

85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

JUNE 22, 1987

PRESIDENT:

The hour of twelve-thirty having arrived, the Senate will please come to order. Will the members be at their desks and will our guests in the gallery please rise. Our prayer this afternoon by the Reverend William Schroeder, Oak Forest Baptist Temple, Oak Forest, Illinois. Reverend.

REVEREND WILLIAM SCHROEDER:

(Prayer given by Reverend Schroeder)

PRESIDENT:

Thank you, Reverend. Reading of the Journal. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Mr. President, I move that reading and approval of the Journals of Tuesday, June the 9th; Wednesday, June the 10th; Thursday, June the 11th; Friday, June the 12th; Tuesday, June the 16th; Wednesday, June the 17th; Thursday, June the 18th and Friday, June the 19th, in the year 1987, be postponed pending arrival of the printed Journals.

PRESIDENT:

You've heard the motion as placed by Senator Hall. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and it is so ordered. Messages from the House.

SECRETARY:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to-wit:

Senate Bill 21 with House Amendments 1 and 2.

Senate Bill 147 with House Amendment No. 1.

Senate Bill 170 with House Amendment No. 1.

Senate Bill 225 with House Amendments 1 and 2.

Senate Bill 418 with House Amendments 1 and 2.

Senate Bill 523 with House Amendments 1, 2 and
3.

Senate Bill 524 with House Amendment No. 1.
Senate Bill 600 with House Amendments 1, 4 and
5.

Senate Bill 790 with House Amendments 2 and 5.
Senate Bill 814 with House Amendment No. 1.
Senate Bill 833 with House Amendment No. 1.
Senate Bill 856 with House Amendments 1 and 2.
Senate Bill 883 with House Amendment No. 1.
Senate Bill 945 with House Amendment No. 2.
Senate Bill 1003 with House Amendment No. 1.
Senate Bill 1108 with House Amendment No. 1.
And Senate Bill 1228 with House Amendment No.

1.
Senate Bill 1231 with House Amendment No. 1.
Senate Bill 1263 with House Amendments No. 1
and 2.

Senate Bill 1273 with House Amendments No. 1
and 2.

Senate Bill 1325 with House Amendment No. 1.
Senate Bill 356 with House Amendment No. 1.
And Senate Bill 1428 with House Amendment No.
1.

A Message from the House...by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed bills of the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

House Bill 911.

PRESIDENT:

Secretary's Desk, Madam Secretary. Resolutions.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 420 offered by Senator Schuneman.

Senate Resolution 421 offered by Senator Vadalabene.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schuneman, for what purpose do you arise, sir?

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise to ask for leave for immediate consideration of Senate Resolution 420. This is a congratulatory resolution for Sam Mendle who is the oldest living veteran of the United States Military Service who is a resident of my district. He's going to be a hundred and three years old this week, and he will be here in the Senate on Thursday, and we'd like to present this resolution to him.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Schuneman has moved to suspend the rules for the immediate consideration and adoption of Senate Resolution 420. All in favor of the motion to suspend indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The rules are suspended. Senator Schuneman now moves the adoption of Senate Resolution 420, a congratulatory resolution. All in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and the resolution is adopted. Senator Vadalabene, for what purpose do you arise, sir?

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I move for the immediate consideration of Senate...Senate Resolution 421.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Madam Secretary, Senate Resolution 421. Senator Vadalabene has moved to suspend the rules for the immediate consideration and adoption of Senate Resolution 421. All in favor of the motion to suspend indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The rules are suspended. Senator Vadalabene now moves the adoption of Senate Resolution 421, another congratulatory resolution that's to be presented this week. All in favor indicate by

saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The resolution is adopted. Senator Madigan, for what purpose do you arise, sir?

SENATOR MADIGAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. As sponsor of House Bill 1852, I'd request leave to remove that bill from the Agreed Bill List and recommit that to the Committee on Insurance.

PRESIDENT:

All right. The gentleman has moved...if you'll turn to the Agreed Bill List, House Bill 1852. 1852, Madam Secretary, bottom of page 48. The gentleman seeks leave of this Body to remove it from the Agreed Bill...List and ask that it be rereferred to the Committee on Insurance. All in favor of the motion to rerefer indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and the bill is rereferred to the Committee on Insurance. Resolutions.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 422 offered by Senator Carroll.

Senate Resolution 423 offered by Senators Keats, Berman and Kustra.

Senate Resolution 424 offered by Senators Topinka.

And they are all congratulatory.

PRESIDENT:

Consent Calendar. All right. We will begin...in order to afford everybody an opportunity to ease into this, we'll begin with the recall list. And when we begin on 2nd reading, we will...begin on the middle of page 60 at 785, 6-0, page 60 at 785, House bills 2nd. We will finish the House bills 2nd reading order of business and then move at that time immediately to the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading for final action. In the meantime, we'll go to the Order of Recalls. The Secretary has passed out a recall list. Any additions to this list will be taken up tomorrow. We're just going to go with the list as is. 47, Senator Donahue.

100, Senator Marovitz. Everybody have a recall list? All right, we'll...we'll wait a minute. The recall list...I'm...I'm sorry, my...my mistake, I thought the recall list had been distributed. That's my second mistake today, the first was getting up. All right, now let's turn to page 60, let's get going. This is the last week, we hope. 785, Senator Etheredge. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 785. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill...House Bill 785.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would be the breakout, the Contractual line item as we had done on all the other budgets for the special assistant's Attorney's General. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 785. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Point of parliamentary inquiry, 9th reading, Mr. President?

PRESIDENT:

Well, yeah, the board is...the board is not ready today either, it's Monday.

SENATOR CARROLL:

There we go, thank you.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Everybody take a deep breath, we're going to start over now. We're on 789. Page 60 on the Calendar, 6-0...page 60 on the Calendar, 6-0.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 789.

(Secretary begins reading of HB 789)

PRESIDENT:

Take it out of the record, that wasn't called. Only read the ones I call. Okay? 790, Senator Mahar. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 790. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 790.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 would be to allow the funding for the new positions created by the new judges for the Court of Claims annualizing their contractual staff. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 790. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

791, Senator Donahue. Top of page 61. 792, Senator Maitland. All right. Senator Maitland seeks leave of the Body to handle 791, Madam Secretary. On the bottom of page 60 on the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 791. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 791.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is to switch some of the programs between GRF and Ag. Premium reducing three of the programs, eliminating three, reducing some of the grants and restoring the Ag. Export Promotions Fund. I'd move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 791. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes

have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Maitland offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. Amendment No. 2 adds 2.6 million dollars in GRF and...and it reduces by 16.6 million dollars other funds, primarily Ag. Premium Fund; reallocates GRF and Ag. Premium Funds to reflect the introduced bill, restores some operation cuts and restores grants to soil and water conservation districts, mapping of soil surveys of which we are in the middle of that project, and R and M projects at the State Fair in DuQuoin State Fair to introduced levels. It does remove some House add-ons for Harvest to Heartland in the amount of 12.8 million dollars and does remove funding for legal assistance in the amount of four hundred thousand and stress counseling in the amount...in the amount of four hundred thousand. I would move for the adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Maitland has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 791. Discussion? Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Yes, sir. I'd like to ask the sponsor a question, if he would...

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates he'll yield, Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

In regard to a farm resource center and I guess it's that four hundred thousand dollars you alluded to, is that being added back in or is that taken out?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

That's being deleted.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

What then remains for that...are you familiar with the...the Farm Resource Center Program, and is that what we're addressing, and what remains in the budget for that, if anything?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

This...this particular item was introduced at eight hundred thousand, I think, and this deletes the eight hundred thousand dollars in its entirety.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Is there going to be any effort to restore that, is that a subsequent amendment or is the program going to be left to die?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

It is my understanding that that might be in a...in a following amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Further discussion? Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. This brings the soil and water conservation districts up to the introduced level?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

It's 3.05 million, that was the introduced level.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Okay. Wait a minute...go...the next case.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, now let me...thank you, Mr. President. Let...let...let me get this straight. You are deleting the four hundred thousand dollars for the stress counseling, and now I understand you are adding additional money back in for the soil and water conservation district projects, is that what I'm hearing? As a result of this amendment?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Yes, it does...does take it up to the 3.05 million dollars, which obviously is much below the...the figure that the Governor really originally suggested, but it is at the level that we feel that we can afford now. It also, Senator, does continue the funding for the...for the mapping of the soil surveys across the state.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, this is an interesting little amendment. I now have the staff synopsis. You are deleting the Illinois Farm Legal Assistance Act, four hundred thousand; the Farm

Resource Center, four hundred thousand; pseudorabies, sixty thousand; Farm Debt Mediation Council, five hundred...thousand from the Ag. Premium Fund; corporate reporting of agricultural farm ownership, seventy thousand from the Ag. Premium Fund; the Illinois Farm Development Authority Seed Capital Fund of ten million dollars of GRF, the Ag. Research Development Consortium of two million from Ag. Premium, the Illinois Farm Development Authority...Post Harvest Technology Fund of two hundred and seventy-five thousand and the University of Illinois study for herbicides and pesticides of 46.3...forty-six thousand three hundred. And then you are adding a hundred and seventy-two thousand seven hundred GRF to soil surveys and grants to soil and water conservation districts to restore them both to the introduced level, and adding two hundred thousand dollars of Ag. Premium to restore the construction at the State Fairgrounds. Among other things, Senator Maitland, I want to go back, since I apparently didn't listen very attentively when you were talking with Senator Watson, are...are...you have any further amendments that would restore any of these particular programs or is this it?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Senator, I did...I did respond. It does not...it does not add back in all the programs that you've just mentioned, but it does add back in all the programs that were in the program as...as...as the legislation was introduced.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

All right. Then let me put it another way. You are deleting then by virtue...when you are finished with all of your respective amendments that you intend to offer, assuming

that they are all adopted, and the way you are actually in...in effect doing is that you are deleting all of the Heartland of the Harvest Program that was passed by the House, is that correct?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

That is correct.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Well, I think...Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, if I might. The problem that this will really create for us amongst others in a budget that is already problem funded is the fact that this seems to have been the heart of the House agricultural action in their creating a program for FY '88. And in this amendment we would totally take out the program that the House had developed in a funding program in the Heart of the Heartland concept. I think to take this action merely assures that the Department of Agriculture budget will not get to the Governor's Desk in any reasonable foreseeable future. If that is the intent of the sponsor, then that is what the impact of this amendment would do. I think we should oppose this amendment at this time, attempt to clean up any other problems in the Department of Ag. budget and move it along. This program of Heart to Heartland is the direction the House by vote decided to go and I think we should support the House in this action and...and oppose the amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Maitland has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Maitland may close.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Well, thank you, very much, Mr. President. Senator Carroll, I...I couldn't agree with you more if this program had been one that would have been talked about over the months. But this is a totally new program brought about in a year when we've got a...a fiscal problem that we've not been able to deal with yet. We are putting back in, Senator Carroll...Senator Carroll, I was just...I was just talking. This is a totally new program and...and during a year when we are in such a...fiscal...having such a fiscal problem, it's necessary that we...that we take this out until we find out if there's available revenue. Maybe it's a good program, but we have put back in in this amendment programs that we've been talking about for a long time that are important to farmers and agriculture across this state. I think we ought to...to go ahead and pass this amendment and deal with the issue later on. And I, therefore, would once again, Mr. President, move for the adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Maitland has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 791. Those in favor of the amendment will vote Aye. Opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 22 Ayes, 30 voting No, none voting Present. Amendment No. 2 fails. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Maitland.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland, on Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Mr...Mr. President, we will Table this amendment, it will not track.

PRESIDENT:

That amendment, I think, is better withdrawn, Senator, if

that's agreeable with you, we'll just pull it. Further amendments, Madam Secretary?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Maitland.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland on Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the issue that we dealt with last week and we simply are calling attention to what we consider to be a very serious problem, and at this time we would wish to also Table this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Maitland also moves...asks leave of the Body to withdraw that amendment. Further amendments, Madam Secretary?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator...Senator Carroll asks leave to withdraw that amendment, Madam Secretary. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Top of page 61, Senator Maitland on 792. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 792. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 792.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 cuts the regular operations by phasing in the vacancies and...and bringing in the Nonpersonal Services line down to the '87 level. This restores the educational services in the AIDS research. The House had made some add-ons to that area and adjustments. We have attempted to adjust them, adding initiatives, eliminating certain day-care center grants and cutting in half the victims of AIDS add-on that the House had did in putting that back into education providing for a fifteen percent increase approximately for victims of rape. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 792. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you...thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would add to reflect the June 16th unexpended balance in the Asbestos School Inspection Program. This is treated as you would any other capital reappropriation where you bring it to the...last known expended balance. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 792. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President...Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. As I had indicated in Committee Amendment No. 1, we had attempted to make certain reallocations in the area of educational services versus victim services for the AIDS research and AIDS victims. After discussion with the House we have in Amendment No. 3 reallocated again those programs to provide some parity between that which is being spent to educate the general population and that which is being spent for victims. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 792. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Maitland.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland on Amendment No. 4.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President and members. It deletes 1.3 million dollars from GRF, it removes House member amendments consisting of assistance to rape victims in the amount of a hundred thousand dollars, various AIDS services...services five hundred thousand dollars, and administration of the Rheumatic Disease Treatment Act in the amount of three hun-

dred thousand dollars. It further removes two programs, one that deals with the administration of the Rural Health Care Services Improvement Act in the amount of two hundred thousand dollars and support for a primary medical care facility in...in...in Cairo in the amount of two hundred thousand dollars. And I would...would move for the adoption, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Maitland has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 792. Discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. We will have to oppose this amendment. By way of enunciation, Senator Maitland, you did say...you didn't say Carroll medical services, you said Cairo, is that correct? But in any event, there is...the absence of health care in that area of the state is well known, the state had made the intentional decision to maintain a...a presence there in emergency room status for that large area of the state that would have absent any medical services by the closing of the hospital. The other areas are rural health care where health care is absolutely essential. There has been a real problem in rape assistance; in fact, many of those support groups are upset that we only provided a fifteen percent increase although we felt under existing revenue that's all that was available. This amendment would reduce that by a hundred thousand, reducing victims' assistance in AIDS cases by a half a million dollars which would be actually totally eliminating that program. We believe that in the allocations we made in Committee Amendments 1, 2 and Floor Amendment 3 are within the ability of the state to pay, it would still leave general revenue at an area below introduction by some seven million dollars, we do not think it necessary to make these

reductions. Though it would save GR, this is not the reason for which people are paying taxes is to merely save general revenue; in that case, we might as well close down government. I think we need to be funding these assistance centers, these health care centers, and I would oppose Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Maitland has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 792. Any further discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed. Nays have it. Amendment No. 4 fails. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 5 offered by Senator Kustra.

PRESIDENT:

Okay. The gentleman seeks to have that withdrawn. Senator Maitland seeks to withdraw that, it'll be withdrawn. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 5 offered by Senator Maitland.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is an amendment that came to us after we were here on Friday, as I understand it, it's one that's...been suggested by the department. It breaks out the lump sum for health facilities planning into a specific line item. A House amendment by Representative Tate consolidated the original appropriation to a lump sum. I don't think there's any disagreement here, I would move for the adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Maitland has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 5 to House Bill 792. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have

it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 793, Senator Dudycz. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, top of page 61, is House Bill 793. Read the bill, Mr...Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 793.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment 1 would...be...the application of the guidelines to the operations of the agency and then using a portion of the unexpended balance to provide what is called nonbasic training reimbursement to our local police enforcement agencies throughout the state, increasing that from the budgeted amount of ten percent of the cost of that training to thirty percent of the cost of that training. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 793. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 799, Senator Etheredge. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 799. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 799.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is in the...in the Capital Development's new appropriation. It eliminates six add-ons that have been done by various members of the House of favorite status projects so that there are no new projects that have been legislative oriented. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 799. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Since Prison 1 and Prison 2 have not yet really gone very far along the road, this budget under a new tax proposal said that we should also budget for Prison No. 3 of unknown origin. This amendment would delete...as the Governor has indicated absent the tax increase there should be a deletion of Prison No. 3, this would so delete. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 799. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 810, Senator Poshard. 859, Senator Carroll. Middle of page 61. 866, Senator Marovitz. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 866. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 866.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 932, Senator Joyce, Jerome. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 932. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 932.

HB 942
2nd Reading

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Thomas Dunn offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Dunn on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 would delete a provision permitting the Department the Conservation to lease for a dollar a year for a term of ninety-nine years a strip of land approximately sixty-six feet by eighteen hundred feet and a portion of Treat Island to the Joliet Regional Port Authority. It's my understanding the Department of Correction is in favor of this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Dunn has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 932. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

942, Senator Luft. On...House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 942, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 942.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Energy and Environment offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1...amends various Acts to promote the cogeneration of electricity from solid waste. It establishes standards for solid waste energy facilities, grants utilities a tax credit from the state public utilities tax for its increased cost of...purchasing such electricity and...and requires the cogeneration facility to reimburse the Public Utilities Fund.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Luft has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 942. Discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Luft offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Luft. Senator Luft, we apparently have a Floor amendment that has been filed.

SENATOR LUFT:

That's the one I just put on.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. Apparently, I explained the Floor amendment in the last dissertation rather than the...the committee amendment. The committee amendment...explanation should have been changes reference from municipal garbage to solid waste. So now, I would move to adopt the Floor amendment which I just explained.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Luft has moved adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 942. Discussion? Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Well, I...Senator, I'm not standing to oppose the amendment, but I...just to ask you a question or two. This is the agreed to amendment now, is everybody on board with...with this issue?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Representative McPike who has negotiated the program tells me that everybody is in agreement to this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. WAND-TV has requested permission to videotape today's Session. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

This amendment does...does mandate that the utilities will purchase whatever energy is produced from this source and the ultimate payer of this bill will be the state, is that not correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

That is correct.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Final question. Any idea of the cost...potential cost on this, just so we might know?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

At this time, no.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Luft has moved adoption of Amendment No. 2...Senator Macdonald.

SENATOR MACDONALD:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Macdonald.

SENATOR MACDONALD:

Yes, Senator Luft, can you give me some idea about the estimated cost? My analysis here says that the cost is...will be upwards of eighty-four million dollars. Can you give me some idea as to what the cost of this...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

I'm not so sure where you came up with your figures because the people that negotiated this agreement, which Representative McPike was the person getting everyone together, could not come up with the cost at this time.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator...Senator Macdonald.

