

80TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

GENERAL SESSION

APRIL 21, 1977

1. PRESIDENT:

2. The hour of twelve-thirty having arrived, the Senate  
3. will please come to order. The prayer will be by Senator  
4. Davidson. Will our guests in the galleries please rise.

5. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

6. (Prayer by Senator Davidson)

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Reading of the Journal.

9. SECRETARY:

10. Friday, April the 8th, 1977.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Senator Johns.

13. SENATOR JOHNS:

14. Mr. President, I move that the Journal just read by  
15. the Secretary be approved unless some Senators has additions  
16. or corrections to offer.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. You have heard the motion by Senator Johns. Are there  
19. any additions or corrections? If not, all those in favor  
20. of the approval of the Journal signify by saying Aye. Opposed?  
21. The Ayes have it. The motion carries. The Journal is approved.  
22. Senator Johns.

23. SENATOR JOHNS:

24. Mr. President, I move that reading and approval of the  
25. Journal of Wednesday, April the 20th, 1977, be postponed  
26. pending arrival of the printed Journal.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. You've heard the motion by Senator Johns. Is there  
29. any discussion? If not, all those in favor signify by saying  
30. Aye. Opposed? The Ayes have it. The motion carries. Com-  
31. mittee reports.

32. SECRETARY:

33. Senator Donnewald, Chairman of the Assignment of Bills,

1. assigns the following bills to Committee:

2. Agriculture, Conservation and Energy - House Bill 232;  
3. Appropriations I - Senate Bill No. 1243; Appropriations II -  
4. House Bill No. 43; Higher Education - House Bill 155; Elections  
5. and Reapportionment - House Bill 230...220...326 and 374;  
6. Judiciary I - House Bill 451; Judiciary II - House Bill 289;  
7. Labor and Commerce - House Bill 348; Local Government - House  
8. Bill 216.

9. Senator Kosinski, Chairman of the Committee on Elections  
10. and Reapportionment, reports out Senate Bills 306, 816 and  
11. 824 with the recommendation Do Pass as Amended; Senate Bill  
12. 939 with the recommendation Do Not Pass; House Bill 327 with  
13. the recommendation Do Not Pass.

14. Senator Egan, Chairman of the Revenue Committee, reports  
15. out Senate Bill 493 with the recommendation Do Pass as  
16. Amended.

17. Senator Carroll, Chairman of Appropriations I, reports  
18. out Senate Bill 337 and 488 with the recommendation Do Pass;  
19. Senate Bill 324, 328, 339, 485 and 705 with the recommendation  
20. Do Pass as Amended.

21. Senator Berman, Chairman of Committee on Elementary  
22. and Secondary Education, reports out Senate Bill 286, 381, 463,  
23. 464, 828, 889 and 893 with the recommendation Do Pass; Senate  
24. Bill 244 and 462 with the recommendation Do Pass as Amended.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Message from the House.

27. SECRETARY:

28. A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

29. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate  
30. that the House of Representatives has passed bills with the  
31. following titles in the passage of which I am instructed to  
32. ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit: House Bills 325,  
33. 363, 392, 468 and 469. Passed the House April the 20th.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Resolutions.

3. SECRETARY:

4. Senate Joint Resolution 36, Constitutional Amendment.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Executive. May I have the attention of the membership,  
7. please. The Secretary is about to prepare the Consent Cal-  
8. endar for resolutions, for nonsubstantive resolutions. Any  
9. member having a resolution and wishing to have it placed on  
10. that Consent Calendar, would you please bring the resolution  
11. up to the Secretary. He will be preparing that very shortly  
12. for distribution today. Please bring the resolutions up to  
13. the Secretary. Resolutions.

14. SECRETARY:

15. Senate Resolution 83 introduced by Senator Glass.  
16. Senate Resolution 84 introduced by Senator Glass. Senate  
17. Resolution 85 offered by Senators Hynes, Egan and all  
18. members. Senate Resolution 86 offered by Senator Philip,  
19. Bowers and all members. Senate Resolution 87 offered by  
20. Senator Grotberg. Senate Resolution 88 offered by Senator  
21. Mitchler. Senate Resolution 89 offered by Senator Berning.  
22. Senate Resolution 90 offered by Senator Berning. Senate  
23. Resolution 91 offered by Senators Weaver and Graham. Senate  
24. Resolution No. 92 offered by Senators Demuzio...Senator  
25. Demuzio and all Senators. Senate Resolution 93 offered  
26. by Senators Daley, Mitchler and Savickas. Senate Resolution  
27. No. 94 offered by Senator Mitchler and all Republican Senators.  
28. Senate Resolution No. 95 offered by Senator Shapiro and Hynes.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. The resolutions just read by the Secretary are for  
31. inclusion on the Consent Calendar. Is there leave of the  
32. Body to have all of these resolutions placed on the Consent  
33. Calendar? Leave is granted.

1. SECRETARY:

2. Senate Resolution No. 96.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Senator Grotberg. For what purpose do you arise?

5. SENATOR GROTEBERG:

6. Thank you, Mr. President. I rise to ask leave for the  
7. clerk to read those two bills yesterday that were misnum-  
8. bered on April the 8th and whatever procedure you care to  
9. take, I'd be pleased and proud.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Senator Grotberg has asked that that Senate Bills  
12. 1379 and 80 which were introduced within the April 8th  
13. deadline, but through a clerical error were not read by the  
14. Secretary, be read a first time today. And with the leave  
15. of the Senate we will go to the Order of Senate Bills 1st  
16. reading for the purpose of reading those two bills. Leave  
17. is granted. Senate Bills 1st reading.

18. SECRETARY:

19. Senate Bill 1379 introduced by Senator Grotberg.

20. (Secretary reads title of bill)

21. Senate Bill No...1st reading of the bill.

22. Senate Bill No. 1380 introduced by the same sponsor.

23. (Secretary reads title of bill)

24. 1st reading of the bill.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Resolutions.

27. SECRETARY:

28. Senate Resolution No. 96 introduced by Senator...offered  
29. by Senator Walsh.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Is leave granted to place Senate Resolution 86 on the  
32. Consent Calendar. Leave is granted. Senate Bills, 2nd  
33. reading. Senate Bill 3, Senator Morris. Senate Bill 77,

1. Senator Schaffer. Senate Bill 246, Senator Glass. Read the  
2. bill, Mr. Secretary.  
3. SECRETARY:  
4. Senate Bill 246.  
5. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
6. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.  
7. PRESIDENT:  
8. Any amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. Senate  
9. Bill 297, Senator Buzbee. Hold. Senate Bill 312, Senator  
10. Joyce. Senate Bill 318, Senator Mitchler. Read the bill  
11. Mr. Secretary.  
12. SECRETARY:  
13. Senate Bill 318...  
14. PRESIDENT:  
15. For what purpose does Senator Graham arise?  
16. SENATOR GRAHAM:  
17. You know we have more of a carnival atmosphere than  
18. we do a Senate atmosphere and I'm having a little trouble  
19. hearing. Do you think we could get a very little order?  
20. PRESIDENT:  
21. You are entirely correct, Senator. May we have some order  
22. please. Will the members please be in their seats. Would  
23. Senator Daley and Senator D'Arco please be in their seats.  
24. Read the bill Mr. Secretary.  
25. SECRETARY:  
26. Senate Bill No. 318.  
27. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
28. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II  
29. offer...offers one amendment.  
30. PRESIDENT:  
31. Senator Buzbee. Would you care to explain the amend-  
32. ment.  
33. SENATOR BUZBEE:

1. Mr. President, this is a language change that's non-  
2. controversial. I would move the amendment. Mr. President,  
3. amendment changes the word of the section that appropriates  
4. 4.8 million for veteran scholarship. It's a language change  
5. only. We felt that it was not in proper form language-  
6. wise and we just changed the language of it.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1.  
9. Is there any discussion? All those in favor signify by  
10. saying Aye. Opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1  
11. is adopted. Any further amendments?

12. SECRETARY:

13. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Regner.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator Regner.

16. SENATOR REGNER:

17. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I'd  
18. like to have that amendment held. Senator Mitchler wants  
19. to move the bill to 3rd reading today and he's agreed to  
20. bring it back tomorrow when we'll discuss that amendment.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Senate...Amendment No. 2 will be withdrawn and the  
23. bill will be advanced to 3rd reading providing there are  
24. no other amendments with the understanding it will be  
25. brought back for the purpose of allowing Senator Regner  
26. to offer the amendment. Are there any further amendments?  
27. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 523, Senator Bowers. Senate  
28. Bill 323, Senator Bowers. Read the bill.

29. SECRETARY:

30. Senate Bill 323.

31. (Secretary reads title of bill)

32. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations  
33. II offers one amendment.

