

83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

JUNE 19, 1984

PRESIDENT:

The hour of one having arrived, the Senate will please come to order. Will the members be at their desks. Will our guests in the galleries please rise. (Machine cutoff)..this afternoon by the Reverend Charles Kyle, St. Francis Xavier Church, Chicago, Illinois. Father.

REVEREND KYLE:

(Prayer given by Reverend Kyle)

PRESIDENT:

Thank you, Father. Reading of the Journal. Senator Johns.

SENATOR JOHNS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move that reading and approval of the Journals of Tuesday, June the 12th; Wednesday, June the 13th; Thursday, June the 14th; Monday, June the 18th, in the year 1984, be postponed pending arrival of the printed Journal.

PRESIDENT:

You've heard the motion as placed by Senator Johns. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries. It is so ordered. Committee reports.

SECRETARY:

Senator Carroll, chairman of Appropriations I Committee, reports out the following House bills: 2549, 2634, 2646, 2655, 2786 and 2788 with the recommendation Do Pass.

House Bills 2530, 2610, 2624, 2627, 2631, 2633, 2636, 2638, 2639, 2640, 2642, 2644, 2645, 2647, 2648, 2649, 2651, 2654, 2664, 2729, 2739, 2765, 2769, 2785 and 2821 with the recommendation Do Pass as Amended.

Senator Buzbee, chairman of Appropriations II Committee, reports out the following House bills: 2546, 2547, 2626, 2637, 2641, 2643, 2650, 2653 and 2776 with the recommendation Do Pass as Amended.

HB 243
3rd Reading

PRESIDENT:

Senator Johns, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR JOHNS:

Mr. President, could I take care of some...placing my name on bills now while we're in a lull?

PRESIDENT:

Indeed.

SENATOR JOHNS:

Okay. I'd like to be shown as a sponsor of House Bill 8, 2509, 2574, a hyphenated cosponsor with Demuzic, I believe, on 2787 and 2992. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT:

All right, the gentleman asks leave to be shown as co-sponsor of the...those bills. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. If you'll turn to page 3 on the Calendar, on the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, Secretary has informed the Chair that a number of amendments have and are currently being filed...for recall. We will compile a list and go to recalls at ten o'clock tomorrow morning. In the meantime, we are going to be on House bills 3rd reading. All right, we'll begin on page 3, on the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, so the first number of sponsors are Vadalabene, Hclmberg, Bruce, Hall, Buzbee, Vadalabene, Buzbee. I'd ask the members to please be ready. All right, the Senate will come to order. Will those not entitled to the Floor please vacate. I'd ask the staff to take their conferences off the Floor. We'll begin on the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading. There is some sentiment, I am told, for not working this weekend. The best way to do that is to get our work done ahead of the weekend. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 243. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 243.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and...members of the Senate. House Bill 243 is a bill that extends the coverage of House Bill 242, which was Public Act 83-773, hospitalization insurance coverage for retired fire...firefighters and their...and their dependents to those...municipalities that are self-insured. The cost for this coverage would be borne by the retiree or his dependent unless it is otherwise negotiated in a collective bargaining agreement, and I would appreciate a favorable vote.

PRESIDENT:

Is there any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 243 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 40 Ayes, 11 Nays, 1 voting Present. House Bill 243 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 876, Senator Holmberg. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, the bottom of page 3, is House Bill 876. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 876.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

This bill simply modifies the special education hearing procedures to conform with Federal rules and regulations. Consistencies were noted on the way

we...conducted...inconsistencies on the way we conducted hearings that were not in compliance with the Federal rules and regulations, and unless we pass this bill, the Federal Government says that our special education funds could be in danger. So, I sincerely ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 876 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 52 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 876 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 877, Senator Bruce. 1004, Senator Hall. Top of page 4, on the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 1004. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1004.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The rationale for House Bill 1004 is it establishes a local development program designed to address the depressed area problems of the State. It establishes a mechanism through which private enterprise can through cooperation with public entities develop a proposal with appropriate support and assurance for its survival and success. The problems of the depressed areas in Illinois have resulted in population loss from blight, decay and deterioration which have simultaneously caused and are due to wasted land use, the destruction of commercial housing, industrial and recreational

resources. It diminishes opportunity for and severe crippling of the housing industry. It causes woeful decreased employment opportunity, drain on the tax base and decreases effectants of public and private transportation. So, with this, it will assist and...and it'll be a great lift to these communities. I ask your most favorable support of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 1004 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 37 Ayes, 12 Nays, 3 voting Present. House Bill 1004 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 1123, Senator Vadalabene. On the Order of Senate...House Bills 3rd reading is House Bill 1123. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1123.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. House Bill 1123 is only applicable to the Metro-East Sanitary District and makes several internal changes. The main provision concerns the district's power to sell, lease or convey property. Currently, the district owns more than six thousand acres of land of which much is not being used for any purpose. Consequently, the district would like to have the authorization to sell, lease some of this land. This would cut down on overhead for unused land while also providing for new revenues to the district from the sale or leasing of the

areas, and I would appreciate a favorable vote.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 1123 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 1123 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 1186, Senator Buzbee. 1190, Senator Buzbee. 1302, Senator Demuzio. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 1302. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1302.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

(Machine cutoff)...very much, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 1302 amends the Coal Mining Act. It is a agreed bill between the coal operators and the United Mine Workers in Illinois. It will, in fact, provide for...on inspections for a union representative to accompany the inspector during his inspection of the mine. I don't know of any opposition to this bill. Amendment No. 1 was put on in the Senate to suggest that it was in the plural to suggest that representatives of the union could, in fact, accompany the inspector. We made that singular to the extent now where only one person can accompany that inspector during mine inspections, and I know of no opposition and I would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Is there any discussion? If not, the question, is shall House Bill 1302 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 52 Ayes, no Nays,...none voting Present. House Bill 1302 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Sangmeister on 1474. Senator Bruce on 1522. Senator Holmberg, 1839, top of page 5. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, top of page 5, is House Bill 1839. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 1839.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

House Bill 1839 creates the advanced technology equipment program. This program would provide competitive grants to community colleges needing additional funds for programs significantly impacted by advanced technology. The Illinois Community College Board has sole administrative authority and would establish the selection criteria. It would be a minimum of five grants a year, a maximum of twenty grants a year awarded. It is needed because it fills in the gap in our economic development program. It puts us in the ballpark with the State of South Carolina, with its high technology resource center program and enables us to attract new kinds of technology to the State.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill...I beg your pardon, Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Yeah, a question of the sponsor.

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates she'll yield, Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Senator Holmberg, I...I think I asked this in committee and I didn't get an answer; but currently...currently, the community colleges are awarded a substantial amount of money for different grants under Title 2A. Why couldn't that...this program not be part of that mechanism rather than an entirely new program with separate funding, conceivably duplicative with that particular program? Did you every entertain the possibility of running it through the Title 2A program?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

It is my understanding from the Illinois Community College System that there is no other project that does this. This is needed to supplement all the other existing projects that we have. It's...it's a real gap in...in our technology. The HITS program for instance just cannot be used this way and...

PRESIDENT:

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

...so there is no other way that it can be done. It needs to be done this way.

PRESIDENT:

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Well, Senator Holmberg, that wasn't answering my question. I agree with you, this program doesn't exist. What I am saying is that there is a funding mechanism in place which this program could be funded with. There is an eight percent

set-aside for educational institutions under the Title 2A, and that is quite a sizable amount of money, and I'm just wondering why you didn't incorporate it under that rather than spend an additional two million dollars which is unappropriated for this particular purpose. I'm not condemning the program, I'm just arguing about where you have placed it.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Under this program it...it would be possible that we would not even need monies from the community colleges themselves for matching grants. Money could come from the private sector. It opens up a whole new avenue and is truly innovative and truly a...an economy in the long-run to the State.

PRESIDENT:

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Well, without prolonging it, the same thing could be possible under those awards, under those eight percent set-aside.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, Mr. President, and a question to the sponsor.

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates she'll yield, Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Is there any reason why a college right now cannot do this? My understanding is the College of DuPage does exactly what you're saying. We have students who are trained on private industry machines, highly technical machines and, in fact, some of the companies that are in this business are loaning some of their machines to our college to have them

trained on their particular machines. Why isn't...why can't this be done throughout the State rather than spend the two million dollars that you're talking about?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Certain community colleges that base their funding on increased credit hours in particular have difficulty doing exactly what you're saying. They have...difficulty raising new money for new kinds of high technology. Some community colleges are able to do it very easily. The ones...like the ones in my area...Rock Valley Community College does not have access to these kinds of monies and it opens it up for them.

PRESIDENT:

Further...Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

I...I believe you misunderstood what I said, Senator. What I said was that the private industries either loan the machines to the college or the college takes the students over to the factory and teaches them there, so there are no public monies involved.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Any further discussion? Senator Holmberg, you wish to close?

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

It...it is true that some businesses do that, but more businesses would like to get involved...they would rather be able to give the equipment to the school.

PRESIDENT:

You wish to close?

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Yes, I ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDENT:

Question is, shall House Bill 1839 pass. Those in favor

will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 36 Ayes, 19 Nays, 1 voting Present. House Bill 1839 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2040, Senator Hall. 2211, Senator Degnan. 2296, Senator Demuzio. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 2296. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House...excuse me, House Bill 2296.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This bill is drafted by the Landmark's Preservation Council in Illinois. It provides...it's enabling legislation only and provides that the county boards will now have the same opportunity as the municipals as it pertains to the protection of...of historic landmarks. There is only two specific changes in the authority that has been granted to the municipals that we excluded in the...in this legislation and the county legislative, and that is that we've eliminated the provision for eminent domain and we have included a front-door referendum on the issuance of...of the bonds. The bill would, in fact, allow the county governments to establish landmark commissions and to designate landmark structures in historic districts utilizing the powers that are similar now to municipal governments with the two exceptions that I have so indicated. I don't know of any opposition, Mr. President, but I would be more than happy to respond to questions, and if not, ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Just a question of the sponsor, please.

PRESIDENT:

Indicates he'll yield, Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

I apologize, I was listening, now the two amendments we agreed in committee on eminent domain, you're saying those are the two on. Someone said there's a third amendment on the bill? I mean, I'm not aware of it and I couldn't find it.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

No, the two amendments that...that we agreed to and we, in fact, put on this bill at the request of Senator Rigney and concurred with myself was the...the elimination of the eminent domain provision which is currently granted to the municipals that was not allowed in this legislation, and second amendment was the amendment that provided for a front-door referendum on the issuance of bonds which is also not similar in nature to the municipal authority that's now granted, it would be...these are the only two changes and what...what is currently granted to municipal government in terms of landmark preservation.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Okay, thanks, it's an excellent bill. Someone had told me there was a third amendment and I figured I'd just quick ask. As long as there's no third, it's a fine bill. I'm for it. Thanks.

PRESIDENT:

HB 2332
3rd Reading

Further discussion? Senator Gec-Karis.

SENATOR GEC-KARIS:

Just one question of the sponsor, please.

PRESIDENT:

He indicates he'll yield, Senator.

SENATOR GEC-KARIS:

I just make sure if my understanding then, the bill as it has been amended, has a front-door referendum and does not contain eminent domain powers. Is that correct?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzic.

SENATOR DEMUZIC:

That is correct.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2296 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 2296 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 2321, Senator Schuneman. 2325, Senator Davidson. 2332, Senator Newhouse. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 2332. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2332.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Thank you, Mr...thank you, Mr. President and Senators. This original bill provided funding for emergency housing and emergency food for the homeless. It empowered the department

to do this. It was on the Agreed Bill List. It has since been amended with what was formerly House Bill 1663, and that amendment provides for emergency assistance to families to meet the criteria for AFDC eligibility. The bill was on...this...this bill and the amendment are both supported by the Department of Public Aid. I know of no objection to it and I would ask a favorable roll call.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2332 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 50 Ayes, 2 Nays, 1 voting Present. House Bill 2332 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 2339, Senator Davidson. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 2339. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2339.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, it does exactly what it says on the Calendar that it does. It is a pilot program. The amendment we put on was to make sure that all health care deliverers would make a report if they had an elderly citizen be abused. I'd appreciate a favorable roll call.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2339 pass. Those in favor will

vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 2339 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 2345, Senator Marcovitz. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 2345. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2345.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIG)

Senator Marcovitz.

