107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

PRESIDENT ROCK:

The hour of ten having arrived, the Senate will please come to order. Will the Members be at their desks, and will our guests in the gallery please rise. Our prayer this morning by the Reverend Glenn Vanderkloot, Faith Lutheran Church, Springfield, Illinois. Reverend.

THE REVEREND GLENN VANDERKLOOT:

(Prayer by the Reverend Glenn Vanderkloot)

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Thank you, Reverend. Reading of the Journal, Madam Secretary. Senator Severns.

SENATOR SEVERNS:

Mr. President, I move that the reading and approval of the Journals of Wednesday, May 13th; Thursday, May 14th; and Tuesday, May 19th, in the year 1992, be postponed, pending arrival of the printed Journals.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

You've heard the motion as placed by Senator Severns. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries, and it is so ordered. A Message from the House.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed bills of the following titles in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Bills 487, 854, 1181, 2664, 2763, 2927, 3050, 3065, 3221, 3227, 3373, 3380, 3395, 3409, 3666, 3892, 4025, 4056, 4078, 4166 and 4170.

Passed the House, May 19, 1992.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

All right. They will be placed on the Calendar on the Order of 1st Reading. Senator Mahar, for what purpose do you arise, sir? SENATOR MAHAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. If the time is appropriate, I'd like to seek leave to change sponsorship of a House Bill. House Bill 3105, which I am listed as the chief sponsor, I'd like to have Senator Tom Dunn listed as the sponsor -- chief sponsor, with me as a hyphenated co-sponsor. House Bill 3105.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Gentleman seeks leave to show Senator Thomas Dunn as the chief sponsor of House Bill 3105, and Senator Mahar as the hyphenated co-sponsor. Without objection, leave is granted. All right. With leave of the Body, we'll move to page 27 on the Calendar, and I would again point out to the Members that if indeed they have been asked to assume sponsorship by a House Member, to please let the Secretary or the Assistant Secretary know, so that we can get the bills read in. House Bills 1st Reading, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

House Bill 2120 -- pardon me, House Bill 1129 offered by Senator Leverenz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2132 offered by Senator Munizzi.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2711 offered by Senator Marovitz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2723 offered by Senator Woodyard.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2736 offered by Senators Hawkinson and Jacobs.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2858 offered by Senator Friedland.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

House Bill 2959 offered by Senator Jan Joyce.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2966 offered by Senator Jones.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3005 offered by Senator Cullerton.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3054 offered by Senator Cullerton.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3055 offered by Senator Cullerton.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3056 offered by Senator Cullerton.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3092 offered by Senator Berman.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3100 offered by Senator Cullerton.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3101 offered by Senator Ralph Dunn.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3104 offered by Senator O'Daniel.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3160 offered by Senators Rea and Holmberg.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3168 offered by Senator Maitland.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3185 offered by Senator J.E. Joyce.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3187 offered by Senator Jacobs.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3204 offered by Senator Cullerton.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3288 offered by Senator J.E. Joyce.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

House Bill 3329 offered by Senator Maitland.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3385 offered by Senator Berman.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3438 offered by Senator Jacobs.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3484 offered by Senator Etheredge.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3487 offered by Senator Rea.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3568 offered by Senators Thomas Dunn and Butler.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3585 offered by Senator Demuzio.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3599 offered by Senators Watson and Vadalabene.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3645 offered by Senator Woodyard.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3754 offered by Senator Woodyard.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3786 offered by Senators Davidson and Luft.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3808 offered by Senator Severns.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3867 offered by Senator Philip.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3971 offered by Senator Leverenz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 4107 offered by Senator Holmberg.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

And House Bill 4144 offered by Senator Berman.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

And House Bill 3374 offered by Senator Topinka.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st Reading of the bills.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Rules Committee. Resolutions.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Resolution 1287 offered Senator Karpiel.

Senate Resolution 1288 offered by Senators Madigan and all

And Senate Resolution 1289 offered by Senator Madigan and all Members.

They're all congratulatory.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Consent Calendar. All right. Ladies and Gentlemen, if I can have your attention, it is again the -- the proposed schedule is that we will get on to the Calendar and work until again approximately five o'clock, when we will then deal with the Agreed Bill List as the last Order of Business. So we will begin on Senate Bills 2nd Reading, and we will again first go through the substantive bills - the substantive bills on Senate 2nd - then we will deal with Recalls, and then we will move again to Senate Bills 2nd Reading and work on the appropriation bills. Senator Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, there's a request for a Republican Caucus in Senator James "Pate" Philip's room.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

That request is in order. All right. There'll be a Republican Caucus immediately in Senator Philip's Office. The Senate will stand in Recess for approximately one hour. We will reconvene at 11:30. Republican Caucus immediately in Senator

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Philip's Office.

(RECESS)

(SENATE RECONVENES)

PRESIDENT ROCK:

The hour of twelve-thirty having arrived, the Senate will please come to order. Messages from the House.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed bills of the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Bills 2645, 2667, 2730, 2857, 3230, 3257, 3463, 3464, 3801, 4191, 2903, 3197, 3252, 3323, 3422, 3437, 3439, 3569, 3607 and 3711.

Passed the House, May 20, 1992.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Resolutions.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Resolution 1290 offered by Senator Cullerton.

It is congratulatory.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Consent Calendar. All right. Ladies and Gentlemen, as previously announced, we will begin on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, and we'll go through the substantive bills and then go through the appropriation bills and then move to 3rd Reading. Yes. Senator Joyce, for what purpose do you arise?

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

SENATOR J.E. JOYCE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. I would like the record to reflect that I rose this day to express my gratitude and appreciation for all the kindnesses bestowed upon me by my colleagues in this Chamber during the last fourteen years. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

The record will so reflect. I would alert the Members within the sound of my voice that we're going to begin on page 2 on the Calendar, on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, and go through the substantive bills and then the appropriation bills, and then we'll move directly to 3rd Reading to handle the Recalls and final action. All right. Ladies and Gentlemen, we're going to begin on page 2 on the Calendar. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1468. Senator Jones. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1468.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Jones offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Yeah. Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate.

Amendment No. 1 classifies the definition of the practice of naprapathy. It renames Naprapathic Licensing and Disciplinary Committee as the Naprapathic Examining Committee, and requires applicants from a two-year college-level program. Creates a fee

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

schedule until 5/94. Ninety percent of the fees shall be deposited in the Naprapathic Examining Committee Fund and ten percent shall be deposited into GRF. Changes the effective date from 60 days after it becomes law to 150 days. This is what the amendment does, and I move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jones has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1468. Discussion? Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Sponsor indicates he will yield, Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Senator Jones, on the first page, I think the fourth -- about the fourth paragraph, where you're going to have referral to the naprapath - under normal past years and Supreme Court decision that -- under the Illinois Medical Practice Act, chiropractors, M.D.s and osteopaths are all physicians. And you specifically say in this, "physician, osteopath, dentist, or podiatrist." Are you, in effect, telling me you're cutting out my ability to refer a patient to a naprapath?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

That is not the intent. This is the amendment that -- that had been worked on. I just got the amendment myself, and that is not the intent, as I see it.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Well, whatever -- I agree that's not the intent, but in talking to my legal expert to my right - he says don't bring him

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

in it, but I asked -- that it could be construed. Could you -- if
this goes on, will you bring it back tomorrow and correct it?
PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Well, the intent of that particular Section is for them to receive referrals, and if there is a problem there, then we can clear it up, but that's not the intent to -- to eliminate chiropractors from referring.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Well, I -- I -- it's my understanding that was not your intent, but there's three or four different places in this proposed amendment that -- paragraph 4, paragraph 5, paragraph 6, paragraph 7, that needs to be corrected. And with that correction, I would appreciate it.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator Jones has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1468. Further discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments? SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 1479. Senator Carroll. Madam Secretary, read the bill, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1479.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

lst Reading -- pardon me, 2nd Reading of the bill. No committee
amendments.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The Members have -- I have had cause to be placed on the desk the Members the report of the special panel that was created by this Chamber and the House to deal with the pharmaceutical assistance for our fixed-income, low-income senior citizens. That panel met several times, both in Chicago Springfield, and had Members of the General Assembly, members of AARP, members of other seniors groups, members of organized labor, members of pharmacies, pharmaceuticals, and just about anybody else you could think of, both as members or participants in the discussion of how to deal with the problem that we created last in putting a cap on the amount of life-sustaining drugs our lower-income seniors were receiving from the State, in lieu of the Circuit Breaker grant. The recommendation of that panel, -- truly not unanimous, the recommendation is contained in this report, and I'll briefly go through it with you. The panel was, at least by those who were participating, unanimous in the following things: one, the cap was devastating on seniors and had to be eliminated, something that the administration totally agrees with; two, that the catastrophic needs - those people who are well above the eight-hundred-dollar cap - had to be addressed, and the dollars available. We had to make sure that as many of them received as much as possible in these heart medicines, medicines and the rheumatoid arthritic medicines. What we had recognized, though - and I think there was unanimity at least

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

those who where there at the last meeting - having come to those conclusions and having looked at other taxes and other sources to pay for this, which, it was clear in the beginning meetings, would be available, how do you do the most with what we had this year - Fiscal '92 - and help the most people? One of the things we recognized - with some great help, I might add, coming out the City of Bloomington and Mary Ann Erickson, who's truly deals in the field day-to-day and gave us some good quidance that we, as members, would not have known - is overrequire the senior to pay too much in the first months, they just don't have it. So if you say, for example, that a senior pay a hundred and fifty or two hundred dollars a month the first month to get the drugs, you've really told them you're going to let them have any drugs. What a fixed-income senior somebody making under seven thousand -- it's actually about sixty-eight hundred under the poverty level under federal guideline, or fourteen thousand - are the only ones eligible, they need stability in being able to budget for these drugs. What we have recommended, following the Governor's suggestion, is that there be an entry fee, but it be adjusted, as he did, based on the poverty level guidelines: either forty dollars for those below, or eighty dollars for those above. Secondly, that there be a deductible over the twelve months. Instead of hitting them very hard at the beginning and lighter later, there be a deductible, so there's their consistency. And again, using the poverty level, we said fifteen dollars a month for those below it, twenty-five dollars a month for those above it. Third, that when the amount of out-of -- of out-of-pocket expense by the State - what State pays - gets to eight hundred dollars, which had been the cap, at that point then, the senior would pay twenty anything above that. Now that's about a thousand dollars' worth of pharmaceutical drugs to get to the eight-hundred-dollar level

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

that the State pays. So after a -- a senior has about a thousand dollars -- after a senior has about a thousand dollars in expense, then they would have to pay approximately twenty percent of What we have given you is a comparison between the difference. bill offered by the Governor and this proposal, suggested by And basically you'll see that the difference is that for the overwhelming majority of the fixed-income, low-income seniors, their cash outlay would be significantly less under this plan, particularly in the early months, and it would not really cost them more, until you get up to those people who are at about sixteen hundred a year or more in pharmaceutical assistance. have a spread given to us by the Department of Revenue, the people fall and how much they use, and I think you will find that the -- of the hundred and eight thousand, probably a hundred thousand are much better impacted by this plan, and more importantly, it provides for their financial need and their health needs. I would urge adoption of the amendment and answer questions.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1479. Discussion? Senator Fawell. SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you very much. Senator -- will the Senator yield for a question?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Indicates he will yield, Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Senator, this seems to be a very involved, very long amendment, and it also seems to be one that is going to cost a considerable amount of money. I -- I have looked all over my desk; I don't see a copy of that amendment, and it seems to me if we're going to start voting on things that are literally going to

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

cost millions of dollars, we ought to have some idea what in the world we're talking about. So, you know, I would ask, first of all, has it been distributed?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Senator, I think it was even distributed yesterday, gave you a copy of the report today. It is not long or involved; in fact, it's the opposite. The Governor's plan was very complicated, because at different levels of spending there were different copayments. That's what hurt the seniors and made it very confusing for pharmacists and administrators. He had, example, of the first two hundred you gave -- you spent fifty percent; of the next two hundred, forty percent; of the next two hundred, thirty percent; of the next two hundred, twenty percent; and then ten percent thereafter. I can't even get that out in one breath. This says you pay a copayment across time, the same Now in addition to the amendment, which runs five pages, the report has also been given to you. Again a copy delivered to your office, a copy was distributed today on the desks, and we'd be happy to give you another copy.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

You know, my secretary happens to have broken her shoulder; that may be part of the reason I don't have it in my office. I do not have it on my desk. I've been looking all the time you've been talking. I do not have it on my desk.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Would somebody be kind enough to send a copy over to Senator Fawell?

SENATOR FAWELL:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

I was wondering if you could tell me how -- what's the estimated additional cost over the -- the Governor's budget?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

The estimated cost of this program is under forty-seven million dollars for the year. Now let me explain that. And I'll explain the answer to you even more directly to your question. was estimated that before Senate Bill 45, we were spending about seventy-two million dollars a year. The Governor proposed for Fiscal '92, the year we are currently in, originally a spending plan up to about fifty-one and a half million dollars. Because of some savings, they have projected forty-seven million dollars of spending for this, the current fiscal year. The Governor had recommended cutting that by another five and a half million dollars. Our understanding last year, when we imposed eight-hundred-dollar cap was that the moneys we spent this year, Fiscal '92 - about forty seven million dollars - would be how much we would have to spend for Fiscal '93. That is not the way his bill came in. It is the way all of those who were in conference understood it to be for this year, but he proposed another five and a half million dollar taking from fixed-income seniors in the pharmaceutical line. My opinion - and this approach of the panel - was that if we lived within the '92 Fiscal Year numbers - which is greater than the Governor was for '93 - but if we lived within the '92 numbers and provided for the catastrophic help for those who were well over the eight-hundred-dollar limit, we did yeoman's work, and that's the number we should drive for. That's the number that's in -- that this bill would cause to be spent. Somewhere between forty-five and forty-seven million dollars, depending upon what pharmaceutical costs end up being. Yesterday we were given

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

information that has been published by the pharmaceutical houses. The Governor originally suggested that there would be a ten-percent increase in the cost of drugs. The houses had indicated on May 12th, in formal announcements, that their increases were between 4 and 4.1 percent for almost all of the drugs that were covered under this program. They were 7.1 percent last year, and not the 10 percent projected, and they're coming in at 4 and 4.1. And in fact, Mevacor, which is one of the big drugs, is down 10 percent below last year. So that our total cost should be well under the forty-seven million, maybe forty-five million, which will be less then we spent in Fiscal '92, but a couple million more than the Governor wanted to spend in '93.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. Members of the Senate, it -- whatever we do with this issue this year, it's going to be better than it was last year and better than what it was the year before; there's no question about that. And, Senator Carroll, I -- I recognize the fact that you and -- and many others, and perhaps me to a lesser extent, have worked hard on this issue for a number of months, and -- and again it is a better proposal than what we had before. I think there may well be better proposals, however, than this one, and I -- I've got a question that I truly don't know the answer to. Is there a generic requirement here? It doesn't mention that in the bill, I don't believe.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Yes, there is, and it's my understanding that currently it's something like two or three percent of all the drug usages used are generic or there is no generic equivalent. This still

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

requires generic, as I understand it, and that -- that -- that's set by Revenue in its rules - am I correct - by Department of Revenue, and that's what they testified to. That was not an issue. In other words, the Department -- as I understood it, Senator Maitland, the Department and all the players were comfortable that generics were going to be required.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Senator, in my - in my reading of - of the new draft, they have struck the language in - in the Statute that - that refers to generic, and I - I didn't know there were rules and regulations then that provided this - this guideline.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Macdonald.

SENATOR MACDONALD:

Thank you, Mr. President, I rise on a point of personal privilege, as...

PRESIDENT ROCK:

State your point.

SENATOR MACDONALD:

I have some guests with me this morning from Alexian Brothers Medical Center; Susan Redford, who is the vice president of development; Janet Lynn, and Nancy Vanderweel. Will you help me welcome them to the Illinois -- to Springfield, please.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Will our guests please stand and be recognized. Welcome to Springfield. Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Well, Mr. President, I think I asked Senator Carroll a question.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Would you mind restating the question? The Chair -- the Chair did not take it as a question. The Chair took it as a statement. Senator Carroll, statement to statement.

SENATOR CARROLL:

I'll try and keep the answer briefer. The only part we took out was the copayment, because there is no five-dollar or ten-dollar copayment plan under this amendment. Instead, it's the fifteen/twenty-five dollar monthly. There is still the requirement for generic. There's still the requirement that if a person insists on a brand when there is a generic available, the -- the user must pay the difference.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

It's my understanding, Senator Carroll, that -- that -- that the rules refer to what the Department will reimburse This does not refer to the requirement of the pharmacists. recipient to use generic drugs. And I -- you know, that concerns You may or may not know the answer to that, but I think that's a very critical point. Let me -- let me -- let me proceed, Mr. President, if I might. This -- this proposal offered here today, and I think the Body ought to be aware of -- of this - and again, it is better than what we had last year - but if you look at -- if you look at where we were a year ago and look at where we are today, we are eliminating the cap - we are eliminating the cap - and one of the proposals -- one of the suggestions made by the task force in the very beginning was this be truly a catastrophic program. And we believed in that very strongly. And with the proposal that you have before you today, there is no -copayment up to eight hundred dollars, and so there really is no incentive up to that level, until after you reach eight hundred dollars, and then there is a requirement of a copayment. And I

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

think -- I think if we looked to some of the other proposals that were available to us and -- and, Senator Carroll, you mentioned the fact that it might have been more cumbersome, but the fact of the matter is, it did require a copayment from the very beginning, and then as you work up the ladder, that copayment, addressing the catastrophic nature of this issue, dropped. And I think that is critically important, and that's the kind of a program we can build on. It seems to me that the proposal that we have before us has somewhat of a disincentive built into it. And the final point I would make would be one that was made earlier: It does definitely have a budgetary consideration that must be addressed today. It is some six or seven million dollars above that that was in the Governor's budget. And I would -- would suggest perhaps a rejection of this proposal, and a subsequent offer made later on another issue.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

I just wanted to ask one question of the sponsor, if I might. It seems -- when Senator Maitland refers to taking off the cap, what the actuality is, is that any senior who is above the eight hundred dollars - who is maxed out - at that point, he then begins to pay twenty percent of the balance over the eight hundred dollars. Is that correct?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

That is correct.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Why then, in the allocation of the Governor's budget, then

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

there is some forty-six million dollars for this program, and I didn't catch how much it was -- the total cost was.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

We're -- we're projecting a total cost to this program of about forty-six million dollars, while the Governor in '92 had forty-seven million, in '93 he was suggesting forty-one and a half.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

I don't want to belabor this, but can to tell me how many people have been knocked off now that have maxed out at eight hundred dollars and no longer are participating in the program, 'cause I -- I know that this is a big issue in my district. Do you have any numbers to -- to indicate how many people are maxed out over the eight hundred dollars now and no longer qualify?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

I would hazard to guess that it's about twenty thousand.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, I'm going to support this proposal because, I'll tell you, that the fact of the matter is that even though we are increasing the copay, obviously that's fair, because you've split it down. I assume that the — the low-income people have to certify some document with respect to their income, and therefore they are treated differently then those who are above the poverty level and therefore can afford the additional cost. I think the

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

biggest problem that we have out here is the fact that those individuals who have maxed out at the eight-hundred-dollar level and above, this will obviously give us some controls on -- on the program which we didn't have in the beginning. As I remember correctly, this program started out at five million dollars and then last year -- I don't know what it was, but it was in the multi-hundreds of millions of dollars. But at least this will afford those individuals who are above the eight-hundred-dollar level who need these additional drugs would qualify, and I'm going to support it. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion on Amendment No. 1? Senator Watson. SENATOR WATSON:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. One of the successes the program, Senator Carroll, last year, was -- was the copay. And the fact that what it did was really put the emphasis on those people receiving the medication to use generic, because of the five-dollar, ten-dollar difference. Now you're taking copayment out, I understand, and from what I see from the language you're -- it -- you're striking the language that says when a generic drug is dispensed, and ten dollars when a brand name is dispensed -- dispensed. So what -- what you're doing, you're taking that incentive away from the individual to use generic drugs, which is -- was a major factor in the success of the -- of the savings in regard to this particular proposal we used last So I think what you're doing here is heading in the wrong year. direction. I understand the catastrophic approach, understand what you're trying to do, but I think what -- what's happening out there right now is that as people are reaching that eight-hundred-dollar cap, naturally we're hearing from those -those individuals at this point in time. And as later on in the year goes -- goes by, why, we'll be hearing from more and more as

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

they reach that cap. But up until that cap, they can use brand name and, as a result, the cost of the program is going to probably be greater than what you're anticipating. So I think what -- although I understand what you're doing and certainly the high end of -- of the program those people will be protected, I'm concerned about what's happening at the lower end, and then that disincentive in regard to the generic issue. So I think we ought to defeat this amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion on Amendment No. 1? Senator Carroll, you can -- wish to close?

SENATOR CARROLL:

you, Mr. President. Let me correct Senator Watson and Thank others in just a few closing comments. First of all, even Governor's new proposal no longer carries the five and ten dollar. All he did was say fifty percent of the first two hundred, forty percent of the next two hundred, and so on; so that a senior had to come significantly out of pocket in the early months of the Secondly, a senior who decided to use the generic -- I'm sorry, to use a brand instead of generic, has two things happening. First, they have to pay more, because they're only -the pharmacist is only reimbursed a generic. Secondly, even if that were not the case, Senator Watson, which I suggest it is. they'd be using up their eight hundred dollars very quickly, and therefore, not have anything left. But third and more important, all of those who met on the program - from AARP, who endorsed this particular proposal, to the Older Women's League, the Diabetes Association, and yes, the Retail Merchants, the pharmacists, pharmaceutical people, the labor unions and all of the others who participated - recognized that you get the same benefit charging the monthly and spreading it out for them over time; you get the same benefit by charging them the entry fee and getting

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

the benefit over time. The point of the generic, which is ninety-seven percent of the usage, is to have them say to their doctors also, "I don't want to go over that eight-hundred-dollar limit, because then I'm going to have to start paying." We spent probably two full meetings discussing the best way to handle that, and that was their collective wisdom, particularly those who work in the field - and I again cite Mary Ann Erickson, who does an outstanding job in McLean County - and -- and then -- that's how we arrived at that particular decision. I think the bottom line is this: Do we want a pharmaceutical assistance program that will help our low-income seniors? Do we want to eliminate without bankrupting them so that they do not have food or shelter during the early months of a program? If we want a program, we've got to spread the cost over time, over the twelve months. We should in fact use this year's dollar level and try and help those who are most in need and those who are cut off at catastrophic coverage. It was the collective wisdom that this is the best approach, and I would urge its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll's moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1479. Further discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. Roll call has been requested. Those in favor of the adoption of the amendment will vote Aye. Opposed will vote Nay. And the voting's open. All voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 33 Ayes, 20 Nays, none voting Present. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 1495. Senator Smith. 1529. Senator Karpiel.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

1533. Senator Severns. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please. SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1533.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Insurance, Pensions and Licensed Activities offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Severns, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR SEVERNS:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Committee

Amendment No. 1 simply added the State Employee Group Insurance

Plan to the -- the bill.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Severns moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1533. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Severns, on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR SEVERNS:

Committee Amendment No. 2 is simply technical, clarifying the intent.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Severns has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1533. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Severns offers Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Severns, on Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR SEVERNS:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Amendment No. 3 simply incorporates Committee Amendments No. 1 and 2, as well as the agreement reached between the Association of Community Cancer Centers and the Illinois Life Insurance Council, stemming from questions that were raised in committee. I believe it's a good compromise, and would urge its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Severns has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1533. Discussion? Senator Madigan.

