

FIRST SPECIAL SESSION  
OF THE 78th GENERAL ASSEMBLY

November 14, 1973

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

2. The Senate will now proceed to the order of business on  
3. the 1st Special Session. Mr. Secretary. Reading of the Journal.

4. SECRETARY:

5. Wednesday, November 7, 1973.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

7. Senator Soper.

8. SENATOR SOPER:

9. Mr. President, I move that we dispense with the further  
10. reading of the Journal of November 7th. Unless there's some  
11. additions or corrections to be made, the Journal stand approved.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

13. You have heard the motion of Senator Soper. All in favor  
14. will signify by saying aye. Opposed. The ayes have it and  
15. the motion carries.

16. SECRETARY:

17. Thursday, November 8, 1973.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

19. The Chair recognizes Senator Soper.

20. SENATOR SOPER:

21. Mr. President, I move that we dispense with the further  
22. reading of the Journal of November 8th. Unless there's some  
23. corrections or additions to be made that the Journal stand  
24. approved.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

26. All in favor of the motion of Senator Soper will signify  
27. by saying aye. Opposed. The ayes have it and the motion is  
28. carried. Senator Soper.

29. SENATOR SOPER:

30. Now, Mr. President, I move we postpone the reading and the  
31. approval of the Journal of November 13th, pending the arrival  
32. of the printed Journal.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

1. All in ...all in favor of the motion, signify by saying  
2. aye. Opposed. The ayes have it and the motion carries. For  
3. what purpose does the Senator from Skokie seek recognition?

4. SENATOR NIMROD:

5. ...Mr. President, I would ask leave that we be able to  
6. call SB 13 back from the 3rd reading for purpose of amendment.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

8. You have heard the request of Senator Nimrod that SB 13 in  
9. the 1st Special Session of the 78th General Assembly be recalled  
10. to the order of 2nd reading for the purpose of considering  
11. an amendment and the Chair recognizes the Senator from Breese.  
12. Senator Donnewald.

13. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

14. Yes, Mr. President, I have no objection to that at all, but  
15. while I'm up. I'd like to introduce the students of St. Mary's  
16. School over in Centralia, Illinois. Would you please rise and  
17. be recognized.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

19. That was very clever, Senator Donnewald. Leave granted to  
20. ...there's no objection...no objections, SB 13 is now on the  
21. order of 2nd reading. Senator Nimrod will explain his amend-  
22. ment.

23. SENATOR NIMROD:

24. Mr. President, and fellow Senators, the purpose of this  
25. amendment is to consolidate Senate Bills 13, 14 and 15. And,  
26. the...what this would do then is to make this the...call it  
27. the Illinois Campaign Disclosure Act which would provide for  
28. both the ... for the contributions, expenditures and include  
29. all of the personnel involved. There are some additional  
30. changes that were included in this and what they basically  
31. covered is this...is that this bill will now include all  
32. candidates seeking public office. In other words, it would  
33. include all public officials at all levels. And the provisions

1.           however for the reporting and the keeping of the records and  
2.           the filing of statements of organization all remained the  
3.           same and the provision is that the constitutional officers  
4.           and those candidates whose contributions exceed a \$100,000  
5.           have specific filing requirements and all other candidates  
6.           fall into a separate category. But, this does consolidate  
7.           the bill and make it a far better approach to it and it is  
8.           as a result of discussion with fellow Senators on both sides  
9.           of the aisle that this would be the kind of thing, kind of  
10.          bill that...now be ready for consideration of passage. I  
11.          would ask for the acceptance of this amendment.

12.          PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

13.          Senator Nimrod if before this amendment is adopted if  
14.          it is adopted then it would be your plan to Table 14 and 15  
15.          would it not?

16.          SENATOR NIMROD:

17.          Yes, Mr. President, it would be.

18.          PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

19.          All...all in favor of the adoption of the amendment just  
20.          explained by Senator Nimrod, will signify by saying aye. There  
21.          is a discussion. Senator Netsch or Senator Knuppel or both?  
22.          Senator Knuppel. Do you yield to a question Senator Nimrod?

23.          SENATOR KNUPPEL:

24.          Nothing new about Knup-pel. It's Knu-ppel. Ok. You can  
25.          ... Senator Nimrod does this include mayors and city officials  
26.          and anyone else that's running, that is a candidate where there  
27.          is an election? That's the way I understood your explanation.

28.          PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

29.          Senator Nimrod.

30.          SENATOR NIMROD:

31.          Yes, Senator, this bill as amended now will include all  
32.          candidates seeking public office. So it will be for any  
33.          office anywhere in the State of Illinois, in which, would include

1. all those that you have specified.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

3. Senator Knuep...no Senator Knuppel, any further questions?

4. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

5. No...you know it's all right.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

7. Senator Knuppel says it's all right. Senator Netsch.

8. SENATOR NETSCH:

9. Mr. President, may I ask the sponsor a question too? I  
10. could not hear everything you said, Senator Nimrod. Is that  
11. the only change that it makes? It extends the...the coverage  
12. into SB 13, extending it to all local government officials  
13. as well as all the State officials.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

15. Senator Nimrod.

16. SENATOR NIMROD:

17. Yes, Senator Netsch, it does that but it also does some  
18. other things that which I will give you a copy of, which I  
19. explained. But, if...it does make some other changes and a  
20. few additions which I will give you a copy of the amendment  
21. and I will try passing them out to you.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

23. Any further discussion? All in favor of the adoption  
24. of amendment No. 1 to SB 13 indicate by saying aye. Opposed.  
25. The ayes have it and the amendment is adopted. Any further  
26. amendments? 3rd reading. Senator Nimrod.

27. SENATOR NIMROD:

28. If it would be in order, I would move that SB 14 be Tabled.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

30. Senator Nimrod asks for leave to Table SB 14. Is leave  
31. granted? It is granted and the bill is Tabled. Do you want  
32. the same motion on SB 14...15?

33. SENATOR NIMROD:

ALB 8  
11/4/73

1. ...Yeah...15, I will let it sit and I will Table that  
2. after the pass...discussion of the bill, if that's all right,  
3. because that covers a different aspect.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

5. Any further business? Senator Roe.

6. SENATOR ROE:

7. I'd like to call SB 8 back to 2nd reading, Mr. President,  
8. for the purposes of offering Amendment No. 1.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

10. Senator Roe asks for the leave of the Body to have SB No.8  
11. returned to the order of 2nd reading for the purpose of pre-  
12. senting an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted and  
13. SB No.8 is now on the order of 2nd reading and the Chair  
14. recognizes the Senator from Rochelle to explain his amendment.

15. SENATOR ROE:

16. Mr. President, this amendment defines political committee  
17. which was inadvertently left out of the bill originally. It  
18. drops the provision relating to making so-called practice  
19. of double-dipping illegal. In other words that...that is  
20. dropped by the amendment and would then not be touched by  
21. the bill. It also allows certain interests which would be  
22. in the nature of personal effects, household goods and this  
23. sort of thing, not have...allow them not to be reported as  
24. economic interests over a thousand dollars; provides a  
25. penalty for an anonymous contribution and it further drops the  
26. declaration in our current economic interests statement of  
27. gifts to a hundred dollars, instead of five hundred dollars  
28. as it presently is stated so there can't be any confusion  
29. as to what is a gift and what is a political contribution.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

31. Senator Scholl.

32. SENATOR SCHOLL:

33. I'd like...Mr. President, I'd like to ask permission of the

AB 8  
11/4/73  
RWC

- 1. Chair, I'd like to ask a question of Senator Roe.
- 2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
- 3. He asks permission of Senator Roe. Does you...do you
- 4. yield for a question? The Chair doesn't care. Senator Roe,
- 5. do you yield? Answer a questopm.
- 6. SENATOR SCHOLL:
- 7. Senator Roe, am I correct in your observation regarding
- 8. your bill that it would allow double-dipping?
- 9. SENATOR ROE:
- 10. The bill as presently stated would not, the amendment would.
- 11. SENATOR SCHOLL:
- 12. The amendment would allow double-dipping?
- 13. SENATOR ROE:
- 14. The amendment would not change the present law in the State.
- 15. The bill as it stands would.
- 16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
- 17. Senator Scholl.
- 18. SENATOR SCHOLL:
- 19. May I ask you why you're not including this provision.
- 20. SENATOR ROE:
- 21. The basic reason that I'm dropping this provision, or
- 22. asking that it be dropped in this amendment is that all the
- 23. bills that we are considering in both the Senate and the
- 24. House, pursuant to this special call are concerning them-
- 25. selves with Campaign Contribution Disclosure Law and the
- 26. Economic Interest Law that we presently have. And, I feel that
- 27. this will make the bill more in conformity or in conformity with
- 28. the other bills that we are considering in the Special Session.
- 29. SENATOR SCHOLL:
- 30. Senator, let me just say this, I sincerely feel you've
- 31. sold out to the Democrats on this bill.
- 32. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
- 33. Senator Fawell.

158  
7-1-173  
R

1. SENATOR FAWELL:

2. I...the...Senator,.I don't share that thought. I read  
3. the so-called double-dipping amendment and it is so strong  
4. that I will clearly say that if you pass it I will resign  
5. from the Legislature and I think a whole bunch of others  
6. will too. It...it...it's I think it was unreal and I think  
7. it was a very practical and I think otherwise a sound move  
8. to eliminate that provision from the bill. I have other  
9. questions, though, Senator...

10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

11. Senator. Will the gentlemen surrounding Senator Roe allow  
12. his to answer these questions? Senator Roe.

13. SENATOR FAWELL:

14. The...in...in Senator Donnewald's bill and there are some  
15. likenesses between his and yours. I...I have two questions about  
16. which I would be concerned. Number one, I would want to make  
17. it...I would want it to be clear in your bill that a violation  
18. of the reporting provisions would not take place unless it is  
19. truly a willful and intentional violation. In other words, merely  
20. a mistake in not filing a form in full accordance would not be  
21. a crime. Is that covered in your amendment?

