

79TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
REGULAR SESSION
NOVEMBER 5, 1975

1. PRESIDENT:

2. The hour of eleven thirty having arrived, the Senate will come
3. to order. The prayer will be by the Reverend Dennis E. Eldridge, Bunn
4. Park Christian Church, Springfield, Illinois.

5. REVEREND ELDRIDGE:

6. (Prayer given by Reverend Eldridge)

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Reading of the Journals. Senator Kenneth Hall.

9. SENATOR HALL:

10. Thank you, Mr. President. I move that reading and approval of the
11. Journals of Monday, November the 3rd, 1975 and Tuesday, November the 4th,
12. 1975 be postponed pending arrival of the printed Journals.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. You heard the motion. All in favor will say Aye. Opposed Nay.

15. The Ayes have it, the motion carries. Committee Reports.

16. SECRETARY:

17. Senator Donnewald, Chairman of the Assignment of Bills, assigns
18. the following bills to committee: Appropriations - Senate Bill 1517.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Resolutions. Senator Weaver.

21. SECRETARY:

22. Senate Resolution 174 introduced by Senator Weaver and Hynes.

23. SENATOR WEAVER:

24. Mr. President and members of the Senate, this extends the reporting
25. time for the Fiscal Accountability Committee to January 14th, instead
26. of November the 6th, and I'd move adoption of the resolution.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. You heard the motion. All in favor will say Aye. Opposed Nay.

29. The resolution is adopted. The Senate will come to order. On the
30. order of Total Vetoes, page three, Senate Bill 16, Senator Vadalabene.

31. Senator Graham is not here yet. Senate Bill 55, Senator Nudelman.

32. Senate Bill 175, Senator Hynes. Senate Bill 398, Senator Palmer. Senate
33. Bill 506, Senator Knuppel. Yes, Sir. Senate Bill 506, Senator Knuppel.

1. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

2. Mr. President and members of the Body, Senate Bill 506 was designed
3. to change the inheritance tax exemptions primarily with respect to
4. widows. The exemption was increased from twenty thousand to seventy-
5. five thousand for widows and by five thousand each for children. There's
6. been no change on the exemptions on inheritance taxes since the origin
7. of the bill back around 1911. I submit to you, that with inflation,
8. values of land have increased so tremendously that a piece of land which
9. would have sold for four hundred dollars an acre five years ago in
10. Logan County, sold out of an estate I was handling last week, for one
11. hundred seventy-six thousand dollars for twenty-two hundred dollars an
12. acre. All I'm asking in this bill is to increase the exemption to the
13. widow to seventy-five thousand dollars. This is for a period of ten
14. years, and an on taxed interest of seven thousand, five hundred dollars
15. per year for ten years. It only increases that to children by
16. five thousand. I've been asked by the Senior Citizens' groups of the
17. State of Illinois, who consider this the best of three bills that were
18. passed in the Spring Session, to attempt an override. The approximate
19. cost to the State of Illinois would be between ten and fourteen million
20. dollars, nobody can be completely accurate on this. I submit and I
21. realize that we are in some financial difficulty in the State of
22. Illinois, however, however, we must reorient our thinking with respect
23. to taxes and where the burden falls. It certainly falls unfairly on
24. widows when a forty acres of land can go from sixteen thousand dollars
25. in value to a value of some ninety, or one hundred thousand dollars.
26. Now, it just isn't realistic. What we've allowed to happen is an in-
27. crease in inheritance tax by indirection, by lethargy, whether we realize
28. it or not. We say we're against the tax increase, but we're letting it
29. happen in the inheritance tax field. Every years that there's infla-
30. tion, the tax on the widow increases. I submit to the members of this
31. Body that this is not a large bill when it involves some ten to fourteen
32. million dollars in the overall picture of State Revenue. It's a change
33. and a step in the right direction for the reorientation of our taxing

1. structure, and I submit to the members on my side of the aisle, this
2. is humane legislation, generally directed to senior citizens because
3. they're older, women who lose their husbands, or older husbands who
4. lose their wives, and it's a case of...of only leaving seventy-five
5. thousand dollars as on tax and exemption. I submit this is good legis-
6. lation, we should override the veto. Thank you very much.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Any further discussion? Senator Mitchler.

9. SENATOR MITCHLER:

10. Mr. President and members of the Senate, I'd like to ask the spon-
11. sor some questions relating to this.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. He indicates he'll yield.

14. SENATOR MITCHLER:

15. First of all, Senator Knuppel, I...I am in support of legislation
16. to increase the Illinois Inheritance Tax exemption. Now, I understand
17. there was three bills that did pass the General Assembly relating to
18. exemptions for the Illinois Inheritance Tax Act, and this is one of
19. them. Do we have any other Senate bills that were vetoed? The Gover-
20. nor vetoed all three of the bills that reached his desk. Do we have
21. any other Senate bills that provide for an exemption, or are the other
22. two House bills?

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Knuppel.

25. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

26. Both other bills were House bills.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Mitchler.

29. SENATOR MITCHLER:

30. Do you know, Senator, if any action has been on those two bills?
31. I understand that this would be the more expensive...most expensive of
32. the three bills.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Knuppel.

2. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

3. I...I haven't run a check on them, but I don't think that's accurate
4. because I think it's in the middle. Now, there's one House bill that
5. merely increased the widows exemption by two, which I don't consider to
6. be enough in light of what I've talked about, increases and inflation.
7. It would have been the cheapest bill. That was Representative Friedrich's
8. Bill. Representative, and I don't remember what it was, but it was
9. Representative Londrigan's Bill, would have raised the exemption for
10. both the widow and the children substantially more than mine. I think
11. it would have been the most expensive bill, I think mine would have been
12. in the middle.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Senator Mitchler.

15. SENATOR MITCHLER:

16. For some reason or other I apologize. Your particular bill, 506,
17. was not at my attention. I was interested in having an override of
18. a House Bill 182, and was following that, but in the event House Bill
19. 182 does not get here, I would support Senate Bill 506, and let them
20. iron that out in the House when it gets over to that Body.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Senator Knuppel.

23. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

24. I'll...I'll be more than happy to have that, and as I say, this
25. group, the...the Senior Citizens, have approached me, they prefer this
26. bill because of the large increase to the...in exemption to the widow.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Bell.

29. SENATOR BELL:

30. Thank you, Mr. President. Well, I was reading over the Governor's
31. Message in reference to this veto, and I think he has some very pertinent
32. points that...that we as a Body, should bear very definitely in mind.
33. And number one, we're talking about and have been talking about this

1. Fall, about the State running out of money. We even talk about this in
2. reference to even if we sustain the Governor's vetoes as far as the
3. various appropriation bills are concerned, that we're going to run out
4. of money, very possibly come up with over a hundred million dollar deficit.
5. Now, as the Governor points out, and I think it should be reiterated
6. here. This does not seem like a proper time for this Body to pass legis-
7. lation that's going to interfere with the flow of revenue into the State.
8. There's been talk this Fall about the possibility that the Illinois
9. General Assembly will have to address itself to an Income Tax increase,
10. or finding it in some course of increased revenues. Well, I submit to
11. you, that at this time, to cut back on a source of revenues that we have
12. been going along with for some period of years just does not seem like
13. the equitable thing to do for the people, in general, of the State of
14. Illinois. Now, I realize that our inheritance tax laws are antiquated
15. and need to be revised, but I don't think this is the time to do it,
16. when we need every resource of revenue that we can have, and that we
17. should address ourselves to this question possibly in the 80th General
18. Assembly, or at a later time.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. (Machine cut-off)...further discussion? Senator Knuppel may close
21. the debate. Oh, pardon me. Senator Harris, did you want to be heard
22. before...

23. SENATOR HARRIS:

24. Yes.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. ...we close this debate? Senator Harris.

27. SENATOR HARRIS:

28. Just very briefly. I...I just want to say that I don't think any-
29. body wants to go on the record as being opposed to doing something for
30. those persons involved with Illinois Inheritance Tax Liabilities. The
31. formula ought to be revised. No question about it. The problem here is,
32. Senate Bill 506, in my judgement, clearly goes too far. It is more than
33. an adequate and reasonable step along that road. I know that we can all

1. disagree about how much this might actually cost in reduced State yields,
2. but our best judgement is, and by that personal pronoun, I mean the
3. judgement of the Minority Staff is, that this bill would probably re-
4. duce State yields by some eighteen million dollars. In the light of
5. our serious financial difficulties about adequately funding essential
6. State services, in the long-run, I just think that this bill clearly
7. goes to far, and I know we have the hard choices to make about whether
8. House Bill 182 is, in fact, going to get to us or not, but I...I prefer
9. to wait until that option would be before us, and just think that Senate
10. Bill 506 goes too far.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Senator Knuppel.

13. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

14. Mr. President, I disagree with the philosophy of Senator Bell and
15. Senator Harris...

16. PRESIDENT:

17. One...one moment, one moment. Could we have some order, please.

18. Senator Knuppel.

19. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

20. ...with respect to it going to far. It's admitted that we should
21. do something, but we shouldn't do it now, we should do it some other
22. time. I think if we should do something, now's the time to be about
23. our State's business, and if we have to reorient the method in which
24. we raise taxes and who pay them, it's time we got about it. We had
25. a Constitutional Convention that was suppose to solve this problem, and
26. it really hasn't done it. Now, I'll...I'll give Senator Harris this
27. assurance, that I will ask the House sponsor to Table this bill if,
28. in fact, he would prefer 182, and 182 comes here, but today is the
29. next to the last day for me to get this out of here, and I submit, that
30. to wait and wait and wait is what has happened with inflation. The
31. tax has been increased by our lethargy, it's been done without our in-
32. tent, without our actions, and I submit to you that it is time that
33. those people who are paying the tax get the same break as those who

1. are receiving it. I'll tell you, in State Government here, there's
2. all kinds of faucets where you can draw off and pay out. There's wel-
3. fare, there's education, there's roads, there's fifty other things, but
4. very little consideration has been extended over the years to the source,
5. and I say it's time if...if everybody admits, if everybody admits we
6. should do something about the exemptions, this is the time to do it. As
7. far as the Senate bill is concerned, you have my assurance that I will
8. kill the bill if 182 comes over here, and we accept that. It's...it's
9. a more modest, it's a more modest approach, I think it's too modest, but
10. as a matter of compromise I would be willing to accept it, but this is
11. the last day that I can get...or tomorrow is the last day that I can
12. get the Senate bill out, and I can't wait. I would ask my colleagues,
13. who believe that it's time for some revision in the exemption, and it's
14. admitted that it is time, the only reason it's not to do it is the
15. precarious financial condition of the State to vote with me. Thank
16. you.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. The question is shall Senate Bill 506 pass the veto of the Governor
19. to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed
20. will vote Nay. The Secretary will call the roll.

21. SECRETARY:

22. Bell, Berning, Bloom, Brady, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, Clarke,
23. Course, Daley, Davidson, Demuzio, Donnewald, Dougherty, Egan, Fawell,
24. Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Harris, Hickey, Hynes, Johns,
25. Joyce, Knuppel, Kosinski, Lane, Latherow, Lemke, McCarthy, Merritt,
26. Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don Moore, Morris, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod,
27. Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer, Philip, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, Savickas,
28. Schaffer, Shapiro, Smith, Sommer, Soper, Vadalabene, Weaver, Welsh,
29. Wooten, Mr. President.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Senator Hynes, Aye. Senator Course, Aye. Senator Roe, No. Senator
32. Latherow, No. Senator Merritt, No. Senator Weaver, No. Senator Howard
33. Mohr, No. Senator Bloom, No. Senator Buzbee, No. Senator Don Moore, No.

1. Senator Soper, No. Senator Morris, Aye. Senator Newhouse, Aye. Have
2. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are
3. 23, the Nays are 23, and the motion having not received the required
4. three-fifths vote is declared lost. The Regular Session will be in
5. recess until immediately after the First...the Second Special Session.

6. (Recess)

7. (After Recess)

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Let the record show we have returned to the Regular Session. We
10. are on the order of Total Vetoes. Senate Bill 398, Senator Palmer.

11. SENATOR PALMER:

12. Mr...Mr. President, this is a Total Veto and we need thirty-six
13. votes, and I ask the President to please have the members in their seats
14. and...and vote on this bill.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Will the members be in their seats.

17. SENATOR PALMER:

18. And vote Aye on this bill. Mr. President and members of the Senate.
19. Senate Bill 398 requires the Department of Mental Health to maintain and
20. operate a long-term inpatient treatment facility in Cook County. The
21. bill does not, as may...may be inferred by the Governor's Veto Message,
22. does not require the department to construct a new facility, but merely
23. states that the facility must be maintained and operated in Cook County.
24. In Cook County the...the department has existing facilities where there
25. can be used for long-term inpatient care. They are the Chicago Reed
26. Mental Hospital and the Madden Mental Health Care Center and the Tinley
27. Park Mental Health Care Center. Although, these centers currently exist,
28. and although half of the State's population reside in Cook County, the
29. department continues to ship these long-term patients to Manteno State
30. Hospital some sixty miles from the center of Chicago. Now, in the
31. Governor's Message, he states that the facilities in Elgin and Manteno
32. are approximate, but that is not true, Gentlemen and Ladies, sixty...
33. sixty miles and seventy miles is not approximate, and many...many of the

1. people cannot...cannot make this trip. And also should be mentioned,
2. that because of the present trend to house mental patients in the
3. community, there are...is a great deal and sufficient room and beds,
4. for instance, in Chicago Reed Hospital...Chicago State Hospital which
5. used to house twelve hundred patients, and at the present time in 1940...
6. 1975 houses four hundred and forty-two patients. Now, the program of...
7. of housing the mental patients in the communities is an...is experimental,
8. and we hope for its success, but in no way...in no way was it intended
9. to disregard the long-term patient that needed long term care and was...
10. and...and needed the facilities of bed care. I would like to, also, call
11. attention to this...this Body, that the legislation of Senate Bill 398
12. had strong bipartisan support. In the Senate the original bill was
13. passed received fifty-one to one vote, and in the House, a hundred and
14. fourteen to five. Senator Schaffer who Chaired the subcommittee, put
15. this bill in proper shape which was to the satisfaction of all concerned
16. people, for those people who are concerned in the mental health problem,
17. and is, certainly, supported by the Illinois Association of Mental Health.
18. I urge Senator Schaffer...Senator Schaffer, I am almost through, and I...
19. I would like you to be ready to say a few words in support of your find-
20. ings of the subcommittee.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

22. Senator Schaffer.

23. SENATOR PALMER:

24. I urge the Body to vote...override the veto of Senate Bill 398.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

26. Is there further discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill
27. 398 pass the veto of the Governor contrary notwithstanding. Those in
28. favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. Secretary will call the roll.

29. SECRETARY:

30. Bell, Berning, Bloom, Brady, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, Clarke,
31. Course, Daley, Davidson, Demuzio, Donnewald, Dougherty, Egan, Fawell,
32. Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Harris, Hickey, Hynes, Johns,
33. Joyce, Knuppel, Kosinski, Lane, Latherow, Lemke, McCarthy, Merritt,

1. Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don Moore, Morris, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod,
2. Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer, Philip, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, Savickas,
3. Schaffer, Shapiro, Smith, Sommer, Soper, Vadalabene, Weaver, Welsh,
4. Wooten, Mr. President.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. On that question, the Ayes are 31, the Nays are 14, and none Voting
7. Present. Senate Bill 398 not having received the required three-fifths
8. vote is declared lost. Senate Bill 486, Senator Rock.

9. SENATOR ROCK:

10. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I
11. have filed a motion with the Secretary to...that Senate Bill 486 be
12. approved, the Governor's...the Governor's veto to the contrary notwith-
13. standing.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. Just a moment. Senator, I can't hear you, and if I can't, nobody
16. can.

17. SENATOR ROCK:

18. Can I count on your vote? This as you will recall, Mr. President
19. and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, is a...

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Sometime...

22. SENATOR ROCK:

23. ...was an amendment to the Administrative Review Act, which pro-
24. vided that local...by local ordinance, a local municipality or school
25. district could, in fact, opt into our Administrative Review Act...the
26. State Statutory Administrative Review Act. This, as I explained when
27. the bill was called for passage in the Senate, was a direct result of
28. the Supreme Court Case, wherein the Supreme Court of Illinois held that
29. a local municipality cannot adopt by ordinance unless there is statutory
30. authority for that procedure. This bill attempts, at least, to provide
31. that statutory procedure. I think the Governor in his Veto Message was,
32. frankly, in error, and I'm moving, therefore, Mr. President, to override
33. that veto.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
2. Is there further debate? Senator Glass.
3. SENATOR GLASS:
4. Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to ask the sponsor a question.
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
6. He indicates he will yield.
7. SENATOR GLASS:
8. Senator Rock, am I correct that if your motion succeeds, all decisions
9. of administrative bodies will then have to be appealed pursuant to the
10. Administrative Review Act. Is that...
11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
12. Senator Rock.
13. SENATOR ROCK:
14. That is not correct, Senator Glass, and I'm glad you asked that
15. question. This is a permissive bill, it will permit the local communities,
16. the local governing bodies, such as the aldermen to adopt by ordinance
17. if they so desire, the provisions of the Administrative Review Act.
18. Obviously, if they do not they needn't. This does not mandate anything.
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
20. Senator Glass.
21. SENATOR GLASS:
22. Well, Senator Rock, supposing then that aldermen do adopt the Ad-
23. ministrative Review Act, and...and in such a case then, a decision of
24. Administrative Review Body...excuse me, a municipal body or board or
25. what have you, would have to be appealed pursuant to the Administrative
26. Review Act, and that seems to me, that it would eliminate the possibility
27. of getting an injunction or other equitable relief to...to a litigant
28. In other words, his only remedy would be to go through the Administrative
29. Review, and there would be no opportunity in the case of an emergency
30. for him to have recourse in the courts, and I...I think that is a problem,
31. at least, that I can see in, and I'd be interested in your response.
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
33. Senator Rock.

