

84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

MAY 20, 1986

PRESIDENT:

The hour of twelve having arrived, the Senate will please come to order. Will the members be at their desks and would our guests in the gallery please rise. Prayer this afternoon by the Reverend Jack H. Glen, First United Presbyterian Church, Mendota, Illinois. Reverend.

REVEREND GLEN:

(Prayer given by Reverend Glen)

PRESIDENT:

Reading of the Journal, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Thursday, May the 8th, 1986.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I move that the Journal just read by the Secretary be approved unless some Senator has additions or corrections to offer.

PRESIDENT:

You've heard the motion as placed by Senator Vadalabene. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and it's so ordered. Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move that reading and approval of the Journals of Tuesday, May 13th; Wednesday, May 14th and Thursday, May 15th, in the year 1986, be postponed pending arrival of the printed Journals.

PRESIDENT:

You've heard the motion as placed by Senator Vadalabene. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries. It's so ordered. Message from the House.

SECRETARY:

Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate the House of Representatives has passed bills with the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

House Bills 2737, 2738, 2760, 2765, 2770, 2774, 2781, 2782 and 2785.

Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate the House of Representatives adopted the following joint resolutions, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

House Joint Resolution 196 and it's congratulatory.

PRESIDENT:

Consent Calendar.

SECRETARY:

And House Joint Resolution 197.

PRESIDENT:

Executive. Resolutions.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 903, 904 and 905, by Senator Mahar and they're congratulatory.

Senate Resolution 906, by Senator Vadalabene and it is commendatory.

PRESIDENT:

Consent Calendar.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 907, by Senator DeAngelis.

PRESIDENT:

Executive.

SECRETARY:

And Senate Joint Resolution 157, by Senator...DeAngelis

and it's congratulatory.

PRESIDENT:

Consent Calendar. If I can have the attention of the membership, as per our previous agreement, we will do in this order appropriation bills on 2nd reading, then members have filed amendments and are on the recall list. I'd ask the members to take a look at the recall list, make sure the amendments have been filed and then we'll begin again on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading and attempt to conclude that and then we will go to the Order of Motions. All right, Mr. Secretary, while the members are getting their papers together, why don't we move to the Order of House Bills 1st Reading. With leave of the Body, . . . if you'll turn to page 19 on the Calendar, on the Order of House Bills 1st Reading, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House bills 1st reading.

House Bill 547, Senator Zito is the Senate sponsor.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1130, Senator Netsch.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1155, Senator Netsch.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1245, Senator Demuzio.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1321, Senator Netsch.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2060, Senator Geo-Karis.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2237, Senator Marovitz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2573, Senators Welch and Lemke.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2576, Senator Marovitz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2577...Senator Marovitz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2622, Senator Rock.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2708, Senator Maitland.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2720, Senator Marovitz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2726, Senator Rigney.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2735, Senator Luft.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2838, Senator Rigney.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2876, Senator Lemke.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2891, Senator Topinka.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2893, Senator Hall.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3079, Senators Hudson and DeAngelis.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3097, Senator Marovitz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3178, Senators Watson and Kelly.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3181, Senator Marovitz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3266, Senator Demuzio.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3267, Senator Demuzio.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3424, Senator Woodyard.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3425, Senators Marovitz and Demuzio.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3477, Senator Marovitz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3529, Senators Topinka and D'Arco.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3532, Senators Topinka and D'Arco.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3533, by the same sponsors.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3549, Senator Etheredge.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3599.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st reading of the bills.

PRESIDENT:

Rules Committee on those House bills. If I can have the attention of the membership, if you'll turn to the back page, it indicates that we are scheduled to be in Session on Friday the 23rd, today is Tuesday the 20th. Senator Philip and I have discussed the possibility if not probability that we might be able to conclude our business by Thursday, and so it would not be necessary for us to be here Friday and, thus, would extend to some extent the Memorial Day weekend. That will require, obviously, the cooperation of all hands, so I would hope that today we will work until approximately six o'clock, tomorrow we will start at ten and work again until approximately six o'clock and Thursday we'll start at nine and work until...I hope, we're concluded. No one of us, in my judgment, really wants to be here Friday, so we'll do the best we can. The Chair would like to yield to Senator Luft for the purpose of an introduction of some special guests and then we will begin on page 2 on the Calendar with

House...Senate Bill 1519, Senator Demuzio and Senator Carroll and Senator Sommer. If you're ready, we'll be...go to that order very quickly. Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Could I have your attention, please. Could I have your attention, please, please. This year in California there were sixteen States represented in a contest. These people were judged on eleven different categories ranging from and including costumes, showmanship, choreography and vocal excellence. The group that you see here behind me, and in front of me, are comprised of thirty-one singers, nine musicians and one soundman. They are from the City of Pekin. Of those eleven categories that I mentioned, they were awarded first place in five and were consequently...or consequently won the Young Americans National Imitational Performance Choir Festival in California. Would you please welcome the Pekin High School Notables. The gentleman that makes this all work, other than the students and, of course, their parents, is Mr. Don Valenta, who, believe me, contributes a great deal of his time to this organization and to the young people of Pekin and I'd like for him just to say one word to you, if you would.

MR. DON VALENTA:

(Remarks made by Mr. Valenta)

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, very much.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR HALL:

Point of personal privilege, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT:

State your point, sir.

SENATOR HALL:

I've just been reminded that today...that a Senator by

the name of Hall is celebrating his...his thirty-second anniversary of his thirty-ninth birthday, so there's a cake up there for all to be eaten.

PRESIDENT:

Congratulations. Senator Topinka, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes, Mr. President, I'd like to...on a point of personal privilege, if you would, I would like to announce that today we have with us some people from my district and I'd like you all to welcome John Belatine who has brought the students of Albright Middle School in Villa Park here today, and they are in the back of the room up in the gallery and if we could give them a big Springfield welcome.

PRESIDENT:

Will our guests in the gallery please rise and be recognized. Welcome to Springfield. All right, if I can have your attention, Carol Fowler from WCIA-TV has requested permission to videotape the proceedings. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, top of page 2 on the Calendar, Senate Bill 1519. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill...excuse me, Senate Bill 1519.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, if I can ask you to keep the noise down, we can all listen to the amendments and proceed, I hope, in a rather orderly and rapid fashion. Committee Amendment No. 1, Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

Senate. If we can, for a minute, to save time as we...to save time as we go through these, using the form of this being the first committee amendment, establish for the members of the Senate who may not have been aware, the guidelines that our two appropriation's committees created for the bills as they move through the Senate. What concerned the members of both committees on both sides of the aisle were the revenue projections based on the official revenue prognosticator of the State, the Illinois Economic and Fiscal Commission. While the budget book came in, based on certain assumptions, IEFC has advised us of a shortfall that could approach two hundred and sixty-seven million dollars for Fiscal '87. With two hundred and twenty million in the bank, if IEFC were right, that would mean we were bankrupt, a position none of us can allow the State to come into. The University of Illinois' prognosticating arm and others have indicated the shortfall might be only a hundred and fifty million, and again, with two hundred and twenty million in the bank projected, that hundred and fifty million puts us very much into the danger zone, gives us about four hours of spending as opposed to the two days that we need. What we have created by these guidelines, which I'll explain in a second, we hope...if they stand in full throughout the process, we in the Senate will have reduced spending by ninety-five million dollars, by taking areas of government where the spending is not needed, asking for basically a one percent productivity factor, by taking certain grant lines that realistically will not be spent and would have otherwise lapsed and reducing those so that general revenue is available to avoid any type of tax increase. We believe that with this ninety-five million dollars in savings, in a worse case scenario were economic and fiscal to be right, the State may be broke but it will not be bankrupt, and we will at least have the money in the bank to pay our bills. If, in fact,

the University of Illinois and other prognosticators at a hundred and fifty million are right, we're just slightly into that danger zone having a balance in the bank of somewhere around a hundred and seventy million. And should the budget book have been accurate, which I don't believe anybody thinks anymore, then the worst case scenario then is we happen to end up with about ninety million more in the bank than we thought which is not a terrible place to be either since we have not harmed the operation of government or the money that flows to people for services through the taxes they pay us. Basically what we have done is other than in some very critical areas we have asked operating departments of government to produce a one percent savings. We have identified to them, if they're not pleased with where we took the cuts, just get back to us and tell us where would be less hurting to take those reductions and we would make the adjustments. We have asked them to follow the type of guidelines that Central Management Systems have given us for utilities, postage, rents and so on and I've adjusted all budgets accordingly. When I say all, I mean our own, our operating branches of the Legislature, the other constitutional officers as well as the Executive Branch of government. We did not, however, and do not intend to adjust the money that goes to the Common School Fund. We believe that that commitment as part of the reforms has to be funded at the modest level that the budget book proposes. We also felt in the area of Children and Family Services that we had to have the appropriate number of caseworkers to make sure there was not abuse and neglect and, therefore, did not ask them to reduce their operating budget accordingly. And in Mental Health we recognized that there was an improper staff/patient ratio in State facilities and only nine-tenths of a percent increase to the not-for-profit care givers which was insufficient to meet their escalating costs, so we have, in fact, added money to both the

in-patient care that the State is providing by increasing the staff/patient ratio to realistic levels so we don't have any further closings because of a decertification, and we have, in fact, increased the grant levels not to what the providers asked for, six percent, but rather to a four percent increase. In the area of Corrections, we recognize that these prisons have to come on board. There is a safety and security issue and we have said just phase in the new prison in a little bit slower pattern, but we are allowing them the full gambit that they need to make sure that the society is safe and that the prison system can add...can act functionally. With that in mind, Mr. President, I will offer Committee Amendment No. 1 which would be those guidelines in the case of the Auditor General and would answer any questions.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1519. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

We had made an error in the Office of the Attorney...of the Auditor General as to the salary increases he had proposed because of the size of his agency and the fact that he's taking people in-house. It's an add back of some fifty-

six thousand and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1519. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, the middle of page 2, is Senate bill 1562. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1562.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1602. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1602.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 reduces the Board of Higher Education's FY '87 request to the Governor's budget

level. I move for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1602. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR HALL:

This is the one percent reduction that we talked about and I move the adoption of that amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1602. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1603, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1603.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 is the Senate guidelines amendment, and I'd move for the adoption of that amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1603. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1604. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1604.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the retirement for...the education agencies and reduces it to the level of the funding of the Governor's recommended level. I move for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1604. Any discussion?

If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1605, Senator Carroll. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1605. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1605.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR HALL:

Committee Amendment...No. 1 reduces to the Governor's level and I move for the adoption...of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1605. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR HALL:

This is the Senate guidelines of one percent and I move for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1605. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is an income fund transfer of no dollar change. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1605. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Vadalabene on 1606. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1606. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1606.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II

offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This reduces to the Governor's level and...one percent and I move for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1606. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR HALL:

Yes, on Amendment No. 2...this is the 1.4 million, the guidelines, and I'd move for the adoption of that amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1606. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. If I can have the attention, UPI would like permission...leave to take photographs. Is leave granted?

SB 1607
2nd reading

Leave is granted. Senator Etheredge on 1607. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1607, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1607.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the Governor's level reduction and I'd ask for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1607. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR HALL:

This is the guidelines of one percent and I'd move for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1607. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Etheredge on Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Mr. President, I would ask that that amendment be withdrawn.

PRESIDENT:

Withdraw Amendment No. 3. Further...any amendments from the Floor, Mr...

SECRETARY:

No...no further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Top of page 3, Senator Weaver on Senate Bill 1609. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1609, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1609.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II offers three amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This reduces to the Governor's level and I'd move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1609. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the Senate guidelines amendment of one percent and I'd move for the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1609. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?...committee...

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR HALL:

Amendment No. 3 is the...reappropriation of the grant of forty million dollars to match...it is a ten million dollar grant and we added ten...forty million...we added ten more million to it, so I'd move for the adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1609. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Davidson, for what purpose do you arise, sir, on Senate Bill 1610? Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Mr. President, just so everybody will be alerted, I'm not going to move 1610 today 'cause it's the Board of Regents approp. and so people will know why the...only bill higher education is not moving is that there is a hearing being heard tomorrow morning, a subcommittee of Approp. II Committee and I will hold this until that committee has its meeting in the morning and we'll move it tomorrow.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Poshard on 1611. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1611. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1611.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II offers three amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 is the reduction to the Governor's level and I would move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1611. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 is the Senate guidelines of one percent and I would move for the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1611. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you. Amendment No. 3 is...deletes the Build Illinois double appropriation to the amount of 3.6 million and I would move for the adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Amendment No...Committee No. 3 to Senate Bill 1611. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1626, with leave of the Body, we'll get back to that one...on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading,

Senate Bill 1627, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1627.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1628. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1628.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the Senate guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1628. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

Senate. This is to fund two specific programs that were...by Senate resolution in one case and Senate bill in another, one is the teen suicide initiative and the other is the U-RATE Program. It's a total of thirteen thousand. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1628. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1639. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1639.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment to the Office of the State Treasurer. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1639. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The

Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Demuzio on 1698. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1698. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1698.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the one percent guideline to the Office of the Comptroller and bringing down the appropriation of general revenue for the Cusas II System by some four million dollars. I would move adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1698. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

AB 1734
2nd Reading

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is to add to the Office of the Comptroller the payment of the expenses of the eleven-member Jail Standards Board created by Senate Bill 2154. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1698. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3, by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. In our cuts we had taken out an internal auditor and some other items that the Auditor General indicated the Office of the Comptroller should have. This would be an add-back and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1698. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Top of page 4, Senator Carroll on 1734. On

the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1734.

Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1734.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would merely change a...an appropriation in the Gendel case to a reappropriation. It's a technical amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1734. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Sommer, 1736. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1736, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1736.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1736. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Etheredge, 1737. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1737. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1737.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 is the Senate guidelines amendment and I would move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1737.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1737. Any discussion? If not, all

AB 1738
2nd Reading

in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Schaffer on 1738. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1738. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1738.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II offers three amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 is the combination of the Department of Relocation...Reallocations and the Senate guidelines of the one percent, and would move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1738.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1738. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR HALL:

Amendment No. 2 is for teen and...age, adolescents and suicide programs, and I would move for the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1738. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is an add-on for the staff of...plus nine million dollars and I'd move for the adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1738. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Leitch.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Leitch on Amendment No. 4.

SENATOR LEITCH:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this amendment would seek to correct a dispute between the

Department of Mental Health and Public Aid which has been going on for a couple of years in which my predecessor, Senator Prescott Bloom, was working to work out, and I would ask for its approval.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Leitch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1738. Discussion? Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Would the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates he'll yield, Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Senator, we would like to know who are these people and why are we putting this now? Wouldn't it be better if we waited till the Fall?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Leitch.

SENATOR LEITCH:

The...the problem has been a continuing one and the expenses have already been incurred and, in fact, for the first time in its history last year, the Human Service Center of Peoria had to go into the hole as a result of this dispute, and so I'd appreciate it if we could take care of it now.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Unfortunate I have to rise in opposition to the amendment as well. This is actually the wrong forum for the proposal. It should really be discussed in Department of Public Aid's budget. It is services allegedly submitted to the Department of Public Aid for psychiatric reimbursement

under the Medicare and Medicaid Programs. It's my understanding that DPA, public aid, had disallowed these payments. When public aid comes over, I think that would be the appropriate time to question them to find out...in...in this specific instance, DMHDD, mental health, is not the forum that could pay the bill in any event; and if it's been disallowed by the Federal Government, first it cost us two dollars for one to pay it, if, in fact, we can't resolve the issues. So, I would ask, in effect, that the Senator either withdraw it or that we defeat it at this time and deal with it with public aid when they come over and let us ask the direct questions of them since they are the ones who are reported to us to have disallowed the payment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Leitch.

SENATOR LEITCH:

With that understanding, I will withdraw it and attempt it on the public aid.

