

81ST GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

JUNE 19, 1980

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

2. The hour of nine having arrived, the Senate will come
3. to order. Will the Senators please be in their seats. Our
4. Chaplain for today is the Reverend Andrew McCoy, True Church
5. House of Prayer, Alton, Illinois. Will our guests in the
6. gallery please rise.

7. REVEREND ANDREW MCCOY:

8. (Prayer given by Reverend McCoy)

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

10. Reading of the Journal. Senator Johns.

11. SENATOR JOHNS:

12. Thank you, Mr. President. I move that reading and approval
13. of the Journals of Tuesday, June the 17th, and Wednesday, June
14. the 18th in the year 1980 be postponed pending arrival of the
15. printed Journals.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

17. You've heard the motion. All in favor say Aye. Contrary.
18. The motion carries. Committee Reports.

19. SECRETARY:

20. Senator Buzbee, Chairman of Appropriations II Committee
21. reports out the following House Bills: 3027, 3047, and 3050,
22. with the recommendation Do Pass as Amended.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

24. Messages from the House.

25. SECRETARY:

26. A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

27. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate
28. the House of Representatives adopted the following Joint Re-
29. solution in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask
30. concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

31. House Joint Resolution 104.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

33. Executive.

1. SECRETARY:

2. A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

3. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate
4. the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in
5. the passage of the following bills, with...of the following
6. titles, to-wit:

7. Senate Bill 2548, with House Amendment No. 1.

8. 1621, with House Amendment No. 1.

9. 1741, with House Amendment No. 1.

10. 1881, with House Amendment No. 1.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

12. Resolutions.

13. SECRETARY:

14. Senate Resolution 567, offered by Senator Becker, it is
15. congratulatory.

16. Senate Resolution 568, offered by Senators Geo-Karis,
17. Shapiro, Berning, and Friedland, and it is congratulatory.

18. Senate Resolution 569, offered by Senators Geo-Karis, Berning,
19. and Friedland, and it is congratulatory.

20. Senate Resolution 570, offered by Senator Geo-Karis, and
21. it is congratulatory.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

23. Consent Calendar. The Senate will be at ease for a few
24. minutes.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Senator Chew, I hear you. Senator Geo-Karis, for what
27. purpose do you arise?

28. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

29. Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. A
30. point...point of personal...privilege. Our secretaries of the
31. Senate are going to try to avenge our defeat from the House to-
32. night in the ball game at Iles Park at six o'clock. It would be
33. nice if we all showed up enmasse and constitute a good cheering

1. section for our girls, who are going to try and beat the
2. House in order to make us feel a little better.
3. PRESIDENT:
4. We hope they do better than Senator Philip did.
5. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
6. I hope so, too.
7. PRESIDENT:
8. Senator DeAngelis, for what purpose do you arise?
9. SENATOR DeANGELIS:
10. Thank you, Mr. President. While we are waiting, in the
11. gallery behind us, we have the wife of one of our most respected
12. Senators, Senator Bowers, his wife Selma, and the Senator's
13. only two friends, Ted and Pat Clark. Would they please stand.
14. PRESIDENT:
15. Will our guests please...and be recognized. Welcome.
16. All right, with leave of the body, we'll turn to page...the
17. top of page 6 on the Calendar. There is an emergency ap-
18. propriation. With leave of the Body, we'll move to the Order
19. of House Bills 3rd reading. The top of page 6. House Bill
20. 3041. 3041. Top of page 6. On the Order of House Bills
21. 3rd reading, is House Bill 3041. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
22. SECRETARY:
23. House Bill 3041.
24. (Secretary reads title of bill)
25. 3rd reading of the bill.
26. PRESIDENT:
27. Senator Coffey.
28. SENATOR COFFEY:
29. Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. House Bill
30. 3041, provides for a FY'80 supplement and transfer of operation
31. grants funding for the Department of Transportation. The amount
32. is twenty-eight million eleven thousand, there is no new road
33. funds, and I would ask for a favorable roll call, and be glad
to answer any questions.

1. PRESIDENT:
2. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall
3. House Bill 3041 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those
4. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted
5. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
6. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 47, the Nays
7. are 4. None Voting Present. House Bill 3041, having received
8. the required constitutional majority is declared passed.
9. All right, with leave of the Body, we'll now move to the Order
10. of House Bills 2nd reading. We will continue where we left
11. off yesterday, and attempt to go through, and that is the
12. middle of page 12. On the Order of House Bills 2nd reading,
13. House Bill 3272. Yes, Senator Netsch.
14. SENATOR NETSCH:
15. Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to come back to
16. this, there are two amendments, and Senators Rupp and D'Arco
17. have not had a chance to read them thoroughly yet, and they
18. are being copied for distribution. If we could come back
19. to this later, I would appreciate it.
20. PRESIDENT:
21. That request is in order.
22. SENATOR NETSCH:
23. Thank you.
24. PRESIDENT:
25. 3289, Senator Gitz. 3333, Senator Nega. 3359, Senator
26. Friedland. 3365, Senator Grotberg. On the Order of House
27. Bills 2nd reading, is House Bill 3365. Read the bill, Mr.
28. Secretary. Yes, Senator Grotberg, for what purpose do you
29. arise?
30. SENATOR GROTBORG:
31. I'm getting signals from your side of the aisle over there.
32. Are you ready for the bill? When is it coming?
33. PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Demuzio.
2. SENATOR DEMUZIO:
3. Soon as the pigeon gets here. I...I don't know. It's
4. being drafted.
5. PRESIDENT:
6. Well...leave will be granted to come back to it, sure.
7. 3366, Senator Grotberg. 3369, Senator Rupp. On the Order
8. of House Bills 2nd reading, the bottom of page 12, is House
9. Bill 3369. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
10. SECRETARY:
11. House Bill 3369.
12. (Secretary reads title of bill)
13. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
14. PRESIDENT:
15. Are there amendments from the Floor?
16. SECRETARY:
17. No Floor amendments.
18. PRESIDENT:
19. 3rd reading. 3380, Senator Davidson. On the Order of
20. House Bills 2nd reading, top of page 13, is House Bill 3380.
21. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
22. SECRETARY:
23. House Bill 3380.
24. (Secretary reads title of bill)
25. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Pensions, Personnel
26. offers one amendment.
27. PRESIDENT:
28. Senator Davidson.
29. SENATOR DAVIDSON:
30. I'd move the adoption of the committee amendment, Pensions and
31. Personnel, that was...amendment that had to be...get some language
32. straightened out by the request of the Administration and the
33. State Employees Pension Fund. I'd move the adoption of the
amendment.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
2. You've heard the motion. Is there any discussion? If
3. not, the question is, shall Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3380
4. be adopted. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay.
5. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?
6. SECRETARY:
7. No further committee amendments.
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
9. Any amendments from the Floor?
10. SECRETARY:
11. No Floor amendments.
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
13. 3rd reading. House Bill 3385, Senator Regner. House Bill
14. 3401, Senator Rupp. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
15. SECRETARY:
16. House Bill 3401.
17. (Secretary reads title of bill)
18. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Labor and Commerce
19. offers one amendment.
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
21. Senator Rupp.
22. SENATOR RUPP:
23. Thank you, Mr. President. The one amendment eliminates
24. the...the bill puts in an advisory council...be known as the
25. Industrial Job Training Council. The amendment eliminates
26. that council and puts the responsibility for the direction of
27. program right on the Director of the Commerce and Community
28. Affairs Department. It also changes and includes...from other
29. governmental agencies to other appropriate agencies which then
30. permits Labor and other organizations to be involved in
31. the programs. I ask adoption of the...amendment.
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
33. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Rupp moves the

1. adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3401.
2. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed.
3. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further
4. amendments?
5. SECRETARY:
6. No further committee amendments.
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
8. Any amendments from the Floor?
9. SECRETARY:
10. No Floor amendments.
11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
12. 3rd reading. House Bill 3403, Senator Gitz. House Bill
13. 3415, Senator Merlo. House Bill 3416, Senator Egan. Read the
14. bill, Mr. Secretary.
15. SECRETARY:
16. House Bill 3416.
17. (Secretary reads title of bill)
18. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Finance and Credit
19. Regulations offers one amendment.
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
21. Senator Egan.
22. SENATOR EGAN:
23. Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. It's
24. a technical amendment. It merely changes the number 29 to 26
25. to conform with the law, and I move its adoption.
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
27. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Egan moves
28. the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3416. Those in
29. favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have
30. it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?
31. SECRETARY:
32. No further committee amendments.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

HB 3425
2nd Reading
6-19-80

1. Any amendments from the Floor?
2. SECRETARY:
3. No Floor amendments.
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
5. 3rd reading. House Bill 3425, Senator Geo-Karis. Read
6. the bill, Mr. Secretary.
7. SECRETARY:
8. House Bill 3425.
9. (Secretary reads title of bill)
10. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Public Health, Welfare,
11. and Corrections offers one amendment.
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
13. Senator Geo-Karis.
14. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
15. Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
16. This amendment was made in committee with the full consent of
17. the chairman of the committee, and the members of the committee.
18. In one part of the amendment...we just took the first part, if
19. you have the amendment, from one section put it in another to
20. make it more articulate...more coherent, and we make the change to show
21. both parties are involved, on page 15. And...and then on the...
22. page 22 we made it to show..and to take such action as necessary
23. to make individual complainant hold. I move the adoption
24. of this amendment.
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
26. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Geo-Karis
27. moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3425. Those
28. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have
29. it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?
30. SECRETARY:
31. No further committee amendments.
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
33. Any amendments from the Floor?

HR 3365
2nd reading
6/19/80

- 1. SECRETARY:
- 2. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Washington.
- 3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 4. Senator Washington.
- 5. SENATOR WASHINGTON:
- 6. Mr. President, Amendment No. 2 to 3425 is simply a technical
- 7. and corrective amendment. The sponsor of the bill agrees with
- 8. it, and I move its adoption.
- 9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 10. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall
- 11. Amendment No. 2 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying
- 12. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is
- 13. adopted. Any further amendments?
- 14. SECRETARY:
- 15. No further amendments.
- 16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 17. 3rd reading. Could we have leave to go back to the Order
- 18. of House Bill 3365 and 66? Leave is granted. Senator Grotberg,
- 19. on 3365. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
- 20. SECRETARY:
- 21. House Bill 3365.
- 22. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 23. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Agriculture, Conservation,
- 24. and Energy offers one amendment.
- 25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 26. Senator Grotberg.
- 27. SENATOR GROTBORG:
- 28. That's...that's a technical amendment, I move the adoption.
- 29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 30. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall
- 31. Amendment No. 1 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying
- 32. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted.
- 33. Any further amendments?

ALB 3365
Amend 2
6-19-80

- 1. SECRETARY:
- 2. No further committee amendments.
- 3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 4. Any amendments from the Floor?
- 5. SECRETARY:
- 6. Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Buzbee.
- 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 8. Senator Buzbee.
- 9. SENATOR BUZBEE:
- 10. Thank you, very much, Mr. President. This amendment would
- 11. put a one million dollar cap on the amount of money that
- 12. the Director of the Environmental Protection Agency might
- 13. be able to spend out of the Hazardous Waste Fund, without
- 14. the General Assembly's approval. It seems to me that this
- 15. General Assembly has been going in the direction of appropriating
- 16. funds, making sure that State agencies are not overspending,
- 17. and we would allow with this amendment, one million dollars for
- 18. the director to spend in the event of a...of an emergency,
- 19. and anything after that, we're in Session often enough that
- 20. we can appropriate the funds that are needed out of this fund.
- 21. And I would move its adoption.
- 22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 23. Is there further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis. The
- 24. question is, shall Amendment No. 2 be adopted. Those in favor
- 25. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it.
- 26. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?
- 27. SECRETARY:
- 28. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Jerome Joyce.
- 29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 30. Senator Joyce.
- 31. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:
- 32. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment..currently the
- 33. problem with this as we read in the papers, and are hearing about

1. that we're having trouble identifying unscrupulous dumpers or
2. operators of waste disposal sites that...in the permit process.
3. What this would do, is let the people who are granting the permits
4. go into the applicant's background for possible previous violations,
5. they're not a part of the application proceedings right now.
6. A case in point, the Metropolitan Sanitary District's, Pollution
7. Control Chief has pointed out that one of the main problems is
8. that existing law makes no mention of an applicant's background,
9. or possible previous violations during the application process.
10. What this would do is...is let them go into the application...
11. applicant's background and check on him, and if he has been
12. creating a problem for many times, that they would...they
13. could not give him the...the permit.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Is there further discussion? Senator Grotberg.

16. SENATOR GROTEBERG:

17. Yes, I believe this is a good amendment, and I have no
18. problem accepting it upon the bill. I move adoption.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall
21. Amendment No. 3 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying
22. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is
23. adopted. Any further amendments?

24. SECRETARY:

25. No further amendments.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Senator Demuzio.

28. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

29. Yes, I yield to Senator Grotberg for a moment.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Senator Grotberg.

32. SENATOR GROTEBERG:

33. Yes, before...as we move it to 3rd, I would suggest that

1. we may want to return it for one more amendment. But let's get
2. it on 3rd.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. Senator Geo-Karis.

5. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

6. Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
7. On the House Bill 3425...

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. No wait, we're not finished on business on 3365 yet,
10. Senator. I...

11. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

12. Oh, I'm sorry.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

14. Is there further...Senator Demuzio.

15. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

16. Well, I think I've misinterpreted Senator Grotberg. I have
17. an amendment that's being drafted by the Reference Bureau, there
18. are many of my colleagues on this side of the aisle from the
19. City of Chicago who have expressed deep concern about their
20. way in which they voted last year in the..and the way in which
21. the Hazardous Waste Bill was vetoed by the Governor and we might
22. want to make another run at the economically reasonable and
23. technologicallyfeasible aspects of this bill, and Senator Grotberg
24. is saying that he may bring it back. If...if I have an amendment
25. I would indeed like to have the commitment to make a run at it.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Senator Grotberg.

28. SENATOR GROTBORG:

29. That's exactly right, Senator Demuzio, making another
30. mountain out of a nothing molehill. We may bring it back
31. if you have the amendment. If you don't have it..you just stood
32. here and told me that if you don't have it, don't worry about
33. it. So, I have no problem. It's Senator Demuzio's amendment for

1. which we would return it. Thank you.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3. Senator Demuzio.

4. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

5. Nope.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. Any further discussion? 3rd reading. For what purpose

8. does Senator Geo-Karis arise?

9. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

10. Mr. President, with leave of the Body, on House Bill 3425

11. ...Amendment No. 2, all I ask is that two words in Amendment

12. No. 2, "taken steps" be underlined. I should have asked for it

13. then, that's all. There's no...just a matter that it should be

14. underlined. Can I have leave to amend it on its face? That's

15. all.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. Secretary indicates that he can do that.

18. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

19. Thank you.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

21. Is there leave granted to amend Senate Bill 3425 by under-

22. lining...

23. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

24. The words, "taken steps"

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

26. Underlining the words, "taken steps" on its face? Leave is

27. granted. House Bill 3366, Senator Grothberg. Read the bill,

28. Mr. Secretary.

29. SECRETARY:

30. House Bill 3366.

31. (Secretary reads title of bill)

32. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

HB 3429
2nd reading
6/19/89

1. Senator Grotberg. Any amendments from the Floor?

2. SECRETARY:

3. No Floor amendments.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Take it out of the record. House Bill 3426, Senator
6. Davidson. House Bill 3427, Senator Schaffer. Read the bill,
7. Mr. Secretary. Hold. Take it out of the record. House Bill
8. 3429, Senator Grotberg. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

9. SECRETARY:

10. House Bill 3429.

11. (Secretary reads title of bill)

12. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

14. Any amendments from the Floor?

15. SECRETARY:

16. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Sangmeister.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Senator Sangmeister.

19. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

20. Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. What Amend-
21. ment No. 1 does, you should understand that the present law
22. requires that any time that court appointed council are allowed
23. fees of over a thousand dollars, that that has to be approved
24. by the chief judge of the circuit. It has been the feeling
25. of many that that requirement is unconstitutional because one
26. circuit judge cannot overrule another to begin with, even if
27. he happens to be the chief circuit judge. So, all that this
28. amendment would do, would remove the right of the chief judge
29. of the circuit to approve a order of another circuit judge.
30. Request approval of that amendment.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is,
33. shall Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3429 be adopted. Those in

HB 3435
2nd Reading
6-19-90

1. favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have
2. it. The Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?
3. SECRETARY:
4. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Grotberg.
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
6. Senator Grotberg.
7. SENATOR GROTBORG:
8. Can you take it out of the record just a second while
9. I get my data? I was chasing one too many bills at the same
10. time. Can we come back to that same order, or do I have
11. to move it?
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
13. Senator...
14. SENATOR GROTBORG:
15. Move it...
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
17. ...I suggest that we move it to 3rd, and bring it back.
18. SENATOR GROTBORG:
19. ...move it to 3rd, and we'll bring it back.
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
21. Is there further amendments?
22. SECRETARY:
23. No further amendments.
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
25. 3rd reading. House Bill 3432, Senator Schaffer. House Bill
26. 3435, Senator Davidson. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
27. SECRETARY:
28. House Bill 3435.
29. (Secretary reads title of bill)
30. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Insurance and Licensed
31. Activities offers one amendment.
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
33. Senator Davidson.

