

HB 265
2nd reading

81ST GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

JUNE 25, 1979

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. The hour of nine having arrived the Senate will come
3. to order. Will the quests in our galleries please rise.
4. Prayer by Father Eugene Bungay, of Saint Augustines Catholic
5. Church, Bree^Sze, Illinois.

6. FATHER EUGENE BUNGAY:

7. (Prayer by Father Bungay)

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. Reading of the Journal. Senator McLendon.

10. SENATOR MCLENDON:

11. Mr. President...Mr. President I move that the reading and
12. approval of the Journals of, Monday, June 18, Tuesday, June 19,
13. Wednesday, June 20th, Thursday, June 21st, Friday, June 22nd,
14. Saturday, June 23rd, and Sunday 24th, in the year 1979, be post-
15. poned pending arrival of the printed Journals.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

17. You've heard the motion. Those in favor indicate by saying
18. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries. The Senate
19. will stand at ease. House Bills 2nd reading. On page 30 of your
20. Calendar, beginning with House Bill 185, Senator Daley. House
21. Bill 189, House Bill 202, Senator Savickas. House Bill 226,
22. Senator Buzbee. House Bill 265, Senator Sangmeister. Read the
23. bill, Mr. Secretary.

24. SECRETARY:

25. House Bill 265.

26. (Secretary reads title of bill)

27. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

29. Are there amendments from the Floor?

30. SECRETARY:

31. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Sangmeister.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

33. Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

1. Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. This
2. adds into this bill, the crime of threatening public officials, the
3. Habitual Criminal Act, ...in..and one judge substitution, which
4. were previous bills which we had in this General Assembly
5. that we passed over to the House, and would ask for adoption
6. of that amendment.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

8. Is there discussion? Question is, shall Amendment No...
9. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 265 be adopted. Those in favor
10. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it.
11. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. The Chair overlook the fact that
12. Senator Savickas was here...

13. SECRETARY:

14. Amendment No. 2...

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

16. Just a moment. I'm sorry, proceed.

17. SECRETARY:

18. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Sangmeister.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SEANTOR DONNEWALD)

20. Senator Sangmeister.

21. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

22. I will withdraw now all the following amendments that I
23. filed.

24. SECRETARY:

25. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Grotberg.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

27. Senator Grotberg.

28. SENATOR GROTBORG:

29. Withdraw the amendment, would you Mr. Secretary.

30. SECRETARY:

31. No further amendments.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

33. Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

1. No further amendments.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

3. 3rd reading. House Bill 202. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

4. SECRETARY:

5. House Bill 202.

6. (Secretary reads title of bill)

7. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Local Government

8. offers two amendments.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

10. Senator Savickas.

11. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

12. Yes, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 would limit the exercise

13. of a township's explicit environmental protection and public health

14. and recreational under the bill to the relevant provisions contained

15. in the present law, and Amendment No. 1, subject to approval by town-

16. ship electors at a township meeting, a township's exercise of its ex-

17. plicit authority under the bill to provide police, fire, rescue, public

18. transit, mental health, and aid to the elderly services. I would

19. move adoption of both Amendment 1 and 2.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Question is, shall...is there discussion as to Amendment No.

22. 1? Question is, shall Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 202 be

23. adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed.

24. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. As to Amendment

25. No. 2 to House Bill 202, is there discussion? Question is, shall

26. Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 202 be adopted. Those in favor

27. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it.

28. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

29. SECRETARY:

30. No further committee amendments.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. Are there amendments from the Floor?

33. SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
2. 3rd reading. House Bill 292, Senator Lemke. Read the
3. bill, Mr. Secretary.
4. SECRETARY:
5. House Bill 292.
6. (Secretary reads title of bill)
7. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
9. Are there amendments from the Floor?
10. SECRETARY:
11. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Lemke.
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
13. Senator Lemke.
14. SENATOR LEMKE:
15. I move for the adoption of the amendment. This takes away...
16. away the subpoena power.
17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
18. Is it that bad? You may proceed.
19. SENATOR LEMKE:
20. This takes away the subpoena powers of the fire marshals.
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
22. Is there discussion? Question is, shall Amendment No.
23. 1 to House Bill 292 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by
24. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1
25. is adopted. Are there further amendments?
26. SECRETARY:
27. No further amendments.
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
29. 3rd reading. House Bill 523, Senator Bruce. House Bill
30. 599, Senator Hall. Do you wish the bill read Senator? We have
31. to have leave. The amendments...the Secretary informs me that
32. the amendments are on the Desk. Do you wish to proceed, Senator?
33. Do you wish to proceed? All right, House Bill 673, Senator
Washington. 673. Read the bill...Senator Washington.

1. SENATOR WASHINGTON:
2. Mr. President, if we pass this for ten minutes could
3. we go back to it?
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
5. Do we have leave?
6. SENATOR WASHINGTON:
7. Thank you, very much.
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
9. House Bill 853, Senator Bruce. House Bill 893, Senator
10. Washington. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
11. SECRETARY:
12. House Bill 893.
13. (Secretary reads title of bill)
14. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Public Health, Welfare
15. and Corrections offers two amendments.
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
17. Are there amendments from the Floor? Are there amendments
18. from the Floor?
19. SECRETARY:
20. Two committee amendments.
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
22. Well, I'm sorry. It doesn't indicate. I'm sorry. Senator
23. Washington.
24. SENATOR WASHINGTON:
25. On Amendment No. 1, I'm going to move to Table it, Mr. President
26. because there's a defect and I'll offer another amendment that
27. was on the Floor to replace it. So I move to Table Committee
28. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 893.
29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
30. Senator Washington moves to Table...Committee Amendment
31. No. 1. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed.
32. The Ayes have it. The motion carries. Senator Washington, as to
33. Amendment No. 2.
SENATOR WASHINGTON:

1. It's a...technical amendment, I move its adoption.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

3. Is there discussion? Question is, shall Amendment No.
4. 2 to House Bill 893 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by
5. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.
6. 2 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

7. SECRETARY:

8. No further committee amendments.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

10. Are there amendments from the Floor?

11. SECRETARY:

12. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Washington.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

14. Senator Washington.

15. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

16. Amendment No. 3, replaces the defective Amendment No. 1
17. which we just Tabled. I move its adoption.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. Is there discussion? Question is, shall Amendment No. 3
20. to House Bill 893 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying
21. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted.
22. Are there further amendments?

23. SECRETARY:

24. Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Savickas.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

26. Senator Savickas.

27. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

28. Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. The Chicago
29. Health Service Agency's opposition to this bill is based on
30. three very basic points. One, the legislation would require
31. a time consuming annual redesignation process that duplicates
32. three, two State and one Federal evaluations. The proposed
33. annual review also raises the possibility that the use of
State funds to match Federal grants could be delayed or prohibited.

1. The next opposition is that this bill limits reviews of
2. some types of hospital expansion projects, and if this
3. bill passes, the appropriateness review process would be
4. limited to area-wide consideration rather than specific
5. hospital by hospital projects, and third, the bill sets
6. an unrealistic short forty-five day time period for public
7. review of some hospital expansion or improvement projects.
8. This amendment seeks to rectify these areas and I would move
9. that...its adoption.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. Is there discussion? Senator Schaffer.

12. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

13. Mr. President, and members of the Senate. This amendment
14. is opposed by the State Department of Public Health, and the
15. Illinois Hospital Association. One of the principal objections
16. we have is the removal of the forty-five day review period,
17. maximum. Frankly, these HSA's, can drag out almost everything,
18. and without some sort of deadline to put their feet to the
19. fire, well, we'll be lucky to get the windows repainted in the
20. hospitals in this century. I don't think forty-five days is
21. unreasonable, these things move along for months before they
22. even get to the review process. These HSA's are funded and staffed
23. rather adequately at the Federal level, in fact, maybe more than
24. they ought to be, and I think they've got the wherewithal to respond.
25. This amendment effectively guts the bill and turns it into an
26. anti-industry bill, and I don't think either the sponsor or...I
27. am lead co-sponsor, want it, and I would appreciate a rejection
28. of this amendment.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

30. Is there further discussion? Senator Washington.

31. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

32. I think Senator Schaffer stated the case against this
33. amendment very well. It would totally gut the bill, and it
would render the bill so useless that if this amendment goes on

1. we're prepared to Table the entire bill. That would be un-
2. fortunate, because it's needed, but this...these three categories
3. which, Senator Savickas described which would effectively
4. destroy this bill, simply will not be accepted, and if it's...
5. are accepted then we will Table this bill. I move that we vote
6. No on the motion to adopt Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 893.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

8. Senator Savickas.

9. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

10. Yes, Mr. President. In closing I would suggest that
11. the Federal...the Federal requirements in this area are a
12. hundred and twenty days, and I would also suggest that this
13. bill would force unnecessary paper work, and it could jeopardize
14. Federal funding because a possibility exists that this
15. legislation would make the HSA program regulations in non-
16. concurrence with the Federal guidelines. So I would ask for
17. the adoption of Amendment No. 4.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. All right, the question is, shall Amendment No. 4 to
20. House Bill 893 be adopted. Those in favor vote Aye. Those
21. opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all those voted who
22. wish? Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. On
23. that question the Ayes are 15, the Nays are 35. Amendment
24. No. 4, fails. Are there further amendments?

25. SECRETARY:

26. No further amendments.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

28. 3rd reading. House Bill 1168, Senator Geo-Karis. House
29. Bill 1170. House Bill 1171. House Bill 1172, Senator Berning.
30. You wish to call the bill? House Bill 1177, Senator Bloom. 1177.
31. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

32. SECRETARY:

33. House Bill 1177.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
2. offers one amendment.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

4. Senator Carroll.

5. SENATOR CARROLL:

6. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
7. Senate. This appropriation bill, we applied our seven per-
8. cent solution, additionally the House had added a substantial
9. number of employees. We have with agreement of the House
10. sponsor who had added this amendment, and with the commission,
11. reduced that request of additional employees by half. This
12. has met with the accord of the commission, and I would move
13. adoption of Amendment No. 1.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. Is there discussion? Question is, shall Amendment No. 1
16. to House Bill 1177 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying
17. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is
18. adopted. Are there further amendments?

19. SECRETARY:

20. No further committee amendments.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

22. Are there amendments from the Floor?

23. SECRETARY:

24. No Floor amendments.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

26. 3rd reading. House Bill 1190, Senator Nash. House Bill
27. 1205, Senator Demuzio. You wish the bill called, Senator? Read
28. the bill, Mr. Secretary.

29. SECRETARY:

30. House Bill 1205.

31. (Secretary reads title of bill)

32. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II
33. offers one amendment.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. Senator Carroll.

3. SENATOR CARROLL:

4. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of
5. the Senate. This, as we did with the House Bills dealing with
6. damage to fair property during the Winter, adds in those
7. other fairs who would have otherwise been in separate bills.
8. Total of four hundred and fifty-three thousand for these
9. emergency repairs, and I would move adoption of Committee
10. Amendment No. 1.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

12. Is there discussion? Question is, shall Amendment No.
13. 1 to House Bill 1205 be adopted. Those in favor indicate
14. by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment
15. No. 1 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

16. SECRETARY:

17. No further committee amendments.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. Are there amendments from the Floor?

20. SECRETARY:

21. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Buzbee.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. Senator Carroll.

24. SENATOR CARROLL:

25. Thank you, there was some confusion in committee over
26. the Logan County fair. The department had not gotten sufficient
27. information at that time to deal with some of these fairs, and
28. this adds the three more, for fifty-seven thousand one hundred
29. dollars, and I would move adoption of Amendment NO. 2.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

31. Is there discussion? Question is, shall Amendment No. 2
32. to House Bill 1205 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying
33. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is
adopted. Are there further amendments?

1. SECRETARY:

2. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator McMillan.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

4. Senator McMillan.

5. SENATOR CARROLL:

6. I can handle it for him.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

8. All right, Senator Carroll.

9. SENATOR CARROLL:

10. On behalf of Senator McMillan, this is for the Warren
11. County fair, to replace the cattle barn, destroyed by fire
12. on June 4th, 1979. Again this is what we have done to all
13. of these, and on behalf of Senator McMillan I would move
14. adoption of Amendment No. 3.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

16. Is there discussion? Those in favor indicate...those in
17. favor of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 1205 indicate by saying
18. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is
19. adopted. Are there further amendments?

20. SECRETARY:

21. No further amendments.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. 3rd reading. House Bill 1288, Senator Nega. Read the bill,
24. Mr. Secretary.

25. SECRETARY:

26. House Bill 1288.

27. (Secretary reads title of bill)

28. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers
29. one amendment.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

31. Senator Carroll.

32. SENATOR CARROLL:

33. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
Senate. This would reduce the appropriation to last year's funding

APC 1314
2 am
6-23-77

1. level, and I would adoption of Amendment No. 1.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

3. Is there discussion? Question is, shall Amendment No.

4. 1 to House Bill 1288 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by

5. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.

6. 1 is adopted. Are there further committee amendments?

7. SECRETARY:

8. No further committee amendments.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

10. Are there amendments from the Floor?

11. SECRETARY:

12. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Rupp.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

14. Senator Rupp. Amendment No. 2. Senator Carroll.

15. SENATOR CARROLL:

16. Senator Rupp has asked apparently in a verification of

17. the figures, there's a further reduction necessary to bring

18. them down to the FY 79' spending level, and on behalf of

19. Senator Rupp, I move Amendment No. 2.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Is there discussion? Question is, shall Amendment No.

22. 2 to House Bill 1288 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by

23. saying Aye. Those opposed Nay. Amendment No. 2 is adopted.

24. Are there further amendments?

25. SECRETARY:

26. No further amendments.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

28. 3rd reading. House Bill 1319, Senator...Senator Newhouse.

29. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

30. SECRETARY:

31. House Bill 1319.

32. (Secretary reads title of bill)

33. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. Are there amendments from the Floor?

2. SECRETARY:

3. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Newhouse.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

5. Senator Newhouse.

6. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

7. Thank you, Mr. President, and Senators. This amendment
8. does two things , it reduces the amount of the...of the Public
9. Aid Appropriation from two million, one hundred and forty thousand
10. to two million eighty-two thousand, and it adds in fifty-eight
11. million dollars for the cost of living increase at a seven
12. percent level, I move its adoption.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

14. Is there discussion? Question is, shall Amendment No.
15. 1 to House Bill 1319 be adopted. Those in favor indicate
16. by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment
17. No. 1 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

18. SECRETARY:

19. No further committee amendments.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Are there amendments from the Floor?

22. SECRETARY:

23. No...no Floor amendments.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

25. 3rd reading.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. House Bill 1351, Senator Davidson. Read the bill, Mr.
28. Secretary.

29. SECRETARY:

30. House Bill 1351.

31. (Secretary reads title of bill)

32. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II
33. offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Davidson.

2. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

3. I move the adoption of the Committee Amendment, There's
4. some reduction in the amount of money for salaries and the rent
5. and et cetera, move the adoption of the committee amendment.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. Senator Davidson moves the adoption of Amendment
8. No. 1 to House Bill 1351. Those in favor indicate by
9. saying Aye. Those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amend-
10. ment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

11. SECRETARY:

12. No further committee amendments.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

14. Any amendments from the Floor?

15. SECRETARY:

16. No Floor amendments.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. 3rd reading. House Bill 1408, Senator McMillan. Read the
19. bill, Mr. Secretary.

20. SECRETARY:

21. House Bill 1408.

22. (Secretary reads title of bill)

23. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. Any amendments from the Floor?

26. SECRETARY:

27. No Floor amendments.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

29. 3rd reading. House Bill 1434, Senator Daley. Read the
30. bill, Mr. Secretary.

31. SECRETARY:

32. House Bill 1434.

33. (Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Any amendments from the Floor?

3. SECRETARY:

4. No Floor amendments.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. Yes, yes, yes. Senator we...I've got an indication
7. there's a Floor amendment expected on this.

8. SECRETARY:

9. I don't have the amendment. I don't have an amendment.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

11. Any further amendments?

12. SECRETARY:

13. No further amendments.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. 3rd reading. House Bill 1498, Senator Joyce. House Bill
16. 1531, Senator Carroll. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

17. SECRETARY:

18. House Bill 1531.

19. (Secretary reads title of bill)

20. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
21. offers two amendments.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Senator Carroll.

24. SENATOR CARROLL:

25. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
26. Senate. This is a reduction amendment of some ninety thousand
27. dollars eliminating two new positions, nine month phase-in of the
28. other positions that were requested, and some adjustments for
29. overbudgeting, I would move adoption of Committee Amendment
30. No. 1 .

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is shall
33. Committee Amendment No. 1 be adopted. Those in favor indicate
by voting Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment

1. No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?
2. SECRETARY:
3. Committee Amendment No. 2.
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
5. Senator Carroll.
6. SENATOR CARROLL:
7. Thank you, Mr. President. At the request of the other side
8. of the aisle in our committee, this is a reduction in travel
9. for this office to bring them down to twenty percent over the
10. FY 79' appropriation, I would move adoption of Committee Amendment
11. No. 2.
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
13. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is,
14. shall Committee Amendment No. 2 be adopted. Those in favor
15. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it.
16. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?
17. SECRETARY:
18. No further committee amendments.
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
20. Any amendments from the Floor?
21. SECRETARY:
22. No Floor amendments.
23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
24. 3rd reading. House Bill 1538, Senator Buzbee. Read
25. the bill, Mr. Secretary.
26. SECRETARY:
27. House Bill 1538.
28. (Secretary reads title of bill)
29. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
31. Any amendments from the Floor?
32. SECRETARY:
33. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Shapiro.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Senator Shaprio.

3. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

4. Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

5. Amendment No. 1, adds thirty-four thousand eight hundred dollars,

6. to the bill, in order to pay for the Assistant State's Attorneys

7. in those counties where State senior institution of higher

8. education is located. It's necessary due to the fact that we

9. did pass a substantive bill out of this Body, a House Bill

10. several weeks ago, and I would urge its adoption.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Is there further discussion? If not, those in favor

13. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed Nay. The Ayes have

14. it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

15. SECRETARY:

16. No further amendments.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. 3rd reading. House Bill 1580, Senator Philip. House

19. Bill 1588, Senator Hall. House Bill 1614, Senator Vadalabene.

20. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

21. SECRETARY:

22. House Bill 1614.

23. (Secretary reads title of bill)

24. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II

25. offers one amendment.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Senator Buzbee.

28. SENATOR BUZBEE:

29. No, no, no. Carroll.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Senator Carroll.

32. SENATOR CARROLL:

33. We all look alike. Thank you, Mr. President. Committee

Amendment No. 1, is to cut the two new requested people in the

1. operations of autos, to keep them down to the...no higher
2. than the FY 79' level, and I would move adoption of
3. Amendment No. 1.
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
5. Is there any further discussion? If not, Senator
6. Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House
7. Bill 1614. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those
8. opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted.
9. Any further amendments?
10. SECRETARY:
11. No further committee amendments.
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
13. Any amendments from the Floor?
14. SECRETARY:
15. No Floor amendments.
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
17. 3rd reading. House Bill 1622, Senator Daley. Read the
18. bill, Mr. Secretary.
19. SECRETARY:
20. House Bill 1622.
21. (Secretary reads title of bill)
22. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
24. Any amendments from the Floor?
25. SECRETARY:
26. No Floor amendments.
27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
28. We have an indication...any further amendments?
29. SECRETARY:
30. No ... no Floor amendments.
31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
32. 3rd reading. House Bill 1630, Senator Regner. Read the
33. bill...Senator Regner. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
SECRETARY:

1. House Bill 1630.
2. (Secretary reads title of bill)
3. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations
4. I offers one amendment.
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
6. Senator Regner. Senator Carroll.
7. SENATOR CARROLL:
8. Thank you, Mr. President. This is an amendment that
9. would provide the seven percent solution to the Attorney
10. General's Office and phase-in those new and vacant positions
11. that were now...not on board, and I would move adoption of
12. Amendment No. 1.
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
14. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll
15. moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1630.
16. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The
17. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further
18. amendments?
19. SECRETARY:
20. No further committee amendments.
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
22. Any amendments from the Floor?
23. SECRETARY:
24. No Floor amendments.
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
26. 3rd reading. House Bill 1635, Senator Bloom. House Bill
27. 1636, Senator Nimrod. House Bill 1637, Senator Davidson. Read
28. the bill, Mr. Secretary.
29. SECRETARY:
30. House Bill 1637.
31. (Secretary reads title of bill)
32. 2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers
33. two amendments.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Carroll. Senator Carroll.

2. SENATOR CARROLL:

3. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen
4. of the Senate. This amendment would provide a seven percent
5. solution, the reduction of some five thousand four hundred
6. dollars, I move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll
9. moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1637.
10. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The
11. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Is there leave
12. to go back to House Bill...

13. SECRETARY:

14. Committee...

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. ...1635. Oh, I'm sorry.

17. SECRETARY:

18. Committee Amendment No. 2.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Senator Carroll.

21. SENATOR CARROLL:

22. Why thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of
23. the Senate. It was the decision of the committee that the
24. Sound and Light Show, while a very noteworthy and great event in
25. the City of Springfield, is totally funded by State event...State
26. expenses, six nights a week, and that the number of people who
27. are attending that are about half of what was ever projected, it
28. was the decision of the committee to reduce this to provide
29. full funding for three nights a week, and let the City of Spring-
30. field, if they think the other three nights are worthwhile to
31. provide the funding for the other three nights, and I would move
32. adoption of Amendment No. 2.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Rock.

1. SENATOR ROCK:

2. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
3. Senate. This is an amendment I think we ought to seriously con-
4. sider. I can appreciate the fact that our Appropriation
5. Committee is obviously fiscally conservative, but I think
6. for all of us who have seen this show, that to reduce it down
7. to three nights a week, simply is not in our best interest. I
8. would move to Table Amendment No. 2.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

10. Is there further discussion? If not...question is shall
11. Amendment No. 2 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by
12. saying Aye. Those opposed. Amendment No. 2 fails. Further
13. amendments?

14. SECRETARY:

15. No further committee amendments.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. Any amendment from the Floor?

18. SECRETARY:

19. No Floor amendments.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

21. 3rd reading. Is there leave to go back to the Order of
22. House Bill 1635? Senator Bloom was preoccupied with his discussions,
23. and missed his bill. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. House
24. Bill 1635, Senator Bloom.

25. SECRETARY:

26. House Bill 1635.

27. (Secretary reads title of bill)

28. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
29. offers two amendments.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Senator Carroll.

32. SENATOR CARROLL:

33. I'm sorry. First amendment, Committee Amendment No. 1, cuts
the grants to the Law Enforcement Training Board. This was reached

1. by an agreement between them and the auto-pen after the House
2. had made some additions, I would move adoption of Amendment No.
3. 1.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves
6. the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1635. Those
7. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes
8. have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

9. SECRETARY:

10. Committee Amendment No. 2.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Senator Carroll.

13. SENATOR CARROLL:

14. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
15. Senate. This is the seven percent solution and a reduction in
16. rents. There may be some problems in the rents thing later, but
17. they don't have a lease, Administrative Services has not provided
18. them with one, so we don't know the figures and thought it
19. best to trim the amount until we knew where they were going
20. and how much it was going to cost, I move adoption of Amendment
21. No. 2.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Is there any further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll
24. moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1635. Those
25. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have
26. it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?

27. SECRETARY:

28. No further committee amendments.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. Any amendments from the Floor?

31. SECRETARY:

32. No Floor amendments.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senator Bloom, for what purpose do your rise?

1. SENATOR BLOOM:

2. To make inquiry of the Chairman of the Appropriations
3. Committee. If indeed, Administrative Services finally comes
4. up with a lease, then if this is still within the purview of
5. Chamber, can we bring it back and conform? Thank you. He
6. indicates he would.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. House Bill 1638, Senator Regner. House Bill 1639, Senator
9. Bloom. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

10. SECRETARY:

11. House Bill 1639.

12. (Secretary reads title of bill)

13. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
14. offers three amendments.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Senator Carroll.

17. SENATOR CARROLL:

18. Thank you, Mr. PResident, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
19. Senate. This amendment that reduces the Department of Personnel's
20. FY'80 appropriation by taking out some of the new positions
21. providing the seven percent solution, and there's some discussions
22. still going on with the department as to possible addbacks. We
23. are attempting to resolve their problem. In one position we provided
24. their seven percent solution which ends up being nine percent,
25. and we are still trying to resolve that. I would at this time
26. move adoption of Amendment No. 1, with the understanding from
27. the sponsor that this could be brought back if we can resolve
28. a differences to avoid a conference committee, and then make
29. corrective amendments at that time.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves
32. the...the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1639. Those
33. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have
it. Amendment No. 1. is adopted. Any further amendments?

1. SECRETARY:
2. Committee Amendment No. 2.
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
4. Senator Carroll.
5. SENATOR CARROLL:
6. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
7. Senate. The amendment deletes a new program that was going to
8. be put into the Department of Personnel, which would be a Fellow-
9. ship Program. It was the feeling of the committee that one is
10. probably not needed at all, if it is, it would be more appropri-
11. ately belongs being brought in from the cold, and I would move
12. adoption of Amendment No. 2.
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
14. Is there further discussion? Senator Sommer.
15. SENATOR SOMMER:
16. Mr. President, and members. I...I resist this amendment.
17. This is a Governor's initiative on...on an intern program and why
18. it's being cut I have no idea, but it doesn't seem to be that...
19. that a person would really want to cut this amendment, Senator
20. Carroll.
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
22. Any further discussion? Senator Rock.
23. SENATOR ROCK:
24. Thank you, Mr. President. I stand in support of this
25. amendment. I thought this Governor was opposed to patronage,
26. now he's trying to put in patronage and we're going to cut
27. it out.
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
29. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll
30. moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1639. Those
31. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it.
32. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. A roll call has been requested.
33. A roll call has been requested, on the adoption of Amendment No.
2 to House Bill 1629. Those in favor will indicate by voting Aye.

1. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all
2. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
3. On that question, on the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House
4. Bill 1639, the Ayes are 32, the Nays are 24. The motion
5. having received the majority vote is passed. Any further
6. amendments?

7. SECRETARY:

8. Committee Amendment No. 3.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

10. Senator Carroll.

11. SENATOR CARROLL:

12. This is the twenty-five hundred dollar transfer from the
13. Division of Employee and Labor Relations, of the Division of
14. Administration, for the rental of an automobile. I would move
15. adoption of Amendment No. 3.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves
18. the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 1639. Those in
19. favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have
20. it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Any further amendments?

21. SECRETARY:

22. No...no further committee amendments.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Any amendments from the Floor?

25. SECRETARY:

26. Amendment No. 4, offered by Senator Gitz.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

28. Senator Gitz.

29. SENATOR GITZ:

30. Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Amendment No. 4,
31. would merely shift the funding source for the Group Insurance for
32. Road Fund Employees, from the Road Fund to General Revenue.
33. This would allow us greater amounts of money for the Road Fund
itself.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Is there further discussion? Senator Sommer.

3. SENATOR SOMMER:

4. Mr...or Mr...you're the wrong fellow, that's Nimrod. Mr.
5. President. What this purports to do, is take benefit...benefits
6. that are attributable to Road Fund Employees and fund them with
7. General Revenue. It's something we never do in relation to Road
8. Fund Employees. It's an obvious ill-informed attempt to ship
9. money out of the Road Fund and it's simply is not a step that
10. we've ever taken in relation to...to the legitimate Road Fund
11. expenditures. This has nothing to do with diversions, nothing
12. to do with all that sort of thing and we will resist it.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

14. Is there further discussion? Senator Rock.

15. SENATOR ROCK:

16. Yes, thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen
17. of the Senate. I think now while the purported or hopeful
18. Road Fund is still under discussion, I don't think this amend-
19. ment is in our best interest, and I would urge a No vote.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

21. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Gitz may
22. close the debate.

23. SENATOR GITZ:

24. I would merely say, Mr. President, that the Road Fund,
25. even as renewed by Mayor Byrne, and Governor Thompson,
26. appears to be in a lot of trouble over in the house. Nobody
27. knows exactly what is going to be the outcome of it, but
28. people pillaged the Road Fund for years, and they didn't have
29. any conscience about that. If we want to return some of this
30. money, this is an opportunity to do it, and I would merely
31. close by saying, if there's enough money in this package,
32. apparently to index the State Income Tax, then certainly there
33. must be enough money to take care of the Department of Personnel's
Group Insurance Program, so it's up to you.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. The question is, shall House...Amendment No. 4 be adopted.

3. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed Nay.

4. The Ayes...the Nays have it. Amendment No. 4 is defeated.

5. Any further amendments?

6. SECRETARY:

7. No further amendments.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. 3rd reading. House Bill 1640, Senator Rupp. Read the
10. bill, Mr. Secretary.

11. SECRETARY:

12. House Bill 1640.

13. (Secretary reads title of bill)

14. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
15. offers two amendments.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. Sentor Carroll.

18. SENATOR CARROLL:

19. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
20. Senate. In explaining this amendment, let me take a moment to
21. save time later. The three committees, that we call the...
22. sub-committee which was FEPCEEO in human relations, had set
23. certain guidelines as we had done with other potential re-
24. organizations candidates of the Governor. It was felt that
25. since the Governor was seeking to reorganize these into a new
26. commission, it made sense to hold them to no new employees, no
27. additional rents, nothing new, nine month funding, let the new
28. director come in and make the thrust of this and the other
29. departments, and that is why the committee chose the action it
30. chose. It is not an attempt in any way to say yes or no to
31. any of the programs they may want, the feeling was however that
32. if there was going to be a consolidation hopefully they'll be
33. more effective in government, possibly to save some money. We
should allow the new director to come in, come in in the Fall, and

1. again in the Spring, and adjust the budget accordingly. In
2. this particular case, Committee Amendment No. 1 provides the
3. seven percent solution as we are providing to all State employees
4. and reduce this travel to the FY'79 level, not to allow any
5. increase in travel at this time. I would move adoption of
6. Amendment No. 1.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves
9. the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1640. Those
10. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes
11. have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Senator Carroll.

12. SENATOR CARROLL:

13. Committee Amendment No. 2, Mr. President, is a nine
14. month funding, I had indicated as we did with all the other
15. departments, that had been in the other recognition plan,
16. and I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves
19. the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1640. Those
20. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes
21. have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?

22. SECRETARY:

23. No further committee Amendments.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. Any amendments from the Floor?

26. SECRETARY:

27. No Floor amendments.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

29. 3rd reading. House Bill 1641, Senator Sommer. Read the
30. bill, Mr. Secretary.

31. SECRETARY:

32. House Bill 1641.

33. (Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers

1. two amendments.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3. Senator Carroll.

4. SENATOR CARROLL:

5. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of
6. the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is a seven percent
7. solution and to phase-in certain vacancies, I might add
8. we have been talking to the director of this department, there
9. may be some resolve of some of the problems he may have with
10. this, we may again ask that this be brought back later, but
11. at this time I would move adoption of Committee Amendment
12. No. 1.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

14. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll
15. moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1641.
16. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The
17. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further
18. amendments?

19. SECRETARY:

20. Committee Amendment No. 2.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Senator Carroll.

23. SENATOR CARROLL:

24. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of
25. the Senate. This amendment would reduce contractual services
26. by twenty thousand dollars, which is the amount we are paying
27. to a man in Washington to analyze the Federal programs. Within
28. their operating budgets they were asking for additional people
29. to deal with, both industrial development and to deal with
30. governmental reorganizations in governmental relations. It was
31. felt by the committee that at this time we should take out one
32. of those positions, this was the one chosen. I would move adoption
33. of Amendment No. 2, and as I said there is still ongoing discussions
with Director Glickman as to this.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll
3. moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1641.
4. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The
5. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further
6. amendments?

7. SECRETARY:

8. No further committee amendments.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

10. Any amendments from the Floor?

11. SECRETARY:

12. No Floor amendments.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

14. 3rd reading. House Bill 1642, Senator Mitchler. Read the
15. bill, Mr. Secretary.

16. SECRETARY:

17. House Bill 1642.

18. (Secretary reads title of bill)

19. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
20. offers one amendment.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Senator Carroll.

23. SENATOR CARROLL:

24. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
25. Senate. This amendment provides a seven percent solution phasing
26. in the vacancies. It appropriates one dollar to State Fair
27. Trust Funding, inasmuch, as that has not yet been abolished, and
28. provides money for infrared binoculars, so that the guy who's
29. up there trying to watch out for fires can watch for them at
30. night. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Is there any further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll
33. moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1642. Those
in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have

1. it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?
2. SECRETARY:
3. No further committee amendments.
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
5. Any amendments from the Floor?
6. SECRETARY:
7. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Mitchler.
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
9. Senator Mitchler.
10. SENATOR MITCHLER:
11. Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Senate...Floor
12. Amendment No. 2, is an amendment to the appropriations for the
13. State Fair Agency. Originally this appropriation of a hundred
14. and nine thousand two hundred and fifty dollars, was put in as
15. a separate bill. It's the appropriation for the storm damage
16. at the State Fairgrounds in the last year. It was decided that
17. it would be put in as part of the State Fair Agency regular
18. appropriation, so that's why it's in the form of an amendment
19. rather than a separate bill. I move for adoption of the amendment.
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
21. Is there further discussion? Senator Carroll.
22. SENATOR CARROLL:
23. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
24. Senate. I rise to oppose this amendment. The committee had felt
25. that this was an unnecessary expenditure of State funds. It
26. had not been asked for by the Fair, you know, those of the
27. conservative union who don't like to overspend, this is clearly
28. and add-on to the budget, State Fair was there, they didn't
29. request this moneis, no one else did either. When we had the
30. separate bill, that was not favorably felt by the committee, and...
31. nor was this concept, which is now proposed by, Senator Mitchler,
32. on the Floor. I don't want to overspend what the State Fair
33. wants to spend, and I would urge defeat of the amendment.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Mitchler,
2. moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1642. Those
3. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Noes
4. have it. Amendment No. 2 fails. Any further amendments?

5. SECRETARY:

6. No further amendments.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Senator Mitchler, insists on a roll call. A roll call
9. has been requested, on Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1642.
10. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay.
11. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
12. who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are
13. 14, the Nays are 32. My hearing is all right. The amendment
14. not having...failed to receive a majority is declared lost.
15. Senator Mitchler, for what...

16. SENATOR MITCHLER:

17. Hold it on 2nd reading...don't advance it.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19. Any further amendments?

20. SECRETARY:

21. No further amendments.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. 3rd reading. Well you have...does he have leave to bring
24. it back to the Order of 2nd reading? Leave is granted. The
25. bill, will remain on on the Order of 2nd reading. House Bill 1643,
26. Senator Maitland. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

27. SECRETARY:

28. House Bill 1643.

29. (Secretary reads title of bill)

30. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers
31. one amendment.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Senator Maitland...or, Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

1. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of
2. the Senate. This is a seven percent solution, and the elimination
3. of a program, that the substantive suggestion for the program
4. has been unsuccessful in the House, and therefore everybody
5. had agreed that this money should come out. I would move adoption
6. of Amendment No. 1.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Is there any further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll,
9. moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1643. Those
10. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have
11. it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

12. SECRETARY:

13. No further committee amendments.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Any amendments from the Floor?

16. SECRETARY:

17. No Floor amendments.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19. 3rd reading. House Bill 1644, Senator Schaffer. House
20. Bill 1645, Senator Rupp. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

21. SECRETARY:

22. House Bill 1645.

23. (Secretary reads title of bill)

24. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II
25. offers two amendments.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Senator Buzbee.

28. SENATOR BUZBEE:

29. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1...Amendment
30. No. 1 has the effect of a netted...net reduction of six hundred
31. ten thousand two hundred dollars. It's an addition of one hundred
32. sixty-five thousand four hundred dollars in GRF, and other funds,
33. a reduction of seven seventy-five six hundred for a net re-
duction of six hundred ten thousand two hundred. This reflects

1. the general...the seven percent solution. Genral Office,all
2. GRF is a hundred and sixty-five thousand four hundred dollar
3. increase. Seven...seven percent solution of three thousand
4. dollars. Restores twenty-three thousand for two mine inspectors,
5. plus twenty-eight hundred for retirement and social security.
6. It adds eight thousand dollars for emergency medical training
7. kit for mine safety courses. It adds one hundred and fifty
8. thousand dollars for the department's legal fees, in the surface
9. mine regulation suit, which they had requested, which was not
10. in the budget book...has in the oil and gas division, seven
11. percent solution, of four thousand dollars. Land Reclamation
12. cut seven...seven percent solution...of ten thousand four
13. hundred. Federal Land Reclamation Funds Operations are cut
14. of twenty-five thousand six hundred. Land Reclamation, seven
15. percent solution, is six thousand. EPA, seven percent solution of
16. seventy-eight hundred. Agriculture, seven percent solution
17. forty-five hundred. Conservation, seven percent solution, two
18. thousand dollars, and a phase-in of two positions, for a cut
19. of fifty-four hundred dollars. It reduces seven hundred and
20. fifty thousand dollars for the Federal Service Mine Reclamation
21. Funds, not available yet, and appropriates one dollar for Title
22. IV fund not received, with the understanding that when those
23. funds are received that the funds would then be appropriated
24. on other Federal Fund operations. Makes appropriations to
25. Mines and Minerals rather than to the other agencies, and I
26. would move the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

28. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Buzbee
29. moves the adoption of Amendment No.1 to House Bill 1645.
30. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The
31. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

32. SECRETARY:

33. Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Buzbee.

2. SENATOR BUZBEE:

3. This is a...the...amendment is offered by Senator Regner,
4. which would be for moving expenses from their present office,
5. in the Stratton Office Building, in Springfield, of fifty
6. thousand dollars, and I would move the adoption of Amendment
7. No. 2.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Buzbee, moves
10. the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1645. Those
11. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have
12. it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?

13. SECRETARY:

14. No further committee amendments.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Any amendments from the Floor?

17. SECRETARY:

18. Amendment No. 3, offered by Senator Buzbee.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Senator Buzbee.

21. SENATOR BUZBEE:

22. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment stipulates that
23. the Section C, Federal Surface Mining Control and Reclamation
24. Funds, cannot be expended, until an approved State plan for
25. abandoned...mine reclamation is in effect, and I would move
its adoption.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Buzbee,
28. moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 1645.
29. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The
30. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Any further amend-
31. ments?

32. SECRETARY:

33. No further amendments.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
2. 3rd reading. House Bill 1646, Senator Nimrod. House
3. bill 1647, Senator Shapiro. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
4. SECRETARY:
5. House Bill 1647.
6. (Secretary reads title of bill)
7. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations
8. I offers two amendments.
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
10. Senator Carroll.
11. SENATOR CARROLL:
12. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
13. Senate. Amendment No.1 adds in some people from the
14. cold, at the request finally, of the Office of
15. the Governor, taking the Office of Inter-Agency Cooperation
16. and placing them within the budget of the Office of the
17. Governor. There is a...there maybe a problem on the phase-
18. in of the new positions, we have provided full funding for
19. all existing positions. Had suggested that he phase, as he
20. requires his departments to do. His new positions, they're
21. still ongoing discussions on that. It's all incorproated
22. into this amendmnt, and I would move adoption of this amendment,
23. and I'm sure Senator Shapiro will be bringing it back later, should
24. that other become a problem for us to deal with. I would
25. move adoption of Amendment No. 1.
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
27. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll,
28. moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 16...Senator
29. Rock. All right, if there's no further discussion, Senator Carroll
30. moves the adoption of Amendment No.1 to House Bill 1647. Those
31. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have
32. it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendment?
33. SECRETARY:
Committee Amendment No. 2.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Senator Carroll.

3. SENATOR CARROLL:

4. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of
5. the Senate. It was felt that these had enough interest by
6. members of the committee, to be dealt with by way of a separate
7. amendment. This was the request of the Governor to add a
8. butler, and gardener and a maid to the Mansion's staff, of
9. some thirty-four thousand one hundred dollars in additional
10. people to provide the butlering, gardening, and maiding, at
11. the Mansion. They had assured Senator Chew that there would
12. be a equal opportunity for employment in those three positions,
13. and at the request of the Governor we move adoption of
14. Amendment No. 2.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Is there further discussion? Senator Nash.

17. SENATOR NASH:

18. Question of the sponsor.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Indicate he will yield.

21. SENATOR NASH:

22. Is, Senator Chew applying for this position?

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Senator Carroll.

25. SENATOR CARROLL:

26. Well, in committee there was some indication that the
27. butler, currently on board was black so the new one may be
28. white. The gardener, I'm not sure of, and I don't think he
29. was going to apply for the maids position.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Is there further discussion? Senator Bloom.

32. SENATOR BLOOM:

33. I...I think he was volunteering as chauffeur.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Carroll, moves the adoption of Amendment No.
2. 2 to House Bill 1647. Those in favor indicate by saying
3. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2
4. is adopted. Any further amendments?

5. SECRETARY:

6. No further committee Amendments.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Any amendment from the Floor?

9. SECRETARY:

10. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Shapiro.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Senator Shapiro.

13. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

14. Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
15. What this will...amendment will do will restore all the money
16. that the Governor requested in his budget, by adding twenty-
17. nine thousand, which will fund five employees that are being
18. phased-in under a prior amendment for three months. In other
19. words, they will be employed for the entire twelve months,
20. at a cost...an additional cost of twenty-nine thousand. I
21. would urge its adoption.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Is there...Senator Rock.

24. SENATOR ROCK:

25. Yes, thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen
26. of the Senate. I, too, rise in support of this amendment. I
27. think it should be supported.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

29. Senator Carroll.

30. SENATOR CARROLL:

31. Again, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
32. Senate. I have no problem with support of this amendment
33. the Governor had not originally requested any of these people.
He had them hidden in other departments, we had convinced him

1. Summer was coming, it was time to get them in, and out of
2. the cold. If he wants to put them on July 1st, that's fine.
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
4. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Shaprio,
5. moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 1647.
6. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The
7. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Any further
8. Amendments?
9. SECRETARY:
10. No further amendments.
11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
12. 3rd reading. House Bill 1648, Senator Rupp. Read the
13. bill, Mr. Secretary.
14. SECRETARY:
15. House Bill 1648.
16. (Secretary reads title of bill)
17. 2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers
18. two amendments.
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
20. Senator Carroll.
21. SENATOR CARROLL:
22. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
23. Senate. First amendment is a seven percent solution to the
24. Emergency Services Agency. I move adoption of Amendment No. 1.
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
26. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll
27. moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1648. Those
28. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes
29. have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?
30. SECRETARY:
31. Committee Amendment No. 2.
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
33. Senator Carroll.
SENATOR CARROLL:

1. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of
2. the Senate. This was offered by our colleagues on the other
3. side of the aisle, on the Radiology Emergency Response Plan,
4. something apparently knew that the office is willing to take
5. on emergency services, as we all know has done an outstanding
6. job in all in which they have done, and I would adoption of
7. Amendment No. 2.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll,
10. moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1648.
11. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The
12. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amend-
13. ments?

14. SECRETARY:

15. No further committee amendments.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. Any amendments from the Floor?

18. SECRETARY:

19. No Floor amendments.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

21. 3rd reading. House Bill 1649, Senator Nimrod. House Bill
22. 1652, Senator Grotberg. House Bill 1653, Senator Walsh. House...
23. read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

(END OF REEL)

1. SECRETARY:
2. House Bill 1653.
3. (Secretary reads title of bill)
4. 2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers one
5. amendment.
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
7. Senator Carroll.
8. SENATOR CARROLL:
9. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
10. This is a reduction of six thousand that appears in the contractual
11. line which appeared to be a double budgeted position, somebody was
12. an employee and at one time on contractual and it seemed to be merely an
13. addition error, I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
15. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the
16. adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1653. Those in favor
17. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.
18. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?
19. SECRETARY:
20. No further committee amendments.
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
22. Any amendments from the Floor?
23. SECRETARY:
24. No Floor amendments.
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
26. 3rd reading. House Bill 1655, Senator Bloom. Read the bill,
27. Mr. Secretary.
28. SECRETARY:
29. House Bill 1655.
30. (Secretary reads title of bill)
31. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers
32. one amendment.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- Is...Senator Carroll.

