

35TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

JUNE 19, 1987

PRESIDENT:

The hour of nine having arrived, the Senate will please come to order. Will the members be at their desks and will our guests in the gallery please rise. Prayer this morning by the Reverend Eugene Weitzel, Director of Chaplains at St. John's Hospital, Springfield, Illinois. Father.

REVEREND WEITZEL:

(Prayer given by Reverend Weitzel)

PRESIDENT:

All right, thank you, Father. Reading of the Journal. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move that reading and approval of the Journals of Tuesday, June the 9th; Wednesday, June the 10th; Thursday, June the 11th; Friday, June the 12th; Tuesday, June 16th; Wednesday, June 17th and Thursday, June 18th, in the year 1987, be postponed pending arrival of the printed Journals.

PRESIDENT:

You've heard the motion as placed by Senator Hall. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and it is so ordered. Messages from the House, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to-wit:

Senate Bill 12 with House Amendment No. 1.

And Senate Bill 60 with House Amendment No. 1.

Senate Bill 165 with House...House Amendments No. 1 and

Senate Bill 185 with House Amendment No. 1.
Senate Bill 233 with House Amendment No. 1.
Senate Bill 380 with House Amendment No. 1.
Senate Bill 461 with...with House Amendment No. 1.
Senate Bill 476 with House Amendments No. 1 and 2.
Senate Bill 496 with House Amendment No. 1.
Senate Bill 549 with House Amendments No. 1, 3 and 4.
Senate Bill 650 with House Amendment No. 1.
Senate Bill 703 with House Amendment No. 1.
Senate Bill 760 with House Amendment No. 1.
Senate Bill 809 with House Amendment No. 1.
Senate Bill 826 with House Amendment No. 1.
Senate Bill 914 with House Amendment No. 1.
Senate Bill 922 with House Amendment No. 1.
Senate Bill 962 with House Amendment No. 1.
Senate Bill 1052 with House Amendment No. 1.
Senate Bill 1226 with House Amendment No. 1.
Senate Bill 1355 with House Amendment No. 1.
And Senate Bill 1353 with House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4 and
5.

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to...directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has adopted the following joint resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

House Joint Resolution...34.

PRESIDENT:

Executive. Oh, all right, those Messages will lie on the Secretary's Desk. Senator Topinka, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes, Mr. President. While we're waiting, I was wondering if I might be shown as a hyphenated sponsor to Senate Bill

1115 and House Bills 27, 63 and 1592.

PRESIDENT:

All right, the lady has sought leave to be shown as the cosponsor on Senate Bill 1115 and House Bills 27, 63 and 1592. Without objection, leave is granted. Senator Kustra, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Thank you, Mr. President. With leave of the Senate, I would like to be added as a hyphenated cosponsor of House Bill 1988. I have received the permission of the sponsor.

PRESIDENT:

All right, the gentleman seeks leave to be shown as the hyphenated cosponsor on House Bill 1988. Without objection, leave is granted. Resolutions.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 413 offered by Senator Dudycz and all Senators. It's congratulatory.

PRESIDENT:

Consent Calendar.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 414 offered by Senators Kelly, Hudson, Lechowicz, Dudycz and Raica.

PRESIDENT:

Executive. Yes, Senator Kelly, for what purpose do you arise, sir?

SENATOR KELLY:

Thank you, Mr...thank you, Mr. President. I would move for the immediate consideration of the Senate Resolution 414 which was just read. It is a...the annual Respect Life Week resolution which has passed this body and the House for about the last twelve years and I don't conceive any controversy on it. It does not outline any special goals except to respect all human life.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Kelly, that request is in order. Senator Kelly has moved to suspend the rules for the immediate consideration and adoption of Senate Resolution 414. Madam Secretary, you want to put that on the Board. All right, Senator Kelly has moved to suspend the rules for the immediate consideration of Senate Resolution 414. All in favor of the motion to suspend indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The rules are suspended. Senator Kelly, to the resolution, Senate Resolution 414.

SENATOR KELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This is the annual, as I mentioned, Respect Life Week resolution which extends respect for all human life between the dates of October 4 through October 11th, 1967. I would ask that...Senator Davidson's name be added as a hyphenated sponsor because he was the principal sponsor last year. Other than that, I'd appreciate your support.

PRESIDENT:

All right, the gentleman seeks leave to know Senator John Davidson as the hyphenated cosponsor on Senate Resolution 414 and moves the adoption of Senate Resolution 414. All in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The resolution is adopted. On the same order, there's a motion to suspend with respect to Senate Resolution 399, Madam Secretary. Senator Maitland has moved to suspend the rules for the immediate consideration of Senate Resolution 399. All in favor of the motion to suspend indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The rules are suspended. To the resolution, Senator Maitland, on Senate Resolution 399.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Well, thank you, very much, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is an extremely important resolution as...as to the effect upon one of our...our members in...in this body and I would like, Mr. President, to

read this...this resolution. This is Senate Resolution 399.

(Senator Haitland reads SR 399)

PRESIDENT:

Discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

As a courtesy, we will withdraw our...our amendment to the resolution.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, since I didn't...hear the math or science academy in there, I suspect that they were being forewarned about Senator Carroll's amendment forthcoming. Congratulations.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Haitland has moved the adoption of Senate Resolution 399. All in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. Congratulations, Forest. Senator Haitland.

SENATOR HAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President. We did this, of course, at a time when Senator Roger Keats wasn't on the floor knowing of his high esteem and...and respect for lawyers. Congratulations, Senator. Oh, he's here.

PRESIDENT:

He's trying to get a different perspective, Jonn. Senator Etheredge, in self-defense.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

I...will the sponsor yield...I was wondering if this resolution now entitles me to practice law? Seriously, if I could just say, I'm so surprised that I'm speechless. I can only say thank you very much.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Vadalabene, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, I can answer that question for Senator Etheredge if he...can practice law or not. You know, I have an honorary degree in law from Lewis and Clark Community College and, yes, you can practice law any time you want.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Well, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, Senator Etheredge deserves that high honor and in response to his query, he might as well practice law, he has more common sense than Reats will ever have 'cause he's...been practicing law without a license for years.

PRESIDENT:

All right, ladies and gentlemen, we will begin on the Order of Recalls. Now there are...the recall list reflects those amendments that have been placed with the Secretary. It does not mean that the sponsor has agreed to call a bill back. That is the sole prerogative of the sponsor. We will go through the recall list and then we will begin on House bills 2nd reading and when we conclude that order of business, we'll go to...resolutions on the Secretary's Desk and then we should...be going back to our district offices. Page 3...just for your information, it's Senators Welch, Donahue, Marovitz, Maitland, Friedland, Marovitz, Smith, Donahue, Welch, Kustra, Schaffer, Netsch. Okay. bottom of page 3 on the Calendar, Senate Bill 10, Senator Welch. Senator Welch. On the Order of House bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 10. Senator Welch seeks leave of the body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 10, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Welch.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 3 clarifies previous amendment that was put on the bill. In particular, the clarification indicates distinctly that the provision in the bill as to students participating in athletics or other extracurricular activities goes into effect for the coming school year. A second provision states that the section is repealed July 1, 1958, giving it a one-year sunset date. I would move for adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Welch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 10. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Welch.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Welch on Amendment No. 4.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is a...a provision that provides for cooperative high school attendance centers, and what it does is say that...two or more contiguous school districts each of which maintains separate kindergarten through twelve and each has an enrollment of less than three hundred students may join and operate a cooperative high school attendance center.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Welch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 10. Discussion? Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Sorry, Senator. I didn't hear what your amendment is doing.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Senator, what it's going is something similar to a bill that you had...Senate Bill 597 with a few changes. Number one, there was some problems with the language you have in the election section on the balloting provision. Secondly, I believe that...mine talks about less than three hundred students. Yours may have had a different figure as far as the number of students.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

What's...what's the number of students...what's the top limit of students required under your amendment?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Three hundred per school.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Well, Mr. President and members of the Senate, Senate Bill 597 which would establish the cooperative high school system is a bill that was worked out here in the Senate. It was agreed to. The amendment stating the number of students was agreed to by the IEA; in fact, they're the...they're the ones who came to me and asked that there be some top limit put on the bill. Senate Bill 597 is moving in the House unless there's some...some plan over there to kill it...and I'm...I suspect, Senator, that...with some of your activities in the last week or so, maybe that's what's going on here, I don't know, but the...the Senate has spoken on this issue. He did agree to a top limit of six hundred students. That was the IEA position and I guess I would wonder why this amend-

ment was surfacing at this late date, if there's some other plan that the Senate hasn't been included in.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Kustra.

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Two points to follow up on what Senator Schuneman is saying. In the Senate Education Committee, there was bipartisan agreement that this amendment would not be added in committee because, as Senator Schuneman had said, Senate bill 597 was moving along. Now, I don't see Senator Herman on the Floor, so I...I can't speak for him, but I can just tell you from talking to staff that was the agreement. Number one, I don't why we're doing it on the Floor now and, number two, it hasn't been circulated, so nobody has seen it. I would ask the sponsor to take this amendment out of the record.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Hell, I'd like to take it out of the record, but first I'd like to say something to Senator Schuneman. I haven't been sneaking around and doing things in the last ten days; in fact, this was given to me yesterday, Senator, and the reason it was given to me was that your bill is being held on 2nd reading in the House, and I sort of resent the implications that I'm engaged in skulduggery concerning some legislation.

PRESIDENT:

All right, take it out of the record, Madam...

SENATOR WELCH:

I would move to take it out...

PRESIDENT:

...Secretary. 47, Senator Donahue. 100, Senator Marovitz. 124, Senator Waitland. On the Order of House

Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 124, that's at the top of page 6. Senator Maitland seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? On the Order of House Bills...leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 124, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Maitland.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The city came to me and asked me to consider this amendment. It simply deletes ten working days and inserts thirty days. It was their feeling that...that the bill as we had passed...had passed out of committee was unworkable for them and would be difficult to comply with. I agree and, therefore, would move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 124. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 316, Senator Friedland. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is...is House Bill 316. Bottom of page 9. Senator Friedland seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 316, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Mahar.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Mahar.

SENATOR MAHAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment would allow the Metropolitan Sanitary District to enter into lease agreements of up to ninety-nine years. The current law allows them to enter into agreements not to exceed fifty years.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Mahar moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 316. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 421, Senator Marovitz. Top of page 12. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 421. Senator Marovitz seeks leave of the body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 421, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Marovitz.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Marovitz on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 to House bill 421 merely says the department will conduct a study on the State Central Registry regarding child abuse telephone hot line and report to the General Assembly next year showing us a breakdown of those hot line costs to the child abuse hot line, and I would ask for adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Marovitz has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 421. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 478, Senator Smith. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House...middle of page 13, is House Bill 478. Senator Smith seeks leave of the body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 478, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Smith.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Recall on House Bill 478 that the Department of Public Aid shall intercept state lottery winnings of a delinquent responsible relative for the purposes of past due child support. This amendment to House Bill 478 is Senate Bill 1121...Smith...I passed in the Senate. This bill was inadvertently not called in the House committee by the House sponsor and eventually was Tabled. I'm...the department is neutral on this and I ask for its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Smith has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 478. Discussion? Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes, I was wondering if I might ask a question of the sponsor.

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates she will yield, Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes, could you describe what the original bill did that you're amending on here?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH:

The...the original bill would intercept lottery winnings from persons who won but had a bill or a delinquency payment to his family and this is to permit...to help the Department of Public Aid save some money if we can find the...excuse the vernacular of the street, the culprits that...that due money to their children and they're not keeping up their payments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes, if I might ask, was this...is this being done in much of the same similar vein as Senator Barkhausen tried to achieve yesterday and apparently had some difficulty in doing?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH:

That I could not say, because I don't know what he was doing.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Smith has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 478. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 552, Senator Donahue. That's the middle of page 15, on the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 552. Senator Donahue seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 552, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator...Senator Schaffer.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer on Amendment No. 2.

