82nd GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

JUNE 18, 1981

1.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
2.	The hour of nine having arrived and passed the Senate
3.	will come to order. Will the guests in our galleries please
4.	rise. Prayer by Reverend Rudolph Shoultz of Union Baptist
5.	Church, Springfield, Illinois.
6.	REVEREND RUDOLPH SHOULTZ:
7.	(Prayer given by Reverend Rudolph Shoultz)
8.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
9.	Reading of the Journal. Senator Johns.
10.	SENATOR JOHNS:
11.	Thank you, Mr. President. I move that reading and approva
12.	of the Journals of Thursday, June the 4th; Friday, June the 5th
13.	Tuesday, June the 9th; Wednesday, June the 10th; Thursday, June
14.	the 11th; Friday, June the 12th; and Monday, June the 15th;
15.	Tuesday, June the 16th; and Wednesday, June the 17th in the
16.	year of 1981 be postponed pending arrival of the printed
17.	Journals.
18.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
19.	You've heard the motion. Those in favor indicate by saying
20.	Aye. Those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Motion carries.
21.	Committee reports.
22.	SECRETARY:
23.	Senator Buzbee, Chairman of Appropriations II Committee,
24.	reports out the following House Bills:
25.	108, 427, 591, 733, and 774 with the recommendation
26.	Do Pass as Amended.
27.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
28.	Resolutions.
29.	SECRETARY:
30.	Senate Joint Resolution 51, Constitutional Amendment by
31.	Senator Rhoads.
32.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Executive.

Page 2 - June 18, 1981

l.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
2.	The Senate will come to order. We're going to begin
3.	with recalls from 3rd reading. Senator Thomas, you're
4.	going to be the first one on thewe've distributedupon
5.	your desks a list of the sponsors of the bills and amendments.
6.	The first five or ten bill sponsors, if I might have your
7.	attention, will be Senator Thomas, Geo-Karis, Vadalabene,
8.	Nedza, Vadalabene, Hall, Rock and Newhouse. And so, there
9.	have been amendments placed on your bills and if you wish to
10.	have them recalled, we will consider those amendments at this
11.	time. If you have other amendments on bills, we will probably
12.	make up another recall listlater on today and work on it
13.	tomorrow. Senator Chew.
14.	SENATOR CHEW:
15.	Just for information. I had a bill on the Calendar that
16.	was advanced to 3rd reading inadvertently and I did not want
17.	it on 3rd because I was waiting on an amendment. At what
18.	point may I have that brought back to 2nd?
19.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
20.	Alright. The Secretary indicates he's starting a new list
21.	for in the morning and if you will have the amendment down
22.	here or telltell the Secretary.
23.	SENATOR CHEW:
24.	III don't have the amendment ready today, Mr. Presi-
25.	dent. If it's possible I'd like leave to bring it back to 2nd
26.	today, right now if possible. And then it will be over with, Sir
27.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
28.	Well, Senator Chew, that willthe Chair's usuggestion is
29.	that we just leave it on 3rd, first thing in the morning we'll
30.	recall it, put on the amendment and move it all at the same
31.	time.
32.	SENATOR CHEW:

Fine.

Page 3 - June 18, 1981

l. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 2. Alright. 3. SENATOR CHEW: 4 . Thank you. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 5. Very good. Recalls. House Bill 65. Senator Thomas asks 6. leave of the Senate to return the bill to the Order of 2nd 7. reading. Is there leave? The bill is on the Order of 2nd 8. reading. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary, please? 9. SECRETARY: 10. Amendment No. 1, by Senator Thomas. 11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 12. Senator Thomas is recognized on Amendment No. 1. 13. SENATOR THOMAS: 14. Thank you, Mr. President. This is the feticide bill 15. that ... Representative Jack Davis had over in the House. 16. And at his recommendation he asked that...we strike every-17. thing after the enacting clause and replace his language 18. with the language of Senate Bill 192, which was my version 19. of the feticide bill. I checked this over with Senator 20. Rock and Senator Sangmeister, who is the cosponsor with me. 21. They both agreed that this is the way to go and so we ask 22. that...we have approval on Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 65. 23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 24. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 65. 25. On the motion to adopt, is there discussion? All in favor 26. say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 27. is adopted. Are there further amendments? 28. SECRETARY: 29. No further amendments. 30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 31. 3rd reading. House Bill 77. Senator Geo-Karis asks 32.

leave of the Senate to return House Bill 77 to the Order of

Alpha alled

31.

32.

33.

Page 4 - June 18, 1981

2nd reading. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Are there

2.	amendments, Mr. Secretary, please?
3.	SECRETARY:
4.	Amendment No. 2, by Senator Geo-Karis.
5.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
6.	Senator Geo-Karis.
7.	SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
8.	Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate,
9.	Amendment No. 2 wasis necessary because there was the
10.	an error in referring to a section in it and increases the
11.	the dates offrom fourteen days to twenty-eight days and
12.	I move for the passage of this amendment.
13.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
14.	The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Discussion of
15.	the amendment? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes
16.	have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?
17.	SECRETARY:
18.	No further amendments.
19.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
20.	3rd reading. House Bill 93. Senator Geo-Karis asks leave
21.	of the Senate to return House Bill 93 to the Order of 2nd reading.
22.	Is there leave? Leave is granted. Are there amendments, Mr.
23.	Secretary, please?
24.	SECRETARY:
25.	Amendment No. 3, offered by Senator Geo-Karis.
26.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
27.	Senator Geo-Karis.
28.	SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
29.	Mr. President, I wonder if we can hold this, because there's
30.	another amendment that takes care of that and it hasn't come up

yet from the Reference Bureau. I wonder if I can take it out of

the record right now. I'll put back on 2nd then.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Page 5 - June 18, 1981

l. Oh, that's alright. It's ready on 2nd. Are there amend-2. ments, Mr. Secretary? 3. SECRETARY: No...no amendments. 4 . PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 5. 3rd reading. Senator Geo-Karis, you will have to alert 6. the Secretary now that...when your amendment comes back up 7. so we will get back to it, 'cause it's off of this list. 8. We'll have to put it on the next list. House Bill 137. 9. Senator Vadalabene asks leave of the Senate to return House 10. Bill 137 to the Order of 2nd reading for the purpose of an 11. amendment. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Senator... 12. are there amendments, Mr. Secretary, please? 13. SECRETARY: 14. Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Vadalabene. 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 16. Senator Vadalabene on Amendment No. 2. To 137, Senator. 17. SENATOR VADALABENE: 18. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. 19. The...Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 137...merely is a changing 20. in the date by deleting 1983 and inserting in lieu thereof 21. 1985 and I move for its adoption. 22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 23. The motion is...the motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. 24. Discussion of the motion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. 25. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amend-26. ments? 27. SECRETARY: 28. Amendment No. 3,...I have one with your name on it. Don't 29. want it? No further amendments. 30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 31. Senator Vadalabene withdraws the last amendment. 32. reading. House Bill 209, Senator Davidson. Senator Davidson ,

Page 6 - June 18, 1981

ı. asks...Senator Nedza on 209. Do you wish to recall that? 2. Senator... Nedza asks leave of the Senate to return House Bill 3. 209 to the Order of 2nd reading for the purpose of an amend-4. ment. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Are there amend-5. ments, Mr. Secretary, please? 6. SECRETARY: Amendment No. 8, by Senator Davidson. 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 8. Senator Davidson. 9. SENATOR DAVIDSON: 10. Mr. Chairman... I mean, Mr. President and members of the 11. committee, this amendment puts what was Senate Bill 823, which 12. got lost in the shuffle when we adjourned back...on bills on 13. 3rd reading. When we put together the bonding...power for all 14. those governmental bodies on the personal property being re-15. duced, the Airport Authority governmental units were over-16. looked. And all this does is put the Airport Authority 17. in the same status as all the others...the park districts, 18. school districts and all the others. Move the adoption of 19. the amendment. 20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 21. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 8. Discussion of 22. the motion? Senator Nedza. 23. SENATOR NEDZA: 24. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 25. Senate. Senator Davidson and I had discussed this at some 26. length and, as a matter of fact, I was the hyphenated cosponsor 27. of the bill so I have no objection to the amendment. 28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 29. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? Senator Totten. 30. Well,...okay, Gentlemen, we're catching up. Senator Totten. 31.

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor of the amendment

SENATOR TOTTEN:

32.

Page 7 - June 18, 1981

1.	yield for a question?
2.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
3.	Indicates he will yield. Senator Davidson is the sponsor.
4.	Senator Totten.
5.	SENATOR TOTTEN:
6.	Senator Davidson, does this amendment, in effect, increase
7.	the amount of bonded indebtedness that can be incurred without
8.	a referendum?
9.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
10.	Senator Davidson.
11.	SENATOR DAVIDSON:
12.	II guess it's a yes and no answer. Yes, the fact that
13.	the personal property tax which used to be part of the amount
14.	of monies that was figured into what bonded indebtedness
15.	could be sold for and that was removed when the personal
16.	property tax was removed from the assessed valuationthe
17.	aggregate valuation for those districts. And last year we
18.	changed and made that change for all the other taxing bodies
19.	so they could have that aggregate valuation on the bonding,
20.	except airport authorities. They were left out. So,this
21.	would, I guess, in essence say that the other part of your
22.	questionno, we're just trying to get thisairport authorities
23.	the same authority all the other taxing bodies have, as for
24.	the aggregate valuation, to be able to sell the bonds.
25.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
26.	FurtherSenator Totten.
27.	SENATOR TOTTEN:
28.	A further question, Mr. President. Was Senate Bill823,
29.	which is apparently what you want to amend on here,got on
30.	3rd reading here and did not pass. It was put on Consideration
31.	Postponed. Is that correct?
	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32.

33.

Senator Davidson.

```
ı.
        SENATOR DAVIDSON:
 2.
             It was on correction...on Consideration Postponed because
  3.
        of a verification. Some individuals...were absent and...it
 4.
        had to do with...with a flap that had nothing to do with the
 5.
        benefit of the bill. Senator Nedza, who is involved in aviation ...
        went to the individual who had...had the verification saying,
 6.
        hey, we got to do this and had nothing to do with the germane-
 7.
        ness or the fitness or the goodness of the bill. It had ...
 8.
        something to do with an entirely different issue.
 9.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
10.
             Senator Totten.
11.
        SENATOR TOTTEN:
12.
             A question of the Chair, Mr. President. Is this amend-
13.
        ment germane?
 14.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
 15.
             Senator Rhoads, do you have any other questions you
16.
        might like to ask while the Chair is examining the amendment?
17.
        Senator Rhoads.
 18.
        SENATOR RHOADS:
. 19.
             No,...while you're examining the amendment,...Senator
 20.
        Davidson has the...it... I was sort of taken by surprise.
 21.
        It is an election bill, it came through our committee and this
 22.
        is the first time we've seen the amendment. Has it been...
 23.
        distributed and...and could you give us just one more capsule
24.
        explanation of exactly what the amendment does?
 25.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
 26.
             Senator Davidson.
 27.
        SENATOR DAVIDSON:
 28.
             Yes, I'm sorry, Senator Rhoads, I did not know you were a
 29.
        hyphenated cosponsor of the bill. I spoke to Senator Nedza.
 30.
        This dealt with Chapter 15% and so did Senate Bill 823. It
 31.
```

was the only bill that was around that...that I was aware of

that dealt with Chapter 15% and, therefore, they said it

32.

Page 9 - June 18, 1981

```
ı.
       was germane. And what it does is last year we passed a bill
2.
       where all the other taxing bodies would be able to include
3.
       the personal property tax evaluation, which they no longer
4.
       have, into the aggregate evaluation for their bonding ability.
5.
       And airport authorities was left out by an oversight. This
6.
       is only to correct the oversight of the airport authority
       districts being left out. I don't know how many there are in
7.
       the State. I happen to have one of them here and it's a
8.
       very crucial thing because they were in the middle of a
9.
       building program out here and suddenly they cannot sell
10.
       sufficient number of bonds because their...aggregate valuation
11.
       was reduced by the personal...property tax to finish the con-
12.
       struction.
13.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
14.
            Senator Rhoads.
15.
       SENATOR RHOADS:
16.
            Well,...it...Senator Davidson, if you say it's the only
17.
       vehicle to...to do this, I...I can see why you offered it.
18.
       Unfortunately, this...we've had so much controversy in that
19.
       committee and this, up until the present time, had been a
20.
       rather...noncontroversial bill. Apparently it amends a
21.
       different chapter of the Statutes from...from the main thrust
22.
       of House Bill 209, which was Chapter 46 of the Election Code.
23.
       I just wonder if the sponsor of the amendment and the sponsor
24.
       of the bill could take it out of the record just temporarily
25.
       so that we could verify that, in fact, there are no other
26.
       vehicles, because I'm...my concern is that this will make...
27.
       an otherwise uncontroversial bill might introduce a...an
28.
       element of controversy to it.
29.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
30.
            Further discussion? Senator Nedza, take it...take...take
31.
```

it out of the record. Is there leave? Leave is granted.

House Bill 284, Senator Vadalabene. Senator Vadalabene asks

32.

Page 10 - June 18, 1981

١. leave of the Senate to return House Bill 284 to the Order of 2. 2nd reading for the purpose of an amendment. Is there leave? 3. Leave is granted. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary, please? 4. Senator Vadalabene, we're going to read the amendment here in 5. just a second. 6. SECRETARY: Amendment No. 2, by Senator Vadalabene. 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) Я. Senator Vadalabene. 9. SENATOR VADALABENE: 10. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I want at this time to ... 11. Table Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 284, because it contained 12. technical errors and needs to be Tabled. 13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 14. The motion is to reconsider the vote by which Amendment 15. No. 1 was adopted. On the motion to reconsider, all in favor 16. say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The vote is re-17. considered. Now Senator Vadalabene moves to Table Amendment 18. No. 1. On the motion to Table, is there discussion? All in 19. favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 20. · l is...is Tabled. Further amendments, Mr. Secretary? 21. SECRETARY: 22. Amendment No. 2, by Senator Vadalabene. 23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 24. Senator Vadalabene. 25. SENATOR VADALABENE: 26. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 now 27. corrects the technical errors that were...was in Amendment 28. No. 1 and I move for its adoption. 29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 30. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Is there dis-31. cussion of the motion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. 32. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amend-33.

34.

ments?

33.

SECRETARY:

Page 11 - June 18, 1981

SECRETARY:
No further amendments.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
3rd reading. 333, Senator Hall. Is Senator Hall on the
Floor? Senator Hall, whatwhat do we do? Alright. Do you
wish to recall it,Senator Hall.
SENATOR HALL:
Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen I mean, Mr. President
and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I'm waiting till
Senator Donnewald returns. He wanted to be on the Floor.
And could we pass that and come back to it?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
Is there leave? Leave is granted. 366, Senator Rock.
Senator Rock asks leave of the Senate to return 366 to the
Order of 2nd reading for the purpose of an amendment. Is
there leave? Leave is granted. Are there amendments, Mr.
Secretary, please?
SECRETARY:
Amendment No. 3, offered by Senator Berman.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
Senator Berman.
SENATOR BERMAN:
This is the amendment that just, I think, changes one
word from psychological tofrom psychiatric to psychological.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
Adds the word, I think.
SENATOR BERMAN:
Adds the word. Move the adoption of Amendment No. 3.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 3. Is there discussion
of the motion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes
have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

Page 12 - June 18, 1981

No further amendments.

2.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
3.	3rd reading. 377, Senator Newhouse. Senator Newhouse
4.	asks leave of the Senate to return 377 to the Order of 2nd
5.	reading for the purpose of an amendment. Is there leave?
6.	Leave is granted. The bill is on 2nd reading. Are there
7.	amendments, Mr. Secretary, please?
8.	SECRETARY:
9.	Amendment No. 3, by Senator Newhouse.
10.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
11.	Senator Newhouse is recognized.
12.	SENATOR NEWHOUSE:
13.	This isthis is purely a technical change, Mr. President.
14.	When Senate Bill4ll was read in Higher Education, its
15.	supporter stated this was vehicle bill. The Chairperson
16.	suggested that the sponsor of 411this change could be made
17.	in the Community College Act. It's a cleanup proposal and
18.	we incorporate the technical change inin411 in 377.
19.	I move its adoption.
20.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
21.	The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 3. On the motion
22.	to adopt, discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay.
23.	The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amend-
24.	ments?
25.	SECRETARY:
26.	Amendment No. 4, by Senator Newhouse.
27.	SENATOR NEWHOUSE:
28.	Amendment No. 4 deletes an extraneous word. It's also
29.	atechnical and I move its adoption.
30.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
31.	The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye.
32.	Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is adopted.
	Further amendments?

Page 13 - June 18, 1981

1.	SECRETARY:
2.	Amendment No. 5, by Senator Newhouse.
3.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
4.	Senator Newhouse.
5.	SENATOR NEWHOUSE:
6.	There was some language in this bill which was erroneous
7.	and not deleted when the bill wasdrafted. This is a
8.	technical change. I move its adoption.
9.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
10.	The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say
11.	Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 5 is
12.	adopted. Further amendments?
13.	SECRETARY:
14.	Amendment No. 6, by Senator Bruce.
15.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
16.	Senator Newhouse asks leave to handle the amendment.
17.	It's the one on interest rates. Senator Newhouse.
18.	SENATOR NEWHOUSE:
19.	This amendment clarifies Chapter 74 in interest by
20.	clarifying that the reference to college in Section 82
21.	dealing with issuance of public corporation bonds it
22.	specifically means public community colleges,not higher
23.	education institutions and I move its adoption.
24.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
25.	The motion is to adopt. Discussion of the motion? All
26.	in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment
27.	No. 6 is adopted. Further amendments?
28.	SECRETARY:
29.	No further amendments.
30.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
31.	3rd reading. House Bill 4ll, Senator Bloom. Is Senator
2.2	Bloom on the Floor? 411. Do you wish to recall it? Alright.

455, Senator Ozinga. I wonder if we could break up

Page 14 - June 18, 1981

ı. the conference in front of Senator Ozinga's desk so that he might recall House Bill 455? Is there leave? Leave is granted. 2. The bill is on the Order of 2nd reading. Are there amendments, 3. Mr. Secretary, please? 4. SECRETARY: 5. Amendment No. 2, by Senator Berman. 6. (SENATOR BRUCE) PRESIDING OFFICER: 7. Senator...Senator Berman. 8. SENATOR BERMAN: 9. Do you have a third amendment by...Ozinga? 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 11. Yeah. Which one should we adopt first? 12. SENATOR BERMAN: 13. I'll Table...or withdraw Amendment...2. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 15. Senator Berman withdraws his amendment. Are there further 16. amendments, Mr. Secretary? 17. SECRETARY: 18. Amendment No. 2, by Senator Ozinga. 19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 20. Senator Ozinga. May we have some order please? If we 21. can have just a little order, we'll get these amendments 22. adopted in a more speedy fashion. Senator Ozinga on Amend-23. ment No. 2. 24. SENATOR OZINGA: 25. This...Mr. Chairman, this amendment is an amendment that 26. was requested in the committee and that was the basis of 27. Senator Berman's first amendment, which this second amendment 28. now takes care of that situation making sure that the defendant 29. in the foreclosure gets adequate notice of the proceedings and . 30. his right to redeem. I move its adoption. 31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 32.

The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Discussion of

Page 15 - June 18, 1981

ı. the motion. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes 2. have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments? 3. SECRETARY: 4. No further amendments. 5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 6. 3rd reading. 754, Senator Ozinga. Senator Ozinga asks 7. leave of the Senate to return...is Senator Netsch on the Floor? 8. 754. ...asks leave to return the bill to the Order of 2nd reading. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Amendments, Mr. 9. 10. Secretary? 11. SECRETARY: Amendment No. 1, by Senator Netsch. 12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 13. Is Senator Netsch on the Floor? Senator Ozinga, do you 14. know about the amendment that we're...Senator Ozinga. 15. SENATOR OZINGA: 16. The amendment was one that she had offered in a previous 17. bill, that...it dealt with the same subject matter. I don't have 18. any idea. This was to eliminate that commission, I believe. 19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 20. Alright. 21. SENATOR OZINGA: 22. I'm...I'm willing to accept the amendment as far as it goes. 23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 24. Well, I think, just glancing at it, it may be contro-25. versial. Is there leave to return to this ... 26. SENATOR OZINGA: 27. Okay. 28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 29. ...when...I don't want to hurt your bill, Senator. You 30. may want to take...okay. Is there leave to return to this 31. when Senator Netsch gets on the Floor? Leave is granted. 32.

785, Senator Lemke. Do you wish to recall it, Senator?

Page 16 - June 18, 1981

1.	Senator Lemke, on 785 a technical change.
2.	SENATOR LEMKE:
3.	No, that's that
4.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
5.	Senator Lemke.
6.	SENATOR LEMKE:
7.	785?
8.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
9.	Yes.
10.	SENATOR LEMKE:
11.	Is itthat's theenrolling and engrossingokay.
12.	SECRETARY:
13.	Striking twenty percent andinserting five
14.	SENATOR LEMKE:
15.	Well, that'sSenator Mahar's amendment.
16.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
17.	Okay, now wait a minute
18.	SENATOR LEMKE:
19.	striking twenty percent to five percent. That was
20.	agreed on in committee.
21.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
22.	Alright. Senator Lemke asks leave of the Senate to return
23.	785 to the Order of 2nd reading. Is there leave? Leave is
24.	granted. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary?
25.	SECRETARY:
26.	Amendment No. 2, by Senator Lemke.
27.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
28.	Senator Lemke to explain the amendment just briefly.
29.	SENATOR LEMKE:
30.	What thisdoes isin the committee we cut the twenty
31.	percent requirement down to a five percent requirement, which was suggested by Senator Mahar and I think it's a good amend-
32.	ment andthe committee did too and that'sthe motion made
33. 34.	to adopt.

\$87Har

32.

33.

Page 17 - June 18, 1981

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 2. The motion is to adopt. Discussion of the motion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment 3. 4. No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments? SECRETARY: 5. No further amendments. 6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 7. 3rd reading. Senator Netsch. Senator Netsch, you have 8. an amendment to Senator Ozinga's bill we'd like to get on 9. if we can. 754...metropolitan area transit district...some-10. thing. Are you ready on that, Senator? Alright. We'll... 11. we'll get back to it. 808, Senator Johns. Is Senator Johns 12. on the Floor? 874, Senator Berman. Alright. Senator Berman 13. asks leave of the Senate to return 874 to the Order of 2nd 14. reading. Is there leave? Leave is granted. The bill is 15. on the Order of 2nd reading. Senator Berman is recognized 16. for a motion. 17. SENATOR BERMAN: 18. Yesterday,...Mr. President, when this bill was called 19. on 2nd reading,...I had moved to Table Committee Amendment 20. No. 1. That was in error. The committee amendment made... 21. changes...changing the word of superintendent of...public 22. instruction to the State Board of Education and that should 23. have been adopted. It was a technical change. So, at this 24. point, I would move to...having voted on the prevailing side, 25. I would move to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No.... 26. Committee Amendment No. 1 was Tabled. 27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 28. The motion is to reconsider the vote by which Amendment 29. No. 1 was adopted. Discussion of the motion to reconsider? 30. All... 31. SENATOR BERMAN:

By which it was Tabled...to reconsider the vote by which

HB10H3

33.

Page 18 - June 18, 1981

1.	Amendment No. 1 was Tabled.
2.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
3.	The motion is to reconsider the vote by which Amendment
4.	No. 1 was Tabled. On the motion to reconsider, all in favor
5.	say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The motion to Table
6 [.] .	is reconsidered and is before the Body. Senator Berman.
7.	SENATOR BERMAN:
8.	I now move to adopt Committee Amendment No. 1, which was
9.	a technical change only.
10.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
11.	Senator Berman,was it your motion to Table?
12.	SENATOR BERMAN:
13.	Yes, yesterday it was.
14.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
15.	Alright. Would you just withdraw your motion to Table,
16.	'cause that's before the Body right now.
17.	SENATOR BERMAN:
18.	Okay. I withdraw the motion to Table.
19.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
20.	Alright.
21.	SENATOR BERMAN:
22.	Now, I would move to adopt Committee Amendment No. 1.
23.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
24.	The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion
25.	All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment
26.	No. 1 is adopted. Are there further amendments? Further
27.	amendments, Mr. Secretary?
28.	SECRETARY:
29.	No further amendments.
30.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
31.	3rd reading. 1045, Senator Nega. Is Senator Nega on
32.	the Floor? Do you wish toSenator Nega asks leave of the

Senate to return that bill to the Order of 2nd reading for the

Page 19 - June 18, 1981

l. purpose of an amendment. Is there leave? Leave is granted. 2. 1045, Senator Gitz, I believe. Is there an amendment, Mr. 3. Secretary? 4. SECRETARY: 5. Amendment No. 2, by Senator Gitz. 6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) Senator Gitz is recognized. 7. SENATOR GITZ: 8. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. There 9. is a technical error in Amendment No. 1 and this would put 10. the bill in proper form. There are no changes in the substance 11. from Amendment No. 1. 12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 13. Alright. Senator...Senator Nega. 14. SENATOR NEGA: 15. That should be Tabled and...delete the wrong...it deleted 16. the wrong lines. This is the correct amendment. 17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 18. Alright. The motion is to reconsider the vote by which 19. Amendment No. 1 was adopted. On the motion to reconsider, 20. all in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amend-21. ment No. 1 is reconsidered. Senator Nega now moves to Table 22. Amendment No. 1. On the motion to Table, all in favor say 23. Aye. The Ayes have it. No,...those opposed Nay. The Ayes 24. have it. Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Senator Gitz now moves 25. the adoption of Amendment No. 2. On the motion to adopt, all 26. in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment 27. ... Senator Berning on the motion to adopt Amendment No. 2. 28. SENATOR BERNING: 29. A...a question of the sponsor then. I did not hear your 30. explanation of Amendment No. 2. I thought you said something 31. about technical, but does it also then reimpose the conditions 32.

of Amendment No. 1?

Page 20 - June 18, 1981

1.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
2.	Senator Gitz.
3.	SENATOR GITZ:
4.	I'm sorry, Senator Berning, yes. What happened is, is
5.	that Amendment No. 1 was drafted to the bill as it came over
6.	tothe Senateto the House version and so actually the
7.	last line of the original bill istechnically on this amend-
8.	ment which puts it in a flawed condition. So, we simply
9.	took off Amendment No. 1 and are now putting the same amend-
10.	ment on intechnically proper form. And there is no change
11.	in the substance. It is the same amendment.
12.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
13.	The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say
14.	Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is
15.	adopted. Further amendments? Further amendments?
16.	SECRETARY:
17.	No further amendments.
18.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
19.	3rd reading. House Bill 1127, SenatorSenator D'Arco.
20.	Do you wish to recall that, Senator? 1127. Senator Schaffer
21.	has an amendment he wishesalright. Senator D'Arco asks
22.	leave of the Senate to return 1127 to the Order of 2nd reading
23.	for the purpose of an amendment. Is there leave? Leave is
24.	granted. The bill is on the Order of 2nd reading. Are there
25.	amendments, Mr. Secretary, please?
26.	SECRETARY:
27.	Amendment No. 1, by Senator Schaffer.
28.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
29.	Senator Schaffer.
30.	SENATOR SCHAFFER:
31.	Mr. President and members of the Senate, this amendment
32.	to this billcreates theSuburban Problems Task Force,

a task force comprised of fourteen members to address itself

```
ı.
       to suburban problems.
2.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
            The motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed
3.
       Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further
4.
       amendments?
5.
       SECRETARY:
6.
            No further amendments.
7.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
 8.
            3rd reading. 1354, Senator Demuzio. Senator Demuzio
9.
       asks leave of the Senate to return 1354 to the Order of 2nd
10.
       reading. Is there leave? Leave is granted. The bill is on
11.
       2nd reading. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary, please?
12.
       SECRETARY:
13.
            Amendment No. 1, by Senator...Demuzio.
14.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
15.
            Senator Demuzio.
16.
       SENATOR DEMUZIO:
17.
            Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
18.
       Senate. The...House Bill 1354...Amendment No. 1 is simply
19.
       clarifying language. It clarifies the relationship between
20.
       the Pollution Control Board and the ... and the agency of the
21.
       EPA. The amendment has been cleared on both sides of the
22.
       aisle with...Senator Maitland and it's...it's a technical
23.
       amendment and I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1.
24.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
25.
            The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Discussion of
26.
       the motion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes
27.
       have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?
28.
       SECRETARY:
29.
            No further amendments.
30.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
31.
            3rd reading. Is Senator Carroll on the Floor? Senator
32.
       Vadalabene on 1439. Senator Vadalabene asks leave of the
```

Mp 1618

Page 22 - June 18, 1981

l. Senate to return House Bill 1439 to the Order of 2nd reading 2. for the purpose of Tabling an amendment. Is there leave? 3. Leave is granted. Senator Vadalabene is recognized. 4. SENATOR VADALABENE: 5. Yes, in regard to Tabling Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1439,...David A. Thompson, the Assistant Legal Advisor to the 6. Illinois State Board of Education,...states that the...the 7. amendment that was adopted in committee...was not needed and, 8. therefore, I would like to Table that amendment. 9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 10. The motion is to reconsider the vote by which Amendment 11. No. 1 was adopted. On the motion to reconsider, discussion? 12. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The 13. bill is reconsidered. Senator Vadalabene now moves to Table 14. Amendment No. 1. On the motion to Table, all in favor say 15. Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is 16. Tabled. Further amendments? 17. SECRETARY: 18. No further amendments. 19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 20. 3rd reading. 1474, Senator McLendon. Is Senator 21. McLendon on the Floor? Alright. 1678, Senator Demuzio. 22. Senator Demuzio asks leave of the Senate to return House Bill 23. 1678 to the Order of 2nd reading for the purpose of an amend-24. ment. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Are there amendments, 25. Mr. Secretary, please? 26. SECRETARY: 27. Amendment No. 1, by Senator Bruce. 28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 29. Senator Demuzio will handle the amendment. This is the 30. one that deals with ... 31. SENATOR DEMUZIO: 32.

Oh, yes...

Magadled peoples

Page 23 - June 18, 1981

l. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 2. ... one year election procedures. Senator Demuzio. SENATOR DEMUZIO: 3. 4. ...yes, I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1. On the election...the procedures, I...I...understand that it 5. established the term of office, but it didn't establish the 6. procedure. It was discussed in committee and I move for the 7. adoption. 8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 9. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. All in favor 10. say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 11. is adopted. Further amendments? 12. SECRETARY: 13. No further amendments. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 15. 3rd reading. Alright. Senator Geo-Karis, we have re-16. ceived your amendments, I'm told, on 93. Senator Bloom has 17. an amendment too. Senator Bloom, your amendment on 93 is also 18. ready. Is there leave to return to 93? Leave is granted. 19. Senator Geo-Karis just brought to my attention, as she pulled 20. up her microphone she pulled it off the stand. And we 21. will remind you again that the little black handle below the 22. speaker is...is useful for raising the microphone. There is 23. a plastic fitting...and every time you pull up on the micro-24. phone, you break the microphones. If you will use the black 25. grip, we won't have the electrician, at his outrageous fee, 26. ... repairing our microphones. Senator Geo-Karis asks leave 27. of the Senate to return House Bill 93 to the Order of 2nd 28. reading. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Amendments, Mr. 29. Secretary, please. 30. SENATOR GEO-KARIS: 31.

Mr. President ...

SECRETARY:

32.