1. SENATOR ROCK:

2. Well, I...I can appreciate the concern, however, I...I, frankly,
3. don't share it. I think that in the...in the instance where a ruling
4. of a commission or board...a local commission or board is, in fact,
5. subject to the Administrative Review Act, and has been made such by
6. local option ordinance if, in fact, there is...there is a tangible
7. discernible emergency. I...I don't see that one is foreclosed from
8. obtaining a temporary injunction pending the appeal under the Adminis-
9. trative Review Act. I don't think that's foreclosed at all.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. Is there further discussion? Senator Glass.

12. SENATOR GLASS:

13. Well, I'm not in a position to produce the case here. I'm advised
14. that the Quinlan and Tyson Case in Evanston did so hold that this was
15. the exclusive remedy, and I...I think that is a cause for concern. So,
16. I...I just raised that, and a question whether we really want to pro-
17. vide that kind of result.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. Is there further discussion? Senator Rock may close the debate.

20. SENATOR ROCK:

21. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
22. I do not think the world is going to come to an end if this bill passes
23. or doesn't pass. It is an attempt, on our part, to respond to an Illinois
24. Supreme Court case which...which, in fact, prohibits local governing
25. bodies from opting into the Administrative Review Act. It is merely
26. permissive legislation. I...I do not share Senator Glass' concern, and
27. I would seek a favorable roll call.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

29. The question is shall Senate Bill 486 pass the veto of the Governor,
30. contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay.
31. Secretary will call the roll.

32. SECRETARY:

33. Berning, Bloom, Brady, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, Clarke, Course,

1. Daley, Davidson, Demuzio, Donnewald, Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Glass,
2. Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Harris, Hickey, Hynes, Johns, Joyce
3. Knuppel, Kosinski, Lane, Latherow, Lemke, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler,
4. Howard Mohr, Don Moore, Morris, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
5. Ozinga, Palmer, Philip, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, Savickas, Schaffer,
6. Shapiro, Smith, Sommer, Soper, Vadalabene, Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr.
7. President.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. Weaver, No. On that question, the Yeas are 30, the Nays are 13,
10. none Voting Present. Senate Bill 486 not having received the required
11. three-fifths vote, is declared lost. Senate Bill 527, Senator Egan.

12. SENATOR EGAN:

13. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate Bill
14. 527, if you'll recall, is a bill to allow the reimbursement to local
15. transit districts throughout Illinois for reducing fares for the handi-
16. capped when they ride on the...on the facilities provided. The bill
17. passed out of the Senate by a vote of 52 Ayes and no Nays, and it passed
18. out of the House a hundred thirty-eight Ayes and no...and 2 Nays. Let
19. me suggest that after reading carefully the Veto Message, that it really
20. boils down to simply this, and that is that the Governor refuses, by
21. his veto, to reimburse local districts from State funds. In effect, he's
22. saying - let the local transit districts provide the money for the handi-
23. capped, not the State. Let me suggest to you, Ladies and Gentlemen, that
24. they are incapable of so doing, and thereby, in effect, the veto cuts
25. off the entire program. Consequently, the Federally mandated require-
26. ment that the local districts do provide this transportation or not get
27. funds from the Federal Government, is...is staring them in the face with-
28. out enough funds to provide for the service for the handicapped. The
29. issue is not complicated, the issue is...is mirrored by the Governor's
30. Veto Message. Let me suggest that this money is all the same money
31. that's used for all the other methods of transportation that are provided
32. for throughout Illinois. If the State does not reimburse local districts,
33. local districts cannot, then, provide the money necessary for this

1. program to be effective. There are Federal funds available only on a
2. matching basis. I suggest that this bill, and if the bill is not...if
3. the veto is not overridden, the handicapped will not have reduced fares
4. in the State of Illinois. I ask you for your favorable consideration.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Senator Soper.

7. SENATOR SOPER:

8. Thank you, Mr. President. But, this gets me a little confused there,
9. Senator Egan. Now, if a municipality or a...or a district that has
10. transportation opts to cut that cost or to the handicapped, say from
11. fifty cents to twenty-five cents, don't they come within the...in the
12. matching fund basis with the...with the Federal Government? Once they
13. do it, don't they get the other twenty-five cents back?

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. Senator Egan.

16. SENATOR EGAN:

17. Only if they provide a matching amount of money, and what this bill
18. does, is allow the State to provide that fund for the district. They
19. cannot do it on their own, presently, unless they raise fares, or other-
20. wise produce revenue for the district. But, when they reduce the fare
21. it...it....you take that deficit...that reduction, and you provide that
22. fund either through the local transit district, itself, or through the
23. State. What this does is ask the State to provide the fund, not the
24. local district. Now, if...if they don't have the money locally to do
25. it then they don't get the Federal matching fund.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

27. Senator Soper.

28. SENATOR SOPER:

29. Now, I...I don't think I understand what you're talking about. If
30. the local transportation authority says - my senior citizens get to ride
31. for twenty cents...or whatever it is in Cook County, or the CTA, RTA, or
32. whatever it is, they say that the cost to the handicapped is going to be
33. twenty-five cents, and they collect twenty-five cents instead of say

1. fifty cents, aren't they within...within the matching funds, and don't
2. they get the grant? Where do they have to come up with another twenty-
3. five cents? They don't have to come up with anything, they've already
4. gone into the proposition, and they're entitled to the grant, aren't
5. they?

6. SENATOR EGAN:

7. Not until they provide the necessary matching funds, because the
8. reduction in the fare creates a deficit in their budget, they must...
9. they must reappropriate...they must...they must add that much more money
10. to their...to their coffers. Now, they do that in one of two ways, either
11. themselves as a district, or ask the State to do it, which we are doing.
12. They cannot do it any other way, and they don't...and they don't get the
13. Federal matching fund until they do that. They create a deficit when
14. they reduce the fare, that deficit must be...must be made up. It's made
15. up either by local matching funds, or through the State. Before the
16. Federal...before they do that the Federal Government has no obligation to
17. put in their matching funds. So, the...the local district is required
18. to do it. All this does is say - we'll...we'll reimburse you from the
19. State money.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Senator Soper.

22. SENATOR SOPER:

23. Senator Egan, what's the formula?

24. SENATOR EGAN:

25. It's...

26. SENATOR SOPER:

27. Suppose they say, all handicapped can ride free, and the...what's
28. the formula then, from fifty cents to free?

29. SENATOR EGAN:

30. Fifty...fifty percent Federal money. Senator Soper...

31. SENATOR SOPER:

32. In other words...in other words you'd...you'd want the State to
33. come up with the twenty-five cents, the Federal Government with the

1. twenty-five cents. So, it would...

2. SENATOR EGAN:

3. That's correct.

4. SENATOR SOPER:

5. ...be up to the RTA to say free, or forty cents, or thirty cents,
6. and if they want to charge the State, say twenty-five cents for every-
7. body that rides, they want to make their own rules, they could make it
8. all handicapped ride free.

9. SENATOR EGAN:

10. Yes. Now, let me say, that we're doing this now for students and
11. for senior citizens. This is...this is only the third part of an exist-
12. ing program.

13. SENATOR SOPER:

14. Well, who pays the bills for the senior citizens and the...and the
15. students?

16. SENATOR EGAN:

17. The...the State does. The State pays for student fares and senior
18. citizen fares. All we're asking is that they include the handicapped.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

20. Is there further discussion? Senator Egan may close the debate.

21. SENATOR EGAN:

22. Well, thank you, Mr. President, I...I would just close, and by brief
23. saying that for us to deny Federal...the...the State funding of these...
24. of this part of the...of an overall program, we're denying the facility
25. of mass transportation for the handicapped, and I urge that that is
26. irresponsible in light of the existing programs for the students and for
27. the elderly, and I urge that you vote to override the veto.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

29. The question is shall Senate Bill 527 pass the veto of the Governor,
30. contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed No.
31. Secretary will call the roll.

