82nd GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

MAY 28, 1981

1.	PRESIDENT:
2.	The hour of nine having arrived the Senate will please
3.	come to order. Will the members please be at their desks.
4.	Will our guests in the gallery kindly rise. As our prayer
5.	this morning by the Reverend G.W. Waddles, Zion Baptist
6.	Church, Springfield, Illinois. Pastor.
7.	REVEREND G.W. WADDLES:
8.	(Prayer given by Reverend G.W. Waddles)
9.	PRESIDENT:
10.	Thank you, Reverend. Reading of the Journal. Senator
11.	Johns.
12.	SENATOR JOHNS:
13.	Thank you, Mr. President. I move that reading and
14.	approval of the Journals of Wednesday, May the 20th; Thursday,
15.	May the 21st; Friday, May the 22nd; Tuesday, May the 26th;
16.	and Wednesday, May the 27th in the year 1981 be postponed
17.	pending arrival of the printed Journal.
18.	PRESIDENT:
19.	You've heard the motion as placed by Senator Johns. Any
20.	discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All
21.	opposed. The Ayes have it. So ordered. Senator Ozinga, for
22.	what purpose do you arise?
23.	SENATOR OZINGA:
24.	For the purpose of, I suppose one would say, calling a
25.	caucus. I have been requested by the leadership on the
26.	Republican side to call a caucus in Senator Shapiro's Office
27.	immediately. Anticipated length of time would be approximately
28.	an hour.
29.	PRESIDENT:
30.	Alright, that request is in order. The Senate will stand
31.	in recess until the hour of ten-thirty. Ten-thirty please be

back here. Republican caucus immediately in Senator Shapiro's

31.

32.

33.

Office.

1.	RECESS
2.	AFTER RECESS
3.	PRESIDENT:
4.	The Senate will come to order. We will proceed to Senate
5.	Bills 2nd reading. Then there are thirty or so bills that
6.	sponsors have requested or may request, at least amendments
7.	have been filed, with recalls and then we will proceed to
8.	back to the Calendar on the Order of 3rd reading and we will
9.	begin at that point where we left off at 994, the middle of
10.	page 14 and go through. I would remind you, if any reminder
11.	is necessary, that tomorrow is the deadline, our self-imposed
12.	deadline, and we have in excess of two hundred legislative
13.	proposals yet to consider. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd
14.	reading, Senate Bill 308. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
15.	SECRETARY:
16.	Senate Bill 308.
17.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
18.	2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II
19.	offers five amendments.
20.	PRESIDENT:
21.	Senator Buzbee, Amendment No. 1.
22.	SENATOR BUZBEE:
23.	Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment reduces by
24.	nine hundred nine thousand seven hundred dollars in GRF and
25.	two hundred and seventeen thousand dollars in Ag Premium,
26.	fourteen thousand three hundred dollars in Ag Master,
27.	three thousand for the Horse Breeders Fund and three hundred
28.	and sixty-seven thousand in Federal funds for a total of
29.	one million five hundred eleven thousand four hundred dollar
30.	reduction. These reductions reflect the eliminating of long-
31.	term vacancies, the eight percent pay plan, support Line Item
32.	reductions are reducing State Fair entertainment to the FY '81
33.	level and I would move its adoption.

```
l.
       PRESIDENT:
 2.
            Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Committee Amend-
 3.
      ment No. 1 to Senate Bill 308. Any discussion? Senator
4.
       Maitland.
       SENATOR MAITLAND:
5.
            Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
6.
       Senate. I rise in opposition to Amendment No. 1. Amendment
7.
       No. 1 seriously affects the...the...grain dealers warehouse
8.
       ...inspections. It seriously affects the...meat and poultry
9.
       inspections and, as Senator Buzbee has indicated, it seriously
10.
       affects the entertainment at the Illinois State Fair, which...
11.
       which, in effect, would...would hurt income...because that
12.
       is a plus at the State Fair and there is a...a definite in-
13.
       come that accrues from that source. I rise in opposition
14.
       to Amendment No. 1.
15.
       PRESIDENT:
16.
            Alright. Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Com-
17.
       mittee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 308. Any further dis-
18.
       cussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All
19.
       opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further
20.
       amendments?
21.
       SECRETARY:
22.
            Committee Amendment No. 2.
23.
       PRESIDENT:
24.
            Senator Buzbee.
25.
       SENATOR BUZBEE:
26.
            Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment affects the
27.
       Governor's equipment and professional consultant freeze. It's
28.
       a reduction of a million...five hundred sixty-four thousand
29.
       five hundred dollars and I would move its adoption.
30.
       PRESIDENT:
31.
            Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Committee Amendment
32.
```

No. 2 to Senate Bill 308. Any discussion? Senator Maitland.

```
ı.
       SENATOR MAITLAND:
2.
            Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
3.
       Senate. I rise in opposition to Amendment No. 2.
4.
       you all to listen to this now. Amendment No. 2 just really
5.
       totally wipes out...the Illinois State Fair so with...with-
6.
       out this funding there shall be no Illinois State Fair.
7.
       PRESIDENT:
            Further discussion? Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption
8.
       of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 308. All in
9.
       favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.
10.
       The amendment is adopted. Pardon me. Roll call...yes, Senator
11.
       Buzbee, for what purpose do you arise? Senator Buzbee, for
12.
       what purpose do you arise?
13.
       SENATOR BUZBEE:
14.
            To express a sense of deep frustration. As Senator
15.
       Maitland very well knows, that money is added back in an
16.
       amendment coming on in just a few minutes, which we agreed to
17.
       yesterday evening. We worked it out. The things that he
18.
       objected to on Senate... Amendment No. 1, he also knows
19.
       that those are added back in another amendment. So, you
20.
       know, if you want to...play games with this, that's fine.
21.
       Whatever you want to do.
22.
       PRESIDENT:
23.
            Roll call has been requested on Amendment No. 2 and
24.
       Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to
25.
       Senate Bill 308. Those in favor of the amendment will vote
26.
       Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
27.
       all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
28.
       who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are
29.
       21, the Nays are 17, none Voting Present. Amendment No. 2
30.
       is adopted. Further amendments?
31.
       SECRETARY:
```

Committee Amendment No. 3.

32.

```
ı.
       PRESIDENT:
2.
            Senator Buzbee.
 3.
       SENATOR BUZBEE:
4.
            Thank you, Mr. President. This is an addition of five
       thousand dollars from the Ag Premium Fund for the Land of
5.
       Lincoln Gathering Poultry Show and I would move its adoption.
6.
       PRESIDENT:
7.
            Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Committee Amendment
8.
       No. 3 to Senate Bill 308. Any discussion? If not, all in
 9.
       favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.
10.
       The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?
11.
       SECRETARY:
12.
            Committee Amendment No. 4.
13.
       PRESIDENT:
14.
            Senator Buzbee.
15.
       SENATOR BUZBEE:
16.
            Thank you, Mr. President. This...is the Governor's re-
17.
       duction of GRF and Agricultural Premium Funds...totalling
18.
       one million two hundred forty-four thousand seven hundred...
19.
       dollars, except for the Soil and Water...District grant re-
20.
       duction of five hundred and sixty-eight thousand five hundred
21.
       dollars. These reductions...are...Soil and Water Conservation
22.
       cost sharing a...reduction of seventy-four thousand,...Custom
23.
       Slaughtering a reduction of two hundred and fifty thousand,
24.
       State Fair Awards a reduction of thirty-five thousand, Standard-
25.
       bred and Thoroughbred Grants a reduction of eight hundred
26.
       thousand, Natural Resources Operations a reduction of eighty-
27.
       five thousand. Those are all the Governor's...requested
28.
       reductions and I would move its...adoption.
29.
       PRESIDENT:
30.
            Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4
31.
       to Senate Bill 308. Any discussion? If not, all in favor
32.
       signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.
```

Page 6 - May 28, 1981

1.	The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?
2.	SECRETARY:
3.	Committee Amendment No. 5.
4.	PRESIDENT:
5.	Senator Buzbee.
6.	SENATOR BUZBEE:
7.	Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment adds two
8.	hundred thousand dollars to the Department of Ag's FY '82
9.	budget for the following,adds a hundred and seventy-five
10.	thousand to the DuQuoin State Fair, adds twenty-five
11.	thousand and provides a separate Line Item for the Grand
12.	Circuit Harness RaceHorse Race at the State Fair. Ac-
13.	cording to the department, these grants are being increased
14.	due to the increased horse racing revenues as projected by
15.	the racing board and it is aan administration amendment
16.	and I would move its adoption.
17.	PRESIDENT:
18.	Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Amendment No.
19.	5 to Senate Bill 308. Any discussion? If not, all in favor
20.	signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.
21.	The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?
22.	SECRETARY:
23.	No further committee amendments.
24.	PRESIDENT:
25.	Are there amendments from the Floor?
26.	SECRETARY:
27.	Amendment No. 6, by Senator Buzbee.
28.	PRESIDENT:
29.	Senator Buzbee.
30.	SENATOR BUZBEE:
31.	This restores the cuts made in Amendment 2 as follows,
32.	an addition of two hundred twenty-one thousand nine hundred
33.	GRF forContractual and Meat and Poultry Division, sixtee

Page 7 - May 28, 1981

```
ı.
       thousand eight hundred from the Ag Premium for Contractual
2.
       Administrative Services and four hundred seventy-four
3.
       thousand eight hundred Ag Premium to the State Fair Con-
4.
       tractual and I would move its adoption.
5.
       PRESIDENT:
6.
            Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Amendment No. 6
       to Senate Bill 308. Any discussion? If not, all in favor
7.
       signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The
8.
       amendment is adopted. Further amendments?
9.
       SECRETARY:
10.
            Amendment No. 7, by Senator Buzbee.
11.
       PRESIDENT:
12.
            Senator Buzbee.
13.
       SENATOR BUZBEE:
14.
            Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment restores all
15.
     , Personal Services cuts...to the Meat and Poultry Division,
16.
       except the Custom Slaughtering, as requested by the Governor.
17.
       It's an increase of...two hundred and fifty thousand dollars
18.
       in GRF and I would move its adoption.
19.
       PRESIDENT:
20.
            Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Amendment No. 7
21.
       to Senate Bill 308. Any discussion? If not, all in favor
22.
       signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The
23.
       amendment is adopted. Further amendments?
24.
       SECRETARY:
25.
            Amendment No. 8, by Senator Carroll.
26.
       PRESIDENT:
27.
            Senator Carroll.
28.
       SENATOR CARROLL:
29.
            Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
```

Senate. This is the annual appropriation for the equivalent

of the State Fair in Chicago. I move adoption of Amendment

30.

31.

32.

33.

No. 8.

Page 8 - May 28, 1981

1.	PRESIDENT:
2.	Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment
3.	No. 8 to Senate Bill 308. Any discussion? Senator Grotberg
4.	SENATOR GROTBERG:
5.	Yes, I think the Senator was a little brief in his
6.	description of the half million dollars. It's the annual
7.	appropriation for theMayor Byrne ChicagoFest and I
8.	don't know if we want to just put it on with a oral roll
9.	call or take it off with aelectronic roll call, but I
10.	think maybe we should take
11.	PRESIDENT:
12.	Further discussion? Senator Totten.
13.	SENATOR TOTTEN:
14.	Thank you, Mr. President. Would the sponsor yield for
15.	a question?
16.	PRESIDENT:
17.	Indicates he will yield, Senator Totten.
18.	SENATOR TOTTEN:
19.	Senator Carroll, what was thefinancial result of the
20.	ChicagoFest last year? Did it make a profit or not?
21.	PRESIDENT:
22.	Senator Carroll.
23.	SENATOR CARROLL:
24.	It made money last year.
25.	PRESIDENT:
26.	Senator Totten.
27.	SENATOR CARROLL:
28.	So did some of theI'm told.
29.	SENATOR TOTTEN:
30.	If it made money then why would we need to appropriate
31.	this?
32.	PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

ı. SENATOR CARROLL: 2. First of all,...by the way, when you say make money, as 3. with the county fairs that we fund very similar to this, this 4. is the equivalent, you're not talking about any of the in-5. direct costs of putting on the show, the extra police, the 6. extra...other types of services, fire and so on that go in to making sure that the people are safe and secure while 7. they're getting there and while they are there. Those monies 8. are not recouped through the actual...resources and that's 9. how it would make money because of this grant, just as county 10. fairs do. 11. PRESIDENT: 12. Senator Totten. 13. SENATOR TOTTEN: 14. Mr. President, I'd like to speak against the amendment. 15. There's a big difference between the ChicagoFest and down-16. state county fairs. First of all, downstate county fairs 17. have hillbillies, rubes, bumpkins and everything else. And 18. ...if some of them make a profit it's because those rubes, 19. hillbillies and bumpkins do it. For us to be in a situation 20. where we're going to appropriate money for ... a Chicago ... 21. ChicagoFest at a time when we can't even fund the Trans-22. portation Program in there. It seems that we are putting 23. circuses before bread and this is not an appropriate time 24. to be putting circuses before bread and I think we should 25. vote No on this amendment. 26. PRESIDENT: 27. Before there's further discussion, Channels 2, 7, 5 28. and 9 have requested leave to shoot some film, as has the 29. ...Gentleman, I'm told, from the Tribune here. Is leave 30. granted? Leave is granted. Further discussion on Amend-31. ment No. 8 to Senate Bill 308? Senator Maitland. 32.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Page 10 - May 28, 1981

1. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 2. Senate. I rise, too, in opposition to Amendment No. 8. I 3. think, as evidenced by the previous amendments, we have very 4. seriously cut out some very important Ag programs. The 5. Governor's bottom line cut out some programs that we are very concerned about, so I think the expenditure of five 6. hundred thousand dollars for the ChicagoFest is unnecessary 7. and unneeded at this time and should be resisted. 8. PRESIDENT: 9. Any further discussion on Amendment No. 8? If not, 10. Senator Carroll may close. 11. SENATOR CARROLL: 12. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 13. Senate. Senator Totten indicated that the hicks have problems 14. with this and I just remind him, the only difference between 15. a hick and a hack is one vowel. And if you're saying ... Senator 16. Buzbee wants the credit for that one and I'd be most happy 17. to lay if off on him. And if you're concerned with trans-18. portation, you better give the people something to do, since 19. you're not providing them with the means to get anywhere 20. else. And I think, thirdly, and more importantly,...we do 21. do this for the county fairs throughout the State. This 22. is the equivalent within the City of Chicago. What's 23. fair for one is fair for the other. Very honestly, if 24. you feel that there shouldn't be this money, then I think 25. we should also take it out of all the county fairs and I 26. would move adoption of Amendment No. 8. 27. PRESIDENT: 28. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 29. 8 to Senate Bill 308. A roll call has been requested. Those 30. in favor of the amendment will vote Aye. Those opposed will 31. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have 32. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted

Page 11 - May 28, 1981

l. who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 2. 24, the Nays are 25, none Voting Present. Amendment No. 8 fails. Further amendments? 3. SECRETARY: 4. Amendment No. 9, by Senator Totten. 5. PRESIDENT: 6. Senator Totten. 7. SENATOR TOTTEN: 8. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 9. Senate. Amendment No. 9...deletes one million five hundred 10. and forty-eight thousand seven hundred in search...in lieu 11. thereof nine hundred ... 12. PRESIDENT: 13. Would everyone please be quiet so Senator Buzbee can 14. hear? Senator Totten. 15. SENATOR TOTTEN: 16. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 17. Senate. This amendment on line...ll, page 15 deletes a million 18. five forty-eight and inserts in its place nine hundred and 19. eighty-two thousand nine hundred. This is the reduction... 20. that the Governor requested of five hundred and sixty-five 21. thousand eight hundred in soil and water conservation districts. 22. This was not offered in committee...and this reduction rolls 23. back the district grants to the Fiscal Year '80 level. 24. PRESIDENT: 25. Alright. Senator Totten has moved the adoption of Amend-26. ment No. 9 to Senate Bill 308. Any discussion? Senator 27. Maitland. 28. SENATOR MAITLAND: 29. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 30. Senate. I rise in strong opposition to Amendment No. 9. 31.

Senator Totten has failed to tell you that, as a result of 1977

legislation, we mandated to the soil and water conservation

32.

Page 12 - May 28, 1981

```
ı.
       districts some tasks that they had to perform. They are
2.
       doing that now. These individuals are now on board performing
3.
       those services and if we are to keep in line and stick to
4.
       the guidelines for the Water Quality Act...208 Program, we
5.
       must maintain this funding level so that these people can
6.
       continue to...to...accomplish the...the goals that they have
7.
       set within their respective districts. I... I really commend
       the...the Democratic side of the aisle for putting this...
8.
       money back in and I would urge opposition to Amendment No. 9.
9.
       PRESIDENT:
10.
            Further discussion on Amendment No. 9? Senator Buzbee.
11.
       SENATOR BUZBEE:
12.
            Thank you, Mr. President...thank you, Mr. President.
13.
       I also rise in opposition to this amendment. The Governor,
14.
       in his wisdom in suggesting cuts across the budget, kept
15.
       suggesting that everybody else cut their spending...in the
16.
       form of local governments. The major impact of the Governor's
17.
       suggested...reductions was that those local bodies that...
18.
       receive State tax dollars...should...should reduce their
19.
       spending, but he didn't request very much reduction in the
20.
       spending by the State. We have taken the position that...
21.
       not only is this wrong philosophically but...it's just not
22.
       good business either. That those areas that are, as Senator
23.
       Maitland pointed out in this particular case, we are...we
24.
       have mandated this and...this money ought to be...left in
25.
       there to those soil and water conservation districts and
26.
       we'll make the cuts in...in the State...in the Governor's
27.
       operational budget in the State agencies. And we have done
28.
       so with our...with our various amendments and I also rise
29.
       in opposition to this amendment.
30.
       PRESIDENT:
31.
```

Further discussion? Senator Coffey.

32.

33.

SENATOR COFFEY:

Page 13 - May 28, 1981

ı. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, very 2. briefly, I rise in opposition to this amendment. I think 3. the soil and water conservation has suffered enough and if we want to do something about erosion...the loss of 4. our topsoil, we certainly need this money and I'd ask 5. this side, as well as the other side of the aisle, to oppose 6. this amendment. 7. PRESIDENT: 8. Further discussion? Senator Grotberg. 9. SENATOR GROTBERG: 10. Thank you, Mr. President. Seldom do I rise in opposition 11. to my Governor, let alone Senator Totten, but I think this 12. time the Governor made a mistake and I would ask, especially 13. all of us yokels...downstate, where...where there is land 14. yet to take care of, to remember who is keeping their eye 15. on it. It is the soil and water conservation people and I 16. would ask that we reject this amendment. 17. PRESIDENT: 18. Any further discussion? Senator Totten may close. 19. SENATOR TOTTEN: 20. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 21. Senate. Of course here's a case where we're asked to bite 22. the bullet and when it's our bullet, we don't want to bite it. 23. It's no wonder that the second floor sometimes gets upset with this 24. side of the aisle. This is a case where...we have made 25. cuts over and above the Governor's recommendations in some 26. of these budgets and operating expenses and now when we're 27. asked to do it in another area,...even though there may be 28. a mandate, we're attempting to refuse to do it. I think 29. this cut is warranted and that's the reason I offered the 30. amendment and I would solicit your favorable support. 31. . PRESIDENT:

Alright. Senator Totten has moved the adoption of

32.

Page 14 - May 28, 1981

l. Amendment No. 9 to Senate Bill 308. All in favor signify 2. by saying Aye. All opposed. The opinion of the Chair the 3. Noes have it. The amendment fails. Further amendments? 4. SECRETARY: Amendment No. 10, by Senator Coffey. 5. PRESIDENT: 6. Senator Coffey. 7. SENATOR COFFEY: 8. What amendment is that? I think I had two there. 9. Could you give me the first? 10. SECRETARY: 11. Yeah. I only have one amendment from you. This is... 12. by deleting Section 17 and inserting in lieu thereof the 13. following, two hundred thousand dollars. 14. SENATOR COFFEY: 15. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, this 16. does add eleven thousand dollars for a watershed project 17. on Lake Paradise. If you recall last year, we appropriated 18. ...through the Department of Agriculture and the...the 19. program was ministered through the University of Illinois. 20. I'm sorry, I'm on the other amendment. This is the amend-21. ment that restores seven hundred thousand that was cut to 22. ...having to do with the Standardbred Breeders Fund for 23. the grants and other purposes that was five hundred million 24. and also it restores two hundred thousand from the...in 25. the GRF for capital improvements to three horse barns at 26. the State Fairgrounds. I think these are...this amendment 27. is badly needed and I'd like to see this amendment...added. 28. And I'd ask for a favorable roll call. 29. PRESIDENT: 30. Alright. Senator Coffey has moved the adoption of 31.

Amendment No. 10 to Senate Bill 308. Any discussion?

32.

33.

Senator Carroll.

Page 15 - May 28, 1981

1.	Question of the sponsor.
2.	PRESIDENT:
3.	Indicates he'll yield, Senator Carroll.
4.	SENATOR CARROLL:
5.	Senator Coffey, did not the Governor recommend reducing
6.	these amounts and are you not now budgeting what the Governor
7.	asked us not to budget?
8.	PRESIDENT:
9.	Senator Coffey.
١٥.	SENATOR COFFEY:
.1.	Yes, II think that's correct.
.2.	PRESIDENT:
.3.	Senator Carroll.
L 4 .	SENATOR CARROLL:
15.	Why should we do that? I mean, the Governor has recommended
.6.	cuts, I've heard, on other matters that we should follow the
.7.	Governor's line. I would think your side of the aisle would
18.	not want to spend this additional money over what the Governor
9.	has recommended. I'll make that a rhetorical question. No
20.	response is necessary.
21.	PRESIDENT:
22.	Further discussion? Senator Grotberg.
23.	SENATOR GROTBERG:
24.	Well, once again to rise in support of this amendment.
25.	We've had several meetings with theadministration and
26.	with thestandardbred racing interests and this is one
27.	of the more cost effective pieces ofmoney that we put
28.	into thefrom the Agricultural Premium Fund and it is
29.	returned bythrough that racing industry and I have no
30.	objection to this amendment at all.
31.	PRESIDENT:
12.	Further discussion? Senator Lemke.

SENATOR LEMKE:

Page 16 - May 28, 1981

1.	This moneywhat fund does it come from?
2.	PRESIDENT:
3.	Senator Coffey.
4.	SENATOR COFFEY:
5.	Yes,five hundred is from the StandardbredBreeders
6.	Fund and the other two hundred thousand is from GRF.
7.	PRESIDENT:
8.	Senator Lemke.
9.	SENATOR LEMKE:
10.	Where does that fund come from? Whatwhere do you
11.	getthe Agricultural Premium Fund?
12.	PRESIDENT:
13.	Senator Coffey.
14.	SENATOR COFFEY:
15.	Yes, it'sit's a separate fund setup from the
16.	Standardbred's. Ithas nothing to do with GRF or neither
17.	does it come from the Ag Premium Fund.
18.	PRESIDENT:
19.	Senator Lemke.
20.	SENATOR LEMKE:
21.	Yes, we have all these horses running and most of them
22.	running in thatarea that's forbidden downstate called
23.	Cook County, you know. I think eighty-five percent of all
24.	that money that comes in the Ag Premium Fund, you know, comes
25.	out of those race tracks and is taxed against those people
26.	in Cook County that are strange and are bums and,you
27.	know,that yourpeople are tired of support. I think
28.	maybe we should just shut down the race tracks in Cook County and not have an Ag Premium Fund because what's the
29.	difference? I mean, we could do thethe right thing,
30.	the Christian thing and that's to forbid racing and gambling
31.	in the State of Illinois and do away with this Ag Premium
32.	Fund. I don't think the farmers downstate should get this
33.	runa. I don t chilin the laimers downstate should yet this

Page 17 - May 28, 1981

money out of these poor bums and ... and deviates and all

1.

32.

33.

its system fails?

```
2.
       that are in Chicago, you know. That's who we're talking
 3.
       about, you know, Chicago is the sewer of Illinois, you know.
       Well, give us a chance. Why don't we just...you people put
 4.
       in a bill to exempt Cook County and make it a separate state
 5.
       and we will raise the money in the county to take care of
 6.
       only the county problems. And I will guarantee all the
 7.
       Republicans in Cook County suburbs and in Chicago that our
 8.
       real estate taxes will be the lowest in the United States
 9.
       because we raise the money and we get back nothing. And
10.
       this is a good example. How can we be for this when we
11.
       can't give five hundred thousand... Chicago Fest, because
12.
       that's unheard of. But this is...this is something evil...
13.
       ChicagoFest is they let people enjoy, but this is something
14.
       evil. This is for gambling, to allow people to go to the
15.
       race track to watch these thoroughbreds run and you take
16.
       this poor money from these poor welfare cheats and that
17.
       and I mean I can't see us voting for this. If the Chicago-
18.
       Fest is important and nothing else, I mean, you know, so
19.
       let's just vote this down and forget about it and give
20.
       us the right to withdraw Cook County from the State of
21.
       Illinois and let our own tax base and we'll run the system.
22.
       There's no problem. I'm sure that we'll have the richest
23.
       county in the country. And...and we'll have the richest
24.
       state. And, you know, and then everybody will get along with-
25.
       out us, you know.
26.
       PRESIDENT:
27.
            Further discussion? Senator Savickas.
28.
       SENATOR SAVICKAS:
29.
            Yes, Mr. President,...I was just wondering if the good
30.
       Senator there would allow these horses that will be quartered in
31.
```

these barns to be used for transportation in Chicago when

1. PRESIDENT: 2. Further discussion? Senator Buzbee. 3. SENATOR BUZBEE: Thank you, Mr. President. It looks like it's that time of year or going to be one of those days or something. Since 5. Senator Carroll stole one of mine awhile ago, I'm going... 6. I'm going to steal one of his. I...I, perhaps, this will 7. be our answer...downstate's answer to the RTA bail out to 8. give you some method of transportation in the city. The... 9. the reduction that was proposed...the reduction that was 10. proposed was proposed by the Governor's Office. 11. too excited about the portion that Senator Coffey has on 12. here about the...the barns...on ...on the State Fair-13. grounds, but the restoration of the five hundred thousand 14. dollars for the Standardbred Breeders Fund...the standard-15. bred breeders in the State of Illinois has...is becoming 16. a very important industry in this State and... I think we 17. ought to continue to promote that industry because,... 18. even though Senator Lemke overlooked the fact, this is 19. very important to the economy of both the City of Chicago 20. and Cook County and to downstate Illinois, in that those 21. horses are bred and raised on farms...in...in northern 22. and central and southern Illinois and they are raced, eighty 23. to eighty-five percent of them in Cook County. It's good 24. for the economy of all parts of the State and I rise in 25. support of Senator Coffey's amendment to...restore that 26. money to the Standardbred Breeders Fund. 27. PRESIDENT: 28. Senator Coffey has moved the adoption of Alright. 29. Amendment No. 10 to Senate Bill 380... I beg your pardon, 30. 308. Any further discussion? If not, Senator Coffey may 31. close.

32.

33.

SENATOR COFFEY:

```
ı.
            Thank you, Mr. President. Well, first of all, to
       Senator Lemke, I think on many occasions, such as the...the
2.
 3.
       Cook County Hospital,...your school system,...many times
       on...additional funding for the RTA, CTA area, I think I've
4.
       supported that in the past, so I don't know whether he's
5.
       addressing that to...to me or to just this side of the
6.
       aisle. And...and secondly, if he decides to draw up
7.
       an amendment to eliminate... Cook County from the rest of the
8.
       State of Illinois maybe I'll...cosponsor that with him if
9.
       that's...his choosing. I thought we was trying to work out
10.
       problems for everyone in the State of Illinois not just
11.
       Chicago or downstate and I don't think he's heard me make
12.
       any comments in regards to...the City of Chicago being...
13.
       the kind of...persons that he has stated. Just to explain,
14.
       very briefly, the amendment. It restores seven hundred
15.
       thousand dollars to the budget, five hundred thousand that
16.
       is to the Standardbred Breeders Fund, which goes to the...
17.
       increase of purses which in...in return is going to bring,
18.
       hopefully, more revenue to the State of Illinois, downstate
19.
       as well as the city. Secondly, it puts two hundred thousand
20.
       in capital improvements for three horse barns at the State
21.
       Fair which I think is badly...in ...in need of improvement
22.
       and I would just ask for a favorable roll call...on Amend-
23.
       ment No. 10.
24.
       PRESIDENT:
25.
            Senator Coffey has moved the adoption of Amendment No.
26.
       10 to Senate Bill 308. Those in favor of the amendment will
27.
       vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open.
28.
       Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all
29.
       voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the
30.
       Ayes are 47, the Nays are 4, none Voting Present. Amendment
31.
       No. 10 is adopted. Further amendments?
32.
```

SECRETARY:

Page 20 - May 28, 1981

```
l.
           Amendment No. 11, by Senator Coffey.
2.
       PRESIDENT:
3.
           Senator Coffey.
4.
       SENATOR COFFEY:
            Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, Amendment
5.
       No. 11 does add a...for the watershed project in Lake Paradise,
6.
       ...which is in Coles County in my district, eleven thousand
7.
       dollars. Last year we...appropriated seventy-five thousand
8.
       dollars for that study. That study has been...going on, is
9.
       continuing at this time. We do need an additional eleven
10.
       thousand to continue that project. It's being...that money
11.
       is coming...through the Department of Agriculture budget,
12.
       but...is being paid...the project is being administered by
13.
       the University of Illinois. The Department of Agriculture
14.
       and Engineering there is...taking care of that study. We
15.
       think it's going to be worthwhile and not just for Lake
16.
       Paradise, for the State of Illinois on being able to use...
17.
       that siltation for...fertility uses as well as stop erosion
18.
       ...into those types of streams. We're in hopes that this
19.
       type of project will...we can...instead of building new lakes
20.
       all over the State of Illinois, that we can...retain the
21.
       ones we now have and that's what this is for. This money
22.
       goes to the university for that study for travel to and from
23.
       the University of Illinois...to that project as well as main-
24.
       tain a half-time person from the University of Illinois, which
25.
       is a grad student, to work on this project. And I would ask
26.
       for a favorable roll call and be glad to answer any questions
27.
       on this amendment.
28.
       PRESIDENT:
29.
            Senator Coffey has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 11
30.
       to Senate Bill 308. Any discussion? Senator Buzbee.
31.
       SENATOR BUZBEE:
```

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in opposition to this

32.

Page 21 - May 28, 1981

ı. amendment. We have taken a pretty strong stance in the 2. last few years here against pork barrel type additions... 3. that...that...are not in...in a budget. The fact 4. of the matter is we just saw this amendment, we are familiar with the project and that we did support last year the 5. study funds, but I would point out to Senator Coffey that 6. we have restored in the Department of Agriculture...budget, 7. the soil and water conservation grant dollars. That...if 8. that project is...is worthy of...of...that kind of support 9. that they can make their application through the soil and 10. water conservation district and...let the problem be taken 11. care of by there...by...through that method. And I...I 12. would oppose this amendment. 13. PRESTDENT: 14. Any further discussion? Senator Coffey, do you wish 15. to close? 16. SENATOR COFFEY: 17. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, the 18. seventy-five thousand dollars that was appropriated last 19. year for...the most of it was used by the University of Illinois 20. on this study. They have...this project is going forward. 21. Yet, presently they have taken silt from Lake Paradise, they 22. put it on farm land..a...a farmer in the area that was willing 23. to let this soil...this soil from the...the sediment to be put 24. on the farm land for study. The EPA has been cooperating and 25. working with this project, as well as the University of Illinois 26. and...and Mattoon...or...Community College. I think it's a 27. very worthwhile project. If we don't have any funding for 28. the...for the U of I to continue this studying, the first 29. seventy-five thousand dollars is going to be lost and there's not 30. going to be any study completed to see if it is feasible 31. for this type of...sediment to be used for fertility and for

...erosion purposes. So, I would ask for a favorable roll

32.

Page 22 - May 28, 1981

```
l.
       call. This is not a pork...project for me, this money
 2.
       actually all goes to the University of Illinois for this
       study. I think it's something that's good for the State of
 3.
       Illinois and not just for my district.
 4.
       PRESIDENT:
 5.
            Senator Coffey has moved the adoption of Amendment No.
 6.
       11 to Senate Bill 308. Those in favor of the amendment will
 7.
       vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open.
 8.
       Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all
 9.
       voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
10.
       On that question, the Ayes are 27, the Nays are 24, none
11.
       Voting Present. Amendment No. 11 is adopted. Further amend-
12.
       ments? Senator Netsch, for what purpose do you arise?
13.
       SENATOR NETSCH:
14.
            The usual purpose. Thank you. On Amendment No. 10
15.
       I hit the wrong button. I meant to vote No and I was
16.
       recorded as voting Yes. May the electronic marvel so show?
17.
       PRESIDENT:
18.
            The electronic marvel will so indicate. Further amend-
19.
       ments?
20.
       SECRETARY:
21.
            No further amendments.
22.
       PRESIDENT:
23.
            3rd reading. 326, Senator Schaffer. On the Order of
24.
       Senate Bills 2nd reading, Senate Bill 326. Read the bill,
25.
       Mr. Secretary.
26.
       SECRETARY:
27.
            Senate Bill 326.
28.
                  (Secretary reads title of bill)
29.
       2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
30.
       offers eight amendments.
31.
       PRESIDENT:
32.
```

Senator Carroll, Amendment No. 1.

Page 23 - May 28, 1981

1.

	SENATOR CARROLL:
2.	Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
3.	Senate. The first one is the result of a Federal cutback.
4.	This cuts Federal funds. I would move adoption of Amendment No.
5.	PRESIDENT:
6.	Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee
7.	Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 326. Any discussion? If not,
8.	all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes
9.	have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?
10.	SECRETARY:
11.	Committee Amendment No. 2.
12.	PRESIDENT:
13.	Senator Carroll.
14.	SENATOR CARROLL:
15.	Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
16.	Senate. This is also adepartment requested amendment to
17.	reduce some other Federal funds that had to be done by
18.	separate amendment.
19.	PRESIDENT:
20.	Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amend-
21.	ment No. 2 to Senate Bill 326. Any discussion? If not,
22.	all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes
23.	have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?
24.	SECRETARY:
25.	Committee Amendment No. 3.
26.	PRESIDENT:
27.	Senator Carroll. SENATOR CARROLL:
28.	
29.	Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The third one is like the first two. I would move
30.	adoption of Amendment No. 3.
31.	PRESIDENT:
32.	Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3

ı. to Senate Bill 326. Any discussion? If not, all in favor 2. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The 3. amendment is adopted. Further amendments? 4. SECRETARY: 5. Committee Amendment No. 4. PRESIDENT: 6. Senator Carroll. 7. SENATOR CARROLL: 8. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of 9. the Senate. This is to break out the CETA grants by agency. 10. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 4. 11. PRESIDENT: 12. Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 4 13. to Senate Bill 326. Any discussion? If not, all in favor 14. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The 15. amendment is adopted. Further amendments? 16. SECRETARY: 17. Committee Amendment No. 5. 18. PRESIDENT: 19. Senator Carroll. 20. SENATOR CARROLL: 21. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 22. Senate. This is the cutback amendment in line with the... 23. Senate guidelines. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 24. 5. 25. PRESIDENT: 26. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee 27. Amendment No. 5 to Senate Bill 326. Any discussion? If 28. not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. 29. Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further 30. amendments? 31. SECRETARY: 32.

Committee Amendment No. 6.

```
ı.
       PRESIDENT:
2.
            Senator Carroll.
3.
       SENATOR CARROLL:
4.
            Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of
5.
       the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 6 is to restore eighty
       percent of the grants that were pass throughs to various
6.
       local agencies that the Governor had cut. I would move
7.
       adoption of Committee Amendment No. 6.
8.
       PRESIDENT:
9.
            Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amend-
10.
       ment No. 6 to Senate Bill 326. Any discussion? If not,
11.
       all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes
12.
       have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amend-
13.
       ments?
14.
       SECRETARY:
15.
            Committee Amendment No. 7.
16.
       PRESIDENT:
17.
            Senator Carroll.
18.
       SENATOR CARROLL:
19.
            Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
20.
       Senate. This is the Professional Artistic and Equipment
21.
       Freeze Amendment. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 7.
22.
       PRESIDENT:
23.
            Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment
24.
       No. 7 to Senate Bill 326. Any discussion? If not, all in
25.
       favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.
26.
       The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?
27.
       SECRETARY:
28.
            Committee Amendment No. 8.
29.
       PRESIDENT:
30.
            Senator Carroll.
31.
       SENATOR CARROLL:
32.
```

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

Page 26 - May 28, 1981

1.	Senate. Committee Amendment No. 8 reduces by twenty million
2.	the GRF appropriation for the Chrysler loan and I would
3.	move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 8.
4.	PRESIDENT:
5.	Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amend-
6.	ment No. 8 to Senate Bill 326. Any discussion? If not, all
7.	in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have
8.	it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?
9.	SECRETARY:
10.	No further committee amendments.
11.	PRESIDENT:
12.	Are there amendments from the Floor?
13.	SECRETARY:
14.	Amendment No. 9, by Senator Carroll.
15.	PRESIDENT:
16.	Senator Carroll.
17.	SENATOR CARROLL:
18.	Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
19.	Senate. This is a technical amendment to reflect an actual
20.	dollar amount. There's no real change. I would move adoption
21.	of Amendment No. 9.
22.	PRESIDENT:
23.	Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 9
24:	to Senate Bill 326. Any discussion? If not, all in favor
25.	signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The
26.	amendment is adopted. Further amendments?
27.	SECRETARY:
28.	Floor Amendment No. 10, by Senator Carroll.
29.	PRESIDENT:
30.	Senator Carroll.
31.	SENATOR CARROLL:
32.	Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

Senate. After discussion with the department and the Bureau

Page 27 - May 28, 1981

ı.	of the Budget and the Republican side, this is a restoration
2.	of some of those monies that were cut in Amendment No. 5
3.	to certain specific areas where their data had been incomplete
4.	at the time of the committee action. I would move adoption
5.	of Amendment No. 10.
6.	PRESIDENT:
7.	Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No.
8.	10 to Senate Bill 326. Any discussion? If not, all in
9.	favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have
10.	it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?
11.	SECRETARY:
12.	Amendment No. 11, Senator Carroll.
13.	PRESIDENT:
14.	Senator Carroll.
15.	SENATOR CARROLL:
16.	Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
17.	Senate. This is the add backthat was agreed to for the
18.	international business operation, which is doing a good job.
19.	Movethe adoption of Amendment No. 11.
20.	PRESIDENT:
21.	Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amend-
22.	mentAmendment No. 11 to Senate Bill 326. Any discussion?
23.	If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed.
24.	The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amend-
25.	ments?
26.	SECRETARY:
27.	Floor Amendment No. 12, by Senator Carroll.
28.	PRESIDENT:
29.	Senator Carroll.
30.	SENATOR CARROLL:
31.	Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
32.	Senate. This is to appropriate twenty-two thousand for the
	Ethnic Heritage Festival at the State Fair from the Tourism

Page 28 - May 28, 1981

1.	Fund, which was the agreement instead of applying it from som
2.	other fund. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 12.
3.	PRESIDENT:
4.	Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No.
5.	12 to Senate Bill 326. Any discussion? If not, all in
6.	favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.
7.	The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?
8.	SECRETARY:
9.	Floor Amendment No. 13, by Senator Sangmeister.
10.	PRESIDENT:
11.	Senator Sangmeister.
12.	SENATOR SANGMEISTER:
13.	Yes, this amendmentappropriates twenty-five thousand
14.	dollars for the Lincolnway Community High School as a
15.	contribution toward their expenses. They've been selected
16.	by the Rose Bowl Committee to represent the State of Illinois
17.	and three other states. They are presently attempting to
18.	raise two hundred thousand dollars in order to send the band
19.	there. They're doing everything from garage sales toyou
20.	name it back home to raise that money and I think it's only
21.	fair that if they're going to represent this State that we
22.	make aa contribution toward that and I think twenty-five
23.	thousand out of the two hundred thousand is reasonable.
24.	PRESIDENT:
25.	Senator Sangmeister has moved the adoption of Amendment
26.	No. 13 to Senate Bill 326. Any discussion? If not, all in
27.	Senator Grotberg.
28.	SENATOR GROTBERG:
29.	Yes, Senator Sangmeister oror Senator Carroll or
30.	Buzbee, what fund is this coming out of? There are several
31.	funds. Is it Tourism?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Sangmeister.

32.

```
ı.
       SENATOR SANGMEISTER:
 2.
            That is correct.
 3.
       PRESIDENT:
 4.
            Senator Grotberg.
5.
       SENATOR GROTBERG:
 6.
            And it's the New Lenox Marching Band and Charter Society
       going to the Rose Bowl representing Illinois?
 7.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
 8.
            Senator Sangmeister.
 9.
       SENATOR SANGMEISTER:
10.
            Yes, it's the Lincolnway Community High School band and...they
11.
       ...will be representing the entire State of Illinois.
12.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
13.
            Further discussion? Senator Grotberg.
14.
       SENATOR GROTBERG:
15.
            Is it the only band from Illinois? That's what I want
16.
       to know.
17.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
18.
            Senator Sangmeister.
19.
       SENATOR SANGMEISTER:
20.
            That is correct. It is my understanding that the Rose
21.
       Bowl Committee...only has about ten or twelve bands...every
22.
       year and...and not only will they be representing Illinois
23.
       but three other midwestern states. That's how few bands
24.
       get to go. It's quite an honor and I think the State of
25.
       Illinois should be very pleased that they have been chosen.
26.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
27.
            Further discussion? Senator Weaver.
28.
       SENATOR WEAVER:
29.
            I just would like to ask Senator Sangmeister if there's
30.
       a possibility that the University of Illinois band might go?
31.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
32.
            Senator Weaver...Senator Sangmeister.
```

```
ı.
       SENATOR SANGMEISTER:
 2.
            I...I don't want to set myself up as an...authority on
 3.
       that, but...perhaps...perhaps some...I've been told by my seat-
 4.
       mate to ask Jimmy the Greek.
5.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
 6.
            Further discussion? The question is on the adoption of
       Amendment No. 13. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The
 7.
       Ayes have it. Amendment No. 13 is adopted. Further amend-
 8.
       ments?
 9.
       SECRETARY:
10.
            No further amendments.
11.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
12.
            3rd reading. 327, Senator Totten. Read the bill, Mr.
13.
       Secretary, please.
14.
       SECRETARY:
15.
            Senate Bill 327.
16.
                 (Secretary reads title of bill)
17.
       2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
18.
       offers one amendment.
19.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
20.
            Senator Carroll.
21.
       SENATOR CARROLL:
22.
            Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
23.
       Senate. This is the operational cut in accordance with the
24.
       Senate guidelines. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.
25.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
26.
            Is there...the motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in
27.
       favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.
28.
       l is adopted. Further amendments?
29.
       SECRETARY:
30.
            No further committee amendments.
31.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
32.
            Are there amendments from the Floor?
33.
```

Page 31 - May 28, 1981

```
l.
       SECRETARY:
2.
            Amendment No. 2, by Senator Carroll.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
 ٦.
            Senator Carroll.
4.
       SENATOR CARROLL:
5.
            Thank you. After discussion with BOB and the department, this
6.
       is the add back amendment to restore some of the vacancies
7.
       cut in Amendment No. 1 where the freeze exemption has been
8.
       obtained. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.
 9.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
10.
            The motion is to adopt. Discussion of the motion?
11.
       All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it.
12.
       Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further Floor amendments...
13.
       Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further Floor amendments?
14.
       SECRETARY:
15.
            Amendment No. 3, by Senator Friedland.
16.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
17.
           Senator Friedland to explain Amendment No. 3.
18.
       SENATOR FRIEDLAND:
19.
            Thank you, Mr. President. Could you have the Secretary
20.
       read the amendment, please?
21.
       SECRETARY:
22.
            (Secretary reads Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 327)
23.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
24.
            For what purpose...Senator Friedland.
25.
       SENATOR FRIEDLAND:
26.
            Thank you, Mr. President. I'd urge favorable consideration
27.
       of this amendment because, as you know, Mr. President, our
28.
       committees here approve or reject bills, resolutions or
29.
       Constitutional Amendments. Now, a tie vote on any of these
30.
       issues, that measure remains in committee. However, the
31.
       Committee on Executive Appointments has the additional vital
32.
       function of advising and consenting with the Governor's
33.
34.
       nominations. Now, as you know, Mr. President, with your
```

Page 32 - May 28, 1981

1.	neip this nomination was reported out of committee in a
2.	party line vote of 6 to 6 and for several days it was flopping
3.	around here on the Floor of the Senate. It's like that
4.	mackerel that washed upon the beach, it's glistening and
5.	glowing in the moonlight, but onon close observation it
6.	stinks. As you know, there's question about thelegitimacy
7.	of this temporary appointment. Because according to our
8.	Constitution and the Public Utility Act a temporary appoint-
9.	ment can be made only when we are in recess. This is a
10.	continous Session, this General Assembly, and we were not
11.	in an interval between the final adjournment of one body
12.	and the convening ofto the next regular Session. This
13.	temporary appointment should not have been made. Bring
14.	this nomination out from under your umbrella. Your umbrella
15.	is full of holes. As you know, committees are a key part
16.	of this deliberative process that we're in. Well, I'd
17.	like to know just what we'redeliberating on on this
18.	issue as it rests back in committee. Here we are in the
19.	forty-first legislative day and it's merely languishing in
20.	committee and as you know, Ladies and Gentlemen, following
21.	sixty Session days with no action, this appointment will be
22.	accepted. I urge you not to proceed in a reckless manner
23.	and be on guard forfor possible consequences and the
24.	and the indifference to your colleagues' rights. Mr. President,
25.	I implore you to help us correct an honest mistake made by
26.	this administration. I'durge favorable consideration of
27.	this amendment.
28.	PRESIDENT:
29.	Alright. Senator Friedland has moved the adoption of Amendment
30.	No. 3 to Senate Bill 327. Discussion? Senator Totten.
31.	SENATOR TOTTEN:
32.	Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

Senate. This amendment appropriates a sum specific from a

Page 33 - May 28, 1981

-

1. specific fund for a specific purpose and because of those criteria, meets the germaneness...qualifications for an 2. 3. amendment to an appropriation bill. As sponsor of the appropriation bill, I rise in support of this amendment. This is a good amendment and would happily accept it on my 5. bill. 6. PRESIDENT: 7. Further discussion? Senator Demuzio. 8. SENATOR DEMUZIO: 9. Yes, I'd like to ask the sponsor a question if I may. 10. PRESIDENT: 11. Indicates he will yield, Senator Demuzio. 12. SENATOR DEMUZIO: 13. Senator Friedland, your amendment says...an unconfirmed 14. appointment to the Illinois Commerce Commission, what happens 15. if the time period runs and the Constitution...takes...takes 16. effect and the individual is, in fact,...confirmed...even 17. though the Senate has taken no action. Does he then get 18. full salary? 19. PRESIDENT: 20. Senator Friedland. 21. SENATOR FRIEDLAND: 22. You know, I'm not sure, but I filed a Constitutional Amend-23. ment that perhaps cannot be considered in time to address that 24. which would reverse that sixty day period. Following sixty 25. Session days of a...an appointee not being confirmed, if 26. that's favorably acted upon and following a favorable vote 27. by the people in the 1982 General Election, this appointment 28. would be rejected. 29. PRESIDENT: 30. Further discussion? Senator Berman. 31. SENATOR BERMAN:

First, I'd make the parliamentary inquiry as to whether

32.

ı. it is germane. 2. PRESIDENT: The Chair will make the appropriate ruling at the 3. 4. appropriate time. SENATOR BERMAN: 5. And then on the motion itself, I rise in opposition. 6. I don't think that this is the approach to take regarding a 7. person who was acknowledged in the hearing to be, "well Я. qualified" and that's the comment not of his Senate sponsor, 9. not of the Governor, but of the Minority Leader of the 10. Illinois Senate, who then proceeded to vote No on the 11. nomination. This man has been serving. If he, in fact, 12. by law continues to serve, he is entitled to the compensation 13. that I am sure he will well earn. I don't think, and I 14. would suggest to the people on my side of the aisle, that 15. we want to get involved in an intraparty dispute by lending 16. our assistance to this approach to denying a well qualified 17. public servant a reasonable compensation for his services. 18. I would strongly urge a No vote on this amendment. 19. PRESIDENT: 20. Further discussion? Senator Friedland, do you wish 21. to close? 22. SENATOR FRIEDLAND: 23. Urge a favorable roll call. 24. PRESIDENT: 25. Well, the Chair will rule that the amendment is not 26. germane and that it is...contained substantive language. Are 27. there further amendments? 28. SECRETARY: 29. No further amendments. 30. PRESIDENT: 31. 3rd reading. ...right here...331, yes, Senator Totten 32.

for what purpose do you arise?

Minter of interest

Totten.

33.

Page 35 - May 28, 1981

1.	SENATOR TOTTEN:
2.	Thank you, Mr. President. As chief sponsor of Senate
3.	Bill 327, I would like to move to commit the bill to the
4.	Committee on Executive Appointments and would like a roll
5.	call.
6.	PRESIDENT:
7.	Senator Totten has moved to commit Senate Bill 327
8.	to the Committee on Executive Appointments and requests
9.	a roll call. That request is in order. Senator Carroll,
10.	for what purpose do you arise?
11.	SENATOR CARROLL:
12.	A question of the Chaira parliamentary inquiry.
13.	Would a substitute motion, if the bill is going to be
14.	recommitted, I would assume, as an appropriation bill,
15.	it should normally go to appropriations, that it be re-
16.	committed to that committee.
17.	PRESIDENT:
18.	A substitute motion of that kind is in order.
19.	SENATOR CARROLL:
20.	Make such a motion, Mr. President.
21.	PRESIDENT:
22.	Alright. Senator Carroll has moved to recommit Senate
23.	Bill 327 to the Committee on Appropriations I. Senator Buzbee.
24.	SENATOR BUZBEE:
25.	Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. President. Given the fact
26.	that thesalary of the Commerce Commission Commissioners
27.	is in theExecutiveState Officers Pay Bill as opposed to
28.	the Commerce Commission itself. Is this amendment germane at
29.	all?
30.	PRESIDENT:
31.	Well, II have indicated that it was not in my opinion
32.	and that's what this is all about, apparently. Senator

Page 36 - May 28, 1981

SENATOR TOTTEN:
Thank you, Mr. President. I would move that Senator
Carroll's motion lie upon the Table.
PRESIDENT:
Alright. That motion is not debatable. Senator
Totten has moved to lay Senator Carroll's motion on the
Table. Those in favor of the motion to Table will vote
Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open.
Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have
all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,
the Ayes are 28, 6the Nays are 26, none Voting Present. The
motion to Table fails. Senator Carroll now moves to re-
commit the SenateSenate Bill 327 to the orderto the
Committee on Appropriations I and on that motionI beg
your pardon. That's correct and it did not receive 30
affirmative votes. The motion toto commitor recommit
by Senator Carroll will take 30 affirmative votes and so
the motion to lay that motion on the Table seems logically
to take 30 affirmative votes. The motion tothat's
II didn'twe're notall I'm saying is that the motion
ifif you put a motion and it takesit requires 30
votes to lay that on the Table, to lay the principal motion
on the Table takes the same number of votes. Senator Bowers.
SENATOR BOWERS:
Well, just an inquiry of the Chair. What rule states
that? I'mI'm not aware of anyany rule inin Roberts
and I could be wrong and I don'tnot aware of any rule in
our rules and I could be wrong, but I'm curious as to what
rules
PRESIDENT:
Well, I think, frankly, it'sit's silent on that point and
that's justwethat's consistently the way we've been
ruling around here sinceat least since I've been here.

Page 37 - May 28, 1981

1.	We don't have any of those, Charlie. Senator Bowers.
2.	SENATOR BOWERS:
3.	Just so I'm clear on the ruling then. The ruling of
4.	the Chair is, that any time it takes an extraordinary majority
5.	to do anything,thenthen any motion to lie that motion
6.	on the Table takes the same majority. Is that correct?
7.	PRESIDENT:
8.	The motion to lay on the Table requires the same vote
9.	count as the principal motion, which you are attempting to
10.	Table. Yes. Alright. Senator Carroll has now moved to
11.	recommit Senate Bill 327 to the Committee on Appropriations $\ensuremath{\mathtt{I}}$
12.	That will require 30 affirmative votes. Those in favor will
13.	vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open.
14.	Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all
15.	voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes
16.	are 22, the Nays are 21, 2 Voting Present. The motion to
17.	recommit fails. Now, we're back to Senator Totten's motion,
18.	the substitute motion having failed. Senator Totten has
19.	moved toto commit Senate Bill 327 to the Committee on
20.	Executive Appointments and Administration. Those in favor
21.	of that motion will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay.
22.	The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
23.	who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On
24.	that question, the Ayes are 29, the Nays are 25. The motion
25.	carries. The bill isis committed to the Committee on
26.	Executive Appointments. State your point. Senator Buzbee.
27.	SENATOR BUZBEE:
28.	I'mI'm confused now, Mr. President, andparlia-
29.	mentary inquiry.
30.	PRESIDENT:
	We havewe have again consistently ruled that if

a sponsor wishes to commit or recommit a bill it only takes

a majority of those voting. If I wished to recommit one of

31.

32.

Page 38 - May 28, 1981

1.	your bills, it takes a majority of those elected among us.
2.	SENATOR BUZBEE:
3.	Thank you.
4.	PRESIDENT:
5.	331, Senator Rhoads. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd
6.	reading, Senate Bill 321, Mr. Secretary. 331, I beg your
7.	pardon. Yes, Senator Totten, for purpose do you arise?
8.	SENATOR TOTTEN:
9.	Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate,
10.	thank you. Now that the bill is in Executive Appointments,
11.	as chief sponsor, I would ask to be removed and have Senator
12.	Friedland as the chief sponsor of Senate Bill 327.
13.	PRESIDENT:
14.	That request is in order with leave of the Body. Is
15.	leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of Senate
16.	Bills 2nd reading, Senate Bill 331. Read the bill, Mr.
17.	Secretary.
18.	SECRETARY:
19.	Senate Bill331.
20.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
21.	2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
22.	offers three amendments.
23.	PRESIDENT:
24.	Senator Carroll.
25.	SENATOR CARROLL:
26.	Why thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen
27.	of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 is the operations cut in
28.	accordance with the Senate guidelines. I'd move adoption
29.	of Committee Amendment No. 1.
30.	PRESIDENT:
31.	Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee
32.	Amendment No. 1 to Senate BillSenate Bill 331. Any dis-
33.	cussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All

Page 39 - May 28, 1981

1.	opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further
2.	amendments?
3.	SECRETARY:
4.	Committee Amendment No. 2.
5.	PRESIDENT:
6.	Senator Carroll.
7.	SENATOR CARROLL:
8.	Thank you. This is the Equipment Professional Artistic
9.	Freeze. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.
10.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
11.	The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Discussion?
12.	Senator Rhoads.
13.	SENATOR RHOADS:
14.	A question of Senator Carroll. There are three committee
15.	amendments and you also have a Floor amendment, Senator
16.	Carroll? Thank you.
17.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
18.	The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Discussion of
19.	the motion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes
20.	have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. For what purpose does
21.	Senator Vadalabene arise?
22.	SENATOR VADALABENE:
23.	Yes, on a point of personal privilege and I have my
24.	colleague, Senator Roger Sommer, on the side of me and
25.	both of us at the same time would like to show our appreciation,
26.	as the Chairman of the Executive on Appointments and the
27.	Minority Spokesman onon Executive Appointments, how both
28.	of us were clued in on what was happening this morning.
29.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
30.	Further amendments?
31.	SECRETARY:
32.	Committee Amendment No. 3.
33.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

34.

Senator Carroll.

Page 40 - May 28, 1981

1.	SENATOR CARROLL:
2.	Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
3.	Senate. This is a technical amendment. I would move adoption of
4.	Committee Amendment No. 3.
5.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
6.	The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say
7.	Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is
8.	adopted. Further committee amendments?
9.	SECRETARY:
10.	No further committee amendments.
11.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
12.	Are there amendments from the Floor?
13.	SECRETARY:
14.	Amendment No. 4, by Senator Carroll.
15.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
16.	Senator Carroll.
17.	SENATOR CARROLL:
18.	Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
19.	Senate. This is the add back amendment in the Medical
20.	Disciplinary Fund to allow them the appropriate investigators
21.	pursuant to our discussions would bewill be in the
22.	department. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 4.
23.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
24.	The motion is to adopt. Discussion? Senator Rhoads.
25.	SENATOR RHOADS:
26.	Senator Carroll, can you tell the Chamber the amount
27.	of dollars added back in by thisFloor amendment and the
28.	amount taken out by the three committee amendments?
29.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
30.	Senator Carroll.
31.	SENATOR CARROLL:
32.	Yes,Senator Rhoads, this is some forty-nine thousand
	six hundred dollars, which is what they had requested for

Page 41 - May 28, 1981

1.	three new physicians as medical disciplinary examiners and
2.	its $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) $ correspondent accounts. We originally took some three
3.	hundred three thousand in our eight percent in other guide-
4.	line amendment. We took some nineteen thousand in the
5.	Equipment and Artistic Freeze imposed upon by the Governor
6.	andthat sums up the substance.
7.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
8.	Senator Rhoads. Further debate? The question is, on
9.	the motion to adopt Amendment No. 4. All in favor say Aye.
10.	Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is adopted
11.	Further Floor amendments?
12.	SECRETARY:
13.	No further amendments.
14.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
15.	3rd reading. Senate Bill 332, Senator Grotberg. Read
16.	the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.
17.	
18.	END OF REEL
19.	
20.	
21.	
22.	
23.	
24.	
25.	
26.	
27.	
28.	
29.	
30.	
31.	
32.	