SENATOR MARCOVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate Bill 2345 amends the Illinois Income Tax Act to exempt social security and railroad retirement benefits received by individuals for taxable years ending on and after December 31st of 1984. Since Illinois uses the Federal adjusted growth in calculating State taxable income, the State will also begin taxing these retirement benefits January 1st, 1984, as per the Federal Government. There are several reasons to decouple the State of Illinois from the Federal process. First of all, the reason for taxing these benefits at the Federal level is to help reduce the humongous budget deficit and to assist the social security tail-out plan. This rationale obviously does not exist on the State level. Secondly, I...even taxing social security of those in higher income brackets can set a very dangerous precedent here in the State of Illinois. If the tax threshold is twenty-five thousand dollars today, what's it...what's to be prevented from lowering that threshold to ten thousand dollars in the future? Illinois will automatically be locked

in unless we decouple. The taxation of social security and railroad retirement benefits is really unprecedented and is seen by many as the beginning of a...a campaign to...to undermine the social security system. I don't think we want to be part of that. The Federal Government should not dictate the State tax policy. We can decouple. Our senior citizens need this. This is not money that's been budgeted in the State of Illinois, and I would solicit an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate...House Bill 2345 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 57, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2345 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 2347, Senator Bruce. Top of page 6, House Bill...top of page 6, House Bill 2348, Senator Marovitz. All right, top of page 6, House Bill 2348. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2348.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. House Bill 2348 mandates that the Department of Children and Family Service make advance quarterly disbursements to local public agencies for child...day-care services from specific appropriations for local effort day care. That is local effort day care. This is all Federal money. There's no opposition by the Department of Children and

Family Services. It went out of the committee on the Agreed Bill List. It just makes sure that these day-care programs will get their money sooner and be able to better provide the quality of service that they...that they...that the children in these local effort day-care programs need. I would solicit an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Any discussion? Any discussion? Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. Just very briefly to reaffirm Senator Marovitz' comments on this. This is an absolutely crucial thing to a lot of day-care centers which are operating very marginally under the best of circumstances and most particularly in recent years. This will help to relieve the cash flow drain on them to some extent and doesn't really cost the State anything.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Marovitz, you wish to close?

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

I would just solicit an Aye vote for the children in these programs.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right, the question is, shall House Bill 2348 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 57, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2348 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 2365, Senator Jerome Joyce. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2365.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Jerome Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Thank you...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Marovitz, for what purpose do you arise? Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Just before we move on to this bill, I would ask leave to add Senator Netsch as a hyphenated cosponsor to House Bill 2348.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Well, it's out of order, but you've heard the motion. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. It's so ordered. Senator Jerome Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Does...does Senator Netsch want to be a cosponsor of this one also? This...this...this bill, Mr. President, would allow counties to maintain law libraries in privately or publicly owned as well as public buildings. Currently they have to have the law library in a public building. What is happening in Kankakee County is in the court house they want to expand the judge's quarters and there's no room for it, so they would like to have the law library in a private building.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Is there any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2365 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 57, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2365 having received the required constitutional majority is declared

HB 2376
3rd Reading

passed. 2376 is on the recall. 2377, Senator Jerome...Senator...Senator Rock, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President. With leave of the Body, I'd like to go to 2376. The...an...an amendment has been filed, I will say to this Body, by Senator Schuneman. He and I have discussed; one, my opposition to the amendment and; two, the basis from which it comes which is one of philosophy, not...not opposition to the program. I think this measure is important. It deserves our immediate attention and I would ask leave of the Body to go to 2376.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

All right, you've heard the request. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of...on the Order of 3rd Reading, House Bill 2376. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2376.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Seldom do I get a chance to carry an administration bill, but this is an administration bill. It increases by fifteen dollars the amount that the county clerks charge for the issuance and recording of a marriage license and provides that the entire increase shall be paid to the Domestic Violence Shelter and Service Fund. As I'm sure everyone here is aware, we are blessed in this State with a program that started perhaps in 1979 and we now have thirty-eight ongoing programs to afford relief to domestic violence. Unfortun-

ately, our funding mechanism was in part struck down by the court, and the Governor has seen fit to supplement the Domestic Violence Shelter Program with some four hundred thousand dollars in emergency funding, but it is necessary for Fiscal '85 and beyond that we establish a permanent source of funding. By virtue of the provisions of House Bill 2376, one million six hundred thousand dollars it's estimated will be made available to this most worthy State-wide program. I solicit your favorable support on House Bill 2376, and will yield, certainly, to Senator Schuneman. I appreciate his courtesy in...in not pursuing his amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, any discussion? Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. A couple of questions of the sponsor. Senator Bock, according to my information, the court decision which invalidated the five dollar divorce fee resulted in a loss of some three hundred thousand dollars to the Domestic Violence Fund. Is that...is that figure accurate?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Bock.

SENATOR BOCK:

I...I think that's accurate. It may well have been a little more, but I think that is accurate, yes, sir.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Can...can you explain then why through this bill, they're seeking to recover an amount of about one million six hundred thousand dollars?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Bock.

SENATOR BOCK:

To fulfill the need that has been discovered out there State-wide. The program started as all programs start, obviously, on limited funding basis. Fact of the matter is that they have put together a State-wide plan looking ahead four or five years and...are ready, as a matter of fact, to immediately begin in Fiscal '85 an additional seven programs throughout the State. There are thirty-eight that are currently being partially funded by the State, and I might point out that these programs just don't happen, they come into existence with the...full support of the local area because the locals...afford perhaps fifty percent of the funding, but there are...there is more need out there than we are currently funding, and that's the reason that the Governor was persuaded to call for this kind of an increase.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to speak to the bill. I really don't object to what purpose Senator Eck is seeking to accomplish here. I think that support of the Domestic Violence Fund is...is support that we should all provide. The thing I'm objecting to is the way they're going to get the money. The marriage license fees were increased in Illinois just a few years ago from fifteen dollars to twenty-five dollars for the purpose of funding the Domestic Violence Fund. Now, what we're seeking to do is increase the marriage license fee another fifteen dollars. So, we're going to raise it from twenty-five dollars to forty dollars for a marriage license fee in Illinois. I think that that is a very high increase. It's a sixty percent increase in the marriage license fees, plus I think there's a question here of whether we really ought to be taxing the institution of marriage in order to pay for those persons in society who are violent. Now, I...I think people who are guilty of domestic violence

are probably guilty of violence before they're married, and I don't know that it makes any sense to tax everyone who enters into the institution of marriage because there may be some violence on the part of some people who are married. One of the amendments I had offered, partly facetiously, was to suggest that we leave the marriage license fee for the first-time marriages at twenty-five dollars where it is right now, tax the second one at forty dollars, the third one at eighty dollars and fourth and thereafter at a hundred dollars or two hundred dollars. Now, we...perhaps that tax would be getting at part of the real problem, but...but there is another amendment to another bill which is in the Senate, and I'm...I'm serious about this one. I really think that violence in...in marriage probably has more to do with the alcohol problem in society than it does with the fact that people get married, so I have...submitted an amendment to another bill that amends the alcohol tax in the State, and by provisions of that amendment, we would raise a little more money than what is in this bill, and I would suggest to the sponsor that...and to the members of the Senate that what we really ought to do is...is not approve this bill in its present form, but rather ask the sponsor to hold it or to not give it sufficient votes until we can adopt a different kind of a tax to fund what I think is a very worthwhile proposal, but let's not do it by increasing the tax on every young couple that wants to get married in this State.

RESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, further discussion? Senator DeAngelis. Senator DeAngelis, we are turning on the timer and no disrespect to the previous speaker, but the timer is, in fact, on. Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in support of House bill 2376. It has been determined as a matter of public

policy that we should, in fact, fund domestic shelters, and what we are about here is to find a mechanism which is acceptable to do so. Although I might agree with Senator Schuneman that perhaps this is a little stiff and maybe not the place...however, we are not left with too many choices, and I would urge support for House Bill 2376, it is a good cause. For those of you...as a personal note, who've not had the opportunity to either participate as a board member or to be active in this activity, I think you would find it most, most worthwhile, and as Senator Rock told us, it is something that has grown and something that is badly needed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

(Machine cutoff)...further discussion? Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to speak to the bill. I agree that the...the cause is just. I happen to serve on the advisory board of our local coalition and I certainly know the money is needed, and I do plan on voting for the bill but with one reservation. I have discovered within the last few months that the Coalition for Battered Women who run this program have taken off approximately four hundred thousand dollars...three hundred and ninety-six thousand, to be exact, to run their office. I am assuming that if this bill passes that there will be some effort on the part of the Department of Public Aid to look over that budget very carefully and put the money where it is needed which is in the local shelters not in an office in Springfield. I would hope that the coalition would hear my words, because if they don't, I am going to, frankly, come after them next year and make sure they understand that this money is being passed for bleeding and battered women, not for office staff. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise with no reservations in support of House Bill 2373. There is a justification for the increased amount of money in this budget; as a matter of fact, it's not enough. There are literally hundreds and hundreds of families are in disarray due to the...the pressures of loss of jobs and other kind of tensions today which causes them for whatever reason a breakdown in...in the family and this violence to occur against women and children, and we...I want you to understand that it's not just women that we're talking about. We're talking about babies who are being psychologically and physically abused in homes and there are no place for them to go temporarily. There is a tremendous need for more shelters. I don't even know of a shelter that exists on the west side of Chicago. It may exist, I don't know of one, and I'm sure that they need a lot of shelters on the west side for these people to go. Churches have called me about where do they go, because families have come to them with no place to go. This is a very...I...let me commend the Governor and the sponsor for their...efforts in this area, and I would ask for a favorable roll call. And let...I can't resist responding to the...the first speaker, I think the best place for it to be taxed is on the marriage license. Maybe if we would raise the fees, then because of the conditions of people in society and we put everything of value of money on everything, and maybe if a wife costs a little more, they wouldn't be abused after you get them.

RESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, further discussion? Senator Johns.

SENATOR JOHNS:

Well, I'm...I'm just...to Senator Collins who represents the minority, I can see where a lot of young people that want to pay...that will have to pay forty dollars might just want to start forgetting to get a license, just start living

together, you know. I...with the divorce rate at fifty percent, we keep making getting married a little more difficult, I'm going to support the bill because there's no alternative. I'm not real happy with the...the entire program. I've seen some arrogance and waste in the offices, but the purpose is laudable, so I'm going to support it, but I just want to throw those thoughts out to you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

All right, further discussion? Senator Lemke.

SENATOR LEMKE:

I...I only...I only have a question. I see that this is a State-wide program, and being from one of the larger counties, I want to know if there's a proportionate basis that this money is coming back to where it's raised or if this is going to be out State-wide and every time we're going to come down here fight to get our...our share of the dollars and see all of it flow downstate and nothing back where the violence is. I mean, that there's...there's...there's a noted increase in the big cities, there's more violence than there is in...in rural areas because of the closeness of...of the way people live and...and problems that occur socially. So, I want to know if...if this is...this money if it's raised in the City of Chicago or raised in Cook County, is it going to be spent there? I mean, or are we just sending it down to the State and having the State, again, shortchange us like you do in the county hospital and everything else. I mean that's...that...that would be my basis on this bill. I think the money should be spent in the area it's raised, and if it's raised in Chicago, it's raised in Cook County, it should be spent there. If it's raised in Joliet, it should be spent there. I mean, that's where the money should be spent and that's the only...my objection to this bill. I don't see that. Is there a proportionate basis in there, Phil?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator, is that a...is that a...

SENATOR LEMKE:

...yeah...I don't see it in there, but...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

...Senator Rock indicates he will yield. Senator Bock.

SENATOR BOCK:

Yes, I hope you are supportive of House Bill 2376. As a matter of fact, when the program was instituted, there was a provision that still exists in the Statute that says the money that was garnered out of Cook County shall be spent in the County of Cook, and we are fortunate in the County of Cook, as you can see from the literature available under the Illinois Domestic Violence Act, to have a number of shelters and serve...full service is being provided, the funding for which comes from the County of Cook. We get every nickel back.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, further discussion? Senator Parkhausen.

SENATOR PARKHAUSEN:

A question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Parkhausen.

SENATOR PARKHAUSEN:

Senator Rock, seeking enlightenment on a...a headline that I vaguely recall having seen in the last couple of weeks, and was there a...some sort of court decision recently which somehow called into question marriage license fees as a source of financing this program? I thought I recall having seen such a headline in the...in the Tribune, and I...and I'm not sure what it was about, not having read the actual story.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

No, the question that was raised in the court and the one

upon which the court ruled was the funding coming out of the divorce filing fee because the increase it was felt for this purpose was determined by the court to somehow prohibit access...unfettered access to the court on the basis that it was not applied equally or it was too expensive, and so that part of the funding was struck down and, in fact, some seven hundred thousand dollars, as I recall, was not available that otherwise would have been, but it...it related only to the divorce aspect. You recall when the program started we took part of the marriage license fee and then imposed a surtax on the divorce fee, so we had two sources essentially. The court struck down the one source, so we are now attempting to increase the only remaining source.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Parkhausen.

SENATOR PARKHAUSEN:

I had thought though that the decision...invalidating the divorce fees as a source of funding this program was...was rendered quite sometime ago, and I'm making reference to a headline that I thought I saw within the last couple of weeks. Was that also having to do with divorce fees and not marriage fees? Are...are you familiar with it, did you see the story?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

...Senator Bock.

SENATOR BOCK:

No, I'm not. One of the rules I follow particularly in the month of May and June is not to read the papers. They fuzzy up the facts a lot.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Becker.

SENATOR BECKER:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise in support of 2376 and say that a good man is hard to

find and it's pretty damn cheap for a woman to spend forty dollars to get a hold of one of us.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

...further discussion? Senator Eruce.

