84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY REGULAR SESSION

May 20, 1985

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The hour of noon having arrived, the Senate will come to order. Our chaplain for today is Father John...Spreen, pastor of the Church of the Little Flower, Springfield. All please rise.

FATHER SPREEN:

(Prayer given by Father Spreen)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Reading of the Journal. Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Thank you. Mr. President, I move that the reading and approval of the Journals of Tuesday, May 7th; Wednesday, May 8th; Thursday, May 9th; Tuesday, May 14th and Wednesday, May 15th; Thursday, May 16th and Friday, May 17th, in the year 1985, be postponed pending arrival of the printed Journals. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

You've heard the motion. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Messages from the House.

SECRETARY:

Message from the House, by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I'm directed to inform the Senate the House of Representatives has passed bills with the following title, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

343, 354, 381, 393, 479, 508, 578, 608, 851, 856, 857, 900, 921, 943, 949, 964, 975, 990, 992, 1008, 1016, 1035, 1042, 1067, 1267, 1269, 1355, 1392, 1566, 1648, 1957 and 2088.

Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I'm directed to inform the Senate the House of Representatives has adopted the following joint resolutions, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

House Joint Resolutions 26, House Joint Resolu-

tion 27 and House Joint Resolution 28.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Executive. Resolutions.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution ...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

For what purpose Senator Chew arise?

SENATOR CHEW:

Would you permit the record to show that Senator Margaret

Smith is out today because of death in her family?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The record will so indicate.

SENATOR CHEW:

Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 245 offered by Senator Lemke, it's congratulatory.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Consent Calendar. House bills 1st.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 344, Senator Barkhausen is the Senate sponsor.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 496, Senator Karpiel.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 497, Senator Donahue.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 873, Senator D'Arco.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 880, Senator Degnan.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 899, Senator Weaver.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 951, Senator Jerome Joyce.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1363, Senator Darrow.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1364, Senator Darrow.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1365, Senator Darrow.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1366, Senator Darrow.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1393, Senator Sangmeister.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1438, Senators Collins and Newhouse.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1930, Senator Donahue.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1971, Senator Topinka.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2310, Senator Darrow.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2523, Senator Weaver.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st reading of the foregone bills.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DENUZIO)

Senator...Topinka, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yeah, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, just a point of personal privilege. I come from a very nice ethnic area where we have some very exceptionally fine Bohemian bakeries, and today I brought down with me from the Charles Fingerhut Bakeries some Bohemian Kolacky which are little fruit tarts and our Pages, if you don't mind, will pass at least one out to everyone as far as they can go and this includes the staff people and the people in the Well, so

even you can have one. And they're...they're floating around and they're very, very good and they make your hair curl and you're going to feel wonderful.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator...Senator Berman decided not to have one until you said that...with leave of the Body, we will go to the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading. Senator Carroll, for what purpose do you arise? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. If we may, by way of ... I guess announcement, after discussion with the minority spokesman on the other side of the aisle and leadership, the hope is that we can move through the appropriation bills on 2nd reading dealing with the adoption of the committee amendments. There are a number of Floor amendments that are either filed or apparently to be filed. It was the suggestion of all that we ask the membership to forbear on Floor amendments until we have allowed Enrolling and Engrossing to deal with the committee amendments. All the Floor amendments have been drafted as if the committee amendments have been adopted anyway, so that E & G would have to them transcribe the amendments. The sponsors...will agree, we hope, to then bring the bills back to allow the opportunity of Floor amendment. This will allow us, we believe, to save a legislative day in dealing with the appropriation bills. It is a voluminous task to incorporate the...the committee amendments into the bills, and absent that, if we have to delay, there could be a significant problem getting out of here on time this week. So if we have the approbation of the membership, we would suggest that as the course of conduct and then, either, sometime...my guess is tomorrow, but after Senator Rock's decision to bring them back after discussion with Senator Philip, my guess is it will not be late today but rather tomorrow to let E & G then get its functioning done. With that caveat, Senator Bloom, Senator Sommer, Senator Hall and I would make that suggestion, Mr. Chairman...Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senate bills 2nd reading, Senate Bill 15. Senator D'Arco on the Floor? Senator D'Arco on the Floor? Senator D'Arco on the Floor? Senate Bill 61, Senator Dawson. With leave...is there leave of the Body to have Senator Carroll handle Senate Bill 61? Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 61, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 61.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill...whoop. All right. With...with leave of the Body, we will return Senate Bill 61 to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purpose of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted? On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 61, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This committee amendment reduces the request from five million to seventy-four thousand eight hundred and

Me 3rd rations

seventy dollars, the amount felt necessary at this point to do a study to see whether or not there should be this engineering program. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 61. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 134, Senator Carroll. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Mr. Secretary, Senate Bill 134. Read the bill, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 134.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 145, Senator Carroll. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd reading is Senate Bill 145, Mr. Secretary, read the bill, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 145.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 167, Senator Carroll. Senator...Senate bills 2nd reading is Senate Bill 167, Mr. Secretary, read the bill, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 167.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 173, Senator Schaffer. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 173, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 173.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I
offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment...this was the supplemental bill and this amendment, hopefully, deleted all of those supplementals that we've already dealt with. There will be Floor amendments later, and I would move the adoption of the committee

Page 8 - May 20, 1985

3013 Repaired

amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No....No. 1 to Senate Bill 173. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 175, Senator Hall. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 175, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 175.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 220, Senator Marovitz. 226, Senator Savickas. With leave of the Body...on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 226. Is there leave to have Senator Carroll handle that bill for Senator Savickas? Leave is granted. 226, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 226.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senator Fawell, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR FAWELL:

I thought there was supposed to be a Floor amendment added to that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Let me repeat again, Senator Fawell, we have asked all sponsors of Floor amendments to withhold them momentarily so that we can put on the committee amendments and deal with all Floor amendments at another point in time. Okay?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell, that was an agreement that struck...on both sides. Senate Bill 253, Senator Karpiel. Well,...hold...hold on a minute. All right. Senator, we've agreed just to handle the appropriation's bills for the...for the time being but we will come back to it. 306, is there leave to have Senator Carroll handle that bill?...leave is granted. Senate Bill 306, Mr. Secretary, read the bill. SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 306.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of I think maybe what we should do for the sake of Senate. understanding, clarity and time later identify what has been proposed as the Senate quidelines that have been adopted by both committees in areas of general State Government. have continued in this amendment to fund compensation for State employees at an increase of six and a half percent. have suggested a careful review of all new positions, eliminating many of the new programs on the theory that the suggestion for the budget was an increase of some eleven percent over last year's budget at a time when the cost of living rose about four percent, and that eleven percent growth in government was slightly too much to ask the taxpayers to pay for. We have suggested that in new hirings that were approved that we phase them in on a three-month basis, that we deal with long-term vacancies, if they are identical in position, we take out the new, and if not, we phase them in on a four-month vacancy basis. We looked with askew and concern on any new or expanding programs under the same and apply the normal two and a half percent turnover in hiring lag. In the area of Commodities, Telecommunications, Automobiles, et cetera, after a careful study of the guidelines proposed by Central Management Systems and by chase econometrics as to the operation of State Government, it was decided that the cost of living type approach of four percent was a reasonable and acceptable standard for growth in bills in various agencies. We have suggested inasmuch as...most agencies do in fact lapse significant amount of money, and repeating again, that the budget requested eleven percent growth that we have a one percent productivity factor...many of you may remember that last year's was two percent, that we have one percent productivity factor applied to all agencies in effect taking back that which they would probably lapse anyway. In addition, we have suggested that

the funding for Retirement be increased as a...a token amount to recognize their obligation to go back to full funding. And we are suggesting sixty-five percent of payout as opposed to sixty percent, on the theory that the one year borrowing down the sixty has now been four years, and it's time that we move back towards making sure this system does not become what social security has become and that is a bankrupt system. There are, I believe, in the General Assembly some other proposals on that that we at least pay into the system that which the employees are paying. This, in fact, is a more moderate approach and says, let's move a step back towards realistic funding, which is the payout level, so that we can deal with the unfunded liability and moves it merely five percent in that direction. With that caveat, Mr. President, so that in the future hopefully we can refer to this as the guidelines amendment, I would move adoption of Amendment No. I which is in fact the guidelines imposed on the Auditor General.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No...Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 306. Those in favor will signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No...Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments? SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 308, Senator Schaffer. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 308, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 308.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I

offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

...Senate, this changes the funding...the...to the Boating Act funds for conservation. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll...any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 308. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments...no further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 342, Senator...is there leave to have Senator Carroll handle that for Senator Demuzio? Leave is granted. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 342, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 342.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I would move the adoption of Committee Amendment No.

1 which is in fact the guidelines amendment and would move

Page 13 - May 20, 1935

its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Any discussion? If not, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 342. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No...further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any...amendments from the floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Page 3. Senate Bill 356, Senator Carroll. With leave of the...with leave of the Body, is there leave to have Senator Carroll handle that for Senator Rock? Leave is granted. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 356, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 356.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II
offers three amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Mr...thank you, Mr, President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll, I understand that Senator...Senator

SENATOR CARROLL:

Oh, I'm sorry.

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is just the BHE appropriation to reflect the amount included in the Governor's allocation. Also...that's all on that one...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator...Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. ! to Senate Bill 356. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen. This reduces the Personal Service request from 26.0 to reflect a 6.5 salary increase for administrative and professional staff and a one percent reduction for hiring and turnover the same employees groups. I ask...move for adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Any discussion? Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. In this reduction, I...intend to stand to try to fight off any of these reductions on all systems. Basically, we are reducing a class of personnel who have been held down in previous years, in the last eight years having averaged about 5.3 percent increase, whereby all other categories, faculty, civil service have all surpassed them by at least one and a half points in...and sometimes two

points. This increase is really 2.3...below the CPI. So I think that we're...we're discriminating against a class of employees in higher education that I just don't think we want to do. So, I would oppose this amendment and hope that there would be a roll call vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Carroll.
SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen οf the Senate. Let me just respond to the comments of the last speaker and discuss what this issue appears to be about. have suggested that State employees receive a six and a half percent increase. We have suggested that in the area of higher education that teachers, faculty and the lower employees needed some catch-up. We have suggested that the last couple of years. We have therefore gone with an approach that says that those same people, faculty and the...what's known as the lower end, the civil service employees, would in fact get such increases as to amount eight percent, starting July 1, which means a higher and faster salary increase than anyone else in government. Οn the other hand, in the issue of administrators, where in fact they are receiving some fifty percent higher already in all categories, and I will repeat that, fifty percent higher than the average for a State employee, we just felt eight percent isn't necessary beginning July 1st, because it not catch-up. And let me suggest to you the type of people are in fact harmed by this. The average salary in the university system is...in administrative lines is in excess thirty thousand dollars, whereas in the State system it is twenty thousand dollars; and we're saying to those who work for the State, six and a half percent is enough, yet, we are saying, if you happen to be in the university, even though you are beyond your equivalent, we're going to have to give you more. Let me say, also, the people that Senator Weaver is concerned about, the head of the Board of Higher Education, Richard Wagner, would only go to eighty-two thousand six hundred dollars and he wants eighty-three thousand eight hundred dollars. Ken Shaw at SIU getting ninety-three thousand seven hundred dollars today is seeking a hundred and one thousand two hundred dollars, and this will cripple him by only giving him ninety-nine thousand eight hundred dollars. Stanley Ikenberry at the University of Illinois now enjoying ninety-nine thousand seven hundred is seeking a hundred and seven thousand seven hundred, but will only receive at six and a half percent a hundred and six thousand two hundred dollars. William Monat goes from seventy-six thousand to eighty thousand nine hundred, wanted eighty-two thousand one Tom Layzell, eighty thousand to eighty-five two, wanted eighty-six four. If you would like, I can go through the rest of the presidents of each of these schools ...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, Senator... Senator Carroll, this ...

SENATOR CARROLL:

Oh, they find it illuminating maybe.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

The...the board is illuminating.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Okay. At the Governor's system, the presidents get seventy thousand four hundred; this amendment would give them seventy-five thousand, they want seventy-six thousand. At Regents, the range is from current seventy-seven two down to sixty-three, they want eighty-three four and we're only giving them eighty-two two. At the U of I they get now ninety-five thousand, these are the heads of the two campuses, they would go to a hundred and one thousand two hundred dollars, and they want a hundred and two thousand six hundred. And at SIU,...they're at seventy-six three, they

want to go to eighty-two four, and we're saying, eighty-one three is sufficient for this year. I would urge support of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator...Senator Bloom...whoop, Senator Weaver, for what purpose do you arise? SENATOR WEAVER:

Well, I hesitate to listen to all this that Senator Carroll is talking about, but he's talking about salaries that are set by the governing boards, the board of trustees, not...not the people who are making twenty and twenty-five thousand dollars a year. It's all well and good to talk about what the president of the various systems gets, but their salaries are set by the governing boards, not by us, they're set by the governing boards. And if Senator Carroll wished to take care of those isolated cases, I'm sure he could find a better a way to do it rather than to hurt the...the people who have been getting less than their co-workers for the last eight years. So, you know, I don't know what he's trying to do...be discriminatory about State employees...if they work for higher education they get one salary and if they work for the State they get another salary. But many of these people...these people we're talking about, there's physicians, there's pharmacists, there's counselors, research assistants, competent people, people that are hard to keep. Industry is offering them more money every day, and to be discriminatory in this matter, I...I think it's terribly grong. So, let's just put things in perspective, Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Bloom. SENATOR BLOOM:

Thank you, Mr. President and fellow Senators. I believe that the statistics cited by Senator Weaver, perhaps,

are...accurate in the...aggregate from 1977 to 1985 within the whole university system, and that's okay as far as goes. I think that it was explained that the decision-making process in the committee was to look at those...those university systems salaries as against the State employees! salaries because after all our responsibilities go beyond just the senior university system and we have to make these kinds of judgment calls for the entire State budget and to a degree it's a balancing act. If memory serves and I'm sure that one of the speakers that come after me will correct me if I'm wrong, but at least on this amendment, it was adopted not by a partisan roll call in committee but by an attendance roll call after a certain extended debate and discussion on exactly what the 6.5 percent meant and what the one percent productivity meant. As I said, unless one of the subsequent speakers corrects me, I believe that we hashed this out the context of the entire budget, not necessarily within the senior university system and that there was some discussion as to when the faculties' salaries phased in within the university system, but the stuff that I do remember is that. I believe, that all members of the committee adopted this kind of formula and approach without a dissenting vote, only reason I make this point is this is the first appropriation bills that comes up and that we address this formula in subsequent bills. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. To build, I guess, perhaps, on what Senator Weaver has...has already brought to the attention of the Body. It's very easy to...to hammer away and enumerate on...on the high salaries of the individuals out of the President's Office, but indeed this reduction touches the

lives of...of many administrators who, my friends in the Senate, are making thirteen and fourteen hundred dollars, thirteen and fourteen hundred dollars, a month with bachelors and masters degree. Admittedly, they are young, but when you're talking about people in the university community have pledged their life to that institution, who really see whatever increase they thought they were going to get cut back when already they are painfully underpaid, simply I think flys in the face of everything that we're doing. personally, Senator Carroll, think we should address perhaps more the...the President's Office, the eight or nine at major universities who are affected there if you're going to a differential salary increase, and then deal with everyone else in a slightly different way. Personally, my own reaction would be to give the universities a...a block of money, let them make the distribution themselves. But nonetheless, people who have committed themselves to higher education making thirteen, fourteen hundred dollars a month, and there are a lot of them out there, in case you didn't know that to see those cut, I believe is just inappropriate at this time. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Purther discussion? Senator Carroll for a second time. SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you. Let me just respond...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

I beg...I beg your pardon...Senator Carroll to close.
SENATOR CARROLL:

Okay. Let me just respond to Senator Maitland and to Senator Weaver and the other members. The average administrative salary in higher ed. is thirty thousand dollars a year. The comparable State figure is twenty thousand dollars a year. We have agreed, in fact, to a six and a half percent pay raise at a time when cost of living was four percent; not a dastardly deed, not a horrible thing, but a six and a half

percent increase significantly above that which the cost of living was. There may be a few employees in higher ed. below thirty, below twenty. There are many more in this building and the buildings surrounding this doing the same work for significantly less dollars, who we will be giving a six and a half percent increase to. As we identified before, we asked the other areas of State Government for one percent productivity. The universities told us they couldn't do that. we identified before, we suggested to the rest of State Government that there is a turnover in hiring lag. The universities want all pay raises determined in July 1st even though they don't give them till September. So, in fact. they have significantly more dollars available for raises than even the percentages that we give. Just a few years ago, they allocated all their raises to the administrative personnel denying them to the civil service employees; ten percent raise to administrators one percent to civil service. That's not catch-up. That's not taking care of the people who, in fact, work the system. We are saying here again, I repeat, faculty and the civil service employees, yes, eight percent, but to the higher priced employees of government, the high priced administrators and all of them as a group that...that average thirty thousand dollars a year, significantly higher than the twenty thousand State employees are getting, let them enjoy the same raise that everyone else is getting, and to put it into perspective, a billion three hundred million we are spending on higher education in tax dollars and throughout the system, we asked them to absorb a three million dollar cut. A billion three hundred million being sent to them and we suggested that it be three million dollars less. It is idiotic to believe with the way they play with when they hire and everything else that they cannot live within those terms. I would urge adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Weaver, Senator Carroll was closing. Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Point of personal privilege, then.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

State your point.

