81ST GENERAL ASSEMBLY 3RD SPECIAL SESSION

NOVEMBER 8, 1979

All and some

l.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

16.

17.

18.

19.

21.

PRESIDENT:

All right, the hour of noon having arrived, the 3rd Special
 Session of the 8lst General Assembly will come to order. Reading
 of the Journal. Senator Nega.

SENATOR NEGA:

Mr. President. I move that reading and approval of the Journals of Wednesday, October the 31st, Thursday, November the 1st, Tuesday, November the 6th, and Wednesday, November the 7th, in the year 1979, be postponed pending arrival of the printed Journals.

PRESIDENT:

12. You've heard the motion. All in favor signify by saying

13. Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. So ordered. On the Order

14. of House Bills 3rd reading, is House Bill 2796. Read the bill,

15. Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2796.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Shapiro.

22. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

Well, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. 23. House Bill 2796, as it is before us, amends the State Sales Tax 24. to reduce from four to three percent the tax on food for human 25. consumption, which is to be consumed off the premises where it 26. is sold, other than alcoholic beverages and food which is been 27. prepared for immediate consumption, and prescription and non-28. prescription medicines, drugs, medical applicances, and insulin, 29. urine testing materials, syringes and needles used by diabetics 30. for human use. Further explanation of...of the bill, food for 31. human consumption, but not restaurant meals, carry out, or to 32. go orders, or alcoholicbeverages. Prescription and non-prescription 33.

- 1. medicines and drugs and medical appliances, which includes bandages, canes, aspirin, cough syrup, wheel chairs, et cetera, 2. and then the insulin the diabetic materials are really self-3. explanatory. The costs of this bill, because of the reduction 4. of the one percent, in the remainder of FY '80, will cost 5. approximately forty-seven million. In FY '81 it will be 6. a hundred and two million. FY'82, a hundred and twelve, 7. FY'83, a hundred and twenty-three million, and up to a hundred 8. ... FY '84, a hundred and thirty-five million, for a total cost 9. through those years, of five hundred and ninteen million. That 10. pretty much in a nut shell explains what is in the bill. 11. there are any questions, I will attempt to answer them. If not, 12.
- PRESIDENT:

33.

Any discussion? Senator Grotberg.

I would appreciate a favorable roll call.

SENATOR GROTBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. It's 17. the only game left in town, and, of course, I'm going to vote 18. for it, but not without remembering the fact that we're probably 19. putting the silliestarrangement on the retail field, and the 20. consumer that has been devised in a long time. Now, I laid 21. on everybody's desk this morning a copy of the DeKalb Chronicle 22. of November 22nd, 1934, "the Senate will complete work on the 23. Sales Tax," is says, and if you read down a little ways, if you've 24. still got your eyes small enough to read that fine print, it says, 25. that they hope that that two cent Sales Tax will raise three 26. million dollars a month, or thirty-six million dollars a year, 27. eighteen million dollars per penny. Ironically that was put 28. on in the...depths of the depression to solve a depression, 29. they raised the taxes. We're talking about tax cuts these 30. days to end a...an inflationary spiral. I don't know how 31. all that works, all I know is it took them thirty hours down 32. here in a Special Session to put on the Sales Tax. If you read

```
l.
       it carefully, you'll find that they got in and out in thirty
      hours, and between Henry Horner, and Ed Kelly they worked out the
 2.
      deal. We've been on this issue now, for about three years,
 3.
      finally getting rid of one cent on groceries. The first thing
4.
       that will happen is that groceries will go up, at least, one
5.
6.
      cent on a dollar, the next thing is, Jim Zagel will come in
      here for a special appropriation, for about a hundred inspectors
7.
      to see to it that the merchants are...differentiating between
8.
      Corn Flakes and panty hose, and the training programs that have
9.
      to go on in the big stores, it's a National Cash Register
10.
      nightmare, if I were you I'd rush out and buy some of that
11.
      stock, because it's going to go up in Illinois. We've already
12.
      allowed for grocery tax exemption, or refunds for the elderly
13.
      and the circuit-breaker, and medicine, one hundred dollars a
14.
      year. We've forgotten about that, for the very needy, at least,
15.
      at the food stamp portion of their support, there is no Sales
16.
      Tax. I think it's an imposition, and therefore, I regret that
17.
      my own concept of an across the board cut, so that everybody
18.
      knows what the name of the game is, was not able to be placed on the
19.
      bill yesterday, or even heard. For the retailer in this State
20.
      of Illinois, I apologize, I've been in your corner on everything
21.
      else, I'm not going to turn my back on you now, vocally I'm going
22.
      to vote for this bill, but try to wait and see, just like the
```

PRESIDENT: 27.