SENATOR MADIGAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I just wanted to arise to compliment the sponsor on her willingness to work on this matter - very important matter - and bring it to a situation or a position where everyone is very satisfied with the -- with the measure.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Severns has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1533. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 1542. Senator Joyce. 1555. Senator Fawell. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please. 1555.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1555.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senators Fawell and Hawkinson offer Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you very much. This is the stalking bill. It creates the offense of stalking and aggravated stalking. The amendment basically becomes the bill. The stalking knowingly transmits a threat which places a person in reasonable apprehension of death, bodily harm, sexual assault, confinement or restraint, and on two separate occasions the defendant either follows the person or places it under surveillance. It also creates aggravated stalking, which means stalking with a deadly weapon, causes bodily harm, confines or restrains the victim, sexually assaults the victim, or violates an organ -- order of protection injunction prohibiting contract <sic> (contact) with victims. Stalking becomes a Class 4 felony. Aggravated stalking becomes Class 3 felony. The defendant can be denied bail if, after a hearing, the court determines that the defendant poses a real and present danger to the physical safety of any person, and is necessary to prevent fulfillment of the threat on which the charge is based, which is a similar current law on denial of bail. if the defendant is convicted of the defense <sic> (offense) and is sentenced to the Department of Corrections, the court may order the Prison Review Board to consider, as a condition of supervised release upon completion of the sentence, that the defendant go to the mental health counseling. I would ask your support. I would be willing to answer any questions.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Fawell has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1555. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 1556. Senator Luft. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1556.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 1567. Senator Cullerton. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1567.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Transportation offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR CULLERTON:

I would ask to table Committee Amendment No. 1, please.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Gentleman has moved to table Committee Amendment No. 1 to

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Senate Bill 1567. Discussion? If not, all in favor of the Motion to Table, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries. Amendment No. 1 is tabled. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senators Woodyard and Watson offer Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Withdraw. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Woodyard.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Woodyard. Withdraw. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 1570. Senator Davidson. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1570.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Davidson offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Davidson, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Mr. President and Members of the Senate, this was agreed to in Judiciary Committee that we tighten up this part of giving a good Samaritan to those people who are involved in a motor accident — the motor vehicle call — law — calls for you involved in an accident to render aid, but doesn't give you any immunity for rendering it, and this does that. This is an agreed—on amendment between Senator Dunn and myself, and I move the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Davidson's moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1570. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 1599. Top of page 3, Ladies and Gentlemen. The top of page 3. 1603. Senator Carroll. 1616. I'm sorry. Senator Carroll. 1603. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1603.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 1616. Senator Jacobs. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1616.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Agriculture and Conservation offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jacobs, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 1 restores substantially the same provisions of the -- the -- excuse me, is this committee? Which one are we...

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR JACOBS:

All right. Committee Amendment No. 1 creates the Recreational Trails of Illinois Act and then creates the trust fund, and details the purposes for which the money in the fund may or may not be disbursed. I know of no opposition. We have a couple other amendments that follow that cleans it up.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jacobs has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1616. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Jacobs offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jacobs, on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 replaces the

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

committee amendment...

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jacobs...

SENATOR JACOBS:

...and -- and it -- it eliminates the four-wheel-drive vehicles and requires members of the boards to be nominated with respect to recreational trail organization and permits only the Symms Act money to be used for off-road vehicles. And this is part of the ongoing effort to work out a few of the minor problems. I don't know of any opposition to this.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jacobs has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1616. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Jacobs.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jacobs, on Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR JACOBS:

This is the last one, hopefully. Amendment No. 3 strictly clarifies that portion of the motor fuel taxes collected and not rebated that are -- are attributable to -- to sales of motor fuels, and off-highway recreational vehicles shall be transferred each month to the Recreational Trails Fund. We had a little problem with the -- the off-road vehicles and the refunds from the motor fuel tax. This clarifies that issue, and I don't know of any opposition to this amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jacobs has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1616. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 1636. 1665. Senator Jacobs. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1665.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Jacobs.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jacobs, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Yes. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, Committee Amendment No. 1 really puts this bill in the same posture as what the State of Iowa has done in regards to a concept regarding Quad City economic development and a federal project. This bill is in no way in its proper form. I'd like to see it move along. We have — we have had some discussions, preliminarily, with the Governor's Office. There's some problems there, and we want to maybe change the — the tone of this legislation, but we'd like to move it on at this point.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jacobs has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1665. Discussion? Senator Rigney. Senator Rigney, we're not working? I'm afraid you're going to have to ask Senator Dunn to utilize his microphone. Yours appears to be short circuited here. Senator Rigney.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Are we on now? Okay. Like to ask a couple of questions about this proposed amendment, if I could.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Sponsor indicates he will yield, Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Now I understand that this legislation is limited only to the Quad Cities area to try to attract this facility; it's not Statewide in nature. Is that correct?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Senator, that's one of the points I was getting at. As this bill is currently written — what we did was took the Iowa language. As it's written, it's not in its proper form, and even this particular concept may be scrapped, but I have no qualms with this being, you know, attributed anywhere in the State. There may be some problems from the administration on this. We would prefer to be able to handle our own wash, and what we're planning on doing with this legislation in the end result is to allow for a quarter-cent sales tax in Rock Island County for this purpose, by referendum. So this bill may not even end up having this in its — in its final form. But I would like to move the bill along because it's the only thing I've got out there with the Quad City Regional Economic Development Authority.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

In its present form, does it not have a dedicated two cent off of the income tax that would go, you know, to whatever's going to be done in that area? It's a direct two-cent hit on the income tax?

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Yes. Yes, Senator, it's a value-added jobs. We tie it to this proposal, but again, as I indicate, this proposal, before it would be moved in its — in its present form anywhere along the line, any further than what it has been, is going to have to be in conjunction with the Governor's Office, and — and this Body. And at this point, as it sits, this legislation is not standing, in my estimation, in good favor at this point. It's limited, as what it is, to the administration. It's my commitment to you — all I want to do is to keep the bill alive — this portion may be removed in its entirety.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Well, I guess maybe we're kind of down to a shell bill on this subject, and I've pointed out what I consider to be two rather strong objections to this legislation. But if it's just for the sake of moving it along, and it's going to be changed significantly at some later point, at this point then, maybe I don't object to seeing it moved.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator Jacobs has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1665. Further discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 1667. Senator Leverenz. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1667.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Leverenz offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Leverenz, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR LEVERENZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. The amendment would clarify a part of the original bill, and that is to separate out the constitutional officers from the other State agencies, in that the Comptroller would make payments for the State officers, and that CMS would handle the other payments through the State agencies. It further goes on to amend the bill to provide a Personal <sic> (Personnel) Services Revolving Fund for the Department of Central Management Services. And it would permit fees received by CMS for personal services - personnel services - to pay into the fund, and provides for those appropriations from the fund on the expenses related to the services to the State agencies. Very similar to the Automotive Revolving Fund. Answer any questions you might have, and move the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1667. Discussion? Senator Madigan.

SENATOR MADIGAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Sponsor indicates he will yield, Senator Madigan.

SENATOR MADIGAN:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Perhaps that amendment addresses the question that I have, but it wasn't clear in your presentation, Senator. If -- if this were to become law, would the CMS be allowed to issue vouchers without a constitutional officer's authorization?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Leverenz.

SENATOR LEVERENZ:

I appreciate your question. I think to get to the root of the question, the Comptroller would make the payment, but only after the State constitutional officer would approve that payment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? If not, Senator Leverenz has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1667. All in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 1680. Senator Topinka. 1750. Senator Woodyard. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, bottom of page 3, Senate Bill 1750, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1750.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Woodyard offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Woodyard, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR WOODYARD:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. This is one of a two-bill package - the other sponsored by Senator Welch - dealing with the -- the -- the fertilizer chemical industry, and the Groundwater Protection Act, and the monitoring systems that are scheduled to go into effect January 1. The amendment to this bill - and by the way, it is a shell bill only in its present form - the amendment would extend the time for the implementation of the monitoring systems until October 1st, 1993. This is a result of a long series of discussions that are -- there is a working committee established from the Governor's Office, through State agencies, through the industry, and the Environmental Council, and at this point in time we want to move this bill along, and also Senator Welch's bill along, to continue that process of -- of discussion.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Woodyard's moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1750. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 1768. Senator Welch. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1768.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Welch offers Amendment No. 1.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Welch, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. When the bill was let out of committee, it was let out with the agreement that there would be a meeting of the concerned individuals who wanted to set up a Battery Task Force to determine what to do with waste batteries, other than your automobile lead-acid batteries. What this amendment does is create a Battery Task Force within the Department itself, and it will be comprised of the Director the Department, who will serve as chairman of the Task Force, Director of the EPA, Director of Hazardous Waste Research, and fifteen persons who shall be appointed by the Director. I'd be glad to answer any questions.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1768. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. Top of page 4, Ladies and Gentlemen, on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1789. Read the bill, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1789.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 1794. Senator Severns. 1796. Senator Dunn. Read the bill, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1796.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Thomas Dunn offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Dunn, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment is offered in the spirit of cooperation with the Department of Revenue and is a result of a meeting with them. This would remove the major objection that they had to the bill, and it would take off the option portion that would be available to a corporation.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Dunn has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1796. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 1803. Senator Marovitz. 1815. Senator Holmberg. 1846. Senator Severns. 1848. Senator Etheredge. 1858. Senator Thomas Dunn. 1862. Senator Etheredge. Top of page 7. 1887. Senator Carroll. 1890. Senator del Valle. Read the

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

bill, Madam Secretary, please. Top of Page 7, Ladies and Gentlemen, on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1890. Read the bill, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1890.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator del Valle offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator del Valle, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR dEL VALLE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment is the outcome of negotiations with Legal Services attorneys, the Credit Union League, and the Independent Finance Association and other interested persons. It makes certain technical corrections, and provides for service of the garnishment notice and summons of the judgment that are in a garnishment action, and requires that the server file a certificate of mailing in relation thereto. Also provides for the payment by the judgment debtor of the cost of the garnishment proceedings. I move the adoption of Floor Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator del Valle has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1890. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

3rd Reading. 1908. Senator Etheredge. 1911. Senator Topinka. 1930. Senator Welch. Senator Brookins, for what purpose do you arise, sir?

SENATOR BROOKINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise on a point of personal privilege.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

State your point, please.

SENATOR BROOKINS:

I have at my desk one of our Honorary Pages today, Cassandra Ward, from my district. She attends the Ted Lerner Regional Gifted Center, and her mother is in the lobby - Mrs. Ward - and I'd like to welcome her to the Senate.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Will our guests please stand and be recognized. Welcome to the Senate. Senator Welch. 1930. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1930.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Watson offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Watson, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR WATSON:

Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment deals with AFDC and the problems that -- that we find ourselves facing in this State and this country with the welfare system. This particular bill was heard in the Welfare Committee - Public Health

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

and Welfare Committee - and it received a 7 to 7 vote, and as a result, remained in committee. However. I did feel that bipartisan support that surfaced in committee warranted the fact that this ought to be discussed on the Senate Floor. And not only that, but I think that we have a situation in this State and this country that we need to be discussing welfare reform. this particular amendment will do is that if an individual enrolled in AFDC - Aid to Families with Dependent Children - if they are enrolled in this particular program, regardless many children they may have - you can be enrolled in this particular program with up to thirteen children, I believe it is so if you are enrolled with five children, you receive the benefits of those -- for those five children. But what this amendment does is if you have an additional child while enrolled in AFDC, that your benefits will not accordingly. Now some have viewed this as punitive, and there's been a lot of misinformation and, unfortunately, I think, statements made about this particular legislation and, quite frankly, about this particular sponsor, that I don't necessarily think warrants the attention and the comments that -that were made. Because this is not punitive; this is not I'm trying to do. What I'm trying to do is have those people who are enrolled in AFDC make the decision on whether or going to have an additional child, on the same basis that most everyone else makes that decision, and is -- can I afford to have another child? Can I afford to? And I would have to say that the answer is probably no, they shouldn't have additional children. But that's a decision they would make. Not me. That heard the Department of Public Aid Director, Director Bradley, in committee, testify that one-third of the births in the State of Illinois are to individuals who are Medicaid-eligible, one-half of the births in the City of Chicago are to individuals

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

who are Medicaid-eligible. What this -- what's happening is in many cases the wrong people are having children and that these kids unfortunately get caught up in the quagmire of welfare, we are seeing a situation occur now in which welfare is no longer a safety net; it is a way of life. It's happening now for generations and generations, and that wasn't the intent of the welfare programs of the sixties. And we've thrown an incredible amount of money at the welfare problem in this country and in this State, and I have to admit - and I think we'd all admit - that we really haven't made much progress in solving those concerns of people on -- in poverty and stuck in the welfare quagmire. So that What this will not do is it will not impact Medicaid. individual who has the additional child will still have that child eligible for Medicaid; that's the health care system that's available to the -- to the poor. This will not impact food This will not impact the WIC program. Many people have said I'm taking food out of the mouths of babes. That's not In fact, for every three-dollar decrease in AFDC, you get an additional one-dollar increase in food stamps. So as a result, they will receive more food stamps if this particular program is enacted. I'd be glad to answer any questions, and certainly would appreciate the support of the Membership. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Discussion? Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Senator Watson, was this amendment distributed?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

I understand that it is, yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

SENATOR COLLINS:

To the amendment: Senator Watson, you were right when you indicated that this bill had in fact had a hearing in committee, and I think it was given a fair hearing in the committee. And justifiably so, this bill was retained in committee because the people of the committee felt that this bill - this kind of legislation - sends the wrong message. And I think that we ought to really seriously look at what you're attempting do here. I think I would be the last person to stand here and say that the welfare system was created as a temporary measure to to become self-sufficient. But the problem is, that innocent baby that you're trying to punish here had nothing to do with perpetuating that system; it had nothing to do with the fact that the system is designed so that generation after generation can, in fact, be on public aid; it had nothing to do with the fact that the major recipients of the welfare program of this State and probably throughout the country, but particularly in the State of Illinois - that approximately only twenty-five percent of every dollar actually ever get down to the client. So who are the real We're not trying to hurt the big contractors. recipients here? We're not trying to -- to hurt the medical providers who -- where the increase in medical costs has jumped probably twenty times within the last couple of years. We're not -- I don't hear talking about punishing those people. So what about the innocent child here? We're punishing a child for the sins that you may say of their parent. That is so wrong, Senator Watson. We cannot send that kind of message here. You're not saving any money by doing that, so I don't know what you're trying to accomplish. is the same Senators who support -- who defeated and opposed the legislation that deals with family planning clinics being operated. These are the same people that suppose this -- that put forth this kind of idea in legislation that oppose abortion kinds

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

of efforts, and so -- and oppose all other kinds of job training programs and assistance programs to get these mothers to become self-sufficient. If you supported my welfare proposals that I've been putting forth over the years, we wouldn't have people trapped on public aid. Once -- anybody that supports this bill would have to presuppose that everybody on public aid actually want to be there. That is not true. The majority of people or mothers on public aid are people just like you and I; they want to be self-sufficient. They don't want to be beholding to anybody. Welfare is a form of slavery to most people that's on there. They don't want to be on. Give them a chance and they'll get off. But don't punish an innocent -- innocent baby.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right, we have twelve who have indicated they wish to be heard on this subject. WCIA-TV has requested permission to shoot some videotape. Without objection. Further discussion on Amendment No. 1? Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Sponsor indicates he will yield, Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Senator Watson, what did you mean by saying the wrong people? Who are you referring to?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Yes. Thank you. Those people that can least afford it.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Because you're not able to -- able -- when you're saying wrong people, I just was interested to hear you say that. The thing that happens today is this, the real money in the Department of Public Aid - you know it, being a pharmacist - is not Children and Family Service; it's the medical. Those in hospitals, those in nursing homes. These are paying for people like you who administer drugs, that -- you know it's not children. Now why are you just picking on children? That's the question I'm asking you.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Well, Senator, I don't think I'm picking on children at all. I just think what we need to have is a change of direction and a change of philosophy in the whole welfare program of this country and this State. That's what I'm wanting to do. Open up the discussion and the debate on what we need to do to change the system.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Well, do you agree with me that the big money in public aid is not -- I mean, not -- medical, nursing homes and pharmacists like yourself?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

I -- I would imagine that's true, that that's the vast majority of the public aid budget, but to me this doesn't have to do -- anything to do with dollars and cents, necessarily; this has to do with a philosophy change - trying to help these people help themselves. That's what -- basically I'm trying to do here is get these people out of the welfare quagmire they've found themselves

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

in for generations.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Well, I'm not going to belabor the point. I agree one hundred percent with what the Senator said before, and I'm just going to let it go at that, but I'm -- I'm -- I'm flabbergasted; I'm shocked that you're doing such a thing.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Yeah. Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Sponsor indicates he will yield, Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Senator Watson, I was in committee when this bill was -- was heard, and so I'll ask you these questions before the entire Body. Could you tell me, for FY'83, what the AFDC line item of the budget, the appropriation, was ten years ago?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

I'm told that it was just short of eight hundred million, AFDC line.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

And you indicate that this bill would save X amount of dollars if -- if this was instituted. Could you tell the Body as to what the savings the Department said would be made if this bill became law?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Yes, the information I received from the Department of Public Aid estimated the twenty-three million dollar annual savings, once this bill was enacted.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Well, I don't know if the Department is giving you the correct information or not. But I happened to look at the fiqures for each year for the past ten years. The AFDC line item of the Public Aid budget, the number of persons enrolled in that program, have diminished continuously for the past ten years. Department is saying that this is the increased cost in the budget, something is wrong. The number of persons who receiving AFDC in the Public Aid budget is far less than it was ten years ago, and it has declined rapidly. On top of that, increase in that line item was due to a grant increase, but it was not due to the fact that those persons as you so - to use your words - these people continue to have children. The -- one of the highest rising costs in the Public Aid budaet pharmaceutical line item that has gone up drastically for the last ten years on the average of ten percent per year - pharmaceutical line item. This portion of the budget has decreased year after So I -- I think your amendment, in attempting to save money, is placed in the wrong place. I -- I recall some fifty years ago, another so-called leader in Germany said the same thing about these people. I know you did not mean that, 'cause you're an honorable Member of this Body; you wouldn't have meant what he meant. But I think I'd be careful as to how we use our words. But what I am saying to you is this: the AFDC line item of the Public Aid budget is -- has been diminished over the years. Ιt

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

represents a very small portion of the budget. If the Department wants to save money, then they would save money from those other people who feed off of welfare. The bulk of the budget that's going to — by the nursing homes, going to pharmaceuticals, going to all these hospitals and clinics — the millions, and millions, and millions of dollars. But you want to put an amendment on to punish persons who can least afford to help themselves, and by the Department's own admission — own admission — the Public Aid budget for a matter of public record, for AFDC line item, has been reduced constantly for the past ten years. As a matter of fact, the budget this year is less than it was ten years ago.

END OF TAPE

TAPE 2

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes. Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I — I really would question the innuendos on some of the speakers on the other side, that would even remotely allude that somehow this bill that Senator Watson has put forward in very good faith, both in committee here and on the Floor, is some kind of a — a reinvigoration and a revitalization of Naziism and the horrors and terrible things that they inflicted on the world. It is — it's just really unseemly to have even brought that topic up, or even to create that kind of an innuendo, and I really wish that would stop so we can get back to the issue as we tried to do in committee, and as was not the case in committee, as we went about

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

discussing red herrings of every nature but the issue at present. I think Senator Watson brings forward a very simple, and vet a very important, way of trying to break the welfare cycle, and that indeed youngsters are going to be born that no one is going to be able to take care of - no one is going to want. They're going to go through the system as youngsters, as adolescents, as adults. They will remain in a perennial cycle of public aid. I don't know that's indeed what we have wanted to accomplish over the years with the various Public Aid programs we have put We have wanted to make people live a dignified and a worthy life. And that includes all kinds of people who find themselves in situation. When we now find that of teenage births in the State of Illinois, eight out of ten are illegitimate, I think that something very, very serious about what's going on here and what we are allowing to happen. I think Senator Watson's point is well taken. It should be discussed, and seriously discussed, on this Floor. and not just bantered around, you know, in ways that are really kind of tangental, and let's see if, indeed, we can create some incentives to people to try and do some family planning where it can do the most good. And I certainly support his effort. PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Alexander.

SENATOR ALEXANDER:

Thank you, Mr. President. One of my colleagues just gave me a little memo - he was saying to me, "No child is illegitimate; it does have a father, and it does have a mother." I'd like to speak to the sponsor on a different plane concerning the legislation he has before us today. First, I'd like to tell him - and say it in this fashion - that I am a recipient of public aid, and I have one child. I then voluntarily request termination because, perchance, I find work and go to work. And because of other reasons now I lose that job, and I'm back out and I've already had a second

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

child. Could I, would I, should I apply for ADC to help me now that I'm going to be in the system now with two children, or will the record reflect that I had one while in the system, and therefore I'm not eligible to come and receive any help for the second child? Then I have another question I'd like to speak to the bill on.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

I'm sorry. I didn't hear the question.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Alexander. I'd ask you, Ladies and Gentlemen, please, it's going to be a long enough afternoon as it is.

SENATOR ALEXANDER:

Senator Watson, the question, if there would be any, would be that I'm in the system; I have one child. I terminate myself voluntarily because I have a job. I work for a period. In the meanwhile I become pregnant, but however, I become unemployed. I now come back to the system for help. Would I be penalized for coming back to that system with that extra child? Could I, should I be possibly put back in the system for help at this time because I've had a second child?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

That individual would be protected and the -- when the individual was reenrolled into the program, that individual would go in with the number of children that they would currently have. If the example you used - two children, then they would receive benefits for two children. So...

