

82ND GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

NOVEMBER 18, 1982

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

The hour of ten having arrived, the Senate will come to order. Will the guests in our galleries please rise. Prayer by Reverend Randolph Shoultz...Rudolph, excuse me.

REVEREND RUDOLPH SHOULTZ:

(Prayer given by Reverend Shoultz)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Thank you, Reverend. Reading of the Journal. Senator Mega.

SENATOR MEGA:

Mr. President, I move that reading and approval of the Journal of Wednesday, November the 17th, in the year 1982 be postponed pending arrival of the printed Journal.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

You've heard the motion. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Motion carries. Resolutions.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 675 offered by Senator Dawson, it's congratulatory.

Senate Resolution 676 offered by Senator Geo-Karis and it's congratulatory.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Consent Calendar. Introduction of bills.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1691...introduced by Senator Schuneman.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st reading of the bill.

Senate Bill 1692 introduced by Senator Carroll.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st reading of the bill.

Senate Bill 1693 introduced by Senators DeAngelis and Philip.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Rules. Senator Lemke, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR LEMKE:

Mr. President, I was reviewing the roll call on Senate Bill 1180, and apparently someone had voted my switch on that bill. I was out talking to the teachers, and I noticed that somebody voted me for the bill, and I implicitly said I didn't want to vote for the bill; I wanted to vote No. I would like the record changed, or if I can't do that, then I will make a motion, having voted on the prevailing side, to reconsider it by the vote and have a new vote on that bill because I do not want to be on record of voting for that bill, and I don't know who did it, but I would like that changed to No.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

The...I'm advised that the message on that particular bill is...resides in the House of Representatives at this time. The record, of course, Senator, will show that you were in opposition to the bill. We're...we're on...we're on the mechanical marvel now, as you well know, and I think that you're...you're explanation was definite enough to indicate your feelings. The Senate will stand at ease for a few minutes. Senator Rupp.

SENATOR RUPP:

Thank you, Mr. President. A point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

State your point.

SENATOR RUPP:

In the gallery to the left is the government class...the constitution class from the Bethal Education Academy in guess where, Decatur, Illinois.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Please stand and be recognized. The Senate will be at

ease for a few minutes. Senator Thomas, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR THOMAS:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President. I've had my Page light on here now for about two minutes, and I realize I'm lame duck, but how easy they forget. I just want a cup of coffee if we can get a Page over.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

The sergeant-at-arms is waving his arms, and I don't know what that means, so...he says there'll be one on the way shortly, or else I'll get it for you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Philip, did you wish to make an announcement? Senator Ozinga. Senator Ozinga.

SENATOR OZINGA:

A Republican caucus in Room 114 at eleven fifteen, sharp.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Davidson, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Point of...point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

State your point.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

I'd like to introduce to the members of the Senate the government class from the Chatham-Glenwood High School, which is just south of Springfield, here in the front gallery, if you please rise so we can acknowledge you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Our guests in the galleries please rise and be recognized. Further announcements? Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you. That request obviously is in order. There will be a Republican caucus at eleven fifteen. I think then I would suggest that the Senate stand in recess until the

hour of noon.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

The motion is that the Senate stand in recess until the hour of noon. On the motion, discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The Senate stands in recess until noon.

RECESS

AFTER RECESS

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

The hour of noon having arrived, the Senate will come to order. Senator Mega is recognized.

SENATOR MEGA:

There will be a Democratic caucus at twelve fifteen, Room 212, and the Senate is adjourned at the call of the Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Alright. There will be a Democratic caucus at twelve fifteen in Room 212. Democratic caucus, and the motion by Senator Mega is that we stand in recess subject to the call of the Chair. On the motion, all in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The Senate stands in recess subject to the call of the Chair. Democratic caucus immediately, or at twelve fifteen, Room 212.

RECESS

AFTER RECESS

PRESIDENT:

Resolutions.

SECRETARY:

Senate Joint Resolution 112 offered by Senator Lechowicz.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate Joint Resolution 112 is a resolution which will extend the reporting date of the Local Accounting Task Force from

April 1, 1983 to October 1st, 1983. There was a delay in the implementation of this task force due to the assignment of the committee members, and for this reason, the date has been requested and an extension granted. I move the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 112.

PRESIDENT:

Alright. Senator Lechowicz has moved to suspend the rules for the immediate consideration and adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 112. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The rules are now suspended. Senator Lechowicz now moves the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 112 extending the reportion date of a commission. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The resolution is adopted. Further...further resolutions? There'll be more.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 677 offered by Senator Jerome Joyce.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would ask for the rules to be suspended for the immediate consideration. This resolution goes to Congress and it memorializes them to...in the lame duck session, not to pass a bill that would permit the Federal takeover of the GE facility in Morris. This is the facility that we have dealt with for many years about the spent fuel rods, and we don't want this to happen in this lame duck session.

PRESIDENT:

Alright. Senator Joyce has moved to suspend the rules for the immediate consideration and adoption of Senate Resolution 677. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The rules are

suspended. Senator Joyce now moves the adoption of Senate Resolution 677. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The resolution is adopted. If you'll turn to Page 7 on the Calendar, there you will find listed the motions that have been filed. Top of Page 7, on the Motions in Writing to override Total Veto, Senate Bill 647, Senator Bruce. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 647 do pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Bruce.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate Bill 647 was under the sponsorship of Senator Marovitz who is absent today, and he has requested that I file this motion on his behalf, and were he here, he would have, in fact, filed the motion. The Governor has overridden a matter which established as a point of law the requirement that boards of education pay certificated school nurses salaries based on their level of education on the salary schedule. The law requires school nurses to meet or exceed the certification standards of classroom teachers. Most school districts, in fact, make no distinction between salaries of teachers and nurses, they are paid from the same schedule, and according to the State Board of Education, seventy percent of the school nurses are paid on the schedule only twenty-nine percent or approximately five hundred and eighty-three are paid below the scale paid to their in-district colleagues. I think that since more than seventy percent are paid it seems reasonable that these people be placed on the schedule. Most of these...of the nurses in the

State of Illinois, and this the Illinois Nurses Association piece of legislation, ninety-five percent of all the nurses in the State of Illinois are women. As far as I can find, one hundred percent of all the school nurses are women. And it seems to me that this is an equal pay for equal work issue, that these people ought to be paid the same amount of money that they...the other people with whom they are professionally employed are being paid, and that is a salary schedule just like all the others. I commit it for your consideration, and this is...that I would move to override the veto of the Governor on Senate Bill 647.