23.

24.

25.

26.

28.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Further discussion? Senator Gitz.

SENATOR GITZ: 29.

in the first year.

Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I just wanted to comment, Senator Grotberg had referred us to this article, he did not underline a portion it said, "in this connection it developed that Horner conferred with Mayor Kelly of Chicago recently and is understood to have assured him that if there is a surplus in the Sales Tax.

RTA Tax, the price did not come down. The concept of tax reduction

is to save money for the consumer. This will not save anything

- 1. over State government needs it will go to relief."
- 2. PRESIDENT:
- 3. Further discussion? Senator Maitland.
- 4. SENATOR MAITLAND:
- 5. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
- 6. Senate. Little did I realize last Spring that I would stand in
- 7. support of any kind of legislation in the Fall that would re-
- 8. move the Sales Tax from food and drugs. As you...as you know
- g. I spoke very strongly against that legislation last Spring. I
- happen to believe that it was a fair tax, it was a tax that
- 11. every single one of us in the State paid. I have repeatedly
- supported legislation that would assist those less fortunate
- than myself. I have supported legislation to provide relief
- for those on fixed income. I see nothing, quite frankly wrong
- with a family going to a grocery store, and saying that
- a certain percent of that money is going back into the system
- providing the necessary things that they get from the government.
- Education of three, or four, or five kids, police, fire protection,
- and what have you. I happen to think that's fair, but I heard
- on the Floor of this Senate the other day, much talk about the
- need for all of us as Legislators to be willing to compromise 21.
- from time to time, and I accept that responsibility, I think 22.
- it is necessary, therefore I intend to support this legislation.
- I would hope also, those who were a hundred and eighty degrees 24.
- away from my position back then and as late as yesterday, will 25.
- also support this compromise, and, in fact, then really we have 26.
- at least provided some tax relief for the citizens of Illinois.
- Not my favorite tax relief, I shall be back next Spring working
- on those endeavors, I think, that are more important, but for now 29.
- I urge an Aye vote on 2796.
- PRESIDENT:

- Further discussion? Senator Sangmeister. 32.
- SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

1. Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate.

2. It is very interesting to hear some of the endorsements

3. of this bill this morning, when all we needed was one more yesterday

4. and we would really be giving the people some meaningful tax

5. relief, as it is now we will give the people their penny that

6. the Governor wants, and the token isn't that I think it stands

7. for. There were many of us that talked this morning and I want

8. to know that we got the votes with the people that were with me

on the amendment yesterday to withhold this tax relief if we want

to. We don't really think that's particularly responsible to

do that either, as little as this tax relief is, so we decided

not to do that, we'll go along with this, but I've already filed,

it's on the Secretary's Desk right now, a bill has been filed with

an amendment that will give meaningful tax relief through the

Sales Tax media which the Governor now feels obviously is the

way to go, and next Spring we're going to be back with the

same amendment, and all of those of you who are now speaking

that this is such great tax relief to the people, remember us

next Spring when that bill comes up.

PRESIDENT:

9.

14.

16.

18.

19.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Further discussion? Senator McMillan.