32. SECRETARY:

33. Bell, Berning, Bloom, Brady, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, Clarke,

1. Course, Daley, Davidson, Demuzio, Donnewald, Dougherty, Egan, Fawell,
2. Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Harris, Hickey, Hynes, Johns,
3. Joyce, Knuppel, Kosinski, Lane, Latherow, Lemke, McCarthy, Merritt,
4. Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don Moore, Morris, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod,
5. Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer, Philip, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, Savickas,
6. Schaffer, Shapiro, Smith, Sommer, Soper, Vadalabene, Weaver, Welsh,
7. Wooten, Mr. President.
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
9. Buzbee, No. Glass...Schaffer, No. Partee, Aye. Bruce, Aye. On
10. that question, the Yeas are 26, the Nays are 13, none Voting Present.
11. Senate Bill 527, the motion concerning Senate Bill 527, having failed to
12. receive the required three-fifths vote is declared lost. Senator Partee.
13. Senator Rock.
14. SENATOR ROCK:
15. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I
16. have been requested, Mr. President, to...to ask leave of this Body to go
17. to the order of Consideration Postpone for the purpose of taking up House
18. Bill 3052.
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
20. Do we have leave? Leave is granted. Senator Rock as to House Bill
21. 3052 on Postpone Consideration, page two.
22. SENATOR ROCK:
23. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
24. House Bill 3052 is that bill which relates to the Delegate Selection Plan
25. for the 1976...
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
27. May we have order, a lot of it.
28. SENATOR ROCK:
29. ...Democratic National Convention. It is based upon and is in con-
30. formity with the rules of the Democratic National Committee. This bill,
31. in my judgement, addresses the need for the Democratic Party in Illinois
32. to conform its delegate selection rules to those already adopted by the
33. Democratic National Committee. This plan was, in fact, submitted to the

1. Democratic National Committee, and on August 8th, 1975 the Democratic
2. National Committee approved this plan for the '76 National Convention.
3. I repeat, this deals only with the Democratic section of the Election
4. Code. I would like, for the purpose of the record, to indicate that we
5. have calculated the number of delegates to be allocated. We will have
6. a hundred and sixty-nine delegates at the 1976 Convention, and House Bill
7. 3052 sets down the formula for their election. All one hundred and sixty-
8. nine delegates, and all eighty-three alternates are to be elected popular-
9. ly according to congressional districts. I have calculated the...the...
10. on the best information available to me, the number of delegates to be
11. allocated to each congressional district, and that allocation is based
12. upon a formula which gives weight to the last Primary vote and the last
13. two Presidential Election Votes. In my judgement, House Bill 3052 con-
14. forms totally to our constitutional privilege of one man, one vote. We
15. are giving more strength to those areas where there is Democratic Primary
16. voting strength. I would seek a favorable roll call from this Body, and
17. solicit support from some of our friends on the other side of the aisle.
18. This bill does, in fact, I amended it yesterday to include an immediate
19. effective date. It is absolutely essential, and will, in fact, require
20. thirty-six votes. This bill only relates to the Democratic Party process.
21. It has nothing whatever to do with the Republican Party process, and I
22. would urge a favorable vote.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

24. Senator Rock, your motion, of course, does bring this bill to 3rd
25. reading. Is that correct? It is now on 3rd reading. Senator Morris.

26. SENATOR MORRIS:

27. I...I would just like to point out a few things if I could, to the
28. Body. There are four provisions in the Democratic National Convention
29. Rule for electing delegates to the Democratic National Convention. This
30. provision that Senator Rock suggests in his bill, is a slight variation
31. of formula three, so this is not, in fact, one of the four, it is a slight
32. variation. It comes very close to that. When we talk about figures, I
33. agree with Senator Rock that many figures have been bantered around.

1. Just to give an idea, Senator Rock and I have been working on this bill
2. for the last several days taking some of the congressional districts.
3. Yesterday they were told they would get eleven delegates in one district,
4. today they are told they will get twelve, because of a mathematical error,
5. and according to Morris code which, I admit, is not probably the greatest
6. math in the world, it would be ten. So, there is a great difference as
7. to the number of delegates various districts would get. The one thing
8. that is very clear under this, and I would like to point this out to the
9. members of the Senate, whether you use Phil Rock's figures of today or
10. yesterday, or Bill Morris' figures, the fact of the matter is that Cook
11. County would get anywhere from one hundred and one to one hundred and
12. three delegates out of a hundred and sixty-nine while downstate would get
13. anywhere from sixty-six to sixty-seven to sixty-eight. Which would mean
14. that downstate would be losing a great number of delegates from what
15. they had four years ago. The other point I would like to make, is that
16. they talk about using Primary strength where the Democratic Party or
17. Republican Party is at its best. I agree that the Primaries ought to be
18. given extraordinary weight, but I find it amazing that the Primary Electio.
19. being used in determining these figures is the Primary Election of 1974
20. which was a nonpresidential Primary Election. Yet, the National Elections
21. being used are Presidential Elections from '68 and '72. I question why
22. we did not go back to the '72 Democratic Primary where there was, in
23. fact, a presidential preference on the ballot and more action. This, to
24. me, just smacks of a way of picking a formula that seems to rest best
25. for Cook County at the expense of downstate. I, for one, will not support
26. this concept, and appealing to my friends on the other side of the room,
27. since we don't have an aisle in this Chamber, I would urge you to not
28. participate in one faction of the Democratic Party in Illinois doing in
29. another faction of the Democratic Party in Illinois. This is a party
30. matter, it's something that there is room for agreement, and room for
31. negotiations within the Democratic Party, and I think if we are given
32. the time and acceptance on both sides, that we can reach a middle ground,
33. that we can find something that is acceptable to both downstate and Cook

1. County, Illinois, and in the best interest of the people of Illinois
2. since the next President of the United States will be a Democrat. Thank
3. you very much.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

5. Senator Partee.

6. SENATOR PARTEE:

7. Well, I'm very happy that Senator Morris finally said something
8. that I could agree with, even if it was his last sentence. Mr. President
9. and members of the Senate, there can always be a division among men when
10. questions of a political nature arise. That is in the nature of the
11. political process that we should have our differences. It happens, how-
12. ever, that Senate Bill 3052 is a bill which is in the form as approved
13. by a viable democratic institution. The State Central Committee has,
14. in fact, made the proposal, which is embodied in this bill, to the Demo-
15. cratic National Committee. That proposal has been accepted by the Demo-
16. cratic National Committee, and all we do here is to ratify their plan
17. and their proposal. There can be as many variations, there can be as
18. many striations and differences in the proposed plan as minds that
19. attach to it. The fact of the matter is, this is a decision that has
20. already been made, both by the State Committee and by the Democratic
21. National Committee. I understand and recognize that in a two party world
22. such as the one in which we live, that you have to, on the right side
23. of the aisle to my right, have to satisfy your National Committee in
24. terms of how you go about your delegate selection. We have that same
25. obligation to satisfy our National Convention in terms of how we go about
26. our selections. We had a very bitter and a very well known experience
27. in 1972 and the convention in Miami. If you'll pardon the personal
28. reference, I was elected to that convention with a fifty-three thousand
29. votes, and found myself because of alleged nonconformist with the rules
30. of that convention, unable to participate in the...in the Democratic
31. process of selecting a President of the United States. We didn't cry
32. about it, we didn't say we'll take our ball and bat and go home, we
33. came back and did our work, and was principally the only large city in

1. America to carry the candidate selected without our participation. We
2. are trying as desperately as we can to abide by the rules and regulations.
3. Of course, it does not make everybody happy, of course, everybody would
4. have, perhaps, some other method of selection, but the fact of the matter
5. is, it is based on voting strength, and I certainly know of no other way
6. to more fairly and more equitably bring about the delegates to a con-
7. vention, than based on the strength of the particular areas. Senator
8. Morris raises the downstate-upstate business. I've tried over the years
9. to submerge my interest in one section over and above another. I've
10. often said that I wish that the districts in Illinois, for this Legisla-
11. ture, could be drawn in such a way that every single member in this
12. Legislature had a part of the City of Chicago in his district, had a
13. part of Cook County outside Chicago in his district and a part of down-
14. state in his district so that we would, in this Legislature, be bereft
15. of the kind of parochial considerations that so becloud and beset the
16. process so that we could address ourselves to the question of the en-
17. tire State. I've tried to do that. That has been my feeling all these
18. years, and I just hate to hear the bugaboo raised about upstate and
19. downstate. We're all one state, if you please, and we ought to in that
20. context do what is the proper thing in light of what the State Central
21. Committee has done. Now, let me say a word to my Republican friends.
22. You, too, have a problem. I'm aware of a problem that relates to your
23. delegate selection process, and believe me when I tell you, however you
24. want to solve your problem, however you need votes to solve your problem
25. in order to correct your problem and to get your situation in the posture
26. that will be satisfactory to your National Committee, I pledge you my
27. support to give you the votes to bring your situation into conformity
28. with your National Committee. All we ask is fairness, all we ask is
29. quid pro quo, tit for tat, call it anything you want. We will say to
30. you, we will help you do what you need to do, and we are asking you to
31. help us do what we need to do to satisfy the demands of our Democratic
32. National Committee. Thank you, Mr. President.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. The Chair notices that we're graced with the presence of a former
2. member and now alderman in the great City of Chicago, Esther Saperstein.
3. Senator Buzbee.