SENATOR MACDONALD:

Senator Luft, is the administration in favor of this bill as it is now?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

It's my understanding from Representative McPike that absolutely everybody is in agreement. If that is in error, what I am telling you, I will find out and between now and 3rd reading will let you know otherwise.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Luft has moved

*MB 1035
2nd Reading*

the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 942. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 960, Senator Haitland. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 960, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 960.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 1035, Senator Marovitz. Senator Marovitz on the Floor? 1041, Senator Jerome Joyce. All right. Wait a minute...wait a minute. Senator Marovitz just popped up. All right. With leave of the Body, we'll return to House Bill 1035. All right. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 1035, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1035.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Newhouse offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Senators. Most of you recall Senate Bill 1468 which was a bill...have your attention, please...which was a bill designed to put some youngsters in Chicago to work, it went down in the House. I'm now offering Amendment No. 1 which is a prevailing wage amendment, I think you all know what that is. Any questions, I would be delighted to respond, but this...this is an Act...this is an amendment that would eliminate the prevailing wage rate in the building construction industry.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Further discussion? Senator...Senator Newhouse has moved adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1035. Discussion? Senator...Senator Hudson. Senator Hudson.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Question of the sponsor, Mr...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Well, indicates he will yield. Senator Hudson.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Senator Newhouse, would you enlighten us just a...does this repeal the...repeal the Act?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Yes, it does, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Hudson. All right.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Newhouse has moved adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill...House Bill 1035. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have...all right. A roll call has been requested. Senator Newhouse has moved adoption of Amendment No. 1 to

House Bill 1035. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Last call. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 28, the Nays are 24, none voting Present. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Newhouse.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 does the exact same thing to the Scaffolding Act, it eliminates it. And I'd move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Newhouse has moved adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1035. Is there discussion? Is there discussion? If not, those in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 2...all right, there's been...there's been a roll call requested. Senator Newhouse has moved adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1035. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 32, the Nays are 24, none voting Present. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Newhouse.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

No, thank you, Mr. President, withdraw it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Newhouse requests Amendment No. 3 be...be withdrawn. Amendment No. 3 has been withdrawn. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 1041, Senator Jerome Joyce. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 1041, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1041.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 1063, Senator Rock. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 1063, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1063.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Holmberg offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 increases the number of appellate court judges to be elected in the 2nd Judicial District from

four to seven.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Holmberg has moved adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1064. Is there discussion? Senator Karpel. I beg your pardon, 1063. Senator Karpel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Thank...thank you, very much, Mr. President. I wonder if the Senator could explain this a little more. Is this the one where we are changing the district boundaries of a circuit district up in Winnebago County?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

No, that's 1071, it's coming up shortly.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Karpel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Well, thank you, but...what exactly is this one doing?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

This would...this increases the authorized number of appellate court judges. It began as an increase in the appellate court judges in the 5th District, which is far downstate Illinois. Senator Holmberg has added one additional judge for the 2nd Appellate District.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

In correction, I thought the Senator said from four to seven which should be an increase of three judges, not an increase of one or an increase of almost a hundred percent. Could we get that clarified, please?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Two in the 5th, one in the 2nd, three additional judges.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Could I ask...we have always been led to believe once you get outside the Cook County circuit that most of the judges could take eight-month vacations without little effect. Could someone justify why we need these initial judges? We're normally told that the downstate circuits lend judges to the Cook County circuit. Could someone give us a...a court...caseload comparison or some reason why we need to plague the people with more of these locusts.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Well, now...this...this deals with appellate court judges, you understand. Okay? The Supreme Court, as you well know, is authorized to assign additional judges predicated upon the workload to different...to the appellate court districts. In fact, one such temporary appointment has already been made in the 5th so, it was felt well justified that the 5th Appellate District, which is deep southern Illinois from St. Louis...East St. Louis on down, can adequately use...can use an additional judge. In addition, the 2nd Judicial District which encompasses the whole northern tier of the state excluding Cook felt that they had an equally as big a workload and they felt that they needed an additional judge, that's all this does.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

I mean, could we see a caseload in comparison? I mean,

I...I've never met a judge who didn't tell me he was overworked and underpaid. What I'm saying is, they all think they are. The trouble is the taxpayers tend to think they are underworked and overpaid. Could we perhaps get a case-load comparison to justify this new plague?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Well, I...I will attempt to get some figures prior to its final passage on 3rd reading to see if I can persuade you. I...I think, frankly, you're nonpersuadable but I'll try.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, very much. I believe one of those districts is the collar county district of Senator Keats...and...and their caseload has been absolutely jumping astronomically because of the fact that there's more and more people moving in. So, I...I think you're going to find at least that one is justified.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Holmberg has moved adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1063. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

3rd reading. Page 62. 1065, Senator Alexander. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 1065, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1065.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 would be a reallocation of funds within the agency...itself to allow some usage of interns. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1065. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 1071, Senator Rock. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 1071, Hadam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1071.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 1163, Senator Carroll. House bills 2nd

reading is House Bill 1163, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1163.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is to add those awards signed off by a majority of the judges. This is the awards bill. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Discussion? Senator Carroll moves adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1163. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

...Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would be to cut two awards that had been added in the House but had not yet been signed by a majority of the court, and at this point because there is no signed opinion I would move adoption of Committee Amendment 2 to take out those two awards that have not yet received signed opinions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator...if not, Senator Carroll moves adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1163. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay.

The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted.
Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. These are the awards that...had been signed since committee action. The court, as always, in order to effectuate a speedy payment sends us those awards that they have signed so that we can keep adding them to the bill as the bill goes through the process. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right...discussion? Senator Carroll moves adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 1163. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 1167, Senator Luft...I'm sorry, 1202, Senator Ralph Dunn. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 1202, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1202.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Since the merit board is already included in the State police, this amendment would basically bring this down to allow it to be kept on the Calendar for purposes if later used. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Carroll moves adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1202. If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 1237, Senator Etheredge. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 1234, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1234.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the reappropriation bill of capital. Committee Amendment No. 1 would bring it to the May 29th bal-

ances as known and add those projects that were in the FY '87 supplemental appropriation. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1234. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Keats offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Here's an attempt to save the taxpayers about seventy-five million dollars, if everyone would listen just one moment. On the Capital Development Board project, if you remember, we built something in Chicago called the State of Illinois Center that was only a couple of dollars over budget and a few million square yards underspaced. The thought was, since we had a large number of state offices that the reason we built the State of Illinois Center was to move those people into it and then when there wasn't space, we've decided our next step is we'll now take the old 160 North LaSalle building, move them all into that. Well, it sounds nice on paper; the only trouble is when you compare the number of space we're presently using to the amount of space in 160 North LaSalle, you'll discover that one of two things will happen; either the person who compared the two spaces will be fired because they can't add and subtract or all the

state agencies including the Attorney General and people like that will take a fifty percent cut in their space. I don't know which of those two will happen but we are told that every single state office will be moved in the State of Illinois...I mean, into the old 160 building. Impossible, anyone who says that just happens to be either totally uninformed or is attempting to mislead us. Now we've never had that happen on a capital project, so I am sure we would trust them. We did some numbers comparison of the old 160 North LaSalle building. They say it will be thirty million dollars, about thirty-two million in bonds to rehab. it. Private architects say if you could do that building for thirty-two million, then you could have built the State of Illinois Center for about twenty-five million. They say their number projections are simply ridiculous, they do not in any way match up what the present construction costs have been in addition to the fact that many of them say by the time we finish that it will be seventy-five million, not thirty-two million, remembering that every dollar that goes out in bonds will cost us roughly two dollars to bring back, so it will cost the taxpayers a hundred and fifty million to rehab. a building that even...even its proponents concede isn't in very good condition. For a hundred and fifty million dollars you could build an awfully nice building; in fact, we could build another monstrosity just like the present one we just built and the air-conditioner might work. That's the kind of money we're talking about to rehab. 160 North LaSalle. For anyone who has been there recently, if you'd talk to the architects who've been down in the basement, they say the foundation is shot, you really can't do much with the building without redoing the foundation, the entire elevator system, the entire electrical system, the water system, all the bathrooms, et cetera, plus they're talking about central air-conditioning. Now, has anyone looked at that building

and realized what it would cost to central air-condition the old State of Illinois Center? In addition, talking about adding an atrium on the front. We were real successful with the last atrium building we built right across the street, its air-conditioning isn't working real well either. Those are some of the things they're talking about. But the key factor is the number costs are ridiculous, the building is simply not in the shape they allege. They claim everyone is going to move in, and if they'd just ask the Attorney General if he intends to cut his space in Chicago by fifty percent. It doesn't matter how you add up existing office space, you can't possibly fit everyone...in. Then, of course, I do have a pet peeve I'll throw in, we're building penthouse apartments on the top floor for the Supreme Court. Has anyone ever seen their apartments here in Springfield? Remember, they don't technically meet in Chicago on a regular basis but we are building them new penthouse apartments in addition to entire...new series of courtrooms, et cetera. You have to ask yourself just how much the taxpayers would like to bear and when you figure this building by the time we finish will cost as much as the one across the street and we'll still have the same ragtag building that's there today, we could turn around and sell it and receive...well, the estimates, I don't want to throw that in because you get very widely...very wide ranging estimates, but I think you know what land is worth on LaSalle Street in Chicago. We could take that same money with what we saved and we could build a fairly decent office building if we wanted to build a decent office building. I don't know if that's the intention, but anyone who looks at the plans for this and realizes it's not the intentions for this building.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Keats, can you bring your remarks to a close?

SENATOR KEATS:

With that, I would ask you to vote No to save the taxpayers probably seventy-five million dollars at a minimum.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right. Senator Keats has moved adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1234. Obviously, he's made a fatal flaw. Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Well, I think, Senator Keats, did you ask us to vote No on your amendment?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Senator Carroll, unless you're intending to join the Supreme Court and have one of those penthouse apartments, perhaps you could vote Yes and correct the errors of my way as you've done for me once or twice. And I appreciate you calling to my attention what Vince has already made fun of me about.

SENATOR CARROLL:

And I think...it's easy to make fun...Senator Keats, also we have had no complaints this year about the air-conditioning in the State of Illinois Center. Those are the only two comments I wanted to make.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Keats moves adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1234. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered...Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is to add certain balances back for various projects that needed to be handled in the reappropriations. A technical amendment, I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 1234. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 1275, Senator Carroll. House...oh, I'm sorry. Senator Luft was on the Floor a minute ago and I...I passed his bill. Is leave to go back to 1167? Leave is granted. Top of page 62, Madam Secretary, is House Bill 1167, 1-1-6-7.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1167.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 simply makes technical amendments, there were some...typographical errors and I'd move for the adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Luft has moved adoption of Committee Amendment...No. 1 to House Bill 1167. If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. An amendment in the House required that all dollars collected from the current one-half mill per cigarette tax be deposited into the JRF. This amendment deleted that language. The one-half mill per cigarette tax is now paid into the Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Authority Reconstruction Fund which is known as McCormick Place and it is best felt that that money belongs there.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Discussion? If not, Senator Luft has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1167. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Savickas offers Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Savickas.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, Amendment...No. 3 would just add when they failed to file a return that within thirty days after receipt of a notice from the department of failure to file a return and would add that little provision, within thirty days after the receipt. And I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Discussion? If not, Senator Savickas has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 1167. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 1275, Senator Carroll. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 1275, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill...House Bill 1275.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is to break out the amounts necessary for the move and deasbestosing of the Attorney General's Building here in Springfield. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1275. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill 1276, Senator Carroll. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1276.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill 1326, Senator Lechowicz. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1326.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill 1368, Senator Lechowicz. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1368.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Lechowicz. Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

HB 1415
2nd reading

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 would be...correct a House error in the amounts for rent and then increase the Child Witness Program, a new program we had started for some eighty thousand dollars so that children as witnesses of the state's attorneys can be appropriately guided through the most recent ways of handling those types of unique cases and then applying certain other guidelines to Personal Services and Contractual. I'd move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1368. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those...those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Senator Lechowicz. Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Leave the bill on 2nd reading.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further...committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

There's been a request to leave it on 2nd reading, a motion by Senator Lechowicz. No objection...any Floor amendments?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The bill will remain on 2nd reading. House Bill 1401, Senator Luft. House Bill 1415, Senator Joyce. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

House Bill 1415.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

HB 1432
2nd Reading

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill 1428, Senator Jones. Read the...House Bill 1432, Senator Zito. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1432.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Insurance, Pensions and Licensed Activities offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1432 is clarifying and a technical amendment that was agreed to by the Department of Registration, Education and the Illinois Pharmaceutical Association. I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Zito moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1432. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Zito offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

I think this is the clarifying and technical amendment. I misspoke, Mr. President. The first one was a committee amendment that...maybe I should explain the first amendment and we can have the same...same roll call on...the first amendment was a committee amendment that was agreed to in committee; this now is the...technical and clarifying, and I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Zito moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1432. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill 1433, Senator Luft. House Bill...1572, Senator Marovitz. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1572.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill 1590, Senator Welch. House Bill 1636, Senator Carroll. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1636.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is to reduce the judicial salary line by some 2.7 million to account for the normal vacancies that the courts have...excuse me...they'd come in at a hundred percent level as if all judges were on all the time, that has not been the history of the court. This would also reduce the pretrial services. And I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1636. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 2 is to reduce the budget by the amount of twenty-five thousand to take what had been a House add-on for a particular van in a particular district. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr...thank you, Mr. President, I'd like to ask the sponsor a question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR WATSON:

I've been getting a lot of phone calls, as I imagine most of us are, in regard to the Probation Grant-in-Aid Program, and the...the budget in regard to that. Can you clear that up for me, are we putting that back in or what are we doing to the Probation Grant-in-Aid Program?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

It is...if I am correct, Senator Watson, the problem is, as you know, there are a couple programs that might be called the Courts Probation Grant Program. The probation program itself, the Individual Services Program, was increased over the House level, the House only gave it one month's operation, we funded it for the...basically the full year. The House had given it three hundred sixty-six thousand, we added a million to that amount. So our's is a...basically twelve-month funded operation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, if you don't mind...expand a little bit on this. The...what I...what I am told by the people in my district is that there...there is a Probation Pretrial Program and then there's a Probation Grant-in-Aid Program that includes a DUI Program and the regular probation program. The concern I have is in the latter, the Grant-in-Aid Program versus the new Probation Pretrial Program. Just explain where we are in the...those two areas.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

The DUI, et cetera program we did not touch at all.

The...that was not touched by the amendment one way or the other. The Individual Services Program was added to, as I had indicated, which if you had identified three, that would have been the middle one, and the Pretrial Services Program was reduced. The one you asked about, DUI, was, in fact, left alone.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1636. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Etheredge offers Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge. Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 3 would reduce spending...GRF spending, by 4.4 million dollars, and it would accomplish that by eliminating the funding for the Individual Services Program and the Pretrial Services Program. I would point out to the members that last week we adopted Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2358 which repealed the Pretrial Services Program. I'd be happy to respond to any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not,...Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

The problem we have with it at this point, and...and it's kind of in line with what Senator Watson was asking before,

at least in part. This would totally eliminate the Individual Services Program along with the Pretrial Services Program. We recognize that one of those programs was, in fact, eliminated last week by amendment in the 502 or 5.02 or whatever the program ended up being; the other one was not, however. And the Individual Services Program is one that I believe that many of the local counties do want to go ahead. In fact, the last amendment, as we indicated to Senator Watson, we had, in fact, added to that program so that it would have a much slower phase-in or, in other words, a longer period of time than the House had originally suggested. This amendment eliminates both. I think that is incorrect and would stand in opposition to Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Etheredge may close.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would just point out that while we have been receiving, many of us, anyway, many communications in regard to probation services, that the calls are not really directed toward the Individual Services Program or the Pretrial Services Program, these are new programs. They're...they're new programs, we haven't even put them in place as yet. I think that now is the time...a good time for us to save 4.4 million dollars in GRF by not continuing these into the '88 budget. I would ask for an Aye vote on this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 1636. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. Nays have it. Amendment No. 3...there's been a request for a roll call on the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 1636. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted

*HB 1684
2nd Reading*

who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 26, the Nays are 29, none voting Present. Amendment No. 3 having failed to receive a majority vote is declared lost. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill 1684, Senator Carroll. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

END OF REEL

REEL #2

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1684.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill 1685, Senator Carroll. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1685.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would reduce this legislation down to a vehicle bill and send it back to the House so they may keep it on their Calendar. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1685. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendment...committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill 1701, Senator Degnan. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1701.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Degnan offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Degnan.

SENATOR DEGNAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment is requested by some of the southwest Cook County municipalities that are interested in the development of sanitary district lands along the main channel. Under existing law, those leases are limited to fifty years which is not considered long enough to attract developers or obtain necessary financing. The amendment will extend the maximum term to ninety-nine years and also remove the cap on periodic rental adjustments. I move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Degnan moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1701. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill 1859, Senator Luft. Senator Luft, 1859. 1869, Senator Watson. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1869.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Transportation offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Watson...Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you. I'd like to make a motion to Table Committee...Committee Amendment No. 1...I'd like to have leave to do that, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Watson seeks leave of the Body to Table...to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 1 was adopted to House Bill 1869. Is...Senator Demuzio, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, I think the proper motion is simply a motion to Table because we have never adopted the committee amendment here. I would just request of Senator Watson if he would simply just...tell him what...tell us what his intentions are and what...what's in the amendment that we are Tabling since it is a committee amendment, if we're adding anything back and whether or not the chairman of the Transportation Committee has been informed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Yes, thank you, I'd be glad to. This particular amendment added a considerable amount of controversial issues to the

bill...I have a subsequent amendment that's going to follow which will be Floor amendment which will take care of that particular problem that was addressed in the committee and the members objected at that particular time. We agreed to pass the bill out...in the...in the fashion that it is currently and then offer the next amendment on the Floor to take care of the concerns of the committee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

Sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he will.

SENATOR ZITO:

Senator Watson, whose...amendment was the committee amendment? What is yours?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

It was the Secretary of State's amendment and this...the Floor amendment is going to follow up is the Secretary of State's amendment also that corrects the problems that were in the committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

Just curious to know...obviously, the Secretary of State is not a legislator, who offered the amendment? Did you?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he will.

SENATOR HALL:

I...I just want to get clear, what does the amendment do? That's all, I...I would like to know, the one that you Tabled.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Well, the Tabled amendment or the one that I'm wishing to Table put in provisions in which we were going to offer a temporary license for people that...a whole list of people that were...really what we thought shouldn't be offered that temporary license. Now the second amendment that's going to come up in just a minute is just going to make that available to two different areas.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? Senator Demuzio. If not, Senator Watson seeks leave of the Body to Table Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1869. Is there objection? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Committee Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator offer...Watson offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Okay, thank you, Mr. President. This amendment is very

similar to the amendment which was just...that was just Tabled, and it would toughen the law regarding fraudulent use of...identification cards and it would also take out the provisions of the bill which was somewhat controversial in committee. That section would have authorized the Secretary of State to issue probationary license to a rather broad category of individuals. This amendment would authorize the Secretary to issue these license to an individual whose license has been expired or whose license is not property classified, and this is offered at the request of the Secretary of State.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Watson moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1869. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Watson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Could we get the LRB number on that amendment, please?

SECRETARY:

It's LRB 8503315RWPFAM04.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

We were under the impression that that was the amendment we just adopted. I'm...can we Table the previous amendment and then adopt this one because this is the one we actually want. I have no idea what that other amendment was.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Let's take this out of the record. Get your amendments straightened out and we'll get back to it. Senate

HB 1875
2nd Reading

Bill...House Bill 1875, Senator Maitland. Read the bill,
Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1875.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill...1896, Senator Carroll. House
Bill 1897, Senator Carroll. House Bill 1919, Senator Joyce.
Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1919.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill 1920, Senator Netsch. House
Bill 2034, Senator Jones. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2034.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Thomas Dunn offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Dunn. Senator Dunn. Is Senator Dunn on the Floor? Senator Dunn.

SENATOR TOM DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President. What this amendment does is it does two things. It preserves the existing law as it stands now and requires a referendum for the expansion of an airport in my district; and, secondly, my amendment would provide for a referendum on the operation of an incinerator in my district. I ask for a favorable vote on the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Yeah, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I oppose Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2034. Currently, the district has the power to establish that incinerator and to put this requirement...would only cause hardship, they can do it already and for the expansion of the airport, that language, I'm opposed to it also. I urge defeat of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Dunn may close. Senator Dunn.