PRESIDENT:

You've heard the motion. Any discussion? Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I rise in opposition. I think it...Senator Bruce made a very good point indicating that approximately seventy percent of the nurses are now paid under that schedule. I think this indicates very clearly that...that local control is working and that where...where feasible, they are paid under that schedule, and that just, I think, proves to me that once again, attempting to mandate something like this is not in education's best interest. I would also point out the fact that the State Mandates Act does apply, and the cost is somewhere in the neighborhood of three hundred and fifty thousand dollars. I think we should take a very careful look at this and certainly oppose this motion.

PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Bruce may close.

SENATOR BRUCE:

I just think that the matter has been aired. The question is whether or not we ought to treat school nurses as we

have treated all the other nurses in the State and pay them on a schedule equal to their professional colleagues. I ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDENT:

The question is, shall Senate Bill 647 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 32 Ayes, 14 Nays, none Voting Present. The motion fails. Motions in writing with respect to the override of item vetoes. Senate Bill 1398, Senator Coffey. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move that the item on Page 10, lines 14 through 18 of Senate Bill 1398 do pass, the item veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Coffey.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Coffey.

SENATOR COPPEY:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise to override Amendment No. 6 which was originally attached to the agriculture budget that came through this past Session. It is for twenty thousand dollars for the blacksmith's...blacksmith's shop for the State fairgrounds here in Springfield. I think it's a necessary item. It's one that has been committed and promised to the horse race industry on several occasions. It is a...only a small amount of money, but it is very important to those people that are in the industry, and I think that industry is very important to the State of Illinois on behalf as our...on behalf of the revenue that it brings into this State, and I think we ought to have a favorable vote on this issue. I'd be glad to answer any questions, and I would just ask your favorable

vote on this issue.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Is there any discussion? Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Yes, Mr. President, I...I rise in opposition to the motion. We debated this issue last...last Spring, of course, and there's no question the points that Senator Coffey made are very valid. There are a number of buildings that we need at the State fairgrounds that are going to have to be taken care of in the foreseeable future. But I believe that the fiscal state of the economy today with the state that we are...of our affairs now just make it impossible for...for us to...to support measures such as this. It's only twenty thousand dollars, but it's twenty thousand dollars here, a hundred thousand dollars here, and we simply cannot afford it and the motion should be not supported.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Berning.

SENATOR BERNING:

Thank you, Mr. President. I have a question of the sponsor.

PRESIDENT:

The sponsor indicates he'll yield, Senator Berning.

SENATOR BERNING:

Senator Coffey, this building, as I understand it, is rented by a blacksmith. Will the rent be increased by any chance to cover the cost of this reconstruction?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Coffey.

SENATOR COFFEY:

As...as I...understand, Senator, that that price could be negotiated and it would be done by the agency...the Department of Agriculture and that agency if the rent was to be

extended, and would probably be in line to be increased if a new building is...is furnished. Many of the other buildings such as...I think the cost presently is...is rather low, but other buildings such as the...for the veterinarians and others are furnished out there for those people housing and...housing the horses at the fairgrounds. I think this is just another area we need to address, and there could be an increase; it would not be legislative, but it could be administrative to the Department of Agriculture.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Berning.

SENATOR BERNING:

Then, Mr. President, it would appear to me that with a relatively small investment we can materially improve the working conditions for an integral part of what is a significant industry, the horse racing industry in Illinois, particularly as it is carried on at the State fairgrounds. If in pass...overriding we were to express that it is the intent of the Legislature that the rental for the building be increased to cover the cost of reconstruction, I think it would be a justifiable override, and I would move that that statement be a part of the consideration by this Body of whether to override or not.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further debate? Further debate? Senator Coffey may close.

SENATOR COFFEY:

Well, I'd just ask the members of...the members of the Senate to reconsider this issue. The...we have just recently built a multi-million dollar facility out there at the Department of Agriculture for housing of administration. This building we're asking for twenty thousand dollars is just a run-down shack with...very hard to heat, and they work in this building both summer and winter. And some of you

I've showed the picture to and I think it's a deplorable condition, in fact, most of us...and I was one, didn't even know where it was at and...and did not recognize the building. And I think that it's...it's a building that's been out of the way, we haven't noticed it, I...I think it's a disgrace to the Department of Agriculture of the State fair to have such a facility there. It's a very low cost item. I'd appreciate your support in the override of this veto.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

The question is, shall...the question is, shall the item on Page 10, lines 14 through 18 of Senate Bill 1398 pass, the item veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 31, the Nays are 14. The motion to override the item veto is lost. Senate Bill 1400, the motion thereon. Senator Grotberg is recognized for the motion. Senator Grotberg.

