

81ST GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

JUNE 24, 1980

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. The hour of nine having arrived, the Senate will come

3. to order. Will the guests in our galleries please rise.

4. Prayer by Father Joseph P. Havey of St. Agnes Church, Springfield.

5. Father Havey.

6. FATHER HAVEY:

7. (Prayer given by Father Havey)

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. Reading of the Journal, Senator Nega.

10. SENATOR NEGA:

11. Mr. President, I move that reading and approval of the  
12. Journals of Tuesday, June the 17th, Wednesday, June the 18th,  
13. Thursday, June the 19th, Friday, June the 20th and Monday, June  
14. the 23rd in the year 1980 be postponed pending arrival of the  
15. printed Journals.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

17. Heard the motion. Those in favor indicate by saying  
18. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries.  
19. Messages from the House.

20. SECRETARY:

21. A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

22. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate  
23. that the House of Representatives has concurred with the  
24. Senate in the passage of the bill...bills with the following  
25. titles, to-wit:

26. Senate Bill 1747 with House Amendment No. 1.

27. Senate Bill 1815 with House Amendment No. 1.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

29. Resolutions.

30. SECRETARY:

31. Senate Resolution 584 offered by Senators McLendon, Rock  
32. and all Senate...all Senators. It's congratulatory.

33. Senate Resolution 585 offered by Senator Egan. It's  
34. congratulatory.

35. Senate Resolution 586 offered by Senators Lenke, Daley,

1. Savickas and others and it's congratulatory.

2. Senate Resolution 587 offered by Senator Ozinga, Donnewald,

3. Rock, Shapiro and all Senators and it's a death resolution.

4. Senate Resolution 588 offered by Senators Rock, Nash,

5. Savickas and all Senators and it's a death resolution.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

7. Consent Calendar.

8. SECRETARY:

9. Senate Joint Resolution 122 offered by Senators Friedland,

10. Philip, Mitchler, Rhoads and Bowers.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

12. Secretary's Desk. Resolutions.

13. SECRETARY:

14. Senate Resolution 589 offered by Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

16. Executive. House Bills 2nd reading, page 11, we'll

17. start at 821, Senator Hall. Senator Hall, do you wish that called?

18. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

19. SECRETARY:

20. House Bill 821.

21. (Secretary reads title of bill)

22. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Labor and Commerce

23. offers one amendment.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

25. Senator Hall.

26. SENATOR HALL:

27. Mr. President...Mr. President, there are some...I think

28. there's an amendment...it...how many amendments on the bill,

29. Mr. Secretary?

30. SECRETARY:

31. There's one committee amendment and...two Floor amendments.

32. SENATOR HALL:

33. Yes...yes...well there's a...I don't see Senator Rupp on

1. the Floor. Could we have leave to come back to that? Could  
2. I have leave to come back, Senator Rupp is not on the Floor  
3. so I'd like to have leave to come back to...

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

5. Take it out of the record. House Bill 891, Senator Nash.  
6. Read the bill Mr...Senator Nash.

7. SENATOR NASH:

8. Could I have leave to come...Mr. President and Ladies  
9. and Gentlemen of the Senate, could I have leave to come back  
10. to 891?

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

12. Is there leave? Leave is granted, on the first two  
13. bills. House Bill 1009, Senator Bruce. Read the bill, Mr.  
14. Secretary. Senator Berning, for what purpose do you arise?

15. SENATOR BERNING:

16. Well, Mr. President, I haven't as yet had an opportunity  
17. to talk to the sponsor. We are, at least to the best of my  
18. understanding, we are attempting to negotiate a substitute  
19. amendment, but it is not ready. I would like to suggest that  
20. the bill be held until we do get the amendment in its final  
21. form.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. Senator Bruce.

24. SENATOR BRUCE:

25. Well my...my intention, Senator Berning, was to move  
26. it and bring it back. We've been waiting, what, four days  
27. now and I...today is the day. I will do what you wish as long  
28. as you will...if someone will give me leave to get back to  
29. this at the end of 2nd reading, LRB says it'll be up here,  
30. I hope within two hours, so I'm sure we'll be here.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. Is there leave? Take it out of the record. House Bill  
33. 1221, Senator Gitz. House Bill 1473, Senator Weaver. Senator

1. Weaver.

2. SENATOR WEAVER:

3. Mr. President, I'm ready, except I'm getting amendments

4. ready for distribution. They should be here shortly. So, if

5. I could have leave to bring it back.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

7. Is there leave? Leave is granted. House Bill 2793,

8. Senator McLendon. House Bill 23...2831, Senator Knuppel.

9. We'll by...we'll bypass that momentarily, there is some

10. confusion about that bill. House Bill 2975, Senator Berman-

11. Daley. House Bill 3001, Senator Savickas. 3001. Read the

12. bill, Mr. Secretary.

13. SECRETARY:

14. House Bill 3001.

15. (Secretary reads title of bill)

16. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I

17. offers two amendments.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. Senator Carroll.

20. SENATOR CARROLL:

21. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

22. Senate. We reduced four positions and did an eight percent

23. solution, unnecessary positions, we felt, in the operation

24. of the Comptroller's Office. It meets with the agreement

25. of the Comptroller and I would move adoption of Amendment

26. No. 1 which is a reduction of a hundred and thirty-five

27. thousand dollars.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

29. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment No. 1

30. to House Bill 3001 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying

31. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is

32. adopted. Are there further amendments?

33. SECRETARY:

1. Committee Amendment No. 2.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

3. Senator Carroll.

4. SENATOR CARROLL:

5. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of

6. the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 2 adds funds to the

7. State Officer's...Oh, I'm sorry, we have to Table this one.

8. I would move to Table Committee Amendment No. 2, it's technically

9. defective.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. You've heard the motion. Those in favor indicate by saying

12. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is

13. Tabled. Are there further amendments?

14. SECRETARY:

15. No further committee amendments.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

17. Are there amendments from the Floor?

18. SECRETARY:

19. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Regner.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Senator Regner.

22. SENATOR REGNER:

23. Yes, Mr. President and members. This amendment corrects

24. Amendment No. 2 that was just Tabled and it provides for various

25. State Officer's salaries and I move its adoption.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

27. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment

28. No. 3 to House Bill 3001 be adopted. Those in favor indicate

29. by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment

30. No. 3 is adopted. Are there further Floor amendments?

31. SECRETARY:

32. Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Savickas.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. Senator Savickas.

2. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

3. Mr. President, it's a technical amendment and it just  
4. corrects the subtotals.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment  
7. No. 4 to House Bill 3001 be adopted. Those in favor indicate  
8. by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment  
9. No. 4 is adopted. Are there further Floor amendments?

10. SECRETARY:

11. Amendment No. 5 offered by Senator Regner.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

13. Senator Regner.

14. SENATOR REGNER:

15. Yes, Mr. President and members. This amendment adjusts  
16. one of the State Officer's salaries. As you know, we were  
17. promised a road package last fall and we only got eighty  
18. percent of it, so it cuts Secretary Kramer's salary by twenty  
19. percent to eighty percent of what it is right now and I move  
20. its adoption.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

22. Is there...is there any discussion? Senator Carroll.

23. SENATOR CARROLL:

24. Well, I, you know, really probably it's a very good idea.

25. Secretary Kramer indicated there was a slippage or spillover  
26. of this great pronouncement of the world's largest road program  
27. a year ago. He announced it again last week as the world's  
28. largest program for next year, failing to tell the people  
29. of Illinois that's really only half of what he says it is  
30. because at least twenty-five percent of last year's is slippage,  
31. is spillover until the next fiscal year. So maybe Senator Regner  
32. has a good idea here.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. Senator Martin.

2. SENATOR MARTIN:

3. Well, Senators, maybe it depends on your district. I'm  
4. willing to put in an amendment to give him a raise, so, you  
5. know, I would like a roll call on this. I don't want...I don't  
6. want it on, he's been helpful to some of us. That's how it  
7. goes.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. Is there further...is there further discussion? The  
10. question is shall...Senator Keats.

11. SENATOR KEATS:

12. If we don't have enough money to fund Secretary Kramer's  
13. salary, you know, I've got this one program that I've been  
14. trying to kill for four years and the Legislature kills it  
15. every year and that damn Department of Transportation continues  
16. it. We could take the hundreds of thousands of dollars they're  
17. wasting in my district and take his salary out of that. Because if he  
18. would leave me alone, I'd gladly let him have every penny of  
19. the money. In that case I figure since he's given me more  
20. than I want, rather than too little, I'd be glad to cut it  
21. just to get his attention.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. Senator Davidson. Is there further discussion? The  
24. question is shall Amendment No. 5 to House Bill 3001 be  
25. adopted. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay.  
26. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Take  
27. the record. On that question the Ayes are 5, the Nays are 35.  
28. Amendment No. 5 to House Bill 3001 fails. Are there further  
29. amendments?

30. SECRETARY:

31. No further amendments.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

33. 3rd reading. Will the members please be in their seats.

HB 3025  
6/24/10

1. Will all unauthorized personnel dispose of themselves. Do we  
2. have leave to return to...1221? House Bill 1221. Leave is  
3. granted. Oh, just...take it out of the record. House Bill  
4. 3024, Senator Regner. Senator Regner, do you wish to call  
5. that bill? 3024. House Bill 3025, Senator DeAngelis. Senator  
6. DeAngelis? House Bill 3025. Senator Johns. House Bill 3026,  
7. Senator Regner. House...Senator Johns, for what purpose do  
8. you arise?

9. SENATOR JOHNS:

10. Mr. President, there will be a Democratic caucus immediately  
11. in Room 212.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

13. Senator Moore.

14. SENATOR MOORE:

15. Thank you, Mr. President. There will be a Republican caucus  
16. immediately in Senator Shapiro's office.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

18. The Senate will stand in recess...to the call of the Chair.

19. Recess

20. After Recess

21. PRESIDENT:

22. All right. The Senate will come to order. We'll continue  
23. where we left off on the top of page 12, 3024, Senator Regner.  
24. 3025, Senator DeAngelis. 3026, Senator Regner. 3028, Senator  
25. Nimrod. Top of page 12. 3029, Senator Bloom. 3035, Senator  
26. Sommer. 3036, Senator Walsh. 3037, Senator Nimrod. 3038,  
27. Senator Coffey. 3044, Senator Schaffer. 3045, Senator Davidson.  
28. All right. On the Order of House Bills 2nd reading, House Bill  
29. 3045. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

30. SECRETARY:

31. House Bill 3045.

32. (Secretary reads title of bill)

33. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II  
34. offers three amendments.



1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Buzbee.

3. SENATOR BUZBEE:

4. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment...No. 1 reduces the  
5. FY '81 request by two hundred, eighteen thousand, one hundred  
6. dollars. It decreases the GRF portion by two hundred, seventy-  
7. eight thousand, nine hundred and adds sixty thousand, eight  
8. hundred dollars in Federal funds. Breakdown is as follows;  
9. Personal Services reduced by a hundred sixty-eight thousand,  
10. four hundred, eight percent solution reduced the request by  
11. thirty-five thousand, four hundred, it reduces by...one hundred  
12. nineteen thousand, two hundred dollars to eliminate funding  
13. for eight new positions, seven Social Service Consultants  
14. and one Clerk Typist III, which we will add back...some of  
15. those we will add back in a later amendment, C, it reduces  
16. by thirteen thousand, eight hundred to insure all new positions  
17. are phased in at nine months, it reduces Retirement and Social  
18. Security by twenty-three thousand, seven hundred, it reduces  
19. travel by ten thousand, all GRF...still allows for an 18.5  
20. percent increase in FY '81, it reduces Contractual Services  
21. in EDP by sixteen thousand. I would move its adoption.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Committee  
24. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3045. Is there any discussion?  
25. If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed.  
26. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

27. SECRETARY:

28. Committee Amendment No. 2.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Buzbee.

31. SENATOR BUZBEE:

32. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment makes reductions  
33. totaling eight million, four hundred, forty-six thousand dollars.

1. It brings the community care funding back down to the twenty  
2. million included in the Governor's budget request and reduces  
3. planning and service grants by fifty thousand dollars to  
4. compensate for House add-on of fifty thousand, for the White  
5. House Conference on Aging. I think Senator Regner will be  
6. offering an amendment in a few minutes to restore some of  
7. that and I would move its adoption.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment  
10. No. 2 to House Bill 3045 be adopted. Those in favor indicate  
11. by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment  
12. No. 2 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

13. SECRETARY:

14. Committee Amendment No. 3.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

16. Senator...Rhoads.

17. SENATOR RHOADS:

18. Thank you. Senator Buzbee this was my amendment, correct?  
19. Mr. President, members of the Senate. Amendment...Committee  
20. Amendment No. 3 broke out the community care dollars for the  
21. coming fiscal year by the areas, by the thirteen areas affected.  
22. In committee we provided...the numbers were based on a projection  
23. of what the department intended to spend. We provided for  
24. a two percent transferability between lines. The department  
25. came to me, said that they could not live with this and asked  
26. for ten percent and rather than try to set the precedent of  
27. giving ten percent transferability, we decided it was better to  
28. Table the amendment all together. I do have a...a letter from  
29. Director Blaser saying that she intends to live within our  
30. guidelines of no more than ten percent transferability for  
31. the coming year. Next year I will offer this same amendment,  
32. we will have it broken out, we'll have a caseload history to  
33. go by, but on the basis of the representations of the department

1. in Peg Blaser's letter, I would move to Table Committee Amend-  
2. ment No. 3.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

4. Heard the motion. Is there discussion? The question  
5. is shall Amendment No. 3 be Tabled. Those in favor indicate  
6. by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it, Amendment  
7. No. 3 is Tabled. Are there further amendments?

8. SECRETARY:

9. No further committee amendments.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. Are there amendments from the Floor?

12. SECRETARY:

13. Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Buzbee.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. Senator Buzbee.

16. SENATOR BUZBEE:

17. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment adds back forty-  
18. three thousand dollars, all GRF, to provide nine months funding  
19. for three Social Service Consultants. We had cut eight Social  
20. Service Consultants and one Clerical to go with that...those  
21. Social Service Consultants. We're adding back three out of  
22. the total of nine cuts. Committee Amendment No. 1 reduced  
23. the FY '81 request by two hundred and eighteen thousand, we're  
24. now adding forty-three thousand back. And I would move its  
25. adoption.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

27. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment No. 4  
28. to House Bill 3045 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying  
29. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it, Amendment No. 4 is  
30. adopted. Are there further amendments?

31. SECRETARY:

32. No further amendments.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. 3rd reading. House Bill 3046, Senator Regner. House Bill  
2. 3047, Senator Rhoads. 3047. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.  
3. SECRETARY:  
4. House Bill 3047.  
5. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
6. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II  
7. offers three amendments.  
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
9. Senator...Senator Buzbee.  
10. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
11. Well, it's my understanding that the director of this  
12. department would like to talk to me about some changes. She  
13. has yet to get to me, so...tomorrow is the final day, if she  
14. wants her budget, I'd suggest she get to me.  
15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
16. Senator Rhoads.  
17. SENATOR RHOADS:  
18. Out of the record.  
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
20. Take it out of the record. ...House Bill 3049, Senator  
21. Mitchler. House Bill 3050, Senator Grotberg-Walsh. Senator  
22. Grotberg. (Machine cut-off)...Buzbee.  
23. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
24. What?  
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
26. As to House Bill 3050. Senator Grotberg. House Bill  
27. 3051, Senator Schaffer. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.  
28. SECRETARY:  
29. House Bill 3051.  
30. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
31. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II  
32. offers three amendments.  
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. Senator Buzbee.

2. SENATOR BUZBEE:

3. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 reduces by  
4. twenty-three thousand, six hundred dollars, reflecting the  
5. eight percent solution, reduces travel by six thousand dollars,  
6. that's commensurate with phasing in of new employees. It  
7. reduces equipment by four thousand, transfers four thousand  
8. from travel line item to establish a new line for operation  
9. of auto equipment. I would move its adoption.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment No. 1  
12. to House Bill 3051 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying  
13. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is  
14. adopted. Amendment No. 2. Senator Buzbee.

15. SENATOR BUZBEE:

16. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment eliminates  
17. the House add-ons of two hundred and seventy-eight thousand  
18. for IHDA and four hundred thousand for GAL and I would move its  
19. adoption.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment No. 2  
22. be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed.  
23. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Amendment No. 3,  
24. Senator Buzbee.

25. SENATOR BUZBEE:

26. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment reduces fourteen  
27. hundred and eighty-four dollars from Contractual Services  
28. because of the higher...the needed request for training programs.  
29. Seventy-six dollars is added back to Personal Services  
30. for a salary adjustment and I would move its adoption.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment No. 3  
33. to House Bill 3051 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying

1. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it, Amendment No. 3 is  
2. adopted. Are there further amendments?  
3. SECRETARY:  
4. No further committee amendments.  
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
6. Are there amendments from the Floor?  
7. SECRETARY:  
8. No Floor amendments.  
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
10. 3rd reading. House Bill 3054, Senator Schaffer. House  
11. Bill 3058, Senator Moore. House Bill 3064, Senator Buzbee.  
12. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. House Bill 30...60, take  
13. 3064 out of the record please. House Bill 3066, Senator Berman.  
14. House Bill 3067, Senator Rock. Senator Rock. House Bill 3068,  
15. Senator Rock. House Bill 3084, Senator Coffey-Shapiro. House  
16. Bill 3114, Senator Berman-Davidson. House Bill 3126, Senator  
17. Philip. House Bill 3143, Senator Nedza. 3143. The Auditor  
18. General. House Bill...Senator Rock.  
19. SENATOR ROCK:  
20. Yes, thank...thank you, Mr. President. Before we get  
21. off that page, 3068, I think we're ready to move on, 3068. 3068.  
22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
23. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.  
24. SECRETARY:  
25. House Bill 3068.  
26. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
27. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II  
28. offers two amendments.  
29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
30. Senator Buzbee.  
31. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
32. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 reduces the  
33. GRF appropriation for operations approved by the House from

1. fifteen million, five hundred forty-seven thousand to fifteen  
2. million, three hundred sixty-eight thousand, a reduction of  
3. one hundred seventy-nine thousand, five hundred dollars. The  
4. appropriation provided by Amendment No. 1 represents a reduction  
5. of four hundred forty-two thousand, five-hundred thirty-five  
6. dollars from the amount requested by the State Board of Education  
7. because we took our..ours on top of what the House had cut.  
8. The amendment includes the following reductions; twenty-four  
9. thousand dollars to provide salary increases of five and one  
10. half percent in addition to the sixty-five dollars per month  
11. for managers, it...gives the effective annualized increase  
12. equal to eight percent, two hundred nine thousand, five hundred  
13. sixty dollars to eliminate twelve vacant positions, seventy-four  
14. thousand, fifty dollars to delete three of the ten new positions  
15. and to provide a three month phase-in for the remaining new  
16. positions, twenty-five thousand, six ninety-five, Retirement,  
17. Social Security, thirty-four thousand dollars to provide for  
18. an eight percent increase for Contractual Services, excluding  
19. office rent, sixty-seven thousand, three hundred dollars to  
20. provide ten percent increase in basic printing budget, seventy-  
21. three thousand, three hundred for special publications is  
22. included in the budget, five thousand to provide seven percent  
23. increase for commodities and I would move its adoption.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

25. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment No. 1  
26. to House Bill 3068 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying  
27. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is  
28. adopted. Are there further amendments? Amendment No. 2.

29. SECRETARY:

30. Committee Amendment No. 2.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. Senator Buzbee.

33. SENATOR BUZBEE:

1. Thank you, Mr. President. This transfers two positions  
2. and support costs for gifted education from the Department of  
3. Socialized...pardon me, Specialized Educational Services to  
4. the Department of Federal State Grants and I would move its adoption.  
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
6. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment No. 2  
7. to House Bill 3068 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by  
8. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2  
9. is adopted. Are there further amendments?  
10. SECRETARY:  
11. No further committee amendments.  
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
13. Are there amendments from the Floor?  
14. SECRETARY:  
15. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Regner.  
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
17. Senator Regner. Senator Sommer.  
18. SENATOR SOMMER:  
19. This...this amendment reduces the budget by four hundred  
20. and eighty-nine thousand, nine hundred dollars in Federal money.  
21. It's my understanding that this was requested by the board.  
22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
23. Senator Rock.  
24. SENATOR ROCK:  
25. Well, that...this is Amendment No. 3, I believe.  
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
27. Amendment No. 3 is correct.  
28. SENATOR ROCK:  
29. All right. As the sponsor of this, the board or the super-  
30. intendent has not made their wishes known. I rise in opposition  
31. to Amendment No. 3. The amendment, as I understand it, would  
32. reduce Federal funds which we appropriate in the amount of  
33. close to five hundred thousand dollars. I think the amendment



HB 3153  
2nd Reading  
6-24-80

1. is ill-advised and I am sure, or relatively sure, that the  
2. board and the superintendent would not agree with this and I  
3. urge opposition to Amendment No. 3.  
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
5. Senator Regner.  
6. SENATOR REGNER:  
7. Well, I apologize, Senator Rock. Senator Rock, as you know,  
8. in committee, I questioned the board and Doctor Cronin on this  
9. very topic, fifty new Federal employees. Bob Leininger from  
10. the Office of Education drafted this amendment and it's to  
11. reduce the Federal employees that they had requested for  
12. programs that they now know are not going to come through.  
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
14. Senator Rock.  
15. SENATOR ROCK:  
16. Then I stand corrected. I will accept the amendment.  
17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
18. Senator Buzbee.  
19. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
20. Well, I...I think that's reflective of some of the  
21. problems we have with this agency. They...they didn't even  
22. let the sponsor of the bill, who is an advocate, know that they  
23. want their budget...reduced by half a million dollars. ...There's  
24. part of the problem we got with those folks.  
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
26. Is there further discussion? The question is shall Amendment  
27. No. 3 to House Bill 3068 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by  
28. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3  
29. is adopted. Are there further amendments?  
30. SECRETARY:  
31. No further amendments.  
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
33. 3rd reading. House Bill 3084, Senator Coffey...  
34. House Bill 3153, Senator Knuppel. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

1. SECRETARY:  
2. House Bill 3153.  
3. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
4. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Elementary and  
5. Secondary Education offers two amendments.  
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
7. Senator...Senator Knuppel. Amendment No. 1.  
8. SENATOR KNUPPEL:  
9. This...I want to Table. First of all, I want to Table  
10. Amendment No. 2 from the committee. This is the one that  
11. set up the hearing.  
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
13. Senator, we're...we're considering Amendment No. 1.  
14. SENATOR KNUPPEL:  
15. Oh, move the adoption of...No. 1.  
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
17. Well, is there discussion as to Amendment No. 1? Senator  
18. ...Buzbee. Senator Buzbee.  
19. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
20. We all...we all look alike. I...I...is this the minimum  
21. salary amendment?  
22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
23. Senator Knuppel. Question was asked.  
24. SENATOR KNUPPEL:  
25. What was the question?  
26. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
27. Is this...is this the minimum salary amendment?  
28. SENATOR KNUPPEL:  
29. Yes, I said yes.  
30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
31. Well, we have to have it on the electronic marvel here.  
32. Is there further discussion? Senator Maitland.  
33. SENATOR MAITLAND:  
34. Thank you.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
2. Just...just a moment. Will the members...can we break  
3. up all the caucuses please. Will the members please be in  
4. their seats. Will we clear the aisles. Senator Maitland.  
5. SENATOR MAITLAND:  
6. Thank...thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen  
7. of the Senate. First of all a question of the sponsor.  
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
9. Indicates he will respond.  
10. SENATOR MAITLAND:  
11. Senator Knuppel, this exempts the school district in...in  
12. Chicago, is that correct?  
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
14. Senator Knuppel.  
15. SENATOR KNUPPEL:  
16. ...The bill does, now I'm not familiar with Amendment No. 1.  
17. Terry Bruce had this bill until yesterday, he can tell you. But  
18. we're going to remove that.  
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
20. Is there...Senator Maitland.  
21. SENATOR MAITLAND:  
22. Well...what is Amendment No. 1? Is that the minimum salary  
23. bill? Does someone know the answer?  
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
25. Senator Bruce.  
26. SENATOR BRUCE:  
27. Senator Maitland, this was the amendment adopted in  
28. committee over which there was a good deal of discussion. It includes  
29. the minimum salary and the notice to nontenured teachers which  
30. will be taken out by another amendment, but this basically is  
31. ...and it does not have anything to do with excluding or including  
32. Chicago...this amendment. There will be other amendments which  
33. will affect that.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
2. Senator Maitland.  
3. SENATOR MAITLAND:  
4. Okay, but in the minimum salary bill, figured in the average,  
5. will be the salaries of the school district of...in the Chicago  
6. District, is that not correct?  
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
8. Senator Bruce.  
9. SENATOR BRUCE:  
10. As it is presently structured. There's another amend-  
11. ment which will take that out..Senator Maitland. There is  
12. an amendment which will exclude the City of Chicago from that  
13. calculation.  
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
15. Senator Maitland.  
16. SENATOR MAITLAND:  
17. Well, simply...let me just say at this time, that...that  
18. really Mr...  
19. SENATOR BRUCE:  
20. Can he talk louder.  
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
22. Just a moment. There are many...would we please clear  
23. the Floor. Would the Sergeant-at-Arms clear all unauthorized  
24. persons from the Floor. Let's break up all the caucuses.  
25. Proceed.  
26. SENATOR MAITLAND:  
27. Thank...thank you, Mr. President. I would call the  
28. attention to the Body and to Senator Chew that...that the minimum  
29. Salary Bill originally didn't make it out of Rules Committee in  
30. the House and finally made it to the Floor there. Got to this Body  
31. and...and did not make it out of the Rules Committee here because  
32. it was not considered an emergency item, and I think the...I  
33. think the Rules Committee made a proper decision there. We

1. voted last Friday on a multitude of bills that had not made  
2. it out of Rules Committee and defeated all but one of them.  
3. And I simply believe that this is not an emergency bill and  
4. should not even have been allowed on here. But be that as  
5. it may, once again...once again, I remind the Body, and this  
6. is one of the bills that you're hearing from...from your  
7. school districts in your respective districts and it's  
8. going to affect some of you drastically. Now we're told  
9. that a subsequent amendment might change the bill a little  
10. bit, but the cost of this bill in the amendment that we're  
11. looking at right now, can, in fact, be nearly seven billion  
12. dollars over...seven million dollars, over the next five years.  
13. And this is a substantial amount of money. It simply is, I  
14. think it's a...it's a precedence that we're setting here  
15. in this Body, in this Legislature, and one that must be defeated.  
16. And I would urge defeat of the amendment.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

18. Is there further discussion? Senator Knuppel may close.

19. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

20. Well, on...on this amendment, I think the cost is grossly  
21. exaggerated. What it is, is a Minimum Salary Bill for those  
22. people who have thirty hours more than a Master's, it doesn't  
23. affect but a few teachers out of the total number of teachers  
24. in the State of Illinois and the amendment should be adopted.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

26. The question is shall Amendment No. 1 be adopted. Those  
27. in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open.  
28. You didn't have to ask. Have all those voted who wish? Have  
29. all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question  
30. the Ayes are 30, the Nays are 25. Amendment No. 1 to House  
31. Bill 3153 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

32. SECRETARY:

33. Committee Amendment No. 2.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
2. Senator Knuppel.  
3. SENATOR KNUPPEL:  
4. I move to Table Committee Amendment No. 2.  
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
6. Is there discussion? The motion is to Table Committee  
7. Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 3153. Those in favor indicate by  
8. saying Aye. Those opposed. Amendment No. 2 is Tabled. Are  
9. there further amendments?  
10. SECRETARY:  
11. No further committee amendments.  
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
13. Are there amendments from the Floor?  
14. SECRETARY:  
15. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Knuppel.  
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
17. Senator Knuppel.  
18. SENATOR KNUPPEL:  
19. Amendment No. 3 excludes the City of Chicago from the  
20. Minimum Salary Amendment that we put on as No. 1 and it makes  
21. the...the Act...when adopted, immediately effective, but  
22. operational only from July 1st, 1981 when new contracts are  
23. drawn. And I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 3.  
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
25. Senator Maitland.  
26. SENATOR MAITLAND:  
27. Thank you, Mr. President. What's the cost of this,  
28. the...the estimated cost of this revision then?  
29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
30. Senator Knuppel.  
31. SENATOR KNUPPEL:  
32. Well, it...it...would...in leaving out the City of Chicago  
33. and would reduce the total cost and then making it immediately

1. effective would have no affect on the cost. It's...it's the  
2. estimate, as I understand it, of the IEA that this overall would  
3. cost less than a million dollars in the...in the immediate...one  
4. or two year future.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Senator Maitland.

7. SENATOR MAITLAND:

8. Well...Mr. President, thank you. The fact of the matter  
9. is, it's not going to change the cost at all because the  
10. Chicago School System is already well, well, over the minimum.  
11. So, once again, I...I urge defeat of...of the amendment and I would  
12. ...would mention that the other day there were a number of  
13. people on that side of the aisle who were on the roll call  
14. they...voting in favor of doing away with the minimum salary  
15. on the...on one of the local county government offices and  
16. I think this certainly follows that same...that same line  
17. and we should urge defeat of the amendment.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. Is there further discussion? Senator Schaffer.

20. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

21. Well, if Senator Knuppel would yield for a...question.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. Indicates he will.

24. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

25. As I understand this, the reason Chicago is being deleted,  
26. and Senator Maitland, they're being...deleted from the base  
27. on which the average salary is based. And since we all know  
28. the Chicago...salaries are considerably higher than downstate  
29. and...and most of suburbia and I might add, not for unreasonable  
30. reasons, this would have the effect of lowering the average  
31. in the bill which would lower the cost of the bill. Now, one  
32. of us doesn't understand this amendment, and if it's me, I'd  
33. like to have my...I'd like to be set straight.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
2. Senator Knuppel...you wish to respond?  
3. SENATOR KNUPPTEL:  
4. Well, I think he...that what he has said is correct. That  
5. ...that everybody in Chicago with this much is already over it.  
6. It would decrease the percentage and the total cost estimated  
7. on this would be about a half a million dollars.  
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
9. Senator Berning.  
10. SENATOR BERNING:  
11. Thank you, Mr. President. I did not have a copy of  
12. Amendment No. 1, so I'm not quite sure what it is the good  
13. Senator is doing to the bill.  
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
15. Senator, that is a committee...  
16. SENATOR BERNING:  
17. But from the...  
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
19. ...that is a committee amendment.  
20. SENATOR BERNING:  
21. ...from the discussion, it appears that we or he is  
22. suggesting that we here in the General Assembly dictate to  
23. the school boards, again, how and what they can and should do.  
24. Now by eliminating Cook County, we are again discriminating,  
25. it would appear, against the school boards in the districts  
26. throughout the rest of the State. While I don't have a direct  
27. question, I suppose indirectly and rhetorically, it is simply,  
28. why do you feel we should be dictating to the local school  
29. boards?  
30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
31. Senator, was that a question?  
32. SENATOR BERNING:  
33. Yes, I assumed that he might have an answer.



1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. Senator Knuppel.

3. SENATOR KNUPPTEL:

4. I don't...I don't think we're dictating to them. We're  
5. setting up a method to encourage those people who have Master's  
6. or M.A. Degrees to further their education for the benefit, not  
7. only of the districts, but the student.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. Senator Gitz.

10. SENATOR GITZ:

11. Mr. President and members of the Senate. Normally Senator  
12. Maitland makes excellent sense in his arguments, but I think  
13. actually in this time, he's arguing against himself. The reason  
14. that this amendment is offered is so that downstate school  
15. districts in the average salary calculations will be measured against  
16. one another. I have had some reservations about the fact  
17. of escalation of minimum salaries over the...the years. I  
18. think if we're going to figure it, we ought to weigh and measure  
19. apples against apples and I think that to leave the bill in its  
20. present condition without Amendment 3, would be to aggravate  
21. the very concerns that Senator Maitland and others have voiced.  
22. This allows us to measure the index in basically communities  
23. that have some similarities. That's why, Senator Berning, this  
24. amendment is offered. This amendment actually improves the  
25. situation. If you want a response to the question of why,  
26. I think that it ought to be a somewhat moral obligation on the  
27. part of the State, that when somebody has spent the time of their  
28. life to get a Master's Degree, that they're entitled to at least  
29. twelve thousand dollars a year. Teamsters on the road make  
30. thirty thousand dollars a year, more than the members of the  
31. General Assembly, and I don't begrudge it to them, God bless  
32. them, we need them. But why is it that the people that are  
33. most important in teaching our children are always subject to  
34. the most criticism in terms of their needs. So I rise in support  
35. of Amendment 3, I think it helps the bill.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
2. Senator Maitland.  
3. SENATOR MAITLAND:  
4. Well...well I...I agree, Senator...Mr. President...I agree,  
5. Senator Gitz, that if the amendment did as Senator Knuppel says  
6. it does, it would be a better amendment. But, it...it's our  
7. understanding and as we read it, it does not do that, it takes  
8. them out of the minimum salary, but still allows them their  
9. salaries to be averaged in. Now, if we're misinterpreting  
10. the amendment, then fine, but show us why we are.  
11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
12. Senator. Is there further discussion? Senator Berning,  
13. the second time.  
14. SENATOR BERNING:  
15. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Only because one of the  
16. previous speakers implied that perhaps I was shortsighted in  
17. questioning the advisability of the General Assembly imposing  
18. its will on the school boards. Now, I remind you, Ladies and  
19. Gentlemen of the Senate, that the school boards are duly elected  
20. representatives of the people and it's their responsibility, they  
21. are charged with the responsibility of running their local school  
22. districts and I think they ought to have as free a hand as they  
23. can have. There isn't anything that justifies imposition of  
24. controls or mandates or minimums or maximums, by this Body.  
25. There isn't anything that anyone can truthfully and honestly  
26. say, that because a person goes to school, they are entitled  
27. to better and more consideration than the next door neighbor.  
28. And that's what you're trying to say by means of Amendment No. 1  
29. and Amendment No. 2. That because someone goes to school, they  
30. have an inherent right, but they also have an inherent right  
31. to go and drive that over the road...truck, as was mentioned.  
32. And be infinitely better off, perhaps in their current situation  
33. and also infinitely better off than we as members of the General

1. Assembly. It is totally wrong, Mr. President, for us to be  
2. dictating to the local school districts or any other local  
3. body of elected officials.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
5. Senator DeAngelis.

6. SENATOR DeANGELIS:  
7. Mr. President, I think we're all awaiting the answer to  
8. Senator Maitland's question regarding interpretation and I  
9. think we deserve an answer on it.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
11. Senator Gitz. Senator Gitz.

12. SENATOR GITZ:  
13. As I read Amendment 3, by inserting under the...final  
14. paragraph of Section 24-8, the following, "the changes made  
15. in this section by this Amendatory Act of 1980, do not apply  
16. to any school district in a city having a population in excess  
17. of five hundred thousand." Now that's amending the same  
18. section. If you can show me where that doesn't happen,  
19. Senator Maitland, I'll be happy to draft the amendment and  
20. cosponsor with you to do exactly that. But our reading is, is  
21. that that is accomplished by this language.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
23. Senator Maitland.

24. SENATOR MAITLAND:  
25. Well, you...you have to read it in the context of the bill  
26. and it simply is still in there. You haven't...you haven't taken  
27. that out. The average State-wide teacher's salary, that's the  
28. average State-wide teacher's salary, that is still in the bill.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
30. Is there further discussion? Oh, I'm sorry, Senator Maitland.

31. SENATOR MAITLAND:  
32. Well, just to point out, it's lines sixteen and...and line  
33. seventeen...of Amendment No. 1.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. Is there further discussion? The answer...Senator Knuppel,  
3. do you wish to respond? Senator Knuppel.

4. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

5. I feel like...we read it, the question was answered and  
6. ...we'll re-review this, but House Bills have to be out of here  
7. tomorrow evening. I want...I want to adopt the amendment and  
8. I'd ask everybody to support me in it and we'll consider this.  
9. I'm not afraid to bring the bill back to 2nd reading if  
10. that needs correction.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

12. Senator Bowers.

13. SENATOR BOWERS:

14. Just so the record is straight then, Senator Knuppel, it's  
15. the intent of this legislation, if this amendment goes on, that  
16. the salaries of the City of Chicago teachers will not be included  
17. when computing the State-wide average. Is that the intent?

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. Senator Knuppel.

20. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

21. As I understand it, that is correct.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. Is there further discussion? Senator Maitland, did you  
24. wish recognition? Senator Knuppel, you may close, if you so  
25. desire.

26. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

27. Well, I...I...I think everybody spoke and I...I wouldn't  
28. take exception with anybody or any remarks that have been made,  
29. except those of Senator Berning. And I think that a person who  
30. goes to school and...and expends money to get an education is  
31. entitled to recoup that. I think this bill is designed to  
32. encourage those that have reached the Master's level to continue  
33. their education. I think it's good legislation at a very minimal

1. cost and I don't think it does what Senator Berning would have  
2. it do at all. I'd ask for a favorable roll call.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

4. ...The question is shall Amendment No. 3 to House Bill  
5. 3153 be adopted. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay.  
6. The voting is open. The motion is that...Amendment No. 3 be  
7. adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed.  
8. Motion carries. The Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 3153 is  
9. adopted. Are there further amendments?

10. SECRETARY:

11. Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Maitland.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

13. Senator Maitland.

14. SENATOR MAITLAND:

15. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of  
16. the Senate. Amendment No. 4 would simply say the cost of  
17. these minimum salary adjustments as required by this Amendatory  
18. Act of 1980 shall be borne entirely by the State from a separate  
19. line item appropriation from the Common School Fund to the State  
20. Board of Education. And, of course, the reason for this is...if  
21. ...if it's so...if it's so good, if the State Legislature wants  
22. to do this, I think it's the responsibility of the State of  
23. Illinois to pay the bill. And that's exactly what this amendment  
24. does and I urge its adoption.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

26. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment...  
27. Senator Demuzio.

28. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

29. Yes, I was trying to pay attention to that. The line item  
30. appropriation is...do you have any idea what the total amount of  
31. the...of the cost would be then, Senator Maitland?

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

33. Senator Maitland.

1. SENATOR MAITLAND:

2. I...I think I've asked that question and...and no one  
3. seems to know the answer. And I think, yes, we understand and  
4. recognize the mechanical problems here, but we...we find no  
5. problem and...and no concern in...in saying to those local  
6. units of government, those...local school districts that, you  
7. know, find the dollars. So I think the State of Illinois should  
8. find the dollars someplace. If no one knows the cost, at some  
9. point in time, we'll know the cost of the bill.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. Senator Bruce.

12. SENATOR BRUCE:

13. Well, I stand in opposition to this proposal of Senator  
14. Maitland's. Senator, I don't know whether...IOE at our  
15. request, in the committee, did a study of what this was going  
16. to cost. And they have provided a computer printout and their  
17. indication is that this amendment will cost a hundred and ninety  
18. thousand dollars State-wide next year. That's exactly what  
19. they say based on their figures based on average ten percent  
20. increase in salary and the average...the last four years has been  
21. about 6.34 or 6...6. yeah, 34. And it just seems to me  
22. that the State of Illinois, through the formula that we give...  
23. we're talking about giving another hundred and twenty-eight  
24. million dollars this year. Out of that hundred and twenty-eight  
25. million dollars, surely we can spread a hundred and ninety thousand  
26. dollars over eleven hundred school districts without putting that...  
27. burden on the State and it's a burden of a hundred and ninety thousand  
28. dollars spread over eleven hundred districts.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

30. ...Senator Davidson.

31. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

32. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise in  
33. opposition to this amendment, 'cause I asked the same thing in

1. committee and had an amendment drafted to do this. No one,  
2. IOE, Bureau of the Budget, School Board Association, et cetera,  
3. could give me a fact, hard figure on where we come from. Secondly,  
4. if we're going to make it a line item, then do we take it out  
5. of the percentage that we raise and have been raising the  
6. Common School Fund amount each year, are then we giving the  
7. schools a double shot of increased funding because one, we raise  
8. the percentage of the Common School Fund and then in another line  
9. item, we add this. I totally support the idea that we, the State,  
10. refund and cover the cost, but to make it a separate line item,  
11. they've convinced me that my wisdom was not sound when I came  
12. up with this idea in committee because no one could give us a  
13. hard answer to it. Maybe after there's been some experience on  
14. it, maybe later in the future we'll want to do that, but as it  
15. stands right now, I would oppose the amendment.

16.  
17.  
18.  
19.  
20.  
21.  
22.  
23.  
24.  
25.  
26.  
27.  
28.  
29.  
30.  
31.  
32.  
33.

End of Reel

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. Senator Buzbee.

3. SENATOR BUZBEE:

4. Thank you, Mr. President. We passed legislation in this  
5. Body a year or two ago, which I adamantly opposed, which  
6. passed over my opposition anyhow, which required that any  
7. mandated program that the State puts in local governments,  
8. that the State has to provide the dollars to follow. It  
9. seems to me that Senator Maitland is simply establishing  
10. precedent here for the same thing for the school districts.  
11. We have now...if we're going to tell them, you have to pay  
12. a minimum wage, it seems to me that we ought to be willing  
13. to provide that additional dollars...those additional dollars  
14. for that minimum wage. The reason a lot of these school  
15. districts don't pay that now, is simply because they don't  
16. have the funds available to do it. And so, if we're going  
17. to tell them, you've got to do it, we ought to provide the  
18. dollars to flow through to follow; and I think it's a good  
19. amendment. I'm going to support you, Senator Maitland.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Senator Gitz.

22. SENATOR GITZ:

23. Well, Senator Buzbee, I think, has outlined it adequately.  
24. I do rise in support of Senator Maitland's amendment. One  
25. of the reasons I supported the original amendment, after great  
26. deliberation, was that I had computer printouts that suggested  
27. the...Statewide impact is a hundred and ninety thousand dollars.  
28. Now, I hear some people wanting to hedge their bets; and I  
29. don't think we should do that. We did pass that State Mandate  
30. Article; and if we are going to provide a...a mandate for a  
31. minimum, then I think we should stand behind that word here.  
32. On the supervisor of assessments, the State picks up fifty  
33. percent of that increase. There's nothing wrong with this



1. amendment. If we don't have the votes, and we don't have  
2. the ability to stand behind a line item in the budget, then  
3. we have no business passing the amendment to begin with.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

5. Senator Maitland.

6. SENATOR MAITLAND:

7. Thank you, Mr. President. Just simply to say, I appreciate  
8. the comments of the last two speakers; and simply to add to  
9. Senator Bruce's statement, next year the figures are rather  
10. close to being accurate; but as you project the figures out,  
11. they are extremely...extremely large. We don't really know  
12. where we're going. So, as Senator Gitz has indicated...  
13. Senator Buzbee has indicated, if it's so good; if it's what  
14. we need, then let's apply the State's Mandate Act, and  
15. that's what we're attempting to do now.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

17. Senator Berman. Senator Knuppel.

18. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

19. Well, I think I was the sponsor of the Mandated Program.  
20. The amendment that I just offered, provided that it wouldn't  
21. be effective until 1981; that comes very close to the Mandated  
22. Program start date. I have no objection to the State paying  
23. the difference myself. I do say that Senator Davidson is  
24. probably right in how it may be set up; I have no objection  
25. to the amendment. We may want to bring it back and change it,  
26. Senator Maitland, as to how...as to how we make up that money,  
27. rather than as a line item. Do you follow me? I'm not adept  
28. in...in appropriations; but I have no objection to the State  
29. paying this, to encourage better teachers to further their  
30. education.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. Is there further discussion? Senator Maitland may close.  
33. The question is shall Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 3153

#B 3160  
2nd Amendment  
6-24-80

1. be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those
2. opposed. A roll call is requested. Those in favor vote
3. Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all those
4. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the
5. Ayes are 34, the Nays are 19. Amendment No. 4 to House
6. Bill 3153 is adopted. Are there further amendments?
7. SECRETARY:
8. No further amendments.
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
10. 3rd reading. Senator Vadalabene, for what purpose do you
11. arise?
12. SENATOR VADALABENE:
13. Yes, I would like to have leave to waive the Six-Day Rule.
14. We had an additional message yesterday that was read into the
15. record; and we're having our meeting on Thursday of this week,
16. and I would like to have leave for...because there some salaried
17. appointments on there.
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
19. Is there leave? Leave is granted. UPI wishes to have
20. the consent to take still pictures. Is leave granted? Leave
21. is granted. House Bill 3180, Senator Lemke-Daley. Read the
22. bill, Mr. Secretary...I'm sorry, just a moment. 3160 is the
23. next bill, I beg your pardon. You wish the bill read? Read
24. the bill, Mr. Secretary.
25. SECRETARY:
26. House Bill 3160.
27. (Secretary reads title of bill)
28. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
30. Are there amendments from the Floor?
31. SECRETARY:
32. Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Walsh.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. Senator Walsh.

2. SENATOR WALSH:

3. Mr. President and members of the Senate, I explained this  
4. amendment when this bill was last called, and Senator Nedza  
5. asked to have the bill taken from the record. This is a very  
6. significant bill. It would permit the establishment of a  
7. Working Cash Fund by the Regional Transportation Authority  
8. by issuance of a hundred million dollars in bonds to mature  
9. ten years from the date of issuance. Now, a Working Cash  
10. Fund, to be funded by the issuance of bonds, is a very extreme  
11. proposal. It's just this type of financing that got the  
12. Chicago Board of Education in trouble, because they're  
13. financing their day to day operations by the issuance of  
14. long-term bonds. I believe this is a very questionable  
15. proposal, and I...I oppose it in principle. However, we  
16. do have an opportunity to make the onus a little less  
17. significant, and that would be by adopting Amendment No. 1.  
18. Amendment No. 1 would reduce the...would reduce the authorization  
19. from one hundred million in Working Cash Fund Bonds to twenty-  
20. five million, and I urge the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

22. Senator Nedza.

23. SENATOR NEDZA:

24. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I rise in opposition to  
25. this amendment, for a number of reasons. Basically, because  
26. of the fact that the money that we are contemplating using  
27. for the Working Cash Fund is money already designated to the  
28. RTA. It is a hundred million dollars which you are taking  
29. from a five hundred million dollars; and in order to have the  
30. proper cash flow because of the...the shortfall and the collection  
31. procedure that the RTA experiences, that the twenty-five  
32. million, in effect, would be inappropriate, because it would  
33. not allow the agency to operate and function as it should.

1. The amounts of money that are due to the RTA from the various  
2. agencies in the State of Illinois, to date, approximately,  
3. are up to in excess of fifty million dollars. So, therefore...  
4. that the hundred million dollars, although, Senator Walsh  
5. may have some reservations of it, it is necessary in order  
6. for them to function. So, I rise in opposition to this  
7. amendment.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. Senator Berman.

10. SENATOR BERMAN:

11. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
12. Senate. I rise in opposition to this amendment. You don't  
13. have to go any farther than the morning paper; the headline  
14. in the Chicago Sun Times; I'd hold up the Tribune, but I  
15. don't know if they are still reporting out of City Hall.  
16. The Chicago Sun Times, the headline says "RTA misses payment  
17. of five million dollars." Now, let me quote for some of  
18. my colleagues that represent suburban districts, "a  
19. spokesman for the Chicago & Northwestern, the area's largest  
20. commuter line, which also provides commuter service on the  
21. Rock Island Line says, 'We are continuing to operate while  
22. we try to get our money. We're not making any threats to  
23. discontinue service at this time.'" Now, I don't think that  
24. it makes any sense when we're talking about cash flow to try  
25. to adopt an amount that's not going to do the job. If...if  
26. twenty-five million is inadequate, and you've heard that from  
27. Senator Nedza, it is a useless gesture to try to amend this  
28. down to twenty-five million, because your commuter railroads...  
29. your commuter railroads, as well as the CTA, won't be getting  
30. the money that they're entitled to; and pretty soon they're  
31. going to be forced to curtail services. I don't think anybody  
32. in this Body wants them to do that. I think the hundred  
33. million dollars has been proven as a needed amount, based upon

1. their cash flow problems. I think twenty-five million is a  
2. useless gesture. I move...I suggest strongly that we defeat  
3. this amendment.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

5. Senator Rock.

6. SENATOR ROCK:

7. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
8. Senate. It seems to me, not too very long ago I heard Senator  
9. Walsh wax eloquent, at some length, about how we should let  
10. the sponsor have the bill in the form in which he wishes it  
11. to be. It seems to me that one is either opposed to the idea,  
12. or in favor of the idea of a Working Cash Fund that is bonded.  
13. But, if in fact, you are in favor of it; then it seems to me,  
14. we ought to have a Working Cash Fund that, in fact, affords...  
15. or works. Twenty-five million simply isn't enough. Now, if  
16. you're going to vote against the whole concept, that's one  
17. thing; but if you're going to vote in favor of it, let's make  
18. sure it works. I urge opposition to Amendment No. 1.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

20. Is there further discussion? Senator Walsh may close.

21. SENATOR WALSH:

22. Mr. President and members of the Senate, we've heard that  
23. the RTA is in a cash flow bind; they'll be in a cash flow bind  
24. as long as they're in existence, and this isn't going to help  
25. them. This is a...is public policy that we're establishing  
26. today. The only other public bodies that issue bonds for  
27. Working Cash Funds, to my knowledge, was the Chicago Board of  
28. Education. Just as sure as we're sitting here, if these monies  
29. are used for operations, and that's what they propose to use  
30. the money for, we're going to have the RTA back here, requesting  
31. us to bail them out the way we bailed out the Chicago Board of  
32. Education. I'm not in favor of twenty-five million, but it  
33. certainly is better than a hundred million, and I urge an  
34. Aye vote.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. The question is shall Amendment No. 1 to House Bill  
3. 3160 be adopted. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed  
4. Nay. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish?  
5. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 26, the  
6. Nays are 29. Amendment No. 1 fails. Are there further  
7. amendments?

8. SECRETARY:

9. Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Schaffer.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. Senator Schaffer.

12. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

13. Mr. Secretary, is it the longer amendment?

14. SECRETARY:

15. Yes.

16. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

17. This amendment, I don't think should be offensive to  
18. any...it clearly provides for the Auditor General to audit  
19. the RTA and the CTA. It clearly spells out the scope of the  
20. audit. It's an Auditor General bill. Some of you will re-  
21. call that we did, in fact, authorize this. Evidently, when  
22. the Auditor General's troops arrived in Chicago, they were  
23. met with complications from the RTA Board...from the Board  
24. Chairman and staff, I guess, concerning the scope of the  
25. audit. Clearly, if we're going to give them a hundred million  
26. dollars more in bonding, on top of the hundreds and hundreds  
27. of millions they spend, I don't think a thorough audit by an  
28. outside objective force is objectionable. And I would say, when  
29. I was a county auditor, the people I always wanted to audit  
30. the most were the ones that didn't want to be audited. And, it  
31. strikes me as curious that the Auditor General is having the  
32. kinds of problems he appears to be having with the RTA and the  
33. CTA. I would appreciate bi-partisan support on this. I don't

1. think anyone can seriously object to an audit of this major  
2. unit of government.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

4. Senator Nedza.

5. SENATOR NEDZA:

6. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Senator Schaffer's...Senator  
7. Schaffer's remarks would lead you to believe that the Auditor  
8. General does not, in fact, audit the RTA; but, in fact, they  
9. do. The only thing is that they're limited...limited to those  
10. funds which are State funds, and not all the funds of the RTA.  
11. The Auditor General is very proficient in doing his job. This  
12. amendment is needless. I think it's...it's something that is...  
13. is done not in, if you'll pardon the expression, Senator, good  
14. faith. The funding of the RTA, the local funds that are in  
15. there, are audited by a...a firm. The Auditor General does,  
16. in fact, audit all the State funds that are going into the  
17. RTA. I would move for opposition to this...this amendment,  
18. because I think it's needless.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

20. Is there further discussion? Senator Netsch.

21. SENATOR NETSCH:

22. Thank you, Mr. President.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

24. Just a moment, Senator. Will the...could we please clear  
25. the aisles. Will the members please be in their seats. Let's  
26. break up the caucuses, please. Staff take your caucuses out  
27. to the Rotunda. Proceed, Senator Netsch.

28. SENATOR NETSCH:

29. Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in support of this amendment.  
30. It...it would seem that it should not be necessary, if you read  
31. the existing language with respect to the duty of the Auditor  
32. General to audit; but it has not been entirely successful, in  
33. terms of getting the kind of audit, that I think, is needed.

1. There have been some questions about the interpretation of  
2. the language that is in the existing bill; and I really do feel very  
3. strongly, that all of those of us on whatever side of the aisle  
4. who are strongly in support of mass transit and the Regional  
5. Transportation Authority, as the only basis for keeping alive  
6. our mass transit in the metropolitan area, ought, also to support  
7. this amendment. One of the problems that we have in trying  
8. to get additional help for mass transit support, and it has  
9. to go through the RTA, is the belief that the RTA is squandering  
10. money; and indeed, there has been some evidence that it has  
11. squandered some money. It seems to me that it is entirely to  
12. our benefit, in terms of getting a strong support base, State  
13. funded, I hope, for mass transit in the metropolitan area;  
14. that we know exactly what are the finances of the RTA and the  
15. CTA, and that they have, in fact, been audited by our own  
16. Legislative Auditor. So that, I feel very strongly, that the  
17. support of this bill, that is this amendment to the bill, is  
18. quite critical to those of us who are seeking to recoup some  
19. of the State subsidy and other support that we may have lost  
20. to RTA, in the ill-fated transportation package of last year.  
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

22. Senator Egan.

23. SENATOR EGAN:

24. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.  
25. As long as everybody wants to get into the act, I'm going to  
26. get in a little bit myself. I...I'm curious, Senator Schaffer,  
27. that even though they...the Auditor General presently does his  
28. duty in auditing those funds which are State funds, which is  
29. properly his place, I want to remind you what he did with the  
30. Chicago School Board, when we asked that he audit them. He  
31. came out with the consequent remark, that they were guilty of  
32. malfeasance. Now let me tell you what that means, Senator  
33. Schaffer, that means that the Auditor General now becomes the



1. Attorney General; and is passing legally upon the disposition  
2. of the Chicago School Board. Will he do that with the RTA,  
3. and I know it will tickle you pink. The fact is, what you're  
4. asking for, is going to cost the State some money; just because  
5. you want to get tickled pink. Can you tell us how much it's  
6. going to cost?

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

8. Senator Schaffer.

9. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

10. I...I believe there is money in the budget; I don't believe  
11. it's an excessive amount. I think our staffs could probably tell  
12. us; it was put on in one of the Appropriation Committees here  
13. in the last few days, if my understanding is correct. And I  
14. would only say...I'd...you know, if your argument is with the  
15. current Auditor General, I don't know what I can do. In all  
16. candor, I did not vote to confirm the man, and have lived to  
17. regret that vote; I think he's doing an excellent job. And I  
18. would point out...point out to you that my two friends from  
19. Chicago have just given the absolute justification for the  
20. bill. That's right. The Auditor General does audit the  
21. State funds, but as Senator Regner and others would point out  
22. to us on a regular basis, thanks to the Byrnes-Thompson deal  
23. of last fall, there aren't any State funds. See, that's the  
24. problem; that's the catch twenty-two; and we...we would like  
25. to have a thorough audit by an outside person, and we think  
26. the Auditor General is the logical person to do it; and it  
27. wouldn't tickle me pink, nothing would make me happier than  
28. to have him issue a glowing report of the RTA and it's practices.  
29. And, I might add, of it's practices in distributing funds within  
30. the seven transportation areas. But those of us from the suburbs  
31. are suspicious, Senator; and...and I run into that suspicion  
32. on every street corner in my district, and every meeting I go  
33. to, and it would be nice to say, well, the Auditor General

1. says they're doing a good job, and I respect his opinion.  
2. I'd like to give him a chance to get me that kind of information.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

4. Senator Egan.

5. SENATOR EGAN:

6. Well, let me say this to you, Senator Schaffer, that I'd  
7. rather spend the money on a rain protector for the people that  
8. have to stand and wait for buses in my district. Now, I know  
9. you want to get tickled pink; but that's the only justification  
10. for this amendment, and consequently, I vote No on it.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

12. Senator Rock.

13. SENATOR ROCK:

14. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
15. Senate. I, too, rise in opposition to the amendment as it  
16. is presented to us; and I point...or direct your attention to  
17. page four. What this does is set up mandatory audits of  
18. local government by the State Auditor General. Now, I suggest  
19. to you that this is a very serious precedent; prescind, for  
20. the moment, if you will, from whether it's the RTA or the  
21. CTA or any other local authority. If we are going to mandate  
22. audits of local units of government, by the State Auditor  
23. General, who is a creature of the Legislature, then I suggest  
24. to you, that the list as delineated in Section 3.2A, can be  
25. made a heck of a lot longer. We can mandate that the Auditor  
26. General audit, for instance, McHenry and Kane County, and  
27. find out what they're about. And we can mandate that they  
28. audit Cook County, or DuPage County, or Lake County, or the  
29. City of Waukegan, or anybody else that we, frankly, have a  
30. little bit of vendetta for, if you will. But to set up the  
31. State Auditor General, who is a creature of the General  
32. Assembly, and suggest that he, which this Section also suggests,  
33. that he and only he may, by regulation, establish audit standards,

1. guidelines and requirements for the conduct of any audit. Who  
2. is this man, is he the General Manager of the universe all of  
3. a sudden? Let's...let's again prescind from whether it's the...  
4. RTA or the CTA. This, I suggest, is an extremely dangerous  
5. precedent, and we just ought not to indulge in this kind of  
6. demagoguery. The Authority is audited each and every year  
7. by a certified accounting firm; that audit is available to  
8. every member of the Assembly, as the Senator well knows; and  
9. this, I suggest, is an exercise in demagoguery, and we should  
10. resist Amendment No. 2.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

12. Senator Schaffer may close.

13. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

14. Well, I guess, all I can really say is what are we afraid  
15. of...what are we afraid of? What is the Auditor General going  
16. to find in the audit that seems to fear certain members with  
17. such...fill them with such fear? I'm not aware that they're  
18. doing anything that is criminal. I don't know what they're  
19. doing; that's what makes me nervous. Yes, they have an outside  
20. auditor, I might add, who they pick and who they hire and who  
21. they pay. I would like to have an outside auditor, that's the  
22. Auditor General who works for the people of Illinois and the  
23. people of the six counties. Again, I can only say the people  
24. who ought to be audited first and the most thoroughly, are  
25. the ones that don't want to be audited; and clearly, this is  
26. the case of a couple of regional governments that don't want  
27. to be audited, or certainly give me that appearance. I think  
28. they ought to be audited, and I would appreciate a favorable  
29. roll call.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

31. The question is shall Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 3160  
32. be adopted. Those in favor indicate by voting Aye. Those  
33. opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish?

1. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish?  
2. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 28, the Nays  
3. are 28. Amendment No. 2 fails. Senator Schaffer requests a  
4. verification of which?  
5. SENATOR SCHAFFER:  
6. Negatives, I thought I'd start with, kind of, you know...  
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
8. Senator Schaffer requests a verification of the negative  
9. vote. Will the members please be in their seats. Will the  
10. members please be in their seats. The Secretary will call  
11. the roll of the negative vote.  
12. SECRETARY:  
13. The following voted in the negative: Becker, Berman...  
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
15. Just a moment...just a moment. Will the members please  
16. be in their seats. Senator Chew. Senator...all the Senators.  
17. We're on a verification. Have a seat. The Secretary will  
18. continue.  
19. SECRETARY:  
20. ...Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, D'Arco, Daley, Donnewald,  
21. Egan, Hall, Johns, Jeremiah Joyce, Knuppel, Lemke, Maragos,  
22. McLendon, Merlo, Moore, Nash, Nedza, Nega, Newhouse, Savickas,  
23. Vadalabene, Washington, Wooten, Mr. President.  
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
25. Senator Schaffer.  
26. SENATOR SCHAFFER:  
27. Is Senator Carroll on the Floor?  
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
29. Senator Carroll is on the Floor.  
30. SENATOR SCHAFFER:  
31. He's so thin now a days; I just miss him. Is Senator  
32. Demuzio on the Floor?  
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. Senator Demuzio voted with you, Senator.

2. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

3. God bless him; I wonder why he's not on the Floor.

4. Maragos?

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Senator Maragos is in his seat.

7. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

8. Okay. Couldn't see him.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

10. The roll has been verified. Amendment No. 2 to House Bill

11. 3160 fails. Are there further amendments?

12. SECRETARY:

13. Amendment No. 3, offered by Senator Coffey.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. Senator Coffey. Senator Coffey, you filed an amendment

16. to this bill? You wish to withdraw the amendment? The amend-

17. ment is withdrawn. Are there further amendments?

18. SECRETARY:

19. Amendment No. 3, offered by Senator Schaffer.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Senator Schaffer.

22. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

23. Amendment No. 3, I don't think should raise quite as much

24. controversy as my previous amendment; and I don't think it

25. negatively impacts on anyone, and I think it's something we

26. should all be able to support. Late last fall a board member

27. from Lake County died, and the four collar county board

28. chairmen have been hassling about filling that vacancy ever

29. since; and it doesn't appear as though there is going to be

30. any solution to that under the existing law. All Amendment

31. No. 3 does is provide that if a vacancy occurs, and the

32. appointing authority, which would be the collar county board

33. chairman, the...county board chairman, I believe, the

1. suburban township members or the Mayor of the City of Chicago.  
2. Now this amendment, by the way, excludes the Mayor of the City  
3. of Chicago at the request of the sponsor, that if, after four  
4. months they haven't filled the vacancy, the elected Legislators  
5. whose districts are more than half in the area will meet and  
6. fill the vacancy. I would suggest to you that that Legislative  
7. group would never convene, that all this would effectively do  
8. would...shall we say, motivate the appointing authorities to  
9. fulfill their obligations within a four month period, which  
10. I think is a reasonable request; and I'd be happy to answer  
11. any questions. I don't think it's that controversial.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

13. Senator Nedza. Will...Senator Chew, Senator Nedza wishes  
14. to speak to the amendment. Could we...oh, that's nice of you.  
15. Senator Nedza.

16. SENATOR NEDZA:

17. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Senator Schaffer and I  
18. had some discussion relative to this bill, and at the time  
19. that he was proposing it, I made mention of the fact, as he  
20. so stated, that it would be rather chaotic to have the  
21. City of Chicago, which he opted out. After due consideration  
22. of the entire picture of it, I think, Senator, with all due  
23. respect to you and our conversation, is that it would provide  
24. a rather chaotic situation, to say the least, and that...in...  
25. in those areas where I think the bill...the amendment read  
26. that it would have to be fifty percent or more of the Legislators  
27. district was in that area, to have the group of Legislators  
28. sitting down would be rather chaotic, to say the least, and  
29. based on that grounds, I...I must oppose this amendment.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

31. Senator Sangmeister.

32. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

33. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, I certainly rise

1. in support of this. We've...our district, I think it's been  
2. nine months now that we've been without representation on the  
3. RTA Board, and I don't imagine those members of the board  
4. care whether or not we ever get any representation; and  
5. Senator Schaffer's amendment will put that into perspective,  
6. and by golly, if...I believe it's four months, is that right  
7. Representative Schaffer? If something hasn't been done in  
8. that time, we certainly ought to act down here and get our  
9. representation on that board. I wholeheartedly support  
10. this amendment.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

12. Senator Grotberg.

13. SENATOR GROTEBERG:

14. By the same token, Mr. President and members of the General  
15. Assembly, and specifically the Senate, we make such good  
16. decisions in the Senate. We are perfectly qualified to take  
17. over for these failures. Senator Sangmeister alludes to a  
18. nine-months vacancy up in Lake and McHenry, we've got a six  
19. or seven-months vacancy; and we've got fringes of that in  
20. Kane County, and I certainly think we should plug this gaping  
21. hole in the original legislation so that we're not preempted  
22. from taxation without representation any longer. I support  
23. this amendment.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

25. Senator Rock.

26. SENATOR ROCK:

27. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
28. Senate. I rise in opposition to Amendment No. 3. I suppose  
29. if it said election by the Senate members, that would be one  
30. thing; but to say that we...all of a sudden this vacancy, or  
31. any vacancy is going to be filled by action of the Legislative  
32. members, it's simply a bad precedent and one we shouldn't  
33. start. And I might also say, although our rules don't

1. specifically provide that the amendment is grammatically  
2. incorrect. And as such, I don't think it's workable, and  
3. I urge opposition to Amendment No. 3.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

5. Senator Schaffer may close.

6. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

7. Well, I apologize for the grammar. It was never my  
8. strong point. I don't know what...

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

10. Just a moment. Senator Rhoads, your light wasn't lit.

11. SENATOR RHOADS:

12. I'm...I'm...I apologize, Mr. President; but a representation  
13. was just made. Now, former Senator Guidice, who is the  
14. RTA Representative down here, told us about three days ago  
15. that this amendment was okay. Now, are we to understand that  
16. the position of the RTA has changed on the amendment?

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

18. Senator Rock.

19. SENATOR ROCK:

20. I...I am not the spokesperson for the RTA. I am speaking  
21. in my capacity as elected from the 18th Legislative District,  
22. and I am opposed to this amendment and the precedent it purports  
23. to set. If those county board presidents or chairmen can't  
24. get their act together, then get a new county board chairman;  
25. but let's don't take away the duty that we, by legislative  
26. agreement, gave to them on the basis that they can't get their  
27. act together. That's bologna.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

29. Senator Berning.

30. SENATOR BERNING:

31. Just to comment that to a degree, we do have a precedent,  
32. Mr. President, when there are districts, fire protection districts  
33. or similar districts, sanitary districts where the boundary



1. crosses a legislative...a county line, the legislators from  
2. both districts involved, then, make the selection of the  
3. appointee rather than the county board; so, we do have a  
4. degree of a precedent for this action.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Senator Schaffer may close.

7. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

8. Well, Mr. President and members of the Senate, I freely  
9. admit that I'm kind of a zealot, and I think a lot of people  
10. look upon me as being pretty negative as far as the RTA  
11. goes, and to some degree, I suppose I am, and I reflect the  
12. people of my district. But, time and time again, we come  
13. to this Body and to the Body on the other side of the building  
14. with what we think are reasonable, constructive suggestions;  
15. the audit was one, certainly this rather simple bill, which  
16. I...amendment, which I think would resolve a problem; I don't  
17. think that legislative committee would ever meet, they would  
18. just be...cause the appointing authority to do what they  
19. ought to do in a timely fashion; and I will concede to Senator  
20. Rock that the board chairmen involved are members of my party.  
21. It's certainly not a partisan issue; and I am amazed at their  
22. inability to get together, but they are human beings and like  
23. the Legislature, they sometimes don't always do what they  
24. ought to as fast as they ought to. The RTA Authority; I've  
25. talked to a couple of the board members, they don't have any  
26. problem with this. Their lobbyist down here, to a degree,  
27. I'm not sure whether he's authorized to be official; I never  
28. have quite figured out who the official spokesman is. They  
29. didn't have any problems with this. You asked to have  
30. Chicago exempted, because of the rather unique situation  
31. in the Mayor's Office; we accommodated that. I really...I am  
32. amazed at this rather minor accommodation, compromise, carrot  
33. to the outlying areas causes such a stir. I think it's a good

1. amendment, and I don't see why anyone would oppose it. I  
2. would appreciate a roll call, and it would be an interesting  
3. sign of good faith to those of us that have had, in our  
4. opinion, very little good faith from the RTA, that, in fact,  
5. the RTA power structure does have some comprehension and maybe,  
6. some compassion for our problems. I'd appreciate a favorable  
7. roll call.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. Senator Rock, for what purpose do you arise?

10. SENATOR ROCK:

11. Point...Thank you, Mr. President. Point of order. Speaking  
12. of good faith, if this amendment is adopted, do you intend to  
13. vote for this bill?

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. Senator Schaffer.

16. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

17. Maybe, and it's a...I'll say a positive, maybe.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. All right. The question...Senator Rock.

20. SENATOR ROCK:

21. On that basis, I'll vote Aye.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. The question is shall Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 3160  
24. be adopted. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The  
25. voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those  
26. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes  
27. are 53, the Nays are none. Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 3160  
28. is adopted. Are there...are there further amendments?

29. SECRETARY:

30. Amendment No. 4, offered by Senator Nedza.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. Senator Nedza.

33. SENATOR NEDZA:

1. Thanks for the support, Jack. Yes, Mr. President and  
2. Ladies and Gentlemen, I...this amendment...

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

4. Just...just a minute, Senator. It seems to me that  
5. there is a great deal of unrest in the Senate this morning.  
6. Would the members please be in their seats. Proceed.

7. SENATOR NEDZA:

8. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment, I hope, will  
9. allay the fears of Senators Walsh and others. It was a  
10. compromise type of amendment, in order to take the monies  
11. from the Working Cash Fund to be utilized only for line  
12. item expenses, such as the salaries, office rental, fuel,  
13. and et cetera, et cetera. And the monies from this Working  
14. Cash Fund shall not be used to increase or provide a Debt  
15. Service Reserve Fund or pay principal, other interest or  
16. redemption premium on any bonds or notes. So, I hope that  
17. this puts it in its proper perspective, and I would urge  
18. a favorable adoption.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

20. Senator Bloom.

21. SENATOR BLOOM:

22. Thank you, Mr. President and fellow Senators. A question  
23. of the sponsor.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

25. He indicates he will respond.

26. SENATOR BLOOM:

27. That...this apparently, ties it down to operations only,  
28. office rental, furniture, fixtures and equipment, insurance  
29. premiums, claims for self-insured amounts, et cetera, telephone,  
30. light, heat, travel expense, office supplies and so on, is...is  
31. this the amendment? There's so many that have been flying  
32. around.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. Senator Nedza.

2. SENATOR BLOOM:

3. ...And, this is basically saying, we're going to bond

4. operating expenses only, is that correct?

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Senator Nedza.

7. SENATOR NEDZA:

8. That is correct.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

10. Senator Bloom.

11. SENATOR BLOOM:

12. Okay. And, nothing...nothing in this particular proposed

13. bill would relate to debt service, or anything like that?

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. Senator Nedza.

16. SENATOR NEDZA:

17. Not to my knowledge, Senator.

18. SENATOR BLOOM:

19. Okay. That clarifies the amendment. I...wonder if this

20. is the best public policy, I mean, I guess we get back

21. down to the point Senator Rock made. It seems to me that we

22. may be traveling down the same path we did in New York, and

23. I'm not so sure that's the best idea. Thank you.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

25. Senator Walsh.

26. SENATOR WALSH:

27. Mr. President and members of the Senate, as Senator Nedza

28. said, this certainly does tie it down. This...this makes it

29. so that the bonds can't be used for a purpose for which bonds

30. normally are used. It specifically requires that these...the

31. proceeds of this bond issue be used for operating expenses,

32. and that's exactly what they shouldn't be used for. And it

33. goes on to specify the type of operating expenses, wages,

1. salaries, fringe benefits, professional and technical services  
2. including a legal audit, engineering, insurance premiums, et  
3. cetera. Bonds should not be used to defray expenses such as  
4. these, and we should not pass legislation which would permit  
5. any municipal government to do this. We found we were in  
6. error with the Chicago Board of Education. Again, let's see if  
7. we can keep the RTA from going down the same path. I think  
8. this amendment should be defeated, and I urge a No vote.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Senator Berning.

11. SENATOR BERNING:

12. Thank you, Mr. President. I somewhat echo the attitude of  
13. the previous speaker. But what concerns me more, members of  
14. the Senate, is that here we are, apparently setting up a bond  
15. issue for operating expenses, which will include compensation.  
16. Now, the comment was made earlier, when we were discussing a  
17. minimum salary bill for teachers, that one of the justifications  
18. for it was the inordinately high compensation rates for  
19. truck drivers. I submit to you that the RTA and CTA bus  
20. drivers are so well compensated that they make us look bad by  
21. comparison with our compensation scales. Here, now, it seems  
22. to me we would be again offering an easy way for a non-justified,  
23. in my opinion, increase again in the compensation rates for  
24. these bus and RTA personnel operators. This would be the one  
25. big criticism that I would have, Senator Nedza; and would  
26. suggest that you consider striking that one word "salaries"  
27. out of the amendment, and it would be a whole lot more  
28. acceptable.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

30. Senator Nedza.

31. SENATOR NEDZA:

32. Thank you, Mr. President. It's designed to be a Working  
33. Cash Fund. It's specifically line itemed for those expenses.

1. It would be a Revolving Fund, if you will, because of the  
2. fact that the shortfall that has occurred by the collection  
3. of revenues, greatly impairs the daily operations, not only  
4. of the RTA, the CTA and all of the other carriers involved;  
5. it...the fund in itself would be repaid by the revenues that  
6. it would incur and the collection of those revenues, and as  
7. you are all well aware of, there is somewhere between a ninety  
8. and a hundred day lapse. This, in effect, puts them on a  
9. firmer ground. It is nothing more than any other corporation  
10. has in these United States in order to have a cash flow. It  
11. institutes credibility to the RTA, because they're operating...  
12. they will be able to operate, they will be able to make the  
13. payments of the bills as they come due, instead of having some  
14. of the sub-contractors that are supplying various services to  
15. the RTA have to wait a long period of time. It's something  
16. that, I think, we need; I think it's in proper order,  
17. and I would urge a...an adoption of this amendment.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

19. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 4. Those in favor  
20. will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is  
21. open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?  
22. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 29, the  
23. Nays are 21, none Voting Present. Amendment No. 4 is adopted.  
24. Further amendments?

25. SECRETARY:

26. Amendment No. 5, offered by Senators Bloom and Walsh.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Senator Bloom is recognized on Amendment No. 5.

29. SENATOR BLOOM:

30. Thank you, Mr. President and fellow Senators. This amend-  
31. ment does what this General Assembly chose to do with the  
32. School Finance Authority, and that is that it mandates that  
33. these bonds be sold on a bid only basis. I think the experience

1. that the School Finance Authority went through shows that  
2. this is probably the soundest way. If you're going to do it;  
3. then do it insofar as is possible on the square. Go out and  
4. get groups bidding against each other so that the bite on  
5. the State taxpayer is as painless as possible. I'll answer  
6. any questions and urge your support.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Rock.  
9. SENATOR ROCK:

10. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
11. Senate. Frankly, this is the first I've seen of this and  
12. we are, I guess by virtue of this amendment, attempt...in...  
13. injecting a whole new procedure here. The Authority, as it  
14. was constituted a number of years ago, did have the right  
15. to go to negotiated sale on bonds. The fact of the matter  
16. is, they have not yet been to market with their authorization,  
17. which is in the amount of five hundred million dollars. They  
18. simply haven't done it, yet. Now, if this bill prevails,  
19. they will be authorized to issue up to a hundred million for  
20. the purpose..of a Working Cash Fund. I...I...you know, the  
21. problem that I have is given...given the state of the bond  
22. market, currently; I'm sure they will put it out to bid, but...  
23. but why restrict them to that. Why...why make them go through that  
24. drill; if you want to put a bill in to do that, you know, we  
25. can handle a bill separately, but I think it's a bad idea to  
26. put on this bill and I urge a...the defeat of Amendment No. 5.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Further discussion? Senator Walsh.  
29. SENATOR WALSH:

30. Mr. President and members of the Senate, when we passed the  
31. legislation authorizing the School Finance Authority to issue  
32. bonds, an amendments similar to this was adopted by the Senate, and  
33. we were told at that time that they couldn't go to bid; that

1. it had to be negotiated and it was going to defeat the bill,  
2. and the Finance Authority would not be able to proceed, et  
3. cetera, et cetera. There are a lot of things wrong with the  
4. RTA, as it was originally enacted into law. This gives us  
5. an opportunity to correct one of those shortcomings; and  
6. since they do intend to issue this hundred million dollars in  
7. Working Cash Fund Bonds, it's imperative that we have this  
8. restriction in the law, so that they cannot and do not go to  
9. a negotiated sale. So I hope we will adopt this amendment.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

11. Further discussion? Senator Nedza.

12. SENATOR NEDZA:

13. A question of the sponsor.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. He indicates he will yield. Senator Nedza.

16. SENATOR NEDZA:

17. Senator Bloom, if this one goes on, will you vote for the  
18. bill?

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20. Senator Bloom.

21. SENATOR BLOOM:

22. Maybe not.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

24. Senator Nedza.

25. SENATOR NEDZA:

26. Then I urge defeat of the amendment.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Further discussion? Senator Bloom may close.

29. SENATOR BLOOM:

30. We heard after...we heard after the School Finance Authority  
31. legislation passed, Representative Madigan beating his breasts,  
32. saying that the bond market was in a shambles, and they had to  
33. negotiate, and they would have to get legislation. It turned



1. out there was competition between groups. Unfortunately, a  
2. New York City firm underbid a Chicago firm. Well, hopefully,  
3. if you get these Working Cash Funds authorization, Chicago  
4. consortium can underbid New York consortium. I'd...I'd urge  
5. support of it. It's a good amendment and merits your support.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 5. Those in favor will  
8. vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open.  
9. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all  
10. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the  
11. Ayes are 32, the Nays are 23, none Voting Present. Amendment  
12. No. 5 is adopted. Further amendments?

13. SECRETARY:

14. No further amendments.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

16. 3rd reading. House Bill 3180, Senator Lemke. Read the  
17. bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

18. SECRETARY:

19. House Bill 3180.

20. (Secretary reads title of bill)

21. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I  
22. offers one amendment.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

24. Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 1.

25. SENATOR CARROLL:

26. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
27. Senate. This changes it from Personal Services to Contractual.  
28. It's supposed to be catch up..all; we want them to put the  
29. people on for a period of time to see how they do catch up before  
30. they actually hire them as regular employees. I would move  
31. adoption of Amendment No. 1.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye.

1. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted.

2. Further committee amendments?

3. SECRETARY:

4. No further committee amendments.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. Are there amendments from the Floor?

7. SECRETARY:

8. Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Carroll.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Senator Carroll.

11. SENATOR CARROLL:

12. We had apparently cut too deeply. They have indicated

13. that they needed part of the money back for Contractual, so

14. that they could add a few more people, to phase them in over

15. a couple of extra months. And I would move adoption of

16. Amendment No. 2; it adds forty thousand.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Discussion?

19. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amend-

20. ment No. 2 is adopted. Further Floor amendments?

21. SECRETARY:

22. No further amendments.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

24. 3rd reading. House...Senator Rhoads, do you seek recognition?

25. House Bill 3193, Senator Rhoads. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary,

26. please.

27. SECRETARY:

28. House Bill 3193.

29. (Secretary reads title of bill)

30. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue offers

31. one amendment.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Senator Rhoads.

1. SENATOR RHOADS:

2. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.  
3. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the same as Senate Bill 1940,  
4. which passed the Senate earlier this year, under the sponsor-  
5. ship of Senators Nedza and Rock. What it does is amends the  
6. Illinois Municipal Code to allow a court of competent  
7. jurisdiction, to determine whether or not the denial of a  
8. demolition or building permit should constitute a taking,  
9. when the denial was based on the property being a landmark.  
10. This amends the Act that Senator Joyce had passed here,  
11. and I move for its adoption.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Discussion? Senator  
14. Savickas. For what purpose does Senator Berning arise?

15. SENATOR BERNING:

16. Mr. President, I...I'd like to call attention to the  
17. sponsor, that on line eight the word "effect" ought to be  
18. "affect;" I believe.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20. Senator Rhoads.

21. SENATOR RHOADS:

22. Just...just a minute. Senator Berning, you're reading  
23. from a Floor amendment; I'm now speaking of the committee  
24. amendment. Let's get that adopted first, then we can go to  
25. spelling errors on the Floor amendments.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. Now, we're on the Committee Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion?  
28. Senator Savickas.

29. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

30. ...questions. I understand that this amendment...

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. Excuse me. Senator Savickas, may we...will the Chairmen  
33. please take the caucuses off the Floor. Senator Guidice and

1. others, if we will take our conferences off the Floor, it will  
2. allow us to do our business. Senator Savickas.

3. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

4. Yes, if the sponsor would answer a few questions. I under-  
5. stand that this amendment would change the test for compensation  
6. for an individual property owner, when a municipality designates  
7. an area a historic landmark. Is...now...now the test for the  
8. property owner can be compensated only when all reasonable and  
9. beneficial use is deprived to the owner, and this proposed test  
10. is where there is an unreasonable infringement on the property  
11. owner's rights. The test for compensating property owners in  
12. historic landmark areas would be less restrictive, costing the  
13. cities more money. Now, I believe that...is this the amendment  
14. we're talking to now, the historic designation is not an un-  
15. reasonable restriction; but this amendment goes further than the  
16. Constitution regarding just compensation for taking  
17. of a property? And I understand that the owner of the property  
18. receives award; this was sponsored by the realtors.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20. Senator Rhoads.

21. SENATOR RHOADS:

22. I think we're talking about the same amendment, Senator;  
23. but this is...this is not...the aspect of 1940 that later  
24. became controversial on the Floor. This is 1940 as it was  
25. originally introduced, under the sponsorship of Rock and  
26. Nedza. Now, if I may, I'd like to yield to Senator Nedza  
27. to answer your question.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29. Senator Nedza.

30. SENATOR NEDZA:

31. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. The original bill, 1940,  
32. was the original bill. There was only a slight clause which  
33. was to correct a bill that we had passed last year. The bill

1. was later amended in order...that's where the bill became a  
2. controversial bill. Other than the original amendment, which  
3. was to clarify a...a oversight in the passage of...I forgot  
4. what it was...I forgot the name of the other bill, which we  
5. passed last year. 244. And that was only to clarify that.  
6. This amendment...this portion of 1940 is what is correcting  
7. that which was overlooked in the last Session.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

9. Senator Savickas.

10. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

11. I think, Mr. President, the words that we're concerned  
12. about are on line four and five of the amendment, on page two,  
13. where it calls for an unreasonable infringement of the property  
14. rights of the owner.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

16. Senator Nedza.

17. SENATOR NEDZA:

18. Yes, Senator Savickas, that was the...the original amend-  
19. ment that was clarified and it was taken in with the members  
20. of the Realty Association and the...the people of Trust,  
21. Incorporated, I believe it was; at the Landmark Preservation  
22. Council. That was something that they needed in order to  
23. clarify that there was a...a undetermined amount that the  
24. circuit court would come into a litigation in order to solve  
25. that which was under a controversy. That was the original  
26. amendment. That was the original bill of 1940, the amendment  
27. to the bill.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29. Further discussion of Amendment No. 1? Senator Rhoads  
30. may close.

31. SEANTOR RHOADS:

32. I ask for a favorable vote.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. All in favor  
2. say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1  
3. is adopted. Further committee amendments?  
4. SECRETARY:  
5. No further committee amendments.  
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
7. Are there amendments from the Floor?  
8. SECRETARY:  
9. Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Jeremiah Joyce.  
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
11. Senator Joyce is recognized.  
12. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:  
13. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The  
14. amendment now being offered is an amendment to the bill as  
15. amended, and it provides that prior to the issuance of a  
16. demolition permit, the Illinois Department of Conservation shall  
17. receive notice if the property is located in a...national  
18. historical district or a designated landmark area.  
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
20. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? Senator Maragos.  
21. SENATOR MARAGOS:  
22. Will the sponsor of the amendment yield to a question?  
23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
24. He indicates he will yield. Senator Maragos.  
25. SENATOR MARAGOS:  
26. Senator Joyce, does this amendment limit the homerule powers  
27. of any municipality or county?  
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
29. Senator Jerome...Jeremiah Joyce.  
30. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:  
31. I...I would assume this would require thirty-six votes.  
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
33. Senator Maragos.

1. SENATOR MARAGOS:  
2.       Then you're saying yes.  
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
4.       Senator Joyce.  
5. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:  
6.       I don't know if that's my decision to make, Senator Maragos.  
7. SENATOR MARAGOS:  
8.       Okay. Thank you.  
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
10.       Further discussion? Senator Savickas.  
11. SENATOR SAVICKAS:  
12.       Well, Mr. President, that is the point that I would like  
13. to comment on now. I would like a ruling from the Chair on  
14. that. Does this require three-fifths majority? Because it  
15. does limit homerule powers.  
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
17.       Senator, if you will just give us a few moments. It will  
18. be on the ultimate question of passage, not on the adoption  
19. of the amendment. The amendment will be adopted by a majority  
20. of those members voting on the issue; and then that adoption  
21. may, in fact, affect the passage of the bill and the requirement  
22. of thirty-six votes, but that is not enforced in the amendment.  
23. Senator Savickas.  
24. SENATOR SAVICKAS:  
25.       Well, in either case, then I would rise to oppose the adoption  
26. of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 3193.  
27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
28.       Further discussion? Senator Rhoads.  
29. SENATOR RHOADS:  
30.       Well, again, Senator Savickas, let's be sure we're...all  
31. talking about the same amendment. I don't see any homerule  
32. impact on this at all...Senator Joyce's amendment says not-  
33. withstanding any of the provision to the contrary...are we on

1. that amendment? It amends...it's...the LRB No. ends in 61GLCHAM?

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3. Senator Savickas.

4. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

5. Well, yes, it's...I would...they can't issue a demolition  
6. permit for any structure located on a historic district, unless  
7. they first got the written approval for the demolition from the  
8. Department of Conservation. That would restrict the homerule  
9. powers of any municipality; they have to first get the approval  
10. of the Department of Conservation from the State of Illinois.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

12. Further discussion of Amendment No. 2? Senator Joyce, do  
13. you wish to close?

14. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

15. I ask for a favorable vote.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. All in favor say  
18. Aye. Opposed Nay. The opinion of the Chair, the amendment is  
19. adopted. Does anyone wish a roll call? Amendment No. 2 is  
20. adopted. Further amendments?

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.



1. SECRETARY:

2. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Rhoads.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

4. Senator Rhoads on Amendment No. 3.

5. SENATOR RHOADS:

6. Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Now  
7. that everybody else has their ornament on this tree, I'd like  
8. to get back to what the bill originally started out to do.  
9. Last year we passed Senate Bill 244, which became Public  
10. Act 81-1218, and provided that beginning..and the Governor  
11. amendatorily vetoed that bill to provide a delayed effective  
12. date of January 1, 1981. Provides that beginning on that date  
13. the assessment of single family residences located in national  
14. historic districts or municipal landmark areas, shall be frozen  
15. at their 1979 assessment levels for a period of ten years. Now,  
16. we did provide in that Public Act that local municipalities  
17. could opt out, what we forgot to do was provide for library  
18. districts and school districts and other taxing districts.  
19. So, this amendment, Floor Amendment No. 3, says that this  
20. shall not affect, and Senator Berning, if I'm wrong on the  
21. spelling, we'll see what we can do about that, the assessment  
22. of any assessing official or the extention of taxes, levying  
23. by any taxing districts against such lot, or attractive land  
24. within its corporate limits. In other words, if the municipality  
25. opts out, then any subordinate library or school district  
26. which affects the same piece of property, they will automatically  
27. be opted out too. This is something we desperately need in  
28. the...in the suburban area, because we have a lot of historic  
29. districts where the entire tax base of a local library or  
30. school district would be drastically affected. And I urge its  
31. adoption.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Further discussion on Amendment No. 3? Senator Berning.

1. SENATOR BERNING:  
2. Well, Mr. President, I would just suggest that you  
3. correct on the face, this amendment, on line 8 and line  
4. 12 and make the word "affect" instead of "effect".  
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
6. My grammarian wants to know what effect that will have.  
7. But...Mr. Secretary, may I see the...amendment for a moment.  
8. Is there leave to change the amendment on its face? Leave  
9. is granted. Further discussion of Amendment No. 3? Motion  
10. is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes  
11. have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further Floor amendments?  
12. SECRETARY:  
13. No further amendments.  
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
15. 3rd reading.  
16. PRESIDENT:  
17. 3201, Senator Rhoads. Senator Rhoads, 3201. On the Order  
18. of House Bills 2nd reading, in the middle of page 14, is House  
19. Bill 3201. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.  
20. SECRETARY:  
21. House Bill 3201.  
22. ( Secretary reads title of bill )  
23. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I  
24. offers one amendment.  
25. PRESIDENT:  
26. Senator Carroll.  
27. SENATOR CARROLL:  
28. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
29. Senate. This amendment is to do some eight percent solutions and  
30. phase-in the vacancies. It's a total reduction of some fifty-  
31. three thousand dollars in their operations. I would move adoption  
32. of Amendment No. 1.  
33. PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee  
2. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3201. Is there any discussion?  
3. If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The  
4. Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

5. SECRETARY:

6. No further committee amendments.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Are there amendments from the Floor?

9. SECRETARY:

10. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Rhoads.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Senator Rhoads.

13. SENATOR RHOADS:

14. Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate.

15. Amendment No. 2 adds the sum of four hundred thousand dollars.  
16. This is for stipends of ten dollars per election judge for one  
17. time special training course concerning the administration of  
18. the Consolidation of Election Law. And this will go to county  
19. clerks throughout the State. It was requested by the County  
20. Clerks Association, and has been endorsed by the State Board  
21. of Elections. I would urge its adoption.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Senator Rhoads has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2  
24. to House Bill 3201. Is there any discussion? If not, all  
25. in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have  
26. it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

27. SECRETARY:

28. No further amendments.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. 3rd reading. Senator Wooten, for what purpose do you arise?

31. SENATOR WOOTEN:

32. Just to ask Senator Rhoads, if he would extend the courtesy  
33. to me to call this bill back to 2nd reading tomorrow. I've had

1. my first dealing with the State Board of Elections this year,  
2. and it's prompted some creative thoughts.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Senator...Senator Rhoads.

5. SENATOR RHOADS:

6. I'm sorry, Mr. President. Senator Wooten, I did make that  
7. commitment to you, and I have made it to other members as well,  
8. so this bill will be returned tomorrow if necessary.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. All right, 3208, Senator Berning. On the Order of House Bills  
11. 2nd reading, House Bill 3208. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

12. SECRETARY:

13. House Bill 3208.

14. ( Secretary reads title of bill )

15. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I  
16. offers four amendments.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Senator Carroll.

19. SENATOR CARROLL:

20. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
21. Senate. Each of these amendments is to do the additional awards  
22. up to a certain point in time. Committee Amendment No. 1, was  
23. up to the point the bill was first called in committee. And  
24. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1. It is their award  
25. as signed by the Court of Claims.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment  
28. No. 1 to House Bill 3208. Any discussion? If not, all in favor  
29. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The  
30. amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

31. SECRETARY:

32. Committee Amendment No. 2.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Carroll.

2. SENATOR CARROLL:

3. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of  
4. the Senate. This is to do their awards up through June 5  
5. of this year. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment  
6. No. 2.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee  
9. Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 3208. Any discussion? If  
10. not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The  
11. Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

12. SECRETARY:

13. Committee Amendment No. 3.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator Carroll.

16. SENATOR CARROLL:

17. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of  
18. the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 3, comes to us from the  
19. court. They had misidentified one of their...two of their cases  
20. numbers. This corrects those case numbers, and I would  
21. move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. All right, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amend-  
24. ment No. 3 to House Bill 3208. Is there any discussion? If  
25. not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The  
26. Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

27. SECRETARY:

28. Committee Amendment No. 4.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Carroll.

31. SENATOR CARROLL:

32. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
33. Senate. Committee Amendment No. 4 corrects their June 5 schedule

HB 3229  
2nd Reading  
6-24-80

1. by appropriately identifying two cases that have been misidentified,  
2. and by adding eight cents to one of the awards. The award was  
3. forty-eight dollars and eighty-eight cents. They had only put  
4. it in at forty-eight dollars and eighty cents. I would move  
5. adoption of Committee Amendment No. 4.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment  
8. No. 4 to House Bill 3208. Any discussion? If not,  
9. all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes  
10. have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

11. SECRETARY:

12. No further committee amendments.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Any amendments from the Floor?

15. SECRETARY:

16. Amendment No. 5 offered by Senator Berning.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Senator Berning.

19. SENATOR BERNING:

20. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 5 is a technical  
21. amendment, it makes two corrections. One is technical and the  
22. other is a correction in amount, decreases the appropriation  
23. by thirty nine cents, so that it comes out in the proper form.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Senator Berning has moved the adoption of Amendment No.  
26. 5 to House Bill 3208. Any discussion? If not, all in favor  
27. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The  
28. amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

29. SECRETARY:

30. No further amendments.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. 3rd reading. 3229, Senator Nedza. On the Order of House  
33. Bills 2nd reading, is House Bill 3229. Read the bill, Mr.  
Secretary.

1. SECRETARY:  
2. House Bill 3229.  
3. ( Secretary reads title of bill )  
4. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Elections and  
5. Reapportionment offers eighteen amendments.  
6. PRESIDENT:  
7. I don't know if the members heard that, the committee has  
8. offered eighteen amendments. Senator Nedza.  
9. SENATOR NEDZA:  
10. Yes, Mr. President, in order of expediency, I would like  
11. to have all those motions taken under reconsideration and for the  
12. purpose of Tabling them all.  
13. PRESIDENT:  
14. All right, Senator Nedza seeks leave of the Body, and moves  
15. that Committee Amendments 1...  
16. SENATOR NEDZA:  
17. 1 through 11.  
18. PRESIDENT:  
19. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11, be Tabled. Is  
20. there any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying  
21. Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendments 1  
22. through 11 inclusive are Tabled. Senator Savickas, for what  
23. purpose do you arise?  
24. SENATOR SAVICKAS:  
25. Senator Nedza, I think we only had ten amendments on the  
26. bill. Would you...  
27. PRESIDENT:  
28. All right, Senator Nedza has moved to Table Committee  
29. Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10. Is there any dis-  
30. cussion? If not, all in favor...Senator Netsch.  
31. SENATOR NETSCH:  
32. I think you explained to Senator Nedza, but it was noisy  
33. over here. They are now all incorporated in the huge a hundred

1. and twenty-seven page amendment, is that correct?  
2. PRESIDENT:  
3. Senator Nedza.  
4. SENATOR NEDZA:  
5. That is correct.  
6. SENATOR NETSCH:  
7. Thank you.  
8. PRESIDENT:  
9. All right, Committee Amendments 1 through 10, Senator  
10. Nedza has moved to Table. Is there any discussion? If not,  
11. all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes  
12. have it. Committee Amendments 1 through 10 are Tabled. Further  
13. committee amendments?  
14. SECRETARY:  
15. No further committee amendments.  
16. PRESIDENT:  
17. Are there amendments from the Floor?  
18. SECRETARY:  
19. Amendment No. 11 offered by Senator Nedza.  
20. PRESIDENT:  
21. Senator Nedza.  
22. SENATOR NEDZA:  
23. Thank you, Mr. President. I have...my colleagues who have  
24. asked me not to take too much time explaining this, and asked if  
25. I can do it in five words or less, contains number of technical  
26. changes.  
27. PRESIDENT:  
28. All right, Senator Nedza has moved the adoption of Amendment  
29. No. 11 to House Bill 3229. Any discussion? Senator Rhoads.  
30. SENATOR RHOADS:  
31. Yes, Mr. President. I rise in support of Amendment No. 11.  
32. This is the product of the Election Laws Commission and both  
33. Election Committee in the House and the Senate. There...it's  
only partially corrected to say that there are technical changes.



1. There are one or two minor, substantive changes, and I understand  
2. Senator Netsch has an amendment we will get to...address the  
3. substance of that a little bit later on. But I urge adoption  
4. of Amendment No. 11.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. All right, Senator...Nedza has moved the adoption of  
7. Amendment No. 11 to House Bill 3229. Is there any discussion?  
8. If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The  
9. Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

10. SECRETARY:

11. Amendment No. 12 offered by Senator Rhoads.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Senator Rhoads.

14. SENATOR RHOADS:

15. Oh, withdraw. Withdraw.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Amendment No. 12 has been withdrawn. Further amendments?

18. SECRETARY:

19. Amendment No. 12 offered by Senator Wooten.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Senator Wooten.

22. SENATOR WOOTEN:

23. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment incorporates into  
24. this bill the provisions of the Optical Mark Reading System  
25. that we voted on earlier. It further adds...and it adds language  
26. that meets the objection that was raised by the Republican staff in  
27. the House on marking of ballots. This amendment then incor-  
28. porates all of the provisions that are the product of all four  
29. staffs on both sides of the Rotunda. And I move its adoption.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Senator Wooten has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 12  
32. to House Bill 3229. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify  
33. by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is

1. adopted. Further amendments?

2. SECRETARY:

3. Amendment No. 13 offered by Senator Martin.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Martin.

6. SENATOR MARTIN:

7. Yes, this is the amendment, I believe, for a small taxing  
8. district in my...in my legislative district. It is a small  
9. area of minority people who have tried to improve their district  
10. by setting up a special assessment area so they could have street  
11. lights. Because of the costs of electricity, the tax levy  
12. isn't high enough and they've come to me to ask if they could  
13. put this addition on that would allow them by referendum...  
14. direct referendum to increase their tax rates so they can keep  
15. the lights in this small area...so they can keep making an area  
16. better. I know of no opposition, and I...for them and for me  
17. I would like this amendment passed.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. All right, Senator Martin has moved the adoption of Amendment  
20. No. 13 to House Bill 3229. Is there any discussion? If not,  
21. all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have  
22. it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

23. SECRETARY:

24. Amendment No. 14, offered by Senator Geo-Karis.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Senator Geo-Karis.

27. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

28. Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amend-  
29. ment 14, relates to the fact that..where there's a special charter  
30. city and there are about eight of them functioning, that they  
31. can be exempted on elections from offices of public questions,  
32. from the Consolidated Elections Act. I might point out that  
33. the City of Lake Forest which was brought into being by special charter,

1. on February 21, 19...1861 is one of those cities, in my districts.  
2. I might also point out that I have documentation here from  
3. the Illinois Legislative Council which, strangely enough, was  
4. given to me by an opponent of this, and yet it says in that,  
5. that the affect of the 1870 Constitution did not effect existing  
6. special charter cities, and I think that Lake Forest is a  
7. special charter city, since 1861. I feel that it should be  
8. exempted from the Consolidated Elections Law, inasmuch as,  
9. in its special charter all the procedure for elections is set  
10. forth therein. And I...I respectfully ask your...your approval  
11. of this amendment.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. All right, Senator Geo-Karis has moved the adoption of  
14. Amendment No. 14. Any discussion? Senator Savickas.

15. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

16. Yes, Mr. President. I would like to ask Senator Geo-Karis  
17. if she'd yield to a question.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Indicates she will yield. Senator Savickas.

20. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

21. It's my understanding now that we adopted Amendment No.  
22. 11, that this amendment, Amendment No. 14, would be contradictory  
23. to the bill.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Senator Geo-Karis.

26. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

27. Well, Mr. President, it was my understanding that when  
28. Senator Nedza talked about Amendment 11, it was simply some  
29. little technicalities, and that it had nothing to do with  
30. substance, and this is substance.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Further discussion? Senator Nedza.

33. SENATOR NEDZA:

1. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Senator Geo-Karis, the  
2. slight technicality where there's no relative substance to  
3. it, what it does it exempts these charter...it...it accommodates  
4. these...the specific entities, but what it does do, is throws  
5. the entire consolidation into, not a consolidation. It  
6. creates too many divisions. Your amendment would.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Further discussion? Senator Savickas.

9. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

10. Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. It's my  
11. understanding what this amendment will do, is they're trying to  
12. exempt themselves from the consolidation of the Election Code.  
13. And they'd have just this one little area that be exempt while  
14. the rest of the State would be under the consolidation of  
15. elections. I...on that basis, I would have to oppose the adoption  
16. of Amendment No. 14.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Any further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis may close.

19. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

20. Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.  
21. There are about eight charter cities in the sameboat as the one  
22. I've enumerated in the whole State, and there's about forty-  
23. one hundred municipalities. I certainly think this exemption...  
24. the exception could be made, inasmuch as these charter cities have the...  
25. enumerated in their charter for. . .course of elections and what  
26. you're doing is nullifying the charter of the city under which it  
27. was formed. I ask your respectful acceptance of this amendment.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. All right, Senator Geo-Karis has moved the adoption of Amend-  
30. ment No. 14 to House Bill 3229. Those in favor of the amendment  
31. will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open.  
32. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the  
33. record. On that question, the Ayes are 13, the Nays are 26.

AB 3229  
Amend. 15  
6-24-80

1. Amendment No. 14 fails. Further amendments?

2. SECRETARY:

3. Amendment No. 15 offered by Senators Collins and Netsch.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Collins.

6. SENATOR COLLINS:

7. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 14 is an attempt  
8. to limit...No. 15 is to limit the powers of the Mayor of the City  
9. of Chicago to fill alermanic vacancies and I hope you don't  
10. react to this as a negative reaction toward the Mayor. It has  
11. nothing to do with the current Mayor. I...I feel, and others  
12. feel, that under the new proposal that any Mayor should not  
13. have in a city as...the size of Chicago the wards...the size of  
14. the city wards in Chicago, the opportunity to really disenfranchise  
15. the population of approximately seven thousand people the  
16. right to choose their own alderman. And for that reason, what  
17. this amendment does, it simply states that when a vacancy...  
18. occur in the Office of Alderman, at at least eighty-eight  
19. days before an election next provided for under the Consolidated  
20. Election Code, the office shall be filled for the remainder  
21. of that term at the next election...date. And it also provides  
22. provisions for a special election in case of a run-off. There's  
23. another substantive change in this amendment which simply deals  
24. with...in cases of a referendum for political subdivision,  
25. that the...that the offices of that particular subdivision  
26. would be elected at the same time the referendum. The other  
27. changes in here are technical changes and I move for the adoption  
28. of Amendment No. 15.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. All right, Senator Collins has moved the adoption of  
31. Amendment No. 15 to House Bill 3229. Any discussion? Senator  
32. Nedza.

33. SENATOR NEDZA:

1. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I rise in opposition to  
2. this amendment, because what it does, is changes the City  
3. of Chicago into a separate entity. Presently under the  
4. Consolidation..Election, the aldermen of the City of Chicago  
5. are treated as every other public official who, in those entities  
6. where they have aldermen or councilmen, the same, it's under  
7. one consolidation of elections. It...the aldermen are treated  
8. in the same manner as the State Senators or their county officials,  
9. it puts them all under one heading, one type of election for  
10. all. To change it now, I think it would be rather ludicrous,  
11. so therefore I oppose this amendment.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Is there further discussion? Senator Netsch.

14. SENATOR NETSCH:

15. Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in support of this amendment,  
16. despite the distressing news that has just been brought to me  
17. about the lack of support on the Republican side. I would call  
18. attention to the fact, that Chicago is...Senator Rock, you...  
19. you seem to know what I'm talking about...

20. SENATOR ROCK:

21. It's news to me.

22. SENATOR NETSCH:

23. Chicago, is unique in this respect and with all due respect,  
24. the aldermen of Chicago are not like the aldermen in any other  
25. city in the State of Illinois, parenthetically, thank Heavens.  
26. This does not change the Consolidated Election Schedule, Senator  
27. Nedza. We were very careful not to do that. What it says, is  
28. that any time that the election is more...or that the vacancy in  
29. aldermen in Chicago occurs more than eighty-eight days before  
30. hand, the election to fill the vacancy shall occur at the next  
31. Consolidated Election date, of the five that are already scheduled.  
32. There is only one circumstance in which there might be a slight  
33. qualification to that, and that is we do have run-off elections and

1. if there had to be a run-off election, which occasionally  
2. occurs even in the City of Chicago, then that would be...  
3. that would take place four weeks after the regularly scheduled  
4. date. So, I would suggest that this is something that for  
5. those of us who live in a city which is the largest, the  
6. most complex, the most diversified, that what we need is  
7. an opportunity to make sure that we can participate in the  
8. election of our aldermen, this helps us to realize that. I  
9. would earnestly solicit your support.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Any further discussion? Senator Collins may close.

12. SENATOR COLLINS:

13. Thank you. I...I most...I am distressed that I...we find  
14. that we have no support for this amendment. I think this is  
15. a reasonable amendment, and Chicago is different, because  
16. Chicago...one ward in Chicago is larger than some townships  
17. or some villages. And I just feel that the complexity and the  
18. sensitivity of government in the City of Chicago demands that  
19. the people have a voice in who'll represent them in the  
20. city council. This is all it's doing, and I think it's a  
21. very fair amendment, and I ask for...favorable roll call.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. All right, Senator Collins has moved the adoption of  
24. Amendment No. 15 to House Bill 3229. Those in favor of the  
25. amendment will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The  
26. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who  
27. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,  
28. the Ayes are 14, the Nays are 23. 1 Voting Present. Amendment  
29. No. 15 fails. Are there further amendments?

30. SECRETARY:

31. Amendment No. 16 offered by Senator Philip.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Senator Philip.

1. SENATOR PHILIP:

2. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
3. Senate. This is a very simple amendment. What it says is,  
4. that if you run as a write-in candidate in either party, it  
5. takes as many votes as it does signatures. So...and let me  
6. give you an example. Say hypothetically, somebody runs for  
7. a precinct committeeman, they get one write-in vote, they're  
8. automatically the precinct committeeman. Under this bill they'd  
9. have to have ten write-in votes. That's how many signatures  
10. it takes to get on the ballot. Now, Senator Schaffer has  
11. just gone through that, I wish he was on the Floor. He had  
12. no Democrat opposition, there were some sixty...I think fifty-  
13. one or sixty-one write-ins, and now he's got opposition, where  
14. it would take, like five to six hundred write-ins under my  
15. proposal. We passed this before, I think it makes some common  
16. sense, and I would encourage your support.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Is there further discussion? Senator Nedza.

19. SENATOR NEDZA:

20. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Senator Philip, I don't  
21. have any argument with the...with the principle that you're  
22. trying to bring forth. The only thing is, is that on page 3,  
23. in effect when the amendment was...was drawn up, I think it  
24. was drawn up in error, because on page 3 on line 2, it says  
25. the candidate for nomination for or election to an office.  
26. Now, that doesn't take it specifically. In effect, what you  
27. can do is to have a write-in candidate, take somebody whose  
28. name has been printed on the ballot, and you can eliminate them.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. Senator Philip.

31. SENATOR PHILIP:

32. You know...would you restate your question? I wasn't paying  
33. attention, I'm afraid.



1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
2. Senator Nedza.  
3. SENATOR NEDZA:  
4. It wasn't a question, it was a statement, Senator. If you'll  
5. look on page 3, line 2, and it says candidate for nomination  
6. for/or election to an office. That means that for any office  
7. not specifically as the...the remaining portion of the bill is...  
8. is alluding to precinct committeeman or committeemen. With that  
9. terminology, it could be for any office.  
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
11. Senator Philip.  
12. SENATOR PHILIP:  
13. Senator Nedza, that's exactly the point I'm trying to  
14. make. Any office. I'm not making it specifically for any office,  
15. quite frankly I think it would be unconstitutional. It pertains  
16. to any elected office, and all it simply says is, that you need  
17. the same amount of write-in votes as it takes signatures on  
18. a petition, to be nominated, to be eligible, and I think that  
19. makes some kind of sense.  
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
21. Is there further discussion? Senator Rhoads.  
22. SENATOR RHOADS:  
23. Well, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I some-  
24. what reluctantly, would rise in opposition to Amendment No. 16,  
25. not because I oppose what Senator Philip is trying to do, I don't  
26. see that it has to be done in the year 1980. The whole purpose  
27. of this Election Consolidation Act was that we needed certain  
28. things to trigger in before the January 1st, 1981 execution  
29. date for the Consolidation of Elections Program. Senator Philip,  
30. if you were to bring in a new bill next year...because...the  
31. practical impact of your bill could not take effect until the  
32. filing of petitions for the year 19...in December of 1981 in  
33. any case. I'm not...not against what you're trying to do, I just

1. don't think it belongs on this bill at this time.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall

4. Amendment No. 16 to House Bill 3229 be adopted. Those in favor

5. will indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it.

6. Amendment No. 16 is adopted. Any further amendments?

7. SECRETARY:

8. No further amendments.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

10. 3rd reading. For what purpose does Senator Hall arise?

11. SENATOR HALL:

12. Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

13. We are honored today by having on the Floor here, a former

14. Assistant Majority Leader of the House, also a former Dean of the

15. House, the one and only Representative Corneal Davis.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. House Bill 3320, Senator Carroll. House Bill 3333, Senator

18. Nega. Senator Nega.

19. SENATOR NEGA:

20. Mr. President, I ask leave to return to this bill at a

21. later date, because the Insurance Director preferred an amendment

22. which was faulty, and he's correcting it.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Senator Nega requests leave to return to it later in the day.

25. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senate Bill...House Bill

26. 3426, Senator Davidson. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

27. SECRETARY:

28. House Bill 3426.

29. ( Secretary reads title of bill )

30. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Senator Davidson.

33. SECRETARY:

1. No committee amendments.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3. Oh, I'm sorry. Any amendments from the Floor?

4. SECRETARY:

5. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Davidson.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. Senator Davidson.

8. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

9. Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I move the

10. adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3426. And what it does

11. is change the date to September the 16th rather than October 1st.

12. Under the old payment is..September the 15th, under the new effective

13. date it would be October 1st. We have to put this effective

14. date of payment on September the 16th...I move adoption of the

15. amendment.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Davidson moves the

18. adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3426. Those in favor

19. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it.

20. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

21. SECRETARY:

22. No further amendments.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. 3rd reading. House Bill 3490, Senator Nedza. Read the

25. bill, Mr. Secretary.

26. SECRETARY:

27. House Bill 3490.

28. ( Secretary reads title of bill )

29. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Transportation offers

30. ...oh,let's back up. This bill was read a second time on June

31. the 19th, 1980. Committee Amendment No. 1 was Tabled. The

32. Committee on Transportation offers Committee Amendment No. 2.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Nedza.

2. SENATOR NEDZA:

3. Yes, just...Committee...No. 2, is that, LRB number 81095170B

4. SHAM01? Okay. I move to Table that one.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. Senator Nedza moves to Table Committee Amendment No. 2.

7. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Any further committee

8. amendments?

9. SECRETARY:

10. No further committee amendments.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Any amendments from the Floor?

13. SECRETARY:

14. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Nedza.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Senator Nedza.

17. SENATOR NEDZA:

18. Yes, thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of

19. the Senate. Amendment No. 3 requires the RTA to hold meetings

20. in each county within a region within the county board, and...

21. the...and changes the fiscal year from July 1 to October 1 in

22. order to fall in with the Federal fiscal year.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Nedza moves

25. the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 3490. Those in...

26. Senator Coffey. Senator Coffey.

27. SENATOR COFFEY:

28. Yes...yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. We

29. haven't had an opportunity to look at this amendment, but is...

30. is there any...is there any of the forgiveness still left in this

31. ...on the RTA loan? Is it in here any longer, Senator?

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Senator Nedza.

1. SENATOR NEDZA:  
2. Senator Coffey, that was Amendment No. 2, which I just  
3. Tabled.  
4. SENATOR COFFEY:  
5. That was struck?  
6. SENATOR NEDZA:  
7. That was struck, it's out.  
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
9. Senator Coffey, is...  
10. SENATOR COFFEY:  
11. Yes, a question of the sponsor. Are you changing the fiscal  
12. year for the...for the RTA?  
13. SENATOR NEDZA:  
14. Yes. Yes, we're changing it from July 1 to October 1, so,  
15. it falls in concurrence with the Federal fiscal year.  
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
17. Senator Coffey. Further discussion? Senator Demuzio.  
18. SENATOR DEMUZIO:  
19. Yes, I just have a question as to why we are doing that  
20. in terms of the fiscal year rather than leaving it as it is, with  
21. the State fiscal year?  
22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
23. Senator Nedza.  
24. SENATOR NEDZA:  
25. Yes, Senator Demuzio, two reasons. Senator Demuzio, it's  
26. for two reasons. One, because the...presently have to adopt  
27. their budget by June 30th, which is when the Legislature is  
28. still in Session, and therefore they don't really know what  
29. is going to come out of the Legislature. And...in it, for...their  
30. bookkeeping system, it's a little easier for them to go with the  
31. fiscal year as opposed to the legislative year.  
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
33. Is there further discussion? Senator Coffey.

1. SENATOR COFFEY:  
2. A question of the sponsor.  
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
4. He indicates he will yield.  
5. SENATOR COFFEY:  
6. On page 4, line 21 through 25 would you explain that part  
7. and how that affects...how that affects the Downstate Transportation  
8. Authority?  
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
10. Senator Nedza.  
11. SENATOR NEDZA:  
12. Yes, what it...what it allows, Senator Coffey, it allows  
13. the...the RTA to go into a non-urbanized...the non-urbanized  
14. entities, in the RTA to participate in the Federal Mass Transport-  
15. ation Program. It's a...it's a collection of about...because  
16. they have some unincorporated areas. It's a nominal amount,  
17. it just allows them to participate in the Federal Transportation  
18. Program.  
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
20. Senator Coffey.  
21. SENATOR COFFEY:  
22. Yes, has this amendment been circulated to the other members  
23. here on the Floor so they understand for sure what's in this  
24. amendment?  
25. SENATOR NEDZA:  
26. Yes, this amendment was circulated last week, Senator. Two  
27. of them. There's another one that we have. They were circulated  
28. last week. As a matter of fact, some of these were presented in  
29. committee.  
30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
31. Senator Coffey.  
32. SENATOR COFFEY:  
33. Well, this particular portion, I think makes this amendment

1. bad. This...on page 4, again, line 21 through 25, which I  
2. think affects the downstate transportation people. And for  
3. you people that have that Downstate Transportation Funds you'd  
4. better watch this amendment because I think it's going to  
5. affect you and your community. So, I think you ought to take  
6. a real good look at this before supporting this amendment. And  
7. I would like to ask for a roll call on this amendment, also.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. Senator Davidson.

10. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

11. Senator Nedza, on the point that he asked, and I...do not  
12. have a copy of the amendment if it was passed week, I'm sorry I don't  
13. have it available. But in committee there was an amendment put  
14. on that was Tabled here last week that if that had prevailed  
15. the RTA could get into the downstate mass transit funding to  
16. the tune of several hundred thousand dollars. Does the words  
17. on that fourth page do that?

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19. Senator Nedza.

20. SENATOR NEDZA:

21. No, quite the contrary. Only within their region. What  
22. it was, Senator, I believe that at that time we took some  
23. testimony from the bi-State people and the Peoria people that  
24. came down and testified that this, in effect, would not affect  
25. them in any way because it was confined to our specific region.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Senator Davidson.

28. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

29. We're not talking about the same thing, the people of Peoria  
30. talked about the elderly and the student transportation. What  
31. I'm talking about is the amendment we Tabled which allowed the  
32. RTA and the bi-State, particularly the RTA would be able to get  
33. in and raid the downstate mass transit funding, which was by the

1. transportation package passed last fall...absolutely exempt, so  
2. that bi-State and the RTA could not get into that downstate  
3. mass transit district funding 'cause it's only one-thirty-second.  
4. Any of you people from Rock Island, Peoria, Springfield, Danville,  
5. Champaign, Urbana, Carbondale, et cetera, who have any downstate  
6. mass transit districts better pay attention, if this amendment  
7. does what I think...do. I still don't have it in front of me,  
8. but if that allows the RTA, through the essence of non-urban...  
9. to get into the downstate mass transit funds, you're about  
10. to lose several hundred thousand dollars out of your cost  
11. for mass transit.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. Further discussion? Senator Schaffer. Senator Wooten.  
14. SENATOR WOOTEN:

15. Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Davidson, you got my  
16. attention, will somebody read aloud that...that particular  
17. section, because I don't have a copy either, and I'd sure like  
18. to know what you're talking about.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Does anybody have a copy to read it aloud for Senator Wooten?  
21. Senator Schaffer.

22. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

23. Well, this is in Section 1.02, and it...a little higher  
24. says, "pursuant to Downstate Transportation Act," and then it  
25. goes on to say, "participant also means the Regional Transportation  
26. Authority acting on behalf of any county within its territory  
27. which has a population of less than one hundred and seventy-  
28. five thousand, or on behalf of non-urbanized area in the other  
29. counties within its territory." I rise in support of this  
30. amendment. See, Senator Rock, I'm born again. Those of you  
31. who were here when the RTA was created, will recall that some  
32. of us from the outlying counties said you don't need to  
33. include us, we are not even urban areas. And everybody said, oh



1.       yeah, you're part of NIPC, you got to be included. Don't  
2.       worry, the Feds insist on it. And lo and behold, when the smoke  
3.       cleared the Feds said we can't give you mass transit money for  
4.       those areas because they're not urbanized. They shouldn't have  
5.       been included. Well, it appears to me that fair is fair. We  
6.       should have been left in the downstate area, because we have  
7.       ...don't have the population density, we weren't. I should tell  
8.       my members on this side, we're talking about parts of I believe,  
9.       Lake, Kane, most of McHenry, part of Will, and I...I think a  
10.      couple of other areas within the other two counties. Fair is  
11.      fair, we shouldn't have been there in the first place, because  
12.      we didn't have the population density. And these funds would  
13.      be available to us had we not been put in, and I think it makes  
14.      sense.

15.      PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16.             Is there further discussion? Senator Rock.

17.      SENATOR ROCK:

18.             Just so long as there is no misapprehension, let me just  
19.      relate and I think Senator Schaffer is quite correct, this amend-  
20.      ment is being added at the request of certain collar county  
21.      Republicans in the House, Representative Madigan asked us to  
22.      offer this amendment. It didn't come from us.

23.      PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24.             Senator Sangmeister.

25.      SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

26.             Yes, thank you. I just couldn't help but make the comment  
27.      how interesting it is when the shoe is on the other foot. We've  
28.      stood here for years and years and seen downstate get together  
29.      with Chicago, and gee when it's on the other foot I just...yeah,  
30.      it's a wonderful amendment. We just got to support it.

31.      PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32.             Senator Hall.

33.      SENATOR HALL:

1. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
2. Senate. I never got an answer to Senator Davidson's question  
3. there. I...I certainly want to know, we participate in mass  
4. transportation downstate. So, I'd just like to know if such  
5. a thing is true, Senator Nedza.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. Senator Nedza.

8. SENATOR NEDZA:

9. Not to my...not to my...not to my knowledge, Senator Hall.  
10. It...the people...the people that were there were testifying  
11. on a series of bills, and this was one of them. And they said  
12. it didn't affect them. I'm not the expert.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

14. Senator Wooten.

15. SENATOR WOOTEN:

16. Yes, I've had a chance to look at the amendment, and I'm  
17. afraid I'm not sure just what it does. I...Senator Sangmeister,  
18. don't make me regret all the votes I've given you, and Senator  
19. Schaffer on this issue. But it just is not clear to me, what  
20. the inclusion of the RTA as a participant in this fund means  
21. for the distribution of monies in...in downstate mass transportation  
22. districts. We first set this up, the sweetener that was given  
23. us downstate, was some kind of money, not much but some kind.  
24. And we have made fairly good use of it in our area. And if we  
25. now...if we propose to further dilute that little bit of money,  
26. I...I would, of course, have to stand in opposition to the  
27. amendment.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

29. Is there further discussion? Senator Davidson.

30. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

31. I'm sorry to rise a second time, but this new language is  
32. going into the section of the...already law, dealing with the...  
33. downstate mass transit funding, and this is new language which will

1. allow them to participate. Now, I helped give RTA three thirty-  
2. seconds and all the other things they wanted, and we got a measly one-  
3. thirty-second out of this to help the downstate mass transit  
4. districts which we haven't been able to shake out of DOT even  
5. when we show them the cost. And I supported Senator Hall and  
6. Senator Vadalabene when we gave them money without them making  
7. a local match, as we, the other taxpayers had to do. Now, Ladies  
8. and Gentlemen, this does let the RTA get into the downstate mass  
9. transit funding which hasn't been paying out the costs to the  
10. downstate mass transit districts when we have claimed it. Now,  
11. I've got no problem with you going for more money, but just...  
12. the old saying, get your hand out of my till, which we've been  
13. trying to get the paid expense for my people..down here so we  
14. can keep our fares down. Now, fairis fair, you want to raid  
15. into this, then maybe we downstate should be able to raid into  
16. the RTA Fund for funding. And I urge you to defeat this  
17. motion until this amendment comes out of there, and they  
18. don't get into the downstate mass transit.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Nedza may close  
21. debate.