22. SENATOR ROE:

23. Yes, Senator Fawell, the word in...the words in my bill  
24. that are used relative to non-violator, misviolator this  
25. type of thing is knowingly which is defined by the Criminal  
26. Code. It's a more definable word than willfully is by the  
27. way, which is not defined.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

29. Senator Fawell.

30. SENATOR FAWELL:

31. Either way, as long as it's clear that it has to be...  
32. in intent to concur with the Act. The other question I had,  
33. and I think that this is very important, is that it be made clear

11/28  
11/24/73

1. that the failure to violate to...to file a disclosure statement  
2. prior to any primary or general election or even insofar as  
3. a caucus nomination, I suppose, it be made clear that the filing  
4. of these documents are not conditions precedent to the effective  
5. nomination or election. Because this is a problem we have in  
6. the present law, if somebody inadvertently makes some type of  
7. mistake in not having exactly the type of a disclosure statement then  
8. the nomination and the election itself can be made void.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

10. Senator Roe. You had some competition, Senator Fawell.

11. SENATOR ROE:

12. ...The...Senator Fawell, my bill is not similar to the  
13. Governor's bill in that regard and it is not tied in to filing.  
14. The statements are not tied in to filing for candidacy. That  
15. would...I think that answers your question.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

17. Senator Fawell. I don't think he...

18. SENATOR FAWELL:

19. The bills...the bills make no reference to the fact that  
20. this is...if there is reference as in the...as in Senator  
21. Donnewald's bill that it is necessary prior to filing that  
22. these things be done, merely the reference that they be done  
23. prior to filing is enough, legally speaking, to make it a  
24. condition precedent to a valid filing. No reference? All  
25. right.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

27. Senator Knuppel.

28. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

29. Knuppel. I...I have a very strong feeling that I am a  
30. Democrat and I resent the statement or the charges by Senator  
31. Scholl. I don't think they have any place in this body. I  
32. think they besmirch Senator Roe and I thought we got rid of  
33. that kind of crap when we got rid of Horsley.



158  
11/24/73  
K.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

2. I...I think...Gentlemen, you are both out of order. You  
3. are both out of order. The debate...the debate is supposed to  
4. concern itself with the adoption of the resolution or the amend-  
5. ment. And if it does then you will be recognized, but if you're  
6. going to have personal charges from one Senator to another, you  
7. will not be recognized for that purpose.

8. SENATOR ROE:

9. If there is no further discussion, I would move the adoption  
10. of amendment No. 1.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

12. Senator Scholl, you are not going to be recognized to start  
13. some extraordinary debate. If you're going to speak to the  
14. amendment, fine. ...will be...the motion before...Senator Scholl  
15. you are out of order. You are too, Senator Knuppel. You are out  
16. of order and you are not recognized. We can do without those  
17. outbursts. Senator Roe.

18. SENATOR ROE:

19. I...I would renew my motion.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

21. The motion before the Senate at this time is shall  
22. Amendment No. 1 ...Gentlemen...this is supposed to happen only  
23. on the 30th of June. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1  
24. to House..to SB No. 8. All in favor of the motion will signify  
25. by saying aye. A roll call has been requested. Is...other  
26. Senators join Senator Scholl in the request of a roll call?  
27. He is joined and the roll call will be had, to adopt or not  
28. to adopt Amendment No. 1 to SB No. 8. Secretary will call the  
29. roll.

30. SECRETARY:

31. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew,  
32. Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty,  
33. Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Hynes, Johns,

1. Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy,  
2. Merritt, Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse,  
3. Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer, Partée, Regner, Rock, Roe,  
4. Romano, Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,  
5. Sommer, Soper, Sours,

6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

7. Senator Sours, are you rising for a...

8. SENATOR SOURS:

9. I have a question. Is double-dipping in this bill or is it out?

10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

11. I thought it was...it had been answered but with all the  
12. confusion here I presume...Senator Roe, in the discussion period  
13. was over...would you answer Senator Sours please.

14. SECRETARY:

15. Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr.  
16. President.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

18. Senator Sours. Regner, no. Ozinga, no. Sours, no. Scholl,  
19. no. Just a moment Gentlemen, we'll get to you. Savickas, no.  
20. ...aye. Oh, Savickas, aye. Ozinga, aye. Bartulis, no. Welsh,  
21. aye. Soper, no. Mitchler, no. Nimrod, no. Daley, aye. Bruce,  
22. aye. One of the few times the President has a chance to call  
23. the roll. Romano, aye. On the motion to adopt, the yeas were  
24. ...for what purpose do you rise, Senator Mitchler.

25. SENATOR MITCHLER:

26. ...Mr. President, on a point of order here, there's seems  
27. to be some misunderstanding and the reason for that is because  
28. a number of the Senators were not on the Floor during the entire  
29. debate of the amendment. And, they came on the Floor late in  
30. order to cast their vote on the amendment when a roll call was  
31. requested. And, I would like to have just explained so that by  
32. the Chair can explain it...the question of whether or not double-  
33. dipping is in the amendment now. The amendment as I understand it...

AKS  
11/14/73  
P...

1. removes the double-dipping...

2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

3. If you understand it you don't need an explanation.

4. SENATOR MITCHLER:

5. I'm not...an explanation, but there's confusion and I'd  
6. like to have the Chair explain that.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

8. I...Senator Mitchler I was trying to suggest to you that  
9. all during this debate I was trying to get some order. I am  
10. trying to suggest to you now that it is not the prerogative of  
11. the Chair to leave the podium and explain Senator Roe's amend-  
12. ment. The question seems to be in the minds of some, is double-  
13. dipping in or out of this bill. The answer was that it is out  
14. by this...virtue of the adoption of this amendment, as the  
15. Chair understands it. And, on that question, the yeas were  
16. 29, the nays were 13. A majority of those voting...the...  
17. voting favorably. The amendment is adopted. Any further  
18. amendments. 3rd reading. Oh, we have another amendment.

19. SECRETARY:

20. Amendment No. 2 by Senator Partee.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

22. Senator Partee. Gentlemen, we are going to have Senator  
23. Partee explain his amendment. So, if we could get some  
24. attention, we might save some confusion.

25. SENATOR PARTEE:

26. I don't think...I think I'm going to with...Mr. Chairman  
27. ...Mr. President, I'm going to take Amendment No. 2 out of the  
28. record.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

30. He has taken...

31. SENATOR PARTEE:

32. I'm not going to pursue it.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

158  
11/11/73  
R

1. ...it out of the record. Any further amendments? The  
2. bill will be returned...Senator Roe.

3. SENATOR ROE:

4. I'm sorry, I didn't hear what Senator Partee said.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

6. He was going to present an amendment and he saw you looking  
7. at him and he withdrew it. Any further amendments? The bill  
8. will be returned to the order of 3rd reading. Any further  
9. business. Senator Weaver.

10. SENATOR WEAVER:

11. Mr. President, I would move that the First Session recess  
12. at the call of the Chair.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

14. Senator Donnewald.

15. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

16. You mean you want to recess to the....

17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

18. Yeah, we're just going to recess for...

19. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

20. All right.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

22. There has been a motion by Senator Weaver that this Session  
23. do stand in recess until the call of the Chair. All in favor,  
24. signify by saying aye. Opposed. The ayes have it. The Session  
25. ...First Session of the 78th General Assembly, Special Session  
26. does stand in recess.

27. (RECESS)

28. (AFTER THE RECESS)

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

30. The Senate will now stand convened on Special Session No.  
31. 1 of the 78th General Assembly. Senator Harris, we are now  
32. open on Special Session Number One. Do you have a comment?  
33. Senator Ozinga, did you get your answer? The Chair recognizes

1. the Senator from Cary no Rochelle, someplace. Senator Roe.  
2. And we need to have a little order. Very little, I'm sure,  
3. but we need order. It has been suggested that we ... direct  
4. our attention to Senate Bills on 3rd reading of this First  
5. Special Session. It's been suggested also to expedite  
6. our business that we go in numerical order and with that  
7. thought in mind the first bill in case the Senator sponsor  
8. wants to call it, is Senate Bill No. 1. Senator Donnewald.  
9. Order please, Gentlemen. He indicates he wants his bill read  
10. a 3rd time.

11. SECRETARY:

12. SB No. 1 (Secretary reads title of bill)  
13. 3rd reading of the bill.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

15. Senator Donnewald:

16. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

17. As...as promised earlier, Mr. President and Members of the  
18. Senate, I'd like to have the...SB 1 in Special Session One  
19. called back to the order of 2nd reading for purposes of an  
20. amendment and I would yield to Senator McCarthy.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

22. That motion would have been more in order before we  
23. read the title. Leave granted. I'm sorry. Leave is granted.  
24. Senate Bill No. 1 will be returned to the order of 2nd reading.  
25. It is now on the order of 2nd reading. Senator Donnewald  
26. yields to the Senator from Decatur. Senator McCarthy.