SECRETARY:

Pardon me, that's Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

This amendment would simply allow a township in a county with a population exceeding one hundred thousand but less than two hundred thousand to purchase a...a...a park up to one hundred acres. We have a township in my district that is...has a fifty-acre park and has an opportunity to get an adjacent piece of ground but they need legislative authority. I'm unaware of any opposition and it does not involve itself in some of the problems some of the larger counties were having.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 552. Discussion? Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates he'll yield. Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

Senator Schaffer, isn't...wasn't this in the Senate Local Government Committee in a different bill? And how is this amendment different?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

As you'll recall, Senator Geo-Karis had some serious problems concerning an open land policy in Lake County that some of the home builders and other people have had some problems with. The way it is different is it limits it to counties under two hundred thousand. Lake County is, obviously, well over three hundred thousand. We have tightly drawn the amendment to basically allow for this one purchase which there's no opposition to. I mean, I don't know now we can draw it much tighter and be legal, to be honest with you.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

Well, Senator Schaffer, I've seen a copy of the amendment and it...and it parallels the legislation that Senator Topinka had. How is it different from the...the Senate bill...or House bill, I'm sorry, that Senator Topinka had?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Staff tells me it does not. Perhaps you have a...a different amendment. I'll have a copy run over to you.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

Well,...Mr. President, there...if we can have leave to take this out of the record and get back to it, there was a great deal of controversy in the Local Government Committee and I'd like an opportunity to review that amendment.

HB 560
Recall

PAGE 16 - JUNE 19, 1987

PRESIDENT:

Take it out...if...if that's agreeable. Where's the sponsor? All right, take it out of the record, Madam Secretary. We'll try to get back to it. 560, Senator Welch. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, the middle of page 15, is House Bill 560. Senator Welch seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 560, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Welch.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is an amendment to the Transient Merchant Act of 1987. The amendment was requested by one county which didn't want the requirement that the local police department in their particular town be notified, they wanted the Department of Consumer Affairs. It's been approved by the retail merchants who originated the bill. So, I would move adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Welch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 560. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 671, Senator Kustra. Well, I didn't put the amendment down there, pal. Okay? 939, Senator Schaffer. All right, on the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading...top of page 21 is House Bill 939. Senator Schaffer seeks leave of

the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 939, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Schaffer.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

This is an amendment worked out by the Department of Public Health with the Department of Public Aid taking a very simple bill that would have simply required the names of both the husband and...or mother and father of a child to appear on the birth certificate and making it more complicated. For legal reasons, it simply would require that the name and signature of the father shall be entered only upon the written consent of both the mother and the person to be named as the father. I believe this settles any controversy there ever was about this bill.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Schaffer has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 939. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 982, Senator Netsch. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, top of page 22, is House bill 982. Senator...Netsch seeks leave of the body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House bill 982, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

HB 998
Re-called

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Netsch.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President...House Bill 982 deals with autologous...blood donations and the amendment would simply require that the standards which are to be promulgated be reported to the General Assembly so that we have this information available to us also. I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 982.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 982. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 998, Senator Geo-Karis. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, page 22, is House Bill 998. Senator Geo-Karis seeks leave of the body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 990, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Geo-Karis.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I would like to remove Amendment No. 1 and...having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 1 was passed.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Geo-Karis having voted on the prevailing side has moved to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 998 was adopted. All in favor of the motion to reconsider indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The vote is now reconsidered. Senator...

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

I move to Table Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

...Geo-Karis now moves to Table Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 998. All in favor of the motion to Table indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries. Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Geo-Karis.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Amendment No. 2 adds...amends House Bill 998 on page 4 by...inserting "immediate" after line 30 of the following, "However any drainage district which by majority vote of the district authorities decides to exempt itself from such dissolution may do so if the vote of the district authorities is within ninety days of the effective date of this Act." I move...favorable passage of this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Geo-Karis has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 998. Discussion? Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Senator Geo-Karis, I've read the...I've read the language and you've read the language into the record. Can you tell us what it means?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Senator Demuzio, if you'll look on page 4 of this bill on line 30...H on...in line 25, "Refers upon the creation and implementation of a county's strong water manage plant, the county may petition circuit courts to dissolve any or all drainage districts created pursuant to the Illinois Drainage Code or predecessor Acts which are located entirely within the area of the county covered by the plan." My...my amendment adds to it, "However, any drainage districts which by majority vote of the district authorities decides to exempt itself may do so within ninety days after the passage of this law." Okay?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, I don't know if anybody is listening, but...it seems to me that is a...it permits a...a drainage district by a majority vote of the district, the...the members deciding to exempt itself from dissolution to do so if the vote of the district authority is...is within ninety days of the effective date of the Act. It seems to me that maybe a...a more proper way would be to permit the drainage district to exempt itself from the provisions of this bill and that would be to permit the exemption by the corporate authorities within ninety days when the planning is implemented by the county rather than by ninety days of the effective date of this Act. So...you may want to take a look at that, Senator Geo-Karis.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Geo-Karis has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 998. Further discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1256, Senator Fawell. 1560, Senator Karpel. I beg your pardon, I saw it scratched off and I don't know why. Senator Fawell woke up. Well, that's good. Senator Davidson, I beg your pardon. I skipped...went right on by it. Middle of page 24. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 1120. Senator Davidson seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd, House Bill 1120, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Davidson.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, this amendment would add language which would create the Community Care Program Criminal Background Investigation Act. This amendment is at the request of Department of Aging who contracts with community care programs under the program in which we passed for home care, and apparently the vendors have had...either some people who have abused people who had a history thereof and what this says, "A vendor will...who receives a contract will require each employee or prospective employee to authorize criminal background check." This will be done by the State Police which is...does for the school districts and...all information attained during the criminal background checks shall be confidential.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Davidson has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1120. Discussion? Senator Hawkinson. If not...if there is no discussion, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1256, Senator Fawell. Bottom of page 26. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House bill 1256. Senator Fawell seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 1256, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Fawell.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you very much. First of all, I would like to Table Committee Amendment No. 1. We're going to combine some of these.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Fawell having voted on the prevailing side, Madam Secretary, has moved to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1256 was adopted. All in favor of the motion to reconsider indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The vote is reconsidered. Senator Fawell now moves to Table Amendment No. 1 to House Bill...1256. All in favor of the motion to Table indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries. Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Fawell.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Fawell on Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you very much. Everything that...was in Committee Amendment No. 1 is now in...in Floor Amendment No. 3, and we

are also adding the language, "bidding thresholds of park districts from four thousand to ten thousand."

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Fawell has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill...1256. Discussion? Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well,...yeah, we're...we're trying to make some choices here. This...this amendment puts in...a lot of things. One of those is that it raises the threshold from four thousand to ten thousand dollars at which bids for supplies, material or work are required. The state...we only allow for five thousand dollars nonbid. Why...why are we going to ten thousand dollars, Senator Fawell?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Well, first of all, I'm sorry,...you know, I almost...I think I'm going to have to take this out of the...this amendment out of the record for a minute because I was supposed to Table Floor Amendment No. 2 too which I was told...but I...to answer your question, number one, your...your Democratic colleague is asking for this because of the fact that this has passed the Senate twice last year and so Senator...I mean, Representative Steczo asked me to put this on and since this is his bill, this is what I'm doing.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Is the golf course in this one too or is that in the next one? Well,...I rise in opposition to this amendment. It just seems to me that if we're...we're establishing a difference precedent here. If we're going to allow all of these forest preserves and park districts to go up to ten thousand

dollars for nonbid items, why don't you put an amendment in to let the state do it...the same thing? Here comes the House sponsor.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Fawell has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 1256. Senator Fawell, do...do you wish to address Amendment No. 2 before we do this?

SENATOR FAWELL:

Yes, please...

PRESIDENT:

Did I hear that correctly?

SENATOR FAWELL:

...please, I would like to Table Amendment No...

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Fawell having voted on the prevailing side has moved to reconsider the vote, Madam Secretary, by which Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1256 was adopted. All in favor of the motion to reconsider indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The vote is now reconsidered. Senator Fawell now moves to Table Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1256. All in favor of the motion to Table indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries. Amendment No. 2 is Tabled. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Fawell.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Fawell, before we get to that, UPI would like permission...has asked leave to take some pictures. Senator Sam, give me your best side. All right, leave is granted. Senator Fawell on Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 1256.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Amendment No. 3 does contain all the...the previous...amendments but it also does contain the language that the bidding thresholds for park districts will be raised from

four thousand to ten thousand at the request of the...the Democratic sponsor in the House.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Firrell has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 1256. Discussion? Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed...I beg your pardon, who's up...all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Topinka.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Topinka on Amendment No. 4.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I would respectfully ask to Table Amendment No. 4 because the amendment is better served in Amendment No. 5.

PRESIDENT:

Well, why don't we just withdraw it? Further amendments, Madam Secretary?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Topinka.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Topinka on Amendment No. 4.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Okay, first of all, may I check the LRS number on this because this bill and its subparts have traveled greatly in the course of this Session, LR0350355JHCSA1.07? Very fine. Okay, Amendment No. 4 would give the Cook County Forest Preserve District the right of first refuser...refusal over the sale of any golf course in Cook County, this is now limited to just Cook County, and then that right would go to park districts thereafter if they should do so and then it would go back into play. That's the amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Topinka has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 1236. Discussion? Senator Savickas.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Yes, maybe Senator Topinka could tell us what golf courses are under consideration.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

It would be any golf courses. The...the desire is put forward by the Cook County Board as well as the Cook County Forest Preserve District.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Savickas.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Well, who...I mean, for what purpose...I've...I just can't understand why, out of the clear blue sky, you'd be putting this in unless there is some intention now to acquire some golf course that's located in Cook County that somebody wants to buy for the forest preserve district.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

First of all, part...this part including the ability for DuPage County to do the same thing did pass out of this Chamber overwhelmingly as Senate Bill 634. basically, all it does is allow the option of the golf course so as to know that this property is for sale in terms of flood retention, basins, flood plain holdings and general green space. So, it is a conservation method and...and again, it does not require the forest preserve district or the park district to buy the golf courses in question. This could never be used.

PRESIDENT:

All right, we're going to have to insist on a little bit of order. I understand, Senator Savickas. It's difficult at best. My suggestion is, particularly to the staff, that we have the conferences taken off the Floor. I'd ask the members to stay close to your chair. Senator Topinka, for the third time.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes, Senator Savickas, to repeat, basically this does not make or force the...the forest preserve district or a park district to have to buy property. It makes them aware of the sale because from their standpoint it allows them to expand their holdings, one, should they be so moved; second of all, for flood retention, because it's a serious problem in many cases in Cook County when rivers overflow. Most golf courses have been built in flood plains and as a result they do retain water. We are concerned that it would flood out various villages and that is why the...that is the purpose of the bill, and, again, it has been endorsed by the Cook County Board and the Cook County Forest Preserve District.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Savickas. All right. Senator Topinka has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 1256. Further discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 5 offered by Senator Topinka.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes, I would...I wish to withdraw Amendment No. 5.

PRESIDENT:

Withdraw that, Madam Secretary. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1560, Senator Karpziel. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, middle of page 22, is House Bill 1560. Senator Karpziel seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 1560, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Karpziel.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Karpziel.

SENATOR KARPZIEL:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to withdraw Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Take it out of the...withdraw...that amendment. Madam Secretary, further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1616, Senator Raica. Middle of page 33. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 1616. Senator Raica seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 1616, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Raica.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Raica on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR RAICA:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment was offered at the suggestion of the House sponsor and the House staff.

