83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

MAY 18, 1983

PRESIDENT:

The Senate will please come to order. Will the members please be at their desks, and will our guests in the gallery please rise. Prayer this morning by the Reverend Eugene Weitzel, Director of Chaplains at St. John's Hospital, Springfield, Illinois. Father.

REVEREND EUGENE WEITZEL:

(Prayer given by Reverend Weitzel)

PRESIDENT:

Thank you, Father. Reading of the Journal. SECRETARY:

Wednesday, May the 11th, 1983.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Johns.

SENATOR JOHNS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move that the Journal just read by the...by the Secretary be approved unless some Senator has additions or corrections to offer.

PRESIDENT:

You've heard the motion as placed by Senator Johns. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. Motion carries. It's so ordered. Senator Johns.

SENATOR JOHNS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move the Journals of Thursday, May the 12th and Tuesday, May the 17th, in the year 1983, be postponed pending arrival of the printed Journal.

PRESIDENT:

You've heard the motion as placed by Senator Johns. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes bave it. The motion carries, and it's so ordered. Message from the House.

SECRETABY:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate the House of Representatives has passed bills with the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

House Bills 9, 61, 174, 186, 200, 238, 241, 247, 270, 321, 343, 554, 558, 613, 614, 615, 621, 630, 652, 654 and 657.

PRESIDENT:

Resolutions.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 169 offered by Senator Rock and all members, and it's a death resolution.

PRESIDENT:

Consent Calendar. With leave of the Body, we'll go to the Order of House Bills 1st Reading, Page 66 on the Calendar. House Bills 1st, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 58, Senator Schuneman-Egan.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st reading of the bill.

House Bill 60, Senator Vadalabene.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st reading of the bill.

House Bill 447, Senator Demuzio.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st reading of the bill.

House Bill 488, Senator Bruce.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st reading of the bill.

House Bill 507, Senator Jerome Joyce.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st reading of the bill.

House Bill 514, Senator Nedza.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

```
1st reading of the bill.
    House Bill 584, Senator D'Arco.
              (Secretary reads title of bill)
1st reading of the bill.
    House Bill 631, Senator Berman.
              (Secretary reads title of bill)
1st reading of the bill.
    House Bill 632, Senator Berman.
              (Secretary reads title of bill)
1st reading of the bill.
    House Bill 633, Senator Berman.
              (Secretary reads title of bill)
1st reading of the bill.
    House Bill 638, Senator Egan.
              (Secretary reads title of bill)
1st reading of the bill.
    House Bill 653, Senator Bloom.
              (Secretary reads title of bill)
1st reading of the bill.
    House Bill 682, Senator Bruce.
              (Secretary reads title of bill)
1st reading of the bill.
    House Bill 684, Senator Demuzio.
               (Secretary reads title of bill)
1st reading of the hill.
    House Bill 697, Senator Vadalabene.
              (Secretary reads title of bill)
1st reading of the bill.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
    For what purpose does Senator Maitland arise?
SENATOR MAITLAND:
    Thank you, Mr. President, on a point of personal privi-
```

lege, sir.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

State your point.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

In...in the gallery...in the President's gallery, seated directly behind the Chamber, is the Melvin Sibley High School history class and their instructor, Mrs. Ames. I would like for them stand and be recognized by the Body.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Would our guests in the galleries please rise and be recognized by the Senate. 1st reading.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 743, Senator Demuzio.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR PRUCE)

For what purpose does Senator Kenneth Hall arise? SENATOR HALL:

A point of personal privilege, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

State your point.

SENATOR BALL:

We are bonored today by having longfellow school of East St. Louis here. They're in the gallery right above us, and I'd like for all of them to stand and be recognized by the Senate.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Would the Longfellow School students rise and be recognized. Welcome to Springfield.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 747, Senator Egan.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st reading of the bill.

House Bill 578, Senator Newhouse.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 814. Senator Maitland.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 838, Senator Sangmeister.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

For what purpose does Senator Geo-Karis arise?
SENATOR GEG-KARIS:

The purpose of personal privilege. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, one of our members on this side of the aisle has added a year which would qualify him for many additional benefits, and I think it's only right that we wish happy birthday to Senator James Rupp.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Rupp, another year. Happy birthday, Senator. Did you care to sing or anything, Senator Rupp? Alright. 1st reading.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 873, Senator Netsch.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 925, Senator Vadalabene and Schuneman.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 932, Senator Newhouse.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 965, Senator Rigney.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 970, Lenke.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 976, Senator Kelly.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 977, Senator Zito.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1002, Senator Coffey.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1003, Senator Davidson.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

```
House Bill 1024, Senator Jones.
          (Secretary reads title of bill)
House Bill 1032, Senator Smith.
          (Secretary reads title of bill)
House Bill 1037, Senator Maitland.
          (Secretary reads title of bill)
House Bill 1045, Senator Ball.
          (Secretary reads title of bill)
House Bill 1076, Senator Jones.
          (Secretary reads title of bill)
House Bill 1077, Senator Vadalabene.
          (Secretary reads title of bill)
House Bill 1083, Senator Marovitz.
          (Secretary reads title of bill)
House Bill ... House Bill 1100.
          (Secretary reads title of bill)
House Bill 1118, Senator Vadalakene.
          (Secretary reads title of bill)
House Bill 1112, Senator Holmberg.
          (Secretary reads title of bill)
House Bill 1121, Senator Egan.
          (Secretary reads title of bill)
House Bill 1144, Senator Newhouse.
           (Secretary reads title of bill)
House Bill 1171, Senator Demuzic.
          (Secretary reads title of bill)
House Eill 1192, Senator Bloom.
          (Secretary reads title of bill)
House Eill 1223.
           (Secretary reads title of bill)
House Bill 1228, Senator Demuzic.
          (Secretary reads title of bill)
House Bill 1326, Senator Demuzic.
```

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1372, Senator Carroll.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1449, Senator Lemke.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill... House Bill 1450, Senator Lemke.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1451, Senator Lenke.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1595, Sepator Nedza.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1650, Senator Lechowicz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1840, Senator Chew-Coffey.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1870, Senator Weaver.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st reading of the following House bills...foregoing House bills.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

(Machine cutoff)...purpose does Senator Davidson arise?
SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Mr. President, I request a Republican caucus immediately in Senator Fhilip's office, he's waiting for us in there. Will you please move off the Floor as soon as he Recesses us for a ten minute caucus. Everybody please come in promptly. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR BOCK:

Yes, I would...that's request is certainly in order, I'd move that we stand in Becess until the hour of twelve-thirty.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

The motion is that the Senate stand in Recess until the hour of twelve-thirty. On the motion, those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The Senate stands in

Recess till twelve-thirty.

BECESS

AFTER RECESS

PRESIDENT:

The hour of twelve-thirty having arrived, the Senate will come to order. Senator Davidson, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Point of personal privilege.

PRESIDENT:

State your point, sir.

PRESIDENT:

I'd like to introduce to the members of the Senate the high school which was in my district, which now, unfortunately, is part of Senator Sommer's district, but their teacher and the high school class from Tri-City High School which encompasses Buffalo, Mechanicsburg and Dawson here in Sangamon County. Mrs. Reed and her class.

PRESIDENT:

Will our guests in the gallery please rise and be recognized. Relcome. Senator Friedland, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR FRIEDLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd ask leave to have Senator Kustra the Senate sponsor of House Eill 451 to put him as chief sponsor.

PRESIDENT:

House Bill... House Bill 451, Senator seeks leave to have Senator Kustra shown as the chief sponsor. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senator Luft, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd ask leave to be recorded as a hyphenated sponsor on House Bill 609 with Senator

Page 9 - MAY 18, 1983

Joseph friend

Sangmeister.

PRESIDENT:

House Bill 609, the Senator seeks leave to be shown as hyphenated cosponsor. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. It's so ordered. If you'll turn to Page 2 on the Calendar, a new recall list has been distributed. There are some forty bills on the Order of Recall and we will get to those as soon as the sponsors indicate that they are ready for that order. We will begin on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, at the top of Page 2. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2, Senator Buzbee. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 2.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Buzbee.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Euzbee.

SENATOR EUZEEF:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident. Senate Bill 2 is the surrogate Senate...Senate Bill 1. It was supposed to have been Senate Bill 1 but there was a misnumbering somewhere and it got to be Senate Bill 2. The...the first amendment...the first amendment is, Mr. Secretary, I believe is the...the long amendment, isn't that correct? Okay. This is a technical amendment. It adds new language enacted in House Bill 991, the utility tax on tax last fall. The new language does not affect the paragraph of Section 36 which this bill amends. It inserts the current statutory language into Senate Bill 2, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Buzbee.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR EUZEEE:

Thank you, Mr. President...Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1/2 is making the effective date May 1, 1984, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Alright, Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further agendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Johns, for what purpose do you arise? SENATOR JOHNS:

I hope I'm not cut of order, but I'd like to...leave of the Body to be shown as one of the sponsors of that bill, also.

PRESIDENT:

You've heard the request. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senate Bill 3, Senator Joyce. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 3. Read the bill,

Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 3.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Agriculture, Conservation and Energy offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Joyce on Amendment No....Committee Amendment No.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident. Committee Amendment No. 1 is a technical amendment. We referred to the 43rd District when referring to House members when, in fact, they're eighty-fifth and eighty-sixth in that district. So, that's what that changes.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Joyce has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 3. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Are there amendments from the Floor? SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Jerome Joyce.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JCYCE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 changes the...the makeup of the commission. It makes nine members rather than ten. They are appointed by the officers of the...the leadership in the General Assembly, and there are six members from an area rather than from cities.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Joyce has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 3. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECBETARY:

No further arendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senate Bill 22, Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

Senate Bill 23. Senate Bill 31. Senate Eill 37, Senator

D'Arco. Senate Bill 42, Senator Holmberg. On the Order of

Senate Bills 2nd Reading, the middle of Page 2, is Senate

Bill 42. Bead the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 42.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Holmberg.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Yes, this amendment basically deletes everything after the enacting clause. It has been worked out with the Department of Labor and has...enabled the State Chamber of Commerce to sign off on this bill. What it would do is permit courses and programs to receive the blanket permission of the director so that individuals do not have to receive individual permission in order to go back for job retraining while on unemployment compensation.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Holmberg has moved the adoption of Amendment . No.



1 to Senate Bill 42. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amenaments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senate Bill 66, Senator Netsch. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Eill 66. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 66.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Elections and Beapportionment offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch, Conmittee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. First of all, I would like to move to Table Committee Amendment No. 1. It is being incorporated into a Floor amendment which I have, which does some additional things. I move to...to Table Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 66.

PRESIDENT:

Alright, Senator Netsch bas moved to Table Committee Amendment No. 1. Any discussion? If not, all in favor of the motion to Table indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes bave it. Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor? SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Netsch.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 picks up the text of what was in the committee amendment but puts it in more...in proper form, and it also does one other general thing. There were some objections at the time of the committee hearing, primarily from the county clerks who did not like the way that the bill, which incidentally deals with getting...removing judges from electoral boards, would work in the ... in connection with some of the local transactions. In addition, the State Board of Elections felt that it was being asked to do somewhat more than it needed to do because it meets in only one place. All of these people have now gotten together and we have worked out an amendment which I believe makes everyone happy with the basic objective of the bill, again, which is to remove judges from the electoral boards. With this amendment, neither the State Board of Elections nor the County Clerks Association has any objection to the bill, according to my understanding. I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate...

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 66. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senate Bill 80, Senator Welch. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 80. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Fill 80.



(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate
Bill 80.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This changes the funding of the mechanism to the Public Utility Fund, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 80. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Bruce or Senator Demuzio, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senate Bill...87, Senator Bock. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 87.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Judiciary I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Bock.

SENATOR BOCK:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is a technical amendment to cleanup a drafting error that occurred in the Reference Bureau. I know of no objection, and I would move the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Rock moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 87. Are...is there any discussion? All those in favor...Senator Grotberg.

SENATOR GEGTEEG:

Thank you. Will the sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Sponsor indicates he will yield.

SENATOR GROTEFEG:

...because of the controversial nature of the bill, Senator, would you go through it once more what the amendment does. Is it strictly technical or is it...does it affect something?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR BOCK:

No. truly just a technical amendment to cleanup a drafting error. It has...does not change the substance of the legislation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Rock has moved adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 87. Any further discussion? All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Keats.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Keats.

SECRETARY:

I'm sorry, Amendment No. 2 is offered by Senator Bock.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Alright, Senator Bock is recognized on Amendment No. 2. SENATOR RCCK:

Thank you, &r. Fresident and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This, of course, Senate Bill 87, is the prejudgement interest bill. There has been some concern, justifiable I might add, with respect to delay in the litigation that might be caused by the plaintiff, and we are attempting by Amendment No. 2 to address that objection. And it provides, frankly, that where the judge finds that the plaintiff has unreasonably delayed the litigation, that, obviously, no interest will be forthcoming for that period of delay. And I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 87. Is there any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETABY:

Amendment No. 3 by Senator Rock.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock on Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. There...there, again, has been some concern expressed by those who are in opposition to Senate Bill 87 that the judgement...or the interest should not run, frankly, from the date the complaint is filed, and so this amendment, Amendment No. 3, would suggest that if there is to be

prejudgement interest under the circumstances as outlined in the bill, that it would not begin to run until one hundred and eighty days, six months, after the cause of action accrued. The theory being that as an attorney you would not be immediately forced to file a lawsuit and then investigate whether or not there is, in fact, a valid claim. You would afford, as we do, the opportunity to have some...precomplaint investigation. I think this amendment is a good one, and I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

You've heard the motion. Senator Rock has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 87. Is there any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Any further...amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 4 by Senator Rock.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Amendment No. 4, Senator Bock.

SENATOR SOCK:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 4 would deal with the objection that suggested that this prejudgement interest concept ought not to apply to small claims, and so this suggests that...this mandates that small claims, those under Supreme Court Rule 281, which is claims for twenty-five bundred dollars or less, are excluded from the provisions of this Act. And I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 87. Any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Offosed. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 5 by Senator Keats.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendment No. 5, Senator Keats. Senator Rock.

SENATOR ECCK:

Senator Keats and I have been in discussion, I wonder if I might prevail just...just withdraw that, and if...in the event that he wishes to pursue it, I'll be happy to call it back at some later date.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMOZIO)

Any further amendments?

SECRETABY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 89, Senator Maitland. Senate Bill 99, Senator Egan. Senate Bill 112, Senator Euzbee. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Fill 112.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 by Senator Euzbee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Buzbee is recognized on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR EUZBEE:

Thank you. This amendment inserts the word "Illinois" after "any." The...under the original language the credit for the...five percent credit for investments that increased the use of Illinois coal under the original language would have been applicable to promoting the use of Illinois coal at any, even out-of-State, facilities. The Department of Revenue has recommended limiting this to only Illinois

Page 20 - MAY 18, 1983

Parking

facilities, and this legislation did pass the committee on the condition that this amendment would be offered, and so, I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Fill 112. Any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any...

SECRETARY:

No further agendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 123, Senator Berman. Senator Johns, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR JCHNS:

Leave of the Body to be shown as a hyphenated cosponsor of 112, deals with coal.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

You've heard the motion. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senate Bill 123, Senator Berman. Senate Bill 131, Senator Demuzio. 133, Senator Dawson...read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 133.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Dawson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Dawson.