1. PRESIDENT:  
2. Senator Buzbee.  
3. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
4. Mr. President, this is a reduction of twenty-three  
5. thousand six hundred dollars. It eliminates the funding  
6. for one investigator that was...was supplied by the Dangerous  
7. Drugs Commission to the Office of Special Investigator and  
8. I would move the adoption of the amendment.  
9. PRESIDENT:  
10. Senator Buzbee...is there any discussion? Senator  
11. Buzbee moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1. All those  
12. in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed. The Ayes have it.  
13. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?  
14. SECRETARY:  
15. No further committee amendments.  
16. PRESIDENT:  
17. Any amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. Senate  
18. Bill 343, Senator Nimrod. Move the bill. Read the bill,  
19. Mr. Secretary.  
20. SECRETARY:  
21. Senate Bill 343.  
22. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
23. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.  
24. PRESIDENT:  
25. Any amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. Senate  
26. Bill 349, Senator Glass. (Machine cut-off) bills 2nd reading.  
27. House Bill 40, Senator Davidson. House Bill 241, Senator  
28. Wooten. House Bill 269, Senator Demuzio. House Bill 282,  
29. Senator Donnewald. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.  
30. SECRETARY:  
31. House Bill 2...excuse me, House Bill 282.  
32. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
33. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

4/2/77  
351

1. PRESIDENT:
2. Any amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. House
3. Bill 241, Senator Wooten. Read the bill.
4. SECRETARY:
5. House Bill 241.
6. (Secretary reads title of bill)
7. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
8. PRESIDENT:
9. Any amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. House
10. Bill 283, Senator Grothberg. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
11. SECRETARY:
12. House Bill 283.
13. (Secretary reads title of bill)
14. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
15. PRESIDENT:
16. Any amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. House
17. Bill 351, Senator Mitchler. Read the bill.
18. SECRETARY:
19. House Bill 351.
20. (Secretary reads title of bill)
21. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
22. PRESIDENT:
23. Any amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. House
24. Bill 434, Senator Regner. Read the bill.
25. SECRETARY:
26. House Bill 434.
27. (Secretary reads title of bill)
28. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
29. PRESIDENT:
30. Any amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading.
31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
32. House Bills 1st reading. If any Senator wishes to pick
33. up a House Bill on 1st reading, please advise the Secretary.



1. At this time there are several. House Bill 117. Read the
2. bill.
3. SECRETARY:
4. House Bill 117.
5. (Secretary reads title of bill)
6. 1st reading of the bill.
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
8. House Bill 295. Read the bill.
9. SECRETARY:
10. House Bill 295.
11. (Secretary reads title of bill)
12. 1st reading of the bill.
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
14. (Machine cut-off) 91. Read the bill. Senator Knuppel.
15. SECRETARY:
16. House Bill 91.
17. (Secretary reads title of bill)
18. 1st reading of the bill.
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
20. House Bill 92, Senator Knuppel. Read the bill.
21. SECRETARY:
22. House Bill 92.
23. (Secretary reads title of bill)
24. 1st reading of the bill.
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
26. House Bill 585, Senator Knuppel. Read the bill.
27. SECRETARY:
28. House Bill 585.
29. (Secretary reads title of bill)
30. 1st reading of the bill.
31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
32. House Bill 591. Read the bill.
33. SECRETARY:

1. House Bill 591.  
2. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
3. 1st reading of the bill.  
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
5. House Bill 597, Senator Carroll. Read the bill.  
6. SECRETARY:  
7. House Bill 597.  
8. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
9. 1st reading of the bill.  
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
11. House Bill 637, Senator Washington. Read the bill.  
12. SECRETARY:  
13. House Bill 637.  
14. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
15. 1st reading of the bill.  
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
17. House Bill 685, Senator Washington. Read the bill.  
18. SECRETARY:  
19. House Bill 685.  
20. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
21. 1st reading of the bill.  
22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
23. House Bill 805, Senator Lane. Read the bill.  
24. SECRETARY:  
25. House Bill 805.  
26. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
27. 1st reading of the bill.  
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
29. Senator Coffey, for what purpose do you arise?  
30. SENATOR COFFEY:  
31. Mr. President and members of the Senate. I have a  
32. group here today that I would like to introduce in the  
33. ...President's gallery. I would like for them to stand.

1. And the Director of the band...band, John Daum is there  
2. and all of the students that have been playing in the  
3. rotunda and the fine music you've heard and I'd like for  
4. you to stand and we'll give you a hand.  
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
6. Please rise and be recognized.  
7. SENATOR COFFEY:  
8. Mr. President, this is the Charleston High Band, I'm  
9. sorry I didn't mention that and we do want to get that in.  
10. Charleston High from Coles County.  
11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
12. On the Order of Constitutional Amendments, 2nd reading.  
13. SJR 11.  
14. SECRETARY:  
15. Senate Joint Resolution 11, Constitutional Amendment.  
16. (Secretary reads SJR 11)  
17. 2nd reading of the resolution.  
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
19. Senate Bills 3rd reading. Senate Bill 21, Senator  
20. Vadalabene. Senate Bill 103, Senator Knuppel. Senate  
21. Bill 112, Senator Knuppel. Senate Bill 153, Senator Roe.  
22. SECRETARY:  
23. Senate Bill 112...  
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
25. Read the bill.  
26. SECRETARY:  
27. Senate Bill 153.  
28. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
29. 3rd reading of the bill.  
30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
31. Senator Roe.  
32. SENATOR ROE:  
33. Mr. President, I thought that perhaps you might assist

1. me on speaking to the Body on this bill because your absence  
2. has been missed in the many committee hearings we've had in  
3. appropriations concerning the bill, but I'll briefly explain  
4. it to the membership. This is the final payment, the appro-  
5. priation for the final payment on the contract for the  
6. Dirksen statues which many of us are familiar with over  
7. the past few years. And it provides for an appropriation  
8. of twenty-one thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars to  
9. General Services to make the final payment. Ten thousand  
10. dollars has been withheld in escrow for the purpose of  
11. erecting and mounting the statues in question Mr. Chairman,  
12. or Mr. President, excuse me. And if there are no questions  
13. concerning the bill, I would appreciate a favorable roll  
14. call.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

16. Senator Carroll.

17. SENATOR CARROLL:

18. Thank you, Mr. President, excuse me, Senator Bruce.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

20. May we have order. Proceed.

21. SENATOR CARROLL:

22. Thank you. This is correct. This bill did come  
23. through Appropriations I. There is an understanding with  
24. the sponsor that the ten thousand held in escrow is the  
25. result of a request from the Department of General  
26. Services, if I remember, to allow a renegotiation for any  
27. costs incurred resulting from the failure to fully perform  
28. under the contract. It is my understanding that General  
29. Services requested that the Legislature create a new  
30. commission to renegotiate that contract so it'd be a  
31. three party arrangement between the Department...the com-  
32. mission...

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. Senator Roe.

2. SENATOR ROE:

3. Thank you, Senator Carroll.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

5. Is there further discussion? Senator Carroll.

6. SENATOR CARROLL:

7. Did you put in the enabling legislation?

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. Senator Roe.

10. SENATOR ROE:

11. Yes, Senator. Senator Daley and I are joint sponsors  
12. of that commission bill. We hope to have it heard next  
13. week.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. Is there further discussion? Senator Bruce.

16. SENATOR BRUCE:

17. Thank you, Mr. President. I served on that committee  
18. and I...I wonder if Senator Roe would tell us the name of  
19. the commission so as the bill makes progress through the  
20. Chamber we'll be able to identify the companion bill.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

22. Senator Roe.

23. SENATOR ROE:

24. If Senator Bruce had been paying closer attention, he  
25. would noticed that I did briefly mention the name of the  
26. commission earlier in my presentation on the bill.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

28. Is there further discussion? ...do you wish to close  
29. the debate? The question is shall Senate Bill 153 pass.  
30. Those in favor vote Aye. Just a moment...just a moment.  
31. Senator Buzbee for what purpose do you arise?

32. SENATOR BUZBEE:

33. Well, Mr. President, I...I think that Senator Roe was

1. certainly begging the question here and if...if we're going  
2. to have a commission that deals with...with busts such as  
3. this, I think we ought to at least know what the name of the  
4. commission is.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. The time for debate is passed. The question is shall  
7. Senate Bill 153 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those  
8. opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all those voted  
9. who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes  
10. are 50 the Nays are 2, 1 voting Present. Senate Bill 153  
11. having received a constitutional majority is declared  
12. passed. Senate Bill 21, Senator Vadalabene. (Machine  
13. cut-off) bill.

14. SECRETARY:

15. (Machine cut-off) Bill 21.

16. (Secretary reads title of bill)

17. 3rd reading of the bill.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. Senator Vadalabene.

20. SENATOR VADALABENE:

21. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.  
22. Senate Bill 21 provides for the...the remaining 58 plus  
23. acres of land to the Alton, the city of Alton, from the Depart-  
24. ment of Mental Health. This bill passed the Senate last year  
25. and it was held up in the Rules Committee in the House  
26. because of the size of the Rules Committee they could never  
27. get a quorum. This will complete the package of the property  
28. that was turned over to the...to the City of Alton. It's  
29. an administration bill and I would appreciate a favorable  
30. vote.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. Is there further discussion? Senator Knuppel.

33. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

1. Well I...I had a question. Is the State reserving  
2. mineral rights in this land as they did the other con-  
3. veyance two years ago?  
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
5. Senator Vadalabene.  
6. SENATOR VADALABENE:  
7. Yes, that is correct.  
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
9. Senator Knuppel.  
10. SENATOR KNUPPEL:  
11. And will they be back to ask for those mineral rights  
12. next year?  
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
14. Senator Vadalabene.  
15. SENATOR VADALABENE:  
16. No Sir, they'll be back in about a week.  
17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
18. Is there further discussion? The question is shall  
19. Senate Bill 21 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those  
20. opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all those voted  
21. who wished? Take the record. On that question the Ayes  
22. are 46, the Nays are 1. Senate Bill 21 having received a  
23. constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill  
24. 157, Senator Harber Hall. Senate Bill...Senator Mitchler,  
25. for what purpose do you arise?  
26. SENATOR MITCHLER:  
27. Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senator  
28. Hall was stricken with a virus and hospitalized in Bloomington  
29. and was unable to be present at yesterday's Session. He  
30. was trying to get down for today's Session. So I would like  
31. the record to show that he is absent due to illness.  
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
33. The record will so show. Senator Bruce.