SENATOR GROTBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President and fellow members. As the bewitching hours of June were closing, there was placed an amendment in the Capital Development Board budget to accommodate the veterans' groups of northern Illinois to have the State put up a million and a half dollars in the local community is to raise another million and a half dollars against that to turn the vacated hospital, St. Mary's Hospital in the City of LaSalle, into a northern Illinois veterans' home. This money, and we all understand today's capital budget as well as our operating budget and our cash flow problems, this money, and I'm offering to restore this item through means of this motion so that the people of LaSalle County area, in particular, the highest...next highest unemployment rate in the State of Illinois, the veterans' groups that are in the

gallery today awaiting the call of this, from the City of LaSalle, from all of the veterans' groups have been urging the use of this hospital, because those in yours and my generation will soon be in need in the next decade of more housing for veterans in hospitals and homes of this nature. So, it is on their behalf, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, that I offer the motion to restore the million and a half dollars which is a pledge by the State that over the next year or two on Capital Development Bond Funds that the community could go to work and do their part to activate for all the veterans of Illinois and those in the northern area in particular to have a new and workable situation for the unfortunate veterans who have to use these facilities. I'd be glad to answer questions to the best of my ability.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:

Thank you, Mr. President and members. This particular facility is in my current district but is not in the new district, so there's no advantage to me politically with an override of this matter. It would seem to me that this is a...a...a little different than a normal override on money because the Governor would retain his release powers over this money. In other words, even if we override it, the Governor can make the decision as to whether to spend it. He has turned the issue, I understand, over to his newly formed council for veterans' affairs to...to determine the need for this, and, frankly, to determine how much Federal support we can get. Congressman Corcoran has been indicating that he...that there is substantial Federal support out there for this project. Inasmuch as this does not commit us to the expenditure, it...it answers, perhaps, and...and it can certainly be further studied in...in this posture, I'm going to support it and I would ask others to do so.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I, too, rise in support of this one million five hundred thousand dollars, and I think there is a couple of things that were left out that should be addressed. Sixty-five percent of the veterans in Illinois live in the proximity of this hospital that wants to be rehabilitated into a veterans' home. They have to travel approximately a hundred and ten miles to the closest veteran home, and we're talking about a lot of the World War II Veterans who are getting up in years where they can't visit their...their spouses. We're also talking about approximately a hundred...a million and a half of matching monies that's going to go into this project by the area service people and other contributions, so that they're doing their bit up there in LaSalle also. We're also talking about the City of LaSalle who's going to donate eleven and a half acres...to this project. So, there is a lot of cooperation, there's a lot of matching monies in this project, and echoing the words of...of Senator Sommer, this could be done without affecting the State revenue. So, to those of us who are veterans, nudge your partner or your colleague to give this one a favorable vote. It's most important to the veterans of our wars, and let's not forget them and try to do something for them up in LaSalle. I would appreciate a favorable vote on this one.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. As long as it's a commitment from the State and not an actual cash outlay at this time, I still think it's a very worthwhile purpose, because I understand that sixty-five per-

cent of the veteran population is within a hundred mile radius. And since the City of LaSalle has to match funds and is providing building and property, I think it's a very worthwhile thing because we can't get...do enough for our veterans, and I rise in support of this.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Discussion? Senator Grotberg may close. Senator Netsch...I'm sorry, Senator Coffey...or Senator Grotberg. Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank...this is just a very simple question. I am not clear who is to operate and own and control the hospital if the conversion ultimately takes place. Could someone answer that question for me?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Grotberg.

SENATOR GROTBERG:

The Veteran's Department of the State of Illinois.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

It will then be a fully State owned and operated facility at that stage, is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator...Senator Grotberg.

SENATOR GROTBERG:

That is correct.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Grotberg may close.

SENATOR GROTBERG:

Well, thank you again, Mr. President. In a brief close, I would only add that I think LaSalle County probably is an average county as far as veteran population is concerned, but

it has fifteen thousand veterans, six thousand of which are Veterans of World War II over the age of sixty within the next ten years. That is the population we are addressing on a long-term plan. Something has got to be done. I would urge you folks who have veterans, or are veterans yourselves, who have our veteran constituency, and we all have them in relatively the same numbers as every county in the State, I would urge you then to vote Aye to restore this important item to the capital budget of the State of Illinois and for the veterans that are so in need of it and will be in the near future. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

The question is, shall the item on Page 6, lines 11 through 15 of Senate Bill 1400 pass, the item veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 40, the Nays are 8, 2 Voting Present. The item on Page 6...6, lines 11 through 15 of Senate Bill 1400 do pass, the item veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Not appearing on your Calendar are two additional motions which have been filed on Senate Bill 1400, and since we are at that order of business, we will take them at this time. Senator Buzbee has filed a motion, and Senator Weaver have written motions. Senator Buzbee is recognized for a motion on Senate Bill 1400.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This motion deals with the food for Century Three items which the Governor item vetoed. Unfortunately, from my prospective, it deals with several projects which he item vetoed all in...in one item veto. So, my only alternative is to attempt an override on the entire item veto. It's a total of 6.4 million dollars of Capital Development Funds for food for Century Three projects at the

University of Illinois, SIU Carbondale and Western Illinois University. My hope and desire was to address only one project...my desire was to address only one project and that was one at Southern Illinois University for part one of a livestock teaching and research facility to include buildings, equipment, utilities, remodeling and site improvements to the tune of five hundred fifty-two thousand six hundred dollars. But as I said, given the nature of the Governor's item veto, I can't just address that project. Now, as I said, there are three total universities involved in my item veto. The University of Illinois would get four million seven hundred forty-eight thousand five hundred dollars. SIU Carbondale would get one million four hundred thirty-nine thousand two hundred dollars, and Western Illinois University would get two hundred fifty-one thousand seven hundred dollars for a total of six million four hundred thirty-nine thousand four hundred. As I said, of that total, SIU Carbondale's is one million four hundred thirty-nine thousand two hundred. My real desire is just to address one portion of that which is five hundred fifty-two thousand six hundred, but the only alternative I have is to go after the entire item veto for all of the projects for the three universities. This is a very...badly needed research facilities for hog and cattle barns at SIU Carbondale where literally the research facilities there are in such a bad state of repair that local farmers almost laugh when they come to the university to see the research that's going on. They say, that facility is worse than the one I have on my own farm, how can you possibly be telling me what's good when...when you can't even...don't even have as good a barn as I have. I know in talking with one of the agriculture professors, he told me that in one case he had taken from a research grant that he had received from a private endowment, that he had taken five thousand dollars of his research grant just to...repair the