PRESIDENT:

Very well, sir. All right, Senator Leitch amendment...has withdrawn Amendment No. 4. Mr. Secretary, are there any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Watson on 1739. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, middle of page 4, is Senate Bill 1739. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1739.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1739. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Watson on 1740. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1740. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1740.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment that would also allow them a fifty percent increase in their advertising budget. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1740. Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd...I'd like to have a...a greater explanation than just that if he would...in regard to the amendment. Tell us a little bit more of what it's doing.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The Office of the Lottery which had significant vacancies during the past twelve months, it also asks for significant new positions. It had asked to more than double the amount that it wanted to spend on advertising, asks for various lumps sum increases for a new building and several other similar issues. For example, they were seeking to lease a building to be built to suit here in Springfield. That building was speced out by the department. The department then changed the directors and the new director came in for about a quarter of a million dollars of changes in a building not yet built and suggested that we, one, double the rent from some fifty-two thousand to a hundred and one thousand dollars and, secondly, give them the first month a quarter of a million dollars for supposedly improvements that would then belong to the State. Those improvements include windows in the building, three thousand dollars each for coffee stations around the building, moving the computer from the first floor...to the second floor for no apparent reason other than one director liked the first floor when they speced the building, the new one liked the second floor; internal stairwells, overhead doors for a delivery dock, things that should have been in the building at the time we asked that it be built to our specifications, and with that,

then say the first month we should pay a quarter of a million dollars for these improvements that will allegedly belong to the State. I don't see how the State can take a third floor window out of a building. We suggested that was an improper way to go at a time when we were building this building to our specifications and also suggested that at these times a fifty percent increase in the amount we are spending on advertising should be sufficient, that we should fill the existing vacancies before we add fifty-seven new positions that are just different positions even though there are twenty-one vacancies reported. If you'd like...and there's no place to put them in addition to that.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Well, thank you, Mr. President. I...I hear it quite contrary to the lottery people in regard to the positions that are needed and necessary. We all know the growth of the lottery in recent years and...and the success in regard to generating revenue for the General Revenue Fund, and I think that much of what the Senator has eliminated are important positions which could result in the postponement of the subscription and...and the self-vending terminals while possibly contributing to the...the backlog of processing the resulting in prize payments and delays in effective service of the agents. I...I think we're making a mistake here in...in heading in this direction. Also, we all realize the importance of marketing to a success of anything regardless of what it is and...and that, of course, marketing in this area we're talking about advertising. These two areas are two that would generate income. We're talking about cutting money out of a budget which would ultimately generate income for the lottery and, of course, the people of Illinois and the General Revenue Fund which so direly need it. I think

it's a mistake to take this...this approach and I...I realize that we have a long way to go yet, but still, I think this is a wrong direction at this particular time.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1740. Any further discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Philip on 1741. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1741. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1741.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers three amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 1...Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President. If I...if I may also by way of explanation...this bill is Build Illinois. The same will apply to the capital development new appropriation's bill. After discussions with the Minority Spokesman, the President of the Senate and the Minority Leader, we felt that we should put on the committee amendments today, move the bill to 3rd,

ask those who have filed Floor amendments to withhold them for today, have a leadership discussion, Senator Philip, Rock and others, and then bring the bills back tomorrow to deal with any Floor amendments at that time. What we have done by way of committee amendment to Build Illinois, and you will see also in capital, is to not overspend the budget as presented by the Governor. We have taken those lines that were unallocated and have allocated portions of those lump sum amounts. All of the amounts that will be identified in the three committee amendments, which are amendments that generated from both sides of the aisle in committee and were adopted by the committee, are still within the bottom line as suggested by the Governor. Those amendments that we're aware of on the Floor would also, in our opinion, if appropriately drafted, still be within those bottom lines. I personally think and I know the Republican spokespersons agree as does the leadership that this Senate must send Build Illinois and capital out of the Senate at no greater dollar amount than the way it was introduced in the book of the budget of the Governor. With that in mind, I would move Committee Amendment No. 1, Mr. President, which reallocates certain dollars and projects that are basically from the sewers, conservation sums, the nonpublic higher ed. and certain other developments, I'd be willing to go through each one of them but I think as you will see within the three amendments that were adopted in committee, it has accommodated those member requests of which the committee was aware over the six-month process, and I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1741. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This, in like mind, is the rural revival program and...rural economic development, rural home mortgage program. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1741. Any discussion? Senator Vadalabene, on Amendment No. 2?

SENATOR VADALABENE:

No, not on Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

All right. If there's no further discussion, all in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 2 indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 3 is like Committee Amendment No. 1 deals with certain specific programs in the area of school waste water, those types of water line extensions, et cetera. Again, I'd be willing to go through the detail. I think everyone has them. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1741. Any discussion? If not, all in favor

indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments, Mr. Secretary?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senators Darrow and Schuneman.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

We would ask then if the members could hold these amendments till we...can deal with them tomorrow after the leadership has met...with that understanding. It's my understanding Senator Philip will bring the bill back. He and Senator Rock will be discussing it with each of the Caucuses and members from both sides of the aisle.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Darrow.

SENATOR DARROW:

Yes, I have a question for Senator Carroll. Will the amendments that we consider be decided by the Caucus? Will the members decide or will it be by leadership? What I'm getting at is will the members have some input into the amendments?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Yes. While we had known of a lot of members who had given requests to the Minority Spokesman or to myself or other members of leadership before committee, they were obviously members who had not gone that route, and we felt to be fair that everybody understand what we're trying to accomplish and work it out in a...in a concerted effort rather

than any kind of haphazard effort and each member will be...will be discussed with.

PRESIDENT:

All right, with that understanding, the amendments heretofore filed will be held. Committee Amendments 1, 2, 3 having been adopted...no further Floor amendments at this time. Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, I want to go on record in regards to my amendment...and I think it should be...should be explained. Yes, I did.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Amendment No. 4, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate...offered by Senator Vadalabene.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, Amendment No. 4 is...is a very serious and...and important amendment and it not only deals with the State of Illinois, it deals with the Federal Government. The...and the Department of Transportation, IDOT, is in support of this amendment because it includes between sixty million dollars of Federal funding for another project if we take the one million five and put it into...Build Illinois. In lieu of that, the Center Grove Road in Edwardsville would get a million...a million five, local funds would be seven hundred thousand dollars and IDOT would then be eligible for...around sixty million dollars of Federal funds in lieu of that million five, and I think that should be explained here that we're not talking about peanuts, and I think it should be explained that this amendment is urgent and very important.

PRESIDENT:

Senator, I think everybody is aware of that and I think

you for the explanation. The idea was that the bill would be recalled tomorrow and those amendments will be offered and adopted. Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, I want...you know, I want that assurance. I went through this process last Session and it...you know, the only people that were involved in that process were the conferees on the Conference Committee reports and I don't want to be caught in that saddle again.

PRESIDENT:

That's...that's why we have Floor votes. All right, the Senator has agreed to withhold Amendment...that amendment...that will be...with no further Floor amendments, the Order will be 3rd Reading. 1742, Senator Weaver. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1742, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1742.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 would reduce the CDB bond authorization level to last year plus a dollar as we always do to move it along the line till we know what projects we've authorized and then adjust it at that point. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1742. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All

opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 2 would be to do likewise to the Build Illinois bond authorization program to align the appropriations and authorizations into the categories as the bill was offered to us by the Governor in the substantive spending bill, the categories themselves, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1742. Any discussion? Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. I stand in opposition to Amendment No. 2. This amendment dismantles the Build Illinois financial plan. We now have an integrated financial package, basically a closed system that allows for the current funding of projects, borrowing in specific amounts and revenue to pay debt service. The current financial plan has been accepted by the financial community and there's no reason to reopen it now; and further, to tamper with the plan would raise questions in the rating agencies and among investors who buy our bonds. Currently we enjoy a triple A or double A rating from Standard and Poors and Moodys respectively. Providing...authorization on an incremental basis can be risky and I think that we should oppose this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1742. Further discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Merely to identify, Mr. President and members of the Senate. All this amendment has done is to take the authorization level to the level of the FY '86 bonded projects plus all FY '87 bonded projects proposed by the Governor. This would not in any way impinge upon the financial stability of Build Illinois, the ability to sell the bonds or anything else; it, in fact, presumes that everything proposed in total dollar amounts will, in fact, be authorized by the General Assembly. It is merely putting it to a level where the General Assembly maintains the responsibility of authorizing the bond sales for projects it appropriates for. It is the same we do in the general bond category and to have an authorization level some four hundred and fifty million above what we intend to, in fact, appropriate really makes no sense at all. All this does is say, let's do like we do with all capital and authorize by way of capital bond sales that which we are authorizing by way of appropriation. This would given them five hundred and fourteen million dollars of authorization level and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1742. Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

I would like a roll call, sir.

PRESIDENT:

...roll call has been requested. Those in favor of Amendment No. 2 will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Senator Chew...it should be in your drawer or...or...it was,

I think, taken in your absence, Senator. Will the sergeant-at-arms please make sure that Senator Chew gets a key here. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 29 Ayes, 28 Nays, none voting Present. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Senator Weaver, for what purpose do you arise, sir?

SENATOR WEAVER:

I'd appreciate a verification of the roll call.

PRESIDENT:

That request is in order. Senator Weaver has requested a verifications. Will...a verification of the affirmative roll call. Will the members please be in their seats. Mr. Secretary, read the affirmative roll call.

SECRETARY:

The following voted in the affirmative: Berman, Carroll, Chew, Collins, D'Arco, Darrow, Degnan, Demuzio, Hall, Holmberg, Jones, Jeremiah Joyce, Jerome Joyce, Kelly, Lemke, Luft, Marovitz, Nedza, Netsch, Newhouse, O'Daniel, Poshard, Sangmeister, Savickas, Smith, Vadalabene, Welch, Zito, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT:

Yes. Senator Weaver, do you question the presence of any member?

SENATOR WEAVER:

Senator Lemke.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Lemke on the Floor? Senator Lemke on the Floor? Strike his name, Mr. Secretary. All right, on that question, there are 28 Ayes, 28 Nays. The amendment fails. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading...Senator Philip, on 1743. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1743. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

END OF REEL

REEL #2

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1743.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 is a technical amendment to change it from "sewer" to "water." This is the reappropriation bill. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1743. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?
SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is also a technical amendment for the Pekin Regional Office Building. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1743. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?
SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senators Philip and Vadalabene.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a request from the Governor's Office. It's five hundred thousand dollars for Granite City sewers, evidently a commitment the Governor made to Representative Holf and Senator Vadalabene, and nine hundred thousand dollars for the Art's Council for the purpose of restoring old theaters and bandshells. Move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll...I mean, Senator Philip has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3, Mr. Secretary, right?

SECRETARY:

Right.

PRESIDENT:

Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1743. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Sommer, on 1744. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1744. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1744.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Philip on 1745. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1745. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1745.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1745. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Philip on 1746. On the Order of

Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1746, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1746.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Donahue on 1747. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1747. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1747.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee No. 1 is the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1747. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is for farm reporting in the rural area, seventy thousand dollars. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1747. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. On...Senator Sommer on 1748. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1748, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1748.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the one percent guideline for Central Management. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1748. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Bottom of page 4, Senator Etheredge on Senate...1749. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Mr. Secretary, Senate Bill 1749.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1749.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr...Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1749 is the Senate guideline amendments and I move for the adoption of that amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1749. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President...Amendment No. 2 is the youth and community service add-on of one million dollars and I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1749. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor, Mr. Secretary?

SECRETARY:

...Amendment No. 3 offered by Senators Welch and Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Welch on Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. What this amendment does is add the amount of 3.375 million dollars to the budget of the Department of Children and Family Services. This amendment was handed out initially...a similar amendment in the committee, it's now being offered on the Floor. What it does is increase the community service portion of the budget by 1.1 million dollars, increases the comprehensive community based services to youth by 1.16 million, increases unified delinquency intervention services by seven hundred and seventy thousand dollars and the fund for independent living skills training by three hundred and forty-five thousand dollars.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Welch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1749. Discussion? Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr...Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senator Carroll in his opening remarks made a very eloquent plea for restraint. I want to call to the attention of the members of the Senate that this amendment

adds 3.375 millions of dollars to the DCFS budget which is in excess, excess, of that recommended by the Senate Appropriations Committee.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Welch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1749. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Top of page 5, Senator Schaffer on 1750. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, top of page 5, is Senate Bill 1750. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1750.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on...on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

...excuse me. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1750. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No...no further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a technical amendment to allow...Federal administrative dollars to be used in place of GRF dollars and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1750. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1751, Senator Dunn. On Orders...of Senate Bill 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1751, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1751.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Amendment No. 1 is the Senate guidelines amendment and I move for the adoption of...of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1751. Discussion? If not, those in

favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senators Holmberg and Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Amendment No. 2 adds two half-time beginning social workers to the Dwight Correction's program. It's an amendment sponsored by the Conference of Women Legislators of both the House and Senate here in Springfield, and it's to aid the Department of Corrections there with the women who are housed there keeping contact with their families back home.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Holmberg has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1751. Discussion? Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President, a question of the sponsor, if I might, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates she will yield. Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Senator Holmberg, we talked about this in committee last week and I asked some questions and I wondered if you were able to get an answer to my questions?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

...yes, I did look into the proposal with the service agency that you had mentioned and I find that is helping a great deal with placement and I think there...there is great...great hope for the future, what they're going to be able to do with problem situations. This, however, is handling both an immediate problem and is dealing with something not quite related in that we are actually helping the women of Dwight with parenting education, with keeping contact with teenage children that might be in...in trouble, with visitations of their children to them in prison and that would not necessarily be covered under the agency that you're talking about.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President. As I recall, Senator, last week though you...you did discuss the area of placement of those children...of...of...of the women in...in some kind of institution in the State or some kind of a home. Did you not mention that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

That could possibly be one of the duties of the family advocates that they might assist say a private agency or someone else in taking over the care of these children. But it's one of many, many things that the advocates have to do, it's not the total responsibility that they have.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President. Did you...did you have an opportunity to talk to the...to the executive director of...of the Residential Service Authority to find out if...if

this was covered or not?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Our staff had that opportunity to look into it and it is their recommendation that at this time the various duties that the family advocates have would not be covered under that program.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Holmberg has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1751. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments. No, wait a minute, Mr. President. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senators Poshard and Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. One of the things that has been noticed around the General Assembly for quite some time is the problem of having county or regional county jails that do not have the physical capacity anymore to accommodate prisoners because of their age and the inability of local counties to...to replace those. They have...the...many of the counties of the State have gotten together to create regional concepts and to have a regional facility. What we are attempting to do by this amendment is to appropriate funds. The substantive bill moving along the process of two million dollars to make loans, not gifts but loans to counties or groupings of counties for construction of these local jails. The funds would be administered by the Department of Corrections to make sure that these new regional or county jails would, in fact, be up

to standard. And I would move adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill...1751. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The funding for the Department of Corrections library system was one of those items that fell through the cracks in the budget making process. What this amendment does is to add a 1.24...one million two hundred and forty-five thousand five hundred and fifty-five dollars for the funding of that...of that system and we do have a Dr. Bob letter authorizing this addition.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge moves the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1751. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1752, Senator Weaver. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1752, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1752.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I

offers four amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the...Petroleum Violation Fund for ethanol and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1752. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would be the guidelines amendment, I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1752. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment, at the request of Senator Sommer and

Weaver, would add back some positions that BOB had cut in the survey's area. The survey's area is an area where we have attempted to even catch up, they have been way behind. We have attempted over a period of years to provide for the necessary people in geological, natural history and water and this would give them those positions even though Dr. Bob had cut them. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1752. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would be the adoption of the Coal Development Fund for the Department of Energy and Natural Resources for capital projects for which there will be a development of coal resources. It is a fifty million dollar increase in bond authorization as part of the rural revival initiative. And I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1752. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment...Committee Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No...excuse me. Amendment No. 5 offered by Senators Carroll and Demuzio.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a fifteen million dollar allocation from the Petroleum Violation Fund to ENR for the energy conservation program as part of the rural revival program. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 5 to Senate Bill 1752. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

...Amendment No. 6, by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 6 would reduce the reappropriation to the current May 19th known level, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of...Amendment No. 6 to Senate Bill 1752. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 6 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 7, by Senator Vadalabene.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I would like for the members to listen very carefully about this amendment. This amendment deletes an appropriation of six million dollars from the Department of Energy and Natural Resources for Fiscal '87 budget. This appropriation from the Coal Development Fund for construction by Allis Chalmers of a combustion turban project in East Alton is superfluous since the project by agreement will not be started for several years pending completion of the kiln gas project in East Alton. The director of the department has assured me that the department is committed to the East Alton project, and the appropriation will be reinstated when construction is ready to begin. And I move for the adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Vadalabene moves the adoption of Amendment No. 7 to Senate Bill 1752. Discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a historic day for Illinois. In my sixteen years here, and having researched the record for the four previous years when Senator Sam came in, it's the first time in twenty years that Senator Sam has asked not to take some money. And I would urge support of this amendment deleting, deleting, deleting for the first time in history, some six million dollars for the area of the State...that is more concrete than any others and I would urge its support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator...Vadalabene moves the adoption of Amendment No. 7 to Senate Bill 1752. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 7 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 8 offered by Senator Sangmeister.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Amendment No. 8, Mr. President and members of the Senate, is a two hundred thousand dollars add-on for...the Illinois State Museum wants to open an art gallery in the Gaylord Building which happens to be located in my district, that's why I'm presenting this amendment. It will be a facility that will feature transitional exhibitions that will exhibit art work for local artists as well as regional shows. The overall development of the art gallery will be an interpretive center during conjunction with local interest groups, all in a park-like setting on the I & M Canal and is expected to add tourist dollars to the Lockport area. And on that basis I would move for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sangmeister has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 8 to Senate Bill 1752. Discussion? Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:

Mr. President and members, this is the first we had seen of this. We don't necessarily believe it to be a bad thing or anything else but we simply haven't seen it. Would you be so kind as to discuss it before we finalize it? 'Cause you said this is a museum request, and we don't have any indication from them about it or...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGHEISTER:

Well, this came about rather at the last minute although I did drop a copy of the amendment on Senator Weaver's desk. But, basically, as I indicated, Senator Sommer, the Illinois State Museum, we have a building in Lockport called the Gaylord Center. The building is there, it's an old historical

building. I think they want to take the top floor and they want to make a...nice art gallery out of it and...and the Illinois State Museum is going to be cooperating. There are going to be a lot of art shows up there, and like I say, the reason I'm presenting this is the Gaylord Building is in my district. It certainly will help the Lockport area, should bring in some additional tourist dollars, you know. It is a two hundred thousand dollar add-on, and if you...I would ask you this, why don't we put it on, move it to 3rd, if there's some big objections that, you know, this is a bad thing and, you know, we don't want it, then we'll pull it back. I'm not, you know, heating concrete on this thing.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:

Well, insomuch as in the Conference Committee last year, I put the Gaylord Building in there, I'd like to be informed about it and we object to it...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Sangmeister may close.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Well, okay then, I am sorry about that. I...that was...that's not intentional. On that basis, I will withdraw this amendment and ask leave to bring the bill back from 3rd reading, if that's possible, after we work out our differences, if any. Is that alright? Withdraw the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator...Senator Weaver...Senator Weaver indicates that is the procedure that he will adhere to. All right. Senator Sangmeister has withdrawn Amendment No...

