we ought to be freezing both utilities and not just one. I have both Ameren and ComEd in my district. This -- this is a -- a -- an issue that ought to be addressed by all of us. ought to have the Governor engaged. We ought to have the leaders engaged. But what we're doing today is a sham, because we know that it's not going to pass and it's not going to become law. There is some real money on the table and I agree with you, Senator, that we ought to be looking at that 'cause we could get

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

relief to people within three weeks. But, instead, we're going to pass a bill that we know is not going to become law and it's going to get entangled and nothing's going to happen for a long period of time. I think that -- I think it is time that we put politics aside and we start looking at what's best for the citizens of Illinois. And we take a look at where the money is to give us some rate relief. The money is not in the -- in the distribution companies. The money is over on the generation side, that's the only place there's any money and that's where the discussions have to come from. And, while this will do something -- what -- what Senator Clayborne has done and proposed, will do something for immediate, we have to look at what is best long-term, how we are going to procure electricity in the future. The reverse auction process did not provide that. We need to have discussions moving forward on how we're going to do that, because we're going to have another process coming up soon and how are we going to deal with that? Are we going to be tied up in a legal battle and -- and with no relief to the constituents? I think that's really a shame. After today, I'm going to have to go home and take a shower. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Further debate? Further discussion? Senator Haine. SENATOR HAINE:

Thank you very much, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. To the bill: Like thirty-three of my colleagues, at least, I would have preferred Commonwealth Edison to be on this bill. And being a former parliamentarian of a local government entity, I understand the power of the Chair; however,

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

it certainly is disheartening the way this was done to keep ComEd off the bill. However, that being said, to be fair, ComEd was allowed to be put on the bill for negotiating purposes. this bill was let out of Rules, with the consent of our distinguished President, for negotiating purposes. Clayborne was commissioned to lead those negotiations. And I join him in saying, I thought everyone was involved who wished to be involved. However, the negotiations did bear fruit, as Senator Risinger, I think, did admit somewhat, they bore fruit. And, it would be better, of course, for Senator Forby to have Commonwealth Edison on the bill. But that's really up to the other Chamber, whether it goes out with or without Commonwealth Edison on the bill. And, now, we have -- we are at the juncture of voting for a freeze, with all that that entails. And we've heard Senator Koehler's eloquent remarks against the freeze. like so many others here, am bowing to the wishes of constituents to vote for the freeze. And there's a bit of history here, in that, as Senator Forby pointed out quite well, the -- the Governor's for the freeze, the Lieutenant Governor's for the freeze, the Attorney General's for the freeze, CUB is for the freeze, AARP is for the freeze, almost the entire House is for the freeze. So to use the old saw, if we're so smart, why ain't we rich? And I don't have time to explain the intricacies of the freeze versus the free market to each and every one of my constituents, most of whom are angry at Ameren, as many of them will be, as is predicted, at Commonwealth Edison. They are angry at the lack of clear information about what would happen to them when the freeze ended. I will remind my constituents and this Body that this Chamber voted for a fourteen-percent cap for three

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

years, without interest, in December. And it was given to the House Clerk in December, and could have been either voted up or down or amended and sent back. The freeze was not voted on until January. So we took a substantive step, which would have been far preferable to the situation we have now, in December. that bill was the President's bill, and I supported it. We a had strong bipartisan majority in favor of that bill and that bill went -- by the boards because again people were informed that the freeze was the only answer. Where does the bill go from here? I don't know. It'll go out of here and it will go to the other Chamber and, again, that is up to the -- the -- the other Chamber and the leadership of that Chamber. If it goes out, it goes to his Excellency the Governor. Now my -- my final point here is this, that Ameren and Commonwealth Edison came to the table and made substantive offers. Irrespective of the vote on this bill, they should fulfill those offers. The idea that they're going to say this thing is still in play and we're not going to put the money on the table for the consumer, in my opinion, will further damage their very tarnished and tattered credibility. going to have to sua sponte on their own motion. Get with the generators and keep this money on the table. And put these credits in the mailbox, rather than a cut-off notice next week. That's what we're going to have to -- to see or we're going to be visiting this every week until the -- till we end this Session in June, July or whenever the hell it is. The -- the utilities are going to have to fulfill this bargain even with this bill in play, and that's what I'm asking them to do for the sake of the common good and for the sake of their own tattered reputation. Their redemption should be by their own hand, if they're going to

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

come to this Body and argue the free market and -- and how we should have competition and all those good things, they should have already given their consumers what they put on James Clayborne's desk. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Senator Haine. Our last person seeking recognition on this matter, President Jones.