1. SENATOR DAVIDSON:
2. Yes...I move the adoption of the committee amendment...commission
3. ...excuse me. The committee amendment was to correct two or
4. three possibilities that would have made liability more than
5. it should have been. Secondly, it is to bring the bill in
6. conformance with the Backus Amendment on the Federal level the
7. Insurance Industry supported this amendment. I move the adoption
8. of the amendment.
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
10. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is,
11. shall Amendment No. 1 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by
12. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.
13. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?
14. SECRETARY:
15. No further committee amendments.
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
17. Any amendments from the Floor?
18. SECRETARY:
19. No Floor amendments.
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
21. 3rd reading. Senator Schaffer has returned and requested
22. that we return to the Order of House Bill 3432. Read the bill,
23. Mr. Secretary.
24. SECRETARY:
25. House Bill 3432.
26. (Secretary reads title of bill)
27. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Public Health, Welfare,
28. and Corrections offers two amendments.
29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
30. Senator Schaffer.
31. SENATOR SCHAFFER:
32. Mr. President, the first amendment is merely a technical
33. amendment.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
2. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is,
3. shall Amendment No. 1 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by
4. saying Aye. Those opposed. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any
5. further amendments?
6. SECRETARY:
7. Committee Amendment No. 2.
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
9. Senator Schaffer.
10. SENATOR SCHAFFER:
11. The second amendment was recommended by Democratic staff,
12. and cuts the schedule off at five hundred and then just puts
13. a fee increment increase beyond that point. Simplifies the bill.
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
15. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall
16. Amendment No. 2 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying
17. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is
18. adopted. Any further amendments?
19. SECRETARY:
20. No further committee amendments.
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
22. Any amendments from the Floor?
23. SECRETARY:
24. No Floor amendments.
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
26. 3rd reading. On page 14, at the top of the page, House
27. Bill 3439, Senator Coffey. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
28. SECRETARY:
29. House Bill 3439.
30. (Secretary reads title of bill)
31. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Executive offers one
32. amendment.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Coffey. Senator Coffey.
2. SENATOR COFFEY:
3. Take it out of the record.
4. SECRETARY:
5. Senator Coffey, it's just changing the word fuel to coal.
6. SENATOR COFFEY:
7. Yes, this is a very simple amendment, it changes the word
8. fuel to coal, and I'd ask your acceptance of this amendment.
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
10. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is,
11. shall Amendment No. 1 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by
12. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.
13. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?
14. SECRETARY:
15. No further committee amendments.
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
17. Any amendments from the Floor?
18. SECRETARY:
19. No Floor amendments.
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
21. 3rd reading. House Bill 3440, Senator McMillan. Read the
22. bill, Mr. Secretary.
23. SECRETARY:
24. House Bill 3440.
25. (Secretary reads title of bill)
26. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
28. Any amendments from the Floor?
29. SECRETARY:
30. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Gitz.
31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
32. Senator Gitz.
33. SENATOR GITZ:

1. Mr. President, this amendment simply changes the publi-
2. cation date for assessments in counties with less than fifty
3. thousand inhabitants. It makes it consistent with all other
4. counties in less than two million inhabitants as a December
5. publication date. I offer this amendment at the request of
6. the supervisor of assessors. I would hope that everybody
7. in this Body would support this amendment for one very simple
8. reason. It is patently absurd with major legislation that
9. is coming out of this Body, to expect that the counties under
10. fifty thousand will be able to publish their assessments in
11. August, when, in fact, the Governor in all likelihood will
12. still be acting on legislation. This would normally have
13. no impact on people, except if you...also recall there's a
14. recent court decision which held their feet to the fire, so
15. to speak, and means that if we don't adopt an amendment of
16. this type, and there was a bill in Rules Committee, we are
17. going to put them in a very serious bind. And so I would urge
18. the adoption of this amendment. To my knowledge, there is no
19. controversy, no conflict, no one in opposition to it.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

21. Is there further discussion? Senator Grotberg.

22. SENATOR GROTEBERG:

23. There was a lot of noise back in the back row here. Senator
24. Gitz, would you say once again what the bill...what the amendment
25. does?

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Senator Gitz. Could we have a little order here?

28. Could we break up those conferences down the middle aisle at
29. the back there. Senator Philip. Senator Lemke, Newhouse,
30. Vadalabene. Senator Gitz.

31. SENATOR GITZ:

32. Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Grotberg, right now,
33. counties under fifty thousand are supposed to publish by August
1st of this year, their levies, their publication, and court

1. decisions upheld the necessity of doing that, and if they
2. don't meet that deadline for whatever the reason, whether it's
3. failure to get their multiplier from the Department of Revenue,
4. whether it's the fact that we okay legislation, the Governor
5. signs it, which affects that levy, they're going to be holding
6. the bag, so to speak. But what this does is, strike the language
7. of August 1st, and it makes it December 31st of this year in
8. all counties of less than two million inhabitants. All counties
9. presently over fifty thousand have a December publication date.
10. This would make it consistent for all the counties.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Senator McMillan.

13. SENATOR MCMILLAN:

14. I have certainly no objection. I would make one small
15. correction for the record. It's not the levy that we're talking
16. about, it's the...the assessments, and this is something we've
17. been working on. I have no objection. I would seek a favorable
18. roll call.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. The question is, shall Amendment No. 1 be adopted. Those
21. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have
22. it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

23. SECRETARY:

24. No further amendments.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

26. 3rd reading. House Bill 3448, Senator Coffey. Read the
27. bill, Mr. Secretary.

28. SECRETARY:

29. House Bill 3448.

30. (Secretary reads title of bill)

31. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Transportation offers
32. one amendment.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Coffey.
2. SENATOR COFFEY:
3. Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. This
4. amendment is a very simple one. What it does, it includes
5. the word elderly in the bill.
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
7. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is,
8. shall Amendment No. 1 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by
9. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1
10. is adopted. Any further amendments?
11. SECRETARY:
12. No further committee amendments.
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
14. Any amendments from the Floor?
15. SECRETARY:
16. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Keats.
17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
18. Senator Keats.
19. SENATOR KEATS:
20. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
21. Senate. This is an amendment that clears up a past mistake.
22. With a referendum right, it allows us to do away with a district
23. that no longer has any function. It does give the money back
24. to the same town, and everyone is fairly treated. I'd appreciate
25. your support.
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
27. Is there further discussion? If not, the...Senator Rock.
28. Could we have a little order, please. Could we break up those
29. conferences. Senator Rock.
30. SENATOR ROCK:
31. I just wonder, if...if the Senator would explain to what
32. entity does this pertain?
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Keats.

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7.
- 8.
- 9.
- 10.
- 11.
- 12.
- 13.
- 14.
- 15.
- 16.
- 17.
- 18.
- 19.
- 20.
- 21.
- 22.
- 23.
- 24.
- 25.
- 26.
- 27.
- 28.
- 29.
- 30.
- 31.
- 32.
- 33.

SENATOR KEATS:

It pertains to an Indian village in my neighborhood.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

That's not the way I read it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Keats, would you...

SENATOR KEATS:

Well, it is a very large village.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Would you be more specific, Senator, and save us a little time.

SENATOR KEATS:

It's a...it does deal with the suburb of Winnetka.

SENATOR ROCK:

Well I...it just seems to me that we are attempting, and I will ask the Chair for a ruling, that this amendment probably is not germane to this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Yes, Senator Rock, the Chair will rule that the amendment is not germane. The main bill deals with the ability to make grants to not-for-profit corporations, and the amendment deals with urban transit districts and their referendum to continue them. Is there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

I was wondering, using rulings of the Chair, and you know... I know you're always fair and reasonable. But what does the Hambletonian and the State Fair have to do with...on that amendment yesterday, and I was thinking this one, which does change the title

HB 3474
2nd Reading
6-19-80

- 1. and does amend a new portion, is certainly a lot closer.
- 2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 3. Senator, that question is not germane to this discussion.
- 4. Any further amendments?
- 5. SECRETARY:
- 6. No further amendments.
- 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 8. 3rd reading. House Bill 3474, Senator Philip. Read the
- 9. bill, Mr. Secretary.
- 10. SECRETARY:
- 11. House Bill 3474.
- 12. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 13. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Executive offers
- 14. three amendments.
- 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 16. Senator Philip.
- 17. SENATOR PHILIP:
- 18. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
- 19. Senate. Amendment No. 1 was a clerical mistake, simply changed
- 20. the word commissioner to chairman. I move the adoption of
- 21. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3474.
- 22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 23. Is there further discussion? Senator Egan.
- 24. SENATOR EGAN:
- 25. I would like to address my remarks, Mr. President, to, I
- 26. think, Amendment No. 3. Is that correct?
- 27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 28. On Amendment No. 1, the question is, shall it be adopted.
- 29. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The
- 30. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?
- 31. SECRETARY:
- 32. Committee Amendment No. 2.
- 33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Philip.

2. SENATOR PHILIP:

3. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of
4. the Senate. Amendment No. 2 simply changes the reporting
5. date from February 1 to June 30th, 1981. I move the adoption
6. of Committee Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 3474.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Is there further discussion? If not the question is,
9. shall Amendment No. 2 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by
10. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.
11. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?

12. SECRETARY:

13. Committee Amendment No. 3.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Senator Philip.

16. SENATOR PHILIP:

17. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
18. Senate. This is Senator Egan's amendment. I think that he
19. has been in communication with the Governor's Office, and I'll
20. let him handle his amendment. Thank you.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Senator Egan.

23. SENATOR EGAN:

24. Yes, thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate.
25. I would move, if I may, to Table that amendment. In order to
26. do that I would have to reconsider the vote by which it was
27. adopted, or just...

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

29. No...no Senator, all you have to do is move to Table.

30. SENATOR EGAN:

31. I would ask leave of the Body to Table Amendment No. 3.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. You've heard the motion. Is leave granted? Leave is granted.

1. Amendment No. 3 is Tabled. Any further amendments?
2. SECRETARY:
3. No further committee amendments.
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
5. Any amendments from the Floor?
6. SECRETARY:
7. No Floor amendments.
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
9. 3rd reading. House Bill 3475, Senator Schaffer. Senator
10. Schaffer. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
11. SECRETARY:
12. House Bill 3475.
13. (Secretary reads title of bill)
14. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Public Health, Welfare,
15. and Corrections offers one amendment.
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
17. Senator Schaffer.
18. SENATOR SCHAFFER:
19. Simply put, the language in the bill, as it came over from
20. the House, was unacceptable to the members of the committee. We're
21. trying to comply with some Federal regulations. We had staff
22. go back from the department, and reword the thing to our sat-
23. isfaction, and that's what the amendment accomplishes. Anyone
24. wants a further amendment...or discussion I'd be happy to enter
25. into it.
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
27. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall
28. Amendment No. 1 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying
29. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted.
30. Any further amendments?
31. SECRETARY:
32. No further committee amendments.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Any amendments from the Floor?
2. SECRETARY:
3. No Floor amendments.
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
5. 3rd reading. House Bill 3482, Senator...oh, for what
6. purpose does Senator Shapiro arise?
7. SENATOR SHAPIRO:
8. Mr. President, Senator Berning is in a House committee
9. appearing on a bill. I would like to ask leave of the Body to
10. return...this order of business when he returns to the Senate
11. Floor.
12. PRESIDING OFFICER:(SENATOR SAVICKAS)
13. You've heard the motion. Is leave granted? Leave is granted.
14. House Bill 3485, Senator Geo-Karis. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
15. SECRETARY:
16. House Bill 3485.
17. (Secretary reads title of bill)
18. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Insurance and Licensed
19. Activities offers two amendments.
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
21. Senator Geo-Karis.
22. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
23. Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
24. I've consulted with the chairman of the committee, and I'd like
25. at this time, and I have the consent, to Table the two amendments.
26. PRESIDING OFFICER:(SENATOR SAVICKAS)
27. Senator, we'll take them one at a time. At this point,
28. Senator Geo-Karis has moved to Table Amendment No. 1 to House
29. Bill 3485. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senator Geo-
30. Karis now moves to Table Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 3485. Is
31. leave granted? Leave is granted. Are there further amendments?
32. SECRETARY:
33. No further committee amendments.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
2. Any amendments from the Floor?
3. SECRETARY:
4. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Geo-Karis.
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
6. Senator Geo-Karis.
7. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
8. Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
9. This is the amendment that I'd promised the committee that
10. I would offer on the Floor. And what it says is, when a
11. mastectomy is performed and there is no evidence of malignancy
12. then the offered coverage may be limited to the provision
13. of prosthetic devices and reconstructive surgery, to...within
14. two years after the day of the mastectomy. However, where there
15. is a mastectomy performed for malignancy, it's open. I'd move
16. the adoption of this amendment.
17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
18. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is,
19. shall Amendment No. 3 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by
20. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.
21. 3 is adopted. Any further amendments?
22. SECRETARY:
23. No further amendments.
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
25. 3rd reading. House Bill 3487, Senator Vadalabene. Read
26. the bill, Mr. Secretary.
27. SECRETARY:
28. House Bill 3487.
29. (Secretary reads title of bill)
30. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
32. Any amendments from the Floor?
33. SECRETARY:

1. Amendment...Floor Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator
2. Regner.
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
4. Senator...for what purpose does Senator Vadalabene arise?
5. SENATOR VADALABENE:
6. Yes, this committee amendment has been worked out with
7. ...this Floor amendment has been worked out with Senator Regner,
8. and I will approve the amendment.
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
10. Senator Regner.
11. SENATOR REGNER:
12. Now, I'll tell everybody what it is. Under this amendment,
13. only agencies without permanent improvement line items would
14. be allowed to expend contractual funds for small improvement
15. projects totaling five thousand dollars or less. Agencies with
16. a permanent improvement line would be required to continue to
17. make all improvements through the permanent improvement line
18. item, which is approved through the appropriation process. The
19. bill as originally presented, really wouldn't let anybody to
20. make permanent improvements of less than five thousand dollars
21. out of Contractual Services. That certainly isn't the intent
22. of the appropriation process, and this amendment was worked
23. out with the Comptroller's Office to control the expenditures,
24. and I'd move its adoption.
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
26. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall
27. Amendment No. 1 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying
28. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is
29. adopted. Any further amendments?
30. SECRETARY:
31. No further amendments.
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
33. 3rd reading. House Bill 3488, Senator Nedza. Read the

1. bill, Mr. Secretary.
2. SECRETARY:
3. House Bill 3488.
4. (Secretary reads title of bill)
5. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
7. Any amendments from the Floor?
8. SECRETARY:
9. No Floor amendments.
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
11. 3rd reading. House Bill 3489. Senator Chew. Senator
12. Chew. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
13. SECRETARY:
14. House Bill 3489.
15. (Secretary reads title of bill)
16. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
18. Any amendments from the Floor?
19. SECRETARY:
20. No Floor amendments.
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
22. 3rd reading. House Bill 3490, Senator Nedza. Move...read
23. the bill, Mr. Secretary.
24. SECRETARY:
25. House Bill 3490.
26. (Secretary reads title of bill)
27. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Transportation offers
28. two amendments.
29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
30. Senator Nedza.
31. SENATOR NEDZA:
32. On the amendment? One or two?
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

HB 3498
2nd reading
6-19-80

1. On Amendment No. 1.

2. SENATOR NEDZA:

3. Oh, that was Senator Coffey's amendment to the bill,

4. which I concur with.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. Senator Coffey.

7. SENATOR COFFEY:

8. Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. On Amend-

9. ment No. 1 I would like to move to Table that amendment at

10. this time.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. You've heard the motion. Senator Coffey moves to Table

13. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3490. Is leave granted? Leave

14. is granted. Any further amendments?

15. SECRETARY:

16. Committee Amendment No. 2.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Senator Nedza.

19. SENATOR NEDZA:

20. Take the bill out of the record.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Take it out of the record. Since action has been taken

23. on the bill, we will leave the bill on 2nd reading, and

24. proceed now to House Bill 3498, Senator Maitland. Read the

25. bill, Mr. Secretary.

26. SECRETARY:

27. House Bill 3498.

28. (Secretary reads title of bill)

29. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Elementary and

30. Secondary Education offers one amendment.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) .

32. Senator Maitland.

33. SENATOR MAITLAND:

1. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of
2. the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 simply makes the bill
3. permissive. It was asked for and granted to the University
4. of Illinois...this amendment be adopted. I would move for its
5. adoption.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. You've heard the motion. Any discussion? If not, the
8. question is, shall Amendment No. 1 be adopted. Those in favor
9. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it.
10. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

11. SECRETARY:

12. No further committee amendments.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

14. Any amendments from the Floor?

15. SECRETARY:

16. No Floor amendments.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. 3rd reading. House Bill 3505, Senator Knuppel. Read
19. the bill, Mr. Secretary.

20. SECRETARY:

21. House Bill 3505.

22. (Secretary reads title of bill)

23. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. Any amendments from the Floor?

26. SECRETARY:

27. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Knuppel.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

29. Senator Knuppel.

30. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

31. What...what Senate Amendment No. 1 does, it provides that
32. land held in land trusts that's heretofore been assessed in
33. Inheritance Taxes as Personal Property to the State of residence
of the decedent as long as it's real estate, will hereafter be

1. assessed in the State of Illinois, where it should be assessed.
2. It is real estate, it's merely under the trust arrangement.
3. And that's primarily what the amendment does, the rest of
4. it is...is technical in nature.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is,
7. shall Amendment No. 1 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by
8. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.
9. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

10. SECRETARY:

11. No further amendments.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. 3rd reading. House Bill 3511, Senator Grotberg. Read
14. the bill, Mr. Secretary.

15. SECRETARY:

16. House Bill 3511.

17. (Secretary reads title of bill)

18. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Any amendments from the Floor?

21. SECRETARY:

22. No Floor amendments.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. 3rd reading. House Bill 3536, Senator Davidson. House
25. Bill 3538, Senator Chew. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

26. SECRETARY:

27. House Bill 3538.

28. (Secretary reads title of bill)

29. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Transportation offers
30. one amendment.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Senator Chew. Do you wish to offer it, Senator? Senator
33. Chew wishes to offer Amendment No. 1, which he says is technical.

1. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall
2. Amendment No. 1 be adopted. Senator Coffey.
3. SENATOR COFFEY:
4. Yes, I'd like to...I have a question of the sponsor of
5. this amendment.
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
7. He indicates he will yield.
8. SENATOR COFFEY:
9. Senator, what's...what's entailed in this amendment?
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
11. Senator Chew.
12. SENATOR CHEW:
13. Senator, this is the amendment that was agreed upon, that
14. it would be attached to this bill, by leadership. As you know
15. when we called the bill it was not...enough bodies on the Floor,
16. and it's very important that we get it in on this...this time.
17. It deals with the high speed rail.
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
19. Senator Coffey.
20. SENATOR COFFEY:
21. Yes, Senator Chew, do we know what the cost impact of
22. this amendment is at this time?
23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
24. Senator Chew.
25. SENATOR CHEW:
26. It's around three hundred thousand dollars, that is
27. the projected cost impact to be spread over a period of time. This
28. amendment does give the Governor the authority to appoint the
29. members on it, for a feasibility study.
30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
31. Senator Coffey. Is there...is there further discussion?
32. If not, the question is, shall Amendment No. 1 be adopted. Those
33. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes...the

1. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amend-
2. ments?
3. SECRETARY:
4. No further committee amendments.
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
6. Any amendments from the Floor?
7. SECRETARY:
8. No Floor amendments.
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
10. 3rd reading.
11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
12. House Bill 3540, Senator DeAngelis. Read the bill, Mr.
13. Secretary.
14. SECRETARY:
15. House Bill 3540.
16. (Secretary reads title of bill)
17. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Labor and Commerce
18. offers one amendment.
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
20. Senator DeAngelis.
21. SENATOR DeANGELIS:
22. Thank you, Mr. President. The committee amendment...the
23. bill itself creates the Emergency Housing Act. The committee
24. amendment indicates the mechanism by which it's triggered. The
25. method...the direction of which the funds will flow, and the
26. authority to the Illinois Housing Development Authority to
27. create the standards by which it'll disburse the monies.
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
29. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No.
30. 1 to House Bill 3540 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying
31. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is
32. adopted. Are there further committee amendments?
33. SECRETARY:

HD 3542
2nd Reading
6-19-80

1. No further committee amendments.
2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
3. Are there amendments from the Floor?
4. SECRETARY:
5. No Floor amendments.
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
7. 3rd reading. House Bill 3542, Senator Merlo. Just a moment.
8. Excuse me. Senator DeAngelis.
9. SENATOR DeANGELIS:
10. There's a Floor amendment, it's filed up there.
11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
12. Just a moment. We'll have to bring that bill back from the
13. Order of 3rd reading to 2nd reading. I understand there was
14. a Floor amendment. The bill is now on the Order of 2nd reading.
15. SECRETARY:
16. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator DeAngelis.
17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
18. Senator DeAngelis.
19. SENATOR DeANGELIS:
20. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 sets a cap on
21. the bonding authority of the Illinois Housing Development
22. Authority for this particular purpose.
23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
24. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No.
25. 2 to House Bill 3540 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by
26. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.
27. 2 is adopted. Are there further Floor amendments?
28. SECRETARY:
29. No further Floor amendments.
30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
31. 3rd reading. House Bill 3542, Senator Merlo. Read the bill,
32. Mr. Secretary.
- 33.