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 332.

l.

2.

3.

30.

31.

32.

33.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Page 42 - May 28, 1981

(Secretary reads title of bill)

4.	2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers
5.	three amendments.
6.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
7.	Senator Carroll to explain Amendment No. 1.
8.	SENATOR CARROLL:
9.	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
10.	Senate. Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines. And I would move
11.	addption of Amendment No. 1.
12.	PRESIDENG OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
13.	The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye.
14.	Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted.
15.	Further committee amendments?
16.	SECRETARY:
17.	Committee Amendment No. 2.
18.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
19.	Senator Carroll.
20.	SENATOR CARROLL:
21.	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
22.	Committee Amendment 2 is the Equipment Professional Artistic Freeze.
23.	I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.
24.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
25.	The motion to adopt, all in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The
26.	Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?
27.	SECRETARY:
28.	Committee Amendment No. 3.
29.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
	Senator Carroll.

Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

Committee Amendment No. 3 is an additional Equipment Freeze. I would

Page 43 - May 28, 1981

1.	move adoption of Amendment No. 3. It's fourteen hundred dollars.
2.	Hold on one sec.
3.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
4.	The motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay.
5.	The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?
6.	SECRETARY:
7.	No further committee amendments.
8.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
9.	Are there amendments from the Floor?
10.	SECRETARY:
11.	Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Grotberg.
12.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
13.	Senator Grotberg.
14.	SENATOR GROTBERG:
15.	Yes, Amendment No. 4, fellow Senators, is the absolute
16.	essential increase in the Blue Cross - Blue Shield Premimum Fund,
17.	of four million three hundred thousand dollars. It's all been
18.	signed off on by the administration. I move the adoption.
19.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
20.	The motion to adopt, all in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay.
21.	The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Furtherfurther
22.	further Floor amendments?
23.	SECRETARY:
24.	Amendment No. 5 by Senator Carroll.
25.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
26.	Senator Carroll.
27.	SENATOR CARROLL:
28.	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate
29.	This is an add back amendment, so that the Governor can have his
30.	interns and part of his Executive recruitment. I would move adoption
31.	of Amendment No. 5.
32.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
33.	The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye.

Page 44 - May 28, 1981

1.	Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Further
2.	amendments?
3.	SECRETARY:
4.	Amendment No. 6 by Senator Totten.
5.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
6.	Senator Totten is recognized.
7.	SENATOR TOTTEN:
8.	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
9.	Amendment No. 6 transfers the employee development functions of
10.	the Division of Employee and Labor Relations to the Division of
11.	Technical Services. It transfers contractual funds for arbitrators
12.	from the Division of Employee and Labor Relations to a special
13.	line item in the Division of Systems and Services along with all
14.	funds for printing. Furthermore, it eliminates all remaining funds
15.	for the Division of Employee and Labor Relations, for a total
16.	reduction of four hundred and sixty thousand three hundred dollars.
17.	The reason for it, is they have a two year contract and they won't
18.	need the money this year, so we might as well save it.
19.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
20.	Discussion of the motion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay.
21.	The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 6 is adopted. Further amendments?
22.	SECRETARY:
23.	No further amendments.
24.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
25.	3rd reading. Senate Bill 340, Senator Schaffer. Senator
26.	Schaffer. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.
27.	SECRETARY:
28.	Senate Bill 340.
29.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
30.	2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers
31.	four amendments.
32.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
33.	Senator Carroll.

```
SENATOR CARROLL:
ı.
             Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
2.
        Committee Amendment No. 1 is the reduction in operations in line
3.
        with the guidelines. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
5.
            The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye.
6.
        Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted.
7.
        Committee Amendment No. 2, Senator Carroll.
8.
        SENATOR CARROLL:
9.
             Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
10.
        I would move to Table Committee Amendments Nos. 2, 3, and 4. We
11.
        have amendments from the Floor to pick up those items.
12.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
13.
             The motion is to Table Amendments 2, 3, and 4. On the motion
14.
        to Table, all in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it.
15.
        Amendments 2, 3, and 4 are Tabled. Further committee amendments?
16.
        SECRETARY:
17.
             No further committee amendments.
18.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
19.
             Are there amendments from the Floor?
20.
        SECRETARY:
21.
             Amendment No. 5 by Senator Carroll.
22.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
23.
             Senator Carroll.
24.
        SENATOR CARROLL:
25.
             Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 5 is to incorporate
26.
        the Governor's cuts in line with Amendment No. 1. I would move
27.
        adoption of Amendment No. 5.
28.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
29.
             The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 5. Discussion? All in
30.
        favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 5
31.
         is adopted. Further Floor amendments?
32.
         SECRETARY:
```

Page 46 - May 28, 1981

1.	Amendment No. 6 by Senator Grotberg.
2.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
3.	Senator Grotberg. Senator Schaffer, No. 6. Technical amendment,
4.	five hundred thousand for Hazardous Waste Fund. Senator Schaffer.
5.	SENATOR SCHAFFER:
6.	You just explained it.
7.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
8.	The motion to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The
9.	Ayes have it. Ameridment No. 6 is adopted. Further amendments?
10.	SECRETARY:
11.	Amendment No. 7 by Senator Schaffer.
12.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
13.	Senator Schaffer on 7.
14.	SENATOR SCHAFFER:
15.	This is a technical amendment requested by theagency to
16.	clear up a potential problem with an old lease agreement. I guess
17.	we need to do it.
18.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
19.	The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 7. All in favor say Aye.
20.	Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 7 is adopted. Further
21.	Floor amendments?
22.	SECRETARY:
23.	No further amendments.
24.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
25.	3rd reading. Senate Bill 341, Senator Rupp. Senator Rupp on
26.	the Floor? Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.
27.	SECRETARY:
28.	Senate Bill 341.
29.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
30.	2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers
31.	two amendments.
32.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
	Camphan Connell

1.

33.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. 2. Amendment No. 1 is the operational cuts, and the Federal-State 3. funding in certain programs, some of which is picked up again by Amendment No...by a Floor amendment. I would move adoption of 5. Committee Amendment No. 1. 6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 7. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye. 8. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Amend-9. ment No. 2, Senator Carroll. 10. SENATOR CARROLL: 11. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 12. Senate. Amendment No. 2 is the Equipment and Professional Artistic 13. Freeze. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 15. The motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. 16. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee 17. amendments? 18. SECRETARY: 19. No further committee amendments. 20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 21. Are there amendments from the Floor? 22. SECRETARY: 23. Amendment No. 3 by Senator Carroll. 24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 25. Senator Carroll. 26. SENATOR CARROLL: 27% Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 28. Senate. This amendment restores the Federal funds for mine rec-29. lamation so it won't sit idly earning money for the Federal 30. Government. I'd move adoption of Amendment No. 3. 31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 32.

The motion to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay.

Page 48 - May 28, 1981

	The Ayes have 10. Indendment not of 12 daepoors 12 ones amount of
	SECRETARY:
	Amendment No. 4 by Senator Buzbee.
	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
	Senator Buzbee.
	SENATOR BUZBEE:
	Thank you, Mr. President. This adds fifty thousand dollars
	of GRF to the FY'82 budget for the Department of Mines and
1	Minerals for the establishment of a shuttle cartraining system
	for Illinois mines. The appropriation is broken into the following
	lines, twenty thousand eight hundred for Personal Services.
	Retirement and Social Security, twenty-seven hundred. Contractual
	Services, sixteen five. Travel, three thousand. Commodities,
	five thousand. Equipment, two thousand. For a total of fifty
	thousand dollars. There is one of these that the Federal Government
1	has agreed to help some state purchase, and we have to put up a
(certain amount of matching funds, this is used in community colleges
1	that are training underground coal miners in the operation of a
2	shuttle car. And I would move its adoption.
	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
	The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye.
(Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Further
	amendments?
	SECRETARY:
	No further amendments.
	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
	3rd reading. Senate Bill 343, Senator Schaffer. Read the
	bill, Mr. Secretary; please. For what purpose does Senator Johns
	arise?
	SENATOR JOHNS:
	Well, I was going to talk to you just a moment aboutI
	talked to the sponsor about holding this bill on 2nd until I could

get the amendment prepared. It's supposed to be prepared, it wasn't.

32.

Page 49 - May 28, 1981

1.	So, I guess the best advice I can give myself is try to put it on
2.	in the House.
3.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
4.	Either that, or the sponsor might call it back. Although,
5.	tomorrow is the last day.
6.	SENATOR JOHNS:
7.	Yes, I understand. Thank you.
8.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
9.	Okay.
10.	SECRETARY:
11.	Senate Bill 343.
12.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
13.	2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers
14.	one amendment.
15.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
16.	Senator Carroll.
17.	SENATOR CARROLL:
18.	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
19.	Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 was an attempt to follow the
20.	Senate guidelines. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment
21.	No. 1.
22.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
23.	The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye.
24.	Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further
25.	committee amendments?
26.	SECRETARY:
27.	No further committee amendments.
28.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
29.	Are there amendments from the Floor?
	SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 by Senator Schaffer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Schaffer.

30.

31.

32.

Page 50 - May 28, 1981

1.	SENATOR SCHAFFER:
2.	This is still a further attempt to administer the Senate
3.	guidelines andequitably, and it's a further reduction of some
4.	thirty-nine thousand seven hundred dollars.
5.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
6.	All rightall right, motion is to adopt. All in favor say
7.	Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted.
8.	Are there furtherFloor amendments?
9.	SECRETARY:
.0.	No further amendments.
.1.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
.2.	All right. Having no further amendments, 3rd reading. Senate
.3.	Bill 345, Senator Schaffer. ReadI'm sorry, 3344, Senator
4.	Schaffer. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.
.5.	SECRETARY:
.6.	Senate Bill 344.
.7.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
.8.	2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers
.9.	four amendments.
20.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
21.	Senator
22.	SENATOR CARROLL:
23.	Amendment No. 1.
24.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
25.	All right.
26.	SENATOR CARROLL:
27.	Committee Amendment No. 1, Mr. President, is to delete certain
28.	appropriations for projects completed, or for which funds are not
29.	needed. This is an administration requested amendment. I would
30.	move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.
31.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
12.	The motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay.
	The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee

Page 51 - May 28, 1981

1.	amendments?			
2.	SECRETARY:			
3.	Committee Amendment No. 2.			
4.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)			
5.	Senator Carroll.			
6.	SENATOR CARROLL:			
7.	Committee Amendment No. 2 is to add some energy conservation			
8.	projects to the State central garage. I would move adoption of			
9.	Committee Amendment No. 2.			
10.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)			
11.	The motion to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay.			
12.	The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?			
13.	SECRETARY:			
14.	Committee Amendment No. 3.			
15.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)			
16.	Senator Carroll.			
17.	SENATOR CARROLL:			
18.	Committee Amendment No. 3 is toa language change in the old			
19.	Shawneetown Bank project. I would move adoption of Committee			
20.	Amendment No. 3.			
21.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)			
22.	The motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay.			
23.	The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments			
24.	SECRETARY:			
25.	Committee Amendment No. 4.			
26.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)			
27.	Senator Carroll.			
28.	SENATOR CARROLL:			
29.	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the			
30.	Senate. This amendment reduces funding, and eliminates funding			
31.	for projects in which no expenditures are expected for the coming			
32.	year. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 4.			
	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)			

Page 52 - May 28,1981

1.	The motion to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay.			
2.	The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Further amendments?			
3.	SECRETARY:			
4.	No further committee amendments.			
5.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)			
6.	3rdamendments from the Floor?			
7.	SECRETARY:			
8.	No Floor amendments.			
9.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)			
10.	3rd reading. Senate Bill 345, Senator Schaffer. Read the bill,			
11.	Mr. Secretary, please.			
12.	SECRETARY:			
13.	Senate Bill 345.			
14.	(Secretary reads title of bill)			
15.	2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers			
16.	twelve amendments.			
17.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)			
18.	Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 1.			
19.	SENATOR CARROLL:			
20.	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate			
21.	This is the CDB new monies. Amendment No. 1 is at the request of			
22.	the agency to consolidate two projects into one. I would move			
23.	adoption of Amendment No. 1.			
24.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)			
25.	Motion to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed			
26.	Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Senator Carrol.			
27.	on Amendment No. 2.			
28.	SENATOR CARROLL:			
29.	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the			
30.	Senate. Amendment No. 2 is to put in some money that we had taken			
31.	out of the reappropriation. There was a slight change for the			
	Mason State Tree Nursery at the request of the agency. I'd move			

32.

33.

adoption of Amendment No. 2.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

2.	The motion to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay.					
3.	The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Senator Carroll					
4.	on Amendment No. 3.					
5.	SENATOR CARROLL:					
6.	Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 3 is a change in a					
7.	project requested by the agency at Morain View State Park. I					
8.	would move adoption of Amendment No. 3.					
9.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)					
10.	The motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. Th					
11.	Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Amendment No. 4,					
12.	Senator Carroll.					
13.	SENATOR CARROLL:					
14.	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the					
15.	Senate. This is also an agency request for a combined laboratory					
16.	and a switch in a project. I would move adoption of Amendment No					
17.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)					
18.	The motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay.					
19.	The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Senator Carroll					
20.	on Amendment No. 5.					
21.	SENATOR CARROLL:					
22.	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the					
23.	Senate. This is so that the agency can correctly spell Neilson					
24.	Hall. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 5.					
25.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)					
26.	You've heard the motion. All in favor say Aye. Opposed					
27.	Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Senator					
28.	Carroll on Amendment No. 6.					
29.	SENATOR CARROLL:					
30.	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the					
31.	Senate. This is to cure some more of those construction defects					
32.	that have happened in various community colleges. I would move					
22	adoption of Amendment No. 6.					

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

ı.

```
The motion to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay.
2.
3.
        The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 6 is adopted. Further amendments?
        SECRETARY:
4.
             Committee Amendment No. 7.
5.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
6.
             Senator Carroll.
7.
        SENATOR CARROLL:
8.
             Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
9.
        Committee Amendment No. 7 is the Governor's request for a reduction
10.
        in GRF of two million seven hundred thousand. I would move adoption
11.
        of Amendment No. 7.
12.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
13.
             The motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay.
14.
        The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 7 is adopted. Amendment No. 8,
15.
        Senator Carroll.
16.
        SENATOR CARROLL:
17.
             Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
18.
        Amendment No. 8 is for energy conservation projects in the Capitol
19.
        complex. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 8.
20.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
21.
             The motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay.
22.
        The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 8 is adopted...Amendment No. 9,
23.
        Senator Carroll.
24.
        SENATOR CARROLL:
25.
             Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
26.
        Committee Amendment No. 9 is to take care of the structural repairs
27.
        at the Old State Capitol due to the fact that the Historical Library
28.
        and others responsible did not properly maintain it over the years.
29.
        We are now having to spend some two million in bond funds, about
30.
        four and a half million all together because they wouldn't spend
31.
        some thirty-five or forty thousand over the years to take care
32.
         of this. We have no choice in this, there's always been a disincen-
33.
        tive to the State agencies to take care of their property, this is
```

Page 55 - May 28, 1981

1.	a horrible waste of government money that we have to do. I move
2.	adoption of Committee Amendment No. 9.
3.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
4.	The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye.
5.	Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 9 is adopted. Amend-
6.	ment No10, Senator Carroll.
7.	SENATOR CARROLL:
8.	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate
9.	Committee Amendment No. 10 is for work on the Old Shawneetown Bank
10.	eliminating in a like amount for improvements elsewhere. I'd move
11.	adoption of Amendment No. 10.
12.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
13.	The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye.
14.	Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 10 is adopted. Amend
15.	ment No. 11, Senator Carroll.
16.	SENATOR CARROLL:
17.	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senat
18.	Committee Amendment No. 11 is cuts in various projects because we
19.	were having some problems in finding whether they were actually doing
20.	the acquisition. We will take care of some of the defects in this in the
21.	Floor amendment. I would move adoption at this time of Committee
22.	Amendment No. 11.
23.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
24.	The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 11. All in favor say Aye
25.	Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 11 is adopted.
26.	Amendment No. 12, Senator Carroll.
27.	SENATOR CARROLL:
28.	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
29.	Senate. Committee Amendment No. 12 is for the Wabash Community
30.	College, its cold rescue station. I'd move adoption of Committee
31.	Amendment No. 12.
	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

The motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay.

32.

Page 56 - May 28, 1981

1.	The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 12 is adopted. Further committee				
2.	amendments?				
3.	SECRETARY:				
4.	No further committee amendments.				
5.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)				
6.	Are there amendments from the Floor?				
7.	SECRETARY:				
8.	Amendment 13 by Senator Carroll.				
9.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)				
10.	Senator Carroll.				
11.	SENATOR CARROLL:				
12.	Thisthank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of				
13.	the Senate. This is a correction to Amendment No. 8, it's technica				
14.	in nature. I'd move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 12.				
15.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)				
16.	The motion isNo. 13. The motion is to adopt Amendment No.				
17.	13. On the motion, all in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes				
18.	have it. Amendment No. 13 is adopted. Further amendments?				
19.	SECRETARY:				
20.	Amendment No. 14 by Senator Carroll.				
21.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)				
22.	Senator Carroll.				
23.	SENATOR CARROLL:				
24.	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the				
25.	Senate. Committee Amendmentor Floor Amendment No. 14 is to				
26.	restore the million seven for Land Act to the Department of Con-				
27.	servation. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 14.				
28.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)				
29.	The motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay.				
30.	The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 14 is adopted. Furtherfurther				
31.	amendments?				
32.	SECRETARY:				
33.	Amendment No. 15 by Senator Carroll.				

Page 57 - May 28, 1981

1.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)				
2.	Senator Carroll.				
3.	SENATOR CARROLL:				
4.	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the				
5.	Senate. This is a typo error, technical correction. I would				
6.	move adoption of Amendment No. 15.				
7.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)				
8.	The motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay.				
9.	The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 15 is adopted. Further amendments?				
10.	SECRETARY:				
11.	Amendment No. 16 by SenatorsI don't know which Joyce.				
12.	Joyce and Carroll. Jerome Joyce.				
13.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)				
14.	Senator Jerome Joyce. Senator Carroll.				
15.	SENATOR CARROLL:				
16.	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the				
17.	Senate. Amendment No. 16 is to provide for one million dollars for				
18.	planning of a prison somewhere in this State through the point of				
19.	actual site determination, andand leaving for the Manteno				
20.	Mental Health Center for Veterans' Home the nine million. The				
21.	. Governor's budget originally had a convoluted ten million for				
22.	multipurposes. This is an attempt to get thata solution				
23.	running on this. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 16.				
24.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)				
25.	The motion is to adopt. Discussion? Senator Grotberg.				
26.	SENATOR GROTBERG:				
27.	Yes, a question of the sponsor. Senator Carroll, I think the Body should share the conversations we've had probably in a				
28.	little more depth, regarding the Manteno nine million dollars.				
29.	Now, that money was in the Correction's budget last year this				
30.	for this fiscal year for such a location for an optional use				
31.	of that property for some kind of a correctional center. So				
32.	FY'82, okay, but the whole Body doesn't know the problem that				
33.	ri dr, okaj pad die mete bear access o mich bit passes and				

Page 58 - May 28, 1981

1.	Corrections had trying to get in there, they were driven out with
2.	bullwhips so they will not be there. The other problem here is that
3.	we need another veterans home in Manteno like we need a hole in
4.	the head, we can't even fill the one up in Quincy. So, it's still
5.	a problem of nine million dollars, and I don't know that putting
6.	it in the billwe tried to agree on how to write the amendment,
7.	and II canI am concerned. I think the whole Body should
8.	know that if it was all gone it might be less of a problem. We
9.	may want to defeat the amendment.
10.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
11.	Discussion? Senator Jerome Joyce.
12.	SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:
13.	Yes, Mr. President, thank you. Speaking to the amendment,
14.	there is, you know, we've already started on this, there's renova-
15.	tion of two cottages, and thethe administration building, the
16.	lay of thelaying out of the underground utilities and the
17.	site work is scheduled to be completed by June of 1981. So, it
18.	just seems to me that we should go ahead with this. The location
19.	isis very desirable, and the Department of Mental Health has
20.	no problems with this being on the same grounds asas the mental
20.	health thing, it would be very compatible. Andand with the location
22.	in Northern Illinois, I thinkwould be, you know, a very good
23.	use. So, I would urge the adoption of the amendment.
24.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
25.	The motion is to adopt. Further discussion? On the motion
26.	to adopt, all in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it.
27.	Amendment No. 16 is adopted. Further amendments?
28.	SECRETARY:
28. 29.	Amendment No. 17 by Senator Demuzio.
29. 30.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
	Senator Demuzio. Senator Carroll. Oh, Senator Demuzio you wis
31.	to explain Senator Carroll For what for what purposefor

what purpose does Senator Grotberg arise?

32.

```
SENATOR GROTBERG:
ı.
             In spite of the fact that you moved that to 3rd, Senator Kent,
2.
3.
        who has the veterans' home in her district, wanted a roll call
        on that...on that amendment. May we, with leave of the Body
4.
        bring it back to 2nd and take a... I thought you moved it?
5.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
             It's still on 2nd.
7.
        SENATOR GROTBERG:
8.
             Oh, okay. Then it is not too late. Amendment No...
9.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
10.
             Well, we've already gone to... I don't want to preclude Senator
11.
        Kent from her amendment, we've already gone to...No. 17. And...
12.
        SENATOR GROTBERG:
13.
             A roll call on 16 is what I'm asking for, Mr. President.
14.
        ...I move to recondider the...you know, I move to reconsider the
15.
        vote.
16.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
17.
             All right. Senator Joyce.
18.
        SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:
19.
             It had...has already been adopted, but once again, I would
20.
        urge everyone to vote for this, the...the project is in...in the
21.
        completion stage, it seems pretty ridiculous to...to abandon it
22.
        right now, and...you know, I don't...I don't see this as a...as a
23.
        threat to...to the Quincy area. So, I would certainly wonder
24.
        about the Senator from Quincy's concern about this.
25.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
26.
             All right, Senator Demuzio, we are on Amendment No. 17, with
27.
        your leave could we withdraw 17 to get back to 16? Senator Demuzio.
28.
        Let's do this expeditiously, Gentlemen, we've got a long day.
29.
        For what purpose does Senator Vadalabene arise?
30.
        SENATOR VADALABENE:
31.
             I have an idea, why don't Senator Kent do the speaking instead
32.
        of Senator Grotberg and Senator Kent explain what's happened.
33.
```

don't think she needs a lawyer.

question.

ı.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 2. Senator Kent. Senator Kent. 3. SENATOR KENT: 4. I would question...you know, we have ...we have a veterans' 5. home in Quincy, we're trying to...we can't really fund that totally 6. and here we're trying to separate the two, have one in Northern 7. Illinois and one in West-Central. We can't split the funds. I 8. mean, I think that the...that, you know, you go from Manteno and 9. it...we can't fund the one we've got, so why have two. 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 11. All right. Well, now Gentlemen, let me...Senator Demuzio, 12. do you withdraw Amendment No. 17? 13. SENATOR DEMUZIO: 14. Well, Amendment No. 17 is for the Quincy Soldiers and Sailors 15. Home...do you...do you want...that amendment withdrawn? 16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 17. We're just going to withdraw the...the consideration so we 18. can get back to 16, Senator. We'll...we'll get back to 17. 19. SENATOR DEMUZIO: 20. I have a question. 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 22. Senator Demuzio. 23. SENATOR DEMUZIO: 24. Does Senator Kent wish me to withdraw Amendment No. 17, perman-25. ently? 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 27. All right, the Chair's suggestion is let's handle 17 and 28. Senator Grotberg you can make a motion to reconsider on 16. That's 29. ...okay. Okay. Senator...Senator Jerome Joyce. 30. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE: 31. Senator Demuzio had a question for Senator Kent. Let's not 32. be withdrawing...and drawing, let's proceed with Senator Demuzio's 33.

1.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)					
2.	All right, we're on 17, now Gentlemen that's a hundred					
3.	thousand dollar appropriation to the Quincy Veterans' Home. We					
4.	will get back, Senator, to your problem with 16, but now the					
5.	motionall right. Okay. Senator Demuzio on Amendment No. 17.					
6.	SENATOR DEMUZIO:					
7.	Well, thank you, Mr. President. You've explained the amend-					
8.	ment very well. It's a hundred thousand dollars for planning, site im-					
9.	provements, utilities, rehabilitation, and other repairs at various					
10.	facilities for the Quincy Solidiers and Sailors Home in the amount					
11.	of a hundred thousand dollars. And it's at the request of Represent-					
12.	ative McClain, and I would ask for the adoption of the amendment.					
13.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)					
14.	The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 17. On that motion,					
15.	is there discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The					
16.	Ayes have it. Amendment No. 17 is adopted. Are there further					
17.	amendments?					
18.	SECRETARY:					
19.	No further amendments.					
20.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)					
21.	All right, now, Senator Grotberg.					
22.	SENATOR GROTBERG:					
23.	Having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider the					
24.	vote by which No. 16 was adopted, Mr. President.					
25.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)					
26.	All right, Senator Grotberg having voted on the prevailing					
27.	side, moves to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 16 was					
28.	adopted. For what purpose does Senator Schaffer arise?					
29.	SENATOR SCHAFFER:					
30.	I thought we might discuss the issue at hand, if that's ap-					
31.	propriate.					
32.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)					
33.	All right, the motion is to reconsider the vote by which					

Amendment No. 16...was adopted. Discussion of the motion? Senator
 Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

3.

Well, I think we, frankly...or we can play parliamentary games, 4. I...I guess really all that we're requesting is a roll call on 5. the amendment. I think we...we pushed that one through rather 6. quickly, we're talking about some eight or nine million dollars 7. that is being put in the budget for what appears to be a nonsen-8. sical purpose, and I think we ought to...we ought to get a roll 9. call on that. It's clear to me that the...by the way, and I'm...I'm 10. lead to believe that we haven't done anything other than talk 11. about and plan for a veterans' home at Manteno and that it's 12. a seventeen million dollar project, and we haven't filled the 13. beds in the veterans'home at Quincy, I'm not quite sure what in 14. the world we're doing, we need...we need prison beds, let's face 15. it. I'm lead to believe the people in the Manteno area do not 16. want a prison, in fact, I'm lead to believe that that's been made 17. perfectly clear. But I just don't see why in these days of fiscal 18. restraint that we want to put this amount of money in a budget 19. for purposes that either are obviously never going to happen be-20. cause of public resistance or B, shouldn't happen because of total 21. lack of need. It's just nine million dollars, but you know, 22. nine million here and nine million there, and you start talking 23. about money pretty quick. I just think we ought to have a roll 24. call, and pull the amendment off, and if somebody's got a program 25. that can justify the expenditure, fine, somewhere in the process 26. we can put it back on. But I sure don't see it, I don't see... 27. as I understand the stage of the game...even if we made a 28. decision to build a veterans' home we don't need, they sure don't 29. need the nine million...the eight million dollars we're talking 30. about. I'd urge reconsidering the amendment, and I think we ought 31. to pull the money out of the budget right now until somebody comes 32. back here with a game plan. Frankly, we ought to be worrying about 33.

where we're going to build prisons or what we're going to convert
 into prisons. And that's what the money ought to be used for,
 and if the people in Manteno don't want a prison, I'm not going
 to force a prison on anybody. But I'm lead to believe there
 are other parts of the State, other parts of the State, that
 want prisons, and maybe the people who represent other parts of the
 State should see that the money is put in in such a way that the
 money can be spent in other parts of the State.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

٩.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Further discussion? Senator Jerome Joyce. Senator Carroll. SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The reason for support of this amendment, I think, is quite clear. This is, as Senator Schaffer, Grotberg, myself, Senator Buzbee, and others are aware, there is confusion in this area. We cannot, and should not be appropriating ten million for a prison somewhere. We've never done that for any other project regardless of what the nature of the project was, we always require a site specific. We always do allow for planning and development ideas, and that's what the million is for. We recognize there is a need for additional prison beds, and when we talked about it in committee, the solution always seemed to be to determine an amount, we said here a million dollars, somewhat arbitrary, but CDB said that was more than sufficient to plan two sites specific for the additional prison beds that we obviously need. Now, the Governor's budget allocated ten million for a veterans' home and prison at Manteno. What we are saying is, keep the dollar amounts that the Governor has already budgeted for this, leave at this point nine million for the veteran's home in that area of the State, and put a million for planning of prisons. Once we get through the process, I would believe, hopefully, by June 30, we'll know in a little more detail what it would take to do a veterans' home in that area of the State.

Page 64 - May 28, 1981

1.	And I think it's wiser to put this amendment on now and move the				
2.	bill along. And I would, again, urge adoption of Amendment No. 16.				
3.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)				
4.	on the motion to reconsider, right now. Senator Ozinga.				
5.	SENATOR OZINGA:				
6.	On the motion to reconsider, or on the motion that has been				
7.	reconsidered?				
8.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)				
9.	NoSenator, we are onwe are on the motion to reconsider				
10.	the vote by which Amendment No. 16 was adopted.				
11.	SENATOR OZINGA:				
12.	I'll hold.				
13.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)				
14.	All right. Further discussion? There's been a request for				
15.	a roll call. Senator Kent on the motion to reconsideryou're				
16.	notokay. Further discussion? Further discussion? The				
17.	question is on the reconsideration of the vote by which Amendment				
18.	No. 16 was adopted. It willthose in favor will vote Aye. Those				
19.	opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. It will require a				
20.	majority of those voting on the issue to prevail. Have all				
21.	voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On				
22.	that question, the Ayes are 28, the Nays are 29. The motion to				
23.	reconsider is lost. Are there further amendments?				
24.	SECRETARY:				
25.	No further amendments.				
26.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)				
27.	3rd reading. For what purpose does Senator Rhoads arise?				
28.	SENATOR RHOADS:				
29.	Verification of the negative votewhere did it go?				
30.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)				
31.	Well, Senator, the reason we got into this problem is that				
32.	people aren't paying attention to what's going on here.				

SENATOR RHOADS:

Page 65 - May 28, 1981

The board was lit up when I sought recognition.			
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)			
I've already moved the bill to 3rd reading, Senator. We've			
had extended debate on that			
SENATOR RHOADS:			
I was seeking recognition			
PRESIDING OFFICER: ((SENATOR BRUCE)			
No one requested a verification.			
SENATOR RHOADS:			
I was seeking recognition.			
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)			
You were seekingyou were talking to Senator Totten, Senator			
when I moved the bill to 3rd. Now, we can go through a hassle over			
this, but if we're going to do these things, we're going to have			
to do them in an orderly fashion. Senator Rhoads.			
SENATOR RHOADS:			
Mr. President, I had sought recognitionit was on the			
board when I sought recognition.			
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)			
Senator, after we took that roll call, the Chair asked if			
there were further amendments, the Secretary said no. At the time			
I looked up you were talking to Senator Totten, and without anyone			
requesting a verification, I moved the bill to 3rd reading.			
SENATOR RHOADS:			
Well, youyou must have seen me, if you saw me talking to			
Senator Totten, you saw I was seeking recognition.			
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)			
No, you were turned around, in fact, talking to Senator Totten			
Inext case. Senate Bill 346, Senator Weaver. Read the bill,			
Mr. Secretary, please.			
SECRETARY:			
Senate Bill 346.			

l.

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers

```
2.
        one amendment.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
 3.
              Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 1.
         SENATOR CARROLL:
 5.
              Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
 6.
         Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is to reduce the authorization
 7.
         level down to last year's level plus a dollar. We'll correct it as
 8.
         we go through the process. I'd move adoption of Committee Amend-
 9.
         ment No. 1.
10.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
11.
              The motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay.
12.
         The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?
13.
         SECRETARY:
14.
              No further committee amendments.
15.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
16.
              Are there amendments from the Floor?
17.
         SECRETARY:
18.
              No Floor amendments.
19.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
20.
              3rd reading. Senator Weaver.
21.
         SENATOR WEAVER:
22.
              I think we'll have to bring this back tomorrow for a new level,
23.
         so...so everybody understands it will be brought back.
24.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
25.
              All right. 624, Senator Hall. 654, Senator Degnan.
26.
         the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.
27.
         SECRETARY:
28.
              Senate Bill 654.
29.
                    ( Secretary reads title of bill )
30.
         2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
31.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
32.
              Are there amendments from the Floor?
33.
```


SECRETARY:

2.	No Floor amendments.
3.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
4.	3rd reading. Senate Bill 814, Senator Etheredge. Read the
5.	bill, Mr. Secretary, please.
6.	SECRETARY:
7.	Senate Bill 814.
8.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
9.	2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
10.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
11.	Are there amendments from the Floor?
12.	SECRETARY:
13.	Amendment No. 1 by Senator Etheredge.
14.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
15.	Senator Etheredge on Amendment No. 1.
16.	SENATOR ETHEREDGE:
17.	Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This
18.	amendment would add additional language to theto the bill as
19.	originally introduced. The purpose of the amendment is to rectify
20.	what I see is aas a gap in the collective bargaining process
21.	as it now operates for the various departments that are covered
22.	by the Executive Order. That gap being the fact that the General
23.	Assembly does not have a role in thein the process. What this
24.	amendment would do, would be to establish a joint employee relation
25.	committee, which would be made up of the President, and the Minority
26.	Leader of the Senate, and the Speaker and the Minority Leader of
27.	the House. And this committee would have the responsibility of
28.	receiving and reviewing the tentatively approved collective
29.	bargaining agreements shortly after the first of the year. This
30.	committee would then approve or reject the tentative agreement, if
31.	they reject, then there would be a renegotiation process which
32.	wouldwhich would take place. If they approve then they would
33.	make this recommendationan approval recommendation in the
	form of a joint resolution to theto the General Assembly.

There are time deadlines which are included in the amendment that ı. would require the action, both by the committee and also by the 2. ...by the General Assembly. Regardless of how each member of this 3. Body feels about collective bargaining for public employees, I 4. believe that the...the purpose of this amendment is to...is to 5. provide a role for the General Assembly in that process, a role which we do not have at the present time. I should say, that 7. this amendment has been worked out with representatives of the 8. ...the Office of the Governor, and I would ask for the favorable 9. consideration of this amendment. Copies of the amendment, by the 10. way, have been...have been distributed. 11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 12. Further...is there discussion? Senator Keats. 13. SENATOR KEATS: 14. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 15. Senate. I always hesitate to rise in opposition to a fine 16. Gentleman's bill, but this bill is...is basically ASFCME's collective 17. bargaining bill. The bill gets around civil service and lets 18. ASFCME bargain collectively. Senator Etheredgewas kind enough 19. to hold the bill up, and say, hey, I recognize that the bill had 20. some problems. This is an amendment he has worked out that does 21. have some questions about it. I... 22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 23. May we have some order, please. Senator Keats. 24.

SENATOR KEATS:

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

I commend him for his attempts to straighten out the bill, but it still ends up ASFCME's collective bargaining bill. Let me give you a little bit about it. The amendment creates a legislative committee to review pay raises negotiated with the various unions within government employment, by the Director of Personnel. The amendment in the bill would...legitimate all the collective bargaining process that is currently...currently questioned from a constitutional point of view. The Court of Claims, the

only court that's been ruling on this has consistently ruled ı. that collective bargaining has not been legitimized by the Leg-2. islature, and yet this bill if passed, very clearly and distinctly 3. legitimizes collective bargaining, because it says, it specifically says that, anything bargained, even if it's not...even it's outside 5. civil service and directly contrary to civil service is okay. 6. And that that...that that bargaining would take complete precedence 7. over civil service rules. The amendment as written creates a review 8. process that allows for some legislative action and consideration, 9. but in a very narrow framework. If we do nothing, that is 10. acquiescence, and as you're aware, that the Legislature has an 11. interesting ability to do nothing. And then the last part of the 12. bill, we checked with Ted Clark, who many of you know is quite 13. an expert on...on public sector collective bargaining, and what 14. it really does, is says, that this bill goes into effect until the 15. Legislature does something about it. We asked Ted whether... 16. whether this was constitutional, and he said you know it's a 17. real...real good question, he says, I don't know, I think it 18. might be constitutional, but it is very clearly a serious question. 19. So, what I'm saying to you is, this is the ASFCME collective bar-20. gaining bill, it came out of the Senate Labor and Commerce 21. Committee on a seven to four partisan roll call, and while this 22. amendment is an improvement on a bad bill, it's a bad bill re-23. gardless of how it's improved. I would solicit a No vote on the 24. amendment. 25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 26. Further discussion? Senator Savickas. 27. SENATOR SAVICKAS: 28. Mr. President, I also join Senator Keats in his No vote 29. request on this amendment. This amendment really takes the 30.

Governor off of the hot spot and puts the leaders of our legis-

lative Body as the ones that determine whether pay raises should

be given or not. And I don't think it's proper to put the President

31.

32.

of the Senate, the Minority Leader of the Senate, the Speaker ı. of the House, and the Minority Leader of the House in those 2. positions. They are the ones that constitute the committee that 3. would approve or reject wage increases. I think that we should 4. reject this amendment. If the Governor wants to abdicate his 5. responsibility as the Chief Executive Officer of this State, the 6. man responsible for creating the budget and the finances for 7. paying any raises or refusing to give raises, that he should still 8. have that authority and not try to flaunt it off on the Legislature. 9. I would support Senator Keats' motion to reject this amendment. 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 11.

Further discussion? Senator McMillan.

SENATOR MCMILLAN:

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I would rise in support of the amendment. I do believe that if we have to consider the kind of legislation that is the basic part of Senate Bill 814, we need to do everything we can to get it in, at least, a reasonable condition, and I believe that this amendment does give the Legislature some role in the matter so that we won't be put in a position like we are this year of being forced to fund some salary increases and other things over which we had absolutely no control and for which we had no involvement. So, I do think this makes the bill better. And I would seek a Yes vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I rise in support of this amendment. I think it's a very fair amendment. I think it's...it's...the time has come for such an amendment, and certainly by appointing that kind of a committee, I think we can arrive at some equitable basis to both please labor and the State. And I certainly rise in favor

1.	of	this	amendment.
----	----	------	------------

2.

4.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3. Discussion? Senator Nedza.

SENATOR NEDZA:

5. Just a matter of point of personal privilege, Mr. President.
6. Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I would ask leave
7. of the Body, the Peabody School, the 8th grade school of...the
8. 8th grade class of Peabody School, which happens to be in the
9. 19th Legislative District is here observing us this afternoon,
10. and I would ask leave for the 8th grade class to stand and be recognized.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Would our guests in the galleries please rise and be recognized by the Senate. Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill came out of the Labor Committee, and there were a lot of confusion and upheavals on the Republican side, it was a Republican administrative bill, with the clear understanding that it would not be used for a vehicle for anything else, and I'm surprised, Senator, that you are offering now an amendment to this bill. That bill did, in fact, as Senator Keats said, came out on a partisan roll call, and it was Democrats that voted it out because it...simply was to clear up some confusions in reference to settling of disputes between the rules of the Civil Service Commission and the rules as they relate to...those things stipulated in...the collective bargaining contract agreement. And that was the primary reason that we've supported the Governor against the Republicans to get this bill out of Labor Committee. And I'm opposed to your amendment. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Senator Simms.

SENATOR SIMMS:

Well, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I

Page 72 - May 28, 1981

1.	would disagree with the esteemed Chairman of the Labor Committee.
2.	I don't think there was any confusion in the committee, I think
3.	it was basically a job of a steamroller rolling through certain
4.	bills. This certainlythis bill isIve a great amount of
5.	esteem and respect for the sponsor of the bill as well as
6.	his amendment, however, it does not necessarily agree with the
7.	philosophy that many of us on this side of the aisle have. So,
8.	there was no confusion in the Labor Committee. I think there was
9.	a, perhaps, if anything, a very great conclusion that this is
10.	not necessarily in the best interest to the State of Illinois.
11.	And I think Senator Keats well summed it up, this amendment probably
12.	makes a bad bill somewhat better, but it's still anot a good
13.	concept. And I would urge a No vote.
14.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
15.	Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Etheredge
	may close.
16.	SENATOR ETHEREDGE:
17.	Once again, II believe that thethe amendment represents
18.	aa very substantial step forward. It does provide an opportunit
19.	for the General Assembly to participate in the process by ratifying
20.	thethe contracts. So, I would ask you tovote Aye on this
21.	amendment. Thank you.
22.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
23.	The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Those in favor will
24.	vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
25.	all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
26.	On that question, the Ayes are 12, the Nays are 42. Amendment
27.	No. 1 is lost. Further amendments?
28.	SECRETARY:
29.	Amendment No. 2 by Senator McMillan.
30.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
31.	Senator McMillan on Amendment No. 2.

32.

33.

SENATOR MCMILLAN:

Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Amendment No. 1. 2 is an amendment which essentially takes from Section 9 under 2. the duties, powers and...of the...of the Director of Personnel, 3. it takes from there Section 7 which is the section that has 4. been construed by previous Governor and the current Governor 5. to give them the authority to conduct negotiations with various 6. employee groups. What this simply does is take that section 7. from the Act and make it clear that it shall not be...cannot 8. be their duty to do such negotiations. This would in no way 9. have any impact on any contract already negotiated and...and in 10. operation . but it would, with regard to any future negotiations 11. that might be held. And I would seek a favorable roll call. 12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 13.

Discussion? Discussion? The question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 2. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. All right, request for a roll call. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 22, the Nays are 33, none Voting Present. The motion to adopt is lost. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Amendment No. 3 by Senator McMillan.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator McMillan on Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR MCMILLAN:

Amendment No. 3 would offer a new Section 8F, and what it really says is, that any agreement negotiated by the Director of Personnel, affecting pay, shall not contain any provisions which treat hours of work or merit and fitness, and other things. In other words, what it really says is, that if there shall be any negotiations and agreements, it can only relate to pay and it cannot come up with another agreement that supersedes the regular personnel rules and regulations under which other State

employees operate. My feeling is, that one of the worst aspects of what happens when we get into the business of negotiating such

contracts is, we end up with classes of State employees, one of

under others...or under another set. I do not believe

and one of which must

which must operate under one rule,

l.

2.

3.

4.

5.

33.

operate

that leads us to a working condition which is really desirable 6. for the function of State Government. 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 8. Discussion? Senator Collins. 9. SENATOR COLLINS: 10. I rise innopposition to this amendment, because what...based 11. on what I'm hearing here, you're absolutely limiting the powers 12. of the unions to negotiate in good faith with the State, the 13. Department of Personnel, and I think that's unfair. I think those 14. persons in...in negotiations are capable enough to understand and 15. to make agreements that will not...that will protect the interest 16. of the State. And for that reason, I'm opposed to that amendment, 17. because you're actually destroying the powers of the collective 18. bargaining unit. 19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 20. Further discussion? The question is on the adoption of Amendment 21. ... Senator Keats. 22. SENATOR KEATS: 23. Okay, I... I appreciate it, I, of course, always hate to dis-24. agree with the Chairwoman of the Labor and Commerce Committee, but 25. you occasionally have to read the amendment carefully...and while 26. it says we may be putting some limits on the unions that really 27. is not an accurate assessment. What we're saying is, these are 28. State employees with a hundred percent of the protections of the 29. Civil Service Code, all we're saying is negotiate what's within 30. the Civil Service Code, all we're saying is stick to the rules that 31. they are, you know, that are there. If they don't want those rules, 32. then get rid of them. They're in the Statute now, all those

Page 75 - May 28, 1981

1.	protections are there, what this amendment is saying, is that the
2.	Civil Service Code does apply. Without this amendment the Civil
3.	Service Code is put into a position when it does not apply and
4.	the administration position consistently under the last two
5.	Governors has been, that Civil Service is irrelevant, even though
6.	the person gets all those protections, they are not bound by any
7.	limitations there, for that reason Civil Service is from their
8.	point of view, almost non-existent I would I would ap-
9.	preciate a Yès vote on the amendment.
10.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Senator McMillan may close.

SENATOR MCMILLAN:

11.

12.

33.

Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I... I would make 13. one comment that this does not destroy the collective bargaining 14. process, and it does not destroy the collective bargaining unit. 15. What it does, is to permit negotiations to occur, but it does 16. set rules which would indicate that if anything is to be included 17. in such a contract beyond wages and salaries, then that ultimately 18. through the normal rule making process, has to become part of the 19. rules under which all State employees are governed. I really 20. believe that the problems that arise because of having one group 21. of employees under one set of rules, and another group under 22. another does not lead to effective State Government: The people 23. involved in the process, absolutely, have the ability to under-24. stand the details. I don't think there's any problem with that, 25. and I don't think there's any attempt to...to abridge that. But 26. what this simply says is, if we're going to have negotiations, they 27. can deal with wages, but they shall not set up a separate system 28. of rules and operating procedures for those that are under the 29. agreement separate from the normal Civil Service rules under 30. which State employees operate. I would seek a favorable roll call. 31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 32.

The question is, shall Amendment No. 3 pass. Those in favor

Page 76 - May 28, 1981

ı.	vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all
2.	voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
3.	wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 25
4.	I heard you Senator, you're onyou're on the point. On that
5.	question, theAyes are 25, the Nays are 29, 3 Voting Present.
6.	The motion to adopt is lost. And Senator Keats has asked for
7.	a verification of those who voted in thenegative, Senator?
8.	Well, Senator, you made the motion. Did anyone make a
9.	SENATOR KEATS:
10.	Yes, II did forSenator McMillan, I'm glad to do it
11.	either way. Verify the negatives, please.
12.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
13.	There's been a request for a verification. Will the members
14.	please be in their seats. Will the Secretary, please call those
15.	who voted in the negative.
16.	SECRETARY:
17.	The following voted in the negative:
18.	Berman, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, Collins, D'Arco, Davidson,
19.	Dawson, Degnan, Demuzio, Gitz, Hall, Johns, Jeremiah Joyce, Jerome
20.	Joyce, Lemke, Marovitz, McLendon, Nash, Nedza, Nega, Netsch,
21.	Newhouse, Sangmeister, Savickas, Taylor, Vadalabene, Mr. President.
22.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
23.	Senator Keats, do you question the presence of any member?
24.	SENATOR KEATS:
25.	Senator Jeremiah Joyce?
26.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
27.	Is Senator Jeremiah Joyce on the Floor? Senator Jeremiah
28.	Joyce? Strike his name.
29.	SENATOR KEATS:
30.	Senator Newhouse?
	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Newhouse is in his seat, Senator.

31.

32.

33.

SENATOR KEATS:

```
Senator Marovitz.
l.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
2.
             Is Senator Marovitz on the Floor? Yeah. Do you question
3.
        the presence of any other Senator?
4.
        SENATOR KEATS:
5.
             Nope.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
7.
             All right, on a verified roll call, there are 25 Ayes, 28
8.
        Nays, 3 Voting Present. The motion to adopt is lost. Further
9.
        amendments?
10.
        SECRETARY:
11.
             No further amendments.
12.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
13.
             No further amendments?
14.
        SECRETARY:
15.
             No further amendments.
16.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
17.
            3rd reading. For what purpose does Senator Rhoads arise?
18.
        SENATOR RHOADS:
19.
             For the purpose of an announcement, Mr. President. A lot
20.
        of people have been asking, this morning, about various caucuses
21.
        going on dealing with mass-transit and reapportionment, and wonder-
22.
        ing if the two were somehow tied together. I assure you they
23.
        are not, and as important as these caucuses are, the most questions
24.
        I've received this morning have to do with the really important
25.
         issue of the day. So, I have consulted with the members of the
26.
        Legislative Investigating Commission, and we are going to proceed
27.
        with the resolution later today, to find out who ordered the
28.
         parking spaces changed. Among...among the things that we will
29.
         investigate are, what did the parking lot attendants know, and
30.
        when did they know it. What maniac decided to do this on the
31.
         28th of May? Who really has seniority on the south drive? And
32.
         other crucial issues. Now, I know you all think reapportionment is
33.
```

Page 78 - May 28, 1981

important, and I know you all think the Transit Bill is important, ı. but these parking spaces we deal with in our daily lives every 2. single day. So, we are going to get, I assure you, to the bottom 3. of this issue, and we will issue a complete report and heads are 4. going to roll, I promise you. 5 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 6. UPI would like permission to take still photographs, and the 7. photographer for the Chicago Tribune. Is there leave? Leave is R. granted. Senate Bill 816, Senator Carroll. Senate Bill 850, 9. Senator Demuzio. Read the bill, Mr...no, Senator Demuzio. 10. SENATOR DEMUZIO: 11. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. On Senate Bill 850, I move 12. to recommit that bill to the Senate Finance and Credit Regulations 13. Committee. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 15. The motion is to recommit Senate Bill 850 to the Committee 16. on Finance and Credit Regulations. On the motion to recommit , 17. all in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The motion 18. to recommit prevails. Senate Bill 1200, Senator Gitz. Read the 19. ...Senator Gitz. 20. SENATOR GITZ: 21. Mr. President, this...this bill was in response to some real 22. problems with the defaults, and whether this would jeopardize loans 23. to the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. This bill does not 24. seem to be in proper form, there does not seem to be a method of 25. reaching agreement. So, at this time, I would move to recommit 26. it to the Higher Education Committee. 27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 28. The motion is to recommit Senate Bill 1200 to the Senate 29. Committee on Higher Education. On the motion to recommit , all 30. in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The motion 31. to recommit prevails. Senator Chew on 1202. Read the bill... 32. read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

1.	SECRETARI:
2.	Senate Bill 1202.
3.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
4.	2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Transportation offers
5.	one amendment.
6.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
7.	Senator Chew to explain Amendment No. 1.
8	SENATOR CHEW:
9.	Mr. President, Senator Committee on Transportation, is that
10.	the amendment I just filed?
11.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
12.	No, it's the one adopted in committee, Senator.
13.	SENATOR CHEW:
14.	Hold tight just one minute. That's Amendment No. 1, provide
15.	that the weight limitation may only apply to those vehicles
16.	and combination of vehicles which were manufactured prior to
17.	model year, 1982. And it provides the fine in the amount equal
18.	to four cents per pound for each pound in excess weight up to and
19.	including two thousand pounds. I'd move its adoption.
20.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
21.	The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye.
22.	Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted.
23.	Further committee amendments?
24.	SECRETARY:
25.	No further committee amendments.
26.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
27.	Are there amendments from the Floor?
28.	SECRETARY:
29.	Amendment No. 2 by Senators Bloom and Philip.
30.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
31.	Senator Bloom to explain Amendment Nofor what purpose does
32.	Senator Chew arise?
33.	SENATOR CHEW:

Page 80 - May 28, 1981

1.	Mr. President, the amendment by Senator Bloom, I had requested
2.	of him to withdraw that amendment, because it is not in conformity
3.	with the purpose

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

May we have some order, please. Senator Chew.

SENATOR CHEW:

4.

5.

6.

11.

12.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

It does not conform with the purpose of the users tax according
 to the Department of Transportation. And I would ask publicly
 would he withdraw this amendment, or if he insists on that amendment,
 I would resist it.

Thank you, Mr. President. I... I hate to take a position op-

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

All right. Senator Bloom.

SENATOR BLOOM:

posite of Senator Chew, however, we feel quite strongly that if you want bigger, wider, you have to do a little more than just pay the thirty-five million in extra damage done to the highways that...we feel that the amendment is technically correct, and that the quarrel is the amount, the bigger, longer, widers have to pay to go over our roads. So, I would urge the support of this amendment. In essence it would generate between seventy-five and eighty million dollars, and it imposes a tax on overweight trucks up to...up to five and three-quarters cents at the seventy-four to eighty thousand pound level. Traditionally, we downstaters have strongly resisted and fought bigger, longer, wider. However, at this stage, if they want to go bigger, longer wider, fine, then let them pay through the nose, "cause it's our highways. I'd urge support of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Is there discussion? Senator Chew.

31.32.33.

Page 81 - May 28, 1981

SENATOR CHEW:

l.

2.

The word pay through the nose as used by the distinguished 3. Senator from Peoria, reminds me of rip-off. Now, I consulted 4. with the Senator this morning and I asked his assistance in 5. an amendment that would generate the kind of revenue that the Department of Transportation has indicated needed in 6. 7. order to take care of the damage of the highways that the increased load would bring. He indicated to me that he was 8. not totally concerned with that cost, because he wanted his 9. amendment to overrun the cost that would only be paid by the 10. users in order to go into the Road Fund. I indicated to him 11. that the amount that has been projected by the Department of 12. Transportation was going into the Road Fund. That was the 13. whole issue. Not a hundred or seventy-five million, but 14. thirty-six million. And I have an amendment that would 15. generate the thirty-six million, Mr. President. And I might 16. add industry is totally against the Bloom amendment, because 17. it is not based on a formula designed for the purpose of the 18. users. It is based on a Bloom formula, that is designed to 19. create more money. I have no problems with creating additional 20. funds for the highways, but, certainly, this is not the way 21. to go. Now, the amendment that the Secretary has on his desk 22. is an acceptable amendment because it deals with the amount 23. of money that's been projected by the Department of Trans-24. portation. Now, let me say this, Mr. President, forty-six 25. states in this nation have adopted this kind of legislation. 26. The State of Missouri being the latest state to pass legis-27. lation and I am told that the Governor of Missouri had a 28. signing ceremony today or yesterday, which puts that legis-29. lation into law. Now, no doubt about it, Illinois has to 30. pass legislation, whereby, interstate traffic will not avoid 31. the State of Illinois. And it certainly does not make sense 32. for a mover to get on the borders of Illinois and Missouri 33.

```
١.,
      and have to detour to some other state in order to maintain his
 2.
       load, simply because Illinois has not passed this legislation
 3.
       or Illinois is gouging the truckers. We cannot be out of
 4.
       line...give me that there rate breakdown of other states and
 5.
       the costs...we cannot be out of line and...and allow trucks
       to go...not enter into the State of Illinois simply because
 6.
       we have gouged them out of monies that otherwise would go
 7.
       in earning and when they are paying the cost of these high-
 8.
       ways. And it was clearly understood with industry that the
 9.
       thirty-six million dollars would be paid by the users. Now,
10.
       we must grant ourselves and industry...well, I shouldn't say
11.
       that industry do not...do not run the Legislature. Industry
12.
       has input into most all the bills that we deal with here.
13.
       because our purpose as a Legislature is to try and do things
14.
       for those persons in which we serve. Now, with forty-six
15.
       states having this legislation, it's almost impossible for
16.
       us to avoid it any longer. I bit the bullet and decided I
17.
       would sponsor it, simply because I'm the Chairman of Trans-
18.
       portation and the bill was a recommendation from Motor
19.
       Vehicle Laws. It came through our committee with the clear
20.
       understanding, until the agreement of the rate was agreed
21.
       upon to correspond with the fiscal note of the Department
22.
       of Transportation. We now have that vehicle, which would
23.
       generate the thirty-six million dollars and the Governor will
24.
       sign this bill with thirty-six million dollars and not with
25.
       a hundred million dollars. Now, why muddy up the water with
26
       an amendment of a hundred million dollars, which would pre-
27.
       vent the bill from becoming law, when the Department of Trans-
28.
       portation is satisfied with...generation of thirty-six million
29.
       and the Governor will put his signature on 1202 with the
30.
       rate generation of thirty-six million and I would ask that
31.
       we resist Amendment No. 2, which is a gouging amendment, and
32.
```

the monies generated from Amendment No. 2, if it were adopted,

Page 83 - May 28, 1981

would not be solely for highways and the damages done by the l. users of the increased rates...increased...weight of these 2. users. And I would resist Amendment No. 2 and ask that we 3. get a No vote on it. 4 . PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 5. Is there further discussion? Further discussion? 6. Bloom may close. 7. SENATOR BLOOM: 8. Well, thank you, Mr. President. I'll be brief. You 9. know, Mr. Chairman, you and I, in a prior karma, were on a 10. transportation panel, Senator Chew, and I can recall it 11. was...kind of an epiphany. You...you led off the discussion 12. by saying there's no problem that money can't cure and 13. then you said Senator Bloom, here,...knows a little bit about 14. the details and I have an important meeting to get to and 15. left the room. I'm just following your advice and, basically, 16. what the issue boils down to is, you want bigger, longer, 17. wider. All the other states around us are at the Federal 18. max. And the issue is, do you want bigger, longer, wider 19. for more or for less? And everybody in this room, south 20 of Interstate 80, knows that we're having difficulty raising 21. revenues for a road program and this amendment merely says 22. if you want bigger, longer, wider, do it for more and then 23. we can get a road program. I'd urge its adoption and ask 24. for a favorable roll call. Thank you. 25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 26. The question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to 27. Senate Bill 1202. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those 28. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted 29. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that 30. question, the Ayes are 34, the Nays are 18. Amendment No. 2 31. is...and 1 Voting Present. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further

32.