22. SENATOR NEDZA:

23. Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Davidson, I wouldn't  
24. want to go in your pocket anymore than I'd want you to be in  
25. my pocket. And I respect that. But it clearly states, on page  
26. 4, the articles...lines 21 to 25, it says that the participant,  
27. within its territory, and it defines that twice. So, it is  
28. not going into the State funding, it is going into the Mass  
29. Transportation Program, which is the Federal funds. I would  
30. not go into it, and if I can get a piece of Uncle Sam's pocket,  
31. I will go into his pocket, but it will not affect yours. I move  
32. for its adoption.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Nedza moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to  
2. House Bill 3490. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those  
3. opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. There's  
4. been a request for a roll call. All those in favor of adopting  
5. Amendment No. 3 will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay.  
6. The voting is open. Have all...have all voted who wish? Have  
7. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.  
8. On that question, the Ayes are 31, the Nays are 24. None  
9. Voting Present. Amendment No. 3, having received the majority  
10. is declared adopted. Any further amendments?

11. SECRETARY:

12. Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Nedza.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

14. Senator Nedza.

15. SENATOR NEDZA:

16. Thank you, Mr. President. What Amendment No. 4 does,  
17. is defers the payment of the debt due the State from this fiscal  
18. year. Very simple.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Any further discussion? If not, Senator Nedza moves the  
21. adoption of Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 3490. Those in favor  
22. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it.  
23. Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Any further amendments?

24. SECRETARY:

25. Amendment No. 5 offered by Senator Sangmeister.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Senator Sangmeister.

28. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

29. Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Every-  
30. body wants a part of this good bill now that it has been amended  
31. into such great shape. I have a simple amendment that the RTA  
32. has asked our local mass transit district, and I presume all the  
33. local mass transit districts that they support, to go as a self-  
insurer. Well, back home they're a little concerned about whether

1. or not the law allows them to go self-insured, even though  
2. the RTA will not give them the money to buy their insurance.  
3. So, all this amendment does, is makes it perfectly legal for  
4. a local transit district to go self-insured.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is,  
7. shall Amendment No. 5 be adopted. Those in favor will indicate  
8. by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.  
9. 5 is adopted. Any further amendments?

10. SECRETARY:

11. Amendment No. 6 offered by Senator Schaffer.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. Senator Schaffer. Senator Schaffer withdraws Amendment  
14. No. 6. Any further amendments?

15. SECRETARY:

16. No further amendments.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. No further amendments. 3rd reading. House Bill 3536,  
19. Senator Davidson. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

20. SECRETARY:

21. House Bill 3536.

22. ( Secretary reads title of bill )

23. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Elementary and  
24. Secondary Education offers two amendments.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

26. Senator Davidson.

27. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

28. Mr. President, and members of the Senate. This bill is  
29. a recodification bill, and it does exactly that, the committee  
30. amendments are technical to correct changes with two exceptions.  
31. There could be some substantive language in two things. Under  
32. the old Constitution, the Superintendent of Public Instruction  
33. was exempted from jury duty. This will exempt the Superintendent  
of Education from jury duty as well as the board members. The

1. other part is, the board may delegate to the superintendent  
2. certain types of duties provided it is done by the policy  
3. established...and under the powers of the board, and that are  
4. ministerial in nature only. They cannot delegate any of  
5. their authority of decision making to the superintendent.  
6. I move the adoption of the amendment.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Senator Davidson moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to  
9. House Bill 3536. Is there any discussion? If not, those in  
10. favor will indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes  
11. have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. For what purpose does  
12. Senator Daley arise?

13. SENATOR DALEY:

14. Mr. President, and fellow Senators. A point of personal  
15. privilege. I would like to introduce a group of senior citizens  
16. from Senator Ozinga's district, St. Gerald's Parish who are down  
17. here this afternoon. They are in the gallery, please.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19. Would they please stand and be recognized. Any further  
20. amendments?

21. SECRETARY:

22. Committee Amendment No. 2.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Senator Davidson.

25. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

26. This is..technical amendment. It cleans up that...Amendment  
27. No. 1 on some technical errors that was in it. I move the adoption  
28. of the amendment.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. Senator Davidson moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to  
31. House Bill 3536. Is there any discussion? If not, the question  
32. is, shall it be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye.  
33. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted.

1. Any further amendments?  
2. SECRETARY:  
3. No further committee amendments.  
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
5. Any amendments from the Floor?  
6. SECRETARY:  
7. No Floor amendments.  
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
9. 3rd reading. Ladies and Gentlemen, our order of business  
10. now...to go back to page 11, House Bills 2nd reading, and start  
11. with House Bill 821. Senator Hall. Senator Rock.  
12. SENATOR ROCK:  
13. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Let me just suggest that  
14. today effectively is the last day for 2nd readings. So, I would  
15. suggest that in the event that amendments are not...yet worked  
16. out, that those who wish to have the bill acted on prior to  
17. the end of the week had better move it today, and hopefully bring  
18. it back tomorrow if they can work out an amendment.  
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
20. You've heard the President's suggestion. Senator Grothberg.  
21. SENATOR GROTHBERG:  
22. A question of the Chair. Are we going to do any call backs  
23. from 3rd after we finish with this round of 2nd?  
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
25. Yes, we will.  
26. SENATOR GROTHBERG:  
27. Thank you.  
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
29. We've...we're preparing the list now. I would suggest you  
30. get the number of the bill on the list. Senator Hall on House  
31. Bill 821. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.  
32. SECRETARY:  
33. House Bill 821.

1. ( Secretary reads title of bill )

2. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Labor and Commerce

3. offers one amendment.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Senator Hall.

6. SENATOR HALL:

7. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of

8. the Senate. This Amendment No. 1 is a major amendment, and

9. what it does; it's intended to increase the economic impact

10. of IIDA in three ways. Number One, by expanding the geographical

11. area served. Number Two, by expanding the type of projects

12. which may be financed. And, Number Three, by expanding the

13. kind of financial arrangement, which the...the Authority may

14. employ. And that's what that... amendment does.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Any discussion? Senator Regner.

17. SENATOR REGNER:

18. Question of the sponsor.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. He indicates he will yield.

21. SENATOR REGNER:

22. Is this Progress Plaza?

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Senator Hall.

25. SENATOR HALL:

26. No, it isn't, Senator Regner.

27. SENATOR REGNER:

28. What is it called?

29. SENATOR HALL:

30. Any Illinois Industrial Authority.

31. SENATOR REGNER:

32. Well, members...

33. SENATOR HALL:

34. Development Authority.



1. SENATOR REGNER:

2. ...this is the same project that we've had under different  
3. names over the...several years. It's been defeated several  
4. times. It's anti...and I'm going to vote against it for the  
5. same reason I'm going to vote against the Chrysler bill, by  
6. the way. You know, the...the free enterprise means that you  
7. have the right to fail just as well as the right to succeed.  
8. I don't know what the dollar cost is of this...project, but  
9. I have a stack of amendments here for that particular area,  
10. that totals several millions of dollars; just as they have over  
11. the past years. I just suggest it's a bad amendment; just as  
12. bad as it's been for about five or six years here,  
13. and that it be defeated.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Hall may close  
16. debate.

17. SENATOR HALL:

18. Well, for Senator Regner's information, this is an entirely  
19. different bill. That's...this amendment struck everything but  
20. the enactment clause and placed it in, Senator. It's not the  
21. same thing you were talking about. That's the reason why I  
22. said this was a major amendment.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. The question is...Senator Hall moves the adoption of  
25. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 821. Those in favor indicate  
26. by saying Aye. Those opposed. Roll call has been requested.  
27. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay.  
28. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted  
29. who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are  
30. 28, the Nays are 25, none Voting Present. Amendment No. 1,  
31. having received the majority, is declared adopted. Any further  
32. amendments?

33. SECRETARY:

1. Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Hall.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3. Senator Hall.

4. SENATOR HALL:

5. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
6. Senate. Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 821 states that the  
7. Illinois Industrial Development Authority shall not issue  
8. bonds for a project within a municipality, unless, Number One,  
9. notice of the project is given to the corporate authority of  
10. the municipality; and Number Two, the corporate authorities  
11. do not adopt a resolution disapproving the project within  
12. forty-five days after receipt of this notice. This amendment  
13. gives municipalities a veto over proposed projects within their  
14. jurisdiction. It provides a protection which...municipalities  
15. want to maintain the character of their cities. It replaces  
16. the section of the Act which required that the governing body  
17. pass an ordinance approving the project. This section was  
18. administratively...very cumbersome and more than the municipalities  
19. wanted or needed. This has the approval of the Illinois  
20. Municipal League, as well as Senator Moore on that side, who  
21. had placed this in a former bill. I ask the adoption of your  
22. amendment...of this amendment.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Senator Moore.

25. SENATOR MOORE:

26. A question of the sponsor.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

28. He indicates he will yield.

29. SENATOR MOORE:

30. Is...is this the amendment, Senator, that we worked out to  
31. protect the...to give the municipalities the right to veto a  
32. proposed project? Well, then if that's the case, I'm in favor  
33. of this amendment. It was in the original Act; it was enacted

1. last year. It was taken out by the amendment, and this restores  
2. the language. It is acceptable to the municipalities, and the  
3. Illinois Municipal League, and I would help...or urge a favorable  
4. voice vote on this.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Hall moves the  
7. adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 821. Those in favor  
8. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it.  
9. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?

10. SECRETARY:

11. Amendment No. 3, offered by Senator Rupp.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. Senator Rupp.

14.

15. (End of reel)

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

1. SENATOR RUPP:

2. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment would make the  
3. following changes to the Senate Bill 821, as amended in the  
4. Senate Executive Committee. It would cap the money available  
5. for IIDA to loan for commercial purposes at no more than  
6. one-third of the total amount of bonds and notes authorized  
7. under the Act for development projects. The second thing it  
8. would do would be...would be to reinstitute all the language  
9. regarding areas of labor surplus, so that the IIDA funds  
10. could be made available for projects only in areas of high  
11. unemployment. The current definition of labor surplus is  
12. any area, city, municipality or county which has had an un-  
13. employment average of not less than 4.5 percent for the previous  
14. year.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Is there any discussion? Senator Hall.

17. SENATOR HALL:

18. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
19. Senate. I rise in opposition to this amendment to House Bill  
20. 821, because it will put the Illinois Industrial Development  
21. Authority back into a posture of just not doing business in  
22. limited areas in Illinois; and not all over the entire State  
23. as should be the case. The Illinois Industrial Development  
24. Authority is opposed to this amendment, because it wishes to  
25. proceed with projects over the entire State. Now, presently,  
26. only DuPage, Bureau, DeKalb, McLean, Woodford, Menard and  
27. Wabash Counties are not eligible for this. Why should they  
28. be discriminated against? This is no good reason for them  
29. to be discriminated. The whole State needs jobs. Secondly,  
30. that this amendment reverses our legislation which was carefully  
31. drafted with the co-operation of the Illinois Industrial...  
32. Development Authority and Chapman and Cutler and their Bond Council.  
33. I urge the defeat of this amendment.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
2. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Rupp moves  
3. the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 821. Those  
4. in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The  
5. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted  
6. who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are  
7. 26, the Nays are 31. Amendment No. 3, having failed to receive  
8. a majority vote, is declared defeated. Any further amendments?  
9. SECRETARY:  
10. No further amendments.  
11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
12. 3rd reading. House Bill 891, Senator Nash. Read the  
13. bill, Mr. Secretary. Could we clear the aisle in front of  
14. Senator Nash, there.  
15. SECRETARY:  
16. House Bill 891.  
17. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
18. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Finance and Credit  
19. Regulations offers one amendment.  
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
21. Senator Nash.  
22. SENATOR NASH:  
23. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I  
24. move that we Table Committee Amendment No. 1, and I withdraw  
25. Amendments 2, 3 and 4.  
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
27. Senator Nash moves to Table Amendment...Committee Amendment  
28. No. 1 to House Bill 891. Is leave granted? Leave is granted.  
29. Now Senator Nash moves to withdraw Amendments...any further  
30. amendments?  
31. SECRETARY:  
32. No further amendments.  
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Further amendments?

2. SECRETARY:

3. Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Nash.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Senator Nash.

6. SENATOR NASH:

7. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate,

8. Amendment No. 2, deletes all increases of the loan ceiling

9. under the Consumer Installment Loan Act, and returns the

10. ceiling to the present ten thousand level. The ceiling is

11. being returned to ten thousand because of a possible conflict

12. with other Statutes. It also permits lenders to recover those

13. costs incurred when making loans secured by residential real

14. estate. Those costs are title examination, abstract of title,

15. title insurance, survey and appraisal. I move for the adoption

16. of Amendment No. 2.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Senator Nash moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to

19. House Bill 891. Is there any discussion? If not, those in

20. favor will indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes

21. have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?

22. SECRETARY:

23. No further amendments .

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. 3rd reading. House Bill 1009, Senator Bruce. Read the

26. bill, Mr. Secretary.

27. SECRETARY:

28. House Bill 1009.

29. (Secretary reads title of bill)

30. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Pension, Personnel

31. and Veteran's Affairs offers one amendment.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Senator Bruce.

1. SENATOR BRUCE:

2. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members...Mr. President and  
3. members of the Senate. There is a larger amendment, which I've  
4. caused to have distributed on your desks. I'd like to move  
5. to Table Amendment No. 1. We will...all of it is contained in...  
6. Amendment No. 2.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Senator Bruce moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1...moves  
9. to Table Committee Amendment No. 1. Is leave granted? Leave  
10. is granted. Any further amendments?

11. SECRETARY:

12. No further committee amendments.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

14. Any amendments from the Floor?

15. SECRETARY:

16. Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Bruce.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Senator Bruce.

19. SENATOR BRUCE:

20. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senator  
21. Berning and I and Senator Egan have worked on this amendment,  
22. probably in its two hundredth draft, at this point. It does  
23. seven separate things; the first thing it does is increases  
24. base pensions by a dollar per month for each year of credible  
25. service for downstate teachers; the State University Retirement  
26. System and the Chicago Teachers' Retirement System. That would  
27. be effective September of 1980; and that is approved by the  
28. Pension Laws Commission. In addition to an automatic annual  
29. increase of three percent, it increases the survivor's benefits  
30. by one hundred dollars for two or more survivors, and the same  
31. thing for a single survivor...survivor only. This would only  
32. become effective, and the members of the committee asked that  
33. this be changed, it would only become effective after December

1. 31st, 1980. That eliminates almost eight million dollars from  
2. the cost of this proposed legislation. For downstate teachers,  
3. the permanent disability benefit was increased from thirty-five  
4. percent to fifty percent. It also increased the minimum re-  
5. tirement allowance from three hundred dollars per month for  
6. thirty years to four hundred and fifty dollars per month for  
7. a teacher with forty-five years. As you remember, Representative  
8. Don Brummet in 1975 introduced legislation where we had a dollar  
9. per month for each year of credible service up to thirty years.  
10. We now have about twelve teachers who have forty-five years or  
11. more of service. This allows them an additional fifteen dollars  
12. per month. For Chicago teachers, it provides a permanent  
13. disability benefit after three years, which is already  
14. in the downstate teachers system. If affects approximately  
15. one thousand teachers; and to fund the proposal there is an increase  
16. of one-quarter of one percent in contributions. And the  
17. seventh change is effective on January 1st, 1981. The survivors  
18. annuity being paid, shall be increased by one percent for each  
19. full year, which has lapsed from the date of the...survivor's  
20. annuity began. That is House Bill 1572. Senator Weaver was  
21. to offer that amendment; he was kind enough to allow us to draw  
22. it all into one, and that also was approved by the Pension  
23. Laws Commission. The annual cost of this is, I think, well  
24. borne by the quarter percent increase. House Bill 1876 and  
25. House Bill 8...1572, of which is already part of this bill  
26. were, in fact, approved by the Pension Laws Commission. I  
27. ask for your favorable consideration of Amendment No. 2.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

29. Senator Bruce moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2. Is  
30. there any discussion? Senator Berning.

31. SENATOR BERNING:

32. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I  
33. join in support of Amendment No. 2. This is a material change



1. over what we were confronted with before, and does go a long  
2. way toward softening the inflation that some of our older  
3. retired teachers and others are faced...facing right now.  
4. There is no question but what this is costly to our systems;  
5. however, the agreement for the quarter of a percent increase  
6. in the contribution rate is a significant help; and while  
7. Senator Bruce and I don't quite agree on what the total income  
8. versus the total outgo is, my position being that for the  
9. three systems, we are going to face a net cost to the State  
10. of about eight and a half million dollars a year, which is  
11. a sizable amount, and may be difficult for the Governor to  
12. cover. On the other hand, this is so much better than what  
13. we were faced with before, that it's a...a major concession,  
14. and I would therefore respectfully suggest that for those  
15. who are not unwilling to burden the pension systems with a  
16. little additional debt, that this is an acceptable alternative.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is  
19. shall Amendment No. 2 be adopted. Those in favor indicate  
20. by saying Aye. Those opposed. Amendment No. 2 is adopted.  
21. Any further amendments?

22. SECRETARY:

23. No further amendments.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. 3rd reading. House Bill 1221, Senator Gitz. Read the  
26. bill, Mr. Secretary.

27. SECRETARY:

28. House Bill 1221.

29. (Secretary reads title of bill)

30. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue offers  
31. one amendment.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Senator Gitz, on Amendment No. 1.

1. SENATOR GITZ:  
2. Mr. President, there is a further amendment that is a  
3. result of discussions of the Department of Revenue; therefore,  
4. I'd like to Table Amendment No. 1.  
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
6. Motion by Senator Gitz to Table Amendment No. 1. Discussion?  
7. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment  
8. No. 1 is Tabled. Further committee amendments?  
9. SECRETARY:  
10. No further committee amendments.  
11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
12. Are there amendments from the Floor?  
13. SECRETARY:  
14. Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Gitz.  
15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
16. Senator Gitz.  
17. SENATOR GITZ:  
18. Thank you, Mr. President. To be perfectly sure that we're  
19. straight on the right amendment, Mr. Secretary, it should be  
20. KAM02?  
21. SECRETARY:  
22. Right.  
23. SENATOR GITZ:  
24. Thank you. Mr. President and members of the Senate, what  
25. we have attempted to do is to clarify the provisions of this  
26. bill to make it more workable and interpretable for assessors.  
27. The prime...the prime changes in the bill are the...to reference  
28. the Revenue Act of 1939, as currently defined in what constitutes  
29. a solar energy collector. There was a lot of excess garbage  
30. in the original bill; and in fact, there was some question  
31. whether it could even extend to pass the solar installations,  
32. which was not our intention. So we've attempted to clean up  
33. the bill in that respect. The intention is the same as discussed,

1. disclosed and passed in committee.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3. The motion is to adopt. Is there discussion? Senator

4. Daley.

5. SENATOR DALEY:

6. Mr. President, would the sponsor yield to a question?

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. He indicates he will yield. Senator Daley.

9. SENATOR DALEY:

10. Have you re-defined the definition of the...the solar

11. energy device?

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. Senator Gitz.

14. SENATOR GITZ:

15. Well, Senator Daley, there is a language presently in the

16. Code; and that language reads as follows: "it includes a

17. mechanism or process used for gathering solar energy through

18. wind or thermal gradients." Now, what we've done, then, is

19. to reference the present language; the original bill had a...

20. well, the original bill, for example, says quote "it would

21. have afforded a tax exemption to any system, method, construction

22. device or appliance appurtenant thereto, including solar

23. collector storage mechanisms or energy systems, et cetera."

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. Senator Daley.

26. SENATOR DALEY:

27. So, therefore, it does apply to a single family resident

28. or a large...manufacturing.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

30. Senator Gitz.

31. SENATOR GITZ:

32. Yes, if they are within the present definition of the

33. Revenue Code, in effect today, yes.

34. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1. Senator Daley.

2. SENATOR DALEY:

3. Then, what is the...what we exempt from it, I mean, is

4. it a Real Estate Tax on a large building?

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. Senator Gitz.

7. SENATOR GITZ:

8. What we are doing is, Number One, eliminating the Sales

9. Tax on the first purchase. Number Two, the present Code on

10. solar energy allows you to value it either as a conventional

11. system or a new system, whichever is less than you are

12. assessed according to. Now, that has worked to the direct

13. disadvantage of many people in this kind of a temperate

14. climate, utilizing any type of solar device. So this exempts

15. that solar device; not the dwelling, but the device as...from

16. any assessment or any Real Estate Taxes.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Senator Daley.

19. SENATOR DALEY:

20. So, it does include large utilities, correct?

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

22. Senator Gitz.

23. SENATOR GITZ:

24. To the extent that any large utility would utilize such

25. a system, yes.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. Further discussion? Senator Grotberg.

28. SENATOR GROTBORG:

29. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield

30. for a question?

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. He indicates he will yield. Senator Grotberg.

33. SENATOR GROTBORG:

1. I was distracted over here and did not hear all of your  
2. dialogue, but do...is it correct that you are exempting solar  
3. systems from Real Estate Taxes?  
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
5. Senator Gitz.  
6. SENATOR GITZ:  
7. Yes.  
8. SENATOR GROTEBERG:  
9. I just wondered if...if you're aware that about seven  
10. years ago, I created the first solar legislation and it's  
11. been law for six years; and they are exempt. They do not  
12. increase the value of your home one bit. It's on the books.  
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
14. Senator Gitz.  
15. SENATOR GITZ:  
16. Well, Mr. President, the present language in the books allows  
17. an alternate valuation.  
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
19. Further discussion? Senator Nimrod.  
20. SENATOR NIMROD:  
21. A question of the sponsor.  
22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
23. Indicates he will yield. Senator Nimrod.  
24. SENATOR NIMROD:  
25. It was my impression also that what had happened, according  
26. to the Act...the present Statutes, is that in the effect that  
27. if you did add a solar equipment...a solar energy system...  
28. qualified under the Act, that you would not be charged any  
29. more than what the original value had been had it been left  
30. the same. Now, I know that's true with the heating systems  
31. and some of the others; but is that not true, also, of the...  
32. of the solar energy system?  
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1. Senator Gitz.

2. SENATOR GITZ:

3. Senator Nimrod, yes, that's the language in the Statute;

4. and that's one of the provisions in this bill that we're

5. changing.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. Senator Nimrod.

8. SENATOR NIMROD:

9. What are you changing it to?

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

11. Senator Gitz.

12. SENATOR GITZ:

13. If you employ a solar collector, for example, in a residence,

14. then that is taxed as if it didn't exist in the residence at

15. all; because what happens now, as you know from your hearings,

16. many times it is a discouragement to add it, because you can

17. add it and you get a tax break in the sense that you can weigh

18. it; but you still get taxed on it.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20. Senator Nimrod.

21. SENATOR NIMROD:

22. Might I ask if we can just take this out of the record,

23. so we can talk about this and to make sure we're all straight,

24. and then get it back in?

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Well, do you wish to advance it and then come back...Senator

27. Gitz.

28. SENATOR GITZ:

29. I would prefer to advance it, and Senator Nimrod, if there

30. is a problem on this, I'll be happy to bring it back; but I

31. intend to have this bill moved to 3rd reading, I intend to

32. see that it's debated.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1. Senator Nimrod.

2. SENATOR NIMROD:

3. Why don't you move it to 3rd reading without the amendment  
4. so we can look at it; and then we can...you can bring it back  
5. and add the amendment, once we've had a chance to go over it.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. Senator Gitz.

8. SENATOR GITZ:

9. Well, let me be perfectly candid; we have Tabled the committee  
10. amendment, which the Department of Revenue had some problems  
11. with; we have worked with them to give tighter language. To  
12. move this with no amendment to 3rd reading, means that the  
13. bill is a mess, in the sense that it came to us from the House.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. Senator, might we just adopt the amendment, and then if  
16. there are problems with it; since we are in the last day...  
17. Senator McMillan.

18. SENATOR McMILLAN:

19. Mr. President, I would suggest the...I don't like the bill  
20. at all, but the amendment does improve it substantially. I think  
21. the quarrels that we have are with the bill, and we ought to go  
22. ahead and put the amendment on, because it does...improve it; and  
23. then tomorrow, we can debate the merits of the bill.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. Senator Nimrod.

26. SENATOR NIMROD:

27. Well, do we have an understanding, then, that you will bring it  
28. back on request, for an additional amendment, if we so request?

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

30. Senator Gitz.

31. SENATOR GITZ:

32. Senator Nimrod, as long as your amendment is not like striking  
33. the enacting clause, but it's an improvement of the bill,

1. fine, we'll bring it back and we'll debate any considerations  
2. you wish to offer.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

4. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Discussion? All  
5. in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment  
6. No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

7. SECRETARY:

8. No further amendments.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. 3rd reading. House Bill 1473, Senator Weaver. Read the  
11. bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

12. SECRETARY:

13. House Bill 1473.

14. (Secretary reads title of bill)

15. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Finance and Credit  
16. Regulations offers two amendments.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Senator Weaver.

19. SENATOR WEAVER:

20. Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I'd like to  
21. Table both the committee amendments. I have the correct  
22. amendments in proper form that I will be offering to replace  
23. those two amendments.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. The motion is to Table Committee Amendment No. 1 and 2.  
26. Is there discussion of the motion to Table? All in favor  
27. say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendments No.  
28. 1 and 2 are Tabled. Floor amendments?

29. SECRETARY:

30. Floor Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Bowers. Amend-  
31. ment No. 3 offered by Senator Weaver.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Senator Weaver is recognized.



1. SENATOR WEAVER:  
2. Well, Mr. President, this amendment merely clarifies  
3. the EFT Act...last year Senate Bill 905. Basically it strikes  
4. the word office and puts bank premises in, it's more definitive  
5. and also renumbers a section at the end, 17 and 18. And that's  
6. all Amendment No. 3 does, and I'd move adoption.  
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
8. The motion is to adopt. Is there discussion? Senator Daley.  
9. SENATOR DALEY:  
10. Yes, Mr. President, and fellow Senators. Is there...are you...  
11. redefining the definition of office or bank premise?  
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
13. Senator Weaver.  
14. SENATOR WEAVER:  
15. Yes, we're clarifying...  
16. SENATOR DALEY:  
17. Are you redefining it? In other words are you changing  
18. from...is a office or the bank premise. Is it...that it means  
19. the same, or it...  
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
21. Senator Weaver.  
22. SENATOR WEAVER:  
23. I think...yes, that's true. Where it says office before  
24. we're putting in bank premises.  
25. SENATOR DALEY:  
26. Why is that?  
27. SENATOR WEAVER:  
28. This is a request from the commissioner. An office  
29. is one thing, a bank premise is another. I think it's more  
30. definitive.  
31. SENATOR DALEY:  
32. Bank premise down the street?

1. SENATOR WEAVER:  
2. Any...any bank...  
3. SENATOR DALEY:  
4. So, in other words, any bank that could lease office  
5. space, as you're redefining, it's bank premise. Right? You  
6. can do down...in other words you're setting up fifty to sixty  
7. locations for one bank?  
8. SENATOR WEAVER:  
9. No, in the bill it very clearly establishes where these  
10. premises shall be and how close. In this amendment all we're  
11. doing is striking the word office and putting in bank premises.  
12. Terminology.  
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
14. Further discussion? The motion is to adopt Amendment  
15. No. 3. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have  
16. it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further Floor amendments?  
17. SECRETARY:  
18. Amendment No. 4, offered by Senator Weaver.  
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
20. Senator Weaver.  
21. SENATOR WEAVER:  
22. In Amendment No. 4 we are giving the power to the Commissioner  
23. of Banks and Trusts, after a vote of a majority of the board...  
24. members of a board of a bank, that is in financial trouble to  
25. merge with another state or a national bank. We clarify the  
26. conditions under which the commissioner can authorize, in  
27. writing, this merger. It refers back to Section 51 and Section  
28. 52 in the Statutes, defining the conditions that must exist  
29. before a merger can be held. Also, it limits a merger to one  
30. time for any bank; so, a bank may not merge more than one time.  
31. If there's any questions, I'll be happy to try to answer them.  
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
33. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 4. Is there discussion?

1. Senator Ozinga.

2. SENATOR OZINGA:

3. Well, my first question on this one is, there is no

4. notice to shareholders in any way shape or form, is that

5. not correct?

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. Senator Weaver.

8. SENATOR WEAVER:

9. That's correct.

10. SENATOR OZINGA:

11. So that, the commissioner, together with a majority of

12. the board of directors, in other words, if it's a board of

13. five, three and the commissioner could afford the merger,

14. without...without any say or input at all from anybody...any

15. shareholder, even though he might be the majority shareholder

16. of the entire bank.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Senator Weaver.

19. SENATOR WEAVER:

20. You are correct.

21. SENATOR OZINGA:

22. All right, a second question. Is this not following

23. a little bit of the pattern that was set up about six or seven

24. years ago, when Senator Lanigan was here and was a director,

25. or chairman or whatever you want to call him with reference to

26. savings and loans. And an interpretation by the commissioner

27. at that time said, well, if the commissioner has the power to

28. create such activity, we will now interpret that to be branch

29. banking and...branch savings and loans; and thereby authorize,

30. practically speaking, all of the Federal savings and loans to

31. go out and branch, regardless of what we, in this Chamber, attempted

32. to do and the restrictions that were put on at that time. Is

33. that not correct?

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
2. Senator Weaver.  
3. SENATOR WEAVER:  
4. You are reasonably correct; but the only difference is,  
5. banks are under the McFadden Act, where savings and loans  
6. are not.  
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
8. Senator Ozinga.  
9. SENATOR OZINGA:  
10. This...this I would readily agree; however, the interpretation  
11. of the Act, both the McFadden Act and now, with the Savings  
12. and Loan Act, practically speaking, it still would be an  
13. interpretation by, in the banking case, the Comptroller of the  
14. currency with reference to national banks. Now, this could  
15. readily set up a double-header system within the banking  
16. industry, even though we have state banks and national banks.  
17. With the authorization or the lifting of the lid, by the  
18. comptroller of the national banks in this State, it would open  
19. the door wide and the national banks would have all the powers;  
20. and I happen to have to declare my conflict of interest, 'cause  
21. I am interested in a national bank; but, I think, that the  
22. situation here would be in exactly the same position.  
23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
24. Further discussion? Senator Ozinga, had you concluded?  
25. SENATOR OZINGA:  
26. Yes.  
27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
28. Okay. Senator Daley.  
29. SENATOR DALEY:  
30. Mr. President and fellow Senators, will the sponsor yield  
31. to a question?  
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
33. He indicates he will yield. Senator Daley.

1. SENATOR DALEY:

2. Who declares the emergency, is...the Commissioner of Banks

3. and Trust Companies, does he declare it as an emergency; and

4. therefore, they can go ahead with the approval of the directors

5. and himself?

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. Senator Weaver.

8. SENATOR WEAVER:

9. Well, Senator Daley, I would presume that the commissioner,

10. whose responsibility it is to supervise banks, he knows when a

11. bank is in trouble. He has to go by the Section 52, Capital

12. Impairment, 60...it's 51 and 52, under Capital Impairment, to

13. justify his decision that a bank should be merged with a healthier

14. financial institution.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

16. Senator Daley.

17. SENATOR DALEY:

18. Senator, also, aren't you taking away the legal responsibilities

19. of those directors? In other words, the commissioner is really

20. safeguarding the bad practices they have committed, and what

21. they are doing is merging with another bank.

22. SENATOR WEAVER:

23. Well, I'm sure, Senator Daley, that everything possible

24. would be done before any suggestion of merger would take place.

25. It's only when a bank is in a sick position that the commissioner

26. comes in and can come in and force a sale, or take over totally

27. and direct that bank for the benefit of the depositors, creditors,

28. stockholders to preserve the assets; and that's...this is just

29. basically another tool that the commissioner is asking for, rather

30. than an outright sale or a bankruptcy.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. Senator Daley. Senator Wooten.

33. SENATOR WOOTEN:

1. Senator Daley, we had, what I thought was very interesting  
2. testimony on this very point in Finance Committee hearing.  
3. And apparently, the problem occurs when there is...a bank  
4. is in trouble and a run may start. At that point, the  
5. commissioner steps in and closes the bank and they sell it  
6. off. What he is suggesting here is that you may merge instead.  
7. They recently had a case where if they could have merged the  
8. bank quickly, a bank was...a bank was willing to take...to  
9. buy into the other bank to merge, and depositors would have  
10. been saved a good deal more money. Now, this particular  
11. provision reaffirms that the responsibility of the commissioner  
12. is primarily to the depositors, rather than the shareholders;  
13. and if a shareholder is out of the country or something,  
14. and you've got to move at once, then your primary responsibility  
15. is the deposit holders and you move rapidly to give them some-  
16. thing more than the insurance to which they would be entitled  
17. in the event of a sale.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

19. Senator Daley.

20. SENATOR DALEY:

21. Senator Wooten, has there been an emergency in the last  
22. year or two years? I know this is an emergency Session, we  
23. need this bill. Is there a run on the bank within your district?

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. Senator Wooten.

26. SENATOR WOOTEN:

27. Senator, I'm not defending whether or not this is germane  
28. now. If we did that, our Calendar would disappear. But there  
29. was a specific instance that was cited, and I...I don't recall,  
30. maybe someone...the Committee can remember the name of the  
31. bank, but a specific instance was cited in which if the  
32. commissioner had had this power, they could have merged the  
33. bank and saved the depositors a considerable amount of money.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
2. Senator Daley.  
3. SENATOR DALEY:  
4. So what you're saying is, as long as the commissioners  
5. and directors can get together, regardless of the stockholders,  
6. that's what you're really saying. Let the...let the directors,  
7. three out of five as Senator Ozinga pointed out, that's what  
8. you're doing; you're giving the commissioner and the directors,  
9. regardless of the shareholders.  
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
11. Senator Wooten.  
12. SENATOR WOOTEN:  
13. That's already in the law. The primary concern is to the  
14. depositor, not to the shareholders.  
15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
16. Senator Daley.  
17. SENATOR DALEY:  
18. In the law, you're not giving the commissioner the right;  
19. and that's what you're doing here.  
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
21. Senator Wooten.  
22. SENATOR WOOTEN:  
23. No, the commissioner now has the authority to move in,  
24. close banks, sell it, the whole business; he has that authority  
25. now. What he doesn't have, it says right...yeah, the right  
26. to merge; and he..as he pointed out...I'm just trying to repeat  
27. to you what I thought was interesting, cogent, reasonable  
28. testimony, that I found very persuasive. He cited a specific  
29. instance where a bank was failing, another bank wanted to  
30. merge with that bank, the depositors would have benefited;  
31. everybody would have benefited, but he had no authority to  
32. do that. They had to close the bank, and the depositors  
33. suffered more than they would...they would not have suffered

1. at all had the bank merged; and he thought that was something  
2. that ought, then, an option to be in the law and it sounded  
3. reasonable to me.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Senator Daley.

6. SENATOR DALEY:

7. Yeah. Senator Wooten, since you heard the testimony, we  
8. would like...like to hear what banks are involved in it. If  
9. it was the last year or two years, I haven't heard of any  
10. serious situation.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

12. Further discussion? Senator Demuzio.

13. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

14. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
15. Senate. I would like to ask Senator Weaver a couple of questions  
16. if I may for the record. In the event, under this Amendment  
17. No. 4, in the event that there is a merger, the resulting bank...  
18. could it presumably, then, operate the existing facility of  
19. the...of the failing bank, if the commissioner so designates?

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

21. Senator Weaver.

22. SENATOR WEAVER:

23. It would have to be operated as a facility of the surviving  
24. bank. It could not be the main office, like we know it today;  
25. it would have to be a facility.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. Senator...Demuzio.

28. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

29. Conceivably then, the resulting bank could operate as  
30. many as six banking facilities under this amendment.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. Senator Weaver.

33. SENATOR WEAVER:



1. I think it would be the main bank and five facilities,  
2. Senator.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

4. Senator Demuzio.

5. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

6. My last...my last question for the record, is it conceivable...  
7. back to Senator Ozinga's question, is it conceivable that the  
8. comptroller of the currency or other Federal banking regulatory  
9. agencies can interpret this Act as allowing branch banking, and  
10. therefore...

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

12. Senator Weaver.

13. SENATOR WEAVER:

14. Well, about...if I thought so, I certainly would Table  
15. the bill forthwith.

16. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

17. I...I'm only asking the questions for the record,  
18. 'cause I understand perfectly well your feelings in this regard.  
19. Thank you.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

21. Further discussion? Senator Gitz.

22. SENATOR GITZ:

23. Mr. President and members of the Senate, life will go on  
24. whether this amendment is passed or not; but in answer to  
25. Senator Daley's question, the original testimony in committee,  
26. related to a bank in the south side of Chicago that was  
27. failing. There were two major objections that were of concern  
28. to some of us in committee. One of them is what conditions;  
29. what constituted an emergency, which is, I think, what one of the  
30. previous amendments was to address. The second question that  
31. came up in committee, which some of us still feel uneasy about,  
32. was what constituted proper notice of the shareholders; and  
33. it was suggested to us that the rights of the depositor and

1. the interest of the depositor should really take precedence  
2. over the shareholder, and that the directors would act in  
3. their behalf. There are some of us that question, in terms  
4. of the necessity of a time factor, that this is going to happen  
5. so quickly that there is no reason that we can notify share-  
6. holders, which is one of the things suggested by the testimony.  
7. Frankly, I would suggest to you that most of the time when a  
8. bank is in trouble, that's usually well known to the share-  
9. holders, to the directors and to many other people as well.  
10. So, it's a dealer's choice. But those are some of the major  
11. points that were brought out in committee, and there are some  
12. people who legitimately are worried about this bill; and it  
13. has been suggested to us that the present director...the  
14. present...Bill Harris is a...going to take a very reasonable  
15. interpretation, but I'm sure he will not be the bank's  
16. commissioner forever.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Further discussion? Senator Egan.

19. SENATOR EGAN:

20. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.  
21. What we are doing, in fact, is arguing the passage of the bill,  
22. when, in fact, we should be arguing whether or not the amend-  
23. ment should go on the bill. I...I would suggest that, Senator  
24. Weaver, you certainly have a right to put the bill in the  
25. condition in which you wish. As I understand it, the amendment  
26. has very little change from the original bill. Amendment No.  
27. 3 is technical, Amendment No. 4 does enumerate the conditions  
28. before which such a merger can take place. Now, my...my  
29. feeling on the matter is going to be quite inconsistent with  
30. that on 3rd reading. So, I just make that comment.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. Senator Egan's point is well taken. We are on Amendment  
33. No. 4 and the adoption thereof. Is there further discussion?

1. The motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay.  
2. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Are there  
3. further amendments?  
4. SECRETARY:  
5. Amendment No. 5, offered by Senator Bowers.  
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
7. Senator Bowers is recognized.  
8. SENATOR BOWERS:  
9. Is that with the designation A, Mr. Secretary?  
10. SECRETARY:  
11. Yes, Senator Bowers.  
12. SENATOR BOWERS:  
13. Okay. That's the correct amendment. As the debate has  
14. pointed out up to now, there are a lot of us...even those of  
15. us who feel there ought to be some relaxation of the unit  
16. banking rules, who are a little nervous about the possibility of  
17. doing it by bureaucratic fiat, if I may use that term. We  
18. have pointed to the question of savings and loans; what  
19. happened in those instances, and I would point out to you  
20. that under the bill as it exists now without further amendment,  
21. we would have banking facilities in the State of Illinois as  
22. Senator Weaver indicated, with up to five separate banking  
23. facilities. And in the past when the Feds have attempted to  
24. construe this they have indicated that this is branch banking,  
25. or this is branching; at least that's what happened in the  
26. savings and loan area. So, to be brief, this amendment simply  
27. says that within six months after this merger, they have to  
28. do away with those three additional...or up to three additional  
29. facilities they may have acquired by virtue of the major...  
30. of the merger, so we do not have a bank in the State of Illinois  
31. that is, in fact, existing and in a better position than all  
32. the rest of the banks. I think it's a very reasonable amendment,  
33. and I would move for its adoption.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
2. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 5. Is there discussion?  
3. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment  
4. No. 5 is adopted...Further Floor amendments?  
5. SECRETARY:  
6. No...no further amendments.  
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
8. Senator Demuzio, for what purpose do you arise?  
9. SENATOR DEMUZIO:  
10. I was under the impression there was...another amendment  
11. that had been filed on foreign ownership. Has that amendment  
12. been withdrawn or not...not filed?  
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
14. Mr. Secretary, are there any further amendments?  
15. SECRETARY:  
16. No further amendments.  
17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
18. Senator Demuzio.  
19. SENATOR DEMUZIO:  
20. Senator Weaver, was there another amendment on foreign  
21. ownership that was withdrawn?  
22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
23. Senator Weaver.  
24. SENATOR WEAVER:  
25. I was persuaded that we shouldn't offer that amendment.  
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
27. Further discussion? 3rd reading. House Bill 2793, Senator  
28. McLendon. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.  
29. SECRETARY:  
30. House Bill 2793.  
31. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
32. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.  
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1. Senator McLendon. Are...are there amendments from the  
2. Floor?

3. SECRETARY:

4. Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Martin.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. Senator Martin is recognized.

7. SENATOR MARTIN:

8. Yes. This is a relatively major amendment, since it  
9. does change the bill to a great extent. First of all, it  
10. does remove most of the bill and replaces it with a Grant  
11. Program, rather...entitled Essential Hospital Services Grants.  
12. It removes portions of the bill that were very difficult for  
13. people to support, including pre-registration, prospective  
14. payments and the set-up of a whole new administrative unit.  
15. Additionally, it recognizes the needs for good hospital care;  
16. not only in the urban areas, but in the rural areas. So,  
17. instead of just being a Cook County Hospital bill, this  
18. becomes a bill that will insure good hospital care for those  
19. citizens, not only of...in Cook, but in those small rural  
20. areas that are also having difficulties with hospital costs.  
21. The whole program, of course, is dependent upon appropriations  
22. from the General Assembly; otherwise, it does not take effect,  
23. and I think it makes a bill that clearly helped only those who  
24. happen to reside in Cook County...it makes it a bill that  
25. now can help many people throughout the State, while continuing  
26. to help those at Cook County Hospital and the hospital itself  
27. that needs our financial help.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29. Is there discussion? Senator McLendon.

30. SENATOR McLENDON:

31. Mr. Speaker, the proponent of the bill objects to the  
32. amendment. The bill does, as Senator Martin states, declare  
33. that certain hospitals, which have incurred revenue deficiencies

1. because of their historic commitment and service to the poor,  
2. deserves State assistance to insure continuous operation. In  
3. summary, the bill requires the Department of Public Aid to pre-  
4. register potential Medicaid recipients; require the Department  
5. of Public Aid to make periodic impaction payment to hospitals  
6. whose reimbursement from...from Medicaid General Assistance and  
7. Aid to the Medically Indigent Programs are in excess of twenty  
8. percent of the total reimbursement received by the hospital  
9. over the past three years. Standing in support of the bill,  
10. the Illinois Nurses' Association and the Board of Commissions  
11. of Cook County. Thank you, I have nothing further.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. On adoption...we are on the adoption of Amendment No. 1.  
14. Is there discussion of Amendment No. 1? Senator Washington.  
15. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

16. Yes, Mr. President. Senator Martin's amendment is  
17. laudatory and I certainly subscribe to the concept; but it  
18. does depart radically from the bill; so much so that I think  
19. she would jeopardize the bill. There's a serious, serious  
20. matter, Senator Martin, in Cook County, as you know; although  
21. this bill is not confined to that, and it's of an emergency  
22. nature and that's why this bill came about, that...it deals  
23. specifically or not exclusively with Cook County. I am of  
24. the opinion that it might be better if you didn't force this  
25. amendment or push this amendment, but rather offered it as  
26. a separate bill at a later time. I have a strong feeling that  
27. even if we put it on this particular bill, it would not get  
28. back through the House; so I laud what you're doing, and I encourage  
29. what you're saying, but I think it would jeopardize the viability  
30. of this particular House bill.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. Further discussion? Senator D'Arco.

33. SENATOR D'ARCO:

1. Thank you, Mr. President. Can I ask the sponsor a  
2. question?  
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
4. Indicates she will yield. Senator D'Arco.  
5. SENATOR D'ARCO:  
6. My understanding...you took out the pre-registration provision  
7. that provided that the Department would pre-register Public  
8. Aid recipients to receive medical care at Cook County Hospital,  
9. is that correct?  
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
11. Senator Martin.  
12. SENATOR MARTIN:  
13. Yes.  
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
15. Senator D'Arco.  
16. SENATOR D'ARCO:  
17. Can you give me your rationale for doing that, please?  
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
19. Senator Martin.  
20. SENATOR MARTIN:  
21. The Legislature has wisely, in the past, tried to avoid  
22. prepayment in everything from education to health care,  
23. knowing that prepayment has within its very definition the  
24. possibilities of both fraud and a lack of being able to audit  
25. and track it. The concept of prepayment, paying for services  
26. not yet rendered, has been expressly forbidden to the Depart-  
27. ment of Public Aid; and I think that is something that is  
28. not particularly partisan, it is just wise, fiscally. This  
29. bill does remove that, while at the same time providing even  
30. more money for Cook County Hospital, but yes, it removes  
31. prepayment.  
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
33. Further discussion? Senator D'Arco. Okay. Further

1. discussion of Amendment No. 1? Senator Collins.

2. SENATOR COLLINS:

3. A question of the sponsor.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Indicates she will yield. Senator Collins.

6. SENATOR COLLINS:

7. Your amendment, let me understand this, it removes the

8. section on pre-registration and it substitutes a grant

9. mechanism for making a grant to any...any hospital for...how

10. does...because I just picked up this amendment, and I...I

11. really haven't looked...could you tell me a little about

12. what's the procedure?

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

14. Senator Martin.

15. SENATOR MARTIN:

16. Certainly. There are two procedures. One for the rural

17. hospitals and one for hospitals located in high density urban

18. areas, as defined by the United States...excuse me, I just

19. ate a candy corn...United States Census. If a hospital falls

20. within that definition, and it's also defined by patient

21. days, and, quite truthfully, it would be Cook County Hospital

22. that would fall into that definition. It would be...then,

23. it could apply for the grants and the Department would give it

24. the grants, depending on how much we appropriated; and that...

25. that part of it, of course, is the same as Senator McLendon's,

26. you have to appropriate the money. But Cook County Hospital,

27. under the same dollars, for instance, that Senator McLendon will...

28. or had proposed in another bill, Cook County Hospital would

29. get more in this bill; and the Department of Public Aid makes

30. the determination based on the criterion and criteria, both,

31. set in this bill, which not only include Cook County Hospital,

32. but to some extent, makes very sure that Cook County Hospital,

33. which needs the help desperately for good medical treatment,



HB 2831  
2nd Reading  
6-24-80

1. does get those grants. But it does remove prepayment, which  
2. is, offensive is perhaps the wrong word, but just not the  
3. route to go.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Senator Collins, further discussion? The motion is to  
6. adopt Amendment No. 1. On the motion to adopt, all in favor  
7. say Aye. Opposed Nay. There's been a request for a roll  
8. call. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Those in  
9. favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting  
10. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?  
11. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,  
12. the Ayes are 28, the Nays are 31. Amendment No. 1 is lost.  
13. Further amendments?

14. SECRETARY:

15. No further amendments.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. 3rd reading. House Bill 2831, Senator Knuppel. Read  
18. the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

19. SECRETARY:

20. House Bill 2831.

21. (Secretary reads title of bill)

22. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

24. Are there amendments from the Floor?

25. SECRETARY:

26. No Floor amendments.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. 3rd reading. House Bill 2975, Senator Berman. Read the  
29. bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

30. SECRETARY:

31. House Bill 2975.

32. (Secretary reads title of bill)

33. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
2. Are there amendments from the Floor?  
3. SECRETARY:  
4. Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Berman.  
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
6. Senator Berman.  
7. SENATOR BERMAN:  
8. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment builds into  
9. Senate Bill...House Bill 2975 the provisions that were in  
10. Senate Bill 569 and also a...element dealing with the payback  
11. of the orphanage...appropriation. The purpose of this bill  
12. will be to spread out over five years instead of three...five  
13. years, the claims for special education and orphanage claims  
14. that will no longer be made because under this bill, as  
15. amended, their payments for special ed will be on a current  
16. basis. I move the adoption of Amendment No. 1.  
17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
18. Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Discussion? All in  
19. favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.  
20. 1 is adopted. Are there further Floor amendments?  
21. SECRETARY:  
22. No further amendments.  
23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
24. 3rd reading. House Bill 3024, Senator Regner. Administrative  
25. Services Department. House Bill 3025, Senator DeAngelis. The  
26. Secretary indicates that there is an amendment filed, Senator  
27. Regner, on 3024, are you ready to proceed? Read the bill, Mr.  
28. Secretary.  
29. SECRETARY:  
30. House Bill 3024.  
31. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
32. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I  
33. offers one amendment.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
2. Senator Carroll.  
3. SENATOR CARROLL:  
4. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of  
5. the Senate. This is an eight percent solution amendment,  
6. with various cuts in certain Personal Services line items  
7. for some additional people that Administrative Services  
8. wanted to add in all their various accounts. I would move  
9. adoption of this amendment; we have a Floor amendment to  
10. give back some of the positions that meets with the approval  
11. of the director, and I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.  
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
13. Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Discussion? All in  
14. favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.  
15. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?  
16. SECRETARY:  
17. No further committee amendments.  
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
19. Are there amendments from the Floor?  
20. SECRETARY:  
21. Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Carroll.  
22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
23. Senator Carroll.  
24. SENATOR CARROLL:  
25. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
26. Senate. I presume this is the one that restores some of the  
27. IIS Equipment money. They had bought some new equipment,  
28. and...or were ordering some new equipment. We had pulled out  
29. all the new money, until we had it identified; it's now been  
30. identified, and this restores some of that money. I would  
31. move adoption of Amendment No. 2.  
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
33. Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Discussion? All in

1. favor say Aye. Indicates there may be some...are we ready  
2. to adopt Amendment No. 2? All in favor say Aye. Opposed  
3. Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further  
4. Floor amendments?

5. SECRETARY:

6. No further amendments.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. 3rd reading. House Bill 3025, Senator DeAngelis. Read  
9. the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

10. SECRETARY:

11. House Bill 3025.

12. (Secretary reads title of bill)

13. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations  
14. I offers three amendments.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

16. Senator Carroll, on Amendment No. 1.

17. SENATOR CARROLL:

18. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
19. Senate. In the Department of Revenue we made about a million  
20. five hundred thousand dollar cut in their billion plus budget,  
21. applying the eight percent solution and eliminating several  
22. of the new personnel requests made by the Department. We do  
23. have a Floor amendment, after discussions with the director,  
24. to add back some of those positions. I would move adoption  
25. of Amendment No. 1.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Discussion? All in  
28. favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.  
29. 1 is adopted. Amendment No. 2, Senator Carroll.

30. SENATOR CARROLL:

31. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
32. Senate. Committee Amendment No. 2 was at the request of  
33. Senator Sangmeister, and adds back the monies for the land

1. assessment project and I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.  
2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
3. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor Aye.  
4. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted.  
5. Amendment No. 3, Senator Carroll.  
6. SENATOR CARROLL:  
7. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
8. Senate. This is a re-appropriation for EDP Line, and I  
9. would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3.  
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
11. On the motion to adopt, all in favor say Aye. Opposed  
12. Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Amend-  
13. ment No. 4, Senator Carroll.  
14. SENATOR CARROLL:  
15. This is a Floor amendment...hang on.  
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
17. ...Further committee amendments?  
18. SECRETARY:  
19. No further committee amendments.  
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
21. Are there amendments from the Floor?  
22. SECRETARY:  
23. Amendment No. 4, offered by Senator DeAngelis.  
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
25. Senator Carroll, to explain Amendment No. 4.  
26. SENATOR CARROLL:  
27. Amendment No. 4 would be the break-out of the Lottery  
28. Control Board, and I would move adoption; it breaks it out  
29. into standard line item accounts. I would move adoption  
30. of Amendment No. 4.  
31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
32. Motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye.  
33. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is adopted.

1. Any further...further Floor amendments?

2. SECRETARY:

3. Amendment No. 5, offered by Senator Carroll.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Senator Carroll, on Amendment No. 5.

6. SENATOR CARROLL:

7. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

8. Senate. After discussion with the director and the Department,

9. this adds back some five hundred thousand of that million

10. five that was taken in Amendment No. 1 for audit and collection,

11. legal and investigating, to handle the problems they have been

12. experiencing in the auditing and collecting of the State Income

13. Tax. It also adds back some money for lotteries, to help

14. develop some new games, which supposedly will be revenue

15. producing. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 5.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. Motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye.

18. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 5 is adopted.

19. Further Floor amendments?

20. SECRETARY:

21. No further amendments.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. 3rd reading. House Bill 3026, Senator Regner. Read the

24. bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

25. SECRETARY:

26. House Bill 3026.

27. (Secretary reads title of bill)

28. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I

29. offers Amendment No. 1 through 7.

30.

31. (End of reel)

32.

33.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

2. May we have some order, please. Amendment No. 1, Senator  
3. Carroll.

4. SENATOR CARROLL:

5. Why, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen  
6. of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 brings the bill back  
7. to its original divisions. Now that we have this new Department  
8. of Commerce and Community Affairs, the House had put it back  
9. into its old form of the three agencies, we have now restored  
10. it to the new Commerce and Community Affairs. I would move  
11. adoption of Amendment No. 1.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say  
14. Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is  
15. adopted. Further amendments?

16. SECRETARY:

17. Committee Amendment No. 2.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

19. Senator Carroll.

20. SENATOR CARROLL:

21. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
22. Senate. Committee Amendment No. 2 is a eight percent solution  
23. with various personnel cuts of about a million dollars. We  
24. have a restoration amendment after discussions with the director,  
25. which will come as a Floor amendment. I would move adoption of  
26. Committee Amendment No. 2.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say  
29. Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, Amendment No. 2 is  
30. adopted. Further committee amendments?

31. SECRETARY:

32. Committee Amendment No. 3.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1. Senator Carroll.

2. SENATOR CARROLL:

3. Why, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen  
4. of the Senate. This adds...this cuts those projects of...in  
5. excess of a million dollars that were added on by the House  
6. to bring it back to its pure and pristine form. I would move  
7. adoption of Amendment No. 3.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

9. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say  
10. Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, Amendment No. 3 is adopted.  
11. Further committee amendments?

12. SECRETARY:

13. Committee Amendment No. 4.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. Senator Carroll.

16. SENATOR CARROLL:

17. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
18. Senate. This eliminates grants in the new category of Federal  
19. Funds that were...to various State agencies. We were awaiting  
20. appropriate communication from each of these departments that  
21. were getting the grants that they would not use General Revenue  
22. Funds to back them up. At the time we'd adopted this, we did  
23. not have all those communications. Now that we have, should it  
24. get to conference, we would then look at it again. I would  
25. move adoption of Amendment No. 4.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say  
28. Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, Amendment No. 4 is adopted.  
29. Further committee amendments?

30. SECRETARY:

31. Committee Amendment No. 5.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Senator Carroll.



1. SENATOR CARROLL:  
2.           Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
3. Senate. This is a breakout of the port promotion line item  
4. into various line items, makes no dollar change. I would move  
5. adoption of Amendment No. 5.  
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
7.           The motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed  
8. Nay. The Ayes have it, Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Further  
9. committee amendments?  
10. SECRETARY:  
11.           Committee Amendment No. 6.  
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
13.           Senator Carroll.  
14. SENATOR CARROLL:  
15.           Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
16. Senate. This is a request from the department for ten thousand  
17. dollars to pay for a judgment that was rendered against the  
18. department. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 6.  
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
20.           The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say  
21. Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, Amendment No.6 is adopted.  
22. Further committee amendments?  
23. SECRETARY:  
24.           Committee Amendment No. 7.  
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
26.           Senator Carroll.  
27. SENATOR CARROLL:  
28.           Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
29. Senate. This is a technical amendment that also restores five  
30. Federally funded positions that were cut by the House and makes  
31. some technical...word changes in the other parts of the bill.  
32. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 7.  
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1. Senator Carroll has moved adoption of Amendment No. 7.
2. Discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have
3. it, Amendment No. 7 is adopted. Further committee amendments?
4. SECRETARY:
5. No further committee amendments.
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
7. Are there amendments from the Floor?
8. SECRETARY:
9. Amendment No. 8 offered by Senator Hall.
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
11. Senator Hall is recognized. Is Senator Hall on the Floor?
12. Is Senator Hall on the Floor? All right. Secretary indicates
13. we have three other amendments. Perhaps we can take his out
14. of order and he'll be back on the Floor by the time we conclude.
15. Further Floor amendments?
16. SECRETARY:
17. Amendment No. 8 offered by Senator Regner.
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
19. Senator Regner is recognized.
20. SENATOR REGNER:
21. Yes, Mr. President and members. This is a technical amend-
22. ment, it puts five percent transferability in for the rest of
23. the State projects. Move its adoption.
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
25. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 8. Is there discussion?
26. For what purpose does Senator Johns arise?
27. SENATOR JOHNS:
28. Just a point of personal privilege. Now, Senator Carroll,
29. Senator Hall is off as some of the others have been. Will you
30. bring this back for him to discuss...Regner.
31. SENATOR REGNER:
32. Oh, yes, I'd like to talk about Senator Hall's amendments.
33. SENATOR JOHNS:
34. Okay, thank you...you will, Regner, thank you.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
2. Amendment No. 8. Discussion? All in favor say Aye.  
3. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 8 is adopted.  
4. Further Floor amendments?  
5. SECRETARY:  
6. Amendment No. 9 offered by Senator Carroll.  
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
8. Senator Carroll is recognized, on Amendment No. 9.  
9. SENATOR CARROLL:  
10. Which one is it, would you...  
11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
12. Nine.  
13. SENATOR CARROLL:  
14. Identify it, Ken.  
15. SECRETARY:  
16. Oh, on page 1, line 12, by deleting 406300 and inserting  
17. in lieu thereof...  
18. SENATOR CARROLL:  
19. Okay, this amendment is to restore the amounts reduced  
20. in committee for certain of the specific Federal grants on  
21. which we had received letters. I would move adoption of Amend-  
22. ment No. 9.  
23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
24. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 9. Discussion?  
25. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, Amend-  
26. ment No. 9 is adopted. Further amendments?  
27. SECRETARY:  
28. Amendment No. 10 offered by Senator Donnewald.  
29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
30. Senator Donnewald on the Floor? Senator Donnewald, on  
31. Amendment No. 10.  
32. SENATOR DONNEWALD:  
33. This..this increases the appropriation for the local share  
34. of the State's Attorneys' cost for counties with a population

1. of twenty to thirty thousand with mental health institutions.  
2. It affects three counties out of the entire State and what  
3. the Department of Mental Health has done, has imposed upon  
4. the State's Attorneys duties that they had not previously  
5. had and for conservatorships and so on and the amount allotted  
6. to them now only takes care of about a month's expenses to  
7. the...to those three State's Attorneys' Office. I would move  
8. for the adoption.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 10. Is there  
11. discussion? Senator Regner.

12. SENATOR REGNER:

13. Question of the sponsor of the amendment?

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. Indicates he will yield. Senator Regner.

16. SENATOR REGNER:

17. Senator...Senator Donnewald, wouldn't this take substantive  
18. language changes in order to...make it practical?

19. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

20. That is in the...

21. SENATOR REGNER:

22. Is there a vehicle?

23. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

24. There is...there is a vehicle and Senator...Senator...Senator  
25. Bowers indicated that he would...pull back one of his bills to  
26. take that substantive language in...into that bill.