SENATOR ERUCE:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Oftentimes we are called upon to vote for and against laudable measures in this Body, and we are put at some sort of stress to decide exactly how to vote. I have had constituents of mine both pro and con on this particular issue, and I've decided that we ought not to pass this particular piece of legislation, and let me tell you why. It is not because we ought not to, as a State Legislative Body, decide that domestic violence and domestic violence service centers ought not to be funded, but I believe that we ought to be, as a State Legislative Body, responsible for those people in need and make a commitment out of our State Treasury. I am told that this total fee will raise about a million three hundred thousand dollars in the State of Illinois. It doesn't appear to meet within the budget confines that we have this year that if this laudable purpose could not be funded for a million three hundred thousand dollars without going out for a special fee and really a tax increase on those who desire to get married in the State of Illinois. We charge people fees for specific licenses in the State of Illinois, and that is for a specific purpose. When we sell driver's licenses, we assume that people who buy them are going to go out and drive automobiles; and when we put a gasoline tax on gasoline, we assume that the people that purchase gasoline use that in automobiles. The difficulty here is that we say to people who purchase marriage licenses, you're going to...go out and create mayhem or...domestic violence, and I don't think those two mesh very well. The majority of the marriages, in fact, do not incur a domestic violence and a great deal of them

are...are long-term affairs without any kind of altercations. To me the...the fee and the...the act ought to be somehow related, and I don't see them related here. I don't see that we are assessing the same people that are causing the problem, and for that reason, I rise in opposition. However, I want to alert everybody and I hope, Senator Rock, if this bill does not pass, I think the State of Illinois ought to go on record and make the money available through the Treasurer of the State of Illinois to fund this program, and not say to basically the women across the State of Illinois that if you have a specific purpose, a service needed by your particular group, come in and we'll give it to you, if you can find some tax to...to put on that will fund it. I don't buy that. I don't think we ought to do that. I think we ought to say, as...legislators and as a Legislative Body, that if this is a laudable purpose, it comes right out of the...State Treasury as it does for many other functions of State Government. This is a function of State Government, they ought not to have a tin cup at the county clerk's office trying to raise money. This ought to be a State obligation, and we ought to pay it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. I had originally wanted to rise just simply to further answer Senator Barkhausen's question. It may have seemed like many, many years ago, but it actually was only in February that the announcement of this program was made at a meeting and then a press conference attended by the Governor and representatives and members of his staff, the Department of Children and Family Services and others, the Illinois Coalition Against Domestic Violence, the Midwest Women's...Agenda, the Gannon Procter Commission, the Midwest Women's Center, the Commission on Status of Women and other groups. It was just in February and the court ruling

invalidating the divorce surcharge had come down just a relatively short time before that. With what Senator Bruce has now added in between, I would just like to add a strong word of support, fairly obviously I think, for this program and this funding. In an ideal world, Senator Bruce, you are probably correct. No one ought to have to turn to any source of special funding in order to justify any special program. All the money ought to be put in one big pot, and we all ought to sit down here and make absolutely statesmanlike reason decisions about priorities; and in any sense of priorities, there is no question that this program, I think, would survive, but this is not a...an ideal world and this is not an ideal fiscal time for the State of Illinois or any other program, and the feeling was...and the feeling came in part from the Governor of the State that there ought to be, as there had been previously, a particular source of funding that would give this program the stability and the guarantee that it would continue and perhaps grow at a very modest rate, both of which are quite essential. I don't think anyone disputes the enormous extent of domestic violence which we have just in the last few years come...to understand or at least to talk about openly, although it's been there for sometime. I think it is widely supported that we must address ourselves to the people who are victims, and that includes both sides of the equation, those who are brutalized as well as those doing the brutalizing, "cause they are both in a sense victims; and the only way that we are going to guarantee that, at least in the foreseeable future, is by having a special source of funding, which is at least somewhat related. So, I think this is appropriate and I strongly urge an Aye vote.

RESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, further discussion? If not,...can we have some order, please. Would our guests from the House,

please...take their conferences off the floor. Senator Rock
my close. Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
Senate. House Bill 2376 is a reaction really to a court
decision. When we discussed this and argued it back in '79, I
believe it was, the setting up of this program, it was pretty
unanimous that it had to be funded and from whence do you
fund it, and we decided at that point that there would be a
certain portion of the marriage license fee and a certain
portion of the divorce filing fee that would be used for this
admittedly laudable purpose. Nobody quarrels with the pur-
pose, and I think to respond to Senator Bruce's remark that
we ought to, as a Body, just establish the fact that, yes,
this is a State obligation and, yes, we ought to fund it.
Fact...the political fact of the matter is otherwise, there
are enumerable laudatory programs that we have been subjected
to in the last few weeks in the appropriations process
requesting additional money or new money, and we have uni-
formly pretty much tried to sort them out; some we've turned
down, some we've given less than they've asked for. This,
however, is a different situation. We decided some years ago
that...part of that fee would, in fact, be utilized for this
purpose. In recognition of the fact that between birth and
death, there will always be a marriage somewhere. Fact of
the matter is, we need a long-term stable basis of funding,
and an appropriation out of the General Revenue Fund simply
does not provide that kind of stability. A fee on the mar-
riage license does exactly that. The purpose is good. The
program needs to be expanded. We started in 1979 with liter-
ally only a handful of women and children who were utilizing
this program to where last year, I'm told, somewhere in
excess of fourteen thousand people in the State of Illinois,
women and children, were serviced by this program. The pro-

can has a need to expand. This will provide the much needed funding for that expansion and will at the same time provide some long-term stability. I urge an Aye vote for House Bill 2376.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

The question is, shall House Bill 2376 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have...have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 43, the Nays are 12, 2 voting Present. House Bill 2376 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 2377, Senator Jerome Joyce. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2777.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd...House Bill 2377...3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Joyce...Jerome Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill would amend the Circuit Breaker Act to provide for prorated grants for applicants in the year of their sixty-fifth birthday. What that means is that you have to wait until after your sixty-fifth birthday to be eligible for Circuit Breaker Grants. Now, say your birthday was in June, you would be able to get one-half of a year's eligibility. So, that's simply what that does. The cost is an estimated 2.5 million dollars for the senior citizens. I'd be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2377 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Bruce. Have all voted who wish? Have all

HB 2384
2nd Reading

voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 377 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 2379, Senator Berwan. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2379.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st reading of the bill.

RESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Ferman.

SENATOR FERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 2379 is a modest approach on the level of the State to address a serious problem regarding gang crimes. It creates the...in the Department of Law Enforcement Division of Support Services the Offices of Coordination of Gang Prevention. The bill provides for grants to local municipalities, to local governments and to school districts on a twenty-five/seventy-five match; seventy-five from the State and twenty-five from the local recipient, to combat and to educate the local municipalities and school districts as to gang crime problems. Be glad to answer questions and solicit your Aye vote.

RESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2379 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Sam. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays...the Ayes are 54, the Nays are 2, none voting Present. House Bill 2379 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 2381 is on the recall list. 2384, Senator Lechowicz. 2384, Mr. Secretary,

HB 2388
3rd Reading

read the bill.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2384.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 2384 amends the Private Detective and Private Security Act of 1983 which would allow police officers to work as security guards while off duty without being licensed under this Act. This bill also eases the administration of the Nursing Home Administrators Act by allowing temporary certificates to be extended for one year and eliminates the oral examination requirement of the Social Workers Registration Act and Instructional Engineering Act. The bill passed out of committee by a vote of 6 to nothing and is supported by the corresponding associations as well as the Department of Registration and Education. I ask for your favorable consideration on House Bill 2384.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Is there any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2384 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 56, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2384 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 2388, Senator Maitland. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2388.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

HB 2400
3rd Reading

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 2388 in its amended form is exactly the same as Senate Bill 1492 that was passed out of this Chamber some weeks ago by Senator Bruce and myself. It does limit...to ten percent the increase or decrease in assessed valuation in farm land in any one given year. I would be happy to respond to any questions the Body might have.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2388 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting present. House Bill 2388 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2395, Senator Kelly. House Bill 2400, Senator DeAngelis. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2400.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 2400 as amended is the product of...a large amount of work between the various groups who were in support of merit scholarships. What the bill does, it makes a one-time five hundred dollar award to all students in the State of Illinois who attend colleges and

universities in the State of Illinois who are in the top five percent of their class. Be more than happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Question of the sponsor, if he'll yield.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR ROCK:

I thought...as originally introduced and promoted, there was to be some element of need. Is that element still in existence under 2400 as amended?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

No, Mr. President, it doesn't exist.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK;

Well, I...I guess my question then is, why? It seems to me at once you can have a recognition of some merit and at the same time at least have some recognition of the fact that there are needy students who are also meritorious. Why...why...I don't understand why we're deleting that element.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Well, there are a couple of reasons. The first one was that the need that appeared in the original bill went beyond the need that the Scholarship Commission ascertained. In other words, the multipliers were taken out and, in some instances, there was a feeling that there would be an over

serving of the need, because based on the way it was originally written, it would go beyond tuition and fees. Secondly, it caused a tremendous shift in where the dollars went. They shifted to private rather than the public universities. Third, it would end up serving a lot less of the students who were in the top five percent of the class. In fact, it would make about sixty percent of the students probably ineligible unless you wanted to raise the appropriations to a fairly outlandish level.

RESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Would the sponsor yield?

RESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he will.

SENATOR HALL:

Senator, is this exactly the same bill that you held in the Senate?

RESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

No, huh-uh. Senate Bill 1434, which I held in Rules Committee, had as Senator Rock described a flat grant portion and a need portion as well. When it went over to the...an identical House bill was entered, the House chose to ignore the merit part of it and made it strictly a financial need bill in the top five percent. When it came to the Senate, the Senate found that very unacceptable. It is not the same as the original bill entered in the Senate, not the same as the one in the House, and not the same as the bill that passed the House.

RESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is...is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2400 pass. Those in favor will vote

Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are 1, 2 voting Present. House Bill 2400 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2403, Senator Watson. Watson. House Bill 2423, Senator Buzbee. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2423.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Third reading of the bill.

RESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would like, first of all, for the record to reflect that had I been on the Floor on House Bill 1302, I would have voted Aye. Now, as to House Bill 2423. This is something that has been in front of us many times before. This Body has passed this bill many times. It has passed both Houses of the General Assembly, the Governor chose to veto it because of what he thought was the potential fiscal impact on the State Fisc. We don't think that the Governor's figures that he gets from the Department of Revenue are correct, and as a matter of fact, we passed this identical bill last year in this Senate, sent it over to the House and the House put it into an interim study committee. In the meantime, this year, they...another bill was introduced, they passed it through the House and...and sent it over here to us. So, we...this is...this is not something new, it's something we've been around the horn on many times. It gives a tax credit to those industries that choose to...choose to convert their oil or gas burning boilers to coal burning. It gives them a five percent tax credit. It also gives them a potentially twenty

percent tax credit for research. Now this research would have to be approved by the Coal Research Board before the industry would be eligible for that twenty percent tax credit. The obvious purpose of this bill is to promote the use of Illinois coal and to protect the jobs of fifteen thousand coal miners from being put to death by acid rain legislation. I would like to say on the...on the fiscal note portion, we have a memo from the Illinois Economic and Fiscal Commission dated June the 5th of 1984 which says, "That revenue losses from both types of income tax credits granted...granted to corporations under House Bill 2423 are likely to be limited during the initial years. Specific projections for long-term revenue effects are not possible. The degree to which the corporate tax credits stimulate additional Illinois coal production will determine the proportion of corporate tax losses that will be offset by increases in other tax revenues." It is our contention that if we allow this tax credit that, in fact, what will happen is, there will be more Illinois Income Tax collected from the corporate income tax because...from the coal companies because more Illinois coal will be purchased, first of all. Secondly, more Illinois coal miners will be working, which will allow them to pay an additional income tax and all of the concomitant tax revenues that will be collected because of sales taxes, et cetera, because those folks are on the payrolls instead of on the welfare rolls. So, we think this is an approach that should be given to the Governor once again. I don't know this for a fact, but I am led to believe that perhaps the Governor, at some point or another, might be persuaded to give a more favorable consideration to this bill than he has in the past. Again, it's a bill that we've passed through this body many times, and I would ask for your support one more time.

RESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any further discussion? If not, the question

is, shall House Bill 2423 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 50, the Nays are 4, none voting Present. House Bill 2423 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2428, read the bill, Mr...for what purpose does Senator Grotberg arise?

SENATOR GROTEBERG:

Mr. President,...with leave of the Body, I would like to suggest that I would have voted on House Bill 2388, the farm land assessment. Two lobbyists were breaking my back over here and I regret having missed that vote. I would have voted Aye.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The record will so indicate, Senator Grotberg. With leave of the Body, we'll go back to 2403, Senator Watson. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 2428 is out of the record temporarily.

END OF REEL

REEL #2

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2403.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. The bill does entirely as the Secretary mentioned, it creates the Collinsville Metropolitan Exposition Auditorium and Office Building Authority in an area of the State...second largest population center of our State, Madison-St. Clair County. There is no convention center in this area at the current time. It is supported by the entire mayors' group, county organizations in the...in the metro-east area. It's a...a real potential in the City of Collinsville to develop the tourism that's necessary to provide revenues to the metro-east area to increase funding for that particular part of our State. It passed out of committee by a 9 to 0 vote. Its hyphenated sponsor is Senator Sam Vadalabene, also from the area, and I'd be glad to answer any questions and appreciate affirmative vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, just to add what Senator Watson said. This bill would be a great impact for the...for the entire metro-east area and I'm happy to...in a bipartisan way to support this legislation and urge my colleagues on this side of the aisle to support it also.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, the question is,

shall House Bill 2403 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are 3, none voting Present. House Bill 2403 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. Now back to House Bill 2428. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2428.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Ferman.