SENATOR TOM DUNN:

I would just remind my colleagues that the Senator does not live in my district. People of my district favor a referendum. There's nothing ever wrong with allowing the people to decide something. We should never be afraid of that. I urge an Aye vote for my amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator...Senator Dunn moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1...Senator Geo-Karis, we recognized Senator Dunn to close.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

I didn't...I wasn't aware, I thought he was answering.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

No.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

May I respond?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Not now, Senator. Senator Dunn moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2034. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Nays have it. Amendment No. 1 is lost...oh, there's been a request for a roll call. Will all the members please be in their seats. Senator Dunn requested a roll call on the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2034. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 35, the Nays are 4, 8 voting Present. Amendment No. 1 having received the majority vote is declared adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Philip.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Philip. Is Senator Philip on the Floor? Senator Philip on Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2034.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Basically, it does two things; it reduces the compensation from twenty thousand to one thousand dollars, it changes the appointment of the members and it also...the third thing it does, it no longer lets the port district lease property or facilities to a single contracting party. Move the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. A ruling from the Chair. With the adoption of Amendment No. 1, does Amendment No. 2 track?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

While our Parliamentarian is checking it out, Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Well, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Just so all the members are aware of what this amendment actually purports to do at least, this, I assume, is as a result of the Lieutenant Governor's task force report which was somewhat critical of the current port district and called...or made some recommendations. I would advise the sponsor of the amendment, as I'm sure he's aware, that the port...port district has replied to the Lieutenant Governor and they have a lengthy...rather lengthy response suggesting that some of his observations and comments were not quite...as...or were more critical than literally they should have been. So, I would...I would ask that perhaps we could defer this amendment. To cut the...cut the salary and change the makeup again, apparently in favor of the Governor seems to me to be unwarranted at this point. I think the current makeup of the board, frankly, as it is constituted between the appointing powers of the Governor and the mayor is a good mix, and those recommendations that the Lieutenant Governor has made that are...are felt to be warranted, I'm sure will be acted upon. I don't know that this kind of drastic, dramatic surgery is necessary at this point, and I would urge opposition to Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senate...in the answer to Senator Jones' question, our Parliamentarian has found that Amendment No. 2 is out of order because it...there are two Section 36's in the amendment...or as it would be amended. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. With leave of the Body, House Bill 1869 has been worked out, so with leave of the Body, we will return to the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 1869. Hearing no objection, Madam Secretary, would you read the bill.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1869.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Transportation offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Watson moves to Table Amendment No...Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1869. Is there discussion? Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

...if...if...if the gentleman could just tell us what he intends to do, I...I'm aware of where we...where we were attempting to go last time and then up popped the third amendment. Is that third amendment a duplication or is that some other program that's coming down the line or what?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He...his intention is to Table both Amendments No. 1, 2 and adopt No. 3, but I don't know what the amendment does. Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

First of all, I appreciate the consideration of the Chair in regard to this and going back to it. What we're going to do is follow the same procedure as what we just did. Table No...the Committee Amendment No. 1. We have withdrawn the amendment that was technically incorrect and so the following amendment will be the correct amendment and we will move to adopt that at that time.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

You've heard the explanation. Is...is there any objection? Senator Watson moves to Table Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1869. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Watson offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you. Again, just to make sure we're on track, I would appreciate it if the LRB number was read and we'll make sure we got everything correct this time. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Madam Secretary, would you read the LRB number?

SECRETARY:

It is LRB 8503315RWPFAM04.

SENATOR WATSON:

Very good. Thank you. This is the committee amendment...or this is the Floor amendment of which the Secretary of State is offering in order to clear up the problems of the...the committee amendment. I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, if this...if this is technically incorrect and Amendment No. 3 corrects this one, why don't you just withdraw this one and go with the next one?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Well, no, he withdrew...he withdrew Amendment No. 2 that was offered. This, now, is Amendment No. 2. Further discussion? If not, those in favor of adopting Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1869 will signify by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. While we're...go right down the line, on House Bill 1896, Senator Carroll. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1896.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill 1897, Senator Carroll. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1897.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would be the State Treasurer's approp., ordinary and contingent expenses. It's a reduction of a little over

three million dollars representing some reductions minor in Travel and Equipment and the Protest Fund issue...interest refunds. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1897. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open...I'm sorry. All those in favor will signify by saying Aye. Those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator...Senator Etheredge offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 2 attempts to treat the Office of the Treasurer the same way we've treated all the other constitutional officers. For each of the other officers we have reduced their appropriations for FY '88 down to the FY '87 level. We didn't do that for the Treasurer however. What happens...what's happened with the Treasurer's budget is that he was given an additional half million dollars via a supplemental appropriation to handle the transition from one Treasurer to another. It is our position that this half million dollars should not be built into the base budget of the Treasurer and this...and...so, what this amendment does is to eliminate those transition monies and the money that had been built on those via increases for '88. I would ask for favorable consideration of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I cannot in total agree with Senator Etheredge. The difference being in other areas of government, including the Governor, we have allowed supplemental appropriations to be added to their base, in various operating agencies et al. While this budget as it stood prior to this amendment was, in fact, higher than last year's general revenue funds, this amendment takes out not only that which would be higher than the amount budgeted for the Office of the Treasurer including supplementals, but it also took out that which had been allowed by the General Assembly as supplemental increases to the budget. Some of that supplemental was necessary for transition. Some of it was argued successfully for merely operating the...the constitutional office of the State Treasurer. In the other offices and agencies, we've allowed that base to include that which the General Assembly allowed by supplemental so that in that respect, Senator Etheredge would now ask us to treat the Treasurer different than we're treating other agencies of government by taking them below the FY '87 spending level, and the others we are using spending, this would take them a half million below that. I think that is wrong. The fact that there was increase above that had Senator Etheredge bifurcated the issue may have been a valid issue, but this one would not be because we bring them significantly below their operating budget for FY '87. So, I would urge defeat of Amendment No. 2...in its current form.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Etheredge may close.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

I would...I would point out for the benefit of the mem-

bers that the...the Governor did not have a supplemental appropriation. He...there...there was money transferred within the Governor's budget. The Governor's budget level for FY '88 is the same as it is for FY '87; however, the Office of the Treasurer, I would submit to you, is being treated differently. Money for transitional purposes ought to be money for transitional purposes, it should not be built into the base of the budget. I would urge an Aye vote on this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1897. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. Seems the Nays have carried that...Senator Etheredge seeks a roll call. A roll call vote on the adoption of Amendment No. 2. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question...on the adoption of Amendment No. 2, you have 28 Yeas, 17 Nays, none voting Present. Amendment No. 2 having received the majority vote is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill 2048, Senator Rock. Senator Rock, on 2048. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2048.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rock...or Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would reduce the legislative commissions to the FY '87 spending level and then adds some Federal funds that have come in for education for employment program. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2048. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senators Rock and Carroll offer Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Floor Amendment No. 2 will do to this appropriation what we literally have done to every other agency and constitutional office and that is bring it back to the FY '87 appropriation level. In this case, this happens to be a slight increase, but the fact is it's at the FY '87 appropriation level and I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Rock moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2048. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill 2049, Senator Rock. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2049.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No...the Committee on Appropriations I offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you...thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would reduce the General Assembly's budget to the FY '87 level. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not,...Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

All right, there's a corrective amendment up there. It's...this having been an...adopted as a committee amendment, I would move to Table Amendment No. 1...having voted on the prevailing side...Table. I would move to Table...having voted on the prevailing side, I would move to Table Amendment No. 1, because it was a committee amendment it requires a motion to Table.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll moves to Table Committee...having voted on the prevailing side moves to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 1 is...was adopted. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and the question before the Senate is on the adoption of Amendment No. 1. Senator Carroll moves to Table Committee Amendment No. 1. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senators Rock and Carroll offer Amendment No...2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President. As Senator Carroll indicated, this is...Amendment No. 2 is a reduction to the FY '87 level. It reduces our appropriation by some five hundred thousand dollars. It, however, reallocates in accordance with the...technically correct lines that the speaker and the President have indicated, and I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Rock moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2049. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill 2050, Senator Rock. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2050.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 brings the appropriation up to the statutory created level for members of the House and Senate as it existed before. The bill as introduced was technically in correct as it came out of the Legislative Reference Bureau. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2050. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. In the General Assembly is Senate Bill 1904 which would add a legislative assistant to the members of the General Assembly. Committee Amendment No. 2 is to provide for the funding for that should that bill pass. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2050. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. All right, further amendments...further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further...no further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

3rd reading...2051, Senator Welch. 2-0-5-1. 2065, Senator Thomas Dunn. 2-0-6-5. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 2065, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2065.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Rock offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is an amendment that was talked about in the Executive Committee and I'm sure all have been contacted by the Veterans' Affairs representatives. This would allow pull jar...pull tab and jar games to be conducted daily because it was felt that in one day a week was unfair to the smaller organizations. It further sets out some minimum quality standards which are to be adopted by the Department of Revenue insofar as the materials and the supplies are used. I...I know of no objection and I would ask the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Discussion? If not, Senator Rock has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2065. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments?

Handwritten: 10.21.87

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

3rd reading. 2075, Senator Carroll. House Bill 2075,
Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2075.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

3rd reading. 2151, Senator Welch. House bills 2nd
reading is House Bill 2151, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2151.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Welch offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill...House Bill 2151,
Amendment No. 1 is Senate Bill 899, which was offered by
Senators Watson and Degnan. The bill was somehow held in the
House Transportation Committee. What it does is allow for
temporary plates for honorary consular license plates. I'd
be glad to answer any questions; otherwise, I'd move its
adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Discussion? If not, Senator Welch has moved the adoption

HB 2164
2nd Reading
HB 2206
2nd Reading

of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2151. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 2164, Senator Marovitz. House bills 2nd reading is House bill 2164, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2164.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 2206, Senator Newhouse. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 2206, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2206.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Newhouse offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Presently, this bill requires a fact finding conference under every charge unless the department has issued a complaint or order that no complaint can be...be issued. This amendment provides that the fact

finding conference can be avoided based on a determination by the director that there is or is not substantial...evidence of the charge. This determination is more easily and quickly reached and it streamlines the administration. The department approves the bill and this amendment. I'd move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Newhouse has moved adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2206. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 2319, Senator Lechowicz. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill...2465, Senator Marovitz. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 2465, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2465.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 2507, Senator Marovitz. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 2507, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2507.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 2576, Senator Degnan. House bills 2nd reading, the bottom of page 64, is House Bill 2576, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2576.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Judiciary offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Degnan.

SENATOR DEGNAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I wasn't too enthused when I offered this amendment in committee and upon further review, I think it's a bad idea. It refers the Department of Corrections and allows...increases the allowable meritorious good time from ninety days to a hundred and twenty days. I don't think we want to be going that way, and I move to Table this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Degnan moves to Table Committee Amendment No. 1. All those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 2...pardon me, Senator Hawkinson offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

HB 2591
2nd Reading
HB 2700
2nd Reading

Senator...Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President...President. This amendment deals with good time in county jails. Right now in order for a sheriff to assign good time, the Statute requires that the prisoner must have assigned duties. Some of our smaller counties do not have any assigned duties, work or service and this amendment would allow good time to be offered in the discretion of the sheriff and to maintain order whether or not there are assigned duties, and I would ask for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, discussion? Senator Hawkinson moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2, House Bill 2576. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Page 65. 2591, Senator Degnan. House bills 2nd reading, top of page 65, is House Bill 2591, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2591.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. All right, House Bill 2700, Senator Barkhausen. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill...Senator Degnan, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR DEGNAN:

I thought there was a Floor amendment for that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

2591, Senator Degnan?

SENATOR DEGNAN:

All right, we'll...we'll get it on recall then. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. 2700, Senator Barkhausen. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 2700, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2700.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senators Barkhausen and Hawkinson offer Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Mr. President and members, Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2700 deals with subsequent convictions for DUI and revocations of driver's license, and it reduces the penalty for a...second offense from five years to three years and for a third offense from ten years to six years and makes it clear that...that subsequent violations have to occur within twenty years of the original violation to count against the offender. It's an agreed amendment and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Barkhausen has moved adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2700. Discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay.

The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 2702. Senator Marovitz on the Floor? Senator Marovitz, 2702. 2756, Senator Carroll. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 2756, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2756.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would increase the appropriation by some eighteen dollars. It's to make this into a...bill useful at a later time. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2756. If not...all right, it's a Floor amendment. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of...of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2756. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 2768, Senator Jones. Senator Jones on the

Floor? 2825, Senator Barkhausen. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 2825, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2825.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 2826, Senator Woodyard. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 2826, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2826.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Woodyard offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Woodyard on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR WOODYARD:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The bill itself deals with changing a percentage sales tax to a flat rate tax on individual...individual used car sales. The amendment actually establishes a second tier of flat rate tax on those used cars to take care of the problems that we had with the luxury or antique vehicles, and it also actually reduces to a flat rate of twenty-five dollars for the sales of motorcycles and mopeds. We have discussed this amendment with the chairman of Revenue Committee and the spokesman of Revenue Committee and have tried to address the concerns that

were expressed in committee on this particular amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Woodyard has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2826. Discussion? Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank...thank you, Mr. President. Both the bill and the amendment are not inconsequential. They deal with the used car tax which we enacted a couple of years ago, hopefully, to make up for the money we are siphoning off for Build Illinois, although it is not having that purpose. I think many of us have been advised by the Department of Revenue and many others that the tax does not seem to be working very effectively as it is. There is a great deal of, shall we put it nicely, nondisclosure of accurate information taking place. I think all of us recognize that and we supported the department, at least many of us did, in its attempts to try to find some way to tighten up the coverage of the tax and its enforcement so that it would be worthwhile. In the original version which came before the Revenue Committee, the tax would have been revised to a flat tax based on the number of years that the...that the car had been on the market. We did suggest that that really did not take account of the fact that for all practical purposes a one hundred thousand dollar Rolls Royce would be taxed exactly the same as the proverbial Yugo, and that that was basically unfair. This is the department's attempt to...to address that problem. It probably is not a perfect or a total solution, but it does alleviate the problem to some extent, I think, and for that reason, I would certainly support Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2826.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch has moved...or Senator Woodyard has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2826. Further

discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 2853, Senator Schaffer. All right, ladies and gentlemen, we have now been completely through House bills 2nd. We will, obviously, again tomorrow get back on that order, but in the meantime, we will move to the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading and the first order of business will be those individual members who have filed amendments; obviously, it is up to the bill sponsor whether or not he or she wants the bill recalled, but we will go through the recall list and then we will begin on page 3 on the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading and go right down the line. Obviously, if a bill is on the recall list and it is recalled at the request of the sponsor, it will no longer remain on the Agreed Bill List. I think that's pretty obvious, and speaking of the Agreed Bill List, I hope you understand that at three o'clock is the bewitching hour to file your pieces of paper with the Secretary. 47, Senator Donahue. The top of page 4. 100, Senator Marovitz. 180, Senator Holmberg, middle of page 5. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, middle of page 5, is Senate...House Bill 180. Senator Holmberg seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 180, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Holmberg.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is strictly a technical amendment to...correct a couple of typographical errors in the original amendment adopted the other day. I move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Holmberg has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 180. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

3rd reading. Senator Dudycz. 451, Senator Carroll. Wait till I find it, Senator Carroll. We're at the top of page 8. Do you wish that recalled? All right. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, top of page 8, is House Bill 451. Senator Carroll seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 451, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a technical amendment to correct a defect in the Build Illinois...to allow a sewer that was a originally said to be repaired to be extended. I would...it's no dollar change. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 451. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment

HB 527
Recalled

is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Demuzio on 461. Senator Karpiel, 464. Senator Karpiel, that's on the Agreed Bill List, page 35, ladies and gentlemen. Sponsor has requested leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. It will then be taken off the Agreed Bill List. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, with leave of the Body, is House Bill 464, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Karpiel.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment would require that all suspected sudden infant death syndrome cases shall be reported to the Illinois Department of Public Health statewide SIDS hot line within seventy-two hours of the infant's death.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Karpiel moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 464. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Top of page 9. Senator Karpiel, on 527. Do you wish to call it back? That's...all right...I...the Chair would appreciate some affirmative response from the sponsor, because if the sponsor doesn't wish it called, it's not called. All right, Senator Karpiel, top of page 9, seeks

leave of this Body to return House Bill 527 to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 527, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senators Barkhausen and...and Karpel.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Mr. President and members, Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 527 is a sort of a merely amendment requested by the Illinois Psychologist's Association which puts them in the category of personnel that can provide evaluations to persons potentially eligible for bail and the court could...could order the defendants to be evaluated by psychologists as well as other medical personnel. I...I would ask for its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Barkhausen has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 527. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Davidson, on 606. That's the top of page 10, on the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading. Senator Davidson seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 606 to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 606, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Davidson.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, this would add additional hundred and fifty thousand dollars to Secretary of State's budget...hundred and fifty thousand dollar grant to the Secretary of State for a grant to do a study on the Fitness Certification Program for over-the-road truck drivers.

This is in cooperation with the U.S. Office of Transportation. This is a amendment that came from the staff of both the Republican and Democrat of the Approp. Committee. Move the adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Davidson moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 606. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 671, Senator Kustra. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, middle of page 10, is House Bill 671. Senator Kustra seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 671, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Kustra.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Kustra on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This was an amendment that was requested by the township officials of Illinois. It requires that the salaries of township officials be set downstate before the last Tuesday in March of

the year of the election and it does not effect the language. It already applies in the bill to Cook County. I ask for its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Kustra has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 671. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 755, Senator Jacobs. On the Order of House Bill...middle of page 11, ladies and gentlemen, on the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 755, Madam Secretary. The gentleman seeks leave to return that bill to the Order of 2nd reading for purposes of an amendment. Leave having been granted, on the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 755.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Jacobs.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

In effect what this amendment does is delete the commercial from the bill and brings it back to its original form as it originally passed out of committee and ask for your favorable support.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Jacobs moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 755. Discussion? Senator Hudson.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Would the sponsor yield?

PRESIDENT:

Indicates he'll yield, Senator Hudson.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Senator Jacobs, would you clarify that just a little bit what the amendment does again, please?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Yes, first of all, let me correct something I said, not back to its original form as come out of committee but back to the original...Statute as it stands now. What it does it deletes lines four and five and then inserts thereof the following language, "Subassemblies designed for habitations as a dwelling for one or more persons including...necessary electrical" and other language. What it in effect does is deletes the commercial buildings from the legislation as it was introduced.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hudson.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. We were just curious as...some of us as to why, Senator, if the bill...this more or less, as you say, brings it back to where we were at square one, where we were...before the bill was introduced and we just wondered what the purpose of the bill is now.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDENT:

He indicates he will yield, Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Senator, is there anything of any language in that Amendment 3 that precludes local governments from ruling on what kind of manufactured housing can come into their cities, like it was in another amendment?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Senator, no, it does not. There is no language to that effect. In fact, this language really just brings it back to its current Statutes, and in answer to Senator Hudson's question, there is also a bill in the House and I think this is just a procedure to get it to...concurrence or to a committee.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

The bill in the House is Senate 498 which passed out of this...this Senate with that amendment which precluded local governments from controlling what was being built in their areas. Now,...what is the purpose of this amendment? You haven't answered Senator Hudson and perhaps you can answer me.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

We're just trying to work with the principals involved and get it to a Conference Committee, Senator. The bill as it passed out of here is not the same bill as we're taking back over there, so we're going to a conference. That's the purpose to...to satisfy the principals.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

You're right in one point. The bill as it passed out of here, and I voted No on the darn thing, does include the statement in it that local governments are precluded from making any judgments on...on manufactured housing and I hope that you don't want this bill to go back to Conference

Committee to put that amendment back on.

PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? If not, Senator Jacobs has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 755. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The...all in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 773, Senator Watson. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 773. Senator Watson seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 773, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. What Amendment No. 2 does is to add fifty thousand dollars for the study of the wheeling of electricity, this fifty thousand dollars to be paid from...by...from the PUF Funds, Public Utility Funds. I would be happy to respond to any questions.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Etheredge has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 773. Discussion? Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd just like to point out, Senator Etheredge, last week or the week before, Meg Bushnell

was in my office, the head of the ICC, and I asked her how much money she needed to do this study, and she said she didn't need any money at all. So, I'm not sure why you're giving them fifty thousand dollars to do a study when the chairman of the Commerce Commission said she didn't need any money at all, unless you've talked to her since then and said she does, but she said she had enough money in her budget, she had enough information that it wouldn't cost anything to do it, so I would just throw that out for...it's kind of our own 50 Plan that we call it over here to save fifty thousand dollars...