SENATOR DAWSON:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, the amendment deletes everything after the enacting clause and

By Strong

conforms it to provisions by the Attorney General and exempts existing contracts, it covers the Mandates Act. This piece of legislation has been being worked on with the House, and this amendment, basically, brings everything into conforming with the reguest of business and labor right now.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Dawson moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Eill 133. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments? SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 143, Senator Demuzio. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 143.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Agriculture, Conservation and Energy offers two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIC:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. This is Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 143. What it would do is, in fact, it quadruples the hazardous waste cleanup fees from one cent to four cents. It allows the hazardous waste money to be used as State matching funds for the Federal superfund money that's spent on cleanup in Illinois and does several other items. I would move its adoption and be answering any questions if there are any.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Demuzio moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Eill 143. Those in

favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments? SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Yes, I move to Table Committee Amendment No. 2, it is covered in another piece of legislation that is already around, and I would, therefore, move for the amendment to be Tabled.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

You've heard the motion. Is leave granted? Senator Demuzio moves to Table Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 143. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is Tabled. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 168, Senator Demuzio. 187, Senator Demuzio. 192, Senator Marovitz. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Fill 192.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No...Committee Amendment No. 1 offered by Public Health, Welfare and Corrections.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MARCVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. Fresident and members of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Fill 192 is an amendment that was offered and suggested by the Department of Public Health and has been agreed to by the Migrant Council, the canners, the growers and everybody involved. It makes a violation of the Act a business offense and redefines the role of the director of the Department of Fublic Health. It has been agreed to by the committee and by the department, and I would ask for the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Fill 192.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 192. Is there any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

3rd reading. Senator Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR GEO-KAEIS:

...Mr. President, 191, I don't know if you called it. I have an amendment. We have to withdraw the amendment because it was faultly drafted and substitute a new one.

PRESIDING OFFICEE: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, Senate Bill 191, I am told, is on the recall list and we're going to get to that later.

SENATOR GEC-KARIS:

Oh, alright, thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senate Bill 195, Senator Jones. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 195.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Jones.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Alright, on the Order of 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 195, Amendment No. 1, Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

...thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This is more or less a cleanup amendment as recommended by the committee. It tightens...it tightens up the eligibility requirements requiring that the recipients be a resident of the State of Illinois at least one year, that the transplant must be a lifesaving one and also delete that portion where the hospital will have to have a organ transplant program, and I move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Jones has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 195. Is there any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 197, Senator Rock. Senate Bill 209, Senator D'Arco. Senate Bill 224, Senator Rock. Read

the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 224.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Revenue offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Bock.

SENATOR BOCK:

Thank you, Nr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Fill 224 effectively limits this bill to home rule units within the County of Cook and excludes, excludes the City of Chicago, so that included within the purview of this bill are just the forty-nine...pardon me...home rule units within Cook County. I would move the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 224. Is there any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECHETABY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 229, Senator Savickas. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Fill 229.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Public Health, Welfare and Corrections offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Savickas.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, the committee amendment that was offered in the committee would...would allow the Christian Scientists and spiritualists, and Christians and others would presumably be covered by the senior citizens health care insurance established by this bill, and it permits comparable benefits to those people who rely on prayer alone for hearing within limits set by the director of insurance. It's language that's in other Health Care Acts and they ask that this be adopted.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Savickas has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 229. Any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Savickas.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Savickas.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Yes. We've got two amendments.

SECRETARY:

This one is...is marked "A," Senator Savickas, let's read a little bit of it.

(Secretary reads part of Amendment No. 2)

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Alright. Yes, this...this was the amendment that was encouraged by the Illinois Health Care Association, and what it does is, long...term care nursing home services which include skilled nursing care and intermediate care are covered under the new senior citizen health care plan if those services are provided by facilities that are licensed by the Department of Public Health. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Savickas has moved Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 229. Is there any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed. Ayes have it. Committee...Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Floor Amendment No. 3 by Senator Savickas.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Savickas.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Yes, this amendment was necessary because of the other two. It's a technical cleanup amendment, and it does about five things here. On page 4, line 5, it deletes "prepaid" and inserts in lieu of thereof "senior citizen." On page 4, deleting the word "fund" with a small capital "f" and inserting "Fund" with a capital "F." And page 7, line 21, deleting the word "such," the same with line 23, and inserting in lieu of "those members."

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Well, Senator Savickas has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 229. Is there any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

Ash review

Pag∈ 28 - MAY 18, 1983

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 232, Senator Savickas. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Fill 232.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SFNATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 242, Senator Bloom. 242. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Fill 242.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 246, Senator Kustra. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 246.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Revenue offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Kustra.

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.

Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 246 strikes everything after the enacting clause and increases the size of the Cook County Board of Appeals from two members to three members. It provides that one of those members should be elected from the City of Chicago, one from suburban Cook, outside of the City of Chicago, and one elected at large. I'd ask for adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Kustra has moved for the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 246. Is there any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 246 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

3rd reading. Senator Rock is recognized.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident. As you'll notice on the top of Page 4, we begin the appropriation bills. It is my understanding that by agreement between the appropriations chairmen and minority spokesman, it's been requested that to...in order to afford the agencies time to respond and present possible further amendments, we will hold all the appropriation bills until Monday. So, everybody who has an appropriation bill, they will be dealt with on Monday.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senate Fill 293, Senator Marovitz. On the Order of 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 293, at the bottom of Page 4. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 293.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Fublic Health, Welfare and Corrections offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate Bill 293 is an agreed amendment by everybody involved, and it talks about the treatment of cancer and says that only those who are licensed to treat cancer in all of its...licensed to treat medicine in all of its branches can treat cancer. I would ask for the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Eill 293.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz has moved the adoption of Senate...of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 293. Is there any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 by Senator Marovitz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 293 is an amendment offered by the Christian Scientists. It just clarifies their position in...in the treatment of cancer, and I would ask for

the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Eill 293. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Marovitz has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 293. Any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further agendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 294, Senator Marovitz. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 294.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Judiciary I offers two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz on... Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR MARCVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. In the original bill, inadvertently, the word, "without regard to marital conduct" was placed in the bill. We are taking this out so that division of marital property will be made without regard to marital misconduct. I would ask for the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 294. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz has moved the adoption of Amendment No.

1 to Senate Bill 294. Is there any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed. Ayes have it. Amendment No....is adopted. Amendment No. 2, Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MARCVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment No. 2...agreed upon by the Judiciary Committee, removes the conviction of certain crimes by a

٠: ـ

spouse as a barter receipt of maintenance, but it...but maintenance is still barred if the spouse seeking maintenance is convicted of solicitation, conspiracy or attempt to murder the other spouse. And I would ask for the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 294.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 294. Is there any discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEC-KARIS:

I'm sorry, Senator, but I had to be called out. What did you say the gist of your amendment was?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MARCVITZ:

As requested by the Bar Association and the committee, maintenance is barred under this amendment if the spouse seeking maintenance is convicted of solicitation, conspiracy or attempt to murder the other spouse.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Marovitz moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 294. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No...no further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 305, Senator Dawson. Senate Bill 327, Senator Carroll. Senate Eill 336, Senator Joyce.

Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 336.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 340, Senator Welch. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Fill 340.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Agriculture, Conservation and Energy offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR RELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. The amendment guts the bill and inserts in its place a moratorium on the construction of...nuclear power plants in the State of Illinois until such time as the director of the EPA finds that the United States Government has identified and approved a demonstrable technology for disposal of high level waste.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator...Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Question of the sponsor, Mr. Speaker...Mr. Chairman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he will yield.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Is this a Floor amendment, Senator, that you're offering?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

No, Senator, this was put on in committee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Welch moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Fill 340. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments? SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 346, Senator Maitland. Senate Bill 358, Senator Buzbee. Senate Bill 370, Senator Lemke. Senate Bill...Senate Bill 403, Senator Grotberg. Senate Bill 409, Senator Bruce. Senate Bill...Senate...yes, read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 409.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Bloom.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Eloom.

SENATOR BLOCM:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident and fellow Senators. I'm sure

you've heard a little bit about this amendment. Basically, what it does, it allows...it defines a library system as...as serving a population of a hundred thousand or over, and it addresses a concern in my district in the context of Illinois library systems. Basically, the public library in...in my area, and I'm sure in other urban areas, provides about twenty-five percent of the funding for the system but yet it only gets some less than five percent of the dollar value of services. And our system and the library's attendant with it would like to create its own system. I'd try and answer any questions, otherwise urge its adoption.

END OF REEL

REEL #2

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Eruce.

SENATOR EFUCE:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident and members of the Senate. rise in opposition to this amendment which deals with a few communities in the State of Illinois. Senate Bill 409, which is supported by the Illinois Library Association the...the State Librarian, the acting State Librarian, Bridget Lamont, they have worked very long and hard on getting the Library Association, the libraries across the State of Illinois to go in on one bill. We would like to pass 409, the libraries would like to pass 409 and...and leave it in its present form, see what kind of results we have. This bill has come out of committee. We had a subcommittee meeting on these bills and...and the decision was that 409 ought to proceed without the Bloom amendment, and if he wishes to tack it on later on in another bill that we can, but the State librarian, the Illinois Library Association would like to see this bill go on as is.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Nedza.

SENATOR NEDZA:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Taking the same vein as Senator Eruce has, I just call to the Body's attention that there was a subcommittee hearing on the...all of the library bills that were in the Committee on Local Government, Senators Degnan and Mahar presided over that hearing. And in their...after the hearing, their wise choice was to have 409 come out for this Body's adjudication. Unfortunately, I have to laud Senator Bloom because he has a problem in his particular district, but

I...I just want to make aware to Senator Floom that resolving the problems in your particular district create some problems in other district, and therefore, I'd find it hard to support the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Mahar.

SENATOR MAHAE:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident. To...just to concur with what the two previous speakers have said, that the...the question of library codification has been on...has been before us for a number of years, and I think this is...409 along with another bill that Senator Sangmeister is the sponsor of is the accumulation of a lot of work and I think we ought to leave it the way it is.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident. Just to reiterate, I support, myself, Senator Bruce's position and Senator Nedza's. Mahar, this is not a partisan thing, I thinkSenator Bloom is on a frolic of his own on this one.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Bloom, would you care to frolic a little? SENATOR ELECH:

Well, in closing I would say this, Senate Bill 409 does change the rules of the game. And I think those of you who have urban libraries in your district, this is not a frolic, this is serious. What is going to happen is that your urban libraries will have their voice in these library systems even further diluted, diluted, watered down. You will find, I'm in the anomalous position of some of my colleagues from the windy city. When there are things involving downstate and windy city, you will find that your voices...if you have urban libraries in your district downstate will be further

watered down. You will have no control over what these library systems do. Illinois Valley Library System spent last year less than one percent of their very large budget on library materials. I think that the policy choices embodied in 409 are unfortunate. However, I believe that an urban library system...those of you who have urban libraries, at least this offers you an opportunity to continue to spend a large...larger portion of your budget for library materials. Sometimes some of these fans...and I'm sure you have been visited by Mrs. Simpson, some of you, some of these fans are more interested in funding meetings and seminars than they are indeed in distributing library materials. I would urge that if we are going to go the 409 route that those of us who have urban libraries in our districts, at least have the opportunity whether it's Rockford, Springfield, Peoria, Quad Cities, Decatur, Champaign-Urbana, Marion, Carbondale, et cetera, at least have the opportunity to continue to provide the kind of library services that we want, and I'd urge the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Bloom moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 409. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The...Nays have it, Amendment No. 1 fails. Is there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 411, Senator Dawson. Bead the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 411.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Transportation offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Dawson.

SENATOR DAWSON:

Mr. President and Iadies and Gentlemen of the Senate, as amended, Senate Bill 411 will now conform with the Federal motor vehicle safety standards by placing limitation on the degree of glazing, coloring, reflect activity and light transmittance that can be averted...altered on the windshield, and side windows of the left and right side of the driver. The amendment also removes the grandfather clause that was in the previous legislation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Dawson moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Fill 411. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments? SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETABY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 414, Senator Luft. Senate Bill 430, Senator Grotberg. Senate Bill...read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 430.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Elections and Reapportionment offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Grotherg, after running out of the telephone booth, discovers that this was the wrong bill and he moves to

Fage 40 - MAY 18, 1983

Sh zul rendir

take it out of the record. Senate Bill 448, Senator Jerome Joyce. Senate Bill 456, Senator Dawson. Senate Bill... Senate Bill 457, Senator Dawson. Senate Bill...read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 457.

(Secretary reads title of hill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Dawson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Dawson. Could we get down in front of Senator Dawson there. Senators, would you break up your conference. SENATOR DAWSON:

The Department of Public Aid and Department of...shall file a report pertaining to changes in the nursing home rates with the GA and other designated organizations. The amendment is the bill since it deletes everything after the enacting clause. The original bill required the Department of Public Aid to end and examine its fee schedule for the medical assistance reimbursement. It makes changes to reflect the current economic situation and will assure the continued availability of health services to the people.

PRESIDING OFFICEB: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Dawson moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Eill 457. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments? SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICEB: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 458, Senator Dawson. Senate

Bill 463, Senator Dawson. Senate Bill...read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 463.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS).

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Dawson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Dawson.

SENATOR CAWSON:

The amendment would require school districts shall purchase textbooks and waive all fees...assessed by...for children whose parents are unable to buy textbooks and pay the fees, including but not limited to children receiving aid under the Aid to Family with Dependent Children Article of the Public Aid Code.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Dawson moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Eill 463. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments? SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 465, Senator Dawson. Senate Bill 486, Senator Coffey. Senate Bill...read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 486.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Coffey.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Coffey. Could we have a little order in here. Will you break up those conferences so we can hear what the Senator has to say. Senator Coffey.

SENATOR COFFEY:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident and members of the Senate. The purpose of this amendment is to bring those motor vehicle on the second division weights of one thousand pounds or less to the same registration level as the first division automobile vehicles, as required by this bill. And I just ask for a favorable roll call on this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Coffey moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill...Senator Demuzio, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR DEMUZIC:

Well, with all due respect to the Senator, I know he was trying to explain his amendment. I know this was a very controversial subject, and I was...was hoping that I would get...another explanation, Senator, I really didn't hear the first one.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Coffey.

SENATOR COFFEY:

Yes, Senator, the...when we put this bill together we left out the eight thousand pound classification in raising that registration fee from thirty dollars to thirty-six dollars which this bill does. That lets the trucks and vans...pickup trucks and vans into that eighty thousand. So what it does, it says pickup trucks will not only be hirty

dollar, it'll be thirty-six dollars like the car which the bill addresses.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, let me just ask another guestion. Are there...are there further amendments to be offered to this bill this morning?

PRESIDING OFFICEB: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Coffey.

SENATOR COFFEY:

Not that I have. We're going to go to 3rd reading, and I might bring it back for some minor amendments, but I don't have any.

PRESICING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Coffey moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 486. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SFNATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 490, Senator Rupp. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 490.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Transportation offers three amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rupp.

SENATOR SUPP:

Thank you, Mr. President. The first amendment is a tech-

nical amendment and all it does is add the word "of," o-f.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Rupp moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Eill 490. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments? SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rupp.

SENATOR BUPF:

Thank you, Mr. President. The only new language is on lines 7 and 8, on page 1, and the permissiveness on eye testing is made mandatory in order to conform with the existing...language in the other subparagraph C.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Bupp moves the adoption of Amendmeth No. 2 to Senate bill 490. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments? SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rupp.

SENATOR SUPF:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 3 deletes six dollars and inserts five dollars and all this is is to make it consistent with the fifty-fifty split, and that's why fifty percent of ten dollars is five, not six and that's...we have to change it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Rupp moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 490. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those oppposed. The Ayes have

it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Any further amendments?
`SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 495, Senator Bloom. Senate Bill...Senate Bill 512, Senator Savickas and Lemke. Bead the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Fill 512.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 522, Senator Keats. Senate Bill 531, Senator Smith. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 531.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 536, Senator Collins. Senator Collins. Bead the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECEETARY:

AB 5 H2 Rusing

Senate Fill 536.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator...Senator Collins wishes to take the...out of the record. Senator Holmberg, 537. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 537.

(Secretary reads title of bill).

