

81ST GENERAL ASSEMBLY

MAY 16, 1979

REGULAR SESSION

1. PRESIDENT:

2. The hour of one o'clock having arrived the Senate will  
3. please come to order. Will our guests in the gallery please  
4. rise as our prayer this afternoon will be delivered by the  
5. Reverend George Matranga, Our Savior's Lutheran Church,  
6. Springfield, Illinois. Reverend.

7. REVEREND MATRANGA:

8. (Prayer by Reverend Matranga)

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Thank you, Reverend. Reading of the Journal. Senator  
11. Nash.

12. SENATOR NASH:

13. Mr. President, I move that reading and approval of the  
14. Journals of Friday, May 4th, Tuesday, May 8th, Wednesday,  
15. May 9th, Thursday, May 10th, Friday, May 11th, Monday, May 14th  
16. and Tuesday, May 15th in the year 1979 be postponed pending  
17. arrival of the printed Journals.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. You've heard the motion. All in favor signify by saying  
20. Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. So ordered. Committee  
21. Reports.

22. SECRETARY:

23. Senator Donnewald, Chairman of the Committee of Assignment  
24. of Bills assigns the following House Bills to committee:

25. Agriculture, Conservation and Energy - 551; Appropriations  
26. I - 1132, 1171, 1172, 1174, 1176, 1177, 1636, 1638, 1642, 1646,  
27. 1649 and 2576; Appropriations II - 1175; Elementary and Secondary  
28. Education - 568, 1910, 2473, 82...no...Elections and Reapportion-  
29. ment - 2473; Executive - 822, 1753; Insurance and Licensed  
30. Activities - 311, 692, 725, 1058, 1097, 1260 and 2526; Local  
31. Government - 916, 1036, 1322, 1754, 2006 and 2621; Revenue -  
32. 1442; Transportation - 1607, 1803, 2035, 2325, 2328 and 2344;

33. Senator Knuppel, Chairman of Agriculture and Conservation

1. and Energy Committee reports out the following House Bills:

2. 172, 239, 340, 375, 446, 448, 518, 706, 751, 903, 909

3. and 963 with the recommendation Do Pass. 142 with the

4. recommendation Do Pass as Amended.

5. Senator...Vadalabene, Chairman of Executive Appointments

6. Administration reports out the following House Bills:

7. 1011, 1111, 1123 and 1349 with the recommendation Do Pass.

8. 1098 with the recommendation Do Pass as Amended.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. A Message from the House.

11. SECRETARY:

12. A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

13. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate

14. that the House of Representatives has passed bills with the

15. following titles in the passage of which I am instructed to

16. ask concurrence of the Senate to-wit:

17. House Bills 519, 543, 568, 795, 843, 976, 978, 1023,

18. 1074, 1075, 1181, 1201, 1229, 1244, 1308, 1310, 1315, 1350,

19. 1352, 1405, 1425, 1438, 1484, 1560, 1597, 1600, 1601, 1604,

20. 1614, 1635, 1640, 1643, 1647, 1653, 1677, 1885, 1888, 1889,

21. 1908, 1919, 1921, 1931, 1941, 1956, 1958, 1979, 1982, 2016,

22. 2073, 2160, 2161, 2191, 2203, 2205, 2280, 2323, 2324, 2327,

23. 2332, 2422, 2467, 2484, 2645 and 2737.

24. A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

25. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate

26. the House of Representatives has adopted the following Joint

27. Resolutions and the adoption of which I am instructed to ask

28. concurrence of the Senate to-wit:

29. House Joint Resolution 53 and 54...

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Executive.

32. SECRETARY:

33. ...and House Joint Resolution 55 handled by Senator Philip  
and it's congratulatory.

1. PRESIDENT:
2. Consent Calendar.
3. SECRETARY:
4. A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.
5. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate
6. the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in
7. the passage of a bill with the following title:
8. Senate Bill 308 together with the amendment...House
9. Amendment No. 1.
10. PRESIDENT:
11. Resolutions.
12. SECRETARY:
13. ...Senate Resolution 167 offered by Senator Egan. It's
14. congratulatory.
15. Senate Resolution 168 offered by Senator Mitchler. It's
16. congratulatory.
17. Senate Resolution 169...offered by Senators Philip,
18. Bowers, Mitchler and others and it's congratulatory.
19. Senaté Resolution 170 offered by Senator Egan. It's
20. congratulatory.
21. Senate Resolution 171 offered by Senators Nash, Rock,
22. Savickas and all Senators and it's a Death Resolution.
23. PRESIDENT:
24. Consent Calendar.
25. SECRETARY:
26. Senate Joint Resolution 48 offered by Senator Ozinga.
27. PRESIDENT:
28. Executive. House Bills, 1st reading.
29. SECRETARY:
30. House Bill 685. Senator Grotberg is the Senate sponsor.
31. (Secretary reads title of bill)
32. 1st reading of the bill.
33. House Bill 921. Senator Netsch is the Senate sponsor.

1. (Secretary reads title of bill)
2. 1st reading of the bill.
3. House Bill 983. Senator Coffey is the Senate sponsor.
4. (Secretary reads title of bill)
5. 1st reading of the bill.
6. House Bill 194. Senator Knuppel is the Senate sponsor.
7. (Secretary reads title of bill)
8. 1st reading of the bill.
9. House Bill 321. Senator Knuppel is the Senate sponsor.
10. (Secretary reads title of bill)
11. 1st reading of the bill.
12. House Bill 705. Senator Knuppel is the Senate sponsor.
13. (Secretary reads title of bill)
14. 1st reading of the bill.
15. House Bill 1296. Senator Vadalabene is the Senate sponsor.
16. (Secretary reads title of bill)
17. 1st reading of the bill.
18. House Bill 1325. Senator Coffey is the Senate sponsor.
19. (Secretary reads title of bill)
20. 1st reading of the bill.
21. House Bill 1564. Senator Shapiro is the Senate sponsor.
22. (Secretary reads title of bill)
23. 1st reading of the bill.
24. House Bill 1682. Senators Netsch and Mitchler are the
25. Senate sponsors.
26. (Secretary reads title of bill)
27. 1st reading of the bill.
28. House Bill 2024. Senator Grotberg is the Senate sponsor.
29. (Secretary reads title of bill)
30. 1st reading of the bill.
31. House Bill 2175. Senators Netsch and Newhouse are the
32. Senate sponsors.
33. (Secretary reads title of bill)

1. 1st reading of the bill.

2. House Bill 2671. Senator Vadalabene is the Senate sponsor.

3. (Secretary reads title of bill)

4. 1st reading of the bill.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Senate Bills, 3rd reading. We will begin where we left  
7. off, which is Senate Bill 494 on page 15, so those members  
8. who have bills close in that sequence please attempt to get  
9. ready. In the meantime, there are some supplemental fiscal  
10. '79 supplemental appropriation bills, which are of an emergency  
11. nature and if the membership will take out a pencil we will  
12. call Senate Bill 582, which is on page 19 of the Calendar.  
13. Senate Bill 944, Senator Regner. On page 30, Senate Bill 946,  
14. Senator Schaffer. On page 30 and on page 40, Senate Bill 1266,  
15. Senator Mitchler. On the Order of Senate Bills, 3rd reading,  
16. if you'll turn to page 19 on the Calendar, Senate Bill 582.  
17. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

18. SECRETARY:

19. Senate Bill 582.

20. (Secretary reads title of bill)

21. 3rd reading of the bill.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Senator Martin.

24. SENATOR MARTIN:

25. Yes, this is a transfer appropriation of 1.3 million dollars.  
26. It was supported unanimously in the Appropriations II Committee  
27. and I ask your support.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall  
30. Senate Bill 582 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those  
31. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted  
32. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that  
33. question, the Ayes are 44, the Nays are none, none Voting Present.

1. Senate Bill 582 having received the constitutional majority  
2. is declared passed. If you'll turn to page 30 on the Calendar  
3. in the middle of the page, on the Order of Senate Bills, 3rd  
4. reading, Senate Bill 944. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.  
5. SECRETARY:  
6. Senate Bill 944.  
7. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
8. 3rd reading of the bill.  
9. PRESIDENT:  
10. Senator Regner.  
11. SENATOR REGNER:  
12. Mr. President and members of the Senate. This is a  
13. transfer bill within various line items for the fire marshall.  
14. It totals forty-three thousand dollars of the transfers and the  
15. amendment was put on. It adds twenty thousand dollars at the  
16. request of the Governor for the International Year of the Child...to  
17. the Department of Children and Family Services for expenses.  
18. PRESIDENT:  
19. Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate  
20. Bill 944 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed  
21. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?  
22. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,  
23. the Ayes are 44, the Nays are 4, none Voting Present. Senate  
24. Bill 944 having received the constitutional majority is declared  
25. passed. Page 30 on the Calendar, on the Order of Senate Bills,  
26. 3rd reading, Senate Bill 946. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.  
27. SECRETARY:  
28. Senate Bill 946.  
29. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
30. 3rd reading of the bill.  
31. PRESIDENT:  
32. Senator Schaffer.  
33. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

1. This is a supplemental bill for Mines and Minerals. It  
2. doesn't give Mines and Minerals any money. It is, in fact,  
3. the supplemental for the Department of Mental Health that we  
4. debated at some length yesterday. If you'll recall there  
5. was an amendment put on reducing the budget by or the bill  
6. by 2.7 million, which will, according to the department, leave  
7. three thousand seven hundred and twenty-seven people without  
8. paychecks for the last two weeks in June. We're going to  
9. play games. I assume the money will be put back in the House.  
10. I sincerely hope so 'cause, frankly, if anybody deserves not  
11. to be paid in the last two weeks of June, it certainly isn't  
12. the people in the Mental Health hospitals.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Is there any discussion? Senator Wooten.

15. SENATOR WOOTEN:

16. Thank you, Mr. President. Just a question of the sponsor.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. He indicates he will yield. Senator Wooten.

19. SENATOR WOOTEN:

20. This money is to be used just for that purpose and no  
21. other?

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Senator Schaffer.

24. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

25. The...the money...the...the supplemental, in effect, funds  
26. the AFSCME agreement. The reduction will leave the department  
27. short for funds for the aforementioned employees for the pay-  
28. check of the last two weeks.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Wooten.

31. SENATOR WOOTEN:

32. What are the transfers?

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Schaffer.

2. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

3. A million and two in transfers out of the total six.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Wooten.

6. SENATOR WOOTEN:

7. But...those transfers are for that single purpose, right?

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Schaffer.

10. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

11. The transfers are between grant lines. It isn't just that

12. purpose and I don't want to mislead you.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Senator Wooten.

15. SENATOR WOOTEN:

16. I'm curious to know what the transfers are for then along

17. the grant line.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Senator Schaffer.

20. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

21. A reasonable request. I'm impressed by your interest.

22. There's a transfer for the community services grant line to

23. development where for DD...the six hundred thousand similar

24. transfer for a community service grants of four hundred and

25. fifty thousand. A one hundred and fifty thousand dollars

26. grant for mentally ill in private facilities. A reduction of

27. a million one hundred thousand for DD persons in private

28. facilities and a reduction of a hundred thousand for residential

29. care of mental health patients.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Senator Wooten.

32. SENATOR WOOTEN:

33. I suppose what I'm looking at is a...a serious matter for



1. me is the projected closure of the East Moline Mental Health  
2. Center. I just wonder if any of these transfers involve that  
3. transaction at all?

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Schaffer.

6. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

7. I knew there was a reason for your interest. I am told  
8. by staff that this is not a...a factor in this bill.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Senator Wooten.

11. SENATOR WOOTEN:

12. Then you may have a very good bill.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall  
15. Senate Bill 946 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those  
16. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted  
17. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that  
18. question, the Ayes are 47, the Nays are 4, none Voting Present.  
19. Senate Bill 946 having received the constitutional majority  
20. is declared passed. Turn to page 40 on the Calendar. On the  
21. Order of Senate Bills, 3rd reading, Senate Bill 1266. Read  
22. the bill, Mr. Secretary.

23. SECRETARY:

24. Senate Bill 1266.

25. (Secretary reads title of bill)

26. 3rd reading of the bill.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Mitchler.

29. SENATOR MITCHLER:

30. Mr. President and members of the Senate. The appropriation  
31. is supplemental in nature to the Department of Veteran's Affairs.  
32. It's twenty-five thousand dollars to the supplemental appropriation  
33. of banks and trusts is one hundred and twenty-eight thousand

1. dollars. A total of a hundred and fifty-three thousand dollars.  
2. I move for a favorable roll call.  
3. PRESIDENT:  
4. Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate  
5. Bill 1266 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed  
6. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?  
7. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,  
8. the Ayes are 47, the Nays are none, 1 Voting Present. Senate  
9. Bill 1266 having received the constitutional majority is  
10. declared passed. Senator Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you  
11. arise?  
12. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:  
13. ...Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.  
14. I rise on a point of personal privilege. We have a hundred  
15. and eighty students here from the Central Junior High in Zion,  
16. Illinois with their teachers, Bill Belarugo, Elaine Collins,  
17. John January, Joyce Weicker, Richard Johnson, Greg Seimeyer,  
18. Debbie Scott, Carolyn Keller with their tour guide, Linda  
19. Posture. We welcome them here to the Senate of Illinois.  
20. They're up in the upper gallery. Let's give them a hand.  
21. PRESIDENT:  
22. Welcome. Will our guests in the gallery please rise and  
23. be recognized. All right. With leave of the Body we will go  
24. to page 45 on the Calendar. The Order of House Bills, 3rd  
25. reading. There is a house bill of some emergency nature for  
26. the Department of Agriculture. Is leave granted? On the Order  
27. of House Bills, 3rd reading, House Bill 1081. Read the bill,  
28. Mr. Secretary.  
29. SECRETARY:  
30. House Bill 1081.  
31. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
32. 3rd reading of the bill.  
33. PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Coffey.

2. SENATOR COFFEY:

3. Mr. President and members of the Senate. House Bill 1081

4. is a bill of an emergency nature. As amended to the...it

5. appropriates sixty thousand additional funds for indemnities

6. for a destructive...for destruction of livestock with brucellosis.

7. This is a transfer from line items in the FY'79 appropriation.

8. At the present time we have approximately a hundred and sixty-

9. two cows who have...are reactors and cannot be sent to slaughter

10. until this bill is passed and funds are appropriated. Part two

11. of this bill appropriates twenty-five thousand dollars to pay

12. for reimbursements of overtime for grain inspectors. This is

13. a cost that will be reimbursed when the inspections are requested

14. by the operators so this money will also be returned to us and

15. I ask a favorable roll call on this bill.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall

18. House Bill 1081 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those

19. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted

20. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that

21. question, the Ayes are 51, the Nays are none, none Voting

22. Present. House Bill 1081 having received the constitutional

23. majority is declared passed. Senator Vadalabene, for what

24. purpose do you arise?

25. SENATOR VADALABENE:

26. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I move that the Senate

27. resolve itself into...Executive Session.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. You've heard the motion. All in favor signify by saying

30. Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The Senate is now in

31. Executive Session. Senator Vadalabene.

32. SENATOR VADALABENE:

33. Yes, Mr. President, the reason for resolving ourselves

1. into Executive Session was for the purpose of acting on one  
2. of the Governor's appointments set forth in the Governor's  
3. Message of...of April 18, 1979. The individual could not  
4. appear at our hearing because of a death in the family. All  
5. of his papers are in order and the board he is to serve on  
6. /needs to have him confirmed to expedite the...board's business.  
7. So with that explanation, Mr. President, with respect to the  
8. Governor's Message of April 18, 1979 I will read the name of  
9. the unsalaried appointment that the Committee on Executive  
10. Appointments and Administration recommends that the Senate  
11. advise and consent to and after reading the name, I intend to  
12. ask leave to consider the name of the...unsalaried appointment  
13. on a...on a voice vote unless any Senator has objection to  
14. the name.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. You've heard the request. Is leave granted? Leave is  
17. granted. Senator Vadalabene.

18. SENATOR VADALABENE:

19. To be a member of the Health Facilities Planning Board  
20. for a term indicated, which expires June 30th, 1980, Bernard  
21. Weiner of Kankakee. And, Mr. President, having read the  
22. name of the unsalaried appointment, I...now seek leave to  
23. consider the name on a voice vote unless some Senator has  
24. objection to this name.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. You've heard the request. Is leave granted? Leave is  
27. granted. Senator Vadalabene.

28. SENATOR VADALABENE:

29. Will you put the question as required by our rules?

30. PRESIDENT:

31. The question is, does the Senate advise and consent to  
32. the nomination just made? Those in favor will vote Aye. Those  
33. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted

1. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On  
2. that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none  
3. Voting Present. The majority of the Senators elect, concurring  
4. by record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the  
5. nomination just made. Senator Vadalabene.

6. SENATOR VADALABENE:

7. Yes, Mr. President, I now move that the Senate arise  
8. from Executive Session.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. You've heard the motion. All in favor signify by saying  
11. Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The Senate does now arise.  
12. Senator Graham, for what purpose do you arise?

13. SENATOR GRAHAM:

14. I think on a point of information that might be of import  
15. to many people. Senate Bill 945, which is reposing on 3rd reading  
16. yesterday on the Calendar is not there today. Now, it seems  
17. like we've just voted on 1081 a little while ago and I don't  
18. see it on my Calendar either.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. That was a house bill.

21. SENATOR GRAHAM:

22. House bill...

23. PRESIDENT:

24. That's...that's...

25. SENATOR GRAHAM:

26. ...but...Senate Bill 945 is not on the Calendar. It was  
27. on yesterday, 3rd reading. Today it is not shown and it's  
28. one of those supplemental bills that we wanted to do something  
29. with this week.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. I thought we passed that out yesterday.

32. SENATOR GRAHAM:

33. Did we?

1. PRESIDENT:

2. I think so.

3. SENATOR GRAHAM:

4. I wasn't around...Our man screwed me up a little bit.

5. I'm sorry.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. That happens. This administration has been known to do  
8. that. Senator Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you arise?

9. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

10. Mr. President, will I be in order now to take one of  
11. my bills from 3rd reading and taking it back to 2nd?

12. RESIDENT:

13. We are in the process...

14. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

15. Oh. All right.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. ...frankly, there are...

18. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

19. 366 is the bill.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. ...There are approximately thirty bills to be recalled  
22. for purposes of amendment. We have a list of about thirty  
23. requests to recall bills. If any members have bills on 3rd  
24. reading that they wish to have recalled for purpose of an  
25. amendment, it would be helpful if the amendment was now filed  
26. so we can put this list together and we will attempt to expedite  
27. this procedure.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29. For what purpose does Senator Rock arise?

30. SENATOR ROCK:

31. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
32. Senate. If I can have the attention of the Body, there has  
33. been some interest in what the purported schedule for the

1. balance of the week will be and I would like to suggest that  
2. with leave of the Body we will work today until approximately,  
3. again, about six o'clock. I understand there are a number of  
4. important people coming to town tonight and some of the members  
5. have expressed an interest in attending, so I think six o'clock  
6. ...if we can work from now until six, we'll be fine. Tomorrow,  
7. of course, there are committee meetings in the morning and we  
8. will begin the Session at noon and, again, work until about  
9. six o'clock and I am suggesting and will request of those  
10. committees that were scheduled for Friday morning, that they  
11. be postponed or put over so that we can begin the Floor Session  
12. at nine o'clock on Friday and, hopefully, get everybody out  
13. of here relatively early. If there's no serious objection, I  
14. think I will suggest that as the schedule for the balance of  
15. the week. Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate,  
16. I wonder if we might now go to the Order of 3rd reading for  
17. the purpose of recalling those bills that the members have  
18. filed amendments on?

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20. Is there leave to go to that order of business? Before  
21. we do that, Senator Rock...Senator Chew, for what purpose  
22. do you arise?

23. SENATOR CHEW:

24. Mr. President, I have a bill...a resolution that has  
25. come over from the House and I'd like to...to move it, please.  
26. House Joint Resolution...it's on the Consent Calendar. 49, I  
27. think.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29. House Joint Resolution 49 was sponsored in the Senate  
30. by Senator McLendon and in his absence Senator Chew has sought  
31. leave to handle that and sought leave to suspend the rules for  
32. the immediate consideration and adoption of HJR 49, which is  
33. on the Consent Calendar. It is a congratulatory resolution

SB 48  
Recalled  
5-16-79

1. needed by the sponsor by Friday. Is there leave to suspend
2. the rules for the immediate consideration and adoption? Leave
3. is granted. On the motion to adopt, all in favor say Aye.
4. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. HJR 49 is adopted. Is there
5. leave to go to the Order of business of those bills on 3rd
6. reading, which...which need to be recalled for an amendment?
7. Leave is granted that order of business. Senator Donnewald
8. moves that the following bills be returned to the Order of
9. 2nd reading for the purpose of amendments. Senate Bills 48
10. and I would call the attention to the sponsors, if you're not
11. on this list you're bill will not be recalled unless you bring
12. it to the attention of the Chair. Senate Bills 48, 133,
13. 181, 207, 274, 304, 366, 447, 459, 501, 503, 509, 529, 536,
14. 552, 566, 603, 630, 652, 665, 692, 696, 718, 751, 781, 782,
15. 788, 799, 805, 807, 811, 862, 971, 1146, 1147, 1164, 1167,
16. 1219, 1262, 1271, 1314, 1335, 1394, 1423, 1429, 431, 433,
17. 228, 888, 273, 275, 1225. The motion is that those bills
18. be returned to the Order of 2nd reading. All in favor say
19. Aye. Opposed Nay and Senator Donnewald's motion carries. Those
20. bills are on the Order of 2nd reading. Is there leave that
21. Senator Donnewald's amendment...motion be amended to include
22. Senate Bill 922 and 1248? Leave is granted. Those bills are
23. also on the Order of 2nd reading. For what purpose does
24. Senator Mitchler arise?
25. SENATOR MITCHLER:
26. Is 14...Senate Bill 1429 on that order?
27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
28. Affirmative. Is there leave that Senate Bill 1251 be
29. moved back to the Order of 2nd reading, also? Leave is granted.
30. 1251 is on the Order of 2nd reading with the other bills mentioned.
31. Senate Bill 48. On the Order of 2nd reading. Are there amend-
32. ments from the Floor, Mr. Secretary?
33. SECRETARY:



SB  
133  
5/16/79  
2nd Reading

11

1. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Lemke.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3. Is Senator Lemke on the Floor? Senator Lemke, we have  
4. an amendment on...on Senate Bill 48...physician referral on  
5. abortion? Quilty of a Class 2 felony on referral. I  
6. don't know...Mr. Secretary, can you read...May we have some  
7. order, please. Mr. Secretary, would you read the amendment.

8. SECRETARY:

9. (Secretary reads Amendment No. 1)

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

11. All right. Senator Lemke on Amendment No. 1 to Senate  
12. Bill 48.

13. SENATOR LEMKE:

14. What this does is makes it a Class 4 felony instead of  
15. Class II felony. It lessens the...the criminal penalty. I  
16. ask for its adoption.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion?  
19. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The  
20. amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

21. SECRETARY:

22. No further amendments.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

24. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 181. Are there amendments...  
25. On the Order of 2nd reading, are there amendments? I'm sorry.  
26. Senate Bill 133, on the Order of 2nd reading. Are there  
27. amendments from the Floor?

28. SECRETARY:

29. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Nimrod.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. Senator Nimrod is recognized on Amendment No. 1.

32. SENATOR NIMROD:

33. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. This amendment is in

1. conformity with Judiciary II Committee, which had requested  
2. some additional items for clarification. The one amendment,  
3. which was the one presented by the clinical psychologists to  
4. make it conform with the Mental Health Code provisions that  
5. we passed them last year and secondly, is to make those  
6. necessary changes to address this bill to conform to the  
7. Class X provisions that were passed in previous years. There  
8. is one other condition that's added to this and that's when  
9. the bona fide doubt of the defendants fitness has been raised,  
10. the burden of proof proving that defendant is fit by a profound-  
11. ness of evidence is...with the evidence on the State and that  
12. one change was made and I would be glad to answer any questions.  
13. If not, I move for the adoption of this amendment.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion  
16. to the motion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes  
17. have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Are there further Floor  
18. amendments?

19. SECRETARY:

20. No...no further amendments.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

22. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1...Senate Bill 181, Senator  
23. Schaffer. Are there Floor amendments to Senate Bill 181 on  
24. the Order of 2nd reading?

25. SECRETARY:

26. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Schaffer.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Senator Schaffer is recognized.

29. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

30. Mr. President, when the Department of Revenue filled out  
31. their fiscal note on this, they suggested a better procedure...  
32. a less expensive procedure to keep track of Motor Fuel Tax  
33. collected. This amendment, in effect, puts that simplified

1. procedure into the bill.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3. The motion is to adopt...the motion is to adopt Amendment

4. No. 1 to 181 Senate Bill. Is there discussion to the motion?

5. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The

6. amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

7. SECRETARY:

8. No further amendments.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 207, Senator Lemke. Are there

11. amendments, Mr. Secretary?

12. SECRETARY:

13. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Lemke.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. Is Senator Lemke on the Floor? Your amendment, Senator.

16. Amendment No. 2. Can you explain it?

17. SENATOR LEMKE:

18. That's an amendment from the staff, which corrects...the

19. ...this is Amendment No. 2. Right?

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

21. ...to correct.

22. SENATOR LEMKE:

23. To correct Amendment No. 1. There was some errors in

24. there. I ask for its adoption.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Is there

27. discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes

28. have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further

29. amendments?