SENATOR FERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 2428 as amended deals with the question of capitation of prescription drugs under Department of Public Aid programs. The amendment provides that when a thirty percent utilization threshold has been reached that an ad hoc committee which is set forth in the bill will...evaluate the program of the delivery of prescription medicines under these capitation programs. The amendment was negotiated between the pharmaceutical associations and the Department of Public Aid. Solicit your Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2428 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are 3, none voting Present. House Bill 2428 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2429, Senator Vadalabene. Senator Vadalabene. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2429.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. This is the second of a series of three bills concerning the metro-east district. House Bill 2429 permits municipalities and colonies to establish by intergovernmental agreement a municipal joint sewerage treatment agency. This is strictly permissive language and no new tax monies are involved in any way. Currently, there are plans in the metro-east area to form a joint sewerage treatment agency to serve areas within the Metro-east Sanitary District, Granite City, Glen Carbon and unincorporated areas of Madison County. This legislation is needed due to the high cost involved in the establishment and maintenance of secondary...waste treatment facilities, a new standard established by the Federal and State EPAs. And I might...might add that Rich Carlson, the Director of the...Illinois EPA is strongly in favor of this approach. A regional approach would be more cost effective. Granite City already has a secondary waste treatment facility which can handle the needs of all the other communities involved under this proposal and this is a companion bill to House Bill 2507. It passed the House a 111 to nothing and I would appreciate a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2429 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 56, the

Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2429 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2430, Senator Bruce. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2430.

(Secretary begins reading title of bill)

Yeah...yeah. House Bill 2430.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This is a bill which will exempt the pickup truck owners in the State of Illinois from having to have their trucks inspected twice a year. At the present time, Illinois stands alone in the United States in having a pickup truck inspection program. They are the only State that inspects only trucks. Every other state in the United States that has inspections, inspects not only cars but trucks. This program was begun at a time when we felt it would be important to the safety of the State of Illinois and to the truck operators and the other people who drive upon the highways in the State of Illinois that this would lead to a safer environment. We have compared our safety records and fatality records with other states in the United States and find that in states with inspection there are three fatalities per one hundred million miles driven in Illinois and states without inspection there is three fatalities per one hundred million miles. There has been no showing of proof by anyone that this has led to anything in the way of increased safety in the State of Illinois. This proposal is supported by the Secretary of State...in the State. It passed out of the Illinois House of

Representatives a 106 to nothing. I believe that most of the problems that have been raised we have answered, and I would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Question of the sponsor, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

It's my intention to support this bill, but in reading our staff analysis, it says something that causes me to ask you a question. It refers to the exemption from the safety tests and then they say the exemption is conditional in that each vehicle cannot...that the vehicle cannot be used for commercial purposes unless it's driven solely by the registered owner of the vehicle. Is there anything in the bill about that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:

Yes, that was taken care of by committee amendment in the House and it now applies to all vehicles...pickup trucks under eight hundred thousand gross vehicle weight without regard to whether they're commercial or not. The State police and others said that that would be a very difficult provision to enforce at its initial introduction, and so that was resolved by amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. We're now passing out a list that tells in each county how many pickup trucks are registered in your particular county. I think you'll find it

quite revealing that a total of eight hundred thousand pickup trucks are registered in the State of Illinois and the fact is, about eighty-five to ninety percent of them are considered pleasure vehicles; in other words, it's a second family car or they're used for something besides commercial use. If you really believe in the fact that we should be testing them, we should be testing automobiles also. But if you think in effect that we ought to be maybe heading in a different direction and going away from testing, you're going to support this measure because primarily pickup trucks are considered a second vehicle. It's something that the family needs as a necessary vehicle to drive back and forth to work and oftentimes it's a pleasure vehicle. Oftentimes pickup trucks are taken care of in a better manner than which the automobile is. I think we ought to support this, and I laud Senator Bruce in his accomplishment here.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Grotherg.

SENATOR GROTHEBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President and fellow members. The only thing I can add in support of this measure is some data that I've received. I've not run it off for everyone's benefit, but if you would listen carefully for just a second, it might make a difference on how you vote. It's plainly understood that we have sixteen hundred and forty-three accidents in which mechanical equipment was the cause in the first ten months of Fiscal '84, this is from last July through May. Of those sixteen hundred and forty-three accidents attributable to...that purpose or for...for that reason, mechanical failure, most of those were tires. Now if we break it down a little further by class, all trucks, including RV's, the pickups and all trucks, big trucks, little trucks, in those ten months had three hundred and sixty-six recorded accidents attributable to mechanical failure, again, mostly for

spare...bad tires. And if you took all of the sixteen hundred and forty-three vehicles that we're talking about, it is 5.5 percent of all the...accidents today for automobiles. But that portion attributable for these classifications is about .5 percent of the total of mechanical failures. I'd be glad to...to share this with you in a written form but it's from the State Police Superintendent who checked it out with the National Safety Council, and it seems like we're running a major program in this State for a very infinitesimal number of actual happenings on the road other than for tires. And I'm going to support this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Under the present law,...other vehicles, are they subject to inspection?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Eruce.

SENATOR ERUCE:

I couldn't hear her.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Geo-Karis, would you repeat that?

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Yes, under the present law, except for recreational vehicles and automobiles are other vehicles subject to annual inspections or twice a year?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Eruce.

SENATOR ERUCE:

This law as proposed affects recreational vehicles and

pickup trucks, Senator, you are absolutely right. Inspections for all the other trucks C through V will continue. This is only to exempt the small pickup trucks under eight thousand pounds.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEC-KARIS:

Well, it...it exempts the small pickup trucks and also the recreational vehicles, does it not, under eight thousand pounds?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:

That's what I said, Senator, but recreational...vehicles are not inspected now. This clarifies that and makes it very clear that in this particular section of the Act they are again exempted.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Dawson.

SENATOR DAWSON:

Question of the sponsor, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR DAWSON:

Terry, on the Dan Ryan Expressway in Chicago, commercial vehicles and all trucks are excluded from the express lanes. Does this have any effect on these vehicles? 'Cause they're always ticketed by the different police for coming on the regular lanes where the cars are at.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:

Would...would not affect at all. We are not changing what this vehicle is, we're only changing the fact that it

would or would not be inspected. So the municipality still has complete power on the Dan Ryan or any other facility in the City of Chicago or the County of Cook to make restrictions upon traffic patterns based upon the type of vehicle. This just says whether that type of vehicle will be inspected so they...can have complete regulatory authority over a pickup truck.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

I recall in the Army that we used to have what are called two and a half ton trucks. I assume that's 'cause that's what they weighed and those were considerably bigger than a pickup truck. How big a truck are we exempting...eight tons is a pretty good size vehicle isn't it? Eight thousand...four tons. What are we doing besides pickup trucks? What other types of trucks are under the B plate category? I know a step-van, for instance, would be, some of these UPS trucks and those sort of things. Some of those things are fairly sizable. What other kinds of trucks besides the little Toyota pickup trucks that we're all thinking about right now are involved?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:

No, Senator Schaffer, about the only vehicle that could get under this eight thousand pound limit would be a...a pickup truck. Some of the small foreign made pickup trucks can get under on an A plate but they would be exempted, but once you get to a B plate if you plan to carry anything on that you're up to approximately eight thousand pounds. Pickup trucks and vans would be the two categories that you and I would...driving up and down the highway, would say, what's being excluded? And...and...and a pickup truck with a

camper shell on it would be exempted if we have licensed that as an RV and that's what a lot of them did.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Bruce may close.

SENATOR BRUCE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Illinois has had this program for several years. The people that have gone twice a year have done so in the belief that they were permitting and allowing safety upon the highways. After long study done by various groups in the State of Illinois and...and National Safety Council studies, we find that in fact this is not having any effect upon the safety of driving upon the State of Illinois...and if that was the purpose of the program, it has not worked. The program ought to be deleted and removed and I would ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The question is, shall House Bill 2430 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On...on that question, the Ayes are 47, the Nays are 5, 1 voting Present. House Bill 2430 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2438. House Bill 2441, Senator Carroll. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2441.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. It is not true that I have a conflict of interest of

this and I did not go into a back injury so I could get a handicap parking permit. However, the legislation is necessary to keep Illinois in the forefront of providing access to those people who are handicapped, appropriately handicapped and have appropriately received the proper decals and licensure from the Secretary of State and the local municipalities. What this will do will be to standardize the signage that is now used as signs that are currently up because lack of use so that we follow the Federal guidelines on uniformity of signage throughout the United States and there would be an acceptable designation of what a handicapped parking sign is. Secondly, it clarifies existing law. Existing law exempts handicapped licensed vehicles from paying parking meter fees...the unclearness of the law is, however, they should be paying fees and will be required under this law to pay fees anytime there is a half-hour or less parking restriction. So that if a parking area has been designated for meters for use for no longer than a half-hour, then a handicapped person is treated as is any other and that car cannot be there for any longer period of time. They are already exempt from any fee for parking meters that have no time limit designation and this is merely clarifying. It will also require the Secretary of State to come out with a uniform decal or allow the municipalities to do so, so that somebody cannot merely go to a local drug store and pick up something that appears to be a handicapped decal. I think it is needed legislation. The reason for the need, even though it is existing law is that not enough of our streets have been designed with the type of access rampage for those in wheelchairs to get over to the meters to keep feeding these meters and that's why a few years ago we exempted them from this limitation. Any under thirty minute fines would go back to the municipalities just like they always have. So there would really be no cost loss to local government. I would be willing to try and answer

any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Lemke.

SENATOR LEMKE:

My only problem I see is what about...you said...talking about no fines for parking limitations. What about in these areas like in the city during the rush hours, are they no...no parking or snow removal or something like that, that's...would that be...they be exempt from that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

No. It's my understanding that the exemption applies only to parking meters where the parking is valid and that the valid time is more than a half-hour. But if there are other limitations, that say, you cannot park on that street, you cannot park on that street even with a handicapped decal. One of the biggest problems they've had that I didn't mention, Senator Lemke, though it doesn't relate to your question, is proper fining of people who are using spaces that are assignage allocated to the handicapped who are not handicapped. So far all that they've been allowed to do was to tow them or to put a five dollar ticket on them. We have raised those fines as well so that those areas that have been designated for handicapped parking will not be^s abused by those people who are not handicapped. But as to the issue of...of snow clearance and all that, it is my understanding that they are covered...that they have no exemption for that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Lemke.

SENATOR LEMKE:

The other question...when you talk about the...do the disabled veterans also...do they have to have the State plates for handicapped or...does the disabled veteran

plate...also fit it?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CABBOLL:

It's my understanding that there is a special decal for those who are disabled so that a disabled vet would also have to have this decal.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Lenke.

SENATOR LEMKE:

But he could get that decal without getting the State handicapped plates?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CABBOLL:

Yes. The Secretary of State is designed to make a decal separate from the plate.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not,...Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR COLLINS:

I guess to pursue what Senator...the questions raised by Senator Lenke. I'm concerned about when you...when you say, you know, the broadness of this. Based on the analysis, it does not say...if this is the final analysis of...of the bill, the text of the bill, it does not draw any distinction between...those streets in snow zones. The...the other...the other concern is about the fifty dollars. I think many of us have parked, double-parked or when we are loading something for whatever reason or pulled into a parking space. For example, the parking lot on the other side of the Stratton

Building, come June 30, many of the...the legislators living there will pull up there and load their cars and go out...go in and pick up something and come right back out. And...and these are the questions, whether or not you can be momentarily out of your car in a zone marked for handicapped and your car...come back and your car is towed. And...and I think that should be clarified in...in...in a bill like this if you're going to impose such drastic changes and penalties.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll may close.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Yes, many states have gone this way, thirty-two or thirty-three to be specific. And the idea was if you are creating handicapped spaces, that's who they are for. California, for example...I'm sorry, Wisconsin, our neighbor, has a two hundred dollar fine as do many of the other states, even if it's for a one minute parking there. I believe that that's why we...designated these. I think this is essential to make this available but to make it uniform so that people just don't go out and buy decals and put them on there and abuse the system. And I would urge a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The question is, shall House Bill 2441 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, 1 voting Present. House Bill 2441 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2453, Senator Davidson. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2453.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, the bill does exactly what it says on the Calendar, the exempt on the bumper applies only to new trucks on a dealer being transported from one area to another because the person who is buying the truck buys what style bumper he wants, there's a limit of...must be less than a thousand miles for the dealer to be able to move that truck around. I'd appreciate a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2453 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 56, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2453 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2454, Senator Kelly. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2454.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. House Bill 2454 raises the road weights for agricultural vehicles from sixteen thousand to eighteen thousand pounds per axle on nondesignated county and township roads. In

committee we also adopted an amendment which allows the local authorities to continue to control their roads by giving them the...continued authority to post weight limit signs. The bill now only applies to nondesignated roads which do not have signs posted on them. I believe most if not all of the opposition was relieved when the amendment was adopted, and with that, I would solicit your favorable support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2454 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are 2, none voting Present. House Bill 2454 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2461, Senator Lechowicz. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2461.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 2461 would permit a bank for savings and associations to limit or eliminate cumulative voting rights or entirely eliminate the voting rights of certain classes or series of stock. It only affects one institution, the Illinois Bank for Savings and Loan Associations located in Chicago. Such a bank is organized in accordance with the Illinois law to specifically and exclusively serve the savings and loan associations. Many corporations especially financial institutions have experienced attempts by outside or minority interests to take over the corporations. Gener-

ally the motive has been for strict financial gain and not necessarily for the public good or benefit. House Bill 2461 would allow the bank for savings and loan associations to protect itself if it became embroiled in a proxy fight for control and allow it to retain its structure as a bank wholly owned by the savings and loan associations. Finally, in 1981 corporation and banks in 1983 were granted the identical authority as provided in House Bill 2461, for the Illinois Bank for Savings and Loan Associations. This bill passed the House by a vote of 106 to nothing, came out of committee 8 to nothing, and I sincerely request your consideration on House Bill 2461.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2461 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2461 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2466, Senator Berman. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Will Senators Chew and Zito and our Representative clear the aisle there so Senator Berman may proceed. Would you hold your meeting out in the conference hall. Seventh floor.