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Etheredge has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 773...further discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 3 is a no dollar impact amendment. It would, however, break out the Rail Safety Division as a separate division. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 773. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Donahue on 776. On the bottom of page 12, on the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 776. Senator Donahue seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 776, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President...excuse me, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. As we had discussed in the amendment stage Friday on this bill, there were some errors in the way in which we had treated some of the Contractual Services lines in the direct patient care areas of Quincy and Manteno. This would add back those funds, a hundred and seventy-two thousand dollars for Quincy and Manteno. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 776. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Top of page 13, Senator Weaver. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 777. Senator Weaver seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd, House Bill 777, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 4 would make the wheeling study in E and R PUF Funds. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 777. Any discussion? Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Well, Senator Carroll, is this necessary inasmuch as we put that into 773?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Yes, we believe it is for two reasons, Senator Weaver. One is, first, the bill as it sits without the amendment would have the study but have it paid for out of GRF. This would transfer that from GRF to PUF. It is a joint study and, therefore, it should be done by PUF in both areas as opposed to GRF in one.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 777. If...no further discussion, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 971, Senator Savickas. Page 39, on the Agreed Bill List, middle of 39, is House Bill 971. Senator Savickas seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the

Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 971, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Savickas.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Savickas.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, Amendment No. 2 would exclude Cook County from the truancy adjudication and this is requested by the House sponsor and is in agreement with the Illinois Association for Truancy Prevention, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Savickas has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 971. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1018, Senator Kustra. Top of page 40 on the Agreed Bill List is House Bill 1018. Senator Kustra seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 1018, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Kustra.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Kustra.

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Mr. President, you'll have to take it out of the record for...

HB 1093
Recalled

PRESIDENT:

Take it out of the record, Madam Secretary. 1038, Senator Poshard. On the Agreed Bill List, top of page 40, is House Bill 1038. The gentleman seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 1038, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Poshard.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Poshard on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. This is just clarifying language. This amendment clarifies the intent of the bill which is to provide assurance against any conflict of interest of local 708 Board members...mental health board members.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Poshard has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1038. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1093. Middle of page 16. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, the middle of page 16, ladies and gentlemen, is House Bill 1093. Senator Poshard seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 1093, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senators Berman and Poshard.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Mr. President, this clarifies beyond any reasonable doubt that the reclassification process that this bill establishes for principals applies only when the individual is being placed in a position with a lower salary. I think everybody is agreed on this.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Poshard has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1093. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1113, Senator Hawkinson. On the Agreed Bill List, page 41, ladies and gentlemen, on the Order of House Bills 3rd is House Bill 1113. Senator Hawkinson seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 1113, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Hawkinson.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 delays the effective day to year to July 1, 1988, and I would ask for its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hawkinson has moved the adoption of Amendment No.

HB 1295
Recalled

1 to House Bill 1113. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Top of...bottom of page 42, Senator Weaver, 1295. Senator Weaver seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 1295 from 3rd reading to 2nd for the purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 1295, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Donahue.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Donahue.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the...Senate. Amendment No. 1 applies to the Library District Act, and under current law there is about a thirty-day period for which a back-door referendum can be filed in a library district. This amendment extends that period to one year, and I think this is important...extension, especially for the taxpayer, so he has an opportunity to see that tax on his bill prior to being able to take it off. I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Donahue has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1295. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1411, Senator Netsch. Middle of page 44, ladies and gentlemen, on the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, on the Agreed Bill List, is House Bill 1411. Senator Netsch seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 1411, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Netsch.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 really addresses the problem that we found the definition...raised with respect to the Chicago Equity Fund. This is a bill that authorizes abatement with respect to low income housing, and it has been widely approved by everyone. The Chicago Equity Fund and some of the neighborhood groups discovered that the way we define the bill that fund which is an amalgam of lots of large private contributors who put money into helping the low income housing market in Chicago would be precluded from continuing their good work, and so we have effectively redefined it so that they would be permitted to continue their function not subject to the five hundred unit limitation, and I should point out that they are passive investors in any event, they are not active owners, developers and managers of the low income housing. I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 1...2, I'm sorry, to House Bill 1411.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1411. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading is House Bill 1412. Senator Netsch seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 1412, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Netsch.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 basically makes the various notice provisions in this part of the two bill package permissive so that the counties and the cities are not mandated to give the various...notices that were otherwise required. It really addresses a problem that was raised particularly by the Cook County Board and its president and, again, is a...something that has been worked out by all of those who've put time in on developing this important package of legislation, and I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1412.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Netsch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1412. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Middle of page 19. Senator Friedland, 1484. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 1484. Senator Friedland seeks leave of the Body to return that bill

HB 1567
Recalled

to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 1484, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Friedland.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Friedland.

SENATOR FRIEDLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the effective date amendment. I'd urge its adoption. Thank you.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Friedland moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1484. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1567, Senator Barkhausen. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is...3rd reading, House Bill 1567. Senator Barkhausen seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 1567, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Barkhausen.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Mr. President, Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1567 is the equivalent of Senate Bill 510 which did not get a hearing in this Chamber the first time around. It is also identical to Senate Bill 632 which we passed in this Chamber

overwhelmingly two years ago. It would attempt to extend slightly Illinois' Death Penalty Statute by adding as an aggravating factor that the court could consider in potentially sentencing a...a murderer to death the following language which is largely based on the Florida Statute which has been...upheld in...Federal Court, and the Supreme Court has implicitly upheld it by denying the review of the lower court's decision. The language which we're proposing to add as we did in the earlier legislation is that the..."the murder was committed in a cold, calculated and premeditated manner pursuant to a preconceived plan, scheme or design to take a human life by unlawful means and the conduct of the defendant created a reasonable expectation that the death of a human being would result therefrom." I emphasize that it is patterned after the Florida Statute which is actually...less restrictive than this language in that it contains the words "cold, calculated and premeditated," but unlike the proposed language here does not additionally require that the murder be committed pursuant to a preconceived plan. As I pointed out, the Statute in Florida has...has been upheld and we are similarly trying here to...to slightly expand Illinois' death penalty in a similar manner. I'd be happy to answer any questions and would otherwise ask for the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Barkhausen has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1567. Discussion? Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you, very...thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise in opposition to this amendment. This amendment was, as Senator Barkhausen says, Senate Bill 510 which was not called by the sponsor in the Judiciary Committee by the sponsor's own request. We've seen this amendment around several times before. I think it, number

one, it's an abrogation of the committee process and, number two, by its definition, a...a murder which is committed in a cold and calculated manner would virtually, totally expand the death penalty to each and every act of murder; and I think that what we ought to do if we're going to pass a bill of that magnitude, it should go through the committee process. This bill was not heard in committee, should have been heard in committee and I would respectfully request a No vote.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Barkhausen has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1567. Further discussion? Senator Barkhausen, you wish to close?

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

No, just to...but just to say that it was not my intent not to call the bill, it was just one of several bills that the committee because of its workload this year never got to.

PRESIDENT:

Question is the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1567. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 33 Ayes, 26 Nays, none voting Present. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1583, Senator Fawell. Middle of page 46, ladies and gentlemen, is House Bill 1583. On the Order of House 3rd, Senator Fawell seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 1543, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would in effect put what had been SB 698 but with some modifications into House Bill 1583...698, Senate version, had been the four test districts in Chicago in an effort to attempt a different process to try and improve the educational process. It has been held in interim study in the House because of the absence of members there sufficient to get a bill out of that committee. This would take into account those objections that have been raised. It would allow that the collective bargaining would still stay into a central board, would provide for the board to appoint the members to these four test cases. A similar version passed the Senate 48 to 7. I would ask for adoption of Amendment No. 1 and answer any questions.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1583. Discussion? Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This bill...this bill was defeated in the Education Committee. Now the...the reason it was defeated is because the Board of Ed. is working with Senator Berman to make the district councils in the school system more responsive, more important, more powerful in regards to what goes on in those local school districts. This is not the right approach. Let the Board of Ed. and the people in those districts work out their problems together. Don't...don't foister something on them from the State Legislature that's going to create more havoc, more bureaucracy. The school system doesn't work because it...when it becomes

more bureaucratic, ladies and gentlemen. It's been proven in New York, it's been proven in any big city, when you lay on layers of bureaucracy, you only create more problems. This bill is not going to work. It was rightly defeated and it should have remained in committee. The school children...if you read the editorials and if you read an article in the Chicago Tribune today, "The problems in the Chicago school system aren't the result mainly of administrative bureaucratic problems. Their problems are social problems. Their problems stem from a lack of income of poor people...and a...and a problem with racial composition in the City of Chicago." That study was done by the University of Chicago. The problems that these kids face and the reason they have low...LSAT scores and SAT scores are not the result of the bureaucracy, and all we're doing now is creating more problems for these kids than they already have. This isn't the right approach. This amendment should be defeated.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1583. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1681, Senator Holmberg. Top of page 47, Madam Secretary, on the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 1681. Senator Holmberg seeks leave of the body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 1681. Madam Secretary.

Page 106 - JUNE 22, 1987

END OF REEL

REEL #3

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. First of all, if I may, I've had a request by Senator Hudson and Senator Donahue to be cosponsors of this amendment and that is not listed on the sheet. This...

PRESIDENT:

All right. With leave...leave of the Body, Senators Hudson and Donahue will be listed as cosponsors of Amendment No. 1. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

This is one of two vehicle bills of DCCA which have been waiting amendments that are the result of a small business survey which they conducted this year. This particular bill has two...this amendment has two provisions. The first one establishes a Regulatory Flexibility Division within the Business Assistance Office of DCCA. They're trying to do this now, they feel it's statutorily necessary to begin to build this into their program, and should the time come when we can afford it, additional staffing would then be added at that time. The second provision creates a private enterprise review and advisory board which shall study the inappropriateness of State Government engaging directly in economic activities which historically are functions of private enterprise and not the role of the public sector. They would conduct hearings that would be done under DCCA and it would...they would have...no need to exist any longer than the...December 31st of 1990.

HB 1699
Recalled

PRESIDENT:

Senator Holmberg has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1681. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Middle of page 20 is 1699, Senator Jones. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, middle of page 20, Senator Jones seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 1699, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Jones.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the...of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 to...House Bill 1699 reflects an agreement between the funeral directors and the Cemetery Association. It increases the maximum amount of compensation to a trustee for administration of a trust fund and also it makes other technical and clarifying changes, and I move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Jones has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill...1699. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1768, Senator Marovitz. 1811, Senator Berman. On the Order...middle of page 48, on the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 1811. Senator Berman seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 1811, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Berman.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 to 1811 brings it in conformity with the definition used in 1812 for the definition of mental illness. I move the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Berman has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1811. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. Senator Netsch, I beg your pardon.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President, just one question. This conforms the definition to the one that we've already put into 1812, is that correct, Senator Berman?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Yes.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Berman has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1811. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1955, Senator Poshard. Top of page 22, on the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 1955. Senator Poshard seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 1955, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1...3 offered by Senator Poshard.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Mr. President, this is the Department of Public Health amendment. It clarifies that the helicopters that'll be used for the emergency medical services in the rural areas, those underserved areas of the state, will be operated by DOT, they're DOT helicopters. It requires the Department of Public Health to establish an Office of Health Resources Development in the department but only if available appropriations are there. Recommend passage.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Poshard has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 1955. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further...I beg your pardon. Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDENT:

Indicates he will yield, Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Senator, I'm not sure I understand as much as I should

HB 2043
Recalled

know about this bill, but I know that in my part of the state some of the hospitals...some of the larger hospitals have gotten permission to buy helicopters and there's an ongoing controversy as to whether that's really a good thing for health care in the area. Is that issue involved here?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

This in no way, Senator Schuneman, affects what private or public hospitals do on their own. What the bill does is it is...it asks the State Department of Public Health to do a comprehensive study of those medically underserved areas of the state. In some of those areas, there are no emergency medical services available...readily available to those areas in the forms of ambulance services and so on and we're asking the state to consider the DOT helicopters as emergency transport helicopters in some instances, and this just clarifies that it is the Department of Transportation helicopters that we're talking about.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? If not, Senator Poshard has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 1955. Those in favor will say Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting...the amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 2043, Senator Watson, bottom of page 22. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 2043. Senator Watson seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bill...on the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 2043, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Watson.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment extends the provisions of the legislation to tissue banks. Under this amendment, tissue banks would have to test the tissue for AIDS before transplant...transplantation and it also defines what a tissue bank is. It's supported by the Department of Public Health and I move for its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Watson has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2043. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 2180, Senator Barkhausen, bottom of page 23. On the Order of House Bills 2nd...3rd Reading is House Bill 2180. Senator Barkhausen seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 2180, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Barkhausen.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Mr. President and members, Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2180 is a request from the Department of Corrections to clarify a bill that now requires that...period of...of parole

that was...as it was previously called and now mandatory supervised release shall begin at the time that a defendant is released from imprisonment. And the amendment makes it clear that it applies to a period after a change in the parole laws and before parole became mandatory supervised release from 1973 to 1978 basically, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Barkhausen has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2180. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 2256, Senator Kustra. Top of page 53, on the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 2256. Senator Kustra seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 2256, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Kustra.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Kustra.

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The original bill is a bill which is designed to do away with inactive advisory boards and we found one that we missed the first time, the Solid Waste Management Task Force is inactive as well as the others on the list and it's being added to the list, and I would urge amendment...or adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Kustra has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2256. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 2269, Senator Demuzio. Senator Demuzio seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 2269, which is on the Order of 3rd Reading on page 53 in the Calendar, to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 2269, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator...Senator Demuzio.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Amendment No. 1 is simply an attempt to incorporate House Bill 2268 into this bill. They were nearly identical. It provides that the Guaranteed Fund shall...in the...in the Farm Development Act shall guarantee receipt of payments on guaranteed loans by a farmer or to guarantee the holder, makes some other technical changes. I know of no opposition.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2256. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 2514, Senator Davidson. On page 56 on the Calendar, on the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House

H 2682
recall

Bill 2514. Senator Davidson seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill...2514, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Davidson.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, this amendment would put after the word "shall make a reasonable attempt." There...there were some doubt whether if it was a transient or an unknown person passing through the coroner would be able to identify the family...to notify them if there was no way of identifying the person. And it says,...puts in the word "shall make a...reasonable attempt." Move the adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Davidson has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2514. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Top of page 27, Senator Watson, 2682. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House...3rd reading is House Bill 2682. Senator Watson seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 2682, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Watson.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. This affects contact tracing for AIDS and the amendment adds provisions requiring the confidentiality of all information gathered and used in contact tracing. It also exempts the information from the Freedom of Information Act. It clarifies the role of the local health authorities, it provide that the Department of Public Health shall review the performance of these local health bodies quarterly. If it's determined by the department that they're not following the original intention of the legislation, then the Department of Public Health will take over the duties. It allows the Department of Public Health to establish a pilot project to study the effectiveness of...of anonymous contact referral. Anyone who anonymously tests positive at a testing site would be encouraged to ask their previous sexual contacts to come in and...for testing as well. This is now being done and all we're asking for is a report and comparisons. It also adds a provision that persons who reveal the names of their contacts shall not be held liable for that action unless they...unless these were made false...falsely or with...disregard for the truth. It requires the Department of Public Health to establish an AIDS registry for the purpose of evaluating, control and prevention measures. It also requires the Department of Public Health to...to require health care professionals, hospitals, labs and other facilities which diagnose infections to report to DPH the identity of any persons who has AIDS, ARC or who has tested positive to the Western Block Test or a more reliable test and it also strengthens the confidentiality of the law. I move for its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Watson has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1

to House Bill 2682. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 2718, Senator Maitland. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 2718. Senator Maitland seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 2718, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Maitland.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, very much. Amendment No...No. 1 to House Bill 2718 contains the provisions that were in Senate Bill 438 which passed out of this Body some weeks ago. It was bogged down in a House committee and did not pass and it simply allows schools to offer health education in the seventh and eighth grade P.E. classes in exact...the exact same manner as we allow for it to take place in high school. So, Mr. President, I would move for the adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2718. Discussion? Senator Mahar.

SENATOR MAHAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDENT:

Indicates he will yield, Senator Mahar.

SENATOR MAHAR:

Thank you. This affects, I understand, seventh and eighth grade. What is the current requirements in those...in those two grade levels for health and physical education as it is right now?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Currently, Senator Mahar, we...we...it is offered as a...as a separate course and is not allowed to...to be taught in the P.E. classes. This would allow it to be taught in the P.E. experience by a qualified teacher; in other words, a P.E. teacher that is not now qualified to teach health education would not be allowed to teach the course.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Mahar.

SENATOR MAHAR:

Well, thank you, Mr. President and members. What we appear to be doing here is combining these curriculums and my concern...I have a great deal of respect for...for the sponsor and he certainly has been a leader in...in education related issues, but I just want to relate to the members my concern that possibly this action might diminish the opportunities available to junior high school students in the areas of health and P.E., and that somewhat disturbs me, especially with all the deliberation this Body has undertaken during this spring Session for all the health related issues that have come before us.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

I guess I share some of the same concerns that we have done a lot...had a lot of discussion on this Floor about AIDS and the general health population and now we want to take a health course and we want to combine it into a physical edu-

*MS 2746
Recalled*

cation course. Well, I think maybe we should concentrate a little bit more on the health and...while we're still concentrating on the physical education and I just think it's a bad deal.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Maitland has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2718. Further discussion? Senator Maitland, you wish to close?

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Well, only to say, Mr. President, this will not diminish at all from the health experience. That still will be at the required level and we're not going to diminish that at all and I...with that, Mr. President, I would move for the adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2718. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 17 Ayes, 32 Nays, 1 voting Present. Amendment No. 1 fails. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 2746, Senator Hall, top of page 28. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 2746. Senator Hall seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 2746, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Hall.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you...thank...

PRESIDENT:

You're on.

SENATOR HALL:

...thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2746, this amendment provides a cap on the amount of consideration paid to a county by a public utility. This amendment was requested by the committee and should also satisfy the concerns of several of the utilities. I move for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2746. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 2810 I understand has been withdrawn, Senator Geo-Karis? Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

...there should be no amendment, I mean, just leave 2810 the way it is and put it on 3rd reading. I don't know who put the amendment...

PRESIDENT:

It's...it's on there. 2827, Senator Barkhausen. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, top of page 29, is House Bill 2827. Senator Barkhausen seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 2827, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Philip.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment amends the Non-For-Profit Corporation Act, provides the term "Republican or Democrat cannot be used for a nonprofit group without the permission of the appropriate state parties." Be happy to answer any questions and...move the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Philip has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2827. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by...I beg your pardon, Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Does this grandfather existing organizations would be the question I'd ask of the sponsor.

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

No, it's up to the State Central Committee.

PRESIDENT:

Discussion? Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

(Machine cutoff)...you. I think it's a good idea and I rise to support the Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Philip has moved to adopt Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2827. Further discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying...Senator Brookins.

SENATOR BROOKINS:

Yes, will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDENT:

Indicates he'll yield, Senator Brookins.

SENATOR BROOKINS:

Senator, does this mean there will be no more independent Democrats and no more independent Republicans?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman. It would be up to your state party, in other words, Senator Demuzio and his group as state central committeeman.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Yeah...maybe, Senator Brookins, I can explain to you. Under the present Statute now, any of the hundred and two county organizations, Republican or Democrat, could authorize someone. It tends to be confusing. This way, it would be just a single operation either state central committee group could do it for either party.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Philip has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2827. Further discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. All right, ladies and gentlemen, we're going to move to page 3 on the Calendar, final action. We spent exactly one hour on the recall list. We will...we will get to the Order of the Agreed Bill List at...in approximately two hours, somewhere...shortly before six o'clock and then we will have a...one single roll call, as you well know, on that procedure. In the meantime, we will circulate as

soon as it's available a list of those bills that have now been removed from the Agreed Bill List, so that everybody will have a complete...or the complete information in front of them when they vote. House Bill 1, Senator Topinka. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 1. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes,...Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, House Bill 1...again exempts...removes the exemption for the media from jury service. It also includes some language which the Illinois Press Association had requested, they are now on with the bill. I know of no opposition to it at this time.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 1 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, 2 Nays, 2 voting Present. House Bill 1 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Top of page 4, 39, Senator Berman. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, top of page 4, is House Bill 39. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 39.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

HB 63
3rd Reading

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a bill that expands the mandatory arbitration provisions that we passed two years...or last year regarding the referral of...cases on a trial basis to...in Winnebago and Cook Counties where the amount sought is under fifteen thousand dollars to provide for mandatory arbitration three-member panel procedure. This provides for all costs and expenses associated with that to be paid under the budget of the Supreme Court. I'd be glad to respond to any questions and ask for your Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill...House Bill...House Bill 39 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are 2, none voting Present. House Bill 39 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 47, Senator Donahue. 63, Senator Thomas Dunn. House bills 2nd reading...I'm sorry, House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 63, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 63.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Thomas Dunn.