1. SENATOR BRUCE:

2. On the order of announcements, I would like to announce  
3. that Senator Sangmeister is absent due to illness.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

5. The record will so show. Senator Hickey as to Senate  
6. Bill 164. Senate Bill 210, Senator Vadalabene. Read the  
7. bill.

8. SECRETARY:

9. Senate Bill 210.

10. (Secretary reads title of bill)

11. 3rd reading of the bill.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

13. Senator Vadalabene.

14. SENATOR VADALABENE:

15. Yes, thank you Mr. President and members of the  
16. Senate. Senate Bill 210 provides that in fiscal year  
17. 1975 the General Assembly committed the State of Illinois  
18. through the Secretary of State Library Division to provide  
19. two-thirds of the cost not to exceed one million dollars for  
20. the construction of the Library for the Blind in Chicago.  
21. For fiscal year 1977, the Secretary of State's Budget, House  
22. Bill 3344, provided the last installment with regard to the  
23. original States commitment of one million dollars, which  
24. was three hundred and eighty-nine thousand dollars. Towards  
25. the end of the Session, the Capital Development Board requested  
26. that the three items in our budget be funded from bond money  
27. rather than General Revenue and these items were one million  
28. five-hundred and fifty-nine thousand for renovation of the  
29. north wing of the northwest Quarter of the Capitol Building,  
30. one million dollars to complete renovation of the Senate  
31. Chambers and three hundred and eighty-nine thousand dollars  
32. to complete the Library for the Blind and Physical Handi-  
33. capped in Chicago. All of these three items were amended



HB 219  
2nd Reading  
4-21-77

1. in Senate Bill 1932 to provide for this funding out of the  
2. Capital Development Board monies as per agreement with the  
3. CDB and the Governor's staff. The recommendation of this  
4. new bill which is attached will reinstate these monies to  
5. the Secretary of State's office out of the CDB bond fund so  
6. that the State's committment can be fulfilled and I would  
7. appreciate a favorable vote.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. Is there further...Senator Rock.

10. SENATOR ROCK:

11. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of  
12. the Senate. I rise in support of Senate Bill 210 and would  
13. point out that in FY76 we did appropriate and spend some  
14. six hundred thousand dollars and the reason that this was  
15. reduced or vetoed last Session was because it was from  
16. General Revenue funds. It is an appropriation from the  
17. Capital Development Bond Fund. The money is available.  
18. The committment has been made and I would urge a favorable  
19. vote.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Is there further discussion? The question is shall  
22. Senate Bill 210 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those  
23. opposed Nay. The voting is open. (Machine cut-off)  
24. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the  
25. Ayes are 55 the Nays are None. Senate Bill 210 having  
26. received a constitutional majority is declared passed.  
27. (Machine cut-off) bill 216, Senator Bowers. Senate Bill  
28. 219, Senator Netsch. All right.

29. SECRETARY:

30. Senate Bill 219...

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. Read the bill.

33. SECRETARY:

1. Senate Bill 219.

2. (Secretary reads title of bill)

3. 3rd reading of the bill.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

5. Senator Netsch..

6. SENATOR NETSCH:

7. Thank you, Mr. President. This is a...has enough con-  
8. troversy about it that it's designed to get everybody alert  
9. and awake and ready to go on about other business. The  
10. bill would provide for the joint nomination of Governor  
11. and Lieutenant Governor. As most of you know, I trust all  
12. of you know, we already provide for the joint election of  
13. the Governor and Lieutenant Governor. At the time the  
14. Constitution was drafted and approved in 1970 the provision  
15. made it possible for nomination also to be joint but did  
16. not mandate it. The idea being that the General Assembly  
17. could from time to time decide whether it want a joint  
18. nomination of Governor and Lieutenant Governor or did not.  
19. My own feeling is that there are some...some points to be  
20. made on both sides. I happen to think that the balance is  
21. in favor of joint nomination which is why I put the bill  
22. in. In any event I do think that we ought to address our-  
23. selves to it so that if there are advantages in doing it,  
24. this way we are sure that we have had them brought to our  
25. attention and can proceed. I think the reason for joint  
26. nomination probably is that the nature of the office of  
27. Lieutenant Governor really has changed under the new  
28. Constitution. The primary duty in past years for the  
29. Lieutenant Governor was to preside over the Senate. The  
30. decision was made that the Senate ought to be able to  
31. elect its own presiding officer and not have someone totally  
32. ...elected totally independently foisted upon it, and that  
33. of course is what we do now. We do elect our own presiding

1. officer. At the same time the decision was made that the  
2. responsibilities of Chief Executive of Illinois have in-  
3. creased significantly and that the Governor ought to have  
4. an opportunity to make good use of a Lieutenant Governor  
5. as a helpmate, a...a sitter in at ceremonial events where  
6. perhaps his own presence is not necessary as someone to  
7. whom other...substantive duties could be delegated. The  
8. idea being that the Lieutenant Governor was indeed to be a  
9. not just second in command, but someone who could be fully  
10. utilized by the Governor and hopefully at the same time  
11. would be better prepared to take over the duties if there  
12. were some accident or resignation in the case of the Chief  
13. Executive himself. Now, that is better realized if the  
14. Governor is permitted to make the choice of the Lieutenant  
15. Governor and not have it, if you will, determined by the  
16. vagaries of an election. I'm not doing this only because  
17. we have had in recent years the example of a Chief Execu-  
18. tive and a second in command who were, to put it mildly, not always  
19. on the best of terms. It seems to me that it's...that may have  
20. dramitized the situation but it's not the only reason for it.  
21. I think if the idea is sound, it's one that ought to be planned  
22. for in the future as well. I think it is much more likely  
23. that a Lieutenant Governor will be effectively utilized and  
24. that we can have as the convention put it in its own report,  
25. maximum potential for cooperative action in the best interests  
26. of the people and an increased utilization of the Lieutenant  
27. Governor as an aide to the Governor. I think those objectives  
28. are going to be better realized if the Governor in effect  
29. chooses his running mate, his or her running mate, from the  
30. outset and that would be the primary result of a...of this  
31. bill which does require the joint nomination of the Lieutenant  
32. Governor and the Governor.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. Is there further discussion? Senator Soper.

2. SENATOR SOPER:

3. Thank you, Mr. President. Just a question to Senator  
4. Netsch. Would you call this merit selection of Lieutenant  
5. Governors?

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

7. I believe she indicates she would respond so she will.

8. SENATOR NETSCH:

9. Like any other merit selection proposal, Senator Soper,  
10. it depends on the merit on those who are making the selection.  
11. In this case I guess it would be the merit of the gubernatorial  
12. candidates. I might add to that if and I don't know whether  
13. this is responsive to your question or not, one recent Lieu-  
14. tenant Governor, Paul Simon, who is now serving in Congress,  
15. is very strongly in support of this concept. I've...I've  
16. discussed it with him and he feels very strongly that this is  
17. the way that the choice ought to be made and would support  
18. this piece of legislation.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

20. Senator Soper.

21. SENATOR SOPER:

22. Well, that doesn't send me any kind of message, but we  
23. all have our own...own ways of thinking about these things and  
24. I always say that when you preempt the right of the people,  
25. either merit selection of Lieutenant Governors or merits  
26. which you call selection of judges..I always believe that  
27. the people should have the final say in these things and we  
28. I don't want to jam anything down anybody's throat, but I  
29. think that if somebody wants to run for Lieutenant Governor  
30. he ought to run, if somebody wants to run for Governor, he  
31. ought to run and I don't think they ought to run in tandem.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

33. Senator D'Arco.

1. SENATOR D'ARCO:

2. Well, I...I think Senator Soper really in his comments  
3. about the bill...well, he answered my question, but I'll  
4. let Dawn answer it. Why...why would you not allow the  
5. electorate in the first instance at the primary stages to  
6. choose who their Lieutenant Governor should be?

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

8. Senator Netsch.

9. SENATOR NETSCH:

10. I think they...they really do, Senator D'Arco, by  
11. choosing their gubernatorial candidate in the same way  
12. that we really do have something to say about how we feel  
13. about vice-presidential candidates in our selection of our  
14. presidential candidates.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

16. Senator Soper, for the second time around.

17. SENATOR SOPER:

18. Well, I just want to say this. We...we pick our vice-  
19. presidential candidates and...and the...and the presidential  
20. candidates at conventions and the...the delegates are elected  
21. by the people and that's the way that ballgame runs.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. Senator Rhoads.