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECEETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 543, Senator Coffey. Senate Bill...read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 543.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICEB: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 552, Senator Hall. Senate Bill 557, Senator Rock. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 557.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Executive offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR BOCK:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 557 is an attempt to deal with the General Assembly allowance. It was reported out of the Executive Committee unanimously, and at that time I indicated that I was working with the Office of the Comptroller to cleanup the amendment. That amendment has now been placed with the Secretary as Amendment No. 2 under my sponsorship. So I would move to Table Committee Amendment No. 1 and will be offering, very shortly,...Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

You've heard the motion to Table. Is leave granted?

Leave is granted. Senator Bock. Further amendments?

SECHETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Bock.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Bock.

SENATOR BOCK:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 2 was worked out with the Office of the Comptroller. Essentially what this bill does and what the amendment will provide is that in the utilization of the seventeen thousand dollar district allowance, it will afford as...as one who signs an awful lot of vouchers, it will afford the members the opportunity, where feasible, to instead of lease equipment to purchase equipment. It is a money saving device in my judgment. The equipment once purchased will become the property of the Illinois Senate. It will be inventoried as is all other State property, and in

the event that a Senator retires or is otherwise retired, his successor will have the opportunity to utilize the machinery or equipment or it will revert to the Office of the Secretary. I know of no objection. I think the Comptroller's suggestion for the enlargement of the categories is a good one, and I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Bock moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Eill 557. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments? SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER:

3rd reading. Senate Bill 563, Senator Joyce. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 563.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on ...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Joyce says take it out of the record. 564. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 564.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 565, Senator Joyce. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

Apropular +

Page 49 - MAY 18, 1983

SECRETARY:

Senate Fill 565.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 581, Senator Blcom. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 581.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Judiciary I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Eloom.

SENATOR ELOOM:

Yes. Committee Amendment No. 1 tightens the venue in service language. The association is deemed a resident of any county in which it has an office, and if none can be found in which any officer resides, service shall be made and it provides the mechanism for that. I'd urge the adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Eloom moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 581. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments? SECRETARY:

No futher committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 588, Senator Bruce. Read the bill, Br. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Fill 588.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETABY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 591, Senator Bruce. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETABY:

Senate Eill 591.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Agriculture, Conservation and Energy offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Bruce.

SENATOR EEUCE:

Thank you, &r. President and members of the Senate. This amendment essentially clarifies ambiguous language in Senate Bill 591. It removes the provision to authorizes the agency to engage in conduct which may be contrary to Federal or State law; it includes elective cooperatives in the definition of an eligible utility; clarifies that the person, the definition, "person" means an ...individual including public agencies, eligible utilities or other entities or types that the bonds sold by the municipal power agency shall be sold at a price determined by the board of directors; deletes the provision as to how the bonds shall be sold of issues to bear

interest at maximum or less than maximum rate and specifies that in the exercise of eminent domain a municipal power agency shall be governed by the Civil Procedure Code and in the construction of any project the Illinois Commerce Commission shall consider and evaluate the impact of such construction on Illinois farm land. I'd move the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Eruce moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 591. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments? SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

For what...for what purpose does Senator Bruce arise?

SENATOR ERUCE:

Now that I'm up, I'd like to have on a point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

All right. Could we move this to 3rd reading first? 3rd reading for Senate Bill 591. Senator Bruce.

SENATOR ERUCE:

Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. In the President's gallery is the Grant Middle School and their teacher, Mr. Bob Burt, and he has brought with him the eighth grade social studies class and one of the students in that class is Devin Bruce, my nephew, and I'd like to have them stand and be recognized.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Would they please rise and be recognized. Senate Eill 599, Senator Marovitz. Senate Eill 612, Senator D'Arco. Senate Eill 623, Senator DeAngelis. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 623.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 626, Senator Kustra. Senate Bill 628, Senator D'Arco. Senate Bill 637, Senator Chew. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 637.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Transportation offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Chew.

SENATOR CHEW:

Mr. President, it merely conforms to the Federal regulations. I'd move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Chew moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Eill 637. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments? SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 638, Senator Chew. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 638.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 639, Senator Chew. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Fill 639.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Transportation offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Chew.

SENATOR CHEW:

Mr. President, I would move to Table Amendment No. 1.

It's an inaccurate typographical insert and the following amendment will do the correction.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

You've heard the motion to Table. Is leave granted?

Leave is granted. Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Are there further amendments?

SECEETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Chew.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Chew.

SENATOR CHEW:

It corrects what Amendment No. 1 did not contain that we Tabled and I'd move its...adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Chew moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 639. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 649, Senator Welch. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Fill 649.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on...Elementary and Secondary Education offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. The purpose of the amendment is twofold. First of all, it clarifies the reporting of a battery by a school employee within forty-eight hours. The second part of the amendment deals with clarifying that an employee shall not recieve double benefits if he or she is the victim of abattery committed against them. And I'd move the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Kelch moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Eill 649. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 653, Senator Dawson. Senate Bill 655, Senator Joyce. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 655.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 658, Senator Jones. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 658.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICEE: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.

Senate... Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill...I mean, to Senate Bill 658 deletes everything after the enacting clause and provides that the State Board of Education shall promulgate rules and regulations to...for desegregation of the school districts in the State of Illinois. The amendment...the amendment is...has in there the...Mcore Amendment that it does not permit the school districts to increase busing and does not set up any quotas whatsoever. This amendment would give the State Board of Education the authority to set forth guidelines for the desegregation of the school districts in the State of Illinois. And I move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator Jones moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to 658. Any discussion? Question, Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Senator Jones, since Springfield is under a Federal Court desegregation order which was very extensively fought and lots of dollars spent, what does this amendment do to the regulations or the court order that is now germane to our district?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

No affect whatscever, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Well, just...let's restate it for the record in case someone would get some idea if this would pass to try to use it to reopen this case. You're saying, in your opinion, or is that an attorney's opinion or prior court opinion, that a Federal case that has been appealed and settled, this amendment, no way, no how, could open or jeopardize the agreement

that was worked out and ordered by the Federal Court for .
School District 186 in Springfield, Illinois?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

In my opinion and also in the opinion of staff that this...this amendment will not impact whatsoever on the Springfield School District. No Federal Court decision will this legislation change.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BALL)

Senator Kelly, for what purpose do you arise? SENATOR KELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President. I raised this point up in a committee and I still have some concerns about it. I know the sponsor of this amendment does not feel that...that the amendment eliminates the...the more...the Moore bill or the Moore law...Senator Moore law would prevent the superintendent of education from implementing busing...within the various school districts in Illinois. I've got some reservations that this amendment can be interpreted to take away from that and would give that tack into the hands of the superintendent and we might be right back where we started. So I have a tendency to oppose the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Yeah, thank you, Mr. President. In response to my former high school classmate, as I indicated to him in committee and also...in private that the last sentence of this Section 10-22.5 of the School Code is hereby incorporated by reference. And that particular section, Senator Kelly, is the Moore Amendment, it is incorporated within this till so, therefore, as I told you before, it is not taken out as the legislation, it is incorporated in this legislation. So the

Moore Amendment is still alive.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator Bloom, do you...desire recognition? Senator

SENATOR ELCCM:

Well, first a comment for Senator Davidson, then a question of Senator Jones. Senator Davidson, the Federal Court retains jurisdiction on an ongoing basis; so this is not particularly relevant, although I'm sure it would be introduced into evidence if there were further hearings. Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Sponsor yields.

SENATOR ELOCM:

Senator, I understand your response to Senator Kelly is that you're rewriting Moore's language in your amendment. Is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BALL)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

We had a discussion in the...I mean in the Elementary and Secondary Education Committee...and the members of the committee felt that they wanted to be sure that the Moore Amendment was incorporated within this amendment and it is incorporated by reference in this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator Bloom.

SENATOR ELCOM:

Okay. This does enlarge the powers of the State Board of Education, does it not?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Go ahead, Senator Jones. Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

It does not enlarge the powers of the State Board, what

it does is give the State Board the authority to promulgate rules and regulations.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator Bloom.

SENATOR ELOCM:

How can you give someone the power to promulgate rules and regulations without enlarging their authority?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Well, they have the authority, but they do not have rule making authority.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

...does that answer your question, Senator Bloom? Senator Eloom.

SENATOR BLOOM:

Well, I... I don't want to belabor with...with the list, the call is long, I don't want to belabor the time of the Senate. In a way...in a way, that answer answered it to the amendment. I believe what we're doing by virture of this amendment is reopening a can of worms that Jce Cronin dumped on us back when I was first elected and that it would serve no good public policy purpose to adopt this amendment. it will do is provide an opportunity for hureaucrats down here who are not in tune with the dynamics of intercommunity relationships to impose their bureaucratic paradigm on local school districts and basically create some of the same kinds of disastrous results that flowed out in '75 and '76 when Dr. Cronin unleashed Mr. Welling who made some recommendations that in my community were of concern to white folks but sent the black community absolutely up in arms. And I fear that if this amendment is adopted that the State Eoard of Education will be a creature off on a frolic of its own, leaving chaos in its wake.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident. In response to your remarks, Senator, aren't you on the JCAR committee and don't you...don't you review the rule making authority of all State agencies? So, therefore, in order for them to effectively do their job, you sit there, you know the difference, you will review the rules.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Is...that a...do you care to respond? We have some more...all right. Senator Bloom.

SENATOR BLOCM:

We would sit on that committee, yes, and their rules would come up and they would say, you, General Assembly, gave us the authority to promulgate these rules. Our...our role isn't to say whether we think something is good public policy or not, our role is to make sure that they stay within their statutory authority. I fully understand, I fully understand what this amendment is about, sir, and would suggest to you that we're not...JCAB doesn't make policy, they just look for statutory authority and serve as the eyes and ears of both Houses of the General Assembly. Many times there are policies with which we disagree, but we say you got the authority to do it, we gave it to you and you're doing it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Thank you, Senator. Senator Lemke, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR LEMKE:

To ask...to ask the sponsor a question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

The sponsor yields.

SENATOR LENKE:

Senator Jones, what does this amendment do as far

as...interdistrict busing? How does this...does it make it easier for interdistrict busing?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

As far as intercity...interdistrict busing? Well, as I indicated when I explained the amendment, Senator, that it does not increase busing nor does it compel the district to do any busing whatsoever.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator Lemke.

SENATOR LENKE:

I understand that, but how...doesn't this amendment make it easier to bus interdistrict? In other words, like, say the Chicago Boards are busing out to...say to the Flmburst Board...schools 'cause there's vacancies out there or vice-versa?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

No, it does not. As a matter of fact, this is the reason why the Moore Amendment was incorporated by reference in this because some members of the committee did object, they raised that particular point so, therefore, it does not make it easier whatsoever. This only deals with...within their particular district, not...not crossing district boundary lines.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator Lemke.

SENATOR LEMKE:

My understanding is that this gives the State Board powers it doesn't have right now. And, therefore, the State Board could come up with certain things to...for busing reasons and...and interbus bused through different districts.

Busing from Cicero to Berwyn or Berwyn to Chicago and back and forth and I...I think this would do that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator Jones, we'll get to you in a minute. Senator

SENATOR JONES:

Yes, in respond to you, Senator Lemke, I...I can't control what you think, all I can tell you what the legislation says, and what it says that busing is prohibited in this particular amendment. Now what you think, that's your own business.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

I'd just like to say that this discussion has really opened up something now. The board is really, one, two, three. Senator Etheredge, you've been on for guite a while, would...you desire recognition? Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I share some of the concerns that have been expressed by my colleagues on both sides of the aisle, and I would request that when all those who have spoken who wish to speak and when the roll call is taken, I ask that a...a roll call be taken on this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Thank you. Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEG-KARIS:

Well, Mr. President and ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I'm so sick and tired of...of departments having to...the right to make rules that...for which we get blamed and what we're doing with this amendment, much as I have the highest regard for the sponsor, we're giving more rule making authority to the Department of Education. I think we should be curtailing authority because in the final analysis we, the Senators and legislators, are the ones blamed for some of the

rules that are being made, and some of them have no common sense and no place in a well-organized and well-ordered society. I regret that I have to speak against this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Thank you, Senator. Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

Well, Senator, a question of the sponsor. What...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

The sponsor yields.

SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

...what is the purpose...what is the specific purpose of this? Is there a problem in your...in your district in the...your legislative district?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

The...this was a recommendation. This amendment came by way of recommendation of the State Foard of Education. Not that I have a particular problem in my district, but there was a court decision and...and the court decided that the State Board did not have rule making authority when they set up various quotas. And all this bill...all this amendment does is give the State Board of Education rule making authority, that's all. So...not that I have any particular problem in my district.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Does that answer your question, Senator Jeremiah? Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

I think...thank you, Mr. President. Maybe part of the problem, Senator Jones, is rule making authority with respect to what? I have read the language of your amendment, I agree that it has nothing to do with busing. I have read the

section which is specifically incorporated in here from the State Statutes, which for the benefit of my colleagues, says as follows, "Nothing herein shall be construed to permit or empower the State Board of Education to order, mandate or require busing or other transportation of pupils for the purpose of achieving racial balance in any school." That sentence is specifically reincorporated into this bill in haec verba. So there...it's got nothing to do with that, but I think the problem perhaps, Senator Jones, that people are having is they can't figure out what it is supposed to do then. I mean, I understand give rule making power, but rule making power with respect to what? It's an amendment to the Armstrong Act, is it not? Sure it is, why I know that, I mean it's...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator...Joyce, oh...Senator Jones, you...you want to respond to that?

SENATOR JONES:

Yes, in response to Senator Netsch, she's absolutely correct. It...the Armstrong Act has been on the books for quite sometime. I think it deals specifically within the districts wherein a district cannot alter the school boundary lines as to create a segregated facility. So what this amendment does, is...is give the State Board the rule making authority to...to...to enforce the Armstrong Act. That's all that it does.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

And just to pursue that, I believe that that is precisely all that it does do. But it is absolutely clear if you read this, that it does not in any way give, grant, enlarge, et cetera the powers of the State Board with respect to busing and it has nothing, n-o-t-h-i-n-g, nothing to do with

interdistrict remedies or...or interdistict busing or anything to do with interdistricts. It all pertains within a single school district.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

...what I think this does, Senator Jones, I think that there was a recentcourt decision, am I correct? In which they held that the Armstrong Act...a court decision that came our of Aurora, as I recall, which held that the Armstrong Act in its application was not constitutional. Is that correct? Are you familiar with a court decision that has come cut...in this area? Okay.

PRESIDING OFFICER:

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JEREMIAH JONES:

How does this impact on that?

SENATOR JONES:

What came out of the court decision was simply that the State Board did not have the authority to...to...to make the rules that it did. That's all that came out of it, it did not hold that the Armstrong Act was unconstitutional, but the..the State Board had established some guotas and everything and tried to enforce it, and the decision was that the State Board did not have the rule making authority to do such.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

Well, I don't have that decision in front of me and I'm only going from...from recall. But I think that those numbers which were set out in the Armstrong Act, that is the district must reflect within a percentage over or under, I think that the...wait...wait...wait, well in the application

of that...that decision held that the use of those over or under within a certain percentage of the district held that that was invalid. That's what that held. That's what...that's what...that's what the State Board of Education was trying to do in 1974, '75, as you recall, Senator Jones, when you and I argued in front of the Chicago Board of Education over Morgan Fark High School on this very thing. You remember that? When you said that you were going to take over Morgan Park High School? Right?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator, I'd like to call to your attention...there's going to be a roll call on this...

SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

Well, let's bave a roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

...that Senator Jones has moved that...for...for the adoption of Amendment No...Senator Jones, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR JONES:

Mr. President, just in closing on this particular amendment, despite all the...innuendoes that the individuals have espoused on this Senate Floor concerning this particular amendment, the amendment is crystal clear as to what it does; and what it does is enforce the Armstrong Act and give the State Board the power to promulgate rules and regulations. Now, when you talk about the court decisions, the court decisions said that the State Board did not have the power to impose quotas and demand busing. And as I said before, this bill does not give the State Superintendent the power to require their school district bus for the purpose of desegregation. But when you talk about...Senator Joyce, you talk about Morgan Park High School, this does not deal with that particular situation. If you read the Armstrong Act, there is nothing in the Armstrong Act that...that deals with guotas

as such, nothing whatsoever. All it...all it says, that a school district, "shall not," shall not alter the boundary lines so that...so that students would be segregated in a particular unit...a school unit. So, therefore, your remarks on this Senate Floor are incorrect. So I urge each member here to vote their conscience. If you feel that each child in this State shall have a right to receive a free quality education, then I'd suggest you vote for this amendment. But if you feel that each child should not have access to the tax dollars for education, then vote your conscience, but don't bring all those innuences into this particular amendment because the amendment is self-explanatory.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Roll call has been requested. Senator Jones moves that Amendment No. 1 to...to Senate Bill 658 be adopted. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On the question, the Ayes are 13, the Nays are 32, of those vote...and...10 voting Present. The amendment having failed to receive the majority of Senators is lost. Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. It seems to me the vote is self-explanatory; and rather than go any further with this bill, I'll see to it that the...the feelings of the Senators have expressed themselves quite adequately on this Floor, and I see that we are still about thirty years behind date, so as chief sponsor of 658, I Table...I move to Table this bill. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator Jones has moved to Table Senate Eill 650. The bill is Tabled. Senator Joyce, you still have your light on. Senate Bill 667, Senator Egan. Senator Egan. Read the bill. SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 667.

(Secretary reads title of hill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 669, Senator Collins. Senate Bill 678, Senator Degnan. Senate Eill 679, Senator Carroll. We'll skip that. Senate Bill 685, Senator Euzhee. Bead the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Fill 685.

(Secretary reads title of till)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Buzbee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator Euzbee.

SENATOR EUZEEE:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident. This provides that newspaper editorials shall not be included in the provisions of this Act. This amendment was agreed to in the Elections and Reapportionment Committee after objections to the bill were heard from various newspaper people. These persons reasoned that the bill may preclude them from printing the news and/or editorials during the final two weeks of an election campaign. That certainly was not my intention, so this amendment deletes that...or it assures them of their ability to continue with a free and unfettered press and I move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator Buzbee moves for the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

Any discussion? All in favor Aye. Cpposed. Note. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

3rd reading. Senator...690, Senator Chew. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 690.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR EBUCE)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETABY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 695, Senator Fruce. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 695.

(Secretary reads title of till)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Insurance offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator Fruce.

SENATOR ERUCE:

Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, this bill as introduced dealt with accredited hospitals, and we took and changed that to licensed and there was some question by accredited hospitals why we did that. So we now have it accredited or licensed hospitals. I would move the...adoption of Amendment Nc. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Senator Bruce moves for the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 695. Any discussion? If not, all those in favor, Aye. Opposed. The amendment is adopted. Senate Bill 706..or, any further amendments, Mr. Secretary?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BALL)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 706, Senator Davidson. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 706.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BALL)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 728, Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 728.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETABY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 751, Senator Berman. On the Order of

John Reading

Page 71 - MAY 18, 1983

Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 751. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 751.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 755, Senator Kustra. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd reading, Senate Bill 755. Bead the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Fill 755.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 787, Senator Sangmeister. On the Order...fiscal note has been requested, Senator. State...Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

I'm well aware of that, and I made my request pursuant to the Piscal Note Act over five days ago and they...the Comptroller's Office said they would have it here this afternoon but it's not here. I ask to go forward with my piece of legislation.

PRESIDENT:

On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading...the point is

well taken. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 787. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Fill 787.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Executive offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Sangmeister on Committee Amendment No. 1. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 1 merely defines what a voluntary health agency is going to be, that could be a possible recipient along with the United Way. And that's what the amendment does. I move for its adoption. PRESIDENT:

Senator Sangmeister has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 787. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments? SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 792, Senator D*Arco. Top of Fage 12, 794, Senator Bolmberg. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Beading, Senate Bill 794. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 794.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Holmberg.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Holmherg.

SENATOR HOLMEERG:

This amendment was suggested by the Illinois Medical Society to bring it in conformity with their model bill and specifies that a physician may list when a child needs this treatment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Holmberg has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 794. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETABY:

No further amendments. No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 806, Senator Watson. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 806. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 806.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 807, Senator Watson. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 807. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Fill 807.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Executive Appointments, Veterans' Affairs offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Watson on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee...Amendment No. 1 simply clarifies the language as far as the amount of disability an individual can have to...to get the hunting and fishing license.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator watson has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Eill 807. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 808, Senator Watson. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 808. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate kill 808.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Insurance, Licensed Activities offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Watson on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR WATSON:

Committee Amendment No. 1 simply clarifies the intent of the bill so that it does not involve an individual State employee with group insurance.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Watson has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment So. 1 to Senate Bill 806. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes bave it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Watson.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Amendment No. 2 establishes July 1st, 1983 and that date forward. Simply a technical amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Watson has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 808. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 817, Senator Macdonald. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Beading, Senate Bill 817. Bead the bill, Mr. Secretary.

END OF REEL

Reel #3

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 817.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETABY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 820, Senator Zito. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 820. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 820.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendment from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 835, Senator Degnan. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 835. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 835.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Degnan.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Degnan on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR DEGNAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment Nc. 1 is clarifying language as a result of some guestions posed in committee. I move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Degnan has moved the adoption of Counittee Amend-ment...of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Fill 835. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 850, Senator Davidson. 853. 859, Senator Dawson. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Beading, Senate Bill 859. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Fill 859.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR FRUCE)

3rd reading. 866, Senator Marovitz. Senator Marovitz on the Floor? 873, Senator Sommer. Read the bill, Mr. Sommer...Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 873.

(Secretary reads title of hill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 887, Senator Schaffer. Senator Schaffer on the Floor? Senate Eill 919, Senator Zitc. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETABY:

Senate Bill 919.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETABY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR EROCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 938, Senator Netsch. Senate Bill 942, Senator Barkhausen. Senate Bill 946, Senator Barkhausen. Senate Bill 948, Senator Bloom. Senate Bill 972, Senator Holmberg. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please. SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 972.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Holmberg.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Holmberg on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR BOLMEERG:

This asendment excludes those senior citizens whose

incomes are over twelve thousand dollars. In other words, it puts them into the same circuit breaker category and it reduces substantially the cost of the...of the hill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Discussion? Those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 976, Senator Darrow. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECHETARY:

Senate Bill 976 had a request for a fiscal note which has been answered.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No... no committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 983, Senator D'Arco. Senate Bill 996, Senator D'Arco. 997. 1041, Senator Collins. Bead the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Fill 1041.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Elections offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Collins to explain Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the busate.

Amendment No. 1 requires that the city council meet and reapportion the City of Chicago for the purpose of electing the school board into eleven districts. It also establish a district council for the purpose of nominating and insuring that the best qualified people run for office. And it establish a time frame by which the...redistricting shall be completed, and calls for a commission to be established by the Illinois General Assembly in case the city council does not reach an agreement and reapportion the...the Chicago school district into eleven districts by date certain, and I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Discussion? Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MARCVITZ:

Sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Indicates she will yield. Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Senator Collins, what provisions or have any provisions been made in this bill for funding of these councils? That's question number one.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator...Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

It is not necessary for funding of the councils. The councils would operate the way the present PIO's work now with the parent-teacher advisory. They would be representives of each school who currently serves on those boards would make up the district council for the purpose of electing and screening...for screening candidates.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MARGVITZ:

Well, on these local boards, how are you...how are decisions going to be made and what...how are you dividing authority from the eleven districts? In other words, does each district get to determine their own, let's say, text books, curriculum, make financial decisions? What types of...decisions will be made downtown? What types of decisions will be made in the local areas?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BEUCE)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Unlike all of the other bills that you've heard talk about, this bill does one thing only. It sets up a...a mechanism through which the Chicago board can be elected. It has no other jurisdiction at all. The members...the board would function as it does now. It would only establish a system to elect the members rather than appointing them.

PRESIDING OFFICEB: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MARGVITZ:

What...what are these eleven local boards or councils then? Can you explain that?

PRESIDING OFFICES: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Currently each school has a parent advisory or teachers council so...for parent input into the school system, and they also have outsiders on the board, business people. Those schools in the districts would select representatives to the district council for the purpose of meeting and screening candidates for...to run in the elections, that's all.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Barovitz.

SENATOR MARCVITZ:

So then the ... the main ...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

And, gentlemen, the clock is running.

SENATOR MARCVITZ:

elect a Chicago School Eoard in terms of the substantive change of...of the....of the way things are today.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

There would be no change. We will still have Chicago, one school district, the management function will remain the same. Everything would remain the same with the exception of the process by which the school board members are selected, that's all.

PRESIDING OFFICEB: (SENATOR ERUCE)

All right, I have Senator DeAngelis, Becker and Chew. Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DEANGELIS:

I have a question of the Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Yes.

SENATOR DEANGELIS:

I don't quite know how to ask it but, why was this bill in Elections rather than Education?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

The Chair has no knowledge, Senator, I mean, the Assignment of Eills Committee.

SENATOR DEANGELIS:

Is there anyway I can challenge it on that basis? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR FRUCE)

No. Senator Becker.

SENATOR FECKER:

Thank you, Er. President. Would the sponsor yield for a

question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Indicates she will yield. Senator Becker.

SENATOR EECKER:

Senator Collins, may I ask, who are you handling this bill for?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

The majority of people in the City of Chicago tend to favor an elected school board. To be perfectly frank, I do not necessarily feel that an elected school board is going to solve the problems of the Chicago school system. However, there are number of...of...of groups, and organizations, and individuals, and communities who feel that they should have an elected school board and, therefore, the electors would be able to hold the school board accountable. And somehow they feel that that would help to solve the problem. I'm not to say that it won't help to solve some of the problems or it may not, but it is...tend to be the consensus of the people in the City of Chicago that an elected school board is preferable over an appointed school board and, therefore, you would take some of the politics out of the school board.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Becker.

SENATOR EECKER:

Senator Collins, does this bill have anything to do with the Chicago School Study Commission?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

No.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Becker.

SENATOR EECKER:

Are you aware, Senator, that the Chicago...schools Study Commission has been working for the past year in trying to break the Chicago school district down into ten districts with the help of Doctor Bakalis, and they're going to elect school officials from each one of the ten districts? There will be a bill coming up very shortly on...doing exactly what you're...you're saying here. So, I don't...I don't know. I was wondering who you were handling the bill for? If you're...if you're...familiar with the Chicago Schools Study Commission?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Senator Becker, I had filed this bill. I had no knowledge of the Bakalis bills. I think one of the Senators, when we were having this amendment drawn up asked, had I seen the Bakalis amendment or recommendation. And at the time, really, I had no knowledge of it and no one had even talked with me about it. My...my concern is that, in an attempt to respond to the demands of the people of Chicago for an elected school board, that we don't pass a bill down here that would actually breakup the Chicago school district up into independent districts. I don't think that is the solution to our problem, and I don't think that some of the communities is financially solvent enough to...to...to...to foot the burden...the tax burden of a quality school district. And for that reason, I am attempting to keep the school district in one, keep that administrative function as it is now and give the people...the option of electing their school board members just as all of the other districts are in the State of Illinois.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Becker, your time has nearly expired. Senator

Becker.

SENATOR EECKER:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident. I would just suggest that you do talk to Representative Huff from the House who is chairman of the Chicago School Study Commission. It might enlighten all of us on something.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Chew.

SENATOR CHEW:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident. One of two questions to the sponsor, if I may. Mrs. Collins, are you now a resident of Chicago or Oak Park?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

City of Chicago.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Chew.

SENATOR CHEM:

Do you have children in a public school?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

No, I do not-

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Chew.

SENATOR CHES:

Can you produce to this Body something to support your statement that the majority of the people of Chicago prefer an elected school board?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Can you produce that they don't?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Chew.

SENATOR CHEW:

You made the statement that the majority of the people wanted a school board and...the question is to you and the proof is to the sponsor not the inquirer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BEUCE)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

I have not personally taken a poll of all of the people in Chicago, and I would have no way of knowing that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Chew.

SENATOR CHEW:

Yes. Senator Collins, the school board is made up of appointed personnel now. You said, to establish a committee to get the best qualified people to run for that office. What is the criteria for best and what is the criteria for best people?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

I guess it's the same for the best legislators and the best Senators down here, best Governor, test President, you name it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR EBUCE)

Senator Chew.

SENATOR CHEW:

There is no committee that ascertains one's qualifications to run for the offices you just mentioned. And since there will be a committee, according to your amendment, then this committee would have a function to ascertain who is best, and according to your statement then, those that were not judged "the best" would not run. Is that a part of your

amendment or is that just a part of an oral statement?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

That is not true. Anyone living in that district would be qualified...would have a right to run for election. Anyone would be...would have that right. This is the same process that they use in other areas where we have an elected school board. The only difference would be that you would have people involved in the school system, parents, teachers, business people of that district making a recommendation, that's all. But that person would still have to run for election...in the General Elections along with anyone else who wish to run.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

And...Senator Chew. And your time is nearly expired, Senator. Senator Chew.

SENATOR CHEW:

I believe you said this would be to eliminate politics in the school system. How could you eliminate politics when you're establishing a committee to deal directly into the political process of politics, and that is to select and recommend, and then the process of election. How do you eliminate politics in the school board when you're just indulging into the actual heart of a political process? How do you do that?

PRESIDING OFFICEB: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

If the majority of people of that district votes someone into office to represent them on the school heard, then that is the right of those people and that is the accepted Democratic process of our society. And...and there's no other way to guarantee whether the person is good, bad or indif-

ferent. The person elected is just as good or bad as the people that elected them. And if they choose for that person to represent them, who am I to say or you're to say, that they are not qualified to represent them on the school board? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR FRUCE)

Further discussion? Senator Chew.

SENATOR CHEW:

Yeah, let's not get too impatient about the time element.

I think this should be...discussed a little further. Have you checked with the new mayor as to whether this is what he wants?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Well, Senator, if we can...

SENATOR CHEM:

I...I...just one other question after this, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Fine. Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

I ve... I have been here seven years and in my seven years

I have not checked with the mayor, my husband or anyone else
on a piece of legislation, and I don't intend to start doing
that now. However, if the Mayor of the City of Chicago has
some concerns about this legislation or any other legislation
of which I am the sponsor or anyone else sponsors that impact
on the City of Chicago, all he has to do is call me and I'm
open for discussion.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Chew.

SENATOR CHEW:

I love you for that. However, as a new mayor, I suppose he would have an interest in what you're trying to do here, whether he is in favor of it or not. I was merely asking had you informed him or had you had consultation with him on

this? I don't think this amendment does anything to enhance our educational system. I don't think the majority of the people in Chicago have been polled as to determine whether they want or do not want an elected school board. That is not necessary, however, we have the right to pass legislation down here for the benefit of the State of Illinois. I ... I think the amendment is...should be null and void. I think the whole process here should be null and void. I don't know whether Chicago would get a better school...member if he were elected than they're getting if he's...selected by the...the mayor, that's the way the process has been going. I don't want to have any feeling down here that this particular legislation is designed to take the power away from the mayor's office. I don't know whether he wants it or not, but certainly I think I'm ... want ... I want to occuperate with the chief executive of any city where directly affects that chief executive, whether it's in Chicago or any other city in the State of Illinois. I...I would urge the defeat...I would urge the defeat of this amendment because it really doesn't do anything to enhance the educational situation in the City of Chicago.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Further discussion? Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident. Would the sponsor yield?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Indicates she will yield. Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Senator Collins, according to your amendment, the State would mandate that the schools...that the city council divide the school districts up into eleven districts, am I correct?

PRESIDING OFFICEE: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Collins. Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Yes. It's just, we will be mandating that the schools will be divided, then would this fall under the State Mandates Act?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

I'm not sure, but I don't think it would have that much impact either way.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BEUCE)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Well, I know your legislation doesn't spell it out, but the...the cause for this would be borne by the entire State, that is whatever apparatus is set up, the costs of the election and so forth, would be borne by the entire State according to the State's Mandates Act. I've had the opportunity to talk to several aldermen who have introduced legislation in the city council, and they have legal opinion that under the home rule powers they can call for an elected school board member without State interference. But if we pass this amendment, then the entire State of Illinois will have to pay the cost for running the election and dividing the districts.