Page 24 - June 18, 1981

Amendment No. 3, by Senator Geo-Karis.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
Senator Geo-Karis.
SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
I hada small amendment in there, No. 3, before this
new amendment and I'd like to withdraw that one, if I may.
So, this new amendment should be about ten pages. And that's
the one thatI'll withdraw my 3 and Senator Bloom has an
amendment.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
Alright. The amendments are by Senator Bloom. Senator
Bloom.
SENATOR BLOOM:
Thank you, Mr. SenatorMr. President and fellow Senators
Amendment No. 3 is, in essence, Senate Bill 499. Senator Geo-
Karis hasgraciously consented to this becauseSenate Bill
499 has beendrastically curtailed in the House. I'd move
its adoption and askany questions.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 3. Is there dis-
cussion of the motion to adopt? All in favor say Aye. Opposed
Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further
amendments?
(The following typed previously)
•
•

32. 33. Lapando Car

Page 25 - June 18, 1981

1.	SECRETARY:
2.	No further amendments.
3.	SENATOR BLOOM:
4.	Yes, and I'd askthat it show Geo-Karis - Bloom.
5.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
6.	Bloom-Geo-Karis.
7.	SENATOR BLOOM:
8.	Okay. Thank you. Dash Totten.
9.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
10.	Is there leave? Leave is granted. Are there further amend-
11.	ments? 3rd reading.
12.	SECRETARY:
13.	No further amendments.
14.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
15.	Senator Davidson, have you resolvedSenator Nedza. Senator
16.	Nedza are you ready on 209 now? All right. Senator Nedza asks
17.	leave of the Senate to return 209 to the Order of 2nd reading for
18.	the purpose of amendment. Is there leave? Leave is granted.
19.	The bill is on the Order of 2nd reading. Are there amendments,
20.	Mr. Secretary, please?
21.	SECRETARY:
22.	Amendment No. 8 by Senators Lemke and Taylor.
23.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
24.	Senator Lemke on Amendment No. 8.
25.	SENATOR LEMKE:
26.	What this billthis is athe one we talked about, Senator
27.	Rhoads and Senator Grotberg's bill, 1475. What this does, is
28.	revise the filing of verificationprocedures for State-wide
29.	advisory questions and Constitution initiative petitions. Compiles
30.	all present laws, andand so forth on that matter. I think it's
31.	a good bill. I think it helps the Election Board to get around
32.	some of the messes that were caused with the last initiative.
	And I ask for its adoption.

ı. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 2. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 8. Discussion of 3. the motion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes 4. have it. Amendment No. 8 is adopted. Are there further 5. committee amendments...further amendments? 6. SECRETARY: Amendment No. 9, by Senator Bloom. 7. 8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) Senator Bloom is recognized on Amendment No. 9. 9. SENATOR BLOOM: 10. Thank you, very much,...Mr. President. This...comes... 11. from...some people locally and also from the county clerk. 12. Essentially, it changes the term election jurisdiction to 13. county, thereby, permitting signatures on a single petition 14. sheet to be from the county rather than the "election juris-15. diction." We have an unusual situation in Peoria County in 16. that we have a city election commission and then the county 17. clerk. It is confusing. I'm sure that there are other ... 18. areas in the State with...the cities have the election com-19. mission. It also deletes requirement of...filing...the original 20. petition with a second xerox copy of all the sheets with the 21. appropriate election authority. That...that does no... 22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 23. May we have some order please? 24. SENATOR BLOOM: 25. That...that really...that really serves no purpose other 26. than busy work, because...the State Board of Elections has a 27. very good sampling operation and they can then pull their 28. samples and send it to the appropriate...election authority. 29. And it reduces the signatures required...from ten percent to 30. eight percent,...the total vote cast for Governor in the last 31. election. It makes the signature requirements for advisory 32. questions...identical...with a binding referendum. It seems 33.

Page 27 - June 18, 1981

```
1.
       to me that it's foolish to have a higher signature requirement
 2.
       for advisory than for...binding. And finally,...the effective
 3.
       date...requires a petition signer to print his name and the
4.
       date they signed below the signature on the petition, but the
 5.
       effective date is...for December 1st, 1982 so it wouldn't
       affect anything going on now. I'd answer any questions or
 6.
7.
       otherwise move its adoption.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
 8.
            Is there discussion? Senator Rock.
 9.
       SENATOR ROCK:
10.
            What...what is the origin, may I ask,...if the sponsor
11.
       will yield, Mr. President...the origin of this amendment?
12.
       Is this from the Coalition for Political Honesty?
13.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
14.
            Senator Bloom.
15.
       SENATOR BLOOM:
16.
            This is from...Jane Braten in Peoria.
17.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
18.
            Senator Rock.
19.
       SENATOR ROCK:
20.
             Is she a member of the Coalition for Political Honesty?
21.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
22.
            Senator Bloom.
23.
       SENATOR BLOOM:
24.
            Yep.
25.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
26.
            Senator Rock.
27.
       SENATOR ROCK:
28.
             Your honesty is refreshing. I rise in opposition to Amend-
29.
       ment No. 9. I think if anyone would take the trouble to read
30.
        this, you will see that what this group is attempting to do is
31.
       make their life easier and ours more miserable and I think
```

this amendment ought to be murdered.

32.

ı. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 2. Senator Nedza. SENATOR NEDZA: 3. 4. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I also rise in opposition because this does a little 5. more than...what Senator Bloom has...mentioned that it does. 6. It does not only affect the smaller counties in the State, 7. but it also affects the...County of Cook,...DuPage and what 8. have you. It...creates a serious problem on the signature 9. verification procedure, it also costs the taxpayers of the 10. State of Illinois ninety thousand dollars because of the 11. fact that the cost of verification of the signatures which 12. are...the petitions if they're signed, according to any 13. county boundary, the county clerk would not have access 14. to registration records of those voters residing in the city of 15. the board of elections within such county. We're talking 16. about the...of tightening up the election procedures, this 17. is doing just the opposite at a cost to the taxpayers and 18. I...I move that the amendment be defeated. 19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 20. Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Bloom 21. may close. 22. SENATOR BLOOM: 23. Well, in response to the...prior two...prior two speakers, 24. I...I can only say this,... the State Board of Elections has 25. adopted a very extensive...rule making and authentication pro-26. cedure. And...it seems...it seems, as the present law is 27. written, that it does create a problem...with...the...the 28. county clerks. And the statement that it would cost the ... 29. taxpayers of the State ninety thousand dollars...think about 30. it in the...in the context of the cost benefits analysis. 31. would suggest that...a...initiative...initiatives of looking

beyond the labels of the present players are a healthy thing

32.

Page 29 - June 18, 1981

ı. in our system of government. And notwithstanding our own 2. personal views, which by the way, I share with many members, 3. about certain of the players, I think that we...ought to 4. be encouraging citizens to participate in the process rather 5. than discouraging them. For that reason, I'd move adoption of the amendment. 6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 7. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 9 to House Bill 8. 209. There's been a request for a roll call. Those in favor 9. will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is 10. open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 11. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 20, the Nays 12. are 26, none Voting Present. Amendment No. 9 to 209 is lost. 13. Further amendments? 14. SECRETARY: 15. Amendment No. 10, by Senator Davidson. 16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 17. Senator Davidson. 18. SENATOR DAVIDSON: 19. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, we explained 20. the amendment awhile ago. I'll be glad to briefly say what 21. we're doing here is correcting the oversight that was done when 22. we amended so that the aggregate valuation for selling of bonds 23. to different taxing districts with the personal property tax 24. being removed. Airport authorities were overlooked in all the 25. other...that we put together. And all this does is correct 26. that...mistake. I urge the adoption of the amendment. 27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 28. Discussion of the motion? Senator Totten. 29. SENATOR TOTTEN: 30. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 31. Senate. This amendment is as bad as it was ten minutes ago. 32.

It is a tax increase without a referendum. There...there is

Page 30 - June 18, 1981

ı. now a lid on this indebtedness. If we pass this bill...pass 2. this amendment,...there will be...a lid that is provided without 3. a vote of the people, it is a tax increase without a referendum, 4. and I would recommend a No vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 5. Further discussion? Further discussion? The question 6. on the motion to adopt Amendment No. 10, those in favor say 7. Ave. Opposed Nay. A roll call has been requested. Those in 8. favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting 9. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 10. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 30, the Nays 11. are 21, none Voting Present. The motion to adopt Amendment No. 12. 10 prevails. Further amendments? 13. SECRETARY: 14. Amendment No. 11, by Senator Netsch. 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 16. Senator Netsch on Amendment No. 11. 17. SENATOR NETSCH: 18. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment, as I under-19. stand it, incorporates two provisions of House Bill 1668, 20. which had passed the House and...did not survive the committee 21. process over here. The two provisions are: one,...with re-22. spect to absentee voting from nursing homes, it provides that-23. there shall be an even number of ... election judges ... no fewer 24. than two who conduct the procedure in the nursing home. This 25. is kind of a cleaning up of the procedure that had been previously 26. adopted and secondly, a provision that was requested by one 27. of the downstate House members that relates to special emergency 28. referenda...involves the clustering process and, in effect, 29. allows a smaller...a fewer number of judges to man the polls when 30. there are clustered precincts. Obviously, it saves a good 31. deal of money in the case of downstate emergency referenda.

I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 11 to House Bill 209.

32.

Page 31 - June 18, 1981

1.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
2.	The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 11. Discussion?
3.	Senator Rhoads.
4.	SENATOR RHOADS:
5.	A question of the sponsor.
6.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
7.	Indicates she will yield. Senator Rhoads.
8.	SENATOR RHOADS:
9.	Senator Netsch, I have no objection to the nursing home
10.	portion of the bill you're talking about. Now, the second
11.	part of your explanation, I don't remember that in committee
12.	dealing with clustering of precincts. Are you speaking only
13.	as it relates to nursing homes oror is this aa new
14.	new subject matter that we haven't considered before?
15.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
16.	Senator Netsch.
17.	SENATOR NETSCH:
18.	Yeah, I'm advised that it was originally Senator Sommer's
19.	amendment and itit does take care of a downstate problem.
20.	Okay?
21.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
22.	Further discussion? Senator Marovitz.
23.	SENATOR MAROVITZ:
24.	Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
25.	Senate. A question of the sponsor.
26.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
27.	Indicates she will yield. Senator Marovitz.
28.	SENATOR MAROVITZ:
29.	How does the nursing home portion of this amendment change
30.	what is now present law?
31.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
32.	Senator Netsch.
	SENATOR NETSCH:

Page 32 - June 18, 1981

ı. I don't seem to have a copy of the amendment, but I 2. can tell you from the original bill, which presumably it 3. tracks. Number one, it ... adds... now I think I've got the 4. actual... 5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 6. Senator Marovitz. 7. SENATOR MAROVITZ: Perhaps you could state what the present law on this 8. . 9. subject is and then state what changes your amendment would make. 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 11. Senator Netsch. 12. SENATOR NETSCH: 13. Alright. In the section that was included,...it...it 14. specifies that...voting by physically and incapacitated 15. electors and adds, who are residents of facilities...licensed 16. under the Nursing Home Care Act...can and then it goes ahead 17. with the...the provisions. It permits the voting in the 18. nursing homes...and adds this,...the previous provision was, 19. this absentee voting on...on one of the days designated by 20. the election authority shall be supervised...by two election 21. judges and it strikes that and substitutes, an even number 22. of election judges of whom the same number shall be of each 23. established political party. In effect, it requires that 24. there be both parties represented...in the panel. The exact 25. number of judges shall be determined at the discretion of the 26. election authority, but in no case shall be fewer than two. 27. And I think one of the reasons, as I recall for that, is that 28. ...where you may be dealing with a large number, you may 29. need more than two,..but it should be specified to be an 30. even number and both parties equally represented. And 31. this language expands on that. It also...let me...one other 32.

thing I'd forgotten. It also provides that ... it is a violation

Page 33 - June 18, 1981

ı. of this Act to begin balloting before the posted time, which 2. is a...kind of a cleanup provision. 3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 4. Further discussion? Senator Marovitz. 5. SENATOR MAROVITZ: 6. Well,...let's take one at a time. It's a violation to begin balloting before the posted time. What is the posted 7. time? I know that in nursing homes sometimes they come in 8. the day before and...take care of the balloting. The posted 9. time, what does that mean and what ... when would that be? 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 11. Senator Netsch. 12. SENATOR NETSCH: 13. It...I'm looking for the exact words, but it is the... 14. the, in effect, the weekend...the Saturday, Sunday and Mon-15. day before the election and the...yeah...here is...here is 16. the exact language,...a mutually convenient time period on the 17. Saturday, Sunday or Monday immediately preceding the election 18. and that time shall be posted in a prominent place...a notice 19. of the agreed day and time period for conducting the... 20. actual voting. So, it...what it specified is that it has to 21. be on the Saturday, Sunday or Monday immediately preceding 22. the election. 23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 24. Marovitz...Senator Marovitz. 25. SENATOR MAROVITZ: 26. Now, getting to the...the portion of the bill where you 27. actually increase the number of judges...that have to be 28. there. Presently,...at least in some cases you increase 29. them, am I...am I correct? 30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 31.

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

32.

Page 34 - June 18, 1981

1.	You authorize it, but you still permit the exact number
2.	of judges to be determined at the discretion of the election
3.	authority.
4.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
5.	Senator Marovitz.
6.	SENATOR MAROVITZ:
7.	Are we saying thatthat under present lawjudges
8.	from both parties, under the present law, do not have to
9.	be present at the time of balloting? Is that the present
10.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
11.	Senator Netsch. Oh, Senator Marovitz, did you
12.	finish?
13.	SENATOR NETSCH:
14.	As I read thethe Statutory language before this
15.	amendment, I think the answer to that is yes. I don't think
16.	that was what was intended, but I don't see that it specifically $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($
17.	said thatthere had to be an even number fromboth
18.	parties in the preexisting language.
19.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
20.	Senator Marovitz. Further discussion? Senator Rhoads.
21.	SENATOR RHOADS:
22.	Yes, I rise in support of the amendment. There had
23.	been some violationsunwittingly by the election authorities.
24.	Senator Netsch's amendment would put into law the exact pro-
25.	visions of how you expand the number of judges, the fact that
26.	one has to be from each political party. The posting time
27.	would be anywhere from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. or such time
28.	as the election authority maydesignate, Senator Marovitz.
29.	So, I think it'sit's a good amendment andI wouldurge
30.	its adoption.
31.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
22	Further discussion? Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Page 35 - June 18, 1981

••	I'mIf the sponsor would yield, I may not even be on
2.	the right amendment, I was interested in the amendment that,
3.	I thought, was pending on clustering precincts and judges.
4.	Wherewhere did that come from or appear from oris that
5.	on the bill already? Pardon me, I'm an amendment late.
6.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
7.	Senator,no, it's in this amendment, Senator Schaffer.
8.	Senator Schaffer.
9.	SENATOR SCHAFFER:
10.	Now, I always recognize Senator Netsch as an outstanding
11.	spokesman for that great and important urban setting, but
12.	would somebody downstate talk to me a little bit about that
13.	amendment?
14.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
15.	Well, Senator Netsch is the sponsor of the amendment.
16.	Senator Netsch, can you help Senator Schaffer?
17.	SENATOR NETSCH:
18.	Yes. Ifif I might, might I defer to Senator Sommer.
19.	It was added to the bill at the request, I believe, of
20.	Senator Sommer and others from his district. May I defer
21.	to Senator Sommer?
22.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
23.	Senator SommerSenator Sommer for clarification.
24.	SENATOR SOMMER:
25.	Mr. President and members, under currentelection law,
26.	it's possible whenwhen a school district petitions the
27.	court for a special emergency referendum to cluster precincts.
28.	That's possible now. There was a gap in the law and it says
29.	nothing about clustering judges. So you would have a situation
30.	where you put the precincts together and you have great numbers
31.	of judges sitting around. This simply allows them to reduce
32.	the number of judges to a reasonable number.
33.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Page 36 - June 18, 1981

ı. Alright. Further discussion? Further discussion? 2. Senator Netsch may close. Alright. The motion is to adopt 3. Amendment No. 11. Discussion of the...further discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 11 is adopted. Are there further amendments? 5. 6. SECRETARY: No further amendments. 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 8. Do you wish a roll call, Senator Savickas? Alright. 9. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 11. Those in favor will 10. vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. 11. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take 12. the record. On that question, the Ayes are 37, the Nays are 13. 11, none Voting Present. Amendment No. 11 to House Bill 209 14. is adopted. Further amendments? 15. SECRETARY: 16. No further amendments. 17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 18. 3rd reading. Senator Hall. Is Senator Hall on the Floor? 19. Senator Bloom 411. Senator...Netsch, are you ready on 754? 20. Alright. Senator Ozinga asks leave of the Senate to return 21. House Bill 754 to the Order of 2nd reading for the purpose of 22. an amendment. Is there leave? Leave is granted. The bill is 23. on...the Order of 2nd reading. Are there amendments, Mr. 24. Secretary, please? 25. SECRETARY: 26. Amendment No. 1, by Senator Netsch. 27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 28. Senator Netsch is recognized. 29. SENATOR NETSCH: 30. Thank you, Mr. President. The amendment...the basic 31. bill is in part an amendment to the underlying legislation 32. ...which...pursuant to which the Chicago Urban Transportation

Page 37 - June 18, 1981

1.	District was created. The amendment would effectively repeal
2.	that entire Act. There is only one transit district that was
3.	created pursuant to it. It is the Chicago Urban Transit Dis-
4.	trict. It isit has no function to perform now. It is
5.	sitting on fifteen million dollars. It is a useless agency
6.	and it ought to be repealed. I would move the adoption of
7.	Amendment No. 1 toHouse Bill 754.
8.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
9.	Is there discussion? Senator Rock.
10.	SENATOR ROCK:
11.	Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield for
12.	a question?
13.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
14.	If we might have some order, if the Senators would take
15.	their conferences off the Floor, we can consider these in a
16.	more appropriate fashion. Senator Rock is recognized on
17.	SENATOR ROCK:
18.	There was, it seems to me, a Senate Bill that purported
19.	to do this toto repeal the urban transportation district,
20.	and give the money to the Chicago Transit Authority. What,
21.	might I ask, happened to that Senate Bill?
22.	
23.	END OF REEL
24.	
25.	
26.	•
27.	
28.	
29.	
30.	
31.	•
32.	

32.

33.

funds in place?

Page 38 - June 18, 1981

1.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
2.	Senator Netsch.
3.	SENATOR NETSCH:
4.	It got a fast gavel, andand got only twenty-nine votes
5.	before the gavel fell. It was put on the Order of Postponed
6.	Consideration, and for some reason, we never got back to that
7.	order of business.
8.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
9.	Senator Rock.
10.	SENATOR ROCK:
11.	Well, I rise in opposition to Amendment No. 1. I think thi
12.	Body has already considered this bill, and frankly, I'm a little
13.	surprised that Senator Netsch, our procedural purest, would
14.	indulge in such things as trying to amend dead bills onto other
15.	bills. I think it's a bad practice, and I urge opposition to
16.	Amendment No. 1.
17.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
18.	Further discussion? Senator Coffey.
19.	SENATOR COFFEY:
20.	Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I rise
21.	in favor of this amendment. This amendment is one that we did
22.	discuss before. I think that, at least, this side of the aisle the Republican side of the aisle, should support the amendment to
23.	transfer the Regional Transportation Authority and its assets
24.	bothto the RTA, and I'd ask the Republicans to vote Yes on
. 25.	this amendment.
26.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
27.	Further discussion? Senator Jeremiah Joyce.
28.	SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:
29.	Well, I'm a little confused about this whole thing, and
30.	maybe Senator Netsch could answer some questions for me. What
31.	is the purpose . what is the present purpose of keeping these

Page 39 - June 18, 1981

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Netsch.

3.	SENATOR NETSCH:
4.	You're referring to the funds that are in the custody of
5.	the Chicago Urban Transportation District, I gather. Well,
6.	there is no real purpose at the moment. The purposes for which
7.	the district was created have evaporated and are clearly dead.
8.	It was to build the Franklin Street Subway, and the so-called
9.	Monroe Street Distributor Subway, neither of which is active
10.	to put it mildly. Therethe CUTD is sitting on approximately
11.	fifteen million dollars of funds that were raised by property
12.	taxes in part on my constituents that were intended to be used
13.	for mass transit capital improvements. And my only purpose is
14.	getting rid of an agency that has no function now to perform,
15.	which is sitting on money that ought to be put to good use, and
16.	it just doesn't make any sense to continue it any longer.
17.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
18.	Senator Jeremiah Joyce.
19.	SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:
20.	Well, my understanding is that this money is in a pool,
21.	that is the subject of litigation, that is going to be divvied
22.	up in large part to a few attorneys who arewho havepursuing
23.	this litigation, and I rise in support of this amendment. I
24.	don't think that this is the proper function of the Legislature
25.	to create special trust funds for special attorneys so that they
26.	can rip off a select few people.
27.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
28.	Further discussion? Senator Walsh.
29.	SENATOR WALSH:
30.	Mr. President, and members of the Senate. When the Senate
31.	Bill was originally considered I spoke in favor thereof, and I
32.	would like to just briefly indicatemy support ofof this amendment.
33.	Thethe money was raised for mass transportation, in thein the

Page 40 - June 18, 1981

Urban Transportation District, I think it should be expended for ı. that purpose. Right now, it's lying dormant in the...in the district, 2. thé district no longer serves any purpose. I think the money 3. should be freed up, expended for mass transportation. 4. the support of this amendment by all members of the Senate. 5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 6. Further discussion? Senator Chew. 7. SENATOR CHEW: 8. Mr. President, and members of the Senate. As Senator Rock 9. said, this bill had a hearing, was on the Floor, it was not a 10. swift gavel, the amendment that Senator Coffey had agreed upon 11. was not the amendment attached. Consequently when the bill was 12. called for passage, Senator Coffey did not support it. 13. bill went on Postponed Consideration, if it were to be called 14. again, it would have been defeated. It's a simple thing, if the 15. sponsor were to put an amendment anywhere returning the funds back 16. to those that paid it, insomuch as the original purpose of the funds, 17. was never carried out, and that was the Franklin Street Subway. 18. The taxpayers were taxed for that purpose, and that purpose only. 19. The Chicago Transit Authority does not want the money, the Regional 20. Transit Authority does not want the money, reasons on both agencies, 21. the fact that they're going to invite lawsuits. The president 22. of the organization has said that the money should be returned 23. to the taxpayers. They have reduced the staff to one, it is no 24. cost in keeping a staff available, if the Legislature wants to do 25. what is absolutely honest and right, they would make an attempt 26. ...or we would make an attempt to return the funds back to those 27. that were taxed, and not give it to a transit agency that do not 28. want it because the cost of defending would overrun the actual 29. value of what the Senator is attempting to do. Now if a bill 30. has been killed, it should stay that way, and to come in through 31. the backdoor and attempt to attach an amendment, is not only unfair, 32.

but in our last days down here, I don't think we ought to take the

Page 41 - June 18, 1981

time to entertain that kind of thing, and I would urge a No vote

ı.

2. on acceptance of this amendment. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 3. 4. Further discussion? Senator D'Arco. All right. Further discussion? Senator Netsch may close. 5. SENATOR NETSCH: 6. Thank you, Mr. President. After nine years in the Illinois 7. Senate, I finally learned something about how you get your bills 8. passed. This is something that ought to be done, it was a fluke 9. that it did not pass before, it's time has come. 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 11. The motion is on the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House 12. Bill 754. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will 13. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have 14. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the 15. Ayes are 40, the Nays are 15, none Voting Present. Amendment 16. No. 1 to House Bill 754, is adopted. Further amendments? 17. SECRETARY: 18. No further amendments. 19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 20. 3rd reading. 808, Senator Johns. Senator Johns, I can't see 21. you. Senator Johns asks leave of the Senate to return House Bill 22. 808 to the Order of 2nd reading for the purpose of an amendment. 23. Is there leave? Leave is granted. The bill is on 2nd reading, 24. Are there amendments...may we have some order, please. 25. SECRETARY: 26. Amendment No. 1 by Senator Johns. 27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 28. Senator Johns. 29. SENATOR JOHNS: 30. Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. This 31. particular bill would eliminate a lot of unnecessary action in the 32. Secretary of State's Office, in the renewal of driver's license 33.

Page 42 - June 18, 1981

```
l.
       applications. The Secretary of State, with this amendment, heartily
2.
       approves what I'm trying to do, and if there's any questions about
3.
       the amendment, let me tell you what it does. It strikes on
4.
       line 13, and puts therein who is...who sixty-nine years of age
       or older, and it eliminates a lot of the questioning that is un-
5.
       necessary in written exams by those people who have good driving
6.
       records. If there's any questions, I'll try to answer them for
7.
       you, but I will tell you, the Secretary of Stateheartily approves
8.
       of this particular piece of legislation.
٩.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
10.
            Discussion? The motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye.
11.
       Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted.
12.
       Further amendments?
13.
       SECRETARY:
14.
            No further amendments.
15.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
16.
            3rd reading. Senator Carroll, on 1365. Senator Berman has
17.
       an amendment. Senator Berman on the Floor? All right. Senator
18.
       Hall, are you ready with 333? Senator Hall, are we ready? All
19.
       right, Senator Berman, we'll get right back to you. Senator
20.
       Hall asks leave of the Senate to return House Bill 333 to the
21.
       Order of 2nd reading for the purpose of an amendment. Is there
22.
       leave? Leave is granted. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary,
23.
       please?
24.
       SECRETARY:
25.
            Amendment No. 1 by Senator Hall.
26.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
27.
            Senator Hall is recognized.
28.
       SENATOR HALL:
29.
            Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
30.
       Senate. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 333 merely puts a sunset
```

provision on it, and it...that, as you know, the bill has passed out

and this was asked...suggested by the chairman of the committee,

31.

32.

Page 43 - June 18, 1981

1.	and by some of the church organizations, so we put a sunset pro-
2.	vision of five years on the bill. And I'd ask adoption of the
3.	amendment.
4.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
5.	The motion is to adopt. Is there discussion? Senator
6.	Donnewald.
7.	SENATOR DONNEWALD:
8.	Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Body. Of course, I'm
9.	opposed to this concept whether it be amended or not, and I state
10.	my reasons, I don't care if it's aAnheuser- Busch, Heilman's,
11.	or Pabst, or Millers, or whatever brewery. It's a concept of
12.	using taxpayer's funds for privateprivate industry andand
13.	Ladies and Gentlemen of this Body, when we do that, we'reentering
14.	into a very, very, very serious area that you may have to confront
15.	and I may have to confront in many years to come. II want
16.	this bill, if it's going to be voted on, on 3rd reading, to be
17.	voted on in its pure pristine form. Now, there's a reason, and
18.	there are real reasons. I think this amendment, and I'm quite
19.	sure that I'm right, not just because certain churches were opposed
20.	to it, that they put the sunset provision, there's also a provision
21.	of money, taxpayer's money. In its original form, this bill would
22.	be in perpetuity, five hundred thousand dollars a year for the
23.	rest of time unless the bill is killedamended in years later.
24.	The bill now, if amended, would be four years, four additional
25.	years, and then, of course, I don't know if they would come back
26.	and ask for more. But I would like to have the amendment defeated,
27.	the concept is bad completely. I want the bill voted onin its
28.	original pristine form. And I would appreciate a No on this

Further discussion of the motion? Senator Bloom.

Well, I...welcome back, Jim. I rise in support of the amendment,

amendment.

SENATOR BLOOM:

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29.

30.

31.

32.

Page 44 - June 18, 1981

- ı. obviously, I think that there is precedent for what we are about. 2. The Senate Bill passed out of here, I won't raise the issue of Chrysler and so on, and so forth, I would just say that this 3. would remove the objection of those groups who have written you 4. about this. And I would remind the Body that in addition to the 5. Statutory overhead the General Assembly imposes upon all other 6. private sector groups, the two remaining breweries in the State 7. of Illinois have in addition to the Statutory overhead, the gallonage 8. tax. At the end of five years, either this has...has helped them 9. strengthen their economic position or they'll be gone, just as 10. Peter Hamm Brewery went. So, I'd urge support. 11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 12. Further discussion? Senator Donnewald. 13. SENATOR DONNEWALD: 14. Well, of course, I thought that the debate should be restricted 15. to the amendment alone, but I feel compelled to respond to the remarks 16. made which address the very heart of the bill. And then, I think 17. he opened the door so I'm going to have to enter in that discussion. 18. The Heilman Brewery on...on the 1980 return in shareholders 19. equity paid the highest of any brewery in the United States, 30.6 20. percent, 30.6 percent, and Ladies and Gentlemen, let me tell you, 21. they're not going to close that institution in Belleville, Illinois, 22. for any...they've got capital invested, they are going...they 23. are one of the three highest brewery...producing breweries in 24. the United States. They're not going to let that go down, that's 25. ...that's capital loss, and the per capita production is probably 26: three to four barrels per man, which is pretty good, and they're 27. not doing bad now, they're doing very well all over where they 28. operate, and likeany other corporation, I think that they can 29. go ahead and pursue any...any area...in an area where they need 30. to expand, they don't need taxpayer's money, they've got plenty. 31.
 - The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Is there...Senator Hall may close.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32.

```
ı.
       SENATOR HALL:
2.
            Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
3.
                What we're simply asking here. we're talking about a
4.
       thousand and some plus jobs, and you know we need that. Now,
       if you add up that .. the income tax, and the other supportive
5.
       service that these people who were working at this place will
6.
       pay to the State. You know, we're talking about getting people
7.
       off of...off of rolls, they came back into Belleville, and opened
8.
       a brewery that had closed. It rehired people, and it stimulates
9.
       in Senator Bloom's area in Peoria, this is something, and all
10.
       we're saying is this, let's put a sunset provision on it.
11.
       they don't, and we're not able to comply, then it will go out.
12.
       And I think it's a good thing, we've talked about sunset provisions,
13.
       and I can't see how anybody could be opposed to a sunset provision
14.
       on a bill. I ask...your most favorable support for this amendment.
15.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
16.
            The motion is to adopt Ameridment No. 1. Roll call? A
17.
       request for a roll call. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those
18.
       opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who
19.
       wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,
20.
       the Ayes are 31, the Nays are 20, none Voting Present. Amendment
21.
       No. 1 to House Bill 333 is adopted. Further amendments?
22.
       SECRETARY:
23.
            No further amendments.
24.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
25.
            3rd reading. For what purpose does Senator Weaver arise?
26.
       SENATOR WEAVER:
27.
            On a point...on a point of personal privilege, Mr. President.
28.
       In the gallery a long time friend of many of us, Representative
29.
       Charlie and Lou Calbaugh. Would they stand and be recognized.
30.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
31.
            Would our guests please stand and be recognized. Senator
32.
```

Carroll. Senator Carroll on the Floor? Senator Carroll asks

Page 46 - June 18, 1981

1.	leave of the Senate to return 1365 to the Order of 2nd reading
2.	for the purpose of an amendment. Is there leave? Leave is
3.	granted. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary, please?
4.	SECRETARY:
5.	Amendment No. 1 by Senator Berman.
6.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
7.	Senator Berman is recognized.
8.	SENATOR BERMAN:
9.	Thank you. This amendment strikes language that reverses
10.	a court case regarding the discoverability of peer review pro-
11.	ceedings. I move the adoption of Amendment No. 1.
12.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
13.	The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Discussion of the
14.	motion? Senator Carroll.
15.	SENATOR CARROLL:
16.	Even though the sponsor of the amendment didn't talk to me
17.	about it before, I trust him, so it's okay.
18.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
19.	The motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay.
20.	The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Furtherfurther
21.	amendments?
22.	SECRETARY:
23.	No further amendments.
24.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
25.	3rd reading. Senator McLendon on the Floor? All right.
26.	Senator Bloom on 411, did you wish toall right. That completes
27.	the bills on our recall list. We will now go to the Order of
28.	House Bills 2nd reading on page 30 of your Calendar. Page 30 are
29.	House Bills 2nd reading. House Bills 2nd reading. House Bill
30.	69, Senator Jerome Joyce. House Bill 142, Senator Berman. Read
31.	the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

33.

House Bill 142.

ı.