27. SENATOR MCCARTHY:

28. Yes, Mr. President, I have laid on the Secretary's desk  
29. an amendment, which I guess is Amendment No. 1...Amendment  
30. No. 1. What the amendment does if I direct your attention to  
31. page 18 of the bill. Page 18, Mr. President, in Section 705  
32. gives any person residing in Illinois, the right to bring a  
33. civil action to enjoin violations of or to compel compliance  
with this Act or to recover the civil penalty provided in

1. Section 701 of this Act. It goes on to say, the Court may  
2. award the party which prevails in this action his costs of  
3. litigations including reasonable attorney fees. Keeping in  
4. mind that Section, what this amendment merely does is delete  
5. that Section. There are a good many reasons why this Section  
6. should be deleted. I think perhaps if you're going to have  
7. the enforcement of any violations in the State's Attorneys  
8. of the County together with concurrent jurisdiction of the  
9. Attorney General of the State of Illinois it might be an un-  
10. necessary cluttering up of cumulative legal actions to allow  
11. any person to proceed against any one candidate. That generally  
12. is the reason why the Section is being eliminated. If there's  
13. any questions, I'd be happy to answer them. The sponsor agrees  
14. with the amendment. Pending any questions, I would move that  
15. the amendment would be adopted.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

17. Any further discussion on Amendment No. 1 to SB No. 1 in  
18. the First Special Session? Senator Donnewald moves the adoption  
19. of the amendment. All in favor, will signify by saying aye.  
20. Opposed. The ayes have it and the amendments is adopted. Any  
21. further amendments? For the record, McCarthy and Donnewald  
22. both moved the adoption of the amendment so you're not mad at  
23. each other. And it is adopted. Further...any further amendments?  
24. 3rd reading. What do you want to do with SB No. 2 before we  
25. get involved. Read a 3rd time. SB No. 2 as amended.

26. SECRETARY:

27. SB No. 2 (Secretary reads title of bill)  
28. 3rd reading of the bill.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

30. Senator Donnewald.

31. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

32. Yes, Mr. President, ...I think that everyone's examined  
33. this particular piece of legislation rather thoroughly. We've  
had testimony on two different occasions and it is part of the

1. ethics package as submitted by Governor Walker. And, it requires  
2. the public disclosure of beneficiaries and beneficial interests  
3. of each real property trust irrespective of the provisions of  
4. the trust. It also requires that each trustee and beneficiary  
5. be responsible for making such public disclosure and provides  
6. that no trustee can be held liable by a beneficiary for making  
7. such public disclosures. There are many other provisions in  
8. the bill. I think that everyone is rather familiar with it.  
9. There was one amendment which was a technical amendment. And,  
10. I would urge a favorable roll call.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

12. Further discussion? Senator...from Deerfield. And then  
13. Senator Fawell.

14. SENATOR BERNING:

15. I'd like to ask the sponsor a question on...thought we were  
16. on SB 1.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

18. You're on SB 2, Senator. Senator Fawell.

19. SENATOR FAWELL:

20. ...Senator Donnewald, I'm sorry, I can't find a copy of  
21. that bill. As I recall this is an entirely new Act, is that  
22. correct? ...

23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

24. I think it will be helpful if the people would let  
25. Senator Donnewald answer the question propounded by Senator  
26. Fawell. And if he could have some order so he could hear them.

27. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

28. It is a new Act, yes.

29. SENATOR FAWELL:

30. All right....So you're not amending the Corrupt Practices  
31. Act.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

33. Any further discussion? Senator Soper.

1. SENATOR SOPER:

2. Senator Donnewald, I don't have the bill here. Just one  
3. simple question. Does this include all land trusts, whether  
4. or not they participate in the sale or the condemnation of  
5. land by a municipality that you'd have to divulge the names  
6. and addresses of all the beneficiaries?

7. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

8. My interpretation is yes.

9. SENATOR SOPER:

10. Well I can't understand the reasoning for that. If the  
11. ...if you want...if you want to...if you want to help to  
12. divulge the interests of any beneficiary under a trust that's  
13. involved in the sale or the purchase of a piece of land that's  
14. either in condemnation or direct sale with...with a municipality  
15. or governmental agency or any kind, that's fine, but if you  
16. enact this you'll kill every trust department in the State of  
17. Illinois that handles land trusts. Now, I'm not opposed ...  
18. I'm not opposed ... Gentlemen, Ladies and Gentlemen, I think  
19. you ought to hear this, not opposed to divulge the interests  
20. of any ... any beneficial interest in a land trust, where  
21. that land trust is involved and the purchase or the condemnation  
22. of land from a municipality or the purchase of land or the sale  
23. or land to any, to any governmental agency. Otherwise, if you  
24. do this, you're playing fun and games with every trust depart-  
25. ment in the State of Illinois. People put property in trust  
26. because of the fact, they don't want to be known as the owners  
27. of this and also because of the fact that they want to be able  
28. to transfer this land in their own...in their own families and  
29. I see nothing wrong with having a trust of that nature. But,  
30. if you say, that everybody has to divulge whether or not and  
31. they can go to jail if they don't, I think that's...that's  
32. becoming ridiculous. Then...if we're going to have...if we're  
33. going to have a...a State like Russia well maybe we ought to



1. to have it. I say...I want to reiterate, if a man or if a  
2. trust buys land from a municipality or governmental agency,  
3. or there's a condemnation and ... and it's purchased in...in  
4. a land trust or land trust or there's a condemnation where  
5. land is in a trust then the beneficiary should be disclosed.  
6. Otherwise, I can't vote for this bill.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

8. Senator Sours. Senator Sours, you're recognized.

9. SENATOR SOURS:

10. I have a question I'd like to ask the good Senator, from Breese  
11. too.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

13. He indicates he will yield.

14. SENATOR SOURS:

15. Two questions, actually. Senator, who wants this ravishing  
16. legislation?

17. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

18. Well, Senator, the...as you say the ravishing legislation  
19. is requested by Governor Walker among var...many, many other  
20. people.

21. SENATOR SOURS:

22. Well, I'd like just to make this comment then and this is  
23. no reflection on the good Governor. We might just as well make  
24. mandatory the absolute disclosure of every bank account. What's  
25. the difference whether one's wealth is in land, in a land trust  
26. or whether he has it in a savings and loan association or a bank,  
27. even in a private bank. Why not just make that as an amendment  
28. to your bill and let's go a little farther. Let's put...let's  
29. put picture windows...let's put picture windows in safe-deposit  
30. boxes so any snoop, nosy, useless individual who wants a  
31. little information will have access to it because if you don't  
32. disclose it you're guilty of Felony I or Misdemeanor II and  
33. all that hocus-pocus-dominocus.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

2. Any further discussion? Senator Wooten.

3. SENATOR WOOTEN:

4. Yes, Mr. President and colleagues, I feel that Senator  
5. Sours' analogy is a little strained. This of course, does not  
6. eliminate the land trust. It eliminates the secrecy. And  
7. while I'm sure there're many reasons that can be brought forward  
8. for the perpetuation of this unique devoce. imoque to the State  
9. of Illinois, my only acquaintance with it comes from my former  
10. profession, where we discovered that the secret land trust was  
11. the principal means for perpetuating slum housing and ownership  
12. of slum housing in my area. •And, the powerlessness of people  
13. to combat that was made manifest to me at that time and I've  
14. opposed the principle of the secret land trust ever since.  
15. It's true that not every action we take is perfect, not every  
16. action we take no matter how justly construed winds up being  
17. just across the board. But, it seems to me there've been  
18. sufficient abuses of this privilege in Illinois, that it no  
19. longer serves that useful a purpose and the secret land trust  
20. should be done away with.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

22. Any further discussion? Senator Palmer.

23. SENATOR PALMER:

24. In answer to Senator Wooten, I would rather see a bill  
25. here. I might approve that or go for a bill that would elimi-  
26. nate land trusts. But this backdoor approach in my opinion,  
27. is not the correct one. I agree with Senator Soper and I  
28. agree with Senator Sours, at the present time...

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

30. Senator Palmer is entitled to recognition.

31. SENATOR PALMER:

32. Thank you. At the present time we have legally and luckily  
33. the right to have land trusts, so long as it's legal and it's

1. a proper vehicle and it does happen to have a commercial value  
2. and a proper use for people to put property in trusts, and it's  
3. used and it's in a commercial use. This bill prohibits a proper  
4. use of a land trust. I also agree that any bill that's put  
5. before this Senate whereby the State or any government is in-  
6. volved in the transaction they may require the disclosure of  
7. the beneficiaries. There are...I think there have been such  
8. bills passed in this Senate and I have been in favor of those  
9. bills. But, the public and the private citizen has the perfect  
10. right to engage in this lawful procedure of putting their titles  
11. in the land trust. Now, Senator Wooten also raised another point  
12. about slum landlords. In the legal processes of court proceedings  
13. and I'm sure Senator Sours will a...and Senator Soper will agree  
14. with me, anytime that you are in the court proceedings upon a  
15. proper motion you may request the disclosure of the beneficiaries  
16. and the courts will so grant that motion and the public, whoever  
17. desires may get that disclosure. However, I do not agree that  
18. in the regular commerce of real estate that we shall put this  
19. prohibition in the ordinary trade of real estate whereby the  
20. recorder on the ordinary real estate transaction should be pro-  
21. hibited from accepting a deed that's made or indicates that one  
22. of the parties is a trustee. I think it's a backdoor approach  
23. and also, I think it's taking away a right that belongs to  
24. the people.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

26. Senator Walker. I see Senator Sours is seeking recognition.  
27. I think our rules provide that when all the other Senators have  
28. spoken that you could be recognized for answering.

29. SENATOR SOURS:

30. ...ask a question.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

32. You ask a question?

33. SENATOR SOURS:

1. Yes sir.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

3. You were still on the...asking questions?

4. SENATOR SOURS:

5. No, I...I didn't debate the issue, I simply asked a  
6. question, and I...I do have another comment and I feel I'm  
7. entitled to state it...