#### SENATOR E. JONES:

Yeah. Thank -- thank you, Mr. President. I have served in this Body for a number of years. I served in the Majority. I served in the Minority. I certainly wish we had had some of you jumping up on the other side of the aisle when we filed motions after motions, when we were not even recognized from the Chair. On many, many occasion you sat there with your lips closed. really shocked, I'm surprised. I try to work with the other side of the aisle. I told the Minority last year, we really got to do something about education. Let's set up a select committee comprised of both -- both Senate Democrats and Republicans involved in the -- in the process and at the conclusion of those hearings held all around the State, you only could come up with one vote. On this issue -- on this issue, Senator Clayborne and I talked about this issue. And Clayborne, I give him a lot of credit. He wanted to hold the hearings, bring everybody together. I said go ahead and get the job done. Even your own Member on your side of the aisle told you he was at the meetings. I assume -- I would assume that he informed you of the meetings. So there was no attempt to exclude anyone. By admission of one of the previous speakers, he indicated that what he did as relate to those customers in the Ameren area to get the generators and

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

the -- the -- the generation companies, as well as the Ameren company itself, to come up with resources to give the people immediate relief. I agree with the -- Minority Leader. He said he's really opposed to freeze, but you must have the courage to vote your conviction, not play to the cheers of the crowd. don't believe the freeze is the proper way to go. I heard so much talk about the other Chamber. We, on a bipartisan basis, did pass legislation that would phase in the increases, with a cap, over three years. The people in your community downstate would not have those -- would not have had three or four hundred percent increases in their rates. So, what did the House do They didn't think that was good enough. The House is the reason why those rates are so high for many of the people I look at the proposal. Many members in the ComEd downstate. customer area looked at what Senator Clayborne had worked out. They like it. I had suggested to Senator Forby, he -- he's indicated that he -- we talked about this. I say, well what Senator Clayborne has worked out is good. But individuals playing to the cheers of the crowd set the bar so -- so high, increase expectations amongst people that's not going to happen. If this bill passed and became law in its current form, the consumers are not going to feel any -- any relief today, next month, by the end of the year. So why the charade? Why the pretense? Why continue to go through the process? intend to vote for the bill, 'cause I know the freeze is not going to do what people want it to do. It's just a political ploy and we -- we must guit playing politics with the issue. issue should never be before us, 'cause we are really not equipped to deal with it. We don't have the staff or the

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

competency to deal with it -- this issue. We do not have the professional personnel to deal with it. The AG doesn't have, but the Commerce Commission does. As I indicated before, this entire issue has been before the courts of the State of Illinois at least fourteen or fifteen times. And each time, they've lost. So, we must quit giving people false hope. The legislation we passed last year should have been passed into law. And your downstate consumers would not have the problem you are faced with today. So I don't intend to support the bill just to -- to -a -- continue the charade. Mr. Minority Leader, you always are asked to be involved. But when you are involved with input, at least sometime, let's not play politics on every issue. know, so many Members on the other side know, what Senator Clayborne has done is going to benefit the consumers down in your districts. That's why you had to say you were really opposed to the freeze. They -- the people need relief today. What he's worked out will give them that relief. So I don't intend to vote for this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, President Jones. Senator Forby, to close, sir. SENATOR FORBY:

Thank you, Mr. President. What if I was to say I had some property in Florida for sale, I don't have a deed for it? Maybe this would be the place to sell it. You know, somebody said I was in negotiations. I was in negotiations. I tried to hit every one I could. I didn't get all of 'em. The reason why, I'm not satisfied for my district, what they got. Small business. Let's talk about some figures. Let's talk about small business. My small businesses -- I don't have no big factories in my