floor in...in one of the hog barns so he could carry out his research. This is the sort of research that is badly needed, it's a sort of project that's been promised year after year after year to the university, and I would submit at this time that it is money that would be well spent, and I would ask for your favorable consideration in overriding the Governor's item veto.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Well, I reluctantly rise in opposition to this. Frankly, I...and I do this with some reluctance, particularly with Senator Weaver at my rear, but, frankly, I think after listening to the Governor today and setting some of the information the Economic and Fiscal Commission gave us this morning that we ought to be...well, I certainly believe in advanced planning, and in the food for Century Three program, we might be starting to think about food for February. And I would suggest to you that the prudent thing to do is to reject these overrides simply because of the State's finances. And some awful good programs that we all support are going to have to be slowed down or...or put on the back burner for awhile just so we can make those payments to the people that truly need food for February.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Buzbee may close.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Again, I'm in the very strange position of wanting five hundred and fifty-two thousand six hundred dollars, and I'm having to ask for six million four hundred thirty-nine thousand four hundred dollars because of the way the Governor did the item veto. This, again, is a project that has been promised to the university time after

time after time. In the total crunch in the cutback, the School of Agriculture at SIU, which is one of the foremost agricultural...or...or colleges in that university, and, in fact, in...in the nation, they are being very seriously hurt by their inability to continue the kind of research that's needed on meat producing animals. And I would submit to you that it is a good project, the time has come. It is Capital Development Board monies. I also am very well aware of the State's fiscal condition, Senator Schaffer. I've been aware of it for a year and a half. The Governor just discovered it this morning, apparently, but he just acknowledged it this morning perhaps. But, again, this is the kind of project that we need to...to be able to help our farmers in any way that we can. And if you happen to know anything about the farming business, you know that at the moment, farmers can get...can use all the help they can get. And I would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Buzbee, you were closing but Senator Weaver sought recognition. I'm sure you won't object to having him comment on your motion. Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. I, like Senator Buzbee, would like to have seen the total of 6.4 million restored, but there are certain priorities, and, as the motion I filed, looking at the priorities that I know the most about would have restored a million twenty thousand dollars. So, I think that it would be wise to maybe file further motions, Senator Buzbee, because I just don't think the 6.4 million is going to fly. I'd like to see it fly, but I...I think we have to consider what the priorities are within that 6.4. Now, you may have...you're talking about five hundred and fifty-two thousand dollars. There's a line item that could have been restored had you chosen to file a motion that way, and I

would have no objection to some...some of that, but I think we do have to consider the financial condition of the State today and not go for whole hog.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Well, Senator Weaver, I...you know, I concur with you, but if you can tell me how procedurally we can separate this given the fact that...and your motion, by the way, it's my understanding, is...is not a legal motion. If you could tell me how you can separate these items, I would be glad to do that, because the only thing I'm really interested in is the five hundred and fifty-two thousand for SIU Carbondale, one-third of the total amount that was originally appropriated to SIU Carbondale. If you can tell me how we can legally separate that, I'll vote for your two hundred and forty some odd thousand planning money for the University of Illinois, if you'll vote for my five hundred and fifty-two construction money for SIU and we'll all be happy. But in the interim, there is no way we can separate, I am told, and so that's why I filed a motion for the complete override.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

The question is, shall the item on Page 3, lines 12 through 35 and Page 4, lines 1 through 14 of Senate Bill 1400 pass, the item veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 27, the Nays are 23. The motion is lost. (Machine cutoff)...Weaver, did you wish to go with your motion? Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

I would just as soon withdraw that motion and consider it next year.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Alright, the motion is withdrawn with leave of the sponsor. Next bill is Senate Bill 1417. Senator Berman. Senator Berman is recognized for a motion.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Does the Clerk have the motion?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

He has the motion in writing, if you would explain it to the Body.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Alright. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this bill unlike the previous motions does not deal with millions of dollars. This bill...this motion deals with exactly one hundred thousand dollars. Let me take a moment of your time to explain what it's for. As all of you are aware...as all of you are aware, every year the State of Illinois because of a lack of facilities in the State have to send hundreds of young adolescents who are severely emotionally disturbed to schools throughout the country, as far as away as...as far away as Maine and Texas, the West Coast, with expenditures ranging anywhere from twenty to twenty-five to thirty to fifty and some even over a hundred thousand dollars. At the end of last Session in June a number of us were able, I think amazingly so, to bring the State Board of Education and the Department of Mental Health together. Part of the biggest problem has been interagency cooperation, or rather, a lack of interagency cooperation in addressing the needs of these types of children in Illinois. And what we were able to do through a cooperative program is to appropriate a hundred thousand dollars to DMH and a hundred thousand dollars to the State Board of Education, which is in...over in the House at the moment, for a pilot program at the Henry Horner...Henry Horner Center at Chicago-Read Hospital to serve twenty severely emotionally disturbed adolescents between the ages

of...of thirteen and twenty-one. Ladies and Gentlemen, if this program can work, we are not spending money, we are saving money. Just this hundred thousand dollars, I would estimate to you, can save us double that amount of money just...just in the first six months of the operation of this program, because these children must be served and they are being sent out-of-state at the present time. I think that this line item veto was more of form than of substance. I understand the Governor's action because he had to take other money out of DMH budget. But I suggest to you, Ladies and Gentlemen, that there was no merit to this line item veto. This hundred thousand dollars is dollars that are nominal, minimal, and they show that we will start a program with the cooperation of these two agencies that are sorely needed here. Every one of you have people in your districts who tell you the problems of sending children far away from Illinois. Let's start this program today in Illinois. I ask for your affirmative vote on this hundred thousand dollar item.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