Page 47 - June 18, 1981

(Secretary reads title of bill)

```
2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
2.
3.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
4.
            Are there amendments from the Floor?
5.
       SECRETARY:
            Amendment No. 1 by Senator Rupp.
6.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
7.
            Is Senator Rupp on the Floor? Take it out of the record. Is
8.
       there leave? Leave is granted. 270, Senator Berman. Circuit
9.
       judges, age sixty-five. All right, 291, Senator Marovitz. Let
10.
       me just call off, we've got Marovitz, Becker, Egan, Hall, DeAngelis,
11.
       and Buzbee coming up. 349, Senator Egan. 394, Senator Hall.
12.
       487, Senator Buzbee. 541, Senator Lemke. Read the bill,
13.
       Mr. Secretary, please.
14.
       SECRETARY:
15.
            House Bill 541.
16.
                  ( Secretary reads title of bill )
17.
       2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
18.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
19.
            Are there amendments from the Floor?
20.
       SECRETARY:
21.
            No Floor amendments.
22.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
23.
             3rd reading. 542, Senator Lemke. Read the bill, Mr.
24.
       Secretary, please.
25.
       SECRETARY:
26.
            House Bill 542.
27.
                  ( Secretary reads title of bill )
28.
        2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Judiciary I offers
29.
       four amendments.
30.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
31.
             Are there...all right, Senator Lemke on Committee Amendment
32.
        No. 1. Senator Lemke on Amendment No. 1.
33.
```

Page 48 - June 18, 1981

1.	SENATOR LEMKE:
2.	I move for the adoption of the amendment. I agree with
3.	the committee to put this on.
4.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
5.	The motion is to adopt Committee Amendment No. 1. Discussion
6.	of the motion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes
7.	have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee
8.	amendments?
ġ.	SECRETARY:
10.	Committee Amendment No. 2.
11.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
12.	Senator Lemke. There are four committee amendments.
13.	SENATOR LEMKE:
L4.	These arerequests by the Department of Transportation to
L5.	be cleared, and they asked us to put these on there. There's no
16.	opposition to the amendment. I ask for its adoption.
17.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
L8.	The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. All in favor say Aye
19.	Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted.
20.	Amendment No. 3, Senator Lemke. Senator Lemke moves
21.	SENATOR LEMKE:
22.	Same thing, it's athe Department of Correction amendment
23.	nothere's no opposition to it. I ask for its adoption.
24.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 3. Discussion? All in
25.	favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3
26.	is adopted. Further committee amendments? Senator Lemke on
27.	Amendment No. 4.
28.	SENATOR LEMKE:
29.	This is also a Department of Correction amendment, it's
30.	agreed by in bothboth parties. There's no problem. I ask for
31.	itsacceptance.
12.	-

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Page 49 - June 18, 1981

	The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 4. All in ravor say
Aye	. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is adopted.
Fur	ther committee amendments?
SEC	CRETARY:
	No further committee amendments.
PRE	SIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
	Are there amendments from the Floor?
SEC	CRETARY:
	Amendment No. 5 by Senator Bowers.
PRE	ESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
	Senator Bowers. Senator Bowers on the Floor? Senator Lemke
to	explain
SE	NATOR LEMKE:
	This is aan amendment toI think Amendment No. 2 or
3 (on the bill, to make a correction. III see no opposition
to	it. I ask for its adoption.
PRI	ESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
	The motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay.
The	e Ayes have it. Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Further Floor
ame	endments?
SE	CRETARY:
	No further amendments.
PRI	ESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
	3rd reading. House Bill 546, Senator Egan. Senator Egan.
54	6. 566, Senator Berman. 5674, Senator Dawson. Dawson.
Po	rt districts and containerization subsidies. On 2nd reading,
do	you wish to call it? All right. No, we will not be calling
ap	propriation bills today. Senatoron 685, Senator D'Arco.
St	ate Treasury special funds and Federalall right. Senator
	sh on 744. Senator Nash on the Floor? 821, Senator Bloom.
Ye	s, Senator, you areall right. 744. Read the bill, Mr.
Se	cretary, please, the second time.
SE	CRETARY:

House Bill 744.

ı.

```
( Secretary reads title of bill )
 2.
        2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Insurance, Pensions,
 3.
        and Licensed Activities offers one amendment.
4.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
5.
              Senator D'Arco on the Committee Amendment No. 1.
6.
        SENATOR D'ARCO:
7.
             Thank you, Mr. President. The committee amendment is the
 8.
        agreed amendment between the Department of Reg. and Ed., the
 9.
        Governor's Office, and everybody concerned in the Boxing Commission.
10.
        It is bi-partisan, has support from both sides of the aisle, and
11.
        I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House
12.
        Bill 744.
13.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
14.
              The motion is to adopt. Those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay.
15.
        The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee
16.
         amendments?
17.
         SECRETARY:
18.
              No further committee amendments.
19.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
20.
              Are there amendments from the Floor?
21.
         SECRETARY:
22.
              Amendment No. 2 by Senators Bloom and Netsch.
23.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
24.
              Senator Bloom on the Floor? Senator Bloom? Senator Netsch
25.
         on the Floor? Oh, Senator Bloom , you and Senator Netsch have
26.
         each put an amendment on Senator D'Arco's Professional Boxing
27.
         and Wrestling Regulatory Act. Senator Bloom.
28.
         SENATOR BLOOM:
29.
              Yes, I'm sorry. I was, believe it or not, the Governor was
30.
         on the phone. I would like to Table the amendment.
31.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
32.
              You wish to withdraw the amendment?
```

Page 51 - June 18, 1981

l.	SENATOR BLOOM:
2.	I wish to withdraw my amendment, yes.
3.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
4.	Senator Netsch, do you wish to withdraw? Senator Netsch.
5.	SENATOR NETSCH:
6.	Senator Bloom, we'll take your name off of it then, I would
7.	like to have a chance to present this idea.
8.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
9.	All right, the amendment is now under the sponsorship of
10.	Senator Netsch. Senator Netsch to explain Amendment No. 2.
11.	SENATOR NETSCH:
12.	Basically, what the proposed Amendment No. 2 to House Bill
13.	744 does, is to carry out the recommendations of the Sunset
14.	Commission and particularly the minority members of the Sunset
15.	Commission, that is aa minority vote. The commission as a
16.	whole, as I read their report, had recommended that the State
17.	get entirely out of the business of conducting, regulating,
18.	whatwhatever athletic exhibitions. Thea minority of the
19.	members, which included Senator Gitz, Senator Bloom, and one
20.	of the other Legislative members, suggested that there was one
21.	area in which the State's police power, which is the power to
22.	protect the public health, safety, welfare, was directly in-
23.	volved, and that had to do with the safety of the contestants
24.	in a boxing match. It, they felt, was unique because the
25.	sole purpose of a boxing match in one sense is to hurt someone,
26.	and therefore, there was a police power interest in making sure
27.	that the boxers had as much protection as possible. They, therefore
28.	recommended that the State get out of the business of regulating,
29.	promoting, and otherwise, being involved in the exhibition itself,
30.	but that there be a continuation of a permit and registration system
31.	which was directed almost exclusively toward, in fact, really
32.	exclusively, toward the objective of protecting and promoting
33.	the safety of the boxers. This amendment carries out the recom-
	mendations of that part of the Sunset Commission. I think it is

Page SZ - June 18, 1981

```
fair to say, that it differs from Amendment No. 1 primarily
 ı.
        in that Amendment No. 1 continues a...an active State role
 2.
        in promoting and regulating the exhibition, itself. You know,
 3.
        it has provisions that have to do with how the tickets are
4.
        sold, and where they're sold, and...and a number of other
5.
        aspects of that. The...the second amendment, is directed only
 6.
        toward that degree of regulation which relates to the safety
 7.
        of the boxers themselves. It is a difference in, if you will,
 8.
        philosophical approach. It is, I think, more reflective of
9.
        the recommendations of the Sunset Commission, and that is the
10.
        reason why I wanted to have an opportunity to present the al-
11.
        ternative, in effect, on behalf of members of the Sunset Com-
12.
        mission to the members of the Senate.
13.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
14.
              Discussion? Senator Marovitz.
15.
        SENATOR MAROVITZ:
16.
             Will the sponsor yield?
17.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
18.
              Senator Marovitz.
19.
        SENATOR MAROVITZ:
20.
              Senator Netsch, does this amendment in any way refer to
21.
        or touch the...the Illinois Athletic Commission?
22.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
23.
              Senator Netsch.
24.
        SENATOR NETSCH:
25.
              Yes. And if I'm not mistaken, Amendment No. 1 also did.
26.
        Yes, the answer is yes.
27.
        SENATOR MAROVITZ:
28.
              All right, how...in what way? How does this deal with the
29.
        Illinois...present status of the Illinois Athletic Commission?
30.
        SENATOR NETSCH:
31.
```

Well, if nothing were done, the...that part of the Statute

including the Illinois Athletic Commission, as I understand it,

32.

Page 53 - June 18, 1981

would expire on October 1 of this year. It would be sunseted

l.

```
2.
       out of existence. So, that there would, after that date, be
3.
       no Athletic Commission. This amendment, and I'm not now speaking
       to the substance of the first amendment, which was adopted, I'm
4.
5.
       talking now only about the amendment that is presently before
       you, does not reactivate that commission except for a short
6.
       period of time. It continues the Athletic Exhibition Regis-
7.
       tration Act, pursuant to which I think the State Athletic Board
8.
       was created, until July 1, 1982. In other words, it gives it
9.
       a one year extention of its existence. At that point, this,
10.
       which is represented in Amendment No. 2, would become effective.
11.
       The State Athletic Commission, and that entire Act, would be,
12.
       in effect, sunseted out of existence. This would substitute
13.
       for it, and this creates a different commission, which is called
14.
       the Illinois Commission of Professional Boxing Safety. Again,
15.
       to reflect the fact that the only part of this whole business
16.
       that the State would be directly involved with, would be the
17.
       safety aspect.
18.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
19.
            Senator Marovitz.
20.
       SENATOR MAROVITZ:
21.
            Can't the present Illinois Athletic Commission already do
22.
       that, and... I mean, under their jurisdiction and authority, and
23.
       ...and do they, in fact, do that?
24.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
25.
            Senator Netsch.
26.
       SENATOR NETSCH:
27.
            Well, I would assume that the State Athletic Commission
28.
       has some concern about the safety of...of the participants in
29.
        the matches. I would be shocked if they did not. I think the
30.
       essential difference is that...two differences, really, one,
31.
        the current commission has powers that extend way beyond that
32.
       element of safety. They regulate...they license everybody under
33.
```

Page 54 - June 18, 1981

1.	the sun, the seconds, the time keepers, the everything else
2.	under the sun. And they have enormous regulatory authority with
3.	respect to when a boxingor an exhibition is held, where it's held,
4.	ticket prices, everything else. All of that would be eliminated
5.	by the Sunset provision, and, in effect, by this amendment also.
6.	And only the safety component would remain. In addition, I
7.	believe it is accurate to say, that some of the provisions that
8.	are in this amendment are probably not possible under the present
9.	athletic thing. For example, this amendment requires that in-
10.	surance be provided on the contestants, bothan accidental health
11.	policy, and a death policy in the event a fighter dies as the re-
12.	sult of a fight. And, while I'm not an authority on the boxing
13.	industry in this State, I don't believe that that is done at
14.	the present time.
15.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
16.	Senator Marovitz.
17.	SENATOR MAROVITZ:
18.	Is thisis this amendment in concert or in conflict with
19.	Senator D'Arco's Amendment No. 1?
20.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
21.	Senator Netsch.
22.	SENATOR NETSCH:
23.	It would replace Amendment No. 1. It is a differentI'm
24.	I'm trying to be as straight out as I can about this. It is a
25.	different approach to what role the State should play with respect
26.	to the boxing business. Again, the Sunset Commission recommended
27.	that the State get one hundred percent out of the business of
28.	having anything to do with athletic contests. A minority of
29.	Sunset said, well, we ought to keep one handle, and that handle
30.	ought to relate to the safety of the boxers. The amendment that
31.	Senator D'Arcothe committee amendment that Senator D'Arco
32.	put on the bill keeps the State in the business ofof regulating
33.	and promoting the exhibition itself. And what I'm saying, is that

Page 55 - June 18, 1981

1.	this is just simply a different philosophical approach. This
2.	isthis is the Sunset Commission's approach toward this sub-
3.	ject matter. And you either agree with it or you don't.
4.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
5.	Further discussion? Senator Nash. Senator Bloom was the
6.	perhaps we should go to Senator Bloom.
7.	SENATOR BLOOM:
8.	Yes, thank you. Just to explain to the Body why I I sought
9.	to withdraw the amendment, and why I now rise in opposition to
10.	it is, that the committee amendment addresses almost every single
11.	point that this thing addresses. It'sthisthe amendment
12.	this amendment was improvidently offered. II sought to with-
13.	draw it. I don't think it adds anything to the bill, it was
14.	thoroughly addressed in the committee amendment. And the present
15.	amendment before you isyou know, the minority report. I signed
16.	on the minority report, I've reflected on it, it seems to me
17.	the State does have an interest in regulating the event, after all
18.	tens of thousands of people attend these boxing matches, and
19.	there is some concern for public safety. I don't think the Body's
20.	time should be taken up by arguing the philosophy. So, I'd
21.	I'd urge the rejection of this amendment. Thank you.
22.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
23.	Senator Nash.
24.	SENATOR NASH:
25.	Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I
26.	rise in opposition to this amendment. As I stated yesterday,
27.	when this amendment was sprung on us at the eleventh hour, without
28.	consulting with the sponsorsthis House Bill in the Senate,
29.	or the House sponsors. Amendment NoCommittee Amendment No. 1
30.	was worked out between the Department of Registration-Education,
31.	the Governor's Office, and people in the boxing community to
	halp regulate boying As Senator Netsch has stated earlier, she

doesn't know that much about boxing, and I don't think she should

Page 56 - June 18, 1981

1.	be damaging something that we worked on to get in good order.
2.	As Senator Bloom has stated, Committee Amendment No. 1 does
3.	most of the things that she wants to do in her amendment. So,
4.	I ask for the defeat of Amendment No. 2.
5.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
6.	Further discussion? Senator D'Arco.
7.	SENATOR D'ARCO:
8.	Thank you, Mr. President. I agree with Senator Netsch, it
9.	is a difference in philosophy, how do you want to attack this
10.	problem. And the Governor's Office, the Department of Reg. and
11.	Ed. and the majority members of the Sunset Committee sat
12.	down and decided that the best approach would be Amendment No. 1 $$
13.	to SenateHouse Bill 744, as presented in Amendment No. 1.
14.	It does do certain things that we felt were necessary in order
15.	to insure the safety and protection of the boxers, that would
16.	be fighting in exhibitions before the public. We can argue
17.	about whether or not they should be protected, and Senator
18.	Netsch feels they shouldn't be. And weand the majority on
19.	the Sunset Committee felt that they should be. We do eliminate
20.	certain licensure requirements in the present law that we felt
21.	were excessverbiage and unnecessary for the protection of the
22.	boxers. And the promoters would have to show some liquidity
23.	in their financial responsibility to the boxers and to the
24.	public when they presented their application for a permit to
25.	the department. And that requirement, we felt was necessary,
26.	and we left it in the Statute in order to insure that the State
27.	would not be forfeited, and the public would not be denied the
28.	right to see an exhibition by a responsible promoter. Again,
29.	Senator Bloom indicated that he withdrew his support for Amend-
30.	ment No. 2. This is an agreed amendment, and I would hate to
31.	go through the process of trying to undo what we have agreed
	is in the best interest of the State of Illinois and its people.

And I would move...I would oppose Senate Amendment No...House

32.

Page 57 - June 18, 1981

1.	Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 744.
2.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
3.	Further discussion? Senator Netsch may closeSenator
4.	Gitz.
5.	SENATOR GITZ:
6.	Mr. President, I have great respect for Senator D'Arco's
7.	work, and sunset and Senator Nash, and this agreed amendment.
8.	But I'm a little bit unclear on the prime differences between
9.	Senator Netsch's amendment and your bill with the committee
10.	amendment on it. As I understand Senator Netsch's amendment, it
11.	primarily establishes a permit system, and allows that commission
12.	with the idea of protecting the safety, to evaluate according
13.	to a permit as opposed to licensure, and I would find it
14.	helpful to understand, as a result of the committee amendment,
15.	the exact differences between what Senator Netsch's amendment
16.	does and what your bill does as amended. Could Senator D'Arco
17.	or Senator Nash kind of clarify where their core differences are?
18.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
19.	Senator Netsch.
20.	SENATOR NETSCH:
21.	No, it was directed to Senator D'Arco.
22.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
23.	Well, you're theokay, Senator D'Arco. I would point out,
24.	we've spent about twenty-two minutes on this bill so far on the
25.	amendment.
26.	SENATOR D'ARCO:
27.	The promoters would still be required to get a permit from
28.	the department under my amendment, only thethe boxers would
29.	be licensed by the department under my amendment, and they
30.	wouldn't be licensed under Senator Netsch's amendment, and I
31.	think substantially that's the difference.
	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Senator Gitz.

Page 58 - June 18, 1981

1.	SENATOR GITZ:
2.	Well, can someone, Senator Netsch or Senator D'Arco, clarify
3.	to me what is the significance in the criteria and the licensure,
4.	why do we really need that if the permit requirements, themselves,
5.	are going to do that?
6.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
7.	Senator Netsch.
8.	SENATOR NETSCH:
9.	Well, I'm probably not thethe best one to answer that
10.	question, because what Amendment No. 2 does, is essentially to
11.	eliminate all of thea lot of the requirements, except just
12.	those that will be able to identify who is sponsoring the contest
13.	so you know who is responsible for the safety of the boxers.
14.	Now, that's an oversimplification, but it essentiallyagain,
15.	II would repeat what I said before, and I think Senator D'Arco
16.	agrees with this characterization. The difference is that one
17.	approach, unlike the recommendation of the Sunset Commission,
18.	continues to regulate and promote the exhibition of sporting
19.	events, specifically boxing. The second, gets the State largely
20.	out of that business, and concentrates on one factor, the safety
21.	of the boxers.
22.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
23.	Further discussion? Further discussion? The question is
24.	on the motion to adopt Amendment No. 2. Senator Netsch may close
25.	SENATOR NETSCH:
26.	Let me just say, II don't feel, Senator Bloom, embarrassed
27.	about bringing this to the attention of the Senate. We passed
28.	a major Sunset law a couple of years ago, and for the most part

Let me just say, I...I don't feel, Senator Bloom, embarrassed about bringing this to the attention of the Senate. We passed a major Sunset law a couple of years ago, and for the most part members of the Senate do not get an opportunity to know what recommendations are being made, and what the various alternatives are, because we are not serving on that commission. It seems to me that this is a bill which presents the different approaches to Sunset that are reflected in the commission's reports and

29.

30.

31.

32.

l. reflected in differences of opinion among members of the General 2. Assembly. I'm not haranguing anyone about it, you either agree with it or you don't. You either agree that the State 3. 4. should not use its police power to...to get into the business of taking care of exhibitions, regulating, promoting, et cetera, 5. or you think it should. It's a very simple choice. But I do 6. think that the fact that there is an option, should be made known 7. to the members of the Senate so they can have a chance to express R. that...their preference. 9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 10. The motion is to adopt. Those in favor will vote Aye. 11. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who 12. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that 13. question, the Ayes are 4, the Nays are 40, and we have...the 14. Senate does not adopt Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 744. We 15. spent twenty-three minutes on that amendment, Gentlemen, and 16. bill, and we, just for the...further amendments? 17. SECRETARY: 18. No further amendments. 19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 20. 3rd reading. Would just point out to the membership, that 21. we presently have three hundred and thirty-five bills on 3rd 22. reading today. Several members have asked about recalls, we 23. will not get to recalls until tomorrow. If you have amendments 24. orbills you would like to recall, notify the Secretary before 25. we adjourn today, and give them the amendment, today, and we will 26. have a list for tomorrow morning. So, make sure we do that. 27. Senator Hall. 28. SENATOR HALL: 29. I just wanted to notify you, Senator Netsch was desiring 30. recognition. 31.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

All right, well...

32.

Page 60 - June 18, 1981

	DUMITOR MED.
2.	Evidently you didn't see her.
3.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
4.	Well, we'llwe'll get to her right after we adjourn
5.	Further business on 744?
6.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
7.	On the Order of House Bills 2nd reading, House Bill 821,
8.	Senator Bloom. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
9.	SECRETARY:
10.	House Bill 821.
11.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
12.	2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Executive offers
13.	one amendment.
14.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
15.	Senator Bloom.
16.	SENATOR BLOOM:
17.	This was the committee amendment, right? The committee
18.	amendment clarifies the added language in the bill, and also
19.	specifies that State agencies will not be charged for copies,
20.	which was not the intent of the joint committee to make everyone
21.	pay to copy. The idea was that if members of the public wanted
22.	they could pay the xeroxing costs. I'd move its adoption.
23.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
24.	Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Bloom moves the
25.	adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 821. Those in favor
26.	indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amend-
27.	ment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?
28.	SECRETARY:
29.	No further committee amendments.
30.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
31.	Any amendments from the Floor?
22	SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

Page 61 - June 18, 1981

1.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
2.	3rd reading. House Bill 93are weHouse Bill 933,
3.	Senator Egan. House Bill 988, Senator Keats. Read the
4.	bill, Mr. Secretary.
5.	SECRETARY:
6.	House Bill 988.
7.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
8.	2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
9.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
١٥.	Any amendments from the Floor?
11.	SECRETARY:
12.	No Floor amendments.
13.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
14.	3rd reading. House Bill 991, Senator Degnan. House Bill
15.	1019, Senator Bloom. House Bill 1033, Senator McLendon. House
16.	Bill 1048, Senator McMillan. House Bill 1081, Senator Schaffer.
L7.	House BillSenator Schaffer. House Bill 1082, Senator Schaffer
18.	House Bill 1160, Senator McMillan. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary
19.	SECRETARY:
20.	House Bill 1160.
21.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
22.	2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
23.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
24.	Any amendments from the Floor?
25.	SECRETARY:
26.	No Floor amendments.
27.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
28.	3rd reading. House Bill 1253, Senator Netsch. House
29.	House Billread the bill, Mr. Secretary.
30.	SECRETARY:
31.	House Bill 1253.
32.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
17	2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

ARDIH28 peopling

33.

Page 62 - June 18, 1981

1.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
2.	Any amendments from the Floor?
3.	SECRETARY:
4.	No Floor amendments.
5.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
6.	3rd reading. House Bill 1353, Senator Davidson. House
7.	Bill 1364, Senator Schaffer. House Bill 1438, Senator Rock.
8.	Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
9.	SECRETARY:
10.	House Bill 1438.
11.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
12.	2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Higher Education offers
13.	one amendment.
14.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
15.	Senator Rock.
16.	SENATOR ROCK:
17.	Yes, thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of
18.	the Senate. House Bill 1438 attempts to create the Private Higher
19.	Education Loan Authority. The amendment that was offered and
20.	adopted in committee, is twenty-four pages in length. It makes
21.	some substantial changes in the bill as it came over from the
22.	House. There was a lot of discussion, I suggested to the Committee
23.	.Chairman, Senator Newhouse, andand the members of the committee
24.	that I would adopt the amendment, and hold the bill as amended
25.	on 3rd reading until everyone was satisfied, and would be more
26.	than willing to call it back at any time for amendments. I would
27.	like to get the amendment adopted so that it can be printed and
28.	available for distribution. It makes some substantial changes,
29.	it's twenty-four pages in length, and I would move the adoption
30.	of Committee Amendment No. 1.
31.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
32.	Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Rock moves the

adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1438. Those in favor

Page 63 - June 18, 1981

```
indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it.
 ı.
         Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?
 2
         SECRETARY:
 3.
              No further committee amendments.
 4.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
 5.
              Any amendments from the Floor?
 6.
         SECRETARY:
 7.
              No Floor amendments.
 8.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
 9.
              3rd reading. House Bill 1505, Senator McMillan.
                                                                 House Bill
10.
         1608, Senator Nedza. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
11.
         SECRETARY:
12.
              House Bill 1608.
13.
                   ( Secretary reads title of bill )
14.
         2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
15.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
16.
              Any amendments from the Floor?
17.
         SECRETARY:
18.
              Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Nedza.
19.
         BRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
20.
              Senator Nedza.
21.
         SENATOR NEDZA:
22.
              Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
23.
         Senate. Amendment No. 1 does nothing to change the duties and
24.
         powers, but what it does do, is it decreases the membership from
25.
         sixteen to eight, and a repealing clause is inserted, and it makes
26.
         the task force accountable to the Legislature only. I move its
27.
         adoption.
28.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
29.
              Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Nedza moves the
30.
         adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1608. Those in favor
31.
         indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it.
32.
         Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?
```

Ato browning

Page 64 - June 18, 1981

ι.	SECRETARY:
2.	No further amendments.
3.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
4.	3rd reading. House Bill 1620, Senator Schaffer. We will
5.	begin on page 3, House Bills 3rd reading. And the intention of
6.	the Chair is to go approximately till 2:00 o'clock on House
7.	Bills 3rd reading. We will begin with House Bill 15, Senator
8.	Nimrod. Senator Rock.
9.	SENATOR ROCK:
10.	Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I wonder if you willmight
11.	ring the bell and announce to these members, Nimrod, Davidson,
12.	Rhoads, Marovitz, Gitz, McMillan, Mahar, that we are now beginning
13.	3rd reading. Give everybody a chance to get their files together
14.	and their thoughts together.
15.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
16.	Senator Nimrod, Senator Davidson, Senator Rhoads, Marovitz,
17.	Gitz, McMillan, if you will get your files together. Senator
18.	Nimrod you will be first up. On page 3, House Bills 3rd reading,
19.	on the Order of House Bills 3rd reading, at the top of the page,
20.	we'll start with House Bill 15, Senator Nimrod. Read the bill,
21.	Mr. Secretary.
22.	SECRETARY:
23.	House Bill 15.
24.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
25.	3rd reading of the bill.
26.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
27.	Senator Nimrod.
28.	SENATOR NIMROD:
29.	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
30.	Senate. This House Bill 15 has come about as a result of the
31.	attempt of someone particular local community to license school
32.	buses. The Statute provides presently that only a city of more than one million may license, regulate, or prescribe safety require
33.	
	ments for vehicles used in transporting of students. This bill has

Page 65 - June 18, 1981

```
been introduced to clarify that issue, and, in fact, it does
ı.
        not...allows the units which were originally intended in the
2.
        Statute, not to be able to license. What happened in this
3.
        particular case...in the Village of Burbank, they attempted to
4.
        license those vehicles which were passing through the town,
5.
        and it's caused some real problems. And it was given, in fact,
6.
        a hearing, and I think there were no problems found in the
7.
        committee. And it did receive substantial vote. I know of
8.
        no opposition, and would be happy to answer any questions. If
 ٩.
        not, I ask for a favorable roll call.
10.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
11.
             Senator Hall.
12.
        SENATOR HALL:
13.
             Will the sponsor yield for a question?
14.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
15.
             He indicates he will.
16.
        SENATOR HALL:
17.
             Senator, as I read in the Digest, now if it's good for
18.
        one part of the State, why isn't it good for the rest of the
19.
        State?
20.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
21.
              Senator Nimrod.
22.
        SENATOR NIMROD:
23.
              Well, it's...it's good for all parts of the State. What
24.
        it's saying is, those communities which were over one million,
25.
        where we do have large fleets and controls...have the institution,
26.
        where we have the smaller communities, and geographical lines
27.
        cross and they go in more than one district, it would be a very
28.
         confusing and complicating issue of licensing buses which are
29.
         already, in fact, licensed...they're State licensed already, and
30.
         they...they have driver regulations, and they also have insurance
31.
         requirements. So, this ends up being a...duplicative process in
32.
         those areas which are under the one million, or outside of the
33.
```

```
City of Chicago area.
ı.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
2.
             Is there any further discussion? If not, the question is,
 ٦.
        shall House Bill 15 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those
4.
        opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who
5.
        wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take
6.
        ...take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 32, the
7.
        Nays are 18, 2 Voting Present. House Bill 15, having received
Я.
        the constitutional majority is declared passed. For what purpose
9.
        does Senator Nimrod rise?
10.
        SENATOR NIMROD:
11.
             I'm sorry, I should have asked the...the question on that
12.
        about the preemption of the home rule, and whether or not it
13.
        was thirty-six. And I think it indicated that ... that on my note,
14.
        and I don't want to let it pass...
15.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
16.
             Senator, I've already ruled on it, I've declared it passed,
17.
        that's the ruling. House Bill 17, Senator Davidson. Read the
18.
        bill, Mr. Secretary.
19.
        SECRETARY:
20.
              House Bill 17.
21.
                   ( Secretary reads title of bill )
22.
         3rd reading of the bill.
23.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
24.
              Senator Davidson.
25.
        SENATOR DAVIDSON:
26.
              Mr. President, and members of the Senate. This does what
27.
         it says on the Calendar, it allows those districts when they be-
28.
         come aware of a problem to change their levy ten days after re-
29.
         ceiving the multiplier, they can either take it up or down. The
30.
         biggest thing is to keep the district from overlevying. This came
31.
         out of the School Problems Commission from the public hearings
32.
         we had around the State. It allows the district ....could...could
```

Ms 19 newsing

31.

32.

33.

Page 67 - June 18, 1981

go up as well as take down. What we have found is where they ı. have overlevied, or they've been under assessment, then they...the 2. school district fails to get to the fullest access rate to get 3. the maximum amount of reimbursement on the formula distribution 4 from the State. 5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 6. Is there any discussion? Senator Berman. 7. SENATOR BERMAN: 8. Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in support of the bill, 9. it hopefully will alleviate a problem that has been created where 10. school boards are overlevying, and this, hopefully, will keep 11. them more fiscally conservative, but still give them the option 12. to capture the full amount of the real estate tax levy that they 13. are entitled to. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 15. Is there any further discussion? If not, the question is, 16. shall House Bill 17 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those 17. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 18. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the 19. record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are 1, 20. none Voting Present. House Bill 17, having received the con-21. stitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 19, Senator 22. Rhoads. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 23. SECRETARY: 24. House Bill 19. 25. (Secretary reads title of bill) 26. 3rd reading of the bill. 27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 28. Senator Rhoads. 29. SENATOR RHOADS: 30. Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. House

Bill 19 adds some exemptions and clarifications to the Unlawful

Use of Weapons Act. It eliminates the distinctions between

Page 68 - June 18, 1981

```
incorporated and unincorporated areas. To provide that when
 ı.
2.
        somebody is transporting a weapon they must do so unloaded and
        must do so in a case. I do not know of any opposition to the
3.
        bill, it is supported by the Illinois State Rifle Association
4.
        and the National Rifle Association. I'd be happy to answer
5.
        any questions, if I can. If not, I would ask for a favorable
6.
        vote.
7.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
8.
             Is there any discussion? Senator Hall.
 9.
        SENATOR HALL:
10.
             Will the sponsor yield to a question?
11.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
12.
             Indicates he will.
13.
        SENATOR HALL:
14.
              I see in the Digest, it says a stun gun, taser, or other
15.
         firearms. What is a taser?
16.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
17.
             Senator Rhoads.
18.
         SENATOR RHOADS:
19.
              The description on the Digest really isn't accurate, Senator
20.
         Hall. The... I guess a taser is a... a type of stun gun, maybe
21.
         somebody who's more familiar with what tasers are could tell me.
22.
         It's a type of weapon that is used by a police department, is
23.
         my understanding. These...these would have to be encased rather
24.
         than held openly, they would have to be in a container of some
25.
         kind.
26.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
27.
              Senator Coffey.
28.
         SENATOR COFFEY:
29.
              Yes, Mr. President. I would have a question of the sponsor.
30.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
31.
             He indicates he will yield.
32.
         SENATOR COFFEY:
```

Page 69 - June 18, 1981

l.	Senator Rhoads, I haven'tI don't have the bill right
2.	before me, I have it now, but according to the analysis of
3.	that bill, it talks about the possession of a pistol or a
4.	revolver. Is that in the bill? Is it illegal for possession?
5.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
6.	Senator Rhoads.
7.	SENATOR RHOADS:
8.	No, not at all, there's no change from current law on that.
9.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
10.	Senator Coffey.
11.	SENATOR COFFEY:
12.	I understood prior to this bill, that it was illegal to
13.	transport any gun without a holster or loaded?
14,	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
15.	Senator Rhoads.
16.	SENATOR RHOADS:
17.	II had thought so too, Senator Coffey, but no. As a
18.	matter of fact, right now, in a municipality, you couldyou
19.	could carry in the City of Chicago, you couldwalk down the
20.	street with aa weapon on your person. Andand that would
21.	not be illegal. This bill would eliminate that distinction
22.	between incorporated and unincorporated areas. It would say
23.	that it would have to be in a holster or in a case of some kind.
24.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
25.	SenatorSenator Marovitz.
26.	SENATOR MAROVITZ:
27.	I hate to be redundant, but isis that all this bill does,
28.	and could you give us a further explanation of what changes this
29.	bill makes from current law, because thethe Digest does talk
30.	about possession, and therefore, brings up the whole gun control
31.	question.
12.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rhoads.