What it does is the amendment guts the bill. First of all, it gives the definition of master antenna television service. There was a problem in the trailer camps where the mobile home owners were forced to take their antennas down and...and pay for cable TV service. What this bill would do is...is it would basically...or what this amendment would do is, number one, as I stated, it defines cable TV. Secondly, that it would require after the effective date of the Act, the park owner may not require that a tenant pay for the cable TV but rather that the...the owner of the trailer camp would have to offer the same services and not in addition to that were offered there prior to the man being moved in, and I ask for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Raica has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1316. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1760, Senator Watson. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading...House Bill 3rd Reading is House Bill 1760. Senator Watson seeks leave of the body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 1760, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Watson.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 provides for the elimination and the regulation by the Illinois Commerce

Commission of passenger route buses. The Federal ICC bus transportation law now preempts state jurisdiction so it's not necessary for the state to control. The Commerce Commission is in total accord with this...this amendment. I move for its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Watson has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1760. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Top of page 36. Senator Welch, 1806. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 1306. Senator Welch seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 1306, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Welch.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. That this amendment would do would be to require the State Board of Education to report to us by January 14th of 1968 on the status of physical education exemption programs conducted by school districts during the year of 1966 to 1967. I would move for adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Welch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1806. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have

HB 1902
Recalled

it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1902, Senator Barkhausen. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, bottom of page 37, is House Bill 1902. Senator Barkhausen seeks leave of the body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 1902, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Barkhausen.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Mr. President and members, Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1902 deals with the Living Will Act. It incorporates an amendment that was adopted in the House to this bill and changes the order of preference in situations where a doctor is unable or willing to comply with terms of a living will. It says, "The doctor shall inform," and then it ranks in order, "member of a patient's family, any...person authorized by a patient to make such arrangements." And third, a guardian of the person of the patient without the necessity of obtaining a court order to do so and it changes that order so that the...the individual ranked first would be the person authorized by the patient to make such arrangements, secondly, the guardian of the person of the patient and, third, any member of the patient's family, and I move the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Barkhausen has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1902. All...any discussion?

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Barkhausen, we had a discussion about this in committee and I...Senator Hawkinson brought up a point where...where if the individual wanted to...to cancel his determination, he could do that and there...that was unclear in the original bill. If...if that individual wants to subsequent to making this determination cancel, does he still have total control over that decision regardless of anything else?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Yes, he does and that...that portion of the bill isn't changed in any way by this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Barkhausen has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1902. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1953, Senator Watson. Bottom of page 30, on the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 1953. Senator Watson seeks leave of the body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 1953, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Watson.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Yes, sir, and thank you, Mr. President. This amendment would exempt from medical examination requirements the drivers of public utility vehicles, cooperative vehicles and telecommunication vehicles. These drivers primarily do work on repairs and utility lines, this type of thing, and don't spend the time behind the wheel that the original Federal requirement was trying to do. So, I move for its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Watson has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1953. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Savickas, 1959. Top of page 39. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 1959. Senator Savickas seeks leave of the body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 1959, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Savickas.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Savickas.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, this is a technical...amendment that was requested by the Department of Transportation and they claim it would clarify the language regarding the commission of accreditation as located in Tucson and allows the use of Yellow School bus when facility is under contract with the Department of Mental Health and/or the Department of Rehabilitation Services, and it is being put in at their request and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Savickas has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1959. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1993, Senator Davidson. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 1998. Middle of page 39. Senator Davidson seeks leave of the body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 1990, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Davidson.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, Amendment No. 2 corrects error in Amendment No. 1 which went on this bill. The agreement had been to remove everything after the title rather than adding the language as it did to the language that existed and this now has it in correct state. Everybody agreed to it. Move the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Davidson has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1996. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

I have to ask a parliamentary inquiry. My handler says I should have moved to Table Amendment No. 1 before Amendment No. 2 went on and I thought since Amendment No. 2 struck everything after the title, we didn't need to do that.

PRESIDENT:

It appears that Amendment No. 2 deletes the title and everything else, so there's no need to Table 1. 3rd reading, 2012, Senator del Valle. Bottom of page 39, on the Order of House bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 2012. Senator del Valle seeks leave of the body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 2012, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator del Valle.

PRESIDENT:

Senator del Valle.

SENATOR del VALLE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment was recommended by the Illinois Medical Society and accepted by the Attorney General's Office and adds the language, "Any amount paid to or received by a physician for the referral of a patient to another physician pursuant to a bona fide agreement or contract." I move the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator del Valle has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House bill 2012. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 2227, Senator Donahue. Middle of page 43,

on the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 2227. Senator Donahue seeks leave of the body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 2227, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Donahue.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Donahue.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this amendment has three provisions dealing with the Department of Veterans' Affairs. The first amendment adds monument companies to the list of those that could be paid for the placement of headstones and markers and this change was recommended by the Auditor General. Provision number two adds the Department of...Veterans' Affairs, currently it's only the Department of Public Aid, to the list of those that are able to make medical payments from its appropriation for services provided in the previous fiscal year. And the third provision adds the Department of Veterans' Affairs to a list of groups that cover the representation and indemnification of state employees. It's a problem with our contracts with our physicians and I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Donahue has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2227. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Demuzio, page 42. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 2243. Senator Demuzio

seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 2243, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Demuzio.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Yes, Amendment No. 1 is an amendment that exempts nonhazardous solid waste received at a landfill after January the 1st of '87 that is recycled through a process that is permitted by the EPA from the solid waste fee. I have a Jacksonville municipality in my district that has a recycling operation. What this language will do, it simply will benefit municipalities that dispose of waste and landfills because the fee which the landfill passes on will only be assessed on that part of the waste which is actually buried. I know of no opposition and I think the EPA is well aware of it; as a matter of fact, it is their language.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2243. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Demuzio.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

This amendment is similar to a bill that passed out of the Senate of Senator Welch's. This bill provides for a process whereby if you have a vertical expansion of

HB 2350
recall

a facility that it would be required under the permit process along with any lateral expansion. It passed the Senate. It was in the House. Apparently they never had enough members show up one day...the fact of the matter is that...I don't really know of any opposition to this thing. The House indicated that we should put it on this bill and perhaps send it back and they'll give it another shot. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House bill 2243. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 2350, Senator Marovitz. Bottom of page 45, ladies and gentlemen. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 2350. Senator Marovitz seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 2350, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Marovitz.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 to House bill 2350 is a technical amendment only. It was requested by LRB and by the Bar Association. Some words were left out by LRB in drafting the bill. This...better structures the language and more logically and clarifies the intent of the bill, and I would ask

for its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Marovitz has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2350. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 2364, Senator Etheredge. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is...top of page 46, is House Bill 2364. Senator Etheredge seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 2364, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Mr. President and Ladies and...

PRESIDENT:

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

...and Gentlemen of the Senate, this amendment simply delays the effective date from July 1, '87 to July 1, '88. I move for its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Etheredge has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2364. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Philip, 2532. 2716, Senator

Maitland. Senator Maitland on the Floor? 2727, Senator Kustra. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading...bottom of page 51, is House Bill 2727. Senator Kustra seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 2727, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Kustra.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Kustra.

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This amendment is really nothing more than Senate Bill 1064 which Senator Geo-Karis sponsored here in the Senate a few weeks ago and we sent out of here on an overwhelming vote. It was tripped up over in the House and I am trying to put it back on. What this does...this bill would impose the same minimum requirement on summer school courses that now exist for regular courses throughout the school year. There is a...a minimum required in the law. Some schools choose to exceed that minimum during the school year and we passed a bill a year ago that then said that the summer school course had to be as long as the regular term course. Well, what that does is put the summer school program at a disadvantage. All this says is that whatever the minimum requirement is for the number of hours in the classroom during the year, that's what the minimum requirement ought to be for summer school as well, and I would urge adoption of Amendment No. 1 to...

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Kustra has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2727. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 2756, Senator Donahue. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, the middle of page 52, is House Bill 2758. Senator Donahue seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 2756, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Donahue.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Donahue.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is another one of those bills that got hung up in the Senate and we're trying to...in the House, sorry, we...both Houses passed it. What it does is it provides the taxes collected and distributed before June 30th for...from the previous December levy will not be considered in determining the June 30th fund balances. This is for...places all school districts in the same posture. In the past, some of them have received payments before the 30th and some after. I...I don't think this is controversial. I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Donahue has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2756. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 2812, Senator Davidson. Bottom of page 53. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 2812.

Senator Davidson seeks leave of the body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 2812, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Davidson.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Davidson on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, Amendment No. 2 is a worked out and agreed amendment between the lottery...Department of Lottery, the Governor's Office, people in the House in relation to periodic review. This will have periodic review of the advertising and also it will require the agents to...placards...the odds of winning in each game. Move the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Davidson has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2812. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Weaver.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Weaver on Amendment No. 3. Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment would dedicate the revenues from the multistate lottery to the state supported pension funds. It's just a small effort to try to fund all of our obligations to all the five state supported pension funds, and I'd move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Weaver has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 2812. Discussion? Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Hello. Senator Weaver, isn't this a departure from the practice that we have now of all of the lottery money going into the Common School Fund? With the establishment of the multistate lottery, these proceeds will now be a dedicated source of revenue to...for the pension system? I mean,...we spend so much time out in the districts explaining why the lottery money...where it goes and we finally got into a position where we have indicated that the lottery money goes into the Common School Fund. It just seems to me that we're going to get right back into the same old question again as to why the proceeds from the multistate lottery are going into the Pension Fund rather than the Common School Fund.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Well, Senator Demuzio, this is a new source of revenue that we haven't distributed to any other source. I think it's only reasonable that we make a...a legitimate attempt to adequately fund the pension systems that we're responsible for here in the state. We don't know what amount of money will be derived from the multistate lottery, but I...I think it's a step in the right direction and we ought to start looking for new funds to put into our pension systems before they get any worse than they are.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Hulmberg.

SENATOR HULMBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in strong opposition to this amendment. I think we have all faced our constituents at home, made them a promise that the money as originally intended from the lottery would go into education. I think to go back home and tell them that you're suddenly putting it into our pension system would really get you in trouble over

the summer. I...I think we should soundly defeat this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

That...that's very interesting. I recall a meeting in Rockford with the retired teachers where we all rang our hands about the plight of the pension funds. I think all of us of both parties promised to do something about it. For a number of years we've all sat here on both sides of the aisle and...and in my case with two Governors and issued interesting news releases about pension funds. I realize that this bill in itself does not solve the pension problems we have in this state, but year after year, we have allowed the pension funds to be funded way below payout and they have not...we have made no progress towards full funding, not because the teachers of this state...and let's face it, the teachers' pension funds will be the prime recipients of these dollars. The retired teachers have...have contributed their money as they were supposed to, it has been the state and us, through several General Assemblies that I've...with, at least, that have failed in our obligations. I have made no commitments to where the multistate lottery funds will go. I've certainly supported the lottery...the state lottery funds going into education, I totally support that, but I think the time has come to keep some faith with the retired teachers of this state and the other retired people in this state. The money will be prorated. A trivial amount goes into our fund. The vast majority of it will go into the Downstate and Chicago Teachers' Fund. We've got to do something. We pass it off every year. This is only part of what we need to do, but it's something, it's some faith for those people who spent their whole lives serving the people of this state and so far all we've done is thumb our nose at them as

far as it comes to funding their pension systems. Let's do something concrete.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Thank you, Mr. President. While I agree with what the Senator from Rockford said in terms of, gee, we've committed money here and there. When you look back twenty years from now, when only a few of us are still here, they're going to say if there's one thing that happened in this state, you guys demolished the pension funds and you've put us into bonded indebtedness that will have us in bondage for the rest of our lives. Sometime we have got to quit worrying about the political realities of today and face up to the fact that twenty years from now some of these people...are going to retire and, you know, they're going to be alive and they're going to...they're going to ask what happened to their pension funds. You know, many of us, both sides, Republican and Democrat, this is not a partisan issue, have voted not to demolish these pension funds, but we were not a majority. Somewhere we have to have faith with people who are now forty-five years old, forty, fifty and are going to retire and there's going to be no pension fund, and the scandal may not be tomorrow but the scandal in ten to twenty years of these pension funds will dwarf any minor problems we are facing today. This has to be done to keep faith with the people who we said we would supply a retirement for.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I guess I'm a little confused. It appears that yesterday our big discussion was we wanted to cut everything and put all the money into education. Well, here today, we have a chance to keep the money into education

and now you want to take it out. I think we need some consistency. We either believe in education or we don't believe in education. Your idea is very noble, as I see it, to...to fund the pension funds properly and we should work for that, but I don't think this is the answer and I urge defeat of this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. I, too, have concern over the pension funds but what we're doing with this amendment is absolutely nothing. And let me give you an example of why we're doing nothing. Let's assume that we appropriate two hundred million dollars to the pension funds today. Now, if we mandate that this money be put in for pension funds, and let's assume that money is sixty million dollars, that does not mean we're going to have two hundred and sixty million dollars appropriated to the pension funds. What we will simply do is subtract the sixty million dollars from the general revenue funds that we're appropriating and we'll appropriate a hundred and forty. There is absolutely no guarantee at all that this amendment will put more money into the pension funds because we have to appropriate it every year and it simply will be subtracted for the most part from that appropriation that we do every year.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Just several comments. I rise in strong opposition to this amendment. The single biggest question I get back in the district is where do the proceeds from the state lottery money go? And I commend Senator Holmberg and I joined her several years ago when we passed the legislation to put that money into...education,

but several of you have commented about the depletion of the pension funds. Several years ago, Senator Keats, we literally stole eighty-five million dollars out of that pension system. It's not because we have not appropriated the right money, we've been taking money out. This is the wrong place to put money back in. If you're committed to pension funds, certainly there's other appropriations, not the proceeds of the state lottery and I would urge your opposition to this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Briefly, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. We already have allocated funds...are allocating funds to education through the Lottery Fund, but we have a responsibility to those pensioners too and we cannot go into other appropriations 'cause everyone knows here with...that the budget is very tight and the...expectancy of an income tax rise...or raise it very problematic. I think we better remember the pensioners 'cause I'm getting loads of letters from teachers who are concerned about their pensions and I think this is a good idea and I rise in favor of this...