You've heard the motion. Is there discussion? Discussion? The question is, shall the item on Page 3, lines 14 through 17 of Senate Bill 1417 pass, the item veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 33, the Nays are 17. The motion is lost. Senate Bill 1417, Senator D'Arco. On the Order of Motions in Writing to Restore Item Reductions. Senator D'Arco has filed a motion on Senate Bill 1417.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Thank you, Mr. President. This motion is to restore four hundred and ten thousand and eight...four hundred and ten thousand and eight hundred dollars vetoed by the Governor for

Personal Services at the Institute for Juvenile Research. The institute, usually it...it performs a very needed planning and research function for the Department of Mental Health. And what it really does is to provide services for the community based agencies and DCFS and Mental Health in order to allow them to program the children into the proper "pigeon holes" as they say. You know, you hear about children that fall between the cracks, and I discussed this at length with the director of the Institute of Juvenile Research, and he says that there really are two types of children that fall through the cracks. One type are incorrigibles and there is really not much you can do with those children. The other type that fall through the cracks are children that are very disturbed, either emotionally or mentally, but there...they do not perform at the agency level, the DCFS level, a diagnostic function on these children, and that is very important that somebody perform a diagnostic function to determine where these children should be placed in the system. The problem is that what happens to these kids, they go to...shelter care facilities and they're never properly placed. And that is part of the function of the Institute of...for Juvenile Research, to perform this diagnostic function at the agency level to place these kids properly. Now, not only is that important, but the Department of Mental Health has decided to increase the responsibility of the personnel at the Institute for Juvenile Research. Not only are they going to perform a diagnostic function, a planning research function, they are also going to have to train personnel at Madden and Henry Horner, and they're going to perform a clinical...clinical function as well. In order to perform these functions, obviously, it takes personnel within the department to do that. And in the midst of all of this, the Governor has unrealistically cut back their budget. Ladies and Gentlemen, our children are

our greatest resource, we all know that, and in order to provide the needed services to...to help these kids become good functioning citizens in the State of Illinois, we have to provide the needed monies to...to do that. And I know the State is in a fiscal crisis, and I'm not saying that, you know, it's not. But what I am saying, we're talking about four hundred and ten thousand dollars, and it's money that the State must afford in order to protect the institute which has been functioning for thirty years in the State of Illinois as a vital and necessary adjunct to juvenile care in the State. I ask to override the Governor's veto.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall the item on Page 8, line 8 of Senate Bill 1417 be restored, the item reduction of the Governor notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 25, the Nays are 24, and the motion fails. Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Yeah, the motion is lost, but there's two more motions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Yes.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

You can withdraw those.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator D'Arco moves to withdraw the other two motions on Senate Bill 1417. On Senate Bill 1318, Senator Bruce. We have a motion in writing to restore item reductions on Senate Bill 1318...1398.

SENATOR BRUCE:

Thank you, Mr. President and the members of the Senate. This is a very small appropriation of thirty thousand dollars

that relates to the creation of a fair in Massac County. When the budget was being prepared a year ago, for some reason or another, an amount of thirty thousand dollars was not placed within the Department of Agriculture's budget for Massac County. Massac County does not presently have a county fair. Under Chapter 85 there is a procedure by which you appoint by the county board someone to administer a county fair. They, in fact, made that appointment. A group met with the Department of Agriculture and asked for first-year funding. Part of the difficulty, I...as I understand it, Massac County is not in my district, it is in Senator Johns' district, but they have asked me to handle this in his absence, is that evidently they one time had a fair and there was a different procedure for reestablishment of a fair as opposed to creation of a new fair. Everyone agrees that Massac County is entitled to thirty...the thirty thousand dollars. In fact, the Department of Agriculture has stated that is...that the thirty thousand dollar amount requested by Massac County is an appropriate amount. They...and the department is not opposed to the creation of another county fair. However, I must tell you, in deference to the Department of Agriculture, they are opposed to any increase in their budget, I have in the statement by the Governor today, I'm sure that they wouldn't want to be held out that they are in favor of this thirty thousand dollars. It is appropriate, they feel that we ought to create a fair in Massac County. I believe that it will be in the budget next year but too late for us to have a county fair in Massac County. This thirty thousand dollars in a very small county will be very helpful. I would ask for your support in restoring what looks to me like, in all regards, to be a minor bureaucratic error that ought to be corrected by this Body. I ask for your favorable vote and...the restoration of thirty thousand dollars.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not,...Senator Totten.

SENATOR TOTTEN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Would the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he will.

SENATOR TOTTEN:

Senator Bruce, how many people are in Massac County?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:

Well, all I can tell you is Metropolis is there with Superman, and I don't want you to mess around with Superman, because that...that's one, and let me tell you, Senator Totten, I don't know, they must have telephones in Massac County, because I think everyone has called me about this particular appropriation. I'm told by Senator Buzbee that there's approximately thirty thousand people in Massac County.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Totten.

SENATOR TOTTEN:

Recount. Thank you, Mr. President. Well, I don't know, this sounds more like the superfund for Massac County than a legitimate appropriation. I think the thirty thousand people that Senator Bruce just alluded to ought to be seriously considered if we're going to have a State-wide recount.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall the item on Page 11, line 34 of Senate Bill 1398 be restored, the item reduction of the Governor notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 26, the Nays are 24, 1 Voting Present. The motion having failed to received the majority vote is declared lost. For what purpose does Senator D'Arco arise?