27. SENATOR REGNER:

28. Okay...if it...by some chance it shouldn't go, we'll take  
29. it back tomorrow and take this off then, right?

30. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

31. Perfectly all right.

32. SENATOR REGNER:

33. Okay.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

2. The motion is to adopt. Further discussion? All in  
3. favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, Amendment No. 10  
4. is adopted. Further Floor amendments?

5. SECRETARY:

6. Amendment No. 11 offered by Senator Rupp.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. Senator Rupp is recognized on Amendment No. 11.

9. SENATOR RUPP:

10. Well, what this...what this does...the amendment increases  
11. the Industrial Development Grants from five hundred thousand  
12. up to one million five hundred thousand. Senate Bill 3...3401  
13. creates a program to facilitate the agreements for job training  
14. between new or expanding industrial firms and institutions of  
15. education in Illinois. This...we have heard that some of the  
16. other states have had this program. It has given excellent  
17. results as far as gaining new industry and I ask a favorable  
18. vote on this amendment.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 11. Is there discussion?  
21. Senator Regner.

22. SENATOR REGNER:

23. Yes, Mr. President and members. This is the same situation  
24. as Senator Donnewald's. There is a substantive... bill, if that  
25. doesn't pass tomorrow, we'll bring it back and take it off. Okay?

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye.  
28. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 11 is adopted.  
29. Further...Floor amendments?

30. SECRETARY:

31. Amendment No. 12 offered by Senator Hall.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Is Senator Hall on the Floor? Senator, Amendment No. 12 to

1. the appropriation for Department of Commerce and Community  
2. Affairs. Senator Hall is recognized.  
3. SENATOR HALL:  
4. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
5. Senate. I have two amendments, now tell me which one is eleven  
6. and which one is twelve.  
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
8. We're on twelve.  
9. SECRETARY:  
10. I only have one, Senator Hall, for you and that's twelve.  
11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
12. Would you identify...  
13. SENATOR HALL:  
14. Should be two up there.  
15. SECRETARY:  
16. This one here is the...amending House Bill 3026 by inserting  
17. after Section 9.1 the following; Section 9.2, sum of eighty  
18. thousand dollars or such, so forth.  
19. SENATOR HALL:  
20. Yeah, there should be another one that...  
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
22. Well, perhaps, Senator Hall, if we could proceed with this one, we'll  
23. locate another one for you.  
24. SENATOR HALL:  
25. All right. This amendment would appropriate eighty thousand  
26. for ten houses to be rehabilitated by the Housing Authority of  
27. East St. Louis. May I have a little order? Thank you. Those  
28. funds would be appropriated to the Department of Commerce for  
29. the Housing Authority to renovate FHA and tax delinquent properties  
30. to...so that they could be used in Section 8...set asides, which  
31. are rent allowances. The Housing Authority would spend eight  
32. thousand dollars for a house for improvement. I would ask for your  
33. support of this amendment.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
2. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? Senator Regner.  
3. SENATOR REGNER:  
4. Question of the sponsor.  
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
6. Indicates he will yield. Senator Regner.  
7. SENATOR REGNER:  
8. You know, Senator Hall, you and Representative Younge  
9. have been very prolific the last two days in drafting amend-  
10. ments to various bills. And what I want to know is, Senator Hall...  
11. have you totaled all these bills and amendments up...as  
12. how much you're going to be spending?  
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
14. ...Senator Hall.  
15. SENATOR HALL:  
16. Very nominal...very nominal, Senator Regner.  
17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
18. Further discussion? Senator Regner.  
19. SENATOR REGNER:  
20. Well...Representative Younge came over to me this morning  
21. and asked if we...that I would approve and accept this amendment.  
22. I suggest to her...was over the budget and it's for Federal  
23. funds that are...there's a very remote possibility they'd  
24. ever be loosened in Washington. I suggested she go down  
25. to Bob Mandeville as we requested many other individuals with  
26. pet projects to do to get it approved as being in...to get a  
27. letter of approval. Obviously she didn't get the letter because  
28. she never came back. But the word really is that the Federal  
29. funds aren't available. I think it's absolutely ludicrous to  
30. put amendments on to expend eighty thousand more dollars be  
31. they Federal funds or General Revenue funds, if they're just  
32. not going to be available and give the Chief Executive the  
33. opportunity to say we're big spenders and appropriate over and

1. above his...the balanced budget. So, I'd urge the defeat of  
2. this amendment.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

4. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 12. All in favor  
5. say Aye. Opposed Nay. In...well, in the opinion of the Chair,  
6. Senator Hall, you have lost your amendment unless you request  
7. ...been a request for a roll call. Those in favor of adoption  
8. of Amendment No. 12 will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote  
9. Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have  
10. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the  
11. Ayes are 24, the Nays are 30, Amendment No. 12 is lost. Further  
12. Floor amendments?

13. SECRETARY:

14. Amendment No. 13 offered by Senator Hall.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

16. Senator Hall, on Amendment No. 13.

17. SENATOR HALL:

18. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen. This  
19. is an amendment that you can...that...that's what I'm going  
20. to tell right now. This amendment makes available one million,  
21. nine hundred thousand dollars for loans for industrial park  
22. development in St. Clair County. It will make Illinois eligible  
23. for more than two million, three hundred thousand, in Federal  
24. funds for industrial park purposes. This amount will be  
25. served as a thirty percent matching fair...share to a seventy cent  
26. ...percent that can be obtained from the Federal Government for  
27. industrial park development. Illinois can be successful in  
28. turning the business climate around in St. Clair County. We  
29. need to have developed industrial park...sites to offer a potential  
30. firm, which can be to locate in Illinois...the ability to do so.  
31. We need this, this amendment will make possible the site for  
32. a new location for Hunter Packing Company rather than this  
33. company moving into Missouri. And this employs close to eight  
34. hundred people...and indicates this is a favorite site. I



1. would ask...at least this would save twenty million dollars in  
2. payroll and will stop the crushing blow on Hunter leaving this  
3. State. I would ask...you know, there was so much talk about  
4. business going out of the State, this is a chance to keep  
5. industry here. I ask your most favorable support of this and  
6. even Senator Regner can't oppose this.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? Senator Regner.

9. SENATOR REGNER:

10. Senator Hall, you know that statement wasn't true. This  
11. ...this is, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this is  
12. for 1. million dollars of General Revenue money this time,  
13. for a projects...that's been defeated for the last several  
14. years here in the Senate. It has been defeated in the House...  
15. it has passed the House, but it's always lost here in the  
16. Senate. It's 1.9 million dollars for one county only, for  
17. one project. In fact, one year I think we even amended it  
18. to the million dollars...would be available for a State-  
19. wide project such as this and even that wasn't accepted. I  
20. suggest again, this is another rip-off for one individual  
21. part of the State of 1.9 million dollars of General Revenue  
22. funds and I'd urge the amendment be defeated.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

24. Further discussion? Senator Hall may close.

25. SENATOR HALL:

26. Well, once again, at the close of the Session, I run into  
27. one of the Big Four. Now, what's happening here, is that they're  
28. beginning to even dress alike, if you notice around here, they're  
29. kind of... Now, what we're going to have to do, is this, it's  
30. not left up to the discretion of one of the Big Four to decide  
31. what...this is the chance to bring two million, three hundred  
32. thousand dollars of Federal money into this State. Everybody is  
33. crying today that industry is leaving Illinois. This is a plant

1. located...that employs over eight hundred people. This is a good  
2. investment. Now, if one of the Big Four was thinking right, I  
3. didn't watch the other...voting up there, but anyway, I would  
4. say this. It's a great loss to the State of Illinois if we  
5. lose this amendment. I would ask your most favorable support  
6. of this amendment.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. The motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed  
9. Nay. All right, there's been a request for a roll call. Those  
10. in favor of adoption of Amendment No. 13 will vote Aye. Those  
11. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted  
12. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?  
13. Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 27, the Nays  
14. are 30. Amendment No. 13 is lost. Further amendments?

15. SECRETARY:

16. Amendment No. 14 offered by Senator Buzbee.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Senator Buzbee is recognized on Amendment No. 14.

19. SENATOR BUZBEE:

20. Am I on? Okay. This is an addition of one hundred twelve  
21. thousand, five hundred dollars to the Department of Mental Health  
22. and Developmental Disabilities for the purpose of fulfilling a  
23. requirement as established by this General Assembly in the  
24. passage of the new Mental Health Advocacy Code last year. You'll  
25. recall that one of the things we did in there was that we told  
26. the public defenders of every county where there's a mental  
27. institution that they have to become the legal advocate for  
28. those folks in those institutions who decide that they want  
29. those kinds of services and who say I can't afford it. But  
30. then we didn't put any dollars to follow up to those counties.  
31. Now, through an amendment that I previously placed on the  
32. Department of Mental Health and...and Developmental Disabilities  
33. back in committee, I took care of two of the counties in the

1. State to the tune of seventy-five hundred dollars per year  
2. apiece. This would simply add the fifteen additional counties  
3. in the State who have mental institutions in their counties  
4. and where the public defender in those counties has to be  
5. the advocate for those patients that want their...their services.  
6. So this is a total addition of one hundred twelve thousand,  
7. five hundred dollars for the fifteen counties that we left  
8. out. The other amendment we put on in the...in the Department's  
9. budget, we added two of the counties on at seventy-five hundred  
10. apiece. So, I would move for its adoption.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

12. The motion is to adopt. Is there discussion? Senator  
13. Regner.

14. SENATOR REGNER:

15. Question of the sponsor. Senator Buzbee, is this similar  
16. to the...Senator Donnewald's situation for State's Attorneys  
17. or...I haven't seen the amendment before, so I...I have no  
18. background on it at all.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20. Senator Buzbee.

21. SENATOR BUZBEE:

22. Yes, I apologize for that, Senator, we just now got the  
23. amendment drawn. It is similar, except that, in his case, the  
24. State's Attorneys, it takes Statutory change. In this case,  
25. we already changed the Statute last year in the Mental Health  
26. Code revision, but we didn't put any money in...in the budget  
27. and the Department has not allocated any monies for public  
28. defenders. And what happens, it was a bill that I, you'll  
29. recall, I very reluctantly voted for, but everybody that says,  
30. I think I am being mistreated, therefore, I need help of an  
31. attorney...the public defender has to pick it up in that county.  
32. And in my little county is...which I've already taken care of  
33. in another amendment, but in my little county it's...it's breaking

1. the county treasury, because they have a big mental institution  
2. and a very small county treasury.  
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
4. The motion is to adopt. Senator Regner.  
5. SENATOR REGNER:  
6. Well, we're probably going to be bringing this bill back  
7. tomorrow anyway, let's go ahead and put it on and Senator Buzbee  
8. and I can discuss it and if...if there is a problem, we can  
9. always adjust it then.  
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
11. Motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay.  
12. The Ayes have it, Amendment No. 14 is adopted. Further amend-  
13. ments?  
14. SECRETARY:  
15. No further amendments.  
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
17. 3rd reading. House Bill 3028, Senator Nimrod. Read the  
18. bill, Mr. Secretary, please.  
19. SECRETARY:  
20. House Bill 3028.  
21. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
22. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I  
23. offers three amendments.  
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
25. Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 1.  
26. SENATOR CARROLL:  
27. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of  
28. the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is an eight percent  
29. solution making some other modest cuts in the EPA budget and I'd  
30. be willing to answer questions. Move adoption of Committee  
31. Amendment No. 1.  
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
33. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. All...discussion?  
34. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, Amendment  
35. No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

1. SECRETARY:  
2.           Committee Amendment No. 2.  
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
4.           Senator Carroll.  
5. SENATOR CARROLL:  
6.           Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
7. Senate. This is a no dollar impact, it breaks out, however,  
8. the reappropriated Antipollution Bond Funds by each...by each  
9. approved project. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.  
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
11.           The motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed  
12. Nay. The Ayes have it, Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further  
13. committee amendments?  
14. SECRETARY:  
15.           Committee Amendment No. 3.  
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
17.           Senator Carroll.  
18. SENATOR CARROLL:  
19.           Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of  
20. the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 3 makes the appropriation  
21. for the 208 and NERP grants. I would move adoption of Amend-  
22. ment No. 3.  
23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
24.           The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say  
25. Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is  
26. adopted. Further committee amendments?  
27. SECRETARY:  
28.           No further committee amendments.  
29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
30.           Are there amendments from the Floor?  
31. SECRETARY:  
32.           Amendment No. 4 offered by Senators Becker and Rhoads.  
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1. Senator Becker.

2. SENATOR CARROLL:

3. Pull that.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. ...Wait a minute, let's...is Senator Becker on the Floor?

6. Amendment No. 4, Senator. All right, withdraw the amendment.

7. SECRETARY:

8. Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Nimrod.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Senator Nimrod, you have Amendment No. 4. Do you wish

11. to proceed with it?

12. SECRETARY:

13. Well, it's quite lengthy, it's on page 1, line 25, by

14. deleting six hundred and sixty-three thousand, inserting

15. in lieu thereof, six hundred and ninety-six thousand and so

16. forth.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Senator Nimrod on Amendment No. 4.

19. SENATOR NIMROD:

20. Thank you, Mr. President. This is the restoration funds

21. of some six hundred and thirty-one thousand, nine hundred dollars.

22. It's an...additional grants which were unanticipated at the time

23. of the budget when it was submitted. So, it's restoring these

24. funds...as a result of them being available at this time.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. The motion is to adopt. Is there discussion of that

27. motion? Senator Carroll.

28. SENATOR CARROLL:

29. A...just, I believe the Secretary read it. This is the

30. one that starts on page 1, line 25? All right. Just to correct

31. the record then...it's not the one as explained by Senator

32. Nimrod, which I presume would be the next one. This is a

33. transfer of sixty-nine thousand, five-fifty, from General Revenue

1. to Federal funds to make sure we keep the magic number and  
2. therefore not lose Federal programs by not having identified  
3. the right amounts. I would move adoption of...on behalf of  
4. Senator...Nimrod of committee...or of Floor Amendment No. 4.  
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
6. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say  
7. Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, Amendment No. 4 is  
8. adopted. Further Floor amendments?  
9. SECRETARY:  
10. Amendment No. 5 offered by Senator Nimrod.  
11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
12. Senator Nimrod on Amendment No. 5.  
13. SENATOR NIMROD:  
14. Okay. Amendment No. 5 was the one I explained was No.  
15. 4 and so I'd move for the adoption of that one.  
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
17. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say  
18. Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, Amendment No. 5 is  
19. adopted. Further Floor amendments?  
20. SECRETARY:  
21. No further amendments.  
22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
23. 3rd reading. House Bill 3029, Senator Bloom. Is Senator  
24. Bloom on the Floor? Senator Bloom? Senator Sommer, for what  
25. purpose do you arise?  
26. SENATOR SOMMER:  
27. In his absence, could we move this anyway?  
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
29. Is there leave? Leave is granted.  
30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
31. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.  
32. SECRETARY:  
33. House Bill 3029.

1. (Secretary reads title of bill)

2. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I

3. offers two amendments.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

5. Senator...Senator Carroll.

6. SENATOR CARROLL:

7. Thank...thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen

8. of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is an eight percent

9. solution cutting some of the new positions, not touching any

10. of those that Director Nowlan had gotten The Sun Times to

11. editorialize in favor of, making some other operational cuts

12. which...partially will be restored on a Floor amendment. I

13. would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Committee

16. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3029 be adopted. Those in

17. favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes

18. have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Are there further

19. committee amendments?

20. SECRETARY:

21. Committee Amendment No. 2.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. Senator Carroll.

24. SENATOR CARROLL:

25. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of

26. the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 2...reduces the SAC

27. connection and I would move adoption of Committee Amendment

28. No. 2.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

30. Senator Gitz.

31. SENATOR GITZ:

32. Point of order, Mr. President. It's...

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)



1. State your point.

2. SENATOR GITZ:

3. ...very difficult to follow the amendments which are

4. filed on these bills, both committee and Floor and I would

5. really appreciate it if we could get the din replaced by

6. some silence so we can follow what's going on.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

8. Is there discussion about Committee Amendment No. 2?

9. The question is shall Amendment No. 2 be adopted to House

10. Bill 3029. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay.

11. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted.

12. Are there further committee amendments?

13. SECRETARY:

14. No further committee amendments.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

16. Are there amendments from the Floor?

17. SECRETARY:

18. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Carroll.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

20. Senator Carroll.

21. SENATOR CARROLL:

22. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of

23. the Senate. After discussing this agency with its new

24. Director, our...our former colleague, Director Nowlan, the

25. Medical Disciplinary Board and Dental Examining Committee,

26. required some additional people for both medical disciplinary

27. and dental disciplinary. This is a restoration of some sixty-

28. four thousand, six hundred, to take care of that problem. I

29. would move adoption of Amendment No. 3.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

31. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment

32. No.4...No. 3 to House Bill 3029 be adopted. Those in favor

33. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it, Amendment

1. No. 3 is adopted. Are there further amendments?  
2. SECRETARY:  
3. No further amendments.  
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
5. 3rd reading. House Bill 3035, Senator Sommer. Read the  
6. bill, Mr. Secretary.  
7. SECRETARY:  
8. House Bill 3035.  
9. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
10. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I  
11. offers two amendments.  
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
13. Senator...Carroll.  
14. SENATOR CARROLL:  
15. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of  
16. the Senate. This makes some personnel cuts and puts in the  
17. eight percent solution as well as eliminating some of the  
18. forty-eight new blackboards that Dr. Bob wanted as part of  
19. their move. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.  
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
21. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment  
22. No. 1 to House Bill 3035 be adopted. Those in favor indicate  
23. by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it, Amendment No. 1  
24. is adopted. Are there further committee amendments?  
25. SECRETARY:  
26. Committee Amendment No. 2.  
27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
28. Senator Carroll.  
29. SENATOR CARROLL:  
30. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
31. Senate. I would move to Table Committee Amendment No. 2. This  
32. was to do a study that the Department of Veteran Affairs had  
33. wanted. We did not give the Department the study, we had given

1. it to BOB. The Department felt BOB wouldn't be totally  
2. fair, we have found a neutral third agency, the Auditor General.  
3. So at this time, if we would Table this amendment and add  
4. that...

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
6. The motion is...

7. SENATOR CARROLL:  
8. ...to the Auditor.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
10. ...the motion is to Table Amendment No. 2 to House Bill  
11. 3035. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed.  
12. Amendment No. 2 is Tabled. Are there further committee amend-  
13. ments?

14. SECRETARY:  
15. No further committee amendments.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
17. Are there amendments from the Floor?

18. SECRETARY:  
19. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Sommer.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
21. Senator Sommer. Senator Sommer.

22. SENATOR SOMMER:  
23. This amendment transfers funds for a particular employee.  
24. The Federal funds were diminished and we have to fund it out  
25. out of GR.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
27. Is there discussion? Senator Carroll.

28. SENATOR CARROLL:  
29. Oh, fine.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
31. The question is, shall Amendment No. 3 to House Bill  
32. 3035 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye.  
33. Those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, Amendment No. 3 is adopted.

1. Are there further amendments?
2. SECRETARY:
3. No further amendments.
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
5. 3rd reading. House Bill 3036, Senator Walsh. Senator...
6. read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
7. SECRETARY:
8. House Bill 3036.
9. (Secretary reads title of bill)
10. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
11. offers one amendment.
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
13. Senator Walsh, for what purpose do you arise?
14. SENATOR WALSH:
15. Mr. President and members of the Senate. Chairman Carroll
16. has some, I think, two amendments, or one anyway. I was wondering
17. if we can adopt the amendments so...with the understanding that
18. we can bring the bill back tomorrow in the event there might
19. be another amendment.
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
21. He indicates that that will be the case. Senator Carroll
22. as to Amendment No. 1.
23. SENATOR CARROLL:
24. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
25. Senate. This is a eight percent solution as well as cutting some
26. new people. Senator Walsh, we have discussed this with the Chief
27. and his fiscal officer and we do have the Floor amendment filed
28. for the restoration that's acceptable to them. In the meantime,
29. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1, which is the cutting
30. one and then the next one will be the restoration.
31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
32. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment No. 1
33. to House Bill 3036 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying

1. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it, Amendment No. 1 is  
2. adopted. Are there further committee amendments?  
3. SECRETARY:  
4. No further committee amendments.  
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
6. Are there amendments from the Floor?  
7. SECRETARY:  
8. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.  
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
10. Senator Carroll.  
11. SENATOR CARROLL:  
12. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
13. Senate. After discussions with the Court of Claims, this is  
14. a restoration of one secretary, brings some of the personal  
15. services up for the people they've already had on board and  
16. some of the incidental expenses that the board...that the  
17. court was trying to add for their commissioners..excuse me, giving  
18. them a hundred percent increase. I would move adoption of  
19. Amendment No. 2.  
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
21. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment No. 2  
22. to House Bill 3036 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying  
23. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it, Amendment No. 2 is adopted.  
24. Are there further Floor amendments?  
25. SECRETARY:  
26. No further amendments.  
27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
28. 3rd reading. House Bill 3037, Senator Nimrod. Read the  
29. bill, Mr. Secretary.  
30. SECRETARY:  
31. House Bill 3037.  
32. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
33. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers

1. one amendment.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

3. Senator Carroll.

4. SENATOR CARROLL:

5. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
6. Senate. This amendment does two things. One, it appropriately  
7. provides the eight percent solution. Two, it makes a cut in  
8. the add-on to the Arts Council in their grants line of two hundred  
9. and ten thousand, leaving a 24.3 percent increase in grants. I  
10. do believe there will be a Floor amendment offered later to add back  
11. that grants money. But at this time I would move adoption of  
12. Committee Amendment No. 1.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

14. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment No. 1  
15. to House Bill 3037 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying  
16. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it, Amendment No. 1 is adopted.  
17. Are there further committee amendments?

18. SECRETARY:

19. No further committee amendments.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Are there amendments from the Floor?

22. SECRETARY:

23. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Regner.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

25. Senator Regner.

26. SENATOR REGNER:

27. Yes, Mr. President and members. There's no dollar change  
28. in this bill, but what it does, it breaks out the General Revenue  
29. fund grants by programmatical area, incorporates the grant...cut  
30. in Amendment No. 1. It also transfers eighty-eight thousand,  
31. seven hundred and fifteen dollars for conference meetings,  
32. technical assistance from General Revenue Fund programs and  
33. grants to General..Revenue Fund's operations, where it really

1. belongs. I move the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

3. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment No. 2

4. to House Bill 3037 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by

5. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it, Amendment No. 2

6. is adopted. Are there further Floor amendments?

7. SECRETARY:

8. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Carroll.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

10. Senator Carroll.

11. SENATOR CARROLL:

12. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

13. Senate. After discussion with the Governor's Office, this is

14. an addition of twenty-five thousand dollars for the newly created

15. Chicago Ballet as a grant from the Arts Council. I would move

16. adoption of Amendment No. 3.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

18. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment No. 3

19. to House Bill 3037 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying

20. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it, Amendment No. 3 is

21. adopted. Are there further amendments?

22. SECRETARY:

23. No further amendments.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

25. Senator Nimrod, for what purpose do you arise?

26. SENATOR NIMROD:

27. Thank you, Mr. President. I just want it understood that

28. I intend to bring this bill back, return it back to 2nd

29. reading for the amendment that Senator Carroll referred to

30. for partial restoration.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. I think he did refer to that and he indicates that...Senator

33. Carroll, you've indicated that you will with...

1. SENATOR NIMROD:  
2.       Yeah, I...thank you.  
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
4.       ...Thank you. 3rd reading. House Bill 30...38, Senator  
5. Coffey. Do we have leave to come back to 3038? Leave is  
6. granted. House Bill 3044, Senator Schaffer. Senator Schaffer.  
7. House Bill 3040...House Bill 3046, Senator Regner. 3046. House  
8. Bill 3047, Senator Rhoads. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. Senator...  
9. just a moment. Read the bill, Mr...oh, just a moment, Senator  
10. Rhoads, for what purpose do you arise?  
11. SENATOR RHOADS:  
12.       I don't want to proceed unless Senator Buzbee is on the  
13. Floor. Is...is he on the Floor?  
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
15.       Senator Buzbee on the Floor?  
16. SENATOR RHOADS:  
17.       Wait...he...he's coming. Two seconds, please, indulgence  
18. of the Chair. He's coming. Read the bill.  
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
20.       All right, Senator. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.  
21. SECRETARY:  
22.       House Bill 3047.  
23.       (Secretary reads title of bill)  
24. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II  
25. offers three amendments.  
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
27.       Senator Buzbee.  
28. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
29.       Thank you, very much Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 is  
30. a reduction of two hundred thirty-eight thousand, two hundred  
31. six dollars. Some of this we will restore with a later amendment.  
32. This eliminates thirty-two thousand dollars in...the general  
33. office, thirty-eight thousand in Community Services, twelve



1. thousand in Illinois Status Offender Service and two hundred  
2. thirty-eight...pardon me...one hundred fifty-four thousand in  
3. grants, for a total reduction of two hundred and thirty-eight  
4. thousand. Like I said, we will put some of that back in with  
5. a later amendment. I would move its adoption.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

7. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment No. 1  
8. to House Bill 3047 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by  
9. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it, Amendment No.1  
10. is adopted. Amendment No. 2, Senator Buzbee.

11. SENATOR BUZBEE:

12. Amendment No. 2 breaks out seventy-four thousand dollars  
13. in General...GRF grant line for purchase of advocacy foster  
14. care and shelter care services. These funds have been used  
15. to pay five individuals who staff a twenty-four hour telephone  
16. response system. Since the Comptroller will not honor personal  
17. service contracts from a grant line, this amendment changes  
18. the Account Code to allow for their payment, no dollar change.  
19. I would move its adoption.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment No...  
22. 2...is Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 3047. Those in favor indicate  
23. by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it, Amendment No. 2  
24. is adopted. Amendment No. 3, Senator Buzbee.

25. SENATOR BUZBEE:

26. Thank you, Mr. President. This breaks out grants to juvenile  
27. community programs and reduces total by ten thousand dollars and  
28. I would move its adoption.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

30. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment No. 3  
31. to House Bill 3047 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying  
32. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it, Amendment No. 3 is adopted.  
33. Are there further committee amendments?

HB 3046  
2nd Reading  
6-24-80

1. SECRETARY:
2. No further committee amendments.
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
4. Are there amendments from the Floor?
5. SECRETARY:
6. No Floor amendments.
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
8. 3rd reading. Just...just a moment.
9. SECRETARY:
10. Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Buzbee.
11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
12. Senator Buzbee.
13. SENATOR BUZBEE:
14. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment restores funding
15. for two vacancies that were eliminated by Senate Committee
16. Amendment No. 1 and restores one hundred, fifty-four thousand,
17. seven hundred dollars to the GRF purchase of...advocacy, foster
18. care and shelter care services grant line, which was also reduced
19. by Senate Committee...Amendment No. 1. The total effect of this
20. amendment is to add back one hundred, eighty-nine thousand,
21. seven hundred forty dollars and I would move its adoption.
22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
23. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment No. 4
24. to House Bill 3047 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying
25. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it, Amendment No. 4 is adopted.
26. Are there further amendments?
27. SECRETARY:
28. No further amendments.
29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
30. 3rd reading. Do we have leave to return to House Bill
31. 3046? Senator Buzbee is on the Floor at this time. Leave
32. is granted. Read House Bill 3046, Mr. Secretary.
33. SECRETARY:

1. House Bill 3046.

2. (Secretary reads title of bill)

3. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II  
4. offers two amendments.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Senator...Buzbee.

7. SENATOR BUZBEE:

8. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill  
9. 3046...the Department of Law Enforcement. Reduces by six hundred  
10. ...sixty-three thousand, six hundred dollars. Breaks out separate  
11. lines for sworn and civilian personnel of State Police and  
12. investigators who broke out the straight time for overtime  
13. provision for State Police and investigators into a separate  
14. section. Makes the following reductions, administration purchase  
15. of vehicles, one hundred and thirty-five thousand dollar reduction,  
16. support services, two hundred, seventy-seven thousand, three  
17. hundred dollars General Revenue reduction, EDP, a seventy-three  
18. thousand dollar reduction, eliminates four new positions, eight  
19. percent factor in retirement and Social Security. D, State  
20. Police, sixty-four thousand dollars from the Road Fund and  
21. Contractual, E, the race track, three thousand dollars, Ag  
22. Premium, the eight percent factor, F, financial fraud, eighty-  
23. six thousand, three hundred dollars, General Revenue, new  
24. position in Contractual, G, internal investigations, twenty-five  
25. thousand, General...Revenue, phase-in of new and the eight percent  
26. factor and I would move its adoption.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

28. Is...Senator Regner.

29. SENATOR REGNER:

30. Just one thing. It doesn't reduce it six hundred, sixty-three,  
31. the reduction in this amendment is seven hundred and fifty, but my  
32. Amendment No. 4 does make that correction. So I would urge the  
33. adoption of this amendment.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
2. Is there further discussion? Senator Buzbee.  
3. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
4. Yes, the intention was to break it out by six hundred and  
5. sixty-three, we...somebody just did some bad arithmetic and  
6. so...we...we'll make it up later.  
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
8. Is...is there further discussion? The question is shall  
9. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3046 be adopted. Those in favor  
10. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it, Amend-  
11. ment No. 1 is adopted. Are there further amendments?  
12. SECRETARY:  
13. Committee Amendment No. 2.  
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
15. Senator Buzbee.  
16. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
17. Mr. President, I move we Table Amendment No. 2. Senator  
18. Regner has a corrective...amendment...with Amendment No. 3.  
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
20. Motion by Senator Buzbee to Table Amendment No.2. All  
21. those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The  
22. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is Tabled. Amendment No. 3.  
23. SECRETARY:  
24. No...no further committee amendments.  
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
26. Are there amendments from the Floor?  
27. SECRETARY:  
28. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Regner.  
29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
30. Senator Regner.  
31. SENATOR REGNER:  
32. Mr. President and members. This is the corrected Amendment  
33. 2 from committee and what it does, it takes the total dollars that

1. would be generated by the eight percent solution for sworn  
2. officers. It puts it into two phases, one for a pay raise  
3. and one to allow the new straight time overtime program that  
4. they have. So it does provide a pay raise and allow them  
5. to have the straight time overtime to the total dollar amount  
6. of one million, eight hundred thousand dollars above the overtime.  
7. This...this is for a pay raise because it was not provided for  
8. them in the appropriation bill as introduced, but as all other  
9. agencies had and I'd move its adoption.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment No. 2  
12. to House Bill...just a moment.

13. SECRETARY:

14. ...Amendment No. 3, Mr. President.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

16. It is Amendment No. 3. A...the question is shall Amendment  
17. No. 3 to House Bill 3046 be adopted. Those in favor indicate  
18. by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment  
19. No. 3 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

20. SECRETARY:

21. Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Regner.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. Senator Regner.

24. SENATOR REGNER:

25. Yes, Mr. President, the first thing this does, it makes  
26. that eighty-seven thousand, one hundred dollar correction due  
27. to an error in Amendment No. 1 that we discussed previously.  
28. And the rest of it, there's no dollar change, but it breaks  
29. out retirement for sworn officers, transfers a third quarter  
30. premium pay for sworn officers to the final section of the  
31. bill, in conjunction with the straight time, overtime provision,  
32. and it realigns some of the cuts that were taken and puts the  
33. money into support services, which is the area that helps local

1. governments with forensic scientists and such. It's requested  
2. by the...agency, there's no dollar addition, but it's just a  
3. shift of the dollars within the original cut and I move its  
4. adoption.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment No. 4  
7. to House Bill 3046 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying  
8. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is  
9. adopted. Are there further amendments?

10. SECRETARY:

11. No further amendments.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

13. 3rd reading. House Bill 3049, Senator Mitchler. Read  
14. the bill, Mr. Secretary.

15. SECRETARY:

16. House Bill 3049.

17. (Secretary reads title of bill)

18. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II  
19. offers three amendments.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Senator...Buzbee.

22. SENATOR BUZBEE:

23. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No.1 eliminates...is  
24. a total reduction of one million, one hundred fifty-one thousand,  
25. six hundred dollars. ...It eliminates funding for one new adminis-  
26. trative assistant position to the tune of twenty-two thousand,  
27. eliminates funding for three unbudgeted positions added on  
28. FY '80 to the tune of thirty-two thousand, allows for three  
29. month phase-in of one new Executive II position, that's a  
30. thirteen thousand dollar reduction, ...eliminates funding for two  
31. long term vacancies, that's a nineteen thousand dollar reduction.  
32. The eight percent solution garners twenty-three thousand, the  
33. commensurate reduction retirement Social Security, twelve  
34. thousand reduction. Breaks out twenty-four thousand in equipment

1. to be used for upgrading field offices, no dollar change. It  
2. eliminates funding for three new vehicles in the Veteran's  
3. Home, thirty thousand dollar reduction. Reduces Veteran's  
4. Scholarship Grants to reflect an...rather to...to reflect an  
5. expected decrease in the number of awards during FY '81 by  
6. one million dollars. Total reduction of one million, one  
7. hundred fifty-one thousand, six hundred dollars and I would  
8. move its adoption.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

10. Is there discussion? The question is shall...Senator  
11. Mitchler.

12. SENATOR MITCHLER:

13. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.  
14. This is the first of three committee amendments and my under-  
15. standing with Senator Buzbee that we will adopt all three of  
16. these committee amendments, advance the bill to the...3rd reading  
17. and there's still some discussion going on between the Director  
18. of the Department of Veteran's Affairs, Senator Buzbee, Senator  
19. Regner. And if there is any changes in these amendments, you  
20. understand, we'll bring them back and work it out. So, we  
21. want to approve all three of these amendments as presented by  
22. Senator Buzbee.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

24. All right. The question is on Amendment No. 1 to House  
25. Bill 3049. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those  
26. opposed. The Ayes have it, Amendment No. 1 is adopted.  
27. Amendment No. 2, Senator Buzbee.

28. SENATOR BUZBEE:

29. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment takes out sixty-  
30. six thousand dollars in the Contractual Services line to the  
31. general office, which was...which was budgeted for a study to  
32. examine staffing patterns at the department's field offices.  
33. Sixty-six thousand has been added to the Bureau of the Budget's

1. FY '81 Appropriation by the Senate Committee, however I would  
2. add now that the...that the stance is yet another one. Senator  
3. Schaffer suggested in committee that we let some neutral  
4. agency do this study and...and it has been agreed that we're going  
5. to have the Auditor General do the study to the tune of about  
6. ten thousand dollars. It'll be...bad day...it'll be an...a  
7. performance...a management and performance study, that's what  
8. I'm trying...management and performance audit...and it'll be  
9. done by the Auditor General, but in the meantime we need to  
10. adopt this amendment and we'll eliminate some of this in  
11. a few minutes.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

13. Senator, if you'd cut down your sentences, you'd last  
14. longer. The question is shall...shall Amendment No. 2 to  
15. House Bill 3049 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying  
16. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is  
17. adopted. Amendment No. 3, Senator Buzbee.

18. SENATOR BUZBEE:

19. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment eliminates nine-  
20. teen thousand, two hundred dollars for word processing equip-  
21. ment in the general office, it'll eliminate three thousand  
22. dollars from EDP to Veteran's scholarships for excessive funds  
23. for EDP training and excessive funds for equipment and I move  
24. its adoption.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

26. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment  
27. No. 3 to House Bill 3049 be adopted. Those in favor indicate  
28. by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it, Amendment  
29. No. 3 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

30. SECRETARY:

31. No further committee amendments.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

33. Are there amendments from the Floor?



1. SECRETARY:  
2. Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Buzbee.  
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
4. Senator Buzbee.  
5. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
6. Yeah, I want to make sure I get it straight with Senator  
7. Mitchler. Our agreement is, we've got a couple of Floor  
8. amendments which are going to put back some of that...those  
9. funds. Then we'll hold the bill on 3rd tomorrow, bring it  
10. back after we've decided, if we decide to bring it back. All  
11. right. ...Amendment No. 4 adds back funding for a new Executive  
12. II position which was phased-out by Senate Committee Amendment  
13. No. 1. It also reduces Contractual Services by thirty-three  
14. thousand dollars. Sixty-six thousand was originally budgeted  
15. to conduct this study I just spoke of. The study will now  
16. be conducted by the Auditor General's Office. The remaining  
17. thirty-three thousand will be used for the Department of  
18. Veteran's Affairs to phase-out three field offices during FY '81.  
19. And I might suggest to the agencies that in the future, when you  
20. got personnel services hidden in there somewhere in Contractual  
21. Services, don't leave them that way because we're liable to  
22. get it all and then I find out afterwards that you got several  
23. employees in this Contractual Services line. So, I would move  
24. the adoption of this amendment.  
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
26. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment  
27. No. 4 to House Bill 3049 be adopted. Those in favor indicate  
28. by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it, Amendment  
29. No. 4 is adopted. Are there further amendments?  
30. SECRETARY:  
31. Amendment No. 5 offered by Senator Regner.  
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
33. Senator Regner.

1. SENATOR REGNER:

2. Yes, Mr. President and members. This adds twenty-two thousand,  
3. two hundred and twenty-four dollars plus retirement and so forth  
4. to the general office personal services for Administrative Assistant  
5. IV. This particular individual is aboard, I realize that he  
6. was hired without specific authorization last fall, but this is  
7. when Director Hardwick became Director and it's his administrative  
8. aid, his right hand and probably the gentleman that can straighten  
9. the agency out and...and do the internal job that's necessary.  
10. I'd move the adoption of Amendment No. 5.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

12. Is there discussion? Senator Buzbee.

13. SENATOR BUZBEE:

14. You know, my response to that, Senator, is tough. There's  
15. another word that goes with that, but I won't use it on the Floor.  
16. Now, this agency...the director came on board the first week of  
17. October. He immediately said, I need some help that I'm not  
18. authorized to have, so therefore I'm going to go out and hire  
19. him, then I'll present the bill to the General Assembly after  
20. the fact. And then they'll be in the position of saying, oh, no,  
21. I won't be able to do anything about that because we already got  
22. this gentleman on board. Again, I say, tough, we don't do our  
23. business in the General Assembly that way and I don't think the  
24. Executive Branch ought to be allowed to either. And quite frankly,  
25. I'm surprised that Senator Regner is offering this amendment  
26. because he feels the same about this philosophically that I feel.  
27. Now, if the Director can find a secretary's job somewhere to  
28. eliminate and fund this administrative position that he'd like  
29. to have funded, then I say that it's up to him to do it. But  
30. I'm opposed to this amendment. We're going to keep on allowing  
31. these agencies to keep hiring folks, they have no authorization  
32. or no money for and they come in and they present us with the  
33. bill after the fact and say, all right, here it is guys, I did

1. it, you pay it. And I think that it's a precedent that  
2. we should not allow to happen. I'm opposed to this amendment  
3. and would ask for a roll call vote.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

5. Senator Rock.

6. SENATOR ROCK:

7. Thank you, Mr. President. I will be much more cryptic  
8. than was Senator Buzbee, but I too am opposed to Amendment No. 5  
9. and I hope we reject it out of hand.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. Is there further discussion? Senator...Mitchler.

12. SENATOR MITCHLER:

13. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I  
14. suppose you can look at...this position in several ways.  
15. The Director of the Department of Veteran's Affairs has a  
16. ...as...as it is termed with many of the directors, a confidential  
17. assistant that he can rely on to...to conduct the order of business  
18. and that is commonplace among the Directors in all of the different  
19. code departments. Director Hardwick, in taking over the Department  
20. of Veteran's Affairs, did have some reorganization and he felt  
21. it in the best interest in the operation of the Department that  
22. he did employ this individual and it has worked out very well  
23. and to the improvement of the Department, in the addition of  
24. assisting to an assistant director in the form of, as we all  
25. know, George Bailey. Now, this is good for the Department, it's  
26. good for the...handling of the Veteran's Affairs. If we want  
27. to criticize maybe for the mechanics and the way it was done, maybe  
28. we can do that, but the...position is warranted and we're asking  
29. for it in the budget and I would respectfully ask that, in the  
30. interest of the operation of the Department of Veteran's Affairs,  
31. as the Director is doing a fine job, that we include this position  
32. in the budget for FY '81. I'd ask for a favorable roll call on  
33. Senator Regner's Floor Amendment No. 5 to House Bill 3049.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
2. Is there...is there further discussion? Senator Regner  
3. may close.  
4. SENATOR REGNER:  
5. Just ask for a favorable roll call.  
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
7. The question is shall House...Senate Amendment 5 to House  
8. Bill 3049 be adopted. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed  
9. Nay. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish?  
10. Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that  
11. question the Ayes are 27, the Nays are 26. Amendment No. 5  
12. to House Bill 3049 is adopted. Are there further amendments?  
13. SECRETARY:  
14. No further amendments.  
15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
16. 3rd reading. Leave has been granted to return to House  
17. Bill 3038, Senator Coffey. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.  
18. There are only fourteen amendments in committee...or committee  
19. amendments.  
20. SECRETARY:  
21. House Bill 3038.  
22. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
23. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I  
24. offers one through fourteen amendments.  
25.  
26.  
27.  
28. End of Reel  
29.  
30.  
31.  
32.  
33.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. Senator Carroll...or...well, Senator Buzbee.

3. SENATOR BUZBEE:

4. Thank you, Mr. President. I...I've always wanted to do  
5. this bill, and since Senator Carroll is off the Floor why I'd  
6. be glad to start until he...I'm sure that he's coming back,  
7. because he left just temporarily. Amendment No. 1 includes  
8. the eight percent solution. It's a reduction of three hundred  
9. ...or rather two hundred three thousand five hundred dollars.  
10. Reduces Federal...formal contracts for Ron M. Lenton by a  
11. hundred and thirty thousand, and thirty thousand for an unknown  
12. consultant to perform archaeological testing. And I would move  
13. its adoption.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment  
16. No. 1 to House Bill 3038 be adopted. Those in favor indicate  
17. by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment  
18. No. 1 is adopted. Amendment No. 2, Senator Buzbee.

19. SENATOR BUZBEE:

20. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 reduces seven  
21. new positions and related line items for new positions. No  
22. vacant positions are deleted. Cuts commodities down to  
23. increase of 8.6 percent which is the approximate amount the  
24. road districts commodity lines are increasing. Reduces physical  
25. research by nine hundred and forty thousand dollars, which is the  
26. amount transferred out in FY'80. The line is still four hundred  
27. sixty-three thousand five hundred dollars over the original  
28. FY'80 appropriation. And I would move its adoption.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

30. Senator Coffey.

31. SENATOR COFFEY:

32. Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I'm rising  
33. in opposition of Amendment No. 2, and I'd like to point out to

1. you people here, if you would just listen just a minute what  
2. we're doing. Where the nine hundred and forty thousand dollars  
3. that would...the reduction here in this amendment was going to  
4. affect the municipalities as far as local traffic signals and  
5. maintenance to downstate. And...well, really throughout the  
6. State, and I think that we ought to oppose this amendment. It's  
7. going to create some real big problems for us. And I'd ask  
8. for you to oppose it at this time.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

10. Senator Carroll,

11. SENATOR CARROLL:

12. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
13. Senate. Let me explain exactly what this is about. DOT proposed  
14. a plan last year alleged to be a billion dollars. They now  
15. admit there was, "slippage," well in excess of two hundred million  
16. dollars. They've had at times as many as two, three hundred  
17. vacancies. They've had a freeze on those positions because  
18. they haven't had the business to do. They came in this year  
19. having an excess of two hundred vacancies, and asked for about  
20. a hundred and sixty new people to do less work. The real  
21. Road Program for Fiscal '81, which they just gave to us last  
22. week, is just over five hundred million dollars of new money,  
23. down from the billion of last year. Now, where's the problem?  
24. The problem is in construction in our districts. The problem  
25. is in actually doing widenings and doing resurfacings, and in  
26. doing construction. What we have proposed therefore, is to  
27. take these unnecessary bodies out of administration, and put  
28. the money into construction. We have the amendment dollar for  
29. dollar to place every dollar saved by an unnecessary body count  
30. which will not be filled, and put the money into construction,  
31. in your local districts, in your local townships, in your local  
32. building program. Now, those members who would prefer to have  
33. people on board not doing necessary work as opposed to having money

1. for construction should oppose this amendment. Those who  
2. prefer to have money for construction, money for maintenance,  
3. money for the widenings, money for the locals, to actually do  
4. the type of things we're always yelling we want done, to actually  
5. build and maintain those roads, should be supportive of this  
6. amendment, because the bodies are not needed to do less work  
7. than last year, but that money from the Road Fund we will specifically  
8. amend, dollar for dollar, in each district, dollar for dollar,  
9. to do the maintenance, to do the construction. So, those of  
10. you who want construction should be supportive of this amendment.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

12. Senator Grotberg.

13. SENATOR GROTBORG:

14. A question of the sponsor of the amendment, Mr. President.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

16. He indicates he will respond.

17. SENATOR GROTBORG:

18. To what degree are the traffic light maintenance funds  
19. being reduced in this amendment?

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Senator Carroll.

22. SENATOR CARROLL:

23. Senator Grotberg, I'm not sure that that was covered in  
24. this amendment, but we are restoring those funds that were  
25. used for locals in a future amendment. We did take money that  
26. was local maintenance funds, we are restoring those dollar for  
27. dollar.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

29. Senator Grotberg.

30. SENATOR GROTBORG:

31. Well, to the amendment. As a member of the Appropriations  
32. Committee I have been witness for many years now of Legislators  
33. trying to reorganize departments, and I think sometimes when we

1. come in with...with a priority that makes a political priority  
2. that basis of which has nothing to do with the administration  
3. of the State of Illinois Highway Program, but thanks to the  
4. Democrat administration in Washington we're lucky to be able to  
5. keep the store open from day to day, that for goodness sakes, the  
6. Department of Transportation in the State of Illinois is doing  
7. a pretty good job. And I...I think we better be very careful  
8. about trying to let Legislators reorganize the Department of  
9. Transportation, whether it's this or several of the other amend-  
10. ments that follow: I urge that we reject this amendment.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

12. Senator Philip. There are many requesting to address them-  
13. selves at this. Senator Philip.

14. SENATOR PHILIP:

15. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
16. Senate. You know, my district is wholly within DuPage County,  
17. which, as you're probably aware, is the fastest growing county  
18. in the State of Illinois. Every time I turn around I've got  
19. a new shopping center, a new housing project, and I am continually  
20. bombarded with requests for traffic lights. So, here we come  
21. down here, which is a very sensitive issue in my district, and  
22. we're going to cut nine hundred and forty thousand dollars out.  
23. What you're saying in effect, in the growth areas is that you're  
24. not going to get any traffic lights. And I happen to think  
25. that's not in the best interests of the citizens and taxpayers.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

27. Senator Chew.

28. SENATOR CHEW:

29. Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I  
30. think the best interests for the Department of Transportation is  
31. to leave the budget alone. I...I hate to see...Charlie, what?  
32. Well, we'll fly the car...

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)



1. Continue, Senator.

2. SENATOR CHEW:

3. ...that's not...but I think Mr. Kramer and his staff comes  
4. in with the...come in with a...a budget that's been cut to the  
5. bone, and we sat there as members of the Appropriations Committee,  
6. and dictate how the Department of Transportation ought to be  
7. run. I don't think I know how it ought to be run, if I did,  
8. I'd probably be the Secretary of Transportation. I'm certain  
9. no other Senator here knows how to run the Department of Trans-  
10. portation. I don't think the department's budget should be  
11. interferred with by amendments. If the department runs over  
12. in the their money let it lapse back in the...in the fund in which  
13. it came. I'm going to oppose every amendment that cuts one dime  
14. out of the Department of Transportation because first of all,  
15. we have to understand the revenue is way down, because of the  
16. gas-guzzling cars...are no longer on the streets other than mine,  
17. and...and Howard Carroll, he drives a big Oldsmobile. But  
18. seriously, I just think the Chair ought to let that budget  
19. go through as it is, and I'm going to oppose every amendment  
20. that's going to reduce anything in the Department of Transportation.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

22. Senator Gitz.

23. SENATOR GITZ:

24. Well, Mr... Mr. Chairman, I would like to point out, maybe  
25. Senator Chew and I are not looking at the same Amendment 2. But  
26. let's look at what is in Amendment 2, and I think Senator Carroll  
27. has done a very good job of outlining the rationale. You know  
28. not only your Road Fund is declining, but it seems that no matter  
29. what shape the Road Program is in, that we can count on one thing,  
30. and that's the Department of Transportation budget will be going  
31. up for operating expenses each and every year. I'm very much in  
32. favor of seeing that every one of the districts, themselves, are  
33. well funded with positions. We're talking here about the central

1. office. There are no vacancies eliminated under this amend-  
2. ment. What it does do, is reduce seven new positions, and  
3. related line items for new positions. All the vacancies, of  
4. which were substantial in committee are retained. Now, it's  
5. interesting to note that the district commodity lines have  
6. been increasing about 8.6 percent in each of the districts.  
7. Strangely enough, we allow the central office commodities to  
8. go up by 8.6 percent. We reduced the physical research by  
9. nine hundred and forty thousand dollars. That's the largest  
10. ...part of the amendment. Why? I'll tell you why, because last  
11. year that's the precise area that they transferred out, suggesting  
12. that perhaps this is one of the padding next year when they want  
13. to transfer between line items. I think Senator Chew's point  
14. is well taken, we need to be careful. But we need to put our  
15. resources where they're going to count, and that's what Amendment  
16. 2 is all about.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

18. Senator Sommer.

19. SENATOR SOMMER:

20. Mr. President, and members. This is one of a series of  
21. amendments that really strikes at the new jobs in the agency.  
22. The Governor proposed about four thousand new jobs State-wide  
23. in the past...in this year. You will note that we have either  
24. removed a great number of those jobs or there are amendments  
25. yet to be heard that will remove others. Whether they're  
26. successful or not, it seems to me that the issue is how long  
27. are you going to sit here supinely and continue to offer...offer  
28. the opportunity for thousands of new jobs in State government.  
29. It seems to me that there comes a time when you say...Senators,  
30. you have an obligation to look at the growth of government.  
31. And I understand that this will be in a Conference Committee  
32. and I understand what happens in the Conference Committees and  
33. I understand the whole process quite well. But at least we're

1. trying, we're trying to diminish the growth of government. You  
2. ought to think about that.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

4. Senator Coffey.

5. SENATOR COFFEY:

6. Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I...now that  
7. we've been talking about every amendment but No. 2, we've even  
8. got as far as, I think, Amendment No. 5. But just to...to set a  
9. tone here, in Children and Family Services...get over four hundred  
10. and fifty new jobs in Buzbee's committee, in Appropriations  
11. number II. DOT, all their jobs have been cut out except one.  
12. Now, if we want to go talk about consistency and cutting back  
13. on the...cutting back on the bureaucracy..and then let's go back  
14. to really what Amendment No. 2 does. It affects a local  
15. traffic signal maintenance. Now, if you don't care about traffic  
16. lights in your communities, you don't care the maintenance of the  
17. traffic lights in your areas, then you vote for Amendment No.  
18. 2. But just remember when you're voting for that what it does.  
19. They can talk about all the other amendments that they've got.  
20. We haven't addressed those amendments, we're still on Amendment  
21. No. 2, and I think you ought to oppose it.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. Senator Carroll may...Senator Knuppel.

24. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

25. I'm from west central Illinois, what's a traffic light?

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

27. Senator Carroll may close.

28. SENATOR CARROLL:

29. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
30. Senate. To both Senator Philip and Senator Coffey, I know it  
31. gets loud in here sometimes, you can't hear answers. I did  
32. say to you that once the department identified that that main-  
33. tenance of traffic lights was a local maintenance project, that

1. they were reimbursing, that we have an amendment to add that  
2. monies back. We have no intent of touching those monies. That  
3. amendment is here and on file to add that back. At the time  
4. that was not explained to us when the department was coming  
5. through with their budget. They thought that was a decent  
6. handle to give some people for opposing what this is really  
7. all about. We don't want to be a party to that, we want the  
8. money spent in the locals for traffic signals and traffic  
9. signal maintenance and we will restore those funds. What  
10. this is all, and really about, and let there be no mistake,  
11. the man wants to spend a hundred and sixty-eight people new,  
12. more. We're not talking about the two hundred and some odd  
13. vacancies they've had during the year. We haven't touched  
14. those. All in all you're talking about, about three hundred  
15. and fifty, four hundred people more to do half the program  
16. that they were announcing to do this year. And we are saying,  
17. the money, because of the gas guzzlers, Senator Chew, because  
18. of the problems of the Road Funds, my fellow members who are  
19. always concerned about construction, that money is appropriately  
20. spent in doing a Road Program, in doing construction, in doing  
21. construction in the districts. This money, in the central  
22. office, as the other monies in the districts, you now have  
23. the choice, if you want a road, vote for the amendment so  
24. that you will have money for roads. If you don't want them,  
25. and would rather have...you know the patronage positions  
26. whether you're individually getting them or not, if you would  
27. prefer to have the bodies there than to have the roads, then  
28. I suggest you not ask for the roads later. If you defeat these  
29. amendments, you're asking for the people to be working, and you're  
30. really not asking for the roads for your district. If you adopt  
31. these and support the amendments that will put those monies into  
32. construction then you'll be really working for the people in  
33. your districts and not just increasing the bureaucracy. I would

1. move adoption of Amendment No. 2.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

3. The question is, shall Amendment No. 2 to House Bill  
4. 3038 be adopted. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed  
5. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all those voted who  
6. wish? Have all those voted who wish? Take the record.  
7. On that question, the Ayes are 30, the Nays are 25. Amend-  
8. ment No. 2 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

9. SECRETARY:

10. Committee Amendment No. 3.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

12. Senator Carroll.

13. SENATOR CARROLL:

14. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
15. Senate. Committee Amendment No. 3 is for further reductions  
16. of three hundred and fifty thousand for personnel in the  
17. central office, again not touching any new people. This  
18. is merely the eight percent solution. Leaves in the people  
19. the need for the new helicopters. I would move adoption of Amend-  
20. ment No. 3.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

22. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No.  
23. 3 to House Bill 3038 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by  
24. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.  
25. 3 is adopted. Amendment No. 4. Senator Carroll.

26. SENATOR CARROLL:

27. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
28. Senate. This is a no dollar change, it breaks out the equipment  
29. into special items for purchases of cars and trucks. I would  
30. move adoption of Amendment No. 4.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No.  
33. 4 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those  
opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 3038,

1. is adopted. Amendment No. 5. Senator Carroll.

2. SENATOR CARROLL:

3. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
4. Senate. This is the one we had discussed before. And this  
5. does to the districts...of taking that money that we will then  
6. allocate for construction in each of the districts. It does not  
7. touch any vacant positions. It provides for an eight percent  
8. solution increase, but does take in each of the districts those  
9. new positions that apparently cannot be needed if you look at  
10. the dynamics of the Road Program of Fiscal '80 and Fiscal '81.  
11. And again, more importantly, we will allocate this in the new  
12. construction bill, dollar for dollar, to each of those districts  
13. for highway construction. I would move adoption of Amendment  
14. No. 5 and answer any questions.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

16. Senator Coffey.

17. SENATOR COFFEY:

18. Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I just  
19. want to point out a couple of things here before they cast a  
20. vote on Amendment No. 5. For you people..first of all, point  
21. out that we have the biggest Road Program. They're talking  
22. about...we're not having a Road Program, we're having one of  
23. the biggest Road Programs in the history of the State of Illinois.  
24. And the elimination of these fifty requested new district positions  
25. will force the department to utilize consultants to the greater  
26. extent than planned. On...that's on pre-construction. On con-  
27. struction supervision, the elimination of seventy requests for  
28. construction positions will force the department to utilize  
29. construction consultants. Additionally, the lack of the sufficient  
30. State...forces will delay the execution of a...construction contracts..  
31. It's...so we're going to pay for this, and again if that's the  
32. wishes of this Body to cut those out, then we don't want to  
33. hear any complaints later when these jobs are...going on as scheduled.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. Is there further discussion? Senator Carroll may close.

3. SENATOR CARROLL:

4. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
5. Senate. Specifically we're taking less jobs here than the number  
6. that are vacant in this department. Specifically the program  
7. announced of new construction, is less than half of that announced  
8. for Fiscal '80. Therefore you don't need more bodies to do less  
9. work, and specifically here's where it counts, here's where in  
10. your districts you can provide the money for roads, for construction,  
11. for maintenance, because dollar for dollar this money can go  
12. into the Road Program and as you know if there's Federal money  
13. available that can be matched many times more than what the  
14. actual dollars are, sometimes as much as nine for one. So,  
15. if you want the roads, put the money where it belongs, and let's  
16. support the amendment.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

18. The question is, shall Amendment No. 5 to House Bill 3038  
19. be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed.  
20. To the...there is a...it seems to the Chair that the Noes were  
21. more predominant. Senator Carroll requests a roll call. The  
22. question is, shall Amendment No. 5 to House Bill 3038 be adopted.  
23. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open.  
24. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish?  
25. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 27, the Nays  
26. are 25. Amendment No. 5 to House Bill 3038 is adopted. Amend-  
27. ment No. 6. Senator Carroll.

28. SENATOR CARROLL:

29. Thank you...which one was who...oh, no 6 is silly. Amendment  
30. No. 6 was...when they gave us a Road Program, we decided, Senator  
31. Regner, to give them a box of crayons so that they could draw it  
32. in pretty colors...if any of you remember the ink blot test they  
33. used to give us. Now, this is under their billion dollar program,

1. their new form of what goes into construction and what goes into  
2. maintenance, and what goes into operations and this amendment  
3. gives them enough to draw it in in color. I would move adoption  
4. of Amendment No. 6.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No.  
7. 6 to House Bill 3038 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by  
8. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.  
9. 6 is adopted. Amendment No. 7. Senator Carroll.

10. SENATOR CARROLL:

11. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of  
12. the Senate. This is for reducing some of the various potential  
13. new grants in the safety section as we go through them each  
14. year, some of those that would go directly to State agencies.  
15. I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 7.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

17. Is there discussion? Senator Coffey.

18. SENATOR COFFEY:

19. Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. There's just  
20. a couple of points I would like to make on Senate Amendment No.  
21. 7. It reduces the Department of Law Enforcement allocations of  
22. the National Highway Traffic Safety Fund by 1.1 one million  
23. three hundred and fifty-one thousand four hundred dollars, which  
24. is a sixty-five percent cut from the original total of two million  
25. fifty-two thousand six hundred dollars. I think this is a...a  
26. very serious cut, where this money...part of the areas where this  
27. money goes to on...the off-duty officers are compensated through grants  
28. funding to participate in the CETA Program for voluntary...on  
29. a volunteer basis...State Patrol in some of the hazardous areas  
30. of our highway system, hopefully, to save some lives and I think  
31. it's a very important part of our transportation budget, and I  
32. think you ought to be aware of it before you vote No on Amend-  
33. ment No. 7.



1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
2. Is there further discussion? The question...Senator  
3. Carroll may close.  
4. SENATOR CARROLL:  
5. Just again, as in the other case, we are going to give the  
6. money dollar for dollar to the locals for their Highway Safety  
7. Program so that the impact will be even greater by giving this  
8. million...to the local units of government to do the Highway  
9. Safety Programs. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 7.  
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
11. The question is, shall Amendment No. 7 to House Bill 3038  
12. be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those  
13. opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 7 is adopted. Amend-  
14. ment No. 8. Senator Carroll.  
15. SENATOR CARROLL:  
16. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
17. Senate. There's been a lot of interest around the Senate in  
18. varying districts for establishing an air cargo hub. We've  
19. heard a lot about Tiger for a long period of time, and after  
20. discussions with the department with Decatur, Lawrenceville,  
21. Columbia- Waterloo, and maybe even Lincoln looking for  
22. an airport for Tiger, we decided to give fifty thousand dollars  
23. to DOT who said they could do a full and complete study of  
24. where it would be appropriate to work with Tiger on getting an  
25. airport, most importantly for Illinois, whichever the local  
26. communities happens to be successful. This money should handle  
27. the problem for all of those communities, and I would move  
28. adoption of Committee Amendment No. 8.  
29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
30. Senator Berning.  
31. SENATOR BERNING:  
32. A question of the sponsor.  
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
Indicates he will respond.