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Schuneman.

END OF REEL

REEL 02

SENATOR SCHUMERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. The arguments that Senator Luft made were the same arguments that were made against my bill which finally put the state lottery proceeds into the Common School Fund, and there's some...there's some validity to the argument, of course, that this does not by itself appropriate money and we all understand that. I think the importance...there are two points I want to make. Number one, this is new lottery money. This is not the original lottery money that people complained was not going to the school system. This is new lottery money and what we would do by adopting this amendment is set some priorities for ourselves and we would, in fact, be saying, we're not going to spend this money for a lot of other programs, we're going to try to keep this money for the pension systems because we think those systems are underfunded. I think it's a good idea, I'm going to support this idea and understand that I'm a strong supporter also of that original idea which was to put...the lottery money into the school fund.

PRESIDENT:

The question is the adoption of Amendment No. 3. Further discussion? Senator Weaver may close.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. I might point out to the membership that this is not a new idea. Back in 1973, when we first started getting Federal revenue sharing, I personally talked to Governor Walker. We had about a hundred and twelve million dollars of new money coming to the State of Illinois that we had not obligated. At that time, we tried to convince Governor Walker to put that hundred and twelve

million dollars into the pension fundus. Even at that time our accrued liabilities weren't what they are today. I think we've got to dedicate new monies to keep from running into real problems down the road and this is just a small step. I don't know what's...we might gain from the multistate lottery, maybe a million, maybe twenty million, who knows? It's just a guesstimate right now, but I think this Senate should make an effort to keep our commitment to the retired employees that we have responsibility for and that's what this amendment is directed at, and I would hope that everyone would support it.

PRESIDENT:

The question is the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 2812. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 31 Ayes, 25 Nays, none voting Present. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments, Madam Secretary?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Davidson.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Mr. President, I wish to withdraw Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDENT:

Further amendments, Madam Secretary?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 2834, Senator DeAngelis...top of page 54, on the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 2834. Senator DeAngelis seeks leave of the body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purpose of Tabling an

amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House bills, 2834...on the Order of House bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 2834. Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Having voted on the prevailing side, I wish to reconsider the vote by which Senate Amendment No. 3 was adopted for the purposes of Tabling it.

PRESIDENT:

No. 3, Madam Secretary. Having voted on the prevailing side, Senator DeAngelis has moved to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 2834 was adopted. All in favor of the motion to reconsider indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The vote is now reconsidered. Senator...I mean, Senator DeAngelis now moves to Table Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 2834. All in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is Tabled. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. All right, ladies and gentlemen, before we...with leave of the body, we took House bill 552 out of the record. Senator Donahue has sought leave of the body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd, it is still on 2nd and we were discussing an amendment offered by Senator Schaffer. Madam Secretary, if we can go back to that order of business. Senator Zito tells...has informed the Chair that he and Senator Schaffer have reached an accommodation. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, then, is House Bill 552, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Schaffer.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

I think I defer to Senator Zito.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

Thank you, Mr. President. Yes, Senator Schaffer, I appreciate your courtesy. The amendment is in order and I would accept its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 552. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. All right, there will be another recall list for Monday, ladies and gentlemen. The Clerk informs me that a number of additional amendments have been filed. If we're going to try to repair to our district offices as early as we would wish, we better get going here. So, with leave of the Body, we'll move to the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading. Senator Demuzio.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. With leave of the Body, we'll go to House bills 2nd reading, ...page 56...page 56 in your Calendar. House bills 2nd reading, House Bill 16. Madam Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 16.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Rock offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 is a technical amendment. I've discussed it with Senator Philip and I...now with Senator Karpel. It was sent over by the House sponsor to correct a drafting error, it was from LRB and I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Rock moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 16. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

3rd reading. 42, Senator Rock...109, Senator Savickas. House bills...Senator Carroll, we ready to proceed...109? Senator Carroll, 109, page...page 56. All right. All right. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 109, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 109.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

3rd reading. 262, Senator Dagnan. 274, Senator Berman. 308, Senator Carroll. 416, Senator Dudycz. House bills 2nd

reading is House Bill 416, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 416.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the floor?

SECRETARY:

No floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 451, Senator Carroll. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 451, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 451.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the reappropriation and this would reduce the amount to be appropriated in reappropriations to the most recent known balance which is the May 29th balance. As we've indicated before, the reason for the reduction is that anything that has been spent already is then taken out of the reappropriation of monies. It does not impact, taking out any projects. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 451. Discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is

HB 482
2nd Reading

adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

No Floor amendments, 3rd reading. 402, Senator Rock. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 4-8-2, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 482.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

3rd reading. 403, Senator Berman. Is there leave to have Senator Carroll handle that bill...all right. Leave is granted. House bills 2nd reading...all right, Senator Berman has returned to the Floor. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 4-8-3, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 483.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

3rd reading. 404, Senator Maitland. Senator Maitland,

464? Senator Waitland. On House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 484, Madam Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 513...skip that one. 549, Senator Marovitz, top of page 57. 606, Senator Davidson. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 606...Madam Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 606.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

...2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. In cooperation with the Secretary of State, we have adjusted his amendment...his operations downward by some six hundred thousand in general revenue and a million seven in the other subaccounts in an effort to bring in a balanced budget. I would go through the detail were there any questions and would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No...Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 606. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is

adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Amendments from the floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. As indicated in committee, the understanding with the Secretary was that those...some of those monies saved by the operations cut that he had agreed to under these economic conditions was to be added back to the per capita library grants. The amendment we adopted in committee was technically defective in that way. This would accommodate the understandings reached with the Secretary to put these monies back into the library per capita grants and I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 606. Discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

3rd reading. 699, Senator Hall. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 6-9-9, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 699.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers...Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

(Machine cutoff)...you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment just reduces this to one dollar, ask for the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Hall has...Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to...House Bill 699. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Any amendments from the floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

3rd reading. 705, Senator Jerome Joyce. House Bill...710, Senator Hall. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House bill 710, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 710.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

3rd reading. 756, Senator Harovitz. 756, Senator

Topinka. Senator Topinka. All right. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 7-5-6, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House bill 756.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senator Topinka, at this point, we...we find Committee Amendment No. 1 technically defective. So having voted on the prevailing side, I would move to reconsider the vote by which that amendment was adopted in committee for purposes of Tabling the amendment on the Floor. We would ask her to be prepared to bring the bill back next week for corrective amendment. Technically, because there's a committee adopted amendment, I believe we have to have leave of the body. You can't withdraw it, it has to be Tabled.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Correct. Senator Carroll has moved to Table Committee Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion to House Bill 756? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senators Rock and Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

We would withdraw that at this point and then we'll straighten it all out at one time.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Carroll seeks leave to withdraw Amendment No. 2. Further amendments? Leave is granted.

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 757. Senator Donahue seeks leave...all right. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 7-5-7, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 757.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 758, Senator Etheredge. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 7-5-8, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 758.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator etheredge offers one committee amendment...one Floor amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREIDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. What this amendment does is to appropriate thirty thousand dollars for...in trust fund monies for the second year of a microfiching project that is now underway. I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEAUZIO)

Senator Ethereidge has moved adoption of Amendment No. 1 to 758...House Bill 758. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEAUZIO)

3rd reading. 759, Senator Watson. House bills 2nd reading is House bill 759, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 759.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEAUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would impose the guidelines to the Abandoned Mines Lands Reclamation Council as discussed previously to bring them down to the '67 level but, yet, restoring certain contractual services that have been eliminated by the House that we felt the elimination was in error. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEAUZIO)

Discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 759. Those in favor

indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

3rd reading. 760, Senator Etheredge. Senator Etheredge, 760? House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 7-6-0, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 760.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would consolidate the five retirement systems into the one piece of legislation. In order to allow the others to remain with us for future use, I would move adoption of Committee Amendment...Floor Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 760. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

3rd reading. 761, Senator Etheredge. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 7-5-1, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 761.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

3rd reading. 762, Senator Etheredge. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 7-6-2, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 762.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 763, Senator Etheredge. House...House bills 2nd reading is House bill 7-6-3, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House bill 763.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

3rd reading. 764, top of page 58 is 764. House bills
2nd reading is House Bill 7-5-4, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 764.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

3rd reading. 765, Senator Raica. House bills 2nd
reading is House Bill 7-6-5, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 765. ✓

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 766. On the Order of House
Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 766, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 766.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would apply the guidelines to the...the commissioner of banks and trusts. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

All right. Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 700. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President...Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a language change only to allow for the payment of the Board of Trustees of the Illinois Bank Examiners Education Foundation. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee No. 2. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

3rd reading. 708, Senator Dudycz. House bills 2nd

reading is House Bill 763, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 753.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would apply the guidelines to the Criminal Justice Information Authority. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 763. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

...no further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 769, Senator Fawell. All right. On the Order of...Senator...you want to move that, Senator Fawell? House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 769, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 769.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 770, Senator Weaver. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 7-7-0, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 770.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Consistent with what the Senate has done, we have again followed the...late Doc Snapiro Statute on what can be used from road funds. This would switch that back to general revenue funds and then apply the guidelines in the other areas of the operations of state police. This is consistent with what we also did in the supplemental. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Yes, sir, Mr. President, I'd like to ask the sponsor a question, if I might.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Our analysis proclaims that we're adding some two hundred and sixty-one thousand dollars to the budget for East St. Louis patrol, you didn't mention that. Is that not in this

amendment?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

I apologize, yes, it is in this amendment. It is, in fact, an addition to continue the funding for the special patrol of state police in East St. Louis, that is correct. That is part of the entire amendment and I apologize for not having mentioned it. I know that you had been aware, however, because you have followed...you...you have filed a Floor amendment later to deal with that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

That's quite correct, we'll deal with that in a minute. Was this in the original budget? Send them all up...was this in the original budget, Senator?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Not to my knowledge at...at this level; however, the director indicated the strong and fervent need for the continuation of this service in this one unique part of the state and what an impact the presence of those officers has...has had on interstate and intrastate travel throughout that area and strongly felt that this was an appropriate usage of man power and then testified very strongly in favor of this funding.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

A question that you always ask...generally ask our people, where's the...a Doctor Job letter, do you happen to have one of those?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

I think Director Margolis has a newsy on Doctor Bob right now, but I do know that, in fact,...I don't know that that was ever requested. I do know, in fact, that they have approved this funding by signing it into law last year. This was within one of the supplementals to pay for that division, so I assume Doctor Bob had approved of the allocation of funds during the Fiscal '87 year because that was a specific amount requested as we went through the supplemental process. I do not have in my possession a Doctor Bob on this one and...and I would leave that up to Director Margolis to get.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator...Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Well, I just wanted to say that this...this patrol is also patrolling the district and area that...that the Senator represents as well as I do, Senator Watson. It's in the entire metro-east area and the...it's very needed in that area, similar to what we did up in the Chicago area. So I would...I would certainly resist this Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

...further discussion? Senator...