24. SENATOR RHOADS:

25. Senator Netsch, I voted for your bill in committee and  
26. I'm sorry that I've reversed myself. And I want to say...I  
27. want to explain to you why. There is a former primary candi-  
28. date, Republican for Lieutenant Governor sitting about three  
29. seats to my left here and I'm reminded of that particular time  
30. in 1964 when I think we had four or five or six or however many  
31. candidates for Lieutenant Governor, many of whom were well quali-  
32. fied, a couple of candidates for Governor. There was a tremend-  
33. ous opportunity there for diversity, for local pride to assert

1. itself to get some well qualified candidates in the race  
2. and discussing the issues. I have another feeling about  
3. your bill and that is because of such an early primary  
4. in the State of Illinois. If we have to file in early  
5. December and you are forcing the gubernatorial candidate  
6. of your party or of our party to make that choice, there's  
7. nothing, there's no good that can come of it. That guber-  
8. natorial candidate is simply going to have to alienate  
9. some segment of his party. So, I...I really don't see  
10. that we have any business preempting the right of some-  
11. body who wants to run for this separate constitutional office  
12. if they so desire.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

14. Senator Mitchler.

15. SENATOR MITCHLER:

16. Well, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I  
17. think what is being attempted to accomplish here is to  
18. correct a situation that we just recently had. Too often  
19. we in the General Assembly now we're reacting to crisis.  
20. We know in the last four years there was a very incom-  
21. patable situation between the Governor and the Lieutenant  
22. Governor. Sobeit. That's what the people of the State of  
23. Illinois chose and selected and if thats what, that's what they  
24. got. And I think they reversed themselves. Now, I don't think that  
25. we should immediately try to change the manner of electing the  
26. Governor and Lieutenant Governor because we had a bad situation  
27. for four years. Perhaps the people have learned and maybe they  
28. won't make that mistake again. Another argument that I think  
29. is valid to be in opposition to your bill there, Senator  
30. Netsch, is that you may have a very strong contender for the  
31. office of Governor, I mean one who is almost shooed-in even  
32. ...before the primary or general election, an extremely  
33. popular individual. And if that individual would select some-  
34. one of maybe not the choosing of his political party or any

1. party, someone who is just a close friend. It could very well  
2. be his wife and put her on the ticket and be swept into  
3. office because of his or her popularity as Governor, that  
4. would disrupt the will of the people into selecting it. Let  
5. the people select this and let's not try to respond to a  
6. crisis and a problem that we experienced for the last four  
7. years.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. Senator Knuppel.

10. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

11. Well, I think it's become very apparent since the last  
12. election that slating is not in vogue in Illinois, and this  
13. is just a matter...a manner of slating one person. I opposed  
14. the short ballot in the Constitutional Convention. I think  
15. the people are capable of making a choice and furthermore  
16. I think the experiences which we've had with Lieutenant  
17. Governors and Governors fighting must exhibit some personality  
18. shortages on the part of one or more of the participants and  
19. this gives the people an opportunity at least to see those  
20. personality failings. I think it tends to be dictatorial  
21. to have one man choose those people who will follow after  
22. him and and as Senator Mitchler has suggested, I think in  
23. Alabama when Governor Wallace chose his wife to be his  
24. successor as Governor, that it is not completely out of the  
25. realm of possibility, the man could choose the Lieutenant  
26. Governor as his wife. I think if people are free...should  
27. be free to choose and I would be opposed to the Constitutional  
28. Amendment.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

30. Is there further discussion? Senator Netsch may close  
31. the debate.

32. SENATOR NETSCH:

33. Thank you. I knew there was something wrong when this  
34. came...bill came out of committee 9 to 0 and Do Pass. I was

1. frankly surprised. Well, I...I wish the debate were just a  
2. little more even than it has been, but I think the...it has at least  
3. achieved the purpose of producing some discussion and facing  
4. up to whether we do or do not want it this way. The a...this  
5. is not my biggie by the way, gentlemen, I just think it happens  
6. to be something that we ought to talk about from time to time  
7. and make a decision about. I would say to Senator Mitchler  
8. that I promise that I will not slate husband as my running  
9. mate when my time comes to run for Governor. It does work  
10. both ways you know. The...I think also with respect to the  
11. point that Senator Rhoads made that I am hopeful and I think  
12. many of us are hopeful that we are going to change that early  
13. primary date so that the...that problem would be at least some-  
14. what reduced in scope. I would also say to Senator Mitchler that  
15. this was not a reaction just to crisis. I have felt for some-  
16. time that we ought to consider this issue at least once and  
17. maybe from time to time and I...I have mixed feelings about the  
18. last four years in terms of the relationship of the Governor  
19. and Lieutenant Governor, but in no circumstances is it just  
20. simply a reflection of that. I think it is really a reflection  
21. of what was said about the...what I persist in saying is still  
22. a changed role of the Lieutenant Governor. The...and and I'm  
23. reading now from really the summary of the argument for this.  
24. The people in electing a Governor are also choosing a Lieutenant  
25. Governor. Or...I'm...I'm sorry...are also choosing a platform,  
26. a political philosophy. Teaming the Governor and Lieutenant  
27. Governor enhances the possibility that these two officers will  
28. have a compatible working relationship. Teaming will also  
29. enhance the likelihood of the Governor delegating ministerial  
30. and ceremonial functions to the Lieutenant Governor. The  
31. Lieutenant Governor should have increased responsibilities in  
32. these and in political functions and to summarize the reason  
33. for having them or at least helping to assure that they are



1. more compatible is by having them jointly nominated in the  
2. first place. I really do not think that this in any way  
3. reduces the right of the people to participate in the decision  
4. making. I think a Governor's choice of a Lieutenant Governor  
5. of a running mate tells us a great deal about the Chief  
6. Executive as well as about the running mate and I think perhaps  
7. the recent presidential election was a very dramatic example of  
8. that. It seems to me that there is still a strong element of  
9. participation and that the the second objective of the changed  
10. role would be better realized by...by having them jointly  
11. nominated.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

13. Senator, if you were elected would you be Governor  
14. or Governess? Senator Vadalabene.

15. SENATOR VADALABENE:

16. Yes, I was going to ask if she would yield to a  
17. question, but I...I wouldn't...wouldn't want her to make a  
18. fifteen minute speech.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

20. Well that's a little out of order. I think that she  
21. has already closed her debate.

22. SENATOR VADALABENE:

23. Okay.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

25. But if she will yield, I'll let her.

26. SENATOR VADALABENE:

27. No, that's okay.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

29. We want to get to vote.

30. SENATOR VADALABENE:

31. I just wanted to ask her if she is a candidate for  
32. Governor next year.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

SB220  
4-21-77

- 1. Governess. The question is shall Senate Bill 219 pass.
- 2. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open.
- 3. Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. (Machine cut-off)
- 4. that question the Ayes are 17 the Nays are 36. Senate Bill 219,
- 5. not having received the constitutional majority is declared
- 6. lost. Senate Bill 220, Senator Netsch. Read the bill.

7. SECRETARY:

8. House or Senate Bill 220.  
9. (Secretary reads title of bill)

10. 3rd reading of the bill.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

12. Senator Netsch.

13. SENATOR NETSCH:

14. Ladies and Gentlemen if you think you liked that last  
15. bill, you'll love this one. This bill would abolish the  
16. General Assembly Scholarships. There is nothing underhanded  
17. or nefarious about my purpose. It is very straightforward. I  
18. am a deeply committed to a very strong and well financed State  
19. Scholarship Program, but I do not believe that public office  
20. holders should have the right to determine who will receive  
21. a scholarship and who will not. It seems to me that if we feel  
22. that there are inadequacies in our State Scholarship Program that  
23. we should address ourselves to those inadequacies, either by  
24. appropriating additional funds, which we have been doing at  
25. least in a gradual sense or if necessary even by changing the  
26. ground rules. My own personal view is that anyone who has  
27. earned the right to go on to school by being able to be  
28. admitted, but who has some difficulties in doing that because  
29. of financial problems, should have a right to a scholarship.  
30. I am deeply committed to that and I will help to support the  
31. appropriations levels over a period of years that will bring  
32. that about. But I don't think that right should depend on  
33. an elected public office holder. This has nothing to do with

1. whether or not the practice is abused. I think some of us  
2. are aware of the fact that it is occasionally abused. I think  
3. it is also very true and should be said that the substantial  
4. majority of legislators use their scholarship programs perfectly  
5. appropriately. It is just my belief that they should not exist.  
6. We are talking about a dollar value that is a cash value each  
7. year of approximately seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars.  
8. That is the figure that we have been able to obtain from the  
9. Illinois Office of Education. I would much rather see that  
10. seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars added on to the  
11. regular State Scholarship Program so that either the possibility  
12. of abuse in this program or just the arbitrariness of having  
13. individual elected office holders make the decision can be  
14. set aside.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

16. Senator Maragos.

17. SENATOR MARAGOS:

18. Mr. President and members of the Senate, I rise in  
19. opposition of this bill and for one reason. I've always  
20. supported the Scholarship Commission. I've supported any  
21. legislation to give more scholarships to individual deserving  
22. students, however the criteria that have been set up in  
23. the years that I have been in the Legislature to give  
24. scholarship to these children are primarily need. There is  
25. a class of constituents that all of us serve who are not  
26. necessarily needy in a sense to qualify for the Scholarship  
27. Commission awards, but are in need because they may have two  
28. or three students going to college, children going to college  
29. at the same time and they are in no position to apply or to get  
30. any benefits from the Scholarship Commission. Personally I've  
31. seen my committee and myself when we give out the scholarships  
32. in my district to give them to the class, I'd call the people  
33. who earn between fifteen thousand and twenty-five thousand who  
34. have two or three children in school and they ask maybe one of

1. their children who are able who are...have been admitted to the  
2. various state institutions to be given an award and if you  
3. could tell me Senator Netsch, if you could send me the program  
4. by which this class of constituent who also need some help in  
5. order to get all their children through school. If you could  
6. tell me how we can help them then I will go along with your  
7. bill. But until we have this safeguard, until we have this  
8. protection eliminated, then I say to you that I will still  
9. support what I think...that's the function that we as legislators  
10. can do much easier than anybody else at the present time.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

12. Senator Grotberg.

13. SENATOR GROTBORG:

14. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
15. Senate. I rise in support of this bad bill because I know  
16. it's a controversial issue. I voted for it in committee. What  
17. I would remind the Body that I think sitting in the gallery are  
18. probably a hundred and some students, most of whom are probably  
19. from mine or Mr. Mitchler's District, and I think nobody is  
20. more aware of the applications for the two scholarships that we  
21. get and yes we are able to break them down into one year. But  
22. I have tens of thousands of students in my district and my mail  
23. runs on Legislative Scholarships now several hundred applications  
24. a year and I have to play God to that size constituency on  
25. that issue and I think there are others more highly qualified  
26. than I do to do just that and therefore, I suspect that everybody  
27. in this Body has that same problem. Sure they're handy if  
28. they're used for...for mostly reasonable and political handouts  
29. but if you're really going to give scholarships away, I think  
30. that it's an embarrassment to me as a representative to have  
31. to be placed in that position even though I get a committee  
32. around to help me sort them out and I rise in support of this  
33. bill.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. There are at least eight more that requested to debate  
3. this and with that in mind, we'll continue. Senator Schaffer.

4. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

5. I rise in opposition to this bill. Senator Netsch, the  
6. practical effect of this bill is that eight students from my  
7. district would not go to college and perhaps eight more from  
8. yours would. I have absolutely no faith in the Illinois Scholar-  
9. ship Commission. It is of very little help to the people of  
10. my district. I believe their policies are discriminatory. I  
11. think in many cases undefensible. I think that the Scholarship  
12. Commission should take a very hard look at their priorities and  
13. who they send to school and who they allow to get o school. I  
14. just think it's a bad bill and I think it's a bad concept as  
15. long as the Scholarship Commission operates under its present  
16. sense. And by the way, Senator Netsch, I just wondered, is the  
17. Illinois Scholarship Commission based here in Springfield, yet?

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. Is that a question?

20. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

21. Just a short aside, I don't think they are, I think they've  
22. completely ignored the mandate of this General Assembly and I'm  
23. not about to increase their power. Senator, you had seventeen  
24. votes on the last one, if you only get sixteen this time, I'm the  
25. one you lost.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

27. Senator Soper.

28. SENATOR SOPER:

29. Well, thank you, Mr. President. And, Senator Netsch, you know  
30. you state that this program that where the Legislators are allowed  
31. to designate certain scholars that it's abused. Now, that's like  
32. throwing the baby out with the bath water. I...I have eight people  
33. like Senator Schaffer that...that take advantage of this program and

1. as Senator Maragos stated, I have the same problem that he  
2. has. Now I...I come from an ethnic group where they have  
3. many children and they...and some of the...some of the parents  
4. have never gone farther than, oh, say, grammar school and  
5. now they're sending three and four kids to college.  
6. And they'll come up and they'll have two and three at the  
7. same time and they're not qualified because they own a  
8. building, because they went to the savings and loan, they  
9. borrowed the money in years, but they both worked and paid it  
10. off and they can't get a scholarship. Now, what do we do to  
11. those people. Now if you say that if somebody puts his relatives  
12. in there or something else, well, that's up to his own  
13. conscience or her conscience. I wouldn't do that and I  
14. know most of the Legislators wouldn't do that. And I think  
15. that people from my district think that I treat them all  
16. fairly. I take and I...and I get the advise and I...I really  
17. don't...I don't solicit any political offerings and I tell  
18. them that they can't write any letters for me. All  
19. I want to know is a letter from them that they got on the Dean's  
20. list when they were in school. That's the only letter I want  
21. from them and I think to try to do this at this time would  
22. really keep a lot of deserving children and deserving families  
23. from being able to send their kids to school. I can't  
24. support this bill.

25. END OF REEL  
26.  
27.  
28.  
29.  
30.  
31.  
32.  
33.

1 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2 We are going to adjourn in another ten or twelve minutes  
3 I understand, so with that in mind, Senator Regner.

4 SENATOR REGNER:

5 Question of the sponsor.

6 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

7 She indicates she'll respond.

8 SENATOR REGNER:

9 Senator Netsch, is...is this bill...does it just automatically  
10 do away with the scholarships in total or does it give them or  
11 that amount of money to the Scholarship Commission to make the  
12 awards?

13 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

14 Senator Netsch.

15 SENATOR NETSCH:

16 No. This bill itself is the repealer bill which it can't  
17 have the appropriation added into it. I have said and I certainly  
18 would have no objection to supporting an appropriation that would  
19 be added on to the appropriation bill for the State Scholarship  
20 Commission. I can't do it in this same bill though.

21 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

22 Senator Regner.

23 SENATOR REGNER:

24 I appreciate that, but your...your...your response is to be  
25 happy to add it on the appropriation for the Scholarship Commission  
26 itself. I'd be totally opposed to that, because I think they do  
27 a crummy job right now anyway.

28 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

29 Senator Glass.

30 SENATOR GLASS:

31 Briefly, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen, I rise to  
32 support this bill. I think Senator Grotberg stated some of my  
33 concerns. I would add, in addition that of...of all the things

1 legislators have to do are...are required to do or supposed to  
2 do, it seems to me this one is an added burden that we ought not  
3 to have. Legislators certainly are not qualified to determine  
4 scholarship recipients and it means appointing a committee or  
5 establishing some kind of criteria for doing it yourself. I  
6 think it's a good bill that...I don't know whether I would  
7 support an appropriation to put these scholarships into the  
8 Scholarship Commission. I think we should get rid of them and  
9 save the State the money involved.

10 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11 Senator Washington. Senator Davidson. Senator Davidson.

12 SENATOR DAVIDSON:

13 Senator Soper and Senator Maragos made my objection to this  
14 bill very adequately. I'll pass.

15 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

16 Senator Knuppel.

17 SENATOR KNUPPEL:

18 I agree very much with what Senator Schaffer had to say.  
19 I think the Scholarship Commission is a very impersonal thing.  
20 It doesn't give a damn about the students. This morning in the  
21 mail I got this. It says, at the present time, three of my  
22 children are attending college. Needless to say, the financial  
23 burden is quite severe. It will be even more so this fall when  
24 there are four in college, three in high school and one in grade.  
25 By now you have guessed my request is for an...financial assistance.  
26 My hopes are perhaps a legislative scholarship could be granted.  
27 These are children that would not receive a scholarship in...in  
28 the other manner. They may not when I have considered all the  
29 applicants either, but I certainly don't consider it a burden,  
30 and I don't consider that I'm playing God when I take maybe  
31 forty or fifty applications and help some young man or woman out  
32 for a year on going to school. I went to college when I didn't  
33 take a dime with me. I worked my way entirely. Every penny of



1 it going to college. At that time...at that time, need meant  
2 nothing. The kids that got the scholarships were the ones that  
3 got the grades, and today it's all the other way. There are a  
4 lot of people who have income that automatically eliminates  
5 them when they still have a tremendous burden to carry if their  
6 youngsters are going to go to school. I can spend the time  
7 sorting those applications, and I don't feel like I'm playing  
8 God. I just think I'm helping out a young man or woman and  
9 helping them get their heads screwed on right and to make  
10 something of...of themselves in this world.

11 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

12 Senator Buzbee.

13 SENATOR BUZBEE:

14 Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. President, I'm always  
15 intrigued with newspaper editorials about this...about this  
16 subject, because they invariably point out that cronyism and  
17 so forth that legislators give scholarships out to their  
18 political cronies. And yes, that's true, members of the press, we  
19 do that sometimes. I've got two or three nineteen year old  
20 political cronies right now that are going to...going to  
21 college on my scholarships and I have also have some Ph.D  
22 candidates, several MD candidates, and some LLB candidates  
23 going to school on my scholarships, and I would point out to you  
24 that none of these people are eligible for an Illinois State  
25 Scholarship. Once you get past the undergraduate level, you  
26 are not eligible at all, and I certainly agree with what  
27 Senator Regner and Senator Knuppel and others have...have said  
28 concerning the State Scholarships Commission. Our modus  
29 operandi is every time we get an applicant and we have several  
30 tens and perhaps hundreds of them, like everybody else does,  
31 we always advise the applicant to first go to the Scholarship  
32 Commission, and in a few times we've been able to get them a  
33 scholarship that way. Then, if that doesn't work, come back and

1 we'll put your name on the waiting list along with...along  
2 with the several hundred others that I have, but I would point  
3 out that there are an awful lot of young doctors in this State  
4 that are doctors to be that are being educated on the General  
5 Assembly Scholarships. And quite frankly, some of them I don't  
6 know how they would be able to achieve that education if they  
7 didn't have it. I have some...some real problems with this  
8 whole issue because I really do get tired of telling so many  
9 people no. You make a lot more people mad than you make happy  
10 with these things because you have to turn so many of them  
11 down. And I'm about to get to the point of trying to devise  
12 a system where I take myself out of it perhaps but I don't  
13 think that the Scholarship Commission would be able to use  
14 that money any better than they are using the money they are  
15 getting at the present time, and I'm going to vote No on this  
16 bill.

