

84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

June 26, 1986

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

(Machine cutoff)...hour of one o'clock having arrived, the Senate will come to order. The members will be at their desk, our guests in the gallery will please rise. Our prayer today will be by the Reverend Doctor Roger Rominger, First United Methodist Church, Springfield. Reverend.

REVEREND ROMINGER:

(Prayer given by Reverend Rominger)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

(Machine cutoff)...of the Journal. Senator Vadalabene.
SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I move that reading and approval of the Journals of Tuesday, June 17th; Wednesday, June 18th, and Thursday, June 19th; Friday, June 20th; Monday, June 23rd; Tuesday, June 24th, and Wednesday, June 25th, in the year of 1986, be postponed pending arrival of the printed Journals.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, you've heard the motion as posed by Senator Vadalabene. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. So ordered. Messages from the House.

SECRETARY:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I'm directed to inform the Senate the House of Representatives refused to concur with the Senate in the adoption of their amendments to a bill with the following title.

House Bill 2546 with Senate Amendments 1 and 2.

A like Message on House Bill 2573 with Senate Amendment 1.

A like Message on House Bill 3043 with Senate Amendment 3.

A like Message on House Bill...3266 with Senate

Amendment 1.

On House Bill 3422 with Senate Amendment 1.

And on House Bill 3475 with Senate Amendment 1.

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I'm directed to inform the Senate the House of Representatives adopted the following joint resolutions, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Joint Resolution 172 and 218.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Executive. The Chair would like to make an announcement that...very shortly, we will begin on the Order of Secretary's Desk Concurrences and go right down the Calendar, including the appropriations bills, so...(machine cut-off)...Hall, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies of the Senate...Gentlemen of the Senate too. Information, what is going to be our format today?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Our format, Senator Hall, is that we are within moments...momentarily, we'll...beginning on the Order of...page 8 of your Calendar, the Secretary's Desk Concurrence. We will go right down the Calendar, including the appropriations bills and we hope that we can conclude...that order of business today and afford the members an opportunity to have dinner this evening, perhaps, maybe around nine or ten, depending upon on what time we get started. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Well, if we...we just may as well...if we're going to nine or ten, we just may as well make it midnight, so...thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

SB 1607
Concurrence

(Machine cutoff)...leave of the Body, we'll go to the Order of Resolutions. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of Resolutions, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 1123 offered by Senator Woodyard and it's congratulatory.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Woodyard.

SENATOR WOODYARD:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I would move to suspend the appropriate rules for immediate consideration of this resolution. This resolution does have to be in Charleston over the weekend and, it's my understanding, the lady being honored is very near death and I would move for the adoption of the resolution.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Woodyard has moved to suspend the rules for the immediate consideration and adoption of Senate Resolution 1123 which is congratulatory. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The rules are suspended. Senator Woodyard...Woodyard now moves the adoption of Senate Resolution 1123. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Senate Resolution 1123 is adopted. Is Senator Marovitz on the Floor? Senator Maitland. Is Senator Maitland on the Floor? All right, we'll go to page 3, on the Order of Secretary's Desk Concurrence. Leave of the Body to come back to 1519? Leave is granted. 1562, Senator Luft. All right, 1602, Senator Rock. 1607, Senator Etheredge. On the Order of Secretary's Desk Concurrence is Senate Bill 1607, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1607 with House Amendments 1 and 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge. Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Yes, I...move to concur in the House amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Etheredge has moved to concur with House Amendments 1 and 2. Discussion? Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Will the gentleman kindly explain the amendments?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Yes, Senator, there are two House amendments. Amendment No. 1 increases the appropriation by...just a little over seven million dollars, that is the amount that's necessary to fund the Veterans' Scholarship Program. And Amendment No. 2 increases the appropriation by eight hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars, that is...that is a Federal...that's Federal pass-through money to fund the Carl D. Perkins Scholarship Fund which will be starting during this next fiscal year.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

(Machine cutoff)...discussion? If not, Senator Etheredge may close.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

I would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. The question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1607. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Senator Sangmeister. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 51,...excuse me, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are 1, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1607 having received the required constitutional major-

SB 1673
Concurrence

ity is declared passed. (Machine cutoff)...DeAngelis, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Can we have a little order in that corner?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

With Senator Keats over there, I don't think it's possible. All right, it's my understanding...Senator...it's my understanding that the...the appropriations' people have requested just a few more minutes before we finally get started because there's a meeting. Senator Maitland, are you ready to go on a substantive bill with Senate Bill 1666? Okay. Senator Topinka,...Senator Topinka, how about 1673? All right, with leave of the Body, we'll...we'll go to page 9. On the Order of Secretary's Desk Concurrence is Senate Bill 1673, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:- - -

Senate Bill 1673 with House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, if you'll recall, yesterday I took this out of the record at the bequest of Senator Demuzio. He had a problem which I would like to note was not really related to this bill, but as a bonus, the problem was solved as well. So I foresee that he may very well be a supporter of this very good bill now and I would encourage a concurrence on Amendments 1, 2, 3, 6, 7 and 8. I don't think anybody is in difficulty; as we explained, it does not affect Cook County, the City of Chicago already does this. Everybody is pretty well signed off.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Senator Topinka, I'd like to ask a question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

The sponsor indicates she will yield. Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Senator Topinka, can you tell me if this has to do...it seemed to me like if someone had their vehicle towed by a local gas station or something that that became a problem under legislation that passed last year at...some individuals. Does this have anything to do with the towing of private automobiles by towing...cause you were talking the other day with this or...somebody raised a point that there were two different towing factions involved. Well, some individuals like to do their own towing or they like to ask their local gas station to tow their vehicle, and I want to find out if there's been anything which will permit that to be continued.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

I don't think this affects them in any way. You know, again, we get back into licensure. If they're not, they're not; if they are, they are.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Well, it seemed like the...there was a provision under the legislation which allowed for vehicles to be towed by...by local gas stations. A lot of gas stations, you know, tow vehicles. I want to make sure that we're not doing anything to prevent them...I know we're...we're interested in getting licensures but a lot of these private gas stations...are not licensed like these licensed...what do you call them...vehicle movers are. So...that's the one I'm concerned about is the little gas stations, is that taken care of? Is there going to be anything in here which will prevent

a gas station from towing a vehicle?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

...it does not affect them at all; I mean, they can continue their businesses...unfettered.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. I believe there was some question on Amendment No. 1 initially when this bill was brought up in reference to the elimination of weight restrictions on garbage trucks. Could she...could she explain Amendment No. 1 and Amendment No. 7, please?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

We are not discussing the elimination of weight restrictions. The ultimate weight restrictions are retained, but what IDOT needed to clarify was the ability for garbage trucks and tow trucks to be able to function over and above their normal weight.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Does Amendment No. 1 exempt garbage trucks and tow trucks from the weight limitation?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes...no, they have to continue to remain under eighty thousand pounds. It does not go over that, which I believe is also the Federal limit.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

What about Amendment No. 7?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

That is basically, as we understand it, a technical change which was...requested by one of your Democratic members in the House, and that states that if a mailing address is a post office box number, the address listed on the driver's license record may be used to verify the residence. The Secretary of State has no problem either with the amendment. So we do feel that it...it just does the job.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Does that mean an individual then can have a post office box number as his mailing address on his driver's license? Is that what this amendment does?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

I don't think so and it certainly would not be my intent.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Well, what does this amendment mean then?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

The amendment basically allows the Secretary of State's Office to verify a residence if we do have a mailing address as a post office box number. However, again, I would state this was put on by a Democratic member in the House and I

merely allow them to use this bill as a vehicle, we'd probably have to go back to them.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

I really don't care who put it on in the House. It says "If the mailing address is a post office box number, the address on the driver's license record may be used to verify residence." My question is, if a post office box number is listed as a residence on a driver's license, does that mean that's the verification of it? It's crazy.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

The answer to that is, no, this does not encourage, ask for or want post office box numbers. It would check out that...it would give the Secretary of State's Office the ability to check that post office box number as to a residency.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Topinka may close.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