7/15/67
6-15-67

1. SENATOR CARROLL:

2. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

3. This amendment reduces in contractual...money that would have been

4. used for a moving of these offices. These people felt they wanted

5. a conference room. We told them to borrow one of the others from

6. other offices of State Government rather than spending money on

7. moving and rent. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the

10. adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1655. Those in favor

11. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment

12. No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

13. SECRETARY:

14. No further committee amendments.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Any amendments from the Floor?

17. SECRETARY:

18. No Floor amendments.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. 3rd reading. House Bill 1656, Senator Nimrod. House Bill 1657,

21. Senator Sommer. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

22. SECRETARY:

23. House Bill 1657.

24. (Secretary reads title of bill)

25. 2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II offers five

26. amendments.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

28. Senator Buzbee.

29. SENATOR BUZBEE:

30. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 has an effect of a

31. net reduction of four million one hundred ten thousand dollars of

32. which one hundred...rather one million fifty-three eight hundred and

33. fifty is in GRF and the balance is in other funds. It makes reductions

1. in the Land and Historic Sites, Forestry, Law Enforcement, Adminis-
2. trative Services, Planning and Development, Wildlife, Executive Office,
3. and Fisheries to reflect the seven percent solution. Also, in Land
4. and Historic Sites, it phases...four new positions were reduced. In
5. Administrative Services two were phased plus two new EDP positions
6. were phased. In the Forestry Division, three new vehicles were reduced.
7. The following grants and funds...Federal funds were reduced to properly
8. reflect the actual amounts as of June 1, 1979. Salmon Fund, reduced
9. by ninety-three thousand, Capital Development, six hundred and forty-
10. two thousand, State Boating, eleven thousand, Game and Fish, forty-
11. seven thousand for a total of seven hundred ninety-four thousand six
12. hundred dollars in State funds. Federal funds, YCC, twenty-six thousand,
13. Historic Sights, one hundred sixty thousand, Land and Water, a million
14. one hundred forty-nine thousand, Fire Protection, forty-six thousand,
15. HUD Conservation, seventeen thousand for a net reduction...Federal
16. funds of two million two hundred thousand five hundred dollars. House
17. add-ons were all eliminated in this amendment also. Ten thousand
18. dollars for Kankakee River ice breaking, fifty thousand dollars for...a
19. recreation center at Frank Holten State Park, five hundred thousand
20. for urban parks, hundred and fifty thousand dollars for the Rock
21. Island Trail improvements for a total reduction of six hundred and ten
22. thousand which the House in their wisdom had added on and I would now
23. move the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Buzbee moves the
26. adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1657. Those in favor indi-
27. cate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.
28. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

29. SECRETARY:

30. Committee Amendment No. 2.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Senator Buzbee.

33. SENATOR BUZBEE:

1. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment restores the five
2. hundred thousand GRF for the Young Adult Conservation Corps as
3. deleted by the House. This was in the budget. It was requested.
4. It is an effective program, but the House, again in their wisdom,
5. had deleted it and this amendment would restore it. Also realigns
6. Federal funds and various line items requested by the Department
7. with no increase and I would move its adoption.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Buzbee moves
10. the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1657. Those in favor
11. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amend-
12. ment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?

13. SECRETARY:

14. Committee Amendment No. 3.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Senator Buzbee.

17. SENATOR BUZBEE:

18. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment provides for an
19. appropriation of one million one hundred eighty-nine thousand
20. eight hundred dollars from the General Revenue Fund for mitigation
21. of flood and winter damages incurred on lands owned and/or managed
22. by the Department of Conservation. The majority of these projects
23. are eligible for one hundred percent reimbursement by the Federal
24. Disaster Assistance Administration...the Department...and I would
25. move its adoption.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Buzbee moves the
28. adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 1657. Those in favor
29. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment
30. No. 3 is adopted. Any further amendments?

31. SECRETARY:

32. Committee Amendment No. 4.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Buzbee.

2. SENATOR BUZBEE:

3. Mr. President, I think I will defer to Senator Vadalabene on
4. this amendment. It was his amendment as offered in committee...and
5. I did oppose the amendment in committee, but it was passed and so
6. for that reason, I'm going to ask Senator Vadalabene to explain the
7. amendment to appropriate dollars for the Lewis and Clark Heritage
8. Memorial at the Lewis and Clark State Park in Madison County.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

10. Senator Vadalabene.

11. SENATOR VADALABENE:

12. Yes, this is the amendment that puts in a hundred and thirty-
13. six or...I think it's a hundred and thirty-six thousand dollars for
14. the start of the construction of the memorial by the Lewis and Clark
15. Historical Society. This is the...the design and the...and the
16. architectural work was all done by the Department of Conservation.
17. They do not oppose the appropriation in the event that we can get
18. this added onto their...to their appropriation bill. This is a
19. product of fourteen years work and Director Kenney says it's a worth-
20. while product, but it was within one or two items above the cut-off
21. and this is the reason why...it'll be in the high priority list and
22. we feel that it should be under construction by this summer and I
23. move for the adoption of the amendment.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Vadalabene moves
26. the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 1657. Those in favor
27. will indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it.
28. Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Any further amendments?

29. SECRETARY:

30. Committee Amendment No. 5.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Senator Buzbee.

33. SENATOR BUZBEE:

1. Mr. President, this is an amendment that has generated a
2. considerable amount of controversy. It is an interest that Senator
3. Maitland has concerning a historical building in this area, building
4. known as the Long Nine Building and its museum contents. This...
5. price of this building started out at somewhere in excess of four
6. hundred thousand dollars and it's continuously come down. We're
7. down to seventy thousand dollars now. Senator Maitland has agreed
8. that he is going to go with the decision of the Illinois State
9. Historical Society as to its historical worth and whether the State,
10. in fact, should purchase this building. There is a letter coming
11. from that Society tomorrow and Senator Maitland has said if that
12. letter indicates that it should not be purchased, then he would move
13. to Table this amendment, but in the meantime it has been offered, it
14. is on and I think we ought to go ahead and adopt it at this time.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Buzbee moves the
17. adoption of Amendment No. 5 to House Bill 1657. Those in favor
18. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment
19. No. 5 is adopted. Any further amendments?

20. SECRETARY:

21. No further committee amendments.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Any amendments from the Floor?

24. SECRETARY:

25. Amendment No. 6 offered by Senator Kenneth Hall.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Senator Hall.

28. SENATOR HALL:

29. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Mr. Secretary,
30. would you tell me what's the initial on the back of that...this
31. Amendment No. 6?

32. SECRETARY:

33. This one is the one at the urban park in Brooklyn, Illinois.

1. SENATOR HALL:

2. Yes, thank you. This amendment appropriates the sum of five
3. thousand dollars. It's a very small amendment and it has been neces-
4. sary...there is a park in a little urban area there. The Housing
5. Authority has moved over and they have no place for underprivileged
6. kids to play in the small village of Brooklyn and I would ask for
7. the adoption of this amendment. It's only five thousand dollars.
8. Very small.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

10. Any further discussion? Senator Buzbee.

11. SENATOR BUZBEE:

12. I would like to clear up some controversy. This is Brooklyn,
13. Illinois. It's not Brooklyn, New York, but it is...it doesn't make
14. it any better as Senator Carroll said. It's five thousand dollars
15. which the Department has not requested and we have not gone in...the
16. Department of Conservation, in fact, is not in the business of run-
17. ning urban parks. For that...for that reason, I would stand opposed
18. to this amendment.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Hall may close the
21. debate.

22. SENATOR HALL:

23. Well, this is a very vitally needed amount. It's only five
24. thousand dollars we're talking about. It is a little village in
25. Illinois and that...it will be a help to people in that area. Now,
26. I don't know where the...just because the Chairman opposes it, doesn't
27. mean that it's not necessarily needed. I ask for a favorable vote on
28. this five thousand dollars.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. If there's no further discussion, Senator Hall moves the adoption
31. of Amendment No...6 to House Bill 1657. Those in favor indicate by
32. saying Aye. Those opposed. The...roll call has been requested.
33. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting

1. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
2. Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 15. The Nays are
3. 25. None Voting Present. The amendment, having failed to receive
4. a majority, is declared lost. Any further amendments?

5. SECRETARY:

6. Amendment No. 7 offered by Senator Hall.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Senator Hall.

9. SENATOR HALL:

10. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
11. Now, I came in here and I asked for a mere five thousand dollars
12. and I looked at all those red lights. Now, it just seems that you
13. got to ask for a lot of money around here so I'm going to ask for
14. fifty thousand dollars and I don't know where these fellows that are
15. Chairman of these Committees of Appropriation get to be the Great
16. Lord Almighty and decide what goes and what doesn't go on here. The
17. thing is that this...this belongs and I'm asking for...fifty thousand
18. dollars to replace a boathouse in...in the State park. It's a dirty
19. shame, the condition of that park, in Holten State Park. It hasn't
20. been refurbished for years. Money has been appropriated. Now, I
21. know I'm going to hear the same thing from them, but I want you
22. members to know that these two fellows that are opposing this thing
23. just because they are Chairmen of the Appropriation Committee doesn't
24. make it Gospel. I'd ask for a favorable vote of this...

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

26. Is there further discussion? Senator Buzbee.

27. SENATOR BUZBEE:

28. I...I certainly...I certainly concur with Senator Hall in what-
29. ever we say doesn't make it Gospel. We...our opposition to this
30. amendment is the basis of...the same as my opposition to Senator
31. Vadalabene's project while ago, the same reason I'm going to oppose
32. Senator Knuppel's project in a few minutes...because it is unbudgeted
33. money that the Department has not indicated they would be able...that

CS 1357
Am. 2. #8
6/25/77

1. they think they should be able to try to spend this year and that's
2. the only reason for my opposition to this amendment also.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. Is there further discussion? Senator Regner.

5. SENATOR REGNER:

6. I agree with Senator Hall that what Senator Buzbee says isn't
7. Gospel all the time, but I think it is this time.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Hall may close the
10. debate.

11. SENATOR HALL:

12. Well, I'd just like to say...I would just like to say that this
13. little circle that Senator Regner and Senator Carroll and Senator
14. Buzbee have it, doesn't necessarily mean that you have to be governed
15. by what those two...those three want. This is something that...after
16. all, we all belong here to this firm and are we going to let two or
17. three guys decide for us what we pass and what we do not pass? It's
18. time for you to wake up. Now, I ask for your favorable vote for this
19. amendment.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

21. The question is, shall Amendment No. 7 be adopted. Those in
22. favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Noes have it.
23. Amendment No. 7 is lost. Roll call has been requested. Those in
24. favor of adopting Amendment No. 7 will vote Aye. Those opposed
25. Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
26. who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 20. The
27. Nays 28. Amendment No. 7, having failed to receive a majority, is
28. declared lost. Any further amendments?

29. SECRETARY:

30. Amendment No. 8 offered by Senator Netsch.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Senator Netsch.

33. SENATOR NETSCH:

1. Mr. President, Amendment No. 8 would add back one hundred and
2. fifty thousand dollars to the Department of Conservation for
3. improvements on the Rock Island Trail. This is money which had been
4. included by the House in the Department of Conservation budget. It
5. was eliminated in...as a part of Amendment No. 1 by the committee.
6. Could we have some quiet?

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Could we have a little order here? Could we break up these
9. conferences?

10. SENATOR NETSCH:

11. Thank you. I'm aware of the fact that Senator Prescott Bloom
12. does not approve of this amendment and points out that it is con-
13. troversial. I gather that there is some controversy in the area
14. about it, but I would like to point out that there are people through-
15. out the State who feel very much the need for this particular project
16. which is already owned by the State to be further developed, parti-
17. cularly as a bicycle trail, a kind of activity that is not heavily
18. available in the State of Illinois. It is widely supported by the
19. environmental and conservation groups and I would say, Senator Bloom,
20. I have even had communications from people in my own district who
21. have said please help us to make sure that this project is further
22. developed because we who live in the city also want to take advantage
23. of this lovely or about to be lovely area. I would move adoption
24. of Amendment No. 8 to House Bill 1657.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

26. Is there further discussion? Senator Buzbee.

27. SENATOR BUZBEE:

28. Well, I would like to call to the attention of Senator Hall that
29. I am also opposing this amendment not on the basis of anything other
30. than the fact that it is not in the budget. It is an add-on which
31. was added on in the House and which we deleted in the Senate Amendment.
32. Now, this is an extremely controversial issue that we have all
33. had with us for some years now, the Rock Island Trail. I don't think

1. the way to solve this policy decision is by adding on money in the
2. appropriations process. I think that the General Assembly has to
3. address the whole question as to whether we want, in fact, to get
4. involved in that extremely controversial issue. The Department has
5. been working on it for years. They have reached absolutely no
6. resolution to it. Those that are for it are for it and the ones
7. that are against it are against it and that's the way it is and to
8. try to add the money on in the end to express a policy decision
9. seems to me the wrong way to go about it and for that reason I rise
10. in opposition to this amendment and suggest that it be voted down.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. We have three more speakers on this...Senator Bloom, Hall and
13. Knuppel and now Senator Sommer and in that order. Senator Bloom.

14. SENATOR BLOOM:

15. Would the sponsor yield to a question and Mr. President, will
16. you leave my mike on so I can so I can keep her to answer yes or
17. no?

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19. He indicates he will.

20. SENATOR BLOOM:

21. Have you been on the trail, Senator Netsch?

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Senator Netsch.

24. SENATOR NETSCH:

25. The answer is no. I answered before you asked the question.

26. SENATOR BLOOM:

27. Do you know if it is a continuous twenty-six mile strip of land?
28. Do you know whether or not it is?

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. Senator Netsch.

31. SENATOR NETSCH:

32. I know only what I have read with respect to that. It is not...

33. SENATOR BLOOM:

1. That's not answering my question...you know if it is a
2. continuous twenty-six mile...
3. SENATOR NETSCH:
4. There is an...there is an...according to my written material,
5. there is an unconnected part of it.
6. SENATOR BLOOM:
7. Well, as a practical matter, it ceases outside the villages of
8. Dunlap, Princeville, Wyoming and Toulon. Do you understand that
9. this is in many portions an elevated railway embankment over corn-
10. fields. You do understand that? Yes?
11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
12. Your time has expired, Senator. Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead,
13. Senator Bloom.
14. SENATOR BLOOM:
15. All right. All right. Do you know how many township roads it...
16. these...this abandoned railroad right-a-way used to cross, Senator
17. Netsch?
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
19. Well, I cut him off while it was flashing and he had the ten
20. seconds so we'll...we'll give him the courtesy.
21. SENATOR BLOOM:
22. ...and I want the questions answered. Well, he'll let this..
23. this is rather a sensitive matter. Do you know how many township
24. roads it crosses, Senator Netsch?
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
26. Senator, all I did is I cut him off earlier while his light was
27. flashing and he had that...I just extended a courtesy since it was
28. my fault so if you'll answer this question his time will be expired.
29. SENATOR BLOOM:
30. Well, then I'll ask for a second time around.
31. SENATOR NETSCH:
32. No.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Hall.

2. SENATOR HALL:

3. Well, it looks like the hatchet of the big three here again
4. has taken form...the thing that...the biggest mistake we ever made
5. was to allow those two guys to sit together and serve on each other's
6. committee. I tell you, it's a shame to allow things like that to
7. happen. I support your...you, Senator Netsch and let's...let's go
8. forward here. If we are going to allow these fellows to decide what
9. we need for the State, it's time for you to wake up and another thing,
10. when you get to this one minute stuff, if all of us...listen, every
11. time we're on one minute we get one minute for and one minute against,
12. right? Right? Well, from now on, every bill that comes on, put me
13. on one minute for, one minute against.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Senator Knuppel.

16. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

17. I have a quick question. Is this in the budget? Did the
18. Conservation Department ask for this?

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Senator Netsch.

21. SENATOR NETSCH:

22. No.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Senator Knuppel.

25. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

26. ...say...Let me say this, that I think that Senator Hall, for
27. once, is getting very close to the knob of this whole thing. You know, the
28. Department of Conservation does have some nice guys over there like
29. Jim Helfrich and some of them know what the hell is going on and
30. they've kooks over there too, but they want to spend a lot of money
31. changing the road through New Salem State Park and they want to build the
32. Banner Marsh and you know, I think that maybe we just use this money
33. here to buy that trail and make a lot of people happy and they won't

1. have some money to spend somewhere else.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3. Senator Sommer.

4. SENATOR SOMMER:

5. Quickly, Mr. President and members, if you'll remember some
6. landowner around the trail introduced a bill in the House to sell
7. the trail back to themselves. Now, I do not at this time support
8. that kind of move, but the other side then retaliated by adding
9. this money to the budget. It seems to me the intelligent thing to
10. do is do as we did on the Middle Fork, on the Banner Marsh, Senator
11. Knuppel...was it Edgewater Golf Course? We should study this as
12. an issue and come up with a complete recommendation instead of
13. trying to have various groups play games back and forth with...with
14. the budgets at this time.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Is there further discussion? Senator Bloom for the second time.

17. SENATOR BLOOM:

18. All right. She's answered the questions which shows she knows
19. nothing about the project. Now, I'd like to speak to the issue.
20. The fact of the matter is, this trail has a long and troubled...this
21. railroad right-of-way has a long and troubled history and what has
22. not come out in debate is that people have approached the Department
23. of Conservation who...which got this trail through William Rutherford
24. through the Forest Park Foundation ten years ago and said there are
25. lands available along the river and along the Hennepin Trail. I
26. would suggest that here is a situation where the entire northern part
27. of one district is unalterably opposed. I would suggest further that
28. it is not only precedent setting, but absolutely wrong for members to
29. start forcing projects down the trails of districts about which they
30. know nothing. I would strongly urge a No vote.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Senator Netsch may close the debate.

33. SENATOR NETSCH:

1. I concede to Senator Bloom that the issue is controversial,
2. although the...as I count noses both among the legislators from
3. that district, for example, Representative Schraeder and Representative
4. Vonboeckman have supported continuing the trail and have opposed
5. legislation that would have required the sale of it and as I see
6. communications from people in the area, I think that the weight is still
7. on the side of those who would further develop it, but I would also
8. like to point out that this is an issue that is not just a local
9. issue. The development of areas like this can become of enormous
10. State-wide concern and interest and that is what this amendment is
11. attempting to reflect.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. For what purpose does Senator Bloom arise?

14. SENATOR BLOOM:

15. Point of personal privilege.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. What's your...state your point.

18. SENATOR BLOOM:

19. Well, I'd like to point out to the Body that Senator Netsch
20. is unaware of who represents the district or where it is. Represent-
21. ative Vonboeckman is from the 45th and not the 46th district.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Senator Netsch moves the adoption of Amendment No. 8 to House
24. Bill 1657. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote
25. Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
26. who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 17. The
27. Nays are 34. 1 Voting Present. Amendment No. 8, having failed to
28. receive a majority is declared lost. Any further amendments?

29. SECRETARY:

30. No further amendments.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. For what purpose does Senator Buzbee rise?

33. SENATOR BUZBEE:

1. Mr. President, I just wanted to...on a point of personal
2. privilege.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. Well, wait just a minute, Senator. If there are not further
5. amendments, we'll move House Bill 1657 to the Order of 3rd reading.
6. Senator Buzbee, state your point.

7. SENATOR BUZBEE:

8. Thank you, on a point of personal privilege, Mr. President, I
9. just wanted to point out to Senator Hall that I think he's kind of
10. hurting Senator Sommer's feelings because it's really...it's really
11. four, the big four, Senator Hall, not the big three. That's a...

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. Senator Hall, for what purpose do you rise?

14. SENATOR HALL:

15. Well, to the Honorable Senator Sommer, the reason I did not
16. include you, Senator, is because you very...you acquiesced to my
17. request, so since it went down I will now include you among the
18. big four.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. House Bill 1682, Senator Netsch. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

21. SECRETARY:

22. House Bill 1682.

23. (Secretary reads title of bill)

24. 2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers two
25. amendments.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Senator Netsch...or Senator Carroll.

28. SENATOR CARROLL:

29. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
30. The committee has ordained that the two vacancies be phased-in and
31. that there be...three vacancies, excuse me, and I would move adoption
32. of Amendment No. 1.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1
2. to House Bill 1682. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor
3. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The
4. amendment is adopted. Further amendments?
5. SECRETARY:
6. Committee Amendment No. 2.
7. PRESIDENT:
8. Senator Carroll.
9. SENATOR CARROLL:
10. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
11. Senate. This is an increase of two thousand dollars to allow them
12. to hook up the National Conference on State Legislatures computer
13. system which is different than the one we use here. We, therefore,
14. have to provide some additional money to hook into that computer
15. and I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.
16. PRESIDENT:
17. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to
18. House Bill 1682. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor
19. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment
20. is adopted. Further amendments?
21. SECRETARY:
22. No further committee amendments.
23. PRESIDENT:
24. Any amendments from the Floor?
25. SECRETARY:
26. No Floor amendments.
27. PRESIDENT:
28. 3rd reading. 1776, Senator Knuppel. On the Order of House Bills
29. 2nd reading, House Bill 1776. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.
30. SECRETARY:
31. House Bill 1776.
32. (Secretary reads title of bill)
33. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

1. PRESIDENT:
2. Any amendments from the Floor?
3. SECRETARY:
4. No Floor amendments.
5. PRESIDENT:
6. 3rd reading. 1902, Senator Lemke. On the Order of House Bills
7. 2nd reading is House Bill 1902. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
8. SECRETARY:
9. House Bill 1902.
10. (Secretary reads title of bill)
11. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
12. PRESIDENT:
13. Any amendments from the Floor?
14. SECRETARY:
15. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Lemke.
16. PRESIDENT:
17. Senator Lemke.
18. SENATOR LEMKE:
19. This is to conform the appropriation bill to the substantive bill
20. of 1901 which takes out the word tuition which is requested by
21. Senator Davidson. I ask for its adoption.
22. PRESIDENT:
23. Senator Lemke has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to
24. House Bill 1902. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor
25. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment
26. is adopted. Further amendments?
27. SECRETARY:
28. No further amendments.
29. PRESIDENT:
30. 3rd reading. 1916, Senator Demuzio. 1997, Senator Daley. 2004,
31. Senator Lemke. 2098, Senator Regner. 2152, Senator Hall. 2201,
32. Senator Berman. On the Order of House Bills 2nd reading, top of page
33. 35, House Bill 2201. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

1. SECRETARY:
2. House Bill 2201.
3. (Secretary reads title of bill)
4. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one
5. amendment.
6. PRESIDENT:
7. Senator Buzbee.
8. SENATOR BUZBEE:
9. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 reduces the appropri-
10. ation for general State aid by one million five hundred ninety-seven
11. thousand dollars. This reduction reflects revised IOE estimates of
12. the appropriation required to fully fund the formula revisions
13. provided by House Bill 513. The appropriation approved by the House
14. was based on IOE computer projection which included all school districts
15. in the reduction in qualifying tax rates and to calculate each district's
16. State aid. House Bill 513, however, provides a reduction qualifying
17. rates for the purpose of calculating State aid to those districts
18. with tax rates at or above the maximum non-referendum tax rate. The
19. reduction for summer school payment reflects revised estimates for
20. IOE regarding appropriations required to reimburse local school
21. districts for summer school and I would move its adoption.
22. PRESIDENT:
23. Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to
24. House Bill 2201. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor
25. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment
26. is adopted. Further amendments?
27. SECRETARY:
28. No further committee amendments.
29. PRESIDENT:
30. Any amendments from the Floor?
31. SECRETARY:
32. No Floor amendments.
33. PRESIDENT:
- 3rd reading. Senator Daley is back on the Floor. On the Order

1. of House Bills 2nd reading is House Bill 1997. Read the bill, Mr.
2. Secretary.
3. SECRETARY:
4. House Bill 1997.
5. (Secretary reads title of bill)
6. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
7. PRESIDENT:
8. Any amendments from the Floor?
9. SECRETARY:
10. No Floor amendments.
11. PRESIDENT:
12. 3rd reading. Top of page 35, House Bill 2202, Senator Buzbee.
13. On the Order of House Bills 2nd reading is House Bill 2202. Read
14. the bill, Mr. Secretary.
15. SECRETARY:
16. House Bill 2202.
17. (Secretary reads title of bill)
18. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
19. PRESIDENT:
20. Any amendments from the Floor?
21. SECRETARY:
22. No Floor amendments.
23. PRESIDENT:
24. 3rd reading. 2282, Senator Berman. On the Order of House Bills
25. 2nd reading is House Bill 2282. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
26. SECRETARY:
27. House Bill 2282.
28. (Secretary reads title of bill)
29. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
30. PRESIDENT:
31. Any amendments from the Floor?
32. SECRETARY:
33. No Floor amendments.

HB 2377
2nd Reading
6-25-79

- 1. PRESIDENT:
- 2. 3rd reading. 2377, Senator Maitland. On the Order of House
- 3. Bills 2nd reading is House Bill 2377. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
- 4. SECRETARY:
- 5. House Bill 2377.
- 6. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 7. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
- 8. PRESIDENT:
- 9. Any amendments from the Floor?
- 10. SECRETARY:
- 11. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Maitland.
- 12. PRESIDENT:
- 13. Senator Maitland.
- 14. SENATOR MAITLAND:
- 15. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
- 16. Amendment No. 1 incorporates into the bill House Bill 1118 and some
- 17. of the provisos in that amendment include, .provides for non-resident
- 18. turkey hunting permits and permits units of local government to control
- 19. local rodent problems and limits free hunting permits to disabled
- 20. residents among other things and I move for its adoption.
- 21. PRESIDENT:
- 22. Senator Maitland has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to
- 23. House Bill 2377. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor
- 24. signify by saying Aye. All...Take it out of the record. We'll get
- 25. back to that. 2425, Senator Schaffer. 2426, Senator Sommer. On
- 26. the Order of House Bills 2nd reading, House Bill 2426. Read the
- 27. bill, Mr. Secretary.
- 28. SECRETARY:
- 29. House Bill 2426.
- 30. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 31. 2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers two
- 32. amendments.
- 33. PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Carroll.

2. SENATOR CARROLL:

3. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
4. Senate. This was the request of CDB to delete projects in the
5. reappropriation bill that have been completed and to make tech-
6. nical language changes. I would move adoption of Committee
7. Amendment No. 1.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment
10. No. 1 to House Bill 2426. Is there any discussion? If not, all
11. in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.
12. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

13. SECRETARY:

14. Committee Amendment No. 2.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Senator Carroll.

17. SENATOR CARROLL:

18. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
19. Senate. In an attempt to accommodate East St. Louis they need
20. to have a language change to allow them to include durable movable
21. and in an attempt to accommodate East St. Louis, I would move
22. adoption of Amendment No. 2.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to
25. House Bill 2426. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor
26. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment
27. is adopted. Further amendments?

28. SECRETARY:

29. No further committee amendments.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Are there any amendments from the Floor?

32. SECRETARY:

33. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Carroll.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Carroll.

3. SENATOR CARROLL:

4. Would you...is this on page 64? Which one is this, if you
5. don't mind? Is that the biggy? Okay.

6. SECRETARY:

7. ...Right...page 1, line 20.

8. SENATOR CARROLL:

9. This one, Mr. President, reduces the reappropriation to take
10. into account the spending since February 28th to date, again with
11. the Department's approval to bring it down to current levels and
12. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 3.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to
15. House Bill 2426. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor
16. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The
17. amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

18. SECRETARY:

19. Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Carroll.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Senator Carroll.

22. SENATOR CARROLL:

23. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
24. This deletes site improvements, etc., which are no longer needed due
25. to a change in the scope of this particular project and I would move
26. adoption of Amendment No. 4.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to
29. House Bill 2426. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor
30. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment
31. is adopted. Further amendments?

32. SECRETARY:

33. No further amendments.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. 3rd reading. Senator Washington, for what purpose do you arise?

3. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

4. Some hour ago, I had leave to go back to 673 after the expiration
5. of ten minutes and I ask leave to go back to 673 now. I was given
6. leave by the House.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. You can have leave of the House all week. It won't do you any
9. good over here.

10. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

11. Leave...Leave of the Senate, Mr. President, and you're not the
12. Speaker either.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Is leave granted? We'll go back to page 31. On the Order of
15. House Bills 2nd reading is House Bill 673. Read the bill, Mr.
16. Secretary.

17. SECRETARY:

18. House Bill 673... House Bill 673.

19. (Secretary reads title of bill)

20. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments...no committee amend-
21. ments.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Any amendments from the Floor?

24. SECRETARY:

25. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Washington.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Senator Washington.

28. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

29. Mr...President, Amendment No. 1 offers an alternate...establishes
30. an alternate funding mechanism for the Fair Employment Practice
31. Commission. I move its adoption.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Senator Washington has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1

1. to House Bill 673. Is there any discussion? Senator Schaffer.
2. SENATOR SCHAFFER:
3. We're just kind of curious what the alternate funding mechanism
4. is. It probably could be very creative.
5. PRESIDENT:
6. Senator Washington.
7. SENATOR WASHINGTON:
8. It is very creative. This bill came up on 3rd. It had 29 votes.
9. It went to Postponed. We never got to it. I submit to you honestly
10. and candidly that there were six other people who would have voted
11. for it who were not present. It is being offered as an amendment to
12. this bill and I move its adoption. It came out of committee. It
13. was voted on. We were one vote short.
14. PRESIDENT:
15. All right. Senator Washington has moved the adoption of Amendment
16. No. 1. Wait just a minute...to House Bill 673. Any further discussion?
17. Senator Philip.
18. SENATOR PHILIP:
19. Will the sponsor yield for a question?
20. PRESIDENT:
21. He indicates he will yield. Senator Philip.
22. SENATOR PHILIP:
23. We got the explanation of what happened to it, but we still
24. haven't got the explanation of exactly what it does.
25. PRESIDENT:
26. Senator Washington.
27. SENATOR WASHINGTON:
28. It provides that anyone who does business with the State of
29. Illinois by way of a contractual arrangement if the contract is in
30. excess of one hundred thousand dollars they will be surcharged one
31. tenth of one percent for the purposes of administering the Public
32. Contracts Section of the Fair Employment Practice Division. It has
33. the agreement of the administration. It was passed through committee.

1. As I indicated, it got twenty-nine votes. There were six members of
2. this Body who indicated they wanted to vote for it who were not on
3. the Floor because we started early. I think in light of those
4. circumstances, it's due or should be given a second shot.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Senator Philip.

7. SENATOR PHILIP:

8. Thank you, Mr. President. You know it's been...this proposal
9. has been defeated once. If the administration is for it, this side
10. of the aisle certainly hasn't had any indication at all.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Further discussion? Senator Davidson...all right. Senator
13. Washington has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill
14. 673. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by
15. saying Aye. All opposed. Roll call has been requested. Senator
16. Washington has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill
17. 673. Roll call has been requested. Those in favor of the amendment
18. will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open.
19. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
20. who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 23.
21. The Nays are 23. None Voting Present. The amendment fails. Further
22. amendments?

23. SECRETARY:

24. No further amendments.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. 3rd reading. 2428. Senator Sommer, for what purpose do you
27. rise?

28. SENATOR SOMMER:

29. Mr. President, might I have leave to get back to this? Senator
30. Weaver has been called down to the Governor's Office and he had some
31. amendments for this bill.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. On 2427?

SENATOR SOMMER:

AB 2563
2nd reading
6-25-79

1. On 2427.
2. PRESIDENT:
3. Yes. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. 2428, Senator
4. Shapiro. Senator Shapiro.
5. SENATOR SHAPIRO:
6. Mr. President, I'd like to seek leave to call this bill when
7. the approp bill comes through and take them together.
8. PRESIDENT:
9. You have heard the request. Is leave granted? Leave is
10. granted. 2486, Senator Daley. 2563, Senator Egan. On the Order
11. of House Bills 2nd reading is House Bill 2563. Read the bill, Mr.
12. Secretary.
13. SECRETARY:
14. House Bill 2563.
15. (Secretary reads title of bill)
16. 2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Revenue offers one amendment.
17. PRESIDENT:
18. Senator Egan.
19. SENATOR EGAN:
20. Yes, I would like to move to Table the Committee Amendment. I
21. have an amendment that does what the intent of that amendment did
22. when we adopted it in the committee. I would like to...I would then
23. move to Table Amendment No. 1 and offer Amendment No. 2.
24. PRESIDENT:
25. Senator Egan has moved to Table Committee Amendment No. 1 to
26. House Bill 2563. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor
27. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment
28. is Tabled. Further amendments?
29. SECRETARY:
30. No further committee amendments.
31. PRESIDENT:
32. Are there any amendments from the Floor?
33. SECRETARY:

1. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Egan.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Senator Egan.

4. SENATOR EGAN:

5. Yes, than you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. This
6. bill has erupted some concern from many of the local taxing districts
7. and I am attempting to satisfy all of the problems that they have
8. brought to me through their State Rep and State Senators, many of
9. whom have asked me to look into amending it to satisfy their problem.
10. I think Senate Amendment No. 2 goes pretty far along that way and
11. I'd like to adopt it, leave it sit on 3rd reading with the understanding
12. that if anybody wants it brought back, I'll be more than happy to do
13. so and that will give us a chance to take a look at this amendment.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator Egan has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House
16. Bill 2563. Is there any discussion? Senator McMillan.

17. SENATOR McMILLAN:

18. Question of the sponsor.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Indicates he'll yield.

21. SENATOR McMILLAN:

22. Is this a long, extensive one? Will we get back to 2nd reading?
23. Could it be left on 2nd and then moved? You'll pull it back? All
24. right. Okay.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Further discussion? Senator Martin.

27. SENATOR MARTIN:

28. Does the amendment address the problems of bonds already passed
29. by referendum?

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Further discussion? Senator Maragos.

32. SENATOR MARAGOS:

33.

1. Will the sponsor yield to a question?

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Indicates he will yield. Senator Maragos.

4. SENATOR MARAGOS:

5. Senator Egan, how does this differ from the amendment we

6. adopted in committee on this matter? Can you explain it to me?

7. What were the concerns that the downstate...Senators had?

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Egan.

10. SENATOR EGAN:

11. Well, this, for example, does one thing that that amendment did

12. not. It includes the levies to retire bond issues approved by

13. referendum whether the referendum was approved before or after

14. the effective date of the Act. That...that was one of the major

15. problems that they had downstate. This addresses that problem.

16. It's also...it broadens the list of exemptees. There's a few other

17. things it does. If we leave it on 3rd and digest it perhaps we can

18. pass it and if not, why, I'll bring it back to 2nd again and amend

19. it.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Further discussion? Senator Gitz.

22. SENATOR GITZ:

23. Just a brief question of the sponsor.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Indicates he'll yield. Senator Gitz.

26. SENATOR GITZ:

27. Senator Egan, perhaps you can edify me. The school district in

28. Boone County, for example, was doing health, life, safety work.

29. They said whenever there was a referendum that Chapman and Cutler

30. would not allow them to proceed even if they had a favorable

31. referendum. Am I to understand in those cases where they are

32. making improvements that before they had a levy which was not

33. subject to a referendum that that would be cured by this amendment?

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Egan.

3. SENATOR EGAN:

4. Well, it's my understanding that Chapman and Cutler was the
5. one that recommended that language which I've put in this amendment.
6. It should satisfy them with this.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Senator Gitz.

9. SENATOR GITZ:

10. Thank you, Mr. President. No further questions.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Is there any further discussion? Senator Egan has moved the
13. adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2563. All in favor signify
14. by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is
15. adopted. Any further amendments?

16. SECRETARY:

17. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Weaver.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Well, Senator Weaver is not on the Floor, Senator Egan. I
20. wonder if we can get back to this. Senator Weaver has filed a
21. couple of amendments and he's simply not present. He's busy about
22. other things. Yes, Senator McMillan.

23. SENATOR McMILLAN:

24. Those two amendments should be withdrawn. I apologize.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. All right. The amendments are withdrawn. Any further amendments?

27. SECRETARY:

28. No further amendments.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. 3rd reading. Channel 20 has requested leave to film the
31. proceedings as has WAND TV. Is leave granted? Leave is granted.
32. 2569, Senator Bruce. 2574, Senator Bloom. On the Order of House
33. Bills 2nd reading, House Bill 2574. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

1. SECRETARY:
2. House Bill 2574.
3. (Secretary reads title of bill)
4. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers
5. three amendments.
6. PRESIDENT:
7. Senator Carroll.
8. SENATOR CARROLL:
9. Thank you, Mr. President. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate,
10. that we have attempted to resolve the problems with R and E and
11. through this and various Floor amendments I believe we have met
12. with their approval on the actions we will be taking. Amendment No.
13. 1 is a reduction amendment for the seven percent solution and transfers
14. the general office expenses into general office. They'd had them
15. spread out through their various divisions. I would move adoption
16. of Amendment No. 1.
17. PRESIDENT:
18. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to
19. House Bill 2574. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor sig-
20. nify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment
21. is adopted. Further amendments?
22. SECRETARY:
23. Committee Amendment No. 2.
24. PRESIDENT:
25. Senator Carroll.
26. SENATOR CARROLL:
27. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
28. This restores some three hundred and twenty-three thousand two
29. hundred dollars in EDP in the Examining Committees and the Real
30. Estate Enforcement, Registration and Medical Disciplinary Boards.
31. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.
32. PRESIDENT:
33. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to

1. House Bill 2574. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor
2. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The
3. amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

4. SECRETARY:

5. Committee Amendment No. 3.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Senator Carroll.

8. SENATOR CARROLL:

9. Thank you. After discussion with the Department, Amendment No.
10. 3 makes no dollar change, but transfers some money from printing
11. to telecommunications. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 3.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to
14. House Bill 2574. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor sig-
15. nify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment
16. is adopted. Further amendments?

17. SECRETARY:

18. No further committee amendments.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Are there any amendments from the Floor?

21. SECRETARY:

22. Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Regner.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Regner.

25. SENATOR REGNER:

26. Yes, Mr. President, after the committee did adopt the amendment
27. ...it came to Senator Carroll's and my attention after discussing it
28. with the people from the Department that there was a true need to...a
29. restoration of one of the new positions cut is a real estate examiner
30. and not wanting to confuse the amendments again, we chose to do it
31. by a separate Floor amendment. What this does, it adds thirteen thousand
32. seven hundred dollars for one more real estate examiner. That in-
33. cludes fringe benefits and everything and I move its adoption.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Regner has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4
3. to House Bill 2574. Is there any discussion? If not, all in
4. favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.
5. The amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?

6. SECRETARY:

7. No further amendments.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. 3rd reading. Senator Bloom, for what purpose do you rise?

10. SENATOR BLOOM:

11. Well, you moved off to 3rd reading. I wondered if I could
12. have leave to perhaps bring this back to see if they might need
13. another real estate examiner.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. I'm sure there's no problem. You can always bring it...you
16. can always bring it back.

17. SENATOR BLOOM:

18. Okay. Thank you, Mr. President.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. 2575, Senator Weaver. 2577, Senator Rupp. On the Order of
21. House Bills 2nd reading, House Bill 2577. Read the bill, Mr.
22. Secretary.

23. SECRETARY:

24. House Bill 2577.

25. (Secretary reads title of bill)

26. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers
27. three amendments.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Senator Buzbee.

30. SENATOR BUZBEE:

31. Thank you, Mr. President.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Senator Carroll. !

1. SENATOR CARROLL:

2. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
3. Committee Amendment No. 1 is a reduction in the Department of Labor's
4. request in...basically in BES. We have been working with that agency
5. throughout the weekend and have basically reached a resolve. I would
6. move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to
9. House Bill 2577. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor
10. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The
11. amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

12. SECRETARY:

13. Committee Amendment No. 2.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator Carroll.

16. SENATOR CARROLL:

17. Thank you. Committee Amendment No. 2 is a break-out of the
18. extra help employees into a separate line and I would move adoption
19. of Amendment No. 2. There is no dollar change.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to
22. House Bill 2577. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor
23. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The
24. amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

25. SECRETARY:

26. Committee Amendment No. 3.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Carroll.

29. SENATOR CARROLL:

30. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
31. This amendment reduces and deletes the Real Estate Division from
32. the Bureau of Employment Security. This is a duplicative function
33. of the Department of Administrative Services and I would move adoption
of Amendment No. 3.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3
3. to House Bill 2577. Is there any discussion? Senator Knuppel.

4. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

5. Well, I don't know. I just received a call a few minutes
6. ago from the Department of Labor saying that...that it wasn't a
7. duplicative...that there was a misunderstanding with reference to
8. this. How about letting it go on and then I'll talk to you further
9. about it. Would you be willing to bring it back and knock it off
10. if, in fact, there is not a duplication?

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Senator Carroll.

13. SENATOR CARROLL:

14. To Senator Knuppel and all others, as I have said, we have
15. worked the Steve Singer, the new Acting Director of this Division
16. all weekend and have attempted to resolve all differences and will
17. continue to do so if there is a problem. I know one employee has
18. been calling that their job might be in jeopardy because of this
19. change. It's our opinion that it is a duplicate function, but
20. that the employee should be protected, etc. We are still working
21. on it and yes, we would bring it back. I would move adoption of
22. the amendment.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3
25. to House Bill 2577. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor
26. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment
27. is adopted. Further amendments?

28. SECRETARY:

29. No further committee amendments.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Are there amendments from the Floor?

32. SECRETARY:

33. No Floor amendments.

40-5627
10-2-79

1. PRESIDENT:
2. 3rd reading. 2578, Senator Schaffer. 2579, Senator Regner.
3. 2649. On the Order of House Bills 2nd reading, House Bill 2649.
4. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
5. SECRETARY:
6. House Bill 2649.
7. (Secretary reads title of bill)
8. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers
9. four amendments.
10. PRESIDENT:
11. Senator Buzbee.
12. SENATOR BUZBEE:
13. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 reduces the approp-
14. riation requested by the State Board of Education for IOE operations
15. in FY '80 by three hundred thirty-four thousand five hundred thirty-
16. five dollars. The fourteen million six hundred seventeen thousand
17. eight hundred and ninety dollars provided for IOE operation provides
18. and increase of five hundred twenty-seven thousand five hundred
19. ninety dollars, a 3.74 percent over estimated expenditures for FY'79
20. We reduced Personal Services to reflect the Senate's seven percent
21. solution, eliminated four positions that have been vacant virtually
22. through all of FY'79. Four additional vacant positions, by the way,
23. were retained. Fifty-three thousand dollars to eliminate three
24. additional positions out of five requested to expand the budget
25. planning functions in the office. Seventy-nine hundred dollars
26. adjustment to Retirement and Social Security. In contractual services
27. a hundred and fifty-six thousand six twenty-five to reflect the
28. the State Board's allocation of the Governor's budget and to provide
29. a ten percent increase in the allocation for professional and
30. artistic services over FY'79 expenditures. Travel, twenty thousand
31. dollars to reflect the State Board's allocation of the Governor's
32. budget. Printing, twenty-one thousand two hundred to reflect the
33. State Board's allocation of the Governor's budget and to provide
- a ten percent increase over the FY'79 appropriation and in equipment,

1. five thousand dollars to reflect the State Board of Education's
2. allocation of the Governor's budget and I would move the adoption
3. of Amendment No. 1.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to
6. House Bill 2649. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor
7. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The
8. amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

9. SECRETARY:

10. Committee Amendment No. 2.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Senator Buzbee.

13. SENATOR BUZBEE:

14. Thank you, Mr. President. This increases the appropriation
15. for the various categorical grant programs by 3.1 million. The
16. specific changes to the appropriations approved by the House are
17. summarized. Adult education, a reduction of one million, gifted
18. children and addition of one million, extraordinary special education,
19. a reduction of one million, private tuition special education and
20. addition of four million, special education, orphanage, a reduction
21. of two million four hundred thousand, bilingual Chicago, an
22. addition of six hundred...rather six million, bilingual downstate,
23. an addition of two million three hundred thousand, pupil transportation,
24. a reduction of one million, lunch, breakfast, a reduction of one
25. million, deseg. assistance, a reduction of five million because the
26. money is not spendable due to the lack of substantive legislation
27. regional superintendents salaries, an addition of six hundred twenty-
28. two thousand, tax equivalent grants, an addition of five hundred
29. seventy-eight thousand for a net increase of three million one hundred
30. thousand dollars and I would move its adoption.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to
33. House Bill 2649. Is there any discussion? Senator Davidson.