SENATOR THOMAS DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill would provide for the medical examiner in counties in excess of three hundred thousand, that person would be appointed by the chairman of

the county board with the advice and consent of the county board.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, very much. Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Senator Dunn, do you truly believe that this type of...of...of medical examiner is...is better than a coroner's job?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Thomas Dunn.

SENATOR THOMAS DUNN:

Well, that's a question that is designed to get a yes answer. However, I think that in...in counties with large populations, and by large, I mean, over three hundred thousand, I think it's been observed that it's worked well in Cook County and that there have been savings on autopsy costs. It's depoliticized the office, it's an old office that ought to be depoliticized and it's an office that ought to have professionalism.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Could you tell me, do you consider any county under three hundred thousand worthy of...of having medical examiners, such as Kane County, which has three hundred and fifty thousand?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR THOMAS DUNN:

If Kane County has three hundred and fifty thousand, then it ought to be added, but my indication is in the 1980 census that Kane County had two hundred and seventy-eight thousand and in July of 1984 had two hundred and ninety-four thousand.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

So you're saying that if a county is six thousand short, according to your bill, they should not be necessarily needed for...medical exam would not be necessarily needed? Is that...or...or could it be that the coroner happens to be a Democrat in Kane County rather than a Republican, does that seem to make a big difference to you?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR THOMAS DUNN:

No, it doesn't and when Kane County reaches three hundred thousand, I would urge they do the same.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

How is this referendum supposed to be initiated?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR THOMAS DUNN:

It's...give me one second, would you, please? It's on the basis of a county referendum initiated by the county board by resolution or by filing with the county clerk a petition signed by ten percent or more of the registered voters.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator...Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

So you're saying that...that if ten percent of the...the population decides that they want to file this petition with the county board that this requires then a...a referendum? And, if so, why isn't this good for the entire state such as...or at least larger counties such as I suggested the other day and you voted against?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR DUNN:

That's a compound question, but the answer to the first part is, yes, it would take ten percent or more and if it...if it failed to get the ten percent, then it wouldn't...it wouldn't happen and the reason for the fact that it's three hundred thousand is...is not designed against anyone, and as I stated earlier, any...any county that reaches three hundred thousand, I think that office ought to be professionalized as well.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Fawell, I'll be glad to come back and pick you up the second time, your time has expired. Senator Friedland.

SENATOR FRIEDLAND:

Thank you, Mr...thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I'd just point out to my colleagues that a few years ago, Kane County had the...opportunity to vote on whether the County Auditor ought to be elected or appointed and it was overwhelmingly rejected that they be appointed. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Karpel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. We can play games with this bill or with a lot of bills down here, but let me just tell you that the genesis of

this bill was that it was put in...in the House by a House member who likes to play with DuPage County and put in bills dealing with DuPage County. If you want to do that, that's okay, but I think generally in...in the General Assembly here, we tend to let legislators who live in a district put in...put in legislation dealing with their district or their county. House Bill 63 was put in by a legislator who lives in the City of Chicago and I know that we tend to play around with Chicago, but I don't put in bills dealing with the City of Chicago and I wish that Chicago legislators would stop putting in bills dealing with DuPage County, Kane County, for that matter, which I also represent. I think we ought to defeat this bill because the need is not there, nobody ever said the need was there. It was generated by a bill that was put in to kind of tweak DuPage, and I think those kind of bills, you know, maybe have a place and we can play with them but when it really gets down to serious business of voting on 3rd reading, we should defeat this legislation that nobody in DuPage is for. We haven't put in the bill, we're not supporting the bill and I would ask your nonsupport of this legislation also. It isn't fair and we should stop playing around with other people's...district.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President, will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

You made an amendment to your bill and at the present time your bill says, does it not, that in counties with a population over three hundred thousand that the office of coroner shall be abolished at the expiration of the term of office, is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, Senator Brookins, would you move over just a little bit?

SENATOR THOMAS DUNN:

Correct.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Dunn. Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Well, isn't it true that you've had a running feud with the coroner in your county and that's the real purpose of this bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR THOMAS DUNN:

That's not true because we have a hard time finding our coroner.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

...but isn't it true that you are not with him? Now, let's be honest about it,...Senator. Ladies and gentlemen, I resent any effort to tell my county what to do. We elect our coroners; if we want to abolish the office of coroner, we can do it by our own purpose right in the...in...in the county. We don't need the state to tell every county what to do about their coroner and I might tell you, the Illinois Coroners' Association, the Illinois Association of County Boards are opposed to this bill. This is a political vehicle, I don't care who initiated it, but Senator Dunn knows why he initiated it and I resent it when he affects my county and a number of other counties. And if he thinks it's easy to get a medical examiner in all the counties, he doesn't know what he's talking about because it's not. We don't need a medical examiner as long as we have qualified coroners and we

have a very qualified coroner in our county and I speak against the bill. I think the travesty of justice to try and mandate everything what a county has to do when they can do it themselves.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Further discussion? If not, Senator Thomas Dunn may close.

SENATOR THOMAS DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President. In response to Senator Karpziel's suggestion, I'd like to advise her that I offered to Senator Fawell and Senator Philip to remove DuPage. It is not directed at DuPage, my neighbor. I have nothing against DuPage, it's a fine county with fine people. This is designed to depoliticize the office of coroner and to professionalize it. It's for over three hundred, whether it be Democrat or Republican. I ask an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

The question is, shall Senate Bill...I'm sorry, the question is, shall House Bill 63 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 22, the Nays are 37, none voting Present. House Bill 63 fails. House Bill 65, Senator Jacobs. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill...65, Madam Secretary...or Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 65.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

Senate. This bill...House Bill 65 as amended provides that the speed limit for all vehicles traveling on rural interstates shall be sixty-five miles per hour. I know of no known formal opposition opposing this and I will be...stand ready to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right. Discussion? Senator Kustra.

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I know we're supposed to be moving along fast and all that stuff this afternoon, but I...I just had to stand up and...and question the propriety of a bill like this and I hope you're paying attention. We know what has already been done by administrative fiat in this state as far as increasing the speed limit from fifty-five to sixty-five. What we're doing now, if my analysis serves me right, is applying the sixty-five mile an hour speed limit to every truck that's out there of any size and also applying the sixty-five mile an hour speed limit to anything out there that tows anything else. Now, I go back home and I talk to people about their travels across the country and about interstate highways and the changing of the speed limits, the one thing I hear all the time is that the folks don't mind keeping the trucks at fifty-five, especially the big ones, especially trucks that tow other trucks and this bill makes no adjustment for that. Maybe you have to drive Interstate 55 down here every week as I do in the last few months and watch those trucks and how fast they go. First of all, they haven't been that impressed with the reduction in...or the increase in the...speed limit anyhow. They've managed to do the sixty-five or seventy just like they've always done and...and beyond. It's been my experience...and two weeks ago I saw what can happen when a truck driver falls asleep at the wheel, body along the side of the way proved the point that, unfortunately, he hit

another car and it's not pleasant when you see a dead body along the side of the highway. I'm not saying that that accident wouldn't have occurred otherwise, I say that when you increase these speed limits to...rates of speed like this, you make it all the more likely that deaths can occur on the interstates involving these large trucks. I also point out to you that we talk about rural interstates, but the way this bill is written, this applies to all around Springfield; it's a nice fall football weekend at Champaign-Urbana, we're going to have these eighty thousand pound trucks tooling around Champaign-Urbana, Decatur, what I call fairly urban centers.

They're going to be doing the sixty-five. Maybe that's what we want to do, it's not what I hear the people telling us we ought to do back where I come from. I think what we ought to do is keep the trucks at fifty-five, sixty-five is working fine for everybody else. This is a very dangerous bill, I think, and it's going to result in a loss of lives. I urge a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator O'Daniel.

SENATOR O'DANIEL:

Mr. President, I rise in...in support of this bill and I'm not discussing the merits of whether we should raise any speed limit to sixty-five or not, but the thing that I'm saying is that the traffic should flow at the same rate of speed regardless of whether you're in an automobile or truck or what you are, there is a...a certain blind spot when you attempt to pass some other vehicle. And I think there's a safety factor there and all vehicles should flow on the...on the rural interstates at...at the same rate of speed. I think it's much safer than...than having part of them traveling at...at a slower rate of speed and I...I think this legislation should be passed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Jacobs may close.

SENATOR JACOBS:

First of all, in...in regards to the flow of traffic and it was one of the things I did want to mention, I think, Senator, you answered your own question whenever you said that they're already going sixty-five. So I think that's all the more reason to allow them not to break the law, but I agree there are two trains of thoughts in this country. Number one, that...to have the trucks, et cetera go that fast does pose a problem whenever they go off the road, that is one train of thought. The other train of thought which the Department of Transportation...in Illinois at least agrees with and that is that the even flow of traffic is the adequate way to go and I ask for your favorable consideration.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

The question is, shall House Bill 65 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 25, the Nays are 31, 1 voting Present. House Bill 65 having failed to receive the required constitutional majority is declared lost. House Bill 67, Senator Marovitz. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 67, Mr...Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 67.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. House Bill 67 requires that the state's attorney inform the grand jury of the results of a preliminary hearing

in cases where the initial charge was commenced by information or...or complaint and the judge found that there was no probable cause. This bill is designed to address the situation where the state's attorney gets two bites at the apple in...in essence. The state's attorney presents evidence at a preliminary hearing and the judge finds that there's no probable cause after that presentation. Presently, the state's attorney can then present the same material to a grand jury and have an indictment returned. Current law only requires that the grand jury be advised of the results of the preliminary hearing without specifying who should...who should so advise. This proposal places more responsibility on the state's attorney and requires the state's attorney, one, to inform the grand jury...of the results of the preliminary hearing and also whether there's any new evidence that has become available since the judge determined that there was no probable cause. State's attorneys are not opposed to the bill and I would ask for an affirmative roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Discussion? Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House...I'm sorry, Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Who wants this bill? Where did it come from? I mean, these things don't come out of the air. What...what organization is pushing it? Who's for it? Who's against it? Where are the state's attorneys?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

I can't tell you where the bill emanated from since it's a House bill, but I can tell you that the state's attorneys did not come in...in opposition to the legislation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Further discussion? If not, the question is, shall House

Bill 67 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 36, the Nays are 21, none voting Present. House Bill 67 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 71. House Bill 73. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 7-3, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 73.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 73 is an expansion of the tax increment financing law. It would permit a municipality that has adopted a TIF prior to January 1 of 1987, and that would put into the pool or the eligible some ninety-seven municipalities across the state, to use in addition to the property tax and with the expanded program the sales tax now, give them the opportunity to use the incremental state and municipal hotel/motel tax for the purpose of redevelopment. The House added an amendment which I think really narrows the applicability of this bill probably to only one municipality in the state and that is the Village of Rosemont which owns and operates the Rosemont Horizon, and it says that if the TIF district there could use the incremental state and municipal hotel/motel tax to redevelop a municipally owned project within the TIF district. The only one I know of is Rosemont. This bill came from Mayor Stephens, I was asked by the mayor and his people to handle it. It is sponsored in the House by Representatives Williamson, McAuliffe,

Capparelli and all those who have a...represent part or all of Rosemont. I...I know of no other objection. We have had...asked for a fiscal note. The Department of Revenue, the Economic and Fiscal are unable to determine the impact because, obviously, it would...it...be totally dependent upon the new hotel rooms that are built in that municipality for that purpose. I would urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 73 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 73 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 93, Senator Marovitz. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 93, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 93.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. DCFS shall notify families, according to House Bill 93, involved in certain indicated and unfounded cases of child abuse and neglect of the availability of private social services, and they shall devise a plan for a two-year voluntary program to provide training, retraining and education in child abuse-neglect identification, reporting and prevention. This was originally contained in 99 but the bill was changed. The purpose of the bill is to correct problems that were reported to the House Committee on State Government Adminis-

tration and Regulatory Review at several hearings throughout the state. Testimony at these hearings indicated that current child abuse prevention efforts in Illinois are nominal when compared to expenditures of the state funds for intervention and treatment, and I would ask for a...an affirmative roll call on this bill. DCFS is in favor of the legislation and estimates the fiscal impact to be fifty-five thousand dollars.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 93 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 58, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 93 having received the required constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 100, Senator Marovitz. I beg your pardon, House Bill 97. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 97, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 97.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. House Bill 97 would mandate the Department of Children and Family Services to recruit and mandate adequate qualified staff in its central administrative offices and in each of its regional offices to satisfy the requirements of laws pertaining to child abuse, neglect, adoption and juveniles. The following standards would have to be met no later than June 30th, 1992. For each of the DCFS administrative

regions, the average caseload for service workers to provide follow-up services upon referral after the investigation of suspected child abuse and neglect shall be thirty cases. And for each of the DCFS administrative regions, the average caseload for investigative workers who examine reports of suspected child abuse and neglect shall be twelve cases. The Department of Children and...Family Services have...has worked very hard on this legislation and joins AFSCME in supporting this legislation. I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes, if I might ask a question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

He indicates he will yield. Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes, Mr...Senator Marovitz, what would be the cost of this? I mean,...we're showing a pretty heavy figure here, what are you...what are you saying it is?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

...I show a pretty heavy figure too. I think the figure that I show according to the Department of Children and Family Services who support the legislation would be...seventeen million dollars.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes, to...to the bill, if I might. We're showing...almost eighteen million over a five-year period and I would just make the case that this would be something in a budgetary year or basically any year that should be left basically to the...to the appropriation's process rather than

to be determined in...in a method like this. The second thing is, too, I don't know that the department is supportive. We are showing them as neutral at best on the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Senator,...my question is, what's magic about the numbers thirty and twelve?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Well, I don't know anything that's magic about it, but I suppose if you had...if you have an alternative, we would have been willing to listen to an alternative magic number. I can say this that there was an...an average of forty case...case...cases per worker which was set under a special child welfare service initiative in 1984, that was compared to a...a ratio...a desired ratio of 15 to 1 recommended by the Child Welfare League of America and average caseload workers at a time exceeded 50 to 1. So I think it's a...it's a...it's an attempt to compromise between the recommendations of several organizations who are concerned about the caseloads of...of welfare and abuse workers.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Well, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I...I can well understand the interest of the employees in having figures such as these written into the Statutes, but

it seems to me to make very poor public policy. There are things besides the...simply just the number of cases assigned to each investigator or each worker that are...that are significant and that should be taken into consideration. I think it is poor public policy to take numbers such as these that represent the...some ideal workload and ignore all other factors and then enshrine them into law. I think this would be poor public policy if we had all kinds of money to do the things that we want to do; obviously, we don't...we're not in that situation this year. I would certainly...strongly oppose this legislation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I rise in strong support of this bill. When the bill...the identical bill was in the Senate before, we did, indeed, get a notification from the Department of Children and Family Services that they were supportive of this bill, so I think that should settle that argument. I've talked to many of our workers in the Department of Children and Family Services in our area, we're in...we're having a crisis in child abuse. These people have upwards of fifty cases to handle at any given time of the month. Some of these children stay in...in abusive homes and under abusive conditions for long periods of time before the department is able to actually handle the case in a reasonable fashion. That's wrong under any circumstance and that certainly is something that all of us should be concerned about when we're not only undergoing a crisis of child abuse but we're letting it happen and letting it go on unnecessarily. Seventeen million dollars over five years, that doesn't seem to be an incredible amount of money to me when we're talking about saving children's lives. So I rise in strong support of Senator

Marovitz's bill, I think it's needed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I stand in strong support of this bill. This bill came through our committee and it passed out 9 to 2 and the...department was with...was with us there and they testified and they are in support of this legislation. I ask for your favorable vote for this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I don't think anyone in this Body is going to argue against the fact that in many respects the Department of Children and Family Services in some of our areas is tremendously understaffed; that's a fact of life and...and we know that. But, once again, here comes an issue where the General Assembly is attempting to mandate through another way something that we ought to be recognizing anyway and that's adequately funding of an agency that's dealing with the issue, Senator Poshard, that you...speak about. But the fact of the matter is, this is not going to do it. When you're talking about active files, I think that's what we're talking about here, there's a tremendous variance in the time that's consumed with certain files, and what we do when we pass legislation like this is we take that responsibility away from a local administrator who is able to determine how many files a person has based on the activity of that file and just simply blanketing everything into...into one area and I think that is wrong. I think that is painfully wrong and we ought to take another look at this. And, finally, I would just say to the Body that it's my understanding, as I recall,

this...this legislation did fail in this Body on 3rd reading earlier...I think that is correct, and I just think we ought to take another look at this issue and...and vote against it.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Mr. President, forgive me for rising a second time,...but Senator Maitland is right. The legislation did fail by one vote because at the last moment we were giving some...we were given some astronomical figures on the cost of this bill which were erroneous, and had those figures not been given and not muddled the water, I think the bill would have passed very easily.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he will yield.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yeah, I...Senator Marovitz, I do strongly support what you're trying to do but Senator Maitland raised a very legitimate question in terms of whether or not it is a blanket number where everyone would have to have that same number notwithstanding the intensity of the depth of the case that one was working on.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Well, this would indicate that the desired number is, as I mentioned, thirty for caseworkers upon referral after investigation of...suspected child abuse and neglect and...I mean, that's...that's the desired number. Now nobody knows until they get involved with a case how...how involved and

how much time they have to devote to that case. Once...you don't know that until you get involved in a case. Some cases, obviously, take more time than others and some you're able to resolve and some you can be more successful at, but you don't know that until you get involved with a family, you get involved in the case and you know what the problems are.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yeah. I understand that, Senator Marovitz, and that's...that's just the problem and...and if, in fact, that you had a very serious case and I was assigned nine or...or twelve or fifteen cases and I could not...could not because of the nature of those cases handle those cases, what do you do with the overflow of those cases? Would there be if each staff person are assigned...let's take east area that each of the direct staff people would be assigned to X number of cases based on the number of cases in the agency at that time, what happens then to the spillover cases when I'm not...no longer able to handle but five?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

That's exactly why we have this legislation. Right now, there are caseworkers that have fifty to one case...caseloads and there's nothing that they can do about the spillover. They just have to give short shrift to the...to the case that they have, they're not able to spend the kind of time on the child abuse-neglect cases that they have now and they're giving them short...they're being shortchanged. The families and those kids are being shortchanged. We want to lower their caseloads so that there won't be any shortchanging on these cases.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