1. SENATOR RHOADS:

- , No, Senator Marovitz, this has nothing to do with...it..it's
-]. not a gun control bill in...in any sense. If you were transporting,
- and by the way there are exemptions in here for trappers, for
- 5. gun clubs, for common carriers, parades. Let's say, for example,
- 6. you were having a parade through your municipality, obviously
- 7. rifles and guns in that situation would be on for display
- ${\bf 8.}\,$ purposes. This simply says that if you're transporting...the
- rifle someplace, it has to be enclosed in a case of some kind,
- unloaded and enclosed in a case. That's basically what the
- 11. bill does.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- Senator Marovitz.
- SENATOR MAROVITZ:
- That's...that's all the bill does? Just about transporting 15.
- guns, they should be in a case, the guns, pistols, whatever. 16.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- Further discussion? Senator Hall again...second time.
- SENATOR HALL:

18.

24.

- For your...for your edification and for mine, I just got 20.
- an explanation. A taser, I understand is a gun that shoots 21.
- rock salt, now that's the first time...I just got that information 22.
- passed on by someone who has knowledge of it, so... 23.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- Is there further discussion? Senator Sangmeister. 25.
- SENATOR SANGMEISTER:
- Will the sponsor yield to a question?
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
 - He indicates he will.
- SENATOR SANGMEISTER:
- Senator Rhoads, I notice this came out of our committee 31.
- six to nothing. I remember the discussion we had again, but
- 32.
 again, carrying a shotgun...merely in that case that usually comes
 33.

32.

33.

Page 71 - June 18, 1981

with the one that you buy, putting that shotgun into that case complies with this law, now, is that correct? 2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) Senator Rhoads. 4. SENATOR RHOADS: 5. That is correct, Senator Sangmeister. 6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 7. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is 8. shall House Bill 19 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those 9. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 10. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the 11. record. On that question the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, 12. none Voting Present. House Bill 19, having received the consti-13. tutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 22, Senator 14. Marovitz. Read...House Bill 28, Senator Gitz. Read the bill, 15. Mr. Secretary. 16. SECRETARY: 17. House Bill 28. 18. (Secretary reads title of bill) 19. 3rd reading of the bill. 20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 21. Senator Gitz. 22. SENATOR GITZ: 23. Thank you...Mr. President and members of the Senate. First 24. of all, let me say what this bill does not do. This does not 25. ban any possession of rifles, shotguns or gun control in any 26. form. This legislation before us, as amended to conform with 27. the wishes of the Department of Law Enforcement, addresses 28. the question of selling weapons to convicted felons and to 29. forceable felonies changing the existing Statute to the degree 30. that a forceable felony would have to be evaluated by the 31. department director according to criteria before that person

could ever have possession of even a shotgun or a weapon.

```
Now the existing law applies itself in firearm ID's to narcotics
1
    to mental retardation and to a felony within the last five years.
2.
    So this legislation before you addresses a forceable felony,
3.
    it applies for some relief in this situation, but that relief
4 .
    is an evaluation of each case by case example and every law
5.
    enforcement officer I've talked to feels that this kind of
6.
    approach of directing our attention to an area that clearly
7.
    can be a problem, is a prudent one and a wise one as opposed
 8.
    to an across the board approach.
 9.
    PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
10.
        Is there any discussion? If not, the question is shall
11.
    House Bill 28 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those
12.
    opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who
13.
    wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
14.
    Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 51, the Nays
15.
     are 2, none Voting Present. House Bill 28, having received
16.
     the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill
17.
     32, Senator McMillan. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
18.
    ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)
19.
       House Bill 32.
20.
              (Secretary reads title of bill)
21.
     3rd reading of the bill.
22.
     PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
23.
         Senator McMillan.
24.
     SENATOR McMILLAN:
25.
         Mr. President and members of the Senate. The Federal Firearms
26.
     Law sets down very clearly, who can use a machine gun and who
27.
     cannot, primarily law enforcement officials. The Illinois Law,
28.
     in many cases, parallels that, but there is one area where there
29.
                          manufacturer of either ammunition or
     is a problem,
                    the
30.
     machine guns...is not, in this State, under our law granted
```

the exemption from prohibitions on using the machine gun as

it is under the Federal Law and so therefore there is difficulty

31.

32.

```
in testing either the ammunition or the guns, and there's some
ı.
    problem in the transportation thereof. What this bill simply
2.
    does, is to write into our Code the same exemption as exists
3.
    under the Federal Firearms Act for machine gun ownership, not
4.
    ownership, but machine gun handling and use. It got a lengthy
5.
    hearing in the Judiciary Committee any
                                               questions related
6.
    to it, was answered and I would seek a favorable roll call.
7.
    PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
Я.
        Is there any discussion? If not, the question is shall
9.
    House Bill 32 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those
10.
    opposed votê Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who
11.
    wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
12.
    Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 49, the Nays
13.
    are 4, none Voting Present. House Bill 32, having received
14.
     the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill
15.
     36, Senator Mahar. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
16.
    ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)
17.
        House Bill 36.
18.
              (Secretary reads title of bill)
19.
     3rd reading of the bill.
20.
     PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
21.
        Senator Mahar.
22.
     SENATOR MAHAR:
23.
         Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. House
24.
     Bill 36 is a bill that requires that a purchaser of precious
25.
     metals, decorative objects and scrap jewelry must register with
26.
     the local chief of police. Now, you, the Body may recall that
27.
     this bill came before you quite some time ago and the major
28.
     objection to it was the fact that it preempted home rule.
29.
     The major change in this bill that come over from the House,
30.
     Representative Kosinski's bill, is the fact that...Cook County
31.
     and the home rule municipalities of Cook County has been opted
32.
```

out. What it does, basically, is, it says that the people who

Page 74 - June 18, 1981

```
purchase...precious metals, gold and silver, must keep records,
2. must identify their purchaser and their books, in that particular
    area, must be open to police for inspection. In general, the
     ...the police...the police departments throughout the State
4.
    of Illinois have been concerned about the fact that there's
5.
    been a great deal of house breaking and that sort of thing
    and precious metals have been sold or...to...by...to dealers
7.
    and so forth. The bill has been reworked a great deal, it's
8.
    supported now by the Retail Merchant's Association. The
9.
    jewelers have no objections to it and I would ask for your
10.
    favorable support. Happy to try to answer any questions.
11.
    PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
12.
        Is there further discussion? Senator Joyce.
13.
    SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:
14.
        Thank you, Mr. President. A question of the sponsor.
15.
    PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
16.
        He indicates he will yield.
17.
    SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:
18.
        I see Cook County and the City of Chicago are exempt. That's
19.
     right? You live in Cook County, Representative Kosinski lives
20.
     in Cook County and...I...just...I have a couple of comments.
21.
    This...do they still have to report whenever you sell something,
22.
     is there a maximum and a minimum? Would it have to be reported
23.
     if you were not in a municipality...would have to be reported
24.
     to a sheriff and...is all of that stuff still in there?
25.
     PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
26.
        Senator Mahar.
27.
     SENATOR MAHAR:
28.
        No, Sir, you do not have to report. You just keep the
29.
     records and if somebody asks you for the records, then you
30.
     must show them the records that...descriptions of the merchandise
31.
```

that you have purchased. There is no reporting necessary, there

is no holding period required.

32.

Page 75 - June 18, 1981

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) Senator Joyce. 2. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE: 3. Yes, but what kind of records would you have to keep, and 4. for how long? 5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) Senator Mahar. 7. SENATOR MAHAR: 8. The records must be kept for five years, they must basically 9. identify the item, a description of the item. 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 11. Senator Joyce. 12. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE: 13. Is there a maximum or a minimum on the items that they 14. would have to keep, for the records? 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 16. Senator...Senator Mahar. 17. SENATOR MAHAR: 18. No maximum or minimum. 19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 20. Senator Joyce. 21. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE: 22. I...I didn't hear, I'm sorry. 23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 24. Senator Mahar. 25. SENATOR MAHAR: 26. There is no maximum or minimum. 27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 28. Senator Joyce. 29. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE: 30. So, if you bought a...if someone bought a two dollar antique 31. spoon, you would have to keep a record of that...for five years? 32.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

```
Senator Mahar.
ı.
    SENATOR MAHAR:
)
        Yes, Sir, Senator I...we've gone over that guestion on
3.
    several occasions in the past. What we're talking about is
    an authorized dealer who operates a business under a business
5
    license in the community, keeps records available. The individual
6.
    purchaser is not covered under this Act. In other words, if...if
7.
    my wife or myself, who...who deals in...in coins and that sort
8.
    of thing, wants to make transactions, they're not covered.
9.
    PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
10.
        Senator Joyce.
11.
    SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:
12.
        Well, what...what would...how would you specify who is
13.
    covered or who is not, you know, if you have a sales tax
14.
     number or...well what...what do you...what makes the difference?
15.
    PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
16.
        Senator Mahar.
17.
     SENATOR MAHAR:
18.
        Well, if you're a...a legitimate business or you're a
19.
    business in which you have a place from which you operate,
20.
     in which you have a lease or you own the property and you
21.
    have a sales tax number, yes, you would be considered a
22.
     ...a merchant or a dealer. If you're a person who is buying,
23.
     I think the same thing would apply if I bought a used car
24.
     from you, I don't have a sales tax number and I think that's
25.
     perfectly legitimate, that I could buy a plow or whatever
26.
     from you, as long as we agree the transaction could be made.
27.
     The same thing would apply here.
28.
     PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
29.
         Senator Joyce.
30.
     SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:
31.
         Well, I...I just...I don't think that that really specifies
32.
```

who would be...who would come under this Act. I think that a

- 1. lot of people that go to...flea markets and what have you, who
- 2. are just innocent bystanders and that...could get caught up
- 3. in this. I think it's a bad concept, I think it ought to be
- ▲ defeated.
- 5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- Is there further discussion? Senator Bruce.
- 7. SENATOR BRUCE:
- Thank you, Mr. President. I wonder...Senator Mahar, is
- 9. the requirement that if you deal in more than five hundred
- 10. dollars in one day that you have to go down to the sheriff's
- 11. office? Is that...
- 12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- Senator Mahar. Senator Mahar.
- 14. SENATOR MAHAR:
- Yes, thank you. The bill has been changed a great deal
- and...you may be reading one of Representative Kosinski's earlier
- bills, but there's been a great deal of work done on the bill
- 18. to make it a reasonable type bill that could be supported as
- I said, by the retail merchants, by the jewelers. And it just
- 20. seems to me that when you've got these people who are in the
- business everyday and they don't have objection to this bill,
- 22. that it ought to be something that they...they want, they can
- use and there's no problem as far as they're concerned.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 25. Senator Bruce.
- 26. SENATOR BRUCE:
- Well, I...I'm sure the jewelers and the retail merchants are
- all in favor of this because it seems to restrain trade, I mean
- 29. that's why they would all be absolutely in favor of it and
- to say that I think, is not necessarily a...a good thing for the normal
- 31. consumer. My question though is, the requirement, which I don't
- find taken out in any amendment, that says if you buy or sell
- more than five hundred dollars in one day the records have to 33.

be taken down to the local law enforcement people at the ١. end of the next business day. Is that still in? 2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) Senator Mahar. 4. SENATOR MAHAR: 5. No, Sir, that is not in there. There's no requirement for 6. the dealer to go to the police department, the sheriff, the 7. next day or the same day or any day. And there is no holding, 8. period, all that has been taken out of the bill. 9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 10. Senator Bruce. 11. SENATOR BRUCE: 12. All right, I'll...I...I would just like, if you would 13. tell me then, having gone through all the amendments, I don't 14. see that taken out. Was that taken out in the House and exactly 15. what is the bill...it was not taken out in the Senate amendments. 16. Senate amendments are minor little technical changes, not the 17. least of which is exempting the largest county in the largest 18. city in the State of Illinois and putting this gem upon the 19. downstate individuals and...and forgetting about the largest 20. problem where, if this is an antitheft bill, I would guess, 21. just offhand, that ninety-nine percent of the theft, in value, 22. occurs in Cook County in the City of Chicago, yet this gem 23. doesn't apply to you. We don't have a theft problem. Why are 24. we having this pressed down upon our brow? 25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 26. Senator Mahar. 27. SENATOR MAHAR: 28. In answer to your first question, Amendment No. 1 in the 29. House struck the enacting clause and rewrote the bill, so that's 30. taken out. As far as the preemption is concerned, it doesn't 31. preempt the non home rule communities in Cook County, it...preempts the 32. rule communities...their...the Chicago and the home rule home 33.

- 1. communities were taken out. But of the eighteen municipalities in
- 2. my district, sixteen of them are non home rule, and they
- 3. want this bill.
- 4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- Is there further discussion? Senator Simms.
- 6. SENATOR SIMMS:

17.

20.

21.

- 7. Well, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
- 8. I rise in support of House Bill 36. I think the amendments that
- have been placed on this bill are a reasonable attempt to compromise
- in an area that is necessary. There is, because of the value of
- the precious metals that we have today in the United States, and
- because of the fluid market that has prevailed dealing with
- the theft of precious metals, that it is now becoming an 13.
- extremely lucrative thing for people to rob homes, to rob individuals 14.
- of their precious jewelry and basically the police department would 15.
- have no way of...enforcement or no way of being able to track
- this type of...material to the source of the individual that
- is a potential individual that is dealing in stolen articles.
- It does exempt Cook County, but we do have a major problem in
- downstate Illinois. We have a problem in Rockford, we have a
- problem in Peoria, Springfield, and the other downstate municipalities.
- And this is a reasonable compromise to at least assist downstate 22.
- law enforcement in dealing with a serious problem and that is 23.
- the problem of home vandalism, home theft of precious metals 24.
- and objects of great value and I would urge that the House do
- support...the Senate do support House Bill No. 36 as a 26.
- reasonable approach to the problem.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Mahar may close...
- Senator Bruce.
- SENATOR BRUCE:
- Well, I...I justgot a hold of this gem, finally, the..the
- copy. And Senator, I...I think Senator Simms is worried about 33.

Page 80 - June 18, 1981

- 1. Rockford as a home rule unit won't apply to you so watch out
- 2. for the thieves in Rockford. I don't know why...everyone who is talking
- 3. about this bill...they don't live in...in where it's going to be covered.
- 4. And the amendment says no home rule unit municipality, so I
- 5. don't know, you can adopt your Act if you want to, but in
- 6. Section 8, you are excluding them and I...I just point out that
- 7. ...we are starting...if you want one for the Sunset Commission,
- 8. we are starting to regulate the sale of antique jewelry, that's
- 9. what this bill does and it does all sorts of things, not the
- 10. least of which is saying, that you cannot sell or be involved
- 11. in the sale of jewelry unless you go down to the police chief
- 12. and tell him that you have an established place of business.
- 13. One of the things that happens throughout southern Illinois
- 14. is people come in and...and open a one day shop at the local
- 15. Holiday Inn and say, I'm in business to buy old gold and
- 16. jewelry, silver, whatever you want to bring out, I'll
- 17. appraise it and pay you right there in cash. This bill says
- 18. unless you've got a ninety day lease, you can't do it and
- 19. even if you've got a ninety day lease, the building that
- 20. you lease cannot be called a hotel or motel. And one of the
- 21. reasons, I'm sure, that jewelers are all in favor of this,
- 22. is because they are getting some competition from these
- 23. people. ... The other thing is, that although you don't .
- 24. have to...make the reports, if you're going to do this
- 25. kind of business, you've got to go down and give the
- 26. police chief, as I read it...who you are, register with
- 27. the police, I've never heard of any businessman having
- 28. to register. It says on Page...Page 2, he first registers
- 29. with the chief of police of the municipality in which his
- $_{
 m 30.}$ place of business in located. I know of no other business
- 31. in the State of Illinois that you have to go down and
- 32. register with the chief of police before you do business.
- 33. It just...it's just outrageous. I don't know what the

ı. country is coming to, but I don't know why we don't...I'm sure that people have been hooked by other merchants, why 2. don't we register the people that sells candy to kids and that sells gasoline and shorts people. It just seems to 4. me that every businessman...if...if the retail merchants 5. are in favor of this when I'm really...I'm sure they 6. are, but I hope we have other licensing bills to make 7. these guys don't...tne chief of police and sign a 8. certificate that you're doing business in their community. 9. It's a strange day when they support these bills. 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 11. Is there further discussion? Senator Simms. 12. SENATOR SIMMS: 13. Well, just to respond to Senator Bruce, the amendment 14. took out home rule units in counties under two million 15. population and to my knowledge, Rockford...Winnebago County 16. does not have two million people and thank goodness we're 17. not in Cook. 18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 19. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Mahar may 20. close debate. 21. SENATOR MAHAR: 22. Thank you. Just to react to...to what Senator Bruce 23. has said. First of all, as Senator Simms says, we're 24. not talking about the home rule municipalities downstate, 25. we're talking about home rule municipalities in Cook 26. County. Now, there is, as I said earlier, there are eighteen 27. municipalities in my district, sixteen of which are non 28. home rule and which would be covered by this. As a 29. small businessman for the last thirty years, I feel kind 30. of bad that I'm the sponsor of this terrible bill for 31.

business. I didn't think that I really reacted that

way toward business...and in behalf of business, I think it's

32.

The state of the s

Page 82 - June 18, 1981

ι.	a good bill. In behalf of those business people and the
2.	police forces, actually this bill was brought to me by
3.	police departments who thought something should be done.
4.	This is an honest, sincere attempt to do something about
5.	a problem that's increasing throughout the State of Illinois
6.	and I think throughout the country. There are all kinds of
7.	statistics that show that the vandalism and home break-
8.	ins is resulting in an awful lot ofof valuable material
9.	being moved into these fly-by-night operations and this
10.	is an attempt to correct it andthe bill has been amended
11.	several times inin the Senate and in the House in an
12.	attempt to take care of many of those problems. I
13.	would ask for your favorable consideration.
14.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
15.	The question is shall House Bill 36 pass. Those in
16.	favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting
17.	is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
18.	wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
19.	question the Ayes are 28, the Nays are 19, 1 Voting Present.
20.	House Bill 36, having failed to receive the constitutional
21.	majority is declared lost. For what purpose does Senator
22.	Mahar arise? SenatorMahar seeks leave to postpone consideration
23.	of House Bill 36. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. House
24.	Bill 38, Senator Sangmeister. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
25.	ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)
26.	House Bill 38.
27.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
28.	3rd reading of the bill.
29.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
30.	Senator Sangmeister.
31.	SENATOR SANGMEISTER:
32.	Mr. President and members of the Senate. The present law,
33.	is that coroner's records are admissible in criminal proceedings,
2.4	but not in civil proceedings. Certainly if we allow those record

to be allowed in an area of criminal activity, which is ı. carefully scrutinized as far as evidence...evidence...matters 2. are concerned, we ought to allow it in civil matters and that's what the bill does. And request an approval...a favorable roll, 4. will answer any questions. 5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 6. Is there further discussion? Senator Simms. 7. SENATOR SIMMS: 8. Mr. President, will the sponsor yield for a couple of 9. questions? What...in...in essence...Senator Sangmeister, 10. what this will do is to allow that coroners' proceedings 11. would be admissible into civil proceedings? 12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 13. Senator Sangmeister. 14. SENATOR SANGMEISTER: 15. No, not the proceedings themself nor your verdict...or 16. any coroner's verdict goes in, it's just that the medical 17. records that are obtained by the coroner. For example, 18. as far as intoxication was concerned, if the person was 19. killed in the accident, that would be admissible in a...in 20. a civil proceeding. 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 22. Is there any further discussion? If not, the question is 23. shall House Bill 38 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those 24. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 25. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the 26. record. On that question the Ayes are 51, the Nays are none, 27. none Voting Present. House Bill 38, having received the consti-28. tutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 46, Senator 29. Walsh. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 30. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES) 31. House Bill 46. 32.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

33.

Page 84 - June 18, 1981

- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) ı. 2. Senator Walsh. 3. SENATOR WALSH: Mr. President and members of the Senate. The...description 4. of House Bill 46 on the Calendar is accurate. It is, as introduced, 5. identical to Senate Bill 51, which passed this Chamber with little or no opposition, I think it was on the Agreed Bill List. There 7. is an amendment to the bill which provides that the...any bond A. placed...by or on behalf...on behalf of a relative or other person for the defendant cannot be used to pay any court ordered 10. attorney's fees. That is the only distinction in the bill from 11. Senate Bill 51. There is also an amendment to the bill, which 12 was placed on the bill yesterday by Senator Etheredge decreasing 13. the number of preemptory challenges. Also a bill which passed 14. the...Senate on the Agreed Bill List to the best of my recollection. 15. I know of...of...as I say, little or no objection to this bill 16. in the Senate and I urge your favorable consideration. 17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 18. Is there further discussion? Senator Bruce. 19. SENATOR BRUCE: 20. I was just...Senator Walsh, this was on the Agreed Bill 21. List, but I did not know that you had added or reduced the 22. number of preemptory challenges. What...what was the nature 23. of that amendment? I don't know if Senator D'Arco had a 24. chance to look at that one or not. 25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 26. Senator Walsh. 27. SENATOR WALSH: 28. ... If I might yield to Senator Etheredge. That was his 29. amendment which...and I think he could answer your question.
- SENATOR ETHEREDGE: 33.

Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30.

31.

Page 85 - June 18, 1981

```
1.
        Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The
    amendment in question was added yesterday and it was identical
2.
    to the content of Senate Bill 868 which passed out of this
3.
    Body on the Agreed Bill List.
    PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
5.
         Is there further discussion? Senator Hall.
6.
    SENATOR HALL:
7.
        Will the sponsor yield for a question?
8.
    PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
9.
        He indicates he will.
10.
    SENATOR HALL:
11.
         Senator, if only indigent defendants are...who post bail
12.
    to reimburse the county for expenses, isn't that...violates the
13.
    equal protection under the law?
14.
                         (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
    PRESIDING OFFICER:
15.
         Senator Walsh.
16.
    SENATOR WALSH:
17.
        No, Senator. This would be...any person for whom a court
18.
    appointed attorney...acted...in a criminal case. So it...actually
19.
    you...you wouldn't have a court appointed attorney unless, you
20.
    know, you...you were indigent or...or filed an indigent...an
21.
     indigent affidavit in the first place. This...this bill is in
22.
    accord with Fuller versus Oregon, which was upheld by the United
23.
    States Supreme Court.
24.
     PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
25.
         Senator Hall.
26.
     SENATOR HALL:
27.
         Well, I'm just reading what his Digest says, it says certain
28.
     indigents and that's what I was going by ... evidently, you're
29.
     not specifying just that, is that right?
30.
     PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
31.
         Senator Walsh.
32.
     SENATOR WALSH:
```

Page 86 - June 18, 1981

Well, number one, you...you wouldn't have a court appointed ı. attorney unless you filed an affidavit as an indigent. So the 2. court...the court wouldn't get into appointing...counsel unless 3. that were done. Now, it's only in certain cases, because the Δ. court has the authority, it's discretionary, they need not 5. order the payment of attorney's fees, but they might. 6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 7. Senator Hall. R. SENATOR HALL: 9. I just had one other question. If the defendant has not... 10. been admitted to bail, is he still...required to make any payment, 11. if he's never been committed to bail? 12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 13. Senator Walsh. 14. SENATOR HALL: 15. Is this...this is creating a new section, aren't you.... 16. saying that? .17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 18. Senator Walsh. 19. SENATOR WALSH: 20. Well, the...the answer to your question would be yes, if 21. he can afford it, and the judge doesn't order the payment of 22. attorney's fees unless the ...unless the defendant has the 23. money with which to pay the fees. 24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 25. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Walsh may 26. close debate. 27. SENATOR WALSH: 28. I request a favorable roll call. 29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 30. The question is shall House Bill 46 pass. Those in favor 31. will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. 32.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted

Abyropines

SECRETARY:

House Bill 67.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

32:

33.

34.

Page 87 - June 18, 1981

```
who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 52,
1
    the Nays are none, 1 Voting Present. House Bill 46, having
2.
    received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House
3.
    Bill 64, Senator Geo-Karis. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
4.
    SECRETARY:
5.
        House Bill 64.
6.
              (Secretary reads title of bill)
7.
     3rd reading of the bill.
8.
    PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
9.
        Senator Geo-Karis.
10.
    SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
11.
        Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House
12.
    Bill 64 is jointly cosponsored by Senator Becker and myself and
13.
     it amends the Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act to permit
14.
     courts to grant reasonable visitation privileges to grandparents
15.
     upon motion to the court with proper notice and upon a court
16.
     finding that such visitation is in the best interest and welfare
17.
    of the child. Wisconsin was the first state to pass a law
18.
     granting grandparents the right to petition the court for
19.
     visitation privileges when there is a divorce in the family. And
20.
     since then, twenty-six states have enacted similar Statutes.
21.
     ask for favorable consideration.
22.
     PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
23.
         Is there any discussion? If not...if not, the question
24.
     is shall House Bill 64 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye.
25.
     Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted
26.
     who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
27.
     Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 51, the Nays
28.
     are 1, none Voting Present. House Bill 64, having received
29.
     the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill
30.
     67, Senator Nega. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
31.
```

Page 88 - June 18, 1981

- l. 3rd reading of the bill.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 2.
- Senator Nega. 3.
- SENATOR NEGA: 4 .
- Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. This bill 5.
- would permit retired members of the Assembly, if they wish, to 6.
- purchase license plates for their cars designated that they 7.
- are retired members. A retired member is classified as...to 8.
- qualify for this, he would have to have...have eight years of 9.
- service and age of fifty-five or for those members who terminate 10.
- service after July the 1st, '71, after four years of service, 11.
- at the age of sixty-two. This bill passed the House 137 to 3. 12.
- I ask for a favorable roll call. 13.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 14.
- Is there any discussion? Senator Newhouse. Senator...if 15.
- there's no further discussion, the question is shall House 16.
- Bill 67 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed 17.
- vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who 18.
- wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 19.
- Take the record. Senator Nega asks leave to have House 20.
- Bill 67 put on the Order of Postponed Consideration. Is leave 21.
- granted? Leave is granted. House Bill 70, Senator Nedza. 22.
- Oh, read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 23.
- SECRETARY: 24.
- House Bill 70. 25.
- (Secretary reads title of bill) 26.
- 3rd reading of the bill. 27.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 28.
- Senator Nedza. 29.
- SENATOR NEDZA: 30.
- Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the 31.
- Senate. The Calendar correctly describes the bill in that
- 32. it amends the Municipal Code in relation to home rule referendum
- 33.

Page 89 - June 18, 1981

- 1. after a population decrease and permits corporate...authorities
- 2. to tax persons in business of distributing or selling natural
- 3. gas at a rate of...not to exceed, five percent of gross receipts,
- 4. in Chicago at a rate of not more than eight percent. If there
- 5. are no questions, I would move for a favorable roll call.
- 6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 7. Is there any discussion? If not, the question...Senator
- 8. Walsh.
- 9. SENATOR WALSH:
- Senator, would you explain the...the amendment again 2 I...I
- am familiar with the bill as introduced...but the...the amendment
- is something I...I didn't understand.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- Senator Nedza.
- SENATOR NEDZA:
- Yes, Senator, the...the exact terminology that you see on
- your Calendar is, in effect, the bill. What it does, is to
- take the...the gas company and put that in the same parity 18.
- as the other utilities, basically Illinois Bell and Commonwealth 19.
- Edison. What it does is make it equitable across the board 20.
- for all of them.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- Is there any further discussion? Senator Walsh.
- SENATOR WALSH:
- Well...this authorizes the...city to impose a gross receipts 25.
- tax on, what is it, on People's Gas where they...they do not 26.
- now have the authority to do that, is that correct?
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- Senator Nedza.
- SENATOR NEDZA:
- Yes, they do, Senator. Presently it's at five percent, but
- the Illinois Bell and Commonwealth Edison is at eight and what ${\bf 32.}$
- we're doing...attempting to do with this is to put People's 33.
- 34. Gas at the same parity with Illinois Bell and Commonwealth Edison.

2. Is there further discussion? Senator Walsh. SENATOR WALSH: 3. ...Wouldn't the city have that authority now, under its 4. home rule...power? 5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 6. Senator Nedza. 7. SENATOR NEDZA: 8. No, Sir, that's the reason for the bill. 9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 10. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator...oh, Senator 11. Bowers. 12. SENATOR BOWERS: 13. Well, I was quickly trying to read the amendment and...and 14. there's added language here of power granted to municipalities 15. of five hundred thousand or fewer population. That sounds 16. to me like municipalities other than the City of Chicago. 17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 18. Senator Nedza. 19. SENATOR NEDZA: 20. I think if you read it, it should be over. 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 22.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Well, I'm reading from the first page of the amendment and 25. I'll...and I'll have to...I'll have to admit to you, it's 26. the first time I read it. It says, persons engaged in the 27. business of distributing or supplying, so forth, in the corporate 28. limits of a municipality of five hundred thousand or fewer 29. population. Now...and not for raising the rate, so forth and so 30. on. It seems to me you're adding language that grants power 31. to all the municipalities and not to the City of Chicago. 32.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Bowers.

SENATOR BOWERS:

23.

```
Senator Bowers, Senator Rock seems to be able to answer
l.
    your question. Senator Rock.
2.
    SENATOR ROCK:
3.
        My understanding, Senator Bowers, Mr. President and Ladies
4.
    and Gentlemen of the Senate, there are two separate sections.
5.
    For municipalities other than the City of Chicago, the rate
6.
    would remain where it is currently, at five percent, and for
7.
     the City of Chicago, if you'll turn to Page 2 of the amendment,
8.
    a municipality of over five hundred thousand population, a rate
9.
    not to exceed eight percent. So we are raising the rate...I
10.
    am told, as a matter of fact, that the large utility, People's
11.
    Gas Company, has no objection, they are, in fact, in favor of...
12.
     as is the City of Chicago, obviously.
13.
    PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
14.
        Is there any further discussion? If not, Senator Nedza
15.
    may close debate.
16.
    SENATOR NEDZA:
17.
         I request a favorable roll call.
18.
    PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
19.
         The question is shall House Bill 70 pass. Those in favor
20.
    will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.
21.
     Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all
22.
     voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are
23.
     32, the Nays are 18, 3 Voting Present. House Bill 70, having
24.
     received the constitutional majority is declared passed.
25.
     Bill 73, Senator Chew. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
26.
     SECRETARY:
27.
        House Bill 73.
28.
              (Secretary reads title of bill)
29.
     3rd reading of the bill.
30.
     PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
```

31.

32.

33.

Senator Chew.

SENATOR CHEW:

- Mr. President, this is a bill that was amended to take ı. out the objection by Senator Howard Carroll yesterday. I 2. know of no objections now, we accepted the amendment and I'd 3. ask for a favorable roll call. No...no, not 73, 143, I'm sorry, I'm sorry, I'm sorry, excuse me, I'm... 5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 6. Take it out of the record. Obviously, there's been objection. 7. Take it out of the record. House Bill 76, Senator Lemke. Read 8. the bill, Mr. Secretary. 9. SECRETARY: 10. House Bill 76. 11. (Secretary reads title of bill) 12. 3rd reading of the bill. 13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 14. Senator Lemke. 15. SENATOR LEMKE: 16. This bill is similar to Senate Bill...23, which we passed 17. out...of the Senate, 50 to 1, I think. What it does, is just 18. simply adds, "national origin" in the Human Rights Act. 19. everybody...to conform the Act to the other sections. I 20. ask for a favorable roll call. . 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 22. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is shall 23. House Bill 76 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those 24. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who 25. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that 26. question the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none Voting 27. Present. House Bill 76, having received the constitutional 28. majority is declared passed. House Bill 77, Senator...House 29. Bill 83, Senator Coffey. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 30.
- House Bill 83.