8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

9. You may proceed.

10. SENATOR SOURS:

11. All right. I don't think Senator Wooten, my good friend  
12. Wooten, should get by with his comment either, because here are  
13. the facts: If you have slums in Rock Island, Illinois, go to  
14. your building department and you eradicate slums by a suit  
15. in equity to eradicate a nuisance. It's done every day in the  
16. City of Peoria, in the Peoria County Circuit Court. You don't  
17. need this to eliminate slums. You need an aggressive building  
18. department and a very...very virulent City Attorney and a  
19. good Circuit Judge, and that's all you need.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

21. I see the Senator from Hoopston is desiring recognition.  
22. Senator Merritt.

23. SENATOR MERRITT:

24. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, just very briefly,  
25. to oppose this type of legislation, is almost I assume, like  
26. being against motherhood. I think the time has come, in view  
27. of recent disclosures throughout the news media that we address  
28. ourselves to something along this line. But, what is really  
29. disturbing to me, Senator Donnewald, is in our many banks  
30. throughout Illinois that have trust departments I'm very deeply  
31. concerned about a violation of that trust between an officer  
32. of that bank and that particular person creating the trust  
33. who has sought out that banker because he knows that trust  
34. will not be violated in that confidence. I think that we're

1. treading on dangerous ground with this. As I say, I may yet  
2. support it but I think...I think that we're doing a great  
3. disjustice to our many people who seek the advice and trust  
4. and consulate of our many fine bankers in Illinois.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

6. Any further discussion? This is the last call for further  
7. discussion. If there is no one indicating such is desirous,  
8. I recognize Senator Donnewald to close the debate.

9. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

10. Yes, Mr. President, very briefly, I've heard that term  
11. before, I would only say that this affects only a land trust  
12. that's used improperly. Those trusts that are used properly  
13. will not be affected at all and I would urge your favorable  
14. vote.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

16. The question before the Senate is shall SB No. 2 of the  
17. First Special Session pass. Upon that question, the Secretary  
18. will call the roll.

19. ACTING SECRETARY (MR. WRIGHT):

20. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,  
21. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,  
22. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth  
23. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,  
24. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard  
25. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,  
26. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,  
27. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,  
28. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,  
29. Weaver, Welsh Wooten, Mr. President.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

31. Netsch, aye. Anyone else? I can't hear you, Gentlemen.  
32. If you want to be recorded, stand up and be counted and I  
33. can hear who you are. Scholl, aye. Berning, no. Roe is

1. voting present. Merritt, is voting present. Are...you wished  
2. to be recorded, Senator Donnewald?

3. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

4. Yeah, I want to postpone consideration, Mr. President.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

6. Been a motion to postpone consideration and before that's  
7. postponed did you want to be recorded, Senator? Senator  
8. Saperstein, do you want on that postponed bill. Present.  
9. Order postponed consideration. Next case. SB No. 3...  
10. Senator Donnewald.

11. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

12. Well intervening business having occurred since the  
13. amendment of No. 1 I wonder if I could return to that now  
14. and...dispose of it favorable.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

16. You may if it's back from Enrolling and Engrossing. Is  
17. it, Mr. Secretary? It is not back from Enrolling and Engrossing.  
18. It would be better if we try another order of business. You  
19. don't have anymore business? Gentlemen, just a moment, just  
20. a moment. The bill...the original bill is in Enrolling and  
21. Engrossing. Just a moment, Senator. Don't panic. Senator  
22. Nudelman, for what purpose are you seeking recognition.

23. SENATOR NUDELMAN:

24. I'd like a little information, Mr. President, of HB No....  
25. excuse me, SB No. 2.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

27. It was on...by the request of the sponsor was placed on  
28. the order of consideration postponed and was so ordered. Now,  
29. SB No. 1 is back from Enrolling and Engrossing and Senator  
30. Donnewald you better stay with that microphone. Do you want  
31. to go SB No. 1? We're ready.

32. SECRETARY:

33. SB No. 1 (Secretary reads title of bill)

1. 3rd reading of the Bill.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

3. Senator Donnewald

4. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

5. Mr. President and Members of the Senate, I'm not going to  
6. deliberate or debate or discuss the merits of SB No. 1 in  
7. Special Session No. 1. I'm...I know that we're all aware  
8. that we had testimony on two different occasions, lengthy  
9. testimony by many very capable witnesses. I could go to  
10. the merits of the bill piece by piece. I know that would  
11. consume many, many minutes, possibly hours. But, Ladies and  
12. Gentlemen of the Senate, I think two years ago we said the  
13. time is near for ethics. Ladies and Gentlemen today more  
14. than ever, the time is now for a very strong piece of ethics  
15. legislation. I've heard the argument that there've been no letters  
16. from my constituents about ethics. But, what I...I'm very  
17. much concerned about, Mr. President, Members of this Body  
18. are the polls. Back in 1948 I didn't believe in polls. 1970  
19. made me a believer. And, the polls, Ladies and Gentlemen,  
20. today show that we're on the bottom of the totem pole. Elected  
21. public officials are on the very bottom. The respect for a  
22. politician today is zero. Now, let me tell you what can happen.  
23. I would ask that any member in this Body go to an area where  
24. his face is not known, enter the grocery store and engage your-  
25. self in politics and find out what the guy next to you or the  
26. lady next to you thinks of politicians. I would ask the  
27. ladies to go into a beauty shop where they are not known  
28. and bring up the subject of politics. You'll be shocked,  
29. you'll be shocked. Go to the grocery stores everywhere you  
30. go. We need to up lift, to raise our image. We need to do  
31. it with strong, strong forceful legislation. I know this  
32. will hurt. I may hurt, may hurt everyone, but I think the  
33. ultimate end will be good for all of the people of Illinois.

1. I would urge, Mr. President, Members of this Body, that this  
2. be given favorable consideration.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):  
4. Senator Mohr, Howard MOhr.

5. SENATOR MOHR:  
6. Yes, I wonder if the sponsor would yield to a question?

7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):  
8. He indicates he will.

9. SENATOR MOHR:  
10. Who wants this bill, Senator?

11. SENATOR DONNEWALD:  
12. Well, Senator, I want the bill, the Governor wants the  
13. bill, many of the people that testified, want the bill.

14. SENATOR MOHR:  
15. Is this the same Governor that wants the RTA?

16. SENATOR DONNEWALD:  
17. And the voters...the voters of Illinois want the bill.

18. SENATOR MOHR:  
19. Is this the same Governor that wants the RTA, Senator?

20. SENATOR DONNEWALD:  
21. Yes, ..a form of RTA.

22. SENATOR MOHR:  
23. He probably wants this bill just as bad.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):  
25. Senator Buzbee.

26. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
27. Thank you...thank you, Mr. President. Mr. President, I  
28. know there's been a lot of levity about these particular series  
29. of bills, about Senator Roe's bill, about the bill that we  
30. just considered of Senator Donnewald's a few minutes ago. I  
31. know everybody's anxious to get out of here. But, this parti-  
32. cular series of bills is...this particular topic is a subject  
33. that I have very strong feelings about. Last year during my



1. campaign for this Senate seat, I started talking about campaign  
2. disclosures laws, ethics and so forth, and I found one thing  
3. that happened there was just exactly what had been expressed  
4. by a lot of the Senators here, in that nobody reacted. When I  
5. say nobody, I mean the constituents in my district, just simply  
6. did not react. Now, at that time, I interpreted that to mean  
7. that they simply were not interested in campaign disclosure  
8. or ethics type legislation. During this summer and fall and  
9. being asked to speak to various civic groups and so forth, this  
10. is one topic that I have stressed many, many times in what I  
11. feel to be legislation that is direly needed. I think now  
12. that my conclusion that I reached back during that campaign,  
13. that nobody was interested was wrong. I think what was  
14. happening was that there is such a pervasive feeling among  
15. the populace that all politicians are crooks. There's such  
16. a pervasive feeling that it doesn't really make any difference  
17. what we the people think, they're not going to do anything  
18. about it anyhow. There's such a pervasive feeling that dirty  
19. money is passed to politicians for campaigns and otherwise, that  
20. they simply just put it out of their minds. Now, Senator  
21. Berning passed out a commentary from the Waukegan paper just  
22. this morning and I think that paragraph No. 2 of that particular  
23. commentary sums up the main reason why we should all be concerned  
24. with ethics legislation when I...when it says and I quote -  
25. Watergate, of course, is the main reason along with a vice-  
26. president forced out of office and sentenced for tax evasion,  
27. a Federal Judge and former Governor convicted for bribery, a  
28. State Legislator sentenced to prison for expense account irregu-  
29. larities, Congressmen and State Lawmakers facing charges and  
30. Lake County officials given gifts by suppliers and indictments  
31. in Henry...in McKinley County for alleged illegal issuance of  
32. drivers license - end quote. Now, Mr. President, Fellow Senators,  
33. we all know that this question transcends party lines. It's got

1. nothing whatsoever to do with a particular political party  
2. or political parties. There are some of us who are in favor  
3. of this particular legislation. There are some of us who are  
4. opposed to this particular legislation. It's got nothing to  
5. do with which side of the aisle we sit on. It's got some-  
6. thing to do with our own particular feelings about this type  
7. of legislation, our own particular code of ethics. Now, I  
8. know there's been a lack of mail on this. I've already  
9. addressed myself to that particular subject, and I know there's  
10. been a lot of comments made. The President of the Senate in  
11. a news paper interview some weeks ago said that you can't  
12. legislate ethics. That crooks are going to be crooks. That  
13. is certainly true. We can pass laws all we want to and those  
14. people who are bound and determined to break those laws can  
15. continue to do so and will. However, I think, if we think back  
16. to the mid-fifties and early sixties that there were a lot of  
17. people that were saying you can't legislate love and that cer-  
18. tainly is still true. We cannot pass laws to make...make us  
19. love our fellow man, but we can pass laws that will force legally  
20. an equality between the races in job situations and other  
21. places. And, I think a very...a good analogy can be drawn  
22. between that particular subject and this one. And the true  
23. we cannot...we cannot, I see the read light. We cannot  
24. make people be honest but I think we can make it much more  
25. difficult, we can open up our campaign financing and show  
26. the public, we are willing to stand up and deal with this  
27. very difficult topic, we will show them how much money we  
28. are receiving and from whom, for our campaign financing. Thank  
29. you, Mr. President.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

31. Senator Soper.

32. SENATOR SOPER:

33. Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Senator Donnewald.