(END OF REEL)

1. SECRETARY:
2. House Bill 3542.
3. (Secretary reads title of bill)
4. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
6. Are there amendments from the Floor?
7. SECRETARY:
8. Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Martin.
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
10. Senator Martin.
11. SENATOR MARTIN:
12. Like Senator Chew's, this is just a technical amendment,
13. that would provide a referendum for the Chicago Park District.
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
15. Is there discussion? Senator Rock.
16. SENATOR ROCK:
17. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of
18. the Senate. I rise in opposition to Amendment No. 1. I
19. think the subject of the increase in the Corporate Tax rate
20. for the Chicago Park District has been discussed at great
21. length; both in the media and otherwise in our fair city,
22. and I think a referendum would be self-defeating; and I would
23. urge that...that we take the responsibility that is ours
24. and vote or not vote, but to have every question of this
25. magnitude...to have it presented in the form of a referendum
26. seems to be not in our best interest, and I would urge opposition
27. to Amendment No. 1.
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
29. Is there further discussion? Senator Martin may close.
30. SENATOR MARTIN:
31. I would just say, our responsibility is to let the people
32. vote. Let's let Chicago be like downstate.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. Those in favor of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3542
2. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Noes have it.
3. Amendment No. 1 fails. Are there further amendments?
4. SECRETARY:
5. No further amendments.
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
7. 3rd reading. House Bill 3544, Senator D'Arco. Read the
8. bill, Mr. Secretary.
9. SECRETARY:
10. House Bill 3544.
11. (Secretary reads title of bill)
12. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
14. Are there amendments from the Floor?
15. SECRETARY:
16. Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator D'Arco.
17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
18. Senator D'Arco.
19. SENATOR D'ARCO:
20. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 would amend
21. House Bill 3544 to provide that the Clerk of the Circuit
22. Court in populations over a million, would be paid not more
23. than fifty-five thousand, from the currently...current amount
24. of forty-two-five; and I would move to adopt Amendment No.
25. 1 to House Bill 3544.
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
27. Is there discussion? Senator Rhoads.
28. SENATOR RHOADS:
29. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate, and I...
30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
31. Just a minute, Senator. Will the Senate please be in order.
32. You can't hear a thing. Senator Rock.
33. SENATOR ROCK:

1. Thank you, Mr. President. Could we suggest that the
2. staff conferences be taken off the Floor. We've got all kinds
3. of offices and hallway space; let's just get the staff
4. conferences off the Floor.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Let's...let's insist. Will the Sergeant-at-Arms clear
7. the aisles. Senator Rhoads.

8. SENATOR RHOADS:

9. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.
10. Senator D'Arco, first of all, thank you for offering this
11. amendment on behalf of my constituent, Morgan Findley. I
12. note that in the amendment we do not change the ceiling for
13. the salary for the clerks of circuit courts in any other
14. county level. Why is this affecting only the County of Cook?

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

16. Senator D'Arco. Senator Rock.

17. SENATOR ROCK:

18. My recollection was, we did that last year for every
19. county but Cook.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Senator Rhoads.

22. SENATOR RHOADS:

23. What are the other county officers now paid, Senator D'Arco?
24. Does this put the clerk of the circuit court on the same level
25. with them?

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

27. Senator D'Arco.

28. SENATOR D'ARCO:

29. Yes, it does. That's why we took the fifty-five thousand
30. dollar figure, because it would be consistent with the county
31. treasurer and the county clerk both being paid that exact amount
32. of money. So, we thought, because his responsibilities are even
33. greater than theirs, that he should be paid at least the same
34. amount of money.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
2. Senator Rhoads.
3. SENATOR RHOADS:
4. Well, Senator, I think Morgan Findley deserves a raise.
5. Tell him where he got it.
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
7. Is there further discussion? The question is...shall
8. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3544 be adopted. Those in favor
9. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it.
10. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Are there further amendments?
11. SECRETARY:
12. No further amendments.
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
14. 3rd reading. House Bill 3577, Senator Lemke. Read the
15. bill, Mr. Secretary.
16. SECRETARY:
17. House Bill 3577.
18. (Secretary reads title of bill)
19. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Transportation offers
20. one amendment.
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
22. Senator Lemke.
23. SENATOR LEMKE:
24. What this is is a clarification made. It clarifies the
25. language pertaining to State and municipally owned vehicles
26. that will be registered at no charge by the Secretary of
27. State. It stipulates that a permanent vehicle registration
28. plate shall be issued at no charge to vehicles owned and operated
29. by the State of Illinois, or by any State agency financed by
30. funds appropriated by the General Assembly.
31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
32. This is Amendment No. 2, apparently. Is that correct,
33. Mr. Secretary?

AB 3614
6-19-80
2nd Reading

1. SENATOR LEMKE:
2. It's Amendment No. 1, according to...
3. SECRETARY:
4. I'm sorry. It's Amendment No. 1.
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
6. The board is incorrect. This is Amendment No. 1, I am
7. told. Committee Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion? The
8. question is shall Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill
9. 3577 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye.
10. Those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is
11. adopted. Are there further committee amendments?
12. SECRETARY:
13. No further committee amendments.
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
15. Are there amendments from the Floor?
16. SECRETARY:
17. No Floor amendments.
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
19. 3rd reading. House Bill 3614, Senator Martin-Geo-Karis.
20. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
21. SECRETARY:
22. House Bill 3614.
23. (Secretary reads title of bill)
24. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
26. Are there amendments from the Floor?
27. SECRETARY:
28. Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Jerome Joyce.
29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
30. Senator Jerome Joyce.
31. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:
32. Thank...thank you, Mr. President.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. Will the members please be in their seat. Let's break
2. up the caucuses, please. Senator Regner, Senator Hall,
3. Senator Collins and everybody else. Proceed.

4. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

5. Thank you. This amendment would put the bill that we
6. passed out of here, the Nuclear Spent-Fuel Rod Bill, on
7. this bill. The...it's the reciprocal bill that passed out
8. of here, I think, 45 to 5 or something. The problem being
9. that the bill, when it got to the House, for some reason,
10. got caught in the...some kind of a shuffle or mix-up over
11. there, and never got posted for Rules. So, it never got a
12. hearing at all. The Rules Committee never got to hear it;
13. the House sponsor tried to by-pass Rules, and with their
14. rules in the House, it takes 107 votes to by-pass. Well,
15. it got 106 votes, twice. So, I would like the...yeah, right.
16. I would like the House to have an opportunity to vote on
17. this bill. I...I think it is...it is ludicrous that the
18. State of Illinois now would...with the G.E.Facility in Morris,
19. going to be, if nothing has happened, just going to be taken
20. over by the Federal Government for...to be, probably, the only...
21. way from a Reactor Storage site in the United States, and we're
22. going to be taking spent fuel from foreign countries, and...
23. and I just think that the...we owe it to the members in the
24. House that would like to vote on this, and the people in the
25. State of Illinois, and especially the people of Morris to give
26. them an opportunity to do so. I would appreciate your support.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

28. Senator Rock.

29. SENATOR ROCK:

30. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
31. Senate. I rise in support of Amendment No. 1. This matter
32. was discussed at some length in the Senate; and frankly, through
33. a mix-up in the House, the House did not have the opportunity to

1. address this most important issue. We are a State that is,
2. frankly, under some scrutiny. We have no stated public policy
3. in this respect, and I think we should. This amendment will
4. do that, and I urge its adoption.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
6. Senator Knuppel.

7. SENATOR KNUPPEL:
8. Yes, Senator Joyce, are you striking everything from the...
9. Enacting Clause down?

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
11. Senator Joyce.

12. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:
13. No. This is...

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
15. Senator Knuppel.

16. SENATOR KNUPPEL:
17. We had quite a discussion in committee about it, and an
18. amendment can change a lot of things. What...is this not the
19. bill that came through committee?

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
21. Senator Joyce.

22. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:
23. This is a different bill. This is Senator Martin's bill,
24. dealing with creating the, whatever it is, the Department of
25. Nuclear Safety. This is just an amendment to that bill. This
26. has nothing to do with the bill that came through our committee.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
28. Is there further discussion? Senator Knuppel. Senator Nimrod.

29. SENATOR KNUPPEL:
30. Well, I get pretty...I'd...
31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
32. Just a moment. Senator Knuppel.

33. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

1. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

2. I get pretty frightened with these amendments that come
3. along like this, and he...he's got the right to put the bill
4. in the condition he wants to put it in. I want to see it
5. after it's on.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

7. Senator Nimrod.

8. SENATOR NIMROD:

9. Mr. President, I was opposed to the bill before and I'm...
10. I think that this bill has had a fair chance to be heard in
11. the House; they voted twice, it only got 106 votes. The members
12. of the House do not support this concept. I think that we...
13. we are aware that there is, in fact, the Presidential Committee
14. that is, in fact, studying, of which Senator Joyce is a member
15. of that committee. We ought to get a report before we start
16. taking action that contradicts those committee actions; secondly,
17. the Governor has set up a Nuclear Safety Committee. I think
18. they are, in fact, looking at these very problems. They are
19. coming back with some answers to us. What we are trying to do,
20. in fact, is to arrive at a conclusion, to put it on the
21. Governor's Desk again, when we have approved two studies to be
22. made, and we have an active participant, one member of...I
23. think about fifteen members nationally, and Senator Joyce's
24. views can certainly be heard at that national level. Now,
25. the House has had a chance and said No. I don't think we
26. should be sending it back again. This question has come up
27. seven or eight times; not just once. It came up the previous
28. year...in the same form, in other bills. I...I think that
29. what we ought to do is...the Morris facility is a very safe
30. facility, for its...for us to be saying that we're going to
31. take some action right now, before we know what we're even
32. taking actions on, is absolutely wrong. The Federal Government...
33. is coming up with a policy; the State is going to be re-

1. commending a policy to us; and before we take some action that
2. we really don't know what we're doing, we ought to wait, and
3. at least get those reports and then act intelligently and with
4. some reason; and that is our responsibility, so, I would urge
5. the defeat of this amendment.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

7. Senator Martin.

8. SENATOR MARTIN:

9. Yes, I think I find myself in a quandry with this. I
10. support, and did support Senator Joyce's bill. It is difficult,
11. though, to add it on, such substantive legislation as an amend-
12. ment to what is really an administration bill. It is obvious
13. the will of the Senate will prevail on that; but I do have,
14. and Senator Joyce and I have talked about that, mixed emotions.
15. I would really like to see the bill kept just with the Depart-
16. ment of Nuclear Safety, and any amendments that reflect directly
17. on that; but I appreciate the difficulties that Senator Joyce
18. found himself in in the other House; so, whatever the Senate
19. wants on it.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Senator Mitchler.

22. SENATOR MITCHLER:

23. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I
24. appreciate the remarks of Senator Nimrod, which really reflects
25. my thinking on the amendment itself; but when...Senator Joyce,
26. you mentioned that on two occasions the House did attempt to
27. take the bill from the Senate Rules...from the House Rules
28. Committee, and both times it failed. The Senate Rules Committee
29. did...the...rather, the House Rules Committee did, in fact,
30. have an opportunity to review the bill, and in their wisdom
31. did not seek to release it. Now, we've had this up time and
32. time again; and the main bill, House Bill 3614, that we have
33. before us creates a Department of Nuclear Safety, and I think,

1. it is not proper to amend on this type of legislation to that
2. bill. That will only prolong the activity. Your bill had a
3. fair hearing in the Senate; it had a fair hearing in the House,
4. and it...in their wisdom they did not desire to pass it. I'm
5. not going on to the merits of your amendment, because that
6. would jeopardize my vote on the entire bill, and I don't want
7. to do that. So, I'd ask for the defeat of this amendment.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. Senator Joyce may close.

10. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

11. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I feel that I should state
12. that the House Rules did not get a chance to review this; it
13. was never in House Rules, through some mix-up it did not get
14. there. I think the sentiments of the House were expressed
15. when it got 106 votes, twice. It only takes 89 to pass a bill.
16. So, I would urge that you would give them the opportunity to
17. vote on this bill. I would appreciate an Aye vote. Thank you.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. The question is shall Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3614
20. be adopted. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay.
21. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all
22. those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the
23. Ayes are 33, the Nays are 21. Amendment No. 1 is adopted to
24. House Bill 3614. Are there further amendments?

25. SECRETARY:

26. Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Netsch; and it is...

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

28. Senator Netsch.

29. SENATOR NETSCH:

30. Which amendment do you have?

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. Amendment No. 2.

33. SECRETARY:

1. a...a...a, b and c, as you have them marked.

2. SENATOR NETSCH:

3. All right. The first amendment is a technical amendment,
4. which...which...which corrects some mistakes that were found
5. in the basic bill. This was prepared by the respective staffs
6. at a time when, I was a...one of the sponsors of the bill,
7. which I no longer am. This has been cleared with staff on
8. both sides and with Senator Martin, and I would move the
9. adoption of Amendment No. 2. This, incidentally, Mr. Secretary,
10. is the one that starts out "amend House Bill 3614," on page
11. six, lines one and two, by deleting 'br transfer to the
12. Department of Nuclear Safety." Right. I would move the
13. adoption of Amendment No. 2.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. Senator Rhoads.

16. SENATOR RHOADS:

17. I didn't hear what the amendment did either, Senator.
18. If you could go over it a little slower, and...

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

20. Senator Netsch.

21. SENATOR NETSCH:

22. The amendment was distributed yesterday. It should be
23. on your desks, somewhere, by the way. I will be happy to do
24. that; I am just telling you that it is also available to you.
25. All right. The first thing that it does is that...it deletes
26. the words "or transfer to the Department of Nuclear Safety,"
27. on page six, lines one and two. Now, we will find...all
28. right, and that language is deleted, because it is redundant.
29. The second thing that it does is that...several places through-
30. out the Act the word "now" appears, which is vague in context,
31. and it...and that has been substituted with "on the effective
32. date of this Amendatory Act of 1980," so that it is clear what
33. the word "now" means. The third thing that it does is the

1. word "steam-generating" is spelled with a hyphen in other
2. parts of the Act, and had not been in the particular references
3. which have now been corrected; so that we are consistently
4. spelling "steam-generating" with a hyphen. The fourth thing
5. that it does is there is one erroneous reference to nuclear
6. safety, meaning the department thereof, and that has been re-
7. placed with a reference to the Department of Public Health,
8. which is the correct reference. The fifth thing that it did,
9. in the...the list of the activities that were to be transferred
10. to the new department, one that had been transferred from the
11. Department of Public Health was a responsibility for film badge
12. monitoring, and that had been erroneously not deleted from
13. the existing responsibilities of the Department of Public
14. Health.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

16. Senator Rhoads, did you understand that explanation?

17. SENATOR RHOADS:

18. Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Netsch, on line six
19. you explained "functions performed on the effective date of
20. this Amendatory Act of 1980" as...by the Department of Law,
21. then what...what language follows that? That's not a complete
22. sentence, and this obviously, wasn't drafted by the Reference
23. Bureau. What language follows on line seven in the bill?

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

25. Senator Netsch.

26. SENATOR NETSCH:

27. All right. The way it would read...are you on page eight?
28. Where's Senator Rhoads?

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

30. Senator Rhoads.

31. SENATOR NETSCH:

32. Let's see. Let's make sure we have it correct. Page
33. eight, you delete line eighteen, and insert the following:

1. so that it reads, of nuclear waste, "functions now"..now it
2. will read, "functions performed on the effective date of
3. this Amendatory Act of 1980 by the Department of Law," then
4. you pick up line nineteen "enforcement...Department of
5. Transportation and Emergency Services and Disaster Agency."
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

7. Senator Rhoads.

8. SENATOR RHOADS:

9. Okay. Then, secondarily, Senator Netsch, do each of
10. these amendments that you're about to offer stand independently
11. of one another, and not relate to...I mean, is it possible
12. to vote on Amendment No. 3 separately, with respect to the
13. Atomic Energy Commission?

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. Senator Netsch.

16. SENATOR NETSCH:

17. Yes.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. Is there further discussion? Senator Knuppel.

20. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

21. Well, I just want to apologize to Senator Netsch, when
22. she...before, she said to me, she says, she put it on the
23. desk and to read it. I have some problems reading, Senator,
24. with my eyes; and if I read all that stuff, I'd be blind, and
25. I'm almost blind the way it is. I appreciate an explanation.
26. Thank you.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

28. Senator Maragos.

29. SENATOR MARAGOS:

30. I'd like to have the sponsor of the amendment...would she
31. yield to a question?

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

33. She indicates she will.

1. SENATOR MARAGOS:

2. In this amendment, Senator Netsch, I notice that you are
3. abolishing the Illinois Commission on Atomic Energy.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

5. Senator Netsch.

6. SENATOR NETSCH:

7. That is not in this amendment.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. Is there...is there further discussion? The question is
10. shall Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 3614 be adopted. Those
11. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes
12. have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Are there further
13. amendments?