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

district. Small business - went up a hundred and some-odd percent. They said, "We're going to do you a favor, Gary. We're going to drop it down. We're only going to charge them fifty percent." Small business, paid two thousand dollars a year light bill, paying three thousand, thirty-five hundred now, that's got five or six people working. So, what about them? No, I wasn't satisfied with that. Some people said I was in negotiations. Yes, I was. I am not satisfied with what's on the table. And I think it should be better. And I've always said, every time, to the news people or anybody else in this room, I never was for a freeze. I think the freeze is the wrong thing. I -- I've heard some stuff in this room. I don't know how anybody else got up here, but the people in my district voted for me. I don't know how anybody else got in this room up here today. I'm going to tell you how I got here. The people made a check mark for Gary Forby. That's who I work for. Maybe some of the people don't work for the people in their district. I still work for my people in my district. I'm doing what my people said. Another thing, before I get ready to close. Have we ever passed a bill without a bill? Have we ever voted on a bill in this place without a bill? Have we ever done that? I kind of think that's what's happening today. I want to thank everybody. I appreciate what you've done. Appreciate listening to me. I ask for an Aye vote. My district needs this. We need help. Thank you very much.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Okay. Ladies and Gentlemen, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1592 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. All those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Madam Secretary, take the record. On that question, there are 35 Ayes, 20 Nays, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1592, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Okay. Ladies and Gentlemen, we will turn our Calendars continuing on 3rd Readings. We will go to page 41 of your Calendar. In the middle of page 41 is House Bills 3rd Reading. House Bill 719. Senator James Clayborne. Senator James Clayborne, do you wish to proceed on this bill, sir? He indicates he wishes to proceed. Madam Secretary, read the bill.

#### SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

House Bill 719.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Clayborne, to the bill, sir.

#### SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

Thank -- thank you, Mr. -- Mr. President. Basically, Senate Bill 719 does many things.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Clayborne.

#### SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

There are two amendments. I'm sorry. Two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

The -- the amendments were...

#### SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

But we've adopted...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

We adopted yesterday, sir.

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

#### SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

I'm sorry. I'm sorry.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

The amendments on the bill were on House Bill 3rd Reading, sir. Final passage. To the bill.

#### SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

Thank -- thank you. I'm sorry. A little excited about this bill, since people are getting shut-off notices. And that's -one -- one of the things this bill does is, it -- it delays the utilities from shutting off residential customers for nonpayment until September 1st of 2007. It also provides that utilities must respect any franchise, contract, written agreement, mutual agreement or municipal ordinance that governs tree-trimming. And it requires that the public utilities also come up with a -- or file with the Illinois Commerce Commission, a vegetation management schedule for the utility service areas that is no more than four years in duration, compensate customers affected by an interruption for four hours or more for actual damages in the event that more than .08 percent of the customers of a utility are subject to continuous power interruptions of four hours or more that result in transmission of power and less than fifty percent of the standard voltage or that results in a total loss of power transmission and they will pay penalties should they fail to honor this amended -- this -- this Act.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Okay. Ladies and Gentlemen, the question is, shall House Bill 719 pass. Is there any discussion? I'm sorry. Sorry, Senator. Senator Risinger, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR RISINGER:

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

Would the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Sponsor indicates he'll yield for a question, sir.

#### SENATOR RISINGER:

Thank you. Senator, in the bill we changed the threshold from -- from which the utility will pay compensation to people that are without power for four -- four hours and -- and that number has changed to eight-tenths of a percent of. Do -- do you know before it was in at thirty thousand, do you know what those numbers are approximately?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Clayborne.

SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

No -- no, I don't. I don't.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Risinger.

#### SENATOR RISINGER:

Well, the real question is, is -- is this going to cause the utility companies to pay compensation for minor storms, rather than major ice storms and -- and those kind of things? Because as we get into this situation now where it's very tenuous on the financial stability of some of these utilities, that becomes a real question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Clayborne.

#### SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

Yes, Senator. But what this does is this really forces the utilities to look at their manpower and make sure that they have sufficient manpower where individuals won't be -- won't have

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

their power out more than four hours.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Senator Risinger.

#### SENATOR RISINGER:

Well, thank -- thank you, Senator. To the bill: I just want to point out to this side of the aisle that what this bill really is, is a -- is an issue brought forth -- by the Illinois Brotherhood of Electrical Workers about the manpower of -- of the utilities. The -- the good thing in the bill, or a good thing in the bill, I think, is also the point that the utilities cannot shut off the electricity in April. They have to wait until September. That date has been moved forward. That's not totally good news, because some of these people won't pay their bills and they're going to have a tremendous bill in September if they don't try to get it paid. But anyway I wanted to make the Members on this side of the aisle aware of those issues. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you, Senator. Further discussion? Senator Rutherford.

