81ST GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

MAY 19, 1980

	·
1.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)
2.	The hour of twelve having arrived, the Senate will
3.	be in Session. Our chaplain for today is Father Joseph
4.	P. Havey, St. Agnes Church, Springfield, Illinois. Will
5.	our guests in the gallery please rise.
6.	FATHER HAVEY:
7.	(Prayer given by Father Havey)
8.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)
9.	Reading of the Journal.
10.	SECRETARY:
11.	Tuesday, May the 13th, 1980.
12.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)
13.	Senator Johns.
14.	SENATOR JOHNS:
15.	Thank you, Mr. President. I move that the Journal just
16.	read by the Secretary be approved unless some Senator has
17.	additions or corrections to offer.
18.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)
19.	You've heard the motion. All in favor say Aye. Motion
20.	carried. Senator Johns.
21.	SENATOR JOHNS:
22.	Mr. President, I move that reading and approval of the
23.	Journals of Wednesday, May the 14th and Thursday, May the 15th
24.	of the year 1980 be postponed pending arrival of the printed
25.	Journal.
26.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)
27.	You've heard the motion. All in favor say Aye. Ayes have
28.	it, motion carried. TheSenate is goingbe in recess until
29.	one o'clock. We have some planes that are trying to get in.
30.	Many of our members are running a little late, so we are going
31.	to stand in recess until one o'clock.

RECESS

AFTER RECESS

32.

l. PRESIDENT: 2. Messages from the House. SECRETARY: 3. A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate 5. that the House of Representatives has passed bills with the 6. following titles in the passage of which I am instructed to 7. ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit: 8. House Bills 24, 2917, 2967, 3004, 3013, 3101, 9. 3122, 3174, 3229, 3255, 3259, 3315, 3333, 3346, 10. 3359, 3382, 3401, 3403, 3427, 3432, 3433, 3434, 11. 3439, 3475, 3498, 3577. 12. PRESIDENT: 13. All right. With leave of the Body, we'll move to 14. page 12 on the Calendar on the Order of House Bills 1st reading. 15. SECRETARY: 16. House Bill 1180, Senator Knuppel is the Senate sponsor. 17. (Secretary reads title of bill) 18. 1st reading of the bill. 19. House Bill 1340, Senator Moore and Merlo are the Senate 20. sponsors. 21. (Secretary reads title of bill) 22. 1st reading of the bill. 23. House Bill 3092, Senators Regner and Daley are the Senate 24. 25. (Secretary reads title of bill) 26. 1st reading of the bill. 27. House Bill 3124, Senators Vadalabene and DeAngelis are 28. the Senate sponsors. 29. (Secretary reads title of bill) 30. 1st reading of the bill. 31. House Bill 3197, Senator Mitchler is the Senate sponsor. 32.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

- lst reading of the bill.
- 2. House Bill 3205, Senator Hall is the Senate sponsor.
- 3. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 4. 1st reading of the bill.
- 5. House Bill 3257, Senator D'Arco is the Senate sponsor.
- 6. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 7. 1st reading of the bill.
- 8. House Bill 30...3284, Senator Buzbee is the Senate sponsor.
- 9. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 10. lst reading of the bill.
- House Bill 3290, Senator Buzbee is the Senate sponsor.
- 12. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 13. lst reading of the bill.
- House Bill 3221 or 3321, Senator Buzbee is the Senate
- 15. sponsor.
- 16. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 17. lst reading of the bill.
- House Bill 3030, Senator Mitchler is the Senate sponsor.
- 19. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 20. lst reading of the bill.
- 21. House Bill 3369, Senator Rupp is the Senate sponsor.
- 22. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- lst reading of the bill.
- House Bill 3429, Senator Grotberg is the Senate sponsor.
- 25. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 26. lst reading of the bill.
- House Bill 3448, Senator Coffey is the Senate sponsor.
- (Secretary reads title of bill)
- lst reading of the bill.
- House Bill 3302, Senator Johns is the Senate sponsor.
- (Secretary reads title of bill)
- lst reading of the bill.
- PRESIDENT:

- 1. Resolutions. The forementioned House Bills, having been
- 2. read a first time, will be assigned to the Committee on Rules.
- 3. SECRETARY:
- Senate Resolution 498 offered by Senator Martin, its congratulatory.
- 5. Senate Resolution 499 offered by Senator Gitz and all Senators
- 6. and it's congratulatory.
- 7. PRESIDENT:
- 8. Consent Calendar. Senator Donnewald, for what purpose
- do you arise?
- 10. SENATOR DONNEWALD:
- 11. Yes, Mr. President, I think last Thursday, before we adjourned,
- 12. you were going to go to the Order of Motions. I would suggest that
- 13. we do, at this time, to clean up the Calendar a little bit.
- 14. PRESIDENT:
- 15. All right. You've heard the request. Is leave granted?
- 16. If you'll turn to page 18 on the Calendar, on the Order of
- 17. Motions in Writing, Senate Bill 261. Read the motion, Mr.
- 18. Secretary. Senator Nimrod.
- 19. SENATOR NIMROD:
- Yes, Mr. President, I would request to withdraw that
- 21. motion.
- PRESIDENT:
- 23. All right. That motion has been withdrawn. Senate Bill
- 888, Senator Keats. Senator Keats on the Floor? Senate Bill
- 1496, Senator Mitchler. Yes, Senator Mitchler.
- 26. SENATOR MITCHLER:
- Mr. President, I'd like to withdraw that motion.
- PRESIDENT:
- That motion has been withdrawn. Wait just a minute.
- 1501, Senator Berning. Do you wish to proceed? Read the motion,
- Mr. Secretary, please.
- SECRETARY:
- I move to suspend Rule 5-C and that the Senate Rules ${\bf 33.}$

- 1. Committee be discharged from further consideration of Senate
- 2. Bill 1501. Further move that Senate Bill 1501 be placed on
- 3. the Calendar on the Order of 2nd reading. Signed, Senator Berning.
- 4. PRESIDENT:

32.

- Senator Berning.
- 6. SENATOR BERNING:
- 7. Thank you, Mr. President. Ladies and Gentlemen of the
- g. Senate, I realize that...our attendance is relatively light,
- $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{g}}_{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}$ however I would hope that those of you present will seriously
- 10. consider the request for the discharge of Rules from...from
- 11. Senate Bill 1501 so that it might be placed on the Order of
- 12. 2nd reading. Very briefly...Senate Bill 1501 would regulate
- 13. medical experiments performed on human beings and requires
- 14. that a subject of a medical experiment be given a bill of
- 15. rights and signed without duress and informed consent form.
- 16. Now, why is this important, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
- 17. It has been called to my attention through the efforts of the
- 18. Weteran's watchdog group, the Vetline Hot Line, that there
- 19. has been and is continuing to be, at this time in the State
- 20. of Illinois, a distressing amount of experimentation with
- human subjects, veterans in our veteran's hospitals. Senate
- 22. Bill 1501 would merely set down guidelines to assure that
- 23. our veterans are not used as guinea pigs. All of us have been
- 24. inundated recently with requests to support an antivivisection
- 25. bill involving animals in schools. I think very few of us
- 26. will argue with the desirability of such controls, but how
- 27. much more important, Ladies and Gentlemen, is it that we take
- 28. steps to protect our veterans. Without going into a discussion
- 29. of case by case, which I have available and will be happy to
- 30. discuss with any of you. I just simply want to remind you
- that we were all horrified by stories of the experimentation
- carried out on human subjects during the Nazi regime. We all
- said that could never happen in a civilized enlightened time
- 34. and country, but it is happening today, right here in the

- 1. United States of America. And I urge you, Ladies and Gentlemen,
- 2. to vote Aye to bring this bill out on the Floor so that it can
- 3. be given a thorough hearing, first in Rules and then back on
- 4. the Floor for your...first in committee and then for your consider-
- 5. ation on the Floor. Mr. President, I urge a Aye vote.
- 6. PRESIDENT:
- 7. All right. Senator Bernings has...Berning has moved to
- 8. discharge the rule...Committee on Rules from further consideration
- g. of Senate Bill 1501. Is there any discussion? Senator Donnewald.
- 10. SENATOR DONNEWALD:
- 11. Yes, Mr. President, according to the Calendar on page 18,
- 12. Senate Bill 1501 requests that the Rules Committee be discharged
- 13. and placed on the Order of 2nd reading... and not in committee.
- 14. But, I...I can certainly sympathize with the feelings of
- 15. Senator Berning, but in my humble opinion this is a good
- 16. subject for the next Session. I would oppose the motion.
- 17. PRESIDENT:
- 18. Any further discussion? Senator Berning, you wish to
- 19. close?
- 20. SENATOR BERNING:
- 21. Thank you, Mr. President. The time really is right
- 22. now. They are our fellow citizens being subjected to experi-
- 23. mentation without their informed consent and that is essentially
- 24. what this bill will do, guarantee that the patients or in the
- 25. alternative, their responsible relatives, do know what is to be
- $_{\mbox{\scriptsize 26.}}$ done with the patient and to give their informed consent. The
- VA does not now include these rights for informed consent or
- the right to refuse to be experimented on or the right of
- 29. access to one's own medical record and the right to know the
- 30. name and specialty of one's own doctor. This is elemental
- justice, Ladies and Gentlemen, I...I solicit your Aye vote.
- PRESIDENT:
- All right. Senator Berning has moved to discharge the

- 1. Rules Committee from further consideration of Senate Bill 1501.
- 2. Those in favor of the motion will vote Aye. Those opposed will
- 3. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have
- 4. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
- 5. On that question the Ayes are 27, the Nays are 8, 1 Voting Present.
- 6. The motion fails. 1502, Senator Geo-Karis. The middle of page 18,
- 7. Motions in Writing, read the motion, Mr. Secretary with respect
- g to Senate Bill 1502.
- 9. SECRETARY:
- 10. I move to discharge the ... Judiciary II Committee from further
- 11. consideration of Senate Bill 1502 and that it be advanced to 2nd
- 12. reading. Signed, Senator Geo-Karis.
- 13. PRESIDENT:
- 14. Senator Geo-Karis.
- 15. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
- Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This
- is my Chop Shop Bill, which I restructured completely and I
- 18. have the amendment ready to go which has been approved by
- 19. the Secretary of State's Office. And I have a memo to me
- 20. dated May 6th, 1980 approving of the amendment of the bill
- 21. which redrafts the bill. I was appointed the chairman of
- 22. the subcommittee and my two members were Senator Washington
- 23. and Senator Knuppel, whom I consulted and I sent copies of
- 24. the bill. The Secretary of State's Office has approved
- of it and I respect your favorable...I request your
- favorable consideration to discharge committee in order
- 27. to bring this Chop Shop Bill where sixty thousand vehicles
- 28. are stolen a year and other parts gone, on the Floor.
- PRESIDENT;
- Further discussion? Any discussion? Senator Sangmeister.
- SENATOR SANGMEISTER:
- Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This bill,
- of course, was heard in Judiciary II and at that time needed
- 34. an awful, awful lot of work and I...Senator Geo-Karis acknowledged

- 1. that. So, it was sent to a subcommittee and the bill has been
- 2. reduced about two-thirds of what was originally in it. I did
- 3. receive a letter from the Secretary of State stating that
- 4. ...they now approved of the bill in its present form. It
- 5. is a Chop Shop Bill. Whether you feel this is a proper subject
- 6. for this Session or next is your decision, but the bill is in
- 7. much better form than it was when it was in the committee.
- 8. PRESIDENT:
- Senator Knuppel.
- 10. SENATOR KNUPPEL:
- 11. Mr. President and members of the Body. I think we've
- 12. already decided that it was a proper subject because it was
- 13. let out of Rules in the first place, but if I've ever seen
- 14. a miserable bill in its original condition, this bill was it.
- 15. It needed eleven, twelve, or fourteen amendments admittedly
- 16. in committee. The bill has been reworked. It was opposed
- 17. by the Attorney...or by the Secretary of State in the first
- 18. instance, it now meets his objections. It's...as Senator
- 19. Sangmeister said, been reduced greatly. It is an emergency
- that we try to control the...the going-ons of these people $\mathbf{20}$.
- who chop these cars up. I think it's a good bill, it can be
- amended if it needs further amendment on 2nd reading and I
- 23. would suggest a favorable vote.
- PRESIDENT:
- 25. Any further discussion? Senator Donnewald.
- 26. SENATOR DONNEWALD:
- 27. Well, Mr. President, I think it goes outside of our
- rules. I don't think it is an emergency, although it was
- voted out. I think it could be considered in the next Session
- when we have more time to contribute to its study. I would
- urge a No vote.
- PRESIDENT:
- Further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis may close.
- 34. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

- 1. I respectfully urge favorable consideration on motion
- 2. to discharge.
- 3. PRESIDENT:
- 4. All right. Senator Geo-Karis has moved to discharge
- 5. the Committee on Judiciary II from further consideration
- 6. of Senate Bill 1502 and ask that that bill be placed on
- 7. the Order of 2nd reading. Those in favor will vote Aye.
- 8. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open.
- g. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have
- 10. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question
- 11. the Ayes are 26, the Nays are 4, 1 Voting Present. The
- 12. motion fails. 1516, Senator Walsh. On the Order of Motions
- 13. in Writing is Senate Bill 1516. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.
- SECRETARY:
- 15. Senate Bill 1516. I move to take Senate Bill 1516
- 16. from the Table and place it on the Order of 2nd reading.
- 17. Signed, Senator Walsh.
- 18. PRESIDENT:
- 19. Senator Walsh.
- 20. SENATOR WALSH:
- Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate Bill
- 22. 1516 would reduce the State Sales Tax on food and drugs to
- 23. two percent. It is now three precent as a result of the action
- 24. we took...at the last Session. This is a second step down the
- 25. road, originally chartered by the Democrat members of the
- 26. General Assembly. I think it's the responsible way to go.
- 27. We will give further tax relief if the funds are available.
- The Governor has indicated in his budget message and in
- 29. the...budget as introduced to the General Assembly, that
- the funds are available for this tax relief. I think we should ${\bf 30.}$
- implement the program that was commenced last year and I urge
- that we support this motion to discharge the Revenue Committee
- from further consideration...or to take from the Table a...
- 34. Senate Bill 1516. The fiscal impact is approximately fifty

- 1. million dollars for Fiscal 1981.
- 2. PRESIDENT:
- Further discussion? Senator Donnewald.
- 4. SENATOR DONNEWALD:
- 5. Well, yes, Mr. President and members of the Body. Of
- 6. course, I attended the meeting in the Governor's Office
- 7. when he decided that he'd better delay any action on any
- 8. tax...measures for the...for the taxpayers of this State
- g. until we know where we're going and I would suggest strongly
- 10. that we leave the bill where it is. I would urge a No vote.
- 11. PRESIDENT:
- 12. Further discussion? Senator DeAngelis. You...your
- 13. light is...blinking. Don't keep your light under a bushel,
- 14. but don't turn it on, okay. Further discussion? Senator
- 15. Walsh, you wish to close?
- 16. SENATOR WALSH:
- 17. In closing, Mr. President, I would just like to say
- 18. that the...the Governor, I believe, indicated at that
- 19. meeting that he wanted to defer any further consideration
- 20. of the so-called ten dollar per exemption dividend program,
- 21. but he did support Senate Bill 1516 and is in support of
- 22. this motion. If...if we do not act favorably on this now
- 23. it may be impossible to further implement the program which
- we began last year, so I urge an Aye vote.
- 25. PRESIDENT:
- 26. All right. Senator Walsh has moved to take Senate Bill
- 27. 1516 from the Table and ask that it be placed on the Calendar
- 28. on the Order of 2nd reading. Those in favor will vote Aye.
- 29. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all
- 30. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
- 31. who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are
- 32. 25, the Nays are 4, 1 Voting Present. The motion fails.
- Senate Bill 1523, Senator Nimrod. On the Order of Motions

- 1. in Writing, there's a motion filed with respect to Senate
- 2. Bill 1523. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.
- 3. SECRETARY:
- 4. I move to suspend Senate Rule 5-C and that the Senate
- 5. Rules Committee be discharged from further consideration
- 6. of Senate Bill 1523. Further move that Senate Bill 1523
- 7. be placed on the Senate Calendar on the Order of 2nd reading.
- 8. Signed, Senator Nimrod.
- 9. PRESIDENT:
- 10. Senator Nimrod.
- 11. SENATOR NIMROD:
- 12. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of
- 13. the Senate. I would certainly withdraw this motion if I thought
- 14. there were not an emergency, which I think...affects the...the
- 15. health of our community and certainly the graduation and the
- 16. appointment of forty individuals who are graduates of the
- 17. ...Doctors of Podiatry School who will be denied an opportunity
- 18. to serve as internships in the hospitals. It...the reason it
- 19. has to be done, is those appointments are being made for this
- 20. fall and those appointments will be there and they will be
- unable to serve their internships because of the limitation
- 22. which is not there, however, for doctors of...which practice
- in all the practicing areas and I think that it's important
- that we allow these young people to...graduating, so we
- 25. can get doctors who do have internships and can provide their
- time in the hospital. I would ask for a favorable roll call.
- PRESIDENT:
- Any discussion? Senator Donnewald.
- 29. SENATOR DONNEWALD:
- Well, Mr. President, I disagree as it being a...a bill
- with an emergency which would come within our rules. I think
- the bill should be kept under foot and wait until next year.
- PRESIDENT:
- 34. Any further...

