

84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

JUNE 24, 1986

PRESIDENT:

The Senate will please come to order. Will the members be at their desks. Will our guests in the please rise. Prayer this morning by the Reverend Victor Kaltenschach, St. Patrick's Catholic Church, Springfield, Illinois. Father.

REVEREND VICTOR KALTENBACH:

{Prayer given by Reverend Kaltenschach}

PRESIDENT:

Thank you, Father. Reading of the Journal, Mr. Secretary. Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I move that the reading and approval of Journals of Tuesday, June 17th; Wednesday, June 18th; Thursday, June 19th; Friday, June 20th and Monday, June 23rd, in the year 1986, be postponed pending arrival of the printed Journals.

PRESIDENT:

You've heard the motion as placed by Senator Smith. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and it is so ordered. Messages from the House, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of the following bills...Senate bills with...together with House amendments:

Senate Bill...233, House Amendment 1.

943 with House Amendment 1.

1320 with House Amendments 1 through 8.

1517 with House Amendment 2.

1564 with House Amendments 1 and 2.

1565, House Amendments 1, 3 and 4.

1570, House Amendments 1 and 4.
1576, House Amendment 1.
...1612, House Amendment 1.
1624, House Amendments 1 and 2.
1634, House Amendments 1 and 2.
1673, House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 6, 7 and 8.
1700, House Amendment 1.
1706, House Amendment 1.
1709, House Amendments 1 through 4.
1711, House Amendment 1.
1728, House Amendment 2.
1783, House Amendment 1.
1799, House Amendments 1 and 2.
1809, House Amendments 1, 2, 4 and 5.
1838, House Amendments 1 through 4.
1845, House Amendments 1 and 6.
1855, House Amendments 1, 2 and 3.
1858, House Amendments 1 and 2.
1931, House Amendments 1, 2 and 3.
1934, House Amendments 1 and 2.
1942, House Amendment 1.
1946, House Amendment 2.
1957, House Amendments 1, 2, 4 and 5.
1991, House Amendments 1, 2, 4 and 6.
2003, House Amendments 1 through 4.
2017, House Amendments 1 and 2.
2020, House Amendments 5, 6 and 7.
2076, House Amendments 1 and 2.
2077, House Amendment 1.
2091, House Amendment 1.
2100, House Amendment 2.
2108, House Amendment 4.
2129, House Amendment 2.
2165, House Amendments 1 and 3 through 3.

2191, House Amendments 1, 2 and 3.
2192, House Amendment 1.
2193, House Amendment 1.
2194, House Amendment 1.
2196, House Amendments 1 and 2.
2212, House Amendments 1 and 2.
2271, House Amendments 1.
2255, House Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 8.
2283, House Amendment 1.
2285, House Amendments 1 and 3.
2292, House Amendments 1, 2 and 3.
And 2293, House Amendments 1, 3 and 4.

Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate the House of Representatives has adopted the following joint resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

House Joint Resolution 98.

Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate the House of Representatives refused to recede from Amendment No. 2 to a bill with the following title, to-wit:

Senate Bill 1200.

I'm further directed to inform the Senate that they request a first conference and the Speaker has appointed the members on the part of the House.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Vadalabene will...with leave of the Body, will move...in place of Senator Rock that the Senate ✓
acceed to the request of the House for a Conference Committee. All in favor of the motion to accceed indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The Senate does ✓
acceed to the request of the House. If I can have your attention, we will begin on page 4...when we begin, on the Order of

House Bills 2nd Reading and go right down the line on the House appropriation bills that have been subject to Senate...are subject to Senate amendment. Then we...we...we will move to page 12 on the Calendar and go through the Order of Secretary's Desk Concurrence and Secretary's Desk Nonconcurrence. I'm sure we will be able to conclude our business right around five o'clock, so those of you who have plans to make...Senator Berman's got his tennis court reserved, but we'll plan on concluding our business approximately 5:00 p.m. Resolutions, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 1096 offered by Senator Topinka. It's congratulatory.

1097, by Senators Topinka and Zito, congratulatory.

Senate Resolution 1098, by Senator Woodyard, congratulatory.

1099, by Senator...Vadalabene, congratulatory.

1100, by Senator Mahar, congratulatory.

And 1101, by Senator Lemke and it is congratulatory.

PRESIDENT:

Consent Calendar, Mr. Secretary. Senator DeAngelis, for what purpose do you arise, sir?

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Mr. President, I wonder if it would be proper for me to ask for the suspension of the rules and have Senate Resolution 1095 be adopted. It's congratulatory. It's for the doctor who delivered me and he is retiring this weekend.

PRESIDENT:

You want a roll call on that one, I suppose.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

I...I told him he better never hit me again, too.

PRESIDENT:

That request certainly is in order. Senator DeAngelis has moved, with leave of the Body, to go to the Order of

Senate Resolutions for the purpose of the immediate consideration and adoption of Senate Resolution 1095. Senator DeAngelis has moved to suspend the rules for the purpose of the immediate consideration of Senate Resolution 1095. All in favor of the motion to suspend indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The rules are suspended. Now before the Body, Senate Resolution 1095, Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

I would like to move for the adoption of that very proper resolution.

PRESIDENT:

Senator DeAngelis has moved the adoption of Senate Resolution 1095. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The resolution is adopted. Senator Luft, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR LUFT:

For the purpose of an announcement, Mr. President. If the members and everyone within my voice would...would listen, tonight at Lanphier Park is Senate President Phil Rock's Night. The pre-game ceremonies are at six forty-five and the game is seven. The game is between the Springfield Cardinals and the Peoria Chiefs. The tickets are only two dollars. They should be bought in advance because if they are bought in advance, the proceeds go to the Maryville Academy for Children. So, if you have a desire or need for tickets, I hope you would either contact Danny Day, Nancy Fritzsche or myself.

PRESIDENT:

Senator DeAngelis, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to have leave of the Body to have Senator Geo-Karis shown as hyphenated sponsor of Senate Bill 1570. 1-5-7-0 so she can get her

press release out.

PRESIDENT:

That a House bill?

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

...Senate bill...

PRESIDENT:

Senate bill, all right. Gentleman seeks leave to have Senator...Geo-Karis added as a cosponsor of Senate Bill 1570. Without objection, leave is granted. My understanding is that the...we are rounding up people with amendments to the appropriation bills. We will begin approximately in fifteen minutes. We'll just stand at ease for a few minutes. All right, Senators Hall and Carroll and Sommer and Etheredge and Schaffer and et al, if we're ready, we will begin. We will begin at the top of House bills 2nd reading and handle the House appropriation bills that are subject to Senate amendments. Tomorrow is the deadline for the final passage of House appropriation bills. Then, if time permits, we will move to the Order of Secretary's Desk Concurrence with the understanding that will conclude our business at approximately five o'clock so that those members who have other meetings...I'm told that the insurance summit meeting has been rescheduled for five o'clock this evening. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, 2625, Senator Fawell. Senator Fawell on the Floor? 2688, Senator Carroll. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 2688. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2688.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment would reduce the appropriation to make it into a potential bill to be used later in the Session. It would reduce it down to a dollar and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2688. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Sangmeister on 2878. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 2878. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2878.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you...thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 on this and the other bills will be the guidelines amendment that will bring the office down to the level that had been set by

the committee when we were dealing with the Senate bills and deletes a...a certain permanent improvements. I would move adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill...2878. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 2974, Senator Fawell. 2975, Senator Sommer. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 2975. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2975.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment, a cut of some seventy-one thousand three hundred. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2975. Any discussion?

If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Fawell has rejoined us from her meeting. Senator Fawell, you wish to pick up 2625? Middle of page 4, at the top, on the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 2625. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2625.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment and...and giving the monies necessary for judges and the adjustments of EDP. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2625. Any discussion? If not, all in favor will indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee Amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. As we have done with other agencies where there were some problems in the way we had allocated the guidelines and they needed some monies in certain lines, they have identified for us some less harmful cuts in order to replace some monies they felt they needed more strongly; therefore, Floor Amendment No. 1 would make those dollar changes as a reallocation and have no other dollar impact. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2625. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 2974. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2974.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment for military and naval, a reduction of some hundred and ninety-six thousand. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2974. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Sommer on 2976. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 2976, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2976.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment for the Commerce Commission, let me add that we have been attempting to resolve a difference. They've been trying to do some reallocations. We think that is being drafted now; however, it is not ready, so I would suggest we adopt Amendment No. 1

and ask the sponsor for leave to bring it back later for the other amendment when and if it's ready, and I would move adoption of the guidelines amendment, Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2976. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 2977. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2977.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment is to double the appropriation so that Senator Sommer will have a vehicle bill available. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2977. Any discussion? If not,

all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Top of page 5, Senator Donahue on 2978. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 2978. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2978.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines amendment and, in...addition, adds some Federal dollars and makes a transfer from the Fire Prevention Fund. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2978. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The...on Committee Amendment No. 2 is to add grants for the organizing expenses of fire protection districts, five thousand dollars. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2978. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Dudyycz on 2979. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 2979. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2979.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is a guidelines type amendment. It transfers three million from the Dramshop Fund

to the GRF. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2979. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Schaffer on 2980. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 2980. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2980.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is a guidelines amendment and, in addition, eliminates an attempt to make FY '86 payments in FY '87. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2980. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amend-

ments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 2981. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 2981. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2981.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2981. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Schaffer on 2982. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, middle of page 5, is House Bill 2982. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2982.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriation I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. As in the last one, this labor relations board is subject to the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2982. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Maitland on 2983. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 2983. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2983.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II

offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the guideline committee that the Senate has put into effect, and what it does, it deletes the funding for four of the eight positions. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2983.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2983. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Fawell on 2984. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 2984. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2984.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the guidelines commit-

tee and it eliminates legal fees and I'd move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2984.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2984. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment would add back the funding for three positions that they now have on board that they had originally told us were vacant and this would, therefore, fund them for the next fiscal year. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2984. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

I beg your pardon, Senator Jones. Okay. 2985, Senator Maitland. On the Order of...I beg your pardon, 3rd reading. On the Order of House Bills 2nd reading is House Bill 2985. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2985.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Leitch on 2986. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 2986. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2986.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the transfer of nineteen million dollars to the Loan Guarantee Fund and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2986. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Etheredge on 2987. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 2987. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2987.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the guidelines amendment and it eliminates rent until the agency knows where they will go. It also eliminates legal fees, out-of-state travel nonauthorized GRRF grants. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2987. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Now that the agency has gotten together with...CHS

and determined what the rents will be and certain other fees, we...have suggested that those be added back in Amendment No. 2. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2987. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Donahue, 2988. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, bottom of page 5, is House Bill 2988. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2988.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II offers eight amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee...Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines committee for the Department of Adjustments and I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2988. Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Yeah, thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield?

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor of the amendment or the sponsor of the bill?

SENATOR JONES:

Sponsor of the amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates he'll yield, Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Senator Hall, could you tell us how many vacancies...the Department of Conservation had...vacant positions?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

(Machine cutoff)...Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you. The...as of this date, February the 23th, Senator Jones, there were two hundred and fifty-five.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

You say as of February the 28th? What about as of...June the 1st when we did...the staff did the analysis, how many vacancies did they have?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Well, we're checking on that, Senator Jones, I'll get you that figure. We don't have that exact figure right now.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Well, the reason why I'm asking the question 'cause you...you have the guidelines amendment and I don't...I would like to know what's in the guidelines amendment...what was taken out and if you funded any of the vacant positions and how many months funding did you give those positions?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

For the vacant positions, we gave them eight month's funding, Senator Jones.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

But you don't have the total number of...of positions that were vacant and how many you are funding for FY '87?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

That was based on the February figure and we based that on that figure, and we will get the one for June as soon as it is available.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Any further discussion? Senator Hall moves...for the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2988. All those in favor signify by saying Aye. All those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2988 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Committee Amendment No. 2 adds one hundred and three dollars and twenty-two cents for typesetting and Build Illinois signs. I move for the adoption of that amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Any discussion? If not, Senator Hall moves for the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2988. All those in favor signify by saying Aye. All those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it and Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2988 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Committee Amendment No. 3, Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 3 reduces the House add-ons. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Any discussion? If not, Senator Hall moves for the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 2988. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Those opposed Nay. Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 2988 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you. Amendment No. 4 adds seventy-five thousand for Lockport Gaylord Building. I move for the adoption of that amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Any discussion? If not, Senator Hall moves for the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 2988. All those in favor signify by saying Aye. All those opposed Nay and Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 2988 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 5.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 5 adds two hundred and fifty thousand dollars to the City of Sycamore recreation area, and I'd move for the adoption of...of Amendment No. 5.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Hall moves for the adoption of Amendment No. 5 to

House Bill 2988. All those in favor signify by saying Aye. All those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 5 to House Bill 2988 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 6.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 6 is the Schaffer Fox-Chain O'Lakes operation money to the tune of seventy-five thousand dollars and I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 6.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Hall moves for the adoption of Amendment No. 6 to House Bill 2988. All those in favor signify by saying Aye. All those opposed Nay. Excuse me, Senator Hall...I mean, Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Mr. President. Would the sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

He indicates he will.

SENATOR JONES:

Senator Hall, these last series of amendments including this one, are these all add-ons?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Hall...I mean...yeah, Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Yes, they're add-ons, Senator Jones.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

They are not falling within the purview of the one percent guidelines that you stated earlier?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

No, Senator, they don't fall within that purview.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

So...so...so, then...therefore, then what you're saying to us, in essence, then that there is no one percent guideline as such.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

The Senate guidelines are in operation, Senator Jones. There is a one percent in all operations of this department.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Any further discussion? If not, Senator Hall moves for the adoption of Amendment No. 6 to House Bill 2988. All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Those Nay and...Amendment No. 6 to House Bill 2988 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 7.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

This is to publish...Amendment No. 7 is to publish and...distribute outdoor...distribute "Outdoor Highlights" and special lines and that's two hundred and twenty thousand dollars.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEHUZIO:

Well, Senator Hall, this is...this is an additional add-on. Can you tell me, is this a...what all takes place in

this publishing and the distributing of these "Outdoor Highlights"? Why...why is this an add-on? I get this at my office now. Why are we putting in another two hundred and twenty thousand? Has this got anything to do with any continuing appropriation or anything other than...just this magazine?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Yes, Senator Demuzio, this is the money that comes for selling magazines.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Selling what magazine? The magazines I get come into my office are, in fact, free. Why are we...why are we putting in two hundred and twenty thousand dollars for magazines that...that are now going to be up for resale, if that's what you're telling me?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

It's probably a courtesy that they send them to your office, Senator, but they do charge for them, that was the understanding that our committee was given.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Then why isn't it in their regular budget? Why is this an add-on?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Well, I...I just don't have that answer. Now the depart-

ment was the one that we can certainly get that answer for you...I'll be happy to ask them, but we just didn't have it our hand. Senator Etheredge, would you...be a...maybe you can answer that question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This...this is an add-on; however, it would be...compensated for by additional revenue that would be generated through the sales of the various publications, the Highlights being only one of those publications, but there are...there are others as well that would generate an amount of revenue that would be equal to the additional expenditure that you see here.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEHUZIO:

Well, Mr. President, if this is now going to be established in some kind of a revolving account, then is the appropriations process in the...in the Senate...do we still have the...the control over that appropriations process? I mean, if we're selling these magazines, it's now coming into a revolving account...are they coming back to the Legislature each year for approval on what they do?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

You're absolutely correct. They must come back every year for the Legislature...or the Senate to reappropriate, Senator Demuzio.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Any further discussion? Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEHUZIO:

It's my understanding that there are many other states around in the Great Lakes region where this is getting is to be a very costly, costly enterprise. You know, you just keep getting more and more and more freebies and less and less those who purchase the magazine. I...I would suggest to you that those who are concerned about this one ought to watch this one because this one might be a great expense for an awful long period of time into the future.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you,...Senator, I assure you that we will be looking into that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Any further discussion? Senator Hall moves for the adoption of Amendment No. 7 to House Bill 2988. All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Those opposed Nay. Amendment No. 7 to House Bill 2988 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 8.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Committee Amendment No. 8 is the Nongame Checkoff Fund, a hundred and twenty thousand dollars. I move for the adoption of that amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Hall moves the...for the adoption of Amendment No. 8 to House Bill 2988. All those in favor signify by saying Aye. All those opposed Nay. Amendment No. 8 to House Bill 2988 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 9 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREEDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is an amendment which would reappropriate six hundred thousand dollars to the DuPage County Forest Preserve District in order to...to fund an ongoing project, projects that we had funded in the previous year. I'd be happy to answer any questions you have about this project, but it is a reappropriation and the six hundred thousand dollars is the amount of money that is needed in FY '87 to carry on the projects.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Any discussion? Senator Etheredge moves for the adoption of Amendment No. 9 to House Bill 2988. All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Senator Demuzio, you seek recognition?