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Alexander.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

SENATOR ALEXANDER:

Thank you. I speak to the amendment: I do understand part, not wholeheartedly, what your intent is, is as you say - and I hope you're sincere - to break the cycle of the welfare syndrome that is presently there. And I'm aware that it's out there, and that it's generations that have been involved in it. But may I say to you that as rocket scientists, you and I and others here on this Floor -- we profess to be those persons who know how to deal with problems that besiege our constituency. When we -- join each other and get about the business of finding work for people, I won't need welfare, or become a welfare mother. When you can help me find decent housing where I have some incentive to try to live and be a human being, I won't need your welfare. When you give me decent schools where the ceilings are not falling in on me and the floors are not rotting underneath me, I won't need (your welfare. When you gave me Project Chance, it did nothing but enhance the welfare of some of the echelons of the higher management skills for the providers of the system, and did not trickle down to me in Project Chance. When you give me positive programs, I won't need your welfare. Your amendment is wrong and out of time.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR CULLERTON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Senator Watson, I appreciate the fact that you did make a change in the bill from the bill that was defeated in the committee, by changing the effective date to July 1st of 1993, but I would suggest that if -- if this bill is to pass, that that still does not give a reasonable enough time. But what you're -- what you're saying is that any child that's born after July 1st of '93 would be affected by this bill. So that child would have to be conceived sometime around October 1st of '92. Now, for example, if this bill passes

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

and the Governor signs it sometime over the summer, you're not really giving very much time to get the word out that you should not have an additional child, if the real deadline here is October of '92. Moreover, if for some reason the Governor would amendatorily veto the bill, we don't consider the bill until after -- you know, in November, perhaps even December, you're past the time when a child could have been conceived and would have been affected by this bill. So I would suggest that if this is going to be moved along, you might want to consider adding another six months to the effective date of the bill, because otherwise I think it doesn't -- it unfairly penalizes the people that you're trying to affect.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. I see this amendment as being a potential increase to abortion. I think that those of us that are pro-life, we take a look at this issue, and it's one which could potentially increase the incident of abortion. I also see it, as Senator Jones had indicated, balancing the budget on the backs of the poor, and I feel the same way. And I therefore oppose this amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Palmer.

SENATOR PALMER:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Senator Watson, are you -- may I ask the sponsor a question? Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Gentleman indicates he will yield. Yes.

SENATOR PALMER:

Senator Watson, are you familiar with the statistics from the Children's Defense Fund that indicate that at present more than

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

half the children in the United States are already in poverty, and this is not based on race? Are you familiar with their statistics?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

No, I'm not.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Palmer.

SENATOR PALMER:

I would suggest very strongly that you take a good look at this -- what this major organization has been saying for the last ten some-odd years. This is regardless of whether children are on AFDC or not; this is across the country. I object to this bill, not because I do not share the interest and concern about getting people off welfare. I have a number of initiatives, as do others in this Body, to implement waivers, to do any number of other things that will empower people to take up their own lives. support that very strongly. But when a bill comes before us that adds to the number of children who are in poverty - and that will be the consequence of this bill - then I strongly object to because it is not going to be the parent. You cannot punish people into a change in behavior. I know that is a popular way of thinking now, but it is not possible. The consequence of this bill will be to put another child in jeopardy, and for that reason, I oppose it very strongly.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Thomas Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield? PRESIDENT ROCK:

Indicates he will yield, Senator Dunn.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

SENATOR T. DUNN:

Senator Watson, if this bill were in effect two years ago, what would be the cost savings to the State of Illinois?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Well, in retrospect, I'm not sure, but the Department of Public Aid says if this bill becomes law now, we will have about a twenty-three-million-dollar savings - annual savings.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

The Department of Public Aid's budget is how many dollars? Do you recall?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

For AFDC? It's nine hundred and twenty-four million, which is an increase of a hundred million dollars over the -- hundred million dollars over the 1982 budget that Senator Jones was talking about. So there has been an increase.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

What's the total budget?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Nine hundred and twenty-four million -- the whole Department? Six billion. 6.5 billion, something like that.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Dunn.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

SENATOR T. DUNN:

And is it true that seventy percent of the money for Public Aid goes to pay for medical costs?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Well, I'm not sure, but I would imagine that a good -- good percentage of the budget does go for that, yes. Medicaid.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

Then to the bill: I would suggest to the Body that this is somewhat analogous to repairing the tire on a motor vehicle when it doesn't have an engine.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Brookins.

SENATOR BROOKINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Indicate -- Senator Watson indicates he will yield.

SENATOR BROOKINS:

I know your question was asked before, but I didn't hear the answer and I don't think anyone heard the answer. You mentioned in your opening remarks about the wrong people having babies, and I'd like you to explain to me, what are the wrong people, or who are the wrong people?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

As I responded earlier, I -- those people -- those people that can least afford additional children. Has nothing to do with the

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

ability or their capability for reproduction, or their decision, but it's those people who -- unfortunately, who are -- that can least afford it. That was the intent of my statement.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Brookins.

SENATOR BROOKINS:

So this would be people that live maybe in the rural areas of the State of Illinois, or live in tenements or in public housing in the City of Chicago, and towns and cities — would these be some of the wrong people, or would it be people that are poorly educated, or — or — is — is this what we mean by least afford? Or people that work as janitors or maids, or the people that make the two dollars and fifty cent an hour in McDonald's, and et cetera? These would be the — in your opinion, the wrong people to have children?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Well, not -- not necessarily at all. I'm just talking on it based on income. I'm not talking on it based on education. There's a lot of people who have been very successful that have a limited amount of education. I'm talking about those people who can least afford it, and that would be those people whose income couldn't support additional family member.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Brookins.

SENATOR BROOKINS:

Thank you. So therefore, we know or we feel, or you feel, that people with low income and not enough money to support a family in the beginning, that if they have a child, then that child should be aborted, and you would subscribe to the -- to the abortion rules, and possibly what the Cook County Hospital is

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

trying to do now - is to allow anyone that feel that they're -- can't afford to have a baby, abort that baby?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

This -- this issue has nothing to do with -- with pro-life or abortion - has absolutely nothing to do with that particular issue.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Brookins.

SENATOR BROOKINS:

I think that you said in your earlier remarks that they should get rid of the baby, so therefore, they should put the baby up for adoption, or the opposite of adoption is to abort if they're pregnant and they can't afford to have the baby. And that's what I kind of understand you to say. And secondly, then if this amendment goes into effect, and their funds are cut off for the additional baby, then that mother should not share any of the monies — any of the monies that she's receiving for the other ten children or thirteen, as you outline, with the baby that is new and coming in. So therefore, they should put him out the house — put the new baby out the house?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Well, I hope that wasn't a question. It was a totally ludicrous statement.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

I don't think it was. I think it was a statement. Further discussion? Senator Brookins.

SENATOR BROOKINS:

...a question. I want to know how do she take care of the

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

fourteenth baby that comes into the house, 'cause she got thirteen already and she's receiving money for those thirteen. So now he's going to cut off the money for the fourteenth baby. So she is not to share any of those funds with the new addition or the new arrival, because she's going to have the baby, 'cause she can't abort it. So what do she do with it?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Gentleman indicates...

SENATOR BROOKINS:

It is a question.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

The Gentleman indicates he will not vield.

SENATOR BROOKINS:

He will not yield -- guestion. Okav. To the bill, Mr. President -- or to the amendment: and what I'm saying to amendment is that its just precisely what you or any would think to save money. We throw that thirteenth or fourteenth baby That's what that's about. Secondly, we're talking about out. people that cannot afford to have children. We're talking about people that are working in McDonald's and et cetera, making the minimum wage. They cannot afford to have babies, according to the sponsor of this amendment. So they should not have babies. talking about that they should plan to have children. talking about the least education, and he said that education has nothing to do with it. So how do these people plan to have additional children, and et cetera? So we are denying a certain percentage of the people of the State of Illinois the bear children or have children. And this is what we are trying to arrive at, Mr. President. This is a bad amendment. It's bad thoughts put into it, and it's wrong. And we're moving toward a wrong and bad position in the State of Illinois with amendments such as this. Thank you.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jones, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR JONES:

Yeah. Thank you, Mr. President. I apologize for interrupting, but we have some students here -- the student council from the Bennett Elementary School in the City of Chicago. They are here with their teacher, Mrs. Warren, Miss Martin and Mrs. Hayes, and also the PTA President, Mr. Daniels. They're here visiting Springfield. Let's give them a warm welcome.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Will our guests please stand and be recognized. Welcome to Springfield. Senator Brookins, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR BROOKINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise on a point of personal privilege.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

State your point, please.

SENATOR BROOKINS:

We have in the gallery with us Mr. Jeffrey Hayes, and he's with the Reach Out and Touch, and they fight drugs and crime and despair and poverty and sickness, and they're looking for solutions for education and the preventives -- prevention and awareness. Mr. Jeffrey Hayes is in the lobby to the right.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Will our guest please stand and be recognized. Welcome. All right. Further -- the Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1. Is there further discussion? Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Your light just went on. There have been sixteen lights on. SENATOR VADALABENE:

I have just one short question, if he'll yield.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Gentleman indicates he will yield, Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes. They skirted around the issue all -- all afternoon, but my question to you, Senator Watson: is the pro-life people for this amendment or against it?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

As far as I know, they've not taken a -- a position on this. PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Well, as far as I know, and I get my information, they are totally against this amendment, and I'm also against this bill. PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Well, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I've listened to the debate from all sides. What's wrong in trying to instill in a young woman, no matter race, color or creed, a sense of responsibility that she can get off the welfare rolls if she watches herself and doesn't incur more pregnancies when she knows that she's on public aid. How is she going to get out of the mold of public aid and get onto work rolls if she isn't given a sense of responsibility? We're not discussing pro-life or pro-choice here, for heaven sakes. We're discussing what is the responsible thing to do to help these young people get out of the welfare mold and into the payrolls. This is what we're talking about, and I

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

support the amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? I would ask our guests in the gallery to please refrain from any demonstration. Senator Jacobs, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR JACOBS:

A point of personal privilege.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

State your point, please.

SENATOR JACOBS:

First of all, I would like to say hello to a group of PTA members from my district, sitting in the other side of the aisle, and I'd like to have them stand, but also I'd like to call for the question.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Will our guests in the gallery please stand and be recognized. Welcome to Springfield. Senator Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you again arise?

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, on a point of personal privilege.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

State your point.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

It's my pleasure to introduce to you today, from St. Therese Medical Center and Hospital of Waukegan, Illinois, a wonderful group of volunteers with the vice president, Mr. Bob Vance. And they're sitting in the President's Gallery, and I'd like you all to welcome them, and you better, because they're part of your group, as well as mine.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Will our guests in the gallery please rise and be recognized.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Welcome. Senator Woodyard, for what purpose do you arise, sir? SENATOR WOODYARD:

Thank you, Mr. President. Same Order of Business - point of personal privilege.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

State your point, please.

SENATOR WOODYARD:

I have friends with me today from Danville, Illinois - Dr. and Mrs. Dilman, and their daughter, Marilyn.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Will our guests please stand and be recognized. Welcome to Springfield. All right. The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1. Senator Watson, you wish to close?

SENATOR WATSON:

Yes, if I could. First of all, I want to thank you for giving me the opportunity and having this discussion today on the Floor concerning this particular amendment. And I want to thank the Membership for the time that's been given here. I -seems to think that this is a issue that's maybe just urban in general and not necessarily rural; I'm here to tell you that in my district -- I have a county in my district that had the largest percentage increase of teenage pregnancies than any other county in this State. This is not an inner-city problem; this problem that's -- we're experiencing all over. And what happens, according to statistics, are those teenage mothers, unfortunately, have another child -- a third of them will have another child within twenty-four months. You know, and those people, they're gone forever. They're gone -- most -- the vast majority of those people will never be productive members of -- of society, never be contributors, never be part of the solution. And that -- I mean, that's sad. And -- because, you know, I'm not wanting to be punitive to anybody. And I think most of you know me well enough

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

to know that's not my style. I -- the last thing I'd ever want to do is hurt a kid, but we've got a major, big problem in country and right here in this State. And we've got to do something about it. And we've got an opportunity. We've had several pieces of legislation that will give us an opportunity to really help those people help themselves. That's the only way we're going to solve this. We can't do it by writing a bigger check or providing more programs. That's not the answer. jobs. Certainly, that's the answer. And that's the only way these people will get their self-esteem to the level confidence in themselves, that they will be productive members. But when we continue to provide more and more assistance, it's not solving the problem, Ladies and Gentlemen. It's not solving We've seen this go on for generations and decades, and where are we today? Are we any better off today than we were during the Society, which tried to -- tried to promote an end to the poverty problem in this country? No, I don't think we really are. I think, if anything, maybe we've taken a few steps backwards. What this legislation will do with the money that's saved - will provide for training; provide for education, transportation day care subsidy, so that these people will be able to take their kids to a day care facility and go out and work and get a job, which they wouldn't be able to do if they continue to have more and more children. It's unbelievable the number of people that have contacted my office that work for the Department of Children and Family Services, that are caseworkers for the Department of Public Aid. I've had physicians call me and tell me yes, indeed, there are those people out there who have no other reason to have a child but to get a bigger check. percentage of them out there. Some of you don't want to admit that. Some of the social service agencies throughout this don't want to admit that, but it's going on. It's happening. I

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

don't want to be punitive, and I'm not trying to hurt the kids.

And I just want you to know that. Thank you very much, and I'd appreciate an Aye vote.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Question is the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1930. Those in favor of the amendment will vote Aye. Opposed will vote Nay. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 28 Ayes, 27 Nays, none voting Present. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further — further — I beg your pardon. Senator Brookins, for what purpose do you arise? That request is in order. Senator Brookins has requested a verification. Will the Members please be in their seats. Madam Secretary, please read the affirmative roll.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

The following Members voted in the affirmative: Barkhausen, Butler, Davidson, DeAngelis, Donahue, Dudycz, Ralph Dunn, Etheredge, Fawell, Friedland, Geo-Karis, Hawkinson, Hudson, Karpiel, Keats, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Maitland, Philip, Raica, Rigney, Schaffer, Schuneman, Topinka, Watson, Weaver and Woodyard.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Brookins, do you question the presence of any Member? Senator Keats is in his chair. All right. No further...

SENATOR BROOKINS:

Senator Hawkinson.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Hawkinson is right behind us -- behind you. All right. The roll has been verified. On that question, there are 28 Ayes, 27 Nays. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments? SECRETARY HAWKER:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Welch.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Welch, on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you -- thank you, Mr. President. What Amendment No. 2 does is make the original part of the bill operative only if there is a waiver from the Federal Government. I'd move the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator Welch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1930. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 1931. Senator Welch. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1931.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Welch offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Welch, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 to this bill has the agency, together with the Department of Energy and Natural Resources, studying and making recommendations on establishing a

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

nonhazardous waste landfill permitting system, restricting landfill permits to a designated area of service. This would be a study of our landfill problem. I'd move adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Welch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1931. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. Senator Woodyard. 1945. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1945.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Donahue offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Donahue, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 deletes everything after the enacting clause on Senate Bill 1945, and what it does is it repeals the Compensation Review Board Act. In essence, it eliminates the Compensation Review Board. That's all the bill does, and I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Donahue has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Senate Bill 1945. Discussion? Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Point of parliamentary -- point of order, your honor -- your -- Mr. President. Is this amendment germane to the bill?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

The Chair will be prepared to rule. Senator Demuzio, for what purpose do you arise? Okay. Yeah. The Chair is prepared to rule that the proposed Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1945 is not germane, and is therefore out of order. 1945 deals with the use of funds collected by the Department of Labor. The amendment purports to repeal the Compensation Review Act, which has absolutely nothing to do with the Department of Labor or fees collected by that Department of State Government. The fact that the bill does not amend any provision of the General Assembly Article into which the Compensation Review Act is placed, further corroborates that the amendment is not germane, and therefore out of order. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. Senator Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Senator Donahue was calling for your attention, and I would like to yield to Senator Donahue.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

You may certainly yield to Senator Donahue. Senator Donahue. SENATOR DONAHUE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would simply -- could we ask for a -- a vote on your ruling?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Certainly, that is in order. Question is, shall the ruling of

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

the Chair be sustained. Those in favor of sustaining the ruling of the Chair, vote Aye. Opposed to the ruling of the Chair, vote Nay. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 27 Ayes and 28 Nays. Having receive — having failed to receive the necessary three-fifths negative vote, the appeal fails, and the ruling of the Chair is sustained. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. Senator Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President, a point of parliamentary inquiry.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

State your point, please.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Since this was an amendment that -- repealing the -- the pay raise situation of the Board, will you tell me what the status of that Compensation Review bill is -- if we don't act on it. I would like to see some action taken on it, and I would like to ask your opinion.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator Geo-Karis, let me just say this, and I hope this will put it to rest for at least the moment. I felt it, as a matter of institutional courtesy, since the Leadership appoints the members of the Compensation Review Board — as a matter of institutional courtesy — to at least hear how they arrived at the conclusions they presented to us a couple of weeks ago. We have thirty Session days within which to react, and so it's scheduled for hearing in the Executive Committee next week.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

I hope the Chairman will be present to explain to us why they suggested what they suggested. 1951. Senator Etheredge. 1971. Senator Schaffer. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER.

Senate Bill 1971.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Schaffer offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Schaffer, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Mr. President, as I briefly mentioned to the Committee on Local Government when this bill was before them, what we -- what this amendment is, is a requirement that the schools in the collar counties notify the municipal and county authorities when they reach capacity, so that the county and municipal authorities, in planning the growth in the area, will be able to react to that situation.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Schaffer has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1971. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 1978. Senator Fawell. 1979. Senator Hawkinson. Read the bill, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Senate Bill 1979.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Hawkinson offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Hawkinson, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment does two things. The bill is a proposal from the Chicago Bar Association concerning alternative modes of service of process. There were objections by Members in committee to the first part of the bill, which involved leaving a copy of a summons with someone who's not a family member. This amendment deletes that part of the bill which was objected to. There were further objections in committee to the second part of the bill, and a feeling that good-faith service need not be attempted before an alternative means could be attempted, and so we have put in language requiring that there be specific statement showing that reasonable efforts to make service have been successful. I -- this amendment, I improves the bill. I should point out to the Members, and will do so again on 3rd Reading, that Legal Assistance Foundation remains opposed to the bill. I'll continue to try and work with them, but I think that this amendment, in any event, in -- addresses concerns that were expressed in committee, and I would ask for its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Hawkinson has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1979. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 1980. Senator Ralph Dunn. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1980.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Dunn, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR R. DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 1 was offered -- or suggested to me by the Office of Education, and it kind of clarified the bill, rewrote the language to provide that the watch list would not otherwise -- schools that would not otherwise be on the watch list, were it not for the State's funding shortfalls, would be designated separately. I move for the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Dunn has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1980. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2000. Senator Palmer. Top of page 9, Ladies and Gentlemen. 2015. Senator Weaver. Top of page 9. Bottom of page 10. 2045. Senator Collins. Read the bill, Madam Secretary,

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

please. 2045. We're at the bottom of page 10. And then we will go back and pick up the appropriation bills on 2nd Reading. 2045, Madam Secretary. Read the bill, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2045.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Collins offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Collins, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yes. Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 is a response to some of the concerns raised by the committee. Senator Berman was concerned about the distribution of the funds. We took out all language pertaining to the distribution of the funds from narcotic forfeitures. It also clarifies the habitual nuisance Section that was in the bill. It takes out the pilot project for several of the police districts in the City of Chicago. It addresses some of the other technical problems, and I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Collins has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2045. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2062. Senator Cullerton. Yes, no, maybe. Read

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

the bill, please,

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2062

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Cullerton offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Cullerton, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CULLERTON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. This is in response to a request from the Department of Public Aid. This amendment would require the Department of Public Aid to develop and distribute a uniform parentage consent petition, a proposed parentage order, and instructions for completion of both, for use in a hospital-based parentage consent program. It specifies what information will be provided regarding each parent's rights and obligations. It was drafted with the assistance of the Department of Public Aid. I ask for its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Cullerton's moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2062. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Cullerton.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Cullerton, on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CULLERTON:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. This

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

amendment was drafted in response to concerns raised by the Illinois State Bar Association. What this amendment would do would be to permit an adjudicated father - that is one who consented to parentage - it would permit that father to bring an action to declare the nonexistence of paternity in certain circumstances. That father would -- if that father has reasonable probability as results of genetic tests, if he can show that there's a reasonable probability that he's not the father, he can then bring this action two years after he obtains that probability. So, again, the Bar Association expressed some concerns about this bill, and this is in response to those concerns. Move for its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Cullerton's moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2062. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Cullerton.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR CULLERTON:

This amendment deals with the Vital Records Act as well, and it's in response to concern by some folks who do genealogical research. It incorporates a House Bill 2678, which Representative Black has introduced, which says that if you want to do genealogical research, you don't have to be a member of an Illinois genealogical society, rather any genealogical society. It also sets up a opportunity for access to birth certificates for genealogical research which occurred seventy-five years ago and death certificates which occurred twenty years ago. And in an effort to allow that no fraud would take place, these copies have

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

to be stamped "for genealogical purposes only." This has -- I've been working on this amendment as well with the Clerk of Cook County, David Orr, and it has his support, and I would ask for its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Cullerton's moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 2062. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2066. Senator Severns. 2094. Senator Jones. Read the bill, Madam Secretary. Senator Berman, for -- I'm sorry, for what purpose do you arise, sir?

SENATOR BERMAN:

Point of personal privilege, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

State your point, please.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Standing to my left is a former outstanding Member of this Body; a former Member of the House of Representatives; and now one of the great judges of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Senator Sam Maragos.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Maragos, welcome. Bottom of page 10. Senate Bill 2094. Read the bill, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2094.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Are there amendments from the Floor? SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Jones offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jones, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR JONES:

Yeah. Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 is essentially the bill. It provides that in the event of a power -- power failure that -- of total more than four hours in a thirty-day period, electric public utilities must waive the monthly fixed fee for customers; compensate customers for damages incurred because of failure; and reimburse governmental units for emergency and contingency expenses incurred. The amendment is basically the bill, and I move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jones has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2094. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. I'm sorry. Senator Macdonald.

SENATOR MACDONALD:

I'm sorry, Mr. President. I had my light on long before the -- Senator Jones even started explaining the bill. I -- I object to this amendment, on the grounds that it is a -- it is a major change from the original bill. This kind of legislation did not come before our committee. In fact, there was a bill in the Rules Committee that was Senator Palmer's bill that was very similar that was not discharged from the Rules Committee, and I think that this is a -- that this is a vast change. And I would object to

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

this amendment, and I would ask for a roll call.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. We're going to have a roll call. Question is the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2094. Senator Macdonald has requested a roll call. Those in favor of the amendment will vote Aye. Opposed will vote Nay. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 10 Ayes, 33 Nays, and the amendment fails. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2119. Senator Jones. Top of page 11, Ladies and Gentlemen. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2119. Read the bill.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2119.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2125. Senator Jones. Read the bill, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2125.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Jones offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jones, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 delete all the substance of the bill and turns it into a shell bill, and I move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jones has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2125. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2152. Senator Watson. Read the bill, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2152.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Watson offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Watson, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR WATSON:

Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment requires the Department of Transportation to conduct a diligent inquiry - that includes a search of tax records - to obtain a title commitment. If the Department does not complete these requirements, then this

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Section of the law does not apply. Also under this bill the -this Section does not apply to an out-of-state owner. This
amendment deletes that exemption, and therefore the Department of
Transportation must comply with these requirements regardless of
where the owner lives. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Watson has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2152. Discussion? Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Senator Watson, this deals with eminent domain proceedings. Can you tell me in what scope the Department of Transportation intends to use this, and what happens after the -- the person who they've made the inquiry about still remains anonymous, then what happens?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

What we're doing is actually tightening up current law. There's some problems in regard to a diligent search by the Department of Transportation, and what we're doing is tightening up the current law so that that -- that search would be required. PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator -- Oh. Further discussion? If not, Senator Watson's moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2152. All in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2156. Senator Schuneman. 2177. Senator Welch. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please. 2177.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Senate Bill 2177.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Agriculture and Conservation offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Welch, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR WELCH:

Committee Amendment No. 1 deleted the entire bill and made it a shell bill.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Welch, you moving the adoption of Committee Amendment -- Senator Welch has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2177. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. Senator Butler, do you wish to speak on this bill? Senator Butler. Senator Butler.