I...I think we've covered every problem that can possibly be and then, as I say, a few bonus ones for constituents as...as a byproduct. All of these amendments have been agreed to by the respective agencies. They take care of problems in clarification of the law, also in terms of towing which has been a consistent problem. I would ask for a concurrence on all of these amendments as...as I brought up.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Topinka has moved that...the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 6, 7 and 8 to Senate Bill 1673. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all

voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? George. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 39, the Nays are 10, 1 voting Present. The Senate does concur in House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 6, 7 and 8 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. (Machine cutoff)...Savickas.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

(Machine cutoff)...leave of the Body, we'll go to page 8, Secretary's Desk Concurrence to Senate Bill 1519, Senator Demuzio. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1519 with House Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the annual appropriation for the Auditor General. House Amendment 1 simply reallocates dollars from one category to another. There is no increase in the dollar amount as it left this Body, and I would ask that the Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1519.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1519. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 49, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1519 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 1562, Senator Luft. Senate Bill 1602, Senator Rock. Senate Bill 1607, Senator Etheredge. This is done? Senate Bill 1610, Senator...Senator Carroll, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR CARROLL:

I believe we could have leave to do 1602, if there could be leave in the absence of Senator Rock to concur in Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll seeks leave of the Body to handle Senate Bill 1602 in lieu of Senator Rock. Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Senator Carroll on Senate Bill 1602. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1602 with House Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I would move that we do concur in House Amendment No. 1. It did some reversal of action in the Graduate Student Fellowship Program. I believe it's now all agreed to and I move that we do concur in House Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1602. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 50, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1602 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 1610, Senator Davidson. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1610 with House Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, I move that the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1610. It reduces the total appropriation by twenty-seven thousand six hundred dollars out of general revenue. I move that we...concur.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1610. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1610 and the bill having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Nedza, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR NEDZA:

A point of personal privilege, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

State your point.

SENATOR NEDZA:

I'd like to have the Senate welcome back an old colleague of ours, Senator John Merlo, who's trying to seek his seat again. Jack.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Merlo, welcome back. Senate Bill 1611, Senator Poshard. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1611 with House Amendments 1 and 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 1624, Senator Demuzio. Senate Bill 1628, Senator Rock-Philip. Senate Bill 1639, Senator Rock. Senator Carroll, would you like to

handle this for Senator Rock?

SENATOR CARROLL:

Yes, with leave of the Body, I would like to handle the Office of the State Treasurer and...and move that we do concur in Amendment No. 1 which deals with interest payments and principal payments, the new number that has just come in...I would that we do concur in House Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll asks leave of the Body to handle Senate Bill 1639 and its amendments in lieu of Senator Rock. Is leave granted? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Now Senator Carroll seeks the passage of Senate Bill 1639...what amendment? House Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion? Hearing no discussion, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1639. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to House Bill...Senate Bill 1639 and the bill having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Carroll also seeks leave of the Body to handle Senate Bill 1628 in place of Senator Rock. Is leave granted? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. On the Order of Senate Bills...Secretary's Desk Concurrence, Senate Bill 1628, Senator Carroll. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1628 with House Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the legislative support agencies. As the

bill was traveling through the process, we've been able to identify what needs there are in the various support agencies for equipment and et cetera. The House added that by Amendment No. 1 and I move that we do concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1628.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1628. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1628 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 1666, Senator Maitland...Senate Bill 1673, Senator Topinka. Senate Bill 1698, Senator Demuzio. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1698 with House Amendments 1, 2 and 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Why don't you take it out of the record.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Take it out of the record. All the way...Senate Bill 1709, Senator Netsch. Senator Netsch, 1709? Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1709 with House Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

If I might, Mr. President, I'm going to move to nonconcur in all of those amendments. The reason being that we want

the bill into a Conference Committee. Its good provisions are going to show up elsewhere and the bill will probably end up just sitting on the Calendar...excuse me, until fall. So that...if there's anything in 1709 that anyone likes, apart from Senator Keats' goofy amendment, it will...it will show up in another bill. I'm sorry, Senator Kustra, I did not have time after Kean and I went through this this morning to get back to you and sort of go over it, but I will do that instantaneously. In any event, I would move to nonconcur to...with all four of the amendments on Senate Bill 1709.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Netsch moves to nonconcur in House Amendments No. 1, 2, 3 and 4 to Senate Bill 1709. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The...Ayes have it. The motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Senate Bill 1728, Senator Etheredge. Senate Bill 1737, Senator Etheredge. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1737 with House Amendments 1, 3, 4, 5, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I move to nonconcur in all the House amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge moves to nonconcur in House Amendments No. 1, 3, 4, 5, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18 to Senate Bill 1737. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Senate Bill 1738, Senator Schaffer. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1738 with House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 9,

12, 13 and 14.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Mr. President, I would like to move to nonconcur in Amendment No. 3 and to concur in Senate Amendment...or pardon me,...House Amendments No. 1, 2, 4, 8, 9, 12, 13 and 14.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator, we will take the vote on the...the concurrences first...is there discussion on concurrence? Senator Carroll.
SENATOR CARROLL:

By way of explanation for the ease of some of the members, since this is the first one it's coming up on. We have been meeting with both sides of the aisle and both sides of the Rotunda. We do believe that in taking this action, the concurrence in House amendments, in this case, House Amendments 1, 2, 4, 8, 9, 12, 13 and 14, would be final action for the Senate, that the House would then recede from the amendment to which we nonconcur. This will be happening several times in the future, we hope, and it's the hope of the...of the normal conferees in approp. to avoid Conference Committee on as many bills as possible. So even though we'll...there will be a nonconcurrence motion, we believe in those cases, the House will ultimately recede; therefore, we have to vote by record vote on concurrence which would then be our final action. I would join Senator Schaffer in the motion.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments No. 1, 2, 4, 8, 9, 12, 13 and 14 to Senate Bill 1738. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur in House Amendments No. 1, 2, 4, 8, 9, 12, 13 and 14. Now Senator

Schaffer moves to nonconcur in House Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1738. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Senator Smith, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR SMITH:

(Machine cutoff)...I wasn't at my desk and I wanted to vote Yes on 1738.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The record will so indicate.

SENATOR SMITH:

Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senate Bill 1739, Senator Watson. Senate Bill 1740, Senator Watson. Senate Bill 1747, Senator Donahue. Senate Bill...read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1747 with House Amendments 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9 and 10.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Donahue.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I would move to concur with House Amendments 1, 3, 4, 6 and 10 and to nonconcur on House Amendments 7 and 9.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

We are in disagreement on this particular motion. Amendment No. 10 is some five million additional dollars for DuQuoin. When we started on that, we had agreed to a number of three and a half million which is the way in which it left the Senate. It was my understanding with the Office of the Governor this morning that they were in accord on the three

and a half million level. As of about an hour ago, I don't know if they've transmitted that message, in which case the...we would nonconcur in Amendment 10 and they would recede. But this...otherwise, would add some five million dollars more for DuQuoin which is above the level that, at least as of this morning, they had agreed to in their call to me. So I think the motion would be to nonconcur in No. 10 and maybe you want to check it, I don't know.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

I have a question about a different amendment. Senator Donahue, Amendments...are any of these amendments reducing the amounts for soil and water conservation districts? If so, by how much and, if so, what particular districts?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Donahue.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Thank you, Mr. President. From my notes here, Amendment No. 6 restores the money cut by the Senate Amendment No. 1 and it restores about thirty-six thousand dollars in Ag. Premium funds. So there are no cuts.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Explain Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Donahue.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Amendment No. 3 increases the grants again to soil and water conservation districts to fund the education pilot project in LaSalle County, your district, Mr. Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