A STATE OF SOLUTION OF SOLUTIO

1. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

I urge a No vote.

PRESIDENT:

4. Any further discussion? Senator Nimrod may close.

5. SENATOR NIMROD:

Roll call.

7. PRESIDENT:

Senator Nimrod has moved to discharge the Rules Committee 8. from further consideration of Senate Bill 1523 and asks that 9. that bill be placed on the Calendar on the Order of 2nd 10. reading. Those in favor of that motion will vote Aye. Those 11. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted 12. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 13. Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 27, the Nays 14. are 6, 1 Voting Present. The motion fails. Bottom of page 18, 15. in the...on the Order of Motions in Writing, there's a motion 16. filed with respect to Senate Bill 1595. Senator Vadalabene. 17.

Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

18.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

I move that the Committee on Judiciary II be discharged
further...excuse me, further consideration of Senate Bill
1595 and that the bill be placed on the Order of 2nd reading.
Signed, Senator Vadalabene.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.

Senate Bill 1595 increases the minimum penalty for a first offense of rape and a term of imprisionment of not less than fifteen years and eliminates the maximum number of year...of ...of years. If there ever was a bill that is of the emergency nature, it is the flagrant proliferation of rape that exists in the State of Illinois today and particularly in the Metro-East

```
l.
     area. Now I'm sure that Senator Sangmeister was disappointed
 2.
     when only two votes came out of his committee of which he was
 3.
     chairman and one of the votes was his. I feel that this bill
     did not get a just hearing in his committee because of the
 4.
 5.
     lack of membership who possibly were on other committees.
 6.
     There were only six members on the Judiciary Committee when
     this rape bill was heard. Now, we heard on the Floor last
 7.
     week of Senator Egan's bill regarding the bootlegging of
 8.
     cigarettes, we heard Senator Rupp's bill of aggravated
 9.
     battery of a child, I think we heard of Senator Sangmeister's
10.
     bill about the fetus on the outside of the mother's womb and
11.
     I heard Senator Karl Berning say over on the other side of
12.
     the aisle, what about the rapist? What are we doing about
13.
     him? And evidently we're doing nothing. Let me cite you
14.
     just an incident in the Collinsville, Caseyville area just
15.
     ten days ago in Senator Donnewald's district, where they
16.
      took three teenage girls, took them over to St. Louis, five
17.
     people, they raped the girls in the home of an elderly man,
18.
     fifty-five years old. He played pornographic music, jabbed
19.
     them with a cane and then subsequently later, thirteen others
20.
      raped these three Illinois girls. If you think this isn't
21.
     a serious...and a case of an emergency nature, then don't
22.
      let these kind of bills out of Rules, but let some of the
23.
     other bills out of Rules and let some of the other bills
24.
     out of committee. Like Senator Maitland's bill, he...come
25.
      in with the chief of police showing how many different
26.
     ways you could buy marijuana, which isn't going to stop
27.
     marijuana one bit. But it wants to close the shops that
28.
      are selling it..was hailed as one of the greatest bills
29.
      that came into the Session. Let's start taking care of
30.
      teenage daughters, these children, these mothers, these sixty-
31.
      nine year old widows who was raped last week. And I make a
32.
      motion to discharge this bill so that the entire Senate can
```

- 1. have a voice in getting a strong rape bill out that the
- 2. Governor of this State of Illinois supports.
- 3. PRESIDENT:
- 4. Is there any further discussion? If not, Senator Vadalabene
- 5. has moved to discharge the Committee on Judiciary II from
- 6. further consideration of Senate Bill 1595 and asks that the
- 7. bill be placed on the Order of 2nd reading. Those in favor of
- 8. Senator Vadalabene's motion will vote Aye, those opposed will
- 9. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
- 10. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the
- 11. record. On that question the Ayes are 29, the Nays are 5,
- the motion fails. 1611, Senator Bruce. 1904, Senator Berman.
- 13. Is Senator Berman on the Floor? 1938, Senator Nimrod. On
- the Order of Motions in Writing, at the top of page 19 is
- a motion with respect to Senate Bill 1938. Senator...I mean
- Mr. Secretary, read the motion.
- 17. SECRETARY:
- I move to suspend Senate Rule 5-C and that the Senate
- Rules Committee be discharged from further consideration of
- Senate Bill 1938. Further move that Senate Bill 1938 be placed
- on the Senate Calendar on the Order of 2nd reading. Signed,
- Senator Nimrod.
- PRESIDENT:
- Senator Nimrod.
- SENATOR NIMROD:
- Thank you, Mr. President. As a result of the convention of 26.
- the Republican Party in Peoria last week, they did take a 27.
- position both in the platform and in our...urging the Legislature 28.
- here to rescind the...blind primary. And I know we addressed 29.
- that subject before and we were waiting for an answer from 30.
- them and it was a unanimous motion adopted by them urging us ${\bf 31.}$
- to take this action. This, in fact, does offer that particular 32.
- \dots does recind the blind primary and offers an additional option 33.

- 1. for the central committees of not having the presidential
- beauty contest. I would urge for the...adoption and ask
- 3. for a favorable vote.
- 4. PRESIDENT:
- 5. Is there any discussion? Senator Martin.
- 6. SENATOR MARTIN:
- 7. Senator Nimrod, are there some other provisions in
- 8. your bill, such as the length of time that you have to be
- 9. a member of a party? Is that in this bill? Before... is this a lockout
- 10. in addition to the blind primary?
- 11. PRESIDENT:
- 12. Senator Nimrod.
- 13. SENATOR NIMROD:
- 14. Yeah...Senator Martin, that was Senate Bill 261. I
- 15. withdrew that motion. This has nothing to do with that
- 16. whatsoever. Only the blind primary and the option...additional
- 17. option for the central committee.
- 18. PRESIDENT:
- 19. Further discussion? Senator McMillan.
- 20. SENATOR McMILLAN:
- 21. Question of the sponsor, please.
- PRESIDENT:
- 23. He indicates he'll yield. Senator McMillan.
- 24. SENATOR McMILLAN:
- 25. I...I understand the provision that you...you do, in
- 26. fact, eliminate the blind primary option. Is that correct?
- 27. Now, my question is, what is the other option that you're
- 28. adding in? Would you explain that?
- 29. PRESIDENT:
- 30. Senator Nimrod.
- 31. SENATOR NIMROD:
- 32. Yeah..what this does, it...it gives the central committee
- 33. the...if they're going to have a presidential beauty contest,

- 1. they cannot have a blind primary. If they...it gives them
- 2. an option of not having the beauty contest, then the party
- 3. may indicate its...its delegates to its convention. And
- 4. that's a choice that the Central Committee can make, that's
- 5. the other option. In other words...in other words, the...the
- 6. blind primary is...is...if...if there is a presidential beauty
- 7. contest, then there cannot be a blind primary, that's one
- 8. thing. The second thing the bill does, it says that Central
- 9. Committee has another option not to have the presidential
- 10. beauty contest, that's the second option.
- 11. PRESIDENT:
- 12. Senator McMillan.
- 13. SENATOR MC MILLAN:
- Well, if the second option is adopted...does that mean
- 15. that there can be a blind primary?
- 16. PRESIDENT:
- 17. Senator Nimrod.
- 18. SENATOR NIMROD:
- Yeah, what...what it...what it does, it provides...if there
- 20. is no beauty contest, then there is no such thing as a blind
- primary because there's no presidential candidates that you're
- taking your choice for. The...the...delegates may be
- 23. listed without having any reference to any candidates. In other
- words, that's...that's the choice the Central Committee can make,
- but there would be no beauty contests.
- PRESIDENT:
- 27. Senator McMillan.
- SENATOR MC MILLAN:
- Well, I would merely say, I...I'm a strong advocate of
- eliminating, at this time, the blind primary option. But it
- sounds to me as if what this bill does, is still allow the 31.
- blind primary option and it doesn't sound like to me, it's 32.
- getting at the problem that we need to have solved. Just because 33.

- 1. there doesn't happen to be a beauty contest along with it, does
- 2. not, in fact, take away the problem of trying to select delegates
- 3. without knowing who they may or may not represent.
- 4. PRESIDENT:
- Senator Maragos.
- 6. SENATOR MARAGOS:
- 7. I rise on a point of order, Mr. President.
- PRESIDENT:
- g. State your point.
- 10. SENATOR MARAGOS:
- 11. Whether debate is allowed on the merits of the bill
- 12. or just the...on a motion to discharge on these series of
- 13. motions and I'd like to get an order from the Chair.
- PRESIDENT:
- Yes, debate is ordinarily not allowed on the merits, but
- on the other hand, we have to know what we're talking about.
- It makes it a lot easier. Further discussion? Senator Nimrod
- may close.
- SENATOR NIMROD:
- Thank you, Mr. President. This does rescind...this
- bill...this proposal does rescind the blind primary. It...what
- it does do...the option that's offered is the way we elect
- the delegates. The other option is the way the delegates 23.
- were elected prior to 1968, which says that, in fact, if there's 24.
- no beauty contest, then the party lists its delegate candidates. 25.
- I would ask for a favorable roll call.
- PRESIDENT:

- Senator Nimrod has moved to discharge the Committee on 28.
- Rules from further consideration of Senate Bill 1938 and 29.
- $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($
- 2nd reading. Those in favor of Senator Nimrod's motion will ${\bf 31.}$
- vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. $\ensuremath{\mathbf{32}}$.
- Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have

- 1. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that motion the
- 2. Ayes are 13, the Nays are 15, none Voting Present. The motion
- 3. fails. 1974, Senator Walsh. On the Order of Motions in
- 4. Writing, on the top of page 19, there's a motion filed with
- 5. respect to Senate Bill 1974. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.
- 6. SECRETARY:
- 7. I move to suspend Senate Rule 5-C and that Senate
- 8. Committee on Labor and Commerce be discharged from further
- 9. consideration of Senate Bill 1974. Further move that Senate
- 10. Bill 1974 be placed on the Senate Calendar on the Order of
- 11. 2nd reading. Signed, Senator Walsh.
- 12. PRESIDENT:
- 13. Senator Walsh.
- 14. SENATOR WALSH:
- Mr. President and members of the Senate. For those
- 16. of you who...who think you may have stricken a blow to
- 17. help the business climate in Illinois by voting for Senate
- 18. Bill 1726, I present some...some good prospects for you
- 19. in this bill and the next two. Senate Bill 1726, insofar as
- it applied to voluntary quits, only provided for a twelve
- 21. week...deferral or postponement of benefits after which
- 22. time a claimant would get his full twenty-six weeks of
- 23. benefit. Senate Bill 1974, on the other hand, provides that
- 24. a claimant would get twelve weeks less in benefits and furthermore,
- 25. anyone who quit work voluntarily to marry or voluntarily because
- 26. of a domestic or filial reason at home or to join a member
- of his or her family, would be precluded from any benefits
- 28. whatsoever. Furthermore, it provides that anyone who did
- 29. quit, must have quit for reasons attributable to the employer.
- 30. I think that this bill is what people are clamoring for in
- 31. the area of voluntary quits, not the cosmetic approach we
- took last week. And I urge your support of Senate Bill 1974.
- PRESIDENT:

- All right. Senator Walsh has moved to discharge from...
- the Committee on Labor and Commerce from further consideration
- 3. of Senate Bill 1794. Senator Maragos.
- 4. SENATOR MARAGOS:
- 5. Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise in opposition
- 6. to this motion. Notwithstanding the remarks of Senator Walsh,
- 7. this bill and the others that he's made the motion on, had
- 8. its full hearing before these Labor and Commerce Committee
- 9. and two bills did...come out of that committee, which were
- 10. considered on 2nd reading are presently...one is...over in
- 11. the House and the other is on 3rd reading now in the Senate.
- 12. I think just to clog up the Calendar with particular...philosophical
- 13. views of one point or another pertaining to certain areas
- 14. of Legislation, I think, is unnecessary at this time and
- 15. I think we should defeat it because we covered this subject
- 16. thoroughly and we wouldn't even be on the so-called agreed
- 17. process in this area. And if everybody says it's cosmetic
- 18. ...cosmetic...there won't be any skin left as it is, so we
- 19. are whittling away and eroding the whole area anyway. So,
- 20. I think we've done enough in this Session and I think we
- 21. should defeat this motion.
- 22. PRESIDENT:
- Further discussion? Senator Walsh, you wish to close?
- 24. SENATOR WALSH:
- 25. Just briefly, Mr. President. Just to say to my colleagues,
- 26. after we adjourn on June 30th, if...if Senate Bill 1726 is
- 27. all that we have done, I think you're going to be hard put to
- 28. explain that we accomplished anything in this area. So I urge
- 29. that you support this motion.
- 30. PRESIDENT:
- 31. All right. Senator Walsh has moved to discharge the
- 32. Committee on Labor and Commerce from further consideration of
- 33. Senate Bill 1974. Those in favor of that motion will vote Aye.

- 1. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all
- 2. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
- 3. who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are
- 4. 26, the Nays are 5, the motion fails. 1975, Senator Walsh.
- 5. On the Order of Motions in Writing, the top of page 19, is
- 6. a motion filed with respect to Senate Bill 1975. Read the
- 7. motion, Mr. Secretary.
- 8. SECRETARY:
- g. I move to suspend Senate Rule 5-C and that the Senate
- 10. Committee on Labor and Commerce be discharged from further
- 11. consideration of Senate Bill 1975. Further move that Senate
- 12. Bill 1975 be placed on the Senate Calendar on the Order of
- 13. 2nd reading. Signed, Senator Walsh.
- 14. PRESIDENT:
- 15. Senator Walsh.
- 16. SENATOR WALSH:
- Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1975
- 18. is in response to a problem that has occurred in East Alton where
- 19. employees that have refused to cross a picket line have been
- 20. receiving Unemployment Insurance Benefits. The bill merely
- 21. would...would strike language that was inserted in 1975 indicating
- that participation in a labor dispute does not necessarily
- 23. ...disqualify such claimants. The interpretation has been
- that...people who refuse to cross a picket line or who...employers
- 25. who lock out employees are ipso facto, not participating
- 26. in a labor dispute so that such people do receive benefits.
- 27. And this bill would delete that language. I think it's a good
- 28. bill and I urge your support.
- PRESIDENT:
- 30. All right, Senator .. any discussion? Senator Maragos.
- SENATOR MARAGOS:
- I use the same arguments that I had in the previous
- bill...previous motion, to object to this motion as well.

PRESIDENT:

1.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

20.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Further discussion? If not, Senator Walsh has moved that 2. the Committee on Labor and Commerce be discharged from further 3. consideration of Senate Bill 1975 and that the bill be placed 4. on the Calendar on the Order of 2nd reading. Those in favor 5. of Senator Walsh's motion will vote Aye. Those opposed will 6. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 7. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question 8. the Ayes are 26, the Nays are 6, none Voting Present. The 9. motion fails. Further motions? Senate Bill 1976. On the 10. Order of Motions in Writing there's a motion filed with 11. respect to Senate Bill 1976. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary. 12. SECRETARY: 13.

I move the...suspend Senate Rule 5-C and that the Senate Committee on Labor and Commerce be discharged from further consideration of Senate Bill 1976. Further move that Senate Bill 1976 be placed on the Senate Calendar on the Order of 2nd reading. Signed, Senator Walsh.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Walsh.