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Thank you, Mr. President. Having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider the vote by which Senate Bill 1400, line 11 through 16 passed...Page 6, lines 11 through 16 of Senate Bill 1400.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator D'Arco moves to reconsider the vote by which...the motion that the item on Page 6, lines 11 through...through 15 of Senate Bill 1400 passed. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion to reconsider is before us. Would you put on...would you put Senate Bill 1400 back on...on the board. For what purpose does Senator Grotberg arise?

SENATOR GROTEBERG:

I want to know...somebody was talking to me and all of the sudden my name was used in debate. Are we in the process of undoing some good work somewhere. Who...who offered such a motion?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Your name wasn't mentioned, Senator, it was a motion...the motion that was filed on Senate Bill 1400, and the motion was filed by you. Senator D'Arco moved to...having voted on the prevailing side moved to reconsider the vote by which that motion carried. Senator Bowers.

SENATOR BOWERS:

Parliamentary inquiry.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

State your point.

SENATOR BOWERS:

It requires thirty votes, I think, and it requires a

record vote to...to change a bill that's already been adopted or an action that's already been taken, and I would ask the Chair to rule on that point.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Bowers' point is well taken. It does need a record vote and a roll call vote. Senator Bowers.

SENATOR BOWERS:

I would inquire of the Chair how many votes it takes. As I recall, it takes...took thirty-six to adopt it, would it not take thirty-six to reconsider?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Thirty votes, Senator. Senator D'Arco moves to reconsider the vote...vote by which the motion on Senate Bill 1400 passed. All those in favor to reconsider will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Senator Grothberg.

SENATOR GROTHBERG:

I was just going to suggest we talk a little before we take the roll call, but if the board is open, the roll call is on.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The roll call is on. I said the roll call is in process. All those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. It takes thirty votes to reconsider. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 27, the Nays are 20, none Voting Present. The motion having failed to receive the majority vote is declared lost. Our next order of business will be Motions in Writing to Accept the Specific Recommendations for a Change. It's on the bottom of Page 7 of your...first bill on Motions in Writing...to Accept the Specific Recommendation for a Change would be Senate Bill 1028, Senator Schaffer. Senator Schaffer.

Page 28 - NOVEMBER 18, 1982

END OF REEL

REEL #2

SENATOR SCHAFER:

Mr...Mr. President and members of the Senate, Senate Bill 1028...deals with the Department of Administrative Services which has a new name, which eludes me at the moment, ability to grant easements through State property. When the bill left here and went to the Governor's Desk there was a provision in there for a Legislative Oversight Committee to react to any easements that someone found objectionable. This is a...a change in the existing law, these easements are normally granted through legislative action. The general feeling is that that is a cumbersome process and that the department should be allowed to grant these easements. This Oversight Committee was an attempt to keep legislative involvement. The Governor objected, and the department objected to the oversight objection because it was unique to the department, there is no such oversight involvement in other areas, and because they felt it would delay projects construction by utilities and municipalities, drive up costs, et cetera. I would respectfully remind everyone that the other portion of the bill is the granting of some six acres of land to the City of Marion from a State facility in that area for the construction of a municipal building, a tourism...center, which Senator Johns is quite concerned about. I move to accept the Governor's recommendations but I sincerely hope the bill will achieve law, primarily because I'd like to see that property which was originally donated by the City of Marion returned because I think Senator Johns feels very strong about it and I think he has a good point.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President. On a point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

State your point.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

In the...in the gallery on the left side of the Chamber is the seminar in government class from Normal Community High School, and with them is their sponsor, Mr. Gangler. I'd like for them to rise and be recognized by the Body.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Would our guests please rise and be recognized. Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. As the record will reflect, Senator Johns is absent due to illness. In his stead, I would urge our members to support Senator Schaffer's motion to accept the Governor's specific recommendations with respect to Senate Bill 1028.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1028 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Schaffer. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 50, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. This...none Voting Present? The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1028 having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected are declared accepted.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

If I could have the attention of the Senate for a moment. We have a very honored guest with us this...this afternoon, and that is Miss Jaleigh Jeffers who is...thank you, Senator

Savickas. See what a team we are. We have with us Miss Illinois, Jaleigh Jeffers from Mt. Carmel, in my district, and her mother and father. She has been in the Miss...Miss America contest and did very well. I think most of us saw her there, and did very well for the State of Illinois and we are happy to have her with us today and...and introduce...her to the Senate and have her say a few words to us. Jaleigh Jeffers.

MISS ILLINOIS:

(Remarks made by Miss Illinois)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

I think...I think Senator Vadalabene, if he comes down, has a presentation to make.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Okay. On behalf of the Illinois Ethnic Heritage Commission, I am privileged to present to Jaleigh Jeffers, Miss Illinois, these beautiful flowers that represent the tradition of beauty and the achievement of which Miss Illinois stands for.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Stay right here, I think we have...Mr. Secretary, do we have any resolutions for Miss Illinois today? If the Secretary would please...read the resolution.

SECRETARY:

Senate Joint Resolution 111, offered by Senators Bruce, Lemke and all Senators.