30. SECRETARY:

31. No further amendments.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 228 by Senator Rhoads. On the

1. Order of 2nd reading. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary?

2. SECRETARY:

3. Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Maitland.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Senator Maitland to explain Amendment No. 4. May we  
6. have some order, please. We're...we are exceptionally noisy  
7. today. If we can get a little order, we can run these a  
8. little faster. Senator Maitland.

9. SENATOR MAITLAND:

10. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
11. Senate. Amendment No. 4 says that the...the commission may  
12. not approve a scholarship for a grant application received  
13. after October 1 for the beginning term of the academic year  
14. and the commission may also not accept application for a  
15. scholarship for grant...for the term beginning after January 1  
16. of the new calendar year received after February 15.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 4. Is there discussion?  
19. Senator Rhoads.

20. SENATOR RHOADS:

21. ...briefly, Mr. President, to say that I support the  
22. amendment. This does slightly expand the subject matter of  
23. the bill, but this helps out Senator Maitland for a school in  
24. his district and I do support the amendment.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Further...Move the adoption of Amendment No. 4. Is there  
27. discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have  
28. it and Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

29. SECRETARY:

30. No further amendments.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. 3rd reading. Gentlemen...and Ladies, if I might have your  
33. attention at this point because of the problems with the

50-2518  
50-2518  
50-2518

1. Secretary's office and the confusion around the front desk,  
2. we will no longer accept any more amendments to bills that  
3. wish to be recalled from 3rd until we have gone through all  
4. the people who came to the Secretary as we had told the order  
5. of business tomorrow...yesterday. We will do that at the  
6. end of the day. Again, we may go back to 3rd recalls, but what  
7. we have now will keep us busy for over two and a half hours and  
8. I think that that would be sufficient for today, so...no more  
9. amendments unless they are down already. Is there leave to  
10. recall Senate Bill 237 to the Order of 2nd reading? Leave is  
11. granted. Senate Bill 237. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary?  
12. SECRETARY:

13. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Netsch.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. Senator Netsch to explain Amendment No. 3.

16. SECRETARY:

17. Thirty-five dollar one, Senator Netsch.

18. SENATOR NETSCH:

19. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and Mr. Secretary. This is  
20. the bill that deals with the coin operated amusement devices.  
21. Senator Walsh and I have discussed the matter. We are fully  
22. aware of the fact that many of you have received many phone  
23. calls from retailers and others in your community saying that  
24. somehow a...an increase to thirty-five dollars in the per  
25. device license was too high, although, in fact, there has  
26. not been an increase for...since 1963 and so we have consulted  
27. between ourselves and have decided that we will try to relieve  
28. some of the pressure on you by making the increase to only  
29. twenty-five dollars. Now, that is...Gentlemen, that's my last  
30. offer. That's as far as we will go down. It's twenty-five  
31. dollars per machine. Now, I point out, again, that under the  
32. old law it was per slot. Admittedly, it was not being...  
33. enforced that way, but that is exactly what the law said. This

1. is now per machine and the increase is now to twenty-five  
2. dollars. I would offer or I would move the adoption of  
3. Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 237.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Is there discussion? Senator Chew and for the...for  
6. the assistance of the Chair, since we are so noisy today and  
7. we are moving rapidly, if someone wishes to comment on one  
8. of these amendments, if you would make some exclamation to  
9. attract the attention of the Chair in case I miss you, we'll  
10. have a full and impartial discussion of all these amendments.  
11. Senator Chew.

12. SENATOR CHEW:

13. One or two questions to Senator Netsch.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. Indicates that she will yield. Senator Chew.

16. SENATOR CHEW:

17. Senator, you're all over proposal was to increase it to  
18. thirty-five dollars including the old charge?

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20. Senator Netsch.

21. SENATOR NETSCH:

22. I...I didn't really hear your question. It's very  
23. noisy over here, but I think you were asking me what was  
24. the original bill or what is it as I am now amending it? Let  
25. me just go back a step. We put the bill in to increase it  
26. very significantly to two hundred dollars. We just...we  
27. realized that that was simply too much and was unreasonable  
28. and so Senator Walsh and I, on our own motion in committee,  
29. reduced that amount to fifty dollars per machine and we are  
30. now...and then we reduced it to thirty-five dollars machine  
31. and now twenty-five dollars and Senator Chew, that's it.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Senator Chew.

1. SENATOR CHEW:

2. Senator, you...you might say that's it for you. That's  
3. the vote that you control, but that doesn't have to be the  
4. last offer. I've gotten a lot of inquiries on this bill and  
5. somewhere and possibly you can help me, what is the per machine  
6. now?

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. Senator Netsch.

9. SENATOR NETSCH:

10. The present law does not read per machine. It reads  
11. per slot and it's ten dollars per slot and that means that  
12. if a...a particular device had two slots, they ought to be  
13. paying twenty dollars. If it had three slots, they ought  
14. to be paying thirty dollars.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

16. Senator Chew.

17. SENATOR CHEW:

18. If...if they have three slots now, what will they...be  
19. paying? Seventy-five dollars?

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

21. Senator Netsch.

22. SENATOR NETSCH:

23. No. Under the bill as it will now be amended, they will  
24. be paying thirty-five...I'm sorry, twenty-five dollars per  
25. machine regardless of the number of slots. It...we have  
26. changed the base for measuring the license fee from per  
27. slot to per machine, which we think makes a great deal more  
28. sense. So, we have, indeed, increased the total fee, which  
29. is the first increase since 1963, but we have eliminated the...  
30. the former method of measuring the license fee by the number  
31. of slots. It has simply made it per device or per machine.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Senator Chew.

1. SENATOR CHEW:
2. In other words, to have a machine, your cost is what?
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
4. Senator Netsch.
5. SENATOR NETSCH:
6. Twenty-five dollars.
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
8. Senator Chew. Is there further discussion of Amendment
9. No. 3? The motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed
10. Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Are there
11. further amendments?
12. SECRETARY:
13. Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Netsch.
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
15. Senator Netsch on Amendment No. 4.
16. SENATOR NETSCH:
17. Thank you, Mr. President. This is an immediate effective
18. date amendment, which was requested by the Republican staff.
19. It has to do with the usual schedule for the licensing and the
20. renewal and the department, I believe, initiated the request to
21. the staff pointing out that we would end up...a good many of
22. the license renewals take place in July and August and if we
23. did not have this immediate effective date we would, in effect,
24. lose more than a year on the increase in the license fee. So
25. it is an immediate effective date amendment. I would move the
26. adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 237.
27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
28. The motion is to adopt. Is there discussion? All in
29. favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it and Amendment
30. No. 4 is adopted. Are there further amendments?
31. SECRETARY:
32. No further amendments.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)



1. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 273, Senator Gitz. On the  
2. Order of 2nd reading. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary,  
3. from the Floor?  
4. SECRETARY:  
5. No amendments.  
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
7. Senator Gitz.  
8. SENATOR GITZ:  
9. Mr. President, the amendment has just come back.  
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
11. Fine. It was on the Order...if you'll bring the amendment  
12. down.  
13. SECRETARY:  
14. It will be Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Gitz.  
15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
16. Senator Gitz to explain Amendment No. 1.  
17. SENATOR GITZ:  
18. Senate Bill 273 is the creation of a separate Road and  
19. Bridge Construction Fund is an attempt within the existing  
20. Road Fund to provide a mechanism whereby we can earmark  
21. Construction Funds. This amendment corrects certain deficiencies  
22. in the initial bill. It also adds a prohibition against the  
23. Treasurer and Comptroller from dispersing money except when it  
24. comes from this account when it deals with Road Funds and that's  
25. the gist of the amendment.  
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
27. You've heard the explanation of Amendment No. 1. Is there  
28. discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have  
29. it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Are there further amendments?  
30. SECRETARY:  
31. No further amendments.  
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
33. Okay. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 274. Senator Gitz. Are

1. amendments, Mr. Secretary? Senator Gitz to explain Amendment No.1.

2. SENATOR GITZ:

3. Amendment No. 1...Senate Bill 274 is an attempt to end over  
4. a period of time diversions from the Road Fund for nonconstruction  
5. related purposes for other agencies. Example, being Law Enforce-  
6. ment. The amendment to Senate Bill 274 does two things. Number  
7. one, the year of fiscal year enactment will be Fiscal Year 1981.  
8. This is to give the department time to address. Secondly, it  
9. phases out the diversions over a four year period of time instead  
10. of three. The first year diversions will be all of those  
11. indentified and put forth in the Auditor General's report. That  
12. will take place in Fiscal Year 1981. The second phase out will  
13. take the other elements of the bill, which total two hundred  
14. million dollars a year in diversions and phase them out over  
15. a four year period of time.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. The motion is to adopt. Is there discussion? All in  
18. favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment  
19. No. 1 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

20. SECRETARY:

21. No further amendments.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 275, Senator Gitz. Are there  
24. amendments, Mr. Secretary?

25. SECRETARY:

26. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Gitz.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Senator Gitz to explain Amendment No. 1.

29. SENATOR GITZ:

30. Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 275 would change somewhat the  
31. allocation of Sales Tax receipts on gasoline. It was discovered  
32. it would be very complicated to try to keep track of the  
33. accounting procedures of only Sales Tax receipt on gasoline.

1. Taking a leaf from the Transportation Study Commission this  
2. bill would impose, roughly, the same rate of four percent on  
3. the Sales Tax deposited in the General Treasury and two cents  
4. of that tax would be distributed to Local Government. It also  
5. changes the effective date of this bill to the next fiscal  
6. year instead of the present fiscal year.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. You've heard the explanation of Amendment No. 1. Is  
9. there discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The  
10. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

11. SECRETARY:

12. No further amendments.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

14. 3rd reading. For what purpose does Senator Washington  
15. arise?

16. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

17. Mr. President, on a point of personal privilege.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

19. State your point.

20. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

21. In the rear balcony behind me there are members of the  
22. Illinois and Lincoln Dental Society who are touring Springfield  
23. and observing the august Bodies in action. I wonder if we could  
24. give them a standing applause.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Would our guests please rise and be recognized by the  
27. Senate. All right. Senator Maitland. Senate Bill 274.  
28. Senate Bill 366, Senator Geo-Karis. For what purpose does  
29. Senator D'Arco arise?

30. SENATOR D'ARCO:

31. I had a...an amendment on 301. Was it...

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Senator, did you talk to Senator Rock about it?

1. SENATOR D'ARCO:

2. It's been filed for three days.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

4. Well, if I might have the attention of the Body. Gentlemen,  
5. would you please...we have had three Senators come up and try  
6. to move bills that are on 2nd reading. We are only handling  
7. bills presently on 3rd reading that we are recalling to 2nd  
8. reading, so we will get to 2nd reading bills later on in the  
9. day and it would help the Secretary if you would not bring  
10. your amendments up for bills on 2nd reading until a little  
11. later because it is very confusing to the Secretary. 366,  
12. Senator Geo-Karis.

13. SECRETARY:

14. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Geo-Karis.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

16. Senator Geo-Karis.

17. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

18. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.  
19. Having voted on the prevailing side on Amendment No. 2 I  
20. would like to move to reconsider the vote by which it was  
21. passed.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. The motion is to reconsider the vote by which Amendment  
24. No. 2 was adopted. Senator, you voted on the prevailing side?  
25. The motion is to reconsider. All in favor say Aye. Opposed  
26. Nay. The Ayes have it. The motion to adopt is reconsidered.  
27. Senator Geo-Karis now moves to Table Amendment No. 2. All in  
28. favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment  
29. No. 2 is...is Tabled. Further amendments, Mr. Secretary?

30. SECRETARY:

31. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Geo-Karis.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Senator Geo-Karis on Amendment No. 3.

1. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

2. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.  
3. Amendment No. 3 is identical to No. 2 except that I have added  
4. the line, however, such person is not...eligible to participate  
5. in the Fireman's Pension Fund until he is appointed. I did  
6. that as a matter of clarity when Senator Rock pointed it out  
7. to me yesterday. It might be construed otherwise, so that  
8. doesn't have any misconstruction, I think now this amendment  
9. is perfectly clear and I move for its adoption.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

11. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 3. All in favor  
12. say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3  
13. is adopted. Further amendments?

14. SECRETARY:

15. No further amendments.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 431, Senator Nash. Are there  
18. amendments, Mr. Secretary? Senator Nash.

19. SENATOR NASH:

20. Mr. President, I ask leave and ask that the Amendment  
21. No. 1 to Senate Bill 431 be Tabled.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. The motion by Senator Nash is having voted on the prevailing  
24. side, he now moves to reconsider the vote by which Amendment  
25. No. 1 was adopted. Is there discussion to the motion? All in  
26. favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The motion to  
27. reconsider is adopted. Now, Senator Nash moves to Table  
28. Amendment No. 1. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes  
29. have it. Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Further amendments, Mr.

30. Secretary?

31. SECRETARY:

32. No further amendments.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1. 3rd reading. Senator Nash asks leave of the Senate to  
2. return Senate Bill 432 to the Order of 2nd reading for the  
3. purpose of amendment. Is there leave? Leave is granted.  
4. The bill is on the Order of 2nd reading. Senate Bill 432.  
5. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary? Senator Nash on 432.  
6. Are there amendments?  
7. SENATOR NASH:  
8. Yes. I move that...  
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
10. Are you going to add amendments...  
11. SENATOR NASH:  
12. No. Table amendment...  
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
14. Okay. Okay.  
15. SENATOR NASH:  
16. Table Amendment No. 1.  
17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
18. The motion is to reconsider the vote by which Amendment  
19. No. 1 was adopted. Is there discussion? Senator Rhoads.  
20. SENATOR RHOADS:  
21. Just briefly, a question of the sponsor.  
22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
23. He indicates he will yield.  
24. SENATOR RHOADS:  
25. Senator Nash, could you give us a brief explanation of  
26. what you're Tabling and why? I meant to ask you that question  
27. on 431, but...  
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
29. Senator Nash.  
30. SENATOR NASH:  
31. Amendment No. 1, which increased the widow's benefits  
32. payments from five hundred to six hundred dollars a month.  
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1. Senator Rhoads.

2. SENTOAR RHOADS:

3. Well, that's the whole bill, isn't it?

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Senator Nash.

6. SENATOR NASH:

7. No, Senator Rhoads. The bill is that making chronic

8. alcoholism an illness.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Senator Rhoads. Further discussion of the motion to

11. reconsider? The motion is to reconsider. All in favor say

12. Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The adoption of

13. Amendment No. 1 is reconsidered. Senator Nash now moves to

14. Table Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion of the motion

15. to Table? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes

16. have it. Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Are there further

17. amendments?

18. SECRETARY:

19. No further amendments.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

21. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 433, Senator Nash. Are there

22. amendments, Mr. Secretary?

23. SECRETARY:

24. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Nash.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Senator Nash to explain Amendment No. 1.

27. SENATOR NASH:

28. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate...

29. Amendment No. 1 changes the words "purchasing agent" to

30. "board of commissions" in the Purchasing Act of the Metropolitan

31. Sanitary District.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion?

1. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it.
2. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Are there further amendments?
3. SECRETARY:
4. No further amendments.
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
6. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 447.
- 7.
- 8.
9. (END OF REEL)
- 10.
- 11.
- 12.
- 13.
- 14.
- 15.
- 16.
- 17.
- 18.
- 19.
- 20.
- 21.
- 22.
- 23.
- 24.
- 25.
- 26.
- 27.
- 28.
- 29.
- 30.
- 31.
- 32.
- 33.



1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

2. ....7, Senator Wooten. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary?

3. SECRETARY:

4. Amendment No. 5, offered by Senator DeAngelis.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. Senator DeAngelis is recognized on Amendment No. 5.

7. SENATOR DeANGELIS:

8. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 5 exempts any  
9. unit of local government with the Retail Food Sanitation Ordinance,  
10. or who contracts with the Health Department for that particular  
11. service from being covered under this bill.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. The motion is to adopt it. Is there discussion? Senator  
14. Wooten.

15. SENATOR WOOTEN:

16. Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in opposition to this  
17. amendment, which would have the effect of undoing the intricate  
18. series of compromises we've been through to get this bill in an  
19. acceptable posture to all parties concerned-the units of local  
20. government, the Restaurant Association, the Department of Public  
21. Health, everybody has gone through some give and take in this  
22. bill- the City of Chicago, Cook County. This would simply  
23. take the whole thing apart, and I would resist this amendment,  
24. ask that it be rejected.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Senator Weaver.

27. SENATOR WEAVER:

28. Well, Mr. President, Senator Wooten, is this not now what  
29. is done? If there is a County Health Department, then they handle  
30. their own inspections, etc., within that county or within the  
31. city? What difference is it as long as it's done, and the standards  
32. are the same?

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Wooten.

1. SENATOR WOOTEN:

2. As the bill stands now, there is an obligation to do this.  
3. If you adopt the amendment, it's just a whim of the unit of  
4. local government. The whole tilt of the bill is changed. The  
5. bill as it stands now says that we will adopt standards across  
6. the state, and they will apply, but units of local government  
7. who wish to run the program themselves, do so. They contribute  
8. to the composition of the rules. We all get together, we set  
9. up an advisory council that involves the industry, and it  
10. applies everywhere. Then, if you want to administer the  
11. program, and all units I've contacted indicate that's what  
12. they want to do, then they do notify the state, and they run  
13. it-they collect the fees, they do the inspection, they do it  
14. all. This says the program does not apply. It just does not  
15. apply to units of local government, and it just changes the  
16. whole tilt of the bill.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Further discussion on motion to adopt. Senator DeAngelis  
19. may close.

20. SENATOR DeANGELIS:

21. I think that if there's 1 message that we in the legislature  
22. have been getting constantly, it's coming from 2 places- the  
23. private sector, and other units of government, and that message  
24. is "Stay out of our lives." Now whereas I agreed there is a  
25. necessity where there is no jurisdiction or no authoritative  
26. body performing this act, this bill does not do it. It permits  
27. those areas who currently have those particular departments to  
28. perform their duty to continue doing so, and it keep the legis-  
29. lature out of it. Now, I think frequently we get really misled  
30. by saying that there is no uniformity. Well, uniformity can  
31. mean 3 things. It could mean better. It's like the forward  
32. pass. It could mean better, which is a good thing, but it could  
33. also mean mediocrity, or the lowering of a current standard, !

1. and I think there are many municipal governments who have  
2. standards that are vigorously pursued who have better control  
3. over the policing of this particular function than state  
4. agencies. I ask for the passage of this amendment.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. Senator Wooten, do you wish a roll call, or...  
7. Senator Wooten.

8. SENATOR WOOTEN:

9. If it's necessary, yes.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

11. All those in favor of the adoption, say "Aye".  
12. Opposed "Nay". It is the opinion of the Chair that the  
13. Negatives have it. The motion...There has been a request for  
14. a roll call. Those in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 5  
15. will vote Aye, those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open.  
16. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the  
17. record. On that question, the Ayes are 30, the Nays are 27.  
18. Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

19. SECRETARY:

20. No further amendments.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

22. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 459, Senator Coffey. Are  
23. there amendments, Mr. Secretary?

24. SECRETARY:

25. Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Coffey.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. Senator Coffey on Amendment No. 2.

28. SENATOR COFFEY:

29. Mr. President and members of the Senate, first of all,  
30. Senate Bill 459 is authorizing corporate hauling between parent  
31. companies and subsidiaries, and repeals the requirements for  
32. issuance of displaying of decals. Amendment No. 2, and I hope  
33. I have the right amendment. We have 2 amendments. Legislative  
Intent?

1. SECRETARY:

2. Yes.

3. SENATOR COFFEY:

4. ...Amendment No. 2 is the Legislative Intent of this piece  
5. of legislation, and the reason we're introducing this amendment  
6. is that, hopefully, to solve some of the problems the Illinois  
7. Commerce Commission had with the bill. They felt that there  
8. could be potential loss of revenue with this bill, and so we  
9. put in this Legislative Intent to show in good faith that the  
10. legislature is not intending for there to be a loss of revenue.  
11. If in fact there would happen to be on the 1st year, there is  
12. approximately \$3,000,000 in the fund that would pick up that  
13. loss, and we don't really think there will be, but there has  
14. been some discrepancy between some of the different people  
15. involved. I'd ask a favorable roll call on Amendment No. 2.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Is there discussion?  
18. All in favor say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment  
19. No. 2 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

20. SECRETARY:

21. Amendment No. 3, offered by Senator Coffey.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. Senator Coffey.

24. SENATOR COFFEY:

25. Mr. President, members of the Senate, Amendment No. 2  
26. removes the reference to incorporate hauling at the request of  
27. the Teamsters, and who hopefully after this amendment will be  
28. in support of the bill also, and I'd ask your favorable roll  
29. call.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. You've heard the motion to adopt. Is there discussion?  
32. All in favor say Aye, opposed Nay, the Ayes have it. Amendment  
33. No. 3 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

1. No further amendments.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 501, Senator Demuzio. Are  
4. there amendments, Mr. Secretary?

5. SECRETARY:

6. Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Demuzio.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. Senator Demuzio is recognized.

9. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

10. Thank you, Mr. President. In committee, there was some  
11. question as to the minimum salary bill of schoolteachers, and  
12. at the request of various school superintendents and board  
13. members that testified in committee on this bill, specifically  
14. the Illinois Association of School Boards and the Illinois  
15. Association of School Administrators. This amendment would  
16. allow those dollars that are currently being paid by local  
17. boards of education for teacher retirement to be counted as  
18. salary for the minimum salary purposes, and I think that with  
19. this amendment that the superintendents who were there that  
20. evening have little or no objection at this point, so I move  
21. the adoption of the amendment.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Is there dis-  
24. cussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have  
25. it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

26. SECRETARY:

27. No further amendments.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 503, Senator Chew. Is Senator  
30. Chew on the Floor? All right, Senate Bill 509, Senator  
31. Demuzio. Read..Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary?

32. SECRETARY:

33. Amendment No. 2, by Senator Demuzio.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1. Senator Demuzio is recognized.

2. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

3. Yeah, thank you Mr. President. I want to table Amendment  
4. No. 1.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. The motion is to reconsider the vote by which Amendment  
7. No. 1 was adopted. You've heard the motion. All in favor  
8. say Aye, opposed, Nay: The Ayes have it. The motion to re-  
9. consider prevails. Senator Demuzio now moves to table Amend-  
10. ment No. 1. All in favor say Aye, opposed, Nay. The Ayes have  
11. it. Amendment No. 1 is tabled. Senator Demuzio on Amendment  
12. No...2.

13. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

14. All right, on Amendment No. 2, this replacement amendment  
15. creates a separate penalty section for disclosure violations  
16. under the Illinois Consumer Finance Act. The penalty offered  
17. by this amendment would make it the same penalty as imposed  
18. for the same violation under the true...the Federal Truth and  
19. Lending Act, and I ask for the adoption of this amendment.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

21. You've heard the motion to adopt. Is there discussion?  
22. All in favor say Aye, opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment  
23. No. 2 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

24. SECRETARY:

25. No further amendments.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 529, Senator Graham. Are there  
28. amendments, Mr. Secretary?

29. SECRETARY:

30. Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Berman.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. Senator Berman is recognized on Amendment No. 2.

33. SENATOR BERMAN:

SB 552  
Reactive  
5-16-79

1. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 is an amend-  
2. ment to clarify an area of confusion in the provisions as to  
3. who can vote for school trustees. I've..shown the amendment  
4. to the sponsor. He's agreeable to it, and I ask for the adoption  
5. of Amendment No. 2.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. All in..is there  
8. discussion? All in favor say Aye, opposed Nay. The Ayes have  
9. it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

10. SECRETARY:

11. No further amendments.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 529..5..Senate Bill 536, Senator  
14. Regner. Read the bill, Mr. Sec...Are there amendments?

15. SECRETARY:

16. Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Regner.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Senator Regner.

19. SENATOR REGNER:

20. Mr. President, members of the Senate, this is a bill that  
21. provides for a referendum for library districts or residents  
22. in 2 library districts, and it provides for a referendum for  
23. them to opt out of one or the other. The way that the bill is  
24. originally written it was mandatory for the referendum. What  
25. this amendment does, it makes it permissive, it changes the  
26. word "shall" to "may". I ask its adoption.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion?  
29. All in favor say Aye, opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment  
30. No. 1 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

31. SECRETARY:

32. No further amendments.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

34. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 552, Senator Berman. Are there

1. amendments, Mr. Secretary?

2. SECRETARY:

3. Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Berman.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Senator Berman is recognized.

6. SENATOR BERMAN:

7. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 is additional  
8. language that is in response to 1 of the objections raised by  
9. a school district, regarding procedures for special education  
10. due process hearings..It provides that any delay must not be  
11. caused by the child's parents or guardian. I ask the adoption  
12. of Amendment No. 2.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

14. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Is there discussion?  
15. All in favor say Aye, opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment  
16. No. 2 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

17. SECRETARY:

18. No further amendments.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 566, Senator Bloom. Is  
21. Senator Bloom on the Floor? For what purpose does Senator  
22. Berman rise?

23. SENATOR BERMAN:

24. Yes...Senator Bloom has returned. He had asked me to  
25. handle it for him in his absence, but he's back on the floor.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. All right. 55..566, are there amendments, Mr. Secretary?

28. SECRETARY:

29. We have Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Berman.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. Senator Berman is recognized.

32. SENATOR BERMAN:

33. Mr. President, having voted on the prevailing side, I move  
to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 1 was adopted.