33.

amendments?

Page 84 - May 28, 1981

1.	SECRETARY:
2.	Amendment No. 3, by Senator Bloom and Philip.
3.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
4.	Senator Bloom on Amendment No. 3.
5.	SENATOR BLOOM:
6.	That merely says thatit adds Section 2. It says
.7.	this bill shall take effect on becoming law.
8.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
9.	The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 3. Discussion? All
10.	in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment
11.	No. 3 is adopted. Furtherfor what purpose does Senator
12.	Chew arise?
13.	SENATOR CHEW:
14.	Well, if you're reading your board, you'll see that my
15.	button is on.
16.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
17.	Senator Chew on Amendment No. 3, which puts in an effective
18.	date.
19.	SENATOR CHEW:
20.	It is customary if anyone wants to amend one's bill,
21.	he would give the courtesyto the sponsor of a notification.
22.	Senator Bloom, I have not been notified of your Amendment No.
23.	3 and I would respectfully ask you why not.
24.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
25.	Senator Bloom.
26.	SENATOR BLOOM:
27.	Senator Chew, I apologize. I thought you were notified.
28.	Amendment No. 2 and Amendment No. 3were drawn and I think
29.	filedthe same day and II apologize that you were
30.	not informed of Amendment No. 3 because II said, you know,
31.	letSenator Chew have a copy of these. I'm sorry.
32.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
	Further discussion? Further discussion? The question

Page 85 - May 28, 1981

ı. is on the adoption of Amendment No. 3. All in favor say Aye. 2. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. 3. Are there further amendments? 4. SECRETARY: Amendment No. 4, by Senator Chew. 5. 6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) Senator Chew is recognized. 7. SENATOR CHEW: A. Amendment No. 4, Mr. President, has been partially dis-9. cussed. This is the amendment that would, in fact, generate 10. the amount of money that the fiscal note, as requested,... 11. it is an answer to the fiscal note of thirty-six million 12. dollars and it is designed solely on the mileage rate dis-13. tance. Illinois ranks number four in registration of truckers 14. as of today. We could not go the route of registration be-15. cause it would put us the highest in the nation. So, we 16. would...we have drawn an amendment that would correspond 17. with the fiscal note, Amendment No. 4 and I would ask its 18. adoption. 19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 20. Further discussion? Senator Bloom. 21. SENATOR BLOOM: 22. Well, I only get one crack...rising in opposition to 23. an amendment. I just remind the ... members that this amend-24. ment...that Senator Chew is offering now offers bigger, 25. longer, wider for less. And...I'd...I'd urge its defeat. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 27. Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Chew 28. may close. 29. SENATOR CHEW:

Thank you, Mr. President. We're not asking for bigger,

wider, we're asking for heavier. The trucks that we use on

our highways today are capable of carrying the additional

30.

31.

32.

Sh 30 so retired

Page 86 - May 28, 1981

weight. Now, I want to say this to my side of the aisle. l. and apparently some of us have not listened. The Department 2. 3. of Transportation administers this legislation. It is ... this amendment should be adopted because it complies with 4. the Department of Transportation's request. And I want 5. those of you to know that with the thirty-six states 6. having passed this kind of legislation...forty-six states, 7. we can no longer dodge the responsibility based on gouging 8. or not having. This is not a Chew bill, this...this is a 9. bill that is absolutely due, so when you vote on it, 10. you're not voting for Chew, you're voting to comply with 11. what other states have done. And all the states on the 12. mainland, not Hawaii and Alaska,...the mainland have this 13. legislation and if you want trucks to go out of Missouri 14. and then go into Tennessee trying to get to Indiana, go 15. ahead. It's alright with me. And I would move its adoption. 16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 17. The question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 4. 18. Those in...he was closing, Senator Netsch. Those in favor 19. will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is 20. open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 21. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, 22. the Ayes are...on that question, the Ayes are 16, the Nays 23. are 36, 4 Voting Present. Amendment No. 4 is lost. Further 24. amendments? 25. SECRETARY: 26. No further amendments. 27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 28. 3rd reading. Alright. As I understand Senate Bill 29. 313, before we leave 2nd reading, they worked out all the 30. problems. Senate Bill 313. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, 31. please. 32.

SECRETARY:

ı. Senate Bill 313. 2. (Secretary reads title of bill) 3. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers six amendments. 4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 5. Senator Buzbee. 313. Amendment No. 1. 6. SENATOR BUZBEE: 7. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment...reduces 8. the Department of Corrections request by four million 9. two hundred ninety-four thousand four hundred dollars. 10. And before everybody gets overly excited,...some of this 11. will be restored in a later amendment. It deletes funding 12. for five new non-security, non-medical personnel, it deletes 13. funding for fifty-one long-term non-security, non-medical 14. vacancies. It funds the pay plan at eight percent as opposed 15. to a flat 9.5 percent, reduces Retirement and Social Security 16. ... for the concomitant cuts there, it reduces Contractual 17. Services by six hundred eighty-four thousand dollars for 18. increases other than rent, utilities, medical or postage, 19. reduces Equipment by a hundred and forty-nine thousand 20. seven hundred dollars for eleven new cars, five cars with 21. low mileage and overpriced big cars. And I would move its 22. adoption. 23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 24. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. On...on the 25. motion, all in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have 26. it. Amendment No. 1... Amendment No. 2, Senator Buzbee. 27. SENATOR BUZBEE: 28. Thank you, Mr. President. This is a reduction of two 29. million six hundred fifty-one thousand eight hundred dollars. 30. It reduces Professional and Artistic Service contracts to 31. one dollar, saving fifty-five thousand three hundred, and 32. Equipment purchases to one dollar, saving two million five

Page 88 - May 28, 1981

- hundred ninety-five thousand...five hundred to comply with the freeze ordered by Governor Thompson. I would move its 2. 3. adoption. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 4. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say 5. Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is 6. adopted. Amendment No. 3, Senator Buzbee. 7. SENATOR BUZBEE: 8. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 3 is a...a 9. total reduction of three million nine hundred seven thousand 10. dollars as requested by the Governor. And...this is in 11.
- which. There were so many different...reduction...recom-13. mendations that came out of the Governor's Office I

Governor II,...Alpha, or Bravo or Charlie, I've forgotten

- can't remember which one it was, but, anyhow, it's his 15.
- cut of two...three million nine hundred seven thousand 16. dollars and I move its adoption.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 18.
- The motion is...the motion is to adopt. All in favor 19. say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 20. is adopted. Amendment No. 4, Senator Buzbee. 21.
- SENATOR BUZBEE: 22.

ı.

12.

14.

17.

33.

Thank you, ... Mr. President. We discovered in the 23. appropriations process that the two and a half new prisons, 24. which are coming on line this year, they're namely Hillsboro, 25. Centralia and East Moline, that the Governor had knowingly 26. only funded the operation of those prisons at...at half the 27. staff level, even though the buildings and the...the...the 28. necessary equipment are going to all be coming on line at 29. full bed capacity or full...potential for a full bed capacity 30. ...very quickly. In questioning the director, we discovered 31. that...the Governor was aware of this...little...secret that 32.

he had hidden in his budget that he was saving nine million

Page 89 - May 28, 1981

dollars by not fully funding the prisons, even though he l. intended to fully fund the prisons during the course of the 2. 3. year. So, we decided that the better approach was to go ahead and fully fund the prisons now when we know that ... 4. the...the bed space is needed. They're letting prisoners 5. out on early release programs because they don't have bed 6. space and yet here we sit with two and a half brand new 7. prisons and not using them. So,...Senator Grotberg and 8. I have been working on this. This amendment is jointly 9. offered by Senator Grotberg and myself,...the Governor's 10. Office has now agreed and concurred with our approach of 11. fully funding the operation of these two and a half new 12. prisons for the full year. So, this is an addition of nine 13. million forty-two thousand four hundred dollars and I would 14. move its adoption. 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 16. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say 17. Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is 18. adopted. Amendment No. 5, Senator Buzbee. 19. SENATOR BUZBEE: 20. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment reduces the 21. prosecution costs associated with the Pontiac...disturbance 22. by six hundred twenty-five thousand dollars from one million 23. one hundred twenty-five thousand to five hundred thousand. 24. It was offered in committee by Senator Grotberg and...I 25. would move its adoption. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 27. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say 28. Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 5 is 29. adopted. Amendment No....for what purpose does Senator New-30. house arise? Senator Grotberg. 31.

I was...thank you. I was anticipating Senator

SENATOR GROTBERG:

32.

Page 90 - May 28, 1981

1.	Newhouse's question. If you're looking for the defense
2.	cuts, it's in the Comptroller's budget, not this budget.
3.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
4.	Further discussion? On Amendment No. 5, those in
5.	favor say Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The Ayes have it.
6.	Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Committee Amendment. No. 6,
7.	Senator Buzbee.
8.	SENATOR BUZBEE:
9.	<pre>II believe this is a Floor amendment.</pre>
10.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
11.	Well, the Secretary has six committee amendments. I
12.	noticed youryour explanation does not, but
13.	SENATOR BUZBEE:
14.	That's athat's a
15.	SECRETARY:
16.	One hundred thousand dollars, Senator Buzbee, ultra-
17.	maximum security correctionscenter
18.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
19.	Senator Grotberg, perhaps to explainSenator Grotberg.
20.	A hundred thousand dollars for
21.	SENATOR GROTBERG:
22.	For afor a maxi, maxi, maxi, tiny, weenie prison to
23.	lock up the worst offenders and this is the opening gun on
24.	it.
25.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
26.	Senator Buzbee.
27.	SENATOR BUZBEE:
28.	Well, Mr. President, as I recall, that amendment did
29.	not get on in committee. That's a clerical error. It
30.	it's athat amendment was offered, but it wasit was
31.	not adopted. DESCRIPTION OFFICER. (SENATOR RRUCE)
32.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) Senator Buzbee, the problem we have isthe
33. 34.	Secretary is that the secretary of the committee has attached
J4.	secretary is that the secretary of the committee has attached

Page 91 - May 28, 1981

1.	it as an amendment that was adopted in committee. You can
2.	do
3.	SENATOR BUZBEE:
4.	Let melet me make a suggestion then. Let's Table it
5.	as a committee amendment and letlet Senator Grotberg
6.	offer it as a Floor amendment and see what happens. I'm
7.	opposed to it, butthat will clear up the bookkeeping part,
8.	will it not? So, I now moveto Table Committee Amendment
9.	No. 6.
10.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
11.	Well, Senator Buzbee, the Chair doesn't want to get
12.	involved, but couldn't we just vote on this one and run it
13.	one way or the other? It's the same amendment whether we
14.	adopt it as a committee amendment orwe will have a roll
15.	call on iton Amendment No. 6 either to adopt it as a
16.	committee amendment or not adopt it as opposed to Tabling
17.	it and reintroducing it. The Chair is only making a
18.	suggestion. WhatSenator Buzbee.
19.	SENATOR BUZBEE:
20.	II move we Table it.
21.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
22.	Alright. The motion is to Table. On the motion to Table,
23.	discussion? Senator Grotberg. Senator Grotberg.
24.	SENATOR GROTBERG:
25.	In spite of the confusion, it's my amendment, Senator
26.	Buzbee, and you're moving to Table my amendment, which
27.	would take 30 votes, as I understand.
28.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
29.	No, it will not take 3030 votes to Table. It will
30.	only require a majority of those voting on the issue to
	Table.

Okay. I move that that motion lie upon the Table.

SENATOR GROTBERG:

32.

Page 92 - May 28, 1981

1.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
2.	Well, Senator, the motion to Table is before the Body
3.	and must be disposed of. It is not debatable. The motion
4.	is to Table Amendment No. 6. On that question, those in
5.	favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The
6.	voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
7.	who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes
8.	are 29, the Nays are 27, none Voting Present. The motion
9.	to Table prevails. Further committee amendments?
10.	SECRETARY:
11.	No further committee amendments.
12.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
13.	Are there amendments from the Floor?
14.	SECRETARY:
15.	Amendment No. 7, offered by Senators Grotberg and Buzbee.
16.	SENATOR GROTBERG:
17.	Thank you, Mr. President. Now, this is the final
18.	amendment. Everything up till now has been reallocation
19.	and cuts and some restoration, but this is the agreed upon
20.	amendment. We're back at the two hundred and forty-nine
21.	million dollar level for the Department of Corrections.
22.	This amendment is an add back of one million five hundred
23.	and forty-seven thousand dollars, but basically re-
Ż4.	arranging and reallocating within the priorities that
25.	we've all been talking about within the committee, at
26.	least, and with the department about nine million dollars
27.	rearranged and I move the adoption of it.
28.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
29.	The motion is to adopt. Senator Buzbee.
30.	SENATOR BUZBEE:
31.	It's important to point out, Mr. President and members
32.	of the Senate, that in this amendment we have fully funded
33.	the local jails program, which is required under State law,

Page 93 - May 28, 1981

```
l.
       ...in which...Senator Grotberg, as a matter of fact, I
2.
       think was the father of that ... of that law. He may have
       been the mother also. We also have fully funded the community
3.
       resources area, we have fully funded the two and a half
4.
       new prisons and we still, with that addition of the funding
5.
       of the new prisons,...which the Governor had...tried to hide
6.
       from us,...we still are at the original budget level as
7.
       introduced by the Governor. And I think this is a good
 R.
       amendment. It's a good approach. We've got the sign off
 9.
       ...from the Department of Corrections and the Governor's
10.
       Office and I join Senator Grotberg in sponsorship and...
11.
       and moving the adoption of this amendment.
12.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
13.
            The motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed
14.
       Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 7 is adopted. Further
15.
       amendments?
16.
       SECRETARY:
17.
            No...no...no further amendments.
18.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
19.
            For what...okay. 3rd reading. For what purpose does
20.
       Senator Grotberg arise?
21.
       SENATOR GROTBERG:
22.
            I believe, Mr. President, that that is the last of the
23.
       appropriation bills. Is that true, essentially? And I
24.
       would just like to comment to the Chair and to fellow committee
25.
       members and to fellow members that the appropriations process
26.
       this year worked just a little different and we sent every-
27.
       body back to the Governor's Office and to...two and a half,
28.
       and to Doctor Mandeville to fight their fights and to me I
29.
       want to commend that particular system, I think it's working
30.
       rather well and to thank you for your indulgence.
31.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
32.
```

Alright. If I might have the attention of the Body,

Marcallad .

33.

Page 94 - May 28, 1981

1.	we are now going to the recalls. The list has been dis-
2.	tributed to you. We'll go through that one time today
3.	and if you have a bill on there that you wish to recall,
4.	we'll have to get it today. If I might have your attention
5.	please, we are going to add three additional recalls, if
6.	you'll get out a pencil, we'll take these in order. It's
7.	numberSenate Bill 255. Senator Nimrod has offered an
8.	amendment to that bill. Senate Bill 341. Senator Johns
9.	has submitted an amendment on that bill. And Senate Bill
10.	649. And Senator Jerome Joyce has submitted an amendment
11.	on that bill. The Chair is not aware yet of the sponsors
12.	of those, but that's the sponsors of the amendments. Whether
13.	or not those bills are, in fact, recalled are up to the
14.	sponsors, as in all cases. Alright. On Senate Bill 54.
15.	SenatorSenator Collins, do you wish to recall that?
16.	Senator Collins asks leave of the Senate to return Senate
17.	Bill 54 to the Order of 2nd reading for the purpose of an
18.	amendment. Is there leave? Leave is granted. The bill is
19.	on the Order of 2nd reading. Are there amendments, Mr.
20.	Secretary?
21.	SECRETARY:
22.	Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Thomas.
23.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
24.	Senator Thomas is recognized.
25.	SENATOR THOMAS:
26.	Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment simply deletes
27.	certain occupations from the bill. And this amendment has
28.	been worked out with the cooperation of the bill's sponsor,
29.	Senator Collins and I ask for its approval. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
30.	The motion is to adopt. Senator Collins.
31.	SENATOR COLLINS:
32.	PRINTON COUNTRY.

Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Thomas is right

Page 95 - May 28, 1981

that I did accept the amendment, however, I would like ı. to go on record as saying that it did water down the bill 2. 3. substantially, but I recognize that this is probably the only way that we can get the bill out, so, I do accept the 4. amendment. 5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 6. The motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed 7. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Are Ω. there further amendments? 9. SECRETARY: 10. No further amendments. 11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 12. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 80, Senator Lemke. Senator 13. Lemke asks leave of the Senate to... Senator Lemke, do you 14. wish to... Senator Lemke asks leave of the Senate to return 15. Senate Bill 80 to the Order of 2nd reading for the purpose 16. of an amendment. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Are 17. there amendments, Mr. Secretary, please? 18. SECRETARY: 19. Amendment No. 1, by Senator Lemke. 20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 21. Senator Lemke is recognized. 22. SENATOR LEMKE: 23. What this does is amends the... Senate Bill 80 putting 24. a form...a phase in...of the minimum wage over a four year period 25. of time. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 27. Is there discussion? On the motion to adopt, all in 28. favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment 29. No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments? 30. SECRETARY: 31. No further amendments. 32.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Page 96 - May 28, 1981

```
ı.
            3rd reading. Senate Bill 124, Senator Friedland. Is
2.
       Senator Friedland on the Floor? Senator Friedland asks
3.
       leave of the Senate to return Senate Bill 124 to the Order
4.
       of 2nd reading for the purpose of an amendment. Is there
       leave? Leave is granted. Are there amendments, Mr. Secre-
5.
       tary, please?
6.
7.
       SECRETARY:
            Amendment No. 2, by Senator Friedland.
8.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
 9.
            Senator Friedland.
10.
       SENATOR FRIEDLAND:
11.
            Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of
12.
       the Senate. This amendment completely replaces the bill.
13.
       It puts the Colorado Act in as the bill, as agreed to by
14.
     Chairman D'Arco of the committee and Senator Berman.
15.
       Appreciate your favorable consideration.
16.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
17.
            The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Discussion of
18.
       the motion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes
19.
       have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?
20.
       Further amendments?
21.
       SECRETARY:
22.
            No further amendments.
23.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
24.
            3rd reading. Senate Bill 149, Senator Rock. Senator
25.
       Rock asks leave of the Senate to return Senate Bill 149 to
26.
       the Order of 2nd reading for the purpose of an amendment.
27.
       Is there leave? Leave is granted. Are there amendments,
28.
       Mr. Secretary, to 149?
29.
       SECRETARY:
30.
            Amendment No. 3, by Senators Bloom and Philip.
31.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
32.
```

Senator Bloom.

M255 parollad

Page 97 - May 28, 1981

l. SENATOR BLOOM: Well, my...my cosponsor isn't here and my cosponsor 2. yesterday was...asking me to withdraw it. I would hate to ٦. ...withdraw the amendment and then have my cosponsor reappear and...ask me...why it was withdrawn. Does anyone know where 5. Senator Philip is? 6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 7. Well, Senator...Senator Rock. 8. SENATOR ROCK: 9. Yeah, I know where Senator Philip is. He's about the 10. peoples' business. I'm opposed to this amendment in any 11. event so... I made an agreement that I would call the bill 12. back and afford the opportunity. Why don't you withdraw 13. it for the moment, if, in fact, Senator Philip at some 14. later point wishes to offer it, I'll be happy to call it 15. back again. The amendment is a terrible one anyway. 16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 17. Senator Bloom. 18. SENATOR BLOOM: 19. Well, outside of the editorializing,...Senator Rock, I 20. appreciate the offer and I would...withdraw the two amend-21. ments...subject to Senator Philip's reappearance. 22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 23. Alright. Alright. Are there amendments? 3rd reading. 24. Senator Dawson on 212. Is Senator Dawson on the Floor? Alright. 25. 255. Senator Nimrod asks leave of the Senate to return 255 26. to the Order of 2nd reading. Is there leave? Leave is 27. granted. The bill is on the Order of 2nd reading. Are there 28. amendments, Mr. Secretary? 29. SECRETARY: 30. Amendment No. 1, by Senator Nimrod. 31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 32. Senator Nimrod. 33.

Page 98 - May 28, 1981

```
ı.
       SENATOR NIMROD:
            Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
2.
       Senate. This amendment...adds on in Section 2...that provision
 3.
4.
       which is provided for in the Mandates Act, which says...the
       standard form, which is not less than one thousand dollars,
5.
       and less than fifty thousand...the aggregate. And I would
6.
       move for its adoption.
7.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
8.
            Is there discussion of the motion to adopt? Alright.
 9.
       On the motion to adopt, all in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay.
10.
       The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Are there
11.
       further...further amendments?
12.
       SECRETARY:
13.
            No further amendments.
14.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
15.
            3rd reading. For what purpose does Senator DeAngelis
16.
       arise?
17.
       SENATOR DEANGELIS:
18.
            After you're done with this motion...after you're done
19.
       with this motion...
20.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
21.
            We've moved it to 3rd, Senator.
22.
       SENATOR DEANGELIS:
23.
            You moved it to 3rd?
24.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
25.
            Right. Do you have a comment on this one or just...
26.
       SENATOR DEANGELIS:
27.
            No, I wanted...separate comment.
28.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
29.
            Alright. Senate Bill 275, Senator Lemke. Senator
30.
       DeAngelis.
31.
       SENATOR DEANGELIS:
32.
            I'm sorry. A point of personal privilege.
```

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 1. State your point. 2. 3. SENATOR DEANGELIS: Well, I,...you know, we're moving these bills along and 4 . we're really accepting the ... 5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 6. Can we have some order please? We're happy to always 7. have our House members with us, but would you please take 8. your conferences off the Floor if ... if possible. Senator 9. DeAngelis. 10. SENATOR DEANGELIS: 11. We're moving these bills along, we don't have copies 12. of these amendments and I don't want to haranque and harass 13. about it, but Senator Lemke had Senate Bill 80 and explained 14. an amendment as a partitioning off of ... of the time period 15. for minimum wage. I...first of all, I think this should 16. probably be on 81 instead of 80. The bill deletes every-17. thing after the title. Now, you know, if we're going to 18. play that kind of game, then we're going to have to ask 19. for all the amendments and sit there...well, you said it 20. simply does this, it deletes everything after the title. 21. It puts in...darn near an entirely new bill. Now, maybe 22. this should go on 81, but 80 is...sharing bill...ride 23. sharing bill. 24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 25. Alright. The point is well-taken. If there is some 26. controversy...for what purpose does Senator Lemke arise? 27. SENATOR LEMKE: 28. To say that this was a phase in of the minimum wage 29. over a four year period of time. That's what I said. 30. I didn't say anything about the...that's what I said about 31. the amendment. There was no questions and it was adopted. 32. I'm not trying to hide anything. 80 is not needed anymore,

Page 100 - May 28, 1981

ı. we have a House Bill, I agreed with...Representative 2. Barkhausen that we would use his bill since he's a new Representative. Well, he can get credit for...that particular 3. project and I... I have no pride of authorship. I like 4 . to help...him along and...we don't need this bill for 5. ride sharing anymore and we can use it for the purpose they 6. said it was used for. 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 8. Alright. And...and I would alert all the members that 9. all these bills will be voted on on...on passage stage. 10. 375, Senator Lemke. 275. Is there leave to return 275 to 11. the Order of 2nd reading for the purpose of an amendment? 12. Leave is granted. 275. Are there amendments, Mr. Secre-13. tary, please? 14. SECRETARY: 15. Amendment No. 3, by Senator Nimrod. 16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 17. Senator Nimrod is recognized. 18. SENATOR NIMROD: 19. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 20. Senate. This amendment is, in fact, Senate Bill 165,...which 21. is the one that provides for the procedure for the enroll-22. ment of party affiliation and permits the...enrolled party 23. members to vote in a party Primary. This is the twenty-24. eight day bill that we've had before and I had thirty-one 25. sponsors on it, but it went into subcommittee and at the 26. suggestion of the Chairman of Elections Commission he 27. said that...it would be better if we tried to amend it into 28. ...275 and I said, well, alright, we'll do that, so I 29. did not call it back for a recall. I would move for the 30. adoption of...of this amendment to... Senate Bill 275. 31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 32.

The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 3. Discussion

Page 101 - May 28, 1981

ı. of the motion? All in favor say Aye. ...for what purpose does Senator Demuzio arise? 2. 3. SENATOR DEMUZIO: I just...have a question of the sponsor. I...is this 4. what is commonly known as the Primary Lockout...Bill. Is 5. that the 165 that you refer to? 6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 7. Senator Nimrod. 8. SENATOR NIMROD: 9. No, I didn't know that it's ever... I don't know it as 10. the Lockout Bill, I know it as the Primary Registration Bill 11. which is the twenty-eight day...you might refer to it as a 12. lockout bill. 13. (SENATOR BRUCE) PRESIDING OFFICER: 14. Further discussion? Further discussion? For what 15. purpose does Senator Schaffer arise? 16. SENATOR SCHAFFER: 17. Well, I'm one of those people who also refers to it 18. as a lockout Primary bill...and I'm not sure what else is 19. in 275 right now, but it does...the basic thrust of the bill, 20. I guess, was to change the Primary date to April, which sounds 21. like a logical idea to me. And I don't...I'd kind of like 22. to vote for the bill if that's all it does, but if the 23. lockout Primary is in there, I'm not going to be able to vote 24. for it. So, maybe we could just have a roll call on this 25. and I could vote against this here and then maybe we could 26. change the Primary date to April. Which...I'm requesting a 27. roll call is what I'm doing. 28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 29. Alright. Further discussion? Further discussion? The 30. question is on the adoption of 275...Senator Netsch. 31. SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'm...since this took us

32.

Page 102 - May 28, 1981

ı. all somewhat by surprise, I'm trying to find the particular 2. provisions...to see what...how much of a lockout it is, 3. but it is indeed a lockout. And I would remind the members 4. of the Senate that this Session and last Session and the 5. Session before, we...this is, if you'll forgive me, Senator 6. Taylor, sort of like Medley's Movers. Wherever we look, the 7. Primary lockout appears, usually when least expected, always by Senator Nimrod and...often six or seven or eight times 8. a Session. It is usually defeated, although once in awhile 9. it manages to slip by. It is a terrible idea, at the very 10. moment when you're trying to get more people to participate 11. in the political process, to erect more barriers rather 12. than less. And it is a barrier, do not deceive yourself 13. that it is not a barrier. It seems to me is absolutely 14. self-defeating and I would hope and urge that it be de-15. feated. 16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 17. Further discussion? Senator Lemke. 18. SENATOR LEMKE: 19. Well, I... I resent the fact that it's called a lock-20. out bill. This doesn't lock anybody out from voting. What 21. it does, is lock those people in within twenty-eight days 22. before within that party they wanted to be with and what 23. they voted in before. It doesn't lock anybody out. It 24. doesn't prohibit them from voting. A Primary is a Primary. 25. If,...you know, if you want to be an Independent, then there 26. should be an Independent Primary. Who's going to be the 27. candidates? But the...in fact my position is there 28. should be no Primary, just go in November and each party 29. by caucus will slate the candidates. That's what I'd rather 30. do. I hate to see people hide with a title because...and 31. try to beat the candidate in the primary and try to screw 32.

up the party structure and then when they come down, they

- don't vote along with their party. I mean...I...it's
- 2. silly and this bill just says, it's going to prevent things,
- 3. it's going to make orderly government just like in Lake
- 4. County when they didn't have enough Democrat ballots and
- 5. they had to change the Republican ballots to Democrat ballots...
- 6. in the election. And this is going to prevent it. This is
- 7. going to give the clerks in counties the right way to
- 8. administer election so there's no problems and any
- g. accusations of corruption. I see nothing wrong with this
- 10. and...I mean, if we're going to have Primaries, in my
- 11. position, we don't need Primaries, but if we're going
- 12. to have them, then this is a good bill.
- 13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
- 14. Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator
- 15. Bloom.
- 16. SENATOR BLOOM:
- 17. Well, thank you, Mr. President and fellow Senators.
- 18. If...if you like the blind Primary, then...then you'll
- 19. love this lockout bill. I'd...I'd urge the defeat of
- 20. the amendment.
- 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
- 22. Further discussion? The question is on the adoption
- 23. of...Senator Nimrod may close.
- 24. SENATOR NIMROD:
- 25. Thank you, Mr. President. What I would like to do is
- 26. to call your attention...Senator Netsch, usually you're
- very factual and very informative, but...you're very sadly
- 28. mistaken if you think this bill has ever been called and
- 29. has not passed. Every time it's been called it has passed.
- 30. And for the last two years it has not...it has not been
- 31. voted on. And as a courtesy to...the request of the President
- 32. and some of the people on this side, I did not call it last
- year at all. It...the bill is...does have thirty-one...

Page 104 - May 28, 1981

ı. Senators who have cosponsored this bill and...certainly deserves a chance to be on the Floor and if we were denied 2. a chance to have it heard...in committee, we certainly 3. should have a chance to...at least the courtesy to bring 4. this on the Floor and debate the very merits that 5. we're discussing. So, I would certainly...tell you that 6. ...this bill does in no way...does not inhibit or prohibit 7. us from...be participating in a election and, in fact, 8. in New York it's eleven months requirement, Florida 9. seven months, there are probably eighty percent of the 10. population of this country is involved in a form of Primary 11. ... restriction and requiring them to register. So, 12. I think it's pretty much in line with the rest of the 13. country and it certainly does give us a chance to allow 14. parties to select their candidates. That's all we're 15. saying. Anybody may participate and join any party they 16. want, but if they're going to, they ought to stay with 17. that party and not go on the day of election and switch 18. all over and have all the problems we've seen come about us. 19. And I certainly would urge you to votein favor of it to give 20. us a chance to put this on the bill so we can debate the 21. issue. 22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 23. The question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to 24. Senate Bill 275. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed 25. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 26. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the 27. record. On that question, the Ayes are 26, the Nays are... 28. no, I'm sorry...on that question, the Ayes are 26, the Nays 29. are 26, none Voting Present. Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 30. 275 is lost. For what purpose does Senator Nimrod arise? 31. SENATOR NIMROD: 32. Verify the...negative.

1

Page 105 - May 28, 1981

```
ı.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
  2.
             Senator Nimrod has request...verification. Will the members
  3.
        please be in their seats. Will the Secretary please call those
        who voted in the negative and will the members please respond
  4.
        when their name is called.
  5.
  6.
        SECRETARY:
             The following voted in the negative: Berman, Bloom,
  7.
        Bowers, Bruce, Buzbee, Coffey, Collins, DeAngelis, Demuzio,
  8.
        Friedland, Geo-Karis, Gitz, Grotberg, Jerome Joyce, Kent,
  9.
        Lemke, McLendon, Nash,...no, I'm sorry...McMillan, Maitland,
 10.
        Marovitz, Netsch, Newhouse, Rupp, Sangmeister, Schaffer,
 11.
        Sommer, Thomas, Walsh.
 12.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
 13.
             Senator Nimrod, do you question the presence of any
 14.
        member who voted in the negative? Senator. Alright. On
 15.
        a verified roll call, there are 26 Ayes, 26 Nays. Amendment
 16.
        No. 3 is lost. Further amendments?
 17.
        SECRETARY:
 18.
             No further amendments.
 19.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
 20.
              3rd reading. Senate Bill 341, Senator Rupp. Senator
 21.
        Rupp asks leave of the Senate to return 341 to the Order
 22.
        of 2nd reading for the purpose of amendment. Is there leave?
 23.
        Leave is granted. Are there Floor amendments, Mr. Secretary?
 24.
        SECRETARY:
 25.
             Amendment No. 5, by Senator Johns.
 26.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
 27.
              Senator Johns is recognized.
 28.
        SENATOR JOHNS:
29.
              Yeah. I... I loaned it to Senator Carroll. He's
 30.
        still upstairs, but I think I can discuss it with you. It's
 31.
        a...an appropriation of sixty-five thousand dollars to Mines
 32.
        and Minerals to take care of the three people needed in the
 33.
```

Page 106 - May 28, 1981 ---

l.

much.

33.

EMT program that we passed here yesterday. And...I'd talked 2. with Mines and Minerals, they gave us the exact figures as 3. needed for them...for the equipment, the retirement, the 4. pension systems, etc. and... I want to say to Senator Rupp, 5. he's been very gracious in just permitting me to even attempt 6. this and I in no way want to hazard his bill and I'll never forget the courtesy you've extended to me. 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 8. Further discussion? Senator Grotberg. 9. SENATOR GROTBERG: 10. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. This is the first I have 11. heard of this particular venture and...I'm not really prepared 12. to...agree with it at all because we had a shot at it in 13. committee, as I recall...you're on that committee aren't you? 14. We never had it in committee? I thought that substantive bills 15. that had an appropriation with them were not supposed to...be 16. ...they had to track each other and pass both committees. 17. So, I would...from our side of the aisle, I would hope that 18. we would help defeat this measure. 19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 20. Further discussion? Senator...Johns may close. 21. SENATOR JOHNS: 22. Well, here's the thing, the Department of Public Health 23. has been doing this, Senator Grotberg. The Department of ... 24. they should have been doing it. The Department of Mines and 25. Minerals has been taking it out of their operating budget to do 26. and I said it's not fair that they should have been restricted 27. in this sense...having to take money from their Operating Fund 28. to do this EMT training and I think the proper allocation for the 29. money is within the Mines and Minerals, who's been doing the 30. work and I would certainly appreciate a favorable roll call 31. because this is a matter of life and death. Thank you, very 32.

Page 107 - May 28; 1981

ı. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 2. The question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 5. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amend-3. 4. ment No. 5 is adopted. Further amendments? SECRETARY: 5. No further amendments. 6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 7. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 449, Senator Demuzio. Is 8. Senator Demuzio on the Floor? Is Senator Demuzio on the 9. Floor? Alright. 457, Senator Coffey. Alright. That's off. 10. ...for what purpose does Senator Geo-Karis arise? Oh, alright. 11. 674, Senator Geo-Karis. Alright. 649, Senator Jerome Joyce 12. asks leave of the Senate to return 649 to the Order of 2nd 13. reading. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Are there amend-14. ments, Mr. Secretary? 15. SECRETARY: 16. Amendment No. 2, by Senator Jerome Joyce. 17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 18. Senator Joyce. 19. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE: 20. Yes, Mr. Chairman, I would move to Table Amendment No. 1 21. and adopt this amendment. This amendment changes the bill 22. back to the original form, with a lower tax incentive. 23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 24. Having...voted on the prevailing side, Senator Joyce 25. moves to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 1 was 26. adopted to Senate Bill 649. On the motion to reconsider, 27. all in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The 28. vote is reconsidered. On the motion to Table, all in favor 29. say Aye, opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is 30. Tabled. Senator Joyce. 31. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE: 32.

Yes, Mr. President, I would move to...adopt...Amendment

My brings

33.

Page 108 - May 28, 1981

l. No. 2 and this amendment...changes the bill back to the...to 2. its original form with a lower tax incentive. 3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 4. Alright. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Discussion of the motion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. 5. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amend-6. 7. ments? 8. SECRETARY: No further amendments. 9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 10. 3rd reading. 674, Senator Geo-Karis asks leave of the 11. Senate to return the bill to the Order of 2nd reading. Is 12. there leave? Leave is granted. Are there amendments, Mr. 13. Secretary? 14. SECRETARY: 15. Amendment No. 3, by Senator Geo-Karis. 16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 17. Senator Geo-Karis. 18. SENATOR GEO-KARIS: 19. Amendment...Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 20. Senate, Amendment No. 3...revises Senate Bill 674 to...answer 21. the concerns that were expressed here when I had the bill in... 22. reading and took it out of the record and I'd ... I'd move for its 23. adoption. 24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 25. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 3. Discussion of 26. the motion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes 27. have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments? 28. SECRETARY: 29. No further amendments. 30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 31. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 708, Senator Gitz asks leave 32.

of the Senate to return Senate Bill 708 to the Order of 2nd

Page 109 - May 28, 1981

l. reading. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Are there 2. amendments, Mr. Secretary? 3. SECRETARY: 4. Amendment No. 1, by Senator Gitz. 5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) Senator Gitz. 6. SENATOR GITZ: 7. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment does three 8. things. It provides that the members appointed to the Board 9. of the Illinois Product Development Corporation would be 10. appointed by and...consent of the Senate. It provides that 11. a majority of the members appointed to the board rather than 12. a majority of the members present at a meeting would be 13. necessary for board action. Thirdly, it deletes the section 14. of the bill that provides that the powers enumerated in the Act 15. shall be broadly interpreted. And this meets with the 16. agreement of the people who have expressed reservations about 17. certain provisions. 18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 19. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Discussion of 20. the motion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes 21. have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments? 22. SECRETARY: 23. No further amendments. 24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 25. 3rd reading. Senator Lemke on 713. Is Senator Lemke 26. on the Floor? Senator Lemke asks leave of the Senate to 27. return Senate Bill 713 to the Order of 2nd reading for the 28. purpose of an amendment. Is there leave? Leave is granted. 29. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary? 30. SECRETARY: 31. Amendment No. 1, by Senator Lemke.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32.

Page 110 - May 28, 1981

l. Senator Lemke. 2. SENATOR LEMKE: 3. This deletes from the bill...mandating any local govern-4. ment to go under the bill. This just applies to the State. 5. It just limits it to the State. I ask for adoption. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 6. The motion is to adopt. Discussion of that motion? 7. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amend-8. ment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments? 9. SECRETARY: 10. No further amendments. 11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 12. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 802, Senator Vadalabene. 13. Senator Vadalabene asks leave of the Senate to return Senate 14. Bill 802 to the Order of 2nd reading. Is there leave? Leave 15. is granted. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary? 16. SECRETARY: 17. Amendment No. 1, by Senator Vadalabene. 18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 19. Senator Vadalabene on Amendment No. 1. 20. SENATOR VADALABENE: 21. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. 22. Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 82 is an amendment that merely 23. incorporates the findings of the Department of Commerce and 24. Community Affairs in relation to the State Mandates Act into 25. the bill. And I would move for its adoption. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 27. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 28. 802. On the motion to adopt, discussion? All in favor say 29. Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is 30. adopted. Are there further amendments? 31. SECRETARY: 32.

No further amendments.

ı. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 2. 3rd reading. 826, Senator Bloom. Is Senator Bloom on 3. the Floor? Senator Bloom asks leave of the Senate to return 4. Senate Bill 826 to the Order of 2nd reading. Is there leave? 5. Leave is granted. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary? 6. SECRETARY: 7. Amendment No. 2, by Senator Bloom. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 8. 9. Senator Bloom. SENATOR BLOOM: 10. Yes, thank you,...Mr. President. Amendment No. 2...could 11. I have the LRB number, I'm sorry. 12. SECRETARY: 13. DVAM02. Yeah. You have one... 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 15. You have one amendment down here. Senator Mahar has 16. the other one. So, you only have one down here. 17. SENATOR BLOOM: 18. If you will...look closely, Amendment No. 2...basically 19. ... says that this turns into an Act in relation to the funding 20. of public transportation and adds...that...the authority 21. shall not sell or deliver any 1981 interim financing notes,... 22. which...in excess of a hundred and twenty million dollars. 23. And in addition,...the State Treasurer may, with the approval 24. of the Governor, invest at a price on the interim financing 25. note,...only after the labor contracts for all employees 26. of the Chicago Transit Authority are renogotiated. I think 27. everyone agrees that the nexus of the transit crisis is... 28. probably...the costs of operations and the costs of operations 29. of course, are...the cost of living and the ban on part-30. time employees and so on and so forth. If you can get a 31. handle...if you can get a handle on operations, then you 32.

probably can get a handle on...the Chicago Transit Authority.

- ı. I'd urge its adoption. 2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 3. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? Senator Rock. 4. SENATOR ROCK: 5. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of 6. the Senate. While I fully agree that the sponsor ought to have the bill in the shape in which he wishes it, I would 7. point out to you and to the members, and particularly to 8. Senator DeAngelis and others who are concerned about amend-9. ments, that this bill started out as an amendment to the 10. Downstate Public Transportation Act to limit funding in 11. FY '82 and thereafter to one-third of eligible operating 12. expenses. And, for one, from downstate, that seems to be 13. a reasonable proposition. Amendment No. 2, however, now 14. strikes...deletes the title and...strikes everything after 15. the enacting clause and...and provides...that we are now.... 16. we do now somehow have an amendment to the Regional Trans-17. portation Authority Act, which amendment says that one... 18. we're only going to afford a hundred and twenty million dollars 19. in interim notes, which I think everyone readily admits is 20. not enough at this moment. And secondly, that the State 21. will pick up sixty million of those notes at the proper price 22. up to a...maximum of sixty million only...only after the 23. labor contracts for all the employees of the Chicago Transit 24. Authority are renegotiated. A question of the sponsor if 25. he'll yield. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 27. Indicates he will yield, Senator. 28.
- Does that mean if the Transit Authority Board reopened the contracts

 then, in fact, raised everybody, that then the State would

 be in a position to buy those notes?
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

SENATOR ROCK:

Page 113 - May 28, 1981

```
ı.
            Senator Bloom.
 2.
       SENATOR BLOOM:
 3.
            Yeah, the State would be in a position, but I seriously
 4.
       doubt whether the Governor would approve, because it's...the
 5.
       Treasurer and the Governor.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
 6.
            Senator Rock.
 7.
       SENATOR ROCK:
 8.
            Is this the Republican plan?
 9.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
10.
            Senator Bloom.
11.
       SENATOR BLOOM:
12.
            Part of it.
13.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
14.
            Senator Rock.
15.
       SENATOR ROCK:
16.
            Well, I just...I, frankly, think, you know, we...we have
17.
       labored, I hope... I had hoped, in good faith. I'm told that
18.
       there...there was a rather lengthy caucus this morning at
19.
       which a number of things were discussed and are currently
20.
       either being discussed or to be discussed with the Chief
21.
       Executive. I have...deferred and delayed calling, what I
22.
       think is a reasonable solution to this problem. I think
23.
       this just...I...I would urge our side not to vote in favor
24.
       of this amendment. I think it's a bad one...and I don't.
25.
       frankly, understand Senator Bloom's motivation.
26.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
27.
            Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Bloom
28.
       may close.
29.
       SENATOR BLOOM:
30.
            First, this...is not my motivation, Senator Rock, A.
31.
       B,...to a degree time is catching up with us and we've
32.
       gotten to the Order of Recalls. At this stage while,...
```

Page 114 - May 28, 1981

```
you know, the...leadership is talking with the Governor's
 l.
 2.
       Office. We have no...recourse, but at least to get our...our
 3.
       bills in proper shape. If you want me to take it out of
       the record and wait until...until such time as...our leader-
 4.
       ship...has...talked with the Governor, that's all well and
 5.
       good, but it's...believe me, this is being done in good faith.
 6.
       It's just that we've gotten to the Order of Recalls and...
 7.
       that's...that's the sum and substance. I'll take it out
 8.
       of the record if I could have an agreement to come back to
 9.
       it...after leadership has...gone through...touched the
10.
       various bases, including yourself. I'm but a soldier,
11.
       a mere messenger.
12.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
13.
            It seems...
14.
       SENATOR BLOOM:
15.
            I'm...seeking...yeah, I'm seeking...input from...President
16.
       Rock. I'll take it out of the record if ... if I get an
17.
       agreement that we can come back to this...before...
18.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
19.
            I think ...
20.
       SENATOR BLOOM:
21.
            ...we hit the deadline.
22.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
23.
            Senator Rock.
24.
       SENATOR ROCK:
25.
            Well, the...the difficulty we have, of course, is one of
26.
       time. So, I would suggest that...I'm...I've...I have not nor
27.
       will I make such a request. You will proceed as you so desire.
28.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
29.
            Alright. Senator Bloom has offered Amendment No. 2 to
30.
       Senate Bill 826. On that question, those in favor will vote
31.
       Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
32.
       all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
```

Page 115 - May 28, 1981

l. who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 2. 27, the Nays are 27, none Voting Present. Amendment No. 2 3. having failed to receive a majority vote...for what...is 4. declared lost. For what purpose does Senator Rhoads arise? 5. SENATOR RHOADS: 6. I...verification of the negative votes. 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) Alright. There has been a request for a verification. 8. Will the members please be in their seats. Senator Rhoads 9. has asked for a verification of those who voted in the 10. negative. Will the Secretary please call those who voted 11. in the negative. 12. SECRETARY: 13. The following voted in the negative: Berman, Bruce, 14. Buzbee, Carroll, Collins, D'Arco, Dawson, Degnan, Demuzio, 15. Egan, Gitz, Hall, Johns, Jeremiah Joyce, Jerome Joyce, Lemke, 16. Marovitz, McLendon, Nash, Nedza, Nega, Newhouse, Sangmeister, 17. Savickas, Taylor, Vadalabene, Mr. President. 18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 19. Senator Rhoads, do you question the presence of any 20. member? 21. SENATOR RHOADS: 22. Senator Marovitz. 23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 24. Senator Marovitz is standing behind Senator Carroll's 25. seat. Senator Vadalabene is there. Senator Rhoads, any-26. one else? On a verified roll call, there are 27 Ayes, 27 27. Nays. The motion to adopt is lost. Further amendments? 28. SECRETARY: 29. Amendment No. 3, by Senator Mahar. . 30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

END OF REEL

Senator Mahar is recognized.

31.

32. 33. 1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Page 116 - May 28, 1981

2.	Senator Mahar is recognized on Amendment No. 3.
3.	SENATOR MAHAR:
4.	Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. This
5.	amendment also relates to the Regional Transportation Authority.
6.	It deals with the restructuring of the board, it's an amendment that
7.	we've seen around here as a bill, came over from the House, a bill
8.	that I had, now in the Transportation Committee. It's just another
9.	attempt to try to move something along. As Senator Bloom stated,
10.	we're getting down to the final hours, and there isn't a great deal
11.	happening as of this point. Today, the buses stopped running in
12.	my town, and people are calling me, and I think that we need some
13.	vehicles going here, and we need some solution. If, by the debate
14.	on this issue we arrive at some conclusion, or move one step forward,
15.	I think we'll have accomplished something. What we're simply doing,
16.	is restructuring the board, reducing one in Chicago, increasing
17.	one in the suburban area to effect the one $\operatorname{man}_{\mathcal{F}}$ one vote principle.
18.	Also, it becomes effective this July. I would ask that we have
19.	favorable consideration on this amendment.
20.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
21.	Is there discussion? Senator Rock.
22.	SENATOR ROCK:
23.	Yes, thank you, Mr. President. A question of the sponsor, if
24.	he'll yield?
25.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
26.	He indicates he will yield. Senator Rock.
27.	SENATOR ROCK:
28.	I have two amendments, one of which was distributed by the
29.	Page, and I'm just wondering which is which?
30.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
31.	Senator Mahar:
32.	SENATOR MAHAR:
33.	Yes, thank you, Mr. President. The amendment with the LRB

Page 117 - May 28, 1981

1.	number ending in XXMKAM is the right one. Apparently, youyou
2.	have the wrong one, Mr. President. Ithere were two amendments $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($
3.	drafted, one based upon the other one
4.	SENATOR ROCK:
5.	One was based on Senator Bloom'son
6.	SENATOR MAHAR:
7.	Yes, Sir.
8.	SENATOR ROCK:
9.	Okay. Well, that'sthat's the one that was distributed,
10.	unfortunately. So, Ithat's no longer operative.
11.	SENATOR MAHAR:
12.	The other one is being distributed now, Mr. President.
13.	SENATOR ROCK:
14.	Well, again, II, frankly, rise in opposition to this.
15.	This, I'm sure, is, and will be, and has been a matter of some
16.	negotiation. I don't think, frankly, this amendment and this
17.	bill are truly necessary at this point. We readily admit that
18.	if, in fact, the Regional Transportation Authority stays in place
19.	that there will have to be
20.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
21.	Senator Mahar.
22.	SENATOR MAHAR:
23.	thank you, Mr. President. I ask to have this amendment
24.	taken out of the record.
25.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
26.	LeaveSenator Mahar withdraws Amendment No. 3. The amend-
27.	ment is withdrawn. Further amendments?
28.	SECRETARY:
29.	No further amendments.
30.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
31.	3rd reading. 835, Senator Taylor, asks leave of the Senate
32.	to return Senate Bill 835 to the Order of 2nd reading for the
33.	purpose of an amendment. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Are

```
there amendments, Mr. Secretary?
        SECRETARY:
2.
             Amendment No. 1 by Senator Taylor.
3.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
4.
             Senator Taylor.
5.
        SENATOR TAYLOR:
6.
             Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Amendment
7.
        No. 1 to Senate Bill 835, it removes the thirty age limit, and it
Ω.
        increased the penalty, and makes it a Class A...Class 3 Felony.
9.
        It's dealing with the gun bill that I had yesterday, on agreement
10.
        I brought it back, and I solicit your support for Amendment No. 1
11.
        to Senate Bill 835.
12.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
13.
             Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall
14.
        Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 835 be adopted. Those in favor
15.
        indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amend-
16.
        ment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?
17.
        SECRETARY:
18.
             No further amendments.
19.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
20.
              3rd reading. Senate Bill 839, Senator Maitland.
21.
        SECRETARY:
22.
             Amendment No. 2 by Senator Maitland.
23.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
24.
              Senator Maitland.
25.
        SENATOR MAITLAND:
26.
              Thank...Mr...thank you, Mr. President. First of all, I wish
27.
        to Table Amendment No. 1.
28.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
29.
              Senator...Maitland, evidently we hadn't recalled all these
30.
        bills from 3rd to the Order of 2nd reading for amendment,
31.
        we're doing it individually. So, at this time, we'd ask leave of
32.
         the Body to...have Senate Bill 839 recalled to the Order of 2nd
33.
```

Page 119 - May. 28, 1981

1.	reading for the purpose of amendment. Leave is granted. Senator
2.	Maitland.
3.	SENATOR MAITLAND:
4.	I can stillMr. ChairmanMr. President, thank you. I
5.	wish to Table Amendment No. 1.
6.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
7.	Senator Maitland moves to reconsider the vote by which Amend-
8.	ment No. 1 was passedor adopted. Those in favor indicate by
9.	saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1
10.	is considered. Now, Senator Maitland moves to Table Amendment
11.	No. 1. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed.
12.	The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Any further amendments?
13.	SECRETARY:
14.	Amendment No. 2 by Senator Maitland.
15.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
16.	Senator Maitland.
17.	SENATOR MAITLAND:
18.	Thank you, Mr. President. Now, in order to get this, Senate
19.	Bill 839, into a posture that's satisfactory to everyone, I think,
20.	II move the adoption ofof Amendment No. 2.
21.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
22.	Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Maitland moves the
23.	adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 839. Those in favor
24	indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amend-
25.	ment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?
26.	SECRETARY:
27.	No further amendments.
28.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd reading, Senate

Bill...on the Order of Senate Bills 3rd reading, Senate Bill 862.

Senator Newhouse asks leave to return Senate Bill 862...2nd reading

for the purpose of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

SECRETARY:

Page 120 - May 28, 1981

1.	Amendment No. 2 by Senator Newhouse.
2.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
3.	Senator Newhouse.
4.	SENATOR NEWHOUSE:
5.	Mr. Presidentthank you, Mr. President, and Senators. 862
6.	and 863 are both Real Estate Board Bills. Amendment No. 2 is
7.	is their amendment toto clean up this bill, and its purpose,
8.	I think, is to make certain that those municipalities that are
9.	that are attempting to do a job of integration, won't be troubled
10.	by the charges of steering. That is the purpose of these amendment
11.	and I would move their adoption, answer any questions.
12.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
13.	Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Newhouse moves the
14.	adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 862. Those in favor
15.	indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amend-
16.	ment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?
17.	SECRETARY:
18.	No further amendments.
19.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
20.	3rd reading. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd reading, Senate
21.	Bill 863. Senator Newhouse asks leave of the Body to move it
22.	back to the Order of 2nd reading for the purpose of an amendment.
23.	Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senator
24.	SECRETARY:
25.	Amendment No. 2 by Senator Newhouse.
26.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
27.	Senator Newhouse.
28.	SENATOR NEWHOUSE:
29.	The same amendment, the same explanation.
30.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
31.	Is there any discussion? Senator Ozinga.
32.	SENATOR OZINGA:
	What what does the amendment do Constar?

Page 121 - May 28, 1981

1.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
2.	Senator Newhouse.
3.	SENATOR NEWHOUSE:
4.	TheI think the problem that we facedwith, Senator, was this,
5.	that in some municipalities where there are some attempts being
6.	made to do some things, there could be the charge of steering, and
7.	this billthis amendment, I think, is designed to clean that up.
8.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
9.	Is there further discussion? Senator Mahar.
10.	SENATOR MAHAR:
11.	Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield for a question?
12.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
13.	He indicates he will.
14.	SENATOR MAHAR:
15.	Well, you mentioned thatwe're talking about steering, and
16.	it's a difficult problemit is difficult problem. Now, when you
17.	say you have the amendmentdoes something to clean that up. I
18.	don't have a copy of it here, could you just briefly tell us what
19.	what that's all about, or
20.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
21.	Senator Newhouse.
22.	SENATOR NEWHOUSE:
23.	Senator, you caught me. I'mI'm taking the Real Estate
24.	Board's word for this, I don't have a copy before me. Butyou
25.	want me to hold it, I will?
26.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
27.	Senator Mahar.
28.	SENATOR MAHAR:
29.	I'llI'll support it, and then I'll ask you questions on
30.	3rd reading.
31.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
32.	Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Newhouse moves
33.	the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 863. Those in favor

Page 122 - May 28, 1981

indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendı. 2. ment No. 2 is adopted. Senator Newhouse. SENATOR NEWHOUSE: 3. Mr. President, I want to make...make clear what...Senator 4. Mahar, Senator Ozinga, on line... 5 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 6. Senator, the amendment has been adopted. Is there further 7. amendments? 8. SECRETARY: 9. No further amendments. 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 11. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 865, Senator Thomas. Senator Thomas 12. asks leave of the Body to bring Senate Bill 865 back to the Order 13. of 2nd reading for purpose of an amendment. Is leave granted? 14. Leave is granted. Mr. Secretary. 15. SECRETARY: 16. Amendment No. 6 by Senator Berman. 17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 18. Senator Berman. 19. SENATOR BERMAN: 20. Thank you, Mr. President. This is a bill dealing with the 21. reporting of students that have...utilizing drugs by school per-22. sonnel to the Department of Law Enforcement. This amendment is 23. a...an agreed amendment between Senator Thomas and...and others 24. who have worked on the bill, to add a positive aspect to the 25. approach regarding young people and drugs. Amendment No. 6 en-26. courages the Department of Law Enforcement to coordinate State 27. agencies in providing a program to teach Illinois teachers how 28. to identify drug abuse in the schools, to assist in enhancing 29. awareness of local drug prevention and treatment programs and 30. to seek available Federal or State funding for these programs. 31. I move the adoption of Amendment No. 6.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32.

Page 123 - May 28, 1981

1.	Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall
2.	Amendment No. 6 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye.
3.	Those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 6 is adopted.
4.	Any further amendments?
5.	SECRETARY:
6.	No further amendments.
7.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
8.	3rd reading. Senate Bill 910, Senator Geo-Karis. Senator
9.	Geo-Karis asks leave to bringback to the Order of Senate Bills 2nd
10.	reading, Senate Bill 910 for the purpose of an amendment. Oh,
11.	Senator Geo-Karis.
12.	SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
13.	Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I'd
14.	just like leave to Table the amendment which made it directory
15.	rather than mandatory. Asafter listening to the concerns of
16.	the membership, here, when I took the bill out ofout of the
17.	record. And I'd like to Table that amendment.
18.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
19.	You've heard the motion. Is leave granted? Leave is granted
20.	Senate Bill 910 remains on the Order of 3rd reading. All right,
21.	we just straighten outon the Order of Senate Bills 3rd reading
22.	Senate Bill 910. Senator Geo-Karis asks leave to bring it back to
23.	the Order of 2nd reading for the purpose of Tabling an amendment.
24.	Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd reading,
25.	Senate Bill 910. Senator Geo-Karis moves to reconsider the vote
26.	by which Amendment No. ${f 1}$ was adopted. Those in favor indicate by
27.	saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1
28.	is reconsidered. Now, Senator Geo-Karis moves to Table that amend
29.	ment. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed.
30.	The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Any further amend-
31.	ments?
	SECRETARY:

32.

33.

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

l.