SENATOR WALSH:

Mr. President, the Senate appears to be in a negative mood this afternoon, but here...here is one bill I think we can support. Senate Bill 1796 restricts the payment of benefits and provides that any mailing of benefits would be to a person in this State with a notice on the envelope that it not be forwarded. So that this really addresses itself to the case of mailing Unemployment Insurance Benefits to Texas or Florida or wherever and the envelope would be returned in the event it was...it was not delivered to the addressee. It does not necessarily require that people come in to pick up payments, but if they're from out of state, it would have that effect. So we...it preserves mailing in State, but precludes mailing

- 1. out of state. Also it provides that a...a person...a claimant,
- 2. need not admit theft to a representative of the director in
- 3. order for there to be sufficient evidence to justify a dismissal
- 4. on the grounds of theft if there is such other evidence. And it
- 5. reduces benefits in the case of...of theft. I believe this is
- 6. a good bill and I urge support of the motion.
- 7. PRESIDENT:
- 8. Any discussion? Senator Berning.
- g. SENATOR BERNING:
- 10. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
- 11. Senate. I'd like to rise in support of this bill. I would
- have risen in support of all the others, but I think this
- one is the least objectionable or should be the least objectionable
- 14. to any member of this Senate. There is certainly no justification
- 15. for any of our citizens who are getting benefits under this
- 16. program to receive them in out of state residence. That is
- an insult to the rest of our tax paying, hard working citizens
- and I urge all of us in this Body to vote Aye to discharge
- 19. committee and bring that...this bill out on the Floor.
- PRESIDENT:
- Further discussion? Senator Maragos.
- SENATOR MARAGOS:
- Mr. President and members of the Senate. For the same
- reasons outlined that this matter had full hearing before the
- Labor and Commerce Committee and the wisdom of the committee was 25.
- that the two bills that did come out were sufficient to cover 26.
- this whole area and therefore this would be superfluous at 27.
- this time and I vote...ask for your objection to this motion.
- PRESIDENT:
- Any further discussion? Senator Walsh, you wish to close?
- SENATOR WALSH:
- Just briefly, Mr. President. I...I think it's really
- an overstatement to say that this bill or the other two bills ${\bf 33.}$

- l. received a full hearing in the...Committee on Labor and Commerce.
- 2. The bills were called and I know the committee was very busy
- 3. with...with the bill that ultimately reported out 1726, but
- 4. the subject matter of this bill I do not believe was considered.
- 5. The committee seemed to be zeroed in on exactly what it wanted
- to do and it really didn't consider the things that were presented 6.
- 7. by this bill. I... I think Senator Berning has made good points
- and I urge that you support the motion. 8.
- PRESIDENT: 9.
- All right. Senator Walsh has discharged the...moved to 10.
- discharge the Committee on Labor and Commerce from further 11.
- consideration of Senate Bill 1976 and asks that that bill be placed 12.
- on the Calendar on the Order of 2nd reading. Those in favor 13.
- of Senator Walsh's motion will vote Aye. Those opposed will 14.
- vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have 15.
- all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. 16.
- On that question the Ayes are 28, the Nays are 3, none Voting
- Present. The motion fails. 1986, Senator McMillan. 87, 88,
- 18.
- 89. House Joint Resolution 85, Senator... I beg your pardon, 19.
- Senator McMillan. 20.
- SENATOR McMILLAN: 21.
- 1988, please. 22.
- PRESIDENT: 23.

- All right. On the Order of Motions in Writing, there's 24.
- a motion filed with respect to Senate Bill 1988. Read the 25.
- bill... I mean, read the motion, Mr. Secretary. 26.
- SECRETARY: 27.
- I move to suspend Senate Rule 5-C and that the Senate 28.
- Committee on Revenue be discharged from further consideration 29.
- of Senate Bill 1988. Further move that Senate Bill 1988 be 30.
- placed on the Senate Calendar on the Order of 2nd reading. 31.
- Signed, Senator McMillan. 32.
- PRESIDENT: 33.

Senator McMillan.

SENATOR McMILLAN:

2.

Senate Bill 1988 is the truth in taxation proposal 3. that has been a part of the tax limitation package. This 4. is a piece of legislation that does not provide any specific 5. limits under which any local unit of government or school 6. district or whatever, would allegedly suffer by restraints 7. placed upon it. This particular bill is merely one that 8. provides that any time there's going to be an increase in 9. the amount of money extended by a local unit of government, 10. it would provide that there has to be a sizable ad placed 11. in a newspaper announcing what the extensions were for one 12. year, what the extensions are for the next year, what 13. the tax increase projected is and when there shall be a 14. hearing, at which time, local citizens would have a chance 15. to come in and ask questions and speak their piece. Many 16. people have...have argued about the merits of this bill, 17. it did have some bipartisan support when it did come 18. through committee. If we separate it out from the rest of 19. the package which did concern some people, it seems to me 20. this is something this Legislature ought to act upon at 21. this time and I would seek a favorable roll call in taking 22. it from committee. 23.

PRESIDENT:

25.

26.

Is there any discussion? Senator Maragos.

SENATOR MARAGOS:

27. Mr. President and members of the Senate. I'm sorry that
28. Senator Egan is...the Chairman of the Revenue Committee, is not
29. here this afternoon to object to this motion as well. I'd like
30. to point out that this area has been covered both last
31. ...last year and this year and in both of those occasions the
32. committee found that there was not...does not merit the support
33. of that committee...by a...a substantial majority. I think

- 1. this area...there's nothing here that cannot wait till next
- 2. year and I ask at this time that this motion be opposed.
- 3. PRESIDENT:
- 4. Any further discussion? Senator McMillan, you wish to
- 5 close?
- 6. SENATOR MCMILLAN:
- 7. I would merely say, that any of you who feel strongly
- 8. that...and who have argued that the people, if they are
- informed, will speak and will influence their local units
- of government to try to hold back on property taxes, you
- should support taking this bill from the committee and bringing
- it to the Floor because this is the one hope left for, at least,
- letting people know when somebody is going to impose a big
- real estate tax increase on them.
- PRESIDENT:

23.

- All right. Senator McMillan has moved to discharge the
- Committee on Revenue from further consideration of Senate Bill 17.
- $\,$ 1988 and asks that the bill be placed on the Calendar on the $18.\,$
- Order of 2nd reading. Those in favor of Senator McMillan's 19.
- motion will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting $20. \ \ \,$
- is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
- Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question
- the Ayes are 27, the Nays are 2, none Voting Present. The
- motion fails. HJR 85, Senator Johns. All right, we will
- move then...with leave of the Body, to page 2 on the Calendar,
- the order of Senate Bills 2nd reading. The Chair would like
- 26. to remind the Body, if it's needed, that Friday of this week
- 27.
- is the final day under the rules to move Senate Bills out of ${\bf 28.}$
- the Senate. 615, Senator Maragos. 1454, Senator Joyce. 1486, 29.
- Senator Daley. 1507, Senator Washington. Senator Washington, 30.
- for what purpose do you arise?
- SENATOR WASHINGTON:
- I am ready to proceed, but a...a fiscal note was requested,
- 33.

- 1. I am waiting for its return.
- 2. PRESIDENT:
- 3. All right. We'll get...we'll do it again...I mean, we'll
- 4. be back to 2nd reading tomorrow for certain.
- 5. SENATOR WASHINGTON:
- 6. Thank you.
- 7. PRESIDENT:
- 8. 1518, Senator Coffey. Senator Coffey.
- SENATOR COFFEY:
- 10. Yes, Mr. President, could...we're waiting for an amend-
- 11. ment to come down, could...as soon as it comes down could we
- 12. come back to it in a few minutes?
- 13. PRESIDENT:
- 14. Yes, I'm sure that will be acceptable. 1538, Senator Lemke.
- 15. 1559, Senator Rhoads. 1578, Senator DeAngelis. 1606, Senator
- 16. Carroll. 1609, Senator Netsch. Wait a minute...wait a minute.
- 17. All right. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd reading, the
- 18. middle of page 2, is Senate Bill 1609. Read the bill, Mr.
- 19. Secretary, please.
- 20. SECRETARY:
- 21. Senate Bill 1609.
- (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 23. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
- 24. offers two amendments.
- 25. PRESIDENT:
- 26. Senator Carroll.
- 27. SENATOR CARROLL:
- 28. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
- 29. We made a reduction amendment of some personages in Senator
- 30. Netsch's bill that will be corrected by Amendment No. 3. This
- 31. is various cuts in the research and service unit. I would move
- 32. adoption of Amendment No. 1 and then make the correction later.
- PRESIDENT:
- 34. All right. Senator Carroll has moved...moved the adoption

- 1. of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1609. Is there
- 2. any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye.
- 3. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted.
- 4. Further amendments?
- 5. SECRETARY:
- 6. Committee Amendment No. 2.
- 7. PRESIDENT:
- Senator Carroll.
- 9. SENATOR CARROLL:
- 10. Thank you. By way of clarification, the first one was
- 11. recommended by the sponsor to cut her own budget. This was
- 12. the one we did in committee for the research unit and
- 13. the service unit with an add-back coming later. I would move
- 14. adoption of Amendment No. 2.
- 15. PRESIDENT:
- 16. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amend-
- 17. ment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1609. Is there any discussion? If
- 18. not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. the Ayes
- 19. have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?
- 20. SECRETARY:
- No further committee amendments.
- PRESIDENT:
- 23. Are there amendments from the Floor?
- SECRETARY:
- 25. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Carroll.
- PRESIDENT:
- 27. Senator Carroll.
- 28. SENATOR CARROLL:
- Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of
- the Senate. This adds back some of those positions that we
- cut by mistake in Amendment No. 2, still leaving them with
- a eight percent solution, adding back the new position in
- the research unit and the two in the service unit as well as 33.

- 1. equipment. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 3.
- 2. PRESIDENT:
- 3. All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of
- 4. Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1609. Is there any discussion?
- 5. Senator Ozinga.
- 6. SENATOR OZINGA:
- 7. Question of the sponsor.
- 8. PRESIDENT:
- Indicates he'll yield. Senator Ozinga.
- 10. SENATOR OZINGA:
- 11. I believe you said there were two positions restored to
- 12. the service unit, is that right?
- 13. PRESIDENT:
- 14. Senator Carroll.
- 15. SENATOR CARROLL:
- 16. Yes, two restored, plus the one long term vacancy.
- 17. PRESIDENT:
- 18. Any further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll has
- 19. moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1609.
- 20. All those in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed.
- 21. The Ayes have it, the amendment is adopted. Further amendments?
- 22. SECRETARY:
- No further amendments.
- 24. PRESIDENT:
- 25. Senator Regner.
- 26. SENATOR REGNER:
- 27. Yes, Mr. President, a question to Senator Carroll and
- 28. Senator Netsch. We have a potential problem on this side on
 - 29. the council and if we do come up with a further amendment,
 - 30. would you bring it back, for consideration? Right.
 - 31. PRESIDENT:
 - 32. Yes...sponsor has indicated that she will call it back
 - 33. if she likes the amendment. Any further amendments?

- 1. SECRETARY:
- 2. No further amendments.
- 3. PRESIDENT:
- 4. 3rd reading. All right. A moment ago, I skipped over
- 5. 1578. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd reading, the middle
- 6. of page 2, is Senate Bill 1578. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary,
- 7. please.
- 8. SECRETARY:
- 9. Senate Bill 1578.
- 10. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 11. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II
- offers five amendments.
- 13. PRESIDENT:
- 14. Senator Buzbee.
- 15. SENATOR BUZBEE:
- 16. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 reduces the
- 17. grant request of the Scholarship Commission to the Governor's
- 18. allocation level. A reduction of four million, six hundred
- 19. seventy-nine thousand dollars. And I would move its adoption.
- 20. PRESIDENT:
- 21. All right. Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of
- Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1578. Any discussion?
- Senator DeAngelis.
- SENATOR DeANGELIS:
- Thank you, Mr. President. I stand in opposition to
- this amendment. I think it should be pointed out to the
- Body that this is the amendment that reduces the grant level
- from two thousand to nineteen hundred dollars.
- PRESIDENT:
- Yes, Senator Regner.
- SENATOR REGNER:
- Yes, Mr. President. This was my amendment in the committee.
- I would urge..strongly urge that it be adopted. What it does, it 33.

- 1. reduces the...it's the same...first amendment we put on all
- 2. the Higher Education Bills to reduce the entire program to
- 3. that of the Governor's level in his budget book. And I would
- urge that it be adopted.
- 5. PRESIDENT:
- 6. Yes, Senator Johns.
- 7. SENATOR JOHNS:
- 8. Did I understand Senator Regner right, that this does
- meet the Governor's approval? This is the one that he wanted?
- Down to that level? Okay.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
- Senator Regner.
- SENATOR REGNER:
- Correct.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
- Is there further discussion? Senator Rock.
- SENATOR ROCK:
- Question of the sponsor of the amendment.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
- Senator Regner indicates he will respond.
- SENATOR ROCK:
- As...as Senator Rhoads bill currently stands, the level 22.
- is to be a two thousand dollar level, is that correct?
- SENATOR REGNER:
- That's correct.
- SENATOR ROCK:

32.

- Are...are we not putting the cart before the horse? We 27.
- have not yet argued the substance and we are already attempting 28.
- to delete the money.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
- Senator Regner.
- SENATOR REGNER:
- ... Maybe we should...hold the bill for now...for now and

ı. argue the other bill first. 2. SENATOR ROCK: 3. Fine, let's hold it. I would suggest... 4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 5. Take it out of the record. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. End of Reel 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30.

31. 32. 33.

	1613, Senator Becker. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
SE	CRETARY:
	Senate Bill 1613.
	(Secretary reads title of bill)
2n	d reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
of	fers two amendments.
PR	ESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
	Senator Carroll.
SE	NATOR CARROLL:
	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of
th	e Senate. The first amendment is a four million dollar reduction
wh	ich in addition to placing them at the eight percent
fi	gure, made some reductions in their various programs of
≥m	ployees. We have met with the department and have a
٤u	ture amendment to give them some people that they convinced
us	they actually needed to meet the crisis that they expect, and
Ι	would move adoption of Amendment No. 1, which is the cutting
ım	endment to be replaced later.
?R	ESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
	Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment
10	. 1 to Senate Bill 1613 be adopted. Those in favor indicate
У	saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment
O	. 1 is adopted. Are there further amendments?
SE	CRETARY:
	Committee Amendment No. 2.
PR.	ESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
	Senator Carroll.
SE	NATOR CARROLL:
	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
se:	nate. This is a technical amendment making no dollar change,
bu [.]	t segregating the contractual services into a newly created
re	strictive line item. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment 2. No. 2 to Senate Bill 1613 be adopted. Those in favor indicate 3. by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment 4. No. 2 is adopted. Are there further amendments? 5. SECRETARY: 6. No further committee amendments. 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 8. Are there amendments from the Floor? 9. SECRETARY: 10. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Carroll. 11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 12. Senator Carroll. 13. SENATOR CARROLL: 14. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 15. Senate. This is a restoration of eight hundred and one thousand 16. two hundred dollars from the Department of Labor's appropriations 17. adding back in the wage claims, Unemployment Insurance and 18. Employment Services Division. This is as a result of discussions 19. with the department where they have shown us what their 20. workloads were. Clarified the misunderstandings about how 21. many people were actually on board and how many vacancies they 22. actually had, and with these clarifications we've agreed to 23. add back the money. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 3. 24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 25. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No. 3 26. to Senate Bill 1613 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying 27. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is 28. adopted. Are there further amendments? 29. SECRETARY: 30. Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Gitz and Carroll. 31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 32. Senator Gitz. Just a moment. There is no Amendment No. 4

ı.

to this bill, as introduced, Mr. Secretary? ı. SECRETARY: 2. No. 3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 4. Are there further amendments? 5. SECRETARY: 6. No further amendments. 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 8. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1619, Senator Davidson. 9. the bill, Mr. Secretary. 10. SECRETARY: 11. Senate Bill 1619. 12. (Secretary reads title of bill) 13. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I 14. offers one amendment. 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 16. Senator Carroll. 17. SENATOR CARROLL: 18. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of 19. the Senate. Amendment No. 1 is the eight percent solution, 20. in addition to which it eliminates two vacancies and some 21. additional summer quides, and since I have reason to suspect 22. this may become an issue, let's address it now. I even received 23. a letter from the director, or executive head of that agency 24. which basically indicates that by adding a half hour on 25. each end of the work day, we are now required to have three 26. shifts of people. For the half hour difference before and 27. after normal working hours to keep that building open, it is 28. going to cost us an additional sum of money that Senator 29. Davidson will be seeking to add back. I believe it's forty-30. eight thousand dollars. I don't happen to think it's worth 31. the half hour...excuse me...to keep this building open to pay 32. that kind of money for additional personnel. The...the old 33. State Capitol is a great historical monument in Illinois. They

happen to have a very good guide system. However, it is not

2. necessary to load up with patronage for summer work just to

keep it open a half hour and that's why we took it out in

Amendment No. 1. I would move adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment

No. 1 to Senate Bill 1619 be adopted. Those in favor indicate

8. by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment

No. 1 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

3.