#### SENATOR RUTHERFORD:

Mr. President, thank you. To comment on the bill. There was a comment in regards to the vegetation management plan that would be created here. We have created a vegetation management plan that was put into place back in 2001. It took us approximately three years to develop that with consensus of all throughout the industry and the consumer groups. This dramatically changes that. It would also put in mandatory staffing levels. If one interpolates into that, that will then

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

look at additional rate increases in going before the Commerce Commission. It sets in mandatory vegetation management time cycle, which is not necessarily following the proper arboretum standards. There's a little good in this, though, of course, with the idea of the shutoff times, and I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Senator Clayborne, to close, sir.

#### SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

Very briefly, I -- I -- I know there was a discussion about people not paying their power. Unfortunately, whenever you do something, there are those individuals that will fall within the gaps. This is designed to help those people who have not been able to afford to pay their bill, but they are paying something, because they're receiving layoff {sic} notices, we don't want them to be shut off. So I would ask for your favorable vote.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Okay. Ladies and Gentlemen, the question is, shall House Bill 719 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Madam Secretary, take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, 1 voting Nay, 1 voting -- 0 voting Present. House Bill 719, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Madam Secretary, Messages from the House, please.

#### SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

A Message from the House by Mr. Mahoney, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has adopted the following joint

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Joint Resolution No. 50.

(Secretary reads HJR No. 50)

Adopted by the House, April 20th, 2007. Mark Mahoney, Clerk of the House.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Thank you. Senator Halvorson moves to suspend the rules for the purpose of immediate consideration and the adoption of House Joint Resolution 50. Those in favor will say Aye. Those opposed will say Nay. The Ayes have it, and the rules are suspended. Senator Halvorson moves for the adoption of House Joint Resolution 50. All those in favor, say Aye. All those opposed will say Nay. The Ayes have it, and the resolution is adopted. Madam Secretary, Resolutions, please.

#### SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

Senate Resolution 148, offered by Senator Koehler and all Members.

And Senate Resolution 149, also offered by Senator Koehler and all Members.

They're both death resolutions, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

...Secretary, let those be added to today's Resolutions Consent Calendar. Thank you. Madam Secretary, any additional Messages? We will now proceed to the Order of Resolutions Consent Calendar. With leave of the Body, all those resolutions read in today will be added to the Consent Calendar. Madam Secretary, has there been any objections -- filed for any -- to any resolution on the Consent Calendar?

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

#### SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

No objections filed, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, shall the -the question is, shall the -- resolutions on the Consent Calendar
be adopted. Those in favor will say Aye. Those opposed will say
Nay. The Ayes have it. The motion carries, and the resolutions
are adopted. Madam Secretary, Messages.

#### SECRETARY SHIPLEY:

I have a Message from Jesse White, Secretary of State, dated April 10th, 2007.

Honorable Members, Illinois State Senate, 95th General Assembly - I am nominating Steve Roth to be the Director of Personnel for the Office of the Illinois Secretary of State. I - respectfully ask concurrence in and confirmation of this appointment by your Honorable Body.

Sincerely, Jesse White, Secretary of State.

I have a like Message from the Governor -- for the Governor by Joseph B. Handley, Deputy Chief of Staff of Legislative Affairs, dated March 22nd, 2007.

Mr. President - The Governor directs me to lay before the Senate the following Message: To the Honorable Members of the Senate of the 95th General Assembly, I have nominated and appointed the following named persons to the offices -- enumerated below and respectfully ask concurrence in and confirmation of these appointments of your Honorable Body. Rod Blagojevich, Governor.

I have a Message from the House by Mr. Mahoney, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

the House of Representatives has passed bills of the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Bill 981.

We've received like Messages on House Bills 1279, 1964, 3509 and 3730.

All passed the House, March 29th, 2007. Mark Mahoney, Clerk of the House.

I also have a Message from the House by Mr. Mahoney, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed the bills of the following title, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Bill 117.

We've received like Messages on House Bills 150, 196, 254, 412, 449, 486, 592, 615, 622, 678, 724, 857, 987, 1059, 1290, 1303, 1462, 1509, 1559, 1605, 1608, 1671, 1743, 1855, 1864, 1881, 1921, 1988, 2781, 3393, 3399, 3406, 3425, 3583, 3586, 3621, 3658, 3672 and 3766.

All passed the House, April 17th, 2007. Mark Mahoney, Clerk of the House.