1. SENATOR DAVIDSON:
2. Senator Buzbee, Senator Buzbee.
3. PRESIDENT:
4. Indicates he will yield. Senator Davidson.
5. SENATOR DAVIDSON:
6. Is this the amendment that takes that million and a half out
7. of IOE that was to...special education for Department of Corrections?
8. PRESIDENT:
9. Senator Buzbee.
10. SENATOR BUZBEE:
11. That is included in this amendment.
12. PRESIDENT:
13. Senator Davidson.
14. SENATOR DAVIDSON:
15. Well, two questions then. If you remove this from IOE, are you
16. adding that million and a half in Department of Corrections? Secondly,
17. is there going to be any Federal money lost by taking it out of IOE
18. and three, are you going to give additional money to Corrections to
19. administrate this money if you give it to them?
20. PRESIDENT:
21. Senator Buzbee.
22. SENATOR BUZBEE:
23. The answer to question number one is yes. The answer to
24. question number two is I don't know and the answer to question number
25. three is they don't need it.
26. PRESIDENT:
27. Senator Davidson.
28. SENATOR DAVIDSON:
29. Well, how can they administer a million and a half program if
30. they don't have the accountants or etc., when IOE already has it and
31. been doing it with their own employees they already have?
32. PRESIDENT:
33. Senator Buzbee.

1. SENATOR BUZBEE:

2. Well, your statement first of all, Senator, was incorrect.
3. IOE has not been administering this. This was administered this last
4. year through the Department of Corrections by your own regional
5. superintendent, as a matter of fact, right here in...in
6. Springfield and IOE has not been administering it in the past.
7. The Department of Corrections has been running the school with
8. reimbursement coming through the Springfield Regional Superintendent's
9. Office.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Senator Davidson.

12. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

13. That's the point I wanted to make. I just wanted to make sure
14. that this...this is special education money for people who are
15. under the jurisdiction of the Department of Correction. It has been
16. useful. It has got people where they can be educated and I just
17. don't want it to fall through the cracks wherever it is and the region-
18. al superintendent here has done a good job with it from all indications
19. and would continue to do it. I just want to protect that interest.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Is there any further discussion? If not, Senator Buzbee has
22. moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2649. All in
23. favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The
24. amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

25. SECRETARY:

26. Committee Amendment No. 3.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Buzbee.

29.
30.
31.
32.
33.

(END OF REEL)

1. SENATOR BUZBEE:

2. Thank you, Mr. President. This appropriates three
3. thousand seven hundred thirty-three dollars for the pay-
4. ment of wages awarded by the Federal Courts, to a...former
5. IOE employee. Due to IOE's failure to reinstate the em-
6. ployee pursuant to the Veterans Reemployment Rights Act,
7. and I would move its adoption.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Amendment
10. 3 to House Bill 2649. Is there any discussion? If not,
11. all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The
12. Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

13. SECRETARY:

14. Committee Amendment No. 4.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Senator Buzbee.

17. SENATOR BUZBEE:

18. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment adds one
19. hundred sixteen thousand one hundred twenty-eight dollars
20. in Federal Administration Funds, and one million dollars
21. in Federal Grants and Aid. Funds to the bill. There are
22. no State funds in this amendment, and I would move its a-
23. doption.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Amendment
26. No. 4 to House Bill 2649. Is there any discussion? If not,
27. all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes
28. have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

29. SECRETARY:

30. No further committee amendments.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Are there amendments from the Floor?

33. SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

1. PRESIDENT:
2. 3rd reading. 2769, Senator Carroll. On the Order
3. of House Bills 2nd reading, House Bill 2769. Read the
4. bill, Mr. Secretary.
5. SECRETARY:
6. House Bill 2769.
7. (Secretary reads title of bill)
8. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
9. PRESIDENT:
10. Are there amendments from the Floor?
11. SECRETARY:
12. No Floor amendments.
13. PRESIDENT:
14. 3rd reading. Senator Hall, for what purpose do you rise?
15. SENATOR HALL:
16. Well, Mr. President, when my bill was called there were
17. three sponsors on the Floor that had amendments, and I wanted
18. to pay them the courtesy of trying a shot at the bill, and
19. I'd like to have leave, if we then..to go back to it on 2nd
20. reading.
21. PRESIDENT:
22. Would...599, is that the one?
23. SENATOR HALL:
24. Yes.
25. PRESIDENT:
26. You've heard the request. Is leave granted? On the
27. Order of House Bills 2nd reading, House Bill 599. Read the
28. bill, Mr. Secretary.
29. SECRETARY:
30. House Bill 599.
31. (Secretary reads title of bill)
32. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
33. PRESIDENT:
Are there amendments from the Floor?

1. SECRETARY:

2. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Grotberg.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Senator Grotberg.

5. SENATOR GROTBORG:

6. Yes, thank you, Mr. President, and members of the
7. Senate. This is the Collective Bargaining Amendment, the
8. one that the Department of Personnel can live with, as can
9. the administration, which simply states, that the Collective
10. Bargaining agreements shall be null and void, unless they
11. are in the Governor's budget as it is presented to us...the
12. ...fiscal results of them, are in this budget when he puts
13. them out on March 1st, and they're in the appropriation bills
14. when they go to...when they're presented by the Governor's
15. people, and it's...it's no problem with the administration,
16. is shouldn't be any problem with us. It's still a more
17. sensible way than the earlier stringent amendmnts or
18. putting us, the legislature in the Collective Bargaining
19. process. We'll have nothing to do with the bargaining process.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Senator Grotberg, has moved the adoption of Amendment
22. No.1 to House Bill 599. Is there any discussion? Senator
23. Geo-Karis.

24. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

25. Will the sponsor of the amendment yield to a question?

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Indicates he will yield. Senator Geo-Karis.

28. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

29. Do I understand, Senator Grotberg, that your amendment
30. provides for Collective Bargaining?

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Senator Grotberg.

33. SENATOR GROTBORG:

It applies to the net results of Collective Bargaining, as

1. to it comes to the legislature.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Senator Geo-Karis.

4. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

5. But it does not provide Collective Bargaining, does it?

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Senator Grotberg.

8. SENATOR GROTBORG:

9. No.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Senator Geo-Karis.

12. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

13. I just wonder, if you could just briefly read the line
14. relating to Collective Bargaining, just so I can get it
15. straight, because I...although I am for Collective Bargaining
16. I'm deathly opposed to Collective Bargaining with right of
17. strikes to any public employer. Does it have anything to do
18. with that?

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Senator Grotberg.

21. SENATOR GROTBORG:

22. Senator, I'm still looking for...I've memorized it, okay.
23. We already have under Executive Order 6, some Collective
24. Bargaining. All it says is that the results of that process
25. have got to be in the budget when it comes to us, and that's
26. all it does.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Further discussion? Senator Hall.

29. SENATOR HALL:

30. Well, I rise in opposition to this amendment. This amend-
31. ment would greatly affect the Collective Bargaining process, by
32. requiring present time under the contracts to be reestablished in
33. order to allow the Governor time to report agreements...cost in
his budget message, and to provide us cost of appropriations

1. bills. Now, this amendment would greatly increase and...so
2. control over Collective Bargaining expenditures, and I would
3. oppose this amendment.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Further discussion? Senator Knuppel.

6. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

7. Of course this is the second or third attempt. This is
8. the medley movers of this Session. This amendment was attempt-
9. ted to be put on, I think it was 922, one of my bills before.
10. It is an attempt to amend any bill that is germane to, with...with
11. this amendment to impede, in my opinion, the Collective Bargaining
12. process of State employees. Maybe that's a good purpose, but
13. it's an attempt to put it on someone else's bill, without
14. asking that person, and I think this is a very questionable
15. practice. I feel that if you're going to put...put an amend-
16. ment on somebody else's bill, that each person has got a right
17. to put his bill in the shape that he wants it in, as long as
18. he hasn't made a commitment to someone else to do it differently
19. in order to get it passed by a certain stage or a certain point, and
20. this is an attempt to amend Senator Hall's bill, and he should
21. have the right to say that he opposes it, and we should support
22. him in that.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Further discussion? Senator Regner.

25. SENATOR REGNER:

26. Mr. President, and members of the Senate. This is not
27. the amendment that Senator Hall described. He was describing
28. an amendment that I had attempted to put on another bill,
29. and also a bill that I had in earlier. This amendmnt doesn't
30. go as far as I would like, it's still an excellent amendment,
31. and all it states is, that the appropriation bills as presented
32. to the General Assembly, will contain the total dollars nec-
33. essary to fund any agreements made between the Governor and AFSCME
and any other union organizations, and I think it's a fine amendment,

1. not far enough, but it's a good step forward to the General
2. Assembly, knowing what's being proposed and asked for, and I
3. urge its adoption.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Further discussion? Senator Savickas.

6. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

7. Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I think
8. this amendment puts in the Statutes, what the unions claim
9. we already have the power to do, and that is to monitor
10. Collective Bargaining agreements through the budgetary process,
11. whether we fund them or not. All this says is that they have
12. to be in the budget book, come before the legislature, and
13. that the legislature determines what we should do. I think
14. it's a good amendment.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Is there any further discussion? Senator Grotberg may
17. close.

18. SENATOR GROTBORG:

19. Thank you, Mr. President. It gets at exactly what we've
20. been talking about. We have a constitutional responsibility
21. as an elected General Assembly, to have before us the cost of
22. government every year. The only way we can do it is to put
23. something like this in. It would be my hope that it actually
24. speeds up the bargaining process, so we don't come in here in
25. the middle of June, as we've done on several occasions, with
26. a fifty million dollar impact that this legislature did not know about.
27. That's all it does and I ask for its adoption.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Senator Grotberg has moved the adoption of Amendment
30. No. 1 to House Bill 599. Those in favor of the amendment
31. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. Roll...in the opinion
32. of the Chair, the Noes will prevail. Roll call has been
33. requested. Roll call has been requested. Those in favor of the
amendment will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting

1. open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
2. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,
3. the Ayes are 30, the Nays are 19. None Voting Present. Amend-
4. ment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

5. SECRETARY:

6. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Sommer.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Senator Sommer.

9. SENATOR SOMMER:

10. Mr. President and members, this amendment establishes a
11. base period for the issuance of warrants for payrolls, and
12. provides that that base period number cannot be exceeded in
13. subsequent months.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator Sommer has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2
16. to House Bill 599. Is there any discussion? If not, all in
17. favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. Senator Hall.

18. SENATOR HALL:

19. Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
20. I rise in opposition to this amendment. Let me tell you what
21. this does. This amendment which provides a back door approach...
22. is full of errors, and what there is...no way we've talked to
23. the Comptroller, they said it's impossible for them to do this,
24. and why has the sponsor chosen the month of July of all times,
25. he put the month of July, when there's...how could the Comptroller
26. possibly do it? This is a bad amendment and it should be defeated.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Further discussion? Senator Knuppel.

29. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

30. Again, this, in my opinion, is a breach. It's putting an
31. amendment on someone else's bill, without talking to them and
32. depriving him of the right to put the bill in the shape that he
33. wants to put it in. If it was such a hell of a good idea, why
wasn't it introduced as a separate bill? Fellows, this is just

1. not quite cricket.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Is there any further discussion? Senator Sommer has
4. moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 599. Those
5. in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The
6. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
7. wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 19,
8. the Nays are 23. None Voting Present. The amendment fails.
9. Further amendments?

10. SECRETARY:

11. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Washington.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Senator Washington.

14. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

15. This amendment has the consent of not only the House
16. sponsor, but also the Senate sponsor, of House Bill 599. It
17. simply adds to the group health insurance for State employees...
18. General Services. I move its adoption.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Senator Washington has moved the adoption of Amendment
21. No. 3 to House Bill 599. Is there any discussion? Senator
22. Philip.

23. SENATOR PHILIP:

24. Will the sponsor yield for a question?

25. PRESIDENT:

26. He indicates he'll yield. Senator Philip.

27. SENATOR PHILIP:

28. Exact...exactly what does it add?

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Washington.

31. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

32. It adds...adds benefits that can be derived or given the State
33. employees under the Group Health Insurance Program, dental
services.

1. PRESIDENT:
2. Senator Philip.
3. SENATOR PHILIP:
4. Dental services. What's the cost?
5. PRESIDENT:
6. Senator Washington.
7. SENATOR WASHINGTON:
8. The cost is rather conservative, unfortunately I don't
9. have the file here. I guarantee you, I will lay that out
10. explicitly on 3rd reading.
11. PRESIDENT:
12. Senator Philip.
13. SENATOR PHILIP:
14. Well, I would respectfully suggest until we know what
15. it costs, that we ought to pull it out of the record.
16. PRESIDENT:
17. Further discussion? Senator Washington has moved the
18. adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 599...roll call
19. has been requested. Those in favor of the amendment will
20. vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is
21. open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
22. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 20, the
23. Nays are 20. None Voting Present. The amendment fails.
24. Further amendments?
25. SECRETARY:
26. No further amendments.
27. PRESIDNET:
28. 3rd reading. Any other member have a bill on 2nd they
29. wish moved at this point? On the Order of House Bills 2nd
30. reading, bottom of page 30, is House Bill 226. Read the bill,
31. Mr. Secretary.
32. SECRETARY:
33. House Bill 226.
(Secretary reads title of bill)

1. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Agriculture,
2. Conservation, and Energy offers one amendment.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Senator Buzbee.

5. SENATOR BUZBEE:

6. Thank you, Mr. President. First of all, I would like
7. leave of the Body to show Senators Johns, Rupp, and Demuzio
8. as co-sponsors of this bill.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. You've heard the request. Is leave granted? Leave is
11. granted.

12. SENATOR BUZBEE:

13. Thank you, Mr. President. This...this amendment was
14. added in the Senate Agriculture Committee. This is an
15. amendment which in effect strikes everything after the
16. enacting clause, and puts the bill in such a shape that the
17. Governor has now indicated he will sign this bill. You'll
18. recall this sort of concept has been around with us for
19. quite a while. Governor Walker vetoed it once, Governor
20. Thompson vetoed it once, but this time we have amended
21. it in such a manner as to reflect the support of the
22. Governor, and I would move its adoption.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Amendment
25. No. 1 to House Bill...226. Is there any discussion? If
26. not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The
27. Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?

28. SECRETARY:

29. No further committee amendments.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Any amendments from the Floor?

32. SECRETARY:

33. No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

1. 3rd reading. On the Order of House Bills 2nd reading,
2. again on page 30, is House Bill 185. Read the bill, Mr.
3. Secretary.
4. SECRETARY:
5. House Bill 185.
6. (Secretary reads title of bill)
7. 2nd reading of the bill. No...no committee amendments.
8. PRESIDENT:
9. Any amendments from the Floor?
10. SECRETARY:
11. No Floor amendments.
12. PRESIDENT:
13. 3rd reading. On the Order of House Bills 2nd reading,
14. House Bill 189. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
15. SECRETARY:
16. House Bill 189.
17. (Secretary reads title of bill)
18. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
19. PRESIDENT:
20. Any amendments from the Floor?
21. SECRETARY:
22. No Floor amendments.
23. PRESIDENT:
24. 3rd reading. All right, on the Order of House Bills 2nd reading,
25. if you'll turn to page 35 on the Calendar. Earlier we allowed
26. Senator Maitland leave to come back to this order of business.
27. On the Order of House Bills 2nd reading, is House Bill 2377. Read
28. the bill, Mr. Secretary.
29. SECRETARY:
30. House Bill 2377.
31. (Secretary reads title of bill)
32. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
33. PRESIDENT:
Any amendments from the Floor?

1. SECRETARY:
2. Amendment No. 1...offered by Senator Maitland.
3. PRESIDENT:
4. Senator Maitland.
5. SENATOR MAITLAND:
6. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of
7. the Senate. We discussed this amendment briefly a short
8. time ago. It was my understanding that it was to be a
9. committee amendment, I was in error, it is an amendment offered
10. by me. I once again move for its adoption.
11. PRESIDENT:
12. Senator Maitland moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1
13. to House Bill 2377. Is there any discussion? If not, all
14. in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have
15. it. The amendment is adopted.Any further amendments?
16. SECRETARY:
17. No further amendments.
18. PRESIDENT:
19. 3rd reading. On the Order of House Bills 2nd reading, is
20. House Bill 2378. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
21. SECRETARY:
22. House Bill 2378.
23. (Secretary reads title of bill)
24. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
25. PRESIDENT:
26. Any amendments from the Floor?
27. SECRETARY:
28. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Maitland.
29. PRESIDENT:
30. Senator Maitland.
31. SENATOR MAITLAND:
32. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 revises the
33. fish code of 1971, and incorporates House Bill 1980 into this
bill, and I would move for its adoption.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Maitland has moved the adoption of Amendment No.
3. 1 to House Bill 2378. Is there any discussion? If not, all
4. in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have
5. it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

6. SECRETARY:

7. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Maitland.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Maitland.

10. SENATOR MAITLAND:

11. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 reduces the
12. increase in the commercial license fees, from fifty dollars,
13. to twenty-five dollars, and I would move for its adoption.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator Maitland has moved the adoption of Amendment
16. No. 2 to House Bill 2378. Is there any discussion? If not,
17. all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes
18. have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

19. SECRETARY:

20. No further amendments.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. 3rd reading. Yes, Senator Donnewald, Senator Shapiro.
23. We have I'm told twenty recalls, so it seems to me that we
24. probably ought to that order of business and then we'll go
25. right to the Order of 3rd reading. Senator Donnewald for what
26. purpose do you rise?

27. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

28. Yes, Mr. President, there is being passed out at this time,
29. and agreed bill...a proposed Agreed Bill List to the membership,
30. and it will...if there...the bills remaining on that agreed bill
31. list that are not objected to by the membership will be read
32. Wednesday morning, and those that are removed from the list will
33. have priority in hearing on the Order of 3rd reading.

PRESIDENT:

1. All right, if I can have the attention of the member-
2. ship. If you want to take out a pencil, there are sixteen
3. bills on House Bills, 3rd reading, where
4. amendments have been filed with the Secretary. Now if
5. amendments have not been filed there is no way we're
6. going to know who intends to do what. House Bill 513,
7. 591, 593, 961, 1382, 1596, 1634, 1914, 1996, 2006, 2134,
8. 2205, 2233, 2545, 2658, and 2679. We...we will move to
9. the order with leave of the Body, the Order of House Bills
10. 3rd reading. If the sponsor wishes the bill recalled, he
11. ought to indicate that. The last five bills, 2134, 2205,
12. 2233, 2545, 2658, 2679. On the Order of House Bills 3rd
13. reading House Bill 513, Senator Wooten. 591, Senator
14. Buzbee. Senator Buzbee...on the Order of House Bills
15. 3rd reading, top of page 5 is House Bill 591. Senator
16. Buzbee seeks leave of the Body to return 591 to the
17. Order of 2nd reading for purposes of an amendment: Is leave
18. granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills
19. 2nd reading, House Bill 591. Mr. Secretary.

20. SECRETARY:

21. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Buzbee.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Senator Buzbee.

24. SENATOR BUZBEE:

25. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment would...simply
26. reflect the fact that CETA employees are not eligible to be
27. participants in the University Retirement System, and I would
28. move its adoption.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2
31. to House Bill 591. Is there any discussion? If not, all in
32. favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.
33. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

1. No further amendments.

2. SENATOR BUZBEE:

3. Mr. President, I'm sorry, I inaccurately described that
4. amendment. I described the amendment that is going on the
5. next bill 593. This amendment, in fact, put^d the bill back in
6. its pristine form the way that I desire to have the bill
7. passed. This amendment would take off an amendment that Senator
8. Berning added to my bill, two or three weeks ago which I do
9. no desire to have on there, it's an entirely different topic.
10. It should be discussed or rather should be addressed in a piece
11. of legislation separately and so this amendment has the effect
12. of removing his amendment.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. All right, Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of
15. Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 591. Is there any discussion?
16. Senator De Angelis.

17. SENATOR DE ANGELIS:

18. Mr. President, a point of personal inquiry.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Yes, state your point.

21. SENATOR DE ANGELIS:

22. 513 was passed over, will that be recalled on the same
23. order of business?

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Just a minute now. The only one that can control a bill
26. is the sponsor. If the sponsor didn't want to bring it back...

27. SENATOR DE ANGELIS:

28. Mr. President.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Yes, Senator De Angelis.

31. SENATOR DE ANGELIS:

32. An agreement was made...

33. PRESIDENT:

I'm...I'm not suggesting it wasn't. I'm suggesting that the sponsor

1. is not in his seat when I called 513, there was no indication
2. that he wanted to call it back. Senator Buzbee has moved the
3. adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 591. Is there any
4. discussion? Senator Berning.

5. SENATOR BERNING:

6. Well, thank you, Mr. President, and members of the
7. Senate. I recognize the prerogative of a sponsor to have
8. the bill in a fashion in which he prefers it, however, you
9. and I all know that desirable amendments are offered time
10. and time again to all of our bills. I did discuss the amend-
11. ment that I offered, with Senator Buzbee. It in no way con-
12. travenes the intent or the thrust of his bill, but it does
13. clarify what is a serious weakness in the present General
14. Assembly Retirement System. With the amendment that I offered
15. there is precluded the possibility of a person holding two
16. governmental jobs and then transferring into the General
17. Assembly the concurrent time for which he's been getting credit
18. in another system. It is pure simple good government legisla-
19. tion, and I would urge that Amendment No. 1 be retained on
20. House Bill 591, Mr. President.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Is there any further discussion? Senator Buzbee has moved
23. the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 591. All in favor
24. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The
25. amendments adopted. Any further amendments?

26. SECRETARY:

27. No further amendments.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. 3rd reading. 953, Senator Buzbee. On the Order of House
30. Bills 3rd reading, House Bill 593. Senator Buzbee seeks leave
31. of the Body to bring 593 back to the Order of 2nd reading for
32. purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? On the Order of
33. House Bills 2nd reading, House Bill 593. Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

1. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Buzbee.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Senator Buzbee.

4. SENATOR BUZBEE:

5. Thank you, Mr. President. This is the amendment which
6. would eliminate CETA employees from the University Retirement
7. System, and I would move its adoption.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Buzbee had moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1
10. to House Bill 593. Is there any discussion? If not, all in
11. favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.
12. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?

13. SECRETARY:

14. No further amendments.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. 3rd reading. 961, Senator D'Arco. On the Order of
17. House Bills 3rd reading, bottom of page 8, is House Bill 961.
18. Senator D'Arco seeks leave of the Body to return 961 to the
19. Order of 2nd reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave
20. granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd
21. reading, House Bill 961. Mr. Secretary.

22. SECRETARY:

23. 2 offered by Senator D'Arco.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Senator D'Arco.

26. SENATOR D'ARCO:

27. Hello. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 corrects
28. something that we put in Amendment No. 1...in Amendment No. 1 we
29. raised the minimum liability for...for financial responsibility
30. for uninsured motorists and for liability and bodily injury, we
31. raised the minimum amounts of coverage up to twenty-five, and
32. fifty, and ten and we felt that it would raise the insured's
33. premiums in excess of what we thought would be reasonable, so
we're going to lower the minimum amounts again to fifteen and

HB 1382
Rec'd
6-25-79

1. thirty, which is an increase of five thousand above the prior
2. minimum, and we want to do that to lower the premium cost
3. to the consumer, and we also have in this bill, that the ninety
4. day lapse time for filing a report for uninsured motorists be
5. increased to a hundred and twenty days, and this is all part
6. of a comprehensive bill to help the consumer and the insurance
7. industry, and I move for adoption of Amendment No. 2.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator D'Arco has moved the adoption of Amendment No.
10. 2 to House Bill 961. Is there any discussion? If not, all
11. in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have
12. it. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?

13. SECRETARY:

14. No further amendments.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. 3rd reading. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading,
17. the middle of page 10, is House Bill 1382. Senator Vadalabene
18. seeks leave of the Body to return 1382 to the Order of 2nd
19. reading. Do you seek leave of the Body or do you not? Senator
20. Vadalabene.

21. SENATOR VADALABENE:

22. Yes, this is a bill where Senator Keats is in the hospital,
23. and I'd like to get my amendment on and then bring it back event-
24. ually, I keep waiting every day, you know.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. All right, Senator Vadalabene seeks leave of the Body to
27. return 1382 to the Order of 2nd reading for purposes of an amend-
28. ment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House
29. Bills 2nd reading, House Bill 1382. Mr. Secretary.

30. SECRETARY:

31. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Vadalabene.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

1. Yes, this is a technical amendment...

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Senator Vadalabene.

4. SENATOR VADALABENE:

5. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. This is a technical amend-
6. ment, and what it does, it insures that the claims for loses are
7. limited to the amount available in the Mines Subsidence Insurance
8. Fund, and I move for its adoption.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Senator Vadalabene had moved the adoption of amendment No. 2
11. to House Bill 1382. Is there any discussion? If not, all in
12. favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.
13. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?

14. SECRETARY:

15. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Philip.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Senator Philip.

18. SENATOR PHILIP:

19. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
20. Senate. What Amendment No. 3 does is simply take out DuPage
21. County, and Senator Sam I just talked to the Assistant Director
22. of the Department of Insurance, and he said if DuPage County
23. remains in that our insurance will enjoy that so called
24. increase in insurance. Now, he says that the Department has
25. the authority to remove DuPage County, or any county that
26. doesn't have any mine problem, and that probably...probably
27. the director will take out DuPage County, Lake and their northern
28. counties, which is fine for me. All this does...all this amend-
29. ment does is make sure that DuPage County is out, and I move
30. for its adoption.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Senator Philip has moved for the adoption of Amendment
33. No. 3 to House Bill 1382. Is there any discussion? If not,
all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.

1. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

2. SECRETARY:

3. Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Keats.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Shapiro. Senator Bloom. Senator Wooten. Senator
6. Shapiro.

7. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

8. Mr. President, I just had an inquiry of the sponsor. Do
9. any of these amendments take out that mandate, that all insurance
10. policies pay the premium for subsidence unless they reject it?
11. Does it still contain that?

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Senator Vadalabene.

14. SENATOR VADALABENE:

15. Yes, I'm sorry I really did not...

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Senator Shapiro. Senator Shapiro.

18. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

19. Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
20. That's an amendment that I'm interested in, because it does
21. put a burden on all the home...homeowners policy in
22. the State. I don't know whether the Keats amendment does this
23. or not, but if it does I'll be willing to handle it for him.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Senator Vadalabene.

26. SENATOR VADALABENE:

27. Yes, the Keats amendment excludes Cook County. I don't
28. have the amendment but I know what it does. Yes, the amendment
29. No...the amendment says nothing in this Article shall apply to
30. counties of one million or more, that's the Keats amendment,
31. Senator Shapiro.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. All right, Senator Savickas moves the adoption of Amendment
No. 4 to House Bill 1382. Is there any discussion? If not,

1. all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes
2. have it. Them amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

3. SECRETARY:

4. No further amendments.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. 3rd reading. 1477, Senator Knuppel. On the Order of
7. House Bill 3rd reading, House Bill1477. Senator Knuppel
8. seeks leave of the Body to return 1477 to the Order of,
9. 2nd reading for purposes of amendment. Is leave granted?
10. Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd reading,
11. House Bill 1477. Mr. Secretary.

12. SECRETARY:

13. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Netsch.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator Netsch. Senator Knuppel.

16. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

17. Mr. Presidetn, it's a technical amendment, adding in
18. cancellation in one paragraph, and/or cancelled in another
19. one, and she's bugged me about it for days and now I don't know
20. where she's at, but I'd move the adoption of her amendment.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Senator Knuppel moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1
23. to HOUse Bill 1477. Is there discussion? If not, all
24. in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have
25. it. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendment.

26. SECRETARY:

27. No further amendments.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. 3rd reading. 1596, Senator Regner. 1634. 1634, Senator
30. Mitchler. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading, is House Bill
31. 1634. . Senator Mitchler seeks leave of the Body to return 1634
32. to the Order of 2nd reading for purposes of an amendment. Is
33. leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills
2nd reading, House Bill 1634. Senator...Mr. Secretary.

1. SECRETARY:

2. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Buzbee.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Senator Buzbee. Senator Buzbee.

5. SENATOR BUZBEE:

6. Thank you, Mr. President. This is actually an amendment
7. that has been called for by the Administration, and I would
8. concur in. It's for positions eligaible for one hundred percent
9. reimbursement from the United States Department of Defense for
10. the Military and Naval Department, and I would moved its adoption.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Amendment No.
13. 3 to House Bill 1634. Is there discussion? If not, all
14. in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have
15. it. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?

16. SECRETARY:

17. Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Regner.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Senator Regner. Senator Mitchler.

20. SENATOR MITCHLER:

21. Mr. President, and memebers of the Senate. Senator Regner
22. and I are co-sponsoring this. I'd appreciate leave to handle it.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. You've heard the request. Leave is granted. Senator Mitchler.

25. SENATOR MITCHLER:

26. This is for Federal employees, a hundred percent reimbursement,
27. and the okay has been received from the Bureau of the Budget on
28. this, that they requested. I move for adoption of Floor Amend-
29. ment No. 4 to House Bill 1634.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Senator Mitchler has moved the adoption of Amendment No.
32. 4 to House Bill 1634. Is there any discussion? Senator Buzbee.

33. SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President, because the amendmnts were put
in my book in reverse order, I just explained the amendment that

1. Senator Mitchler, in fact, is now presenting. I would concur...
2. the last one I explained really should have been an addition of eleven
3. thousand dollars for personal services, and we made too deep
4. of a cut in the seven percent solution, and I would concur
5. with Senator Mitchler this one ought to be adopted.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Senator Mitchler has moved the adoption of Amendment
8. No. 4 to House Bill 1634. Is there any discussion? If not,
9. all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes
10. have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

11. SECRETARY:

12. No further amendments.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. 3rd reading. 1914, Senator Lenke. 1970, Senator Schaffer.
15. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading, the middle of page
16. 17, is House Bill 1970. Senator Schaffer seeks leave of the
17. Body to return 1970 to the Order of 2nd reading for purposes
18. of an amendemnt. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the
19. Order of House Bills 2nd reading, House Bill 1970. Mr. Secretary.

20. SECRETARY:

21. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Wooten.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Senator Wooten.

24. SENATOR WOOTEN:

25. Thank you, Mr. President, and colleagues. This amendment
26. embodies into an Act that deals with the Department of Public
27. Health, of provisions of the Retail Food Establishment Act, which
28. we passed out of here earlier. The House sponsors, Republican
29. and Democratic side asked that we put this back to give them
30. another shot at this. I...we passed on this a couple of times
31. before, I would like to oblige their request and move the adoption
32. of the amendment.

33. PRESIDENT:

Senator Wooten has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to

1. House Bill 1970. Is there any discussion? Senator De Angelis.

2. SENATOR DE ANGELIS:

3. Thank you, Mr. President. This bill, when it passed out
4. of this Chamber was amended in a fashion that made it politically
5. expedient to get it passed. The House of Representatives either
6. apparently saw through it or saw no need for it. I stand in
7. opposition of this amendment.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Wooten has moved the adoption of Amendment No.
10. 1 to House Bill 1970. Is there any discussion? Senator
11. Schaffer.

12. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

13. The bill that left the Senate which is now being amended
14. onto this, was in good shape, is supported by the Department
15. of Public Health, and I think is probably something we ought
16. to do.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. All right, Senator Wooten has moved the adoption of
19. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1970. Is there any discussion?
20. Further discussion? Senator Wooten.

21. SENATOR WOOTEN:

22. No, just in closing. Senator De Angelis, the question
23. was that on the day when the bill was considered there was...
24. there was just no attendance, and most of the people did not
25. vote, and they would like to have an opportunity to vote on
26. the issue, so I move the adoption of the amendment.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Wooten has moved the adoption of Amendment No.
29. 1 to House Bill 1970. All in favor signify by saying Aye.
30. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Any
31. further amendments?

32. SECRETARY:

33. No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

1. 3rd reading. 1996, Senator Demuzio. On the Order of
2. House Bills 3rd reading, is House Bill 1996. Senator
3. Demuzio seeks leave of the Body to return 1996 to the Order
4. of 2nd reading of purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted?
5. Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd reading,
6. House Bill 1996. Mr. Secretary.

7. SECRETARY:

8. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Demuzio.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Senator Demuzio.

11. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

12. Yes, thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen
13. of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 would hold everyone harmless,
14. in other words, this is the bill that would provide and
15. sets out the formula for the distribution of State money
16. to all the community action agencies throughout the State
17. of Illinois. There was some question in committee as to
18. the loss of approximately a hundred and fifty to two hundred
19. thousand dollars from Chicago. In talking with Senator
20. Savickas, I understand that there is a problem with...with that
21. formula, as it is current. We are going to put a hold harmless
22. on for this year, and move the bill to 3rd reading, and perhaps
23. we can still work on it between now and Wednesday eveing to
24. come up with some other alternative. We would like to put
25. the amendment on which would indicate that all community action
26. agencies would receive the same amount of money this year as
27. they received the pervious year, and I move for the adoption of
28. the amendment.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Demuzio has moved the adoption of Amendment No.
31. 1 to House Bill 1996. Is there any discussion? If not, all
32. in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have
33. it. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

1. No further amendments.
2. PRESIDENT:
3. 3rd reading. 2006, top of page 18, on the Order of
4. House Bills 3rd reading, is House Bill 2006 Senator Bruce
5. seeks leave of the Body to return 2006 to the Order of
6. 2nd reading for purposes of amendment. Is leave granted?
7. Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd reading,
8. House Bill 2006. Mr. Secretary.
9. SECRETARY:
10. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Hall.
11. PRESIDENT:
12. Senator Hall on the Floor? All right, Senator Bruce.
13. SENATOR BRUCE:
14. I believe I can explain the amendment which is agreed to
15. by the firefighters, it just says that this training board may
16. make grants if they so desire to local units for the purchase
17. of equipment, if it's in their budget, and it's authorized.
18. PRESIDENT
19. Senator Bruce moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to
20. House Bill 2006. Is there any discussion? Senator Grotberg.
21. SENATOR GROTBORG:
22. Something just rang a bell. Is this for the East St. Louis
23. Fire Department's equipment, is this a replacement gesture on
24. something like that, that had quite a struggle in our Local
25. Government Committee, Senator Bruce?
26. PRESIDENT:
27. Senator Bruce.
28. SENATOR BRUCE:
29. If there is anything in this I don't know about I'll take
30. it out of the record, because I asked if there was going to be
31. any controversy about this and I was informed there would not
32. be, if there is I'll wait for the sponsor to come back. Oh,
33. Senator Hall is on the Floor.
- PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Hall.

2. SENATOR HALL:

3. What was the question Senator?

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Grotberg.

6. SENATOR GROTBORG:

7. I just wondered if this is the replacement for the thing

8. that we...that was lost by your side for the East St. Louis

9. Fire Department equipment that needed replacing, Senator Hall.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Senator Hall.

12. SENATOR HALL:

13. Yes, what it does, it simple gives the...them the the

14. authority to do it. It doesn't appropriate any money, it

15. just gives them the authority to do it, Senator.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Senator Grotberg.

18. SENATOR GROTBORG:

19. Is it...is there or is there not an accompanying appropriation

20. that we amended into an appropriation bill the other day?

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Senator Hall.

23. SENATOR HALL:

24. Well...well, knowing Representative Younge, I'm quite sure

25. it's something, somewhere.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. All right, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Amendment

28. No. 1 to House Bill 2006. Is there any discussion? Roll call

29. has been requested. Those in favor of the amendment will vote

30. Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have

31. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.

32. On that question, the Ayes are 17, the Nays are 22. None Voting

33. Present. The amendment fails. Further amendments.

SECRETARY:

1. No further amendments.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. 3rd reading. The top of page 20, on the Order of House
4. Bills 3rd reading, is House Bill 2134. Senator Knuppel seeks
5. leave of the Body to return 2134 to the Order of 2nd reading
6. for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is
7. granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd reading, House Bill
8. 2134. Mr. Secretary.

9. SECRETARY:

10. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Knuppel.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Senator Knuppel.

13. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

14. Mr. President, this amendment was offered in...was promised
15. in committee, it has been worked out now, and as presented here,
16. it would exclude from the effect of the bill, all craftsmen at
17. prevailing rate employees, that is, highway crews, professionals
18. without policy making, and major administrative authority. Now,
19. I don't know if that totally meets with the...the approval of
20. the group, but...but it does put the bill in the shape that the
21. sponsor wants it in and in accordance with the promise made in
22. committee. I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Knuppel has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1
25. to House Bill 2134. Is there any discussion? If not, all in
26. favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.
27. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

28. SECRETARY:

29. No further amendments.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. 3rd reading. Senator Becker.

32. SENATOR BECKER:

33. Senator Knuppel, Senator would you please read that amendment
again please.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Knuppel.

3. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

4. What the amendment does, is to eliminate certain people,
5. and this brings it down to, as I understand a little over one
6. thousand employees will be affected. It leaves out prevailing
7. rate employees, those are craftsmen. It leave out negotiated
8. rate employees, the highway crews who are under a negotiated rate :
9. with the...most of them belong to the Teamsters, I think, and
10. it will also leave out all professionals without policy making
11. or major administrative authority. Now, I've been told by the
12. House sponsor that this reduces the total number to a little over
13. one thousand, which is what he agreed to do in committee.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator Becker.

16. SENATOR BECKER:

17. Senator Knuppel, we had an agreement between the two of
18. us, that as soon as you received this amendment I would have a
19. chance to look at it. Now, that didn't happen, Senator.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Senator Knuppel.

22. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

23. I took it to Merlo, I'm sorry I missed you, Mr. Becker.
24. I will bring it over to you, if it's not in accordance I'll
25. be glad to hold the bill. I'm not going to run this bill until
26. you've looked at it. I'm sorry I passed the amendment out. I'll
27. bring it over to you right now, and I'll hold the bill, until
28. you've thoroughly examined it. Okay?

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Becker.

31. SENATOR BECKER:

32. I want the Republican side of the aisle to understand, that
33. in committee it was brought out that this would not affect one
thousand people, it would affect twelve thousand people. That's the way

1. it was.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. All right, the bill is on 3rd reading. 2205, Senator
4. Berman. The middle of page 21. On the Order of House Bills
5. 3rd reading, House Bill 2205. Senator Berman seeks leave of
6. the Body to return 2205 to the Order of 2nd reading for purposes.
7. of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the
8. Order of House Bills 2nd reading, House Bill 2205. Mr. Secretary.
9. SECRETARY:

10. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Berman.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Senator Berman.

13. SENATOR BERMAN:

14. Thank you. On Saturday we adopted amendment No. 1 but
15. there was an error in the drafting of that amendment, because
16. it took out more than what we intended to be taken out from
17. this bill, so at this time I am going to move to reconsider
18. the vote by which Amendment No. 1 was adopted for purposes
19. of Tabling Amendment No. 1, and then I will move the adoption
20. of Amendment No. 2, which deals with the...taking the Department
21. of Corrections and regarding their Sepcial Education Program,
22. and keeping their program withing their own budget. So I now
23. move to reconsider the vote.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Senator Berman has moved to reconsider the vote by which
26. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2205 was adopted. Is there any
27. discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All
28. opposed. The Ayes have it. The vote is reconsidered. Senator
29. Berman now moves to Table Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2205.
30. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying
31. Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted.
32. Further amendments? The amendment is Tabled. Further amendments?

33. SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Berman.

AB 2233
Recall
6-25-'79

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Berman.

3. SENATOR BERMAN:

4. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 merely strikes
5. from the House Bill 2205 the provision in this bill that allows
6. the Department of Corrections to claim direct reimbursement from
7. IOE, for their Special Education Program. This money will be
8. plugged into their own budget under their own appropriation
9. bill, so I'd move adoption of Amendment No. 2.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Senator Berman has moved the adoption of Amendment No.
12. 2 to House Bill 2205. Is there any discussion? If not, all
13. in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have
14. it. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?

15. SECRETARY:

16. No further amendments.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. 3rd reading. 2233, Senator Demuzio. On the Order of
19. House Bills 3rd reading, the middle of page 22, is House Bill
20. 2233. Senator Demuzio seeks leave of the Body to return 2233
21. to the Order of 2nd reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave
22. granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bill 2nd
23. reading, House Bill 2233. Mr. Secretary.

24. SECRETARY:

25. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Demuzio.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Senator Demuzio.

28. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

29. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. The week for confusion is
30. certainly exist, and is prevalent right here. The other day we
31. had an amendment...Amendment No. 2 on 2233 that Senator Maitland
32. and I debated substantially, and we had a roll call, and it was
33. up 22 to 33. It...what it...what that amendment did was it made
the total effective date of the bill from '81 to '82, and we thought

1. we were adopting of that effective date in addition to a bill
2. that Senator Joyce had, 1008. What this amendment will do, in
3. fact, will...put in 1...1008, which we've already debated and
4. I would appreciate a favorable...move for the adoption of the
5. amendment.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Senator Demuzio has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3
8. to House Bill 2233. Is there any discussion? If not, all in
9. favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.
10. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?

11. SECRETARY:

12. No further amendments.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. 3rd reading. 2545, Senator Merlo. On the Order of
15. House Bills 3rd reading, the middle of page 27, is House Bill
16. 2545. Senator Merlo seeks leave of the Body to return 2545
17. to the Order of 2nd for purposes of an amendment. Is leave
18. granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd
19. reading, House Bill 2545. Mr. Secretary.

20. SECRETARY:

21. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Merlo.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Senator Merlo.

24. SENATOR MERLO:

25. Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate.
26. This is a clarifying amendment, there's an ambiguity in the...
27. in the bill, it was called to my attention by a staff member.
28. The amendment clarifies the intent of the bill, that provides
29. that seven library directors to be elected in 1981, three
30. shall be elected for six year terms and four for four year
31. terms. It provides for a lottery to determine which three shall
32. serve for a six year term. I move the adoption.

33. PRESIDENT:

Senator Merlo has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to

1. House Bill 2545. Is there any discussion? If not, all in
2. favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have
3. it. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?

4. SECRETARY:

5. No further amendments.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. 3rd reading. 2658, Senator Daley. 2679, Senator Maragos.
8. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading is House Bill 2679.
9. Senator Maragos seeks leave of the Body to return 2679 to the
10. Order of 2nd reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave
11. granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd
12. reading, House Bill 2679. Mr. Secretary.

13. SECRETARY:

14. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senators Nimrod, and Maragos.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Senator Nimrod. Senator Maragos.

17. SENATOR MARAGOS:

18. Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I move to Table
19. ...leave to having voted on the prevailing side, I move the reconsideration
20. by which Senate Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2679 was adopted,
21. for the purpose of Tabling it.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. All right, Senator Maragos has moved to reconsider the
24. vote by which Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2679 was adopted.
25. All those in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes
26. have it. The vote is reconsidered. Senator Maragos now moves
27. to Table Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2679. Is there any discussion?
28. If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes
29. have it. The Amendment is Tabled. Further amendments?