14. SECRETARY:

15. Floor Amendment No. 3, offered by Senator Netsch.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

17. Senator Netsch.

18. SENATOR NETSCH:

19. Thank you. This amendment, which was suggested during
20. the course of the committee's deliberations, adds to the Act
21. a...the position of Assistant Director of Nuclear Safety.
22. The reason for doing this is that there was intended to be
23. an Assistant Director, but it was not in the Statute. By
24. putting it in the Statute, we can make it...we will make the
25. position subject to Senate advice and consent. I would point
26. out that at the request of the Governor's Office we did not
27. specify the salary for that position; and the reason for that
28. is that the...the Administration does not currently know
29. whether it will have a generalist as the Director and a
30. technical person as Assistant Director, in which case the...
31. the salary of the Assistant Director would be, probably,
32. very close to that of the Director; or reverse the roles,
33. and we...we wanted to allow them that degree of flexibility, but

1. in any event, this will make the position of Assistant Director
2. subject to Senate advice and consent.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

4. Is there any...

5. SENATOR NETSCH:

6. There was no objection from the Administration, by the
7. way.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. Senator Geo-Karis.

10. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

11. Just a point of clarification, Mr. President. The amend-
12. ment of which she speaks is one that's only about a line and
13. a half long?

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. Senator Netsch.

16. SENATOR NETSCH:

17. That is correct; and it specifically says, "in the
18. Department of Nuclear Safety, Assistant Director of Nuclear
19. Safety."

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Is there further discussion? The question is shall
22. Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 3614 be adopted. Those in favor
23. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it.
24. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

25. SECRETARY:

26. Floor Amendment No. 4, offered by Senator Netsch.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

28. Senator Netsch.

29. SENATOR NETSCH:

30. Thank you. On this one, I would expect that it would be
31. more controversial, and I would ask the members to pay attention.
32. The amendment...as you understand the bill now creates, in
33. accordance with the Governor's Executive Order No. 3, a

1. Department of Nuclear Safety. The implementing bill, which
2. is this bill, attempts to consolidate, somewhat more, all of
3. the overall responsibility for nuclear policy and nuclear
4. safety in the proposed new agency. One of the things that
5. came up during the course of our committee's hearings was
6. whether there would continue to be a role for the...Legislative
7. Commission on Atomic Energy. The answers which I got from those
8. who were before us was that the kind of function that they
9. performed would certainly continue to be very important; but
10. not everyone was concerned whether it be in a Legislative
11. Commission or in some other form. I think it is, perhaps, a
12. close question; but it seems to me that one of the objections
13. that many members of the Legislature have had to some of the
14. re-organization proposals is that they continue to add layers
15. of government, but never take anything away. What this
16. amendment is designed to do is to offer to the members of
17. the Senate an opportunity to abolish a commission, whose functions
18. could very well be performed by an advisory council, with
19. almost identical membership within the new department. I am
20. not in any way suggesting that the Commission on Atomic Energy
21. has or has not done a good job; I think that there are many
22. who feel that it has made some very significant contributions.
23. What I am suggesting is that for members who feel that we
24. are over-legislative commissioned to death, at least the amend-
25. ment offers you an opportunity to express that by voting to
26. abolish the commission, create an advisory council within the
27. new department with membership that would be almost identical
28. to the membership...the...the non-legislative membership of
29. the existing commission. I don't feel that this is...this is
30. certainly not an absolute necessity, as far as I'm concerned;
31. but I think we would be remiss if we did not at least specifically
32. address the question. That is the purpose of the amendment,
33. and that is what the amendment does.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. Senator Rock. There are many that wish to address this
3. issue; so, I think we can be guided accordingly. Senator Rock.

4. SENATOR ROCK:

5. Thank...thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen
6. of the Senate. I will be brief; but I rise in opposition to
7. Amendment No. 4. Although the persons who are purported to,
8. or will be appointed to this suggested advisory council appear
9. to be the same, I would suggest to the members that we are
10. by virtue of...if this amendment is adopted, we are effectively
11. taking out any legislative input from this...to this commission.
12. We have four legislative members now on this commission; and
13. frankly, with the ten that are appointed by the Governor, we
14. have some...real legitimate experts who work for and advise
15. the State at no cost. It just seems to me that this amendment
16. is ill-conceived and I would urge a No vote.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

18. Senator Maragos.

19. SENATOR MARAGOS:

20. Mr. President and members of the Senate, I echo the remarks
21. of our Senate President Rock and state having served on this
22. commission and having had the privilege of being its Chairman
23. for many years, I find that if we eliminate the legislative
24. input into this very important field, we are doing a disservice
25. to the people of the State of Illinois. I should state that
26. the many of the public members that have been appointed are
27. scientists in this whole area and who, by osmosis, I had the
28. opportunity to learn from and to become somewhat familiar,
29. as a Legislator of this great problem of nuclear safety.
30. We amended the bylaws...of that commission, and the statutory
31. mandates of that commission several years ago to state that
32. we will not encourage nuclear, but to supervise nuclear power
33. in this State. Unfortunately, because of the...many of the

1. U. S. Supreme Court decisions, and many other agency...
2. actions and Congressional actions, the states have been
3. limited as to how they could regulate atomic energy plants.
4. However, this commission, which is an advisory commission
5. and not a regulatory commission of the State of Illinois,
6. has had great input in this whole area; and you cannot tell
7. me when Dr. Gustafson and others, who have been very very
8. instrumental throughout the years in giving the effects of
9. the radio-active materials in the State of Illinois. Through
10. this commission we were able to get enough expertise and to
11. prepare...prepare a law which we now have on the books for
12. the transportation of hazard materials on our highways, of
13. which I happen to be the sponsor, and all these good pieces
14. of legislation have come in because there has been legislative
15. input. By this...by this amendment, we are going to take the
16. legislative input out of it, and there will be no direct
17. correlation between what the Executive is doing and what we,
18. as a Legislature, are doing on this particular important area.
19. Therefore, I ask, that we do not support this amendment and
20. let the Act...let the situation stay as it is, because the
21. Atomic Energy Commission plays an important function in the
22. State of Illinois. -

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

24. Senator Philip.

25. SENATOR PHILIP:

26. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
27. Senate. I'd also...would like to resist this amendment, and I'd like
28. to make two points. First of all, quite frankly, the thing
29. that makes a commission good are the public members; no disrespect
30. to my fellow Senators, but that...is what really has made the
31. Atomic Energy Commission so good. Dr. Gustafson, Mr. Haig
32. and science and technology, are the authorities; in medicine
33. and health, Dr. Lancaster, Dr. VanErp are the authorities.

1. That is what has made this commission so good and so well
2. thought of. The second point I'd like to...to remind the
3. good professor, that's the lady that's always voting to create
4. more commissions. I had a bill to abolish about seventy
5. percent of the useless commissions in Illinois, but I didn't
6. get a vote out of her.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

8. Senator Geo-Karis.

9. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

10. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I
11. echo the speakers who speak in opposition to this amendment
12. for another reason; that other reason being that, since we're
13. creating, if we pass House Bill 3614, a new department called
14. the Department of Nuclear Safety, we are going to need the
15. commission...the Atomic Energy Commission to exist in order
16. to be a check and balance on it; and I certainly echo the
17. appointments that are on the Atomic Energy Commission, some of
18. them are excellent people, who really know their business of
19. nuclear energy; and I've been concerned about it, because I
20. live so close to it. I rise in opposition to this amendment.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

22. Senator Vadalabene.

23. SENATOR VADALABENE:

24. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.
25. I, too, rise in opposition to this amendment. I happen to
26. serve on the Atomic Energy Commission, and I marvel at the
27. expertise of the scientists that are serving on this commission,
28. and all they receive for this is their travel; and they leave
29. their offices and their respective positions to serve on this
30. commission. For the life of me, I don't understand Senator
31. Netsch wanting this most important commission abolished in
32. favor of something else, and I urge all my colleagues to
33. oppose this amendment.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
2. Senator Buzbee.
3. SENATOR BUZBEE:
4. Thank you, Mr. President. I was going to speak in
5. opposition to this amendment, but I think there's already
6. been thirty No speakers, so I'm just going to sit down and
7. say I'm going to vote No.
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
9. Great. Is there further discussion? The question is
10. shall Amendment No. 4...just a moment. Senator Netsch,
11. do you wish to close?
12. SENATOR NETSCH:
13. Thank you. I think I've gotten a...a sense of the drift
14. of the Senate. I would repeat that I...what I am offering you
15. is a chance to do what every member of the Legislature con-
16. tinuously suggests ought to be done, and that is to put some
17. of the functions which we have spread around and hundreds of
18. commissions into a more consolidated form. We would lose
19. nothing of the outside experts; we would, indeed, lose the
20. Legislative members, who could then put their input into this
21. substantive area through the other devices. Senator Philip,
22. you surprise me, because you are the one who every Session
23. supports, in fact, proposes the bill to abolish all Legislative
24. Commissions; and I guess it just sort of depends. It is an
25. opportunity to do it; if you choose not to, so be it.
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
27. Senator Nimrod, for what purpose do you...all right. There
28. was a closing argument. The question is shall Amendment No.
29. 4 to House Bill 3614 be adopted. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed
30. Nay. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish?
31. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 10, the Nays
32. are 44. Amendment No. 4 fails. Are there further amendments?
33. SECRETARY:

1. Amendment No. 5, offered by Senator Martin. Senator
2. Martin, it's the shorter of the two.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
4. Senator Martin.

5. SENATOR MARTIN:
6. This amendment adds the words "in co-operation with the
7. Institute of Natural Resources." That's all it does.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
9. Is there discussion? Senator Buzbee.

10. SENATOR BUZBEE:
11. Senator, you are...are saying that...that they will
12. co-operate with the Institute of Natural Resources in...
13. in what, in...?

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
15. Senator Martin.

16. SENATOR MARTIN:
17. Okay. With the studies that they will be doing about
18. the nuclear facilities.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
20. Is there further discussion? The question is shall
21. Amendment No. 5 to House Bill 3614 be adopted. Those in
22. favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have
23. it. Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Are there further amend-
24. ments?

25. SECRETARY:
26. Amendment No. 6, offered by Senator Martin.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
28. Senator Martin.

29. SENATOR MARTIN:
30. There was some problem with language in the bill...con-
31. stitutionality. This amendment corrects that language. It
32. will allow them to set standards that are not preemptive with
33. the Federal standards, and it will also...any nuclear facility

1. will have to file a report, the same report they file with
2. the Federal agency with this new agency. I don't think there's
3. opposition to this one either.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

5. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment No.
6. 6 to House Bill 3614 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by
7. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.
8. 6 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

9. SECRETARY:

10. No further amendments.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

12. 3rd reading. Senator...

13. PRESIDENT:

14. It is the intent of the Chair to again go back to the
15. beginning of House Bills 2nd. I would remind the membership
16. that Wednesday of next week is the deadline for action on
17. House Bills; so that, probably Monday would be the last day
18. to move bills from 2nd to 3rd. If I can have your attention.
19. Before we rebegin at the beginning of House Bills 2nd, let...
20. allow me to introduce to you some young people from Illinois
21. Girls' State; and I have the pleasure of introducing Miss
22. Terry Hall, from Libertyville, Illinois, who is the President
23. of the Senate, and a much prettier President of the Senate,
24. I might say. Please welcome Terry Hall.

25. MISS TERRY HALL:

26. (Introduces candidates for the Office of Attorney of
27. Attorney General and general remarks).

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Yes, Senator Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you arise?

30. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

31. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate,
32. I'm delighted to hear that it's Julie Hall from Libertyville
33. who's the new Governor, because she is my constituent...

1. PRESIDENT:
2. She's got a better job, she's the President of the Senate.
3. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
4. ...I'm...pardon me, President of the Senate, rather; and
5. I...I congratulate you and I'm proud of you. She's my
6. constituent. I have the best constituents.
7. PRESIDENT:
8. Yes, Senator Berning, for what purpose do you arise?
9. SENATOR BERNING:
10. Mr. President, I had to be off the Floor when you went
11. past House Bill 3482. May I have leave to call that before
12. you now go back to the beginning of the 2nd readings, inasmuch
13. as I may be absent again; and I don't want to lose my chance
14. on that.
15. PRESIDENT:
16. Yes, I'm sure that request is in order. On the middle
17. of page fourteen, on the Order of House Bills 2nd reading,
18. is House Bill 3482. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
19. SECRETARY:
20. House Bill 3482.
21. (Secretary reads title of bill)
22. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Pensions, Personnel
23. and Veterans' Affairs offers one amendment.
24. PRESIDENT:
25. Senator Berning.
26. SENATOR BERNING:
27. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment clarifies the
28. existing Statute which was the result of House Bill 1334, passed
29. last year; and this amendment makes it perfectly clear...but,
30. first, the amendment was to provide that the downstate teachers
31. could contract for their retirees for health insurance cover-
32. age; however, the provisions of 1334 are not clear, and this
33. amendment makes it absolutely certain that Not-For-Profit

1. Health Care Service Act, such as Blue Cross-Blue Shield, may
2. bid...may bid on this health service, and so, I move for the
3. adoption of...Committee Amendment No. 1.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Berning has moved the adoption of Committee
6. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3482. Is there any discussion?
7. If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed.
8. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there
9. further amendments?

10. SECRETARY:

11. No further committee amendments.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Are there amendments from the Floor?

14. SECRETARY:

15. No Floor amendments.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. 3rd reading. All right. If you'll turn to page nine on
18. the Calendar, we will begin again at the top on House Bills
19. 2nd reading. 262, Senator Johns. On the Order of House Bills
20. 2nd reading, House Bill 262. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

21. SECRETARY:

22. House Bill 262.

23. (Secretary reads title of bill).

24. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue offers
25. one amendment.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Senator Johns.

28. SENATOR JOHNS:

29. I move for the adoption of the amendment.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Senator Johns has moved the adoption of Committee Amend-
32. ment No. 1 to House Bill 262. Is there any discussion? If
33. not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The

1. Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further
2. amendments?
3. SECRETARY:
4. No further committee amendments.
5. PRESIDENT:
6. Are there amendments from the Floor?
7. SECRETARY:
8. No Floor amendments.
9. PRESIDENT:
10. 3rd reading. 276, Senator Sangmeister. On the Order
11. of House Bills 2nd reading, House Bill 276. Read the bill,
12. Mr. Secretary.
13. SECRETARY:
14. House Bill 276.
15. (Secretary reads title of bill)
16. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
17. PRESIDENT:
18. Are there amendments from the Floor?
19. SECRETARY:
20. No Floor amendments.
21. PRESIDENT:
22. 3rd reading. 821, Senator Hall. 891, Senator Nash. 929,
23. Senator Bowers, 1009...you wish to move that, Senator? All
24. right. On the Order of House Bills 2nd reading, House Bill
25. 929. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
26. SECRETARY:
27. House Bill 929.
28. (Secretary reads title of bill)
29. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Local Government
30. offers one amendment.
31. PRESIDENT:
32. Senator Bowers.
33. SENATOR BOWERS:

1. Thank you, Mr. President. At this time, I would like to
2. move to Table Committee Amendment No. 1. The reason for it
3. is this, the...this is an increase in the supervisor of
4. assessments' salaries. There is a State implication, insofar
5. as the State monetary funds are concerned. We put an immediately
6. effective date on it; and that changes the fiscal aspect of
7. the bill, and I'd like to take that off, and there was also
8. a technical correction which I have a subsequent amendment
9. off to take care of that. I've discussed it with the chairman
10. of the committee; he has no objection. I would, therefore,
11. move to Table Committee Amendment No. 1.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Senator Bowers has moved to Table Committee Amendment
14. No. 1 to House Bill 929. Is there any discussion? If not,
15. all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes
16. have it. The Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Further amendments?

17. SECRETARY:

18. No further committee amendments.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Are there amendments from the Floor?

21. SECRETARY:

22. Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Bowers.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Bowers.

25. SENATOR BOWERS:

26. This is the technical correction. I have distributed the
27. amendment. All it does is insert some language that was left
28. out of the existing Statute by the original bill, and I move
29. the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. 2...

32. SENATOR BOWERS:

33. Or 2...I'm sorry.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. ...Senator Bowers has moved the adoption of Amendment
3. No. 2 to House Bill 929. Is there any discussion? If not,
4. all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes
5. have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amend-
6. ments?

7. SECRETARY:

8. Amendment No. 3, offered by Senator Gitz.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Senator Gitz.

11. SENATOR GITZ:

12. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amend-
13. ment No. 3 to this bill deletes the requirements of a minimum...
14. a minimum for the supervisor of assessors. I offer this amend-
15. ment for two reasons, and this was discussed with the sponsor.
16. It is not an amendment that the sponsor, frankly, would like
17. on the bill. I think it is an amendment, however, which is
18. worthy of our discussion in this Body. I believe it is worthy
19. of our discussion for two reasons. No. 1, we are constantly
20. put in the position on all of these kind of issues of county
21. supervisor of assessors, county officeholders, of mandating
22. minimum salaries, and I'm not sure that's a wise idea. Secondly,
23. I think it is important for us to address the issue that many
24. counties are in a position that if they do not like the performance
25. they receive in a supervisor of assessors; in fact, if the
26. fellow has been...guilty of gross incompetence, now they have
27. no way of dismissing him before the end of his tenure for cause.
28. In the case of Winnebago County, for example, they would have
29. loved to have locked him out of his office, reduced his salary
30. accordingly. They were unable to do that. I really think the
31. counties ought to be able to set whatever level of compensation
32. that they desire. I would also remind you..I intend to support
33. this bill, because I believe there are supervisor of assessors

1. who do a very good job, who should have a salary increase to
2. reflect the responsibilities that they incur. But I think
3. that it is time that this Body addressed the root issue,
4. which is whether it is really proper for us to set a mandatory
5. minimum. I would also concede, however in this discussion,
6. that perhaps, if there is a minimum at all, this is one
7. office that, perhaps, should have it. I raised this question
8. in committee; I indicated to Senator Bowers I would offer a
9. Floor amendment. If this Body decides they want to reject
10. the amendment; well, fine, that's your prerogative.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Any discussion? Senator Bowers.

13. SENATOR BOWERS:

14. Thank you, Mr. President. I do rise in opposition to the
15. amendment, and I wish the Body would...would pay some attention
16. because this is kind of an important issue, particularly as
17. far as this bill is concerned. Frankly, I...I'm not...I'm in
18. a quandry because I'm not totally opposed to the Senator's
19. position in this matter. I, too, have felt that we ought to
20. get out of the business of setting local salaries, but we set
21. them in the case of elected officials and...and other people;
22. and I'm simply afraid that this amendment may very well kill
23. this much needed legislation. And...and to approach it on
24. this basis, it seems to me is wrong. Now, in addition to that,
25. there is a basis under which you can justify the control of
26. this particular salary schedule, because the State of Illinois
27. does pay half of the local salary; and so, under those cir-
28. cumstances, if...if there's a local political fight and they
29. want to fire the man, maybe we ought to...as far as this
30. office is concerned, change the rules insofar as his discharge,
31. rather than to get at it by doing away with the minimum salary.
32. I think it's the wrong time for this kind of amendment; and
33. while I'm not totally opposed to it, philosophically, I do

1. oppose it, 'cause I think it would jeopardize the bill, and
2. I would hope we would vote No, and ask for a roll call.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Further discussion? Senator Grotberg.