SENATOR MCMILLAN:

Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I rise in opposition to this bill. What I do here with this vote won't be particularly popular with my constituents, or with anybody else in the State, but I can do it with a clear conscience. I also don't expect to really influence anybody's vote, because I think everybody's mind is made up, and they're ready to hit the switch and go home, but I really have a couple of things to say to the taxpayers of Illinois. Senator Grotberg has said very eloquently how this specific tax relief package is really a cruel, cruel hoax, and I won't go into details, but as he made very clear, it's really not going to end up being any benefit to anybody, but what we came

```
l.
       up with was a handful of pennies of relief, that we can
 2.
       say to the people of Illinois, yes we provided you with
       some tax relief, but I think it's really a hoax because of
 3.
      a lot of other things. It doesn't even begin to measure up
 4.
       to what people should have expected. We heard a lot yesterday
 5.
 6.
      about the tax relief that was promised, but, in fact, when
       the Thompson Proposition went to the people it wasn't promising
 7.
       tax relief, if you read what it says, it said shall legislation
 8.
      be enacted and the Constitution be amended to impose ceilings
 9.
      on taxes and spending by the State, by units of Local Government,
10.
       and by School Districts, ceilings on taxes and spending. Well
11.
      that question went to the people a year ago yesterday, they
12.
      spoke and they were pleased, and they had hope, the Legislators
13.
      heard what they had to say, and the Legislators trembled a little
14.
      bit, because the bureaucrats and the tax eaters also heard, and
15.
      they were scared that maybe the taxpayers were finally going
16.
      to have the upper hand, well what happened in one year? We had
17.
      a proposal to limit taxes and spending, it was reasonable,
18.
      in fact, it was too weak if any thing, but it was reasonable,
19.
      it was dumped in a sub-committee, by the same people that are
20.
      giving you a handful of pennies today. What was the result, we
21.
      spent, and we spent, and we spent, last Spring, and last Summer
22.
      and this Fall, we increased the entitlements of people, Senator Rock,
23.
      very courageously, yesterday pointed out, how precious little
24.
      there is left in the State kitty which...with which we could
25.
      really provide some relief for a handful of pennies we dumped
26.
      a real possibility for limits. The same thing on limits to
27.
      local units of government, we had a proposal, it was dumped by
28.
      the House Revenue Committee, by those that are giving you a
29.
      handful of pennies today, and what would...did we do, look at
30.
      what we did in this Body, this Senate voted to increase the
31.
      taxing authority for the Chicago Park District, a tax increase
32.
      without a referendum, and we're giving you a handful of pennies
33.
```

```
to say we're doing something for you, we had a truth in
1.
2.
      taxation package, that was dumped in the House, and local
3.
      units of government have increased their levies fifteen
      percent, thirty percent, fifty percent, whatever, and
4.
      we tried to get the bill...a motion was filed to get it
5.
      out of committee so we could do something about it, and
6.
      they wouldn't even allow it to be called, and you can't
7.
      say it was Republican versus Democrat politics, those were
8.
      Republican bills, and that wasn't why they were killed,
9.
      because we had a bill over here that was a half way
10.
      decent bill, that would have provided some limits, and it
11.
      was a Democrat bill, but they wouldn't even call it for a
12.
      vote last Spring, and now the same people are giving the
13.
      taxpayers of Illinois a handful of pennies, instead of
14.
      saving some dollars. There were proposals to increase the
15.
      grants on circuit-breakers where for a small amount of money
16.
      we could have given ... we could ... given a large amount of dollars
17.
      to the people who needed it, that was dumped for a handful
18.
      of pennies. We had a proposal to increase the Income Tax
19.
      exemption, which has gone up and up and means that the effective
20.
      tax rate has gone up and up, that was killed, that was dumped
21.
      for a handful of pennies. We had a proposal to index the
22.
      exemption, that was dumped for this handful of pennies, and
23.
      then we got to the Corporate Personnel Property Tax Replacement,
24.
      and we passed a bill, which really provided more than was
25.
      needed. And the final straw on that particular thing is,
26.
      this Christmas, when some child is not going to have too great
27.
      a Christmas, his father can tell him, that the Legislature
28.
      provided a few pennies of tax relief, but that kid would have
29.
      a much better Christmas if his dad had a job, that didn't go
30.
      to Indiana or Iowa, because of what we did in the tax structure.
31.
       I really don't speak out of any bitterness today. I didn't receive
32.
       any threats, nobody charged my actions as criminality, nobody
33.
```

took any cheap shots, nobody in my district lost a job, and 1. nobody in my district was offered a job, nobody even offered 2. to ask what my price was for my vote on this, and I've had ₹. a lot of encouragement from almost a majority of this Body 4. who know what kind of a hoax this is, and know that it's bad 5. government, and bad economics, and really rotten politics, but 6. this strange and painful deal has been made, and the votes 7. will be delivered today, and a handful of pennies will come Я. out to a few people, but I for one, say when spending continues 9. to climb, and when Real Estate Taxes continue to climb, and 10. when your Income Tax effective rate continues to climb, by 11. God that is just a little bit too high a price for me, and I'm 12. going to vote No.