I understand and I support it. The only thing that was...his issue was a legitimate one and I was thinking that maybe there would be something that we could do to make sure that if you're assigned each person seventeen cases or ten cases or whatever, that if that...based on the nature of those cases, if that person could not, in fact, handle five or two or one, that...that somehow the other staff...because you don't bring on staff all the time...would have to. For example, if there's a union contract, if now we pass the law, now it becomes a part of the union contract that that...that is a set a caseload without some flexibility with the department, then who's to say who's going to absolve those other cases that's not so serious while I can now only carry five? That's all I'm...I'm asking, that's a legitimate issue and you may want to look at it on down the line.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicate he will yield.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Senator Marovitz, I'd kind of like to know a couple of things and also to Senator Poshard a question. Seeing how we have noticed by the media that the Governor is getting ready to lay off someplace two thousand, three thousand, close a prison because of lack of income, you're getting ready to expend this kind of money that's not in the budget. I just kind of want to know what other prison or what other mental health employees down at Anna is going to get laid off? I have the largest AFSCME membership in the State of Illinois in my district and I haven't heard one word from them in sup-

port of this bill. This is a bad idea at this time, it may be great if we had sufficient funds, and I have no problem investigating the additional child abuse. I probably hear as much about it as any of you, but if you're going to lay people off 'cause of lack of funds, if this bill would pass and go to the Governor's desk and he'd either sign it or you would override his veto, I just want to know who you're going to lay off?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Well, I can tell you, that the AFSCME lobbyists have been here, one of them is sitting up in the balcony right now, you want to wave? Wave, okay...he's leaving to come down and get those thousands of AFSCME members in your district to give you a call. Steve Prekwinkle has been on both sides of the...of the aisle and tells me that this is among the highest priorities of the AFSCME membership throughout the State of Illinois. Gordon Johnson of the Department of Children and Family Services who does, in my opinion, a terrific job in a very difficult, difficult situation has talked to me four times over the last three days and this is a...right on top of their priority list. So if there's any question about AFSCME or DCFS, believe me, this is on the top of their priority list and is...is something that all of us when we talk about decisions and choices have to take a look at in terms of priorities and this should be right on top of our priority list in terms of decisions and choices taking care of the abused and neglected children and making sure that their caseloads of the workers are not such that they can't really care for the families and the kids and needs.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I would like to ask the sponsor a question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR KELLY:

The...you had a number of thirty, I think, is that like a...a maximum number of cases and, if so,...you mentioned some other figure and I'm confused about that lower figure, what's that pertain to?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Okay. The...the first figure of thirty is the average caseload for service workers who provide follow-up services...follow-up services upon referral of suspected abuse and neglect cases, that's thirty. The twelve is for the regions, the average caseload for investigative workers who examine the reports. Okay? So there's...there's a difference there.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I think what Senator Marovitz is looking for is real quality review of these abuse cases. You're not going to get it when you have...and I...I've talked to caseworkers that have a hundred and over a hundred cases and what's happening is they're being demoralized, they're staying home...they're staying back in the office, they're not even getting out on some of the cases because they're being burned out by an overload of these cases. I think this is a new direction and I think we ought to at least give it an opportunity to work and I will support this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Marovitz may close.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President. I...I want to repeat something so that there's no question. These standards...these guidelines are...are those which must be satisfied by June 30th, 1992. We're talking five years and so we're giving the department five years. We're setting out a five-year plan here, the money is spent over five years not necessarily and certainly not in Fiscal '88 without a tax increase. But, again, I think this...this...this Legislature speaks to priorities in making decisions and choices and that's why DCFS has said this is on top of their priority list. We read every day about stories and investigations of DCFS and child abuse-neglect and whether people are doing their jobs. The fact is, people are trying to do their jobs, but in many cases the workload is just too great and who suffers, the kids and the families, and what this Legislature would be saying by this legislation is we're putting this at the top of our priority list and these kids who come from a...from...from homes where there's abuse and neglect, we're going to put them at the top of our priority list and make sure that the...caseworkers will be able to do something about these situations within the next five years. Let's not keep putting this off, let's...let's have the Legislature speak to what the needs of the citizens are and I can't think along with education of anything that should be a greater priority or greater need than making sure that the kids...those who really can't...can't fight...fight the problems themselves and need our help that they're going to get our help by reasonable caseloads from a department that is...sorely overworked. I solicit your Aye vote and put...let's our priorities where they ought to be.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The question is, shall House Bill 97 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 29, the Nays are 19, 6 voting Present. House Bill 97 having failed to receive a constitutional majority is declared lost. Senator Marovitz seeks leave of the Body to have House Bill 97 put on the Order of Postponed Consideration. Hearing no objection, leave is granted. House Bill 100, Senator Marovitz. House Bill...House Bill 113, Senator Raica. House Bill 117, Senator Alexander. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 117.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Alexander.

SENATOR ALEXANDER:

Thank you, Mr. President and to the House...to the Senate. The original bill as it was written has been completely deleted. The present bill in its form allows the Illinois Development Authorities to guarantee eighty-five percent of the loan to a business which has been certified by the Minority-Female Business Enterprise Council in which...would enable them to finance a start-up cost and work to be performed up to their first progress payment. The amount that is guaranteed shall not exceed a hundred thousand dollars. I would ask your assistance in passing this piece of legislation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

Senate. I haven't even said anything yet. Thank you, Mr...will the Senator yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he will...or she will.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Senator Alexander, on...on this legislation, I...I realize it's been amended...it was amended in committee. Is there a line...is there an appropriation for this or is there a maximum amount of...is...is the five million dollars the maximum amount of money that...that...IDFA can lend for this particular program?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Alexander.

SENATOR ALEXANDER:

That is correct.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Karpriel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Is there money in the budget for this?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Alexander.

SENATOR ALEXANDER:

Not that I'm aware of.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Karpriel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Will there need to be an appropriation for this particular program?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Alexander.

SENATOR ALEXANDER:

I would presume so, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Karpriel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Is...is this is a...a new program, Senator?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Alexander.

SENATOR ALEXANDER:

Yes...yes, it is, and in response to your question about the monies, where it will be coming from. I understand that Representative Braun who is the originator of this piece of legislation is making negotiations in the House with regards to some level of funding for this piece of legislation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Karpziel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Well, to the bill, Mr. President. I really don't...necessarily want to stand in opposition to the bill except that it is another five million dollar appropriation or a line item that we don't have in the budget at the present time and also...I...be kind of concerned that when this money...when these monies are available so easily really that perhaps the state will become the sole guarantor of these loans and I'm not so sure that that's a good precedent to be setting.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Alexander may close.

SENATOR ALEXANDER:

Thank you. This is a loan, it isn't like the state is just giving it. It is money to be repaid and this...particular piece of legislation does have a cap. The monies or the loans will not be guaranteed nor given to any performer unless they have been totally approved by the Minority and Female Business Enterprise Council. There is a safeguard and I can assure you and you know I know that this council will not just...just throw the money away, they will do some very indepth investigation as to the prior perfor-

mance a person seeking the loan. It is just an act to get this phase of operation off the ground to give females and minorities a greater opportunity to be involved in industries and other businesses that are so sorely needed here in Illinois. I ask your Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The question is, shall House Bill 117 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. (Machine cut-off)...that question, the Ayes are 37, the Nays are 17, none voting Present. House Bill 117 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 124, Senator Maitland. House Bill 143, Senator Vadalabene. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 143.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Vadalabene.

END OF REEL

REEL #4

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. House Bill 143 as amended limits the option to elect community college districts trustees from individual districts to the Belleville Community College. As this controversial bill came over from the House over to the...the Higher Education Committee, it was doomed from its outset; however, working with...Gary...Gary Davis and with...Karen Miller from the Community College Trustees Association and with a commitment to Senator Davidson and with the members of the Higher Education Committee, we amended the bill so that it would only apply to...that only community college districts which are contiguous to experimental community college districts may choose to elect trustees from individual districts. There's only one experimental community college district and that's the State Community College in East St. Louis and only one community college district which is contiguous to the Belleville Community College. The amendment eliminates opposition from other community college districts that oppose this type of election process and the community college trustees now support the bill. And I would ask for your favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 143 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 50, the Nays are 5, none voting Present. House Bill 143 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 160, Senator Barkhausen. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 160.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Mr. President and members, the current law permits school districts to impose a nickel levy for the purpose of leasing facilities in situations where they are...are growing but don't yet have the...the ability to construct a new facility. And this would upon front-door referendum allow them to increase that levy up to...up to ten cents as opposed to five cents. And I emphasize, it does require a front-door referendum. And I would ask for passage of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 160 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 51, the Nays are 5, none voting Present. House Bill 160 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 172, Senator Hawkinson. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 172.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is a proposal of the Illinois Banker's Association. It deals with security for lost...instruments. Right now, if a negotiable instrument is

HB 181
3rd Reading

lost and a suit is filed to collect it, in order to prevent double recovery, a security can be demanded and current law requires that a bond for which a premium must be paid is required. This law would allow a bank to issue an irrevocable letter of credit which would prevent against double recovery. It passed out of the House and out of Senate Committee unanimously and I know of no opposition, would ask for its approval.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 172 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 57, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 172 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 180 is on the recall. House Bill 181, Senator Holmberg. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 181.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 181 as amended merely adds that not-for-profit...adds not-for-profit corporations to the definition of small business in the Administrative Procedure Act. It doesn't alter the existing definition which generally applies to fewer than fifty employees or less than four million dollars in annual sales. And I move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall

HB 213
3rd Reading

House Bill 181 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 57, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 181 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 187, Senator Lechowicz. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 187.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 187 would amend the Illinois Insurance Code to provide that a certificate regarding unpaid taxes and demolition expenses is required to respective fire losses of over twenty-five thousand. Basically, the law calls now for fifteen thousand, it's raising it to twenty-five thousand. This bill passed the House 110 to nothing. I know of no objection. I bring it for your favorable consideration.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 187 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 46, the Nays are 9, ...and 2 voting Present. House Bill 187 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 192 is on the recall. House Bill 213, Senator D'Arco. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 213.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 213 requires the clerk of the court to send a notice of conviction to the Secretary of State of offenders found guilty of certain sex offenses which require revocation of the offender's drivers license or permit. Amendment No. 1 provides for the administrative adjudication of parking tickets. Right now, parking tickets are adjudicated by the circuit courts in the respective counties, and what this amendment provides is that the municipality now will administer the adjudication of parking tickets. If you...if you get ten or more parking tickets, the amendment provides that your drivers license will be suspended by the Secretary of State. The intent of this amendment obviously is to have people pay promptly parking tickets so the municipality can get the revenue that is due and owing to them. We amended the bill also to provide that the administrative costs of the Secretary of State will be paid by the municipality and not by the Secretary of State's Office. We also provided a procedure for the municipality to...to provide a procedure so that nonresidents will not have to attend hearings in municipalities where they do receive parking tickets or where mistakes are made and, in fact, they did not receive parking tickets. I would ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President, will the sponsor yield to a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he will.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Senator D'Arco, the original intent of the bill is there, is it not, about sending notice of conviction to the Secretary of State for certain criminal offenses?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

That's the original bill and it's still the bill and it...it's still in there.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

I rise in support of this bill as amended because it does leave it up to the...municipalities to set up the administrative procedures as how to go after the scofflaws.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Senator, you indicate in your comments that the...there are...is notice given how is that done?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Well,...after each parking ticket, a notice is sent to the person who receives the ticket that, in fact, a parking ticket has been issued and that money is due and owing. If the person fails to pay that parking ticket, another notice is sent to that person at which time if that notice is not responded to, the person is entitled to an administrative hearing on that particular parking ticket.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

What method and notice are we talking about, service by a deputy sheriff, first class mail, certified mail?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

First class mail.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Well, I don't know, I...I think this bill, frankly, enlarges upon a system that has caused a fair amount of grief, I think, for many of our constituencies and I'm not sure I'm very warm about it. The simple fact is that...and I think every one of us has had...had this happen to us or heard about it, someone, maybe a computer error or maybe a legitimate ticket, but in the computer they have a wrong address. I had a constituent that had...happened to have lived in Mt. Prospect and then shifted out into my area and did not receive any notice of any kind, and one day got pulled over on a minor thing by a policeman and was told that their license was suspended and began six months of unbelievable horror that should not be inflicted upon anyone. I don't know how we let that pass in the first place, but I think if each one of you thinks about this, you've probably been contacted if...if you live outside the City of Chicago, obviously, by constituents. I think we made a mistake without requiring some form of real notice, and first class mail isn't real notice. That governmental stuff they mail out, if they send it to you in care of Main St., Cary, and you live at 105, they won't deliver it, if it's a governmental envelope, if it's official. If you live at 105 and it says 107 in the computer, you don't get it. And I, frankly, talked to...the County of Cook several times trying to figure out what had happened to constituents. I finally have to tell people who are totally innocent, who don't even own the vehicle the tickets are on, that have lost their license, you got

to...you got to hire a lawyer and go spend fifteen hundred bucks to get your license back and you did nothing wrong, not a thing. And I think what we're doing here is expanding that...that problem. Now if it only happened once, I'd say, well, it was a bad situation and that's the way government works. But, frankly, we're talking about taking these out of the hands of the court and turning them over to municipal bill collectors. And while I'd be the first or...or maybe the last, I should say, to defend the lawyers and the court system, at least it's a workable mechanism. If the courts weren't sympathetic to the innocent victims, can you imagine what a municipal bill collector is going to do to them? I'd think twice about this, unless you really want to hear some horror stories back in your district offices.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Joyce. Senator Dudycz.

SENATOR DUDYCYZ:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR DUDYCYZ:

Senator, since we did not approve or...we rejected the amendment which would require the hearing officer to be an attorney, what would be your intent in this legislation, who would hold the hearings and...as it is currently? Who would hold the hearings and what...what method, would it be the Department of Revenue or could the mayor have the corporation council make the appointments again and they would be attorneys bypassing what we were...what you were trying to do in the...or, in effect, doing what you were trying to do in the amendment?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Well,...if...if we had adopted that amendment, and on verification if you would have...told the truth about Senator Geo-Karis being on the Floor at the time, making a long distance phone call, we wouldn't have this problem, because a subsequent amendment that she had would have provided that the mayor of the municipality with the advice and consent of the city council would appoint these people. As it is now, it's going to be up to each individual municipality to decide for themselves the qualifications of these hearing officers. Since we didn't pass that amendment, each municipality will decide for themselves the qualifications of the hearing officers.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Dudycz.

SENATOR DUDYCZ:

Yes, Senator, as far as Senator Geo-Karis being called off the Floor, I think maybe she was called off the Floor by the same person who called Senator Brookins off the Floor. But as far as the...as far as the hearings...I still would like to know who would be...would it be by ordinance or would it be by the city council? You said by the municipality but who would be...the hearing officers? Would...a city council by ordinance make the determination?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Yes, that's correct.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? If not, Senator D'Arco may close.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Well, you know, as far as Senator Schaffer's problems are concerned, it seemed to me that the person you talked about that lost their drivers license because they accumulated ten or more parking tickets and were not notified, that happened

HB 216
3rd Reading

under the system that you're telling us we shouldn't change. You're talking about a system that has created a lot of problems for people, administratively, in dealing with the parking tickets that they receive from the municipality. And I'm saying to you that this bill is going to correct those problems. This bill is...is not...is going to do away with bill collectors. You're not going to need bill collectors under this bill, because a person is going to pay his parking tickets under this bill, he's going to be afraid not to pay his parking tickets under this bill, because if he doesn't pay, the Secretary of State, after five notices, Senator Schaffer, five notice provisions are in this bill. Before his license can be suspended, he's notified five times of the impending possible suspension. And only then after a hearing at the Secretary of State's Office can his license be suspended, after he has accumulated ten tickets. And under this bill, he will pay those tickets, because a judge under the present system refuses to issue a warrant to that person for his not paying the parking tickets that he has accumulated. That will not happen. This is good for every municipality in the State of Illinois. It's going to increase their revenues and it's going to...it's going to provide that people who receive tickets are going to have to pay them and they're going to know they're going to have to pay them. It's a good bill and I ask a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The question is, shall House Bill 213 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 39, the Nays are 13, none voting Present. House Bill 213 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House...House Bill 216, Senator Weaver. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 216.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill amends the Motor Vehicle Retail Installment Contract, and the bill provides for notice of a possible refund and informs the buyer that he is to contact his credit life or disability insurance seller to see if through early repayment of his loan that there may be a credit due him, that's all it does.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 216 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 216 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 227, Senator Welch. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 227.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill is an attempt to amend the Carnival Ride and Amusement Ride Safety Act concerning a loophole that was created in the original legislation on the books. A person may not operate an amusement ride or attraction unless the liability insurance or surety

bond is in force. The current requirement is that no one shall be issued a permit so that they could operate a ride. So, what could happen is a person apply for a permit, have insurance or post a bond, but by the time they start operating the ride, they have not paid for the premium or have had it cancelled and received a refund. I'd be glad to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 227 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 57, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 227 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 245, Senator Topinka. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 245.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I would like to defer to my joint sponsor on this bill for presentation, that's Senator Barkhausen.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Oh, I'm sorry, it is Senator Barkhausen. Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Mr. President and members, House Bill 245 would...permits a court to require juveniles and adults to perform reasonable public or community service as a condition of probation, conditional discharge or supervision, and it includes provi-

sions giving the courts greater flexibility in placing offenders by increasing the number of available job sites. This bill was originally assigned to Judiciary and then was rereferred to Public Health. I'd be happy, nonetheless, to answer any questions, it did pass the committee unanimously...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion?

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

...and the House as well.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 245 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 58, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 245 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 261, Senator Poshard. House Bill 265, Senator Schaffer. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 265.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, the bill as it reached the Senate and cleared committee simply allowed the...a county historical museum district to issue grants to not-for-profit historical organizations. As amended here on 2nd reading, we have increased the amount of the tax levy that the district could...levy for by front-door referendum. When we passed the bill a couple of years ago, we passed it

at .002, which even in my county...major county, McHenry County, is less than, I guess, about thirty-five thousand dollars and they figured that it would cost more to run the election than the levy would bring, so we've brought this in. I would like to reemphasize that there is a front-door referendum, and while I am unaware of any districts that have been created pursuant to the Act, if, in fact, they have been created at the lower levy, to go above that levy that we originally established, they would have to have a second referendum.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, Senator Schaffer, this...our analysis says that this is a four hundred percent increase...tax increase. Is that correct, four hundred percent?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

That's right, as a matter of fact. As I said, we would go...in the County of McHenry which is one of the top ten or twelve counties in the state that go from thirty-six...thirty-five thousand, I guess, a year to a hundred and thirty thousand a year. Frankly, they figured out it would be more expensive to run the referendum than it would be...than the levy would bring in, and there wasn't much enthusiasm for it.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Senator Demuzio.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Well, I...I just wanted it clarified because, you know, usually you don't really carry these things, and when I saw my analysis that said a four hundred percent tax increase, I...I just...couldn't hardly believe it, but I guess you're

HB 294
3rd Reading

right.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Schaffer may close.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Well, again, I would reemphasize the front-door referendum. Frankly, the historical people seriously have told me their problem is their volunteers are all dying and they're going to have to hire somebody to run the museum and that's why they want the referendum.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Question is, shall House Bill 265 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 47, the Nays are 7, 3 voting Present. House Bill 265 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 294, Senator Collins. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 294.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. House Bill 294 creates the Prenatal and Newborn Child Act. The bill has been amended to actually conform to existing practices under the Department of Mental...of Public Health. What it does, it provides for prenatal care for pregnant...girls under the age of twenty-one and for their newborn infants up until three months after birth. This bill has no fiscal impact because these...are...patients are cur-

HB295
3rd reading

rently already eligible for this services. And I know of no opposition to the bill, the department supports the bill. And I would ask for favorable consideration.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 294 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 58, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 294 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 295, Senator Marovitz. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 295.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. House Bill 295 would mandate that...that the Department of Public Aid provide Medicaid coverage both during a pregnancy and sixty days commencing on the following day after the pregnancy. It's...it's somewhat identical to Senate Bill 833 sponsored by Senator Poshard, which we passed out of here and attempts to implement certain provisions on Medicaid for pregnant women and children under the...Federal Sixth Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1986 in order to reduce infant mortality in Illinois. Senate Bill 295 would allow the provisions of adequate medical care to pregnant women and children to lower the infant mortality rate. Though Illinois has tried to reduce our infant mortality rate through the implementation of Senate Bill 1183, Public Act

84-1091, the Infant Mortality Reduction Act, our state, Illinois, this is something we really should all be ashamed of, ranks forty-three among the states in infant mortality rate, forty-three. The ranking is based on data from the National Center for Health Statistics used by the Children's Defense Fund. Illinois was only better in its mortality rate than seven other states. And last year, Greg Coler when he was director of Public Aid indicated that Illinois had a higher infant mortality rate than any other northern industrial state and the rate is higher for black infants in some rural areas of the state. This bill is supported by the Illinois Primary Health Care Association, Voices of Illinois Children, Legislative Support Center, Legal Assistance Fund, Chicago Urban League and many other organizations that represent children throughout the State of Illinois and I'd solicit your Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 295 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 295 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 301, Senator Hall. House Bill 316 is on recall. House Bill 321, Senator Vadalabene. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 321.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.