5.

7.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

16.

17.

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Davidson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

18. Yes, Mr. President, and members of the committee. 19. puts forty-eight thousand dollars back into this budget. Takes care...makes 20. the eight percent adjustment, taking care of it. Restores the 21. two vacancies, the retirement and printing, also the summer 22. quides. The half an hour which was alluded to...that's partly 23. true, but you're not telling the whole story. The whole story 24. is, that these people are used to help supplement the regular 25. guides in keeping that open through the hours for the summer 26. when the light...Sound and Light Show is on for...which has 27. an average attendance of two hundred and fifty some odd people 28. each night which tour the building after the show. Also, has 29. to do with the people who have days off and vacation time, we're 30. going to do a good job. These are jobs that need to be re-31. established, and I'd move the adoption of Amendment No. 2 which 32. restores forty-eight thousand dollars back in the budget for the 33.

two vacancies for retirement, for printing, and for contractual

services.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Why thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I rise in opposition to this amendment. I indicated on the past amendment, what we're really doing here is trying to add people merely for that half hour. Nobody has objected to the utilization of guides. We have them now, they've been there all year, they're there right this moment. These are vacant positions, and extra positions over and above what is currently functioning, and the director has indicted to us that's in order to provide that extra half hour. I think that's a waste of the taxpayer dollars to this extent for a half hour's worth of work. I would therefore urge opposition to this add-back of General Revenue Funds. I think we need the money for other purposes, and at the museum we'll be well serving the people of Illinois in the normal seven and a half hour day. I would urge defeat of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Is there further discussion? Senator Davidson may close. $\mbox{SENATOR DAVIDSON:}$

Well, I'd urge support for this. It does two things. One, it allows the State...Old State Capitol Building to have the sufficient help aboard for...during the summer months that is open more than any...just a half an hour past four-thirty or five. It's open till...when the show is over with in the summer when we have an average attendance at the Sight and Sound Show...Sight and Sound Show, excuse me. This is a good expenditure of money to help do a good job, presenting this part of history in Illinois to the people who come to visit here. I'd appreciate a favorable vote, and Mr. President, I'd like a roll call vote, please.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 2. All right, the question is, shall Amendment No. 2 to Senate 3. Bill 1619 be adopted. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? 4. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who 5. 6. wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 25, the Nays are 16. Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1619 is 7. adopted. Are there further amendments? 8. SECRETARY: 9. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Davidson. 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 11. Senator Davidson. 12. SENATOR DAVIDSON: 13. Yes. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 15. Senator Davidson. 16. SENATOR DAVIDSON: 17. Mr. President, and members of the Senate. This is an 18. amendment for fifty thousand dollars to the State Historical 19. Library, which is new money for ... conservation program. 20. Governor's Office and Historical Societies throughout the State 21. asked for a estimate of what it would take to start doing the 22. conservation program to maintain and revitalize and protect 23. those documents which are already in the Historical Society, which 24. needs to be processed so the paper doesn't deteriorate any 25. more. This was a figure which was substantiated by Mandeville, 26. that the fifty thousand dollars was agreeable to the Governor. 27. It was not in the Governor's Budget in the beginning, because 28. there was a debate as to the amounts of money which floated 29. from all kinds of amounts of monies from this amount which 30. we're asking up to three hundred thousand dollars to get the 31. job started and do it in five years. This stretches it out, 32.

but this is the most critical part, in their opinion, of taking

l. care of those papers which have reached a critical point to 2. be processed. This is in house by people who would be on 3. staff to do this, and this counts only for approximately, I 4. think, four or five employees for a total of fifty thousand 5. dollars, and the equipment which would...take to rebind the 6. books and preserve this paper. I'd appreciate a favorable 7. support. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 8. Senator Grotberg. 9. SENATOR GROTBERG: 10. A question of the sponsor. 11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 12. Indicates he will respond. 13. SENATOR GROTBERG: 14. Senator Davidson, one of the only things I read over the 15. weekend in full was the report of the Society of Historical 16. Societies, what is...how is that related to the Illinois 17. Historical Library? 18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 19. Senator Davidson. 20. SENATOR DAVIDSON: 21. That question I really can't answer unless they're a part 22. of a group which is part of the Illinois Historical Library. 23. The Illinois Historical Society, which are...have control of 24. the library that's in the Old State Capitol Building. That 25. definition you mentioned, I really don't know. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 27. Senator Grotberg. 28. SENATOR GROTBERG: 29. Well, I think you'll find that they're very closely related. 30. In this article it submitted that we, the Legislature, and those

of you who have been here longer, mandated that the Illinois

State...Historical Library copy all of the newspapers and

31.

32.

That's statutory, according to the report that I read, and it
 is that to which they are addressing that they...they have not
 been able to afford to make copies of it. They're actually
 still working internally with old addressograph machines and
 obsolete equipment, and I gather that it was kind of the

Journals that are published regularly in the State of Illinois.

7. forgotten child of...of the Legislature and many other bodies,

and if this addresses that, I would strongly urge that we getstarted on it, before that stuff deteriorates any further.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Is there further discussion? Senator Carroll. SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. My only objection to this comes from the fact, one, it was not in the original budget. It is a new program. Doctor Bob has indicated, as a historical item, that he would be willing to add the money historically, since it wasn't in the budget book. But he's suggesting that monies come out of the Sound and Light. Now, I don't see that in this amendment. He's asking that the difference come from Sound and Light and first let me pose a question to Doc Davidson. Senator, have you taken the appropriate reduction in Sound and Light?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

1.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

No, I have not, and it was seventy-six hundred dollars which would have come out of the Sound and Lightprogram, which I objected to and I believe Senator Buzbee supported my objection in committee when that came up. The people who made that observation of reducing that seventy-six hundred dollars.. Sound and Light, did not do the courtesy to myself or the other three Representatives from this district, to even be talked to about before they reduced something that would...would have a

- 1. reduction in tourists and explanation of running that show
- beginning in the summer months, and I removed that seventy-
- 3. six hundred dollars and went for the straight fifty thousand
- dollars which...what they had agreed to in additional new money.
- 5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
- Senator Carroll.
- 7. SENATOR CARROLL:
- 8. Well, the letter from Doctor Bob of the add-on, indicates
- 9. that the scope of the program would be reduced to provide the
- additional seventy-six hundred needed for conservation. So,
- it seems to me, if we're going to go with Doctor Rob's
- add-on to the budget, it should be less the seventy-six hundred
- as indicated in this. It's a question to Doc Davidson...Senator
- Davidson.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
- Senator Davidson.
- SENATOR DAVIDSON:
- Senator Carroll, what was the date on that letter, because
- the way... I've had conversation with him. If that was before
- the committee hearing, I've had conversation with Doctor
- Bob since that time, and he was to go back to the Governor's...
- for the okay on the straight fifty thousand and not bother the
- Sight and Sound money...Sight and Sound money, and I...to my
- understanding was the fifty thousand dollars was okayed on that 24.
- sign off. Now, if I'm in error, I'm unaware of it.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
- We've had nearly enough sound on this. Senator Carroll.
- SENATOR CARROLL:
- Now, let's try and add some light. This is dated the 15th
- of April. If you would like to have Doctor Bob...it's the last
- communication we have from him. So, if he's made some change 31.
- we have not been made aware of it. I would suggest that you ${\bf 32.}$
- hold it until he does.

2. SENATOR DAVIDSON: 3. Well, since we hope to get out of here before Friday, I 4. would like to put the amendment on, and let me go down and 5. reason with Doctor Bob, and then if we do...make...need a change 6. I will return it and make a change. If not, I'd like to put 7. it on, it's a straight fifty thousand. 8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 9. Senator Buzbee. Senator Carroll. 10. SENATOR CARROLL: 11. Well, we haven't done that in other instances like this, 12. Senator Davidson, and I would oppose that. We have a reduction 13. amendment of the seventy-six hundred filed. If you want us 14. to go with it, to reduce it by the seventy-six hundred, we have 15. such an amendment filed, and, if not, I would suggest that 16. we hold it until we straighten it out. 17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 18. Senator Davidson. 19. SENATOR DAVIDSON: 20. Senator Carroll, let's move it onto 3rd and let me reason 21. with him, and if we need to put on the fifty thousand tomorrow 22. we can move it back and do it. Or as your's said...your amend-23. ment you have takes seventy-six hundred out of the fifty thousand 24. or out of the Sight and . . Sight and Sound. 25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 26. Senator Carroll. 27. SENATOR CARROLL: 28. Takes it out of contractual services. 29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 30. Senator Davidson. 31. SENATOR DAVIDSON: 32. For my enlighterment, is that out of the fifty thousand we're 33.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator Davidson.

ı.

2. when you take it out of contractual services? 3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) Senator Carroll. 4. SENATOR CARROLL: 5. To enlighten the Light and Sound Show, it's either, it's 6. their choice. It takes it out of contractual, they could 7. take it either way. 8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 9. Senator Davidson. 10. SENATOR DAVIDSON: 11. With that understanding, let's put the fifty on. I'll 12. take your amendment and take it out, and I will reason with 13. them where they're going to take it from. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 15. Senator Buzbee. 16. SENATOR BUZBEE: 17. Well, Mr. President, this is ...this is just kind of the 18. ...the apex of...of the point of the ridiculous, that we've 19. gotten to on this program. In the first place, the Governor 20.

talking about or the Sight and Sound...Light and Sound Show,

l.

took a tour of this...where these books are stored, I under-21. stand, and was horrified to find that...that these priceless 22. documents are, in fact, deteriorating because of moisture and 23. so forth, and he personally said, we've got to start restoring 24. these books in a more timely manner, and so when the department 25. went before the Bureau of the Budget with their budgetary 26. request initially, Doctor Bob said no, I'm sorry Governor, we're 27. not going to let you restore those books even though you've 28. personally asked that it be done. And so now, we're to the 29. point today of arguing over a seventy-six hundred dollars in 30. a fourteen billion dollar budget. In the first place, Mandeville's 31. letter should have indicated that the program cost fifty thousand 32. ...fifty-seven thousand six hundred and I want fifty-seven 33.

thousand six hundred, at which point we would have been very

```
supportive and you wouldn't have had to worry about any loss in
        Sound and Sight ... Light and Sound. I'm doing it now.
2.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
3.
             Senator Hall.
4.
        SENATOR BUZBEE:
5.
             I'm not finished yet.
6.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
7.
             Oh, I'm sorry.
8.
        SENATOR BUZBEE:
 9.
             Thank you.
10.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
11.
             I thought it was unusual, you may continue Senator Buzbee.
12.
        SENATOR BUZBEE:
13.
             My first opportunity of the week, Mr. President, and I don't
14.
        want to blow it any further. But, why don't you just do that,
15.
        get the whole fifty-seven thousand six hundred added on, and
16.
        we'll all support it to...preserve priceless documents which
17.
        need to be preserved in this State, and we won't have to cut.
18.
        back on the Sound and Sight at all.
19.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
20.
             Senator Davidson. Senator Davidson.
21.
        SENATOR DAVIDSON:
22.
             If that's...if that's support. I'll take it out of the
23.
        record, and get the amendment correct...
24.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
25.
             Take it out of the record.
26.
        SENATOR DAVIDSON:
27.
             ...and go.
28.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
29.
             Take Amendment No. 3 out of the record. We need the...the
30.
        Amendment No. 3 is taken out of the record, and you wish the
31.
        bill remaining on...to remain on the Order of 2nd reading.
32.
        SENATOR DAVIDSON:
33.
             Okay.
```

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) ı. 2. All right, the bill will remain on the Order of 2nd reading. Senator Hall, for what purpose do you arise? 3. SENATOR HALL: 4. Well, I just wanted to call attention to the Body here, that 5. the Big 4 is rioting. Keep your eyes open, Gentlemen. 6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 7. Senate Bill 1622, Senator Geo-Karis. Read the bill, Mr. 8. Secretary. 9. SECRETARY: 10. Senate Bill 1622. 11. (Secretary reads title of bill) 12. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I 13. offers one amendment. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 15. Senator Carroll. 16. SENATOR CARROLL: 17. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 18. Senate. Amendment No. 1 reduces the budget to provide for the 19. normal turnover in hiring lag as well as a phase-in of the 20. vacancies. It is a reduction of three hundred twenty-six 21. thousand one hundred dollars and I would move adoption of 22. Amendment No. 1. Let me just say that in the initial presentation 23. of this budget there had been no turnover or hiring lag 24. provided. This was the only budget of State Government that did 25. not so provide, and I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 27. Is there discussion? Senator Chew. 28. SENATOR CHEW: 29. I have failed to get back with the Chairman on this. 30. he would hold it, I think I have some information that would be satisfactory 31. as to whether you would like to go further with it now. I 32. got the information recently. 33.

2.	Do you wish the bill taken from the record?
3.	SENATOR CHEW:
4.	Justjust for a minute.
5.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
6.	The bill is in the hands of the sponsor, Senator Chew.
7.	Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 1632, Senator Rupp.
8.	Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
9.	SECRETARY:
10.	Senate Bill 1632.
11.	(Secretary reads title of bill)
12.	2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
13.	offers three amendments.
14.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
15.	Senator Carroll.
16.	SENATOR CARROLL:
17.	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
18.	Senate. This is an eight percent solution to the Department
19.	of Mines and Minerals. It makes some other minor adjustments, taking out some vacancies, and I would move adoption of Amend-
20.	ment_No. 1.
21.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
22.	Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment
23.	No. 1 to Senate Bill 1632 be adopted. Those in favor indicate
24.	by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No
25.	l is adopted. Are there further amendments?
26.	SECRETARY:
27.	Committee Amendment No. 2.
28.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
29.	Senator Carroll.
30.	SENATOR CARROLL:
31.	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
32.	Senate. At the suggestion of the Governor's Cost Control Task
33.	Force this eliminates the funding of the Abandoned Minos

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1.	Reclamation Council, which is handled by other officers of
2.	State Government. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.
3.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
4.	Senator Rupp.
5.	SENATOR RUPP:
6.	Thank you, Mr. President. I oppose thisamendment. It
7.	eliminates the Abandoned Mines Reclamation Council. Now,
8.	this is the operations budget for this group, and what their
9.	job is, is to try to change the abandoned mines and bring
10.	them around to productive use, such as recreation areas, and
11.	I do believe that this is a small amount of money for such an
12.	important effort, and I ask that this amendment be defeated.
13.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
14.	Is there further discussion? Senator Johns.
L5.	SENATOR JOHNS:
16.	Now, Senator Carroll, can we talk about this a moment?
17.	Thisthis eliminates the Abandoned Mines Reclamation
L8.	Council, period?
19.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
20.	Senator Carroll.
21.	SENATOR CARROLL:
22.	It eliminates the funding. As you know, the council member
23.	are State officials. This does not eliminate the council, it
24.	eliminates the additional monies that are being spent out of
25.	General Revenue.
26.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
27.	Senator Johns.
28.	SENATOR JOHNS:
29.	Now, I'm worried about one individual, Al Grossboll and
30.	his committee of people that perform this service. Does it
31.	touch them in this amendment?
32.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
	Senator Carroll.

	If youIf you want to take it out of the record, I can
£	ind out. I don't know who the person is you're talking
al	pout.
PI	RESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
	Well, it's not in his hands to take out of the record.
SI	ENATOR CARROLL:
	Yes, maybe you can make the request of Senator Rupp.
Ρl	RESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
	It's Senator Ruppjustjust a moment. Senator Johns.
SI	ENATOR JOHNS:
	May I approach an amendment here that I would like to put
OI	n,Senator Carroll, into this bill and have that out of the
wa	ayhave that considered? Senator Rupp, may I, please?
O	kay, this amendment I have worked with the Governor's Office
01	n
Pl	RESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
	Just a momentjust a moment, Senator.
S	ENATOR JOHNS:
	All right, Sir. I understand, Secretary
P	RESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
	Senator Rupp, do you wish to withdraw Amendment No. 2?
S	ENATOR RUPP:
	Yes, that wouldthat would be fine. I think we'd have to
đ	o that in order to consider, Senator Johns'.
P	RESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
	All right, I think the properjust a moment. It is a
C	ommittee amendment. I'm sorry. Senator Carroll.
S	ENATOR CARROLL:
	And we don't wish to withdraw it. If you want to hold the
b	ill, we can figure out where we're at.
P	RESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
	Senator Rupp.