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Yeah, I sure do. I...thank you, Mr. President. I'm looking at these next several amendments here and, you know, they're pretty substantial. Senator Etheredge, if this is a reappropriation, why is it not in the reappropriation bill? Why are we messing around with it in this bill? I...I don't understand the process here, maybe...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREEDGE:

It...it was originally in that bill at a...at a million and a half dollars and there were some questions raised about what part of the project...how...what part of that money would actually be required to continue the projects in FY '87

and...so that we're offering this amendment. We've now determined that figure to be six hundred thousand dollars, so that's the reason it is appearing here in the form of a Floor amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Is there any further discussion? Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, again, I mean, you know, here goes another six hundred thousand dollars in the conservation budget. It seems to me, you know, there's three or four additional...more amendments coming up here that are in the millions, so...want to point it out to everyone.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Further discussion? Senator Rock. Any further discussion? If not, Senator Etheredge moves for the adoption of Amendment No. 9 to House Bill 2988. All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 9 to House Bill 2988 is adopted. Any further Floor amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 10, by Senator Philip.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. 2.55 million, Build Illinois Bond Fund, Department of Conservation to match with the DuPage County Forest Preserve for acquisition of land for flooding and recreation. Move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Any discussion? If not, Senator Philip moves...Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

Senate. I heard Senator Philip's explanation. I think he's obviously drafted the amendment to the wrong bill. This bill is Department of Conservation, he's seeking Build Illinois funds. While technically that may not be a hundred percent incorrect, it is clearly not the intent of the General Assembly to have Build Illinois spread all over the world, although there are some who think it should be. This project, if at all, should be part of the allocation of Build Illinois funds and not an addition thereto by putting it within the...Department of Conservation's budget. We should be dealing with Build Illinois as Build Illinois, and I do not believe we have the additional funds available to start adding to these various budgets things that have not been at least approved by the second floor. To the best of my knowledge, at this stage, this has not. I would suggest the minority leader may be able to get it that way but not at this point in this budget and if so in Build and I would urge opposition to the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Further discussion? Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Well, I...I just wanted to...reiterate what Senator Carroll has said because at this present time that we haven't seen any indication that this was to be included.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Any further discussion? Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. It's what we call insurance. Who knows what's going to happen to Build Illinois over in the other Chamber? Maybe you have a crystal ball, I certainly don't, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

All right, Senator Philip moves for the adoption of Amendment No. 10 to House Bill...2983. All those in favor

signify by saying Aye. All those opposed Nay. There's been a request for a roll call by Senator Demuzio. All those in favor of Amendment No. 10 to House Bill 2986 will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Secretary. On that question, the Ayes are 30, the Nays 25, none voting Present. Amendment No. 10 to House Bill 2988 passes...is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 11, by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. What this amendment does is reduce expenditures by a million dollars GRF and four hundred and fifty-one thousand dollars in grant funds for a total of one million four hundred and fifty-one thousand dollars.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Discussion? Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Well, I want to alert everybody on this side that this amendment would delete all the Democrat projects. Now if we're going to come to this...that we just had one go on as assurance, but now for this amendment goes on, it means that every one of your projects are being deleted and I'd like to call that to your attention on this side of the aisle. So, I have to oppose this amendment, Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Further discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Well, I was just going to ask Senator Etheredge if he'd read...read a list of the projects he's deleting.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

...the Illinois Conservation Corporation, that's one million dollars GRF, then there are four others that are grant...grant monies; the Pope County...boat ramp, the City of Hennepin recreation area, the City of Peoria recreation area and the Moline Sports Complex. Those four projects total four hundred and fifty-one thousand dollars.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

I believe it was, Senator...it was Peru and not Peoria, Senator Etheredge, unless you want to delete both Peru and Peoria? You said Peoria, your amendment says Peru.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

I beg your pardon, I...I misread this. Peru is correct.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right, further discussion? Senator Rock. Senator Etheredge, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Well, I...I think that we...by introducing the amendment, we've got some attention directed to...to some of these projects and I think we've accomplished one of our objectives. I would withdraw the amendment, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right, Senator Etheredge has...withdraws Amendment No. 11. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 11 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'm tentatively offering this amendment since this was a request from the administration to restore...a cut we had made in the budget and that is for the expansion of Shedd Aquarium. We do have the Doctor Bob verbal suggestion and the Governor's request that we do add back this money. It's for five million dollars and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 11 to House Bill 2988. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 11 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

3rd reading. 2989, Senator Sommer. On the Order of House Bills 2nd...Senator Sommer, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR SOMMER:

Would ask leave to hold this till the end of the appropriation's bill and move it at that time.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

All right, Senator Sommer has sought leave to hold this bill till the end of the process. Leave is granted. House Bill 2990, Senator Mahar. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 2990, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2990.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No...Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2990. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, Committee Amendment No. 2 is to add the funds necessary for the Illinois homeowners assistance law that's going through the General Assembly, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2...Committee Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2990. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

(Machine cutoff)...amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

3rd reading. 2991, Senator Davidson. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 2991, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2991.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers three amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2991. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 2 deletes the add-ons of the House that were general revenue in source and reduces some of them to last year's level. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee Amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I would move to Table Committee Amendment No. 3. Committee Amendment No. 3 had dealt with the...the Fitzpatrick House and a swap of funds so that they could finish it. The department has now advised Senator Sangmeister they do believe they could do it within the existing lines. I would, therefore, move to Table Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves to Table Committee Amendment No. 3. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 3 is Tabled. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Topinka.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes, Mr. President, popping up again. I would like to see restored one hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars to the Hoffman Tower Historic Site in the Village of Lyons which is now...it started life in my district after redistricting and wound up in Senator Lemke's district. It's felt by the department that this would finish the work that we have done on this property which is one of a handful of historic sites in Cook County owned by the Historic Preservation Agency. It has a direct impact on the economic development projects that are currently going on in that area, and why I would like to see it restored at this time is it not only completes the

project but if the money is not restored at this time, current construction will have to stop and, as a result, will have to be started up again assuming that further monies can be found down the road. It will cost an additional thirty thousand dollars if start-up costs have to be accommodated. It has received strong support from the Cook County Forest Preserve District on whose property it sits, and we'd like to see it done in time for the Lyons Centennial, so I would move for its restoration.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Discussion? Senator Lemke.

SENATOR LEMKE:

I rise in support of this amendment. This particular project is in my district and it's been a project that's been going on for about twenty years in restoration, and if we...cease getting the money now, it could end up having the building decay a little bit more and will cost more in the future. It's a program that we have set up and have tried to work over a period of time, and I think this money should be restored and I rise in favor of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Topinka has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 2991. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 5, by Senator Davidson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, Amendment No. 5 restores two permanent staff members for Jubilee College for the amount of forty-one thousand two hundred and ninety-six dollars. We've instructed...at Jubilee College which is now a

historical site to be open for the public's use. They must have people to staff it and this restores two staff positions to do that. The total amount, forty-one two hundred and ninety-six dollars. Move the adoption of Amendment No. 5.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Davidson has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 5. Discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Yes, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I rise in opposition to this and I think Senator Davidson is slightly in error in the way in which he posed the issue. These are not positions that were in the budget. This was an add-on by the House of people to staff permanently a college paid for out of State funds and not within the submission of the budget by the agency, and I would urge that we defeat this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, further discussion? Any further discussion? Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Part was not in the budget, but I understand, Senator Carroll, that the Bureau of the Budget had sent a memo up saying that these two position were approved for additions to the budget. That was the information given to me and I would like to see the amendment go on. If that...information is in error, then the Governor can take corrective action. I'd appreciate a favorable vote.

PRESIDENT:

Question is the adoption of Amendment No. 5 to House Bill 2991. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. Opinion of the Chair, the Noes have it. The amendment fails. House Bill...any further amendments, Mr. Secretary?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. House Bill 2992, Senator Schaffer. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2992.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a guidelines amendment for the Civil Service Commission. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2992. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. House Bill 2993, Senator Sommer. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 2993. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2993.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator...Hall on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move for the...Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines...and it's technical and I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2993.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2993. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Watson on 2994. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 2994. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2994.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Yes, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this is a guidelines amendment for the Department of R and E. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee

Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2994. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Etheredge on 2995. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, the middle of page 6, is House Bill 2995. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2995.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers four amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment 1 is the guidelines and the vacancies phased at eight months, new phased in at nine months, postage rate hikes eliminated as...third cost and undefined special demonstration projects. It deletes the House amendment which had substantial bill that failed at fifty-two percent of need and was delayed until May 1. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2995. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. It...Amendment No. 2 adds Domestic Violence Shelter Funds. We placed that back in and that is at a million dollars. I move for the adoption of that amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2995. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR HALL:

Well, we're asking for that...Amendment No. 3 be Tabled because the substantive bill failed and, therefore, I move for the adoption of that amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Hall has moved to Table Amendment No. 3...Committee Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 2995. Any discussion? If not, all in favor of the motion to Table indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is Tabled. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

This adds the cuts unavailable to the Federal money to a tune of three hundred thousand dollars and I'd move for the

adoption of that amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 2995. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 5, by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 5.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the amendment we have worked out with the department to make some adjustments in their operations, adding back some monies, making some transfers to allow them to keep on board those people they have, in fact, taken from the Bureau of...Department of Employment Security and to allow them to hire vacancies on a phased-in level and pay for those who are already on board in the various lines. In addition, they have suggested to us a decrease in general assistance because they believe the caseload will not need anywhere near the money that was in the line and I would move adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of...of Amendment No. 5 to House Bill 2995. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 6, by Senator Leitch.

Page 47 - JUNE 24, 1986

END OF REEL

REEL #2

PRESIDENT:

Senator Leitch on Amendment No. 6.

SENATOR LEITCH:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment is the same amendment that I had offered for the community mental...or the mental health budget and was advised to put on the public aid budget. It seeks to reimburse the Human Service Center in Peoria for some already expended grants and I'd ask for...approval, please.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Leitch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 6 to House Bill 2995. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 7, by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Etheredge on Amendment No. 7.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. What this amendment does is to delete fifteen million one hundred dollars general revenue funds, and what we are doing here is...would be to delete the increase from fifty percent to fifty-two percent of the standard of need. The...the bill as it sits right now does increase the standard of need to fifty-two percent beginning the first of April, 1987. The impact of this amendment is to pull that...to maintain the standard of need at the current level.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Etheredge has moved the adoption of

Amendment No. 7 to House Bill 2995. Discussion? Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Well, here we go again, we right back to this...where we've been spending all this money, putting projects and programs and everything imaginable. Now when it comes to giving people who are in...in need...this would reduce the fifty-two percent of need. Imagine, you are still forty-eight percent away. We ought to be giving them one hundred percent, but here we are today with all these fancy programs and all these fancy...and here we just simply want to give the poor, the indigent, the elderly and these are the people that need it the worst way and now here's an amendment to delete that. And I urge vociferously that we defeat this amendment by voting No.

PRESIDENT:

A number of members have indicated they wish to be heard. Senator Woodyard, for what purpose do you arise, sir?

SENATOR WOODYARD:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. On a point of personal privilege. In the balcony, I have as guests today a group of young teenagers, the Clark County Teenage Republican Organization accompanied by the county treasurer, county clerk and supervisor of assessments. Welcome to Springfield.

PRESIDENT:

Will our guests in the gallery please rise and be recognized. Welcome. All right, the question...the question before the body is the adoption or nonadoption of Amendment No. 7. Discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I am surprised by Senator Etheredge's amendment. We, as a State, tax our people to provide for the needs of

the citizens of Illinois. What we have attempted to do by way of the prior amendments is to say on May 1st, ten months into the fiscal year, three years later we will finally move those most in need up to merely fifty-two percent of the federally determined standard of need. We're talking about less than twenty-five dollars per case. Even the department has said this is a critical issue. Even the department has said this is an area where monies need to be spent; if we don't do it here, we're going to be doing it more elsewhere, either we're going to have more people in need of medical care or some other form of assistance because we're not even willing as a State to say, we're willing to take those most in need and merely provide fifty-two percent of that standard. What a shame if we don't do this and we're talking about...about six and a quarter million dollars for this fiscal year of general revenue funds, because half of what we spend, the Federal Government will, in fact, send us a check and give that money back, half of what we spend to merely meet fifty-two percent of the federally suggested standard of need. If we can't do this with the monies that people pay in taxes, we shouldn't be doing anything and I would urge defeat of the amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I think Senator Carroll said it all and I'm really a little surprised and I...I thought, Senator, a few minutes ago, you...you introduced a...an amendment that you withdrew, Senator,...Senator, okay...you introduced an amendment a few minutes ago because you...you told us that you wanted to raise the...our level of awareness of the kind of...of initiatives that were introduced and interjected into this budget, some that you felt was unnecessary, okay? I agree with you but there's some

others also, but I don't think that none of the amendments that we've put forth here through committees or on the Floor is as critical or essential as this amendment and we ought to stop playing games. I...I...we've been trying to give these people...or bring these people up to the Federal standard of need which is...already below subsistence, I would consider, for three years now and we have been unsuccessful in doing so while at the same time, we have passed a lot of those kind of projects that you said that you were against, because I'm sure that you probably felt that it was not a priority and to some extent not good use of the State's dollars at...at this time of...of a critical shortage in...in...in State resources. I agree with you but I think that if we...reprioritize our priorities that and...and...and examine our conscience that we can clearly find enough money this time to do this. This is a good time to do it. This is an election year and I think all of us want to get out there and represent ourselves before the citizens of the State of Illinois as being fair and...and using their money wisely and properly, their tax dollars, and to do that would be to reject this amendment and allow those people to have that twenty-five dollars because it can, in fact, buy a winter coat or a couple pair of shoes for those children on...on AFDC. I think we should defeat this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Would the sponsor yield to a question, please?

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates he'll yield, Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Senator,...I know that your objective is to attempt to save money for the State and not to punish people, I under-

stand that. If...if that is the objective, I'm curious, following Senator Carroll's line of thinking, if we've ever done any kind of analysis of what the alternative costs are to us, for example, people simply aren't going to sit and starve and we...when we talk about fifty percent of standard of need, apparently, some dollars have to come from some place else. That leaves at least two avenues that could be possibly very costly to this State, one is the...criminal route and we're already spending millions of dollars for facilities simply to house the people who have taken that course of action as a means of survival. There is a second which is the underground economy which sort of borderline, it may not be exactly criminal, but certainly they're untaxed dollars and they are dollars that show some entrepreneurial bent for people who cannot become entrepreneurs because the dollars is just not there to do that. I wonder if it would not be more logical for us to do some study of what the actual costs are to the State in the absence of a subsistence level for the people who are presumably temporarily on the rolls. My second point would be this, if we assume that public welfare is a temporary arrangement with the motive for getting people out of that mold and into a mold where they become contributing citizens and taxpayers, if that is our objective, then it seems to me that what we need to do is make the dollars that we presently put into that system of a sufficient volume so that people can make that move. That's a quantum leap for people who have nothing, you can't go from nothing to entrepreneurship; you can't go from nothing to contributing. There must be some base line that makes sense in order for that to occur. I don't know if you can do that at this stage, but it seems to me that at least that ought to be a part of the thought; and if that's a part of a thought, it seems to be on the other side of the aisle that we might want to support a fifty-two percent of standard of need which

is not really saying very much at all. But if that...fifty-two percent can function so as to relieve the burden of some of those people on the roll, then it seems to me that's well worth the investment. Now, by way of experience, when the Legislative Advisory Committee got into its work, what we purposely did...purposely was to attempt to make it possible for people to come off the public aid rolls and we were successful to an extent. It cost dollars to do that, but the ultimate result was that the State brought back dollars into the coffers that more than made up for that temporary investment. It seems to me that of our...and I would hope that the members of this Senate Body think very carefully about the adoption of this amendment and I hope it would be defeated to give us the opportunity, but, again, look at the situation and try to come to some kind of logical conclusion as to how to really solve the problem. I would ask...a No vote on this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion on Amendment No. 7? Senator Etheredge, you wish to close?

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank...yes, I do, Mr. President. In regard to the remarks of my colleague, Senator Newhouse, I do not have an answer as to what additional costs the State may incur as a consequence of a...maintaining the standard of need at the present level as opposed to increasing it to...to fifty-two percent. I...and I would suppose that there probably is no...no answer to that question, but your suggestion, Senator, that a study be made, I think, is an excellent one and I would be very happy to join with you in that; obviously, that's...that's something that is going to take place over several months' time, I'm sure, in...in the future. But I would welcome the opportunity to sit down and talk with you about that to see if we could define some parameters...some

objectives for that study that would enable that to go forward. I think the time is...is...is past due for that.

PRESIDENT:

Well, Senator Newhouse, Senator Etheredge was attempting to close. Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

I think he was answering my question, Mr. President. Then I'm...I'm sorry if that...that question turned into something else, I do apologize for that. But I simply want to suggest that in the interim, it seems to me that the more logical course would be to hold at fifty-two percent of standard of need until such time as we're able to make the kind of investigation that is necessary and I would urge that that be done.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

In reply, I would call your attention to the fact that the bill as it stands now calls for the standard of need to be increased on the first of April so that...I think that there is...there is ample time for us to carry on a study and, if need be, if the decision is made that it...we should move forward with an increase that we would have ample opportunity to do that after we return after the first of the year. Meanwhile, I think that this amendment which would maintain the standard of need at the present level should be passed. I think one of the things that is worthy of repetition here, a point which has not been made in our discussion today although it has been made in past discussions, is that the...the figure fifty percent...or fifty-two percent of need is somewhat misleading, more than a little misleading in fact. It suggests...fifty percent suggests that we are helping those that we have defined as...as in need at only half of what we have also...determined it takes a...a person in

need to live at a minimum standard...of living; in fact, that is not true. The fifty percent determination...when that determination is made it does not count all the benefits that we provide to those in need. It does not, for example, include food stamps. It does not include the...the health care support that is given. It does not include the energy assistance and the weatherization grants. Actually, when you add all of those additional grant monies to those that are measured in the fifty percent standard of need, you get a figure that is in the eighty percent range. I think...also a point that has not been yet made today but I think it is very important, the enactment of the bill as it stands now is committing the State in Fiscal 1988 to a continuation of that fifty-two percent standard of need and the total cost of that in Fiscal '88 are...in the neighborhood of fifty million dollars. I would suggest to you that what we ought to do is carry forward with the study over the next...next nine months or try to arrive at some of the answers to the questions that have been raised by Senator Newhouse but, in the meanwhile, enact...or accept this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

The question is the adoption of Amendment No. 7 to House Bill 2995. Those in favor indicate by voting Aye. Opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 27 Ayes, 30 Nays, none voting Present. Amendment No. 7 fails. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. If I can have your attention, we have a special guest and the Chair will yield to Senator Sam.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

(Machine cutoff)...your heart out. I wasn't going to say that and I did anyway. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. For years I've been telling you that Madison County has many attributes and I think that some of you had doubted me. Today I brought with me one of our best attributes to prove it and I'd like to introduce to you Kimberline Thorp from...from East Alton, Miss Illinois National Teenager. Kimberline is from East Alton and won the State title June 4th at the Millikin University in Decatur, and Kimberline now goes to the national competition in Atlanta where, hopefully, she will be crowned by the reigning Miss National Teenageer...Rhonda Culleson, who is from Senator Rupp's territory, Decatur, Illinois. We are very fortunate, members of the Senate, to have both of these young ladies with us today, so give them both together a hearty welcome and we'll hear from the reigning Miss National Teenager, Rhonda Culleson, from Decatur first.

MS. RHONDA CULLESON:

(Remarks by Ms. Rhonda Culleson)

SENATOR VADALABENE:

And now I would like to present to you Miss Illinois National Teenager from East Alton who will, hopefully, bring back the national title to us in Illinois. Kimberline.

MS. KIMBERLINE THORP:

(Remarks by Ms. Kimberline Thorp)

SENATOR VADALABENE:

And last but not least, the parents of...Kimberline, Mr. and Mrs. Thomas Thorp, let's give them a hand. That ends the ceremony and in the twenty years that I've been in the Senate, this has to be the highlight of my life.