Am I correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR EBUCE)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR CCLLINS:

No. We do not bear the costs for the school board elections in other areas and we won't have to bear this one.

PRESIDING OFFICES:

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

I know we don't for the other school districts, but...but since that time, we have what you call the State Mandates Act. If the State mandate that a school district does such,

doesn't the State have to...have to bear that cost?

PRESIDING OFFICEE: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

I'm sorry, I didn't hear you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR EBUCE)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

I...I simply said that prior to the States Mandates Act, the other school districts throughout the State of Illinois had any elected school board, however, since the State Mandates Act there has been no such legislation. Now, what we are doing now is mandating that the City of Chicago have an elected school board members, and wouldn't the State have to bear that cost according to the States Mandates Act?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Senator, the...this is not special elections, these elections...school board elections would run concurrent with existing elections and it would not be an additional cost. But Mr. President...this is 2nd reading and a committee amendment to a bill to put it in form to go on 3rd reading, and I would appreciate it if the members of this Body would allow the amendment to go on the bill and debate the merits of the bill on 3rd reading.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR EBUCE)

Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Collins may close.

SENATOR COLLINS:

I move for the amendment's adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

The motion is to adopt Amendment Nc. 1 to Senate Bill 1041. There's been a request for a roll call. Those in

Page 93 - MAY 18, 1983

favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that guestion, the Ayes are 45, the Nays are 1, 2 voting Present. Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1041 is adopted. Further amendments?

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1057, Senator Kustra. 1057, original cost estimate valuations...yes, all right, read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 1057.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1061, Senator Schaffer. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 1061.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Are there amendments from the Ploor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1062, Senator Davidson. Senate Bill 1069, Senator Coffey. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate...Senate Pill 1069.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1075, Senator Elcom. Senate Bill 1085, Senator Relch. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 1085.

(Secretary reads title of till)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments. Amendment No. 1 cffered by Senator Welch.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Welch to explain Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident. The purpose of this amendment is in response to the situation we have currently existing with Helen Schmidt on the...on the Commerce Commission. The amendment itself states that, "If the Senate refuses to consent to the appointment of any member, such office shall be deemed vacant, and within two weeks of the date the Senate

refuses to consent to the reappointment of any member, such member shall vacate such office." As we have it now, it was several weeks ago that the appointment...the reappointment of Helen Schmidt was before this Senate. At that time we voted not to reappoint her. She has continued to sit on the Commerce Commission, rendering decisions, which I believe is against the will of this Senate. There has been no mechanism to get her off the Commerce Commission, and so I would move the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR EBUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Discussion? Those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. Ayes bave it and Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1091, Senator Rigney. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please. Senator Vadalakene, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, just in the form of an announcement after the Senator just adopted his...amendment. The Governor has informed me that Helen Schmidt is resigning this week.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

All right.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 1091.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR EBUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1093, Senator Kent. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1093.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Kent.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Kent to explain Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR KENT:

This amendment changes the bill in no way, it just adds that no liability shall be imposed on any person for unintentional failure to comply with this section. It adds those words. I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Discussion? Those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1118, Senator Etheredge. Senator Etheredge. Automobile renting, occupation use tax. Read the...read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please. For what purpose does Senator Collins arise?

SENATOR COLLINS:

On the point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

State your point.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yes, we are fortunate to have a young quest with us

DB1118 readings

Page 97 - MAY 18, 1983

today. His name is John Peter Hall. He is six years old and he goes to the Hanson Park School in the City of Chicago. He's in the upper ten of his class, but he was born with a defect that we've been talking about some legislation, a spina bifida. And he's here with us on the Floor and I would just like the Senate to recognize him. He's a very alert young man from my district.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

He's right next to Senator Collins. John Peter Hall. Welcome to the Senate. 1018, Senator Etheredge. Are we ready on...1118, rather. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 1118.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill...1119, Senator Etheredge.
Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 1119.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1121, Senator Chew. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 1121.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Transportation offers two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Chew to explain Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CHEW:

It allows out-of-state lien holders to apply for and obtain Illinois repossession certificates of titles. I move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Motion is to adopt. Discussion? Those in favor say Aye.

Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted.

Senator Chew on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CHEW:

It...it removes language relating to the Illinois residents, it can be contiguous. And to clarify and authorize Secretary of State to provate fees in the event of a future staggering of registration. I move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Motion is to adopt Committee Amendment No. 2. On the motion, those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor? SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill...1123, Senator Schaffer.
1124, Senator Schaffer. 24...1124, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 1124.

(Secretary reads title of hill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1132, Senator Luft. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Eill 1132.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Luft.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Luft has...Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 shifts the responsibility of reporting malpractice settlements from the courts to the county state's attorneys.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Discussion? Those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1134, Senator Kustra. Senate Bill 1145, Senator Fhilip. Senate Bill 1151, Senator Smith.

Motorized golf carts authorization. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please. Mrs...Senator Smith. Senator Smith. All right, hold the bill. Senate Bill 1156, Senator...for what purpose does Senator Vadalabene seek recognition?

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.

A point of privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BEUCE)

State you point.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

In the President's gallery is the members of the Edwardsville-Collinsville Board of Realtors, and incidentally, one of the members, Mrs. Wolf, is going to be the...has been selected as a Realtor of the Year for the State of Illinois, and I would like for them to stand and be recognized.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Nould our guests please rise and he recognized by the Senate. All right, 1156, Senator Watson. Senate Bill 1158. Senate Bill 1175, Senator Nedza. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Fill 1175.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor? SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Nedza.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Nedza on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR NEDZA:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The amendment provides language that...it states

Ho and Rending

that, "Further that the proposal to eliminate such voting rights receives the unanimous approval of the shareholders as provided in paragraph 7 of Section 18." This removes any objections to the bill, and I...I move its...its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICEB: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt. Discussion of the motion? Those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

No further amendments.

SECRETARY:

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1187, Senator Schaffer. Senate Bill 1191, Senator Bloom. Senate Eill 1199, Senator Schaffer. Senate Bill 1202, Senator D'Arco. Senate Bill 1219, Senator Nedza. Senate Eill 1244, Senator Kustra. Senate Bill 1254, Senator Schaffer. Senate Bill 1256, Senator Dawson. Senate Bill 1257, Senator Jerome Joyce. Senate Bill 1258, Senator Jerome Joyce. Senate Bill 1258, Senator Jerome Joyce. Senator Watson. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

Senate Eill 1264.

SECRETARY:

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Judiciary II offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Watson on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR WATSON:

I'd like to have leave to Table that amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE).

Motion is to Table Committee Amendment No. 1. On the motion, those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Amendment No. 2, Senator Watson.

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Watson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Watson is recognized.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 simply adds the language, "Nothing in a subsection or in this Act prohibits a manufacturer, preparation, propagation, compounding, procession, packaging, advertising or distribution of a drug or drugs by any person registered pursuant to Section 510 of the Federal...Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act." This is language that's been worked out between the...Department of Law Enforcement and the Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Association. I move for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Is there discussion? Senator Sangmeister, on this amendment? Senator Sangmeister. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Did...did this...this bill came out of my committee, did it not? Have we talked about Tabling that committee amendment?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

That was the agreement that we had in the committee that we would...the language would be worked out between the pharmaceutical industry and the Department of Law Enforcement and we would offer it on 2nd reading.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Well, my staff is indicating that he...we know nothing about that. If there's...I not trying to...if we agreed to it, fine. I just...it catches me a little bit by surprise, but if that's what we agreed to, that's what...obviously what we ought to do, but if we'd give our staff just two seconds to consult there, one seems to think yes and the other no.

PRESIGING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

I see a nod of the heads. Motion is to adopt. Those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

No further asendsents.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR EBUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1277, Senator Rock. Senate Bill 1295, Senator Philip. Senate Bill...for what purpose does Senator Smith arise?

SENATOR SMITH:

SECRETARY:

Mr. President, I'd like to have a point of...personal privilege, if I may. Im honored to have in our attendance in our gallery today students and teachers from Holy Angel Catholic School that hails in my district, and I'd like to present them to this august Body.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Would the students from Holy Angel please rise and be recognized by the Senate. Welcome to Springfield. 1296, Senator Philip. 1297, Senator Philip. 1300, Senator Rock. Election Authority updating. Senate Eill 1301. Senate Eill 1302, Senator D'Arco. Senate Eill 1306, Senator Coffey. Senate Bill 1308, Senator Grotberg. Is Senator Coffey on the Floor? He's not here, Senator Chew. 1311, Senator Marovitz. Tax deduction for contributions. Yes, Senator? No. Senate Eill 1316, Senator Rock. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill...excuse me...Senate Bill 1316.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Executive offers one...one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Marovitz on...or Senator Bock on Amendment No. 1. SENATOR BOCK:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 was requested by the State Treasurer. As you know, the provisions of this bill effectively placed the State Treasurer as a member of all these bond authorities. Originally, as the bill was drafted, the State Treasurer was to be the chairman. It was suggested that he ought to remove himself as chairman and just he a member of all these. And I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Discussion of the motion? Those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments? SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor? SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Grotherq.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Grotberg on the Floor? Senator Bock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Well, I...I can explain Senator Grotherg's amendment before I move to Table it, but I...the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Perhaps we should...Senator Grotherg has appeared.

There's a motion to Table your amendment, Senator, and we

thought before we did so, we'd give you a chance to explain it. Senator Grotberg to explain Amendment Nc. 2.

SENATOR GROTEERG:

Well, thank you, Mr. President and fellow Senators. Suddenly we are at Senate Bill 1316 which abolishes the Governor's constitutional appointive powers and transposes them to the State Treasurer. And such a move has never been made in a hundred and eighteen years in Illinois...or since and...thank you, Senator, time for a change I'm listening. I believe it's first of all the...the...the bill...the reference of these appointive powers being vacated to the State Treasurer are patently unconstitutional. Secondly, I think each of us here has known that the Governorship of the State of Illinois has a way of going from party to party over the years. My amendment simply undoes what Senator Rock is so graciously trying to do for our esteemed colleague who happens to be the Treasurer and restores these appointments back to the Governor, not only as God intended, but as the constitution of the State of Illinois intended. I have sat through years of ... of executive Appointments Committee and never seen a list coming from any other Constitutional officer somehow or another.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BEUCE)

There's been a point of order. Senator Ecck, state you point.

SENATOR ROCK:

A point of order, Er. President. Does the gentleman purport to speak for God?

SENATOR GROTBERG:

No...thank you, Senator Rock, duly noted. But I do speak to the Constitution of the State of Illinois, and we have never convened this Body in Executive Session to confirm an appointment of any other constitutional officer...and I for one would hate to see the Executive Branch being valuated

constitutionally by this bill and would recommend that we thoroughly dismiss the concept, starting with this bill, and therefore, I offer Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1316.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. What Senator Grotberg said was correct, but only in part. We are dealing here under the provisions of 1360 only with the revenue bond authorities, of which there are five. So, we are in no way impacting on the Chief Executive's right to appoint to the many boards and commissions and agencies. What we are suggesting, and suggesting very strongly, is that we are dealing with revenue bond authories and the Treasurer is, by virtue of the responsibility of that office, necessarily involved, and he, it seems to me, is in a ketter position to make the proper appointments to a revenue bond authority. It ... it concerns itself only with the Farm Development Authority, the Industrial Development Authority, the Health Facilities Authority, the Environmental Facilities Finance Authority and the Illinois Armory Board. I move to Table Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

The motion is to Table Amendment No. 2. The motion is not debatable. All right, there's been a request for a roll call. Those in favor of Tabling Amendment No. 2 will vote Aye. Those opposed to Tabling will vote Nay. The voting is open. The motion is to Table. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 33, the Nays are 26. The motion to Table prevails and Amendment No. 2 is Tabled. Further amendments? SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1354, Senator Schaffer. Senator Rock is recognized.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. It has been a long day, but I would suggest, and I would like to make an announcement that we will commence tomorrow after the committees at eleven o'clock promptly and we'll move directly to the Order of 3rd Reading. We will cause to be immediately passed out, after some discussion with the Minority Leader, a packet of...of a printout of the Agreed Bill List. Number One, which I would ask the members to please read, take home and study. The Agreed Bill List will be called for passage on Tuesday, May 24th, so that we will afford each of the members ample opportunity to review the list and to make their wishes known to the Body. We have, as you know, the list has been passed out some forty bills for recall, and I would suggest to afford the hard working people in Enrolling and Engrossing an opportunity to get the bills in shape that we move to the Crder of Recalls and try to get through that list. At the close of that business, we'll see how much time is left. We do not intend to go beyond five-thirty or six o'clock, but I would ask the members to please be alert on the recalls.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR EBUCE)

Senator Savickas is recognized.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Yes, before we go to recalls, Mr. President, I would like to make four notions on reassignment of some bills. And I would move to discharge the Committee on Labor and Commerce from further consideration of House Bill...1043 and ask that the bill be re-referred to the Committee on Insurance, Pensions and Licensed Actitivies. Also, House Eill 67 from the Committee on Public Health and be re-referred to Committee on Judiciary I, and...no, I'm sorry those are just...those are

just the two I had...just those two requests.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

All right, the motion is to move...the motion is to discharge the Committee on Labor from further consideration of Senate Bill 1043 and it be referred to the Committee on Insurance, and that the Committee on Fublic Health be...be discharged from further consideration of Senate Bill 67 and that it be re-referred to the Committee on Judiciary I. Those are House bills. House Eill 1043 and House Eill 67. On the motion, discussion? Those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The bills are discharged and re-referred pursuant to the motion. Senator Vadalabene.

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Before you go to recalls, and since we have a hundred percent attendance record, tomorrow morning at nine o'clock, Executive Appointments, Veterans' Affairs and Administration will meet in Eccm 212.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Is there leave to go to the Order of Recalls? Leave is granted. There is on your desk a list of the hills that are to be recalled. I'll read off the first five or ten so that we can be ready. Senators Watson, Berman, Carroll, Sangmeister, Vadalabene, Chew, Marovitz and Darrow are ther first sponsors. If we can keep moving on this, we can handle it quickly. Is Senator Watson on the Floor? We can...all right, the Chair is informed that the sponsor does not wish to recall that bill. So, under the rules, the sponsor determines on whether or not be will recall it and Senator Watson has indicated he does not wish to recall Senate Bill 29. Senate Bill 124, Senator Berman. Senate Bill 128, Senator Carroll. Is there leave to return Senate Eill 128 to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purposes of amendment? Leave is granted. The bill is on the Order of 2nd Reading. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary, please? All right, Senator Carroll is recognized.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. There was a...the Reference Bureau has advised me that they...suggested the way in which Amendment No. 1 had been drafted was technically incorrect. I would, therefore, having voted on the prevailing side, move to Table Amendment No. 1. The Floor amendment would be to substitute therefore. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

The motion is to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 1 was adopted. Those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The motion is reconsidered...prevails. On the motion to Table, those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Further amendments, Mr. Secretary?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would be technically the correct amendment as suggested in the committee and replaces Amendment No. 1. I would answer any guestions. Move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Discussion? Those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3rd reading. Is there leave to return to the bill just prior to that, Senate Bill 124. Senator Ferman was called out. Leave is granted. Senator Berman seeks leave of the



Senate to return Senate Bill 124 to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purpose of amendment. Is there leave? Leave is granted. The bill is on the Order of 2nd Reading. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary, please?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Demuzic.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Demuzio to explain Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Yes, thank you, Mr...Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen. All this does is to assure the...in the school district or an educational service region that receives the grants that a student or teachers in a nonpublic school can...can participate in the computer program. And I would move for the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senator Sangmeister on Senate Bill 142, seeks leave of the Senate to return Senate Bill 142 to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purpose of an amendment. Is there leave? Leave is granted. The bill is on the Order of 2nd Reading. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary?