(Secretary reads SJR 111)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

On behalf of Senators Lemke, Bruce, Vadalabene and Senator Buzbee, Senator Rock moves for the immediate consideration and adoption of the...resolution. On the motion to suspend the rules, all in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The rules are suspended. On the adoption, those in favor say Aye. Those opposed Nay. The Ayes have

it. The resolution is adopted. Thank you. On page 7 of your Calendar, motions in writing. Senator Netsch are you ready on 1267? All right, if we might have the attention of the Body. Page 7 of your Calendar, Senate Bill 1267. Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you. The motion is to accept the Governor's recommendations for change. Need I read the entire motion, Mr. President? No, all right. Basically, the bill was intended and designed to allow the County of Cook to divide into something other than the four assessment districts which it is now mandated to have, and it passed, I believe, virtually unanimously in both Houses in that form, certainly by overwhelming margins. The Governor decided to not only to allow us to have up to two assessment districts but to wipe out all restrictions whatsoever with respect to the assessment practices in Cook County, the structural assessment practices, which means that as amended the bill now permits Cook County to have any number of assessment districts rather than...no more than two. And it also, instead of requiring quadrennial assessment, strikes that out so that the law would now read, "The resolution for a reassessment of each district after December 31, 1983 shall in effect allow regular intervals determined by the county board." In other words, a county board can determine whether we will assess and reassess every year, once every two years, once every four years, or whatever. All such restrictions are eliminated with respect to Cook County. I suppose that in the interests of home rule this is a fine idea. I'm sorry, Gentlemen, that all the rest of you can't have the same provisions with respect to your own county, but given the fact that it is this or nothing, I would move that we accept the Governor's recommendations for change.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

SB 1299
Aye. Recommen
of the Gov.

Is there discussion? Discussion? The question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1267 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Netsch. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. (Machine cutoff)...who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 51, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1267, and the bill having received the required majority vote of Senators elected is declared passed. Senate Bill 1299, Senator Totten has the motion. Senator...Totten to explain the motion.

SENATOR TOTTEN:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 1299 is the bill to create the concept of enterprise zones in Illinois. It went through extensive revision in the General Assembly. The Governor has recommended changes to this Body in his amendatory veto. Although I am not personally one hundred percent in agreement with the...with the Governor, he has eliminated two significant tax incentives. There were other changes made in the bill that is good, and I think like the...that are good...and I think like the previous sponsor, it's better to have something than nothing. So, therefore, I will move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1299 in the manner and form as...as outlined in the motion.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? Discussion? Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. I understand Senator Totten's dilemma. I...I happen not to have been for the enterprise zone bill in the first place, so I am somewhat indifferent, I guess, to what happens at this stage, but given the fact that the Legislature was overwhelmingly for the idea, I must say

the Governor has take the heart out of your bill, Senator Totten, and I...I have great sympathy for the position you're in now. But for those who were in favor of it, I suppose that they have absolutely no choice now except to vote Yes on your motion.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Further discussion? The question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1299 in the manner and form just explained by Senator Totten. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 46, the Nays are 1, 1 Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1299, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 1305. Senator Maitland has filed a motion in writing. Senator Maitland is recognized for a motion.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President. I do move that the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1305. The language in Section 7 was a bit confusing, and there were questions that arose after the passage of the bill in the Spring Session. We had a number of municipalities, as you well know, across the State who have implemented various types of legislation with respect to the outline of drug paraphernalia. They wanted to make sure that those regulations that they had in place now would stand. So, in essence, what this language now says is that it simply will not negate rules...legislation ordinances that are imposed in municipalities will not negate any part of the Act that we have created. I think it makes a good bill much better. I think it will address itself to the issue much

better and would ask the Body to support it.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1305 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Maitland. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 51, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1305 having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. 1532, Senator Netsch. Motion filed to accept the specific recommendations for change in Senate Bill 1532, Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. This was virtually a Christmas tree bill of technical revenue changes by the time it left both Houses as a Conference Committee report. The Governor apparently approved most of the provisions thereof but in effect changed the provision that had to do with the...return of assessment books back to where it used to be so that it now provides for April 15th return. We had made it ninety days or April 15th, whichever occurs later. The reason why we had made it that way is that frequently the...officials do not get the books at all until virtually April and then they have no time at all to work with them. I think it was probably a misunderstanding of what we were about, but we do not want to lose the other good provisions that were part of this bill. If I might, Mr. President, I do want to call attention to one thing. On the apportionment opt out provision, which has been put in particularly at the request of Senator Gitz, the...it required that the election, in effect, be made by October. Because of the Governor's action in using his

amendatory veto, that time period has now, of course, passed. At the recommendation of those who were preparing the motion we...therefore, included an additional two lines which moved that October 1 date to December 31, so that those who want to take advantage of the apportionment opt out will still have an opportunity to do so this year. I fully recognize that the Legislature amending the Governor's amendatory veto is something the legality of which has no yet been fully resolved by the courts, and I have some personal doubt whether it can be done. If it cannot, it will still not affect, I believe, the rest of the bill, because the rest of it has fully been accepted and it will be determined by the Governor's certification. Though, with that explanation, I would move adoption of...acceptance of the Governor's changes.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Would the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDENT:

Indicates she'll yield, Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Thank you. In the...the Message of the Governor on page 1, paragraph 1, it says here, "Therefore these are my recommendations," we're at the bottom, "for a change" and this is one, at page 13, line 3, delete quote, "On or before April 15" and insert in lieu thereof, "On or before April 15th." I...I can't quite figure out what it means, it's the same thing and I'm just curious for a clarification.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Well, you have to look at the original language in the bill to...for that to make sense, I concede. What the law

used to say is that the township assessors in counties under three million must return the...the assessment books by April...on or before April 15th. The Legislature had changed that to within ninety days after they first received them or April 15th whichever is later. That is the change we made in Senate Bill 1532. The Governor has recommended that we strike out our language and reinsert the original language which was on or before April 15th. That is the effect of the amendatory veto.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Well, I can...I can agree with you. The only thing I'm saying is, Senator, is that right...on the page 1 of the bottom of his message he says delete quote, "On or before April 15," and then he says insert the same words, "On or before April 15." That's the only reason I question it.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Well, that is a matter of...of how we put our bills together, Senator Geo-Karis, and we, in our bill, had deleted, "On or before April 15th," it was crossed out. He is now, in effect, crossing out the crossing out and restoring the new language so that the effect is it goes back to where it was.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1532 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Netsch. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 47, the Nays are 1,

*SB 1599
Approved
for Chief*

none Voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1532 having received the required constitutional majority of...vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. 1539, Senator Joyce. Motion filed to accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 1539. Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor. Basically, what the Governor has done is he has deleted the sales of vacant land from the sales ratio study. And I so move to accept the...the recommendation.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1539 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Joyce. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 51, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1539 having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. Senator Mahar. Motion in writing to accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 1599. Senator Mahar.