My State Rep. was over here wanting to know what happened, so I thought I'd ask. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I want to address Senator Carroll's concern about Amendment No. 10...Senator Donahue moved to concur. Now I think that's a good idea. As I read it, Senator Carroll, it returns a million eight to the...to the DuQuoin State Fair out of a Senate cut of 2.19 million. And...and...so, I...I don't think that's a five million dollar addition, it's only a million eight, returns the...it's still about three hundred thousand dollars less than the Department of Agriculture asked for and out of that, why, as I understand it, eight hundred and fifty-five thousand will be for Operations; Entertainment, six hundred and sixty-three thousand; Repairs and Maintenance, three hundred and thirty-six thousand. This adds to a million eight and I would support Senator Donahue in the motion to concur. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Yes, to explain to Senator Dunn, it is a 5.4 million dollar request for this new State Fair. We had it leave the Senate as 3.2 million of new money for the DuQuoin Fair, a figure that as of this morning was agreed to with the Office of the Governor. This amendment would...would bring it back up to 5.2 million for this additional State Fair of monies that are just not available and it's, again, a growing project, well beyond what anybody thought it was going to be, 5.2 million of general revenue funds. We said 3.2 million is enough and, in fact, this morning they said 3.2 million is

enough, but here is another two million dollars...or a million eight of general revenue funds for a State Fair...a second State Fair, to give them up to 5.4 million dollars of general revenue funds that are just not available. You may not, Senator Dunn, have read the letter Doctor Handeville sent me that said that we are going to be a hundred and ninety-seven million dollars short in general revenue funds for next year, and we are, in fact, going to have to trim budgets. Here is an additional growth of 5.4 million dollars to which we have said and I...I repeat, as of this morning they had agreed to 3.2 million dollars to be spent but, no, somebody wants to spend two million more that just ain't there, and I think we have to oppose Amendment No. 10.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Mr. President, I would ask for a division of the question as to Amendment No. 10.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

That's your prerogative, division will be afforded. You...we will call the vote on each Amendment separately then. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Well, I'm certain...would the...Senator yield? Senator Dunn, I'm sure you remember that...that was originally one...a 5.4 million and, you know, we cut it back to 2.2. Now, if you're going to put that back in, you're right back to where you were. You...you understand what we're talking about, I'm sure.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I...I'm curious to the sponsor. With all of this funding in the DuQuoin State Fair,

what have you, are we going to be able to fund the county fairs at the level that we passed the bill last year at Representative...or Senator Woodyard, who was Representative then in...in the...in the House and he sponsored the bill and I sponsored it here. Are we going to be able to fund that...that at...at all those levels?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Donahue.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

This does not cut that at all.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Well, I know it doesn't cut it, but...you know...there's not enough money in the Ag. Premium Fund to fund them, I'm sure of that. How do you propose...are we going to shift money from the General Revenue Fund to the Ag. Premium Fund to make sure those county fairs are funded at...at that higher level?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Donahue.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

As far as I know that recently there has been a ten million dollar shift from General Revenue to Ag. Premium Funds to cover those responsibilities.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

All right, that ten million dollars is solely to cover that...those...that responsibility?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Donahue.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

It's there to take care of the shortfall in the Ag. Pre-

mium Fund.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

But is it there solely to do that? I mean,....you know, we use the Ag. Premium Fund for everything in the world, and...and I'm just worried that when we come around to the county fairs, we're going to forget what the Ag. Premium Fund is all about.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Donahue.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

I couldn't agree with you more, Senator Joyce, and all I can tell you from my knowledge of this is that that ten million dollars is there to take care of the shortfalls in the Ag. Premium Fund, and I will join you in anything that we can do to make sure that those fairs are funded.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

I'm just informed that the ten million dollar bailout did not pass.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator...Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

...yes,....that means that if...if that did not pass, ag. premium has to pick up everything...the DuQuoin Fair, the county fairs, everything and...I...there won't be enough money.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Donahue, you want to answer that?

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Well, all I can tell you is that you know as well as I do how things work down here and that ten million dollars is

absolutely essential to the Ag. Premium Fund, and I know I support it and I think with you that we can be able to make that ten million dollars into the transfer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Yes, thank you. Well, it...you know, you and I may be able to do things over here but it...the bill never got out of the House. It was introduced in the House and never got out.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Donahue.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

That's the purposes of Conference Committees, I think. There are a lot of times that we differ with the House and the Senate have different opinions on a lot of things but that doesn't mean we always loose.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Thank you. I would suggest we wait on DuQuoin then until we find that ten million dollars.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Mr...Mr. President, Senator Welch asked for a splitting of the question. The Chair very cavalierly acknowledged that. I do believe that that prerogative rests with the sponsor and I think you ought to address the sponsor as to whether they want to split that question or not.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

I'd like to remind Senator DeAngelis that the Chair is never cavalier in its attitude towards decisions, that if he would read Senate rules and especially Senate Rule 39, if you

would take the time out instead of jumping up and down, you would see that any Senator has the right to ask for a division of the question. Senator, spend a few moments, sit down, relax, read...read your book. Senator Poshard, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR POSHARD:

Thank you, Mr. President. I guess I would just like to ask Senator Donahue if there might be a possibility of taking this out of the record until we clarify with the Governor's Office as to whether or not they agree on the 3.2 or the five?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Donahue.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

I think that's an excellent idea, Senator...Poshard, let's take it out of the record.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Take it out of the record. Senator DeAngelis, have you studied your homework? Are you ready to...Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

I...I think that the Chair could reproach a member without such editorial comment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

...Senate Bill 1748, Senator Sommer...Senate Bill 1749, Senator Etheredge. Read...Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

...Mr. President, I wonder if we could take this out of the record for a few moments here while we clarify one issue and then come back to it later today?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Watson has...asked leave to return to Senate Bill 1739. Is there objection? Hearing no objection, on the Order of Concurrences, Senate Bill 1739. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1739 with House Amendments 1 and 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the appropriation for the Abandoned Mine Reclamation Council and the House added two amendments. Amendment No. 1 added eighteen thousand dollars for the purpose of funding an audit for the Auditor General's Office. Committee Amendment No. 2 restored eight thousand six hundred dollars cut in the Senate in Contractual Services line item in order to fund photocopy repair of four thousand dollars, a computer software of one thousand six hundred dollars and office space lease for three thousand dollars. The final appropriation is seventeen million three hundred and eighty-four thousand five hundred dollars for a twenty-six thousand six hundred dollar change from the introduced level...introduced level. I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

We join in the motion to concur in House Amendments 1 and 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1739. Those in favor will indicate by voting Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur in House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1739 and the bill having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 1740, Senator Watson. Senate Bill 1748,

Senator Sommer. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1748 with House Amendments 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10 and 11.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:

Mr. President, I'd move to nonconcur in all of the amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sommer moves to nonconcur in House Amendments No. 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10 and 11 to Senate Bill 1748. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Senate Bill 1750, Senator Schaffer. Senate Bill 1751, Senator Dunn. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1751 with House Amendments 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9 and 11.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR DUNN:

Thank...thank you, Mr. Senator...Mr. President. Committee amendments...I'd like to...move to concur in Committee Amendments 2 and 8 and nonconcur in the other amendments. Amendment No. 2 deletes four million dollars for the County Jail Revolving Fund and Floor Amendment No. 8 in the House adds seven hundred and twenty-four thousand reappropriation for the East St. Louis Work Relief Center. I'd like to concur in those two amendments if I could.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Yes, we had a question, we had assumed that there were

going to be some other concurrences and you are suggesting, Senator Dunn, to nonconcur in which amendments, please?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3, 4, 6, 9 and 11. Senator Dunn has moved to concur in 2 and 8 only. If there's no further discussion, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments No. 2 and 8 to Senate Bill 1751. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are 1, none voting Present. The Senate does concur in House Amendments No. 2 and 8. Now Senator Dunn moves to nonconcur in House Amendments 3, 4, 6, 9 and 11 to Senate Bill 1751. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Senate Bill 1752, Senator Weaver. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1752 with House Amendments 1 through 5, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18, 21 and 22.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd move that the Senate nonconcur in all House amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Weaver moves to nonconcur in House Amendments No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18, 21 and 22 to Senate Bill 1752. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Senate Bill 1753, Senator Karpel. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1753 with House Amendments 1 through 30, 32 through 35.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move to concur in House Amendments 1 and 21 and to not concur with the rest of the amendments on...House amendments on Senate Bill 1753.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Darrow.