17 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

18 Senator Hynes.

19 SENATOR HYNES:

20 Mr. President and members of the Senate, I rise in opposition  
21 to this bill, notwithstanding the fact that some six years ago I  
22 sponsored and successfully passed out of the Senate an identical  
23 bill. When I put that bill in, I agreed in great part with many  
24 of the comments that Senator Netsch has made here today, but over  
25 the course of the...the past six years, I have changed my  
26 opinion and I have changed it I think on fairly sound grounds.  
27 Many of them have been mentioned here, but I...I would like to  
28 emphasize just one in particular, and that is the...the nature  
29 of the students that can be helped with the General Assembly  
30 Scholarships. We do not presently in Illinois have a scholarship  
31 program which would reward students for academic excellence.  
32 We do not have a scholarship program which in my judgment adequately  
33 meets the needs of the middle income student, and I think that

1 even though this is a limited program that there is that opportunity  
2 through the General Assembly Scholarship. I know in the case of  
3 the scholarships I have awarded that the recipients have been  
4 very successful in college and in several of those cases would  
5 have been unable to attend the State school without the scholar-  
6 ship, and I think that it is an important factor in the future  
7 lives of these individual students. It...it may be a...an answer  
8 to say that we should expand the General...the Illinois State  
9 Scholarship Commission Program, but frankly despite repeated  
10 efforts over the past several years and even though some  
11 improvements have been made insofar as General Assembly...  
12 the middle income students are concerned, I still believe that  
13 the Illinois State Scholarship Program does not go far enough  
14 and does not take into account the needs of the middle income  
15 student in this State, the students in this State, therefore,  
16 I believe that this program, limited though it may be, is an  
17 important contribution to offering educational opportunity to  
18 many deserving students, and I, therefore, would oppose the  
19 bill and the principle of abolishing General Assembly Scholar-  
20 ships. I think much has been done over the past several years  
21 to eliminate the abuses and problems that we are all so  
22 familiar with, and I think for the most part, these scholar-  
23 ships are awarded on a basis that we can justifiably be proud  
24 of. I think that the members of the General Assembly are  
25 concerned about the students and the quality of students that  
26 get these scholarships, and I think the program ought to be  
27 continued.

28 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

29 Senator Rock.

30 SENATOR ROCK:

31 Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
32 Senate. I will be brief and to the point. I hope this bill,  
33 Senate Bill 220, gets the fate it deserves, so richly deserves.

1 I oppose it strongly.

2 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

3 House Bill...just a moment. Senator Carroll. Senator  
4 Ozinga.

5 SENATOR OZINGA:

6 Mr. President, members of the Senate, after twenty years  
7 I've watched this bill come up at least seven, eight, ten times,  
8 and I have been in opposition to this bill at every instance.  
9 If you'll just call to mind your requests for scholarships  
10 under this program, you'll know that these scholarship requests  
11 are usually begun by the student themselves after ma and pa  
12 said, look, we're just sorry we can't send you to school. The  
13 people that are acquiring these things, and believe you me, I  
14 have a few of mine that are already medical doctors. They, like  
15 ~~has~~ been said, started off where they couldn't possibly  
16 have gone to college, but are now honest, legitimate medical doctors  
17 in Chicago and I'm sure that the vast majority of all us  
18 legislators deal impartially with these scholarship applicants,  
19 and it just does my heart good to get back the letters from  
20 the Dean saying that they are the top students, and you'll find  
21 the kid that's willing enough to make the application and follow  
22 it through is the kid that's going to succeed in later life.  
23 I am in opposition to this bill and hope everybody is.

24 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

25 Senator Daley.

26 SENATOR DALEY:

27 Mr. President, fellow Senators. I'd like to ask Senator  
28 Netsch a few questions.

29 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

30 She indicates she'll respond.

31 SENATOR DALEY:

32 Can a Senator refuse to issue scholarships?

33 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1 Senator Netsch.

2 SENATOR NETSCH:

3 You mean refuse to use the General Assembly Scholarships?

4 I would assume so, yes.

5 SENATOR DALEY:

6 Also, can a Senator then appear before the Appropriation  
7 Committee and ask the Appropriation Committee...to delete if  
8 the cost by the Scholarship Committee...Commission believes  
9 that seven hundred fifty thousand dollars...to delete the  
10 seven hundred fifty thousand dollars from an appropriation.  
11 If this bill goes down to defeat, I know there's certain...  
12 there's certain Senators who really believe that they should  
13 do away with the scholarships and...and maybe it should be the  
14 individual Senators, so that if they appear Before the Appropriation  
15 Committee, we can delete that amount of money out of the  
16 appropriation for the...the General Assembly Scholarship.

17 SENATOR NETSCH:

18 There is no appropriation as such in the various university  
19 budgets for this program. In a sense it's what I suppose you  
20 would call kind of an absorbed cost. It's a waiver of tuition,  
21 and so for every student who is accepted in one of the State  
22 schools who is the designee of a General Assembly Scholarship,  
23 the university just simply doesn't get that income, but they  
24 don't have to turn around and make the appropriation for it  
25 though.

26 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

27 Senator Daley.

28 SENATOR DALEY:

29 But Senators are not mandated that they have to issue the  
30 the scholarships, so any Senator who supports this bill does  
31 not have to issue scholarships, so they can...really in their  
32 own district, they can just tell the people that they are against  
33 these types of scholarships and not issue them and save the

1 State seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars.

2 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

3 Senator Nimrod.

4 SENATOR NIMROD:

5 Yes, Mr. President and fellow Senators, the...our present  
6 scholarship program in my opinion has some very serious in-  
7 equities, and that was...what has...provoked me to introduce  
8 Senate Bill 867 which states that there will be no scholarships  
9 of any kind at all, and that we ought to make loans available  
10 for every student to go, because the present system does  
11 discriminate. And we have heard from several of our colleagues  
12 today some of those reasons, the middle income families, the  
13 professionals and the others involved, and we do deny them an  
14 opportunity, and until this system is straightened out, I think  
15 that has been pointed out that the present...present scholar-  
16 ships are given by the General Assembly do address themselves  
17 to that area which we have been discriminating against, and  
18 I certainly until that is straightened out, I cannot support  
19 this bill, and I think we ought to maintain this. We ought  
20 to certainly not deny those people, those families, and those  
21 parents who are paying all the taxes for all of the other  
22 scholarships, of denying them of any opportunity to have a  
23 chance to educate their children. I cannot support this bill  
24 on that basis.

25 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

26 Senator Netsch may close the debate.

27 SENATOR NETSCH:

28 I would like to make two points and one, Senator Maragos,  
29 particularly raised this and I think several others alluded to  
30 it, and I would really like to respond to it because I think  
31 it's a legitimate question to raise. The...the State Scholar-  
32 ship Program as it presently exists is not just a low income  
33 family program. Right now the medium income of...I'm sorry, the

1 mean income of the recipient is fourteen thousand one hundred  
2 dollars, and that, of course, has been growing every year.  
3 For example, sixty-eight per cent of all of the applicants  
4 for ISCC Scholarships who have incomes under nineteen thousand  
5 dollars, that is whose families do, do, in fact, receive  
6 scholarships and in the case of private universities that  
7 figure increases to ninety-three per cent, so that it is not  
8 true that there is no recognition at all of the moderate  
9 income family in the existing State Scholarship Program, but  
10 I think at least as important of that...as that is the fact  
11 that the standard set up under the State Scholarship Program,  
12 the existing one, not the General Assembly, do not have an  
13 absolute dollar figure written into them. What happens is  
14 that the...the Commission takes into account the circumstances  
15 of the family which incidently will include the number of  
16 children who are dependent on that family, the income and other  
17 factors, and then it determines the amount of money that it  
18 has and all of this goes into a computer and out of that comes  
19 how far down into the income scale or how far up into the  
20 income scale the program can operate for a given fiscal year.  
21 So that it is a fact that the more money that we appropriate  
22 for the State Scholarship Program, the more it will in fact  
23 be able to direct its attention to the...the moderate income  
24 family, and I think that's something very important for us to  
25 remember whatever the fate of this particular bill. The other  
26 thing I just wanted to respond to briefly is, that this...the  
27 ...my interest in this piece of legislation was not in response  
28 to any specific charges on the part of any individual legislator  
29 of cronyism or whatever the expression might be. It's just  
30 simply a matter of policy and principal. I, for one, do not  
31 think that scholarship should be a matter of the largess of  
32 a public elected official. I think it should be a matter of  
33 right for everyone who needs that kind of help in order to be

1 able to go to school, and that includes moderate income families  
2 as well as poor income families. That is the reason why the  
3 ...the bill was introduced and that's the reason why I think  
4 it is a much more rational approach to our attempt to make  
5 higher education available to those who should, in fact, be  
6 the beneficiaries of higher education.

7 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

8 Question is...just...the debate has been closed, Senator.  
9 Well, what is your point? State your point.

10 SENATOR BUZBEE:

11 I wanted to make a correction to something that Senator  
12 Netsch said earlier. There, in fact, is money that goes to  
13 the universities. It's not...they do not eat it internally.  
14 They get the money. That's one reason the universities are  
15 always adamantly opposed to the...doing away with these  
16 scholarships. For the record, I wanted to make that straight.

17 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

18 Your...your point will be recorded electronically. The  
19 question is, shall Senate Bill 220 pass. Those in favor vote  
20 Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all  
21 those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,  
22 the Ayes are 19, the Nays are 33, 3 Voting Present. Senate  
23 Bill 220 having failed to receive the constitutional majority  
24 is declared lost. House Bills on 3rd reading. Senator Rock  
25 as to...House Bill 182, Senator Rock.