1. SENATOR BERNING:

2. Senator, is there any way that this money can be  
3. surreptitiously siphoned off to the Columbia-Waterloo Air-  
4. port?

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Senator Carroll, answer surreptitiously.

7. SENATOR CARROLL:

8. I doubt that...I doubt that Secretary Kramer would do any-  
9. thing surreptitious. I would believe him to be a very honorable  
10. person, in fact, the monies are to be used by DOT to help the  
11. State of Illinois obtain Flying Tiger. If Tiger decides later  
12. that Columbia-Waterloo is, in fact, the designated hitter, then  
13. this will have ended up being to their benefit. But it will not  
14. go to Columbia-Waterloo, it will go only to DOT. DOT will use  
15. it to try and get the cargo airport for Illinois and whoever  
16. wins it wins it. We just want it for Illinois. None of the  
17. money will go to Columbia-Waterloo or any of the other  
18. specific...

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

20. Senator Berning.

21. SENATOR BERNING:

22. Well, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. This may  
23. be a defensible appropriation, however I have great reservations  
24. about it. I should point out to the members in the event they  
25. may have forgotten, that Senator Bruce indicated that he was  
26. interested in getting help for an airport of this very nature  
27. in Lawrenceville, I think it was. If that is a desire of the  
28. area, and it will serve the purpose, I think we ought to spend  
29. our money, then, developing that plan rather than making another  
30. study. A study can do nothing for us that we don't all ready know.  
31. Namely, any area that is willing to have the airport, an airport,  
32. and we can develop the funding, ought to have it. And we ought to  
33. in no way even leave the door slightly, even a crack open to put

1. it where people are adamant that they don't want it. I think  
2. this amendment ought to be defeated.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

4. Senator Coffey.

5. SENATOR COFFEY:

6. Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I rise in  
7. favor of this amendment, and just want to point out that as...  
8. the Senator Carroll had mentioned, that this is for five  
9. different sites as I understand right now, they're being  
10. talked about, and that's Lawrenceville, Decatur, Quincy, Springfield,  
11. and the site that he is discussing. Now, it's up to the Department  
12. of Transportation, as Senator Carroll has pointed out, to if...  
13. if possible to try to get the Flying Tigers in Illinois, which-  
14. ever area we can get them into. We think it will be good for  
15. the business climate.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

17. Senator Bruce.

18. SENATOR BRUCE:

19. I think Senator Berning may have misinterpreted what the  
20. purpose of the amendment is. It doesn't say for a study, it says  
21. for the purpose of establishing an air cargo hub in Illinois.  
22. That can be hiring additional personnel, that could be having  
23. travel available for the Department of Transportation employees,  
24. a series of things, not just a study that I think we've all studied  
25. enough. But if...if Flying Tiger says, yeah, we're going to come  
26. to Illinois, but can you tell us what kind of changes we can  
27. anticipate in the way of tax structures, Real Estate Taxes and  
28. we need someone to give them that kind of answer. This kind of  
29. money would be very valuable in attracting them to come to this  
30. State. I think it's a good appropriation.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. Senator Vadalabene.

33. SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, in...in...in response to Senator Berning's question

1. in regard to the Columbia-Waterloo site, a Conference Committee  
2. has been appointed in the House and in the Senate. The House  
3. has completed their report, and I will be meeting with the  
4. conferees in the Senate in regard to the status of the funding  
5. of the Columbia-Waterloo site. It's in Conference Committee  
6. now.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

8. Is there further discussion? Senator Carroll may close.

9. SENATOR CARROLL:

10. Since Senator Coffey and I are in agreement, I would move  
11. adoption of Amendment No. 8.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

13. The question is, shall Amendment No. 8 to House Bill 3038 be  
14. adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed.  
15. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 8 is adopted. Are there further  
16. ...Amendment No. 9. Senator Carroll.

17. SENATOR CARROLL:

18. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
19. Senate. This is the money for air travel for the General Assembly,  
20. which is dollar for dollar reimbursable, out of the mileage allowance  
21. per week, but we have to create the account from which to draw  
22. from. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 9.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

24. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No.  
25. 9 to House Bill 3038 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by  
26. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.  
27. 9 is adopted. Amendment No. 10. Senator Carroll.

28. SENATOR CARROLL:

29. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
30. Senate. This is an appropriation for transportation research  
31. centers to match a Federal grant in transportation research  
32. that has been made available to the State. I would move adoption  
33. of Amendment No. 10.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. Is there discussion? The...the question is, shall Amend-  
3. ment No. 10 to House Bill 3038 be adopted. Those in favor  
4. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it.  
5. Amendment No. 10 is adopted. Are there further committee  
6. amendments?

7. SECRETARY:

8. Committee Amendment No. 11.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

10. Senator Carroll.

11. SENATOR CARROLL:

12. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
13. Senate. Committee Amendment No. 11 was suggested by Senator  
14. Regner to eliminate fifty thousand dollars for minority resource  
15. center, in the railroad relocation project in East St. Louis...

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

17. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No.  
18. 11 to House Bill 3038 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by  
19. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 11  
20. is adopted. Are there further amendments?

21. SECRETARY:

22. Committee Amendment No. 12.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

24. Senator Carroll.

25. SENATOR CARROLL:

26. Having said it, Committee Amendment No. 12 adds various water  
27. resources projects suggested by the department to the tune  
28. of three hundred and fifty thousand dollars, and I would move  
29. adoption of Committee Amendment No. 12.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

31. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No.  
32. 12 to House Bill 3038 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying  
33. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 12 is adopted.

1. Amendment No. 13. Senator Carroll.

2. SENATOR CARROLL:

3. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
4. Senate. This is a technical change for the Rail Freight Assistance  
5. Program to allow DOT to make agreements with rail freight companies  
6. and make the grants repayable. This was requested by the depart-  
7. ment. And I would move adoption of Amendment No. 13.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No.  
10. 13 to House Bill 3038 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by  
11. saying Aye. Those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.  
12. 13 is adopted. Amendment No. 14. Senator Carroll.

13. SENATOR CARROLL:

14. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
15. Senate. This is a technical change to allow for payments for  
16. wrongfully discharged employees to go for the Torts Claims Line.  
17. And I would move adoption of Amendment No. 14.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No.  
20. 14 to House Bill 3038 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by  
21. saying Aye. Those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment  
22. No. 14 is adopted. Amendment No. 15. Just...just a moment.

23. SECRETARY:

24. No further committee amendments.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

26. Are there amendments from the Floor?

27. SECRETARY:

28. Amendment No. 15 offered by Senator Hall.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

30. Senator Kenneth Hall.

31. SENATOR HALL:

32. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
33. Senate. This amendment would appropriate eighty thousand dollars

1. for a Minority Research Center in the eastside railroad relocation  
2. project. The department agrees with this amendment and I just  
3. want to find out from...from Senator over there, if he has any  
4. problem with this.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. I'm advised that the amendment recites the sum of fifty  
7. thousand, Senator. Is there further discussion?

8. SENATOR HALL:

9. Fifty. Fifty, I...I read wrong. Fifty thousand.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. Is there...is there discussion? Senator Coffey.

12. SENATOR COFFEY:

13. Yes, a question of the sponsor.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. He indicates he will respond.

16. SENATOR COFFEY:

17. Do you have a letter from the Governor or Doctor Mandeville  
18. ...a write-off on this. I haven't been contacted by the de-  
19. partment or by the Governor's Office in support of...

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Senator Hall.

22. SENATOR HALL:

23. My understanding that Mr. Ohrn of the Governor's staff  
24. talked to him about...That's my understanding...from the House  
25. sponsor.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

27. Senator Coffey.

28. SENATOR COFFEY:

29. Well, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I'm going  
30. to have to rise in opposition to this. I have not been contacted  
31. ...that they're in support of this bill, and I think we ought  
32. to oppose...or this amendment. And I think we ought to oppose  
33. of this...amendment at this time.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. Is there...Senator Sommer.

3. SENATOR SOMMER:

4. Mr. President, very quickly. I noticed Senator Hall had  
5. some confusion, he has so many of them over there. Is this  
6. the solar sun house?

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

8. Senator Hall.

9. SENATOR HALL:

10. I don't know where...the Big 4 comes up with more things.  
11. You know, once again I run into the Big 4. The only thing  
12. I was confused about was, I said eighty instead of fifty  
13. thousand.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. Is there further discussion? Senator Hall may close.

16. SENATOR HALL:

17. Well, we have been promised this, and I can't...I don't  
18. believe that Secretary Kramer would go back on his word. I'm  
19. sorry Senator, that there is a mix up somewhere between us, but  
20. it's very easy to let this amendment go on. And I assure you  
21. that we won't have any problem with it.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. The question is, shall Amendment No. 15 to House Bill 3038  
24. be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those...  
25. There is a...there is a request for a roll call. A...a roll call  
26. will be taken. On that question, those in favor of Amendment  
27. No. 15 to House Bill 3038 vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay.  
28. The voting is open. Have all...have all those voted who wish?  
29. Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,  
30. the Ayes are 28, the Nays are 30. Amendment No. 15 to House  
31. Bill 30...3038 fails. Are there further amendments?

32. SECRETARY:

33. Amendment No. 16 offered by Senator Carroll.



1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. Senator Carroll.

3. SECRETARY:

4. Page 20, line 30, by deleting six million one sixty-eight  
5. seven eighty-seven.

6. SENATOR CARROLL:

7. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
8. Senate. As we promised a few moments ago, this money would add  
9. the Highway Safety Programs for local government. Projects for  
10. the municipalities and counties in the tune of one million eight  
11. hundred and ninety-eight thousand six hundred dollars. This  
12. is the increase for locals in the same amount as we decreased  
13. to State agencies. I would move adoption of Amendment 16.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No.  
16. 16 to House Bill 3038 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by  
17. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.  
18. 16 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

19. SECRETARY:

20. Amendment No. 17, offered by Senator Maitland.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

22. Senator Maitland.

23. SENATOR MAITLAND:

24. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
25. Senate. Amendment No. 17 would...would appropriate sixty thousand  
26. dollars to the Bloomington-Normal Airport Authority. This goes  
27. back to FY 1980 when the airport was...was promised the sixty  
28. thousand dollars in Series B Bonds to build sewers at the airport.  
29. There had been a number of airports who had had their sewer  
30. programs funded by this method. However, attorneys within the  
31. Bureau of the Budget have determined that, in fact, this probably  
32. is not legal. And therefore, it's the Department of Transportation's  
33. feeling that the promise was made to the airport, in fact, the

1. design plans, the bid letting had all taken place, and for that  
2. reason, Doctor Mandeville has provided a letter to me, and to  
3. Senator Carroll which indicate that they feel they have the obligation  
4. here, and I would appreciate a favorable roll call.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No.  
7. 17 to House Bill 3038 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by  
8. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.  
9. 17 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

10. SECRETARY:

11. Amendment No. 18 offered by Senator Carroll.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

13. Senator Carroll.

14. SENATOR CARROLL:

15. Would you identify...

16. SECRETARY:

17. On page 1 by deleting lines 21 and 22.

18. SENATOR CARROLL:

19. Thank you, this is the one that adds back the money for the  
20. street light maintenance to the locals and I would move adoption.  
21. This replaces the nine hundred and forty thousand as we had in-  
22. dicated in the discussion on the prior amendments. This gives it  
23. back to the locals. I would move adoption of Amendment 18.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

25. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No.  
26. 18 to House Bill 3038 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by  
27. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.  
28. 18 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

29. SECRETARY:

30. No further amendments.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. 3rd reading. House Bill 3050, Senator Grotberg. Senator...  
33. Senator Buzbee. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

1. SECRETARY:  
2. House Bill 3050.  
3. ( Secretary reads title of bill )  
4. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II  
5. offers two amendments.  
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
7. Senator Buzbee.  
8. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
9. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 restores nine  
10. month funding for a...pardon me, I have the wrong amendment.  
11. Amendment No. 1 eliminates one new lab tech position from the  
12. Federal funding, three long term vacancies, one GRF, two  
13. Federal. Imposes the eight percent solution, and eliminates  
14. over-budgeting in Contractual Services and commodities. It's  
15. a total reduction of one hundred thousand nine hundred dollars.  
16. I would move its adoption.  
17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
18. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No.  
19. 1 to House Bill 3050 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by  
20. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.  
21. 1 is adopted. Are there further committee amendments?  
22. SECRETARY:  
23. Committee Amendment No. 2.  
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
25. Senator Buzbee.  
26. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
27. Thank you, Mr. President. This combines the two grant line  
28. items into one line. Out-patient methadone maintenance line and the  
29. out-patient drug free line, would become Out-patient Maintenance  
30. Services, and I would move its adoption.  
31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD).  
32. Is there discussion? Senator Regner.  
33. SENATOR REGNER:

1. Well, Mr. President, and members, I oppose this amendment.  
2. What it does it takes two line items that we broke out last  
3. year from one lump sum into two and it recombines them into  
4. one sum. Now, this is something that we've doing in the  
5. Appropriations Committee for the last couple of years, taking  
6. out all these lump sum appropriations, breaking them out as  
7. much as we can in order to have as good a watch and handle  
8. on the spending on individual lines as we possibly can. And  
9. I would urge the defeat of this amendment.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. Is there further discussion? Senator Buzbee may close.

12. SENATOR BUZBEE:

13. Thank you, Mr. President. I...I would normally agree with  
14. Senator Regner on the concept and the philosophy. The problem  
15. here is, that the...the agency is seeing more...more and more  
16. addicts to cocain, and less and less of the other lesser drugs,  
17. and their problem is they don't know how this is going to  
18. go up, what...what the curve is going to look like as far as  
19. their treatment of cocain users is concerned. And they need the  
20. flexibility to be able to transfer the monies between these two  
21. lines, two percent transferability is simply not sufficient.  
22. And so in this case, we have felt that it was much...much better  
23. to combine them into one line, so that they can treat either with  
24. methadone or the cocain users and just call it Out-patient  
25. Maintenance Services. And I would submit to you it's a good  
26. amendment, and it ought to be adopted, and move its amendment...  
27. I move its adoption.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

29. Senator...well, Senator Buzbee closed. But Senator Grotberg  
30. do you wish to...it's your bill, but it's not your amendment, but,  
31. go ahead, Senator Grotberg.

32. SENATOR GROTBORG:

33. Thank you, I just want the whole world to know that I joyfully

1. accept this non-controversial amendment. It's a good amendment.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

3. All right, the question is, shall Amendment No. 2 to  
4. House Bill 3050 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying  
5. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is  
6. adopted to House Bill 3050. Are there further amendments?

7. SECRETARY:

8. No further committee amendments.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

10. Are there amendments from the Floor?

11. SECRETARY:

12. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Buzbee.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

14. Senator Buzbee.

15. SENATOR BUZBEE:

16. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment restores nine  
17. month funding for a vacant data analyst position and a new  
18. lab tech position, which were both eliminated by Senate Committee  
19. Amendment No. 1. It also restores forty-five hundred dollars  
20. in Contractual Services for legal fees which were eliminated by  
21. Senate Committee Amendment No. 1. It's a total addition of  
22. twenty-nine thousand five hundred dollars of which seventeen  
23. thousand is from GRF, twelve thousand from Federal Funds. And  
24. I would move its adoption.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

26. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No.  
27. 3 to House Bill 3050 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by  
28. saying Aye. Those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment  
29. No. 3 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

30. SECRETARY:

31. No further amendments.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

33. 3rd reading. House Bill 3054, Senator Schaffer. House Bill

1. 3058, Senator Moore. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.  
2. SECRETARY:  
3. House Bill 3058.  
4. ( Secretary reads title of bill )  
5. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II  
6. offers seven amendments.  
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
8. Senator Buzbee.  
9. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
10. Thank you, Mr. President. Even though this bill is entitled, "An  
11. Appropriation to the Department of Public Health for FY'80; it  
12. has absolutely nothing to do with that. If you'll recall that the House,  
13. in their infinite wisdom, decided to kill the entire appropriation  
14. for the Department of Public Aid. So, what we did was we picked  
15. up this vehicle, a supplemental appropriation to the Department  
16. of Public Health. It no longer became necessary to use that for  
17. the Department of Public Health, and so we struck everything after  
18. the enacting clause, and added in the entire appropriation for  
19. the Department of Public Aid. Now, this first amendment intro-  
20. duces the budget request for the Department of Public Aid at  
21. the Governor's recommended level. We do various and sundry  
22. other things to that recommendation with follow up amendments.  
23. But this first amendment is the Governor's recommended level,  
24. and I would move its adoption.  
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
26. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment  
27. No. 1 to House Bill 3058 be adopted. Those in favor indicate  
28. by saying Aye. Those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amend-  
29. ment No. 1 is adopted. Amendment No. 2. Senator Buzbee.  
30. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
31. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment reduces the operations  
32. area of the Department of Public Aid by eight hundred fifty-  
33. nine thousand one hundred dollars. The effect of the amendment

1. is to reduce in the central office four hundred twenty-nine  
2. thousand dollars of which three hundred and two thousand goes  
3. to Personal Services for the Pay Plan, twenty-eight new positions  
4. were eliminated, lump sum vacation pay, retirement, Social  
5. Security, Contractual Services, commodities. Seventy-five  
6. thousand for printing and equipment. It reduces by ninety-  
7. two hundred dollars the regional lump sum vacation pay. It  
8. reduces by six hundred and twenty-two thousand seven hundred  
9. dollars, the EDP lines, Personal Services a hundred and seventy-  
10. six...a hundred and seventy-one thousand .6 for the Pay  
11. Plan, twenty-six thousand lump sum vacation, nineteen thousand  
12. for two new positions. Retirement...concomitant retirement,  
13. Social Security, et cetera, three hundred and seventy-three  
14. thousand for Contractual Services. A hundred and fifty  
15. thousand for the Payroll System reduced to last year's request.  
16. Fifty thousand temporary services, a hundred and seventy-three  
17. thousand In-take Project, equipment seventeen hundred. MMIS,  
18. a reduction of forty-three thousand one hundred of which thirty-  
19. seven...thirty-nine...pardon me, thirty-eight thousand dollars  
20. in Personal Services concomitant retirement, Social Security,  
21. et cetera. Training, two hundred and thirty-four thousand  
22. three hundred dollar reduction. Personal Services, lump  
23. sum vacation pay, thirty-five new positions, retirement, Social  
24. Security, Contractual Services a reduction of thirty-five  
25. thousand, commodities equipment, et cetera. Child Support,  
26. an addition of one million four hundred and eleven thousand dollars  
27. in Personal Services, retirement, Social Security, Contractual  
28. Services, and travel with a reduction of three hundred thousand in the  
29. local government contractual account. Two hundred and twenty-  
30. one thousand dollar reduction in Social Services, Personal  
31. Services, retirement, Social Security, commodities, equipment,  
32. et cetera. In the Medical lines, four hundred and ninety-five  
33. thousand dollar reduction in Personal Services for the Pay Plan,  
turn over in hiring lag, twenty-eight new positions cut, retirement

1. Social Security, commodities, equipment. In the field commodities,  
2. eighteen percent increase was allowed, but we cut a hundred  
3. and thirty-four thousand dollars to get to that percentage in-  
4. crease. Food Stamps, a cut of forty-three thousand dollars  
5. for Personal Services, retirement, Social Security, allowing  
6. an eighteen percent increase in commodities. We were still  
7. able to cut eighteen thousand dollars. Attorney General, forty-  
8. six thousand dollar cut of Personal Services, retirement, Social  
9. Security, and commodities, and I would move its adoption.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment  
12. No. 2 to House Bill 3058 be adopted. Those in favor indicate  
13. by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment  
14. No. 2 is adopted. Amendment No. 3. Senator Buzbee.

15. SENATOR BUZBEE:

16. Thank you, Mr. President. Early on in our discussions with  
17. the Department of Public Aid we asked them if they had added  
18. anything in...in the AFDC in the general assistance lines for  
19. the...the pending recession. Because we know that we're going to  
20. have more folks on the pay...on the rolls on AFDC and general  
21. assistance because of the recession. They indicated to us  
22. that they were talking about it, they hadn't done anything about  
23. it yet. They said they had ongoing discussions with the  
24. Bureau of the Budget, and the Governor's Office. They came to  
25. us the last day of committee meeting and said here's what we  
26. decided we needed, and it's a total addition of twenty-eight  
27. thousand nine hundred dollars, of which thirteen million...  
28. pardon me, twenty-eight million nine hundred thousand addition  
29. of which thirteen million one hundred is for AFDC because of  
30. increasing case loads. Twelve million six hundred thousand  
31. for general assistance for higher than expected case loads,  
32. nine hundred thousand for new field positions. Five hundred  
33. thousand is a risk reserve for the donated funds initiative  
1.8 million for the MMIS contractual completion. The amendment



1. makes the following reductions in field office, a reduction  
2. of one million eight hundred and ninety-two thousand dollars,  
3. and in General Assistance Office a reduction of three hundred  
4. and fifteen thousand dollars, net increase of twenty-eight  
5. million...28.9 million, and I would move its adoption.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

7. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No.  
8. 3 to House Bill 3058 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by  
9. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.  
10. 3 is adopted. Are there further amendments? Amendment No. 4...

11. SECRETARY:

12. Committee Amendment No. 4.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

14. Amendment No. 4, Senator Buzbee.

15. SENATOR BUZBEE:

16. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 4 breaks out the  
17. local Initiative Fund appropriation by agency and service, and  
18. I would move its adoption.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

20. Is there further...is there discussion? The question is  
21. shall Amendment No. 4 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by  
22. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.  
23. 4 is adopted. Amendment No. 5. Senator Buzbee.

24. SENATOR BUZBEE:

25. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment was offered in  
26. the committee by Senator Regner, and we concurred with it completely.  
27. The amendment eliminates seventy-five new positions requested  
28. by the department with the total reduction of eight hundred  
29. eighty-five thousand two hundred dollars, thirty-two thousand  
30. in Federal funds, and eight hundred and fifty-three thousand  
31. in General Revenue. And I would move its adoption.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

33. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No. 5

1. to House Bill 3058 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by  
2. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment  
3. No. 5 is adopted. Amendment No. 6. Senator Buzbee.

4. SENATOR BUZBEE:

5. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 6 is a...it's  
6. been agreed by various members of the Floor today, that Amend-  
7. ment No. 6 should be Tabled. The...the sponsor in committee  
8. was either Senator Regner or Senator Martin, and I've forgotten  
9. which. But...this was Senator Martin's amendment in committee,  
10. and it's been agreed that we should Table that amendment today  
11. for...I don't think...I don't know if Senator Martin agrees  
12. or not, but at least Senator Regner and I agree.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

14. Senator Martin.

15. SENATOR MARTIN:

16. Well, I'm absolutely thrilled you two agree. How charming  
17. for both of you. Out of courtesy, ofcourse,I'll Table it, it  
18. might have been nice to tell the sponsor, though.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

20. Senator Buzbee, do you move to Table Amendment No. 6?  
21. Senator Buzbee.

22. SENATOR BUZBEE:

23. First of all, I move to apologize to Senator Martin. This  
24. was...we talked with the director today and so forth, and nobody  
25. ever got around to you apparently, and it...I...I was not trying  
26. to overlook you. I've been running around like crazy all day, and  
27. I apologize to you, and I move to Table your amendment.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

29. Motion to Table Amendment No. 6 to House Bill 3058. Those  
30. in favor vote Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The  
31. motion...Amendment No. 6 is Tabled. Amendment No. 7, Senator  
32. Buzbee.

33. SENATOR BUZBEE:

1. I move to Table Amendment No. 7. This was Senator Regner's  
2. and he agrees to it.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
4. Senator Regner.

5. SENATOR REGNER:  
6. Yes, this is the same situation as Amendment No. 6. It  
7. was my amendment in committee. After discussion with the director  
8. and that, I...we felt it cut a little too far into existing  
9. positions, and I move that Amendment No. 7 be Tabled.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
11. You've heard the motion. Those in favor of Tabling Amend-  
12. ment No. 7 indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed Nay. The  
13. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 7 is Tabled. Amendment No...are  
14. there...are there further committee amendments?

15. SECRETARY:  
16. No further committee amendments.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
18. Are there amendments from the Floor?

19. SECRETARY:  
20. Amendment No. 8 offered by Senator Moore.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
22. Senator Moore.

23. SENATOR MOORE:  
24. Thank you, Mr. President. What this amendment does, it  
25. was discussed, I believe, in committee, there were some questions  
26. as far as the Cuban Refugee Appropriation. It appears as though  
27. we are going to be saddled with some Cuban...Refugees, that  
28. are going to be in the State of Illinois, and what this does  
29. it...there is an increase, the addition of eleven million nine  
30. hundred and sixty-one thousand seven hundred dollars to the  
31. Cuban Refugee Appropriation. I have a letter from Doctor  
32. Bob, and from the director stating that we are taking all necessary  
33. steps to maximize the possibility of Federal reimbursement for

1. the expenditures of these funds. I'd move that we do adopt  
2. Amendment No. 8 to House Bill 3058.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

4. Senator Keats.

5. SENATOR KEATS:

6. I'd ask a question of the sponsor, if he'd yield.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

8. Indicates he will.

9. SENATOR KEATS:

10. Doctor Bob says we're going to take all appropriate  
11. action. What are the chances that we're going to get the  
12. eleven plus million dollars? It is certainly not our obligation,  
13. this probably should come from the State Department.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. Senator Moore.

16. SENATOR MOORE:

17. That is contingent upon what the President of the United  
18. States and the Congress does, we probably will not know for,  
19. I'm informed, a period of five or six months. We do intend to  
20. institute legal action against the Federal Government for  
21. reimbursement, pending what Congress ultimately decides to do.  
22. I think we have seen in the newspapers where the City of  
23. Miami and so forth has ran into a tremendous problem. What we're  
24. trying to do is to minimize the loss that we will have to have  
25. under the law in the event we do not make this appropriation,  
26. these people will end up on General Assistance. That is one  
27. hundred percent State money. There's no Federal monies involved  
28. there at all. And I think that there is a reasonably good chance  
29. that action will be taken by the Congress to fund this program,  
30. as...we did with the Vietnamese and the...there's another group  
31. that...that the Federal Government did match us with on these  
32. monies.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. Senator Keats.

2. SENATOR KEATS:

3. I would just plain, number one, ask for a roll call on this,  
4. but number two, I would have to say I can't believe that we're  
5. appropriating... I mean this is not a State obligation, we  
6. were not the ones who sent the boats over, we were not the  
7. ones who opened up the ports. While I'm sympathetic, I'm glad  
8. to have a few of them. I think this is a Federal responsibility  
9. and I don't think we should be forwarding the money, 'cause right  
10. now with the mood of the Congress and the President, I would  
11. say the chances with us getting this money back are slim to  
12. none. If the President wishes to make a foreign policy move  
13. much of which I happened to have supported, let him at least  
14. pay for it.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

16. Senator Regner.

17. SENATOR REGNER:

18. Well, Mr. President, and members. I'd just like to point  
19. out to the members that if this amendment goes on, this bill  
20. will then be forty-four million dollars over the original bill  
21. as introduced in the House. Now, the irony of that is, we  
22. keep hearing the second Floor accusing the General Assembly  
23. of being the big spenders. These forty-four million dollars  
24. were added and approved by the second Floor after the bill was  
25. originally introduced. And I just think it's a real irony that  
26. we are continually called the big spenders by the second Floor, but  
27. along with Senator Keats, I'd like a roll call on the amendment.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

29. Senator Rhoads.

30. SENATOR RHOADS:

31. Well, let's not all get excited, Senator Regner, now just  
32. a minute. We discussed this in rather great detail in the sub-  
33. committee, as a matter of fact we don't know that twelve million is...

1. is enough. We're contemplating the appropriation on the basis  
2. of half a year, and I believe that what the department  
3. told us was that on the basis of historic pattern, with  
4. a hundred thousand Cubans in the United States, we could  
5. expect some sixteen thousand of them to come to the State of  
6. Illinois. Now, somebody is going to have to take care of these  
7. people, and they're going to be on General Assistance one way  
8. or another. We don't know what their status is going to be yet,  
9. whether they're going to be parolees or whether they will get  
10. formal admission as refugees. Those things are still being  
11. thrashed out at the Federal level. Obviously, it's our hope  
12. that we're going to get full Federal reimbursement for the  
13. Cuban Refugee Program, but whether we do or whether we don't  
14. I submit to you that one way or another we're going to wind up  
15. paying for these people. I...I urge a favorable vote.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. Further discussion? Senator Buzbee.

18. SENATOR BUZBEE:

19. Thank you, Mr. President. I concur with what Senator Rhoads  
20. just said. If...if we don't do this this way and possibly get  
21. ...with the possibility of get...Federal reimbursement...getting  
22. Federal reimbursement, you can be assured we're going to pick  
23. them up on the General Assistance rolls because most of them  
24. are going to end up in the City of Chicago. They are going to  
25. be eligible for General Assistance, most of these folks are either  
26. family people who have brought their entire families and they  
27. have gone to live with relatives or...or they're going to be going  
28. to live with relatives, or they are young males who are in the...in the  
29. employment age, and when they can't find jobs, they're going to  
30. go on General Assistance, which is not Federally reimbursable  
31. at all. So, we're going to get them one way or the other. This  
32. way we're going to get them with the possibility and as Senator  
33. Moore indicated to you, the department is in the process right  
now of filing suit against the Federal Government. We're going

1. to get them with the possibility of Federal reimbursement.  
2. Nobody is sure yet what that rate is going to be. I believe  
3. it's possible we could be reimbursed at one hundred percent,  
4. it's possible we could be reimbursed at seventy-five percent,  
5. or it's possible we could be reimbursed at fifty percent. I'm  
6. not sure, nobody else is sure at this point. But we're going  
7. to pick them up one way or the other. If we pick them up  
8. in General Assistance, we're not going to get reimbursed any  
9. thing. So, we've got to give the director that flexibility,  
10. Senator Regner is absolutely correct, we're now forty-four million  
11. over and above the introduced level. We have letters to that  
12. effect, and I hope when the press goes to the Governor's press  
13. conference at the end of the Session, when he stands up on his  
14. two hind legs once again and says, the General Assembly has over  
15. appropriated to the tune of hundreds of millions of dollars, that  
16. they...before they accept all that falderal and...horsewash...  
17. hogwash. Well, I started to say horse something else. Hogwash,  
18. that they will look at all of the letters that we have signed by  
19. Doctor Bob, which indicates requests over and above the Grimm  
20. fairy tale which is called the Budget Book FY'81, State of  
21. Illinois, because we have requests from the Executive Agency,  
22. signed by Doctor Mandeville, that amount at this point to several  
23. millions and millions of dollars over the introduced budget book.  
24. I'm not saying that these aren't necessarily needed, this...in  
25. this case it certainly is needed. But we're not adding on, we're  
26. adding on at the request of the Executive Branch of Government,  
27. specifically the Governor's Office and the Bureau of the Budget.  
28. It's a good amendment, it has to go on, and I would ask for  
29. your favorable consideration.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

31. Senator Knuppel.

32. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

33. Well, of course, I think Senator Buzbee said something that  
this Body has to realize, and that is that the Governor is going

1. to stand up and knock the hell out of the Legislature for over  
2. spending, because we have a Democratic majority. But that  
3. isn't all true. Some of these things fall back on the Governor's  
4. Desk that we can't foresee. When the Bureau of the Budget drew up  
5. the budget they didn't anticipate all the Cuban Refugees. And,  
6. I'm getting a little tired of...of, you know, always having to  
7. wait on Jimmy Chipmunk out there to come through. And I think  
8. it's up to the Governor to drive a hard bargain with Jimmy  
9. Chipmunk, do the very best he can, instead of whipping the  
10. Legislature, let's have him whip Jimmy.

11.  
12.  
13.  
14.  
15.  
16.  
17.  
18.  
19.  
20.  
21.  
22.  
23.  
24.  
25.  
26.  
27.  
28.  
29.  
30.  
31.  
32.  
33.

(END OF REEL)



1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Senator Berning.

3. SENATOR BERNING:

4. Thank you, Mr. President. I'm not just sure who to direct  
5. my questions toward, but since the issue of the Cuban refugees  
6. is before us by means of this amendment; I'd just like to pose  
7. the question, when it is stated by Senator Buzbee, I believe,  
8. that we are going to be faced with taking care of these people,  
9. I submit that that is a rather high-handed pronouncement that  
10. has come from Washington. Why, my question is, why do we have  
11. to accept these people; simply because Illinois is a part of  
12. the United States, are we supposed to accept a group of foreigners  
13. whether we want them or not, knowing that they are going to  
14. cost our taxpayers their dollars?

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Was that a question, Senator Berning?

17. SENATOR BERNING:

18. Yes.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Senator Buzbee.

21. SENATOR BUZBEE:

22. Well, Senator, I guess my response would be to you, that  
23. you look around in this Chamber and you would find several  
24. members sitting here whose forefathers came to this country as  
25. unwanted foreigners. In fact, we all came to this country...I  
26. don't see any native Americans sitting in this Chamber, we all  
27. came to this country as unwanted foreigners. Now, there were  
28. several of our forebearers who were able to make it on their  
29. own right off the bat; others had to receive some assistance  
30. from their neighbors, or from what government might have been  
31. available at the time. Now, the only way I can see that we  
32. would...that we would, well, Senator Collins says that her  
33. forebearers did not come to this country as unwanted foreigners;

1. that...they didn't come here voluntarily anyhow. The only way  
2. I know that we can get at your position, Senator, is that we  
3. erect steel barriers around the borders of this State and not  
4. allow anybody in; but the fact of the matter is, they're in  
5. this country. There's going to be a lot of them come to Illinois.  
6. Most of them are going to go to the City of Chicago and the  
7. surrounding environs. We will either pick them up on General  
8. assistance rolls, or we will pick them up in the Cuban assistance  
9. line, or we will allow them to die on the streets of starvation.  
10. I submit to you that picking them up on the Cuban assistance  
11. line is the best possible alternative and option.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. Senator Berning.

14. SENATOR BERNING:

15. Well, I...I'm not willing to accept that, but I'm not going  
16. to belabor the point; however, I would like to suggest that when  
17. you establish this Cuban assistance line, the very least in the  
18. way of protection for our fellow citizens that ought to be pro-  
19. vided, is a screening to be sure that we are not supporting and  
20. supplementing undesirables; namely, hardened criminals and  
21. people who will never be an asset to this society. They ought  
22. to be denied the substance of our hardworking fellow citizens.  
23. Now, can we do that?

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. Senator Buzbee.

26. SENATOR BUZBEE:

27. Well, if...if you want the State Government to do what you're  
28. asking, we're going to have to increase Dan Webb's appropriation  
29. by several million dollars, so he will have enough investigators  
30. to go around and do all that investigation. You read the same  
31. newspapers I do, Senator, and you know that the Federal Government  
32. is right now in the process of trying to screen out those un-  
33. desirables, those hoodlums that Castro sent to us; and we're

1. going to try to get rid of them; but, quite obviously, you  
2. and I at the State level can't do a thing about that.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. Further discussion? If not, Senator Moore? Senator  
5. Moore moves the adoption of Amendment No. 8 to House Bill  
6. 3058. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed.  
7. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 8 is adopted. Any further  
8. amendments?

9. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

10. Amendment No. 9, offered by Senators Newhouse and Moore.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Senator Newhouse.

13. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

14. Thank you, Mr. President and Senators. This amendment  
15. provides for a five percent cost of living increase, effective  
16. August the 1st, to income assistance recipients, and increases  
17. the medical lines to accommodate the increases in the caseloads,  
18. due to the raising of the cost of living, for a total increase  
19. of forty-four million eight hundred and forty-eight thousand  
20. dollars. I would be pleased to answer any questions, and would  
21. ask for a favorable roll call.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Senator Newhouse moves the adoption of Amendment No. 9  
24. to House Bill 3058. Is there discussion? Senator Collins.

25. SENATOR COLLINS:

26. Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in support of this amend-  
27. ment. I think it is absolutely essential to help to relieve  
28. some of the burden off of the poor because of inflation. It  
29. is most certainly not adequate, but it is better than nothing;  
30. and it is the best that, I think, the sponsor and those of us  
31. who are concerned recognize that we can get out of this General  
32. Assembly, or at least possible hope of getting out of this  
33. General Assembly. Several reasons why it is essential that we

1. give some relief to the welfare recipients; mainly because of  
2. the job shortage for many of the welfare recipients children  
3. to...inability to obtain jobs...summer jobs, which has been  
4. traditionally the only means by which those children were able  
5. to work during the summer, and help to support their needs  
6. in terms of school clothing. This year many of those children  
7. will not have the opportunity for employment due to lack of  
8. jobs...therefore, it is important that the welfare checks be,  
9. at least, increased to the extent to help out because of inflation.  
10. And I think it's a good amendment, and hopefully, that you  
11. will support it.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. Further discussion? Senator Regner.

14. SENATOR REGNER:

15. A question of the sponsor of the amendment.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. He indicates he will yield.

18. SENATOR REGNER:

19. Senator Newhouse, do you have a letter from the Bureau of  
20. the Budget that they approve of this add-on of five percent...  
21. what is that about forty million dollars?

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Senator Newhouse.

24. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

25. It's about...it's about forty-four, Senator.

26. SENATOR REGNER:

27. Forty-four. Do...do you have a letter of approval of this  
28. add-on from the Bureau of the Budget?

29. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

30. No, I don't, Senator; but we did work with staff on both  
31. sides to put this together. We talked with the Governor, who  
32. did not indicate disapproval, and we think he'll sign it.

33. SENATOR REGNER:

1. Well, you know, we...we do nothing; we talk about tax relief,  
2. we keep having inflation; due to the inflation, the taxes for  
3. the producers keep going up and added on each and every  
4. year, and what happens to it, no relief; so we continue to  
5. give more money to the non-producers and I'd urge the defeat  
6. of this amendment.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Senator Martin.

9. SENATOR MARTIN:

10. I think it's about time that we truly look at what we're  
11. doing. First of all, you all who have worked with me know  
12. that I do not just oppose welfare; that it is not a marvelous  
13. way to live; that it is not necessarily just people trying to  
14. rip off society; but at some point we do have to start comparing  
15. what will be available to the, let's say, family of four with  
16. this five percent increase and compare it to those who work.  
17. and let tell you what staff figures that you have worked with...  
18. come up with. First of all, if we give a five percent increase  
19. to welfare recipients, we will have, ultimately, available to  
20. them tax free, approximately thirteen thousand five hundred and  
21. twelve dollars in benefits, 'cause they're still eligible for  
22. food stamps and other programs. This is a family of four, a  
23. two-parent family. That would go up if it were a single-parent  
24. family. And let me tell you what some of the people make, who  
25. work just around here. If you were a highway supervisor, and  
26. you had two kids, you should quit; because you would be better  
27. off on welfare. If you were a single mother, trying to support  
28. two children, and you were a secretary, or worse yet, at least  
29. by these figures, a clerk typist, you should be out of your way;  
30. out of this building; you're looney to work, because you would  
31. receive almost a five thousand dollar increase by going on  
32. welfare. We can't do this to people. How can any of us go  
33. home and try and explain that away? We're not just talking about

1. a five percent cost of living for welfare recipients. What we  
2. are doing, is saying to those people who work and make less  
3. than fifteen thousand dollars a year, you're fools...you're  
4. fools; don't take the hard jobs; don't take the jobs that you  
5. have to work up from to get to something more; don't try to  
6. work eight to five every day and be bored, and be tired, and  
7. go through junk; don't do it, because watch, the General Assembly  
8. will give welfare recipients continued cost of livings so  
9. that it makes you fools for working. I don't know how you  
10. can support that; and I would ask everyone that thinks that  
11. maybe in their district there are people who are working and  
12. are trying, to not do this to them, and to vote down this  
13. amendment.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Senator Keats.

16. SENATOR KEATS:

17. Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Martin has mentioned a  
18. couple of figures, and it's just amazing when you look at the  
19. difference in terms of a family on welfare; a family of four,  
20. makes equivalent to, if they take all benefits available,  
21. almost twenty thousand dollars a year. Now, I'm not saying  
22. some...someone making twenty thousand dollars a year is rich,  
23. but someone making twenty thousand dollars a year is not necessarily  
24. poor. What you have to look at in terms of the overall dollar  
25. cost to the taxpayer; the average American, and that's all this  
26. added together, average American payes in taxes, somewhere in  
27. the vicinity of forty-five percent of their income. Now, a liberal  
28. Democrat might say it's only forty-two percent; a conservative  
29. Republican might say it's forty-seven percent, but any way you  
30. argue it, it's about forty-five percent of their income in taxes.  
31. Now, when you add up the cost of welfare recipients, who do  
32. pay taxes in some areas, and that's often overlooked, comes out  
33. to, again, an arguable statistic, but somewhere in the general

1. vicinity of seven percent of their income goes in taxes. So,  
2. before you even start, you have a differential of...of about  
3. forty-five percent as compared to seven percent when you start  
4. adding in taxes, number one. Number two, I think the issue  
5. that we are just plain overlooking, is the number of services  
6. available today, either subsidized or for free; and when you  
7. talk about the individual line item grants, while they, perhaps,  
8. are not increasing as fast as inflation, when you look at the  
9. other services, i.e., free food stamps, et cetera; it is clear,  
10. welfare has increased faster than the cost of living. As a  
11. matter of fact, short of a few of our senior executives in  
12. large corporations, some of whom may be overpaid or not, and  
13. we needn't argue that point; but short of at that level, your  
14. welfare recipients in the last fifteen years, have actually  
15. gained ground on the working public; not so much from their...  
16. from the specific grant, but from all the new services made  
17. available for free or for...or at extremely reduced rates.  
18. So, when we now talk about, simply, the individual grant; and  
19. we say, oh, well look, we are in trouble here; remember, there  
20. are, to the average welfare recipient, in the vicinity...this  
21. is the average, somewhere between five and eleven different  
22. services they can receive at almost for free. And so I say to  
23. you, if you are really concerned at all about the growth of  
24. government; and this is 44.8 million dollars, and it could be  
25. higher, but let's just call it forty-five million and not argue  
26. that figure; if you're really concerned about the growth, this  
27. is the kind of area that we're having trouble with. When you  
28. look at the Federal budget today, approximately sixty percent  
29. of the Federal budget goes to income redistribution; where you  
30. take money from someone and give it to someone else. If you  
31. want to know the cancer that's destroying our society, there it  
32. is right there. We are at the point where the majority of the  
33. budget on the Federal level is simply used to take money from

1. one person to supplement someone else. We're not opposed to  
2. Social Security, and no one is really opposed to doing away  
3. with welfare; but you have to be practical, there are some  
4. people in this society, due to various misfortunes, who will  
5. never quite be able to make it on their own, and without our  
6. assistance, they're in trouble. We all know it, and we have  
7. an obligation to assist those people. The answer is at what  
8. level; and if you're supporting this increase, what you're  
9. saying is, you consider a family of four on welfare should  
10. be allowed to make somewhere in the vicinity of fifteen to  
11. twenty thousand dollars a year. That is a fairly large supplement,  
12. and if you want to know why we are having so much trouble  
13. balancing budgets, that's the answer. I would appreciate a  
14. No vote.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Senator Buzbee.

17. SENATOR BUZBEE:

18. Thank you, Mr. President. I had not intended to speak to  
19. this issue, since it's not a real biggy in my district. We have  
20. some welfare recipients, certainly; but for the most part, we  
21. don't have...the...the total numbers that...that are the...or  
22. the percentages that would be in some other districts. But I  
23. feel that it's necessary to make some refutation to some of the  
24. charges that have been made. The first one was by Senator  
25. Martin that we're going to allow a thirteen thousand dollar a  
26. year total benefits to a welfare recipient; and then, somebody  
27. else said twenty thousand dollars a year. I would point out  
28. to you that a single parent, with three children in the home;  
29. that is a family of four where there is a single parent and  
30. three children, currently receive in the AFDC...from the AFDC  
31. Line, three hundred and fifty dollars per month. Now, they  
32. do get some food stamps, that's true; they do get some medical  
33. assistance; but I would like to ask you to try to clothe, send



1. to school, buy books, buy transportation for three children  
2. and yourself for three hundred and fifty dollars a month.  
3. And then pay your rent, of course, out of that also. Now,  
4. somewhere you got to pay your rent out of there...out of that  
5. three hundred and fifty dollars a month. So, all the food  
6. stamps in the world, and all of the medical benefits that you  
7. might receive aren't going to help you pay that rent, or help  
8. you pay that clothing, or help you pay that transportation,  
9. or help you give your kid a buck once in a while, just so that he  
10. can go to school and buy candy like the other kids. Now,  
11. if we give them this magnificent increase of five percent;  
12. instead of getting three hundred and fifty dollars a month,  
13. they are going to get three hundred and sixty-seven dollars  
14. and fifty cents a month. So, we have really shot them over  
15. the top as far as living standards are concerned. Now, in  
16. the days when Senator Martin and I were growing up, just a couple  
17. of years ago, three hundred and fifty dollars a month, guaranteed  
18. income, was not too bad. I remember my father as a professional  
19. when he was making ninety dollars a week, and we thought we were  
20. pretty much living in style. But three hundred and fifty dollars  
21. a month today, or three hundred and sixty-seven dollars and  
22. fifty-seven...and fifty cents a month doesn't get you very far  
23. in this world. Again, I don't think there is anybody in this  
24. Chamber, that is making a case for welfare fraud, for those folks  
25. who don't deserve to get it to get it; but we're talking about  
26. little children, they don't have anything to say or do with  
27. what caused them to be in their plight. We're talking about  
28. little children, whose mommies can now provide them three hundred  
29. and sixty-seven dollars and fifty cents a month, as opposed to  
30. three hundred and fifty if we adopt this amendment. I submit  
31. to you it's a good amendment and we ought to adopt it.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Is there further discussion? Senator Martin.

1. SENATOR MARTIN:

2. Well, just since my name was mentioned, I would just...I'm

3. going to just read off some figures to you, so that you under-

4. stand I'm not trying to, you know, dramatically, carry the

5. flag that says "Poor People are Terrible," and let's end

6. welfare; that's not what I'm doing. I'm saying we've reached

7. a different point; and so, for all of you Senators, if, with

8. the cost of living increase for a family of four, that would

9. be a yearly total of four thousand four hundred and ten dollars.

10. You are correct, that, of itself, is not gigantic. Add to that

11. food stamps, totalling one thousand seven hundred and twenty-

12. eight dollars; women and infant care, two hundred and eighty-

13. eight dollars, and energy assistance at two hundred dollars.

14. From the Feds, medical assistance, which works out to two thousand

15. two hundred and sixty-six dollars; crisis intervention, three

16. hundred dollars; school lunch programs for those children, three

17. hundred and eighteen dollars; day care, one thousand three hundred

18. and fifty dollars; and for the roofs over the head, Section 8,

19. HUD money, two thousand nine hundred and sixty-six dollars,

20. which brings it to a total; because what we're talking about is

21. money or services you can use and live with, of thirteen thousand

22. nine hundred and five dollars. Now, that's it; and in addition,

23. when we put on this five percent cost of living, in effect, overall,

24. when you add the Federal programs, it becomes only two percent

25. cost of living; and yet, we're picking up more of the tab on

26. the State level. So, not only is it wrong to do in terms of

27. the State versus the Federal Aid; the State loses by a five

28. percent cost of living, more than it would just by the dollars

29. offered, and I think it's a terrible idea and should be defeated.

30. And, Senator Buzbee, when I was a child, I remember you telling

31. me stories about the Indians in your district, and it was a

32. pleasure to listen to them then.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Carroll.

2. SENATOR CARROLL:

3. Thank you. I don't think there are any Indians in my  
4. district, Senator Martin; and that's about as accurate as some  
5. of the figures you quote. And I don't say that you are being  
6. inaccurate; I say that, as we have said before, statistics  
7. can be massaged to do several things. What's interesting to  
8. note is you take some people who are getting thirty thousand  
9. dollars in medical assistance, because of severe...severe medical  
10. problems, and you try and average that into the caseload of  
11. every person who happens to receive some type of medical assistance.  
12. As we know, that is...the averages you strike are an average;  
13. that does not mean that the vast, vast, vast majority of those who  
14. are on some type of medical assistance approach anywhere near  
15. a fraction of that figure that you cite as an average, because  
16. the vast majorities of the monies spent, are spent in that  
17. twenty thousand to thirty thousand dollar category. You then  
18. went ahead and listed a whole grouping of figures that you  
19. averaged out. The truth is that very few of those go to more  
20. than twenty percent of those who are eligible. For example,  
21. the housing subsidy; less than twenty percent of the people who  
22. are on this type of aid receive a housing subsidy. Less than  
23. ten percent receive a day care subsidy; and the same thing with  
24. the lunch program, a lot of that money that you've allocated  
25. to welfare recipients, in fact, are spent on others who are not  
26. in this category; and the total dollar impact goes far beyond  
27. those who are on welfare; but yet, you have averaged them in to  
28. a daily caseload basis. That's not a legitimate average. What  
29. you must look at, then, is what the average person gets, and  
30. it's nowhere near the dollar impact you have tried to relate to  
31. the members of this Senate. In fact, the average family is  
32. getting just a little bit over that four thousand dollar figure  
33. that you have cited, and nowhere near the thirteen thousand that

1. grew by Senator Keats' arithmetic to twenty thousand dollars.  
2. You can't...I know that you can't argue for Senator Keats'  
3. arithmetic; but you know, let's be a little fairer about this,  
4. and take out of it the twenty and thirty thousand dollar payments  
5. for medical assistance. Let's be a little more fair and say  
6. that people are not getting both day care and housing subsidies;  
7. because that that's only going to a very small percentage of the  
8. recipients, and I would urge adoption of Amendment No. 9.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

10. Senator Wooten.

11. SENATOR WOOTEN:

12. Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Carroll did a very  
13. brilliant job of exposition; there's no need to repeat that.  
14. It's just simply in summary, you know, what we're talking about;  
15. all those figures you add go to social workers, medicines and  
16. so on; you can't eat that stuff, and that is the problem with  
17. dealing with this particular subject. We get beat by these  
18. figures and the press; and if we all want to have good standing  
19. with conservatives, particularly in election years, we vote  
20. against everything that has to do with Public Aid increases.  
21. But if you have an opportunity to look at those cases, you know,  
22. I hear an awful lot about the fraud and so on; but I have some  
23. contact with people who are on Public Aid, and you...you just  
24. find people mostly in trouble, would desperately like to get  
25. off and would like to have enough to eat, clothes to wear;  
26. and what we are dealing with here, is really bare subsistence.  
27. That other stuff, you can't put on your back, you can't eat it,  
28. you can't pay the rent with it; and no matter how it translates  
29. politically, this is simple justice. The price of everything  
30. has gone up and the price of being poor has gone up, too.  
31. The five percent is a minimal amount.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Senator Sommer.

1. SENATOR SOMMER:

2. Just very quickly in response to Senator Carroll's excellent  
3. exposition, you know someone on Public Aid has a greater range  
4. of medical services offered to them, free, than our own State  
5. employees do? And I can go through each line that you talked  
6. about and say the same thing; you're right, you can massage  
7. any kind of figures you want. Senator Martin is closer to the  
8. truth, I believe.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

10. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Newhouse  
11. may close debate.

12. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

13. Thank you, Mr. President. There were a number of people  
14. who gave us some figures that sounded quite attractive, and I  
15. just want to share with them that I knew a Philadelphia lawyer  
16. who decided to quit practicing law and go on welfare to collect  
17. all those benefits; and by the time he tried to figure out how  
18. to do it, he decided to go back into his practice, and he's making  
19. six figures now. I don't know anybody that's got the ingenuity  
20. to get all the stuff we're talking about here; but let that  
21. rest for the moment. Let me tell you what we did; what we did  
22. was took an absolute bare-bone-survival for a family of four,  
23. wrote in no transportation and no clothing at all; took that  
24. as a figure, and it would have required an eleven percent cost  
25. of living increase. Now, we respect the integrity of this  
26. Body, and feel fiscally responsible. We weren't about to ask  
27. for eleven percent, even though that would have been a fair  
28. figure. We reduced that figure to five, and would hope that  
29. that figure of five percent would get through this Body, so that  
30. we could reduce a lot of other things, such as crime and other-  
31. wise. I ask a favorable roll call on this bill.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Senator Newhouse moves the adoption of Amendment No. 9 to

1. House Bill 3058. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed  
2. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?  
3. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,  
4. the Ayes are 30, the Nays are 27, none Voting Present. Amendment  
5. No. 9, having received a majority vote, is declared adopted.  
6. Senator Martin.  
7. SENATOR MARTIN:  
8. The affirmative roll call, please.  
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
10. Mr. Secretary, there has been a request for the verification  
11. of the affirmative roll call. Will all Senators be in their  
12. seats, and will the Secretary read the affirmative votes.  
13. SECRETARY:  
14. The following voted in the affirmative: Berman, Buzbee,  
15. Carroll, Chew, Collins, D'Arco, Daley, Demuzio, Donnewald,  
16. Egan, Hall, Johns, Jeremiah Joyce, Jerome Joyce, Knuppel,  
17. Maragos, McLendon, Merlo, Moore, Nash, Nedza, Nega, Netsch,  
18. Newhouse, Sangmeister, Savickas, Vadalabene, Washington,  
19. Wooten, Mr. President.  
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
21. Are there any questions of the roll call?  
22. SENATOR MARTIN:  
23. None.  
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
25. Are there further amendments?  
26. SECRETARY:  
27. Amendment No. 10, offered by Senator Moore.  
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
29. Senator Moore.  
30. SENATOR MOORE:  
31. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.  
32. Amendment No. 10 implements Senate Bill 1978 that is back  
33. in this Body for concurrence. It provides a fifteen million

1. nine hundred thousand dollar increase in the medical line item  
2. for the Oak Forest Hospital. The bill, as it came back from  
3. the House, mandates the Department to pay the Oak Forest  
4. Hospital, which is licensed by the Illinois Department of  
5. Public Health as a hospital, and is also fully accredited by  
6. the Joint Committee on Accreditation of Hospitals as a hospital,  
7. rather than a nursing home. I'd move for the adoption of  
8. Amendment No. 10 to House Bill 3058.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

10. Senator Moore moves the adoption of Amendment No. 10 to  
11. House Bill 3058. Is there any discussion? If not, those  
12. in favor will indicate by saying Aye. There's been...there's  
13. been a request for a roll call. Those who wish to adopt  
14. Amendment No. 10 will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay.  
15. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted  
16. who wish? Senator, would you vote me Aye. Take the record.  
17. On that question, the Ayes are 30, the Nays are 26, none  
18. Voting Present. Amendment No. 10, having received the majority  
19. vote, is declared adopted. Any further amendments?

20. SECRETARY:

21. Amendment No. 11, offered by Senator Rock.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Senator Rock.

24. SENATOR ROCK:

25. Senator Egan, pay attention here. This is an amendment  
26. which would add eighty-six million nine hundred dollars to the  
27. medical...eighty-six million nine hundred thousand dollars to  
28. the medical assistant grant lines for the purpose of funding...  
29. you will recall that this Senate passed by majority vote,..  
30. constitutional majority vote, Senate Bill 1808; which raised  
31. the eligibility standards for the Medical Assistance Program.  
32. This is the bill which purports to pay the first year's cost  
33. of that; this is the amendment...it's in the amount of eighty-

1. six million nine hundred thousand. I do not have a letter  
2. from Dr. Mandeville, and I would urge your affirmative support.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. Senator Rock moves the adoption of Amendment No. 11 to  
5. House Bill 3058. Is there any discussion? Senator Buzbee.

6. SENATOR BUZBEE:

7. Yes Sir, Mr. President, this is a merely amendment as  
8. Senator Rock indicated and it adds almost eighty-seven million  
9. dollars. I am...the arguments against it are obvious. I am  
10. anxious to see how Senator Regner votes on this amendment,  
11. given the fact that he was the principal co-sponsor of the...  
12. of the legislation that...that brought this about. I intend  
13. to vote No; I think it's eighty-seven million dollars that  
14. should not be put in the budget at this time, in my opinion.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. A roll call has been requested. Senator Hall, do you wish  
17. to speak on this?

18. SENATOR HALL:

19. Well, once again a member of the Big Four raises his head.  
20. This is a good amendment. We need it.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. The question is shall Amendment No. 11 be adopted. Those  
23. in favor will indicate by voting Aye. Those opposed will vote  
24. Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all  
25. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.  
26. On that question, the Ayes are 27, the Nays are 30, none Voting  
27. Present. Amendment No. 11, having failed to receive a majority,  
28. is declared defeated. Any further amendments?

29. SECRETARY:

30. No further amendments.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. 3rd reading. With leave, we will go back to House Bill  
33. 3054 for Senator Schaffer. Leave is granted. House Bill 3054.



1. Mr. Secretary, would you read the bill.  
2. SECRETARY:  
3. House Bill 3054.  
4. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
5. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II  
6. offers one amendment.  
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
8. Senator Schaffer...or Senator Buzbee.  
9. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
10. Mr. President, thank you. This...this amendment makes  
11. the latest changes in the DCFS request. There's no dollar  
12. changes, it simply changes some money around from line items,  
13. and I would move its adoption.  
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
15. Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to  
16. House Bill 3054. Is there any discussion? Senator Johns.  
17. SENATOR JOHNS:  
18. Let's...let's explain that a little bit better. Is this  
19. the amendment whereby the House took thirty jobs out, and we're  
20. putting them back in?  
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
22. Senator Buzbee.  
23. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
24. No, you're on the wrong bill, Senator. This is the supplemental  
25. for FY-'80.  
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
27. Senator Johns.  
28. SENATOR JOHNS:  
29. Is this 30...oh, this is 54 instead of 44. Okay.  
30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
31. Are there any...any further discussion? If not, Senator  
32. Buzbee moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3054.  
33. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The

1. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amend-  
2. ments?  
3. SECRETARY:  
4. No further committee amendments.  
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
6. Any amendments from the Floor?  
7. SECRETARY:  
8. Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Davidson.  
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
10. Senator...Senator Davidson.  
11. SENATOR DAVIDSON:  
12. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, this is  
13. amendment for four million .3 to fund the House Bill 426,  
14. which is the additional contribution for State employees'  
15. dependents' coverage. Move the adoption of the amendment.  
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
17. Is there further discussion? Senator Buzbee.  
18. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
19. Yes, first of all; we were not aware of this amendment  
20. at...at all. I don't even have a copy of it in my book, and  
21. this is a supplemental appropriation to the Department of  
22. Children and Family Services, and I would stand in opposition  
23. to it.  
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
25. Is there further discussion? Senator...Senator Davidson  
26. may close debate.  
27. SENATOR DAVIDSON:  
28. I think, Senator Bruce, who is co...is Chairman of that  
29. Advisory Commission, which we took the action to help fund this  
30. would like to speak in support of this motion.  
31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
32. ...Senator Bruce.  
33. SENATOR BRUCE:

1. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the...or Mr. President  
2. and members of the Senate. This is a four million dollar  
3. appropriation to fund an increase in the dependent optional  
4. coverage that we have for State employees, from seven dollars  
5. a month, which we presently pay to fourteen dollars. From  
6. 1961 to '71 the State of Illinois paid five dollars per month  
7. per employee or sixty dollars a year; in 1971, in January, we  
8. went to an entirely new program, which went from now costing  
9. the State about six million dollars to where now, it's a little  
10. over a hundred million dollars. But in the time that we have  
11. funded the dependent optional coverage for State employees,  
12. we started out with five dollars, it went to seven when we  
13. increased it the last time in 1973. The commission, of which  
14. I am a member and also Senator Davidson, has worked very  
15. hard over the last year to insure that the benefit structure  
16. is such that we were able to cut back on the cost of the  
17. program. The Governor budgeted in the Department of Personnel,  
18. approximately one hundred million dollars; we were able to  
19. bring in the program at something more than ninety-two million  
20. dollars for the dependent and health portion of it; not including  
21. the life. That money ought to, frankly, in my estimation, be  
22. returned to the State employees. This is four million dollars;  
23. we cover a hundred and thirty-three thousand people; it's the  
24. largest group insurance program, by State government, in the  
25. United States. We, I think, have done an excellent job in  
26. rebidding and taking a look at the program, every year; it's  
27. a great burden on the State, the commission and everybody else;  
28. but we voted unanimously, given the fact that the premiums  
29. have gone from, in 1973, I think our coverage was thirty-two  
30. dollars a month to, on July the 1st, as many of you know,  
31. dependent optional coverage will go to a hundred and...one hundred  
32. dollars and thirty-four cents; and that is a significant increase  
33. over a seven year period, and I think it's time that we respond

1. to that...the needs of the State employees, by increasing this  
2. from seven dollars to fourteen dollars. It's not an unreasonable  
3. request.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
5. Further discussion? Senator Regner.