SENATOR BUTLER:

Thank you. A point of personal privilege, please.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Well, let me just get finished with this bill.

SENATOR BUTLER:

Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator Welch has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2177. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments? SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Welch offers Amendment No. 2.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Welch, on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR WELCH:

Amendment No. 2 was an amendment that Senator Woodyard spoke about briefly. This bill is part of a two-bill package concerning pesticides and fertilizer. What this bill does is continue existing fees that are already on the books for an additional year, creating seed money for the start of a remediation fund. I'd be glad to try to answer any questions.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Welch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2177. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. Senator Butler, for what purpose do you arise? SENATOR BUTLER:

Thank you, Mr. President. A point of personal privilege. PRESIDENT ROCK:

State your point, please.

SENATOR BUTLER:

Ladies and Gentlemen, I'd like to introduce to you a very distinguished guest. My guest is Mayor Joan Barr of Evanston, one of our -- the largest communities in our State, and also the incoming President of the Northwestern Municipal Conference. This young lady represents thirty-five communities, comprising over a million one hundred thousand residents. Ladies and Gentlemen, say hello to Mayor Joan Barr.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Mayor, welcome. 2178. Senator Alexander. Read the bill,

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2178.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Judiciary I offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Alexander, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR ALEXANDER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee No. 1 becomes the bill, and this Amendment No. 1 has been drafted with the concerns of the committee members. It deals with trafficking in dope, a preponderance of evidence being presented to the court, and the court ordering an emergency forcible entry for those persons so adjudged on a judgment order to be taken out of the public housing systems. I ask for -- go to 3rd Reading.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator Alexander has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2178. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Alexander offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Alexander, on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR ALEXANDER:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'm not aware of Amendment No. 2. Could you take that out a minute, just for record? There seems to

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

be a mistake. I thought I had only filed one amendment. Perhaps something wrong there. Could you let me come see which one we're dealing with, please?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Alexander.

SENATOR ALEXANDER:

I've been advised by staff that the analyst and the person who wrote the amendment for me suggests we go forth with this amendment. I move the adoption of this amendment. It's an emergency addiction proceedings, which gives proper opportunity for the hearing, and it just allows for the removal of dope dealers from the public housing system. And it's requested by the Chicago Housing Authority.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Alexander has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2178. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2181. Senator Schaffer. Read the bill, please, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2181.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Schaffer offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Senator Schaffer, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Mr. President, this is the amendment that we referenced in committee and would provide that the definition of "excise franchise costs" would be those franchise fees in excess of two percent of the revenues generated within that municipality. This is an attempt to simplify the bill and make sure we don't end up with a lot of unnecessary paperwork with the Commerce Commission. And I believe the -- particularly some of the utilities wanted to avoid that.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Schaffer has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2181. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2211. Senator Jacobs. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2211.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Commerce and Economic Development offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jacobs, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR JACOBS:

I ask that we table Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT BOCK.

Senator Jacobs has moved to table Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2211. Discussion? If not, all in favor of the Motion

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

to Table, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.

The motion carries, and Amendment No. 1 is tabled. Are there
further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2225. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2225.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. All right. Ladies and Gentlemen, it is just beyond 2:30 and we will, again, begin on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, and we will go right through the appropriation bills. So I'd ask particularly the Appropriations' chairs and minority spokesmen to be ready, as we will move through the bills as rapidly as possible. And then we will go to the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading to handle -- the Secretary informs me, there are about twenty requests for recalls. I'd ask the Secretary perhaps this is a good time to cut the list off, and get a list prepared so that we can reach that Order of Business. Page 2 on the Calendar.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Senator Cullerton, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR CULLERTON:

A point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

State your point.

SENATOR CULLERTON:

Mr. President, I recently took residence in the town of Petersburg, near New Salem, and I see there's a former Republican State Representative in the gallery, who is here participating in the Illinois Alcoholism and Drug Dependence Association Rally to celebrate prevention and recovery. He's also passing out pictures of himself on pennies. Former Representative Abraham Lincoln is here.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Our guest in the gallery has already risen. Welcome. All right. Page 2. 1483. Senator Carroll, are you ready? All right. Madam Secretary, 1483.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1483.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. While this is the Court of Claims awards bill, I thought this be the appropriate time to go through what would be the basis

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

of the guideline amendments that we will be offering on the overwhelming majority of the operations of State Government bills. For those who haven't heard the guidelines that were suggested in committee and adopted by committee amendments, basically what we're attempting to do is to get the executive agencies...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Pardon me, Senator Carroll. Ladies and Gentlemen, if we could have some order, please; this will go quicker if we are paying attention. Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you. And the purpose of this is obviously so that we don't have to explain it each time. Basically what we're is to keep the executive agencies in line with that which the Legislature has been doing for and to itself for the last couple years. As one of the handouts we've given you indicates, while the executive agencies in their administrative lines - the that would be equivalent to the operations of the General Assembly - have gone up about eight percent, district offices, of course, have gone up zero, and all of Senate Operations have gone up one percent. So in an effort to try and cut back on the size of government and bring those lines more in line with what reasonable spending, we have suggested eliminating all of the consulting-type contracts that do not fall into any specific category, where they couldn't even find a place that normally would have a contract. So it's not the accountants and all We're talking about a reduction of half of the rental of motor films and audiovisual aids, statistical tabulation services - things of that nature that are not related to client care; eliminating the employee moving expenses, advertising for new employees, subscriptions, and fees and conference expenses to in half; eliminating the out-of-state travel; curtailing significantly, by fifteen to thirty percent, the in-state travel;

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

cutting back on office supplies for running these offices; cutting the printing lines, because some of these reports they are printing through outside contracts are just extremely expensive. One agency testified as to eight dollars per booklet printed. Cutting back telecommunications for the extra, or high beepers, answering machines, car phones, pagers, eliminating the unjustified equipment expense; cutting back five percent; and cutting half of the operation of auto equipment. the local papers here identified, there are something like twelve thousand five hundred and fifty-five vehicles operated by the State, with sixty-five thousand employees; meaning that, in effect, one out of every five State employees, as an average, some type of State vehicles. In personal services we said we should cut back on all of the administrative assistant type positions, executive assistant type positions, by a reduction of about thirty percent, except those unique for agencies. Additionally, we have found a growing number - growing number - of legislative liaisons from each of the agencies, information officers from each of the agencies. We Governor has a very good legislative liaison office. cases, they're the only ones ever allowed to talk to also do a good job on press releases, and everything, apparently by executive order, flows through them. So we believe, by legislative action, it should flow through them as well. thing with vacancies - getting rid of the long-term vacancies; cutting back on all the new positions, and those types of general operating reductions. Where the agency was funded by special funds that are the same as General Revenue in nature, not federal, we are suggesting that they have the same guidelines, and those savings go to the General Revenue Fund. The purpose of this, again, is to recognize that these are tight economic times and that it's time to cut back. We have already demonstrated that

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

they should be following the same plans we followed all of these years, and hold their cost increases, not to eight times the General Assembly, but hopefully a lot closer, maybe only two or three times. With that, I will offer Committee Amendment No. 1 to the Court of Claims awards bill. This is their '92 supplemental, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion? Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Thank you, Mr. President. You know, there are many of us on this side of the aisle, Senator Carroll, who appreciate that you've suddenly discovered cutting the budget's not a bad idea. I wanted to check and see, did any of these amendments take your brother off the State payroll? Is that -- is that in any of these ones, the contract he has?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Almost as much as Senator Philip's and Representative Daniels' relatives, but in fact, mine would fall under that category. My brother, who's worked there for years, would probably end up without a job under this. Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Probably? Something tells me that won't be the intent. Probably. Secondly, does this affect any of the contracts that

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

many of your precinct captains and workers within the 50th Ward and in the City of Chicago have? I think you're well aware that it has been boasted by some of your Members that every one of your captains in the 50th Ward has a State contract. I'm sure that's an exaggeration. I know not every one of your captains has them, but have you perhaps removed a few of them from the payroll? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Senator Keats, if I thought you were being serious, I might answer seriously. My city people usually have city or county jobs.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator -- Senator Keats, on the amendment? Senator Keats. SENATOR KEATS:

Senator Carroll, we will shortly hand you a list of a few of your employees on the State payroll. We'll get back to you on that. I'm sure you'll enjoy explaining a few, but I did want to say -- and we'll talk more when you get to some more specific bills. Talk about cars and you talk about these expenses - when I had a resolution to reduce the number of cars and State planes, and it went to committee, you voted -- the Democrats voted unanimously, with the help of a few Republicans, but voted unanimously not to do this. And then your Leader got on a plane and flew home right after that on one of those State planes we tried to get rid of. Would this in any way deal with that problem?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Welch, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR WELCH:

I'd rise on a point of order. This has nothing to do with this amendment. This is a speech by the Senator, and I would ask

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

that the Chair rule him out of order and ask -- and move on.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Keats, the Gentleman has a good point. Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

I would one hundred percent agree with Senator Welch if -- if Senator Carroll's remarks had been limited to one amendment. You would be one hundred percent correct, if Senator Carroll had talked about one amendment, but as you remember he spoke in general about all.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator -- Senator Keats, let's -- it's going to be a long day; let's move on. Further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1. All in favor, indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

Committee Amendment No. 2 is a technical amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor, indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Senator Brookins, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR BROOKINS:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise on a point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

State your point.

SENATOR BROOKINS:

Thank you. I have at my desk Frank C. Bacon, retired Brigadier General of the Army. Mr. Bacon. Also a police officer that served with me for over fourteen or fifteen years in the Chicago Police Department.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Mr. Bacon, welcome to the Floor. Senator Hall, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR HALL:

Well, I'd like to say that the General is also the husband of Dr. Bacon, who's a member of the University of Illinois Board. Dr.... General Bacon.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Thank you -- thank you for making that point. Further amendments -- committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Amendment No. 3 -- Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. At the request of the Republican side, this would cover a lost warrant of some seven hundred and thirty-five dollars. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Page 3, is 1607. Senator Vadalabene. Madam Secretary, 1607, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1607.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 1727. Senator Rock. 1728. 1729. 1730. With leave of the Body, Senator Carroll will handle that bill. Leave is granted. 1730, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate -- Senate Bill 1730.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. ...(machine cutoff)...Bill 1733, Madam

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1733.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

President Rock offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

I wonder, would the Secretary be kind enough to read the -- just the last three or four digits or...

SECRETARY HAWKER:

1733fam2grd.

SENATOR ROCK:

That's the one. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 was delivered just a short time ago, and I apologize for not getting it over to the other side earlier, because I just received it. But it is effort on the part of the State Comptroller herself to reduce her budget. And this would be a total reduction of a hundred and thirty-three thousand dollars. As I'm sure you're aware, the budget of the Comptroller has three essential parts: one is payment of salaries for executive and State officers in general; two are the public grants that this Assembly has authorized for public radio and television; and the third and smaller part of the budget concerns the operation of the Comptroller's Office. She has worked diligently since the committee meeting to attempt t:o find somewhere to cut. As I'm sure you're aware, we afford and have afforded, and I hope will continue to afford, the

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

constitutional officers the right to have their budget as they wish it. It is between the Governor and the executive officer, no matter who it is, and ultimately between the executive officer and the constituency in Illinois, to defend and otherwise justify the budget request. The Comptroller is — has assured me that she is — she finds this budget very defensible, and she offers this as a good-faith effort at cuts, and assures me that she will continue to look for further cuts. It is a total cut of a hundred and thirty-three thousand dollars in GRF, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Rock has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1. Discussion? Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Will the sponsor yield, Mr. President?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Senator Rock, does this amendment implement the guidelines that were spelled out a few minutes ago by Senator Carroll?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

In part, yes. Not in total, no.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

I guess my next question is, why it does not implement the guidelines in total, since that is the procedure that is proposed for the departments -- various departments of State Government. Why aren't we adopting them -- these across the board?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Well, I think it's fair to say - and there are really two One, I think we afford, as we have in the past and as I said I hope will continue in the future - we afford the constitutionally elected and constitutional officers the opportunity to present and defend and have their budget the way they wish it. And ultimately it's subject to the gubernatorial approval, and they have to go talk to the Governor, just like the rest of us. And ultimately, obviously, they have to defend their budget request to the people of Illinois. The fact is, we do not wish to impose guidelines that will severely inhibit the exercise her responsibility. And she has said, in no uncertain terms, as I'm sure you heard in committee, that to impose that percent cut would inhibit and lessen her ability to fulfill her responsibility. So subsequent to the committee meeting, indeed meet with her -- her own internal audit staff, and they have come up with what they feel are cuts that -- she eliminating the funds for advertising for new employees, and for interviewing expenses. She's reducing registration and conference fees. She is cutting in-state travel ten percent, out-of-state travel fifty percent. She's eliminating two car phones, and she is reducing equipment purchases. She's also reducing the public television and radio grants by twenty-five thousand dollars. -- I think she's making a good-faith effort, and I would hope that we would honor it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you very much. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I -- I would concur in that we should applaud this good-faith effort toward balancing the State budget. And I would

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

hope, however, that this would only represent a -- a start - a small start - toward the achievement of additional cuts within this budget, because this budget, as introduced, was four and a half percent - for the operations part anyway - was four and a half percent over last year. So I would urge the Comptroller to continue to work on this budget.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator -- further discussion? Senator Rock. SENATOR ROCK:

Well I hope I hear the same solicitude when we deal, in the next couple of weeks, with the Secretary of State's request. A four and a half percent increase, as indeed she has requested, is nine hundred thousand dollars against her base. I mean, this is truly not the end of the world, and I hope that when we deal with really big budgets we're going to hear the same kind of rhetoric. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Rock has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 1840. Senator Rock. 1840, Madam Secretary. SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1840.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Go ahead, Senator Rock.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock. I'm sorry. Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1, which I understand was agreed to, reduces the appropriation level to the Governor's requested level. I know of no objection, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Rock has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1840. Those in favor will vote Aye -- those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment -- Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 1841. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1841, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1841.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 1 reduces

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

the U of I's appropriation down to the Governor's allocation level, and I'd move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Weaver has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1841. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would be a reduction of some five hundred thousand in the Urban Leadership funds. Ag Premium is tight. This was a new program that seems to be doing no particular useful purpose, and I would move its adoption. It's an attempt to save some money.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1842. Senator Vadalabene. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1842, Madam

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1842.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes. It reduces it to the Governor's allocation level, and I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Vadalabene has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1842. Discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1843. Senator Maitland. Madam Secretary, 1843.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1843.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This reduces to the Governor's allocation level of two hundred and forty million, plus a thousand -- 2261. I ask for the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1843. Discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1844. Senator Carroll. Madam Secretary, 1844.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1844.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would bring the appropriation request down to the Governor's level, and I would move its adoption.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1844. Is there discussion? If not, -- I'm sorry. Senator Brookins.

SENATOR BROOKINS:

Thank you. Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Brookins.

SENATOR BROOKINS:

Thank you. Senator Carroll, maybe you can answer for a couple of bills for me, but mainly this one. The tuition that is paid by the students at SIU and et cetera, will -- what effect will that have on that tuition? As we know they have doubled, in some universities, the amount of tuition paid, or significant raised tuition at all universities. Could you just, at this time, give me a little insight on that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

I will try to illuminate you. The boards brought in the budgets at a fairly high level. The Governor recommended a tuition increase of about four percent. Each of these budgets were then reduced by the Appropriations II Committee down to that level of four percent. Several of the boards have met - I think one is still meeting - to increase that level of tuition increase to ten, eleven, or whatever the percentages. Nothing in these bills allows that to happen.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator -- Senator Brookins. All right. Further discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1844. All in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1845. Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1845.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Severns.

SENATOR SEVERNS:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 reduces to the Governor's allocation level. I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Severns has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1845. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Severns.

SENATOR SEVERNS:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

This committee amendment simply reduces the out-of-state travel line at the State Community College by seventeen thousand seven hundred dollars.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Is there discussion? Senator Severns has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1845. If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1846.

END OF TAPE

TAPE 3

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Do -- do you wish to have 1846 called? All right. Senate Bill 1846, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1846.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Severns.

SENATOR SEVERNS:

This is simply the community college rate bill, substantive language, actually, but it reduces the small college funding from one hundred and twenty thousand to sixty thousand, and retains rates for credit hour reimbursement from the Board of Higher Ed recommendations. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Severns has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1846. Discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1847. Senator Etheredge. Madam Secretary, 1847.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1847.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Let me -- let me pose a question, Senator Carroll. Senator Hall. Procedurally, so I can make this go a little faster - in the past the committee chairmen have moved the adoption of the

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

committee amendments, but I've noticed that we are now reverting to the -- the sponsors of the bills. Just for clarification, who's moving what? Senator Hall. All right. Senator Etheredge. SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

This Committee Amendment No. 1 reduces the ISAC appropriation to the Governor's allocation level. I'd move for its adoption.

All right. Senator Carroll -- Senator Etheredge has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1847. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator -- now, Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you. Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 2 eliminates 1.4 million Student Loan Fund's budget for a lease purchase agreement for the new Deerfield facility. I move for the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall's moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No.

2. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1848. Senator Etheredge. Madam Secretary, 1848.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1848.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator -- any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Etheredge offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

This amendment strips the bill and converts it to a vehicle. I

move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1849, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1849.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This reduces it to the Governor's allocation level. I move for the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall's moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No.

1. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1850, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1850.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Mr. President, this reduces to the Governor's allocation level. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall's moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No.

 Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1867. Senator Hall. Madam

Secretary, 1867.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bills -- Senate Bill 1867.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1868. Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall. All right. 1868.

SENATOR HALL:

No amendments.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1868.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1869. Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1869.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1870. Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1870.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1871. Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1871.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1872. Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1872.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1873. Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1873.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1874, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1874.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1875, Madam Secretary.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1875.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1876. Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1876.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1880. Madam Secretary, read the bill, please. 1880.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1880.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. One of the things our hearings in the Appropriations Committee identified is: (a) that jobs are one of the most important things we could be worrying about as a State; and (b) that Prairie State 2000 as an Authority was probably the most effective in job training, and therefore job creation. The Floor Amendment No. 1 would therefore reinstate Prairie State 2000 as an agency. The grants are at the Governor's budget level, and the operations are fifteen percent below the Fiscal '92 funding levels. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion? Senator Etheredge. SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you very much, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen the Senate. I would urge everyone to take a very close look at I mean, what we're -- what we're proposing to do here is to increase the projected costs of this program a total of almost dollars above what the Governor million has proposed in his budget. There is an additional six hundred and forty thousand dollars in -- in grants included in this proposal above and beyond the Governor's budget. We're also proposing to double - double the administrative costs of this -- of this program, and that amounts to an extra two hundred and sixty-some-odd thousand dollars beyond what the Governor has -- has recommended. I think that there are a great many of us here on the Floor that have an interest in bringing our expenditures in line with our revenues, and I would suggest that by voting for this particular amendment are going the wrong direction here; we're going increasing the cost of State Government by about a million I would urge a No vote on this amendment, and Mr. President, I would ask for a roll call.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll may close.

SENATOR CARROLL.