SENATOR HALL:

...but you're on No. 1 rather...you're on No. 1 right now, I meant to say No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Thomas Dunn.

SENATOR THOMAS DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Personal privilege point, if I may.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

State your point.

SENATOR THOMAS DUNN:

Up in the gallery is my cousin from Lemick, Ireland, Marie Quilligan. I ask you to say hello to her behind you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Will our guests in the gallery please rise.

SENATOR THOMAS DUNN:

Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption...Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Well, I just wanted to say, for the time being I think they need to patrol while the Cardinals are in first place but when they fall out and the Cubs go in first place, they won't need to patrol anymore.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right. Senator Carroll has moved adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 770. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Watson offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Yes, sir, thank you. We're going to now try to delete the two hundred and sixty-one thousand one hundred dollars that is now in the budget as a result of adopting Amendment No. 1 for East St. Louis patrol. I'm not going to respond to Senator Kelly, but I will respond to some of the comments

that were made in regard to...to why we should be in East St. Louis providing this type of a service. We have some sixteen state policemen now providing coverage in...in East St. Louis and a situation occurred and I think it ought to be brought up to the body, several weeks ago in which on a weekend night, the...the mayor of East St. Louis was in East St. Louis and was in City Hall and he had some six bodyguards with him and it...and six bodyguards with the mayor and eight East St. Louis patrolmen on the street. Now, I just think personally that's a total irresponsible act when we're asking the taxpayers of Illinois to come in and subsidize the city budget of East St. Louis and provide a service in which I know is vitally important to the people of that area and I...it's a high crime area and they need it, but the...for the mayor to have six bodyguards with him at a time in which they ought to be out on the street, I think is a total irresponsible act. I think we ought to take and send a message to the mayor of East St. Louis that we're not going to put up with it, and I think that we ought to take out of the budget this two hundred and sixty-one thousand dollars, and I'd move for the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I certainly resist this. I cannot justify if the mayor is going around with six bodyguards, but there are thousands and thousands of people within this area. It just says East St. Louis but the interstates and...comes through there and all the surrounding areas, part of Senator Watson's...they're...they're patrolling his area as well as they're patrolling in the others. This is vitally needed. People now are...crime is down, gangs are down and it has done a great service. After all, it's part of Illinois and

the state police operate in the State of Illinois. This is vitally needed and I certainly would resist this amendment. I mean...that he has right now.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Dudycz.

SENATOR DUDYCYZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. I stand in support of this amendment. What we're doing is continuing a dangerous precedent...a serious precedent which is providing police protection...state police protection to a municipality. Those of you who will recall...those especially of you who voted against my bill to provide for county sheriff's police to supplement the Chicago police when the neighborhoods were unprotected, I'd just like for you to...to remind you that you're allowing the...the state police to patrol East St. Louis and voted against Chicago on the other hand. And I think that we should just, like Senator Watson said, send a message to the mayor of East St. Louis who apparently is disregarding his citizenry by providing more security for himself than for his constituents and support this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Thank you, Mr. President. It seems to be just a little bit hypocritical for us on the one hand to talk about county police policing the City of Chicago and...raise some objections for the state police to provide a service as much needed to the City of East St. Louis. If I recall correctly, East St. Louis is split by a highway and if the contiguous areas to that highway are placed within the jurisdiction of the state police, I certainly see nothing wrong. The problem that we have here is we've set and watched this municipality to practically go down the drain. The unemployment is out of sight, what employment is left there is extra legal. It

unfortunate but that's a fact of life and it seems to me that if any area cries out for state intervention, this is it. I would certainly follow Senator Hall's lead and oppose this amendment. I think it ought to go down in flames.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Brookins.

SENATOR BROOKINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in opposition to this amendment. I know the area of East St. Louis and the crossing of highways through that area. I know also from committee that the...director of the state police said this was a useful tool in that it enabled training for state policemen so that they can go into other area and apply this tool that they have learned in East St. Louis. This...this amendment should be defeated.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Hall for a second time.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This all came about because we had people from other places coming in on the interstate and they would drive behind people, bump their cars, the people who were driving in the cars would stop the cars and naturally see why they were run into and these were gangs and other people, as soon as they stepped out to see what damage is done to the car, they were facing a gun in their face; these were people just traveling through. If...so this not only protects this area, it protects the entire metro-east area. We have a network of highways that go in there and if you remember that we...the state police now are patrol in...in Chicago and other places to allow more police to be here. As I said to Senator Watson, that if...if the mayor is going around with six bodyguards, well, I cannot justify that but I certainly don't

want to endanger the three hundred thousand people in that metro-east area. Many of you do not know, but the second largest concentration of people outside of the County of Cook is in the metro-east area. The metro-east area...covers...Madison and St. Clair County and it overlaps it, it goes into people...we get all kind through this inter-state. This...people...these people are definitely needed in that area for the protection of not only this...people in that area but any citizens traveling through that. So I would urge a certain defeat for this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? If not, Senator Watson may close.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I can't help but respond to the one who said that this was a great training. I want to tell you, that's a war zone down there, we ought to be sending in National Guard troops instead of having our state troopers...in their training. And...through the remark that...where we've been sitting around watching East St. Louis go down the drain, I...I take exception to that. I think the only thing we've been watching go down the drain is the taxpayers' money in East St. Louis. We've poured more money into that community than probably any other community in the State of Illinois except the great city in the northeastern portion of the state, and I don't know that we've got a real good benefit as a result of the money we've poured in there. I think we're establishing a terrible precedent and I can't...I wouldn't blame if someone from Peoria, Champaign, Decatur would come to the General Assembly and ask for the same sort of a consideration that we're giving to the City of East St. Louis and ask for patrolmen...state police to patrol their...their particular city. What's happening as a result of this is we're taking people

out of the rural areas, we're taking...this is a...it was a temporary situation and we're taking state policemen out of the rural areas away from the...the...the highways and...other high crime areas and putting them into East St. Louis, and I think this is a...again another...a precedent that should...should be considered in voting in regard to this. It's going to be an interesting roll call and I'm going to...I'm asking for a roll call, might as well do that at this particular point, and I'm...we're going...it's going to be interesting to see how people vote on the other side of the aisle in regard to this issue and see if we want to continue the precedent of throwing more and more money down the East St. Louis rat hole and I appreciate very much support of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right. Senator...Senator Watson has moved adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 770. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 29, the Nays are 23, none voting Present. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Senator Hall, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR HALL:

Well, I just want to see if everybody is there, so I'd ask for a verification of the affirmative vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right. Senator Hall has requested a verification of the affirmative roll call. Will all members be in their seats. The Secretary will read the affirmative votes.

SECRETARY:

Barkhausen, Davidson, DeAngelis, Donahue, Duaycz, Ralph Dunn, Etheredge, Fawell, Friedland, Geo-Karis, Hawkinson, Hudson, Karpiel, Keats, Kustra, Lachowicz, Macdonald,

Madigan, Manar, Haitland, Philip, Raica, Rigney, Schaffer, Schuneman, Topinka, Watson, Weaver and Woodyard.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall, do you question the presence of any member who voted in the affirmative? Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Senator Topinka.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Is Senator Topinka on the Floor? Senator Topinka on the Floor? Strike her name.

SENATOR HALL:

I'll settle with that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. On a verified roll call, there are...Senator Watson, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR WATSON:

I'd like to verify the red lights.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. All right. Senator Watson has requested the verification of the persons who voted in the negative. Senator Hall, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR HALL:

Well, that...since he's going to do that, then I just may as well take everybody off...Senator Raica and let's see if anybody is here.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, Senator Raica is in his seat. We are no longer on that order of business. The Secretary will read those who voted in the...

SENATOR HALL:

...Ralph Dunn.

SENATOR WATSON:

...he's too late.

SENATOR HALL:

Go ahead...go ahead, go ahead.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator...okay, Madam secretary, read those who voted in the negative.

SECRETARY:

Alexander, German, Brookins, Carroli, Collins, D'Arco, del Valle, Demuzio, Thomas Dunn, Hall, Hulmberg, Jacobs, Jones, Jerome Joyce, Kelly, Luft, Harovitz, Netsch, Newhouse, O'Daniel, Poshard, Savickas, Severns, Smith, Vadalabene, Welch, Zito and Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Watson, do you question the presence of any member who voted in the negative? Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Senator Savickas...I see him right there...okay.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

He's right here.

SENATOR WATSON:

Senator Newhouse.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Newhouse is in his seat.

SENATOR WATSON:

Senator Collins, I see Senator Collins.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins is here.

SENATOR WATSON:

Yeah, I see you quite a bit, don't I?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right.

SENATOR WATSON:

How about Senator Luft?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Luft is in his seat. Everybody is here.

SENATOR WATSON:

Yes, O'Daniel is in his seat, I see him. Okay, thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right. On a verified roll call, there are 23 voting Yes, 23 voting No, none voting Present. Amendment No. 2 fails. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREEDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment 3, there is no dollar change. What the amendment would do would be to return to the Contractual Services line money which has been taken out and established in a separate line item for Special Assistant Attorney's General. I would just point out that this same procedure has been followed in the budgets of a number of these departments. The net effect is to establish a new budgetary line item in each one of these departmental budgets that would be...that would earmark those dollars that would plan to be spent for legal services when those departments need to be represented in court by the...by the Attorney General...General's Office. I want to call this to everyone's attention that this is a...something that we've not...this is earmarking that we've not done in the past and it would seem to...to me to give greater flexibility to the departments if we leave those dollars in the Contractual Services line item. I'd be happy to respond to any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Etheredge has moved adoption of Amendment...Amendment No. 3. Discussion? Senator Carroll. Can we break up the...

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. We rise in opposition to this and the like amendments that will follow in other agencies. The issue is...is clear and simple and, in fact, we probably should have...gone further than we did. This was a suggestion initially by the House. The Attorney General has testified in our committees and in the House and elsewhere, that as the lawyer of the state, in fact, all lawyers should be in the Office of the Attorney General. There are some eight hundred lawyers and auxiliary positions in the thirty-two agencies of State Government that do not report to the lawyer of the state, the Attorney General. The Governor has consistently added more what they call technical advisors, which are lawyers, to the various departments and that under the Constitution is truly a function of the Attorney General. It was our opinion to the Attorney General than rather than trying to take out all of the technical advisors, some thirty, forty million dollars being spent in this state on lawyers in...buried in state agencies, rather than try and do that and put it in to the Attorney General, we would, in fact, just say that in those contracted out lawyers that must by law be special Attorneys General, let us, in fact, identify them. We are not taking any dollars to do this, but we did amend each of these agencies to say in the area of professional artistic contracts, that portion that the agency says is for special Attorneys General that that should be so designated so that we can trace and follow and know how much is being spent. This amendment would attempt to still hide...hide the amount of money that agencies are spending on attorneys and maybe even get around them being special assistants. There's never been a problem in the designation to our knowledge and no director has ever said there was a problem. We do not believe you want to hide how much you're spending for attorneys that should be in the open. This amendment, if adopted, would

hide it. He would oppose that amendment to have light and sunshine on the area of what attorneys are being paid and I know Senator Keats particularly would want to know how much is being spent for attorneys, this would hide that. So I think a correct vote would be a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Etheredge may close.
SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

I would move for the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Etheredge has moved adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 770. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. In the opinion of the Chair, the Nays have it. Further amendments? (Machine cut-off)...amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 771, Senator Hawkinson. 772, Senator Davidson. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 7-7-2, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 772.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 773, Senator Watson. 7-7-3, Senator Watson. All right. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 7-7-3, Madam...Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 773.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 would phase in certain vacancies cutting some of the new and expansion activities that have been requested by the lottery but also restores some of the funds that the House had cut for promotion of the new games, particularly the multistate and allows them various advertising money...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