SENATOR WEAVER:

There was some figures stated there that are absolutely wrong. There's only been one year in the last eight that administrators received a higher percentage and that was in 1980. No, not 1980, there was not one year. For example, 1978 the administrators five percent, faculty 5.4: '79, administrators 6. 2, faculty 6.8; *80, 6. to 8.5 for faculty; *81, 4 and half, faculty, 4.6; *82, administrative 7.3, faculty 9.3; '83, 1.3 for administrators, 3.2 for faculty; '84, 6.3 for...for administrators, 6.2 for faculty and so Now, I... I don't know where you get all your figures, Senator Carroll, these are from the Board of Higher Education and reflect State...in all systems...in all public supported systems. And one other thing you didn't mention is, they only get ninety-five percent of base which takes care of the traditional turnover and lag time. So I think we...let's talk about apples with apples, not apples and oranges.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Carroll may close for the second time.

SENATOR CARROLL:

All right. Then once again, let's talk about the ninety-five percent base that presumes every raise that every employee who is on board about July 8th, when in fact the faculty got them...the faculty got them September. The union negotiated to get them whenever the union contract comes up, some July, some January. So, in fact, the administrators relate back and presume no absenteeism in employment by

taking it July 1st and taking the dollars for all year, which is different than the faculty and different than the civil service employees, and that's why the effective difference is still there. And I think, again, a three million dollar over-the-board reduction in higher ed's one billion three hundred million is not a lot to ask for if we are going to fund the important programs of State Government.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 356. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. There's been a request for a roll call. Senator Weaver has made a request for a roll call. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 356. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 26, the Nays are 20, none voting Present. The amendment...Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments? SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This committee amendment is to add the Federal Grant dollars of a million four hundred thousand. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 356. Is there any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment...Committee Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments...committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 357, Senator Poshard. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 357, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 357.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II offers four amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The first amendment is the Governor's level, and... PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator....Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 357. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies...Amendment No. 2 deletes the double appropriation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 357. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

It reduces the...Amendment No. 3 reduces administers salary at the 6.5 level.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Any discussion? Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 357. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DENUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Committee Amendment No. 4 is the in-fund Contractual Services and adds thirty-five thousand dollars, move the adoption of committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 357. If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 358, Senator Carroll. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 358, Mr. Secretary, read the bill, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 358.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II
offers three amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 2...Amendment No. 1 reduces it to the Governor's level, and ask the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 358. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Amendment No. 2, since there's a double appropriation, something over in the House and over here too, we have just reduced that, and ask the...the adoption of this Amendment No. 2.

Any...Any discussion? Any discussion? Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 358. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Amendment No. 3 takes care of the administrators salaries at a 6.5 percent.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall...any discussion? Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 358. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 359, Senator Weaver. Senator Weaver, 359? On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 359, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 359.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This...I thought he was calling me. I'm...Amendment No. 1 takes the retirement at sixty-five percent of payout, and I'd move the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Any discussion? Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 359. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Ploor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 360, Senator Davidson. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 360, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 360.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II
offers three amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1...takes care of reducing it to the Governor's level. I move the adoption. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DENUZIO)

Senator Hall...any discussion? Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 360. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Amendment No. 2 is the Build Illinois and it's a double appropriation, the same as others, so we have deleted that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Any discussion? Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 360. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

This is the administrators' salaries at a 7.5 percent, move the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEAUZIO)

All right.

SENATOR HALL:

A 6.5 percent.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 360. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further committee

amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 361, Mr...Senator Etheredge. Senate bills 2nd reading is Senate Bill 361, Mr. Secretary, read the bill, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 361.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This reduces to...I mean, it maintains the Governor's level for the appropriation, and ask adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 361. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Committee Amendment No. 2 is a phasing in of the

employees and move the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 361. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 362, Senator Weaver. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 362, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 362.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers three amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is...keeps it at the Governor's level and move the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 362. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Committee Amendment No. 2 is the Build Illinois double appropriation, so we have deleted that in this also. Move adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 362. If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Committee Amendment No. 3 is the administers' salaries at 6.5 percent.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Hall has moved the adoption of...Committee Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 362. Is there any discussion? Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Just a roll call, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Hall, there's been a request for you to explain Committee Amendment No. 3. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

... Amendment No. 3 is... what it does, it holds the administers' salaries at 6.5 while the others are getting 8., and that's what it does, Senator Jones. That was a discussion we had before while it was on the Floor.

Further discussion? Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 362. Senator Weaver has made a request for a roll call. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? (Machine cutoff)...all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 26, the Nays are 23. Committee...the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 prevails. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 363, Senator Weaver. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 363, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 363.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate...Amendment No. 1 is a reallocation of funds under the university civil service. I'd move the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall has move the adoption of Committee...Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 363. Is there any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Amendment No. 2 is the Senate guidelines and actually moves dollars in that system, so move the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? If not, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 363. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 364, Senator Vadalabene. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 364, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 364-

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers four amendments.

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 keeps the...at the Governor's level of the appropriation, move the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 364. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

...Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Committee Amendment is the Build Illinois double appropriation and it calls for the deletion of this. Move the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Hall has move the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 364. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

... Amendment Nos. 3 is the administrative salaries at...held at a 7....6.5 percent. Move the adoption of this amendment.

Is there any discussion? Senator Hall has moved the adoption of...Committee Amendment No. 3...to Senate Bill 364. Senator Dunn.

SENATOR DUNN:

I'd like a roll call on this please, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OPFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Hall may close. Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 364. Those in favor of the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 25, the Nays are 25, I voting Present. The adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 fails. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Committee Amendment No. 4 is the appropriation for the Rape Crisis Center at SIU, and I † d move the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 364. Those...any discussion? Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Page 4, 383, Senator Poshard. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 383, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 383.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would decrease the appropriation of four hundred thousand, the amount that the Illinois Department of Transportation says that...it would cost to do the study. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 383. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 387, Senator Dawson. Senator Dawson on the Floor? Senator Carroll seeks leave of the Body to handle that for...Senator Dawson. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 387, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 387.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 403, Senator Carroll. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd...2nd Reading is Senate Bill 403, Nr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 403.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 428, Senator Kustra. 428. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 428, Mr. Secretary, read the bill, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 428.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I
offers two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee...Amendment No. 1...and this is the bill

that is to fund the various high school band groups who are going...who are invited to participate in different activities. The policy of the Senate has been to allow up to twenty-five percent of the cost of those trips. The bill in its original, it's Glenbrook South...Committee Amendment No. 1 adds Belleville Netherlands. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Poshard. Your light is on. All right. Senator...Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 428. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a like amendment for Danville, I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? If not, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 428. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 449...449? All right. Senator Carroll seeks leave of the Body to handle Senate Bill 449 for Senator Rock. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 449, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 449.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 450, Senator Dudycz...with leave...is there leave to have Senator Sommer handle that for Senator Dudycz? Leave is granted. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 450, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 450.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. WAND-TV has requested permission to shoot the proceedings. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senate Bill 451, Senator Sommer...on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 451, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 451.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The...Appropriations I Committee offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Mr. Secretary, I believe there were two committee amendments. Is that correct? Two committee amendments on that one. I'll explain Amendment No. 1 while you're looking.

SECRETARY:

Okay.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Committee Amendment No. 1 is the Senate guidelines for the operations of Central Management. We found that in some instances they were over their own guidelines, so we tailed them down to the guidelines that they has established, and I would move adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of a...Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 451. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, we don't have a copy here, Senator Carroll. All right. Further committee amendments? Turn...turn your mike on, Kenny. Further committee amendments?

220221.....

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

Page 41 - May 20, 1985

How 2md Reading

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Both sides agree, this was adopted in committee. This is an amendment that deals with the issue of lease purchase construction of real property. In our review of the various agencies of State Government we determined there was a lack of a concrete plan or game plan on when to lease, when to lease purchase, when to purchase and when to construct. We have directed the Department of Central Management Services to conduct such a study, reporting back to us by October 1 of this year. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 451. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 452, Senator Sommer. I'm sorry...all right. Are there any Floor amendments on 451? SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 452. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 452, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate... Senate Bill 452.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment, I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No...No. 1 to Senate Bill 452. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Senate...Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 453, Senator Sommer. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 453, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 453.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I
offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is on the CDB new projects bill, a technical clean-up amendment, no dollar impact. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 453. Is there any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Ploor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 454, Senator Scmmer. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 454, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 454.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 in the area of constitutional officers of which is the...this is the first that we are dealing with. We did not do the productivity amendment but did apply as we have in the past the turnover in hiring lag, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. I to Senate Bill 454. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes

have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Page 5. 455, Senator Sommer. Top of page 5, on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 455, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

END OF REEL

REEL #2

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 445.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment is a very modest usage of the Senate guidelines for the Department of Insurance. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 445. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 456, Senator Karpiel. 4-5-6.

On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 456,

Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 456.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This as one of the smaller agencies has a very modest imposition of the Senate guidelines. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll have moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 456. Is there any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 457, Senator Karpiel. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 457, Mr. Secretary, read the bill, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate BI11 457.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the imposition of the Senate guidelines. I would answer questions. Move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 457. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 458, Senator Karpiel. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 458, Mr. Secretary, read the bill, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 458.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This also would be the Senate guidelines approach to the Environmental Protection Agency and to bring it in conformity with the budget book. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 458. Those in

favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it.

Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 459, Senator Karpiel. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 459, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 459.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a technical rewrite of the bill but has no dollar impact. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 459. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed No. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 460, Senator Bloom. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 460, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 460.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. Secretary and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the Senate guidelines. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

...any discussion? Senator Carroll moves the...moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 460. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 461, Senator Bloom. 461. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 461, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 461.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall ... Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Why, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the imposition of the guidelines, twenty-six thousand reduction. I would move its adoption. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 461. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 462, Senator Bloom. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 462. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 462.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the imposition of the Senate guidelines and still allowing for a significant increase in the Grant lines. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No...No. 1 to Senate Bill 462. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 463, Senator Weaver. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 463, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 463.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the imposition of the Senate guidelines on the Office of Banks and Trust. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 463. Those in

favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it.
Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee
amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DENUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 464...on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 464, Mr. Secretary, read the bill. SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 464.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
offers three amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the imposition of the Senate guidelines to the Department of R and E. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? If not, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 464.

Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is...the licensing of medical technicians.

Pursuant to substantive legislation we had to add the money to so fund the department. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 464. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

This is the same for the nurses. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 464. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 464, Senator Watson. On the Order of Senate Bills...all right. 465, Senator Philip. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 465, Mr. Secre-

tary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 465-

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 466, Senator Donahue. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 466, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 466.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the imposition of the Senate guidelines. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

...any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 466. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading...all right, there is, in fact, a second committee amendment. With leave of the Body, we will return Senate Bill 466 to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purpose of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 466, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Now wait a minute. We adopted Committee Amendment No. 1.

Now this is another one of those? We had one before...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Carroll, is there a...further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

In order to make it well for the Department of Mines and Minerals, we added back the two well inspections that were being phased out. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 466. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 to...is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 467, Senator Donahue. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 467, Mr.

Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 467.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers four amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the imposition of the Senate guidelines. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 467. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DENUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 2 is the one million dollars in Federal funds for the community action agencies, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 467. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is two hundred thousand for the three area agency planning commissions until the department can find within its budget the funds available. They believe that they'll get a Doctor Bob on this. I would move its adoption. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1...No. 3 to Senate Bill 467. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is to appropriate through the Department of...Commerce and Community Affairs, as we do these other ones, the mandated for the county clerks, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 467. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Ploor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Top of page 6, 468. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 468, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 468.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers six amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DENUZIC)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 468. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment...Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is forty thousand dollars for owine disease research, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 468.

Those...those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed...the Yeas...Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is to fully fund the conservation districts, three hundred and seventy-two thousand seven hundred. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 469. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is four thousand dollars for the Mid-Continent Fair, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 468. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 5.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the reappropriation of the capital improvement projects for the Department of Ag., it's reappropriation, I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 5 to Senate Bill 468. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 6.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is for trichinosis control and I would reluctantly move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 6 to Senate Bill 468. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 6 is adopted. Further committee amendments? SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments. .

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 469, Senator Dudycz. Senator Dudycz on the

Floor? 469? On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 469, Mr. Secretary, read the bill, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 469.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the imposition of the Senate guidelines, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. I to Senate Bill 469. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 470, Senator Dudycz. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 470, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 470.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The Senate guidelines amendment in this case was to phase in the vacancies and add the productivity. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DENUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No...No. 1 to Senate Bill 470. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 471. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 471, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 471.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I
offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the updated reappropriation's level. As we move through the process and find out what has to be reappropriated, we always bring it down to that level. This does so,

and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 471. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed...Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 472. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 472, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 472.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 is the imposition of the said guidelines in placing the funds back out in the districts on taking care of the Amtrak breaks. I would move its adoption. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 471. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This was a..a reducation in the new positions, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 471. Any discussion? Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senator Mahar on the Floor? 473. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 473, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 473.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is, in fact, the Senate guidelines and I would

move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 472. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 474, Senator Mahar. Senator Mahar. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 474, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 474.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the imposition of the Senate guidelines to phase new and vacant positions and impose in productivity. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, is there any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 474. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is

adopted. Further committee amendments? SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 475, Senator Mahar. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 475, Mr. Secretary, read the bill, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 475.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. We imposed upon Doctor Bob the same guidelines that he imposed upon everyone else, reducing his pay increase from 7.3 to 6.5 and putting in the turnover in hiring lag. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment...No. 1 to Senate Bill 475. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SPCPPTADY.

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 476. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 476, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 476.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II offers two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 is the...follows the Senate guidelines and that...move the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Hall moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 476. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Senate Amendment No. 2 is the...fifty-two percent of standard of needs and it adds 21.5 million and that's...for the General Assistance Program. Move the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Commit-

tee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 476. Is there any discussion? Senator Bloom.