SECRETARY:

...House Bill 2466.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

HB 2473
3rd Reading

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 2466 does two things. It's a request from JCAB, the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules. It allows for rules to...to have automatic repealers to be included in their provisions and also by the amendment deletes reference to actual knowledge as an exemption from the requirement of filing of rules. Be glad to answer any questions and solicit your Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2466 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 57, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2466 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2473, Senator D'Arco. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2473.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Thank you, Mr. President. The bill amends the Notary Public Act to increase the required bond from one thousand to ten thousand dollars for notary republics and I would ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

We used to have a question that was asked a lot around here, I haven't heard it lately. Who wants this bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Well, I don't...I don't know who wants it, but the reason the bill is laudable and the reason that it passed the Senate last year is because the amount of the bond is insufficient at one thousand dollars. And in case there is some fraudulent behavior on the part of the notary, the bond should be able to make up the difference at...at least in the amount of ten thousand dollars, which is more sufficient than the inadequate amount presently under the law.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator...

SENATOR D'ARCO:

I don't know, you know, any objection to the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he will.

SENATOR HALL:

Senator, do you have any idea how much this is going to cost? A number of us just keep notaries...just for the convenience of your constituents and things. When you increase it from one thousand to ten thousand dollars, how much are you...in dollars and cents, are you increasing that? Do you have any idea?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

You're not increasing it in any amount whatsoever because the amount is guaranteed by the Secretary of State in the case there is a problem with the notary, but the...these are...these are personal assurity bonds, so the amount of the

bond would be substantially the same.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Well, I was just reading what it says here, from one thousand to ten thousand. That's the reason why I asked that question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

That's the face amount of the bond. But the cost of the bond is substantially the same.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Nedza.

SENATOR NEDZA:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I rise in support of the bill, and in answer to Senator Schaffer's question, perhaps I can give you an answer to as to who wants the bill. In...segment of community in which I represent, there are notary publics that open up offices and purport to be anything other than a notary public. And what has happened is they victimize some people who are not very capable of understanding what a notary public's limitations are. They purport to do other things which they do and then when certain segments of the population try to recover their losses, a thousand dollars is very insufficient for the hardships and the costs that they incur. That's where the bill comes from. If you'll look at the House sponsor, you'll see that the House sponsor is trying to answer a question in a segment of his legislative district which happens to be in my legislative district.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator D'Arco may close.

HB 2483
3rd Reading

SENATOR D'ABCO:

Thank you, Mr. President. The Secretary of State has signed off on this bill, they're the ones that guarantee the amount of the bond. There really is no objection to the bill; in fact, we are protecting the...the public against notaries that commit fraudulent acts and sign documents knowing that the documents are not legitimate and...valid documents. I would ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The question is, shall House Bill 2473 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 26, the Nays are 15, 8 voting Present. House Bill 2473 having failed to receive the constitutional majority is declared lost. House Bill 2483, Senator Luft. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2483.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, House Bill 2483 amends the Election Code and simply permits a municipality who has a population of five thousand or less if they...so choose to nominate candidates for municipal office by a primary rather than by a caucus.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any...

SENATOR LUFT:

If there are any questions, I'll try to answer them for you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

...is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2483 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are 1, none voting Present. House Bill 2483 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2507, Senator Vadalabene. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2507.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This is the third and final series of bills for the Metro-east Sanitary District. This bill is a companion bill to House Bill 2429, which you just passed a few moments ago, which permits by intergovernmental agreement municipalities and counties to be...to jointly create a municipal joint sewage treatment agency. The areas to be included in the agency include some areas currently being served in the Metro-east Sanitary District, including Washington Park, Fairmont City, National City, Caseyville, Venice, Brooklyn and a small portion of East St. Louis. This bill clarifies that these areas may also be included within the municipal joint sewage treatment agency. I understand that the language contained in this bill was suggested by Bonding Counsel, it passed the House by a 106 to nothing and has the approval of the director of the EPA, and I would appreciate a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2507 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2507 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2511, Senator Degnan. House Bill 2516, Senator Fawell. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2516.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill does two things; it creates a municipal and joint municipal tree planting program, offers a municipality of two or more municipalities by ordinance to adopt a tree planting program and enter into the contracts with a vendor of the trees for the purchase and the delivery of the trees. Second part also establishes a method of determining a fair cash value of existing waterworks and sewer systems. I know of no opposition for the...of the bill and I'd appreciate a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? Senator Demuzic.

SENATOR DEMUZIC:

Senator, you...if the Senator would yield I would like to ask a question relevant to the eminent domain provisions of this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

She indicates she'll yield.

SENATOR DEMUZIC:

If you would, Senator, please clarify those for myself, if you would.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

...the...eminent domain is...is the municipality wishes to purchase the waterworks. And the value is determined by utilizing the facility's net earnings over the preceding fiscal year and...does that answer your question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, is it...in terms of...of eminent domain, is it mandated in the bill that it, in fact, they...they...they shall condemn?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

No, it...it sets up a...a method for the eminent domain.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

I...I'm sorry, I didn't hear the answer to that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Fawell, would you repeat that answer.

SENATOR FAWELL:

...they already have the eminent domain power as of right now, and this bill...establishes the value of the existing waterworks.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

...who has the eminent domain power?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

The municipality.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, if they already have the power of eminent domain, why is it being restipulated in this bill in reference to this tree planting program?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

This...all this bill does is help set up a value so that the...the waterworks can be bought at a...at a fair price which is arrived at by this...this formula. It's in the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? Senator Darrow.

SENATOR DARROW:

Will the sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

She indicates she will.

SENATOR DARROW:

With regard to your tree planting program, where are the funds originating?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

The...the municipality will appropriate this if they want to enter into this contract.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Darrow.

SENATOR DARROW:

Well, this morning in appropriations we appropriated a

HB 2519
2nd Reading

quarter of a million dollars for planting trees in urban areas and that was from the General Revenue Fund. Is this the legislation to go with that appropriation? In other words, are we taking a quarter of a million dollars from the General Revenue Fund that could go to schools and we're buying trees to plant in cities?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

No, this has nothing to do with that. I...I...in fact, I didn't even realize that appropriation was there. All this does is allow two or more municipalities to enter into a contract to buy trees so that they know what kind of trees are going to be available. What's happened in...in the past is sometimes the municipalities have gone to the local nursery and the trees they want aren't there. So this allows them to...it's a long-term thing.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Fawell may close.

SENATOR FAWELL:

I ask for your favorable...vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The question is, shall House Bill 2516 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 48, the Nays are 1...2 voting Present. House Bill 2516 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2519, Senator Bruce. House Bill...read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2519.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Eruce.

SENATOR ERUCE:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This solves a problem that we are having with interstate truckers and commercial carriers in that paying of motor fuel tax in the State of Illinois. This proposal has been worked out by the State Department of Revenue and the State police. It would require commercial carriers to affix a valid external motor fuel tax identification sticker on the driver's side of a vehicle, and the cost for two years is seven dollars and fifty cents. That sticker would indicate that the vehicle owner is registered with the Department of Revenue to pay the State motor fuel tax and sales tax on a per gallon basis for the miles traveled in Illinois. We are losing a great deal of revenue, many of the truck station owners and operators in the State of Illinois are losing business because of the ability of operators to operate outside the State of Illinois, purchase gas there, use Illinois highways and not pay for that use. It is merely a seven dollar and fifty cent, two-year fee which will help the Department of Revenue and the State police monitor a very worthwhile program. Ask for your favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Yes, I'd like to ask a question, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he will yield.

SENATOR WATSON:

How does this differ from the bill that we passed out of here, the Senate bill that went over to the House? How does this differ?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:

As I understand it, this is a similar proposal that passed out of the committee 9 to nothing and it remained in the Senate on 2nd reading. It was not called by the sponsor, I do...who I do not believe was here on the last day of Session.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2519 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 57, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2519 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2525, Senator Bloom. House Bill 2553, Senator Berman. House Bill 2568, Senator Nedza. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2568.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Nedza.

SENATOR NEDZA:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 2568 purposes to increase the present tax levy that the Chicago Park District imposes for museums and aquariums by three-tenths of one percent. This will generate no more than 3.6 million dollars in additional revenues for the museums and the aquariums. The eight Chicago museums the park district has are, I would have to say, the State's greatest assets and...and resources. The collections and exhibits contained in these museums are priceless. The oper-

ating budgets of these eight museums total more than forty million dollars annually and about one-third of this comes from the public funds. It's derived from public funds and the balance is derived from private...contributions and admission fees. Over the past few years the public's percentage contributions to the total operating costs have declined and that's the reason for this bill. May I just point out that last fall the Art Institute alone accommodated more than six hundred thousand visitors to the Vatican Exposition, more than fifty-eight million dollars was spent in Illinois by visitors to the exhibition and more than 3.7 million was collected in sales and occupancy taxes by the City of Chicago and the State of Illinois, and more than half of these totals came from two hundred thousand out-of-state visitors. The same would apply to the Museum of Science and Industry's exhibition, the Treasures of Tiffany exhibition where more than five hundred thousand visitors came to see that and accordingly contributed to the State's tax base. I think it's a small percentage to pay for maintaining that kind of...of...of asset that we have. They are...the treasures that we have, as I've said, are priceless. The amount of money that we derive from it is...is quite...large, and the costs to the individual taxpayer based on a one hundred thousand dollar home would be approximately three dollars a year. It's a small cost to pay, and if there are no discussion, I would ask for your favorable consideration.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2568 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 48, the Nays are 3, none voting Present. House Bill 2568 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2569, Senator

Hudson. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2569.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hudson.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 2569 provides that territory which lies both in a municipality and a fire protection district may be transferred to another fire protection district within the municipality. Requires...this requires a front-door referendum and applies only to DuPage County. Came out of the House 199 to nothing, out of our committee 10 to nothing and I would urge a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2569 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 50, the Nays are none, 3 voting Present. House Bill 2569 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2570, Senator Hudson. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2570.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hudson.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Thank you, again, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2570 is a companion bill to the other,

HB 2576
3rd Reading

provides that territory of a fire protection district which lies within a municipality may be disconnected from the district if the municipality provides fire protection services. This, too, requires a front-door referendum and applies only to DuPage County.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2570 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2570 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2574, Senator Bruce. House Bill 2576, Senator Lemke. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2576.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Lemke.

SENATOR LEMKE:

What this bill does is redefines a law enforcement officer as it relates to the Illinois Police Training Act including any employee of a local government agency or a sworn officer of the sheriff's office who is responsible for the prevention or detection of crime. It also has an amendment defining law if it means any full-time employee or of...of local government agency. I think it's a good...good bill and I ask for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

The bill on the surface looks good. I just want to ask

HB 2615
2nd Reading

one question of the sponsor, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR KEATS:

This has no pension implications and has nothing to do with wages or salaries, has strictly do with...to do with educational benefits and that's it, correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Lenke.

SENATOR LENKE:

That is my understanding. From my staff, he tells me that it doesn't have anything to do with pension benefits and he reviewed it, so that is my understanding.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Okay, also nothing to do with wage or salary; by being qualified, this doesn't suddenly put them in a different wage classification, et cetera?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Lenke.