PRESIDENT:

13.

14.

15.

33.

Further discussion? Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of 17. the Senate. You know as this day goes, or as the last few 18. days, it never ceases to amaze me, yesterday it was really 19. horrible, it wasn't a little measly pennies like today. 20. All of the sudden now this bill becomes a sham, 21. pennies, couldn't give them two cents next year, and now 22. you're not giving them enough, and the same people who wouldn't 23. give us the extra vote that we needed to put this bill where 24. it should be, is up today saying that it's a sham, it's little 25. people, it's a sham we just...to offer people something. Now, 26. I stand here today, and I listened, and it amazes me that people 27. who cannot get loans to buy homes, we tell them, oh, but we'll 28. take the interest rate off, we'll raise the rates that's going 29. to help you. You know what's happening to people who are 30. buying cars, oh, it's not the shortage of gas, oh, it's not that 31. that causing us to have...not be able to purchase automobiles, 32. that isn't the cause that the automobile industries are in the

- situation they're in, know the way we're goin to cure this, l.
- is we're going to raise the interest rate on buying cars. 2.
- I don't know it's...it's beginning...it's beyond my comprehension 3.
- how Gentlemen and Ladies can sit and say and tell you, it's 4.
- like telling a fellow who's never eaten, and he's hungry and 5.
- he's out in the cold, just sitthere and don't eat, it's going 6.
- to be better, and all the time you're feeding your own, but 7.
- don't worry it's going to get better, so now I'm going to 8.
- support this bill, because...that I believe that we ought to 9.
- give them something. It's not to my liking, but now it's 10.
- going to be interesting to see the people who won't supply 11.
- the votes to make this bill get over. 12.
- PRESIDENT: 13.

20.

- Further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.
- SENATOR GEO-KARIS: 15.
- Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
- 16. We've heard much rhetoric about it, but I think it is important
- 17. for us to be responsible, and the responsibility we have is
- 18
- to get some meaningful tax relief without putting the State 19.
- of Illinois in the same precarious financial position that
- the City of New York now stands, and when you compare a billion
- 21. and a half dollars over four years to six hundred and some
- 22. million dollars, there's a big difference, and the...this bill
- 23. is the...practically identical with the original...House Bill 2564,
- 24. which called for the first phase one percent, and I think it's
- 25.
- responsible and I think we should also remember that your side 26. would not let us have an increase in personal Income Tax exemptions,
- 27. your side wouldn't let us have any real estate tax relief, your
- 28. side wouldn't...would not let us tax...index our payment...our
- 29. income...our tax to our income, and your side of avoided
- 30. everything except one bill, and here we are, and we're forced
- 31. to support this bill, and I support it gladly, because, at least, 32.
- it's some tax relief for the people back home.

PRESIDENT:

١.

3.

Further discussion? Senator Mitchler. 2.

SENATOR MITCHLER:

Mr. President, and members of the Senate. As you well 4. know 37 votes joined with your side of the aisle in passing 5. a meaningful Sales Tax reduction. All five percent would be 6. off, but then the wheels start turning, and this is the final 7. compromise. Not only on this side of the aisle, but primarily 8. on your side of the aisle, and also over in the House, and this 9. is the compromise that came out of the original mandate by your 10. side to this side, that this was the only type of tax relief 11. we'd have in FY '80, and it's one cent coming off the Sales 12. Tax on food and non-prescription medicine, effective January 13. 1, 1980. Now, we'll be back in the Spring, I'll be back here, 14. and let's hope we can sit down together, and get those that 15. constructed this limited Sales Tax relief together with us, 16. in the House, and then come up with some meaningful relief, but 17. let's stop fighting amoungst ourselves, right now we have fought, 18. we've been back and forth, and this is the final package that we 19. have come out in, in a compromise, or whatever you want to call 20. it, this is it, let's vote for it, give it to the people, we'll 21. all be back here next year, the same set up, unless you want 22. to quit or don't want to come back, or the good Lord willing 23. takes you, but then we can get on with the business and prove 24. to ourselves that we can compromise even more, and come up with 25. some more meaningful tax relief. So, this is the compromise that 26. we give effective January 1, 1980. Vote Aye. 27. PRESIDENT:

28.

29.

Further discussion? Senator Newhouse. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

30. Thank you, Mr. President. I will be mercifully brief, but I have to confess to everyone here, I think I'm getting pretty 31. mellow. I...I agree with everybody who spoke up to this point. 32. 33.

- 1. I agree with Senator Mitchler, we ought to stop fighting.
- 2. I agree with Senator Geo-Karis, that it's the last thing
- 3. we got, I agree with Senator Grotberg, this is a lousy
- 4. bill. I agree with Senator McMillan, I agree with Senator
- 5. Maitland, that we ought to compromise. I agree with Senator
- 6. McMillan, this ain't no compromise this is complete
- 7. capitulation. I'm going to vote for this lousy bill,
- 8. and I suppose all the rest of us will too.
- 9. PRESIDENT:
- 10. Further discussion? Senator Knuppel.
- 11. SENATOR KNUPPEL:
- Boy, I've been working for some relief on Sales Tax on
- 13. food and drugs for nine years. This ain't much, but it's a
- step in the right direction, and you know Dizzy Dean used to
- call those close plays at first base, he'd say he's safe
- by a heifer step. This is a heifer step.
- 17. PRESIDENT:
- 18. Further discussion? Senator Collins.
- 19. SENATOR COLLINS:
- Thank you, Mr. President. I think Senator Sangmeister
- 21. expressed my sentiments and in addition to being one of the
- 22. co-sponsors of the bill that he prefiled today, I've
- also filed two other bills that I think will get to the heart
- of the matter, yesterday. The issue really at hand, and that
- is to eliminate the conflict, the most obvious conflict of interest 25.
- that exists in this Body among the members...many of the members 26.
- of Chicago, and their job here in the Illinois Senate, and that
- is to eliminate double dipping. I know that it is an issue that
- has been discussed many times, has been before this Body, and
- you tried to regulate it, but it is quite obvious you cannot 30.
- regulate it, we have to eliminate it. Another bill also, 31.
- eliminating the most obvious conflict of...interest, and that 32.
- is double dipping pension, that is another area that we must 33.

- eliminate, and I'm going to be asking all of you for your
- support.
- 3. PRESIDENT:
- Further discussion? Senator Keats.
- 5. SENATOR KEATS:
- 6. I move the previous question.
- 7. PRESIDENT:
- That motion will be in order. Two more members have indicatedthey wish to be heard. Senator Wooten.
- 10. SENATOR WOOTEN:
- 11. Thank you, Mr. President. Just briefly in response to