5. SENATOR GROTBORG:

6. Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Gitz, I must apologize.
7. I was listening to Senator Bowers on his amendments and my...
8. was distracted. You are striking the minimums? Is that the
9. thrust of your amendment? Well, thank you, for answering the
10. question; because once we get started on that, we've got to
11. write a whole new set of Statutes for local government in the
12. State of Illinois, and like Senator Bowers, I would be opposed
13. to it.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Further discussion? Senator Gitz, do you wish to close?

16. SENATOR GITZ:

17. Very quickly, Mr. President. In answer to Senator Grotberg,
18. I think there is no better time to start than now. I'll be
19. happy to help you on that. I would also emphasize, in the
20. closing argument, one simple factor. It has been pointed out
21. that most of the county officials you're dealing with are
22. elected officeholders. This is not an elected office; this
23. is an appointed office. It's an important office; it's an
24. office that has increasing more responsibility and power; and
25. in fact, has rewritten these Statutes to take away a lot of
26. the authority that used to be vested with township assessors.
27. Whether that's good or bad, I leave to you. But I...I can
28. appreciate Senator Bowers' dilemma, of whom I have the highest
29. respect. It's not my wish to jeopardize the bill; but you
30. know, this bill did make it out of the House once. I've been
31. told so many times that they can't possibly go back and do
32. something in the House, and then we find that miraculously
33. they can. I suspect that, perhaps, that's the case if we would

1. add this amendment as well. At any rate, we all know how
2. we're going to vote; so, we can go up or down.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. All right. Senator Gitz has moved the adoption of
5. Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 929. A roll call has been
6. requested. Those in favor of the amendment will vote Aye.
7. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all
8. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
9. who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are
10. 26, the Nays are 24. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Are
11. there further amendments?

12. SECRETARY:

13. No further amendments.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. 3rd reading. 1009, Senator Bruce. If I can have the
16. attention of the membership. We are pleased, today, to recognize
17. and...as visitors to view the Senate, twelve young people who
18. are in the care of the Department of Children and Family
19. Services, and have been awarded by that Department, scholarships
20. to attend Illinois universities across the State. They are
21. here with...with Director Coler. I would ask that they stand
22. and be recognized by the Senate as we say welcome. 1221,
23. Senator Gitz. 1473, Senator Weaver. 1980, Senator Bloom. On
24. the Order of House Bills 2nd reading, top of page ten is House
25. Bill 1980. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

26. SECRETARY:

27. House Bill 1980 was read a second time on June 17th, 1980.
28. Amendment No. 1...Committee Amendment No. 1 was adopted. No
29. further committee amendments.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Are there amendments from the Floor?

32. SECRETARY:

33. Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Sommer.

1. PRESIDENT:
2. Senator Sommer.
3. SENATOR SOMMER:
4. Mr. President and members, this is the Dip-Net Amendment;
5. and if I can explain it, a dip-net under the Fish Code is a
6. term of art. It's a small net on the end of a long, long pole.
7. In 19...prior to 1971, those people had sport licenses; in 1971,
8. it was changed to a commercial license; in 1973, it was changed
9. to a sports license; in 1975, it was changed to a commercial
10. license; and now this...we're going to try to make it a sport
11. license again. This is...only occurs in certain sections of
12. the...the Illinois River; and I think that's what it does.
13. It allows these people to buy a sport fishing license who
14. use this device, rather than a commercial license.
15. PRESIDENT:
16. Any discussion? Senator Donnewald.
17. SENATOR DONNEWALD:
18. Yes, would you yield, Senator Sommer for a question?
19. PRESIDENT:
20. Indicates he will yield. Senator Donnewald.
21. SENATOR DONNEWALD:
22. Have you...have you discussed this with the Department
23. of Conservation?
24. PRESIDENT:
25. Senator Sommer.
26. SENATOR SOMMER:
27. Yes, I have, and they don't like the...they don't like
28. the amendment.
29. PRESIDENT:
30. Senator Donnewald.
31. SENATOR DONNEWALD:
32. Well, many of us on this side don't either; and I would
33. urge that Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1980 be defeated.

1. PRESIDENT:
2. Further discussion? Senator Bloom.
3. SENATOR BLOOM:
4. Yeah, I'd ask a question of Senator Donnewald. Have you...
5. have you read the amendment?
6. PRESIDENT:
7. Senator Donnewald.
8. SENATOR DONNEWALD:
9. Yes.
10. PRESIDENT:
11. Senator Bloom.
12. SENATOR BLOOM:
13. This does not...well, now you're reading it. This does
14. not do what the Department of Conservation says that they
15. thought it would do. The Department of Conservation was con-
16. cerned about big, huge nets laid on the riverbed. This
17. particular amendment addresses little dip-nets on the end of
18. a long pole. It's different. And the person from the Department
19. of Conservation who came to me and said, "we're opposed." I
20. said, "have you read the amendment?" He said, "no." And
21. then he read it, and he said, "well, it's not quite so bad."
22. PRESIDENT:
23. Senator Donnewald.
24. SENATOR DONNEWALD:
25. Well, I...I understand, Senator, that you have this particular
26. type of a bill in the House. The language that they've in-
27. corporated there is clearer and more concise and understand-
28. able. They do not object to yours, but they do object to this.
29. PRESIDENT:
30. Further discussion? Senator Sommer, do you wish to close?
31. SENATOR SOMMER:
32. Senator Donnewald, I mean...has that been adopted in the
33. House, that...that particular amendment, do you know?

1. PRESIDENT:
2. Senator Donnewald.
3. SENATOR DONNEWALD:
4. I...I...I can't answer that. I do not know.
5. PRESIDENT:
6. Senator Sommer.
7. SENATOR SOMMER:
8. I'd like to withdraw the amendment.
9. PRESIDENT:
10. All right. The amendment is withdrawn. Further amend-
11. ments?
12. SECRETARY:
13. No further amendments.
14. PRESIDENT:
15. 3rd reading. 2710, Senator Nedza. 2723, Senator Bowers.
16. 2793, Senator McLendon. 2822, Senator Nash. 2823, Senator
17. Berning. On the Order of House Bills 2nd reading is House
18. Bill 2823. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
19. SECRETARY:
20. House Bill 2823.
21. (Secretary reads title of bill)
22. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue offers
23. two amendments.
24. PRESIDENT:
25. Senator Berning.
26. SENATOR BERNING:
27. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amend-
28. ment No. 1 is the Attorney General's amendment, which does not
29. change the impact or direction of the bill, but merely incor-
30. porates the Federal Statutory language by reference, rather
31. than attempting to spell it out in our Statute. The Attorney
32. General's interpretation is that this would make implementation
33. and administration much easier. I move for the adoption of
34. Amendment No. 1.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Berning has moved the adoption of Committee
3. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2823. Is there any discussion?
4. Senator Egan.

5. SENATOR EGAN:

6. Not on Amendment No. 1; but I do on Amendment No. 2,
7. and I have another amendment to offer, as you know, Senator.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. All right. Senator Berning has moved the adoption of
10. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2823. Any further discussion?
11. If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed.
12. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further
13. amendments?

14. SECRETARY:

15. Committee amendment No. 2.

16.

17.

18.

(End of reel)

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Egan.

3. SENATOR EGAN:

4. Now with...with the agreement that we have, Senator Berning,
5. I would move to Table Amendment No. 2 and offer Amendment No. 3
6. in its place.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Senator Berning.

9. SENATOR BERNING:

10. Yes, that is by agreement. Amendment No. 2 provided
11. for...the collection by the counties, the various hundred two
12. counties of these Inheritance Tax dollars in this particular
13. instance. Amendment No...Amendment No. 3 is restricting this
14. procedure only to Cook County or in other words, exempting
15. Cook County, and I see no objection and I would recommend
16. that Amendment No...that first, Amendment No. 2 be Tabled.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. All right. Senator Berning has moved to Table Amendment
19. No. 2 to House Bill 2823. Any discussion on that motion?
20. If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed.
21. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is Tabled. Further amendments?

22. SECRETARY:

23. No further committee amendments.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Are there amendments from the Floor?

26. SECRETARY:

27. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Egan.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Senator Egan.

30. SENATOR EGAN:

31. Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. As Senator
32. Berning has pointed out, Amendment No. 3 would allow Cook to take
33. the collection directly rather than have it go to the State

1. and then refund to the Cook. They collect in excess of fifty
2. percent of the...tax in the State and it is their desire to
3. have it done that way and there is no opposition, to my knowledge.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Any...Senator Egan has moved the adoption of Amendment
6. No. 3. Any discussion? Senator Knuppel.

7. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

8. I just...I haven't seen the amendment. I just want to
9. know, it just affects Cook County. The collection procedure
10. and the assessment procedures remain the same in all the other
11. counties. All right.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Senator Egan has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3
14. to House Bill 2823. Any further discussion? If not, all in
15. favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.
16. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

17. SECRETARY:

18. Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Berning.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Senator Berning.

21. SENATOR BERNING:

22. Amendment No. 4 provides for an effective date and...become
23. ...becomes these...makes it certain that it affects the estates
24. of decedents dying after December 31, 1980. I would move for
25. the adoption of Amendment No. 4.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. All right. Senator Berning has moved the adoption of
28. Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 2823. Is there any discussion?
29. If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The
30. Ayes have it, the amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

31. SECRETARY:

32. No further amendments.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. 3rd reading. 2893, Senator Knuppel. On the Order of
2. House Bills 2nd reading, the middle of page 10, is House
3. Bill 2893. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
4. SECRETARY:
5. House Bill 2893.
6. (Secretary reads title of bill)
7. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
8. PRESIDENT:
9. Are there amendments from the Floor?
10. SECRETARY:
11. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Buzbee.
12. PRESIDENT:
13. Senator Buzbee.
14. SENATOR BUZBEE:
15. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. This amendment does two
16. things. One is that it takes the money for the soil surveys
17. and transfers...instead of appropriating it out of General
18. Revenue Funds, that it would now come out of the Ag. Premium Fund.
19. The second thing this amendment does, is to add five hundred
20. thousand dollars for the Chicagofest and I would move its
21. adoption.
22. PRESIDENT:
23. All right. Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of
24. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2893. Is there any discussion?
25. If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The
26. Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further
27. amendments?
28. SECRETARY:
29. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Knuppel.
30. PRESIDENT:
31. Senator Knuppel.
32. SENATOR KNUPPEL:
33. This...hold it...hold it...hold it...this...this amendment

1. not in shape, it has to be redone. Take it to 3rd and I'll reoffer
2. ...I'll bring it back.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. All right. That amendment is...is withdrawn. Are there
5. further amendments?

6. SECRETARY:

7. No further amendments.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. 3rd reading. 2924, Senator Egan. On the Order of House
10. Bills 2nd reading is House Bill 2924. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

11. SECRETARY:

12. House Bill 2924.
13. (Secretary reads title of bill)

14. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
15. offers two amendments.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Senator Carroll.

18. SENATOR CARROLL:

19. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
20. Senate. Amendment No. 1 is a reduction amendment for the eight
21. percent solution, added salaries, and to eliminate some new
22. positions, which we picked up by contractual in Amendment No. 2.
23. The reason for the pick-up, as they told us, these positions
24. are needed to catch up on a backlog in four of the five
25. appellate districts and we've covered that by Amendment No. 2.
26. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of
29. Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2924. Is there any
30. discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye.
31. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted.
32. Are there further amendments?

33. SECRETARY:

HB 2955
6/19/81
C #1

1. Committee Amendment No. 2.
2. PRESIDENT:
3. Senator Carroll.
4. SENATOR CARROLL:
5. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of
6. the Senate. This adds two hundred and thirty thousand dollars
7. to do contractually, the...the catch-up work in all the Appellate
8. Districts for the appellate defender. I would move adoption of
9. Amendment No. 2.
10. PRESIDENT:
11. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2
12. to House Bill 2924. Is there any discussion? If not, all
13. in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have
14. it, the Amendment is adopted. Further amendments?
15. SECRETARY:
16. No further committee amendments.
17. PRESIDENT:
18. Are there amendments from the Floor?
19. SECRETARY:
20. No Floor amendments.
21. PRESIDENT:
22. 3rd reading. 2955, Senator Knuppel. On the Order of House
23. Bills 2nd reading, House Bill 2955. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
24. SECRETARY:
25. House Bill 2955.
26. (Secretary reads title of bill)
27. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
28. PRESIDENT:
29. Are there amendments from the Floor?
30. SECRETARY:
31. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Walsh.
32. PRESIDENT:
33. Senator Walsh.

1. SENATOR WALSH:

2. Mr. President and members of the Senate. This is a...a
3. very significant bill and a very significant amendment to this very
4. significant bill. As the members will recall when House Bill
5. 2955 was introduced, it was a...Corporate Guarantee Act. I
6. think the description on the...on the House Bill was The
7. Corporate Loan Guarantee Act. And of course, it's been
8. referred to as the Chrysler Bill or the Chrysler bail out or
9. whatever. As originally introduced, it provided for a seventy-
10. five million dollar Corporate Loan Guarantee, which would have
11. limited the amount of the guarantee to any one corporation
12. to twenty-five million dollars. It would, therefore, have
13. permitted other sick businesses in the State of Illinois, such
14. as Wisconsin Steel and whatever to have possibly benefitted
15. from this program. The bill was amended in the House to
16. provide for a direct loan to the Chrysler Corporation of
17. twenty million dollars and that is House Bill 2955 as it is
18. being presented to us. Amendment No. 1 simply restores this
19. bill to the form in which it was originally introduced in the
20. House, a seventy-five million dollar Corporate Loan Guarantee.
21. And I would urge the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Senator Walsh has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1
24. to House Bill 2955. Any discussion? Senator Knuppel.

25. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

26. Mr. President and members of the Body. While I realize
27. that perceptively, there's a great deal of difference between
28. a guaranteed loan and a direct loan. In fact, if you're loaning
29. to an insolvent, there is no difference. Because if you're
30. the guarantor you have to pay it off or...or if you're the
31. ...loaner you don't get it repaid. Now I'm...I'm...I think
32. this is a very significant bill and there were a lot of
33. problems with the amendment in the House. I would prefer

1. that the bill not go back to the House and as a result of
2. that, each of you have on your desk, a pledge signed by...a
3. vice-president of the Chrysler Corporation indicating that
4. they're willing to pledge, and this they were not even able
5. to do in committee, as a first lien of the...Chrysler Corporation,
6. their assembly plant located in Belvidere, Illinois. Now, I
7. submit to you...I submit to you, that a direct loan secured
8. by a first lien of the Belvidere Plant is better than going
9. surety where there is no pledge on the part of the Chrysler
10. Corporation to place a first lien on any of their property
11. or property that may not be of significant value or location.
12. Now, I'm going to ask, and I want this into the record, I'm
13. not going to read verbatim, the legal description but I
14. want to have it included in the record, verbatim, and I'm
15. asking leave that it be included in the transcript and the record
16. of this Body. I'll submit the...the attachment to the letter,
17. which I have here, that it be included, verbatim, in this
18. transcript of the proceedings here. But that letter says,
19. "To whom it may concern; in the event the Illinois House
20. Bill 2955 becomes law, Chrysler Corporation would be willing
21. to pledge a first lien on the Chrysler Corporation Assembly
22. Plant located in Belvidere, Illinois", and I would want it
23. inserted at this point, "more particularly described as" and
24. then the description, "as security for the direct loan," it
25. would be the direct loan of twenty million dollars, "any such
26. lien before finalizing would have to be cleared by the Federal
27. Loan Guarantee Board and we would not anticipate any difficulty
28. with such clearance." I'm very concerned about the return of
29. this bill to the House in light of...of...of some of the
30. discussions that followed its passage in the House. I think
31. it's a very significant bill. I think it's a very important
32. bill and for those of you who have problems with your
33. constituency to the effect perceptively, that a direct loan

1. is different than a loan guarantee, I think that this letter
2. and this pledge will place this...this loan in a much more
3. secure and a much more favorable position than a...than a
4. guaranteed loan where there's no security promise. And I
5. say to you members of the Senate, that I resist the amendment
6. because I think the State of Illinois is in a far stronger and
7. better position with a first lien on the Belvidere Plant as
8. described in this record, than it is as...as a guarantor of
9. what may be an insolvent corporation, I have no way of knowing.
10. But if you loan money to an insolvent person and he, in fact,
11. goes broke, you lose the money, if you go guarantee for an
12. insolvent person and he goes broke, you lose your money, you
13. got to pay it anyway. If you go...if you go surety for a...for
14. a going concern, for a solvent person, then he pays it off.
15. The same thing is true if you loan him the money directly,
16. so that there is really no difference in substance. There may
17. be a lot of niceties about how you go about collecting or how
18. you go about getting gig. But the...the bottom line is, if
19. you go surety for a busted guy, you lose your money, if you
20. loan him the money, you go busted. And if you loan money
21. to a solvent person, a person that will pay it back, it's
22. the same as if you went guarantor for that same person and
23. he paid it off directly to the bank. Now I submit to you that
24. with what we've done here, we have placed the State of Illinois
25. in a far more secure position than...than we would have if we
26. were talking about a guaranteed loan and the company went
27. busted without any guarantee. Here, you'll have the State of
28. Illinois has been promised a first lien on the Belvidere Plant.
29. And I would therefore resist the amendment.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Further discussion? Senator Bloom.

32. SENATOR BLOOM:

33. Senator Knuppel, would you want to enforce this in a

1. court of law?

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Senator Knuppel.

4. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

5. Well, Senator Bloom, I'm as good a lawyer and I'm sure

6. that...as you are, you can't enforce...wait a minute...you can't

7. enforce this bill in a court of law. Whoever draws up the documents

8. don't have to sign them until they've got a first lien. And that's

9. where the first lien is and that can be enforced in court.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Senator Bloom.

12. SENATOR BLOOM:

13. You want to make this a condition of the loan? It's one

14. thing to read it in the record, it's another thing to make

15. it a condition of the loan.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Senator Knuppel.

18. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

19. Yeah, I...I think it definitely would be a condition of

20. the loan, it's a part of the record here in this Body that is

21. approved on that...on that contingency and the Governor or

22. whoever signs the loan, won't sign it unless we've got a first

23. lien on the Chrysler Plant, absolutely.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Senator Bloom.

26. SENATOR BLOOM:

27. You want to put it in the bill?

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Further discussion?

30. SENATOR BLOOM:

31. No, it's a question, it's a legit question. You want to

32. put it in the bill?