This bill is without Fawell's amendment. House Bill 321 requires a vote by elementary school children to determine whether Tullimonstrum gregarium will be the official State fossil.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 321 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 49, the Nays are 4, none voting Present. House Bill 321 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 327, Senator Woodyard. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 327.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Woodyard.

SENATOR WOODYARD:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. This bill was...requested by the State's Attorney of Crawford County to attempt to correct an inconsistency in the present law. What it does, it prohibits the award of...of good time credit to county jail inmates who have been sentenced to an offense that carries a minimum mandatory sentence, and what we have happening, evidently, is that these people who are sentenced under a minimum mandatory sentence are actually getting out much earlier under the good time credit. And so this bill attempts to correct that particular problem.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 327 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who

HB 332
2nd Reading

wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are 1, none voting Present. House Bill 327 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 332, Senator Lechowicz. Senator Poshard, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR POSHARD:

The sponsor of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Oh, I'm sorry...I'm...332, Senator Poshard. Read the...read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 332.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This bill provides that where a collective bargaining agreement between an employer and a labor organization contains a successor clause that this clause shall be binding upon the...and enforceable against any successor employer who succeeds to the contracting employer's business until the expiration date of the agreement therein stated.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Hudson.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I rise in firm opposition to my friend Senator Poshard's bill...and...for the following reasons. It seems to me that if any Senator here were asked the question if he or she would want to do and would like to do anything that he or she could do to improve the business climate in the State of Illinois, the answer would be, yes, we would. I think we

all pay at least lip service, to that concept. And yet, we do some very curious things and I believe that this bill is one of them. This bill, as you know, would bind any successor owner of a plant to previously made provisions of a collective bargaining agreement. And what it seems to some of us that this would do would be to have a chilling effect on the sale of plants in the State of Illinois because it's likely that a successor employer or owner of a business would not look too favorably upon taking over that business if he is bound by the provisions of an agreement already made by the former owner of the plant, bound by provisions...provisions, mind you, ladies and gentlemen, that might be the very reason or part of the reason for which he is seeking to sell his plant in the first place. Also, it will have a chilling effect on a prospective purchaser. The purchaser is apt to say, I don't want to buy that plant if I'm going to be bound by provisions that are dilatorious to...or could be...to the business itself. So it seems to me we're hurting people instead of helping them, and I just think that it's...it seems to me to be...bad policy, it's probably unconstitutional, Federal law does preempt this, we had quite an argument about it in committee, but Federal law does...has a preemptive...there is a preemptive aspect to this. Federal law says you cannot bind a successive owner to a plant with these provisions, and I would remind you, finally, that every business group in the State of Illinois is opposed to this bill...House Bill 332. It will send out a bad message to business here that we say we want to help. So why do we do these things? Here's an opportunity...here's an opportunity to vote something down that can only have, in my opinion, a chilling effect on an already ailing business climate in the State of Illinois; and I would urge you, ladies and gentlemen, to vote No on House Bill 332.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I totally disagree with the previous speaker. If there is anything that Illinois has always been proud of and that's the type of leadership that's been provided by its respective leaders out of labor organizations in this state. This bill is a tribute to two outstanding individuals who served in the labor community, in the civic community, one being Ed Brabeck and the other one being Lou Pike, Louis Pike. And I think everyone of you have been talked to in explaining the merits of House Bill 332. And if the sponsor didn't talk to you, a gentleman who served in this Body and in the House, Pete Miller, talked to you. And if there's anything that deserves support based upon the type of work and the commitment not only by Eddie Brabeck and Louie Pike but as far as making sure that the employees who are covered by collective bargaining have an assurance of a continuity of a continuous operation...during a takeover, it's contained in this bill. There is nothing sinister in this bill, there is nothing against the National Labor Relations Board in this bill, we discussed that on 2nd reading on that amendment, and it was proven quite decisively when the Senate rejected that amendment because they realized that it's already contained under Federal law and through the court system. This bill deserves your support because it deserves the support of the working people of Illinois. I recommend an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Poshard may close.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Well, thank you, Mr. President. Mr. President, I would suggest that this bill is a fair bill, would merely require a successor employer to honor the collective bargaining con-

tract just as he is required to honor any other contractual obligation which binds the transferred operation. It seems to me that it gives to the collective bargaining contract the same integrity as other contractual obligations. And I would appreciate an affirmative vote on the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

The question is, shall House Bill 332 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 50, the Nays are 7, none voting Present. House Bill 332 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 375, Senator Karpel. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 375, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 375.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. I...I skipped Senator Jones, we will come right back to it. Senator Karpel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This bill would eliminate the need for retailers who sell five thousand dollars worth or less in nursery stock from obtaining an annual license as long as the stock is obtained from a licensed nursery. The...the amendment made it clear that the bill applies to like store chains and not each individual store.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 375 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted

who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 57, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 375 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. I inadvertently skipped House Bill 361, so we will pick up House Bill 361, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 361.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. House Bill 361 is identical to Senate Bill 68 which passed out of here on a unanimous vote, and what it does is allow for an insurance company to...to permit a owner of a vehicle that's eight years or older to get the title to that car if...if the car has been totaled. Currently, right now, the insurance company must pick up that title and ask for a established certificate, but if the vehicle is eight years old or older, the owner can purchase that car back from the insurance company. And I ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Discussion? Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 361 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 58, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 361 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Rock, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR ROCK:

Agreed Bill List 3rd Reading

HB 510
3rd Reading

HB 2031
HB 372
HB 512
HB 1312

HB 1500
HB 398
HB 474
HB 1924
HB 2826
HB 1901

HB 32850
HB 1096
HB 1188
HB 2682
HB 2248

HB 2166
HB 2322
HB 948

HB 1767
HB 2207
HB 1645

HB 326
HB 1278
HB 2278
HB 2655
HB 1798

Page 175 - JUNE 22, 1987

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. In terms of the schedule for this evening and the balance of the week, a number of members have inquired. It has been our agreement, Senator Philip and I, that we would work till approximately six both tonight and tomorrow. Tomorrow, as you know, there's an Executive Committee meeting scheduled at nine, we will commence at eleven. We'll start at eleven o'clock on the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, where we leave off today, which will be with House Bill 378. We're at the bottom of the page, it's a logical place to now move to the Agreed Bill List and afford the Secretary an opportunity to read that list...read those bills a third time, at which time we will then have the opportunity to vote and then we will have successfully concluded our business for today.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. With leave of the Body, we'll go to House Bills 3rd reading, the Agreed Bill List. And, as you know, the cutoff was at three o'clock this afternoon for those of...members who wish to file respective votes. There are some bills this afternoon that were amended and, therefore, that required them to vacate the Agreed Bill List, there were some that were pulled off. We currently don't have the list physically with us in front of us. I've had a request from one of the members. We are in the process of getting that, but I would suggest that for expediency that we would afford the Secretary the opportunity to read all of these bills in for a third time, there are three hundred and...some bills and it will take us about forty minutes, conservatively by...to...to get them all read in. So, Mr. Secretary, if you would, please read the House bills that are on the...House bills 3rd reading on the Agreed Bill List for a third time. Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 27.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 35.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill No. 48.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 56.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 57.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 62.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 72.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 85.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 87.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 88.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 89.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 91.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 99.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 106.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 147.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 161.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 162.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 163.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

...House Bill 164.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 177.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 188.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 226.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 228.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 233.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 234.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 236.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 249.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 252.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 263.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 266.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 268.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 269.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 272.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 275.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

HB 398
3rd Reading
HB 372
HD 226

House Bill 292.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 293.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 298.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 305.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 310.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 318.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 319.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 326.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 345.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 351.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 358.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 367.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 372.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 390.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 394.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 398.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 406.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

HB 510
2nd reading
HB 474

House Bill 410.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 422.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 429.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 441.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 443.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 462.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 465.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 472.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 473.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 474.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 476.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 479.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 486.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 504.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 510.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

...

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 524.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

NR 542

House Bill 525.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 542.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 577.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 593.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill...597.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 615.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 627.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 632.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 642.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 645.

(Secretary reads title of bill) .

House Bill 672.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 674.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 677.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 687.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 688.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 689.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 691.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 703.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 706.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 709.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 714.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 726.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 730.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 730.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 746.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 747.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 803.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 813.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 823.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 284.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 845.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 850.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 854.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 862.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

H.B. 948
7/21/87

House Bill 868.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 869.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 871.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 930.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 948.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 953.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 954.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 973.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 975.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 976.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 980.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 994.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1002.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1010.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1031.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1032.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1040.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

AB 1096
2nd Reading
AB 1188
2nd Reading

- House Bill 1047.
(Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1050.
(Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1058.
(Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1087.
(Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1096.
(Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1101.
(Secretary reads title of bill)

SECRETARY:

- House Bill 1104.
(Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1109.
(Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1123.
(Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1133.
(Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1135.
(Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1185.
(Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1187.
(Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1188.
(Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1198.
(Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1216.
(Secretary reads title of bill)
- House Bill 1218.

HB 1212
2nd Reading
HB 1278

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill...1228.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1238.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1249.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1253.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1259.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1274.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1278.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1281.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1288.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1290.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1291.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1297.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1300.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1302.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1306.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1309.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1312.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1313.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1317.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1337.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1341.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1344.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1349.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1351.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1355.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1370.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1373.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1376.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1392.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1419.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1420.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1421.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1424.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1438.

HB 1500
3rd Reading

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1461.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1462.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1465.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1494.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1497.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1500.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1504.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1507.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1509.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1513.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1524.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1526.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1537.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1540.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1546.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1548.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1563.

HB 1645
2nd Reading

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1581.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1585.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1602.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1603.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1605.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1632.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1645.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1672.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1726.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1727.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1735.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1737.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1742.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1744.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1748.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1753.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1758.

HB 1901
3rd reading
HB 1767
HB 1798

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1767.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1768.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1780.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1798.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1801.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1812.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1815.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill...1819.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1832.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1836.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1839.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1848.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1868.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1901.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1912.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1922.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1923.

NB 1924

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1924.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1925.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1934.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill...House Bill 1938.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1940.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1945.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1946.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1954.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1956.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1988.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2011.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2021.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2022.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2027.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

HB 2031
3rd Reading
HB 2166

REEL #5

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2031.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2046.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2052.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2060.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2084.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2093.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2100.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2162.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2166.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2167.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2173.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2185.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2201.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2202.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2203.

HB 2225
3rd reading

HB 2248
2nd Reading

HB 2207
2nd Reading

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2207.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2209.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2211.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2225.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2226.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2228.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2230.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2236.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2239.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2247.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2248.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2250.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2258.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2260.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2274.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2304.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2320.

HB 2322
3rd Reading

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2321.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill...2322.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2331.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2340.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2341.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2342.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2360.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2362.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2363.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2366.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2367.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2369.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2370.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2371.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2372.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2373.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2376.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2377.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2378.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2379.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2380.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2389.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2401.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2414.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2428.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2445.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2454.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2470.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2476.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2477.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2493.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2494.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2511.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2530.

*7/10/87 655
3rd Reading*

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2574.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill...2590.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2642.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2645.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2655.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2674.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2680.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2717.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2729.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2748.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2789.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2790.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2797.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2802.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2803.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2805.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2820.

HB 2826
HB 2850

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2836.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2837.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2839.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2840.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2844.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2850.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2852.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2865.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2866.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2867.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2868.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2871.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2872.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bills.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Welch, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR WELCH:

Would the sponsors yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

There are fifty-nine hands saying yes. Sena-

*Vote on
Agreed Bill List*

tor...Hawkinson, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. With leave of the sponsor, I'd like to have leave to be added as a hyphenated sponsor of House Bill 542 which is on this list.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, 542, Senator Hawkinson seeks leave of the Body to be added as a hyphenated cosponsor. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. So ordered. All right, the...the Secretary has now...has now read in all of the bills that were on House bills 3rd reading, the Agreed Bill List. The next roll call will be on final passage of bills just read by the Secretary. Is there any discussion? Senator Donahue, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR DONAHUE:

...to be added as a...to request...to be added as a hyphenated cosponsor on House Bill 181.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, wait a minute, there's all kinds of lights lit.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

I know, sorry.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

...let's...let's...let's go...let's proceed with where are on this order of business and I'll come right back to everybody. Senator Hudson, do you have the same request? Well, let...let me come back to you. All right, now...there has been a list, I am told, that have been passed out to all of the members of those bills that had been removed either by the request of the five Senators or been removed at the request of the sponsor or those that were placed on...on recall today. And this next roll call will be on the final passage of the bills just read by the Secretary. The question is, shall this series of bills pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is

open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On these bills, the votes are 59 Ayes, no Nays, none...none voting Present. This series of bills having received a constitutional majority by record vote is declared passed. The record vote of the Ayes and Nays for each bills passed shall be entered into the Journal. Senator Jerome Joyce, for what purpose do you arise? All right, now, Senator Donahue, for what purpose did you rise?

SENATOR DONAHUE:

I rose to request to be added as a hyphenated cosponsor of Senate Bill...or House Bill 181.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Wait...Senator...Senator Donahue moves to be added as a hyphenated...seeks leave of the Body to be added as a hyphenated cosponsor of House Bill 181. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. It's so ordered. Senator Hudson.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Identical request, Mr...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hudson seeks leave of the Body to be added as a hyphenated cosponsor of House Bill 181 along with Senator Geo-Karis. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. It's ordered. Senator Poshard, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR POSHARD:

Yes, Mr. President, to include Senator Vadalabene as a hyphenated cosponsor on House Bill 1446.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Vadalabene...no, Senator Poshard seeks leave to add Senator Vadalabene as a hyphenated cosponsor of House Bill 1446. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. It's so ordered. Senator Holmberg, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

I would like to be added as hyphenated cosponsor on House

Bill 942 and 2606.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Holmberg seeks leave of the Body to be added as a hyphenated cosponsor of House Bill 942 and...2607...2606. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. It's so ordered.

PRESIDENT:

Resolutions, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Resolution 425 offered by Senator Ralph Dunn.

Senate Resolution 426 offered by Senator Woodyard.

Senate Resolution 427 offered by Senator Macdonald.

Senate Resolution 428 offered by Senator DeAngelis.

Senate Resolution 429...the last resolution was 428. All congratulatory.

PRESIDENT:

Consent Calendar, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Resolution 429 offered by Senator Macdonald. It's a death resolution.

PRESIDENT:

Consent Calendar.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Resolution 430 offered by Senator del Valle.

Senate Resolution 431 offered by Senator Jerome Joyce.

PRESIDENT:

Executive Committee.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Joint Resolution 74 offered by Senator J. E. Joyce.

PRESIDENT:

Executive. Senator Barkhausen, for what purpose do you arise, sir?

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

...Mr. President, as the chief sponsor of...the Senate sponsor of House Bill 1567, I would ask leave to have Senator Hawkinson added as the hyphenated cosponsor.

PRESIDENT:

All right, the gentleman seeks leave to show Senator Hawkinson as the chief cosponsor on House Bill 1567. Without objection, leave is granted. Senator Joyce, for what purpose do you arise, sir?

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Yes, I'd like to waive the six-day rule for some of these resolutions to be heard tomorrow in Executive Committee...and I have the numbers...Senate Resolution 72, Senate Resolution 430, 431 and 74.

PRESIDENT:

All right, the gentleman has...moved to suspend the rules to waive the six-day posting requirement so that these Senate resolutions can be heard in Senate Executive tomorrow which meets, I'm told, at nine o'clock tomorrow?

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

That's correct.

PRESIDENT:

All right, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. With leave of the Body, those resolutions will be in a position to be heard tomorrow. Further business to come before the Senate? Senator Hall, you told me I couldn't do it by six o'clock. Turn around, will you, please. Okay. Executive Committee is going to meet at nine o'clock in the morning. So, the Session will commence at eleven. Eleven o'clock tomorrow morning and for the benefit of all concerned we will work till approximately the same time, approximately six o'clock tomorrow night, so we'll work from eleven to six. We're going to start on the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading, where we left off, with Senate Bill 378 and so I'd ask the members to be in their seats promptly.

No further business to come before the Senate, Senator Hall moves that the Senate stand adjourned until Tuesday, tomorrow morning, at the hour of eleven o'clock. Senate stands adjourned.