4. SENATOR BUZBEE:

5. Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. President, I wanted to take exception
6. to something that Senator Morris said, also. Senator Morris tried to
7. portray this as a fight among two factions of the Democratic Party. Well,
8. I would submit to you that in the State of Illinois there's probably
9. a lot more than two factions of the Democratic Party, but this is not a
10. fight between two factions the way I see it, it's not a fight between
11. Cook County and downstate Democrats. I'm just about as far downstate
12. as you can get with the exception of Senator Johns, and I'm telling you
13. that I'm going to vote for this bill, and I'm going to vote for it for
14. a very good reason, because in my congressional district, the two con-
15. gressional districts that my legislative district touches, we're going
16. to pick up delegates. So, I'm voting for this bill out of a selfish
17. motive, because we're going to have more delegates at this National Con-
18. vention than we had four years ago. Now, the Democratic County Chair-
19. man of my congressional districts have come to me and told me that they,
20. in fact, favor this bill, and for anybody to portray that it's an attempt
21. on Cook County's part to take over the Illinois Delegation, is just
22. simply not irrelevant to the argument as far as I'm concerned, because
23. again I pick up delegates in my congressional district, and I do resent
24. the attempt to portray this as...as a downstate-Cook County fight.
25. Thank you.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

27. Is there further discussion? Senator Wooten.

28. SENATOR WOOTEN:

29. Yes, Mr. President and colleagues, I would merely point out that
30. one point should be emphasized that House Bill 3052 institutionalizes
31. one of the options that are given to Democrats, and in this State it is
32. the most parochial of the options. We simply believe that there is
33. plenty of room for negotiation here, and we would like very much to

1. negotiate. But, if we're going to proceed on this as...we really have
2. no choice but to oppose it. I might point out, too, that in Senator
3. Partee's statement the difference between this problem and the Republican
4. problem is that there was unanimity on the Republican side, There simply
5. is not unanimity on the Democratic side, and that's a fact that ought
6. to be borne in mind.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

8. Senator McCarthy.

9. SENATOR McCARTHY:

10. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I think some of the
11. statements that have been said and been said well, but as I once mentioned
12. perhaps they bear repetition. One is that Senator Partee mentioned that
13. this is purely a Democratic bill, and that this was a bill that has been
14. represented, and I know nothing to the contrary that must be passed in
15. order for delegates to be elected to the National Convention so that
16. Illinois can participate in that convention process, and I would reempha-
17. size that which Senator Partee requests, and that is that the Republicans
18. go along with the bill that's offered here, because it does not adversely
19. affect them. Second point, and I think is one that we ought to take into
20. effect as Legislators, is that we are acting as Legislators to ratify an
21. act that has been accomplished by the elected State Central Committee of
22. Illinois which is the only legal party machinery recognized by the Nation
23. Committee to deal with this subject matter. And I had occasion to talk
24. by telephone with the State Central Committeemen from my district this
25. morning, to ask that lady whether or not she had participated in this
26. process. Her answer was, I think, very good. She said - yes, as State
27. Central Committeeman I did, and I hope that the Legislature will ratify
28. our Act, because we think...we think in the State Central Committee, that
29. it's about time that the Democrats quit playing politics in Illinois,
30. and decide about electing a President in 1976, quoting from the State
31. Central Committeewoman who represents part of the district that I repre-
32. sent. And so keeping in mind that this is a matter of party machinery,
33. you look to the responsibility of the elected officials who are, in fact,

1. the elected State Central Committeemen. If those State Central Committeemen
2. were to come in to myself or any other member, and say - we want you
3. to vote one way on no-fault insurance or another way on no-fault in-
4. surance, I would tell that State Central Committeeman, that is a legisla-
5. tive function, and we will make the judgement on it. But, here, Mr.
6. President, it seems to me, we're not acting as Legislators in the true
7. capacity, we're acting because of the legal necessity of ratifying an
8. act that's been agreed upon, and I think our operation should be that of
9. perfunctory ratification to okay that which the legal party structure
10. has organized. With those remarks, and with those remarks I think I've
11. said enough with what's already been said, and repeated enough of what's
12. been repeated.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

14. Senator Bruce.

15. SENATOR BRUCE:

16. Well, Mr. President and members of the Senate, I believe that we
17. should review, at least, one more time what is before this Body, and
18. that is there is a question put to us, as Legislators, from the National
19. Democratic Committee on the system by which we will select delegates to
20. the National Convention. They have put to us four separate systems that
21. we may utilize. I think that we ought to see how those are divided be-
22. tween Cook County and outside Cook County, because that is where the
23. base of the Party is, and where the gross of the Party is outside Cook
24. County. If you take number one the delegates are divided fifty-three
25. forty-six, a fairly equal distribution. In formula two we see the number
26. of delegates are divided fifty-two to forty-seven. In the third selectio
27. we find that the delegates are distributed fifty-five to forty-four.
28. But, none of those three were chosen, none of those systems that would
29. have been equal for all Democrats in all parts of our State, were chosen.
30. In fact, the one that would discriminate most was that...was...that was
31. the one selected. It shows that sixty percent of the delegates go to
32. Cook County, and thirty-nine percent of the delegates go to the rest of
33. the State of Illinois. We've been told that the decision has already

1. been made, that the die has been cast, and that the State Central Committee
2. has spoken, the Democratic National Committee has spoken, we can do
3. nothing more than lie down, say that this is what we must do. That is
4. not true. A decision has not been made. No one has approached the
5. National Committee on other proposals, only the one proposal that gives
6. more than sixty percent of the delegates to one county. We've been told
7. that this will mean that no bill passes, that nothing can happen. Com-
8. promises were offered, people tried to work out this problem, and now
9. we find ourselves with no compromise. They would not accept it, any
10. alternative was rejected, and we were told there was only one way or
11. nothing. There is only one Democratic Party, and there is only one State
12. of Illinois, and we are about to select the manner in which delegates
13. to the National Democratic Convention will be determined for the State
14. of Illinois, the entire State and for the one Democratic Party, not two,
15. not three, not four, but the one Democratic Party that exists in this
16. State. And if there's been one tenet of that party that has been all
17. encompassing through the years that is a question of equality, of fairness
18. and openness. This closes our Party, this institutes inequality, this
19. says to the delegates - we don't want a fair selection, we want a selec-
20. tion that benefits us as opposed to others. I would deny that my Demo-
21. cratic Party stands for that tenet of unfairness and inequality. It
22. stands for just the opposite, and if we incorporate this proposal, we
23. violate the tenet of our party, we don't have to ratify anything outside
24. this Body. They suggest this to us, they've said - this is what we want,
25. and I should say we should say to them - we don't want it, you go back
26. and do your work again. Thank you.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

28. Senator Hickey.

29. SENATOR HICKEY:

30. Mr. President, I want to speak in relation to the point that Senator
31. McCarthy made. I, too, talked to my State Central Committeeman since...
32. yeah, I have since yesterday, because I was quite concerned in the 16th
33. Congressional District this plan would cutout one-third of our delegation.

1. Now, if somebody says that's only two people, but to us it's one-third
2. of the delegation, and we're not anxious to lose that to Cook County or
3. anybody else. He also told me that I could quote him as saying that the
4. way that the State Central Committee decision was made was something less
5. than admirable. Thank you.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

7. Is there further discussion? Senator Harris.

8. SENATOR HARRIS:

9. Will the sponsor yield to a question?

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. If I can find the sponsor. Senator Rock. He indicates he will
12. yield.

13. SENATOR HARRIS:

14. Senator Rock, assuming that House Bill 3052, in its presently amended
15. form, were to pass with three-fifths votes here today, and were to be
16. concurred in in the House by a three-fifths vote, do you know what the
17. position of the Governor would be or is with respect to his approval?

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. Senator Rock.

20. SENATOR ROCK:

21. I wish I had an answer. I do not know. I have solicited such ad-
22. vice from his deputy, and was unable to get a definitive answer.