3rd reading. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd reading, Senate 2. Bill 960. Senator Gitz requests leave to have 960 brought back 3. to the Order of 2nd reading for the purpose of an amendment. Is 4. leave granted? Leave is granted. Mr. Secretary. 5. SECRETARY: 6. Amendment No. 3 by Senator Gitz. 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 8. Senator Gitz. 9. SENATOR GITZ: 10. Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Amendment 11. No. 2 exempted all spouses over the age of sixty-five from the 12. inheritance tax, and it was our intention in that amendment to 13. also double the exemption for other surviving spouses. However, 14. in drafting that amendment, there was language...pertaining to 15. old exemption provisions that was not deleted. So, the practical 16. effect of Amendment No. 2 before this amendment was offered was 17. to actually triple the exemptions, and that was not our intention 18. at this time. So, we offer Amendment No. 3 to put the bill in 19. proper form and to double the exemption for surviving spouses. 20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 21. Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Gitz moves the 22. adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 960. Those in favor 23. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amend-24. ment No. 3 is adopted. Any further amendments? 25. SECRETARY: 26. No further amendments. 27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 28. 3rd reading. For what purpose does Senator Newhouse seek 29. recognition? 30. SENATOR NEWHOUSE: 31. Thank you, Mr. President, and Senators. We have visiting with 32. us today a group of students and teachers from the Cornell School 33. in my district. And I'd like to have them stand and be recognized.

```
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
1.
             Would you please stand and be recognized. Senate Bill 963,
2.
        Senator Gitz. Senator Gitz.
3.
        SENATOR GITZ:
Δ
             Mr. President, and members of the Senate. There are problems
5.
        with a proposed amendment, so Senate Bill 963 will stay in its
6.
        present form.
7.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
8.
             Senate Bill 964, Senator Gitz. Senator Gitz? Oh, Senator
9.
        Gitz seeks leave to bring back to the Order of 2nd reading, Senate
10.
        Bill 964, for the purpose of an amendment. Is leave granted?
11.
        Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd reading, Senate Bill 964.
12.
        Mr. Secretary.
13.
        SECRETARY:
14.
             Amendment No. 2 by Senator Gitz.
15.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
16.
             Senator Gitz.
17.
        SENATOR GITZ:
18.
             Thank you, this would take it out from under the Mandates
19.
        Act, it's intended to make the sales and use tax and exemptions
20.
        on distillation equipment, the local part of it, optional. This
21.
         is pursuant to agreements that were made in committee.
22.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
23.
              Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Gitz moves the
24.
         adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 964. Those in favor
25.
         indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amend-
26.
         ment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?
27.
         SECRETARY:
28.
              No further amendments.
29.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
30.
              3rd reading. Senate Bill 1042. On the Order of Senate Bills
31.
         3rd reading, on the Agreed Bill List, Senator Grotberg seeks leave
```

to bring Senate Bill 1042 back to the Order of 2nd reading for the

32.

Page 126 - May 28, 1981

purpose of an amendment. Once it's amended it will not go back ı. on the Agreed Bill List. 2. SECRETARY: ٦. Amendment... 4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 5. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Mr. Secretary. 6. SECRETARY: 7. Amendment No. 1 by Senator Grotberg. 8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 9. Senator Grotberg. 10. SENATOR GROTBERG: 11. Thank you. First I would inquire of the Secretary, Mr. 12. President and Mr. Secretary, are there any amendments on the bill 13. at all at this point in time, and...okay. Then this Amendment No. 1 14. would replace the bill, it incorporates everything that's in the 15. original bill, plus it gets into the employees line of duty 16. award, which is a State-wide program for law enforcement agencies 17. and officers that has a twenty thousand dollar grant to the families 18. and beneficiaries of people killed in the line of duty while 19. serving in Illinois Law Enforcement. And the way that thing goes 20. now, it goes through the Court of Claims, and it takes forever 21. and ever to get a settlement. In the case of the Pontiac Prison riot 22. victims of the employees, those families the Court of Claims 23. finally declined jurisdiction. This bill then would take that 24. same twenty thousand dollars for Correction employees only, at 25. this point in time, and pay it... I think in ten days after 26. the death...thirty days, I'm sorry, after the death of any victim, 27. and pay to their beneficiaries...it has beneficiary designation 28. as part of the process by every employee of Corrections. That's 29. essentially what it does folks, and I can explain it more on 30. 3rd reading. I move the adoption of Amendment No. 1. 31.

Is there any discussion? If not, you've heard the motion.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32.

Page 127 - May 28, 1981

ser	ator Grotherg moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate
Bi]	11 1042. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed.
The	e Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?
SEC	CRETARY:
	No further amendments.
PRE	ESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
	3rd reading. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd reading, Senate
Bil	11 1102. Senator McLendon seeks leave of the Body to bring it
bac	ck to 2nd reading for the purpose of an amendment. Is leave
gra	anted? Leave is granted. Mr. Secretary.
SEC	CRETARY:
	Amendment No. 1 by Senator McLendon.
PRI	ESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
	Senator McLendon.
SEI	NATOR MCLENDON:
	Yes, Mr. President, and members. This amendment merely
ame	ends the definition of financial interest to exempt from the
de:	finition the ownership of the producer of title insurance. I
asl	k for its adoption.
PR	ESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
	Is there any discussion? If not, Senator McLendon moves the
ado	option of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1102. Those in favor
in	dicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amend-
me:	nt No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?
SE	CRETARY:
	No further amendments.
PR	ESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
	3rd reading. Senate Billon the Order of Senate Bills 3rd
re	ading, Senate Bill 1111. Senator Grotberg seeks leave of the
Во	dy to bring it back to the Order of 2nd reading for the purpose
of	an amendment. Mr. Secretaryis leave granted? Leave is
gr	anted. Mr. Secretary.
SE	CRETARY:

Page 128 - May 28, 1981

l.	Amendment No. 1 by Senator Grotberg.
2.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
3.	Senator Grotberg.
4.	SENATOR GROTBERG:
5.	Yes, this is a technical amendment. It simply says that
6.	this bill does not come under the State Mandate ActMandate
7.	Act because of its minimum impact. I move the adoption.
8.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
9.	You've heard the motion, shall Amendment No. 1 be adopted.
10.	Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. Amendment
11.	the Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further
12.	amendments?
13.	SECRETARY:
14.	No further amendments.
15.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
16.	3rd reading. Senate Bill 1208. On the Order of Senate Bills
17.	3rd reading, Senate Bill 1208. Senator Schaffer seeks leave
18.	of the Body to bring it back to 2nd reading for the purpose
19.	of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Mr.
20.	Secretary.
21.	SECRETARY:
22.	Amendment No. 2 by Senator Schaffer.
23.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
24.	Senator Schaffer.
25.	SENATOR SCHAFFER:
26.	Mr. President, and members of the Senate. This amendment,
27.	I think, I'd like to call the attention of the members of the Body
28.	because it relates to Executive Order No. 1. I think most of
29.	us have been receiving some correspondence and attention from
30.	various groups throughout the State as to the implementation
31.	of this Executive Order. A scenario is in the process of being
32.	worked out, which will allow a portion of that Executive Order
33.	to be implemented, but I believe there is a general consensus

on all sides, that that portion of the Executive Order that related 1. to transferring some services for children, MI children in particular, 2. from the Department of Mental Health to the Department of Children 3. and Family Services, was not, in fact, a good idea at this time. 4. The amendment we proposed to place on 1208 would, in fact, negate 5. or repeal that Executive Order, and the implications of that Executive 6. Order. I think this is the consensus that has come out of the 7. House and Senate committees on the subject. I would certainly 8. defer to anyone else, we've attempted to accommodate everyone on 9. the drafting of the amendment, and I would be happy to answer 10. any questions. And I hope we can proceed. 11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 12. Is there any discussion? Senator Rock. 13. SENATOR ROCK: 14. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 15. Senate. I rise just to raise a question with respect to the amend-16. ment, which I think probably, at this point ought to be adopted, at 17. least, we can take another look at it. But there is some serious 18. questions to whether or not we can effectively amend an Executive 19. Order by legislation. I am, frankly, not convinced we can do 20. it, and hence, this action may well be determined to be uncon-21. stitutional at some point. So, I...I just...I'm not going to 22. oppose Amendment No. 1, but I have some truly serious reservations 23. which I'm sure will be brought out among other things at the 24. discussion of the Executive Order tomorrow. 25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 26. Is there further discussion? Senator D'Arco.

Thank you, Mr. President. I, too, am concerned about this
amendment, because I know on page 6 there is language on line
28 through 33 that is intended to legalize the Executive Order,
at least, the form of the Executive Order and make it consistent
with this amendment. And that all may be well and good, and

27.

28.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Page 130 - May 28, 1981

ultimately it may or may not be constitutional. But my concern l. is this, if we adopt this amendment, and in effect, this amend-2. ment becomes the bill, and the Executive Order is disapproved, ٦. and this bill passes the Senate and passes the House, and is 4. signed by the Governor and becomes a law, my concern is, that 5. we...those of us who do oppose the Executive Order, and would 6. vote negatively on the Executive Order would not have accomplished 7. our purposes because this bill would become law and have the same 8. effect even though the Executive Order would...was disapproved. 9. And that is my concern. 10.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Gitz.

SENATOR GITZ:

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

I think it is the dealer's choice in this Body what you do on this bill, but I'm a little bit concerned that if you decide to approve this amendment, you are, in effect, saying, even before we debate the issues on Executive Order 1, that this is what we're going to do, and it's cut and dried and it's ratified, and this is what we're about. Now, I realize that all of us have had many, many responsibilities, and therefore we have been unable to follow all of the debate and dialogue. But I would like to bring a couple of things to your attention, and then the will of the Body can prevail. We specifically asked the Governor to either withdraw the Executive Order or to issue a new one, because this does indeed raise serious constitutional questions. The provisions in an Executive Order are for a legislative veto, not to amend or to change it along the line. And now we're into a brand new ball game, whereby by substantive changes, we are going to, in effect, undo what was done in the Executive Order. Now, keep in mind when we debate that issue tomorrow, the effective dates we're talking about are January of next year and June of next year. Now, we could avoid all of these problems, in this amendment and the Order itself, if the Executive would merely issue

a new Executive Order, and he might lose two or three months. 1. So, when you vote on this amendment, just keep in mind that there 2. are many things about here that are going to set some rather bizarre 3. and interesting precedents, and I know that Senator Schaffer, as 4 . Chairman of the Mental Health Commission put a lot of time and 5. labor into this bill before this amendment was asked to be offered 6. on this legislation. And I, frankly, Senator Schaffer, am a 7. little bit worried that by putting this amendment on we may be 8. mucking up your bill which has some really noble things in mind 9.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

in its original form before this came along.

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

10.

11.

12.

13.

22.

24.

26.

27.

28.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Gee, Senator Gitz, I'd like to think all my legislation is 14. noble. But, no, seriously in response to some of the discussions, 15. the reason I asked to have the bill put back, and I'm attempting 16. to put the amendment on, is because that appears to be the process. 17. I was lead to believe we were going to try and get all our bills 18. in position for passage before the last day, which, theoretically, 19. is tomorrow. There is, by the way, in addition to the amendment, 20. Senator D'Arco...Bill 1208, which is, I think, a very good piece 21. of legislation. I'm trying to accommodate a compromise solution on the Executive Order, which as Senator Gitz has indicated, is a 23. ...a tenuous thing. I do not, Senator Rock, profess to have any great legal expertise as to what that verbiageon page 6, that you've 25. made reference to, whather it accomplishes the job or not. The legal expertise from the Reference Bureau, and that we have, indicates that it does the job. My thought, quite frankly, is to put the amendment on the bill, hold the bill, debate the Executive 29. Order, if the Executive Order...if we do not reject the Executive Order, if I understand the way that has to be phrased, then to proceed through, and keep faith, and...and...with the Governor's commitment to proceed with Senate Bill 1208, which will, if you

will, negate that portion of the Executive Order that I think most

us have problems with. It is not an attempt to amend an of ı. Executive Order, it simply is an attempt to supersede an Executive 2. Order. And while, I again, don't profess to be a constitutional 3. expert, clearly this Legislature can change an Executive Order 4. after the fact. If we have a reorganization this year, and 5. five years from now we wish to change that, we clearly have the 6. power. This bill would clearly go into effect after the Executive 7. Order. It would...certainly legislation passed by both Houses 8. and signed by the Governor would supersede an Executive Order 9. that was already passed or ... or accepted and in place. It is 10. a compromise position that allows a...would allow us to have the 11. option of allowing a meaningful reorganization to go forward by 12. removing the one portion of that organization that I think most 13. of us have troubles with. I would suggest, that we put the amendment 14. on 1208, hold it, debate the Executive Order, and then depending 15. on...if...if the Executive Order is rejected, I will just pull 16. 1208 back and yank the amendment right off, and proceed with what 17. is a good bill in its own right. If the Executive Order is accepted, 18. then we have the vehicle to accommodate the Governor's commitments. 19. I...I wish I had an easier plan, but I didn't write this scenario 20. to begin with. I...I'm just trying to come to a reasonable con-21. clusion. 22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 23. Senator Collins and then Senator D'Arco. 24. SENATOR COLLINS: 25.

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Schaffer, as a hyphenated sponsor of 1208, I, you know, I object to this amendment, because I do feel as Senator Rock said, there's some serious constitutional questions as to the validity of this amendment. And I also know that...that a lot of work went into, by the Mental Health Commission, Senate Bill 1208, and you did not consult me about this particular amendment, so I will ask for defeat of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

l.

3.	Well, with the assurances that the bill on 3rd reading would
4.	not proceed, Senator Schaffer, as I understood what you said,
5.	it was, that if the Executive Order is disapproved, then you will
6.	not proceed with 1208 on 3rd reading in the form that it's in if
7.	we do adopt this amendment, is that correct?
8.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
9.	Senator Schaffer.
10.	SENATOR SCHAFFER:
11.	Senator D'Arco, if the Executive Order is rejected, I will
12.	call 1208 back, remove the amendment, Table the amendment, and
13.	go forward with the bill in its present form, which I believe ever
14.	body agrees with. Senator Collins, this is the least kept
15.	secret around. II'm just trying to be a reasonable human
16.	being, and help us move the business of the State in an orderly
17.	manner.
18.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
19.	Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall
20.	Amendment No. 1 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying
21.	Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Ameridment No. 1 is
22.	adopted. Any further amendments? That was Amendment No.
23.	2. Just for the record, let's go through the act once again.
24.	Senator Schaffer moves the adoption of Amendment No2 to
25.	Senate Bill 1208. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those
26.	opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any
27.	further amendments?
28.	ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)
29.	No further amendments.
30.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
31.	3rd reading. Senator RockSenator Demuzio.
32.	SENATOR DEMUZIO:
33.	Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I'd like leave to go back to

449. I was off the Floor, nearby, when the bill was called, and l. it's for purposes of Tabling the amendment. It is on...in fact, on 2. the list. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Yes, Senator Demuzio seeks leave to bring Senate Bill 449 back 5. from the Order of 3rd reading for the purposes of Tabling an amend-6. ment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of 7. Senate Bills 2nd reading, Senate Bill 449. Mr... Senator Demuzio. 8. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

4.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Thank you. I put the Amendment No. 2 on, it was an amendment that was suggested to us by the House. We were not going to accept that amendment. I inadvertently had amended it, I'd like to put the bill in the posture that I'd like to have it in. And therefore I move to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 2 was adopted. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio moves to reconsider the...vote by which Amendment No. 2 was adopted to Senate Bill 449. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The vote is reconsidered. Now, Senator Demuzio moves to Table Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 449. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is Tabled. Any further amendments? 3rd reading. Senator Rock. SENATOR ROCK:

23.

Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Again, as you know, tomorrow is the deadline, May 29th, for getting Senate Bills, both substantive and appropriations out of the Senate. I spoke earlier with Senator Shapiro and others about the proposed schedule, and we would propose that we work tonight...or this afternoon until, again, a few minutes before six, take a break for dinner and phone calls and such, until seventhirty, and return at seven-thirty with the hope that we can continue on 3rd reading and work a few more hours, anyway. And then come in tomorrow morning at nine o'clock, and we will go until...until

Page 135 - May 28, 1981

midnight, if necessary. I, frankly, don't think it's going to

l.

2.	be necessary, butwe will begin atwhere we left off. Is
3.	Senator Coffey on the Floor?
4.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
5.	Senator Coffey?
6.	SENATOR ROCK:
7.	I just didn't want to catch anybody unawares. Yesterday, we
8.	we stopped at 1016, which was Senator Rhoads' bill, but at that
9.	point 994 had been on the Agreed List. We could just back up one
10.	more, and get to 994 since it's been removed from the list. But
11.	we will begin on page 14 of the Calendar, on 3rd reading, and
12.	go as rapidly as is humanly possible, given the issues under con-
13.	sideration. And then we will begin anew at Senate Bills 3rd reading
14.	with Senate Bill 20, and continue right on through the list. And
15.	I would urge those who have a tendency to wander, that once we go
16.	by it, it's very likely we won't get back to it.
17.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
18.	Senator Marovitz.
19.	SENATOR MAROVITZ:
20.	Two questions, Mr. President. Is there some place a list of
21.	those bills that were on the Agreed Bill List that were knocked off,
22.	if any, I don't know?
23.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
24.	Senator Rock.
25.	SENATOR ROCK:
26.	Well, the other part of that is, that there is still afforded
27.	to the membership, an opportunity to knock bills off the Agreed
28.	List. The Agreed List, as it currently exists, sits on the Calendar.
29.	Anything that's not on the Agreed List, obviously, has already
30.	been knocked off. But there is still an opportunity to remove
31.	bills from the Agreed List, everybody's working under kind of short
32.	notice around here. So, I would suggest that we agree on a deadline,
	say, nine o'clock tonight to get a list to the Secretary, or present

Page 136 - May 28, 1981

1.	a list to the Secretary ofof those bills you don't wish to
2.	have on the Agreed List, or six members don't wish to have on
3.	the Agreed List. And then tomorrow morning when we come in, we
4.	can handle the Agreed List as printed on the Calendar, and those
5.	bills that have, in fact, been knocked off, will receive some
6.	preferential treatment.
7.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
8.	Senator Marovitz.
9.	SENATOR MAROVITZ:
١٥.	Last question, Mr. President. When will the consideration
	inif ever, Consideration Postponed, will that be the very last
12.	order of business, or will we get to that prior to going through
13.	the Calendar again?
14.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
15.	Senator Rock.
16.	SENATOR ROCK:
17.	N_{O} , asas is not unusual here in this Chamber, the Order
18.	of Consideration Postponed isis the last order of business on
19.	the last day. We will go through the Calendar and attempt to
20.	accommodate all the members so that they will have an opportunity,
21.	a run at, if you will, their bill, and then with the remaining time
22.	we will go to the Order of Consideration Postponed. I might add,
23.	that we will also tomorrow be dealing with Executive Order No. 1,
24.	so we'll have a pretty full day tomorrow.
25.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
26.	And for your information, Senators, Senate Bill 1042 was
27.	taken off the Agreed Bill List when it was amended justjust
28.	this past few minutes, so it will be called in order as weit
29.	will be called tomorrow. Senate Bill 1042. On the Order of Senate
	Bills 3rd reading, on page 14 of your Calendar, Senate Bill 994.
30.	Senator Coffey. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
31.	SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 994.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

l.

3rd reading of the bill. 2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 3. Senator Coffey. 4. SENATOR COFFEY: 5. Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. On their 6. Senate Bill, 994, it...it amends the Illinois Horse Racing Act 7. of 1975 to require horse racing associations conducting harness 8. race meetings, be given preference to horse stalls in Illinois. 9. Presently, before I go into more detail on that, there is two 10. amendments that's added that I would like to point out. One of 11. them is, the department maintains that the investigators for hearing 12. officers and for ...and for administrative review also ... they're 13. hearing officers and investigators, and what we're doing is, saying 14. that the hearing officer cannot be the same person as being the 15. investigator. And Amendment No. 2, is the hearing for qualifying 16. foals and mares and stallions is only necessary for contested 17. cases, it's...hearing...does not have to be heard in all cases. 18. The reason we're put...putting this bill in originally, was to 19. give preference to Illinois racing ... Illinois residents to be able 20. to have horse stalls, and have the priority for those...those horse 21. stalls first. It's been brought to my attention that they don't 22. have now, we have horses coming in from Ohio and other states that 23. have been getting stall preferences. I think that the Illinois 24. people ought to be...get the first choices on those, and that's 25. the reason I've introduced this bill. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 27. Is there any discussion? Senator Rock. 28. SENATOR ROCK: 29. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 30. Senate. I rise in opposition to Senate Bill 994. I don't think 31. it's the end of the world if the bill passes, but on the other 32. hand, many of us who were here recall the long history of the 33.

Horse Racing Act of 1975, the purpose of which, at the time, was ı. to encourage better bred and better breeding in the State of 2. Illinois, better bred horses, and better breeding to encourage 3. that industry. It was also the purpose of that Act, and that has not in any way been diminished, to increase the handle, and 5. thus increase the State revenue. And, in fact, since 1975, 6. the handle has been dramatically increasing each and every year. 7. Part of the reason for the increase in the handle, is the quality 8. of the horses that now are raced here in Illinois. And it just **q** . seems to me, that as a matter of policy, if we have a horse such 10. as Niatross or one of those big out-of-State horses, that to deny 11. a horse like that stall space in favor of a lesser quality horse 12. just because the lesser quality horse is from Illinois, simply is 13. not good public policy. And I would urge a No vote. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 15.

Further discussion? Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Yes, Senator Rock is exactly right. Can you imagine having a stall in one of our tracks in Illinois to house Senator Sam?

You know, the bill that we have passed, we've got more money, we're attracting more attractive horses into the State of Illinois. We're getting more people to the tracks, more people are betting on the races, and when you get a bunch of dogs at these tracks who don't know whether they're going to stay one day or two days, or where they're going to get the hay from , you'd better take into consideration the tracks, the track owners, the horse breeders, and those people who have got a lot of money in good horses, that will give good attractive races to our people in the State of Illinois.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sam, I was informed that Senator Sam was served for dinner last night in the downstairs cafeteria. Is there further discussion? Senator Coffey may close debate.

SENATOR COFFEY:

Page 139 - May 28, 1981

Well, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Maybe they ı. ought to read the Amendment No. 1. On page 8, lines 21 through 2. 25, in passing upon stall applications, that are of substantial... 3. equal of merit and racing...secretary of each association conducting the harness racing meetings, pursuant to the Act, shall be...give 5. preference to applicants submitted for...horses owned by Illinois 6. residents. And it does say of equal merit, so I think it spells out 7. that we're still trying to get quality. Now, you're saying you're Ω. trying to bring the horses in from other states to have a better 9. horse race, you ought to also remember they take the money with 10. them when they leave. I don't think they leave it here in Illinois. 11. And, if you're going to...if you want the Illinois people to get 12. a better quality of horses, and if you want that money to stay in 13. Illinois, then you would vote for this...this bill. And I would 14. ask for a favorable roll call on this bill. 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 16. The question is, shall Senate Bill 994 pass. Those in favor 17. will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have 18. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 19. who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 22, 20. the Nays are 22, and 1 Voting Present. Senate Bill 994, having 21. failed to receive the constitutional majority is declared lost. 22. Senate Bill 1016, Senator Rhoads. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 23. SECRETARY: 24. Senate Bill 1016. 25. (Secretary reads title of bill) 26.

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rhoads.

SENATOR RHOADS:

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. This is a companion bill to the one that Senator Bowers passed out last night before the dinner recess, with respect to jail construction.

```
And this affects only the County of DuPage. It would authorize
1.
        them to issue bonds for the construction or reconstruction of a
2
        court house, provides for a backdoor referendum, and increases the
٦.
        maximum tax levy from .02 to .05 percent. The interest rate allowed
        for the bonds is nine percent per annum, or seventy percent of
5.
        the prime commerical rate. This came at the request of the
6.
        DuPage County President, and other county officials. I'd be happy
7.
        to answer any questions if I can. If there are none, I would ask
8.
        for a favorable vote.
9.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
10.
             Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate
11.
        Bill 1016 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote
12.
        Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
13.
        who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
14.
        question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none Voting Present.
15.
        Senate Bill 1016, having received the constitutional majority is
16.
        declared passed. Senate Bill 1020, Senator Totten. Read the
17.
        bill, Mr. Secretary.
18.
        SECRETARY:
19.
              Senate Bill 1020.
20.
                   ( Secretary reads title of bill )
21.
         3rd reading of the bill.
22.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
23.
              For what purpose does Senator Schaffer arise?
24.
         SENATOR SCHAFFER:
25.
              Mr. President, on a point of personal privilege.
26.
         get too many visitors from my district up on the Wisconsin line,
27.
         but in the gallery on this side are Merle Nelson and Don Toome
28.
         with the Cary Education Association who are down from my home
29.
         town of Cary. I would appreciate if they would rise and be re-
30.
         cognized by the Senate.
31.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
32.
```

Would you please rise and be recognized.

Page 141- May 28, 1981

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

2.	I understand they're going over to the Kingsville archaeological
3.	dig, so I guess they wanted to start here to examine history in
4.	the making. Good luck. I understand they didn't get here by
5.	mass-transit, either.
6.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
7.	Senator Totten.
8.	SENATOR TOTTEN:
9.	Has the bill been read a third time, Mr. President? Thank you,
10.	Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill
11.	1020 amends the Illinois Income Tax Act to allow individuals a
12.	fifteen hundred dollar deduction for each child in private ele-
13.	mentary or secondary schools. Thethe tax credit, this is a
14.	tuition tax credit would amount to thirty-seven dollars and fifty
15.	cents per student. In regards to this proposal, I introduced it
16.	because I think we lose sight of fundamentals. Why are we spending
17.	all this money on education? Our public purpose is not public
18.	education, our public purpose is education, period. The object
19.	of these vast expenditures is to raise our children to be knowledge-
20.	able, responsible, literate citizens, capable of making their way
21.	in an adult world. The mechanism by which this aim is achieved,
22.	is immaterial. In a free society, the people ought to have the
23.	right to buy any kind of schooling that will meet these goals.
24.	This bill meets those criteria, and I would solicit your favorable
25.	support.
26.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
27.	Is there any discussion? Senator Collins.
28.	SENATOR COLLINS:
29.	Thank you, Mr. President. I recognize the hardship that
30.	most parents are having today, and not only in sending their
31.	children to private schools, but to public schools. Last year
32.	we had the bussing bill, that was almost, again, like Medley
33.	Movers, it just kept coming back and kept getting amended, and

Page 142 - May 28, 1981

amended, and amended. This year we're coming back with the tax 1. credit, at a time when our school system in the City of Chicago 2. is almost bankrupt, and I'm sure some of the other school districts 3. throughout this State will be, if not, and the...near future. 4. think this is a very bad precedent to set, and I think that those 5. of us who wishes our children to go to private schools should assume the financial responsibility for doing that. I did it, 7. and I couldn't afford it, but I sacrificed, and I gave up some 8. other luxuries, and some other kinds of things in order to send 9. my son to a private school. And I think that all of us should take 10. a very serious look at what is happening here. Because every year 11. it gets a little more, and a little more, and when you know any-12. thing, funding for public schools will dry up completely. And 13. that is a reality that we're going to have to face even if we do 14. not start contributing to elementary and secondary educational 15. institutions...private institutions. Because the funding resources 16. for schools, the taxpayers, the property owners, can no longer 17. shoulder the responsibility for educating and meeting the needs 18. of our children. I'll ask a No vote. 19.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senators, the following Senators in this order have sought recognition. Senator Maitland, Berman, Grotberg, Marovitz, and Netsch. Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I shall be very brief. I agree with Senator Collins.

What we are attempting to do here, was set a very dangerous precedence, I believe. We are in a Session when we are very tight fiscally. We're having a difficult time funding our public elementary and secondary system, the system that we are required by law to...to fund. Those schools are in terrible shape. The school in the Chicago system is in terrible shape. Those of us who have had the privilege to send our children to private schools if we desire, have done that because we thought they offered something

special, and we chose to do that. Senator Totten's legislation ı. would provide a parent only thirty-seven dollars in real dollars 2. for each child in the public system. It's a very small amount, 3. but the impact upon State Government this year would be in excess 4. of fifteen million dollars. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, 5. I think you have to make a tough decision today, do you begin to 6. aid private education, either directly or indirectly, or do you 7 continue to make the public system strong as is our responsibility? 8. I urge a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Thank you, Mr. President. It is with hesitation that I rise in opposition to this. And I say hesitation because I have supported bills that have provided funds for the non-public sector of our school system. And I believe that the non-public sector is an important part of the school system. However, I think this is the wrong approach to take. First of all, it's not going to help the parents that have decided to send their children to the nonpublic schools, it's not going to...be anything meaningful to them. Thirty-seven dollars and fifty cents is not going to be any difference between the choice of sending them to a non-public school or not. But it will have an impact on the resources of what the State has in support of total education. Because that thirty-seven dollars and fifty cents, which is not much to each parent, is translated to over thirteen million dollars of a bite out of the education dollar in the State of Illinois. As I've said, I have supported, I have supported the bus bills, I've supported the tax...the textbook bills, but I think that this is the wrong way to go, because it will make a substantial dent in our first commitment to public education in the form of thirteen million dollars, and willbe really meaningless at this...under this bill to assist those parents, and many of whom do need assistance, in making a choice between the public and non-public. What I do

see, however, is another step, because if this bill passes today, ı. that next year instead of the fifteen hundred dollar credit, I'm 2. sorry, and I wish to correct the record, in deference and in 3. recognition of the attempts of Senator Totten to pass this, this 4. is not a credit, this is a deduction, and that's why you're not 5. getting a fifteen hundred dollar break, you're getting a thirty-6. seven dollar and fifty cent break. This is not a tax credit bill, 7. it's a tax deduction bill. Well, that's right, Senator Joyce, 8. that's what I'm concerned about, is that next year, if the bill 9. passes, we'll either increase the amount of the deduction or trans-10. fer...change it to a credit. And I think that these parents do 11. need help, but I don't think this is the way to give them that 12. help. We have found other ways through the textbooks, through 13. other bills that have tried to address both public and private. 14. I have supported them, I have to reluctantly stand because...in 15. opposition to this bill, because I think this is a very dangerous 16. precedent, it will cost us thirteen million dollars this year. 17. I can't tell you what it will cost in the future, but in the 18. present financial condition of the State, we just can't afford 19. in total to take this step today, and it doesn't mean much to 20. the parents that would receive the money. I urge a No vote. 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 22.

Senator Grotberg.

SENATOR GROTBERG:

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Thank you, Mr. President. Just a word on two points that have come to my mind that have not been addressed. This is a dramatic reversal of what America and Illinois, in particular, have been about for all of our centuries long, in that nothing like this was available to my parents in the depression when there were eight of us kids and there was no money. Now, we've gone through a...a couple of decades of rather good living for most of the people of Illinois, and we've taken good care of those that didn't make a good living, without such a tax deduction. Now,

the State is in trouble, and thirteen million dollars is being 1. asked for to come out of the General Revenue, and the families 2. are getting smaller, the average family...the average family 3. now has, I think, something like 2.1 children, and are probably 4. more able to pay than ever. But the thing that bothers me 5. most is yesterday's printout of the School Aid Formula, where 6. in all five counties that I represent, there is less money for 7. the public school sector. I think the two programs are on a 8. collision course, and as much as I favor all of the tax deductions 9. I can get, I'm afraid we should give this one up and vote No. 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 11.

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The State really should not be lavishing millions of dollars on programs to subsidize a small group of people who independently and voluntarily make their own choice to send their children to private schools. What this is going to do, in setting a precedent, is set up a elitist and class system in our educational process. And probably most importantly, we will be undermining financial support for public education. And that is a State priority, make no mistake about it. Funding public education is a State priority. Perhaps equally as important is the constitutional question, which no one has addressed here, as yet. This will violate the constitutional principles of separation of church and State, and I'd like to quote a recent Supreme Court decision," Committee of Public Education and Religious Liberty vs Niquist, a Supreme: Court Decision. The court in this case found that a New York Statute providing income tax benefits to parents of children attending non-public schools is to be a violation of the First Amendment, in that it would have the impermissible effect of advancing the sectarian activities of religious schools." How we can pass legislation that we know is patently unconstitutional and would violate the priorities of our State and our educational

Page 146 - May 28, 1981

1.	obligations. This is a terrible idea. And I would urge everyone
2.	to think about it, think about our priorities, andand reject
3.	this concept.
4.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
5.	Senator Netsch.
6.	SENATOR NETSCH:
7.	Thank you, Mr. President. I believe that most of the points
8.	have now been made. Let me just underscore two. One is, I think
9.	Senator Totten, you meant that this was to be a deduction not
10.	a credit. It is costly enough as a deduction, as a credit it
11.	would bankrupt the State in no time at all. Secondly, and I
12.	believe the amount has now been mentioned, the cost of the bill
13.	in Fiscal Year'82 is estimated to be 13.2 million dollars. That
14.	is not inconsiderable, and particularly at a time when we are,
15.	in fact, cutting back significantly on the State's commitment
16.	to the public school system, which is, indeed, our major responsi
17.	bility. If it is a good idea at all, and my own personal opinion
18.	is, that tax credit probably is not appropriate program at any
19.	time, but if it is at all, it is certainly not appropriate at
20.	this time. And I also would hope that the bill would not pass.
21.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
22.	Senator Geo-Karis. Senator Geo-Karis. Senator Lemke.
23.	SENATOR LEMKE:
24.	Well, I rise in support of this legislation. I can't see
25.	this legislation aiding and violating the First Amendment of the
26.	Constitution for religion, because there's private schools that
27	aren't even connected with religious process. We have one on the
28.	west side which has been written up in many papers, started by aa
29.	black lady because the reason these schools have come up, and the
30.	reason children are sent to private schools is a very simple one
31.	the public school system is failing to educate children. Let's
32.	face it, they're not giving an adequate education, and Senator

Netsch, I know people in your area that have chose not to send

```
their kids to public schools, and they're not religious, in fact,
1.
2.
        some of them are atheists, and they've got their kids going to
        ... to private schools because the public schools are not adequate.
3.
4.
        And we talk about everything else, and...and...Senator Marovitz
        say that about tax credits, he's got a bill to give it to community
5.
        organizations, why not give it to the people that are saving the
6.
        State about twenty-three hundred to twenty-five hundred dollars
7.
        a year by not sending their kid to a public school. We're only saying
8.
        to them, we're giving you back thirty-seven fifty. Now, I want
9.
        to tell you something, maybe many of us have got to the means
10.
        that thirty-seven fifty doesn't mean much to a guy that has a
11.
        family, but I'll tell you what thirty-seven fifty buys, it buys
12.
        a couple pair of shoes for those children. It also feeds them.
13.
        You know we can wear...in our neighborhood we could live on thirty-
14.
        seven fifty for a week to feed those children. I mean, my people
15.
        have chose not to send their...kids to...to public schools for
16.
        a very simple reason, the public school system has failed.
17.
        a...it's a tremendous lousy system, and especially in the City
18.
        of Chicago, it's grown into an octopus without...with...without a
19.
        head, it just reaches out and confuses things. And I think that
20.
        the way the countryis going is on a voucher checkoff system, and
21.
        you guys are going to eventually vote for that, and people are
22.
        going to get their money, and they're going to use it to the school
23.
        they want their kids to go to. And that way we're going to control
24.
        the educators, because some of the teachers shouldn't be teaching,
25.
        and some of the administrators should be completely out. And the
26.
        school systems are in terrible shape. Why is it a private school
27.
        can operate for half the money of a public school and give a
28.
        better quality education? To ask...to ask the question,
29.
        it's not because of religion, it's because they teach and they care
30.
        for the children. And the people that are fighting this, those
31.
        associations, like the IEA and the Teacher's Union condone ineffective
32.
        teachers, and put them on a payroll and keep them there with tenure.
33.
```

Page 148 - May 28, 1981

l.	And they're there and they don't teach, they're the first ones
2.	that exit the school before the children. They get their pay-
3.	check and forget about it. That's what we're talking about, this
4.	is a good bill, and it's a growing matter. I know in the City
5.	of Chicago and every place else, children will be going to private
6.	schools whether they're church connected or whether they're not
7.	connected with a church, and we have many private schools in this
8.	State that are not connected with any church, and most of those
9.	private schools do notthat even if they are connected with
10.	a church have no restriction to anybody of any religion going to
11.	that school. You can go whether you're Jewish, or Lutheran, you
12.	can go to any parochial school. There's no restriction. And
13.	they get a quality education. And the money is just a small token
14.	towards giving to these people an award for saving the State twenty-
15.	three hundred dollars. I ask for an Aye vote.
16.	

(END OF REEL)

19. 20.

17. 18.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25. 26.

27.

۷,.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

3.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I doubt very little that any 4. rhetoric is going to change any votes on this. However, it 5. should be pointed out that every time a bill comes up that 6. wants to offer some aid to private schools, the rhetoric 7. comes up that I favor private schools, but, and doesn't 8. seem that there's any bill that is satisfactory when it comes 9. to helping a private school. The issue is simply whether 10. you want to help private schools or you don't want to help 11. private schools. And I might remind Senator Marovitz, who 12. espouses elitist theory about private schools, that the 13. fifth largest school district in the United States sits 14. in the City of Chicago, which would be hardly considered 15. an elitist town. Thank you. 16.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Senator Egan.

SENATOR EGAN:

19.

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. 20. As long as we're reflecting on the society's obligation to 21. educate its children, I thought perhaps I'd say just a couple 22. of things because I think we get a little bit off of the 23. track. It seems to me that the obligation to educate our 24. children is a parental obligation. And if we want to pool 25. together in a commune to do that, it certainly does not 26. exclude the right of those parents to educate their children 27. privately. And when they do that, they bear the responsibility 28. and the cost because of some of the provisions of our Consti-29. tution. That indeed, aids public education more than anything 30. financially outside of just taking it right out of the treasury. 31. It escapes my imagination that the stagnant idea around here, 32. by some, who think that public education is a mandate and 33.

```
l.
       that we must educate our children, publicly, totally escapes
 2.
       me. That's an attitude that is in my opinion, fearfull, I
 3.
       object to it. And I'm, as a matter of fact, not...not only
 4.
       do I object to it, but I'm offended by it. The very need
 5.
       for education is obvious. The need to strengthen education
 6.
       is even more obvious. And I don't mean to be critical, but
 7.
       if you help the people that are helping you, to do those
 8.
       things which you wish to do with your children, in providing
       some financial help for private education, all you're doing
 9.
       is helping yourself. And I suggest that you reflect on
10.
       that. Senator Berman, thirty-seven fifty, times five is
11.
       a hundred and...a hundred and eighty-seven fifty. I'll
12.
       take it any...time I can get it.
13.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
14.
            Senator...Senator Bruce.
15.
       SENATOR BRUCE:
16.
            Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. There's
17.
       not much that you can add in this argument I'm sure, but I
18.
       would want to point out to the fact that whether or not the
19.
       State Treasury is brim full of money or it has no money at
20.
       all, has no impact on this legislation. The question is
21.
       whether or not the State of Illinois ought to venture into
22.
       a program of aiding private schools. I don't think it's
23.
      constitutional. The Niquist case, which has been discussed
24.
       here earlier, I think, makes it clear that unless we're talking
25.
       about the health and welfare of children...this won't wash.
26.
       And the only thing we've been able to get approved by the
27.
       United States Supreme Court is the question of purchase of
28.
       textbooks for all students, but nothing of this matter has
29.
       ever been approved. The Niquist case, in fact, was on a
30.
       tax deduction in which the court said, it does not work. I
31.
       would want to point out to you that you are going to, if
```

passing this legislation occurs, start off on a system

32.

```
l.
       of the...of the haves and have nots in this nation. We are
  2.
        committed, and have been for over a hundred years in this
  3.
        country, to a well established public school system.
 4.
        I hear people get up on this Floor every time saying, the
        public school system is a failure. That somehow the teachers
 5.
        don't teach and the children don't learn and that's the
 6.
        fault of the school system. But they forget to tell you, that
 7.
       when you open up the yellow bus door every morning, a third
  8.
       of the kids that pour off those busses, throughout the
  9.
        State of Illinois, come from homes that have only one parent.
10.
        They forget to tell you that the illegitimacy rate
11.
                  school
                           districts in our State are
        in some
12.
        children who come...or who are illegitimate, approaches
13.
       one-third. They forget to tell you that the abuse of
14.
       children in this State is of epidemic proportions. And
15.
       more than forty percent of the children who go into school
16.
        in the primary grades, have been battered. They forget
17.
        to tell you that drug abuse occurs outside, as well as
18.
        inside the school building and that is not promoted
19.
       or assisted in any way by our schools. They forget to
20.
        tell you that alcoholism in this State is also at a very
21.
       high level. So you take the kids from alcoholic families,
22.
        from broken families, the battered children, and you send
23.
        them into the school building and then you wonder why
24.
        can't those people read when they leave the building.
25.
        And it's because the parents outside the building have so ...
26.
        made those people social cripples, that no amount of help,
27.
        no amount of help, may save some of those children. So
28.
        before you look at the school systems and say, private
29.
        schools are doing very well and public schools aren't
30.
        doing anything, take a look at who sends their children to
31.
        public schools. And take a look at your churches and take
32.
        a look at your families and find out the problem there.
```

Page 152- May 28, 1981

```
l.
      This will hurt public schools in two ways, once you lose
2.
      the enrollment, you're going to lose State aid and as you
      lose State aid, the only way that we're going to be able
 3.
       to assist most of these school districts, is to increase
4.
       the local property taxes. They can't do that by referenda,
5.
      that means their programs will become less sophisticated,
6.
       less well taught and so people will again say, well the
7.
       public schools aren't doing very well and they'll put
 8.
       their kids in private school and the cycle will get worse
 9.
       and worse. And I don't think we ought to be involved in
10.
       that whole program. I...I always wonder why people say,
11.
       you know, if you oppose these bills, it's...Senator Egan
12.
       took some sort of offense...we have the right to choose and
13.
       it's a parental responsibility, sure it is. I don't have
14.
       any...objection to anyone in this Body sending every
15.
       child they ever have to any private school where it's
16.
       located in the United States, that's fine, stay out of
17.
       the State Treasury. Everyone says we have an equal right,
18.
       I'm reminded of the French Revolution in which they stated
19.
       that both the rich and the poor have the right to eat state
20.
       bread and sleep under bridges. Well, that's true, that
21.
       right exists to go, but we all know that you have to have
22.
       the money to pay the tuition. This is a very large shift
23.
       in the way we're going to educate children in the State
24.
       of Illinois. I would certainly think that we should not
25.
       create a system of unequal programs for education.
26.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
27.
            State Journal-Register seeks permission to take some
28.
       still photos. Is permission granted? Leave is granted.
29.
       Senator Thomas.
30.
       SENATOR THOMAS:
31.
            Thank you, very much, Mr. President. I would like to
32.
       address a couple of remarks to what Senator Lemke had to
33.
```

say, and...and first of all, Senator, I am going to be voting

Yes on this bill. However, what did bother me was when he l. 2. made reference to the public school system in the State of Illinois, failing. I can only speak of what I know, and ₹. that is that in my district, both the public and the private 4. 5. schools are doing an exceptionally good job. But at the time that Senator Lemke made those remarks, the Gallery 6. on the Democratic side was filled with school children. 7. That group has now left and a new group has taken over 8. that position. I'm going to hazard a guess that that 9. previous group and the group that's up there right now, 10. represent public schools. And how must those...how...how 11. must those teachers who have prepared those children for 12. probably the last couple of weeks for their big trip to 13. Springfield to see the General Assembly in operation, and 14. how must this group right now, that has also been anticipating 15. this very, very, special day feel, when they sit in this 16. Body and hear that their school system is failing. And 17. so while I support this concept, and will vote Yes, I would 18. just say that I don't think that the public school system 19. in the State of Illinois is failing, certainly not in my 20. area, but I also salute the efforts of the private schools. 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 22. Senator Geo-Karis. 23. SENATOR GEO-KARIS: 24. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. 25. Briefly, I think we should have a matter of choice. I 26. have supported public school budgets ever since I've been 27. here, and I have also supported the private schools too, because 28. there's a need for both. That is America and frankly, when 29. you talk about the treasury, as one of my distinguished 30. colleagues talked about, who makes up the treasury, the

citizens of the State of Illinois. And if you give a

thirty-seven dollar and fifty cent tax break to an individual,

31.

32.

Page 154 - May 28, 1981

- l. for sending his child to a private school, you're getting it
- 2. back two fold because he is not burdening the public schools.
- 3. I like both the public schools and the private schools and
- 4. I support the bill. I think it's a good concept.
- 5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- Senator Rock. 6.
- SENATOR ROCK: 7.

9.

17.

- Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the 8.

Senate. It will come to no one's surprise, I am sure, that

- I rise in support of Senate Bill 1020. I have consistently, 10.
- since 1971 along with many others in this Chamber, supported 11.
- whatever aid we could afford to parochial...school students 12.
- and their parents. It seems to me, that we have since that 13.
- time been circumscribed, really, legally, by some constitu-14.
- tional decisions and so we have in this State, as a matter 15.
- of policy and good sound public policy, attempted, at least, 16.
- to work within the provisions of those constitutional
- prohibitions. And we have afforded some small modicum of 18.
- aid in the form of textbooks which amounts to about nine 19.
- million dollars out of two and a half billion. And we have 20.
- tried to provide some busing for our private school students, 21.
 - which would have amounted, at anybody's wildest guess, to 22.
 - about twenty million dollars out of two and a half billion. 23.
 - And now we are offering to the taxpaying God-fearing people 24.
 - of our State who choose to send their kids to private schools, thirty-25.
- seven dollars and fifty cents a head. It seems to me that's 26.
- the very least we can do in recognition of their effort and 27.
- I urge an Aye vote. 28.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 29.
- Is there further discussion? If not, Senator...Senator 30.
- D'Arco. 31.
- SENATOR D'ARCO: 32.
- Point of personal...privilege. I have Chalmers School 33.

- from my district up in the Gallery. Would they please stand
 and be recognized by the Senate.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- Would you please stand and be recognized. If there's
 no further discussion, Senator Totten may close debate. Senator
 Berning, for what purpose do you arise?
- 7. SENATOR BERNING:

get in there.

16.

26.

- Just to respond to one...somebody hobbled me here. Just 8. to respond, briefly, to one comment that was made that leaves 9. just a bit of a unpleasant feeling. The inference being 10. that we would be establishing an elitist form of education. 11. I'd like to call the attention of the Body to the simple 12. fact that we do already have a very elitist system in our 13. State universities. Any applicant has to be a very highly 14. qualified individual and well financed or he or she cannot 15.
- 17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 18. Is there further discussion? Senator Dawson. Your
 19. light is on, Senator. If not, Senator Totten may close debate.
 20. SENATOR TOTTEN:
- 21. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of

 22. the ...of the Senate. May I answer or attempt to answer

 23. some of the arguments that were brought up during...during debate.

 24. The entanglement argument is specious, Congress has powers

 25. under the Sixteenth Amendment to write the income tax laws
- 25. under the Sixteenth Amendment to write the income tax in any way it pleases. Congress gives...can encourage
- 27. home ownership through deductions, mortgage interest, or promote oil production through a depletion allowance or
- ease the burden of illness through the medical deduction.
- Congress surely can adopt a device of tax deductions to
- assist parents in providing education for their children.
- The same thing applies at the legislative level when we
- give tax deductions here, for all sorts of reasons. Certainly

```
the Legislature can provide tax deductions for people choosing
ı.
      to send their children to private education. I don't know
2.
      how many of you had the opportunity to read the Coleman
3.
      Report, commissioned by the...U. S. Department of Education.
4.
      James Coleman is a very liberal sociologist. Some of his
5.
      proposals...but he did a report on tuition tax...tuition
6.
      tax deductions and tuition tax credits, and here are
7.
      some of the conclusions, briefly. One, even when family
 8.
      background factors that predict achievement are controlled,
 9.
      students in both Catholic and other private schools are
10.
      shown to achieve at a higher level than students in public
11.
      schools. Two, private schools provide a safer, more disciplined
12.
      and more ordered environment than do public schools. Three,
13.
       far higher percentages of private school students than those
14.
       in public schools perceive their teacher's interest in students
15.
       as excellent. Four, while Catholic schools as a group enroll
16.
       about half the proportion of blacks as the public schools
17.
       and other private schools, only about a quarter of the pro-
18.
       portion, black/white segregation within individual public
19.
       schools is greater than within individual private schools.
20.
       Far from aiding whites at the expense of blacks and those
21.
       better off, financially at the expense of those worst off,
22.
       according to Coleman's findings, tuition tax deductions
23.
       would bring more blacks, Hispanics and students from lower
24.
       income backgrounds into the private schools. Thus reducing
25.
       the between sector segregation and these students would
26.
       be moving from a sector of high racial segregation to a
27.
       sector of low racial segregation. The evidence is becoming
28.
       overwhelming and I think as I started...when I introduced
29.
       the measure, that our object is not only public education,
30.
       our object is education, period. And although the argument
31.
       on cost may have some...validity I think the principle
32.
```

is overriding.

Page 157- May 28, 1981

l. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 2. The question is shall Senate Bill 1020 pass. Those 3. in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 4. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On 5. 6. that question the Ayes are 30, the Nays are 24, none Voting Present. Senate Bill 1020 having received the 7. constitutional majority is declared passed. For what 8. purpose does Senator Bruce arise? 9. SENATOR BRUCE: 10. I would...request a verification of those who voted 11. in the affirmative. 12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 13. Senator Bruce has requested a verification of the 14. affirmative vote. Will all the Senators please be in 15. their seats. And will the Secretary read the affirmative 16. votes. 17. SECRETARY: 18. The following voted in the affirmative; Becker, Bloom, 19. Carroll, Chew, D'Arco, Dawson, DeAngelis, Degnan, Demuzio, 20. Egan, Friedland, Geo-Karis, Hall, Jeremiah Joyce, Jerome 21. Joyce, Keats, Lemke, Mahar, Nash, Nedza, Nega, Nimrod, 22. Ozinga, Rhoads, Simms, Thomas, Totten, Vadalabene, Walsh, 23. Mr. President. 24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 25. Is there any question of the affirmative roll, Senator 26. Bruce? 27. SENATOR BRUCE: 28. Is Senator Bloom on the Floor? 29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 30. Senator Bloom on the Floor? Senator Bloom is on the 31. Floor. 32.

SENATOR BRUCE:

Is Senator Nimrod on the Floor?

33.

301025 dist

Page 158- May 28, 1981

1.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
2.	Senator NimrodHe's in the back of the Chamber.
3.	SENATOR BRUCE:
4.	Is Senator Mahar on the Floor?
5.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
6.	Senator Mahar is in his seat.
7.	SENATOR BRUCE:
8.	Thank you, Mr. President.
9.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
10.	On that question the Ayes are 30, the Nays are 24,
11.	the roll call havingand none Voting Present, and the
12.	roll call having been verified, Senate Bill 1020 is declared
13.	passed. Senator Lemke moves to reconsider the vote. Senator
14.	Rhoads moves to Table that. All in favor indicate by saying
15.	Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion is Tabled.
16.	Senate Bill 1021, Senator Schaffer. Senate Bill 1022, Senator
17.	Schaffer. Senate Bill1025, Senator Berning. Read the
18.	bill, Mr. Secretary.
19.	SECRETARY:
20.	Senate Bill 1025.
21.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
22.	3rd reading of the bill.
23.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
24.	Senator Berning.
25.	SENATOR BERNING:
26.	Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.
27.	Senate Bill 1025 is an administration bill brought to me
28.	by the Pension Division of the Department of Insurance.
29.	It is corrective in nature, clarifies a great many instances
30.	where there has been ambiguity in the Statutes and to bring
31.	the Statutes up into conformity with what is now accepted
32.	practices and activities within the department. It has
33.	been thoroughly reviewed by the Pension Laws Commission and

Page 159 - May 28, 1981

ı. has been endorsed by that body. I know of no objections to 2. it, Mr. President, if there are questions I'll attempt to ٦. answer. But if not, I would move for a favorable roll 4. call. 5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) Further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis. If not, the 6. question is shall Senate... Senator Bruce. 7. SENATOR BRUCE: 8. ...I just wondered, everyone tells me this is just 9. a technical amendment and...but I get words from the House 10. that these bills, this one and the next one, are making 11. changes. Senator, I notice you have put in...like the 12. definition of permanent disability. Is that currently 13. the provision...under which a person gets permanent 14. disability? 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 16. Senator Berning. 17. SENATOR BERNING: 18. This is a clarification, Senator, of what has been the 19. ... objective and is now to be put into... into the Statute 20. as such. It allows a disabled fireman whose combined years 21. of creditable service and time on disability equal to at 22. least twenty years and who is on the disability pension 23. rolls as a result of an act of duty, to permanently retire 24. from the fire service at the same rate of pension as he 25. is receiving as a disabled fireman. It does add a little 26. additional benefit for that disabled fireman. 27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 28. Senator Bruce. 29. SENATOR BRUCE: 30. No, my question is, you've...you've defined permanent 31. disability. Is that what permanent disability is defined 32.

as...currently?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33.

Page 160- May 28, 1981

1.	Senator Berning.
2.	SENATOR BERNING:
3.	This does not define permanent disability, that's
4.	already in the act, Senator.
5.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
6.	Senator Bruce.
7.	SENATOR BRUCE:
8.	Then the Reference Bureau has made a mistake because
9.	on Page 2, you have underlined the whole definition of
10.	permanent disability unless I've got the wrong act and
11.	you and I are not talking about the same bill. Lines 11
12.	through 16 are underlined, on Page 2, which puts into
13.	the Statutes a definition of permanent disability.
14.	And my question again, is, what is the current definition
15.	of disability?
16.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
17.	Senator Berning.
18.	SENATOR BERNING:
19.	Apparently I havemisconstrued, Senator. I think
20.	that is part of the difficulty, there has been no positive
21.	determination of what permanent disability is, I stand
22.	corrected. This does spell out what permanent disability
23.	is, in other words, "any physical or mental impairment
24.	that A, can be expected to result in death or B, has lasted for a
25.	continuous period of not less than twelve months or C,
26.	can be expected to last for a continuous period of not
27.	less than twelve months. This has not been completely
28.	defined inin the past and it is for that reason that
29.	this bill, as well as the next one, incidentally has been
30.	requested by the Department ofInsurance.
31.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
	Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:

```
l.
           Well. Senator, I can read as well as the next person, maybe, but
 2.
       it seems to me you're making ... significant changes in a bill that's ...
       continues to be passed off as something that doesn't make any
3.
       changes. And this affects every downstate fireman and the
4.
5.
       next bill is going...affect every downstate policeman. And
       you have removed, "married minor children under the eighteen...
 6.
       under the age of eighteen from benefits,"I don't know why.
 7.
       On Page 13, as your man flips through there, on line 11, you've
 8.
       added the word, "unmarried" and you've changed the benefits
 9.
       and eligibility of children when a widow remarries and as
10.
       you flip through this, there's...there's a lot
11.
       of change, and it appears to have affected the downstate firemen.
12.
       And my House Indian tells me that we ought to leave that
13.
      here, and unless you can convince me otherwise I plan to vote No.
14.
       PRESIDENT:
15.
            Further discussion? Senator Thomas.
16.
       SENATOR THOMAS:
17.
            Thank you, Mr. President. Question of the sponsor.
18.
       PRESIDENT:
19.
            He indicates he'll yield, Senator Thomas.
20.
       SENATOR THOMAS:
21.
            Senator, following Senator Bruce's line of thinking
22.
       on this bill and the bill to follow on the Policemen's
23.
       Retirement Fund. Do firemen and policemen want these
24.
       bills?
25.
       PRESIDENT:
26.
            Senator Berning.
27.
       SENATOR BERNING:
28.
            Yes, in fact, just before we went into Session, I had
29.
       again a call from Mr. Gene Baker, the Firefighter's Association,
30.
       asking what he could do to assist in the passage of Senate
31.
       Bill 1025 and I said, well, for heaven's sake, so far as
32.
       I know, there is little resistance to it, but if he wanted
33.
```

```
to call all of you, that would be my suggestion. I repeat,
 ı.
      this...now as...as far as the question about the children
 2.
      is concerned, in response to Senator Bruce's question,
 3.
      that is to bring these systems into conformity with some
      of the other systems, Senator.
 5.
      PRESIDENT:
 6.
            Senator Thomas.
 7.
       SENATOR THOMAS:
 8.
           Well, I would say, if...if the firefighters are for
 9.
       it, then I'll...I'll support it. I was just concerned that we
10.
       may be doing something that the...the firefighters were
11.
       against.
12.
       PRESIDENT:
13.
            Further discussion? Senator Bruce, for the second time.
14.
       SENATOR BRUCE:
15.
            I just want to point out that although we're removing
16.
       these benefits and making it like other systems, I think
17.
       everyone ought to realize that you're removing that
18.
       benefit from firemen. I don't care whether you want to
19.
       make all the systems uniform. I don't know what my firemen
20.
     want. I don't plan to support this thing until it...someone
21.
       explains to me why this bill continues to be passed off
22.
       as something that's just minor, we're streamlining, that
23.
       was your explanation. When we question, you get the definition
24.
       of a permanent disability being added, that the benefits for
25.
       unmarried children are changed to make this in conformity
26.
       to the other systems. The problem is, the firemen lose
27.
       that benefit, that's the essence of it. And I'm not sure
28.
       at all that all the firemen are in favor of it and I still
29.
       plan to vote No.
30.
```

Further discussion? Senator Egan.