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Actually, as I understand it, the grants in this amendment exactly that which the Governor proposed. The operations were brought in fifteen percent under Fiscal '92. But let's talk about what we're really supposed to be all about. Even the Director of the Department that was to inherit this agency admitted that Prairie State 2000 does a good job. Any of you who have spoken to employers in this State - from all over this State - tell you the only one doing a good job - the only one doing a good job - is Prairie State 2000. Who's not doing a good job? DCCA. So where's the Governor putting it? DCCA. That makes no sense at all. If it ain't broke, don't make it broke, and that's what Governor's proposal was intending to do; take the one program that works and turn it into one of the many that does not. amendment says let's create jobs by training. Let's do it only way that the people who get the benefit tell us works, and let's do it right. And I would urge its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll's moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1. All in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 27, the Nays are 28, none voting Present. The amendment fails. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1881. Senator Carroll. Madam Secretary, 1881.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1881.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1882. Senator Carroll. Madam Secretary, 1882, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1882.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1883, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1883.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

3rd Reading. 1884. Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1884.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1885, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1885.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1886. Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1886.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1887. Senator Carroll. Madam Secretary, 1887, please.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1887.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

I move to table Committee Amendment 1. It is technically incorrect. We have filed a Floor amendment that would be technically correct. I would at this time move to table Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll's moved to table Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1887. All in favor, indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is tabled. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Why, thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee -- actually Floor Amendment No. 2 is the technically correct version of that which we intended to do in committee, and that is to raise the level of GO bonds refunding. It will allow us, because of some lowering of interests rates, we

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

believe, to save an additional fourteen million seven-hundred thousand. I would move adoption of Floor Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Is there discussion? Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

There is a meritorious reason for refinancing certain bonds. According to our analysis - and this is what I would like to clarify with the sponsor - it does also call for a total increase in bonded indebtedness. Now the part in terms of refinancing makes sense, because we can get lower interest. Are we increasing the potential bonded indebtedness with some of the rest of the amendment, or is it just -- or am I -- or is our analysis incorrect?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

It is my understanding, Senator Keats, that what we are adjusting is the amount that can be refunded. And it's -- if you'll look at line 12, it says "for the purpose of refunding." So we have to raise the amount that can be refunded in order to capture these savings. It does not raise the total authorization. Page 1, line 12.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Carroll's moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1888, Madam Secretary. ... (machine cutoff)...apologize to the group. It's on my script, but apparently the bill is not even on the Calendar, so - Senate Bill 2000. Senator Watson. Senator Watson. 2001. 2001, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2001.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers $\mbox{Committee}$ Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the Senate guidelines. And in this particular case, what we did with the potential savings was to reallocate them for abandoned mines grants, so that we could actually get more use into the grant lines. And I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment
-- Committee Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion? Senator
Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Yes. Thank you. Senator, we had a discussion yesterday concerning the fact that this money is all federal dollars, and it has no General Revenue Fund implications or no State dollars at all. Having said that now, I would have thought you might withdraw this particular amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

SENATOR CARROLL:

What we are waiting to find out, Senator Watson -- you had spoken. Yes, they are federal dollars. We thought they would be better spent - rather than creating two sets of criteria, if possible, for those who work under one payroll or another - better spent in the grant lines for actually doing the abandoned mine reclamation. It is my suggestion, Senator Watson, that at least when we try to find out an answer to that - could these federal dollars be used for the grants and would that not be better? - of course, we don't expect an answer of would it not be better. could they be used for federal grants? We have not been told there's a reason they could not. If they cannot be used grants - and if we can't find out today, maybe tomorrow - I will table the amendment or -- or put an amendment to -- to reverse it. But if they can, then I think we should apply the same quidelines and put it into abandoned mine reclamation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Well, I'm just going to oppose the amendment, because each year we've had to go through this with the Abandoned Mines Council, and Director Tim Hickmann has worked with the committee always, and — and we've always reinstated the dollars. We're talking about six hundred and ten thousand five hundred dollars—a third of their operation budget. And the federal guidelines dictate how this money can be spent. It's really not something that we here have much control over. And it's certainly — it's really very punitive to the agency and to his mission and being able to accomplish the work that this particular agency does. So I think we ought to resist this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Etheredge.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

you very much, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I propose here to make some comments that relate, not so much to this particular bill, but to the quidelines that have been utilized in making the reductions in the operations of -- of this council. And the remarks that I'm about to make apply not only to this bill, but each one of the appropriation bills that -that follows that has to do with the operational departments of -of State Government. As I said before, I think that there -- that the great majority, if not all of us here on the Floor, have an interest in reducing the State's spending levels so that they will come within the available revenue. So that we -are interested in making budget cuts. We are interested in making State Government more efficient than perhaps it has been in the But I would suggest to you that the guidelines that have been utilized in developing this budget and those that follow, via the amendment process, are truly bogus. They are bogus because there are no substantive changes in the law that would, in fact. make these reductions work. For example, we are cutting the printing line items, but at the same time making no statutory changes in those parts of the Statutes that mandate publication of various notices and reports and so forth on the part of the departments of State Government. And we can go through all quidelines. These really are bogus. And I would suggest that the -- the Governor and -- has been working with -- with us the last eighteen months to do real - not bogus, but real budget cuts. And the only way we can really do real cutting is by changing the State's programs and modifying them in ways that will make them more economical. know that for many of you this amendment is going to be you, and you will vote for it. But I, for one, will be voting against this particular amendment, because it is bogus. I would

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

suggest to you that the Chicago Sun-Times had it right on May 17th, when, in their lead editorial, they said - and I'm quoting now the Sun-Times - "No one is fooled by any of this posturing. ...gets the State closer to solving its fiscal problems from inadequate school funding to the mountain of overdue bills. It is a charade, not substance. It wastes time and insults the intelligence of taxpayers." I would suggest that it - on this occasion, at least - the Sun-Times was right on.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you very much, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. suppose it's appropriate for both of us on this side of the aisle to comment and then allow the -- the process to move forward. debated this issue last week quite a bit in committee; talked about the problems; have studied the impact upon the various agencies in State Government as a result of these amendments. I would suggest to the Body that you -- you look at the process as we deal with it here in Springfield. You know, we bring agency directors out of the private sector because they are pood and they can run departments and managers, divisions and companies. And we bring them into State Government and ask them to run an agency. And they have been under tremendous pressure, especially in this administration, to get this fiscal house in And they are doing it. And they worked for months months - structuring a budget that continued to scale down and streamline government - not as much as we'd like to see, but made progress, and that was the budget. They did that a year ago. They did it in January. They did it again this spring. last week -- then last week, the other side of the aisle, who know much more about running those agencies than those agency directors know, just took a brush and said, "This is how much we're going to

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

cut you" - not changing whatsoever the obligations that those agencies have, as suggested in the Sun-Times and others. And those of you who attended that -- that news conference last know that the media saw through this charade, said it won't work, and it won't. My goodness, it is early in the Session. It. early in the Session. Everyone would like to make all the cuts in the world. But I would suggest to you, Members of this Body, look at where some of these cuts are. Senator Rea. Senator Collins. Some of you who are going to find this dramatically affecting your district - how in the world can you vote for such a charade? the answer is you probably can't. We've got a long ways to go in this process. The effort we are about today doesn't - doesn't allow State Government to move forward smoothly. I plan to reject all of these. And let me make one final comment. Senator Carroll passed out to you today an editorial in the Daily Pantagraph, which happens to be my home newspaper. And they made some strong statements about the State Democrats taking right steps. They read the news release. I talked to them today; they didn't know anything about the add-ons that are coming down the pike. knew nothing about that. They were shocked to hear that. Why wouldn't they put that in the news release? I said, "Gosh! I don't know. But they sure didn't, did they?" I said, what this process is all about." Call a spade a spade. This is a charade.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

During the 1980s -- during the 1980s, bipartisanly, many of us in this Body complained about these goofy supplemental appropriations of hundreds of millions of dollars. This amendment is why, in the 1980s, we had all these supplementals; because in the budget process the chairman of the committee decided, as a

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

joke, "We're going to cut this budget and make it look balanced and make it look like we've reduced it." But they've reduced items without authorizing, in reality, less spending. So that, come the fall, we have to come in and put in a supplemental appropriation to put some of this money back in. If you really wanted to make these cuts, you would tell the agencies, "You don't have to spend the money on these things." You haven't changed authorization; all you've done is misled people, so that in the fall you guarantee another supplemental appropriation that will every Member of this Chamber, as if bipartisanly, we can't add and we can't put together a The 1980s' budgets were full of this - year in and year out. We'd come back in the fall to correct the silliness we'd done July 5th or 6th, when we were still meeting, because we did not have the candor or honesty to lower what we really were spending; we would take out items that had to be spent - the most notorious one having been Medicaid. It was a joke, but we'd -underappropriate and pretend like we'd saved money. I mean, what -- what fool thinks we've done that? Bipartisanly - there are many Members on your side of the aisle and every Member on our side of the aisle is more than willing to bipartisanly make substantial reductions in the budget. But this amendment is a joke. All it guarantees is that in the fall we will be back in to do a supplemental appropriation, because these agencies are, law, required to spend this money that we're not appropriating. I mean, what goofiness!

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, as long as Senators Etheredge and Maitland have made some global remarks that pertain to the subject at hand this afternoon, I

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

thought it only appropriate that I would add my two cents' worth. And -- and let's back up just a moment. There is some - I sense some fit of pique about the proposed Senate quidelines, which, fact, in prior years have been as a result of a bipartisan effort. And well they should be, I think, even this year. Because what we're dealing with and what has yet to be said, or remains unsaid is that the Governor has introduced a spending this Floor. level of thirteen billion three hundred and sixty million dollars of General Revenue Funds, three hundred and fifty million of which are supposed to come from revenue enhancements, two hundred and thirty-five million dollars out of the hide of local governments. The Governor said, to all of us assembled in Joint Session, "All bets are off; no more agreements; premises have changed; we're going to take this money back - two hundred and thirty-five million dollars - and I propose to spend it." And he said, "We're going to have an eighty-million-dollar liquor tax increase, and fifteen-million-dollar prepayment of liquor tax increase, and a ten-million-dollar increase in the tobacco tax. And we're to deny corporations the opportunity to carry forward, or carry back, or carry a debt, and so they're going to have to pay to the tune of about twenty million dollars." yet to be said on this Floor, as was said so eloquently this morning in the House, where nobody - nobody - voted to take the money away from local government, and two people voted affirmatively to impose those taxes, or increase those taxes. So I think we ought to be realistic enough to say there is a distinct probability that the three hundred and fifty million dollars' worth of revenue enhancements, as proposed by the Governor, are going nowhere. Now where does that leave us? That leaves us from day one, or at least day now, three hundred and fifty million dollars short in the proposed spending plan. And so what we are saying by the Senate guidelines is, we will do our level best to

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

spending even further to compensate for that three-fifty. And so if you add up all the Senate quidelines cuts on the half of the budget that we have before us, it'll amount to somewhere around eighty million. Forget the add-backs for the moment. an eighty-million-dollar reduction in spending, and we ought to all be for that. The House this afternoon is arguing hundred million dollars in spending cuts from their half of the budget, and over the weekend these bills will cross. And they'll have our half and we'll have their half, and we'll go to work again. But you cannot talk simply about cutting or not cutting or it's too early. It's not too early. I don't want to be here July 15th making this argument. The fact of the matter is. Governor proposed three hundred and fifty million dollars in revenue enhancements. And we're not going to do it. Now why don't we just stand up and say, "We're not going to do it"? What's the alternative? The alternative is in part presented by these amendments. We are going to cut eighty million dollars; the House is going to cut two hundred; and between the two of us, we will, at some point, hopefully, in the end of June, arrive at three hundred and fifty million dollars in cuts. We will government spending by three hundred and fifty million dollars, because we will not - we will not - deprive those cities and villages of two hundred and thirty million dollars. We will not stand for that egregious increase in the liquor tax, and we will not go with any of the other gimmicks the Governor has. Now let's just admit it, and let's carry on an attempt to cut spending.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Maitland, for a second time. SENATOR MAITLAND:

Well, Mr. President, I apologize for rising a second time.

And, Senator Rock, you make a tremendous argument, if two things had happened. Number one, some of the bills we are going to be

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

voting on today put substantial new money or proposed cuts back in this budget. I mean, they're there - we are going to be voting on them. So your argument falls on deaf ears. If it was flat cuts, then that's one thing, and I agree. The second point is the point that the agency directors were not consulted by that side of the aisle to offer suggested changes that might be workable and serve State Government. Staff just made a -- a brush cut and said, is the way it's going to be." In arguing for Senator Netsch's -- Senator Netsch's proposal, her -- her amendment -- a short time ago, you made this statement: "Severely inhibit her ability to perform her duty." That's a good statement. You got that amendment from her. She is the manager of that Department. And they are probably workable and doable, because you consulted with her. These agency directors have not been consulted whatsoever. And the fact of the matter is - and as a consequence of that - these cuts severely affect that agency director's ability to run his or her shop, and that's what we object to.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Point of order. I will again turn the Gentleman's words right back on him. They have another six weeks with which to deal with these cuts as we propose them. But I view the role of the Illinois General Assembly, or any Legislature, for that matter, as having some kind of independence. I don't rely, for the judgments that I make, in total upon what some agency director thinks or doesn't think. We have a responsibility, given the fact that my political judgment — my governmental judgment — is that we are not going to take that three hundred and fifty million dollars, as proposed by the Governor, so we have to make it up. And the only way we can make it up is by cuts. And if, indeed, when these

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

bills get to the House and some agency director comes in and says, "Holy smoke! I can't operate," some adjustment can be made, I'm sure. But I don't think it's our responsibility as Members of this Assembly, as an equal branch of government, to have to sit in constant consultation with the executive agencies before we make a move. I just don't believe that's the way we do business.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Keats, for a second time. SENATOR KEATS:

Senator Rock, I agree with every word you've said. I commend you for saying it. Everything you said is true. There's only one problem: your two premises are in error. You're not cutting; this money is going to go back in the fall in the supplemental, because you're telling the agencies they still have to spend it. And secondly - probably just as important - as Senator Maitland just said, you're putting back in more than you're taking out. Every word you said is true; it just doesn't happen to be what you're doing, that's all.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

You know, unfortunately, there's a lot of shooting from the hip over there. That's simply not accurate. That simply is not accurate - that we are putting in, or putting back, or attempting to put back more than we are cutting. That simply is not accurate.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Carroll may close. SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

I guess I can't resist the opportunity to make a few comments also. Senator Maitland, you're wrong. You're dead wrong. Your

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

paper said it - this is a good first step. I don't believe they're as dumb as you claimed they were, since the press release - a copy of which you got, or if not, we'll give it to you - said within its text what we're cutting and the programs we felt were overlooked, including pharmaceutical assistance, including Prairie State 2000. It's a two-page press release, with the attachments isn't that hard to read. Any reporter can read it. I'm sure they did. If not, then where did they get their information from? Secondly, you talk about these programs. Eight-dollar reports nobody told them to spend eight dollars on glossy photos. Use the photocopier. That's how you reduce some spending, Senator Keats. Not your Military Affairs, eight dollars a report, and other such agencies - and I don't mean yours personally; I mean State Where does it say in the law that these reports have Government. to be pictures of every director, with every governor, with every legislator who supports them, outside printing contracts to pay for them? Where does it say in the law that one out of every five State employees should have a vehicle at their disposal? Where does it say in the law that when you get down well below deputy director through the administrative assistants and on, they should have car phones? We're not talking about the caseworkers; we're talking about the bureaucracy. The cuts go to paper clips - yes not programs. The cuts go -- the legislative liaisons - where does it say in the programmatic law that some agencies need eight, ten, twelve legislative liaisons? Governor's got an office for that. Where does it say any of that in the programmatic law? Where does it say they should travel all over the world on, supposedly, the people's business? It's the people's money that they're traveling on, not the people's business. Keep them here doing their That's what these cuts are all about. And, Senator Watson, to you: the agency just tells us this money can be reallocated. Hickmann, I believe was the name - Tim - who said, as we were

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

speaking, "Yes, this money under federal grant can go into what it's supposed to go to - abandoned mines reclamation." And I move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 29, the Nays are 22. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 2002. Senator Weaver. Mr. Secretary, 2002, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2002.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment basically does three things. One, it applies the guidelines. Secondly, it deletes money that was budgeted for moving to an office yet unknown. They don't even

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

know if they're going to move yet. And then third, it takes those savings and -- and actually is going to be giving them to General Revenue, so that we'd have some real savings. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll's moved the adoption of Committee Amendment
No. 1. Discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by
saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment
No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

This is a technical amendment. No dollar impact. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2002. Discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it.

Amendment No. 2's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

One sec, if we can, please. There's no third amendment.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 2003. Senator Etheredge.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2003.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Whoa, whoa, whoa, whoa, whoa, whoa. All right. Senate Bill 2003, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2003.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would be the guidelines amendment, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No.

1. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 2004. Senator Etheredge. 2004, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2004.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers three amendments. Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

Committee Amendment No. 1 is to correct a -- an original drafting error in the bill, relating to the Lake County Community College Project. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This provides for the correct funding source, to correct a drafting error, and certain other projects relating to judicial districts, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

2 to Senate Bill 2004. Discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

This changes the project description for the Natural History

Survey Project, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No.

3. Discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 4 offered Senators Etheredge and Philip.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

WICS-TV has requested permission to videotape. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senator Etheredge, on Amendment No. 4. Senator Etheredge. You're lit. Try it.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

I was just waiting for recognition. I'm sorry, Mr. President, I didn't realize that I'd been recognized. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment would provide two and a half million dollars for the -- to provide

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

the State's share for the reconstruction of a high school in Plainfield, Illinois, that was destroyed by a tornado in August, a year ago. The -- the Federal Government has provided their share; however, the State has not. Some of you may remember the story at that time. The high school - Plainfield High School - was destroyed, and they have had to completely replace the facility. And we're -- I'm offering this amendment in order to provide the money to replace it. I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Would the sponsor yield for a question, please?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Senator Etheredge, was this 2.5 million dollars - I don't have a lot of qualms with helping those who have had some real problems - but was this part of a previous agreement in any way shape or form? And -- and if not, are we going to then get approval from that side of the aisle whenever we come up with assistance for the City of Chicago and just arbitrarily put it in an appropriations bill? Or is this part of a bigger program that we had authorized this at an earlier date?. Could you answer that, please?

Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Senator, I'm not aware of any -- any particular agreements on this individual project. All I know is that in the past - and I'm reminded of Warren Township High School, for example - in the past, when -- when high schools have been destroyed, by fire or by tornado or -- or what have you, that we have provided some relief

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

to them. So this follows in -- in that tradition. I cannot speak to whether or not there's a particular agreement in regard to this institution.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

I guess my question would be then, if we knew we were going to do this, and I think the tornado was -- was quite some ago, why did we not have a -- why did the Governor not include this in his budget, so that we did not have to go through this process? Again, let -- let me repeat, so we're very clear. I'm -- I'm not really opposed to this type of procedure, but I just want to be -- be prepared to know that if we're going to set a precedent on -- on making sure that we do these things, then it's going to follow through in -- in all emergency situations in the State.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Holmberg. SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the sponsor: I too had a school in my area that desperately needed money from the School Construction Fund. It's the most rapidly growing school district in the State of Illinois, and they're without funds for a junior high school. That amendment was prepared, but I withdrew it, in consideration of needing to cut back and committing myself to fiscal integrity. This particular project really spoils the list of schools — and my school was not one of them either — that are on the list waiting for the money in the School Construction Fund. This is something that's been put in at the last minute. This school is not in the loop, so to speak. And which of the school districts are you then taking out of the School Construction Fund in order to do this?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

All right. Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President. In -- in closing I...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

No, no, no, no, no. No, no. She -- the lady asked a question. There are one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine lights that I know of at the moment. Senator Etheredge, to respond to the question.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Senator, would you repeat the question, please?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Yes, school construction funds, as you know, on bonding is -is set up, you know, many, many years ahead. There are many
people waiting in line for this money. If we add a school that
has not been in the loop, which school would you choose to remove?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Senator what -- we are not proposing here an expansion of the caps program. What we -- what I am attempting to do, via this amendment, is to deal with a bona fide emergency caused by the destruction of a high school by a tornado. I would suggest that what we have done in the past is, we haven't made those -- put those -- those schools on a list and waited until their time comes up to deal with the problem -- that we have indeed stepped in and tried to provide a facility for them in a expeditious manner. Again, I cite to you Warren Township High School, which -- and a case which I remember very well, because it's been within the last ten years. So I'm not expanding here the caps program. I'm not trying to do that at all. I'm just trying to deal with an

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

emergency situation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Collins, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR COLLINS:

Point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

State your point.

SENATOR COLLINS:

We have visiting with us today one of the elementary schools from our district, Webster Daniel on the west side, accompanied by their -- one of the teachers, Mr. Jackson. I would just like -- in the west gallery, I'd like for them to stand and be recognized by the Senate.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

If our guests in the gallery will please rise. Welcome to Springfield. Further discussion? Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Statement and then a question. I'm not going to oppose the amendment. I understand this kind of situation and the prior fire situation you've talked about, but I guess I wonder why the schools aren't insured. And shouldn't we in the future - to avoid this kind of coming to the State for this kind of money - shouldn't we require them to be insured against disasters like fire and tornado? If it's because the premiums are too large, perhaps that money ought to be put aside into a depreciation account, so that if this kind of thing does happen, that the money will be there. But I don't understand why, at least prospectively - not addressing this one - we shouldn't require insurance.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Senator, the -- the school building was insured. I think we're dealing with a case here where the insurance simply was not -- not sufficient in order to -- to replace the facility. And I -- I cannot explain, you know, all of those circumstances, but as I -- it simply is not enough to -- to replace the facility. And what we're asking for here is an amount which we think will be necessary from the State. Remember, the Federal Government now is putting seven and a half million dollars, approximately, into this project. We think the two and a half million dollars is the outside figure. If it only takes a million and a half, or two million dollars for the State's share to make up the difference between the insurance settlement and the cost of the -- the new facility, then obviously that's all that would be spent.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

As I indicated, I'm not against this amendment, but it seems to me if the State's share is two and a half and the federal share is seven and a half, that the insurance was at least ten million dollars short; and that prospectively in any event - not to deal with tragedies that have already happened, but prospectively - we ought to put any public building or body on notice that they ought to carry replacement insurance, and that the State does not have the resources to come in and deal with this. And only prospectively, we ought to look at requiring insurance, and school boards ought to be looking at that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you. A couple questions of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Indicates he will yield, Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

What's the date of the hurricane?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

It was, I believe, Senator, mid-August 1990.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Berman, as I understood, it was a tornado. Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Tornado. Okay. Tornado, hurricane - what's the difference, right? What facilities are provided for the students since that date?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

They have been leasing temporary facilities since that time, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

All right. On the amendment: You had mentioned Warrenville High School, or Warren Township High School. I think that was in Senator Barkhausen's district. I think he attempted to do what you're attempting to do, and — and many of us were reluctant to do that. And we worked out an arrangement which, in fact, was a loan, but Warren Township — I would point out that there had been a local effort to address this loss, and I think that's what's missing here. At least I'm not aware of any attempt at referendum to raise the money locally to address the shortfall in your board of education's underinsuring that facility. Now you're asking for

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

capital money, and as the Senator from that district, I applaud your attempt to take care of your problem. But I think that you are imposing upon many of us when you come in with this kind of an amendment, because I think that it makes you a hero back home, but it puts many of us in a very, very difficult position. We don't have the money; we don't have the resources, and there has been no indication that your board, that made a mistake in underinsuring that facility, has gone back to its voters and said, "Mea culpa, we made a mistake. Let's try to bail ourselves out." And until that's done, I certainly would be in opposition to this attempt. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator O'Daniel. SENATOR O'DANIEL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. I'm going to have to rise in support of this -- this amendment. Some two years ago we had a disaster in -- in my district; school of Allendale was completely destroyed by a tornado. I got Governor Thompson to come down, and they appropriated an additional million dollars to help them build that facility. The children were -- part of the children were put up in temporary classrooms; the others were bused to different communities. But we're not talking about just rehabilitating facilities now. We're talking about a disaster here and -- and I think this is something that we should take a hard look at and support this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Severns. SENATOR SEVERNS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Would the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Severns. SENATOR SEVERNS:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Senator Etheredge, where is the two and a half million dollars coming from? Are there follow-up amendments that are going to cut, totaling two and a half million, and if so, where are they from?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

The school construction bond, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Severns.