SECRETARY:

31.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

```
3rd reading of the bill.
ı.
    PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
        Senator Coffey.
3.
    SENATOR COFFEY:
4.
        Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. House
5.
    Bill 83 amends unlawful use of weapons provision and attempts
     to legalize private possession of small tear gas or other
7.
    nonlethal...noxious substance weapons for self-defense. You
R.
    must be eighteen years of...eighteen years of age to purchase
٩.
    and you must be sixteen years of age for possession. The
10.
    canister can be no larger than a hundred and thirty grams.
11.
    PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
12.
        Is there any discussion? If not...if not, the question
13.
     is shall House Bill 83 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye.
14.
    Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted
15.
     who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
16.
     Take the record. On that...on that question...the Ayes are
17.
     53, the Nays are 1, none Voting Present. House Bill 83, having
18.
     received the constitutional majority is declared passed.
19.
     House Bill 97, Senator Netsch. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
20.
     SECRETARY:
21.
         House Bill 97.
22.
              (Secretary reads title of bill)
23.
     3rd reading of the bill.
24.
     PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
25.
         Senator Netsch.
26.
     SENATOR NETSCH:
27.
         Thank you, Mr. President. This bill amends the Senior
28.
     Citizen and Disabled Persons Property Tax Relief Grant to
29.
     deal only with the so-called additional or supplemental
30.
     grant, it doesn't affect the circuit...circuit breaker at
31.
     all. And it substitutes an eighty dollar flat grant, for
32.
     the present fairly complicated formula. There is no cost
```

to the State. There is no additional cost to the State.

33.

```
This turns out to be about the average of the current payment
١.
    and all of the fiscal notes say that there is no fiscal impact.
 2.
    It really does two things; one, it eliminates what is referred
 3.
     to as the discontinuity in the present schedule. To give an
    illustration, under the present law, on the additional grant,
5.
     if your income is two thousand, nine hundred and ninety-nine
6
    dollars, the formula works out so that you get a grant of
7.
    ninety dollars. But if your income is one dollar higher than
8.
     that, three thousand dollars, the formula works out so that
9.
    you get a grant of only seventy-five dollars. It's just...
10.
    happens to be the way the formula works. At several points
11.
     along the line, a difference of maybe one dollar or at most
12.
     a hundred dollars will actually drop your grant by a fairly
13.
    considerable amount. That is the so-called discontinuity
14.
     in the present schedule. It obviously is unfair and doesn't
15.
    make a lot of sense and this bill, among other things, would
16.
    eliminate that strange part of the schedule. It also, I think,
17.
    would achieve one other objective. We obviously cannot afford
18.
     an increase in the Senior Citizen Circuit Breaker or additional
19.
     grant this Legislative Session. But by going to a flat grant
20.
    of eighty dollars, it does provide a little bit of relief, if
21.
    you will, for those at the very lowest end of the income bracket,
22.
     those for whom this whole program is essentially designed.
23.
     I'll be happy to answer questions. If not, I would solicit your
24.
     support.
25.
    PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
26.
         Is there any discussion? Senator McMillan.
27.
     SENATOR McMILLAN:
28.
         Question...question of the sponsor. I was with you a
29.
     hundred percent till you got to the last statement whereby
30.
     it's going to provide extra relief for somebody, which means,
31.
     it's either going to take away...well, it's obviously going
32.
     to take away from...from some, but by making that statement,
33.
```

I just want to make sure you're not also indicating that

```
it's going to cost us more.
ı.
    PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
)
        Senator Netsch.
3.
    SENATOR NETSCH:
        No, every fiscal note that we have gotten, Senator McMillan,
5.
    indicates that there is no cost. Now, if you ask me, is it, you
6.
    know, dime for dime or penny for penny, I don't know. But...but
7.
    Revenue, Economic and Fiscal, all tell us that there is no
 8.
    additional cost to the bill. It will involve a little bit
9.
    of shifting within those who are currently available. For example,
10.
    someone whose income, the...the countable income, is only two
11.
     thousand, which obviously is pretty much the bottom of the
12.
    economic...barrell, ladder rather, now has a grant of seventy
13.
     dollars. That person would be raised to eighty dollars, but
14.
     the...it comes out of somebody else's. It levels everyone
15.
    off to eighty dollars.
16.
     PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
17.
         Senator McMillan.
18.
     SENATOR McMILLAN:
19.
         But I guess my concern is, those at the very bottom, now
20.
    have grants above eighty and you've indicated that by this we're
21.
     going to do something to make sure that the ones are very...at
22.
     the very bottom, get more money, when, in fact, the ones at
23.
     the very bottom are probably going to get less money as I
24.
     understand it.
25.
     PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
26.
         Senator Netsch.
27.
     SENATOR NETSCH:
28.
         No...the discontinuity would make some differences in this
```

answer, but that, for example, someone at two thousand, I consider about the bottom of the ladder in terms of income. That person, currently, the way the formula works, gets seventy dollars. That person would get eighty dollars in the future. That would not

29.

30.

31.

32.

- l. change until you got up a little bit higher on the income scale.
- And...as I indicated before, the person who gets...whose income, 2.
- that is attributable to the formula, gets two thousand, nine 3.
- hundred and ninety-nine dollars, now gets a grant of...or is 4.
- eligible for a grant of eighty...of ninety dollars. That one 5.
- would be reduced, but that person really ought to be leveled 6.
- off because of the fact that it is completely out of line with 7.
- the immediate income brackets below and above that, where that 8.
- person is right now, anyway. So that is what I mean what it 9.
- will do...it'll do a little bit of shifting within those who 10.
- are currently eligible, but the overall cost remains the 11.
- same. 12.

21.

- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 13.
- Is there further discussion? Senator Berning. 14.
- SENATOR BERNING: 15.
- Well, thank you, Mr. President. I... I have no question now 16.
- about what the bill is doing, but it seems to me that what we 17.
- are confronted with here is a request to take from some...who 18.
- all of us know are not overly endowed now, but just by the 19.
- pure happenstance of income, being just a little more than 20.
- someone else, they are going to have their benefit reduced,
- even if it's only by ten or twenty dollars. And I submit to 22.
- the members of the Senate, that ten or twenty dollars is 23.
- significant to those whose income, at this point, qualifies 24.
- them for that maximum of ninety or a hundred dollars. And
- by way of comparison, let . me point out to you that everyone 26.
- in the State of Illinois who pays income taxes, jumps over 27.
- into a higher rate when his or her income exceeds a certain 28.
- amount. This is a way of life, we can't gear everything totally 29.
- to equality, whether we like it or not, because there is a 30.
- wide discrepancy in income from individual to individual. And 31.
- while this makes an effort to help the lower income, it ought 32.
- not to be done at the expense of those few who are getting more 33.

HB 123 3d readings

Page 97 - June 18, 1981

- 1. than this flat minimum. We ought to just be raising the lowered
- 2. income.
- 3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 4. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Netsch may
- 5. close debate.
- 6. SENATOR NETSCH:
- 7. Well, again, if I might, Senator Berning, let me explain
- $\boldsymbol{8}.$ that one of the problems in the way the current law acts, the
- 9. way it...what it results in, is that a difference of, maybe as
- 10. little as one dollar of income that is calculated into the
- 11. formula can make an enormous difference in the amount of
- 12. grant that is available to that...or those two recipients.
- 13. That, I think, everyone has acknowledged, including the
- 14. people who administer the program, is...is very unfair. If
- 15. someone who gets twenty-nine hundred and ninety-nine dollars,
- 16. gets a grant of ninety dollars, but they go up one dollar, they
- drop to seventy-five, that does not make an awful lot of sense.
- 18. That should be addressed, under any circumstances. This bill
- 19. does address that, and it does it probably in the only way that
- 20. can be done right now, because we obviously are not in a position
- 21. to put more money into the program. So that is one of its
- 22. principal objectives, and it does that by going to a flat grant,
- 23. which I think is fair for everyone involved.
- 24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- The question is shall House Bill 97 pass. Those in favor
- 26. will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is
- open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have
- 27. Open. have all voted who wish: have all voted who wish: ha
- 28. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the
- 29. Ayes are 44, the Nays are 11, none Voting Present. House Bill
- 97, having received the constitutional majority is declared passed.
- House Bill 109, Senator Nedza. House Bill 120, Senator Netsch.
- 32. Read the bill, Mr...House Bill 123, Senator Lemke. Senator Lemke,
- 33. do you want to call 123? Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY: 1. House Bill 123. 2. (Secretary reads title of bill) 3. 3rd reading of the bill. 4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 5. Senator Lemke. 6. SENATOR LEMRE: 7. What this does is set up the law to regulate dance studios. 8. Senator Rhoads would like to...read something into the record 9. from the Attorney General's Office to give what...for clarification. 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 11. Senator Rhoads. 12. SENATOR RHOADS: 13. I think it's important to state here for the record, that 14. it's not the legislative intent of this bill to cover private 15. country clubs, and that is not the intent of the Attorney General 16. nor of the sponsors or...or backers of the legislation. 17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 18. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is shall 19. House Bill 123 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed 20. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 21. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the 22. record. On that question the Ayes are 56, the Nays are none, none 23. Voting Present. House Bill 123, having received the constitutional 24. majority is declared passed. House Bill 132, Senator Sangmeister. 25. For what purpose does Senator Nedza arise? 26. SENATOR NEDZA: 27. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Inadvertently, when you 28. were calling 112, I was standing in the Well having a discussion. 29. I would ask leave to go back to 112, if it's possible? 30.

Is leave granted? Leave is not granted. Senator Sangmeister

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

on House Bill 132. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

31.

```
1.
    SECRETARY:
2.
         ... House Bill 132.
              (Secretary reads title of bill)
 3.
     3rd reading of the bill.
4.
     PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
5.
         Senator Sangmeister.
6.
     SENATOR SANGMEISTER:
7.
         Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate
8.
     Bill 132 is the result of work on a bipartisan basis between
9.
     Representative Getty and Representative Leinenweber, who are
10.
     members of the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform
11.
     State Laws. This one pertains to Uniform Post Conviction
12.
     Procedure Act. Those that practice criminal law know that there
13.
     are `many procedures that can be brought in the way of post conviction.
14.
    This puts them all under one heading, so that there will be one
15.
     simple procedure to follow in post conviction proceedings.
16.
     It codifies it, puts it in a very simple form and that's what
17.
     it does and I would ask for a favorable vote.
18.
     PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
19.
         Is there any discussion? Senator Bowers.
20.
    SENATOR BOWERS:
21.
         I would like, as a matter of legislative history and get
22.
     it on the record, Senator Sangmeister. Now this is purported to
23.
    be procedural only and does not make any substantive changes in
24.
     the...in the process, is that what we're saying?
25.
     PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
26.
         Senator Sangmeister.
27.
     SENATOR SANGMEISTER:
28.
         Yes, basically, that is correct. Particularly if you are
29.
     referring to the writ of habeas corpus, which is procedurally
30.
     spelled out in this bill, but it...pursuant with the Illinois
31.
     Constitution we cannot suspend habeas corpus, but can provide
32.
     for the proceedings by which it can be brought and that's what
33.
```

this bill does.

Page 100 - June 18, 1981

ı. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) Is there further discussion? Senator Bowers. If not, the 2. question is shall House Bill 132 pass. Those in favor will vote 3. Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all 4. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 5. who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 6. 54, the Nays are none, 2 Voting Present. House Bill 132, having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. 8. House Bill 134, Senator Schaffer. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 9. SECRETARY: 10. House Bill 134. 11. (Secretary reads title of bill) 12. 3rd reading of the bill. 13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 14. Senator Schaffer. 15. SENATOR SCHAFFER: 16. Mr...Mr. President, several years ago, a appellate court 17. decision indicated that a EPA permit would preempt local city 18. and county zoning on the placement of gravel pits. Since then 19. we've had considerable problem in my part of the world with 20. this issue. This Senate has once passed a...a virtually identical 21. bill which was regrettably vetoed by the...Governor. This 22. bill simply would return, to the locals from...and...and where 23. it should be, the power...of zoning for gravel pits. It's supported 24 by the Municipal League, the Farm Bureau and the EPA who say they 25. do not wish to be a zoning agency. I think it's a good bill, 26. appreciate your support. 27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 28. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is shall 29. House Bill 134 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed 30. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 31. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. 32. On that question the Ayes are 50, the Nays are 2, 2 Voting Present.

31.

32.

33.

Page 101 - June 18, 1981

House Bill 134 having received the constitutional majority is 1. declared passed. House Bill 143, Senator Chew. Senator Chew. 2. House Bill 145, Senator Marovitz. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 3. SECRETARY: 4. House Bill 145. 5. (Secretary reads title of bill) ۴. 3rd reading of the bill. 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 8. Senator Marovitz. 9. SENATOR MAROVITZ: 10. Thank you, very much, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen 11. of the Senate. House Bill 145 consolidates all laws relating 12. to civil procedure into a single act, sections are rearranged 13. in chronological order so that respective steps occur in judicial 14. proceedings. The bill passed the House 152 to 0. The Code 15. amalgamates and integrates a number of procedural acts into 16. a United Legislative Product, which encompasses the whole 17. field of civil practice and coordinates all other articles 18. so that there are no inconsistencies. I would ask for an affirmative 19. roll call on House Bill 145. 20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 21. Is there discussion? Senator Marovitz. 22. SENATOR MAROVITZ: 23. This bill was drafted by the Law Revision Commission and 24. it's intended to be nonsubstantive in nature. 25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 26. Discussion? Discussion? The question is shall House Bill 27. 145 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. 28. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 29. who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 55, 30. the Nays are none, none Voting Present. Senate... House Bill 145,

having received the required constitutional majority is declared

passed. 158, Senator Lemke. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

33.

Page 102 - June 18, 1981

l.	SECRETARY:
2.	House Bill 158.
3.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
4.	3rd reading of the bill.
5.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
6.	Senator Lemke.
7.	SENATOR LEMKE:
8.	What this does ismakes a requirement toin regards
9.	to the conducting of raffles in the State as to local govern-
10.	ment permits the governing bodies of a county ofor one
11.	or more municipalities pursuant to a written contract to
12.	jointly establish a system of licensing of organizations
13.	to operate raffles when in any areacontiguous territory
14.	not contained in the corporate limits of a municipality.
15.	It also allows thethat it become immediately effective,
16.	also allowsdrum and bugle corpstheatrical groups to
17.	haveand PTA's to have raffles. I think it's a good bill.
18.	I ask for its adoption.
19.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
20.	Is thereis there discussion? Senator Walsh.
21.	SENATOR WALSH:
22.	Mr. President and members of the Senate, this is a
23.	rather significant bill in that itit provides that license
24.	can now be issued to not-for-profit corporations, where under
25.	the present law, licenses can only be issued to charitable or
26.	fraternal organizations. So, you can have a not-for-profit
27.	organization with a verya very highly paidstaff
28.	deriving significant benefits fromfrom gambling activities.
29.	I think this is a bad bill and should be defeated.
30.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
31.	Senator Lemke.
32.	SENATOR LEMKE:
2.2	This just allows not-for-profit organizations. I don't

Page 103 - June 18, 1931

```
l.
       see anything for profit organizations getting...being regulated
 2.
       and this is regulated by local government. If the local govern-
 З.
       ment doesn't want to give those, they won't give it to them,
       but we leave it up to local option. That's what the bill is
4.
 5.
       all about and the local government passes their own ordinance as
6.
       to how they want to handle the handling of raffles. And I
       don't think anybody...in local government is going to let profit
 7.
       organizations handle raffles, if they want to get reelected.
 8.
       So, I...I can't see...any problem with this bill and...there's
 9.
       no...and I think it's a good bill.
10.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
11.
            Further discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 158
12.
       pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The
13.
       voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
14.
       wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
15.
       question, the Ayes are 32, the Nays are 21, 1 Voting Present.
16.
       House Bill 158 having received the required constitutional
17.
       majority is declared passed. 159, Senator Gitz. Senator Walsh.
18.
       SENATOR WALSH:
19.
            I request a verification of the affirmative votes.
20.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
21.
            Senator Walsh has requested a verification of those who
22.
       voted in the affirmative. Will the members please be in their
23.
       seats? The Secretary will call those who voted in the affirmative.
24.
       When your name is called will you please respond?
25.
       SECRETARY:
26.
            The following voted in the affirmative: Becker, Berman,
27.
       Bruce, Carroll, Chew, Collins, D'Arco, Dawson, Degnan, Egan,
28.
       Friedland, Geo-Karis, Gitz, Grotberg, Hall, Johns, Jeremiah
29.
       Joyce, Jerome Joyce, Kent, Lemke, Maitland, Marovitz, Nash,
30.
       Nedza, Nega, Newhouse, Savickas, Simms, Taylor, Thomas,
31.
       Vadalabene, Mr. President.
32.
```

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Page 104 - June 18; 1981

```
1.
            Senator Walsh, do you question the presence of any member?
2.
       On a verified roll call, there are 32 Ayes, 21 Nays, 1 Voting
3.
       Present. And House Bill 158 having received the required
4.
       constitutional...majority is declared passed. Senator Lemke
5.
       moves to reconsider the vote by which the bill passed. Senator
6.
       ... Vadalabene moves to Table that motion. On the motion to
       Table, all in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it.
7.
       The motion to reconsider is Tabled. 159, Senator Gitz. Read
8.
       the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.
9.
       SECRETARY:
10.
            House Bill 159.
11.
                 (Secretary reads title of bill)
12.
       3rd reading of the bill.
13.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
14.
            Senator Gitz.
15.
       SENATOR GITZ:
16.
            Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.
17.
       is a data information systems bill. It basically accomplishes
18.
       three things. It requires every State agency or department that
19.
       has a computer data system to identify the office or employee
20.
       title and description who is authorized to release information
21.
       from that computer. Secondly, it provides that computer services
22.
       shall identify to the commission all agencies, departments, or
23.
       entities authorized to release data from the computer. And
24.
       finally, it requires them to establish a written security plan.
25.
       However, it has been amended not to supply the plan, but merely
26.
       verification to exist. The background to this bill is that for
27.
       two years the Data Information Systems Commission has concen-
28.
       trated...their study on a computer privacy area. And we have
29.
       found that there is a lack of uniformity in how we handle the
30.
       security of those kind of computer data systems. This puts us
31.
       on the road to some uniformity and I think it is a prudent and
32.
       reasonable approach in how to...try to establish some basic
```

Page 105 - June 18, 1981

- ı. ground rules without inhibiting agencies. 2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) Is there discussion? Is there discussion? The question 3. is, shall House Bill 159 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Senator Weaver. 5. SENATOR WEAVER: 6. Thank you, Mr. President. I was just wondering whether 7. this prohibited exchange of...computer information between 8. State and Federal Government. 9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 10. Senator Gitz. 11. SENATOR GITZ: 12. No, Senator Weaver, they...they would not prohibit any 13. release of information. In fact, the only thing it would do 14. in the second section is indicate who is authorized to release 15. that data from the computer, but it does not say that they 16. cannot release information under any circumstances. 17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 18. Senator...further discussion? Further discussion? Senator 19. Rhoads. 20. SENATOR RHOADS: 21. Is the Legislative Information System covered by this 22. bill or is it only Executive Branch? 23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 24. Senator Gitz. 25. SENATOR GITZ: 26. Senator Rhoads, the...bill specifies every State agency 27. or department and it's my understanding when I querried them 28. that probably that commission...would not fall under that 29. definition. 30.
- 32. Further discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 159

 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The

(SENATOR BRUCE)

PRESIDING OFFICER:

Page 106 - June 18, 1981

```
ı.
       voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
 2.
       wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54,
 3.
       the Nays are none, none Voting Present. House Bill 159 having
       received the required constitutional majority is declared
4.
       passed. 174, Senator Marovitz. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary,
5.
6.
       please.
       SECRETARY:
7.
            House Bill 174.
 8.
                 (Secretary reads title of bill)
 9.
       3rd reading of the bill.
10.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
11.
            Senator Marovitz.
12.
       SENATOR MAROVITZ:
13.
            Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
14.
       Senate. House Bill 174 is the...teacher residency requirement
15.
       agreement for the City of Chicago. It has been agreed on by
16.
       the city and the...teacher's union. And, in effect, what it
17.
       does, it says that those teachers who were hired prior to
18.
       September 1, 1980 and were living within the confines of the
19.
       City of Chicago would be able to move outside the city, those
20.
       teachers working prior to September 1, 1980 and living outside
21.
       the City of Chicago could remain outside the City of Chicago,
22.
       those teachers hired after September 1, 1980...and to begin
23.
       working after that date would have to live within the confines
24.
       of the City of Chicago and I would ask for an affirmative roll
25
       call on House Bill 174.
26.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
27.
            Further...is there discussion? Senator Walsh.
28.
       SENATOR WALSH:
29.
            Will the Gentleman yield?
30.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
31.
            Indicates he will yield.
32.
```

SENATOR WALSH:

Page 107 - June 18, 1981

1.	Senator, youryour last remark was, that afteraa
2.	given dateteachers would have to live in the City of
3.	Chicago?
4.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
5.	Senator Marovitz.
6.	SENATOR MAROVITZ:
7.	If they were hired after that date. If they had been
8.	working for the City of Chicago Schools, they would not
9.	fall under this.
١٥.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
11.	Senator Walsh.
L2.	SENATOR WALSH:
L3.	Mymy point is, are we requiring that they live in the
L 4 .	City of Chicago or are we leaving it up to the school board to
15.	to so provide?
.6.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
L7.	Senator Marovitz.
L8.	SENATOR MAROVITZ:
L9.	Well, the school board has so provided and so they would
20.	be required after September 1, 1980 to live in the City of
21.	Chicagoif hired after that date.
22.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
23.	Senator Walsh.
24.	SENATOR WALSH:
25.	Andand ifif theif the school board were to change its
26.	policy, this wouldn'tlock them inthis bill would not
27.	require them to livein the city if the school board were to
28.	change its policy? Is that right?
29.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
30.	Senator Marovitz.
31.	SENATOR MAROVITZ:
32.	That is correct.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Page 108 - June 18, 1981

1.	Further discussion? Further discussion? The question
2.	is, shall House Bill 174pass. Those in favor vote Aye.
3.	Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted
4.	who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
5.	question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, 4 Voting Present.
6.	House Bill 174 having received the required constitutional
7.	majority is declared passed. 183, Senator Collins. Read the
8.	bill, Mr. Secretary, please.
9.	SECRETARY:
10.	House Bill 183.
11.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
12.	3rd reading of the bill.
13.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
14.	Senator Collins.
15.	SENATOR COLLINS:
16.	Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 183 amends the Chicago
17.	Municipal Employee Pension Code to allow a childborncon-
18.	ceived out of wedlock to be eligible for a child's annuity,
19.	provided that paternity had been established by a court. I
20.	know of no objection to the bill. It is a very good concept
21.	and I ask for a favorable roll call.
22.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
23.	Is there discussion? Senator Bowers.
24.	SENATOR BOWERS:
25.	Will the sponsor yield to a question?
26.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
27.	Indicates she will yield.
28.	SENATOR BOWERS:
29.	TheI'm sorry, Senator, I did not get a chance to look
30.	at the bill, but the analysis I'm looking at says, convincing
31.	proof of the paternity is presented to the board. You said
32.	courta court findingI think also if the father acknow-
33.	ledgesor the reputed father acknowledges, II take it

Page 109 - June 18, 1981

1.	that's in the bill. But what is meant by convincing proof of
2.	paternity if that's presented to the board? Has there been a
3.	definition of that anywhere? Or is it
4.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
5.	Senator Collins.
6.	SENATOR COLLINS:
7.	It is actuallythrough the courts. Inunder existing
8.	law you have up to two years to establish paternity, which
9.	also include the responsibility of a father of a child con-
.0.	ceived out of wedlock for child supportunder existing law. So
.1.	it would have to be declared through the court and then proof
.2.	of that presented to the board.
١3.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
.4.	Senator Bowers.
L5.	SENATOR BOWERS:
.6.	Well, I'm not quite sure of that. Has this been amended
L7.	here in thein the Senate? Thethelet me just say the
L8.	the one I'm looking at says, he acknowledges that he is the
١9.	father of the child or he is found to be the child's father
20.	in court proceeding orand this is an or not an andwhere
21.	clear and convincing proof of paternity is presented to the
22.	board. Now, lacking a court proceeding, \what is clear and
23.	convincing proof?
24.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
25.	Senator Collins.
26.	SENATOR COLLINS:
27.	Well, II'm not aware of the or and I don't have the
28.	bill in front of me right now. II didn'tI'm not aware of
29.	the or.
30.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
31.	Senator Bowers.
	SENATOR BOWERS:

I don't have any real objection to what you're trying to

Page 110 - June 18, 1981

1.	do, provided you're limiting it to either acknowledgment or a
2.	court proceeding, but I don't think this board hasis a
3.	Judicial body to the extent that they have the power to find
4.	whether or not this is, in fact,thethe father. And
5.	andbesides that, how are thesethese people are laymen
6.	how are they going to determine what is clear and convincing
7.	proof under the law and what isn't? Is just seems to me that
8.	that languageisis somewhat inappropriate.
9.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
10.	Senator Collins.
11.	SENATOR COLLINS:
12.	I'm sure, Senator Bowers, that it is the intention of the
13.	House sponsor that that proof be established in the court and
14.	that arecan, in fact,I can take this out ofout of the
15.	record, talk with the sponsor and take the or out, because
16.	the proof has to be established by a court.
17.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
18.	Further discussion? Senator McMillan. Take it out of
19.	the record. 187, Senator Keats. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary,
20.	please.
21.	SECRETARY:
22.	House Bill 187.
23.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
24.	3rd reading of the bill.
25.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
26.	Senator Keats.
27.	SENATOR KEATS:
28.	House Bill 187 passed out of our Jud. II Committee 8-1, passed th
29.	House 150 to nothing. What it does in substance is provide
30.	thatonly on duty or in transit financial institution
31.	security guardsmay carry a weapon, because, as you are aware
32.	right now, with these other facilities sometimes the guard has
	got to go get the money, but they aren't allowed to carry a

Page 111 - June 18, 1981

- 1: weapon while they're getting this money. This just clears 2. up that problem and I'd appreciate your affirmative vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 3. Discussion? Senator Rhoads. SENATOR RHOADS: 5. Senator Keats, it's my understanding that just two years 6. ago we passed a bill going in the opposite direction saying 7. that these guards could carry their weapons...to and from the 8. place of employment. Now, you're reversing field after only 9. two years? 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 11. Senator Keats. 12. SENATOR KEATS: 13. No,...no, it doesn't have to do with going to and from. 14. The present law states that in order for the security guard, 15. as an example, at a Savings and Loan, to carry a weapon you.. 16. have to have a security force of over thirty people. Well, 17. now with some of these remote tellers, the security guards go... 18. got to go get the money, but if they don't have thirty guards, 19. they can't take a weapon. They aren't even allowed to carry 20. one. This is what it clears up. It doesn't have anything to 21. do with going to or from. It doesn't hit that directly. 22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 23. Further discussion? Further discussion? The question is, 24. shall House Bill 187 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those 25. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 26. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, 27. the Ayes are 53, the Nays are 1, 1 Voting Present. House Bill 28. 187 having received the required constitutional majority is 29. declared passed. 190, Senator Grotberg. Read the bill, Mr. 30. Secretary, please. 31.
- SECRETARY:
- 33. House Bill 190.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

ı.

```
2.
       3rd reading of the bill.
3.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
4.
            Senator Grotberg.
       SENATOR GROTBERG:
5.
6.
            Thank you, Mr. President and fellow members. This bill
7.
       does exactly what it says. It removes an obsolete requirement
       for a certified check for those of us who have to go in
8.
       once a year to the Liquor Commission and buy the State
9.
       license. There's no way that anybody is going to start out
10.
       their relationship with a liquor license with a bad...check.
11.
       So,...you know, they...they got enough trouble after they
12.
       get the license. I would move for a favorable roll call.
13.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
14.
            Is there discussion? Senator...Philip.
15.
       SENATOR PHILIP:
16.
            Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
17.
       Senate. I...I would...certainly occur...concur with Senator
18.
       Grotberg. I might say this, the amendment also provided you
19.
       could...you could have a check drawn on a Savings and Loan.
20.
       So, it includes a check from a Savings and Loan or a bank.
21.
       It certainly makes it a lot more convenient for restaurateurs
22.
       and tavern owners to give a personal check and I don't see
23.
       any...opposition to it at all, quite frankly.
24.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
25.
            Is there discussion? Is there discussion? The question
26.
       is, shall House Bill 190 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those
27.
       opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who...
28.
       have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the
29.
       record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none,
30.
       none Voting Present. House Bill 190 having received the re-
31.
       quired constitutional majority is declared passed. 192, Senator
32.
       Johns. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.
```

Page 113 - June 18, 1981

```
l.
       SECRETARY:
 2.
             House Bill 192.
 3.
                  (Secretary reads title of bill)
 4.
       3rd reading of the bill.
 5.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
             Senator Johns.
 6.
       SENATOR JOHNS:
 7.
             Thank you. This...this really should just ask for a roll
 8.
       call. This is...you're voting the wishes of the children of
 9.
       the State of Illinois to make the white-tailed deer the animal
10.
       of the State. 37.2 percent of the children of Illinois, two
11.
       hundred and ninety-eight thousand, voted in favor of this
12.
       bill. I recommend a favorable vote.
13.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
14.
             The question is, shall House Bill 192 pass. Those in
15.
       favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.
16.
       Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the
17.
       record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none,
18.
       none Voting Present. House Bill 192 having received the re-
19.
       quired constitutional majority is declared passed. 197. Senator
20.
       Grotberg. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.
21.
       SECRETARY:
22.
             House Bill 197.
23.
                  (Secretary reads title of bill)
24.
       3rd reading of the bill.
25.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
26.
            Senator Grotberg.
27.
       SENATOR GROTBERG:
28.
             Well, thank you, Mr. President and fellow members. This
29.
       is now the minnow and the mussel bill, my M and M approach,
30.
       allowing...retailing...separate category of retail license
31.
       of five dollars for minnow dealers and wholesales at twenty-
32.
       five and allowing mussel...fishing...mussel musseling with
```

Page 114 - June 18, 1981

l. scuba in the...in the Mississippi and Illinois River and by 2. permit from other parts of the State through the department. 3. Any questions? I would move for a favorable adoption. They both came off the Agreed Bill List. 4. 5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) Discussion? The question is,...Senator Gitz, did you 6. wish...comment on this one? Senator Gitz. 7. SENATOR GITZ: 8. A very quick question, Senator Grotberg. You had a 9. Senate Bill and minnow dealers have been running around here... 10. I know this does not establish the license, but...the fees, but 11. what exactly is the problem? Why do we even license minnow 12. dealers to begin with? 13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 14. Senator Grotberg. 15. SENATOR GROTBERG: 16. Well, now you're going into a big, deep subject and I would be 17. glad to edify you that for years we have the Minnow Statute... 18. in the Fish and Game Code to make sure that nobody brings bad 19. carp minnows in and good carp minnows out. It's a highly... 20. regulated business and...for you who don't know what I'm 21. talking about, just vote Aye anyway. 22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 23. Further discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 197 24. pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The 25. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who 26. wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 56, 27. the Nays are 1, none Voting Present. House Bill 197 having 28. received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 29. House Bill 209, Senator Nedza. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, 30. please. Senator, it was just amended today. We'll have to 31. hold it. House Bill 215, Senator Degnan. 219, Senator Maitland.

Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

32.

AB2H9 persing

Page 115 - June 18, 1981

1.	SECRETARY:
2.	House Bill 219.
3.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
4.	3rd reading of the bill.
5.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
6.	Senator Maitland.
7.	SENATOR MAITLAND:
8.	Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
9.	Senate. House Bill 219 provides that snowmobiles may lawfully
١٥.	bebe operated on certain township roads,obviously, in
11.	the wintertime and they shall be so designated by the
L2.	townshiproad commissioner. I wouldappreciate a favorable
L3.	roll call.
L4.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
15.	The question is, shall House Bill 219 pass. Those in
L6.	favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.
L7.	Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the
L8.	record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are 3,
L9.	none Voting Present. House Bill 219 having received the re-
20.	quired constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill
21.	239, Senator Kent. House Bill 242, Senator Marovitz. House
22.	Bill 245, Senator Degnan. House Bill 249, Senator Berman.
23.	Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please. 249.
24.	SECRETARY:
25.	House Bill 249.
26.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
27.	3rd reading of the bill.
28.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
29.	Senator Berman.
30.	SENATOR BERMAN:
31.	Thank you, Mr. President. First, to put the bill in
32.	proper context, I'd askleave to add Senator Lemke as a

hyphenated cosponsor.