6. SENATOR REGNER:  
7. Question of the sponsor.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
9. He indicates he will yield.

10. SENATOR REGNER:  
11. Senator Davidson, is this in the Governor's budget?

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
13. Senator Davidson.

14. SENATOR DAVIDSON:  
15. Not by line item; but since we reduced the cost of what  
16. he did have in the budget for the cost of the insurance by  
17. seven million dollars, what we did in the negotiation; he has  
18. extra money in that item, which was...that would more than  
19. cover this 4.3 million dollars.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
21. Senator Regner.

22. SENATOR REGNER:  
23. Well, I'm informed that the Governor's Office is in  
24. opposition to House Bill 426, and the accompanying appropriation;  
25. and I'd urge the defeat of this amendment.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
27. Senator Knuppel.

28. SENATOR KNUPPEL:  
29. Well, I feel like Alice in Wonderland. Are we on 3054, and  
30. what have we got to do with health insurance in the budget of  
31. the Children and Family Services? I'm lost.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
33. Maybe Senator Davidson could enlighten you.

1. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

2. Yes. I'm...Senator Knuppel, I'm offering this amendment  
3. onto this bill for two reasons. One is, we have regularly...  
4. also, Senator Buzbee, this...a copy of this amendment was given  
5. to your staff this morning. For two reasons; one is, I'm  
6. well aware the Governor is opposed to it; but I think the State  
7. employees deserve an opportunity to try to reduce the cost of  
8. the coverage on their dependents, since we saved seven million  
9. dollars out of the budget for the coming year, and I put it on...  
10. attempting to put it on this bill for a very germane reason.  
11. It is a supplemental; and if the Governor wants to veto it,  
12. he's going to have to do it now so we can take action before  
13. we get out of here by June 3rd, and I got the funding so we  
14. can save the State employees the additional monies for the  
15. cost of insurance on their employees; and it's going to about  
16. wash out. If you looked at your...what you received from the  
17. insurance...from the Department of Personnel on insurance, the  
18. cost on your dependents is going to go up six dollars and fifty-  
19. eight cents, starting July 1, and we're just trying to keep  
20. you even.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Senator Knuppel.

23. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

24. Well, I appreciate the speech; but my question is, first  
25. whether it's germane. I...I can't seen where it is germane,  
26. one; and two, while it's all fine and dandy, you put it on  
27. this bill and...I'd like to see it happen, but I don't think  
28. I'm going to vote for it; in fact, I think I'm going to vote  
29. against the budget of the Department of Children and Family  
30. Services and I think that maybe a lot of other people do that,  
31. because I haven't seen any improvement, despite the fact we  
32. gave them five million more dollars a few years ago. And if  
33. you're going to try to carry the Department of Children and

1. Family Service's budget on...on this bill, I don't think it  
2. belongs on here. I think you're going to load the bill up.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. Senator Gitz.

5. SENATOR GITZ:

6. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
7. Senate.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. Just a moment, Senator. Senator, it's our ruling of the  
10. Chair that as long as it's an appropriation, it's germane to  
11. the bill. Senator Gitz.

12. SENATOR GITZ:

13. Well, Mr. President and members of the Senate; I rise,  
14. reluctantly, in opposition for this reason. It seems to me  
15. that this Body, and we have tried to establish a bottom line  
16. on the budget, and I think that we have done a decent job, on  
17. certain occasions, of trying to reallocate among priorities.  
18. I guess what disturbs me is that I know and you know what's  
19. going to happen after we adjourn; and that is that Governor  
20. Jim is going to get on the horn and he is going to talk about  
21. the big spending General Assembly under Democratic leadership.  
22. And I'll be darned if I'm going to support a Republican amend-  
23. ment and then take the rap; I'm kind of tired of going home...  
24. I have a reasonably good record in terms of fiscal issues, and  
25. I don't see why we should kowtow to it; I don't see why we  
26. should support the amendment; I don't see why we have to do  
27. this. I realize that it's probably a good cause, but you know  
28. there are a lot of good causes around here, and there has to  
29. be an end at some point; and I think it's good that we save  
30. some money in negotiations; I think we can save some more by  
31. defeating the amendment.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Senator Buzbee.

1. SENATOR BUZBEE:

2. Well, Mr. President, first of all I would point out that  
3. this is, as has been pointed out before, a 4.2 million, I  
4. believe it is, addition in appropriations, and...as Senator  
5. Knuppel pointed out, it...it's got nothing to do with the  
6. Department of Children and Family Services; although, I am  
7. sure that the amendment is germane, but the most important  
8. thing is, I would point out, that this particular appropriation  
9. takes substantive language change; and the bill that does that  
10. is still on 3rd reading. We haven't even voted on that bill  
11. yet. It may not get out of here, so why should we, at this  
12. point, be adding on 4.2 million dollars to an appropriation that's  
13. a supplemental to the Department of Children and Family Services.  
14. I'm not sure if I'm going to vote for the...for the substantive  
15. language change yet. I'm not sure if there's going to be  
16. thirty people in this Chamber that do that. I think we ought  
17. to wait; see tomorrow if that substantive language change passes,  
18. then we can bring an appropriation bill back to 2nd if...if  
19. that's the will of the Body; put this amendment on and pass it.  
20. But I think we're...we're getting the cart ahead of the horse  
21. here.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Senator Bruce.

24. SENATOR BRUCE:

25. Well, to Senator Knuppel, this is on an appropriation,  
26. after a lot of considered judgment of which bill it ought to  
27. be on, this is a bill that relates to this fiscal year for  
28. Children and Family Services; and I just want to tell you that  
29. there were...there are a hundred and thirty-three thousand  
30. State employees, and about seventy-six some thousand of them  
31. are covered by dependent optional coverage. We first started  
32. out with something over...a little over eighteen dollars a  
33. month; they are now facing, July 1st, a five-fold increase in

1. that. We've gone from five dollars a month to seven dollars  
2. a month in that time period. On July the 1st, each of you in  
3. this room, who are in the high option coverage, your cost will  
4. go from seventy-four dollars to one hundred dollars, this month.  
5. Every other State employee, and you're in the same program they  
6. are, are going to suffer that same twenty-five percent increase  
7. in their premiums for their dependents. It seems to me reasonable,  
8. that we go from seven dollars to fourteen dollars, when we  
9. haven't done anything since 1973. I...I just think that the  
10. commission has worked very hard to bring in a program; we've  
11. cut back on benefits, the program is coming in about eight million  
12. dollars less...seven million dollars less, and I think that  
13. money ought to be given back to the State employees, who have,  
14. in fact, through their commission, worked to reduce the benefits  
15. and bring the cost down; and now to take it away from them, I  
16. just don't think is fair. It's a reasonable amendment. I'm  
17. not sure that we can afford it; a lot of these things we can't  
18. afford, but it seems to be a compassionate thing to do to  
19. say to the State employees, we're going to go up from seven  
20. dollars to fourteen dollars.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Further discussion? Senator Knuppel.

23. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

24. Well, I just don't want to get in a situation we were in  
25. here two years ago where we were chasing the Medley Movers around  
26. here all night, the last two nights we were here. Let's...if  
27. it's a good thing, why didn't we introduce a bill, or why  
28. don't we put it on a...on a bill where it makes sense. Now...  
29. it's an appropriation bill; you say that's germane, but, you  
30. know, then you're going to vote...you're forcing me to vote  
31. on something, you know, that is totally inconsistent..if you  
32. were under Robert's Rules of Order, you could ask for a  
33. severance on the two votes; and I just can't see tacking it on



1. at this place; and if this is the way we're going to play  
2. games from here on out, why, you know...suit yourself. You  
3. said it was considered judgment; with that I would argue.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Senator Geo-Karis.

6. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

7. Will the sponsor yield for a question?

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. He indicates he will.

10. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

11. Didn't we have a bill that we...just correct me if I'm  
12. wrong; didn't we have a bill that came out of Insurance and  
13. Licensing Activities Committee that did raise the amount of  
14. contributions from the State for insurance?

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Senator Davidson.

17. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

18. Yes. House Bill 426 is on 3rd reading, and it will come  
19. up for a vote before 3054 will by the order in...proceeding.  
20. If the substance bill would, by some reason fail, we can always  
21. take this bill back and take the amendment off. We regularly  
22. have been putting amendments on appropriation bills; and Senator  
23. Donnewald had one just this week or last week, where we put  
24. the amendment on before the substance bill had passed. And  
25. there's nothing wrong with it. The reason that we chose this  
26. bill, we could choose any one of many; the only reason I chose  
27. this bill was, I think it's important that we try to do some-  
28. thing for the cost of the insurance for the State employees  
29. for their dependents. If the Governor wants to veto it, I want  
30. him to veto it so we can take action to override the veto before  
31. we get out of here on June 30th. And that's the reason I chose  
32. this bill; if you want a different bill, 3320 an anonymous bill,  
33. fine; but this looked like, to me, a reasonable approach. The

1. Appropriations staff said it was germane. This is a reasonable  
2. way to go, and who am I to argue with them.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. Senator Geo-Karis.

5. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

6. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I  
7. am speaking in favor of the bill; inasmuch as we did pass  
8. House Bill 426, relative to the same item, except that this  
9. bill is the appropriation bill; I think it's only fair to  
10. put them both in the posture of 3rd reading.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Further discussion? If not, Senator Davidson may close  
13. debate.

14. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

15. I'd just like to ask for a favorable vote. I think this  
16. is something we need to try to do. We are trying to recover  
17. some of the cost for the State employees, for their dependents.  
18. It's going to go up twenty-five dollars; twenty-five percent  
19. for them come the 1st of July. This is a very small, excuse  
20. me...token to try to meet some of that cost. I'd appreciate  
21. a favorable vote.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Senator Davidson moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2  
24. to House Bill 3054. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye.  
25. Those opposed. A roll call has been requested. Those who  
26. wish to adopt Amendment No. 2 will vote Aye. Those opposed  
27. will vote Nay. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish?  
28. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,  
29. the Ayes are 33, the Nays are 21, none Voting Present.  
30. Amendment No. 2, having received a majority vote, is declared  
31. adopted. Any further amendments?

32. SECRETARY:

33. No further amendments.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
2. 3rd reading. For what purpose does Senator Wooten  
3. arise?  
4. SENATOR WOOTEN:  
5. Lost and found. Anybody who is missing their cufflink,  
6. it was in the aisle over here.  
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
8. House Bill 3064, Senator Buzbee. Read the bill, Mr.  
9. Secretary.  
10. SECRETARY:  
11. House Bill 3064.  
12. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
13. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.  
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
15. Any amendments from the Floor?  
16. SECRETARY:  
17. No Floor amendments.  
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
19. 3rd reading. House Bill 3066, Senator Berman. Read the  
20. bill, Mr. Secretary.  
21. SECRETARY:  
22. House Bill 3066.  
23. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
24. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II  
25. offers one amendment.  
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
27. Senator Buzbee.  
28. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
29. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment reduces the Common  
30. School Fund appropriation for General State Aid from one million  
31. five hundred twenty-three thousand eight hundred dollars to  
32. one million four hundred seventy-four...pardon me, one billion  
33. five hundred twenty-three million eight hundred thousand dollars

1. to one billion four hundred seventy-four million dollars.  
2. This is a change of forty-nine million eight hundred dollars  
3. to conform this legislation to the Governor's recommendation.  
4. The Governor's allocation allowed an increase in per pupil  
5. support from thirteen sixty-three to fourteen fifty-four, and  
6. is fifty-five million over Fiscal Year '80 spending.  
7. The...this amendment was offered in the committee by the  
8. Republicans; but we agreed to accept it at that time, with the  
9. understanding that an amendment would be offered, sometime, on  
10. the Floor; and I believe that amendment will be offered today  
11. by Senators Rock and myself to make a further adjustment to  
12. this; but to get a base from whence to start, we accepted this  
13. amendment to bring it back to the Governor's level, and I would  
14. move its adoption.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to  
17. House Bill 3066. Is there further discussion? If not, those  
18. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes  
19. have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

20. SECRETARY:

21. No further committee amendments.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Any amendments from the Floor?

24. SECRETARY:

25. Amendment No. 2, offered by Senators Rock and Buzbee.

26. SENATOR BUZBEE: -

27. Mr. President, thank you. I think it would be more appropriate  
28. if the Senate President offered...gave the explanation of this  
29. amendment.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Senator, you weren't recognized for it. I was waiting for  
32. Senator Rock to get to his seat to recognize him for it.

33. SENATOR BUZBEE:

1. Very well. Thank you, Mr. President. You're a good man.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3. Senator Rock.

4. SENATOR ROCK:

5. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
6. Senate. This is an amendment which would increase the Distributive  
7. Aid Formula...by some twenty million eight hundred and seventy-  
8. one thousand dollars. Now, you will recall, that when the bill  
9. was sent over from the House, the total of the two bills, this  
10. and 3067, were...were sixty-three million dollars in excess of what  
11. the Governor had recommended. It was felt by many that that  
12. excess was, in fact, excessive; including the Governor. And  
13. so after some lengthy discussions with both members of the  
14. House leadership and with the Governor's Office and the Governor  
15. himself,..I'm not sure that this is an...this is an acceptable  
16. level to him; but on the other hand, we have established,  
17. for some great length of time, a School Problems Commission.  
18. This amendment, the amount of money to appropriate it here,  
19. is in line with what the School Problems Commission, earlier  
20. this year had recommended, in terms of pupil support. I think  
21. the amendment is a reasonable one. I think we can all agree,  
22. at least, that while the Governor recommends, it's up to us to  
23. make the final recommendation. I don't think thirty million,  
24. which will be the total above the Governor's recommendation when  
25. we finish with 3067, also, is in any way unreasonable. It is a  
26. reasonable compromise with the thinking of the House, and I  
27. would urge the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

29. Senator Rock moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House  
30. Bill 3066. Is there further discussion? Senator Davidson.

31. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

32. I rise in support of this amendment. This is what the  
33. School Problems Commission level of funding would be after we

1. had the hearings throughout the State; in relation to not only  
2. funding, but other problems dealing with the Common School Fund  
3. and...in the elementary schools. This takes it to a fourteen  
4. sixty-three level, exactly what we came out of the commission  
5. with; one where I and Senator Berman, who wanted it higher  
6. at that time, and other individuals wanted it higher had  
7. quite a donnybrook in commission, when we finally came out...  
8. when we did agree to this figure, we came out of the School  
9. Problems Commission almost unanimous support of this funding  
10. level of fourteen sixty-three, and I urge the adoption of this  
11. amendment.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. Senator Regner.

14. SENATOR REGNER:

15. Well, Mr. President and members, it's an interesting fact,  
16. we spent hours and hours today cutting twenty thousand dollars  
17. here, thirty thousand dollars there. If this amendment goes on,  
18. we will have spent well over a hundred million dollars more  
19. than the so-called balanced budget as introduced last March.  
20. I would just like a roll call vote, and I'd urge the defeat of  
21. this particular amendment, because we just can't go on...crazy  
22. spending as has gone on today.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Rock may  
25. close debate.

26. SENATOR ROCK:

27. Thank you, Mr. President. I would urge an Aye vote on  
28. this amendment; and let me suggest, Senator Regner, that we  
29. are, in fact, some twenty-five million dollars under what the  
30. State Board of Education and the Superintendent asked for.  
31. We are, admittedly, above what the Governor has recommended;  
32. but all the Governor did was say here's the amount of money that  
33. I deem available. Now, it seems to me, that we can all recognize

1. that there is more money available than the Governor at first  
2. posited back in March. I think he recognizes that, and we  
3. recognize it; and at the same time, we are attempting to deal  
4. responsible...responsibly, particularly, with the members of  
5. the House. I think the amendment is a good one. It affords  
6. us a real compromise, and I would urge its adoption.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. The question is shall Amendment No. 2 be adopted. Those  
9. in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The  
10. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted  
11. who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are  
12. 40, the Nays are 11, none Voting Present. Amendment No. 2,  
13. having received a majority vote, is declared adopted. Any  
14. further amendments?

15. SECRETARY:

16. No further amendments.

17.  
18. (End of reel)

19.  
20.  
21.  
22.  
23.  
24.  
25.  
26.  
27.  
28.  
29.  
30.  
31.  
32.  
33.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
2. 3rd reading. House Bill 3067, Senator Rock. Read the
3. bill, Mr. Secretary.
4. SECRETARY:
5. House Bill 3067.
6. (Secretary reads title of bill)
7. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II
8. offers one amendment.
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
10. Senator Buzbee.
11. SENATOR BUZBEE:
12. Thank you, Mr. President. You'll recall that the House
13. killed one of the appropriation bills, 3065, which was the
14. appropriation request for the non-mandated categorical programs
15. in...in education. I might add, also, that the definition of
16. mandated and...non-mandated is in some dispute. However, they
17. did kill that. So, what we've done with 3067, was to add in
18. the funding lines for those so-called non-mandated programs
19. into this bill, which included the so-called mandated programs.
20. And the effect of what we have done is to. Amendment No. 1,
21. increases the appropriation provided by House Bill 3067 by
22. 80.1 million, to include funds for categorical grants, which
23. have been...which had been included in House Bill 3065. The
24. following appropriations are included in Amendment No. 1:
25. Tax Equivalent Grants, five hundred ninety thousand four hundred
26. fifty dollars; Adult Ed Public Assistance, five million, Adult
27. Ed GED, two million six hundred seventy-five thousand; Adult
28. Ed Basic, six hundred thousand; Gifted Reimbursement, five million;
29. Gifted Area Service Centers, eight hundred thousand; Truants
30. Alternative Program, six hundred thousand; Vocational Education,
31. thirty-two million; High Impact Training, seven hundred and
32. fifty thousand; Bilingual Education, Chicago, fifteen million;
33. Bilingual Education, Downstate, five million; textbooks, twelve
34. million; student internships, one hundred thousand. Special



1. Education private tuition appropriations are reduced from 15.9  
2. million to twelve million, to reflect the more current estimates  
3. of the '79-'80 claims, and I would move its adoption.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to  
6. House Bill 3067. Is there further discussion? Senator Berning.

7. SENATOR BERNING:

8. One question of the sponsor. Did I understand, or did I  
9. hear you to say that the Gifted Program is funded at five  
10. million? I thought that it was to be at seven million.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Senator Rock.

13. SENATOR ROCK:

14. Yes, the State Board recommendation, Senator, was originally  
15. seven million dollars. The House had cut it back, and...and  
16. we...yeah, the House had cut it back in committee. The bill...  
17. the bill totally failed the house. As we now present it, it  
18. will...for gifted reimbursement, the line item is five million  
19. dollars and for the area service centers, the level is at  
20. eight hundred thousand. This is a half a million dollars above  
21. what the FY-'80 allocation for that grant line was.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Senator Berning.

24. SENATOR BERNING:

25. Well, I'm a little disappointed that the full seven million  
26. was not provided, since the people in my area, my school ad-  
27. ministrators as well as the parents of gifted children, feel  
28. that the seven million is a minimum that will meet the needs;  
29. and I would like to, then, point out that the Bilingual  
30. Educational Programs have been extended vastly beyond what those  
31. of us who originally initiated it had in mind. I was one of  
32. the sponsors of the original Bilingual Educational Program;  
33. it being our conviction, at that time, that particularly the

1. settled out migrant workers, American citizens, who had never  
2. been exposed to the English language in their native areas of  
3. essentially Texas, ought to have special help in adjusting to  
4. the use of the English language or the American language.  
5. But that...Bilingual Program has been so expanded that we have,  
6. if my memory serves me, something like twenty-six special  
7. language programs in the Chicago school systems. It has been  
8. perverted and distorted far beyond the original intent, and  
9. it was my understanding that we were going to start retrenching,  
10. cutting back on the Bilingual Educational Program. If we  
11. were to do that, we would have additional funds for the gifted  
12. children, who, whether we are willing to face up to it or not,  
13. are the ones who will be the leaders of our State and nation  
14. in years to come. I would respectfully suggest that we ought  
15. to reconsider this amendment, and eliminate or reduce the  
16. bilingual and increase the gifted appropriation, Mr. President.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Senator Knuppel.

19. SENATOR KNUPPTEL:

20. For...for once, I agree with Berning.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Senator Regner.

23. SENATOR REGNER:

24. Yes, Mr. President and members, it was stated that this  
25. still...isn't quite as high as the State Board of Education  
26. has asked for; however, as we all know, especially since Mr.  
27. Cronin came, and thank God he is leaving, going back to  
28. Massachusetts; the request from the State Board of Education,  
29. and the Office of Education, have far, far exceeded monies  
30. available or allocated. If we could defeat this amendment,  
31. then we'd save some time, because I wouldn't be forced to  
32. offer another amendment. But the thing that I really think  
33. about that's so funny, today, is we have, as I said before

1. after the last amendment on the last bill, we've run well  
2. over a hundred million dollars; and the kind of crazy spending  
3. that goes on and has gone on today is an interesting approach  
4. to tax relief, which we keep talking about, but we never do  
5. anything about; and I'd urge the defeat of this amendment.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. Was that a question, Senator? Senator Carroll.

8. SENATOR CARROLL:

9. Just very briefly, a lot of the discussion was on the  
10. gifted. There is an amendment up there that I am offering to  
11. increase the amount for gifteds; and in order to appropriately  
12. do that, we should adopt this amendment, and then deal with  
13. that subject. I have the amendment. I would urge the adoption  
14. of Amendment No. 1.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Buzbee moves  
17. the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3067. Those in  
18. favor will indicate by saying Aye. A roll call has been re-  
19. quested. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will  
20. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all...have all voted who  
21. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that  
22. question, the Ayes are 34, the Nays are 17, none Voting Present.  
23. Amendment No. 1, having received the majority, is declared  
24. adopted. Any further amendments?

25. SECRETARY:

26. No further committee amendments.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

28. Any amendments from the Floor?

29. SECRETARY:

30. Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Regner.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Senator Regner.

33. SENATOR REGNER:

1. Yes, Mr. President and members, this amendment reduces  
2. this bill by six million thirty-three thousand four hundred  
3. and fifty dollars. That's 5.4 million in General Revenue  
4. Funds and five hundred and ninety thousand in Common School  
5. Funds, to conform the grants-in-aid to the Governor's  
6. recommended level. The amendment allows for a seven percent  
7. increase in non-mandated programs over Fiscal Year '80,  
8. estimated...expenditures and fully funds all mandated programs.  
9. I think this is a sensible approach, and it should be adopted;  
10. and I move the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Senator Regner moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to  
13. House Bill 3067. Is there further discussion? Senator Rock.  
14. SENATOR ROCK:

15. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
16. Senate. I rise in opposition to Amendment No. 2; and for  
17. particularly those on both sides of the aisle who are concerned  
18. with the gifted line. This would reduce it down to four  
19. million. Now, this should be rejected out of hand; it reduces  
20. bilingual, it reduces gifted, it reduces everything. I think  
21. that the...the action of the School Problems Commission and  
22. the action of the House Task Force on this question was, frankly,  
23. pretty reasonable. We are admittedly ten million dollars over  
24. the bottom line that the Governor said he could afford to spread  
25. across these lines. There is no question, however, but that in  
26. some of the lines they were just, frankly, underestimated.  
27. The...the amendment which we have adopted, I think, reflects a  
28. responsible approach. It respects...it reflects a compromise  
29. that we can, in fact, get concurrence in the House. This amend-  
30. ment, simply, is...is...should not be adopted. I would urge a  
31. No vote.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Is there further discussion? Senator Sommer.

1. SENATOR SOMMER:

2. I would have a question, perhaps, aimed at Senator Buzbee  
3. or Carroll. What do you think the available balance is going  
4. to be to cover all this...this orgy of spending that you've  
5. engaged in, and...and then the Tax Relief Act that you'll  
6. engage in tomorrow?

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Well, do we have a...flip a coin. We'll take it in order  
9. of...Senator Carroll.

10. SENATOR CARROLL:

11. The available balance will be four hundred and thirty-eight  
12. million, give or take a million. Give or take a million, I'm  
13. willing to project. Dr. Bob, of course, was off by three  
14. hundred percent last year on his estimate; so, I think this is  
15. a little more accurate. The Governor, of course, has allocated  
16. a hundred and fifteen million to do with whatever anybody wants  
17. to set it aside to do, so, we seem to be in very good shape.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19. And Senator Buzbee agrees. Further...Senator Sommer.

20. SENATOR SOMMER:

21. Well, Mr. President and members, it would seem to me that  
22. we've engaged in this orgy of spending today; and most of it  
23. is going to one town in Illinois; it's all going to the big  
24. City of Chicago. Take a look at it, that's where it's going.  
25. You downstaters who are supporting it, you're not getting any-  
26. thing out of this. And there's got to be a time, you know, we  
27. cannot continue to authorize four thousand new jobs to overrun  
28. budgets like this; we cannot continue to do it. Please, think  
29. about it a little bit.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Senator Carroll.

32. SENATOR CARROLL:

33. Well, just to remind Senator Sommer, since he is also the

1. Minority Spokesman on Appropriations I, we've been following  
2. the action of the House on the Capital Budget, and if every-  
3. thing is built that's in the Capital Budget over in the House,  
4. there will only be Chicago and the Northeastern counties left  
5. in the State; the rest of the State will sink into the Wabash,  
6. the Ohio and the Mississippi River, just from the sheer weight  
7. of construction on the land. The land of Illinois can't hold  
8. that amount of brick and mortar that the House is trying to  
9. pile on in the Capital Bill. So, I wouldn't worry about it,  
10. that may be all that's left anyway.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Senator Gitz.

13. SENATOR GITZ:

14. Well, Mr. President and members of the Senate, I find it  
15. amusing when Senator Sommer uses the term the orgy of spending.  
16. It wasn't an orgy of spending when Senator Davidson, on the  
17. other side of the aisle, offered a four million dollar amend-  
18. ment, and I recall all those Department of Transportation  
19. amendments for the Central Office and the districts. Now, I  
20. saw a lot of lights go up, and most of those that were  
21. against making the cuts, they came from the other side of the  
22. aisle. There seems to be a little bit of a selectivity around  
23. here, and you will recall that this individual is not one that  
24. supported the welfare increase. We've tried to be selective;  
25. but it seems to me that in the area of education that it is one  
26. that we ought to take a look at. And, frankly, Senator, I'm  
27. not prepared to say that the only gifted, and I realize that's  
28. one category, that the gifted only reside in Cook County or  
29. Chicago. I'd like to think that there are some gifted students  
30. throughout the State, and I think that there is some merit to  
31. this amendment. I regret that we haven't followed the line  
32. that you had suggested in some of these other areas; but you know,  
33. there's been dishonesty throughout, in the sense that the available

1. balance that has been projected earlier has been raised. So,  
2. these numbers...these numbers are very elusive and they change  
3. from day to day; in fact, hour to hour, and I submit that the  
4. charge about the extravaganza of spending is nothing more than  
5. another exercise in rhetoric.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. If there's no further debate, Senator Regner may close  
8. debate.

9. SENATOR REGNER:

10. Well, just two items. Senator Gitz, I'd like to inform  
11. you that...Senator Gitz, your vote was the same as Senator  
12. Sommer's was on those transportation amendments and we  
13. appreciate that. In response to Senator Rock's remarks on  
14. the gifted program, Senator Carroll has an amendment to correct  
15. that that's coming up, and I plan on supporting that; so, if  
16. we support this...and we solve your problem on the gifted programs.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Senator Regner moves the adoption of Amendment No...to  
19. House Bill 30...Amendment 2 to 3067. Those in favor will  
20. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed Nay. The Nays have  
21. it. Amendment No. 2 is defeated. Roll call has been requested.  
22. Those in favor of Amendment No. 2 will vote Aye. Those opposed  
23. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?  
24. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,  
25. the Ayes are 20, the Nays are 31, none Voting Present. Amend-  
26. ment No. 2, having failed to receive a majority, is declared  
27. defeated. Any further amendments?

28. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

29. Amendment No. 3, offered by Senator Carroll.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Senator Carroll.

32. SENATOR CARROLL:

33. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

1. Senate. This amendment has already been discussed on the last  
2. two. Some may call it the orgy or whatever; but this adds  
3. two million dollars to the Gifted Program, to put them at the  
4. seven million dollar level that IOE had suggested. Let me  
5. also indicate, at least, that those who are most actively  
6. involved with giftededs have indicated that we need closer to  
7. eight to really reimburse the districts at the hundred dollars  
8. per pupil that the Gifted Program allows. I really feel that  
9. many members of this Chamber feel very strongly, as we've  
10. heard, about the Gifted Program. If we can't afford to bring  
11. about our leadership for tomorrow, we're really probably  
12. wasting billions of dollars of taxpayers' money. This small amount  
13. to make sure that we can help those who will be the future  
14. innovators is...is surely worthwhile to be spent. I would  
15. urge adoption of Amendment No. 3. It very simply brings up to  
16. a level of seven million dollars from the five that the House  
17. had suggested, the four and a half that was spent last year  
18. and the 4.2 the Governor recommended; brings up to seven million  
19. dollars, the amount we will allocate to educate our gifted  
20. children.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to  
23. House Bill 3067. Is there further discussion? Senator Grotberg.  
24. SENATOR GROTBORG:

25. Thank you, Mr. President. I would urge everyone, as I did  
26. in committee, to vote for this wonderful amendment; because,  
27. hopefully, if we get enough gifted people in the stream and get  
28. them taken care of, maybe one of them will run for the General  
29. Assembly.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. If there's no further discussion, the question is shall  
32. House...Amendment No. 3 be adopted. Those in favor indicate  
33. by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment



1. No. 3 is adopted. Any further amendments?

2. SECRETARY:

3. Amendment No. 4, offered by Senator Buzbee.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Senator Buzbee.

6. SENATOR BUZBEE:

7. Senator Regner is going to love this amendment. It reduces  
8. the request by five hundred thousand dollars. This is to  
9. reflect the latest figures given us by the Office of Education  
10. on their special ed private tuition reimbursement, to reflect  
11. revised estimates of the '79-'80 claims by the Illinois  
12. Office of Education; and so we're cutting another five hundred  
13. thousand with this amendment, and I would move its adoption.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to  
16. House Bill 3067. Is there further discussion? If not, those  
17. in favor will indicate by voting Aye. Those opposed vote  
18. Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Any  
19. further amendments?

20. SECRETARY:

21. Amendment No. 5, offered by Senators Martin and Bloom.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Senator...Senator Martin.

24. SENATOR MARTIN:

25. This amendment may interest some of you, it deletes fifteen  
26. million dollars and inserts zero; and then five million dollars  
27. and inserts zero for the Bilingual Program. Now, I know you're  
28. going to hear marvelous things about what this has done, and  
29. we have to help people adjust; except, nationwide, virtually  
30. all testing results indicate it doesn't make any difference.  
31. And, in fact, all the good hopes that many of us have had in  
32. the years gone by aren't worth twenty million dollars. Now  
33. I can understand those in Chicago looking at that fifteen

1. million dollars, thinking that looks attractive. So, I'm going  
2. to speak rather specifically to downstaters. If you think  
3. five million dollars spread around the State means much, you're  
4. math needed some work from the Gifted Program. This basically  
5. takes it out, and we'll have more money for the gifted and for  
6. other areas that work in education. Some of you may have seen  
7. some of the films where, unfortunately, teachers in bilingual  
8. education could not even speak the English language well that  
9. they were trying to teach these youngsters. This program is  
10. a farce; it is a promise that is never kept, and it should  
11. be deleted from the educational budget.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. Is there further discussion? Mr. President...Mr...Senator  
14. Rock.

15. SENATOR ROCK:

16. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
17. Senate. I rise in strong opposition to Amendment No. 5. I  
18. think the abolition of this program or the proposed abolition  
19. of this program is...is at best shortsighted, and at worst,  
20. it's terrible. In...in Sunday's New York Times, for those of  
21. you who do not avail yourself of that; and Senator Martin,  
22. once you get to Congress I hope you read that every day, the  
23. head of the Carnegie Corporation warned against the premature  
24. rejection of bilingual programs; and as a matter of fact, called  
25. for more funds and more efforts. Now the testimony at some  
26. length in the committee was, in fact, that this program has  
27. proved to be very, very beneficial. It's something without  
28. which we simply can't survive in certain areas of this State.  
29. It's something that deserves our support. We can argue, I  
30. suppose, about the level of support. We have chosen this year  
31. to propose a fifteen million for the City of Chicago and five  
32. million downstate; that is up dramatically. It's up four  
33. million dollars, primarily in downstate, over last year.

1. The program has worked; it is successful. We need to continue  
2. it, and I would urge the out-of-hand rejection of Amendment  
3. No. 5.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Senator Berman.

6. SENATOR BERMAN:

7. Thank you, Mr. President. Last year the State Board of  
8. Education undertook a study throughout the State, of the  
9. Bilingual Programs. I think it's interesting to note that the  
10. area of the State that had the most successful program, both  
11. as far as evaluating the children that are put into the programs  
12. and the children that are phased-out, transitioned out, was  
13. the City of Chicago. But also as a result of that program,  
14. a lot of the shortcomings that existed in other school districts  
15. have been shaped up. This is a program that if we, in fact,  
16. adopted this amendment, wouldn't save us probably a nickel;  
17. because it is mandated on the Federal level. In fact, Chicago,  
18. even with the millions of dollars that we put in, and this is  
19. not sufficient money to even cover that program in Chicago,  
20. they were found to be in violation of certain Federal guidelines.  
21. So, we find ourselves in Chicago and in other urban areas  
22. throughout the State of being caught in a bureaucratic...between  
23. a rock and a hard place. It's been shown that the children are  
24. phased-out within three years; many within a shorter time, and  
25. these children that are coming in; we've just brought in hundreds  
26. of thousands of Cuban refugees, there are other children from  
27. other nations that are required...I have a school district that's  
28. in my district, Senn High School, that teaches twenty-two different  
29. languages. It's probably the most cosmopolitan school...school  
30. in the country. These are children that need this kind of  
31. bilingual...education. I get a lot of flack from my Anglo-speaking  
32. teachers, who are upset by the program; but I think I'm balanced.  
33. It's a necessary one. I think this is a demagogic amendment

1. that will do a great injustice to children that need this  
2. kind of instruction. I would point out that when we talk about  
3. future leaders, we're not talking about only Anglo-speaking  
4. gifted children. A number of the members of the new Chicago  
5. Board of Education are leaders in the Latino community. These  
6. are people whose children need this kind of assistance, and  
7. I would urge a No vote to this amendment.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. Senator Knuppel.

10. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

11. It's not very often that I agree with Senator Martin or  
12. Senator Berning. But you know something, I've got people coming  
13. back from Florida now, telling me the language of that State  
14. is Spanish; and that you go into a store, and the person asks  
15. a question, and the clerk can't answer them; and they tell that  
16. person, you learn to speak Spanish. We're bringing these people  
17. in here, they want to come in here to be Americans; they should  
18. learn to speak English. I...I never supported the program, even  
19. when Senator Berning was starting it. I think it's ridiculous;  
20. I think, sure, that people got jobs just like coaches got jobs;  
21. I tried to pass an amendment here a year ago that said if a  
22. youngster was out for a major sport, he didn't have to take  
23. Physical Education; I couldn't get it passed, because there's  
24. too damn many coaches in the IEA and they couldn't support it,  
25. and they got a lot of clout around here. But for that reason,  
26. because we have coaches, we have kids taking five days of  
27. Physical Education that don't need one. And this is the same  
28. thing, here. This is a waste of money. You've got some people  
29. that got jobs; and that's why you're voting for it. I  
30. don't care. Probably, this is a wasted speech, but it's  
31. ridiculous, and it's a waste of money; and Senator Martin is  
32. right; Senator Berning is right. It ought to go out.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Regner.

2. SENATOR REGNER:

3. A question for Senator Martin.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. She indicates she will yield.

6. SENATOR REGNER:

7. Senator Martin, does this eliminate the Bilingual Programs

8. for Polish, Italian and German?

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

10. Senator Martin.

11. SENATOR MARTIN:

12. Well, I understand, Senator Berman has a cosmopolitan

13. high school; but to my knowledge, there are not many schools

14. offering those languages. In fact, in my own district, Swedish

15. and Italian used to be offered, but they were electives, even

16. though the youngsters were the children of immigrants, because

17. English was the language that they were forced, for their own

18. good and for the children's good, to learn.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Senator Berning.

21. SENATOR BERNING:

22. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I

23. certainly rise in support of this amendment; and point out

24. that when the Bilingual Educational Program was established,

25. there was never any intention that it be continued year after

26. year after year. It was a temporary program to help the

27. settled-out children adjust. Certainly this bill now...this

28. amendment now is not premature, in fact, it's long overdue,

29. Ladies and Gentlemen. And I want to point out to you that,

30. contrary to what some of the demagoguery would imply, these

31. children, no matter what race or color or creed they are

32. the product of, are a whole lot smarter, more intelligent

33. than many of you apparently are willing to give them the credit

1. for. Expose them to the educational program and...in English  
2. and the need to grasp and utilize English, and they will do it.  
3. I know whereof I speak, because members of my own family entered  
4. school without one English word to his or her vocabulary, and  
5. those persons not only survived but did well...

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. Now he's a Senator.

8. SENATOR BERNING:

9. ...so, I would urge adoption of Amendment No. 5, Mr.  
10. President.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Senator Bloom.

13. SENATOR BLOOM:

14. Thank you, Mr. President and fellow Senators. I, obviously,  
15. rise in support of this. You know, when I was...I don't know  
16. whether it was a reward or punishment, when I was put on that  
17. Mandate's Commission, and we had a road show around the State; and  
18. part of it involved hearings in Chicago. But, the Bilingual  
19. Program just isn't working out the way it was supposed to; and  
20. you know, education is so subject to fads and one of the prior  
21. speakers says, well, you know, the problem is we've got to put  
22. more money into it and do more of this. I say to you that  
23. maybe the time has come that we stop, and we say, well, we tried;  
24. but it's not doing...helping kids anymore or in any way preparing  
25. them better for the working world, in any better way shape or  
26. form than our forebearers who came over. They somehow got into  
27. the mainstream of society without a Bilingual Program, such as  
28. it is. Thank you very much.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Martin may  
31. close debate.

32. SENATOR MARTIN:

33. Briefly, as an ex-teacher, my commitment to quality education

1. is intense and deep. If the Bilingual Program worked, and if  
2. it were good for the children of this State, I would be leading  
3. the charge to increase it. It is not; and I do not; and I ask  
4. for approval of this amendment.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. All those in favor of adopting Amendment No. 5 will vote  
7. Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have  
8. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted  
9. who wish? Take the record. On that question...on that  
10. question, the Ayes are 29, the Nays are 28, none Voting Present.  
11. Amendment No. 5, having received a majority vote, is de-  
12. clared adopted. Senator Rock.

13. SENATOR ROCK:

14. Wish a verification of the affirmative vote.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Senator Rock has requested a verification of the affirmative  
17. vote. Will all Senators be in their seat and will the Secretary  
18. please call the affirmative vote.

19. SECRETARY:

20. The following voted in the affirmative: Becker, Berning,  
21. Bloom, Bowers, Bruce, Coffey, Davidson, DeAngelis, Friedland,  
22. Geo-Karis, Gitz, Keats, Knuppel, Maitland, Martin, McMillan,  
23. Mitchler, Moore, Nimrod, Ozinga, Philip, Regner, Rhoads,  
24. Rupp, Schaffer, Shapiro, Sommer, Walsh, Weaver.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

26. Are there any questions of the affirmative vote? Senator  
27. Rock.

28. SENATOR ROCK:

29. Senator Mitchler on the Floor? You're in the right place.  
30. Senator Moore on the Floor?

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Is Senator Moore on the Floor? Is Senator Moore on the  
33. Floor? Will the Secretary please strike his name. The roll

1. call has been verified. There are 28 Ayes...there's been a  
2. request by Senator Martin to verify the negatives. Will all  
3. Senators be in their seat, and will the Secretary please call  
4. the roll of the negative votes.

5. SECRETARY:

6. The following voted in the negative: Berman, Buzbee, Carroll,  
7. Chew, Collins, D'Arco, Daley, Demuzio, Donnewald, Egan,  
8. Grotberg, Hall, Johns, Jeremiah Joyce, Jerome Joyce, Maragos,  
9. McLendon, Merlo, Nash, Nedza, Nega, Netsch, Newhouse,  
10. Sangmeister, Savickas, Vadalabene, Washington, Mr. President.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Are there any questions of the negative vote? Senator Martin.

13. SENATOR MARTIN:

14. Senator Chew?

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Is Senator Chew on the Floor? Senator Chew. Strike his  
17. name from the record.

18. SENATOR MARTIN:

19. Would you, just to make sure, what would the vote be at  
20. that...at this point, or we'll go on.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. At this point, there are 28 Ayes and 27 Nays.

23. SENATOR MARTIN:

24. Thank you.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

26. The roll call has been...27...28 Ayes, 27 Nays. The amendment,  
27. having received a majority vote, is declared adopted. Any  
28. further amendments?

29. SECRETARY:

30. No further amendments.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. 3rd reading. House Bill 3084, Senator Coffey. Read the  
33. bill, Mr. Secretary.



1. SECRETARY:  
2. House Bill 3084.  
3. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
4. 2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I  
5. offers three amendments.  
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
7. Senator Coffey...Senator...Senator Carroll.  
8. SENATOR CARROLL:  
9. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
10. Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 breaks out by district,  
11. and reduces the amount to conform with the list as published  
12. by the Department of Transportation. I would move adoption  
13. of Committee Amendment No. 1.  
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
15. Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to  
16. House Bill 3084. Is there any discussion? If not, those in  
17. favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have  
18. it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?  
19. SECRETARY:  
20. Committee Amendment No. 2.  
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
22. Senator Carroll.  
23. SENATOR CARROLL:  
24. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
25. Senate. This takes out some of those amendments added in the  
26. House that did not meet with the budget approval, and I would  
27. move adoption of Amendment No. 2.  
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
29. Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to  
30. House Bill 3084. Is there further discussion? If not, those  
31. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes  
32. have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Are there any further  
33. amendments?

1. SECRETARY:  
2. Committee Amendment No. 3.  
3. SENATOR CARROLL:  
4. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
5. Senate. Committee Amendment No. 3 is to add three continuing  
6. projects. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 3.  
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
8. Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3  
9. to House Bill 3084. Is there further discussion? If not,  
10. those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The  
11. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Any further  
12. amendments?  
13. SECRETARY:  
14. No further committee amendments.  
15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
16. Any amendments from the Floor?  
17. SECRETARY:  
18. Amendment No 4, offered by Senator Carroll.  
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
20. Senator Carroll.  
21. SENATOR CARROLL:  
22. Would you identify, please?  
23. SECRETARY:  
24. On page two, line three, by deleting forty-nine million fifty  
25. thousand, inserting in lieu...  
26. SENATOR CARROLL:  
27. Okay. Thank you. This is the one that breaks out the  
28. A Bonds for the specific routes. I would move adoption of  
29. Amendment No...or wait a minute. On line...page two, lines  
30. thirty through thirty-five, Ken...Mr. Secretary? I'm  
31. sorry, would you identify it again?  
32. SECRETARY:  
33. Yes, be deleting forty-nine million...

1. SENATOR CARROLL:

2. Oh, I'm sorry, okay. Let me just find it, wait a second;  
3. Senator. I'm having trouble keeping them in order. Okay.  
4. This is the one that adds the funds that we cut from operations  
5. for construction in the districts and through...Statewide, so  
6. that the money will actually be used for construction, as we  
7. had discussed in the operations. It's a million seven hundred  
8. and five thousand eight hundred dollars; that the money will  
9. be used in each of our districts for actual construction. I  
10. would move adoption of Amendment No. 4.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to  
13. House Bill 3084. Is there further discussion? Senator  
14. Coffey.

15. SENATOR COFFEY:

16. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise in  
17. opposition to this amendment. If we can't get the pre-construction  
18. and the construction work done by having the appropriate people  
19. there to do that work, well, there's not much use to putting more  
20. money into projects, and I would oppose it on that basis.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is shall  
23. Amendment No. 4 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying  
24. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it...Amendment No. 4 is  
25. adopted. Any further amendments?

26. SECRETARY:

27. Amendment No. 5, offered by Senator Carroll. Senator  
28. Carroll, it's on page two, line twenty-two, deleting fifteen  
29. million.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Senator Carroll.

32. SENATOR CARROLL:

33. Thank you, Mr. Secretary, Mr. President and Ladies and

1. Gentlemen of the Senate. This...is to increase the amount  
2. appropriated from the Road Fund to DOT for local bridges.  
3. It's equal to half of what we had cut in that area for  
4. operations. This would add a million seven hundred five  
5. thousand eight hundred, like the other did for construction.  
6. This would add it for local bridges, which is a very necessary  
7. program for our local areas in Illinois. I might add, again,  
8. that the slippage is a half a billion dollars, and what DOT  
9. promised for this year, that the half...be, billion..  
10. Here we're giving a million seven hundred five thousand  
11. eight hundred for construction of local bridges. I would  
12. move adoption of Amendment No. 5.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

14. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll  
15. moves the adoption of Amendment No. 5 to House Bill 3084.  
16. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The  
17. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Any further amend-  
18. ments?

19. SECRETARY:

20. Amendment No. 6, offered by Senator Coffey.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Senator Coffey. Senator Coffey.

23. SENATOR COFFEY:

24. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, this is a  
25. technical amendment; it breaks out the A Bonds as...as has  
26. been done in the past. DOT is in favor of it, and I'd ask  
27. for the acceptance of this amendment.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

29. Senator Coffey moves the adoption of Amendment No. 6 to  
30. House Bill 3084. Is there further discussion? Senator Carroll.

31. SENATOR CARROLL:

32. ...Agree with the break-out as we have required them to do  
33. in the past. I would concur in the adoption.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed  
3. Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 6 is adopted. Are  
4. there any further amendments?

5. SECRETARY:

6. Amendment No. 7, offered by Senator Philip.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Senator Philip.

9. SENATOR PHILIP:

10. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
11. Senate. This is ten million dollars from CDB to the Department  
12. of Transportation to purchase two stone quarries in the Village  
13. of Elmhurst. It just...this is a recommendation for the...  
14. from the Department of Transportation. It involves Cook County,  
15. DuPage County, and Will County; as you know, we have a  
16. terrible flooding problem there. Quite frankly, I'm not sure  
17. that's enough money. The...Elmhurst-Chicago Stone Quarry  
18. thinks it ought to be sixty million dollars; it will probably  
19. end up in a long court suit...in a long court case; and I may  
20. not be here by the time that we finally get around to buying  
21. those two stone quarries; but it is a recommendation. I  
22. have talked to the Governor, personally; he would prefer to  
23. have it in the budget; he may veto it, he may sign it. It  
24. depends on Federal funds, and depends how the financial condition  
25. of the State of Illinois is.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Senator Philip moves the adoption of Amendment No. 7 to  
28. House Bill 3084. Is there further discussion? Senator Carroll.

29. SENATOR CARROLL:

30. Just to read to the membership, in lieu of a letter from  
31. Dr. Bob, Pate has gone to Dr. Bob's assistant, the Governor,  
32. and the Assistant to the Director of the Bureau of the Budget  
33. says, "the purpose of this letter is to reiterate what we dis-  
34. cussed the other day. I have no opposition to you placing an

1. amendment on the legislation, to providing funding for Elmhurst  
2. Quarry; however, I cannot make a commitment that I will sign  
3. this appropriation if it reaches my desk. I will have to wait  
4. and see what funds are available, both State and Federal for  
5. such a project," which is basically what Senator Philip said.  
6. Let me just indicate, rather than Dr. Bob making a commitment,  
7. his assistant seems to vacillate a little bit.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is  
10. shall Amendment No. 7 be adopted. Those in favor indicate  
11. by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Nays have it. Amendment  
12. No. 7 is defeated. There has been a request for a roll call.  
13. Those in favor indicate by voting Aye. Those opposed vote Nay.  
14. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted  
15. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On  
16. that question, the Ayes are 25, the Nays are 31, none Voting  
17. Present. Amendment No. 7, having failed to receive a majority  
18. vote, is declared defeated. Any further amendments?

19. SECRETARY:

20. Amendment No. 8, offered by Senators Carroll and Demuzio.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Senator Carroll.

23. SENATOR CARROLL:

24. This is not fifty million for a quarry that runs from my  
25. district to Senator Demuzio's as has been suggested. This is,  
26. what we have suggested to several of the members if they have  
27. a unique problem, to substitute one project for another, which  
28. is what Senator Demuzio's suggestion does do. It's a net  
29. decrease, in fact, of some, I think, fifteen thousand dollars,  
30. or that's the approximate difference between the two. It  
31. substitutes a program of drainage on Route 16 and maintenance  
32. of County Highway 1, in Gillespie and Calhoun Counties, respectively,  
33. and takes out resurfacing of 2.02 miles of Highway 68 in

1. Livingston, of five hundred thousand dollars. I would move  
2. adoption of Amendment No. 8.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 8  
5. to House Bill 3084. Is there further discussion? Senator  
6. Coffey.

7. SENATOR COFFEY:

8. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise in  
9. opposition of this amendment. It's a non-budgeted item and  
10. I'd ask for a No vote on this amendment.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves  
13. the adoption of Amendment No. 8. Those in favor indicate by  
14. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.  
15. 8 is adopted. Any further amendments?

16. SECRETARY:

17. Amendment No. 9, offered by Senator Coffey.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19. Senator Coffey.

20. SENATOR COFFEY:

21. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, this amendment  
22. breaks-out the FY-'81 Road Fund by DOT district, exactly as the  
23. Senate has for the last several years; and we should not like  
24. to endanger Illinois' ability to capture Federal Funds next  
25. year. The Department supports this break-out, and I'd ask for  
26. a favorable roll call on this amendment.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

28. Senator Coffey moves the adoption of Amendment No. 9 to  
29. House Bill 3084. Is there further discussion? Senator Carroll.

30. SENATOR CARROLL:

31. Just, in effect, a question of the sponsor.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. He indicates he will yield.

1. SENATOR CARROLL:

2.           There is a problem in identifying the figures with DOT.  
3. They finally published the Road Program, as you know, about  
4. ten days ago at our demand, or request; depending on who you  
5. ask; and those figures don't add up to the figures they've  
6. now supplied us and the break-down by district in either the  
7. slippage, which is the carry-over of the FY-'80 that they never  
8. did, or in the new. These figures, by district, don't add  
9. up to their published program book. All I'm asking is that  
10. let's adopt this amendment now; bring it back tomorrow and  
11. make the corrective amendment, because we intend to, with  
12. their help and aid and...with us, take the slippage and  
13. identify it, so everyone knows what's really new money and  
14. what's just a re-hash of last year's promises. With that  
15. understanding, we would concur with Amendment 9, so that  
16. we could bring it back tomorrow and identify for everybody  
17. what they didn't get this year that they were promised.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19.           Is there further discussion? Senator Coffey.

20. SENATOR COFFEY:

21.           I...I would still like to...I'm not sure I understand what  
22. Senator Carroll's intentions are; I'd still like to go with  
23. the amendment as it was drafted. I still think it could jeopardize  
24. summer programs, and I...I think that we ought to go along with  
25. the way we've proceeded in the past few years, and I'd ask, still,  
26. for a favorable roll call on this amendment.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

28.           Senator Carroll wishes to clarify his concern. Senator  
29. Carroll.

30. SENATOR CARROLL:

31.           No, the problem was not with even adopting this now, Senator  
32. Coffey. It's just with the assurance from you that you'd bring  
33. it back tomorrow. We think it important for each of our road



1. building districts of this State, as well as the general public,  
2. to be able to identify what was really FY-'80 promises and  
3. what's FY-'81 promises. The Department has supplied us with  
4. figures that just don't add up; which is not unusual. We would  
5. like to, tomorrow, if we have those figures, offer that amend-  
6. ment. I think that works in everyone's best interest. Okay.  
7. Senator Coffey has indicated, for the record, that he agrees to  
8. bring it back tomorrow for that purpose; and we would have no  
9. objection to this amendment at this time.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

11. All those in favor of adopting Amendment No. 9 signify  
12. by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment  
13. No. 9 is adopted. Any further amendments?

14. SECRETARY:

15. Amendment No. 10, offered by Senators Carroll and Becker.  
16. Carroll and Becker.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Senator Carroll.

19. SENATOR CARROLL:

20. Yes, Senator Becker and others had brought to our attention  
21. a sewer road problem in their district, that EPA was violently  
22. opposed to in their budget; because it would have opened up a  
23. whole new category of bonding for municipalities that we just  
24. did not have the money, or ever could foresee having the money  
25. of accommodating. And that's of repairing roads where old  
26. sewers underneath are starting to collapse and become a health  
27. hazard, both from the standpoint of sewers and roads. The  
28. suggestion in the committee, at that time on EPA, was that this  
29. was an approved type of project, but not on EPA; and rather  
30. belongs on DOT as a road project, which would include improving  
31. the sewer at the same time. We said if they support that, we  
32. have no problem with it, and Senator Becker and I have jointly  
33. offered this to the DOT amendment. I would move adoption of  
34. Amendment No. 10.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
2. Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 10  
3. to House Bill 3084. Is there further discussion? If not,  
4. those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed No.  
5. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 10 is adopted. Any further  
6. amendments?  
7. SECRETARY:  
8. Amendment No. 11, by Senator Knuppel.  
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
10. Senator Knuppel.  
11. SENATOR KNUPPEL:  
12. Amendment No. 11 is to restore forty-one million dollars  
13. that was in the House Bill that came over here and was taken  
14. out. It was understood this is to have the work on the Kansas  
15. City Expressway...CIE and the Route 51, go forward; notwithstanding  
16. cuts in the Federal assistance. Senator Rupp, I don't see him  
17. on the Floor at the moment, but joins me in this. We were  
18. assured that we would get support for this amendment.  
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
20. Senator Knuppel moves the adoption of Amendment No. 11  
21. to House Bill 3084. Is there further discussion? Senator  
22. Coffey.  
23. SENATOR COFFEY:  
24. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. We just  
25. took this out in Amendment No. 1...or Amendment No. 2, I'm  
26. sorry. I think we ought to oppose this amendment at this  
27. time, and I'd ask for a No vote on this amendment.  
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
29. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is  
30. the adoption of Amendment No. 11 to House Bill 3084. Those  
31. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes  
32. have it. Amendment No. 11 is adopted. Any further amendments?  
33. SECRETARY:

1. Amendment No. 12, offered by Senator Rock.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3. Senator Rock.

4. SENATOR ROCK:

5. Thank you, Mr. President. This is an amendment in the  
6. amount of seven hundred and fifty-seven thousand dollars. You  
7. will recall when we had that massive transportation program,  
8. last Session, and certain amounts of money were de-designated  
9. from the purpose...the stated purpose of the building of  
10. the Crosstown Expressway, that we freed up an awful lot of  
11. Federal...otherwise Federal money for certain, particularly,  
12. mass transit projects. One of those projects, which was on  
13. the priority list of the Association of Mayors called CATV,  
14. I think it is, it's the Chicago Area Transportation Study  
15. Funding Commission, or something of that nature, called for  
16. the...the construction of a commuter parking facility in the  
17. Village of Oak Park; actually I think it sits in Senator  
18. Collins' district, but it is in the Village of Oak Park. I  
19. have discussed this with the Secretary of Transportation;  
20. the only unknown, at this point, is that we don't know what  
21. the Federal Government is going to do with respect to that  
22. money, or how much of it will be available. I am aware of  
23. that, the Secretary of Transportation is aware of that. All  
24. I wanted to do was...was just make sure that this didn't get  
25. lost in the shuffle, if and when that money becomes available;  
26. and I would urge the adoption of Amendment No. 12.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

28. Before we proceed, we have found in the men's restroom,  
29. a set of car keys for a General Motors car, trunk and...so  
30. if anyone's interested, anyone lost their car keys, they're  
31. right up on the Podium. Senator Rock moves the adoption of  
32. Amendment No. 12 to House Bill 3084. Is there further dis-  
33. cussion? Senator Berning.

1. SENATOR BERNING:  
2. My question of the sponsor was simply, is there a  
3. differentiation between rapid transit allocation of the former  
4. Crosstown money and other usage? And the reason for my question  
5. is that I have had in the DOT budget, for the last three or  
6. four years, an improvement for Route 43 for two miles, right  
7. through the middle of my village, and I can't get anywhere  
8. with it; and it's partly because this money is not available,  
9. they tell me. Now, if the highway construction definition is  
10. different from mass transit commuter facilities, then maybe,  
11. that would be one explanation. That's my question.  
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
13. Senator Rock.  
14. SENATOR ROCK:  
15. My understanding is that it is different. Yes, Sir.  
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
17. Senator Berning.  
18. SENATOR BERNING:  
19. How...how is it determined, do you have any idea, or is  
20. it by percentage or, in what way do we know what, if anything,  
21. has crossed our money for...mass transportation and highways?  
22. SENATOR ROCK:  
23. My understanding is that that is by congressional fiat.  
24. The Congress decides how much goes in each category.  
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
26. Senator Coffey.  
27. SENATOR COFFEY:  
28. Yes, Mr...President, I have a question of the sponsor.  
29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
30. He indicates he will yield.  
31. SENATOR COFFEY:  
32. Senator Rock, do you have a letter from Dr. Mandeville on  
33. this project.  
34. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
35. Senator Rock.

1. SENATOR ROCK:  
2. No, but I'm sure I can get one from Senator Carroll.  
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
4. Senator Philip.  
5. SENATOR PHILIP:  
6. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
7. Senate. I don't see much difference between a...parking  
8. facility in Oak Park, than I do in the Elmhurst-Chicago Stone  
9. Quarry, quite frankly; in fact, I think we got enough room  
10. in our stone quarry to park all your cars, Senator Rock.  
11. But I might suggest this, if you don't have a letter from  
12. Dr. Bob, do you have one from Dr. Bob's assistant?  
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
14. Senator Rock moves the adoption of Amendment No. 12. Those  
15. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes  
16. have it. Amendment No. 12 is adopted. Any further amendments?  
17. SECRETARY:  
18. Amendment No. 13, offered by Senator Lemke.  
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
20. Senator Lemke. I would suggest, Senator Lemke, that if  
21. you are within the sound of my voice that you appear, or  
22. forever hold your peace. Take it out of the record. Any  
23. further amendments?  
24. SECRETARY:  
25. No further amendments.  
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
27. 3rd reading. House Bill...House Bill 3114, Senator Berman.  
28. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.  
29. SECRETARY:  
30. House Bill 3114.  
31. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
32. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.  
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Any amendments from the Floor?
2. SECRETARY:
3. Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Johns.
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
5. Senator Johns.
- 6.
7. (End of reel)
- 8.
- 9.
- 10.
- 11.
- 12.
- 13.
- 14.
- 15.
- 16.
- 17.
- 18.
- 19.
- 20.
- 21.
- 22.
- 23.
- 24.
- 25.
- 26.
- 27.
- 28.
- 29.
- 30.
- 31.
- 32.
- 33.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Senator Johns.