...Senator Carroll, we're on 773.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Oh, I'm sorry, I thought he went to 774, I apologize. He had skipped 773 originally, I'm sorry. On 773, this is the imposition of the guidelines phasing the vacancies, reducing the Travel and reducing the Transportation Division to the FY '88 level. Let me explain, there's still a discrepancy in how to fund the Transportation Division and it's my understanding that the agency is still trying to work out detail on that. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Carroll has moved adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 773. Is there further discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEWUZIO)

3rd reading. 774, Senator Watson. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 774, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 774.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEWUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

I'll incorporate by reference my further...my prior comments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEWUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 774. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEWUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEWUZIO)

3rd reading. 775, Senator Etheredge. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 775, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 775.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. In order to bring this into line with the FY '87 spending levels, we've applied certain guidelines to the Department of Nuclear Safety and eliminated some of the new initiatives that did not seem to be crisis emergency related, reactor safety, emergency technician training, agreements, state, et cetera and I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Discussion? If not, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 775. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 2 would be to add funds in line with legislation pending in the General Assembly that would...associated with the Ottawa contamination property, the Nuclear Safety Preparedness Act and to carry over the unexpected balance as well as paying the refunds to the various generators. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves adoption of

Committee Amendment No. 2 to House...House Bill 775. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 776, Senator Donahue. Senator Donahue, 7-7-6? House bills 2nd reading, House Bill 7-7-6.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 776.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. In the area of veterans' affairs, and there still may be some problems, Committee Amendment No. 1 was to break out the General Revenue Fund into divisions. What had happened in the House is that all of the cuts recommended by the agency had been to eliminate the various veterans' affairs field offices and to do no reductions or...or minimal within the central office. This amendment would reverse that, maintaining those veterans' affairs field offices, adding back 1.6 million to those offices that were to be closed by the Governor in his allocation or the department's allocation to the House. Additionally, we made the normal guideline cuts by phasing in what had been identified as long-term vacancies, bringing

commodities and contractual services down to the normal level of inflationary growth. Apparently, they had appropriated them at...at eight and a half percent growth rather than the normal CMS guidelines and to reduce some of the promotional lines to the FY '87 spending levels. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Discussion? Senator Joyce...Jerome Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I...I, too, don't want to...I...I want to see the regional offices remain open, but in doing that, we took the funds from the...from the hospitals. Now it seems to me that the regional offices are there to recommend people to go to the hospitals. Well, if we take the funds away from the hospitals, what good are the regional offices? So I...I have a problem with that. I...I think maybe some of the funds can be eliminated but in Manteno, for instance, that facility is just getting on its feet. There...there will be a three hundred bed facility there, there are two hundred beds now and they were not hiring the people until they needed them. And, it seems to me as...as the need for beds increases, there will also be the need for the...the direct patient care people. So I...I think that we're shooting ourselves in the foot with this amendment by taking it out of the VA hospital facilities and keeping the regional offices open. I want to keep them open too, but I...I...there's not much sense in keeping them open if we don't have the place to put the veterans when they are in need. So I would oppose this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Donahue.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Well, thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentleman of the Senate. I, too, rise with concern about this amendment.

As Senator Joyce described the problems at Manteno, we have also taken a cut at the Quincy Veterans' Home at about a hundred and some odd...a hundred and seventy thousand dollars, and it's coming out of...Contractual Commodities and Personal Services and I just register my concern on that and do oppose the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

I...I just have a question because I'm really concerned. I don't understand if we are funding the programs and the agencies at the same level, why is it that we are having to...to make any real cuts anyplace? And I didn't see the necessity of closing these centers in order to operate at the basic same appropriation from last year.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Senator Collins, the problem is the budget as introduced by the Governor to the House, this is a House bill, was as if there were going to be additional taxes so that the House brought it back to the FY '87 spending level. Now, in this case, it may have been a little bit above the FY '87 spending level. The...in doing so, however, the department had recommended to the House that they close all of the field offices in order to get down to the '87 spending level even though those field offices has been open in '87. So what this did was to say, no, you don't close the field offices, we will reallocate that and of the million six, the part in contention is a couple hundred thousand dollars that Senators Donahue and...and Senator Jerry Joyce had identified to us. It was our understanding that what we had done with Quincy and Manteno in each case was to take the long-term vacancies and phase them, not eliminate them but say that if they have

been already vacant for more than six months, they would not be filled July 1st, would probably not get filled till October 1st. In the area of commodities that were for normal nongrowth lines, we took forty-seven thousand dollars out where they had said they were expecting an eight and a half percent increase in the cost of certain equipment, when according to CMS, there would be no cost increase for that equipment. And in the PIC...in the case of contractual services at Manteno, it appears as if, from our analysis of the amendment, that there is a hundred and seventy-two thousand dollar reduction in outside contractual services which would be the over and above a hundred percent growth. That line went from nine hundred thousand to two million one hundred thousand; with this amendment, it would go from nine hundred thousand to a million nine hundred thousand. So going from two hundred beds to three hundred beds should not have even caused that kind of growth. But, again, we have indicated that of that million six necessary to keep the field offices, if these issues as now being raised we are in error, we would correct it later. I don't think you close the field offices if there's an issue over a forty-seven thousand dollar commodities line or an outside contract line growing from nine hundred thousand to a million nine.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I stand in strong support of this amendment. I understand Senator Joyce's and Senator Donahue's concerns about the beds, but we're not doing anything about the beds if we do away with the field services. I think that the field services must remain open to serve our veterans and I think that the alternative is to go straight to the Federal Government and I don't think that's the alternative we want, and I would urge all my colleagues to join us

in supporting this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 776. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Luft.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment deals with the Vietnam Veterans' Act. The House amendment cut the fund by two hundred and ten thousand five hundred dollars. This amendment restores that amount and maintains the level of funding as it was last year. The veterans served under this Act are a little over two thousand, so far there has been five hundred jobs developed in the private sector and it's just felt that there are still many Vietnam Veterans out there who need this assistance and, therefore, we're trying to maintain the same funding level that existed last year and I would move for the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Luft has moved adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 776. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senator Watson, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR WATSON:

A point of personal...privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

State your point.

SENATOR WATSON:

Okay. A few minutes ago, I...I made a remark that I regret and...well, now I see that my good friend Senator Hall is not here, but I do want...regret and apologize to the body and especially to Senator Hall for my remark about East St. Louis being a rat hole, that was...that was totally uncalled for and sometimes I get emotional, as you all know, and say things maybe that we later regret and this is one of those situations. So I apologize, Senator Hall, to my other colleagues here in the Senate and anyone who may have been in earshot of that remark. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 777, Senator Weaver. House bill 7-7-7, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 777.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This committee amendment would apply the guidelines to E & R, switching the mines and minerals portion as we did with the other four agencies, cutting the rural waste add-on that was added to the House and adding fifty thousand dollars

for the study of wheeling electricity under Sb 774. I'd move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 777. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREGE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. What Amendment No. 2 does is to eliminate five hundred thousand dollars which is a House add-on. This money would have been used for grants to local government to initiate a household waste collection and disposal program. It's a new initiative, it cost half a million dollars and it seems to me that this is a...not a good year to...to embark on new programs. This five hundred thousand dollars also puts this agency's budget beyond the Fiscal '87 level. So I would ask for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Discussion? Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This particular appropriation is one that is very important to the State of Illinois. The Department of E & R has worked very, very carefully with the sponsors. Illinois is late coming into a program like this. Right now, anyone

who has household hazardous waste, and, you know, this includes places like small businesses, hospitals and so forth, does not have any means of disposing them other than dumping them into our landfills. It is said that all of the hazardous waste entering those landfills comes from these sources. We need to begin, the department is ready to help householders recognize what they have and to dispose of them carefully. This will save us multimillions of dollars in the future because we are running out of sites for landfills and we have to be sure that what goes into them is...is absolutely pure. This...they are ready to give grants to local government which they will, by the way, match, this is one of the ways that we have so often talked about, the best way to give state grants so that local governments may move; in fact, as I understand it, Champaign is ready as are several of the suburbs in Chicago to move on the program once the...this grant program is established this year.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. I, too, would rise in opposition. The idea behind this bill is to finally take out toxic waste from landfills where it doesn't belong. The reason it's allowed into landfills is that people do not dispose of it properly. When you have paint left over that you're trying to dispose of, people set it out with their garbage, that goes to a landfill, it may be toxic and later on when we start trying to go through the landfills trying to recycle the goods that are in there, you may end up, number one, with a very difficult situation of trying to recycle those products when it becomes too late. Secondly, the products get to the landfills which are not developed with the same kind of a system for a leach aid system or lining system that toxic dumps are and, therefore, you have leaking into the ground

water. So the idea behind this bill and this appropriation is to finally do something to break out the toxic aspects of regular household garbage. But I think...one other point should be made and perhaps this appropriation is the point to make it on and that is this, that last year Senator Schaffer passed a bill on solid waste disposal and the idea was to try to do something about disposing of solid waste but nothing has been done because the money that was going to be collected has been tied up in a lawsuit. We can't do anything about finally cleaning up many landfills, separating out products that don't belong there and this may be an attempt to get something going while that lawsuit drags on and on. We've seen the telecommunications tax drag on, now we've got this tax on disposal of waste dragging on and it may go on for two more years. So it's about time we did something instead of passing legislation that the industry who apparently agreed to go along later changed their mind and then end up taking us to court. So what we have is a situation where we are not doing what we thought we were going to do. This particular bill will do something to start separating out toxic waste and I think that the amendment deleting that money should be defeated.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President. You know, in all deference to my colleague on the other side, today we just honored the...the...the sponsor of this amendment, you know, we pointed out all of his academic qualities. Again, may I remind my colleagues on both sides of the aisle, probably the only guy that really knows what in the world he's talking about on this kind of thing is Doctor Etheredge. I mean, why don't we follow his lead and...and...and...and...do what he tells us to.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Ladies and gentlemen, I...I'd just like to point out that we've got an awful long way to go today and we have been bogged down. Further discussion? If not, Senator Etheredge may close. I beg your pardon, Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

I apologize, Mr. President, you must not have seen the light on. Just one comment only because of Senator Fawell's comment. I do believe that many of us do accept the fact that in the area of household created waste, Senator Etheredge is a true expert and probably would be considered the expert at least in the Senate of household waste, but I'm not sure that he's right in saying we shouldn't get rid of it. So I would urge defeat of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

If...if I may speak for a second time, I know my colleagues on the other side of the aisle are always very willing to spend this amount of money on disposing of hazardous people, well, hazardous wastes are also killers and this is one of the way that we can protect society for our children.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right...is there further discussion? If not, Senator Etheredge may now close.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Ladies and gentlemen, it's not a question as to whether this is a good program or not. I think that all of us can agree that the program is desirable and at some point in time we should...we should do it. I am reliably informed by the department that if we were to set this program in place and implement it now that we would automatically jeopardize all of their solid waste programs that they now have underway. I

think for that reason and for that reason alone, it...we should approve this amendment and...and delete this half a million dollars which is not there...not available this year. I urge the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Etheredge moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 777. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. Senator Etheredge has saved the Chair from a decision. Senator Etheredge has requested a roll call. Senator Etheredge moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 777. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 27, the Nays are 29, none voting Present. Amendment No. 2 fails. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this amendment would eliminate fifty thousand dollars of General Revenue Fund money for a study on wheeling electricity. I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Etheredge has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3. Discussion? Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd rise in opposition. I understand Senator Etheredge does not have a degree in this area, this doesn't refer to geology, fortunately. What we were trying to do and are trying to do is to have a study of the effects of wheeling electricity throughout the State of