SECRETARY:

8.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

...8. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1753, Senator Karpel.
Senate...Senate bills 2nd reading is Senate Bill 1753, Mr.
Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1753.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I
offers four amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines amend-
ments. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment
No. 1 to Senate Bill 1753. Discussion? If not, those in
favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have
it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amend-
ments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
Senate. Committee Amendment No. 2 is for a study for the
Lemont sewer lines. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment

No...No. 2 to Senate Bill 1753. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment...No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a grant for water lines and sewers. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1753. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is for renovation upgrade of water and waste water treatment plant in the City of Effingham. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1753. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd...any...amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 5, by Senator Carroll. •

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is one of those instances again where the agency came to us and said that they needed some monies back, however, indicated that they understood the necessity of saving some general revenue and they found areas where it would hurt less to take the cuts. This would reallocate those General Revenue Fund reductions that we had made, provide the monies necessary for the start up of the testing program and bring the reappropriations down to the May 19th level. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 5 to Senate Bill 1753. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1754. Senate bills 2nd reading is Senate Bill 1754, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1754.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment, I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1754. Those...discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2, by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. When we had done Amendment No. 1 we had used a February 28th sheet from the Comptroller. It did not contain the second half of that month's payroll for the Department of Insurance, there were numbers that were in error. This would correct that defect and add back some two hundred and eighty-six thousand. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1754. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1755. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1755, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1755.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would be the guidelines amendment and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1755. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment...Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This also, like the last bill, would be the reconciliation with the Comptroller, we had had the wrong figures. This would restore a hundred and seventeen thousand that we should not have cut and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1755. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3, by Senators Carroll and Lechowicz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. At the request of Senator Lechowicz, this is to take out three positions plus a liaison and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1755. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1756, Senator Mahar. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1756, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1756.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

Senate. This is the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1756. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2, by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would remove half of the intended expansion, still leaving eleven new projects, a deletion of nine hundred and fifty-one thousand. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1756. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1757, Senator Woodyard. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1757, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1757.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The first would be the guidelines amendment for Department of Revenue and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1757. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 2 is to fund the tax increment financing program, a refund of sales taxes in the various thirty TIF districts and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of a...Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1757. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee...Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No...no further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1758, Senator Weaver. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1758, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1758.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 is the Senate guidelines, and I'd move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1758. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Philip.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Philip. Senator Philip on the Floor? Senator Weaver. Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

He indicated he wanted to withdraw that, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. With leave of the Body, Senator Weaver...is there leave to have Senator Weaver handle this amendment for Senator Philip? Leave is granted. Senator Weaver requests that Amendment No. 2 be withdrawn. All right. Amendment 2 is withdrawn. Amendment...Amendment No. 2. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Welch.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. What this amendment do...does is...yes...English proficiency on amendments...7.9 million dollars is switched to general revenue funds from the Road Fund to put the budget in compliance with statutory law which states that no road funds...no Road Fund money shall be appropriated to the Department of State Police except not more than forty percent of the funds appropriated for the division of State troopers. It's an anti-diversion provision.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Welch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1758. I see the lights on. Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Can we have a copy of that amendment, Senator Welch?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

I would ask the Secretary if they've been distributed?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Well, we'll be glad to distribute one if you want to take

the bill out of the record at this time.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Weaver, the...Senator Welch indicates that if you...wish to hold the bill that he'll have it distributed...Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Where are we at, Mr. President? I was going to point out what this amendment does. This...it expends almost eight million dollars more in general revenue funds, I want to make everyone aware of that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, let's...first of all, Senator Weaver, have you been furnished a copy of the amendment? Perhaps we can...all right. So that satisfies that, okay. Senator Etheredge. Further discussion? Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I'm glad, frankly, that Senator Etheredge brought that to our attention, and I'm a little appalled that the Bureau of the Budget saw fit to ignore the laws that exist or at least as is interpreted by some on this side. It appears to be an illegal diversion but one that frankly we cannot otherwise afford. So, I'm going to stand with Senator Etheredge and say, even though the Governor and the Bureau of the Budget are doing something illegal, we need the money.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Darrow.

SENATOR DARROW:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Approximately four or five years ago we started trying to prevent Road Fund money being used for the Judiciary and for the State police and for the Illinois Commerce Commission and everything imaginable. And what was happening was the roads in downstate Illinois were being

deteriorated, falling apart, no one could get around, and the money that was supposed to go to the road repair was going to projects...that should have been funded by general revenue funds, and we thought we had cured that. We thought for once the money that the person pays at the gas pumps would go for the roads. But here we have the administration going back to the old habit. I would suggest that any downstate legislator that does not support Senator Welch is betraying his district, is allowing his Road Fund money to go to the other areas of this State in the form of general revenue funds. They'll have to live with that vote and they'll have to live with that vote during the next election. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? If...Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Just a question, Mr. President, of the sponsor. I was wondering, where...where does the fine money go, that is from speeding tickets like I get and, you know...where does that money go, Senator Welch?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator...Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Your fine money is basically county revenue.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

I just wondered how much in fine money does the State police generate and to whom does it go? Does it not go to the county? And...and how much is that, do we know?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Well, most of the money goes to the county, but I don't have an exact figure because it's county by county.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Further discussion? All right. Further discussion? If not, Senator Welch may close.

SENATOR WELCH:

Well, this...this has nothing to do with fines, this is motor fuel tax money and it's money that should go to pave roads downstate instead of being tossed into the General Revenue Fund where it gets doled out to projects that we usually don't end up seeing downstate. I think that this keeps the budget honest, it keeps us in compliance with the law passed in 1979, not to divert money away from roads; and I think that it's about time that we did something to protect the Road Fund, it's one of the few projects specifically beneficial to downstate and it's something that I think all downstaters of both parties should support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

All right. Senator Welch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1758. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. Roll call has been requested. All right. Senator Welch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1758. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 33, the Nays are 7, 3 voting Present. The Senate does adopt Senate...Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3, by Senator Lechowicz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

All right. Senator Lechowicz on the Floor? All right. Is there leave to have Senator Hall handle the amendment for Senator Lechowicz? Leave is granted. On the...Amendment No. 3, Senator Hall.

SB 1759
2nd Reading

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is fifteen thousand dollars that for crimestoppers. These are people who come in and make reports and help to prevent crimes and get on...and it...it's the needed thing in neighborhoods and things at this time, and so I'd move for the adoption of this amendment...fifteen thousand dollars.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

All right. Senator Hall moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1758. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1759, Senator Donahue. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1759, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1759.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers three amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Carroll. Oh,...Senator Hall, I beg your pardon, Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the Senate guidelines amendment and I'd move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1...Bill 1759.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

All right. Senator Hall moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1759. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes

have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

This is the...veterans' scholarship of 2.6 million and I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1759.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Hall moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1759. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the...Viet. outreach program, and I'd move for the...the...the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1759.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1759. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Kustra.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Kustra seeks leave to have that withdrawn. All right. Withdraw on Amendment No. 3. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well...3rd reading. Senate Bill 1760, Senator Weaver. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1760, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1760.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1760. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1761, Senator Mahar. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1761, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1761.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SECRETARY:

...or two amendments, I'm sorry.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines amendment as well as the leading certain Build Illinois projects that are carried in another bill. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1761. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Are there further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment offered on the other side of the aisle was to cut eleven of the new positions that were requested. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1761. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1762, Senator Sommer. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1762, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1762.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment to their operating budget. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1762. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1763. On the Order of Senate Bills...2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1763, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1763.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers seven amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. As a reminder to the members, we had agreed with leadership to put on the committee amendments. I asked the members to withhold offering their's till tomorrow, then Senator Sommer indicated he will bring the bill back at that time after the leadership has been able to meet with the membership. Committee Amendment No. 1 is deletes...is to delete certain GRF funded projects. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1763. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 2 is for construction defects in certain schools. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator...Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1763. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

...Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 3 is for construction defects in Mt. Olive. We give the monies...for these defects and then sue the contractors. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1763. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a...a language change for the river research lab. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1763. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 5.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a technical amendment to put in applied research lab instead of natural resources, technical in nature. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 5 to Senate Bill 1763. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 6.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is Monmouth School defects. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 6 to Senate Bill 1763. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 6 is adopted. Further committee

amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 7.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is for rehabilitation of the Markwood...Markwood Barracks at Quincy Veterans Home. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 7 to Senate Bill 1763. Discussion? Senator Vadalabene. All right. Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 7. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 7 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments...Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, again, I...Senator Sommers, I do have an amendment for this bill. Do I have the understanding, Senator Carroll, that...that you'll get back to this bill, or bring it back to 2nd so that I can offer my amendment?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:

Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. So, the Floor amendments will be withdrawn and...and simply on the recall list. All right. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Floor amendments?

SECRETARY:

No...no Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1762, Senator Dudyecz. Senator Dudyecz on the Floor? All right, 1764. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1764, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1764.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment for the Criminal Justice Information Authority. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1764. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1765, Senator Leitch. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1765, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1765.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment for the Court of Claims. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1765. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1766, Senator Karpriel. On the Order of Senate bills...Senator Karpriel on the Floor? On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1766, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1766.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is for projects being reappropriated, a total of a half a million dollars. I would move the adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1766. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1767, Senator Dudycz. Senator Dudycz on the Floor? All right. Is there leave for Senator DeAngelis to handle that? Leave is granted. Senate bills 2nd reading is Senate Bill 1767, Mrs. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1767.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1769, Senator Weaver. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1769, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1769.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1769. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1770. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1770, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1770.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would be the guidelines amendment, Pollution Control Board, a reduction of almost sixty-three thousand. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1770. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1771, Senator Schaffer. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1771, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1771.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

Senate. Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines in adding some Federal funds. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1771. Those in favor...discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1772, Senator Fawell. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1772, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1772.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the Senate guidelines amendment of one percent and I'd move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1772.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1772. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee

amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1773,...Senator Woodyard. Senator Woodyard on the Floor? Is there leave to have Senator Sommer handle that in his absence? All right. Leave is granted. Senate...Senate bills 2nd reading is Senate Bill 1773, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1773.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies...of the Senate. This is a guideline amendment, a reduction of eleven thousand. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1773. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 1774, Senator Donahue. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1774, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1774.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This, too, is the guideline amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1774. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate bill 1775, Senator Sommer. Senate bills 2nd reading is Senate Bill 1775, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1775.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1776, Senator Sommer. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1776, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1776.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1777. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1777. Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1777.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1778, Senator Dudycz. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1778, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1778.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies...of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment to the Local Government Law Enforcement Officers Training Board. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1778. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1779. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1779, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1779.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1808, Senator Sangmeister. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1808, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1808.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of the Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1808. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a Comptroller reconciliation as to the increase that we're allowing for everyone, the five percent, it's an add-back of some sixty-four thousand eight hundred. I would move adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1808. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1814, Senator Poshard. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1814, Mr. Secretary. All right.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1814.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Local Government offers two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Carroll, this is...this is on...on my script. I'm...I'm told that this is not an appropriation bill. Let...Senator Poshard, let's take it out of the record, okay. Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 1822, Senator Carroll. 1822, Senator Carroll. All right. Senate Bills 2nd reading is Senate Bill 1822, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1822.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor...3rd reading. Senate Bill 1825, Senator Hall. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1825, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1825.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1826, Senator Carroll. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1826, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1826.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

...any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1841, Senator Sommer. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1841, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1841.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a reappropriation of the libraries from

three and a half million in the reappropriation bill. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1841. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee...Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would bring the...the appropriation level down to the May 1st level but then also take care of those projects that were omitted and put them back in. I would move adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1841. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3, by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the latest reappropriation level figure, a

reduction of thirty-two million. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1841. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1846, Senator Marovitz. Senator Marovitz on the Floor? All right. Senator...is there leave to have Senator Carroll handle Senate Bill 1846 in Senator Marovitz's temporary absence? Leave is granted. Senate bills 2nd reading, Senate Bill 1846, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1846.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SECRETARY:

No...no committee amendments.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Amendment from the...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a reduction of four million seven hundred and ninety-nine thousand dollars. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1846. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 2145, Senator Weaver. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2145, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 2145.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 2156, Senator Welch. All right. Senate...is there leave to have Senator Carroll handle that? All right. Leave is granted. Senate bills 2nd reading is Senate Bill 2156, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 2156.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. It is believed that if there...if the bill passes

and is signed by the Governor the start up date would be January 1 instead of July 1; therefore, this would reduce it in half for the later start up date. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of...Committee Amendment No. 1...Floor Amendment No. 1...all right, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee...Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2156. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senator Rock, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you. A little earlier, Mr. President, we...I asked leave to get back to Senate Bill 1626, on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading. There is a Floor amendment which I wish to offer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. With leave of the Body, we will return to Senate Bill 1626, page 3 of your Calendar. Leave is granted. On the Order of Senate Bills...2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1626.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1626.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Rock.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the operation of the General Assembly was introduced at last year's level. This amendment would call for a slight increase, roughly seven percent lower than that most agencies and boards and commissions have been favored with, and it spreads across the lines a seven percent increase increasing the House appropriation by a half a million dollars and the Senate by roughly a half a million dollars for a total of a million dollar increase. I know of no objection. I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1626. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. All right. You've had a list of...that's been passed out of recalls. Senator Rock, we...we have numerous other requests for recalls that are not on this original list. Is it our intention to circulate a second? Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

I...I think that's only appropriate. The members wish an

SB 1804
Recalled

opportunity to offer...I have a list with about twenty-four on there, if there's a second list let's do a second one. Let's get them cleaned up.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. With leave of the Body, we will move to the Order of Recalls. Senate bills 3rd reading. 916, Senator Lemke. 1589, Senator Barkhausen. On...Senator Barkhausen seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 1589 to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purpose of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of Senate Bills...2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1589. Am I too fast for you, Mr. Secretary, or...

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Barkhausen.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Mr. President and members, Amendment No. 1 to the Illinois Obscenity Statute provides certain affirmative...defenses for both...libraries and librarians on the one hand and newspapers on...on the other. The amendment is meant to...answer some partial objections on the part of the Library Association and the Illinois Press Association and should meet with the approval of all concerned. I would ask for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Barkhausen has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1589. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1634...1804, Senator Berman.

On the Order of...of Senate Bills 3rd Reading, Senator Berman seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 1804 to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purpose of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1804, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Berman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment deals with the Asbestos Abatement Act. What it does is to eliminate the date...the date of January 1, '86 for purposes of reimbursement to school districts that undertake out of their own resources, out of their own funds, the abatement of asbestos. And what we have done is by removing that January 1 date is to allow those school districts that have a problem that want to move ahead...with the abatement process to do so out of their own funds subject to an inspection by the Department of Public Health and approval of the work to be done and then they would be eligible for reimbursement as provided originally in the bill. I move the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Berman moves the adoption...of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1804. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 1815, Senator Etheredge. Senator Etheredge seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 1815 to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purpose of an amendment. Is

leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1815, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the so-called designer drug bill or at least it...it used to be called that. This is the...because the amendment that I'm offering now...deletes any references to designer drugs and refers to them as controlled substance analogues. This is to conform with the definition, the terminology that is now being used by the Feds. I know of no objection, and I would move for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Etheredge...moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1815. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. 1861, Senator Lemke. Senator Berman, are you...are you going to handle the amendment? Yes. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1861.