SENATOR LENKE:

No, this is just strictly funding.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2576 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2576 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2578, Senator Berman. House Bill...2600, Senator Berman. House Bill 2615, Senator D'Arco. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2615.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill is a result of my subcommittee's work with the...on the sunset...the subcommittee of the Sunset Committee. And what we did was to investigate the background of the ICC and all the internal workings of the ICC as it related to the public utilities in the State of Illinois. And we held extensive hearings during that time, we did extensive work and we came out with this bill. It is the recommendations from the subcommittee to the Sunset Committee and subsequently in the form of a bill in the General Assembly. And what the bill says is that any significant plant additions or any new plants of electric public utilities must be...the cost of those new plants or significant additions must be reasonable, and in order to determine the reasonableness of the cost, the ICC, which up to this point in time as to its statutory authority as to whether it conduct...an audit or not is questionable, would conduct an audit and if they could not conduct the audit themselves, then they would hire an independent auditor to conduct an audit to determine if the cost was reasonable. And the utility would pay for the audit and they could include the cost of the audit in the rate base when they do ask for a rate increase of their utility costs. This bill is the outgrowth of a bill of a...of what happened at the Eyrone 1 Nuclear Plant. If you recall, the NRC denied Commonwealth Edison a certificate of authority to operate Eyrone 1 because of significant factors that were involved in the construction of Eyrone 1. Now that is still going on, and to be honest with

you, we don't know whether Commonwealth Edison really is guilty of any fraud or any unreasonable cost or any delinquency in their construction costs or what have you. All we're saying is that the Illinois Commerce Commission can have and does have the authority to conduct audits to determine if when these power plants are built, they are built substantially in compliance with what is considered reasonable in the trade. And that's all this bill does and it's a good bill, and we would ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Question of the sponsor, Mr. President. You cited the Commonwealth Edison Byron Plant, but the fact of the matter was...or fact the matter is that the...the NRC staff later indicated that that...that the staff had made a mistake in denying that first application. And I'm not sure that that has any bearing on your bill, but to...to what extent would the Illinois Commerce Commission be involved in a...in the NRC either being right or wrong about their licensing?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Part of the problem is...according to the NRC staff the...the problem with the Byron Plant was due to a...a paper flow, and...and that there were no substantial defects at the plant due to construction costs. Now the NRC, the commission, did not accept the staff report. Subsequently, we have learned that in the Braidwood Plant there may be some substantial defects that Commonwealth Edison may be guilty of, I'm not suggesting they are. When LaSalle 1 was put into the rate base and we were told that it was operational and we...and Commonwealth Edison was given an increase in order to operate LaSalle 1 they only operated it at twenty percent

capacity. So when we understood all these factors that were substantiated to us, we decided that the only way that the ICC could know if there is anything wrong is if they conduct an audit in order to determine who is telling the truth.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Well, Mr. President, I think that...now that I...now that I see what the bill is all about, this is a bill that is recommended by the Auditor General. The bill has little or nothing to do with whether the NEC granted a...a...a license to Commonwealth Edison. What the bill really does is give the Illinois Commerce Commission the right to request an audit of the construction costs of utility companies, and I think it's a good measure and it's one we ought to all support but not for the reasons that I heard.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator D'Arco, I think I'm rising in support of the bill but I want to ask you one more quick question, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator D'Arco. Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

The question...and...and I think we all...all know the Illinois Commerce Commission has been a pushover for the utilities for years, I think it's been years since the Commerce...Commission ever got around to asking any tough questions. Here's what makes me nervous about the bill. Can someone other...than the Commerce Commission ask for an audit, 'cause sometimes I think the Commerce Commission is kind of an adjunct to the utilities? Could we maybe get a third group who might be more interested in finding out

several things; i. e., why the labor costs get out of hand, why Braidwood is forty-two percent cost overrun, why are the structural...I mean, can an outsider ask those questions 'cause the Commerce Commission rarely has the courage to do it?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Outsiders always are intervening in rate cases at the Commerce Commission and asking specifically those type of questions. What...what the Commerce Commission has been saying is, we don't have the statutory authority to conduct audits to determine if any of these questions are valid. So what we're saying is, we're giving you the statutory authority and what...the way it's going to work is that they don't have the staff to conduct the audit. They're going to hire an independent auditor, he's going to conduct the audit and charge the utility that's being audited for the cost of the audit. Subsequently, they can include the cost in the rate base.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR EBUCE)

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Okay. Thank you...I...that basically clarifies it, I appreciate it, I do support the legislation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR EBUCE)

Further discussion? Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Isn't...isn't the main difference between your bill and what we're doing right now the fact that the cost of the audit can be passed through to the ratepayer? You can do the audit...the Commerce Commission right now can do an audit if they'd like, but the main difference is we can make the ratepayer pay for this. Is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Yes, that's correct.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Rigney. Further discussion? Senator Welch.
Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I rise in support of this legislation. People in my district have been trying to get an audit out of the Illinois Power by Clinton for a long time, and I think that irregardless of who pays for it, the ratepayer is eventually going to pay for it himself anyway. So, I rise in support of the legislation, I think it's good and I think...everyone ought to vote for it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

All right. Senator Jerome Joyce and before you speak, Senator Joyce, UPI has asked leave to shoot still photographs of you and Senator D'Acro and the other members. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Senator Jerome Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Yes, Mr. President, thank you. I guess what I'm concerned about, Senator D'Arco, is that this requires the ratepayer to pay this cost. Is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Well, you brought that question up in committee, and what it...it says is that if the audit is an independent audit and not conducted by the ICC, then the cost of that audit can be included in the rate base. So I guess in a roundabout way, the ratepayers are paying the cost of the audit, but hopefully the cost of the audit, if...let's say the cost is a

half a billion dollars, it would save the ratepayers a substantially...substantially more in terms of the amount of money that it would be saved by underlying all of the potential problems that could come about when a plant is constructed or an addition to a new plant is constructed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

All right. And now, Associated Press is requesting leave to shoot still photographs. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Senator Jerome Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Yes. Well,...another question then, I guess. In the past it says that the ratepayer may pay this, now we require it. Don't you think that if there are problems and overruns and what have you that we have all witnessed that the stockholders ought to bear this burden rather than the ratepayers?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator...Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Let me say this, the...the...the bill says that if the commission is unable to conduct such an audit. So the bill provides that it is the initial responsibility of the commission to conduct the audit, and only in the event that it is unable to conduct the audit, then the cost of the audit can be included by the utility in the rate base.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Jerome Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. But I...what if the ICC said that we...we would like to disallow this cost and that, yes, indeed, utility, you are at fault and you should have to pay this burden. You can't do it with this bill now. It has to go to the ratepayers rather than the stockholders.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

If the ICC decides to conduct an independent audit, that is hire somebody other than members of its own staff to conduct the audit, then I agree with you. The cost is going...going to be borne by the ratepayers eventually. What I am saying to you is that it is a small price to pay for what other...whatever substantial defects that this audit can substantiate as a result of its investigation, and I think that's been the problem. There's no way to get a hold of what the ICC is doing in relation to conducting investigations of construction costs of nuclear power plants, and the only way to get a hold of it is for them to physically go out there or to send somebody out there and see what these power plants are doing when they are building them.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Jerome Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Yes, I agree with all that. We...we agree totally. The problem is that on page 2, line 7, starting on line 6, "The cost of such an independent audit shall be borne initially by the utility but shall be recovered as an expense through normal rate making procedures." Now, if on line 7, if you'd say, but "may" be recovered, then the ICC could push that...that cost...if indeed there is a major problem there, they could push that back on the stockholders rather than the ratepayer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Again, I am saying that we are not telling the utilities that they are absolutely at fault, because Commonwealth Edison has had a good record in Illinois. And whether or not there is a problem with the five nuclear power plants that are presently being constructed and the two that are in the

rate base already is a question that we don't know the answer to. And I'm not going to put the burden on Commonwealth Edison without understanding if, in fact, it is their responsibility if there are substantial defects. And until that is proven, then the cost should be borne by the ratepayers, because it is an insignificant amount compared to what can be saved if the investigation proves that Commonwealth Edison is delinquent and does not deserve to put the cost of construction into the rate base as a reasonable and fair cost of doing business.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Jerome Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Well, I'd just...I would like to point out that all we'd have to do is say "may" and we wouldn't be putting the burden on anyone, that the Commerce Commission could decide who should pay whether it be the ratepayer or the utility. As it is now, we are saying to the ratepayer, you are guilty. We're not saying that...and we are saying that...utility company, you don't have to pay for this. I think it ought to be up to the...the Commerce Commission to make that decision as they deliberate on whether or not there was a...a problem with constructing this...this facility.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator D'Arco may close.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Thank you, Mr. President. The bill has to be read in totality, and in totality the bill says that any construction cost that is not reasonable cannot be included in the rate base. Therefore, in order to determine whether a cost is reasonable or not, we have to conduct an audit. If the audit comes back and says that Commonwealth Edison or any other public utility is at fault, that portion of the cost that is

HB 2617
3rd reading

attributable to their fault cannot be in the rate base. This bill is a good bill. It's a needed bill. We have to put the onus on the ICC. The ICC is constantly telling us they don't have the tools to do the job. We have to prove to the ICC that we will give them the tools and therefore they cannot and must not...avoid, avoid is a good word,...shirk...shirk, they must not shirk their responsibility. Ladies and Gentlemen, this is a good bill and we worked hard on it and it deserves your favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

The question is, shall...House Bill 2615 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open...all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are 3, 1 voting Present. House Bill 2615 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2617, Senator...Vadalabene. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2617.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, House Bill 2616 came out of the Agreed List Bill in...in the Committee on Public Health and Welfare, and what it does is it adds the Mennonite Hospital-Watson Gailey Eye Foundation Eye Bank to the roster of individuals, organizations who may request removal of a corneal tissue from a descendant under the Illinois Corneal Transplant Act. There's no...no known opposition to this bill, and I would appreciate a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? Discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 2617 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2617 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2619, Senator Buzbee. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2619.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill is designed to correct an existing situation whereby the EHE is requiring engineering colleges to obtain matching funds from the private sector based on twelve hundred dollars per engineering graduate regardless of the level of State appropriations. The intent of the 1983 legislation was that schools only had to provide private matching funds equal to the amount of money appropriated. In FY '84, schools had to obtain matching funds equal to six times the amount of appropriated funds to be eligible for the full amount of the appropriated funds. House Bill 2619 provides that schools only have to obtain the amount of matching money equal to the amount appropriated, and I would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? Discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 2619 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting

HB 2665
3rd Reading

Present. House Bill 2619 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2622, Senator Berman. Senator Berman on the Floor? House Bill 2629, Senator Luft. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2629.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. Present law permits township road districts to increase their tax rate for road purposes only once a year. The township officials discovered that because of the farm land assessment bill and other steadily declining assessed valuations in the last few years, they feel it's necessary to eliminate the one-year waiting period between referendum on a road district, and this allows them to have more than one referendum within a year's period and that second referendum cannot happen unless ten percent of the road district's registered voters file a petition with the district clerk. If there are any questions, I'll try to answer; otherwise, I would ask for an affirmative roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? Discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 2629 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. All voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2629 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House bill...are we...all right. House Bill 2665 is under the sponsorship of Senator Mahar. With leave of the Body, Senator Fupp has asked leave to handle that. Senator, we have not...Senator Geo-Karis, we

have not been calling appropriation bills. All right. Is there leave for Senator Rupp to handle the bill in...in Senator Mahar's absence? Leave is granted. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

END OF REEL

74B 2684
2nd Reading

REEL #3

SECRETARY:

(Machine cutoff)...Bill 2665.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Rupp.

SENATOR RUFF:

Thank you, Mr. President and thank you for extending the courtesy for Senator Mahar for this bill to be handled. What the bill does is amends the Municipal Code and authorizes municipality to sell surplus real estate through its staff or a real estate agent. There is a regular licensed appraisal, individual will have to set the price but it will produce, we anticipate, higher prices than by using just the original sealed bid process. I ask for a...a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? Discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 2665 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2665 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2666, Senator Bloom. Senator Bloom on the Floor? House Bill 2678, Senator Savickas. Senator Savickas on the Floor? House Bill 2684, Senator D'Arco. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2684.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Thank you. Mr. President, right now if you are on general assistance, you can make up to sixty-two dollars in earned income without losing your hundred and forty-four dollar a month grant and, in fact, you're required by the Department of Public Aid to work in the work fare program. What this bill says, and it's an incentive for those people to keep working and earn more when they do work, is that the first seventy-five dollars of earned income shall be disregarded instead of sixty-two dollars and that not more than three months in any twelve consecutive months that portion of earned income beyond the first seventy-five, that is the difference between the standard of assistance and the grant amount, shall be disregarded. The standard of assistance is two hundred and some odd dollars, I think seventy...seventy dollars or so, and that's far below the standard of need which is four hundred and something dollars, but those are the realities we have to live in. This is a good bill. The...everyone, with the amendment on the bill, seems to be in support of the bill. The Public Aid Advisory Council has written a report on the bill and in fact deserves much of the credit for presenting the bill. I don't know of any opposition and I would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Discussion? Discussion? Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Would the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

According to our analysis, the original bill would have...would have cost us 11.3 million dollars. Do you know what the fiscal impact is now?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Right, the bill was amended and that is no longer the fiscal amount that is required in the bill. I...I know it is substantially much less than that. Fiscal impact would be one million four hundred and seventy-one thousand.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Whose money is that? Is that the townships or is that general revenue?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

That's general revenue money.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator D'Arco may close.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen. The cost of this would be offset because there would be a savings from the number of GA adult cases seeking and securing employment. In other words, as these people are allowed to earn more income, they would subsequently get off the GA rolls and get into the mainstream of employment in the private sector, which is what everybody wants them to do. This is a good bill. It's an incentive for people to go out and seek employment and become employable and not keep themselves in the posture that nobody wants them to be in. I ask you for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Question is, shall House Bill 2684 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the

record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are 2, none voting Present. House Bill 2684 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2692, Senator Rigney. 2693, Senator Demuzio. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2693.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is an Audit Commission bill and would authorize the Auditor General to conduct an audit of Federally funded programs conducted by or through a State agency if the audit is required by Federal law. The legislation is here, as a result of the Audit Commission, and the purpose of the legislation is to have the Federal Government pay the State for audits that we conduct on...federally held funds. Recently, the Federal Highway Administration required that the Illinois Department of Transportation to audit Federal funds; however, the Federal agency did not offer any kind of financial assistance to the Department of Transportation to conduct the audit. So, this in fact would allow us to conduct such an audit and to also, as well, seek reimbursement. I know of no opposition and would ask for favorable support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? Discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 2693 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 56, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2693 having received the required con-

stitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill...2706, Senator Jeremiah Joyce. House Bill 2710, Senator Savickas. Senator Savickas on the Floor? House Bill 2714,...okay. 2721, Senator Vadalabene. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2721.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. House Bill 2721 is a bill which increases the authorization formula for a library system funding from a dollar and nine cents to a dollar and fourteen and a half cents per capita, and from thirty-eight dollars and nineteen cents to forty dollars and ten cents per square mile. This appropriation is already in the Governor's budget and is contained in House Bill 2729, the Secretary of State's annual appropriation bill for fiscal 1985, and I would appreciate a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Is there discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 2721 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are 1, 1 voting Present. House Bill 2721 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2731, Senator Berman. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2731.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Eerman.