SENATOR BLOOM:

Thank you, Mr. President and fellow Senators. There were very few amendments that were subjected to a...a split on the committee; however, this was one of those amendments, because by wirtue of Amendment No. 2, after we cut twelve million in Amendment No. 1, we then added back in 21.5 million and essentially added in a lot more than at this stage some members of committee felt was necessary. Having said that, I would request a roll call on this particular amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Carroll.
SENATOR CARROLL:

Why, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I have to rise in support of this committee amendment and let me explain very simply why. talking about those on general assistance who find themselves suddenly in a position of need; and B, we are talking about a very modest, modest, modest increase to fifty-two percent of the recognized standard of need. All we will give them if this amendment passes is fifty-two percent of what the Federal Government tells us is the standard of need. How inhumane could we be not to allow them to go from fifty percent to fifty-two percent? Think about that. A four percent increase in the standard of need, the minimum standard of need, four percent increase. We're giving six and a half, as we rightfully should, to the employees of State Government. The Governor gave five percent to all of the providers of care. The Governor has asked for eleven percent for the operations of his budget, and here we are saying, four percent to those on general assistance who have the minimum amount, the standard of care, and we're still, even after this, only going to give them fifty-two percent of that.

Remember, of the twenty-one million, almost eleven is reimbursable under the Federal Formula, so it is, yes, about a ten to eleven million in general revenue but well within our ability to live well within our reason to be a government, and I would urge its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Schaffer. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

I...I don't know if Senator Carroll mentioned it, but that...if he did, I'll apologize for restating it. but that is only half-year. We're talking forty-two million dollars on a Statewide basis. On an annual basis, taxpayers money...I don't quite see the difference between Federal taxes and State taxes, it all gets extracted, but simply put, there was an increase last year. If we increase this line item, then we throw it out of kilter, they'll ... can come back on the other line items and, frankly, there just isn't enough money, I agree. I'd like to give people a lot more but we have to draw the line somewhere. I'm led to believe that a lot of the budget cutting of...of couple thousand here and ten thousand there is to avoid having to have a tax increase for education. Well, you can't have it both ways. You can't fatten up a budget like this to this degree and then not be put on a posture just to pass additional taxes just to take care of the budget even before we get to education. How can you argue against it ... would like to do it, there just isn't enough money and if we do this, there's a whole bunch of other areas in the same budget that ought to be increased, if we want to use the same logic.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Hall may close. SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Yes, this is exactly as I said, it's the standard of need and I'd like to reiterate

that this is...is fifty cents refunded by the Federal Government, so instead of 21.5, it'll actually be 12. I'd move for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of amendment...Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 476. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 22, the Nays are 26. On the adoption of...Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 476, the amendment fails. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 477, Senator Bloom. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 477, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 477.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 is the Senate guidelines, reduced Contractual Services. I would move adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Hall moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 477. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 478, Senator Kustra. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 478.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading...2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. I is the Senate guidelines. It's three long-term vacancies...eliminated into the entry level positions that was funded, unknown certification study deleted and the total reducation of the funds for the Governor's School Base Substance Abuse Prevention Initiative is added back, and I'd move for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

No, not on the amendment. On...on an announcement after.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

No discussion? Senator Hall moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 478. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senator Carroll, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. On a point of personal privilege. On behalf of Senator Berman and myself, we have in the gallery sixty students from St. Margaret Mary's School. It adjoins both of our districts. We would like them to stand and be recognized by the Senate.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Would they please rise and be recognized. Senate Bill 479, Senator Kustra. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 479.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 is the Senate guidelines of Consumer's Affairs and the Lieutenant Governor

JUSH80 Ruding

Page 73 - May 20, 1985

has senior citizens actions left intact. So, I'd move for the adoptment of amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Hall moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 479. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

The...Amendment No. 2 is for Retired Seniors' Volunteer Program. It was increased while new lines for Poster Grand-Parents was added, and so I'd move for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Hall moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 479. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 480, Senator Watson. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 480.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II
offers two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. I was the Senate guidelines and lease dollars and fine range was deleted because both the Department of Correction and Law Enforcement are both...adding...or trying to arrange to get a firing range, so we decided that it'll be best for them to get together and decide so they could have one. The next thing was that the...there is deletion of a general office of new positions in East St. Louis and that was deleted right now, so that...and this was for relocation of the dollars approved for a work release center. So, I move...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Hall moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 480. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Amendment No. 2 was that we placed two hundred thousand dollars for a county jail in the southern part of the State, and I'd move the adoption of that amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Bloom.

SENATOR BLOOM:

Thank you, Mr. President and fellow Senators. This

amendment also is one the few areas where the committee was not unanimous in their approach. Basically, this would provide planning and design money for regional jails in the southern part of the State, and there was some feeling on the members of ... on behalf of the membership of the committee that the 1970 Constitution provided intergovernmental...for allowed counties to combine with intergovernmental cooperation agreements to achieve the same results and that there were...had been other counties around the State that had built jails to conform to the State guidelines ... a variety of them, Cook and other counties had already gone through this process and under our...1970 Constitution, those counties that for revenue or size purposes wanted to combine certainly could do it and that there was no need to involve State monies in either the planning or construction thereof. Therefore, I would oppose this and at the appropriate time seek a roll call if necessary.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. One correction on this amendment. That amendment was not specific to downstate communities. I think that applied to anywhere in the State. Let me address the issue of downstate counties, though, in this particular State mandate as it relates to the building of regional correctional facilities. We have...several of the counties in the very southern part of the State, as I'm sure there are counties that exist all over the State, which are under the mandate of the State Mandate's Act to build a jail facility or to upgrade present jail facilities by January 1, 1986 or be out of sync with the State Mandate Act. Now the counties in my district...all of the counties which I specifically referred to in committee in addressing this bill range in unemployment right now from

sixteen percent to 28.9 percent in those specific five or six counties. Those counties have very little tax base in the sense that the national forest area takes up a great deal of those counties, and they do not get adequate reimbursement for the area that they have to keep up in the way of fire protection, police protection and so on. They need some assistance in terms of cooperation in building a regional jail facility that would save the taxpayers of that district...should they have to meet the State mandates for the...for the correctional facility in each one of their counties would save those areas about four million dollars. This is just grant money that would be available through Department of Corrections that would address this issue of a regional correctional facility which would save the taxpayers * monies. So, I'm hopeful that this Body will see the successful adoption of this...amendment as it relates to assisting counties and accomplishing that act. Thank you.

Is there further discussion? Senator Fawell.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President. Ny sympathy goes out to you, Senator, but seeing as DuPage just spent eighteen million dollars for the same purpose because we too had to meet Federal guidelines, and seeing as one of those counties that you are speaking of gets more in return on State dollars than...by far any other county, it seems to me that you and your counties ought to be able to get together and build your own jail like everyone else does because we all have the same problems.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Poshard. SENATOR POSHARD:

Senator...Senator Fawell, you're quite right about a couple of those counties getting back more monies per capita

than other counties in the State. I don't disagree with you on that, that is a fact. In my district alone we have three State prison facilities now. We have about two State prison work camps. For some reason or ... or another, it seems like our district keeps taking care of the prisoners for the rest of the State, and you're right, money flows down to take care of those folks, no question about that, and it does raise the per capita revenue source coming back into those counties at a much higher rate than it does other counties of the State, but I think that you also need to consider the fact that also take care of a great...a great amount of U.S. forest land for which there is no tax base in those counties. We do take care of the prisoners for the State...for the rest of the State, for the most part, and I think there are reasons why you can show more revenue flows down there, but that doesn't get away from the fact that they're very poor counties, that we do have to depend upon the State probably more than other counties, not that we like it, not that we hope it will always be that way, but right now it is. We have presently in our area committees working on...marketing strategies for our area, we're putting together industrial site handbooks, we're identifying all the areas which we can induce industry to come in and take a look at us. We're trying to develop a tourism industry base. We're trying our best to help ourselves, but at present, we just don't have the money to meet the State Mandate Act to build new jail facilities all over my district. So, the counties are going to have to do something and we're just hopeful that this might open the door to help them help themselves.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Hall moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 480. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have...a roll call has been requested. Will all the Senators

please be in their seats. On the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 480, those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 25, the Nays are 26, none voting Present. Amendment No. 2 having failed to receive a majority vote is lost. Any further amendments? SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 481, Senator Dudycz. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 481.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II
offers four amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 is the Senate guidelines and there was nine new positions eliminated so that...I'd move for the adoption...and also what they did was a contingency for Meigs, switch from Operation to Grant of 5.5 million. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Hall moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 481. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee

amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Amendment No. 2 adds three hundred and ninety thousand for apprehensions of fugitives. I'd move the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Hall moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 481. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments? SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Amendment No. 3 adds 92.5 thousand for a county expenses in apprehension of fugitives. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Bloom.

SENATOR BLOOM:

Okay. My handlers energize me. Could you clear up...was...was this the one that addressed reimbursements to counties for FY '85 or prior...everyone else?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR BLOOM:

Or was this...or was this just to a few counties?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

... Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Senator, it's open for everyone and it's just for 1985.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Bloom seems to...Senator Bloom. Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Does this have anything to do with the legislation we defeated...last week sometime in regard to extradition of fugitives?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

No, Senator, these are current expenses for the county.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

... of what county?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

All...all the counties.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Well, then this is...this certainly has a...a great deal to do with the issue we beat last week if it's...if it's as I read it here, "Reimbursement to counties for expenses incurred in the apprehension of fugitives prior to...Fiscal Year '85." Currently, we do not do that and I...it's...it's not in the budget and it's certainly not in Fiscal Year '86's budget because we just defeated that last week, so I think we ought to have a No vote on this and...and defeat it, and I ask for a roll call to do so.

Further discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

I may be able to clarify. I believe, the issue, and that is these were the apprehension of fugitives that were fugitives and apprehendable and reimbursable under the law as it existed at that point in time. It's my recall that the bill we had last week dealt with the apprehension of fugitives to which a felony had not been the charge. These were, in fact, cases...in one case...let me put it a different way, there was no lapse appropriation or they would have appropriately been before the court of claims. One particular county that I recall testifying and the department agreed, they had sent in their request, they got a letter back asking for more information, they sent back that more information which was...for which they received a time-stamped receipt from the Department of Law Enforcement. Department of Law Enforcement testified they never paid the bill because they didn't get the response from the county. When we showed them in committee the time date-stamped response by their department, they said they obviously lost the paper work or that bill was, in fact, valid and should have been paid. The same is true of some other counties that we're aware of, including my home county, where fugitives have been apprehended but, for whatever reasons, the department did not process the paper and in one case, as I recall it, they said they merely ran out of So, these were...what would have been valid at the time absent the money to pay for them.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Well, excuse me for rising a second time, but I'd like to ask a question. Then,..then what you're saying then, the department supports this amendment?

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

I don't want to say that for and on behalf of the department, other than to say, when the director sitting at the table testified and was shown by Madison County at the time the time-stamped one, he said, obviously there was a screw-up in his department because his records showed that they'd never gotten that information; had they gotten it, he said...you know, he saw where it would have been paid. So, I hate to speak for a director, but I would believe that they would be at least moderately supportive.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Bloom. SENATOR BLOOM:

Thank you, Mr. President and fellow Senators. I think that what has transpired by way of discussion on this particular amendment is partially correct in the sense that the court of claims has not processed this. I think under the procedures we have, they can issue us advisory opinions and inform us as to which warrants were time stamped and which were not, and I think that this is an estimate of what warrants...what will be the obligation because of the failure in FY '82 or that there was a budget crisis in FY '83 which restricted payments. I think that is what this amendment addresses and I think that some of the debate and questions were more properly put towards Amendment No. 2; and that being the case, I would suggest that we adopt Amendment No. 3, the one before us, and at the appropriate time, because I voted on the prevailing side, I would then seek leave to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 2 was adopted. So, let's go ahead and adopt this amendment, and then I would seek leave of the Chair to put the proper motion on Amendment No. 2. Thank you.

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Hall moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 481. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

On Amendment No. 4, I'll defer to Senator Carroll...that...I think he wants to make an announcement on his Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

At this time, Mr. President, I would move to withdraw Amendment...or move to Table Amendment No. 4. It's my understanding that the purpose for which it was intended, we were incorrect in our discussions in committee that these were not the troopers to be so assigned to what would otherwise be consider city streets. I'm not saying the room was tapped, but within three minutes I got a call from the director of law enforcement indicating that our comments were in error and, therefore, at this stage, I would move to Table.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll...moves to Table Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 481. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor? Senator Bloom, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR BLOOM:

Thank you, Mr. President. Having voted on the prevailing side for...Committee Amendment No. 2, I would move that we reconsider so that we could have the discussion on that particular amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator, our voice indicator indicates that you did not vote on the prevailing side. You voted No on the voice vote,

SENATOR BLOOM:

Mr. President, I believe I was snoozing and that when you said Aye, that I think I...I snored in favor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Bloom moves to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 2 passed. Is there any discussion on his motion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

I...in an effort to attempt to clarify, I believe Senator Bloom may not want to proceed. The issue is, on the DLE reimbursement for the apprehension of fugitives and the fact that they have underestimated that amount each year, the suggested amendment in Amendment No. 2 was merely to bring that amount up to what is a more realistic figure of what the various counties lawfully request from the Department of Law Enforcement and would be paid were there an appropriated account. Their lapse generally happens only if they do not, in fact, process the requests for reimbursement for apprehension. My suggestion is that we do appropriate an amount sufficient to meet the need rather than curtail it and require them to go to the court of claims or come back to us as we had to do in Amendment No. 3. I believe, again, that these are only those claims that are statutorily allowed and this is a better guesstimate of how much those amount to, so I would urge that the committee amendment is, in fact, proper

so that the counties who expend the funds to apprehend the fugitives and are allowed by State law to be so reimbursed can, in fact, be timely reimbursed rather than waiting an excessive period of time.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Bloom.