1. Senator Donnewald? Yeah. All right. This is an administration  
2. bill? This is proposed by the Governor, Governor Walker?

3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

4. Senator Donnewald.

5. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

6. Essentially it's the codification of the Executive order.

7. SENATOR SOPER:

8. The...this...the Governor wants this bill in other words?

9. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

10. That's my information.

11. SENATOR SOPER:

12. Well, then I'll speak to the bill. I...I'm going to vote  
13. for campaign disclosure because if I disclose everything that  
14. I receive in campaigns, my people are going to be embarrassed  
15. because I don't receive anything. I don't have any tag days  
16. and ... I receive nothing and I spend about as much. Now,  
17. that's the Bohemian method. And...you see, I don't have to  
18. spend \$100,000 or \$50,000 to run for an office that pays  
19. \$17,500 because the Czechs would feel that you...you had...  
20. you have some shanky-panky going around ...and...if you had  
21. to spend that kind of money to get this kind of lousy job.  
22. And, that would be a lousy job...you're only elected for 2  
23. years. I've been elected for 2 years ever since they had a  
24. 4 year term. Now, if the Governor wants this, I think the  
25. Governor should come forth and say notwithstanding the fact  
26. that the law wasn't enacted at the time that I ran for office,  
27. but I want this. But I want to tell the people exactly who  
28. I got my money from, who I own money to and who I made loans  
29. from and when I expect to repay those loans, where I'm going  
30. to get the money to repay those loans and if I'm ever going  
31. to repay those loans if they're ever going to be recalled. Now,  
32. I ask Senator Donnewald, would you put an amendment in this  
33. bill? Would you call this bill back for an amendment after

1. it doesn't pass and we'll put a little...we'll put a little  
2. amendment on this bill that would ask that all disclosures  
3. be made as to loans, when they're going to be repaid, if they're  
4. ever going to be repaid and if they're not repaid, then the  
5. Ethics Commission or the Board of Ethics or whoever you have  
6. that's going to run this thing would have the privilege of  
7. foreclosing on those loans and collecting the money and  
8. putting it into this Commission. Because this hanky-panky  
9. about making loans and saying, I received the loan, there's  
10. no note, there's no due date, there's no collateral. That's  
11. a lot of con spelled c-o-n. And \$50,000 loans and \$100,000  
12. loans, you know what those things are. Now, let's be realistic  
13. about this thing. If you want something are you going to  
14. say next week you can...you can do what you want because I want  
15. you to do it my way but this week, we're not going to  
16. have anybody report including me, I don't go for that. I'm  
17. going to vote for Nimrod's bills and...I hope...that if you  
18. don't pass this bill, you'll put it on postponed consideration,  
19. I'll have a few amendments for it.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

21. Senator Wooten.

22. SENATOR WOOTEN:

23. The following speech typed previously:  
24.  
25.  
26.  
27.  
28.  
29.  
30.  
31.  
32.  
33.

1. SENATOR WOOTEN:

2. Thank you Mr. President. I have wanted to  
3. speak on these bills rather than any other because  
4. of something that occurred about ten years ago.  
5. I had an opportunity to direct a play by Shakespeare  
6. called Coriolanus. I'm sure Senator Sours knows the  
7. story both in its Plutarch original form and in its  
8. dramatic permutation by Shakespeare. But I was  
9. struck with the story then and it has remained with  
10. me ever since. It's a story of a nobleman named Caus  
11. Marcius who almost single-handedly captured the town of  
12. Corioli. Perhaps the most distinguished citizen of  
13. Rome a patrician who in the normal degree of  
14. things should have been named consul. But he had to  
15. do two things, he had to dress in rags and beg the  
16. people for their voices. This he did. It cost him  
17. a great deal in pride but he could go through with that  
18. part of the arrangement. The second thing was to  
19. strip off his tunic and show the citizens of Rome the  
20. scars he had taken in the service of his country. This  
21. was perhaps a superfluous and unnecessary act. He could  
22. not bring himself to do it and it brought about his down-  
23. fall, his subsequent exile, his later death at the  
24. hand of the Volscians. Now that story is not as remote  
25. as it may seem. I too am deeply troubled by the personal  
26. disclosure aspects of this bill. I believe that we can-  
27. not put off the business of disclosing campaign funds. I  
28. am not easy in my own mind about personal income dis-  
29. closure because I too am capable of suffering embarrassment.  
30. But I cannot get the story of Caus Marcius out of my mind. I  
31. thought as I dealt with the play over a period of a long time why  
32. couldn't he make that concession and crown his career with honor  
33. and dignity at such a relatively small cost. Maybe it is

1.       apparently an indignity for us to stand thus naked  
2.       before the voters. Maybe it is more than many of us  
3.       want to bear but I have a profound respect for the  
4.       practice of politics. The impetus for this bill comes  
5.       not from letters it comes from the opinions that I fear are  
6.       seated in the minds of many voters. Let me give you  
7.       one small story. After I was elected an old fellow  
8.       called me on the phone several times over a period of  
9.       two weeks. He was in the 70's and I'm in my 40's.  
10.      His time was more valuable than mine so I listened to  
11.      him but he enraged me every time he called because he  
12.      kept saying Don I know you have to take some money  
13.      to stay in politics but just don't be greedy. Show a  
14.      little restraint, I know you have to take some but don't take  
15.      a whole lot. And I argued with him. I said what makes  
16.      you think that I have to take anything. He said that's  
17.      the way it's done. Now the problem is when people  
18.      get into their elder years they aren't as careful. They  
19.      tend to speak the truth. They get a little confused now  
20.      and then but they generally say what they mean and what  
21.      they feel. And I was very disturbed of the fact that this  
22.      old man felt that while he was for me personally he thought  
23.      I was all right he wanted me to know that go ahead and take  
24.      the money that's offered you but just don't be greedy. I  
25.      found that personally offensive but to me it indicates  
26.      that there is an opinion abroad in the land which does  
27.      detriment to the profession I have chosen. The profession  
28.      all of us have chosen. I don't know whether it's right.  
29.      I'll tell you quite frankly I don't know whether this is  
30.      the right approach or not and I'm speaking as honestly as  
31.      I can but I'm willing to try it. And I think all of us  
32.      ought to be able to try to go that extra step to remember  
33.      if it helps at all the example of Causis Marcius

1. and avoid his tragedy maybe that will not befall us.  
2. But in these times of great stress at all levels of  
3. government I think we owe it to ourselves to make every  
4. attempt to demonstrate publicly to the people we serve  
5. that we serve them not special interest not even our  
6. own pride but we serve the people we represent. We  
7. serve our State and our Country. To the end of  
8. this bill may help demonstrate that I support it with  
9. profound misgivings but I'm giving it my vote and  
10. I hope you will too.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

12. Senator Sours.

13. SENATOR SOURS:

14. Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I  
15. had in mind in view of that most recent perorative oblation  
16. to suggest to Senator Wooten or make another question perhaps  
17. whether he thought, and may I ask that question, Senator?  
18. Would you yield to a question? If this bill becomes a law,  
19. will it make you honest or will it make you more honest,  
20. of most honest?

21. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

22. Senator Wooten.

23. SENATOR WOOTEN:

24. I do not think any ethics bill can make a dishonest man  
25. honest or an honest man more honest. It does not serve that  
26. function, at least as I see it.

27. SENATOR SOURS:

28. Do you...do you believe this bill will make Michael J.  
29. Howlett who was my democratic preference for Governor two  
30. years ago when he ran against a former President of the  
31. Senate, do you think it will make him any more honest or  
32. most honest.

33. SENATOR WOOTEN:

1. Same answer.

2. SENATOR SOURS:

3. Allan Dixon.

4. SENATOR WOOTEN:

5. You can go right down the list. Same answer. I don't  
6. believe these bills address that problem.

7. SENATOR SOURS:

8. Then you are suggesting that we bow down to the tyranny  
9. of private opinion or public opinion. Is that true?

10. SENATOR WOOTEN:

11. I would not put it in those terms, Senator. I think  
12. that we take due regard of the...of the prestige of our office  
13. and do what we can to uphold it and bolster it.

14. SENATOR SOURS:

15. Well you go in the catalog as a man and so do I. Now  
16. why can't we be men instead of mice?

17. SENATOR WOOTEN:

18. I cannot answer that question very directly because  
19. partly, I must respond from instinct as well as from what  
20. I...my mind can give me. It only seems to me that given  
21. the present state of affairs in this country that it behooves  
22. us to make some kind of overt demonstration to the people who  
23. support us that we are willing to as Caus Marcius, stand  
24. naked before them.