1. Amendment 2 incorporates the language of 1, but adds additional  
2. language.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

4. What? Oh...okay. For what purpose does Senator...Is there  
5. discussion on the motion to adopt? Senator Berning.

6. SENATOR BERNING:

7. Well, Mr. President, the number that is on the board is  
8. 2 actions prior to this. The last bill that was considered by  
9. Senator Berman was not 536, but that's the number that was showing,  
10. and it was showing up until just a moment ago.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

12. Calendar..the board correctly reflects 566, in case anyone  
13. is concerned, the official record is down here. The board is  
14. just for the information of the members. The official record  
15. is kept by the secretary. On a motion to adopt Amendment No.  
16. 1, is there discussion? All in favor say Aye, opposed Nay.  
17. The Ayes have it...Oh..my apologies. The motion is to table...  
18. reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 1 was adopted. Is  
19. there discussion? All in favor say Aye, opposed Nay. The Ayes  
20. have it. The vote by which Amendment No. 1 was..is reconsidered.  
21. Motion to table Amendment No. 1 by Senator Berman. Is there  
22. discussion? All in favor say Aye, opposed Nay. The Ayes have  
23. it. Amendment No. 1 is tabled. Further amendments, Mr. Secretary?

24. SECRETARY:

25. Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Berman.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. Senator Berman.

28. SENATOR BERMAN:

29. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 is language that  
30. spells out the rights of the teachers in Chicago regarding moving  
31. the retirement age from 65 to 70, and also includes the language  
32. that was in the original Amendment No. 1. I ask the adoption  
33. of Senate Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Is there dis-  
2. cussion? All in favor say Aye, opposed Nay. The Ayes have it.  
3. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Are there further amendments?  
4. SECRETARY:  
5. No further amendments.  
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
7. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 603, Senator Nash. Is Senator  
8. Nash on the Floor? National Delegate Selection? All right.  
9. Take..take it out of the record. Senator Nash, do you wish to  
10. leave it on the order of 2nd reading?  
11. SENATOR NASH:  
12. Yes.  
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
14. All right. The bill will remain on the order of 2nd reading.  
15. Senator Nash, for the..ease of the calendar, can we just move  
16. that..if you don't wish to call it today, can we move it back  
17. to 3rd reading? If you wish to recall it later...We can handle  
18. it now, if you wish to hold it...  
19. SENATOR NASH:  
20. ....No, move it on...  
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
22. All right. Are there..are there amendments? No amendments.  
23. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 630, Senator Bruce. Are there amendments?  
24. SECRETARY:  
25. Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Bruce.  
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
27. Is there leave that Senator Maitland explain Amendment  
28. No. ...  
29. SECRETARY:  
30. No. 2, offered by Senator Maitland. Explain...  
31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
32. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Senator Maitland  
33. on Amendment No. 2.

1.  
2.  
3.  
4.  
5.  
6.  
7.  
8.  
9.  
10.  
11.  
12.  
13.  
14.  
15.  
16.  
17.  
18.  
19.  
20.  
21.  
22.  
23.  
24.  
25.  
26.  
27.  
28.  
29.  
30.  
31.  
32.  
33.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 2 to 630 simply deletes "all of".

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

You've heard the explanation. Is there discussion? All in favor say Aye, opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 652, Senator Vadalabene. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary? Caboozes and observers in..

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3, offered by Senator Vadalabene.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Vadalabene to explain Amendment No. 3. Would a page please go to Senator Vadalabene's desk? Senator Vadalabene on Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 652..quotes; "10 employees shall run or permit to be run over its tracks outside of yard limits or transfer service, a freight train without having attached thereto a caboose car, except light engines in cases of emergency occurring on the road which will not permit compliance herewith." And I would ask for the adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 3. Is there discussion? All in favor say Aye, opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1. 3rd reading. Senator Vadalabene, do you have a motion  
2. on 385..Senate Bill to re-commit?

3. SENATOR VADALABENE:

4. Yes.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. The motion is to re-commit Senate Bill 385 to Senate Committee  
7. on Insurance. You've heard the motion to re-commit. The bill  
8. is on the order of 3rd reading. You've heard the motion to  
9. re-commit. All in favor say Aye, opposed Nay. The Ayes have  
10. it. Senate Bill 385 is re-committed to the Senate Committee  
11. on Insurance. Senate Bill 665, Senator D'Arco. Labor Agreements  
12. and Labor Organizations. Oh...He wishes to return to the order  
13. of 3rd reading?..Senator...Senator D'Arco, we're going to move  
14. that to 3rd reading..if you get your amendment, we'll recall  
15. it later today. All right..3rd reading. Senate Bill 692, Senator  
16. Rhoads. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary?

17. SECRETARY:

18. Amendment No. 3, offered by Senator Rhoads.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20. Senator Rhoads, on Amendment No. 3.

21. SENATOR RHOADS:

22. Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. This  
23. amendment was requested by the State Board of Elections. They  
24. don't feel they need the option of having a hearing at the State  
25. of Illinois Building in Chicago, so with this amendment adopted,  
26. all it would permit is for them..to hold hearings at their State  
27. Board offices here in Springfield, rather than in the Capitol  
28. Building, which was the prior requirement.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

30. You've heard the explanation of Amendment No. 3. Is there  
31. discussion. All in favor say Aye, opposed Nay. The Ayes  
32. have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Are there further amend-  
33. ments?

SECRETARY:

AB696  
Amended #1  
5-16-79

1. No further amendments.  
2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
3. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 696, Senator Knuppel. Are  
4. there amendments, Mr. Secretary?  
5. SECRETARY:  
6. Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Knuppel.  
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
8. Senator Knuppel is recognized.  
9. SENATOR KNUPPEL:  
10. Amendment No. 1 corrects or changes the date..effective  
11. date from January 1, 1980 to January 1,...July 1, 1980, and  
12. provides that the bill shall not apply to programs that are  
13. mandated by the federal government in order to secure federal  
14. funds. It also provides that the school districts will estimate..  
15. or the board of education will estimate the cost of mandated  
16. programs for school districts. That's the content of the amend-  
17. ment.  
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
19. You've heard the..Motion is to adopt. Is there  
20. discussion? All in favor say Aye, opposed Nay. The Ayes  
21. have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Are there further  
22. amendments?  
23. SECRETARY:  
24. No further amendments.  
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
26. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 718, Senator Shapiro. Are there  
27. amendments, Mr. Secretary?  
28. SECRETARY:  
29. Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Shapiro.  
30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
31. Senator Shapiro on Amendment No. 1.  
32. SENATOR SHAPIRO:  
33. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate,  
Senate Bill 718 concerns itself with the summer transportation

1. of the mentally retarded for special summer school classes.  
2. It was the opinion of the Education Committee and the sponsor  
3. of the bill that certain changes were necessary in the present  
4. act, so what the amendment does is transfer the act from the  
5. summer school section to a special ed section, and this will  
6. allow a better tracking of the funds expended in this particular  
7. area, and in addition, it also specifies that if there are not  
8. enough funds appropriated to pay for the entire program, that  
9. these funds will be pro-rated. I know of no opposition to the  
10. amendment or the bill, and I would urge adoption of Amendment  
11. No. 1 to Senate Bill 718.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion?  
14. All in favor say Aye, opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment  
15. No. 1 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

16. SECRETARY:

17. No further amendments.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

19. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 751, Senator Egan. Senator  
20. Egan, the Secretary does not have an amendment yet to Senate  
21. Bill 751. Upgrades aggravated kidnapping. May..Senator Egan,  
22. perhaps in all the confusion, we may have gotten the wrong number.  
23. Did you not wish it recalled? Senator Egan.

24. SENATOR EGAN:

25. You are correct. I'm sorry, but they have the amendment to  
26. put on a House Bill, and they are now in the process of re-drafting  
27. it to put on this bill, and I'm sorry. It's not prepared.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29. All right, we will put you on the list. If you get it before  
30. we ...

31. SENATOR EGAN:

32. Thank you very kindly.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

SB 781  
5/16/79  
Reece

- 1. leave this order of business, we will try to pick it up.
- 2. 751 will be placed on the order of 3rd reading, pending the
- 3. arrival of the amendments. 781, Senator Philip...Oh I see..
- 4. 781, are there amendments, Mr. Secretary?
- 5. SECRETARY:
- 6. Amendment No. 4, offered by Senator Berman.
- 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
- 8. Senator Berman is recognized.
- 9. SENATOR BERMAN:
- 10. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 4 would delete
- 11. from this bill, this Illinois School Problems Commission. This
- 12. is the bill that would abolish a number of Executive Advisory
- 13. Study Commissions and Committees. I ask the adoption of Amend-
- 14. ment No. 4.
- 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
- 16. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 4. Is there discussion?
- 17. All in favor say Aye, opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment
- 18. No. 4 is adopted. Are there further amendments?
- 19. SECRETARY:
- 20. Amedment No. 5, offered by Senator Coffey.
- 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
- 22. Senator..Is Senator Coffey on the Floor? I'm told he's
- 23. outside the door, if someone would...Senator, this is the bill
- 24. on Commissions, and I think that you're probably restoring
- 25. one. Senator Coffey on Amendment No. 5.
- 26. SENATOR COFFEY:
- 27. Yes, Mr. President, members of the Senate, if I get my
- 28. microphone back together here...Amendment..is this Amendment
- 29. No. 1...
- 30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
- 31. ...5...
- 32. SENATOR COFFEY:
- 33. ...Amendment No. 5 to Senate Bill 781 on page 9, it is

1. striking lines 2,3, and 4. I'd ask for your favorable roll  
2. call.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

4. With that explanation, is there discussion? All in favor  
5. say Aye, opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is  
6. adopted. Are there further amendments?

7. SECRETARY:

8. No further amendments.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. For what purpose does Senator Hall seek recognition?

11. SENATOR HALL:

12. I was sorry that you didn't get my attention. I was just  
13. interested in what commission Senator Coffey was putting back  
14. in the bill. He never made mention of that, and that's what  
15. I wanted to ask him.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. Senator Coffey.

18. SENATOR COFFEY:

19. Well, that amendment just merely put back into it the  
20. Transportation Study Commission back into the bill...I'm sorry,  
21. the Motor Vehicle Laws Commission. I'd better get that straight.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. Further discussion? Further amendments, Mr. Secretary.

24. SECRETARY:

25. No further amendments.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 788, Senator Maitland. Are there  
28. amendments, Mr. Secretary?

29. SECRETARY:

30. Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Maitland.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. Senator Maitland.

33. SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the



1. Senate. Amendment No. 1 makes 2 changes in that it...in that  
2. it exempts...land that is purchased for environmental purposes  
3. and thus would not be used for agriculture purposes, and also  
4. it exempts lease-held interests of less than 5 years. I ask  
5. the adoption.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. Senator Maitland moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1.  
8. Is there discussion? All in favor say Aye, opposed Nay. The  
9. Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Are there further  
10. amendments?

11. SECRETARY:

12. No further amendments.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

14. 3rd reading. For what purpose does Senator Berman rise?

15. SENATOR BERMAN:

16. Point of personal privilege, Mr. President. We're honored  
17. to have on the floor of the Senate today the Ward Committeeman  
18. of the 48th Ward in Chicago, and the County Commissioner from  
19. the County of Cook, Marty Tuchow, to my left.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

21. Happy to have our guest with us today. Senate Bill 5...  
22. Senate Bill 799, Senator Netsch. Mr. Secretary, are there  
23. amendments?

24. SECRETARY:

25. Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Netsch.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. Senator Netsch.

28. SENATOR NETSCH:

29. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 does 2 things.  
30. The primary purpose of it is to respond to a point that Senator  
31. Rock raised when the bill was heard in Committee. This is  
32. the Long-Term Debt Impact Note Bill, and Senator Rock had  
33. pointed out that it was not fair to ask the Clerk of the House

1. and the Secretary of the Senate to make a substantive decision  
2. as to whether or not a bill was subject to the Impact..the Debt  
3. Impact Note requirement, and so we have revised that at Senator  
4. Rock's suggestion to provide that the Chairperson of the Committee  
5. on Assignments in the House or the Chairperson of the Committee  
6. on Assignment of Bills in the Senate will be the ones to forward  
7. the bill to the Economic and Fiscal Commission. In addition, while  
8. we were dealing with that point, we realized that, in fact I  
9. think this was a question also that had been raised in Committee,  
10. that in some cases, the 7 days that are specified for the Commission  
11. to furnish the Debt Impact Note might be inadequate, and we  
12. have tracked language from the Fiscal Note Act to provide for  
13. and extension of time where appropriate. And finally, because  
14. of the structure of this bill, there was no provision for an  
15. ordinary member, if I may use that expression, of the..either  
16. House, to request a Note if it had not previously been requested,  
17. and we have now made the provision for that. So again, basically  
18. it is to respond to the point that Senator Rock raised in Committee.  
19. I would ask the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 799.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

21. The motion is to adopt. Is there discussion? All in  
22. favor say Aye, opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2  
23. is adopted. Are there further amendments?

24. SECRETARY:

25. No further amendments.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 805, Senator Buzbee. For  
28. what purpose does Senator Netsch rise?

29. SENATOR NETSCH:

30. Thank you. I'm sorry, 1 thing I forgot to say at the  
31. outset. The amendment was distributed without my name on it  
32. for which I apologize. I was not conscious of that at the time  
33. it was distributed, but it is my amendment. Thank you.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

2. Thank you. Senate Bill 805. Is Senator Buzbee on the  
3. Floor? Senator Buzbee? In the absence of Senator Buzbee, we  
4. will skip this bill, and perhaps come back to it. Are there..  
5. 3rd reading. We'll return to it upon his..return to it if we  
6. get the chance. Senate Bill 807, Senator Egan. General Assembly  
7. Retirement. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary?

8. SECRETARY:

9. Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Collins.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

11. Senator Collins, to explain Amendment No. 2.

12. SENATOR COLLINS:

13. Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment No. 2  
14. is a..is an amendment to prohibit the transfer of concurrent  
15. retirement credit to the Illinois General Assembly Retirement  
16. Fund. This bill, of course part of this bill was amendment..  
17. the provisions of this amendment was heard in the Pension Committee.  
18. The bill did, in fact, have the support of the Pension Laws  
19. Committee, and I do have some information from the consultant  
20. of the..the actuary consultant from..from the Pension Laws  
21. Commission, that deals with the fiscal impact on the Pension  
22. System. What the concurrent Pension Transfer Credit does, it  
23. allows members of the General Assembly to transfer time in  
24. from other retirement funds at the same time that they are  
25. earning credit as a member of the General Assembly. What it..  
26. what this bill attempts to alleviate is in fact double-dipping  
27. pension. We hear a lot about double-dippin..dipping employment  
28. but this bill really gets to the heart of the issue of double-  
29. dipping pension. No other public retirement..system in this  
30. state allow concurrent credit and we're saying that the General  
31. Assembly Retirement Fund should also prohibit concurrent credit.  
32. And let me just give you an example of the significance of this  
33. amendment. Had I been 47 years old when I came to the General

1. Assembly, and I serve here for 8 years, 2 terms, and at the  
2. same time maintain a job in the City of Chicago, I would have  
3. been able to retire from the General Assembly with 12 years...  
4. 16 years, actually 12 years, working 12 years, I could have  
5. retired from the General Assembly at 80% of my salary for the  
6. rest of my life. I think that is unconciable, and if we're  
7. really concerned about the fiscal drain on the Pension System,  
8. this is an area that we as legislators must take a serious  
9. look at, and I think this amendment should pass. And I request  
10. a roll call on this amendment.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

12. Is there discussion on the motion? Senator Egan.  
13. Oh, Senator Washington.

14. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

15. A question of the sponsor.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. She indicates she will yield. Senator Washington.

18. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

19. I want to be certain I understand your Senat..clearly,  
20. Senator Collins. Are you saying that a member of the General  
21. Assembly who was working for a governmental unit and gathering  
22. pension time with that governmental unit could, on an ongoing  
23. basis, transfer that pension time to the General Assembly Pension?

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. Senator Collins.

26. SENATOR COLLINS:

27. That is correct, Senator Washington, and it has been done.  
28. We do have incidents right now in that it's been done, and I  
29. can tell you that with the shorter period of time that legis-  
30. lators are spending in the General Assembly, you will probably  
31. find in the future more and more of them doing the same thing.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Senator Washington.

SENATOR WASHINGTON:

1. It might be a little premature, but do you have any idea  
2. what the cost figures are for say, for a 5-year span or a 10-  
3. year span or however you would nail down some cost figure..Do  
4. you have any cost figures at all?

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. Senator Collins.

7. SENATOR COLLINS:

8. Yeah, I have some..some examples here that was actually  
9. put together by the consultant, Mr. Goldstein. And what he  
10. really did, he just used as an example assuming that a con-  
11. current period of services is from the age of 51 to 55, and  
12. the person was employed under the..any kind of municipality  
13. with the retirement fund that that person was a member. With  
14. an average salary of approximately \$16,000 during that 4-year  
15. period, and that person also served in the General Assembly  
16. during that 4-year period, making a salary of \$26,000 a year.  
17. That person then retired at age 55. The transfer of the  
18. 4 years of credit from, for example, Chicago Retirement  
19. Fund to the General Assembly Retirement Fund, which would  
20. be a difference in annual benefits to the General Assembly  
21. like \$3,000, but when it would average in total benefits  
22. drawing additions to the General Assembly Retirement Fund  
23. Credit would be something like \$2,250 a year.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. Further discussion. Senator Egan.

26. SENATOR EGAN:

27. Yes, Senator Collins, I wonder if you'd answer a few  
28. questions?

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

30. She indicates she will yield. Senator Egan.

31. SENATOR EGAN:

32. I'm curious to know why you have this bill...why you..  
33. the bill was defeated in the Committee, and now you are

1. attempting to by-pass the desire of the committee to put  
2. this amendment on my bill, which I vehemently oppose, not  
3. only in act, but in principle, for several good reasons.  
4. Do you know, Senator, that those participants who wish to  
5. do this must pay for it?  
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
7. Senator Collins.  
8. SENATOR COLLINS:  
9. They do pay a percentage, I know that.  
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
11. Senator Egan.  
12. SENATOR EGAN:  
13. Yes, 100 percent. Number two, Senator, it's not year  
14. by year, it's day for day, and if you're working in the  
15. General Assembly on Monday, and you work for the City of  
16. Chicago on Tuesday, you pay your pension on Monday from  
17. the General Assembly and you pay your pension on Tuesday  
18. from the City. I don't see any problem with that.  
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
20. Senator Collins.  
21. SENATOR COLLINS:  
22. Senator Egan, are you saying that our..our pension is  
23. computed on a day-to-day basis, and not on the basis of  
24. our annual salary, which covers us on an annual basis?  
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
26. Senator Egan.  
27. SENATOR EGAN:  
28. In practical effect, yes.  
29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
30. Senator Collins.  
31. SENATOR COLLINS:  
32. Well that is not my understanding, and that is not computed  
33. by the consultant on..of the actuary of the pension system.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

2. Senator Egan.

3. SENATOR EGAN:

4. That's why I'm opposing your amendment.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. Further discussion. Senator Berning.

7. SENATOR BERNING:

8. Well, thank you, Mr. President, and members of the  
9. Senate. It's true this bill was, as Senate Bill 599, was  
10. defeated in the Senate Pensions and Personnel Committee,  
11. and as I recall, it was just about on a party line vote.  
12. Pension Laws Commission does endorse this concept, and  
13. there is potentially a savings. It is, of course, a little  
14. bit controversial, because we do have members of the General  
15. Assembly who are building up time under another pension system.  
16. Now, it's a question of whether you feel that is proper or  
17. improper. There are those who feel that it is improper for  
18. us as General Assembly members, particularly now, since we  
19. are reasonably well paid, and supposedly a full-time General  
20. Assembly. In fact, the Constitution even implies this, that  
21. it is therefore improper to be building up pension time con-  
22. currently which we can transfer in to the General Assembly  
23. system, yes, the funds are transferred in, that's true, but  
24. the time adds to the time served in the General Assembly so  
25. that a person could conceivably have full vesting, 8 years,  
26. with 4 years' service, and that's the objective of Senator  
27. Collins in offering this amendment.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29. Further discussion. Senator Merlo.

30. SENATOR MERLO:

31. I..merely want to support Senator Egan's statement on this  
32. bill. It was defeated in committee, and I'm sure that the...  
33. Senator Collins, in error, in stating that the credit is given

1. concurrently, because it truly is based on the salary that  
2. you receive for the other agency of government that you work  
3. for, and this is how it's computed in the final end. So I  
4. would, of course, urge the defeat of the amendment.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. Senator Rhoads.

7. SENATOR RHOADS:

8. A question of the sponsor. Senator Collins, those of  
9. us who are not familiar with the Pension Code generally tend  
10. to try to avoid even voting on these bills, because the Code  
11. is extremely complex. Now you made the representation that an  
12. individual employed by two echelons of government beginning  
13. at age 47, could work 8 years at both positions, and receive  
14. 80 percent of their salary after 8 years. Now I have not yet  
15. heard a refutation of your statement by Senator Berning or  
16. Senator Egan or anyone else. I want to be sure that I properly  
17. understood you. Your..your representation is that 8 years,  
18. working two jobs can..the employee can retire at 80 percent.  
19. Is that correct?

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

21. Senator Collins.

22. SENATOR COLLINS:

23. That is absolutely correct, because all you have to put  
24. in the General Assembly Retirement Fund would be 8 years of  
25. credit, and concurrent time of 20 years from other if you  
26. transferred in and at the age of 55, so if you say that if  
27. you're 45 when you come in here, and you work...have already  
28. had 4 years of service and you work concurrent, you can  
29. actually put in 12 years of service..work 12 years and retire  
30. from the General Assembly with full benefits after working 12  
31. years of your life.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Senator Rhoads.

SENATOR RHOADS:



1. Just briefly, if your representation is correct, then  
2. I'm for your amendment, but if it's not correct, I'd like  
3. to hear an authoritative refutation of it.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Senator Egan.

6. SENATOR EGAN:

7. Yes, Senator Rhoads, perhaps you didn't hear the dis-  
8. course between myself and Senator Collins. She did admit  
9. that she didn't understand what the amendment did, and that's  
10. why I'm opposing the bill. As a matter of fact, her explanation  
11. is in error, and it just..you cannot work 8 years at both jobs  
12. and get 80 percent.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

14. Senator Wooten.

15. SENATOR WOOTEN:

16. I...I think that Senator Collins has perhaps used an un-  
17. fortunate example. She was assuming that you had 4 years prior.  
18. Well, I..I don't think it was that clear. In other words, if  
19. you start out with 4 someplace else, and you worked here 8  
20. years and also at another agency, 8 plus 8 is 16, plus the  
21. 4 is 20. What Senator Collins' amendment attempts to do is  
22. something that should be done. The bill should've been voted  
23. out of committee. It's unconscionable to think that we as  
24. legislators can work 1 year here and in another governmental  
25. agency, and end up with 2 years benefit credit. That's not  
26. fair, and our credit down here is not computed on a daily basis.  
27. If I miss a day, that's not taken off my pension benefit credit.  
28. Not at all. It is something that no person should legitimately  
29. avail themselves of. It is a defect in the..in our pension system,  
30. and that loophole should be closed. I don't think anyone can,  
31. in good conscience, claim 2 years pension credit for one year's  
32. work, and I don't care if the time was divided between two  
33. different agencies. If that's what it comes up to, it should

1. not be done, and just as a matter of conscience, we should  
2. pass this, either in this form of this amendment, or in the  
3. bill itself.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Further discussion. Senator Rupp.

6. SENATOR RUPP:

7. Thank you, Mr. President. I give my own experience. I  
8. was Mayor of Decatur for 10 years, and then came over here,  
9. and I was checking to see what would happen to a retirement  
10. program, and I got a very nice letter, and they said that  
11. what you can do is you can take the 10 years and get credit  
12. for that, but what you have to do is to make up the difference,  
13. and so then I said "Well, let me know what that is", and they  
14. sent me a lett..a nice letter that I still have, and they said  
15. "All you have to do, Senator, is to send in a check for \$51,000",  
16. so I declined. It's a very nice arrangement.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Further discussion. Senator Schaffer.

19. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

20. Well, back to the point of the amendment, I think. The  
21. question I have in my mind-is it possible, and I guess I'm  
22. talking to Senator Egan more than Senator Collins, is it  
23. possible for somebody to, in one calendar year, come up with  
24. more than one year's credit in the pension system?

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Senator Egan.

27. SENATOR EGAN:

28. If you pay for it, yes.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

30. Senator Schaffer.

31. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

32. Well, is that the law today?

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1. Senator Egan.  
2. SENATOR EGAN:  
3. Yes.  
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
5. Senator Schaffer.  
6. SENATOR SCHAFFER:  
7. How come the Press hasn't figured that out?  
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
9. Further discussion. Senator Egan.  
10. SENATOR EGAN:  
11. Yes, and you can..you can pay for the private pension  
12. and get three times as much, and there's nothing wrong with  
13. that. As a matter of fact, I think the amendment is unconstitutional  
14. to begin with, but to argue the principle is another thing.  
15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
16. Senator Chew.  
17. SENATOR CHEW:  
18. Senator Schaffer, to further elaborate on what you're  
19. saying or asking, I was a member of the Chicago City Council.  
20. I'm now a member of the Illinois Senate. I also have a position  
21. with the Cook County Sheriff's Office. Any month that you  
22. pay within the Pension System Program with the County of 15  
23. days, you are eligible for a proration of the pension that  
24. the County of Cook has. You are not on pension simultaneously  
25. while serving here in the Senate, because you are not on the  
26. payroll, and I'll emphasize you're not on the payroll together  
27. with the County or any other unit of government in which you  
28. are employed. Now, I will be able to bring my City Council  
29. time over to this..the Pension Fund here, but I'll have to  
30. pay for it, and the same thing applies if I want to bring a  
31. portion of my County time. I have to pay for it, so it's  
32. nothing wrong with the way it is. I've been here a long  
33. time, and it seems that everybody from time to time wants to  
interfere with that. You can practice Law and be a Senator.