1. SENATOR CARROLL:

١. SENATOR RUPP: 2. Fine. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 3. Take it out of the record. No, wait a minute. We will 4. hold the bill on the Order of 2nd reading. Is there leave 5. to return to Senate Bill 1622? Leave is granted. 6. SECRETARY: 7. Senate Bill 1622. 8. (Secretary reads title of bill) 9. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I 10. offers one amendment. 11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 12. Senator Carroll. 13. SENATOR CARROLL: 14. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 15. Senate. As we indicated before, this is the phasing-in of 16. the new employees and the turnover in hiring lag, and the 17. eight percent solution, three hundred and twenty-four thousand 18. eight hundred out of the four million dollar budget. I would 19. move adoption of Amendment No. 1. 20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 21. Senator Geo-Karis. 22. SENATOR GEO-KARIS: 23. This Amendment No. 1 cuts it quite drastically, but I have 24. no choice, so I'm not opposing it. 25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 26. Is there further discussion? The question is, shall Amend-27. ment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1622 be adopted. Those in favor in-28. dicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amend-29. ment No. 1 is adopted. Are there further amendments? 30. SECRETARY: 31. No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32.

1.	Are there amendments from the Floor?
2.	SECRETARY:
3.	Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.
4.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
5.	Senator Carroll.
6.	SENATOR CARROLL:
7.	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of
8.	the Senate. This is a technical amendment to correct a
9.	technical defect in the bill as the way it was presented, to break
10.	out the EDP appropriations into separate accounts, and I would
11.	move adoption. There is no dollar impact.
12.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
13.	Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No.
14.	2 to Senate Bill 1622 be adopted. Those in favor indicate
15.	by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment
16.	No. 2 is adopted. Are there further amendments?
17.	SECRETARY:
18.	Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Carroll.
19.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
20.	Senator Carroll.
21.	SENATOR CARROLL:
22.	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
23.	This adds back thirty-two thousand one hundred of our reduction of
24.	Amendment No. 1. It adds it back to the Human Right Commission
25.	which is a, in effect, separate division within the agency to
26.	fund the hearing officers so that they can start them immediately.
27.	In one case, one for eleven months, and a secretary for eleven months
28.	instead of the six month phasing we had originally provided, so that
29.	they can proceed with their hearings immediately, and I would
30.	move adoption of Amendment No. 3.
31.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
32.	Senator Bruce. Senator Regner.
33.	SENATOR REGNER:

A question of the sponsor of the amendment? $\dot{}$

2.	He indicates he will respond.
3.	SENATOR REGNER:
4.	Senator Carroll, does it phase-in the hearing officers,
5.	or does it give it to them for all twelve months?
6.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
7.	Senator Carroll.
8.	SENATOR CARROLL:
9.	One would be phasedone would be full twelve months, one
10.	would be eleven months and a secretary at eleven months.
11.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
12.	Is there further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis, do you
13.	wishSenator Geo-Karis.
14.	SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
15.	Mr. Presidentcould you hold on for a moment, please, Sir?
16.	Okay, go ahead.
17.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
18.	All right, the question is, shall Amendment No. 3 to Senate
19.	Bill 1622 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye.
20.	Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted.
21.	Are there further amendments?
22.	SECRETARY:
23.	Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Geo-Karis.
24.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
25.	Senator Geo-Karis.
26.	SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
27.	This amendment would restore seventy-seven thousand in
28.	reductions made to the Human Rights Commission by Senate Amend-
29.	ment No. 1, and I move the passage of this amendment.
30.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
31.	Senator Carroll.
32.	SENATOR CARROLL:
	Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. Senate. We've already restored the thirty-two thousand they 2. needed out of that seventy-seven. I don't think Senator 3. Geo-Karis realizes this amendment is now technically defective, inasmuch as thirty-two of that seventy-seven that they actually 4 . needed has already been restored. The rest is a phase-in, they 5. cannot get the people on board before that, and we have taken 6. care of the problem they had of getting the hearing officers 7. immediately in Amendment No. 3. So, this would now, I would 8. assume, be defective, as well as unneeded. 9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 10. Senator Geo-Karis. 11. SENATOR GEO-KARIS: 12. Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. 13. As much as I don't want to disagree with the very capable Chairman 14. of Appropriations, my amendment is, I believe, technically... 15. correct. It adds a little more money than you added before, 16. making a total of seventy-seven thousand. I move the adoption 17. of this amendment. 18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 19. Senator Washington. 20. SENATOR WASHINGTON: 21. My question, I suppose, is to the Chairman of the Appropriations 22. Committee. Aside from the technicalities, Senator Carroll, 23 do you have any objections to the increase between the thirty-24. two and the seventy-seven? That's all her amendment... 25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 26. Senator Carroll. 27. SENATOR CARROLL: 28. No, her amendment adds seventy-seven. We've already added 29. thirty-two. It would put them way over..not way over, but it 30. would put them thirty-two over the budget as introduced. Yes, 31. I do have objection, because, again, even in this part, there had

been no phasing when the budget was introduced. When we did

32.

1. do the phasing of Amendment No. 1 we found that we had phased too deep, because they obviously needed that hearing officer. 2. They told us, according to them, that the next person would 3. come on in August, so we put that at eleven months, and the 4. next person would come on in August, and we put that at eleven 5. months. So, we took care of the people in the order in which 6. they said they needed them by Amendment No. 3. This adds back 7. monies and...and goes beyond an add-back, and makes it an 8. increase that they have not budgeted for, and is totally 9. unnecessary because the seventy-seven we took out of this 10. commission was because they had done no phasing at all. Once 11. we has found what their phasing schedule is, we took care of 12.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator Washington.

that by Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR WASHINGTON:

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Well, Senator Carroll, I don't think we have a conflict here, I think there's just some confusion as...between you and Senator Geo-Karis, and the people who proposed the budget. And it's my understanding from the latest information I got from Senator Chew that they could...and do plan to phase-in personnel which would absorb the difference between the thirty-two that you put in and the seventy-seven that Senator Geo-Karis proposes, and perhaps Senator Chew could comment on that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

I can only go by the written communication they gave to me last week, which did not so indicate, Senator Washington. In fact, specifically, they indicated they would like one hearing officer July 1, one, August 1, and a secretary August 1, and that's what we did in Amendment No. 3. That was by written communication from them last Thursday, if I recall, and that's

- how we drafted the amendment.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
- 3. Senator Chew.
- 4. SENATOR CHEW:
- 5. Thank you, Mr. President. I think where the confusion
- 6. is here, apparently the Appropriations Committee Chairman
- 7. failed to inform the bill sponsor of the phase-in of the
- 8. thirty-two thousand dollars. She does not have a copy of
- that amendment, she was not aware of it. Consequently her
- 10. amendment was to restore the seventy-seven thousand. What
- we're saying now, if, in fact, her amendment had the difference
- of seventy-seven thousand and seventy-two thousand, then we
- would have a figure that we could work with. Did the Chair
- or his staff inform the bill sponsor as to the Amendment No. 3?
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
- Senator Carroll.
- SENATOR CARROLL:
- It is my understanding that the...their staff had copies
- and understood it. I don't know that Senator Geo-Karis was
- made fully aware of it, and if not, I apologize, but the...
- it was at the request of the department. They showed us the $21. \ \ \,$
- data, we agreed they were right, we informed the Republican
- side of the aisle, and we assumed that that had filtered down... 23.
- or up to the sponsor as the case may be.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
- I might...I might suggest to the Body that Amendment No. 26.
- until you get it straightened out. That's a suggestion up to
- the sponsor. Senator Geo-Karis.
- SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

29.

30.

- Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I
- ...I did not know of the prior amendment for sure, or else I would 32.
- have discussed it with Senator Carroll. I do think, though, 33.

2. three hundred and twenty-four thousand of this...Department of Human Rights .. and my amendment of seventy-seven thousand is 3. ...administration requests to help make this department effec-4. tive since it combines three departments. I would like to 5. take a vote up or down, and I move the adoption of this amend-6. ment. 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 8. Senator Chew. 9. SENATOR CHEW: 10. Well, I would be inclined to agree with the President, that 11. we would have a discussion between the sponsor and the committee 12. and the staffs, because it was a lack of communication all 13. together. I've been informed of one thing, and then another 14. thing is happening, and I think the bill is of significance, that 15. it should go into a conference between the Chair and the sponsor 16. and the two staffs. 17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 18. Senator Regner. 19. SENATOR REGNER: 20. Well, are we proceeding with ... 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 22. I...I suggested that to the principal sponsor and... 23. SENATOR REGNER: 24. And she wants to go ahead? Okay. I rise in opposition to 25. the amendment. You know, in actuality what we should be doing 26. with this particular bill, is giving them nine months appropriation 27. instead of twelve months, the same as we did last year for 28. various other new agencies that were prepared. This agency, 29. when they appeared before us, could answer very few questions. When 30. I suggested ... even a phasing-in effect was my suggestion in committee 31. about phasing-in, and that's where we came up with the amendment

in view of the fact that the Appropriations Committee cut down

ı.

32.

33.

we did after a long debate on it, they said no, we want the

whole thing. Now, this is a new agency, they have no idea
 how much money they're going to spend. They have no idea
 how they're going to proceed, and to treat them different
 from other agencies with phasing-in employees, is absolute
 silliness, and I think we should defeat this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise to support the position that Senator Regner has just taken. The acting director of this agency was one of the most candid directors I have ever seen in an appropriations process. If you look at the total appropriation requests, it comes out to an even four million dollars and zero cents. Now, I would call that extremely good financial planning, when you're able to project your budgetary requirements for the coming fiscal year to be an even four million dollars. When we asked the acting director what her position was, she said my position is, I'm supposed to get the four million. That's my job, I'm supposed to coordinate getting the four million. Now, there's been no permanent director named yet, I don't know if there's going to be one in the near future or not, but I would point out to you that we have made a minuscule reduction in their budget request, and Senator Carroll, and some other people were convinced that perhaps in one particular area they'd made a little bit too deep of a cut and so they restored thirty-two thousand dollars back, but to go on at this point and ask for the additional seventyseven thousand, they don't have any idea what they're going to be doing. The director's principal job is, "to get the four million dollars." I think we ought to oppose this amendment, and give it the defeat it deserves. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

55

Senator Geo-Karis.

2. Am I closing? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 3. No, no, no. I thought that you wanted recognition to 4. withdraw the amendment. 5. SENATOR GEO-KARIS: 6. No. No. 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 8. ...but you don't. Senator Berning. 9. SENATOR BERNING: 10. Thank you, Mr. President. Under Rule 16 which takes 11. precedence, I move to strike the enacting clause. 12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 13. Senator Berning. 14. SENATOR BERNING: 15. And I might add, Mr. President, as has been pointed 16. out, this is a brand new infantile department, bound to grow 17. worse than mushrooms. It has no idea where it is, or where 18. it's going, but it's spending money. I think the time has 19. come to sit down and say here is a place where we can call 20. a halt, and there are a good many others I should have to add. 21. But let's start with this one, which is a new one, and therefore, 22. I press my motion to strike the enacting clause and I strike 23. for the taxpayers. 24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 25. Senator Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you arise? 26. SENATOR GEO-KARIS: 27. Mr. President, I find myself in a cross-fire of emotion 28. over here, so I'm going to withdraw my amendment. 29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 30. The chair...Senator Berning, you're correct as to the 31. quoting of the appropriate rule, but it should be in writing. 32. It is not in writing. You're out of order. Senator Geo-Karis 33. moves to withdraw Amendment No. 4, and hold the bill on the

1.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

l. Order of 2nd reading. All right, 3rd reading, I'm sorry. Advance the bill to the Order of 3rd reading. That is... 2. are there further amendments? 3. SECRETARY: 4. No further amendments. 5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 6. 3rd reading. Senator Weaver, for what purpose do you 7. arise? 8. SENATOR WEAVER: 9. Mr. President, are we going to be in Friday and Saturday 10. also? 11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 12. It looks like we may well be. 13. SENATOR WEAVER: 14. Thank you. 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 16. Senate Bill 1666, Senator Shapiro. Senate Bill 1678, 17. Senator Gitz. Senate Bill 1684, Senator Weaver. 18. SECRETARY: 19. Senate Bill 1684. 20. (Secretary reads title of bill) 21. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 23. Senator Davidson. 24. SECRETARY: 25. No committee amendments. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 27. Any amendments from the Floor? 28. SECRETARY: 29. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Davidson. 30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 31. Senator Davidson. 32. SENATOR DAVIDSON: 33.

Yes, Mr. President. Senator Netsch, this amendment, is to reimburse E. L. Schmidt, a local towing and garage here for monies expended in moving and storing of parts and stolen vehicles that was held for evidence in relation to a ...no this..in relation to a stolen car ring that was broken up here, and they...in committee it was referred to subcommittee which was chaired by Senator Netsch. They held a hearing, worked out what was the expenses and the amount or money is thirteen thousand one hundred and nineteen dollars and thirty-nine cents. Senator Netsch will have to address the other part, 'cause she held the sub-committee hearing and recommended favorably on this. Move the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further dicusssion? Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

l.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Thank you, Mr. President. I do rise in support of the amendment. The problem of Mr. Schmidt was referred to a subcommittee of which I was the Chairman, and we went over the happenings that led to this. The Court of Claims rejection of the claim, although, I think probably erroneously the... there is no question at all that Mr. Schmidt had been led astray by the enforced...law enforcement officers in terms of whether he would be reimbursed for these expenses. They seem quite legitimate. He has been led down one primrose after another, and in my judgment, and I think it was a judgment shared by all the members of the committee, the legitimacy of his claim was well established. We did pare down the amount so that I think that in effect what he is being given is what I would call a...quantum meruit recovery. That is he, in effect, is being made whole, and given a small amount that represents the long years that he has had to pursue this, and go to one State agency after

- 1. another. I think it is a legitimate recompense by the State,
- and I would rise also to support the amendment.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 4. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Davidson,
- 5. do you wish to close debate? If not, the question is, shall
- amendment...Senator Philip.
- 7. SENATOR PHILIP:
- 8. You know, I have a question I guess of the professor.
- Are there legal fees included in this?
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 11. Senator Netsch.
- 12. SENATOR NETSCH:
- The amount to be paid in legal fees in this...that was
- the part incidently that I very, very substantially reduced, and
- the only amount that will actually be paid out of this recovery
- now, in attorney's fees is one thousand nine hundred sixty-
- three dollars and thirty-nine cents. The reason for that,
- although it represents considerably less than the requested ${\bf 18.}$
- fee, is that the attorney who was working on Mr. Schmidt's
- behalf, I think did put in an enormous amount of time and 20.
- effort to see that he was, in fact, ultimately given an 21.
- opportunity to recover and I think it is not...would not be
- fair not to recognize that, but it's being recognized, I would 23.
- have to say, in a very modest amount.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 25.
- Senator Philip. 26.
- SENATOR PHILIP:
- Thank you, Mr. President. Is that about one-third of the 28.
- total we're going to be paying is, is legal fees? Is that 29.
- the standard procedure, one-third? And who is the lawyer 30.
- that did all this hard, hard, long, long, long, tedious work?
- And how many hours did that lawyer spend on...on the case?
- 32.

 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
 - Senator Netsch.

1. SENATOR NETSCH:

I can't...I don't have any of the information here about
 the exact number of hours. The name of the attorney is Dale

4. R. Turner, 741, South Grand Avenue West, Springfield, Illinois.

5. I...no Medley Movers is not in the amendment. The...it seems

6. to me that Mr. Schmidt would not have even had an opportunity

7. to come before us, let alone before the Court of Claims if he

had not had this kind of help, and you've got to recognize it

to some extent. The...as I indicated, the original request

was for a considerably larger amount. We recomputed the basis

on which Mr. Schmidt would be reimbursed and substantially...

substantially reduced the available attorney's fees.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

8.

11.

13.

14.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

28.

29.

30.

33.

You still haven't answered my question, professor. Is onethird the normal...normal fee? What is the Bar...Bar Association 17.
make recommendations in these types of cases?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Netsch.

20. SENATOR NETSCH:

The answer to the first part of your question is, one-third or more I think, is the standard, yes. Although, it comes out in a sense...that is the amount that is, actually in this bill represents less than that. I think the total recovery would come to that. I...I believe there is no longer a Bar Association recommendation because of the Anti-Trust Laws.

27.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Mitchler.

SENATOR MITCHLER:

Mr. President, a question of Senator Netsch. Why...why did the Court of Claims turn down this claim?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

ı.