30. SECRETARY:

31. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senators Nimrod and Maragos.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Senator Nimrod.

SENATOR NIMROD:

1. Thank you, Mr. President. The Tabled amendmnt was
2. technically incorrect, it's the same amendment for Enrolling
3. and Engrossing, and I move for the adoption.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Nimrod has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3
6. to House Bill 2679. Is there any discussson? If not, all in
7. favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.
8. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?

9. SECRETARY:

10. No further amendments.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. 3rd reading.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

(END OF REEL)

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. All right. We've got a couple of late entries. In the
3. middle of page 12, On the Order of House Bills 3rd...3rd
4. reading is House Bill 1606. Senator Vadalabene seeks leave
5. of the Body to return 1606 to the Order of 2nd reading for
6. purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted.
7. On the Order of House Bills 2nd reading, House Bill 1606.
8. Mr. Secretary.

9. SECRETARY:

10. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Vadalabene.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Senator Vadalabene. Senator Vadalabene.

13. SENATOR VADALABENE:

14. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.
15. Amendment No. 1, I suppose, to House Bill 1606, all it does, it
16. deletes the word motor fuel and adds the word gasoline. And
17. I move for its adoption.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Senator Vadalabene has moved the adoption of Amendment
20. No. 1 to House Bill 1606. Is there any discussion? If not,
21. all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes
22. have it. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?

23. SECRETARY:

24. No further amendments.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. 3rd reading. Any other member have a bill they wish to
27. recall at this time. Senator Lemke.

28. SENATOR LEMKE:

29. Senate Bill 1914 in your call, I was off the Floor. Can
30. I have leave to go back to that? We have a technical amendment...

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Heard the request. Is leave granted? Leave is granted.
33. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading is House...middle of

1. page 16, House Bill 1914. Senator Lemke seeks leave of the
2. Body to return that to the Order of 2nd reading for purposes
3. of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On
4. the Order of House Bills 2nd reading, House Bill 1914, Mr.
5. Secretary.

6. SECRETARY:

7. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Lemke and Nimrod.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Lemke.

10. SENATOR LEMKE:

11. Before we go to Amendment No. 3, we got to Table Amendment
12. No. 2.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. All right. Senator Lemke moves to reconsider the vote
15. by which Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1914 was adopted. All
16. in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have
17. it, the vote is reconsidered. Senator Lemke now moves to
18. Table Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1914. All in favor signify
19. by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it, the amendment
20. is Tabled. Further amendments?

21. SECRETARY:

22. Amendment No. 3 by Senator Lemke and Nimrod.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Lemke.

25. SENATOR LEMKE:

26. I ask for the adoption of the amendment. This is...the
27. same as Amendment No. 2. It just makes a technical error change.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. All right. Senator Lemke has moved the adoption of Amend-
30. ment No. 3 to House Bill 1914. Is there any discussion? If
31. not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The
32. Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?

33. SECRETARY:

1. No further amendments.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. 3rd reading. Any other member have a...a bill they wish
4. to return at this time for the purpose of amendment? All right,
5. with leave of the Body we'll move now to House Bills on 3rd
6. reading. We'll start where we left off. We're going to start
7. with 1470. Senator Martin on the Floor? Yes, Senator DeAngelis
8. for what purpose do you arise?

9. SENATOR DeANGELIS:

10. President Rock, point of personal inquiry.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Yes.

13. SENATOR DeANGELIS:

14. I was under the assumption that 513 would be recalled for
15. the amendment.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. I am sure it will be, at the point at which the sponsor
18. requests it. On the Order...we...we can get back to it any
19. time you guys...get your act together. On the Order of House
20. Bills 3rd reading, House Bill 1470. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

21. SECRETARY:

22. House Bill 1470.

23. (Secretary reads title of bill)

24. 3rd reading of the bill.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Senator Martin.

27. SENATOR MARTIN:

28. Yes, this bill simply allows property owners or their lessees
29. to give verbal permission to snowmobilers to cross their property.
30. At the suggestion of a number of Senators...on the committee,
31. the provision was removed, that there would be a third party
32. witness and that is all the bill does.

33. PRESIDENT:

34. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is shall

1. House Bill 1470 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed
2. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have
3. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are
4. 43. The Nays are 1. None Voting Present. House Bill 1470, having
5. received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed.
6. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading, House Bill 1478, Senator
7. McLendon. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading, House Bill 1478.
8. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

9. ACTING SECRETARY:

10. House Bill 1478.

11. (Secretary reads title of bill)

12. 3rd reading of the bill.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Senator McLendon.

15. SENATOR McLENDON:

16. Yes, Mr. President. This bill requires insurance companies
17. which sell disability income insurance to offer coverage for the
18. necessary and reasonable expenses incurred for essential services
19. ordinarily performed by the insured in the care of the family or
20. family household for homemakers. I ask the support of the Senate.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Senator
23. Philip.

24. SENATOR PHILIP:

25. Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield for a
26. question?

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Indicates he will yield. Senator Philip.

29. SENATOR PHILIP:

30. Yeah, how do you determine, Senator, what homemaking is worth,
31. what maintenance service is...worth, etc.? That's one thing the
32. bill is not clear on at all and how do you determine what babysitter's
33. worth, what home care is worth, etc.?

1. SENATOR McLENDON:

2. Senator D'Arco, do you want to answer that question?

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Senator D'Arco.

5. SENATOR D'ARCO:

6. Thank you, Mr. President. That will be determined by the
7. Insurance Department...They'll set up standards to determine exactly
8. what categories like...a maid, a dishwasher, a laundry service,
9. whatever homemaker categories that are involved they will determine
10. what will fall under the purview of the bill, so that would be
11. compensable with the premium for disability insurance for the
12. homemaker. It's already done in Ohio and five other states have
13. this bill. It's a new concept for homemakers to provide disability
14. insurance for them and...you want...Pate, you want to listen or you
15. want to talk? Pate, you finished or what?

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Is there any further discussion? Senator Rupp.

18. SENATOR RUPP:

19. Thank you, Mr. President. On this particular bill, some of
20. the companies already provide a comparable coverage and that's for
21. some folks who have offices and it's similar to an office expense
22. or business expense policy. The companies can come up and they can
23. provide this coverage, but the thing that has to be done is for the
24. companies and the insureds to make sure they understand what the
25. values are and let them set the values. This is a very logical,
26. insurable problem...It can be insured and it could be under this
27. bill and I don't particularly favor the concept, but it is perfectly
28. legal, perfectly logical to go into this area and the companies have
29. indicated that they would.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Is there any further discussion? Senator McLendon may close.

32. SENATOR McLENDON:

33. I have no further statements to make. As Senator D'Arco mentioned,
five other states do have something and...along this line and we have

1. also a communication from Nationwide Insurance Company Actuary,
2. Jeff McDaniel, they favor this concept and they write this type
3. of insurance. I'd appreciate the vote of the Senate.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Question is, shall House Bill 1478 pass. Those in favor will
6. vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
7. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
8. wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 33. The Nays
9. 16. 1 Voting Present. House Bill 1478, having received a constitu-
10. tional majority, is declared passed. 1482, Senator McLendon. On
11. the Order of House Bills 3rd reading is House Bill 1482. Read
12. the bill, Mr. Secretary.

13. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

14. House Bill 1482.

15. (Secretary reads title of bill)

16. 3rd reading of the bill.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Senator McLendon.

19. SENATOR MCLENDON:

20. Thank you, Mr. President. This is a simple bill. Merely
21. provides and amends the Attorney General and State's Attorney's
22. Act which provides that the State's Attorney shall notify, by first
23. class mail, complaining witnesses of the final disposition of the
24. cases arising from their complaints. This...an amendment was
25. adopted to the bill in Judiciary Committee which restricts the
26. notification only to felony cases. I ask the support of the Senate.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House
29. Bill 1482 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will
30. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all
31. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are
32. 46. The Nays are 1. 1 Voting Present. House Bill 1482, having
33. received a constitutional majority, is declared passed. 1499, Senator

1. McLendon. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading, top of page 11,
2. House Bill 1499. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
3. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)
4. House Bill 1499.
5. (Secretary reads title of bill)
6. 3rd reading of the bill.
7. PRESIDENT:
8. Senator McLendon.
9. SENATOR McLENDON:
10. Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. President and members of the
11. Senate, House Bill 1499 amends the conflict of interest provisions
12. of the Municipal Code and Corrupt Practices Act to make a technical
13. change to insure that all municipal offices are covered by the
14. Act. The Illinois Municipal League supports the bill. The bill
15. provides for no substantive changes in current law in regard to
16. conflict of interest. The only change being made is a substitution
17. of the word officer for member of the governing board. The intent
18. of the legislation is to clarify existing law that the provisions
19. of the above Acts apply to all municipal officials rather than the
20. members of the governing body as the Statute presently provides.
21. PRESIDENT:
22. Is there any discussion? Senator Bowers.
23. SENATOR BOWERS:
24. Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to arise in opposition to
25. this bill. This Senate two years ago really refused to do this in
26. so far as the amendment to this particular Act is concerned and the
27. reason is very simple. In the conference committee we built into
28. the exceptions to the Ethics Act certain protections. Those pro-
29. tections involved declaration at the board meeting of a conflict,
30. also involved no voting and so on. Now, it's impossible to apply
31. those protections when you are talking about officers and I'm speak-
32. ing specifically to the treasurer, because treasurer doesn't necessar-
33. ily...at the board meetings there's no way he can announce his interest.

1. This will, in fact, as far as I'm concerned, permit the treasurer
2. in a municipality to deposit money in his own bank and to deposit
3. it in any form that he sees fit. I think it's a dangerous precedent
4. for us to set and a dangerous procedure to begin. Now, incidentally,
5. this was fully discussed in that conference committee and there are
6. several members on this Floor that were there. My recollection is
7. that we offered the proponents of this legislation to...classification.
8. In other words, they said their problem was downstate and that the...
9. they had trouble getting treasurers and clerks and so forth down-
10. state in this particular area. We offered to limit this kind of
11. provision to something like under ten thousand and they refused
12. that offer. Frankly, that makes me slightly suspicious because
13. the treasurer in my county, and we're talking about millions and mil-
14. lions of dollars was recently indicted and convicted for manipulating
15. these funds and I think we ought to take an awfully close look at
16. this exception.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Further discussion? Senator Bruce.

19. SENATOR BRUCE:

20. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senator
21. Bowers has said just about everything that can be said in opposition
22. to this bill. It is not a technical change. It opens up in a
23. manner that was discussed in some great detail with Senator Philip's
24. bill of two years ago. We offered to allow them a limit. This bill
25. says that without any limit a multi-million dollar contract may be
26. issued to a person who has an interest in a company and do business
27. with the city. That is just not the way it ought to be. There is
28. no provision for disclosure of your conflict. There is no way you
29. can...withhold your vote. The bill that we passed two years ago
30. was a great expansion, a workable situation and what this bill is...
31. it's completely unworkable. You wouldn't know whether the guy had
32. a conflict or not. There is no form that he can express his opinion.
33. There's no way that he can declare. He is no...there is no way that

1. he can withhold his vote. There is no cap at all on this bill and
2. I think that we ought to take a very close look at it and it ought
3. to be defeated.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

6. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

7. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I do not
8. read that in this bill. I read in this bill that municipal officers
9. in addition to the elected officers of a municipality, for example,
10. would be limited to having up to a seven and a half percent interest
11. in any business without being in conflict because they don't have to
12. limit...they don't have to limit themselves at all. They can...the
13. way the law is open now, they could have ten percent interest in a
14. business and if the treasurer is friendly with the City Council...I've
15. got the bill in front of me, Gentlemen. Now, if you can say that I
16. am wrong...it's on page...page 1 of the bill. Page 1 of the bill,
17. if I may quote from it says, if I may, that no municipal officer...in
18. line twelve, shall be interested directly or indirectly in his own
19. name, etc., etc., and then it says when the contract is with the person
20. firm, partnership, association, corporation, or co-operative assoc-
21. iation which such interested officer of the municipality has less than
22. a seven and a half percent in the ownership and that's an exception,
23. so they have to reveal themselves as more than seven and a half
24. percent interest. It's right in the bill. I don't see any amendment
25. to the bill and the way I read it is that clerks, treasurers and other
26. appointed officials should also be made to account as well as elected
27. officials. That's the way I read the bill and the...I think they
28. should be made to account because you and I both know that are
29. clerks and treasurers who are very influential and certain members of
30. city councils and can urge them to take action which would be very,
31. very favorable, more than seven and a half percent to an elected
32. treasurer...to an appointed treasurer or other official.

33. PRESIDENT:

Further discussions? Senator Netsch.

1. SENATOR NETSCH:

2. Thank you. I think, perhaps, the confusion arises because
3. we are in a sense reading double negative or a reverse negative.
4. That is, these are exceptions that are in...that are being amended
5. by this bill and so the...in effect, the exception is being opened
6. up and broadened and I think that's why, in fact, the bill does do
7. what Senator Bowers and Senator Bruce have suggested and is therefore,
8. not a good idea.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Is there any further discussion? Senator Grotberg.

11. SENATOR GROTEBERG:

12. Thank you, Mr. President. Under...on page 1, Section B, where
13. ...the amendment begins to be amended it says however, any elected
14. or appointed member of the governing board, that's the old language.
15. Now, it substitutes that for municipal officer. This really does
16. mean that any elected or appointed officer is included in this and
17. that...I'm tempted to agree with Senator Bower that if they had
18. just put a comma in after elected or appointed we'd have had it all
19. set, but I don't think it's drafted properly to do what the gentleman
20. who sponsored it wanted and I think we should oppose it.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Further discussion? Senator Wooten.

23. SENATOR WOOTEN:

24. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm...come at this in some difficulty.
25. I don't have a copy of the bill or the analysis. I've just gotten
26. hold of them, but it seems to me that I had grave reservations about
27. extending this...you know, little bit of corruption, permissible
28. corruption to elected members and I think elected members of a govern-
29. ing body, elected or appointed, but to go to municipal officers...so
30. it's seven and a half percent. What's the size of the contract? It
31. can't be in the millions and I tell you, Ladies and Gentlemen, this
32. bill will come back to haunt you. This is one you've simply got to
33. watch. You are permitting...you are...making official the kinds of
things that people go to jail for right now. I just think it is a

1. terrible bill and Senator Bowers puts his finger on it right now.
2. Every time the Municipal League comes in with a problem that affects
3. a village of two thousand I don't believe them. I think they are
4. talking about very large cities because they absolutely refuse to
5. let us make any distinctions and as long as we can't make those
6. distinctions, then I think we have to keep a very close watch on
7. what loopholes we put in this law.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator McLendon may
10. close the debate.

11. SENATOR McLENDON:

12. Yes, may I ask leave to take this bill out of the record for
13. a short while in order to discuss it with the sponsor, Mr...

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Leave is granted. Take it out of the record. House Bill 1508,
16. Senator Knuppel. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

17. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

18. House Bill 1508.

19. (Secretary reads title of bill)

20. 3rd reading of the bill.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Senator Knuppel.

23. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

24. Well, 1508 allows salvage...I thought it was on the Consent
25. Calendar, but let me...

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. It is, Senator, but evidently they haven't got to get it all
28. together, so we're just running down the line with all the bills.

29. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

30. Well, let me get the file back here. I put the file away, think-
31. ing it was on the...I'm to go on to the next bill now? What am I to do?

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. No, the President wants to call every one because they haven't

1. got to the form on the Agreed Bill List...

2. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

3. All right. What this bill does, it amends the Environmental
4. Protection Act. I assume you want to read the bill. Have you read
5. it?

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. Yes.

8. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

9. All right. It amends the Environmental Protection Act and
10. prohibits regulations that would have the effect of preventing
11. salvage or recovery of metal from loads delivered to landfill
12. operations. This does not...individuals cannot participate. It's
13. limited to those people who are licensed to salvage. It's good
14. legislation and will provide for re-cycling and let them gather
15. much of the wasted metal out of the dumps and so forth. Thank you.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. Is there further...is there further discussion? If not, the
18. question is, shall House Bill 1508 pass. Those in favor indicate
19. by voting Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
20. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
21. On that question the Ayes are 47. The Nays are none. None Voting
22. Present. House Bill 1508, having received the constitutional majority,
23. is declared passed. House Bill 1526, Senator Bruce. For what purpose
24. does Senator Demuzio rise?

25. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

26. Yes, just as a matter of procedure. Now, the Agreed Bill List
27. has been passed out, is that correct? And we are now going to go
28. right down the Calendar even though the bills that are on the Agreed
29. Bill List that has been passed out, have been voted on, but we're
30. going to go right down the...

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. It has been passed out...but, it still...we still are allowing
33. members to file their objections, so in a matter...since these bills

1. are coming up, might as well take them as they come and vote them
2. up or down.

3. SENATOR DEMUZIO:
4. ...throw this away then, right?

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
6. Well, unless you want to just hold the bills there and if
7. they get knocked off the Calendar and you don't get back to them.
8. For what purpose Senator Netsch arise?

9. SENATOR NETSCH:
10. I have a suggestion. I wonder if we could do this. For those
11. bills that are on the list, we pass by them right now and not take
12. all the time to call them with leave and agreement of the membership
13. that any bill that is removed will not lose it's place in line, but
14. we will come back to all of those together. Would that be agreeable,
15. Mr. President?

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
17. Senator, we may as well just go through. We have on this page
18. only three more, one on the other...just go right down through the...
19. For what purpose Senator Bowers arise?

20. SENATOR BOWERS:
21. Well, when Senator Donnewald announced this morning he said that
22. if it's knocked off of the Agreed Bill List it would get priority
23. treatment and I don't know any reason why we have to have any further
24. agreement. It made sense to me.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
26. Senator Weaver. All right, fine. House Bill 1526, Senator Bruce.

27. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)
28. House Bill 1526.
29. (Secretary reads title of bill)
30. 3rd reading of the bill.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
32. Senator Bruce.
33. SENATOR BRUCE:

1. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This
2. bill does exactly as it's stated on the Calendar. It allows counties
3. and cities to join together to jointly operate an airport, the Inter-
4. governmental Cooperation Act...their attorney thought that it...it
5. says it's...governments can cooperate , but he would like to see a
6. Statute saying that cities and counties can join together and their
7. joint boards can take votes and it establishes the way that the debt
8. can be maintained by both the city and the county. Senator Grotberg
9. has tacked on an amendment dealing with...port districts and I think
10. this makes it a much better bill. I'd like to move it out with a
11. favorable vote.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. Is there further discussion? Senator Philip.

14. SENATOR PHILIP:

15. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
16. Senate. Will the sponsor yield for a question.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. He indicates he will.

19. SENATOR PHILIP:

20. Did we not pass out a similar Senate Bill, 58, that allegedly
21. took care of that problem? Secondly, what has this got to do with
22. Midway Airport, anything at all?

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Senator Bruce.

25. SENATOR BRUCE:

26. If we passed out another bill doing this, I am...I'm totally
27. unaware of it and no, it doesn't have anything to do with Midway
28. Airport as far as I know. It has to do with the airport at
29. Effingham, Illinois. We have a county board and city that would
30. like to cooperately operate that and the problem is that the statute
31. just doesn't seem to hit levies for airports and this just clarifies
32. it that in fact the city and county can jointly operate an airport.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Further discussions? Senator Grotberg.

2. SENATOR GROTBORG:

3. Just to clarify, Senator Philip, 58 was my bill and it...had
4. nothing to do with this. It cleared up an Attorney General ruling
5. and I think it's out of the House now, but this is strictly what
6. the sponsor says.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Is there further discussion? If not, question is, shall House
9. Bill 1526 pass. Those in favor indicate by voting Aye. Those oppose
10. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all
11. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 53.
12. The Nays are none. None Voting Present. House Bill 1526, having
13. received the constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill
14. 1529, Senator Knuppel. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

15. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

16. House Bill 1529.

17. (Secretary reads title of bill)

18. 3rd reading of the bill.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Senator Knuppel.

21. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

22. Mr. President, this is a bill that was presented through
23. the Teachers' Retirement System and some of their members. It would
24. allow a member retired with a reduced amount under a reversionary plan of
25. retirement to now elect to receive the full retirement allowance. To
26. do this, however, his designated dependent beneficiary must still be
27. alive. It's anticipated that fewer than one hundred retired members
28. will exercise this election. The Teachers' Retirement System are
29. the unofficial sponsors of the legislation and the actuaries have
30. estimated the net impact to be zero because, in fact, there will be
31. possibly a savings to the retirement system. I move that this is good
32. legislation that allows some people freedom of choice. The beneficiary
33. still has to be alive to do it.

HB 1535
3rd Reading
6-25-79

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Is there further discussion? Senator Berning.

3. SENATOR BERNING:

4. Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in support of the bill. I
5. had reservations about the potential of constitutional conflict where
6. beneficiary's rights are apparently being impaired, but I have been
7. assured that this is not a factor and apparently we should have no
8. reservation about allowing a...an annuitant the right to change his
9. mind and that's about what this bill does.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

11. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall
12. House Bill 1529 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed
13. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all
14. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are
15. 56. The Nays are none. None Voting Present. House Bill 1529,
16. having received the constitutional majority, is declared passed. House
17. Bill 1535, Senator...for what purpose Senator Geo-Karis arise?

18. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

19. And I meant to vote Yes, by the time I got there, you were too
20. fast for me. Will you please note that I would have voted Yes on 15...
21. whatever it is.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. The record will so indicate. House Bill 1535, Senator Jerome
24. Joyce. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

25. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

26. House Bill 1535.

27. (Secretary reads title of bill)

28. 3rd reading of the bill.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. Senator Joyce.

31. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

32. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. The bill, as it came over, does
33. this...just that. It was put in by the Department of Public Health.

1. It lets them inspect radiation installations, make reports to the
2. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, which is about the same thing as they
3. are doing now, but the amendment, Senate Amendment No. 1 is the
4. meat of the bill now. It is the amendment...it is the bill with
5. Senate Bill 262 that did not get out of the House Committee. We
6. had the votes to get it out there. You're all aware what that is.
7. That's...prevents the importation of spent fuel rods unless there
8. is a reciprocity agreement with the state that is sending it in and
9. we had the votes in committee, but it didn't get called and with the
10. House rule that it takes a majority it did not get it so I would
11. appreciate a favorable roll call or ask any questions.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. Is there further discussion? Senator Becker.

14. SENATOR BECKER:

15. Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. I rise in
16. opposition to this bill. I passed out to each Senator on the Floor
17. a copy of the Wall Street Journal telling all of us what countries
18. like Germany, France and many others throughout the world are doing
19. with their nuclear waste. In a book recently published they tell
20. us we are the world's technical...technological pioneers in nuclear
21. energy production which in its various forms can yield limitless
22. energy. Congress has repeatedly told that despite the great potential
23. fuel reserves not to mention the unexplored areas, production has been
24. severely inhibited. Also under nuclear energy after thirty years of
25. development, nuclear energy in 1975 was producing only two percent
26. of our energy needs with Germany, France, Japan systematically out
27. pacing us in construction of nuclear plants. Congress has been
28. repeatedly told that various laws and regulations were primarily
29. responsible for this inhibition of exploration and production in all
30. energy realms. I say to this Senate Body Adolph Hitler lost the
31. 2nd World War because the tanks, the planes, and the trucks had no
32. fuel. We have an opportunity in this country today to continue to
33. experiment with nuclear waste with the possibility of using it as a

1. fuel. I wonder many, many years ago when Alexander Graham Bell
2. invented a telephone if people were fearing they would lose their
3. hearing or I wonder if the lights, when they were invented by
4. Edison, if the people feared they would lose their eyesight
5. because of the lights.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. Senator, would you bring your remarks to a close?

8. SENATOR BECKER:

9. I say every man in this...on this Senate Floor should vote No
10. on this Senate Bill.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. All right, our line up...we have Senator Nimrod, Mitchler, Rupp
13. and Gitz. Senator Nimrod.

14. SENATOR NIMROD:

15. Yes, Mr. President, thank you and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
16. Senate. I would only call your attention to the fact that we have
17. passed the House Bill 1084...or Senate Bill 1084, and that's
18. Senator Geo-Karis' bill which already puts a thousand dollars a
19. shipment price tag on spent fuel. Now, if we already have a bill that's
20. taking care of this problem for now, it seems to me that a passage
21. of this kind of a bill would indicate an anti-nuclear vote. Illinois
22. certainly is a State whose energy is certainly one that is stable and
23. that has set out to the rest of this country that nuclear power in
24. Illinois has proven to be a true factor that we've been the number
25. one State in the area. It seems to me that we would be destroying
26. that particular attitude and indicating an anti-nuclear vote in
27. Illinois. In fact, this is an over-reaction to the Three Mile inci-
28. dent and, in fact, what this bill does is a back door referendum...
29. a back door moratorium...

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Senator, your time has expired.

32. SENATOR NIMROD:

33. It's an important issue...a back door moratorium...

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. You can come back on the second time Senator. Your time
3. has expired. Senator Mitchler.

4. SENATOR MITCHLER:

5. Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. This bill
6. was defeated over in the House and it's being put on as an amendment
7. to House Bill 1535 in the second attempt. Now, proponents make it
8. appear that this General Electric facility at Morris is a badly
9. managed atomic garbage dump. Now, that's as far from the truth as
10. you can get. I've taken visits through that plant, taken groups
11. through five, six times. We have conducted tours open to the General
12. Assembly. Now, I know many of you have been through that facility.
13. But many of you that live in close proximity to it have never even
14. visited. Now, what they do there, they do accept spent...fuel from
15. these nuclear plants from...let's see, out in California, Wisconsin
16. and Connecticut. Now that is because the General Electric Company
17. has specific contracts with specific utilities in those states!

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19. Senator, your time has expired. Senator Rupp.

20. SENATOR RUPP:

21. Thank you, Mr. President. I, too oppose this bill and what
22. I would like to do is to refute any inference at all that this
23. corporation, GE, is not a good citizen. We had them in Decatur.
24. They moved out because of the closing down of that particular part
25. of their operation. GE came to me when I was Mayor at that time
26. and we had several meetings about it. They agreed that they would
27. not sell that property to any company unless it was a manufacturing
28. company who would bring jobs back into the city of Decatur. This was
29. what they did. They stuck to that agreement. It took over two years,
30. but they are a responsible company and I think any inference that
31. is put out during this time is erroneous. I ask that this bill be
32. defeated.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Gitz.

2. SENATOR GITZ:

3. Mr. President, members of the Senate. I rise with some
4. reluctance to speak on this bill simply because it has been debated
5. before. It was passed out of the Senate, but it's a little tiring
6. to see that every time we talk about some of these major issues
7. it is always pictured as an anti-nuclear energy issue. It is not.
8. I ask everyone in this Senate to ask themselves one question. If
9. nuclear power is used in the State of Michigan, why should they be
10. able to have a law where they don't store their own waste and
11. send it to Illinois? We are one of the only states in the country
12. which accepts high level nuclear waste. This isn't anti-nuclear
13. power. This simply means that if we're going to accept their waste
14. they ought to accept ours. I think that is very rational. I think
15. it is very prudent. It simply means that other states shouldn't be
16. able to load their waste and make us the nuclear dumping ground of
17. the country. It has nothing to do with the actual nuclear power
18. plants. It simply means that we're going to store our own waste
19. and if we have a reciprocal arrangement with the rest of the country,
20. we can do so at their expense.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Senator Sangmeister.

23. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

24. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. For what
25. it's worth, I know we all take surveys once in a while. I finally
26. took one in my district. It's the first one I ever took and the
27. results back in the...in Will County area are that people are very
28. much pro-nuclear from the results of that survey. They don't want any
29. moratoriums on nuclear plants. They don't want them converted from
30. nuclear to oil. They don't want to have to pay that expense in their
31. electrical costs either, but as overwhelmingly as that survey was on
32. that point, on the point of whether we should be accepting other
33. states' nuclear waste they were just as strong on that one particular
point so that survey reflects my own personal feeling on nuclear

1. energy and will probably dictate my votes down here but on that one
2. issue I am with the people in my district who feel we ought to
3. support this kind of legislation and prevent us becoming the
4. dumping ground.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. Is there further discussion? For the second time, Senator
7. Nimrod.

8. SENATOR NIMROD:

9. Yes, Mr. President, just very quickly, I was saying that, in fact,
10. what we are doing is putting a back door moratorium on nuclear power
11. by the passage of this bill. I think what we...should be more
12. concerned with is the kind of effect and the attitude that we leave
13. with the rest of the nation. The Federal Government is addressing
14. this problem. We already have some committee within this Senate to
15. address this problem. We certainly are taking this step ahead of time
16. before we find out what we're supposed to do. I think the time...
17. the bill for the present...voting at this time is ill-timed and it
18. would be best to vote against it until we get some reports from
19. our own committees that we have set up.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

21. For the second time, Senator Mitchler.

22. SENATOR MITCHLER:

23. Thank you, Mr. President. What I wanted to emphasize that the
24. proponents would also have us believe that the General Electric
25. Morris facility is a national dumping ground for spent fuel from
26. all over the country. Now, the facts are that spent fuel is shipped
27. to Morris from California, Wisconsin, and Connecticut because
28. General Electric has specific contracts with specific utilities in
29. those states. Now, it's an interim storage facility, a place to
30. safely store spent fuel until it can be reprocessed or disposed of
31. in a Federal facility. Now, the fact that General Electric's Morris
32. facility contains this three hundred ten metric tons of spent nuclear
33. fuel which the proponents agree is well managed and safe is indicative

1. of the safety of the present facility which has a very, very low
2. risk. Now, this is unnecessary legislation. It's scare tactics.
3. You can go back and get people all excited like the China Syndrome
4. and a few of those...

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. Senator, your time has expired. For the first time, Senator
7. Demuzio.

8. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

9. Well, thank you, Mr. President. I really wasn't going to speak
10. on this issue because I think all of...I've said all that needs to
11. be addressed. However, you know, how times have changed. On May
12. the 14th of this year we sent this bill, Senate Bill...actually
13. Senate Bill 262 which deals with the same subject matter out of this
14. Body 43 to 4. I would want to point out to the individuals who have
15. spoke against the bill this morning that it also provides the Depart-
16. ment of Public Health to inspect the personnel of all radiation in-
17. stallation as well as the premises and operations. I want to point
18. out to you that if you're voting against the reciprocal agreement here
19. you're also voting against providing the Department of Public Health
20. with the authority to make the current...to make its inspections of
21. various sites throughout the State of Illinois.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Joyce may close
24. the debate.

25. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

26. Thank you, Mr. President. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate,
27. I want to say something else here...it is just...we have just received
28. word in the last week and GE confirms this, that there will be fifty-
29. two loads or twenty-one metric tons coming in from California this
30. July. Now California won't accept ours and California doesn't store
31. their own spent fuel rods. All this bill says is that any state that
32. will accept ours, we will accept theirs. Now, it just seems fair to
33. me that we protect the people in the State of Illinois by having at

1. least an agreement with the states that are bringing that in here
2. that we can take ours to them. It's not a back door moratorium on
3. energy or on nuclear plants because if they can't bring these spent
4. fuel rods in from other states then we can store our own spent fuel
5. rods there and that facility is not going to sit empty, you can bet
6. on that, so I would ask a favorable vote on this bill. Thank you.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Question is, shall House Bill 1535 pass. Those in favor will
9. vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all
10. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
11. question the Ayes are 34. The Nays are 14. 2 Voting Present. House
12. Bill 1535, having received the constitutional majority, is declared
13. passed. For what purpose Senator Mitchler rise?

14. SENATOR MITCHLER:

15. May I have a verification of the affirmative votes?

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. A verification of the affirmative votes has been requested.
18. Would all the members please be in their seats and answer their
19. names as the roll is called. Read the names of the affirmative vote,
20. Mr. Secretary.

21. SECRETARY:

22. The following voted in the affirmative: Bloom, Bruce, Buzbee,
23. Carroll, Chew, Coffey, Collins, D'Arco, Demuzio, Donnewald, Egan,
24. Geo-Karis, Gitz, Hall, Johns, Jeremiah Joyce, Jerome Joyce, Knuppel,
25. Lemke, Maragos, Martin, McLendon, Nash, Nedza, Nega, Netsch, Newhouse,
26. Ozinga, Sangmeister, Schaffer, Vadalabene, Washington, Wooten, and
27. Mr. President.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

29. Senator Mitchler, do you question any member?

30. SENATOR MITCHLER:

31. Senator Berman?

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Senator Berman is not on the roll call.

HB 1540
2nd Reading
6-25-79

1. SENATOR MITCHLER:

2. Senator Merlo?

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. He's not on the roll call.

5. SENATOR MITCHLER:

6. Okay.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. The roll call has been verified. The Ayes are 34. The Nays
9. are 14 and 2 Voting Present. House Bill 1535, having received the
10. majority is declared passed. House Bill 1540, Senator Lemke. Read
11. the bill, Mr. Secretary.

12. SECRETARY:

13. House Bill 1540.

14. (Secretary reads title of bill)

15. 3rd reading of the bill.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. Senator Lemke.

18. SENATOR LEMKE:

19. What this does is make various technical changes in the Torrens
20. Act which affects...is operable in Cook County only, such as taking
21. of the Social Security number off the...under Federal law which
22. you can't do and other various things. I ask for its adoption.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Is there further discussion? Senator Moore.

25. SENATOR MOORE:

26. Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. I rise in
27. support of House Bill 1540. There are some fifty or sixty sections
28. that the bill does amend. It updates it, puts in new language. I
29. think it's a good bill and I urge support from this side of the aisle.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall
32. House Bill 1540 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed
33. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all

1. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 56.
2. The Nays are none. None Voting Present. House Bill 1540, having
3. received the constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill
4. 1542, Senator Keats. Senator Maitland.
5. SECRETARY:
6. House Bill 1542.
7. (Secretary reads title of bill)
8. 3rd reading of the bill.
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
10. Senator Maitland.
11. SENATOR MAITLAND:
12. Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this gives
13. township trustees the authority to declare that the unincorporated
14. area of a township is a special fire district for taxing purposes.
15. The majority of the voters of that unincorporated area of a town-
16. ship must approve of the action. The rate of the tax, of course, cannot
17. exceed the forty cents. The bill further provides that any property
18. within the special fire district which is annexed by a municipality
19. which provides fire protection shall be automatically disconnected
20. from the township fire protection district. Senator Keats would
21. appreciate a favorable roll call.
22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
23. Is there further discussion? If not, question is, shall 15...
24. House Bill 1542 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed
25. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all
26. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
27. bill the Ayes are 50. The Nays are none. None Voting Present. House
28. Bill 1542, having received the constitutional majority, is declared
29. passed. For what purpose Senator Sangmeister rise?
30. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:
31. Well, I think we all ought to get a little concerned about this.
32. I sit underneath one of those edifices right up there. Now, I don't
33. know the last time that that was checked as to how tight that is again

1. but I would suggest to the President that he have somebody go over
2. this Chamber. That happened right over the Secretary's Desk not
3. too long ago and somebody is going to get hurt. I think it ought
4. to be looked into.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. Yes, Senator, the units themselves are secure. It's the
7. laborers that are working up there that are hitting the rods that
8. cause it to...the President, I understand, is issuing an order to
9. have them stop working above there. Donnewald requested that for
10. the Democratic side. I'm sure that Senator Shapiro would request that on
11. the Republican side. Senator Hall. Senator Hall.

12. SENATOR HALL:

13. No, I guess that's what Senator Donnewald said. I see no
14. reason with this late date that we...unless you're going to bring...
15. of course, hard hats wouldn't do you if that thing fell. I think
16. they could certainly hold off from that while we are here.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Senator Nimrod. Is this regarding Workmen's Compensation?
19. Senator Nimrod.

20. SENATOR NIMROD:

21. Yes. I wonder if you might want to reconsider that vote so I
22. can get it passed in a hurry so I can get some benefits. No, I
23. understand that the workmen are working up there and there's cables
24. that hold these and if those workmen are up there I think they ought
25. to be told that they...okay.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. All right. House Bill 1560, Senator Geo-Karis.

28. SECRETARY:

29. House Bill 1560.

30. (Secretary reads title of bill)

31. 3rd reading of the bill.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Senator Geo-Karis.

1. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

2. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is
3. the bill that was amended to make it only necessary for the various
4. police departments in the information they give to the State Bureau
5. of Investigation to just add a box and put the...cross out into a
6. box, my understanding is, how many crimes of domestic violence
7. were reported, not just between husband and wife alone, but also
8. between members of one family and I urge this...your respectful
9. consideration because once we have an idea how many incidences of
10. these crimes are happen in the State of Illinois, perhaps we in
11. the Legislature can possibly do something more constructive about it
12. in the future. I urge your respectful consideration and it's supported
13. by the Department of Law...the Law Enforcement also.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Is there further discussion? Senator Knuppel.

16. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

17. Well, that's what you call mumbling one through. It's not
18. really a bad bill. It doesn't do a hell of a lot. It just puts
19. a extra box supposedly on reports for law enforcement agencies when
20. they have report of a domestic fight. I guess that will give some sta-
21. tistician the right to run up the totals to know how many times a husband
22. biffs his wife, how many times she hits him with a skillet, and how
23. many times the kids have fights but I don't think, Senator Geo-Karis,
24. you're one of the most articulate people on the Floor. I don't think
25. you did that bill justice.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Further discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill
28. 1560 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay.
29. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
30. Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 47. The Nays are 1.
31. House Bill...and 1 Voting Present. House Bill 1560, having received
32. the constitutional majority, is declared passed. For what purpose
33. does Senator Maragos rise?

HB 1576
3rd reading
6-25-79

1. SENATOR MARAGOS:
2. Unfortunately, I didn't get to my switch in time. I would
3. have voted Aye if was...had been recorded.
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
5. The record will so indicate. House Bill 1564, Senator Shapiro.
6. For what purpose does Senator Demuzio rise?
7. SENATOR DEMUZIO:
8. Yes, on that...on that last bill...House Bill 1560, I voted Pre-
9. sent, but Senator Sangmeister has convinced me it's a good bill,
10. so I'd like the record to reflect I would have voted Aye had I
11. pushed the right button.
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
13. The record will so indicate. House Bill 1576, Senator Bruce.
14. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
15. SECRETARY:
16. House Bill 1576.
17. (Secretary reads title of bill)
18. 3rd reading of the bill.
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
20. Senator Bruce.
21. SENATOR BRUCE:
22. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. House Bill
23. 1576 is similar to a bill that we had passed here earlier, but
24. has been altered by amendment. It just states that the board shall
25. reinstate a school teacher in a position substantially similar to the
26. one from which that teacher held prior to the teacher's suspension or
27. dismissal and Section 2411 also provides that teacher's tenure status
28. does not restrict the power of the board to transfer a teacher to a
29. position which the teacher is qualified to fill or make such other
30. salary adjustment as it deems desirable. The bill has had an amend-
31. ment added which deals with the order of dismissal and it states that
32. the school board must...dismiss tenured teachers based on their
33. length of service unless there is an alternative method that's

1. established in the collective bargaining agreement or by contract
2. and this will not impair any affirmative action program presently
3. in existence in a district. Ask for your favorable consideration.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Is there further discussion? Senator Maitland.

6. SENATOR MAITLAND:

7. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

8. Might I ask the sponsor a question?

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

10. He indicates he will yield.

11. SENATOR MAITLAND:

12. Senator Bruce, is there a possibility with this kind of
13. legislation that a school district could be forced to divide a
14. class to provide a place for that teacher?

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Senator Bruce.

17. SENATOR BRUCE:

18. No. I don't see how it would operate, Senator.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Senator Maitland.

21. SENATOR MAITLAND:

22. Why not?

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Bruce.

25. SENATOR BRUCE:

26. Just says they shall dismiss teachers if they...if they have
27. two classes that they need to teach, the teacher would not be
28. dismissed. That's why.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. Senator Maitland. Senator Berman.

31. SENATOR BERMAN:

32. Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in support of this bill.
33. I want to call particular attention to the amendment that Senator

1. Bruce referred to. There are many school districts in the State
2. that have ongoing negotiations between their teachers and their
3. school boards. I think many of us have problems with some of these
4. bills that come before us because we talk about the rights of the
5. local school board to determine and do their own thing and I am
6. usually in support of that concept. The amendment says that the
7. provisions regarding the dismissal by tenure and the rehiring by
8. tenure will be subject...will not apply if that subject has been
9. covered by a collective bargaining agreement or contract entered
10. into between the board and the teachers and that's really where it
11. should be done. I know that in the districts that I represent up
12. in Evanston this subject has been covered by the collective bargain-
13. ing process. There's a contract that covers it. It addresses
14. affirmative action. It addresses sex discrimination. It provides
15. for the quality and quantity of the teachers that have to be rified
16. or dismissed because of a reduction in force. These are important
17. things. I think that this principle...this principle in that amend-
18. ment is very important and I would urge an Aye vote on this bill.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Senator, your time has expired. Is there further discussion?

21. Senator Sangmeister.

22. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

23. Yes, quick question of the sponsor.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. He indicates he will yield.

26. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

27. With all the amendments and everything that's on the bill, does
28. this mean that a teacher who has been discharged, a tenured teacher
29. who has seniority over another teacher has to be...has to be hired,
30. right? A younger teacher coming along regardless of the board's feeling
31. about the teacher's qualification, they do have to take the teacher
32. with the seniority, is that correct?

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Bruce.

2. SENATOR BRUCE:

3. This is only on dismissal of tenured teachers which means they
4. have served at least two and probably three years and just says as
5. between tenured teachers they must relieve them first in the order
6. of seniority and the least senior tenured teacher would go first if
7. they are qualified if the positions...the other parts of the
8. Statute relate to being qualified for the position.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

10. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Bruce may close
11. the debate.

12. SENATOR BRUCE:

13. Well, as I mentioned, this bill does two things. First of all,
14. it says that once a teacher has won his day in court and is reinstated
15. by the board they must reinstate them to a position substantially
16. similar to the one that they held and additionally that among tenured
17. teachers and as between tenured teachers you've...you relieve or
18. dismiss them in the order of the least seniority. Ask for a favorable
19. vote.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

21. Question is shall House Bill 1576 pass. Those in favor will vote
22. Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all
23. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
24. question the Ayes are 33. The Nays are 17. 2 Voting Present. House
25. Bill 1576, having received the constitutional majority, is declared
26. passed. House Bill 1596, Senator Regner. House Bill 1597, Senator
27. Netsch. House Bill 1600, Senator Johns. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

(END OF REEL #4)

1. SECRETARY:

2. House Bill 1600.

3. (Secretary reads title of bill)

4. 3rd reading of the bill.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. Senator Johns.

7. SENATOR JOHNS:

8. Thank you, Mr. President. This bill amends the Vehicle
9. Code Section of the disposition of fees and taxes. Simply it
10. deletes the requirement that two dollars of the certificate
11. of title fees be deposited in the General Revenue Fund, and
12. instead, we want to direct all of the fees collected from
13. this to the Road Fund, which as many of us know, we're all
14. seeking means of supplementing. I'd appreciate a favorable
15. roll call.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is,
18. shall House Bill 1600 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye.
19. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all
20. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
21. On that question, the Ayes are 49, the Nays are none. 2
22. Voting Present. House Bill 1600, having received the constitu-
23. tional majority is declared passed. House Bill 1606, Senator
24. Vadalabene. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. Senator we just
25. amended that bill today, we can't call it. House Bill...
26. House Bill 1619, Senator McLendon. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

27. SECRETARY:

28. House Bill 1619.

29. (Secretary reads title of bill)

30. 3rd reading of the bill.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. Senator McLendon.