SENATOR SEVERNS:

Is the amendment offered?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Yes. In the amendment, Senator, the source is identified as the School Construction Fund.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Hudson.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Ladies and Gentlemen, I don't think that around here I have the reputation as being one of the big spenders. But it was my melancholy responsibility the day after that tornado swept in there from the northwest and through Plainfield, Illinois - which happened to be in my district - to go down there and to view the results of that tornado and what it did to that town and what it did to that school. I'm telling you as I stand here, that the administration building in Plainfield High School was gone; it was leveled. The school building itself was absolutely, practically down, impossible to use anymore as a school. I am amazed around here sometimes as to what - particularly when it comes to education - what it is we decide is

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

really worth the taxpavers' money, and what we decide - well. somehow or other we've got to find all kinds of reasons why we shouldn't put that taxpayers' money into that. But these people had every reason to believe down there that they were going to get some relief. Now this is a couple years ago, I will admit, but they had every kind of reason to believe that they were -- as matter of fact, had been promised by somebody - someone at the State level - that they would get some relief, but that relief was not forthcoming. And now they're simply asking us to do what they believed we were going to do at the time. And as I say, one who's inclined to throw money away, helter-skelter; I think you know that. But I do rise in support of this, because I think that community and the schoolchildren there -- that we rise here on the Floor. and we talk about what we can do for the schoolchildren. The schoolchildren. I've heard that over twenty years here so many times, I can't begin to count them. this is a time, I think, when we can really do something for the schoolchildren in Plainfield, Illinois, and I urge your support of this amendment. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Thomas Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

I rise in support of the amendment and to my good neighbors in Plainfield. It's a shame that we're caught up in the system where we bicker about the fate of children, whether they be the children on welfare, or the children who, as a result of another circumstance of which they had no control over, namely a tornado, that took twenty-nine lives in my county - and I might add that this project is not in my district - but that they found themselves in the same position that many children find themselves in, and that's one where they have no control. They have suffered greatly in the sense that they've had to be bused a long way to go

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

to high school, and I know there's a freshman class that started two years ago, and they may not even get the opportunity to graduate from their own high school, which is a meaningful event in everyone's life. I don't think we ought to be caught up in the politics, if you will, of an obligation that exists, whether it be the City of Chicago, or whether it be Plainfield, or whether it be some other site in the State of Illinois that suffers a disaster: because we don't know who will be next. This was indeed a horrible event for the residents not only of Plainfield, but as the tornado swept further south into Crest Hill and then into Joliet, where it also took lives. So I rise in support of an amendment that says to our fellow members of the State of Illinois that "We will come to your aid in the event that you have a catastrophic disaster, whether you be a person without health insurance or whether you be a child of a welfare mother and father, or whether you be a student from a good area like Plainfield."

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President. I too am going to support this amendment. I've driven by Plainfield High School on numerous occasions; maybe that would -- helps to persuade after seeing the disaster that was there. On the national news they said this just wasn't an ordinary tornado; this is the worst ever recorded in the North American Continent, and I think it's responsible for us to give this a higher priority, and I'm proud to support Senator Etheredge on his amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Palmer.

SENATOR PALMER:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Body. I am going

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

to support this amendment because it has to do with children and their situation. Because in all fairness, I spoke before about the children in my community, but I hope by doing so it will open the ears and the minds of some of those who are opposed to much of what I stand for and others of us who live in central city areas. We have had emergencies for at least the last twelve to fifteen years when it comes to children, and we can't afford to continue to put our children at risk. So I hope that there will be some reciprocation when it comes to the time when we ask also that our children be given benefits and support. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes. Mr. President, I'm going to rise in support of the amendment. I too am sympathetic to what happened, and Senator Etheredge is a good Senator, and I support it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Brookins.

SENATOR BROOKINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I need to just rise to tell colleague that it don't seem to work that way, and I'm talking about Senator Palmer. Senator Palmer, it don't seem to work that It seems to work everything when some others are in trouble, but when you're in trouble with your constituency in the City of Chicago, it just don't seem to want to work that way. I'm not going to even vote on this amendment. and a No vote on an amendment is countermand to voting for the amendment. But I'm telling you Senator Palmer, you watch and you stay here, and I hope you stay here a long time. I've been here six years now, and it don't seem to work the way it should work. Yes, it works some special interests, but it don't work when your citizens, and your students, and your kids are in trouble. It didn't work

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

earlier in the day for you. I'm also going to say and ask that I think -- I don't know if this is what you call pork or not, but it smells like pork. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Ladies and Gentlemen, we have about seven additional speakers who have indicated they wish to be heard. In the meantime, I have a special guest, an old friend of mine, who is under some serious time constraint, but he did not want to leave the City of Springfield without saying hello. I'm pleased to introduce to you all the Chairman of the Democratic National Committee, the Honorable Ron Brown.

CHAIRMAN RON BROWN:

(Comments by Chairman Ron Brown)

PRESIDENT ROCK:

The question is still the adoption of Amendment No. 4. Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I think that we should stop being petty and stop bickering about what that side does and what this side does, and remember, when disaster strikes, it really strikes. It struck in Plainfield, and I commend Senator O'Daniel and Senator Dunn for looking at this amendment with the right perspective, because kids are kids and do owe them a good duty to try and help them.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

We have a couple of additionals -- Senator Demuzio. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senator Etheredge, I -- I don't quarrel with what you're doing here, but I don't think that there's a Member on this side of the aisle or that side of the aisle that doesn't have a similar problem with school districts in -- in their communities that have

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

subsidence or have other kinds of problems that they'd like also participate in the School District Construction Bond Fund. Now yesterday we put a proposal out of here that authorized additional one hundred million dollars' worth of bond authorization for school district construction money to be used -the funding source out of the Purposes Fund, which is the sales tax money. Would you consider bringing this bill back tomorrow, so that perhaps other Members that have similar problems similar emergencies might be able to offer an amendment, or is it perhaps maybe we ought to take this bill with other Members' requests with respect to School District Construction Bond Fund and wrap them all into one bill and find a funding source everybody? Perhaps you could comment on that, please? PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Well, Senator, you're the one who has the funding source for the program and for -- for your particular program. I am trying to deal with a -- a problem in Plainfield, Illinois, and I am just asking that we deal with this problem the same way that we've dealt with the problem in -- in Annendale <sic> (Allendale) and in Warren Township and some of the other places around the State of Illinois.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

If you talk to all the Members on your side and our side of the aisle, everybody has a similar emergency. I -- I'm not attempting to say that what you are -- what you are doing is wrong. I commend you for it. The fact of the matter is, is I have a school district in my community that has a subsidence problem. Other Members have other kinds of problems around here.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

tried to address the situation from the total perspective and not from the narrow perspective of each individual such as in this instance. But it just seems to me as a request, matter of public policy that we ought to be doing all of these together - that we ought not to be pitting one school district and one Member's district against another Member's school -- and another Member's school district. That's my problem that with this amendment. If they were all wrapped up together, if there was a construction bond fund for all, if the money was available - and if you want to help me to get the money, or if you're pledging to do that, God bless you, sir; I certainly need your help. I needed it last year, too. But that's my problem with this thing, is that why are we doing it just community? Why aren't we doing it to everybody? That's my problem, and I really don't know how I'm going to vote on this amendment, but I -- I think I'm going to vote No, and hope that perhaps we could wrap up all of these amendments together all of these different school districts, and resolve this problem as a matter of Statewide public policy for everybody - not just one district.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Let me just say what bothers me about this amendment, and it doesn't have to do with the disaster in Plainfield or any of the other -- or any of the other locations throughout this State. I guess it comes from three things. One, why did it not go through a process, since "process" seems to be the buzz word from the other side of the aisle? Why did it not go through any kind of a review or scrutiny procedure and end up in the Governor's budget? Secondly, even if it did, of course CDB means nothing, because the

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Governor has his freeze committee. Will they thaw on this project if it happened to get to the desk, or is it a useless act? Thirdly, and probably most importantly - and this is in way of a rhetorical question that maybe the Senator could answer at the end - there is no money in this fund, as we all know. There's no proposal to put any more money in this fund, as we all know. Were it to pass, were it to be signed into law, it ends up having to become a general obligation bond paid for out of General Revenue funds. Where is the money to pay for this add-on? PRESIDENT ROCK:

Question is the adoption of Amendment No. 4. Senator Etheredge, you wish to close?

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I would ask for your favorable consideration of this amendment. We are trying to deal with a -- a problem that is a bona fide emergency - a school destroyed by a tornado. We're not cutting -- plowing new ground here. I mean, as Senator O'Daniel has pointed out, we've done this sort of thing before. I would ask for your favorable consideration.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Question is the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 2004. Those in favor of the amendment will vote Aye. Opposed will vote Nay, and the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 33 Ayes, 18 Nays, 1 voting Present. Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Senator Demuzio, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

On a point of personal privilege. I had asked the Gentleman a minute ago if he was willing to bring this back -- this bill back tomorrow for further amendments, and I didn't get a response. And

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

I would ask again for that courtesy and ask the Gentleman to respond.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Well, I would like to see what the other recommendations -what the other needs are. If there are other high schools that
have been destroyed by tornados, if there's something comparable
to this, I will certainly consider it. Let's talk about it,
Senator, and see what it is that we have to deal with.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, I'm just asking the Gentleman as a matter of courtesy to agree to bring the bill back. I mean, it's nothing that's happened in my eighteen years in this Senate. I mean, it's just a matter of courtesy that we have extended to the Members. Now a lot of us didn't -- decided not to offer amendments to this particular bill because of the fact that we were, as Senator Holmberg described, attempting to exercise some fiscal integrity. The fact of the matter now is that now that we have one project approved, it just seems to me that we ought to be able to afford the other Members the same opportunity, at least to offer their particular amendments. I'm just asking as a matter of courtesy if he would bring it back.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senate Bill 2005. Senator Etheredge. Read the bill, please. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2005.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a technical amendment, no dollar impact. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll's moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2005. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2006. Senator Schaffer. Read the bill, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2006.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll's moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Senate Bill 2006. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESTDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2007. Senator Schaffer. Read the bill, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2007.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers three amendments. Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll's moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2007. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 2 deletes the funding from the DCCA bill for the Displaced Homemakers Program. Appropriations for this purpose will be proposed in the Department of Labor's OCE bill, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll's moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2007. Discussion? Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Yes. Will the sponsor yield, please?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Sponsor indicates he will yield, Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Senator, I would just like to inquire why we are moving the Displaced Homemaker Program to the Department of Labor.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

By way of cooperation, Senator Etheredge, because it was an agreement reached between the Department -- the Director of the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs and the Director of the Department of Labor. In discussions with Senator Severns, we -- in an attempt to cooperate with the agreement the two directors reached, moved it to the Department of Labor.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Senator, does not this transfer end up costing more money and increasing the budget of the State? Are we not now going to have to add additional money into the budget of the Department of Labor in order to cover the administrative expenses?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

The grants are at the FY'92 level. Senator Severns, I believe, had worked this out with the two directors, and this was their agreement.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

I'm told that this transfer is going to cost an additional hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars to the State.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Who told you?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll's moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2007. Those in favor, indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Senator Macdonald, on this subject? No. All right. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Since this amendment would have taken the money out of DCCA for Prairie State 2000, the will of the Body having been set on that -- to leave it in DCCA, I would therefore move to table Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Senator Carroll moves to table Committee Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 2007. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is tabled. Are there further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Are there amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Amendment No. 4.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Floor Amendment No. 4 would be additional operational cuts. Apparently in our first go-through, even we make mistakes sometimes, and did not fully apply the guidelines. These are nonpersonnel -- nonpersonal services reductions. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll's moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 2007. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2008. Senator Etheredge. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2008.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

This is the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll's moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2007. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor? 2008 we're on, yeah.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

This is a technical correction amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll's moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2008. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

3rd Reading. 2009, Mr. Secretary. Read the bill, please.
ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2009.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll's moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 -- Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2009. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 2010. Mr. Secretary, read the bill, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2010.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Amendment No. 1.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

Committee Amendment No. 1 is the Senate guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll's moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2010. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2011, Mr. Secretary. Read the bill, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2011.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. After talking to the Department, we discussed the idea of applying the guidelines to them, even though they're a federally funded agency, to see if those funds could then be garnered into an account to maybe acquire one of the buildings they now rent, et cetera. It was recognized that because of the unique nature of their Department, that was not capable of happening. The money

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

would have gone back to the Federal Government. So we had no guidelines amendment. Committee Amendment No. 1 is technical in nature only, and I'd move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll's moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2011. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2012. Mr. Secretary, read the bill, please.

END OF TAPE

TAPE 4

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2012.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Committee Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines amendment, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2012. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Welch.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Welch, on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR WELCH:

Amendment No. 2 is just a technical amendment, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Welch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2012. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2013. Mr. Secretary, read the bill, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2013.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Senator Carroll, on Committee Amendment No. 1. SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 redistributes the grants and makes no dollar change. Part of the problem was that in the budget, as introduced, it's my understanding that the Pollution Control Board was denied any technical people to help them with their decisions, so we redistributed a grant so that they could come in and get these. I understand there are some problems with this that we would like to continue working on overnight. I would suggest we adopt the amendment and work on it. I understand the surveys may have been too hard hit, and that is not something we really wanted to do, but we've got to make sure that the Pollution Control Board also has the type of technical help that it needs to do its job, since it's required by law to do it.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Gentleman has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1. Discussion? Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Do I understand, Senator Carroll, you'll bring this back tomorrow to correct it?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Since it's Senator Etheredge's bill, I assume that even though he would not answer Senator Demuzio on a prior bill, I'm sure he would nod to you, yes, and we will try and work with your staff and them overnight to see what we can do.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2013. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2014, Mr. Secretary. Senator Donahue. Read the bill, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2014.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2015. Senator Weaver. Read the bill, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2015.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2017. Senator Topinka. Read the bill, Mr.

Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Senate Bill 2017.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

Committee Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2017. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2018. Senator Topinka. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2018.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

Committee Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2018. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Are there amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. After discussion with the Commission, we realized we goofed, and this is a restoration of a miscalculation in Committee Amendment No. 1, where they would have been -- hit harder than the guidelines. It adds back some sixty-two thousand six hundred, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2018. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2019. Senator Etheredge. Read the bill, Mr.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2019.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the Senate guidelines, and in addition thereto, reallocates within the agency to reinstitute the in-house court reporters, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2019. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed -- well, okay. Senator Keats. Discussion on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR KEATS:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Indicates he will yield.

SENATOR KEATS:

We were moving quickly. Did you say we are now adding back in a significant number of employees who are the court reporters? Is that what you just said?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Yes. I don't know that I would characterize it the way you are. Currently the Industrial Commission has a significant number of employees who happen to be court reporters. It's my

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

understanding the Governor or the chairman, whomever, recommended that they go to outside contractors. We have received, committee and as Members, significant information by way of mail and testimony, that it would truly destroy the operations of Commission because of the problems others have had in using contracted-for - as opposed to in-house - people to do this kind of work, because what happens is, if for example, they're holding a hearing somewhere downstate in a particular community, the court reporter on contract has to be paid travel time to get there, et cetera. If they're not there, the hearing can't go ahead, even though all the litigants are there, the people who are injured were there, the commissioner is there, and so on. So it was the -- the decision that they should keep these employees on board, instead of going to an outside contract that didn't seem to be able to in any way improve the quality of the Commission.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Are you aware of the average salary of a court reporter at the Industrial Commission, Mr. Chairman?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

And -- oh, in addition, let me just say that the -- Chairman Malooly said he was going to get back to me with a plan to solve this problem. I know we hadn't cut off his phones yet, but he never got back with a plan to solve the problem. It's my understanding, the salary is thirty-one five.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Thirty-one five is the minimum, plus then the various other

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

payments. I would say, just in a general reference, having worked with court reporters, both in-house and contracted, in various aspects of my life, I have never yet seen a contract court reporter that wasn't a cheaper deal. I would just ask for a roll call. This could be a philosophic argument, whether civil service employees would be more efficient than contracts, but I have never yet seen a contract court reporter fail to show up, 'cause if they don't show up, they don't get paid. And believe me, they are downright motivated to show up. We've always had better results with the contract ones, and I just think from a State point of view, if you were looking to save money, here is an obvious place. You want to get some competition in the free market, this is how you get it. So I would request a roll call.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

That request is in order. Further discussion on Amendment No. 1? Senator Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Just to the motion: I don't think this will result in a savings to -- to the public, because the price per page that can be charged by contracted court reporters, I think, has a cap of approximately five dollars a page, whereas, the present system has a limit of a dollar eighty-five per page, which would result in a substantial increase to working men and women whose cases might have to be appealed.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Keats, for a second time. SENATOR KEATS:

Very briefly, what Senator Dunn said was totally correct, but that's why you need the competition to negotiate those. Frankly, in many cases, exactly what you said is true, and that is one of the problems. That's why you need a free market to negotiate it so you just don't have a captive audience, because, believe me, it

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

gets expensive if you do not negotiate in a free market and allow for competition to hold down those prices.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

The question is the adoption of Amendment No. 1. Senator Carroll, to close.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Merely to say, Senator Keats, I know you're an advocate of That would cause us to have to go from a dollar-eighty a page to five dollars. The going rate today, competition, is five dollars. These people are at dollar-eighty. It's my understanding that the average charge the course of a twelve-month period for any one of the reporters is about twelve hundred dollars, of which they get to keep twenty percent of that. So they get a bonus, so to speak, of two hundred and forty dollars over their thirty-one five. If you want to harm the business and labor communities so that the comp cases are not getting handled in an expeditious manner, be my guest. But all we heard from all sides of this issue, this was the way to go - to keep them in there. Director Malooly said he would give us a -- an alternative solution, but then somebody obviously told him he couldn't talk to us anymore. So he never reported back. I would suggest adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Question is the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2019. Those in favor of the amendment will vote Aye. Opposed will vote Nay. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 31 Ayes, 23 Nays, none voting Present. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2020. Senator Etheredge. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2020.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments. Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

Amendment No. 1 was intended to be the guidelines amendment, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2020. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

This is the home for the Displaced Homemaker Program. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2020. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments?

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the technical amendment to recalculate correctly the guidelines. We were in error when we adopted Committee Amendment No. 1, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 2020. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2021. Senator Etheredge. Mr. Secretary, read the bill, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2021.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

This is the Senate guidelines amendment, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2021. Those in favor, indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 2022. Senator Maitland. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2022.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2023. Senator Etheredge. Mr. Secretary, read the bill, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2023.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

This is the guidelines amendment, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2023. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 2 is, in fact, again a correction of a calculation error on our part, so that it would be an actual Senate guidelines amendment, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2023. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Woodyard.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Woodyard, on Amendment No. 3.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

SENATOR WOODYARD:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Amendment 3 has two component parts. It's a reappropriation No. sixty-eight thousand two hundred dollars for a parking lot at Salem Armorv. The second component would appropriate a hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars that would be matched bv ninety-seven thousand dollars from the federal funding for the planning of a new armory at Danville. Originally the Governor had agreed to put this in the budget - in CDB's - at the -- when was too late to make the change, there was an agreement, I guess, to put this money in the Armory Construction Fund General Lynn's shop. And so that's the reason for this amendment. The land has already been transferred for that armory from the City of Danville to -- and the Airport Authority where it would be built. And thus, that's the reason for the amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator Woodyard has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 2023. Discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I guess what bothers me again about this is the fact that half of the funds are General Revenue funds. We had not heard from the Office of the Governor that they were supportive of it, or that they had found the resources to pay for it. We asked that same question, I guess, in committee, and because we didn't hear it then and haven't heard it still, I just don't think we should be spending until we know where the resources are coming from. I know it comes out of the Armory Construction Fund, but half of that money is General Revenue that goes into that Fund.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Woodyard, you wish to close?

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

SENATOR WOODYARD:

I guess I want to respond somewhat to Senator Carroll's comments, from the standpoint that, yes, this was agreed upon by the Governor's Office, and it was General Revenue funds that was going to be in -- in CDB. And at the very last minute, evidently there was another agreement that - offered by and proposed by General Lynn - to put this in the Armory Construction Fund, rather than CDB. And so as far as I know, everybody -- the Governor's Office has agreed to this.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Palmer.

SENATOR PALMER:

Thank you, Mr. President. I too am very concerned about this. It's one thing to support in a situation such as the schools to spend money - extraordinary money - but at a time when there is a major cutback in the military, I'm wondering why we are spending money to build a new armory, and to improve the facilities of another.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Woodyard, you may close.

SENATOR WOODYARD:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Well, in response to Senator Palmer, I guess in closing, the present armory in Danville is absolutely falling apart, and it's very decrepit. The other thing: I think, from the standpoint that this is a federal match to the planning money, the Federal Government is putting ninety-seven thousand dollars to match with this one twenty-five. So maybe that's somewhat of an answer to your concerns about where we're going with the military spending. And certainly, in regard to the school situation, the Federal Government is putting in money in this plan.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Question is the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 2023. Those in favor of the amendment will vote Aye. Opposed will vote Nay, and the voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 27 Ayes, 25 Nays, none voting Present. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2024. Senator Etheredge. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2024.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers four amendments. Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

This is the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2024. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Amendment No. 2, Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

This is to reduce the reappropriations line to now what is the correct number. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2024. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Committee Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. After much discussion, the committee adopted Amendment No. 3, which reduces the low-level waste siting new appropriation to eliminate the unidentified technical assistance and the remainder of the Batelle contract. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 2024. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Committee Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Committee Amendment No. 4.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

Again, as the result of a discussion in committee, decision was to eliminate the land acquisition for the low-level waste site until that acquisition moves forward by some kind of vote of

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Martinsville. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 2024. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 5 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Amendment No. 5.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you...(machine cutoff)...Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. It's a technical only amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 5 to Senate Bill 2024. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2025. Senator Etheredge. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2025.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

one amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

This is the guidelines amendment, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2025. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen, I realize that it's approaching four forty-five. My -- Senator Philip and I discussed at least the finishing of Senate Bills on 2nd Reading, so that we can be in a position tomorrow - we will start tomorrow at nine o'clock - but we will be in a position to, hopefully, do a great deal of work so that Friday will be minimal, if at all. But at -- we've got to move them today, if we're going to move them. 2026. Senator Etheredge. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2026.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Senator Carroll, on Amendment No. 1. SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the quidelines amendment for the Department of Revenue. Ιn addition thereto. places the amount necessarv for pharmaceutical assistance at the...(machine cutoff)...at the same level as Fiscal '92, and in fact, reallows the tax incremental financing monies to be spent in the municipalities. It raised the by creating the jobs in those communities. those communities came forward and talked about the fact that when they brought in industry into blighted or near-blighted areas, they did it on the basis of having to spend some money, sell some bonds, and the law allowed a portion of the increase in sales revenue to be used to pay off those bonds. This is not the amount that those communities have actually given to the State that are the quote, unquote, "increments." No, it is significantly less than that, and rather the amount that was in the Fiscal '92 budget. I would move its adoption, and answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1. Discussion? Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I just want to point out that here we have an amendment that not only applies the reduction guidelines, but, as Senator Carroll has pointed out, also adds back significant amounts of money -- money beyond the -- that allocated within the Governor's budget, so that the net increase in spending that is -- would result from the addition of this amendment, is approximately seventeen million dollars. So I want the Members to be aware of that when they vote on it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll may close. SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Of course a lot of the rest of what we have reduced in government -- oh, never mind, I won't categorize it. Let me just say, a lot of the savings we have affected by other amendments pays for this. believe that there is significant interest in making sure we have a viable - viable - Pharmaceutical Assistance Program for our low-income seniors. Yes, that is more money than the Governor was suggesting for Fiscal '93, but no, it is not any more than they were suggesting for Fiscal '92 and spending this year. Yes, we are saying that when the State gave its word and municipalities went out and sold bonds in order to encourage business, create jobs and produce sales tax revenue, that a portion of that revenue would, by law, be available back to the local governments to pay for the infrastructure improvements - something we have been doing As I recall it, four communities around this State none in my area, but four around this State - came in and said. "Absent this payment, there will be a default on these bonds, and either the businesses will close at a loss of hundreds, maybe thousands of jobs, or local government will have to pick up the tab." Meaning the taxpayers -- the property taxpayers will assessed because the State did not meet its obligation. I feel, personally - and I think many Members on both sides of the aisle feel - that our obligation in even the tightest of financial times is (a) to make sure that jobs are there and available for people if we can, 'cause the recession has truly hurt them; and secondly, to make sure that (b) the safety net is there, of seeing things like pharmaceutical assistance for the lowest of income - senior citizens of this State. And I urge the adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

No. 1. All in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 48 -- 49, the Nays are 5, none voting Present. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Senator Etheredge, you did want a roll call on that, didn't you? Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a recalculation of the guidelines. And Senator Etheredge, this will produce another million dollars of savings. I move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. What we're attempting to do here - and they are doing by Amendment

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

No. 3 - is taking those savings that are in the Gaming Board and depositing them in the Educational Assistance Fund, so that the savings actually go back to the schools like profits are supposed to, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Rock.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock. Senator Rock seeks leave to withdraw.