23. SENATOR HARRIS:

24. I...

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

26. Senator Harris.

27. SENATOR HARRIS:

28. Thank you. I want to recall then, the generous and...and typically
29. so, the remarks of President Partee with respect to this important mutual
30. problem that faces both of these two great political parties, the Demo-
31. cratic Party, I knew Senator Donnewald would react, I'm...I'm asking now,
32. and we are a minority now, temporary, these two great political parties,
33. and I'm dead serious about that modification. The Democratic Party and

1. the Republican Party, and both of us have the requirement to take amend-
2. atory action prior to, I believe the really important date with respect
3. to the National Convention, is January 14th which is the last day for
4. candidates to the National Nominating Convention, to file. But, as is
5. the case often, there are other requirements within the Election Code,
6. and I believe that the more critical day from a practical standpoint is
7. December 15th, which is the last day to file petitions for generally
8. circulated kinds of offices under the regulation of the State Board of
9. Elections. This election is not one administered by the county clerks.
10. So, the...the absolute deadline, for a practical standpoint, then would
11. be December 15th in my conclusion. And let me refer back to the President
12. he indicated his typical willingness to assist us, we Republicans, in
13. resolving our problem, and very frankly we are interested, we Republicans,
14. in assisting you in resolving the serious deadline problem of amendatory
15. action in our respective needs, or for our respective needs prior to
16. that day in December, and that's hard by us. It's perfectly obvious
17. to me that what we need is, not necessarily unanimity within our respec-
18. tive sides, but a clear majority conclusion within our respective sides,
19. and that includes the Executive Department, so that what we do to resolve
20. both of our needs will, in fact, receive Executive approval with dis-
21. patch. Then we are all free to proceed with letting the general constitu-
22. ency determine who those delegates should be that should be elected,
23. and then our respective conventions, or by whatever means we elect to
24. determine to elect or select those that are selected by a process other
25. than a statutory process other than direct election. We need to do that.
26. I want to take a moment to make reference to a personal solicitation
27. that occurred today. I received a phone call from that classy, classy,
28. handsome Irishman that is Chairman of the Democrat State Central...
29. Democratic State Central Committee. I had the great privilege of serving
30. with him those several years when we were in the House, and those years
31. when he still served in the House and I was privileged to serve here in
32. this Body. That's really a great guy, and he loves Government, and he
33. contributes effectively to the needs of resolving the needs of Government,

1. and he asked me if I could help him, and I said - Jack, I really do want
2. to help you. I said - the problem really is not within the Republican
3. group in the Senate, that there is really a three way-need, and without
4. identifying those three elements beyond the fact that there is division
5. within the Democrats...Democratic membership of the Senate today, I
6. conscientiously believe that the ultimate effort for negotiation has not
7. been realized, and we...we eventually have to have a product and a vehicle
8. that will help us resolve our needs, that will help you resolve your needs,
9. that can be approved by our Chief Executive well in advance of December
10. 14th, the last day to file petitions for those offices under the Adminis-
11. tration of the State Board of Elections. I don't think we've reached
12. the final day, the final hour with which to proceed with finality on
13. House Bill 3052. I would call attention to the fact that this Body,
14. under its rules, has considered bills after they have failed for the
15. second time. We always have the power to suspend for the instant is not
16. right now. We joined together in 1973 to reconsider a question after
17. the suspension of the rules to fund an important State agency. There's
18. precedent two times on really important matters, is not the absolute
19. limit to the number of times that important legislative proposals can
20. be considered. We both have need, real need. I honestly do not believe
21. we've reached the full opportunity of declaring permanent impasse, I
22. honestly believe that. So, that we can publicly communicate that we
23. are not adopting any kind of side choosing, I would urge my colleagues
24. on this side of the aisle on this roll call, to vote Present to demonstra-
25. that we, in fact, are here, and there is a further message contained in
26. that record of our presence, and that message is that we urge you to
27. continue a healthy dynamic dialogue with each other that will produce
28. a legislative product that we can be permitted to join in, and that that
29. legislative product will be approved by the Chief Executive. Surely,
30. we have not reached the point of conclusion that there is a permanent
31. impasse. Thank you, Mr. President.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

33. Is there further discussion? Senator Rock may close the debate.

1. SENATOR ROCK:

2. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
3. I'm, frankly, quite disappointed at Senator Harris' observations, I
4. think he is in error. This is a matter of some urgency within, at
5. least, the Democratic Party, and I do believe that this is it, and
6. I have so stated to him, and this is not the first time he's heard that.
7. I, too, spoke with Chairman Towe this morning on the telephone a couple
8. of times. He has said as much to me, and asked me to call this bill
9. for a vote today. This is the work product of those twenty-four persons
10. that we, each in our own congressional districts, have elected to the
11. State Central Committee to represent the Democratic Party in the State
12. of Illinois. This plan was utilized in the mini convention in 1974,
13. so it's nothing new, it's tried, it's tested and it has, in fact, met
14. with the approval of the Democratic National Committee. I, along with
15. Chairman Towe, urge a favorable vote.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

17. The question is shall House Bill 3052 pass? Those in favor vote
18. Aye. Those opposed No. Secretary will call the roll.

19. SECRETARY:

20. Bell, Berning, Bloom, Brady, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, Clarke,
21. Course, Daley, Davidson, Demuzio, Donnewald, Dougherty, Egan, Fawell,
22. Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Harris, Hickey, Hynes, Johns,
23. Joyce, Knuppel, Kosinski, Lane, Latherow, Lemke, McCarthy, Merritt,
24. Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don Moore, Morris, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod,
25. Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer, Philip, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, Savickas,
26. Schaffer, Shapiro, Smith, Sommer, Soper, Vadalabene, Weaver, Welsh,
27. Wooten, Mr. President.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

29. Johns, Aye. Will the doorman please keep the doors closed, please.
30. On that question, the Yeas are 24, the Nays are 6, 25 Voting Present.
31. Having failed to receive the constitutional...House Bill 3052 having
32. failed to receive the constitutional majority is declared lost. We
33. shall now return to the order of Total Vetoes on page 6. Senate Bill

1. 693, Senator Hynes. Senate Bill 911, Senator Philip. Senate Bill 986,
2. Senator Graham. Senator Graham. Senator Graham, do you wish to call
3. Senate Bill 32? Senate Bill 32.

4. SENATOR GRAHAM:

5. Mr. President, at...

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

7. Senator Graham. Just a moment.

8. SENATOR GRAHAM:

9. Thank you very much.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. Would the Sergeant-at-Arms clear the back, please. I...I've noted
12. in the previous debate that the silence was absolutely wonderful, and
13. I would appreciate if the members would continue that silence. Senator
14. Graham.

15. SENATOR GRAHAM:

16. Mr. President and members of the Senate...

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

18. Senator Nudelman, for what purpose do you arise?

19. SENATOR NUDELMAN:

20. Mr. President, as an elected member of this Body, I think I have
21. a right to participate in its proceedings without that sort of inter-
22. ference. I can't hear the debate...

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

24. The Chair agrees.

25. SENATOR NUDELMAN:

26. I have a right to hear the debate so I can come to conclusions as
27. to how I wish to vote, and I would respectfully request the Chair to...
28. to direct the Sergeant-at-Arms to remove those people who are interfering
29. with this process, this Governmental process, to a distance where they
30. will not interfere with it. I think that's a simple request, and I
31. think it can be done, and I don't think anybody's rights...anybody's rights
32. will be affected. My rights are being affected, and I can't participate
33. in this procedure with...with that kind of interference. It's as simple

1. as that.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

3. Senator, the Chair feels that we have absolute jurisdiction within
4. the confines of the Room here; however, to go outside of that, I think,
5. would be...might consist of a possible infringement on those people's
6. rights.

7. SENATOR NUDELMAN:

8. Mr. Chairman,...

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

10. Senator Graham. Senator Nudelman.

11. SENATOR NUDELMAN:

12. I think the Chair does have the right to ask the appropriate
13. officials to...to police the areas which are being invaded.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. The Chair's already ruled on the matter. Senator Graham.