33. SENATOR MCLENDON:

HB 1623
3rd reading
6-25-79

1. Yes, Mr. Speaker, a question has been raised with
2. respect to House Bill 1620, with respect to a possible
3. amendment. I would like to get...take both of these
4. bills together when they come up, but I would like to
5. have an opportunity to get in touch with the sponsor...
6. the House sponsor of the bill, to clear up the possible
7. amendment. I'd like assurance from the Senate that you
8. will get back to these two bills.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

10. Believe that we'll be back to them one more time,
11. Senator.

12. SENATOR MCLENDON:

13. Thank you, very much.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. Take it out of the record. Senator you indicated 1620,
16. was the other bill, also? 1623, Senator Sangmeister. Read
17. the bill, Mr. Secretary.

18. SECRETARY:

19. House Bill 1623.

20. (Secretary reads title of bill)
21. 3rd reading of the bill.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. Senator Sangmeister.

24. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

25. Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. What
26. this legislation will do, is the Department of Public Health
27. will..has or is in the process of promulgating a rule that you've
28. got to have two, what I call paramedics, out on the...on an
29. emergency situation and we have voluntary fire associations
30. and others who run this program and are very concerned because
31. they're lucky to have one trained paramedic to go out to
32. an accident, and frankly if I was involved in one, and I would
33. hope you would feel the same, you'd be glad to have at least
one get there, and not have to have a regulation that would

1. require two of them appear on the scene, so this would prevent
2. the department from promulgating a rule which would require
3. any more than one. Obviously the department will encourage
4. and they should, that they have as many as possible trained
5. to go out, but there are places where we just don't have two
6. and that's the purpose of the bill. Attached to this bill
7. has been an amendment, and I would now like to defer to Senator
8. Davidson, to explain the amendment that he placed on this bill.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

10. Is there discussion? Senator Davidson.

11. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

12. Yes, the amendment put on, is a request for insurance
13. companies or any other employer who totally underwrite the
14. HMO's and it...the Department of Insurance supports this, it
15. will save them thirty-one dollars per employee per year on cost
16. and that's what did. We did not get the bill called, it
17. was a Senate Bill, it came out unanimous, Insurance Committee,
18. and we did not have an opportunity to get the bill heard before
19. we adjourned on May 25th.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Senator Graham.

22. SENATOR GRAHAM:

23. Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. ...Senator
24. Sangmeister, laid it out absolutely truthful. We passed
25. the Mobile Intensive Care Unit Bill, last session, and subsequent
26. to that, the Department of Public Health, in their normal
27. bureaucratic way developed and promulgated their rules which
28. is in conflict with the things that this General Assembly wanted
29. to do, and absolutely in conflict with the actual...in the field
30. operations of those dealing with Mobile Intensive Care. This
31. is a good bill, and deserves support.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

33. Senator Grotberg.

SENATOR GROTEBERG:

1. For the same purpose, I would like to ask the sponsor, if
2. this takes care of Mel Dunn and those fire districts that have
3. been in the rural districts where they've just been raising
4. hell with me by letter, and by regulation for having to find
5. an extra person at extra expense to come to the accident. That
6. ...you've solved the problem, is that correct?

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

8. Senator Sangmeister.

9. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

10. Well, I'm sure we're talking about the same thing, because
11. I think we've all received that kind of communication. I'm
12. sure that's exactly on point for you Senator Grotberg.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

14. Is there further discussion? Question is, shall House
15. Bill 1623 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay.
16. The voting is open. Have all those those voted who wish? Take
17. the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none.
18. House Bill 1623, having received the constitutional majority is
19. declared passed. House Bill 1679, Senator Vadalabene. Read
20. the bill, Mr. Secretary.

21. SECRETARY:

22. House Bill 1679.

23. (Secretary reads title of bill)

24. 3rd reading of the bill.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

26. Senator Vadalabene.

27. SENATOR VADALABENE:

28. Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. House
29. Bill 1679, is designed to prohibit school districts from im-
30. posing residency requirements on teachers as a condition of...
31. for employment. Members of the education community have expressed con-
32. cern that a residency requirement a condition of employment
33. greatly impedes the mobility of teachers, and I would appreciate
a favorable vote.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SEANTOR DONNEWALD)
2. Is there discussion? Question is,..Senator Savickas.
3. SENATOR SAVICKAS:
4. Yes, Mr. President, would the sponsor yield to a question?
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SEANTOR DONNEWALD)
6. Indicates he will.
7. SENATOR SAVICKAS:
8. Does this include Chicago in the residency requirement
9. removal? Chicago has a policy and it has been upheld in the
10. courts, that condition of employment as residency requirement
11. that's a valid condition. Are you removing this condition from
12. Chicago teachers?
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
14. Senator Vadalabene.
15. SENATOR VADALABENE:
16. Yes, let me read the bill. Itsays residency within any
17. school district, or outside of any school district shall not be
18. considered except with regard to administrative personnel
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
20. Senator Savickas.
21. SENATOR SAVICKAS:
22. Yes, well, this bill does in that language, remove the
23. requirement that the city has, and it's been upheld in the courts
24. that it's a legitimate and valid reason for employment, is the
25. residency requirement, and if that is I would suggest that city
26. members vote against this bill.
27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
28. Senator De Angelis.
29. SENATOR DE ANGELIS:
30. I think Senator Savickas asked my question, I have a
31. town with the same problem.
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
33. Senator Netsch.
SENATOR NETSCH:

1. Could I ask the sponsor a question, also? Is there
2. a provision either in this bill, or in the basic Act which
3. it amends, which preempts home rule units?

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

5. Senator Vadalabene.

6. SENATOR VADALABENE:

7. Well, let me get back to Savickas' question. If I under-
8. stand in committee, this exempted Cook County, now in re-
9. gard to the preemption rule, I can't answer that.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. Senator Netsch.

12. SENATOR NETSCH:

13. That...that's fairly critical. If there is no preemption
14. provision in the Statute or in this bill, then at least a number
15. of the units, including Chicago, and Cook County, and all of those
16. that are home rule units, would, indeed, be able to enact a re-
17. sidency requirement. That clearly is within their home rule
18. prerogative. I...it becomes really quite important, because
19. there are many of us who feel that...that this is a good provision,
20. and it should not be eliminated by kind of happenstance. I
21. would suggest either that you take it from the record so that
22. we could check that out, if you cannot determine it immediately.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

24. Senator Philip.

25. SENATOR PHILIP:

26. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
27. Senate. I think this bill should be defeated, and I'll tell
28. you why. I can remember in my district, school kids, whether
29. they're in high school or junior high or grade school, coming
30. home with propaganda from the teachers on why they should
31. support some kind of a referendum, increase taxes. Come to
32. find out that those school teachers don't even live in that
33. district. They live in a district with much lower taxes. If
they're going to teach in those schools, and benefit from that

1. salary, they ought to be paying the taxes in that district, also,
2. and this bill ought to be defeated.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

4. Senator Washington.

5. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

6. Well, my question is the same as Senator Netsch's. I would
7. like to know if they're going to take this bill out of the
8. record, or are we going to proceed?

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

10. Senator Vadalabene.

11. SENATOR VADALABENE:

12. Yes, I'm glad you came to me about that question. I suggest
13. I take it out of the record.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. Take it out of the record. House Bill 1681, Senator
16. Mitchler. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

17. SECRETARY:

18. House Bill 1681.

19. (Secretary reads title of bill)
20. 3rd reading of the bill.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

22. Senator Mitchler.

23. SENATOR MITCHLER:

24. Mr. President...Mr. President, and members of the Senate.
25. House Bill 1681, provides and authorizes the Secretary of State,
26. to provide an application form for special registration plates
27. for former prisoners of war, and also members of the General
28. Assembly. I would ask for a favorable roll call.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

30. Is there discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 1681
31. pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting
32. is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted
33. who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 44,
the Nays are 6. House Bill 1681 having received a constitutional

1. majority is declared passed. House Bill 1686, Senator
2. Schaffer. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
3. SECRETARY:
4. House Bill 1686.
5. (Secretary reads title of bill)
6. 3rd reading of the bill.
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
8. Senator Schaffer.
9. SENATOR SCHAFFER:
10. Mr. President, and members of the Senate. This bill,
11. I think is an excellent idea, and what it does is simply,
12. is it would allow a woman who would otherwise qualify for
13. medical assistance, if she had been medically diagnosed as
14. pregnant, and...had another child to be eligible for medical
15. assistance only under the same auspices of AFDC. What
16. we've discovered is, that there're a lot a childless women
17. who become pregnant for the first time and do not qualify for
18. prenatal care, and the statistics are extremely distressing,
19. about the amount of problem births, relating to this type of
20. individual. Poor, first child, they're usually young, they are in
21. need of prenatal care, and this bill would allow they Department
22. of Public Aid to give it to them. I think it's an excellent bill,
23. and one that should have been done a long time ago, and by the
24. way, so does the Federal Government. This clearly qualifies
25. for their match.
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
27. Is there discussion? Senator Berning.
28. SENATOR BERNING:
29. Question of the sponsor, please.
30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
31. Indicates he will respond.
32. SENATOR BERNING:
33. Senator, we...we are, in my opinion here, opening the door
for additional demands, as I see it. So my question is, has there

1. been any...any indication of what the quantity or numbers
2. of people involved would be, and the potential for cost.
3. How many of these situations have we been confronted with,
4. or are we familiar with at all.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Senator Schaffer.

7. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

8. There...there is, in fact, a fiscal note, and I am
9. examining it, to give you that information.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. Senator Berning. Senator Schaffer.

12. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

13. The total, if I'm reading the bottom line correctly, the
14. total cost estimated, by the guess the Department of Public
15. Aid, would be eight hundred and thirty-eight thousand dollars,
16. of which fifty percent is Federal match. I'm looking..I think
17. I have stated that accurate.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. Senator Berning, your time is up. Is there...Senator
20. Kenneth Hall.

21. SENATOR HALL:

22. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
23. Senate. I rise in support of this. It makes common sense that
24. here some...lady who is pregnant, and she should be given the
25. right to be given medical assistance. After the child is born,
26. then she's going to be able to get it. It's just good common
27. sense today to try to get the proper assistance for women who
28. are pregnant. It makes good sense Gentlemen, it would cost
29. you far more if you don't. I fully support this.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

31. Senator Collins.

32. SENATOR COLLINS:

33. Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in support of this bill too.
I really think that this is an area, that in terms of health care and

1. public health assistance, that the State of Illinois, has
2. absolutely shirked our responsibility for. This is a very
3. serious problem, because if, in fact, the parents does not
4. receive the...the mother does not receive prenatal care then
5. you are going to...you're opening up the doors for potential
6. other costs that could probably been avoided, and this
7. area if we take care and prevent...we can take preventive
8. measures in terms of birth defects, and other kinds of problems,
9. prior to birth, if those women were given adequate care
10. of funds to receive that care. So it's just as important
11. that we look after the mother before the child is born, as
12. it is to look after it afterwards, if not the cost I think is
13. greater, if you wait until after the fact.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. Senator Netsch.

16. SENATOR NETSCH:

17. Thank you, I agree that the basic concept of the bill
18. is a very good. A question has arisen over here Senator
19. Schaffer, about the amendment that was added, I believe it
20. was Senator Sommer's amendment, which says that a local governmental
21. unit, not receiving State funds shall reimburse health care
22. providers at the same rate paid by the Illinois Department.
23. Would you, for the record, explain the intent of that amendment,
24. please?

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

26. Senator Schaffer.

27. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

28. Yes, I'm sorry, and I think I can explain it as well,
29. as Senator Sommers, but maybe not as well, I will attempt
30. though. Simply provided that we do provide through the
31. township government system, levels of support and there
32. has been no guide lines. This basically says that the town-
33. ships shall pay those medical bills at the same rate that
the Department of Public Aid would have paid, had those people

1. qualified for public aid, instead of township medical assistance,
2. and if the Governor, in his all seeing wisdom, sees fit to
3. sign House Bill 450, which will take that particular portion
4. of medical care, the bill which is, I believe, is now on his
5. desk, away from the townships, that section becomes moot.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

7. Senator Mitchler.

8. SENATOR MITCHLER:

9. Mr. President, and members of the Senate. You know how this
10. public aid thing is...keeps enlarging every year. You add a
11. little bit here and there. Now we take care of them from the
12. cradle to the grave, we're going to just change that and it's
13. going to go from the womb to the tomb, and there's one step
14. beyond that, and I'm waiting for that to come up next year, and
15. I'll tell you what that is.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

17. Senator Savickas.

18. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

19. Yes, if the sponsor would yield, I would like to just
20. find out if this applies to township health care units, or
21. to county...to all health care units?

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. Senator Schaffer.

24. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

25. To...it...the basic bill, and I think I've explained the
26. amendment, if you're talking about the bill, the bill applies
27. to people through the State Public Aid Department.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

29. Senator Savickas.

30. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

31. Yes, well, that means that like Cook County Hospital, they
32. would be...required now with their dire shortage of monies,
33. to reimburse?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. Senator Schaffer.

2. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

3. You know, Cook County Hospital, is probably in the hall-
4. ways jumping up and down pleading for you to pay for this bill
5. to be real honest with you. This is State and Federal money
6. to pay for medical expenses, in the County of Cook or any
7. other hospital throughout the State, when this type of person
8. comes to the front.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

10. Is there further discussion? Senator Schaffer may close
11. if he so desires.

12. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

13. Well, I quickly should point out, that this fiscal note
14. was drafted by someone much cleverer than I, and in the bottom
15. line is the total cost State and Federal is not as I purported,
16. it is a million two, which is about four hundred thousand more
17. than I mentioned. I would like to have the membership know that.
18. I think this is a good bill, and I'll tell you why, because
19. a very large number of these type problem pregnancies, create
20. problem births, and a large number of the children in our State
21. fatalities with serious mental and developmental problems,
22. are caused by this type of birth. I think this bill will save
23. money in the long run. Those of us who vote for Right to Life
24. Bills, and are against abortion, I think this will certainly
25. encourage a mother who wants to keep her child, to keep her
26. child, because she'll know she'll be able to get decent medical
27. care. I think it's a good concept, I'd appreciate a favorable
28. roll call.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

30. Question is, shall House Bill 1686 pass. Those in favor
31. vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all those
32. voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Take the record.
33. On that question, the Ayes are 48, the Nays are 1. 1 Voting Present.
House Bill 1686, having received the constitutional majority is

1. declared passed. House Bill 1692, Senator D'Arco. Read
2. the bill, Mr. Secretary.
3. SECRETARY:
4. House Bill 1692.
5. (Secretary reads title of bill)
6. 3rd reading of the bill.
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
8. Senator D'Arco.
9. SENATOR D'ARCO:
10. Thank you, Mr. President. What this bill provides is,
11. that it allows the local municipality to grant a license
12. to certain non-for-profit entities like churches and charities
13. and veterans organizations, Mitchler to operate raffles
14. and chances and I'd be happy to answer any questions on the bill.
15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
16. Have you concluded Senator? Is there discussion? Question
17. is...Senator Wooten.
18. SENATOR WOOTEN:
19. What games are authorized by this?
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
21. Senator D'Arco.
22. SENATOR D'ARCO:
23. Raffles and chances.
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
25. Senator Wooten.
26. SENATOR WOOTEN:
27. And just again, Senator, by what organizations precisely?
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
29. Senator D'Arco.
30. SENATOR D'ARCO:
31. All non-for-profit organizations.
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
33. Senator Wooten.
SENATOR WOOTEN:

1. I have a theatre group that's not-for-profit, you mean we
2. could conduct raffle and chances under this?

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

4. Senator D'Arco.

5. SENATOR D'ARCO:

6. Sure.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

8. Senator Mitchler.

9. SENATOR MITCHLER:

10. Well, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I rise
11. in opposition to this bill. Now, we've had this same concept
12. around here for a number of years, and it started out...it had
13. to do with some around Calumet City. There a Chamber of Commerce
14. wanted raffle off a car and that locality wouldn't
15. let them, so they wanted to get the foot in the door, and let me
16. tell you what, if you read the Act, and you'll find out that
17. the licensing and the way they're controlled, you go ahead and
18. put this through, and then you go back to your Moose Lodge, you
19. go back to your Elks Lodge, and your theatre group, and the
20. Ladies Aid Society, and you'll find out that when they have to
21. do all of this reporting and do all this accounting, that they're
22. not going to be as well off as they are now, operating it with
23. local control. You talk about home rule government, you just
24. let them operate like they're operating now, go on, put this onus
25. on their back and you go back to report to them. This has been
26. around for several years, and if I thought it was going to help
27. the Veterans Organization, the Moose Lodge, and these lodges
28. that I belong to, I'd be for it. I'm going to vote No, and then
29. I can go back and tell them I didn't do it to you fellows, and
30. Ladies.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. Senator Knuppel.

33. SENATOR KNUPPTEL:

Well, John, wouldn't this allow five of us to form a non-

1. for-profit corporation, and run chances and raffles, pay
2. ourselves all the profits as employees of the organization?
3. There would be no profit in the organization, the profit
4. would all be in our pockets, and get by with just absolutely
5. open gambling, I mean why wouldn't it?

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

7. Senator D'Arco.

8. SENATOR D'ARCO:

9. Because it provides in Section B that the license would
10. only be issued to bonafied religious, charitable, labor, paternal
11. educational, veterans organizations, that operate without profits
12. to tis members, it also provides that the manager of the operation
13. of the game is not entitled to any salary, or increment in
14. any degree whatsoever. So, you know, there are safeguards in here
15. to protect the citizens against scoundrels like us.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

17. Senator Knuppel.

18. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

19. Well, I appreciate then, I'm glad to know it, because it
20. did distrub me a little bit, when that wasn't in the explanation,
21. because I get a little tired of these people coming down here
22. to lobby for things and they say they're for some not-for-
23. profit corporation, and they're lobbying for them, and you say
24. well what about you, are you paid, well, yes he's paid, but he
25. tries to lead everybody to believe he's down here for some
26. not-for-profit corporation, when he's making a profit out of it,
27. but...but I suggest to Senator Mitchler, that is probably
28. his veterans organizations would want this.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

30. Senator Geo-Karis.

31. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

32. Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
33. This bill relates to raffles and chances and let's not fool
ourselves, you know, and I know, our churches and various religious

1. organizations conduct raffles and... and sell chances, I can tell
2. you I got about six books sent to me and my office, and they've
3. been doing it anyway, let's let them do it legally. Under this
4. Act, your local government will have the right to license and
5. regulate the operations of these games and chances and as
6. Senator D'Arco said, they would have to be bonafied a charitable
7. and religious organization. I think this is a step in the right
8. direction, and I can tell you, it is being done regularly whether
9. we pass this or not, so I think we might as well legalize it,
10. and see that some of this revenue goes into our local governments
11. where it is needed, and I speak for the bill.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

13. Senator Lemke.

14. SENATOR D'ARCO:

15. Thank you, Mr....

16. SENATOR LEMKE:

17. This is a good bill, what we're talking
18. about here is, we're talking about things that will be going
19. on now and operating, but I talked to the State's Attorneys
20. of DeKalb County, and Rock Island county, Kane County,
21. when they were down here at the State's Attorneys' Association,
22. and what they're doing now, in the past they always allowed
23. certain charities to operate jar games at carnivals and chances
24. on new cars, but what's happening is somebody is filing complaints
25. and they are going...and they have to go and prosecute these
26. people and fine them to the letter of the law. I can remember
27. when Dick Ogilivie was...the sheriff of Cook County, and he raided
28. a bunko game at Pillson Panic. This goes back some time. He had
29. more enemies from little old ladies and churches, than anybody
30. else had. Now...cause they thought it always was legal, but
31. it isn't really. What we're doing is having a victorian standard
32. we are allowing you to do it, but it's actually illegal. So what
33. we're saying here is to allow it to be legal, and so everybody
34. can continue doing it.

35. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

36. Senator, you time has expired. Senator Mitchler, for the

1. second time, and last.

2. SENATOR MITCHLER:

3. Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. As
4. pointed out by Senator D'Arco, a person that sells these
5. raffles and chances can't be compensated or paid for them, as
6. contained in the bill. A lot of times you send out these
7. chances, you say you sell ten books, then you earn on free book,
8. you can't do that anymore. I just point that out as one thing,
9. now you put this through and you complicate what is already
10. being done, you want to legalize, Senator Geo-Karis, what's
11. being done illegally now. There is a lot of things happening
12. out on the streets out here at night, you want to legalize that...
13. to make it legal. I don't believe what your talking about.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. Senator Geo-Karis for the second time.

16. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

17. I regret that I have to speak a second time, but I can
18. tell you that the non-profitable, charitable organizations
19. in my area what it and personally I think maybe we should legalize
20. some of the stuff that is being very hypocritically done.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

22. Senator D'Arco may close.

23. SENATOR D'ARCO:

24. You know, I just want to point out that this...this does
25. not preempt your local home rule unit of government. If they
26. want to prohibit the legalization of this raffle and chances
27. they can do it. So we're not trying to preempt anybody in
28. this area. All we're trying to do is to legalize what as, here-
29. tofore been done illegely and whatever rules and regs that are
30. necessary, I's sure that the department will come forward with
31. whatever it has to do to put this thing in proper scope. All the
32. organizations that are...have bingo licenses now, and are doing
33. bingo, would just extend it to raffles and chances, that's all
the thing would do. It's not of any big moment, and I would ask

HS 1693
3 sep 6 41:0
6/25/79

1. a favorable vote.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

3. Question is shall House Bill 1692 pass. Those in favor
4. vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all
5. those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Take
6. the record. On that question, the Ayes are 35, the Nays are
7. 17. 2 Voting Present. House Bill 1692, having received the
8. constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 1693,
9. Senator Lemke. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. Just a moment.
10. Senator Becker for what purpose do you rise?

11. SENATOR BECKER:

12. On a point of personal privilege, Mr. President.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

14. State you point Senator.

15. SENATOR BECKER:

16. In the gallery behind me, and thank goodness seated
17. in the right gallery, the Republican side, I take pride in
18. introducing to this Senate Body, one of the finest little
19. lady Republican Precinct Captains, in the town of Cicero.
20. She did bring her husband and her two daughters along, and
21. I take pride in introducing the Stasior family. I ask
22. them to rise and be recognized by the Senate.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

24. Please rise and be recognized. House Bill 1693. Read
25. the bill, Mr. Secretary.

26. SECRETARY:

27. House Bill 1693.

28. (Secretary reads title of bill)

29. 3rd reading of the bill.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

31. Senator Lemke.

32. SENATOR LEMKE:

33. What this does, is permits public agencies to invest
funds in corporate obligations, which mature no later than

1. one hundred and eighty days. I ask for its favorable adoption.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

3. Is there discussion? Question is, shall the...Senator

4. Berning. Your time is expiring, Senator.

5. SENATOR BERNING:

6. Yes, I know, Mr. President. This bill allows public...

7. agencies to invest funds in short term obligations of corporations

8. organized with assets exceeding five hundred thousand. The

9. obligations are rated at the time of purchase. Now, no more than

10. twenty-five percent of the funds is invested in these obligations,

11. and the question arises, giving the authority to public agencies

12. to invest this money in short term obligations of corporations

13. if the obligations have one of the three highest classifications

14. as established by, at least, two standards, rating services, and

15. if the obligations do not mature later than one hundred and eighty

16. days. The bill would require all county treasurersto invest all

17. county monies...

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. Senator your time has expired.

20. SENATOR BERNING:

21. ...and would not allow them to hold any money...

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. Is there further discussion? Senator Rhoads.

24. SENATOR RHOADS:

25. Senator Lemke, the commerical paper we're talking about

26. is usually substantial in amount, is there a...what amount of

27. money are we talking about here. Have you got either a minimum

28. or a maximum amount of money?

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

30. Senator Lemke.

31. SENATOR LEMKE:

32. The maximum is, twenty-five percent.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator Rhoads.

1. SENATOR RHOADS:

2. I'm sorry twenty-five percent of what?

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

4. Senator Lemke.

5. SENATOR LEMKE:

6. Twenty-five percent of any one fund, shall be invested...

7. no more than twenty-five percent of any one fund shall be in-
8. vested in such obligations at one time.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

10. Is there further discussion? Senator Berning.

11. SENATOR BERNING:

12. Well, Mr. President. One minute goes by pretty rapidly.

13. What I was attempting to point out is, that we are now requiring
14. with this bill, that county treasurer invest county funds and
15. precludes their holding any money out of an interest bearing
16. account. You and I all know that there are occasions when there
17. should be a balance carried in these checking accounts, because
18. of the impending...bills that are facing the county. It isn't
19. always the question of trying to keep every penny invested at
20. the expense of being able to meet the daily obligations of the
21. county, and it seems to me we are going a little to far in man-
22. dating again, what the county treasurershall and shall not do.
23. The county treasurer have the option of investing and they are
24. ...have been doing a good job and I suggest that we ought not
25. to attempt to forever impose the will of the General Assembly
26. on our county officials.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

28. Senator Lemke may close.

29. SENATOR LEMKE:

30. This bill does not mandate, in fact, the County Treasurers'
31. Association is for it, the Illinois Municipal League has no...
32. took the same position, and so did the township officials. We're
33. not mandating this, we're just giving another option to where they
can invest funds to make interest. We're not saying anything

1. about mandating anybody to do...where they should invest.
2. That's up to the county treasurer if he makes a profit on
3. his money, he'll get reelected. If he...he loses I'm
4. sure the people will not retain him. We're not mandating
5. anybody to do anything. All we're saying is this is an
6. option, you can buy commercial paper if you want.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

8. Question is, shall House Bill 1693 pass. Those in favor
9. vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all
10. those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Take
11. the record. On that question, the Ayes are 39, the Nays are
12. 16. House Bill 1693, having received the constitutional majority
13. is declared passed. House Bill 1708, Senator Lemke. Do you
14. wish to call the bill? Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

15. SECRETARY:

16. House Bill 1708.

17. (Secretary reads title of bill)

18. 3rd reading of the bill.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

20. Senator Lemke.

21. SENATOR LEMKE:

22. What this bill does is requires a voter affidavit used
23. in lieu of poll list, be kept and made available to the public
24. ...for public inspection for one year, from the date of the
25. resolution provided for that...their use. I ask for the ad-
26. option of this bill.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

28. Is there discussion? Senator Rhoads.

29. SENATOR RHOADS:

30. I think, but I'm not sure that this just applies for
31. the next year, up until the time of consolidation, is that
32. right?

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator Lemke.

1. SENATOR LEMKE:

2. I ask for a favorable roll call.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

4. Did you answer the question for the request.

5. SEANTOR LEMKE:

6. Yes.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

8. For the record. All right, is there further discussion?

9. Question is, shall House Bill 1708 pass. Those in favor vote

10. Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all those

11. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes

12. are 53, the Nays are 1. House Bill 1708, having received the

13. constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 1712,

14. Senator Lemke. Do you wish to call the bill? House Bill 1718,

15. Senator Nash. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

16. SECRETARY:

17. House Bill 1718.

18. (Secretary reads title of bill)

19. 3rd reading of the bill.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Senator Nash.

22. SENATOR NASH:

23. Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

24. House Bill 1718, amends the Rape Victims Emergency Treatment

25. Act. It extends the reimbursement for emergency hospital service,

26. to rape victims, to include ambulance provider service, which

27. is to be paid by the Department of Public Health, when other

28. public or private financial assistance or insurance programs

29. do not provide such coverage. It's a very simple bill, I urge

30. an Aye vote on it.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. Is there discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 1718

33. pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting

is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted

1. who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes
2. are 49, the Nays are 3. House Bill 1718, having received
3. the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill
4. 1726, Senator Collins. Do you wish to call the bill Senator?
5. House Bill 1733, Senator Regner. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

6. SECRETARY:

7. House Bill 1733.

8. (Secretary reads title of bill)

9. 3rd reading of the bill.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. Senator Regner.

12. SENATOR REGNER:

13. Mr. President, and members of the Senate. This bill
14. amends Section 126 of the State of Illinois Liquor Control
15. Act. Presently the Statute requires a brand owner to register
16. its brand as well as its designated distributor with the
17. Illinois Liquor Control Commission. ...registration of
18. brands is necessary in order to provide the State Commission
19. with regulatory powers and controls over the distribution of
20. alcoholic beverages...beverage products in Illinois. The
21. State Liquor Commission needs a registration form for each
22. brand so as to protect the regulation and taxation on behalf
23. of the State. Currently the State is not able to properly
24. regulate these particular items, and also there is a revenue
25. loss, but there's no way we can estimate what the revenue
26. loss is, because we don't know how many or who or anything...
27. people who are participating in this. I think it's a good
28. bill, to put the same registration and regulation on all
29. distributors of liquor products, as the current ones are, that
30. are, in fact, registering right now with the State, and as I
31. said, it will generate some amount of revenue, from those that
32. are not complying right now, but there's now way to estimate
33. the exact dollars. I'd ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. Senator Newhouse.

2. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

3. Sponsor...please...speaker yield to a question,

4. please?

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Indicates he will.

7. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

8. Senator, are you willing to pull this back to 2nd for an

9. amendment we discussed earlier?

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. Senator Regner.

12. SENATOR REGNER:

13. Well, Senator Newhouse, you and I have discussed this

14. quite a bit. The House sponsor has discussed it with us

15. also, and it's of his opinion that if the amendment that

16. you had discussed with us previously were to be put on, it

17. would just negate the entire Act, and it really wouldn't

18. be effective. Representative Snadquist, has asked that I

19. not accept any amendments. This bill did lay on 2nd reading

20. for, at least, a week and a half or two weeks where the

21. operation was there, and I would just as soon have it called

22. right now, because there's no assurance we'll ever get to

23. it again, and just vote it up or down.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

25. Senator Chew. Oh, excuse me.

26. SENATOR CHEW:

27. Mr. President...

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

29. Senator Newhouse still has a few seconds.

30. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

31. Thank you, Mr. President, I want to raise that issue, be-

32. cause what this bill does in effect, is put four existing

33. distributors out of business in my district, who have been

in business up to forty years. If that's the sole purpose of

1. the bill, then let me make it clear what it is. There
2. is some representation that it does some other kinds of
3. things, it...don't make sense, they talk about out-of-
4. State control all...this doesn't affect the four
5. people I asked the grandfather these four people in that
6. was the purpose of the amendment. That was refused, so
7. in effect what this bill does is put four more guys out
8. of business period. It ruins some businesses in my community.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

10. Senator Chew.

11. SENATOR CHEW:

12. Mr. President, I talked to the House sponsor last night
13. he said that he had no personal interest in the bill, that
14. it could be defeated, it wouldn't hurt him at all, and he
15. was doing it for a special interest group. In other words,
16. what it does is forms a monopoly where some outside interest
17. would have control of all the distributorship plus the
18. fact the Department of Liquor control has not requested the
19. bill. I would ask this side, and all my friends on the other
20. side to vote No, and defeat the bill, because this is absolutely
21. no interest to the general public of the State of Illinois,
22. and it only goes for special interest groups, which was admitted
23. to me by the House sponsor last night.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

25. Senator Rock.

26. SENATOR ROCK:

27. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of
28. the Senate. I too, rise in opposition to House Bill 1733.
29. This as I understand it, is an attempt to overturn an already
30. existing court decision. There is a petition for rehearing
31. filed, there is the opportunity to go to the Illinois Supreme
32. Court, but I think...you know, if the Liquor Control Commission
33. can't live with the decision of the courts of this State, then
I don't know who can. I think this bill ought to be defeated.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. Senator Bloom. Senator Bloom.

3. SENATOR BLOOM:

4. Well, in response to the speaker, before Senator Rock,
5. I have a sheet that said the legislation is supported and
6. desired by the Liquor Control Commission, and the Executive
7. Director.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. Is there further discussion? Senator Regner may close.

10. SENATOR REGNER:

11. Mr. President, just in very quick summary. I think all
12. liquor distributors in the State of Illinois, should be treated
13. the same way. The amendment that was discussed by Senator Newhouse
14. the House sponsor did not wish, he also stated Senator Chew, just
15. about ten minutes ago out in the rotunda, it was not special
16. interest, he didn't have anyone in particular in mind, he's trying
17. to resolve a problem that came to his attention, when he was
18. a member of the Liquor Control Commission here in Illinois.
19. I think it's good legislation, I think all liquor distributors
20. should be treated the same way, and I think the State of
21. Illinois should receive the...State of taxes and revenues that
22. the deserve and everybody engaged in a particular industries should
23. be taxed the same way, and the same rules and regulations, and
24. I would ask for a favorable roll call.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

26. Question is, shall House Bill 1733 pass. Those in favor
27. vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all
28. those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Take the
29. record. On that question, the Ayes are 33, the Nays are 16.
30. 1 Voting Present. House Bill 1733, having received the consti-
31. tutional majority is declared passed. Senator Newhouse for
32. what purpose do you arise?

33. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Verification.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. There is a request for a verification of the affirmative
3. vote, will the members please be in their seats? The
4. Secretary will call the affirmative roll.

5. SECRETARY:

6. The following voted in the affirmative: Becker, Berning,
7. Bloom, Bowers, Carroll, Coffey, Daley, Davidson, De Angelis,
8. Geo-Karis, Graham, Grotberg, Lemke, Maitland, Maragos, Martin,
9. McMillan, Mitchler, Moore, Nash, Nedza, Ozinga, Philip, Regner,
10. Rhoads, Rupp, Savickas, Schaffer, Shapiro, Sommer, Vadalabene,
11. Walsh, Weaver.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

13. Senator Newhouse.

14. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

15. Is Senator Lemke on the Floor?

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

17. , Senator Lemke is on the Floor.

18. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

19. Is Senator Daley on the Floor?

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Senator Daley is on the Floor.

22. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

23. Is Senator Savickas on the Floor?

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

25. Senator Savickas is on the Floor.

26. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

27. Is Senator Vadalabene on the Floor?

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

29. Right in front of you.

30. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

31. Is Senator Carroll on the Floor?

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

33. Senator Carroll is in his seat.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

1. Thank you.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

3. The roll has been verified. The Ayes are 33, the Nays are

4. 16. 1 Voting Present. House Bill 1733, having received the

5. constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 1759,

6. Senator Newhouse. Do you wish the bill called? Senator

7. Newhouse do you wish... Senator Newhouse do you wish... do you

8. wish the bill called, Senator Newhouse. Read the bill, Mr.

9. Secretary.

10. SECRETARY:

11. House Bill 1759.

12. (Secretary reads title of bill)

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

14. Senator Newhouse.

15. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

16. I would like to request a Democratic caucus?

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

18. Well, Senator Rock, there is a request for a Democratic

19. caucus? Senator Rock.

20. SENATOR ROCK:

21. It has already been one of those days, why not.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. Senator you do wish a recess for...

24. SENATOR ROCK:

25. Yes, recess for thirty minutes for the purpose of a

26. caucus.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

28. Any where will the caucus chairman indicate the...

29. SENATOR ROCK:

30. Why don't we meet in my office. That way we're right

31. there and we can come right back.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

33. Senator Johns.

SENATOR JOHNS:

Democratic caucus immediately in the President's chamber.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. Senator Graham.

3. SENATOR GRAHAM:

4. I would like to request that we do not have a caucus.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Just stay in your seats. Senator Graham.

7. SENATOR GRAHAM:

8. I don't get the words clearly as you do, Senator

9. Shapiro says taht we want a caucus, in his office immediately.

10. Friendly.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

12. We'll both have a caucus, we will reconvene at 2:20.

13. RECESS

14. AFTER RECESS

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Senator will come to order. Senator Graham, for what
17. purpose do you rise?

18. SENATOR GRAHAM:

19. I would like to rise on a point of personal privilege, Mr.
20. President.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. State your point, Sir.

23. SENATOR GRAHAM:

24. In the gallery, directly behind me, sitting up in the
25. gallery is a gentleman who roamed the halls of this Senate
26. and House for many years, as a lobbyist, he's here with his
27. lovely wife, Carol. He has just, for John Knuppel's information,
28. the gentleman I'm going to introduce has had more heart surgery
29. and more plumbing done to his heart than anybody around, he's
30. up and healthy here on the Floor, to visit...visiting us, Mr. and Mrs.
31. Walter Lerkie. Walter would you and Carol stand and be
32. recognized.

33. PRESIDENT:

Please stand and be recognized, Walter. All right, with
leave of the Body, we'll go to the order of...and we'll leave

1. the order of 3rd and go to the Order of Messages from the
2. House. Messages from the House.
3. SECRETARY:
4. A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.
5. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the House...
6. the House of Representatives has adopted the following Joint
7. Resolutions, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask
8. concurrence of the Senate, to-wit: House Joint Resolution
9. 47, Senator Nimrod and Lemke are the Senate sponsors.
10. PRESIDENT:
11. Senator Nimrod.
12. SENATOR NIMROD:
13. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I would seek leave to
14. have that resolution placed...referred to the Calendar on the
15. Secretary's Desk, since the date it applies to will lapsed
16. before we get back.
17. PRESIDENT:
18. You've heard the request, is leave granted? Leave is granted.
19. So Ordered.
20. SECRETARY:
21. A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.
22. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate
23. that the House of Representatives has concurred with the
24. Senate in the adoption of the following Joint Resolution.
25. Senate Joint Resolution 40, together with House Amendment No.
26. 1, Senator Merlo, Berman, D'Arco, and Netsch, are Senate
27. sponsors.
28. PRESIDENT:
29. Secretary's Desk. Secretary's Desk.
30. SECRETARY:
31. A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.
32. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate
33. that the House of Representatives has refused to concur with
the Senate in the adoption Amendments...Amendment No....House

1. Amendment...Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 450. A like
2. Message on House Bill 580, with Senate Amendment No. 1.
3. PRESIDENT:
4. Secretary's Desk, Order of Non-Concurrence.
5. SECRETARY:
6. A Message from the Hosue by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.
7. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate
8. that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate
9. in the passage of the following bills, together with request
10. for concurrence in House Amendments.
11. Senate Bill 362, with House Amendments 2, 3, 7, 9,
12. 10, 12, and 13.
13. Senate Bill 628, with House Amendments 1, 2, and 3.
14. Senate Bill 756, with House Amendment No. 7.
15. Senate Bill 973, with House Amendment 6, 7, and 8.
16. Senate Bill 1146, with House Amendment No. 1.
17. Senate Bill 1271, with House Amendment No. 1.
18. Senate Bill 1395, with House Amendments No's 1, 2, 3, and 4.
19. PRESIDENT:
20. Secretary's Desk Concurrence. Okay, with leave of the Body
21. we'll go back to the Order of House Bill 3rd readings. 1759,
22. Senator Newhouse. 1767, top of page 14, Senator McLendon. 1767,
23. Senator McLendon. On the Order of House Bill 3rd readings, House
24. Bill 1767. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
25. SECRETARY:
26. House Bill 1767.
27. (Secretary reads title of bill)
28. 3rd reading of the bill.
29. PRESIDENT:
30. Senator McLendon.
31. SENATOR MCLENDON:
32. Mr. President, and members of the Senate. House Bill 1767
33. amends the Local Library Act, and permits the corporate authority
of the city, village, or incorporated town, to disestablish the

1. municipalities' public library by resolution. Currently there
2. is no statutory provision for the disestablishment of city
3. libraries. Township or village libraries may be dissolved by
4. the statutory provisions enacted in the last Session
5. of the General Assembly. House Bill 1767, allows the
6. corporate authorities to disestablish the municipal library
7. by resolution, subject to back door referendum. Under this
8. bill, municipal libraries can only be disestablished if the
9. municipality is wholly included within a township which has
10. a public library, and if there is no bonded indebtedness. This
11. is simply a technical bill, that is jointly supported by the
12. Illinois State Library, and the Illinois Library Association.
13. The bill was passed in the House by a vote of 121 to 27.
14. Came out of...Local...the Senate Local Government Committee
15. by a vote of 11 to nothing. I ask the support of the Senate
16. with reference to passage of this bill.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall
19. House Bill 1767 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those
20. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who
21. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
22. question, the Ayes are 50, the Nays are none. None Voting Present.
23. House Bill 1767, having received the constitutional majority
24. is declared passed. 1769, Senator McLendon. On the Order of
25. House Bills 3rd reading, House Bill 1769. Read the bill, Mr.
26. Secretary.

27. SECRETARY:

28. House Bill 1769.
29. (Secretary reads title of bill)
30. 3rd reading of the bill.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Senator McLendon.

33. SENATOR MCLENDON:

Mr. President...thank you, Mr. President. This is another one.

1. of the...in the series of library bills. House Bill 1769, makes
2. a technical change in the Library Systems Act, by changing the
3. definition of co-operative and consolidated library systems to
4. require, at least, ten rather than two public libraries as members.
5. All of the present library systems meet this requirement of ten
6. public libraries as members. This bill in no way alters the
7. present makeup of the library system government of Illinois.
8. The bill is supported by the Illinois State Library, and the Illinois
9. Library Association. The bill also creates the enabling language
10. creating the Public Livrary Study Commission, which...appro-
11. priations is contained within the agreed bill for commissions,
12. Seante Bill 157. This bill passed the House 110 to 36, and is
13. now...and I now ask for a favorable roll call.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Is there any discussion? If not...Senator Grotberg.

16. SENATOR GROTBORG:

17. I'm not objecting to this bill. From the committee stand-
18. point, only to point out to the membership that are in love
19. with commissions, this does create another new Commission on
20. Library's.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall House
23. Bill 7...1769 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed
24. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
25. Have all voted who wish? Take therecord. On that question,
26. the Ayes are 43, the Nays are 7. 2 Voting Present. House Bill
27. 1769, having received the constitutional majority is declared
28. passed. 1770, SenatorMaragos. 1771, Senator McLendon. On the
29. Order of House Bills 3rd reading, House Bill 1771. Read the bill,
30. Mr. Secretary.

31. SECRETARY:

32. House Bill 1771.

33. (Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator McLendon.

3. SENATOR MCLENDON:

4. Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. This is
5. another library bill in the series. This bill makes two
6. technical clarifying changes in the Local Library and the
7. Library District Acts relative to their working cash fund.
8. One, clarifies that the balance in the fund at no time, shall
9. exceed .2 percent, this represents the present levy of .5
10. that now may be levied for any four times, and the levies need
11. not be consecutive. Number two, the authorities given the
12. Library Board of Directors to abolish the working cash fund at
13. the end of any fiscal year and transfer the balance including
14. interest back to the General Operating Fund. At the request
15. of the Taxpayers' Federation, and Senator Stanley Weaver, and
16. amendment was added on 2nd reading, which states that to re-
17. establish the working cash fund, after abolition, requires a
18. full referendum, protects the taxpayers against any abuse from
19. the law. With the amendment added by Senator Weaver I now ask
20. for a favorable vote of the Senate.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Is there any discussion? Senator Grotberg.

23. SENATOR GROTBORG:

24. There's one section of the bill that was not discussed
25. by the gentleman who is sponsoring the bill, but one of the
26. things that can still happen in the bill, Mr. President, and
27. members of the Senate, is that at the close of each fiscal year
28. they can transfer the balance from the working cash fund into
29. the general revenue fund, which's kind of a break through for the
30. way we've been handling working cash. The bill allows the
31. abolishing of the working cash fund, as he said, but it allows
32. the transfer of the balance, and the interest to the General Fund,
33. and we have not traditionally done that, it's a matter of concern
to some people, the amendment helps it by referendum to reestablishment

1. but you always have working cash around and it's a way to
2. increase general revenue through...in the end year of this
3. four year period.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Is there any further discussion? Senator Berning.

6. SENATOR BERNING:

7. Thank you, Mr. President. Just to rise in opposition.
8. It seems to me that this is not keeping good faith with the
9. taxpayers. A working cash fund is repeatedly being proposed
10. on this Floor, for one taxing body or another as a defensible
11. and workable means of meeting extraordinary expense. Now, here
12. is a taxing unit that wants to impose it for four years and
13. then divert the funds, and it seems to me that is not in keeping
14. with what we had in mind, and we ought not to support it.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Further discussion? Senator Weaver.