SENATOR BLOOM:

Well, thank you, Mr. President. My...my handlers have again, energized me. The...if that's the case then, I suppose what we would be saying by virtue of...of adopting this amendment is that perhaps we do not need to go through the supplemental process and that when this and other bills come back from 3rd reading, as come back they must, that this Chamber will then make the policy judgment of upping certain expenses...expenditures that we really are unaware of...in any finality until the end of the budget cycle. It's a roundabout way of saying, are we sure we want to do this at this time? Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Merely to identify also, Senator Bloom, Senate Bill 9 which would clarify these reimbursements and from which the four hundred thousand dollar...estimate came from the department assuming passage of Senate Bill 9, passed the Senate 52 to nothing last week and is over in the House. So that the department's estimate of four hundred thousand was in concert with the passage of Senate Bill 9 which is something this Body has already done.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

For the record, Senator Bloom wishes to Table the motion to reconsider. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. No further amendments. 3rd reading. Senator Weaver, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR WEAVER:

Well, I'm ... just want to make a comment on Amendment No. I'm pleased to see you withdraw that amendment because, basically, downstate there's many districts that have one or two, maybe, troopers on the road at a given period of time, and I just would hope that you'd kind of scrutinize this budget a little more maybe, Senator Carroll, because about a month ago the director gave all the captains in the State police a six thousand dollar raise, all the lieutenants a four thousand dollar raise, the master sergeant a two thousand dollar raise and the average sergeant seven hundred and fifty, but he did give the troopers two hundred and fifty dollars a month so...I know if we've got that kind of money...they call it decompression. I guess that means they've got too much money and so they have to spend it or else it'll lapse and...when we're talking about the administrators and all those high-priced administrators, when you've got a captain of the State police making fifty thousand dollars a year, why, I think that's a pretty good salary for a patrol...for a captain of the districts throughout the State.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll, for what purpose do you arise? SENATOR CARROLL:

Just...question of Senator Reaver whether he wants to draft the amendment to bring them down to more realistic leverage...levels or he would like me to, either way.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Well, you've done a pretty good job so far, you might as well draft it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senate Bill 482, Senator Donahue. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 482.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is along the Senate guidelines that long-term vacancies were phased and new employees...or...twelve with unfilled vacancies were cut. Also that the road projects appropriated from the Road Fund and Manteno opening was deferred and...that's...is what happens to Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I have a...some questions for the sponsor. First, I...I guess a little statement. We've...we've spent eighteen million dollars at that Manteno veterans' facility to date. The construction work is to be done in September and it...if those people are ready to move in there January 1st, it just seems to me, why are we delaying this?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

That's a good question, Senator, and I'm sure that we're going to have to look at that and...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Well, while you're looking at it, you might be reminded that we're losing mine hundred jobs due to the closing of the Manteno Mental Health Center, nine hundred. Twenty-two million dollars is going out of that community this year and we're...we're talking about a two million dollar deferment in the Department of Mental...or Department of Veterans' Affairs when...when this facility will be done, ready to go on line, ready to put people to work there and ready to have the veterans who need bed space to go in there, and we're going to defer it for three months makes absolutely no sense to me and it'll make a lot less sense to those people who work in that facility and...and who are in desperate need, they're the low income people in my community, Senator Hall, if you know what I mean. Well, you're cutting those people out of three month's work and I resent it and I think that... I will try and defeat this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Hall may close debate.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. And, Senator Carroll, I read you...I mean, Senator Joyce, I read you loud and clear. So, that...and, Senator Carroll, would like to comment as a designated hitter?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll, would you like to close debate? SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amongst the things that this amendment does, does...in fact, impact that home, it is two months not three as identified. The director has indicated that were we to do

it at one month, he could, in fact, bring the people in in January as planned. We have been in discussions with the director and would suggest that the amendment which follows the guidelines well beyond that one home be, in fact, adopted and we talk in terms of an add-back as we do with all the other agencies, and I would support the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 482. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is...a roll call has been requested. Will all the Senators be in their seats. On Senate Bill 482, the adoption of Amendment No. 1, those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? the Ayes are 20, the Nays are 17, 1 voting Present. Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 482 having received the majority vote is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments...no further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 483, Senator Maitland. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 483,

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II
offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall-

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 is the Governor's Purchase Care Review Board and also the Senate guidelines. I move for the adoption of the amendment of the Senate guidelines'only.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Hall moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 483. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 496, Senator Rock. Senator Carroll. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 496.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. As we indicated, there was a separate type of guideline for the constitutional officers where...and we did do productivity, that's the same with this, and we, in fact,

had to add the interest accrued on the Protest fund for the two years which we appropriated by way of this amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 496. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. I'm sorry...all those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 507, Senator Lemke. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 507.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 528, Senator Philip. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 528.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I

Moss ading

Page 92 - May 20, 1985

offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a guideline amendment, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 528. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 552, Senator Philip and Rock. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 552.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would be the Senate guideline amendment imposed on our legislative agencies. I would move its adoption.

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 552. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 695, Senator Joyce. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 695.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill...863, Senator Geo-Karis. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 863.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. This bill was in Appropriations II.

It was rereferred to Appropriations I. Appropriations I Committee offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the Human Rights Commission and it's a supplemental appropriation. Amendment No. 1 makes it into a transfer bill and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Would the...speaker...the colleague yield to a guestion?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Yes, he will.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Senator Carroll, will this have the same effect by the transfer to give them the required funds?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 863. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1033, Senator Joyce. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1033.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment will reduce it to an amount that is believed that can be spent, three hundred and thirteen thousand three hundred. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1033. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1112, Senator Sangmeister. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1112.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guideline amendment and I would move its

adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. I to Senate Bill 1112. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1263, Senator Rock-Keats-Marovitz. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1263.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II
offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment reduces it from a million five hundred thousand to five hundred thousand, and I'd move for the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Hall moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1263. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No...no further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate bill 1368, Senator Sommer. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1368.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. ! is to bring the...the reappropriation amount down to the March 30th level, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1268. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a technical amendment to the bill, and I

would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1268. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye...for the record, it's 1368. Is there...those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1410, Senator Collins. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1410 was in Appropriations II. It's now in Appropriations I.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1411, Senator Collins. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1411.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment would reduce the requested appropriation from five million to one hundred thousand. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1411. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1460, Senator Collins. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1460.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Appropriations II Committee offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1460...that's the vocational training center. There's some technical and...and it deleted unnecessary language. It also reduced it from five million dollars to five dollars. I move

for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Hall moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1460. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. That concludes our Senate bills 2nd reading on appropriations. We will start back at the top of page 2 and in the center of the page start with bills...Senate bills on 2nd reading and proceed through these bills. On Senate bills 2nd reading, in the middle of page 2, Senate Bill 220, Senator Marovitz. Senate Bill 253, Senator Karpiel. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

END OF REEL

REEL #3

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 253.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. PERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Karpiel.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Amendment No. 1...was suggested...or the reason I have introduced Amendment No. 1 is because in committee...in the Elementary and Secondary Education Committee I had a bill dealing with this subject, and many of the members of the committee said that they felt that the issue of...that there should be something done to allow more flexibility in...for the boards to determine who to let go in...in a rif situation, but they felt that the bill that I had there was not written very tightly or very well. So this amendment, I believe, takes care of that situation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion?

SENATOR KARPIEL:

The...I'm not finished.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Oh.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

I'll explain the amendment more fully. The amendment requires that seniority must be the basis for dismissal unless the professional or legal qualifications or the

experience in the grade level or the subject matter of a less senior teacher exceeds those of a more senior teacher. And if the board would determine that this particular individual would be of more benefit to the educational program of the district, they could make that determination and keep a less senior teacher if, in fact, the qualifications and the...in the subject matter and grade level, et cetera exceeds those. If the qualifications and experience are the same then that of the more senior teacher prevails. This determination is based on educational consideration. It would not district to...dismiss a more senior teacher for budgetary reasons, only for educational reasons. And it also includes the...that if a district has a collective bargaining agreement in which semiority is the only criteria used in a rif then that collective bargaining agreement, of course, prevails. And I would...answer any questions or like...your vote on Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator...Luft. SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President, a question, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

She indicates she'll yield.

SENATOR LUFT:

This appears to me, Senator, to be part of the program that has gone forth throughout the State of Illinois in the last few months on changes in the educational system and reform within the educational system of the State of Illinois. And it was my understanding that Senator Berman has bills that right now are addressing reform in the State of Illinois. Why are you trying to address this problem in this bill rather than in the reform package?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

edge, does not deal with seniority except...yeah, just when evaluating the tenured teachers. I don't believe it has...I don't...Senator Berman could probably answer that, but I don't believe that we deal with this particular subject in the reform package.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

What I am trying to state is that that's where we should be dealing with this subject matter, in the reform package. And if it is silent or doesn't address it, what I am trying to say is this is where you should be addressing it. Where, in fact, that if each one of us on the Floor of this Senate want's to pick away at the reform package, that's fine, but we should be doing it in that package; otherwise, we're going to have fifteen or twenty bills with as many ideas floating around, and I would suggest that this amendment either be withdrawn or defeated and offered on the reform package where I think it belongs.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr...President. I stand in opposition to Amendment No. 1. First of all, this amendment was in bill form and was defeated by the Senate Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education when it was before the committee a few weeks ago. I think on that basis alone it should not be presented again on to the Floor. Picking up where Senator Luft opened the door, I think the question of teacher evaluation and performance is certainly...was certainly taken into consideration by the Illinois Commission on the Improvement of Elementary and Secondary Education. And...and the question

tion, I think, is addressed to some extent by the package of bills that I believe will be...we will be receiving from the House of Representatives. I think also in the summit conferences and other discussions that are going on this will be a subject of discussion, and I think it would be to everyone's best interest if it not be addressed in a separate bill at this time. For those reasons, I intend to oppose Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Karpiel may close.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Well, thank you, Mr. President. First of all, this not the same bill that I had in committee, this is totally rewritten and does not...you know, is not the same thing at It addresses the same issue which I said at the very beginning but it is not the same...the same bill. Secondly, I believe in the reform package the issue of tenure or of seniority...all that's in there is...is to do...deals with that is to say that there would be...there should be an evaluation of a tenured teacher twice a year. This issue not being considered in the reform package. And to answer Senator Luft's comments, I don't ... I don't feel that any individual Senator here should be precluded from introducing a bill that they feel is important or an issue that they feel is important. We're talking about reform this year in Illi-I think that the public expects us to do some reform and I think that this is good reform. It's a very moderate bill, it does not take away tenure, it does not take away seniority; it simply says that if a particular teacher with...less seniority is more equipped through educational qualifications, that has more experience and more qualifications in a subject matter or a grade level, it simply says that that teacher can be kept on rather than one with more seniority if that other teacher doesn't have the qualifications. Why should we keep a teacher on to teach English who's qualified to teach social studies, or why should we keep a teacher on to teach fifth grade when he's qualified or she's qualified or accredited to...has certification to teach second grade? I mean, certainly we should give a little bit more flexibility, you know, for the board to...decide which teacher has a better educational background to teach a certain subject in a certain class. We're not talking about doing away with tenure, we're not talking away...about doing away with seniority; we're just saying give a little bit more...more flexibility to the board in determining what the best educational qualifications are for a teacher in their district in a particular grade. And I ask for your Aye vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Karpiel moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 253. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. A roll call has been requested. Those in favor indicate by voting Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Senator, would you...have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 24, the Nays 28, none voting Present. The amendment having failed to receive a majority vote is declared lost. Senator Karpiel moves for a verification of the negative vote. Will all the Senators please be in their seats. And will the Secretary please call the negative roll.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

The...the following voted in the negative; Berman, Carroll, Chew, Coffey, Collins, D'Arco, Darrow, Davidson, Dawson, Degnan, Demuzio, Hall, Holmberg, Jones, Jeremiah Joyce, Jerome Joyce, Kelly, Lechowicz, Lemke, Luft, Marovitz, Nedza, Netsch, O'Daniel, Poshard, Savickas, Vadalabene, Welch, Zito.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

(Nachine cutoff)...Karpiel, do you question any of the negative votes?

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Yes, Senator Chew.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Chew. Senator Chew is on the Floor.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Thank you. Not today, Senator, at least not right now...I see Jones there, I see...Joyce.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is Senator Joyce on the Floor?

SENATOR KARPIEL:

No, the other Joyce...Jeremiah.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Jeremiah Joyce on the Floor? If not, strike his name from the record.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

... Marovitz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Marovitz is on the Floor.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Dawson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is Senator Dawson on the Floor? Senator Dawson? Strike his name from the record.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Senator Vadalabene.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Vadalabene on the Floor? Senator Vadalabene on the Floor? Senator Vadalabene?

SENATOR KARPIEL:

He's not on the Floor?

I don't...I don't see him, Senator. There he is...he's on the Floor.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

I see... I see. O'Daniel.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator O'Daniel is in his seat.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Thank you. You should stand up Senator, I've been told. Senator O'Daniel.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He's in his seat, Senator.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

...I'm looking right at him. Senator Kelly. He's in his seat...he's not voting. I think that's all. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

On a verified roll call, there are 24 Yeas, 26 Nays, and the amendment having failed to receive a majority is declared lost. Any further amendments? Any further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Fawell.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, Mr. President. What this amendment does is add the word...two words "or equivalent." What we are talking about is...physical ed. Let me give you an example. I used to teach primary classes. By the time my children got their gym shoes on, laced up to the...knees, I got them in line, I marched them down to the gym, I put them on the black line, the gym teacher came out and said, this is a ball and this is what we're going to do with it today, most of the gym period was over. What this bill is saying in...and I want this in the record as the legislative intent, we are not talking about less gym; what we are talking about is using

some common sense. It would make much more sense to allow the children to have two periods of gym on Monday and none on Tuesday and two on Wednesday and maybe one on...on Friday, which would give them the same amount of gym. The same thing would be true in the high school. They are now teaching things such as bowling, cross-country skiing and...tennis. There is no way you can get that kind of an activity and actually teach them anything within one period's time. Again, let me reiterate, I am not talking about less gym, I am merely saying that I think that the schools should be able to have the option of using the time more sensibly so that, indeed, when they do go to gym, they can actually learn something. And I'd be willing to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion on this? Senator Berman. SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in opposition to Amendment No. 2. If you think that there ought to be some greater flexibility accorded to the school districts regarding the present mandatory daily physical education requirement, I would suggest that you not vote for this but that you wait till tomorrow and support Senator Maitland's amendment to Senate Bill 351. And the difference is that in Senate Bill 351, as it was first introduced and as Senate Maitland ... Senator Maitland will try to reconstruct it tomorrow is that we were very specific. That said that if you want to chip away at the mandate regarding physical education, you do it within very limited guidelines, and those guidelines are that in the eleventh and twelfth year, junior and senior year of high school, is you need extra time for...to catch up in your studies or to prepare for college or you're a member of one of the athletic teams, you can get a waiver so you don't have to take gym in your eleventh and twelfth year. Now that's a good start I believe and that's

what Senator Maitland is going to reoffer...tomorrow on amendment stage on Senate Bill 351. The problem with Senator Fawell's Amendment No. 2 here is that I don't know and I'm not sure anybody else knows what the words "or its equivalent" really means. And there is...substantial fear on my part and others that some school boards will come up with a definition of what is the equivalent of physical education that nobody will be able to recognize what is the alternative to physical education. I think it's not specific enough, it's in effect a blank check. I'm not willing to go that far at this point. I urge a No vote on Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

Thank you. Will the sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

She indicates she will.

SENATOR ZITO:

First of all, Senator, I applogize for the other day last week moving to Table because we didn't have the amendment. I do have an amendment now and I appreciate it and it does exactly what you said it does. It adds three words, "or its equivalent." And I, like Senator Berman, are...am quite confused as to what that exactly means. Could you explain that, what's "or its equivalent"?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

That's...thank you, very much. Yes, indeed I will. And...and that's why I would like to say for the record...for...that this legislator's intent is, it does not mean any less gym. What we are talking about is merely using the time properly, particularly in the grammar schools, and unfortunately, although I perhaps might agree with Senator

Maitland's amendment tomorrow, it does not address this problem. Your problem in your grammar schools is that particularly in the younger grades, your...your periods of time are about twenty or twenty-five minutes. Mr. President, could I have some order, please. Your periods...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Could we break up these conferences in the aisle...
SENATOR FAWELL:

... your periods of time in grammar school, are usually twenty...twenty...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Luft, would you break up the conference. Senator Marovitz...Senator Davidson...Karpiel...Senator Roger.

SENATOR FAWELL:

...your...your periods of time in grammar school, and...and that's where I taught, are twenty or twenty-five minutes; and as I said, by the time you get their gym shoes on, line them up, get them in gym, and we've all gone through this, line them up on that black line, usually by height, make up your teams, get the equipment out, you...your period is just about gone. Then they have to line up again, they come back to the class rooms. If you've ever smelled a bunch of first or second graders after they've been running around for five or ten minutes, who are all keyed up and who now have knots in their gym shoes, you will understand why I think this is a good amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Carol Fowler of WCIA requests permission to videotape.

Is permission granted? Leave is granted. Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

Thank you, Mr. President. I...by that explanation, Senator Fawell, I'm not real sure, I think I knew...more about "or its equivalent" before you started. Who's going to make this determination? Who is going to determine" or its

equivalent"? Is the local school board going to make that determination? The instructor?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator...Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

The local school board would probably have to make the...the...decision on...on scheduling, but...but the State board already...says that they have to have x number of...of periods of gym. And I'm not trying to change that...I'm just...saying, does it have to be a daily thing? Can't it be so that we actually teach these children something? Can't we have this flexibility? But believe me, I am not saying, less gym, which doesn't...I think is the crux of the problem.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

To the amendment, Mr. President, I...I apologize for belaboring the point but it's obvious that we don't know what "or its equivalent" means. Furthermore, it's very difficult for us to understand exactly who's going to determine equivalency in...in gym. At a grade school level, is the instructor, the school board? We don't know. I think we...and...and to coin what Senator Berman say or echo those comments, I think we have a good system right now in the State of Illinois and I think that we should continue in this...in this vein. If we're going to change this I think it's going to take much more language than three words to change an existing program, and I would urge the defeat of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Kustra.