16. SENATOR GRAHAM:

17. I might have a suggestion. Maybe those proponents of ERA might
18. go out and tell them that they're not really doing any good around
19. here. Mr. President and members of the Senate, I have been before you
20. before on the need for your consideration of Senate Bill 32. This Senate
21. agreed with me last year in the need for the passage of this legislation,
22. and we sent it over to the House with an overwhelming vote, and thusly
23. to the Governor who did not agree with us. This bill has been misinter-
24. preted by many people. It simply would abolish the current Illinois
25. Law Enforcement Commission as we now know it, and impose in lieu thereof
26. a new commission more selective, more responsive and more in a position
27. to administer the many very serious problems that confront law enforce-
28. ment commissions and the granting of Federal monies. The Governor's
29. first objection, and he's wrong again as usual and many times he is
30. vetoing this bill and the allegation that the Illinois would lose all
31. Federal money if this bill becomes a law. That, Ladies and Gentlemen,
32. it just ain't true. There are other jurisdictions with similar commissio:
33. as we propose in this that are receiving LEAA monies. There was an

1 objection to the formula adopted, and there was some concern, and I
2 realize that concern. The formula, in fact, that is attached to this
3 bill for the distribution of grant money, in effect is being used now
4 with no real regularity. And other states are using a formula method
5 so that they get the grant money into the areas where there is the
6 greatest incident of crime, and that seems to me to make good sense.
7 One of the great factors of this bill is that the Legislature can provide,
8 by its enactment, checks and balances on the distribution of some fifty
9 millions of dollars of Federal grant money, and see that it is adequately
10 handled and proportioned throughout the State by, and through the will
11 and decision of more than one man. I think that makes good sense. The
12 Governor acts afraid that he will lose control or any part of this, he
13 wants to have complete control, and I understand his feelings thoroughly.
14 He would not only appoint all the judicial members of the commission,
15 but as an Executive agency, he through the Department of Finance would have
16 total control of the expenditures of State monies. We haven't stripped
17 him of the dictatorial powers that he would like to have. We are providing
18 that the distribution of money will be made by and through the various
19 regional units that operate now in our Criminal Justice Program. We
20 provide for that, we provide for a different group of personnel that will
21 be overseeing that, and I might submit to you that there's a great feel-
22 ing that there has been some favoritism consideration of the allocation
23 of money for political purposes throughout the State, and that is wrong
24 in whose area ever it goes. There was a concern about the employees
25 currently with ILEC. I can say this to you, that any employee with ILEC
26 now with experience and are worth their salt, surely should continue on
27 if and when this bill becomes law, and there are many employees with ILEC
28 who are doing a good job and the Senator from Barrington would be glad
29 to appear in their behalf for the continuation of that employment. This
30 merely provides, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate and Mr. President,
31 another means of checks and balances over a very important and sensitive
32 agency handling this Federal grant money. The Federal Government has
33 indicated to us that they have no problem with this bill the way it is

1 constructed with regard to our receiving LEAA money. My good erstwhile,
2 fuzzy-faced attorney, Sul Morris, has worked consistently with...with
3 the Federal Government for three months. We have done a thorough job,
4 a thorough job of research, because I, least of all, would want to have
5 us deprived of any Federal funds. This bill will not do that, Ladies
6 and Gentlemen, all it does is provide a means of checks and balances for
7 the distribution of these funds, and I encourage you and I besiege you
8 to pass Senate Bill 32 the Veto of the Governor notwithstanding.

9 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

10 Any further discussion? Senator Nudelman.

11 SENATOR NUDELMAN:

12 I would suggest to my good friend from the other side of the
13 aisle that he take this bill from the record at the present time.

14 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

15 Senator Graham.

16 SENATOR GRAHAM:

17 I will yield to the judgement and suggestion of my honored colleague
18 on the other side of the aisle.

19 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

20 Take it from the record.

21 PRESIDENT:

22 Senator Hynes. Senator Hynes. Senator Hynes, would you come to
23 the Platform, please. The Senate will come to order. I think there
24 are announcements to be made by Senator Welsh and, perhaps, Senator
25 Weaver. Senator Welsh is recognized.

26 SENATOR WELSH:

27 Mr. President, there will be a Democratic caucus immediately in this
28 building, Room 465.

29 PRESIDENT:

30 Democratic caucus immediately in Room 465. Senator Weaver.

31 SENATOR WEAVER:

32 Mr. President, there will be a Republican caucus in 471, immediately.

33 PRESIDENT:

1 Republican caucus in Room 471, immediately. The Senate will stand
2 ...now...hear this so everybody will know. The Senate will stand in
3 recess until 4:00 p.m.

4 (Recess)

5 (After Recess)

6 PRESIDENT:

7 Now we are back into the Regular Session. Now, there are several
8 bills which we have notices concerning. I can understand the members
9 are reluctant to call them for the reason that there may not be the
10 votes present at the moment, but it seems to me there are two courses
11 of action open, that is we could wait for a few minutes to see if more
12 members show, or we could adjourn until tomorrow morning. (Machine cut-off)
13 All right, in the...in the Regular Session we have Introduction of Bills.
14 Senator Howard Mohr.

15 SENATOR MOHR:

16 Yes, Mr. President, there is a bill on the Secretary's Desk, which
17 is a bill of great importance and emergency nature. It's the bill re-
18 pealing two and a half million dollar appropriation that from the General
19 Revenue Funds to the Space Needs Commission, and transferring that
20 amount in funds from the Capital Development Board to the Commission.
21 This is an arrangement that's been worked out with the Governor's Office,
22 the Department of Finance, Capital Development Board, the Space Needs
23 Commission and leadership on both sides of the aisle to take care of
24 the land acquisition in the Capitol Complex that we've been negotiating
25 with for the last couple of years. The Governor's Office prefers to
26 handle this, and we had a meeting with the Governor about ten days ago,
27 a very healthy meeting, and we are in complete agreement, at long last,
28 with the Governor, and he with us and the work that we're trying to do
29 in this Commission. It's...this two and a half million, or part of it,
30 I don't expect all of it will be used but part of it will be used in
31 condemnation proceedings on certain properties in the Capitol Complex.
32 Again, properties that we've been negotiating with quite some time, so
33 I... answer any questions.

1 PRESIDENT:

2 Senator Mohr's first motion is that it be numbered and read a
3 first time.

4 SENATOR MOHR:

5 Read a first time and advanced to 2nd. This would have to be out
6 of here tomorrow, Mr. President.

7 PRESIDENT:

8 The motion is shall the bill be numbered and read a first time.
9 All in favor say Aye. Senator Mohr, read...

10 SECRETARY:

11 Senate Bill No. 1518 introduced by Senators Mohr, Harris, Davidson,
12 Partee, Donnewald, Rock and Graham.

13 (Secretary reads title of bill)

14 1st reading of the bill.

15 PRESIDENT:

16 Senator Mohr moves that the bill be moved to the order of 2nd
17 reading without reference to committee. All in favor will say Aye.
18 Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The bill is on the order of 2nd reading.
19 Continue with Introductions.

20 SECRETARY:

21 Senate Bill No. 1519 introduced by Senator Bruce.

22 (Secretary reads title of bill)

23 1st reading of the bill.

24 PRESIDENT:

25 Assignment of Bills. Resolutions.

26 SECRETARY:

27 Senate Resolution 175 introduced by Senator Mitchler and all
28 Senators. It congratulates Veterans of Foreign Wars.

29 PRESIDENT:

30 Senator Mitchler.

31 SENATOR MITCHLER:

32 I move for suspension of the rules and immediate consideration and
33 adoption of the resolution.

1 PRESIDENT:
2 Senator Mitchler moves for the immediate suspension of the rules.
3 All in favor will say Aye. Those opposed. The rules are suspended.
4 Senator Mitchler moves for the immediate adoption of his first resolution.
5 All in favor will say Aye. Opposed Nay. The resolution is adopted.
6 SECRETARY:
7 Senate Resolution 176 introduced by Senator Mitchler and Bell.
8 Joliet Legion Band.
9 PRESIDENT:
10 Who is this, Sir?
11 SECRETARY:
12 Joliet Legion Band.
13 SENATOR MITCHLER:
14 This is the National...
15 PRESIDENT:
16 Who's that for? Senator Bell? Oh, Senator Mitchler. I beg your
17 pardon. Go ahead.
18 SENATOR MITCHLER:
19 I...I share my resolutions.
20 PRESIDENT:
21 And you, also, share other people's...people, too.
22 SENATOR MITCHLER:
23 No comment.
24 PRESIDENT:
25 I know it.
26 SENATOR MITCHLER:
27 I move for the suspension of the rules, immediate consideration
28 and adoption of the resolution.
29 PRESIDENT:
30 Senator Mitchler moves for the suspension of the rules for the
31 immediate consideration of the resolution. All in favor will say Aye.
32 Opposed Nay. The rules are suspended. Senator Mitchler now moves for
33 the adoption, immediately, of this resolution. All in favor will say

1 Aye. Opposed Nay. The resolution is adopted.

2 SECRETARY:

3 Senate Bill...I mean Senate Resolution 177, introduced by Senator
4 Mitchler and Bell. It congratulates...

5 PRESIDENT:

6 I don't believe it.

7 SECRETARY:

8 ...the League of Women Voters in Joliet.

9 SENATOR MITCHLER:

10 Now, I'd like to have the attention of the Senate. We have the
11 League of Women Voters in the City of Joliet, that are celebrating their
12 silver anniversary, and Senator Bell and I have been contacted on numerous
13 occasions by these wonderful ladies, and we have conducted many legislat-
14 tive caucuses with them, and recognizing their extreme interest in the
15 legislative process through their two elected Senators, I think it's
16 only fitting and proper that the rules be suspended and this resolution
17 be considered immediately and adopted, congratulating them on their
18 silver anniversary.