A Message from the House by Mr. Mahoney, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to -- to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed bills of the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Bill 151.

We're received like Messages on House Bills 473, 586, 811, 950, 983, 985, 1080, 1362, 1366, 1382, 1400, 1558, 1648, 1656,

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

1711, 1718, 1963, 2786, 2787, 3022, 3327, 3455, 3512 and 3677.

All passed the House, April 18th, 2007. Mark Mahoney, Clerk of the House.

Also a Message from the House by Mr. Mahoney, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of -- Representatives has passed bills of the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Bill 42.

We've received like Messages on House Bills 129, 132, 192, 263, 271, 273, 362, 374, 502, 576, -- 652, 909, 949, 1105, 1281, 1289, 1403, 1407, 1497, 1539, 1563, 1647, 1675, 1684, 1685, 1708, 1744, 1780, 1795, 1888, 1959, 1979, 2918, 3132, 3618 and 3628. All passed the House, April 19th, 2007. Mark Mahoney, Clerk of the House.

A like Message from the House by Mr. Mahoney, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed bills of the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Bill 39.

We've received like Messages on House Bills 274, 419, 572, 614, 1242, 1286, 1639, 1759, 1832, 2858, 3383, 3638.

All passed the House, April 20th, 2007. Mark Mahoney, Clerk of the House.

And also a Message from the House by Mr. Mahoney, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has adopted the following joint resolution -- resolutions -- I'm sorry, resolution, in the

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Joint Resolution 1.

Offered by Senator Garrett and adopted by the House, April 19th, 2007.

We've received like Messages on House Joint Resolution 17, offered by Senator Martinez, adopted by the House, April 19th, 2007; House Joint Resolution 27, offered by Senator Hunter, adopted by the House, April 19th, 2007; House Joint Resolution 30, offered by Senators Bond and Dillard, adopted by the House, April 19th, 2007; and House Joint Resolution 47, offered by Senator Clayborne, adopted by the House, April 18th, 2007.

All are substantive, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

Mr. Secretary, House Bills 1st Reading, please.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 1286 -- offered by...

(House Bill 39.) (Bill within parentheses submitted in writing, but inadvertently not read into record.)

House Bill 42, offered by Senator Wilhelmi.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 50, offered by Senator Dillard.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 117, offered by Senator Syverson.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 120, offered by Senator Pankau.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 121, offered by Senator Maloney.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

House Bill 132, offered by Senator Holmes.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 150, offered by Senator Clayborne.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 151, offered by Senator Luechtefeld.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 153, offered by Senator Hultgren.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 166, offered by Senator Pankau.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 170, offered by Senator Peterson.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 192, offered by Senator Trotter.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 196, offered by Senator John Jones.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill -- 236, offered by Senator Harmon.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 254, offered by Senator Hultgren.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 270, offered by Senator Hendon.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 333, offered by Senator Link.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 335, offered by Senator Hendon.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

(House Bill 362.) (Bill within parentheses submitted in

writing, but inadvertently not read into record.)

House Bill 375, offered by Senator Cullerton.

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

(Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 412, offered by Senator Bomke. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 414, offered by Senator Jacobs. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 439, offered by Senator Dillard. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 449, offered by Senator Hultgren. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 463, offered by Senator Schoenberg. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 473, offered by Senator Cullerton. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 479, offered by Senator Righter. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 486, offered by Senator Kotowski. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 494, offered by Senator Forby. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 502, offered by Senator Forby {sic} (Sieben). (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 516, offered by Senator Wilhelmi. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 566, offered by Senator Halvorson. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 615, offered by Senator Raoul. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 616, offered by Senator Clayborne.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

House Bill 619, offered by Senator Martinez.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 678, offered by Senator Haine.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 619  $\{\text{sic}\}\ (\text{691})$ , offered by Senator Garrett.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 724, offered by Senator Hunter.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 729, offered by Senator Hultgren.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 811, offered by Senator DeLeo.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 813, offered by Senator Collins.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 830, offered by Senator Pankau.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 840, offered by Senator Millner.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 841, offered by Senator Link.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 857, offered by Senator Raoul.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 877, offered by Senator Halvorson.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 892, offered by Senator Garrett.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 909, offered by Senator Althoff.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 949, offered by Senator Hunter.