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Sangmeister.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Yes, Mr. Fresident and members of the Senate, the Reference Bureau has found an error in the drafting of this :bill,

and they are asking that we Table Amendment No. 1 and adopt Amendment No. 2 to put the bill in good order. It's technical in nature, that's all. And therefore, I would move that we Table Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR EROCE)

Motion is to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 1 was adopted. On the motion, those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The motion is reconsidered. On...Senator Sangmeister now moves to Table Amendment No. 1. On the motion to Table, those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Senator Sangmeister now moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2. On the motion, those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

No further arendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BEUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 147, Senator Sangmeister. Is Senator Bloom...yeah, he's here...seeks leave to return it to the Order of 2nd Reading. Is there leave? leave is granted. Any...amendments, Mr. Secretary?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Elgom.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Bloom.

SENATOR ELCOM:

Thank you, Mr. Senator...or Mr. President and fellow Senators. What this does, during the course of Judiciary II's deliberations, we found that there was an increasing amount of penalty enhancements, and...and we, as politicians, sometimes have a tendency to everdo a good thing, and so what this does is require the Department of Corrections to basically furnish us with a budget and a prision population impact note on...to accompany enhancements bills, and...or bills

that create new crimes or mandatory sentences. It's pretty much patterned after the Fiscal Note Act, and we think that it will...probably be beneficial to this Ecdy so we can at least keep track of...of what we're doing. Iry and answer any questions, otherwise, I'd ask that the amendment be adopted.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR EFUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No...1. Those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BEUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 173, Senator Vadalabene...Senator Vadalabene seek leave to return to the Order of 2nd Reading. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Jones.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Jones is recognized on Amendment No. 3. Transportation of alcoholic beverages in a...a motor vehicle. Senator...Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, I haven't seen the amendment, and I was wondering what...I thought I satisfied Senator Jones on Amendment No.

2. I'm not aware of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICEB: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

I wasn't aware of it either. But I believe what it deals with is this, is that...why don't you take this out of the record?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, I don't know whether I should take it...I don't know...I wanted to catch your eye, I didn't want to bring it back to 2nd. I wasn't aware that this...this amendment was on there, and I want to leave it on three.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

All right. If that was your will, Senator, we'll just unwind this whole thing...is there leave to take it out of the record? Leave is granted. And...and just to help the Presiding Officer, Senator Vadalabene, when you held up your hand, I thought that meant go. And we're trying to move these as fast as we can, and so, if you don't want it to move, the Chair would appreciate a loud "No" and we will stop right there. 174, Senator Chew. Senator Chew.

SENATOR CHEW:

We're waiting on a correction on the amendment. If it could remain on recall, we'll have the amendment ready for tomorrow.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

That will be fine. Senate Bill 188, Senator Marovitz.

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. In the Executive Committee...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

...let's...let's get it back to 2nd. Is there leave to return it to 2nd? Leave is granted. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Marcvitz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The...the Executive Committee, Senator Egan, President Rock and members of the committee felt that...in regards to upping the salary requirements for those required to file an ethic statement, every year we kept going up twenty to twenty-five, twenty-five to thirty-five, that is was the sentiment of the committee that we should wholly exempt those people who are not elected officials or subject to Senate confirmation. That's what this amendment does. It's sponsored by myself and Senator Rock, and I would ask the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Eill 188.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. On the motion, those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senator Marovitz on 191, Senator? Is there leave to return it to the Order of 2nd Beading? Leave is granted. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary?

END OF REEL

REEL #4

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Geo-Karis.

PEESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Geo-Karis on Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, there was a prior amendment that was drafted in error, and my Amendment No. 3 simply is a technical amendment and deletes lines 26 through 29 in Senate Bill 91 on page 2. And I would like to at this time, before I go forward, to move to Table Amendment No. 2, if I may.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

The motion is to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 2 was adopted. On the motion, those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. On the motion to Table, those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is Tabled. Amendment No. 3. Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEC-KARIS:

Now, I move to adopt...Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

On the motion to adopt, those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 199, Senator Darrow. Senate Bill 201, Senator Marovitz. Is there leave to return the bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purpose of amend-ment? Leave is granted. Are there amendments, Mr. Secre-

Page 116 - MAY 18, 1983

Joseph Recolled

tary?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Marovitz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Marovitz on Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 just clarifies that the bill applies only to electric power or electric energy. The...it was not intended to apply to railroads and telephone companies and those kind of things. It was requested by the utility companies. I am in total concurrence with it, I would ask the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 201.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 3. On the motion, those in favor say Aye. Offosed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?
SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR EDUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 206, Senator Collins. Senator Collins asks leave to return the bill to the Order of 2nd Reading. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Collins.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. This amendment is recommended by the Supreme Court in its annual report. And what it does, it requires that only the custodian parent need be served prior to any adjudicatory hearing regarding a delinquent, a juvenile of whom a petition of a delinquency has

been filed. The problem is that the...the kids are unusually detained while the courts try and find the...the...the real parent, and this amendment allow the custodian parent...specifically the...the guardian to...to be notified rather than detaining the child while the...they attempt to find the...the genetic parent.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt. Those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 284, Senator Smith. Senator Smith asks leave of the Senate to return the bill to the Order of 2nd Reading. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Smith.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITE:

Mr. Chairman...President and to the Eody, I'd like to have this amended. This is a technical amendment and it prevents conflict with the other provisions of the Act. And at the same time, I would like to bave...that's amendment...shouldn't this be Amendment No. 2 on 284? I'm Tabling...Table...No...No. 2, I'm Tabling that.

Well, you haven't as yet.

SENATOR SMITH:

SECRETARY:

I want to move to Table...I want to move to Table .Amendment No. 2, if I may, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Motion is to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 2 was adopted. On the motion, those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The motion is reconsidered. On the motion to Table Amendment No. 2, those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Amendment No. 3, Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH:

Do you understand it?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR EBUCE)

Amendment No. 2 is Tabled. We're ready to ...

SENATOR SMITE:

And 3, this is 3, is...is the...is the technical amendment that would prevent the conflict of the rest of the Act.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Alright. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 3. On the motion, those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments? SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 356, Senator Marovitz. That whole series, Senator Marovitz, are you going to seek leave on all of those? Senator Marovitz asks leave to return Senate Bills 256, 417, 440 to the Order of 2nd. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary, on 356?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Marovitz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR FRUCE)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MARGVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment No. 2 is a clarifying amendment. It deals with the Crime Victim Compensation Act, and deals with that

which is admissible in hearings under the...Victim Compensation Act before the Court of Claims. It is the procedure that's going on now, it just clarifies that. I would ask for the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Eill 356.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Discussion?

Those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it.

Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further agendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 417, Senator Marovitz. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary? 417.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Marovitz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MARCVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. Fresident and members of the Senate. Amendment No. 3 was requested by the clerks of the court, and adds the clerk of the court to those already on...under the bill. I would ask for the adoption of this amendment to Senate Bill 417.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 3. On the motion, those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 440, on the Order of 2nd. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary? 440.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Marovitz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Marovitz on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR MARGVITZ:

Mr. Fresident, correct me if I'm wrong, on Amendment No.

1, having voted on the prevailing side, I would move to reconsider the vote by which Committee Amendment No. 1 was adopted to Senate Bill 440.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

You've heard the motion. Those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The motion is...is reconsidered. Senator, you move to Table?

SENATOR MARCVITZ:

I would move now to Table Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 440.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR FRUCE)

You've heard the motion. Those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Amendment No. 2, Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MARCVITZ:

I would now move for the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 440. It clarifies what was technically incorrect by Senate...by Committee Amendment No. 1. It's only a technical amendment, it makes no substantive changes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR EBUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. On the motion, those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 445, Senator Smith. Senator Smith asks leave of the Senate to return the bill to the Order of 2nd Reading. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Senator Smith on Amendment No....

SECRETARY:

3 offered by Senator Smith.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Amendment No. 3, Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH:

Thank you, Mr. President. I wish to Table Amendment No. 2, and Amendment No. 3 will replace which is technically incorrect.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR EBUCE)

The motion is to reconsider and Table Amendment No. 2. On the motion to reconsider and Table, those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The bill is...the motion to adopt is reconsidered and...and the amendment is Tabled. Amendment No. 3, Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 3, Mr. President, is, in substance, this amendment is identical to the Amendment No. 2 providing for an increase in the income eligibility for...of the circuit breaker program from twelve thousand dollars to fifteen thousand dollars.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 3. On the motion, those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

No further agendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 459, Senator Dawson. Senator Zito, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR ZITO:

I'm a hyphenated chief sponsor on that bill, and I would move...ask leave of the Body to take it back to 2nd reading.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Dawson has returned. Senator...Dawson on 459,

Posted Recollect

Senator Zito is your joint cosponsor and asks to return that to 2nd reading for the purpose of an amendment of which you are a sponsor. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senators Zito and Dawson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Zito.

SENATOR 2ITC:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This amendment corrects a technical change in the words of, "adding townships," we inadvertently left that language out when we were drafting the bill. I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

You've heard the motion. Discussion? Those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further arendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senator Lemke, you have two bills, do you wish _to return those to the Order of 2nd Reading? Is there leave to return Senate Eill 520 and 521 to the Order of 2nd? Leave is granted. Senator Lemke. Are there amendments to Senate Bill 520, Mr. Secretary?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Kelly.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR EDUCE)

Is Senator Kelly on the Floor? Senator Kelly. Alright, take it out of the record. Senate Eill 521. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Lemke.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Page 123 - MAY 18, 1983

Jo5 20 led

Senator Lerke is recognized.

SENATOR LEMKE:

What these amendments do is clears up some of the technical problems in the Act to conform it with the Supreme Court wishes in Beloit D. versus Barr which...what it does is...the first amendment is the long one? Okay. That amendment sets up the waiver provision where the parents have been notified prior to going into the hospital in regards to an abortion, they can sign a waiver at the hospital.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 521. On the motion, those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETABY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Lenke and Egan.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Lemke on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR LENKE:

This is a amendment that after the staff reviews...viewed Amendment No. 1, there was some technical difficulties in Section 7 of the bill, and it clarifies and clears up those technical difficulties. I ask for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. On the motion to adopt, those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments? SECRETARY:

No further agendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 520, Senator Kelly is now on the Floor. Is there leave to return that hill to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purpose of amendment? Leave is granted. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Kelly.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ERUCE)

Senator Kelly on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR KELLY:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident and members of the Senate. This amendment to Senate Bill 520 would prevent lawsuits being filed against physicians who do not notify parents of the potential health defects that their unborn children may have. This is a bill of rights for the unborn bandicapped, and for that matter, for every handicapped citizen in Illinois. Without the protection for the unborn embryo or fetus, unborn child has a possibility of going through a medical procedure which might determine whether or not they have a mental or physical disability. We had this hill...not this bill but the amendment was a bill previously considered in the Executive Committee and it did not pass, it was narrowly prevented from...from passing onto this Flcor. And I feel very strong, and I know that Senator Lenke does, that this issue should at least be given consideration on the Floor of this Senate. Now, I cannot understand, not for the life of me, how some people who are...so adamantly opposed to the practices that went on during the time of Adolf Hitler during Natzi Germany, and when they come to an issue that's so crystal clear that it's permitting the destruction of any human life, whether it's physical or ... or a medical defect, and there are a lot of beautiful people in this world that have a handicap. I don't want to go into detail, but we've seen some that have...either in this Chamber, or certainly on the outside, that have some type of a...cf a defect that deserve it. And just because a physician might make an interpretation, or some clinical person, that this party does not have a right to live and die isn't right. So, with that, Mr. President, I'd like to move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Eill 520.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Kelly has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 520. Senator Schureman, discussion.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Sorry, Mr. Fresident, I was away from my desk. I wonder if the sponsor would mind explaining once more what he is doing here.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CEMUZIC)

Senator Kelly, to be brief.

SENATOR KELLY:

Yes, I'll just briefly say what this does is, it prevents a lawsuit from being filed by a parent if a physician does not notify that parent that there is a possibility of a physical or mental...cr mental defect to their unborn child. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNENAN:

Well, apparently, my suspicions were...were true then.

Are you trying to amend 519 onto 550; 519, the bill that lost
in committee, was defeated in committee?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

That's exactly what I said just a minute ago.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

I'm sorry that I missed the explanation. On that basis, I'd have to rise in opposition to the amendment, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Grotberg. Senator Grotberg passes. Senator Bruce.

SENATOR EBUCE:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. It's always with reluctance anyone stands and rises to speak on any of these amendments, and I do it with guarded caution. But I think the testimony we had in committee on this bill and my own personal feelings are that we ought not to ban, and that's what this bill is going to do, is to ban the procedure known as amniocentesis. And it is the feeling of those who are strongly opposed to abortion that somehow that procedure will always and in every instance be used to eventually lead to an abortion, and I have strong feelings that that is not, in fact, the case. And that is a procedure, and when this Body gets into practicing medicine, that we have gone beyond our realm of expertise. That procedure of amniocentesis analysis of ... when we get involved in that, we go beyond our own scope of expertise. And we cught to say to physicians who practice, to women who are pregnant, to fathers who have children and their wives are over the age of thirty-five and they want to go in and have this procedure done and understand whether their child is handicapped, whether there is anything that they can do for that fetus in the way of rendering assistance while it is being nurtured by the mother, this procedure would be prohibited. And I ... I just don't think that we ought to go that far, we've gone beyond what is reasonable and I think this amendment ought to be defeated. It was heard, we heard it a long time in committee. The bill was a close call, it's not all had, not all good, but I think it should stay in that committee and should not be tacked onto this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Alright. Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, I was also on the committee and been one who has supported most right to

life legislation during my lifetime here in the Illinois Senate. I have to rise in opposition to this amendment. Ιn plain English, as a member of the health care profession, regardless of whatever our beliefs are about one thing or the other, to create a Statute which would prohibit, prohibit someone from filing suit on a malpractice from a physician or a health care physician for whatever reason of his incompetency is just not cricket. A person has to be responsible for their actions, and to...to prohibit that possibility of a suit, I know this is a big item with Right To Life, they have talked to me. We...we came to an agreement that I...my belief and my professional life would not allow this. This was heard for a long time in committee, over an hour, and it did not get sufficient votes to get out of committee, and I think that's where it needs to stay until we get further information as to why or should this be a Statute. I would urge a No vote on this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAMILL

Thank you, Mr. Fresident. Yesterday I had a very noncontroversial library bill which was left in the subcommittee because I was tied up in an Education Committee from eight o'clock at night until twelve-thirty that night. I asked both the subcommittee chairman and the committee chairman if I could possibly put this on the library bill, both of them told me they had no objection to it. I was then informed by a colleague on the other side of the aisle that they only do this in the Senate...or in the Bouse. That once a bill is killed in committee, there is no way that a hill is allowed to be put on as a...an amendment to another hill. It seems to me that what is good for the goose is good for the gander, sir. And I would suggest that the rule apply to both sides of the aisle.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Lenke, and the timer is on.