Page 116 - June 18, 1981

1.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
2.	Is there leave? Leave is granted.
3.	SENATOR BERMAN:
4.	This bill adds an additional item under which a judge in
5.	setting bail shall consider the street value of drugs that
6.	have beenconfiscated in the arrest. There was some question
7.	raised by the League of Women Voters that this was going to be
8.	amandateditem that you would have to set the bail at the
9.	street value of the drugs. I don't think they read the bill
10.	and it says it's merely anotheritem that should be considered
11.	by the judge. In addition,thebill provides that upon
12.	a finding of guilty of the drug offense that a fine shall be
13.	imposedequal to the street value of the drugs. I'd be
14.	glad to respond to questions and ask for your favorable con-
15.	sideration.
16.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
17.	Discussion? Discussion? Senator Bowers.
18.	SENATOR BOWERS:
19.	Will the sponsor yield to a question?
20.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
21.	Indicates he will yield. Senator Bowers.
22.	SENATOR BOWERS:
23.	As I recall, this fine is a minimum fine. There is no
24.	discretion. In other words, if the street value of the drugs
25.	is a million dollars,the fine has to be a million dollars.
26.	Isn't that correct?
27.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
28.	Senator Berman.
29.	SENATOR BERMAN:
30.	Yes, in fact, by amendmentadopted on the Floor it says,
31.	at not less than.
32.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Bowers.

ı. SENATOR BOWERS: Well, I don't know, Mr. President, I...you know, I'm a 2. firm believer in...in hard-line...hard-line approaches to 3. drug abuse, but let me just point out something to you. There 4. are an awful lot of drug abusers who...who really can't help 5. themselves. They got into the ... they got into the problem ... 6. and,...you know, they've got to have it, they can't 7. support their habit, they either steal, they commit 8. armed robberies or they sell drugs to others. If they 9. happen to be unlucky...they happen to be caught with,...you 10. know, it doesn't take very much to have twenty, thirty, forty 11. thousand dollars worth of drugs...in addition to all other 12. penalties they're subjected to, they've got a mandatory fine 13. of twenty or thirty or forty thousand dollars, whatever 14. happens to be in their possession. I... I think you can be 15. tough on...on drug abusers, I think you can be tough 16. on drug users, but I got to tell you in my opinion this is 17. going a little too far and I have to vote No. 18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 19. Further discussion? Senator Berning. 20. SENATOR BERNING: 21. A question of the sponsor or someone in that same vein. 22. Senator, in establishing the fine...equal to the street value 23. of the drug, would you be implying that the drugs then would 24. be disposed of by the law authorities and in that way pay the 25. fine in the event that the individual could not? 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 27. Senator Berman. 28. SENATOR BERMAN: 29. Well, if there's a finding of guilty, the drugs, first of 30. all, are confiscated. That imposes a fine...this has nothing 31. to do with what happens with the drugs. We're not giving the 32. drugs to the defendant and allowing him to sell them and then

Page 118 - June 18, 1981

2. That's not the purpose of the bill. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 3. Further discussion? Senator Lemke. 4. SENATOR LEMKE: 5. I rise in support of this bill. I must agree with...dis-6. agree with you, Senator Bowers, because those runners...or 7. those mules, whether they're teen-agers or what, when the 8. police catch them, even if they're caught with twenty or 9. thirty thousand dollars in drugs, will not reveal who they're 10. running for and will not testify against that person. I think 11. this bill will say to them that if you're caught, you got to 12. come up with the money or start...giving us state's evidence, 13. we'll give you immunity and you testify against that...that... 14. that manufacturer or that wholesaler of those drugs. I think 15. this is a good bill. And I think...you know, I come from an 16. area where the...heroin families run around. And whatever 17. the bond is they put up the ten percent and...and before the 18. sun sets that day, they're already in...back in Tijuana, 19. Mexico on a private plane, because they know they have an 20. agreement with those runners that they will protect them at 21. any cost. And if you put those costs up high enough, they're 22. not going to be able to protect those runners and they're 23. going to put the runners out of business and those runners 24. that do do it are either going to cooperate with the police 25. or pay the fine. And I think it's a good bill and I think 26. it'll...it'll get at the solution to the drug problem in the 27. State. 28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 29. Further discussion? Senator Netsch. Further discussion? 30.

Thank you. I'd...I'd turned my light off. I just wanted

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

31.

32.

Page 119 - June 18, 1981

1.	to make one point that has come to the attention ofof those
2.	of us who serve on one of the drug commissions. I happen to
3.	be on the Dangerous Drugs Advisory Council and frequently it
4.	has been called to our attention that those who are in law
5.	enforcement involving drugs prefer not to have fines, bail,
6.	whatever tied to the street price of the drug. They're
7.	it's a long complicated litany of reasons why that creates,
8.	in their judgment, more problems than it solves. But I
9.	simply wanted to call attention to that.
10.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
11.	Furtherfurther discussion? Further discussion?
12.	Senator Berman may close. Senator Johns, did you wish to
13.	SENATOR JOHNS:
14.	I just want to read one thing here to you in the Sun
15.	Times today about drugs andit relates to whatSenator
16.	Lemkealluded to. In thearticle on page 12 it says,
17.	among the recommendations adopted, as expected, the panel
18.	urged that the United States Navy be brought into the war against
19.	narcotic traffickers. The groupsnaval air and sea unit
20.	should be used in detection of airborne and waterborne drug
21.	carriers. Exactly what he was saying, the runners can be in
22.	of here and out of here and by putting this price so high,
23.	we might deter some of this and I urge support of the bill
24.	that Senator Berman has. Thank you.
25.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
26.	Further discussion? Senator Berman may close.
27.	SENATOR BERMAN:
28.	Thank you. I think it's rather ironicusually I would
29.	think that SenatorBowers' comments would come from Senator
30.	Berman and Senator Berman's comments would come from Senator
31.	Bowers. But turnabout is fair play. I think that we have
32.	to do something to get the profit out of drug dealing. This
13	is one step. I think it's an important step. Let's get the

Page 120 - June 18, 1981

ì. profit out of it. I urge an Aye vote. 2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) The question is, shall House Bill 249 pass. Those in 3. favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. 4 . Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the 5. record. On that question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are 1, 3 6. 7. Voting Present. House Bill 249 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 252, 8. Senator Berman. House Bill 256, Senator Marovitz. Read the 9. bill, Mr. Secretary, please. 10. SECRETARY: 11. House Bill 256. 12. (Secretary reads title of bill) 13. 3rd reading of the bill. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 15. Senator Marovitz. 16. SENATOR MAROVITZ: 17. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 18. Senate. House Bill 256 amends the Illinois Commercial Relocation 19. of Trespassing Vehicles Law, which we passed in 1978. It was 20. drafted and comes at the recommendation of the Illinois 21. Commerce Commission and its Chairman, Michael Hasten. The 22. companies under this legislation...and employees now regulated 23. will have licenses renewed biennially rather than annually. 24. Fees will be adjusted to the biennial renewal cycle to improve 25. supervision of the industry dispatchers, not only the...the 26. drivers themselves but the dispatchers will be included under 27. the law. The commission will be empowered to insure the 28. payment of fees due the State under the Business Corporation 29. Act. The authority to establish uniform accounting system 30. for the industry is made explicit under this legislation and 31. finally, the proposal would broaden the enforcement tools 32.

of the commission to include penalties for violation of the

Page 121 - June 18, 1981

l. law. Currently all they can do is...revoke or suspend a license and under this legislation...a third alternative 2. will be added. The civil penalties determined after hearings ٦. by the commission, with the right of appeal to courts, would 4. allow punishment for misdeeds which...fit the violations. 5. Again, this is at the recommendation of the Illinois Commerce 6. Commission. I would ask for a...affirmative roll call to 7. this...amendment to our 1978 law. 8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 9. Is there discussion? Is there discussion? Senator 10. Grotberg. 11. SENATOR GROTBERG: 12. Not serving on any of the committees that hear these 13. things, but let's just start from the beginning. What's 14. a commercial vehicle relocater? 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 16. Senator Marovitz. 17. SENATOR MAROVITZ: 18. This bill applies only to Cook County and those counties 19. or municipalities who choose to opt in. This...these are 20. the towing vehicles, such as the infamous Lincoln Towing 21. that,...you know, stores and businesses want to get trespassers 22. off their property. That's what this is...that's what this 23. bill deals with in this law. 24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 25. Further discussion? Further discussion? The question 26. is, shall House Bill 256 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those 27. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 28. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, 29. the Ayes are 57, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. House 30. Bill 256 having received the required constitutional majority 31. ...is declared passed. House Bill 257, Senator Egan. Read the

32.

33.

bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

Page 122 - June 18, 1981

1.	SECRETARY:
2.	House Bill 257.
3.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
4.	3rd reading of the bill.
5.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
6.	Senator Egan.
7.	SENATOR EGAN:
8.	Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.
9.	House Bill 257 somewhat streamlines the State Mandates Act.
10.	It does three things basically. It deletes the requirement
11.	that the sponsor of the bill, which has aanan effect,
12.	file a statement of the State-wide policy objectives. Now
13.	apparently these statements are rarely filed on time. They're
14.	infrequently referred to and apparently ofof little use.
15.	So, deleting the requirement, I think, is consistent with
16.	the practice andhelpful to the progress. With the remaining
17.	requirements in thein the Act, it doesn't seem necessary.
18.	It also revises the procedure for identifying a bill or
19.	an amendment as a nonreimbursable mandate and it requires
20.	State mandate fiscal notes to be prepared prior to the final
21.	action on a bill. Presently, you have to file them before
22.	the committee hears the bill, but this requires that bills
23.	can be heard pending the filing of the mandate statement
24.	ratheryou can wait now to file it until final action of
25.	the committee. It's simply that and nothing more. I ask
26.	your favorable consideration.
27.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
28.	Is there discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.
29.	SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
30.	Will the sponsor yield for a question?
31.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
32.	Indicates he will yield. Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Page 123 - June 18, 1981

```
ı.
            At the present time we have the State Mandates Act,
 2.
       briefly,...what you're doing...are you...because the title of the...
 3.
       the bill was originally to repeal the State Mandates Act.
 4.
       You are not repealing the State Mandates Act, are you?
       PRESIDING OFFICER:
                          (SENATOR BRUCE)
 5.
            Senator Egan.
 6.
 7.
       SENATOR EGAN:
            Oh, no...no. That is not what we're doing. We're
 8.
       streamlining it...I...I may use that...that word...to
 9.
       make it...in using the Mandate Act we have learned a few
10.
       things that we are really incorporating in this bill, but
11.
       it does...certainly...not...remove the Mandate Act from the
12.
       law books.
13.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
14.
            Further discussion? Senator Bloom.
15.
       SENATOR BLOOM:
16.
            I...thank you, Mr. Président and fellow Senators. I rise
17.
       in support of this. It...it substantially cuts down on a lot
18.
       of duplicative paper work and...resolves...conflict between two
19.
       sections of the Act. It's a good bill and I'd urge support.
20.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
21.
            Further discussion? The question is, shall House Bill
22.
       257 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay.
23.
       The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
24.
       who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are
25.
       52...53, the Nays are 1, none Voting Present. House Bill 257
26.
       having received the required constitutional majority is declared
27.
       passed. House Bill 259, Senator Johns. Read the bill, Mr.
28.
       Secretary, please.
29.
       SECRETARY:
30.
            House Bill 259.
31.
                 (Secretary reads title of bill)
32.
       3rd reading of the bill.
```

Page 124 - June 18, 1981

```
ı.
       PRESIDING OFFICER:
                           (SENATOR BRUCE)
 2.
            Senator Johns.
       SENATOR JOHNS:
 3.
            Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this a very simple
       bill designed to preserve some of the heritage of America and
5.
       especially in older watercraft that is made of wood so they'll
6.
       be restored and identified and it's much like the antique car
7.
       business that...many of us have as hobbies and I would appreciate
8.
       a favorable roll call. This is not a vehicle, nothing hidden
 9.
       in here, just a little idea that has a lot of merit.
10.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
11.
            Discussion? Senator Maitland.
12.
       SENATOR MAITLAND:
13.
            Thank you, Mr. President. I rise, I quess, in mild
14.
       opposition to House Bill 259. If...if you read the bill,
15.
       we...we don't address any particular sized boat. The only
16.
       qualifications the boat needs is to be at least twenty-five
17.
       years old and...powered by the...the original motor or the
18.
       same type of motor that was on it when it was...was built.
19.
       And, I guess, my concern is twofold. Number one, not knowing
20.
       exactly what we're getting into here it can go all the way
21.
       down to the smallest type of boat that has a motor on it.
22.
       And...and secondly, I guess,...the fact that it says...it
23.
       entitles the operator to...or the owner to...to...participate
24.
       in...in special events, well, it would seem to me, Senator
25.
       Johns, that presently they can participate in those types of
26.
       events...with...without the plaque. I...I don't think the
27.
       State of Illinois is going to go...bankrupt because of this,
28.
       but there is an increased cost to the State and it bothers
29.
       me how far reaching this might be.
30.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
31.
            Further discussion? Senator Johns may close.
```

32.

33.

SENATOR JOHNS:

Page 125 - June 18, 1981

```
1.
            Really,...Senator Maitland, I'm...I'm surprised at you
 2.
       because there's no cost. There's a twenty-five dollar fee
       for this registration of this particular type boat, you see,
 3.
       and...that takes care of the handling of the registration.
       And these are just to be identified. This plaque goes on the
 5.
 6.
       boat. It's...it's no real big deal. Representative Levin
       had some people in his district that had a...a very prestigious
 7.
       older wooden boat they want to preserve and identify such and
 8.
       it's not really that big a deal. There's nothing hidden in
 9.
       here. There's no cost to the State with a twenty-five dollar
10.
       registration. I would appreciate a favorable roll call.
11.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
12.
            The question is, shall House Bill 259 pass. Those in
13.
       favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.
14.
       Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all
15.
       voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Aves
16.
       are 41, the Nays are 13, none Voting Present. House Bill 259
17.
       having received the required constitutional majority is de-
18.
       clared passed. House Bill 260, Senator Jerome Joyce. Read
19.
       the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.
20.
       SECRETARY:
21.
            House Bill 260.
22.
                 (Secretary reads title of bill)
23.
       3rd reading of the bill.
24.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
25.
            Senator Jerome Joyce.
26.
       SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:
27.
            Thank you, Mr. President. This legislation extends until
28.
       October 1st, 1984 the prohibition against public utilities
29.
       discriminating against or imposing a surcharge against customers
30.
       using solar energy. The initial legislation established...
31.
       this prohibition was passed in 1977 and contained a self-
32.
       destruct clause after five years. That's all that it does.
```

Page 126 - June 18, 1981

ı. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 2. The question is, shall House Bill 260 pass. Those in 3. favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. 4. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, 5. none Voting Present. House Bill 260 having received the re-6 quired constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 7. 264, Senator Sangmeister. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please. 8. SECRETARY: 9. House Bill 264. 10. (Secretary reads title of bill) 11. 3rd reading of the bill. 12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 13. Senator Sangmeister. 14. SENATOR SANGMEISTER: 15. Yeah, Mr. President and members of the Senate, here's 16. another piece of great legislation that...more indicates that 17. we ought to get to another Agreed List. I suppose with that, 18. everybody will vote No. Anyway, the Waukegan and Joliet ... 19. Exposition Authority, when the Statute was originally passed, 20. stated for some reason you had to have five votes in order 21. to pass a measure. Well, you only need four to have a quorum. 22. So, the way the present law is, they can have a quorum, ready 23. to do business and they can't pass anything because it takes 24. five votes. We want to reduce that to four. That's what it 25. does. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 27. The question is, shall House Bill...Senator Mahar,... 28. question. 29. SENATOR MAHAR: 30. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. A question of the sponsor. 31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 32. Indicates he will yield. Senator Mahar.

Page 127 - June 18, 1981

```
l.
       SENATOR MAHAR:
 2.
            Yes,...when you drop from five to four this...overrules
 3.
       the...veto power, then there's really...there really is no
4.
       veto power. Isn't that correct?
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
5.
            Senator Sangmeister.
6.
       SENATOR SANGMEISTER:
7.
            No, that is incorrect. It does not ... does not change
8.
       the...the...requirement of five votes to override...on the action.
9:
       It has nothing to do with that. Just on regular routine
10.
       business it will take four votes, a majority of those voting
11.
       rather than...than five. It has nothing to do on overriding.
12.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
13.
            Senator Mahar. The question is, shall House Bill 264
14.
       pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay.
15.
       The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all
16.
       voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the
17.
       Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. House
18.
       Bill 264 having received the required constitutional majority
19.
       is declared passed. House Bill 267, Senator D'Arco. Senator
20.
       D'Arco. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.
21.
       SECRETARY:
22.
            House Bill 267.
23.
                  (Secretary reads title of bill)
24.
       3rd reading of the bill.
25.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
26.
            Senator D'Arco.
27.
       SENATOR D'ARCO:
28.
            Thank you,...Mr. President. House Bill 267 creates the
29.
       Public Adjusters Regulatory Act. What we want to do is try
30.
       to regulate people who are in the business of...fire adjusting...
31.
       when...persons...are unfortunate enough to have their homes
32.
       burned. Fire adjusters come out and board up the home and ...
33.
```

Page 128 - June 18, 1981

- l. have the person sign a contract with them to represent their 2. interest with the insurance company. These people are un-3. licensed...today and the purpose of the bill is to regulate 4. that profession and provide safeguards to the public so 5. that they are...not ripped-off by...people representing... their interest with insurance companies and... I would ask 6. a favorable vote on House Bill 267. I don't know of any 7. opposition to the bill. 8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 9. Is there discussion? Is there discussion? The question 10. is, shall House Bill 267 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. 11. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted 12. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that 13. question, the Ayes are 38, the Nays are 16, none Voting Present. 14. House Bill 267 having received the required constitutional 15. majority is declared passed. For what purpose does Senator 16. Nimrod arise? 17. SENATOR NIMROD: 18. Thank you, Mr. President. Our former colleague,... Senator 19. Bob Mitchler, now our liaison from our Veterans Affairs, has... 20. graciously given us a cake today to remind us about Flag Day... 21. from June the 14th and...we have it up on the table and he 22. said on that occasion since we didn't get any speeches or 23. special...we do have the cake to remind us about that. We 24. thank...I'd like to at least acknowledge thanks to Senator 25. Bob Mitchler. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 27. Very good. We're waiting for his...Fourth of July gift. 28. 272, Senator Berman. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please. 29. SECRETARY: 30.
- 31. House Bill 272.
- 32. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 33. 3rd reading of the bill.

Page 129 - June 18, 1981

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 2. Senator Berman. 3. SENATOR BERMAN: 4. This bill, ... Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of 5. the Senate,...terminates the registrations under the Torrens 6. System with the enactment of this bill. I'd be glad to 7. respond to any questions. PRESIDENT: 8. Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House 9. Bill 272 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed 10. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 11. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the 12. record. On that question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are 2, 13. none Voting Present. House Bill 272 having received the re-14. quired constitutional majority is declared passed. 15. Senator Hall. See if Senator Hall is in the phone booth 16. there. Yours was amended today. Senator Hall on 285. 17. the Order of House Bills 3rd reading, House Bill 285. Read 18. the bill, Mr. Secretary. 19. SECRETARY: 20. House Bill 285. 21. (Secretary reads title of bill) 22. 3rd reading of the bill. 23. PRESIDENT: 24. Senator Hall. 25. SENATOR HALL: 26. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 27. Senate. What this bill does, it creates the Belleville ... 28. Exposition Auditorium and Office Building Authority for the 29. City of Belleville and it...the power to issue revenue bonds 30. at a maximum interest rate of nine percent per annum, 31. the prime commercial rate. Seven members of the board will 32. be appointed and the general powers excluding...eminent domain 33.

Page 130 - June 18, 1981

ı. to acquire land and build this. It should be noted that the 2. Belleville Authority would...was ineligible for us to receive State funds as a...results of Public Act 81952, which revised 3. 4. that any authority created after July the 1st, 1979 is ineligible to receive State funds. The structure of the power 5. of this authority is similar to those in the other nine 6. Statutory created civic centers. The only basic difference is 7. that this authority does not have the power to tax or to 8. issue general obligation bonds, however, it may issue revenue 9. bonds. And I'd ask your most favorable support of the bill. 10. PRESIDENT: 11. Any discussion? Senator Keats. 12. SENATOR KEATS: 13. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 14. Senate. I would like to ask a question or two of the sponsor. 15. PRESIDENT: 16. The sponsor indicates he'll yield, Senator Keats. 17. SENATOR KEATS: 18. Senator Hall, I...appreciate building this famous edifice 19. in Belleville, but...could you explain how many of these 20. exposition centers in the entire State make any money whatsoever? 21. PRESIDENT: 22. Senator Hall. 23. SENATOR HALL: 24. Senator, I don't know the answer to that question, but 25. the people there are going to pay for their own. We're not 26. asking for any State funds. I just don't have any idea. 27. PRESIDENT: 28. Senator Keats. 29. SENATOR KEATS: 30. I could answer none. There's not a single one in the 31. entire State that makes money. Now, in terms of shifting the

burden from the State taxpayers as a whole to one locality,

32.

Page 131 - June 18, 1981

```
l.
       I appreciate your taking it off us, but I was going to explain
       this, there are none in the entire State that make money.
2.
       Every feasibility study we've done, and we did a series of
3.
       them, I was the sponsor of the bills that led to those
4.
       feasibility studies two years ago...every feasibility study
5.
       showed that if any city could maybe support one it was Chicago
6.
       and we all know that McCormick Place...you know, at best
7.
       breaks even...loses...however you want to describe it. And
8.
       if Chicago can't do it, what you're asking is the taxpayers
9.
       of Belleville to pay for a structure that has no chance to
10.
       make any money whatsoever and you're not really giving them
11.
       the...right to make those decisions. I would appreciate a
12.
       negative vote. I guess Belleville is not in my district and
13.
       I shouldn't be concerned about those taxpayers, but I think
14.
       maybe we all have an obligation to protect them.
15.
       PRESIDENT:
16.
            Further discussion? Senator Totten.
17.
       SENATOR TOTTEN:
18.
            Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
19.
       Senate. Would the sponsor yield for one question?
20.
       PRESIDENT:
21.
            Indicates he will yield, Senator Totten.
22.
       SENATOR TOTTEN:
23.
            Are these revenue bonds in any way backed by the State?
24.
       PRESTDENT:
25.
            Senator Hall.
26.
       SENATOR HALL:
27.
                 I'm informed by...the House sponsor's sister...I mean...
28.
       Representative Steele, Sissy Steele, and that she is saying
29.
       that...they are going to fund the whole thing themselves.
30.
       PRESIDENT:
31.
            Senator Totten.
32.
```

SENATOR TOTTEN:

Page 132 - June 18, 1981

ı. Well,...thank you, Mr. President. I'm...I'm...I'm sur-2. prised at the sponsor, because this...this civic auditorium in Belleville is going to benefit the rich at the expense 3. of the poor and...this has not been the preoccupation of the sponsor in putting measures forth like this. These bonds 5. could be guaranteed by the State and the State taxpayers 6. all...the taxpayers all over the State could bear the burden 7. should these bonds default. I would suggest to the members 8. of the General Assembly that our experiences...in the civic 9. auditoriums has not been a good one and we ought not to 10. create another one and I would recommend a No vote. 11. PRESIDENT: 12. Any further discussion? Senator Johns. 13. SENATOR JOHNS: 14. I just...it comes to mind the question, are these supposed 15. to be profit making ventures or are they supposed to be for 16. the public, for the taxpayer to utilize? And I think you've 17. got to look at the good that comes out of these ventures and 18. if they don't make money...if they cost the taxpayers something, 19. it is something that all the taxpayers support and should enjoy. 20. And so, therefore, I rise in support of this endeavor. 21. PRESIDENT: 22. Further discussion? Senator Bloom. 23. SENATOR BLOOM: 24. Well, I'm looking at the bill and it says, all such bonds 25. shall be payable solely from revenues or income derived, etc., 26. etc. It has the nine percent or seventy percent of prime 27. language that has consistently been on...such legislation that 28. has gone through the Finance and Credit Regulations Committee 29. and I...I don't see any language that says, under no circum-30. stances shall any bonds issued by the authority be or become 31. an indebtedness or obligation to the State of Illinois or of 32. any other political subdivision or municipality, etc., etc.

Page 133 - June 18, 1981

- l. So, it seems to me this is permissive only and I fail to see what...the...brouhaha is about. I'd be inclined to support 2. 3. it because ultimately it's up to the locale to...create the... authority. PRESIDENT: 5. ...discussion? Senator Vadalabene. 6. SENATOR VADALABENE: 7. Yes, I rise in support of this legislation merely 8. because St. Clair County opposed mine. 9. PRESIDENT: 10. Any further discussion? Senator Hall may close. 11. SENATOR HALL: 12. Well, in answer to the questions that were asked me. 13. These are revenue bonds. There's no public monies. 14. people there are going to bear the expense of this. You're 15. not asking for any...any public dollars, they're simply 16. revenue bonds and that community is affluent enough to. 17. where they can afford it. And so I see no reason in the 18. world...we're not coming to the State asking one dime. I'd 19. ask your most favorable support of this bill. 20. PRESIDENT: 21. The question is, shall House Bill 285 pass. Those in 22. favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting 23. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 24. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, 25. the Ayes are 37, the Nays are 16, 1 Voting Present. House Bill 26. 285 having received the required constitutional majority is 27. declared passed. Senator Lemke, for what purpose do you arise? 28. SENATOR LEMKE: 29.
- 30. A personal...I'd like to introduce the Mayor Oremus...from 31. Bridgeview sitting on the Floor right here.
- PRESIDENT:
- Mr. Mayor, nice to see you, Sir. 286, Senator Geo-Karis.

Page 134 - June 18, 1981

ı. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading, bottom of page 6, 2. House Bill 286. Senator...read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 3. SECRETARY: House Bill 286. 4. 5. (Secretary reads title of bill) 3rd reading of the bill. 6. PRESIDENT: 7. Senator Geo-Karis. 8. SENATOR GEO-KARIS: 9. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the...Senate, 10. this bill...levies a petty offense on a film processor who 11. knowingly...knowingly...processes films with child pornography 12. in it. And...and if he doesn't report it to the State's... 13. local State's attorney when he knowingly does it, he can be 14. subject up to a fine of a hundred and fifty dollars. I move 15. for its passage. 16. PRESIDENT: 17. Have all...any discussion? Senator Collins. 18. SENATOR COLLINS: 19. Senator Geo-Karis, we talked about this bill in committee 20. and did you make the...the recommended amendments to this bill? 21. PRESIDENT: 22. Senator Geo-Karis. 23. SENATOR GEO-KARIS: 24. This was not a bill talked in committee. This is a...bill 25. that came in through...the Judiciary II Committee. And the... 26. the bill is clear in its stating that the film processor has to 27. knowingly...have actual knowledge...if he doesn't have actual 28. knowledge, he's not involved. Okay? 29. PRESIDENT: 30. Senator Philip. Senator Philip. Any further discussion? 31. If not, the question is, shall House Bill 286 pass. Those in 32. favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting

Page 135 - June 18, 1931

```
ı.
       is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
2.
       Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,
       the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. House
 3.
       Bill 286 having received the required constitutional majority
5.
       is declared passed. Representative Lechowicz can now go back
       across the Rotunda to the...oh, you got one more, okay. The
6.
       top of page 7. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading, House
7.
       Bill 288. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
8.
       SECRETARY:
9.
            House Bill 288.
10.
                 (Secretary reads title of bill)
11.
       3rd reading of the bill.
12.
       PRESIDENT:
13.
            Senator Nash.
14.
       SENATOR NASH:
15.
            Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
16.
       House Bill 288 requires the Department of Children and Family
17.
       Services to establish a child sexual abuse and exploitation
18.
       prevention demonstration center. I urge an Aye vote.
19.
       PRESIDENT:
20.
            Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House
21.
       Bill 288... I beg your pardon, Senator Grotberg. That's...that's...
22.
       that's what your light is for ....
23.
       SENATOR GROTBERG:
24.
            I presume there must be a cost...
25.
       PRESIDENT:
26.
            ... Senator Grotberg, we don't need your fingers, just the
27.
       light. Senator Grotberg.
28.
       SENATOR GROTBERG:
29.
            ...you were...you were talking, Mr. President. I...I
30.
       apologize...
31.
       PRESIDENT:
```

I watch... I watch the board religiously.

32.

Page 136 - June 18, 1981

1.	SENATOR GROTBERG:
2.	Yeah and I I apologize to the Chair, I really do,
3.	because you're always so nice to me and I have a question of
4.	the sponsor.
5.	PRESIDENT:
6.	Indicates he will yield, Senator Grotberg.
7.	SENATOR GROTBERG:
8.	And he's been nice to me too, but let's talk about the
9.	the cost a little bit. We who have to save money over here
10.	on the Republican side sometimes have to ask those embarrassing
11.	questions. Is there a fiscal note on it?
12.	PRESIDENT:
13.	Senator Nash.
14.	SENATOR NASH:
15.	Senator Grotberg, it's in the department's budget. It's
16.	a pilot program. This is the same bill we passed out of here,
17.	Senate Bill205 that we passed out of here 54 to 3.
18.	PRESIDENT:
19.	Senator Grotberg.
20.	SENATOR GROTBERG:
21.	Well, it's about a hundred and fifty thousand dollars
22.	is what we're talking about, but is it in the Governor's
23.	Budget, that's what I want to know?
24.	PRESIDENT:
25.	Senator Nash.
26.	SENATOR NASH:
27.	Forty thousand, it's in the department's budget, Senator
28.	Grotberg.
29.	PRESIDENT:
30.	Further discussion? Senator DeAngelis.
31.	SENATOR DEANGELIS:
32.	A question of the sponsor.
33.	PRESIDENT:

Page 137 - June 18, 1981

ı. Indicates he'll yield, Senator DeAngelis. 2. SENATOR DEANGELIS: 3. Senator Nash, you indicated this was a pilot program, 4 . it's my...information that a program already exists in DCFS of a similar nature. Is that correct? 5. PRESIDENT: 6. Senator Nash. 7. SENATOR NASH: 8. Senator DeAngelis, this mandates...to the director... 9. have this...maintain this program and...the Director of 10. Children and Family Services is...is in agreement with this 11. program. 12. PRESIDENT: 13. Senator DeAngelis. 14. SENATOR DEANGELIS: 15. Was this done...since the bill was introduced? The 16. information I have is that the...DCFS is in opposition to 17 this bill. 18. PRESIDENT: 19. Senator Nash. 20. SENATOR NASH: 21. As of the last...this morning, we talked to the director, 22. he's not in opposition to this bill. 23. PRESIDENT: 24. Further discussion? If not, the question is, shall House 25. Bill 288 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed 26. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 27. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the 28. record. On that question, the Ayes are 42, the Nays are 10, 29. 1 Voting Present. House Bill 288 having received the required 30. constitutional majority is declared passed. If I can have 31. your attention,...we are pleased to have with us today a 32. number of special guests and the Chair will yield to Senator 33.

34.

Bowers.

Page 138 - June 18, 1981

	SENATOR BOWERS:
2.	Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
3.	Senate. The Illinois Municipal League is trying to do their
4.	duty and obviously they re sending the mayors down to hammer
5.	us a little bit on a couple of these bills that are floating
6.	around over the Floor of the Senate and it so happens we
7.	have quite a large contingent from DuPage County. I don't
8.	understand that, except I'm a little hard to hammer. I'm
9.	that may be it. I'm not quite sure. The first one I'm
10.	going to introduce is probably the most beautiful mayor in
11.	DuPage County that's, Mayor Marty Pollard from Lombard. That
12.	happens to be Philip's district, but he said I could handle
13.	it for him. The next introduction is, Mayor Barger from
14.	Wheaton. That's the beautiful 41st district. Mayor. There
15.	he is, excuse me. Mayor Rybicki from Naperville, Mayor Ganet
16.	from Elmhurst, Mayor Chrysogelos from Addison, and Mayor Lish
17.	from Villa Park. Now, those are the DuPage County mayors.
18.	I started out with those. We have a Gentleman, also, from
19.	Stan Weaver's district, Mayor McJilton from Rantoul and
20.	Randy Thomas has asked for the mike. He's got some
21.	insignificant mayor from somewhere he wants to introduce.
22.	Randy.
23.	
24.	END OF REEL
25.	·
26.	
27.	
28.	
29.	
30.	

31.32.33.

31.

32.

33.