1. You can represent school districts and be a Representative  
2. in the House or in the Senate. You can teach school and  
3. be a member of the Senate, and you do get your pension.  
4. So why do we want to interfere with that? You don't have  
5. two units of government paying anybody simultaneously, and  
6. you don't have two units of government allowing a pension  
7. plan to go in simultaneously, unless you pay for it, so it  
8. is just like we've been aware now of the Deferred Payment  
9. Plan here in the legislature. That's an another form of  
10. pension, but nobody's giving you anything. It's your money  
11. that you're putting in a pension plan. That's all it amounts  
12. to. Whatever interests accure on that money in which you invest,  
13. you're entitled to it, even on this Deferrment Plan. So I  
14. think the question is mute, and I don't know whether somebody  
15. has a desire to take this back somewhere and say "Look what  
16. I tried to do", because otherwise it's not benefiting anybody  
17. to..to interfere with it, and I would have to oppose the amendment,  
18. and any bill in that perspective.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20. Further discussion. Senator Collins, you may close...er,  
21. Senator Egan, you've spoken several times on this matter, and  
22. it wasn't a question. Senator Egan.

23. SENATOR EGAN:

24. Yes, I may point out, Mr. President and the members of  
25. the Body, that this is my bill. I don't want to have this  
26. amendment on my bill. If I do get the amendment on my bill,  
27. I may table it.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29. Fine. And now, Senator Collins may close on the amendment,  
30. Senator Egan, since she is offering the amendment. Senator  
31. Collins.

32. SENATOR COLLINS:

33. I will start with Senator Egan's remarks first, in reference  
to this bill getting a fair hearing in the committee. Rather

1. than a hearing in the committee, what I really got was an  
2. attempt to...be..they attempted to reprimand me. The bill...  
3. the bill really didn't have any sincere debate during the time  
4. of committee, and the only serious discussion on the bill was  
5. provided by the consultant to the Pension Fund, who appeared  
6. there in favor of the bill, and in terms of principle, the  
7. reason I'm offering this bill...as an amendment on the Floor,  
8. is a matter of principle, because I don't feel that the bill  
9. in fact had a fair hearing in the committee. And in terms of  
10. Senator Chew's remarks, Senator Chew, no other pension system  
11. be it a teacher or..for whatever means of employment that  
12. exists, can in fact transfer or accumulate concurrent time.  
13. The General Assembly members are the only ones. Surely you  
14. do have to pay for the transferring time in, but..but the  
15. benefits that you receive from the General Assembly Retirement  
16. Funds are probably greater than any other retirement system  
17. in the State of Illinois, and possibly even in...in the country.  
18. As to..someone made reference to transferring credit in from  
19. other qualified systems, this bill has nothing to do with that  
20. at all. You can, in fact, still transfer any time in from any  
21. that's qualified under the General Assembly Retirement System,  
22. to the Illinois General Assembly Retirement Fund. As long as...  
23. what this bill attempts to do is it is not concurrent time,  
24. and I ask for a favorable roll call on this amendment.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. There's been a request for a roll call. The question  
27. is on the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 807. Those  
28. in favor will vote Aye, those opposed will vote Nay. The  
29. voting is open. Have all voted who wish. Have all voted who  
30. wish. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 21,  
31. the Nays are 21. Well, Senator, the Ayes are 21, the Nays  
32. are 21. The motion to adopt is lost. For what purpose does  
33. Senator Rhoads rise?

SENATOR RHOADS:

1. ...Oh, why don't we just verify the negatives.  
2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
3. There's been a request for verification of the negatives.  
4. All right, Senator Rhoads has requested a verification of those  
5. who voted in the negative. Senator Savickas has requested  
6. a verification of those who voted in the affirmative. Gentle-  
7. men, we will take them in that order, since they were placed  
8. at the Chair in that order. Under our new rules, the...  
9. Will the members please be in their seats. Under our rules,  
10. you are to answer when the Secretary calls your name. The  
11. Secretary will call those who voted in the negative.  
12. SECRETARY:  
13. The following voted in the negative: Becker, Berman,  
14. Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, D'Arco, Daley, Donnewald, Egan, Jeremiah  
15. Joyce, Keats, Lemke, Maragos, McMillan, er..McLendon, Merlo,  
16. Moore, Nash, Nedza, Savickas, Vadalabene.  
17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
18. Senator Rhoads, are you questioning any member who voted  
19. in the negative?  
20. SENATOR RHOADS:  
21. ...Uh, I have a list of names that I didn't hear answer  
22. roll call. Senator Becker?  
23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
24. Senator Becker is on the floor.  
25. SENATOR RHOADS:  
26. Well, I thought the rule was they had to answer. I'm  
27. sorry. Okay, Senator Buzbee?  
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
29. ...For what purpose does Senator Daley rise?  
30. SENATOR DALEY:  
31. Now is the rule if you vote Aye or No when you're  
32. sitting in your chair? The Secretary can see. Everyone else  
33. can see. Now if you say "Aye", is that new, is that the rule?

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
2. We adopted Senate Rule to extradite the matter so this..  
3. SENATOR DALEY:  
4. ...Is that because of the discussion with Senator  
5. Rhoads and Senator Buzbee last time, when a few words were  
6. exchanged?  
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
8. No Senator, it was adopted many months before that.  
9. SENATOR DALEY:  
10. ...Okay, I just wanted to..  
11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
12. The hope was that it would extradite the matter. I'm  
13. not sure that it has. Senator Rhoads, you question the..  
14. SENATOR RHOADS:  
15. Well, let me finish the list of those who did not  
16. respond. Senator D'Arco?  
17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
18. He's on the Floor. Senator...  
19. SENATOR RHOADS:  
20. Senator Lemke?  
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
22. Senator Lemke is on the Floor.  
23. SENATOR RHOADS:  
24. Senator Savickas?  
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
26. Senator Savickas is on the Floor.  
27. SENATOR RHOADS:  
28. All right. Now, just to clarify. From now on, if they  
29. are physically on the Floor, even though they do not respond  
30. to the roll call, that is tantamount to verification, is that  
31. the ruling of the Chair? That it was not the ruling of the  
32. Chair the last time? I just would like to know.  
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
Well, under the rules, it states, under Rule 23, "...if a

1. Senator does not answer, his name shall be stricken from the  
2. roll call. Then the next sentence, Senator, is "...If the  
3. Presiding Officer shall determine the presence or absence of  
4. each member, the..the Presiding Officer shall determine the  
5. presence or absence of each member whose name is called. If  
6. their names were stricken, the Presiding Officer will determine  
7. if they were in fact present". Senator Rhoads.

8. SENATOR RHOADS:

9. Mr. President, simply point out that the five names I  
10. just read did not respond to the roll call, and your ruling  
11. is a different ruling...it is a different ruling from the one  
12. that we previously had here a few weeks ago. So, if..if the  
13. process now is to be that the Presiding Officer will determine  
14. the physical presence of a member on the Floor, I think that  
15. is fine, but I think that the Chair ought to be consistent.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. Senator, we have a record of all of our rulings. We  
18. have never ruled on Rule 23. Well Senator, if you can  
19. show us in a transcript that there has been a ruling on Rule  
20. 23 since....

21. SENATOR RHOADS:

22. ...I will accommodate the Chair and do that.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

24. That will be fine. Senator Savickas, since the roll  
25. call would not be changed by verification of the affirmatives,  
26. do you wish to show..verify the affirmatives? It would be no..it  
27. would be no effect of it, but you may if you wish. Senator  
28. Savickas, do you wish...All right. Senator Savickas would...  
29. withdraws his request that the affirmatives be verified. On a  
30. verified roll call, the Ayes are 21, the Nays are 21. The  
31. motion to adopt Amendment No. 2 is lost. Further amendments.

32. SECRETARY:

33. No further amendments.



1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

2. 3rd reading. ...Senator Buzbee has returned to the  
3. Floor. On the preceeding bill, Senator Buzbee, 805 was on  
4. the call to return to 2nd reading. Senator Weaver has the  
5. amendment. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary?

6. SECRETARY:

7. Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Weaver.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

9. If it would...if..with leave of the Body, Senate Bill...  
10. Is there leave to return Senate Bill 805 to the order of 2nd  
11. reading? Leave is granted. The bill is on the order of  
12. 2nd reading. Amendments by Senator Weaver.

13. SENATOR WEAVER:

14. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment on page 1, line  
15. 1 by inserting "...after related...", and on line 9, by inserting  
16. "...after funds..", and "...every association of school employees  
17. that has association dues withheld by a school district and paid  
18. to the association...", and on page 2, line 6 and 18, by changing  
19. "...school..." to "...school-related..." This makes a bad bill  
20. an acceptable bill, and I ask its adoption.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

22. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion?  
23. Senator Buzbee.

24. SENATOR BUZBEE:

25. Mr. President, I am opposed to this amendment. It has  
26. nothing to do with the concept of my bill, and if Senator  
27. Weaver wants to put that kind of audit on the IEA and the  
28. AFT and so forth, then I think that he ought to put in a bill  
29. to do that. But my bill is aimed at a quasi-public, in fact  
30. it's more than a quasi-public, it is a public body, which is  
31. the Illinois High School Association, which deals with millions  
32. of dollars of public funds, and I want to have them audited  
33. by the Illinois Auditor General....

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

2.           May we have some order, please? Senator Buzbee.

3. SENATOR BUZBEE:

4.           I want to have them audited by the Illinois Auditor General  
5. every other year, and I am little...I cannot understand why  
6. they so fear such an audit. However, it is not my intention  
7. to have the School Board Association or the IEA or the AFT  
8. or any other such organization to have to be audited because  
9. they are not a quasi-public body, as is the Illinois High School  
10. Association, so therefore, I rise in adamant opposition to  
11. Senator Weaver's amendment to my bill.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13.           Further discussion. Senator Weaver may close.

14. SENATOR WEAVER:

15.           Well Mr. President, it is fruitless to get into the  
16. discussion of the amendment, but I...in answer to Senator  
17. Buzbee, the Illinois High School Association is audited every  
18. year, not bi..bi-annually, every year by Certified Public Accountants.  
19. Their audit is distributed to every member of the General  
20. Assembly, so I see no reason for the bill, but if we're going  
21. to get into this aspect of sending the Auditor General out to  
22. audit everyone, I think we should include other organizations  
23. that have no audit to my knowledge, and I'd hope that you would  
24. support this amendment to Senate Bill 805.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26.           The question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 1. All  
27. in favor say Aye, opposed Nay. There are...There has been a  
28. request for a roll call. Those in favor will vote Aye, those  
29. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who  
30. wish. Have all voted who wish. Take the record. On that  
31. question, the Ayes are 31, the Nays are 16. Amendment No. 1  
32. to Senate Bill 805, having received a majority vote, is declared  
33. adopted. Further amendments.

SECRETARY:

1. No further amendments.
2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
3. 3rd reading. Is Senator Chew on the Floor?
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7.
- 8.
- 9.
- 10.
- 11.
- 12.
- 13.
- 14.
- 15.
- 16.
17. End of Reel #2
- 18.
- 19.
- 20.
- 21.
- 22.
- 23.
- 24.
- 25.
- 26.
- 27.
- 28.
- 29.
- 30.
- 31.
- 32.
- 33.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

2. Senator Chew, Senate Bill 503. Is there leave to return  
3. the bill to the Order of 2nd reading? Senator Chew is off the Floor.  
4. Another Senator wishes to make an amendment. We are making  
5. exceptions when there is another individual we should make  
6. an amendment. Is there leave to return to 2nd reading? 2nd reading.  
7. Senator...are there amendments?

8. SECRETARY:

9. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Philip.

10. SENATOR PHILIP:

11. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.  
12. This attempts to do something about the possible gas shortage  
13. in Illinois and what this would do, what this amendment would  
14. do is allow the Secretary of State to issue restricted drivers  
15. license to those qualified people between the ages and fifteen  
16. and nineteen. Would allow them to drive to school, if there were  
17. no school buses or public transportation, would allow them  
18. to drive back and forth to work, and in emergencies.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20. Is there discussion of the amendment?  
21. The motion is to adopt. Those in favor say Aye. Opposed  
22. Nay. The Ayes have it and the amendment is adopted.  
23. Are there further amendments? 3rd reading.

24. SECRETARY:

25. No further amendments.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. Senate Bill 862, Senator Moore. Oh, I'm sorry. Senator  
28. Egan. Senator Egan, Senate Bill 811. Are there amendments,  
29. Mr. Secretary?

30. SECRETARY:

31. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Egan.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Senator Egan on Amendment No. 1.

1. SENATOR EGAN:

2. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.  
3. Amendment No. 1 will allow the reciprocal aspects of...of the  
4. legislative system and the judicial system to vest  
5. at a...at a five year period rather than an eight year period so that  
6. that provision is consistent with the downstate teachers and  
7. the university systems. I know of no opposition. I ask for its  
8. adoption.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion?  
11. All in favor say Aye. Slow down, now. We'll...evidently there's  
12. some discussion on this one. Is there discussion of the motion  
13. to adopt? Senator Philip.

14. SENATOR PHILIP:

15. A question of the sponsor.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. Indicates that he will yield. Senator Egan, there's a  
18. question. Senator Philip.

19. SENATOR PHILIP:

20. I hope my hearing was wrong, but am I led to believe  
21. this would allow judges and that would be all of the...all three...  
22. four classifications of judges to vest their pensions at five  
23. years and not eight?

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. Senator Egan.

26. SENATOR EGAN:

27. Judges pension vests in...presently at...in six years.  
28. This is only...this only applies to the reciprocal provision  
29. of the...of the Article which allows...in the downstate teachers,  
30. the university teachers and I do believe the municipal employees  
31. to vest in five years and this makes it consistent with that.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

1. Do you have any idea, what's this going to cost the State  
2. of Illinois?  
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
4. Senator Egan.  
5. SENATOR EGAN:  
6. I'm sorry.  
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
8. Senator Egan.  
9. SENATOR PHILIP:  
10. And how...how many people are we talking about, Senator?  
11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
12. Senator Egan.  
13. SENATOR EGAN:  
14. One that I know of and there's no cost.  
15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
16. Senator Philip.  
17. SENATOR EGAN:  
18. We discussed this yesterday, Senator, I...maybe you had  
19. forgotten.  
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
21. Further discussion of the amendment? All in favor say Aye.  
22. Been a request for a roll call. Those in favor will vote  
23. Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open.  
24. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the  
25. record. On that question the Ayes are 21, the Nays are 29,  
26. none Voting Present. The Senate fails to adopt Amendment No.  
27. 1 to Senate Bill 811. Further amendments, Mr. Secretary?  
28. SECRETARY:  
29. No further amendments.  
30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
31. 3rd reading.  
32. SECRETARY:  
33. Oh, you're right.  
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1. I'm sorry, the Chair and the Secretary were in error.  
2. There was another amendment to Senate Bill 811. Is there leave  
3. to return Senate Bill 811 to the Order of 2nd reading for the  
4. purpose of an amendment, Amendment No. 2? Leave is  
5. granted. The bill is on the Order of 2nd reading. Are there  
6. amendments, Mr. Secretary?

7. SECRETARY:

8. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Daley.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Senator Daley is recognized.

11. SENATOR DALEY:

12. Mr. President and fellow Senators. This amendment  
13. ...this amendment allows a State judge who has a right  
14. to retire, retires, and is going to the Federal bench. He  
15. can receive his pension and it will allow him to go to the  
16. Federal bench. There are a number of sitting judges already  
17. in the district court throughout the State that have been  
18. formerly State judges, have a right to receive their annuity  
19. and cannot because of this specific provision that was provided in  
20. 1957 which does not allow them to receive their State pension.  
21. This does away with the...that restriction and allows them,  
22. if they have completed their pension program, allows them  
23. to receive their pension and if they're on the Federal bench,  
24. they get paid. That's all it does.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Is there discussion of the motion to adopt Amendment No. 2?  
27. Senator Washington.

28. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

29. Senator Daley, I'm not familiar with that section. Exactly  
30. what does it do and what was the rationale for preventing them  
31. from getting a pension under these circumstances?

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Senator Daley.

SENATOR DALEY:

1. In 1957 there was a downstate Senator that was upset  
2. with a judge, a State judge that had time and received his  
3. pension that was going on the Federal bench and they put this in.  
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Senator Washington.

6. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

7. Forgive me for not having read this section but what does  
8. the language say that prevented this from happening, from...

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Senator Daley.

11. SENATOR DALEY:

12. It says except in that in the case of a judge becoming  
13. the participant after November 30th, 1957, suspension of the  
14. annuity shall also occur if such person is employee as a judge  
15. of any court of the United States of America.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. Is there further discussion of the amendment?

18. The motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay.

19. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Are there  
20. further amendments?

21. SECRETARY:

22. No further amendments.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

24. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 862, Senator Moore. Are there  
25. amendments, Mr. Secretary.

26. SECRETARY:

27. Amendent No. 1 offered by Senator Moore.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29. Senator Moore is recognized.

30. SENATOR MOORE:

31. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment  
32. No. 1 to Senate Bill 862 is added to the bill which repeals and  
33. reenacts the Illinois Industrial Development Act. It adds a provision  
that states that the powers contained in this Act shall not be



1. exercised within the jurisdiction of any municipality unless  
2. the governing body has adopted a resolution or an ordinance  
3. approving such projects. It further goes on that says that  
4. in the event that a bond issue is given within a municipality  
5. that the municipal ordinances such as zoning, building codes and  
6. so forth shall be in effect. I think this is a good amendment  
7. and I would move for its adoption.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

9. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Is there  
10. discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have  
11. it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

12. SECRETARY:

13. No further amendments.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. 3rd reading. Is there leave to return Senate Bill 883 to the  
16. Order of 2nd reading? Leave is granted. Senate Bill 883 is  
17. on the Order of 2nd reading. Senator Davidson. Are there  
18. amendments, Mr. Secretary? Senator Davidson, there aren't...

19. SECRETARY:

20. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Davidson.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

22. Senator Davidson.

23. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

24. Yes, Mr. President, we need to correct the misspelled  
25. word by...they put an i rather than e. Could we amend that  
26. amendment on the face and do that now. They didn't have time  
27. to retype it. On line 18, they misspelled plenary by plin,  
28. it should be plen, the i needs to be an e.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

30. Is there leave to amend the...on its face...amend the  
31. amendment on its face? Leave is granted. The motion is to  
32. adopt Amendment No. 2. Is there discussion? All in favor  
33. say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is  
adopted. Are there further amendments?

SB 972-2  
5-16-77

1. SECRETARY:
2. No further amendments.
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
4. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 922, Senator Egan. Senator Egan on
5. the Floor? Senate Bill 922, are there amendments, Mr. Secretary?
6. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary?
7. SECRETARY:
8. Amendment No. 1 on 922 offered by Senator Mitchler.
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
10. Senator Mitchler is recognized on Amendment No. 1.
11. SENATOR MITCHLER:
12. Yes Mr. President and members of the Senate. This
13. amendment has been cleared with the sponsor, Senator Egan.
14. And what it does, it...in the clarification language of the
15. bill that we passed last year made in the Act exempting
16. certain machinery and equipment from sales tax used in the
17. manufacture. This includes and defines machinery such as press
18. equipment designed and used for producing newspapers or other
19. periodicals that have the primary purpose of disseminating
20. news to the public and that's what it does and I ask for adoption
21. of the amendment.
22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
23. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion?
24. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment
25. No. 1 is adopted. Are there further amendments?
26. SECRETARY:
27. No further amendments.
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
29. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 971, Senator Knuppel. Is Senator
30. Knuppel on the Floor? Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary, to
31. Senate Bill 971?
32. SECRETARY:
33. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Knuppel.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
2. Senator Knuppel is recognized. For what purpose does
3. Senator Newhouse arise?
4. SENATOR KNUPPTEL:
5. Mr...
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
7. Okay. Senator Knuppel.
8. SENATOR KNUPPTEL:
9. Mr. President, what Amendment No. 2 does is it restores
10. the political balance that existed in the original Act which
11. this bill is amending. There is an attempt to increase the
12. Industrial Commission from five to seven members and the bill,
13. as drafted by the Legislative Reference Bureau, did not
14. maintain political balance. It excluded this. This amendment
15. restores that political balance so that there are Democrats and
16. Republicans both on the commission.
17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
18. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Is there
19. discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes
20. have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Are there further amendments?
21. SECRETARY:
22. No further amendments.
23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
24. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1146. Senate Bill 1146.
25. Senate Bill...is there leave to return Senate Bill 1117 to the
26. Order of 2nd reading for the purpose of amendment? Leave is
27. granted. Senate Bill 1117, Senator Mitchler. Are there amendments,
28. Mr. Secretary?
29. SECRETARY:
30. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Mitchler.
31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
32. Senator Mitchler is recognized.
33. SENATOR MITCHLER:

1. Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate Amendment...  
2. Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1117 is an amendment by the  
3. department to meet the objections and discussions they have  
4. had in the renaming of certain...and classification of certain  
5. conservation areas and I ask for adoption of the amendment.  
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 3. Is there  
8. discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have  
9. it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Are there further amendments?  
10. SECRETARY:

11. Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Mitchler.  
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. Senator Mitchler.  
14. SENATOR MITCHLER:

15. Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment No. 4 to  
16. Senate Bill 1117 is a technical amendment and I would ask  
17. for adoption of the amendment.  
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

19. The motion is to adopt. Is there discussion? All in favor  
20. say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.  
21. 4 is adopted. Are there further amendments?  
22. SECRETARY:

23. No further amendments.  
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 11...okay. Senate Bill 1146,  
26. Senator McMillan. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary?  
27. SECRETARY:

28. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator McMillan.  
29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

30. Senator McMillan.  
31. SENATOR McMILLAN:

32. This...this amendment makes some technical changes in the  
33. bill that...the bill 1146 is a long, drawn out bill which brings  
the State Statute with regards to elections and absentee ballots

1. into conformity and in consistency with Federal law and this  
2. amendment really is a technical one which does what the original  
3. bill attempted to do and I would seek a favorable roll call.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Move adoption...Senator McMillan moves the adoption of  
6. Amendment No. 2. Is there discussion? All in favor say Aye.  
7. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted.  
8. Are there further amendments?

9. SECRETARY:

10. No further amendments.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

12. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1147, Senator Philip. Read the bill,  
13. Mr...are there amendments, Mr. Secretary?

14. SECRETARY:

15. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Philip.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. Senator Philip is recognized.

18. SENATOR PHILIP:

19. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
20. Senate. What this amendment does is allow the respective parties  
21. a second selection for State Central Committeemen. Under the  
22. present law, as you know, we have a primary. This would allow  
23. each party at their State convention to decide whether they would  
24. want Section A or Section B. Section B would be that we would  
25. pick the State Central Committeemen at the State...not at the  
26. State convention, at the county convention when we elect the  
27. county chairmen or within fourteen days.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29. Is there discussion on the motion to adopt Amendment  
30. No. 1? Senator Rhoads.

31. SENATOR RHOADS:

32. Question of the sponsor.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Philip, I think I understand what the intent of the

1. original bill was. Now, would this amendment...are you saying  
2. that State Central Committeemen can either be elected in a primary  
3. or at the county convention and not at all at the State convention?

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Senator Philip.

6. SENATOR PHILIP:

7. That is correct. I found that we have some opposition  
8. from that from various people and so I saw no reason why we  
9. shouldn't do it at the same time we picked the county chairmen  
10. in the same way.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

12. Senator Rhoads.

13. SENATOR RHOADS:

14. Well, Senator, as laudable as the objectives might be, I think  
15. it's unconstitutional. The Constitution of Illinois clearly says  
16. that our election laws must be uniform throughout the State.  
17. This kind of optional method or latitude, I...I don't think  
18. would stand that test of constitutionality.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20. Senator Philip.

21. SENATOR PHILIP:

22. You know, I guess you don't know much about the Election  
23. Code. Because there's more than one option all through the Election  
24. Code where the parties can take A or B. It's all through  
25. the Election Code. I'm sorry about that, but that happens  
26. to be a fact.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Senator Rhoads.

29. SENATOR RHOADS:

30. Senator Philip, I helped to write the Election Code a few  
31. years ago. Frankly, the alternatives you're talking about are...  
32. alternatives mandated by the national parties, Alternatives A and  
33. B or C...B and C rather, for delegate selection process. This  
kind of alternative certainly is not provided for anywhere in the

1. Election Code and to say that in one congressional district, you  
2. can elect a State Central Committeeman, and then in a neighboring  
3. congressional district, is that what your amendment does?

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Senator Philip.

6. SENATOR PHILIP:

7. I...I will try to make it a little clearer. It would say  
8. that the party, at their State convention, can decide whether  
9. they want Section A or Section B, all right? Section B would  
10. allow the State Central Committeemen to be elected at the  
11. convention for county chairmen, okay? Now, in my  
12. county we have one convention or within fourteen days and  
13. the reason for the fourteen days is when you have more  
14. than one county in a congressional district, they would have to  
15. have time to call a convention in the center of the district  
16. and then those county chairmen could come and vote their  
17. weighted vote their State Central Committeemen.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

19. Senator Rhoads.

20. SENATOR RHOADS:

21. Are you saying then, that the Democratic Party, for example,  
22. could elect its State Central Committeemen and the Republican  
23. Party could choose the option of electing them in a convention?  
24. Is that what the amendment does?