17. SENATOR WEAVER:

18. Well, thank you, Mr. President. We had some concern with
19. this bill in committee, and the sponsor agreed to put...to let
20. us put an amendment on it, as really the House sponsor agreed to
21. do in the House before it was passed out of the House. I offered
22. that amendment, it was accepted. This amendment requires a re-
23. ferendum to reestablish the working cash fund, and under those
24. circumstances I think the bill is all right.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Any further discussion? Senator McLendon may close.

27. SENATOR MCLENDON:

28. Yes, Mr. Speaker, and members of the Senate. I have nothing
29. further to add to what I've already stated, and to the statement
30. made by Senator Weaver. I ask the support of the Senate and the
31. passage of this bill.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Question is, shall House Bill 1771 pass. Those in favor will
vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have

1. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
2. On that question, the Ayes are 41, the Nays are 12. 2 Voting
3. Present. House Bill 1771, having received a constitutional
4. majority is declared passed. 1780, Senator D'Arco. On the
5. Order of House Bills 3rd reading, House Bill 1780. Read the bill,
6. Mr. Secretary.
7. SECRETARY:
8. House Bill 1780.
9. (Secretary reads title of bill)
10. 3rd reading of the bill.
11. PRESIDENT:
12. Senator D'Arco.
13. SENATOR D'ARCO:
14. Thank you, Mr. President. 1780 permits the Chicago Sanitary
15. District to approve payment upon purchase orders or contracts
16. involving the expenditure of less than five thousand dollars
17. without receiving the prior approval of the districts' Board of
18. Commissioners. This is an administration bill of the Chicago
19. Sanitary District to avoid some unnecessary paper work and
20. save some expense. It already passed...indetical bill already
21. did pass, but this is Marco Dimiko's bill and I don't want
22. him to get mad at me so I thought I'd better pass this one
23. too, but I'll answer any questions on the bill.
24. PRESIDENT:
25. Is there any discussion? Senator Grotberg.
26. SENATOR GROTBURG:
27. Yes, there's nothing wrong with this bill, the sponsor's
28. correct, if you want both bills out, let's pass them out.
29. PRESIDENT:
30. Further disucssion? Senator Buzbee.
31. SENATOR BUZBEE:
32. Mr. Calendar indicates five million dollars, Senator D'Arco.
33. PRESIDENT:
Senator D'Arco.

1. SENATOR D'ARCO:

2. Gee, I'm sorry you caught that. I was trying to sneak
3. one past you. No, it's really...the Synopsis is wrong it's
4. five thousand dollars, not five million dollars.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall
7. House Bill...Senator Netsch.

8. SENATOR NETSCH:

9. Thank you, Mr. President. I had a simple question...I had
10. a simple question for the sponsor. It was very noisy over
11. here and I...we could not hear what the change was. I gather it
12. is not five million dollars, that much I heard, but what...the
13. change is from what to five thousand dollars?

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator D'Arco.

16. SENATOR D'ARCO:

17. It...the chang provides that in cases of emergencies they
18. can...no I'm just kidding. It's five thousand dollars and it's
19. not five million. They can have approval of contracts without
20. Sanitary District Board approval. The Department Finance
21. can negotiate and approve a contract without the Sanitary District's
22. Board approval of contracts of five thousand or less.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Netsch.

25. SENATOR NETSCH:

26. No, what was it before this bill, that's my only question.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator D'Arco

29. SENATOR D'ARCO:

30. Well, there...there...all contracts had to be approved by the
31. Sanitary District Board. YOU're getting me mad Dawn.

32.
33.

(END OF REEL)

1. PRESIDENT:
2. Why not? Everybody else seems to be. The question is, shall
3. House Bill 1780 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed
4. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
5. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the
6. Ayes are 52, the Nays are 2, none Voting Present. House Bill 1780
7. having received the constitutional majority is declared passed.
8. 1782, Senator D'Arco. On the Order of House Bills, 3rd reading,
9. is House Bill 1782. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
10. SECRETARY:
11. House Bill 1782.
12. (Secretary reads title of bill)
13. 3rd reading of the bill.
14. PRESIDENT:
15. Senator D'Arco.
16. SENATOR D'ARCO:
17. Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 1782 amends the Criminal
18. Code to include paramedics among the class of persons protected
19. by aggravated battery. What...what that means is, it's...if someone
20. commits what is commonly referred to as a battery and the person
21. he commits it on is a paramedic, it increases the sentence to
22. aggravated battery because the person knows that he's in the
23. performance of his duty as a paramedic and he should be penalized
24. for that particular fact. I would ask that we vote favorably on
25. this bill.
26. PRESIDENT:
27. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall
28. House Bill 1782 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed
29. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
30. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the
31. Ayes are 50, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. House Bill
32. 1782 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed.
33. 1784, Senator Vadalabene. On the Order of House Bills, 3rd reading,

1. House Bill 1784. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

2. SECRETARY:

3. House Bill 1784.

4. (Secretary reads title of bill)

5. 3rd reading of the bill.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Senator Vadalabene.

8. SENATOR VADALABENE:

9. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.
10. House Bill 1784 provides that in the Multi-County Educational
11. Service Region the State's Attorney of the county in which the
12. regional superintendent's office is located shall act upon
13. request as the legal representative and I ask for a favorable
14. vote.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall
17. House Bill 1784 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed
18. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
19. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,
20. the Ayes are 56, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. House
21. Bill 1784 having received the constitutional majority is declared
22. passed. 1790, Senator Gitz. On the Order of House Bills, 3rd
23. reading, bottom of page 14 is House Bill 1790. Read the bill, Mr.
24. Secretary.

25. SECRETARY:

26. House Bill 1790.

27. (Secretary reads title of bill)

28. 3rd reading of the bill.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Gitz.

31. SENATOR GITZ:

32. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. House
33. Bill 1790 is simply a way of protecting franchisees that attempt

1. to form trade associations very much in the same way that
2. franchisers have trade associations. There's several examples
3. which have been given to you in the past where they have been
4. punished by the franchisers. This is also, by the way, supported
5. by the Attorney General's Office with good reason.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Is there any discussion? Senator Lemke.

8. SENATOR LEMKE:

9. I think this is a good bill. This is a bill to protect
10. Illinois citizens. Most...there's...there's not one franchiser
11. that's incorporated in the State of Illinois. There's two
12. hundred and sixty-nine and they're all incorporated in...in New
13. Jersey. I think the franchisee who are citizens need to be
14. protected and need...need to have some rights in this say and
15. I ask for a favorable roll call.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall House
18. Bill 1790 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will
19. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all
20. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes
21. are 55, the Nays are none, 1 Voting Present. House Bill 1790
22. having received the constitutional majority is declared passed.
23. 1802, Senator Lemke. On the Order of House Bills, 3rd reading,
24. top of page 15, House Bill 1802. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

25. SECRETARY:

26. House Bill 1802.

27. (Secretary reads title of bill)

28. 3rd reading of the bill.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Lemke.

31. SENATOR LEMKE:

32. These are part of the investigation of mass transit to prevent
33. crime. This number one bill requires the busses operate...as part

1. of a local mass transit system and have two-way radio equipment
2. between the bus and the local transit office. I ask for its
3. adoption.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Is there any discussion? Senator Chew.

6. SENATOR CHEW:

7. In echoing the statements of Senator Lemke, this is a series
8. of bills we got out of the Committee on Transportation, no
9. dissenting votes and it's all dealing with the safety of
10. passengers on the Regional Transit Authority and I would not
11. speak on any other bill, but my sentiments would be passed on
12. on the one bill and the series of bills...

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Any further discussion? Senator Weaver.

15. SENATOR WEAVER:

16. Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Lemke, does this include
17. all mass transit or just the RTA-CTA?

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Senator Lemke.

20. SENATOR LEMKE:

21. This gives a compliance of two years and excludes intra-State
22. carriers and...did not provide service pursuant to contract with
23. any local mass transit...district. It prolongs only the last...local
24. mass transit district systems.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Is there any further discussion? Senator Mitchler.

27. SENATOR MITCHLER:

28. I'm sorry to take time. Just a question, Senator Lemke.
29. I...I'm for this. The RTA operating out in Aurora...they have a
30. couple of runs that goes out to Waubensee College and they...the
31. bus drivers communicate and they want this. Now, would this give
32. them the authorization?

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Lemke.

2. SENATOR LEMKE:

3. Yes, this would and this would be paid by...all Federal money.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Further discussion? Senator Schaffer.

6. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

7. This probably is a pretty good idea for the bus drivers in
8. my area. You see, the busses are always empty and this way the
9. bus drivers can talk to each other.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Is there any further discussion? The question is, shall
12. House Bill 1802 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those
13. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted
14. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
15. question, the Ayes are 46, the Nays are 1, 7 Voting Present.
16. House Bill 1802 having received the constitutional majority is
17. declared passed. 1803, Senator Nedza. On the Order of House
18. Bills, 3rd reading, House Bill 1803. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

19. SECRETARY:

20. House Bill 1803.

21. (Secretary reads title of bill)

22. 3rd reading of the bill.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Nedza.

25. SENATOR NEDZA:

26. Thank you, Mr. President. This would require the Chicago
27. Transit Authority Board to install and maintain public telephones
28. accessible to the public on elevated platforms, railway platforms,
29. subway stations, bus terminals and it also would provide to...for
30. the user to make emergency calls free of charge and without any
31. use or coin or key. This is a proposal, one of ten, that was introduced
32. in the House Transportation Subcommittee on Crime and Public
33. Transportation. Might I add that this is in no way taking any of

1. the operating funds of the CTA nor any of the State funds. There
2. is a grant...a Federal grant which will pay for the complete
3. installation and maintenance of this system. I ask for a favorable
4. roll call.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Is there any discussion? Senator Martin.

7. SENATOR MARTIN:

8. A question to the sponsor.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. He indicates he will yield. Senator Martin.

11. SENATOR MARTIN:

12. A check with public telephones that are in areas that have
13. great need, there's an unfortunate problem. They seem to be
14. destroyed immediately. What happens and whose costs are borne
15. by...after these phones are installed with the unfortunate
16. real fact that they will be out of commission within five
17. minutes after installation?

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Senator Nedza. Senator Nedza.

20. SENATOR NEDZA:

21. Yes. Senator, I...in the installation the...in the operation
22. of these phones, most of these things would be under the...the
23. Federal grant for the maintaining of these phones in order to
24. maintain the emergency numbers.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Senator Martin.

27. SENATOR MARTIN:

28. You're saying that Federal funds will pay for all maintenance
29. that won't be paid for by the phone company...

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Senator...

32. SENATOR MARTIN:

33. ...and the point I'm getting to, is if it's paid for ultimately

1. after installation by the phone company, we all are paying for
2. that through phone bills and I say it's unfortunate, but those
3. phones are going to be out, maybe it won't even be five minutes
4. after they're installed.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Any further discussion? Senator Knuppel.

7. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

8. Well, all I wanted to say on this bill is everybody says
9. well, it doesn't cost anything, the Federal Government is going
10. to pay for it. I just want to remind you, just because it doesn't
11. hurt because it comes out of the left pocket, somebody is going
12. to pay for it and the only reason I'm voting for it on that basis
13. is because we don't get our share back from Washington, but I
14. don't subscribe to the idea that because it comes out of...left
15. pocket it doesn't cost anything.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Further discussion? Senator Bowers.

18. SENATOR BOWERS:

19. The sponsor yield to a question.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. He indicates he will yield. Senator Bowers.

22. SENATOR BOWERS:

23. I'm curious if the Federal Government is going to pay for
24. this, why we have to mandate it. Wouldn't the CTA be tickled
25. to death to do it anyway without...if the Federal Government is
26. going to pay for it?

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Nedza.

29. SENATOR NEDZA:

30. Senator Bowers, there is, in fact, now a grant laying there,
31. which was received by the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission and
32. the Cook County Criminal Justice Commission...the money is lying
33. there now, but it cannot be put into force unless this bill is enacted.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Bowers.

3. SENATOR BOWERS:

4. A little difficult for me to believe, but that it couldn't
5. be used if we just permitted it. I...and it may well be that we
6. would have to permit them to do it if they don't have that power,
7. although I somewhat question that, but I can't believe we have
8. to mandate it for them to spend those funds and I'm just curious
9. as to why we...mandating it.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Senator Nedza.

12. SENATOR NEDZA:

13. Senator, what we're doing is making it possible with the
14. passage of this bill because of the fact that some of the criteria
15. that was placed against the grant.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Further discussion? Senator Berning.

18. SENATOR BERNING:

19. Well, one...one step further. Did you say, Senator Nedza,
20. that the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission has this grant and
21. yet you are asking us to mandate the Metropolitan Transit
22. Authority Act. How does that dovetail?

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Nedza.

25. SENATOR NEDZA:

26. May I correct...because we're dealing in semantics, Senator,
27. we are not mandating, we are permitting it. The Illinois Law
28. Enforcement Commission and the Cook County Criminal Justice
29. Commission, yes, in fact, have the money for this installation,
30. but it's...this is permissive through us through this legislation.
31. It's not a mandate, it's a requirement.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall House

HB 1804
3rd Reading
6-25-79

1. Bill 1803 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed
2. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
3. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,
4. the Ayes are 40, the Nays are 10, 7 Voting Present. House Bill
5. 1803 having received the constitutional majority is declared
6. passed. 1804, Senator Lemke. On the Order of House Bills,
7. 3rd reading, House Bill 1804. Mr. Secretary.

8. SECRETARY:

9. House Bill 1804.

10. (Secretary reads title of bill)

11. 3rd reading of the bill.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Senator Lemke.

14. SENATOR LEMKE:

15. What this bill does is creates the Mass Transit of Public
16. Employee Training Program Act, which requires all mass transit
17. public employees dealing directly with the public shall receive
18. anti-crime training. I ask for its adoption. This is all(machine
19. cutoff) funds. It does not call for hiring of new people.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall
22. House Bill 1804 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those
23. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who
24. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
25. question, the Ayes are 40, the Nays are 10, 7 Voting Present.
26. House Bill 1804 having received the constitutional majority is
27. declared passed. 1805, Senator Lemke. On the Order of House
28. Bills, 3rd reading, House Bill 1805. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

29. SECRETARY:

30. House Bill 1805.

31. (Secretary reads title of bill)

32. 3rd reading of the bill.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Lemke.

2. SENATOR LEMKE:

3. What this does...the bill does is amends the Metropolitan
4. Authority Act by providing that safety glass be installed on
5. all windows of ticket fare booths operated by the authority.
6. This is part of the Federal program, there's no Federal money...
7. I mean there's no State money involved, it's all Federal money
8. and because of the high crime rate in...and attacks on the
9. ticket sellers they ask for this bill. I ask for its adoption.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall
12. House Bill 1805 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those
13. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted
14. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
15. question, the Ayes are 46, the Nays are 5, 4 Voting Present.
16. House Bill 1805 having received the constitutional majority is
17. declared passed. 1811, Senator Gitz. On the Order of House Bills,
18. 3rd reading, House Bill 1811. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

19. SECRETARY:

20. House Bill 1811.

21. (Secretary reads title of bill)

22. 3rd reading of the bill.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Senator Gitz.

25. SENATOR GITZ:

26. I would call to your attention the fact that in committee
27. it was pointed out that we've needed to make distinctions between
28. reasonable and unreasonable discrimination, for that reason this
29. bill was amended. In its amended form it meets the approval of
30. not only the Attorney General's Office, but the International
31. Franchise Association and the Franchisee Association. It lays
32. out criteria as to what conditions are reasonable for discrimination
33. and help among franchises. It also excludes other discrimination

1. that would be generally considered unreasonable and it's so defined.
2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
3. Is there further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.
4. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
5. Will the sponsor yield to a question?
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
7. He indicates he will.
8. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
9. I believe that was one bill that the International Franchises
10. objected to certain portions of that. Are you saying that you
11. made amendments to it to satisfy them because...I may have a
12. conflict of interest and it would be to the interest of one of
13. my relatives who has a franchise to vote for all of these bills,
14. but I want to be very fair about it. Would this type of a bill
15. the way you amend it make it less necessary for franchisers
16. to withdraw their franchises?
17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
18. Senator Gitz.
19. SENATOR GITZ:
20. Mr. President, there's a lot of caucus' and confusion over
21. here...
22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
23. Could we clear the aisles, Senator Netsch, Senator Bloom,
24. Senator Chew.
25. SENATOR GITZ:
26. If you would repeat your question, I'd be happy to respond.
27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
28. Senator Geo-Karis.
29. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
30. Senator Gitz, one concern I have is that the...if this bill has
31. been amended so that the franchisers can live with it because
32. they always have the avenue of withdrawing their franchises, just
33. like many of the oil companies did to our gasoline stations and all

1. I'm asking you is since this was amended, are you saying that
2. the way it was amended it satisfies the...franchisers so they
3. can live with it as well as the franchisees. It would be to
4. my interest to just go ahead and vote for these bills blindly
5. because I do have a relative who has a franchise, but I want to
6. be very fair because I don't want to see the franchiser withdraw
7. franchises from the franchisees and are you saying when you tell
8. us that the International Franchise Association supports this
9. bill, as you amended it, they have been satisfied with your...
10. your amendments?

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Senator Gitz.

13. SENATOR GITZ:

14. They have no opposition. They were consulted in it. The
15. parties who helped draw up this amendment, were the International
16. Franchise Association, the Illinois Franchise Advisory Board,
17. the Illinois Attorney General's Office. This does not deal with
18. the question of basically, non-renewal. There are several bills
19. in the House pending that will go into these areas. This lays
20. out when you have a franchisee who's presently in operation and
21. there are certain different ground rules between them, what is
22. a reasonable discrimination and what is unreasonable and if you
23. like, I can relate the five criteria drawn in the amendment, if
24. you don't happen to have the copy.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

26. Senator Geo-Karis.

27. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

28. I can pick them up from you later. In that case, I vote for
29. this bill. I'm going to vote my conscience because there may be
30. a possible conflict because one of my relatives does own a
31. franchise on a certain item.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall

1. House Bill 1811 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those
2. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted
3. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
4. question, the Ayes are 50, the Nays are 2, 1 Voting Present.
5. House Bill 1811 having received the constitutional majority is
6. declared passed. House Bill 18...House Bill 1840, Senator Lemke.
7. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
8. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)
9. House Bill 1840.
10. (Acting Secretary reads title of bill)
11. 3rd reading of the bill.
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
13. Senator Lemke.
14. SENATOR LEMKE:
15. What this bill does is extends to candidates of new parties,
16. Independent candidates, the requirements of filing ethic statements
17. or receipts with their nominating petition. Also, with Senator
18. Rhoads' amendment makes it twenty...makes it one percent of the
19. vote cast at the last General State-wide Election or twenty-five
20. percent whichever is...is less. I ask for the adoption of this bill.
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
22. Is there further discussion? Senator Netsch.
23. SENATOR NETSCH:
24. Senator Lemke, the amendment is on, which puts the cap at
25. twenty-five thousand signatures per state for State-wide candidates,
26. ...it's still on the bill, is it not?
27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
28. Senator Lemke.
29. SENATOR LEMKE:
30. That was Senator Rhoads' amendment. Yes.
31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
32. Further discussion? Senator Rhoads.
33. SENATOR RHOADS:

1. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise
2. in support of House Bill 1840. It was recommended by the State
3. Election Laws Commission to conform our Code with recent court
4. decisions. I urge a favorable roll call.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall
7. House Bill 1840 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed
8. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have
9. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes
10. are 57, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. House Bill 1840
11. having received the constitutional majority is declared passed.
12. House Bill 1841, Senator Netsch. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

13. SECRETARY:

14. House Bill 1841.

15. (Secretary reads title of bill)

16. 3rd reading of the bill.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Senator Netsch.

19. SENATOR NETSCH:

20. Thank you, Mr. President. The bill revises the Section
21. dealing with confiscation of weapons used in the commission of
22. a crime to make it possible for a weapon to be confiscated when-
23. ever it has been used or possessed by the offender in the commission
24. of a crime. Under previous law it was only when the offense was
25. a charge of unlawful use of weapon that the weapon could be
26. confiscated and that was obviously much too restrictive because
27. there are many more serious felonies in which the weapon is used
28. but, in theory, after serving his time or going on probation or
29. whatever, the felon could then go back and recapture the gun that
30. was so used. That makes no sense at all and I think this is quite
31. consistent with an earlier bill that Senator Daley had presented.
32. I might add, incidently, that there was an exception put on for
33. confiscations that take place pursuant to the Fish Code or the

1. Game Code. That was at the request of Senator Geo-Karis.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is,

4. shall House Bill 1841...I'm sorry, Senator Bowers.

5. SENATOR BOWERS:

6. I just have one quick question. My recollection in committee

7. was this applies or does not apply where it's a stolen weapon or

8. someone else is the owner of the weapon other than the offender.

9. Is that correct?

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

11. Senator Netsch.

12. SENATOR NETSCH:

13. Yes. That's already in the second existing paragraph of

14. the law. That has not been changed.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Netsch moves...

17. the question is, shall House Bill 1841 pass. Those in favor will

18. vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have

19. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take...take the

20. record. I think we ought to stay in our seats on final passage so

21. that we get on the record here. On that question, the Ayes are 57,

22. the Nays are none, none Voting Present. House Bill 1841 having

23. received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House

24. Bill 1852, Senator Maragos. House Bill 1873, Senator Egan. Read

25. the bill, Mr. Secretary.

26. SECRETARY:

27. House Bill 1873.

28. (Secretary reads title of bill)

29. 3rd reading of the bill.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Senator Egan.

32. SENATOR EGAN:

33. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. It does

1. simply that. It also added the...Senator Lemke had a Ethnic
2. Study Commission added in. I move its...I solicit your favorable
3. consideration.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall
6. House Bill 1873 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed
7. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
8. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the
9. Ayes are 50, the Nays are 4, none Voting Present. House Bill 1873
10. having received the constitutional majority is declared passed.
11. House Bill 1874, Senator D'Arco. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

12. SECRETARY:

13. House Bill 1874.

14. (Secretary reads title of bill)

15. 3rd reading of the bill.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. Senator D'Arco.

18. SENATOR D'ARCO:

19. Thank you, Mr. President. This bill provides...this bill
20. provides for the transfer to other pension systems, which is in
21. a related bill in a subsequent series, of credit that the employee
22. accrued in the Park Policemen's Annuity Fund, which is now a
23. defunct fund. I don't think there is any opposition of this bill.
24. It was thoroughly discussed in committee and I would ask for a
25. favorable vote.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Is there further discussion? Senator Berning.

28. SENATOR BERNING:

29. Thank you, Mr. President. I did oppose this bill in committee
30. and merely want to point out that it sets a bad precedent, is special
31. interest legislation and it really ought to be defeated.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator D'Arco may close

1. the debate.

2. SENATOR D'ARCO:

3. Well, thank you, Mr. President. In effect, there was a
4. refund to the employee under the old pension system that is now
5. defunct and he does work for the University State System at the
6. present time and it's simply a mechanism to allow him to transfer
7. credit into the University Systems. It is not special legislation and
8. it only applies to one individual, though.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

10. The question is, shall House Bill 1874 pass. Those in favor
11. will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open.
12. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
13. On that question, the Ayes are 41, the Nays are 12, 2 Voting Present.
14. House Bill 1874 having received the constitutional majority is
15. declared passed. House Bill 1877, Senator Vadalabene.

16. SECRETARY:

17. House Bill 1877.

18. (Secretary reads title of bill)

19. 3rd reading of the bill.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

21. Senator Vadalabene.

22. SENATOR VADALABENE:

23. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.
24. House Bill 1877 provides that on annexation involving a highway
25. the annexation extends to the far side of the highway. This bill
26. allows the...annexation to go to the near of the...or the middle
27. if provided by a boundary agreement between the two municipalities.
28. The bill further provides that any unincorporated area totally
29. surrounded by one municipality shall be annexed by that municipality
30. with the following exceptions. One, does not provide the authority
31. to the City of Chicago, exempts forest preserves, exempts land solely
32. used for agriculture and...does not include not-for-profit property
33. used solely for recreation and I would appreciate a favorable vote.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Is there further discussion? Senator Martin.

3. SENATOR MARTIN:

4. Senator...Vadalabene points out that a bill...or an amendment
5. has been tacked on, which was a bill that lost or was held up on
6. Postponed Consideration and that's the Mandatory Annexation Bill.
7. I believe that that issue is important enough to be judged solely
8. as a single bill and at this late date to amend on a bill that had
9. ...there's much conflict among municipalities and those of us...
10. that represent downstate municipalities if it's a good idea or not,
11. that just to amend it on at this point is not wise and I would have
12. to oppose what may be a good bill because of an amendment that
13. forces blind annexation even if...even if the citizens by referendum
14. have shown their objections to such annexation.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Vadalabene may...
17. oh, Senator Bloom.

18. SENATOR BLOOM:

19. Thank you. Would the Gentleman yield to a question? Sam.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

21. Yes, he indicates he will.

22. SENATOR BLOOM:

23. Okay. When a positive situation that's a real situation...we
24. have an industrial park that is outside the city limits of Peoria,
25. yet if this bill becomes law would be...automatically annexed. It
26. is part of the Dunlap School District. When it becomes annexed,
27. would it not be correct that the equalized assessed valuation of
28. the school district, which is not part of the Peoria School System
29. would, therefore, be reduced. Now, staff...well, staff on both
30. sides of the aisle on the Education Committee and our Local Govern-
31. ment guy says, yeah.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Senator...

1. SENATOR BLOOM:

2. I'm talking about the equalized assessed valuation. I wondered
3. if...you know...we could be enlightened because this is basically
4. the difference between a green and red, as far as I'm concerned.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Senator Vadalabene.

7. SENATOR VADALABENE:

8. Yes, all I can answer is that this is a Municipal Problems
9. Commission Bill. It's supported by the Municipal League. They
10. went through all this process thoroughly what Senator Bloom is
11. talking about and they feel that this legislation is important.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Is there any further discussion? Senator Walsh.

14. SENATOR WALSH:

15. Mr. President and members of the Senate. For those of you
16. who...who follow bills based on the House sponsorship, I would
17. just like to observe that Representative Bluthardt has told me
18. that in the event this bill passes the Senate with the amendment
19. even though he is a cosponsor of the bill, he would vote No on
20. concurrence in the House, so why don't we just vote No, get the
21. amendment off and send it over unamended, where...

22. PRESIDENT:

23. ...Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall
24. House Bill 1877 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed
25. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have
26. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On
27. that question, the Ayes are 30, the Nays are 25, none Voting Present.
28. House Bill 1877 having received the constitutional majority is
29. declared passed. Senator D'Arco, for what purpose do you arise?

30. SENATOR D'ARCO:

31. ...vote by which it passed.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Senator Walsh, for what purpose do you arise? Oh, I beg your

1. pardon. I...Senator Martin.

2. SENATOR MARTIN:

3. I guess...I just can't get used to screaming, Mr. President,
4. and I think a verification is in order.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. All right. A verification has been requested. Senator Martin
7. has requested a verification. Will the members please be in their
8. seat and respond to the Secretary as he reads the affirmative
9. votes. Mr. Secretary, read the affirmative votes.

10. SECRETARY:

11. The following voted in the affirmative: Berman, Carroll,
12. Chew, Collins, D'Arco, Daley, Demuzio, Donnewald, Egan, Hall,
13. Johns, Jeremiah Joyce, Jerome Joyce, Knuppel, Lemke, Maragos,
14. McLendon, Moore, Nash, Nedza, Nega, Netsch, Newhouse, Rupp,
15. Sangmeister, Savickas, Vadalabene, Washington, Wooten, Mr.
16. President.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Senator Martin.

19. SENATOR MARTIN:

20. Senator Wooten.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Is Senator Wooten on the Floor? Is Senator Wooten on the Floor?
23. Strike his name from the roll. Senator Vadalabene requested further
24. consideration of House Bill 1877 be postponed. So ordered. Ladies
25. and Gentlemen if I can have your attention for a moment. We are
26. pleased and it's a matter of personal privilege to...we are pleased
27. to have with us today a gentlemen who is nationally recognized as
28. a public servant. He has been laboring in public service for over
29. fifty years. He is a gentlemen who is a longtime friend of many
30. of you in the room and I would like to have him say hello. The
31. finest alderman from the City of Chicago, Alderman Vito Marsalo.

32. ALDERMAN VITO MARSALO:

33. (Remarks by Alderman Marsalo)

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Davidson, top of page 16. On the Order of House
3. Bills, 3rd reading, House Bill 1888. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

4. SECRETARY:

5. House Bill 1888.

6. (Secretary reads title of bill)

7. 3rd reading of the bill.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Davidson.

10. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

11. Mr. President and members of the Senate, this bill was amended
12. and it does not deal with Transportation anymore. It now deals to
13. solve a problem with those school districts who had passed a
14. referendum for bond issues prior to the Personal Property Tax
15. assessment being knocked out and had not sold all their bonds and
16. now unable to sell the bonds due to the twelve percent limitation.
17. Bond counsel...at the public hearings said, we set the limit, they
18. didn't. They judged...by the administrative ability of the school
19. district. It has a front end referendum so that in the future this
20. takes care of the ones such as Mahomet in Senator Weaver's district
21. and others. In the future if a school district wants to go over
22. the six and twelve percent they can by a...front end referendum.
23. Appreciate a favorable roll call.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

25. Senator Savickas.

26. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

27. Mr. President and members of the Senate. I understand this
28. problem is to relieve the impact of the Supreme Court's decision
29. eliminating the Corporate Personal Property Tax on school districts.
30. This is the same type of decision that we faced with the Chicago
31. Park District and I'd like to...I'd like to inform Senator Davidson
32. that this is the same type of problem that we in the Chicago Park
33. District had faced and we...we're hoping for his support with the

448 1885
3 22 1914
6-25-1914

- 1. Chicago Park District bonds referendum. I think it's a good concept
- 2. for everybody, both the school districts and the Chicago Park District.
- 3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
- 4. Is there further discussion? Senator Davidson may close.
- 5. SENATOR DAVIDSON:
- 6. Yes, I ask for a favorable roll call. This a front end referendum.
- 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
- 8. All right. The question is, shall House Bill 1888 pass. Those
- 9. in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have
- 10. all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Take the
- 11. record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none.
- 12. House Bill 1888 having received the constitutional majority is
- 13. declared passed. The Chair...the Chair inadvertently ruled...over-
- 14. looked, rather, House Bill 1885. Do we have leave to return to that
- 15. order? Leave is granted. Senator Coffey. Read the bill, Mr.
- 16. Secretary.
- 17. SECRETARY:
- 18. House Bill 1885.
- 19. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 20. 3rd reading of the bill.
- 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
- 22. Senator Coffey.
- 23. SENATOR COFFEY:
- 24. Mr. President and members of the Senate. House Bill 1885
- 25. amends an Act in relation to alcoholic liquor and eliminates the
- 26. restriction on sale of alcohol within the fifteen hundred foot of
- 27. a university. It's the same...basically the same as Senate Bill 675,
- 28. which passed out of here and passed the House and I'd ask for a
- 29. favorable roll call.
- 30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
- 31. Is there discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1895
- 32. pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is
- 33. open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish?

AB 1903
6/25/79
3rd reading

1. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 43, the Nays
2. are 8, 3 Voting Present. House Bill 1885 having received the
3. constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 1891,
4. Senator Regner. House Bill 1900, Senator Johns. Read the bill,
5. Mr. Secretary.

6. SECRETARY:
7. House Bill 1900.
8. (Secretary reads title of bill)
9. 3rd reading of the bill.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. Senator Johns.

12. SENATOR JOHNS:

13. Thank you, Mr. President. As a member of the Energy Resource
14. Commission we discovered that this was one way that we might help
15. resolve the energy crisis. It requires the Institute of Natural
16. Resources to promote waste oil recycling. It provides that Federal
17. recycled oil labeling standards shall become the State's standards.
18. I would appreciate a favorable roll call.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

20. Is there discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1900
21. pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is
22. open. Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that
23. question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are 4. House Bill 1900 having
24. received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House
25. Bill 1903, Senator McLendon. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

26. SECRETARY:
27. House Bill 1903.
28. (Secretary reads title of bill)
29. 3rd reading of the bill.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

31. Senator McLendon.

32. SENATOR McLENDON:

33. Mr. President and members of the Senate. House Bill 1903

1. creates the Emancipation of Mature Minors Act. It provides for the
2. court procedures whereby a person sixteen or over may be declared
3. a mature minor enabling the minor to enter into contracts and have
4. such other rights or responsibilities as the court may grant. The
5. bill is virtually identical to Representative Dyer's bill of the 80th
6. General Assembly, House Bills 388 and 389, as amended in the House.
7. The unamended bills were placed on Postponed Consideration and were
8. returned to the House Judiciary I Committee where they were amended
9. to respond to the questions raised on the Floor as to certain
10. implications. Those amendments are incorporated into House
11. Bill 1903. The amended bills were passed in the House 110 to 9, but
12. never left the Senate Rules Committee. Judge Durbin of the...one of
13. the Springfield courts testified masterfully for the bill in Judiciary
14. I Committee and there seemed to be no opposition to the bills. They
15. are good bills and I recommend approval of the Senate.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

17. Is there discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1903
18. pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is
19. open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who
20. wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 44, the
21. Nays are 8, 1 Voting Present. House Bill 1903 having received the
22. constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 1907,
23. Senator Washington. House Bill 1911, Senator Rupp. House Bill
24. 1912, Senator Netsch. Well, do we have leave to go back to House
25. Bill 1907? Leave is granted. House Bill 1907. Read the bill,
26. Mr. Secretary.

27. SECRETARY:

28. House Bill 1907.

29. (Secretary reads title of bill)

30. 3rd reading of the bill.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. Senator Washington.

33. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

1. Mr. President, excuse my tardiness, but I was certain you
2. were the President and not Mr. Chew. This is a very simple bill.
3. It provides that when a person is arrested, convicted and sentenced
4. with stolen identification documents that the person whose identi-
5. fication was so used now has a criminal record and that may be
6. expunged by order of the court. It's a very simple bill. There's
7. been unanimity in the House on it in the Judiciary Committee and
8. I ask for your support.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

10. Is there discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1907
11. pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting
12. is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who
13. wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the
14. Nays are 2. House Bill 1907 having received the constitutional
15. majority is declared passed. House Bill 1912, Senator Netsch.
16. Read...do you wish the bill called, Senator? Read the bill, Mr.
17. Secretary.

18. SECRETARY:

19. House Bill 1912.

20. (Secretary reads title of bill)

21. 3rd reading of the bill.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. Senator Netsch.

24. SENATOR NETSCH:

25. Thank you, Mr. President. The bill is in the...enviable
26. position of being requested, not only by the legal assistance groups
27. but also by the Illinois State's Attorneys Association and I think
28. the...if you would look at...at Paul Stone's letter...Paul Stone
29. being the President of the Illinois State's Attorneys Association,
30. which is being distributed now, you would understand the reason for
31. the bill. The problem is that in some cases of domestic violence
32. they find that the existing structure of remedies has just proved
33. to be inadequate and they have requested the provision, which they...

1. that's if I can find it...that specifically says that any person
2. who intentionally violates any terms of an injunction issued
3. under this Section shall be guilty of a Class C misdemeanor. That
4. is the most important part of the bill and as I indicated it was
5. requested by the State's Attorneys Associations to make it possible
6. to make effective injunctions against domestic violence. That is
7. really its principal purpose.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
9. Is there discussion? Senator Daley.

10. SENATOR DALEY:
11. Mr. President, will the sponsor yield to a question?

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
13. Indicates she will respond.

14. SENATOR DALEY:
15. Does this deal only with household members, husband and wife?

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
17. Senator Netsch.

18. SENATOR DALEY:
19. In other words, if there's a domestic...does it only include
20. those that are married?

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
22. Senator Netsch.

23. SENATOR DALEY:
24. Does it include...

25. SENATOR NETSCH:
26. It includes...

27. SENATOR DALEY:
28. ...first of all, those that are married only.

29. SENATOR NETSCH:
30. ...children. The...the basic law, which we are not changing,
31. which is the Domestic Violence Injunctive Statute refers to an
32. action for injunctive relief may be brought by any spouse on behalf
33. of himself or herself or on behalf of minor children.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
2. Senator Daley.
3. SENATOR DALEY:
4. ...Also...originally the...the Domestic Violence Injunction
5. pertaining to crooks dealing with criminal penalties, now you're
6. going to civil penalties, correct?
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
8. Senator Netsch.
9. SENATOR NETSCH:
10. Yes, that is correct.
11. SENATOR DALEY:
12. Oh, now you're in civil penalties...
13. SENATOR NETSCH:
14. Well, the...the basic thing is for an injunction, right, and
15. this does provide a modest criminal penalty for violation of the
16. injunction and the reason is that they have found it impossible
17. in many cases effectively to make the injunction effective, if I
18. may use the word effective twice.
19. SENATOR DALEY:
20. It only pertains to husband and wives. It doesn't pertain
21. to anyone else. Husband and wives.
22. SENATOR NETSCH:
23. Or...or on behalf of minor children.
24. SENATOR DALEY:
25. Yeah.
26. SENATOR NETSCH:
27. That is where the original injunction was issued on behalf of
28. minor children. As I...as I read the basic Statute, that is correct
29. and we have not changed the basic Statute.
30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
31. Senator Savickas.
32. SENATOR SAVICKAS:
33. Yes, Mr. President, I'd like to ask the sponsor a question.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. She indicates she will respond.

3. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

4. I understand in...in Section 3 is it, I guess here on line
5. 29, you're raising the days of exclusion for the parties from
6. thirty to ninety days and we're talking about homes where you
7. have domestic violence. You're increasing the time period that
8. they could stay in these areas. I don't know if it's a proper
9. way to raise it or we should just have it...the court decide, but
10. why are we raising it from thirty days to ninety days?

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

12. Senator Netsch.

13. SENATOR NETSCH:

14. My understanding is that...that provision was not discussed
15. as much, but my understanding is that it was simply found that
16. the thirty day period was not adequate and that ninety was a more
17. adequate period of time.

18. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

19. Well, you're also in Section 4 there, awarding temporary custody
20. or establishing temporary custody or establishing temporary
21. visitation rights with regard to minor children or both and you
22. include in here now, for a period not to exceed ninety days. Why
23. have we put in a period in this area?

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

25. Senator Netsch. The time is up, Senator. Senator D'Arco.

26. SENATOR D'ARCO:

27. No. I refer to Knuppel. Knuppel.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

29. Senator Knuppel.

30. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

31. Mr. President, I rise in opposition to this bill. It...surely
32. the State's Attorneys of...approve it. It's going to make less
33. work for them. All they got to do when this bill is passed is say go

1. see your attorney, have him get an injunction. Hell, if somebody
2. is beating on somebody, it's a criminal offense and they ought to
3. be doing their job. They're in here asking me to handle a payraise
4. bill for them and I've got it and I'm asking for a payraise for
5. them, but when I have a woman call me in the middle of the night
6. and say she's been to the police and she's been to the State's
7. Attorney and they tell her to go get an injunction, this just
8. makes it so that they can say, even more so, get an injunction.
9. She may not be able to find an attorney. She may never have seen
10. one. She may not have the money to pay for the divorce. She has
11. a paid attorney to enforce the law for assault, for battery in
12. domestic violence and, by God, he ought to do the job.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

14. Is there further...Is there further discussion? Senator
15. Netsch may close.

16. SENATOR NETSCH:

17. I just didn't know this was such an excitable bill. The...
18. again, I would repeat it was something that was requested by
19. the State's Attorneys. They felt that the existing...the existing
20. law on domestic...domestic violence injunctions was simply not
21. adequate that you put people in an impossible position and if
22. they were in a position where they could occasionally go in and
23. effectively put a stop to the continuing violence, that they would,
24. in effect, make the whole process work more smoothly and that is
25. why the bill was requested and I would solicit your support.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

27. The question is, shall House Bill 1912 pass. Those in favor
28. vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all those
29. voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Take the record.
30. On that question, the Ayes are 17, the Nays are 21, 1 Voting
31. Present. House Bill 1912 not having received the constitutional
32. majority is declared lost. The Chair overlooked House Bill 1759
33. immediately previous to the caucus called. Do I have leave to go

1. back to that order? Leave is granted. House Bill 1759. Read
2. the bill, Mr. Secretary.
3. SECRETARY:
4. House Bill 1759.
5. (Secretary reads title of bill)
6. 3rd reading of the bill.
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
8. Senator Newhouse.
9. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:
10. Thank you, Mr. President.
11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
12. Could we clear the caucuses and leave Senator Newhouse proceed.
13. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:
14. Mr. President, this bill came out of committee...this bill
15. came out of committee unanimously, but it's been reworked, it
16. struck out everything after the enacting clause but, in fact,
17. what I gather from reading it and what the staff tells me is it
18. really tightens up the concept we initially had and I would ask for
19. a favorable roll call.
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
21. Is there discussion? Senator Grotberg.
22. SENATOR GROTBORG:
23. A question of the sponsor.
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
25. Indicates he will respond.
26. SENATOR GROTBORG:
27. Senator Newhouse, very quickly, Illinois Valley College has
28. a bad building. The contractor loused it up five years ago and
29. they've been trying some way to fix it. Is this the bill that
30. will get at that? Can I write home and tell them I voted for it?
31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
32. Senator Newhouse.
33. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

HB 1922
3rd reading
6-25-79

1. You can tell them that, Senator, or you can tell them further
2. that according to the bill that we had drafted previously that
3. they still have a right of action as over and against the
4. architect from which the...the State can recover. It cost the
5. State...

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

7. Is there further discussion? The question is...Senator
8. Nimrod.

9. SENATOR NIMROD:

10. Yeah, Mr. President, I think we all agree or do...when we
11. say we did agree on the original bill, but you say it's been
12. rewritten. Now, I was...I'm the Minority Spokesman. I've
13. never been given a copy of this thing since it's been rewritten.
14. It might be all right, but would you give us the courtesy of, at
15. least, giving us the chance to read this bill, so we know what
16. we're doing.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

18. Take it out of the record. House Bill 1914, Senator Lemke.
19. Senator Lemke. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. House Bill 1922,
20. Senator Nedza. Senator Lemke, for what purpose do you arise?

21. SENATOR LEMKE:

22. Well, 1914...the bill was...it's true that the bill was
23. amended today and it was a technical amendment, so I ask leave
24. for the Body...'cause it was amendment we had before. All it did
25. was change a word.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

27. The...the rule of the Body has been strictly enforced that
28. the bill cannot be read...read a third time if it was amended on
29. the same legislative day, Senator. Senator Nedza. House Bill 1922.
30. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

31. SECRETARY:

32. House Bill 1922.

33. (Secretary reads title of bill)

HB 1922
6/25/1939

1. 3rd reading of the bill.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

3. Senator Nedza.

4. SENATOR NEDZA:

5. Thank you, Mr. President. This bill would permit the Secretary
6. of State's Office to license body shops as it...as it now licenses
7. scrap processors, used parts dealers, auto recyclers and rebuilders.
8. The bill also redefines an automobile rebuilder as someone who
9. would repair or restore or modify a vehicle, a vehicle shell, a
10. chassis or any part of its original condition after the vehicle
11. has been damaged or wrecked. This legislation would also close
12. a loophole against the professional thieves and the chop shops.
13. It also provides for ownership disclosure a recordkeeping system,
14. which is tailored to the industry and an investigation of the
15. licensees. I would also state that with the assistance of Senators
16. Bloom, Davidson, Coffey and Geo-Karis and Chew that we have...the
17. bill was amended to eliminate all known opposition to the bill.
18. The industry is in support of it. The law enforcement officials
19. throughout the entire State of Illinois, those on the State level
20. and the municipal level are in favor of this bill. If there are
21. no questions, I would ask for a favorable roll call.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. Is there discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1922
24. pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting
25. is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted
26. who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 52,
27. the Nays are none. House Bill 1922 having received the
28. constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 1934,
29. Senator Washington. House Bill 1939, Senator McLendon. Read the
30. bill, Mr. Secretary.