Page 139 - June 18, 1981

SENATOR THOMAS: 1. Thank you, very much. This mayor is not from my district 2. out in the 36th, as a matter of fact, he's a mayor from the 38th 3. District of Senator John Grotberg. I have known this mayor for thirty-five years, he's the Mayor of Ottawa, his name is Jim 5. Thomas, and he's my father. 6. SENATOR BOWERS: 7. Since they're all politicians we're not going to give the 8. mike to any of them, we can resume the business of the Senate. 9. Mr. President, thank you, very much for the opportunity. And 10. welcome mayors and...and do your bit now, send the notes in, 11. they'll all come out. 12. PRESIDENT: 13. If I may have the attention of the Body, Senators DeAngelis, 14. Keats, Dawson, and Bruce are down explaining to the press corps 15. and the world the agreement with respect to unemployment in-16. surance. If we get to any of their bills, is there leave to 17. come back? They have been called down there by the...by the 18. Governor. Leave is granted. 290, Senator Marovitz. On the 19. Order of House Bills 3rd reading, top of page 7, House Bill 20. 290. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 21. SECRETARY: 22. House Bill 290. 23. (Secretary reads title of bill) 24. 3rd reading of the bill. 25. PRESIDENT: 26. Senator Marovitz. 27. SENATOR MAROVITZ: 28. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 29. Senate. House Bill 290 is designed to raise the fees of the 30. General Education Degree to a level which allows this worthy

program to continue serving individuals who wish to take advantage

of it. We passed the GED Degree legislation in 1961, and the fees

483 22 minut

33.

Page 140 - June 18, 1981

have not been raised since then. We are raising the fees by ı. this legislation from five dollars to ten dollars. We're seeking 2. to raise the registration fees, also fees for retesting of a 3. particular area, and also fees to cover certification. I would 4. ask for a favorable roll call of...on House Bill 290. 5. PRESIDENT: 6. Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 7. 290 pass. Those in favor will wote Aye. Those opposed will vote Α. Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all 9. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On 10. that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none Voting 11. Present. House Bill 290, having received the required constitu-12. tional majority is declared passed. Senator Maitland on 293. 13. 295, Senator Vadalabene. 305, Senator Maitland. On the Order 14. of House Bills 3rd reading, House Bill 305. Read the bill, Mr. 15. Secretary. 16. SECRETARY: 17. House Bill 305. 18. (Secretary reads title of bill) 19. 3rd reading of the bill. 20. PRESIDENT: 21. Senator Maitland. 22. SENATOR MAITLAND: 23. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 24. Senate. House Bill 305 changes the law that will...that will 25. allow agents who work within a partnership association or a cor-26. poration to sell insurance without being a member or an officer 27. of that corporation. And additionally, it simply...it clarifies 28. language to the law to prohibit bank holding companies from 29. being issued an insurance agent or a broker's license. And that 30. was the amendment that we put on the other day. 31. PRESIDENT: 32.

Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 305

Ap300 peasing

33.

Page 141 - June 18, 1981

1.	pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote
2.	Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all
3.	voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
4.	On that question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are none, 1 Voting
5.	Present. House Bill 305, having received the required consti-
6.	tutional majority is declared passed. 309, Senator Lemke. On
7.	the Order of House Bills 3rd reading, House Bill 309. Read the
8.	bill, Mr. Secretary.
9.	SECRETARY:
10.	House Bill 309.
11.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
12.	3rd reading of the bill.
13.	PRESIDENT:
14.	Senator Lemke.
15.	SENATOR LEMKE:
16.	What this bill isisis a bill suggested by the
17.	Chief Justice Goldenhersh, and thethe Illinois State Bar
18.	Association to clear up a problem there is within regards
19.	to the filing ofof a lawsuit onin a casualty and fire
20.	policy. What this does, is clears up the law, and wewe
21.	set a definite period instead of a case to case situation which
22.	is now what this does. Is says that the Statuteisruns from
23.	the date the claim is denied and clears up the problem.
24.	PRESIDENT:
25.	Any discussion? Ifyes, Senator Rupp.
26.	SENATOR RUPP:
27.	Thank you, Mr. President. This bill originally was assigned
28.	to the Insurance Committee. It was a Do Pass decision on the part
29.	of the Insurance Committee, and now it went to Judiciary, and
30.	now, it's coming back again. I do not recommend that this bill
31.	be passed.
31.	PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Lemke, do you wish to close?

Page 142 - June 18, 1981

SENATOR LEMKE: ı. I think it's a good bill, I think it clears up the problem in 2. the State of Illinois, as the Supreme Court has said, it's up to the 3. ...we have to clear up the problem, otherwise it's going to be an each...each case to case...basis, and it will be hodgepodge. 5. One guy will get something, and the other guy won't, and this 6 just sets it out and says this is the Statute', everybody, and 7. everybody is treated the same, and no more, that's all it does. 8. I ask for a favorable vote. 9. PRESIDENT: 10. The question is, shall House Bill 309 pass. Those in favor 11. will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. 12. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 13. who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 34, 14. the Nays are 19, none Voting Present. House Bill 309, having 15. received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 16. 319, Senator Marovitz. 322. On the Order of House Bills 3rd 17. reading, House Bill 322. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 18. SECRETARY: 19. House Bill 322. 20. (Secretary reads title of bill) 21. 3rd reading of the bill. 22. PRESIDENT: 23. Senator Marovitz. 24. SENATOR MAROVITZ: 25. Thank you, very much, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen 26. of the Senate. House Bill 322 makes it a criminal offense to 27. knowingly possess fireworks unless a local permit is obtained 28. or possession is by a registered fireworks manufacturer. While 29. presently sale and use of fireworks are Class B misdemeanors, 30. possession is not presently illegal under the Act. This bill adds 31. possession to the list of fireworks offenses. And I would ask 32. for an affirmative roll call. 33.

Page 143 - June 18, 1981

1.	PRESIDENT:
2.	Any discussion? Senator Rhoads.
3.	SENATOR RHOADS:
4.	A question of the sponsor?
5.	PRESIDENT:
6.	Indicates he'll yield. Senator Rhoads.
7.	SENATOR RHOADS:
8.	What doeswhat are the penalties, Senator Marovitz, for
9.	thefor violating this Act, ifshould it become law?
10.	PRESIDENT:
11.	Senator Marovitz.
12.	SENATOR MAROVITZ:
13.	Up to one pound, it's a petty offense, a five hundred dollar
14.	maximum fine only. From one to three pounds, it's a Class B mis-
15.	demeanor, a maximum of six months and a five hundred dollar fine.
16.	And for three pounds or over, a Class A misdemeanor, which is
17.	the maximum of one year, and one thousand dollar fine. I should
18.	add, that sparklers have been excluded in the Kim Sangmeister
19.	amendment fromfrom this legislation.
20.	PRESIDENT:
21.	Senator Rhoads.
22.	SENATOR RHOADS:
23.	Can you tell me what the origin of this bill was, where it
24.	came from, and who requested it, and so forth?
25.	PRESIDENT:
26.	Senator Marovitz.
27.	SENATOR MAROVITZ:
28.	III can't tell you that, because this is a House Bill,
29.	it's sponsored by Representative Daniels. There was aI can
30.	I can tell you, that there was a rather substantial expose on
31.	on NBC about possession of fireworks, and several homes were
32.	found where there was just pounds, and pounds, and pounds of fire-
33.	works that were possessed, they were not being used at the time, the
	werewere not being sold at the time. This was a loophole in

Page 144 - June 18, 1981

1.	the law as resulted in that expose. I believe NBC contacted
2.	Representative Daniels. Representative Daniels did put this
3.	legislation in, I think that's where it emanated from.
4.	PRESIDENT:
5.	Senator Rhoads.
6.	SENATOR RHOADS:
7.	Well, it'sit's hard to speak against this bill, but I
8.	really wonder if we're making aa new class of criminals here.
9.	People who have brought back fireworks with them from another
10.	state, orII grant you, that it is now illegal to use them,
11.	but for the mere possession to provide those kinds of penalties,
12.	it just seems to me to be, like Senator Bowers said, on a previous
13.	bill, going a bit far.
14.	PRESIDENT:
15.	Further discussion? Senator Berning.
16.	SENATOR BERNING:
17.	A question of the sponsor, please?
18.	PRESIDENT:
19.	Indicates he will yield. Senator Berning.
20.	SENATOR BERNING:
21.	Senator, just looking at this quickly, it would appear
22.	to me that if I and my grandson stopped in Wisconsin and bought
23.	some firecrackers or other kinds of fireworks display material,
24.	and drove home into Illinois, and for some reason I was appre-
25.	hended by the police enforcementdepartment, whether I was
26.	speeding or happened to go through a stop light, or just stopped
27.	along side the road, and this was discovered in the car, I \mathbf{w} ould
28.	be eligible for a rather substantial fine. Is that correct?
29.	PRESIDENT:
30.	Senator Marovitz:
31.	SENATOR MAROVITZ:
	Well, you're getting into a search and seizure question,

which I don't think we want to get into in this particular case.

32.

Page 145 - June 18, 1981

1.	However, the fact is, if you were to buy those fireworks, which
2.	it would be illegal for you and your fine grandson to use, then
3.	if you had those fireworks, you would be violating the law.
4.	PRESIDENT:
5.	Senator Berning.
6.	SENATOR BERNING:
7.	That's what I was afraid was the case. But it's not illegal
8.	to buy them in Wisconsin, and use them up there, but frequently
9.	my grandson who lives in Virginia would like to take some home
10.	with him. Now, I don't know whether they're legal to use there,
11.	but it would seem to me that the mere possession of them, even
12.	for future use in Wisconsin would be, under this, a rather severe
13.	penalty, and I think rather unjustified. I believe this
14.	is going a bit too far. As a matter of fact, Mr. President,
15.	and members of the Senate, most of you can't remember as far
16.	back as I can, but there was a time when the 4th of July was
17.	the biggest day in the year. Christmas, New Year's, birthdays,
18.	nothing compared to the 4th of July. I sincerely regret that
19.	our Statutes now prevent the use of fireworks by our young
20.	people today. Thatthat is a pleasure, and a type of patri-
21.	otic celebration, that I think they ought to still have.
22.	PRESIDENT:
23.	Further discussion? Senator Bowers.
24.	SENATOR BOWERS:
25.	Well, thank you, Mr. President. And I just want to point
26.	out to the Body, that there is a real problem with respect to
27.	the transportation of fireworks. Now, I would certainly agree,
28.	Senator Berning, that in the case where you and your son come
29.	across the State line, that probably isn't all that serious,
30.	but there are some heavy usage of transportation by automobiles,
31.	et cetera, that has caused some particularly tough law enforcement
32.	problems. And there'syou know, we had a series of newnews-
33	paper articles two years ago, and as you'll recall, Channel 5

Page 146 - June 18, 1981

did a little expose on this a couple of years ago. There's 1. been a number of murders in Ohio that have been directly re-2. lated to this problem, and so forth, and so on. Now, as far 3. as the penalty is concerned, I want to point out that you're 4 only a misdemeanant up to three pounds of fireworks, exclusive 5. of external packaging. Now, three pounds, if you want to put 6. them on a scale is an awful lot of fireworks. And...so what 7. the bill is really designed to do, and if you want to make that 8. five pounds or if you want to make that a Class C misdemeanor, 9. I wouldn't have any problem with that. But the...the 10. guts of the bill, and the important part of the bill, are...are 11. up in the...in the higher categories. Also, of course, I should 12. point out, if it's up...up to one pound, which is still a con-13. siderable amount of fireworks, it's only a petty...it's only a 14. petty offense. So, I don't think that penalty is extreme. 15. would point out to you, there is no legal use that can be made 16. of these instruments in the State of Illinois. Today you can't 17. fire them off. Now, I realize that... I know what the real world 18. ...how the real world exists, but...but the simple fact is, there 19. is no legal use of them, and if there's no legal use of them, 20. then I find no...nothing abhor rent about saying that you shouldn't 21. possess them. And I don't think those penalties are too high 22. until you get into the higher categories, and that's where 23. there is a very serious law enforcement problem. I would hope 24. we could vote this bill out. 25. PRESIDENT: 26. Further discussion? Senator Rhoads. 27. SENATOR RHOADS: 28. I apologize for rising for the second time, but Senator 29.

I apologize for rising for the second time, but Senator

Marovitz, there are circumstances under which a legal display

can be conducted. Now, that...those circumstances are exempted

from this bill? Thank you.

PRESIDENT:

Page 147 - June 18, 1981

l. Further discussion? Senator Marovitz may close. 2. SENATOR MAROVITZ: Thank you, Mr. President. I would just clarify once again, 3. and reiterate for the Body, that it is presently illegal in 4. the State of Illinois to use or sell fireworks. That is the 5. law today, we are not changing that whatsoever by this legislation, 6. we are just also saying that it's illegal to possess those same 7. fireworks, which, in fact, it would be illegal to use. It does 8. solve a problem that has occurred in the State of Illinois. I would 9. ask for a favorable roll call. 10. PRESIDENT: 11. The question is, shall House Bill 322 pass. Those in 12. favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting 13. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 14. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, 15. the Ayes are 42, the Nays are 11, 1 Voting Present. House Bill 16. 322, having received the required constitutional majority is 17. declared passed. 335, Senator Lemke. On the Order of House 18. Bills 3rd reading, House Bill 335. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 19. SECRETARY: 20. House Bill 335. 21. (Secretary reads title of bill) 22. 3rd reading of the bill. 23. PRESIDENT: 24. Senator Lemke. 25. SENATOR LEMKE: 26. The intent of the bill is to...to broaden the Statutory 27. ... powers of trustees so that the drawing up of a trust agreement, 28. the size of it can be cut down in a shorter matter, therefore, the 29. average individual can read it. The other thing is a very major 30. part of the bill, on the status of women. work on this, to look 31. through all our Statutes to make sure we don't use the word male 32. or female, or that. And this one is really a big change, you know, 33.

Page 148 - June 18, 1981

1.	forsince the common law, we always had theprudent man rule,
2.	but now we're going to have instead of that, the prudent
3.	person rule. Soand we need ahave a commission to
4.	to change words. I ask for its adoption.
5.	PRESIDENT:
6.	Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House
7.	Bill 335 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed
8.	will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
9.	Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the
١٥.	record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are 1,
1.	none Voting Present. House Bill 355335, having received
2.	the required constitutional majority is declared passed.
13.	337, Senator Vadalabene. On339, Senator Nega. On the
4.	Order of House Bills 3rd reading, the top of page 8, House
.5.	Bill 339. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
.6.	SECRETARY:
17.	House Bill 339.
18.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
19.	3rd reading of the bill.
20.	PRESIDENT:
21.	Senator Nega.
22.	SENATOR NEGA:
23.	Mr. President, and members of the Senate. ThisHouse Bill
24.	339 amends the Criminal Code to remove the age limitation thus
25.	making the Statute applicable to any person regardless of age.
26.	And it states that a person who expressly orimpliedly threatens
27.	to do bodily harm, or does bodily harm to an individual or to
28.	an individual's family, or uses any other criminally unlawful
29.	means to solicit or cause any person to join any organization or
30.	association, regardless of the nature of such organization,
31.	association, is guilty of a Class 3 Felony. I solicit your
	favorable support.

PRESIDENT:

Page 149 - June 18, 1981

1.	Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill
2.	339 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will
3.	vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have
4.	all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
5.	On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none Voting
6.	Present. House Bill 339, having received the required constitu-
7.	tional majority is declared passed. 341, Senator Berning. On
8.	the Order of House Bills 3rd reading, House Bill 341. Read the
9.	bill, Mr. Secretary.
10.	SECRETARY:
11.	House Bill 341.
12.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
13.	3rd reading of the bill.
14.	PRESIDENT:
15.	Senator Berning.
16.	SENATOR BERNING:
17.	Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Actually
18.	this bill is the same as Senate Bill 438 by Senator Lemke, which
19.	has already passed the Senate, and is over in the House. As
20.	amended, it now provides for adequate insurance by operators of
21.	amusement rides, andand attraction safety material. The
22.	Department of Labor is now in support of this, the Organized
23.	Amusement Industry is in support of the bill. I know of no
24.	further opposition to it. And I would request a favorable roll
25.	call, Mr. President.
26.	PRESIDENT:
27.	Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill
28.	341 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote
29.	Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all
30.	voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On
31.	that question the Ayes are 49, the Nays are none, 2 Voting
32.	Present. House Bill 341, having received the required constitu-
33.	tional majority is declared passed. 348, Senator Maitland. On

Page 150 - June 18, 1981

1.	the Order of House Bills 3rd reading, House Bill 348. Read
2.	the bill, Mr. Secretary.
3.	SECRETARY:
4.	House Bill 348.
5.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
6.	3rd reading of the bill.
7.	PRESIDENT:
8.	Senator Maitland.
9.	SENATOR MAITLAND:
10.	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
11.	Senate. House Bill 48 amends the Insurance Code by moving the
12.	late payment inducement from the Premium Finance Article to
13.	the Agent andBrokers Article. And, quite frankly, what this
14.	does, it allows the assessment of a late charge without obtaining
15.	the premium finance company license, which costs fifty dollars.
16.	PRESIDENT:
17.	Any discussion? Senator Buzbee.
18.	SENATOR BUZBEE:
19.	I have one question. Why?
20.	PRESIDENT:
21.	Senator Maitland
22.	SENATOR MAITLAND:
23.	II guess the simple answer, Senator Buzbee, would be
24.	that to save thethe license fee, there's a fifty dollar
25.	charge for the license fee. It still, of course, will allow
26.	for the inducement of early payment, and it just seems as though
27.	it was unnecessary.
28.	PRESIDENT:
29.	Senator Buzbee.
30.	SENATOR BUZBEE:
31.	Well, aagain, you know, why are we interested in saving
32.	an insurance company fifty dollars?
	PRESIDENT:

Page 151 - June 18, 1981

Senator Maitland.

1.	Senator Maitland.
2.	SENATOR MAITLAND:
3.	What this does, really, Senator Buzbee, is to prevent the
4.	insurance agencyor the insurance agent from having to get into
5.	some kind of a premium financing operation.
6.	PRESIDENT:
7.	Senator Buzbee.
8.	SENATOR BUZBEE:
9.	Well, thethe late charge is assessed by the company, is
10.	it not? It's not assessed by the agent.
11.	PRESIDENT:
12.	Senator Maitland.
13.	SENATOR MAITLAND:
14.	ItSenator Buzbee, it allows the agent to assess it.
15.	PRESIDENT:
16.	Senator Buzbee.
17.	SENATOR BUZBEE:
18.	Well, again, areare you talking about homeowners insurance
19.	automobile insurance, liability, personal liability insurance,
20.	and all of that sort of thing? You know, Imymy agent
21.	doesn't assess me any late fee if I don't pay on time, but the
22.	companythe company might assess me a late fee if Iif I
23.	have a direct pay to the company, but if I'mif I'm paying to
24.	the agent, I'm not aware of any agents assessing late fees.
25.	PRESIDENT:
26.	Senator Maitland.
27.	SENATOR MAITLAND:
28.	Well, he can't now do that without a license. And this
29.	allows him to do it without a license.
30.	PRESIDENT:
31.	Senator Buzbee.
32.	SENATOR BUZBEE:
33.	Well, III get the distinct impression that Senator
	Rupp wants to get involved in this conversation, and I'dI'd

Page 152 - June 18, 1981

like to hear his rendition, so I won't...I won't address any

more questions to Senator Maitland.

ı.

2.

3.

PRESIDENT:

4.	Senator Rupp.
5.	SENATOR RUPP:
6.	Thank you. No music. Actually, what the practical situation
7.	is, when you go in and you buy a policy, the agent is the one
8.	who collects from you, and he in turn has to have a premium
9.	trust fund, and account for all that money, and then forward
10.	it to the company. However, if you don't show up, he has an
11.	option offrom his own money, paying the company to keep your
12.	coverage in force. Now, up till recently, there was no way
13.	that he could say to you, well fine, I will finance your premium
14.	without him getting into the whole act ofof setting up a
15.	premium financing department, and following all the rules and
16.	regulations of premium financing. It's not that, it's not a
17.	formal thing, it's an informal arrangement where you areyou
18.	come in Saturday, when it should have been in Wednesday or some-
19.	thing like that, and he now can, under this, charge you a late
20.	charge. He doesn't have to, there's nothing compulsory, and
21.	normally the agents do not do it, provided it does not get
22.	to a lengthy time. But all it is, is avoiding theeach in-
23.	dividual insurance agent having to set up a premium financing
24.	department and complying with all the rules and regulations.
25.	PRESIDENT:
26.	Further discussion? Senator Maitland may close.
27.	SENATOR MAITLAND:
28.	Thank you, Mr. President. I appreciate a favorable roll
29.	call.
30.	PRESIDENT:
31.	The question is, shall House Bill 348 pass. Those in favor
32.	will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is
33.	open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have

Page 153 - June 18, 1981

```
all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the
ı.
        Ayes are 50, the Nays are 1, 1 Voting Present. House Bill 348,
2.
        having received the required constitutional majority is declared
3.
        passed. 368, Senator Coffey. On the Order of House Bills 3rd
4.
        reading, House Bill 368. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
5.
        SECRETARY:
6.
             House Bill 368.
7.
                  ( Secretary reads title of bill )
8.
         3rd reading of the bill.
 9.
        PRESIDENT:
10.
             Senator Coffey.
11.
         SENATOR COFFEY:
12.
             Mr. President, and members of the Senate. House Bill 368
13.
         amends the Nursing Home Care Reform Act of 1979 to establish
14.
        an order of priority for those individuals who can execute the
15.
         nursing home contract and adds the members of the immediate
16.
         family among those individuals. There was also a committee
17.
         amendment that was attached which exempts laboratories owned
18.
         and operated by insurance companies from the Illinois Clinical
19.
        Laboratories Act. I'd ask for a favorable roll call, and be
20.
         glad to answer any questions you might have.
21.
         PRESIDENT:
22.
              Any discussion? Senator Gitz.
23.
         SENATOR GITZ:
24.
             Not, on this bill, Mr. President. My key was turned, I simply
25.
         wanted the record to reflect that on House Bill 348, that I
26.
         did wish to be recorded Aye, and would have so voted.
27.
         PRESIDENT:
28.
              The record will so indicate. Discussion on 368? Senator
29.
         Berman.
30.
         SENATOR BERMAN:
31.
             Will the sponsor yield?
32.
         PRESIDENT:
33.
```

Page 154 - June 18, 1981

1.	He indicates he'll yield. Senator Berman.
2.	SENATOR BERMAN:
3.	Would you explain to me why we are exempting certain clinical
4.	laboratories from registration just because they're owned by in-
5.	surance companies?
6.	PRESIDENT:
7.	Senator Coffey.
8.	SENATOR COFFEY:
9.	Yes, if I could, I would like to yield to where the amendment
١٥.	came from, Senator Keats.
11.	PRESIDENT:
L2.	Senator Keats.
13.	SENATOR KEATS:
L 4 .	Thank you. There are only a couple in the whole State, the
15.	Department of Public Health normally regulates them. They are
L6.	aware of these, the Department of Public Health says it's no
L7.	problem, it's just a dual function, they end up regulating lab-
18.	oratories, that there's no need for them to do. So, it's an expense
19.	to the taxpayers that doesn't need to be. So, it'sit's just
20.	a couple, and the State Public Health is aware, they've checked
21.	off, and there'sto the best of my knowledge, no opposition to
22.	it.
23.	PRESIDENT:
24.	Senator Berman.
25.	SENATOR BERMAN:
26.	Well, you know, most of the time these clinical laboratories
27.	don't like to be regulated, period, and misery loves company. So,
28.	I'm just not sure why you want to pick a particular type of
29.	clinical laboratory. Are you telling me that these labs don't
30.	service the public, and they're only in house? And if that
31.	is, then it should be described that way in the amendment, rather
12	than the nature of the ownership.

PRESIDENT:

Page 155 - June 18, 1981

1.	Senator Keats.
2.	SENATOR KEATS:
3.	Yes, that would be a correct one. In terms of legal terms
4.	that's what it does, but yes, these are in house. They're used
5.	internally, if there were any discrepancy it would be, you know,
6.	there would be another check made. So, youryour comments
7.	are accurate, there's no problem with that, though.
8.	PRESIDENT:
9.	Senator Berman.
10.	SENATOR BERMAN:
11.	Well, if they're accurate, what are wehow are we going
12.	to change it?
13.	PRESIDENT:
14.	Senator Keats.
15.	SENATOR KEATS:
16.	What I'm saying is, in the law there's no need, it does
17.	whatwhat you wish. I mean, itthat's what it does.
18.	PRESIDENT:
19.	Further discussion? Senator Coffey may close.
20.	SENATOR COFFEY:
21.	Yes, I just ask for a favorable roll call.
22.	PRESIDENT:
23.	The question is, shall House Bill 368 pass. Those in
24.	favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting
25.	is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
26.	Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,
27.	the Ayes are 4748, the Nays are none, 3 Voting Present.
28.	House Bill 368, having received the required constitutional
29.	majority is declared passed. On the Order of House Bills 3rd
30.	reading, House Bill 372. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
31.	SECRETARY:
	House Bill 372.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

- 3rd reading of the bill.
- 2. PRESIDENT:
- Senator Keats.
- 4. SENATOR KEATS:

5. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
6. Senate. This passed Executive Committee on a vote of eleven
7. to four. What it does right now, it allows insurance companies
8. to contribute to political campaigns. Right now, the large and
9. small ones can, this one simply allows the...medium sized ones
10. to do the same thing as the large and small. I'd appreciate a
11. favorable roll call.

PRESIDENT:

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

33.

Any discussion? Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Mr. President, this may have passed out of the Executive Committee eleven to four, but it should have been in the Insurance Committee, because it is an insurance bill. And I don't know why it didn't go to my committee, but it didn't. And to say that it allows...it allows medium sized companies to contribute to political campaigns, and because the law already provides that large and small ones can, is such a misstatement that I can't believe it. The prohibition against political contributions given by insurance companies applies to all insurance companies. Not large ones and small ones with the exceptions of medium sized ones. There is no reason why we should pass this bill. I understand maybe, that the Republicans ...some Republican Senators want insurance companies to give them political contributions, but we feel on the Democratic side, I would think, that there is no reason for this bill. PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Savickas.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Senator

Page 157 - June 18, 1981

1.	Keats wasn't totally honest in his analysis of the contribution.
2.	And Senator D'Arco just touched upon it. At present time, the
3.	companies are prohibited from contributing corporately, they
4.	do it individually through holding companies, but they must
5.	do it on an individual basis. Senator Keats wishes to allow the
6.	insurance companies to contribute through their corporate funds
7.	to candidatesto candidates in aI would like to remind you
8.	that Governor Thompson had vetoed this same type of legislation
9.	last Session, because it does create a conflict. We're talking
10.	about the ability of the State Insurance Director, the Governor,
11.	State officers to accept contributions from the insurance companie
12.	who will regulate them after they are elected. And I think
13.	that the distinction should be made that this would allow in-
14.	surance companies to contribute out of their corporate profits,
15.	and not individually, now, per person, as they must do out of
16.	their own pockets. And I would suggest that this bill was a
17.	dangerous precedent to be set, that it should be defeated.
18.	PRESIDENT:
19.	Further discussion? Senator Johns.
20.	SENATOR JOHNS:
21.	A question of the sponsor?
22.	PRESIDENT:
23.	Indicates he'll yield.
24.	SENATOR JOHNS:
25.	Senator Keats, is my experience wrong, in that I have read
26.	time and time again that the greatest concentration of wealth in
27.	the business world, today, is in the insuranceindustry?
28.	PRESIDENT:
29.	Senator Keats.
	SENATOR KEATS:

No, that is not accurate, the greatest concentrations of

wealth today, happen to be, number one, in pension funds, and number

two,in the Federal Government. In fact, number three, I think is

30.

31.

32.

Page 158 - June 18, 1981

ı. the Catholic church. PRESIDENT: 2. Senator Johns. 3. SENATOR JOHNS: 4. Well, again, now right there, you lost what I asked you. 5 the business world, you used government, you used the church, and 6. you used pension funds, I'm talking about in corporation, corporate 7. structure, et cetera, isn't it in the insurance field? 8. PRESIDENT: 9. Senator Keats. 10. SENATOR KEATS: 11. Okay, the answer to your question, I'm sorry, I did miss 12. that. I think the number one accumulation of wealth is Senator Frank 13. Ozinga, after Frank, I think the next biggest accumulation... 14. if my understanding is accurate, is pension funds. In this 15. case, USHA union pension funds, and beyond that I just 16. can't answer your question. I mean, that's just not something 17. I'm...I know for sure, but I know pension funds is the largest 18. single area. 19. PRESIDENT: 20. Further discussion? Senator Keats. 21. SENATOR KEATS: 22. I think that, you know, I always was for this concept until 23. I start analyzing it. And after analyzing the bill, I would 24. say, that if we pass this bill in Illinois, this allows insurance 25. companies to take the policyholder's money and contribute it 26. throughout the fifty states, as long as they're based in Illinois. 27. In other words, Illinois companies will be making political 28. contributions not only in Illinois, but they'll be making con-29. tributions in Indiana, and every other state in the union. And 30. that way, the Illinois policyholders will be paying for laws 31. in Indiana, Wisconsin, and all over, and...and...to lobby...for 32.

lobbying it. I don't think it's a good idea, I don't think it's a

Page 159 - June 18, 1981

```
good precedent. An insurance company is like a public utility,
 l.
       public utilities don't make political contributions, banks don't
 2.
       make political contributions. I don't think insurance companies
 3.
       should, because what it is, is a...it's a public utility, let's
4.
       face it. It's people getting together as insurers to protect
5.
       somebody else's loss, and that's how it all started. And I
6.
       don't think that the cost of political contributions should be
7.
       pushed upon the policyholders who are being assessed big premiums
 8.
       as it is now.
 9.
       PRESIDENT:
10.
            Further discussion? Senator Joyce.
11.
       SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:
12.
            A question of Senator Lemke. Public...public utilities
13.
       can't make political contributions?
14.
       PRESIDENT:
15.
             Senator Lemke indicates he will yield. Senator Lemke.
16.
       SENATOR LEMKE:
17.
            Not directly, they make political contributions to public
18.
       pact funds and things like that, but not directly through. This
19.
       would...this allows the insurance companies...they can do
20.
       it now, the insurance industry can set up pact funds and things
21.
       and operate that way, but this will make direct contributions
22.
       from insurance companies.
23.
       PRESIDENT:
24.
             Senator Joyce.
25.
       SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:
26.
             Oh, I thought I had to give this check back that I got
27.
        the other night, that's all I was wondering.
28.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
29.
             Senator Simms.
30.
       SENATOR SIMMS:
31.
             Would Senator Keats yield?
32.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
33.
```

Page 160 - June 18, 1981

1.	He indicates he will.
2.	SENATOR SIMMS:
3.	Senator Keats, is it the policy of the State now, to allow the
4.	liquor industry to contribute to political campaigns?
5.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
6.	Senator Keats.
7.	SENATOR KEATS:
8.	Yes, it is, I appreciate your asking this question, I was
9.	going to bring it upinsummary. The only other major industry
10.	that was not allowed was the liquor industry, the prohibition
11.	was lifted in 1978. So, in terms of saying that the insurance
12.	industry is doing something someone else isn't doing, that is
13.	just plain not accurate. I will simply use soft terms, but
14.	those statements are not accurate.
15.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
16.	Senator DeAngelis.
17.	SENATOR DeANGELIS:
18.	Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Senator
19.	Keats, I think pointed out what I wanted to say, that the rational
20.	behind not allowing insurance companies to contribute, is that
21.	they are a regulated industry. However, they are the only re-
22.	gulated industry left that is not allowed to contributethat
23.	is true, John. The second thing that I wanted to point out, is
24.	that not only is this discriminatory by prohibiting them, but
25.	there are insurance companies that are part of larger corporations
26.	that are not prohibited. So, not only are you discriminating
27.	in terms of an industry, but in many instances, only a segment
28.	of the industry. And I don't thinkand I don't see myself
29.	as being, based on my legislative record, in terms of insurance
30.	companies, as being a great receiver of any funds. But I do
31.	believe in the equality of being able to contribute to political

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32.