SENATOR LEMKE:

Well, you know, I want to tell you, number one, this bill was not killed in the House, there was insufficient members there at the time, I think it was a tie vote. So, therefore, and it's up to the sponsor as to if he wants a bill amended or not. I agreed with...with Senator Kelly on this matter. And as far as Senator Bruce is talking about we acting as doctors, we're not banning any tests, they can still go through as normal medical procedures to get the tests that are necessary. What this bill is simply saying, is simply saying that if a child is going to be born with a physical defect. I don't think it's in my power or your power to prevent that child from being born, and it's...just because that particular person that is born is going to te a burden, a financial burden on his family doesn't mean that much. Because since when do we evaluate life in the form of Roman coins, I thought we did away with that. And since when do we determine that because a child, like in my instance, my grandson has cost us over a hundred thousand dollars. Is it my right to decide that he should have been aborted before he was born because it's going to cost a hell of a lot of money for him to live and to be a natural child? And he's coming along, he's been...he's been...many things have been done. He is physically able now to be a child and to live, and I don't think that it is anybody's right to decide whether that child should be aborted because a certain test isn't given. Because I want to tell you this, there are tests where they can determine what sex the child is, what color hair that child is going to have, what color eyes and everything. if we're going to get into this, we're going to get back into pre-Nazi Germany and we're going to determine that the first two hundred and seventy-five people that were exterminated in

the gas chambers were not fit to live because they were mentally retarded or they had some defects, and from thereon, it went on because they didn't like a person's religion, they didn't like a person for other things, and we start going down the line. We saw the Nazi's start with the Jews, and we've seen the next procedures that start at the Jesuits, and the next procedure to go after the Lutheran ministry and it keeps going down and down the line, and where do you stop and where do you begin? And this bill stops it before it starts. I just think it's a good amendment, I ask for your consideration.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Gec-Karis.

SENATOR GEC-KARIS:

Mr. President and ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, last year we had guite a bit of testimony on a similar hill by...and parents who were involved with it testified. I think that we're going beyond the realm of actual consideration of the parents. I certainly am not for abortion and my...neither is my faith, but I do feel that if we're going to restrict tests, and that's what I think this amendment will do, that...may become very necessary, I think we're going a little too far and I have to speak against the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Mr. Fresident, this is, obviously, a very controversial thing and we're hearing conflicting testimony. I'm not familiar with the bill. Is there a copy of the amendments on our desks? Are the copies distributed? I don't have one.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator...Senator Kelly, have...have amendments been distributed?

SENATOR KELLY:

The amendments have been...this amendment was filed over two weeks ago so, I...I know if it hasn't been distributed, it certainly should be.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

The amendments...the rule is that unless five members request a amendment to be...to be distributed, that...the amendment has been filed, perhaps you could furnish Senator Schaffer with...with a...with a copy. Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Well, since we're talking life and death here, you'll pardon me if I want to read the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

I have no objections if you read the amendment, Senator. Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President. In the meantime, I'd like to point out some of the misrepresentations that were made on this Floor that this bans in any way any type of testing. This does not ban any testing at all. Every parent or potential parent can have an unborn child tested. It prevents a lawsuit from being filed. Also, this is...as far as whether or not an amendment can be...could formally have been a bill,...Senator Fawell, you know very well from your time in the House that we not only have bills that are incorporated in...in...in the form of an amendments, but we have conference committee reports where we have several hills that even get incorporated into them. So, it's...it wouldn't be the first time this has ever happened, and besides that, this is a...an issue that's has been rated very highly by the Illinois Right to Life, and it's a... I think it's an important vote for you, so don't take it casually. Excuse me, I don't want to say that of this particular amendment, but I know if

it was the bill, it would be rated. But as far as this one goes, I have no idea whether or not they re going to have any consideration on...on your vote on this particular proposal. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Egan.

SENATOR EGAN:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Kelly, your intentions ar∈ certainly well-intentioned. You...you know exactly what happened in the Executive Committee, and I'm going to tell the Body again, as I told them why I voted Present on the bill. because of my Present vote, the bill was held in the committee, as you very well remember. The...the subject of malpractice is one not to be taken lightly. What you are doing with this bill is, you are sanctioning willful and wanton misconduct by the medical profession among other things. I know what good you intend to do with it. I've discussed it in length with the people from the Fro-life Coalition. They have asked me to support it, I told them that it needed a lot more attention and a lot more direction. And if we would do that, you would garner and gain my support, as I have overwhelmingly supported the pro-life movements all the way back to when Dan Walker vetoed the first bills that were passed after the anti-abortion movement that was staged after the Supreme Court decision. Then, if you'll remember, Senator Kelly, Bob Egan carried those bills to override the Governor's vetos. And there has never been a stronger supporter of anti-abortion than Bob Egan. I think that sometimes you've got to draw the line. When you promote and when you sanction willful and wanton misconduct by the medical profession, I think it takes a little more attention. That's why I voted Present, that's why I'm going to vote Present again.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Has...has everyone spoken for the first time? We have two members who wish to speak a second time. Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, very much. Just ...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

---Senator Fawell, pardon me just a moment. There is...there was one other that I had on my list that I neglected, Senator Collins, will be the last member.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yes, I always get neglected. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Collins. SENATOR COLLINS:

I'm a little confused. The amendment allows the tests to be performed, but what you're saying is that after they perform the tests, they find out that the child has some type of...of mental or physical retardation or problem, that they would not then tell the parent. If they don't tell the parent, the parent cannot sue them, is that what you're trying to do?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIG)

Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

What I'm saying is, if...if a physician...that a parent cannot file a lawsuit against a physician who does not...identify a physical or...medical defect. And I would hope that physicians, for...for one thing, a parent would definitely be able to go to a physician and...and request this type of attention to find out...especially if they had some history of some malfunctions in their family line or whatever, but this does...this is what it does, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Well, I...I.m not hearing this. You're saying that if the physician does not detect in his tests or her tests a defect, you're trying to prohibit the parent from suing them, why should they sue them if they didn't detect a defect?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Well, one of the reasons is, because these advance medical technics in many ways are...are...are failures, and that we should be concerned about every unborn person that there is. And to allow...to allow for the possibility of these lawsuits would increase the incident of unborns being aborted that are...potentially physically or mentally defective, and that's what I'm...what I'm trying to attempt to do to prevent that from occurring.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

I'm still confused.

PRESIDING OFFICEB: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Jones, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR JONES:

Yeah, thank you, Mr. President, personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

State your point.

SENATOR JONES:

I hate to interrupt the...the debate, but I'd like to introduce the eighth grade students of the Dunn Elementary School from the City of Chicago who are with us with their parents and teachers in the gallery.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Would our guests in the gallery please rise and be recognized by the Senate. We have four Senators who have indi-

cated that they wish to speak a second time. We will begin with Senator Pawell. Oh, Senator Zito, what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR ZITC:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident. I move the previous question.

PRESIDING OFFICEB: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

If you'll hold that motion until these four, we'll honor that request. Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAMELL:

Thank you, sir...again, I...I rise because my name was mentioned in this debate, and I would like to set the record straight. What I was told yesterday, and I would like the sponsor of the amendment to listen to this because we are, indeed, freshmen, that as a freshman Senator I was informed that this action that you are now partaking in is not allowed in the Senate, that you cannot take a fill that has not passed the committee and put it on a bill as an amendment. And I would like to know, sir, as a...from the Chair, if this is indeed true, because that's what I was told.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schuneman. Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

I apologize, Mr. Fresident, for speaking the second time, but I certainly concur with Senator Egan's remarks. I am not here to make it possible for doctors to commit malpractice when they know that there is something wrong and they don't tell the parent and then they shouldn't be sued for it. I know it doesn't apply in other professions, and I certainly still speak against the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Lemke, for the second time, and this is...Senator Lemke is the last speaker.

SENATOR LEMKE:

This...just to clear this up, this is not a situation

where the test has been given, this is a situation where it's going to require every woman that is pregnant and every doctor to give this test. If they fail...if the doctor in his consideration and a reasonable mind feels that this test is not necessary, okay, he does not give this test, okay, then the baby is born with a defect, and after the child is born, in other words, then, then the parents turn around and sue the doctor or sue the person that delivers the baby, in some cases there's midwifes, then...because he didn't give this test, then there is a problem. We're not saying that if the doctor gives the tests and he fails to tell the patient, now that is...when you fail to do something, that is not willful and wanton, that is intentional. We're talking about a doctor that on every child...every woman that is pregnant, that means every women, and the cost of the childbirth is going to up, they're going to have to give this test to every pregnant lady in the State every time a doctor examines them. And if the doctor can say ...if...if...he can say, I...I refuse to be your doctor anymore, go to another doctor, but we're saying that in this case, that if he doesn't give this test, he can't be sued because the child was born with a defect. And we're saying that not just with this test but with every other test. Maybe the ... maybe the parent will sue them because he failed to give the test to determine what sex the child is, or he failed to give a test as to...if it's a...a hormone test and things like that, what we're getting into. And this just says that the doctor, if he fails...in his reasonable judgement fails to give this test, he can't be sued. That's all, I think it's a good bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Zito has moved the previous guestion. Senator Kelly to close.

SENATOR KELLY:

Mr. President, I would just like to say that I agree with

the Pro-life Coalition's opinion in favor of this bill, and I would ask for your support for this...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Kelly has...Senator Kelly has moved the adoption of Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 520. All in favor will signify by saying Aye. Opposed. The Noes have it. Amendment fails. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading.

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Is there leave to go back to 529 in a couple of minutes? Leave is granted. 618, Senator Fawell...on the Order of Recalls, is there leave to bring back to the Order of 2nd Reading Senate Eill 618? Leave is granted. Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident. This is a committee amendment that was inadvertently looked over when we passed it on to 3rd. What the committee amendment does, hasically, it becomes the bill and it deletes everything after the enacting clause and amends the Judges' Betirement System to clarify that nothing in that section negates a spouse's claim to marital property rights in the judges' pension right. Seeing as they have had case law now that has everybody else's pensions subject to marital law, I only thought it was fair that the judges have the same privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CEMUZIC)

Senator Fawell has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Eill 618. Is there any discussion? Senator Marovitz.



SENATOR MARGVITZ:

I...I hate to ask this, but is...how does this differ with the...is this the amendment that we...we spoke about, okay? Could you just explain it one more time what it does or it's only...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Favell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

It...it is the amendment, Senator, that we put on in committee, and it is the one that amends the judges' retirement pension rights so that their pension is subject to...okay?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MARGVITZ:

Having gone through this amendment, I'm in total support of the amendment. I would solicit your Aye votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Alright, Senator Fauell has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 618. Is there any further discussion? All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 673, Senator Nedza. Senator Nedza asks leave of the Body to return Senate Eill 673 to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purposes of amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Mr. Secretary. Senator Nedza. SENATOR NEDZA:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The amendment makes some technical changes and it also establishes a procedure for the racing board to use Mobile land

in distributing contingency funds, it makes some definitive language as to...as to some of the objections that Senator Rupp had in committee, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Alright, Senator Nedza moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 673. Is there any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Officed. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments? SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 696. Senator Friedland asks leave of the Body to return to the Order of 2nd Reading Senate Bill 696 for the purpose of an amendment. Senator Friedland. Senator Grotberg on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR GROTEFEG:

Thank you, Mr. President and fellow Senators. Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 696 is the agreed amendment taken from two other administration bills dealing with unfit to stand trial concepts of the mentally ill and the...the Department of Mental Bealth and how they handle them in secure settings. This amendment simply determines that only if there are...compelling reasons, they shall go to a less secure place. It solves Senator Friedland's problems and mine in Kane County and Elgin, it solves the department's problem without creating a big...bureaucracy which was the...was the sad part of the other administration bills. I move the adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Grotberg has moved the adoption of Amendment No.

1 to Senate Bill 696. Is there any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Is there leave to return to 697 a little...a little later? Leave is granted. Senate Bill 709, Senator Grotberg. Senator Grotberg seeks leave of the Body to return to the Order of 2nd Reading Senate Eill 709 for the purpose of...of an amendment. Senator Grotberg.

SENATOR GROTEERG:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident and fellow Senators. I would ask the Secretary to deal first with the brief one that is a technical, changes the word "human" to "humane," they forgot the "e" on humane. That's the first amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

That would be Amendment No. 22

SENATOR GROTBERG:

That's correct. There was a committee amendment, that was No. 1, this is Floor Amendment No. 2, correct? The technical misspelling of the word humane. I move the adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Grotherg has moved the adoption of Senate Amendment...of Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 709. Is there any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 by Senator Grotberg.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Grotherg.

SENATOR GROTBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President and fellow Senators. I now move you that the department amendment to the Humane Animal Care Act is simply an indemnification of the certified humane

Macade De

investigators that they certify to go out and do all of their work, and I move the adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Grotherg has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 709. Any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further anendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 713, Senator Weaver. Senator Weaver asks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 713 to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purpose of the...of an amendment. Senator Euzbee. Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Yes, Senator Buzzee would like to add the amendment that would allow Southern Illinois University to participate in the High Tech Program with other institutions of higher education. So, in his absence, I'd move for adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Weaver has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 713. Is there any discussion? All those in favor will signify by saying Aye. Opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 713 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 726, Senator Lemke. Senator Lemke asks leave of the Body to return to the Order of 2nd Reading Senate Bill 726 for the purpose of a...an amendment. Senator Lemke.

SENATOR LEMKE:

What this amendment does, is sets up the

)B738 Recall

> Illinois-Michigan Canal National Corridor Civic Center Authority of Cook County. What we're doing here is, we have..,in the area of Cook County, we have eleven communities that lie along the route of the Illinois-Michigan Canal. Instead of having each community come to the State and build something, we're setting up an authority which has...each mayor will have the nomination of one person who will be advised and consent by the city...by the village council or city council, and they will be appointed to the board, they will select who the chairman of that civic authority is, and they will decide on the projects that are built in that area. In the County of Will they have a...the Joliet Civic Authority will be taking in the whole County of Will County. And our thinking was, I think there's four counties involved, and I think...I don't think DuPage County wants to be involved with Cook and I don't think Grundy County wants to be involved with DuPage or Cook. So, we thought that we would set up the authority for the areas in Cock County alone, and then if the other counties want to do the same thing, we would support that. But this bill would give uniformity in what is going to be developed in the area Illinois-Michigan Canal. I think it's a good amendment, and I ask for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator...Senator Lemke has moved for the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 726. Is there any discussion? All those in favor will signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further agendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 738, Senator Vadalabene. Senator Vadalabene asks leave of the ... of the Ecdy to return to



the Order of 2nd Reading Senate Bill 738. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senator Eruce.

SENATOR EBUCE:

Yes, this would...there is going to be within the department for real estate investigations a chief investigator created, and this would put in some qualifications for him to have so that the department would be hiring a qualified chief investigator. The amendment has the approval of the Illinois Real Estate Association and the department, they are both in favor of it. Senator Vadalabene is...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Bruce has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to...Senate Bill 738. Is there any discussion? All those in favor will signify by saying Aye. Opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Any further amendments? SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICEB: (SENATOR DEMOZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 757, Senator Mahar. Senator Mahar asks leave of the Senate to return to the Order of 2nd Reading Senate Bill 757. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senator Mahar.

SENATOR MABAS:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident. I would ask...move that Committee Amendment No. 1 be Tabled. The amendment I offer is exactly the same amendment but it was not placed in the bill in the proper location.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

There is a motion to reconsider Amendment No. 2 for the purposes of Tabling. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senator Mahar. Amendment...I'm sorry, Amendment No. 1. SENATOR MAHAR:

I think...I think it was Amendment No. 1.
PRESIDING OFFICEB: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The motion is on Amendment No. 1. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senator Mahar.

SENATOR MAHAE:

I would now offer Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The motion has been made to Table Amendment No. 1, leave is granted to go back to that to reconsider it. Now the motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2 to Senate Eill 757. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Do we have leave of the Body to go back to Senate Bill 529 for Senator Demuzio? Leave is granted. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading, Senator Demuzio moves to bring back Senate Bill 529 back to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purposes of amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Demuzic.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzic.

SENATOR DEMUZIC:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a bill for the commissioner of banks. It simply clarifies when banks shall submit their reports, reduces the time that's involved and also makes the penalty for...noncompliance discretionary. It's brought forth by the commissioner of banks in consultation with various groups. I know of no opposition. I would move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator...Demuzio moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Fill 529. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments? SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. On the Order of Senate Bill 697, Senator Demuzio seeks leave to bring it back to the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading for the purpose of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Demuzic.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIC:

Yes, Mr. Secretary, Amendment No. 2, is that the small one that reinstates the U.S. citizens...citizenship requirement?

SECRETARY:

I...I only have one amendment, Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEBUZIO:

Alright, is amendment...is the one that you have filed the...indicate that it reinstates the U.S. citizenship requirement.