PRESIDENT:

SENATOR EGAN:

31.

32.

Page 163- May 28, 1981

1.	Yes, thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate.
2.	Senator Berning, this comes as aas a surprise to me. As
3.	I read the Digest, I have not read the bill, as I read the
4.	Digest, it does not indicate whatsoever that there is any
5.	definition change to total disability nor is there any
6.	reference to theto thetaking the benefit away from
7.	the children, which would make the bill unconstitutional,
8.	perhaps. The Department of Insurance would like to reevaluate
9.	the bill and it would be my wish that we would just keep it
10.	on the Calendar so that we can dress it up if it's possible.
11.	PRESIDENT:
12.	Senator Berning.
13.	SENATOR BERNING:
14.	Well, Mr. President, this was one of those bills that
15.	was filed late, there was no time for the Pension Laws
16.	Commission, as such, to meet on it. The actuary for the
17.	system did review it and his comments are this, "the bill
18.	does not have any fiscal impact, the number of changes are
19.	made to help clarify the intent of the present legislation,
20.	no substantive changes in benefits are made, the only
21.	substantive changes appear to be the addition of notes,
22.	bonds and debentures or other similar obligations which
23.	are guaranteed as to principal for the purpose of investment."
24.	As I said, it was a Department of Insurance recommended change
25.	in the Statutes, has the support of the firemen. I personally
26.	don't care, if you don't want tovote for it, vote it down.
27.	PRESIDENT:
28.	The question is shall Senate Bill 1025 pass. Those in
29.	favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The
30.	voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
31.	who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On
32.	that question the Ayes are 35, the Nays are 14, 1 Voting Present
33.	Senate Bill 1025, having received the required constitutional

302 Pending

Page 164 - May 28, 1981

l. majority is declared passed. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd 2. reading, top of Page 15, is Senate Bill 1026. Read the bill, 3. Mr. Secretary. 4. SECRETARY: Senate Bill 1026. 5. (Secretary reads title of bill) 6. 3rd reading of the bill. 7. PRESIDENT: 8. Senator Berning. 9. SENATOR BERNING: 10. Thank you, Mr. President. Once again, this is a department... 11. an administration bill. Does essentially the same things as 12. the last bill did. Has no fiscal impact and is given to 13. me as being primarily corrective in its content and meeting 14. the request of the department and the downstate police. 15. PRESIDENT: 16. Any discussion? Senator Bruce. 17. SENATOR BRUCE: 18. I would just point out that the same benefits that are being 19. removed from the firemen are now being proposed to be removed 20. from the policemen. I...these bills are again, passed off 21. as some sort of codification and approval, but this affects 22. when a widow can remarry, the definition of the unmarried 23. child, the change in when he gets permanent disability 24. payments with twenty years. This is a major bill and also 25. changes what they can buy in the way of investment : notes. 26. I would, just again, like to hold these or do something 27. with them. The last one passed, I guess this one will too, 28. but I think that every policeman is going to be very happy 29. with you when you get home. 30.

Further discussion? Senator Vadalabene.

33. SENATOR VADALABENE:

PRESIDENT:

31.

```
l.
           Senator Berning, I voted for the last bill and again
2.
      Senator Bruce is coming up with the same allegations. Are
3.
       the policemen, downstate policemen, for this bill or are
       they against it?
4.
      PRESIDENT:
5.
           Senator Berning.
6.
      SENATOR BERNING:
7.
           At the time these bills were filed, both the Police
8.
       and Fire Associations were in contact with me. Originally
9.
       they had intended to consider some amendments, but at the
10.
       last minute they said No. Let it go the way it is, they
11.
       are not dissatisfied with them at all and so I am following
12.
      on through.
13.
       PRESIDENT:
14.
            Further discussion? If not, the question is shall
15.
       Senate Bill 1026 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye.
16.
       Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open.
17.
       all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all
18.
       voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the
19.
       Ayes are 34, the Nays are 17, 1 Voting Present. Senate
20.
       Bill 1026, having received the required constitutional
21.
       majority is declared passed. Senator Totten, for what
22.
       purpose do you arise?
23.
       SENATOR TOTTEN:
24.
            An inquiry of the Chair. Senator Berning, on the
25.
       last three bills, spoke for them, I think he voted for
26.
       them, but...
27.
       PRESIDENT:
28.
            Senator Berning.
29.
       SENATOR BERNING:
30.
            Yes, Mr. President, I would like the magnetic marvel
31.
```

to show that had my key not been turned by some inadvertence,

I would have been voting green on the last three bills.

32.

```
ı.
      PRESIDENT:
           The record will so indicate. On the Order of Senate
2.
      Bills 3rd reading, Senate Bill...Senator Weaver, for what
 3.
      purpose do you arise?
4.
      SENATOR WEAVER:
5.
            I was just going to say, he has an aversion 'to green
6.
       lights.
7.
      PRESIDENT:
 8.
            On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd reading, Senate Bill
 9.
       1030. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
10.
       SECRETARY:
11.
            Senate Bill 1030.
12.
                (Secretary reads title of bill)
13.
       3rd reading of the bill.
14.
       PRESIDENT:
15.
            Senator Egan.
16.
       SENATOR EGAN:
17.
            Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate.
18.
       Senate Bill 1030 will allow the option to buy into
19.
       the system by eligible participants until the first of
20.
       January 1982. The...the matter has been taken up in the
21.
       Pension Laws Commission to their satisfaction after...the
22.
       only controversy arose was the amount of interest that
23.
       should be paid along with the amount of money that would
24.
       have to be paid in to pick up time. And it was decided that
25.
       that should be at the current interest rate because when
26.
       the...the law that we have been using for years limited
27.
       the interest rate at four percent. Now that interest rate,
28.
       obviously, must increase and the board of trustees will
29.
       set the current rate. And with that, I ask for your favorable
30.
       consideration.
31.
       PRESIDENT:
32.
            Any discussion? If not, the question is shall Senate
```

Page 167- May 28, 1981

```
ı.
      Bill 1030 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed
      will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
2.
      Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take
 3.
      the record. On that question the Ayes are 42, the Nays
4.
      are 8, none Voting Present. Senate Bill 1030, having received
5.
      the required constitutional majority is declared passed.
6.
      Senator Schaffer on the Floor? 1031. On the Order of Senate
7.
      Bills 3rd reading, Senate Bill 1031. Read the bill, Mr.
8.
      Secretary.
9.
      SECRETARY:
10.
           Senate Bill 1031.
11.
                (Secretary reads title of bill)
12.
      3rd reading of the bill.
13.
      PRESIDENT:
14.
           Senator Schaffer.
15.
      SENATOR SCHAFFER:
16.
            It's simply a Revenue transfer for the FY '81 operations
17.
      of the division. Ask for a favorable roll call.
18.
      PRESIDENT:
19.
           Any discussion? If not, the question is shall Senate Bill
20.
      1031 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will
21.
      vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
22.
      Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take
23.
       the record. On that question the Ayes are 54, the Nays are
24.
       none, none Voting Present. Senate Bill 1031, having received
25.
       the required constitutional majority is declared passed.
26.
       On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd reading, Senator Bloom.
27.
       On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd reading, Senate Bill 1034.
28.
       Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
29.
       SECRETARY:
30.
            Senate Bill 1034.
31.
                (Secretary reads title of bill)
32.
```

3rd reading of the bill.

Monday Roding

33.

Page 168 - May 28, 1981

l. PRESIDENT: Senator Bloom. 2. SENATOR BOOOM: 3. Well, thank you, very much, Mr. President and fellow 4. Senators. This bill encompasses some changes in the way 5. the Department of Education and Registration does its 6. business. Basically, as you recall, there was a...a very 7. large amendment put on and one of the problems that they 8. face is that the occupations that they license and oversee ... 9. the renewal dates sometimes fall due, they fall due all on 10. the same date. So, you have a situation and don't forget 11. ... that one of the reasons it's so thick and... and long 12. is that the Department of Registration and Education administers 13. about thirty-two licensing acts. What they...one of the features 14. of this bill is that it staggers the renewal dates for licenses 15. to remedy the situation where you have maybe, let's say, 16. the month of January, eight occupations fall due for renewal 17. or...or the month of June, sixteen. So you...you have periods 18. of this frantic activity and...and then nothing. I'll answer 19. any questions and otherwise seek a favorable roll call. 20. PRESIDENT: 21. Any discussion? If not, the question is shall Senate 22. Bill 1034 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed 23. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all woted who 24. wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 25. Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 55, the 26. Nays are none, none Voting Present. Senate Bill 1034, 27. having received the required constitutional majority is 28. declared passed. Senator Keats on 1040. On the Order of 29. Senate Bills 3rd reading, Senate Bill 1040. Read the bill, 30. Mr. Secretary. 31. SECRETARY: 32. Senate Bill 1040.

```
ı.
               (Secretary reads title of bill)
2.
      3rd reading of the bill.
      PRESIDENT:
3.
           Senator Keats.
4.
      SENATOR KEATS:
5.
           Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of
6.
      the Senate. Senate Bill 1040 passed...the Committee on Public
7.
      Health and Welfare May 5th on a vote of eleven to nothing.
8.
      What it does, is says that under Medicaid we're finding
9.
      a problem. Some of the private insurers will pay sooner,
10.
      we pay their bill and then they're refusing to reimburse
11.
      us. For various technical reasons we're caught paying
12.
      that bill and then they feel no need to reimburse us, so
13.
      we are actually paying the bills that are owed by private
14.
      insurance companies. This says that those private insurance
15.
      companies must reimburse us whenewe have already paid
16.
      their bill when they owe it. I'd appreciate a favorable
17.
      roll call.
18.
      PRESIDENT:
19.
           Any discussion? If not, the question is shall Senate
20.
      Bill 1040 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed
21.
      will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
22.
      Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take
23.
      the record. On that question the Ayes are 53, the Nays are
24.
      none, none Voting Present...1 Voting Present. Senate Bill
25.
       1040, having received the required constitutional majority
26.
       is declared passed. On the Order of Senate...Senator Marovitz.
27.
       On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd reading, Senate Bill 1057.
28.
       Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
29.
       SECRETARY:
30.
            Senate Bill 1057.
31.
               (Secretary reads title of bill)
32.
       3rd reading of the bill.
```

```
l.
      PRESIDENT:
2.
           Senator Marovitz.
3.
      SENATOR MAROVITZ:
           Thank you, very much, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen
4.
      of the Senate. Senate Bill 1057 permits the trial court to
5.
      reduce or modify a sentence within sixty days after receipt
6.
      of a mandate issued upon affirmance of the judgment or dismissal
7.
      of the appeal by a court of review. This bill does not force
8.
      a judge to do anything at all, it's a product of the Chicago
9.
      Bar Association. Just gives the court an opportunity to
10.
       reduce the sentence due to a change of...circumstance...in
11.
       the intervening time between the...conviction, the sentence
12.
       and an affirmative mandate by an appellate court. The intent
13.
       of our penal system is to reform and rehabilitate. This
14.
       is consistent with that intent. This bill is in response
15.
       to a court decision calling for legislative intent on this...
16.
       on this issue. It is a Bar Association Bill and I'd ask for
17.
       an affirmative roll call.
18.
       PRESIDENT:
19.
            Any discussion? Senator Bowers.
20.
       SENATOR BOWERS:
21.
            Sponsor yield to a question?
22.
       PRESIDENT:
23.
            Indicates he will, Senator Bowers.
24.
       SENATOR BOWERS:
25.
           We're adding, are we not, an additional remedy in
26.
       case...someone appeals a sentence, the appellate court
27.
       says no, that sentence is fine and they affirm it, it
28.
       comes back down, then we're giving him another shot. Is
29.
       that really what we're saying?
30.
       PRESIDENT:
31.
            Senator Marovitz.
```

32.

33.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

The court...the original trial court judge would have l. the opportunity to reduce the sentence at that time. Due 2. to a change in circumstances. 3. PRESIDENT: 4 Senator Bowers. 5. SENATOR BOWERS: 6. Well, as you know, I was in committee when this was 7. heard, and I apologize for taking your time and the time 8. of the Body, but...doesn't it in effect, say that once the 9. appellate court turns him down and then he comes back down, 10. he then is entitled to counsel, all the things that...that 11. we give to defendants and now you get another shot at 12. the circuit court level because the appellate court... 13. the circuit court has once said, X number of years, the 14. appellate court said, that's not unreasonable, therefore 15. it's affirmed, now we're coming back and giving him a 16. second shot to the circuit court. Isn't that really what 17. we're doing? 18. PRESIDENT: 19. Senator Marovitz. 20. SENATOR MAROVITZ: 21 That was the same question you asked us before. That 22. is true. Due to a change of circumstances, if the trial 23. court feels that there has been a change of circumstances 24. and this happens in a limited number of cases. There was 25. a case, recently, where there was a four year intervening 26. time, during that period of time the individual graduated 27. college, went to law school, graduated law school, had...had 28. a family and became...very substantially able to support 29. his family and the trial court felt that...that there was 30. a change of circumstances, they reduced the sentence, the 31. conviction still held, reduced the sentence, felt that there

was...rehabilitation and the court felt that they needed a

32.

Page 172 - May 28, 1981

l. legislative mandate in order to do this. 2. PRESIDENT: Further discussion? If not, the question is shall Senate 3. Bill 1057 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed 4. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 5. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the 6. record. On that question the Ayes are 23, the Nays are 24, 7. 1 Voting Present. Senate Bill 1057, having failed to receive 8. the required constitutional majority is declared lost. 1061, 9. Senator Egan. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd reading, Senate 10. Bill 1061. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 11. SECRETARY: 12. Senate Bill 1061. 13. (Secretary reads title of bill) 14. 3rd reading of the bill. 15. PRESIDENT: 16. Senator Egan. 17. SENATOR EGAN: 18. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. 19. This benefit increase for survivors is the same that has 20. been afforded some of the other...systems. The...the one 21. thing that I would like to see is a uniform bill to 22. cover all the systems at one time. But the orderly 23. process does not allow that because the systems come in 24. and make their request individually. We can't assume that 25. they all do want it, although we should. It has been 26. afforded the other systems, all of which...have asked 27. that they do it concurrently, however this is...this 28. is an ad hoc benefit, is only good for one year. If 29. we can continue to do that under the current funding 30. method, fine, if we can't, then we'll just have to 31. deny it after a time. But it is...it is the "uniform

provision," as uniform as we can be. I commend it to

32.

Page 173- May 28, 1981

1.	your favorable consideration.
2.	PRESIDENT:
3.	Any discussion? Senator Berning.
4.	SENATOR BERNING:
5.	Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in support of the
6.	bill. It has been amended to conform to the Pension Laws
7.	accepted policy of an ad hoc increase in pension on a one
8.	shot basis. Originally it would have been a rather substantially
9.	expensive bill, now there is a rather minimum cost in it, something
10.	that the Pension Laws Commission and the sponsor and I recommend
11.	an Aye vote on.
12.	PRESIDENT:
13.	Further discussion? Senator Simms.
14.	SENATOR SIMMS:
15.	Would either Senator Egan or Senator Berning respond
16.	to, how much will this cost?
17.	PRESIDENT:
18.	Senator Egan.
19.	SENATOR EGAN:
20.	Well, it would have cost a substantial amount, had we
21.	made it a permanent benefit, but the ad hoc provision is
22.	for one year, it'sit's negligible, it'sI don't know,
23.	but it's nothing, practically. It's something, but it's
24.	very small. II don't know.
25.	PRESIDENT:
26.	Senator Simms.
27.	SENATOR SIMMS:
28.	What do you call nothingornothing, I mean what
29.	figure do you place at that? I mean, I'm curious as to the
30.	cost.
31.	PRESIDENT:
32.	Senator Egan.

SENATOR EGAN:

Page 174 - May 28, 1981

٠.	To beto beintelligent with the answer, Senator
2.	Simms, I would have to give you a percentage and it would
3.	be less than one percent of theof the annual amount
4.	of money paid out to the annuitants.
5.	PRESIDENT:
6.	Senator Simms.
7.	SENATOR SIMMS:
8.	Well, are we speaking what, in excess of a million
9.	dollars, a million dollars pretty close? Less?
10.	PRESIDENT:
11.	Senator Egan.
12.	SENATOR EGAN:
13.	Far less.
14.	PRESIDENT:
15.	Further discussion? Senator Grotberg.
16.	SENATOR GROTBERG:
17.	Thank you, Mr. President. A question of the sponsor.
18.	PRESIDENT:
19.	Indicates he'll yield, Senator Grotberg.
20.	SENATOR GROTBERG:
21.	Senator Egan, is thereis this for all widows andand
22.	annuitantsor just from a certain time period, because in
23.	the teacher's we went back to 1980 or something, back a few
24.	years. This is everybodyof all the widows, of all the
25.	judges, et cetera?
26.	PRESIDENT:
27.	Senator Egan.
28.	SENATOR EGAN:
29.	The present survivors.
30.	PRESIDENT:
31.	Further discussion? Senator Bruce.
32.	SENATOR BRUCE:
33.	Isis anyone worried about the constitutional prohibitio

: | |

Page 175 - May 28, 1981

l. against impairment of any pension rights in that we will vest this and then take it away a year later? And secondly, 2. what do widows of General Assembly members get as a kicker 3. on their...their benefits? 4. PRESIDENT: 5. Senator Egan. 6. SENATOR EGAN: 7. Well, no...it, we have...resolved the constitutional 8. problem making this an ad hoc benefit which is a benefit 9. that is given only once. And by not giving it again, 10. doesn't mean you're taking it away. There is no vesting 11. of any right for more than what we're giving. And number 12. two, the...the survivors of the General Assembly system 13. get three percent, it's the same amount. 14. PRESIDENT: 15. Further discussion? If not, the question is shall 16. Senate Bill 1061 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those 17. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted 18. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who 19. wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 20. 38, the Nays are 13, none Voting Present. Senate Bill 1061, 21. having received the required constitutional majority is 22. declared passed. 1064, Senator Philip. On the Order of 23. Senate Bills 3rd reading, is Senate Bill 1064. Read the bill, 24. Mr. Secretary. Yes, I beg your pardon, Senator Philip. 25. SENATOR PHILIP: 26. Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to ask leave to 27. pass this up and come back to it tomorrow. 28. PRESIDENT: 29. Well, we'll hopefully get back to all of them tomorrow. 30. SENATOR PHILIP: 31. Thank you.

32.

33.

PRESIDENT:

28108 Remind

Page 176 - May 28, 1981

1.	1065, Senator Kent. You wish to call it? On the Order
2.	of Senate Bills 3rd reading, Senate Bill 1065. Read the bill
3.	Mr. Secretary.
4.	SECRETARY:
5.	Senate Bill 1065.
6.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
7.	3rd reading of the bill.
8.	PRESIDENT:
9.	Senator Sommer, for what purpose do you arise? OhI be
10.	your pardon. Senator Kent.
11.	SENATOR KENT:
12.	Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. I'm
13.	was a little disappointed this wasn't on the Agreed Bill
14.	List. Tuesday night I attended a open public meeting put
15.	on by the Department of Conservation in Peoria. There were
16.	quite a few questions raised that I think should be answered
17.	And I would like to move to have this recommittedto
18.	PRESIDENT:
19.	All right. Senator Kent moves to recommit Senate Bill
20.	1065 to the Committee on Agriculture, Conservation and
21.	Energy. Does she have leave? Leave is granted. So ordered.
22.	1081, Senator Friedland. On the Order of Senate Bills
23.	3rd reading, Senate Bill 1081. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
24.	SECRETARY:
25.	Senate Bill 1081.
26.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
27.	3rd reading of the bill.
28.	PRESIDENT:
29.	Senator Friedland.
30.	SENATOR FRIEDLAND:
31.	Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of
32.	the Senate. Senate Bill 1081 amends the Unemployment Insurance Act to reflect new Federal requirements for
33.	insurance Act to refrect new rederal requirements for

Page 177 - May 28, 1981

ı. individuals receiving extended unemployment insurance benefits. 2. I'd urge your favorable consideration of this... PRESIDENT: 3. Any discussion? Senator Keats. 4. 5. SENATOR KEATS: Thank you, Mr. President. I was going ... it to 6. Senator Dawson. But just...this is the Federal compliance 7. legislation to make sure that it's handled correctly. It is 8. Friedland-Dawson. Whenever you see Friedland-Dawson, I'd 9. be a little nervous too, but...anyway, it's just Federal 10. compliance, it's nothing serious. 11. PRESIDENT: 12. Further discussion? Senator Dawson. 13. SENATOR DAWSON: 14. Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I 15. ask for a favorable roll call because this is required for 16. the extended benefits for unemployment. 17. PRESIDENT: 18. The question is shall Senate Bill 1081 pass. Those 19. in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The 20. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 21. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On 22. that question the Ayes are 56, the Nays are none, none 23. Voting Present. Senate Bill 1081, having received the 24. required constitutional majority is declared passed. 25. Top of Page 16, 1086. Senator Demuzio. On the Order of 26. Senate Bills 3rd reading, on the top of Page 16 is Senate 27. Bill 1086. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 28. SECRETARY: 29. Senate Bill 1086. 30. (Secretary reads title of bill) 31.

3rd reading of the bill.

. PRESIDENT:

32.

```
l.
           Senator Demuzio.
2.
      SENATOR DEMUZIO:
           Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
3.
      Senate. Senate Bill 1086 started out to address a problem
4.
5.
      that was applicable in my district with a landfill, a
      hazardous landfill in Brighton. And by virtue of a
6.
      Senate Amendment No. 1 that was adopted here on the
7.
      Floor, it clarifies that all hazardous waste permits
8.
      must be received prior to the material being disposed
9.
      in a landfill. And I think that it would be superfluous
10.
      if Senate Bill 1091 or 875, which I understand is now
11.
      on the Agreed Bill List which implements the RCRA
12.
      provisions in Illinois, passes. But the main thrust of
13.
      the bill, I suspect now, is in Amendment No. 2. Amend-
14.
      ment No. 2 addresses itself to the Supreme Court decision
15.
      of this past Friday whereby it upheld the Wilsonville
16.
      Case and to the...to the extent that the owners of the
17.
      hazardous waste landfill must now exhume or thereby remove
18.
      the material under the Supreme Court Order. Amendment
19.
      No. 2 simply suggests that the material that is being
20.
      removed from any landfill that has been declared by
21.
      the court to have been deposited in...unlawfully, cannot
22.
      be deposited in any other landfill within the State of
23.
      Illinois. And that's simply what the...the bill does.
24.
      With all the publicity...surrounding the case, I don't
25.
       think that there's anybody in here in this Chamber that would
26.
       want to have that material located within their community
27.
       and therefore I ask for your favorable support.
28.
       PRESIDENT:
29.
            Any discussion? Senator Grotberg.
30.
       SENATOR GROTBERG:
31.
            Thank you, Mr. President. A question of the sponsor.
32.
```

Senator Demuzio...where the hell are they going to put it?

Page 179 - May 28, 1981

1.	PRESIDENT:
2.	Senator Demuzio.
3.	SENATOR DEMUZIO:
4.	Senator Grotberg, why don't you call them and ask them?
5.	PRESIDENT:
6.	Senator Grotberg.
7.	SENATOR GROTBERG:
8.	Well, thank you, Mr. President. Thethe bill, I think
9.	I was going to be a cosponsor on this bill, but up until
10.	thatamendment. As I understand, it's going to take
11.	about twenty million dollars to dig up whatever is in Wilsonville.
L2.	I've been down there and itit should never have gone in,
13.	we all agree on that, okay. But at this point in time, I
L4.	think the Amendment No. 2 kind of makes it a murderous
15.	economic costineffective operation and nullifies a lot
16.	of the Supreme Court Order. I don't know where they're going
17.	to put it either. But to immediately put upon, statutorily,
18.	the fact that they can't possibly do it in Illinois, that's
L9.	kind of like an Interstate Commerce Commission ban on
20.	everything and may be unconstitutional. I'm going to vote
21.	against this bill.
22.	PRESIDENT:
23.	Further discussion? Senator Maitland.
24.	SENATOR MAITLAND:
25.	Well, thank you, Mr. President. My question was the
26.	very same as Senator Grotberg's. You know, it sounds
27.	good on the surface but it creates an additional problem.
28.	And II have to resist the bill because of that amendment.
29.	PRESIDENT:
30.	Further discussion? Senator Bowers.
31.	SENATOR BOWERS:
	Sponsor yield?

PRESIDENT:

Page 180 - May 28, 1981

1.	He indicates he'll yield, Senator Bowers.
2.	SENATOR BOWERS:
3.	I'm sorry, I'm not totally familiar with the Wilsonville
4.	Case, but it appears to me that there could be situations
5.	where, because of certainsoil properties oror certain
6.	lack of preparation, that it mightaa landfill oror
7.	a given substance could be illegal in one place, but on
8.	the other hand, if it was a different type of subsoil
9.	or a different type of situation altogether, it would
10.	be perfectly legitimate. Now, it seems to me if that
11.	were, in fact, the case, we could have a situation where
L2.	the court would order it out of one area and it would
13.	be perfectly legal in anotherinsofar as present
L4.	law is concerned, but under this bill, they simply
L5.	couldn't put it anywhere. They can't fly it to the moon
16.	yet andyou know, it gets to be an impossible situation
L7.	and I simply ask you if there wouldn't be situations that
18.	would be prohibited here that would otherwise be perfectly
19.	safe and legal?
20.	PRESIDENT:
21.	Senator Demuzio. Oh, I thought it was a question, I
22.	beg your pardon. I thoughtyeah, Senator Bowers thought
23.	it was too. Senator Demuzio.
24.	SENATOR DEMUZIO:
25.	Well, I didn't. Let me respond byby simply saying
26.	that, I don't know of any other facility that the company
27.	now operates in the State of Illinois that would have
28.	permitted, at least in this State, that they could move it
29.	to. There may be some kind of an agreement that they would
30.	make with some other company that has a landfill that meets
31.	the same criteria that they could. I'm just simply preventing
12.	it from taking place to be deposited here within the State of

Illinois by virtue of all the publicity that has been generated

Page 181 - May 28, 1981

l.

2.

33.

in response to this case.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Joyce. 3. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE: 4. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to rise and...and 5. say that the amendment is...is a good amendment. What it's 6. doing is protecting every one of you Fellows in here and 7. Ladies, so they're not going to put it in your district. I 8. think that we all ought to support this. Thank you. 9. PRESIDENT: 10. Further discussion? Senator Bruce. 11. SENATOR BRUCE: 12. Well I...I think Senator Joyce has hit...hit the nail 13. on the head and that is, I don't want this stuff in my district. 14. I plan to support this bill. Anyone who doesn't want to support 15. it, I hope that you will all notify the EPA that you, frankly, 16. would like to have this moved from Senator Demuzio's district 17. into your district. And then you go and have the public meetings 18. like I have seen of people and you try to buy the farm land 19. and you try to pollute the ground water systems in your district 20. as they have tried to do in Senator Demuzio's district and 21. as they keep looking at my district, I don't want it. If 22. you want it, fine. But if you're going to vote against this 23. bill, just realize when you go to those public meetings with 24. five and six hundred angry citizens saying don't put it next 25. to my homestead that you voted No on this bill and you should 26. say, well, I...I thought it was a good idea that we move 27. it up to the 34th District and put it right in my back yard. 28. PRESIDENT: 29. Further discussion? Senator Sommer. 30. SENATOR SOMMER: 31. Just...just a question of the sponsor. How does this bill 32. relate to those bills...at least one has passed here and there's

```
some in the House that have passed over here, giving the county
 1.
      boards the power to...to site these locations. What
2.
      is the relationship between this bill and that? I...I
 3.
      really don't know.
4.
      PRESIDENT:
5.
            Senator Demuzio.
 6.
      SENATOR DEMUZIO:
7.
            It's not...it is...it is not a siting question because
8.
       the siting question deals with the developmental permit.
 9.
      This does not deal with those developmental permits whatsoever.
10.
       It simply deals with the Supreme Court case where the material
11.
       has been declared to be unlawfully deposited. It has nothing
12.
       to do with Senate Bill 172 on the siting question because
13.
       those permits, developmental permits, have already been
14.
       issued.
15.
       PRESIDENT:
16.
            Senator Sommer.
17.
       SENATOR SOMMER:
18.
            You're saying then that it's possible to move this
19.
       stuff into a site that already exists somewhere, from
20.
       your district into someone else's, but it has to be an
21.
       existing site?
22.
       PRESIDENT:
23.
            Senator Demuzio.
24.
       SENATOR DEMUZIO:
25.
            I'm not...I'm suggesting the...the first part of your
26.
       question, that...well for the second part of your question,
27.
       it does not have to be deposited into an existing site. I
28.
       suspect that the company, if they so desired, or another company,
29.
       could simply develop another site in the State of Illinois and
30.
       deposit the material, if they...assuming that they receive and
31.
       get the proper permission of the EPA. I'm just simply suggesting
32.
       by virtue of this amendment that the material that's been
33.
```

Page 183 - May 28, 1981

- ı. deposited here that has been unlawfully declared...illegally
- 2. deposited not be exhumed and placed into another site, either
- 3. existing or new site within the State of Illinois.
- 4. PRESIDENT:
- Further discussion? Senator Grotberg for the second time.
- SENATOR GROTBERG: 6.
- Sorry to take the time, but a thought has come to me. 7.
- You've almost convinced me to vote for it Vince, but it has 8.
- occurred to me for twenty million dollars, you could build
- a whole new Wilsonville somewhere else and leave the garbage
- 10.
- there and everybody would have a new house and money left 11.
- over. Maybe in the House we can amend it. 12.
- PRESIDENT: 13.
- Any further discussion? Senator Demuzio, you wish to 14.
- close? 15.

- SENATOR DEMUZIO: 16.
- Well, tempering my remarks, I suspect that the folks 17.
- in Wilsonville have lived there all of their lives and the material 18.
- was surreptitiously, according to the court, and I speak directly 19.
- to the opinion, deposited there. And I don't think that they 20.
- ought to have to move. The court has indicated, all the 21.
- way to the Supreme Court that the material needs to be exhumed, 22.
- moved, and I think it is, in fact, a good bill. And I would 23.
- hope that...respectfully, ask for your positive support on 24.
- Senate Bill 1086. 25.
- PRESIDENT: 26.
- The question is shall Senate Bill 1086 pass. Those in 27.
- favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting 28.
- is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 29.
- Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the 30.
- Ayes are 47, the Nays are 6, 2 Voting Present. Senate Bill 31.
- 1086, having received the required constitutional majority 32.
- is declared passed. 1093, on the Order of Senate Bills 3rd 33.
- reading is Senate Bill 1093. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 34.

33.

Page 184 - May 28, 1981

1.	SECRETARY:
2.	Senate Bill 1093.
3.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
4.	3rd reading of the bill.
5.	PRESIDENT:
6.	Senator Davidson.
7.	SENATOR DAVIDSON:
8.	Mr. President and members of the Senate, this does
9.	exactly what it says on the Calendar. This would set up so
10.	that we have State personnel people who would like to be on
11.	leave or the Federal Government would ask for a request for
12.	their expertise or train them in some expertise toof use to
13.	us and would create the Intergovernmental Mobility Revolving
14.	Fund so the Federal Government funds would pay during that
15.	time and that the individual would come back to his job or
16.	to the same classification in another job in case that job
17.	had been abolished duringup to the two year time he would
18.	be on leave under the Federal Government training. Appreciate
19.	a favorable roll call.
20.	PRESIDENT:
21.	Any discussion? Senator Berning.
22.	SENATOR BERNING:
23.	A question of the sponsor.
24.	PRESIDENT:
25.	Indicates he'll yield. Senator Berning.
26.	SENATOR BERNING:
27.	These being permanent employees, though they're not
28.	serving the State, will they still continue toamass Pension
29.	Fund benefit credits?
30.	PRESIDENT:
31.	Senator Davidson.
32.	SENATOR DAVIDSON:
	Itruly can't answer that. This is a Department of

Page 185 - May 28, .1981

ı. Personnel bill and they didn't give me that answer. I 2. would assume yes, 'cause the idea of it is to...so they can 3. leave, come back, that the Federal Government would, by funds into this Mobile Revolving Fund, assume all the respon-4. 5. sibility of the salaries, insurance and pension during that time. 6. PRESIDENT: 7. Further discussion? Senator Carroll. 8. SENATOR CARROLL: 9. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 10. Senate. I...my question, really, of Senator Davidson, we 11. had a similar bill to allow, in effect, transfers among 12. State agencies. The only difference that I'm hearing is 13. that this one allows you to hide them on a Federal payroll 14. and to set up a special account to hide those people and 15. you lose all effect in the appropriations process and your 16. ideas of where the employees are working. It seems to me 17. that this is as bad an idea as the one that Personnel asked 18. for to allow them to fudge people amongst the various State 19. agencies and if that's the case, I would urge opposition. 20. PRESIDENT: 21. Any further discussion? If I can have your attention 22 Channel 20 has asked leave...the same as Channels 2, 5, 7, 23. and 9 have already done, permission to film. Is leave granted? 24. Leave is granted. Senator Davidson, do you wish to close? 25. SENATOR DAVIDSON: 26. Well, in response to Senator Carroll's question or state-27. ment. From the information sheet given to me,... I don't think 28. that's correct that they're trying to hide anybody. It says 29. it allows State agencies, with the approval of the director, 30. to contract the Federal Government to place a permanent 31. certified or exempt State employee in Federal entity on a 32. temporary assignment, which may not exceed two years, and 33.

201108 ading

33.

Page 186 - May 28, 1981

l. then creates the thing...the fund, which the Federal Government 2. will have to pay the salary, etc. and it'll be by the Depart-3. ment of Personnel, which would be through our appropriation 4. process 'cause the monies would be paid by the Department... of Personnel to that individual as if he was a regular State 5. 6. employee. I don't think they could hide him. I have,... you know, as they say vote your conscience. It's an opportunity 7. to give a State employee a chance to get additional training 8. to be useful to us. I'd appreciate a favorable roll call. 9. PRESIDENT: 10. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1093 pass. Those 11. in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The 12. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 13. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On 14. that question, the Ayes are 26, the Nays are 18, none Voting 15. Present. Senate Bill 1093 having failed to receive the 16. required constitutional majority is declared lost. 1102 17. was just amended. 1108, Senator McMillan. On the Order of 18. Senate Bills 3rd reading, Senate Bill 1108. Read the bill, 19. Mr. Secretary. 20. SECRETARY: 21. Senate Bill 1108. 22. (Secretary reads title of bill) 23. 3rd reading of the bill. 24. PRESIDENT: 25. Senator McMillan. 26. SENATOR MCMILLAN: 27. Senate Bill 1108 is an administration bill. Its original 28. purpose was to eliminate the requirement in the Act that 29. forty percent of the...proceeds of...of the Lottery had to 30. eventually end up going into the State Treasury. We tried 31. for three years...an experiment and it proved successful to 32.

eliminate that forty percent requirement. That's what the

bill was intended to do. It was amended here on the Floor. 1. First, to...just reduce the requirement to thirty-five per-2. cent and it is in that form now. Two more amendments were 3. added to it...and that's the form that it is in. 4. PRESIDENT: 5. Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate 6. Bill 1108 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed 7. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 8. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the 9. record. On that question, the Ayes are 37, the Nays are 13, 10. 1 Voting Present. Senate Bill 1108 having received the re-11. quired constitutional majority is declared passed. 1111 was 12. amended. 1113, Senator Grotberg. Senator Grotberg. Going, 13. going, Senator Grotberg. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd 14. reading, Senate Bill 1113. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 15. SECRETARY: 16. Senate Bill 1113. 17. (Secretary reads title of bill) 18. 3rd reading of the bill. 19. PRESIDENT: 20 Senator Grotberg. 21. SENATOR GROTBERG: 22. Thank you, Mr. President. This bill, Senate Bill 1113, 23. allows a...in the Downstate Teachers Retirement System, 24. it allows a person retiring with no dependent beneficiaries 25. to receive a refund of the contribution of the survivor 26. benefit portion that is paid in, which I believe is one 27. percent. Currently, about half of the systems allow a 28. retiree with no dependent beneficiaries to receive their 29. contributions to the Benefits Fund, some with interest 30. and some without. This bill would allow members of the 31. downstate teachers in that situation to have refunded their 32.

contribution for survivor benefits without interest. Once

ı. the refund is made, the benefits can be repurchased by 2. ... repaying the contribution plus interest and one year of 3. additional service. I will do my best to answer questions. 4. There's no...fiscal impact to this bill, according to the 5. Pension...Laws Commission. PRESIDENT: 6. Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate 7. Bill 1113 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed 8. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 9. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the 10. record. On that question, the Ayes are 48, the Nays are none, 11. 3 Voting Present. Senate Bill 1113 having received the re-12. quired constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator 13. Walsh on 1116. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd reading, 14. Senate Bill 1116. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 15. SECRETARY: 16. Senate Bill 1116. 17. (Secretary reads title of bill) 18. 3rd reading of the bill. 19. PRESIDENT: 20. Senator Walsh. 21. SENATOR WALSH: 22. Mr. President and members of the Senate, Senate Bill 1116 23. was introduced at the request of the Attorney General. And 24. for those of you who think we may...we may have been... 25. spending money we don't have by way of appropriation bills 26. or granting tax relief that...might be questionable in view 27. of our...tight budget situation, this...this may be a bill 28. ...that...you might...desire supporting. It would provide 29. ...increased revenue of ... from seven to thirteen million 30. dollars. Let me briefly explain what the bill does. It 31. closes a major tax loophole in the area of taxing nonresidents 32. who happen to hold Illinois real estate in a land trust.

```
ı.
       It is felt that from two to four million dollars would be...
 2.
       would be raised if,...for example, an Ohio or Florida
       resident who owns Illinois real estate in a land trust
 3.
       would have his real estate...taxed under the Inheritance
 4.
       Tax Act. It restores to pre-1969 tax rates the tax
 5.
       on inheritances in excess of five million dollars passing
 6.
       to a surviving spouse. In 1969 the Legislature reduced
 7.
       that rate to six percent. This would restore it to fourteen
 8.
       percent, so there would be no exemption for those having ...
 9.
       estates...passing to a surviving spouse in excess of five
10.
       million dollars. It is anticipated that from three to
11.
       five million dollars might be raised...with this provision
12.
       of the bill. It also provides that a...taxpayer in an
13.
       estate where...the estate was raised by virtue of an Internal
14.
       Revenue Service audit couldn't then go back to the State
15.
       and get a reduction in the inheritance tax. It provides
16.
       that if there's an audit of the...by the Internal Revenue
17.
       Service, he can neither get a reduction...in his inheritance
18.
       tax nor would there be any increase. It is anticipated
19.
       that from three to five million dollars would be saved...by this
20.
       provision. It also provides that the obsolete system of
21.
       calculating delinquent interest on late payments be revised
22.
       so that the interest would be computed from the date the.
23.
       tax was due, the date the...the date...the return is due,
24.
       that is ten months after the date of death, rather than in
25.
       the event you have a late payment, it reverts back to the
26.
       date of death. It does increase the amount of the interest
27.
       rate to twelve percent, but it is figured there would be no
28.
       ... no revenue impact since... although, it increases the
29.
       rate, it doesn't revert back to the date of death for those
30.
       who are delinquent. It also restructures the classes of
31.
       beneficiaries in Class I. We now have three classes.
32.
```

just restructures without...changing the...the exemptions

Page 190 - May 28, 1981

```
1.
       ...or the rates and there's no fiscal impact...in this con-
 2.
       nection. It also redefines the beneficiary designation of
 3.
       a mutually acknowledged child. It's currently confusing under
 4.
       the Act and there would be an increased revenue of one million
 5.
       dollars...in this case. There are other technical changes.
       which I don't believe...are significant and, Mr. President
 6.
       and members of the Senate, as I've said it would raise...
 7.
       revenue in the amount of seven to thirteen million dollars.
 8.
       I'd be happy to answer any questions.
 9.
       PRESIDENT:
10.
            Any discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.
11.
       SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
12.
            Will the sponsor yield for a question?
13.
       PRESIDENT:
14.
            Indicates he will yield, Senator Geo-Karis.
15.
       SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
16.
            Do you have a minimum of estate or does it apply to
17.
       all estates? I think ... I wasn't quite clear on that.
18.
       PRESIDENT:
19.
            Senator Walsh.
20.
       SENATOR WALSH:
21.
            The...this...this bill applies to all estates. The only
22.
       area in which there is a change in the...in the minimum or
23.
       maximum, you might say, is that...in the...where in 1969...
24.
       the Legislature reduced the rate for estates in excess of
25.
       five million dollars passing to a surviving spouse to six
26.
       percent. This just brings it back to fourteen. That's the
27.
       only area where there's a minimum or maximum.
28.
       PRESIDENT:
29.
            Senator Geo-Karis.
30.
       SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
31.
            In...in other words, that's the only change, because...
32.
```

are you increasing the rates for estates in general, because

Page 191 - May 28, 1981

```
l.
       if you are, I cannot support the bill. We...we're taxed enough,
 2.
       but if you're telling me it only applies to estates of, say,
       a minimum of five million dollars and more then it's a different
 3.
 4.
       story.
 5.
       PRESIDENT:
            Senator Walsh.
 6.
       SENATOR WALSH:
 7.
            That's correct. There's no increase in rate except in
 8.
       the case of a five million dollar estate passing to a surviving .
 9.
       spouse.
10.
       PRESIDENT:
11.
            Further discussion? Senator D'Arco.
12.
       SENATOR D'ARCO:
13.
            Thank you,...President Rock. Will the sponsor yield for
14.
       a question?
15.
       PRESIDENT:
16.
            Indicates he'll yield, Senator D'Arco.
17.
       SENATOR D'ARCO:
18.
            Senator Walsh,...I understand your intent in having a
19.
       nonresident pay Illinois inheritance taxes on real estate
20.
       that's held in a land trust in Illinois, but isn't it a fact
21.
       that if you would tax that land in this bill, it would simply
22.
       be a matter of the estate or...I'm sorry...it would simply
23.
       be a matter of the person forming a one man corporation taking
24.
       the land out of the land trust, putting it into the corporation,
25.
       at which point it would be personal property,...it would follow the
26.
       ... resident of the person, which would be a nonresident, he
27.
       wouldn't have to pay real estate taxes on it anyway, because
28.
       he has it as an asset in a corporation instead of in a land
29.
       trust. And even though your intent is good, isn't that what
30.
       the effect will be if this bill did pass?
31.
```

PRESIDENT:

Senator Walsh.

32.

SENATOR WALSH:

ı.

Well, Senator D'Arco, you...you probably recall, as...as 2. I do and maybe some of the other members, when Senator Knuppel 3. had a similar bill...last Session that that point was made. 4. Now, I have been advised that where a corporation is formed 5. for the sole purpose of holding title to real estate that, 6. even though the stockholder may be a nonresident and it... 7. you might contend then that his stock is personal property 8. and he lives in another state, it not be taxable that the 9. Attorney General has the power. And there are cases which 10. provide that they, in a sense, you know, pierce the corporate 11. veil and get...and get into a...taxation of the real estate. 12. my understanding is, they can tax the real estate where the 13. sole purpose of the corporation is to hold real estate and 14. avoid the Illinois inheritance tax where they cannot do so 15. in the area of land trusts because there's specific provision 16. that the beneficial interest in a land trust is personal property. 17. So, the answer is no. 18.

PRESIDENT:

19.

20.

21.

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Well, I... I assume then that he could commingle the land 22. with other...personal property and form a new corporation 23. along those lines to avoid that problem. But be that as it 24. may, as far as raising the rate from six percent to fourteen 25. percent in estates for over five million dollars, you say 26. it would raise an estimated three to five million dollars. 27. And it was my assumption that the reason the rate was lowered 28. is to keep people who have a somewhat great degree of wealth 29. from leaving the State of Illinois and going to Arizona and 30. going to places like Florida and setting up a resident in 31. those states and that's why the rate was lowered at that time. 32. Now, you want to raise it back in...in estates over five 33.

Page 193 - May 28, 1981

ì. million and it...and I'm...I'm not sure what Clement Stone 2. would think about that. I wonder...if you should ask him. 3. But other...but be that as it may...the other question I 4. have regarding the bill is, in more than one section of the 5. bill you are eliminating refunds to people who would normally, 6. as the law is now, be entitled to such refunds and in one section on page 22, if a...if a...a survivor of the estate 7. ...doesn't exercise a power of appointment and the corpus 8. of the estate goes to the children, they're entitled to 9. exemptions under our present Statute and therefore, would 10. be entitled to a refund. But under your legislation, you 11. eliminate that possibility of a refund entirely. I'm 12. talking about page 22 from line 8 through 21. 13. PRESIDENT: 14. Senator Walsh. 15. SENATOR WALSH: 16. Well, let me just say, the area of refunds...the...the 17. sole...impact of this bill is to provide that where the 18. valuations in an estate are increased for Federal estate 19. tax purposes and hence, your Federal estate tax is increased 20. because your valuations are increased. Now, the taxpayer 21. can go and get a...a reduction of his inheritance tax because 22. he's paying a higher Federal estate tax, but the converse 23. is not true because of the manner in which...orders are 24. entered on estate taxes...or on inheritance taxes. They can-25. not increase the inheritance tax because the valuations were 26. increased. That's...that's the purpose in...in the bill 27. relative to refunds and it just relates to...Federal estate 28. tax audits. 29. PRESIDENT: 30. Further discussion? Senator D'Arco. 31. SENATOR D'ARCO:

I understand that, but what the bill is also providing

32.

```
on page 15 is, that in determining the amount of the refund
 ı.
 2.
       as far as the values are concerned in the Illinois and the
       Federal estate...income tax returns, they can pick and choose
 3.
       according to this bill the highest value in either return
 4.
       to make a determination as to the extent of the refund.
 5.
       And naturally they would choose the higher value so the
 6.
       refund is less.
 7.
       PRESIDENT:
 8.
            Further discussion? Senator Berman.
 9.
       SENATOR BERMAN:
10.
            A question of the sponsor.
11.
       PRESIDENT:
12.
            Indicates he'll yield, Senator Berman.
13.
       SENATOR BERMAN: '
14.
            The digest says this bill is effective July 1, '81. Is
15.
       that correct?
16.
       PRESIDENT:
17.
            Senator Walsh.
18.
       SENATOR WALSH:
19.
            That is correct. That's what the bill provides. So,...
20.
       this was a bill that was introduced last year and I would
21.
       just have to conclude that ... it probably should have been
22.
       effective upon becoming law and I would think that,...you
23.
       know, that would be the interpretation. Otherwise, it may
24.
       be necessary to amend it in the...in the House.
25.
       PRESIDENT:
26.
            Senator Berman.
27.
       SENATOR BERMAN:
28.
            Well, let me address his response and...and the way the
29.
       bill is now. We're not talking about a substantial impact
30.
       and I'm not carrying any water for five million dollar estates
31.
       and over, but what I am concerned about in this bill is for
32.
       people that are little people that own real estate in Illinois
```

```
and have, through a proper method of estate planning, put
 ı.
       their property into a land trust, which will go to their
 2.
       children. Now, that's not a very unusual procedure in this
 3.
       State and an awful lot of very modest income and very low
 4.
       income people have done. Under this bill, and even with
 5.
       an effective date, what you're doing is hurting those people.
 6.
       Because what they have been advised is that by putting their
 7.
       property into a land trust and giving it to their children,
 8.
       that that property would not be subject to inheritance tax
 9.
       and this bill is going to change that law overnight. Now,
10.
       there are proper estate planning procedures and everybody
11.
       is entitled to take advantage...to cut down their exposure
12.
       upon death for taxes. This bill wouldn't give those people,
13.
       and I'm talking about little people, the opportunity to take
14.
       other steps to cut down their inheritance tax exposure. Now,
15.
       we've passed several bills in this Session of the General
16.
       Assembly to double the exemptions, to increase the exemptions,
17.
       one bill, I think, almost to abolish the inheritance tax.
18.
       This bill goes exactly the opposite way and not only just
19.
       to raise revenue, but in a way that, I submit to you, is
20.
       totally unfair to the people that will be subject to this
21.
       tax. If this bill were passed and you put an effective date
22.
       of a year or two ahead of time, that allows everybody to
23.
       understand what the change of law is and try to address
24.
       the potential impact upon their death. I think this is an
25.
       unfair approach. As it stands now, if this bill is passed
26.
       and signed by the Governor before July 1, somebody that
27.
       did this legitimately and died on July 1 is going to pay
28.
       a tax that they didn't expect to pass...expect to pay and I
29.
       don't think that's the fair way to pass tax revenue legis-
30.
       lation. I urge a No vote.
31.
       PRESIDENT:
32.
```

33.

Any further discussion? Senator Bruce.

ı. SENATOR BRUCE: Just would like to point out what Senator Berman says 2. is...is very true. I think there's some other problems 3. with the bill, but as it relates to land trusts for those 4. of us in southern Illinois who do estate planning with 5. farmers, it does not take, Senator Berman, many acres of 6. land to start talking about some real money and land trusts 7. have been established, children have been made the beneficiaries 8. of those, they have moved. I would hate to go back and try ٩. to locate people who have created land trusts on the hopes 10. of avoiding some of the inheritance taxes and I just think 11. that, Senator Walsh, ... I think Senator Berman's point is 12. well-taken, we ought not to change those. I don't know 13. what kind of liability you're creating for some of those 14. beneficiaries, but I think you're going to find people taxed 15. in two states. You're going to tax it in the state of... 16. resident of the beneficiary. He may get a chance to pay 17. taxes as personal property and you're going to determine that 18. personal property as real property in the State of Illinois 19. and assess the estate here. And I just,...you know, we've 20. always played the rule that that's personal property and it 21. ought not to be changed now. We have, I don't know how many 22. land trusts in our area, but it numbers in the thousands of Ż3. people that...particularly in the farming community would be 24. affected. 25. PRESIDENT: 26. Any further discussion? Senator Walsh may close. 27. SENATOR WALSH: 28. Mr. President and members of the Senate, I'd just like

to point out to Senator Bruce and Senator Berman that...
with all respect,...I feel that you're both incorrect. The
sole portion of this bill that deals with land trusts is on
page 14 and it's...it's short so let me read it to you.

29.

30.

31.

32.

```
l.
       "Illinois real property held under an Illinois land trust
 2.
       or other real estate trusts, which declares that the interest
       of the beneficiary that are under is personal property,"
 3.
       and that's the standard provision in...in all of our form
 4.
       land trusts in Illinois, "shall be considered real property
 5.
       and not...shall be considered real property and not personal
 6.
       property for Illinois inheritance tax purposes." Now, if
 7.
       you're talking about the Illinois resident who sets up...
 Ω
       his farm or real estate in a land trust, he's going to be
 ٩.
       taxed anyway. This doesn't affect our Illinois resident.
10.
       Senator Bruce and Senator Berman, who happens to live in
11.
       your district for whom you've done estate planning. It
12.
       only affects the property owner who has moved out of State
13.
       and he should be taxed on his Illinois real estate in
14.
       Illinois and not in Florida or California or Arizona where
15.
       he happens to have gone...for the sunshine. This...this...
16.
       procedure is common in other states and it should be the
17.
       law in Illinois. Now, relative to the effective date,
18.
       Senator Berman, let me just say this,...that I would be
19.
       willing to change this...in the House if the bill gets there
20.
       because...certainly it isn't intended that it have an
21.
       immediate effective date. It would seem to me that it should
22.
       be...probably October 1st, which is about the time that the...
23.
       Governor would get around to signing it anyway. So, that
24.
       amendment would be offered in...to this bill if it's...passed
25.
       by this Body. I urge your favorable support.
26.
       PRESIDENT:
27.
            The question is, shall Senate Bill 1116 pass. Those in
28.
```

favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 23, the Nays are 29, none Voting Present. Senate Bill 1116 having failed to receive the required constitutional 33.

ı. majority is declared lost. 1120, Senator Vadalabene. the Order of Senate Bills 3rd reading, Senate Bill 1120. 2. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 3. SECRETARY: . 4. Senate Bill 1120. 5. (Secretary reads title of bill) 6. 3rd reading of the bill. 7. PRESIDENT: 8. Senator Vadalabene. 9. SENATOR VADALABENE: 10. Yes, Senate Bill 1120 is...the Bistate Act, which is 11. a compact between the State of Missouri and the State of 12. Illinois so that when legislation is passed in Missouri 13. the identical legislation must be passed in Illinois before 14. it becomes effective. And what we are doing with the 15. developing agency of the bistate...of bistate...we are trying 16. to permit them to acquire, purchase or lease or dispose of 17. industrial parks. So, in the compact we need the word 18. industrial parks. Also, it will permit the agency to issue 19. notes and bonds at a rate not to exceed the prime commercial 20. rates. The bill states up to fourteen percent. They're 21. presently ten percent. Also, bistate may issue bonds for 22. transportation facilities for air, water, rail, motor vehicle, 23. and other terminal facilities, but not parking facilities. 24. And bistate needs the...the insertion of the word parking 25. to allow the agency to finance parking facilities to ensure. 26. bistate can participate with the National Park Service and 27. the City of St. Louis for parking and...I'm sure most of 28. you have seen the Arch in Missouri...in St. Louis. So, 29. these are the things that have to be done. The Senate in 30. Missouri has already passed this legislation. We're waiting 31. now for the Senate in Illinois to do likewise so that the

compact can be the...the same and I would appreciate a favor-

32.

33. 34.

able vote.

Page 199 - May 28, 1981 . .

	PRESIDENT:
2.	Any discussion? If not, the question is, shallI
3.	beg your pardon. Senator Totten.
4.	SENATOR TOTTEN:
5.	Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
6.	Senate. This bill came out of thecommittee on asome-
7.	what partisan roll call. The bill, as the sponsor indicated,
8.	gives the Bistate Development Agency the authority to build
9.	industrial parks and parking facilities and allows the agency
10.	to issue bonds for industrial and manufacturing or commercial
11.	facilities. I'm not sure in my mind whether we ought to be
12.	doing this and the discussion in committee brought up a lot
13.	of questions in regards to this. I don't know whether they're
14.	they have been all answered to the satisfaction of all the
15.	committee members,but they have not to me and I think this
16.	bill ought to wait till ithas a much more viable reason
17.	for being passed.
18.	PRESIDENT:
19.	Any further discussion? Senator Vadalabene may close.
20.	SENATOR VADALABENE:
21.	Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, this
22.	bill really should have been on the Agreed Bill List. What
23.	we're doing here is, bistate hasbistate has the parks,
24.	airportin Cahokia, Illinois. They can do everything now
25.	but construct industrial facilities for those people who want
26.	to build around the airports. There's nothing wrong with
27.	this legislation. We're just adding parking in one group,
28.	industrial parks in another group. The Missouri Legislature
29.	the Senate has already passed it and for it to be supportive
30.	of both states and boththe ten commissioners from both
31.	states, we have to have the same wording. And I would appreciat
32.	a favorable vote.

PRESIDENT:

20112 Howard

Page 200 - May 28, 1981

ı. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1120 pass. Those 2. in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The 3. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On 4. 5. that question, the Ayes are 43, the Nays are 6, none Voting Present. Senate Bill 1120 having received the required 6. constitutional majority is declared passed. 1124. On the 7. Order of Senate Bills 3rd reading, Senate Bill 1124. Read 8. the bill, Mr. Secretary. 9. SECRETARY: 10. Senate Bill 1124. 11. (Secretary reads title of bill) 12. 3rd reading of the bill. 13. PRESIDENT: 14. Senator Joyce. 15. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE: 16. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. This bill is the 17. Illinois Natural Areas Preservation Bill. All it is 18. is recodifying the existing Statutes concerning the Nature 19. Preserve System. The bill repeals two present Acts and 20. a section of another relating to the nature preserves and 21. assembles all the pertinent...provisions in a more logical 22. format. 23. PRESIDENT: 24. Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate 25. Bill 1124 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed 26. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 27. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the 28. record. On that question, the Ayes are 48, the Nays are none, 29. none Voting Present. Senate Bill 1124 having received the re-30. quired constitutional majority is declared passed. 1141, 31. Senator Joyce. Yes, Senator Johns, for what purpose do you 32. arise? 33.

l.