END OF REEL

REEL #3

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Berman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the bill that dealt with a refining of the definition and the role of the consulting teacher in the remediation process for shaping teachers up under the Reform Act. And what this amendment does is to provide that neither side can compel the testimony of the consulting teacher in the process of the...the due process hearing that supersedes the remediation period. The bill as originally introduced merely provided that the district...school district couldn't call the consulting teacher. This keeps the...the...the playing field level for both sides and says that the consulting teacher would not be a witness...for either side. I move the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Kustra.

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Thank you, Mr. President. Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he will yield.

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Senator, just to clarify the...intent of your amendment there. Does this allow the consulting teacher to be present during the hearing and at that time if he or she so desires to add any information that they might think relevant and answer questions about whether or not they actually prepared

SB 1921
Recalled

the...remediation plan and what role they played?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Let me explain. I don't have the exact language but the question you asked can they...can they explain the plan, yes; can they explain what was done in the remediation period, yes. They cannot be called or...or...be compelled to testify by other side as to opinions as to whether the remediated teacher passed, failed, did great, did lousy, they can...they can testify as to the process but not to the ultimate decision.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Berman moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1861. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1921, Senator Philip. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. What this amendment does is to specify that monies returned to townships or municipalities that do opt out of the proposed authority under the...that would be established by this legislation, that those monies would be used for transportation projects. I'm not aware of any

objections and I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Karpel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I just had a...have a question, Senator. Since the money is going to be returned to the townships, I believe, that pass a referendum, does the money that go to the areas outside of a municipality, would that money then go to a...for instance, to the highway...township highway commissioner or a county?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

To the townships.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Karpel. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Etheredge moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1921. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 2006, Senator Marovitz.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Marovitz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President, members of the Senate. Amendment...No. 2 prohibits paramilitary training with the intent to employ unlawfully in the furtherance of civil disorder, prohibits the teaching or demonstration to another the use, application or making of a firearm, explo-

sive or incendiary device or technique and the assembling of two or more persons for the purpose of training with practice being instructed in the use of certain weapons. Requires that the teaching or training be done with the specific intent to employ unlawfully in the furtherance of a civil disorder before it becomes an offense, and defines civil disorder as any public disturbance involving acts of violence by assemblage of three or more people causing an immediate danger or resulting in damage or injury to persons or property. I'd ask for the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Just a point of clarification, Senator Marovitz. This was legislation that we passed last year in the Senate, wasn't it?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

This is legislation that passed the Senate 59 to nothing last year.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

And then what happened?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

This...the legislation got bottled up in the House, it was...it was coupled with another piece of legislation, got bottled up in the House and I think that...it was a good bill last Session, nobody opposed it. Ten other states have already passed it, I see no reason why we shouldn't in its wisdom. Perhaps the House has gotten smarter on this bill,

it won't be linked with anything else.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Not having had a chance to look at the amendment, is...are you deleting everything after the enacting clause in the basic bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Marovitz moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2006. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3, by Senator Marovitz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This is a...this amendment was a bill that somehow inadvertently got lost in the shuffle. It is a bill that was offered by...with the support of state...State's Attorney Daley and amends the...the...the victim's bill of rights so that notice to victims shall be made and the victim shall be given an opportunity to make a statement whenever an offender is before the court or for certain modifications of a previously entered sentence. We passed the victim's bill of rights, it said "Prior to sentencing, a victim has the right to make a victim impact statement to the judge," but there is a loophole in there that if that sentence subsequently was going to be modified, the victim didn't have that opportunity.

This has happened several times, this...just to give the victim more...or his or her family the opportunity to make an impact statement if there's a modification of that sentence. A very minor change and I would ask for adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 2006.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Marovitz moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 2006. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 2090, Senator Berman. Senate Bill 2154, Senator O'Daniel.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator O'Daniel.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator O'Daniel.

SENATOR O'DANIEL:

Mr. President, Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 2154 corrects some technical areas and staggers the terms of the board members.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator O'Daniel moves the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 2154. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 2157, Senator Rock.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Rock.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

...Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 2157 is the bill that would allow the counties to impose by their own vote a surcharge on the fees that the county clerk has to collect. Amendment No. 1 now offered states that the amount of the fee shall be a percentage equal to or less than the actual cost of the collection and in any event may not exceed twenty percent of the fine. I know of no objection. This was a recommendation of the County Finance Study Committee and I would urge the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Rock moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2157. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 2185, Senator Lemke. Senate Bill 2207, Senator Macdonald.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Macdonald.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Macdonald.

SENATOR MACDONALD:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is a technical amendment that clarifies that the Pollution Control Board is not required to hold economic impact hearings after the economic impact study is prepared. We had put another amendment on this bill to satisfy the Illinois Municipal League, and

this...the...the board simply wanted to ensure that hearings would not be allowed to prevent the timely adoption of regulations and that's all this amendment does. I urge its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Macdonald moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2207. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 2280, Senator Schuneman.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Schuneman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. Senate Bill 2280 is a Department of Insurance bill that deals with notices of intention not to renew insurance policies. The department also had another bill that would require that in those cases where insurance companies are permitted to cancel insurance, they must give sixty days notice rather than thirty days notice, and we simply are wanting to put that provision into this bill. It increases from thirty days to sixty days the amount of time an insurance company has to give to an insured if they want to cancel a policy. I move adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Schuneman moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2280. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?

AB 2292
Recalled
AB 2293
Recalled

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 2292, Senator Karpiel. Senator Karpiel on 2292? Is there any amendments, Mr. Secretary?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Geo-Karis.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, Amendment No. 2 was offered in...in...in conjunction with the language the Press Association felt would be more likely to be in the bill and...rather than what it was. And so I would move for the passage of that amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Geo-Karis moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2292. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 2293, Senator Geo-Karis. Senator Geo-Karis on 2293?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Geo-Karis.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Amendment 3 was to correct an omission that was in the prior amendment...it was left out in the bill, rather, and I move the passage of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Geo-Karis moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 2293. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 2294, Senator Karpel. Okay, on List No. 2. Has List No. 2 on recalls been distributed? It is being distributed, it starts with Bill No. 1520, Senator Maitland is the sponsor. For what purpose Senator Marovitz arise?

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

That last bill that's still up on the board, 2294, will that remain on the recall list and be called tomorrow?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Yes, she indicates it will remain on the list. While...while we wait...I'll tell you, while we're waiting for the Pages to distribute the second recall sheet, Senator Berman has requested that Senate Bill 2090 be recalled, it's on the Order of Recalls on our first sheet, for purpose of amendment. So on the Order of Recalls, Senate Bill 2090, Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Well, thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I appreciate your courtesy. Amendment No. 1...

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you. Amendment No. 3 expands the Merit Recognition Scholarship Program that we started last year. At the present time, we provide to the graduating classes of our high schools to the top five percent a stipend...a five hundred dollar scholarship for each of two years to a Illinois

public institution of higher education. That's in addition to our usual program run through the Illinois State Scholarship Commission that is based upon need, the Merit Recognition Scholarship Program is based upon achievement. This amendment would expand that from the top ninety-five percent...I'm sorry, to the top five percent to the top ten percent of the students that graduate from our high schools. The expenditure will be incurred not in Fiscal '87 but in Fiscal '88 when the bill...when the program would be first plugged in because of the timing of the implementation and the cost would be 2.3 million dollars. I move the adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Berman moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 2090. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is...I'm sorry, Senator Etheredge...on discussion, Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Will the sponsor yield to...for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He...he indicates he will.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

I'm...I'm sorry, Senator, I came in late on...on this discussion. Can you tell me what it is that this amendment does? Is this the same proposal that failed to get out of the Higher Education Committee?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

This was proposed on another bill...by a different sponsor and came up with a 4 to 4 vote. I had touched base earlier today with the spokesman on the Higher Education Committee who indicated that he had no objection for me pro-

ceeding with this.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Would you refresh my memory, please, as to what...what does this bill cost? What does it do?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you. I indicated before, the implementation of this program will be in the 87-88 school year and it will impact on the '88 budget not the '87 budget at a cost of 2.3 million dollars.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Is that...is that for the full...is that for a full year's funding of the program or is that for a half year?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Full year.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

But there is...being a two-year program then that it...the actual expenditure for a group of students then would be twice that figure. Is that correct? I mean, when we buy into the first year's program, we're really buying in for two. Is that...is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

You lost me, take me through it again.

AB 1520
Recalled

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

That the...when we make the commitment for one year, we are in a...at least implying a commitment for a longer period of time though, are we not?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

The...the information I have is that this expansion is estimated to cost 2.3 million dollars in the first year and two million dollars each year after that. This is based upon the commission's...State Scholarship Commission's own estimate of Fiscal '86 spending for the old program of the five percent of the kids; making it...broadening it to two...to ten percent will cost an additional 2.3 in the first year and two million dollars for each year thereafter.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Berman moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 2090. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Now, since our second recall sheet has been distributed and for your information, it begins with the Bill No. 1520. We're ready to proceed? On the Order of Recalls, the following bills have been requested to be recalled for second...back to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of amendment. Senate Bill 1520.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senators Maitland, Demuzio and

Watson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1520 addresses a problem that we face here virtually every year and that deals with those school districts that have a...for whatever reason, a dramatic growth in average daily attendance. And as most of you know, I'm sure, the way the formula plugs in, they really don't recognize any State reimbursement for those students for a period of three years. This amendment would address that problem and it...it deals with the issue by...by simply recognizing that these...for the schools that have a greater than twenty-five...strike that...greater than a five percent growth will receive reimbursement based on the difference in the average daily attendance between the preceding year in the first month of school and the current first month of school. And, again, if that enrollment, average daily attendance, is greater than...than five percent, we will compute that then and in November, hopefully, or December when the General Assembly comes back in Session will address those school districts affected by supplemental appropriation. I would add, Mr. President, that there is, as far as I know, only one school district presently would be impacted by this legislation, that's a...a school district that resides in Senator Watson and Senator Demuzio's district I believe. I'd be happy to answer any questions and move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

If there discussion? If not, Senator Maitland moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1520. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1608, Senator Etheredge.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Berman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1608 transfers the operation of the Veterans' Scholarship Program from the Department of Veterans' Affairs to the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. In the Appropriations II Committee we went into great detail as to the lack of support and initiative that has arisen for this program in the department that it currently resides in, and it was a consensus of opinion that the Scholarship Commission under its present structure could probably do a more enthusiastic job. I move the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Well, I...I share Senator Berman's frustration with the Department of Veterans' Affairs' decision to underfund this program, but let me suggest to you that the decision that they made did not cost one veteran one dime. It did, in fact, unfairly impact on the colleges and universities in this State, notably the community college system, but it did not affect negatively any veterans. They all got their scholarships. I would suggest that those of you who have been in this Body can remember when we transferred this program from the Scholarship Commission to the Department of Veterans' Affairs because, if my memory serves correctly, the Scholarship Commission when having been asked to make a

JB 1608
Recall

budget cut eliminated the veterans' scholarship because it was not based on need. Now, I think we ought to think about this long and hard. Now I think the universities should get their money and I think the program should be fully funded, but if we take this program from this department and history repeats itself, you will have a lot of veterans who were promised these scholarships very upset. And if you are interested in seeing people who have served this country get the benefits that they were promised when they donned those uniforms, I would be a little reluctant to move this out of the department that safeguards the veteran's interest into a nonsympathetic forum that has a very spotty past track record. Let's think twice about this. Those who don't learn from mistakes of the past are destined to repeat them again and again and again.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

I rise in support of this amendment because of the fact that in...within the Department of Veterans' Affairs the scholarships were lacking an advocate in that they were not being funded at the full level as is required by law as an entitlement program and, therefore, it seems totally proper that that responsibility be transferred to an organization that has in recent years, I think, been very helpfull to all of us, has been very careful to monitor the amounts of money given out in scholarships. And that's exactly what we're looking for here is an agency that will monitor these funds so that not only the veterans get the scholarships that we have promised them, but that we do not impact on our university and community college system.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Question...question of the sponsor, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Indicates he will yield.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Senator Berman, I was off the Floor when you first explained your amendment here and I...I didn't hear who...I...I heard that you were taking the...the responsibility from the Department of Veterans' Affairs but I didn't hear where you were taking this responsibility.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

We're transferring it to the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. If you recall, I think you were in committee when we went through all of that debate as to where it ought to be.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Well...well, to the amendment, yes, I think I was one of the ones in committee who were very concerned about the fact that the Department of Veterans' Affairs had not funded those scholarships at the maximum level as they were funded to do so. However, I don't think that we're...we should, you know, take this drastic a step at this time. I think what we ought to do is to make sure that the Department of Veterans' Affairs do under the mandate and to fund those scholarships at the maximum level as they were funded to do so. I most certainly sympathize and...and felt that it was not right for them to shift part of that responsibility to the Board of...Higher Education, and most certainly I was appalled over the fact that they did it arbitrarily and with...without...I...I think legal...permission to do so. But I don't think, Senator Berman, that we should in fact

take the money out of the Department of...Veterans' Affairs. I think it ought to be very clear that that money...that those scholarships are for veterans and that it don't get confused with anything else, but I do think we have a responsibility to mandate and to monitor that that department carry out the mandate and fund those...those scholarships at the maximum level and treat their program as an entitlement program as it was intended in the first place. And, therefore, I am opposed to the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I rise in support of Senator Berman's amendment and I'd just like to remind Senator Collins of one question that was asked to the director of the Department of Veterans' Affairs in the committee hearing; and that question was, if we fully fund the scholarships for the veterans and leave it in your department, will you spend that money this year, you didn't last year, will you spend it this year? And the answer was no. So even if we fully fund it and leave it in the Department of Veterans' Affairs, the same thing is going to happen again.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

...Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, it seems to me when the Scholarship Commission had something to do with it, they practically killed the program. I feel that we are obligated to honor the commitment we made to the veterans and the way we do it, I think, is to leave it in the scholarship...in...in the veterans' commission. You must remember that if they didn't spend the money, maybe they didn't have enough applicants and maybe it hasn't been dis-

seminated enough so that people know that there are veteran scholarships available. I rise to speak against this amendment. I think we're doing a disservice to the veterans by taking it out of the veterans' commission area, and I hope that you will all agree with me and vote this amendment down.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I...I rise in strong support of...of this amendment and I share the concerns that have been expressed by some of my colleagues, Senator Schaffer and Senator Geo-Karis in particular. I think what we are interested in doing is...is to see that all parties in this matter...their interests are all protected, both those of the community colleges and the public universities that provide the services and the veterans as well. I would suggest to Senator Schaffer that his recounting of the past history is...is a very accurate one, to be sure, but I also want to suggest that the faces on those people who serve as members of the...commission are now new and that there...is a new executive director and the attitude of the State Scholarship Commission toward the administration of this program is also new. I would urge support of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Berman may close.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Well, thank you, Mr. President. Those of you who did not have the opportunity to hear the debate in the Appropriations II Committee, let me just share with you this fact. It was admitted by the current administration of the Veterans' Administration that they decided on their own not to promote, urge, encourage the utilization of the veterans' scholarship

program. When Senator Schaffer talks about this being...this used to be in the Scholarship Commission, that was, ladies and gentlemen, eleven years ago and there was virtually no comparison to the operation of the Scholarship Commission today when compared to eleven years ago. It was a horse and buggy operation then, today it's efficient, it...it is interested in promoting the interest as we are of the veterans. That's why we passed this program to make sure that the veterans get this benefit out of funds that we appropriate for it and not slough it off where the colleges and the junior colleges have to pick up the tab. And that's what the Veteran's Administration has in fact done...those of us that were in that committee, there were a group of veterans who, in my opinion, concurred in the judgment that the Veterans' Administration has not done justice by this program. The Scholarship Commission is ready, willing and able to carry out our intention in promoting this program on behalf of veterans. On behalf of the principal sponsor, Senator Etheredge, Senator Poshard, Senator Holmberg and others, who were there and heard this debate, I urge an Aye vote on this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1608. Those in favor indicate by saying...a roll call has been requested. On a roll call. Those...Senate...Berman...Senator Berman moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1608. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 20, the Nays are 28, none voting Present. Amendment No. 2 having failed to receive a majority vote is declared lost. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