25. SENATOR SOURS:

26. Well, you know it's so interesting to me to see the  
27. great...yes and thank you for answering the questions, it's  
28. so interesting to me to see such a great thrust on the morality  
29. of public officials and yet I'm sure, some of those who were  
30. mouthing these platitudes today are able, ready and willing to  
31. degrade you and me and to debase man instead of exalting  
32. man with a lottery bill. Isn't that a rare anachronism though?  
33. If anything will debase and degrade and denigrate instead of



1. exalting, your constituents and mine it's a gambling bill.  
2. And that's what offends me so much. If I have one virtue  
3. at ann it's...it's candor. And, I don't like anybody pulling  
4. the wool over my eyes whether it be...by omission or commission.  
5. And here we're about to go to the wailing well on Easter Sunday  
6. on...in Marshall-Fields bay window and disclose everything,  
7. for what? For nose people. We have too many of them.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

9. Senator Netsch.

10. SENATOR NETSCH:

11. Mr. President and colleagues, I find it curious that most  
12. of the debate on this bill certainly that coming from the  
13. negative side, seems to turn on who wants it rather than  
14. the merits of the bill. Because it does center on who wants  
15. it, I'll direct my comments primarily to that rather than to  
16. the merits. I think we have had other opportunities to dis-  
17. cuss the need for disclosure both of campaign finances and  
18. of personal assets and liabilities before and no one seems  
19. terribly interested in debating to what extent or how we  
20. should go about that. It is simply who wants it. Senator  
21. Soper for example said that the Governor has not disclosed  
22. his campaign contributions and therefore, Senator Soper does  
23. not see why he should vote for a bill the Governor wants  
24. when the Governor has not done what the bill would require in  
25. the future. So, let me try this, Senator Soper, I also want  
26. this bill. I have disclosed my campaign contributions and  
27. expenditures. I did it when I ran for this office and it was  
28. ...it was not a pleasant thing to do because as you have so  
29. often pointed out to this Body, I spent an awful lot of money  
30. to get elected to it, but every dime of contribution and every  
31. dime of expenditure that was involved in that campaign was  
32. published. That much I am proud of. I want the bill. If you  
33. won't vote for it for the Governor, will you vote for it for me?

1. The second thing and this I think was in Senator Mohr's comments  
2. and has been said by others, do the people want this? How  
3. many letters have you gotten from your constituents asking for  
4. campaign disclosure legislation? And, I think, it is probably  
5. true that many of us have not been besieged with mail on this  
6. issue. But, I would suggest to any of you who have spent  
7. any time in your communities talking to people, you will find  
8. that there is a very great interest in this and a very great  
9. desire for it. So, I don't for one minute think that the  
10. people do not want this kind of legislation. But, you know  
11. Senator Mohr, even if they did not, if not a single constituent  
12. in my district begged me to support and vote for campaign disclosure  
13. legislation, I would do it anyway, because I think it's right.  
14. And it seems to me that that is the kind of judgment the people  
15. in my district elected me to make. Finally, I would remind the  
16. leadership on both sides and many of the other members of this  
17. Body that when I attempted to bring a campaign disclosure bill to  
18. a vote last Spring and was rather unceremoniously denied that  
19. opportunity, in fact, even denied the right to speak on it. I was  
20. told and every member of this Body was told and all of the people  
21. in this State were told, it will come. We're...we're getting  
22. ready for it. Just wait a moment. Give us a little bit more  
23. time to work on it. That time is now. For 20 years, I've been  
24. hearing the argument give us a little bit more time to work on this  
25. kind of legislation. Gentlemen, that time is here. Right  
26. now.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

28. Senator Walker.

29. SENATOR WALKER:

30. I think you, Mr. President....

31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

32. For what purpose Senator Soper arise?

33. SENATOR SOPER:

1. My name was mentioned and I thought that I...I'd like to  
2. say a few words and...long as my name was mentioned. Now,  
3. Senator Netsch, you say you revealed your campaign contributions.  
4. That's very commendable. But, I didn't see them. Could you  
5. tell me what your contributions were and what you spent? Maybe  
6. I'll change my mind about this bill.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

8. Senator Netsch.

9. SENATOR NETSCH:

10. I'm perfectly willing to engage in this diversionary  
11. tactic. They...they were published incidently before and after  
12. the primary. They were published before and after the general  
13. election. The total expenditure for a joint campaign that is  
14. for two people running a campaign, was about \$75,000. We did  
15. not raise that much. We are still swallowing some debts and  
16. trying to find a way to pay it off, But I repeat, Senator Soper,  
17. every dime of that contribution and every dime of the expendi-  
18. ture was published, four separate times.

19. SENATOR SOPER:

20. Now, that's very fine. I'm very happy you did it. But,  
21. now, you support this bill and I...you know...it makes me  
22. feel that as long as you did this and you support this bill,  
23. maybe I should support the bill too, but the chief sponsor,  
24. the chief proponent of this bill is the Governor of the  
25. State of Illinois. Now, if he did what you did, I'd be happy  
26. to support this bill. Cause, I don't think he ought to come  
27. in there and say, well, now, hereafter nobody can...nobody can  
28. use a whip on his horse, but last week when I killed my horse,  
29. it was all right.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

31. Senator Walker.

32. SENATOR WALKER:

33. ...Senator Donnewald, I have particular objection to

1. Article 3 here, Statement of Economic Interest: As I recall it,  
2. we file one of those now tell what we... (machine trouble)...  
3. but now in addition to that, they want a current net worth:  
4. statement here, disclosing all assets and liabilities, each  
5. source of income...

6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

7. Senator Walker, why don't you take Senator Moore's mike.

8. SENATOR WALKER:

9. They want each source of income, the total amount received  
10. from that source, the nature of the transactions. Well, the  
11. IRS has that information. The State of Illinois has that in-  
12. formation. And, I don't particularly care to divulge what my  
13. income is and I don't particularly care for this current net  
14. worth statement. I don't mind my wife knowing what I have. I  
15. do rathole a double sawbuck once in awhile but she fairly con-  
16. versant with the Walker holdings. And, I know a few fellows on  
17. the Floor of this House and quite a few of you including the  
18. leader on the other side Cec Partee. No one ever gave Cec  
19. anything and no one ever gave yours truly anything. What  
20. small amounts that I have accumulated have come from working  
21. for the past 50 years. You know how often we get hit back  
22. in the district and outside the district for contributions.  
23. And, maybe if you're getting by with a fin or a sawbuck now  
24. it'll be a C or a half C if you know what I mean. I don't  
25. think it's anyone's business what any of our net assets are.  
26. These snoopers go down and they get into these files, wherever  
27. they are. They sign a little pink slip as I recall it and we  
28. get it back. And, I pick up a downstate paper, so-and-so's  
29. on the board of directors of this, owns so many shares of  
30. stock. What the hell, I don't think that's anyone's business  
31. I don't think it's right that these papers can go down there.  
32. They have no reason. I don't know what they're looking for.  
33. They've been in my file. I hope they find something. It'll

1. keep them off of someone else's back. I just can't, Senator  
2. Donnewald, I can't buy this current net worth statement. I  
3. don't think it's anyone's business. I'm being repetitious.  
4. I can't support this piece of legislation if only for that  
5. reason. Thanks for your time.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

7. Senator Fawell. Senator Fawell.

8. SENATOR FAWELL:

9. I'm...I'm a little bit confused here. The...these last  
10. 3 issues, RTA, Land Trust and Ethics haven't had what I would  
11. call a landslide proportions of support on the opposite side  
12. of the aisle or over here either I guess. But, we're in the  
13. question here of ethics at this time and I've got some questions  
14. about this bill that I think haven't been answered and I think  
15. we ought to look at it very closely. The...the questions that  
16. have been put here such as who wants it and so forth I would  
17. agree, are not the pertinent issues. I can't answer the  
18. question though. It appears to me as though the independent  
19. Democrats on that side of the aisle are the ones who want it.  
20. Wooten, Netsch and Buzbee have spoken out forcefully on this  
21. issue, and Senator Donnewald, of course. I haven't noticed  
22. that there's a ground swell elsewhere on either side of the  
23. aisle necessarily. It's been also said that this transcends  
24. party lines. I will suggest that it doesn't transcend even  
25. the Reference Bureau. I don't think it's going to pass and  
26. I don't think anybody here thinks it's going to pass. And,  
27. it might even be said that it's all part of the games that we  
28. have been playing, because it just ain't got any chance at all.  
29. But, here, I'm still very ambivalent about it. I don't mean  
30. to be a purist on the subject. I have pointed out on several  
31. occasions to those who surround you, Senator Donnewald and  
32. their legal talents, that there are some legal imperfections  
33. here which are truly great, but what we all want to do is

1. just surprise you and pass it, and then see what you do with  
2. that ole hot ball. But, there are two questions which I  
3. would like to put to you and that is, if...if you would  
4. first of all look...and I...I wonder really of all of us  
5. here have such little amount of time, how many of us can  
6. really say we've read the legislation? How many in the press  
7. can really say they've read it and understand what in the  
8. heck we are doing? But, as you...as you look for instance,  
9. on page 10 where it refers to candidates shall file immediately  
10. prior to their filing of petitions for nomination or prior  
11. to certification if nominated without a petition talking  
12. about the Statement of Economic Interest. It makes it very  
13. clear that...it seems to me, that this is a condition pre-  
14. cedent for any type of a petition of nomination and if you  
15. haven't done this and if you haven't done it correctly and  
16. the chances are infinite of not doing it correctly, you're  
17. going to have a void nomination petition, you're going to have  
18. the potential of a myriad of objections in regard to elections.  
19. And, I think this is very important. I think it can be cured  
20. rather easily by making it perfectly clear that nothing herein  
21. shall be construed as to be a condition precedent to a proper  
22. petition for nomination, nor shall the failure to file any  
23. Statement of Economic Interest be construed to affect the  
24. legality of the election. I think this is very important,  
25. because unless that is cleared up, you're going to have  
26. just an endless number of objections. Secondly, I would  
27. refer you to page 13 and then also page 17, where it is  
28. stated that any person who files and I'm referring here to  
29. page 13, who files a false or incomplete statement of organi-  
30. zation shall be guilty of a crime. It also states on page  
31. 17, any person who files a false or incomplete statement of  
32. political financing or statement of political contributions  
33. shall be guilty of a crime. Now, in each instance are the