Mr. Schmidt did not have a written contract and in my 2. judgment the ... and the particular officer did not ... to whom ... 3. or who had given Mr. Schmidt the materials to hold and store 4. for the subsequent criminal prosecution, did not have, I 5. gather, explicit authority. I would have to say, although, 6. I realize that we should not always be in the posture of 7. second quessing the Court of Claims, it seemed to me that 8. it was a...a perfect case for apparent authority, because 9. the police officer had previously taken similar action with 10. respect to Mr. Schmidt, and on one previous occasion, he had been, 11. in fact, reimbursed an amount for taking these...this evidence 12. and holding it and storing it. So, that he had every reason 13. to believe that he was dealing with someone who did, in fact, 14. have authority to...to enter into the transaction. For whatever 15. reason I do not know, the Court of Claims chose not to re-16. cognize the apparent authority principal. I think that was 17. the reason why it was turned down by the Court of Claims. 18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 19.

Senator Mitchler.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

SENATOR MITCHLER:

Is there a breakdown of all of the costs involved here available for us to see exactly item by item what he is claiming?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Yes, I do have it. It was not put into the amendment as such, I believe Senator Davidson also has a copy which he is now making available to you, Senator Mitchler. I might say that the whole basis on which it was computed, was redone by us. We did not approve of the form in which the original request was made, which I thought was too high, and not really substantiated. So, that the basic theory here is that Mr.

Schmidt will not be, in effect, out any money as a result
 of his dealings with the State in this particular transaction.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. Senator Mitchler.

3.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

SENATOR MITCHLER:

Well, for several Sessions now, we've...we've had a bill in to try to get a certain mover up in the northeastern part of the State reimbursed and because of information that wasn't available to the Court of Claims...and you're coming here with another individual that...no contract, and this is going to be a vehicle before it gets out of this Body or the House, this Session, and you'll be dealing with that again, because..what's good for the goose is good for the gander.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:

Just a quick question, Mr. President. Does this accommodation by line iteming the amount violate the Statute against taking contingencies for legislative action?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Davidson may close debate.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Just to ask for a favorable roll call to reimburse an individual who did work on the orders from the State Police, who had been paid for prior work of picking up stolen evidence from stolen car rings on...by that man's order. Appreciate a favorable vote..to the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The question is, the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1684. Those in favor will indicate by voting Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted

ı. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the roll call. 2. On that question, the Ayes are 39, the Nays are none. Amendment No. 1 having received a majority vote is delcared 3. adopted. Any further amendments? 4. SECRETARY: 5. No further amendments. 6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 7. Senate Bill 1698, Senator...I'm sorry, 3rd reading. Senate 8. Bill 1698, Senator Berman. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 9. SECRETARY: 10. Senate Bill 1698. 11. (Secretary reads title of bill) 12. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I 13. offers one amendment. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 15. Senator Carroll. 16. SENATOR CARROLL: 17. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of 18. the Senate. This adds two hundred and sixty-nine thousand 19. to the appropriation. This appropriation we are not sure 20. yet which way the Federal money is going in order to keep 21. it in the channels. We have placed the full amount in 22. two bills, one by grant and one by CDB. I would move 23. adoption of Amendment No. 1 so that we can keep it in the 24. channel, and once we know which way it will go we will drop 25. one of the approaches. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 27. Is there further discussion? Senator Sommer. 28. SENATOR SOMMER: 29. Senator Carroll, would you want to drop one of the approaches 30. before passage on 3rd reading? 31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32.

33.

Senator Carroll.

- ı. SENATOR CARROLL:
- I would hope that we would have an answer by then. It's Senator 2.
- Berman's bill, and as soon as we hear it will be dropped whether it's 3.
- here or at the House. I would hope that we'd have it before Thursday. 4.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 5.
- Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall Amend-6.
- ment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1698 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by 7.
- saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is 8.
- adopted. Any further amendments? 9.
- No further committee amendments. 11.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 12.
- Any amendments from the Floor? 13.
- 14. No Floor amendments.

SECRETARY:

SECRETARY:

10.

15.

18.

22.

25.

30.

- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 16.
- Do we have leave to go back...3rd reading. Do we have leave to go 17.
- back to the Order of Senate Bill 1632...Leave is granted. Senator Rupp.
- For what purpose does Senator Carroll arise? 19.
- SECRETARY: 20.
- 21. SENATOR CARROLL:

Senate Bill...

- ... No. 2, it's already been read a second time?
- 23.
- SECRETARY: 24.
- SENATOR CARROLL:
- 26. I would now move...it's a committee amendment, so therefore voting
- 27. on the prevailing side, I would move to reconsider the vote by which

Yes. It's been read a second time. Amendment No. 2.

- 28.
- Amendment No. 2 was adopted by committee for purposes of Tabling. You 29. can't withdraw an amendment that's a committee amendment. You still have
- to Table... 31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 32. You've heard the motion ...
- 33. SENATOR CARROLL:

The motion would be to Table Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. You've heard the motion. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Committee 2. Amendment No. 2 is Tabled. Are there any further amendments? 3. SECRETARY: 4. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Johns. Committee 5. Amendment No. 3? Committee Amendment No. 3. 6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 7. Senator Carroll. 8. SENATOR CARROLL: 9. Why, thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of 10. the Senate. This rolls back the department to the Federal 11. Fund level of last year. The reason for this, I don't think 12. there's any disagreement on it, the Feds require us every 13. year to fund at the same level. If we add onto it, then 14. next year we're committed to that or we lose all dollars. 15. So, we're reducing it back to last year's level to maximize 16. Federal impact and not use GR money. I would move adoption 17. of Amendment No. 3. 18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 19. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll 20. moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 21. 1632. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. 22. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 3 is adopted. 23. Any further amendments? 24. SECRETARY: 25. No further committee amendments. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 27. Any amendments from the Floor? 28. SECRETARY: 29. Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Johns. 30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 31. Senator Johns. 32. SENATOR JOHNS: 33.

ı. Mr. President, I hope that I can have attention of the 2. members. This...this particular amendment developed out of a meeting with three hundred EMT's from throughout the State 3. at the Johnny Logan College just a short time ago. 4. particular amendment adds two people to the Department of 5. Mines and Minerals staff. The purpose is this, we have one 6. man in the Department of Mines and Minerals to conduct the 7. Emergency Medical Technician Program for the entire State of 8. Illinois. The United Mine Worker's, the coal companies, the 9. EMT professional people, all seek this. We need these two 10. additional people to train and see to it that the program is 11. and continues to be one of the great programs throughout the 12. State of Illinois, one of the great mining states of this 13. nation. We found that this particular individual, Mr. Loftus, 14. who handles the program for the department, is booked up until 15. November of this year with appointments trying to meet the 16. heavy workload of teaching our people both company people, 17. and the mining personnel of the unions how to become EMT's and 18. save lives. This particular bill that I passed some time ago, 19. has become one of the hallmarks in the nation in the mining 20. industry. It is very important that we continue this progress. 21. I ask that I have a favorable roll call on the addition of forty-22. five thousand one hundred dollars to provide for two new 23. emergency minë technicians for the Department of Mines and 24. Minerals. The break-out is this, the personal services is 25. twenty-nine thousand one hundred dollars. That's two people, 26. at fourteen thousand five hundred dollars each with the retirement, 27. the travel, the commodities, and equipment, it comes to forty-28. five thousand dollars. This forty-five thousand dollars would 29. be a tremendous investment in the production of the mining 30. people throughout this State. I would certainly feel it 31. worthwhile to consider this amendment. 32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 33.

Is there further discussion? Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:

- 1. Mr. President, and members. These two positions that
- 2. Senator Johns seeks to add are not budgeted by the Governor.
- 3. I'm sure if the case were important enough they would have
- 4. been, Doctor Bob would have run it through the process.
- 5. They would have been added. They're not intending to add
- 6. them down in his district, and it's contrary to the process
- 7. that we've been going through here, whereby we've been allowing
- 8. very few new jobs and new programs, and I would oppose this
- 9. and call for a roll call.
- 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 11. Senator Schaffer.
- 12. SENATOR SCHAFFER:
- 13. Senator Johns, out of curiosity, why don't the coal
- 14. mines pay for this? Why is the State taxpayer paying for
- 15. these programs?
- 16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 17. Senator Johns.
- 18. SENATOR JOHNS:
- 19. You know, I'm really amazed. Why isn't the coal companies
- 20. paying for the training? Would you believe today, that the
- 21. coal companies have taken the initiative that the State
- 22. should have taken. When I talked to the Governor's people
- 23. and told them that we really needed this, there was no dis-
- 24. regard for it. They did not knock it, they realize that this
- 25. department has got its hands full. You know, for example,
- 26. Senator Sommer, that your people will probably be chosen
- 27. to fill these positions, but I'm not going to dally around with
- 28. the safety of the coal miners. They need this training, they
- 29. need this expertise. This is ridiculous for that kind of pro-
- 30. position to be even put forth.
- 31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 32. Senator Schaffer.
- 33. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Well, getting back to the question. Why don't the coal

companies pay for this, if it's such a great idea, and it ı. only affects their industry? It seems to me. my industries 2. up north have safety programs, and train people. The major ٦. corporations bring the local fire department people in and 4. give training courses on...on... I don't quite understand what 5. the difference is. Why is the State taxpayer being singled 6. out to subsidize this particular industry when it's not 7. done universally? 8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 9. Senator Johns. 10. SENATOR JOHNS: 11. Well, this is...this is again, amazing. Why is it that 12. we have any kind of regulation over any kind of industry, is 13. to make sure the services are performed. This is...this is 14. our people seeing to it that regulations are met and adopted 15. and people are trained properly in the protection of lives. 16. The coal companies are to be commended at this...at the approach 17. they've taken. They have taken this by the horns in many 18. instances. But here's what you've got to remember, Senator. 19. Now...now listen carefully, I'm going to get to your point. 20. The coal companies have trained all of their salaried personnel 21. but you're also dealing with union people, the union people are 22. not there every day, as salaried people are. The Department of 23. Mines and Minerals can take care of the training of everyone. 24. You don't have control over the unions like the United...like 25. the Department of Mines and Minerals will have, they will work 26. with these people ... 27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 28. Senator Schaffer. 29. SENATOR JOHNS: 30. But you've got an affiliation there that you've got to 31. be careful about.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32.

2.	SENATOR SCHAFFER:
3.	Coming from my part of the State, perhaps I need to
4.	be less careful. In that case why don't the unions pay for
5.	it if it's a union program, if the company's shouldering
6.	their share I still don't quite understand why we're
7.	subsidizingI'm not sure who we're subsidizing either
8.	the industry or the unions, but it seems to me that we ough
9.	not to go any further down this road.
10.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
11.	Senator Buzbee.
12.	
13.	
14.	
15.	
16.	
17.	
18.	
19.	
20.	(END OF DEET)
21.	(END OF REEL) .
22.	
23.	
24.	
25.	
26.	
27.	
28.	
29.	
30.	
31.	•
32.	

l.

33.

Senator Schaffer.

- SENATOR BUZBEE:
 Thank you
- Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Schaffer, I think
- 3. I can address to your specific question, somewhat. What
- 4. happens is that...well in the first place, the coal mining
- 5. industry in the State of Illinois is approximately our
- 6. eighth largest industry. So, it is a major industry in
- 7. this State, but it is not as large, of course, as some of
- g. the other manufacturing, farming and so forth, as far as
- 9. dollars are concerned. But, what happens is, the major
- 10. coal companies, the really big coal companies, some of
- 11. whom are owned by major oil companies, some of whom...
- 12. some of whom are not, they do provide this kind of training.
- 13. They do have these kinds of technicians for their own mines.
- 14. They have the equipment, they have the personnel to teach
- 15. the people how to be emergency medical technicians, how to
- 16. save lives in a highly dangerous occupation. Probably a
- 17. week doesn't go by, but what somebody isn't injured, perhaps
- 18. seriously, in the coal mining industry. But, the ones that
- 19. the State specifically address, are the little small operators
- 20. who simply don't have required capital to be able to provide
- 21. the equipment or the...or the training required. And, so,
- 22. this emanates from legislation that was sponsored by Senator
- 23. Johns and passed a few years ago, which indicated that the
- 24. State did have a responsibility as far as the saving of lives
- 25. and the prevention of injuries in this sort of industry...in
- 26. this industry, rather. And, so, specifically, what these
- 27. folks do that the State...funds is it goes out to the small
- 28. mines, which don't have the capital, which don't have the
- 29. size and train those people in emergency medical technician
- 30. areas, so that they can save lives and so that they can...
- 31. administer on-the-spot first aid.
- 32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 33. Senator Hall.

- 1. SENATOR HALL:
- 2. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen
- 3. of the Senate. It never ceases to amaze me, how that when
- 4. we're talking about something that's beneficial to little
- 5. people, something...how do you measure lives in dollars
- 6. and cents? I can recall back some fourteen years ago in
- 7. the House, we were spending fifty thousand dollars to send
- a prize bull around to the different fair grounds; and here,
- g. we're talking about some few dollars here to save lives.
- 10. We're talking about lives, Gentlemen. And that's what's
- 11. important. As Senator Buzbee has told you, a lot of these
- 12. small operators just don't have the facilities; but how
- 13. do you measure a life in dollars and cents? This is a
- 14. good amendment and it should be adopted.
- 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 16. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Johns
- 17. may close debate.
- 18. SENATOR JOHNS:
- 19. Well, we...we mandated the very program that I'm talking
- 20. about expanding. Only, we didn't give enough help when...
- 21. when we first initiated the legislation. This merely meets
- 22. the demands of the industry. I'm not asking to feather my
- 23. nest. I'm not asking for the jobs to be given to the Democratic
- 24. Party. I'm talking about the training of people in safety in
- 25. the coal mining, which is the most hazardous occupation in the
- 26. world. Thank you, Mr. President.
- 27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 28. The question is shall Amendment No. 4 be adopted.
- 29. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay.
- 30. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all
- 31. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
- 32. On that question, the Ayes are 29, the Nays are 16, none
- 33. Voting Present. Amendment No. 4, having received the

- 1. majority, is declared adopted. Any further amendments?
- 2. SECRETARY:
- No further amendments.
- 4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 5. 3rd reading. For what purpose does Senator Grotberg
- 6. arise?
- 7. SENATOR GROTBERG:
- 8. Mr. President, on a point of personal privilege, if
- 9. I may.
- 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 11. State your point.
- 12. SENATOR GROTBERG:
- 13. In the gallery to my right...and to the rear, we have
- 14. a Rotary Group Exchange Tour from the Rotary District of
- 15. 189 Federal Republic of Germany visiting the Rotary District
- 16. 42 this time of the year up in northern Illinois; and accompany-
- 17. ing the group, are the Streator, Illinois Rotarians, headed
- 18. by the President, William Zobis; and the host for their day
- 19. is also my good friend Stu Rasmussen from Streator. But,
- 20. the team from Germany, may I introduce them to you, Mr.
- 21. President and members. Renee Homman, an attorney, Olaf
- 22. Huffner, a District Court Judge from Germany, Jorge Meisner,
- 23. industrial manager from Germany, Dr. Andrew Wetzel, a
- 24. geologist and Dr. Deterwagner, industrial personnel
- 25. manager; and Senator Rupp, they are all on their way to
- 26. the great City of, what is it, Decatur, to visit Archer
- 27. Daniel Midlands, and learn how to make gasohol out of beer,
- 28. I believe. Would...would our guests in the gallery please
- 29. rise.
- 30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 31. Senate Bill 1709, Senator Coffey. Read the bill, Mr.
- 32. Secretary.
- 33. SECRETARY:

ı. Senate Bill 1709. 2. (Secretary reads title of bill) 3. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 5. Senator Coffey. 6. SECRETARY: 7. No committee amendments. 8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 9. Any amendments from the Floor? 10. SECRETARY: 11. Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Coffey. 12. SENATOR COFFEY: 13. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. 14. Amendment No. 1...does become the bill, once it is...is 15. accepted. This is an agreed amendment and was developed after several meetings with representatives from both the 16. Illinois Motor Carriers and the Illinois Commerce Commission, 17. 18. as well as staffs from both sides of the aisle. Very briefly, 19. what this amendment does, it broadens the authority of the 20. Illinois Commerce Commission to enter into reciprocity 21. agreements . with Canadian Provinces. This will bring the 22. Illinois Motor Carriers Property Law into conformity with 23. the International Registration Plan. No. 2, it establishes 24. a fee fixed at four dollars for the calendar year 1981, for 25. all Interstate Motor Carriers in Illinois. Presently, they 26. were running anywhere from one to nineteen dollars. After 27. 1981...the...after we find out what the fiscal impact of 28. this amendment will be, then the Commerce Commission can, 29. if necessary, raise it from four dollars to seven dollars, 30. or possibly, make it less than four dollars. In no event 31. does the Commerce Commission have the authority to establish

these rates without a forty-five day notice...to the Motor

Carriers, which they can object if there is an increase at

32.