01/20/88
11:27

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SENATE
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

JUNE 22, 1987

HB-0001	THIRD READING	PAGE	123
HB-0027	THIRD READING	PAGE	176
HB-0035	THIRD READING	PAGE	176
HB-0039	THIRD READING	PAGE	123
HB-0048	THIRD READING	PAGE	176
HB-0056	THIRD READING	PAGE	176
HB-0057	THIRD READING	PAGE	176
HB-0062	THIRD READING	PAGE	176
HB-0063	THIRD READING	PAGE	124
HB-0065	THIRD READING	PAGE	130
HB-0067	THIRD READING	PAGE	133
HB-0072	THIRD READING	PAGE	176
HB-0073	THIRD READING	PAGE	135
HB-0085	THIRD READING	PAGE	176
HB-0087	THIRD READING	PAGE	176
HB-0088	THIRD READING	PAGE	176
HB-0089	THIRD READING	PAGE	176
HB-0091	THIRD READING	PAGE	176
HB-0093	THIRD READING	PAGE	136
HB-0097	DISCUSSED AND POSTPONED	PAGE	137
HB-0099	THIRD READING	PAGE	176
HB-0106	THIRD READING	PAGE	176
HB-0117	THIRD READING	PAGE	148
HB-0143	THIRD READING	PAGE	151
HB-0147	THIRD READING	PAGE	176
HB-0160	THIRD READING	PAGE	152
HB-0161	THIRD READING	PAGE	176
HB-0162	THIRD READING	PAGE	176
HB-0163	THIRD READING	PAGE	177
HB-0164	THIRD READING	PAGE	177
HB-0172	THIRD READING	PAGE	153
HB-0177	THIRD READING	PAGE	177
HB-0180	RECALLED	PAGE	83
HB-0181	THIRD READING	PAGE	154
HB-0187	THIRD READING	PAGE	155
HB-0188	THIRD READING	PAGE	177
HB-0213	THIRD READING	PAGE	155
HB-0216	THIRD READING	PAGE	161
HB-0226	THIRD READING	PAGE	177
HB-0227	THIRD READING	PAGE	162
HB-0228	THIRD READING	PAGE	177
HB-0233	THIRD READING	PAGE	177
HB-0234	THIRD READING	PAGE	177
HB-0236	THIRD READING	PAGE	177
HB-0245	THIRD READING	PAGE	163
HB-0249	THIRD READING	PAGE	177
HB-0252	THIRD READING	PAGE	177
HB-0263	THIRD READING	PAGE	177
HB-0265	THIRD READING	PAGE	164
HB-0266	THIRD READING	PAGE	177
HB-0268	THIRD READING	PAGE	177
HB-0269	THIRD READING	PAGE	177
HB-0272	THIRD READING	PAGE	177
HB-0275	THIRD READING	PAGE	177
HB-0284	THIRD READING	PAGE	181
HB-0292	THIRD READING	PAGE	178
HB-0293	THIRD READING	PAGE	178
HB-0294	THIRD READING	PAGE	166
HB-0295	THIRD READING	PAGE	167
HB-0298	THIRD READING	PAGE	178
HB-0305	THIRD READING	PAGE	178
HB-0310	THIRD READING	PAGE	178
HB-0318	THIRD READING	PAGE	178
HB-0319	THIRD READING	PAGE	178
HB-0321	THIRD READING	PAGE	168

01/20/88
11:27

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SENATE

DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2

JUNE 22, 1987

HB-0326	THIRD READING	PAGE	178
HB-0327	THIRD READING	PAGE	169
HB-0332	THIRD READING	PAGE	170
HB-0345	THIRD READING	PAGE	178
HB-0351	THIRD READING	PAGE	178
HB-0358	THIRD READING	PAGE	178
HB-0361	THIRD READING	PAGE	174
HB-0367	THIRD READING	PAGE	178
HB-0372	THIRD READING	PAGE	178
HB-0375	THIRD READING	PAGE	173
HB-0390	THIRD READING	PAGE	178
HB-0394	THIRD READING	PAGE	178
HB-0398	THIRD READING	PAGE	178
HB-0406	THIRD READING	PAGE	178
HB-0410	THIRD READING	PAGE	179
HB-0422	THIRD READING	PAGE	179
HB-0429	THIRD READING	PAGE	179
HB-0441	THIRD READING	PAGE	179
HB-0443	THIRD READING	PAGE	179
HB-0451	RECALLED	PAGE	84
HB-0462	THIRD READING	PAGE	179
HB-0464	RECALLED	PAGE	85
HB-0465	THIRD READING	PAGE	179
HB-0472	THIRD READING	PAGE	179
HB-0473	THIRD READING	PAGE	179
HB-0474	THIRD READING	PAGE	179
HB-0476	THIRD READING	PAGE	179
HB-0479	THIRD READING	PAGE	179
HB-0486	THIRD READING	PAGE	179
HB-0504	THIRD READING	PAGE	179
HB-0510	THIRD READING	PAGE	179
HB-0524	THIRD READING	PAGE	179
HB-0525	THIRD READING	PAGE	180
HB-0527	RECALLED	PAGE	85
HB-0542	THIRD READING	PAGE	180
HB-0577	THIRD READING	PAGE	180
HB-0593	THIRD READING	PAGE	180
HB-0597	THIRD READING	PAGE	180
HB-0606	RECALLED	PAGE	86
HB-0615	THIRD READING	PAGE	180
HB-0627	THIRD READING	PAGE	180
HB-0632	THIRD READING	PAGE	180
HB-0642	THIRD READING	PAGE	180
HB-0645	THIRD READING	PAGE	180
HB-0671	RECALLED	PAGE	87
HB-0672	THIRD READING	PAGE	180
HB-0674	THIRD READING	PAGE	180
HB-0677	THIRD READING	PAGE	180
HB-0687	THIRD READING	PAGE	180
HB-0688	THIRD READING	PAGE	180
HB-0689	THIRD READING	PAGE	180
HB-0691	THIRD READING	PAGE	180
HB-0703	THIRD READING	PAGE	181
HB-0706	THIRD READING	PAGE	181
HB-0709	THIRD READING	PAGE	181
HB-0714	THIRD READING	PAGE	181
HB-0726	THIRD READING	PAGE	181
HB-0730	THIRD READING	PAGE	181
HB-0746	THIRD READING	PAGE	181
HB-0747	THIRD READING	PAGE	181
HB-0755	RECALLED	PAGE	88
HB-0773	RECALLED	PAGE	91
HB-0776	RECALLED	PAGE	93
HB-0777	RECALLED	PAGE	93
HB-0785	SECOND READING	PAGE	5

01/20/88
11:27

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SENATE
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 3

JUNE 22, 1987

HB-0790	SECOND READING	PAGE	6
HB-0791	SECOND READING	PAGE	7
HB-0792	SECOND READING	PAGE	14
HB-0793	SECOND READING	PAGE	19
HB-0799	SECOND READING	PAGE	20
HB-0803	THIRD READING	PAGE	131
HB-0813	THIRD READING	PAGE	181
HB-0823	THIRD READING	PAGE	181
HB-0845	THIRD READING	PAGE	181
HB-0850	THIRD READING	PAGE	181
HB-0854	THIRD READING	PAGE	181
HB-0862	THIRD READING	PAGE	181
HB-0866	SECOND READING	PAGE	21
HB-0868	THIRD READING	PAGE	182
HB-0869	THIRD READING	PAGE	182
HB-0871	THIRD READING	PAGE	182
HB-0930	THIRD READING	PAGE	182
HB-0932	SECOND READING	PAGE	21
HB-0942	SECOND READING	PAGE	22
HB-0948	THIRD READING	PAGE	182
HB-0953	THIRD READING	PAGE	182
HB-0954	THIRD READING	PAGE	182
HB-0960	SECOND READING	PAGE	26
HB-0971	RECALLED	PAGE	94
HB-0973	THIRD READING	PAGE	182
HB-0975	THIRD READING	PAGE	182
HB-0976	THIRD READING	PAGE	182
HB-0980	THIRD READING	PAGE	182
HB-0994	THIRD READING	PAGE	182
HB-1002	THIRD READING	PAGE	182
HB-1010	THIRD READING	PAGE	182
HB-1018	RECALLED	PAGE	95
HB-1018	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	96
HB-1031	THIRD READING	PAGE	182
HB-1032	THIRD READING	PAGE	182
HB-1035	SECOND READING	PAGE	26
HB-1038	RECALLED	PAGE	96
HB-1040	THIRD READING	PAGE	182
HB-1041	SECOND READING	PAGE	29
HB-1047	THIRD READING	PAGE	183
HB-1050	THIRD READING	PAGE	183
HB-1058	THIRD READING	PAGE	183
HB-1063	SECOND READING	PAGE	29
HB-1065	SECOND READING	PAGE	32
HB-1071	SECOND READING	PAGE	33
HB-1087	THIRD READING	PAGE	183
HB-1093	RECALLED	PAGE	96
HB-1096	THIRD READING	PAGE	183
HB-1101	THIRD READING	PAGE	183
HB-1104	THIRD READING	PAGE	183
HB-1109	THIRD READING	PAGE	183
HB-1113	RECALLED	PAGE	97
HB-1123	THIRD READING	PAGE	183
HB-1133	THIRD READING	PAGE	183
HB-1135	THIRD READING	PAGE	183
HB-1163	SECOND READING	PAGE	33
HB-1167	SECOND READING	PAGE	41
HB-1185	THIRD READING	PAGE	183
HB-1187	THIRD READING	PAGE	183
HB-1188	THIRD READING	PAGE	183
HB-1198	THIRD READING	PAGE	183
HB-1202	SECOND READING	PAGE	35
HB-1216	THIRD READING	PAGE	183
HB-1218	THIRD READING	PAGE	183
HB-1228	THIRD READING	PAGE	184

01/20/88
11:27

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SENATE
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 4

JUNE 22, 1987

HB-1234	SECOND READING	PAGE	36
HB-1238	THIRD READING	PAGE	184
HB-1249	THIRD READING	PAGE	184
HB-1253	THIRD READING	PAGE	184
HB-1259	THIRD READING	PAGE	184
HB-1274	THIRD READING	PAGE	184
HB-1275	SECOND READING	PAGE	43
HB-1276	SECOND READING	PAGE	44
HB-1278	THIRD READING	PAGE	184
HB-1281	THIRD READING	PAGE	184
HB-1288	THIRD READING	PAGE	184
HB-1290	THIRD READING	PAGE	184
HB-1291	THIRD READING	PAGE	184
HB-1295	RECALLED	PAGE	98
HB-1297	THIRD READING	PAGE	134
HB-1300	THIRD READING	PAGE	184
HB-1302	THIRD READING	PAGE	184
HB-1306	THIRD READING	PAGE	184
HB-1309	THIRD READING	PAGE	184
HB-1312	THIRD READING	PAGE	184
HB-1313	THIRD READING	PAGE	185
HB-1317	THIRD READING	PAGE	185
HB-1326	SECOND READING	PAGE	44
HB-1337	THIRD READING	PAGE	185
HB-1341	THIRD READING	PAGE	185
HB-1344	THIRD READING	PAGE	185
HB-1349	THIRD READING	PAGE	185
HB-1351	THIRD READING	PAGE	185
HB-1355	THIRD READING	PAGE	185
HB-1368	SECOND READING	PAGE	44
HB-1368	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	45
HB-1370	THIRD READING	PAGE	185
HB-1373	THIRD READING	PAGE	185
HB-1376	THIRD READING	PAGE	185
HB-1392	THIRD READING	PAGE	185
HB-1411	RECALLED	PAGE	99
HB-1412	RECALLED	PAGE	100
HB-1415	SECOND READING	PAGE	45
HB-1419	THIRD READING	PAGE	185
HB-1420	THIRD READING	PAGE	185
HB-1421	THIRD READING	PAGE	185
HB-1424	THIRD READING	PAGE	185
HB-1432	SECOND READING	PAGE	46
HB-1438	THIRD READING	PAGE	185
HB-1461	THIRD READING	PAGE	186
HB-1462	THIRD READING	PAGE	186
HB-1465	THIRD READING	PAGE	186
HB-1484	RECALLED	PAGE	100
HB-1494	THIRD READING	PAGE	186
HB-1497	THIRD READING	PAGE	186
HB-1500	THIRD READING	PAGE	186
HB-1504	THIRD READING	PAGE	186
HB-1507	THIRD READING	PAGE	186
HB-1509	THIRD READING	PAGE	186
HB-1513	THIRD READING	PAGE	186
HB-1524	THIRD READING	PAGE	186
HB-1526	THIRD READING	PAGE	186
HB-1537	THIRD READING	PAGE	186
HB-1540	THIRD READING	PAGE	186
HB-1546	THIRD READING	PAGE	186
HB-1548	THIRD READING	PAGE	186
HB-1563	THIRD READING	PAGE	186
HB-1567	RECALLED	PAGE	101
HB-1572	SECOND READING	PAGE	47
HB-1581	THIRD READING	PAGE	187

01/20/88
11:27

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SENATE
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 5

JUNE 22, 1987

HB-1583	RECALLED	PAGE	103
HB-1585	THIRD READING	PAGE	187
HB-1602	THIRD READING	PAGE	187
HB-1603	THIRD READING	PAGE	187
HB-1605	THIRD READING	PAGE	187
HB-1632	THIRD READING	PAGE	187
HB-1636	SECOND READING	PAGE	47
HB-1645	THIRD READING	PAGE	187
HB-1672	THIRD READING	PAGE	187
HB-1681	RECALLED	PAGE	105
HB-1684	SECOND READING	PAGE	52
HB-1685	SECOND READING	PAGE	53
HB-1699	RECALLED	PAGE	108
HB-1701	SECOND READING	PAGE	54
HB-1726	THIRD READING	PAGE	187
HB-1727	THIRD READING	PAGE	187
HB-1735	THIRD READING	PAGE	187
HB-1737	THIRD READING	PAGE	187
HB-1742	THIRD READING	PAGE	187
HB-1744	THIRD READING	PAGE	187
HB-1748	THIRD READING	PAGE	187
HB-1753	THIRD READING	PAGE	187
HB-1758	THIRD READING	PAGE	187
HB-1767	THIRD READING	PAGE	188
HB-1768	THIRD READING	PAGE	188
HB-1780	THIRD READING	PAGE	188
HB-1798	THIRD READING	PAGE	188
HB-1801	THIRD READING	PAGE	188
HB-1811	RECALLED	PAGE	109
HB-1812	THIRD READING	PAGE	188
HB-1815	THIRD READING	PAGE	188
HB-1819	THIRD READING	PAGE	188
HB-1832	THIRD READING	PAGE	188
HB-1836	THIRD READING	PAGE	188
HB-1839	THIRD READING	PAGE	188
HB-1848	THIRD READING	PAGE	188
HB-1868	THIRD READING	PAGE	188
HB-1869	SECOND READING	PAGE	63
HB-1869	SECOND READING	PAGE	55
HB-1869	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	58
HB-1875	SECOND READING	PAGE	59
HB-1896	SECOND READING	PAGE	65
HB-1897	SECOND READING	PAGE	65
HB-1901	THIRD READING	PAGE	188
HB-1912	THIRD READING	PAGE	188
HB-1919	SECOND READING	PAGE	59
HB-1922	THIRD READING	PAGE	188
HB-1923	THIRD READING	PAGE	188
HB-1924	THIRD READING	PAGE	189
HB-1925	THIRD READING	PAGE	139
HB-1934	THIRD READING	PAGE	189
HB-1938	THIRD READING	PAGE	189
HB-1940	THIRD READING	PAGE	189
HB-1945	THIRD READING	PAGE	189
HB-1946	THIRD READING	PAGE	189
HB-1954	THIRD READING	PAGE	189
HB-1955	RECALLED	PAGE	110
HB-1956	THIRD READING	PAGE	189
HB-1988	THIRD READING	PAGE	189
HB-2011	THIRD READING	PAGE	189
HB-2021	THIRD READING	PAGE	189
HB-2022	THIRD READING	PAGE	189
HB-2027	THIRD READING	PAGE	189
HB-2031	THIRD READING	PAGE	190
HB-2034	SECOND READING	PAGE	59

01/20/88
11:27

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SENATE
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 6

JUNE 22, 1987

HB-2043	RECALLED	PAGE	111
HB-2046	THIRD READING	PAGE	190
HB-2048	SECOND READING	PAGE	68
HB-2049	SECOND READING	PAGE	70
HB-2050	SECOND READING	PAGE	71
HB-2052	THIRD READING	PAGE	190
HB-2060	THIRD READING	PAGE	190
HB-2065	SECOND READING	PAGE	73
HB-2075	SECOND READING	PAGE	74
HB-2084	THIRD READING	PAGE	190
HB-2093	THIRD READING	PAGE	190
HB-2100	THIRD READING	PAGE	190
HB-2151	SECOND READING	PAGE	74
HB-2162	THIRD READING	PAGE	190
HB-2164	SECOND READING	PAGE	75
HB-2166	THIRD READING	PAGE	190
HB-2167	THIRD READING	PAGE	190
HB-2173	THIRD READING	PAGE	190
HB-2180	RECALLED	PAGE	112
HB-2185	THIRD READING	PAGE	190
HB-2201	THIRD READING	PAGE	190
HB-2202	THIRD READING	PAGE	190
HB-2203	THIRD READING	PAGE	190
HB-2206	SECOND READING	PAGE	75
HB-2207	THIRD READING	PAGE	191
HB-2209	THIRD READING	PAGE	191
HB-2211	THIRD READING	PAGE	191
HB-2225	THIRD READING	PAGE	191
HB-2226	THIRD READING	PAGE	191
HB-2228	THIRD READING	PAGE	191
HB-2230	THIRD READING	PAGE	191
HB-2236	THIRD READING	PAGE	191
HB-2239	THIRD READING	PAGE	191
HB-2247	THIRD READING	PAGE	191
HB-2248	THIRD READING	PAGE	191
HB-2250	THIRD READING	PAGE	191
HB-2256	RECALLED	PAGE	113
HB-2258	THIRD READING	PAGE	191
HB-2260	THIRD READING	PAGE	191
HB-2269	RECALLED	PAGE	114
HB-2274	THIRD READING	PAGE	191
HB-2304	THIRD READING	PAGE	191
HB-2320	THIRD READING	PAGE	191
HB-2321	THIRD READING	PAGE	192
HB-2322	THIRD READING	PAGE	192
HB-2331	THIRD READING	PAGE	192
HB-2340	THIRD READING	PAGE	192
HB-2341	THIRD READING	PAGE	192
HB-2342	THIRD READING	PAGE	192
HB-2360	THIRD READING	PAGE	192
HB-2362	THIRD READING	PAGE	192
HB-2363	THIRD READING	PAGE	192
HB-2366	THIRD READING	PAGE	192
HB-2367	THIRD READING	PAGE	192
HB-2369	THIRD READING	PAGE	192
HB-2370	THIRD READING	PAGE	192
HB-2371	THIRD READING	PAGE	192
HB-2372	THIRD READING	PAGE	192
HB-2373	THIRD READING	PAGE	192
HB-2376	THIRD READING	PAGE	192
HB-2377	THIRD READING	PAGE	193
HB-2378	THIRD READING	PAGE	193
HB-2379	THIRD READING	PAGE	193
HB-2380	THIRD READING	PAGE	193
HB-2389	THIRD READING	PAGE	193

01/20/88
11:27

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SENATE
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 7

JUNE 22, 1987

HB-2401	THIRD READING	PAGE	193
HB-2414	THIRD READING	PAGE	193
HB-2428	THIRD READING	PAGE	193
HB-2445	THIRD READING	PAGE	193
HB-2454	THIRD READING	PAGE	193
HB-2465	SECOND READING	PAGE	76
HB-2470	THIRD READING	PAGE	193
HB-2476	THIRD READING	PAGE	193
HB-2477	THIRD READING	PAGE	193
HB-2493	THIRD READING	PAGE	193
HB-2494	THIRD READING	PAGE	193
HB-2507	SECOND READING	PAGE	76
HB-2511	THIRD READING	PAGE	193
HB-2514	RECALLED	PAGE	114
HB-2530	THIRD READING	PAGE	193
HB-2574	THIRD READING	PAGE	194
HB-2576	SECOND READING	PAGE	77
HB-2590	THIRD READING	PAGE	194
HB-2591	SECOND READING	PAGE	78
HB-2642	THIRD READING	PAGE	194
HB-2645	THIRD READING	PAGE	194
HB-2655	THIRD READING	PAGE	194
HB-2674	THIRD READING	PAGE	194
HB-2680	THIRD READING	PAGE	194
HB-2682	RECALLED	PAGE	115
HB-2700	SECOND READING	PAGE	79
HB-2717	THIRD READING	PAGE	194
HB-2718	RECALLED	PAGE	117
HB-2729	THIRD READING	PAGE	194
HB-2746	RECALLED	PAGE	119
HB-2748	THIRD READING	PAGE	194
HB-2756	SECOND READING	PAGE	80
HB-2789	THIRD READING	PAGE	194
HB-2790	THIRD READING	PAGE	194
HB-2797	THIRD READING	PAGE	194
HB-2802	THIRD READING	PAGE	194
HB-2803	THIRD READING	PAGE	194
HB-2805	THIRD READING	PAGE	194
HB-2820	THIRD READING	PAGE	194
HB-2825	SECOND READING	PAGE	81
HB-2826	SECOND READING	PAGE	81
HB-2827	RECALLED	PAGE	120
HB-2836	THIRD READING	PAGE	195
HB-2837	THIRD READING	PAGE	195
HB-2839	THIRD READING	PAGE	195
HB-2840	THIRD READING	PAGE	195
HB-2844	THIRD READING	PAGE	195
HB-2850	THIRD READING	PAGE	195
HB-2852	THIRD READING	PAGE	195
HB-2865	THIRD READING	PAGE	195
HB-2866	THIRD READING	PAGE	195
HB-2867	THIRD READING	PAGE	195
HB-2868	THIRD READING	PAGE	195
HB-2871	THIRD READING	PAGE	195
HB-2872	THIRD READING	PAGE	195
SR-0420	ADOPTED	PAGE	3
SR-0421	ADOPTED	PAGE	3
SR-0422	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	4
SR-0423	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	4
SR-0424	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	4
SR-0425	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	198
SR-0426	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	198
SR-0427	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	198
SR-0428	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	198
SR-0429	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	198

01/20/88
11:27

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SENATE
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 8

JUNE 22, 1987

SR-0430 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	198
SR-0431 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	198
SJR-0074 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	198

SUBJECT MATTER

SENATE TO ORDER - PRESIDENT ROCK	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND WILLIAH SCHROEDER	PAGE	1
JOURNALS - POSTPONED	PAGE	1
MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE	PAGE	1
HB 1852 - RECOMMITTED TO COMMITTEE	PAGE	4
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	200