SENATOR DARROW:

Will the sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

She indicates she will.

SENATOR DARROW:

Would you explain your reason for not concurring with these amendments? It would appear that most of these are EPA amendments that help our various communities, and I think that we all have quite a bit of interest in this bill and, yet, you're just being very selective in your concurrence.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Well, Senator, first of all, let me say that there are also some projects in here that are in my district that are coming out and, as you probably know, I was not involved in the decision making on which amendments to concur on and not to concur on. So I can only assume that the reason all of this was taken out is because the money just simply isn't there, and...you know,...there's just not the money there.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

(Machine cutoff)...amplification of Senator Karpiel's great discussion, she's right, it was...House sent it loaded up with thirty-five million dollars of general revenue funds

that just aren't there. The two amendments she has sought concurrence in are the operation portion of the budget. It is the hope that we can eliminate that from any further discussion. We recognize there will be a project's bill of this and everything else at some point in the future, and this would strip out all of those so that they can be dealt with and we can get the operating budgets out of here. So I would join her in the concurrence motion on 1 and 21 which are the operational portions and the nonconcurrence in the projects.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments No. 2...No. 1 and 21 to Senate Bill 1753. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Vote me Aye, Senator. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none. The Senate does concur in House Amendments No. 1 and 21 to Senate Bill 1753. Now, Senator Karpziel moves to nonconcur in House Amendments No. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33, 34 and 35. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and the Secretary will so inform the House. Senate Bill 1754, Senator Sommer. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1754 with House Amendments 1 and 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:

Mr. President and members, I move to concur in Amendments 1 and 2. The House added back some of the monies that the Senate had cut, about half of it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1754. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur in House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1754 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 1755, Senator Karpziel. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1755 with House Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Karpziel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move to not concur with House Amendment No. 1 on Senate Bill 1755.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment...I'm sorry, Senator. Senator Karpziel moves to nonconcur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1755. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Senate Bill 1756, Senator Mahar. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1756 with House Amendments 1 through 6.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Mahar.

SENATOR MAHAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would move to concur on House Amendments Noes. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6 to Senate Bill 1756 and to nonconcur in House Amendment No. 5.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

(Machine cutoff)...Senator Mahar, if you could explain the amendments, I believe we would ask that...there be a division of the question. If you could briefly explain the amendments you're seeking to concur in.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Mahar.

SENATOR MAHAR:

Okay. House Amendment No. 1 restores two hundred and eighty-eight thousand eight hundred dollars; two hundred and thirty-three thousand two hundred in other...in other funds and fifty-six thousand six hundred in GRF, restores vacancies cut in the Senate and partial funding for several new initiatives, transfers a hundred thousand in EDP from the Radiation Protection Fund to the Nuclear Safety Emergency Preparedness Fund. Amendment No. 2 reappropriates seven hundred and thirty thousand five hundred for the...decontamination of the LPI facility in Ottawa. House Amendment No. 3 reappropriates one million seven hundred and fifteen thousand eight hundred dollars NSEP for equipment for emissions monitoring systems at Dreden and Zion Nuclear power plants and for a mobile lab. Committee Amendment No. 4 restores a hundred and twenty-two thousand six hundred in other funds for vacancies cut by the Senate in miscellaneous parts of the new initiatives. And Amendment No. 6 restores seventy-five thousand dollars for various equipment and expenditures.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? (Machine cutoff)...Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

We would join in the motion for concurrence on House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6 and...there may be another

motion on No. 5 in a moment, but as for now, we would move to...we join in the motion to concur.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he will.

SENATOR HALL:

Senator, what are...dedicated phone lines, what are those?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Mahar.

SENATOR MAHAR:

Which...which amendment is that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

That's in Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Mahar. Senator Mahar.

SENATOR MAHAR:

Told that's just part of the new initiatives.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

We'll get back to each other on it, I mean, I know it's one of them fancy names, I guess, given to something in there.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments No. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6 to Senate Bill 1756. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted

who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur in House Amendments No. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6. Now, Senator Mahar moves to nonconcur in House Amendment No. 5 to Senate Bill 1756. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and the Senate...the Secretary shall so inform the House. Senator Carroll, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR CARROLL:

Having voted on the prevailing side, I'll move to reconsider the vote by which we just nonconcurrent. I believe the handlers are changing the game plan on Amendment No. 5.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator, you are correct, after having voted on the prevailing side, you can move to reconsider the vote by which the Senate did nonconcur in Amendment No. 5. All those in favor of that motion indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion to reconsider is adopted. Now, on Amendment No. 5, have the handlers taken charge of the...Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

The issue here is something that both of us challenged, both sides of the aisle on this side of the Rotunda, as an additional funding for a Statewide public workshop on the effects of radon gas. We have been well-informed by our resident expert in the Senate, Senator Etheredge, on what radon gas is and on...on...we're not sure what you can do with a public workshop. Unfortunately, the House informs us that this was one of their task forces and one of their recommendations. I'm not sure what the...what the task force results would be and what public workshops on the effects of radon gas would do, I just thought that there had been some discussion of leaving it in and awaiting to hear from the other side what their preference is.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, I...I just wondered if, you know, we had any of this radon gas in Carlinville. I...I never heard of it, don't know what it is, but it's five hundred thousand. So if someone could enlighten...enlighten me as to what it is, I...I sure would like to know...what we're spending a half a million dollars for.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

We have two people that wish to enlighten you, one is Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:

Thank you, Mr. President. I probably have the same question that you do, Senator Demuzio. What...what does five hundred thousand dollars for workshops in regard to radon gas do. That's the problem that I...perhaps it's best we nonconcur.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President. It is true that radon gas is radioactive and I...but the question...I will raise for the third time, do we really need five hundred thousand dollars to...to hold a series of workshops? I certainly would move to nonconcur.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Well, it seems to me that this may be a reasonable appropriation here. We have a problem dealing with gas emanating from the ground that is causing health problems. Now how are you going to find out what those problems are? It seems to me that this may be a wise expenditure of money for a change.

I just saw one of my State Representatives over there next to Mr. Etheredge and he may tell you that we have radon gas up in Sycamore, Illinois, up in DeKalb County. It's also up in Boone County and if you look at the reports of the department, you'll find that it exists pervasively throughout the suburbs and throughout much of the State of Illinois. So while you're voting...down...while you're voting down something that you don't know anything about, the purpose of putting the money in the budget was to find something out about it. So it...it's kind of a head in the sand attitude, I would think.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senator Welch, you never cease to amaze me. If we're going to put five hundred thousand dollars at this time when we really do not know and for this...it's just sure good sense to say, hey, let's look into this. If we need it, okay, but five hundred thousand dollars to me seems very exorbitant and I think at this time we should not do that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:

Mr. President, in response to Senator Welch, the amendment is for Statewide public workshops on the effects of radon gas. Wouldn't it be best if you wanted to study radon gas to commission a study by qualified scientists around the country to determine the effects, to determine where it exists? Public workshops...what...what does that mean? How does that solve the problem?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Well, I'd be glad to respond to that question. People are worried about what radon gas is and what it does. You know, we have other programs to warn the public that don't really work too well such as our nuclear power plant...early warning system which just had a complete breakdown a few weeks ago. What we had there was a complete cover-up of what was going on at the LaSalle Plant. Here we have a matter that there is something known about...about it, there is something known that radon gas does create health problems. People are going to be very concerned, they're going to be worried. When you have public workshops, the idea is that people in the know come up before the public, you have hearings throughout the State, the public is then informed instead of just have something done in the...in the laboratory. So, you know, there probably is radon gas in Carlinville, for that matter, to one of the previous speakers, and I would hope that we could try to warn those people back in Carlinville so that they do not suffer any adverse health effects.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Seeing as we happen to have...be lucky enough to have a...a gentleman with his doctorate in geology, why don't we have him...have a workshop and tell...the rest of the Senators what in the world we're talking about.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Well, the question is on Senator Mahar's motion. He moves to nonconcur in House Amendment No. 5 to Senate Bill 1756. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Those...a roll call has been requested and...he is trying to persuade Senator Zito to join him in that request. Are...are you joined by any other Senator, Senator Welch? He's persuaded

Senator Joyce and Senator Jones. We need three more. Senator Netsch has joined them and Senator Sangmeister. Five Senators have sought the roll call vote on concurrence of Amendment No. 5 to Senate Bill 1756. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? This is for nonconcurrence on...take the record. On that question to nonconcur in the adoption of Amendment No. 5 to Senate Bill 1756, on a nonconcurrence motion, there are 36 Yeas, 17 Nays, none voting Present. The motion to nonconcur prevails and the Secretary will so inform the House. Senate Bill 1757, Senator Woodyard. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

END OF REEL

*SB 1759
conc. & nonconc.*

REEL #2

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1757 with House Amendments 1 and 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Woodyard.