SENATOR EERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 2731 provides that persons employed on July 1, 1984 as assistant regional superintendents are qualified for and entitled to the equivalent of certification in order to maintain their positions. This is at the request of the Cook County Regional Superintendent of Instruction. I solicit your Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Discussion? Is there discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 2721 pass. Those in favor vote Aye...2731 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 56, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2731 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2735, Senator Fock. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2735.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Fock.

SENATOR FOCK:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a bill introduced at the request of the officials of the Chicago Port District. In 1951, this Assembly placed on the district a ceiling of twenty-five hundred dollars on checks that may be signed by the treasurer and the chairman...by their designee, as a matter of fact. All this would do is raise the ceiling from twenty-five hundred to ten

thousand dollars. That is the only change the bill makes, and I would solicit your favorable consideration.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? Discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 2735 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are...on that question, the Ayes are 56, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2735 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2736, Senator Savickas. House Bill 2753, Senator Nedza. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2753.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Nedza.

SENATOR NEDZA:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This legislation would provide the metropolitan sanitary district with the following: It would expand the definition of construction purposes to now include flood control facilities;...restore the construction working cash fund and authorize the sale of approximately twenty-eight million dollars in order to create the fund and authorize a one-half cent annual levy which would generate approximately 1.5 million dollars per year to retire the bonds, which would be used to maintain the authorized level of the fund without any increase of any additional bonds after the fund is created. According to the sanitary district, this legislation would make the construction fund more fully effective and would result in tax saving to the district by eliminating annual short-term borrowing against each year's levy. Therefore,

under the provisions outlined in this bill, the sanitary district could borrow from itself rather than having to issue bonds for construction purposes. May I say that there has been some criticism to the bill, not because of the fact that there is a total benefit to the...taxpayer, only because of the fact that it's a back-door referendum. Based on that, let me just share some figures with you. The cost on an eight-year bond sale, which is contemplated to be the effective bond, under a sale of the working cash bonds it, would total four million two hundred and sixteen thousand eight hundred and twelve dollars as opposed to the annual construction tax anticipation notes which would be seven million eight hundred and thirteen thousand three hundred and thirty-six dollars which was result in a saving to the taxpayers of three million five hundred and ninety-six dollars five hundred and twenty-four...three million five hundred and ninety-six thousand five hundred and twenty-four dollars. There's no question that the front-end load on it is...is the greatest debt that is occurred, but through the succeeding years after maturity of this working cash bond, this would continue to be available to the...to the construction fund for its financing at no additional cost to the district, which in affect would give the taxpayers an additional saving every year after the first year. It would make sense that the repetitive sale of a given volume of notes with its...interest in cost even at lower interest rates, over a given number of years prove more expensive than a front-end sale of bonds with the declining outstanding principal and consequently...declining debt which would service the cost over the years. Any discussion? I would solicit your favorable support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Yeah, question of the sponsor. When this bill went through the Executive Committee it had something to do with authorizing the writing of checks, raising the limit from twenty-five hundred dollars to ten thousand dollars. Now,...wrong bill? I'm sorry.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

You're...this is 2753 and you're on 2735. The numbers are reversed.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

This has nothing to do with marriages then.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

No.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is...is there further discussion? Senator Kustra.

SENATOR RUSTRA:

Thank you, Mr. President. Question of the sponsor. Just looking at my analysis, Senator Nedza, I wonder if you could explain. It says here that there is a direct annual tax authorized to pay the principal and interest on the bonds and no referendum is required. And it says that the construction working cash fund is to be maintained through an annual levy, not to exceed five percent, no referendum. So we...you keep talking about saving the taxpayer dollars, I...I want to do that too, but I'd like to give them some role in whether or not they want their property taxes increase. And I guess the question I would have for you is whether or not you aren't allowing the metropolitan sanitary district to raise taxes without referendum.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Nedza.

SENATOR NEDZA:

Senator Kustra, in my opening remarks I alluded to both

the subjects that you've mentioned. I said that what...what it was. I was not trying to hide behind anything. I mentioned the fact that it was a back-door referendum. I said that, and Gordy was standing here, I said that. There is a one-half of one...one percent annual levy in the construction fund. That also is there and...I mentioned that. That is to retire...there is a front load...on the first year...that the bonds would go into effect, there is a load factor. The first year the levy would be there. From the succeeding years thereafter, it amortizes itself. There is nothing left to it. So, that at the end of the eight-year period, after the bonds have been completely eliminated, then the district is in the position to borrow from itself and there is no longer any need for tax anticipation warrants. I said that out-front.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Kustra.

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Senator, I wasn't suggesting that you were trying to deceive us. I didn't hear the beginning of your presentation, and I'm just reading the analysis. But I did...I did think I hear you said just now, there is or is not a back-door referendum? Our understanding is there is not a back-door referendum on this bill. I thought you said there was. You did not.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Nedza.

SENATOR NEDZA:

No...I...no, there's not.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Nedza may close.

SENATOR NEDZA:

Thank you, very much. I would just...based on Senator

HB 2751
3rd Reading

Schuneman's remarks, I would like to have a marriage with this Assembly with this bill, and I ask for your favorable support. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Question is, shall House Bill...2753 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 29, the Nays are 21...sponsor asks that further consideration of House Bill 2753...be postponed. It will be placed on the Order of Postponed Consideration. House Bill 2775, Senator Rock. Wait...Senator Rock, when we turned the page, the presiding officer...I made a mistake and skipped Senator Lenke's bill. With your leave, we'll take 2751, which I thought was a continuation of the prior bill. House Bill...2751. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2751.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Lenke.

SENATOR LEMKE:

What this bill does is amends the Illincis Vehicle Code to allow half white registration fee for license plates for senior citizens disabled and it's geared to the...Circuit Breaker Act. It's similar to a bill that we passed out as a Senate bill. I ask for its favorable adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? Discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 2751 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are 1, none voting Present.

HB 2775
2nd Reading

House Bill 2751 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2775. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please. Senator Rock.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2775.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The title as read does not accurately portray House Bill 2775 as amended. As you will recall, I'm sure, we put an amendment on this bill, since it is in the right chapter, to afford some statement of intent and some change in the Governmental Ethics Act. This was proposed by the Attorney General of Illinois when he declined to intervene or file a quo warranto in the case of a public official who had filed his ethic statement not in a timely manner. What this does is now delete everything after the enacting clause and will establish in the provisions of the Governmental Ethics Act a May 1 instead of April 30 deadline for filing the ethics statement. It will require the Secretary of State or the county clerk within seven days following May 1 to notify any persons who are required to file; if, in fact, they have failed to file and failed to meet the May 1 deadline, they will receive a notice of that. Anyone who has received that notice and then subsequently files is subject to a late filing fee of fifteen dollars which was deliberately put in to accommodate the additional cost or expense that will be incurred by either the Secretary or the county clerk. In addition, they will be subjected to a per-day fine, and after one month has elapsed, the Attorney General and the...state's attorneys of the hundred and two counties are then in a posi-

HB 2783
3rd reading

tion to statutorily bring a forfeiture of office quo warranto proceeding against a person who deliberately fails to file by May 31. As you and I recall, back in 1973, I believe it was, or '72, immediately after the new Constitution took effect and this General Assembly passed a Statute to accommodate or to implement the constitutional provision, there were a number who failed to file the required statement. We passed a law to extend the filing period and to provide for the prior notice. Now some ten or twelve years later, it appears that another notice should be required, and before an actual forfeiture of office is effective, there ought to be that notice and that opportunity to once again file. I know of no objection. The question was raised at the time the amendment was put on the bill as to whether or not it had any retroactive effect. In my judgement, it is not retroactive, and I would solicit your favorable consideration.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? Discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 2775 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2775 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Excuse Bill 2783, Senator Nedza. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2783.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Nedza.

SENATOR NEDZA:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The bill only...all the bill does is increases the

fee for certificate of purchase of tax delinquent property in Cook County from twenty dollars to thirty dollars. It makes a few technical changes being the...provides that striking the protest is...permitted if the defense is not sustained. It provides that a person redeeming under protest shall file a copy of the protest with the court in which the tax...the petition is pending and provides that the county clerk or court may declare a sale in error due to assessor only on the application of the...tax purchaser. I know of no opposition to the bill, and I'd ask for your favorable consideration.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? Discussion? The question is,...Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yeah, question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Senator, I'm...I...I'm sitting here but I didn't...are you increasing the penalties for filing delinquent tax?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Nedza.

SENATOR NEDZA:

No. All we're doing is increasing the fee from twenty dollars to thirty dollars, the fee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Collins. Further discussion? Further discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 2783 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. The opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are 1, none voting Present. House Bill 2783 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2797, Senator Berman. Senator Berman on the Floor?

House Bill 2798, Senator Luft. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2798.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 2798 was introduced at the request of the Illinois Petroleum Marketers Association, and it's designed to inform the public of the type of fuel being purchased and to protect consumers from the damaging effects caused by either methanol fuel which does not contain cosolvents, hopefully, to insure proper information. House Bill 2798 requires that retail devices used to dispense motor fuels containing a specified amount of ethanol or methanol be prominently labeled with the identity and volume of added alcohol and cosolvent. It also requires each seller of motor fuel containing any methanol or ethanol to notify the purchaser of the identity and volume of added alcohol and...cosolvent. If there's any questions,...I'll attempt to answer them; or if not, I'd ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? The question...the question is, shall House Bill 2798 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 56, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill...2798 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. With leave of the Senate, we'll return to the bill just preceeding that. Senator Berman was called off the Floor. He has returned and with leave we'll get to 2797. Is there leave? Leave is

HB 2797
3rd Reading

granted. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2797.

{Secretary reads title of bill}

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 2797 amends the Uniform Limited Partnership Act to provide that the...that the certificates to amend or cancel certificates of the limited partnership...need not require the signature of all of the members of the partnership particularly the limited partners but may be executed only by the general partners. I solicit your Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? Discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 2797 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2797 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2803, Senator Keats. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2803.

{Secretary reads title of bill}

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This bill deals with a problem in Representative Pierce's and my district where they built a subdivision that

is completely surrounds an area that is not covered by the metropolitan sanitary district. The problem with it, those people are receiving the services from the MSD, but aren't paying for it. Therefore, the MSD would like and the assessor wants it 'cause with the quadrennial reassessment coming up, you can't assess an area that part has sewage services, part doesn't. The developer needs it 'cause he's held up on construction and you know what that costs, and then the... home owners want it, 'cause you can't sell a home if you can't figure out whether it has sewer systems. The reason I'm taking some kidding on the bill is I've been asked to open this up for about eight thousand amendments, and I'm saying, will you help me get this out of here before it has kittens. Right now it is a plain, noncontroversial bill that Representative Pierce and I are handling with no problems. The list of amendments is unbelievable. Let's get it out here, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Discussion? Senator Grothberg.

SENATOR GROTHBERG:

A question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Grothberg.

SENATOR GROTHBERG:

My analysis shows this has a side-door referendum on it, is that true? No front door, no backdoor, just a side door?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

To the best of my knowledge, there's no referendum involved. This is not a referendum issue. This is whether or not someone has a sewage system and whether they're paying for it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

AB 2807
3rd reading

Further discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 2803 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are 1, none voting Present. House Bill 2803 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2807, Senator Welch. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2807.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 2807 was requested by the Attorney General. What it does is provide for the refund of a prorated or apportioned license fee paid pursuant to any other reciprocal compact or agreement between this and any other state or province, and it extends the time for applying from six months to one year. This...one of the major purposes of this bill is to try to reduce the number of cases coming before the court of claims for refunds of vehicle registration overpayments. I'd be glad to try to answer any questions on this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? Discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 2807 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2807 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2812, Senator Philip. Senator Philip. House Bill 2815, Senator Demuzio.

Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2815.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Demuzio. 2815.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Yes, thank you, very much, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. What this in fact does is a...it's another product of the...the Legislative Audit Commission. It would amend the Public Community College Act, specifically that section that has dealt with exceptional colleges and it pertains in this particular instance only to one junior college in the...in the State. It would delete the need for approval by the SCC Board of Trustees for the Illinois Community College Board to establish the special policies, rules, guidelines for the district. There's been some discussion relevant to this legislation over the last several years and it seems to me that it's time now to delete that provision. It is in fact a product of the Audit Commission, and I would ask for its approval.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? Discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 2815 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 57, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2815 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. For what purpose does Senator Rock arise?