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. For what purpose does Senator Demuzio arise?

27. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

28. Mr. President, a parliamentary inquiry. I don't seem to have  
29. a copy of this amendment on my desk. And frankly, I don't know what  
30. we're discussing. Has it been...has it been submitted to the members  
31. of the Senate? I can't find it.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Senator Philip.

1. SENATOR PHILIP:

2. Yes, the amendment was filed yesterday and I did instruct  
3. the pages to pass out the amendments. And the amendment was  
4. passed out yesterday.

5. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

6. Well, I don't have it on my desk and I was just wondering  
7. if somebody in the Body or the group has a copy of the amendment.  
8. I'd like to see it.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Would someone take Senator...

11. SENATOR PHILIP:

12. You know what, I'm going to send somebody over with the  
13. amendment and let me say this, this merely gives another option  
14. or alternative, it's not forcing your party or my party to  
15. take that choice. We make that choice at the State convention.  
16. And all it is is merely another option. I'm not saying that  
17. my party is going to do that, Senator, I don't know.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

19. All right. Senator Rhoads was recognized on questioning  
20. then Senator Demuzio will be after you've had a chance to review  
21. the amendment. Senator Rhoads to conclude.

22. SENATOR RHOADS:

23. Well, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I...I think  
24. that this kind of option certainly is not provided for. It is  
25. ...it is an internal option and our State Constitution does  
26. mandate uniformity of election laws. The options that we have  
27. elsewhere in the Election Code with respect to party matters  
28. are those options that we have to have because the national  
29. parties have imposed certain regulations on us and the...and the  
30. two national parties are widely differing. Here with Senator  
31. Philip's amendment, we are now going to have an internal solely  
32. within the borders of the State of Illinois, an internal option  
33. with respect to party organization and election procedures and I  
just, frankly, I don't see why one party should have an option



1. that the...that the...why the party should be different. We  
2. would be faced with a situation where conceivably in a primary  
3. election, let's say the Democratic party would be electing  
4. their State Central Committeemen on the ballot and the Republican  
5. party would be selecting them at a convention. I don't think that  
6. complies with the uniformity of election law.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. Further discussion? Senator Demuzio.

9. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

10. Thank you, Mr. President. If this amendment does what I think  
11. it does, it is clearly...it is not an alternative. Or, I beg  
12. your pardon. You're talking about Alternative A or B which means  
13. either an elective or if the political party decides then the  
14. individuals can, by a party caucus, determine who the State Central  
15. Committeeman will be? I don't think that the nine county chairmen  
16. in my district nor all of the precinct committeemen that are re-  
17. presented in that southcentral group would vote to...to have a...  
18. a State Central Committeeman elected by a party caucus.  
19. I think that they prefer that it's left within the elective  
20. process and that we ought to elect our State Central Committeemen  
21. and simply not have one that's appointed by...by party leaders and  
22. I would oppose this amendment.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

24. Further discussion? Senator Philip may close the debate.

25. SENATOR PHILIP:

26. Thank you, Mr...I might make two points. First of all, the State  
27. Central Committeeman is a party position. And I don't see anything  
28. wrong with the party picking that...those people, very honestly.  
29. And I say, this doesn't mandate it. It gives you either Section  
30. A or Section B. I don't see anything wrong with giving parties  
31. internally an option. I see nothing wrong to that at all. I don't  
32. know whether my party will take this option, very honestly.  
33. I am not sure, but I think at least we can have the opportunity.

1. We allow the Democrats to pick their delegates differently than  
2. the Republican party does. That doesn't...that's not distasteful.  
3. to me, it's not confusing. So, I would ask for support of this  
4. amendment.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. The question is the adoption of Amendment No. 1.  
7. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is...  
8. there has been a request for a roll call. Those  
9. in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting  
10. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?  
11. Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 26, the Nays  
12. are 21, none Voting...the Nays are 21. Amendment No. 1 to  
13. Senate Bill 1147 is adopted. Further amendments?

14. SECRETARY:

15. No further amendments.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1164, Senator DeAngelis.

18. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary?

19. SECRETARY:

20. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator DeAngelis.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

22. Senator DeAngelis is recognized.

23. SENATOR DeANGELIS:

24. Thank you, Mr. President. 1164 is just a clarifying amendment.

25. It...it removes some of the ambiguity of the language and  
26. definitively defines the method of calculating the new State  
27. aid formula. I move for its adoption.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion?

30. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment  
31. No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

32. SECRETARY:

33. No further amendments.

SB 1219  
Recalled  
5-16-79

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

2. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1167, Senator Rupp. Are there  
3. amendments, Mr. Secretary?

4. SECRETARY:

5. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Rupp.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. Senator Rupp is recognized.

8. SENATOR RUPP:

9. Thank you, Mr. President. What this does is eliminate  
10. one section from this bill and that eliminates the...or gives  
11. permission for the blood banks involved in this question  
12. to make their own arrangements for the actual physical exchange  
13. of the blood and determine the processing cost. The basic  
14. bill is still the same. It does eliminate the nonreplacement  
15. fee. I ask approval of the amendment.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Is there discussion?  
18. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment  
19. No. 2 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

20. SECRETARY:

21. ...amendments.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1219, Senator Graham. Are there  
24. amendments, Mr. Secretary?

25. SECRETARY:

26. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Graham.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Senator Graham is recognized.

29. SENATOR GRAHAM:

30. Mr. President and members of the Senate. This amendment came  
31. about as a result of the hearing in committee at which time  
32. Senator Sangmeister and Senator Knuppel questioned the time...  
33. the length of time for handling these permits and this amendment  
corrects that and the Commerce Commission agreement with it now...

1. the trucking industry and labor unions. How you ever get all those to  
2. agree, I don't know, but they supposedly have and I move  
3. its adoption.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. All in favor  
6. say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is  
7. adopted. Are there further amendments? 3rd reading.  
8. Is there leave to return Senate Bill 2...1226 to the Order of  
9. 2nd reading for the purpose of amendment? Leave is granted.  
10. Senate Bill 1226, Senator Grotberg. Are there amendments,  
11. Mr. Secretary?

12. SECRETARY:

13. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Grotberg.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. Senator Grotberg.

16. SENATOR GROTBORG:

17. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.  
18. I, first having voted on the prevailing side, would move  
19. to Table Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1226.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

21. Motion is to reconsider the vote by which Amendments No.  
22. 1 and 2 were adopted. Those in favor of the motion to reconsider  
23. say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The motion to reconsider  
24. prevails. Senator Grotberg now moves to Table Amendment Nos.  
25. 1...1 and 2. On the motion to Table all in favor say Aye.  
26. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it and 1 and 2 are Tabled. Senator  
27. Grotberg now offers Amendment No. 3.

28. SENATOR GROTBORG:

29. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment  
30. No. 3 cleans this whole bill up into a very simple  
31. addition of the word maintenance in two sections in the crossing  
32. railroad...Railroad Crossing Fund as to what the ICC can use  
33. that fund for. It now says that they can use it for maintenance of

1. the signals and also maintenance of the road crossing and that's  
2. what we've been trying to do. There's no change in any money  
3. anywhere. It's the same half million dollars that they are  
4. now getting. We've stricken that part of the bill. I would  
5. the adoption and ask to be recognized on another motion.  
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 3. Is there discussion?  
8. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment  
9. No. 3 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

10. SECRETARY:

11. No further amendments.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. 3rd reading. Senator Grotberg, for what purpose do you  
14. arise?

15. SENATOR GROTBORG:

16. I would now move to Table Senate Bill No. 1225, a companion  
17. bill no longer needed.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

19. Motion is to Table Amendment 1225. Those in favor  
20. say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. 1225 is Tabled.  
21. Senate Bill 1248, Senator Maragos. Are there amendments, Mr.  
22. Secretary?

23. SECRETARY:

24. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Maragos.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Senator Maragos is recognized.

27. SENATOR MARAGOS:

28. Mr. President, I move to have Senate Bill 1248 brought to  
29. 2nd reading for amendment purposes.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. It is on 2nd, Senator.

32. SENATOR MARAGOS:

33. It is? All right. Sorry. That being the case, Mr.  
President, I would like to have the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to

1. Senate Bill 1248 which makes it more clear as to the reason why  
2. the counties would have an open levy and not be restricted to the  
3. ones made in the original bill. And secondly, that the effective  
4. date will be the date of passage rather than wait till the  
5. effective date of the Consolidation Election Law...Code.  
6. And I ask for its adoption.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. The motion is to adopt. Is there discussion? All in  
9. favor say Aye. There is discussion? Let's see, Senator Rhoads.

10. SENATOR RHOADS:

11. Thank you, Mr. Chairman...Mr. President. Question of the  
12. sponsor of the amendment.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

14. Indicates he will yield. Senator Rhoads.

15. SENATOR RHOADS:

16. Senator Maragos, in discussing this amendment with you  
17. a few moments ago on the Floor, I think I understand  
18. the reason for the immediate effective date, but I still don't  
19. understand the reason for taking off the ceiling on the tax levy.  
20. Could you explain your reason for that?

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

22. Senator Maragos.

23. SENATOR MARAGOS:

24. Because, Senator Rhoads, they need additional funds to  
25. administer the elections, not only for their own county elections,  
26. and the General Election, but for all the municipal and school  
27. referenda and other elections and therefore, they don't have to  
28. dig into their corporate levy to do this. They want to have the  
29. machinery by which, by referenda they can proceed to have this  
30. levy open and as they are needed. They cannot see from time to  
31. time and they have to do it, many times, in conjunction  
32. with the municipalities and others that are going to be getting  
33. the benefit of the elections. ...under the consolidation,  
as you understand very well, Senator Rhoads. The elections will be

1. conducted and paid for out of the counties, not by district as in  
2. the past. It will be...the county clerk will be keeping most  
3. of the records and keeping all of the data up to date. That's  
4. why they have to have the open levy.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. Senator Rhoads.

7. SENATOR RHOADS:

8. Well, I can understand if they felt that the two percent  
9. limit was too restrictive that they might want to increase that,  
10. but I don't think it's particularly prudent idea to...to go to  
11. an open levy. Secondly, let me ask you the question concerning  
12. the exemption that this special levy would be an exemption  
13. to the levy limit for the county corporate purposes. Now, if we  
14. have an open ended levy, then we have an open ended exemption  
15. as well, don't we?

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. Senator Maragos.

18. SENATOR MARAGOS:

19. Not necessarily. Because you're...one has...one has to do  
20. with the corporate purposes and they're restricted to what  
21. extent they can levy most...in most areas they are restricted as to  
22. the present levies by law. But when they have a very expensive  
23. election, they should not be restricted as long as they can get  
24. the levy approved by the referendum which they have to use  
25. anyway. So, they're not coming into...it's a front door  
26. approach and especially...and this, of course, does not involve  
27. the Election Commission of Chicago which has to run its own  
28. and has to get its own funds. 'Cause the County of Cook will not be  
29. running their elections. But every other election will be.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. Senator Rhoads.

32. SENATOR RHOADS:

33. That's an important point. You're saying we'll have a front  
door referendum in every instance where the levy is increased, is that

1. correct?

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3. Senator Maragos.

4. SENATOR MARAGOS:

5. My understanding is yes, Sir.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. Senator Rhoads.

8. SENATOR RHOADS:

9. Well, our understanding is that the amendment deletes every

10. reference to referendum.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

12. Senator Maragos.

13. SENATOR MARAGOS:

14. No, because what it does, Senator Rhoads, it inserts...

15. see, 250...as I understand, 2505-1A which is in the...in the Act

16. right now, still remains in the Act excepting that it puts

17. ...it clarifies 2500...2505, the generic section which gives the

18. authority that says if the whole...if you will note on page

19. 3, where it says except taxes levied under Section 2505-1A...

20. we're not changing...we're just inserting this in between.

21. Say Section...line 7 on page 1 and the time that 1A takes over.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. Further discussion? Senator McMillan.

24. SENATOR McMILLAN:

25. Well, not...notwithstanding what I just heard as an explanation

26. as...as I look at the bill, and this bill did come through the

27. Revenue Committee and I believe it does become an open ended tax

28. and I don't find where the revenue...where the referendum

29. provisions are. This bill was initially intended to make it

30. possible to get consolidations of elections implemented and the bill

31. came out of the committee despite the fact that we really aren't

32. under the gun to do that yet because we really aren't to the

33. point of implementation of consolidation of elections and this opens

it up even more and I really believe would not be wise to grant



1. this additional taxing power at this time.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3. Is there further discussion? Senator Maragos may close.

4. SENATOR MARAGOS:

5. Mr. President and members of the Senate.

6. I was under the impression that...had given this amendment to

7. Senator Rhoads and the leadership on the other side of the aisle

8. with the understanding that they thought they had agreed with it,

9. that I was not aware that there was this much objection.

10. That being the case, I'll take it out of the record at the present

11. time till we get a better understanding what we have to do

12. here and therefore, I withdraw the amendment at this time.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

14. Are there amendments? 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1251,

15. Senator Collins. Are there amendments, Mr. Secretary?

16. SECRETARY:

17. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Collins.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

19. Senator Collins.

20. SENATOR COLLINS:

21. Mr. President, Amendment No. 1 is basically a technical

22. amendment in nature to clarify...to clear up some of the

23. problems of drafting of the bill. It also, at the request

24. of some of the members on the Public Aid Committee, gives

25. a definition of State guaranteed employment and it also adds

26. a provision in the bill to insure cooperation between those agencies

27. that would probably become in contact with Public Aid, that

28. Public Aid would have to deal with in order to administer this

29. program. It also adds a percentage that a percentage of...of

30. the wages be paid, twenty-five percent, that portion which would...

31. in using Federal dollars, that portion that would be ordinarily

32. have to be put up by the State would be paid by the employer

33. and I move for adoption.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
2. Any further discussion? Senator Daley.  
3. SENATOR DALEY:  
4. Will the sponsor yield to a question?  
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
6. She indicates she will.  
7. SENATOR DALEY:  
8. What is...what is the definition of the State guaranteed  
9. job? Do we have to fund the money or does the Executive  
10. guarantee it?  
11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
12. Senator Collins.  
13. SENATOR COLLINS:  
14. The bill itself would designate an agency to administer  
15. the program to acquire the necessary funds to do so, be it  
16. Federal funds and the State itself...the agency...the State agencies  
17. administering the program in conjunction with the school district,  
18. would then, in fact, find the jobs through the private and public  
19. sectors.  
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
21. Senator Daley.  
22. SENATOR DALEY:  
23. What happens to a child that goes through the high school  
24. and receives his diploma and remains in school because he wants  
25. to remain in school? Do we guarantee once he graduates, a job?  
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
27. Senator Collins.  
28. SENATOR COLLINS:  
29. Senator Daley, I didn't know we were on the merits of this  
30. bill. This amendment does not deal with that and I think I can  
31. answer that question once we debate the bill.  
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
33. Is there and further discussion? Senator Daley.

1. SENATOR DALEY:
2.       The amendment does change it.
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
4.       Is there any further discussion? Senator Collins. Senator
5. Collins moves for the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill
6. 1251. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed.
7. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?
8. SECRETARY:
9.       No further amendments.
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
11.       3rd reading. Senate Bill 1262.
12. SECRETARY:
13.       Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Martin.
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
15.       Senator Martin. We're on Senate Bill 1262, amendment.
16. Would you care to explain your amendment?
17. SENATOR MARTIN:
18.       This amendment merely makes clear that it will cover the first
19. full year and secondarily, that it will exempt the freshmen year
20. of studies. It was requested by the committee and it certainly
21. has my support.
22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
23.       Is there any further discussion? Senator Martin moves for the
24. adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1262. Those in favor
25. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it.
26. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?
27. SECRETARY:
28.       No further amendments.
29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
30.       3rd reading. Senate Bill 1271, Senator Regner.
31. SECRETARY:
32.       Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Regner.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
- Senator Regner. Senator Regner.

JB 1310  
5-16-79

1. SENATOR REGNER:

2. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This is an  
3. amendment that was supposed to be offered in committee, but it  
4. wasn't ready at the time. The bill deals with the self-  
5. insurance plan and the Division of Risk Management. What this  
6. amendment does, it clarifies who is covered by the self-  
7. insurance plan. It extends coverage to vehicles not owned  
8. by the State but under control of the State and extends explicit  
9. coverage to commissions, nonpaid commissioners and members of  
10. agencies, boards and commissions and it identifies coverage  
11. to employees of the National Guard while on active duty  
12. pursuant to orders of the Governor providing that the actual use  
13. was in the course of State service. I move for the adoption of  
14. Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1271.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Is there further discussion? Senator Berman.

17. SENATOR BERMAN:

18. Thank you, Mr. President. I have had conversations with  
19. the representatives of the Office of Risk Management. There is  
20. another amendment coming on later. I presume the sponsor will bring  
21. the bill back?

22. SENATOR REGNER:

23. Yes.

24. SENATOR BERMAN:

25. Thank you.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Is there any further discussion? Senator Regner moves the  
28. adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1271. All those in  
29. favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. Amendment No. 1  
30. is adopted. Any further amendments?

31. SECRETARY:

32. No further amendments.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1310, Senator Geo-Karis. Read the

1. amendment, Mr. Secretary.

2. SECRETARY:

3. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Geo-Karis.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Senator Geo-Karis.

6. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

7. Amendment No. 3, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of  
8. the Senate, simply changes from page 2 on line 1, the number  
9. 15 to 10 and I move the adoption of that amendment.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

11. Is there any further discussion? If not, Senator Geo-Karis  
12. moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1310. All those  
13. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. Amendment No.  
14. 3 is adopted. Any further amendments?

15. SECRETARY:

16. No further amendments.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1394. Senate Bill 1335.

19. Senator Daley seeks leave to bring Senate Bill 1335 back to the  
20. Order of 2nd reading for purpose of amendment. Is leave granted?  
21. Leave is granted. Senate Bill 1335.

22. SECRETARY:

23. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Daley.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. Senator Daley.

26. SENATOR DALEY:

27. Mr. President and fellow Senators. Amendment No. 1 deals  
28. with the Court of Claims. They have not received a salary increase  
29. for...for about eight years. These men are full time. We have  
30. a chief justice who is a hard working individual who was originally  
31. a Senator. His salary will go from twenty to twenty-five  
32. and those that are receiving nineteen will go to twenty-three  
33. thousand, approximately seventy thousand that will cost the State  
and that's all the amendment does.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
2. Is there any further discussion? Senator McMillan.  
3. Is there any further discussion? If not, Senator Daley moves the  
4. adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1335. Those in  
5. favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. Amendment No. 1  
6. is adopted. Any further amendments?  
7. SECRETARY:  
8. No further amendments.  
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
10. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1423.  
11. SECRETARY:  
12. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Weaver.  
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
14. Senator Weaver.  
15. SENATOR WEAVER:  
16. Mr. President, having voted on the prevailing side on the  
17. adoption of Amendment No. 1, I would move to reconsider the vote  
18. by which it was adopted for the purpose of Tabling.  
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
20. You've heard the motion. All those in favor indicate  
21. by saying Aye. Those opposed. The motion to reconsider is  
22. adopted. Now, Senator Weaver moves to Table Amendment No.  
23. 1 to Senate Bill 1423. All those in favor indicate by saying  
24. Aye. Those opposed. Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Senator  
25. Weaver.  
26. SENATOR WEAVER:  
27. Amendment No. 2, Mr. President, just corrects some  
28. technical difficulties in Amendment No. 1 and I would move its  
29. adoption.  
30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
31. Is there further discussion? Senator Weaver moves the adoption  
32. of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1423. All those in favor  
33. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. Amendment No. 2 is adopted.  
Any further amendments?

1. SECRETARY:  
2. No further amendments.  
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
4. 3rd reading. Senate Bill...Senate Bill 1429.  
5. SECRETARY:  
6. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Mitchler.  
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
8. Senaor Mitchler.  
9. SENATOR MITCHLER:  
10. Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate Amendment  
11. to Senate Bill 1429, this is, I spoke to Senator Rock and  
12. Senator Shapiro, there's no objection, this clarifies and  
13. eliminates the reference to the, well, the aunts and and uncles  
14. and cousins and that that was in the previous bill. I move  
15. for adoption of the amendment.  
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
17. Is there any further discussion? Senator Mitchler...Senator  
18. Rhoads, for what purpose do you arise? Senator Mitchler moves  
19. the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1429. Those  
20. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. Amendment No.  
21. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?  
22. SECRETARY:  
23. No further amendments.  
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
25. 3rd reading. For what purpose does Senator Egan arise? Senator Egan.  
26. SENATOR EGAN:  
27. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Relative to  
28. Senate Bill 811. Because of a misunderstanding and  
29. all of the...all of the emotional aspects of the amendment,  
30. Senator Berning and I have conferred over the details and I think  
31. we've finally straightened out the problem and I'd like to ask leave  
32. to bring it back to 2nd reading, so it's in the same position  
33. as it was, then turn over the microphone to Senator Berning.

U

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. You've heard the motion. Is leave granted? Leave is granted.

3. Senate Bill 811 is now on the Order of Senate Bills, 2nd reading.

4. Senator Berning.

5. SENATOR BERNING:

6. Thank you, Mr. President. Having voted on the prevailing

7. side whereby Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 811 was defeated,

8. I move to reconsider that vote.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

10. You've heard the motion. The motion of Senator Berning is

11. the reconsideration of the vote on which Senate Bill...Amendment

12. No. 1 was defeated. All those in favor indicate by saying

13. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is

14. reconsidered. Senator Berning.

15. SENATOR BERNING:

16. Thank you, Mr. President. I now have a copy of the amendment

17. and am happy to report to the Body that this amendment is as

18. Senator Egan had attempted to define for us, carefully drawn

19. now so that it does accommodate the purpose originally intended.

20. It contains, in a sense, a reversal of our usual procedure. We are

21. transferring credit from the state employees into the judicial

22. system where there is a six year vesting period. This will allow

23. an individual with some period of service in both systems to have

24. six years by the time he retires in 1980. It does also provide

25. that he will make the usual contributions to the Judicial Retirement

26. System that represent the employee and employer's share of the

27. cost plus interest at six percent. Mr. President, I move for the

28. adoption of Amendment No. 1.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. Is there any further discussion? Senator Egan.

31. SENATOR EGAN:

32. Yes, I move the adoption of the amendment.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Egan...is there no further discussion? Senator Egan



1. moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 811. All those in  
2. favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. Amendment No. 1  
3. is adopted. Any further amendments?

4. SECRETARY:

5. No further amendments.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. 3rd reading. For what purpose does Senator Mitchler  
8. arise?