- 1. that time; and I'd ask your favorable roll call.
- 2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Coffey
- 4. moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill
- 5. 1709. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Those
- 6. opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted.
- 7. Any further amendments?
- 8. SECRETARY:
- 9. No further amendments.
- 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 11. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1814, Senator Rupp.
- 12. Senate Bill 1859, Senator Egan. Senate Bill 1881, Senator
- 13. Daley and Martin. Senate Bill 1902, Senator D'Arco.
- 14. It's on page five, Senator. Senator...Senate Bill 1933,
- 15. Senator Bloom. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
- 16. SECRETARY:
- 17. Senate Bill 1933 had a request for a fiscal note, which
- 18. has been filed.
- 19. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 20. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
- 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 22. Any amendments from the Floor?
- 23. SECRETARY:
- 24. Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Regner.
- 25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 26. Senator Regner. For what purpose does Senator Bloom
- 27. seek recognition?
- 28. SENATOR BLOOM:
- 29. Senator Regner has withdrawn that. He's here.
- 30. SECRETARY:
- 31. Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Bloom.
- 32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- Senator Bloom.

- 1. SENATOR BLOOM:
- 2. Thank you, Mr. President and fellow Senators. This
- 3. amendment scales back that portion of the Corporate Personal
- 4. Property Replacement Tax; and it, basically, only excludes
- 5. only foreign dividends. And...this would substantially scale
- 6. back the thrust of the bill, and to make it an adjustment.
- 7. It does leave in full deductibility of the replacement
- 8. tax payments in...calculating the income tax. I'd move
- 9. for its adoption.
- 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 11. Is there discussion? Senator Rock.
- 12. SENATOR ROCK:
- 13. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. A question of the
- 14. sponsor, if he will yield.
- 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 16. He indicates he will yield.
- 17. SENATOR ROCK:
- 18. What is the net revenue loss under this bill to the
- 19. State of Illinois, as introduced and now under the proposed
- 20. amendment?
- 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 22. Senator Bloom.
- 23. SENATOR BLOOM:
- 24. I think the net revenue loss, as introduced, is incapable
- 25. of calculation, except for that portion...referring to the
- 26. dividend exclusion. As amended, by scaling it down, only
- 27. to excluding foreign dividends and only insofar as that
- 28. applies to the surtax, it would be...about thirty-two
- 29. million dollars. In other words...2.85 is to 4 as X is
- 30. to the forty-five million dollar proposed revenue loss in
- 31. the fiscal note. By scaling it down, only to foreign
- 32. dividends and only to...that portion used for the Corporate
- 33. Replacement Tax, it would, I guess, come out to 32.06.

- 1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 2. Senator Rock. Is there further discussion? Senator
- 3. Bloom.
- 4. SENATOR BLOOM:
- 5. I'm sorry, Senator Rock...it would probably be less
- 6. than that because they calculated that forty-five million
- 7. as including dividends, royalties and interest. This ties
- 8. it down just to the foreign dividends, so, it would probably
- 9. be less than 32.06.
- 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 11. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is
- 12. shall Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1933 be adopted. Those
- 13. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes
- 14. have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amend-
- 15. ments?
- 16. SECRETARY:
- 17. No further amendments.
- 18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 19. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1957, Senator Demuzio. Senate
- 20. Bill 1990, Senator Rupp. Senate Bill 1990. Read the bill,
- 21. Mr. Secretary.
- 22. SECRETARY:
- 23. Senate Bill 1990.
- 24. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 25. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
- 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 27. Any amendments from the Floor?
- 28. SECRETARY:
- 29. Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator D'Arco.
- 30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 31. Senator D'Arco.
- 32. SENATOR D'ARCO:
- 33. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 limits the

- 1. application of the bill, specifically, to claims covered
- 2. by the Illinois Fair Plan.
- 3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 4. Is there any discussion? If not, Senator D'Arco moves
- 5. the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1990. Those
- 6. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes
- 7. have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?
- 8. SECRETARY:
- 9. No further amendments.
- 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 11. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1993, Senator Rupp. Read
- 12. the bill, Mr. Secretary.
- 13. SECRETARY:
- 14. Senate Bill 1993.
- 15. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 16. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
- 17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 18. Any amendments from the Floor?
- 19. SECRETARY:
- 20. Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator D'Arco.
- 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 22. Senator D'Arco.
- 23. SENATOR D'ARCO:
- 24. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 increases
- 25. the notice requirement of cancellation by the insurance
- 26. company, from five days to ten days.
- 27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 28. Is there any further discussion? Senator Maragos.
- 29. SENATOR MARAGOS:
- 30. Will the sponsor yield to a question?
- 31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 32. He indicates he will.
- 33. SENATOR MARAGOS:

1. Senator D'Arco, why is this bill needed; as I judge 2. from the synopsis, when we have thirty-day cancellation 3. clauses in most of the insurance policies at the present? 4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 5. Senator D'Arco. 6. SENATOR D'ARCO: 7. This is...a part of an anti-arson application that is 8. filed, specifically, under the Fair Plan; and it would apply in situations delineated under the bill that have heretofore 9. not been delineated under the Act. And, we're doing this, 10. 11. specifically, in the Fair Plan so that we could try to prevent some of these arsons that are occurring in the City 12. of Chicago and elsewhere. 13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 14. Senator Maragos. 15. 16. SENATOR MARAGOS: 17. Does this affect residences or commercial properties? 18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 19. Senator D'Arco. 20. SENATOR D'ARCO: 21. No, it...it affects buildings that are over four apart-22. ments in number. It wouldn't affect homeowners or it wouldn't 23. affect one to four apartment dwelling units. There's more 24. to the amendment. Let me add something to it. It decreases 25. the number of rental units to fifty percent unless they are 26. occupied by the owner; heretofore, it was sixty-five per-27. cent, and it indicates a specific lapse of sixty-days, 28. during which the damage to the property must be repaired by 29. the owner. Heretofore, it was an unspecified time. 30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) Is there further discussion? If not, Senator D'Arco 31.

moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1993. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed.

32.

- 1. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further
- 2. amendments?
- 3. SECRETARY:
- 4. Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator D'Arco.
- 5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- Senator D'Arco.
- 7. SENATOR D'ARCO:
- 8. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 requires
- 9. that the notice of cancellation be posted in a conspicuous
- 10. place on the premises. That's so any vandals in the area
- 11. can tear it down...no, I'm just kidding. And, it also
- 12. allows the insured an extension of coverage from the date
- 13. he requests the hearing to the date the Director of the
- 14. Department of Insurance enters a final order...before this,
- 15. there was no extension of a hearing date that the insured
- 16. could request from the Department, and we only thought it
- 17. would be fair that, if his insurance was going to be can-
- 18. celled, that he have a hearing before the Department to
- 19. indicate reasons why he shouldn't be cancelled; and I would
- 20. move the adoption of Amendment No. 2.
- 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 22. Is there further discussion? Senator Rupp.
- 23. SENATOR RUPP:
- 24. Thank you, Mr. President. Part of this, also, eliminates
- 25. the...oh, there have been attempts, and it's an indication at
- 26. times that there might be some problems when an owner of a
- 27. building starts taking out the fixed and any salvagable items.
- 28. Why was that taken out in your amendment, Senator D'Arco?
- 29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 30. Senator D'Arco.
- 31. SENATOR D'ARCO:
- 32. I think the reason it was, is because he occupied the...
- 33. it was only if he occupied the building...no, that's not the

- 1. reason.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- Senator Berman indicates he can answer that question.
- 4. SENATOR BERMAN:
- 5. Senator Rupp, in reviewing the language of the bill
- as drafted, if one...if one sink was missing, that would...
- 7. that would trigger a five-day cancellation. We thought
- 8. that with the other provisions, this was just too drastic
- 9. an approach; and the word fixtures wasn't defined. If you
- 10. were missing one of the smoke alarms, they could cancel in
- 11. five days. It just seemed too drastic.
- 12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 13. Any further discussion? Senator Berning.
- 14. SENATOR BERNING:
- 15. Just one question of clarification of...Senator D'Arco
- 16. or Senator Rupp. I...I think I understand the general...
- 17. thrust, I guess is the word, of the bill; and I support
- 18. that. But, I...and I'm not sure what the amendments have
- 19. done, because I don't seem to have copies. But, I'm con-
- 20. cerned about what is the proper procedure, if there is one,
- 21. when it calls upon the cancellation, as in line twelve and
- 22. thirteen on page one; "may be cancelled upon five day's
- 23. written notice to the named insureds" and then sets out
- 24. these conditions. The bottom one, of course, is one of
- 25. those that has been of concern to many people; buildings
- 26. which are in danger of collapse because of serious structural damage;
- 27. most of which, from what I have been led to believe, it's
- 28. impossible to determine who the insured is or who the owner
- 29. is. So, how do you get notice to anyone, when it's a blind
- 30. trust or whatever, and there's no indication of who the
- 31. owner is? How are we protecting that individual, or do we
- 32. need to?
- 33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

- 1. Senator Rupp indicates he will answer your guestions.
- Senator Berning. Senator Rupp.
- 3. SENATOR RUPP:
- 4. Thank you, Mr. President. The only notice that is
- 5. required, at that particular time, is the individual, or
- 6. the group, or the name that is shown on the insurance
- 7. policy at the address that is shown on the insurance policy.
- 8. That would take care of proper notice, I believe, and that's
- 9. where the notice of the cancellation of the coverage would
- 10. be sent. We don't... I think what he's getting at, we do
- 11. not have to go and search out every individual who might
- 12. have an interest in that property.
- 13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 14. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator D'Arco
- 15. may close debate. Senator D'Arco.
- 16. SENATOR D'ARCO:
- 17. I move the adoption of Amendment No. 2.
- 18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 19. Senator D'Arco moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2
- 20. to Senate Bill 1993. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye.
- 21. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is
- 22. adopted. Any further amendments?
- 23. SECRETARY:
- 24. No further amendments.
- 25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 26. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 2019, Senator De Angelis.
- 27. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
- 28. SECRETARY:
- 29. Senate Bill 2019.
- 30. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 31. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
- 32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 33. Any amendments from the Floor?

- 1. SECRETARY:
- Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator De Angelis.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- Senator De Angelis.
- 5. SENATOR DE ANGELIS:
- 6. Thank you, Mr. President. In a very ambitious desire
- 7. to correct this fund, we inadvertently eliminated the
- g. CRA Fund; so, this is a technical amendment...amendment
- 9. leaving it where it was before; but amending the Second
- 10. Injury Fund.
- 11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 12. Is there any discussion? If not, Senator De Angelis...
- 13. Senator Maragos.
- 14. SENATOR MARAGOS:
- 15. Senator De Angelis, will you please repeat what this
- 16. amendment does to the Second Injury Fund; I didn't quite
- 17. comprehend what you said.
- 18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 19. Senator De Angelis.
- 20. SENATOR DE ANGELIS:
- 21. Well, this amendment simply restores the CRA Fund to
- 22. where it was before. We, inadvertently...the CRA Fund,
- 23. Compensation Rate Adjustment Fund. It does not...this amend-
- 24. ment does not deal with the Second Injury Fund. In the
- 25. process of amending the bill, for the Second Injury Fund,
- 26. we, inadvertently, amended a part that applied to the
- 27. Compensation Rate Adjustment Fund. It's a technical amend-
- 28. ment.
- 29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 30. Is there any further discussion? If not, Senator
- 31. De Angelis moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate
- 32. Bill 2019. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those
- 33. opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted.

- 1. Any further amendments?
- 2. SECRETARY:
- No further amendments.
- 4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 5. 3rd reading. We have a request from three Senators
- 6. to...go back to the Order of 2nd reading, pick up bills...
- 7. Senate Bill 1518, 1859 and 1881. Is there leave? Leave
- 8. is granted. For what purpose does Senator Berman arise?
- 9. SENATOR BERMAN:
- 10. 1677, I think is also on that list. That was from
- 11. last week.
- 12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 13. That...that's on 3rd reading.
- 14. SENATOR BERMAN:
- 15. Oh, I'm sorry. I apologize.
- 16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 17. Senate Bill 1518, Senator Coffey. Read the bill, Mr.
- 18. Secretary.
- 19. SECRETARY:
- 20. Senate Bill 1518.
- 21. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 22. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue offers
- 23. one amendment.
- 24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 25. Senator...
- 26. SECRETARY:
- 27. And, by the way, this...this did have a request for
- 28. a fiscal note, which has been filed.
- 29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 30. It has been filed or hasn't?
- 31. SECRETARY:
- 32. It has.
- 33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 34. Senator Coffey.

l. SENATOR COFFEY: 2. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment 3. No. 1, removes all the State Sales Tax on gasoline and then 4. gradually phases that tax back in. I'd be glad to answer 5. any questions...it does not affect the one percent of the 6. local government Sales Tax. 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 8. Senator Maragos. 9. SENATOR MARAGOS: 10. Mr. President and members of the Senate, I just wanted 11. to know how this amendment changes the bill as it come... 12. came out of committee. 13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 14. Senator Coffey. 15. SENATOR COFFEY: 16. This...this...amendment is the committee amendment that came out. And, we're...we're talking about gasohol. 17. 18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Coffey 19. moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1518. 20. 21. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further 22. amendments? 23. SECRETARY: 24. No further committee amendments. 25. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) Any amendments from the Floor? 27. SECRETARY: 28. Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Coffey. 29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 30. Senator Coffey.

We...we have two amendments, and the Amendment No. 2

31.

32. 33.

SENATOR COFFEY:

- will be the one that clarifies...by deleting Section 32 on
- 2. page two, and...let me take that back.
- 3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 4. No, we're on the other one.
- 5. SENATOR COFFEY:
- 6. Okay, Amendment No. 2; then we'll go to the other
- 7. amendment. Actually, all this does, it clarifies...the
- 8. power of local government to impose a Sales Tax on gasoline,
- also, makes it...clarifies that it does not affect local
- 10. governments and the RTA Tax, and it also adds the effective
- 11. date of October 1st.
- 12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 13. Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Coffey
- 14. moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1518.
- 15. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed.
- 16. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further
- 17. amendments?
- 18. SECRETARY:
- 19. Amendment No. 3, and Senator Coffey, it will be your
- longer amendment.
- 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 22. Senator Coffey.
- 23. SENATOR COFFEY:
- 24. Yes, Mr...Mr. President and members of the Senate.
- 25. Can...we need to remove that amendment, because this amend-
- 26. ment does both; the effective date, as I just explained.
- 27. I'm sorry, I forgot we...we had that one in and we've got
- 28. this all on...So, I'd like to withdraw Amendment No. 2.
- 29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 30. Senator Coffey seeks leave to reconsider the vote by
- 31. which Amendment No. 2 was adopted. Is leave granted?
- 32. Leave is granted. Senator...for what purpose does Senator
- 33. Egan arise?

- 1. SENATOR EGAN:
- Yes, Senator Coffey, I...I...I think that the third
- 3. amendment, which you are going now to move to, will, in fact,
- 4. redefine what gasohol is; and I suspect...is that correct?
- 5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 6. Senator Coffey.
- 7. SENATOR COFFEY:
- 8. Well, Mr. President and members of the Senate, I'm not
- 9. sure which one they've got up there now; but that's the...
- 10. that's the amendment that I wanted to explain awhile ago,
- 11. but they have two or three other amendments. If they would
- 12. identify which amendment when we are discussing...
- 13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 14. Senator...will the Secretary read the amendment.
- 15. I think at this...before we go on to the amendment, we should
- 16. finish our work on Amendment No. 2. Leave was granted to
- 17. reconsider the vote by which it was adopted. Now, we will
- 18. reconsider the vote. Those in favor indicate by saying
- 19. Aye. Those opposed. Amendment No. 2 is defeated...withdrawn.
- 20. Now, we will proceed to...any further amendments?
- 21. SECRETARY:
- 22. Amendment No. 2...
- 23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 24. All right, Mr. Secretary, read the amendment.
- 25. SECRETARY:
- 26. ...amends Senate Bill 1518, on page twenty, by deleting
- 27. lines twenty-one through twenty-three, and inserting in lieu
- 28. thereof, the following: Section 5; nothing in this Amendatory
- 29. Act and so forth. And it also carries a Section 6, which is
- 30. the effective date.
- 31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 32. Senator Coffey.