*SB 1798
Recalled*

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1709, Senator Netsch.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Netsch.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 3 is necessary in the event that Senator Keats' goofy Amendment No. 1 stays on the bill. His dealt with the question of equalizing the treatment for State Income Tax purposes of interest or other revenue earned on campaign funds, and the Department of Revenue has requested, because there was no effective date on that which became the basic bill, that we clean up the effective date provisions. And this provides that the basic Act takes effect January 1, '87 and the changes in Amendment No. 1 would apply to taxable years ending on and after December 31, 1986. I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1709.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Netsch moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1709. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1798, Senator Watson.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Watson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment strikes everything after the enacting clause and puts back the original provisions of the legislation which were agreed to by the Secretary of State, Cook County State's Attorney, the Auto and Truck Recyclers of Illinois, the New Car and Truck Dealers Association and the Licensed Auto Recyclers and Rebuilders of Illinois. As you may or may not know, there's been a...meetings going on continuously since last year in regard to problems that the recycling and rebuilding industry is having in...in implementing an Act which we passed two years ago. This is an...an effort by the various groups that I mentioned to come up with some...a solution to the problem in which...the rebuilders and recyclers have found themselves, and not only that, of course, with the Secretary of State and Cook County State's Attorney. I move for its adoption and appreciate your support and answer any questions if necessary.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall...Senator Watson moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1798. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1816, Senator Netsch.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senators Netsch and Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the bill that deals

with...very limited toward immunity for those who are involved in alcoholism and drug addiction intervenor programs. The problem that we had had before was with the...those to whom the immunity would be extended. We had limited it to trained interveners. This clarifies the definition of who is a trained intervenor. It was worked out by those who worked with Senator Etheredge and me on the Advisory Council to the Department of Alcoholism and...and Substance Abuse, and basically what it provides is that the...where training has been provided by an entity which sponsors, authorizes, supports, finances or supervises the training of interveners or fact reporters then someone who has had that training will qualify for the limited immunity. I think it's the...the best resolution of this problem that we've been able to come up with and I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1816.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Netsch moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1816. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1848, Senator Berman.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Berman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Going back to Amendment 1, I want to move to reconsider the vote by which that was adopted.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator...Senator Berman asks leave to reconsider the

vote by which...by which Amendment No. 1 is adopted and having voted on the prevailing side, he now makes the motion to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1848 was adopted. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and the vote is reconsidered. Now Senator Berman moves to Table Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1848. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries. Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Berman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 is incorporated into Amendment No. 2. Amendment No. 2 deals with the Response Action Contractor Indemnification Act and carries forth many of the issues that were in the bill as originally drafted but in a...in a more detailed way. It does not apply to...State political subdivisions only to the State. It requires...it allows indemnification only when liability insurance at reasonable terms is unavailable and provides a set aside of five percent of the State payments to be paid into a fund to help pay damages or settlements. Those are the new elements that are incorporated in Amendment No. 2 that were discussed when this bill was before the committee. I move the adoption of Committee Amendment...of Floor Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Question of the sponsor, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Senator, as I understand this bill, what...what you're doing is...is setting up the State of Illinois as an insurer. Is this the one that...that sets up the State as an insurer if insurance is not available to contractors working with asbestos? How much money is going to be required or is there an appropriation somewhere to fund this?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

There is no appropriation. The...what we have done in this, if you recall the conversation in committee, we have provided for a five percent set-aside so that we can start to build a fund to determine what...if it were a independent insurance underwriting program, what kind of funds are going to be plugged in and set aside from the contracts. But there is no appropriation provided.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Well, okay. First of all, I want you to understand I'm not particularly opposed to this idea because I know that...that for contractors working with asbestos insurance is generally not available, and so, obviously, what we're dealing with here is a very hazardous liability. Most of the companies...I guess, all of the companies for the most part in the private sector are unwilling to take this risk. Are you telling me that...that the proposal is to take five percent of the contract price and begin to set it aside to...to create a pool?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Yes. We've specifically provide that five percent of each payment made under the contracts that are involved in the clean-up process shall be paid by the State directly into the Response Contractors Indemnification Fund so that there is a pool established out of that monies.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

What happens if there is a loss immediately before the pool has any money?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

The pool...the pool is not a cap. It is merely a fund which is established out of those contracts. The State is still the...the insurer, indemnifer of any exposure...arising out of those contracts.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Well, I guess the thing that concerns me, Senator, is that...that no one seems to be able to measure the extent of the liability that may be out there for...working with asbestos; and while I think the State probably plays a proper role in getting involved in this,...I'm wondering are we getting into some kind of a open-ended liability where we're simply going to pick up whatever liability there may be out there for anybody that's working on public buildings, cleaning up asbestos? If that's what we're doing, we may be undertaking quite a liability here.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

The...actually this really...should be debated on 3rd

reading because that's the whole concept and if you want I'll get into it but I...it really belongs on 3rd. I...I would just suggest, let me put the bill into the posture and then we can debate the policy decision on 3rd reading.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

I...I...I'm agreeable to that. I promise not to ask any more questions if you'll answer my last question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Berman moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1848. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Berman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 3 clarifies that no person that is responsible for the...asbestos or pollution or the hazardous condition can be indemnified under the provisions of this Act. Move the adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Berman moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1848. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1875, Senator Rupp.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Rupp.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rupp.

SENATOR RUPP:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment is in connection with the Illinois Crimestoppers Act and really...all it does is clarify some of the administrative processes, and the only addition...the important part of this amendment reads that the board, meaning the Crimestoppers Program Certification Board, would provide the administrative support in the form of personnel, office space, supplies, other required resources to the Illinois Crimestoppers Program Certification Board and shall be the funding agent for operations and grants as available of the Illinois Crimestoppers Program Certification Board. That's all the amendment does. I ask amendment...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there...is there discussion? If not, Senator Rupp moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1875. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1912, Senator Netsch.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Netsch.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill is the bill that would impose a tax on tobacco products not currently taxed. The...several questions were raised during the course of the hearing as well as in the circuit court opinion of sometime ago about the uniform operation of the bill given the definition of the incidence of the tax. I think there were some legitimate questions raised about this, and so at my request the Department of Revenue rereviewed the entire bill in terms of its enforcement and administrative mechanisms, and among other things suggested the change in definition of wholesaler and the change in the incidence of the tax using manufacturer's list price as the basic definition of what is to be taxed. That is a known quantity and the...the combination of these changes in terminology eliminates the uneven impact that I think conceivably might have followed from the original structure of the Act, so that I think we've met all of...those kinds of arguments that were raised initially in the circuit court decision and in the hearing. In addition, the Department of Revenue requested that certain enforcement mechanisms and penalties that are...come straight out of the State's existing ROT Act be incorporated here, because they already know how to handle and deal with those and those also are reflected in the amendment. I in no way want to represent that the Department of Revenue is supporting the bill. I've not even asked them to do that. That is a substantive judgment but what I have asked them to do is to put the bill in a good administrative enforcement structure, and they have done that for me and that is what is reflected in Amendment No. 2. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Macdonald.

SENATOR MACDONALD:

Thank you, Mr. President. I very reluctantly rise to make a...at...at least a statement on...on this amendment. I think the time has come for the State of Illinois to stand up and be counted and not consistently relegate the Domestic Violent Shelter Fund to unstable sources. I'm afraid that this is an unenforceable tax that we are imposing here and I think that...that this shelter fund should actually be involved with the Department of Children and Family Services, and we should have full focus and not make a stepchild out of this fund. I am rising certainly to support funding and full funding and the full focus of this State to assume its responsibility for...for this particular issue, but I do not think that this is the way to go. I think it's unenforceable and I think we, again, will be coming back here in a year or so. If this isn't declared unconstitutional, it can possibly be unenforceable and we will not be receiving the money that should be received to fund the very vital issue of...domestic violence in this State. So I would have to oppose this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Netsch may close.

SENATOR NETSCH:

That...Senator Macdonald, that amendment is not involved here, that amendment went on the bill last week. We are...we've already made that decision that the money goes into the General Revenue Fund and then subsequently there is a portion of it, sixty percent, that would be retransferred to the Domestic Shelter Program in the same way that we already do with a lot of our other things. The...the soft drink money goes into the General Revenue Fund and then it all gets transferred out for Build Illinois, we do that half a dozen times. But that was already done, that is not the subject of this amendment. This amendment was drafted for me

at my request by the Department of Revenue simply to get the bill in the administrative form in which they would like to have it in order to be able to live with it if it does eventually pass. So this is...has only to do with the administrative mechanism of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The...Senator Netsch moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1912. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1934, Senator Joyce. Senate Bill 1955, Senator Demuzio.

SECRETARY:

(Machine cutoff)...No. 1 offered by Senator Demuzio.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Thank you, Mr. President. Oh, Amendment No. 1, what...what this amendment does is that it...it allows a individual who is an elected officer of a Statewide teacher organization to pay both the employer and the employee contributions and get into the...the pension system as long as that person is not eligible for a pension other than the one that...that he is applying for. It would only be for one individual and I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Demuzio moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1955. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. George Thompson from the Chicago Tribune, he's a staff photographer, seeks permission to...I guess, take still photos. Is leave granted? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Senate Bill 2025, Senator Fawell.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Fawell.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, very much. This is...clean-up language that was requested by the United Way and the Jewish Federation and the Catholic Charities and it has been agreed to by those three and if there's any questions, I'll be glad to explain it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Fawell moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2025. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 2117, Senator Demuzio.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Schaffer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Mr. President, aren't there...there are two amendments that are filed...isn't that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The Secretary indicates one by Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, I filed one this morning that simply changed the effective date.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Well, Senator, I've just been told that your name was on the amendment first and then a staff person changed the name.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

All right. Well, then, the...I would like very much to...to...Senator Schaffer to...to adopt the effective date on my amendment first and then go with yours and let me see about getting it here.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

We only have one amendment and it carries an effective date. Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

All right. We're...we're in the process of bringing it down to the Secretary then. Oh, do you have it now?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 2162, Senator Smith. This is for an amendment by Senator Kelly?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Kelly.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. This bill is the adolescent health clinic's bill and this amendment would prevent the purchasing or dispensing of contraceptives at these health clinics, and I move for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Kelly moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2162. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have

SB 1934
Recalled

it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 2200, Senator Chew...Philip.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senators Chew and Philip.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Yeah, I believe, that Senator Chew is off the Floor. If I might, Mr. President. Amends the Illinois Health Facility Planning Act. If an Illinois medical school may establish a new hospital as a teaching facility if such medical school is accredited by the Commission on Medical Education, the new hospital will assist in maintaining the current acceleration. I move the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2200.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Philip moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2200. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. With leave of the Body, we'll go back to Senate Bill 1934. Senator Joyce is back on the Floor and we have one amendment by Senator Topinka. 1934,...Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Topinka.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, basically this amendment limits the...the communication between military recruiters and graduating senior class members to educational opportunities provided by the military.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Topinka has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1934. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor of the adoption of the amendment indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. All right, in order to expedite the work of the Secretary and Enrolling and Engrossing, let me suggest that there will be another recall list tomorrow morning. We will commence tomorrow morning at 10:00 a.m. and for those who have bills or amendments still floating around, let's try to get them in tomorrow. They'll be on the list to be handled first thing in the morning. That includes, I'm told, Senate Bill 1608, by Senator Etheredge; 1612, by Senator Etheredge and 2123, by Senator Poshard. We'll handle...those are...currently on 3rd reading, we will handle those on tomorrow's list. So check with the Secretary and make sure that...that your amendments and/or bills are on the recall list. All right. Page 2 on the Calendar, we will go through Senate bills 2nd reading. 1486, Senator Dawson. 1488, Senator Barkhausen. 1491. With leave of the Body, can we get back to that one? I do have an amendment. I'll get back to that. 1551, Senator Zito. 1624, Senator Demuzio. Senator Demuzio. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, in the middle of page 3, is Senate Bill 1624. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1624.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Carroll, 1699 is a hold. 1700. With leave of the Body, we'll get back to that, I've got an...there is an amendment filed on 1700, Mr. Secretary, is there not?

SECRETARY:

Yes, two of them...two of them, yeah.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. With leave of the Body, we will return to page 2,...page 2 for Senate Bill...1491. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senate bills 2nd reading is Senate Bill 1491, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1491 was read a second time on April the 15th and was held on 2nd reading. Amendment No. 1 was adopted. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Rock.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Does Senator Macdonald also have an amendment filed to that one? I understood she was going to go first.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Is she...that amendment was adopted. We left the bill on 2nd...

PRESIDENT:

That's already been adopted.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Yes, sir. All right. All right, Mr. Secretary, you want to indicate what we have there.

SECRETARY:

Okay...we adopted Amendment No. 1. Now we have in front of us Amendment No. 2, by Senator Rock. I have further Amendment No. 3, by Senator Macdonald.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right. Senator Macdonald on Amendment No. 2. All right. Wait a minute...

SENATOR MACDONALD:

...there's some confusion...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

...just a moment...just a moment...I know, there is confusion. Second amendment was offered by Senator Rock. Senator Rock on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I was just concerned Senator Macdonald's amendment to which I have agreed might not track. I was told it was going to be No. 2, mine can be No. 2 just as easily, it...frankly makes...doesn't make any difference. Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1491 which deals with the Abused and Neglected Child Reporting Act is an attempt on our part to incorporate those changes that were suggested by the Department of Children and Family Services, the Illinois Education Association and Catholic Charities. Essentially, it says that once a report is received, there...it...it prohibits any alteration by persons in charge of the institution, school, facility or agency once that report has been filed. It further gives the Department of Children and Family Services the authority to release records to...school superintendents in other states, and it gives DCFS the authority to give to the Illinois Department of Registration and Education access to

records on their investigations of child abuse and neglect. It further provides that teacher certificates may be revoked only upon clear and convincing evidence of child abuse and/or neglect presented at the revocation hearing. We have attempted to satisfy all those individuals and agencies who were concerned with both the scope and the purport of this bill. I think there is no objection to this amendment and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1491. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3, by Senator Macdonald.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Macdonald.

SENATOR MACDONALD:

Yes, Mr. President, Amendment No. 3 merely defines more clearly the statement of sexual abuse, assault or molestation. And it provides that the State Superintendent of Education in cooperation with the Department of Children and Family Services shall prepare and disseminate to all public schools and nonpublic schools information on instructional materials and programs about child sexual abuse which may be used by such schools for their own or community programs. The information may also be disseminated...disseminated by the schools to the parents. It...it merely clarifies the educational aspect and I...I don't see any problem with the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Macdonald moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1491. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it.

*SB 1700
2nd Reading*

Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. All right, with leave of the Body, we will return to page 3. Bottom of page 3, Senate Bill 1700, Mr. Secretary.

END OF REEL

REEL #4

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1700.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 1 has now been...with the help of the Department of Revenue and a number of others has now been reworked, so I would move to Table Committee Amendment No. 1. I have placed with the Secretary Amendment No. 2 which is of the same length and...and has a few changes, and I would move to Table Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Rock moves to Table Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1700. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee...Committee Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Rock.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 2 is a sixty-seven page amendment which incorporates the tax increment financing provision and it renames the proposal as the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act. As you recall last Session of the General Assembly, by virtue of an amendment to Senate Bill 1156, we expanded the existing tax increment financing concept or tool to include the provision for the use of the State sales tax and the local sales tax by the local tax increment financing district. The Governor at...at my request agreed to that proposal but delayed the effective date until July of this year...to afford us, the General Assembly, and the Department of Revenue and all concerned, particularly those twenty-eight existing tax increment financing districts, the opportunity to sit down and discuss how this administratively would...would be worked out. I think Amendment No. 2, in fact, responds to the concerns of...of those in the tax increment financing districts, and I am told that the Department of Revenue has now been satisfied that administratively both the formula and the ultimate distribution are not only doable, they feel that they are totally acceptable at this moment. Tax increment financing is a...is a tool for local development that is extremely important. As of the moment, the only thing available to the local development effort is the increment and the property tax. This will afford the increment and the sales tax and in some instances the utility tax to also be used to retire the bonds which caused the redevelopment to take place in the first instance. There are a number of technical changes that have been made between Amendments No. 1 and No. 2. Suffice it to say, I hope that the...the concerns of the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs and the Department of Revenue have been satisfied. I know of no objection and I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

All right, discussion? If not, Senator Rock moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1700. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Mahar.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Mahar.