PRESIDENT:

All right, on the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, Senator Schaffer on 2996. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 2996. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2996.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers six amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1. I beg your pardon, Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines and it adds basically to Health Service Grants to five hundred thousand dollars, and these are the organ transplants down from one million dollars. I move for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2996. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR HALL:

This is the Amendment No. 2 and what it does is that it's an interim study in the House so we should table Amendment No. 2, and I move for the adoption of that amendment. That's grants for adolescents.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2996. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amend-

ments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. . . . Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR HALL:

This is the newborn screening program for detection of congenital adrenalin hypoplasia operation money. So I move for the adoption of that amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 2996. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 4.

SENATOR HALL:

Amendment No. 4 increases Local Health Departments' Grant to a million forty-five and I'd ask for the adoption of that amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 2996. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 5.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 5.

SENATOR HALL:

Committee Amendment No. 5 adds grant money from SBE to DPH for Parents Too Soon employment related. So I move for

the adoption of that amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 5 to House Bill 2996. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 6.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 6.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 6 is technical. It places House amendments in the proper place. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 6.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 6 to House Bill 2996. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 7 offered by Senators Collins, Hall and Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment No. 7 transfers 84.1 thousand dollars to the Department of Public Health Information Network from the

Basic Health Service Grant Line as...the department requested. And what it does, the entire Public Health Information Network line is then moved to Operations from Grants. It also reappropriates from GRF the FY '86 infant mortality funds is reappropriated in '87 and this represents the unexpended balance of FY '86, and I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Collins has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 7 to House Bill 2996. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. (Machine cutoff)...Rigney, are you ready on 2998? On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill...I beg your pardon. Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Mr. President, I've been asked to hold this temporarily and I was wondering if we can come back to it at the end of the roll call...2998 and 99.

PRESIDENT:

You certainly may, that request is in order. Senator Hall, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR HALL:

You have skipped 2997.

PRESIDENT:

Well, I...I skipped it only because there are amendments pending and the gentleman who has the amendments is not present. I was just doing him a courtesy, he will be back shortly. Senator Donahue, how about 3000? On the Order of House...we'll get back...Senator Kustra, I assure you, we'll get back to 2997 quickly. On the Order of House Bills 2nd

Reading, House Bill 3000. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3000.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines amendment and in addition thereto makes certain language changes that are technical in nature. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3000. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 2 is to break out the lump sum of operations. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 3000. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 3 is a adjustment within the lines of no dollar impact but changes some fundings from other sources, general revenue funds, to make sure that we can capture maximum Federal dollars and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 3000. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 3001, Senator Geo-Karis. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 3001. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3001.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

...Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines amend-

ment and deleting the vast majority of those positions added by the House over the budget as introduced and deleting those that...would have been added by substantive language that had failed in the House. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3001. Discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Would the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDENT:

Indicates he'll yield, Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Senator, was that the one that I had recommended removing?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Senator Geo-Karis, this is part of what you recommended removing, the rest is in the next amendment that you recommended removing.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Jones on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR JONES:

Yeah, thank you, Mr. President. Senator Carroll, in that guidelines...amendment, did you take additional monies out or just one percent?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

One percent across the board reduction and...and then took those monies that the House added over and above the budget as introduced by the Governor, took not all but most of those additional positions that the House had added over

and above the budget as introduced and then those also added that were by...that would have been needed by substantive legislation, which legislation failed in the House.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

...according to the explanation of the staff here, you took out additional sixty-three thousand dollars which was the pay raise for employees which is a contractual pay raise.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

No, Senator Hall...I'm sorry, Senator Jones, I think you will find that those were pay raises over and above the guidelines set by the Executive Branch of government. We've reduced all budgets down to that level, to the level of pay raises provided for so that there was uniformity. Several budgets came in over that in some of the lines and we've just reduced those down under the guidelines.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

So...in other words, then, if these are contractual pay raise...I mean, by contractual by union agreement, these pay raises when you do that, the net effect of this would be that you will be eliminating positions in the agency, if these are pay raises that were by agreement with union contract, am I...am I correct?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Senator Jones, your theory is correct, your practice is wrong. The amount that we have brought each budget of government down to is the amount that...of the pay raises

negotiated for. We have, in fact, funded the agreement that had been reached by the Executive Branch of government and the unions involved. So...we have funded the union agreement.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

So in doing that analysis on...on this one percent, then you...what you are saying in essence that the one percent...there is no dollar amount taken out over...over and above the one percent.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

No, that's not what I said. I said there is the one percent across the board and the other guidelines that we have established for all agencies of State Government, and we have taken out positions that were either for substantive law that failed or that have been added over and above the requested of the department as introduced. In this case, to the best of my recall, the only guideline that was imposed across the board et cetera that was of any impact were for pay raises over and above that which had been negotiated under the union contact agreement.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Well, this is the first time in listening to all the amendments...to all the other agencies and department, when you explained the one percent on all the other amendments, all you indicated that there was one...one percent Senate guidelines. This is the first time that I've heard that you said you're going...above that amount...above that one percent to take out another category. I never heard that men-

tioned as it relate to any other agency that you dealt with thus far.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

I can either do it in closing, if there are no further questions, let me just explain. If I had said that, Senator Jones, or implied that, I apologize, that's a way of short-hand, what we have said was the guidelines amendment. The guidelines amendment as established by both Appropriations II and Appropriations I was both a one percent general reduction and to bring such things as rent, utilities, phone, pay raises, vacancy factors into line so that there was uniformity in all the departments. There were some departments who put in for postage increases that we don't think are ever going to happen from Congress. There were some who put in for...you know, for telephone costs greater than CMS has told us they would be, probably merely because they didn't get the information from CMS prior to our budget submission. So the guidelines amendment...was to create uniformity in all of them and included in that was the one percent reduction, and then if I hadn't explained that before, I apologize.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3001. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

And this, Mr. President, in response to what will prob-

ably be the first question which is...Senator Geo-Karis, this would, in fact, at Senator Geo-Karis' request remove the other four positions that were added by the House over and above that requested by the department through the Bureau of the Budget and the submission of the bill, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 3001. Any discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Would the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDENT:

Indicates he will yield, Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

I just want to have it clear in my mind, because I'm a little confused and it doesn't take much to confuse me at this point. Now, do I understand that the forty-nine additional positions that were added by amendment in the House have been removed?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

I hate to add to your confusion but the number was forty-three and six, and separate you're correct, with the adoption of this Amendment No. 2, yes, the forty-nine add-ons will be deleted as you requested.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Thank you, I...just wanted to make sure, thank you, so much.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of

Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 3001. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

(Machine cutoff)...Geo-Karis on 3002. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill...bottom of page 6, is House Bill 3002. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. I'm sorry, House Bill 3001, no further amendments, 3rd reading. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 3002. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3002.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment including new positions. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3002. Is there any discussion? If not,...Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Would the sponsor tell me what the guidelines amendment contains?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Not to add to your confusion, Senator Geo-Karis, this is the one percent across the board reduction in general revenue funds. The total reduction is thirty-three thousand four hundred. It would bring...all their lines into order eliminating one of the requests for a new position, phasing in another at nine months' funding and keeping the pay raises within the guidelines as set by the union agreement, signed by the Executive Branch.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3002. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Top of page 7, on the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, Senator Dudycz on 3003. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3003.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a guidelines amendment, a reduction of some sixty-seven thousand nine hundred dollars, keeping the pay raises within those agreed levels and applying the one percent where necessary. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3003. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 3004, Senator Mahar. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 3004. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3004.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment, I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee

Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3004. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Mahar.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Mahar on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR MAHAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment appropriates a hundred and five thousand nine hundred dollars to the Medical Center Commission for a temporary parking facility...or a parking lot rather, to serve the Chicago Technology Park Development.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Mahar has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 3004. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Karpel on 3005. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 3005. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3005.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment for the Racing Board and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3005. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is a technical amendment, a language change and to allow them to adjust where the reductions would come from, of no dollar impact but to adjust those cuts to less harmful ones. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill...Committee Amendment No. 2 to House Bill...3005. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Woodyard on 3006. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 3006. Read the bill,

Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3006.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. First amendment is a guidelines amendment and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3006. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. At the request of the agency, this amendment was adopted to bring them down to the statutory required amount and I would move their adoption...I'd move adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 3006. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. (Machine cutoff)...Davidson on 3035. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, in the middle of page 7, is House Bill 3035. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3035. ✓

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the constitutional officer guideline amendment which brings down everything but the one percent, and I, again...as we did in committee, appreciate the cooperation of the Secretary of State and the other constitutional officers in helping solve the general revenue crisis that we are facing. This would reduce his budget by some three million plus dollars and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3035. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. At the request of the Secretary, this is an additional funding for the handicapped services listing as required under Senate Bill 2035 and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 3035. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. After discussion further with the Office of the Secretary of State, there was a request to replace some of the reductions and restore certain of the Road Fund and GRF monies. I would move adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 3035. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 4, by Senator Degnan.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Degnan on Amendment No. 4.

SENATOR DEGNAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No...Amendment No. 4 appropriates the sum of one hundred thousand dollars to the Office of the Secretary of State for the purpose of making a grant to the Cook County Research and Evaluation Project for a study of the effect that arrests for driving under the influence of drugs or alcohol has on the Cook County court system. I move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Degnan has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 3035. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 3050, Senator Etheredge. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 3050. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, 3-0-5-0.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3050.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the reduction of appropriation to one dollar and I'd move for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3050. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have

it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 3090 and 91 will be held till the end of the call. Senator Berman along with Senator Schuneman and Jones, I guess, are down at the insurance summit and will be back shortly, we hope. 3092, Senator Davidson. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 3092. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 3-0-9-0 and 9-1 will be held till the end or till later. 3092, Mr. Secretary, read the bill, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3092.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment 1.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the Senate guidelines, it reduces Retirement to sixty percent of payout. I move for the adoption of the Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3092. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Bottom of page 7, Senator Carroll on 3093.
On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 3093.
Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3093.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I
offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
Senate. This cuts the suggested appropriation for this newly
created awards program from employee suggestions in half, and
I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee
Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3093. Any discussion? If not,
all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes
have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. The bottom of page 7, 3101. On the Order

of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 3101. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3101.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Unlike the last one, this one doubles the appropriation from one dollar to two so we'd have a bill alive to use for later, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3101. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No...further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. House Bill 3133. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, the top of page 8, is House Bill 3133. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3133.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This simply reduces it down to a dollar to keep it alive, so I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3133.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3133. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 3191. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3191.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SECRETARY:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the Department of Mental Health and Space Needs Repairs, so that's the reduction of one dollar and I'd move for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3191. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 3253. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3253.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The amendment is shorter than the title read by the Secretary. It is a language change only and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill...3253. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 3255. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3255.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the constitutional officer's guideline for the Office of the Attorney General and, as with the others, we thank him for its cooperation. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3255. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 3257, Senator Carroll. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 3257. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3257.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Our coequal branch of government, the Supreme Court has also identified the issue of revenue shortfalls and is therefore...in working through this and the other amendment had indicated their willingness to help solve that problem. This would be the guidelines amendment to the court's system and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3257. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. We saw that it was necessary to start the probation officers and the downstate counties and we have put in here to start them on April 1st and do some other...modest changes requested by the courts, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of...of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 3257. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 3343. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3343.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee...

SECRETARY:

No...no committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. All right, we'll go back and pick up the six bills that we skipped over. It appears that the amendments, if any, are ready or we're as ready as we'll ever be, today at least. So, if you'll turn to the bottom of page 5 for House Bill 2989. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, the bottom of page 5. We have only five bills left on this order of business and we will...or six...five or six. We will go through these and then we'll move to the Order of Concurrence and Nonconcurrence and, with a little luck, we can conclude a lot earlier than five. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 2989. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2989.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers six amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment deleting several House add-ons, et cetera. I would move its adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2989. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a...an amendment required under...to fund the community based organizations in the gang crimes area, an addition of a hundred forty thousand dollars. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2989. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

On Committee Amendment No. 3, Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Yes, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this was a request of the...of a member in committee. Though adopted by committee, that member was going to answer any questions that might arise. I don't see the member present and I would yield to Senator Rock.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a substantial deletion to monies that would otherwise be due and owing to the city, and I would move to Table Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, you've heard the motion. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries. Amendment No. 3 is Tabled. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 4 is the funding necessary for the municipal clerks' training institute at the University of Illinois, an addition of five thousand dollars. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 2989.

Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 5.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This was a request from the other side of the aisle to reduce certain operating lines further than the first amendment did and there's some corrections later. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 5.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 5 to House Bill 2989. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 6.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is for an area in Rockford on a Kinnikinnick, I can't say it, Soccer Field. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 6 to House Bill 2989. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 6 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 7 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a technical clean-up amendment to, in effect, amend Amendment No. 1, make it technically correct. I would move its adoption, has no dollar impact.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 7 to House Bill 2989. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 7 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 8, by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is...a negotiated figure of a million dollars for the Emergency Employment Development Act which we passed last year and had not had funded in the original introduction of the legislation. I would move adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

...you've heard the motion. Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 8 to House Bill 2989. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 8 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 9, by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 9 is for additions necessary asked for by the department in the film office. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

...is there discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 9 to House Bill 2989. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 9 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 10, by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Following the tradition we unfortunately had long established, this is one-fourth of the funding to send eighteen to Orlando for a tournament. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 10 to House Bill 2989. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 10 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 11, by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a negotiated amount of four hundred thousand

dollars for the community action agencies. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, it's my understanding that they asked for twice as much as...as this, and I was wondering if there's a possibility in conference, if we get to conference, that this might be able to be taken up?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

As Senator Demuzio knows, anything is open to discussion in conference, that which is in, that isn't, that which was never even heard of before. And, again, this was an effort to give them something when originally there were several members who didn't want to give them anything, but this is a first effort move and, obviously, it will be discussed should the bill get to conference.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

No,...Senator Carroll just explained it to me.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

What a difference a word makes. Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 11 to House Bill 2989. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 11 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No 12, by Senator Schaffer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

This amendment appropriates two million nine hundred and

fifty thousand dollars for grants for the enterprise zones. I believe there's some forty zones in the State in existence or...I think there's twelve being created this year. Last year we funded several of them, this year we're attempting to have an even policy throughout the State. These enterprise zones would become a very vital tool in our drive for economic development and they affect districts throughout the State, in all of our districts virtually, both political parties.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Yes, I must rise in opposition to this amendment. It sounds like I'm the one supporting the administration and not the other side of the aisle. This was not a request that came from the administration. This is three million dollars or just shy thereof of general revenue funds that are clearly not available. This was an effort in the House to do likewise. We may have, in fact, further harmed ourself by allowing, if the administration does, every area of the State to become an enterprise zone so that no one will, in fact, contribute any taxes to the State. I would just remind Senator Schaffer of a letter Doctor Mandeville said to me..sent to me on the 18th of June in which he says in part, "Fiscal Year 1986 general revenue funds are now projected to total ten million five hundred thirty thousand dollars...I'm sorry, ten billion five hundred thirty million dollars. At this level, we now believe the fiscal year will be ninety-seven million dollars down." What that means is ninety-seven million dollars less than the Fiscal '86 budget was projected to be. In addition thereto, he goes on to say, "As it relates to Fiscal '87, that impact of the '86 revenues being short affects '87 as well as do their revenue estimates," and, therefore, he says, there is a principal revision in the 1987

fiscal year at revenue estimates and that they will be down one hundred ninety-seven million dollars for...Fiscal '87 from the amount they claimed in the budget book. Clearly they have asked us not to increase spending in as many lines as we can avoid it and this is one, I think, clearly, we could avoid. This is three million of general revenue that just isn't there, isn't in the budget, isn't the request of the department and I would suggest we defeat it at this time.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schaffer, if there's no further discussion, you may close.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Well, I think Senator Carroll and his stirring letter from Doctor Bob reenforce the need for this particular appropriation because, obviously, those downturns in State revenues reflect problems in the Illinois economy. And I think we'd all agree that these enterprise zones are in large part the answer to the revitalization of many areas of the State, and I would just ask the membership to remember the enterprise zones that affect their areas and the good the work that they have done and the good work that they can do. I believe this is...something we should reach...that should reach the Governor's Desk and, frankly, at that time, if he feels it's not a priority, I would defer to his judgment. But for us to close the door on something that is so important to the economic development of this State, at this point, I think, would be shortsighted and I would request a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schaffer moves the adoption of the Amendment No. 12 to House Bill 2989. Those in favor indicate by saying...Aye. Those opposed. The Nays have it. The...further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Page 93 - JUNE 24, 1986

Amendment No. 13 offered by Senator DeAngelis.

END OF REEL

REEL #3

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator DeAngelis. Amendment No. 13. Mr...Senator Carroll...or Senator DeAngelis on Amendment No. 13. Senator DeAngelis on Amendment No. 13.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Well, somebody is seeking recognition over here.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

On Amendment No. 13, Senator DeAngelis. Senator Schaffer,...for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

When I closed the debate on...that last amendment, I asked for a roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

When you closed and asked for a roll call, there were no five Senators that joined you in that request, it was an individual request. We had the voice vote, the vote...

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

I think I had five.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

...showed that the amendment failed.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

I saw a whole bunch of them.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

They joined after the...after the roll call...after the voice vote. Senator, you indicate that you requested a roll...a roll call, you didn't indicate there were five people that joined you in that request. And I cannot take it upon myself to determine that your request means five others have joined you. Amendment No. 13, Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Is that the one that just says

DeAngelis or is this the one that says DeAngelis-Kelly?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

This one says DeAngelis' Enterprise Zone.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 13...can I get a different number than that...appropriates seventy-five thousand dollars to the Chicago Heights Enterprise Zone. Last year, Chicago Heights was one of the few areas that did not receive a DCCA grant for the enterprise zone. I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

I could say, Senator DeAngelis, but I'd never get it out with a straight face, why should we go with only one? But in any event, we would oppose this at this time and I would urge its defeat.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator DeAngelis, if there is no further discussion, you may close.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Yes...as far as I'm concerned, there's only one I'm interested in...and pending...if the ruling of the Chair is unfavorable, I would like to request a roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The Chair has noted that there are...appropriate number of Senators seeking a roll call vote on this amendment...should it pass. Senator DeAngelis moves the adoption of Amendment No. 13 to House Bill 2989. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? All vote...take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 28, the Nays are 25, none voting Present. Amendment No. 13 having received a majority vote is declared adopted. Amendment No. 14, Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Is this the one that says DeAngelis-Kelly? Okay.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

It says...DeAngelis Institute for Public Policy.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

It's my understanding the Chair is to be neutral in its comments...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

You asked the Chair, I am reading...