3. SENATOR JOHNS:

4. Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. Amendment  
5. No. 1 is an amendment to take care of a very unique situation  
6. that exists in a small community in southern Illinois, known  
7. as Galatia. This particular little community had a new coal  
8. mine come in and be built and it just sent a...assessed evaluation  
9. completely out of sight. And what this bill does...I mean this  
10. amendment...it just more or less, holds-harmless the effects  
11. of a new formula or a change in the formula. And I'd be  
12. glad to try to answer any questions concerning this amendment.  
13. I've talked it over with the sponsor, talked it over with several  
14. people involved in school aid and all agree it is a very unique  
15. situation and deserves the attention I'm trying to give it.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. Senator Johns moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to  
18. House Bill 3114. Is there further discussion? Senator Bowers.

19. SENATOR BOWERS:

20. Would the sponsor yield?

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. He indicates he will.

23. SENATOR BOWERS:

24. I'm not quite sure I totally understand, but it sounds  
25. to me like you're saying some big corporation came in and  
26. has a great amount of facilities in your area, so they're  
27. going to get the tax money from them and in addition to that  
28. they want to keep their State funds. Is...is that basically  
29. what we're doing?

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Senator Johns.

32. SENATOR JOHNS:

33. This proposed amendment provides a ninety percent hold-

1. harmless to school districts which have experienced an  
2. increase of a hundred percent or more in their equalized  
3. assessed valuation between 1977 and '78. Specifically,  
4. the amendment provides that a district's 1980-'81 State aid  
5. entitlement, shall not be less than ninety percent of its  
6. '79-'80 entitlement, if you...equalized assessed valuation  
7. used to calculate the State aid the school district is entitled  
8. to received for the 1980-'81 year, has increased by a  
9. hundred percent or more, compared to the equalized assessed  
10. valuation used to calculate the district's '79 to '80 State  
11. aid entitlement. Need I go any further?

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. Senator Bowers.

14. SENATOR BOWERS:

15. Well, you said it was for the aid of a small school district  
16. down in southern Illinois and...and you indicated that there  
17. was a large coal mine. Now, I guess I go back to the question,  
18. isn't this a situation where some large corporation unknown to  
19. us at the moment, built a...an extensive facility that brought  
20. no children into the district and now they're going to get a  
21. big tax bonanza from the Real Estate Taxes and they want to  
22. keep their State aid on top of that. Isn't...isn't that, in  
23. effect, what we're doing down there?

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. Senator Johns.

26. SENATOR JOHNS:

27. Again, they do bring children into the district. You...whenever  
28. you have a drawing card such as a mining facility, you have all  
29. kinds of people move in and around that community to take part  
30. in the employment by that facility. And you do have more people  
31. move in, there's more problems for the community.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Senator Bowers.

34. SENATOR BOWERS:



1. Well, I'm...I'm aware that happens many, many, times in  
2. all sorts of situations. Of course they do live in houses and  
3. those houses increase the assessed valuation. But the  
4. point that it seems to me you're making is, that you have a  
5. large corporate facility, which I assume any school district  
6. in the State of Illinois would love to have. Now they've  
7. increased their local taxes and so they don't want to abide  
8. by the formula that all the rest of us abide by, they want  
9. some special consideration so they can have their cake and  
10. eat it too. In other words, they keep their State aid and  
11. absorb the local taxes in addition to that and that doesn't  
12. seem equitable to me, that's all.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

14. Senator Davidson.

15. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

16. Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise in  
17. opposition to this amendment. The points have been made,  
18. very much, by the fact that this school district is going  
19. to get the increased local Real Estate Tax from the increase  
20. in the assessed valuation. The coal mine did increase their  
21. amount of money. Now, each and every one of us would like  
22. to be held-harmless, but if there's any indication of an  
23. amendment for one special interest group, this is for the Galatia  
24. School District, which is the one involved in this. And they're  
25. going to pick it up next year 'cause the Corporate Personal  
26. Property Tax is going to kick in even extra because the profit  
27. is going to be made by that coal mine in that district. I urge the  
28. defeat of this amendment. And it's totally unnecessary, they're  
29. getting the increased valuation from the local Real Estate  
30. Taxes.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Johns may  
33. close debate.

1. SENATOR JOHNS:

2. Well, the aid is based on the '77 figures, but what you're  
3. failing to realize, these people won't get the...Corporate  
4. Personal Property Income under this formula. Also, since each  
5. district's State aid is based on the equalized assessed valuation  
6. per pupil, in it's operating tax rate, Galatia will experience  
7. a reduction in its State aid entitlement from four hundred and  
8. fourteen thousand in '78-'79 to a hundred and thirty-three  
9. thousand in 1980-'81 based on this bill. And that's what I'm  
10. trying to protect them from, such a serious drop...in what they  
11. have been entitled to.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. Senator Johns moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to  
14. House Bill 3114. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those  
15. opposed. Roll call has been requested. Those in favor indicate  
16. by voting Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take  
19. the record. On that question the Ayes are 30, the Nays are  
20. 28. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3114 is adopted. For what  
21. purpose does Senator Davidson arise?

22. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

23. Verification of the affirmative votes, please.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. Senator Davidson has requested a verification of those  
26. who voted in the affirmative. Will the members please be in  
27. their seats. Secretary will call those who voted in the  
28. affirmative and will you please respond when your name is  
29. called.

30. SECRETARY:

31. The following voted in the affirmative: Bruce, Buzbee,  
32. Carroll, Chew, D'Arco, Daley, Demuzio, Donnewald, Egan, Gitz,  
33. Hall, Johns, Jeremiah Joyce, Jerome Joyce, Knuppel, Lemke, Maragos,

1. McLendon, Merlo, Nash, Nedza, Nega, Netsch, Newhouse, Sangmeister,  
2. Savickas, Vadalabene, Washington, Wooten, Mr. President.  
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
4. Senator Davidson, do you question the presence of any  
5. member who voted in the affirmative?  
6. SENATOR DAVIDSON:  
7. Senator Daley.  
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
9. Is Senator Daley on the Floor? Is Senator Daley on the  
10. Floor? Strike his name.  
11. SENATOR DAVIDSON:  
12. Senator Newhouse.  
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
14. Is Senator Newhouse on the Floor? Yes, he's in the phone  
15. booth. Senator, would you step out just a little farther.  
16. Senator Newhouse is in the telephone booth. Thank you, Senator.  
17. SENATOR DAVIDSON:  
18. Senator Lemke.  
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
20. Is Senator Lemke on the Floor? Senator Lemke. Strike his  
21. name.  
22. SENATOR DAVIDSON:  
23. Senator Nash.  
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
25. Senator Nash is in his seat.  
26. SENATOR DAVIDSON:  
27. ...Senator Jerome Joyce.  
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
29. Senator Jerome Joyce is standing by Senator Donnewald's seat.  
30. SENATOR DAVIDSON:  
31. That's all.  
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
33. For what purpose does Senator Rock arise?

1. SENATOR ROCK:  
2. Verification of the negative votes.  
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
4. There's been a request for a verification of those who  
5. voted in the negative. Will the members please be in their  
6. seats. The Secretary will call those who voted in the negative.  
7. Will the members please respond when their name is called.  
8. SECRETARY:  
9. The following voted in the negative: Becker, Berman,  
10. Berning, Bloom, Bowers, Coffey, Davidson, DeAngelis, Friedland,  
11. Geo-Karis, Grotberg, Keats, Maitland, Martin, McMillan, Mitchler,  
12. Moore, Nimrod, Ozinga, Philip, Regner, Rhoads, Rupp, Schaffer,  
13. Shapiro, Sommer, Walsh, Weaver.  
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
15. Senator Rock.  
16. SENATOR ROCK:  
17. Yes, Senator Bloom.  
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
19. Is Senator Bloom on the Floor? Senator, he's in his  
20. seat.  
21. SENATOR ROCK:  
22. I'm sorry, I couldn't see with...the books. Senator Ozinga.  
23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
24. Is Senator Ozinga on the Floor? Senator Ozinga. Strike  
25. his name.  
26. SENATOR ROCK:  
27. Senator Philip.  
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
29. Senator Philip is on the Floor. On a verified roll call,  
30. there are 28 Ayes, 27 Nays. Amendment No. 1 is adopted.  
31. Further amendments?  
32. SECRETARY:  
33. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Buzbee.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

2. Senator Buzbee.

3. SENATOR BUZBEE:

4. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment would affect  
5. one school district in the State of Illinois and one only.  
6. The change in the formula where we go from Strayer-Haig,  
7. we're eliminating Strayer-Haig funding and where everybody is  
8. forced to go onto resource equalizer, positively impacts  
9. on every school district that is presently in Strayer-Haig  
10. and going over to resource equalizer with the exception of  
11. one, and that one is Mascoutah. You'll recall, those of  
12. you who are members of the Education Committee that the  
13. folks from Mascoutah were here to testify about the negative  
14. impact it would have on them. The reason that they are so  
15. unique is because of the fact that they get a...a great  
16. amount of their money from the...from the Federal...Federal  
17. impaction aid. They are going to be facing a tremendous amount  
18. of Federal impaction aid loss this coming school year,  
19. but on top of that, the uniqueness of their situation  
20. makes them lose money by going from Strayer-Haig to the  
21. resource equalizer. They are of the...they know that they  
22. have to go to resource equalizer if we pass this formula  
23. change bill, they are simply...in this...with this amend-  
24. ment, I am asking that they be held-harmless for one  
25. year and one year only. At the end of that time they  
26. would be forced to go onto the resource equalizer. If we  
27. don't put this amendment on, they'll make it through  
28. the first year of their being on resource equalizer, but  
29. the second year, by the middle of the school year,  
30. they will absolutely be out of business.  
31. They will have to close down the

1. schools, spending every dime they have, issuing all the Tax  
2. Anticipation Warrants they are authorized to issue. They  
3. will be out of business by the middle of that second school  
4. year. So this one year's hold-harmless would allow them to  
5. accumulate enough money to be able to make it through the  
6. second school year, at which time, of course, the second school  
7. year they would be on the resource equalizer, but this would  
8. allow them to accumulate enough money that they would be  
9. able to make it through the second school year. It's the  
10. only one in the State. We have done this many times in the  
11. past where a school district, because of the formula changes  
12. we propose, has been negatively impacted and has been to  
13. the point of being put out of business. We have made hold-  
14. harmless provisions for allowing them to stay in business  
15. and I would ask that I be given the opportunity of doing  
16. this for the Mascoutah School District for one year.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Is there discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

19. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

20. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.  
21. I'm rather surprised by the poverty enunciated from the  
22. Mascoutah School District when they have over two million  
23. dollars in surplus and what the honorable Senator is trying  
24. to do is hold up about two hundred school districts for one  
25. when they've got the money and they're in good shape, they haven't  
26. used...they haven't even taxed up to the proper level, and  
27. yet they want to hold us back and the other two hundred districts  
28. for one district that has plenty of money and has not used  
29. its available tax rate. I certainly speak against this  
30. amendment, I think it's highly...discriminatory of others.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. For what purpose does Senator Buzbee arise?

33. SENATOR BUZBEE:

1. On a point of order. The Senator does not know of...of  
2. what she speaks. I am not holding back anybody else with  
3. this amendment. I am holding Mascoutah harmless for one  
4. year. I will speak to her...the substance of her...speech in  
5. a minute, but I'm not holding back the other two hundred  
6. school districts. I am saying fine, we'll go to Strayer-  
7. Haig immediately, but I'm just asking to be held...for  
8. Mascoutah to be held-harmless for one year. And I will  
9. speak to the substance of her argument in a minute.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

11. Senator Geo-Karis.

12. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

13. Will the sponsor yield for a question?

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. Indicates he will yield. Senator Geo-Karis.

16. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

17. What is your tax rate for Mascoutah, right at the present  
18. time?

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20. Senator Buzbee.

21. SENATOR BUZBEE:

22. The tax rate, as I recall, is...well, first of all, it  
23. is very, very low. The Mascoutah School District knows that,  
24. they intend to hike it this year...by a considerable amount.  
25. That doesn't make any difference. They...it's very low because  
26. they have...they were told all along that Strayer-Haig was  
27. going to stay on for a few years and with the Federal impaction  
28. aid from the Federal Government. So they were trying to hold  
29. their Real Estate Taxes low. They know that they are too  
30. low. They are hiking them...considerably this school year.  
31. The problem is, it doesn't make any difference how high they  
32. hike them. We can hike them to ten dollars a hundred and they  
33. still will be broke in...in the second school year...unless

1. we hold them harmless for one year.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3. Senator Geo-Karis. Your time has nearly expired, Senator.

4. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

5. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

6. I'm sorry you think I don't know whereof I speak, but you've

7. admitted that you have not used your available tax resources,

8. but trying to stick it to all the other districts.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Further discussion? Senator DeAngelis.

11. SENATOR DeANGELIS:

12. Thank you, Mr. President. There is a tide in the affairs

13. of man when taken at the ebb lead on to fortune. Last year, I

14. presented an amendment here that had seventeen school districts

15. in the same configuration, Senator Buzbee, that yours is in,

16. and through some eloquent pleading by members of the Appropriations

17. Committee, it was defeated.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

19. Further discussion? Senator Davidson.

20. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

21. I speak in opposition to this amendment. Yes, Mascoutah

22. was up here and yes, they have two and a half million dollars

23. in surplus, they also got one of the lowest, if not, the lowest

24. tax rate on a levy than any other school district in the whole

25. State. They are making the assumption that the Impaction

26. Fund from the Federal Government is going to be totally done

27. away with and they're not going to receive any Impaction Fund.

28. Ladies and Gentlemen, seventy percent of their students come

29. from Scott Air Force Base. Practical, political sense tells

30. me that Congressman Mel Price who's Chairman of the Armed

31. Forces Committee is not going to let his home base be impacted

32. to the kind of amounts of decrease in funding as they said they

33. were. Now, I know part of the Impaction Funds have been raised



1. up from what President Carter put forth in his Budget Message  
2. already. This is totally uncalled for at this time. Now, if  
3. they got a problem, well, we can address it next year. They got  
4. two and a half million dollar surplus, they got the lowest  
5. tax rate by their local people. Let's see if they're going...  
6. willing to put their money where their mouth is on a local  
7. level before we bail them out. I urge you to defeat this  
8. motion.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Further discussion? Senator Buzbee may close.

11. SENATOR BUZBEE:

12. Thank you, Mr. President. It is true that Mascoutah,  
13. at the current time, has two and a half million dollars in  
14. the bank. They have...been up front with that all along.  
15. What I'm saying is, that with the increase in their tax  
16. rate, with the spending of that two and a half million  
17. dollars, with the...receipt of every dollar of Federal  
18. impaction aid, that they are...are currently getting, if  
19. they continue to get all of that with the issuance of every  
20. Tax Anticipation Warrant that they possibly can issue, they  
21. will still close the school doors in the middle of the second  
22. year. They are not banking on any loss of Federal impaction  
23. aid, they say it's a very distinct possibility. The problem  
24. is a cash flow problem, they don't get that...Federal impaction  
25. aid sometimes until April. And they will have to close the  
26. school doors, they will spend every dime of that two and a  
27. half million dollars. They will with...yes, they certainly  
28. will, Senator, it's too bad you didn't listen to the testimony  
29. a little closer when they were here before the committee. Two  
30. and a half million dollars will be spent. They will spend every  
31. dime of their new...and I raised the same questions...you did.  
32. I spent hours with the folks from the Mascoutah School District  
33. trying to work out possible ways of doing this without messing

1. up the formula changes for the rest of the Strayer-Haig  
2. Districts in the State. We finally arrived at the language  
3. that the only way to do it was to hold Mascoutah harmless  
4. for one year. Quite frankly, they're not even sure that  
5. holding them harmless for one year and with the fantastic  
6. increase in their property taxes they're going to have to  
7. take this year, if that will be sufficient to get the job  
8. done. Don't forget the Property Taxes, if they go up this  
9. year, they will not start collecting those until September  
10. of 1981. And with that increase, they're still going to  
11. have to close their school doors sometime in the middle  
12. of the second year. I would ask for your support for this  
13. ...for this one year's hold-harmless for one school district  
14. in the State of Illinois.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

16. The question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to  
17. House Bill 3114. Those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. I  
18. believe that...perhaps we should have a roll call. Senator  
19. Buzbee requests that a roll call be taken on the adoption of  
20. Amendment No. 2. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed  
21. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?  
22. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question  
23. the Ayes are 31, the Nays are 27, none Voting Present. Amend-  
24. ment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments, Mr. Secretary.

25. SECRETARY:

26. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Regner.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Senator Regner.

29. SENATOR REGNER:

30. Yes, Mr. President and members. This amendment eliminates  
31. positive adjustments of the School Aid Formula to conform to  
32. the appropriation level. House Bill 3114, as it's now written,  
33. provides that if the appropriation for the formula exceeds or  
34. is lower than the formula foundation, the foundation level will

1. be correspondingly raised or lowered. This amendment eliminates  
2. the positive adjustments so that the foundation level set by  
3. the formula bill is the cap on the expenditure level. This  
4. is pretty much the same thing we've done this year on several  
5. Appropriation Bills, where we've put a cap on spending so they  
6. can't rise above the level intended. What...what I'm really  
7. saying is, if we should set a level of say, fourteen hundred  
8. and sixty dollars this year and by some chance, the appropriation  
9. passed would have provided for fourteen sixty-three, the amount  
10. would actually be fourteen sixty, which was the intention  
11. and I move its adoption.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. The motion is to adopt. Is there discussion? Senator  
14. Berman.

15. SENATOR BERMAN:

16. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
17. Senate. I rise in opposition to this amendment. In the  
18. appropriation process and in the determination of the School  
19. Aid Formula, we try to determine exactly what is needed in  
20. order to fund the schools throughout the State of Illinois.  
21. As...as the bill presently exists, we have built in an adjustment  
22. process that will raise and lower the school aid, depending  
23. upon the claims and depending upon the appropriation. I  
24. underline the figure...the phrase, raise and lower. I don't  
25. think that it's fair to the schools throughout the State of  
26. Illinois that we're going to be able to lower, only lower,  
27. State aid, but would allow the State Board of Education to  
28. increase State aid based upon our appropriation. If our  
29. best estimates are going to hold firm, I think we should  
30. give them the benefit of gaining State aid as well as losing  
31. it. This amendment would only allow school districts to  
32. be penalized, wouldn't allow them to be benefited. I urge  
33. a No vote on this amendment.

34. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1. Is there further discussion? Senator Regner may close.  
2. SENATOR REGNER:  
3. Well, Mr. President and members, Senator Berman, that's  
4. the way it is now...it can only be lowered. What if this...Office  
5. of Education setting their level and they say twenty million  
6. dollars or whatever is necessary and it's actually more than  
7. the formula would...would call for. The way the bill is set  
8. now, the level would actually be higher and that's absolutely  
9. wrong, it should be at what it was set at. So that's why  
10. we...why I'm offering this amendment, to place a cap on it  
11. so it's the same as it is now and I'd ask for a favorable roll  
12. call.  
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
14. Those...on adoption of Amendment No. 3, those in favor  
15. will say Aye. Opposed...  
16. SENATOR REGNER:  
17. Roll call.  
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
19. Oh, roll call.  
20. SENATOR REGNER:  
21. That's what I said.  
22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
23. All right. Roll call has been requested. Those in favor  
24. will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is  
25. open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take  
26. the record. On that question the Ayes are 20, the Nays are 35.  
27. Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 3114 is defeated. Further amend-  
28. ments?  
29. SECRETARY:  
30. Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Schaffer.  
31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
32. Senator Schaffer is recognized on Amendment No. 4.  
33. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

1.           Excuse me. This...there was some concern on this side  
2. of the aisle on the language in the bill on proration. And  
3. while Senator Berman, is, I'm sure, armed to launch a massive  
4. attack against this amendment and will point out that with  
5. the change in the funding level that, in fact, this bill will  
6. not require any proration this year, there are some of us  
7. over here from the suburban areas and the downstate areas  
8. who view the Proration Formula with great dismay. And I would  
9. suggest to anyone who happens to have school districts who  
10. do not do particularly well by the Proration Formula or by  
11. the School Aid Formula because they have high assessed valuations,  
12. that the proration mechanism, which is a...mechanism by where...  
13. by which districts that get a lot of aid will be insulated  
14. against the negative impact of a proration should this General  
15. Assembly ever be forced in that posture, might very well wish  
16. to get on this roll call, because sooner or later, the State  
17. is going to find itself in a proration position and some of  
18. your superintendents are going to discover that this new  
19. Proration Formula works to the detriment of their districts  
20. and they're going to finally look around and see who voted  
21. for it and find out that you did and I, for one, want at least  
22. to have a roll call showing that I was, at least, aware of  
23. the problem and knew that the suburban and downstate districts  
24. were getting shish kebabs. That's all I'm accomplished...  
25. trying to accomplish and when those superintendents come  
26. at you that'll be the roll call they'll have in their hands  
27. and if I were a downstater from suburbia, in all candor, I  
28. should say, except deep southern Illinois, I think I'd sure want  
29. to be on this roll call.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31.           The motion is to adopt. Is there discussion? Senator  
32. Berman.

33. SENATOR BERMAN:

1. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
2. Senate. I rise in opposition to this amendment. In the  
3. process of determining a School Aid Formula, we don't only  
4. look at State aid, we look at the resources available to  
5. the children. And that includes a combination of both local  
6. resources as well as State aid. This bill, without this  
7. amendment, introduces a very equitable approach when we  
8. pass a School Aid Formula and the Governor or the General  
9. Assembly underappropriates. What we have done in the  
10. School Aid Formula this year, is to say that those school  
11. districts, because of their local resources, who need the  
12. most State aid, should not be penalized most by cuts in the  
13. appropriation level. That is what most of us would term,  
14. equity and equalization and that's what's in this bill  
15. now. In the...in the past, and what Senator Schaffer is  
16. trying to resurrect, is that everybody would take a prorata  
17. cut if there was a cut in appropriation. Well, what that  
18. did was to hurt the school districts that need the State  
19. aid most, it would hurt them the most. That wasn't a fair...  
20. approach to the dollars available to children. Let's not  
21. try to keep formulas...sacrosanct, let's try to make the  
22. purpose of a School Aid Formula what it's supposed to  
23. be and that is to aid children in the...in the most equitable  
24. way...possible. That's what the formula does, that's what  
25. this amendment is trying to undo, I urge...a No vote on  
26. this amendment.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Further discussion? Senator Rhoads.

29. SENATOR RHOADS:

30. If I may address a question to Senator Berman, even  
31. though he's not the sponsor of the amendment. Senator Berman,  
32. we had a lengthy discussion for about an hour the other day  
33. among Republican suburbanites on this issue of the proration

1. of the deficit. Now if the...appropriation level, whatever  
2. it is, becomes the new foundation, under what circumstances  
3. would we prorate a deficit?

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Senator Berman.

6. SENATOR BERMAN:

7. I'm not sure I understand the question, but I...but what  
8. this says...in the formula, is if appropriations are not  
9. sufficient to fund the formula, then there must be a cut  
10. in the claims. Under the old bill and under Schaffer's  
11. amendment, everybody got a prorata cut. If there was a five  
12. percent cut in...or shortfall in...in appropriations, every  
13. school district in the State received a five percent cut.  
14. In the bill, without the amendment, we weight the cut according  
15. to the local equalized assessed valuation. And what that does,  
16. is say that those districts that don't require much State aid,  
17. because they have a...a...a large local resource, they're  
18. rich districts because of local taxing ability, they're going  
19. to have a lot of money, anyway, for their children and they  
20. can afford to take a greater proportion of the cut in State  
21. aid and that is equity.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. Senator Rhoads.

24. SENATOR RHOADS:

25. Senator Becker, let me state it again. I wasn't asking  
26. you to explain the philosophy of...of how you arrived at  
27. this. I understand where you're coming from and we can  
28. speak to that in a minute, but...what I'm trying to find  
29. out here is, the...the School Problems Commission has been  
30. selling this to all of this...to all of us, on the basis that  
31. we will never again have to worry about what is "full funding"  
32. because that the new appropriation level, whatever that level  
33. is, will, in fact, be the new foundation. Now, what I'm

1. asking you is, if...if your bill, if...if...if House Bill 3114  
2. becomes law, under what circumstances...theoretically, we  
3. would never have to prorate a deficit, am I correct?

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Senator Berman.

6. SENATOR BERMAN:

7. I think the explanation has to be in a different frame-  
8. work. I have in my hand, and let me invite anybody that's  
9. interested, a printout of what the formula would produce  
10. for your school districts. You're going to pass a bill  
11. tomorrow that's going to have a School Aid Formula and  
12. according to your printout you're going to issue a  
13. press release telling each one of your school districts  
14. how much more money we're going to get them. If the appropri-  
15. ation is cut, the formula is adjusted so that they will be  
16. getting less money than the printout. Technically, that  
17. is not a underappropriation because the formula is going to  
18. adjust itself, but it's a different figure than what they  
19. thought they would get when we passed the bill.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

21. Senator Rhoads.

22. SENATOR RHOADS:

23. Well, then what...what is it that we're prorating, I mean what...  
24. what kind of a deficit...if...if...if this now becomes...all right,  
25. Senator, I don't expect you to explain it in two minutes, we...  
26. discussed it an hour the other day. Let me...let me just address  
27. this to suburban Cook County and each of you can...can look at  
28. it as it might affect your own districts. Senator Berman's argument  
29. on the philosophy of this amendment is, that there are some  
30. school districts, allegedly more affluent school districts, that  
31. have greater resources. However, if you are from suburban  
32. Cook County and then...some of you from downstate might find  
33. this true too, you have been subject to a lot of historic  
34. distortions in the assessment...process which have prevented



1. your...school districts from gaining access to the formula.  
2. Particularly in Cook County, we had the problem in the past  
3. of inadequate collection of the Corporate Personal Property Tax.  
4. We've had the problem where we classify property and the  
5. downstate counties do not classify, that the State Aid  
6. Formula assumed that we had...were collecting all of that  
7. Corporate Personal Property Tax. Now it was good enough to  
8. keep us from access to the School Aid Formula, but it wasn't  
9. good enough to produce any money for us. So, we've really  
10. been in a...a...if you want to talk about fairness and equity,  
11. a lot of the suburban school districts, particularly in suburban  
12. Cook, haven't had fairness and equity in the past. Now, if  
13. there is some disaster under which we would have to have a  
14. proration of a deficit, again suburban Cook County loses,  
15. the City of Chicago gains. Now those of you who represent  
16. downstate can speak to that. That nice little printout  
17. that Senator Berman referred to is a very interesting  
18. document because it...while it does dazzle everyone with the  
19. money that we would get under this bill as opposed to the  
20. money we would...otherwise have gotten had the formula not  
21. been changed, it still doesn't...point out the fact that  
22. you're getting a smaller and smaller and smaller piece of  
23. the pie all the time. I think every one of you has an  
24. obligation to look at this bill as it affects your particular  
25. district because it impacts very differently on school districts.  
26. Some are big winners, others are very big losers and you have  
27. to make sure that you know what this does to your district.  
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29. Further discussion? Senator...Senator Gitz.

30. SENATOR GITZ:

31. Well, I think that Senator Rhoads' comment is well taken.  
32. Naturally, when Senator Schaffer, who is...has a neighboring  
33. district starts looking at these bills, I'm very interested in

1. what happens. And I can't speak for everybody else, but  
2. Senator Schaffer, this bill, according to the printout that  
3. I have, and if it's wrong or if there's a different adjustment,  
4. I'd be happy to look at the figures. I have neighboring school  
5. districts side by side and one might gain two thousand dollars,  
6. the next one will lose ten thousand dollars. But the figures  
7. seem to be going up and down and from side to side, never by  
8. a great deal of fluctuation. And I...I must ask you, in  
9. your closing arguments then, I'd like you to specify if  
10. this printout is wrong, where, and how it is we're going to  
11. be such big winners because I...I took a very quick sample  
12. throughout that whole area and it doesn't seem to add up  
13. to the arguments you've made.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. Further discussion? Senator Schaffer may close.

16. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

17. I don't recall saying anybody was going to be a winner.  
18. I think that was Senator Berman's argument. I won't argue  
19. with it, there are, obviously with a new formula...if you  
20. look at the new formula versus no action at all, obviously  
21. there are some districts that are winners. By the way, there  
22. are two computer runs floating around and they are probably  
23. enough to send anybody to the funny farm, if you studied  
24. them long enough. The one Senator Berman referred to, which  
25. generally, at least on this side of the aisle, has Schneider  
26. written on the front of it and another one I requested in  
27. the Education Committee, which compares the current proration  
28. system with the proposed proration system and I will be real  
29. honest with you, if you're from the City of Chicago, which  
30. under this...under the new system, stands to win, not huge  
31. dollars, but...the run we have here would show a million dollars  
32. increase under the hypothetical situation or if you happen  
33. to be, I think, from the two or three most southern school

1. districts, you probably ought not to be for this amendment.  
2. If, on the other hand, you happen to be from the other sections  
3. of the State, sooner or later we're going to find ourselves  
4. on a proration situation. For instance, we pass this bill  
5. ...we pass the appropriations bill, the Governor reduces  
6. it by X number of millions and we don't override that reduction,  
7. then we're into a proration situation. I would suggest to you,  
8. at that point your school superintendents are going to say, you  
9. voted for this and this cost us one teacher's aid or half  
10. a teacher or one-third of a superintendent or part of a some-  
11. thing or other. I think you'll want to be for the amendment.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. The question is on the adoption of Amendment  
14. No. 4. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will  
15. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have  
16. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the  
17. Ayes are 22, the Nays are 32, none Voting Present. Amendment  
18. No. 4 is lost. Further amendments?

19. SECRETARY:

20. Amendment No. 5 offered by Senators Berman and Davidson.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

22. Senator Berman.

23. SENATOR BERMAN:

24. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
25. Senate. This amendment conforms the formula to the amendments  
26. that...put on the appropriation bill earlier today. This changes  
27. one element in the School Aid Formula as it came over from the  
28. House. It reduces the guaranteed foundation level from fourteen  
29. seventy-seven to fourteen sixty-three. If you recall the discussion  
30. by Senator Rock on the appropriation bill, what we have done is  
31. to strike what we...what many of us felt was a reasonable level  
32. that we believe the Governor will, hopefully, will sign. It's  
33. approximately twenty million dollars more than the Governor's...

1. where the Governor started as far as the General School Aid  
2. Formula is concerned. It's less than where it came out of  
3. the House, but I don't think any of us want to get into a  
4. situation where Total Vetoes of school aid and the School Aid  
5. Formula are thrust upon us. Even with this amendment, there  
6. will be substantial increases in State aid. It will represent  
7. a 5.3 percent increase over last year's claims and I urge a  
8. favorable vote on Amendment No. 5.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Further discussion? Senator Davidson.

11. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

12. I rise in support of this amendment. This puts the  
13. floor at what the School Problems Commission came out with, at  
14. fourteen sixty-three. This gives every school district in  
15. the State a raise except Mascoutah. And I urge you all to vote  
16. Aye.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 5. Discussion?  
19. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it,  
20. Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Further amendments?

21. SECRETARY:

22. No further amendments.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

24. 3rd reading. House Bill 3126, Senator Philip. Senator  
25. Philip on the Floor? All right. Perhaps we can go...read the  
26. bill, Mr. Secretary, please. 3126.

27. SECRETARY:

28. House Bill 3126.

29. (Secretary reads title of bill)

30. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations offers  
31. one amendment, Appropriations I.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Senator Carroll.

1. SENATOR CARROLL:  
2. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.  
3. This is a reduction amendment in the pay plan. I understand  
4. there are some mistakes and we'll have to correct it tomorrow.  
5. I would suggest we offer the amendment at this time and then  
6. Senator Philip may want to bring the bill back tomorrow to  
7. correct some problems that they, the board, is having with  
8. the amendment. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.  
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
10. Is there discussion? Senator Philip.  
11. SENATOR PHILIP:  
12. Just out of curiosity, Senator Carroll, what does Amendment  
13. No. 1 do?  
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
15. Senator Carroll.  
16. SENATOR CARROLL:  
17. Committee Amendment No. 1 is a cut of fourteen thousand  
18. dollars in their two hundred and fifty thousand dollar appropriation.  
19. SENATOR PHILIP:  
20. ...And what's the rationale for the reduction?  
21. SENATOR CARROLL:  
22. ...Was, just...as we were doing through everything, it was  
23. within their salary increases and other type items. I can  
24. go through the detail of it, but it's a reduction of fourteen  
25. thousand dollars.  
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
27. Senator Philip.  
28. SENATOR PHILIP:  
29. Well, I...I...I'm going to attempt to resist the amendment,  
30. quite frankly. It's only a budget of two hundred and fifty  
31. thousand, seven hundred dollars, a small increase of 6.3  
32. over last year. It's a very small agency, very well run and  
33. I really haven't found a good rationale why we should reduce it,

1. quite frankly. They're certainly not big spenders and they  
2. seem to have a good reputation of doing a good, thorough job.  
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
4. Further discussion? Senator Egan.  
5. SENATOR EGAN:  
6. Yes, Senator...Philip, are there any attorneys at law  
7. that are members of the Judicial Board?  
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
9. Senator Philip.  
10. SENATOR PHILIP:  
11. I...I'm sure there are.  
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
13. Senator Egan.  
14. SENATOR EGAN:  
15. Do you know how many?  
16. SENATOR PHILIP:  
17. I haven't the slightest idea.  
18. SENATOR EGAN:  
19. Well, we'll talk about that later.  
20. SENATOR PHILIP:  
21. ...But I tell you I...I will meet you out in the back  
22. hall and I will bring you up to date, though.  
23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
24. Further discussion? Senator Knuppel.  
25. SENATOR KNUPPEL:  
26. Well, of course, me thinks there's something rotten in  
27. Denmark and I smell it. This sounds like the Chicago Judiciary  
28. again and some of the judges who think they shouldn't be  
29. investigated, who think they shouldn't report to this Body  
30. concerning how they spend the fees they collect. The people  
31. who have spoken in...in favor of it are Chicago lawyers who  
32. will benefit from having it cut. I say that in this instance  
33. that the...that the vote should be no.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

2. Further discussion? Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

3. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

4. Mr. President and members of the Senate. I, too, arise  
5. in opposition to the offered amendment. In effect, that's  
6. why I stayed here, to speak against this amendment. If you  
7. want to send them a message, this is not the right way to  
8. do it. Not all the Chicago lawyers are opposed to this and  
9. not all the Chicago judges are opposed to the Illinois  
10. Judicial Inquiry Board. I would urge you resist this amend-  
11. ment.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. Further discussion? Senator Carroll may close.

14. SENATOR CARROLL:

15. I don't know what all the discussion is about, quite  
16. frankly, on the Floor. This is not a fees agency, Senator  
17. Knuppel. They did admit, in fact, that they overbudgeted for  
18. operations of auto equipment, they've expended extra monies  
19. for contractual, all of which are in our amendment. There  
20. is nothing highly unusual. They did indicate there was  
21. a problem in the salaries line and we said we'd be more  
22. than willing to address that, which is why I opened my  
23. statement with, you know, we would put on this amendment as  
24. we've done with all others, move it to 3rd and make the  
25. corrections tomorrow. We've even gotten back to them  
26. and asked them what the real problems were in some of those  
27. salary levels, like we did elsewhere and said we would make  
28. that correction. But they admitted they overbudgeted in  
29. the other areas and I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. All in favor  
32. say Aye. Opposed Nay. I...Senator Carroll, do you wish a  
33. roll call? All right. There's been a request for a roll call.

1. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay.  
2. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted  
3. who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 21,  
4. the Nays are 31, Amendment No. 1 is lost. Further amendments?  
5. SECRETARY:  
6. No further amendments.  
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
8. Are there amendments from the Floor?  
9. SECRETARY:  
10. No Floor amendments.  
11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
12. 3rd reading. House Bill 3143, Senator Nedza. Read the  
13. bill, Mr. Secretary, please.  
14. SECRETARY:  
15. House Bill 3143.  
16. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
17. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I  
18. offers one amendment.  
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
20. Senator Carroll.  
21. SENATOR CARROLL:  
22. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
23. Senate. This is a pay plan phasing-out some of the new employees  
24. and some operational cuts for the Auditor General, a hundred  
25. and eighty-two thousand, six hundred, out of the eight million,  
26. four hundred thousand dollar budget. I would move adoption  
27. of Amendment No. 1.  
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
29. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say  
30. Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, Amendment No. 1 is  
31. adopted. Further amendments?  
32. SECRETARY:  
33. No further committee amendments.



1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
2. Are there amendments from the Floor?  
3. SECRETARY:  
4. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.  
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
6. Senator Carroll.  
7. SECRETARY:  
8. Senator Carroll, it's on page 1, line 15, by deleting a  
9. hundred and eighty thousand, six hundred and seventy-four  
10. dollars, inserting in lieu thereof a hundred and eighty-four  
11. thousand, three seventy-four.  
12. SENATOR CARROLL:  
13. Hold on one sec. This is the study...this is still in at  
14. this figure, right? All right. Excuse me. This is for the  
15. study we had talked about dealing with the Department of  
16. Veteran's Affairs, to do the study that they had requested  
17. that the Bureau of the Budget wanted to do and they finally  
18. agreed on a neutral ground. I would move adoption of Amend-  
19. ment No. 2.  
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
21. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Is there discussion?  
22. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, Amendment  
23. No. 2 is adopted. Further...Floor amendments?  
24. SECRETARY:  
25. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Carroll.  
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
27. Senator Carroll.  
28. SENATOR CARROLL:  
29. Would you identify it again, Mr. Secretary, please.  
30. SECRETARY:  
31. ...It's by deleting one million, three hundred and ninety-  
32. eight thousand, seven hundred, inserting in lieu thereof, one  
33. million, four hundred and forty-four thousand, one hundred.

1. SENATOR CARROLL:  
2.       Okay. Thank you, Mr. Secretary, Mr. President and Ladies  
3. and Gentlemen of the Senate. This adds back some nineteen  
4. thousand in Personal Services in concomitant accounts to  
5. allow a full eight percent raise for all the employees. Our  
6. original cut took them below the eight percent level. And  
7. adds back a audit...an Auditor II and Administrative Assistant  
8. that the Auditor General had requested. I would move adoption  
9. of Amendment No. 3.  
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
11.       The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 3. Is there discussion?  
12. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, Amend-  
13. ment No.3 is adopted. Further Floor amendments?  
14. SECRETARY:  
15.       No further amendments.  
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
17.       3rd reading. House Bill 3320, Senator Carroll. Read  
18. the...bill, Mr...Secretary, please.  
19. SECRETARY:  
20.       House Bill 3320.  
21.       (Secretary reads title of bill)  
22. 2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers  
23. four amendments.  
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
25.       Senator Carroll.  
26. SENATOR CARROLL:  
27.       Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
28. Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is to take all of the  
29. commissions and fund them, add what had been the Senate Sub-  
30. committee, the Chop Committee's recommended level. I would  
31. move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 and advise the  
32. membership that this deals with some fifty standing Legisla-  
33. tive commissions at the levels recommended...consistent with the

1. eight percent solution, in no new or expanded programs. Be  
2. willing to answer questions and move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

4. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Discussion? All  
5. in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment  
6. No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

7. SECRETARY:

8. Committee Amendment No. 2.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Senator Carroll.

11. SENATOR CARROLL:

12. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
13. Senate. To answer some questions that have been floating  
14. around. Having discussed this with the House, we have agreed  
15. with them to keep this as a commissions only piece of legislation.  
16. The Senate Bill, which I am the sponsor of, which is over in  
17. the House, has become the catchall over in that Body and will  
18. be back here presumtively for nonconcurrence and for Conference  
19. Committee and we have agreed to deal with only commissions  
20. on this particular bill. The Committee Amendment No. 2 would  
21. reduce the County Problems Commission to take out a new program  
22. that was not necessary to start up at this time and I would  
23. move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Discussion?  
26. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, Amendment  
27. No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

28. SECRETARY:

29. Committee Amendment No. 3.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. Senator Carroll.

32. SENATOR CARROLL:

33. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of

1. the Senate. Committee Amendment No.3 is an additional funding  
2. for LIS to do a specific study...groups of studies, excuse  
3. me, that have been recommended to be done by LIS as opposed  
4. to specifically various departments that would cost more  
5. money than doing it through LIS. So to do some computer  
6. work, we have added two hundred and fifteen thousand LIS  
7. with the net savings to government. And I would move  
8. adoption of Amendment No. 3.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 3. Discussion?  
11. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, Amend-  
12. ment No. 3 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

13. SECRETARY:

14. Committee Amendment No. 4.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

16. Senator Carroll.

17. SENATOR CARROLL:

18. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
19. Senate. With leave of the Senate, I would move to Table Committee  
20. Amendment No. 4.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

22. The motion is to Table. Discussion? All in favor say  
23. Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, Committee Amendment No. 4  
24. is Tabled. Further committee amendments?

25. SECRETARY:

26. No further committee amendments.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Are there amendments from the Floor?

29. SECRETARY:

30. Amendment No. 5 offered by Senator DeAngelis.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. Senator DeAngelis is recognized on Amendment No. 5.

33. SENATOR DeANGELIS:

1. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 5 appropriates  
2. two hundred thousand dollars for the Local Government Finance  
3. Study Commission. I, myself, basically, am not fond of  
4. proposing legislation to finance any commission. However,  
5. during the course of trying to determine which tax relief  
6. we can initiate or how we can initiate it, we found that  
7. our greatest obstacle always was units of local government.  
8. House Bill 3474 created this particular commission. This  
9. appropriation is necessary to fund this commission. In my  
10. work on the Chicago Board of Education Investigative Commission,  
11. I had an opportunity to talk to a lot of the rating councils,  
12. well, not a lot of them, there's two of them, really, Standard  
13. and Poor and Moody's and both of them admitted that they downgrade  
14. Illinois issues because of some of the crazy methods that we  
15. have of taxation in Illinois. Illinois has some two thousand  
16. more taxing units than any state in the United States. I  
17. think that this study is imperative. I think if we're going  
18. to do our job properly, in terms of tax relief and tax reform,  
19. we need this kind of study and I urge the approval of this  
20. appropriation of two hundred thousand dollars for this commission.  
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

22. The motion is to adopt. Is there discussion? Senator  
23. Carroll.

24. SENATOR CARROLL:

25. Yes, I rise to oppose this amendment. I oppose it because  
26. of the dollar amount. He's seeking to spend two hundred thousand  
27. for something that's been studied and studied and restudied  
28. and studied again. When we asked them to provide a breakdown  
29. for how they arrived at the figure, it was very welcomingly  
30. admitted to us that they had no idea what it was about. Now,  
31. they've identified a hundred and seventy thousand for contractual  
32. and they just said they plugged the figures out of the air.  
33. For a study that's supposed to last about six or seven months,

1. this seems like an awful waste of money, at these figures,  
2. for this type of a program. If they'd come back with  
3. realistic figures which they indicated they could not and  
4. would not do, we would not oppose it. After all if the bill  
5. passes, there has to be some money. But this is obviously  
6. a boondoggle at two hundred thousand for something that  
7. has been overly studied and can be done for a lot less  
8. money because all they're really going to do is pull together  
9. a lot of other already existing reports and consolidate  
10. them into one report and I would urge resistance to Amend-  
11. ment No. 5.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Further discussion? Senator Regner.

14. SENATOR REGNER:

15. Yes, Mr. President and members. I rise in support of  
16. Senator Carroll's opposition, 'cause he's absolutely correct.  
17. And Senator DeAngelis, this...this commission has not yet been  
18. created. The bill still resides on 3rd reading and there is  
19. a motion filed that I think will slow it down a little from  
20. passing. It's a sequel to the old Thompson Proposition from  
21. last November, nothing's been done on tax relief, so...so  
22. now we're going to have a commission to study it some more.  
23. And I think it's terrible and the amendment certainly should  
24. be defeated.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Further discussion? Senator Keats.

27. SENATOR KEATS:

28. I wanted to speak in support of this. As you're well  
29. aware, I don't think I've voted for two commission bills in the  
30. four years I've been in the Legislature. But in 1980, I  
31. introduced a bill that the President of the Senate may remember,  
32. because he killed the bill for me in committee. It would have  
33. done something quite similar to this. It was a little before

1. the Chicago school crisis, and a little before the CTA crisis and  
2. a little before the library crisis and a little before whatever  
3. those other crises. And I...I say with some humor to the  
4. President of the Senate, Phil said don't worry Rog., we don't  
5. have any problems, we don't need this investigated. At which  
6. point he killed my miserable little appropriation bill and  
7. my miserable little bill for a commission to study the problem.  
8. I would say that perhaps...perhaps it is worth us looking into  
9. it. The longer we keep this issue alive, the better facts we  
10. will get and the easier it will be for us to make an intelligent  
11. decision. So, I'd solicit an Aye vote. Thank you.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Any further discussion? Senator DeAngelis, do you wish to  
14. close?

15. SENATOR DeANGELIS:

16. Thank you, Mr. President. I would agree with Senator  
17. Carroll, that the figure was...pulled out of the air, however, I...  
18. I will tell you that the figure that was first pulled out of  
19. the air is not this figure. One of the reasons it's very  
20. difficult to determine what the cost is, is that it involves  
21. a lot...of outside expert opinions to fund this type of study.  
22. And, as you notice, a hundred and seventy thousand dollars of  
23. this is for outside contractual services. I think this is  
24. vitally needed if we are going to perform tax relief and  
25. tax reform. So I urge its favorable passage.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Senator Wooten...closed.

28. SENATOR WOOTEN:

29. ...Oh, I'm sorry. I just briefly wanted to join in  
30. opposition with Senator Regner.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Senator DeAngelis has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 5  
33. to House Bill 3320. Those in favor of the amendment will vote

1. Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open.  
2. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have  
3. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the  
4. Ayes are 29, the Nays are 28. Amendment No. 5 is adopted.  
5. Further amendments?

6. SECRETARY:

7. Amendment No. 6 offered by Senator Philip.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Philip.

10. SENATOR PHILIP:

11. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
12. Senate. Now this...I think, there, I'm back on again. Thank  
13. you. This is the grand slam total of eleven thousand, five hundred  
14. and ninety-eight dollars and forty-three cents. It seems in  
15. December of 1977, the State Police called the Elmhurst Fire  
16. Department to go out to an emergency. The Elmhurst Fire  
17. Department went out to that emergency, parked their truck,  
18. with all the lights blazing and a motorist came in and rammed  
19. the back of the fire engine. It...it wounded a fireman, had  
20. some twenty thousand dollars worth of damage. The City of  
21. Elmhurst is uninsured, they sued the motorist, the motorist  
22. is uninsured and the court gave them a summary judgment of  
23. eleven thousand, five hundred and ninety-eight dollars. So,  
24. of course, the guy says, if...if the court goes after him  
25. that he'll file bankruptcy. So we kind of think that the  
26. State of Illinois has some obligation...obligation, asking  
27. the City of Elmhurst to go out of the City bounds, in which  
28. they did, in Good Samaritan views, and quite frankly, the  
29. motorist is uninsured, what we're coming here to the General  
30. Assembly to say, hey, the State ought to pay the eleven  
31. thousand dollars and that's what I'm asking you.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. The question before the Body is Amendment No. 6. Any



1. discussion? Senator Weaver.

2. SENATOR WEAVER:

3. Senator Philip, why didn't you amend this on Senator

4. Hall's Firetruck Bill?

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Further...the question, I'm sure is rhetorical. Further

7. discussion, Senator Knuppel.

8. SENATOR KNUPPLEL:

9. This is one hell of an argument for mandatory insurance

10. on both sides.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Further discussion? Senator Carroll.

13. SENATOR CARROLL:

14. Well, obviously, this had been in our committee as a

15. separate bill and was not successful in coming out of the

16. committee...as not an appropriate State expenditure of funds.

17. There was never an agreement by the State to pay, but secondly,

18. as I announced at the beginning, it was the intent of the

19. sponsor of the bill that this bill be only for commissions

20. and not be loaded up with the normal stray cats and dogs

21. that came along. There is a bill that I am sponsoring that is

22. over in the House that is being utilized for that purpose.

23. I know Senator Philip is against most of the commissions and, of course,

24. anything...we have agreed with the House that we will not add

25. anything other than commission items to this particular bill.

26. Any amendment that goes beyond that, jeopardizes the entire bill

27. and I would urge defeat of this amendment, both on the grounds

28. that it is not an appropriate expenditure of State funds as

29. Senator Netsch so well analyzed as Chairman of that subcommittee.

30. And secondly, that it truly jeopardizes all of the commissions

31. of government which may not itself be bad, but I have made that

32. commitment to the House sponsors, that I will not allow anything

33. other than commissions on here and can't move the bill if anything

34. else is on it.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Further discussion? Senator Netsch.

3. SENATOR NETSCH:

4. Thank you, Mr. President. As Chairman and sole surviving  
5. member of the sub-committee which considered this bill when it  
6. was before the Appropriations Committee, I would rise in opposition  
7. to it. I did discuss it with the City Attorney of Elmhurst,  
8. because I wanted to be sure that we understood their reasoning  
9. and were fair in our evaluation of it. It is clearly a claim  
10. which should have gone to the Court of Claims, and the only  
11. indication that I could get from them as to why they did not  
12. go to the Court of Claims, was that that took a lot of time,  
13. and would cost more money, and they just didn't feel like doing  
14. it; but it seems to me, that the whole point of the Court of  
15. Claims is to consider and evaluate exactly this kind of claim,  
16. and that it is not appropriate where that process has been  
17. arbitrarily passed by for the Legislature to be asked to appro-  
18. priate the money. That was the reason for the sub-committee  
19. report and the reason why the Appropriations Committee unanimously  
20. rejected the bill.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Further discussion? Senator Keats.

23. SENATOR KEATS:

24. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
25. Senate. I wanted to support my embattled colleague from that  
26. slum city a little to the south of me. What I did want to say,  
27. though, is that the reason they don't want to go to the Court  
28. of Claims, and I've just had a couple of cases go through the  
29. Court of Claims, is by the time they finally got their fire  
30. engine, none of us would still be around to worry about it;  
31. and number two, for the miserable eleven thousand dollars,  
32. their legal fees would be twenty-five thousand dollars. By  
33. the time they finish, there would be a net loss; they're better

1. off not doing it. This is the only reasonable way, because  
2. of the heavy legal expenses involved; and they're simply trying  
3. to save the taxpayers of America in Elmhurst, that quiet little  
4. town, from these tremendous expenditures. If it weren't for  
5. that nasty Bar Association and lawyers like my good friend  
6. from the near north, they wouldn't have all this trouble.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Further discussion? Senator Grotberg.

9. SENATOR GROTBORG:

10. It's also cheaper, Mr. President, than a bus stop in Oak  
11. Park and a stone quarry in downtown Elmhurst.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. The Chair takes umbrage at a bus stop. Senator Philip.

14. SENATOR PHILIP:

15. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
16. Senate. And I talked to our village attorney about this, also;  
17. and he says, number one, it's only a little over eleven thousand  
18. dollars; number two, the Court of Claims has got a backlog as  
19. it is; and number three, we don't need any more legal fees for  
20. the City of Elmhurst, and I couldn't agree with him more.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. The question is the adoption of Amendment No. 6 to House  
23. Bill 3320. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will  
24. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have  
25. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.  
26. On that question, the Ayes are 25, the Nays are 30, none  
27. Voting Present. Amendment No. 6 fails. Further amendments?

28. SECRETARY:

29. Amendment No. 7, offered by Senator Carroll.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Senator Carroll.

32. SECRETARY:

33. Senator Carroll, it's the one by deleting thirty-five

1. thousand; inserting in lieu thereof, forty-two thousand.

2. SENATOR CARROLL:

3. This is for, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of  
4. the Senate, seven thousand to the Group Insurance Advisory  
5. Commission to cover the actuarial costs for review of the  
6. group insurance contracts. I would move adoption of Amend-  
7. ment No. 7.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 7  
10. to House Bill 3320. Any discussion? If not, all in favor  
11. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The  
12. amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

13. SECRETARY:

14. Amendment No. 8, offered by Senator Regner.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Senator Regner.

17. SENATOR REGNER:

18. Yes, Mr. President and members, what this amendment does;  
19. it applies the eight percent solution to the County Problems  
20. Commission. It's a total reduction of fifty-two hundred dollars  
21. in Personal Services, three hundred and ninety in Retirement,  
22. and three hundred and thirty-three in Social Security. I  
23. move its adoption.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Senator Regner has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 8  
26. to House Bill 3320. Any discussion? If not, all in favor  
27. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The  
28. amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

29. SECRETARY:

30. Amendment No. 9, offered by Senator Carroll.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Senator Carroll.

33. SECRETARY:

1. Senator Carroll, this is on page three, line two, by  
2. deleting thirty thousand, inserting in lieu thereof, thirty-  
3. six; and on page three, line four, by deleting twenty,  
4. inserting in lieu thereof, twenty-four.

5. SENATOR CARROLL:

6. Oh, Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of  
7. the Senate. Because of what we have heard earlier about the  
8. Asian problem, this is an increase to the Spanish Speaking  
9. Commission to deal with that aspect of the problem, and it  
10. provides an eight percent solution to them, plus the money  
11. for Asian activities. I would move adoption of Amendment No.  
12. 9.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No.  
15. 9 to House Bill 3320. Any discussion? If not, all in favor  
16. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The  
17. amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

18. SECRETARY:

19. Amendment No. 10, offered by Senator Carroll.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Senator Carroll.

22. SENATOR CARROLL:

23. Would you, again, identify it, please.

24. SECRETARY:

25. On page one, line fourteen, by deleting seventy-five  
26. thousand six hundred, inserting in lieu thereof seventy-seven  
27. five hundred.

28. SENATOR CARROLL:

29. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
30. Senate. This is a increase for the Legislative Investigating  
31. Commission restoring some thirteen thousand nine hundred of the  
32. cuts we made in Amendment No. 1, to bring them up to a realistic  
33. level compared to the FY-'80 spending. I would move adoption  
34. of Amendment No. 10.

1. PRESIDENT:  
2. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No.  
3. 10 to House Bill 3320. Any discussion? If not, all in favor  
4. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The  
5. amendment is adopted. Further amendments?  
6. SECRETARY:  
7. Amendment No. 11, offered by Senator Carroll.  
8. PRESIDENT:  
9. Identify it, please.  
10. SECRETARY:  
11. This is the last amendment that you have. It's on page  
12. two.  
13. SENATOR CARROLL:  
14. Board of Trustees?  
15. SECRETARY:  
16. Yes.  
17. SENATOR CARROLL:  
18. All right. Would you...with leave of the Body, I'd like to  
19. take that from the record; that's a non-commission amendment.  
20. PRESIDENT:  
21. All right. Amendment has been withdrawn. Are there further  
22. amendments?  
23. SECRETARY:  
24. Amendment NO. 11, offered by Senator Netsch.  
25. PRESIDENT:  
26. Senator Netsch.  
27. SENATOR NETSCH:  
28. Thank you, Mr. President. This Amendment No. 11, deals  
29. with the Sunset Commission. As the commission passed the House,  
30. it was at the figure of three hundred and sixty-eight thousand  
31. dollars. It was butchered in the Senate down to a hundred and  
32. eighteen thousand dollars. I have...the amendment would restore  
33. some of the money, but not quite all of it. It is at...still  
at fifty-four thousand five hundred dollars less than it left

1. the House. The reason is this, I am not a member of the Sunset  
2. Commission, and I am aware of the fact that two of the Senate  
3. members are going to speak against the amendment; but it seems  
4. to me that we did make a major commitment when we passed that  
5. piece of legislation last year, and if we are to give the  
6. commission a chance to do the job; and we really have to give  
7. it a chance to do the job, because otherwise, the several  
8. commissions, or occupational licensing groups will be out of  
9. existence. It is up to us, affirmatively, to re-enact them,  
10. if we choose to do so; and it makes a great deal of sense  
11. for us to have a reasoned and reasonable presentation of  
12. whether we should or should not re-enact each of those that  
13. otherwise will be abrogated by the bill. So, that...my feeling  
14. is that if we're serious about this; if we really want to give  
15. it a chance to do the job, then there is no reason to cut the  
16. commission back to the point where it simply cannot function  
17. and perform the extremely important responsibility that we have  
18. given to it. That is the reason for the amendment.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Any discussion? Senator Sommer.

21. SENATOR SOMMER:

22. Senator Netsch, would you care to indicate to the members  
23. what the salaries are of these folks that they picked up from  
24. the staffs of the Legislature that staff this commission to  
25. study tree surgeons?

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Senator Netsch.

28. SENATOR NETSCH:

29. I do not know. I don't have the salary figures in front  
30. of me.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Further discussion? Senator Carroll.

33. SENATOR CARROLL:

1. To advise the sponsor of what her amendment does; thirty-  
2. five thousand to the executive director, thirty-three thousand  
3. to the analyst, another analyst for...twenty-eight thousand,  
4. another one for twenty-eight thousand, a private secretary for  
5. fourteen thousand two hundred forty-four dollars, and on, and  
6. on, and on. I rise in opposition to this amendment, partially,  
7. for those reasons. She's adding two hundred and sixty some odd  
8. thousand dollars to the hundred and some odd thousand that have  
9. been approved last year and approved this year by the committee.  
10. We added to last year's budget, for a modest, slightly less than  
11. ten percent increase. They are seeking to go almost four times  
12. what they had last year. The idea of these things is to eliminate  
13. waste in government; not to create more waste. What, in fact,  
14. we are doing is paying these people substantially more than we  
15. pay our own staffs for doing much less analysis than our own  
16. staffs have been doing for several years here. What we are  
17. doing is creating a new fiefdom that is supposed to look out  
18. and make sure that we get rid of a bunch of fiefdoms. I  
19. cannot see the logic of letting an agency that is supposed to  
20. create the end of other agencies grow four times in one fiscal  
21. year, and pay people thirty-three, thirty-five, twenty-eight  
22. thousand dollars to do it. I think it's absolutely ridiculous  
23. for us to move in that direction. I would urge the defeat of  
24. Amendment 11.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Further discussion? Senator Gitz.

27. SENATOR GITZ:

28. Very quickly and briefly, Mr. President, Senator Sommer  
29. didn't hit the half of it. They wanted to pay the original  
30. executive director more than that, and it has been the  
31. Legislative members every time that have been trying to have  
32. some comparability...some parity with other staffs. This  
33. budget was basically pulled out of the air, and I find it kind



1. of interesting when I conferred with my colleague, Senator  
2. Bloom. They didn't talk to the members...the Legislative  
3. members of the Sunset Commission; no, that goes against their  
4. tradition. They like to make all their decisions with the  
5. public members; they like to spend all of the money, without  
6. justifying a program. Now, if you think that you need to  
7. increase this budget, significantly, over the objections,  
8. for them to go to tree experts next year; then, go ahead and  
9. appropriate it, but I submit that Senator Carroll and Senator  
10. Sommer were dead right in the way they adjusted this budget,  
11. and I would hope that this Body would take cognizance of it.  
12. I am somewhat apologetic to my colleagues that I was one of  
13. the original sponsors of Sunset. The very person, the director,  
14. who presumably is supposed to help us get this some...  
15. of control, now seems to be telling the press, I am told, that  
16. well, it's kind of hopeless, we won't be able to eliminate  
17. some of the present licensing. This is the kind of situation  
18. we ought to kill before it spreads.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Further discussion? Senator Netsch may close.

21. SENATOR NETSCH:

22. Some of the figures that have been suggested, I think,  
23. are inaccurate. The hundred thousand dollars that they were  
24. given last year, my understanding was for just a six-month's  
25. budget, so that the hundred and eighteen thousand that they  
26. have at the present time is intended to last a full year. I  
27. would not consider that a quadrupling of the budget, and  
28. neither would the figure that I have in here. The personnel  
29. plan was prepared for them by the Personnel Department to  
30. give them a fairly small, and it is a staff of three, four,  
31. five, six, seven people all together, as I understand it.  
32. The salaries are at a good level, and perhaps that's exactly  
33. where they ought to be; because they're going to be making

1. some very important decisions for us. I do think they require  
2. more than the sum that the commission...that the committee has  
3. given them, and I would ask for support, and I would like a  
4. roll call.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Senator Netsch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 11  
7. to House Bill 3320...pardon me. Those in favor will vote Aye.  
8. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all  
9. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who  
10. wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 11,  
11. the Nays are 45, none Voting Present. The amendment fails.  
12. Further amendments?