SENATOR MAHAR:

Thank you, Mr. President and members. Amendment No. 3 would allow for areas commonly known as spoil banks to qualify for tax increment financing under the blighted area.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

All right, Senator Mahar moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1700. Discussion? If not,...Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Well, let me just say, I've discussed with Senator Mahar his amendment. It...it expands...the eligibility in terms of the area. I have no objection. I think his motive and...and his course of conduct is a good one and I agree, and we should adopt Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Mahar moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1700. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 4, Senator Barkhausen.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Amendment No. 4 is somewhat similar to Amendment No. 3 in

that it is an attempt to allow a community in my district to...to take advantage of this proposal, but again, they would have to elect to do so and...and be willing to forego the revenue that would accrue to the community during this period of time, and I've discussed it with Senator Rock and would urge its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

All right, discussion? Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President. Again, I have discussed this with Senator Barkhausen. I am in total agreement. My own attitude is that the more communities that can take advantage of this wonderful economic development tool the better off all of us would be, and I urge the adoption of Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Barkhausen moves the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1700. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

3rd reading. Senator Karpel, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR KARPIEL:

I rise on a point of personal privilege, Mr. President. I would like for the Senate to welcome the children of the Laurel Hill School in Hanover Park, in my district, who are here in the...in the President's Gallery with their principal, Alice Ericson, parents and teachers accompanying them.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Well, if our guests in the gallery would please rise...be recognized by the Senate. Welcome to Springfield.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Bottom of page 3, 1714, Senator Donahue. Senator Donahue, 1714. Page 4, 1716, Senator Schuneman. Top of page 4, Senate bills 2nd reading, Senate Bill 1716, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1716.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senators Schuneman and Rupp.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1716 is a...is another bill that deals with a restriction on the rights of insurance companies to cancel policies, to nonrenew policies and to give notice to consumers if they plan to increase the...the renewal premium on policies. There are two amendments for this bill, Mr. President, and I plan to offer Amendment No. 2, and the effect of those two amendments will be to require that an insurance company give sixty days advance notice if they plan to increase the premium by twenty-five percent. Now there are other bills that have already been moved to 3rd reading that call for a fifty percent threshold. This is a threshold that would be considered to be more favorable to the consumer. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1 and then No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schuneman moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1716. Discussion? If not, those in favor

*SB 1814
2nd Reading*

indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it.
Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2, by Senator Schuneman and Rupp.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

This is simply the amendment that changes the threshold from fifty percent to twenty-five percent. I move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schuneman has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1716. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it.
Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. All right, page 7. Page 7, Senate Bill 1814, Senator Poshard. Senate bills 2nd reading, page 7, is Senate Bill 1814, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1814.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Local Government offers two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Many counties throughout the State are presently struggling with their ability to meet State mandates in regard to their jail facilities. Many of them are going to have to renovate or refurbish their present jail facilities,

some are going to have to build totally new jails, and this amendment sets up a mechanism to begin dealing with that problem. It creates the County Jail Revolving Loan Fund within the State Treasury to be administered by the Department of Corrections. The loan fund could accept for deposit grants, loans,...matching funds, appropriations, income from investments, State bond proceeds and so on to loan to counties at a very low interest or at...or no interest rate in order to help them meet the State mandates on these jail facilities. I would simply move for its passage.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Further discussion? All right, discussion? Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I believe this bill came through Local Government Committee. Is that correct...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

It's my understanding it did. Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you. We're talking about how much money initially in this, Senator Poshard?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Senator Carroll offered an amendment this morning to the Department of Corrections' budget of two million dollars.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Do...do...do you expect this to be a one-time loan revolving fund? You establish it once and then the money that's repaid is just loaned out again or are we going to come back for an additional appropriation later or just exactly how will this be administered in...in later years, in

the out years?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Oh, of course, the money that comes back would be redistributed again, that's the idea of the revolving loan fund; but, no, two million dollars, obviously, would not be enough. There will have to be other monies generated. With all of the problems that the counties are experiencing in this one arena right now, obviously, more money will have to be appropriated through some means as we go along, but that is seed money.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

What are your projections for the out years, or do you have any at this time?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Senator Watson, I...I don't have a projection. I don't even think DOC at this point has a valid projection in terms of the amount of money it would take for the different counties that are either going to have to renovate or build new facilities in this area.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Rupp.

SENATOR RUPP:

Thank you, Mr...thank you, Mr. President. One question I have...there are some counties who are right now on the verge of doing this on their own. Are there any protections as far as that's concerned because there are some instances...I remember clear back when I was mayor that we would do something, initiate something and then the next thing we heard

that the State had grabbed it as a program and providing money and help in funding for the cities and the areas that hadn't done it themselves. We had the same thing as far as the asbestos problem. The schools in Decatur have already corrected their own problem. They paid their own way. Now if we go through...as been proposed and we help pay the others, that means that the citizens from Decatur and any other community that had stepped out and did their own program are going to be required to pay twice. Now, what about in this case? Is there any grandfathering in or...and if so, what are the protections for those who are right now starting to do this on their own?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Yes, sir. Point is well taken, Senator Rupp. The exact language in the bill dealing with your question says that counties already in the process of upgrading county jail facilities and counties that combine to construct regional jail facilities shall be eligible for loans from this fund.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rupp.

SENATOR RUPP:

Yeah, but suppose right now that they've...if they're under construction, they have already gone through the process of getting a loan. They had to do that before they...they...they were able to start the construction. Now suppose they've gone out and floated a bond and it's eight percent and here you're going to give other people money for no cost or a low cost. What protection do they have if...if any?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Senator...Senator Rupp, I think we're always going to have a situation in this State where some counties or municipalities are able to do on their own what others cannot do. To say that we can never start to help those who cannot help themselves just because others have started and proceeded, we do it all the time in all...all kinds of areas of government, that's not a valid point. We say in the bill that counties already in the process of upgrading their facilities are eligible for this revolving loan fund.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Rupp.

SENATOR RUPP:

Yeah, how? How?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Poshard. Whoop, whoop, whoop, Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Yes, sir. They are eligible by simply making application through the Department of Corrections as any county would who has not begun the process.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Poshard has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1814. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments...further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, we adopted Committee Amendment No. 1. Committee Amendment No. 2, Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 2 does two things essentially. The first thing is that all adult offenders sentenced to six months or longer should be sen-

tenced to the Department of Corrections. Those people are currently held in county jails at the expense of the county at about thirty-seven dollars and a half a day, I think is...is the figure that many counties use in our talking to them. A lot of the counties simply do not have that kind of money. This would permit anyone sentenced seventeen years of age or older to a one hundred and eighty days or more to be sentenced...or committed to the Department of Corrections. The second thing that Amendment 2 does is it permits nonviolent offenders...nonviolent offenders sentenced to confinement in a county jail to receive one day of good behavior allowance for each day of service of sentence in the county jail. Each day of good behavior allowance shall...reduce by one day the prisoner's period of incarceration set by the court. This...this is something that will help the counties tremendously in terms of...not having the additional expense of keeping nonviolent offenders that are in there on misdemeanor charges a hundred and eighty days. It would reduce that by half if they, in fact, are on good behavior terms.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Discussion? Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, very much, will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Is this...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Poshard. Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Yeah. When you talk about sending a seventeen-year-old to...to prison...to the Department of Corrections instead of keeping them in the...in the county jail, is this mandatory

or is this...still at the discretion of the judge?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

I...I guess I do not understand the question, Senator Fawell. I'm sorry, would you repeat it for me, please?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Okay, if...if someone is sentenced...say a seventeen-year-old is sentenced to...I see you're shaking your head, you understand the question. Is it...if a seventeen-year-old is sentenced to a...a hundred and eighty days...more than a hundred and eighty days, is it mandatory that he go to, for instance, Stateville rather than be kept in the local county jail?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Senator Fawell, if the judge sentenced a seventeen-year-old to more than one hundred and eighty days, this bill would simply commit that person to the Department of Corrections rather than the county.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

So what you're saying in effect is that there is absolutely no discretion left to the judge if the judge decides that he's a...young seventeen-year-old and he's committed a...a residential burglary, you're still going to send them away even if the judge feels for his own safety it might be better to...to keep him in the county jail?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Rock, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR ROCK:

Point of order. Residential burglary is a felony and that is a mandatory sentence.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

I...I realize that and that's what concerns me, because I'm starting to hear from some of my lawyers that...by...by having it made mandatory that...that some of these young seventeen/eighteen-year-olds who...you know, got drunk or got high and...and broke in a neighbor's home are being...being sent to...mandated to be sent to jail and...and now we're saying we can't even keep them in the local county jails and that's...that's my concern.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Rock for...point of order.

SENATOR ROCK:

If...if, in fact, you're talking about residential burglary, it's got nothing to do with this subject matter, that's my point. If they're convicted of...of residential burglary, they're gone anyway. They...the judge doesn't have any discretion.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

But this bill mandates that he...they be turned over to the Department of Corrections rather than kept in the county jail at the discretion of the judge, and...and that's what I'm concerned about.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to ask the sponsor a question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

We've had some conversation on this particular amendment and I was under the impression that there's a possibility you would strike out the language dealing with the misdemeanor and the six-month provision. Have you got any indication or any feeling that you're going to do that at any particular time along the process?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Well, Senator Watson, I think what we indicated was that...that we were prepared to talk with the Governor's Office and see what we needed to do in order to work...to come to some compromise on the whole jail issue and we haven't been able to decide that at this point.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Okay, well, I appreciate that response and...and, again, as I've reiterated on the Floor and in committee, I can appreciate the concerns and the problems that counties are having in dealing with...with jail situation and overcrowding at the...at the county level but, of course, we are experiencing that also at the State level. We're talking about building the three additional prisons, we're...it's a real concern and a real problem with the State. From what I understand, from what we've been told is that if this amendment and this...ultimately is adopted, we're talking about half the county prison population being then forced into State correctional facilities which would create even a greater burden for the State and, of course, the situation which we probably can't tolerate. So, hopefully, as

SB 1832
2nd Reading

this...Senate Bill 1814 moves along the trail, you will reassess your position on the misdemeanor situation and take that provision out. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Poshard may close.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Well, I would simply, Mr. President, remind everyone of the critical financial situation that many counties in this State are currently in in regard to their jail facilities and ask for a favorable response to this bill...to this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Poshard has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1814. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Poshard.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

...thank you, Mr. President. I would like to withdraw that amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Poshard seeks leave to withdraw. Amendment No. 3 is withdrawn. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1832, Senator Holmberg. On the

*SB 1838
2nd Reading*

Order of Senate...on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is
Senate Bill 1832, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1832.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

3rd reading. 1834, Senator Maitland. 1838, Senator
Barkhausen. All right, on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd
Reading is Senate Bill 1838, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1838.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Barkhausen.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, Amendment No. 1
to Senate Bill 1838 is the uniform...Revised Uniform Limited
Partnership Act, otherwise known as RULPA, which...similar to
the Not-For-Profit Corporation Act that we passed last week
is the work product of a Secretary of State's advisory
committee that has gone...has produced this legislation over
the last year or two. It has been discussed with both sides
and I believe agreed to. I'd be happy to answer any ques-
tions, otherwise, would ask for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Barkhausen moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1838. Discussion? Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Barkhausen.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Barkhausen. Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Mr. President, if...if I could ask for your indulgence, I think what I want to do at this point...two is just ever so slightly different from one, and I think I want to move to...to reconsider or to Table Amendment No. 1 and to...and to adopt 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Barkhausen having voted on the prevailing side moves to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 1 was adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Vote is reconsidered on Amendment No. 1. Senator Barkhausen now moves to Table Amendment No. 1. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Barkhausen.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Mr. President, the only difference between two and one is that the...that two provides for a different effective date for the 1838 prior to being amended...those sections than it does for the sections that we're adding here with the Partnership Act, and I would ask for the adoption.

AB 1925
2nd Reading

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Barkhausen moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2, Senate Bill 1838. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 1910, Senator Weaver. Senate bills 2nd reading is Senate Bill 1910, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1910 was read a second time on May the 7th and there were no amendments. So, Amendment...and there are still no amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, no amendments, 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1915, Senator Maitland. Page 8...top of page 8, 1920, Senator Karpel. Senator Karpel, top of page 8, 1920. 1925, Senator Zito. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1925, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1925.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Welch.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. What the amendment that I propose does mainly is to change the provision in the bill that says, "No hazardous waste site shall be created within a

thousand feet of a...property zoned residential." What I've changed that to was "five hundred feet of the residence," and the reason being that in downstate Illinois in particular there is a great deal of property that is either not zoned, zoned agricultural or is other than residential. If we say that you cannot locate a waste facility near a property that is just zoned residential, the problem that will arise is that all of the waste facilities in suburban areas where there is a great deal of population and residential zoning is used will end up towards us downstate where we mainly have agriculture...agricultural or no zoning at all.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Welch moves adoption of Amendment No. 1. Discussion? Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

Well, thank you, Mr. President and members. Pat and I sit very closely to each other but we're not close at all on this amendment, and...Senate Bill 1925 as it came out of the committee was agreed that we needed to do additional work and so we were in weeks of negotiations over amendments to Senate Bill 1925, and now what Senator Welch attempts to do unbeknownst to the negotiators who have already with one exception agreed to delete and...and have agreed to the language that I will present in Amendment No. 2 comes in at the eleventh hour and attempts to weaken language that we've already agreed to in Senate Bill 1925. I don't know why Senator Welch wants to persist with this. We have attempted to not only concern ourselves with Cook County and the metropolitan Chicago area but certainly downstate areas as well, and I would...would ask that the members join in...in defeating this amendment so we can get on to the agreed amendment which is Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

...Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

...well, there's been a...you know, the point of order here. It's been alleged that, number one, there was no discussion with Senator Zito. I discussed this with him to...two weeks ago he agreed to this. Now this amendment...in committee, the bill was passed out of committee so that there would be negotiation. The parties that happen to agree...certainly...I know of a...none other than one...one special interest group that had input into negotiations along with the original author of the amendment. So, I take umbrage at the statement that this is an eleventh hour amendment. It happens to be the first amendment filed. I disagree with the idea that this is going to help everybody, it doesn't and I think that the amendment stands on its own merit and it is something that if...isn't put on the bill ends up making a bill impossible to be utilized throughout the entire State of Illinois.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

That was a great point of order. Further discussion? Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Well, I'd just like to echo Senator Zito's comments. This was a fairly controversial bill as it left committee, and I'd like to commend Senator Zito for his efforts with a group of people I didn't think would ever agree on much besides when to set the meeting for the next argument. We've made a lot of progress and the amendment that follows this that Senator Zito will offer brings a lot of people together and we are very close to having an agreed bill in a very controversial area. Frankly, this is no time to jump in the soup and mess it up; and I'm sorry if somebody didn't get involved in the negotiations, but let me suggest to you, there have been negotiations and they have been productive. I would certainly urge everyone who's interested in a responsible bill

that protects the rights of the citizens and in a responsible way that this amendment should go down and go down hard.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Jerome Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I rise in support of this amendment. I think that...at least what this amendment is trying to do is give the whole State an equal footing with the...with this bill...the bill is not very good to begin with, but what it...what this is trying to do is...is where there is downstate zoning in rural areas, it says that...no hazardous waste facility can be within five hundred feet of a residence. Now when the so-called compromise amendment that...Senator Zito has...when that comes out, I would like to know where the one thousand feet...of any zoned area would be. I suggest that, Senator Schaffer, while you're probably for this as...as the bill was drafted, there would be no landfill or hazardous waste facility in Cook County, now it says there will be no hazardous waste landfill or...or...what...incinerator in the collar counties as well as Cook County. So, that's how it's made it better. Now the collar counties won't have any and Cook County won't have any. So, you know, that's wonderful for you fellows, but the rest of the State is going to suffer because of it. For that reason, I would support Senator Welch's amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator...Senator Zito for a second time.

SENATOR ZITO:

Mr. President and members, I apologize for rising for a second time and I think the merits of Senator Welch's amendment and the comments by Senator Joyce are well taken, but I...I don't really think in four years in...as being a member of this Body that we're in a position to do this. I have

agreed, I would like to see Senator Welch's concept added to the bill. As a matter of fact, we attempted to do that in several of the negotiations. Unfortunately, I have given my word, as any of us would, in agreements and in good faith to accept one thousand feet. I'm not happy with that but that's exactly what we've agreed to, and to move this bill forward to get something rather than nothing, I've asked that that language stay. I'm not happy with it, but I have agreed to move forward. I'm asking my colleagues now to support my position and defeat this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there...further discussion? Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Well, would the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he will.