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 950, offered by Senator Hendon.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 975, offered by Senator Dillard.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 981, offered by Senator Delgado.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 983, offered by Senator Schoenberg.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 985, offered by Senator Forby.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 987, offered by Senator Frerichs.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1030, offered by Senator Garrett.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1031, offered by Senator Forby.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1041, offered by Senator Maloney.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

(House Bill 1059.) (Bill within parentheses submitted in

writing, but inadvertently not read into record.)

House Bill 1076, offered by Senator Collins.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1080, offered by Senator Sandoval.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1084, offered by Senator Link.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1105, offered by Senator Wilhelmi.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

House Bill 1233, offered by Senator Dillard.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1236, offered by Senator John Jones.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1241, offered by Senator Collins.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1289, offered by Senator -- Pankau.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill -- excuse me, House Bill 1330, offered by Senator Martinez.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1366, offered by Senator Raoul.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1382, offered by Senator Frerichs.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1391, offered by Senator Holmes.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1400, offered by Senator Righter.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1403, offered by Senator Sieben.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1407, offered by Senator Garrett.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1422, offered by Senator Munoz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1452, offered by Senator Radogno.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1462, offered by Senator Hunter.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

- House Bill 1497, offered by Senator Collins.
  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1504, offered by Senator Wilhelmi. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1509, offered by Senator Ronen.
  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1535, offered by Senator Pankau.
  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1542, offered by Senator Halvorson.
  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1553, offered by Senator Althoff.
  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1554, offered by Senator Halvorson.
  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1559, offered by Senator Lightford.
  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1562, offered by Senator Link.

  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1563, offered by Senator Sandoval.

  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1608, offered by Senator Lightford.
  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1610, offered by Senator Hendon.
  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1647, offered by Senator Cronin.
  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1648, offered by Senator Demuzio.
  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1663, offered by Senator Collins.

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1666, offered by Senator Cronin.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1671, offered by Senator DeLeo.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1673, offered by Senator Hultgren.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1702, offered by Senator Trotter.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1705, offered by Senator Murphy.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1711, offered by Senator Cullerton.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1718, offered by Senator Demuzio.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1744, offered by Senator Martinez.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1743, offered by Senator Martinez.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1778, offered by Senator Luechtefeld.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1780, offered by Senator Holmes.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1795, offered by Senator Halvorson.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1822, offered by Senator Brady.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1833, offered by Senator Demuzio.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

- House Bill 1855, offered by Senator Dillard.
  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1864, offered by Senator Hultgren.
  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1881, offered by Senator Garrett.

  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1901, offered by Senator Dillard.
  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1910, offered by Senator Garrett.

  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1919, offered by Senator Demuzio.
  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1921, offered by Senator Haine.
  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1926, offered by Senator Haine.
  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1940, offered by Senator Burzynski.

  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1959, offered by Senator Bomke.
  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1964, offered by Senator Martinez.

  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1972, offered by Senator Sieben.
  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1978, offered by Senator Althoff.
  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1979, offered by Senator Millner.
  (Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1988, offered by Senator Wilhelmi.

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2781, offered by Senator Meeks.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2782, offered by Senator Hultgren.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2783, offered by Senator John Jones.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2786, offered by Senator Silverstein.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2787, offered by Senator Delgado.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2918, offered by Senator Risinger.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3132, offered by Senator Forby.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill -- excuse me, House Bill 3327, offered by Senator Holmes.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3393, offered by Senator Raoul.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3395, offered by Senator Dillard.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3425, offered by Senator Garrett.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3452, offered by Senator Millner.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3504, offered by Senator Forby.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3509, offered by Senator Althoff.

29th Legislative Day

4/20/2007

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3573, offered by Senator Dahl.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

And House Bill 3583, offered by Senator Halvorson.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3586, offered by Senator Watson.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3614, offered by Senator Althoff.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3618, offered by Senator Kotowski.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3672, offered by Senator Hunter.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3721, offered by Senator Watson.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

And House Bill 3729, offered by Senators Althoff and Viverito.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st Reading of these House Bills.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeLEO)

...Secretary, the motions that were filed today while we were in Session will be printed on the Calendar. Is that correct, Madam Secretary? That is correct. Thank you so much. Ladies and Gentlemen, pursuant to House Joint Resolution 50, the Senate stands adjourned until the hour of 12 noon on Tuesday, April 24th, the year 2007. The -- Senate stands adjourned.