1. words, just the fact that it is incomplete, just the fact that  
2. you didn't follow ...and...and the rules here remember are very  
3. minute. In...in all sincerity it's going to be very easy for  
4. someone to make a mistake in this regard and without the  
5. necessity of even intending to violate any law you're guilty  
6. of a crime, which means that while people are running for  
7. political office, you're going to be engaging in cross accu-  
8. sations criminal cross complaints by challenger and the in-  
9. cumbent and vice versa. I think that this can be very easily  
10. rectified by making it clear with use of the word, willful and  
11. intentional. I brought this up to Congressman Mikva and I'm  
12. convinced in my own mind as he said well he felt that intent  
13. is implied in these types of a crime. I respectfully suggest  
14. that that is not so, especially in other parts of the bill  
15. you make it clear that a willful violation is necessary. To  
16. depart from that insofar as the Statement of Economic Interest  
17. is concerned you say that it must be a willful violation but  
18. then insofar as these others are concerned you do not say that.  
19. It's just the fact that it...just filing something that is  
20. incomplete and this is why I said at the committee hearing,  
21. as an attorney I wouldn't advise anybody to serve on a political  
22. committee and certainly not as a treasurer because all you have  
23. to do is just file something that is incomplete and you're  
24. guilty of a crime and cannot serve in political office for  
25. 2 years. Now, I tell you what I'm going to do. I'm going to  
26. vote for this bill. I'm going to do so on the assumption that  
27. nobody could seriously carry this over in the House without  
28. making these types of changes. But, all in all, I think  
29. if you were to make these types of changes and also some other  
30. policing on this bill that it's something tough and it can hurt  
31. but I think that it is something whose time has come. And, it  
32. is something we have to address ourselves to. But, I want  
33. to see more enthusiasm for this subject than I've seen. And,

1. I don't think that it's...that it's quite there. And, I think,  
2. that perhaps I might suggest the same thing about land trusts  
3. and I might suggest the same thing about RTA and I might suggest  
4. that maybe we're all just wasting our time down here and I might  
5. suggest further that maybe this is why the people one of these  
6. days are going to blow both political parties out of the water  
7. and just say that it isn't worth it, that neither political  
8. party is ejected... or set a plague on both our Houses, that  
9. we're not impressing anybody, not even ourselves anymore. But,  
10. we're not kidding anybody and I think it's time that we really  
11. got serious about this. We really mean this then? All right  
12. let's get behind it. We're just wasting, which I think we are  
13. doing, because this...I say isn't going to pass, then I think  
14. we ought admit that too and simply go home. Perhaps that  
15. motion for a...adjournment sine die is the most appropriate  
16. motion right here. At least we can save what is it \$7,500  
17. per day, which is something.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

19. Senator Donnewald you...(machine trouble)

20. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

21. ...may I respond?

22. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

23. Certainly.

24. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

25. I think that Senator Fawell's point on the latter two is  
26. absolutely correct and very frankly I would urge that it would  
27. be amended into the form that you suggested. I also suggest  
28. that in the first point on page 10 of the bill, Section 307,  
29. I have some...I have some thoughts about that that I think  
30. probably are right, but I would certainly be amenable to any  
31. amendment that would put the bill in...in a better shape. I'm  
32. certainly willing to do that.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):



1. Senator Howard Mohr.

2. SENATOR MOHR:

3. Yes, Mr. President, I hadn't intended to talk on this  
4. until Senator Netsch mentioned my name. Senator, ...I did  
5. state before the Committee of the Whole in talking to Mr.  
6. Mikva that I only received two letters. That is true. And  
7. the press picked that up and just to show you the power of  
8. the press, they said that Senator Mohr didn't receive any  
9. mail. And, I didn't have to put on any more help to take care  
10. of the mail that I've received on this subject, because I  
11. received two letters, two more, so I have a total of four,  
12. one from Wilmette, one from Chicago which is not my district,  
13. but I'm going to advise those people to contact their Senators  
14. so they know how they feel. Now, I think after being in public  
15. life for about eleven years I'd like to think that maybe the  
16. people of my area know me. I'm going to vote for some ethics  
17. legislation. I'm not going to vote for this. But, what I'm  
18. really...I find this quite amusing in the...the fellow down  
19. ...or gentleman down on the 2nd floor who wears the white  
20. hat that's getting smudged up a little bit...presents this  
21. legislation. The man that all through the debates with  
22. Governor Ogilvie in his campaign was all for disclosure and  
23. he was going to tell everybody how much he received in contri-  
24. butions and that hasn't been done as yet. I say I find this  
25. amusing because as a member of the Executive Committee we have  
26. had several people appear before us that were...in fact most  
27. people that appeared before us were part of the Walker campaign  
28. staff. Two in particular that I'd like to point out to you.  
29. One a fellow by name of Angelos whose name is familiar to every-  
30. one, who admittedly gave some \$50,000 to the Governor for his  
31. campaign. Another by the name of Ira Kaufman who was slated to  
32. be Chairman of the tollway. And...just maybe you don't know  
33. Senator Netsch and maybe the others don't know, but I think

1. this is something that I should probably mention. Being a  
2. member of the Executive Committee, Mr. Kaufman, who we think  
3. gave about \$50,000 also it hasn't been established to that  
4. amount, but he didn't say no and he didn't say yes. He said  
5. he'd like the Governor to divulge this information if he saw  
6. fit. But, here's a man, Mr. Kaufman, that gave considerable  
7. amount of money to the Governor for his campaign without any  
8. note, no note. He just gave him this money because he'd...  
9. well, he admitted that he had met him once or twice before.  
10. And, at that time I asked Mr. Kaufman if he'd do the same  
11. thing for the Senator of his district who happens to be  
12. Senator Berning, when he runs. If he'd give him \$25,000 or  
13. \$50,000 on...you know just on...because he was a good guy.  
14. But, we talk about ethics. When a man like Mr. Kaufman gave  
15. the Governor a very sizable contribution was slated to be  
16. director of the Tollway or the...Chief Executive Officer of  
17. the Tollway, he was given that job. But, before he came up  
18. for confirmation, there was a move by people on your side of  
19. the aisle to have the Republicans kill this nomination in  
20. committee. Now, for somebody on the Governor's staff to come  
21. ...come in and make a request of the Republicans to take care  
22. of this nomination for a man that contributed so much in  
23. dollars, I don't think that's very ethical. And, to have a  
24. man who is now Director of Finance and who was the Governor's  
25. Campaign Director, camp...in charge of campaign contributions  
26. come before our committee and say that he didn't know how  
27. much Mr. Angelos gave but he was Chairman of the Campaign  
28. Committee and chairman of all the funds that came into his  
29. office. He didn't know how much that contribution was. I  
30. find this, well I shouldn't say amusing, I find it sickening  
31. very frankly. So, Senator Netsch, we want to talk about ethics,  
32. I said before that committee also that they should start at the  
33. front door of this building and work its way up to the second

1. floor, up to the third floor and so on. I'm going to support  
2. some legislation, but certainly not this. And, in closing I  
3. would say that...you know there's two pieces of legislation  
4. I'd like to see laid on the Governor's desk. This would be  
5. one and the other would be the RTA, then we'd see what he'd  
6. do. Thank you.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

8. Senator Chew.

9. SENATOR CHEW:

10. Yeah, Mr. President, I hadn't planned to speak on this but  
11. I heard so much today and I want to make my position clear. I  
12. was in this Body when we passed the Ethics Bill and it was to be  
13. administered and the Secretary of State was to leave open every  
14. ounce of information you gave to not only the general public  
15. but the news media as well. Since that bill has become law,  
16. each week I get a little pink slip from the Secretary of  
17. State's office indicating to me that some reporter has requested  
18. to see my ethics statement. And, of course, this is after he  
19. has examined it. I'm merely notified. Now the federal income  
20. tax form that's filed does reveal your income, and if it doesn't  
21. they have competent people throughout this Government in the  
22. various capacities to ascertain whether it is right or wrong.  
23. The same thing applies to the state income tax form as to your  
24. earnings. Now, I perfectly believe in disclosure of campaign  
25. contributions. And, I perfectly believe in disclosure of  
26. campaign expenditures. I am totally against, am totally against  
27. revealing the names of persons that contribute to your campaign.  
28. It can be embarrassing to them or it could prohibit contributions  
29. that are legal and yet do not want to be revealed, contributors  
30. that are legal. Therefore, I noticed during the Governor's  
31. campaign that he was for motherhood, disclosure, disclosure,  
32. disclosure, disclosure, disclosure. I noticed he was totally  
33. against patronage, patronage, patronage, patronage. I noticed

1. up to this date the Governor has failed to produce upon the  
2. request of the Attorney General, the request of the many  
3. newspapers, a list of campaign contributions, not necessarily  
4. contributors. I notice that every opening that comes around  
5. he conveniently fills it with a politician, or a want to be  
6. politician. To me, that may sound like patronage. I don't  
7. know. But, I believe that we as elected officials ought to  
8. show a degree of honesty that satisfies our constituents. You  
9. see, the nicest thing about being elected is, if you have not  
10. given the kind of stewardship to those that elect you, they  
11. have an opportunity to defeat you. And, all this bill does,  
12. in effect is to implement by legislation an executive order  
13. that was issued by the Governor in my opinion to save face.  
14. And, I don't kowtow to anybody. I think that I am my own  
15. man. And, if the Governor wants me to tell the world every-  
16. thing that I have and my wife and my children and possibly  
17. the next amendment would be my mother, then I ought to know  
18. how much he has. You know, so we can compare notes. I may  
19. have a little more than the Governor, but I don't know that.  
20. So, until he puts that on my desk then I'm going to be re-  
21. luctant to put it on his. Now, I recall the other ethics  
22. bill, they wanted your wife to tell how many pantyhose she  
23. owned, and your son to tell what his school books cost and  
24. it was really a lot of baloney. Now, the newspapers have  
25. taken off on this issue. Disclosure, disclosure, disclosure.  
26. The same people that write these articles do not disclose  
27. anything to anybody, anywhere. Their editorials in the  
28. various newspapers, I don't know how much the editor of the  
29. Tribune makes. He's serving the public just as I am. He's  
30. attempting to get out news and I assume he thinks I'm attempting  
31. to make it. I don't know how much you make, Mr. President, and  
32. care less. I'm fifty-one years old. I've been working since  
33. I was eleven. And, most times I've had two incomes and my