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

...I noticed a particular bias...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS):

...I am reading what I have in front of me.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

...particular bias in the description of some of these amendments. And I'll tell you, Frank, with an Italian wife, watch yourself. Amendment No. 14 appropriates thirty thousand dollars to the Institute for Public Policy and Administration at GSU in cooperation with the township officials of Illinois and the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs to continue conducting the seminars on local government. This pays a very small cost of the overall program. It's been an extremely good program. It's helped the General Assembly because that institute has informed our public officials on what their roles are, how we interface with them and how to more effectively and efficiently do their jobs. And I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 14 on House Bill 2989.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator...Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Thank...thank you, Mr. President. I also am proud to join Senator DeAngelis in supporting Amendment No. 14 to

Senate Bill...to House Bill 2989. This is a very worthy program, it's...Paul Green, one of our professors at Governor State University has taken a lead role in this program and it's with the township officials of Illinois and the Illinois Department of Commerce and Community Affairs. And I would ask for your support of this thirty thousand dollar appropriation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? Senator DeAngelis moves the adoption of Amendment No. 14 to House Bill 2989. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 14 is adopted. Amendment No. 15, Senator Karpiel. Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 15 grants thirty-five hundred dollars to the...a grant to the Old Town Hall Group, Incorporated, for the relocation expenses of the Wayne Township Old Town Hall Building. I appreciate your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Carroll. Senator Karpiel moves the adoption of Amendment No. 15 to House Bill 2989. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 15 is adopted. Further amendments? Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 16 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would ask that that amendment be withdrawn.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

You've heard the motion of Senator Etheredge. Hearing no

objection, leave is granted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 16 offered by Senator Mahar.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Mahar.

SENATOR MAHAR:

Thank you, Mr. President...Amendment No. 16 appropriates fifteen...fifty thousand dollars to the Village of Homewood for a planning grant that will go towards the restoration of a regional performing art center.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Yes, Mr. President, members of the Senate. We would oppose this at this time also because were there to be this type of an effort made for any of the villages, cities or towns of Illinois that is an appropriate function of Build Illinois and should be done in that format. To just give a grant of fifty thousand dollars at a time when money is short just doesn't make sense, 'cause if it's not going to go that way it's not every going to get built. And I would urge the defeat of the amendment at this time.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there...further discussion? Senator Mahar.

SENATOR MAHAR:

I'd like to close.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

You may close.

SENATOR MAHAR:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I don't think it's unusual for this Body to...I think there's a precedence for this type of a...this type of a grant. As I say, it's something that is regional in scope, it will not only benefit the Village of Homewood but also there's certainly the districts of Senator

DeAngelis and Senator Kelly. And I would like to have a roll call. I've been joined by five of my colleagues.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The Chair so notes. Senator Mahar moves the adoption of Amendment No. 16 to House Bill 2989. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 28, the Nays are 24, none voting Present. Amendment No. 16 having received the majority vote is declared adopted. Amendment No. 17. I'm sorry, Mr. Secretary, further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 17, Senator Mahar.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Mahar.

SENATOR MAHAR:

...thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 17 appropriates two million dollars for the site preparation of the south...bank of the Cal-SAG Canal.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Again, I oppose this as a two million dollar...two million dollar General Revenue Fund add-on of monies that are just not available for a particular area of a canal that has to be done. Just is not two million dollars of general revenue available. This was not in the Governor's budget...and I think that if those members wish to try and come out of here with a balanced budget, we have to start looking at these, especially the ones that start adding up to two million dollars of general revenue funds that are just not available and I believe that this would clearly fall in

that category and we should defeat the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Mahar may close.

SENATOR MAHAR:

I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Mahar moves the adoption of Amendment No. 17 to House Bill 2989. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Nays...the Nays carry. The amendment is defeated. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 18, by Senator Sommer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:

Mr. President and members, this is a restoration at the request of the administration. It adds a hundred and sixty-four thousand dollars and allows them to close the Sao Paulo, Brazil Office to foreign trade and open the Tokyo Office...real estate and rentals are very expensive in Tokyo we discover. It also restores funds for their satellite network...program.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Sommer moves the adoption of Amendment No. 18 to House Bill 2989. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 18 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 19, by Senator Sommer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:

Mr. President, this is a fifteen thousand dollar add-back in the office of the director for materials for presentations

basically made when they're attempting to entice employers. This, again, was requested by the administration as an add-back.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Sommer moves the adoption of Amendment No. 19 to House Bill 2989. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 19 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 20, by Senator Schaffer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

This amendment adds two hundred and eighty thousand dollars to the Regional Tourism Council's Program that would require the local councils to...have to ante-up matching money before they would get anything. It's an attempt to promote tourism at the regional level within the State. I think many of you have been contacted. I don't see him on the Floor but I know Senator Sam has been contacted, and this is the amendment, Senator Sam, wherever you are. I think, frankly, it's a good idea. Again, it's one that would generate local dollars into a program that I think would generate a great deal of State revenue...I'd appreciate a favorable roll call...roll call...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

...joined by...

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

...joined by several people, I hope.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. The problem is that this is a two hundred and fifty percent

increase in this line. Nobody has ever objected to the Regional Tourism Council as being at a level of funding, but they have a two hundred and fifty percent increase in this line at a time when we are adding millions to tourism promotion downstate as well as within the City of Chicago, just doesn't seem something we can afford. We did not cut out the Regional...Tourism Councils, all we said is, we will hold them to the spending levels they have had for the last couple of years. This, again, was not in the Governor's budget and I think a two hundred and fifty percent increase is something we just cannot afford.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Schaffer moves the adoption of Amendment No. 20 to House Bill 2989. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 31, the Nays are 20, none voting Present. Amendment No. 20 having received the majority vote is declared adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 21, by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a request of various members of the Senate to help fund business development areas within their legislative districts that have colloquially been called part of a can-do network. Some are within and some are without the network. These are various local...business and economic development groupings that go out and attempt to create business within their areas. This is something that we did, in fact, fund last year and there have been several others

who've come in. This is obviously less than the request that was made, but I would...move the adoption of the amendment.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

...a question of the sponsor, it's just a quality control question. I've heard numerous people mention that working with a can-do sort of...umbrella group, to be quite frank, is a waste of money and really accomplishes virtually nothing. There are those who like...can-do groups in Chicago are a little more than a waste of the taxpayers' dollars. Could you defend their performance a little bit or are these criticisms fairly accurate?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Since I am not familiar, Senator Keats, nor do I believe are you, with each and every one of these groups, we did do what I believe the Legislature should do and ask the Senators of the area or if necessary the House members of the area to decide whether they feel their particular business development groups are worthwhile groups. Some members said, no, they're not and some said, yes, they are, and that's how we then developed this list. It was not the wish list that we got from the House that just said everything to everybody but about sixty-percent of that wish list that has been identified by Senators as groups that they feel are very strong in providing business and...and...opportunities to their communities and working strongly with your small and medium-size business in trying to produce a better business climate in their areas.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2989.

Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 21 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 22, by Senator Lechowicz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 22 doesn't affect any dollars at all. It specifies that the...Chicago's portion of the Convention and Tourism Bureau Grants will be awarded to the Chicago Convention and Visitor's Bureau, and the dollar amount that we're talking about is a million dollars which is already provided in the budget, all we're doing is transferring the authority. And I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Carroll. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 22 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 23, by Senator Savickas.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendment No. 22, Senator Savickas. I'm sorry, 23...Amendment 23. The board was wrong.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, this is a reappropriation fifty thousand and a new appropriation of fifty thousand. And this is for economic development projects being conducted by the Highland, North Beverly, Rightwood and Ashburn Development Corporation. I would move

its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Savickas has moved adoption of Amendment No. 23. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 23 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 24, by Senator Schaffer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Amendment No. 24 appropriates two million nine hundred and forty-nine thousand dollars for the enterprise zones. It's not the same amendment, we're on an economy move, it's a dollar less. And, I'd be happy to go into the same debate. I think it's something that we should get to the Governor's Desk for his consideration. These enterprise zones are very important. And in case I don't get a chance, I realize the Chair has changed, but I would like to request a roll call and I believe I am joined by several Senators. Thank you, very much.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schaffer has moved the adoption of Amendment 24. Is there discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

A dollar less makes it just slightly better. It's still terrible, it's...it's still money that just isn't available and Senator Schaffer knows it. If he wants to try and hang some people out, that's his right. He knows the money isn't there and he knows it hasn't been spent and he knows that it hasn't been asked for by the department or the Governor, and we're down some hundred and ninety-seven million dollars for Fiscal '87 as well as ninety-seven million for Fiscal '86. It just ain't there, it's poof. I think we ought to oppose

it and be responsible members of the General Assembly.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I, too, attended the function, the briefing, if you will, that was held at the Sangamo Club some weeks ago by the Enterprise Zone Association, a very loose-knit association but apparently effective nonetheless. There has been in this State a great deal of competition to be designated an enterprise zone or have an area designated an enterprise zone. And now, in addition to that, we are being asked to, one, designate an area as an enterprise zone; afford all the tax breaks and incentives and other things attended thereto and more than that, give them money. Just give them money. Now, it...it seems to me at some point we just have to slow down and say, wait a minute, what are...what are we about here. If an area is indeed worthy of being designated an enterprise zone there has to be, it seems to me,...at a minimum, there ought to be some local initiative. First we're going to designate and...and...give all kinds of breaks, and now we're going to give them money too? This is simply...we...we just ought not do this. 'Cause once you start, you're never going to wean them off of it. If it's two million this year or whatever the number is, it's going to be four next year and eight the year after that, and we are in the process in this General Assembly of increasing the number of enterprise zones from thirty to forty-eight at last count. This is a mistake because you're going to have every one of them in here next year for double the amount of money. If this will allow them to hire one staff person each, next year they'll have two. All we're paying for is staff salary and you and I both know it. There ought to be some local commitment, some local initiative; absent that, perhaps they ought not be an enterprise

zone. And I would urge the defeat of Amendment 24.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Further discussion? Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I normally do not disagree with the President of the Senate because of fear, but in this case, I do have to disagree with him. In most instances the brunt of abatement is borne by that enterprise zone. Now granted, they don't do the abatement for no reason at all. These are basically depressed areas who are willing to give up a lot to get somebody in there. The biggest beneficiary of people coming into an enterprise zone is not local government, it is, in fact, the State of Illinois. So to give them something to assist them in the marketing, the promotion and the sale of that concept and getting people in there is of benefit to us and it is not a program designed to enhance the payrolls of local governments. For those of you who may have had to walk clients through an enterprise zone, you'll find out that that local government gives up as much as eighty percent of its local property tax the first year. Some of them even give a hundred percent back. The State still collects the income tax from the people that are employed there, they collect the sales tax, et cetera. So, I don't think it's such a cavalier move to invest sixty thousand dollars in each one of these zones to help them do the program that we put upon them or the opportunity we put upon them.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Schaffer may close.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

I...have to agree with Senator Rock, I've seen many of these things start out this way and his fears are not without founding, I can't argue against that. Let me suggest to you, I'm not familiar with the workings of all the enterprise zones in the State. I am familiar with the workings of

several. And every one of them is committing a lot more dollars in their revenue, that I'm aware of, than what we're asking here. But we have created a lot of hoops for them to jump through so that they can reduce their local taxes and fees and...and give special considerations to create jobs in this State. I don't think it's inappropriate for us to become involved in...at least in a small way, funding some of their operations. Let me suggest to you, that...I...I like to think I live in the real world, at least a reasonable percentage of the time, and I recognize that by the time this bill is signed into law that if this figure is adopted today, it probably won't be this figure. All I'm saying is that I'd like to see the negotiations go forward in the Conference Committee, with the Executive Branch to see if it's possible for us to give these much needed economic tools a shot in the arm so that they can continue to do the kind of good work that some of them have done very effectively for us. I'd like to see them get the tools to do the job right. This amendment, I think, will keep those negotiations going and I'd appreciate a favorable roll call. And I think I'm joined with several members again.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. The question is...Senator Schaffer has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 24 to House Bill 2989. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 36, the Nays are 16, none voting Present. Amendment No. 24 having received the majority vote is adopted. Further...amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Page 6 is 2997. 2997, Senator Kustra. On

the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 2997, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2997.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The...Amendment No. 1 is the guideline, cuts 1.7 million house and adds on for the home services a million for...1.2 million for FY '86 obligation, cuts a hundred and ninety-six down for two hundred...dollars for unknown adult abuse and neglect sites. So I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2997. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a reallocation of Federal Vocational Rehabilitation Fund dollars to allow for a new Federal grant pro-

gram for the transition and support...unsupported employment projects. It is a...of no dollar change but allows for Federal dollars. I would move adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2997. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3, by Senator Demuzio.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio on Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Yes, this is an add-back of two hundred thousand dollars for salaries for the teachers at the Illinois School for the Deaf at Jacksonville. These school teachers have fallen increasingly behind the public school teachers in the...in recent years. And, give as an example of that, the current year the average salary increase for public school teachers outside of Chicago is eight percent, ISD got four percent. I know there was some questions relevant to that, but these individuals have...have done a...a terrific job; as a matter of fact, their average public school teacher's salary in Illinois is twenty-seven thousand one ninety and the average teacher's salary at ISD is twenty-one thousand...two ninety-three. It seems to me that we ought to bring them up to the public...school teachers' level and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Demuzio has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 2997. Discussion? Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Yes,...will the sponsor yield?

PRESIDENT:

He indicates he'll yield, Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Senator, I note that the proposed salary increase that...that this two hundred thousand dollars would provide for is nine and a half percent. Now isn't that twice the salary increase that has been given to other State employees?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, Senator Etheredge, let me just point out, I...I think the point I just made was that the...the average teacher's...public school teacher's salary this year is twenty-seven thousand one ninety, the average teacher's salary at ISD is twenty-one thousand two ninety-three. All this seeks to do is to bring them up to the same level as the public school teachers by virtue of the fact that they have as much if not more training than public school teachers do in Illinois, and if that's out of line with the other increases, this is simply to...to bring them up to the level of...of everyone else so that they can be on a par and start at...start this year along with everyone else.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

The school for the visually handicapped is also in the same community, is it not? Didn't the faculty members at that institution receive only five percent?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, that is...I...I think that...excuse me...I think that is correct. There are currently negotiations that are taking place with ISD and it seems to me that this is...their

contract has already been signed, this contract is in negotiations, it seems to me that this is the proper time to do it.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

It appears...it...it would seem to me...you know, I'm not totally out of sympathy with your efforts to bring salaries up to comparable levels within the public schools, but in this particular instance, I...I have to oppose this amendment because it...it would appear to me that we have to...if we're going to deal with each of these faculties at these...these State institutions, both of them in the same community, then I think we ought to be treating them the same way. So I...I would rise in opposition to this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Further discussion? Any further discussion? Senator Demuzio may close.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, Senator Etheredge, I'm frankly surprised to hear you say that you're opposed to this amendment for school teachers at the...at the School for the Deaf. If you want to raise the level of salaries for the visually impaired while they have a contract, I'm for that; but we have willy-nilly sent money all over the place today, and it just seems to me that two hundred thousand dollars is certainly not out of line and I move adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 2997. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed No. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Rigney, ... on 2998. Middle of page 6, on the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 2998. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2998.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers twelve amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines amendment, in addition thereto, breaks out the line item as we have done in the past and a language change. Again, we thank the department for working with us in attempting to resolve this budget and to establish the guidelines in a way in which would not be harmful. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2998. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

By way of explanation, Committee Amendments 2, 4, 5, 9, 10 and 12, I will move to Table on the basis that they are technically incorrect and we will have a Floor amendment to replace 2, 4, 5, 9, 10, and 12. So, at this time, I would

move to Table Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves to Table Committee Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2998. Discussion? If not, all in favor of the motion to Table indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is Tabled. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on No. 3.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 3 is a technical amendment which would exempt downstate transit districts from the Governor's release process. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 2998. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 4.

SENATOR CARROLL:

I would move, Mr. President, to lie that amendment on the Table.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved to Table Committee Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 2998. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is Tabled. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 5.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 5.

SENATOR CARROLL:

As indicated before, Mr. President and members of the Senate, I would move to lie Amendment No. 5 upon the Table.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Carroll has moved to Table Committee Amendment No. 5 to House Bill 2998. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 6.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 6.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment would delete the MFT distribution funds to the City of Chicago, and I would defer to Senator Lechowicz.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Lechowicz on Committee Amendment No. 6.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Move for the adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Lechowicz has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 6 to House Bill 2998. Discussion? Senator Newhouse. Senator Lechowicz...indicates he will yield. Senator Newhouse. Senator Lechowicz. Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Well, what does that amendment do, Senator?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

It deletes the MFT distribution to the City of Chicago.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

The...I heard that. Is there a dollar amount?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Should be about fifty-two million dollars.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Is there a reason for that, Senator?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Yeah, we can't get an answer how it's spent.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

I'm sorry, I didn't hear that.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

We can't get an answer how it's spent.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Could you explain a little more, Senator. Who did you...inquire from?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Well, I believe the money goes to streets and sanitation. There's a gentleman by the name of John Halpin who's been requested to answer our Appropriations Committee...the...how the money is spent...the motor fuel tax funds that are received by the department, and we don't have an answer. And if you want an answer, we'll remove the money, and when we get the answer, we'll put the money back.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Lechowicz has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 6 to House Bill 2998. Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Well, Senator...question of the sponsor please.

PRESIDENT:

He indicates he'll yield, Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Senator, did you attempt to talk with any of the other people that represent the city administration? You know, just because we couldn't contact one person doesn't mean that you should just take the money out...for that reason alone. You know, if that's the case, we probably wouldn't appropriate anything around here to any area because that...that can be said for many of them...many times. You know, if the director of a...of a...commission or a department failed to respond but there are other people here representing the city administration that...that we could have...you could have talked with I'm sure.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

I've been talking about this matter since February of 1986, today is June the 24th of 1986. We still don't have an answer. And, believe me, I think that we will have an answer, and I know that the motor fuel tax funds are used hopefully for the purpose that it's given, but until we get a

response from the department, I can't answer that question in total. And, basically, it's never been audited, so I'm hoping that the department will say, yes, we comply with the State and these are the guidelines that we use. That has not happened.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Yeah, thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield?