ı. SENATOR COFFEY: 2. Mr. President, now, this... Amendment No. 3 is the - 3. one I just explained. What it does, it restricts the power of local government...I'm sorry. Nothing in this Act re-4. 5. stricts the power of local government and units of govern-6. ment to impose a Sales Tax on gasohol. This assures that 7. the one percent Sales Tax on gasoline will continue, in spite of the reduction of the State Sales Tax on gasohol. 8. This is, basically, a clarification. And, then also adds 9. that October 1st, 1980 is the effective date of this bill. 10. 11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 12. Is there further discussion? Senator Egan. 13. SENATOR EGAN: 14. Yes, I'm sorry, but I...I...Amendment No. 2, the amendment which you are now offering; no, it's Amendment 15. No, 2 that you are now offering. Do we have a copy of it? 16. 17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 18. Senator Coffey. 19. SENATOR COFFEY: 20. Mr. President, I know that I filed the amendment, and 21. I don't know whether there's been amendment...a copy sent out. 22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 23. Senator Egan. 24. SENATOR EGAN: 25. Are you going to offer another amendment after this? 26. SENATOR COFFEY: 27. Yes, I am. 28. SENATOR EGAN: 29. Okay. 30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Coffey moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1518.

Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The

31.

32.33.

- 1. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further
- amendments?
- 3. SECRETARY:
- 4. Amendment No 3, offered...by Senator Coffey. Amends
- 5. Senate Bill 1518, on page one by deleting line thirty-two
- 6. and on page two, by deleting lines one and two and so forth.
- 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 8. Senator Coffey.
- 9. SENATOR COFFEY:
- 10. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This
- 11. is the clarification of what gasohol means. It means an
- 12. alcohol blended with any other motor fuel.
- 13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 14. Senator Egan.
- 15. SENATOR EGAN:
- 16. Yes, all right, now, Senator Coffey, what...what is
- 17. the current definition of gasohol?
- 18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 19. Senator Coffev.
- 20. SENATOR COFFEY:
- 21. On page one, line thirty-two...as it is described here
- 22. "as used in Section...gasohol means motor fuel containing
- 23. at least ten percent alcohol, which alcohol is obtained from
- 24. agricultural products or byproducts."
- 25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 26. Senator Egan.
- 27. SENATOR EGAN:
- 28. All right, now, this doesn't clarify that; this changes
- 29. that, quite substantially, as a matter of fact. The minimum
- 30. amount of alcohol that you can use to...to make gasohol is
- 31. ten percent, currently.
- 32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 33. Senator Coffey.

- 1. SENATOR COFFEY:
- Yes, Mr. President, that is true. This amendment was
- 3. drafted by...Revenue Department, because they thought there
- 4. ought to be a clarification, and I would agree, that it did
- 5. take the ten percent out. Their reason for it was that they
- 6. said as it was clarified in the bill, that it could not
- 7. be mixed with...with diesel fuel, and that's...this amend-
- g. ment was necessary. And, I think you're right, the ten
- 9. percent has been taken out and it should have been left in.
- 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 11. Senator Egan.
- 12. SENATOR EGAN:
- 13. Well, I...with this definition, Senator Coffey, you
- 14. could put a drop of alcohol in gasoline and call it gasohol
- 15. and get the tax reduction. I think it's a mistake, and I
- 16. must oppose it. Perhaps you would like to withdraw it...and
- 17. they didn't bring this up in the committee; I've never
- 18. heard of it before. It seems a little bit extreme.
- 19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 20. Senator Coffey.
- 21. SENATOR COFFEY:
- 22. Yes, Mr. President, I agree with the Senator that it's
- 23. not proper. I will withdraw this amendment and I would like to
- 24. move it on to 3rd, and if there's reason to come back and
- 25. change that, we will bring it back to 2nd at a later date.
- 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 27. Senator Coffey seeks leave to withdraw Amendment No.
- 28. 3. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Any further
- 29. amendments?
- 30. SECRETARY:
- No further amendments.
- 32. PRESIDING OFFICER:
- 33. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1859, Senator Egan.

- 1. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
- 2. SECRETARY:
- Senate Bill 1859.
- (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 5. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
- 6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 7. Any amendments from the Floor?
- 8. SECRETARY:
- 9. Amendment No. 1, offered by Senators Buzbee, Regner,
- Carroll and Sommer.
- 11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 12. Senator Sommer. Senator Buzbee.
- 13. SENATOR BUZBEE:
- 14. Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Rhoads is not here
- 15. today, and I suggest that, perhaps, we hold this until he
- 16. gets back, because I'm not sure that he's supportive or in
- 17. opposition or whatever.
- 18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 19. Senator Walsh.
- 20. SENATOR WALSH:
- 21. Mr. President, as a matter of fact, I don't believe
- 22. he is supportive and Senator Rhoads...well, you are sure he is...well, I spoke to him
- 23. a few minutes ago, and he didn't express that to me. He
- 24. will be...he is sick, today, Mr. President. I wish the
- 25. Journal would show that he is absent because of illness and
- 26. if...if possible, we can advance this bill. And, he intends
- 27. to be back later in the week, if you would like to advance
- 28. it to 3rd, with the understanding it would be called back.
- 29. He will not be here tomorrow, either. So, it probably will
- 30. be necessary to do that either today or tomorrow. So, while
- 31. we are on that order, if you can advance the bill to 3rd, at
- 32. his request, with the understanding it will be called back
- 33. to 2nd reading for purposes of considering these amendments.

- 1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 2. Well, I think that decision should be up to the
- 3. sponsor. Senator Egan.
- 4. SENATOR WALSH:
- Well, this is Senator Rhoads' bill.
- 6. SENATOR BUZBEE:
- 7. It's Senator Rhoads' bill.
- 8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 9. We are on 1859, Senator Egan's bill.
- 10. SENATOR WALSH:
- 11. I thought it was 1559.
- 12. SENATOR BUZBEE:
- 13. I thought it was 1559, also. Who's on first?
- 14. SENATOR WALSH:
- 15. Well, if it's 1559, Senator Rhoads does not want it
- 16. called on 2nd reading, today, to consider...
- 17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 18. Senator, he wasn't on the list to be called. The
- 19. bill...
- 20. SENATOR WALSH:
- 21. Well, that was the number on the board. Then, if the Journal
- 22. would show that he is absent for reasons of illness.
- 23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 24. The bill under consideration is Senate Bill 1859,
- 25. Senator Egan's Bill. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
- 26. SECRETARY:
- 27. Senate Bill 1859.
- 28. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 29. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
- 30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 31. Any amendments from the Floor?
- 32. SECRETARY:
- 33. No Floor amendments.

l.

33.

order here.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 2. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1881, Senator Martin. 3. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 4. SECRETARY: 5. Senate Bill 1881. 6. (Secretary reads title of bill) 7. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 9. Any amendments from the Floor? 10. SECRETARY: 11. Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Martin. 12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 13. Senator Martin. 14. SENATOR MARTIN: 15. Yes, this will bring the bill in shape for debate on 3rd reading. The amendment guts the original bill, and 16. does a few things that I think have to be done with the Nursing 17. Home Reform Act. It first provides a way of admitting 18. 19. a comatose or unconscious person to nursing homes, which 20. is not available now and has been, indeed, causing problems. 21. It additionally corrects an error in citations, gramatical 22. errors, and inconsistencies in hearing deadlines, and a 23. failure to generalize options that would be available during 24. administrative hearings. All those groups who are associated 25. with...nursing homes, either as consumers or...users or owners 26. are agreed with this amendment. I move its adoption. 27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 28. Further discussion? Senator Regner. 29. SENATOR REGNER: 30. A question of the sponsor. 31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 32. She indicates she will yield. Could we have a little

- 1. SENATOR REGNER:
- Senator Martin, I notice on 1881 it says Daley and
- 3. Martin. Then on 1884 it says Daley-Schaffer. Could you
- 4. explain the difference to me between an and sponsor and
- 5. a hyphenated sponsor?
- 6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- Senator Martin.
- 8. SENATOR MARTIN:
- 9. I think, earlier today, Senator Donnewald took care
- 10. of that.
- 11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 12. Is there further discussion? Senator Berning.
- 13. SENATOR BERNING:
- 14. A question of the sponsor.
- 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 16. She indicates she will yield.
- 17. SENATOR BERNING:
- 18. I've had operators of nursing homes call to my attention
- 19. that the Nursing Home Care Act of 1979 has a...a severe
- 20. problem built into it where it requires guardians. Has that
- 21. problem been addressed in your amendment, Senator?
- 22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 23. Senator Martin.
- 24. SENATOR MARTIN:
- 25. Not to the extent that it will probably have to be,
- 26. Senator. I...this is a modification, and I don't think any-
- 27. one thinks that it will be the final answer. That continued
- 28. study continues. There is no question, among all those con-
- 29. cerned, that we are going to have to do substantive work
- 30. in the quardianship area, but I don't want to mislead you.
- 31. This amendment doesn't have the entire answer to it; it's
- 32. a beginning.
- 33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Berning. 2. SENATOR BERNING: 3. I think that there has been some serious study go into 4. the revisions necessary; and my question, then, Senator, is 5. simply this. If the spokesman to whom I was talking yesterday 6. is able to come up with the amendments that he or his group 7. think is necessary before we pass this bill, would you be 8. willing to recall it from 3rd to 2nd to consider further amendment? 9. 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 11. Senator Martin. 12. SENATOR MARTIN: 13. Of course. In that, we still continue. It doesn't 14. preclude putting this amendment on nor the beginning, but if 15. there is more that should be in, and that it's appropriate 16. to Senator Daley and myself, of course. 17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 18. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Martin 19. moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill... 20. For what purpose does Senator Grotberg arise? 21. SENATOR GROTBERG: 22. Will the sponsor yield for a question? 23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 24. She indicates she will. 25. SENATOR GROTBERG: 26. Senator Martin, on page three...on line thirteen, under 27. part three, one of the qualifications...I'm sorry...on nine-28. teen...line nineteen it says "however, if a person is unconscious 29. or comatose, the contract for his admission may be executed by

a member of the immediate family; or such unconscious or

comatose person may be admitted to the facility." What happens

if...there's a lot of other conditions other than unconscious

and comatose, what if they are... I believe this has to do with

30.

31.

32.

- 1. the juvenile portion of it, am I correct, if they are a
- 2. minor, but what happens if they are insane, neither comatose
- 3. nor unconscious?
- 4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- Senator Martin.
- SENATOR MARTIN:
- 7. You mean what happens if a person is legally insane? I
- 8. think, and I claim no expertise in this area, that person
- 9. would have a guardian. The person can't do anything for
- 10. themselves if they are legally insane. They can't execute
- 11. a contract. This was to...Senator, this was because there
- 12. was no provision in the law, to admit a person who was un-
- 13. conscious or a comatose, and that was the intent of this
- 14. part of the amendment. If you are asking, what happens
- 15. if a person is insane to be admitted to a nursing home; I
- 16. think you will find that that person is...has a conservator
- 17. or a guardian, and that person, under other parts of the
- 18. Act, would act...to be able to sign, to admit the person.
- 19. Not, nursing homes, well...I'll let it go at that.
- 20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 21. Senator Grotberg.
- 22. SENATOR GROTBERG:
- 23. Well, my concern is really for adults, and the way this
- 24. thing is written, I can't tell which applies to minors and
- 25. which applies to adults; but where you get senile people,
- 26. et cetera there are all kinds of descriptions that don't fall
- 27. in here, and senile persons aren't always declared senile.
- 28. They peddle them off...to my institution that I operate,
- 29. and we're a hotel, and they are in there three or four days
- 30. before they skip a beat, and then it's too late. We've
- 31. accepted them, and they drift off into never never land and
- 32. they are very difficult to take care of; and I'm not even
- 33. a nursing home, but...

- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- Senator Martin.
- 3. SENATOR MARTIN:
- 4. I...I won't say what Senator Berning just said, but
- 5. I...I guess I'm a little confused how your last statement
- 6. has to do with the Nursing Home Reform Act. I mean, I
- 7. regret that you have senile people and have a problem with
- 8. them, but I don't know quite how you see that it relates
- 9. to this paragraph.
- 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 11. Senator Grotberg.
- 12. SENATOR GROTBERG:
- 13. Well, you're dealing with exceptions. What you're
- 14. inferring here, is that senile people have to sign contracts,
- 15. because your exception is for...for minors. The way I read
- 16. it, and if I'm wrong...
- 17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 18. Senator Martin.
- 19. SENATOR MARTIN:
- 20. The amendment is specific to comatose or unconscious
- 21. persons. One of the questions that you're asking, is in
- 22. regards to guardianship for those who are unable, but would
- 23. not be considered senile or comatose. That is an area of
- 24. the bill that I think still needs work. That is not covered
- 25. under this amendment, though, Senator.
- 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 27. Senator Wooten.
- 28. SENATOR WOOTEN:
- 29. Senator Martin, a question. Does this amendment or
- 30. any amendments that have gone on take care of the problem
- 31. of requiring a lawyer in the process of establishing a
- 32. guardian? That is the basis of all the complaints I receive.
- 33. They want the lawyers out of it.

l. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 2. Senator Martin. 3. SENATOR MARTIN: 4. A complaint heard in other areas, too, Senator, I might 5. add. This amendment does not do, in that area, as much as 6. will have to be done. I said, this is just covering the specific areas I talked about; that problem of quardianship 7. 8. still is being worked on. It doesn't...there's no reason 9. not to put this amendment on, because it is needed and 10. necessary, but additional work still has to be done. That 11. is why the Senator has asked, could it be brought back if 12. we can get that final language? And the answer is, of 13. course, yes. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 15. Senator Wooten. 16. SENATOR WOOTEN: 17. Okav. 18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 19. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Martin 20. may close debate. Senator Martin moves the adoption of 21. Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1881. Those in favor indicate 22. by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment 23. No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments? 24. SECRETARY: 25. No further amendments. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 27. 3rd reading. Senator Rock. Senator Rock. 28. SENATOR ROCK: 29. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of 30. the...Senate. I have two announcements prior to ... I have 31. been reliably informed that both sides of the aisle have 32. requested this particular time slot for a caucus; and I

think it's apropos; but first, I have been requested by

- 1. Director Block, of the Illinois Department of Agriculture,
- to read a notice that the tour being sponsored by the department,
- 3. which all received invitations to, will be departing ten
- 4. minutes after Senate adjournment at the West door of the
- 5. Capitol. Transportation to the Department of Agriculture
- 6. building will be provided by two Conservation...Department
- 7. of Conservation vans, which are green in color. The
- g. director goes on to say that even if you did not R.S.V.P.
- 9. to the department on this tour, you are most welcome to
- 10. attend. It will conclude with a dinner at the farm of a
- 11. Mr. Gilbert Fricke, located three miles north of Petersburg;
- 12. and arrival back at the Capitol will be, he says, approximately
- 13. 7:30 p.m. I think, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen
- 14. of the Senate, that rather than attempt to come back after
- 15. our respective caucuses, I would now move that the Senate
- 16. stand adjourned until Tuesday, May 20, at the hour of ten
- 17. o'clock in the morning, and we will alert the membership
- 18. that the Rules Committee will meet in the Office of the President
- 19. at 9:00 a.m. If you just want to withhold the action on
- 20. that motion until the announcements are made, that would
- 21. be fine.
- 22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 23. Fine. Senator Philip, for what purpose do you arise?
- 24. SENATOR PHILIP:
- 25. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of .
- 26. the Senate. The purpose of an announcement. Softball practice
- 27. tomorrow night, a half hour after adjournment, in Lincoln
- 28. Park, Diamond No. 4.
- 29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 30. Senator Moore.
- 31. SENATOR MOORE:
- 32. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Before the adjournment motion of
- 33. Senator Rock's is made, I would like to announce that there

- 1. will be a Republican Caucus in Senator Shapiro's office
- 2. immediately after adjournment.
- 3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- Resolutions.
- 5. SECRETARY:
- 6. Senate Resolution 500, offered by Senators Keats and
- 7. Berman. It's congratulatory.
- 8. Senate Resolution 501, offered by Senator Martin.
- 9. It's congratulatory.
- 10. Senate Resolution 502, offered by Senator Davidson
- 11. and all Senators, and it's congratulatory.
- 12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 13. Senator Johns.
- 14. SENATOR JOHNS:
- 15. Thank you, Mr. President. There will be a Democratic
- 16. Caucus in Room 400 immediately. A Democratic Caucus, Room
- 17. 400, immediately.
- 18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- 19. You have heard the announcements. Those resolutions
- 20. just read go to the Consent Calendar. Now, the motion is
- 21. to adjourn until Tuesday morning at 9:00 a.m...10:00 a.m.
- 22. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed.
- 23. The Senate stands adjourned.
- 24.
- 25.
- 26.
- 27. 28.
- 29.
- 30.
- 31.
- 32.
- 33.