SENATOR WOODYARD:

Thank you, Mr...thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I would move to concur with House Amendments No. 1 and No. 2 to Senate Bill 1757 which is the annual DCE of Department of Revenue. Amendment No. 1 removes the total of 1.594 million dollars, removes nine automobiles and a computer mainframe conversion. Amendment No. 2 adds a hundred and sixty-seven thousand dollars for...in addition...in additional funds for the...Senate Bill 1700 which is the tax increment financing bill that we passed out of here a day or two ago, and so I would move for concurrence in Amendments No. 1 and 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1757. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 49, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur in House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1757 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 1758, Senator Weaver. Senate Bill 1759, Senator Donahue. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1759 with House Amendments 2 through 9.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Donahue.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I will move to concur in House Amendments 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8 and 9 and move to nonconcur in House Amendment No. 7. I do so reluctantly.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House...House Amendments No. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8 and 9. All those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur in House Amendments No. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8 and 9. Now, Senator Donahue reluctantly moves to nonconcur in House Amendments No. 7 to Senate...Senator Donahue.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Let me just clarify that it's House Amendment No. 8 that I am reluctantly moving to concur on.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

I'm sorry, Senator.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Number 7 is fine with me...to nonconcur.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

...let the record so that the President was in error in enumerating the numbers. The Senate did concur in House Bills No. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 9...8 and 9. Senator Donahue.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

You were not in error, sir. I just didn't want you to say I reluctantly move to nonconcur on No. 7, that was your error.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Oh, you were reluctant on No. 8. So, we did concur on...

SENATOR DONAHUE:

You did, yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

God bless you. Now, Senator Donahue wholeheartedly moves to nonconcur in Amendment No. 7...House Amendment No. 7 to Senate Bill 1759. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House...we will take a...few moments for a break here. We...Senator Kustra has some guests here that he would like to introduce to the Senate. One, I understand, is a...one of our beauty contest winners. Senator Kustra.

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Thank you, Senator Savickas. If I could have your attention just for a moment,...we're getting our practice doing this, you see. Last week when Senator Vadalabene had an opportunity to introduce one of his constituents to you who had won a pageant, Senator Fawell turned green with envy and I came to her rescue and suggested that we might be able to find somebody in her area and my area from the northern end of the State who had also won such an accomplishment, and I have with me today Cara Vollum who is Miss Illinois, representing Illinois in August in Hawaii at the Miss American Coed Pageant. The only reason why I'm involved in this since this is actually Senator Fawell's constituent is because I served as a judge on this...in this pageant, and it was my job to pick the winners and I...will only add that the records of the judge will show that I chose the winners and those that came in second, third, fourth and fifth in the exact order they came in, and I can say that I was one of the votes that...that selected Cara as Miss Illinois. It's the first time I ever had an...experience like that and I will have to say that...as we see these things from the Podium, I don't think we appreciate just what these young people go through, how talented they are, the incredible kinds of scho-

lastic and talent competition they must go through. For two days we interviewed and interviewed again and again every girl in the pageant. They came from all over the State of Illinois and it's with a great deal of...of pleasure that I not only announce that Cara Vollum is going to represent Illinois, but also, I might add, that our colleague, Senator Poshard, had a niece competing in that competition and his niece was judged Miss Photogenic in the pageant, Andrea Fareola; as a matter fact, she's from my district. But anyway, we have with us today the Vollum family, Cara's mother and father, Peter and Carol, and Mark, her brother, and I think it would be appropriate now to hear just for a moment from Cara, and we should wish her well, of course, as she goes off to try to win the national title for us. Cara.

CARA VOLLUM:

(Remarks made by Cara Vollum)

SENATOR FAWELL:

Sam, eat your heart out.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, on a point of personal privilege. I'm delighted to have with us today former State Representative Charles Campbell...Chuck Campbell from Danville, Illinois, who was one of our colleagues from the House. He's here with us today and would you join us in welcoming him.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Welcome back, Chuck. Senator Vadalabene, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, as a point of personal privilege, is Senator Kustra on the Floor?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Kustra on the Floor? Senator Kustra. Senator Vadalabene is wanting to know whether or not you're here. Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

...yes, I'd like to ask him a question. Senator Kustra, I've been involved in many, many things, how do you become a judge?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, on the Order of Secretary's Desk, page 11, Senate Bill 1760, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1760 with House Amendments 1 and 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I would move that the Senate concur in House Amendments No. 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1760.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Weaver has moved to concur with House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1760. Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1760. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 51, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur with House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1760 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 1761. On the Order of House...Senate Bills on...Secretary's Desk Concurrence is Senate Bill 1761, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1761 with House Amendment 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Mahar.

SENATOR MAHAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1761 is the appropriation for the Bureau of the Budget. Although from time to time I suspect some...raid on gas in that area, I'm going to move to concur on House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1761.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1761. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to...Senate Bill 1763 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 1762. Secretary's Desk is Senate Bill 1762, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1762 with House Amendment 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:

Mr. President and members, this is the operating expenses of the Capital Development Board. The only change from the House is the placement in here of seven allusive employees who...who plan things for parks...last couple of years we've been arguing back and forth who they belong to, whether it's these folks or conservation and now they're in here.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Sommer, you move to...you move to concur? All right. Senator Sommer...the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1762.

Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are 1, none voting Present. The...the Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1762 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 1763. On the Order of Secretary's Desk Concurrence is Senate Bill 1763, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1763 with House Amendments 1 through 16, 19 through 23, 25 through 36, 38, 39, 40, 42 through 48, 52, 54 through 62, 64, 65, 66, 67.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:

Mr. President, I move to nonconcur in all of these amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sommer moves to nonconcur with House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17...no, there is no 17...16, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 38, 39, 40, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 52, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 64, 65, 66 and 67. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Senate Bill 1764, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1764 with House Amendments 2 and 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Dudycz.

SENATOR DUDYCZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move that the Senate concur

with House Amendments No. 2 and 4. Amendment No. 2 restores sixteen thousand two hundred GRF cut in the Senate by adding seven thousand two hundred to Contractual Services and nine thousand to Telecommunications line items and committee...or House Amendment No. 4 separates funding to the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority between Awards and Grants and Programmatic Assistance.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendments 2 and 4 to...to Senate Bill 1764. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur with House Amendments 2 and 4 to Senate Bill 1764 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bills...Secretary's Desk is Senate Bill 1765, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1765 with House Amendment 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Leitch.

SENATOR LEITCH:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President, members. I'd move that we nonconcur in House Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Leitch has moved concurrence of House Amendment 1...move nonconcurrence. Discussion? All right. Senator Leitch has moved to nonconcur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1765. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. 1766, Senator Karpel. Senator Karpel on the Floor? Well, before we

leave that page, with leave of the Body, let us return to Senate Bill 1758. 1758, Senator Carroll. With leave of the Body, we will turn to...to Senate Bill 1758 before we leave this page. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of Secretary's Desk Concurrence, Senate Bill 1758, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1758 with House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would move that the Senate concur in House Amendments No. 1, 2, 5 and 6.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? The question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendments 1, 2, 5 and 6 to House Bill 1758. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur in House Amendments 1, 2, 5 and 6 to Senate Bill 1758. Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Mr. President, I would move the Senate nonconcur in House Amendment 3 and 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Weaver has moved to nonconcur with House Amendments 3 and 4 to Senate Bill 1758. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Senator Karpel on the Floor? All right. Page 12. 1770, Senator Leitch. Top of page 12, Secretary's Desk Concurrence is Senate Bill 1770,

Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1770 with House Amendment 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Leitch.