PRESIDENT:

Indicates he'll yield, Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Sorry to ask this question, Ted, but you say you want the Department of Streets and Sanitation or someone in that department asked about the expenditure of MFT funds?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

That's correct.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Do you know...or do you have knowledge as to what MFT funds are used when they are sent to local units of government?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

I'm sorry, Mr. President, I didn't understand the question.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Do you know all the departments in the City of Chicago

that receive MFT funds or do you know what MFT funds are for?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

I believe I know what MFT funds are for and I think I know the departments that received it. I know who's responsible for motor fuel tax funds coming from the State to the city, and since a majority of it is used in streets and sanitation of the fifty-two million dollars, and it's in public works and it's in sewers. I'm well aware...where the money goes, I'm just wondering whether it's used for its proper purposes. And I don't think it's unusual to...in our forms from our...from our respective appropriations committees, if you're asked a question whether it's a department head, whether it's the Governor's Office, whether it's a...other elected official that...they respond to the forms, nothing unusual about it. I think I know where the money goes, the question is whether it's used properly, I don't know.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Well,...if you're familiar with the Chicago...City...City of Chicago City Council Finance Committee who has to sign off on these funds, all you have to do is ask your friend, Alderman Burke and he will give you that information. But if you just putting this amendment up here to try to force the city or force the...any particular department within the city just to give you information, that information is readily available to the City Council Finance Committee. The chairman of the Finance Committee is the one who signs off on these funds for their proper use, and when you say one individual in one department...asked a question as it relate to these funds and so forth and everything, I don't think no one individual speaks for the City of Chicago. And if you really wanted

this information, all you had to do is ask the chairman of the City Council Finance Committee and it will be readily available to you. I don't think we should be playing around with the money that goes to the City of Chicago for its road program, for its sewer program just because one has a personal vendetta, it doesn't make sense, and this amendment should be defeated.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Lechowicz, every municipality in the State of Illinois and the counties in the State of Illinois have an annual audit of motor fuel tax funds. Are you saying that the City of Chicago and Cook County does not have an audit annually of the use of...motor fuel tax funds?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

That issue will be addressed with a resolution that I have pending. But to answer your specific question, Senator Weaver, that fund has not been audited by DOT into the City of Chicago. And to answer Senator Jones' question, I...I raised the question with my good friend Alderman Burke and I raised this question also with Dan Kubasiak who is the person in charge on a day-to-day basis of the Finance Committee for the City of Chicago. They could not respond to my question whether the money is used properly of motor fuel tax funds that come into...from the State of Illinois to the City of Chicago. They came up with an audit by Cooper's and Lybrand and they were asked to audit the parameters and the...total dollar figures coming into the City of Chicago. The detail of that audit, I will address to this Body when the resolution is before us. It is not contained in Amendment No. 6.

I think it's long overdue that...if you're asked for a...an accounting as far as how you're spending the money, whether it's DCCA, whether it's the Governor's Office or whether it's the City of Chicago of the motor fuel tax funds, I think this Body, each and every one of us, should have the opportunity to review the matters that come before returned back to our committees and saying, yes, we received this money and this is the purpose that it is used for and this is how it was spent. That's the purpose of Amendment No. 6.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIIO)

All right. Senator...Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Yeah, thank you, Mr. President. And I apologize for speaking a second time on this issue. And when the...when the sponsor of the amendment indicated that he wanted to know whether the funds are being spent properly as such, any funds that is used by any city department must be signed off by the...the City Council Finance Committee chairman. And he in turn designate where those monies go. The...each department head must come to him. So when your good friend told you that he didn't know where the money was going, then evidently he didn't know what he was doing when he was chairman of finance. Alderman Burke is a very skillful person and he did a very in-depth analysis as to where those funds go. So for you to stand before this Body and say that the money is not being spent properly, if...if Alderman Burke didn't know whether the funds were being spent properly, then how would you know whether or not they have been spent properly? If he wanted to give you that information, he could have easily given it to you. But for one person in the Department of Streets and Sanitation, you said...you made a statement that he said he doesn't know where the funds are going, those funds go for many particular purposes. It could be in the sewers, it could be in the residential street program with

legislation that we passed to take care of streets in Mount Greenwood, Morgan Park and in your respective community. They go for several purposes. But for you to put this amendment on just to take money out of the City of Chicago for your own political purposes, it doesn't make sense.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

...we break up the conferences. Further discussion?

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

...oh, yeah, question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, your lights on. Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Okay. I'm sorry, but...Senator, did you say you had a resolution in addition to this amendment?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

In fact, I'm sure that Senator Jones' last speech just passed my resolution. But we'll get to that at a later...later moment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Well, again, I just say that we should defeat this resolution...I mean this amendment, and I'm sure that the message is very clear, that someone will most certainly get to you and explain to you...try and answer the questions so we can move forward right now and kill this...amendment and go on and...and don't take this money away from the City of Chicago because we desperately need the money and we're kidding ourselves to say that...that this is something that we can...can do to the city without some real serious impact on that city. So, I...I just suggest you...you've made your point, and it's

very clear and I agree with you that any of us should be able to get information that we request in the Appropriations Committee from any department or local unit of government about the expenditures of finances, because that is our charge and our responsibility. But I don't know, like I said, who you've been asking, and based on Senator Jones questions, apparently you have not been, you know, asking the right source or something, you haven't received the information; but I can assure you, the information will be forthcoming. So, I would just say let us...defeat this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Lechowicz may close.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

I think the issue is quite clear, Mr. President...Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Basically, the issue is whether both appropriations' staffs are going to have the input and to ask the questions that are necessary that are raised by the membership of the Appropriations Committee of whether the money is actually spent for the purpose that it's received. And if...no matter whoever it is, if they don't have the courtesy to complete our forms and to respond to us, the only way you can really get a direct answer is by a very severe measure. This is not the end of this Session. This matter will be before us, when it goes back to the House there's going to be a Conference Committee appointed. I'm sure that...I know for a fact...there will be motor fuel tax funds coming into the City of Chicago, which they're needed, but let's make sure that they're needed and they're used in the proper vein. Until we get that answer, I'm asking for a Yes vote on Amendment No. 6.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right. Senator Lechowicz has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 6 to House Bill 2998. Those in favor indicate

by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 6 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No. 7...Committee Amendment No. 7.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Lemke. Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a projects' amendment that we had adopted in committee. I would move its adoption here on the Floor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved adoption of amendment...Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

It's of no dollar impact, it's a Grade Crossing Protection Fund item and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 7 to House Bill 2998. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 7 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 8.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Because of a tragic incident in southern Illinois, we've had to move a bike trail and, therefore, the Vadalabene Trail has to be rerouted. This amendment would accommodate the Vadalabene Bike Trail. And I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 8. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have

it...Committee...Committee Amendment No. 8 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 9.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

As I had explained before, I would move to lay that amendment on the Table, we have a replacement amendment coming up.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves to Table Committee Amendment No. 9. Is...those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 9 is Tabled. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 10.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I would also move to lie Committee Amendment No. 10 upon the Table.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Carroll moves to Table...Table Amendment No. 10 to House...Committee Amendment No. 10 to House Bill 2998. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment...Committee Amendment No. 10 is Tabled. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 11.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a reallocation of capital development funds for repair and reconstruction of the Lake Charleston Dam and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No....Committee Amendment No. 11 to House Bill 2998. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 11 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 12.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I would also move to Table Committee Amendment No. 12.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll moves to Table No. 12...Committee Amendment No. 12 to House Bill 2998. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 12 is Tabled. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 13, by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This strips the add-ons in the House but, however, then corrects the defects by reallocations of a...of amendments that we just Tabled on the Floor; to wit, Amendments 2, 4, 5, 9, 10 and 12. So all those items are now handled in an appropriate way as a reallocation of funds. And I would move adoption of Amendment No. 13.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 13. Discussion? Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Could you kind of explain what you mean by reallocation, some language I'm not familiar with?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you. Senator Rigney, what we have attempted to do here and...and, again, with the assistance to which I, again, want to really thank the Department of Transportation for their cooperation. Where at all possible, now that we have allocated funds amongst the various districts, the highway districts in the State of Illinois, where there are funds requested by members as highest priority within that district, we are taking from that allocation and suggesting that these are the projects that we would like to see the work actually done on from within the allocation to that district. So that if, in fact, those projects are done, they fall within the dollar amounts that are available; and if...and if the Governor decides not to, the district still has the money available.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

If I understand this correctly, I think there's about twenty-three million dollars, give or take, that's a part of this amendment. And you're saying then that none comes out of any other district, that any allocations of this kind are completely within the budget of that particular district...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

...without exception?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Wait a minute. Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Two things; the twenty-three number you're looking at is what we cut which were House requests over and above the budget. Okay. And that twenty-three million we have deleted as being over the budget. The requests we were able to get from our members are from the allocation to that district only. And no, there is no borrowing or yes, you're right, there is no borrowing from any other district. It is within the budget for that district as an allocation of that district's money, nobody is getting into anyone else's pocket.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment...Amendment No. 13. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 13 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 14, by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you. This is absent dollar change, a transfer fund from Commodities to Contractual Services at their request and at the request of the Comptroller, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 14. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 14 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 15, by Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is to restore the monies taken by the House for the funding of the Washington Office. This is a request of the Department that the Washington staff is necessary to keep the Federal highway dollars flowing, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment 14. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 14 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 15, by Senator Sommer...yeah...Amendment No. 16, by Senator Sommer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Carroll, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR CARROLL:

Purpose of the record. The last amendment adopted was Amendment 15 which was the Washington Office. The one before that was the transfer, No. 14.

SECRETARY:

Right.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Yes, we are familiar with working with your amendments. Further discussion? All right. Senator Sommer, on Amendment No. 16.

SENATOR SOMMER:

Would you withdraw that, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right. Senator Sommer seeks to withdraw Amendment 16. Amendment is withdrawn. With leave of the Body. Amendments...next amendment.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 16 offered by Senator Weaver.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President...Amendment No. 16 is the State's share for a terminal construction in...Willard Airport in the amount of three million five hundred and sixty thousand.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

...Senator Weaver has moved the adoption of Amendment 16. Discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Just a question of the sponsor. We have asked for a letter from the Governor on this one, we understand he had a press conference on it this week, at some point, that he was in support of this amendment but we have not gotten the usual Doctor Bob on it. On other occasions, we have been told we should follow his press releases rather than the letters that flow. Sorry about that, Mr. President. And we...you know, we happen to catch the press release on this one, we don't always monitor his press. But we were wondering if a Doctor

Bob letter has forthcome or is forthcoming, and if this is that same project that he announced in the press that he supports which sometimes means he does and sometimes means he doesn't.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Weaver, it'll probably pop up sometime, right?
Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

I haven't received it either, but I'm sure that it will be forthcoming, Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Weaver has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 16. Further discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 16 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 17, by Senator Topinka.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I would like to withdraw. I thought that was No. 18, but...is this the one?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Well...when...

SENATOR TOPINKA:

...I...I would have run you 18 through...24.

SECRETARY:

We...we...we had one withdrawn, see...

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Well, maybe that's 17 through 23 then?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

You have 17 through 23 right now.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

I...mine are all in sequence, so if...I would like to withdraw my amendments, that order, 17 through 23.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

All right. Senator Topinka seeks the...leave of the Body to withdraw Amendments 17 through...17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23 and...23. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 17 offered by Senator Topinka.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Can I just check the number of...of...the LRB number to make sure this is the one that I would like to go on? SDAB4H2998SAM10C. Right? Okay. This basically is within the allocation of the budget...reallocation for resurfacing and related improvements on 35th Street from Austin Boulevard to Central Avenue in the Town of Cicero for six hundred and one thousand dollars.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Topinka has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 17. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 17 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 18, by Senator DeAngelis.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 18 to House Bill 2998 appropriates five hundred thousand dollars or so much thereof as may be necessary for the widening of Taft Street to a 170th Street in the Village of South Holland. You've got a two...a four-lane road going into a two-lane road. We

want to make it four lanes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Discussion? Senator DeAngelis has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 18. Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. First of all, obviously, we haven't seen this amendment. I don't know if it's been distributed or anything else, and unlike the others that have been...focused around, I would either ask him to withdraw it or allow us the opportunity. And unlike the other amendments, as in Senator Topinka's case, this is not a reallocation but additional funds. There is no money available in the Road Fund for...to so do. And I would ask that it either be withdrawn or that the amendment be distributed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator DeAngelis, what's your pleasure?

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

...I just...did file the amendment correct, so I think I'm given the choice between...lethal injection or...or the...or the...no, they weren't done in committee... or the electric chair. May I ask the chairman of the committee in the event monies become available that this be considered in conference? Thank you for your generosity, Chairman Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

So...so...so, Senator, which...which did you choose, lethal or the electric chair? All right. Senator DeAngelis seeks leave of the Body to withdraw that amendment. Amendment is withdrawn. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

3rd reading. 2999, Senator Rigney. House Bills 2nd

reading is House Bill 2999, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2999.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is...the Committee Amendment No. 1 would reduce the reappropriation level to the now known number as we always do, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2999. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I would move to lie Amendment No. 2 on the Table. These are the paratransit dollars. We did not know that they had...had substantive authorities to spend the money for the...handicapped and impaired for paratransit service. We have now found that they do have that authority, and I would therefore move to...move to lie Amendment No. 2 upon the Table.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved to Table Committee Amendment

HB 3090
2nd Reading

No. 2. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is Tabled. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. We will now go over to 3090. House bills 2nd reading, page 7...middle of page 7, is House Bill 3090, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3090. ✓

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers four amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 is a...reduces Operation by 1.17 according with our one percent budget cut. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3090.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator...Senator Hall moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3090. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen. Committee Amendment No. 2 reduces the grants reflecting Superintendent Sanders' recommendation for allocation of the Governor's budget. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIIO)

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR HALL:

Committee Amendment No. 3 is...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

...is Senator Berman's. It adds a hundred and fifty thousand for the Illinois Summer School for the Arts. Move for the adoption of that amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 3090. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Holmberg. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr...President. This is Senator Holmberg's, it adds fifty thousand for adult literacy, also known as a

grant to the Rockford District No. 205. Move for the adoption of that amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Hall moves the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 3090. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

...Amendment No. 5 offered by Senators Rigney and Watson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Mr. President, what we're attempting to do here is to add back twenty thousand dollars that was cut from the budget for this high school program that...what we're doing here is the intern program. As I understand, there are approximately seventy-eight high school students throughout the State of Illinois that...in fact, they have already been notified that they were approved for the program and now we're...under the original budget, we're going to take twenty thousand dollars out of that program which simply means that we couldn't...have the program at the level that we had planned.

As I understand, the use...part of this money, at least, to pay people here in Springfield who provide room and board for these young people during the semester that they are working here in the Capitol. I think it was a mistake to take this twenty thousand dollars out of the budget and I ask that it be restored.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Well, thank you. I'd also like to rise in support. We're just trying to...return the level of funding to the hundred and thirty thousand which it was during this past year. As Senator Rigney mentioned,...commitments have already been made in regard to this. I hope that we'll get a favorable roll call. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

...Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Yes, I...I've spoken with Senator Watson about this. I agree, this is a reasonable amendment. I would also urge its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rigney moves the adoption of Amendment No. 5 to House Bill 3090. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 6, by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. What this amendment would do would be to reduce the proposed level of funding for the bilingual programs by two...two million eight hundred and sixty-six thousands of dollars. It would do so by...it would take that money out of the new category of less than twenty students. It would reduce that to one-half of what groups greater than twenty are...would propose to receive. I would just point out that the bill as it stands now contains some very significant funding increases for the bilingual program. Even with the adoption of this amendment, there is still a very significant

increase in funding for this program and I think that's important for everyone to understand, that the amendment does not contemplate a reduction in funding for bilingual, it still leaves a very significant increase in funding for those programs. I'd be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Well, I...I rise in opposition to Amendment No. 6, as I will with 7 and 8. Committee Amendment No. 2, you will recall that when we discussed this in committee, we had suggested to Superintendent Sanders that the House action was simply unacceptable, we could not simply afford it. And we asked the superintendent to get together with the "education experts" and refigure the allocations, which he did. And admittedly, there is an increase in bilingual as there is, frankly, in virtually every mandated categorical program across this budget. This further reduction, in my judgment, is unwarranted, unnecessary and simply should not...we shouldn't do it. And I would urge opposition to Amendment No. 6.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman.

END OF REEL

REEL 34

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I also rise in opposition. This amendment addresses and reduces money for those children that need bilingual education in which the number of students are less than twenty. Now, in most of the schools up my way where you have bilingual programs, you have substantial numbers and they are over twenty kids. Last year with the reform package, we made a commitment to address those areas where you have...a number of children that require bilingual education and their numbers are...less than twenty in a class. Now this impacts, I would point out, I think it's a million dollars in Chicago, but it's almost two million dollars, a million eight I think is the figure, in downstate. Now I think what you're doing is taking a substantial step backward for those small clusters of foreign speaking children. I think you're hurting kids that we made a commitment to try to address...to phase them in to our educational system by addressing their bilingual needs where there are small clusters of those kids, and it's more of your districts than mine. I urge a No vote on this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Etheredge may close.