SENATOR HALL:

If...if I heard correctly when Senator Joyce was explaining or...am I to hear now that you're going to exempt the collar counties and other counties and we downstate are...are...are going to be a dumping ground for everything? Is that you're saying? If that's what's happening?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Senator, that's the existing legislation. My amendment is trying to change that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

In what way?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Poshard...I mean, Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

The existing legislation refers to a thousand feet but a thousand feet of property zoned residential. We don't have zoning in LaSalle County. The counties downstate, many of them that do have zoning have agricultural zoning, so you can locate anywhere in that area. What my amendment does is change that to five hundred feet of a residence. The five hundred feet or a thousand feet is...that's negotiable. I don't have a problem with changing five hundred to a thousand. What I do have a problem with is putting in the word "zoning" because what that is is a protective measure for the six...the collar counties and the Cook County, that's why we're going to end up with everything sent our way.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President. Question of the sponsor...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Senator Welch, I'm...I'm confused, I think, with respect to...to the issue of...of mandating the monitoring of...of the site by the original...by the original operator. How...how do we deal with that? The man is and the company is...is gone and there's nobody around. How...How can they monitor for thirty years?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Well, that's a good point, Senator, because the existing bill talked about perpetual monitoring. Obviously, if the landfill owner is gone, you're going to end up with, number one, the costs of any...any burden upon the State or if society will be borne by the real estate itself, the property will be subject to a lien. I don't know what you're going to

sell it for, but it could be subject to a lien. Obviously, if you've got an abandoned dump or someone...or a dump without an owner, the State would have to step in. But this only does it for thirty years.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

What...what you're telling me then is the language really doesn't mean anything. The man may be bankrupt, gone, so perhaps two years after the closing, there may not be any response. I'm just trying to...it's a matter of semantics here I think. I don't understand the language.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Is that a question, Senator? Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Senator Maitland, if...if you're saying if a fellow files bankruptcy after two years, can we do anything to him? The answer is no. This bill doesn't...doesn't put an exemption into the State bankruptcy exemptions that says...similar to alimony that you can't discharge this in bankruptcy. This is...that would be a different section of the State law. This...this really doesn't direct that. This talks about care of the facility.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Pardon me for speaking a second time. I think the point that needs to be reemphasized that this...whatever this bill turns out to be, it is existing...it will be an expansion of the existing protections over current law. There probably are a lot of things each of us can think of that have landfill problems and I think a lot of us here have landfill problems that we would like to get into law; whether they

make sense or not in the final analysis is another question. I think the important thing that needs to be reemphasized is that Senator Zito and the various groups that are involved in this have sat down and worked out a compromise. The compromise does not include everything that Senator Zito or Senator Schaffer wanted or didn't want or any of the groups that were affected wanted, but it is a workable compromise and it has put the bill in a position where we can pass it into law and expand the protection to the citizens of the State without absolutely savaging the waste management industry in this State. This amendment, frankly, abrogates all of that progress; and while somebody may want to make it tougher, you know, you can toughen a bill to death and maybe if we want to kill the bill, this is one way. I don't want to kill the bill. I want to get what I think is a responsible compromise to the Governor's Desk and I'd hope everyone would join us in opposing this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator J.J. Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Thank you, Mr. President. As long as everyone is speaking a second time on this,...Senator Schaffer, it would...it would make it tougher all right, it would make it impossible in your...your district to put a landfill...and it would any other district in the collar counties where the zoning is such. I mean, it would be absolutely, totally impossible. You show me a place where there's a thousand feet from any zone...anyplace that isn't zoned. There isn't any. Every landfill and hazardous waste site from...from here on out if we pass this is going to be in...outside the collar county areas. That's what it does. That's how it makes it tougher, makes it impossible in those areas.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Welch may

close.

SENATOR WELCH:

Well, I think it's obvious that what we have here is the suburbanites lining up against downstate Illinois. It's...interesting that the two major spokesmen on behalf of this...against this amendment are suburban representatives, and this isn't a partisan issue, this is one that basically is the suburbanites like this bill because they're sending everything downstate. If they can't send it by rail, they're going to send it by truck...and I just want to say that this amendment is going to give some protections for all the State. It's not fair that we end up being...responsible for your garbage up in Chicago. We already get enough garbage down the river. It's coming by rail and now you're sending it by truck to us downstate. It's unfair. You know it's unfair. You know it doesn't...it improves the law for you fellows, you may as well state exactly what it does and that's it helps you guys in the suburbs and it sends it to us, and anybody from downstate on either side of the aisle that votes for this deserves to have a hazardous waste dump in their area because that's what you're going to get. You're going to get one, it's going to be coming soon or else your county better adopt some zoning and make the entire county residential zoning because they've already done it in the collar counties, it's been done in Cook County and if you want these dumps vote No. I urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Welch moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1925. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment...a roll call has been requested. A roll call has been requested. Those in favor of adopting Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1925 will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the

record. On that question, the Ayes are 19, the Nays are 25, 2 voting Present. Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1925 having failed to receive a majority is declared lost. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2, by Senator Zito.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Zito. For what purpose Senator Keats arise?

SENATOR KEATS:

Not yet if we got more amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

Thank you, Mr. President and members. This amendment now, Amendment No. 2, is the amendment that has been negotiated over the last several weeks. It makes what I think are three substantial changes in 1925. When 1925 was originally introduced and I brought the bill to committee, I had promised that committee that if the committee, in fact, let the bill out, we would...with the proviso that we would negotiate in good faith and I think we've done that. We have had some...some weeks of negotiations with all concerned...what I thought were all concerned individuals and groups that had expressed an interest in Senate Bill 1925, and I'm happy to say now with the...the exception of no one individual or no one group is in opposition. Let me tell you the three changes that...or substantive changes that we've made in Amendment No. 2. The first would prohibit the establishment of any new hazardous waste treatment facility within one thousand feet of property zoned for residential use, that was changed substantially. Originally as introduced the...it was not only hazardous waste, it was incinerators and it also was landfills, that was appealed back. Second change it makes, it voids local government approval of plans for regional

pollution control facility but it's substantially changed after approval. And the third substantial change, it allows for a third party appeal of a permit granted to develop or operate a solid waste facility for municipal or special waste. Those are the three substantial changes that Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1925 does, in fact, make. It's not as strong as I would have liked to see Senate Bill 1925 come through, but as I'd said before in addressing Senator Welch's amendment, something in this General Assembly is better...better than nothing. We have a serious problem with landfills, hazardous waste sites through...throughout the State of Illinois. Rest assured, ladies and gentlemen, that Senate Bill 1925 was not specifically introduced to protect the suburbs, as Senator Welch had said, or protect the City of Chicago. It was introduced in good faith to protect this State from becoming a larger dump site than what it already is. I would ask and move for the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1925.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

I just had a question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR WELCH:

I'm just curious as to who...who was the parties negotiating this agreement?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

There are a number of individuals, Senator Welch, Mr. Yoho who represents the Central State Resource Center, Mr. John Thompson, a representative from the Illinois Municipal League, representatives from Waste Management and the list

would go on from there, but I think those are the...those were the key negotiators.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

No, I...I didn't hear any environmental groups and I was just curious as to...who else is on the list?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

Well, I think the originator of the bill is, in fact, probably one of the leading environmentalist groups in the State of Illinois. The Central Resource...Resources Center and Mr. John Thompson was the originator of...of this legislation along with...Mr. Jim Yoho whose...reputation precedes him in fighting toxic and hazardous waste sites.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Well, I agree. This is going to reduce the number of sites in certain areas, but what other environmentalists besides Mr. Yoho had...contributed? Did the Environmental Council or the Public Action Council agree to this amendment or was it the manufacturers' amendment or was it waste management's amendment?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

Well, the Illinois Environmental Council was not directly involved in negotiations, but I can tell you as a fact, they support...they supported Senate Bill 1925 as introduced and certainly support this compromise amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Zito moves

the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1925. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3, by Senator Zito.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

Thank you, Mr. President and members. Amendment No. 3 removes on-site facilities by adding the language, "The agency may not issue a permit for regional pollution control facility." I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

You're going to tell me the Environmental Council agreed to this amendment, removing on-site facilities?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

...I...I didn't clear each and every amendment. I can tell you that they agreed, I think, to Amendment No. 2. They agreed with my position when we spoke to them that any compromise that was acceptable to the majority would be acceptable to them. I have not run Amendment No. 3 past them but I have negotiated in good faith and to that they said, go ahead.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Well, who proposed the amendment? Who...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

In answer to the illustrious Senator's question, the Illinois Municipal League, among others, introduced and asked for the amendment. During the negotiation process I felt that it was something again to move this bill in the passage stage we would accept; hence, I am offering Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Zito moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1925. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senator Keats, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR KEATS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I have some local editor who doesn't know the difference between hazardous waste and garbage, so he thinks I have conflicts of interest. So, I will say, I didn't have a conflict of interest on the bill, but I did vote Present or vote my conscience appropriately. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

That's...standing up. Senate Bill...for what purpose Senator Jones arise?

SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Mr. President. Like leave to add Senator Berman and Senator Luft as a joint sponsor on Senate Bill 2051.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Jones seeks leave of the Body to...add Senator Berman and Senator Luft as hyphenated cosponsors of Senate Bill 2051. You've heard the motion. Is leave granted? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. On the Order of

Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1931, Senator Sangmeister. Senate Bill 1945, Senator DeAngelis. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1945.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

We skipped...Senator Sangmeister is back on the Floor. On Senate Bill 1931, Senator Sangmeister. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1931.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Sangmeister.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. What Amendment No. 1 does is a result of discussion with judges from Cook County and throughout the State that because of the...number of DWI cases that are now coming before the Judiciary because of our change in the law, there's a necessity and a need for more associate judges throughout the State of Illinois. What this amendment would do would be to, in effect, add ninety, you would then take off the forty-one

permissive judges that are already there which would leave a net increase of forty-nine judges across the State of Illinois. If anybody is interested, I can give you the breakdown; if not, I'd move for adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. A question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Senator, as this bill was introduced, I think that it raised...increased the number of judges in Will County from eleven to sixteen and...or twelve to sixteen, I'm not sure exactly of the numbers. I think you're increasing...by the amendment you're also increasing the number of circuit judges in two other counties, are you not, and if so, what are the counties and how many?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Well, number one, we're increasing only associate judges, not circuit judges. Okay? And we are throughout all of the...not all of the circuits but almost all of circuits throughout the State of Illinois...the breakout is a...a change of ninety both...that would...the permissives would, obviously, then by the Supreme Court be taken away which leave forty-nine altogether...increase.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Well, I had the impression that you were only affecting three counties, Will County, DuPage County and Cook. Am I mistaken in that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGHEISTER:

Those are the only three single circuit counties that are affected. We are affecting some sixteen different circuits with this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Somewhere I saw some numbers that indicate that in circuits of a million or more you're going to have one judge for each twenty-five thousand people. Is that right?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGHEISTER:

One associate judge, that's correct. The formula is changed and the result of that will be a...a plus of fifty-four, take away the permissives of twenty-two, would leave a net of thirty-four for Cook County.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Okay. Just...just one more question, Senator. I have some problems with this, I guess partly stemming from the stories that I hear from attorneys in my district that the judges aren't really working full-time schedules now and that they can't find a judge when they need one and...and they're not in the courthouses, and...I guess I'm wondering why we're doing this when criticisms like that seem to be pretty common throughout the State.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGHEISTER:

Senator Darrow thinks you ought to talk to him about

that. He has some feelings on whether judges are needed or not. Anyhow, you know, in any profession, whether it be the medical, the legal, accounting, whatever it is, there's always some grumbling people, but because of the legislation that we passed here, I've been advised by the Judiciary that these additional judges are needed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schuneman. That's all? Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he will.

SENATOR HALL:

Senator Sangmeister, I'm very interested. I mean, where are these judges going? What about down in my area? How many are...are listed there?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

I believe you are in the twentieth circuit and by my figures you get an additional associate judge.

SENATOR HALL:

How many...how many judges are you going to put here? How many are you adding?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

There is a total of one for your Judicial circuit, okay? The net result after you remove the permissive judges would be a total of forty-nine new judges.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Out of forty-nine new judges, we only get one?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

That's the way the formula works out. I mean, it's based on population, Senator, and down...down in your area, I presume...what other districts have you got? Have you got...outside of the twentieth, what other circuit have you got?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Well, what...what I'm trying to say is how did the formula...who drew up the formula? Who decided that formula...who decided that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

It was worked out through the Chicago court system in...in consultation with other chief judges throughout the State of Illinois.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Well, you know, I...I support Chicago on a lot of things, but it's awful strange now that when we have such a large concentration of people it's worked out with Chicago. What I want to know is if we're getting our fair share. I noticed down there that...we have a problem down there, I know we need...but if you're going to put that many on, I think we're entitled to more than one.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he will.

SENATOR PHILIP:

And I...I...wasn't sure what you said, Senator. Are you adding ninety new associate judges Statewide? If you are, how many does Cook County get?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Senator Philip, the breakdown is, there would be a net change of ninety; however, presently in the State of Illinois, there are forty-one permissive judges which...which the Supreme Court gives where they feel that circuit has the need for additional associate judges. Those permissive judges would obviously fall by the wayside, so the net would be forty-nine. Now as far as Cook County is concerned, you would have fifty-four changes there, take away their twenty-two permissive, you'd end up with thirty-four plus. In your circuit, which is the eighteenth, there would be fourteen, take away four permissive, they would have a net of ten in your Judicial district.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Hey, I'm not through.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

I said Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Oh, I'm sorry. I...I've got a lot of kibitzing and coaching here behind me. Now, you're telling me that the Eighteenth Judicial Circuit is going to get two...ten new associate judges?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

That is correct as compared to Cook County's...thirty-four.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

You certainly are generous, Senator, I will tell you that...how many judges work on Wednesdays? Can you find any judges there after two o'clock in the afternoon? You've got to be kidding me. What do you pay them, George? Tell us what you pay them and what their fringes are. I'd like to know.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Well, I've been advised that the associate judges are at sixty-eight thousand dollars. Now, you know, you come into a philosophical question as to what a judge is worth. The bench and particularly the bar has been concerned about many people leaving the bench, even the Federal bench, to go back into the practice of law because they can't make that kind of money, and if you want to have qualified judges on the bench, just like if you want qualified teachers in your schools, you're going to have to pay them.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Well, I...I will tell you one thing, the average...and I...I'm in a very affluent area and very high-priced lawyers. The average lawyer in my county doesn't make anywhere near sixty...sixty-eight thousand dollars a year plus fringe benefits, and I will tell you one...one thing, downstate it's even worse, and I can't believe that anybody would come in and say that we need ten associate...new judges in DuPage

County. I haven't had any judge call me and make that request. I haven't talked to anybody about it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator, was that a question? Senator Philip. Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Yeah, how about trading ten new...Senators for DuPage County and I will give you the ten associate judges.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

That sounded like a statement. Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, just briefly, Mr. President and members of the Senate. How many new judges will we get in Madison County, Senator Sangmeister?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

In your circuit, under the formula, you would get an additional associate judge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Now, we're about...either the second or third largest county in the State and I wondered if Senator Philip would transfer some of his down there to Madison County.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator...Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, I was cut off. Would that be possible with an amendment to put two or three down in Madison County that Philip doesn't want?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Why don't you go over and talk to Senator Philip about that and work out a trade. I...it doesn't make that much difference to me.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator...Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Yeah, I just wanted to clear...Sam, I'll tell what's happening. We used to transfer some downstate judges into Cook County but they're too damned conservative, they never liked it. You want us to send all of those liberals from Cook County down into St. Clair and Madison, I don't think you'd like it at all.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Sangmeister may close.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Well, as I indicated to you, this is needed because of legislation that we've passed here. I mean, the DWI's which, of course, the associate judges handle have been piling up at an alarming rate. I've been advised by the Judiciary that this is needed. Obviously, every time you compare what Cook County is going to get, you got to remember the population and the difference, so this is all based on a population ratio which I think is perfectly fair. I think the additional judges are needed and I think we ought to adopt the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sangmeister moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1931. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. A roll call has been requested. Those who wish to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1931 will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Yeas are 18, the Nays are 35, 2 voting

Present. Amendment No. 1 having failed to receive the majority vote is declared lost. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1940...Senator Jones, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Mr. President. Leave to have Senator Lemke added as a hyphenated sponsor on Senate Bill 2051.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Jones has made a motion to have Senator Lemke added as a hyphenated cosponsor to Senate Bill 2051. Is leave granted? Hearing no objection,...Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

No objection, Mr. President, but I'd like to be added as a hyphenated cosponsor myself.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

...you've heard that motion. Hearing no objection, Senators Lemke and Senator Watson added as hyphenated cosponsors to Senate Bill 2051. Senate Bill 1946, Senator DeAngelis. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1946.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Public Health, Welfare and Corrections offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. The Committee Amendment No. 1 pretty much deletes most of the bill, it does keep a few things in, but I would like to advise the Body that I'm going to be moving to 3rd. There is still negotiations going on by

the various departments. It will be moved back to 2nd if there's an agreement; if not, the bill will die on the Calendar. Move for the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator DeAngelis moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1946. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No...Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

I...I would just like to make a comment and then I want to be recorded No on this amendment. One of the things this amendment does and...and correct me if I'm wrong and it's possible, that by this amendment you delete the requirement that the Department of Public Health would have to inform complainants that they're not required to give their names and addresses when reporting a complaint. That is currently the law. The department must inform complainants that it...that it's not necessary that they give their name and address when these nursing home residents file a complaint. You are now deleting that requirement from the law which allows these nursing home residents to feel safe and secure in making a complaint.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Senator Lechowicz...Senator Marovitz, I'm sorry. Well, big Ted was blocking the view there. I'm going to get an answer to your question, but the...the question is academic. The bill is not going to be moved the way it's amended.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Well, then are we leaving it on 2nd reading?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Senator Lechowicz, in my close, I said the bill was going to be moved to 3rd and if it stays the way it is, it will die on the Calendar. If there's acceptable compromise, it will be moved back to 2nd on a recall and the agreed amendments will be put on and then it'll be put back to 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

...one last question. Would one of those agreed amendments...and I'm not even sure what the nature of those negotiations are, but would one of those agreed amendments remove this deletion requirement from the Department of Public Health that you have via this amendment? Is that one of the things?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

I don't know but if it does, we can duke it out at that time.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Well, I...I...Senator DeAngelis is a man of his word and I'd hold him at his word. I would just like to be recorded No on this amendment, clearly, because I don't believe that this requirement should be deleted and, therefore, I would ask for a roll call vote just so I can be recorded No.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Marovitz...Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Well, I want to caution Senator Marovitz, the bill does it, the amendment doesn't. The thing that you're concerned

SB 1957
3rd Reading

about, the bill did it; the amendment doesn't, 'cause the amendment deletes most of the bill. So, you may want to be recorded as a Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator DeAngelis moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1946. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1957, Senator Friedland. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

END OF REEL

REEL #5

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1957.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Friedland.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Friedland.