9. SENATOR MITCHLER:

10. Mr. President and members of the Senate. We put an  
11. amendment on Senate Bill 922. And it has been brought to my  
12. attention that there was just a typographical error in the  
13. spelling of the word newspaper on line 15 of the Amendment  
14. No. 1 to Senate Bill 922. May I have leave of the Senate  
15. to have that just adjusted without going through the amendment?  
16. Ask leave.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. You've heard the motion. Senator Mitchler asks leave that this  
19. typographical error can be adjusted without the amendment.  
20. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of Senate Bills,  
21. 3rd reading, we will be starting on page 15 with Senate Bill  
22. 494. That's page 15, Senate Bill 494. Senator Davidson.  
23. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

24. SECRETARY:

25. Senate Bill 494.

26. (Secretary reads title of bill)

27. 3rd reading of the bill.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

29. Senator Davidson.

30. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

31. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This is a School  
32. Problems Commission bill which came out of the Transportation Study  
33. to help equalize the cost among the different districts.  
I would appreciate a favorable vote.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
2. Is there any further discussion? If not, the question is shall  
3. Senate Bill 494 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed  
4. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?  
5. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question  
6. the Ayes are 51, the Nays are 1 and none Voting Present. Senate Bill  
7. 494 having received a constitutional majority is declared passed.  
8. Senate Bill 496, Senator Schaffer. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.  
9. SECRETARY:  
10. Senate Bill 496.  
11. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
12. 3rd reading of the bill.  
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
14. Senator Schaffer.  
15. SENATOR SCHAFFER:  
16. This bill simply changes the title of the head of the  
17. Alcohol and Intoxification Treatment Division in the Department  
18. of Mental Health from a superintendent to an associate  
19. director. It's a reflection of the increased priority that  
20. we, in State Government, have put on the treatment of  
21. alcoholism. It's a bill from the Mental Health Commission.  
22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
23. Is there any further discussion? If not, Senator Schaffer...  
24. the question is shall Senate Bill 496 pass. Those in favor  
25. vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.  
26. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the  
27. record. On that question the Ayes are 49, the Nays are 2 and  
28. none Voting Present. Senate Bill 496 having received a constitutional  
29. majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 498, Senator Maragos.  
30. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.  
31. SECRETARY:  
32. Senate Bill 498.  
33. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
3rd reading of the bill.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
2. Senator Maragos.  
3. SENATOR MARAGOS:  
4. Mr. President and members of the Senate.  
5. The Senate Bill 494 amends the Workmen's Occupational Disease  
6. Act and it changes the method for computing the compensation  
7. to which an injured employee is entitled. And it provides for  
8. a hearing before the commission if the employee is...if the  
9. employer is dilatory in paying this compensation to the employee.  
10. And it makes...also provides for review of installment payments  
11. and agreements and awards within sixty months. Now, as  
12. amended, this bill states that the penalty will not be placed  
13. on the bill unless the delinquency finds that the...rather the  
14. commission or the arbitrator finds that the employee did not  
15. have the medical information, that the employee was disabled.  
16. So, therefore, would be unfair. In some cases, some employers  
17. withhold any compensation benefits and it's a detriment to the  
18. employee and therefore, we say that the employee can go later to the  
19. ...before the commission or the arbitrator and say this man is  
20. being withheld and therefore, should be a penalty when this...  
21. illegally withheld. And that is the purpose of this bill and I ask  
22. for your...passage.  
23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
24. Is there any further discussion? Senator Keats.  
25. SENATOR KEATS:  
26. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
27. Senate. I would like to rise in opposition to this  
28. bill as Minority Spokesman on the Labor and Commerce Committee.  
29. This is a comprehensive bill that covers a great deal under  
30. the Workmen's Compensation Act and if we think we have some  
31. problems to...now, in Workmen's Comp, wait until this goes through.  
32. There are a few points I'll mention just...you get a feel how  
33. comprehensive the bill is. Now, as far as the change is  
proposed, they are clearly placing the advantage on the employees in

1. an Act which already has been beneficial to the employees of  
2. the State. It does remove safeguards for the employer point of  
3. view and puts in unbelievably exceptional safeguards to any  
4. injured employee. It would increase the cost of Workmen's  
5. Compensation to employers by an average of...well, we don't have  
6. an exact cost today, but we're talking about an over three hundred  
7. and fifty percent increase in 1974. This bill, in particular,  
8. is going to increase cost across the board, in some areas, much  
9. more than others. In terms of specific things, the compensation  
10. paid for nonfatal cases is redefines...total temporary incapacity,  
11. expands it substantially to a point where reasonable men may  
12. disagree as to whether or not the individual should still be under  
13. compensation. We all agree when an individual is incapacitated due  
14. to work, he or she should receive benefits, but this new  
15. definition, I think, leaves it far too open ended. In addition,  
16. the basis for computing compensation changes the entire method.  
17. It adds all sorts of overtime, et cetera, under compensation  
18. and you and I know that overtime is not a consistent marker of an  
19. individual's income. It is a totally inconsistent thing and  
20. is quite often seasonal and it probably should not be included  
21. when we're talking about awards, because this is not regular  
22. compensation. So, these are a few of the problems involved, but  
23. the thing to stress, really, is a couple. Number one, this is  
24. a comprehensive bill, probably should take a great deal of thought  
25. and I would be more than happy to serve on the interim study  
26. committee that would think about this for some time, number two,  
27. we have an agreed bill committee talking about Workmen's Compensation  
28. at this very moment and to put in a comprehensive bill when we  
29. are allegedly both the President of the Senate and the Speaker  
30. of the House, of your party and the Governor of my own party,  
31. are supporting an agreed bill process to put in this kind of  
32. comprehensive bill without allowing the agreed bill process to  
33. work, is to me, undermining the entire concept that all of us are  
trying to assist. In addition, we're talking about cost. Perhaps

1. we do not want to be a position of cutting back costs on  
2. Workmen's Compensation. That's a decision you'll have to make.  
3. But to be in the position to have intentionally increased costs  
4. by changing computations used and what's covered is certainly  
5. unacceptable at this time. So, I would solicit your No  
6. vote or at least a Present vote to say let us give more  
7. study to this bill. Thank you.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. Is there further discussion? Senator Graham.

10. SENATOR GRAHAM:

11. Mr. President and members of the Senate. 1975 I stood  
12. before this Senate when we passed the last Workmen and Unemployment  
13. Compensation Bills and told you, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate,  
14. what these bills were going to do to the employment ratio in the  
15. State of Illinois and what it would do to the business climate in  
16. the State of Illinois. Apparently, you didn't listen, you didn't  
17. believe me or you were locked in. One or the other. But the  
18. facts are there, Ladies and Gentlemen. The current Workmen's  
19. Compensation Act as we are now living under, is a...is a detrimental  
20. factor in Illinois insofar as business and industry is concerned  
21. and the hiring of people and now you want to put on another  
22. imposition. For the life of me, for the life of me, I don't  
23. know how you can run around the State and you have, many of you  
24. have and said what you're going to do to help the people in  
25. the State and help the employers, you're going to help the  
26. employees and come right down here in the same Session and oppose  
27. another rate change, another hike on these same employers, that  
28. have told you, by their own actions, that they're shipping these  
29. jobs out of the State, they're closing these factories and now  
30. we want to say, okay, we don't give a damn. We want you to  
31. keep on leaving, we'll give you a hundred and thirty-three percent  
32. ...reasons why you should. This is an unconscionable thing.  
33. Senator Maragos, I'm surprised you would even introduce such a  
bill. It ought to get a good, decent burial with about a hundred and

1. forty-six red lights.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3. Is there further discussion? Senator Nimrod.

4. SENATOR NIMROD:

5. Yes, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.  
6. At a time when there is a...seems to be a spirit of cooperation  
7. between both sides of the aisle here to endeavor to find some  
8. means of compromising on the whole Workmen's Compensation  
9. problem, it seems to me that this kind of a bill certainly  
10. ...right in the face of that kind of cooperation. I would say  
11. that there's two things I would like to call your attention, in  
12. particular, that this bill does. You know, in good spirit and good  
13. faith, we agreed last year that Senate Bill 1019 which was  
14. finally passed in this Chamber and went on and said this  
15. was the package that we were standing behind, if you will read  
16. this particular bill, you will find that what happens, it takes  
17. away those advantages and those provisions that we gave  
18. last year without even calling attention to it. Now, I don't  
19. believe that this is dealing in good faith and I do not believe  
20. that the labor union leaders want this kind of a change to be  
21. made and I don't even believe that Senator Maragos, if he  
22. were aware of this, would want this to be included.. This bill takes  
23. and raises the maximum payable to permanent death and total  
24. temporary total from a hundred and thirty-three percent to  
25. the hundred and sixty-six percent. It puts back...it removes the  
26. caps that we put on last year. To me, that's not dealing in  
27. good faith. It does something else that's very disturbing to me. I'm  
28. sure we don't want to do this kind of thing. This grants  
29. dependents benefits for two years to parents who were not  
30. dependents before so that the amount would be equal to the amount  
31. paid to a surviving widow or widower. I can't understand why we  
32. should have parents receive two years of Workmen's Compensation  
33. benefits if they were not financially dependent upon them...on the  
deceased before that time. It seems to me that we are putting on an

1. increase in the Workmen's Compensation benefits. There are many  
2. other areas that we can go into. I really did not think that this  
3. was a serious presentation. I think that the kind of vote that  
4. ...indicated by this particular bill, should be one of rejection, one  
5. of indication that we ought to come back collectively together and  
6. be able to present a bill. I think this is wrong. I think it's  
7. not in the spirit of cooperation. It's a bill that's going to  
8. increase Workmen's Compensation benefits. It provides a very  
9. comprehensive area, Senator Keats has said and certainly  
10. Senator Graham has outlined some of the other objections.  
11. I would certainly urge a No vote in order for us to be able to  
12. continue on to see if we can come up with a package that we  
13. can pass out of this Senate that is meaningful and responsible.  
14. I would certainly urge a No vote on this bill.

15.  
16.  
17.  
18.  
19. End of reel  
20.  
21.  
22.  
23.  
24.  
25.  
26.  
27.  
28.  
29.  
30.  
31.  
32.  
33.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Is there any further discussion? If not, Senator Maragos  
3. may close the debate. Oh, Senator Knuppel.

4. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

5. Well, I just...I just want to make this Body...this is  
6. probably the first Workmen's Compensation bill that bears on  
7. the issue. I'd just like to make this Body aware of the fact  
8. that the State of Illinois...the State of Illinois is one of  
9. the most flagrant violators of...of some of the things that  
10. this bill is designed to correct. At the present time, the  
11. State of Illinois is cutting people off Workmen's Compensation  
12. that are on temporary total, denying them benefits on the  
13. basis that they've become permanent and...and that, therefore,  
14. they should go back to the commission without giving them any  
15. notice. When you call they complain that the Workmen's  
16. Compensation is costing the State of...Illinois more than they  
17. expected and I just want you, Gentlemen to know that our own  
18. servants of this State are treating our own employees in a  
19. very shabby heartless manner and that many, many times, as this  
20. points out, the employer will try to bring and I...I guess it's  
21. a...it's an open pit for an employer...it's fair for him to try  
22. to do what he can as...as opposed to the employee, but I hate  
23. like hell to see the State of Illinois treat its employees...I  
24. have an individual who had his eyes and ears both put out in a...  
25. in an accident at Quincy, Illinois when he was trying to defuse  
26. a bomb and they, without notice to him, the State of Illinois...  
27. Business Administrative group that handles this, cut off this  
28. man's benefits without any notice to him on the basis that they  
29. had a medical report, which they will not furnish me, that said  
30. he had become permanently disabled. I just want you to know  
31. that...that...that I have a lot of compassion for the employees  
32. under some of these Workmen's Compensation bills. It's not  
33. all an open and shut case. I...I regret that so often Workmen's



1. Compensation legislation comes before this Body in comprehensive  
2. bills. That's what happened in 1975. Many of these things  
3. could be corrected, both ways. Both for the employer and the  
4. employee if they were presented as separate corrections, such  
5. as the three percent and seven percent things like...like the  
6. temporary total part, but these bills come before this Body  
7. so often in comprehensive legislation that we cannot fairly  
8. direct ourselves to those that are good and bad and that's  
9. what's wrong with this bill, Senator Maragos. It...it addresses  
10. itself to too many things. I'm going to vote Present. Hopefully,  
11. something can be done. There...there are things that have to  
12. be corrected both...both for the employee under the present Act  
13. and the employer.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Is there further discussion? Senator Maragos may close  
16. the debate.

17. SENATOR MARAGOS:

18. Mr. President and members of the Senate. It surprises  
19. me very greatly to see that the Gentlemen on the other side  
20. of the aisle say we have the agreed bill process, in effect,  
21. or supposedly in effect, yet yesterday Senator Nimrod did not  
22. hesitate to have Senate Bill 219 go out, which dealt with  
23. the same subject matter and he didn't say anything about the  
24. agreed bill process yesterday. I think it made...this bill  
25. may even not be the perfect form, but it has one outstanding  
26. point that should be and it's in its favor and that is when  
27. they...an employee is injured and arbitrarily his compensation  
28. is cut off right in the middle of his...the time he is receiving  
29. his compensation or if he gets none whatsoever, he has only the  
30. right...he has the right to go before the Arbitration Board  
31. and before the...Industrial Commission and say, Gentlemen,  
32. I'm injured, I'm getting no compensation or my compensation  
33. has been cut off, please give me some amelioration to my...

1. because my family is starving and that's the situation as has  
2. here and we...with the amendment we've made it a better bill,  
3. but by saying, in the event the employer does not have any  
4. medical records and in no way can he or she know what the  
5. injury is, then they will not be penalized if they arbitrarily  
6. or by skullduggery go ahead and say we will not give the  
7. compensation to that employee when...when he or she is injured.  
8. I think it's a humane approach. If there's something here that  
9. many of you may not feel that you...it's a duplicate...amended  
10. over in the House and tell them to do it, but I think this  
11. should be...go over to the House and we can bring it up with  
12. the objections, but don't give me the agreed bill process,  
13. Ladies and Gentlemen, because you already have passed  
14. legislation out of here dealing with the subject matter and  
15. you do not wait for the agreed bill process at that time and  
16. nobody objected for it on this side of the aisle. Therefore,  
17. I ask for the passage of this bill at this time.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19. The question is, shall Senate Bill 498 pass. Those in  
20. favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.  
21. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Senator  
22. Maragos. Senator Maragos moves for postponed consideration.  
23. Further consideration will be postponed. Senate Bill 502,  
24. Senator Davidson. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

25. SECRETARY:

26. Senate Bill 502.

27. (Secretary reads title of bill)

28. 3rd reading of the bill.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. Senator Davidson.

31. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

32. Mr. President and members of the Senate. This is a bill  
33. that came out of the hearings from the Motor Vehicle Laws

1. Commission. It says that ID cards must be carried in a truck  
2. and the State Police have an opportunity to check it. This is  
3. so the truckers who are supposed to buy gas in Illinois will  
4. have an opportunity to buy gas and increase the amount of  
5. income to help repair the Illinois roads. Appreciate a...a favorable  
6. roll call.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Is there any further discussion? If not, the question is,  
9. shall Senate Bill 502 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those  
10. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who  
11. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that  
12. question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none and none Voting  
13. Present. Senate Bill 502 having received the constitutional  
14. majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 504...Senate Bill 504.  
15. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

16. SECRETARY:

17. Senate Bill 504.

18. (Secretary reads title of bill)

19. 3rd reading of the bill.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

21. Senator Chew.

22. SENATOR CHEW:

23. This is a bill that we amended and in explaining the  
24. amendment it now does not require the Department of Transportation  
25. to...to funnel any funds in from the programs. It's strictly a  
26. Federal program, but it's administered by the Department of  
27. Transportation and, again, it doesn't cost the State any money  
28. at all because it's not mandatory and I'd ask for a favorable  
29. roll call.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Is there any further discussion? If not, the question is,  
32. shall Senate Bill 504 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those  
33. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?

1. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,
2. the Ayes are 55, none voting No and 1 Voting Present. Senate
3. Bill 504 having received the constitutional majority is
4. declared passed. Senate Bill 505, Senator Vadalabene.
5. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
6. SECRETARY:
7. Senate Bill 505.
8. (Secretary reads title of bill)
9. 3rd reading of the bill.
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
11. Senator Vadalabene.
12. SENATOR VADALABENE:
13. Yes...yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the
14. Senate. This is a Motor Vehicle Laws Commission sponsored
15. bill and the DOT supports the measure and it permits the
16. Secretary of State to forward a copy of the record rather
17. than a certified copy record of a nonresident whose operating
18. privileges is suspended or revoked to the Motor Vehicle
19. Administration of the State where such person resides and
20. I would appreciate a favorable vote.
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
22. Is there any further discussion? If not, the question
23. is, shall Senate Bill 505 pass. Those in favor vote Aye.
24. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted
25. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
26. question, the Ayes are 56, the Nays are none and none Voting
27. Present. Senate Bill 505 having received the constitutional
28. majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 506, Senator
29. Davidson. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
30. SECRETARY:
31. Senate Bill 506.
32. (Secretary reads title of bill)
33. 3rd reading of the bill.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Senator Davidson.

3. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

4. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This is  
5. another bill that came out of hearings from Motor Vehicle Laws  
6. Commission and what it does is says that school buses under  
7. certain atmospheric conditions and only in rural areas and  
8. less than thirty miles prior to traveling can use strobe lights  
9. for protection of the bus and the children. Appreciate a...  
10. favorable roll call.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is,  
13. shall Senate Bill 506 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those  
14. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who  
15. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that  
16. question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none and none Voting  
17. Present. Senate Bill 506 having received the constitutional  
18. majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 507, Senator Coffey.  
19. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

20. SECRETARY:

21. Senate Bill 507.

22. (Secretary reads title of bill)

23. 3rd reading of the bill.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. Senator Coffey.

26. SENATOR COFFEY:

27. Mr. President and members of the Senate. This Senate  
28. Bill amends the Vehicle Code and first...it really does two  
29. things and in the first part of the bill it addresses itself  
30. to the same measures that was in Senate Bill 265, which dealt  
31. with the establishing weight rather than bushels in limiting  
32. factors for farm wagons and liquid fertilizers in the  
33. agriculture area. That passed the Senate with a favorable

1. vote and is now over in...in the House. The second part of  
2. the bill addresses itself to the...the gross weight of...well  
3. first of all, it deals with the agriculture flotation tired  
4. equipment, which puts some fertilizer...liquid fertilizer or  
5. dry fertilizer and it...what it does is it sets forth in the  
6. Act the gross of weight of thirty-six thousand pounds, the  
7. width, not more than twelve feet. It can't travel...the  
8. speed of more than thirty mile per hour. It is to be used  
9. only for agricultural purposes. It can't travel over the  
10. radius of fifty miles from the place of business and it also  
11. sets forth in the Act a hundred and sixty dollars per year  
12. per vehicle as far as fees are concerned. It will raise...  
13. it will raise some Revenue for the department and we don't  
14. know for sure how many of these units there is right now  
15. in the State of Illinois, but we think there's between five  
16. and six hundred of these pieces of equipment and we think that  
17. it will raise between eighty thousand and a hundred thousand  
18. dollars in Revenue. I would ask your favorable roll call.  
19. It's the Department of Transportation and the Secretary of  
20. State, Illinois State Police and the Illinois Fertilizer  
21. Association and the Farm Bureau, majority and minority staffs  
22. of both...both sides of the aisles. I have been in on the  
23. hearings on this bill and I'd ask your favorable roll call.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. Is there further discussion? Senator Demuzio.

26. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

27. Yes, I have some questions of the sponsor will yield to...  
28. First of all, in reading the...the digest I don't have the bill  
29. in front of me, I...it indicates a registration fee for such  
30. equipment to be set at a hundred and sixty dollars. Is that...  
31. what is it currently at...at this point?

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Senator Coffey.

1. SENATOR COFFEY:

2. Presently, there is no fee whatsoever at this time, but  
3. the industry is in agreement with the hundred and sixty  
4. dollars. They were part of the committee, which made up  
5. this cost.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. Senator Demuzio.

8. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

9. Well, in addition to that it...it indicates that the  
10. self...self propelled fertilizer implements that are equipped  
11. with the flotation tires be licensed if they're operated on  
12. the highways. Are they currently not licensed?

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

14. Senator Coffey.

15. SENATOR COFFEY:

16. Yes, they're currently not licensed. They've been  
17. considered as part of the animal husbandry for farm use and  
18. now there's been some question on whether they can fall into  
19. that category and so that's the reason for this legislation.  
20. Hopefully, to straighten that out and deal with it and set a  
21. license plate out at a...at a straight fee of a hundred and  
22. sixty dollars per year.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Senator Davidson.

25. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

26. I just rise in support of this. This is a bill that was  
27. worked out for all the industry included DOT and Law Enforce-  
28. ment and the Motor Vehicles Commission. We met for almost five  
29. months, at least once a month. I appreciate a favorable roll  
30. call.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Senator Wooten.

33. SENATOR WOOTEN:

1. Thank you, Mr. President, I appreciate all the work that's  
2. gone into it, but the net result is that you increase the  
3. permissible gross weight of trailers on the highway up to  
4. thirty-six thousand pounds and you're talking about a license  
5. fee of a hundred and sixty dollars where none exists. I guess  
6. as a kind of a trade-off and I'm not sure that's warranted.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Is there any further discussion? If not, Senator Coffey  
9. may close the debate.

10. SENATOR COFFEY:

11. Well, just very briefly to answer the previous speaker.  
12. The fee was agreed upon by the industry again, the hundred  
13. and sixty dollar fee. In the past they traveled on the road  
14. system with no...no cost whatsoever. Now we will be receiving  
15. a hundred and sixty dollars and that's what they're interested  
16. in. It's going to bring additional Revenue and it will clear  
17. up the...the Statutory problems with the...now.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19. The question is, shall Senate Bill 507 pass. Those in  
20. favor indicate by saying Aye or voting Aye. Those opposed  
21. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have  
22. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the  
23. Ayes are 40, the Nays are 4, 7 Voting Present. Senate Bill 507  
24. having received the constitutional majority is declared passed.  
25. Senate Bill 511, Senator Weaver. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

26. SECRETARY:

27. Senate Bill 511.

28. (Secretary reads title of bill)

29. 3rd reading of the bill.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Senator Weaver.

32. SENATOR WEAVER:

33. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This



1. legislation deals with retroactive pay raises in which employees  
2. belonging to the union and are retiring during the year 1978 had  
3. sixty days in which to file for the extra payments to the union.  
4. There are several retired nonunion employees who were denied  
5. the retroactive lump sum payment of forty dollars for each month that  
6. they were on the payroll...during Fiscal Year 1978, which was  
7. not payable to them until Fiscal Year 1979. This legislation  
8. attempts to be equitable in paying those employees who worked  
9. several months and were not compensated. The Department of  
10. Personnel says that the cost of this will be in the neighbor-  
11. hood of thirty-nine thousand a hundred and seventy dollars.  
12. If anyone has any questions, I'll be happy to try to answer  
13. them.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Is there any further discussion? If not, the question  
16. is, shall Senate Bill 511 pass. Those in favor vote Aye.  
17. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted  
18. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that  
19. question, the Ayes are 45, the Nays are none and 1 Voting Present.  
20. Senate Bill 511 having received the constitutional majority is  
21. declared passed. Senate Bill 514, Senator Mitchler. Read the  
22. bill, Mr. Secretary.

23. SECRETARY:

24. Senate Bill 514.

25. (Secretary reads title of bill)

26. 3rd reading of the bill.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

28. Senator Mitchler.

29. SENATOR MITCHLER:

30. Mr. President and members of the Senate. The bill does  
31. exactly what the synopsis says. It was necessary to put this  
32. legislation through to clarify in the Statute the new authority  
33. granted to the Illinois Commission on Delinquency Prevention

1. when they had certain responsibilities transferred over to  
2. that commission from the Department of Children and Family  
3. Services. I'll ask for a favorable roll call.  
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
5. Is there further discussion? Senator Netsch.  
6. SENATOR NETSCH:  
7. Senator Mitchler, could I ask you one question? Is it  
8. not still true that the commission's responsibility with respect to  
9. amend is only up to the point of adjudication. That is, they  
10. have no authority or responsibility after they are once  
11. adjudicated amends. That has not changed and it's not changed  
12. by this bill. Isn't that right?  
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
14. Senator Mitchler.  
15. SENATOR MITCHLER:  
16. It is my understanding that that is correct, Senator  
17. Netsch.  
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
19. Is there any further discussion? If not, the question  
20. is, shall Senate Bill 514 pass. Those in favor vote Aye.  
21. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted  
22. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that  
23. question, the Ayes are 51, the Nays are none and none Voting  
24. Present. Senate Bill 514 having received the constitutional  
25. majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 515, Senator  
26. Mitchler. Senate Bill 517, Senator Graham. Read the bill,  
27. Mr. Secretary.  
28. SECRETARY:  
29. Senate Bill 517.  
30. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
31. 3rd reading of the bill.  
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
33. Senator Graham.

1. SENATOR GRAHAM:  
2. Mr. President and members of the Senate. This is a series  
3. of three or four bills. It came at the request of the Cook  
4. County Association of School Treasurers and the Cook County  
5. Association of School Trustees. It has no effect on downstate  
6. Illinois and it has no effect on Chicago. This bill provides  
7. that the school trustees shall hold regular meetings on the  
8. first Monday of each calendar quarter or if such Monday falls  
9. on a holiday, the meeting shall be on the following Monday.  
10. Applies to suburban Cook County. I ask for a favorable roll  
11. call.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. Is there any further discussion on a bill that doesn't  
14. apply to anybody? The question is, shall Senate Bill 517  
15. pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The  
16. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who  
17. wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55,  
18. the Nays are 1 and none Voting Present. Senate Bill 517  
19. having received the constitutional majority is declared  
20. passed. Senate Bill 518, Senator Collins. Read the bill,  
21. Mr. Secretary.

22. SECRETARY:

23. Senate Bill 518.  
24. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
25. 3rd reading of the bill.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Senator Collins.

28. SENATOR COLLINS:

29. Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate Bill 518  
30. is a Mental Health Commission bill. For all practical purposes  
31. it is a housekeeping bill, which merely codifies the existing  
32. function of the Dangerous Drug Commission. What it does, is  
33. transfer the responsibility of dangerous drug treatment of a

1. criminal justice referral to licensed program approved by  
2. the commission...What...what exists now, it says approved by  
3. the Department of Mental Health. The bill has...the support  
4. of the Department of Mental Health and I know of no objection.  
5. I ask for a favorable roll call.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. Is there any further discussion? If not, the question  
8. is, shall Senate Bill 518 pass. Those in favor vote Aye.  
9. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted  
10. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that  
11. question, the Ayes are 46, the Nays are none and 3 Voting Present.  
12. Senate Bill 518 having received the constitutional majority is  
13. declared passed. Senate Bill 522, Senator Nedza. Read the  
14. bill, Mr. Secretary.

15. SECRETARY:

16. Senate Bill 522.

17. (Secretary reads title of bill)

18. 3rd reading of the bill.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Senator Nedza.

21. SENATOR NEDZA:

22. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
23. Senate. Actually, the brevity of time...this bill addresses  
24. the situation where a labor dispute that results in a direct  
25. work stoppage by employees. However, after an agreement has  
26. been reached by some...has been reached, some of the people  
27. are not called back to work and, therefore, denied unemploy-  
28. ment benefits. Because of the original employment was...is  
29. directly related to the labor dispute...such a situation  
30. was recently experienced in Springfield with Sangamo Electric  
31. Company. Actually, it's a simple bill. The bill...all it  
32. does is to amend that...the Unemployment Insurance Act to  
33. provide that the benefits shall not be denied to an otherwise

1. eligible individual who is not recalled to work within one  
2. week after the termination of a labor dispute. If there are  
3. no questions, I would move for a favorable passage.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Is there further discussion? Senator Grotberg.

6. SENATOR GROTBORG:

7. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I have  
8. all kinds of concern about this, presumably simple amendment.  
9. But would the sponsor yield for a question?

10. PRESIDING OFFICER:(SENATOR SAVICKAS)

11. He indicates he will.

12. SENATOR GROTBORG:

13. Senator Nedza, why do we need it? Everything we have  
14. indicates it's absolutely unnecessary and I really mean that  
15. seriously, why do we need it?