SENATOR LEITCH:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members. I would move that we nonconcur in House Amendment No. 1. It's fifty-five thousand dollars that was added back in.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Leitch has moved to nonconcur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1770. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Senate Bill 1771, Senator Schaffer. On the Order of Senate Bills...Secretary's Desk Concurrence is Senate Bill 1771, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1771 with House Amendment 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Mr. President, I would move to concur with House Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schaffer has moved to concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1771. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are none, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1771 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Fawell on the Floor? Senator Fawell on the Floor? 1773,

Senator Woodyard. House bills 3rd reading...I'm sorry, House bills on Secretary's Desk Concurrence is Senate Bill 1773, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1772 with House Amendment 1...1773 with House Amendment 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, 1773, Senator Woodyard.

SENATOR WOODYARD:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would move to concur with House Amendment No. 1 on Senate Bill 1773 which is the Property Tax Appeals Board annual OGE. It restores three thousand dollars in Personal Services and reduces Travel by thirteen thousand three hundred and I would move for concurrence.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1773. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1773 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Donahue. Senate Bill 1774, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1774 with House Amendments 1 and 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Donahue.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Thank you, Mr. President. On Senate Bill 1774, I would move to nonconcur on House Amendments 1 and 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Donahue moves to nonconcur with House Amendments

1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1774. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed...Nay. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Senate Bill 1775. Mr. Secretary, Senate Bill 1775.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1775 with House Amendment 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:

Mr. President, I move to concur. The House added thirty-three hundred dollars to this budget.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sommer has moved to concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1775. Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1775. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1775 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate bills...Secretary's Desk, Senate Bill 1778, Hr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1778 with House Amendments 1 and 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Dudycz.

SENATOR DUDYCYZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move that the Senate nonconcur with House Amendment No. 1 and that we concur with House Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Dudycz, would you restate your motion?

SENATOR DUDYCZ:

Yes, Mr. President, I move we nonconcur with House Amendment No. 1 and we do concur with House Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, why don't we take up No. 2 first. That's...Senator Dudycz has moved to concur with House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1778. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur with House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1778. Senator Dudycz now moves to nonconcur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1778. Discussion? If not, those in favor of that motion indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Senate Bill 1808, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill...Senate Bill 1838...1808 with House Amendments 1, 3, 4 and 5.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sangmeister. Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Well, I was prepared to concur, but I've been told by the chairman of the Appropriations Committee that...what, the House members have been over...I guess everybody is in agreement that we not concur in these matters, so...yeah, except the sponsor is right, but...are you...you telling me that all four...well, I guess then the motion will be to nonconcur.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Sangmeister has moved to nonconcur with House Amendments 1, 3, 4, 5 to Senate Bill 1808. Is

there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Senate Bill 1814, Senator Poshard. Senate Bill 1814, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1814 with House Amendments 1 and 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would move to concur with House Amendments 1 and 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Poshard has moved to concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1814. Is there discussion? Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I understand the provision about the six-month misdemeanor provision is out. Is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

That's correct, Senator Watson. That is taken care of in Amendment 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

...further discussion? All right, Senator Poshard has moved to concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1814. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1814 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 1822, Senator

Carroll. Senate bills...Secretary's Desk is Senate Bill 1822, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1822 with House Amendments 1, 2 and 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I would move that we do concur...that we concur with House Amendments 1, 2 and 3. This is the Court of Claims annual awards building. These three amendments are now the awards up-to-date that have been signed off by the appropriate number of Court of Claims judges so that the awards as decided by the court and signed by the judges could, in fact, be paid. So, I would move that we concur with House Amendments 1, 2 and 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Carroll has moved to concur with House Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1822. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying...if not...question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendments 1, 2, 3 to Senate Bill 1822. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur with House Amendments 1, 2, 3 to Senate Bill 1822 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 1841. Senate bills, Secretary's Desk Concurrence, Senate Bill 1841, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1841 with House Amendments 1, 2 and 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:

Mr. President, I move to nonconcur on House Amendments 1, 2 and 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sommer has moved to nonconcur with House Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1841. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Page 13, Senate Bill 2018, Senator Leitch. House bills, Secretary's Desk, top of page 13, Senate Bill 2018, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 2018 with House Amendment 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Leitch.

SENATOR LEITCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. I will be moving to nonconcur in this amendment. In the House an amendment was put on which would have...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Pardon...pardon me, Senator Leitch. Can we have some order, please. Senator Leitch.

SENATOR LEITCH:

Thank you. The amendment that we are about to, I hope, nonconcur in is an amendment which would have created a Detroit-to-Chicago fast speed rail program. It's my understand that a number of questions have arisen pertaining to the State's liability...potential liability about this project, and so I will move to nonconcur and expect the House will recede from it as well.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Leitch has moved to nonconcur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2018. Is there any discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay.

The Ayes have it. Motion carries. Secretary shall so inform the House. 2020, Senator Geo-Karis. All right, Secretary's Desk Concurrence is Senate Bill 2020, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 2020 with House Amendments 5, 6 and 7.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

I think we've got it resolved and I'd like to move for concurrence.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Geo-Karis has moved that the Senate concur with House Amendments 5, 6 and 7 to Senate Bill 2020. Discussion? Discussion? Senator Kelly, for what...Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Yes, Mr. President. I'd like to ask the Senator if she would just explain what...what she's attempting to do here.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

I'm sorry. I'd like to move to nonconcur.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Geo-Karis has moved to nonconcur in House Amendments 5, 6 and 7. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. House...Senate Bill 2105. Mr. Secretary, 2105.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 2105 with House Amendments 1 and 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Amendments 1 and 2...I would move to concur. House Amendments 1 and 2 adds a repre-

SB 2271
concurance

sentative of a proprietary hospital to the Illinois Health Facilities Planning Board. This does not create another seat on the board but merely fills a vacancy because health service corporations no longer qualify to be on the board. I don't know of any opposition and I would move to concur.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator D'Arco has moved to concur with House Amendment 1...House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2105. Discussion? If not, those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 50, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2105 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate bills...Secretary's Desk is Senate Bill 2108, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 2108...with House Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would move to nonconcur with House Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator D'Arco has moved to nonconcur with House Amendment 4 to...4 to Senate Bill 2108. Discussion? Senator...Senator Vadalabene. Your light is on. All right. Senator D'Arco moves to nonconcur with House Amendment No. 4 to...Senate Bill 2108. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. 2210, Senator Rock. Senator Rock on 2210. 2271, Senator Savickas. All right, Secretary's Desk Concurrence, middle of page 13, Senate Bill 2271, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 2271 with House Amendment 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Savickas.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Yes, Mr. President, House Amendment No. 1...my understanding of it anyway, it would establish a trauma center designation process in Illinois and the amendment provides for different levels of trauma center designation. It gives the State injunctive power against undesignated hospitals that claim to be trauma centers, and the bill has the support of the Illinois Hospital Association and the Illinois Department of Public Health. As I understand, it was put in at the...Public Health Department's request. I would move that the Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Savickas has moved to concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2271. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by...if not, those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 56, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2271 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. We will now go back to the beginning and start over and go right back down the line again. If you move over...turn back to Secretary's Desk, page 8 on your Calendar, start at the beginning on the Concurrence Calendar and then we will go to the nonconcurrence...Senator Lemke, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR LEMKE:

There's a resolution in there...Senate Resolution 1110 which we'd like to have. I thought this would be the appro-

priate time to...to go to Order of Resolutions to have this immediate...commendatory and an anniversary.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, why don't you just hold it until we get down this order of business and we'll come back to it. Okay, Senator? Is leave granted? Leave is granted. We'll come back to it. Okay. On the Order of Secretary's Desk Concurrence is 1611, Senator Poshard. Okay, on the Order of Secretary's Desk is Senate Bill 1611, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1611 with House Amendments 1 and 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I would move to nonconcur in House Amendment 1. I think that's already been put in in another place, and I would move to concur with House Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, then...let's start with the motion on concurrence. Senator Poshard has moved to concur with House Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 1611. Is there discussion? Senator Etheredge. All right, not on this question. All right. Further discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate concur with Amendment...House Amendment 3 to Senate Bill...Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