SENATOR MAHAR:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I move to accept the Governor's specific recommendation for change. The initial bill, Senate Bill 1599, ended up to be a Christmas tree type bill. Initially, it made some technical changes in the auto rental use tax at the request of the Comptroller and the Department of Revenue to provide conformity. An amendment was added to the...the auto rental tax to

cover leases up to five years. The Governor amendatorily vetoed the bill returning the time period back to one year, and the reason he did that is he said that there'd be a short-term loss of revenue of up to twenty million dollars. Another provision of the bill provided for...modified the motor fuel tax by increasing the tax imposed upon interstate truckers who use Illinois highways but do not purchase fuel in Illinois. The new proposal will equalize the tax paid by those who do not buy fuel but use the highways, with those who do buy fuel and use the highways. The Governor's amendatory veto on that provision moved the effective date to July 1, 1983 and made some technical changes so that the Department of Revenue would have an easier job of setting up the necessary machinery. Other provisions of the bill provided for the prohibition of local governmental units from levying a tax on stock options or...commodity transactions and allows State Government to share finance information with home rule units of local governments who impose a sales tax. I ask for your support.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1599 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Mahar. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 51, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1599 having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. Senator Totten, we may assume that the motion to override is now withdrawn? With leave of the Body, that will be withdrawn. Motion in writing to override the specific recommendations for change on Senate Bill 1581,

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 1581 broadens the type of group insurance that can be written in Illinois. This was modeled after the National Association of Insurance Commissioners; passed this Body 54 to nothing; the Governor amendatory vetoed it. It's a confusing...amendatory veto. It would appear that the Department of Insurance and the people involved think that we ought to come back maybe next year and...introduce a bill specifically on his amendatory veto. So, I ask for the override of the specific change.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1581, pass the specific recommendations of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 50, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. Senate Bill 1581 having received the required three-fifths vote is declared passed, the specific recommendations of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. All right, there's been a motion filed to accept...if you'll turn to the bottom of page 6 on the Calendar, Senator Schaffer has filed a motion to accept the specific recommendations for change on Senate Bill 1614. Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Mr. President, I think we're working now. I'm handling this on behalf of Senator Bloom who is not with us today. This...

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer, your mike is not properly functioning. Okay.

SENATOR SCHAPFER:

My repairman is working on it now. I'm handling this bill for Senator Bloom in his absence, and this bill amends the Medical Practice Act, and the Governor's amendatory veto clears up some problems in the bill to make it more workable. It provides that the two public members shall not be connected with health care directly or indirectly. Provides for the confidentiality of reports on physicians that are being supervised and treated...those that would have...be watched for alcohol or drug problems, et cetera, and in general, cleans up the Act. I believe the Governor has improved the Act and would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1614 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Schaffer. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 51, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1614 having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. Resolutions.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 678 offered by Senator Nimrod. It's congratulatory.

Senate Resolution 679 offered by Senator Nimrod. It's congratulatory.

And Senate Resolution 680 offered by Senator Rock and it's a death resolution.

PRESIDENT:

Consent Calendar. Senator Bruce, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR BRUCE:

Mr. President, I have filed a motion as relates to House Bill 2501 which is in the...in the Senate committee. That is a bill that we would like to utilize. Senator Weaver on the Floor? Yes, he is. The...the United States Government and the State of Illinois Department of Agriculture have been working for sometime on a compromise position to allow us to exchange land for the continued operation of the Dixon Springs Experimental Station, and 2501, presently under the sponsorship of Senator Savickas, is in a committee. I'd like to discharge the committee from further consideration of that bill and have it placed on the Calendar on the Order of 2nd Reading, put an amendment on today which we will allow then everyone to look at, put it on 3rd reading and hold it there until the 1st of December. There is one other amendment which is coming forward to correct a problem that relates to fara, grain, contracts, and lending institution's problems they've had with the bill we passed last year. If that's not controversial, we'll put that on. I do not think it will. So, I'd like to discharge the committee from further consideration of House Bill 2501 and have it placed on the Order of 2nd Reading.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Bruce has moved to discharge the Executive Committee from further consideration of House Bill 2501 and have the bill placed on the Order of 2nd Reading, read a second time. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 2501, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2501.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senators Bruce and Weaver.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the amendment which effectuates the transfer of land and trade of land, some five tracts...some located...two tracts located in my district, others located in Senator Buzbee and a couple of other districts. We will trade land, a hundred and seventy acres approximately, for the Federal Government to give us five hundred and sixty-five acres and that will allow us to continue the Dixon Springs Experimental Station. I would...Senator Weaver and the University of Illinois and everyone, I think, the Department of Administrative Services' successor, whatever that is, everyone is in agreement and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Bruce has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2501. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:

Yes, Senator Savickas...was the sponsor of that bill, and I would...he has graciously consented to relinquish sponsorship to me and I would like to make the motion that we change sponsorship from Senator Savickas to myself.

PRESIDENT:

All right, with leave of the Body, we'll show Senator Bruce as the sponsor of House Bill 2501. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. So ordered. Any further business to come before the Senate? If not, Senator Grothberg moves the Senate stands adjourned until Friday, November 19th, at the hour of 10:00 a.m. Ten o'clock tomorrow morning and we should be out very rapidly. The Senate stands adjourned.