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. Senator Nedza.

18. SENATOR NEDZA:

19. ...Senator, according to some data that I'd received,  
20. is that a situation such as this has been recently experienced  
21. with the Sangamo Electric Company whereby individuals...there  
22. was a labor dispute and the individuals that were involved  
23. were not called...were not called back to their employment  
24. and, thereby, they were denied any benefits that they could  
25. receive.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Senator Grotberg.

28. SENATOR GROTBORG:

29. Well, Senator Nedza, long before you came to the Senate  
30. about 1974, that's right...was over and everybody's left town  
31. even the people and I don't know...just because we're in  
32. Springfield whether that adds legitimacy to this bill or not,  
33. but it is in general, a bad approach to what is already a bad

1. enough situation. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I'll  
2. address the amendment, if you don't mind. The...that...the  
3. ineligibility for benefits while on a labor dispute have  
4. been handled...they're in the new Act. It was part of the  
5. Act that we wrote that created the whole big monster that  
6. we're living with and we need not much more of it and I  
7. would recommend a No vote on this excellently drafted  
8. amendment, but rather untimely and getting whiskers every  
9. year.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

11. Is there any further discussion? If not, Senator Nedza  
12. may close the debate.

13. SENATOR NEDZA:

14. Mr. President, the situation may have been long before  
15. my time coming into the Senate, but the practice that was  
16. in prominence at that time has not been resolved and that  
17. is why the reason this bill is before us today. The situation  
18. that had existed still prevailed. The inequities that persisted  
19. still prevail, so therefore, I would ask for a favorable vote.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

21. The question is, shall Senate Bill 522 pass. Those in  
22. favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.  
23. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the  
24. record. On that question, the Ayes are 20, the Nays are 34.  
25. Senator Nedza moves to put Senate Bill 522 on Consideration  
26. Postponed. So ordered. Senate Bill 525, Senator Lemke.  
27. Senate Bill 526, D'Arco. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

28. SECRETARY:

29. Senate Bill 526.

30. (Secretary reads title of bill)

31. 3rd reading of the bill.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Senator D'Arco.

1. SENATOR D'ARCO:

2. Yeah, you...

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. Would you break up that conference in front of Senator  
5. D'Arco...Senator Chew, would you...Senator D'Arco is presenting  
6. a bill at this point.

7. SENATOR D'ARCO:

8. Thank you, Mr. President and my fellow Senators. Senate  
9. Bill 526 eliminates the waiting...the period for eligibility  
10. for unemployment comp. The reason for this is that the...  
11. after three weeks when he's on unemployment he can recoup  
12. the first week unemployment benefits, so there really is no  
13. necessity for the waiting period. The second thing it does,  
14. is increases the minimum weekly unemployment benefits from  
15. fifteen to twenty-five dollars. This helps the...the domestic  
16. workers, stockboys, migrant workers who work erratic periods  
17. of employment and don't qualify for higher benefits and since  
18. the average weekly wages are going up every six months we  
19. thought that it would be only appropriate to raise the minimum  
20. amount of unemployment that a person can receive and I would  
21. ask for a favorable...

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Is there any further discussion? Senator Knuppel.

24. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

25. Well, I just want to make a couple of comments. I  
26. really am quite a D'Arco man and support all his bills and  
27. he does pretty well by me, but I don't know if he realizes  
28. how that three weeks provision got in there. That was a  
29. compromise provision when the new Unemployment Act was  
30. adopted. Most of us fellows been a little longer caught  
31. billy be damn for having worked out a compromise so that  
32. people did get benefits and I think we got a letter  
33. here from a guy named Bob Johnson that ate us all up, if some

1. of the older members remember it and the purpose of that  
2. was so that a person would have a little bit of an urge  
3. to get out and look for something...for another job because  
4. he wasn't going to just sit there and immediately start  
5. drawing on unemployment compensation. That was the reason  
6. the first week was lapsed over. I...I have no opposition  
7. to an increase in the minimums in light of what's happened  
8. over the last four years, but I'm...I'm...I'm afraid this  
9. is kind of like the bill that Senator Maragos has. If these  
10. things would attack one problem instead of attacking four or  
11. five and tying two or three bad tales to a good wagon, we  
12. might get something of these things passed and get some help.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

14. Senator Keats.

15. SENATOR KEATS:

16. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of  
17. the Senate. First, I have a question and then I have a  
18. couple of comments. May I ask Senator D'Arco a question?

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. He indicates he will yield.

21. SENATOR KEATS:

22. Senator, my notes clearly state that when this bill was  
23. in subcommittee, the wording I've got written is Senator D'Arco  
24. agreed in subcommittee to amend this bill to reinstate the one  
25. week waiting period and that's why we voted it out of sub-  
26. committee and while you did amend the bill, that was not the  
27. part that you amended. Do you remember that agreement, since  
28. everyone else on the subcommittee does?

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. Senator D'Arco.

31. SENATOR D'ARCO:

32. No.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)



1. Senator Keats.

2. SENATOR KEATS:

3. Well, the...the memories of some of the other members  
4. of the subcommittee are better, I do have to say that there  
5. is a question of faith when you say you're bringing the bill  
6. out. I can appreciate the terseness of your comment. I would  
7. hate to concede that I'd forgotten to put an amendment on my  
8. own bill, also. But, there are a couple of problems for this  
9. bill. Number one, raising the minimum from fifteen to twenty-  
10. five a week is really not a serious problem that will not  
11. have a tremendous impact on the UI Trust Fund and in reality,  
12. it affects very few people. Some people who have been part-  
13. time employed, but that's it, but the actual bill beyond that  
14. point could have some serious problems when you take off that  
15. one week waiting period because if an individual remains  
16. unemployed for three weeks they automatically...three weeks  
17. or longer, they automatically get that one week back. So it's  
18. not as if the individual does not receive the one weeks pay.  
19. We figure this could cost the UI Trust Fund, at least, five  
20. million dollars annually and I don't have to tell you that  
21. the UI Trust Fund is in a bit of financial trouble and I'd  
22. like to bring up a couple more points, when you're thinking  
23. about it, if we cut out this one week period the Bureau for  
24. Employment Security has less time to make an effective  
25. determination. It will also give the employer less time to  
26. protest the plan. Right now, thirty-eight states have the one  
27. week waiting period or longer. So it's not as if we're being  
28. unfair when you figure thirty-eight states are one week or  
29. longer and then there's the last point. I think some of us  
30. are aware that this particular point has been given extremely  
31. serious consideration in the agreed bill process and while we  
32. don't know what will come out this is a point that I know has  
33. been covered and I would say that the reasonable men of labor,

1. business and a few independents will probably come up with  
2. a compromise. I would...appreciate it if you would vote  
3. No on this bill.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Is there...is there further discussion? If not, Senator  
6. D'Arco may close the debate.

7. SENATOR D'ARCO:

8. Senator Keats, I appreciate your comments and I don't  
9. mean to be terse or...or vivid about this bill...vivid,  
10. I don't know if you know what that means, but, no listen  
11. to this. No and Senator Knuppel brought up the point about  
12. the one week waiting period and you bring out that point  
13. and I think it's a valid point. I really do. Now, if I  
14. could...you know...have your assurances because you both  
15. indicated that you do not...you are not against raising the  
16. minimums from fifteen to twenty-five and if we can take  
17. out the one week waiting period and pass the bill with  
18. raising the minimums, I would hold the bill until we can  
19. get an amendment and that would satisfy everybody.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

21. Senator Keats.

22. SENATOR KEATS:

23. ...I do ask since the agreed bill process will be  
24. announcing the results...before you could get an amendment  
25. drafted why don't we just hold it a day or two and see if  
26. they covered it?

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

28. Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 527, Senator  
29. Lemke. Senate Bill 528, D'Arco. Senator D'Arco. Senate  
30. Bill 532, Senator Johns. Senator Johns, for what purpose  
31. do you arise?

32. SENATOR JOHNS:

33. Mr. President and members of the Senate. This particular

1. bill 532 has some problems in that it doesn't meet the  
2. criteria of the Federal Act in designating a single  
3. receiver for these funds. I'm waiting for the analysis  
4. on two other House Bills in relation to this and I'm going  
5. to pass it, but I just wanted the people to know why. Thank  
6. you, Mr. President.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Senate Bill 533, Senator Knuppel. Read the bill, Mr.  
9. Secretary.

10. SECRETARY:

11. Senate Bill 533.

12. (Secretary reads title of bill)

13. 3rd reading of the bill.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Senator Knuppel.

16. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

17. Well, Senate Bill 533 is a bill that created a commission  
18. of fifteen members who would hold...run the Illinois State  
19. Fair. The purpose is designed to remove the operation of  
20. the fair from the politics that have overshadowed it in...  
21. in the years that shortly passed. It's similar in effect  
22. except that the appointments are to be by the Governor with  
23. the advice and consent of the Senate to...a bill we passed  
24. here approximately three or four years ago where the men  
25. were to be from different districts in the State of Illinois.  
26. This one, however, spreads them around according to their  
27. interests. Livestock, machinery, entertainment, horses, et  
28. cetera. The budget or the fiscal period would be changed  
29. from a January 1st budget to a...to a...from a July 1st  
30. budget to a July 1st budget...it'll be changed to a January 1st  
31. to a January 1st fiscal year because what's happened is the  
32. fair actually runs on a fiscal year and what...what has been  
33. happening is they use up their money and then they sit there

1. during the most crucial months when they should be making  
2. preparations for a fair during May and June without money  
3. and then try to get it all done between July 1st and the  
4. time the fair starts with a great deal of overtime. Now,  
5. I realize there's several other bills floating around with...  
6. with different ideas about how to address this thing, including  
7. one idea of the Governor to put it back under the Department  
8. of Agriculture. Now, I personally am not...going to say that  
9. it shouldn't go under the Department of Agriculture but it  
10. was there once and we took it out. Now, there must have been  
11. some reason for taking it out when we created the State Fair  
12. Agency and this is a...completely different idea that...that  
13. is designed to make the...the Fair a self-supporting, independent  
14. nonpolitical ridden agency that will try to make a profit.  
15. The people there will have a responsibility to see that it  
16. works. I'd like to address all the members of this Body and  
17. say to you, the bill still has to go through the House. It's  
18. subject to their approval and the amendments that may be  
19. created there and it would lie on the Governor's Desk. There  
20. may be no other State Fair Bill that gets that far. There  
21. may be, but if there are two, he can make a choice of which  
22. he thinks is the best and...and which will do the best job.  
23. What's happened, unfortunately, with the two or three times  
24. we've tried to get a...a reorganized fair, Governor Walker  
25. vetoed it and then that veto would have been overridden,  
26. Gentlemen, but for the fact that...that Governor Thompson had  
27. just been elected and I...I can't blame him for saying, I  
28. want to have a crack at the personnel out there. I want to  
29. have a crack at operating the fair. I want to show that it  
30. can be done, but the fact is, you go out there and you look  
31. at the buildings and you look at the grounds and you talk to  
32. the people who live there and they tell you...you know...  
33. when the ice storm was there...there's nothing but bitching,

1. moans and groans from everybody that has contact with that  
2. fair. They...they...the...I go out there. I've got a  
3. couple of horses out there training, all I can hear is the  
4. water wagon is broke down. The track is too hard. The  
5. buildings leak. I don't know what all...more could be  
6. wrong with the way the State Fair's been operated. This  
7. is an honest to goodness attempt to try to remove this  
8. agency which so many people in central Illinois and  
9. particularly rural people have a direct interest in from the  
10. vale of tears, which has followed it through all the years  
11. and maybe...maybe the Governor...maybe when we see the  
12. bill that says it ought to go back into the Department  
13. of Agriculture, maybe at that point you people will say  
14. that's where it ought to go. I say that if we pass three  
15. or four bills that have three of four different approaches  
16. to this thing, the Governor will have an attempt to choose  
17. one, but for goodness sake, let's don't leave the fair  
18. writhing in the agony that its been in for the last seven  
19. or eight years. Let's do something about it and hope that  
20. we can get a State Fair that all of us can hold up our heads  
21. and say we helped create the image that it now is. We  
22. helped lift it up and make it a productive...a show place  
23. for all of agriculture throughout the world and this is what  
24. this is. It's an attempt to do this. To remove the politics,  
25. to put the people that are interested in the different things  
26. that go on at the fair and charge and...and I would solicit  
27. a favorable roll call.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

29. Is there further discussion? Senator Graham.

30. SENATOR GRAHAM:

31. Mr. President, I'd like to address myself to the bill and  
32. to Senator Knuppel, in particular. He alluded to another bill  
33. that is on the Calendar and I happen to be the sponsor of it.

1. It's one that came out of the Committee on Reorganization.  
2. I agree with you, Senator Knuppel, something needs to be done.  
3. I'm not sure that you have the answer. I'm not sure that this  
4. other one is the answer, but I am willing to make this agree-  
5. ment if we can make it...let's pass them both out of here and  
6. let the Governor have a crack at them. All right? You agree.  
7. Yeah, will I think...I think it's a responsible thing to do.  
8. I don't think Senator Knuppel and I either one have told you  
9. we can walk on water, but there has to be an answer. Maybe  
10. between he and thee and the Governor's Office, we maybe can  
11. straighten out this fair and with that amendment from Senator  
12. Knuppel and people on this side of the aisle, let's get this  
13. bill out of here and get the other one out next...next time  
14. around and send them both down to Governor Thompson and let  
15. him wrestle with them.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. Yes, while we're in the middle of debate, I'd like to  
18. recognize one of our constitutional officers, our Attorney  
19. General, Bill Scott is on the Floor here. Is there further  
20. discussion? Senator Mitchler.

21. SENATOR MITCHLER:

22. Mr. President and members of the Senate. I have served  
23. on the State Fair Board for a number of years. Senator  
24. McMillan is also a member of the State Fair Board. It's an  
25. advisory board. In an advisory capacity we have examined  
26. many of the different methods that we might improve the State  
27. Fair. I'm not going to degrade the Illinois State Fair as a  
28. lot of people seem to do. It's true that there can be much  
29. improvement, but the Illinois State Fair is an outstanding  
30. event. Both in agriculture and for the entertainment of  
31. people throughout the entire State of Illinois and throughout  
32. the nation. They come to the State Fair. We have a...a  
33. magnificent horse show. Everybody has a good time, but with

1. the advent of a lot of the amusement parks that have been  
2. installed it has made the State Fairs very competitive and  
3. when you look at the buildings and the manner in which the  
4. funding and the upgrading of the State Fair over the period  
5. of years has been dealt with, we don't have the product that  
6. I think many of us anticipate seeing when we go to an Illinois  
7. State Fair. Now, this problem of reorganizing or doing some-  
8. thing to change the management because we have had out there...  
9. as a member of the State Fair Advisory Board...these State  
10. Fair managers...they change year by year. I think we had four  
11. in five years under the...five in four years under the Ogivilie  
12. administration and we've had several now, even under the  
13. Thompson administration...several under the Walker administration  
14. and we have been coping trying to find some method. Now,  
15. Senator Knuppel has introduced a concept that could be workable.  
16. It's...is acceptable as the one maybe that Senator Graham has.  
17. Just the morning I spoke to the Governor's aide on this to  
18. determine whether the Governor has an appropriate solution to  
19. the problem coming from his office and the answer is negative  
20. so at this time I think that we're up to the point where we can  
21. take the suggestion of Senator Graham and Senator Knuppel's  
22. agreement to pass out both of these bills...the one that came  
23. from the...that Senator Graham is sponsoring and the one that  
24. Senator Knuppel is sponsoring and let the Executive Branch  
25. make a determination. Personally, I think the present system  
26. could work if it had the support of the Executive Branch and  
27. the support of the Legislative Branch to appropriate money  
28. and upgrade the fair in the areas that need it. Because no  
29. sooner than you get a reorganization of the State Fair Agency  
30. whether it be in the Department of Agriculture or into this  
31. commission form, you're going to see them in here asking  
32. for a ton of money because the money is needed. Now, we're...  
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator, would you bring your remarks to a close?

2. SENATOR MITCHLER:

3. Yeah. They've gone through ice storms and snow storms  
4. out there...asked for nothing. They've had buildings burned  
5. down and never replaced them, so I'm going to ask for a  
6. favorable roll call for Senator Knuppel's bill and also for  
7. Senator Graham's bill.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. Senator Davidson.

10. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

11. Well, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise  
12. in opposition to this bill. Everybody wants to badmouth and  
13. beat the fair with a big stick 'cause it's convenient to be  
14. beat. Now, a fifteen man independent board is not going to  
15. make it work any better or any less or if you transfer it to  
16. the Department of Agriculture. Many of you around was on the  
17. Department of Agriculture and it was a stepchild. Everything  
18. got cut. The fair got cut. All we have to do to solve the  
19. problem is fund the fair like you should. Repair the buildings.  
20. Get it in top operation and put the money out there to get it  
21. in shape and you won't have any problem. It will support  
22. itself. All I ask you is to defeat this bill and let's put  
23. ourselves...shoulder to the wheel and get this fair to the  
24. position that it should be.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

26. Is there any further discussion? If not, Senator Knuppel  
27. may close the debate.

28. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

29. I want to say one or two things. I haven't badmouthed  
30. that fair. I haven't created the image which that fair has  
31. with the media and other people, but I've sat here for ten  
32. years and heard this same kind of gobbledygook...what it is...  
33. what's Senator Chew's words about let's get it on there and



1. that included some of the Democrats, too, and we're going  
2. to do a great job, but damn it, we can't do the job with the  
3. tools we got or at least we haven't. Let's get some other  
4. tools.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. The question is, shall Senate Bill 533 pass. Those in  
7. favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.  
8. Have...have all voted who...who wish? Have all voted who  
9. wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 47,  
10. the Nays are 6 and 1 Voting Present. Senate Bill 533 having  
11. received the constitutional majority is declared passed.  
12. Senate Bill 538, Senator Hall. Senate Bill 540, Senator  
13. Lemke. Senate Bill 541, Senator Philip. Read the bill, Mr.  
14. Secretary.

15. SECRETARY:

16. Senate Bill 541.

17. (Secretary reads title of bill)

18. 3rd reading of the bill.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Senator Philip.

21. SENATOR PHILIP:

22. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
23. Senate. Senate Bill 541 amends the Forest Preserve Code in  
24. the Park District Act. It would allow and I say allow, it's  
25. not a mandate...would allow those governing bodies to issue  
26. permits for people to drink beer and wine in the parks and  
27. they will all be charged a fee of not more than five dollars.  
28. I'll ask...it doesn't amend the Dram Shop Act at all. It  
29. doesn't even touch it. I'll answer any questions. I understand  
30. this, that in some counties the Forest Preserve already issues  
31. liquor permits. Our Forest Preserve does not. They don't think  
32. it's legal under the present Statute.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Is there any further discussion? Senator Hall.
2. SENATOR HALL:
3. Would the sponsor yield to a question?
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
5. He indicates he will.
6. SENATOR HALL:
7. Senator, in my area if a permit is issued that you must
8. have insurance. Now, you mean to say that they won't need any
9. insurance? You said it didn't affect the Dram Shop.
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
11. Senator Philip.
12. SENATOR PHILIP:
13. It has nothing to do with the Dram Shop Act at all. It
14. would allow a group or persons to go to the park board and
15. say, we're having a picnic, we would like to serve...give
16. away beer and wine. It would allow them to do that and
17. charge not more than five dollars for that as a fee for the
18. permit or license.
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
20. Senator Hall. Is there any further discussion? Senator
21. Grotberg.
22. SENATOR GROTBERG:
23. Unaccustomed as I am to public speaking, maybe I can
24. clarify it a little bit for Senator Hall. It's a good local
25. government bill, but the...that same local government can
26. demand a certificate of one night of Dram Shop coverage for
27. fifteen, twenty, fifty dollars, whatever it is. It's done
28. all the time in nonpermitted locations that are in the private
29. sector.
30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
31. Is there further discussion? Senator Bruce.
32. SENATOR BRUCE:
33. I...let someone answer one question. That is, if the park

1. district gives a permit for the sale of alcohol, is there any...  
2. first of all, is there any question about sale of alcoholic  
3. beverages?

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Senator Philip.

6. SENATOR PHILIP:

7. I...I guess I haven't been very clear. It has nothing to  
8. do with selling alcoholic beverages. All you could do if...if  
9. the park board or if the Forest Preserve Board passed an  
10. ordinance would allow people to drink beer and wine on that  
11. premises. There is no selling at all. If you're...if you're  
12. going to have a picnic, we happen to have the Elmhurst Chamber  
13. of Commerce has their annual steakfry in one of our Forest  
14. Preserves. Last year the Forest Preserve said, you can't have  
15. beer. There's no authority for us to let you have beer and  
16. we kind of thought that was ridiculous, very honestly. What  
17. this...we think you ought to be able to do it. Some of the  
18. Forest Preserves Districts...I know Lake County does. They  
19. already issued the permits. They think they have the authority.  
20. The lawyers for our Forest Preserves say we do not have the  
21. authority and it's not selling. It has nothing to do with  
22. selling at all. It merely lets groups drink beer and wine in  
23. the Forest Preserves regulated by the Forest Preserves.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. Senator Bruce.

26. SENATOR BRUCE:

27. And having issued that ordinance, what liability do they  
28. assume for the person injured after consuming alcohol?

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. Senator Philip.

31. SENATOR PHILIP:

32. Well, I...I suppose the same liability they would have  
33. if there was a fistfight or somebody got in an automobile

1. accident on...in that Forest Preserve. The exact same liability.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3. Senator Bruce.

4. SENATOR BRUCE:

5. No, Senator, they don't authorize people to have fistfights  
6. nor do they authorize them to have automobile accidents. That  
7. is the very big difference in..and the fear I see in this bill  
8. is that we're going to have some...something like a Kaneland  
9. case coming back into this General Assembly with some park  
10. district that allows consumption of alcohol by ordinance saying  
11. that we didn't have Dram Shop coverage and now we...we are  
12. liable. There's been a...a few cases already that say that  
13. hosts...when you serve alcohol in your own home are going to  
14. have to have Dram Shop coverage. I'm sure that a governmental  
15. entity, such as this and by ordinance and legal authority  
16. authorizes the dispensing of alcoholic beverages are going to  
17. pick up some sort of liability. I just don't think it's a  
18. very good idea.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Senator Philip.

21. SENATOR PHILIP:

22. Well, I hope that...those board of commissioners would  
23. use some common sense and judgment and they would probably  
24. buy Dram Shop insurance, very honestly.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

26. Is there any further discussion? The question is, shall  
27. Senate Bill 541 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed  
28. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have  
29. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the  
30. Ayes are 26, the Nays are 15 and none Voting Present. Senate  
31. Bill 541 having failed to receive the constitutional majority  
32. is declared lost. Senate Bill 543, Senator Regner. Read the  
33. bill, Mr. Secretary.

SB 547  
3rd reading  
5-16-79

1. SECRETARY:
2. Senate Bill 543.
3. (Secretary reads title of bill)
4. 3rd reading of the bill.
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
6. Senator Regner.
7. SENATOR REGNER:
8. Mr. President and members of the Senate. We've had
9. several problems over the last couple of years regarding
10. the Scholarship Commission. What this bill does, it puts
11. the Scholarship Commission under the authority of the Board
12. of Higher Education just like our other...the other universities
13. are and also makes the Chairman of the Scholarship Commission
14. a member of the Board of Higher Education. It's felt that
15. this will alleviate some of the problems in the Scholarship
16. Commission. Will be presenting their various new programs
17. to the Board of Higher Education throughout the year rather
18. than just through the General Assembly in the appropriation
19. bill that they present each Spring and I'd ask for a favorable
20. roll call.
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
22. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is,
23. shall Senate Bill 543 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those
24. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who
25. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
26. question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are 1 and 1 Voting Present.
27. Senate Bill 543 having received the constitutional majority
28. is declared passed. Senate Bill 547, Senator Egan. Read the
29. bill, Mr. Secretary.
30. SECRETARY:
31. Senate Bill 547.
32. (Secretary reads title of bill)
33. 3rd reading of the bill.

SB 550  
5/16/79

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Senator Egan.

3. SENATOR EGAN:

4. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.  
5. Relatively simple bill. Presently under the Civil Practice  
6. Act you must...you must file a what is known in the legal  
7. profession as a Section 72 motion to vacate a judgment, after  
8. that judgment exists thirty days. Because of that problem  
9. any money judgment that has been satisfied and paid cannot be  
10. vacated and it's on your record. This bill will...eliminate  
11. that...that problem and I checked around and I...I don't know  
12. of anybody who's opposed. I commend it to your favorable  
13. consideration.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Is there any further discussion? If not, the question  
16. is, shall Senate Bill 547 pass. Those in favor vote Aye.  
17. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted  
18. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that  
19. question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are 2 and 2 Voting Present.  
20. Senate Bill 547 having received the constitutional majority  
21. is declared passed. Senate Bill 550, Senator Bowers. Read the  
22. bill, Mr. Secretary.