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. If I can have the attention of the membership, there

have been a number of questions to how late we are going to work this evening. I have passed out or asked to be passed out a supplemental calendar and let me explain what we're about. The supplemental calendar represents the appropriation bills that were reported out of the appropriations committee with numerous amendments. Most amendments, I am told reliably, are agreed or at least tolerated. Few...the idea obviously is mechanically, we have to deal with the committee amendments and it does take some time. My suggestion is, and with leave of the Body, to go now to...the supplemental calendar and effectively once through that, once the amendments are offered and adopted or rejected or whatever, that we will then conclude our business for today and return tomorrow at 10:00 a.m., at which time we will take up the recalls and then again proceed to 3rd reading. We are making every legitimate effort...and Senator Philip and I will be meeting in about an hour or so and then again in the morning with the House leaders. We are making an effort not to be here this weekend. In order to expedite the process, this procedure, I think, will be helpful. If we can go through the amendments, get them adopted, afford enrolling and engrossing the opportunity, with the understanding that they will...these appropriation bills will not be called until the first of next week, so that those who wish to make some adjustments, whether agency or member adjustments, we will have some time to take a look at the bills as amended and we will get to them prior to the Tuesday of next week deadline. With that, Mr. President, I would move you that we move to the supplemental calendar and begin the appropriations process.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there leave to go to the Order then to the Supplemental Calendar No. 1 to the Order of House Bills...for what purpose does Senator Philip arise?

SENATOR PHILIP:

Yeah, I'd just like to getting something in the record, Mr. President, Senator Mahar is convalescing in the hospital from an operation and Senator Coffey is out-of-state on business.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

The Journal will so reflect. Leave to go to...Order of House Bills 2nd Reading? Leave is granted. On Supplemental Calendar No. 1, House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 2530, Senator Macdonald. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2530.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, also to amplify the remarks of Senator Rock for a second. It is our intent to deal with only those amendments that were adopted in committee, which are basically the guideline amendments that the Senate previously adopted and those requested and agreed to basically by the departments. We do expect there to be corrections. This time frame allows enrolling and engrossing to put the bills in shape so that next Monday we could bring the bills back to make any corrections or to give members shots at projects they want or anything else. In most instances, if you try to do it today, you'd be technically out of order anyway, so we're trying to get it physically in shape so that we can bring them all back on Monday. So with the approval of the membership, we'll try and go through these as quickly as possible,...excuse me. Committee Amendment No. 1 for the Board of Elections is the

guidelines and eliminating a commission that have been put in by the Bureau of the Budget to which the State Board had no knowledge, a reduction of almost a hundred and twenty-five thousand. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Alright. Senator Carroll moves the...move the...moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2530. Is there any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

3rd reading. 2549...3rd reading, on 2530. House Bill 2549. Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2549.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 2610. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2610.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Appropriations I Committee offers

*HR 2624
2nd Reading*

one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines previously established by the Senate. It's a reduction of just over forty-five thousand. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2610. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 2624. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

House Bill...2624.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This reduces the reappropriation for the Department of Transportation to the newest known balance that of

May...May 15th, a seventy-three million dollar reduction asked for by the department. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2624. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 2627. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2627.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers five amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The first amendment is a slightly modified version of our guidelines. That is to make sure that we do not go under the necessary match and lose any Federal funds; other than that, it is our guidelines. A reduction of some two hundred twenty-seven thousand seven hundred. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment

No. 1 to House Bill 2627. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate...because of our understanding that the President of the Senate and Minority Leader, Speaker of the House and Minority Leader have met with some of the Federal officials involving the pollution emissions and they're not quite sure of what time frame is necessary for implementation, but we want to prove our commitment to going forward, this amendment would adequately...reflect the maximum potential spending program within the next twelve months, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Alright. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2627. Any discussion? Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to ask a question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

You...you mentioned something about the auto emissions. Is this the one involving Cook County, Lake County, Madison, St. Clair County? I'd like a further explanation, then.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Yes, this has nothing to do with the substantive...legislation. All we have been asked to do here...our initial discussion in committee would have taken out every dollar that the agency had budgeted. We were asked not to do that, so that if substantive legislation passes, there is money available to be spent however the substantive legislation finally works out. It is agreed by all that it is nowhere near the amount originally requested, but there should be some movement going forward. This amendment reduces the request by a hundred and fifty thousand. The bill came in with eight hundred thousand. This is a reduction amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Alright. Further discussion? Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2627. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 3 is to allow for the funding pursuant to House Bill 3193 of the Permanent Inspection Fund, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 2627. Is there any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. These were two EPA projects that had been approved, one in East Peoria and one in Macomb for sewer construction grants, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 2627. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 5.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This the last amendment, it was a request of the department to adjust the reappropriations amount that is necessary for the environmental protection projects that are still to be funded and I would move its adoption...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 5 to House Bill 2627. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 2631. Mr. Secretary, read the

bill.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2631.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill...Appropriations Committee I...offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guideline amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2631. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

3rd reading. House Bill 2633. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

House...House Bill 2633.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The first one is a request for transfer between lines by the agency. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2633. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you. This is the guideline amendment, I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2633. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 2634. Mr. Secretary read the bill.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2634.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 2636. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2636.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II, it has here but is that I? Alright. Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you Mr. President and members of the Senate. This is the guidelines, I would move its adoption..

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2636. Any discussion? If not, those in...favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 2638. Mr. Secretary, read the

bill.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2638.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers three amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The first amendment is for necessary improvement for Senate offices at the request of the present minority here. I'd move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2630. Any discussion? If not, those in...favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the amendment that adds the three downstate schools that are eligible for funds, projects this year under our previously announced formulas, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2630. Any discussion? If not, those in...favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further

committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank...thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. In this case, this was a defective school building. What we have done in the past is to allocate the moneys necessary to allow the building to be used while we are pursuing the contractors and architects and this amendment would allow us to so do. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 2630. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 2639. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2639.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment adds the retirement to our new guidelines level, an addition of some twenty-one hundred dollars. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2639. Is there any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 2640. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2640.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers three amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The first amendment is the guidelines amendment and the...creation of the Property Tax Appeal Board, taking it out of the Department of Revenue as required. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2640. Is there any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This...this does make the Property Tax Appeal Board a full-year board as required by law, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment...No. 2 to House Bill 2640. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This deletes the Office of Appraisals which the department has indicated has not performed a useful function and also adds rental money for the lottery because the lottery lost a lot of...when they get into the State building, they get in last, so they need some rental money. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amend-

ment No. 3 to House Bill 2640. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 2642, Senator Bloccm. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2642.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guideline amendment, I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2642. Any discussion? If not, those in...favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 2644, Senator Scmmer. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2644.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers three amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. If I can just halt a second because on this and a couple of the up-and-coming bills, we are creating some vehicles for use for the future, such as the fall, in case we need them to save legislative days at that time. So Committee Amendment No. 1 adds the Industrial Commission to the Department of Labor's appropriation, and I would move adoption of that amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment...No. 1 to...to House Bill 2644. Is there any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment 2 would be the guidelines amend-

ment for the Industrial Commission. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2644. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment for labor and EES, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 2644. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 2645, Senator Philip. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2645.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers four amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This, too, in order to...another bill for vehicle purposes adds to the Office of the Governor...Office of the Bureau of the Budget, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2645. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is for the conference that the Governor attends annually for his expenses. They had inappropriately been in the Comptroller's bill. When the Comptroller's bill was in the Senate we took it out of there, got a green to put into the Governor's bill. This amendment does so, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2645. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines for EOE, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 2645. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you. To those who have your script, this does not mean retirement for the purpose of the person who is now occupying the Office of Governor but rather to raise the level of funding for retirement for the Governor to sixty-six and two-thirds like everybody else, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 2645. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd...any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 2646, Senator Sommer. Senator Sommer wants that held. House Bill 2647, Senator Sommer. Mr. Secretary, read the bill. 2647.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2647.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption for Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2647. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 2648, Senator Sommer. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2648.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines and phasing of the new positions. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2648. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 2649, Senator Scumer. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2649.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers nine amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Don't get so nervous. The reason for the first amendment is the House forgot to do something this time. They forgot

to pass a bill for the Department of Transportation, which is a minor agency of State Government. So Committee Amendment No. 1 adds the Department of...of Transportation Operations and Construction some one billion eight hundred twenty-six million, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2649...is there any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 2 is the guidelines amendment for CDB's operations. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2649. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment for the DOT portion. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 2649. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, these and the next several were agreed-to DOT type projects. This one is for Canton to Kingston mines and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 2649. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 5.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the same, it's Three West Twenty in Rockford. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 5 to House Bill 2649. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 6.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is for the flood drainage problem in Ingles Park and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 6 to House Bill 2649. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 6 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 7.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This was at the request of President Eock. It's for additional equipment for the Division of Aeronautics for the Department of Transportation. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 7 to House Bill 2649. Any discussion? If not, those in...favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 8.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you. This is a request of the Republican side for Waubensee Creek. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 8 to House Bill 2649. Any discussion? Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Not to ask a roll call or anything and I'm not questioning the Appropriations Chairman who is a wonderful guy to be cooperative with the administration. That thing for the Department of Aeronautics is another one of these worthless damn airplanes. It's a waste of the taxpayers' money...oh two new, I'm sorry, I missed it. Two new ones. We need two new airplanes in Illinois like we all need a broken leg. I just thought I'd throw it in since we're all aware of what junk we just put on.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 8 to House Bill 2649. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 8 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 9.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Just also to correct the record, those were replacement vehicles, not new. Senator Keats, those are to replace ones that are no longer usable, not new, but that's beside the point. This is a project for an ambulance to...to purchase an additional ambulance. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 9 to House Bill 2649. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 9 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 2651, Senator Bloch. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill... (Machine cutoff).

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President (Machine cutoff)...

END OF REEL

REEL #4

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2651.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines and a request from the BOE on additional contractual money. I'd move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2651. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 2654, Senator Davidson. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2654.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I

offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment. I would move the its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee...Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2654. Is there any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

3rd reading. House Bill 2655, Senator Elcom. Hold that one. House Bill 2663, Senator Carroll. Read the...read the bill, Mr...pardon...all right, House Bill 2663...all right, Senator Carroll, I'm told that House Bill 2663 is not on the Supplemental Calendar. Let's go to House Bill...House Bill 2664. Senator Carroll, you want that bill read? Mr. Secretary, House Bill 2664.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2664.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers three amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The first amendment is the guidelines and a reduction in the salary increases that they were going to request for their various personnel. I would move its adoption. It's a two million two hundred and seventy-six thousand dollar reduction.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2664. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Consistent with the agreements of last year, this adds the probation officers' subsidy. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2664. Is there any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This becomes a reappropriation of the Ottawa building

improvements that are necessary. They couldn't complete them this year, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 2664. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 2729, Senator Davidson. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2729.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the committee guidelines to all the constitutional officers. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2729. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the floor?

SECRETARY:

No floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 2739, Senator Carroll. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2739.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Committee Amendment No. 1 deletes all the add-ons that the House had had, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2739. Is there any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the floor?

SECRETARY:

No floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 2765, Senator Schmer. Read the

bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2765.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This fifty-nine million dollar...reduction to adjust the reappropriations to the correct amount as of the most known balance stated, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

The...Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2765. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. All right, House Bill 2769, Senator Vadalabene. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2769.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

HB 2785
2nd Reading

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2769. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 2785, Senator Carroll. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2785.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the committee guidelines that will apply to the Office of the Attorney General. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2785. Any discussion? If not, those in

favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 2786, Senator Carroll. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2786.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading House Bill 2788, Senator Zito. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2788.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill...2821, 2821, Senator Carroll. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2821.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment cuts the amount they requested in half, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2821. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. All right, I am told that House Bill 2663 which was...is on the regular Calendar for today. So, with leave of the Body, we will leave the Supplemental Calendar that has been passed out and go back to the regular Calendar, on page 24, in the middle of the page, and pick up House Bill 2663, with leave of the Body. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. House Bill 2663, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2663.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a four hundred thousand dollar reduction in the Supreme Court's request for subsidies with their approval. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2663. Those...any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, 3rd reading. Senator Rock, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you. If that clears up the paper work, I'd...no...what's the problem?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

We have...on the Supplemental Calendar, we have three bills on 3rd reading. I assume they are to be amended and therefore...Senator Rock, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR ROCK:

Yes, I...I think we have...for the benefit of the membership, we have dealt with fifty-five substantive bills today

and I think that's a good day's work. I would move that we stand adjourned until ten o'clock tomorrow morning. At ten o'clock we will start immediately on the Order of Recalls.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Is there...is there any announcements? Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I know we don't have that many people that want to practice this evening, but we are going to have a baseball game, hopefully, tomorrow night and I would think it'd be a wise idea if the Senators got out there one time and caught a few balls and knocked a few baseballs out of the ballpark because it's going to be a difficult evening otherwise. So, we're...we're going...within a half hour after adjournment, go over two block west of the Stratton Building and we'll be playing a short time over there, a little practice.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, further announcements? Any further business to come before the Senate? Senator Rock moves the...Senator Carroll, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR CARROLL:

Just a reminder to the members of Appropriations I and II, there is a meeting this evening at six o'clock at the Department of Ag. director's lawn. I would appreciate everybody's attendance.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further announcements? No further...any further business to come before the Senate? Senator Rock moves that the Senate stands adjourned until Wednesday, June the 20th, at the hour of 10:00 a.m.