SENATOR JOHNS:

```
2.
            I meant to get your attention prior to the...interruption
 3.
       of this bill, Mr. President, but had I been on the Floor, I
       would like the electronic marvel to show I would have voted
 4.
 5.
       Yea for Senate Bill 1086, hazardous waste.
 6.
       PRESIDENT:
            The record will indicate. 1141. On the Order of Senate
 7.
       Bills 3rd reading, the bottom of page 16, Senate Bill 1141.
 8.
       Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
 9.
       SECRETARY:
10.
            Senate Bill 1141.
11.
                  (Secretary reads title of bill)
12.
       3rd reading of the bill.
13.
       PRESIDENT:
14.
            Senator Joyce. Jeremiah Joyce, I'm sorry.
15.
       SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:
16.
            Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.
17.
       Bill 1141 is a response to a situation that has resulted from
18.
       the United States Supreme Court decision in the cases of Paten
19.
       versus New York and Arretic versus New York rendered last
20.
       year at about this time. Those cases held that absent exigent
21.
       circumstances in routine felony arrests police officers shall
22.
       not enter a home without a warrant to make an arrest.
23.
       think everyone here in this Body would agree with that concept
24.
       ...or that statement of law. But what we have as a result of
25.
       that is a situation where police offices are being called upon
26.
       to make, before the fact determinations, as to whether or not
27.
       sufficient probable cause exists, i.e., as to whether or not
28.
       there are exigent circumstances. This is contrary to the
29.
       proposition that this determination should be made...before
30.
       the fact by a neutral magistrate. What has resulted from
31.
       this is a situation where police officers confronted with this
32.
       go ahead, make the entry, kind of write the report nice and
33.
```

Page 202 - May 28, 1981

ı.	loose and fill in the facts at a later date. This bill has
2.	the support of the Illinois Department of Law Enforcement.
3.	I have talked to a number of people active in the field,
4.	including Judge Harold Sullivan, whoconcurs with my
5.	belief that this is good law. I will be willing to answer
6.	any questions. I ask for a favorable roll call.
7.	PRESIDENT:
8.	Any discussion? Senator Bowers. Senator Simms.
9.	SENATOR SIMMS:
10.	A question of the sponsor. As I
11.	PRESIDENT:
12.	Indicates he'll yield, Senator Simms.
13.	SENATOR SIMMS:
14.	As I read the digest, Senator Joyce, it indicates to
15.	authorize and prescribe the procedure for the issuance of
16.	an arrest warrant over the telephone. Would you explain to
17.	me how that would work?
18.	PRESIDENT:
19.	Senator Joyce.
20.	SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:
21.	Basically, it would work as follows, a police officer
22.	wouldbe at a situation where he feels that he has to enter
23.	a home and make an arrest for a felony, but he is not certain
24.	as to whether or not sufficient exigent circumstances exist.
25.	He would then go to a phone, call a judge, this would be
26.	recorded and preserved, the judge, after hearing this, would
27.	make a determination as to whether or not an arrest warrant
28.	should issue. This is presently athis is presently the law in
29.	the State of California.
30.	PRESIDENT:
31.	Further discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate

Bill 1141 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed

will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?

32.

12882 S

Page 203 - May 28, 1981

1.	Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the
2.	record. On that question, the Ayes are50,the Ayes are
3.	50, the Nays are 2, none Voting Present. Senate Bill 1141
4.	having received the required constitutional majority is
5.	declared passed. The top of page 17, Senator Sangmeister,
6.	1143. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd reading, Senate Bill
7.	1143. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
8.	SECRETARY:
9.	Senate Bill 1143.
10.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
11.	3rd reading of the bill.
12.	PRESIDENT:
13.	Senator Sangmeister.
14.	SENATOR SANGMEISTER:
15.	Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The
16.	bill does exactly as what the Clerk read and what your
17.	synopsis says in youryour digest. For the life of me
18.	cannot figure out why theSunset Commission would want
19.	todo away with our sanitarians in the State of Illinois.
20.	I presume by now you have heard from your local health
21.	departmentsas to the necessarythat we keep these people
22.	licensed and qualified. I'm sure that when you go out in
23.	Springfield and go over to Bauer's or perhaps if you drop
24.	in at B's on occasion it's nice to know that someone qualified
25.	has been over there to inspect those kind of places. But
26.	seriously I think wewe need to keep licensure and we need
27.	qualified people in this area andnot too long ago you
28.	decided on the vote of 57 to nothing that we ought to
29.	exempt well drillersfrom the Sunset Act, so if, you
30.	know, if you think it's important that well drillers be
31.	supervised, you certainly want to have sanitariansto be
32.	licensed and covered. And I would ask for a favorable roll.

ı. PRESIDENT: Any discussion? Senator Gitz. 2. SENATOR GITZ: 3. Well, thank you, I... rise in reluctant opposition to this. 4 . Senator Sangmeister, there's a distinct difference. 5. Sunset Commission decided there was a definite need to change 6. either the codes or to permit licensing well drillers. 7. a significant difference and a complete repeal, because every-8. body...there's a decision. You stated that you wonder for the 9. life of you why the Sunset Commission came to this recommen-10. dation. I'll tell you precisely why and I think Senator Bloom 11. will bear me out. Most of the people that employ sani-12. tarians are local government and those kind of qualifications 13. are going to be there one way or another. It's not the 14. licensing itself. As a matter of fact, when this came 15. before the commission, it was interesting that those who 16. carry the title of sanitarian are allegedly experts in every-17. thing from the...inspection of restaurants to running a 18. hazardous waste dump and that just doesn't seem to follow. 19. Now, I'll quote from the report itself on findings. "The 20. belief appears to rest on the premise that State licensure 21. of sanitarians determines the efficacy of environmental health 22. and sanitation programs or other efforts aimed at maintaining 23. and improving environmental quality in Illinois. This, how-24. ever, is not the case. While the efficacy of an environmental 25. health program is clearly influenced by the qualifications 26. and competence of those who work in it. The subject Act does not 27. ensure the employment of people qualified or competent to 28. perform a particular job. Control over employment and environ-29. mental health programs is exercised by the organizations that

operate them, primarily Federal, State and local governments.

And in a very small proportion of cases private businesses

and ... association. Repeal of the subject Act would in no

30.

31.

32.

Page 205 - May 28, 1981

ı. way bar or discourage such entities from hiring competent 2. environmental health workers suited for a particular job in some aspect of this very large and diverse field." The 3. idea that somehow this is going to have an adverse impact 4. in public health and safety is nonsense. The fact is, is 5. local government is going to be able to hire expert people, 6. the fact is, this is a title you can put behind your name. 7. And the idea that they are somehow qualified in all these 8. various fields of expertise from restaurants to hazardous 9. waste just simply isn't borne out. There are other states 10. like Florida that have made the same actions in sunset 11. with no adverse effects. For those reasons, I stand in 12. opposition to this bill. 13. PRESIDENT: 14.

15.

16.

33.

Further discussion? Senator Bloom.

SENATOR BLOOM:

Thank you, Mr. President and fellow Senators. The odd 17. Well, I truly am reluctant to...rise in opposition, 18. but I must because when we had our hearings none of the 19. proponents, basically the santarians, presented any evidence 20. of any kind to support the claim that repeal of licensure 21. would lead to increased disease in Illinois. And under the 22. terms of the Regulatory Reform Act, we have to find a rational 23. relationship between the licensure and...the activity regu-24. lated. It's...it's...we asked them for that and it was the 25. feeling that because most of these folks are employed by 26. units of local government, that that acted as enough of a 27. prophylactic device on whom they'd hire...to protect the 28. public. So, I... I believe that the Sunset Committee was 29. correct. This isn't particularly necessary. And...it really 30. does...reduce...competition or entry into the field. And I'd 31. urge a No vote. Thank you, very much. 32. PRESIDENT:

Page 206 - May 28, 1981

Further discussion? Senator Sangmeister may close.

l.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:
Thank you. Well, both of the speakersare obviously
members of the commission and you notice theyrose re-
luctantly. They rose reluctantly because they know they are
wrong. Andas far as anybody appearing, Senator Bloom,
before the committee,I had been advised that they had no
idea that those hearings were going on,were not notified,
that's what I've been told and they would have
been there and give you a big earful. $\ensuremath{\text{I've}}$ been hearing from
our Public Health Departments throughout the State that feel
it's an absolute necessitythat this licensure be maintained.
$\ensuremath{And}\xspace,\ensuremath{}.\ensuremath{Senator}\xspace$ Gitz, with all due respect, this is a comparison
between sanitarians and well drillers, by God if you think
that a well driller ought to be licensed and regulated,
we certainly ought to do the same for our sanitarians, who
we rely on to see that we have good public health in the
State of Illinois. Request an Aye vote.
PRESIDENT:
Senator Gitz, for what purpose do you arise? Senator
Sangmeister has closed.
SENATOR GITZ:
On a point of personal privilege
PRESIDENT:
Yes.
SENATOR GITZ:
especially since the name is in debate. Whatever the
Body decides is the majority vote, but I, frankly, am appalled
at the allegation that they did not have an opportunity. They
were there in full blown hearings from A to Z. There were
people that drove to northern Illinois to meet about it. There
was ample opportunity and public debate for all these things.
And, in fact, their statements are incorporated in the record.

Page 207 - May 28, 1981

ı. And any time that has been alleged that somehow they were 2. denied access to the process that is simply untrue and false. 3. PRESIDENT: 4. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1143 pass. Those in 5. favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting 6. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, 7. the Ayes are 35, the Nays are 17, none Voting Present. Senate 8. Bill 1143 having received the required constitutional majority 9. is declared passed. 1147, Senator Berman. On the Order of 10. Senate Bills 3rd reading, Senate Bill 1147. Read the bill, 11. Mr. Secretary. 12. SECRETARY: 13. Senate Bill 1147. 14. (Secretary reads title of bill) 15. 3rd reading of the bill. 16. PRESIDENT: 17. Senator Berman. 18. SENATOR BERMAN: 19. Thank you, Mr. President. This bill addresses a problem 20. that has arisen in these days when there are many corporations 21. that find themselves in financial trouble...find themselves 22. in financial trouble and...are unable to live up their...to 23. their commitment regarding...health and accident coverage 24. which covers their employees. As amended, the bill says 25. that when an insurance company cancels a health and welfare 26. policy, which covers employees, that when the employer receives 27. the notice of cancellation of the coverage, because of the 28. nonpayment of premiums, that the employer must give seventy-29. two hours notice to the employees...within three days of 30. receipt of that notice of termination, the employer must give 31. that...notice of that...termination of coverage to the employees. 32.

The purpose of the bill is to allow employees who think that

```
ı.
       they've got...group accident and health coverage and don't
2.
       have it the opportunity to go out and get the coverage to
3.
       protect themselves. That's the purpose of the bill. I
4.
       solicit your Aye vote.
5.
       PRESIDENT:
            Is there any discussion? Senator Johns.
6.
       SENATOR JOHNS:
7.
            A question of the sponsor.
8.
       PRESIDENT:
9.
            Indicates he'll yield.
10.
       SENATOR JOHNS:
11.
            Is that working days or just calendar days, Senator
12.
       Berman?
13.
       PRESIDENT:
14.
            Senator Berman.
15.
       SENATOR BERMAN:
16.
            It says seventy-two hours.
17.
       PRESIDENT:
18.
            Senator Johns.
19.
       SENATOR JOHNS:
20.
            I...I just wish you could kind of make it working days
21.
       later on, maybe in the House or something, because you...if
22.
       you get a notice on Friday and...and...and they send the
23.
       notice out and they get it by Monday, that really puts a
24.
       crimp on somebody to act and I just think we could improve
25.
       the bill a little bit.
26.
       PRESIDENT:
27.
            Further discussion? Senator DeAngelis.
28.
       SENATOR DEANGELIS:
29.
            Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I
30.
       argued very strongly with Senator Berman on this bill and I
31.
       have to apologize to him. We...I got confused on the issue
32.
       of termination. I thought it was on the termination of the
```

213/148 serial

Page 209 - May 28, 1981

employee. The bill is in good form. It's a little different 1. 2. than what it started out. I have absolutely no objection to the bill and I apologize, Senator Berman. 3. PRESIDENT: 4. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1147 pass. Those in 5. favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting 6. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 7. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, 8. the Ayes are 52, the Nays are 1, none Voting Present. Senate 9. Bill 1147 having received the required constitutional majority 10. is declared passed. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd reading, 11. Senate Bill 1148. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 12. SECRETARY: 13. Senate Bill 1148. 14. (Secretary reads title of bill) 15. 3rd reading of the bill. 16. PRESIDENT: 17. Senator Dawson. 18. SENATOR DAWSON: 19. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, 20. as was stated, this...it creates a new Act providing for 21. the regulation with the Department of Registration and 22. Education and the...committee for that...also...include 23. a licensing physician. And the Illinois Medical Society 24. has no problems with it now or does anybody else we hope. 25. So, I'd ask for a favorable roll call. 26. PRESIDENT: 27. Any discussion? Senator Buzbee. 28. SENATOR BUZBEE: 29. A question of the sponsor, Mr....President. 30. PRESIDENT: 31. Indicates he'll yield. 32.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Page 210 - May 28, 1981

```
ı.
            I...I...first of all, I don't understand what these
 2.
       things are...what these people are or how to pronounce them
       and I'd like the sponsor to just briefly explain both.
 3.
       PRESIDENT:
 4.
            Senator Dawson.
 5.
       SENATOR DAWSON:
 6.
            I was trying to follow our Secretary here with this
 7.
       here. The first one is Orthosis. And that is a custom device
 8.
       which is prescribed by a licensed physician for the support
 9.
       or correction of neuro-musculo-skeletal disease, injuries or
10.
       deformities. The second one is...Prosthesis as a custom
11.
       artificial limb prescribed by a licensed physician for the
12.
       replacement of an external part of the body. Okay, Kenny.
13.
       PRESIDENT:
14.
            The question is, shall Senate Bill 1148 pass. Those in
15.
       favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting
16.
       is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
17.
       Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,
18.
       the Ayes are 40, the Nays are 11, 2 Voting Present. Senate
19.
       Bill 1148 having received the required constitutional majority
20.
       is declared passed.
21.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
22.
            Senate Bill 1150, Senator McMillan. Read the bill, Mr.
23.
       Secretary.
24.
       SECRETARY:
25.
            Senate Bill 1150.
26.
                 (Secretary reads title of bill)
27.
       3rd reading of the bill.
28.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
29.
            Senator McMillan.
30.
       SENATOR MCMILLAN:
31.
            Mr. President and members of the Senate, this is a Depart-
32.
```

ment of Revenue bill. Its intent is to do the following, the

- ı. Internal Revenue Code is set up such that before an individual 2. taxpayer can...can go to court with regard to any complaint he 3. has with IRS, he has to have gone through the normal administrative procedures and have gone through...them and provided 4. 5. a record, provided a case, provided a statement of fact so that if it does later go to court, the facts will be there. 6. What this bill does is provide for the Illinois Income Tax 7. basically, the same procedure so that anyone wanting to... 8. object on an income tax question, he'll need to go through 9. the procedures set forth under the Administrative Review Act 10. to make sure that on the State level...he has...taken every 11. avenue made available to him and developed a record of fact. 12. so that if it goes to court...that record can...can be a 13. part of the proceedings. It seems to me that it's something 14. that we need to do. It is...something that's substantial 15. and that should...have every member's attention. But I 16. believe having looked at it that it would be wise and it 17. would improve the implementation of the Illinois Income Tax 18. and I would seek a favorable roll call. 19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 20. Is there any discussion? If ... Senator Bruce. 21. SENATOR BRUCE: 22. I...this bill goes a long way toward giving the Depart-23. ment of Revenue the power to make decisions over the Acts 24. which they administer. I would alert any member here of the 25. fact that you are requiring all hearings on disputed matters 26. to occur in an administrative hearing before the Department of 27. 28. 29.
 - Revenue without right to a court hearing. On page 3, in fact, you state that no suitor proceedings shall be maintained in any court concerning a whole series of matters. And for those of you who battle with the Department of Revenue, they've nearly got all the sticks already and to say that your judge and jurors shall, at the first level, be the Department of

30.

31.

32.

l. Revenue and then...then you are subject to the Administrative 2. Review Act, I...I alert you to the fact that Administrative 3. Review Act is great except that your only...remedy in that ...in that court is whether or not the administrative agency 4. has acted appropriately and matters of fact,...although many 5. judges will allow you to come in with matters of fact, you 6. are on Judicial review...very much in a nature of appellate 7. review. And this bill takes unto the Department of Revenue 8. large powers to decide disputed matters and I think it goes 9. far beyond what we ought to allow the department. They 10. ought to have to come into court if a taxpayer has a dispute 11. over a return, he ought...they ought to be able to slug it 12. out before a judge. They ought not to be the ones to make 13. the initial determination of whether the taxpayer is in 14. error. 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 16. Is there any further discussion? If not,...Senator 17. Walsh. 18. SENATOR WALSH: 19. Well,...Mr. President and members of the Senate,...I 20. am in...somewhat of a difficult position being a cosponsor 21. of this administration proposal, but... I think ... I think 22. Senator Bruce...made some good points...to provide that 23. the...that the...Internal...or that the...that the Revenue 24. Act be interpreted and...administered exclusively under the 25. Administrative Review Act, I think, is...is very restrictive 26. legislation. And maybe Senator McMillan can address himself 27. ...in his closing remarks...under the...under Federal procedure 28. you have...you have the tax court...in which you...you have 29. a de novo hearing and...or you have a...you have the appeal 30.

from the...from the...administrative review of the Internal

Revenue Service or you can...you can pay your tax and...go

into the Federal district court. And you're not...you're

31.

32.

- ı. not restricted by the Administrative Review Act, which 2. quite frankly, is...basically...is...patterned after the ...to the...satisfaction of the...of the agency, which is 3. administering an Act. I think the taxpayer is going to find 4. 5. himself...very much limited under a procedure where his only recourse is under the Administrative Review Act and to appeal 6. from a finding to the...to the circuit court is not, in my 7. recollection, a de novo hearing. I think he's limited by 8. the record...at the administrative level and if the...if the 9. administrator...it's not just whether he was right or wrong, 10. but I think the...the rules and...are such that the evidence 11. must be...clear and convincing. Yeah, he's got to be really 12. wrong as Senator Bowers says. I think this...this may go 13. a little bit too far and...as of now anyway I'm not prepared 14. to support it. 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 16. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator McMillan 17. may close debate. 18. SENATOR MCMILLAN: 19.
- I think the questions that have been raised are sound 20. ones, I think those are the types of ... of matters which ... 21. this Body needs to consider. The questions were not asked 22. in committee...because, frankly, it was one of those bills 23. that...came late and the questions weren't asked. Clearly, 24. what this does, is strengthen the Department of Revenue's 25. ability to administer the Illinois Income Tax. It makes 26. it much more parallel to the way in which the ... Federal 27. Income Tax is administered. It does not ultimately eliminate 28. any of the taxpayers...final...right to go to court with 29. regard to the...decisions that are made and it also...with 30. regard to the administrative procedures most of the depart-31. ments that I've had any...experience with are beginning to 32. ... chafe under the bit of the Joint Committee on Administrative 33.

Page 214 - May 28, 1981

1.	Rules. Those rules are being written everyday more and
2.	more toconsider the rights and the viewpoint and the
3.	consideration of legislators and the citizen and less and
4.	less to simply meet the desires of any administrative whim.
5.	This does go quite some ways. I think it's an idea that
6.	that would strengthen the system and I would seek a favor-
7.	able roll call realizing that it certainlyis not
8.	perfect.
9.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
10.	The question is, shall Senate Bill 1150 pass. Those
11.	in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The
12.	voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
13.	who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On
14.	that question, the Ayes are 12, the Nays are 29, 5 Voting
15.	Present. Senate Bill 1150 having failed to receive a
16.	constitutional majority is declared lost. Senate Bill 1151,
17.	Senator Keats. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
18.	SECRETARY:
19.	Senate Bill 1151.
20.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
21.	3rd reading of the bill.
22.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
23.	Senator Keats.
24.	SENATOR KEATS:
25.	Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
26.	Senate. This bill came out of the Senate Elementary and
27.	Secondary Education Committee on a unanimous vote with one minor
28.	amendment we added. What it says is right now when a teacher
29.	is leaving, that there are presently hearings. Well, these
30.	hearing formats are set up whether the teacher wants it or
31.	not and if the teacher doesn't want it, it has cost the school
32.	board quite a bit of money. What it says is, the teacher
33.	needs to request the hearing. If they want it, they can have

Page 215 - May 28, 1981

```
ı.
       it, absolutely no problem. They receive all the warnings.
2.
       But if they don't request it, it saves the school boards
 3.
       quite a bit of money. As I say, it came out unanimously
       with the one minor amendment that was requested by the com-
4.
5.
       mittee members. I'd appreciate your favorable vote.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
6.
7.
            Is there further discussion? If not, the question is,
       shall Senate Bill 1151 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye.
 8.
       Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted
 9.
       who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On
10.
       that question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are none, none
11.
     Voting Present. Senate Bill 1151 having received the con-
12.
       stitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 1152,
13.
       Senator Bruce. Senate Bill 1157, Senator Nash. Senate...
14.
       Senator Nash, do you want...read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
15.
       SECRETARY:
16.
            Senate Bill 1157.
17.
                 (Secretary reads title of bill)
18.
       3rd reading of the bill.
19.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
20.
            Senator Nash.
21.
       SENATOR NASH:
22.
            Since the appropriations for my commission was taken out
23.
       of this bill, I yield to Senator Carroll.
24.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
25.
            Senator Carroll.
26.
       SENATOR CARROLL:
27.
            This is the...other four brand new ones, the ones that
28.
       did survive. Senator Nash's didn't. We cut them back from
29.
       the fifties each...they asked for a total of three-fifty,
30.
       we cut it down to a hundred and twenty thousand and I would
31.
       ask for a favorable roll call.
```

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32.

```
Is there any discussion? Senator Totten.
1.
2.
       SENATOR TOTTEN:
            Thank you, Mr....President and Ladies and Gentlemen of
 3.
       the Senate. The sponsor yield for a question?
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
5.
            Indicates he'll yield.
6.
       SENATOR TOTTEN:
7.
            Is this appropriation for all new commissions?
Ω.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
 ٩.
            Senator Carroll.
10.
       SENATOR CARROLL:
11.
            Senator Totten, these are the four newly created com-
12.
       missions by Senate Bills. We don't know what, and never
13.
       do know, what the House has done. This is the Agent Orange
14.
       Victims Commission, the National Guard Study Commission,
15.
       the Special Review Committee, and the Nursing Education
16.
       Commission. The requests, as they came in, were for three
17.
       hundred and fifty thousand, each were tailored down to thirty
18.
       thousand apiece, which is a total of a hundred and twenty
19.
       thousand.
20.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
21.
            Senator Totten.
22.
       SENATOR TOTTEN:
23.
            Thank you, Mr. President. This is a time in our financial
24.
       history that we probably...more than ever don't need any new
25.
       commissions. To be adding commissions to the some, seventy,
26.
       eighty, or ninety, that we already have...we may have two
27.
       hundred, I don't know, I got a bill to abolish them all,
28.
       it seems to me an unwise expenditure. Funds...when this House
29.
       ...or when this General Assembly is meeting on almost a full-
30.
       time basis, regular committees can be studying these problems
31.
       and the best way to kill the substantive legislation is to ...
32.
       not appropriate the money and I would request a No vote on
33.
```

34.

this bill.

Page 217 - May 28, 1981

1.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
2.	Is there further discussion? Senator Walsh. Senator
3.	Grotberg.
4.	SENATOR GROTBERG:
5.	Yes, Mr. President, theagain I think we're violating
6.	some of the old Senate procedures here. These commissions
7.	don't exist yet and we're appropriating in advance. You
8.	know,we havethe bills that create them have to go
9.	through both Houses, go to the Governor, and a whole lot
10.	of things have to happen and if they have to happen on June
11.	30th, that's probably soon enough. II just think maybe
12.	we should vote No all the way around on this thing.
13.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
14.	Senator Collins.
15.	SENATOR COLLINS:
16.	Thank you, Mr. President. I think that this is a very
17.	seriousissue here. We are struggling from day to day
18.	and we're cutting back essential services to the citizens
19.	of Illinois and yet, we're continuously talking about
20.	creating commissions. A hundred thousand dollars here,
21.	or fifty thousand or thirty thousand dollars there. But
22.	that hundred thousand dollars can go a long way to education
23.	toto our senior citizens, for medical care and to even
24.	increase some of the public aid recipient's grants, to meet
25.	other crucial needs in the State. There are too many com-
26.	missions and committees now funded and there most certainly
27.	are enough commissions and committees to study the problems
28.	that these committees were set up toto study. I'm
	not saying that the issues are not important issues, but

30. 31. 32.

29.

END OF REEL

they should be dealt with under existing commissions.

Page 218 - May 28, 1981

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) ı. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll may close 2. debate. 3. SENATOR CARROLL: 4. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. 5. Let me just explain again why...what we did, and why, probably we 6. went about it. Where instead of doing what the House is doing, that's 7. putting all commissions into one bill, which then locks in probably 8. too many people, to take damaging action on some of them, we separated 9. out those that were truly agencies of the General Assembly into 10. one bill. We separated out those that have been long standing 11. commissions in a second bill, and this is the third one, this 12. is the new one we're creating. The...but in due respect to Senator 13. Grotberg, were we to want to fund these, this is the way we've 14. always gone about it. We do have to do it when it passes one 15. Chamber. The only difference is, in...in the past we have put it 16. onto the same bill that all the long standing commissions were 17. on, and it therefore got enough votes to get out. This way they're 18. standing by themselves, and let them rise or fall as the chips may 19. he. 20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 21. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1157 pass. Those in favor 22. will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. 23. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who 24. wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 32, the 25. Nays are 17, 1 Voting Present. Senate Bill 1157, having received 26. the constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 1159, 27. Senator McLendon. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 28. SECRETARY: 29. Senate Bill 1159. 30. (Secretary reads title of bill) 31. 3rd reading of the bill. 32.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

```
Senator McLendon.
ı.
        SENATOR MCLENDON:
2.
             Mr. President, and members of the Senate. This bill amends
3.
        the Act relating to associate judges, it removes the provision
4.
        reducing the number authorized. There were two amendments to the
5.
        bill. One suggested and adopted at the request of Senator Geo-
6.
        Karis, and the other merely provides and sets out in the Statute
7.
        the way in which the circuit...associate circuit judges are now
8.
        elected and have been appointed in the last five years in Cook
9.
        County.
10.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
11.
             Is there any discussion? If not, the question is...Senator
12.
        Walsh.
13.
        SENATOR WALSH:
14.
             Will the Gentleman yield for a question? Senator, would
15.
        you repeat again, how many new judges are being created by this
16.
        ....by this Act?
17.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
18.
             Senator McLendon.
19.
        SENATOR MCLENDON:
20.
              By this Act, there will be eighteen judges in Cook County,
21.
        and thirty-five in the rest of the State.
22.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
23.
              Senator Walsh.
24.
        SENATOR WALSH:
25.
              And did you say there was some change in the way in which
26.
        these judges were appointed?
27.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
28.
              Senator McLendon.
29.
        SENATOR MCLENDON:
30.
              In Cook County . . . Senator Geo-Karis will answer with respect
31.
        to her amendment.
32.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
```

Senator Geo-Karis. ı. SENATOR GEO-KARIS: 2. Are you referring to the... Amendment 2, I believe, Senator 3. Walsh. The...Amendment 2 is...which was adopted by the request 4. of Senator McLendon, relates to the terms of all associate judges 5. as to when they expire, and how to fill vacancies. And...you want 6. me to go further? I'll show it to you. And that relates to par-7. ticularly, I believe, in Cook County. 8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 9. Senator McLendon. 10. SENATOR MCLENDON: 11. Yes, it does not change one word in which the...the way in 12. which the judges are appointed now, and for the last five years. 13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 14. Is there further discussion? Senator Walsh. Senator Walsh. 15. SENATOR WALSH: 16. Mr. President, and members of the Senate. From what...what 17. we have here, anyway Judge Gulley has indicated there would be a 18. total of fifty-two new judges in Cook County, as I believe, that's 19. Judge Gulley from...the Administrator from the Supreme Court has 20. told us that. Now, they would, of course, be appointed in the 21. way the judges are now appointed in Cook County, by the...by their 22. ...full circuit court judges. Now, the downstate, apparently from 23. what Judge McLendon indicated, there's thirty-five or so for down-24. state. I, for one, Mr. President, and members of the Senate, do 25. not see the need at this time to appoint that many judges, especially 26. if they're to be appointed in the same manner that they're now 27. appointed. And I would hope that my fellow Senators would vote 28. No on Senate Bill 1159. 29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

I would...if either SenatorsMcLendon or Senator Geo-Karisswould

30.

31.

32.

33.

Senator Simms.

SENATOR SIMMS:

Page 221 - May 28, 1981

1. yield for a question. Since my county is included in that amend-

2.	ment, how many judges would we be receiving in Winnebago County
3.	under that amendment?
4.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
5.	Senator Geo-Karis, do you wish to
6.	SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
7.	Imine isn't very clear, I don't know if your county would
8.	get any, but just about all the other downstate counties would do
9.	very well, includingKane will get five. DeKalb, Kane, and
10.	Kendall are in one circuit, and they will get five. DuPage will
11.	get five.
12.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
13.	Senator Simms.
14.	SENATOR SIMMS:
15.	Whatwhat would the circuit of Boone and Winnebago receive?
16.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
17.	Senator Geo-Karis.
18.	SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
19.	I don't have the clearest information on that, but I don't
20.	think your circuit would be getting any more, because I think you
21.	have enough now.
22.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
23.	Senator Simms.
24.	SENATOR SIMMS:
25.	Senator, we have too many.
26.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
27.	Senator Schaffer.
28.	SENATOR SCHAFFER:
29.	Well, I guess parochially, I ought to say, what's Lake and McHenry
30.	County getting?
31.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
32.	Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Page 222 - May 28, 1981

1.	I can assure you, Lake and Mchenry County will get three more.
2.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
3.	Senator Schaffer.
4.	SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
5.	Three
6.	SENATOR SCHAFFER:
7.	Oddlyyes, I can imagine. Oddly enough, I haven't had any
8.	contact from the Judicial Branch on this. I have nono indication
9.	they needed additional judges. What's the total cost of this
10.	package to the taxpayers of the State of Illinois? We just
11.	heard a lot of talk about thirty-five , forty thousand dollars
12.	for commissions, whatever it was. What's the total package? We
13.	pay these salaries, what are we talking about?
14.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
15.	Senator Geo-Karis.
16.	SENATOR SCHAFFER:
17.	We're going to take it out of Public Aid, maybe?
18.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
19.	Senator Geo-Karis,
20.	SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
21.	First of all, I believeit'sI'd like to answer a query
22.	that was made by Senator Walsh. I believe that the correct answer
23.	is eighteen more judges for Cook County, and thirty-five for the
24.	rest of the State, which would be about fifty-three judges all
25.	together. I don't know what the price tag is, but I did check
26.	with the Chief Judge of the Circuit Court of Lake County, which
27.	includes your county in it, and he has approved of amending
28.	the amendment that I've put on in order to get more associate
29.	judges.
30.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
31.	Senator Schaffer.
32.	SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Well, I am informed the price tag is two and a half million

Page 223 - May 28, 1981

١.	dollars, and my good friend from watkegan should be reminded that
2.	just outside Waukegan there is a place called "The Rest of the
3.	19th Circuit."
١.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
5.	Senator Sangmeister.
5.	SENATOR SANGMEISTER:
7.	Well, I don't know who to direct the question to. I don't
3.	know whether Senator Geo-Karis is running the bill or whether
	Senator McLendon is, but I've got one of the fastest
	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
	SenatorSenator McLendon is running the bill. Senator
	Geo-Karis was answering questions about an amendment that she
	had placed on the bill.
	SENATOR SANGMEISTER:
	Well, then I'll direct my question first to Senator Geo-Karis
	I've got, certainly, thethe largest legislative district now,
	andand our circuit, the 12th Judicial Circuit has grown. What
	are we getting in the way of judges out of this bill?
	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
	Senator Geo-Karis.
	SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
	You are getting two more.
	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
	Senator Sangmeister.
	SENATOR SANGMEISTER:
	Howhow many associates? Twookay, nonothey're
	all associates, no circuits, just two associates. How many are
	you getting Senator Geo-Karis?
	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
	Senator Geo-Karis.
	SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
	Three, and we're the third largest district, I think.

Senator Grotberg:

1.

```
SENATOR GROTBERG:
 2.
              Well, thank you, Mr. President. You know, I've seen Charlie
 3.
         Fleck and Al Green down here only on one issue, but never on this
 4.
         one. And as a county chairman in a Republican county, I can't
 5.
         tell you how I've been inundated by no phone calls, no letters,
 6.
         no anything, none of my lawyers know about this and I don't think
 7.
         any of the judges know about it. And it just amazes me that...
  8.
         if this is...if this is something that is sorely needed, the
  9.
         Judicial Circuit that I represent, their calendar is about two
 10.
         weeks old any given day. I don't think it's a great big problem,
 11.
         and to open up some more judgeships for my lawyers to fight over,
 12.
         is...is really not where it's at as far as I'm concerned.
 13.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
 14.
              Senator Philip.
 15.
         SENATOR PHILIP:
 16.
              Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
 17.
         Senator Geo-Karis, you may talk me into voting for your bill on one
 18.
         condition, if we can make...drop the requirement of the judge...
 19.
         associate judges being lawyers. And I'll be happy to trade you
 20.
         two non-lawyers for five lawyer judges. How's that, Senator?
 21.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
 22.
              Senator Bruce. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator
 23.
         Geo-Karis or Senator McLendon may close debate. What...Senator
 24.
         McLendon.
 25.
         SENATOR MCLENDON:
 26.
              Mr. President, it is true from my own information and knowledge,
 27.
         that there is a tremendous backlog of cases. That...in addition
 28.
         to that, if you want to make the argument that you want to re-
√29.
         move more criminals from the streets, this is one way to go about
 30.
         it. The criminal cases...the criminal case docket is heavy, and
31.
         it should be disposed of more quickly. I ask a favorable vote on
32.
         this bill.
```

```
ı.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
             The question is, shall Senate Bill 1159 pass. Those in favor
2.
        will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
3.
        all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
4.
        who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes...for
5.
        what...Senator McMillan...McLendon.
6.
        SENATOR MCLENDON:
7.
             Postponed consideration, please.
8.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
9.
             Senator McLendon requests consideration be postponed. Leave
10.
        granted? Leave is granted. Senate Bill 1160, Senator Hall. Read
11.
        the bill, Mr. Secretary.
12.
        SECRETARY:
13.
             Senate Bill 1160.
14.
                   ( Secretary reads title of bill )
15.
         3rd reading of the bill.
16.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
17.
              Senator Hall.
18.
         SENATOR HALL:
19.
              Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
20.
         Senate. Senate Bill 1160 is the teachers minimum salary. It
21.
         provides for an annual adjustment of the State teachers minimum
22.
         salary. It's starting with the year '81-'82 school year, the
23.
         minimum salary for a beginning teacher with a Bachelors Degree,
24.
         would equal the medium beginning salary for teachers with Bachelor's
25.
         Degrees two years earlier, '79-'81. The beginning of a Master's
26.
         Degree salary with the medium Master's salary...two
                                                               years prior
27.
         to the year, as well, in question. And each year the minimum
28.
         Bachelor and Master's Degrees would be adjusted to conform with
29.
         this schedule. Now, this bill is needed because current minimum...
30.
         are set by law and remain unchanged except upon the action of the
31.
         Legislature. Each year, the minimum becomes less realistic. Some
32.
         districts refuse to adjust their beginning salaries either through
33.
         bargaining or on a voluntary basis. Their teachers depend upon
```

Page 226 - May 28, 1981

1.	the Legislature for salary increases. Tying the minimum to a reason
2.	able adjustment formula will make it necessary for the Legislature
3.	to periodically revise the minimum salary. I recommend this good
4.	bill to yourand hope for a favorable support of it.
5.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
6.	Is there further discussion? Senator Maitland.
7.	SENATOR MAITLAND:
8.	Thank you, Mr. President. Justjust very briefly, this
9.	is around every year. I rise in strong opposition, the Legislature
10.	has no right to get involved in this area. This does increase
11.	the cost, takes away the responsibility from the local school board.
12.	And I would remind you that the FY'80 cost on this is going to be
13.	somewhere in the neighborhood of two hundred and threetwo hundre
14.	and five thousand dollars. It isthe State Mandates, Act does apply,
15.	and this is going to be an expense to the State of Illinois, so
16.	keep that thought in mind.
17.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
18.	Senator Keats.
19.	SENATOR KEATS:
20.	Trank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
21.	Senate. Senator Maitland covered the key points. The big thing
22.	to remember, this is Mandates Act, so while the price tag this
23.	year is not outrageous, it gets worse every single year, and the City of Chicago, of course, is excluded from this so that it
24.	doesn't take thirty-six votes. But in terms of the Mandate Act,
25.	
26.	we're just going to keep upping the cost.
27.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

I rise in support of this legislation. I would just call

the Body's attention that of the school districts affected by this

legislation, eighty-five percent of those districts affected, last

year had positive cash flows. And in all cases, the surplus was

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

Page 227 - May 28, 1981

1.	more than sufficient to meet any new posts that would arise under
2.	this bill. By this legislation, what we're doing, we're dealing
3.	with teachers in small districts that don't have the opportunity
4.	to bargain for themselves. And we're helping those that cannot
5.	otherwise help themselves, they don't have an opportunity. The
6.	cost of this legislation is minimal, it's for high quality teachers.
7.	It's good legislation, I would solicit an Aye vote.
8.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
9.	Senator DeAngelis.
10.	SENATOR DeANGELIS:
11.	Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. A question
12.	of the sponsor?
13.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
14.	Indicates he will yield.
15.	SENATOR DeANGELIS:
16.	Senator Hall, would you mind holding this bill till the collec-
17.	tive bargaining bill is voted on, 'cause I think this is an element
18.	in which should be bargained collectively in any contract.
19.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
20.	Senator Hall.
21.	SENATOR HALL:
22.	SenatorSenator, I'd like to accommodate you, but I can't
23.	at this time. I feel that this is aa bill that's worthwhile,
24.	and I certainly wouldn't want to hold it until then.
25.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
26.	Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Hall may close
27.	debate.
28.	SENATOR HALL:
29.	Thank you, Mr. President. Now, for youredification of every-
30.	one, this doesn't apply to the City of Chicago because Chicago
31.	pays much a higher salaries already. Now, the impact on this is
32.	that fewer than two hundred out of the ten hundred and thirteen
33.	Illinois schools are affected, and the total Statecost State-wide

Page 228 - May 28, 1981

1.	is approximately two hundred thousand dollars. And as Senator
2.	Marovitz has told you, that eighty-five percent of these districts
3.	affected, last year, had positive cash surpluses. In other words,
4.	fifteen percent of approximately thirty districts, all were on a
5.	cash accounting basis and has not included all revenues due them.
6.	I ask your most favorable support of this bill. It's a good bill.
7.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
8.	The question is, shall Senate Bill 1160 pass. Those in favor
9.	will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
10.	all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
11.	wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 31, the
12.	Nays are 22, none Voting Present. Senate Bill 1160, having re-
13.	ceived the constitutional majority is declared passed. For what
14.	purpose does Senator Maitland arise?
15.	SENATOR MAITLAND:
16.	Thank you, Mr. President. Verify the affirmatives.
17.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
18.	There's been a request by Senator Maitland to verify the
19.	affirmative vote. Will allwill all the Senators be in their
20.	seats. And will theSecretary please call the affirmative votes.
21.	SECREȚARY:
22.	The following voted in the affirmative:
23.	Berman, Bloom, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, Coffey, Collins,
24.	D'Arco, Davidson, Degnan, Demuzio, Egan, Gitz, Hall, Johns, Jeremia
25.	Joyce, Jerome Joyce, Lemke, Marovitz, Nash, Nedza, Nega, Netsch,
26.	Newhouse, Rupp, Sangmeister, Savickas, Schaffer, Taylor, Vadalabene
27.	PRESIDENT:
28.	Senator Maitland, do you question the presence
29.	SENATOR MAITLAND:
30.	Senator Taylor?
31.	PRESIDENT:
32.	Senator Taylor is in his chair.
33.	SENATOR MAITLAND:

Page 229 - May 28, 1981

1.	Senator Chew.
2.	PRESIDENT:
3.	Senator Chew on the Floor? Senator Chew on the Floor?
4.	Strike his name, Mr. Secretary. Question any further? All
5.	right, the roll has been verified. On that question, there are
6.	30 Ayes, 22 Nays, none Voting Present. Senate Bill 1160, having
7.	received the required constitutional majority is declared passed.
8.	Senator Johns moves to reconsider the vote by which 1160 passed.
9.	Senator Nash moves to Table. All in favor signify by saying Aye.
10.	All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion is Tabled. Senator Ozing
11.	the time is now, I guess, for what purpose do you arise?
12.	SENATOR OZINGA:
13.	I have been requested to request a Republican caucus, Senator
14.	Shapirods office, immediately.
15.	PRESIDENT:
16.	All right, for the benefit of the members on this side, and
17.	the other side, wethe Senate will stand in recess until the
18.	hour of seven-thirty, at which time we will come back and con-
19.	tinue with 1193 and keep on going. Senate stands in recess until
20.	11:30. Republican caucus immediately in Senator Shapiro's Office.
21.	RECESS
22.	AFTER RECESS
23.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
24.	Would the Sergeant-at-Arms please clear the Floor. Yes, would
25.	the Sergeant-at-Arms clear the Floor of unauthorized individuals
26.	The hour of seven-thirty having come and gone, the Senate will
27.	come to order. We had concluded our business with 1160, and we
28.	will pick up on 1163. The question has been asked, we are going
29.	to go through 3rd reading through page 18, and we will start back
30.	with the start of the Calendar, 3rd readings. And start right back
31.	through and go until we conclude, and we'll go back to Senate Bill
32.	20 on page 3 after we've gone all the way through the Calendar
33.	one time. Senate Bill 1163, Senator Maitland. Read the bill, Mr.
	Secretary, please.

```
SECRETARY:
 ı.
             Senate Bill 1163.
2.
                   ( Secretary reads title of bill )
 3.
         3rd reading of the bill.
4.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
5.
             All right, Senator Maitland, if you'll just wait just a
6.
         second. The State Journal-Register has sought leave to shoot
7.
         still photographs, and Channel 3, 2, 5, 7 and 9, seek leave to
8.
         shoot still photographs. Is there...leave? And anyone else we
 9.
         forgot, I suppose. Senator Maitland.
10.
         SENATOR MAITLAND:
11.
              Thank...thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of
12.
         the Senate. Senate Bill 1163 does two things. Number one, it
13.
         transfers...it's a line item transfer of twenty-three thousand
14.
         one hundred dollars, and additionally it adds twenty thousand
15.
         dollars to the spending authority for the Agricultural Marketing
16.
         Servicing Fund.
17.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
18.
              Is there discussion? Senator Totten.
19.
         SENATOR TOTTEN:
20.
              Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield for a
21.
         question?
22.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
23.
              He indicates he will yield. Senator Totten.
24.
         SENATOR TOTTEN:
25.
              Is this a budgeted increase?
26.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
27.
              Senator Maitland.
28.
         SENATOR MAITLAND:
29.
              Yes, it is.
30.
         PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
31.
               Senator Totten.
32.
```

SENATOR TOTTEN:

Photos Sing

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1163

pass. Those in favor vote Aye. These opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? All right. Senator Carroll. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 56, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. Senate Bill 1163, having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 1193, Senator Grotberg. Senator Grotberg. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

Senate Bill 1193.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Grotberg.

SENATOR GROTBERG:

SECRETARY:

Well, thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1193 is a bill that has come about because of some of the problems that we have in several districts in Illinois, legislative districts, mine for one, where we have a hazardous waste treatment site going into what was originally just a general waste site. And in the permitting process that we now have, there seems to be a lack of ability of the neighborhood people and the community people for input on a...on a presumptive basis in going into the issue instead of hindsight. So, this bill then, requires that before the EPA can issue a permit to develop an off-site hazardous waste, this is not on their plant site, but off-site, storage treatment or disposal site, or before hazardous waste can be accepted at a landfill which was not designed for hazardous waste, a public hearing must be held in the county where the site is proposed or located. My personal experience has been in LaSalle County in the City of Ottowa and the Village of Naplate. And this is not

retroactive, it will not affect that, but it's a protective device for

Page 232 - May 28, 1981

1.	future mazardous waste treatment that may go fines an existing
2.	landfill. And I would appreciatepassage of the bill and
3.	your favorable vote.
4.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
5.	Is there discussion? Senator Demuzio.
6.	SENATOR DEMUZIO:
7.	Well, Senator Grotberg has explained it very well. I rise
8.	in support of the measure, and ask for a favorable roll call.
9.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
10.	The question is, shall Senate Bill 1193 pass. Those in favor
11.	vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
12.	all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
13.	On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none Voting
14.	Present. Senate Bill 1193, having received the required consti-
15.	tutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 1195, Senator
16.	Berning. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.
17.	SECRETARY:
18.	Senate Bill 1195.
19.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
20.	3rd reading of the bill.
21.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
22.	Senator Berning. Senator Berning.
23.	SENATOR BERNING:
24.	Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. This
25.	this little bill is a public safety, public equanimity measure.
26.	Quite frankly, Mr. President, and members of the Senate, the
27.	genesis of this bill was the recent disturbances in communities
28.	up in my area, and the bill itself is patterned after New York
29.	Law. After which, there were no such types of confrontations
30.	as occurred in our area. It's one of those things you either like
31.	or you don't like. Mr. President, I would urge your favorable
32.	vote so that this bill can be moved on. It's the result, Mr.
33.	President, and members of the Senate

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

l.

32.

33.

2.	May we have some order, please.
3.	SENATOR BERNING:
4.	The bill, quite simply, Mr. President, and members of the
5.	Senate, was introduced at the request of some very dear friends
6.	of mine, and is totally supported by the Jewish War Veterans. I
7.	submit that itmerits your Aye vote. And I would suggest
8.	a favorable roll call.
9.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
10.	All right, the followingfollowing Senators have sought
11.	recognition. Senators Rhoads, Newhouse, Egan, Joyce, and D'Arco.
12.	Senator Rhoads.
13.	SENATOR RHOADS:
14.	A question of the sponsor, if he will yield?
15.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
16.	He indicates he will yield. Senator Rhoads.
17.	SENATOR RHOADS:
18.	Senator, I'm looking at both the Digest and the synopsis on
19.	the Calendar, prohibits appearing in public in a military uniform
20.	of a foreign state. We do have reciprocal agreements under the
21.	Resident Forces Act, that visitors to the City of Chicago on
22.	who are wearing the Naval uniforms of their countries, routinely
23.	get off ship and walk up and down the street, and are given proper
24.	military courtesy. There are military guards at the consulates
25.	in Chicago who wearwho occasionally come out of the consulate and
26.	into U.S. territory wearing theirthe military uniform of their
27.	country. Does this bill prohibit that?
28.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
29.	Senator Berning.
30.	SENATOR BERNING:
21	No. The qualifyingportion of theproscription is, or

to assemble with other persons similarly attired in any camp, drill

ground or other place. The objective is to prohibit the utilization

Page 234 - May 28, 1981

1.	and the Act does not apply to the officers of members of the military
2.	semi-military
3.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
4.	Gentlemen. Senator Berning.
5.	SENATOR BERNING:
6.	Well, Mr. President, I'm delighted that something has brought
7.	some levity to this Chamber. When I reflect on some of theon
8.	some of the very regrettable kinds of legislation that has been
9.	passed, I'm delighted that something brings a little humor to us.
10.	But this is notthis is not intended to be anything humorous,
11.	it is entirely intended to be strictly serious. And Section 6 of
12.	the Act very carefully spells out that the situations or instances
13.	such as referred to by Senator Rhoads are not prohibited.
14.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
15.	Senator Rhoads.
16.	SENATOR RHOADS:
17.	Just to be clear, Senator. If a Canadian Army Officer on
18.	a visit to Chicago walks down the street in the uniform of his
19.	branch of the service from the Canadian Armed Forces, he is not
20.	violating an Illinois law by doing so under this bill, is he?
21.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
22.	Senator Berning.
23.	SENATOR BERNING:
24.	No. Again, referring to Section 6 which says among other
25.	things, that representatives of any foreign state, nation, or
26.	government, lawfully within the State of Illinois, is not prohibited.
27.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
28.	Further discussion? Senator Newhouse. Senator Egan.
29.	SENATOR EGAN:
30.	Yes, IMr. President, and members of the Senate. II
31.	have several questions, and it would takewherewe have time
32.	constraints. What does it do to the Andy Frain Ushers?
	PROGETERING OFFICERS (CRUSHOR DRUCE)

Page 235 - May 28, 1981

1.	Senator Berning.
2.	SENATOR BERNING:
3.	Well, thank you. It does nothing to the Andy Frain Ushers,
4.	any morethan it does to the Boy Scouts, Senator.
5.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
6.	Senator Egan.
7.	SENATOR EGAN:
8.	Wellwhere does it say that? Thatyou know, this is all
9.	encompassing. Itit takes care of everybody, Senator.
10.	Itit prohibits Andy Frain Ushers from being in uniform. And
11.	when you go out to Arlington Park and try to bettonon a race,
12.	try to get your seat back if you don't have an Andy Frain Usher.
13.	Go outwhat does it do to the White Socks?
14.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
15.	Senator Berning.
16.	SENATOR BERNING:
17.	I hope it helps keep them in first place. Butbut you
18.	you have to recall that this has to do with those representatives
19.	of organizations which are no longer recognized by our United
20.	States Government as welcome individuals. The exceptions spell
21.	out those organizations and groups to which there is no prohibitio
22.	and the application is for persons wearing uniforms to that
23.	similar to that worn to members of the Storm Troopers of Nazi
24.	Germany or attired in anyact, distinctive part, or parts of
25.	suchuniform or to assemble with other persons similarly attired
26.	in any camp, drill ground, or other place.
27.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
28.	Senator Egan.
29.	SENATOR EGAN:
30.	How do you determine when the Washington Red Skins play the
31.	Chicago Bears in the stadium? How do you distinguish them from
32.	that group?
	DESCRIPTION OFFICER (SENATOR BRICE)

Page 236 - May 28, 1981

1.	Seliator berling.
2.	SENATOR BERNING:
3.	Well, facetiously, I can say this has nothing to do with the
4.	minor participantsminor leagues.
5.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
6.	Senator Egan.
7.	SENATOR EGAN:
8.	Humbug.
9.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
10.	All right, Senator Jeremiah Joyce.
11.	SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:
12.	Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I rise in support
13.	of Senate Bill 1195. This bill wasthis bill was carefully
14.	considered and deliberated upon in committee, it was passed out
15.	of the Judiciary Committee after much discussion. There is a
16.	presumption of reasonableness in interpretation and in execution,
17.	and I would urge your support.
18.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
19.	Senator D'Arco.
20.	SENATOR D'ARCO:
21.	II agree with Senator Joyce, if there's a presumption
22.	of reasonableness and execution, we should execute all these
23.	people, and maybe that will accomplish the purpose Karl for which
24.	the bill is designed. Does it matter under the bill why these
25.	people are assembled?
26.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
27.	Senator Berning.
28.	SENATOR BERNING:
29.	No, it is not spelled out as to why or what justifications
30.	they attempt to imply, but you and I both know, Senator, that
31.	these gatherings are for the express purpose of flaunting an
32.	ideology before the populace which is in our society, unacceptable.
	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1.	Senator D'Arco.
2.	SENATOR D'ARCO:
3.	Well, Mr. President, I can't agree with Senator Berning,
4.	although I think his purpose is laudable. I think his design is
5.	is faulty, and I can't support a bill like that.
6.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
7.	Further discussion? Senator Friedland.
8.	SENATOR FRIEDLAND:
9.	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
10.	Senate. Speaking of design, someone who has a '56 Ford would
11.	know seatcovers.
12.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
13.	Senator Kenneth Hall. Senator Geo-Karis.
14.	SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
15.	MrMr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
16.	I think the sentiment is great, but I'm always afraid that we might
17.	have some Greek officers visiting in Illinois, and I'd be in a
18.	terrible mess if they were arrested.
19.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
20.	Senator Totten.
21.	SENATOR TOTTEN:
22.	Thank you, Mr. President. I move the previous question.
23.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
24.	All right, I haveI have twothree speakers, Senators
25.	Buzbee, DeAngelis, and Sangmeister. Senator Buzbee.
26.	SENATOR BUZBEE:
27.	Thank you, Mr. President. II'm just wondering whatever
28.	happened to the land of the free and the home of the brave. You
29.	know, what have we got to worry about with these kind of groups,
30.	for crying out loud. Wewewe have gone through several
31.	years of trauma and upheaval during the Vietnam War years, campus
32.	riots, et cetera, and this country survived. We don't have to
33.	worry about these kind of idiots. We don't have to worry about

Page 238 - May 28, 1981

1.	passing laws to say thatthat you cannot wear a particular type
2.	of uniform. We don't have to worry about these kind of nuts.
3.	Whywhy do you want to pass a law that says, which is blatantly
4.	unconstitutional, thatthat says that you can't put on a particula
5.	piece of garb. This country is strong enough, we're big enough
6.	to resist that kind of baloney. Thisthis is ridiculous, we've
7.	got a fifteen billion dollar budget here, and we've got reapportion-
8.	ment, and we've got the RTA going down the tubes. We've got
9.	people problems, and here we are messing around withafraid
١٥.	afraid of some little minority group that's going to put on a
11.	brown shirt and a black swastika, I'm not afraid of them. Let's
12.	kill the bill, and let them do whatever they want to do, they're
13.	not going to affect our country.
L 4 .	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
15.	Senator DeAngelis. Senator Sangmeister.
.6.	SENATOR SANGMEISTER:
L7.	Well, thank you, Mr. President. The only reason I rise,
L8.	I wasn't going to speak, but several other members of my committee
19.	have spoken. And if you'll look in your Digest, this came out on
20.	a five-four vote, and there was a few members of my committee
21.	that thought we deserved to have a little levity, and I think
22.	now that we've had our levity we ought to properly vote on this
23.	bill.
24.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
25.	Senator Berning to close.
26.	SENATOR BERNING:
27.	Thank you, Mr. President. Let me reiterate, this was not
28.	introduced, and is not before you with any intention of it being
29.	taken lightly. Some of you, who have short memories, may not
30.	recall the trauma, the heartbreak, the tragedy that some of our,
31.	now, fellow citizens experienced in Nazi Germany. Yes, and the
32.	heartache that was engendered again by the marches in Skokie.
33.	MrMr. President, II sincerely regret that some members of the

```
1.
        Body feel that this is a matter to be taken lightly. I assure
        you to the Jewish war veterans, many of whom are personal friends
2.
        of mine, that this was offered in all seriousness, and seeks to
3.
        prevent any additional occurrences, such as we had not long ago up
4.
        in Skokie. All of the safeguards have been built in for those
5.
        individuals and organizations which rightfully under our society
6.
        and our system, should be accommodated. But there isn't anything,
7.
        Mr. President, and members of the Senate, which justifies the
8.
        goading of any segment of our society into retaliatory action
9.
        by those who have nothing but contempt for the fellow citizens
10.
        of ours who have been the butts of their gibes, their intentions,
11.
        by flaunting their Nazi uniforms before them. I repeat, this
12.
        was not introduced with any intention for it to be taken lightly.
13.
        And on behalf of all the veterans organizations of the State of
14.
        Illinois who have joined with the Jewish war veterans in support
15.
        of this, I urge a favorable vote, Mr. President.
16.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
17.
             The question is, shall Senate Bill 1195 pass.
18.
        favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.
19.
        Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all
20.
        voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes
21.
        are 14, the Nays are 28, 9 Voting Present. Senate Bill 1195,
22.
        having failed to receive a constitutional majority is declared
23.
        lost. Senator Nedza, 1199. Senator Carroll on 1201. Read the
24.
        bill, Mr. Secretary, please.
25.
        SECRETARY:
26.
             Senate Bill 1201.
27.
                   ( Secretary reads title of bill )
28.
        3rd reading of the bill.
29.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
30.
             Senator Carroll.
31.
        SENATOR CARROLL:
32.
              Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
```

Page 240 - May 28, 1981

Senate. Senate Bill 1201 is a request from the Scholarship l. Commission to allow us to take advantage of a new Federal 2. program which will allow the Scholarship Commission in addition 3. to the powers already enjoyed by them, to have the ability to 4. provide guaranteed loans to the parents of students in higher 5. education. These loans will be different in nature, and the 6. rules have not yet been fully promulgated by the Federal Government, 7. somewhat different in nature than the student loans, in that there 8. will be some interest payments during the time the student is in 9. school, although they will be at reduced interest from commercial 10. rates, and then there will be an allowed repayment period after 11. the student completes his college education. This is a way of 12. providing loans to the parents where some funds, as we know, 13. for the student loans are no longer available. This is the 14. Federal Guarantee Program, not State dollars, and I would ask 15. for a favorable roll call. 16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 17. Is there discussion? Is there discussion? The question is, 18. shall Senate Bill 1201 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those 19. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 20. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, 21. the Ayes are 56, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. Senate 22. Bill 1201, having received the constitutional majority is declared 23. passed. 1207, Senator Keats. Read...read the bill, Mr. Secretary, 24. please. 25. SECRETARY: 26. Senate Bill 1207. 27. (Secretary reads title of bill) 28. 3rd reading of the bill. 29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 30. Senator Keats. 31. SENATOR KEATS: 32. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

Page 241 - May 28, 1981

1.	Senate. This bill, the explanation in our Calendar, really is
2.	not a particularly good description of the bill. This is a bill,
3.	although, not an administration bill, I have worked with DCFS
4.	on it, it's ait's a new concept. We came through Revenue
5.	Committee and Chairman Netsch sent it out on a nine to two vote,
6.	which I appreciated. What this does, is set up the definitions
7.	categories of children who are, at this moment, foster children
8.	of the State, of which the State now has full financial liability.
9.	And the categories, which I'll come back to, areare not normally
10.	these are not children who are easily placed. These are the
11.	children that by and large we've been unable to adopt, and it
12.	has been felt that there's a better chance of adoption ifif
13.	if the family is better able to financially afford the child. One
14.	of our great problems today is, all of you parents who have children,
15.	you're aware it's expensive. And this helps ease the financial
16.	burden of children who have serious problems such as irreversible
17.	or noncorrectable physical or mental handicaps, physical or mental or
18.	emotional handicaps, correctable through surgery. Various things
19.	like this as far as being placed withinwith a relative in a
20.	home, or foster children who've been with DCFS overfor a long
21.	period of time, over six years of age, these are the kids we cannot
22.	place. This is a new idea, this is a pilot program, it would
23.	has not been done in any other state in America, is not done
24.	on the National level. And I would be more than happy to answer
25.	any questions anyone might have.
26.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
27.	Discussion? Senator Buzbee.
28.	SENATOR BUZBEE:
29.	Thank you, Mr. President. I I rise in opposition to this
	bill. My two children are adopted, they arethey do not fit into

the category that you describe here, Senator Keats. But for...for

...for an additional tax savings of twenty-five dollars are we...

are we hanging out a carrot here to prospective...prospective

30.