SENATOR FRIEDLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 1957 adds to the definition of retail theft the offense of attempting to return merchandise for refund or credit when the merchandise was not originally paid for. The bill passed committee quite well and, you may recall, last week there was another amendment that I offered which was...in error and we subsequently withdrew that and staff has met in the interim and prepared another amendment endorsed by the Illinois Retail Merchant's Association which expands the definition of criminal trespass to land to include retail establishments and other commercial entities under the Act. Currently, state's attorneys in some jurisdictions are reluctant to prosecute a case where someone has entered a commercial establishment, remained on the premises after notice that the establishment was closing as was subsequently apprehended on those premises. Additionally, it increases this to a Class A misdemeanor and I'd urge your support of this fine amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Friedland moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1957. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1961, Senator D'Arco. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1961.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Elections offers two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Thank you, Mr. President. What we are about to do is attempt to change the Statute regarding election Statewide contests. As you know, the Supreme Court decided that the old law was null and void, and this is an attempt to put back into the Statute a mechanism in case there is an election Statewide contest for any of the Statewide elected offices. Amendment No. 1 sets up a procedure whereby the Supreme Court is given full jurisdiction over the contest. It sets up a mechanism for people to petition the...the...petition the Supreme Court in the event of a contest. There is a long list of procedural devices that are incorporated within Amendment No. 1. I will reiterate those if anybody decides to ask me a question on the issue. At this point, I would ask to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1961.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, very much. As minority spokesman, I'd like the...my side of the aisle to know that these have been run by our legal staff and they are acceptable.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Thank you. Senator D'Arco moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1961. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 2 deals with a situation in which if it is decided that the Supreme Court cannot have original jurisdiction over the matter, then they would still be able to administer the election procedure in case there is a contest. And it really amounts to a severability amendment in...in the sense that it still gives them administrative powers inherent in their office to provide for the procedure in the event that they do not have original jurisdiction. And I would ask to adopt Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1961.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator D'Arco moves to adopt...Committee Amendment No. 2. Question...discussion? Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, very much. This, too, is acceptable to our legal staff and I would suggest we all vote Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator D'Arco has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1961. Further discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No...Committee Amendment No. 2 is

adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further...no further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Collins.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins. Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

No, I...I think she wants that on recall in case certain things don't happen.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Collins seeks leave to withdraw that amendments. All right, withdraw it. Further amendments? Senator Collins, you just want to hold your amendment or you want to withdraw your amendment or...all right, she withdrew the amendment. Senate...Senate bills 2nd reading is Senate Bill 1985, Mr. Secretary. All right, 1961 is moved to 3rd reading. Senate Bill...now, on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1985, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1985.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 2008. Senate bills 2nd reading is Senate Bill 2-0-0-8, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 2008.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Judiciary II offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Mr. Secretary, before I proceed, is...this is the only amendment, there is no Floor amendment?

SECRETARY:

No...no Floor amendment.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Okay. This is...Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2008 is a purely technical amendment in nature. It amends some problems in...interpretation that LRB found regarding some phrases in the...in the bill, it makes no substantive change whatsoever, and I would ask for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2008. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 2051, Senator Jones. Senator Jones on the Floor? All right, with leave...let's...let's leave, we'll come back to it. Senate...2074, Senator Marovitz. 2151, Senator Savickas. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2151, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 2151.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

3rd reading. Page 9, 2169, Senator Luft. 2190, Senator Barkhausen. Senate bills 2nd reading, page 9, is Senate Bill 2190. Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 2190.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Barkhausen.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Barkhausen on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Mr. President and members, Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2190 is...well, the bill itself and the amendment are part of the Medicaid fraud package and the bill has bipartisan sponsorship. The amendment is an attempt on our part to expedite hearings in cases where there is evidence that...evidence coming to the attention of...of various code departments, mainly, the Department of Public Aid but also Public Health and Mental Health that...that where those departments uncover evidence that an immediate danger is posed to the public and where there is evidence that Medicaid providers are taking advantage of the system and...and defrauding the...the public

and the taxpayers that then those departments will be required to immediately notify the Department of Registration and Education of this evidence, and the Department of R & E will then be...be required to hold immediate hearings. I don't know that there is anything to prevent this kind of cooperation now between these various code departments and Registration and Education which actually does the...the licensing in the first instance and the suspension or revocation of licenses in instances of this kind, but it's a...it's a directive on our part to these departments to cooperate more closely and we hope to bring this result about by virtue of this legislation. I would urge the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Barkhausen moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2190. Discussion? Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 2194. With leave of the Body, Senator Watson has requested leave to handle that for Senator Dawson. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2194, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 2194.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

SB 2210
2nd reading

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 2202, Senator Topinka. Senator Topinka on the Floor? 2210, Senator Rock. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2210, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 2210.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 2260, Senator Luft. Senator Luft on the Floor? Senate Bill 2263, Senator Luft. 2265, Senator Luft. Senator Kustra,...what...what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Mr...thank you, Mr. President. I request leave to be added as the chief sponsor of House Bill 3477. I've spoken with Senator Marovitz and he has agreed to that and I would like him to join me as a hyphenated cosponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. You've heard the request of Senator Kustra to be the principal sponsor of House Bill 3477, hyphenated with Senator Marovitz. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. So ordered. With leave of the Body, we'll go to the Order of Resolutions. Resolutions, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

The following resolutions are all congratulatory.

908, Senator Sangmeister.

909, Senator Hall.

910, Senator Hall.

911, Senator Woodyard.

912, Senator Woodyard.

913, Senators Philip, Rock and Lechowicz.

914, Senator Jones.

915, Senator Donahue.

916, Senator Jones.

917, Senator Darrow.

918, Senator Dunn.

919, Senator Zito.

And Senate Joint Resolution 158, Senator Woodyard, it's congratulatory.

And Senate Resolution 920, by Senators Davidson, Sommer and all Senators and it's a death resolution.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Consent Calendar.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 920 offered by Senator Demuzio.

Senate Resolution 921 offered by Senator Demuzio.

Senate Resolution 922, by Senator Geo-Karis, Etheredge and Lechowicz.

And Senate Joint Resolution 159, by Senators Holmberg and DeAngelis.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Executive. Senator Schuneman, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I was off the Floor a few minutes ago when Senate Bills 2260, 2263 and 65 were called. I am the hyphenated cosponsor of those bills. Could...could we take those bills up at this time? We've been waiting several days to...to take up that order of business, Mr. President, and...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

...I...I appreciate your concern...

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

...to debate this issue and we've been prepared for a long time. We'd like to...we'd like to get to that order of business.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, under our rules, we...we...we've never afforded that opportunity without leave. Senator Rupp, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR RUPP:

Thank you, Mr. President. A Republican Caucus immediately, Senator Pate's Office.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Vadalabene, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Democratic Caucus immediately in Senator Rock's Office.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rupp, you have any...

SENATOR RUPP:

Thirty minutes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

212.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

212 for the Senate Democratic Caucus. Senator Rupp in Senator Philip's Office and Senator Rupp indicates about thirty minutes. So the Senate will reconvene at six o'clock. All right. The Senate will stand in Recess till the hour of six.

RECESS

AFTER RECESS

PRESIDENT:

The Senate will come to order. Senator Savickas, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Mr. President, I rise for the purpose of moving that we adjourn tonight until ten o'clock tomorrow morning.

PRESIDENT:

All right. You've heard the motion to adjourn until ten o'clock tomorrow. All in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The Senate stands adjourned, ten o'clock tomorrow morning.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, the independent colleges asked me to remind everyone that they're having their reception at the...Ramada Renaissance...the Senators are all invited to be there. Thank you.

03/15/88
11:14

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SENATE
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

MAY 20, 1986

HB-0547	FIRST READING	PAGE	3
HB-1130	FIRST READING	PAGE	3
HB-1155	FIRST READING	PAGE	3
HB-1245	FIRST READING	PAGE	3
HB-1321	FIRST READING	PAGE	3
HB-2060	FIRST READING	PAGE	3
HB-2237	FIRST READING	PAGE	3
HB-2573	FIRST READING	PAGE	3
HB-2576	FIRST READING	PAGE	3
HB-2577	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-2622	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-2708	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-2720	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-2726	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-2735	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-2838	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-2876	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-2891	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-2893	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-3079	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-3097	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-3178	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-3181	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-3266	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-3267	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-3424	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-3425	FIRST READING	PAGE	5
HB-3477	FIRST READING	PAGE	5
HB-3529	FIRST READING	PAGE	5
HB-3532	FIRST READING	PAGE	5
HB-3533	FIRST READING	PAGE	5
HB-3549	FIRST READING	PAGE	5
HB-3599	FIRST READING	PAGE	5
SB-1491	SECOND READING	PAGE	142
SB-1519	SECOND READING	PAGE	7
SB-1520	RECALLED	PAGE	119
SB-1562	SECOND READING	PAGE	11
SB-1589	RECALLED	PAGE	104
SB-1602	SECOND READING	PAGE	11
SB-1603	SECOND READING	PAGE	12
SB-1604	SECOND READING	PAGE	13
SB-1605	SECOND READING	PAGE	14
SB-1606	SECOND READING	PAGE	15
SB-1607	SECOND READING	PAGE	17
SB-1608	RECALLED	PAGE	121
SB-1609	SECOND READING	PAGE	18
SB-1611	SECOND READING	PAGE	20
SB-1624	SECOND READING	PAGE	141
SB-1626	SECOND READING	PAGE	102
SB-1627	SECOND READING	PAGE	21
SB-1628	SECOND READING	PAGE	22
SB-1639	SECOND READING	PAGE	23
SB-1698	SECOND READING	PAGE	24
SB-1700	SECOND READING	PAGE	145
SB-1709	RECALLED	PAGE	127
SB-1716	SECOND READING	PAGE	150
SB-1734	SECOND READING	PAGE	25
SB-1736	SECOND READING	PAGE	26
SB-1737	SECOND READING	PAGE	27
SB-1738	SECOND READING	PAGE	28
SB-1739	SECOND READING	PAGE	31
SB-1740	SECOND READING	PAGE	32
SB-1741	SECOND READING	PAGE	35
SB-1742	SECOND READING	PAGE	40
SB-1743	SECOND READING	PAGE	44

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SENATE
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

MAY 20, 1986

SB-1744	SECOND READING	PAGE	46
SB-1745	SECOND READING	PAGE	47
SB-1746	SECOND READING	PAGE	47
SB-1747	SECOND READING	PAGE	48
SB-1748	SECOND READING	PAGE	49
SB-1749	SECOND READING	PAGE	50
SB-1750	SECOND READING	PAGE	52
SB-1751	SECOND READING	PAGE	53
SB-1752	SECOND READING	PAGE	57
SB-1753	SECOND READING	PAGE	64
SB-1754	SECOND READING	PAGE	66
SB-1755	SECOND READING	PAGE	68
SB-1756	SECOND READING	PAGE	69
SB-1757	SECOND READING	PAGE	70
SB-1758	SECOND READING	PAGE	72
SB-1759	SECOND READING	PAGE	77
SB-1760	SECOND READING	PAGE	79
SB-1761	SECOND READING	PAGE	80
SB-1762	SECOND READING	PAGE	81
SB-1763	SECOND READING	PAGE	82
SB-1764	SECOND READING	PAGE	86
SB-1765	SECOND READING	PAGE	87
SB-1766	SECOND READING	PAGE	87
SB-1767	SECOND READING	PAGE	88
SB-1769	SECOND READING	PAGE	89
SB-1770	SECOND READING	PAGE	89
SB-1771	SECOND READING	PAGE	90
SB-1772	SECOND READING	PAGE	91
SB-1773	SECOND READING	PAGE	92
SB-1774	SECOND READING	PAGE	93
SB-1775	SECOND READING	PAGE	93
SB-1776	SECOND READING	PAGE	94
SB-1777	SECOND READING	PAGE	94
SB-1778	SECOND READING	PAGE	94
SB-1779	SECOND READING	PAGE	95
SB-1798	RECALLED	PAGE	127
SB-1804	RECALLED	PAGE	104
SB-1808	SECOND READING	PAGE	96
SB-1814	SECOND READING	PAGE	97
SB-1814	SECOND READING	PAGE	151
SB-1814	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	97
SB-1815	RECALLED	PAGE	105
SB-1816	RECALLED	PAGE	128
SB-1822	SECOND READING	PAGE	97
SB-1825	SECOND READING	PAGE	97
SB-1826	SECOND READING	PAGE	98
SB-1832	SECOND READING	PAGE	160
SB-1838	SECOND READING	PAGE	161
SB-1841	SECOND READING	PAGE	98
SB-1846	SECOND READING	PAGE	100
SB-1848	RECALLED	PAGE	129
SB-1861	RECALLED	PAGE	106
SB-1875	RECALLED	PAGE	134
SB-1910	SECOND READING	PAGE	163
SB-1912	RECALLED	PAGE	134
SB-1921	RECALLED	PAGE	108
SB-1925	SECOND READING	PAGE	163
SB-1931	SECOND READING	PAGE	177
SB-1934	RECALLED	PAGE	140
SB-1945	SECOND READING	PAGE	177
SB-1946	SECOND READING	PAGE	186
SB-1955	RECALLED	PAGE	137
SB-1957	SECOND READING	PAGE	189
SB-1961	SECOND READING	PAGE	191
SB-1985	SECOND READING	PAGE	193

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SENATE
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

MAY 20, 1986

SB-2006	RECALLED	PAGE	109
SB-2008	SECOND READING	PAGE	193
SB-2025	RECALLED	PAGE	138
SB-2090	RECALLED	PAGE	116
SB-2117	RECALLED	PAGE	138
SB-2117	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	139
SB-2145	SECOND READING	PAGE	101
SB-2151	SECOND READING	PAGE	194
SB-2154	RECALLED	PAGE	112
SB-2156	SECOND READING	PAGE	101
SB-2157	RECALLED	PAGE	112
SB-2162	RECALLED	PAGE	139
SB-2190	SECOND READING	PAGE	195
SB-2194	SECOND READING	PAGE	196
SB-2200	RECALLED	PAGE	140
SB-2207	RECALLED	PAGE	113
SB-2210	SECOND READING	PAGE	197
SB-2280	RECALLED	PAGE	114
SB-2292	RECALLED	PAGE	115
SB-2293	RECALLED	PAGE	115
SR-0903	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	2
SR-0904	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	2
SR-0905	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	2
SR-0906	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	2
SR-0907	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	2
SR-0908	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	197
SR-0909	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	197
SR-0910	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	197
SR-0911	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	197
SR-0912	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	198
SR-0913	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	198
SR-0914	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	198
SR-0915	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	198
SR-0916	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	198
SR-0917	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	198
SR-0918	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	198
SR-0919	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	198
SR-0920	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	198
SR-0920	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	198
SR-0921	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	198
SR-0922	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	198
SJR-0157	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	2
SJR-0158	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	198
SJR-0159	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	198

SUBJECT MATTER

SENATE TO ORDER - PRESIDENT ROCK	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND JACK GLEN	PAGE	1
JOURNAL - APPROVED	PAGE	1
JOURNALS - POSTPONED	PAGE	1
MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE	PAGE	1
INTRO. OF GUEST - SEN. LUFT	PAGE	6
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	200