Illinois to reduce the cost to consumers. Fifty thousand dollars to determine whether we should in the future pass legislation to allow all utility companies to compete in the open market is a small price to pay and that will be paid back again and again to the consumers of electricity should we do the study and it reveals what I think will happen and that is that competition will bring down rates. So I think that fifty thousand dollars is a small amount to pay to determine whether or not we can reduce utility bills here in the State of Illinois, and I would move...I would ask for opposition to this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Etheredge may close.
SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

I think that the idea of a study for wheeling electricity is...is very desirable; however, I think that this amendment might more appropriately be in the budget of the Illinois Commerce Commission in order that we could have the utilities pay for this study. So I would ask for a favorable roll call on the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Etheredge has requested a roll call. The question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 777. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 26, the Nays are 23, none voting Present. Amendment No. 3 fails. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

I would ask that that amendment be withdrawn, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

All right. Senator Etheredge requests Amendment No. 4 be withdrawn. Leave is granted, 4 is withdrawn. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

3rd reading. 776, Senator Mahar. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 7-7-D, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 778.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 would transfer the state use program to general revenue, phase in the vacancies and eliminate some of the new initiatives that the department had asked for that we do not have the general revenue so to do and other account monies so to do. I...the issue of the separate fundings through the revolving fund is not discussed in Amendment 1, there will be a Floor amendment offered later to correct that...in my opinion, was a defect in the way the House allocated, but Committee Amendment...No. 1 is basically the guidelines in transferring the state use program to GR. I'd move adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 778. Those in

favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Amendments from the floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the floor amendment that Senator Carroll just referred to. The essence of the amendment is that it reverses the...the action taken by the House to shift funding from GRF to revolving funds, and I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

...Senator Etheredge has moved adoption of...Amendment No. 2 to House bill 778. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 3 would return the funding for special assistant Attorneys General back to the Contractual Services line item. I just...I'm going to withdraw the amendment, but I just wanted to point out to the membership that this is another one of the departments, Central Management Services, where this change had been taken

place. I request that the amendment be withdrawn.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

All right. Senator Etheredge requests Amendment No. 3 be...be withdrawn. The amendment is withdrawn. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Etheredge...offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

We would have welcomed Senator Etheredge over to our side of the aisle but, in any event, this would eliminate the other twelve new initiatives that had been requested by the department in an area where we still do not have a sufficient revenue to fund new initiatives. This would cut back those twelve that are not cost saving items. The ones in health care where it's the state plan for the sixty-seven thousand employees plus their families has been left intact. This would take out Bureau of Information, community services, support services, IIS, administrative management, property management. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3. Discussion? Senator Etheredge. Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

...thank you, Mr. President. I would just point out that what this amendment does is to eliminate a number of expansions of programs now underway and cuts out some new initiatives that we might want to take a longer and more careful look at over the next several days because they may save us money in the...in the long-run.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Carroll may close.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Yeah, Senator Etheredge and I have had this discussion before. We recognize that at some point when revenues are solved and we know where we're at, there may be a better time to do it, but at this stage, I think we have to send the signal that there will be no new initiatives even though some of these we are putting in at a dollar so that they can proceed to do some strategic planning, then get back to us with real cost. Example, the statewide phone system, paging systems, new long distance system may, in fact, save money; however, as the budget was introduced, it was introduced as a five million dollar expense with no savings. We've left it in at a dollar to say go out and plan it, get back to us, show us where it, in fact, will be X dollars to go and two times X saved and then we would appropriate the monies to implement the plan. So I'd move the adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 776. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 4, by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

END OF REEL

REEL #3

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

...ask the amendment be withdrawn.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

All right. Seeks leave of the Body to have Amendment No. 4 withdrawn. The amendment is withdrawn. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 779, Senator Schaffer. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 779, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House bill 779.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentleman of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 would be the guidelines type amendment transferring funds as the department requested them while applying the guidelines for Personal Services increases, Contractual, Vehicles, et cetera. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 779. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee

amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 760, Senator Karpel. House bill...2nd reading, House Bill 780,...Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 780.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This would reduce the Department of Labor's budget to the Fiscal '87 level, eliminating some expansion and applying the guidelines pursuant to the department's priority. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 780. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

3rd reading. 781, Senator Fawell. 701...Senator Etheredge, 781. All right, is there leave to have Senator Etheredge handle that? All right, leave is granted. House bills 2nd reading is House bill 781, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 781.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

What this amendment does is that it reduces to 5.4 below FY '87 level to implement guidelines by cutting the Equipment EDP...and Contractual Service. I move for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Hall moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 781. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Any amendments from the floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

3rd reading. 782, Senator Maitland. House bills 2nd reading is House bill 782, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 782.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator...Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This reallocates grants for maintaining existing services to the Senior Companion and Home Health Care lines upward to FY '87 level. I move for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Discussion? If not, Senator Hall moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House bill 782. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Maitland.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President and members. The net effect of Amendment No. 2...it adds 3.3 million dollars to GRF but...pardon me, removes a number of House add-ons and reallocation, bring operations to FY '87 estimated expenditures, adds ninety-eight thousand for revalidation of...of the determination of need and adds 4.9 million dollars to the purchase of Chore Keeping, Chore Housekeeping and Homemaker

Services Entitlement line. Would move for the adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

All right, Senator Maitland has moved adoption of Amendment No. 2. Discussion? Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Go ahead.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

All right. If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 3 would add the...would read the Grandma Please Program, the MSVP and Rural Services Program, part of which had been preadjusted by Amendment No. 2. This would add back those programs that we believe to be essential. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved adoption of Amendment No. 3. Is there discussion? Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Mr. President, just...just an area of concern, the Grandmother Please Program...I...I think we have some concerns on this side of the aisle, not that it's not a good program and I...I...I think it perhaps got its start in the Chicago area and I understand Senator Rock has some knowledge of this. I guess our concern is we expand this statewide, who's going to administer, how it is going to...be administrated and...and it just concerns us a little bit. It's...it's a lot of money.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

All right, further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll may close.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Move adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3. Further discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

3rd reading. 783, Senator Schaffer. 785. Senator Schaffer on the Floor? All right, is there leave to have Senator Etheredge handle it? All right, leave is granted. Senator Etheredge may handle House Bill 783, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 783.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 reallocates 2.5...2.9 million to...Personal Services and benefits from Equipment and Repairs and Maintenance. It adds three mill to the GRF to raise staff to patient ratio 1.5 to one. It increases GRF Community lines to cover FY '67 COLA annualization, plus receiving FY '68 COLA of 3.5 percent adds to Teen Suicide. I would move for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Discussion? If not, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House bill 785. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Maitland.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President. I'm going to...withdraw this amendment, but just simply to comment that we continue to have some concerns with...with...with this issue. I think Senator Carroll mentioned earlier that...that this would eliminate the possibility of hiding attorneys in that contractual line item. There are still going to be many attorneys hidden in that line item, the ones that are not doing litigatory work. The point is the...the Attorney General has indicated that he wants strongly to control all the lawyers and all the agencies and I think this an issue that transcends political lines and...and we ought to be very cautious about where we are ultimately going to go with this issue. I, therefore, Mr. President, wish to withdraw the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Maitland seeks leave to withdraw Amendment No. 2. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senator Schaffer, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Well, I just wanted to comment to the membership that

there are many of us who feel that even though the Senate amendments added that...I think did restore at least in some areas some of the dollars that are needed in Mental Health fall quite short and that there will be an attempt next week to see if we can undo some of the neglect from the last few years and at that point we'll bring the bill back, certainly been working with Senator Carroli and his people on it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Carroll. Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARRULL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I think it might be worthy to make a little further comment very briefly. This is an area of strong concern. So, I...I believed all the members of the Senate and to the people of the State of Illinois that we might have had mental health institutions forced to close because of inadequate staffing patterns, might have had community based not-for-profit providers of service to the disabled and mentally ill who would be forced to stop giving treatment because of the inadequate...woefully inadequate reimbursement level. This is a step in that direction that between new money and reallocations provide some eleven million dollars towards solving that problem so that all of the institutions can maintain themselves as open institutions with a proper head count to provide absolutely essential services for the mentally ill and developmentally disabled. It is one of those few areas where even within an FY '87 allocation level we must provide additional dollars. This is an eight million dollar add-on to the '87 spending level that I think all agree is absolutely essential and, hopefully, there can even be some more.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

All right, further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMOZIO)

3rd reading, House Bill 784, Senator Schaffer. House bills 2nd reading, House Bill 784, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

House Bill 784.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 would eliminate some of the House add-ons...all the House add-ons, six expansions of programs applying the Senate guidelines, phasing in the vacancies and, as always, adding the funds for SHIMPAC, similar to those that had been in the bill for NIPC. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 784. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Macdonald.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Macdonald on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR MACDONALD:

Thank you, Mr. President. First of all, I would like to

thank the nineteen other bipartisan cosponsors who are cosponsoring this amendment with Senator Severns and Senator Luft and I. This is an add-on of two hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars to the Displaced Homemakers Program that is operated out of OCCA. For the last several years, this program has stayed at the same level while serving more and more clients. The amount put in is four hundred and forty-two thousand three hundred. Last year it was put in at four hundred and fifty thousand dollars and the Governor cut three percent. This program is probably one of the most cost effective programs in State Government...a displaced homemaker, as you know, is a homemaker who has been in the home taking care of family and children and through either death or through a crisis illness or through divorce, that person is forced to go out into the job market and often is totally unprepared to do so. These programs...there are twelve displaced homemaker centers throughout Illinois and one...network...so...there are thirteen centers that do serve the people of the State of Illinois. We are woefully in need of more centers and simply cannot continue the operation without additional funds. So, I urge your support of this particular amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Macdonald has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House bill 784. Discussion? Senator Severns.

SENATOR SEVERNS:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I also stand in strong support of this amendment. The Displaced Homemaker's Program has been a very effective program in this state. Its success rate of placing displaced homemakers in paying jobs, in earning positions, that success rate has been as high as any other program that addresses this need. Its result really have been undeniable in its

twelve centers throughout this state. There are some centers who cannot even keep their doors open during the twelve months of the year. In my own area, we're able to keep the doors open only ten months. We cannot afford to let any of these individuals who are...served by this important program fall through the cracks, and I would urge your support for this rather minor increase in the overall picture on this important amendment. Thank you.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Macdonald has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 704. Further discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Etheredge on Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

This is, say, another amendment to transfer funding for the special assistant Attorneys General. I would ask that the amendment be withdrawn.

PRESIDENT:

Amendment has been withdrawn, with leave of the body. Mr. Secretary, further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. After some discussion and confusion on our part and the department as to what was needed for Springfield rent, this would add a hundred and forty-eight thousand to that

line to allow them to continue their existing program without further obnoxious expansion, and I would move adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 734. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. All right, ladies and gentlemen, if I can have your attention, we had indicated that we were going to attempt to conclude our business at or before noon so that everybody could get back to their district office and do what they have to do. We intend to do that. There are two matters of some urgency. Senator Jones on the Floor...has a resolution. It's a Senate joint resolution on hazardous waste remediation, and then, obviously, there is a...not obviously, there is a question of a supplemental appropriation in favor of the administration which is found on page 66 on the Calendar. It is Senate Bill 453 on the Order of Secretary's Desk Concurrence. We will handle those two items by roll call. Additionally, you have been...received the Agreed Bill List. These bills...or this list will be voted upon as the last order of business on Monday. When we return on Monday...the adjournment resolution will call for us to return at noon on Monday and we will begin again at the Order of 2nd Reading where we left off today with Senator Etheredge on 785, I guess it is...yeah, 795. We'll begin with 785 and just go right on through, then we will do recalls. The Secretary informs me that a number of members have indicated they wish to recall some bills, and then we will begin on the Order of 3rd Reading, obviously. Resolutions.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 415 offered by Senator Poshard.

Senate Resolution 416 offered by Senators Woodyard and Weaver.

Senate Resolution 417 offered by Senators Woodyard and Weaver.

Senate Resolution 418 offered by Senator Davidson and all Senators.

Senate Resolution 419 offered by Senator Brookins.

They're all congratulatory.

PRESIDENT:

Consent Calendar.