I beg your pardon, Mr. President. I do have a question on the motion on Amendment No. 3. As I understand it, this is an appropriation but that there is no substantive language.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Senator Etheredge, I think that may be true.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Then I would ask that you move to nonconcur...since the money we could not...couldn't spend the money. I...I see Senator Carroll has his hand up.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Senator Etheredge, we have learned since we last met on this when we agreed with you, there being no substantive language we should not do this, that, in fact, there is substantive language. The Governor signed into law last year what was then Senate Bill 730. Unfortunately, I don't have the Public Act number, but it was signed into law as part of the educational reform package, and the reform package included the authority to have the community college do some work in high school drop-out prevention. So, the substantive authority, according to the House, does exist as part of the reform package.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I wonder if...if you would take this out of the record for a few moments so that we could review this situation with you and gather some additional information.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

I would be happy to do that, Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, take it out of the record. All right, I...before we leave that page, let...let us return to 1468,

Senator...1468, Senator Maitland. All right. Secretary's Desk Concurrence, Senate Bill 1468, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1468 with House Amendment 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 1468 was the original Chicago speed-up bill that we had here last year and when it went to the House, as you may recall, it was doctored up with a rather extensive amendment. Most of the components of that amendment now have in other bills passed out of this General Assembly; therefore, my motion will be to nonconcur in House Amendment No. 1 and our hope is the bill will go back there, the House will recede and then this bill will be the bill that causes the Chicago speedup in State aid payment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? All right, Senator Maitland has moved to nonconcur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1468. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Page 9, Senate Bill 1666, Senator Maitland. 1666, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1666 with House Amendments 1 and 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 1666 will be used, hopefully, in conference to do two or three things, all of which are noncontroversial. Among them will be the language to take care of the additional year of hold-harmless for the

elementaries and, therefore, once again, my motion would be to nonconcur in House Amendments 1 and 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Maitland has moved to nonconcur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1666. There discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. All right, with leave of the Body, 1698...leave to have Senator Carroll handle that? All right, leave is granted. On the Order of Secretary's Desk Concurrence, Senate Bill 1698, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1698 with House Amendments Noed. 1, 2 and 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. On Senate Bill 1698, I would move that we do concur in Amendment No. 1 and 3 and that...that would be final action. I would then move that we nonconcur in No. 2. It's my understanding the House will recede from that, but for now, concur in Amendments 1 and 3. This allows the Comptroller some additional funds for the...CUSA system and would ask that we do concur.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved that the Senate concur with House Amendments 1 and 3...Senate Bill 1698. Discussion? If not, those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur in House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1698 and the bill having received the...all right, and we do concur in House Amendments 1 and

3. Senator Carroll on the motion to nonconcur on Amendment No. 2. Discussion? All right, Senator Carroll moves to nonconcur in Amendment...House Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1698. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. 1728, Senator Etheredge. 1737, Senator Etheredge...oh, I'm sorry, we've already taken that. Page 10, Senator Watson on 1740. 1747, Senator Donahue. Senator Donahue on the Floor? Senator Donahue on the Floor? 1749, Senator Etheredge. 1749. All right, Secretary's Desk Concurrence is...is Senate Bill 1749, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1749 with House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8...and 8.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

(Machine cutoff)...thank you, Mr. President. I move to concur in all the House amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge has...has moved to concur with House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7. Discussion? All right. I'm sorry. Senator Etheredge has moved to concur with House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 8. Discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8 to Senate Bill 1749. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur with House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 8 to Senate Bill 1749 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 1750, Senator Schaffer. House bills 3rd reading...I...I mean, House bills,

Secretary's Desk Concurrence is Senate Bill 1750, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1750 with House Amendment 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

I would move to concur in House Amendment No. 1. End of discussion.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Schaffer has moved to concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1750. Discussion? Senator Darrow.

SENATOR DARROW:

Thank you, Mr. President. With the Gramm-Rudman cutbacks, the quad-city area lost their...analyst for unemployment figures...this amendment would put it back in; however, we lost the analyst because of a lack of Federal funds and, unfortunately, this amendment appropriates Federal funds that really don't exist. What we'll have to do is come back and find some general revenue funds somewhere, but we might as well concur with it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Schaffer has moved concurrence of House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1750. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does...the Senate does concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1750 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Bottom of page 10 is Senate Bill 1755, Senator...Senator Karpziel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I would like to...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Karpel, could we just...just hold up a second. (Machine cutoff)...Senator Karpel is recognized on Senate Bill 1755.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Having voted on the prevailing side, I would now like to reconsider the vote by...by which that bill was passed and move to nonconcur...I mean, move to concur, rather, with House Amendment No. 1 on Senate Bill 1755.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Karpel having voted on the prevailing side moves to reconsider the vote by which House Amendment No. 1 was nonconcurrent with. All right, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Senator...Senator DeAngelis. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The vote is reconsidered. Senator Karpel now moves to concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1755. Discussion? Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

No, I want...personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1755. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1755 having received the required constitutional majority...on that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur with House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1755 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator

DeAngelis, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. A point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

State your point.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

In the gallery right behind us we have Dr. and Mrs John Morton and Ricky. Dr. Morton has been recognized nationally by the Valley Forge Freedom Foundation as one of the outstanding teachers. He also teaches a course in economics to teachers. I would like for the whole group to stand up and be recognized.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

If our guests in the gallery will please rise and be recognized. The group of three. Page 11. Senator Karpiel on 1766. Senate...Senate...all right, Secretary's Desk, bottom of page 11, Senate Bill 1766, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1766 with House Amendment 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move to concur with House Amendment 1 on Senate Bill 1766.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Karpiel has moved concurrence with the House amendment to Senate Bill 1766. Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1766. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 52 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. The Senate does concur with House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1766 and the bill having

received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Top of page 12, Senator Fawell on 1772. On the Order of Secretary's Desk Concurrence, Senate Bill 1772, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1772 with House Amendment 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President. I ask for concurrence on House Amendment No. 1 which is...and I would ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDENT:

Discussion? Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Will the sponsor yield to a question? Is this the amendment that replaces the automobile that was taken out by the Senate?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Yes.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Well, wasn't the automobile replaced because it didn't meet the guidelines that...didn't have over fifty thousand miles on it?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

This is your amendment for...in the House for your people.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

In that...by way of explanation, Mr. President and members of the Senate, Senator Lechowicz is correct that at the time they filled out their forms with us, it had something like fifty-two thousand miles. They had indicated that they were putting on something like thirty thousand miles a year; by now, it's up to sixty some odd, and by the time they intend to have the replacement car, it will be well over the guideline.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Further discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1772. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1772 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Point of...personal privilege, Mr. President and Ladies and...Gentlemen of the Senate.

PRESIDENT:

State your point.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

I'd like everyone here to meet the very charming and lovely wife for our...of State Senator David Barkhausen, Sue Barkhausen, who is sitting in his chair...from Lake County.

PRESIDENT:

Sue, welcome to Springfield.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

She...she has made a marked difference on him.

PRESIDENT:

All right, page 13. Let's go to the Order of Nonconcurrency. We'll run through those quickly. If I can have your attention, on the Order of Secretary's Desk Nonconcurrency, the motion will be to recede from the amendment which would be tantamount to final passage or to refuse to recede and ask that a Conference Committee be appointed. On the Order of Secretary's Desk Nonconcurrency, House Bill 523, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 523 with Senate Amendment 1 and...no, Senate Amendment 2, I'm sorry.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment No. 2 would allow the sale of beer at...at certain marinas, including the Windfield Marina in Fulton, in Senator Schuneman's district. I understand that this amendment has been put on another bill. So, at this time, I move that the Senate recede from Senate Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 523.