 12. Senator Geo-Karis's comments, and I suppose partly in response

 13. to Senator McMillan. It is true that we had an inordinate number

 14. of tax relief measures, and I suppose if you want the General
- Assembly to behave in a totally irresponsible manner, we just
- pass them all down to the Governor, let him riffle through that
- and see what would look good for him, and pick one, and that would
- be it. I think too often we pass over to the Governor what
- is really our sole authority, the Governor does not raise or 19.
- lower taxes, the Governor does not appropriate or refuse to
- appropriate money, he can stand in the way, he can approve, but
- we do it, not the Governor, and I just think it's silly to say well, whatever you want to do Governor, here's a bunch
- of ideas you pick out the one you like. That is not responsible
- legislative action, and it's true it came down to a pretty much \cdots 25.
- a partisan vote, but let me tell you what it took on this side
- just to get to that point $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$
- of tax relief, and express that as the will of the Legislature, 28.
- that's the only way we should ever operate, that is the only way 29.
- this Chamber should ever function. We decide, and then we give $30. \ \ \,$
- it to the Governor. We don't just pass along everything that ${\bf 31.}$
- sounds halfway $\ensuremath{\mathsf{good}}$, and let the Governor $\ensuremath{\mathsf{pick}}$ and choose 32.
- among it. Our difficulty, Ladies and Gentlemen, is that we continually 33.

```
put ourselves in a supine posture, as Legislators, we don't
١.
      excercise our functions, we don't care that deeply, at least,
2.
      it seems that way, we don't care deeply enough about our
3.
     prerogative. Now, whether it came out originally as a partisan vote
4.
      or not, the fact is, that was the will of this Body, and I
5.
      think that's the only thing that ought to be expressed to
6.
      the Governor, what we finally decide as a collective group,
7.
      whoever has the majority, that's our role, to behave in any
8.
      other manner is simply irresponsible, and I think what we
9.
      did was responsible. One other point, we should by rights
10.
      defeat this bill, no question about it, because that would
11.
      make the turn of the screw very tight indeed, when our new
12.
      bill comes up in January, and we would be just that closer
13.
      to real effective tax relief. It's going to make the fight
14.
      just a little bit harder, but I believe that the will of this
15.
      Body will remain the same, and we'll give him that one bill
16.
      again, at least, I'd certainly hope so. The reason we can't,
17.
      quite frankly, is because what we do is mediated by the press,
18.
      and the stage has already been set, that if we don't pass this
19.
      out, then it was all fun and games, the Governor and the
20.
      Legislature in collusion to raise your hopes and then dash
21.
      them down again. Personally I would like to just draw a veil
22.
      over this for the next couple of months so we could defeat
23.
      it, come back and really get the job done, but as practical
24.
      politician we must live in a practical world, and only the head-
25.
      line penetrates. So, we're obviously going to have to pass the
26.
      bill, but don't bring up the point that this is just one of
27.
      many things, good ideas that were defeated, that's exactly
28.
      what we're all about. It is our job, if we have been fiscally
29.
      responsible, it's not the Governor, get that straight, it's us.
30.
      If we're irresponsible, it's us, not the Governor, he can't
31.
      appropriate, he can stand in the way, he can approve, but he
32.
      can't do it, we do it. If he vetoes, we override, we sustain, but
```

it's our action, and it's right and proper, that we make the
 sole choice, and what we did was absolutely correct, we give
 him one option, and I hope that's the way we always behave.

4. PRESIDENT:

5.

6.

Further discussion? Senator Regner.

SENATOR REGNER:

Yes, Mr. President, and members. This bill as it stands 7. right now is a farce as far as tax relief is concerned. We 8. had the tax indexing issue before us last year, it was defeated 9. along with the Governor's help, it's a farce as far as tax 10. reform is concerned, because over the last two years he's 11. worked very effectively against the tax limitation amendment 12. which now has passed the House, but it will be before us next 13. Spring. It's a burden on business as Senator Grotberg pointed 14. out, it really doesn't do anything for the taxpayers as Senator 15. McMillan very eloquently pointed out. Now, could we have had 16. either one of the other two forms of tax relief, you bet you 17. we could. This year alone, the State of Illinois is going 18. to have several hundred million dollars of windfall profits 19. in their taxes...tax revenues, because of inflation. We're 20. going to have over a hundred million dollars excess profits 21. if you want to call it that on the Sales Tax, on gasoline 22. sales alone, so we could have had both of the other items. The 23. Governor opposed both of those others too, and when I called 24. the tax indexing bill last June I had no votes on the other . 25. side of the aisle. It's very unfortunate, because I think 26. both of those two items would have been much better than 27. this kind of thing, because it is not tax reform, but like 28. Senator...Maitland...I'm going to vote Yes on it, because 29. I just don't feel like answering a lot of phone calls, a 30. lot of letters that are going to come, because the news 31. media has purported this to be tax relief, which it is not. 32. PRESIDENT: 33.

Further discussion? Senator Daley.

SENATOR DALEY:

1.