31. SECRETARY:

32. House Bill 1939.

33. (Secretary reads title of bill)

1. 3rd reading of the bill.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

3. Senator McLendon.

4. SENATOR McLENDON:

5. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate Bill
6. 1939 would amend the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage
7. Act to include additional protection for battered spouses and for
8. the children of violent homes. I have a letter here from the
9. State's Attorney...from the staff attorney of Legal Center for
10. Battered Women, which states that in her experiences as staff
11. attorney for the Legal Center for...Battered Women during the
12. past eighteen months have convinced me for the need for these
13. amendments. The center has provided some form of assistance for
14. approximately four thousand five hundred battered women and their
15. children. We have represented approximately two hundred fifty of
16. those women in domestic relations courts. Further, we have been
17. in close contact with numerous private attorneys providing legal
18. services to battered women and their children, as well as with
19. Illinois Legal Aid Organizations. I think it's a very good bill,
20. Mr. President, and I ask the support of the Senate in the passage
21. of this bill.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. Is there discussion? Senator Wooten.

24. SENATOR WOOTEN:

25. What is the amendment to this bill, Senator McLendon?

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

27. Senator McLendon.

28. SENATOR McLENDON:

29. All right. The amendment Section 602, Child Custody, presently
30. requires the court presiding at a custody hearing to consider all
31. factors relevant to the child's best interest and to disregard
32. conduct, which does not affect a potential custodial relationship
33. to the child. This Section guides the court in making this

1. distinction by identifying five factors, which are relevant to
2. the child's best interest. The proposed amendment would add
3. one factor to those...specifically identified as relevant. The
4. factor is...

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Just a moment, Senator. Senator Wooten, for what purpose
7. do you arise?

8. SENATOR WOOTEN:

9. I think that's the bill, Senator. I was curious about the
10. amendment that was at, I believe, Senator Bowers indicated that
11. he had a hand in that one..

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

13. Senator Bowers.

14. SENATOR BOWERS:

15. Thank you, Mr. President. Yes, Senator McLendon graciously
16. permitted me to put an amendment on this bill that would, in effect,
17. permit retired judges under certain circumstances to perform
18. marriage ceremonies. We have a problem in DuPage County. I
19. introduced Senate Bill 551 to solve the problem, but it was pretty
20. broad and the court administrator objected. We held that bill in
21. committee because of that objection. We met that objection by...by
22. providing controls from the chief judge and I don't know of any
23. opposition to the amendment and I would also appreciate a favorable
24. roll call.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

26. Is there further discussion? The question is, shall House
27. Bill 1939 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The
28. voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those
29. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are
30. 56, the Nays are none. House Bill 1939 having received the
31. constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 1944,
32. Senator Savickas. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

HB 1944
6/25/79
3rd reading

1. SECRETARY:

2. House Bill 1944.

3. (Secretary reads title of bill)

4. 3rd reading of the bill.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Senator Savickas.

7. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

8. Mr. President and members of the Senate. I would like
9. to relinquish my time here to Senator Gitz who is the hyphen-
10. ated sponsor and who has done all the preparatory work on this
11. ...bill. So I would like Senator Gitz to explain it.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

End of reel.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. Senator Gitz.

3. SENATOR GITZ:

4. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I think
5. that most of the members of the Senate are aware of this bill.
6. It was President Ford that said, "Any government big enough
7. to give you everything you want, is big enough to take everything
8. you've got." We all know the history of bureaucracies, the problems
9. that we have with them, they seem to be limited in space, but not
10. in time. This bill is an attempt to put a limitation in time.
11. It's an attempt to evaluate agencies to see that they are
12. accountable, that they are responsive, to eliminate the dead wood,
13. to preserve the good wood and even to improve it. It sets
14. up very stringent procedures. Now this bill has generated a
15. great deal of opposition for one major reason, it has automatic
16. repealer clauses, which as the Sun Times put it, is the guts
17. of the matter, the heart of the matter. I think sunset that
18. legislation is not a cure-all to our problems, but it certainly
19. is an idea whose time has come. This does not sunset all of
20. State Government, it mainly appears to...it merely applies to
21. all of the license agencies and I would urge its adoption.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. Is there discussion? All right, the question is...the
24. question is shall House Bill...Senator Bloom.

25. SENATOR BLOOM:

26. Thank you. It's with some reluctance that I rise to speak on this
27. bill. It does contain an automatic repealer, but it does
28. not provide for front end review. And what that means is, that
29. there is not the kind of meaningful input that perhaps there
30. should be. Senate Bill 495, which passed out of here and
31. right now is being held hostage in the House by the House sponsor,
32. Representative Katz, provided front end review. And I had suggested
33. to the sponsors that perhaps this bill ought to be amended to

1. provide front end review. So I would urge those of you who
2. want more orderly approach to vote Present at present time.
3. Thank you.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

5. Is there further discussion? Senator Carroll.

6. SENATOR CARROLL:

7. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
8. I, of course, rise in support of this legislation. This is
9. topically something this Legislature has been working on for
10. several years. I have authored many pieces of legislation that
11. would have been sunset in nature, had a package of bills last
12. year that we were studying and this, in part, is the end
13. product of those years of study. I think that Senator Gitz
14. has done an outstanding job in trying to take all those ideas
15. and work them into a workable solution to expanding government.
16. I think all of us recognize that we started off a few years
17. ago with the five year plan in Mental Health to say, let's see
18. what the departments are doing enough in advance so we know
19. how they're spending the money. The point of this is, govern-
20. ment is growing by leaps and bounds. And everyone always says
21. to us, don't dig in and take any existing jobs when you're
22. dealing with Appropriations, look at new, look at expanding,
23. but don't look at those who are on board whether they're doing
24. the job or not, whether the department is functioning or not.
25. I think it's about time we said, sensibly, we should review the
26. operation of government in an orderly way and do away with those
27. parts of government that just aren't working and save the people
28. some money. I think we should support this wholeheartedly.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

30. Senator Berman.

31. SENATOR BERMAN:

32. Will the sponsor yield?

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. Indicate...indicates he will.

2. SENATOR BERMAN:

3. Senator Gitz, I'm sorry, I walked in the middle of...of...
4. after you'd started. Would you explain the difference or the
5. ...the concern that Senator Bloom raised regarding front end
6. review? What...what does he mean?

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

8. Senator Gitz.

9. SENATOR GITZ:

10. What Senator Bloom is referring to is the fact that before
11. we even go to license an agency in R and E, that we would do
12. a review to decide whether we should get into the business.
13. I personally support that, however, there was a problem with
14. this bill after it was on 3rd reading. The Governor's Office
15. has indicated support of this bill, the administration would
16. like to see both bills on the Governor's Desk. I worked
17. extensively, Senator Bloom and front end review could be
18. put onto the bill, but not without bringing it back to an amend-
19. ment which opens up a whole other series of problems. So the
20. administration indicated they would rather see the bill in
21. its present form even if it didn't have a front end review
22. and that's why we decided to go that route. There was also a
23. question which arose of the 495. I supported that bill, so do
24. a lot of other people. Representative Hallock assured me today
25. that they would have the votes to pass that bill out. We
26. would like to put both bills on the Governor's Desk.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

28. Senator, your time has expired. Senator Shapiro.

29. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

30. Well, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I
31. want to make a point on 1944, having nothing to do with its
32. contents. But the House sponsor of this bill has stymied
33. the passage of Senate Bill 495, which left this Chamber a long

1. time ago and refuses to call it off of postponed consideration.
2. All I'm asking in deference to our Senator, Senate sponsor,
3. that the Republicans vote Present on this bill.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

5. Senator Berning.

6. SENATOR BERNING:

7. Thank you, Mr. President. I notice that this bill came
8. out of a Senate Executive Committee and I want to congratulate
9. that committee with the alacrity which...with which it acted
10. on this bill while it's still holding my sunset bill, never
11. having given it a hearing.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

13. Senator Maragos.

14. SENATOR MARAGOS:

15. Mr. President and members of the Senate...

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

17. Just a moment, Senator. Will the members clear the aisle
18. in front of Senator Maragos. I can't hardly see or hear him.

19. SENATOR MARAGOS:

20. As long as they hear me, they don't have to see me, Mr.
21. President. I want...repeating on the issue, I'm stating that
22. this is one of the ideas I had when I was a Freshman Legislator
23. and I'm glad it's coming into fruition and I support it whole-
24. heartedly.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

26. Is there further discussion? Senator Graham.

27. SENATOR GRAHAM:

28. Has Senator Gitz had some letters from...the Illinois...
29. Nurse's Association...and stating their concern about this
30. bill? Did you get the same correspondence?

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. Senator Gitz.

33. SENATOR GITZ:

1. Yes, Senator Graham, I met with them, I met with several
2. other groups. All of them wanted to go to the same form as
3. 495 in the House, that is not a true sunset, the heart and
4. soul of it is the automatic repealer and I don't see how
5. we can make an exception for the nursing association, but
6. say that everybody else is going to be subject to review and
7. that's why, Sir, we decided to keep it the way it was.

8. SENATOR GRAHAM:

9. Thank you.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. Senator Rock. Senator Savickas.

12. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

13. Well, I rise in support of...this legislation. It's been
14. long overdue, it's been legislation that, as Senator Maragos
15. and others have worked on for six and eight years, and I would
16. urge all of our membership on this side of the aisle to support
17. Senator Gitz in the passage of 1944.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. Senator Wooten.

20. SENATOR WOOTEN:

21. Thank you, Mr. President. As Chairman of the Senate
22. Executive Committee for the last three years, I suppose I've
23. done an awful lot to slow down this kind of legislation
24. because I've been frankly, skeptical of it. Senator Berning
25. is right, I slowed down his bills, Senator Graham's, Senator
26. Buzbee's, Senator Carroll's, and I...I think it's because
27. there's still a question in my mind as to whether we're really
28. going to save money or whether we not...may not actually add
29. to the bureaucracy. I supported Senators...Senator Bloom's
30. bill and I still do. I support Senator Katz's bill because
31. I think each one makes a modest beginning. I regret to hear
32. what you say is happening in the House, I will certainly do
33. what I can to spring that loose. But I believe that of all

1. the bills we have seen, Senator Bloom's and Senator Katz's , or
2. Representative Katz's, are reasonable beginning approaches and
3. I support them for that reason. I certainly think that we
4. ought to explore expanding the concept if it really proves
5. to work, I am still somewhat skeptical.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

7. Senator Gitz may close.

8. SENATOR GITZ:

9. Mr. President, I think most of us are...familiar with the
10. issues. There's no doubt in my mind that there is a majority
11. of the people in this Chamber sympathetic. The problem is
12. the politics of the situation. Now Senator Bloom knows that
13. I'm one of the people that went to bat for him. I even offered, that
14. since he is so much involved in this, that he ought to be offered
15. as a sponsor to both bills. I spoke to the Minority Leader.
16. I've done everything humanly possible to undue some of the
17. damage that has happened to certain House Members that have
18. been very arrogant. I would only ask the people on the other
19. side of the aisle to help send this bill to the Governor's
20. Desk because I think we can send both bills to the Governor's
21. Desk. And I've tried very hard to keep my word on this in
22. keeping this. One of the problems is, is this...a high order
23. of call. It's highly questionable whether we can go back around
24. to 1944 and pass it again. That's why we decided to call the
25. bill today. And I would urge in my closing statement that we
26. look a little bit beyond some of our own peculiarities and some
27. of our own concerns of the fact that we feel we've been treated
28. unjustly. And look at the issue, because the issue is one that
29. here is a chance that we can do something on behalf of the tax-
30. payers of this State and we all know that is the case and I
31. would urge that we pass both of these bills and put them on
32. the Governor's Desk.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. The question is shall House Bill 1944 pass. Those in favor
2. vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The Voting is open. (Machine
3. cut-off)...those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish?
4. Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 34, the Nays are
5. 2, 16 Voting Present. House Bill 1944, having received the
6. constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 1968,
7. Senator Schaffer. That's what it says. Senator...Demuzio, for
8. what purpose do you arise?

9. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

10. Sir, I move to reconsider the vote by...having voted on
11. the prevailing side, I move to reconsider the vote by which
12. House Bill 1944 was passed.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

14. You heard the motion. Senator Knuppel moves it lay on
15. the Table. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed
16. Nay. The Ayes have it, the motion lies upon the Table. Senator
17. Schaffer, do you wish to proceed with 1968? Senator Schaffer...
18. read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

19. SECRETARY:

20. House Bill 1968.

21. (Secretary reads title of bill)

22. 3rd reading of the bill.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

24. Senator Schaffer.

25. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

26. Mr. President, this is a bill that comes from the Department
27. of Public Health and it amends the Communicable Disease Report
28. Act to update it. It cleans up some language and requires
29. an additional blood test for...prenatal syphilis...and also
30. makes the results available to the Health Departments of City,
31. Villages and unincorporated...residences...towns.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

33. Is there further discussion? Senator Buzbee.

1. SENATOR BUZBEE:

2. Question the sponsor, Mr. President.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

4. Indicates he will respond.

5. SENATOR BUZBEE:

6. Senator, does this mean that every time a positive test result
7. comes back that that information will then be...be available
8. to every township and every other kind of Health Department
9. in the whole area?

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. Senator Schaffer.

12. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

13. No, before anybody gets concerned. No, it...it does not
14. involve the individual name, it's just a mechanism to alert
15. the health departments about epidemics and, you know, that
16. there's a problem growing in their area. It does not...the
17. individual's name is not...let..you know, known, but if nobody
18. every reports these things, why we could have an epidemic
19. going and the health authorities would just not be aware
20. of the situation.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

22. Is there further...Senator Buzbee.

23. SENATOR BUZBEE:

24. Well, okay, my...my concern is, it seems to me that we're
25. going the opposite direction in this country on this question
26. of what we should be and that is that every time some sixteen
27. year old contracts...contacts one of these diseases and we
28. tell the world that he's got it or she's got it, then they're
29. going to do everything they can to...avoid being treated for
30. it and therefore it's going...it seems to me that we're...we're
31. propagating what we don't want to propagate and that's why I
32. was concerned about...

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Is there further discussion? Senator Schaffer may close.

1. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

2. Well, again the...no one's name or...or home address or
3. anything will be disclosed, it's just that the health authorities
4. will be alerted that there is, in fact, a problem and they can
5. ...they can start corrective measures as needed...within their
6. power. I think it is a good bill and it is sponsored by the
7. Department of Public Health and the Medical Society concurring
8. therein. Appreciate a favorable roll call.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

10. The question is shall House Bill 1968 pass. Those in
11. favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. (Machine
12. cut-off) ...all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who
13. wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 54,
14. the Nays are none. House Bill 1968, having received a constitutional
15. majority is declared past. House Bill 1972, Senator Geo-Karis,
16. do you wish to call the bill. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

17. SECRETARY:

18. House Bill 1972.

19. (Secretary reads title of bill)

20. 3rd reading of the bill.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

22. Senator Geo-Karis.

23. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

24. Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House
25. Bill 1972 amends the Illinois Abortion Laws to require physicians
26. to send an amended abortion report to the Department of Public
27. Health within ten days after discovery of an abortion patient
28. having complications. This bill also provides for confiden-
29. tiality of the patient's name and the Ambulatory Surgical Treatment
30. Center Act and it's a bill that's been asked to...ask me to...
31. asked for...it's a bill that the Department of Public Health
32. has asked me to sponsor because they need to know this information
33. and the reporting of complications from abortions have been...
34. has a problem to the department 'cause there are...there

1. are not a great number of physicans complying with the reporting
2. requirements. It simply says that if within ten days if there
3. are complications, the physican should report it to the Department
4. of Public Health. I urge your favorable consideration.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Is there...discussion? The question is shall House Bill
7. 1972 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The
8. voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those
9. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes
10. are 52, the Nays are none, 2 Voting Present. House Bill 1972,
11. having received the constitutional majority is declared passed.
12. House Bill 1976, Senator Geo-Karis, do you wish to call the bill?
13. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

14. SECRETARY:

15. House Bill 1976.

16. (Secretary reads title of bill)
17. 3rd reading of the bill.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. Senator Geo-Karis.

20. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

21. Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House
22. Bill...1976 would permit the Director of the Institute of Natural
23. Resources with the...with the approval of the Economic Technical
24. Advisory Committee to determine that an economic impact statement
25. was unnecessary. However, if one member of the Economic Technical
26. Advisory Committee were to object to not having an economic
27. statement...impact statement, that he could not do it. That this
28. has...this was requested of me...by the Institute...by the Institute
29. of Natural Resources because a...to give you an example. In 1977
30. the...Institute of...for Environmental quality, which was the...
31. forerunner of the Institute of Natural Resources conducted an
32. economic impact statement for proposed Pollution Control Board
33. rule change to allow the Illinois EPA to modify its administrative

1. regulations in order to comply with Federal regulations of
2. public water supplies. For such changes...an EPA administrative
3. regulation included modifications and procedures for filing forms.
4. So this was self...okay, this was self-evident, this proposed
5. rule change was strictly administrative in nature and we didn't
6. need a...I urge favorable consideration, I'm sorry.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

8. Senator Martin.

9. SENATOR MARTIN:

10. Yes, I hate to do it, a question of the sponsor.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

12. Indicates she will respond.

13. SENATOR MARTIN:

14. Right now, under legislation passed and revamped this year
15. by the Illinois General Assembly, any rules or regulations, the
16. Economic Impact Study is given to the Joint Committee on Adminis-
17. trative rules to make sure that legislative intent is being followed
18. by these myriad rules and regs. Would this bill, suddenly say
19. that this institute is freed from that obligation on their
20. own volition and believe me, this institute and the EPA was
21. one of the ones we were trying to get to with the bill.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. Senator Geo-Karis.

24. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

25. Senator Martin, my understanding of the bill, and I have
26. a memo from the Institute...Natural Resources is that the
27. economic impact studies would continue to come forth except that
28. if...if...unless one member of the Economic...Technical Advisory
29. Committee would determine that such a statement was unnecessary.
30. Now, I don't quite follow your question.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. Senator Martin, your time has expired for the first time.

33. Senator Rock.

1. SENATOR ROCK:

2. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
3. I too rise in opposition to this bill. It just seems to me that
4. the General Assembly decided some time ago that this kind of
5. statement the economic...Economic Impact Study would be available.
6. And I might quote from the bill at the bottom of page 2 to
7. allow for adequate public exposure and response, each study
8. shall be made available to the public at least thirty days
9. prior to the institute's formal presentation to the board,
10. which is the Pollution Control Board. Now we are striking
11. that out and I don't know why we're doing this. If this
12. fellow, who is the head of the institute determines in his
13. own opinion that such a study is not necessary, they don't
14. have to do one. I think that's silly. I vote No.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD).

16. Senator Knuppel.

17. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

18. I think Senator Rock said all of it. We had 1805 here
19. a few years ago when the Illinois Chamber of Commerce made
20. that their biggie. Now they laid down on this one, we pass
21. a law and then they...then they nit-pick it to death, they
22. nibble it to death. I supported Geo-Karis to get it out
23. of committee, I told her that this was one bill that I was
24. opposed to. I was the Senate sponsor of 1805 and I remember
25. the Chamber of Commerce fought for years to get it through
26. and now they lay down on this one. I don't understand it.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

28. Senator...Senator Geo-Karis moves to take it out of
29. the record. House Bill 1978, Senator Rhoads. House Bill
30. 1996, Senator Demuzio-Johns. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
31. Sorry, it's been amended. 9...House Bill...2006, Senator Bruce.
32. 2006, read the bill, Mr. Secretary. That bill was attempted
33. to be amended and the bill in the...physically is downstairs
34. in the Enrolling and Engrossing, is not before...on the Secretary's
35. Desk. I understand it wasn't amended, but we will have to physically

1. bring the bill back upstairs and we...couldn't we have leave
2. to come back as soon as the bill arrives on the Secretary's
3. Desk? Leave is granted. House Bill 2012, Senator Bruce. You
4. wish to call that bill? Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
5. SECRETARY:
6. House Bill 2012.
7. (Secretary reads title of bill)
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
9. Take it out of the record. Senator Graham, for what
10. purpose do you arise?
11. SENATOR GRAHAM:
12. In 1986...what happened? Not back yet? How did we do
13. that? Sorry I brought it up.
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
15. House Bill 2014, Senator Jeremiah Joyce. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
16. SECRETARY:
17. House Bill 2014.
18. (Secretary reads title of bill)
19. 3rd reading of the bill.
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
21. Senator Jeremiah Joyce.
22. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:
23. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. House
24. Bill 2014 as...as amended, permits the Capital Development Board
25. to make improvements at the Chicago Zoological Society of the
26. Forest Preserve District of Cook County, that is Brookfield
27. Zoo. I move your favorable consideration.
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
29. Is there discussion? The question is shall House Bill
30. 1014 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay.
31. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wished? Have
32. all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question
33. the Ayes are 46, the Nays are 6, 2 Voting Present. House Bill
34. 2014, having received the constitutional majority is declared

HB 2049
6/25/79
3rd reading

- 1. passed. House Bill 2041, Senator Martin. Read the bill, Mr.
- 2. Secretary.
- 3. SECRETARY:

- 4. House Bill 2041.
- 5. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 6. 3rd reading of the bill.

- 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
- 8. Senator Martin.

- 9. SENATOR MARTIN:

- 10. This bill says that the Department of Revenue shall randomly
- 11. spot check the real estate transfer declarations that have filed
- 12. ...that are filed with transfer of property. On the books, of
- 13. course, is a...laws that say, if there's fraud involved in
- 14. these declarations that that is a penalty, but no one ever
- 15. checks them. This did come out of a suggestion of a county
- 16. recorder and then out of the Governor's Task Force on...and
- 17. I'm sorry, I forgot the name of that task force looking
- 18. at property tax assessments. The bill has further been
- 19. amended at the request of the Recorder's Association to take
- 20. care of a problem with the Real Estate Transfer Act. I'd
- 21. ask for your support.

- 22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

- 23. Is there discussion? The question is shall House Bill
- 24. 2041 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay.
- 25. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have
- 26. all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question
- 27. the Ayes are 45, the Nays are 2, 1 Voting Present. House
- 28. Bill 2041, having received the constitutional majority is
- 29. declared passed. House Bill 2049, Senator Maragos: Read the
- 30. bill, Mr. Secretary.

- 31. SECRETARY:

- 32. House Bill 2049.
- 33. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 34. 3rd reading of the bill.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. Senator Maragos.

3. SENATOR MARAGOS:

4. Mr. President and members of the Senate. This is a bill
5. which is a consumer oriented bill to give employees an opportunity
6. to check into the medical records which have been denied in
7. past. It has been asked by many workers and people in my
8. district. It is a good bill. It passed the committee and I
9. ask for your support.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. Is there discussion? Senator Becker.

12. SENATOR BECKER:

13. Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. I rise
14. in opposition to Senate Bill 2049. I sat in committee and I
15. voted No in committee. What we're going to do here and it
16. happened to me, in representing labor for a period of
17. twenty-five years, we're going to have shop stewards, we're
18. going to have officers going in looking at medical records
19. of employees, their personnel folders and we're going to cause
20. industry another problem. They have enough now with
21. Workmen's Comp, Unemployment Comp, product liability, this
22. is going to be nothing more than lawyers upon lawyers suing
23. industry because of personnel records. I ask for a No vote
24. on Senate Bill 2049.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

26. Senator Berning.

27. SENATOR BERNING:

28. Thank you, Mr. President. I too rise in opposition to
29. the bill. It will add an immeasurable burden on our employers
30. and actually produce no substantial good.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. Senator...Senator Demuzio.

33. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

1. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of
2. the Senate. I'd like to ask the sponsor a question if he'll
3. yield.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

5. Question...just...indicates he will.

6. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

7. Question, Senator Maragos, the amendment that you put on
8. exempts local units of government from the provisions of this
9. Act and I'm wondering why you are exempting local units of...of
10. government rather than...putting them in?

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

12. Senator Maragos.

13. SENATOR MARAGOS:

14. Senator Demuzio, the reason why we took the municipalities
15. out is because there's other ramifications, like political
16. ramifications that...the, they do not want divulged as was...whereas
17. in industry, it is strictly the records and we're primarily
18. interested in the medical records of the individuals and
19. that's why we asked at the request of the Municipal League
20. and other municipality leaders to take...take them exempt
21. till they were able to see what files they're talking about
22. because...

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

24. Senator Demuzio, your time has about expired.

25. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

26. Very briefly, I...I think the concept of the bill is
27. certainly an excellent one. I...I think you've severely
28. weakened your bill by...by taking out the units of local
29. government. I preferably would...would rather them be in
30. it.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. Senator Nimrod.

33. SENATOR NIMROD:

1. Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to call attention
2. to Section 8, I notice we took the local units of government
3. out, but this section completely bans any information about
4. outside associations. That would mean that the political
5. affiliation of government employees or anything else that
6. we want in there cannot be put into a man's record and yet
7. we mandate that lobbyist and that elected officials must
8. file various statements that are available to the public.
9. Now yet we ban them from putting this in an individual's
10. file. I think this is a flaw within that bill and I certainly
11. ...there's good enough reason for me not to vote for it.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

13. Senator Collins.

14. SENATOR COLLINS:

15. Question...of the sponsor.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

17. Indicates he will respond.

18. SENATOR COLLINS:

19. Senator Maragos, I was out of town...when the time this
20. bill come up, but isn't this bill referring only to medical
21. information that you're concerned about?

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. Senator Maragos.

24. SENATOR MARAGOS:

25. It goes beyond, but that is the thrust. That was why
26. it was initiated originally, because of the medical information
27. when people were suffering from various diseases and they did
28. not be able to get the medical records of the employer to
29. see if they were related with their employment and that was
30. one of the reasons why the labor groups in my area want this
31. particular amendment and this bill to be put on.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

33. Senator Collins.

1. SENATOR COLLINS:

2. I think it's a very good idea and I'd urge a favorable
3. vote on it.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

5. Senator Grotberg.

6. SENATOR GROTBORG:

7. The name of the disease is patronagitis.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. Senator Lemke.

10. SENATOR LEMKE:

11. I rise in favor of this bill because I've seen too many
12. occupational disease cases where the employers have hid the
13. records after the employee has consented to go for exams. I'm
14. sure Senator Washington, when he was an arbitrator at the
15. Industrial Commission can conclude on this. They hide these reports
16. and the employer can't even find out what his disease is.
17. The guy has got to go to his own doctor and...and sometimes
18. that's...he doesn't have the money to do that. I ask for
19. a favorable roll call.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Senator Maragos may close. Oh, I'm sorry, Senator
22. Becker for the second time. Senator...oh, just a moment
23. we've got a new entry. Senator Shapiro.

24. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

25. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
26. I think if any of you would take a close look at the bill
27. and see what the definition of personal record...personnel
28. record is, I think you would be inclined to vote against
29. the bill. It says personnel record, means a record kept
30. by the employer that identifies the employee to the extent
31. that the record is used or has been used or may affect or
32. to be used relative to the employee's qualifications for
33. employment, promotion, transfer, additional compensation
34. or disciplinary action. I think the amendment that takes

1. out local governments just automatically moves Chicago out
2. of it. It places a burden on the industry of the State
3. and the State of Illinois. It's a bad bill and should be
4. defeated.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Senator Becker.

7. SENATOR BECKER:

8. Mr. President, I repeat to Senator Maragos, Senator,
9. many industries in this State today where people have colostomies
10. report back to the company for work, a company will allow
11. them to work, even though their own doctor has notified
12. industry, that person has cancer, it's spreading. Industry
13. will allow them to work four months, five months, but the
14. next time they go out, death will take them within a period
15. of nine months or a year. Now if that person goes in and
16. looks at his own folder and it's happened in my own case
17. where I went in and requested and saw the person had a colostomy
18. operation, the doctor didn't want me to see that report. He
19. finally gave in to me. I saw the letter from the employee's
20. doctor stating that she had about six months to live. Now, had
21. that employee seen that record, I wonder what you'd think on
22. how that employee would have felt. Would she have gone back
23. and sued that industry for allowing her to return to work?
24. I say it's a bad bill and it should be voted down.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

26. Senator Lemke.

27. SENATOR LEMKE:

28. This bill does not apply to memorandums between supervisory
29. personnel because that is excluded under court law. This does
30. not apply, this is just strictly...and as far as the doctor
31. saying...I...I think that's between a doctor and a patient,
32. not a doctor and the company. I think the...it's a doctor-
33. patient confidentiality, not a doctor-company confidentiality. That's
34. what we're trying to get at here. Too many things are hidden

1. from employees to their detriment. And I think the employee...
2. the employee should know they have cancer and that doctor
3. should agree with...with that employee or not give the information
4. to the employer.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Senator Maragos may close.

7. SENATOR MARAGOS:

8. Mr. President and members of the Senate. This is a bill
9. in the right direction because it would give the employee
10. an opportunity. No one else has a right for this...right to
11. get these records. And especially the medical records, time
12. and again, I've been reading for many years, not only the
13. private employee, but even the government has hiding these
14. records with radioactive diseases that have been taking place,
15. and these employees have never had the right to go in. I ask
16. for your support because this is a bill that would...to protect
17. the rights of these employees and it is not the bogus that every-
18. body has tried to picture here on the other side.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

20. The question is shall House Bill 2049 pass. Those in
21. favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open.
22. (Machine cut-off) ...those voted who wish? Have all those
23. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the
24. Ayes are 27, the Nays are 28. House Bill 2049, not having
25. received the constitutional majority is declared lost.
26. Senator Maragos moves to postpone consideration. Postpone...
27. consideration will be postponed. House Bill 2071, Senator
28. Newhouse. You wish the bill read? Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

29. SECRETARY:

30. House Bill 2071.

31. (Secretary reads title of bill)

32. 3rd reading of the...bill.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. Senator Newhouse.

2. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

3. Thank you, Mr. President. This bill does exactly what the
4. digest says, but what it does is give some incentive to these
5. institutions to make this kind of study. In a number of
6. districts there are some...there is industry pulling out, this
7. will be very helpful to those districts and I'd solicit a
8. favorable roll call.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

10. Is there discussion? The question is shall House Bill
11. 2071 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay.
12. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have
13. all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question
14. the Ayes are 47, the Nays are 4, 1 Voting Present. House Bill
15. 2071, having received a constitutional majority is declared
16. passed. House Bill 2072, Senator Netsch. Read the bill, Mr.
17. Secretary.

18. SECRETARY:

19. House Bill 2072.

20. (Secretary reads title of bill)

21. 3rd reading of the bill.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. Senator Netsch.

24. SENATOR NETSCH:

25. Thank you, Mr...thank you, Mr. President. This bill would,
26. in effect, equalize the standards applicable with respect to
27. political activity as between State employees who are federally
28. funded and those who are not. The way the law reads right now,
29. there are certain political activities prohibited to all employees
30. during regular working hours. The law then went on to provide
31. that for those who are federally funded, they may not engage
32. in those activities at any time, not just limited to regular
33. working hours. This bill would remove that provision and put

1. both federally funded and non-federally funded State employees
2. under the same standards with respect to prohibited...prohibited
3. political activities. Those who are federally funded would, of
4. course, continue to be subject to the Federal Hatch Act, but
5. I think it does eliminate an inequity that is in the present
6. law. One employee may, sitting next to another in the same
7. office, may be permitted to engage in certain activities outside
8. of regular working hours and his or her seatmate may be prohibited
9. those same activities. I think it does equalize between the
10. two categories and is fair in that respect.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

12. Senator Rhoads.

13. SENATOR RHOADS:

14. Question of the sponsor, if she will yield?

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

16. Indicates she will.

17. SENATOR RHOADS:

18. Senator Netsch, didn't the Congress make some changes in
19. the Federal Hatch Act last year and, if so, what were those
20. changes and how do they affect this...this State Legislation.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

22. Senator Netsch.

23. SENATOR NETSCH:

24. Yes, the...Federal government has revised the Hatch Act
25. twice, and the last time I think it was actually 1974, Senator
26. Rhoads. The prohibited activities now, that are applicable only
27. to those federally funded, are using their official authority
28. to affect the outcome of an election, coercing an employee
29. to make a contribution to a party or candidate, serving as
30. a candidate for public office in a partisan election. In
31. effect, the revisions to the Federal Hatch Act considerably
32. reduced the prohibited activities. Those that are prohibited
33. would continue to be prohibited to federally funded employees.
34. But in the meantime, all other State employees, with respect

1. to the provisions to our own State Hatch Act, would be put on
2. the same ground.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

4. Senator D'Arco.

5. SENATOR D'ARCO:

6. Are you...are you making it more restrictive for our State
7. employees to participate in the political arena or less restrictive?
8. I know you're trying to make it consistent, but I don't think
9. that necessarily makes it a good idea.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. Senator Netsch.

12. SENATOR NETSCH:

13. It would make it less restrictive. It would make it less
14. restrictive for State employees to engage in political activities.
15. Which I think, incidently, they should be permitted to do, except
16. during working hours and then it all should be prohibited. That
17. would continue to be the case.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. Senator Davidson.

20. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

21. ...One who has more State employees in the district than
22. any other Senator in here, tell me what you're going to do
23. by the amount of less restrictive.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

25. Senator Netsch.

26. SENATOR NETSCH:

27. Again...the way the law currently is, if this bill does not
28. pass, is that State employees are prohibited from engaging in
29. certain activities during regular working hours. For example,
30. participating in the organization of any political meeting,
31. soliciting money, selling and distributing tickets for political
32. meetings, assisting at the polls on behalf of any party, using
33. or...the influence or authority of their position and so forth.

1. All of those are prohibited during regular working hours. But
2. the law went on to say that if you are federally funded you
3. cannot engage in any of that political activity, not just during
4. regular working hours, but at anytime, whether it's after
5. hours, on week-ends or whatever. It is that latter restriction
6. which is being eliminated. It benefits State employees in terms
7. of opening the...the realm of activity that that may engage
8. in.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

10. Senator Davidson, your time is expired. Is there further
11. discussion? Senator Philip.

12. SENATOR PHILIP:

13. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the...Senate.
14. The way I understand it, on...State or local employees on their
15. own time could politic, they could run for precinct committeeman,
16. et cetera. Under the present law, it's questionable if they have
17. Federal funds. So I think it's a step in the right direction.
18. I think all employees on their own time ought to be able to
19. do anything they want to do. They want to run for precinct
20. committeeman or township chairman, they ought to be able to
21. do it.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. Senator Graham.

24. SENATOR GRAHAM:

25. Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 2302, which was introduced
26. by the Department of Personnel, failed in the House, probably
27. a little more restrictive. And in lieu of its failure the
28. Department of Personnel now supports House Bill 2072.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

30. Senator Davidson.

31. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

32. One question, yes or no, don't use up all my time. Does
33. this allow State employees to be active after working hours
34. and they cannot be active during working hours?

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
2. Senator Netsch.
3. SENATOR NETSCH:
4. Yes.
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
6. Is there further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.
7. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
8. One question, Senator Netsch, if you'll yield.
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
10. Senator Netsch will yield.
11. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
12. Can a State employee then under your bill be a precinct
13. committeeman?
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
15. Senator Netsch.
16. SENATOR NETSCH:
17. Yes.
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
19. Is there further discussion? Senator Netsch may close.
20. You wish a roll call, Senator? The question is should House
21. Bill 2072 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay.
22. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Take
23. the record. On that question the Ayes are 56, the Nays are
24. none. House Bill 2072, having received a constitutional majority
25. is declared passed. House Bill 2073, Senator Wooten. Read the
26. bill, Mr. Secretary.
27. SECRETARY:
28. House Bill 2073.
29. (Secretary reads title of bill)
30. 3rd reading of the bill.
31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
32. Senator Bruce...Senator Wooten.
33. SENATOR WOOTEN:

Handwritten notes in the top left corner, including "H.R. 2073" and "S. 2074".

1. We look alike. This is a purely technical change, requires
2. that Certificates of Indebtedness do not have to be reported
3. to two different places, to the Department of Financial Institutions
4. as well as to the Secretary of State. It wa's intended to take
5. CU's out of this and they simply forgot to add Federal CU's
6. as well as State CU's. And that's all there is to the bill.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

8. Senator Demuzio.

9. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

10. Yes, than you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen
11. of the Senate. I rise in support of this bill. This bill
12. had received the unanimous vote in the Finance and Credit
13. Regulations Committee and even Senator Wooten voted for it.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. Is there further discussion? The question is shall
16. House Bill 2073 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed
17. Nay. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish?
18. Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that
19. question the Ayes are 52, the Nays are 3. House Bill 2073,
20. having received the constitutional majority is declared
21. passed.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. House Bill 2074, Senator Walsh. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary,
24. please.

25. SECRETARY:

26. House Bill 2074.

27. (Secretary reads title of bill)

28. 3rd reading of the bill.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

30. Senator Walsh.

31. SENATOR WALSH:

32. Mr. President and members: of the Senate. House Bill 2074
33. provides for an increase in fees for those licensed by the

1. Illinois Liquor Control Commission. The increase in revenue
2. would amount to approximately six hundred thousand dollars.
3. There had been no increase in fees since 1934. In addition
4. the bill would provide that the fees be deposited in a Dram
5. Shop Fund and that the money in that fund would be used for
6. the operating expenses of the commission. The Governor, as
7. in other...designated funds would be able to, by signature,
8. have excess amounts in the fund transferred over to General
9. Revenue Fund once every year. I believe it's a good bill
10. and I urge your support.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

12. House...further discussion? Senator Wooten.

13. SENATOR WOOTEN:

14. How much?

15. SENATOR WALSH:

16. The...the total amount is six hundred thousand, the increases
17. vary. A...a distiller is increased from twenty-five hundred to
18. three thousand, a retailer, for example, is increased from fifty
19. dollars to seventy-five dollars. An airplane is increased from
20. twenty-five dollars to fifty-dollars.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

22. Senator Wooten.

23. SENATOR WOOTEN:

24. I assume from the provision saying that the excess can go
25. into the General Revenue, that an...an excess is anticipated.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. Senator...Senator Walsh.

28. SENATOR WALSH:

29. Well, a...that...that provision is in the Agricultural
30. Premium Fund and in...all the other...in all the other funds
31. similar where...where funds are earmarked...fees are earmarked.
32. The money basically is going to be used to...a...for better
33. enforcement of the Liquor Control Act.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
2. Further discussion? Senator Buzbee.
3. SENATOR BUZBEE:
4. Question of the sponsor, Mr. President. Is...the excess
5. funds that would be collected, that would be gathered in
6. here that would...the Governor had the right to transfer them
7. over to the General Revenue Fund. Is there any kind of a cap
8. that would require him, when it reaches that cap, to transfer
9. them to General Revenue Fund?
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
11. Senator Walsh.
12. SENATOR WALSH:
13. No, it's...it's the same provision...it is my understanding
14. it's the same provision that's in the Agriculture Premium Fund.
15. If there are excess funds there he will, you know, he can have
16. them transferred over.
17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
18. Senator Buzbee.
19. SENATOR BUZBEE:
20. Thank you. I wonder, Senator Walsh, if you would be willing
21. to join me at some point, perhaps next spring in putting in
22. legislation which would require the Governor to transfer so
23. he can stop hiding all those funds. Like Ag Premium Fund has
24. something like forty million dollars right now and it...we
25. have several funds that are that way and to try to keep Doctor
26. Bob's reputation in tact, he has chosen not to transfer those
27. excess funds over to General Revenue Fund, so that the available
28. balance won't look so embarrassing to Doctor Bob come June 30th.
29. I wonder if you'd be willing to join me in such legislation as
30. that.
31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
32. Senator Walsh.
33. SENATOR WALSH:

HB 2076
3rd Reading
6-25-79

11

1. It seems like a pretty good idea, let's explore it.
2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
3. Further discussion? Senator Walsh may close.
4. SENATOR WALSH:
5. Mr. President and members of the Senate, I would urge
6. your support and just also point out there have been no
7. increases since 1934 and I believe it's time.
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
9. Further...the question is shall House Bill 2074 pass.
10. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting
11. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
12. Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 53, the Nays
13. are 3, 2 Voting Present. House Bill 2074, having received
14. the required constitutional majority is declared passed.
15. House Bill 2076, Senator Egan. Senator Egan. Read the bill,
16. Mr. Secretary, please.
17. SECRETARY:
18. House Bill 2076.
19. (Secretary reads title of bill)
20. 3rd reading of the bill.
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
22. Senator Egan is recognized.
23. SENATOR EGAN:
24. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This
25. allows the interest on deposit in school districts to use that
26. money for operating expenses. It...the bill did pass in the
27. last General Assembly, but there was a technical defect and
28. the Governor Vetoed it. This bill had the...the unanimous
29. approval of the committees in both the House and the Senate.
30. All of the bugs have been worked out and I ask for your favorable
31. consideration.
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
33. Is there discussion? The question is shall House Bill 2076

1. pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The
2. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
3. wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 55, the
4. Nays are none, 1 Voting Present. House Bill 2076 having received
5. the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House
6. Bill 2077, Senator Lemke. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

7. SECRETARY:

8. House Bill 2077.

9. (Secretary reads title of bill)

10. 3rd reading of the bill.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

12. Senator Lemke.

13. SENATOR LEMKE:

14. This bill would allow licensed professionals to...that are
15. married to members of the General Assembly to contract with the
16. State for employment and...salary such as nurses, doctors, and
17. so forth. They found a problem involved in the Act and if
18. you're a nurse or a doctor or even a lawyer, you can't work
19. in any capacity where State money is involved. It's a crime,
20. I ask for its adoption.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

22. Is there discussion? Senator Graham.

23. SENATOR GRAHAM:

24. Mr...Mr. President and members of the Senate. This bill
25. came out of Executive Committee six to five on a partisan
26. roll call. House Bill 2077 also provides that a spouse or
27. a minor child may be employed if compensation is provided by
28. salary and paid on a regular basis and not by contractual
29. service. And it prohibits them from working in the same
30. division, a man and his wife...department of the State.
31. I think we're getting tangled up in probably some marital
32. problems that we're trying to unravel for somebody. We might
33. be making a step in the wrong direction.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

2. Further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

3. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

4. Mr. President, I wonder if the sponsor would yield to a
5. question.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. Indicates he will yield. Senator Geo-Karis.

8. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

9. Under your bill, aren't you increasing nepotism?

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

11. Senator Lemke.

12. SENATOR LEMKE:

13. No, not really.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. Senator Geo-Karis.

16. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

17. You're saying that a spouse, or a minor child may be
18. employed if compensation is provided by salary for
19. services paid on a regular basis and not by the payment
20. of fees in a contractual service basis and the sponsor of a
21. minor child is not receiving compensation from work in the same
22. agency, department, et cetera. Now, what you're doing in
23. this bill, you're authorizing contracts with spouses of
24. State officers Legislators, employees, for professional
25. services, which require State license, et cetera. I suggest,
26. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate that this is a great grand
27. bill of nepotism and I feel that we would be derelict in our
28. duty in supporting...a bill of such a nature. And I think Senator
29. Graham hit the nail right on the head. And I speak in opposition
30. to this bill...in my whole minute.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. Further discussion? Senator Knuppel.

33. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

1. Well, I don't see the difference between somebody whose
2. got a professional wife and one who's got a wife who's just
3. a housewife and didn't go to college. I don't see why they...
4. should have special consideration. This is the kind of bills
5. that bring disrepute on us. We catch hell enough for paying
6. ourself an honest salary and this is not any...different than
7. Senator...Mitchler's bill about hiring some of our nieces and
8. nephews and cousins in our local offices. It brings disrepute
9. and...and I can't see that there's any real need for it just
10. because...somebody has a profession wife...you can say there's
11. not a conflict of interest, but I'll tell you something, you
12. go down to the Governor's Office and he wants you to vote for
13. a certain bill and he holds that over your wife's job or...your
14. head, he'll get the vote. This just bad...it's bad in politics
15. ...it...it may be all right in any given instance, but it smells
16. ...smells the whole place up.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Further discussion? Senator Philip.