SECRETARY:

Senate Joint Resolution 71 offered by Senators Hall, Rock and all members. It is congratulatory.

PRESIDENT:

Consent Calendar.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 72 offered by Senator del Valle. It is...pardon me.

PRESIDENT:

Executive Resolutions, Madam Secretary. Senator Demuzio, get the adjournment resolution.

SECRETARY:

Senate Joint Resolution 73.

(Secretary reads SJR 73)

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIIO:

Senate Joint Resolution is the adjournment resolution as just read by the Secretary calls for us to return on Monday, June 22nd at the hour of noon. I would move for the suspension of the rules for the immediate consideration and adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 73.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Demuzio has moved to suspend the rules for the immediate consideration and adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 73. All in favor of the motion to suspend indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Aye have it. The rules are suspended. Senator Demuzio now moves the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 73, calls for us to return to Springfield next Monday at the hour of noon and I would ask everybody be as prompt as possible. All in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The resolution is adopted. Madam Secretary, while we're on that order, have any objections been filed to the Resolutions Consent Calendar?

SECRETARY:

There have been no objections filed.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Vadalabene will then move the adoption of the Resolutions Consent Calendar, that's Senate Resolutions 373, 380, 381, 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392 and 393, 394, 399, 400, 401, 402, 403, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 410, 411, Senate Joint Resolution 69, Senate Joint Resolution 70, House Joint Resolution 97, House Joint Resolution 98, House Joint Resolution 99, House Joint Resolution 100, House Joint Resolution 101, House Joint Resolution 102, Senate Resolutions 413, 415, 416, 417, 418, 419 and Senate Joint Resolution 71. If there's no discussion, all in favor of the adoption of the Resolutions Consent Calendar indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The resolutions are adopted. Senator Jones is back with us. If you'll turn to page 64 on the Calendar, Madam Secretary, on the Order of Secretary's Desk Resolutions is Senate Joint Resolution 60. In the Order of Secretary's Desk Resolutions, Senate Joint Resolution 60, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senators Philip and Jones.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 to Senate Joint Resolution 60 expands the suggestion of complete...cooperation with the Chicago Department of Consumer Services. It also includes the Village of Dalton, Riverdale, Calumet City, Calumet Park, Blue Island in regards to some of the serious dumping that has been going on on the southside of Chicago. I might suggest to you as a point of interest, the City of Chicago and their Department of Consumer Services has some twenty-three employees and a budget of six hundred and eighty-eight thousand dollars and if we're looking at troubles over there, we ought to be looking at everybody. I move the adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Philip, the Chair has...just been informed that there was also a committee amendment, so we'll have to adopt Committee Amendment No. 1 and then we'll move to this one as number two. Senator Jones on Committee Amendment 1.

SENATOR JONES:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I move the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Joint Resolution 60. Where this sets...Amendment No. 1 sets up a majority of those on the committee belonging to the majority in both of the Houses and I move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Jones has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Joint Resolution 60. Senator Raica, on this question?

SENATOR RAICA:

No, sir.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Kelly, on this question? Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would just like leave to be added as a hyphenated sponsor and any other consideration I could get on this. It's my district and I'm interested in it.

PRESIDENT:

Message received. Senator Kelly asks leave of the body to be reported as a hyphenated cosponsor on Senate Joint Resolution 60. All right, Senator Jones has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Joint Resolution 60. All in favor indicate by saying Aye. All...oops, I beg your pardon, Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Yeah, thank you, Mr. President. I didn't hear the explanation of his amendment...exactly what it did...I don't understand it.

PRESIDENT:

...Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

The amendment that was adopted in committee sets up a majority of the members to...legislators to be the members of the majority parties in the both Chambers which is the...the Democratic members.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

I...I'm just wondering...I...I suppose that garbage is a...is a partisan issue? What was the explanation for...toxic waste is...is...is a partisan issue...why aren't we...we having a so-called bipartisan committee to investigate this serious problem?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Well, the purpose of the committee is to look at the problem in the far south and south suburbs of the City of Chicago and I didn't want to run into a situation wherein the membership on your side of the aisle and on the House did not get appointed to the committee whereby we would not have a committee and being...being that the people of the state saw it fit that a majority of the members in the Senate and a majority members in the House be elected Democrats...reason why I made it a majority committee to ensure that we have a joint committee that will work.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

...that's simple, Senator. If you want to withdraw your amendment, I'll appoint them today. You can have it in writing.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Jones has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Joint Resolution 60. Any further discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Senator Philip on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR PHILIP:

I'm assuming that's my amendment.

PRESIDENT:

That is correct, sir.

SENATOR PHILIP:

The one that Senator Jones and I agreed to? Once again, it includes the City of Chicago Department of Consumer Affairs, also the Village of Dalton, the Village of River Dale, Calumet City, Calumet Park and Blue Island asking for their full and complete cooperation.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Philip has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Joint Resolution 60. If not, all in...any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Now to the question. Senate Joint Resolution 60, Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the committee. Senate Joint Resolution 60 deals with a very serious problem on the far south and south suburb areas of the City of Chicago. In that area we have approximately a hundred and fifty industrial plants that have been abandoned. Many of those plants have left leaving toxic waste and hazardous chemicals that impact on the residents in those respective communities. The State Department of Health through a study just recently indicated that the southeast area and the far south area of the City of Chicago have the highest incident of cancer than any other area in the state. The Sun-Times expose a couple of weeks ago a series was ran an entire week delineating the problem. It is a very serious problem. So, we, the members of the General Assembly, must address that. We...and this is the purpose of Senate Joint Resolution 60 to set up a special task force to look at the problem and make recommendations to the General Assembly and report back by October 31st of this year, and I ask for a favorable vote on Senate Joint Resolution 60.

PRESIDENT:

Question is the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 60. Is there any discussion? If not, those in favor of the adop-

tion will vote Aye. Opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 49 Ayes, no Nays, 5 voting Present. Senate Joint Resolution 60 having received the required constitutional majority is declared adopted. All right, if you'll turn to page 06 on the Calendar. Senator Etheredge, are you ready to proceed? All right. On the Order of Secretary's Desk Concurrence...Senator Raica, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR RAICA:

Of personal privilege, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT:

State your point, sir.

SENATOR RAICA:

We have people here from the City of Chicago, Jeremiah Joyce's district, Ann and Ed Quinlin. If we can welcome them, they're in the President's Gallery.

PRESIDENT:

Will our guests in the gallery please rise and be recognized. Welcome to Springfield. All right, on the Order of Secretary's Desk Concurrence, top of page 06, is Senate Bill 453, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No...House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 453.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the last supplemental appropriation bill for FY '87. The...the bill appropriates just over seventy-seven million dollars in G&F and just under fifty-eight million in other funds. I would move to concur with House Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

All right, the motion is to concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 453. Discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Merely to correct the record, Senator Etheredge. We understand that there's already a fifth supplemental being surfaced by the Bureau of the Budget; however, this does accommodate the needs of State Government in a supplemental manner. We have been meeting with the...the four sides have been meeting with the Governor's Office on it and I think it's essential. There are some checks to various state employees that had not been issued because of a lack of funding. This would accommodate that and I would urge concurrence in House Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 453. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, 3 Nays, none voting Present. The Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 453 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. All right, with leave of the body...I understand, Madam Secretary, a number of motions have been filed in writing. With leave of the Body, we'll just...or ask that they be placed on the Calendar and we'll deal with those next week at some appropriate time. Further business to come before the Senate? Any announcements? Further business? Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

Yes, Mr. President, I would ask leave of the body to be added as the hyphenated chief sponsor of House Bill 2766.

PRESIDENT:

2766. The gentleman seeks leave to be added as the

hyphenated cosponsor to 2766. Without objection, leave is granted. Further business? Further announcements? Have a good weekend. Senator Hall moves that the...pursuant to the adjournment resolution, the Senate stand adjourned until next Monday at the hour of noon. Noon on Monday. Senate stands adjourned.

01/15/88
12:04

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SENATE
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

JUNE 19, 1987

HB-0010	RECALLED	PAGE	6
HB-0010	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	9
HB-0016	SECOND READING	PAGE	51
HB-0109	SECOND READING	PAGE	52
HB-0124	RECALLED	PAGE	9
HB-0316	RECALLED	PAGE	10
HB-0416	SECOND READING	PAGE	52
HB-0421	RECALLED	PAGE	11
HB-0451	SECOND READING	PAGE	53
HB-0478	RECALLED	PAGE	12
HB-0482	SECOND READING	PAGE	54
HB-0483	SECOND READING	PAGE	54
HB-0484	SECOND READING	PAGE	54
HB-0552	RECALLED	PAGE	14
HB-0552	RECALLED	PAGE	14
HB-0560	RECALLED	PAGE	16
HB-0606	SECOND READING	PAGE	55
HB-0699	SECOND READING	PAGE	56
HB-0710	SECOND READING	PAGE	57
HB-0756	SECOND READING	PAGE	57
HB-0757	SECOND READING	PAGE	59
HB-0758	SECOND READING	PAGE	59
HB-0759	SECOND READING	PAGE	60
HB-0760	SECOND READING	PAGE	61
HB-0761	SECOND READING	PAGE	62
HB-0762	SECOND READING	PAGE	62
HB-0763	SECOND READING	PAGE	62
HB-0764	SECOND READING	PAGE	63
HB-0765	SECOND READING	PAGE	63
HB-0766	SECOND READING	PAGE	63
HB-0768	SECOND READING	PAGE	64
HB-0769	SECOND READING	PAGE	65
HB-0770	SECOND READING	PAGE	66
HB-0772	SECOND READING	PAGE	79
HB-0773	SECOND READING	PAGE	79
HB-0774	SECOND READING	PAGE	81
HB-0775	SECOND READING	PAGE	81
HB-0776	SECOND READING	PAGE	83
HB-0777	SECOND READING	PAGE	88
HB-0778	SECOND READING	PAGE	95
HB-0779	SECOND READING	PAGE	99
HB-0780	SECOND READING	PAGE	100
HB-0781	SECOND READING	PAGE	101
HB-0782	SECOND READING	PAGE	101
HB-0783	SECOND READING	PAGE	104
HB-0784	SECOND READING	PAGE	107
HB-0939	RECALLED	PAGE	16
HB-0982	RECALLED	PAGE	17
HB-0998	RECALLED	PAGE	18
HB-1120	RECALLED	PAGE	21
HB-1256	RECALLED	PAGE	22
HB-1560	RECALLED	PAGE	28
HB-1616	RECALLED	PAGE	28
HB-1760	RECALLED	PAGE	29
HB-1806	RECALLED	PAGE	30
HB-1902	RECALLED	PAGE	31
HB-1953	RECALLED	PAGE	32
HB-1959	RECALLED	PAGE	33
HB-1998	RECALLED	PAGE	34
HB-2012	RECALLED	PAGE	35
HB-2227	RECALLED	PAGE	35
HB-2243	RECALLED	PAGE	36
HB-2350	RECALLED	PAGE	38
HB-2364	RECALLED	PAGE	39
HB-2727	RECALLED	PAGE	40

01/15/88
12:04

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SENATE
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2

JUNE 19, 1987

HB-2758	RECALLED	PAGE	41
HB-2812	RECALLED	PAGE	41
HB-2834	RECALLED	PAGE	49
SB-0453	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	117
SR-0072	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	111
SR-0399	ADOPTED	PAGE	4
SR-0413	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	3
SR-0414	ADOPTED	PAGE	3
SR-0414	ADOPTED	PAGE	3
SR-0415	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	111
SR-0416	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	111
SR-0417	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	111
SR-0418	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	111
SR-0419	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	111
SJR-0060	ADOPTED	PAGE	112
SJR-0071	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	111
SJR-0073	ADOPTED	PAGE	111

SUBJECT MATTER

SENATE TO ORDER - PRESIDENT ROCK	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REV. EUGENE WEITZEL	PAGE	1
JOURNALS - POSTPONED	PAGE	1
MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE	PAGE	1
RESOLUTIONS CONSENT CALENDAR - ADOPTED	PAGE	112
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	119