Withdraw. Further amendments?
ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. -- Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll seeks leave to withdraw. Withdraw. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Seeks to withdraw. Withdraw. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Withdraw. Leave -- leave to withdraw. Leave is granted.

Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll, how many amendments do we have? Senator

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Carroll seeks to withdraw whatever it is. Withdraw. Leave is granted. Are there any other amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Keats.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Senator Carroll had so many outstanding ideas on doing away with unnecessary personnel, that we on the Republican side felt that we should accommodate that and be of some assistance. Amendment 4, I guess - it was Amendment 36 or something - but what Amendment 4 does is it takes a look at the unnecessary riffraff who presently work for the Chicago City Council. And if we're going to do away with excess PIO officers - probably a good idea - car phones, cars and everything else, we said, "That's probably not a bad idea. Why don't we consider other areas where there are large amounts of waste?" And one that just immediately came mind was the committee system of the Chicago City Council. we cannot directly appropriate their funds, but we took a look roughly what they were spending, and after we got up off the floor, realized if we changed about twenty million dollars that's presently going to the City and put it into social services and shifted this money - which will take a slight change authorization at the moment, so this one amendment doesn't do it alone - we would then take this twenty million dollars and put into either the DASA grants or, frankly, into the various areas of public assistance, so that the money could be used by people who are really in need, rather than all these committees that, I think some of you know, I think the staff of the -- of the revenue committee of the Chicago City Council is bigger than the staff of the entire Illinois Legislature. What this amendment gives us the opportunity to shift money out of employees who are

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

doing nothing and put it into human services, where it can be of some assistance. So this twenty million that's presently being withheld in another spot, we then take the twenty million and hand it to social services.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Keats, you didn't seek withdrawal? Oh, I'm sorry. Senator -- roll -- Oh, you did. Senator Rock.
SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you. It's approaching five o'clock. I'm trying to get us out of here by 6:00. I -- I move to table Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Rock has moved to table Amendment No. 4. As you know, that's nondiscussion. Those in favor of tabling will vote Aye. Those opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 26, the Nays are 28. Motion to Table fails. Further discussion? I understand it hasn't been adopted; the motion fails to table. Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

...(machine cutoff)...the reversed roll call; I would accept to put the amendment on.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Keats has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4.

Those in -- Senator -- Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Just to point out, Mr. President, to Senator Keats, as he kind of alluded to, the money would just sit there. The substantive law says that the sales tax revenues go to the municipalities. I would suggest, however, that we come back - should this pass - and take it from all of the municipalities, and give it all to the State. All the municipalities, and give it all to the State. And

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

we'll have that amendment ready.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Brookins.

SENATOR BROOKINS:

Thank you. Senator Keats, would you kindly explain how large this committee is, and who serves on this committee?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

We did not break it out committee by committee. We took an equivalent of a set -- a certain percentage of the total cost of the various committee staffs and all the additional hangers-ons and whatever, for all the City Council committees. So we intentionally left some, because they should have some staff. What this does is simply free up the money, but it would take another vote to reappropriate. 'Cause what Senator Carroll said is true: you can't just in one appropriations amendment do it. It's a two-step process. This is the first step, and all we're doing is saying to the -- the City Council committees, "What's good at State Government level, should be good at the City Council level." And as Senator Carroll said, if he'd like to shift all the money out, that was the Governor's program. I don't think you want to do that.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Question is the adoption of Amendment No. 4. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment's adopted. Let's move on. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2027. Senator Etheredge. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2027.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2028. Senator Donahue. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2028.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Amendment 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

This is the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2028. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2029. Senator Etheredge. Read the bill, Mr Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2029.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment, and eliminates the lease-purchase of a building till we know that it's actually going to save some money. I'd move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2029. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2030. Senator Schaffer. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2030.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Committee Amendment 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. -- thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2030. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

Amendment No. 2 is to correct the error we made in the guidelines amendment, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2030. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2031. Senator Weaver. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2031.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

This is the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2031. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Ralph Dunn.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Ralph Dunn.

SENATOR R. DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Senate Amendment to 2034 is the one I proposed would help downsize the -- the price in the State -- State Police. You know, Director Gainer has given us a plan to close a lot of the different offices around the State, going to kind of reduce the size of the State Police.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

We looked at the head count of the people that run the State Police in Springfield. We find they have seventeen deputy directors and assistant deputy directors. This is quite a large number, and by cutting down on them, we can save eight hundred and ninety-one thousand dollars. So amendment would eliminate three deputy directors and nine assistant deputy directors. Still leave three deputy directors, plus a first deputy and his assistant. The salaries for these people range from sixty-six thousand one hundred to sixty-seven thousand eight hundred. The total savings would be eight hundred and ninety-one thousand. I move the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Dunn has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2031. Discussion? Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I rise in very strong support. I -- I couldn't agree with Senator Dunn more. Now that we've got all of these high-priced State Police personnel out on the -- out on the highway now, there aren't enough individuals now to supervise, and so by eliminating all this top brass and all this top echelon should really give us an opportunity to really put in that revitalization program, really make it work, and put these guys back out on the road, and eliminate those positions that -- that -- that are -- positions that are really not needed. So I would rise and ask all the Members to support it.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Dunn's moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2031. Further discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2032. Senator Watson. Mr. Secretary, read the bill, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2032.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Committee Amendment 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The amendment does basically three things. It's the Senate guidelines amendment. In addition thereto, it does restore to the agreed level of last June 49th the subsidies for seniors, disableds and students to the mass transit systems - basically the CTA and the other downstate carriers - and then does a minor reallocation for the continuing operations of the Mississippi River Parkway Commission. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2032. Discussion? Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Yes. I'd like to ask the sponsor a question.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Indicates he will yield, Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

How much GRF - General Revenue Fund money - are we impacting here?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

SENATOR CARROLL:

I believe it's 3.3 million is the only impact on General Revenue.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Well, you're cutting -- well, in your guidelines, I believe you're cutting about two hundred and forty-five thousand. And then you're adding to the RTA subsidy, which would -- by the way Senator, was not an agreement, certainly not by this Body and not by this Senator. You're adding back 3.1 million out of GRF, so you're actually -- this is truly a negative impact on the General Revenue Fund. Now what are we going to do with the other 23.8 million dollars that the guideline amendment impacts? What are we going to do with that revenue?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

We can do one of two things with it. The suggestion is that that goes into General Revenue as part of the savings, so that anything saved in operations can go to General Revenue. Now, as you and I both know, General Revenue owes the Road Fund some money, so that could be used to pay it back as well.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

So you're proposing then to take roughly twenty-four million dollars out of the Road Fund, and giving it to the General Revenue Fund. So we're talking about a major diversion here. What impact is this going to have on the Road Program that's going to have such a positive effect on downstate roads?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

According to the Department, it has zero impact on the -- on the Road Program, because this was money they were not using for road construction or anything else. These were the things that are affected by the guidelines. So it cannot be said, like they used to say, that every dollar we divert costs us five dollars, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. This is an operations cut. They had taken the money from the Road Fund for operations. The savings can go to General Revenue. And if the Governor so wishes, he can use it to pay back the Road Fund, the money we had borrowed a couple years ago.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Well, thank you. The Department of Transportation was probably the hardest hit agency of any when it came to the early retirement. They lost about eight hundred individuals. What they are proposing to do is hire back about one-third. That was intent of the twenty-four million dollars and other -- I mean, that's not entirely all the twenty-four million, but that was part It was to hire back about one-third of those people. with -- with those people, of course they are the architects, engineers and all that would be involved in -- in the Road Program. So what you're -- if you want to vote Yes for this, what you're saying, then, is that you're for diverting twenty-four million dollars, roughly, out of the Road Program, and as a result will have a negative impact on the ability of the Department of Transportation to perform the Road Program as agreed. You're also to say, now you're going to take 3.1 million dollars out of GRF and send it up to the RTA for their -- for the subsidies for the -- for the seniors and the students and disabled, which was

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

not an agreement that was made by this -- this Body, and as a result, we ought to defeat this amendment. And I'd ask for a roll call. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll may close. SENATOR CARROLL:

Yeah, I guess it's terrible when they only are getting six hundred new employees. Six hundred. That's what they asked for. It's what's funded. We just said, you know, "Hey, enough's enough." We applied the guidelines, phased in those six hundred they could have them, but said, "Hey, enough's enough." the purpose is to say, let us cut back on some of this. them all the heavy equipment that the Director said was important. We didn't cut that kind of vehicles, 'cause that road equipment's Six hundred's enough. Apply the same type Ωf guidelines to them, save some money -- of taxpayer money, and they still have significant dollars of expansion throughout their budget, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed, Nay. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 20, the Nays are 32. The amendment --Committee Amendment No. 1 fails. Further committee amendments? ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Withdraw.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll seeks leave to withdraw. Leave is granted. Withdraw. Further...

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senators Schaffer and Friedland.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Mr. President, this amendment is not an add-on. It reallocates money for the Fox Valley Corridor Study, and specifies that that money will be spent specifically on the study and on environmental impact statements. Allocates one dollar for a land acquisition. There is concern that the way the bill is currently worded that some of that money might be used to acquire land before the study was completed, in -- in violation with the agreements and understanding that we have with our various constituent groups in the area. I don't think IDOT really intends to do that, but this clearly spells out exactly what that money is going to be spent for. It doesn't add any money that isn't already there; it just targets how it will be spent and what it won't be spent on.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I rise in opposition to this amendment for two reasons. One, it is my understanding that the Fox Valley ultimate construction is supposed to be a tollway. So that toll revenue would be used and not Road Fund revenue. Secondly, I think the Senator has failed

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

to describe whose program, under the five-year program, will not be funded by taking this money away from the announced program, and spending it on the Fox Valley Corridor. Somebody's program gets lost, you know, and if you listen to the Department, it might be five or ten times this number when they come up with numbers. We'll lose probably seventy-two billion dollars because of this three million. But clearly, Senator Schaffer, you're not taking it from one of your other roads. So you're taking it away, if it's spent, from someone else's road program that has been preannounced and part of the program, and I don't think that's right. I think we should oppose this.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Well, I -- I'm not going to spend a lot of time, because Senator Carroll just basically reiterated it, but you know, I don't understand this. On one hand we're saying no to -- to monies that is going to be taken out of the Road Fund and being used elsewhere. And here we're, in effect, doing the same thing. We're reducing other projects, and I think by virtue of the vote of the last vote, I would like to see the same vote on a No on this issue.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Schaffer may close.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

You don't understand, guys. We're against the whole stupid project. We just want to make sure they aren't sneaking anything by us. What we're doing is saying they can't spend more than one dollar on land acquisition. There's so much opposition to this thing up our way, we just want to make sure they don't violate their agreement with us. They spent six or seven million dollars on the study. We're saying, "Fine, complete the study, but don't

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

buy one foot of land on this thing until the people in our area have a chance to decide whether they want it or not." And based on current atmosphere in our area, I can tell you it'll be a cold day in the lower reaches before this thing's built. We're — this doesn't add it — I take that back. It adds one dollar, because that's what we appropriated for land acquisition. All we're saying is that IDOT has an agreement. This is a study that's going to be a build or no-build recommendation. We don't want anything snuck behind our backs. We don't want to find out they're buying land on a study that hasn't even been finished yet. And that's the purpose of this amendment. We don't want the goofy thing, is what I'm telling you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schaffer has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2. All in favor, indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. Nays have it. Amendment No. -- Senator Schaffer has requested a roll call. We're going to be here all night. Senator Schaffer has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 25, the Nays are 26. Amendment No. 2 fails. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senators DeAngelis and Philip.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator DeAngelis. Withdraw. Seeks to -- leave to withdraw.

Withdraw. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Rock.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 3 contains the reductions consistent with the quidelines that the Senate Appropriations Committee has put in place for virtually every other department. This twenty-four-million-dollar reduction still allows the Department a ten-million-dollar or two and a half -- 2.2 percent increase FV'93. In my judgment, it does not do substantial damage. fact of the matter is, this Department, like every other department of State Government, has to engage in a little downsizing - a little belt-tightening. And so we have said to the hallowed Department of Transportation, or will be saying, by virtue of Amendment No. 3, "You can only grow an additional 2.2 percent this year - only ten million more than you spent last year, not thirty-four million." I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Is there discussion? Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON.

Thank you. I'm just curious whether this has been distributed. I haven't seen it, and neither has our staff.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

The answer is yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Watson, I -- I'm sorry. I'm up here. I can't look on your desk to find it. Why -- why don't you have somebody hand you the -- the amendment; then maybe we can go home before midnight. Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Well, I was going to say, there are a pile of amendments on everybody's desk, unfortunately. One of the first distributed happened to be this one. So it's probably at the bottom of the

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

stack of papers that you have there. The objection that I heard specifically, particularly, was that the mass transit subsidy was not agreed by you. I don't know where you were last July. I can remember it was agreed, but that's a whole different subject. This doesn't contain any restorations. This is to cut; this is to reduce State spending. The Governor has said he wants to spend three hundred and fifty million dollars more than we're going to give him. So the only alternative is to cut State spending. This is a cut in State spending. You're either for cutting State spending, or you're for raising taxes. Which is it?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Well, thank you. I would, then, like to ask a question of the sponsor. Is this something similar to what we heard just a moment ago from Senator Carroll, where you're going to take around twenty-four million dollars out of the Road Fund -- or out of the Road Program?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Stop, please, using that buzz word that's supposed to light up all the lights around here. You know very well this is coming out of the operations line. And the operations money for the Department of Transportation comes out of the Motor Fuel Tax, and it comes out of the Road Fund. Yes. But it has nothing whatever - nothing - nothing whatever to do with the Road Fund Program. Nothing.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Well, from what I can gather, Senator, is that we are

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

impacting, yes, personnel that will be needed to implement the Road Program. I mean, that's basically what we've -- what we're doing here. Due to the eight hundred people who retired, they need to reinstate some people, or they need to rehire some people - literally hire people - to do the very Road Program that we - most of us on this Floor - certainly support. If you're going to take money out of operations, you're going to be impacting that Road Program and their ability to do it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Rock may close. All right. I beg -- I beg your pardon. Senator Geo-Karis just turned her light on. Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

...(machine cutoff)...yield for a question? Is this amendment the one that has "crd" at the end of it, in the top?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Geo-Karis, would you repeat your question, please? SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Is this the amendment that says SDA87SB2032pam01/crd?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Yes, it is.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Okay. We got that settled. Any more -- any more -- Senator Rock may close.

SENATOR ROCK:

Well, thank you. I suppose it is very simple and easy to cry wolf, as I presume Senator Watson is currently doing. The two hundred and forty vacancies that the Department enjoyed in Fiscal '92 that they want to fill are fully funded. The hundred and seventy-four positions that they're planning to fill are not touched. There won't be any layoffs. It sets up a hiring lag, if you will. You don't hire everybody on July 2nd. So to say -- or

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

to -- to make the statement that this is going to somehow adversely impact the Road Program simply is inaccurate. This is a downsizing of government spending. And that literally is what we are about these days, because the Governor has said, "If you're not going to raise the taxes, give me an alternative." The alternative, my friends, is to cut spending. Amendment No. 3 does that, and I urge its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senator Rock has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. Senator Watson requests a roll call. Senator Rock moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 33, the Nays are 17, none voting Present. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senators Schaffer and Friedland. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schaffer. Senator Friedland, do you wish to handle that? Oh. I beg your pardon. There is... ... (machine cutoff)...Schaffer. Senator Schaffer, is this the amendment that was just lost a few minutes ago? Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Yes. And it's a shame it's technically flawed, because this time I think I'd have the votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

I will have to withdraw it, however.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Schaffer seeks leave to withdraw.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Withdraw. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 2033. Senator Watson. Mr. -- Mr. Secretary, Senate Bill 2033, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2033.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is to reduce the request of the May 1st level of unexpended balances in the reappropriation. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No.

1. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 2034. Senator Etheredge. Mr. Secretary, 2034, please.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2034.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers two amendments. Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This -- the Senate guidelines -- Committee 1 is Senate guidelines, and it restores prevention services and grants. I move for the adoption of this Committee...

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Hall moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion? Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the -- of the Senate. Senator Hall, the net result of this amendment is -- is an add-on of slightly over two million dollars. Is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

You're absolutely correct.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Based on some of the previous comments about these add-ons, either you are -- either you are against the add-ons or you are for increased taxes. Is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senator Hall may not be aware and, Senator Maitland, let me advise you. While we had talked about this before as part of the safety net, et cetera, you will find a Floor amendment that reverses some of this, so that the net impact is not that great of a restoration whatsoever, but rather only for the treatment grants, which, as I recall it, it's somewhere around eight hundred thousand. The two million would still be saved.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

This is just a technical correction.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No.

2. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Hall.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

SENATOR HALL:

This reallocates part of the restoration made in -- from prevention to treatment grants and eliminates the balance of the original 2.8 million restoration.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall moves -- I beg your pardon.

SENATOR HALL:

So I move for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3. Discussion? Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President. The net result is a one million forty-five thousand dollar add-on.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Di Turi.

SENATOR DI TURI:

I was at Dasha House Sunday morning, eight-thirty on Sunday morning, and Monsignor McDermott took me on a tour of the House. This treatment's very important for the Monsignor and his patients. We're taking women that are pregnant, on drugs; we're talking crack, cocaine-related drugs. With this treatment it gives each and one of them a free baby born of drugs and of crack. A free baby born. No children will be born with crack or cocaine. This is very important to keep that program open so the treatment can be there for these women who get pregnant and are on drugs. I vote that we should go with this.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

Only to say that it's my understanding that it's a net reduction

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

of a million-one overall, and I would -- following the prior speaker, move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3. Further discussion? If not, those in favor, indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further -- further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Rock.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock. Senator -- withdraw. Senator Rock seeks to withdraw. Withdraw. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll. Senator Carroll seeks leave to withdraw.

Leave to withdraw. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 2035. Senator Fawell. Mr. Secretary, 2035.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2035.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Senate guidelines committee. I move for the adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 2036. Senator Maitland. Mr. Secretary, 2036.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2036.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

This is the Senate guidelines, and it restores Project Chance. I move for the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No.

1. Is there discussion? Senator -- Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Yeah. I'm the guy...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

I thought it was snappy. Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

I'm sorry, Mr. President. Senator Hall, I just -- I mean, you

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

-- you explained very briefly what this amendment does. Doesn't it do substantially more than that? Do you want to explain the amendment a little bit better?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

It's -- what it does is, it's Project Chance, and it has case management hardship assistance, spousal impoverishment, bed-hold reserve, long-term care providers and day treatments for long-term care residents; eliminates the new program Healthy Moms - Kids, which only increased physicians' rates. And the total reduction is twenty million plus three -- no -- twenty thousand three hundred and seventy eight dollars. I mean twenty million. I move for the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Palmer.

SENATOR PALMER:

I rise in support of putting this money back. For those of you who are concerned about women getting off welfare, this is one of the key, central ways of doing so. This has an education component. It has a back-to-work component. It has all of the parts that we have talked about. What this amendment does is provide those means. We're talking about, for the most part, women - single women heads of households - who have children. The safety net features are very necessary, and if we're very serious about this, then I would urge all of us to vote for putting this back in. It is a small amount to pay for the future of thousands of children and their mothers.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Maitland. SENATOR MAITLAND:

Yes. I want to -- you know, I'm -- I'm a little bit confused

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

by the argument on this issue. The Governor had a new initiative — a new initiative — the Healthy Mom and Healthy Kids Program; thirty million dollars targeted for the City of Chicago, because there was a recognition of the fact that — that young babies were being born without proper prenatal care. Targeted specifically — specifically — for the City. It's a pilot program, one that probably is — is long overdue. I don't know why in the world you want to reject this. Senator, Project Chance, or whatever we're going to eventually call it, is a necessary program, and we're going to have it one way or another. We have differing views as to how we should conduct that. I don't think that's at issue here, but I want you to — make sure you understand what you are voting for here — a very new and worthwhile program that is going to save this State millions of dollars in the future.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank -- thank you very much. I'm a little confused. I gather this is talking about putting money back into Project Chance, which we are then going to get rid of by putting it over into opportunities into the college -- community college? I would like the sponsor to answer my question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Yes. Senator Hall, Senator Carroll, I think, seeks recognition. Senator Carroll.

SENATOR HALL:

Senator Carroll is going to...

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

Let me explain it as I best understand it. First of all, the Healthy Moms ~ Healthy Kids Program -- the program basically was in existence. This is not intended to create any new people being

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

served. All it was was a rate increase to the doctors who were providing the service. As to the issue of the Project Chance and its case management, the Governor was proposing eliminating case management, throwing it over to community colleges with no funds attached. The suggestion we are making is twofold, part of which shows up in this budget, and that is: in discussions with community college and in part with the Department, put iob training component into the community colleges so that we can received a federal reimbursement for the credit hour rate. But the case management part these schools knew nothing about. Thev wouldn't even know how to do it. It would be a new that we would have to fund. Leave that where it was at Public Aid, and let the community colleges, using partially funds they will acquire by charging for the credit hours, let them contract back with Public Aid, to provide the case management with the people who know how to do it best.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Well, I'm glad you explained it so well. First of all, then, to the bill: Number one, I've been on the Infant Mortality Commission about three years. One of the problems that we have, and the reason -- one of the main reasons we have the infant mortality rate that we do in this State is because we're not paying the doctors enough. And frankly, we're getting lousy doctors. If we paid the doctors anywhere near what they would normally get for an OB case - and you've got to realize a lot of these cases are high-risk pregnancies - then we wouldn't be paying on the other end when we end up having one-and-a-half- and two-pound babies. And Senator Carroll or Senator Palmer, if you've ever been down to Cook County or St. Luke-Pres like I have, and seen those rows and rows of one-and-a-half- and two-pound

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

babies that we're spending a hundred to two hundred thousand dollars on to try and save after the fact, that would be way better spent at the beginning, by giving the doctors a decent living wage, and not get these medical quacks that are taking care of these kids right now, we'd be way ahead. As far as Project Chance is concerned, let me tell you, I sat there for three hours a subcommittee hearing about Project Chance. They -- these caseworkers that you are commending, that are -- you are are so great, brought in their prize student and the direction they gave him was to go to a proprietary school, spend fourteen thousand dollars, when he could have gotten the same darn thing at the community college. If that's what the caseworkers are doing, we would be well rid of them, and let's get some people that know what the heck they're talking about. I think this is a bad amendment, and -- and we -- we should -- we shouldn't do it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. I think the previous speaker put this amendment in its proper perspective. You either want to vote for a decent wage for lousy doctors or minimum wage for someone trying to get off the welfare rolls.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Palmer.