Page 161 - June 18, 1981

1. Further discussion? Senator Bruce. SENATOR BRUCE: 2. 3. Thank you, Mr. Chairman...Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I rise in strong opposition to this legislation, there 4 . was a Governor named James Thompson, who on September the 22nd, 5. 1977 vetoed a bill identical to this one. And he made the state-6. ment that this bill would not prohibit contributions to the depart-7. ment or to the director, or to any of its personnel. And for 8. the first time in the history, that would mean that a regulated 9. industry in the State of Illinois, would have the...the power 10. to make contributions to the director, and influence his decisions 11. by contributions to him. It also allows contributions to be made 12. to staff members in the Department of Insurance, something that 13. the Governor found abhorrent and vetoed in September of 1977. 14. It said that...his reason was, where the State's regulatory 15. hand has extraordinary control over every aspect of the con-16. tributor's livelihood, unlike any other regulated industry, the 17. opportunity of misunderstanding or abuse is increased. And that 18. is exactly the problem. It is not a question of whether or not 19. illegal acts are going to occur, it is not a question of whether 20. or not we're going to have the insurance industry regulated in 21. an improper fashion, it's a question with disclosure of campaign 22. contributions whether everyone in Springfield, Illinois, who got 23. an increase approaching twenty percent in their HIA premiums, 24. and then they pick up a paper and find out that Blue Cross-Blue 25. Shield, or some other insurance company gave the Department of 26. Insurance or its director, or people who are on the Insurance 27. Committee sixty or seventy thousand dollars in contribution, it 28. is the appearance of impropriety that's going to be the problem, 29. not that...impropriety is going to occur, but the fact the public 30. will lose confidence in the regulation of this very vital industry 31. to the Illinois economy. Secondly, the Governor went on to say, 32.

that the second big problem is that it will hurt the consumer

Page 162 - June 18, 1981

1.	and probably increase insurance premiums to all Illinois policy-
2.	holders. Now, the money that they're going to contribute comes
3.	right out of the company funds, and there's two things those
4.	funds can be used for, profits, for distribution, or expenditures.
5.	And this is going to be an expenditure, if there's not a high
6.	profit motive, if there's not a high profit made, then premiums
7.	have to be increased. And as expenditures are increased, the
8.	more likely premiums will be increased. And this is an expend-
9.	iture. I see no reason, at all, to pass this legislation. And
10.	finally, let me just make one comment of a personal nature. Senator
11.	Keats brought this bill before the Senate Executive Committee,
12.	and made the same exact allegation today that he has made on
13.	this Floor, saying that this is going to allow smaller companies
14.	to do already, what larger companies can do. That was your
15.	statement to the Senate Executive Committee, that is your state-
16.	ment here, that was corrected in the Senate Executive Committee.
17.	You are purposely, I feel, confusing the issue. There is absolutely
18.	no distinction in the Statutes of the State of Illinois between
19.	the largest insurance company, and the smallest insurance companies
20.	on its prohibition against contributions, absolutely, Senator
21.	Keats, not one dollar amount is listed. Not one. The smallest
22.	company can start a pact, the largest company can start a pact,
23.	but none of them from the largest to the smallest can make any
24.	contribution. And you should not, and II cannot understand,
25.	having been soso reprimanded in the committee, that you would
26.	come to the Floor and make that same allegation. It'sit's
27.	incomprehensible. I rise in very strong opposition to this
28.	legislation.
29.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
30.	Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Keats may
31.	close debate.

I would like to start out by saying, I appreciate your concern

SENATOR KEATS:

32.

Page 163 - June 18, 1981

```
for my statement, and I will at this time explain... I would
l.
        have avoided saying to the Senate, you guys didn't know what
2.
        you were talking about. Let me explain again, so people
3.
        will realize that what the opposition is saying, simply is
4.
        not accurate. Large insurance companies can contribute through
5.
        pacts right now, small companies, which are the local, mutual,
6.
        district ones, et cetera, are not covered by the regulation.
7.
        The small companies can contribute because they're not covered
8.
        by the regulation, and the large ones can contribute, exactly
9.
                     In committee, that question was asked, I answered
        as I said.
10.
        it in question, and it came out on a vote of eleven to four.
11.
        So, it's obvious that the eleven realized that what I said was
12.
        accurate, and the four did not realize, and didn't quite understand.
13.
        But it's very obvious that the small companies which are not
14.
        covered, and the large companies, due to their holding company
15.
        structure are not covered. So, in terms of saying that that is in-
16.
        accurate, I appreciate your comments, we discussed it in committee,
17.
        and you're wrong. It's that plain and simple, and in terms of...
18.
        now the other areas mentioned, you say the Governor vetoed the
19.
        bill, at the time it was sponsored by a Democrat, so it's cer-
20.
         tainly...ism't just a partisan bill, and in terms of...I do ask
21.
         now, a little friendly kidding, if the Governor's veto is
22.
         that important, can we count on you for a couple other veto votes
23.
         too? Now, a few other points to bring up. We are simply saying,
24.
         let an insurance company operate in the same way any other can,
25.
         a few others...the insurance...the few other regulated industries
26.
         that contribute right now, today, that would be quite significant,
27.
         the trucking industry, you know, we regulate them quite closely,
28.
         they can contribute out of corporate funds. State banks, national
29.
         banks cannot, but State banks can. The savings and loan industry,
30.
         currency exchanges, liquor licenses, the public utilities can con-
31.
         tribute, Senator Joyce is completely correct in what he said.
32.
         Loan companies, to say that...that, you know, regulated companies
```

Page 164 - June 18, 1981

can't contribute for...'cause there's some conflict, that just

ı.

33.

```
2.
        plain is inaccurate. These other ones are all regulated, and
 3.
        they contribute also. Okay, now, in terms of the final point
        I should bring up, and I think it's significant to say, is that
        I appreciate the opposition to the bill, if it's a philosophic
 5.
        issue fine, but don't get up and say that I misstated something.
 6
        As I've just said, right here, you're inaccurate. If you want
 7.
        to say you disagree with me philosophically, go ahead, but don't
 8.
        say my statements were inaccurate, because you're wrong. And I
 9.
        would appreciate a favorable vote.
10.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
11.
             The question is, shall House Bill 372 pass. Those in
12.
        favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is
13.
        open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have
14.
        all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the
15.
        Ayes are 22, the Nays are 29, 1 Voting Present. House Bill 372,
16.
        having failed to receive a constitutional majority is declared
17.
        lost. We had leave of the Body to go back to those bills where
18.
        the Senators were down in the news conference. the Governor...on
19.
        House Bill 289, on page 7. House Bill 326, on page 7, Senator
20.
        DeAngelis. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
21.
        SECRETARY:
22.
             House Bill 326.
23.
                  ( Secretary reads title of bill )
24.
         3rd reading of the bill.
25.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
26.
             Senator DeAngelis.
27.
        SENATOR DeANGELIS:
28.
              Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate.
29.
        bill requires that those people who sell real estate by auction,
30.
        be required also to either have a broker or a salesman's license
31.
        for that purpose.
32.
```

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Page 165 - June 18, 1981

1.	Is there any discussion? Senator Bruce. Senator Bloom.
2.	SENATOR BLOOM:
3.	Would the sponsor yield to a couple of questions?
4.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
5.	He indicates he will.
6.	SENATOR BLOOM:
7.	Senator, whatwhat evil, what harm to the public is sought
8.	to be remedied?
9.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
10.	Senator DeAngelis.
11.	SENATOR DeANGELIS:
12.	Well, I feel that if you're going to engage in the practice
13.	of selling real estate, you ought to comply by the same rules
14.	and regulations that other people who are selling real estate
15.	comply by. There is nono surreptitious purpose behind it.
16.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
17.	Senator Bloom.
18.	SENATOR BLOOM:
19.	Have you ever been to a farm sale?
20.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
21.	Senator DeAngelis.
22.	SENATOR DeANGELIS:
23.	No, but I have had property that was sold by auction, not
24.	on a for sale, but on a regular sale, and I've sales
25.	where property was sold by auction, yes.
26.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
27.	Senator Bloom.
28.	SENATOR BLOOM:
29.	Senator, you know, you didn't answer the question, and that
30.	isthat is the one that has been before the Sunset Committee
31.	twice, and has been before other bodies, and that is whatwhat
32.	evil is there? Essentially it sticks in the craw, I now speak
33.	to the bill, essentially it bothers the realrealtors that
	auctioneers when they hold auctions, aren't licensed. For some

Page 166 - June 18, 1981

reason this has been a thorn in their side for years, but there

ı.

32.

33.

2.	is no real harm to the public, and the reason realreal estate
3.	salesmen and brokers, et cetera, are licensed, is because they
4.	are involved also in many instances in rathercomplicated
5.	financing of the sale of various properties, commercial and
6.	otherwise. But auctioneers, basically get up and chant an auction
7.	And there's no harm to the public, this has been going on for
8.	many, many years, and it really, basically, is a turf war. And
9.	IIsubmit to you, that the State of Illinois, especially
10.	because of the stated public policy of our Statutes right now,
11.	that unless there is a clear and significant harm to the public,
12.	that we don't indulge in further regulations. So, I'd be forced t
13.	rise in opposition to this bill. And I can see that's awakened
14.	several colleagues, I didn't mean to do that. But II don't
15.	think that this is good public policy.
16.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
17.	Senator Netsch.
18.	SENATOR NETSCH:
19.	Thank you, Mr. President. Aa question for the sponsor.
20.	I'm just trying to figure out, really what it is that you are
21.	covering by this. Thethe structure of the amendment that we
22.	have before us, is, as an amendment to the Real Estate Brokers
23.	Act, and it says that a broker is any person who, for compensation,
24.	or consideration, sells or offers for sale, including by auction.
25.	What kind of property is sold by auction, whowho does it now,
26.	and is this a frequent occurence? II just simply don't have
27.	a context in which toin which to put this.
28.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
29.	Senator DeAngelis.
30.	SENATOR DEANGELIS:
21	Well, itit can be sold by auction for a lot of reasons.

My own personal experience, I have a piece of property right

adjacent to it, somebody sold their property by auction, and then

Page 167 - June 18, 1981

```
it was taken off because the auction price didn't meet the
ı.
        price the person wanted. It's a method of selling the property,
2.
        and for that reason, because of some of the intricacies involved
3.
        including knowledge of titles and liens, and also from the
4 .
        fact that you can participate, if there is an abuse in the Real
5.
        Estate Recovery Act, I am saying that they should be required
6.
        to have the same type of license as somebody who normally sells
7.
        real estate.
8.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
9.
             Senator Netsch.
10.
        SENATOR NETSCH:
11.
              No, but...but Senator DeAngelis, are you saying that the
12.
        person who sold that piece of property was, in fact, a...some-
13.
        one engaged in the business of real estate as a broker or what-
14.
        ever? And if so, that person has a license already, has he not?
15.
        Could you explain then, please?
16.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
17
             Senator DeAngelis.
18.
        SENATOR DeANGELIS:
19.
              Some...there are some people who are auctioneers that are
20.
        selling real estate, they are not real estate brokers, or
21.
        salesmen. They do not have real estate brokers or salesmen's
22.
        license. These are the people that we're attempting to license.
23.
        Now, I might mention we're not trying to do them any harm, be-
24.
        cause this bill does grandfather in those people who have been
25.
        in that business for the last ten years.
26.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
27.
              Senator...let me tell you who's in order now. We have Senator
28.
        Jerome Joyce, Nedza, Chew, Rhoads, Gitz, Geo-Karis, Sommer, Nimrod,
29.
        Coffey, Grotberg, and McMillan. I've mentioned Chew. Senator
30.
        Joyce.
31.
         SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:
32.
```

Thank you, Mr. President. I... I just wanted to say that I think that if a per-

33.

son is...

Page 168 - June 18, 1981

ı.

32.

33.

...going to sell a house or a farm, or what have you, and he decides

```
to put it on the auction block, that that is definitely his
2. .
        right. And the real thing, the real reason, you know there's
3.
        a reason and a real reason behind this is, the difference is,
4.
        two percent, and six percent. An auctioneer will sell a farm
5.
        for you, or a house or something for two percent. A realtor
6.
        is going to be six. Shake your head, I just sold one, that's
7.
        the difference.
R.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
9.
             Senator Nedza.
10.
        SENATOR NEDZA:
11.
              Thank you, Mr. President. A question of the sponsor.
12.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
13.
             Indicates he'll yield.
14.
        SENATOR NEDZA:
15.
              Senator DeAngelis, other than those parcels of property
16.
        that usually are auctioned for tax delinquency and that, how
17.
        many other cases of property are sold by auctioneers, as opposed
18.
        to those that are handled by realtors?
19.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
20.
              Senator DeAngelis.
21.
        SENATOR DeANGELIS:
22.
              I... Senator Nedza, I don't think that includes the property
23.
         that is being held for back taxes, that the State is selling off.
24.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
25.
              Senator Nedza.
26.
         SENATOR NEDZA:
27.
              Would not this legislation also in...infringe, or incur
28.
         upon those individuals, and those municipalities, and those officers
29.
         of municipalities that are doing...presently doing that?
30.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
31.
```

Senator DeAngelis. Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Page 169 - June 18, 1981

Senator Nedza, if they're selling subject to a court
order, theythey are not covered by the Real Estate Act.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
Senator Nedza.
SENATOR NEDZA:
Well, let's take it one step further, say that an auctioneer
comes out, and Senator Joyce illustratedwhere there is back
taxes, or there are liens against the property, and then they
sell that by auction, is that individual or individuals absolved
from this Act also?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
Senator DeAngelis. Senator DeAngelis.
SENATOR DeANGELIS:
Senator Nedza, the best information I have, is that anything
subject to a court order, is not covered under the Real Estate
Act.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
Senatorslet me read the list again here. Senators Chew,
Rhoads, Gitz, Geo-Karis, Sommer, Nimrod, Coffey, Grotberg, Friedland
McMillan, Becker, Maitland, and Keats have now asked to speak on
this bill. Senator Chew.
SENATOR CHEW:
It seems that the proper thing to do would be to take the
bill out of the record, or Table it, because everybody is speaking
against it. Number one, I would like to ask the sponsor a question
if he will yield?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
He will yield.
SENATOR CHEW:
Senator, are these auctioneers licensed to auction?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
Senator DeAngelis.

32.

33.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Page 170 - June 18, 1981

l.

No.

2.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
3.	Senator Chew.
4.	SENATOR CHEW:
5.	Well, if he is not auctionedlet's say in the State of Illinois,
6.	as an auctioneer, are you saying that he ought to be licensed
7.	for each item that he auctions off, for instance, automobiles,
8.	or horses, or farms, or homes, or tractors, or carpeting, or
9.	furnishings, how many licenses should he have?
10.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
11.	Senator DeAngelis.
12.	SENATOR DeANGELIS:
13.	Well, Senator Chew, I'm so sure that we license any of
14.	the occupations that you mentioned other than real estate. So,
15.	II would not require them to have a license for the other
16.	activities that you're talking about, and if we don't require
17.	anybody else to have a license for it.
18.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
19.	Senator Chew.
20.	SENATOR CHEW:
21.	Can you tell me, in your own words, Sir, why do you want
22.	this to happen?
23.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
24.	Senator DeAngelis.
25.	SENATOR DeANGELIS:
26.	Senator Chew, no,I am not in the real estate business, I am
27.	not. What we're talking about, is we're trying to bring into
28.	compliance an activity that requires other people to have a
29.	license for it.
30.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
31.	Senator Chew.
32.	SENATOR CHEW:
33.	Your President said he wanted to get government off the backs

Page 171 - June 18, 1981

1.	or people, but his subordinates are trying to put government on
2.	the backs of people. Why don't you just take it out of the
3.	record, you'll never get this bill passed, you know that.
4.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
5.	Senator Rhoads.
6.	SENATOR RHOADS:
7.	Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. To
8.	beto begin with, I have a conflict of interest to declare.
9.	I am a commercial industrial broker, and a realtor, a member
10.	of the association. Now, having said that, I have a few questions
11.	As I read the bill, unless there has been some amendments putting
12.	on, itit amends Section 4.02, the definition of broker
13.	means any person, association, co-partnership, oror corporation
14.	who, for compensation, or valuable consideration, sells or offers
15.	for sale, and all you're adding is thethe new language, including
16.	by auction. Now, for example, Senator DeAngelis, there are
17.	public officials, township school treasurers in Cook County come
18.	to mind, other types of public officials who attendantincident
19.	to their official duties, offer public property for sale, and
20.	they, themselves conduct the auction. Now, they do so for com-
21.	pensation, they are compensated for this as part of their public
22.	duties. In your opinion, would this bill require them to seek
23.	to become either brokers or salesmen registered under the Act?
24.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
25.	Senator DeAngelis.
26.	SENATOR DeANGELIS:
27.	No, but whoever they would use for that purpose has to be
28.	licensed. No, but whomever they use for that purpose is required
29.	to have a license. If they were to use an auctioneer, they
30.	would have to have a license.
31.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
	Grand to the Dhane do

SENATOR RHOADS:

Page 172 - June 18, 1981

1.	The official, himself, or herself, is the auctioneer in most
2.	of these cases. So, what I'm asking is, does that public official
3.	performing their lawful duties, as they are required to do by the
4.	Statute, now under this bill, are they required to become real
5.	estate salesmen or broker, and if so is this a good thing to be
6.	doing?
7.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
8.	Senator DeAngelis.
9.	SENATOR DeANGELIS:
10.	Can I get back to Senator Rhoads' question in just a minute,
11.	I'll give you an answer.
12.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
13.	Senator Gitz.
14.	SENATOR GITZ:
15.	Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Very briefly. I
16.	would like to reiterate that this bill does, indeed, have a grand-
17.	father clause. And aside from all the arguments which Senator
18.	Bloom made, which I thought were very appropriate, and directly
19.	spoke to the issue, it seems to me, if you're going to do this,
20.	that it ought not to be grandfathered in. Now, just because
21.	that makes some of the existing auctioneers happy doesn't change
22.	the essential thrust of the issue. Now, secondly, it seems to
23.	me, if we're going to address this to auctioneers, and this is,
24.	indeed, a turf battle, then we ought to bethining in terms of what
25.	is the overall mission of an auction? Maybe we should also have
26.	them be specialists in cars, and farm machinery, and everything
27.	else which is on that auctioning block. As Senator DeAngelis has
28.	indicated, this is not supposed to apply to a legal sale. So, pre-
29.	sumably the people who are deciding on an auction are making a
30.	voluntary distinction, they choose how they wish to sell the
31.	property, it is a commission, and a turf battle. And my point
32.	is, is that if we're going to talk about auctioneers and whether

they're skilled in doing this, maybe the thing to do is, as

Page 173 - June 18, 1981

1.	some of the auctioneers have tarked about, is the licensing of
2.	them. But to simply put this in in a real estate battle, is frankly,
3.	I think, a very unwise situation. I respect the Realtors
4.	Association greatly for their expertise, but in this particular
5.	issue, I think it is simply an unwise gesture.
6.	
7.	

10.11.

8. 9.

19.

12. (END OF REEL)

13.

13.

14.

15. 16.

17. 18.

20.

21.22.

23.

24.25.26.

27. 28.

29.30.

31. 32.

32.

33.

practicing?

Page 174 - June 18, 1981

1.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
2.	Senator Geo-Karis. SenatorSommer.
3.	SENATOR SOMMER:
4.	Mr. President and members,the auctioneering of real
5.	estate that occurs downstate is usually in the context of
6.	an estate. It's often a farm, but it can be aa residence.
7.	Theas attorneys, we ask the heirs what they wish to do
8.	the way they wish to list the property, dodo they want
9.	to auction it off andand they make all sorts of choices,
10.	depending on what they think is best. Wewe have never
11.	had anyany difficulty whatsoever. The attorneyattends
12.	the sale,the attorney does all of the legal work. We
13.	it just is not a problem centering around the conduct of
14.	auctioneers at all, it's an accepted downstate practice and
15.	and a number of theauctioneers presently have broker's
16.	licenses, salesman's licenses, some do, some don't. There
17.	doesn't seem to be any public necessity toto force these
18.	people to become licensedwhen they don't wish to and there
19.	there seems to be no need to do it.
20.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
21.	Senator Nimrod. Senator Coffey.
22.	SENATOR COFFEY:
23.	A question of the sponsor.
24.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
25.	He indicates he'll yield.
26.	SENATOR COFFEY:
27.	Senator, a couple of questions on theon the bill. First
28.	of all, you say anyonebeen in the auction business for ten
29.	years or moredoes not fall underthis piece of legislation
30.	How wehow we going to determine that? How we going to
31.	determine thatthe ten years or more service when there is
	not a license to check onhow long actually they have been

Page 175 - June 18, 1981

1.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
2.	Senator DeAngelis.
3.	SENATOR DeANGELIS:
4.	ThatSenator Coffey, that's an extremely good question
5.	They would have to demonstrate that they have been in busi-
6.	ness that long of a period of time. Since they are not
7.	licensed, you can't very well say since your license,but
8.	from the comments that we received from the auctioneers, most
9.	of them have not objected to being able toverify their
١0.	activity as an auctioneer for any period of time.
11.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
12.	Senator Coffey.
13.	SENATOR COFFEY:
L4.	Well, then what classifies you to be an auctioneer?
15.	What's the classification? You know, we havecommunity
L 6 .	club activities and we have people in the community that
L7.	gets up and auctions offpies, cakes and all that kind
L8.	of thing and they'rethey're reallydoes that determine
19.	that they're an auctioneer?
20.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
21.	Senator DeAngelis.
22.	SENATOR DeANGELIS:
23.	Well, Senator Coffey, that's exactly why we're trying
24.	to pass this, 'cause anybody can be an auctioneer. So
25.	you're engaging in the sale of real estate with no knowledge
26.	of titles, not being able to participate in the Real Estate
27.	Recovery Fund. You can call yourself an auctioneer just
28.	because you've either attended some school someplace for
29.	a couple of weeks and now you're in the business selling
30.	real estate.
31.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
12.	Senator Coffey.

SENATOR COFFEY:

Page 176 - June 18, 1981

```
ı.
            Well,...then it seems to me then...that we ought to talk
2.
       about...licensing...auctioneers, because...if all I have to
3.
       do as a Realtor is to say...yes, I have attended some...
       schooling...as an auctioneer, there's going to be loopholes
4.
       and that's what they're going to do on the thing anyway.
5.
       So, maybe we ought to license auctioneers, then attempt to
       deal with this situation.
7.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
8.
            The following people are still seeking recognition:
 9.
       ... Senator Grotberg, Friedland, McMillan, Becker, Maitland,
10.
       Keats and now Johns. Senator Grotberg.
11.
       SENATOR GROTBERG:
12.
            Well, thank you, Mr. President. Very briefly, I
13.
       think my most recent experience with this is when the State
14.
       of Illinois...we have...we auction off a lot of State land,...
15.
       surprisingly enough, and we auctioned off the Geneva Girls
16.
       School...Correctional School about three years ago and
17.
       they advertised...under the auctioning process they
18.
       advertised for bids and it went, I believe, for a million
19.
       two or a million three dollars. If it had been at a real
20.
       estate fee, there would have been a commission of some
21.
       sixty thousand dollars, I would presume, and I forget, but
22.
       ...there was only one bidder. It took...five minutes and
23.
       I think the auctioneer did it for a couple of thousand dollars
24.
       or something like that. So, there are all kinds of other
25.
       sides to this matter on behalf of all of the people of Illinois
26.
       every time these big blocks of State properties go up for
27.
       auction..public properties all over the State. And this
28.
       would cover all of them.
29.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
30.
            Senator Friedland. Senator McMillan.
31.
       SENATOR McMILLAN:
32.
```

Mr....Mr. President and members of the Senate, I rise in

Page 177 - June 18, 1981

```
l.
       opposition to the bill. There's not much that I can add to
 2.
        the objections that have already been made, but I will say
 3.
        this, one of the reasons why it gets increasingly difficult
        for those of us that are generally opposed to excessive
 4.
       governmental regulation of private activities, is that every
 5.
        time we get close to it we find some business group, some
 6.
       group of farmers, some group of homeowners, or whatever
 7.
       who generally believe in freedom from government interference
 8.
       coming in begging for regulation of some sort. Now, if we
 9.
        really believe in deregulation, then we have to oppose
10.
        special regulation to help us and I think this is a bad idea.
11.
        It's certainly not an idea that's worthy of the groups that
12.
        are down here begging for it and it should be defeated.
13.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
14.
             Senator Becker.
15.
        SENATOR BECKER:
16.
             Thank you, Mr. President. I call for the previous
17.
        question.
18.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
19.
             Senator, if you will hold that motion, we have...Senator
20.
        Maitland and Keats yet and an answer to Senator ... Rhoads'
21.
        question. Alright. So there are no further Senators.
22.
        Senator DeAngelis, I understand, is ready to answer Senator
23.
        Rhoads' question. Senator DeAngelis.
24.
        SENATOR De ANGELIS:
25.
             Thank you, Mr. President. I did not expect this type
26.
        of controversy over a bill that flew out of the House and
27.
        came out of committee 9 to nothing, but I will attempt, at
. 28.
        least, to answer some of the criticism that's been leveled.
29.
        Senator Joyce, in terms of ... I was not disputing ...
30.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
31.
```

Aldo, wait a minute. Senator Rhoads.

32.

33.

SENATOR RHOADS:

Page 178 - June 18, 1981

ı. No, I...hello. Mr. President, I had informed the Chair that 2. I had received an answer to my question and I think it's 3. important that this go into the debate. And that answer was that the public officials were already exempt from the 4. Act. With that understanding, I rise in support of the bill 5. because I do think that the people who are engaged in this 6. type of practice are engaged, for compensation, in the exchange and 7. sale of real estate and ought to be covered by the Act. So, 8. I urge an Aye vote on the bill. 9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 10. Then if there's no further discussion, Senator DeAngelis 11. may close debate. 12. SENATOR DEANGELIS: 13. Thank you. Senator Joyce, I was not disputing with you 14. that there might be a difference. However, I might tell you 15. that the auctioneer's schedule is rather flexible. I, myself, 16. was asked for a charge of ten percent plus playing...paying 17 for the advertising that was necessary to auction off the 18. property. That was higher than the normal real estate com-19. mission on that property. They were willing to negotiate. 20. I wasn't disputing the fact that it might be low or anything, 21. but their rates...you don't know what they are because they... 22. they don't have a rate schedule. Now, let me point out why 23. this bill is necessary and that is, these people are engaged 24. in an activity that has a lot of legal ramification. And we 25. ...we require people who do very similar things to go through 26. very rigid training and schooling and a very tough license. 27. Whereas, we allow other people to perform the same function 28. with absolutely...not even a license for the profession they're 29. in, let alone anything in the area of real estate. There have 30. been abuses of the system and I grant it, there are a lot of 31. good auctioneers. That's why we put the ten year period in. 32. But there's false advertising, commingling of escrow monies,

Page 179 - June 18, 1981

and accusations of illicit bidding. So, I... I think we can

ı.

32.

33.

congratulatory.

2. sit here and talk all day long. What we're simply trying to 3. do is to take an activity, and for those who think we're 4. trying to overburden government, we are, in fact, licensing 5. Realtors today. So, we're not getting on anybody's back, 6. we're saying if you're in that business, do what the rest of the people in that business have to do. I...urge your favor-7. able approval on this bill. 8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 9. The question is, shall House Bill 326 pass. Those in 10. favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting 11. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 12. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, 13. the Ayes are 25, the Nays are 18, 3 Voting Present. House 14. Bill 326 having failed to receive a constitutional majority 15. is declared lost. Senator Rock. 16. SENATOR ROCK: 17. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 18. Senate. We have, in fact, put in a good day's work. We have 19. handled...more than fifty matters of substance. We have a 20. number of House Messages, with respect to Senate Bills coming 21. back for concurrence. The Committee on Appropriations II has 22. a...a rather lengthy hearing before it with the Educational 23. Budget. So, Inwould suggest that after we read the House 24. Messages, I have one motion with respect to a Senate Resolution 25. and then we will adjourn until noon tomorrow. And the Committee 26. on Appropriations II is going to meet, I'm told, in the morning 27. ...also. 28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 29. With leave of the Body, we'll go to the Order of Resolutions. 30. SECRETARY: 31.

Senate Resolution 247, offered by Senator Egan,

Page 180 - June 18, 1981

1.	Senate Resolution 248, Senator Egan, congratulatory.
2.	Senate Resolution 249, Senator Egan, congratulatory.
3.	Senate Resolution 250, by Senators Lemke, Degnan and
4.	all Senators, congratulatory.
5.	Senate Resolution 251, by Senators Nash, Rock and all
6.	Senators and it's a death resolution.
7.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
8.	Consent Calendar.
9.	SECRETARY:
10.	Senate Joint Resolution 52, by Senator D'Arco.
11.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
12.	Senator D'Arco.
13.	SENATOR D'ARCO:
14.	Thank you, Mr. President. I would now move for the
15.	suspension of the rules and the immediate consideration of $\dot{}$
16.	Senate Joint Resolution 52.
17.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
18.	You'veyou've heard the motion. Is leaveSenator
19.	Rock.
20.	SENATOR ROCK:
21.	Has this just been introduced?
22.	SECRETARY:
23.	Yes.
24.	SENATOR ROCK:
25.	Yeah, why don't we just put it on the Secretary's Desk
26.	for right,you know, it'll show up on the Calendar tomorrow.
27.	We can pass it tomorrow.
28.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
29.	The Secretary's Desk. Messages from the House.
30.	SECRETARY:
31.	A Message from the House by Mr. Leone, Clerk.
32.	Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate
33.	the House of Representatives passed bills with the following

Page 181 - June 18, 1981

l. has concurred with the Senate in the passage of bills with 2. the following titles together with House amendments: Senate Bill 1, House Amendment No. 1; Senate Bill 3. 16, House Amendment No. 1; Senate Bill 127, House Amendment 4. No. 1; Senate Bill 171, House Amendment No. 1; Senate Bill 172, 5. House Amendments 1, 2, 3, and 5; Senate Bill 197, House Amend-6. ment 1; Senate Bill 209, House Amendment 1; Senate Bill 508, 7. House Amendment 1; Senate Bill 535, House Amendment 1; Senate 8. Bill 559, House Amendment 1; Senate Bill 560, House Amendments 9. 1 and 2; Senate Bill 565, House Amendment 1; Senate Bill 601, 10. House Amendment 1; Senate Bill 611, House Amendment 2; Senate 11. Bill 666, House Amendments 1, 2, 3, and 5; Senate Bill 689, 12. House Amendment 1; Senate Bill 726, House Amendment 1; Senate 13. Bill 769, House Amendment 2; Senate Bill 888, House Amendment 14. 1; Senate Bill 898, House Amendment 1; Senate Bill 1197, House 15. Amendment 1. 16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 17. Secretary's Desk...Concurrence. Senator...Senator 18. Rock. 19. SENATOR ROCK: 20. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Before I place the motion 21. to adjourn until noon tomorrow, I'd like to move to discharge 22. the Committee on Executive from further consideration of Senate 23. Joint Resolution 50. I've checked with both the Minority Spokes-24. man and the Minority Leader. It concerns itself with affording 25. the General Assembly the opportunity to hold some hearings... 26. with respect to the... National Administrations Program of block 27. grants as opposed to categorical grants. I would ask that the 28. committee be discharged and that the Senate Joint Resolution 50 29. be placed on the Order of the Secretary's Desk so we can deal 30.

with it tomorrow.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31.

Page 182 - June 18, 1981

1.	You've heard the motion. Is leave granted? Leave is
2.	granted.
3.	SENATOR ROCK:
4.	One otherone other announcement. I've been asked to
5.	announceby the Department of Aviation that the air traffic
6.	controller strike is scheduled for Monday, June 22. So, those
7.	of you who avail yourself of the use State planes, if you
8.	plan to come to Springfield on Monday, as I'm sure we all will
9.	State planeswill notprobably not be able to fly so make
10.	some other arrangements or other reservations.
11.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
12.	If there's no further business to come before the Senate
13.	the Senate will stand adjourned until the hour of noon on
14.	Friday, June 19th, 1981. Senator Buzbee.
15.	SENATOR BUZBEE:
16.	Yes, Mr. President, I just want to announce to theto
17.	the members of the Appropriations II Committee, we will be
18.	meeting immediatelyin Room 212 andthen atagain at
19.	nine o'clock tomorrow morning. We will be meeting in Room
20.	12212 starting promptly at nineon the human services
21.	appropriations. This afternoon it's education. Thank you.
22.	
23.	
24.	•
25.	
26.	
27.	
28.	
29.	
30.	
31.	
22	