19. SENATOR PHILIP:

20. Thank...thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen
21. of the Senate. To say the least, this is probably one of the
22. worst bills we've considered this Session. And this is the
23. old game, it's way...that constitutional officers have the
24. way of obligating members of the General Assembly. When they've
25. got your son or daughter on the payroll or your wife, they want
26. your vote, you're right there whether you like it or not.
27. It's gone on in the past. It shouldn't go on, we ought to
28. stop it, we ought to vote No on this bill.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

30. Further discussion? Senator...Senator Lemke to close.

31. SENATOR LEMKE:

32. Not having a conflict in this area, not having a spouse,
33. I'm for this bill. If we're going to restrict spouses from
34. being employed then I say to you all, let's...let's restrict

1. girlfriends from being employed and other people. This is a
2. bill where you have a person that's a nurse and a doctor that
3. takes care of people. This is not nepotism, there's no such
4. thing as nepotism. We're not hiring them, it's a man that's
5. a professional or a woman that's a professional because he's
6. a nurse or something, he can't be employed. By...
7. he has a contract with the State. It's ridiculous...it's
8. ridiculous. I don't look at...disrepute on me or any member
9. of this Assembly. If you look down on yourself for being
10. elected, then don't work for election, but I'll tell you
11. right here, you're the people that put yourself down 'cause
12. the people of the public know that if you man that's a doctor
13. or a nurse or a lawyer, he went through school, he should be
14. treated like any other citizen. We are not second class
15. citizens. We are first class like everybody else. I ask
16. for an Aye vote.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. The question is shall House Bill 2077 pass. Those...
19. the question is shall House Bill 2077 pass. Those in favor
20. vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
21. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
22. who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are
23. 18, the Nays are 34, none Voting Present. Sponsor asks that
24. further consideration of House Bill 2077 be postponed. Be
25. placed on the Order of Postponed Consideration. House Bill
26. 2078, Senator D'Arco. Read...Gentlemen and Ladies. Read the
27. bill, Mr. Secretary, please. House Bill 2078.

28. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

29. House Bill 2078.

30. (Secretary reads title of bill)

31. 3rd reading of the bill.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Senator D'Arco.

1. SENATOR D'ARCO:

2. Thank you, Mr. President. I just want to say that this
3. bill amends the State...University Retirement...no, this
4. is a good bill. This is a companion bill to the other bill
5. we passed that provided for the pension benefits of that
6. guy in the Police Annuity Fund to be reciprocated and the
7. other University Fund. This is a reciprocal bill and we
8. needed this other bill to pass the first bill and if you
9. understand that, it's pretty good.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

11. Is there further discussion?

12. SENATOR D'ARCO:

13. No, we passed...we passed 1874 and we provided that the
14. Chicago Police Annuity Fund benefits would be transferred...
15. the gentleman who was concerned would be transferred into the
16. State University Retirement System and this bill provides...the
17. 2078 bill provides for that transfer to happen and I would
18. ask for a favorable vote of 2078.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20. Further discussion? Senator Becker.

21. SENATOR BECKER:

22. Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. I rise
23. in support of Senate Bill 2078. Thank you, Senator D'Arco.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. Further discussion? Senator D'Arco may close.

26. SENATOR D'ARCO:

27. On behalf of...2078 and Senator Becker...vote Aye.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29. The question is shall House Bill 2078 pass. Those in favor
30. vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
31. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
32. On that question the Ayes are 39, the Nays are 15, 1 Voting
33. Present. House Bill 2078 having received the required constitu-
34. tional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2111. For what

1. purpose does Senator Buzbee arise?

2. SENATOR BUZBEE:

3. Well, I just happened to be the first one to be recognized,
4. but I want it as a point of personal privilege. I wanted to
5. take this time, we have a very important personage on our Floor
6. this afternoon, Representative Cullerton, who last night was
7. designated Mr...Mr. Wonderful by the women's caucus of the
8. Illinois General Assembly.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. I...I...I think congratulations are in order, but we'll
11. put that to a vote. House...House Bill 2111, Senator Vadalabene.

12. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

13. House Bill 2111.

14. (Secretary reads title of bill)

15. 3rd reading of the bill.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. Senator Vadalabene.

18. SENATOR VADALABENE:

19. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.
20. House Bill 2111 as amended makes various changes in the Illinois
21. Horse Racing Act. This bill does the following; it increases
22. the amount licensees can withhold on all monies, wagered on a
23. trifecta wagering. It increases the trifecta to withholding
24. that is to be paid to the State and reduces the privilege tax
25. on wagering by two percent. It also provides that the State's
26. revenue from the forth race on Saturday's at Harness Tracks
27. be placed into a special account with the money from the third
28. race on Saturday to provide a purse supplement for the Hambletonian
29. stakes. This legislation should insure that no tracks would
30. close and the funds available to the Agricultural Premium Fund
31. will be enhanced and I would appreciate a favorable vote.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Is there discussion? Senator Buzbee.

1. SENATOR BUZBEE:

2. Thank you, Mr. President. I...I am not much of a horse
3. race fan. I think I've been to, other than the Hambletonian,
4. I think I've been to two races in my life. But this is an
5. important, extremely important bill for those two tracks in
6. downstate Illinois, Fairmont and Cahokia as Senator Sam has
7. indicated. Those tracks are having extreme financial difficulties
8. and there are a lot of people in our area who, including a lot
9. of people from Missouri who come over and spend their Missouri
10. dollars in Illinois with us, and it's...it's badly needed. The
11. other thing, Senator Sam amended the bill in committee the
12. other day to reflect Illinois' continual interest in and to
13. say to the world, especially to the Hambletonian Society that
14. we want to keep the Hambletonian at the DuQuoin State Fair.
15. As you know the New Jersey Track group who a few years ago
16. tried to get the Hambletonian are at it again. They've offered
17. a half a million dollar purse. By the addition of the forth
18. race on Saturday at Harness Race Tracks the...the State's
19. portion of that race being put into the coffer now for the
20. Hambletonian, it will make us much more competitive. The
21. Hambletonian Society meets July the 6th.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. Senator, your time has expired.

24. SENATOR BUZBEE:

25. Thank you.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. House...Senator Graham.

28. SENATOR GRAHAM:

29. Mr. President and members of the Senate. We've been around
30. here quite awhile talking about...horse racing and race tracks
31. and many people get a little squeamish about this kind of a proposal.
32. I think we're down to the point that we have to consider two things.
33. We have to consider the taxes and the money that comes into the

1. State from the race tracks. We have to consider the people
2. that are employed, we have to consider the people that buy food
3. and raise food for these various tracks and we have to consider
4. the fact, we're either going to do something for them or we
5. ought to have guts enough to introduce a bill to abolish them.
6. Up to the time we haven't got the courage to do that and I don't
7. think we should. This bill should pass, we should give the
8. breeders and the tax a break.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Further discussion? Senator Nedza.

11. SENATOR NEDZA:

12. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. At the time that this
13. was, came up in committee and I voted Present, but at that
14. time I understand that Senator Sam, the horse, wasn't doing
15. too well and I will stick with Senator Sam the Senator and
16. vote Aye for this bill.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Further discussion? Senator Knuppel.

19. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

20. Well, I don't ever...expect to have a horse good enough
21. to race in the Hambletonian, but I sure expect to be down
22. in DuQuoin this year for the Governor's Cup and I've got one
23. hell of a horse. I'd like to have you all come over to
24. Rushville and see him go next Sunday for the first time. His
25. name is Limbo Dancer.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. Further discussion? Senator Rock.

28. SENATOR ROCK:

29. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
30. Senate. I too rise in support of House Bill 2111 as amended.
31. I think it's an important step for the horse race industry
32. in this State and it is just that, it is an industry. We have
33. breeders throughout the State and we have some large capital

1. investments in these tracks. The handle has gone up dramatically
2. since the Horse Racing Act of 1975. If we can give these owners
3. and trainers this kind of encouragement, financial encouragement,
4. I think we'll have a better class of horse racing here, we'll
5. have higher attendance, higher handle and everybody will be
6. better off and I would urge an Aye vote.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. Is there further discussion? Senator Weaver.

9. SENATOR WEAVER:

10. Thank you, Mr. President. Well I think it should be
11. pointed out that there will be a very small loss in the first
12. year and hopefully in years to come, they're be a great deal
13. more to go into the Agricultural Premium Fund which we so
14. ...all of us need to support the various fairs, civic centers,
15. et cetera. So I'd rise in support of this opposition.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

End of Reel #7

32.

33.

Reel #8

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
2. Further discussion? Senator Kenneth Hall.
3. SENATOR HALL:
4. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
5. I just want to add my voice. This is a very important bill.
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
7. Senator Moore.
8. SENATOR MOORE:
9. Thank you, Mr. President. Very briefly, we're all concerned
10. about the business climate in Illinois, keeping industries and
11. business in Illinois. This is one way that we can assist a very
12. viable industry in the State of Illinois by the passage of House
13. Bill 2111.
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
15. Further discussion? Senator Vadalabene may close.
16. SENATOR VADALABENE:
17. Yes, I appreciate a favorable vote.
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
19. Question is, shall House Bill 2111 pass. Those in favor vote
20. Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted
21. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
22. question the Ayes are 52. The Nays are none. None Voting Present.
23. House Bill 2111, having received the required constitutional majority,
24. is declared passed. House Bill 2120, Senator Gitz. House Bill 2129,
25. Senator Maragos. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.
26. SECRETARY:
27. House Bill 2129.
28. (Secretary reads title of bill)
29. 3rd reading of the bill.
30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
31. Senator Maragos...Gentlemen and Ladies. Senator Joyce and Rock
32. and Representative Ryan, we're happy to have you here, but can we
33. move so Senator Maragos might see the Floor and the members thereon?

1. Senator Maragos.

2. SENATOR MARAGOS:

3. Mr. President and members of the Senate, House Bill 2129
4. as amended makes sure that any local public health agencies are
5. not going to be denied their granted aid if they comply with
6. the standards set by the State of Illinois. What happens many times,
7. the State sets these mandatory programs and standards for these
8. agencies and then they may find out after they set up these costly
9. programs that they will finally find out that they have to pay them
10. for themselves without the funds. This is a bill in the right
11. direction and I ask for your support.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. Is there discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 2129 pass.
14. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is
15. open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the
16. record. On that question the Ayes are 52. The Nays are none. None
17. Voting Present. House Bill 2129, having received the required
18. constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 2130,
19. Senator Nedza. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

20. SECRETARY:

21. House Bill 2130.

22. (Secretary reads title of bill)

23. 3rd reading of the bill.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Senator Nedza.

26. SENATOR NEDZA:

27. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. This bill is...as amended
28. corrects the objections that were presented by the petroleum associ-
29. ation regarding the water level at the bottom of a storage tank.
30. Because of the current shortage of the gas the problem is becoming
31. more acute for when a gas tank is less than twenty-five percent full
32. there is a...a problem that can arise that the moisture may be
33. pumped into a car's fuel tank and thereby creating some problems.

1. The industry has not adopted a uniform installation procedure for
2. storage tanks and the level requirement would have been an accurate
3. measure for all service stations...is going to be worked out with the
4. Department of Agriculture and the industry to set standards on the
5. water level which will provide better uniformity in the regulation
6. of this Act. There any questions...I would move for its adoption.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. There any discussion? Senator Mitchler.

9. SENATOR MITCHLER:

10. Mr. President and members of the Senate, this bill came out of
11. the Senate Ag Committee on a straight party line vote 6 to 3. Now,
12. Those of...myself, and Senator Maitland and Senator McMillan voting
13. in opposition...this would create a new responsibility on the
14. Department of Agriculture, require additional funding. I...I can't
15. really see how they are going to conduct this measurement. It has
16. a cost of about nineteen thousand dollars with it. It's a new Act,
17. extremely vague. I don't see how the Department can really adminis-
18. ter it properly when you stop and think of the number of storage
19. tanks that we have throughout the State of Illinois. The idea sounds
20. good, but the administration of it is just beyond conception.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Any further discussion? Senator Wooten.

23. SENATOR WOOTEN:

24. A question of the sponsor.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Indicates he'll yield. Senator Wooten.

27. SENATOR WOOTEN:

28. Senator Nedza, I noticed the committee amendment deletes the
29. requirement that no gasoline can be sold from a tank while it is
30. being filled and I confess I don't know anything about this business
31. at all. First of all, is there only one tank?

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Senator Nedza.

1. SENATOR NEDZA:

2. Senator Wooten, the reason for the deletion of that is because
3. of the fact that when you are filling the tanks with gasoline is
4. that you're stirring the water content from the bottom of the tank
5. so therefore, we eliminated that so that the tanks would have a
6. proper time to settle again.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Senator Wooten.

9. SENATOR WOOTEN:

10. Maybe I'm not getting this right. You're deleting the require-
11. ment that you can't sell gas while a tank is being filled. Is that
12. altogether safe? In other words, while you're filling a tank you
13. can pump gas from it into a car. You can't do that now, but with
14. the committee amendment you will be able to do that. Is that safe?
15. Is that prudent?

16. PRESIDENT:

17. At the time there are a series of tanks, Senator, from the best
18. of my knowledge and those that...friends that I have in this industry,
19. that there are a series of tanks. When they are filling one, they
20. are not filling the other. They are pumping from other tanks.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Senator Wooten.

23. SENATOR WOOTEN:

24. Well, that's what's not clear to me, Senator. I have
25. assumed that you would have a series of tanks and that while one
26. is being filled you cannot pump gas from it. The committee amendment
27. says now you can and I...it would seem to me that that...there must
28. have been a very good reason why we had that prohibition in the law
29. and I'm wondering...and I confess, I don't know. I'm just wondering
30. why that prohibition is now being lifted because it doesn't say
31. while you are filling one you can supply from another. According to
32. this amendment you can now pump gas from a tank as it is being filled.
33. Now, I wonder why, if that prohibition was important before, why

1. it's now being lifted.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Further discussion? Senator Berning.

4. SENATOR BERNING:

5. Well, thank you, Mr. President. I would have to agree with
6. Senator Wooten that the deletion appears to be removing a very
7. valid protection for the customer and I would suggest to the sponsor
8. that the amendment was ill-advised, but in addition to that, Senator
9. Nedza, my question is, why would it be necessary to require the
10. operator to take a measurement every day. This seems to me to be
11. imposing a...a terrific burden on the operator. Certainly there
12. isn't going to be an accumulation from condensation or any other
13. source unless the person is deliberately pumping water into that
14. tank to show any appreciable difference from day to day. Reasonable
15. measuring would be all right, but it seems to me that you are
16. requiring just an unusual...unusually heavy burden here.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Is there any further discussion? Senator Nedza may close
19. the debate.

20. SENATOR NEDZA:

21. Thank you, Mr. President. In response to Senator Mitchler's
22. comments, we have a fiscal note and since there's no fee and this
23. is a fiscal note from John Block, the Director, since there's no
24. fee proposed in conjunction with motor fuel storage tank testing
25. programs as outlined in this legislation nor is it proposed that
26. the Department be empowered to set fees through rule-making there
27. would be no additional revenues generated and since the Department
28. already performs weights and measures inspections at all retail
29. petroleum service stations the cost of performing the storage tank
30. inspection on a routine basis would be negligible. I move for
31. its adoption.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Question is, shall House Bill 2130 pass. Those in favor will

1. vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
2. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
3. On that question the Ayes are 26. The Nays are 24. 2 Voting Present.
4. Senator Nedza requests the further consideration of House Bill 2130
5. be postponed. So ordered. Senator Donnewald, for what purpose do
6. you rise?

7. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

8. Well, I'd like to advise the membership, if I could have their
9. attention, that we intend to go to the hour of six or a few minutes
10. before or after, so bear with us.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Senator Bruce, for what purpose do you rise?

13. SENATOR BRUCE:

14. Given the fact that we would like to be out of here, we have
15. had several requests about 2569. It would be my current intention
16. to get all the amendments drawn and take the time of the Body
17. tomorrow. There may be a request for a caucus to discuss some of
18. those and rather than take it up at 5:30 this evening we will get
19. to the Corporate Personal Property Tax Replacement, House Bill 2569
20. tomorrow on 2nd reading.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. All right. On the bottom of page 19 on...Order of House Bills
23. 3rd reading is House Bill 2132. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

24. SECRETARY:

25. House Bill 2132.

26. (Secretary reads title of bill)

27. 3rd reading of the bill.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Senator Wooten.

30. SENATOR WOOTEN:

31. Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 2132 puts language into
32. the School Codes for both the downstate teachers and in Chicago
33. providing for an unpaid leave of absence the teacher may take in

1. order to care for a child. The amendment is language requested by
2. the Chicago Board of Education to make clear that the teacher is
3. still eligible to go back to work after such an unpaid leave and
4. that's all there is to the bill. I request favorable consideration.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Is there any discussion? Senator Maitland.

7. SENATOR MAITLAND:

8. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
9. I arise in opposition to the bill. The bill as it was originally
10. drafted was certainly fine and acceptable, but I have much concerns
11. because of the lack of definition as to...to what that care might
12. be needed, whether it's injury, sickness, just because there's no
13. babysitter. There's no definition there. I think it certainly
14. leaves the door open for a...for some very serious problems and quite
15. frankly, as I read the legislation, we really have no protection. It's
16. very possible that a...that a teacher might take a leave of absence
17. when a child is born and not return to work until such time as the
18. child is in school. That's a possibility.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Is there any further discussion? Senator Wooten may close the
21. debate.

22. SENATOR WOOTEN:

23. Well, it's not a leave for...it's not a maternity leave. This
24. is a leave to care for a small child and I put it to you that I
25. suppose we could write an encyclopedia of the reasons why you've
26. got to stay home with a kid. Children's problems are as various as
27. children themselves and this simply puts into writing what has been
28. a problem, I think, for some time and as I say we use language in the
29. amendment approved by the Chicago Board of Education...the bill
30. seems quite reasonable to me and I seek your support.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Senator Berning.

33. SENATOR BERNING:

1. I'm sorry. I realize the sponsor was closing, but I had just
2. discovered in looking at this that it says teacher. Is there no restric-
3. tion or differentiation, Senator, between a man and a woman in this bill?

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Wooten.

6. SENATOR WOOTEN:

7. I don't exactly know how you could do that because it...it so
8. happens that there are male teachers who are single parents and they
9. do have small children to take care of and I...I don't believe you
10. can make that kind of discrimination.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Question is, shall House Bill 2132 pass. Those in favor will
13. vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
14. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
15. On that question the Ayes are 41. The Nays are 11. 1 Voting Present.
16. House Bill 2132, having received a constitutional majority, is
17. declared passed. Top of page 20, Senator Knuppel on 2134. On the
18. Order of House Bills 3rd reading is House Bill...is House Bill
19. 2134. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. All right. I understand that
20. bill has been amended, Senator Knuppel. It was amended today? Okay.
21. Then we'll go over it. 2144, Senator Sangmeister. On the Order of
22. House Bills 3rd reading, House Bill 2144. Read the bill, Mr.
23. Secretary.

24. SECRETARY:

25. House Bill 2144.

26. (Secretary reads title of bill)

27. 3rd reading of the bill.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Senator Sangmeister.

30. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

31. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This is
32. a series, the next four bills that are coming along pertaining to
33. changing the law regarding township assessors in the State of Illinois.
Some of these reforms many people feel are long well over-due. The

1. first one here requires that beginning with the consolidated election
2. which will be the first Tuesday in April of '81 that townships with a
3. population of less than one thousand must elect multi-township assessors
4. and we set that term at a period of four years. It creates multi-
5. township assessment districts each of which is located in one county
6. and must consist of at least two townships and one thousand inhabi-
7. tants. This bill will affect approximately seven hundred townships
8. which are under one thousand in population and which would be combined.
9. It is inapplicable to Cook, not because we have excluded them, but
10. because they don't have any townships of less than one thousand. This
11. bill came out of the House by a vote of a hundred and sixty-three
12. to three which is no great import, but I give it to you for what it's
13. worth. Answer any questions if I can. If not, appreciate a good
14. vote.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Is there any discussion? Senator Grotberg.

17. SENATOR GROTEBERG:

18. Yes, just to get up on behalf of this whole series of bills
19. that have been rather carefully worked out to create a more respon-
20. sible assessment procedure at the township level and that multi-
21. township situation that the sponsor said..or indicated. I'm for
22. this bill and I would recommend everyone on our side of the aisle to
23. to the same in the whole series.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Is there further discussion? Senator Rhoads.

26. SENATOR RHOADS:

27. Question of Senator Sangmeister, if he will yield.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Indicates he will yield. Senator Rhoads.

30. SENATOR RHOADS:

31. Senator, what is the...how many townships could be put into the
32. unit, number one and number two, is this permissive?

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Sangmeister.

2. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

3. No, this is not permissive. This will require it be done as of
4. the first consolidated election in April of '81. That's part of your
5. question. The other is it creates multi-township assessment districts
6. each of which must be located in one county and consist of at least
7. two townships and one thousand inhabitants.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Further discussion? Senator Davidson.

10. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

11. Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise in opposition
12. to this bill. Now, we had a Senate Bill in here that did approximately
13. the same thing and we beat it. All of you...not all of you, but there
14. wasn't enough...there wasn't thirty votes to get it out of here. This
15. bill mandates any township with less than thousand population shall
16. merge with another township. Now, you're saying you don't want to
17. mandate things on local government. This is exactly what this does.
18. I don't know how many of those seven hundred townships are elsewhere
19. in the State, but it so happens twelve of them are in my county.
20. Sangamon County, and they don't want to merge with any other district.
21. If they want to they can permissively do it now. Why should we make
22. a group of people give up something that's their elected official if
23. they want to stay where they are. Now, this is a bad bill saying you
24. don't want to mandate something...it's shall. It's not may. It's
25. shall. I urge you to vote No.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Further discussion? Senator Coffey.

28. SENATOR COFFEY:

29. Yes, Mr. President. I have a question of the sponsor.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Indicates he'll yield. Senator Coffey.

32. SENATOR COFFEY:

33. Why...why was this bill introduced or was it requested by whom?

PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Sangmeister.

2. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

3. Well, first place, it's not my bill. I picked it up because
4. Representative Campbell asked me to do so, by this is the result
5. of a series of meetings that have been held by township assessors
6. and various organizations in an attempt to up-grade the assessment
7. procedure in the State of Illinois.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Coffey.

10. SENATOR COFFEY:

11. Yes, well, I rise in opposition to this bill. Everyone has told
12. me as I have had discussion on this bill that this is a bill to make
13. ...do a better job on the assessment rolls pack home, byt this
14. is not going to help anything. The small townships aren't the ones
15. that's necessarily not doing a good job. They're not necessarily
16. the ones that are not informed on how the assessment process takes
17. place and I think it's a bad concept. If these townships are too
18. small, don't have the...it's been brought to my attention by some
19. of the members that they say well, no one wants to be the assessor. In
20. those areas if it was that they could consolidate if they wanted to
21. be, that would be different, but when we're mandating this I think it's
22. a bad precedent and I hope that the members of this Senate votes No.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Further discussion? Senator Mitchler.

25. SENATOR MITCHLER:

26. I have a question...I have a question of the sponsor. Senator
27. Sangmeister, can small townships, less than a thousand, can they
28. voluntarily consolidate now under any existing Statute?

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Sangmeister.

31. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

32. I really don't know the answer to that. I really thing that they
33. can, yes. I...but I'm not sure of that and I don't want to misrepresent
something. I think there is a possibility that they can't, however,
this bill would require that they do so.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Mitchler.

3. SENATOR MITCHLER:

4. On that basis...excuse me, I don't think that we should mandate
5. them to do that because I see what's happening here...you have just
6. a creeping, creeping idea of improving the assessment and we might
7. as well have an elected State super, super assessor and then you can
8. just delegate in regions of the State these deputy assessors and have
9. it all over here at the Department of Local Government Affairs. That's
10. what you're gradually working up to with all of this legislation
11. coming on and the people back home don't want it. Now, if they can
12. do this now, I don't think that we should mandate it, Senator Sang-
13. meister and for that reason, I'm going to oppose this bill.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator Martin.

16. SENATOR MARTIN:

17. Well, it doesn't sound like there is a lot of support, but may
18. I suggest that the township officials and assessors came up with
19. this to improve what they saw as problems within their own assessment
20. practices. This didn't come from the outside, but from the people
21. most directly involved. Now, there is a problem maybe later on with
22. one of the bills but I think they should be commended and this was
23. at their request and I think if you look at what happens in townships
24. that aren't being assessed properly what happens to the taxpayers in
25. the other townships within that county that here is a time that the
26. steps should be made and it doesn't mean that they are together for
27. any other purpose but for purpose of assessment and that's...that's
28. legitimate.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Further discussion? Senator Nimrod.

31. SENATOR NIMROD:

32. Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Sangmeister, if I'm wrong
33. you can correct me later, but I didn't want to lose my time, my one
minute, but I believe this bill was in the Tax Study Commission with

1. Senator Clark when we were here and what the problem is these under-
2. sized townships do have some problems and this was a part of the
3. mandatory bill. For those of you who are concerned about this, there
4. is...was a limitation of six million dollars on townships where they
5. had to have assistance on that. That's been raised on an optional
6. bill over in the House now to a ten million dollar figure, but that's...
7. yeah, I think it's 708 as Senator Weaver said, but I think the problem
8. is that this is good legislation and it is approved by the township
9. officials of Illinois and I think what we're doing, in fact, is
10. strengthening town government as a result of this. I certainly
11. wouldn't be one who would stand to oppose it. It's come after many
12. years of work and effort to do it and a figure of a thousand people
13. affects about four hundred townships and it's a good idea to con-
14. solidate because they can't afford to really provide the kind of
15. assessment that's necessary and this was an alternative that was
16. available to them.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Further discussion? Senator Coffey.

19. SENATOR COFFEY:

20. Well, Mr. President, I hate to rise for the second time, but
21. again, I have just heard another speaker saying that they can't
22. afford it in these townships. If they can't afford it, they can
23. consolidate already so let's leave it up to the voters of those town-
24. ships and secondly, my...one of our supervisor of assessments in
25. my own county is the one that's the head of the group who put this
26. in, but he's only one of a few supervisor of assessments and there's
27. a lot of others in this State besides the few that put this program
28. together. So let's don't just be voting in favor of five or six of
29. them put the program together. Let's look at all the assessors in
30. the State of Illinois.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Further discussion? Senator Weaver.

33. SENATOR WEAVER:

1. Thank you, Mr. President. I think in essence what happens in
2. the smaller townships is assessment is done by the supervisor of
3. assessments whereby hopefully a better job could be done if there
4. were a larger tax base to work from. I have some mixed emotions
5. about this, but the assessors want this bill. They are trying to
6. upgrade their own profession and I intend to support it.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Is there any further discussion? If not, Senator Sangmeister
9. may close the debate.

10. SENATOR SANMEISTER:

11. Well, thank you. I think those who stood on the Floor here in
12. favor of this bill have made some good points. The assessors are
13. trying to up-grade themselves. Those that are opposing it, I don't
14. know exactly their reasons because certainly the Representatives from
15. those same legislative districts must not take that same position
16. because as I said, there was a hundred and sixty-three to three, only
17. three voting No. There's at least six Representatives from the
18. districts that...or nine that oppose this. Well, be that as it may...
19. as it is, the township assessors do want this. It's a step in the
20. right direction and either vote it up or down.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Question is, shall House Bill 2144 pass. Those in favor will
23. vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
24. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
25. On that question the Ayes are 41. The Nays are 15. None Voting
26. Present. House Bill 2144, having received a constitutional majority,
27. is declared passed. Senator Johns, for what purpose do you rise?

28. SENATOR JOHNS:

29. Mr. President, for one of the few times during the Session I
30. ask a point of personal privilege to be able to introduce some guests
31. in the Gallery. I'd like to introduce Mr. George Bater of Alexander
32. County in the audience behind me, Chairman of the Board and the Super-
33. visor of Assessments, Mrs. Dorothy Mayberry and then in Williamson

145
3rd
5/31/79

1. County is Mr. Judy Woodson. She's the supervisor and Anna Louise
2. Cotton with her is one of her employees. Would you please rise and
3. be recognized.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Will our guests please rise and be recognized? 2145, Senator
6. Sangmeister. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading, House Bill
7. 2145. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

8. SECRETARY:

9. House Bill 2145.

10. (Secretary reads title of bill)

11. 3rd reading of the bill.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Senator Sangmeister.

14. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

15. Thank you, this is another one of a series of bills and this one
16. now is schooled to only townships of greater than twenty-five thousand,
17. only greater than twenty-five thousand...would prohibit...prohibit a
18. person running for or holding the office of township assessor or
19. multi-township assessor in a township with a population greater
20. than twenty-five thousand unless he has one of the following qualifica-
21. tions and...in my minute I'm not going to be able to read all the
22. different qualifications, one of which he must have. I'll read one
23. example: A Certified Illinois Assessing Officer's Certificate from
24. Illinois Property Assessment Institute with additionally required
25. training. The second one would be a Certified Assessment Evaluation
26. Certificate from the Illinois Association of Assessing Officers...five
27. different things. He's got to have one of the five in order to
28. qualify if he's over...he's of a township assessor in a township
29. greater than twenty-five thousand. This bill would affect Cook County
30. where according to the 1970 Federal Census twenty-four townships
31. have populations exceeding twenty-five thousand. This again the
32. assessors feel they should have to up-grade themselves. They ought
33. to be able to qualify under one of those areas and...ask for a favorable
roll.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Is there any discussion? Senator Savickas.

3. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

4. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise in
5. opposition to this bill because it evades the essential principal
6. concept of the citizen public officerholder. Anybody, that should
7. be any member of the general public should be eligible to hold
8. public office. We do not, as a general rule, require pre-election
9. or expert qualifications of future potential public officeholders
10. There's no pre-election or expert qualifications that are required
11. for a candidate for President of the United States or for Governor
12. of the State and certainly more demanding and complicated an office
13. than the office of township assessor. There is more to holding
14. public office than the possession of expert credentials. One may be
15. an expert but be lacking in ability to hold a public trust and
16. confidence and if you look at the bill it indicates that the
17. credentials required may only be received by becoming a member and
18. continuing a membership in a private organization, therefore, a
19. requirement to hold public office, one must be approved by a private
20. organization that charges a fee for membership. I think there are
21. other ways to be sure that a person elected to the office of town-
22. ship assessor is qualified, but I think the best test, however, is
23. his activity in public office and running for public office and not
24. passing a screening test by a private organization. I would submit
25. to you that anybody should be allowed to run...

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Yes, your time has expired, Senator. Senator Davidson.

28. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

29. Question to the sponsor.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Indicates he'll yield. Senator Davidson.

32. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

33. I understand this bill was amended, that the bill which we just

1. passed, if it's signed into law is included. Does that mean that
2. a multiple assessor district with less than twenty-five thousand
3. because it's two or more the assessor has to be qualified even
4. though they have less than twenty-five thousand population?

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Senator Sangmeister.

7. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

8. No, the multi-township district would also have to be a
9. population of greater than twenty-five thousand.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Further discussion? Senator Philip.

12. SENATOR PHILIP:

13. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
14. Senate. I might remind my friend from the City of Chicago there
15. are some pre-qualifications. I think to run for State's Attorney
16. you have to be a lawyer. I think to run for Attorney General of
17. the State of Illinois I think it says in the Constitution you have
18. to be a lawyer. For any of the judges that you run for, you have
19. to be a lawyer. To be a public defender which I think is appointed,
20. you have to be a lawyer, so there are some pre-qualifications and
21. perhaps this is a step in the right direction. There are a lot of
22. unqualified people running around doing assessing if you want to know
23. the truth.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Further discussion? Senator Egan.

26. SENATOR EGAN:

27. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Relative
28. to the...Senator Sangmeister and I, we disagree very, very seldom, but...
29. and I fully appreciate the problem that you are confronted with and
30. that you are trying to resolve. Sometimes we go too far. In my
31. opinion, I think this goes too far. When you are talking about pre-
32. qualification tests then let's be a little introspective, Senator
33. Philip and say to ourselves can we pass a pre-qualification test for

1. for our own job and I think the concept is worthy of merit, but
2. only in theory. In practice, I think it's a bad idea and I'm
3. sorry to say I oppose you.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Further discussion? Senator Grotberg.

6. SENATOR GROTBORG:

7. Thank you, Mr. President. Very briefly, I think we should be
8. aware that this takes effect in 1981 township elections and that
9. everybody that is now an assessor is grandfathered in to being able
10. to have time to take these courses and I would suggest this, that
11. in the General Assembly and the State at large, the biggest violator
12. of the public trust as far as their credibility...has been concerned
13. are the assessors and the township officials. Here they are, coming
14. to us with their own package. Would to God the Illinois Bankers
15. Association and all those high-faluting people that we put so much
16. trust in in the fiduciary sense could get their act together and
17. come to us with a package of bills we can buy that they all agree on.
18. I think this is just good legislation. Let's pass it and get it on
19. the books.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Further discussion? Senator Nimrod.

22. SENATOR NIMROD:

23. Thank you, Mr. President. I would call attention to the Body
24. that this bill is again taken from the package that Senator Clark and
25. the Tax Study Commission had except they've changed one thing with
26. this bill. What they had...what the original bill said was that when
27. you're elected to office you have one year to qualify. Now, I think
28. anyone who wants to run for office ought to be entitled and if we want
29. them to get some license or credentials they ought to given some time
30. to do it. This bill should have stayed the way it was. They should
31. have had a year of time to get ready to at least...assessors, by the
32. way, do not take office until after eight months...nine months after
33. they have been elected to office so I think they have plenty of time

1. to get ready. That means they'd only be three months in office and
2. I think anyone who wants to run for office ought to be entitled to it
3. and they should have the time to attend the schools. I would think
4. that the bill in its present form should not be supported and I do
5. not believe it's in the best interest of township government or...or
6. the general public when they want to choose to run for office.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Further discussion? Senator Rhoads.

9. SENATOR RHOADS:

10. Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Sangmeister, I live in a
11. township of a hundred thousand in Cook County. I'd like you to answer
12. my question and yield the balance of my minute to you so that we
13. could hear some more of the pre-qualifications.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator Sangmeister.

16. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

17. All right. Some of the pre-qualifications...I read off two
18. of them, there's three more. You can have a Master of Appraisal
19. Certificate from the American Institute of Real Estate Appraisors.
20. You can get a...now remember, it's any one of these. You can get
21. a Senior Real Analyst Certificate or a Senior Real Property Appraisor
22. Certificate from the Society of Real Estate Appraisors or membership
23. in any assessing or appraising association meeting the approval of
24. the Department of Local Government Affairs. There's all kinds of way
25. a township assessor can qualify.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Further discussion? Senator Demuzio.

28. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

29. yes, a quick question of the sponsor. Senator, we talked in
30. committee about grandfathering in...those that are currently doing
31. the job. I think Senator Grotberg..statement of grandfathering these
32. individuals in...is inaccurate and there is no grandfathering clause
33. in the bill. Isn't that correct?

1. PRESIDENT:
2. Senator Sangmeister.
3. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:
4. That...that's correct, Senator Demuzio, but...and also in part..the
5. answer to Senator Nimrod which I'm not quite sure I understand his
6. objection, but it says that this section shall be effective for the
7. township elections to be held on the first Tuesday in April of 1981
8. so if this becomes law that does give them time to qualify.
9. PRESIDENT:
10. Further discussion? Senator Knuppel.
11. SENATOR KNUPPEL:
12. Well, I come from an area where they have a lot of small town-
13. ships and all that. This...this bill is just so much better than
14. the bill ahead of it folks that it isn't even funny. I think any-
15. body...all groups, lawyers, doctors, teachers believe now in continu-
16. ing education and as Senator Sangmeister has pointed out, the
17. qualifications aren't that difficult. You don't want a butcher doing
18. your assessing. You want somebody that knows what the hell's going
19. on.
20. PRESIDENT:
21. Further discussion? Senator Nimrod.
22. SENATOR NIMROD:
23. Thank you, Mr. President. It's come to my attention that...
24. House Bill 2148 does, in fact, do exactly what I was objecting to and
25. I think that's a good provision. What I would say is why don't we
26. make that effective for all assessors instead of taking them over
27. and under twenty-five thousand. Now, that would be the proper way
28. to do it is to amend 2148 in its proper form so it includes all
29. assessors and not make the ones that are over twenty-five thousand
30. different and I would think this is a bad bill, but 2148 does it in
31. the proper way.
32. PRESIDENT:
33. Any further discussion? Senator Sangmeister may close.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

1. Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Weaver was just over here
2. and Senator Demuzio have indicated to me too...I probably should have
3. more facts on it, but I think you can take a twelve or a sixteen
4. hour course to really qualify. That isn't asking an awful lot a
5. a township assessor and if we can up-grade these people and they
6. want to up-grade themselves I think we ought to pass this bill.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Question is, shall House Bill 2145 pass. Those in favor will
9. vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
10. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On
11. that question the Ayes are 33. The Nays are 13. 1 Voting Present.
12. House Bill 2145, having received a constitutional majority, is
13. declared passed. Senator Savickas, for what purpose do you arise?

14. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

15. Verification of the affirmative vote.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. All right, will the members please be in their seats? Senator
18. Savickas has requested a verification of the affirmative votes.
19. Will the members please be in their seats? Respond to the roll
20. as called by the Secretary. Mr. Secretary, read the affirmative
21. votes.

22. SECRETARY:

23. Following voted in the affirmative: Becker, Bloom, Bowers, Coffey,
24. Collins, DeAngelis, Demuzio, Geo-Karis, Gitz, Graham, Grotberg,
25. Jerome Joyce, Knuppel, Maitland, Maragos, Martin, McLendon, McMillan,
26. Netsch, Newhouse, Ozinga, Philip, Regner, Rhoads, Rupp, Sangmeister,
27. Schaffer, Shapiro, Sommer, Walsh, Washington, Weaver, Wooten.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Question any member? All right. The roll has been verified
30. on that question and there are 33 Ayes, 13 Nays and 1 Voting Present
31. House Bill 2145, having received a constitutional majority, is
32. declared passed. Before Senator Donnewald puts the motion to adjourn
33. until 9:00 o'clock tomorrow morning there are some things on the
Secretary's Desk that we ought to clean up. There are some messages

1. that have come across from the House that we could get on the Cal-
2. endar for tomorrow. With leave of the Body, we'll go to the
3. order of Messages from the House. Messages from the House.

4. SECRETARY:

5. A Message from the House from Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

6. Mr. President, I am directed to inform the Senate
7. the House of Representatives passed the bill with the following
8. title in the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence
9. of the Senate, to-wit:

10. House Bill 1400.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Senator Graham.

13. SENATOR GRAHAM:

14. Mr. President and members of the Senate...Have them meet you
15. out in the Rotunda.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. We're not finished yet, Gentlemen.

18. SENATOR GRAHAM:

19. Mr. President and members of the Senate, House Bill 1400 is
20. one that got tied up for quite a time. It puts the statutory
21. provisions in what was Executive Order No. 3. We're getting down
22. to the tail-end of this whole Session and I think to expedite this
23. I've talked to the genial Chairwoman of the Committee on Re-organization
24. I would like with her consent now to move that this bill be...be...
25. by-pass the committee and move to the Order of 2nd reading without...
26. without reference.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Netsch.

29. SENATOR NETSCH:

30. Thank you, Mr. President. I concur. It is a necessary bill
31. to clean up some of the problems in the original Executive Order.
32. It's unfortunate we do not have time for a committee hearing, but
33. the fact is that we do not, so I would agree that it should be moved

1. to 2nd reading without reference.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Senator Gitz.

4. SENATOR GITZ:

5. I just wanted to rise on a point of personal privilege because

6. there are three individuals in the Gallery who have not been down

7. here for an hour but for two days watching our proceedings and it's

8. been rather interesting to observe their comments. They are from

9. my district. They are Mary Scott, Donna McDermott, and Glenda Findley

10. and I'd appreciate it if we'd recognize them.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Please stand and be recognized. Senator Demuzio.

13. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

14. Well, not on this point, but I had filed also a motion with

15. the Secretary on a discharge motion and I was wondering if we'll

16. get there.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. We're going to try to clean up whatever is on this desk here.

19. All right. Senator Graham has moved to suspend the rules with respect

20. to House Bill 1400 and asks that the bill be read a 1st time and

21. moved to the Order of 2nd reading without reference. All in favor

22. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. So ordered.

23. All right. With leave of the Body we will go now to the Order of

24. House Bills 1st reading.

25. SECRETARY:

26. House Bill 1400.

27. (Secretary reads title of bill)

28. 1st reading of the bill.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Graham.

31. SENATOR GRAHAM:

32. I'd like to tell the membership this is a fairly complicated

33. piece of merchandise and we will do our very best to get you some

1. complete analysis sheets at the earliest possible moment and have
2. them distributed.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Messages from the House.

5. SECRETARY:

6. A Message from the House from Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

7. Mr. President, I am directed to inform the Senate the
8. House of Representatives refused to concur with the Senate in the
9. adoption of their amendments to the following bills:

10. House Bill 1112 with Senate Amendment No. 1.

11. House Bill 724 with Senate Amendment No. 1.

12. House Bill 987 with Senate Amendment No. 1.

13. House Bill 1088 with Senate Amendment No. 1.

14. House Bill 1541 with Senate Amendment No. 1.

15. House Bill 450 with Senate Amendment No. 1.

16. House Bill 580 with Senate Amendment No. 1.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Secretary's Desk...Secretary's Desk Non-concurrence.

19. Messages from the House.

20. SECRETARY:

21. A Message from the House from Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

22. Mr. President, I am directed to inform the Senate the
23. House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the
24. passage of the following Senate Bills together with their request
25. for concurrence in House Amendments.

26. Senate Bill 154, with House Amendments 3 and 4.

27. Senate Bill 257, with House Amendments 1...House Amendment
28. 1.

29. Senate Bill 263, with House Amendment 1.

30. Senate Bill 575 with House Amendments 1, 2 and 3.

31. Senate Bill 659, with House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7
32. and 8.

33. Senate Bill 918, with House Amendments 1 and 2.

Senate Bill 1037, with House Amendment No. 3.

1. Senate Bill 1277, with House Amendments 1, 2 and 3.
2. Senate Bill 1377, with House Amendments 1, 19, 40, 46,
3. 59, 61, 63, 67, 76, 77, 79, 85, 86 and 109.

4.
5.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Secretary's Desk on the Order of Concurrence. Senator Geo-Karis
8. for what purpose do you rise?

9. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

10. Mr. President, a point of personal privilege. I have been
11. instructed by my co-Chairman, Senator Nash and Senator Maragos, to
12. tell all of you who are going to go to the Mansion tonight for the
13. Greek night to be there...it starts at 7:30 and I understand
14. Senator Joyce has cooked the lambs.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. All right. The Motions in Writing that will be acknowledged
17. as filed and will be placed on the Calendar for tomorrow. Senator
18. Shapiro.

19. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

20. Mr. President, would the record please show that Senator Keats
21. is absent due to ill-health. He is progressing very well, probably
22. will...be returning to the Chambers on Friday.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Yes, the Journal and the record will so reflect. Any further
25. business or further announcements to come before the Senate? IF not,
26. Senator Donnewald moves that the Senate stand adjourned until Tuesday,
27. June 26th, at the hour of nine A. M. and I would...sharp. I would
28. urge that the members make every attempt to get here. We'll start
29. on 2nd reading. Senate stands adjourned.

30.
31.
32.
33.

(END OF REEL #8)