19 PRESIDENT:

20 Over the din, Senator, I heard a motion to Table that resolution.
21 Would you desire that it go to Executive instead of honoring that
22 motion.

23 SENATOR MITCHLER:

24 Well, they're very much in support of your Senate Joint Resolution 1
25 Senator, and for you to do that it might be bad. I suggest we go through
26 according to my motion.

27 PRESIDENT:

28 Well, Senator, I never approach legislation subjectively, I'm very
29 objective. Senator Mitchler moves for the suspension
30 of the rules for the immediate consideration of this resolution. All in
31 favor will say Aye. Opposed Nay. The rules are...the rules are suspended
32 Senator Mitchler now moves for the adoption of this resolution. All in
33 favor will say Aye. Opposed Nay. A roll call has been requested. Is

1 it in josh? A roll call has been requested. Ignore it. The resolution
2 is adopted.

3 SECRETARY:

4 Senate Resolution 178 introduced by Senator Mitchler and Bell.

5 PRESIDENT:

6 Senator Mitchler.

7 SENATOR MITCHLER:

8 This is...this is to commend Radio Station WJOL, which has done
9 an outstanding job in putting over its network the reportings of this
10 General Assembly, and they've been in operation for fifty years. Now,
11 I don't know who they have covered for that fifty years outside of
12 John Graham and maybe Fred Smith, but I think that we should commend
13 them, and I'd ask for suspension of the rules and immediate consideration
14 ...

15 PRESIDENT:

16 Since this relates to Joliet, would you be good enough to put
17 Senator Bell on there too.

18 SENATOR MITCHLER:

19 Yes, he is. He is.

20 PRESIDENT:

21 Oh, fine, fine. Senator Mitchler moves for the suspension of the
22 rules for the immediate consideration of this resolution. All in favor
23 will say Aye. Opposed Nay. The rules are suspended. Senator Mitchler
24 moves for the immediate adoption of this resolution. All in favor, hope-
25 fully that this is the last one, will say Aye. Opposed Nay. The reso-
26 lution is adopted.

27 SECRETARY:

28 Senate Resolution 179 introduced by Senator Kosinski and Mitchler
29 and all members.

30 PRESIDENT:

31 Senator Kosinski.

32 SENATOR KOSINSKI:

33 How did I wind up with this one. That was a sneaky one he pulled

1 on me.

2 SECRETARY:

3 Congratulating...

4 SENATOR KOSINSKI:

5 I move for the...this is congratulating Mr. Rust for doing an
6 outstanding job on the Atomic Commission...

7 PRESIDENT:

8 Are you moving the....

9 SENATOR KOSINSKI:

10 ...and I move for the suspension of the rules and the immediate
11 adoption of this resolution.

12 PRESIDENT:

13 Senator Mitchler moves for the suspension of the rules for...
14 suspension of the rules. All in favor will say Aye. Opposed Nay. The
15 rules are suspended. Senator Kosinski moves for the immediate adoption
16 of this resolution. All in favor will say Aye. Opposed Nay. The
17 resolution is adopted. Senator Howard Mohr, for what purpose do you
18 arise?

19 SENATOR MOHR:

20 Mr. President, I wonder how many more of these important matters
21 do we have. I have a 7:00 o'clock date this evening. Three...three
22 more. Okay.

23 SECRETARY:

24 Senate...Senate Resolution 180 introduced by Senator Lemke and
25 Romanc and Kosinski and Daley, Savickas, Course and Nudelman.

26 PRESIDENT:

27 Is there anybody on that resolution that desires to handle it?
28 What does it do, Mr. Secretary?

29 SECRETARY:

30 It congratulates the Polish Parish in Chicago at South Side.

31 PRESIDENT:

32 Show all members as sponsors. Senator Nudelman.

33 SENATOR NUDELMAN:

1. Thank you, Mr. President. I move the suspension of the rules and
2. the immediate consideration of the resolution.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Senator Nudelman moves for the suspension of the rules for the
5. immediate consideration of this resolution. All in favor will say Aye.
6. Opposed Nay. The rules are suspended. Senator Nudelman now moves for
7. the immediate adoption of this resolution. All in favor will say Aye.
8. Opposed Nay. The resolution is adopted.

9. SECRETARY:

10. Senate Resolution 181 introduced by Senator Newhouse. It's
11. congratulatory Radio Station WVON.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Senator Newhouse.

14. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

15. It's congratulatory. Let me just say to the President that I
16. will certainly support his resolution for another form of salutations
17. to people other than this. This is for Radio Station WVON in Wesley
18. South, who has a radio program on, and it's a public affairs program,
19. and I invite all Senators to join in. I ask for the immediate suspension
20. of the rules and adoption of the resolution.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Senator Newhouse seeks to suspend the rules for the immediate con-
23. sideration of this resolution. All in favor will say Aye. Opposed Nay.
24. The rules are suspended. Senator Newhouse now moves the adoption of
25. this resolution, and desires all Senate members to be shown as sponsors.
26. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The resolution is adopted. For
27. what purpose does Senator Buzbee arise?

28. SENATOR BUZBEE:

29. On a point of personal privilege, Mr. President. Mr. President,
30. sitting in our visitors gallery to the right on the west side, in the
31. rear are three Marines that I would like to introduce. As I'm sure you
32. are aware, this is the 200th anniversary of the Marine Corps this year.
33. Senator Philip and Senator Knuppel, I know, are aware of that, and we

1. want to make the rest of you who did not have the pleasure of serving
2. in the Corps, also aware of that fact. And we have First Lieutenant
3. Smith, Gunnery Sergeant Wishawn and Sergeant Dodson who are here from
4. the Marine Corps today, and I'd like the Senate to...and I might add,
5. Mr. President, that I'm going to ask Senator Philip and Senator Knuppel
6. to join me in a Congratulatory Resolution, which has not been drawn
7. up yet, but will be, congratulating the United States Marine Corps on
8. its 200th birthday. Thank you.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. And I would just ask you, Senator Buzbee, did you get the approval
11. of Mr. O'Keefe on that one? Very good. Senator Buzbee moves for the
12. suspension of the rules for the immediate consideration of this reso-
13. lution. All in favor will say Aye. Opposed Nay. The rules are sus-
14. pended, and Senator Buzbee now moves for the immediate adoption of this
15. resolution. All in favor will say Aye. Opposed Nay. The resolution
16. is adopted. Oh, you didn't physically have it, is that correct? Well,
17. there will be somebody from the Navy here in a few minutes and they'll
18. bring it up.

19. SECRETARY:

20. Senate Joint Resolution 55 introduced by Senator Morris.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Senator Morris. Just a moment. Senator Morris.

23. SENATOR MORRIS:

24. I move for the immediate suspension of the rules to consider this
25. resolution. This concerns the...

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Just a moment, just a moment, please. Gentlemen. Senator Bell,
28. we've done everything in the world for Joliet today, give us a little
29. order will you. I...Senator.

30. SENATOR MORRIS:

31. The new State Champion in golf is Dave Ogrin, who is from Waukegan,
32. Illinois, and this is a resolution of congratulations. He won the
33. championship last week. Also, his mother happens to be Vice Chairman

1. of Lake County Democratic Party, so...

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Senator Morris moves to suspend the rules for the immediate con-
4. sideration of this resolution. All in favor will say Aye. Opposed
5. Nay. The rules are suspended. Senator Morris now moves for the immedi-
6. ate adoption of this resolution. All in favor will say Aye. Opposed
7. Nay. The Ayes have it. The resolution is adopted. Senator Latherow.

8. SENATOR LATHEROW:

9. Well, thank you, Mr. President, I slipped over and told Senator
10. Buzbee that I...that he ought to take the gentlemen the idea that the
11. Marines never get anyplace without the Navy. So, we'll bring that
12. resolution in for him. Senator, we'll take care of it for you.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Even if you have to go to the Halls of Montezuma. Senator Buzbee.

15. SENATOR BUZBEE:

16. I just feel that it's necessary to respond to that, Mr. President.
17. I was in the House awhile ago with these gentlemen, and Representative
18. Polk introduced them to the House, and somebody asked them if...if it
19. was not true that the United States Marine Corps was, in fact, a branch
20. of the Navy, and he assured them - yes, that was true. We are the
21. fighting branch of the Navy.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. There is some people in the service, if I'd known they were there,
24. I would have sold my war bonds. May I have your attention. Tomorrow
25. being the last day of the Session, rather than to start at 10:00 o'clock
26. or 11:00 o'clock we...start at 9:00 o'clock so that we can get out and
27. you can get home early. Any problem with that? The Senate will stand
28. in adjournment until 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.