31.

32.

adoptive parents to...to take children, that's not the reason why ı. prospective adoptive parents take children, for an additional 2. twenty-five bucks off your income tax. I don't...I don't think 3. this is a good idea. Prospective adoptive parents adopt children 4. because they want to provide a loving home for those children, 5. but to give them twenty-five dollars off their income tax doesn't 6. seem to me to be the way to go. The Department of Children and 7. Family Services, as a matter of fact, under the current leader-8. ship of that department, has been doing a very good job in my 9. opinion of ... of placing hard to ... hard to place children for 10. adoption. It's been a long hard haul, they've got a long way 11. to go yet. The...the children that are hard to place, obviously, 12. are the children from minority groups, the children with extreme 13. physical and...and mental disabilities, and I don't think by 14. offering somebody twenty-five dollars a year off their income 15. tax is the way that we ought to go to...to allow those children 16. to be adopted. The way that it has to be done is, as the priest 17. on the south side of Chicago, who's name I cannot recall off the 18. top of my head...Father George Clements, is...is actively involved 19. in trying to place these hard to...to place children. That's the 20. way we need to go, we need to go through a...a...an attitude 21. of love, not through an attitude of...of giving a twenty-five 22. dollar savings on your income tax. I think this is a bad concept. 23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 24.

Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Keats may close.

SENATOR KEATS:

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Thank you, Mr. President. In response to Senator Buzbee, I basically agreed with everything he said. That was...that's one of the internal problems with the bill. One of the problems we have found through DCFS experience and Nation-wide, everything Senator Buzbee says is completely true, and I personally agree both practically and philosophically with him, in that sense. But what we found is, most of the children who we've been unable

Page 243- May 28, 1981

to place have been, no question, minority children, as Senator ı. Buzbee said, and minority children who have just handicap after 2. handicap. And the thing to remember is, this could be more than ₹. one deduction. Now, from a fiscal note point of view, the State 4. ...this saves the State money, believe it or not, we...you know, 5. it saves us money. But in terms of these handicaps, many parents 6. who are willing to accept them, minority homes, I'll be frank, 7. we have found financially cannot afford the children, yet would Я. like to. This offers that opportunity, now there are safeguards 9. coming out the ears in terms of the inspection of a family to make 10. sure that they are a potentially good family, and at the same time 11. to be sure that they have the ability to help these children. 12. So, it would be a new idea that we'd like to, at least, try, and 13. I would appreciate your support. Thank you. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 15. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1207 pass. Those in favor 16. vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all 17. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 18. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are...on that question, 19. the Ayes are 27, the Nays are 20, 6 Voting Present. Senate Bill 20. 1207, having...having failed to receive a constitutional majority 21. is declared lost. Senate Bill 1208, Senator Schaffer. Senate 22. Bill 1214, Senator Berman. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please. 23. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES) 24. Senate Bill 1214. 25. (Secretary reads title of bill) 26. 3rd reading of the bill.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen. Those of us who submit ourselves to the electorate for election to our office understand, I believe, the value of being responsive to the people. In the

State of Illinois, we have had a system of election of judges, in l. Cook County, however, there has been a lot of complaint of the 2. process, and upon analysis most of the complaints are that because 3. of the size of the County of Cook, that the people who vote for 4. the people that are running for judgeships do not know for whom 5. they are voting. I think there is a degree of legitimacy to 6. that...argument, and I think that that points out the reason why, when 7. they talk about an appointive system of judges, those of you from 8. downstate...those of you from downstate, many times do not agree 9. with changing the system from elective to appointive, because 10. you are familiar, many times, with the people that you vote for, 11. for judgeships. This bill, Senate Bill 1214, seeks to correct the 12. problem that's inherent in the County of Cook because of the size 13. of the county. The proposal that's presented here for your con-14. sideration would mandate the County Board of the County of Cook 15. to divide the County of Cook into six circuit districts, compact 16. in size and equal in population, and that the judges who would 17. seek election beginning in the year 1984, both for nomination and 18. election would run from the circuit district within the county, 19. from which they reside. The bill, in order to be mathematically 20. correct, adds three judgeships in the County of Cook so that there 21. would be a hundred and eighty, there's presently a hundred and 22. seventy-seven judgeships, this adds three judgeships, so there 23. would be a hundred and eighty which would be divisible by six and 24. there would be thirty judgeships eventually to be...elected from 25. each of the six circuit districts within the County of Cook. This 26. would not affect any sitting judge, it would be phased in over 27. a period of time, depending upon vacancies as they occur. And 28. we... I submit this to you for your consideration, because I think 29. it addresses the most serious criticism that lends itself to 30. those who wish to appoint judges. But I submit to you, that those 31. of us who have experienced the electoral process, appreciate its 32. merits, makes you more responsive, and I think is the backbone of 33.

a fair and responsible Judiciary. And I would suggest that this
 is a responsible and responsive approach to the election of judges
 in the County of Cook. I'd be glad to respond to any questions.
 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

5.

6.

31.

32.

33.

Thank you, Mr. President. In the first place, Senator Berman, 7. this bill does not even begin to address all of the reasons why 8. those of us who support merit selection of judge...are, in fact, 9. committed to it. It is, I think, a diversionary technic, but I 10. would suggest that there is one problem that is even more serious. 11. I do not see how, under the present Constitution you can divide 12. the County of Cook, which is one Judicial circuit into six for 13. the purposes of electing judges. And I wold call to your at-14. tention Section 2 of Article VI of the Constitution, which says 15. that the State is divided into five Judiical...districts but...the 16. selection of Supreme and appellate court judges, the first Judicial 17. district consists of Cook County, the remainder of the State shall be 18. divided by law into four Judicial districts. And then in the circuit 19. court section, the State shall be divided into...into Judicial 20. circuits consisting of one or more counties. The first Judicial 21. district shall constitute a Judicial curcuit. That is what 22. the Constitution says. It does not authorize or provide for the 23. division of the first Judicial circuit into something less than 24. a whole. And I don't think that this could be done constitutionally 25. even if it were a wise decision. But I would emphasize again, 26. that whether or not it might someday be appropriate to subdivide 27. that Judicial district, that still does not begin to meet the 28. problems of the present elective system. And I would strongly 29. oppose the bill. 30.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Senator Simms. And I have Senators Simms, Carroll, Bowers, and Egan. Senator...Senator Simms. No. Senator

Page 246 - May 28, 1981

1.	Bowers.
2.	SENATOR BOWERS:
3.	I have a question, if the sponsor would yield.
4.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
5.	Indicates he will yield.
6.	SENATOR BOWERS:
7.	Presently, as I understand it, all of the judges of Cook
8.	are not elected at large, there are those elected at large, there
9.	are those elected from the city and those elected from thefrom the
10.	suburban areas, is that correct? Can you tell us, the numbers
11.	involved there, how many are elected from the city, how many
12.	are elected county-wide, and how many from the suburbs?
13.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
14.	Senator Berman.
15.	SENATOR BERMAN:
16.	Yes, we have at the present time. A hundred and seventy-seven
17.	circuit judges in Cook, of that ninety-four run in the county
18.	at large including Chicago and the suburbs. The others are
19.	what we call resident judges. Theytwenty-seven of them run
20.	from the country towns, suburbs, outside of Chicago, and the fifty
21.	and fifty-six run from Chicago only.
22.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
23.	Senator Bowers.
24.	SENATOR BOWERS:
25.	That's all I wanted to know, thank you.
26.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
27.	Okay. Senator Egan.
28.	SENATOR EGAN:
29.	Yes, thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate.
30.	I would really like to ask this question of Senator Netsch, but
31.	she's escaped me. Therethere is aa feeling in thein the
32.	people who are involved in transforming the Judicial Branch from
22	a greedy group to a purist group, that we should have one set

Page 247 - May 28, 1981

of standards for Cook, and another standard for the rest of the ı. State. And I'm curious to know if we can't just take that same 2. attitude for Cook, and make that applicable to the county and the 3. city, and that's all this bill does. And I...why would anyone 4 . object to that? In logic, the premise is the same, and the 5. soliloquism is the same, what is the difference in the result? 6. And I'm sorry that Senator Netsch has escaped, because she 7. could probably do that with mirrors or whatever. But Senator 8. Netsch is conferring with Senator Walsh, apparently about their 9. differences. But I just want an answer. I...it ...it escapes 10. me, how this differs from that philosophy, and that attitude 11. in that nonsensical hysteria, that those of the...the Cook 12. County...or City of Chicago political orientation just scratch 11. at the opportunity to name their judges. Senator Netsch, if you 14. don't mind, my dear, I'm asking you several questions, to which 15. you cannot properly respond, because you are engaged with some 16. dissertation with Senator Walsh. I hope it's not over this bill. 17. Well, without an answer, let me say that it's illogical to pro-18. ceed in any other direction. It's not only illogical, let me 19. suggest, that it's hypocritical. That's all. 20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 21. Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator...Senator 22

Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator...Senator

SENATOR NIMROD:

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Thank you, Mr. President. I certainly would say that initially the concept of having a district within Cook County, for Judicial districts, would be a very wise thing to do. We find today, the complete imbalance politically of the selection of judges, in fact, out of the three hundred and some judges, I don't know whether there's three hundred and thirty or three hundred and forty judges, I don't think we have over, probably fifteen or twenty Republicans in...in the whole area. It would seem to me that the key here is how we reapportion, and how the districts are going to be drawn. If, in

Page 248 - May 28, 1981

1.	fact, ourI have a bill that's in Judiciary, in fact, that's
2.	in the subcommittee, that calls for seven districts. But if
3.	if the lines in the district are going to be drawn strictly based
4.	by a political subdivision doing it, it could cause us some serious
5.	problems. But if you could ensure us, Senator Berman, that there's
6.	some basis, based on population that would probably cause aan
7.	improvement in the bad position that exists today, I think there
8.	would be a number of us who would support this. But there has
9.	to be some assurance that population will play some basis on
10.	whatever the districts are drawn.

(END OF REEL)

11. 12. 13. 14.

16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33.

33.

Page 249 - May 28, 1981

l. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Berman 2. may close. 3. SENATOR BERMAN: 4. Thank you. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen 5. Senator Nimrod in particular, on page 10, lines 10 and 11 6. I quote, "the district shall be compact, contiguous and sub-7. stantially equal in population." We all know as we are debating 8. or at least thinking about reapportionment...in the Legis-9. lature today,...map drawers have great flexibility. I'm 10. not submitting this as a method for political one-upmanship. 11. And I think that the debate by Senator Netsch points up 12. the merits of this approach and what I'm, in fact, trying 13. to do. I suggested to you that the elective system that 14. we have...lived under for many, many decades has worked and 15. has given us a responsive and responsible Judiciary. I've 16. talked about appointive and I've talked about elective and 17. that has been the two systems that have been debated when 18. legislation in recent years has been...submitted to the 19. General Assembly. It was Senator Netsch that has used the 20. phrase, which is overworked and fallacious of merit selection. 21. And I point that out to you because I think that does a 22. disservice to you and to me, to every sitting judge and 23. does a disservice to the media that like to coin that phrase 24. when all we're talking about is a difference in...in a system 25. of selection of judges. I submit to you that the only com-26. plaint...that I believe is valid, as far as the process of 27. selecting a judge in the County of Cook, is that it is hard 28. to know for whom you are voting when you live in a...in a 29. county that has three, four or more millions of people and 30. covers the area. Now, with the division of the County of 31. Cook into six districts, you will be talking about a population 32. that is somewhat larger than a Congressional district. And

Page 250 - May 28, 1981

```
ı.
       we know that when things are heated in a Congressional
2.
       district, the voters that are interested get to know the
       issues and get to know the candidates. And I submit to
 3.
       you that with the present system of screening and approval
       by the bar associations, which is based upon merit, and
5.
       a submission to the electorate to decide who will sit in
6.
       judgment upon them, from a district slightly larger
7.
       than a Congressional district, we will have the best of all
R.
       worlds. A small and responsive area to select and elect
 9.
       our judges. I ask for your Aye vote on Senate Bill 1214.
10.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
11.
            The question is, shall Senate Bill 1214 pass.
12.
       in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is
13.
       open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
14.
       Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,
15.
       the Ayes are 32, the Nays are 16, 6 Voting Present.
16.
       Bill 1214 having received the...required constitutional
17.
       majority is declared passed. Very good. Senate Bill...for
18.
       what purpose does Senator Berman arise?
19.
       SENATOR BERMAN:
20.
            Having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider
21.
       the vote ...
22.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
23.
            The motion is to reconsider. Senator Nash moves to
24.
       lay that motion upon the Table. On the motion to Table,
25.
       all in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it.
26.
       The motion to Table prevails. Senator Hall on 1221. Read
27.
       the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.
28.
       SECRETARY:
29.
            Senate Bill 1221.
30.
                 (Secretary reads title of bill)
31.
       3rd reading of the bill.
32.
```

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

l. Senator Hall. 2. SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of 3. the Senate. This bill started out as an Act in relation 4. 5. to small businesses. And small businesses supply ninetyfive percent of all new employment in Illinois and therefore, 6. we felt that it should be given a priority. At the time the 7. bill was up I attempted to put an amendment on the bill and 8. when I circulated the amendment, everyone told me that the 9. amendment was fine but the bill was bad. So what I did 10. was...that then I decided the right step to go was to strike 11. everything except the enacting clause and let the amendment 12. become the bill, which I have done. Now, my understanding 13. is that the State Chamber of Commerce is in full support of 14. the bill and the Small Business Council is also in support 15. of the bill. But I do find out that the Department of 16. Insurance does have some reservations about this and I 17. certainly, if this bill passes, would agree to put any amend-18. ment on to bring it in line with their objections. So, 19. what this does is, that the Director of Commerce and 20. Community Affairs and the Director of the Department of 21. Insurance shall develop and establish an assigned risk bonding 22. plan for small businesses that would require bonding companies 23. to make performance bonds. There are many people who want 24. to go into business, who are available to go into business, 25. and this will be an incentive and will help them. It will 26. also help employment and get people off our unemployed rolls. 27. So, I made all the changes that I've been told to make. 28. hope that you can see that now you will support the bill. 29. You say the amendment was good, the amendment is the bill 30. and I would ask your most favorable support of this bill. 31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Further discussion? The question is,

32.

The way in a graph

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Page 252 - May 28, 1981

shall Senate Bill 1221 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those
 opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who
 wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
 question, the Ayes are 44, the Nays are 10, 1 Voting Present.
 Senate Bill 1221 having received the required constitutional
 majority is declared passed. 1227,...Senator Marovitz. Read
 the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1227.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This bill amends...the State Antitrust Act to clarify and confirm existing law in the Act. That the prohibition against exclusive dealing arrangements...applies to contracts for the lease or sale of Master antenna television service that prohibit building owners or managers from installing or having installed cable TV service or cable relay service. What this says is, that old technology cannot keep out new technological advances. This is in the public...public's interest. Let me read you the clause in the present master antenna TV contracts that is in violation of the present Antitrust Act and I quote, "during period of contract, owners shall not grant any tenant or company the right or privilege to install an outside antenna of any kind or distribute any form of television signals for the purpose of serving said building or individual tenant." What happens is, these master...TV...companies in the Chicago area and throughout the State of Illinois in high-rise buildings put in Master

```
1.
       TV...service and in the contract they have a clause which
       lasts five years, seven years, ten years that prohibits any
 2.
       other transmission, any other service during the life of that
 3.
       contract, which means that if and when...a Cable TV franchise
 5.
       is awarded in your municipality ... Cable TV would not be able
       to come into that building because of the restrictive cove-
 6.
       nant in this clause by the Master TV Company. All we're
 7.
       doing by this bill is, clarifying and confirming, that is
 Ω.
       ...that such a clause is a violation of the present Antitrust
 9.
       Act and that...these restrictions are...against State law
10.
       and public policy and that they cannot restrict further
11.
       technological advances, such as Cable TV, from coming in
12.
       ...during the life of the Master TV antenna contract. I
13.
       would ask for a...favorable vote on this bill. There was
14.
       no opposition to it whatsoever.
15.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
16.
            Is there discussion? Senator Weaver.
17.
       SENATOR WEAVER:
18.
            A question of the sponsor.
19.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
20.
            Indicates he will yield. Senator Weaver.
21.
       SENATOR WEAVER:
22.
            Senator Marovitz,...you indicate that there's possibly
23.
       ...litigation going on in other states. What other states
24.
       are...is there litigation going on to clarify this?
25.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
26.
            Senator Marovitz.
27.
       SENATOR MAROVITZ:
28.
            There's been litigation in...Wisconsin and Virginia and
29.
       I have here a case from...the New York Times...on May 7th,
30.
       where the State court of appeals rejected a challenge to a
31.
       state law that required landlords to permit the installation
32.
       of cable TV facilities for the use of his tenants or the
```

```
ı.
       tenants of other buildings. The highest court in New York ...
2.
       said that...these...these...contracts, which would not allow
3.
       Cable TV, were against public policy and a state law pro-
       hibiting...such contracts was valid. All this says is,
4 .
       we're confirming present Antitrust Act that these contracts
5.
       would be violative of public policy and a violation of the
6.
       Antitrust Act so that further technological advances cannot
7.
       be prohibited by existing Master TV antenna companies. Other-
8.
       wise, Cable TV, wherever you go in the State of Illinois
9.
       where there's an existing Master TV antenna...company and
10.
       a contract, would be prohibited in any municipality through-
11.
       out the State of Illinois.
12.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
13.
            Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator...
14.
       Marovitz may close.
15.
       SENATOR MAROVITZ:
16.
            I would just ask for a favorable roll call on this
17.
       bill that's really for...for the public's good interest
18.
       and clarifies and confirms our present Antitrust Act.
19.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
20.
            The question is, shall Senate Bill 1227 pass. Those
21.
       in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is
22.
       open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
23.
      Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,
24.
       the Ayes are 35, the Nays are 4, 16 Voting Present. Senate
25.
       Bill 1227 having received the required constitutional majority
26.
       is declared passed. Senate Bill 1228, Senator DeAngelis.
27.
       Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.
28.
       SECRETARY:
29.
            Senate Bill 1228.
30.
                 (Secretary reads title of bill)
31.
```

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32.

Page 255 - May 28, 1981

Senator DeAngelis. ı. 2. SENATOR DEANGELIS: During the course of the... Chicago Board of Education 3. investigative hearings...and this bill has nothing to do 4. with it, except that Standard and more...Standard and Poor 5. and Moody appeared before us and described some of the 6 problems they have in evaluating issues of public and govern-7. mental bodies. Most of it evolves around the fact that they 8. do not...they do not use generally accepted accounting prin-9. ciples. This appropriation is designed to bring Illinois in 10. compliance with generally accepted accounting principles. It 11. is a first year cost of around 2.3 million with a first year 12. savings of about a million and a half and in subsequent years 13. savings of either that amount or more depending on what bonds 14. we require to put out and what the rates of interest are. 15. Illinois currently is on a modified accrual basis and that 16. is why we have so much disagreement sometime between Mr. 17. Burris' figures about how healthy the State of Illinois is 18. and the Governor. This would put the system under a standard 19. generally accepted accounting principle system. Senator 20. Carroll will address himself, who is my hyphenated sponsor to the 21. appropriation on this. 22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 23. Further discussion? Senator Carroll. 24. SENATOR CARROLL: 25. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen 26. of the Senate. The attempt here, and we amended to make sure 27. the money came from the Auditor General's portion of the bill, 28. is to allow for the various Auditor Generals of the country 29. or their equivalents to come up with reasonable standards for 30. government. One of the problems has been that what are com-31. mercially reasonable accounting practices in private industry 32.

do not work in a governmental system. We are not looking at

Page 256 - May 28, 1981

ı. items such as depreciation. So it is essential that we create 2. a system nationwide of commercially reasonable accounting 3. practices for government and that is the purpose of going 4. towards this system, which is, I think, essential for us to 5. do and would urge support. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 6. 7. Is there discussion? Is there discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1228 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. 8. 9. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that 10. question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none Voting 11. Present. Senate Bill 1228 having received the required con-12. stitutional majority is declared passed. If I might have the 13. attention of the Body, when we began today on page 14, if 14. you would flip back on your Calendar, two bills had been put 15. on the Agreed Bill List and then were taken off during the 16. day and put back on the Calendar. We started with Senator 17. Coffey on 994, we should have started with Senator Marovitz's 18. bill 983, which, in fact, was on the Agreed Bill, was not 19. called because it was and then was removed. So, we will go 20. now, with leave of the Body, back to 983. For what purpose 21. does Senator Rock arise? 22. SENATOR ROCK: 23. I indicated earlier that, to Senator Marovitz, we'd get 24. to that first thing tomorrow. It's late tonight, let's turn 25. the page and go back to page 3, please. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 27. We will start on page 3 Senate Bills 3rd reading. Senator 28. Collins on Senate Bill 20. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please. 29. SECRETARY: 30. Senate Bill 20. 31.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

32.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) ı. 2. Senator Collins. SENATOR COLLINS: 3. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. For 4. me this is probably one...and may I have some order please? 5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 6. May we have some order please? 7. SENATOR COLLINS: 8. I can't hear for the conference going on here. 9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 10. Senator Bloom...Senator Bloom, Senator Collins has asked 11. if you would stop your conference so she might present her 12. bill. Senator Collins. If we might have some order, it's 13. ten minutes after nine and we still have just handled around 14. forty-five, fifty bills today. 15. SENATOR COLLINS: 16. For me, personally, this is the most important piece of 17. legislation that I have introduced since I've been in this 18. Chamber and I think now I'm beginning my fifth year here. 19. And it should be for all of you here, because no matter how 20. we cut it, this bill has a direct impact upon your lives 21. today and most certainly your future. Because what this 22. bill is attempting to address...a problem that we in the 23. State of Illinois and throughout this country for whatever 24. reason have tended to ignore the seriousness of this problem. 25. And this is the alcohol and drug abuse problems that is de-26. stroying the youth of this country, the youth today, who 27. will be making decisions when you and I are senior citizens. 28. They're the ones who will be...be deciding what kind of life 29. we will have when we're too old. Senate Bill 20, first of 30. all, establishes a program to be used in elementary and sec-31. ondary schools for the sole purpose of drug and alcohol abuse 32.

preventive programs. First of all, to educate our staff, the

```
l.
       teachers, many of whom...who does not recognize when a kid is
2.
       stoned out on drugs or alcohol when they come to class in the
       morning. The bill also provides that each school district can
3.
       apply to the State Board of Education for grants of which the
4.
5.
       State Board of Education will establish rules and regulations
       to establish preventive and counseling programs and training
6.
       programs in the primary and secondary schools throughout the
7.
       State of Illinois. The bill also provides for a five percent
8.
       increase in the liquor tax and I'll give you a breakdown of
9.
       their taxes. A tax that have not been raised now almost eleven
10.
       years and there's absolutely no reason why that tax cannot and
11.
       should not be raised. I am not putting the blame on the
12.
       liquor industry for the problem, because I think it's a
13.
       problem that all of us in society contributes to...the problem
14.
       of our youth. And the reasons why they feel that they have
15.
       to be stoned out every morning to get up to go to school or
16.
       to function on a day to day basis to feel wanted or to feel
17.
       accepted. And I think that's probably the most fundamental
18.
       challenge before us as adults and as elected officials...is
19.
       to first get to the causes of some of those problems and
20.
       once we identify those problems, is to come up with some
21.
       precise and...effective solutions to those problems. Now,
22.
       I recognize that money is a problem here in the State of
23.
       Illinois, money is a problem all over the country in terms
24.
       of social programs, but we have a responsibility in terms
25.
       of prioritizing the use of the taxpayers' dollars and to
26.
       make sure that we use those dollars where we can get the
27.
       greatest results. If we, in fact, deal with the problems
28.
       of drug abuse with our youth, it would most certainly eliminate
29.
       some of the problems that we are having today with crime.
30.
       A recence...article in the Sun Times indicated that approxi-
31.
       mately eighty percent of all of the crimes committed is
32.
       somehow drug related. There are young people, twelve and
33.
```

```
l.
       thirteen years old, with a two hundred...dollar a day drug
       habit and where do you think they get that money from. They
2.
       steal it from you and me, from senior citizens and from other
 3.
       poor working people to support their habits on a day to day
4.
       basis. Another way that they get the money, of which we
5.
       again pay for that we complain about, the girls go out on
6.
       the streets at nine and ten years old for prostitution and
7.
       that's how they support their habits. And so comes an il-
 8.
       legitimate child of which you cry about having to go on
 9.
       public aid. I'm saying to you that if we're going to deal
10.
       with social problems in a realistic way, that we must begin
11.
       to look at the roots of the problem. And there is no reason
12.
       under the sun that I can think of that no matter what you
13.
       say about increasing taxes, that the people of the State of
14.
       Illinois would object to you...increasing the liquor taxes
15.
       five percent because they haven't had an increase in ten
16.
       years, to deal with a problem that is as serious as saving
17.
       our youth and preserving and protecting our future. We just
18.
       today passed legislation giving the beer industry a one billion
19.
       dollar tax deduction and there have been other kinds of tax
20
       deductions and what I call frivolous uses and wastes of funds
21
       today. Like a hundred thousand dollars for a three...four-
22.
       newly created commissions that is entirely unnecessary, but
23.
       you chose to vote for that kind of bill and I'm saying to
24.
       you, we better get serious. Because no matter what we do
25.
       for roads, and no matter what we do for reapportionments,
26.
       no matter how we debate about medical care and public aid
27.
       and what have you, unless we begin to look realistically
28.
       at the youth of our State, then God help us all. I ask for
29.
       a favorable vote.
30.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
31.
```

Is there further discussion? Senator Ozinga.

32.

33.

SENATOR OZINGA:

Page 260 - May 28, 1981

| 1. | Mr. President, a question of the sponsor, I guess. |
|-----|--|
| 2. | PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) |
| 3. | She indicates she will yield. |
| 4. | SENATOR OZINGA: |
| 5. | Senator, it's refreshing to hear that you've had a |
| 6. | change of heart, but I remember the day when I stood exactly |
| 7. | in your spot and said to you that the raising of the liquor |
| 8. | drinking age was a necessity and a must in order to keep it |
| 9. | from the grade schools, the lower educationalinstitutions |
| 10. | etc., etc. and you agreed with me and then how did you vote |
| 11. | on the raising of the liquor drinking age? |
| 12. | PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) |
| 13. | Senatoris that a question orjust a rhetorical |
| 14. | question? |
| 15. | SENATOR OZINGA: |
| 16. | No, let her answer it. |
| 17. | PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) |
| 18. | Senator Collins. |
| 19. | SENATOR OZINGA: |
| 20. | I'll tell you how she voted, |
| 21. | PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) |
| 22. | Senator Collins. |
| 23. | SENATOR OZINGA: |
| 24. | she voted Aye, she voted against raising the liquor |
| 25. | drinking age and now she's talking in the exact thing that |
| 26. | I tried to say then. Not now, now it's too late. |
| 27. | PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) |
| 28. | Senator Collins. |
| 29. | SENATOR COLLINS: |
| 30. | I do not mind answering that question, because I think |
| 31. | when the cry of our constituents become loud about the |
| 32. | the number of deaths involved in terms of drunken driving |
| 33. | andand the whole problem of alcohol abuse in our schools, |
| | |

Page 261 - May 28, 1981

ı. we copped-out by saying that if we raise the liquor age to 2. twenty-one, then we will eliminate that problem. To me, that is an illusion of reality and therefore, I don't play 3. those games, because twenty-one will not...raising the 4. liquor age have not stopped the problem, as a matter of 5. fact, it has increased in our schools since and even accidents. 6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 7. Senator Ozinga. 8. SENATOR OZINGA: 9. The only thing that I say, at that time she had committed 10. and as you all know, I said at that time that I wouldn't for-11. get the time that she told me she was all for that bill and 12. she was going to vote for that, her and her seatmate. And 13. at that time, when I went over and asked her why she said 14. that her kids told her it was a bad bill. 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 16. Senator Thomas. 17. SENATOR THOMAS: 18. Thank you, very much, Mr. President. Senator Collins, 19. you are be...to be commended for coming up with an outstanding 20. idea. You're one of the few people who have been willing to 21. stand up and...and address the issue of drug abuse. Some 22. things that...that we've come up with in my Drug Abuse 23. Reporting Act in getting some information on it and Senator 24. Berman and I were at a meeting recently with some of the 25. Governor's staff and we have learned that there is upwards to 26. twenty million dollars available at the Federal level to assist 27. states with instituting quality drug abuse programs. As a 28. result of that new information, which has only been obtained 29. in the last couple of weeks, today Senator Berman added an 30. amendment to Senate Bill 865, my Drug Abuse Reporting Act, 31. which will be coming up tomorrow, we hope, and it addresses

this subject and allows the State of Illinois to incorporate

32.

Page 262 - May 28, 1981

- l. just such a drug abuse program at the school level. I 2. suggest, Senator, that you might want to give consideration to allowing us...to bringing in Federal money to start up this 3. program, and a sizable amount I might add, as opposed to 4. having one small segment of the consumers in Illinois footing 5. this program. It's only a thought, but, again, I want to 6. commend you for coming up with the idea. 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 8. Senator Schaffer. I thought it was just a comment, not 9. a question. Senator Schaffer. 10. SENATOR SCHAFFER: 11. Well, I made a fatal error on... I read the bill and 12. I...it'll shock some of you guys on this side of the aisle, 13. it actually makes sense. It really is a problem throughout 14. the State and we really aren't doing much about it and the 15. cuts...in education...that many of us are going to have to 16. go along with. One of the first things that gets cut and 17. maybe rightly so, are all of these programs. It's a tax 18. increase,...but when I talked to my educators, be they 19. teachers or principals, when we talk about problems,... 20. they talk about alcoholism. And this is out in the suburbs 21. and out in the boonies and I can imagine what it's like in 22. the city. I don't know, maybe...we should put a little tax 23. on booze and maybe we can have some programs so our teenagers 24. ...and below teenagers...learn how to handle this...rather 25. awesome responsiblity that's thrust upon them as they get 26. older. I don't like tax increases, but I don't like the 27. problem and... I haven't seen anything else come down the 28. road. And one of the things I've learned, Senator Thomas, 29. is one ought not to count on Federal money...until long after 30. you've received it. 31.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- Senator Chew.

Page 263 - May 28, 1981

| 1. | SENATOR CHEW: |
|-----|--|
| 2. | Thank you, Mr. President. I want to ask the sponsor a |
| 3. | question or two, if I may. |
| 4. | PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) |
| 5. | She indicates she'll yield. |
| 6. | SENATOR CHEW: |
| 7. | Senator Collins, I believe your bill calls for a five |
| 8. | percent tax on the liquor that's sold in the State to com- |
| 9. | bat alcoholic and drugalcoholics and drug users in the |
| 10. | schools. Is that correct? |
| 11. | PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) |
| 12. | Senator Collins. |
| 13. | SENATOR COLLINS: |
| 14. | But it is broken down, beer, wine, alcohol and spirits. |
| 15. | PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) |
| 16. | Senator Chew. |
| 17. | SENATOR COLLINS: |
| 18. | Totalling to afive percent. |
| 19. | SENATOR CHEW: |
| 20. | Do find any fairness at having the liquor industry pay |
| 21. | for drug abuse? Why wouldSeagram have to pay for some |
| 22. | guy who sniffs cocaine or smokes marijuana or uses heroin? |
| 23. | Why would the liquor industry be taxed to pay for that abuse |
| 24. | PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) |
| 25. | Senator Collins. |
| 26. | SENATOR COLLINS: |
| 27. | Senator Chew, I recognize and I sympathize with that |
| 28. | concept, but if we could catch the illegal drug pushers and |
| 29. | drug dealers and those persons who import the drugs into |
| 30. | this country, then we will tax them one hundred percent and |
| 31. | we may not have to tax the liquor people five. We can't |
| 32. | find them. |
| | PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) |

ı. Senator Chew. 2. SENATOR CHEW: The...the real answer to that question, Senator, is 3. 4. that it is not legalized, so, consequently, you cannot tax them. The liquor industry is. Now, the liquor industry 5. don't need any defense, but they have been taxed and taxed 6. and taxed and as they are taxed, we drank more of it. One 7. of the problems that we have in any society, whether it's 8. here in these United States or in some other part of another 9. country, is that a percentage of its society...its...its 10. inhabitants will go what we call astray. And insomuch 11. as an adult cannot go into a dispensary where they dispense 12. drugs and buy it for the minors, the problem we face is 13. how do the minors get it? We do realize that adults can 14. buy alcoholic beverages and pass it on to minors and, 15. certainly, I believe that that same thing applies where hard 16. drugs are concerned. But I don't think any industry that 17. is legalized ought to be taxed for something it has nothing 18. to do with and as a standout for an industry that serves both 19. a pleasure and a problem. Now, drinking liquor is at the 20. discretion of an adult and...we are aware of the age, by 21. Statute, that one can secure liquor legally. Now, if he 22. elects to abuse that privilege by becoming an alcoholic, 23. I don't think the industry that was responsible for manu-24. facturing that item would be responsible for his cure. 25. Certainly you wouldn't want General Motors to pay for every 26. accident...occurs on the highway or every fatality that occurs 27. in an automobile. That is the source of the car. How you 28. operate it is determined by the operator. The source of 29. many things in our society can be taken moderately or used 30. advantageously or abused... 31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 32.

Senator...Senator Chew, if you'd bring your remarks

Page 265 - May 28, 1981

ı. to a close. You've run over three minutes. 2. SENATOR CHEW: 3. ...thank you, Mr. President. I appreciate you keeping 4. time on me. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 5. 6. . We've been keeping time on everybody, Senator. 7. SENATOR CHEW: Well, I appreciate your calling time on me, we'll put 8. it that way. Thank you, very kindly. You're a credit to 9. your profession. Senator, these questions, I think, ought 10. to be addressed and I...I...I will close by saying, why 11. should the liquor industry be penalized when it is as 12. legal to operate in our society as an automobile agency 13. for something that it has absolutely no cause to be 14. penalized. 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 16. Senator Walsh. 17. SENATOR WALSH: 18. Mr. President and members of the Senate, I rise in 19. opposition to this bill. Really not for the same reasons 20. as Senator Chew, but...nevertheless, in opposition. We have 21. in this bill an increase in the ... alcohol tax... and the 22. establishment of a...new fund, the money to be...utilized 23. for the program, as indicated by Senator Collins, to be 24. appropriated to the State Board of Education. So, it's 25. easy to see why the schools would be in favor of it. I 26. would like to point out that it has been suggested that 27. the alcohol...taxes be increased for mass transportation. 28. I think that's still a very likely possibility. I think 29. until we resolve that problem,...we shouldn't go ahead and 30. increase them for the...for the establishment of a new pro-31.

gram as laudable as it might be. I might point out to my

colleagues that...in addition to the opposition...to this

32.

Page 266 - May 28, 1981

l. bill at the ... at the hearing held... on the bill in committee, 2. was not only the industry people whom you might expect would 3. be in opposition, but also the Bureau of the Budget. The 4. money involved is approximately ... 3.8 million dollars. Not 5. an awful lot, I guess, when you consider some of the figures that are thrown around this Chamber. But nevertheless, I 6. don't think we should go ahead and increase the tax on 7. alcoholic beverages until we have first resolved the problem 8. of mass transportation and for that reason I intend to vote 9. No. 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 11. Senator Buzbee. 12. SENATOR BUZBEE: 13. Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Collins, if...if your 14. five percent liquor tax increase...if...if I thought that 15. this would in any way get at the problem, I would not only sup-16. port your five percent liquor tax increase, I would support 17. a one hundred percent liquor tax increase. But, in fact, 18. what you're doing is...you are asking for this liquor tax 19. increase to be given to the State Board of Education to be 20. distributed to the schools. And once again asking...the 21. public schools...the public schools, those schools that have 22. to accept every one of society's dredges. They don't have 23. the opportunity of saying, "Johnny, I don't want you" as the 24. private schools have. They have to accept the dredges of 25. society. They have to accept every one of these children 26. who have been abused sexually, physically, mentally, they 27. have to accept the drug addict, they have to accept the 28. alcoholic. And so what we're going to do now is we're going 29. to say to the schools, "once again, you solve the problems, 30. public schools that the home has not been able to solve, 31. you solve the problems that the church has not been able to 32.

solve." You know, I get a little sick and tired of hearing

Page 267 - May 28, 1981

```
ı.
       all of this business about Johnny can't read and it's the
       public school teacher's fault that Johnny can't read. The
2.
       reason Johnny can't read is because Johnny's momma and
3.
       daddy are out drunk all night long, if he's got a mommy
4.
       and daddy. And so now we're going to throw a five percent
5.
       tax increase on the liquor industry and...then distribute
6.
       that money to the public schools and insist that they solve
7.
       the problems that the home and the church have not been able
8.
       to solve. If it were a one hundred percent tax increase,
9.
      and if it were going to do any ... any good, I would support it,
10.
       Senator Collins. My wife teaches in a public school and I
11.
       can tell you what, the kids that are the problem kids are
12.
       the ones that the principal would love to have the opportunity
13.
       to kick out. As a matter of fact, my wife's boss has asked
14.
       me several times to sponsor a bill that would do away with
15.
       mandatory public attendance...mandatory attendance to public
16.
       schools, because he believes he can educate children if
17.
       he could get rid of the troublemakers. But who are the
18.
       troublemakers, the troublemakers are the ones that have
19.
       all of the social problems that emanate from their home.
20.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
21.
            Senator, your time is...
22.
       SENATOR BUZBEE:
23.
            I beg your pardon,...Mr. President. I just started.
24.
       You did not start the lamp at the proper time.
25.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
26.
            No, I...I personally started the button when you started,
27.
       Senator.
28.
       SENATOR BUZBEE:
29.
            You started it though, when the last three speakers were
30.
       speaking before I got on. And it seems to me that all you're
31.
       doing now is asking, once again, that the public schools
32.
       solve all the social problems that the home was not able
33.
```

Page 268 - May 28, 1981

l. to solve, that the church was not able to solve, and, in 2. fact, that the private schools weren't even willing to address, because they'd rather have all of those dredges 3. 4. of society... 5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) Senator, would you please bring your comments to a 6. conclusion? 7. SENATOR BUZBEE: 8. ... to be in the public schools. So, you want to impose 9. a five percent tax increase, I'll vote for your five percent 10. tax increase if you can guarantee me that this will do 11. anything to solve the problems at home, solve the problems 12. in the church, but it's not going to and you and I both 13. know that. I think it's a bad idea. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 15. Senator Netsch. 16. SENATOR NETSCH: 17. Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in strong support 18. of this bill. Let me point out, first of all, that that 19. five percent tax increase is just the following, on beer 20. from seven cents to seven and a half cents a gallon, on 21. wine from twenty-three cents to twenty-four cents a gallon, 22. ...on...the other kind...wine over fourteen percent alcohol 2.3. from sixty to sixty-three cents per gallon, on alcohol and 24. spirits from two dollars to two dollars and ten cents per 25. gallon. Those are not very hefty increases and this is not. 26. money that is being taken out of the State Treasury. This 27. is being added on pursuant to this bill. And to Senator 28. Buzbee, I would say that I think we all understand and 29. sympathize with the suggestion that the schools may, perhaps, 30. be asked to solve too many of our problems, but think of the 31. programs other than just pure education that are already in 32.

the schools. If we can spend millions of dollars a year

Page 269 - May 28, 1981

on driver education, we ought to be able to spend a very small 1. amount of money to try to help students understand the 2. perils of alcohol and drug abuse. And just listen to what 3. some of your colleagues on this Floor tonight have said and 4. listen to what some of the school administrators have said 5. about the problems that they do face with respect to young 6. people who have been...caught up in alcohol and drug abuse. 7. It is something that this country has got to face up to. 8. Maybe it won't be solved in the schools, maybe it will not 9. be solved by this program, but at least this is a step. It 10. is something positive to try to help a very substantial 11. number of young people who...whose lives are going to be 12. destroyed if we don't help them to understand how to handle 13. alcohol and drugs. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 15. Is there further discussion? Senator DeAngelis. 16. SENATOR DEANGELIS: 17. Yeah, I'm...I'm sorry to be speaking on this, but I...I 18. think when somebody votes against this, it's going to be 19. perceived that you're for young people drinking just as 20. those of us who voted against Senator D'Arco's bill, were 21. referred to in one local paper in my area as being pro-22. murder. Now, let me tell you my experiences in the south 23. suburb. We have some very adequate programs, Senator Netsch, 24. that are ongoing right now and turning your back on this bill 25. doesn't mean you're turning your back on the problem of 26. alcohol and drug abuse. There are some very successful 27. programs that are being funded in the communities and I think 28. putting the responsibility on somebody who takes a drink to 29.

solve a problem is no more right because it is a societal

support it, but I don't think it should be done in this

problem. And if you want to address it as that way, I will

particular manner. So those of us who oppose this bill do

30.

31.

32.

Page 270 - May 28, 1981

- ı. not oppose the concept of dealing with this problem. We might 2. oppose the manner in which you're trying to resolve it. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 3. Senator Nash. 4. SENATOR NASH: 5. I move the previous question. 6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 7. Senator Nash moves the previous question. All those 8. in favor of the motion indicate by saying Aye. The Ayes 9. have it. The motion carries. Senator Collins may close 10. debate. 11. SENATOR COLLINS: 12. Thank you,...Mr. President. And let me respond to a 13. few of the remarks. I know that whatever I say is not going 14. to change your votes here, but no matter how you cut it, 15. you are responsible...we are responsible for what is happening 16. to our youth today. Because we cannot expect those youth 17. who are stoned out everyday and let me...let me make some-18. thing else very clear here. These children are not children 19. that...that are coming from all deprived social maladjusted 20. homes. This program and the problem cuts across all social, 21. economic, and cultural and ethnic lines. You can find 22. the same problem existing in all of the school systems. And 23. when we conducted hearings on this bill...the problem, we 24. invited the school administrators to come in and testify 25. before that committee in addition to students attending some 26. of those schools and they, themselves, says, yes, you have 27. in the law, but each year you appropriate no money for us 28.
- 31. effort on dealing with this problem, because that's why they
 32. can't read. Because they're stoned out when they get to

at all and they feel helpless. The teachers, the school

administrators, the State Board of Education, all of those

people want and recognize that there must be a concentrated

29.

Page 271 - May 28, 1981

```
ı.
       class every morning. How in the world do you expect the
       teachers to keep order into a classroom and talking about
2.
       basic mathematics and reading and other basic skills when
 3.
       these kids are floating around on cloud nine, because they
4.
       for whatever reason...the pressures of society that they
5.
       cannot cope with. And that's...this is what this bill is
6.
       attempting to do. The liquor taxes have not been raised in
7.
       ten years and the meager amounts that we are putting on each
 8.
       of those areas is not a substantial amount of taxes to in-
 9.
       crease them. And we have increased cigarette taxes and
10.
       other taxes and more taxes and business taxes in the last
11.
       five and ten years. Why not the liquor tax? And in terms
12.
       of waiting for the Federal Government to come in and solve
13.
       our problem, Senator Thomas, that's just not going to
14.
       happen. And Senator Chew, we're not talking about adults,...
15.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
16.
            Senator, would you...
17.
       SENATOR COLLINS:
18.
            ...we're talking about children. I ask for a favorable
19.
       roll call.
20.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
21.
            ...would you bring your remarks to a close? The question
22.
       is, shall Senate Bill 20 pass. Those in favor will vote
23.
       Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all
24.
       voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
25.
       wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 19,
26.
       the Nays are 31, 1 Voting Present. Senate Bill 20 having
27.
       failed to receive a constitutional majority is declared lost.
28.
       Senate Bill 59, Senator Nash. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
29.
       ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)
30.
            Senate Bill 59.
31.
                 (Secretary reads title of bill)
32.
```

3rd reading of the bill.

ı. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) Senator Nash. 2. SENATOR NASH: 3. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, 4. Senate Bill 59 amends an Act validating appropriations and 5. tax levy ordinances of certain forest preserve districts 6. to include Fiscal Year '79. The amendment authorizes the 7. increase in the Working Cash Fund and the increase in...the Я. interest rate of such funds. I ask for...a favorable roll 9. 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 11. Is there further discussion? Senator Walsh. 12. SENATOR WALSH: 13. Mr. President and members of the Senate,... I rise in 14. opposition to this bill. The bill, as originally introduced, 15. would have been acceptable, however, with the amendment it 16. does provide for an increase in the Working Cash Fund for 17. the Forest Preserve District of Cook County. And, of course, 18. it also provides then for increasing the tax rate without 19. a referendum to provide for the necessary funds to pay off 20. the Working Cash Fund Bonds that would be issued. This bill 21. is opposed by the Civic Federation of Chicago. They feel 22. that the Working Cash Fund that the forest preserve district 23. has at the present time is adequate for its needs. 24. doesn't seem to me that it is necessary. I know the forest 25. preserve district has requested this additional authority, 26. but I don't know why they should have the authority to issue 27. bonds to mature as far off as twenty years from the date of 28. issuance in order to operate an increased Working Cash Fund. 29. I know they're interested in fiscal flexibility, but I don't 30. know that we should be interested in giving them the kind of 31.

flexibility that they request at this time. And so, I urge

32.

33.

a No vote.

```
ı.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
2.
            Further discussion? Senator Rock.
3.
       SENATOR ROCK:
            Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of
5.
       the Senate. I rise in support of Senate Bill 59, as amended,
       and I would point out for those of you who haven't yet plowed
6.
       through all the paper on your desk and had an opportunity to
7.
       read the message from the Forest Preserve District of Cook
8.
       County, and this does apply only to Cook County and it
9.
       seems to me earlier in the day we passed a couple of bills
10.
       ...to provide,...without front door referendum, for a...an
11.
       increase for DuPage and Will and acouple of those others I
12.
       guess, and I didn't hear the esteemed Senator Walsh up at
13.
       that point. But a Working Cash Fund that represents fifty
14.
       percent of the corporate levy is not untoward. The district
15.
       indicates they can save about two hundred thousand dollars
16.
       a year of the taxpayers' money, because they will not have
17.
       to pay the exorbitant interest on tax anticipation notes.
18.
       And to turn this down, it seems to me, is...is just not
19.
       right. Senate Bill 59, as amended, should be passed and
20.
       I would ask for a favorable vote. It applies only to
21.
       the Forest Preserve District of the County of Cook.
22.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
23.
            Is there further discussion? If not,...Senator Walsh,
24.
       for the second time.
25.
       SENATOR WALSH:
26.
            Mr. President, only because my name was used in debate.
27.
       It's nice that the President of the Senate...holds me in such high
28.
       esteem and I appreciate use of the...of the reference.
29.
       However, I... I would like to observe, that to my knowledge,
30.
       I opposed those bills. That I didn't do so vocally,...
31.
       doesn't mean...that I opposed them any less. I guess I
32.
       expected some fiscal responsibility from your side of the
```

Page 274 - May 28, 1981

ı. aisle at that time. I think this is still a bad proposal 2. and I urge a No vote. 3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 4 The question is, shall Senate Bill 59 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The 5. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 6. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On 7. that question, the Ayes are 37, the Nays are 15, none Voting 8. Present. Senate Bill 59 having received the constitutional 9. majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 70, Senator Lemke. 10. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 11. SECRETARY: 12. Senate Bill 70. 13. (Secretary reads title of bill) 14. 3rd reading of the bill. 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 16. Senator Lemke. Well, I'd appreciate it if you'd let 17. the Chair know before...we read it. Senate Bill 77, Senator 18. Lemke. Senate Bill 110, Senator Maitland. Read the bill, 19. Mr. Secretary. 20 SECRETARY: 21 Senate Bill 110. 22. (Secretary reads title of bill) 23. 3rd reading of the bill. 24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 25. Senator Maitland. 26. SENATOR MAITLAND: 27. . Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 28. Senate. Senate Bill 110, as amended, would cause Senate 29. confirmation for the public members of the Retirement System 30. Boards of Trustees of the Retirement Systems in the State 31. of Illinois. This issue was debated the other day and I .32. believe that 110, with the amendments, addresses...addresses

ı. all the problems that were debated at that time. We are 2. concerned that...that the public members of these funds are...are...are picked and selected and are...are 3. competent people and really feel that the Senate has the ... 4. 5. the obligation to approve these...these trustees. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 6. Is there further discussion? Senator Rock. 7. SENATOR ROCK: 8. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of 9. the Senate. The other day I, with some consternation, had 10. to...oppose my friend, Senator Egan's bill, which related 11. to the same subject matter. It seems to me if we're to 12. treat the boards fairly, that all boards ought to be in 13. or all boards ought to be out. And I know Senator Maitland 14. did, in fact, amend the bill to also include the State 15. employees, but it seems to me, for...particularly for those 16. of us who sit on the Executive Appointments Committee, that 17. to run these kinds of appointments through the Senate 18. confirmation process, unless we're going to do all of them, 19. simply...serves no legitimate public purpose and I would 20. urge a No vote. 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 22. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Mait-23. land may close debate. 24. SENATOR MAITLAND: 25. Well, in response to Senator Rock. Senator Rock, we 26. met every... Senator Rock, we met every single objection that 27. that side of the aisle had on...on this particular piece 28. of legislation the other day. Every single one. We have in-29. cluded the public members, we have kept this bill clean, 30. we have taken out of it the conflict of interest, all of those 31.

things that that side of the aisle objected to. We are

hearing concerns all across this State that...that the

32.

Page 276 - May 28, 1981

l. teachers are concerned about the members of ... of these ... 2. of this...this board, they're concerned about the makeup 3. and it just seems to me there is no reason why the Senate shouldn't...shouldn't confirm these...these nominations. 4. 5. It's a big concern among...among all of us and I would... I...I strongly urge the support of this Body for Senate 6. Bill 110. 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 8. Senator Egan, for what purpose do you arise? 9. SENATOR EGAN: 10. Yes, I...I...I would just...in all honesty, this...this 11. ...this is a legitimate request of this Body... 12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 13. Senator, we're on the closing...closing remarks of... 14. SENATOR EGAN: 15. ...well,...I understand, Senator. If you would bear 16. with me for a second, I...the only problem arises when the 17. Governor does something that he should not do and that's 18. what precipitated this problem and that's why the reaction 19. is here. It's no one's fault on the board and it's no one's 20. fault in this Body. The Governor, himself, created the prob-21. lem and he has not done anything to solve it. I only suggest 22. that we look at it...in that light. 23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 24. The question is, shall Senate Bill 110 pass. Those in 25. favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is 26. open. Have all... Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who 27. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that 28. question, the Ayes are 27, the Nays are 26, none Voting 29. Present. Senate Bill 110 having failed to receive a con-30. stitutional majority is declared failed. For what...for 31. what purpose does Senator Maitland arise? Senator Maitland 32. moves to have consideration postponed on Senate Bill 110.

- ı. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senate Bill 114, Senator 2. Netsch. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 3. SECRETARY: Senate Bill 114. 4. 5. (Secretary reads title of bill) 3rd reading of the bill. 6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 7. Senator Netsch. 8. SENATOR NETSCH: 9. Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 114 would abolish 10. the Chicago Urban Transportation District by repealing the 11. enabling Statute which allowed it to come into being in 12. 1970. It was...designed primarily to build the Franklin 13. Street subway and the Monroe Street distributor subway. 14. Both of those projects have been abandoned and I think ... 15. abandoned probably for all time. As a result, the Chicago 16. Urban Transit District has no purpose and no function, but 17. it has, to date, spent some twenty million dollars. Its 18. proposed 1981 budget is 2.9 million dollars and it's sitting 19. on reserves of almost fifteen million dollars. In addition, 20. it has the power to levy a property tax, but only on a part 21. of the city and I might add that part includes a very large 22. part of my constituents. It is useless, there is nothing 23. left for it to do. I would like it abolished because it 24. would free-up some money to be used for capital transit... 25. improvements...in the area and so that it would not continue 26. to fritter away that substantial reserve on administrative 27. and other unnecessary costs. And also, so that it would not 28. have the power to again impose a property tax for no purpose 29. whatsoever. I would be happy to answer questions and would 30.
- Further discussion? Senator Keats.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33.

solicit your support.

31.

Page 278 - May 28, 1981

| 1. | SENATOR REATS: |
|-----|--|
| 2. | Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the |
| 3. | Senate. I would request to the members on our side of the |
| 4. | aisle that we support this bill because what Senator Netsch |
| 5. | has described is completely accurate. This Franklin Street |
| 6. | subway, which I'm personally in favor of and I think ought |
| 7. | to be built, but is never going to be built, this taxing |
| 8. | bodyhas continued to collect money, it's just recently |
| 9. | stopped and it's now misusing these funds. What we're saying |
| 10. | is, use this money where it was meant to be within the zone |
| 11. | and then let's get rid of the taxing body, because it just |
| 12. | is another taxing body sitting on eleven to thirteen million |
| L3. | dollars using the money to fritter away for no particular |
| 14. | purpose. And what we're saying is, let's protect the tax- |
| L5. | payers of this area. Let's abolish this district so they |
| L6. | don't start taxing again for some pet project and use the |
| L7. | money within the zone for which that money was collected. We |
| L8. | would appreciate a favorable vote. Thank you. |
| 19. | PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) |
| 20. | Is there further discussion? Senator Rock. |
| 21. | |
| 22. | END OF REEL |
| 23. | |
| 24. | |
| 25. | |
| 26. | |
| 27. | |

28. 29. 30. 31. 32.

ı. SENATOR ROCK:

- 2. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
- Senate. I indicated when Senator Netsch introduced this bill 3.
- and I think Senator Keats had a bill, this matter is currently 4.
- under litigation in the City of Chicago in the Federal Court. 5.
- It seems to me to be just a little premature. I suggested 6.
- to the good Senator that she hold this until fall and see 7.
- what the outcome, if any, of the litigation was. I just think
- that at this point, I would urge a No or a Present vote. 9.
- I...I...I do not think the policy of the General Assembly 10.
- ought to be that we will immediately inject ourselves into 11.
- current pending litigation. I think it's a mistake and I have
- 12.
- suggested that to her very strongly and I intend to vote 13.
- No. 14.

8.

- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 15.
- Is there further discussion? Senator Chew. 16.

SENATOR CHEW: 17.

- Thank you, Mr. President. CTA said they didn't want 18.
- the money, RTA said they didn't want the money because the 19.
- cost of giving it to them would be eaten by the lawsuits 20.
- that would be brought and the case itself is in court. 21.
- Now this bill came through my committee, it was amended. I 22.
- objected to it in the committee, I objected to the amendment, 23.
- I asked the sponsor to return the funds to those that gave, 24.
- she said it was impossible, she was not willing to try and 25. adopt that kind of procedure. She seemed to be set on giving
- 26. it to an agency that does not want it. Because I'll assure
- 27.
- we pass this bill and that money goes to if 28.
- transportation; even in the area that it came out of, we're 29. doing nothing but inviting lawsuit on top of lawsuits and
- 30. the final results is, what we could do here, and that is to
- 31.
- give the money back to those that paid it, that paid it 32.
- for a certain purpose, that purpose did not materialize. 33.