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I think this is a really important debate here and I...I hope

that some of us aren't...aren't missing it. First of all, to Senator Berman's point about what's coming up tomorrow in the Maitland proposal, as far as I'm concerned, that is a separate issue. It's different than what we're talking about right now and it shouldn't be confused. You can vote for Senator Maitland's proposal tomorrow or you can vote against it and quite frankly, that isn't going to affect what we're talking about here right now. The fact of the matter is. as Senator Fawell has already said, in the elementary schools many physical education daily programs are only twenty to thirty minutes long. You hardly get the kid out there and he has to turn back and go back to class. And so she's trying to deal with that the way my physical education teachers across my district suggest. Okay? Now, for those of you that are sensitive to the IEA and the concerns they have about reducing the number of hours of physical education, you should pay attention, because tomorrow what you'll be voting on, perhaps, is a reduction in the number of hours students will spend in physical education, if they can get that waiver that Senator Maitland would like them to have. But under this proposal I think those words, "or its equilarent" are very clear. What it says is that instead of a physical education teacher taking those kids out there five days a week for twenty minutes a day, she could have a three-day a week program. And that gives more time per day, but it doesn't have to be every day, but at the end of the week when you total up the hours, they have to be the same. Now that seems to make a lot of sense to me. Certainly teachers can't object to that, who teach physical education, 'cause you wind up with the same number of hours. Now as far as the local or the...the...Senator Zito's question about who determines this, that's the local school board, no question about it. And if they violate that law, if they don't read equivalent for what it means, equal, I don't know how that can be

more clear, then I suppose the State board can take action against them or whatever happens. But we should be voting for this because it in no way steps on teachers, it in no way steps on school boards, it's a perfect way to give physical education teachers and kids more flexibility, and tomorrow you can decide if in the eleventh and twelfth grades you want to make a...a change or an adjustment in the mandate at that level. But let's give these kids and these phys. ed. teachers a break, and I don't see how anybody who feels strongly about the IEA or teachers or anything could possibly oppose this. I urge your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I think, Senator Fawell, that if your amendment had said exactly the words that Senator Kustra said, I don't think we would have had the confusion that we have on the Floor. think the issue is...is whether or not your equivalents is one...one hour per day or whether or not it's in reference to five days a week and I think that's the problem. I... I don't think that...Senator Maitland's...amendment address this problem. I think this is a problem that we really ought to deal with because the ... the bottom line is that many of our children in elementary school are not receiving a...a...adequate physical education program, and that was the whole intent of the law, simply because of the time restraints imposed on the program. We do need to address that issue. I don't think this amendment does it, Senator Fawell, and I'm willing to work with you if you take it out of the record or let's and try and work it on an amendment because it does not do what Senator Kustra say.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. Let me tell you where Senator Kustra's argument fails. I was an elementary teacher for six years. When you say that you are having ... or physical education at the elementary...level, do not assume that you have physical education teachers. You have the regular classroom teacher teaching physical education in many districts in downstate Illinois simply because they don't have the money or the wherewithal to hire a physical education teacher. there is... I can't even figure out how you could violate this bill simply because everything here is based on the interpretation of a word, and how do you violate someone or prosecute or whatever someone's interpretation of a word? The major problem with this bill is that it's just too broad, it doesn't say who interprets it and it doesn't say what is or even comes close to interpreting what equivalent means. why not? What is physical education doesn't necessarily mean that somebody is going to put on a sweat suit and socks and whatever and go out and do something. Physical education can be simple stretching exercises, physical education can be talking about health or anything. So I would suggest that we defeat this amendment, and if we're going to vote on the exchange of a physical education for anything else, we could maybe consider Senator Maitland's amendment at a later date. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Fawell, do you wish to close?

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, very much...I...yes, I would like to answer a couple of the questions. Number one, the reason I cannot put that they have to have an hour of...of gym every other day and that would solve the problem is because by the State Board mandates they have to have an equivalent to what the periods are. Now the periods in...in the lower grammar

school...because of the span of attention is shorter, is much smaller, it's twenty or twenty-five minutes; as you get up into the fifth and sixth grade, maybe half an hour, forty Therefore...a sixth grader has more gym now and minutes. should continue to have more gym than the first or second In answer to...the other Senator's comments, if, indeed he does not have gym teachers in his school, he is out of conformance already. The State mandate is that you must have gym teachers, teachers who have been trained, not the normal classroom teacher, and if he has schools that do not have gym teachers right now, then either they are not obeying the law or they have probably, in all likelihood, gotten waivers and I understand that is true down in southern Illinois. What I am saying with this bill...and all of us when we were children, if you remember, your gym teacher would take you out and you would choose sides, perhaps in a softball game, one side would be able to get up and the other side wouldn't because we didn't have enough time to...to finish the gym period. I do not think this is an unreasonable bill. I think if you talk to your gym teachers they would not think it is an unreasonable bill. I'm not even real sure that the IEA in..in their heart of hearts think this is an unreasonable bill. I...I ask you to use your common sense, that's all this is...and vote Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Fawell moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 253 and requests a roll call. Those in favor of adopting Amendment No. 2 will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have...have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Yeas are 23, the Nays are 29, none voting Present. Amendment No. 2 having failed to receive a majority is declared lost. Any further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 325, Senator D'Arco. Senate Bill 350, Senator Berman. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 350.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Berman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman, just a minute. For what purpose does Senator Geo-Karis arise?

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, on a point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

State your point.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

In the gallery...to my right up there is the...students from Plato School, from the Assumption Greek Orthodox Church with their teacher, Betty...Betty fliotis. They happen to be in Senator Bock's area but he's not here today, and I'd like you to help me welcome them.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Would they please rise and be recognized. On Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 350, Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. There are three amendments that have been filed on...this is the first of...350 and 351 are the two reform bills on the Calendar. I've asked Sena-

tor...Schaffer and Senator Kelly who have Amendments 2 and 3...filed, if they would withhold their amendments, we would move this to 3rd reading today and it would be on the recall tomorrow because there's also other amendments that...seek to be filed. So they've indicated that that's all right with them, so if we would...withhold those amendments and we'll take it, bring it back tomorrow.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator, do you wish to withhold all three amendments and just move the bill as is to 3rd reading?

SENATOR BERMAN:

Yeah, we'll bring it back on recall tomorrow.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there objection? Is there...no objection? Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Believe...believe I have two amendments filed as well...to 350. Yeah, I just wanted to make sure that...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

...why don't...why don't the...the makers of the...of the amendment simply withdraw them and move the bill with the understanding that it's going to be brought back tomorrow.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

That's what Senator Berman has requested and has an agreement from...I guess all parties at this point, that he will move it to 3rd and bring it back tomorrow. There's a technical question, the Secretary is desirous of knowing, will all amendments be called tomorrow so they can put it on the recall with all five amendments? There are at this point five amendments to be presented. Is...is that correct? Well,...all the amendments now are being withdrawn by the sponsors. So at this point there are no amendments. All the

amendments are withdrawn today, there are no amendments at this point pending on Senate Bill 350. No Floor amendments, no committee amendments. Senate Bill 350 is moved to 3rd reading. Senate Bill 351, Senator Berman. Senator Maitland. Senator Maitland, there is a committee amendment.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 351.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, very...thank you, very much, Mr. President. I hate to be in...in a position of...of moving to adopt the committee amendment, but I am going to move to adopt the committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Maitland moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 351. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Now, it's my understanding, Senator Maitland, the Floor amendments will be held till tomorrow?

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Yes, sir.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

How many Floor amendments are there?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Three; two by Senator Maitland and one by Senator Schaffer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Those amendments are withdrawn, so at this time, we've adopted Committee Amendment No. 1. Mr. Secretary, are there

any Floor amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No...no further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DENUZIO:

I want to know what we're doing here. I...I have an amendment for 351 but it's not up here yet. It...it...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

It will be recalled tomorrow, it'll be on the recall list for amendments. At this point, there are no further amendments. 3rd reading. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen. I'd like to...for the record to show that Senator Newhouse is absent today because of...of problems back in his home district.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Let the record so reflect. Senate Bill 368, Senator Joyce, Jerome Joyce. Senate Bill 380, Senator Kustra. Senate Bill 381, Senator Kustra. 382, Senator Kustra. For what purpose does Senator Kustra arise?

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Mr. President, it's my understanding that a fiscal note has been requested on an amendment to Senate Bill 332, and it's not here yet so I would assume we would hold that on 2nd reading until it arrives.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senate Bill 389, Senator Rock. Senate Bill 624, Senator DeAngelis. Senate Bill 626, Senator Newhouse. Senate Bill...Senator Jones. Senator Jones seeks leave of the Body to handle Senate Bill 626 for Senator Newhouse. Is leave granted? Hearing no objection, leave is...Senator Demuzio. Senator Demuzio, do you object? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 626.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Higher Education offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Yeah, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.

I'd like leave to Table Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 626.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Jones...

SENATOR JONES:

Leave to Table Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Jones seeks leave to Table Committee Amendment
No. 1 to Senate Bill 626. Is there discussion? Senator
Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, Senator Jones, it's sort of an unusual procedure that...you know, we are moving the bill in the absence of the sponsor, I have no...I don't have any objections...the question is to whether or not...does Senator Newhouse wish to have...Amendment No. 1 Tabled? I guess that's my question. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Yes, he does, that's why I'm handling the bill. Also I am a hyphenated sponsor, that's the reason why I'm handling the...the amendment and the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. What does Committee Amendment

No. 1 do?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Committee Amendment No. I to the bill...clarify the intent of the bill to a certain degree; however, in committee...in committee...the bill was...the amendment was supposed to be a clean-up amendment. The...the second amendment that is coming is an amendment to really clean-up the legislation to further clarify the intent...it doesn't change the substance of the bill but just cleans it up and...and clarifies the intent of the bill. So Amendment No. I was supposed to originally do this, but it did not clarify the full intent of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Jones moves to Table Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 626. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Any further amendments? ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. I offered by Senators Newhouse and Jones.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Jones.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2, I'm sorry.

SENATOR JONES:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. The amendment that was Tabled was an agreed amendment, all this amendment does is...is clarify the intent and clear up any...any technical errors in the bill and I move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Jones moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 626. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further...amendments? ACTING SECRETARY: {MR. FERNANDES}

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 712, Senator Zito. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 712.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 713, Senator Zito. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 713.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (NR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. We have Senate Bill 220, Senator Marovitz. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

De reading

Page 123 - May 20, 1985

Senate Bill 220.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Public Health, Welfare and Corrections offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President. I think we've already adopted this amendment and then...then we held the bill on 2nd reading for a fiscal note which has been filed. The amendment had already been adopted. The bill was held on 2nd reading for a fiscal note which has been filed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

I'm informed it had to be taken out of the record, so we must move to adopt Committee Amendment No. 1 again. So, Senator Marovitz moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 220. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further discussion?...I mean, any further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 722, Senator Berman. 756, Senator Chew. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

(Machine cutoff) ... Bill 756.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Page 124 - May 20, 1985

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Netsch.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Netsch. Senator Netsch. Senator Demuzio. Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. The amendment which is to the Seat Belt Act is intended to deal only with one problem and that is that there are some handicapped persons for whom a...the use of the seat belt is inappropriate and in some cases nigh well impossible. And this problem was called to my attention and I think also to Senator Chew's. The amendment is intended to recognize that by listing them among those who are not compelled to wear a seat belt when it doesn't work.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator...Netsch has moved the adoption of Amendment No.

1 to Senate...Senate Bill 756. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. PERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 794, Senator Etheredge. Senator Etheredge on the Floor? 848, Senator Dudycz. 987, Senator Jerome Joyce. Middle of page 8. 1031, Senator Friedland. It's a hold. 1073, Senator Barkhausen. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, page 8, is Senate Bill 1073, Mr. Secretary. Read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1073.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, I think there is to be a Floor amendment, I believe. Amendments from the Floor.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Barkhausen.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Mr. President and members, Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1073 is an agreed amendment which I have discussed with the chairman and minority spokesman on the Transportation Committee where this bill was assigned and also with Senator Marovitz the chairman of the Senate Judiciary II Committee, since that committee also has a subject matter interest in the subject of driving under the influence. This is the...compromise package that was worked out between the Secretary of State's Office, the bar associations and all of the parties and legislators that have had an interest in this matter and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Barkhausen moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1073. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify...any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DENUZIO)

3rd reading. 1099, Senator Macdonald. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1099, Mr. Secretary,

read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1099.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (NR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senators Macdonald and Dudycz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Macdonald.

SENATOR MACDONALD:

Yes, Mr. President. This is the bill that makes it irrefutable...for the identification of a voter to provide a thumbprint on...the application for a ballot. It was pointed out to us that that might be difficult in the absence of a handicap of not...not having a...a thumb. So we have made this amendment to provide that for fingerprint purposes, any other digit may be used. And if the voter is unable to provide a thumbprint or a fingerprint, the judge of election my ask the elector the questions for identification which...appear on the registration record card in order to determine whether the elector is entitled to vote and therefore they would be able to vote...by affidavit. So I ask for your acceptance of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Macdonald has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1099. Is there any discussion? Any discussion? If not,...those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

JB 1311 Reading

3rd reading. 1161, Senator Welch. Senator Welch on the Floor? Page 9. 1222, Senator Jones. 1281, Senator Kustra. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1281, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1281.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 1308, Senator Philip. Senator Philip, 1308? 1308. 1311, Senator Bloom. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is...is 1311, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1311.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Ploor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading...Senator Bloom, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR BLOOM:

I'd like to state for the record that I have...I have yet to hear from...or we have yet to hear from the carriers and the doctors who are continuing their discussion on other matters. And I have advanced it to 3rd and will bring it back on recalls because also Senator Jones has...some input on

AB 1321 2nd Reading

that. Okay?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senate Bill 1321, Senator Marovitz. Senator Marovitz on the Floor? 1338, Senator Joyce, Jerome Joyce. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1338, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1338.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. With leave of the Body, we'll return to 1321. Senator Marovitz is on the Floor, I inadvertently did not see him. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1321. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (HR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1321.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 1339, Senator Jeremiah Joyce. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1339, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1339.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Schaffer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

This bill which mandates maximum class size in K through 3rd grade of fifteen has fiscal implications the first year of somewhere between forty and a hundred and seventy-three million and the second year of ninety to two hundred and seventy-two million according to the fiscal note. The amendment that I filed simply says that should the State...should we, as the State, fail to fund the education at fifty-one percent, that the school districts are no longer required to comply with the law. You'll recall, I've filed several of these amendments. This is because of the nature of the bills it's difficult to have a uniform amendment, but it's basically, if we aren't going to pay for it, we aren't going to mandate it kind of an amendment. Be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Joyce. Jeremiah Joyce.

SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

What does the fiscal note have to do with your amendment? You mean, you just...how much...how much share of it you honor? Or is this a...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schaffer. Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Quite frankly, if the bill had only cost a hundred and fifty bucks, I wouldn't have bothered to put the amendment

on; two hundred and seventy-two million dollars kind of got my attention. And I figure if we're going to mandate the...the potential of that kind of expenditure, we ought to be at least funding education at fifty-one percent, and if we aren't going to do that, then the mandate ought to go by the boards. Although the school districts could still continue to meet the goal if they chose to.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator ... Joyce.

SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

Well, I was so wrapped up in your fiscal note report that I didn't pay attention to what the amendment does, so would you...take me through it again. I know this is only about the fourteenth time you've offered this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Actually, it's only the third, it just seems like fourteen. Basically, what the amendment says is if the State does not appropriate sufficient funds to pay at least fiftyone percent of the instructional cost of the public schools of the State for any school year, no school district is required to implement or continue to implement the provisions of this section for the following school year, although a board may, however, at its discretion continue...such implementation. If we aren't going to at least hit fifty-one percent, then I don't think we have any right to mandate this extremely expensive change, which by the way is...is not a bad idea. I don't ... I'm not against the concept of the bill, it's just if we aren't going to pay for it and if we aren't at least going to get to fifty-one percent of cost, I don't think we have any right to mandate it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

... Senator Joyce. Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

Well, if you're not against the concept, then I won't be against your amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Schaffer may close. Senator Schaffer has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1339. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 1358, Senator D*Arco. 1360. 1366, Senator Sommer. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1366, Mr. Secretary. Read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1366.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DENUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Sommer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:

This amendment is technical, suggested by the Reference Bureau.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sommer moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1366. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Sommer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:

This is the amendment...suggested by the committee. It places in the bill a reverter and also creates an object of appropriation so that this has to be appropriated every year. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

... Senator Sommer moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1367. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Sommer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:

There should be no Amendment No. 3.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading...

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments on...on 1366.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

On 1366, 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1367, Senator Sommer. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1367. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1367.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Sommer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:

This is the suggested committee amendment, it creates a reverter and also an appropriation object for each year.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sommer...Senator Sommer has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1367. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No...no further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 1371, Senator Netsch. Bottom of page 9.
Senator Netsch...1371. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd
Reading is Senate Bill 1371, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1371...Senate Bill 1371.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Netsch, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR NETSCH:

SB1391
And reading

Page 134 - May 20, 1985

Thank you, Mr...thank you, Mr. President. Just to make this point. We are still working on some possible amendments to that bill but in accordance with the request that we keep the paper work moving, I am moving it to 3rd and we will bring it back when the amendments are prepared. Thank you. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DENUZIO)

Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Top of page 10. 1389. 1391, Senator D'Arco. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1391. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1391.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator D'Arco.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. I is the agreed amendment between the consumer groups and the department. And what it says is that the department, when it...when a person files a complaint before the department it shall notify them of this option they have that within three hundred days of the expiration...I mean, within thirty days of the expiration of the three hundred-day period the complainant can opt to file...refile his complaint with the Human Rights Commission instead of the department. I don't know of any opposition and I would ask a favorable vote on the adop-

tion of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Any discussion? Senator D'Arco moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1391. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 1392, Senator D'Arco. 1399. 1402, Senator Collins. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1402, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1402.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Labor
and...Commerce offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator...Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 1 is a clarifying amendment; however, I am waiting for an amendment that would...and I will be Tabling that amendment later. So I would request leave to have the bill moved to 3rd reading and then I will move it back for that amendment as soon as it is ready.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

You...you want to move to adopt the committee amendment then. Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

I...I move to adopt Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Collins moves to adopt Committee
Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1402. Any discussion? If

not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 1404, Senator Collins. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1404, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1404.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Labor and Commerce offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I move to Table Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins moves to Table Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1404. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Collins.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Committee...Floor Amendment No. 1 attemps to clarify the original intent of the Illinois Job Development Corps. It puts the responsibility for administering the corps in the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs. It provide for a more detailed definition and a procedure for selected membership of the corps with priorities given to those persons on general assistance and AFDC. It establishes clear-cut guidelines for the administration of the corps and for those agencies that would be participating in the corps. It...establishes centers to...for training...for...job skill development and a system by which the participants of the corps would participate in the training centers on a...one day out of a week. And I would move for the adoption of...Floor Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1404. Is there any discussion? Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Collins.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

I think there's some confusion, Amendment No. 3...I
Tabled Committee...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Oh, I'm sorry...Amendment No. 3 was just simply...it provided...it gave preference to participants in the job development...corps to those persons on general assistance and public aid. And I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DENUZIO)

All right. Senator Collins has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1404. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1405, Senator Collins. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1405, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1405.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue and subsequent referral to Committee on Labor and Commerce offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Okay. Committee Amendment No. 1...deletes tax increment financing districts from the Act. I move for its adoption. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DENUZIO)

Senator Collins has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1405. Is there any discussion? If not, those in favor...Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DEANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President, that's not what our analysis

says committee...Committee Amendment No. 1 is.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Well, I'm...I'm reading what I have here and it says limit the abatement to enterprise zone the area and reinstates that no taxes shall be abated in tax increment financing district. I...I don't know what yours has.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Collins has...Collins has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1405. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No...Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by the same committee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Okay. Amendment No. 2 limit tax abatement in enterprise zone the area so that no existing Illinois business moving into the zone may be granted abatement privileges, and it further provides that such abatements will not apply to homes or residents and such abatements terminates after a period of two years. I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well...Senator Collins has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1405. Is there any discussion? Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Well, I just think that...in our analysis book here...we have things mixed up. We have Amendment No. 1 the one that you just said is Amendment No. 2 and the reverse.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, I...I'm told...

SENATOR KARPTEL:

Can we...can we get that straightened out?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, I'm told by the Secretary that that's precisely what...what has happened. I think Senator Collins is now explaining Amendment No...Committee Amendment No. 1 and we've already adopted Committee Amendment No. 1 and...that is what...that is the information that we have here in the...what...why don't you...why don't you spend a minute and come down here and talk with the Secretary one second. So,...in fact, the...on the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1, Senator Collins explained Amendment No...Committee Amendment No. 2, and now we are on now the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 and you are at liberty to define Amendment...Committee Amendment No. 2. Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Okay. Point of order. So now I should go back over and...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, we've already adopted Committee Amendment No. 1, we are now on the Committee Amendment No. 2 and your...your discussion should be confined to...to that and if you...wish to mention...

SENATOR COLLINS:

Okay...okay, then...then...Amendment No. 2 limit tax abatement to enterprise zones and reinstate that no taxes shall be abated in tax increment financing districts. That's Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins...Senator Collins...

SENATOR COLLINS:

Move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

...move the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1406, Senator Collins. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1406, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

END OF REEL

REEL #4

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1406.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1408, Senator Collins. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1408. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1408.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Local Government, subsequently referred to the Committee on Labor and Commerce offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yes, Committee Amendment No. 1 creates the Small Business Bonding Assistance Board. It establishes this...the...the members of that board which shall be the...the board shall consist of the Secretary of Transportation, the chief officer of the Capital Development Board, the State...Comptroller, which will be the chairman, and one member from Illinois Development Financial Authority, and I move for its adoption. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins has moved the adoption of Committee

Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1408. Any discussion? Senator Hudson.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Would the sponsor of the amendment answer a question or two?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

She indicates she will yield. Senator Hudson.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Earlean, in creating this board, could you give us a little more insight as to what the...what the duties and what the responsibilities of the board would be, its prerogatives. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

The...the...the duty of this board is to establish a guidelines for the allocation and administration of the Small Business Bonding Act.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Hudson.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Would establish the guidelines. Now, what...what areas would those guidelines cover?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

It...it will relate specifically as to how the bonding monies would be...would be used. It will determine whether or not one is qualified for it. It will determine whether or not this person had in fact...could not in fact receive bonds on the tradition...secure bonds on a traditional...in the traditional market...other guidelines...that have been set forth in the Act that they would promulgate rules and regulations to ensure that the Act was administrated properly.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Collins has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1408. Those in favor will signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Collins.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Amendment No. 2 simply clarifies and...because in...in the beginning, we wasn't too sure about how much money would be coming into this fund, and then after more in-depth studying and calculation of the possibilities of the funds we found that there would be enough funds in this...created in this fund to provide for start-up monies and assistance to small business. So what we...this amendment does, it simply state that fifty percent of the money would be used to secure bonds and then fifty percent would be used to make revolving loans to small business, and I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1408. Any discussion? Senator Maitland. SENATOR MAITLAND:

Well, thank you, Mr. President. Just...just for clarification, our...again, our records indicate that there was no committee amendment, that both of these are...are Floor amendments. I think...was there a committee amendment adopted?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

We just adopted Committee Amendment No. 1. Mr. Secretary, was there...was that a committee amendment? Labor and Commerce. The Secretary indicates there was indeed a committee amendment. Senator Maitland. All right. Further discussion? Senator Collins has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1408. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is...adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DENUZIO)

3rd reading. 1414, Senator Kustra. 1415, Senator Philip. 1417, Senator Barkhausen. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1417, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1417.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Barkhausen.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Mr. President and members, Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1417 is in response to concerns on the part of the Department of Registration and Education and the Illinois Association of Realtors, who pointed out that...although our original bill did basically the same things that this bill did that the amended bill which is in the form of this amendment would provide that the Department of Registration and Education is the enforcer of the regulations that are proposed in this

bill, and the realtors are also concerned that those who are dealing in interests in time sharing estates be registered as sales agent. I'd be happy to try to answer any questions, otherwise, would move the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Any discussion? Senator Barkhausen has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1417. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senator...Philip has indicated that he is ready on Senate Bill 1415. With leave, we'll return to that. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1415, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1415.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 just strips everything after the enacting clause, leaves it a shell bill. I move the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Philip has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. I to Senate Bill 1415. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have

Page 1

Page 147 - May 20, 1985

it. Amendment...Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (NR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1422, Senator Marovitz. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, bottom of page 10, is Senate Bill 1422, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1422.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Top of page 11, Senate Bill 1426, Senator Zito. 1429, Senator Jeremiah Joyce. Senator Jeremiah Joyce on the Floor? On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1429, Nr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1429.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

physic pind 1452 Reading

Page 148 - May 20, 1985

3rd reading. 1436. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1436, Mr. Secretary, read the bill. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1436.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Ploor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1443. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd...2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1443, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1443.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DENUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1444, Senator Jerome Joyce.

Senator Jerome Joyce on the Floor? 1452, Senator Jeremiah

Joyce. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate

Bill 1452, Mr. Secretary, read the bill, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1452.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1454, Senator Kustra. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1454, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1454.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Kustra.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Kustra.

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is a technical amendment and I urge its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Kustra has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1452. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 1458, Senator Collins. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1458, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1459.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Executive, subsequent referral to the Committee on Labor and Commerce offers

Amendments Nod. 1 and 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.

I would like to move to Table Committee Amendments No. 1 and
2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Collins seeks to...moves to Table
Amendments...Committee Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill
1458. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay.
The Ayes have it. Committee Amendments No. 1 and 2 are
Tabled. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Collins.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment No. 3 clarifies and combine Amendment...Committee Amendments No. 1 and 2. It clarifies the intent of the...the law. It provides for a five-year financing and resource development plan, and it put back the advisory council and it...it provides for the...the creation and making of small business loan guarantee. And I would move for its adoption-PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1458. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Collins.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins. Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

We have a problem. I...I think what...I think I should have Tabled...withdraw Floor Amendment No. 3 and then we will move No. 4. That's...that's probably what I have to do.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Collins, having voted on the prevailing side,...seeks to reconsider the vote...Senator Collins seeks...having voted on the prevailing side,...moves to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 3 was adopted. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed...Senator Hudson.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I wondered if copies of this amendment that's either being passed or not passed or voted up or not voted up have been distributed?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, we have already adopted three. Perhaps we could reconsider this, let her Table 3...at that point, she can be passing out Amendment No. 4.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Then she can...all right. Very good.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Is that...is that...does that meet with your approval? SENATOR HUDSON:

Okay.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. So, Senator Collins moves to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 3 was adopted. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The vote is reconsidered. Senator Collins now seeks to Table

Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill...Senator Collins now moves to Table. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is Tabled. Now, Senator Collins, perhaps you could share with Senator Hudson Amendment No. 4; and I will make the announcement to the Body, that we will be going to the Order of 3rd Reading as soon as we are...have concluded our business on 2nd reading, and we will be beginning on the top of page 32, Senate bills 3rd reading with Senate Bill 1378. So, Senator Jones, if you are in the Chamber, you're first one out of the chute. (Machine cutoff)...amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Collins.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator...Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

...thank you, Mr. President, members of the Body. Amendment No. 4 differs from Amendment No. 3 only in that it provides for loan guarantees rather than loans to small business with low interest rates, and I will move for its...its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1458. Any discussion? Senator Karpiel.

Well, I just want to ask, if Amendment No. 3 was the amendment, I mean...when...when Committee Amendments No. 1 and 2 were Tabled, and Amendment No. 3 was supposed to be an amendment which clarified the...Amendments No. 1 and 2 and combined those two and now we Tabled those. So, now, No. 4 really doesn't address that problem. You said it just changes that one thing. So it includes everything as in 1 and 2?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yes, it does.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR COLLINS:

...and...and the one that we Tabled...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

... Senator... Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

...the Floor amendment that we Tabled did exactly what I said, but then Amendment No. 4, the only difference is that we changed the small interest loans to guaranteed loans...after we found the money for loan guarantees. I'm sorry.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Does Amendment No. 4...did you say, then, it does...it puts back in the board that was taken out? Now that's the five-member board or the original thirteen-member board which is now in place.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

It put backs the advisory board that's already in place.
This is...is...is...in a...in an advisory capacity.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

...the present thirteen-member board. All right. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I urge a No vote and ask for a roll call. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Collins may close.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Well, I...I. realize that we don't have troops on this side, but I can tell you this, we're going to move the bill to 3rd reading and you would have an opportunity to debate the issue and to vote your conscience at that point. But this bill...this amendment most certainly makes this bill, Senator Watson, a far better bill than...than what it was when it passed committee, and I would suggest...you know, all of you to consider that. We don't have the troops today, but we sure will have them tomorrow. I'll ask for favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator...Senator Collins has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1458. Roll call has been requested. Those in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 4 will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have...have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 28, the Nays are 28, none voting Present. Amendment No. 4 having failed to receive the required majority vote is declared lost. Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Verification of the negatives.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins...thanks to Senator Watson, Senator...Senator Collins has sought a verification of the negative...the negative votes. Mr. Secretary, would you please read the negative...those that have voted in the negative.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

The following voted in the negative: Barkhausen. Bloom. Coffey. Davidson. DeAngelis. Donahue. Dudycz. Dunn. Etheredge. Fawell. Friedland. Geo-Karis. Hudson. Karpiel. Keats. Kustra. Macdonald. Mahar. Maitland. Philip. Rigney. Rupp. Schaffer. Schuneman. Sommer. Topinka. Watson. Weaver.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins, do you question the...anyone who voted in the negative?

SENATOR COLLINS:

Senator Keats.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Keats on the Floor? Strike his name.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Senator Barkhausen.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Barkhausen on the Floor? Senator Barkhausen on the Floor?...Senator Barkhausen on the Floor?

SENATOR COLLINS:

Strike his name.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

... Senator Barkhausen is on the Floor.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Senator Fawell.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DENUZIO)

Senator Fawell on the Floor? Senator Fawell on the Floor? Strike her name.

SENATOR COLLINS:

That's it. Senator Sommer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sommer. Senator Sommer on the Floor? Senator Sommer is behind you on the Democratic side.