26 SENATOR ROCK:

27 Thank you, Mr...thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and  
28 Gentlemen of the Senate. I know we are about to adjourn to  
29 go to committee meetings, but I appreciate the opportunity.  
30 House Bill 182 is the Secretary of State Personnel Code Bill.  
31 We discussed this before the Easter break. I have seven  
32 amendments which I have placed with the Secretary. They are  
33 I am informed agreed amendments, and I would like to offer



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

them, and then I will hopefully call the bill for passage tomorrow after everyone has had an opportunity to take a look at the amendments...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Do we have leave...

SENATOR ROCK:

...and I'd like to...I'd like to bring the bill...ask leave of the Body to bring the bill back to the Order of 2nd reading for the purpose of offering these amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

...do we have leave? Leave is granted. The bill is now on 2nd reading. Senator Rock.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

...Senator Rock...

SENATOR ROCK:

...thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 is the savings clause offered by the Office of the Governor. This is an agreement between the Governor and the Secretary of State with respect to certain language. It says in...in essence that nothing in this Act shall be construed to prejudice, reduce, extinguish, or affect the rights or privileges determined through the judicial process. As you know, there is a case currently pending with respect to employees of the Secretary's Office. The Governor requested this amendment. The Secretary has agreed. The second part of it says in effect...it was something that Senator Davidson brought up during the course of the negotiations that would allow an...an...an employee to retain any existing reemployment

1 rights. That Amendment No. 1 does those two things and I would  
2 move its adoption.

3 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

4 Senator Davidson.

5 SENATOR DAVIDSON:

6 Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, I like to  
7 rise in support of these seven amendments that Senator Rock is  
8 going to offer to this bill. These are bill...amendments which  
9 will...brought forth and worked out in compromise with objections  
10 we had to bill as it previously stated, figuring that the  
11 employees did not have the coverage it was supposed to do.  
12 This worked out between Secretary of State, the Governor,  
13 Senator Rock and myself, and I urge all of us to support all  
14 seven amendments.

15 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

16 Is there further discussion as to Amendment No. 1? The  
17 question is, shall Senaté Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 182  
18 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those  
19 opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted.  
20 Senator Rock, Amendment No. 2.

21 SENATOR ROCK:

22 Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
23 Senate. Amendment No. 2 creates an affirmative action frame-  
24 work for the Office of the Secretary of State. It is similar  
25 to that...that provision now contained in the Personnel Code.  
26 I would move its adoption.

27 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

28 Is there further discussion? The question is, shall Amend-  
29 ment No...Senate Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 182 be adopted.  
30 Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed Nay. The  
31 Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Amendment No. 3,  
32 Senator Rock.

33 SENATOR ROCK:

1           Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
2 Senate. Amendment No. 3 is again as Senator Davidson indicated  
3 as a result of certain discussions with he and Senator Berning.  
4 It clarifies the section dealing with the hearings that are to  
5 be held before the Merit Commission and it makes the section  
6 of House Bill 182 identical to that contained in the Personnel  
7 Code and I would move its adoption.

8 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9           Is there further discussion? The question is, shall  
10 Amendment No...Senate Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 182 be  
11 adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed  
12 Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment is adopted. Amendment  
13 No. 4, Senator Rock.

14 SENATOR ROCK:

15           Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
16 Senate. Amendment No. 4 makes the section and promotion and  
17 appointments identical to that contained in our current  
18 Personnel Code. I would move its adoption.

19 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

20           Is there further discussion? The question is, shall  
21 Amendment...Senate Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 182 be  
22 adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those  
23 opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted.  
24 Amendment No. 5.

25 SENATOR ROCK:

26           Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
27 Senate. Amendment No. 5 was that suggested during the course  
28 of the negotiations by the investigators of the Office of the  
29 Secretary of State, the Fraternal Order of Police and it  
30 prohibits the exemption of investigators as highly confidential,  
31 and we would move the adoption of Amendment No. 5.

32 PRESIDENT:

33           Any discussion? Senator Rock moves the adoption of Amendment

1 No. 5. All those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed.  
2 The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Amendment No.  
3 6, Senator Rock.

4 SENATOR ROCK:

5 Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
6 Senate. This is another amendment at the suggestion of the  
7 Fraternal Order of Police, and it would allow the Merit  
8 Commission to investigate alleged improper personnel transactions.  
9 I would move its adoption.

10 PRESIDENT:

11 Any discussion? If not, Senator Rock moves the adoption  
12 of Amendment No. 6. All those in favor signify by saying  
13 Aye. Opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 6 is adopted.  
14 Amendment No. 7, Senator Rock.

15 SENATOR ROCK:

16 Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
17 Senate. Amendment No. 7 is a companion to that already  
18 adopted namely No. 6, and it specifically gives the commission  
19 the authority to conduct investigations provided for in the previous  
20 amendment. I would move its adoption.

21 PRESIDENT:

22 Any discussion? Senator Rock moves the adoption of  
23 Amendment No. 7. All those in favor signify by saying Aye.  
24 Opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 7 is adopted.  
25 Any further amendments?

26 SECRETARY:

27 No further amendments.

28 PRESIDENT:

29 3rd reading. For what purpose does Senator Knuppel arise?

30 SENATOR KNUPPEL:

31 I don't know if this is the appropriate time, but I want  
32 get a waiver of the Six Day Rule on the bills that have been  
33 listed in the Agriculture Committee for Monday, April 25th, at

1 2:30 p.m. as posted. I'd ask leave of this Body to waive the  
2 Six Day Waiting...Posting period. Do I have leave of this Body?

3 PRESIDENT:

4 Excuse me, Senator. You have a list of bills that you wish...

5 SENATOR KNUPPEL:

6 I have a list of bills. Do you want them read?

7 PRESIDENT:

8 Yes, Senator. Would you read the numbers of the bills.

9 SENATOR KNUPPEL:

10 Surely would.

11 PRESIDENT:

12 This is for hearing at 2:30 on Monday, April 25?

13 SENATOR KNUPPEL:

14 Yes. Senate Bill 537, 574, 591, 649, 680, 681, 845, 882,  
15 915, 1084, 1148, 1187, 1188, 1213, 1249, 1257, 1303, 1307, 1314,  
16 1318, 1319, 1320, 1321, 1326, 1329, 1340, 1341 and 1342 give me...

17 ....

18 PRESIDENT:

19 Senator Knuppel has moved to...for what purpose does  
20 Senator Berman arise? Senator Knuppel has moved to suspend the  
21 rule for the purpose of waiving the Six Day Posting Requirement  
22 for the bills just read. Is there any discussion? For what  
23 purpose does Senator Shapiro arise?

24 SENATOR SHAPIRO:

25 Well, Mr. President, I...I'm going to go along with the  
26 waiver of the Six Day Notice on this group of bills but I  
27 think it is a bad practice to read into the record that many  
28 bills for committee hearing on such short notice.

29 PRESIDENT:

30 For what purpose does Senator Donnewald arise?

31 SENATOR DONNEWALD:

32 Well, Mr. President, I think...we're in a crunch right now,  
33 and I think that we should consider right now waiving the Six

1 Day Rule for the next two weeks, because we are in a real bind,  
2 and I think everybody here realizes that.

3 PRESIDENT:

4 I think that is going to be considered before we leave  
5 this week, but also that notice will be posted on the board  
6 of the...in fact, a notice is prepared and it will be posted  
7 today on the board of the bills that are being heard. All  
8 those in favor of the motion of Senator Knuppel, signify by  
9 saying Aye. Opposed. The Ayes have it. The rules are  
10 suspended. The bills will be heard in the Agriculture  
11 Committee on Monday April 25.

12 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

13 Senator Glass, for what purpose do you arise?

14 SENATOR GLASS:

15 Thank you, Mr. President. On the Order of Motions in  
16 Writing, I have a motion set for hearing today regarding  
17 Senate Bill 363, and I would ask leave of the Body to postpone  
18 that motion for one week.

19 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

20 You've heard the request. Is leave granted? So ordered.  
21 Senator Donnewald, for what purpose do you arise?

22 SENATOR DONNEWALD:

23 Yes, Mr. President, Senate Bill 572 was incorrectly assigned  
24 to Pensions and should be in the Insurance Committee, and I  
25 would so move that that be done.

26 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

27 Senator Donnewald has moved that Senate Bill...moved that  
28 ...that Senate Committee on Finance...Pensions be discharged  
29 from further consideration of Senate Bill 572, and that the bill  
30 be re-referred to the Committee on Insurance. All those in  
31 favor signify by saying Aye. All those opposed. The Ayes have  
32 it. So ordered.

33 Senator Berman.

1 SENATOR BERMAN:

2 Thank you, Mr. President. I would ask leave to waive the  
3 posting notice as to a series of bills to be heard in Elementary  
4 and Secondary Committee next Tuesday. I've given a copy of the  
5 list to the Minority spokesman and to the Minority Leader. I  
6 know of no objection, and they'll be posted today. I've given  
7 the Clerk a list unless you want me to read the full list of  
8 bills into the record.

9 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

10 All right, I understand that's not necessary... is leave granted?  
11 So ordered.

12 SENATOR BERMAN:

13 Thank you.

14 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

15 Senator Buzbee.

16 SENATOR BUZBEE:

17 Mr. President, a question on the Senate Resolutions  
18 Consent Calendar. I noticed there a two resolutions in there  
19 which are outside of the realm of congratulatory. On  
20 Senate Resolution 91 sponsored by Senator Weaver and one Senate  
21 Resolution 95 sponsored by Senator Shapiro. Now, I suspect  
22 that neither one of these are controversial, but I'm wonder-  
23 ing if we are establishing b a d precedent here by letting  
24 those get onto this Consent Calendar when it was my...my  
25 understanding the Consent Calendar was strictly for congratu-  
26 latory and death resolutions, and...and I just wonder if we  
27 ought to start to establish a precedent here that we might  
28 regret later on.