33. PRESIDENT:

34. Senator Knuppel.

35. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

1. I want to answer the question. Absolutely, I do not, because
2. I don't want...first of all, I don't want the...the loan fouled
3. up with some legislation. I want a direct loan, drawn the
4. way every other legal loan is drawn. I don't want this bill
5. to go back over to the House to be butchered and cut up. We've
6. got a better bill than came over here and I want to see it
7. go out of here a better bill.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Bloom.

10. SENATOR BLOOM:

11. Well, we had a fascinating presentation in our caucus. And
12. the heater from Detroit said that...they would be willing to
13. pledge a parts depot in Chicago and I think the Chelsea Proving
14. Grounds, as I recall, and make it a part of the bill. Now...now
15. I'm hearing something different from the sponsor.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Further discussion? Senator Ozinga.

18. SENATOR KNUPPTEL:

19. Just...just a minute...wait.

20. SENATOR OZINGA:

21. Just...question of the sponsor. Senator...

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Wait...well, wait just a minute. Sponsor of the amendment, we
24. are on Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2955.

25. SENATOR OZINGA:

26. No...question of Senator Knuppel.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. All right. Indicates he'll yield.

29. SENATOR OZINGA:

30. Okay. We were given to understand that in order for
31. Chrysler to obtain its first option to get a loan off of
32. the Federal Government or to get into a status with the Federal
33. Government, that there would be no lender that would be given
34. any priorities with reference to the operational plants and

1. this is an operational plant. This is probably one of the
2. few that's making a buck. But, by doing what you're talking
3. about, to putting a lien on this property, I am in full accord
4. with what you're saying, but I understood that they could not
5. possibly do this in order to get the affirmance of the Federal
6. Government in the first instance.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Senator Knuppel.

9. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

10. Sir, I'm not familiar with all the Federal problems. I
11. just know here that these people have signed a letter saying
12. that they will give a first lien. Now, there's some changes,
13. I realize in committee, even, they...they talked about the other
14. two, the Elk Grove property and the Michigan property, then
15. they came back to me yesterday afternoon and said they could
16. put a first lien on it, they would put a first lien on it. We'd
17. have our choice of either the plant in...in Elk Grove and Chelsea,
18. Michigan or the Belvidere Plant. Well, hell, as far as I'm
19. concerned, I said I'll take the Belvidere Plant. Now, if...if
20. they are in error, they have furnished me, misinformation with
21. respect to what they can do. And in that event, I believe that
22. what I've done would free the Governor from entering into such
23. an agreement. Now, in answer to what Senator Bloom has said,
24. about putting it in the bill, he just asked me, can you enforce
25. a piece of legislation in court and I told him, hell no, and
26. then he turns around and asks me to put it in there. What's
27. he...wanting me to put it in there for if you can't enforce in
28. a court of law? It goes in the loan documents, he's very inconsistent.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Any further discussion? Senator Walsh may close.

31. SENATOR WALSH:

32. Mr. President and members of the...members of the Senate.
33. Senator Bloom has asked that I protect him, but you can't defend
34. the indefensible. I...I would like to say, Mr. President, that

1. if...if Chrysler were willing to...to pledge the collateral, I
2. would be happy to withdraw this amendment. The fact of the
3. matter is, they obviously are not. This letter says they...they
4. would be willing to, but they probably can't. What we're doing
5. here in this bill without an amendment, is to..is to give them
6. an unsecured loan in the amount of twenty million dollars, which
7. I think in all likelihood everyone here realizes would not be
8. repaid. If we can collateralize it, if we can secure it, fine.
9. But without that, I think we should adopt Amendment 1. I urge
10. your support.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. All right. Senator Walsh has moved the adoption of Amend-
13. ment No. 1 to House Bill 2955. Those in favor of the amendment
14. will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open.
15. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all
16. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes
17. are 28, the Nays are 25, none Voting Present. Amendment No. 1
18. is adopted. Are there further amendments?

19. SECRETARY:

20. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Schaffer.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Senator Schaffer.

23. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

24. Mr. President and members of the Senate. This amendment is...is
25. a bit complicated and it may take a moment. Wasn't that complicated.
26. Belvidere happens to be in my district and I have the Chrysler
27. Plant there and very happy to have it and the forty-nine hundred
28. employees there. In the last several years the plant has been in a series
29. of litigation involving the assessment level of the plant. The
30. company contends that the plant is worth, as I understand the
31. figures, some twenty-four million dollars. The local supervisor
32. of assessment indicates sixty some million dollars. I am told
33. Chrysler indicated before the Congress, it was worth two hundred
34. million dollars. When they revamped it here, a couple of years

1. ago, they spent forty million dollars on it. Obviously, it's
2. worth far in excess of the twenty-four million in the assessment
3. fight. What this amendment effectively does, is say that we
4. can lend up to sixteen...fifty percent of the 1979 assessed...
5. equalized assessed valuation...in...of the property they hold
6. in downstate, which I think we all realize is...is virtually nothing
7. besides the Belvidere Plant. What I'm trying to do is effectively
8. end that litigation in a timely fashion. And I'm doing it because
9. I'm concerned about the effect of Chrysler's going out of
10. business on my school district. Some of you will recall, I'm
11. sure Senator Berman would recall, last year we passed Senate
12. Bill 1175, I'm not sure of the number, which extended the number
13. of years a school district could fight an assessment case and
14. still, if they lose, receive State aid. I think they'll eventually
15. win the assessment case, but they may find themselves, and here's
16. the hat trick, they may find themselves in a situation where
17. winning the case means they are entitled to the...money from
18. Chrysler, who at that point, may be out of business and are
19. not entitled to the back school aid. Effectively, if Chrysler
20. goes under, which I sincerely hope it would not...putting forty-
21. nine hundred people out of...work and simultaneously literally
22. closing the Belvidere School District, unless this General
23. Assembly wanted to come back in Special Session to do something
24. about it. I think it's a worthwhile amendment. I think we
25. now have one amendment on the bill, so I think Senator Knuppel's
26. fear about the...the ramifications of going to the House should
27. really not affect this particular amendment. I think it's a
28. fair amendment. I think it will resolve a problem that could
29. affect not only the people who work there, but their children.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. All right. Senator Schaffer has moved the adoption of
32. Amendment No. 2. Is there any discussion? Senator Knuppel.

33. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

1. Mr. Chairman, first of all, I'd like to inquire as to
2. the germaneness of the amendment. And then if it is declared
3. to be germane, I'd like to speak briefly on it.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Knuppel, I think your point is well taken. Senator
6. Schaffer, Senator Walsh's amendment...deleted the title and every-
7. thing after the enacting clause and so your amendment just doesn't
8. fit. Senator...Senator Schaffer.

9. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

10. Staff has just confirmed that and I...I guess I just request
11. Senator Knuppel, if we get it on 3rd if you'll bring it back,
12. I'd like to have a shot at it.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Senator Knuppel.

15. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

16. Well, I...I certainly am not afraid of any amendment. If
17. I can't beat the amendment, if I think it should be beaten, then
18. it should be passed the way it's amended. I'll bring it back,
19. I've never refused to bring a bill back from 3rd.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. All right.

22. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

23. I don't believe, I want to say this very sincerely, I...I
24. don't believe that we should be solving local problems with
25. State legislation.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. All right, Senator Schaffer, you just wish to withdraw
28. that amendment? All right, Amendment No...Amendment No. 2 is
29. withdrawn. Are there further amendments?

30. SECRETARY:

31. No further amendments.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. 3rd reading.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
2. House Bill 3007, Senator Regner. Senator Regner. Read the
3. bill, Mr. Secretary. 3007.
4. SECRETARY:
5. House Bill 3007.
6. (Secretary reads title of bill)
7. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
9. Any amendments from the Floor?
10. SECRETARY:
11. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Regner.
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
13. Senator Regner.
14. SENATOR REGNER:
15. Yes, Mr. President and members. This amendment corrects
16. an inconsistency in current law which was brought to my attention
17. by the Comptroller's Office of several different State Universities.
18. Last year, we passed...legislation that provided that items of
19. equipment...values of less than fifty dollars would be paid from
20. the commodities line item. Therefore, under present law, library
21. books with the unit value of less than fifty dollars would be
22. paid from commodities line item while those costing more than
23. fifty dollars, would be paid from the equipment line item. Because
24. library books are a durable fixture, the cost of such purchases
25. should be allowed to be expended from the equipment line item
26. no matter if the cost is more or less than fifty dollars and
27. this amendment corrects that inconsistency. I move its adoption.
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
29. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is
30. shall Amendment No. 1 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by
31. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1
32. is adopted. Any further amendments?
33. SECRETARY:

1. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Philip.
2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
3. Senator Philip.
4. SENATOR PHILIP:
5. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of...of the
6. Senate. Amendment No. 2 creates the Medical Center Commission Income
7. Fund to require the Medical Center Commission to transfer funds
8. to the State Treasurer for the sale or lease of property in
9. excess of a hundred thousand dollars. All of this money has
10. to be appropriated by the General Assembly. This bill is
11. drafted by the...from the response or the report of the
12. Legislative Audit Commission.
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
14. Is there further discussion? Senator Wooten.
15. SENATOR WOOTEN:
16. Mr. Chairman, I'd like a ruling as to the germaneness of
17. this amendment.
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
19. Mr. Secretary, could we see the bill and the amendment.
20. The Chair will rule that the amendment is not germane to the
21. bill. The bill deals with the State Finance Line Item Appropriations
22. and the amendment deals with Medical Center's deposit of Medical
23. Income Funds. Any further amendments?
24. SECRETARY:
25. No further amendments.
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
27. 3rd reading. House Bill 3014, Senator Rock. Read the bill,
28. Mr. Secretary.
29. SECRETARY:
30. House Bill 3014.
31. (Secretary reads title of bill)
32. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee...no...no committee
33. amendments.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
2. Any...any amendments from the Floor?
3. SECRETARY:
4. No Floor amendments.
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
6. 3rd reading. House Bill 30...0, 3021, Senator Rock.
7. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
8. SECRETARY:
9. House Bill 3021.
10. (Secretary reads title of bill)
11. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
13. Any amendments from the Floor?
14. SECRETARY:
15. No Floor amendments.
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
17. 3rd reading. House Bill 3022, Senator Ozinga. House
18. Bill 3023, Senator Bloom. House Bill 3034, Senator Nimrod.
19. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
20. SECRETARY:
21. House Bill 3034.
22. (Secretary reads title of bill)
23. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
24. offers one amendment.
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
26. Senator Carroll.
27. SENATOR CARROLL:
28. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
29. This amendment eliminates two unbudgeted positions that were
30. added by the House and applies the eight percent solution. I
31. would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
33. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is the

1. adoption of Amendment No. 1. Those in favor indicate by saying
2. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it, Amendment No. 1 is
3. adopted. Are there any further amendments?
4. SECRETARY:
5. No further committee amendments.
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
7. Any amendments from the Floor?
8. SECRETARY:
9. No Floor amendments.
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
11. 3rd reading. House Bill 3114, Senator Berman. House
12. Bill 3140, Senator Bruce. Senator Bruce...3140. House Bill
13. 3153, Senator Bruce. House Bill 3160, Senator Nedza. House
14. Bill 3193, Senator Rhoads. House Bill 3204, Senator Lemke.
15. Senator Lemke, 3204. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
16. SECRETARY:
17. House Bill 3204.
18. (Secretary reads title of bill)
19. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
21. For what purpose does Senator Vadalabene arise?
22. SENATOR VADALABENE:
23. Yes, on a point of personal privilege, please.
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
25. State your point.
26. SENATOR VADALABENE:
27. Seated in the gallery behind me, is Dorothy Henson from
28. Madison, Illinois and Mary Gleason from Liberty, Illinois, but
29. more importantly than that, we have from Alton, Illinois,
30. Mary Ann Mermus, who was elected from Illinois Girls' State,
31. the Speaker of the House. Would you please stand and be
32. recognized.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Any amendments from the Floor?

2. SECRETARY:

3. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Davidson.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Senator Davidson.

6. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

7. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I would

8. like for you to listen very attentively. This amendment puts

9. this bill into the circuit breaker form that will give senior

10. citizens and disabled people relief. The monies to fund this

11. as the changes would be made are already in the budget that is

12. proposed for this coming fiscal year. This will have a twelve

13. thousand, five hundred dollar limit on income which raises

14. the income by twenty-five hundred dollars. It also will

15. raise the payout from six hundred and fifty up to eight hundred

16. dollars maximum amount the individual could receive as a

17. senior citizen and or someone who is disabled and qualified.

18. I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to 3204.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Is there further discussion? Senator Lemke.

21. SENATOR LEMKE:

22. I resist the amendment. This is an attempt by the...other

23. side of the aisle to gut the bill and take out the good provisions

24. in this bill.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

26. The question is shall Amendment No. 1 be adopted. Those

27. in favor will signify by saying Aye. Those opposed...there's

28. been a request for a roll call. All right. Those in favor

29. will indicate by voting Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay.

30. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted

31. who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are

32. 26, the Nays are 31. The amendment having failed to receive

33. a majority is declared defeated. Any further amendments?

1. SECRETARY:
2. No further amendments.
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
4. 3rd reading. House Bill 3229, Senator Nedza. House Bill
5. 3250, Senator Bruce. House...Senator Rock.
6. SENATOR ROCK:
7. Yes, thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of
8. the Senate. We have now gone through, in its entirety, House
9. Bills 2nd. I would suggest now that we go to the Order of
10. Secretary's Desk, on the Order of Nonconcurrency. That will
11. require, of course, that the Conference Committees be set up
12. so if we can get those two out of the way and get them in
13. the works, I think we...then we can go to the Order of 3rd
14. ...at which time I'll suggest we go to the Order
15. of 3rd reading.
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
17. On page 18, on the Order of business of Secretary's
18. Desk, nonconcerns...Nonconcurrency, we have House Bill 8...1010,
19. Senator Sangmeister.
20. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:
21. Yeah, thank you. I believe, Mr. President, my motion
22. at this time, is that the Senate not recede from Senate Amend-
23. ments 2 and 3 and that we have a Conference Committee
24. established.
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
26. Senator Sangmeister moves that the Senate refuse to
27. recede from the adoption of Amendment No. 1, 2 and 3 to House
28. Bill 1010 and that a Conference Committee be appointed. For
29. what purpose does Senator Keats arise?
30. SENATOR KEATS:
31. Could he explain what the amendments are and what the
32. difference is on this bill.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Sangmeister.

2. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

3. Well, a long time ago, last year, we put some, what I
4. think is meaningful legislation, referring to the Habitual
5. Criminal Act for the State of Illinois and a number of items.
6. I would suggest that you check with Senator Bowers over there.
7. We've now worked it out with the House whereby this may be
8. in accord with the Governor's wishes, et cetera. So...if, is
9. that all right? Okay. So again I'll renew the motion.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

11. You've heard the motion. All those in favor indicate
12. by saying Aye. Those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, the
13. motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House.
14. House Bill 3019, Senator Vadalabene. Senator Vadalabene.

15. SENATOR VADALABENE:

16. Yes, I will accede to the request of the House and ask
17. for a Conference Committee be appointed, and to be placed
18. on a Conference Committee.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Senator Vadalabene moves that the Senate refuse to
21. recede from the adoption of Amendments...Senate Amendment No. 1
22. to House Bill 3019 and that a Conference Committee be appointed.
23. Senator Keats.

24. SENATOR KEATS:

25. Could the...could the Senator explain what the amendment
26. is and what the differences are?

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

28. Senator Vadalabene.

29. SENATOR VADALABENE:

30. Yes, the difference is about a hundred and...eighty-three
31. thousand dollars. It's a nine dollar amendment.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Is there further...is there further discussion? Senator

1. Buzbee.

2. SENATOR BUZBEE:

3. Yes, this...this...Senator Keats, this was my amendment
4. to reduce it down to nine dollars and the House didn't like
5. that idea. I think it's a fantastic idea myself and so it's
6. going to go to Conference Committee, and we'll fight there.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Senator Keats.

9. SENATOR KEATS:

10. I'll appreciate it, yeah, in fact, I think I remember
11. voting for the amendment, it was a good amendment, it's
12. just I wish once in a while the sponsors would explain some
13. of these. 'Cause every now and then in these Conference Committees,
14. something occasionally slips and Lord knows, no one would
15. ever try and sneak something by, but every now and then
16. it makes me nervous.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. You've heard the motion. All those in favor say Aye.
19. All those opposed Nay. The Senate...the Ayes have it.
20. The motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the
21. House. Senator Rock.

22. SENATOR ROCK:

23. Thank you, Mr. President. In order to expedite our work,
24. we have a committee meeting scheduled for the hour of one
25. o'clock, but I think as long as we do have some time, why
26. don't we start at House Bills 3rd reading on the top of page 2
27. and the only thing I would alert or ask the members, that
28. if they have something that they believe or is represented
29. to be controversial, that we just kind of let that go and let's
30. see how much we can clean off the Calendar in the remaining
31. hour or so.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Yes, Senator Rock, we have a request from Senator Friedland

1. to return back to the Order of 2nd reading for House Bill 3359.
2. There had been some opposition to an amendment and they've
3. worked it out. So, if we have leave. Leave is granted. House
4. Bill...on the Order of 2nd...House Bills 2nd reading, House
5. Bill 3359, Senator Friedland. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

6. SECRETARY:

7. House Bill 3359.

8. (Secretary reads title of bill)

9. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

11. Any amendments from the Floor?

12. SECRETARY:

13. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Grotberg.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Senator Grotberg.

16. SENATOR GROTBORG:

17. Thank you, Mr. President, members of the...Senate. At
18. the St. Charles...Youth Center, the old St. Charles Boy's School,
19. lies a considerable tract of farm land across...divided by a
20. road. On the side of the road furthest from the farm...from
21. the fence, is a patch of land that between the Department of
22. Corrections and the St. Charles School Board, under discussion
23. for some time, has been a mutual agreement that it would be
24. well, if, for a future site for St. Charles High School
25. expansion, that that land be transferred to the school district
26. in return for, and I will read now, what the return is. The
27. St. Charles Boy's Home owns and operates their own Sewage
28. Treatment Plant. They would be...this would also allow
29. annexation, incidently, to the City of St. Charles. They
30. would be willing to have the City take over the operation
31. and ownership of the Sewage Treatment Plant. It's presently
32. underutilized and will have very extensive building modernization
33. forthcoming at the property. It will be also very costly to
34. the Corrections. It'll save about a million and a half dollars

1. for both parties, if that's the way it's done. It would
2. also put them into the St. Charles Electrical System to
3. give them better service for that. And it would also...save
4. the school district from building its own sewage plant in this.
5. I therefore would move you, Mr. President and members of the
6. Senate, to accept this amendment to transfer some eighty-six
7. acres, I want to be perfectly clear, it's not just a little
8. corner somewhere, from the Department of Corrections to the
9. St. Charles Public Schools. The amendment has a reverted
10. clause, if it's never used, it will go back to the State...
11. for...for consideration of one dollar.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. Is there any discussion? Senator Rock.