SENATOR ETHEREGE:

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I believe this amendment to be a responsible one. It is...even though it does represent 2.9 million dollars, almost, a decrease in the proposal of...funding, I want to point out that it...nevertheless, still what remains in the bill represents a very significant increase in funding for these programs. A

year ago at this time when we were debating the school reform package that was contained in Senate Bill 730, we talked about the bilingual programs and the impact of the passage of 730 on...on those programs. The information that was made available to us at that time was that we could make this change in regard in the way we serve the smaller groups of bilingual children without significant increase in demand on the...on the tax dollars. What we have found is that that has not been the case, that actually what is...has been proposed prior to my amendment is almost a doubling of the State support for bilingual programs. So, I...I would urge an Aye vote on this motion.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge moves the adoption of Amendment No. 6 to House Bill 3090. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Nays have it. Roll has been requested. Senator Topinka is leading the charge. All those in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 6 will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 27, the Nays...the Ayes are 24, the Nays are 27, none voting Present. Amendment No. 6 having failed to receive the majority vote is declared lost. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 7, by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Amendment No. 7 would reduce by 9.7 millions of dollars...General Revenue Fund monies that would be appropriated to the preschool. What this amendment does, the...is to continue the present level of funding for the preschool programs, the level that has been established over the last six

months of this fiscal year. The funding that we provided for FY '86 was...has been spent over the last six months time, so that even with the enactment or the adoption of this amendment, we would still be doubling the amount of money that would...that we would be spending in the preschool programs. I'd be happy to respond to any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Well, again, as I indicated, I rise in opposition to Amendment No. 7 and let me just, again, give you a...a little history as we discussed in committee. We were confronted with the fact that again this is a program that was part and parcel, and I might say a big part, of the reform package, and we appropriated and will spend in FY '86 nine million dollars. We attempted during the Fall Session...or the earlier Session this year to appropriate additional monies, and as a matter of fact, the State Board of Education has requested an increase to fifty-eight million dollars, if we could afford it. The Governor said, holy smoke, we can't afford that much. We will increase the program to the extent that we can and, hopefully, cover, I guess, twenty-five percent of the youngsters who could be covered if the program was full-blown, and so we will appropriate this year twenty-seven million dollars. The House had it up at fifty-eight eight and we said we simply can't afford to do that, and so what we...when we asked the State Board, you know, give us your best judgment, get together with all the education folks and let's find out where we are, and we have attempted to provide a four percent increase across...all the mandated categoricals and now they have a nine million dollar cut on this one simply seems to me to be unwarranted. And, again, I urge opposition to Amendment No. 7.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. May I suggest to my friends on the other side of the aisle that...if you test...ask for a roll call on this, I'm going to send this roll call to the editorial offices of the Chicago Tribune, because if there was one program that they campaigned for, editorial after editorial after editorial, it was the early childhood program. We've started on it. The appropriation level that this came out of out. of Appropriations II was what we felt was necessary in order to annualize...annualize the amount that we spent last year. It was not the dramatic increase that the Tribune editorial requested; it is an...it is continuing at the level that we had last year. Senator Etheredge will be a cutback of that program. Now I've just got to tell you that it doesn't make political sense to undercut this program. It has been editorialized, testified to as being one of the cornerstones of any long-range improvement for the quality of education in Illinois and I strongly urge...and I ask for a roll call, Mr. President. I strongly urge a No vote on this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Senator Etheredge, if you...will remember that while all this discussion was going on and...and both speakers previous are exactly right, you know that we...we're trying to be responsible and I would ask you to withdraw this amendment because what would be doing is just undercutting the things that we sat there in the committee with the sponsor of the bill and with Superintendent Sanders. So, please, take that into consideration. If we had tried to fund that as what as come, there would be no way that we'd have anything going for education like we should do.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

That's...Jack, over the microphone I won't say it that way. It's always interesting how a year later memories fade. This particular program, if you remember, had significant opposition last year, would not have passed the Legislature had it not been at the end thrown into the overall education package. This was opposed dramatically by parents' groups throughout the State for many of the reasons we're now seeing today. One complaint was the State would eventually be turned into a giant day-care center, something we were all scared to death of. We also said the cost...you guys have no idea what the cost of this program will be and we're going from three to nine to fifty-eight million in one year. Last year, that's exactly what people were saying, and here we are now a year later coming back and saying, oh, well, it was so popular last year. No, it wasn't popular last year. I wouldn't have passed last year if it had not been made an intricate portion of the overall education reform package. Everything that's being said today exactly bears out what the opposition last year said and why this would not have passed last year. To now suddenly pretend that this program is sacrosanct when it only got through by slight of hand to begin with, somehow perhaps misrepresents the position taken. This program...if they're talking going from whatever figure you want to talk nine million to fifty-eight million, I want to tell you, if it's fifty-eight million after one year, if it continues to grow at that rate, it's going to be a half a billion by...the year after that. And it may be that the Tribune editorialized in favor of it, but this program is not the program they editorialized in favor of. It was the concept they editorialized in favor of. This specific program wasn't what they favored. With that, I would say, let us not

misrepresent the position, let us remember that this is exactly why this bill would not have passed last year and this program is turning into exactly what parents' groups throughout the entire State said it would turn into, State mandated, State funded day care. I don't think that's what we wanted and just look at the cost escalation, from nine to fifty-eight million in one shot and it wasn't even nine last year. Let's take a look at it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rock, state your point.

SENATOR ROCK:

I...I wish he would not bring his fight with the Tribune to this Floor. Whatever you and the Tribune have going, that's your business. It is not fifty-eight million. The bill as it stands before you has an appropriation to annualize this to twenty-seven million. Senator Etheredge seeks to cut that in half, and...and I would also beg to differ...we made a conscious decision, this didn't slip through anybody. We have in excess of a hundred thousand youngsters in this State who are "educationally at risk" and by virtue of this program, we have started to provide services to a roughly twenty thousand of them; and if you think this General Assembly was prepared to abandon in excess of a hundred thousand kids who are at risk, I beg to differ. We knew exactly what we were doing and we said we would service all of them as soon as we could afford it, and we are incrementally aiming at it. So don't discount this program. This was an integral part of that reform package, and without it, that package would have been at risk.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Darrow.

SENATOR DARROW:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Last year I was one of those that...had little faith

in this program. I was looking upon it as a program for the rich Republican household in the suburbs of Chicago and in DuPage County. Those Republican ladies would like to get out once in a while and not be tied to their children, so they put them in day care and it was a boon to the Republican Party and the yuppies of the Republican Party, and I was not in favor of it. Now as time goes by and it becomes part of our educational community in my district, I discovered this program was not a babysitting program. A woman called me whose child was born three months premature, who had some learning problems, at-risk, and she went through this program, enrolled her child and the child is now able to adjust in a kindergarten. Another woman called me whose child had a speech impairment and it was...having difficulty socializing, went into this program and made great progress. This is not babysitting, this is not day care, this is education. And when you say we're increasing it, of course, we're increasing it, we're annualizing it. Last year it was for a portion of the year, a portion of the funding. We're annualizing it so more children can take care of this worthwhile program. Granted, if you in the suburbs and you in the wealthy suburbs want to have it used as a babysitting day-care service, fine, so be it; but we, in western Illinois, use it for the education of our children. Go ahead, try to cut it, but all you're doing is hurting the children. I don't think you want to do that and I'd ask for a defeat of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly...I...of the Senate. I would just make this one comment to remind us that one of the greatest costs that we have in education right now is for remediation of basic

skills, reading, writing, arithmetic, that sort of thing. All of the research that I have ever read in the fourteen years that I've spent in education points to the fact that for every dollar that we spend in early childhood education, preschool, full-day kindergarten, for every dollar we spend, substantial dollars are saved in not having to remediate those children as they go on through the lower elementary grades. That's why I think this program is important and that's why I think we should fund the early childhood, the preschool programs.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Keats for the second time.

SENATOR KEATS:

Only to correct the President's corrections. The fight with the Tribune is Art's fight with the Tribune, not mine. I get along real well with those guys. I get along well with the Sun-Times, too, it's my Pioneer Press I have trouble with. But let us correct just one thing being said, annualizing the appropriation. It was nine million. If you annualize it, it's eighteen million, but we're going for twenty-seven, so we're fifty...fifty percent above annualizing, the State board wanted fifty-eight; and so what the Senate President was saying is substantiating the point we're making, each year these types of programs will increase dramatically out of proportion to what had been the original promise. If you remember back in the 1960's in Washington we had something called the start of the great society, and in a bipartisan effort many people today have all kind of said, gee, we promised things we couldn't deliver and we're sorry. Many Democrats and many Republicans say the same thing today. This program is a classic example. It was nine million the first six months, then...based on that would have been eighteen million but we're asking for twenty-seven million,

the State board wants fifty-eight million. I mean, you just start adding those dollars up and just remember what happened to all those programs. And I do beg to differ with the President when he says this is an integral part of the program. Not an integral enough part for at the end when we passed the final program, it could not have passed muster on its own without the fact that it was demanded that it was part of the program, and if you remember carefully...there were an awful lot of Republicans who voted for the program and a group of your downstate Democrats who wouldn't have gone with the program, wouldn't have gone with this if it had not been demanded that it become part of the integral...or the larger program. So, I correct the President's corrections and I appreciate his kindness of giving me this opportunity to do so.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Etheredge may close.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this is not a bad vote. What we...you have the opportunity to reduce the spending for the preschool program, you still...end up annualizing it at a total of eighteen millions of dollars. I would ask for a roll call and I would ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Question is, shall House...Senate...shall Amendment No. 7 to House Bill 3090 be adopted. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 28, the Nays are 29, none voting Present. Amendment No. 7 having failed to receive a majority vote is declared lost. For what purpose Senator Etheredge arise?

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

I...I would request a verification of the negative votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge has requested a verification of the negative votes. Will all the Senators please be in their seats and will the Secretary please call the negative votes.

SECRETARY:

The following voted in the negative: Berman, Carroll, Collins, D'Arco, Darrow, Degnan, Demuzio, Hall, Holmberg, Jones, Jeremiah Joyce, Jerome Joyce, Kelly, Lechowicz, Lemke, Luft, Marovitz, Nedza, Netsch, Newhouse, O'Daniel, Poshard, Sangmeister, Savickas, Smith, Vadalabene, Welch, Zito, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge, do you question any of the negative votes?

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Senator Zito.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is Senator Zito on the Floor? Senator Zito. Strike his name. Do you question...

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Senator Netsch.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Netsch is standing in a caucus in the back. Do...do you question...any further Senators?

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Senator D'Arco.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator D'Arco is in conference in the back...do you question any further...

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

No. No, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

On that question, the Ayes are 28, the Nays are 28, none

voting Present. Amendment No. 7 having failed to receive a majority is declared lost. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 8, by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Having failed twice now in a row to reduce some expenditures, I'm proposing to increase them in...in this amendment. What this amendment does is to add two and one half million dollars into the line item which funds the reimbursement for programs of the gifted around the State of Illinois. What is being proposed in the bill as it stands now is a two hundred and fifty thousand dollar increase from approximately six and a quarter to six and a half million dollars for gifted program reimbursements. I think what we're talking about here is a portion of the...of the State's educational program that we have neglected for far too long. I think there...it is easy to establish the fact. If you look at the funding levels for this program over the last several years, you can see very quickly that this is the...the...stepsister in our educational program, and I would strongly urge your support for this well-deserved two and a half million dollar increase for the gifted programs.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Well, I...I would ask the gentleman if he'd consider withdrawing this one, and I say that for this reason. We have afforded vocational ed., adult ed., gifted, textbooks, orphans a four percent increase on the basis...over last year on the basis that that is what we can reasonably afford and what the Governor can reasonably sign. Now, I for years have

been...as many of you are an advocate of gifted education, have attempted to raise it to the best of our ability year after year after year, but if you start throwing money at this budget, we are going to find ourselves in the same position that the House found themselves in, six hundred million dollars over what anybody could reasonably expect the Governor to sign, and we simply ought not do that. If we've decided that four percent is a reasonable increase and the superintendent has said that is not unreasonable, it's certainly not what they want but it is not unreasonable, and it is within reach of the Governor's pen so he does not have to be constrained to...to reduce all these lines, why don't we leave it there? Just...if...if you...if you would be kind enough to withdraw this; otherwise, I have to stand in opposition to it. I think it's a mistake. You start raising this line, we're going to raise them all and we'll be right back where the House started and that's a mistake.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman. Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Just a moment ago I was up here saying these things get out of hand. Now, there it was nine million for a program that we said, hey, you know, you start it, you're going to get eaten alive. Here's one, it's only two and a half million. Phil, we're saving you six and a half million. This was a program we were committed to long ago. This is a program we have been funding for sometime. This is a program for the kids who are supposedly gifted, supposedly are needing additional help. If you're going to talk about the academic excellence side of educational reform, this is the academic excellence side of education reform. A moment ago, you felted an increase of nine million more than the offer was reasonable. Now, of course, I hate to chide the President 'cause in a minute I'm probably going

to have to come back and ask him for something, but a minute ago the nine million seemed fair for a program that we all said was going to be out of line; now, it's two a half million for an older, established program that we have underfunded for years and this is the whole side of academic excellence. I would solicit an Aye vote for the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Well, I...I have difficulty keeping up with Senator Keats, obviously, because he is consistently inconsistent. One of the next amendments will take and transfer three million dollars out of the early childhood into the truant line as recommended by the State board. It has, in fact, administratively...they did last year. So, the annualization figure, in fact, is correct in the final analysis once we get through with it, but this one...it just seems to me...I...I'm not even sure you understand the impact of it, it's not going to serve anymore children, just going raise the per capita reimbursement for those kids already in the program, and it's a laudable thing and we...at some point, we ought to do it. This is not that point and I'd...so I'd renew my request that the gentleman withdraw this one. There is money that...that we will be attempting to add by amendment almost of an equivalent amount for the math-science academy, and in my judgment, that's what this...these exercises are all about and we're prepared to offer some money to that institution and we're going to have a lengthy discussion on that one; but this one simply ought not be done, because if you're going to do it for this line, then I want the same kind of an increase in textbooks and somebody else wants the same kind of an increase in vocational ed. and somebody else wants it in adult ed., all of which are justified and all of which are unaffordable...unaffordable. I'd ask you to withdraw it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Karpel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Well, I, too, stand in support of this amendment. You know, we just put in...what was it? Twenty-seven million? I don't have my file here on...on what the budgets are for the...or for the different line items, but I believe we increased the bilingual education by fifty percent this year. We just put in twenty-seven million for preschool. Senator Rock just said that in the coming amendment we are going to transfer three million dollars to a truancy program. Now that's all well and good to be supporting programs for the children at risk, for special ed., for truants, for vocational ed. and for preschool, but when do we get to putting in real money for those few children in this State who are truly gifted, not just in math and science, but creative subjects such as art and music? The truly gifted of this State are getting short-changed all the time. Let's not forget what Topfole said when he wrote in 1867, or whenever it was, about public education, "How eventually in this country," he said, "it would reduce all education to the mediocre or the medium," and that I'm afraid...looks like what's happening if we are not going to be putting money into the programs for our truly gifted children. I don't have any more gifted children in my district than anyone else does, and so I'm not doing it for any kind of a personal or parochial reasons except that I know that I...that our gifted children get bored in school if they're not being challenged, if they don't have some special programs. I can't think of a...group that we should be supporting more than these. And I ask for your support of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Well, I...thank you, Mr. President. I'll be brief. I want just to reiterate again that we sat there...all of us on that committee realized that we would like to do more. The point is, is where with...are the funds? I don't like to...I got a lot a calls for gifted and I've always supported gifted, but at this time we just do not have the monies to do it and we're supposed to be responsible.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. It's with great reluctance that I stand up to argue against more money for the gifted because I and a number of you, have always been champions of that program, but I think it's important to recognize that we are fooling somebody in this process. We are serving the maximum number of children eligible for that program at the present time under the present allocation. Now one of the things that we did in order to try to act responsibly was to take the Governor's level of appropriation bottom line and ask the State Superintendent to allocate that money. If you recall, he gave us three levels...an answer at three levels. The present level was level one...fifty million dollars more than that was a level two, that was about a hundred and ninety million, and then there was a four hundred or a three hundred and some million dollars, that was at the House level, that was level three. The level that the bill sits at now for gifted education was the level recommended by the superintendent under the allocation at both level one and level two. Senator Etheredge is trying to appropriate money as if we could spend the money that the House appropriated. I would love to, you would love to, it ain't there. Now, I've got people sitting up in that balcony who've been tugging on my sleeve regarding adult education and I've given them the same answers that our friends, Trevor Steinbeck and

the others who are our friends, and the gifted have asked for the same thing, why can't we give more money? Well, if we're going to add the increase at that bottom line, I'm all for the gifted and the adult and the other good programs that we have funded year in and year out. You're conning somebody if you're going to say that you're going to do two and a half million more for the gifted, because the money isn't going to be spent at this level, and I'm not sure why we're going through all of this tonight...or today for this. There isn't...you're not proving that we are less supportive of gifted because we're not taking money that isn't there and putting it into a line item. You're not going to be able to prove that, and that's just not the case. So, I think if you're going to be responsible...and the people that I hear always screaming about responsible fiscal approach are the people on the other side of the aisle. Let me suggest to you, this is the time; otherwise, within a half an hour everybody that represents another one of those categorical line items is going to ask you why you didn't take care of them. We put the bill out at a realistic, affordable level. This takes it out of kilter. I don't think it's fair either to those gifted kids or to the people that run those gifted programs to con them into this kind of an amendment. I urge a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Etheredge may close.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, very much. I suppose there never really is a good time to increase the funding level for programs for the gifted. This is the sixth spring that I've sat down here and we have not made any significant increases in the funding levels for that program over that...that period of time. There have been increases but they have been all too small.