29 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

30 Well, there is provision in that rule which was adopted  
31 for the proper objection to be made. I think your point is  
32 well taken, but there is provision in the rule for those kinds  
33 of objections.

1 SENATOR BUZBEE:  
2 When is...Mr. President, when...when would be the proper  
3 time to address that objection then? Should...at the time you...  
4 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)  
5 If your objection is filed in writing, I presume today  
6 or tomorrow.  
7 SENATOR BUZBEE:  
8 ...Okay, thank you.  
9 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)  
10 All right, Senator Newhouse.  
11 SENATOR NEWHOUSE:  
12 Thank you, Mr. President. Mine is an announcement. May  
13 I go ahead with that order?  
14 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)  
15 On the Order of Announcements, Senator Newhouse.  
16 SENATOR NEWHOUSE:  
17 Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Hickey will not be here  
18 to convene the Higher Education Committee tomorrow morning  
19 and I will accept that responsibility. I'm wondering if the  
20 Democratic members of the Higher Education Committee could meet  
21 me with in my office immediately after adjournment on the  
22 6th Floor, I'd appreciate it.  
23 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)  
24 All right. Senator Schaffer.  
25 SENATOR SCHAFFER:  
26 Again on the Order of Announcements. Particularly this  
27 side of the aisle, but certainly not limited to this side of  
28 the aisle. Tonight the College Republican Federation is  
29 conducting a roast of Representative Adeline Geo-Karis, and I  
30 know...I know many of you feel this is most appropriate and  
31 anyone who is interested in attending should let me know. I  
32 understand that it will be a very, shall we say, active roast.  
33



1 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

2 All right, further announcements. Senator Mitchler.

3 SENATOR MITCHLER:

4 Mr. President and members of the Senate, I'd like to  
5 announce that in the President's Gallery are...are the Oswego  
6 Junior High School group down here. They're honor students  
7 and they're led by their instructor, Tom Fletcher. They're  
8 selected for their scholastic achievement in their class in  
9 studying government, and they're visiting all of the State  
10 Officers and the State Offices. I'd like to rise and be  
11 recognized by the Senate.

12 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

13 Will our guests please stand and be recognized. Senator  
14 Netsch.

15 SENATOR NETSCH:

16 I believe I have a motion on the Calendar. May I have  
17 leave to set over until next week, whatever day Senator  
18 Glass chose.

19 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

20 July 15 all right? Yes...you've heard the request.

21 Is leave granted? So ordered.

22 SENATOR NETSCH:

23 I did not accede to that.

24 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

25 Okay. Senator Vadalabene.

26 SENATOR VADALABENE:

27 Yes, thank you, Mr. President. A form of an announcement,  
28 the Executive Committee on Appointments and Administration will...  
29 scheduled to meet at two o'clock in Room 212, it will now meet  
30 immediately after we adjourn.

31 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

32 Thank you. Senator Demuzio.

33 SENATOR DEMUZIO:

1           Again, in...in the form of an announcement. This after-  
2 noon the Finance and Credit Regulations Committee was to meet.  
3 There were sixteen bills that were posted. All but one of  
4 the sponsors have wished to hold their bills till next week,  
5 therefore, we are going to cancel the Finance and Credit  
6 Regulations meeting for this afternoon and to notify that those  
7 sponsors that have held bills that they'll go to the bottom  
8 of the call next...next week.

9 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

10           Very well. Senator Berning.

11 SENATOR BERNING:

12           Mr. President, I move to discharge the Higher Education Committee  
13 from further consideration of Senate Bill 288 for the purposes  
14 of Tabling it.

15 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

16           That motion is always in order, Senator. Senator Berning  
17 has moved to discharge the Committee on Higher Education from  
18 further consideration of Senate Bill 288. All those in favor  
19 signify by saying Aye. All those opposed. The Ayes have it.  
20 Senator Berning now moves that Senate Bill 288 be Tabled.  
21 All those in favor signify by saying Aye. All those opposed.  
22 The Ayes have it. So ordered. Senator Merlo.

23 SENATOR MERLO:

24           Mr. President, I would like to request at...at this time  
25 leave to remove my name as a principal sponsor of Senate Bill  
26 615. Senator D'Arco will take on the responsibility of the  
27 sponsorship.

28 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

29           Senate...with respect to the sponsorship of Senate Bill  
30 615, is leave granted? So ordered. Senator Johns.

31 SENATOR JOHNS:

32           Mr. President, I have a bill, 303, on 3rd reading. It  
33 needs to be amended. The amendment is on the Secretary's

1 Desk, amendment is on each Senator's desk. I'd think it be  
2 wise for me to move that back now for the order of placing an  
3 amendment thereon.

4 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

5 Is...is...the amendment is an agreed amendment?

6 SENATOR JOHNS:

7 I believe it is, yes, because...

8 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

9 Well...now...I'm...I'm...

10 SENATOR JOHNS:

11 Okay. I'll just pass it...

12 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

13 ...Yeah, let's hold it, okay.

14 SENATOR JOHNS:

15 Okay.

16 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

17 All right, Senator Daley.

18 SENATOR DALEY:

19 Mr. President and fellow Senators, I've spoken to Senator  
20 Smith and he agreed to transfer Senate Bill 1374 and 1376 to  
21 Judiciary I.

22 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

23 All right.

24 SENATOR DALEY:

25 There's a series of bills that are already in Judiciary  
26 and this is part of it.

27 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

28 Senator Daley has moved to discharge the Committee on  
29 Public Health from further consideration of Senate Bill 1374  
30 and 1376. All those in favor signify by saying Aye. All  
31 those opposed. The Ayes have it. So ordered, and they will  
32 re-referred to the Committee on Judiciary I. Further  
33 announcements? Senator Tom Hynes.

1 SENATOR HYNES:

2 Mr. President and members of the Senate, I would ask that  
3 you pick up the schedule that was distributed earlier for the  
4 next two weeks and notice the kind of workload that we're  
5 facing. It calls for Session five days a week with the  
6 the possibility of a Saturday Session on April 30th if the  
7 committees have not satisfactorily moved the workload along.  
8 We have...after we complete this week, we will have two weeks  
9 left to get Senate Bills, except for appropriation bills, out  
10 of Senate committees, and with the tremendous number of bills  
11 that were introduced in the last week before the deadline, we  
12 have a monumental task in front of us, and I think that we are at  
13 the point where it is necessary for the sponsors to call  
14 their bills for hearings and for the committees to move those  
15 bills along, either up or down. In the remaining two weeks  
16 of this Session if we do not progress rapidly, we're going  
17 to end up in the last couple of days with a tremendous number  
18 of bills that have not been given a proper hearing and that's  
19 going to be a very unfortunate situation as far as the sponsors  
20 are concerned, so this schedule calls for five days a week for  
21 the next two weeks with a possibility of a Saturday Session  
22 next week on April 30th if we do not move the bills out of  
23 committee or dispose of them otherwise.

24 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

25 Senator Grotberg, for what purpose do you arise?

26 SENATOR GROTBORG:

27 A question of Senator Hynes...

28 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

29 Indicates he will...

30 SENATOR GROTBORG:

31 ...on procedure or...

32 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

33 ...hold it...

1 SENATOR GROTBORG:

2 ...or you, Mr. President. Could we get an understanding  
3 ...I'm a freshman and not having been through some of these  
4 things before, I would ask...the Majority Leadership to inform  
5 me, should I go around and...and have all of my bills individually  
6 posted or will they be posted and are all of them going to  
7 be out of Assignment on Rules?

8 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

9 They are all out of Assignment as of this moment...

10 SENATOR GROTBORG:

11 And will they be posted or do we have to seek posting...

12 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

13 We...we...

14 SENATOR GROTBORG:

15 ...ourselves?

16 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

17 ...follow the time honored tradition that a sponsor has  
18 complete control of his bill...

19 SENATOR GROTBORG:

20 Right...

21 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

22 ...you have to request posting.

23 SENATOR GROTBORG:

24 Thank you very much.

25 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

26 Or should request posting. Further announcements?

27 Senator Carroll, for what purpose do you arise?

28 SENATOR CARROLL:

29 For purpose of requesting leave of the Senate, Mr.  
30 President. There were two additional resolutions to be added  
31 to the Resolution Calendar. The Secretary has Senate Resolution  
32 97 and 98. 97 offered by Senators Nimrod and myself. 98 by, I  
33 believe it is Senator Mitchler, and I ask leave of the Body

1 that they be added to the Consent Calendar...

2 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

3 Is...

4 SENATOR CARROLL:

5 ...for appropriate action tomorrow.

6 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

7 ...is leave granted? So ordered. Any further...any  
8 further business to come before the Senate? Senator Vadalabene  
9 has previously announced that the Committee on Executive  
10 Appointments will meet immediately upon adjournment in Room  
11 212. Senator Hynes now...Senator Savickas...Senator...

12 SENATOR SAVICKAS:

13 The Committee on Labor and Commerce will meet immediately  
14 after adjournment, too, in Room 400...

15 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

16 Room 400. Nothing...no further business to come before  
17 the Senate, Senator Hynes moves that the Senate now stand  
18 adjourned until Friday, April 22 at the hour of 11:00 a.m.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33