14. SENATOR ROCK:

15. Question of the sponsor, if he'll yield.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. He indicates he will.

18. SENATOR ROCK:

19. What currently does the department use this property for,
20. this eighty-six acres?

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Senator...Grotberg.

23. SENATOR GROTBORG:

24. Farm land on lease...to farmers.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

26. Senator Rock.

27. SENATOR ROCK:

28. Well, I...I...I'm still not quite sure why we, the State,
29. are willing to give it up for a dollar.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Senator Grotberg.

32. SENATOR GROTBORG:

33. We, the State, should be willing to give it up for a dollar

1. from my point of view...at least. Because in the days ahead,
2. we can save the State their whole Sewage Treatment Plant operation,
3. pipe them into their electrical system, keep the buffer to keep
4. homes from being developed that close to the fence, you know,
5. we always have that problem. The people always want to move
6. in and then they complain about being...living next to a prison.
7. They're just...but on a dollar basis..the consideration, the
8. mutual considerations in the long term are like a million and
9. a half dollars of mutual benefit between the Department of
10. Corrections and the people of St. Charles Public Schools.

11. SENATOR ROCK:

12. Well, I...I...I'm...I'm not suggesting that...that your
13. representation is...is not correct. What I am suggesting is
14. if, in fact, that's the consideration for this transfer, why
15. don't we put that language in there. There's nothing to say
16. or nothing to bind, apparently, the municipal authorities
17. from any of this...this kind of an agreement.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19. Senator Grotberg.

20. SENATOR GROTBORG:

21. I do not know that that is standard language in any
22. transfer bill, Mr. President. It's not in this one, we just...
23. the department drafted it and...

24. SENATOR ROCK:

25. Well, let me suggest that we send the department back to
26. the drafting board.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

28. Senator Grotberg.

29. SENATOR GROTBORG:

30. Take my amendment out of the record and proceed with another
31. amendment while I discuss this with the President, would you, Mr...

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Any further amendments? Senator Grotberg...withdraws Amendment

1. No. 1. Any further amendments?

2. SECRETARY:

3. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Sommer.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Senator Sommer.

6. SENATOR SOMMER:

7. Mr. President and members. This amendment authorizes
8. a...a trade of land up in the Starved Rock Park Complex between
9. the Starved Rock and the Deer Park Country Club. The Department
10. of Conservation would like to own the lake and the docks and
11. the boat...boat sheds and that on that lake and in exchange for
12. that they're willing to give the Country Club some flat land so that
13. they can build a few more holes. The bill simply says that...that
14. the parties can negotiate and if a transfer of equal value, based
15. upon assessments, is arrived at, then the Department can transfer
16. it. It must be lands of equal value and it's up to the Department
17. to ultimately approve the transfer.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19. Is there further discussion? Senator Bruce.

20. SENATOR BRUCE:

21. I don't know, maybe we're in the season where we're
22. going to start doing these transfer bills. I sit on the Senate
23. Executive Committee and it seems to me this...if the Department
24. wished this, they should have brought it in before the Executive
25. Committee and let us take a look at it. We have made...as Senator
26. Rock, in the last bill, some very valuable suggestions and the
27. Senator...say that they're going to trade land of equal value
28. by...based on assessments, is not what I'd like to hear. I'd
29. like to hear based on some sort of appraisal by an independent
30. body and...is that what it says, appraisal? Well, I still don't
31. like the idea, either we ought to let the departments do this
32. and never see them or we ought to make it...we've made the transfer.
33. I just object to this amendment which says, they will be the

1. ...ultimate...deciding body on whether or not this is a good
2. transfer. We don't know what they're exchanging, we have
3. no idea whether it is of equal value, they make that judgment.
4. Five or six years from now, someone makes a rap, we're the
5. one that put on the amendment. The Department of Conservation
6. head is long gone and it just seems to me that we ought to
7. take a look at these dollar for dollar, get the appraisals,
8. bring them in here, see what they want to exchange for A to B,
9. who owns the Country Club, who owns the land, have a nice hearing
10. on it and then we make the decision, not on...not on the Floor.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Is there further discussion? Senator Sommer.

13. SENATOR SOMMER:

14. Senator Bruce, the Department would like to have the fishing
15. lake, the club house, in a...in a nice wooded area, as part of
16. their Park Complex. The Country Club didn't initiate this, the
17. Department has come to them. And where...they...they feel that
18. they'll be able to consummate this transaction sometime in the
19. summer, but we won't be here. And that...throws them back almost
20. a year. So they would like this authority to be able to...to
21. make this transfer. I have faith in the Department, they're
22. certainly not going to give away anything, they're getting
23. something out of this.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. Senator Bruce.

26. SENATOR BRUCE:

27. That...that is the point. If they've been in negotiations,
28. this bill just came out of committee a week or so ago. If they've
29. been in negotiations, why didn't they put the amendment, come
30. down, bring the guys from the Country Club, tell us what the
31. thing is, show us pictures it may be the world's greatest deal. But
32. why does a House Bill come all the way to the Senate, we have it
33. over here, it goes through committee, we never hear about it, and all

HEB 24
6/19/80
S. H. L. L. L.

1. of a sudden, someone in the Department of Conservation thinks
2. this is a good idea and we're not going to be here in July
3. or August or September. But if they've been working on this
4. in January, February, March and April, why didn't they just
5. whisper in somebody's ear. I mean, you say they've been in
6. negotiations, well that's...we...this bill came out of committee
7. less than a week ago. Surely less than a week ago, they didn't...

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. Take it out of the record.

10. SENATOR BRUCE:

11. ...just all of a sudden decide to do this. And it's...I'm
12. not blaming you, Senator, it just seems to me we ought to send
13. a lesson...a...a message to some of these departments that
14. don't try these easement bills. I have an idea that this is
15. intentionally held till the last minute so we can't analyze
16. it in committee. And...and it just seems to me we ought not
17. to do that, if we can avoid it.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19. Yes, it's been suggested we take the bill out of the
20. record and have this discussed privately. We have a request...
21. on another noncontroversial bill, House Bill 3490, that they've
22. resolved. No that's...take that one out, too. We will now
23. go to the Order of House Bills 3rd reading on page 2. House
24. Bill 24, Senator Moore. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

25. SECRETARY:

26. House Bill 24.

27. (Secretary reads title of bill)

28. 3rd reading of the bill.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. Senator Moore.

31. SENATOR MOORE:

32. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. House
33. Bill 24 amends the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage

1. Act, to provide for payments of child support to the Clerk
2. of the court. Very briefly, what...the provisions of the bill
3. as they are amended, the bill applies only to the County of
4. Cook. It is effective January 1, 1982. The reason for this
5. delay is that there are matching Federal funds, seventy-five
6. twenty-five, which are available by the Federal Government
7. so as to fund the set-up and the maintenance of this program.
8. In working out with the Bureau of the Budget and the Governor's
9. Office, the start up cost and the reasonable cost of maintenances
10. are by the State are in the bill, so as to assure that it will
11. not cost the taxpayers of any county, any Real Estate Tax
12. monies, for this program. I think it is something that is long...
13. well overdue. I think that the attorneys know the last type
14. of case they want to handle is a rule to show a cause because
15. of nonsupport. They are a loser, they're not being handled
16. as a result of a lot of these people do go on Public Aid. As
17. far as the downstate counties, it is optional for them to decide
18. whether or not they want to go into it after January 1, 1982.
19. It will...there is a special division set-up in the Office of
20. the Courts Administrator to administer this thing. There is
21. also a provision in there that in the event that Federal Funds
22. cease to become available, the program is not mandatory. I'd
23. be happy to...answer any questions or if not, I would ask for
24. a favorable roll call.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

26. Senator...Senator Rock suggested that only noncontroversial
27. bills be called. At this point we have five speakers wishing to
28. speak one way or another. I...all five speakers are in favor...
29. Is there further discussion then? Senator Buzbee. Buzbee.

30. SENATOR BUZBEE:

31. Thank you, Mr. President. I understand that this applies...
32. is mandatory only for the County of Cook and that it's optional
33. for the downstate counties. Being the expert in divorce law
34. that I am, I...I do recall, on this particular bill, that circuit

1. clerk in...in my area, has written me expressing his strong
2. opposition. In some of these little bitty small counties, where
3. the circuit clerk is there and he has two or three employees,
4. you're putting a tremendous burden on him. Now, wait a minute,
5. I know it's not mandatory, but this is the first step in the
6. door, you mandate it for Cook County and then next year it'll
7. be...says it's a good idea in Cook County we might as well do
8. it in downstate counties. And...and until the circuit clerks
9. in my area tell me that...that they can live with that if
10. they can convince their county boards that they shouldn't perform
11. that function, then I...I'm going to stand in opposition to
12. the bill.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

14. Senator Daley.

15. SENATOR DALEY:

16. Mr. President, fellow Senators. In the committee we
17. spoke to this issue and that's why we did not want to mandate
18. it outside of Cook County. So the efforts of Senator Moore
19. and...and the clerk's office and to...really, this has been a
20. serious problem in Cook County in regards to this...child support
21. cases. And that's why I think we took into consideration of
22. not mandating outside Cook and only make it optional.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Senator Geo-Karis.

25. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

26. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This
27. is probably one of the most significant bills can be addressed
28. by this House. I can tell you as someone who has had expertise
29. in custodial matters of children and support money when the custodial spouse
30. cannot collect the money due for...the children to be supported.
31. There is...really it's too expensive for the custodial spouse
32. to go after the...delinquent spouse on the payments. And I can
33. honestly tell you that this...since it's mandatory only in Cook
34. and it's permissive in the other counties, I can tell you that my

1. County of Lake will be glad to...subscribe to it 'cause it's
2. a necessary bill. It's high time these people don't want to
3. support their children, be made to support to them and let the
4. State help them out through the State's Attorney. It's a
5. good bill.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. Senator DeAngelis.

8. SENATOR DeANGELIS:

9. Question of the sponsor.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

11. He indicates he will yield.

12. SENATOR DeANGELIS:

13. Senator Moore, you indicated that this was both mandatory
14. and voluntary. It's mandatory for the courts to do it and
15. voluntary for those who want to submit to this. Is that what
16. it is?

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Senator Moore.

19. SENATOR MOORE:

20. It's mandatory for counties in excess of two million,
21. which is the County of Cook. But when a divorce is granted
22. and a court order is entered for child support payments, I'm not
23. speaking of alimony or maintenance of the wife, for child support
24. payments, then unless...if the two parties agree, the husband and
25. the wife agree, that the child support shall go directly from
26. the husband to the wife. And if that is approved by the court,
27. then it is not...that does not fall under this program. If
28. the two parties do not agree, then the payment will have to be
29. made to the clerk of the circuit court.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Senator DeAngelis.

32. SENATOR DeANGELIS:

33. Is this an opt-out program or is there...going to be assumed

1. that if you don't agree that it's going to be automatic?
2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
3. Senator Moore.
4. SENATOR MOORE:
5. Yes. If the two parties cannot agree that the payment
6. shall go from the husband to the wife for child support then
7. the husband will make the payment to the clerk of the circuit
8. court who will remit it to the mother.
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
10. Senator DeAngelis.
11. SENATOR DeANGELIS:
12. I...I'm sorry...I'm sorry for taking so much time on this,
13. but I want to make it...I want to make sure that I'm clear on
14. this. It is going to be explained to both people, they're
15. both going to understand that this is an option, if there's
16. a disagreement among them, then it will go in effect, but it's
17. not one of these deals where the people don't know about the
18. law and then the lawyers take over and they automatically assume
19. the best way to do it is enter this in with the court and we
20. pile it up and the husband gets mad at the wife, the wife gets
21. mad at the husband, it's...it's going to be explained, right?
22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
23. Senator Gitz.
24. SENATOR GITZ:
25. Question of the sponsor.
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
27. He indicates he will yield.
28. SENATOR GITZ:
29. Senator Moore, first of all, I think that the bill is
30. probably quite meritorious. I am a little bit concerned about
31. the optional feature, downstate. Was the reason for that because
32. of the fear of overburdening the clerk of the courts?
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Moore.

2. SENATOR MOORE:

3. The reason for it, there was a fear in...and I would
4. like to address my remarks to...to Senator Buzbee too, Senator
5. Gitz. The fear of the downstate counties were or was that it's
6. going to cost monies that the county boards are going to have
7. to come up with, that is not the case. There are some small
8. counties that are doing this process manually now and doing
9. an effective job, manually. We're not aiming at those...those
10. counties, it's the larger counties that we're concerned with
11. which will be computerized where the...if there are...if a
12. payment is two weeks in arrears, the computer automatically
13. sends a letter out to the father informing him of this, telling
14. him to catch up. The reason we left it optional for the
15. downstate counties was because some counties will never take
16. advantage of this. However, the option is there, if they do
17. and they do need additional personnel, if they need new space,
18. if they need any equipment, that will be paid for. Seventy-
19. five percent by the Federal Government and under this bill,
20. twenty-five percent by the State of Illinois. So there will
21. be no cost to the smaller counties in the event they do decide
22. to opt-in and take advantage of this bill.

23.
24.
25.
26.
27.
28.
29.
30.
31.
32.
33.

End of Reel

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Senator Gitz.

3. SENATOR GITZ:

4. Okay. If the cost of this is being borne by a combination
5. of State and Federal funds, then what is wrong with making it
6. mandatory throughout, so we don't have a confusing piecemeal
7. system? I...I also would say, Senator, some of my questions
8. are geared to these amendments, because they're not on the
9. book; and therefore, it's somewhat difficult for me to sort
10. out the changes in the bill, and so, consequently, I'm forced
11. to take up the time of the Body to ask you the questions that
12. will clarify these issues. It seems to me, if the money is
13. there then why should we not make it mandatory?

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Senator Moore.

16. SENATOR MOORE:

17. I would have liked to have seen a mandatory bill. I had
18. discussed with the county clerks in the State, with the lobbyists
19. for the County Clerks Association, as far as putting on an
20. amendment saying, it shall be mandatory for all counties over
21. fifty or seventy-five thousand. Rather than to cloud the
22. issue, to hope that there would be uniformity throughout the
23. State, we...we made it optional on them. If a county clerk
24. or the county board doesn't want it, then they don't have to
25. take it. The smaller counties are, as I say, doing it manually.
26. I personally think it would be to their advantage to opt in,
27. but I don't want to preempt that on the smaller counties,
28. because it's really the larger counties where we have a large
29. Public Aid case load, are the cases that...are the counties
30. that we are attempting to zero in on upon this bill.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Senator Gitz.

33. SENATOR GITZ:

1. Okay, now, who's going to make this decision? Is that
2. strictly a matter of the county clerk or the county board,
3. or either one, or both, you know, who's going to have the
4. signoff?

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. Senator Moore.

7. SENATOR MOORE:

8. The bill reads "any county may apply for fiscal assistance
9. to the division," referring to the division in the Court
10. Administrator's Office. It would have to be the...the county
11. board, the clerk of the circuit court; it would have to be,
12. I would say, the County board, the way the amendment reads.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

14. Senator Gitz.

15. SENATOR GITZ:

16. Does the amendment spell out it would be the county board
17. and they make a resolution or decision on it?

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19. Senator Moore.

20. SENATOR MOORE:

21. It says the county, and the county is...the governing authority
22. of the county is the county board.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Senator Gitz.

25. SENATOR GITZ:

26. Okay. My next question was on the...the fees in terms
27. of the clerk's services. Our analysis is that they're a really
28. nominal fee; is that just to recoup some of the cost, or what...
29. why the fee schedule that's outlined...

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Senator Moore.

32. SENATOR MOORE:

33. Originally, as was set forth in the bill was a dollar and

1. a half a month. It was increased to three dollars a month.
2. These fees do not inure to the benefit of the State. They're
3. set up in a special fund, and those fees go to the General
4. Corporate Fund of the county. It's just a little bit extra
5. to help, perhaps, some of the, not only the larger counties
6. but the smaller counties, in bringing in more revenue.
7. There was discussions that these fees should go to the State,
8. inasmuch as they're coming up with twenty-five percent, but
9. it was finally agreed upon that the fees would end up in the
10. county treasurer.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Senator Gitz.

13. SENATOR GITZ:

14. One last question, Senator. Again, I am forced to ask
15. the question because I don't have a copy of Amendment 2 in
16. the bill file, the list, et cetera. I notice that the analysis
17. of Senate Amendment 2, allows the clerk of the court to deposit
18. funds collected but not disbursed into interest-bearing accounts.
19. My question, I guess, is this; under what conditions will we
20. be collecting funds and then not disbursing them? Maybe the
21. amendment analysis is fallacious; but that troubles me, and
22. I'd like a clarification.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Senator Moore.

25. SENATOR MOORE:

26. The clarification is, Senator, under Amendment No. 2, the
27. monies shall be...or the clerk has the authority, he may invest
28. the monies in interest-bearing accounts. In a large County
29. of Cook, for example, let's say a mother moves and has not
30. notified the clerk of a change of address. That check will
31. come back to the Clerk; and in a county of our size, there
32. could be a substantial amount of monies that the clerk would
33. be holding, and it was the thinking of the group that has

1. worked this out, that these monies should be invested and
2. the interest earned therefrom would end up in the county
3. treasury.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Senator Gitz.

6. SENATOR GITZ:

7. Well, on that point, then, are you suggesting then, we'll
8. be in a situation where people are making basically, payments
9. to the court, and they can't find the person; and so they'll be
10. paying the money in, but it's never disbursed.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Senator...Senator Moore.

13. SENATOR MOORE:

14. Under the terms of the bill, it's the obligation of the
15. mother to leave the clerk know where those payments are to
16. be sent. And if she moves and doesn't notify the clerk,
17. then we have no way of knowing where to mail the checks, other
18. than to the address that she originally told us to. If she
19. moves and doesn't notify us, those checks are going to come
20. back; that money is going to lie in an account, and in a county
21. such as...the size of the County of Cook, there could be, you
22. know, many hundreds of thousands of dollars just lying idly,
23. and it was our desire to see that if that did happen, the monies
24. would be invested and any interest accrued would benefit the
25. county.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Any further discussion? Senator Regner.