2. Mr. President, and fellow Senators. First of all this 3. is at least a step forward as compared to just a few months ago when the Governor vetoed the bill entirely, so I think 4. the effect of Republicans and Democrats in the House, and 5. the League of Women Voters the UAW, Mine Workers, Steel 6. Workers, I Pack Urban League, Metro Seniors, community 7. groups throughout the State had a great effect upon the 8. General Assembly. I guess we're not all happy with the 9. bill as it is, but it's a step forward, I salute those 10. Senators who voted yesterday to amend the bill, the 27. 11. I respect those that voted No or voted...were absent, or 12. voted Present, I respect their opinion. I think it's at 13. least a step forward, I'd like to salute Senator Sangmeister, 14. and Senator Rhoads, and those who will be sponsoring a bill, 15. prefiling a bill immediately, and we'll hear this issue again 16. when we come back in January. We have helped business, with 17. the elimination of Sales Tax and machinery, which is greatly 18. needed as well as...Corporate Personal Property Tax for 19. big business, and we talk about Real Estate Tax relief, 20. I think everybody's looking for a formula, whether you're 21. rich or poor, but, at least, the elimination of the Sales 22. Tax affects the Senior Citizens, and affects the poor, affects 23. the working class, and especially affects all of us. Thank you. 24. PRESIDENT: 25.

Any further discussion? All right, Senator Keats has 26. moved the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 27. Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. Senator Shapiro may 28. close. The question is, shall House Bill 2796 pass. Those in 29. favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting 30. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 31. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, 32. the Ayes are 54, the Nays are 1. None Voting Present. House 33. Bill 2796, having received a constitutional majority is declared

- 1. passed, and the bill having received the affirmative vote
- 2. of three-fifths of the members elected is effective immediately
- 3. upon its becoming a law. Resolutions.
- 4. SECRETARY:
- 5. Senate Joint Resolution No. 3 offered by Senator Savickas.
- 6. (Secretary reads SJR No. 3)
- 7. PRESIDENT:
- 8. Senator Savickas moves...Senator Savickas has moved to
- 9. suspend the rules for the immediate consideration and adoption
- of Senate Joint Resolution No. 3, which is the adjournment
- resolution, adjourning the 3rd Special Session, sine die.
- 12. All in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes
- have it. The rules are suspended. Senator Savickas now
- moves the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution No. 3. All
- in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have
- 16. it. The resolution is adopted. Pursuant to that resolution
- Senator Savickas moves that the Senate 3rd Special Session
- 18. stand adjourned sine die. Any discussion? All in favor signify
- by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The Senate in
- 20. the 3rd Special Session stands adjourned. Senator Keats for
- what purpose do you arise?
- 22. SENATOR KEATS:
- I rise for a...I rise for a point of personal privilege
- and the member I wanted to talk to has just walked into the
- washroom, so he'll hear me as I speak. You know in our debates
- we sometimes argue points of economics, and I sometimes think
- that if the economic knowledge in this Body were cloth it couldn't
- make a G string, but that isn't the real point. We had one
- member get up today, in particular, and several others saying
- the only reason people are voting for this is because special 30.
- interests wants this and special interests want that. Well, I
- want to merely say, if that member himself is a crook, and likes 32.
- to think of himself as a crook, that's his own business, but you ${\tt 33.}$
 - know some of us around here are honest, and some of us do attempt

1.	to vote on principle, and I get damn sick and tired of
2.	having a member get up whose attendance record is not one
3.	of the better ones anyway, and get up and always say you
4.	guys are just doing what the specialinterest want, you
5.	know as well as I do some things are right and some things
6.	are wrong, and I don't give a damn what anyone else says
7.	when it talks about a free money market, that happens to
8.	be a classic economic position, and I'm sick and tired of
9.	being called a crook by someone whose knowledge of economics
10.	probably is questionable.
11.	PRESIDENT:
12.	All right the Senate will stand in recess until the
13.	hour of 2:30.
14.	
15.	
16.	RECESS
17.	
18.	•
19.	
20.	
21.	
22.	
23.	
24.	
25.	
26.	
27.	
28.	•
29.	
30.	
31.	
32.	•