SECRETABY:

(Secretary reads part of Amendment No. 2)

SENATOR DEBUZIO:

Alright, then the amendment that has been filed, basically, does the same thing, adds the same language, is that correct?

SECRETARY:

Well, I only have the one amendment.

SENATOR DEMUZIC:

JE818 Recolled

Why don't you take it out of the record for a minute and I'll come down and straighten it out.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Take it out of the record. On the Order of Senate Eills 3rd Reading, Senate Bill 815. Senator Macdonald seeks...Senator Weaver, we're on Senate Eill 815. Senator Macdonald sought leave to bring it back to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purpose of amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Macdonald.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Macdonald.

SENATOR MACCONALD:

Yes. After several weeks of negotiation this amendment has been agreed to by the Illincis State Chamber of Commerce and the Pollution Control Board. It provides an alternative procedural mechanism for insuring the regulated community that will get a timely decision from the Pollution Control Board when the current provision allowing the default issuance of permits and variances is deleted. Specifically, it provides that if the board fails to act within a hundred and twenty days on a variance or a permit appeal where Resource, Conservation and Recovery Act, Underground Injection Commission or NPDES programs are involved, the regulated party is entitled to an order from the Appellate Court ordering the Pollution...Board to act within ninety days or be held in contempt of court. I'd like to emphasize that the Pollution Control Board does not have a history of delaying in these matters, there have only been three situations involving default issuances in the last thirteen years. This amendment is being offered only to ensure that the present board practice of reaching speedy decisions will not be changed when the default provision is deleted. I urge your adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR CEMUZIC:

Yes, I'd like to ask...question of the sponsor, if I may. This is an agreed to...amendment with the Pollution Control Board, the one that Pat Sharky was interested in, is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Macdonald.

SENATOR MACDONALD:

That's correct, Senator, and it has been agreed upon.

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Macdonald moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Fill 815. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments? SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 847, Senator Weaver. Senator Weaver wishes to bring back Senate Eill 847 from 3rd reading to 2nd for purpose of amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Grotberg.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Grotherg.

SENATOR GROTEERG:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident and fellow Senators. This is an amendment that clarifies the non-home rule cities use of the hotel taxes that may...they are allowed to impose, but it clarifies that their use should...generally refer to nonresident, overnight visitors rather than just for any frivolous

activity, and I move the adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Grotberg moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Fill 847. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments? SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. On Senate Bill 864, on the recall sheet, Senator Vadalabene does not wish to bring it back for any further amendments. Senate Bill 896. Senator Marovitz seeks leave of this Body to bring back Senate Eill 896 from the Order of 3rd Reading to 2nd Reading for the purpose of amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted.

SECRETARY:

Amenament No. 3 offered by Senator Marovitz.

PRESIDING OFFICEE: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MARCVITZ:

Prior to that, Mr. Fresident, having voted on the prevailing side of Amendment No. 2, having been adopted, I would move to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 2 was adopted.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

I'm sorry, Senator, I was...

SENATOR MARCVITZ:

Having voted on the prevailing side of Amendment No. 2, I would move to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 2 was adopted.

PRESIDING OFFICEB: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

You've...you've heard the motion. Senator Marovitz moves to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 2 was adopted for the purpose of Tabling. Is leave granted? Leave is

granted. Now, Senator Marovitz moves to Table Amendment No.

2. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is Tabled. On Amendment No. 3, Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MARGVITZ:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident. I move to Table Amendment No. 3...withdraw Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Marovitz seeks leave to withdraw Amendment No. 3. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Is there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further arendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 936. Senator Vadalabene seeks to bring back to the Order of 2nd Reading Senate Bill 936 for the purpose of amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Vadalahene.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VACALAGENE:

Senate Bill 936 is an amendment by the Department of Transportation in regard to the conveyance of land to the City of Alton. ...DOT was going to put a highway through this conveyance and they have designated not to, but in the...in the bill we have taken too much of the land from the DCT, and what this amendment does, it returns a parcel of the land back to the Department of...of Transportation. And I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Vadalabene moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 936.

Page 149 - MAY 18, 1983

Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Beading, Senate Bill 982. Senator Hall seeks leave of the Eody to bring it back to the...Senator Hall changes his mind, you don't want to bring it back? Senator Hall, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR BALL:

To...just leave it sit there, we've got some problems with amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

On the Order of Senate Eills 1602. Senate...on the...Senate Bill 1029, Senator Egan. Senator Egan seeks leave of the Body to bring Senate Eill 1029 back to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of amendment. Senator Egan, this is Buzbee's amendment.

SENATOR EGAN:

Yes, Mr. President, could we pass by it because he is not here and I am not familiar with the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Fine. And the Secretary does not have a copy of it either. Senate Bill 1048, Senator Weaver. Senator Weaver seeks leave of the Body to bring Senate Bill 1048 back to the Order of 2nd Reading for purpose of amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Weaver.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR REAVER:

SB1239 Recalled

Page 150 - MAY 18, 1983

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 is requested by R & E to avoid some duplication of effort. It strikes the language that is just duplicated in the whole Act, and they think it would be better not...save time, and I agree with the amendment. I move adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Weaver moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1048. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further agendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading, Senate Bill 1198, Senator Philip. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading, Senate Bill 1239. Senator Jeremiah Joyce seeks leave of the Body to bring it back to the Order of 2nd Reading for purpose of amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Joyce.

REEL #5

SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident. Amendment No. 1 is along the lines suggested by a couple of members of the committee. What it does is it makes this provision applicable only to forceable felonies rather than simply felonies. And it also provides a procedure for a special case. Ask for its adoption at this time.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Joyce moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1239. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments? SECRETARY:

No...no further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1262. Senator Bruce seeks leave of the Body to bring Senate Bill 1262 back to the Order of 2nd Reading for purpose of amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Bruce.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Eruce.

SENATOR EEUCE:

Thank you,...Br. Fresident and members of the Senate. This amendment...as you know, on the corporate personal property tax, we have two pots from which all the units of local government pull proceeds from the Local Government Distributive Fund, Cook County and all others. Through the interpretation of the department when it related to the hold-harmless clause, they have decided to make the hold-harmless clause

applicable prior to the division of the two pots. There was discussion in the committee that the one way to resolve the hold-harmless for the two parts of the State of Illinois is, in fact, make that division prior to the hold-harmless applicability, and that's exactly what this amendment does.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Eruce moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1262. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments? SECBETABY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. On the Order of Senate Eills 1274, 3rd reading. Senator Vadalabene seeks leave of the Body to bring Senate Bill...1274 back to the Order of 2rd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Vadalabene.

Senator Vadalabene.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.
Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1274 provides for an effective date of October 1, 1983, and I move for its adoption.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

You've heard the motion. Senator Vadalabene moves to adopt...Amendment No. 1 to Senate Eill 1274. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments? SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

If have leave of the Body to come back to Senate

Bill...3rd reading, I'm sorry. If we have leave of the Body to get back to Senate Bill 1313 for Senator Savickas when he gets off the Podium. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of Recalls, Senate Bills 3rd Beading, Senate Bill...we have a new sheet with about fifteen bills on it. The first bill to start on the new sheet that's been distributed to you is Senate Bill 185 with Senator Chew as the sponsor. The second bill is Senate Eill 263. Senator DeAngelis seeks leave of the Body to bring Senate Eill 263 back to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purpose of amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Mahar.

PRESIDING OFFICES: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Mahar.

SENATOR MAHAB:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 is a clarification of the scholarship funding and it's one which says that...relates to the draft registration. And it simply says that those people who are required to register for the draft and they fail to do so are not eligible for a...State scholarship. I'd ask for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Mahar moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Fill 263. All in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

On the Crder of Senate Bills 3rd Reading, Senate Bill 354. Senator D'Arco seeks leave of...I'm scrry, 263 back to 3rd reading. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Feeding, Senate Bill 354. Senator D'Arco seeks leave of the Body to

bring it back to the Order of 2nd Reading of the purpose of amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator D'Arcc.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

All right, thank you, Mr. Chairman. These are a series of bill of condominium revisions that are on the Agreed Eill List. And the realtors decided that they...they would...they needed these amendments to clean up whatever deficiencies were in the bills on the Agreed Eill List. They in no way change the substantive provisions of the till. So, I want to get that clear before I proceed with these things. The first one...Amendment No. 1 to Senate Eill 354.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Go ahead, Senator.

SENATOR D'ABCO:

These are more or less technical revisions ...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Are you...

SENATOR D'ARCC:

...and I would move to adopt Amendment Nc. 1 to Senate Bill 354.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there...is there any discussion? If not, Senator D'Arco moves to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 354. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECHETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senator D'Arco seeks...we'll, I've...let me

bring this whole series back to the Order cf 2nd Reading. Senator D'Arco seeks leave to bring the following bills back to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of amendments: Senate Bill 418, 432, 433, 434, 436, 523 and 671. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of Senate Eills 2nd Reading, Senate Eill 418.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator D'Arco.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR C'ARCO:

Again, this is an amendment in the same series of bills, and I would move to adopt Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 418. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not...if not...Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Senator...Senator D'Arco, I heard your brief opening statement which these are a series of amendments that were suggested by the realtors, but it is to condominium proposals, and could we just have some idea of what the amendments do? Are they all of the same order?

PRESIDING OFFICES: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR NETSCH:

If not, could we have a brief description? SENATOB C'ABCO:

The amendment...the...what the amendments do is strike everything after the enacting clause. So...but what they do...what they do is reincorporate all the provisions of the bill with some minor technical changes, and the reason for that, instead of offering an amendment as a minor change, the realtors decided that they wanted a clean bill and the amendment would suit their purposes, for that reason. Now, you

know, I...I know what you're trying to say, but when the bill is on 3rd reading, if you have any objection to it, you know, I'd be more than happy to take it off the Agreed Bill List or...or do whatever you feel is necessary. If you want to talk about a particular provision, we can do that. You can talk to Alice right behind you, if you'd like to.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

saying is that while the form of the amendment is to strike everything and reenact, the actual changes from the bills as they passed the House are fairly minor, they are not substantive, they are essentially technical in nature, is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICEB: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

That is correct.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rupp.

SENATOR EUFF:

Thank you, Mr. Fresident. These technical amendments, this one I think is about ten pages and the other is seven, and I did not get a chance to look at it or read it, but there is considerable number of lines that are underlined which indicates changes, and I think we ought to get a chance to look at these amendments...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR RUFF:

...not if they're just ...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR C'ARCG:

No, what I indicated, Senator Rupp, was that the amendment struck everything after the enacting clause, therefore, if the...if the bill was ten pages long, the amendment was ten pages long. Now that's the reason for that. Now, let me just say this to you, Senator Rupp, if there are any problems with these amendments and they'll...the bill will be put back on the Agreed Bill List, we will take it cff the Agreed Eill List, you know, whatever problem that your staff has with any of these bills, we will take them off the Agreed Bill List. If you don't want to do that, you know, I'm going to have to go through each one of these individually and explain the whole bill on 2nd reading, and I just...I don't think that's what we want to do today. Senator Rupp, is that okay? No. PRESIDING OFFICEE: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator B'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

I don't know, I... what I'm...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Do you want to take them cut...

SENATOR D'ARCO:

...trying to explain to you...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

... you want to take them out of the record?

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Go ahead, take it cut of the record.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Take them out of the record. Well, the status...at this point the status of this group of bills is that Senate Eill 354 was brought back to the Order of 2nd Reading, amended and sent back to 3rd reading. On the second motion, Senate Eills 418, 432, 33, 434, 436, 523 and 671 were all brought back to the Order of 2nd Reading for the purposes of amendment, and at this point this is were they re at. Shall we take them

out of the record and leave them on 2nd reading? We'll move them back to 3rd reading, and you will settle your differences with Senator D'Arco. So Senate Bills, at this point, 432, 433, 434, 436, 523, 671 will be moved back to the Order of 3rd Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading, Senate Bill 879, Senator Schaffer. He's not here. Senate Bill 975, Senator Kustra. Senator Kustra seeks leave of the Body to bring it back to the Order of 2nd Reading for purpose of amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted.

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Rustra.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Kustra.

SENATOR KUSTRA:

SECRETARY:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 is the product of negotiations between the Department of Law Enforcement and the Department of Central Management Services. The amendment provides that the services which the Department of Law Enforcement can provide under this bill will be subject to the State Statute in regard to the Telecommunications Fund. I'd ask its favorable adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? Senator Cemuzio...your light was flashing. I though you wanted to discuss on this bill.

Is there any further discussion? If not, Senator...Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

I'm sorry, I had another bill in mind.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

If there's no further discussion, Senator Kustra moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 975. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

Page 159 - MAY 18, 1983

JO 130 1

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading, Senate Bill 1307. Senator...Degnan seeks leave of the Ecdy to bring it back to the Order of 2nd Reading of purposes of amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Degnan.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Degnan.

SENATOR DEGNAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 adds to the Election Code the provision that when the election authority retabulates the votes in a five percent recount, the authority prints a comparison of the results of the retabulation with the original return numbers from...from that particular precinct. I move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Degnan moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Fill 1307. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further agendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senator Demuzio sought leave to go back to the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading on Senate Bills 697 to bring it back for the 2nd reading for purposes of amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR CEMUZIO:

Yes, Mr. President, thank you, very much. This is the bill we had earlier...

PRESIDING OFFICES: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

...oh, wait. Senator, we're waiting for the hill.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Demuzio.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR CEMUZIC:

Yes, thank you. This...was the...an amendment that clarifies the United States citizenship. We adopted Amendment No. 1. I want to Table that because Amendment No. 2 makes some...makes the technical corrections. So, I would move to Table Amendment...I would move to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 1 was adopted.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio moves to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 1 was adopted for the purpose of Tabling. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Now Senator Demuzio moves to Table Amendment No. 1. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of...

SENATOR DEMUZIC:

Now, I move to adopt Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 697. It is the...the technically correct...amendment that adds the United States Citizenship Act to the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Demuzio moves to adopt Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 697. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments? SECRETARY:

No further agendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senator Demuzio...Senator Demuzio...Senator Demuzio.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Oh...Senator Savickas seeks leave of the Eody to return to Senate Bill 1313. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senator Savickas now seeks leave of the Eody to return to the Order of 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1313 for the purpose of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senator Savickas.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 by Senator Savickas.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIG)

Senator Savickas-

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Yes, this is a technical amendment. It would delete the word "amended" and add in it "amend." It's the...it seems that we...we double lined the word, "It's the...an act that the...to amend Section 26.31 of an act in relation to creation, maintenance, operation and improvement of the Chicago Park District approved July 10th, 1933, as amended, is amended to read as follows." We removed that sentence on line 4, "is amended to read...as follows, "because...redundant.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIC)

Senator Savickas has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1313. Is there any discussion? All those in favor will signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments? SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senator Rock.

SENATOR BOCK:

Thank you, Br. Fresident and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I think we have put in a long and tedious day and we are at the point of diminishing returns. I have two announcements that I have been requested to make. The

Teamsters, according to Peter J. Miller, will be meeting in force and everyone is cordially invited to the Statehouse Inn commencing, I guess at five o'clock, from five to eight. The Gridiron, by the way, which will be held tonight at the Hilton is sold out. Obviously, because of the responder, and if any Senator has tickets that he is not going to use, the...the press room people would like to engage in that old political trick of reselling tickets and they'll be happy to have them. They have a waiting list, I am told, and they're scalpable, no question about it. Unless the Secretary has some paper work to clear up, I would suggest, Mr...and so move that the Senate will stand adjourned until eleven o'clock tomorrow morning.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock, the Secretary is inquiring about, will the agreed bills be shown on the Calendar tomorrow?

SENATOR ROCK:

Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

All right, Senator Rock has moved to...the Senate be adjourned until eleven o'clock tomorrow morning. All in favor signify by saying by saying Aye. The Senate stands adjourned.