28. SENATOR REGNER:

29. A question of the sponsor.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. He indicates he will yield.

32. SENATOR REGNER:

33. Senator Moore, I understand that the Governor's Womens'

1. Advocate was lobbying for this bill in the House; I was wondering
2. if it's an ERA Amendment of any kind. I was wondering what it
3. has to do with ERA.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Senator Moore.

6. SENATOR MOORE:

7. It has nothing to do with ERA. This concept is not new,
8. Senator. When you and I served in the House of Representatives,
9. about twelve years ago, I introduced a similar bill taken from
10. the State of California, and I believe that's before ERA had
11. raised its head, and it has nothing to do with ERA, I assure
12. you.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

14. Senator Davidson. Senator Moore may...if you wish, may
15. close debate on this non-controversial issue. Senator.

16. SENATOR MOORE:

17. Well, all I can say, Mr. President, I think this is a
18. very notable change in our law; I think it's one of the pieces
19. of legislation that will do much as far as women are concerned,
20. particularly more so as far as children are concerned. In
21. the State of Illinois, in Public Aid, for example, we are
22. collecting about twelve to fifteen million from our absent
23. fathers. We should be collecting eighty, eighty-five million;
24. and I think with a bill of this nature, we can make the re-
25. sponsible fathers support their children, the children are
26. going to be the benefits of it, our Public Aid rolls are going
27. to be less, and I would ask for a favorable roll call.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

29. The question is shall House Bill 24 pass. Those in favor
30. vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
31. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
32. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are 3, and 2 Voting
33. Present. House Bill 24, having received the required constitutional

1. majority, is declared passed. We'll move on the list...non-
2. controversial list to House Bill 303, Senator Gitz. House
3. Bill 426, Senator Davidson. House Bill 536, Senator Johns.
4. House Bill 697, Senator Sangmeister. House Bill 746, Senator
5. Egan. House Bill 1164, Senator Sangmeister. House...1164,
6. Senator Sangmeister. House Bill 1182, Senator Johns. House
7. Bill 1230, Senator Davidson. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

8. SECRETARY:

9. House Bill 1230.

10. (Secretary reads title of bill)

11. 3rd reading of the bill.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. Senator Davidson.

14. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

15. That bill just says what it does on the Calendar, plus the
16. amendment we will put on, which raises the districts, such
17. as Springfield, which had a district created by referendum
18. vote from five to seven, because we're going to expend the...
19. extend the size of our district. This will give those people
20. possible representation. Move...I ask for a favorable vote.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Senator Regner.

23. SENATOR REGNER:

24. A question of the sponsor.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

26. He indicates he will yield.

27. SENATOR REGNER:

28. What are the salaries of these members, Senator Davidson?

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. Senator Davidson.

31. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

32. I'm not sure, we made no changes. I think the salaries in
33. Springfield is a hundred dollars a month, Senator Regner. Now,

1. what they are on up at Rockford, which is the first part of
2. the bill who it was for, because they only had three people,
3. and unable to get quorums at time...you'd have to ask...I'd
4. have to defer to Senator Martin as to what their salary is.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. Senator Regner.

7. SENATOR REGNER:

8. The...the thing I'm wondering is any part of the State
9. subsidies that go to downstate mass transit, do any of those
10. monies go to pay the salary of these members?

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Senator Davidson.

13. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

14. Not...not to my knowledge, because there is a local tax
15. levy which goes to this Springfield Mass Transit District.
16. I can't respond for Rockford.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is
19. shall House Bill 1230 pass. Those in favor, indicate by
20. voting Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.
21. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the
22. record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are 3,
23. none Voting Present. House Bill 1230, having received the
24. constitutional...majority, is declared passed. House Bill
25. 1400, Senator Shapiro. House Bill 1407, Senator Netsch.
26. House Bill 1522, Senator Sangmeister. House Bill 1563, Senator
27. Shapiro. House Bill 6...1673, Senator Merlo. House Bill
28. 1736, Senator Merlo. House Bill 1800, Senator Nash. Read
29. the bill, Mr. Secretary.

30. SECRETARY:

31. House Bill 1800.

32. (Secretary reads title of bill)

33. 3rd reading of the bill.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Senator Nash.

3. SENATOR NASH:

4. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this
5. is a very simple bill. It gives the authority to the Board
6. of Commissioners of the Chicago Park District to authorize the
7. sale of alcoholic beverage. They want to do it so they can sell
8. alcoholic beverages at Soldiers' Field, the South Shore Club;
9. and it's only with the permission of the board, and I ask for a
10. favorable roll call.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Further discussion? Senator Rhoads.

13. SENATOR RHOADS:

14. Senator Nash, the kinds of alcoholic beverages included;
15. are we talking just about beer or harder drinks, or what?

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. Senator Nash.

18. SENATOR NASH:

19. ...Alcoholic...in the City of Chicago, a license to sell
20. alcohol covers everybody; you don't have a beer and wine license,
21. and a different license for alcohol. This bill is similar to
22. the one that Senator De Angelis has to cover the State Beach...
23. to cover the State Beach Park.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. Further discussion? If not, the question is shall House
26. Bill 1800 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed
27. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
28. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,
29. the Ayes are 40, the Nays are 8, 3 Voting Present. House Bill
30. 4...1800, having received the constitutional majority, is
31. declared passed. House Bill 1952, Senator Coffey. Read the
32. bill, Mr. Secretary.

33. SECRETARY:

1. House Bill 1952.
2. (Secretary reads title of bill)
3. 3rd reading of the bill.
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
5. Senator Coffey.
6. SENATOR COFFEY:
7. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, House Bill
8. 1952 as amended increases the Directors of the Illinois State
9. Toll Way...Toll Highway Authority from nine to eleven members.
10. It stipulates that the nine directors now, which is seven,
11. shall be appointed by the Governor, same as before; and I'd
12. ask for a favorable roll call.
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
14. Is there further discussion? If not...Senator Demuzio.
15. SENATOR DEMUZIO:
16. Well, I'd just like to know why we're increasing the
17. number of members of the Toll Road Commission, and how much
18. they get paid, and how much it's going to cost.
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
20. Senator Coffey.
21. SENATOR COFFEY:
22. As I understand, they receive fifteen thousand dollars a
23. year, per member.
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
25. Senator Demuzio.
26. SENATOR DEMUZIO:
27. Then, why are we adding two more?
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
29. Senator Coffey.
30. SENATOR COFFEY:
31. I can't answer that question. I can tell you that it's
32. paid for from revenue from the toll road.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
34. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is shall

HB 2351
3rd reading
6-19-80

- 1. House Bill 1952 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those
- 2. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted
- 3. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
- 4. question, the Ayes are 39, the Nays are 13, 1 Voting Present.
- 5. House Bill 1952, having received the constitutional majority,
- 6. is declared passed. House Bill 2227, Senator Schaffer. House
- 7. Bill 2318, Senator Shapiro. House Bill 2351, Senator Bloom.
- 8. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
- 9. SECRETARY:
- 10. House Bill 2351.
- 11. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 12. 3rd reading of the bill.
- 13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 14. Senator Bloom.
- 15. SENATOR BLOOM:
- 16. Thank you, Mr. President and fellow Senators. This bill
- 17. does two things. It eliminates a step for the Department of
- 18. Personnel in their job classification. Under the APA, as
- 19. presently written, they not only have to present their job
- 20. classifications to the Civil Service Commission, but they
- 21. also have to go through rule making procedures before the
- 22. Joint Committee on Administrative Rules. It takes the second
- 23. step out. The feeling is that they are spinning their wheels
- 24. the second time around. The second thing the bill does is
- 25. that when the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules objects
- 26. to a proposed rule, because it exceeds the Department's
- 27. statutory authority, if they object by a three-fifths vote
- 28. of those members of the committee present and voting, that rule
- 29. is suspended pending the approval of the General Assembly.
- 30. This is a needed tool, because too often we find rules promulgated
- 31. without statutory authority; and then when we file the objection,
- 32. the Department refuses to withdraw it, because they know we
- 33. have no hammer outside of the appropriations process. Answer

1. any questions. Ask for a favorable roll call.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is
4. shall House Bill 2351 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye.
5. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted
6. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
7. On that question, the Ayes are 56, the Nays are 1, none
8. Voting Present. House Bill 2351, having received the
9. constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill
10. 2429. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

11. SECRETARY:

12. House Bill 2429.

13. (Secretary reads title of bill)

14. 3rd reading of the bill.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Senator Maragos.

17. SENATOR MARAGOS:

18. Mr. President and members of the Senate, House Bill 2429
19. deals with the Board of the Chicago Regional Port District,
20. and allows them to be compensated for their services as board
21. members. This will not cost the State of Illinois any money,
22. because they are self...sufficient and a self-sustaining
23. organization. However, now, over the last two or three years,
24. especially, since they have the new container facilities and
25. the new port facilities, they are working in the committees and
26. trying to build the business in the area, and they are meeting
27. at least two or three times a week; whereas, one...previously
28. they only met once a month. It's a bill that's been supported
29. by Senator Moore; it's a bipartisan bill, and I ask for your
30. support.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Any further discussion? Senator Rhoads.

33. SENATOR RHOADS:

34. Just the obvious question, Senator Maragos. The amount

1. of compensation would be what?
2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
3. Senator Maragos.
4. SENATOR MARAGOS:
5. The court, according to the amendment which we put on
6. in committee, the...limit is twenty thousand dollars for each
7. board member, and if they...the Chairman may receive an
8. additional five thousand a year. That's the cap.
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
10. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is...
11. oh, Senator Walsh.
12. SENATOR WALSH:
13. Mr. President, just briefly in opposition, this bill pro-
14. vides for, as the Gentleman indicated, a twenty thousand dollar
15. salary where there is none now. The...the members apparently
16. meet as infrequently as once every month; it just seems to
17. me that from zero to twenty thousand is quite a jump, and I
18. haven't heard any justification of it, and for that reason, I
19. intend to vote No.
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
21. Is there further discussion? Senator Martin.
22. SENATOR MARTIN:
23. Will the sponsor yield?
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
25. He indicates he will.
26. SENATOR MARTIN:
27. How do they get picked? The bill doesn't say.
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
29. Senator Maragos.
30. SENATOR MARAGOS:
31. No, you have to go to the statutory requirements and
32. mandates. They are picked five by the Mayor of Chicago,
33. and four by the Mayor...by the Governor of the State of

1. Illinois. It's a nine-man board. So...and they're bi-
2. partisan in effort and in programming.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. Senator Martin.

5. SENATOR MARTIN:

6. People who serve the communities probably never get
7. paid enough. And I would think some compensation might,
8. indeed, be relevant for the amount of time and the expertise
9. you are seeking on the board. But Senators, from
10. zero to twenty thousand is an interesting jump. I...I,
11. quite truthfully, thought you were going to say something
12. like a hundred dollars a month or something. That's...
13. that's...that's just a real jump, and I'm sure the members
14. now on the board will be anxiously watching this bill.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Maragos
17. may close debate.

18. SENATOR MARAGOS:

19. Mr. President and members of the Senate, I ask for your
20. support because it is not correct that they only meet once
21. a month. This bill...these people have been donating so much
22. time that they have lost several good members of the board
23. because they could not...they lost Mr. MacArthur, they lost
24. the...Mr. Robert Hines, who was the Chairman of the Board,
25. because they could not spend the amount of time and not be
26. compensated. It's becoming almost a full-time job, and I
27. ask...and they're now in the process of building the new
28. container facility at the mouth of the Calumet River, and
29. now, they are also spending time to try to promote the whole
30. port area and bring more business and jobs to the City of
31. Chicago, the county...and the State of Illinois, because the
32. whole State will be benefitted by this approach and by this
33. port. And I ask your support. It is not a one day job like

1. it used to be anymore.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3. The question is shall House Bill 2429 pass. Those in
4. favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.
5. Have all voted who wish? Senator Maragos, do you wish to
6. vote? Senator Maragos, do you wish to vote on this? Take
7. the record. On that question, the Ayes are 34, the Nays
8. are 18, none Voting Present. House Bill 2429, having re-
9. ceived the constitutional majority, is declared passed.
10. Senator Vadalabene, for what purpose do you arise?

11. SENATOR VADALABENE:

12. Yes, directly behind me, I would like for all my friends
13. to stand up and give me a sounding round of applause.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. They just did, Senator. House Bill 2612, Senator Nash.
16. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

17. SECRETARY:

18. House Bill 2612.

19. (Secretary reads title of bill)

20. 3rd reading of the bill.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Senator Nash. Senator, would you use Maragos'.

23. SENATOR NASH:

24. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate,
25. House Bill 2612 is another very simple bill. All it does,
26. it provides the investment...attributed to money invested
27. into the Road Fund shall be retained in the Road Fund and
28. not go to the General Fund. And Amendment No. 1, by Senator
29. Weaver, just makes this bill effective July 1, 1981. I ask
30. for the adoption. I ask for a favorable roll call.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Is there further discussion? Senator Regner.

33. SENATOR REGNER:

1. Senator Nash, how many dollars will this put into the
2. Road Fund?

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. Senator Nash.

5. SENATOR NASH:

6. Staff says seven five...7.5 million dollars last fiscal
7. year.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. Senator Regner.

10. SENATOR REGNER:

11. Well, my figures are ten million dollars and I think it's
12. a good idea to the Road Fund.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

14. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is shall
15. House Bill 2612 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those
16. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted
17. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On
18. that question, the Ayes are 57, the Nays are zero, none Voting
19. Present. House Bill 2612, having received the constitutional
20. majority, is declared passed. House Bill 2771, Senator Rupp.
21. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

22. SECRETARY:

23. House Bill 2771.

24. (Secretary reads title of bill)

25. 3rd reading of the bill.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Senator Rupp.

28. SENATOR RUPP:

29. Thank you, Mr. President. What this is, is basically
30. a re-organization within the Registration and Education
31. Department. The bill will permit the new Director to make
32. the changes to correct the deficiencies that were pointed
33. up by the Auditor General in his report. The bill was

1. reported out of the Insurance and Licensed Activities Committee
2. with a unanimous roll call; and after extensive review by the
3. the legislation...of the legislation on the staff by both
4. sides. There was no registered opposition to this bill, and
5. I do ask a favorable roll call.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is
8. shall House Bill 277...Senator Gitz. Senator Demuzio.

9. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

10. Yes, I have a question of the sponsor if he will yield.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. He indicates he will yield. In the meantime Channel 20
13. seeks permission to film. Is leave granted for Senator
14. Demuzio? Is leave granted? Leave is granted.

15. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

16. Senator Rupp, according to the synopsis that I have, it
17. says Amendment No. 1 deleted everything after the enacting
18. clause, and...and I was wondering how there could be any opposition
19. to this bill, if everything was deleted. What, in fact, it
20. does now?

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Senator Rupp.

23. SENATOR RUPP:

24. Purposely, the whole thing was deleted in order to start
25. this whole complete re-organization. There is really...I can
26. give you details, if you want. Amendment No. 1 is the substance
27. of the bill. There were some proposed fee increases in there;
28. they have been eliminated. The most important change, perhaps,
29. to the working, and the logical working of research in education,
30. is the one which makes it nearly all the licenses are to be
31. renewed biennial now, and rearranges the schedules of those
32. renewals so that the processing is improved. Right now seventy
33. percent of the renewals come in a one year. They're going to

1. try to level that out. The bill also will renew the issuance
2. of those...the wall certificates; but...not...case, where
3. there is an extra cost, the people are going to have to pay
4. for them. Amendment No. 2 was one that increased the Director's
5. salary from thirty-seven three to forty-four thousand; it
6. eliminated the two positions of Superintendent of Registration
7. and the Assistant Director.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. He has indicated that that is enough. The camera has
10. turned...turned away. Are there further discussions? If not,
11. the question is shall House Bill 2771 pass. Those in favor
12. will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.
13. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the
14. record. On that question, the Ayes are 47, the Nays are 5,
15. and 2 Voting Present. House Bill 2771, having received the
16. constitutional majority, is declared passed. For what purpose
17. does Senator Rock arise?

18. SENATOR ROCK:

19. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
20. Senate. I think the sponsors of the next two bills have
21. indicated they don't wish to proceed today. So, I think we
22. can...should stop right there and adjourn until noon tomorrow,
23. and at the appropriate time I will make...so move.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. Yes, Mr. President, we have one order of business yet;
26. the Order of Resolutions. Mr. Secretary.

27. SECRETARY:

28. Senate Resolution 571, offered by Senator Demuzio and
29. all Senators. It's congratulatory.

30. Senate Resolution 572, offered by the same sponsor, and
31. it's congratulatory.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Consent Calendar. Senator Rock.

1. SENATOR ROCK:

2. Well, if there is no further business or other announcements
3. of any kind, I would move that the Senate stand adjourned until
4. Friday, June 20 at the hour of twelve o'clock noon.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. You've heard the motion. Those in favor indicate by saying
7. Aye. Those opposed. For what purpose does Senator Nimrod
8. arise?

9. SENATOR NIMROD:

10. Mr. President, there is a Senate Joint Resolution that
11. has the approval of both the Chairman and the Minority Spokesman
12. of the Education and Secondary Commission, and I would ask
13. if we might be able to suspend Rule 6 and place that on the
14. Calendar for immediate consideration. It is...it refers to
15. a report that we have that comes from the State Board of
16. Education, and all it's saying is that this committee hear
17. that report...legislative report.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19. There is has been indication of objections to the suspension
20. of rules. The Senate will now stand adjourned. For what
21. purpose does Senator Hall arise?

22. SENATOR HALL:

23. Mr. President, I'd like to have leave of the Body to be
24. shown as a hyphenated-sponsor with Senator Newhouse of Senate
25. Joint Resolution 96 that passed yesterday, if there's no objection.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. You have heard the motion. Is there any objection? Leave
28. is granted. Senator Nash, for what purpose do you arise?

29. SENATOR NASH:

30. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, for the
31. purpose of making an announcement. Don't forget tonight that the
32. girls' softball team is having a ball game at Iles' Park at
33. six o'clock; the Senate Secretaries are playing the House

1. Secretaries, and I hope they do better than we did.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3. For what purpose does Senator Schaffer arise?

4. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

5. To ask Senate permission to have myself removed as
6. principal sponsor of House Bill 3058, and have Senator Moore
7. listed as sponsor of that bill.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. You have heard the motion. Is there any objection? Leave
10. is granted. If there is no further business to come before
11. the...for what purpose does Senator Shapiro arise?

12. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

13. Mr. President, leave of the Body to have my name removed
14. as chief sponsor of House Bill 1400, and the name of Senator
15. Martin substituted as chief sponsor.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. You have heard the motion. Is there any objection? No
18. objection, leave is granted. If there's no further business
19. to come before the Senate, the Senate will stand adjourned
20. until Friday, June 20th, 1980 at the hour of noon.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.