1. income-tax portfolio will so indicate. Now, if I elect to buy  
2. my wife a Cadillac, I shouldn't have to reveal to you what it costs me.  
3. That's my business. So, it's just a lotta headline grabbing  
4. that we're dealing with here today and I would say that those  
5. people that are preaching for it, if they would carefully ex-  
6. amine these bills, they would have to agree with the statements  
7. that I'm making. I'm for some kind of ethics. I...I want to  
8. be honest in office. I want to reveal the things that are not  
9. damaging to people. And, if one places its confidence in me,  
10. the only thing I have for him to rely on is the confidence  
11. that he does place in me. So, when the good Governor, if he  
12. is the one who wants the bill, or anyone else, wants to come  
13. here and lay his wood on my table then I'm going to go and put  
14. my wood on his table, or her table, or those tables. But, until  
15. that time this ethics of so-called ethics or so-called dis-  
16. closure bill is going to last about as long as a snowball does  
17. in hell. And, that isn't long, Mr. President. And, you know,  
18. I'm getting pretty sick and tired of somebody wants this and  
19. somebody wants that and some other person over here wants the  
20. other. I am not going to do what you tell me. Dammit, I'm  
21. going to do like you do. You understand? So, when you tell  
22. me to do something, tell me you've already done it. Because  
23. in this instance, it's leading from behind. You cannot go  
24. back where you have not been. You can't lead from behind.  
25. And that's what the bill is trying to do, lead from behind.  
26. I don't know Angelo or Engelo or anyother...other los. Not  
27. concerned about them. If he wants to give the Governor 50  
28. thousand or a hundred and fifty thousand that's his business.  
29. I don't care. And, if the Governor doesn't want to reveal it  
30. that's his problem. I don't care about that. But, don't  
31. tell me to do it if he gives me \$150. You know, I'm on per-  
32. fect grounds. I told you that about the parking facilities  
33. out here in this building, that if the Governor had a parking

1. space, I was entitled to one. Hell, neighter one of us owned  
2. the land. Fortunately, I got one through the efforts of the  
3. good leadership, but it's not until I raised hell on this  
4. Floor, cause, when we...first came down here we were hanging  
5. our cars in the air. So, now my good friend brother Governor  
6. or whoever else wants it, goodness begets goodness. And, when  
7. you come here to my desk or I go down to yours and we talk  
8. about what you have done and what I have done and we reach  
9. a conclusion that both of us are right, then you've got the  
10. greatest supporter that you need for ethics. Until that time  
11. you can take your Ethics Bill and uh...

12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

13. Senator Graham.

14. SENATOR GRAHAM:

15. I only want to say, very briefly Senator Donnewald, that's  
16. a hell of an act to follow, I listened to the testimony given  
17. before the Committee of the Whole and I was sort of amazed  
18. by some of the parade of characters. I thought maybe some-  
19. times they might be referred to as prostitutes in disguise  
20. I wasn't real sure. I was a little amazed about the old man  
21. that when he got a little older, he got a little more truthful.  
22. I draw an analogy from that that when you're young you might  
23. be a little more careless with the truth. All this brings me  
24. down to a little conversation I had one time with a former  
25. great Republican leader with the U.S. House of Representatives, Charlie  
26. Halleck. We had a young Congressman from Illinois that graduated  
27. from this Chamber and he went to Washington to reform the entire  
28. national scene, as he had tried to do here. And, I don't know  
29. if his ex...if he could have taken the x-rays that would have  
30. allowed him to don the white cloak of puritan Christianity. I'm  
31. not sure that he could. And, he raised a lot of cane around  
32. Washington and finally, Charlie Halleck came in..called him  
33. in and said young man, we gave you some positions of responsibility.

1. He said, I just want to remind you that down in Indiana, where  
2. I came from, we invite ~~we~~ invite all of those questionable  
3. characters to come to church on Sunday, but by God we don't  
4. let them start singing in the choir the first week. And, I'm  
5. afraid that's where we are on this.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

7. Senator Glass.

8. SENATOR GLASS:

9. Mr. President, I have very little to say other than the  
10. fact that this...this bill was the subject of a lengthy Committee  
11. of the Whole meeting in which it was discussed thoroughly. I  
12. think it has some serious technical problems. I think it is  
13. poorly drafted and it's an example of overkill. In particular,  
14. I think it would discourage some legitimate political activities  
15. such as the giving of cocktail parties, coffees and other fund  
16. raising events. I would discourage the local, regular Democratic  
17. and Republican organizations from fulfilling their normal responsi-  
18. bilities and activities in...during campaigns, among some of  
19. the other defects. I think they have been discussed now in  
20. great length. I only would urge the membership to defeat these  
21. bills. We have, I think, a far superior package of bills coming  
22. up following these and in particular, Senator Roe's. I think  
23. we could do far better in drafting a Campaign Contribution Bill  
24. which I seriously believe we need. Would urge the defeat of these  
25. bills.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

27. Senator Howard Mohr.

28. SENATOR HOWARD MOHR:

29. Yes, Mr. President, I neglected to say in my closing remarks  
30. before that the gentlemen that was named Chairman of the Toll-  
31. way Commission that the Governor accepted the campaign contribu-  
32. tion from and then wanted this man knocked off in committee ...  
33. lasted about ... oh maybe six weeks on the job. He is no longer

1. Chairman of the Tollway. I just wanted that into the records  
2. Sir. He was confirmed and then something happened. He's no  
3. longer employed at the Tollway.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

5. Is there any further discussion? Senator Donnewald may  
6. close the debate.

7. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

8. Yes, Mr. President, Members of the Senate, I know that the  
9. hour is late, but I would want to make a couple of closing re-  
10. marks. As to Senator Fawell's remarks, I am in wholehearted  
11. agreement with what he has said and I agree that in the event  
12. that the bill does remove to the...the other House why it will  
13. be amended accordingly. I can give you my word on that. As to  
14. the remarks of Senator Soper and Senator Walker, I wish that  
15. they would get together because one wants one thing, the other  
16. wants the other. That refers you to page 8 of the Ethics Bill and  
17. you can read that and determine what I mean. And then you can  
18. also go to page 15 of that same bill. One wants the liabilities  
19. disclosed that's already in the bill, the other one wants it  
20. out. Now, that takes care of that. Now, ladies and gentlemen,  
21. we've gone on and on and on. We're at a point in our history  
22. in our history in Illinois and the nation on both sides of the  
23. political aisle we've got to address ourselves to this problem. We  
24. absolutely have to pass meaningful legislation concerning ethics  
25. of elected public officials. I therefore would ask that I  
26. receive a favorable roll call.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

28. The question is, Senator Chew.

29. SENATOR CHEW:

30. ...could I ask the sponsor, would he have all these bills  
31. called on one roll call and let's go ahead and get through  
32. with it?

33.



1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

2. Takes an individual roll call, Senator Chew. The question  
3. is, shall SB 1 pass and upon that question, the Secretary will  
4. call the roll.

5. SECRETARY:

6. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,  
7. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,  
8. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth  
9. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,  
10. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard  
11. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,  
12. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,  
13. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,  
14. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,  
15. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

17. Senator Keegan, aye. Donnewald, aye. Senator Donnewald.

18. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

19. Well, Mr. President, I'm not going to postpone considera-  
20. tion on this measure. I will in subsequent bills accept cer-  
21. tain amendments that may produce some meaningful ethics legis-  
22. lation in the Class 3.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

24. On that question, the ayes are 14, the nays are 9 and 8  
25. present. SB 1 having failed to receive to receive the con-  
26. stitutional majority is declared lost. SB 3. Take it out of  
27. the record. ...other business to bring before the First  
28. Special Session? Senator Nimrod.

29. SENATOR NIMROD:

30. Mr. President, can...I would to ask that SB 13 be called.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

32. You wish to recall it to the order of 2nd reading?

33. SENATOR NIMROD:

1. No, no.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

3. You've already amended it then? SB 13. ...Harris.

4. SENATOR HARRIS:

5. Mr. President, I think it's perfectly clear and I just...

6. I want the Senate to hear my remarks. I think it's perfectly

7. clear that we are going to be required to work Saturday of

8. this week. Now, it's my absolute intention to finish up these

9. Special Sessions and this reconvened Regular Session, this week.

10. Now, we have legislative time remaining to take responsible

11. action if there is a majority in this Body to do so, on

12. campaign disclosure legislation tomorrow and get those messages

13. to the House tomorrow so that we're within our legislative

14. limitations this week. I've consulted with a good many of

15. you, with the leadership on both sides. We are worn out. I

16. therefore move, and I will withhold action on the motion until

17. we complete the housekeeping that needs to be done for the day,

18. that this Session adjourn until 9:30 tomorrow morning. Now,

19. we still have yet to do today a larger...a rather large call

20. in the Executive Committee of nominees. And, so that those of

21. you will not begin to order food to be brought in I make this

22. announcement this way. We have some housekeeping to do in

23. cleaning up our adjournment motions I believe for some of the

24. Sessions, and, we do have a message from the House in this

25. Session. But, at the completion of that housekeeping action

26. I would then suggest that we adjourn this Session until 9:30

27. tomorrow morning.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

29. Messages from the House.

30. SECRETARY:

31. A message from the House by Mr. Selke, Clerk.

32. (Secretary reads message from the House)

33. 1st reading.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

2. 1st reading of the bill. Two 1st readings, excuse me.

3. Senator Harris moves that the First Special Session be adjourned

4. until 9:30 a. m. tomorrow morning. All in favor, signify by

5. saying aye. Opposed, nay. The motion carried.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.