13. SECRETARY:

14. No further amendments.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. 3rd reading. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading is  
17. House Bill 3333. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

18. SECRETARY:

19. House Bill 3333.

20. (Secretary reads title of bill)

21. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Are there amendments from the Floor?

24. SECRETARY:

25. Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Nega.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Senator Nega.

28. SENATOR NEGA:

29. This amendment deletes everything after the enacting clause  
30. and provides that the Insurance Director form a task force,  
31. including two members of the House, two members of the Senate,  
32. the general public is to be represented, the insurance industries  
33. will also be represented to study; number one, the equitable

1. settlement of losses; number two, to discourage arson-for-profit;  
2. number three, to encourage neighborhood revitalization. This  
3. task force must report back by January, 1981 with recommendations,  
4. and then the public hearings will be held on these task force  
5. recommendations by the Insurance Director. I move for adoption.  
6. PRESIDENT:  
7. Senator Nega has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1  
8. to House Bill 3333. Is there any discussion? Senator Rhoads.  
9. SENATOR RHOADS:  
10. A question of Senator Nega.  
11. PRESIDENT:  
12. Indicates he will yield. Senator Rhoads.  
13. SENATOR RHOADS:  
14. Senator, what would be the fiscal impact of this, are  
15. we going to appropriate some money to this task force; or  
16. what legal standing would they have?  
17. PRESIDENT:  
18. Senator Nega.  
19. SENATOR NEGA:  
20. No money will be appropriated for this.  
21. PRESIDENT:  
22. Senator Rhoads. Further discussion? If not, Senator Nega  
23. has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3333.  
24. All in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes  
25. have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?  
26. SECRETARY:  
27. Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Knuppel.  
28. PRESIDENT:  
29. Senator Knuppel.  
30. SENATOR KNUPPEL:  
31. Mr. Chairman, I don't think you saw my flashing light a  
32. moment ago. I have an amendment here which would take out  
33. everything from the enacting clause, which is a phony study

1. commission and no matter what you say, it starts another  
2. commission; there will be an appropriation for it to study  
3. things that don't need to be studied. I've got some substantive  
4. laws to put in...inthe amendment which I have. It would provide  
5. that insurance companies could not raise your premium merely  
6. before...because you made a claim on your collision or health  
7. insurance if you, in fact, were later found to be not at  
8. fault, and two...or two, that you were found, in a settlement  
9. contract, not to be at fault. The way it is at the present  
10. time, you make a claim, the insurance company raises your  
11. premium by five percent; if they do that and you're later  
12. found to be not..not responsible for what happened, then...  
13. they...they would have to refund your premium. The other  
14. one...the other provision in this amendment would provide that  
15. no company, selling health or accident insurance would discriminate  
16. against the handicapped or disabled. This is some substantive  
17. change in the insurance law; it's something that ought to be  
18. done, it's not a phony situation of a so-called study where  
19. there's no money to make the study, where the insurance companies  
20. will pay for thisstudy and come in here for something that is  
21. favorable to them. I would appreciate a favorable roll call,  
22. because it does something.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Is there any discussion? Senator Rupp.

25. SENATOR RUPP:

26. Will the sponsor respond to a question?

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Indicates he will yield, Senator Rupp.

29. SENATOR RUPP:

30. In listening to what you propose, and having seen the  
31. amendment, are you saying that if, by chance, I ever got over-  
32. weight or if I had...if I had a...or if I had a heart attack,  
33. that my premium would be the same as those who were healthy

1. people?

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Senator Knuppel.

4. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

5. No, Sir. That's not what it's saying. It says there will

6. be no discrimination. Now...

7. SENATOR RUPP:

8. Because...because of a handicap or disability.

9. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

10. Well, wait...all right. But the point is, is if you can

11. establish...that's not discrimination...if you can establish

12. that a certain class of people are a greater risk, then you

13. would be entitled to charge an additional premium; but if

14. you do it, merely, because they are disabled or because they

15. have disabilities...handicaps or disabilities, then that's

16. unfair. This has...this has been put in for virtually every-

17. body else; and if you can prove that people who are overweight

18. do tend to have greater losses, then that's not discrimination.

19. That's something that's backed up by fact.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Further discussion? Senator D'Arco.

22. SENATOR D'ARCO:

23. Mr. President, there are two problems I...as I see it.

24. The first is that this amendment deletes everything after the

25. enacting clause. Now, if we adopt this amendment, obviously,

26. Senator Nega's amendment would be off the bill, and this

27. amendment would be, in fact, the new bill. But, in fact,

28. Senator Nega's amendment is a very important amendment, because

29. we've wrestled with the problem of arson-for-profit in the

30. urban areas of Chicago very long and deliberate in this Body

31. for this...in this Session, and we have not been able to come

32. up with a formula that would satisfy everyone, including the

33. Director of Insurance. And that is the reason we wanted to

1. create a task force to look into the problem, and see if we  
2. can come up with some formula to dissuade people from over-  
3. insuring a piece of property, and then subsequently, setting  
4. it afire and collecting the insurance on the property. So,  
5. Senator Nega's amendment is very important. This amendment  
6. would negate that. Plus the fact that insurance companies  
7. do not raise your premiums on the basis of an accident where  
8. the insured is not found at fault. And Senator Knuppel is  
9. incorrect, and you can see Senator Rupp shaking his head no,  
10. no, no. So, we got to defeat this.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Is there further discussion? Senator Knuppel may close.

13. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

14. Well, I just want to say two things. Johnny D'Arco,  
15. you're a liar, one; and two, your word is no good. Now, I'm  
16. telling you that here on the Floor of the Senate. First of  
17. all, they do raise your premium five percent; and to tell  
18. these people that isn't true is a lie. Secondly, you said  
19. you would support this this morning, and you've never changed  
20. your word. So, you're not only a liar, you're a welsher.  
21. I'd say that based on that, this should be adopted.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. ...Senator Knuppel has moved the adoption of Amendment  
24. No. 2 to House Bill 3333. Those in favor of the amendment  
25. will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is  
26. open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?  
27. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 11, the  
28. Nays are 29, none Voting Present. The amendment fails.  
29. Are there further amendments? Are there further amendments?  
30. Are there further amendments?

31. SECRETARY:

32. No further amendments.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. 3rd reading. If you will turn to page twelve on the  
2. Calendar, the hour is getting late, apparently. On the Order  
3. of House Bills 2nd reading is House Bill 3044. Read the  
4. bill, Mr. Secretary.  
5. SECRETARY:  
6. House Bill 3044.  
7. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
8. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II  
9. offers six amendments.  
10. PRESIDENT:  
11. Senator Buzbee.  
12. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
13. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 adds a hundred  
14. and forty-three thousand dollars, all GRF. The purpose of this  
15. amendment is to restore funding for thirty new positions;  
16. twenty-five supervisory positions and five clerical. The House  
17. had reduced the DCFS FY-'81 request by two hundred three thousand  
18. dollars, eliminated funding for thirty...four new positions,  
19. and I would move its adoption.  
20. PRESIDENT:  
21. Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Committee Amendment  
22. No. 1 to House Bill 3044. Any discussion? If not, all in  
23. favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.  
24. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?  
25. SECRETARY:  
26. Committee Amendment No. 2.  
27. PRESIDENT:  
28. Senator Buzbee.  
29. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
30. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment decreases the  
31. DCFS FY-'81 request by seventy-five thousand six hundred dollars,  
32. all GRF. This reduction puts the Department in compliance with  
33. the Senate's eight percent solution. I would move its adoption.

1. PRESIDENT:  
2. Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Committee Amendment  
3. No. 2 to House Bill 3044. Any discussion? If not, all in  
4. favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.  
5. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?  
6. SECRETARY:  
7. Committee Amendment No. 3.  
8. PRESIDENT:  
9. Senator Buzbee.  
10. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
11. Thank you, Mr. President...This amendment has no dollar  
12. change. The amendment breaks-out three lump sum appropriations  
13. in the line item budgets. The Cook County Emergency Shelter,  
14. the Cook County Evaluation Center, and the Governor's Youth  
15. Initiative are the lump sums which are line itemed by this  
16. amendment, and I move its adoption.  
17. PRESIDENT:  
18. Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Committee Amendment  
19. No. 3 to House Bill 3044. Any discussion? If not, all in  
20. favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.  
21. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?  
22. SECRETARY:  
23. Committee Amendment No. 4.  
24. PRESIDENT:  
25. Senator Buzbee.  
26. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
27. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment eliminates all  
28. but four new EDP staff, and three hundred and forty thousand dollars  
29. in Contractual Services is duplicative. These funds, plus the  
30. seventy-five thousand and eight percent cuts are placed in the  
31. grant lines, and Senator Regner has a...a correction to this  
32. amendment in a few minutes, and I would move its adoption.  
33. PRESIDENT:



1. All right. Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Committee  
2. Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 3044. Any discussion? If not,  
3. all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes  
4. have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?  
5. SECRETARY:  
6. Committee Amendment No. 5.  
7. PRESIDENT:  
8. Senator Buzbee.  
9. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
10. Thank you, Mr. President. This is a four hundred and sixty-  
11. three thousand two hundred dollar reduction in various line  
12. items. This reduction will be placed in homemakers and counseling  
13. lines. There is an add-back which will come on in a few minutes,  
14. and I would move its adoption.  
15. PRESIDENT:  
16. Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Amendment No. 5 to  
17. House Bill 3044. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify  
18. by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment  
19. is adopted. Further amendments?  
20. SECRETARY:  
21. Committee Amendment No. 6.  
22. PRESIDENT:  
23. Senator Buzbee.  
24. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
25. Thank you, Mr. President. This takes off the House add-on  
26. for a day care reduction of nine hundred ninety-one thousand  
27. dollars and I would move its adoption.  
28. PRESIDENT:  
29. Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Committee Amendment  
30. No. 6 to House Bill 3044. Any discussion? If not, all in  
31. favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.  
32. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?  
33. SECRETARY:

1. No further committee amendments.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Are there amendments from the Floor?

4. SECRETARY:

5. Amendment No. 7, offered by Senator Sommer.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Senator Sommer. Senator Sommer.

8. SENATOR SOMMER:

9. Mr. President and members, I think you should know that

10. since January, 1979...

11. PRESIDENT:

12. I don't want you to do anything. Senator Sommer.

13. SENATOR SOMMER:

14. ...Mr. President, Can I have some order? I would like

15. to make a point.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. I'm trying. Senator Sommer.

18. SENATOR SOMMER:

19. Mr. President and...and members, since January of 1979,

20. this agency, providing that we would provide the number of

21. new jobs, requested in this budget, will have created, as

22. far as we can find out, one thousand and twenty-one new jobs

23. since January of '79. A year and a half; one thousand and

24. twenty-one new jobs, if we approve these. Now, the thrust

25. of the amendments I have, is to attempt to justifiably remove

26. some of these jobs. Amendment No. 1, there was...the

27. amendment that we're talking about, has seventeen new positions

28. that have nothing to do with child abuse at all; they're in

29. the central office; they're all very highly paid, and it doesn't

30. hurt a bit to reduce them. And additionally, there's some

31. other people reduced here, that were identified by the

32. Governor's Cost Control Task Force that...that could not be

33. justified. Additionally, we cut out the Air Force. This...

1. this agency has an airplane...this will also go under this  
2. amendment. This is a beginning to attempt to get a handle  
3. on these jobs in this agency.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Sommer has moved the adoption of Amendment No.  
6. 7 to House Bill 3044. Any discussion? Senator Buzbee.

7. SENATOR BUZBEE:

8. Thank you, Mr. President. I move in opposition...I rise  
9. in opposition to this amendment. We have addressed this  
10. subject. Senator Regner and I had long discussions with the director.  
11. Senator Regner became convinced that the...the leasing of the  
12. airplane was, in fact, cheaper than by flying with...with the  
13. State plane. We have implemented a new program, which we've  
14. required the Department to do, and we've got to give them the  
15. supervisory personnel to be able to take care of that program,  
16. and I would resist this amendment and suggest to you that we  
17. ought to give it a negative...roll call.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Further discussion? Senator Wooten.

20. SENATOR WOOTEN:

21. Just to note; if you let them keep that airplane, you better  
22. get...you better adopt hearing standards. They've got the kind  
23. with the engine in front and back, that you can't hear a word  
24. inside the cabin.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Further discussion? Senator Schaffer.

27. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

28. Mr. President, I happen to agree that this is not an  
29. appropriate place for that airplane. I don't necessarily argue  
30. that the director shouldn't have a plane available to him; I  
31. just think it ought to be in the IDOT budget. This is the  
32. first director, Corrections can justify it just as well; I'm  
33. sure there are two or three other department heads that can.

1. I would respectfully suggest to the Body that we take it out  
2. of this budget; put it in IDOT, and let them run it as part  
3. of the fleet; and if the need is there and can be justified,  
4. fine. I think the need probably is there, but we ought not to  
5. set up individual departments with airplanes, or this is just  
6. the beginning. We're going to have sixteen airplanes all with  
7. director's names on the side doors, and we don't need that.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Further discussion? Senator Sommer may close.

10. SENATOR SOMMER:

11. In previous action today, Mr. President, we removed the  
12. new employees from DOT; quietly, I don't think it was ever  
13. discussed. We removed the new employees from Public Aid.  
14. Now what's so sacrosanct about a thousand and twenty-one new  
15. employees in a year and a half. Why don't you go back and  
16. tell your constituents that you did that. I...I call for a  
17. roll call.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Senator Sommer has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 7  
20. to House Bill 3044. Those in favor of the amendment will vote  
21. Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open.  
22. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all  
23. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes  
24. are 31, the Nays are 19. Amendment No. 7 is adopted.

25. Further amendments?

26. SECRETARY:

27. Amendment No. 8, offered by Senator Sommer.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Senator Sommer.

30. SENATOR SOMMER:

31. This...this is the second in a series of cuts. These...these  
32. were to create new jobs for training in the central office.  
33. Unfortunately, they've always been training before, and didn't

1. need the new jobs. There's simply a great deal of fat in  
2. this ...particular lines that we're attacking here, and it should  
3. be reduced.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Sommer has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 8  
6. to House Bill 3044. Any discussion? Senator Buzbee.

7. SENATOR BUZBEE:

8. Yeah, this amendment reduces a million two hundred eighty  
9. thousand dollars. I would suggest to you that this is not in  
10. keeping with what we're asking this Department to do, and I  
11. think it ought to be rejected out of hand.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Further discussion? Senator Rhoads.

14. SENATOR RHOADS:

15. You know, Senator Buzbee, Senator Sommer, for those of us  
16. who aren't as familiar with some of these amendments as you  
17. are, saying, that you know, it's a good idea to cut or it ought to  
18. be rejected out of hand; now, how about giving us a little more  
19. intelligent explanation of just exactly what the controversy  
20. is about. Senator Sommer, I'd like to know, you know, what it  
21. is you're cutting; I'd like to know from you, Senator Buzbee,  
22. why you're resisting it.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Sommer.

25. SENATOR SOMMER:

26. I recognize that it was a little noisy; I explained that  
27. this...these are new lines for training, in house. Previously,  
28. they didn't do this, Senator Rhoads. And it also reduces some  
29. of the positions, but this is kind of a new initiative that they  
30. have for internal training in the central office.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Senator Sommer.

33. SENATOR SOMMER:

1. One million two hundred and eighty thousand.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Further discussion? Senator Nimrod.

4. SENATOR NIMROD:

5. I'd like to ask a question of the sponsor, Mr. President.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Indicates he'll yield. Senator Nimrod.

8. SENATOR NIMROD:

9. Yeah...In this department, it came to my attention just with-

10. in the last day or two and I haven't had a chance to discuss it with

11. you because...because you know...Children and Family Services...

12. I understand that the director has written letters to some

13. forty employees that have been within this department in middle

14. management to tell them that they're terminated and he wants

15. to hire people that are at the lower level to get out in the

16. field and do a right kind of job. In this Department of

17. Children and Family Services, if this director is truly trying

18. to do the right kind of a job, are we really harassing him and

19. giving him and tying his hands when the man is...really, I read

20. a speech that he made not long ago about his philosophy and what

21. he wanted to do with that department and, frankly, I'm very

22. pleased to see for the first time since I've been down here

23. that a department head that has been appointed is real serious

24. about turning around the department that's been a black mark

25. for a long time and I, for one, want to stand here and say that

26. I'm proud of what Director Coler is doing and I find...really,

27. don't understand at this point what we're trying to do to...to

28. him in an area that might really change the department and cause

29. some real problems in it and...and I'm really not as well...even

30. though I was in the Appropriations there, I'm not sure what you're

31. trying to do with this now.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Further discussion? Senator Collins.

1. SENATOR COLLINS:  
2. Question of the sponsor.  
3. PRESIDENT:  
4. Indicates he'll yield. Senator Collins.  
5. SENATOR COLLINS:  
6. You...you're cutting the funds for training...in-service training?  
7. Is that what you're saying?  
8. PRESIDENT:  
9. Senator Sommer.  
10. SENATOR SOMMER:  
11. No. This is...this is internal training in the office and I...I  
12. did not indicate too, that there's a great deal of bloat. There's  
13. about a five hundred thousand dollar unidentified figure in here  
14. that we think, perhaps, is for...for unauthorized hires and...and  
15. increases.  
16. PRESIDENT:  
17. Senator Collins.  
18. SENATOR COLLINS:  
19. What do you mean by internal training?  
20. PRESIDENT:  
21. Senator Sommer.  
22. SENATOR SOMMER:  
23. Training in...in the office. For example, as you're being  
24. trained as a State Senator.  
25. PRESIDENT:  
26. Senator Collins.  
27. SENATOR COLLINS:  
28. How much...how much?  
29. PRESIDENT:  
30. Senator Sommer.  
31. SENATOR SOMMER:  
32. One million two hundred and eighty thousand dollars.  
33. PRESIDENT:  
34. Senator Collins.

1. SENATOR COLLINS:  
2.       So, basically, that is to train the administrative personnel.  
3. PRESIDENT:  
4.       Senator Sommer.  
5. SENATOR SOMMER:  
6.       It's to train social workers to go out in the field and become  
7. social workers...  
8. PRESIDENT:  
9.       Senator Collins.  
10. SENATOR COLLINS:  
11.       Then that was the response to my original question. I think...  
12. I rise in opposition to that amendment because I think one of the  
13. real deficiencies in that department has been the...the lack of  
14. adequate funds for constructive kind of training programs for  
15. social workers, simply because a social worker has a Master's  
16. degree or a B. A. degree does not necessarily mean that that  
17. person clearly understands in life situations how to deal with  
18. the magnitude of problems and the diversity of problems that exists  
19. among families and children that come under the jurisdiction of  
20. the Department of Children and Family Services. That is one of  
21. the areas that I...I really feel that we most certainly do not  
22. need to cut, if the director is going to do a good job, I think  
23. that is the first step that he needs to take and that is, to set  
24. up an effective training program and to mandate that all of the...  
25. the social workers and administrative personnel successfully  
26. complete those training programs. So, for that reason I rise in  
27. opposition to the amendment.  
28. PRESIDENT:  
29.       Further discussion? Senator Johns.  
30. SENATOR JOHNS:  
31.       Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in support of this amendment.  
32. Senator Nimrod, you mentioned about a speech that he made. Senator  
33. Nimrod, you there...somewhere? Oh well, what the hell, no vote, no  
...anyway, the man...you know, you claimed that he made a great



1. speech, action speaks louder than words...action speaks louder  
2. than words. Let me tell you, he wanted to bring a woman that's  
3. head of the adoption for all of southern Illinois to Springfield  
4. to be the head of the State...the total adoption. She majored in  
5. art. She'd been there because of political muscle for quite a few  
6. years, but never, never have I ever seen such low morale, so much  
7. criticism of a department in my life as exists in that region of  
8. southern Illinois. Just last week, I had a case where a child was  
9. reported by a doctor as abused. You know what the caseworker said,  
10. well, it's not severe enough. You know what the director told me,  
11. the doctor should have kept her. You know, it goes on and on. You  
12. should come down into my region and hear of all the cases and the  
13. criticism against this department. This amendment reduces the in  
14. house training, yes by a million two. The cut eliminates only  
15. eight positions. The training was done by the central office in  
16. previous years, yet no decrease in personnel or funding was requested  
17. in the central office with the creation of this new division. Also,  
18. the division has five hundred and sixty-four thousand dollars for  
19. salaries of new and on board staff. Now think about that. Half the  
20. million dollars that they have already. This is a good way to hide  
21. salary increases. If the department could operate on this program  
22. and with this program for a new division in Fiscal Year '80, they  
23. don't need these funds in '81. I could tell you a lot about this  
24. department and I will as time progresses here today. I...I urge  
25. a No vote. I mean a Yes vote on this amendment.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Any further discussion? Senator Sommer, you wish to close?

28. SENATOR SOMMER:

29. Just...just to say that traditionally, they've done their  
30. training as most places do, they hire somebody. Their supervisor,  
31. then trains them in the job. Senator Collins, I didn't...didn't  
32. mean to be smart with you. I'm sorry. They...they do that  
33. traditionally. Now, they believe they need over a million dollars...

1. PRESIDENT:  
2. Senator Sommer.  
3. SENATOR SOMMER:  
4. ...when their supervisory people...they have a five to one  
5. supervisory ratio. They should be able to do it through their  
6. supervisors and Senator Johns correctly pointed out, there's  
7. about five hundred and sixty some thousand dollars worth of float  
8. in here that is probably going to be used for internal pay raises  
9. that we don't know about.  
10. PRESIDENT:  
11. Senator Sommer has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 8 to  
12. House Bill 3044. Those in favor of the amendment will vote Aye,  
13. those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted  
14. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take  
15. the record. On that question, the Ayes are 27, the Nays are 26,  
16. none Voting Present. Amendment No. 8 is adopted. Senator Buzbee,  
17. for what purpose do you arise?  
18. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
19. Request a verification of the affirmative votes.  
20. PRESIDENT:  
21. All right. Senator Buzbee has requested a verification. Will  
22. the members please be in their seats. The Secretary will read the  
23. affirmative votes.  
24. SECRETARY:  
25. The following voted in the affirmative: Becker, Berning, Bloom,  
26. Bowers, Coffey, Davidson, DeAngelis, Demuzio, Friedland, Geo-Karis,  
27. Gitz, Hall, Johns, Keats, Knuppel, Maitland, Martin, McMillan,  
28. Mitchler, Moore, Newhouse, Rhoads, Rupp, Shapiro, Sommer, Walsh,  
29. Weaver.  
30. PRESIDENT:  
31. Senator Buzbee.  
32. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
33. Yes, Senator Keats.

1. PRESIDENT:  
2. Senator Keats on the Floor? Senator Keats on the Floor? Strike  
3. his name from the roll, Mr. Secretary.  
4. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
5. Senator Walsh?  
6. PRESIDENT:  
7. Senator Walsh is right at the base of the podium.  
8. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
9. Senator Bowers?  
10. PRESIDENT:  
11. Senator Bowers is in his seat.  
12. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
13. Senator Hall?  
14. PRESIDENT:  
15. Senator Hall on the Floor? Is Senator Hall on the Floor? Strike  
16. his name from the roll, Mr. Secretary. Senator Johns, for what  
17. purpose do you arise?  
18. SENATOR JOHNS:  
19. The...the affirmative... I mean the negatives.  
20. PRESIDENT:  
21. All right. Senator Johns has requested a verification of the  
22. negative votes. Mr. Secretary...will the Senators please be in  
23. their seats. The Secretary will read the negative votes.  
24. SECRETARY:  
25. The following voted in the negative: Berman, Bruce, Buzbee,  
26. Carroll, Chew, Collins, D'Arco, Daley, Donnewald, Egan, Jeremiah  
27. Joyce, Jerome Joyce, Lemke, Maragos, McLendon, Merlo, Nash, Nega,  
28. Netsch, Nimrod, Philip, Sangmeister, Savickas, Schaffer, Vadalabene,  
29. Mr. President.  
30. PRESIDENT:  
31. Senator Johns.  
32. SENATOR JOHNS:  
33. Let's start with Bruce.

1. PRESIDENT:  
2. Senator Bruce on the Floor? Strike his name, Mr. Secretary.  
3. SENATOR JOHNS:  
4. Chew?  
5. PRESIDENT:  
6. Senator Chew on the Floor? Strike his name, Mr. Secretary.  
7. SENATOR JOHNS:  
8. McMillan?  
9. PRESIDENT:  
10. McMillan was not on the negative vote...  
11. SENATOR JOHNS:  
12. McLendon?  
13. PRESIDENT:  
14. Senator McLendon on the Floor? Strike his name, Mr. Secretary.  
15. SENATOR JOHNS:  
16. Daley?  
17. PRESIDENT:  
18. Senator Daley on the Floor?  
19. SENATOR JOHNS:  
20. Nash?  
21. PRESIDENT:  
22. Strike his name.  
23. SENATOR JOHNS:  
24. Yeah, he's here...he's here.  
25. PRESIDENT:  
26. Senator Nash is standing tall.  
27. SENATOR JOHNS:  
28. Jeremiah Joyce?  
29. PRESIDENT:  
30. Senator Joyce on the Floor? Jeremiah? Strike his name, Mr.  
31. Secretary.  
32. SENATOR JOHNS:  
33. That's enough. What's the call now, Mr. President?

1. PRESIDENT:  
2. The roll has been verified. On that...on the adoption of  
3. Amendment No. 8 there are 25 Ayes, 21 Nays, none Voting Present.  
4. Amendment No. 8 is adopted. Further amendments?  
5. SECRETARY:  
6. Amendment No. 9 offered by Senator Sommer.  
7. PRESIDENT:  
8. Senator Sommer.  
9. SENATOR SOMMER:  
10. Mr...Mr. President and members. The size of the...the Central  
11. Day Care Division is decreased by eighty percent. That's because  
12. the work is done in the field now and yet, the department is  
13. requesting enough funds to pay each of its twelve employees a  
14. full year's average salary of thirty thousand dollars apiece. I  
15. suggest that we reduce this.  
16. PRESIDENT:  
17. Senator Sommer has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 9 to  
18. House Bill 3044. Any discussion? Senator Buzbee.  
19. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
20. Yes, I rise in opposition, however, it really doesn't make  
21. any difference from this point on because this bill is obviously  
22. going to Conference Committee, but I rise in opposition to this  
23. amendment.  
24. PRESIDENT:  
25. Any further discussion? If not, Senator Sommer has moved the  
26. adoption of Amendment No. 9 to House Bill 3044. Those in favor of  
27. the amendment will vote Aye, those opposed will vote Nay. The  
28. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?  
29. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the  
30. Ayes are 23, the Nays are 24, 1 Voting Present. The amendment fails.  
31. Further amendments?  
32. SECRETARY:  
33. Amendment No. 10 offered by Senator Sommer.

1. PRESIDENT:  
2. Senator Sommer.  
3. SENATOR SOMMER:  
4. Mr. President and...members, this eliminates one hundred and  
5. ninety-three new positions and still leaves half for the expanded  
6. programs. And this is in face of the fact that the department's  
7. estimates of the caseload is ten or fifteen percent off. The...  
8. you know, it's much lower than what they told us it was going to  
9. be and...and yet, they're requesting all of these new bodies. This  
10. ...this takes half of the new bodies, a hundred and ninety-three.  
11. PRESIDENT:  
12. Senator Sommer has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 10 to  
13. House Bill 3044. Any discussion? Senator Knuppel.  
14. SENATOR KNUPPEL:  
15. I...I have a question of the sponsor.  
16. PRESIDENT:  
17. Indicates he will yield. Senator Knuppel.  
18. SENATOR KNUPPEL:  
19. Do you mean that after adding five million dollars a year ago  
20. and enlarging the budget of Children and Family Services and the  
21. addition of hundreds of people, that they now want to add even more?  
22. PRESIDENT:  
23. Senator Sommer.  
24. SENATOR SOMMER:  
25. This is my understanding, Senator Knuppel, that they...they would  
26. like to add three hundred and eighty-five people in this particular  
27. program and as I mentioned early in the...earlier in the last  
28. eighteen months, provided they would get all that they...they asked  
29. for. They would have added over one thousand new people into this  
30. agency.  
31. PRESIDENT:  
32. Senator Knuppel.  
33. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

1. Well, they can handle their...their wards almost one on one, then  
2. can't they?

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Senator Sommer.

5. SENATOR SOMMER:

6. Well, you may be correct. Their supervisory to...to the chief  
7. to indian ratio is getting a little out of hand, too. They have an  
8. awful lot of chiefs and not a lot of indians.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Senator Knuppel.

11. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

12. Well, most of you people remember that I spoke very vehemently  
13. against allowing them the five million dollars here a year ago. I  
14. still have the experience that a policeman called me at twelve o'clock  
15. at night and says, Senator Knuppel, I've got a child here that I'm  
16. holding that ran away from home and Children and Family Services  
17. in charge says call Senator Knuppel, he was in this lawsuit. I  
18. called the eight hundred number. I...I expect action. Naturally,  
19. I get an answer. They do have somebody there to answer the phone.  
20. Somebody shows up the next afternoon at two o'clock. The policeman  
21. has been a babysitter for about twenty-four hours. That's the way  
22. the Department of Children and Family Services has improved its  
23. action. I think this is good amendment, until they...until they  
24. do something with what they've got, I can't see any reason to give  
25. them any more.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Further discussion? Senator Buzbee.

28. SENATOR BUZBEE:

29. Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to point out to you the  
30. net effect of this amendment. This is in the child abuse and child  
31. neglect area. This is the legislation that we mandated them last  
32. year. They have now had their...their Central Registry System  
33. coming on line. It's almost all in place. They have the hot line

1. coming on line. It is in...in place, I think in every region in  
2. the State. Their...their response ratio is now ninety-five percent  
3. within a twenty-four hour period of responding to a child abuse or  
4. child neglect case. I would like to point out that the first thing  
5. that the effect of this amendment does, that those of you that are  
6. so concerned about grants to private agencies, this cuts two and a  
7. half million dollars out of the grant lines to private agencies.  
8. Seven hundred seventy-eight thousand to foster care...specialized  
9. foster care, seven hundred seventy thousand to counseling, four  
10. hundred seventy-seven thousand to homemakers, two hundred one thousand  
11. to day care, three hundred thirty-six thousand to adoption services.  
12. Now, for those of you that have some concern about whether the  
13. department is going to be able to respond in your particular region,  
14. I would cut...I would point out to you that this would cut in  
15. operations from the Rockford region, two hundred fifty-one thousand  
16. dollars; from the Peoria region two hundred sixty-seven thousand  
17. dollars; from the Aurora region three hundred twenty-four thousand  
18. dollars; from the Chicago region seven hundred fifty-three thousand  
19. dollars; from the Springfield region two hundred twenty-four thousand  
20. dollars; from the Champaign region two hundred seventy-seven thousand  
21. dollars; from the East St. Louis region one hundred sixty-six thousand  
22. dollars; from the Marion region three hundred twenty-two thousand  
23. dollars; from the Central Registry four hundred thirty-six thousand  
24. dollars for a total reduction of five million three hundred eighty-  
25. seven thousand nine hundred dollars, all in the area of child abuse  
26. and child neglect. We stand up and very forthrightly shout at the  
27. world about how we're concerned about the poor, little abused and  
28. neglected kids and then we tell the department, by damn, you're going  
29. to do something about it and we pass laws to make them do it and then  
30. we want to cut five hundred and...five million three hundred eighty-  
31. seven thousand dollars out of their ability to do it. So, if this is  
32. going to make you a big hero back with somebody in your home, you go  
33. ahead and do it, but I submit to you it's completely irresponsible  
government.



1. PRESIDENT:

2. Further discussion? Senator Johns.

3. SENATOR JOHNS:

4. Yeah, that's so much b.s. You know what, let me tell you some-  
5. thing. They've been given plenty of money. I haven't seen them  
6. become efficient yet. You're just pouring money down a rat hole,  
7. until we tighten the belt and get some demands going and get some  
8. results, you're going to have nothing but a cesspool and that's all  
9. you've got. Let me tell you something. Let me give you some facts.  
10. For example, he wants to expand the Adoptive Services. Now, listen  
11. to this. In 1975, he had a thousand and twenty children in that  
12. department for adoption. In 1980, he's down sixty percent to four  
13. hundred and eighty, at an estimate. He wants to expand the depart-  
14. ment. I said, you know, you've got thousands of children out there  
15. in foster care, in fact, eleven thousand four hundred and fifty,  
16. seven thousand five hundred...are for adoption, about four thousand  
17. in institutions and two hundred in private homes somewhere. The  
18. average child in a foster care home stays, supposedly, an average  
19. of four years. You find these child...children up to the number of  
20. eight per home. They're used as serfs. They're used for every  
21. purpose in the world. We're not moving them out of that foster home  
22. and here you've got a gargantuan agency, that's lolling around growing  
23. bigger and bigger doing less and less. I think we're on the right  
24. track by calling them to a halt, calling their funds down and making  
25. them produce. That's all we're asking for here today, is efficiency.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Further discussion? Senator Rhoads.

28. SENATOR RHOADS:

29. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senator  
30. Buzbee, I...I hope you're listening to this because you had some  
31. thoughtful remarks earlier. On the last several amendments, I had  
32. been voting very selectively. I voted for one, against another. I  
33. think I'm going to vote for this one and let me explain why. First

HB 3044  
Amend # 10  
6-24-80  
Miss Newhouse's Remarks

1. of all, a vote pro or con on some of these amendments to cut is not  
2. a referendum, in my judgment, on Director Coler. Senator Buzbee, I  
3. agree with you. Insofar as Director Coler is concerned, in my  
4. district, he has been very good. He has been very responsive. He  
5. has been very cooperative. I have never found him...he always returns  
6. phone calls. He's willing to listen to people who have problems in  
7. the child abuse area. He's been more than willing to listen when we  
8. would like to propose a purchase of services agreement with some  
9. contractual agencies. I think he's done an extremely, conscientious  
10. job. On the other hand, that does not mean that we give carte blanche.  
11. Now, we added four hundred and thirty-two positions within the last  
12. year. If you'll recall, there was a supplemental at the end  
13. of...of the last fiscal year. Since January of this year, I  
14. believe, another hundred and twenty-two positions have been added.  
15. Now, we're adding another three hundred and eighty-five positions.  
16. Now, it is true, there is merit in the argument of those of you who  
17. say, well, we've got some mandated programs here and that's why they  
18. have to add more bodies. I also agree that this is the most sensitive  
19. social service agency there is. They have the most important mission  
20. of all social service agencies, in my opinion. But, we can't indulge  
21. ourselves in this kind of overkill in a unlimited geometric growth  
22. of this agency without asking them for some good evidence to prove up  
23. ..why they need these new positions. I think Senator Sommer has  
24. offered a reasonable amendment and ought to be supported.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Further discussion? Senator Newhouse.

27. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

28. Thank you, Mr. President. The Chairman of the Legislative  
29. Advisory Committee on Public Aid, and I think Senator Moore might  
30. bear me out on this, there is a tremendous gap between what some of  
31. the social service departments set out to do and what they actually  
32. do and I can sympathize with Senator Johns and others when they speak  
33. on this level. In conversations with Director Coler over the past

1. several months, I've been much impressed with what the director  
2. is intending to do with that agency. Let me give you a couple of  
3. examples. The adoption problem is a serious one. The director,  
4. among other things, is planning to put together a multiplelisting  
5. system, so that we can move those youngsters out of the foster care  
6. homes and we can get them into permanent homes where people do want  
7. them. It's a process that does take some time and let's admit that  
8. in this interim there may, in fact, be some overstaffing. I think  
9. the issues that you have to raise, however, are if we...really going  
10. to serve the children, what side should we air on, if air we must.  
11. I would suggest to you that we're really talking about the only real  
12. asset this State has and that is the brains and the lives of the  
13. children that this department is mandated to protect. I think the  
14. argument could certainly be made that there is fact, and I'll put that in  
15. parenthesis, in the sense that there may be some indicia of over-  
16. staffing. I think on the other hand, I know on the other hand, what  
17. we have seen as the result of understaffing. And not too long ago,  
18. we read about the kids sleeping in hallways and that's still happening.  
19. We also know, that in attempting to find placement for many of these  
20. youngsters, it is extremely difficult, so that at times someone like  
21. Pat Murphy, the lawyer, spends all night with a youngster that he's  
22. picked up off the streets. It's a serious situation and I certainly  
23. would not want to underestimate it in the light of what we're trying  
24. to do here. I would suggest that we not strip this department bare,  
25. that we do give this director an opportunity to attempt to put into  
26. force some of those things that he has said to me, that he'd like  
27. to do. I suspect he's had the same conversation with others who've  
28. made the inquiry and are interested, but, I will say this to you, that the  
29. Legislative Advisory Committee will be watching you very carefully  
30. in it's oversight responsibility to see what happens and it will  
31. come back and report to this Legislature anything that it finds of a  
32. nature either positive or negative. I would not like to see this  
33. department completely stripped. There are areas where I'm sure that

7423044  
Amendment # 10  
6-5-80  
Some. Kin. Bling. Rome. Ill.

1. some things ought to be done, but I would suggest and hope that  
2. everyone would be extremely careful and do as Senator Rhoads has...  
3. evaluate each of these amendments on the basis of the factual  
4. situation. Thank you very much.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Further discussion? Senator Grotberg.

7. SENATOR GROTEBERG:

8. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. First, let  
9. me declare a conflict of interest. I've tried to avoid voting on  
10. any of these...that's my annual speech, but after declaring that  
11. conflict I have no particular quarrel with the Central Registry and  
12. the Central Office cuts. But, in the area of foster care, counseling,  
13. homemaker, day care and adoption services, a cut of two million five  
14. hundred and some thousand dollars, I must, probably, make the same  
15. remarks I made in committee. That is the private sector that we are  
16. talking about. That is private enterprise that has to be maintained  
17. in the State of Illinois, not only for Chrysler, not only for people  
18. who...from whom we buy all of our State merchandise, the rugs and the  
19. carpets and the desks and the automobiles and the airplanes, but these  
20. are human services and we always kind of turn our back on the human  
21. services and try to shave a point or two. Little children, and I've  
22. got five of...my little grandchildren and I'm so glad they went back  
23. to their mothers, I can hardly stand it, but they're not in trouble.  
24. We're talking about troubled kids. Some troubled parents, but troubled  
25. kids and to save a lot of tearjerking would be just not where it's at.  
26. I am talking about where are we going to go with the people that need  
27. service in Illinois if we don't maintain the private sector and pay  
28. them what it costs? May I have a little order, please?

29. PRESIDENT:

30. You may.

31. SENATOR GROTEBERG:

32. Thank you, Mr. President. But, I'm here to suggest as the father  
33. of the bill that we all voted for and sent to the Governor and he

1. signed it, that we must pay one hundred percent of the reasonable  
2. cost of care of kids in Illinois and stop constantly cheating on  
3. the private sector's side. If...if it were possible for the  
4. director of this department to run it with no State employees,  
5. it would suit me even better. But, I must rise in opposition  
6. to this amendment because it takes a big whack at the only  
7. system left that really delivers for people, the private sector.  
8. And on that I would record with my conflict...a No vote on this  
9. issue.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

12.

13. (END OF REEL)

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

1. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

2. Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of...the Senate.  
3. I echo the sentiments of...Senator Grotberg. I have voted with  
4. Senator Sommer in some of his amendments, but we have...in my  
5. particular county, we have such a lack of staff...very in-  
6. adequate handling of child abuse cases and certainly care...  
7. better foster care, we can't get unless we can pay for it,  
8. and I'm afraid I'm going to have to oppose this amendment. I  
9. think that Gregory Coler has tried and he's going to try even  
10. more. He's been very cooperative in my office, I can tell you,  
11. and I support the opposition to this amendment.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Further discussion? Senator Nimrod.

14. SENATOR NIMROD:

15. Yes, it seems to me...thank you, Mr. President. It seems  
16. to me that if we have a problem in this area, that we ought to get  
17. with that department and that director. I hate to see this kind  
18. of a situation where we're in fact, taking away monies without  
19. actually getting in to look at the merits of the situation. I  
20. want to tell you something, children are our whole future. Once  
21. we allow five or ten to go bad, I don't care what it is, if...if  
22. we can put four hundred jobs and save ten kids over there we ought  
23. to be doing it now before we just take away these jobs and do it.  
24. You can take away these jobs any time, you can take away the money  
25. any time you want, but you can't salvage those lives.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Further discussion? Senator Knuppel.

28. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

29. I agree with everything that's been said, if it was happening.  
30. It's just not happening, there's no one on this Floor that's given  
31. more time, more of their resources to children than I have. I'm  
32. telling you in my area, it's not happening. What they're hiring,  
33. and this is what I predicted they would do a year ago when we gave

1. them the five million dollars, are a lot of social workers just  
2. out of college who's never...who have never dealt with done...  
3. dealt with any of these problems, who are out someplace : shackled  
4. up, most of them, on the week-ends. Now, that's the truth, you  
5. can't find them, your local policemen are the ones that are doing  
6. it. I realize child abuse is a magic word at the present time,  
7. and you don't vote against motherhood, but the fact of the matter  
8. is, this is a burgeoning department that's bloated, and dying  
9. notwithstanding, notwithstanding, Mr. Coler. He's got good  
10. ideas, he talks a good fight, but the department has not im-  
11. proved in the last year. I'm...as I've told you, I get a call  
12. at midnight, I have people who are in the Lincoln office who  
13. refuse to go to...to Kilbourne to take care of cases and I have  
14. to call the...or the Beardstown office where I have a  
15. friend I depend on to go over and take care of the Kilbourne  
16. people. Now, this is just the way it is, and because it's  
17. child abuse you're going to let it grow and grow and grow and  
18. you're not getting any more results as...as Senator Johns has  
19. pointed out. Your...your adoptive load is down, forty or  
20. sixty percent. I'd agree with you, there's nobody dearer than  
21. our children, and I spend everyday working with somebody else's  
22. child as well as my own. The unfortunate thing is, is that  
23. Coler's good intentions are not translated into good administration  
24. with the kind of results he ought to be getting.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Further discussion? Senator Collins. Senator Sommer, do  
27. you wish to close?

28. SENATOR SOMMER:

29. Just briefly, Mr. President. I would like to see the amend-  
30. ment go on, though I would concur in some of the comments of  
31. Senator Grotberg in terms of certain programs that we have ongoing.  
32. I think we have to get at the idea that Senator Knuppel expressed  
33. very well, we cannot solve the problem by simply hiring endless

1. numbers of young people to go out and do something we know  
2. not which what. I would like to see this bill go into conference  
3. and come out with a cleaner product, one that's more explicable,  
4. one that will curb the growth of personnel in this agency.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Senator Sommer has moved the adoption of Amendment No.  
7. 10 to House Bill 3044. Those in favor of the amendment will  
8. vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open.  
9. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all  
10. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes  
11. are 20, the Nays are 30. None Voting Present. Amendment No.  
12. 10 fails. Further amendments?

13. SECRETARY:

14. Amendment No. 11 offered by Senator Sommer.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Senator Sommer.

17. SENATOR SOMMER:

18. Mr. President, briefly, this is simply a series of re-  
19. search grants. They're granted...I have a whole list of...of who gets  
20. them, Aunt Martha's, El Central, Pan America, Kankakee County  
21. City Council, just on and on, and we're not sure that these produce  
22. anything other than what's already there in...in the literature  
23. of sociology. These are grants given to all kinds of agencies,  
24. presumably to help the agencies with their budgets, but do we...  
25. do we get anything from this, and I would say probably...probably  
26. it's questionable.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Further discussion? Senator Johns.

29. SENATOR JOHNS:

30. Thank you, Mr. President. Research, research about why  
31. kids run away. There was a center to be established in Chicago,  
32. they said they needed sixty-four thousand dollars to do it,  
33. we gave them five hundred and seventy-five thousand dollars.



1. Nothing happened, nothing happened, not only that, but the  
2. Better Government Association, and I want to tell you this,  
3. I contacted them, and you know what they told me, the suit is  
4. still ready to be filed because they were going to give the  
5. director an opportunity to correct this. The department had  
6. been boarding at least one hundred children per month in hotels  
7. around Chicago, where the children are being exposed to  
8. prostitution, pornographic materials, pornography, and drug  
9. dealing, and a daily average of fifteen children are being kept  
10. at the Tinley Park Mental Health Center in violation of the  
11. Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act. I asked the  
12. director in testimony, in committee, did you violate the law,  
13. he said no. But the BGA says he did, and there's a suit there  
14. that if he doesn't clear up his act, and doesn't show proof that  
15. he's going to correct this, they're going to file the suit.  
16. Five hundred and seventy-five thousand dollar above the sixty-  
17. four thousand dollars he needed, he did nothing until the BGA  
18. and other people got...I'm on the Committee for Child Care  
19. and Abuse, I'm on the White House Conference on Children, I've  
20. worked for the hearing impaired all my life, you're telling  
21. me that I don't care about children, that I haven't got the...the whole  
22. sympathy of this committee and of this agency, that's what  
23. I've got, instead of what you might believe, wanting to cut  
24. it, I'm wanting to improve it. You're giving them so much  
25. money, they're gorging themselves.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Further discussion? Senator Grotberg.

28. SENATOR GROTBORG:

29. Just to clarify, and I don't really care how anybody votes  
30. on this amendment, but let us never suggest that the BGA report  
31. on this department has anything to do with fact and substance.  
32. It was invented, it's contrived, they've been duly notified and  
33. I'll do battle with them everyday, and Senator Johns, you and I

1. are great friends, and I really don't care how anybody votes on  
2. this amendment, but don't bring the BGA report into this General  
3. Assembly, or there'll be no BGA because they...on this one,  
4. they finked.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Further discussion? Senator Knuppel.

7. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

8. Well, of...of course we are seeing here with this budget,  
9. an act of Pontius Pilate. You people appropriate the money,  
10. each time they come back you appropriate more, for more and more  
11. employees. You end up with less and less adoptions, with more  
12. and more juvenile delinquency, with more and more abused children,  
13. and you believe, you believe that by spending the money, you  
14. can wash your hands as Pontius Pilate did and walk away from the  
15. crucifixion of Christ. You are the people who must look to the  
16. quality that are hired. You must demand of that department the  
17. type of...of higher performance that it ought to be rendering.  
18. Almost everybody here admits that with the exception of Coler's  
19. good intentions, that this Body is not functioning the way it  
20. should with the richest asset we have in...in this State of  
21. Illinois, and that's its children. But yet you believe that  
22. by spreading a few dollar bills on the wounds of the children of  
23. this State by not attending them yourself, by not realizing what  
24. the problems are, that you can walk away as Pontius Pilate did,  
25. and that you can say, oh I did it, I appropriated the money.  
26. It was the department who fell down, knowing full well, knowing  
27. all the time, that the department is falling flat on its face, and  
28. I challenge you to accept this ritual and to say, I'm not a  
29. Pontius Pilate, I want him to hire good people not quantity of  
30. people. I want some action out of the department. I want  
31. some result, and not just salving your conscience with a few million  
32. dollars of money, and come back here next year and spread it on  
33. thicker.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Further discussion? Senator Sommer, you wish to close?  
3. The question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 11 to House  
4. Bill 3044. Those in favor of the amendment will vote Aye.  
5. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all  
6. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who  
7. wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 24,  
8. the Nays are 20. None Voting Present. Amendment No. 11 is  
9. adopted. Further amendments?

10. SECRETARY:

11. Amendment No. 12 offered by Senator Sommer.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Senator Sommer.

14. SENATOR SOMMER:

15. Mr. President, and members. This is a program that was  
16. operated in House last year. It's called the DCFS Youth  
17. Initiative Program, but now they're going to have a...a thirty  
18. thousand dollar a year supervisor to run it, and five new  
19. twenty-five thousand dollar a year coordinators. They ran it  
20. last year without these people, but apparently they need them  
21. now. Also this cuts some funds from the...evaluative...shelter  
22. where a staff of...there's a staff of twenty-five people for seventeen  
23. children at any one time.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Senator Sommer has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 12  
26. to House Bill 3044. Any discussion? If not, all in favor  
27. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. A roll call has been requested.  
28. Those in favor of Amendment No. 12 will vote Aye. Those opposed  
29. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?  
30. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the  
31. record. On that question, the Ayes are 27, the Nays are 20.  
32. None Voting Present and Amendment No. 12 is adopted. Further  
33. amendments?

1. SECRETARY:  
2. Amendment No. 13 offered by Senator Sommer.  
3. PRESIDENT:  
4. Senator Sommer.  
5. SENATOR SOMMER:  
6. Mr. President, I would like to withdraw this amendment.  
7. PRESIDENT:  
8. All right. Seeks leave to withdraw Amendment 13. Leave  
9. is granted. That's withdrawn. Further amendments?  
10. SECRETARY:  
11. Amendment No. 13 offered by Senator Sommer.  
12. PRESIDENT:  
13. Senator Sommer.  
14. SENATOR SOMMER:  
15. I...I have...in all other agencies we derived an eight  
16. percent solution and placed it on the agencies. Now, somehow  
17. this agency didn't deserve that, they did a lot better. This...this  
18. amendment simply puts them in the same posture that...that  
19. other agencies were with the eight percent solution.  
20. PRESIDENT:  
21. All right, Senator Sommer has moved the adoption of Amendment  
22. No. 13 to House Bill 3044. Any discussion? All right, the  
23. question is, the adoption of Amendment No. 13 to House Bill 3044.  
24. Those in favor of the amendment will vote Aye. Those opposed will  
25. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have  
26. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the  
27. Ayes are 29, the Nays are 20. None Voting Present. Amendment  
28. No. 13 is adopted. Further amendments?  
29. SECRETARY:  
30. Amendment No. 14 offered by Senator Regner.  
31. PRESIDENT:  
32. Senator Regner. Amendment has been withdrawn. Further  
33. amendments?

1. SECRETARY:

2. Amendment No. 15 offered by Senator Regner.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. No, it's...is it...14?

5. SECRETARY:

6. Or 14, I'm sorry.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Senator Regner.

9. SENATOR REGNER:

10. Yes, Mr. President, and members. This is a correction to  
11. Amendment No. 4 which was a committee amendment. The original  
12. reduction in EDP was four hundred and fifty-two thousand eight  
13. hundred dollars. We've had several meetings with the director's  
14. fiscal staff, and the Legislative Information Systems people.  
15. And what this does, it puts back in two hundred and seventy-  
16. eight thousand four hundred to leave a net reduction of a hundred and seventy-  
17. four thousand four hundred. I move its adoption.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Senator Regner has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 14  
20. to House Bill 3044. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify  
21. by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment  
22. is adopted. Further amendments?

23. SECRETARY:

24. Amendment No. 15 offered by Senator Sommer.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Senator Sommer.

27. SENATOR SOMMER:

28. Mr. President, and members. This removes one hundred and  
29. ninety-three new positions only. It doesn't touch any of the  
30. grant lines.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. All right, Senator Sommer has moved the adoption of Amend-  
33. ment No. 15 to House Bill 3044. Any discussion? If not, those

1. in favor of the amendment will vote Aye. Those opposed will  
2. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have  
3. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the  
4. Ayes are 17, the Nays are 18. The amendment fails. Further  
5. amendments?

6. SECRETARY:

7. No further amendments.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. 3rd reading. Yes...Messages from the Governor.

10. SECRETARY:

11. A Message from the Governor by Jim Edgar, Director of Leg-  
12. islative Affairs.

13. Mr. President - The Governor directs me to lay before  
14. the Senate the following message. To the honorable members of  
15. the Senate of the 81st General Assembly, I've nominated and  
16. appointed the following named persons to the offices enumerated  
17. below. Respectfully ask concurrence in and confirmation of these  
18. appointments by your honorable Body.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Executive Appointments. Messages from the House.

21. SECRETARY:

22. A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

23. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate  
24. the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in  
25. the passage of bills with the following titles, to-wit:

26. Senate Bill 1579, with House Amendment No. 1.

27. 1631, with House Amendments 1 and 3.

28. 1632, with House Amendments 1 and 2.

29. 1638, with House Amendments 1 and 2.

30. 1642, with House Amendment 1.

31. 1728, with House Amendment 1, 8, and 9.

32. 1884, with House Amendment 1.

33. 1978, with House Amendments 3 and 5.

1. 1979, with House Amendment 2.
2. 1991, with House Amendment 1, 2, and 3.
3. 1993, with House Amendments 1, 3, and 4.
4. 1994, with House Amendment 1.
5. ...2000, with House Amendment 1 and 2.
6. 2014, with House Amendment 1.
7. And 2020, with House Amendment 9.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Secretary's Desk. All right, if I can have the attention  
10. of the membership. We have today, completed action on some  
11. one hundred and seventy amendments. So, it has been a long and  
12. somewhat tedious day, and I would like to take this opportunity  
13. to thank Senator Donnewald and his wife who are celebrating  
14. today, their twenty-seventh wedding anniversary for...thank  
15. them for spending their time with us. Motions in Writing.  
16. If we can have the attention of the membership, I'm sure you  
17. will be interested in this. Motions in Writing.

18. SECRETARY:

19. Pursuant to Senate Rule 30, I move to suspend that portion  
20. of Senate Rule 5 which provides that June the 25th is the final  
21. day for 3rd reading and passage of House Bills to provide that  
22. June the 25th is the final day for 3rd reading and passage of  
23. House Bills other than Appropriation Bills, and that June the 26th  
24. is the final day for 3rd reading and passage of House Appropriation  
25. Bills. Signed, Senator Donnewald.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Senator Donnewald.

28. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

29. That. Mr. President, we've had a very difficult day. We  
30. have one hundred and fifteen substantive bills that we would have  
31. to consider tomorrow, and the following day, forty-four appro-  
32. priation measures and the plan, as I understand, is to go right  
33. down the Calendar on the Order of 3rd reading tomorrow, and if the

1. bill is being pulled back for amendments, it will be pulled back  
2. at that time and that bill will then be taken back to the end  
3. of the Calendar in that sequence and will be considered at the  
4. end. So, that means that tomorrow morning, at nine o'clock  
5. sharp, so set your alarms for seven, like we country boys do,  
6. and get up and be here at nine, because if you don't...if you don't get your...  
7. if you don't get your bill considered...it's going to be your  
8. fault because a hundred and fourteen pieces of legislation means  
9. that we're going to be here all night, and we'll probably get  
10. but one shot.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. All right, the Chair...Senator Donnewald has moved to  
13. ..moved to suspend the portion of Senate Rule 5 which provides  
14. that tomorrow is the deadline for all bills, and rather reflect  
15. the fact that Thursday, June 26th will be the final day for  
16. House Appropriation Bills, but that tomorrow the 25th will,  
17. in fact, be the final day to consider House...substantive House  
18. Bills on 3rd reading. All those in favor signify by saying Aye.  
19. I think we...have to have a roll call. Those in favor...is there  
20. any discussion? Senator Shapiro. All right. Those in favor  
21. of this motion to suspend will vote Aye. Those opposed will  
22. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?  
23. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,  
24. the Ayes are 46, the Nays are 7. None Voting Present. The  
25. motion prevails. Further motions?

26. SECRETARY:

27. Pursuant to Senate Rule 30, I move to temporarily suspend that  
28. portion of Senate Rule 15 which provides that the amendment shall  
29. be printed with the name of the sponsor of the amendment when  
30. adopted, and shall be filed in their proper order immediately  
31. following the printed copy of the bill on each Senator's desk,  
32. one day before such amended bills shall be read a third time.  
33. And further, I move that this temporary suspension of Senate Rule  
15 be effective until midnight June the 26th, 1980. Signed,



1. Senator Donnewald.  
2. PRESIDENT:  
3. Senator Donnewald.  
4. SENATOR DONNEWALD:  
5. We did not suspend the portion that the bill required  
6. to be printed, that still is in effect, and I think the motion  
7. is self-explanatory, and I would so move the adoption of...  
8. PRESIDENT:  
9. Senator Rhoads.  
10. SENATOR RHOADS:  
11. Senator...Donnewald, I'm not sure that it is self-explanatory,  
12. would you give the...the reason why you're doing this?  
13. PRESIDENT:  
14. Senator Donnewald.  
15. SENATOR DONNEWALD:  
16. We are...  
17. PRESIDENT:  
18. Wait, there are twenty-six bills that the Secretary's been  
19. informed are up for recall.  
20. SENATOR DONNEWALD:  
21. Well, there are more than that, as I understood...  
22. PRESIDENT:  
23. Well, I have a list of twenty-six, at least. Yes, Senator  
24. Donnewald.  
25. SENATOR DONNEWALD:  
26. There are...there are that number, whatever number it might be. We  
27. would...we would have to pull them back for the purpose of amend-  
28. ment, and we want the members to have a copy of the amendment  
29. proposed, and I think some of them are already on the desks of  
30. the members, and those bills...you will have adequate time to con-  
31. sider those amendments. They are printed and be on your desks,  
32. and they will be delayed until the end of the...the regular bills  
33. that were not amended.

1. PRESIDENT:  
2. All right, further discussion?  
3. SENATOR DONNEWALD:  
4. Well, we...I think...just a moment, Mr. President, we've  
5. suspended the twenty-four hour rule. I think that would probably  
6. explain it better.  
7. PRESIDENT:  
8. Right. Senator Wooten.  
9. SENATOR WOOTEN:  
10. I understand in that...that simply takes care of the business  
11. of...the requirement that it's in our book one day in advance.  
12. That's all it does...  
13. PRESIDENT:  
14. That's all it does.  
15. SENATOR WOOTEN:  
16. Okay.  
17. PRESIDENT:  
18. Any further discussion? If not, this is a suspension of  
19. the rules, it will require an affirmative vote of...yes,  
20. Senator Walsh. That...that's what I'm...yes, that's what I'm  
21. saying. It is a move to suspend temporarily the portion of  
22. Senate Rule 15 which requires the one day lay over, that's all  
23. it does. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will  
24. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have  
25. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the  
26. Ayes are 42, the Nays are 1. None Voting Present. The motion  
27. prevails. Further business to come before the Senate? Any  
28. announcements? The Chair would just like to announce for those  
29. who, Senator Grotberg in particular, that as we indicated who  
30. we stopped the other day, we will begin where we left off, and  
31. that is with House Bill 3366. So from 3366 down and then we  
32. will begin at the beginning. So, those members know what bills  
33. you have, I would suggest we be ready to go at nine o'clock

1. in the morning. Senator Berning.  
2. SENATOR BERNING:  
3. Mr. President, would you clarify for me what the procedure  
4. is on those bills which are to be called back for an amendment?  
5. Are they to be taken in their normal sequence, or...  
6. PRESIDENT:  
7. They will...they will be called in their normal sequence at which  
8. time the Senator can request leave to bring it back to 2nd for purpose  
9. of an amendment.  
10. SENATOR BERNING:  
11. And then it goes to the end of the day's business? Oh.  
12. PRESIDENT:  
13. That is correct. Any further business to come before  
14. the Senate. If not, Senator...Senator Mitchler.  
15. SENATOR MITCHLER:  
16. Mr. President, and members of the Senate. On behalf of  
17. Gwen Carpenter and her husband Don, we certainly appreciate  
18. the cooperation that those Senators that we were able to have  
19. their caricatures taken, this day, it was impossible to get  
20. them all in. I hope that we're not here Monday which would  
21. be the 30th, in the event, I think maybe Gwen can come back  
22. and finish up. In the event that we are not here Monday, then  
23. we'll have to wait until the adjourned Session to finish up.  
24. But, I'd like to thank the cooperation of all the Senators as  
25. they posed and we'll try to do our best on it and produce a  
26. nice book for you. Thank you.  
27. PRESIDENT:  
28. All right, Senator Donnewald, in honor of his wedding anniversary,  
29. moves that the Senate stand adjourned until Wednesday, June 25,  
30. at the hour of nine o'clock. 9:00 a.m. The Senate stands  
31. adjourned.  
32.  
33.