I think it's important that we now make a statement, as members of this Senate, that gifted education is a priority of ours so that those who have put together this bill as it stands now will get the message that gifted education is important. We have a...an amendment coming down the line here, it's already been referred to that would transfer over three million dollars into truancy programs. Truancy programs are important. I've got some that work up in my area that...that do an important educational service, but just think about that, we're going to increase our spending for truancy programs over three million dollars if we put that amendment on...actually it's a transfer, I beg your pardon, but we would transfer money into that program and we have now twenty-five percent of gifted students that drop out of school. Many of the gifted students are truants, if you will, because the educational programs that we provide for them through our low levels of funding are insufficient to challenge them and encourage them to stay in school and fully realize their potential. I think it is a disgrace of this State that we have not spoken out earlier on and asked for significant increases in the funding level for...for gifted educational programs. Ladies and gentlemen, I would ask for a roll call and I would ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rock, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR ROCK:

Well, just to clear...clarify. I asked the gentleman on three occasions to withdraw this. If, indeed, there's going to be a roll call, I suggest to every member on my side that they vote Aye.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge moves the adoption of Amendment No. 8 to House Bill 3090. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted

who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are 3, none voting Present. Amendment No. 8 having received the majority vote is declared adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 9 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Oh,...yes, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this is a...an amendment which would reduce spending 1.1 millions of dollars. It would remove the funding for Project DELTA. I would just point out to the group that the...that funds have been appropriated over the last couple of years but they have not been spent. So, I...I would suggest that...that...that we delete this line item.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Well, I'm opposed to this one too and this one I'm going to ask everybody to oppose, without question. This is a project that I think is...is good. We have appropriated before, we ought to appropriate again. The House cut this out. The superintendent asked us that it be replaced and I agree and it ought to say in.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Let me take a moment of your time to explain what Project DELTA is...and the sponsor, I don't think was fully aware when I walked over to him earlier. Three years ago, at the insistence of a number of parents of handicapped children, I brought DMHDD and the State Board of Education together and addressed a problem that most

of you are very aware of. When you have severely disturbed kids, we don't have in-state facilities for them. We send them to Maine, Texas, Colorado, all over and we pick up the tab with some very expensive tuitions. I said, why don't we try to get together between you two State agencies and get a Illinois residential facility for these severely disturbed children. That is Project DELTA. At one point it was called the Henry Horner Project, and I'm not sure why they changed names but they changed names. They couldn't get their acts together for the past two years. This year, early on, after the first of the year, I sat down again with both agencies, the directors, and I said, look, I'm...I'm tired of trying to do something that I and a number of parents think are important and the Bureau of the Budget would think is important because we can save some money, if you can't get this thing together, let's forget about it. They conferred, they came back, they said, yes, we can overcome the interagency problems, we'll do it this year, and there's the money, again, this year. I take them at their word. It's important. It's important not only fiscally because we will save more than we're going to spend with saving and cutting down some of these out-of-state tuitions, but even more importantly, you've got a facility that we're going to have whereby parents can see these children while they're being treated instead of sending them out to far away places where they lose the parental contact. It's an important project. Both DMHDD and the State board have signed off. I urge that this amendment be opposed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Etheredge may close.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

I...I would just ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge moves the adoption of Amendment No. 9 to House Bill 3090. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 30, the Nays...the Ayes are 18, the Nays are 30. Amendment No. 9 having failed to receive a majority vote is declared lost. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 10 offered by Senator Berman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a transfer. There's no net increase or decrease. What we're doing is taking three and a half million dollars from the early childhood program, which will still keep it at an annualized basis, and transferring it to the truants' program because of the underfunding even under the allocation as it came out of the Senate Appropriations to address the...the truants' program that we had started last year. I solicit your Aye vote for this interline transfer, no net increase or decrease.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Berman moves the adoption of Amendment No. 10 to House Bill 3090. Those in favor will...say Aye. Those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it...Amendment No. 10 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 11 offered by Senator Newhouse.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Senators. Senators, you will recall that there was a measure in this Legislature for a

no-pass, no-play provision for high school athletes. I think it's a good idea, but as a matter of fact, I opposed it on this Floor for the reason that...it appeared to me that we were attacking...we were punishing kids rather than helping them; and the purpose of this is to take a look at that whole situation to see how we can, as a matter of fact, accomplish the end that is to be accomplished but at the same time provide the kids with the supports that will influence them to do what's needed and that is the purpose of this amendment. I would ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

A question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Senator Newhouse, as the sponsor of no-pass, no-play, could you explain to me how this...explain to me the ramifications of this amendment? How the fifteen thousand dollars would be spent? Is there a committee...a subcommittee...of the Senate...of the joint House-Senate, exactly how...how would this work?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Those details haven't been worked out, Senator. We want your input into it and input from the Senate as to how it should be done.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Well, I guess a procedural question which perhaps the President could answer if he were to make any remarks. If we

were to set up a joint House-Senate committee or subcommittee, could that be done just by the passage of this or does that need separate...legislation? Does that need a resolution, a sense of the Senate, a sense of the House...if we're going to put this on, I'd like to know exactly what's going to be done with it, because we did pass that bill by an overwhelming margin with bipartisan support here; unfortunately, it got bogged down in the House, and President Rock and...has indicated...why some things get bogged down in the House, but I'd like to know exactly how this is going to work...passing a...putting this on the bill and appropriating fifteen thousand dollars is fine if we know what's going to happen, but I'm not sure what this means.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I've just been informed by my handlers that there is a procedure for this, and that procedure is there'll be requests for proposals from...from organizations who have the expertise to do this, those proposals would be evaluated and the selection will be made from that group.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

...if that's the case, then I would stand in opposition to this. I don't see the...the need to spend fifteen thousand dollars to...to contract for outside agencies to do a study of something that we are elected to do ourselves. That's what elected officials are for. We go before the voters every two or four years, and the voters determine if we're doing our job. That's what we're here for. We passed school reform. This is consistent with school reform; we didn't...go for any outside study where we have to...we have

to appropriate dollars, that's what the legislative process is for and I think each one of us is...is designated and delegated to do that. I think we can do that without appropriating fifteen thousand dollars to outside people...whom they are, none of us know, to do our job for us; and for that reason, I think I'd stand in opposition to this.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, although I appreciate Senator Newhouse's attempt to look into this problem, I would have to concur with Senator Marovitz, having been a joint sponsor on that bill, because it would seem, you know, at a time that we're trying to save money and keep the budget in order that we're going way and a...and abounds. I realize fifteen thousand in terms of some of the monies that are spent around here is kind of small but you can nickle and dime yourself to death when we have standing committees...who can create subcommittees at any given time to do this just within normal protocol and budgetary considerations; and on that basis, I think we're quite capable of handling this job, and I would stand in opposition.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Newhouse may close.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Let's...let's make one thing clear, I'm not...I'm not asking nor...nor championing the spending of fifteen thousand dollars. What I am trying to do is to resolve a problem that exists, and the problem that exists is just this. If we're talking about support for the kids in the high school, and that's what I presume we're talking about, then someone needs to do a study of some kind.

I don't have the time, maybe you two do have the time, I don't know. I don't have the staff, maybe you do have the staff, I don't know. There's no staff that currently exists that can devote the time to do this, and if we're serious about it, and I think you...you...you...profess to be, then you ought to be able to come before this Body with the information that we need and so far, it isn't forthcoming. So, you know, up or down that's just the way it goes. It's a suggestion that I thought was workable and a suggestion that it seemed to me to be perfectly logical. So, I would just ask for an Aye vote and whichever way it goes, Stromboli.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator, do you want a roll call vote on that?

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

On the adoption of Amendment No. 11...to House Bill 3090, those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 13, the Nays are 30, none voting Present. Amendment No. 11 to House Bill 3090 having failed to receive a majority vote is declared lost. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 12 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. In order to get to a...level playing field, this would reduce the appropriation for the math-science academy down to zero. We had left it in at a amount equal to that which we fund all other students and all other institutions. I...this is done merely so that the other amendments will

track. It's my understanding that Senator Rock and others have amendments to offer that will deal with math-science...excuse me. In order to let those track, we must do this and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Well, will the sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he will.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Senator Carroll, just to make sure that I understand...you are indicating that there will be another amendment following...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Why, thank you, Mr. President. Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

You could have said that very easily. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 12 to House Bill 3090. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 12 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 13, by Senator Demuzio.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

That's Senator Poshard, Amendment No. 13. Senator Etheredge, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

In...in regard to Senators...Senator Carroll's amendment, staff has just informed me that the later amendment will not track unless your amendment is Tabled.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

If we may, while Senator Poshard is proceeding on his, let us check that. It was our understanding that the exact opposite was the case.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Amendment No. 13 has Senator Demuzio's name on it. Senator Poshard,...Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would add fifty thousand dollars to the State Board of Education budget for grants to support the agriculture education program which was Senator Maitland's bill which passed out of this Senate overwhelmingly. It's...it's monies to start up the program this year with the hope that in succeeding years many more funds will be there for a much broader program.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Poshard...Senator Maitland.

SENATOR HAITLAND:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President. Just to chat...to...to tell the Body that you may recall that originally...that there were fifteen millions of dollars in this program and, obviously, that simply could not be funded this year. That...that funding level was reduced to five million and then was taken out in the Senate committee. Senator Poshard and I feel very strongly that, obviously, the bill is going to go to the Governor's Desk. We're hopeful that he's going to sign it, it's going to be necessary to do some preliminary work between now and next spring to put this program in motion, and I would urge the Body's support of this fifty thousand dollars.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Poshard moves the adoption of Amendment No. 13 to House Bill 3090. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 13 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 14 offered by Senator Rock.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank...is this the three and a half million dollar number? Thank you. Before proceeding, I'd like to have an answer to Senator Etheredge's question as to whether or not this tracks properly.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Senator Etheredge was correct. I would, therefore, having voted on the prevailing side, move to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 12 was adopted for purposes of then Tabling that amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

You've heard the motion. Any objection? Hearing no objection, the motion carries. The vote on which Amendment No. 12 passed is reconsidered. Now, Senator Carroll moves to Table. Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

The appropriate motion would be to allow me to withdraw the amendment rather than Tabling...oh, then the numbers are bad? Move to Table.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll, if you'll just listen to the Chair and run...run the Appropriations Committee, we'll run the Chair. Senator Carroll moves to Table Amendment No. 12. Those in

favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 14 offered by Senator Rock.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 14 concerns itself with the math-science academy, which also was enacted by this body as a part of the educational reform. It was felt that our students ought to have the opportunity to excel and be exposed to excellence in math and science and so we're going to set up this super school, if you will. It is moving along and I understand that...from testimony in the committee that some two hundred and sixteen youngsters from across the State have been accepted as first-year students in the math-science academy. And the question was asked in committee, what's this going to cost? It was one of the things that was unfortunately not fully discussed at the time we discussed the math-science academy in the beginning. We did talk at one point when the first two hundred and fifty thousand dollars was requested that we...simply ought not do it, shouldn't be done because we can't afford it. All the school budgets of the eleven hundred school districts across this State were strained to the breaking point and we just simply couldn't afford to do this. Then we were persuaded that, well, we...we had better be able to afford to do this because it's something that's long overdue, and if we are to be truly competitive and our youngsters are to be truly competitive...an academy like this ought to be set up. And the question was never fully answered as to how it was to be paid for. Are the kids going to pay tuition? Were the school districts who sent these youngsters going to contribute much

like they do for special ed., so that there would be a contribution from all the sending districts? Going to set up a special district, a special tax levy? How are we going to pay for it? Lot of silence on that question and, all of a sudden, here we come down the pike with a request, originally, that was considered in the House of some six million dollars for the first year operation. Now all you have to do is divide two hundred and sixteen kids into six million dollars and you get an idea of the cost per student, and I argued in committee, wait a minute, what are we doing? Why don't we take that same per pupil, per student guaranteed amount times the number of kids and that came out to about four hundred thousand dollars, because in this State, with all the youngsters from across the State, we guarantee nineteen hundred plus as a guaranteed minimum State contribution. Not enough. I think we have made a commitment, yes, to the creation of and the operation of a math-science academy. A number of the members are not pleased, frankly, that the youngsters who applied from their districts, for whatever reason, were not accepted or acceptable, that there seems to be a geographic disparity between the...the acceptees or the first enrollees, I don't know how we sort that out, that's not the problem. The problem is, and I mentioned to the Governor as...as late as this morning, that this is something we had better rethink because in one fell swoop, by virtue of a House amendment, we are appropriating...or would have been appropriating more to this school, which will start in September, than we are to the entire gifted program. Now, Senator Etheredge has just bumped up the gifted program to nine million, so where...he's covered that base, but the fact is that we are taking two hundred and sixteen youngsters and saying, well, you are truly special and so we'll spend twenty-five or thirty thousand dollars apiece on you to educate you. And where's that coming from? You know where it's

coming from. It's coming at the...at the...I guess at the cost of other programs from across the State. Now, I think, again, we have made a commitment. We had better get this school off the ground. And so, Amendment No. 14 would appropriate three and a half million dollars to allow the school to open, to have some significant staff support, to provide for faculty, to make sure there is an outreach program which is the second part of this and to suggest very, very strongly that this dialogue is not over, that we had better come back here in the fall or certainly at the beginning of the next General Assembly and seriously think through how in the world we are going to fund this academy. I don't, frankly, think it's a bad idea to have the sending districts, much like we do with special ed., pay part of the cost or why not even have some of the students contribute tuition? This is a residential program. This is room, board and tuition and we're going to do it in one fell swoop. Well, I am prepared to say that we in the Assembly have made a commitment, the Governor has made a commitment, let's fund it to the extent that we can to get it up and going but let's don't go crazy. In February, the consultants on this project suggested a eight and a half million dollar annual budget for this school. The board of trustees pared it down to 6.6 million, and the State board and its staff worked on a budget around 5.8 million, and then at the request of the State board and at...at the request of the...some members, at least, of the Appropriations Committee, budgets of five million, three million and two million were also worked. I'm prepared to go with three and a half million, that's half the original request, on the basis that we will come back and we will discuss this. If you make the full commitment...I suppose they'd like ten million. You make that kind of a commitment, we're stuck with this forever, and I don't think we want to do that. I urge the adoption of Amendment 14.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I...I rise in support of this...of this amendment. Senator Rock has described, I think, well the...the history of the...various efforts to develop a budget for this institution. I would like to point out something that has...has not yet been mentioned but which I think is terribly important and something which I believe all of us here can understand. The budget requests that have been submitted by the board of trustees of this institution are really made up of two parts. The first part is the...are the costs that are associated with the operation of the institution over the next fiscal year and serving those two hundred odd young men and women from all over the State of Illinois, but in addition, there is another very important cost that is associated with this institution and those costs are...are derived from the fact that this is a brand new institution. It is...there are certain costs that are associated not with the day-to-day operation but...with simply the opening of the doors of the academy, costs that are...are necessary...to provide the...the chairs in the classrooms, the teachers' desks and all of those other...other kinds of expenses which are really one-time expenditures. The only quarrel I have with this amendment is while it does adequately address the operational costs of the academy over the next year, that it does not adequately provide for those start-up costs for...for the academy. In regard to the three and half million dollars to serve these two hundred some odd kids, I would suggest to you that there are other residential schools here in the State of Illinois which we pay for out of our tax dollars, institutions that are somewhat similar in size, and...that you will find when you investigate, as I did, the cost of

operating those schools, they're at least fifty percent greater than what is being proposed as the operating costs for the math and science academy. I would submit to you that the operational costs that are...that have been projected by the board of trustees are not out of line...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further...

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

...I support this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he will.

SENATOR JONES:

Senator Rock, does this amendment add three million to the bill or is it...this...amendment taking three million out of the bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

This amendment adds...adds three and a half million dollars over what the committee has already added.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Well, I...I rise reluctantly to oppose the amendment. Last year when we talked about education reform in the State of Illinois for all the students...and a couple of weeks ago when I received a computer printout of those students who would be offered admission to this math-science academy, to my dismay and shock for a school district and its public schools which represent more than twenty-five percent of the

total school enrollment, and when I looked at the private and parochial schools in the City of Chicago, I was shocked to find that out of two hundred and fourteen students, only nine students in the City of Chicago proper would be offered admission to this school. I was under the impression that the school was for all students across the State of Illinois, and if they...if the students in the City of Chicago are not going to be afforded the opportunity to participate in this math-science academy as we indicated that it would be for all students, then I don't see why we are just...we are appropriating this money. I, for one, cannot stand here and vote for a...a piece of legislation or appropriation and I don't care if it's three million, one million or one dollar, if it's not going to be fair and open to all the students. I looked at some of the names on the list that I received, many of the names came from the same identical family. So, before we appropriate money for a math-science academy, I think we must have some fairness about this. There is no way in the world that you're going to have a open competition, open enrollment and have...all students have an opportunity to participate and...and the biggest district in the State of Illinois, the City of Chicago, only have four percent of the total student enrollment. So, it...the math-science academy must not be for all the students of the State of Illinois but only for a select few which does not include the City of Chicago. I must vote No.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank...thank you, very much, Mr. President. As a co-sponsor of the original bill, I think some of us are...are missing some of the points of why this academy was originally put forth by Doctor Leiderman. Dr. Leiderman is the director of Fermi Lab, and Fermi Lab right now is in the process of

trying to get the superconductor-supercollider for this State. If we are successful in that venture, this will bring anywhere from four and a half to six billion dollars worth of work to the State of Illinois, and it will make us the capital of scientific research in this area, not only for the United States but for the world, but somehow or another we have to guarantee that we are going to have someone who knows what to do with this thing if and when it is built in our State. For us to be sitting here quibbling about pennies or even millions of pennies and possibly putting something like this in jeopardy is crazy. I don't know, Senator Jones, where these children are coming from. I have not even seen the list. I'm not even sure there's anybody in my district who's going to go to this academy. I do know that there is an outreach program that will teach other teachers how to teach math and science. That is the one area that we are lacking as far as teachers are concerned. This is a step...a small step, be it, but a good step in the right direction. I think we all ought to be on board for the future of the State and I suggest we all vote Yes. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

I apologize for rising a second time, ladies and gentlemen, but Senator Jones raised, I think, some very important...a very important question and I...I think that we need to...to speak to it. This is, indeed, a...an academy for all. I think one of the things that...that we need to understand is that the work...it...for any new project, it is difficult to...to communicate with the folks out there and to tell them about this new opportunity. In many schools the...the word was...all schools received the word, by the way. In some instances, unfortunately, the word did not...did not get circulated as we confidently expect that it

will in...in future. But I think that if you look at the...the demographics of that first class, you will find that they are broadly representative of the State of Illinois and also of the people that make up this State of Illinois. I would point out, Senator Jones, so I'll...unfortunately, there are only nine youngsters from the Chicago public schools. We hope that there will be far more than that in future, but I would at the same time point out that there were five students from...from the East...East St. Louis area. It just...it...it happened that the...that the people down there seem to seize this opportunity and...and dig in and...and get some highly qualified young people who applied and they were accepted, and I'm confident there are many people just like that in Chicago and, hopefully, over the next several months we will communicate with them and...and get them enrolled in the academy.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rock may close.