23. SECRETARY:

24. Senate Bill 550.

25. (Secretary reads title of bill)

26. 3rd reading of the bill.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

28. Senator Bowers.

29. SENATOR BOWERS:

30. Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 550 does not do  
31. what the Calendar indicates that it does. It's a Statutory  
32. cleanup bill. About ten years ago the legislature removed  
33. the requirement in the petitioning process for annexation to

1. municipalities of a...of the ownership of fifty percent of  
2. the land being a part of that petition. That was already done.  
3. The courts have consistently held that that was the intent of  
4. the legislature, so that's the law today. There is a procedural  
5. section that has to do with the affidavit that's filed along  
6. with the petition and they forgot to remove that from the  
7. affidavit provision and that's all this bill does and I...  
8. unless there are questions, I would ask for a favorable roll  
9. call.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

11. Is there any further discussion? If not, the question  
12. is, shall Senate Bill 550 pass. Those in favor indicate by  
13. voting Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.  
14. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the  
15. record. On that question, the Ayes are 58, the Nays are none  
16. and none Voting Present. Senate Bill 550 having received the  
17. constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 553,  
18. Senator Berman. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

19. SECRETARY:

20. Senate Bill 553.

21. (Secretary reads title of bill)

22. 3rd reading of the bill.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Senator Berman.

25. SENATOR BERMAN:

26. Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 553 is a bill that  
27. came out of the School Problems Commission and it came out of  
28. the Education Committee to address the question of transportation  
29. for handicapped children who are enrolled in nonpublic schools  
30. and require special education services from the public schools.  
31. Last year if you recall, we passed a bill, I think it was 388,  
32. that addressed this problem. The Governor amendatorily vetoed  
33. that bill, but neither the Governor's Office nor the educators

1. were satisfied with the amendatory veto language. We adopted  
2. the language with the commitment that everybody would work  
3. towards cleaning up this language and that's what we've done  
4. in Senate Bill 553. It provides that the transportation will  
5. be provided only if required and the child's individualized  
6. education program based upon the child's handicap or the  
7. location of the Special Education Program. I ask your favor-  
8. able vote.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

10. Is there further discussion? Senator Davidson.

11. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

12. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise in  
13. support of this bill. This does exactly what Senator Berman  
14. said. This came out of the situation of the amendatory veto  
15. on the Special Education Bill last year. We need this  
16. language to...get the show on the road, so to say, with the  
17. 94142 Federal Special Education legislation. I urge a  
18. favorable vote.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Senator Knuppel.

21. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

22. Well, I'm not an expert in school matters and I don't  
23. quite understand what you're saying here when you say that the  
24. transportation has to be necessary to the youngsters specialized  
25. handicap or something of this nature. Does this mean if some-  
26. body has a child who lives twenty miles or thirty miles away  
27. from where he has to go to school that the...that the State  
28. no longer pays any part of that transportation or the...or the  
29. school district? I'd like to know just what happens? I just  
30. happen to have a lady who has to drive fifty miles every day  
31. to take a child to a specialized educational program and they  
32. pay her one way. Now, what...what kind of effect does this  
33. bill have on that situation?



1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Senator Berman.

3. SENATOR BERMAN:

4. Let me ask the...Senator, does this woman's child go to  
5. a nonpublic school?

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. Senator Knuppel.

8. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

9. I think she...I think he goes to a...a unified public  
10. school where different districts have gone together in a  
11. public school system and she has to drive all the way from...from  
12. Bath, Illinois to Peoria to get the kind of education that  
13. she needs for her child. I think it's because of deafness  
14. or something.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Senator Berman.

17. SENATOR BERMAN:

18. If the placement of the child was done through the public  
19. schools...in other words, they've evaluated the child. They  
20. find that this cooperative, for example, which I believe is  
21. what it is from what you described, is where this child is  
22. to receive his Special Education Program. That education...  
23. that transportation is supposed to be provided already by  
24. the public school system. This bill...by the public school  
25. system that placed the child, that transportation to and from  
26. that child...for that child's program. This bill addresses  
27. the situation where the child is in a nonpublic school, but  
28. the nature of the child's handicap requires him to receive  
29. some public school program to address his handicap. That is  
30. what this language does and it says, that the public school  
31. will provide the transportation based upon the program that's  
32. been developed for this child's needs. So that to and from  
33. the nonpublic school...the public school will provide that

transportation.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Senator Knuppel.

3. SENATOR KNUPPTEL:

4. Well, so help me, I...I don't fully understand. In other  
5. words, in the...in the example I've given you, if it's been  
6. arranged by the public school, then the public school will pay  
7. the transportation. Now, let's suppose that...that there was  
8. a school and it was not a public school and that was the only  
9. school that because of the handicapped where the child could  
10. receive the treatment that it needed. For example, let's assume  
11. that...that the child was confined in a...in'a...hospital because  
12. of...of either cerebral palsy or...or polio or something of this  
13. nature and what school it got was from a...a school that was  
14. created by the sisters in that institution and they had to  
15. transport the child there from time to time. It...it went  
16. from...from its home to this institution to get that schooling  
17. and to get treatment. Would that child receive mileage?

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19. Senator Berman.

20. SENATOR BERMAN:

21. My best answer is, I think it would, but I would suggest  
22. if you've got a constituent with a particular problem and  
23. she thinks that she's entitled to transportation and she's  
24. not getting it, I'll be glad to work with you to address her  
25. problem. I...I really can't...without knowing all the facts,  
26. I really can't give you a straight answer.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

28. Senator Knuppel.

29. SENATOR KNUPPTEL:

30. The thing is...is after the horse is out of the barn, it's  
31. pretty damn late to shut the door. And...and people who have  
32. these children who have problems may have to take them to a  
33. nonpublic place or nonpublic educational facility or even a

1. private individual to secure the type of...of treatment which  
2. they need. For instance, deaf children living at home, they  
3. may have to take them to the State Institution at Jacksonville.  
4. And the...and the thing is that...that I am very, very and I  
5. know that many of these public schools just hate like hell to  
6. pay that transportation. Do everything they can to get out of  
7. it. Because that's the way I became aware of the case that I'm  
8. talking about. And it's not the only case, there's several  
9. others. The public schools don't want to do this and they...they  
10. ...they cut it, they cut the amount that they have to pay in a  
11. half or a quarter or something else and put a tremendous burden  
12. on the individual who has a handicapped child. And I don't want  
13. to vote for a bill that...that cuts off funds just because the  
14. parent is taking that child forty or fifty miles to a private  
15. individual or a private school because the school board wants  
16. to have, you know, have a bus to take their kids some place  
17. on a...on a weekend trip or their basketball team and they  
18. don't have enough money for the transportation.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Senator Berman.

21. SENATOR BERMAN:

22. That's what this bill is addressed to. To provide the  
23. transportation that handicapped children are entitled to.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is shall  
26. Senate Bill 553 pass. Those in favor indicate by voting Aye.  
27. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted  
28. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that  
29. question the Ayes are 48, the Nays are none and none Voting  
30. Present. Senate Bill 553 having received the constitutional  
31. majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 554, Senator Berman.  
32. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

33. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

1. Senate Bill 554.  
2. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
3. 3rd reading of the bill.  
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
5. Senator Berman.  
6. SENATOR BERMAN:  
7. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
8. Senate. This addresses the fee structure for levies conducted  
9. by the Sheriff of Cook County. The bill as originally...the  
10. law prior to this bill provided for a percentage fee to be  
11. levied...to be assessed by the sheriff. This was found to be  
12. perhaps in violation of the Constitution and therefore this  
13. bill was introduced and what it does, is to set out a dollar  
14. amount for levies conducted by the sheriff. The fee is a flat  
15. six hundred dollar fee for the sale of personal property and  
16. then there's a graduated scale for levies on real estate. One  
17. thousand dollars for a judgment of one thousand dollars, it's  
18. a seventy-five dollar fee, fifteen thousand dollars, a hundred  
19. and fifty, over fifteen thousand, three hundred dollars.  
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
21. Any further discussion? Senator Bowers.  
22. SENATOR BOWERS:  
23. Question the sponsor, if he'll yield.  
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
25. He indicates he will yield.  
26. SENATOR BOWERS:  
27. Senator Berman, you made such an excellent case for this in  
28. committee that we, some of us downstate thought it ought to be  
29. downstate also and I thought there was going to be an amendment  
30. put on to make it inclusive downstate. Was that done? I can't  
31. tell from my records.  
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
33. Senator Berman.

1. SENATOR BERMAN:

2. I held the bill and I talked with staff and with Senator  
3. Grotberg, I thought they were in touch with you. Apparently  
4. some inquiry was made form downstate sheriffs, their response  
5. was that they didn't think that they wanted to change it and  
6. therefore I move the bill.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Senator Bowers.

9. SENATOR BOWERS:

10. Well, I don't object to that I suppose, but it seems to  
11. me that if it's unconstitutional in Cook County, it's uncon-  
12. stitutional downstate and the basis of the bill is because  
13. it's...of the unconstitutionally of the present fee structure.  
14. And...I would suggest that maybe the sheriffs aren't...aren't  
15. all that bright in every county and perhaps we ought to take  
16. a look at it in the House.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Senator Grotberg.

19. SENATOR GROTEBERG:

20. Thank you, Mr. President. To help clarify...for my associates,  
21. we polled many of the downstate counties and they, there's no need  
22. for a downstate increase because most of their work is in the  
23. personal property area and they literally said, leave it alone.  
24. Senator Berman and I had agreed that he would hold this bill  
25. till we found that out because we talked in committee about putting  
26. the downstate sheriffs in it. The County Problems Commission  
27. made a survey for us, a telephone survey and we let it go and  
28. so that explains it. Thank you.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. Is there any further discussion? Senator Hall.

31. SENATOR HALL:

32. Well, Senator Grotberg, how far downstate did you go?

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Grotberg.

2. SENATOR GROTBORG:

3. We traditionally go at least to the big counties and then  
4. on down the State. I think we did Madison, St. Clair and Cook,  
5. Kane, not Cook but Kane, Livingston. I can get the list. I  
6. don't want to hold up this bill, but if you want to be in it,  
7. we can get on to it in the House and we can ask the County  
8. Problems Commissions again to come up with the information in  
9. print.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

11. Senator Hall.

12. SENATOR HALL:

13. Well, I was just checking with Senator Grotberg. I was  
14. in the sheriff's department for eleven years, Senator, down  
15. in that great County of St. Clair and I'm just wondering  
16. why the second largest concentration of people in the State  
17. of Illinois is outside of Cook County. So I just wondering  
18. had you touched base. But nevertheless I don't want to interfere  
19. with Senator's bill so we can tack it on in the House.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

21. Is there any further discussion? Senator Berman may close  
22. the debate.

23. SENATOR BERMAN:

24. All right. Thank you, Mr. President. Again, the Sheriff  
25. of Cook County had no objection and I don't have any objection  
26. to including downstate. If you change your mind as the bill  
27. moves to the House, moves to the House, we'll be glad to add  
28. you. I ask your favorable vote for this bill.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. The questionis shall Senate Bill 554 pass. Those in favor  
31. vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have  
32. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.  
33. On that question the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none and none  
34. Voting Present. Senate Bill 554 having received the constitutional

1. majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 557. Senate Bill 557,  
2. Senator Rhoads. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.  
3. SECRETARY:  
4. Senate Bill...Senate Bill 557.  
5. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
6. 3rd reading of the bill.  
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
8. Senator Rhoads.  
9. SENATOR RHOADS:  
10. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This  
11. bill is a part of a series of bills which is requested by the  
12. State Board of Elections. This particular bill stipulates that  
13. candidates in a Presidential-Preferential Primary will be rotated  
14. by legislative district. Now in fact, this is what was done  
15. in 1976, but the State Board did it on their own and they didn't  
16. really have statutory authority to do it. What...the way it  
17. would work is in the 1st district, Senator Kennedy would be  
18. listed first, the 2nd district, Governor Brown, the 3rd district  
19. Mr. Carter and so forth. And for however many candidates there  
20. are. I move it's...I ask for a favorable roll call.  
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
22. Is there any further discussion? If not, the question is  
23. shall Senate Bill 557 pass. Those in favor indicate by voting  
24. Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all  
25. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.  
26. On that question the Ayes are 42, the Nays are 9, none Voting  
27. Present. Senate Bill 557 having received a constitutional  
28. majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 558, Senator Grotberg.  
29. For what purpose does Senator Maragos arise?  
30. SENATOR MARAGOS:  
31. I'd like to be recorded as voting Aye and having...I was  
32. recorded voting No by mistake. I should have voted Aye on  
33. that last bill.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. On Senate Bill 557, let the record so indicate. Senator  
3. Hall...the same problem. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

4. SECRETARY:

5. Senate Bill 558.

6. (Secretary reads title of bill)

7. 3rd reading of the bill.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. Senator Grotberg.

10. SENATOR GROTBORG:

11. Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. This bill  
12. is something I discovered right after the last election. Nobody  
13. has done anything about that poor gal or fellow that has to  
14. bring the ballots back to the central...to the courthouse or  
15. wherever the computer is now after the polls close. In the  
16. old statute they are paid two dollars and a half for that trip  
17. plus ten cents a mile. And I just think that perhaps if we left  
18. that up to the local election board, whatever it should be,  
19. the county board or the county clerk or the voting...voter  
20. Election Commission that it's time to change. It's been that  
21. way for about fifty years, I guess, and the only insult that  
22. I've had from my friends who are in the election process that  
23. have to make that trip is that after our salary increase, one  
24. of the...letters that I got was not about our increase, but  
25. she said, what about us people that every two to four years  
26. get ripped off in this process. It moves the...it allows the  
27. local Body to set the mileage rate within today's market  
28. place and pays more for the trip and I would be glad to ask  
29. questions or answer questions, but would ask for a favorable  
30. roll call for the election judges.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Is there any further discussion? If not, the question is  
33. shall Senate Bill 558 pass. Those in favor indicate by voting



1. Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted  
2. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that  
3. question the Ayes are 42, the Nays are 6, 2 Voting Present.  
4. Senate Bill 558 having received the constitutional majority is  
5. declared passed. Senate Bill 560, Senator Lemke. Read the  
6. bill, Mr. Secretary.  
7. SECRETARY:  
8. Senate Bill 560.  
9. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
10. 3rd reading of the bill.  
11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
12. Senate Lemke.  
13. SENATOR LEMKE:  
14. What this...this is a State Board of Elections in a Election  
15. Laws Commission. What it does, it amends the Election Code,  
16. provides for a fair and impartial method of random selection to  
17. break ties and simultaneous petitions filed for local government  
18. offices. This proposal encompasses the State Board of Elections  
19. Lottery Regulations into Article VII and X of the Election  
20. Code. It makes it uniformly applicable to all elections,  
21. officials, county clerks and Board of Election Commissioners  
22. is covered by the regulations. At the present time many local  
23. officials place incumbent candidates for local office in first  
24. ballot positions regardless the time petitions filed or  
25. contact the lottery at the end of the period. For all candi-  
26. dates for the...the first file candidates have no advantage in  
27. terms of the position. Such procedure clearly violates State  
28. and Federal Judicial standards for ballot...ballot placement.  
29. Therefore in view of the election consolidations in 1981 there  
30. should be a uniform nonarbitrary system for determining ballot  
31. position for local candidates so that...so to preclude legal  
32. challenges...certification of candidates by local election  
33. officials to the county clerks and Board of Elections Commission.

1. I ask for its favorable adoption.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3. Is there any further discussion? If not, the question is  
4. shall Senate Bill 560 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those  
5. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?  
6. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question  
7. the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none Voting Present.  
8. Senate Bill 560 having received the constitutional majority is  
9. declared passed. Senate Bill 562, Senator Maragos. Read the  
10. bill, Mr. Secretary.

11. SECRETARY:

12. Senate Bill 562.

13. (Secretary reads title of bill)

14. 3rd reading of the bill.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Senator Maragos.

17. SENATOR MARAGOS:

18. Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate Bill 562  
19. makes the administration of elections much more simple and  
20. in fact it's not fully complied with as the present laws  
21. today. This bill amends the present law to say that you don't  
22. need all ten officers of a committee when you are soliciting  
23. any...for campaign purposes. And therefore I think it should  
24. be adopted. It makes it easier for all of us who are in the  
25. political arena to have...responsible officials who will issue  
26. this literature to be...notify the chairman or the secretary  
27. or the vice-chairman, but not all ten officers in this case.  
28. So therefore I ask for its adoption. It passed unanimously  
29. out of the Elections Committee.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Is there any further discussion? If not, Senator Netsch.  
32. Senator Netsch.

33. SENATOR NETSCH:

1. Thank you, Mr. President. One question of the sponsor.  
2. Senator Maragos, would you just quickly repeat what it is  
3. now that has to be placed on the piece of literature.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Senator Maragos.

6. SENATOR MARAGOS:

7. If you have a campaign committee and you have ten or more  
8. officers on that committee, you have to...list at least ten  
9. officers on that literature when you're dispersing it for...  
10. and proposing something in support of a candidate or whatever  
11. it may be. All this does is amend it down to the responsible  
12. officer, it would be the chairman, the vice-chairman or the  
13. secretary who is really responsible for this literature going  
14. out of your campaign committee, should be the only ones on  
15. that campaign literature.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. Senator Netsch.

18. SENATOR NETSCH:

19. It does still require the listing of at least one responsible  
20. official of the campaign committee. Is that correct? Thank you.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Is there any further discussion? If not, the question is  
23. shall Senate Bill 562 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those  
24. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who  
25. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that  
26. question the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none Voting Present.  
27. Senate Bill 562 having received the constitutional majority is  
28. declared passed. Senate Bill 563, Senator Maragos. Read the  
29. bill, Mr. Secretary.

30. SECRETARY:

31. Senate Bill 563.

32. (Secretary reads title of bill)

33. 2nd read...3rd reading of the bill.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Senator Maragos.

3. SENATOR MARAGOS:

4. Mr. President and members of the Senate. This bill is also  
5. an attempt by the Election Laws Commission and by the Election  
6. Board to simplify and make it easier for us candidates every  
7. year when we...or every other year when we run for office to  
8. ...eliminate the sixty day post-election report. And it substitutes  
9. ...in its place a semiannual report of contributions and expendi-  
10. tures for election years. Because we found that many times  
11. sixty days after the election becomes a...almost a superfluous  
12. report in that many...many...many of the media are not interested  
13. in it at that time because it's not a cogent or a important  
14. subject matter. And then they could get the same information  
15. ...information a few months after that. So I ask for its adoption  
16. and if there's any question I'd be glad to answer.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Is there further discussion? Senator McMillan.

19. SENATOR McMILLAN:

20. Senator Maragos, does the...I understand the change which  
21. would eliminate that report sixty days after the election.  
22. Are there any other changes effective...does this make any other  
23. effective change in how we go about reporting and what is required  
24. in terms of reporting?

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

26. Senator Maragos. Senator...

27. SENATOR MARAGOS:

28. All it does is make a semiannual report now. There'll be  
29. a reporting period that will be semiannually, we have our  
30. annual report which is done in July 1st for the period up  
31. to July 1st which is presently in the law. The...but this  
32. will be a semiannual report so this ought to be a six month  
33. report, a sixty day report.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
2. Senator McMillan.  
3. SENATOR McMILLAN:  
4. And that is the only change?  
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
6. Senator Maragos.  
7. SENATOR McMILLAN:  
8. I understand the change in the report, but...  
9. SENATOR MARAGOS:  
10. That's right, it's only the...they substituted a six...six  
11. month report for the sixty day report, that's all. The...  
12. the substance of the...of the matter that you are reporting  
13. has not changed at all.  
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
15. Senator Schaffer.  
16. SENATOR SCHAFFER:  
17. Well, I think the question is, the law currently provides  
18. for disclosure of amounts during that annual period of a  
19. hundred and fifty dollars. Would this bill allow someone to  
20. say, contribute a hundred and fifty...well let's say a hundred  
21. and forty-nine dollars in the first six months of the year and  
22. not be disclosed and another hundred and...forty-nine dollars  
23. in the second six months of the year and not be disclosed and  
24. effectively double the amount of money one could receive from  
25. one particular interest...interested party or corporation or  
26. whatever without being disclosed?  
27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
28. Senator Maragos.  
29. SENATOR MARAGOS:  
30. Well, with the inflation being what it is today, it wouldn't  
31. make any difference. The...the purpose of the act would not be  
32. changed, it would still be reported at the...the annual report  
33. would still show it.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
2. Senator Schaffer.
3. SENATOR SCHAFFER:
4. I...I should say itemize, disclose may not be the right
5. word. Would this effectively double the amount of money
6. one could contribute in the course of a twelve month period
7. without having one's name itemized on a report? Yes, no, or.
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
9. Senator Maragos.
10. SENATOR MARAGOS:
11. No, because now you can wait till after the sixty day
12. period and still do the same thing. I mean if you had it...if
13. you reported it before the sixty days after the election, you
14. could do that now and then wait till the sixty-first day and
15. still not report it till the end of the year. But the annual
16. report would take care of it.
17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
18. Senator Schaffer.
19. SENATOR MARAGOS:
20. You still have to report it year end, Senator Schaffer.
21. SENATOR SCHAFFER:
22. Hello. Mr. President, members of the Senate. I...I'm
23. not going to argue with Senator Maragos. Our staff over here
24. does, in fact, indicate that the bill does have that effect.
25. And as Senator Maragos has pointed out there has been an
26. inflation factor in the last several years and someone might
27. argue that that is something we, you know, we can defend. I
28. personally don't like it, although I think that the other intent
29. of the bill to simplify the reporting aspects is...is extremely
30. well intended and merits support. But that isn't the way I
31. read the public. I think they're interested in knowing more,
32. not less about who's paying for the campaigns in the State.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Knuppel.

2. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

3. Well, does this...the thing is I...I don't see why we should  
4. have to report twice now a year. Is there any particular reason  
5. for that, Senator?

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. Senator Maragos.

8. SENATOR MARAGOS:

9. Well, what it does, Senator Knuppel, we have to report  
10. now within sixty days after election. We say you can do  
11. it within six months of the period because a sixty day post  
12. primary report which we have now, it...that doesn't apply  
13. to our elections so much, it applies to municipal elections,  
14. where you have the sixty day report after the general election  
15. is out of the way. So the...so you have a sixty day report  
16. after a primary, so you have a February municipal or township  
17. primary would fall in May after the April municipal or township  
18. election is over with, so that's why we make it a semiannual  
19. report.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

21. Senator Knuppel.

22. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

23. ...isn't it. In other words, if...if I've got a four  
24. year term I report annually otherwise I report sixty days  
25. after the election and I'm done except for the annual report,  
26. isn't that correct? In an off year, I'd report one time.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

28. Senator Maragos.

29. SENATOR MARAGOS:

30. That...that's correct, but no, if you had activity over  
31. a thousand dollars you still would report.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Senator Knuppel.

1. SENATOR KNUPPTEL:

2. Are you eliminated here if you don't have over a thousand  
3. dollars anyway?

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Senator Maragos.

6. SENATOR MARAGOS:

7. No, Senator Knuppel we leave everything as is excepting that  
8. instead of filing sixty days after the election you file sixty  
9. days...six months after the election or six or semiannually,  
10. depends on when the election is. In other words, for...for the  
11. May election or April election or even in our case the March  
12. election, you would not have to file a report saying...what's  
13. sixty day after our March would be May 15, you'd file it in  
14. June...as of June...June 30th.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Senator Davidson.

17. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

18. Will the sponsor yield for a question?

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. He will yield.

21. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

22. On page 2, line 25 on 3, in that says the full name and  
23. mailing address of each person who has made one or more contribu-  
24. tions to or for such committee within, and this is the new language,  
25. each reporting period. Then this language is struck, the previous  
26. twelve months, in an aggregate amount of value or value in the  
27. excess of a hundred and fifty dollars together with the amount  
28. and date of such contribution. This bill, as written, will  
29. allow a doubling of the contributions without revealing the  
30. name. This prior time you add a...accumulated amount of a hundred  
31. and fifty dollars for a year. Now you can put a hundred and fifty  
32. dollars in in a six months, a hundred and fifty dollars the next  
33. six months, you have three hundred dollars contributed and not  
34. have to reveal the name. This bill doubles the amount. If you



1. want that, fine, but that's what the bill does.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3. Senator Hall. Senator Hall. Senator Maragos.

4. SENATOR MARAGOS:

5. I do not think...this got out of committee unanimous vote,

6. I did not think there was this objection to it and maybe one

7. against it, I don't remember, but it was a very, very light

8. vote. If there's going to be that much talk about it, just

9. make it easier for us gentlemen to report. As candidates

10. and as elected officials instead of...becoming a...a paying

11. the bookkeepers and the accountants a high priced fees to do

12. these reports between May and June...July...June 1st. I'll

13. take it out of the record maybe we can work it out to everybody's

14. satisfaction.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Senator Rock. Senator Rock.

17. SENATOR ROCK:

18. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

19. Senate. I requested the Senator that he take it out of the

20. record. I think the hour is late, we have worked long and hard

21. and I think a motion to adjourn until the hour of 12:00 tomorrow

22. is now in order.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. You heard the motion. All those in favor indicate by

25. saying Aye. Those opposed. The motion carries, the Senate

26. stands adjourned until 12:00 o'clock Wednesday afternoon.

27. Thursday afternoon. For what purpose does Pate Philip arise?

28. SENATOR PHILIP:

29. A matter of announcement, Mr. President. Remind the soft-

30. ball players, we have a practice game at 6:00 o'clock, 6:00

31. o'clock tonight or 6:15 tonight at Lincoln Park, Diamond No. 4.

32. Please be on time.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Mitchler.

2. SENATOR MITCHLER:

3. Mr. President and members of the Senate. The Sixth Annual  
4. Legislative Championship Tournament tonight out at the Spring-  
5. field RacquetClub, 8:00 p. m. There'll be food and drink.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. Any further announcements? Senator McMillan. No further  
8. announcements? No further business to come before the Senate?  
9. The Senate does stand adjourned.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.