PRESIDENT:

All right, the gentleman is moving that the Senate recede from Senate Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 523. Is there any discussion? There any discussion? All right, the gentleman has moved that the Senate recede from Amendment No. 2...Senate Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 523. Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate recede from Senate Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 523. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 50, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate recedes from Senate Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 523 and the

bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Netsch on 1321. Senator Harovitz on...House Bill 2785. Senator Welch on House Bill 3036. Senator Vadalabene on House Bill 3162. Senator Demuzio on House Bill 3351. Senator Barkhausen on House Bill 3525. On the Order of Secretary's Desk Nonconcurrency, the middle of page 14, is House Bill 3525, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3525 with Senate Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Mr. President, I would ask that we refuse to recede from Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 3525 and ask that a Conference Committee be appointed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

You've heard the motion. Senator Barkhausen moves that the Senate refuse to recede from the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 3525 and that a Conference Committee be appointed. All those in favor...signify by saying Aye. Those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it and the motion carries, and the Secretary shall so inform the House. House Bill 3549, Senator Etheredge. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3549 with Senate Amendment 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Mr. President and members, I move that the Senate not recede from Senate Amendment No. 1 and request a Committee of Conference.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

All right, you've heard the motion. Senator Etheredge moves that the Senate refuse to recede from the adoption of

Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3549 and that a Conference Committee be appointed. All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House of the failure of Amendment No. 1 to 3549.

PRESIDENT:

Messages from the House.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill with the following title:

Senate Bill 2061 with House Amendment 1.

Mr. President - I'm directed to inform the Senate the House of Representatives has refused to concur with the Senate in the adoption of their amendments to a bill with the following title:

House Bill 2642 with Senate Amendment No. 1.

And House Bill 3058...refused to concur with the Senate in the adoption of Senate Amendment 4 to House Bill 3058.

PRESIDENT:

Secretary's Desk. All right, with leave of the Body, we'll go back to the Order of Secretary's Desk Nonconcurrency. Middle of page 13 is House Bill 1321. Mr. Secretary, House Bill 1321.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 1321 with Senate Amendment 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

I would move that the Senate refuse to recede from Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1321 and that we request a Conference Committee.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Netsch has moved that the Senate refuse to recede from the adoption of...Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1321 and that a Conference Committee be appointed. All in favor of that motion indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Messages from the House.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has refused to concur with the Senate in the adoption of their amendments to a bill with the following title:

House Bill 3340 with Senate Amendments 1, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8. Nonconcurrent in by the House June 26th, 1986. John F. O'Brien, Clerk of the House.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Savickas, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, I would rise and seek leave to have the Committee on Executive discharged from further consideration of House Joint Resolution...198, it's the sunset review House joint resolution, and placed on the Calendar on the Order of Secretary's Desk Resolutions for consideration.

PRESIDENT:

All right, you've heard the motion as placed by Senator Savickas on House Joint Resolution 148. He's moved to discharge the committee from further consideration and asked that it be placed on the Calendar on the Order of Secretary's Desk. Any discussion? Senator Schuneman. 198, I beg your pardon. Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

I...this is the first I've heard of this, Mr. President.
What's the...what's the nature of the resolution?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Savickas.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Yes, Senator Schuneman, the resolution was introduced and assigned to Executive. It came over here, I imagine, two or three days ago...four days ago and...the basic summary is that it creates the Joint Committee on State Regulation of Professions and Occupations and the committee is composed of eight legislative members and four public members appointed proportionately by the four principal legislative leaders, and the committee shall review and recommend action on those regulatory Acts scheduled to be repealed on 12-31 of '87. The...the House sponsor is Speaker Madigan, the Senate sponsors are Savickas and Netsch, and...that's the basic joint resolution.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

I wonder if the gentleman will take it out of the record for a minute so we can at least talk about it. I...we may not have...we may have no objection, but I guess at this point we would like to talk about it.

PRESIDENT:

Take it out of the record, Mr. Secretary. Senator Lemke, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR LEMKE:

To go to the Order of Resolutions to suspend the rules to have a commendatory...a congratulatory resolution adopted, Senate Resolution 1110.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Lemke has moved to suspend the rules for the purpose of considering Senate Resolution 1110 which

currently resides on the Consent Calendar. It is a congratulatory resolution and has to be moved rather quickly to accomplish the purpose. All right, Senator Lemke has moved to suspend the rules for the immediate consideration and adoption of Senate Resolution 1110. All in favor of the motion to suspend indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The rules are suspended. Senator Lemke now moves the adoption of Senate Resolution 1110. All in favor of the adoption indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The resolution is adopted. Any further business to come before the Senate? Any announcements? For the information of the members of the Senate, the House has indicated they will be calling the resolution on the Compensation Review Board recommendation at approximately four o'clock and so we have effectively concluded our business for today. We will read...or finish the paper that the Secretary has and then we will be adjourning until noon tomorrow, twelve o'clock noon on Friday, and tomorrow we will be in a position to determine the weekend schedule. Let me just say that Senator Philip and I conferred with the other two leaders, we will be in Session this weekend but not for...it appears, not for very long, be we will be here both days because the conferees, particularly on Appropriations, will have to be meeting. Resolutions, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 1124 offered by Senator Schaffer. It's congratulatory.

1125, by Senator O'Daniel. It's congratulatory.

And Senate Joint Resolution 175, by Senator Degnan and it's congratulatory.

PRESIDENT:

Consent Calendar.

SECRETARY:

And Senate...Senate Resolution 1126, by Senator

Sangmeister.

PRESIDENT:

Executive. All right, any further business to come before the Senate? If not, Senator Vadalabene moves that the Senate stand adjourned until Friday, 27th day of June, at the hour of noon, noon tomorrow. Senate stands adjourned. Early tea time. Yeah, all right.

03/11/88
13:59

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SENATE

DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

JUNE 26, 1986

HB-0523 NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	67
HB-1321 NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	69
HB-3525 NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	68
HB-3549 NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	68
SB-1468 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	58
SB-1519 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	10
SB-1602 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	11
SB-1607 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	3
SB-1610 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	11
SB-1611 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	12
SB-1611 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	57
SB-1611 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	12
SB-1611 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	58
SB-1629 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	13
SB-1639 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	13
SB-1666 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	59
SB-1673 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	5
SB-1698 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	60
SB-1698 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	14
SB-1698 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	14
SB-1709 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	14
SB-1737 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	15
SB-1738 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	15
SB-1739 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	24
SB-1747 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	17
SB-1747 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	24
SB-1748 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	25
SB-1749 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	61
SB-1750 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	61
SB-1751 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	26
SB-1752 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	27
SB-1753 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	27
SB-1754 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	29
SB-1755 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	62
SB-1756 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	30
SB-1757 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	37
SB-1758 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	46
SB-1759 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	38
SB-1760 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	42
SB-1761 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	42
SB-1762 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	43
SB-1763 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	44
SB-1764 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	44
SB-1765 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	45
SB-1766 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	64
SB-1770 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	46
SB-1771 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	47
SB-1772 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	65
SB-1773 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	47
SB-1774 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	48
SB-1775 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	49
SB-1778 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	49
SB-1808 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	50
SB-1814 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	51
SB-1822 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	51
SB-1841 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	52
SB-2018 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	53
SB-2020 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	54
SB-2105 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	54
SB-2108 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	55
SB-2271 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	55
SR-1110 ADOPTED	PAGE	71
SR-1123 ADOPTED	PAGE	3
SR-1124 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	72
SR-1125 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	72

03/11/88
13:59

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SENATE
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2

JUNE 26, 1986

SR-1126 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	72
HJR-0198 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	71
HJR-0198 DISCUSSED	PAGE	70
SJR-0175 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	72

SUBJECT MATTER

SENATE TO ORDER - SENATOR DEMUZIO	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND ROGER ROMINGER	PAGE	1
JOURNALS - POSTPONED	PAGE	1
MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE	PAGE	1
INTRO. OF GUEST - SENATOR KUSTRA	PAGE	40
MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE	PAGE	69
MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE	PAGE	70
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	73