END OF REEL

REEL #5

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Again, I move the adoption of Amendment No. 14. It's...it's 3.1 million dollars in addition to the four hundred thousand that the committee saw fit to appropriate for the purpose of the Math-Science Academy and, Senator Fawell, we're...not really quibbling. What I'm asking...and I think Senator Jones' question is not inappropriate, it was asked in committee and not answered, frankly, to the satisfaction of the committee members and the gentleman from the board and the gentleman from the staff indicated that there would be further information forthcoming as to where...what the geographic distribution of the applications was and how the final decisions were made as to who was accepted. I think that's a legitimate inquiry. My question...my inquiry and my concern is that...that we forever...I mean, this is a fait accompli, we have...authorized the..the start-up of this math-science academy and I think we have a right to question how it's to be funded. There...if...you'll recall, as I do, the Floor debate when we were debating the math-science academy, Senator Etheredge said in no uncertain terms some State support would be required, some, s-o-m-e, not s-u-m. Now I don't know, you know, corporate endowment, whatever, we'd better get cracking; but if you...think you're going to come back here year after year after year and have us pay the entire operation of this academy, then I suggest that we have to rethink the whole education spending program. We don't even do this for the State supported universities, for goodness sake. I urge the adoption of Amendment No. 14.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rock moves the adoption of Amendment 14 to House

Bill 3090. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment 14 is...Senator Jones requests a roll call. Senator Jones, what's your...the Ayes have it. The motion is adopted. Amendment No. 14 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 15 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, what this amendment would do would be to increase the funding for the math and science academy from the three and a half million dollars to...to five million dollars. The impact of this amendment is to provide the...a million and a half dollars for start-up costs for the math and science academy. I would ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Well, again, I'd ask the gentleman to withdraw this one. It took me awhile to persuade people that three and a half was appropriate, now...two minutes later, we're...we're up to five on top of the three and a half, that's eight. You know, give me a break. I...I think this one ought to be, if you will, withdrawn. We can talk about it but...three and a half...you know, I've got the same printout...or not printout, the same information from the Board of Trustees as do you, three and a half seems to me to be appropriate. We'll be back here in the fall, we'll be back here next year, some of us; hopefully, there'll be more on this side than there are, but nonetheless, we'll be back here. And...you know, enough is enough, I...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I...in my earlier remarks on Amendment No. 14, I...I tried to...to indicate my concern that while that amendment provided an adequate operating level that there was not adequate money there to provide the start-up cost. With the statement that Senator Rock has just made that we can continue to...to talk about the start-up costs, needs, I would withdraw this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 15 offered by Senator Demuzio.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Yes, thank you. Amendment No. 15 is an amendment that I spoke with Senator Weaver about which would add a hundred thousand dollars to begin a study for the rural government finance study policy initiative, and is...probably belongs in the Board of Higher Education budget but I would move its adoption and perhaps in conference we could move it over there.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Demuzio moves the adoption of Amendment No. 15 to House Bill 3090. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment 15 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill 3091, Senator Berman. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3091.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Committee Amendment No. 1, Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The State Board of Education budget...House Bill 3091, this is the...Amendment No. 1 is our amendment that we reduced the grant to reflect...recommended...recommendation for the Governor's budget. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

...Senator Hall has moved the adoption...Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3091. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 2 adds eighteen thousand dollars for a ten thousand dollar supplemental payment and eight thousand dollars for the Elementary District Formula One, adds three thousand five hundred dollars for this purpose for a total line of eleven...eleven thousand five hundred thousand. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amend-

ment No. 2 to House Bill 3091. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, what...what this amendment does is to reduce the...the add-on which was just put on by Amendment No. 2. Amendment No. 2 added eighteen million dollars, not eighteen thousand dollars but eighteen million dollars, eight million of which went to the alternative elementary formula and another ten million supplemental to the Chicago public schools. What this amendment...Amendment...No. 3 does is to take out the ten million dollars which Amendment No. 2 would send to...to Chicago. I should say to you that the acceptance of this amendment would put this bill in the form recommended by the State Board of Education. Now, we have made references earlier on in our discussions to the importance of adhering to the recommendations that...that came from the State board. This...the two amendments that are now on this bill have increased spending ten million dollars beyond that recommended by the State Board of Education and all of those ten million dollars go to the Chicago public schools. So I would be happy to respond to any questions and if there are none, I would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Discussion? Senator...Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senator Etheredge misspeaks and maybe if we had the math and science academy add English, we could get better answers. No, that's not correct, Senator Etheredge, and let's go back through this a little bit. The superintendent was asked to allocate monies, some two hundred and fifty million dollars, because that is the amount the Governor said was available for the increase for elementary and secondary education. He was also asked to help the Governor honor his commitment that the Governor had made through his chief of staff, Jim Reilly, to provide one hundred million for downstate schools and fifty million for the Chicago Board of Education. We were reliably informed, as you will recall from the discussion in committee, that those at the meeting felt that the formula divided one-third Chicago, two-thirds downstate and the confusion became apparent when it was determined that if you add up all the grants, that is approximately what happens but that's not how the formula works. In order to get to the fifty million dollars within the formula, the State board advised us they would have to come up with a number of some two hundred and seventeen million when a hundred and fifty million was available maximum within the formula. So what the superintendent recommended was a hundred and forty-two million dollars in the formula, one hundred and twelve of which, twelve more than...twelve more than the agreement, one hundred twelve of which went to downstate districts but only thirty million to Chicago even though the commitment was fifty; and it was therefore the recommendation that ten million be added to the Chicago schools outside the formula to allow forty of the fifty million that was committed to be funded. We are still ten million short of the Governor and his Chief of Staff Reilly's commitment to edu-

cation in Chicago. That was monies he committed in order to settle the strike, and even though they were confused that those monies could be added to the formula, one hundred downstate and fifty Chicago, we not only honored their commitment to downstate but added twelve percent to it and then added ten million which is only eighty percent of the commitment to Chicago. You are seeking to take that ten million from the school children of Chicago and I think it is inconsistent to talk about new and expanding programs, math-science et al, when you are not willing to maintain a system in Chicago. The Governor's commitment was so that there would be funding for the two years of the contract to avoid a strike, that was the commitment that was made to keep the schools open. So you are suggesting two hundred and seventeen children can be educated in a math-science academy, but some four hundred thousand children will go uneducated because you are not willing to honor the commitment your Governor made or even eighty percent of it, although we are giving a hundred and twelve percent of the commitment that was made to downstate. You can't steal ten million from the Chicago public schools which will be closed, you cannot dishonor the commitment that was made by the second floor, and I think this amendment should be defeated.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, further discussion? Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I...I think there are some players missing, I...there are two people that should be on this Floor that I just don't see. Kirk Dillard and Zac Stamp should be on that side working against this amendment, and I just don't see them. Kirk, where are you? Zac, where are you? This amendment seeks to make the Governor a liar. I can't believe that it's being offered by the minority spokesman on Appropria-

tions to discredit the word of their Governor. I just can't believe they want to do this and I urge a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, further discussion? Senator Maitland. Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. It's, I guess, disappointing that at this time of the year we always get into a situation where it appears as though there are those who are opposed to downstate schools and there are those who are opposed to the Chicago school system. I think most of us feel very strongly about the education of all kids across this State, but I think the Body should know...I think the Body should know that with the hundred and forty-two million dollars that resides now in the formula, Senator Carroll, this is the distribution that would have been there no matter how you played the game. Clearly, truly, the Governor made a commitment of fifty million dollars to the school, and we understand that, no argument there at all; but the fact of the matter is, if you look at some figures that have been broken out, for us at least, we find that an addition to the thirty-one million dollars that 299 gets from the formula, there is also an additional increase of about fourteen million dollars through some of the categoricals outside of the reform package. Now, you can't walk away from this, it's a fact of life. It's there and it should be there and we agree, but the point is, if you're going to talk about equity, and we have to do that as we debated this issue the other day on this very Floor on the Title I Amendment, folks from Chicago, come down and visit our schools downstate as I have visited for two days, two solid days your school system and have recognized the problems that you have and they're there. But in downstate rural school districts today, we're

cutting programs, we're flatly cutting programs because the money isn't there to fund the education of those young people, so it's a problem that we both share. The fact of the matter is, we are, in this amendment, at least for the time being, pulling back from that ten million dollars. Let us sit down and talk about the problems that face all kids across this State. We know that it takes the fifty million dollars somehow applied to honor that contract. I would submit to you that the money is there now. I urge support of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Kustra, for what purpose do you arise? Senator Kustra.

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Thank you, Mr. President. I just wanted to point out to Senator Berman that Zac Stamp has reported for duty over here.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Again, I renew my request and ask the gentleman to withdraw this amendment, much the same as I will ask Senator Carroll to withdraw the next amendment 'cause the next amendment deletes eleven million, and that's the alternative formula that we have put in to get to some equity, some parity among the school districts. And, Senator Waitland, I think it's fair to say that there are programs unfortunately being cut all over the State including the City of Chicago and that's not good. But, again, when we discussed what can we do responsibly...when the House sent this bill to us it was six hundred million dollars out of reach, just simply out of reach, and we said no, that is not the responsible thing to do and so we began paring down and patching up and we asked

the superintendent, allocate for us, will you, please? We understand the Governor's commitment, I want to honor the Governor's commitment, but in order to reach that fifty million dollars in the formula, you know better than I that it's going to take a heck of a lot more than the hundred and forty-two million, closer to two hundred and forty million for Chicago to spin out and get fifty million of that. So we're attempting to make an accommodation as best we can under an...admitted limited amount of resources. Let me suggest that this amendment ought to be withdrawn. I'll ask Senator Carroll to withdraw the next one and we go on with our business, because I think you will find, particularly now with the math-science academy back in, even with the addition to gifted that we are within reach and the Governor can sign this legislation without unnecessarily impairing the allocations that have been made by the superintendent and by people educational that he talks to and that you talk to more...than I talk to. We're within reach. Just withdraw this one and we'll withdraw...I'll ask Senator Carroll to withdraw the...eleven million dollar deletion, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

(Machine cutoff)...Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Not answering the President's question, as you can see there's a conference going on. A group of us, some Republicans and some Democrats, have discussed something and we're asking from Senator Berman or Senator Maitland...or whatever, for those who wanted to vote for the funding formula we promised last year, which amendment is that? We're having a little trouble tracking these amendments 'cause we don't have copies of them and we're trying to be cooperative and not demand copies of everything. Which amendment do we vote for if we want to vote for what we committed last year, that's sort of...I know there are a lot of Democrats, as you

know we've discussed a lot of Republicans. We're having a hard time figuring out which amendment that is 'cause... 'cause we don't have the copies.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Well, let me suggest, I'm not sure which one you vote for because you've already voted for that one. You do not vote for three or you do not vote for four, that undoes it, it unravels it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Well, Senator Etheredge, do we have it unraveled? Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Yes, Mr. President, I believe we do have it unraveled. With the understanding that Senator Carroll...will withdraw his amendment, I will withdraw this one.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right. Senator Etheredge seeks leave of the Body to withdraw Amendment No. 3. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Amendment No. 3 is withdrawn. Further amendments?
SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Carroll and German.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll seeks leave of the Body to...to...seeks leave of the Body to withdraw Amendment No. 3. Leave is granted. So ordered. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

3rd reading. All right, with leave of the Body, we will now move to the Order of the...Resolutions Consent Calendar. There is a...a resolution that has some urgency that needs to be passed today. So with leave of the Body, Resolutions Con-

sent Calendar, Senate Resolution 1094. (Machine cut-off)...Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President. Well, I can...if I can have the attention of the membership, I...I think we have done a good day's work. There is a summit meeting on insurance scheduled for five, there are a number of other meetings, so I'm going to suggest when we conclude this resolution which is sponsored by Senators Philip and Lechowicz and myself in honor of our friend, Gene Moats, and that's the reason for the urgency, we want to get it up to Chicago so it can be presented to him, he's being honored, that we will...effectively give the Secretary the opportunity to read in House Messages, but we will effectively adjourn until ten o'clock tomorrow morning so it'll give everybody a chance to start fresh. Senate Resolution 1094 is just that, it commends our friend Gene Moats for his years of dedicated service to the people of Illinois in the labor movement. He is being honored, I believe, by the...the Israeli Labor Coalition, I guess is the right word, well-deserved honor and I would move to suspend the rules for the immediate consideration and adoption of this congratulatory resolution and that...I think I indicated I wished all members to be cosponsors, it's well-deserved.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

All right. Senator Rock has sought leave to add all the members of the Senate. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. All right. Senator Rock now moves to...suspend the rules for the immediate consideration and adoption of Senate Resolution 1094. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The rules are suspended. Senator Rock now moves for the adoption of Senate Resolution 1094. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Senate Resolution...1094 is adopted. We have two...some

paper work remaining, on the Order of Resolutions. Resolutions, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

The...the following resolutions are all congratulatory. Senate Resolution 1102 offered by Senators Kustra and Fawell.

1103, by Senator Kustra.

1104, by Senators Marovitz, Berman and Carroll.

And 1105, by Senator Dunn.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Consent Calendar. All right, Messages from the House.

SECRETARY:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I'm directed to inform the Senate the House of...Representatives has adopted the following joint resolutions, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

House Joint Resolution 198 and 224.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Executive.

SECRETARY:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I'm directed to inform the House...the Senate the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of bills with the following titles together with House amendments.

Senate Bills 1519, House Amendment 1.

1562, House Amendment 1.

...or 1602, House Amendment 1.

1607, House Amendment 1 and 2.

1610, House Amendment 1.

1611, House Amendment 1 and 3.

1628, House Amendment 1.

1639, House Amendment 1.

- 1698, House Amendment 1, 2 and 3.
- 1737, House Amendments 1, 3, 4, 5, 14, 15, 16,
17, 18.
- 1738, House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 12,
13, 14.
- 1739, House Amendment 1 and 2.
- 1740, House Amendment 1 and 3.
- 1747, House Amendment 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10.
- 1748, House Amendment 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, ... 10
and 11.
- 1749, House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 8.
- 1750, House Amendment 1.
- 1751, House Amendments 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 11.
- 1752, House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 11,
12, 13, 14, 18, 21 and 22.
- 1753, House Amendments 1 through 30, 32, 33, 34
and 35.
- 1754, House Amendments 1 and 2.
- 1755, House Amendment 1.
- 1756, House Amendment 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6.
- 1757, House Amendment 1 and 2.
- 1758, House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6.
- 1759, House Amendments 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and
9.
- 1760, House Amendments 1 and 3.
- 1761, House Amendment 1.
- 1762, House Amendment 1.
- 1763, House Amendments 1 through 16, 19, 20,
21, 22, 23, 25, 26 through 36, 38, 39, 40, 42, 43, 44, 45,
46, 47, 48, 52, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 64, 65,
66 and 67.
- 1764, House Amendments 2 and 4.
- 1765, House Amendment 1.
- 1766, House Amendment 1.

1770, House Amendment 1.
1771, House Amendment 1.
1772, House Amendment 1.
1773, House Amendment 1.
1774, House Amendments 1 and 3.
1775, House Amendment 1.
1778, House Amendment 1 and 2.
1808, House Amendments 1, 3, 4 and 5.
1822, House Amendments 1, 2 and 3.
1841, House Amendments 1, 2 and 3.
And Senate Bill 2042, House Amendment 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further business to come before the Senate? All right.
Senator Hall moves that...Senator Luft, what purpose...

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. For one final announcement, if you don't mind. Just as a reminder, tonight is Senate President Phil Rock's Night at Lanphier Park, it's the Peoria Chiefs who are the Chicago Cubs and the...the Springfield Cardinals who are obviously the St. Louis Cardinals. There's a pregame ceremony at 6:45 and the proceeds go to the Maryville Academy for Children. In case you don't know where Lanphier Park is, it's on Ninth Street and North Grand. Tickets are two dollars. Ninth and North Grand.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

And the tickets are compliments of Senator Luft...they're two dollar tickets, how many did you purchase? The question was asked over here by Senator Rupp, I think.

SENATOR LUFT:

I bought one for me and Bill Holland.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further business? Eleventh and North Grand. Senator Luft, Eleventh and North Grand. Further business? Senator Rock, for what purpose do you arise? Can we have

some order, please, can we break up the conference.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. As we conclude, we will reconvene at ten o'clock tomorrow morning and begin on the appropriations' bills and then move to the Order of Concurrences. In the meantime, the gentleman who will benefit from this evening's festivities and, believe me, it will be festive, the Cubs should beat the Cardinals with ease tonight, I'd like to introduce to this Body a man who needs no introduction, he comes every year at the beginning of Session, my friend, Father John Smith, the Superintendent of Maryville. Father.

FATHER JOHN SMITH:

(Remarks by Father John Smith)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Thank you, Father. All right, Senator Netsch moves that the Senate stand adjourned until tomorrow morning, Wednesday, June the 25th, at the hour of ten. That's the first thing you've passed all day. The Senate stands adjourned.

DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

JUNE 24, 1986

HB-2625	SECOND READING	PAGE	9
HB-2688	SECOND READING	PAGE	6
HB-2878	SECOND READING	PAGE	7
HB-2974	SECOND READING	PAGE	10
HB-2975	SECOND READING	PAGE	8
HB-2976	SECOND READING	PAGE	11
HB-2977	SECOND READING	PAGE	12
HB-2978	SECOND READING	PAGE	13
HB-2979	SECOND READING	PAGE	14
HB-2980	SECOND READING	PAGE	15
HB-2981	SECOND READING	PAGE	16
HB-2982	SECOND READING	PAGE	17
HB-2983	SECOND READING	PAGE	17
HB-2984	SECOND READING	PAGE	18
HB-2985	SECOND READING	PAGE	19
HB-2986	SECOND READING	PAGE	20
HB-2987	SECOND READING	PAGE	21
HB-2988	SECOND READING	PAGE	22
HB-2989	SECOND READING	PAGE	84
HB-2990	SECOND READING	PAGE	36
HB-2991	SECOND READING	PAGE	37
HB-2992	SECOND READING	PAGE	42
HB-2993	SECOND READING	PAGE	42
HB-2994	SECOND READING	PAGE	43
HB-2995	SECOND READING	PAGE	44
HB-2996	SECOND READING	PAGE	56
HB-2997	SECOND READING	PAGE	108
HB-2998	SECOND READING	PAGE	113
HB-2999	SECOND READING	PAGE	133
HB-3000	SECOND READING	PAGE	60
HB-3001	SECOND READING	PAGE	62
HB-3002	SECOND READING	PAGE	68
HB-3003	SECOND READING	PAGE	69
HB-3004	SECOND READING	PAGE	70
HB-3005	SECOND READING	PAGE	71
HB-3006	SECOND READING	PAGE	72
HB-3035	SECOND READING	PAGE	74
HB-3050	SECOND READING	PAGE	76
HB-3090	SECOND READING	PAGE	135
HB-3091	SECOND READING	PAGE	177
HB-3092	SECOND READING	PAGE	77
HB-3093	SECOND READING	PAGE	78
HB-3101	SECOND READING	PAGE	78
HB-3133	SECOND READING	PAGE	79
HB-3191	SECOND READING	PAGE	80
HB-3253	SECOND READING	PAGE	81
HB-3255	SECOND READING	PAGE	82
HB-3257	SECOND READING	PAGE	82
HB-3343	SECOND READING	PAGE	84
SR-1094	ADOPTED	PAGE	185
SR-1095	ADOPTED	PAGE	4
SR-1096	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	4
SR-1097	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	4
SR-1098	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	4
SR-1099	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	4
SR-1100	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	4
SR-1101	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	4
SR-1102	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	187
SR-1103	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	187
SR-1104	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	187
SR-1105	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	187

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SENATE
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

JUNE 24, 1986

SUBJECT MATTER

SENATE TO ORDER - PRESIDENT ROCK	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REV. VICTOR KALTENBACH	PAGE	1
JOURNALS - POSTPONED	PAGE	1
MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE	PAGE	1
INTRO OF GUESTS - SEN. VADALABENE	PAGE	56
MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE	PAGE	187
INTRO OF GUEST - SENATOR ROCK	PAGE	190
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	190