SENATOR COLLINS:

That's it ... that's it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator...Senator Collins, do you question any...anyone else on the negative? You do not. Senator Hudson, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR HUDSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. As you might well imagine, we've gone this far, we might as...well verify the affirmative vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Hudson...Senator Hudson has requested a verification of the affirmative roll call. The Secretary will read the affirmative.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

The following voted in the affirmative: Berman. Carroll. Chew. Collins. D'Arco. Darrow. Dawson. Degnan. Demuzio. Hall. Holmberg. Jones. Jeremiah Joyce. Jerome Joyce. Kelly. Lechowicz. Lemke. Luft. Marovitz. Nedza. Netsch. O'Daniel. Poshard. Savickas. Vadalabene. Welch. Zito. Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Hudson, do you request...do you question anyone who's voted in the...the affirmative? Senator Hudson.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Senator Dawson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Dawson on the Floor? Strike his name.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Senator Jones.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Jones on the Floor? Senator Jones. Strike his

SENATOR HUDSON:

Senator Netsch.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Netsch is in her seat.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Marovitz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz on the Floor? Senator Marovitz on the Floor? Strike his name. Senator Hudson, do you question... SENATOR HUDSON:

Okay. What's the count?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Do you question...do you...Senator...Senator Hudson, do you question any other person voting in the affirmative?

SENATOR HUDSON:

All right. Senator Lechowicz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Lechowicz is sitting in his seat. Senator Jones is now on the Floor. Restore his name.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Senator Degnan.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Degnam is in the back of the Chamber.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Senator Hall.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall on the Floor? Strike his name.

SENATOR HUDSON:

That's good. All right. Let her qo.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Davidson, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Since you had struck Senator Jones, name and restored him, Senator Fawell is back on the Floor. Has she been restored on the negative vote?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Just a moment. Senator Fawell is on the Floor. Her name will be restored. Both members are on the Floor. They're both being restored to the roll call; otherwise, we will just simply go through another roll call. There are 25 affirmatives...voting Yes, 27 voting No. The...the amendment fails. Senator Collins, for what purpose do you arise? SENATOR COLLINS:

Point of order.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

State your point.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Now, it was my understanding that if one returns to the floor while we are in the process of verifying that particular roll call, then that person can be added to the...to the...to the roll call. However, when...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

That is in fact...that is in fact what we did.

SENATOR COLLINS:

...when Senator Fawell turned...returned to the Floor we were not on that roll call, we were verifying the...the affirmatives.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

We most certainly were on...on the verification of the roll call. Senator Fawell returned to the Floor, she's been restored. Otherwise, we would simply go through another verification. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. All right. With leave of the Body, we'll go to House bills 2nd reading. I understand that there is...an emergency bill that Senator Davidson has made a request be moved. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading,

Page 159 - May 20, 1985

AB 1388 pending

page 33, is House Bill 116. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.
ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 116.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (NR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. It's House Bill 116, Mr. Secretary,...put that on the board. 3rd reading. Top of page 32, on the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading, with leave of the Body, we'll go to that order of business. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1378. Senator Jones. 1382, Senator Smith. 1387, Senator Savickas. 1388, Senator Coffey. 1388. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1388, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1388.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Coffey.

SENATOR COFFEY:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate...Senate Bill 1398 amends the Public Aid Code, which requires workfare program for eligible AFDC and general assistance recipients. It also redefines the time period of sanctions against...uncooperative workfare recipients. Actually what this bill does, it sets up with the Department of Public Aid an opportunity...an opportunity for public aid recipients and other welfare recipients to be able to work

for the dollars that they receive. I think this bill is a...a good bill. It gives dignity to those people that are entitled to be able to feed their families, and that's...exactly what this bill does. It does exempt those mothers that have preschool children from the workfare program, unless they...theirselves would request to participate in that program. Be glad to answer any questions that you might have in regards to this issue.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1388 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1388 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 1397, Senator Darrow. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1397.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Darrow.

SENATOR DARROW:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1397 provides that an applicant must hold a valid Illinois driver's license or furnish a certificate...certified abstract of his driving record from the state in which he resides as a qualified...qualification for license as an instructor for driver's training. What's occurred is in border cities where they have driving schools, they have been unable to find driving instructors and have had to go to other states...the adjoining state, and current law prohibits them from doing that since one of the requirements is that the person have an

Illinois driver's license. This opens it up a little. I'd ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1397 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 48, the Nays are none, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1397 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 1419, Senator Darrow. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1419.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Darrow.

SENATOR DARROW:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1419 is a...has been prepared by Chapman and Cutler. What has occurred in 1984, the Federal tax laws were changed and created...ambiguities in the legal Statutes of tax exempt bonds issued to finance airport...projects. This legislation clarifies those ambiguities and also allows airport authorities to secure...lower rates of interest when they borrow funds. I'd ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1419 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are none, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1419 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 1421, Senator

Newhouse. 1425, Senator Luft. Luft, do you want...1430, Senator Darrow. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1430.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Darrow.

SENATOR DARROW:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1430 was requested by the state's attorneys. What it does is change the penalty for violation of the Medical Practice Act from a Class A misdemeanor to a Class 4 felony. The penalty for subsequent violation is changed from a Class 4 felony to a Class 2 felony and I ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1430 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1430 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 1432, Senator Carroll. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1432.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This also comes as a request from the various state's attorneys to amend the Criminal Code to allow us...by

removal of the Statute of limitation to allow prosecution of the offenses of perjury, subordination of perjury, or communicating with jurors or witnesses absent a specific Statute of the limitations. This would eliminate that Statute so that it would fall in the same category as the offenses of murder, manslaughter, treason, arson or forgery, and I would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Favell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the speaker yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He will.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Just out of curiosity, when I had the same bill, why didn't you vote for it in committee?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

It has been suggested to me that maybe it was a bad sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

If there's no further discussion, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1432 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are 1, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1432 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 1433, Senator Darrow. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1433.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Darrow.

SENATOR DARROW:

Thank you, Mr. President. Again, this is a state's attorney's bill. What it does is it...any person practicing medicine without a license commits a battery, if he makes physical contact with the patient. If bodily injury occurs or if the offender administers drugs, the offense becomes an aggravated battery. Furthermore, battery of a state's attorney or assistant state's attorney or state's attorney investigator becomes an aggravated battery if the offender knows the victim's official designation and the official is harmed or...as a result of or in the performance of his official duties. I'd ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Mr. President and members, I'm not going to stand in violent...opposition to this bill, but I...I just think a couple of things should be pointed out. This bill is amending the Criminal Code to say that it's battery or aggravated battery to engage in certain types of unauthorized practice of medicine. Senator Darrow has just sponsored and we just passed out of here Senate Bill 1430 which stiffens the penalties for violations of the Medical Practice Act, and I would simply ask whether having done that we need also take this action to amend the Criminal Code provisions dealing with battery and aggravated battery to provide narrow and specific provisions for this type of unauthorized practice of law. I would only suggest that I think this type of activity on the part of doctors is already covered by the Medical Practice Act and the sanctions, particularly as...as we just amended them, I think are...are stiff already and I would question whether these additional provisions in the Criminal Code are necessary. For that reason, I'm voting Present.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President. A guestion of the sponsor...yield.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Senator Darrow, this is the first time I've seen this bill, and...and a...and a point in the synopsis catches my eye and it deals with...with somewhat not licensed administering a drug to an individual...and the question arises what...what about the...the high school coach or the trainer who in fact is not licensed and...and may well administer a drug to a...to a participant, is that a problem?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Darrow.

SENATOR DARROW:

No. The specific language is this. "A person practicing medicine without a license who in the context of a doctor-patient relationship administers to an individual or causes him to take an...any intoxicating, poisonous, stupe-fying narcotic or anesthetic substance commits aggravated battery." It has to be...first of all, the person has to be practicing medicine without a license; and secondly, it has to be in the context of a doctor-patient relationship. So that would not pertain to your situation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank...thank you, Mr. President. I...I know how on...especially with college and university basketball...all

sport...all teams, it is in fact a...a...almost a doctor-patient relationship, and I...I guess I'm a little bit concerned about how far we're going here. That almost becomes a doctor-patient relationship, but I...I have some concerns about that very honestly.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to answer...ask a question, please, of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR WATSON:

Does this affect the Pharmacy Act in any way?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Darrow.

SENATOR DARROW:

No...not...not to my knowledge it doesn't. It doesn't make reference to the Pharmacy Act...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Okay. Fine. Another question, if...situation in which a patient goes into a doctor's office and this is a...what we in the pharmacy world called a dispensing doctor in which he gives out medication and then charges the patient for that medication, if, in fact, this patient receives the medication from a nurse or a clerk or a typist, is...are they affected? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Darrow.

SENATOR DARROW:

They should not be, but we're going...that's one issue that we want to clarify when it gets over to the House. This came to our attention...late last week and our intention is

to have the House sponsor...clarify that over in committee and amend it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

In what way are we going to clarify?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Darrow.

SENATOR DARROW:

Well, that's what we...I'll tell you the way it rose, the Nursing Association was concerned, as you are; they are the ones that asked for further clarification of this, and I told them that we would give them that in committee, that we would work with them on that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Indicates he will.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

You're saying then, under...as you know, nurses do administer some narcotics by hypodermics at the request of a doctor. Are you saying, then, that you are not intending by your bill to affect the rights of an...and treatment of a nurse if...when she administers a hypodermic, for example, of a narcotic, morphine or whatever it is. This would not apply to...the nurses. Is that right?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Darrow.

SENATOR DARROW:

The intention is not to punish any legitimate dispenser of medication such as nurses, nurses aides, people of that...those professions. What it is aimed at is a person

who holds himself out to be a doctor and who administers some sort of narcotic or intoxicating substance in order to get control over another individual and then take advantage of that individual whether it's for sexual purposes or for money or something else.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1433 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 37,...36, the Nays are 10, 3 voting Present. Senate Bill 1433 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 1442, Senator Sangmeister. Senate Bill 1451, Senator Welch. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1451.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. What this bill does is apportion the fines which may be levied under the Environmental Protection Act among the Hazardous Waste Fund, the Environmental Protection Agency, the prosecuting office and investigative agency. An amendment to this bill states that twenty percent of the penalty shall be deposited in the EPA Trust Fund to be expended in accordance with the Environmental Protection Trust Fund. If a state's attorney brought the action, twenty percent is to be retained by the state's attorney's office in that local county, but if the Office of the Attorney General brought the action, the funds would go to the Hazardous Waste Fund. Ten percent is to be retained

by the law enforcement agency which investigated the violation and is to be divided equally among the agencies if more than one agency was involved in investigation. This is basically a technical clean-up amendment to a bill that was previously passed, and I would move for its passage.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

What is the current distribution of these funds?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Currently, all of the funds go into the Environmental Protection Trust Fund. What this bill would do would give some money back to the localities involved.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

... Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

What is that fund used for?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator...Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

The...the Environmental Protection Trust Fund is used for the day-to-day operation to the EPA.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill... 1451 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are none, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1451 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 1456, Senator Nedza. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill...excuse me...Senate Bill 1456.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Nedza.

SENATOR NEDZA:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The bill as amended, the amendment was the ... was the bill. It deleted everything after the enacting clause. bill then permitted county clerks and municipal election boards to conduct a registration by means of a board of precinct administration. It prescribes the procedures and appointment of two judges of election designated administrative precinct officers, one chief and one deputy, and it empowers the administrative officers to register, canvass and restore voters within the precinct. The bill is was emulated by chairman of the Board of Election Commissioners of the City of Chicago, Mr. Michael Lavelle, and is a product of after consultation and concurrence with the political enities under his jurisdiction. I know of no opposition. The bill is permissive in nature and I would ask for your favorable consideration.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1456 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 45, the Nays are 4, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1456 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. We will now return to page 11 on Senate bills 3rd reading and start right at the top. On Senate bills 3rd reading, page 11, and we'll run through the Calendar and hopefully finish up tonight. Senate bills 3rd reading, Senate Bill 3, Senator Joyce. Senate Bill 11, Sena-

tor Jeremiah Joyce. Senate Bill 12, Senator Keats. 14, Senator D'Arco. 17, D'Arco. 32, Jeremiah Joyce. 36, Jeremiah Joyce. 39, Senator Hall. 42, Senator Topinka. 48, Sangmeister. 54, Senator Marovitz. 55, Marovitz. 57, Marovitz. 60, D'Arco. 62, Dawson. 77, Senator Maitland. 79, Vadalabene. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary...81, Vadalabene. Read that one, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 81.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate Bill 81 amend...provides that the State policemen who retire at age fifty-five under the early retirement provision are not eligible for automatic increase until they reach the age of sixty, and this amendment grants them the three percent yearly increase at the age of fifty-five. This bill is strongly supported by the Department of Law Enforcement, the Fraternal Order of Police, the State Police Command Officers, the Association and the Retired State Police Officers Association. And although the Office of the State Employees Retirement...Retirement System...assisted in the drafting of Senate Bill 81 and provided a great deal of input, they have no official position on the bill, and I would appreciate a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Rupp.
SENATOR RUPP:

Thank you, Mr. President. What I would like to point out is just the fact the cost of this particular thing. It indicates to us an increase in the accrued liability of twenty-

eight million dollars. The first year cost is eight hundred thousand dollars. I'd like to have the sponsor indicate if those figures are correct.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes,...Senator Rupp, the State Employment Retirement...Retirement System estimates this amendment will result in an increase in accrued liability of twenty million dollars and an increase in the first year payout of two hundred thousand. That already has been adjusted and corrected.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rupp.

SENATOR RUPP:

Yeah. Who...who did that, Sam...Senator Sam?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

The...the officials in the State Employees Retirement System.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNENAN:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Well, I rise in opposition to this bill. It's a hard bill to oppose but...because it does involve pensions for State police, but I think everybody ought to be aware of a couple of things. Number one, what we're being asked to do here...would increase the unfunded accrued liability of the pension system thirty-three million dollars...thirty-three million dollars. The other thing that we ought to be aware of is that...at the present time, our State police can retire at age fifty. Now, I think those people who retire at age fifty, if you take a look around, you'll find many of them are working in second

jobs, first of all; secondly, the present law does give people once they reach age sixty the automatic annual increase in their pension. What the bill in its original form sought to do was give them that increase at age fifty. The sponsor has amended the bill, as I understand it, so that now the bill is in the posture that that automatic increase would begin at age fifty-five. I suggest to you that we probably ought to leave it just the way it is, and that means that the automatic increases would take effect at age sixty. I urge opposition to the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Well, I think most of the points have been made now. I was just going to indicate on behalf of the Pension Laws Subcommittee of Economic and Fiscal that the very high cost of this bill has been pointed out, and although, I gather, an amendment which I have not seen may have reduced that somewhat, it is still a high cost in terms of accrued liability as well as annual payout, and I think it's something that is a matter of great concern.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Chew.

SENATOR CHEW:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. We're not talking about an Amos and Andy operation here. We're talking about the Illinois State Police Department. By...by the virtue of the work, it's a danger involved, and yet, you might ask a question, why a policeman? Well, I'll ask the question, why not a policeman? These people keep the peace in this State and the hazard of the job is double that of an ordinary citizen who's working. Now some people jump to a conclusion about the high cost. I think Senator Vadalabene answered that adequately by indicating it had been

handled and reduced, and I would hate to see Illinois' finest being denied the right to this retirement benefit. I saw the report that was issued by the subcommittee, but I question what right does the subcommittee have to issue that drastic report and recommending do not approve. Well, you know, if we want to be realistic about what we're doing, why not approve? Senate Bill 81 is a good piece of legislation. It does a group of people a good and a good is a necessity, and in this case, I don't think we ought to deny the Illinois State Police Department the right in which they rightfully deserve, and I would ask an Aye vote and let's pass this bill on out. Let's put it on the Governor's Desk, get it signed, let these people enjoy that benefit which they rightfully deserve.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Vadalabene may close.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, I just want to make one observation. Possibly some of the people did not see the Amendment No. I to the bill, and the early retirement provision are not eligible for automatic increase until they reach the age of sixty although they can retire at the age fifty-five. And, like Senator Chew has said, the State troopers are a vital organization to our country and to our State. We need these people. They're out on the highways, they sacrifice their lives, and whatever we can do for our State troopers should be appreciated, and I would ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further...the question is, shall Senate Bill 81 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 26, the Nays are 18, none voting

30 pending

Present. Senate Bill 81 having failed to receive a constitutional majority is declared passed...lost. It's getting a little late. Senate Bill 91, Senator Marovitz. Senate Bill...Senate Bill 91, Senator Marovitz. Senate Bill 92, Senator Etheredge. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

Senate Bill 92.