Page 280 - May 28, 1981

```
Why should we take taxpayer's money on one premise and
ı.
      since that premise does not materialize, to arbitrarily
2.
      give it somewhere else. It can and it should be returned
3.
      to those that paid it, which the sponsor refused to do,
4.
      respectfully, she refused. I am in opposition to this
5.
      bill and we ought...all of us ought to be ready to oppose
6.
      it until we fashion the legislation to return the money
7.
       from where it came back to those that paid it.
8.
      PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
9.
            Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Netsch
10.
      may close debate.
11.
      SENATOR NETSCH:
12.
           Thank you, Mr. President.
13.
      PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
14.
            Senator...Senator. Walsh, for what purpose do you arise?
15.
      SENATOR WALSH:
16.
           The Senator yield for a question?
17.
      PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
18.
            She indicated she would.
19.
      SENATOR WALSH:
20.
            Senator Netsch, there are some people on this side of
21
       the aisle who were in the committee who were under the
22.
       impression that the amendment that was to be added to this
23.
       bill would have provided that the...the funds go to the RTA
24.
       to be used by the RTA as it saw fit which may or may not, you
25.
       know, go to the...the area in which the funds were located.
26.
       Was there some change in...from the time it came out of
27.
       committee to the time the amendment was put on?
28.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
29.
            Senator Netsch.
30.
       SENATOR NETSCH:
31.
            The amendment originally was to give the funds to the
32.
```

RTA. I talked to Senator Coffey and others there and indicated

```
that it seemed to me that the appropriate thing was to make
ı.
2.
      sure that the money was, in effect, used in the area where
      the property taxes has been...had been raised. Almost all
3.
      of the money that CUTD is sitting on is property tax money.
4.
      And the...in order to carry out the purposes for which
5.
      those of us who paid the property taxes, and I was one
6.
      of them, by the way, it should be used in that area. That
7.
      amendment was discussed by Senator Keats with Senator
8.
      Coffey and others and it was put on...on 2nd reading.
9.
      And my understanding was that that was satisfactory at
10.
      that time. It still goes to RTA for that purpose.
11.
      PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
12.
           Is there further discussion? Senator Coffey.
13.
      SENATOR COFFEY:
14.
           Yes, Mr. President...
15.
      PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
16.
           Senator, I'm sorry, Senator Walsh still hasn't finished.
17.
      SENATOR WALSH:
18.
           Well...well I guess I would like to hear Senator Coffey's
19.
      response to that because what I'm trying to determine is if
20.
      the understanding that existed in committee between the
21.
      members has been...has been met with the adoption of this
22.
      amendment, then I would like to address myself to the bill.
23.
      PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
24.
            Senator Coffey.
25.
      SENATOR COFFEY:
26.
            Well, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Originally
27.
      when this bill came through Transportation, I offered an amend-
28.
       ment saying that this money would go to the RTA and that was
29.
       it. It was not designated to go back to where it came from.
30.
       I was told later that there...wanted to be some changes in
```

that. In talking to Senator Netsch, she said that she

thought Senator Keats had talked to me. I got the message

31.

32.

- kind of at the last minute, therefore I didn't oppose taking l.
- the amendment off. But my agreement never was to see the 2.
- money go into the CT...or into the area in which it 3.
- came from. Now, I don't feel like I was informed properly 4.
- at the time, I was told it was basically the same amendment. 5.
- Well, it's not the same amendment and it's not what we 6.
- agreed upon. 7.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 8.
- Senator Walsh. 9.
- SENATOR WALSH: 10.

19.

22.

- Well...I'm somewhat at a loss. I'm sorry, from what 11.
- Senator Coffey said, I don't, you know, fully understand. 12.
- It kind of sounds like the agreement was lived up to, but 13.
- maybe not absolutely to the word. At any rate, speaking 14.
- for myself, I...it would seem to me that this money should 15.
- be expended, you know, in...in the region in which it was 16.
- collected and I don't know that we can specifically limit 17.
- that to the Urban Mass Transportation District or to the 18.
- City of Chicago or to the RTA. I would prefer to have it
- go to the RTA, which is the agency responsible for mass 20.
- transportation in the six county area. Absent that, and 21.
- since we have this bill before us and must vote it up or
- down now and tomorrow is the last day for getting bills 23.
- out of the Senate, it would seem to me that we should 24.
- pass this bill so the money is available. We're threatened 25.
- with a shutdown of mass transportation in the six county
- region. I think since the money is available we should 27.
- do whatever we can to see that it's used for mass transportation 28.
- to be expended in the area in which it was collected. That 29.
- being the case, although it's not exactly what I would like 30.
- to see, I think that it's the best interest of all of us and 31.
- people in the area to vote Aye in favor of this 32.
- bill and I urge an Aye vote. 33.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

l.

33.

```
2.
           Is there further discussion? Senator Gitz.
3.
      SENATOR GITZ:
4.
           Well, Mr. President and members of the Body.
      was a party to some of these discussions and I don't seem
5.
      to have the same recollection as ... Senator Coffey. The
6.
      amendment that we're discussing in this bill stipulates
7.
      that the assets can only be used for capital improvements
8.
      within the boundaries of the former Chicago Urban Transportation
9.
      District. Now, guite frankly, I felt uncomfortable with the
10.
      amendment in committee. You know, I don't think that it
11.
      is really proper to treat the city as something akin to the
12.
      Wreck of the Hesperus, enough is enough. The fact is, they
13.
      paid the property taxes and they ought to have the use of
14.
      that money whether it's done under the CTA or the RTA
15.
      within those boundaries, and that's what Amendment No. 2
16.
      does. And that seems to me eminently reasonable. The
17.
      only reason I supported that amendment in committee is to
18.
      help Senator Netsch get the bill out of committee. I did
19.
      not think it was fair to keep this bill locked up in committee
20.
      and I...I supported that amendment only with great reservation
21.
      to help.
22.
      PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
23.
            Senator Berman.
24
      SENATOR BERMAN:
25.
            Will the sponsor yield to a question?
26.
      PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
27.
            She indicates she will.
28.
      SENATOR BERMAN:
29.
            As I read the Digest, am I correct, if this bill passes,
30.
       the money that's held in the Urban Transit District can only
31.
      be used for capital improvements, could not be used for operating
32.
```

expenses...of the RTA or CTA, am I correct?

```
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
 ı.
2.
           Senator Netsch.
      SENATOR NETSCH:
 3.
           That is correct, that was the understanding. I'm
 4.
      sorry if Senator Coffey did not have it fully understood
5.
      at the time we got to 2nd reading. Senator Keats, who
6.
       is my cosponsor, I thought, had explained that to him.
7.
       It is limited to capital improvements, the reason being
 8.
       that the money was initially raised for capital improvements
 9.
       and Senator Walsh, it is in the bill now, specifically
10.
       limited to being used in the area from which the money
11.
      was raised. That is in the bill as it is at the present
12.
       time.
13.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
14.
           Senator Weaver.
15.
       SENATOR WEAVER:
16.
            Question of the sponsor.
17.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
18.
           She indicates she will yield.
19.
       SENATOR WEAVER:
20.
            Senator Netsch, in committee, did you agree to accept
21.
       Senator Coffey's amendment?
22.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
23.
            Senator Netsch.
24.
       SENATOR NETSCH:
25.
            I... I was happy to have it put on. I was not a member
26.
       of the committee and I was not directly a party to putting
27.
       it on the bill. I had asked beforehand that it go to...
28.
       wherever it go, whatever agency and I... I told them it
29.
       was their choice, that it be limited to the...to use in
30.
       the area from which...raised. The amendment that they had
31.
       ready in committee did not indicate that and so it was
32.
       offered without that stipulation on it. I didn't object
33.
       to it going on, by any matter of means, because I...I
34.
```

Page 285 - May 28, 1981

l. wanted it to go to whatever agency a majority of the members of the committee wanted. But I did talk to Senator 2. Coffey right before the committee and again afterwards, primarily 3. 4. through Senator Keats and indicated that I thought that the...the fairest way was to see that it was also used in the area in 5. 6. which it was raised. And I accepted Senator Coffey's suggestion of to which agency it should be directed. 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 8. Senator Weaver. 9. SENATOR WEAVER: 10. I still ask a question. In order to get the bill out 11. of committee, you agreed to accept Senator Coffey's amendment, 12. did you not? 13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 14. Senator Netsch. 15. SENATOR NETSCH: 16. Well, yes, I didn't have any choice, but I was happy 17. to have it put on. It was offered by Senator Coffey. I 18. was not a member of the committee, but I was very happy to 19. have the amendment offered because...it was supported in 20. that form. 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 22. Senator Weaver. 23. SENATOR WEAVER: 24. But you didn't accept, and the amendment on the bill 25. is not Senator Coffey's amendment, is that correct? 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 27. Senator Netsch. 28. SENATOR NETSCH: 29. That...that is correct. After the committee, I talked 30. to Senator Coffey and Senator Keats, who is the cosponsor, 31. and indicated our interest in having it used in the area 32. in which...raised and my understanding was that Senator Keats

Page 286 - May 28, 1981

- had cleared that with Senator Coffey and I offered that as
 a...an alternate amendment when the bill was on 2nd reading.
 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- Senator Weaver.

5. SENATOR WEAVER:

Well, Senator Netsch, it just seems to me that when
 a sponsor of a bill in committee gets a bill out of committee,
 agreeing to accept an amendment, relying on the votes of
 those members to get the bill out of committee, that the
 sponsor should accept the obligation of accepting that
 amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? Senator Davidson.

14. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

12.

13.

Well, as one of the poor, lonely voices from the wilder-15. ness who was also in that committee...couple of things that 16. needs to be seriously discussed. Both people are partially 17. right. As one who labored to work this out, the amendment 18. was to go to the RTA. The sponsor of the bill said, since 19. it was property tax from within an area, it made reasonable 20. belief that it should be spent for capital improvement from 21. within the area where the tax money was raised. And I 22. opposed Senator Chew's amendment that would have said, it's 23. got to go back to the taxpayers. This twelve million plus 24. dollars has been collected over a number of years and it's 25. literally impossible to get it back to an individual whatever 26. minuscule amount of dollars or ... since it may have been. It 27. did make sense to spend the money within the area from 28. where it came. But you're all missing the bottom line, 29. the bottom line is vote Yes, repeal this totally unnecessary, 30. useless property tax on the people within that area now, 'cause 31. that urban district does no longer exist, you wiped out the 32. subway and all the other when you did away with the match 33.

Page 287 - May 28, 1981

money on cross-town. This is a twelve million dollar plus, ı. 2. whatever it is, in that kitty, that can be used for capital improvement within the area from where the tax money came 3. from and I urge an Aye vote. 4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 5. Is there further discussion? Senator Rock. 6. SENATOR ROCK: 7. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the 8. I know the hour is late and I apologize to the 9. group for rising a second time, however, I was struck, frankly 10. virtually dumb, by the pronouncement from Senator Walsh 11. that he's attempting to do something for the transportation 12. system that it's about to shut down. This amendment does 13. zip, frankly, it...it is for capital improvements and 14. capital only. And you were one, among others, the other 15. day that so righteously stood up and said 870 can't go 16. anywhere because all they wanted to do with 8...the amendment 17. to 870 was capture by manucaption thirty-nine million dollars 18. from the Federal Government for the purpose of capital 19. expenditure and you and others were up saying, why are 20. we doing that now? At least we ought to be somewhat consistent 21. around here. I still think this is a bad bill, you ought to 22. vote No. 23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 24. No further discussion, Senator Netsch may close debate. 25. SENATOR NETSCH: 26. Thank you, Senator Davidson said it as well as it could 27. be said. 28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 29. The question is shall Senate Bill 114 pass. Those in 30. favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting 31.

is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who

wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On

32.

- that question...on that question the Ayes are 29, the Nays l. are 18, 2 Voting Present. Senate Bill 114, having failed 2. to receive a majority is declared failed. Senate Bill...well, 3. Senator, I didn't hear you, I'm sorry. ... Senator Netsch 4. requests postponed consideration. Is leave granted? Leave 5. is granted. Senate Bill... Before we go on to the 6. next bill, these are the following bills...these following 7. bills have been taken off the Agreed List, so if you wish 8. to mark your Calendar. Senate Bill 823... Everybody got 9. their Calendar? Senate Bill 823. Senator Egan, for what 10. purpose do you... 11. SENATOR EGAN: 12. I...I...I just want to ask a technical question. 13. You know, we've got all of these lights around here and 14. they still have more and they keep putting them on. If we 15. could all get some more lights then the...I'm...my skin 16. is sensitive to the lights, all right. And...and I think 17. you've all noticed that. I...marched one hour in the parade 18. on Monday, I got so sunburned I'm like...I'm going...it'll 19. all die and peel off. Is it necessary to have all those 20. lights? I just want to know, I'm...I'm technically... 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 22. The following bills have been taken off the Agreed List: 23. Senate Bill 823, 886, 1014, 1059, 1107 and 1168. The 24. last bill was 1168. And I'm informed from the Secretary's 25. Desk that 1119 was also taken off. Our next order of business 26. on Senate Bills 3rd reading on Page 4 is Senate Bill 119. 27. Oh, for what purpose does Senator Newhouse arise? 28. SENATOR NEWHOUSE: 29. What is the procedure for taking bills from the Agreed 30. Bill List?
- Takes five...our procedure has been that a written notice

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31.

```
1.
      of six Senators asking that a bill be withdrawn from the
      Agreed Bill List.
2.
      SENATOR NEWHOUSE:
3.
           What was the time limitation on that?
4 .
      PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
5.
           It was nine o'clock tonight, Senator.
6.
      SENATOR NEWHOUSE:
7.
           Nine o'clock tonight.
8.
      PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
9.
           Yes.
10.
      SENATOR NEWHOUSE:
11.
           Are those bills that have been taken from the Agreed
12.
      Bill List, will they be called in order, tonight?
13.
      PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
14.
           No. They will be first thing tomorrow. Senate Bill 119,
15.
      Senator Marovitz. Senate Bill 126, Senator Sangmeister.
16.
      Senate Bill 149, Senator Rock. Senator Rock.
17.
       SENATOR ROCK:
18.
            Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of
19.
       the Senate. Senate Bill 149 is obviously of similar subject
20.
       matter and earlier because of the negotiations that were...
21.
       were going on and frankly still are going on, I was afforded
22.
       leave by this Body, very graciously, to get back to a series
23.
       of bills, 854 through 859 and I would ask at this time that
24.
       we would consider Senate Bill 855 and then 149. So I would
25.
       ask that the Secretary read Senate Bill 855.
26.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
27.
            Mr. Secretary, Senate Bill 855.
28.
       SECRETARY:
29.
            Senate Bill 855.
30.
                 (Secretary reads title of bill)
31.
       3rd reading of the bill.
32.
       PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
```

l. Senator Rock. SENATOR ROCK: 2. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of 3. the Senate. Senate Bill 855 is a new act and it is the 4 . Petroleum Fuel Products Revenue Tax Act. It provides a 5. five percent tax which would be imposed on the taxable 6. gross receipts derived from the sale of petroleum products 7. in this State. It is, in fact, the State-wide tax. By 8. virtue of Senate Bill 855 as amended, we exempt from 9. taxation the sales of petroleum products used in the 10. manufacture of farm chemicals or fertilizers. We also 11. afford a rebate, and that is that the distributors and 12. retailers making the first purchase of heating oil to 13. be used in residences, will be...eligible to apply for 14. reimbursement from the State equal to three percent of 15. the price they paid for the heating oil. Additionally, 16. farmers using diesel or gasoline fuel in agriculture 17. would be able to receive a three cents per gallon rebate 18. for the fuel they use in farming. The important thing 19. of this legislation is that will, in fact, afford to 20. the State of Illinois for the use of both the Road Program 21. and the mass transportation in our State in FY'82, its 22. estimated four hundred and fifteen million dollars. Sixty 23. . percent of which, sixty percent of which would be used in 24. the road program and forty percent would be allocated for 25. mass transportation to be distributed pursuant to the... 26. agency as set up in Senate Bill 854. Now let me just, if 27. I have to reflect or...or recall anybody's recollection, 28. let me just suggest to you that in June of last year, we 29. stood on this Floor, many of us, and talked about the 30. transportation crisis that was then ensuing, and we had 31. bills on the Calendar to provide again reimbursement 32.

for half-fare subsidies for school children and for the

```
l.
      elderly, for the mass transportation System and we were
 2.
      told by the Governor of this State and the Bureau of the
      Budget and others that no, no, let's don't do that now, we
 3.
 4.
      can't afford it, we'll deal with that in November. And so
      we came back in November and because the people of this
5.
      State had chosen that there should be a Republican dominated
 6.
      House, the prospective Republican Leaders of that House
 7.
      indicated that they were not prepared at that point to
 8.
      deal with the transportation problem. And so we waited
 9.
      until January and then we waited until March when the
10.
      Governor of this State spoke and said, frankly, virtually
11.
      nothing, during his budget presentation. And said that
12.
      he would present a special message on transportation
13.
      which, in fact, as a man of his word, he did. And the
14.
      Governor outlined the crisis that was then confronting
15.
      us, in March of this year, he outlined that crisis and
16.
      caused bills to be introduced in the House of Representatives
17.
       and saw subsequently those bills Tabled by the Republican
18.
      speaker. And just today... I was happy to receive a, what
19.
      purports to be a...a proposal, an alternative from the
20.
      Republican side of the Senate. I am told that the
21.
       discussions that they held in their caucuses were good
22.
     ones and the discussions were in good faith and I accept
23.
       that. And there are many things in the first couple
24.
      of pages, at least with respect to the structure that I
25.
       think we can, in fact, agree upon and I have so indicated
26.
       that to your leadership. The financial component, however,
27.
       of the alternative, if it's to be deemed as such and I will,
28.
       for the sake of this argument, at least do so, the
29.
       financial component, frankly, is unacceptable because
30.
       it does not provide, in fact, any new revenue except that
31.
       which is to be proposed and levied in the City of Chicago
32.
       only, and that simply will not solve the problem of public
```

```
ı.
      transportation in the six county area or State-wide.
2.
      Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, is the eleventh hour.
3.
      Public transportation in the six county region is...is
      incrementally shutting down because the overseeing agency
4.
5.
      is broke. And they're broke for a number of reasons and
6.
      there is no one probably here more
                                             frustrated than I
      and some of the others that I have spoken with, when
7.
      we attempted in nineteen hundred and seventy-nine to
-8.
      afford a long-term solution, no one foresaw and I say
9.
      no one foresaw nor could they, not the Governor, not the
10.
      mayor, not the Chairman of the RTA, not any of the legisla-
11.
      tive leaders, nobody foresaw the absolute unadulterated
12.
      rise in the cost of petroleum for one, and the decline of
13.
      the sales tax revenue. Even the fellows from the Bureau
14.
      of the Budget, as smart as they are, did not foresee
15.
      that one. And so we're confronted with a situation where
16.
      we will deprive virtually a million people who travel this
17.
      system on a daily basis of their access to employment, their
18.
       access to leisure, their access to shopping, their access
19.
      to medical care, their access to their immediate families,
20.
      because that's how many people on a daily basis use the
21.
      public transportation system in northern Illinois. And
22.
      we're going to deprive them of that because we apparently
23.
       are unwilling or unable to bite the bullet and suggest
24.
       and vote for a new source of revenue. Senate Bill 855,
25.
       I think, answers that cry that we will be hearing more
26.
       and more on a daily basis. It is not unlike that proposed
27.
       by the Governor except it's been refined. There was some
28.
       substantial objection from the farm community and that,
29.
       I think, has been allayed and alleviated with the amendment
30.
       we have. There was some substantial and righteous objection
31.
       from those who represent the inner city minorities, where
32.
```

home heating oil is used to a great extent and that is taken

Page 293 - May 28, 1981

```
l.
      care of. And so we have at one time, a State-wide tax,
 2.
      a broadly based tax, one that will afford the kind of
 3.
      revenue that's needed, both for public transportation
      and for the Road Program. We have a fifty billion dollar
 4.
      road system in this State that is in serious disrepair.
 5.
      Ordinary repair and maintenance on an annual basis costs
6.
      this State four hundred million dollars a year. And you
 7.
      and I have seen the proposal from the Department of Trans-
 8.
      portation is something just a little over four hundred
 9.
                     means we are barely holding our own. There
      million, which
10.
       is no money for new construction, there is no money to really
11.
      do the kind of repair and maintenance that's needed. This
12.
      program, this bill, will afford that opportunity. And I
13.
       suggest to you again that we are in the eleventh hour.
14.
      And whatever you say about the structure, and perhaps you
15.
       don't like the CTA labor contracts and perhaps the bus
16.
      drivers are being paid too much and perhaps you don't like
17.
      some of the actions of the Regional Transportation Authority
18.
       or its board members individually or collectively and that's
19.
      understandable. And I suppose it's politically very easy
20.
       to walk back in our districts and say, well I'm never going
21.
       to vote for the RTA because they don't really represent me,
22.
       or I'm not going to give the CTA and their bus drivers any
23.
       more money. Fact of the matter is, there are a million people
24.
       a day in northern Illinois who rely on public transportation
25.
       and they just simply don't afford, can't afford the luxury
26.
       of a second or a third automobile. And a lot of the dialogue
27.
       that went on today with respect to the single parent families
28.
       who have to drop their children off so that the mother or
29.
       the father can go to work, without public transportation
30.
       they're simply out of business. And for us to sit here, and not
31.
       attempt in our very best effort, to reach an accommodation
32.
       to say, yes, we can do something and, yes, I am responsible
33.
```

```
ı.
      enough, I am responsible enough as an elected individual
2.
      representing a hundred and ninety thousand people in my
 3.
      district, I am responsible enough to bite the bullet, make
      the hard choice and say, yes, I'm sorry, I...I did vote
4.
5.
      to impose a new tax, a State-wide tax, to accommodate a
      system that serves as a matter of public service in accord
6.
                provision in our Constitution that says that
7.
      public transit is indeed an essential public service
 8.
       for which public funds can and should be expended. Ladies
 9.
      and Gentlemen, it seems to me that the hour is now. And
10.
       I will deliberately not call the structure bill, I will
11.
       attempt to accommodate, as well as I can, your concerns
12.
       about the board and its makeup and its tenure and who
13.
       appoints and who approves and I think those things are
14.
       truly negotiable and I have said that all along. But
15.
       I am of the firm conviction that without a new source
16.
      of revenue, even any kind of bridge financing, even such as
17.
       is proposed in your own proposal, simply cannot fly. You
18.
       would be calling for the impeachment of the State Treasurer
19.
       if he were to lend money to an organization that is admittedly
20.
       in a deficit position to the tune of about a hundred and
21.
       forty million bucks with no new source of revenue. Now
22.
       who in the world in a fiduciary capacity is going to lend
23.
       that kind of an organization or any organization that kind
24.
       of money, no banks will. And we would be less than responsible
25.
       if we allowed our State treasury to do that and so it won't
26.
       happen. The only thing that will be the underpinning of
27.
       any new bridge financing or any kind of responsible authority,
28.
       however you construct it, whatever kind of membership you want,
29.
       is new revenue. We have to have it, you and I have looked
30.
       long and hard, I know. Since November, when the Governor
31.
       floated for the first time, the call for a percentage gasoline
32.
       tax and an increase in the alcohol and tobacco and motor vehicle
```

Page 295 - May 28, 1981

| 1. | registration and on and on. And I floated, at one |
|-----|--|
| 2. | point, a financial institutions tax and others have floated |
| 3. | a commodity tax and a $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$ |
| 4. | ThisI suggest to you, given the legal advice that both |
| 5. | the Governor and I have been subjected to, this is the |
| 6. | only one that can fly and get the job done and that's most |
| 7. | important, it will get the job done. And we can talk about, |
| 8. | and agree upon, I'm convinced, whatever structure you wish |
| 9. | to have. And if you wish the CTA to opt out or you wish |
| 10. | the suburbans to opt out and you wish the Department of |
| 11. | Transportation to take over the commuter rails, that's |
| 12. | fine. But without a new source of revenue, without additional |
| 13. | money, we are frankly, spinning our wheels, we are playing |
| 14. | political demagoguery and I, for one, don't choose to play |
| 15. | that way and I am firmly convinced that most of this Body |
| 16. | does not. Ladies and Gentlemen, I urge an Aye vote for |
| 17. | Senate Bill 855. |
| 18. | PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) |
| 19. | Is there any discussion? Senator Philip. |
| 20. | SENATOR PHILIP: |
| 21. | Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the |
| 22. | Senate. How often have we heard the same story, not only |
| 23. | from Washington, D. C. but from the other side of the aisle. |
| 24. | The solution to all problems are increased taxes. Are we |
| 25. | going to pour more money down that rat hole, that poorly |
| 26. | operated CTA and RTA. This would be, in effect, the third |
| 27. | largest tax increase in the history of the State of Illinois. |
| 28. | The oil base tax of five percent, which would involve |
| 29. | fertilizers downstate, plastics, the first year it raises |
| 30. | four hundred million, the second year it raises six hundred |
| 31. | and twenty-three million. I'm suggesting to you, Senator |
| 32. | Rock, we've heard this story before, many, many times and |
| | I'll tell you, increasing taxes is not the solution. I |

Page 296 - May 28, 1981

- l. would suggest you once again, look at our proposal. It 2. makes some kind of sense. 3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) Is there further discussion? If not... Senator Nimrod. 4. SENATOR NIMROD: 5. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the I am pleased to hear the President of the Senate 7. talk about acknowledging changes in structure. And the Я. fact is, that there seems to be some negotiations that 9. have been going on that you've indicated there are such. And 10. certainly our leadership has...and our..program, I think, 11. has been rather responsive, but, if, in fact, there are 12. problems with the financial arrangements or the financial 13. structure and certainly before you have given us a chance 14. to make any amendments or any counterproposals, it seems to 15. me that we ought to have...we are left with no choice but in 16. a responsible manner to vote No on your issue without 17. having the opportunity to reach some compromise. And if 18. negotiations continue to go on, you can't shut doors and 19. say that we've become irresponsible. I think we've, in 20. good faith made proposals and what we're looking for is 21. some counterproposals and I want you to know that I, for 22. one, commend you for your stand on the...saying that there's 23. and...and agreement in some of the areas of 24. the structure and it seems to me that reasonable 25. people can finance or find solutions and compromises in 26. the financial structure. I would hope that we could continue 27. to do this and come to some answer. But having to vote on 28. it without having a chance to have heard any counterproposals,
- leave us no choice but to vote No. I hope that we can continue
 to negotiate and you can deal through our leadership and
 through those that have been doing it, to hopefully find
- through those that have been doing it, to hopefully find some answer before we leave tomorrow.

29.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) ı. Senator Berman. 2. SENATOR BERMAN: 3. Thank you, Mr. President. It's not very often that 4 . we are caught in such a very crucial crunch and I have no... 5. argument with the reasonable requests for ongoing negotiation. 6. This is a Senate Bill, it must still go to the House and 7. I would suggest to my colleagues on the other side of the 8. aisle that you Gentlemen and Ladies have control of the 9. other House. And I am sure that there will be discussions 10. with your leadership in the House, as there have been in 11. the past. We are under a critical time problem and I am 12. sure that with the control of the Podium and the control 13. of the gavel and the control of the committees that the 14. speed with which this bill will move through the House 15. will be determined by the Republican Leadership in the 16. House. So, I would suggest to you that the more reasonable 17. approach, time wise, is to give the Senate President and 18. even more meaningful...meaningfully, the residents of 19. the six county region of the northeastern part of this 20. State the benefit of the doubt and vote for this bill as 21. the vehicle by which the House can take speedy action. 22. Because if we don't we are limiting our alternatives, 23. we are limiting our alternatives and our possibilities 24. and limiting the extent of our negotiations. There are 25. many times when bills are presented to us on 3rd reading 26. that we don't like everything in them and I can recall that 27. there are times today and there were times yesterday and 28. the day before, when there were commitments made to consider 29. amendments when the bills went to the House. I think that 30. you are safe, and I say this to those on the other side of 31. the aisle that have serious questions regarding the operations 32. of the CTA and the RTA, you have the control in the House,

1. nothing will pass out of there that doesn't meet with you 2. and your leadership's approval there. And I would suggest 3. to you that in order to keep our options open and to give 4. a little ray of hope to millions of people that rely upon this to get them to work each day, that you add an Aye 5. vote tonight so that our options are totally flexible 6. and there is a ray of hope for the people that need 7. mass transit in the six counties around the northeastern 8. part of this State. 9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 10. Senator McMillan. 11. SENATOR McMILLAN: 12. Mr. President, members of the Senate. I rise in opposition 13. to the bill. I'll try not to repeat any...any comments already 14. made or any criticisms already leveled. There seem to be 15. a couple of points that...that do need to be made. With 16. regard to the negotiations which, as far as I'm concerned, 17. have been conducted in good faith and the attention that 18. has been given to this matter that has been intense and 19. sincere, I would mention this. Yes, we don't seem to 20. differ that often when it comes to the structure, in fact, 21. we generally get through that agenda item relatively 22. quickly even though there are details that we could 23. probably always find differences on. We do have serious 24. differences of opinion with regard to exactly who is 25. going to bear the burden of the added taxes that have 26. to be raised somewhere. We have very honest and very 27. deep-seated differences of opinion on that matter. 28. would contend, that for many of us, we have come quite 29. some distance in getting to the point where we're 30. willing to say that we can vote for the kind of a bail 31.

out loan that we're talking about. And we've come a

long way when we're willing to say we can vote for

32.

```
ì.
      some additional direct General Revenue Fund subsidy to
2.
      mass transit in the metropolitan area, however large
3.
      or small that may be. Part of our concern is that we
      face people everyday who have problems also. There are
4.
5.
      people in...in my area who drive sixty miles...each way
      during a day, even if they climb together four in a
6.
      car or six to a car, they're ending up shelling out
7.
       five dollars a day, at least, to get to and from work
8.
      and they simply do not understand why it has been
9.
      objectionable and so absolutely objectionable for fares
10.
       to be raised so that people might have to pay two dollars
11.
       a day to go back and forth to work. One of the things
12.
       that hasn't really been mentioned, we have differences
13.
       on these other areas, but in addition to restructuring
14.
       there is a serious question that many of us have that
15.
      before...being willing to produce whatever money we're
16.
      willing to produce, there have to be a lot of other
17.
       changes. There have to...has to be specific changes
18.
      which are going to increase the amount that people
19.
       pay at the fare box, it's going to be painful. We
20.
       have a hard time arriving at an understanding of
21.
       exactly what percentage we're paying now, but it's
22.
       got to be higher and the fares are going to have to
23.
       go up, there will have to be some...some changes in
24.
       the routes and there will have to be some efficiencies
25.
       put in the system, that's clear and it's got to be
26.
       done and...and that's something that's going to have
27.
       to be done before the money is going to be forthcoming.
28.
       Many of us over here, and I'm sure I'm as guilty as
29.
       anybody, have been accused of saying, No, pretty persistently
30.
       on a lot of things and this particular bill, which I have
31.
       a lot of problems with, philosophically and structurally
32.
```

and technically and otherwise, I've resisted for a long time.

Page 300 - May 28, 1981

```
ı.
      I would merely point out that the longer we have resisted,
 2.
       in some ways the more progress that has been made. We
      resist and all of a sudden we find that when there's
 3.
      some threat that certain routes are going to be closed,
 4.
      suddenly we find there are other ways for people to get
 5.
      to work at night. When the ...when the cabs, even without
 6.
      the kind of deregulation we'd like to see, are talking
 7.
      about providing some service to people in those areas
 8.
      at that time. The closer we get and the more the money
 9.
      is altered around so that the places...or the service that
10.
      suffers most is...is suburban service...service, the more
11.
      things that are either shut down or the greater the threat
12.
      of shutdown, the quicker we are to see that there are
13.
      some other possibilities of suburban train service
14.
      actually getting the freedom to raise their fares in
15.
      order to have the money to operate. So, just the fact
16.
      that we have resisted, repeatedly, on this kind of an
17.
      approach, has not lead us nowhere.
                                            The longer we have
18.
      resisted, the more progress that's being made. Even with
19.
      the attacks that have come upon some of us from downstate...
20.
      to us, downstate, is being whatever Mike Koyko would
21.
      classify us as. When we read the Chicago editorials in
22.
      the newspapers, we find that sometimes the longer we resist,
23.
      the more criticism that comes forth honestly, in those
24.
      editorials, of those who are running the system, because
25.
      they haven't been willing to raise the fares and so forth.
26.
       I frankly think we've worked hard, some of us have come
27.
       a long way in what we're willing to do. I think the proposal
28.
       that Republicans have discussed with Senator Rock today,
29.
       though far from perfect, I'm sure is certainly much preferable
30.
       to this one, which is expensive, which is a hidden tax, which
31.
       has some strange mechanisms, yes, it provides a direct rebate
32.
       of three cents to farmers, it provides a rebate of three
```

Page 301 - May 28, 1981

λ. percent for heating fuel only it doesn't go to the heating fuel users, it goes to the oil companies. There...there . 2. are a lot of problems with that bill and I simply say 3. this is not the bill that we should adopt at this time. 4. The proposals that, I think, have been suggested by 5. Republican Leadership are far superior and will do more 6. in the long-run to deal with the problems and it's something 7. that we can support than this proposal. 8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 9. Senator Nedza. 10. SENATOR NEDZA: 11. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the 12. Senate. If you'll notice in your Calendar, there's only one 13. sponsor of the bill, that being Senator Rock and I think 14. that was not accidental, it was by design. Senator Rock 15. has taken an awesome task upon himself and he should be 16. complimented because the bill that we are now debating 17. upon, as to my colleagues on the other side of the aisle, 18. it was on 2nd reading. There was an opportunity to have 19. your program amended into this bill. Senator Rock has 20. said that he is amiable to whatever discussions that 21. you would have with regards to the complexities of the 22. bill in having the bill brought before this Senate 23. so it can be passed to the other House. Since that was 24. not forthcoming, Senator Berman has made the suggestion 25. that the other House is under your control. If this bill 26. is to leave the Senate, you would have that opportunity 27. to have your specific amendments put to the bill, brought 28. back to this House for concurrence. There are a lot of 29. people that are looking for us in order to solve a problem 30. which I think is all of our problem and I would urge all 31.

of my colleagues to vote for the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32.

Senator Chew. 1. SENATOR CHEW: 2. Thank you, Mr. President. The Regional Transit Authority 3. was an experience. We had no models to go by, those of us 4. that were here, in its origin, did what we thought was best. 5. It's obvious that it was an experience, that's hindsight 6. now. The problem is, we should not allow mass transportation 7. anywhere in this State to shut down, not for one day. 8. think this problem is bigger than one man or one party. a This is a problem that we all have to solve together. Whether 10. it's a Democratic proposal or a Republican proposal or the 11. Governor's proposal, one or all must work themselves together 12. and we must come up with the solution for this problem. Now, 13. none of us can take the Chicago Sun Times and its columnist 14. serious, this is bigger than the writer. This is not a Chew 15. problem or a Rock problem, this is a problem that faces the 16. State of Illinois. Now, we've all made some mistakes in 17. funding the various agencies. We may have made a mistake in 18. creating the Regional Transit Authority, but remember it 19. was an experience. I recall when the Governor, back in '69, 20. proposed a State income tax and if there were any tax that 21. was proposed since I've been here, that was the rough one. 22. I realized then as I do now, that somewhere, somehow, those 23. of us that want to see the State of Illinois solvent, had 24. to vote for that bill. And those of us that want to see 25. public transportation in the State of Illinois to continue 26. to operate, must work out a formula. Not to all of the 27. satisfaction of all of us, not based on your next election, 28. not based on being a Republican or a Democrat or the Governor, 29. not based on who will receive the credit, not based on what 30. party will be blamed, but let's think of the people that 31. use the system, who have no votes in here other than the 32.

ones that you cast, because they sent us here. I am going

```
l.
      to cast my vote for anything that is worthy of keeping mass
      transit working in the State of Illinois. I don't care who
2.
3.
      sponsors it, whether it's Rock, Philip, Democrats, Republican...
      or Governor. If it makes any kind of sense at all, it ought
4.
      to be supported. Wouldn't it be a beautiful thing if every
5.
      member of this Senate could come together for the people
6.
      of the State of Illinois, something we have never done
7.
      because we put ourselves ahead of those that we represent.
8.
      And simply because we are elected from a political subdivision
9.
      has nothing whatsoever to do with the authority that's vested
10.
      in you once you have been elected because you do speak for
11.
      the people, all of the people, of the State of Illinois. Let
12.
      us not dwell on my district or your district, let's think
13.
      about the people that's going to be hurt, let's think
14.
       about the dollars that's going to be lost, let's think about
15.
       the businesses that can't function, let's think about the
16.
       many automobiles that will be strewn up and down the highways,
17.
       let's think about the problems of fire trucks not being able
18.
       to get through because of traffic blockage. Nobody, whether
19.
       you're from Johnson City or Johnsontown, can laugh at the
20.
       problems that this will create if we don't take the responsibility
21.
       upon ourselves and bail out this mass transportation system,
22.
       just as we took the responsibility and bailed out the State
23.
       of Illinois when it was about to go into a financial crisis.
24.
       Now, it has been said that Governor Ogilvie lost the next
25.
       election because he proposed the income tax, that's not true,
26.
       that's not true at all. He lost that election because a
27.
       better opponent beat him, the people thought. Sorrowfully
28.
       it turned out the other way, he was not better than the
29.
       Governor. And this is serious, most of us that voted for
30.
       the income tax, if we're not around here now, we're either
31.
       dead or we decided not to seek reelection, and here's an
32.
       example, I'm still here. Now, the time comes for us to stop
```

Page 304 - May 28, 1981 -

playing politics and worrying about who gets this credit l. or who wrote this bill. The time is now for responsible 2. people, Chicagoans, downstaters, north Illinois, black, white, 3. poor, rich, Republicans, Democrats, to get together and let's 4. do our job and quit playing with the future of the State 5. of Illinois simply because we belong to one party or we 6. want all accolades to go to ourselves. I have always 7. said, that I would give up sponsorship of a bill if it 8. meant that that bill could pass, so I will give up anything 9. to see the people of the State of Illinois continue what 10. they presently have and believe me, Ladies and Gentlemen, 11. the buck stops in this room and we ought to support our 12. bills for the benefit of the people of the State of Illinois. 13. Thank you. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 15. Senator Grotberg. 16. SENATOR GROTBERG: 17. Thank you, Mr. President, fellow Senators. To the 18. distinguished sponsor of this bill, President Rock, undoubtedly 19. the best President and Presiding Officer I've ever served 20. under, you are brave to take on this bill. But when you 21. dismissed us for dinner tonight, President Rock, I for 22. one, took the advantage of loafing around and listening to 23. the radio to Mayor Byrne's call in talk show, the Evening 24. with the Mayor. And it epitomized everything that us 25. "downstate Republicans" have been going through for several 26. weeks. What most people in Illinois, the average hard 27. working taxpayer who has very little interest in politics 28. does not realize, that there are no Republican Senators 29. in Chicago, there are none. Therefore, we have the dichotomy 30. of having to deal with our rural populations and their concerns, 31. one hundred and ninety thousand at a time. Therefore, the 32. pressure is building up and we're being bombarded with

cute phrases and a lot of things and we can all take that, l. God, it's even better than...said that most political campaigns 2. and it's funny. But what isn't funny, Mr. President, is 3. the fact that way back last fall, the mayor dominated RTA 4. Board, the Mayor of Chicago dominated CTA Board, did not 5. make their move, they thought it was business as usual 6. going back to Richard Daley, who was down here the last 7. time asking for bail outs in person, as I recall. Now, 8. the ballgame has changed, we have all learned, and I think 9. Senator Chew, you are correct, it was an experience. I think 10. we're getting closer, we are getting closer, there will be 11. a mass transit system coming out of all of this. But the 12. one thing that I cannot personally take much more of, is 13. the whip and the beating that comes from the inaction of 14. the responsible Democrat dominated CTA Board and RTA Board 15. knowing full well they would gun...us down to the gauntlet, 16. take us to the brink and hope to be saved. I submit we 17. may slip over the brink for twenty-four hours or so, but 18. by cracky. it'll be a different organization coming out 19. of it because of some of the positions that us downstate 20. redneck dumb Republicans have. 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 22. Senator Buzbee. 23. SENATOR BUZBEE: 24. Thank you, Mr. President. The discussion that's been 25. going on this evening, I would point out to you it's almost 26. eleven o'clock, we've been here since nine this morning. 27. Fourteen hours with an hour and a half, two hour break for 28. dinner. Otherwise the Republicans have been in caucus, in 29. fact, I think, during that dinner period, they were in caucus 30. a good portion of that time. And I guess the thing that 31. makes me the proudest about being a part of this Body is the

fact that in a very emotionally charged issue, that here at

32.

```
ı.
      eleven o'clock tonight after fourteen hours, there is very
      sane, sensible discussion going on about a major crisis issue
 2.
      in this State and even though this Body is...is want once
 3.
      in awhile to get charged with emotion, we are sitting here
4.
      this evening discussing our differences after fourteen straight
5.
      hours in a very same, sensible manner. I'm not at all sure
6.
      that would be possible across the Rotunda, I'm not sure that
7.
      would be possible down on the second floor, makes me very
 8.
      proud to be a part of this process. I think this is the
 9.
      kind of process that the founding fathers of this country
10.
      envisioned two hundred and some odd years ago when they
11.
      created a Legislative Body to represent the interests of
12.
      the people. I come from the second most southern district
13.
      in the State of Illinois, live three hundred and fifty
14.
      miles south of the heart of the City of Chicago, I know
15.
      that mileage very well because I've driven it, and I've checked
16.
      it in my speedometer many times. There are those in this
ĺ7.
      State who would try to drive a wedge, who would try to
18.
      create a schism between the City of Chicago and the rest
19.
      of the State. Those infinitesimal nothings who write in
20.
      newspapers and try to create that kind of schism, whether
21.
      they write for Chicago newspapers or for downstate
22.
      newspapers, I am happy to say have almost been ignored in
23.
      the conversation this evening because they're not going
24.
      to be the ones who are going to solve the problem. They're
25.
      helping to create the problem, but they're not going to solve
26.
      it. I have a tremendous amount of empathy for...those folks
27.
      who have to use the mass transportation system of the six
28.
      northeastern counties of this State where approximately seventy
29.
      percent of the population of this State resides, by the way.
30.
      I have always been one who has stood up loudly and clearly
31.
      proclaimed my happiness that the City of Chicago is a part
32.
      of the State of Illinois. They are the second city of this
```

Page 307 - May 28, 1981

| l. | Nation. They are a majorthe major tax contributor to |
|-----|--|
| 2. | the State of Illinois. They have problems right now that |
| 3. | that are, in fact, beyond the comprehension of perhaps some |
| 4. | of the citizens of my district because we are so entirely |
| 5. | different from them. I have tried for nine years that I |
| 6. | have been here to do everything I can toto bridge that |
| 7. | gap, thatthat chasm, if you will, between Chicago and |
| 8. | downstate because it seems to me it serves no one well |
| 9. | when we constantly harp on our differences and we constantly |
| 10. | call each other names, that what we need to do is to try to |
| 11. | work together to solve each other's problems. The sponsor |
| 12. | of this bill, Senator Rock, has, in my opinion, contributed |
| 13. | more than any one individual that I know to bridging those |
| 14. | kinds of gaps. He has interests of his district and of his |
| 15. | city that are somewhat different from the interests of my |
| 16. | district and the small communities that I represent. I |
| 17. | emphathize with him and I want to help him work out those |
| 18. | problems anyway that I can. There comes a time when those |
| 19. | of us who represent districts that are different from the |
| 20. | City of Chicago, say we want to continue to help you work out, |
| 21. | we want to help you in anyway we can, understanding that |
| 22. | you also help us, anyway you can. But there comes a time |
| 23. | when the interests of the citizens of our district are so |
| 24. | different that we cannot support every measure that is |
| 25. | necessary to help the City of Chicago and the six northeastern |
| 26. | counties. So therefore, we reluctantly, are not able to give |
| 27. | you what you want in this particular instance. I feel the need |
| 28. | to protect the interests of my district and I feel that by |
| 29. | casting an affirmative vote for this particular bill would not |
| 30. | be protecting the interest of my district this evening. |
| | |

End of Reel

31. 32.

32.

33.

Page 308 - May 28, 1981

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 1. Senator Egan. 2. SENATOR EGAN: 3. You're taking us in order, Senator, thank you. Mr. President, 4. and members of the Senate. In our sportminded society, I...I 5. detect that it's simple to divide the issue between upstate and downstate, and that translates, apparently, into Chicago versus 7. the downstate rural district. And that really escapes me entirely, 8. because somehow, in that translation, in that simplistic explana-9. tion of this sporting event, we lose some of us, who also in my 10. opinion, have, at least, as much responsibility in the matter as 11. I, who represent one-third of my district in the suburbs in Cook. 12. I question what the people say from Wilmette, South Elgin, Hoffman 13. Estates, Glenview, River Forest, Western Springs, Cicero, Evergreen 14. Park, Homewood, Olympia Fields, to begin with, who tomorrow and 15. the next day have to get downtown to work. I question who re-16. presents those people. Somehow, in the dissertation in the sporting 17. event of the Chicago versus downstate sporting event, somehow, these 18. people have been forgotten. It really escapes my imagination when, 19. in fact, indeed, probably those most present with the news media 20. are some of them...or not only some of them, most of them. They, 21. themselves, know better than anyone else how they get to work and 22 how they earn their living. If they don't get to work, they 23. don't earn their living. My area, Skokie, ParkRidge, Norridge, 24. Hoffman...no, not Hoffman Estates, Harwood Heights, and Niles, 25. those...those areas, themselves, I have not heard anything but 26. consternation and fear from what's going to happen if the RTA 27. closes down. That's how they get to work, Ladies and Gentlemen. 28. If they don't get to work, Marshall Fields closes down, et cetera, 29. et cetera, et cetera. The thing that escapes me, is how the re-30. presentatives of those areas have never been once criticized for 31. a total lack of attitude in the problem. We've got representatives

from the suburban areas that have never been called upon to answer

the question. It's not Chicago, and it's not downstate, it lies ı. solely in the suburban areas, Gentlemen, you're all Republicans and that's the way it is with the exception of my seventy precincts. Let me tell you, from the people in Park Ridge and Norridge and Harwood Heights, and Niles, and Skokie, I'll stand 5. a chance against your chance that I'm right. And if you don't 6. listen to the call, now, you may have to later. You've got to 7. get off of your duff and do something. Because if you don't, 8. your constituents will force you. 9.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

2.

3.

4.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Thank you, Mr. President. I'll try to address my comments... Senator McMillan, of things that haven't been brought up. Senator Egan, you're right, we do have to get off our duff. And I think what we haven't addressed to tonight is explaining to the people why we haven't got off our duff. The reason, basically, is that all the solutions of the past have been politically based, the politics that the mass transit user doesn't need to pay his fair share or her fair share if they represent a constituency great enough to get you elected. The politics of Lou Hill, who refused to implement an act of good faith and necessity by not putting in the fare increase that he was required to do. The politics of coalition that existed two years ago, which pitted brothers on our side against each other, for a politically expedient, non-useful solution. The politics of George Dunne, that imposed a three cents a gallon tax, silently, surreptitiously, skillfully, and disproportionate, that drove the gasoline economy out of my district into Indiana. Yes, we have not answered the call of the people, because when you try to deal with an issue that has been totally political, and try to make it substantive, there's a heck of a transformation that has to take place. The proposal that we made to you tonight, is certainly not politically expedient, but it is substantive. And

Page 310 - May 28, 1981

if we should bite the bullet, I would much prefer to do it on a

| 2. | substantive basis, than a political basis. Senator Rock, with |
|-----|---|
| 3. | due respect, I appreciate the fine work you've done and the effort |
| 4. | and the sincerity you've put to this. Thank you. |
| 5. | PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) |
| 6. | Senator Jeremiah Joyce. |
| 7. | SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE: |
| 8. | Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Body. I did not |
| 9. | intend to speak on this question, but Senator Grotberg has aroused |
| 10. | in me a desire to put a statement on the record. Senator DeAngelis |
| 11. | you have touched on it. We have short memories, we are here be- |
| 12. | cause of one reason, and that reason, you touched on partly, |
| 13. | Senator Grotberg, Mayor Byrne. But you forgot the other half of |
| 14. | it, and that is Governor Thompson. In 1979 when the two of them |
| 15. | got together and pushed and twisted and pressured everyone, and |
| 16. | nowone knew anything but them, and the United States Senator |
| 17. | didn't know anything, and the Spokesman in Congress, the Democratic |
| 18. | party didn't know anything, they knew everything, andthis decision |
| 19. | was made, and we abandoned the principle that the State is respon- |
| 20. | sible for mass transportation. And that is why we are here this |
| 21. | evening, and I would like to put that statement on the record, and |
| 22. | I would like to challenge: anyone in the Body who would like to |
| 23. | dispute that statement. I will support this bill, I will support |
| 24. | any bill that will keep the commuter trains running, and will keep |
| 25. | the CTA running in the City of Chicago. |
| 26. | PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) |

SENATOR MAHAR:

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Senator Mahar.

l.

Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Because the hour is late, not only tonight, in RTA wise, and because we're all concerned about our districts, and I'm concerned about mine I move the previous question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Page 311 - May 28, 1981

| 1. | Senator Mahar, we have only one speaker left, Senator Netsch. |
|-----|---|
| 2. | So, if you'll hold that motion for oneone more time. Senator |
| 3. | Netsch. |
| 4. | SENATOR NETSCH: |
| 5. | Thank you. I thought you'd never get to me, Mr. President. |
| 6. | One of theyou certainly recognized me fast enough off of that |
| 7. | last roll call. One of the things: thatthat I have learned in |
| 8. | nine years in the Illinois General Assembly, is that we are all part |
| 9. | of Illinois. I think I have come to respect the downstate concern |
| .0. | for roads, and all I have asked in return is that they respect |
| 1. | our transportation system, which is mass transit. That was rec- |
| 2. | ognized when the RTA was created. It was the first time that the |
| 3. | principle of a State-wide commitment to partial support of our |
| 4. | mass transit system was enacted into law. As has just been suggested |
| 5. | a year and a half ago, Mayor Byrnerand Governor Thompson tossed |
| 6. | that principle out. It seems to me, that this bill, is, at least, |
| 7. | an attempt to restore that principle in part. As far as I'm con- |
| 8. | cerned, be as tough on the CTA and the RTA as you like. There are |
| 9. | many of us in Chicago who would welcome that. But by the same |
| 0. | token, do not take away our lifeline, which is mass transportation. |
| 1. | PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) |
| 2. | Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Rock may close |
| 3. | debate. |
| 4. | SENATOR ROCK: |
| 5. | Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate |
| 6. | Let me just say initially, that under the Constitution that we |
| 7. | operate under, we as a people, in Article XIII, Section 7 it says |
| 8. | very, very plainly, that public transportation is an essential public |
| 9. | purpose for which public funds may be expended. The General |
| 0. | Assembly, by law, may provide for aid and assist public transporta- |
| 1. | tion, including the granting of public funds or credit to any cor- |
| 2. | poration or public authority authorized to provide public trans- |
| • | portation within this State. Now, let me suggest to those of you |

Page 312 - May 28, 1981

| ı. | who will insist on laying the problem thatin 1979 at the feet |
|-----|--|
| 2. | of the mayor and the Governor, that that plan was approved by a |
| 3. | majority of the members of both Houses of the Assembly. So, we |
| 4. | are not inin a situation where we can truly duck responsibility. |
| 5. | And I suggest to you that they did not foresee, nor did we, nor |
| 6. | did the Bureau of the Budget, nor did all the experts in the |
| 7. | Economic and Fiscal Commission, and everybody that we had look |
| 8. | at that, that the sales tax that we did provide at that time |
| 9. | would not, in fact, be commensurate with the revenue needs be- |
| 10. | cause we did not also foresee the inordinate rise in the petro- |
| 11. | leum costs. We have a right, I think, to quarrel with perhaps |
| 12. | some of the provisions of the labor contract, the labor unions |
| 13. | did what they had to do representing their membership. Management |
| 14. | did what they had to do representing whom they represent. And |
| 15. | that contract simply is not up for renegotiation until 1983. |
| 16. | And any attempt, such as again, is provided in this proposal, that the |
| 17. | contract is reopened and renegotiated, simply is unacceptable. |
| 18. | You, Senator DeAngelis, would not allow that, I don't suppose |
| 19. | as a matter of public policy, with respect to your own business. |
| 20. | If someone came in and attempted, as a matter of policy, to abro- |
| 21. | gate agreements, that you, in good faith, had made, even if you |
| 22. | were behind the eight ball, you respect an agreement contract. |
| 23. | And yes, I suppose there are some politics involved, and I would |
| 24. | dare say, that a great deal of what we're about down here and in |
| 25. | this State, there is some politics involved. But don't try to |
| 26. | suggest that this proposal does not have some politics involved, |
| 27. | this provides for a Northern Illinois Transportation Authority |
| 28. | Board with seven members appointed by the Governor. And it |
| 29. | provides for a Chicago Transit Authority Board, two of whom are |
| 30. | appointed by the Governor. And it provides for a Suburban |
| 31. | Transit Authority, five selected by suburban members of the Cook |
| 32. | County Board, and you and I both know what party they're from. |
| 33. | And three selected by presidents of collar county boards, and you |

and I both know what party they're from. So, let's don't pettiı. fog around. We are engaged in high level politics, because 2. there's a great deal of money involved, and there's a great deal 3. of service involved. Service to one million people in north-4. eastern Illinois, and I suppose one mans bail out is...the other 5. mans responsible solution. I think this is a reasonable and 6. responsbile solution. And all that other stuff that Senator 7. McMillan was talking about, we met, as you well remember, on a 8. Friday morning at the Mansion, and I suggested to both you and 9. Senator Philip and the Governor that I'm not going to stand in 10. favor of duplicative routes where the buses are empty, let's get 11. rid of them. And I'm for cost efficency, and I'll mandate a fare 12. increase, a reasonable fare increase. And we can mandate a per-13. centage of the fare box as a cost of operation. Depending on 14. whom you speak to in this State, or which one of those fiscal 15. experts, Chicago Transit Authority is now at fifty-two percent. 16. I don't think that's unreasonable. And under this bill, sixty 17. percent of this, sixty percent of the money that's raised is going 18. to go to the Road Program. Your own Department of Commerce and 19. Community Affairs, our own department, I should say, has estimated 20. conservatively that a shutdown of this system in northeastern 21. Illinois will cost the State of Illinois, all the people of the 22. State of Illinois, one hundred and twenty-five million ddllars 23. a day. Not to...not to even try to estimate the cost and the 24. inconvenience and the disruption of the lives of nine hundred 25. thousand people who can't work at Saint Ann's Hospital in my 26. district, who can't get to Presbyterian Saint Luke's Hospital, who 27. can't get to the medical center, who can't go to school, who 28. can't go to work, and it goes on and on and on and on 29. and on, and we sit here and we're arguing because it's a political 30. issue. Admittedly, the structure part is a political issue. 31. This is the tough part Senator Berman rightly pointed out, that 32. this is a Senate Bill, I suggest to you, that as a responsible 33.

Page 314 - May 28, 1981

| 1. | Body, we ought to send this over to the House, and let them know |
|--------------|--|
| 2. | we're here to do some serious business, and let the people up |
| 3. | there know that we are responsible enough, yes, reasonable enough |
| 4. | to bite the bullet and vote for additional needed revenue. I urge |
| 5. | an Aye vote. |
| 6. | PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) |
| 7. | The question is, shall Senate Bill 855 pass. Those in favor |
| 8. | will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. |
| 9. | Senator, would you vote my button. Have all voted who wish? Have |
| 10. | all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. |
| 11. | On that question, the Ayes are 21, the Nays are 36, none Voting Present. |
| 12. | Senator Rock, for what purpose do you airse? |
| 13. | SENATOR ROCK: |
| L 4 . | I wish to postpone further consideration. |
| 15. | PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) |
| .6. | Senator Rock seeks leave to postpone consideration of Senate |
| L7. | Bill 855. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Our next order of |
| L8. | business on Senate Bills 3rd reading, on page 4, is Senate Bill |
| L9. | 149, Senator Rock. Senator Rock. |
| 20. | SENATOR ROCK: |
| 21. | Thank you, Mr. President. I think the hour is late, and I |
| 22. | wish that we would be in a position to commence at nine o'clock tomorrow |
| 23. | morning. We will begin, as everyone, I'm sure is aware, with the |
| 24. | Agreed Bill List. I would move that we stand adjourned until nine |
| 25. | o'clock tomorrow morning. |
| 26. | PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) |
| 27. | The Senate stands adjourned. |
| 28. | |

29.30.31.32.33.