SENATOR PALMER:

I certainly support exactly what Senators Carroll and Luft said. And, Senator Maitland, just to answer you, there are a number of organizations in the -- in Chicago, in particular, that have been doing a fine job for years with women. And in the same sense as this very expensive program, Healthy Moms - Healthy Kids, to mention two of them, Family Focus and Families with a Future. And I'm sure that I can extend that list. So with all due respect

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

to the concern about children, if we're talking about that, we ought to consider those that are already carrying this out.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. just say, Senator Fawell, I was not at the meeting, so forgive me. But I -- as was reported to me, that particular client said the caseworker had told that client not to go to the proprietary school and the client said, "I'm sorry I made that Minor matter, but I think it's important as to what works and what doesn't. Secondly, 13.2 million going doctors, whether they see a person or not. It's not a payment per It's what they call a capitation rate. It means they get paid whether the person shows up or not. That's what the proposal was. That's, to me, wrong. There's better uses of those money than that type of, in effect, a pay raise to the medical profession, particularly the medical profession in this field. And I have been at County, and I have been at Rush-Pres, firmly believe that this is a much better way to do it. Project Chance, the issue has to be create jobs. You get off of welfare by giving them some skills and giving them an opportunity to obtain a job. The Governor, I think, in part was correct by saying that by taking the credit hour portion through the community colleges, we can get reimbursed by the Federal Government that we could not otherwise do; but that the community colleges have no knowledge of case management and that that should still stay over at the Department. And I would again urge adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll's moved the adoption of Committee Amendment
No. 1. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Rock.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock seeks leave to withdraw. Withdraw. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Seeks to withdraw. Withdraw. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Seeks to withdraw. Withdraw. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Schaffer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schaffer. Senator Schaffer, is this the same amendment that you offered a while ago? Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

No. That wasn't an add-back. This is. This restores the adult dental program, I think, for thirteen million-three; half federal-half State. I think most of us know that we're going to end up paying for these dental services, many of which are provided in nursing homes, and I think we're going to -- it's cheaper to pay for it through normal dental sources than through emergency hospital calls. We seem to take it out every year and

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

then we end up putting it back by the time the smoke clears and cooler heads prevail, so I thought we'd try and do it early this year.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schaffer has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2. Is there discussion? Those in favor, indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. Opinion of the Chair, the Ayes have it. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 2037. Senator Maitland. Mr. Secretary, 2037, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2037.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is a Senate guidelines amendment, and I move for the adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Rock.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock seeks to withdraw. Withdraw. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further -- or wait, pardon me. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll seeks leave to withdraw. Withdraw. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator del Valle.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator del Valle.

SENATOR dEL VALLE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment restores 5.8 million to the Women, Infants and Children Program that was eliminated from the Department of Public Health budget. As we all know, IDPH estimates -- estimates indicate that only forty-four percent of those eligible for WIC in Illinois are now being served because of inadequate funding. We are attempting here to make sure that we reach the percentage that was excluded as a result of this cut. Still not taking us anywhere near where we -- should be, but improving the situation. I move the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator del Valle has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2. Discussion? Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you very much, Mr. President and Members of the Senate.

This is -- is a General Revenue portion of the WIC Program that was eliminated by the Governor this year, and I think justifiably

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

so. This is a program that has grown dramatically over the years. It has a sixteen-percent increase this year, not including this five million dollars. Sixteen percent. We are going to be serving substantially more people under this program. Senator del Valle, as I said in committee, this is five million dollars of money that we don't have to put in here. It is not a match or anything. This money can be used in many other social service areas that can impact greater on our ability to capture federal dollars. This is not a good thing to do, and ought to be rejected. And Mr. President, on this one, I request a roll call. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further -- is there discussion? Senator Cullerton. SENATOR CULLERTON:

I'm just a little confused. Was Senator Maitland referring to the -- which amendment? Is this the amendment that spends seven million dollars for the dental provisions that...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Cullerton, that was adopted in the last -- last bill, as I think, if I heard you question...

SENATOR CULLERTON:

Did -- did Senator Maitland want to speak against that, or was he only speaking against the WIC?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

It's hard for me to determine what Senator Maitland is speaking for and against. I'm sorry, I don't have an opinion. SENATOR CULLERTON:

Well, I just want to make it clear. Did we just pass an amendment that spent seven million dollars in General Revenue

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR CULLERTON:

add-on...

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

...for Senator Schaffer's amendment?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Maitland. I don't want to answer your questions for you. Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Well, I'm not -- I'm not sure I need to respond. If you're interested, I was a no voice vote on that one, Senator. We're on -- we're on Senate Bill 2037, a different amendment. All right?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Now we know where. Further discussion? Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

I was just going to say that we keep our debate to the bill that we're currently discussing.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

What? Sorry, I didn't hear you. Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

I was just going to suggest that we keep our debate to the bill we're currently discussing.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Good advice. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator del Valle, you may close.

SENATOR dEL VALLE:

Mr. President, this is one humble legislator's attempt to set some priorities. We've talked today, all afternoon, about cutting, cutting. But we have failed to talk about setting priorities. I'm interested in cutting administrative fat. I'm interested in cutting wherever we can cut, but I'm also interested in taking some of those dollars and shifting them into areas that will make a difference - into areas that will save us dollars down the road. And this is one of those areas. A recent study of the WIC Program, sponsored by the Department of

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Agriculture, showed that every dollar spent through WIC on prenatal care saved between a dollar ninety-two and four twenty-one in Medicaid payments during the first sixty days after a child's birth. We know what's happening to Medicaid spending. Here's an opportunity for us to reach more than forty-four percent of those eligible - a little more than forty-four percent - and save some dollars on Medicaid costs. Let's take some actions that show -- clearly show the public in the State of Illinois where our priorities are. That's why I urge your support of this amendment. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Maitland has requested a roll call. Senator del Valle has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 26, the Nays are 27, none voting Present. Amendment No. 2 fails. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 2215. Senator Etheredge. Madam -- Madam Secretary, 2215, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2215.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

Committee Amendment No. 1 is the other additional supplemental

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

appropriations, as discussed in committee, that met with the approval, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No.

Discussion? If not, those in favor, indicate by saying Aye.
 Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. It's a technical amendment, no dollar change, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you very much, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This adds additional spending authority for federal funds that are now available to ISAC monetary award program. I

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Friedland -- I'm sorry. Senator Etheredge has moved the adoption of Amendment No 3. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments? SECRETARY HAWKER:

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Holmberg.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is an amendment to add funds for several capital development projects from the Democratic side. A little earlier Senator Etheredge had added some for Plainfield schools, and there were several that had been submitted on our side which we withheld, because we felt it would not be the appropriate year to do that. But I think, as Senator Demuzio said, it was probably time to, you know, come back to the issue and to propose those particular items. There are three items in the amendment. One is the amount of six million eight hundred and fifty-one thousand nine hundred, to be appropriated from the Capital Development Fund to the Capital Development Board for the Illinois Community College Board for the planning, design, preparation, renovation and construction of a humanities administration building and parking area at Southeastern Illinois College in Senator Rea's district. There's also the amount of five million dollars appropriated from the School Construction Fund to the Capital Development Board for planning, construction and all necessary costs for a new junior high school Kinnickinick school district, the fastest-growing district in the State, and they've completely outgrown their facilities. the amount of five thousand <sic> (million) three hundred and

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

ninety-five thousand four hundred to be appropriated from the Capital Development Fund to the Capital Development Board for the Board of Regents to construct a facility for NIU's Rockford Center, including site improvements. This was skipped over by the government — Governor even though it was very high up on the Board of Higher Education list, and deserves to be moved forward this year, because they are ready to break ground. I recommend its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Holmberg has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4.

Is there discussion? Senator Etheredge.

END OF TAPE

TAPE 5

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Well, thank you very much, Mr. President. I -- I think the Senator has laid -- described these projects very well, so that we can understand them. What I did not understand in her description was any emergency for any one of these, whether a tornado or a fire or what have you. There are a number of -- there were a number of these projects related to higher education -- capital projects. There's already a significant percentage of the total capital program that has been allocated to -- to Higher Ed in the Governor's budget. I -- I think that we're talking about projects that are significantly different in character than the one that we talked about earlier on.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? If not, Senator Holmberg may

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

close.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

I would just like to say that emergencies can be described in very different ways, and certainly children who cannot get an education because they don't have a building, because they're in an overcrowded district, is too an emergency. And I recommend the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Holmberg has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4. Those in favor, indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. No, I said Aye or Nay; I didn't say roll call. I said -- all right, Senator Holmberg has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4. Those is favor will vote Aye. Those opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 13, the Nays are 32, none voting Present. Amendment No. 4 fails. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. If you'll go back to page 3 now, page 3 on your Calendar. In the middle of the page is Senate Bills 1727, 28 and 29. Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President. These are the last remaining appropriation bills, I would ask that they be moved to the Order of 3rd Reading. Senator Philip and I will have an opportunity later or early tomorrow to discuss possible or probable amendments. We have also, as a courtesy, have to discuss them with the Speaker and Representative Daniels, so I'd ask that we move them. After we finish the appropriation bills, the last Order of Business, of course, is the Agreed Bill List. You all

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

have a Supplemental Calendar. The Secretary assures me that it can be read and handled with dispatch. We should indeed be out of here by six o'clock. I would ask consideration of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, 1727, 8 and 9.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. With leave of the Body we will return to the order of page 3, Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1727, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1727.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1728, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1728.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1729, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1729.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senator Carroll, for what purpose do you arise? SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. On the Calendar also are three appropriation bills that I wish to recommit to the Appropriations I Committee. They would be Senate Bill 1877, 1878 and 1879, and I would move, Mr. President, they be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations I.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Carroll has moved to recommit Senate Bills 1877, 1878 and 1879 to the Committee on Appropriations I. All in — those in favor, indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Those three bills are recommitted to the Appropriations I Committee. We will now — on the Agreed Bill List, the Secretary will read those bills on the Agreed Bill List, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 400.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 969.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1486.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

These bills are being read for a third time.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1486.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Senate Bill 1552.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1585.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1618.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1647.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1655.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1692.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1699.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1708.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1713.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1716.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1732.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1736.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1749.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1760.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1769.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1771.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Senate Bill 1772.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1793.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1892.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1899.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1909.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1914.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1922.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1926.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1936.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1937.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1940.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1944.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1950.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1953.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1954.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1964.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Senate Bill 1984.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1992.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1997.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1998.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 2039.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 2049.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 2053.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 2057.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 2068.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 2098.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 2105.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 2111.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 2127.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 2128.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 2161.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 2162.

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

Senate Bill 2166.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 2176.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 2128 <sic> (2182).

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 2190.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 2191.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 2192.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 2204.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 2205.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 2229.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

And Senate Bill 2233.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bills.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

This next roll call will be on the final passage of the bills just read by the Secretary. The question is, shall this series — shall this series of bills pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, — on these bills, the votes are 55 voting Aye, no Nays, no — none voting Present. This series of bills, having received a — a constitutional majority by record vote, is declared passed. The record vote for each bill passed shall be entered into the Journal. Senator Rock, for what

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

purpose do you arise?

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I would like the record to reflect that Senator Ted Lechowicz is still hospitalized and so is not with us today, and Senator Jim Rea was called home on a family emergency. I understand his mother underwent emergency surgery this afternoon, so neither one of them are with us. I would hope the record would reflect their excused absence. And if there is no further business, do we have some paperwork?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Yes, we got a couple things here.

SENATOR ROCK:

Okay. Otherwise, nine o'clock tomorrow morning, Ladies and Gentlemen. We are trying desperately to conclude our work as expeditiously as possible. Friday is totally dependent on how much we get done tomorrow. So we'll start at nine o'clock tomorrow morning.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further business to come before the Senate? Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Point of personal privilege. It has been brought to my attention that Senator Hall is celebrating his thirty-ninth birthday many times removed. Kenny? And we would like to wish Kenny Hall a happy, happy birthday. Congratulations.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

I'd just like to say that -- thanks, and I want you to know that today is my thirty-eighth anniversary of my thirty-ninth

107th Legislative Day

May 20, 1992

birthday. I'm seventy-seven and -- and still have all my teeth.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Kelly, for what purpose do you arise? Senator Kelly. SENATOR KELLY:

I was going to extend my, certainly, congratulations to Senator Hall as well. He's been a longtime friend, and certainly a great leader and a respected colleague of ours, and I just want to say happy birthday. Have a great year of good health and much success in all your endeavors.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Yeah, and we hope you keep your teeth. Further -- or Resolutions.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Resolution 1291 offered by Senator Marovitz.

It's a death resolution.

And Senate Resolution 1292 offered by Senator Demuzio and all Members.

It's congratulatory.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Consent Calendar. All right. Further business to come before the Senate? Senator Rock moves that the Senate stand adjourned until tomorrow morning at the hour of nine o'clock. Nine o'clock.

REPORT: TIFLDAY
PAGE: 001

STATE OF ILLINOIS 87TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY SENATE

93/04/20 09:16:52

DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

MAY 20, 1992

	== . = =		
HS-1129	FIRST READING	PAGE	2
HB-2132	FIRST READING	PAGE	2
H3-2711	FIRST READING	PAGE	2
	FIRST READING	PAGE	2
	FIRST READING	PAGE	2
HB-2858		PAGE	2
HE-2959		PAGE	3
	FIRST READING	PAGE	3
Hu-3054	FIRST READING FIRST READING	PAGE PAGE	3 3
HB-3055		PAGE	3
HB-3056		PAGE	3
Hu-3092		PAGE	3
	FIRST READING	PAGE	3
HB-3101	FIRST READING	PAGE	3
	FIRST READING	PAGE	3
	FIRST READING	PAGE	3
HB-3168		PAGE	3
	FIRST READING	PAGE	3
HB-3204	FIRST READING	PAGE	3 3
	FIRST READING FIRST READING	PAGE PAGE	3
	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-3374		PAGE	5
	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
H3-3484	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
H3-3487	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-3645		PAGE PAGE	4
	FIRST KEADING FIRST READING	PAGE	4 4
H3-3808		PAGE	4
	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-4107	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
H3-4144	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
	THIRD READING	PAGE	224
	THIRD READING	PAGE	224
	SECOND READING	PAGE	7
	SECOND READING	PAGE	9
	SECOND READING THIRD READING	PAGE PAGE	86 224
	SECOND READING	PAGE	23
	THIRD READING	PAGE	225
SB-1555	SECOND READING	PAGE	24
Sp-1556	SECOND READING	PAGE	26
SB-1567	SECOND READING	PAGE	26
	SECOND READING	PAGE	27
	THIRD READING	PAGE	225
	SECOND READING	PAGE	28
53-1607	SECOND READING	PAGE	93
	SECOND READING THIRD READING	PAGE	28
	THIRD READING	PAGE PAGE	225 225
	THIRD READING	PAGE	225
	SECOND READING	PAGE	31
	SECOND READING	PAGE	33
So-1692	THIRD READING	PAGE	225
	THIRD READING	PAGE	225
	THIRD READING	PAGE	225
Sa-1713	THIRD READING	PAGE	225

? ₹ REPORT: TIFLDAY PAGE: 002

STATE OF ILLINOIS 87TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY SENATE

93/04/20 09:16:52

DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

MAY 20, 1992

Sá-1716	THIRD READING	PAGE	225
SB-1727		PAGE	223
	SECOND READING	PAGE	223
	SECOND READING	PAGE	223
	SECOND READING	PAGE	93
SB-1732	THIRD READING	PAGE	225
Sp-1733	SECOND READING	PAGE	93
SB-1736	THIRD READING	PAGE	225
	THIRD READING	PAGE	225
	SECOND READING	PAGE	35
	THIRD READING	PAGE	225
SB-1768	SECOND READING	PAGE	36
Sd-1769	THIRD READING	PAGE	225
SB-1771	THIRD READING	PAGE	225
SB-1772	THIRD READING	PAGE	226
	SECOND READING	PAGE	37
	THIRD READING	PAGE	226
	SECOND READING	PAGE	38
S=-1840	SECOND READING	PAGE	97
SB-1841	SECOND READING	PAGE	98
SB-1842	SECOND READING	PAGE	99
	SECOND READING	PAGE	100
	SECOND READING	PAGE	101
		PAGE	
	SECOND READING		103
	SECOND READING	PAGE	104
	SECOND READING	PAGE	105
Sp-1848	SECOND READING	PAGE	107
SB-1849	SECOND READING	PAGE	107
SB-1850	SECOND READING	PAGE	108
	SECOND READING	PAGE	109
	SECOND READING	PAGE	109
	SECONO READING	PAGE	110
	SECOND READING	PAGE	110
SB-1871	SECOND READING	PAGE	110
S3-1872	SECOND READING	PAGE	111
58-1873	SECOND READING	PAGE	111
SB-1874	SECOND READING	PAGE	111
	SECOND READING	PAGE	111
SB-1876		PAGE	
			112
Sa-1877		PAGE	224
SB-1878		PAGE	224
S3-1879		PAGE	224
S1830	SECOND READING	PAGE	112
\$3-1881	SECOND READING	PAGE	115
SB-1882	SECOND READING	PAGE	115
	SECOND READING	PAGE	115
	SECOND READING	PAGE	116
	SECOND READING		
		PAGE	116
	SECOND READING	PAGE	116
	SECOND READING	PAGE	116
\$5-1890	SECOND READING	PAGE	38
SB-1892	THIRD READING	PAGE	226
SB-1899	THIRD READING	PAGE	226
	THIRD READING	PAGE	226
	THIRD READING		226
		PAGE	
	THIRD READING	PAGE	226
	THIRD READING	PAGE	226
	SECOND READING	PAGE	40
SB-1931	SECOND READING	PAGE	65
S-1936	THIRD READING	PAGE	226
	THIRD READING	PAGE	226
	THIRD READING	PAGE	226
	THIRD READING		
		PAGE	226
30-1945	SECOND READING	PAGE	66

09:16:52

93/04/20

7

7

PAGE

DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

MAY 20, 1992

SB-1950	THIRD READING	PAGE
SB-1953	THIRD READING	PAGE
So-1954	THIRD READING	PAGE
SS-1964	THIRD READING	PAGE
	SECOND READING	PAGE
	SECOND READING	PAGE
	SECOND READING	PAGE
		PAGE
SB-1992	THIRD READING	PAGE
	THIRD READING	PAGE
	THIRD READING	PAGE
	SECOND READING	PAGE
\$8-2002	· - · - · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PAGE
	SECOND READING	PAGE
SB-2004		PAGE
\$1-2005		PAGE
SB-2006		PAGE
SB-2007	SECOND READING	PAGE
SL-2008		PAGE
SB-2009	SECOND READING	PAGE
SB-2010	SECOND READING	PAGE
SB-2011	SECOND READING	PAGE
\$3-2012	SECOND READING	PAGE
SB-2013	SECOND READING	PAGE
SL-2014		PAGE
SB-2015		PAGE
Sc-2017		PAGE
\$3-2018	SECOND READING	PAGE
SB-2019		PAGE
S3-2020	SECOND READING	PAGE
SB-2021	SECOND READING	PAGE
SB-2022	SECOND READING	PAGE
SJ-2023	SECOND READING	PAGE
SB-2024	SECOND READING	PAGE
SE-2025	SECOND READING	PAGE
SB-2026	SECOND READING	PAGE
SB-2027	SECOND READING	PAGE
SE-2028	SECOND READING	PAGE
\$8-2029	SECOND READING	PAGE
\$3-2030	SECOND READING	PAGE
Sa-2031	SECOND READING	PAGE
SB-2032	SECOND READING	PAGE
SB-2033	SECOND READING	PAGE
SJ-2034	SECOND READING	PAGE
SB-2035	SECOND READING	PAGE
SB-2036	SECOND READING	PAGE
Sis-2037	SECOND READING	PAGE
S5-2039	THIRD READING	PAGE
Su-2045	SECOND READING	PAGE
: -		

SB-2049 THIRD READING

SB-2053 THIRD READING SJ-2057 THIRD READING SB-2062 SECOND READING

SB-2068 THIRD READING

SJ-2094 SECOND READING SB-2098 THIRD READING SB-2105 THIRD READING

S4-2111 THIRD READING

SB-2119 SECOND READING

S8-2125 SECOND READING S3-2127 THIRD READING S8-2128 THIRD READING

SB-2152 SECOND READING

S3-2161 THIRD READING

REPORT: TIFLDAY

7

DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX MAY 20, 1992

STATE OF ILLINOIS

87TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY SENATE

> PAGE 227

> > 228 228

79

81

83 228

228 228

228 220

228

84

218

85

228

228

5

5 5 6

1

1

6

6

6

230 230

PAGE

PAGE

PAGE PAGE

PAGE

PAGE PAGE

PAGE PAGE

PAGE

PAGE

PAGE

PAGE

PAGE

PAGE

PAGE

PAGE PAGE

PAGE PAGE

PAGE

PAGE

PAGE

PAGE

PAGE

PAGE

PAGE

PAGE

PAGE 146 PAGE 230

PAGE

93/04/20 09:16:52

S5-2162	THIRD READING
SB-2166	THIRD READING
SB-2176	THIRD READING
Sb-2177	SECOND READING
SB-2178	SECOND READING
\$3-2181	SECOND READING
SB-2182	THIRD READING
SB-2190	THIRD READING
S3-2191	THIRD READING
SB-2192	THIRD READING
SB-2204	THIRD READING
S5-2205	THIRD READING

\$3-2211 SECOND READING

SU-2225 SECOND READING SU-2229 THIRD READING

SR-1287 RESOLUTION OFFERED

SR-1288 RESOLUTION OFFERED

SR-1289 RESOLUTION OFFERED SR-1290 RESOLUTION OFFERED

SR-1291 RESOLUTION OFFERED

SR-1292 RESOLUTION OFFERED

SUBJECT MATTER

SB-2215 SECOND READING

SB-2233 THIRD READING

SENATE TO ORDER - PRESIDENT ROCK

JOURNALS - POSTPONED

SENATE RECONVENES

RECESS

ADJOURNMENT

MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE

MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE

PRAYER - THE REVEREND GLENN VANDERKLOOT

REMARKS BY DEM. NAT'L. COMM. CHAIR RON BROWN