SECRETARY:

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 92 would establish the Illinois Math and Science Academy. The academy is designed to be an institution to serve approximately eight hundred extraordinarily gifted, and I underline the word extraordinarily gifted, young men and women from all over the State of Illinois in a residential environment. The program would extraordinarily challenging, one designed to serve the...the very particular and very demanding educational needs of the Albert Einsteins, the Jonah Salks...of...of the future. Think that this...the academy represents a...a good educational effort, and I think it is also important because it represents an ... an economic development thrust for the State of Illinois, because it identifies Illinois as one that is interested in education for its truly gifted young...young men and women. I would be very happy to respond to any questions that people might have.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Rupp. SENATOR RUPP:

I'd like to ask the sponsor a question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR RUPP:

What is the status of the academy for agriculture?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Senator, I cannot answer that question. The...I am aware of the fact that the Governor included a couple of other recommendations for academy...academies in his presentation on education last February or March, whenever it was, but, quite frankly, I'm not aware of the status of those other two academies, only the Math-Science Academy.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rupp.

SENATOR RUPP:

No. I just think that it should be noted that we have some smart people out in the country too, and I just think that if this is going to be a...to address the needs and...and the real needs of the State then I think that should be included in this bill, and I wish that that should be looked into.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

There have been comments that sometimes they're too smart, Senator. We have Senator Poshard, Collins, Fawell and Holmberg in that order. Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in support of this bill. I've worked for the past several years with academically talented youngsters, and I know that these children are some of the most discriminated against children in our educational system. They're bright, too often they're bored with their studies which do not challenge them to excel. This academy, I think, would offer the opportunity for these students to excel in perhaps two of the most criti-

cal areas which faces our State and our nation, those areas of science and mathematics which are conducive to the development of good high technology so that we might be able to compete for industry not just in this State but across the nation and...and...and the world. I'm hopeful that this Body will support this bill. I think it's good. I want to emphasize that this is not an elitist bill. These children come from every social, every economic walk of life. Many of the children in my area that I'm familiar with who would qualify for this academy, and I...and it is going to be geographically balanced throughout the State, many of these students come from very poor families, and I think this would provide an opportunity for them to excel in ways that they wouldn't have the ... opportunity to excel otherwise. So, in support of the bill and I would ask for your favorable support for it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I'd like for...for you to just stop for a moment and pay attention to what we're talking about, and let me first say that I don't think any of us here in this Chamber or anyplace in...in any position of responsibility and leadership in this State who would not take pride in knowing that Illinois was, in fact, the brain trust center of the world. However, we have heard a lot about education reform. We've heard a lot about Build Illinois in this State, and, yet, we are dealing here, right now, in an isolated way with one issue. I would like to see us just for a moment to stop and reflect and evaluate not just this piece of the Build Illinois Program but to make a determination, what are we going to do'in this State for rebuilding this State and make...first of all, we need to stop and prioritize how we going to go about and do

it. Yes, this was one of the...the programs outlined by the Governor in his Build Illinois Program and it is most certainly a very impressive initiative. It is something that the State really should have. But I...I question whether or not is there money available for education, some of the other types of reforms that I would think that most certainly would take a priority over this science academy. I'm not so sure that we can't accomplish the same goals through using some of the dollars that's already existing within our university systems. We may have to do that. I...I...I don't know, and first of all, I would like to know what is the price tag, Senator, on this particular item?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Yes, Senator, the...the Governor...has included five hundred thousand dollars...in his budget for...for planning purposes for this academy and the other two that he proposed. So the answer to your question is five hundred thousand dollars.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yeah. Well, I...I would just like to see us just...just hold and put the brakes on right now before we vote on any portion of Build Illinois Program.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Just a couple of statistics. Number one, twenty-five percent of our gifted children now drop out of school. Number two, we are forty-fifth as far as funding for gifted, and I think we ought to seriously think about the fact that we spend millions of dollars on one end

of the spectrum to teach our children, perhaps, how to tie their shoes or button their coats and with all...and I have all the sympathy in the world for people that have children like that. But perhaps if we invested in an academy such as this, we might indeed find out why those children exist and help them in the future generations, and I would certainly hope you would all vote Yes for this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen. the sponsor knows, I have had...long had real reservations about this approach to math-science education, although I will undoubtedly support the bill. I have felt that we may be establishing a precedent which is not too common to the United States. It's...it's the European method to establish residential schools far from home. It becomes expensive when you're paying for the residential expenses of students many, many miles from their home. It would be my preference to put the money into gifted education, to put it into regional centers where those students could live at home and perhaps go nearby to their community college. I think there are several other ways that we could do this. My concern is that we are not doing enough for math-science and that this may be the only proposal before us. I think we live in an age of technology. We must see that these very bright children have the teachers that they need. My own bill last Session which provides two hundred scholarships to teachers who want to be math-science teachers is one step; perhaps this is the only way we can go. It would be my hope that we might have used a different approach, but I understand that we...we do need some kind of expertise for these very bright students in the area of math and science.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

We have another list prepared now. We have Senator Maitland, Karpiel and Geo-Karis. Senator Maitland.
SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I, too, had reservations about this concept when it was first brought to my attention, and I gave it much consideration. Many of the positive statements have already been made but let me just simply add one...one to the list. Keep in mind that many young people especially in their senior year in high school have taken all the available courses that they really can use, and that year, especially the last semester, becomes a goof off time, and that's terrible loss to that bright young mind at age seventeen and eighteen years of age. By the same token, that first year in college is many times a lost period. I believe this bridges

that gap for those truly bright students, and I think the

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Karpiel. Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

bill should pass.

(Machine cutoff)...President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I have no reservations about this bill. We had to go and get...Wernher von Braun to help us in science. We had to go overseas to get some of the talent, and I think if that's the European method...and it speaks well for itself, I think it's worth trying here. I think we certainly should have competition and get the best, brightest minds from the tenth, eleventh and twelfth grade high school and first year of college students and...which...who are going to be selected on the basis of competitive examinations and let them work to...to strengthen their minds and help this country. That's the only way we're going to do it. I speak for the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Etheredge may close.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, very much. I think the...the arguments that have been presented in favor of the Math-Science Academy pretty well sum...cover the...cover the field. I think that we have the opportunity by voting Aye on this piece of legislation to...to strike a blow for education for the gifted here...here in the State of Illinois. It has already been pointed out in...in debate that the most neglected students in all of our schools are the gifted. I'm confident that by the establishment of the Illinois Math-Science Academy we'll not...not only will...will we be able to serve the extraordinarily gifted but also that we will be able to provide and generate support for gifted programs throughout all the grades, all the schools in the State of Illinois. I would ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 92 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 50, the Nays are 2, 1 voting Present. Senate Bill 92 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 96, Senator Fawell. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

REEL #5

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 96.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, very much. This is a bill that was brought to me by the...the Department of Education and Registration plus the Illinois Psychology...Psychological Association. Basically what it does is define what a clinical psychologist is and what a...a...a regular psychologist is. I have been working with...University of Illinois, University of Chicago, University of Northwestern, the Illinois Psychologists' Society, the school psychologists. I...I think it's basically agreed. If there is any problems with it whatsoever, Dan Pierce is now working with...with the groups and...and we'll straighten anything out in the House, and I would ask for a favorable vote...or answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Schaffer. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Well, Dan Pierce is a great guy and these are wonderful people. This is another one of those registration bills. I think we got sixty-seven of them in this year. We're going to register anything that moves... is going to be signing up with R and E. Well, maybe we ought to register the legislators, maybe we ought to put a bounty on them. What does this do if it doesn't register them?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAMELL:

They're...they're already registered. This is...this is really a clean-up type thing. It's...it's amending the current Act so that we can...they are registered already.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Fawell, what does it do?

SENATOR FAWELL:

It...it clears up the definition of what a psychologist is. It has a two-tier system now. There's a clinical psychologist who has a two-year internship and then there's the psychologist...it's a clean-up bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Does it bring any additional people in under the umbrella? Why...why are we doing it? Who wants it?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

We're...we're doing it because we've had some problems with the...maybe the staff can explain it to you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Well, all the groups have gotten together and agreed on this bill, and that...that takes care of about five thousand people, the other eleven million are unaccounted for.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in support of this bill. A number of my constituents have raised problems that because of the confusion in the existing law, people that get

masters degrees and...and want to be clinical psychologists find themselves unable to qualify to take the examination. It's a real anomaly and I'm pleased to stand up in support of Senate Bill 36.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Fawell may close.

SENATOR FAMELL:

Thank you, very much. I think this is just basically a clean-up bill. It's exactly as the Senator on the other side of the aisle said. I have also run into some problems with the present language which is the reason why the bill was introduced, and I would ask for a...favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Question is, shall Senate Bill 96 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 40...the Ayes are 50, the Nays are none, none voting Present. Senate Bill 96 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 99, Senator Fawell. 101, Hall. 102, Etheredge. Senator Etheredge on 102. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 102, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 102.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. What this bill seeks to do is to remove an inequity that presently in...in treatment which presently exists between bus drivers who...are employed by

Page 185 - May 20, 1985

AB 110 ading

school districts and those that are employed by private contractors. At the present time, if a school bus driver is employed by a school district, the law says that they cannot file for unemployment compensation benefits during school holiday periods: however, if you happen to be a...a bus driver employed by a private contractor, it's a...the...you file for such unemployment benefits. the...this...this bill removes that inequity by saying that...bus drivers employed by private contractors will be treated exactly the same way as bus drivers employed by school districts. I would be very happy to respond to any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 102 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are none, none voting Present. Senate Bill 102 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 103, Senator Bloom. It's on the recall list. 110. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 110, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 110.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Bloom.

SENATOR BLOOM:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill has gotten less controversial with time. What it does, it gives the Commerce Commission some jurisdiction over direct sales off natural gas pipelines. It has two amendments; one, which is a

restrictive amendment that says that the State gets into this area only where the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission has not exercised jurisdiction, and the other one addresses natural gas cooperatives as defined in the Not-For-Profit Corporation Act. What it does is where...says if you have a situation, and there is one being contemplated in our part of the State working with CUB, where a new cooperative would not have a financial track record that the Commerce Commission could work to guarantee that...the financial viability for gas purchasing coop. up to a million dollars. Answer any questions, otherwise, seek a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 110 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are none, none voting Present. Senate Bill 110 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 112, Senator Jerome Joyce. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 112, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 112.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd...3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This creates the environmental toxicology program. What this says is that the Department of Public Health can go into areas and in...where there's problems where...for instance, in my district there was some kids that had a...a rash that no one could seem to explain

and...and when they tried to get someone from the...Department of Public Health or from the State to go in and...and see what...if...if there was anything that was causing this, there was...there was nothing to handle that sort of thing, so that's what this would do. It would say that the Department of Public Health can go in and see if there are environmental hazards or what have you in...in a community, and I'd be happy to answer any...any questions or, if not, I'd be happy to have your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

SENATOR WELCH:

Any discussion? Any discussion? Senator Welch.

I'd like to ask a question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Welch. SENATOR WELCH:

Senator Joyce, we had a problem in LaSalle and the Department of Public Health came in and did a study. They sent out computerized forms to everybody who worked at the electric utility company plant and they sent in a specialist to ask questions of everybody who worked there. Now, you're saying that they don't have the authority to do that...I want to point out, they never came up with the results and when they were in our Appropriations Committee, I told them I wanted the results, I haven't gotten the results yet. Are you saying now, they...they don't have the authority to do that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

They were not mandated to do it, Senator. If you would ask them to do it, they were not mandated to and, consequently, you didn't get your results.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Further discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 112 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are none, none voting Present. Senate Bill 112 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 114, Senator Joyce. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 114, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate... Senate Bill 114.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Jerome Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Yes, thank you. Really this creates a...a...a registry for underground storage tanks so that we will know what is in them. They have to report to the EPA and to the fire marshal if it is something that is flammable, and that's just basically what it is. It let's us know what is being stored in these tanks.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Schungman.

SENATOR SCHUNENAN:

As I look at this...my first time that I've looked at this bill, Senator. We're talking about registering all underground tanks. Now these would be gasoline tanks, I assume. What...what's the position of the petroleum

marketers, for example, on the bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

The Petroleum Council is in support, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Is there a size requirement on these tanks?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Yes, the...it is the same size that the Federal definition has. So, this...I don't know what it is.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

I've got a lot people in my area that...either farmers or people along the river that have boats that have tanks three, four or five hundred gallons underground and I'm not sure how they'd ever get notified. If we're talking about the big ones, that's one thing, but I just kind of wonder what the size is.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Residential and agriculture are exempt.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Yes, a question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Senator Joyce, you said the Illinois Oil Council had no opposition but the question was asked for the Illinois petro-leum marketers and they re entirely two different groups. What is the position of the Illinois Petroleum Marketers Association?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Well, Senator, I'm not aware that they've expressed any opposition.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

What about the AEI?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

What's the AEI?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Associated Employers of Illinois.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Well, there...there again,...they express no opposition, they didn't express anything.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Question is, shall Senate Bill...Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Is it still a part of the definition that an underground tank is one that has as little as ten percent of the tank surface buried underground?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

It...it...it very well might be, it just follows the Federal definitions in the Federal guidelines.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Riquey.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

I was wondering what the hazard is of a tank that's only, you know, ten percent underground. In other words, a...a tank almost laying on top of the ground would have that much under...you know, just settled it in the ground.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

If it's leaking where the...where it's underground, you wouldn't be able to see it. If it's aboveground, you would be able to see it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Well, I think quite the contrary. I think if there was only ten percent of it underground, you'd probably be able to spot it; whereas, if it was completely buried, you wouldn't, but I was just wondering why you chose that ten percent figure that's all.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JERONE JOYCE:

That's the U.S. EPA's definition.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Joyce, you wish to close? The question is, shall Senate Bill 114 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 37, the Nays are 10, 5 voting Present. Senate Bill 114 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Rock, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President, and I think the day has now come to a merciful end. Tomorrow we will...tomorrow we will begin with recalls. The Secretary tells me a number of members have filed amendments, and in order to expedite the processing, I suggest that we begin promptly at 10:00 a.m. tomorrow morning. We'll begin with recalls, attempt to accommodate the members who still have bills remaining on 2nd reading and, obviously, we have the subject of Senator Netsch's bill on public utilities, so it will be a long day. We'll start promptly at 10:00 a.m., and I would move, Mr. President, that we stand...once we finish the paper work, stand adjourned until ten o'clock tomorrow morning.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Berman, for what purpose do you arise? SENATOR BERMAN:

For purpose of an announcement. I just would like to add to Senator Rock's...Senator Rock's comments. Even though a lot of us don't pay much attention to recalls...this week, recalls are...might be the ball game because you've got bills that were moved without addressing the amendments and so I would urge that everybody be here at ten o'clock sharp.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, everybody get a bat. Resolutions.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution...the following resolutions are all congratulatory.

Senate Resolution 246, Senator Macdonald.

247, Senator Lemke.

248, Senator Bloom.

249, Senator Savickas.

250, Senator Jones.

251, Senator Jones.

252, Senator Jones.

And Senate Resolution 253, by Senator Macdonald is a death resolution.

And Senate Resolution 251, by Senator Dunn is a death resolution.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Consent Calendar.

SECRETARY:

Senate Joint Resolution...61.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Executive. Further business to come before the Senate? Senator Rock has moved that the Senate stand adjourned until Tuesday, May the 21st, at the our of ten o'clock. The Senate stands adjourned.