

REGULAR SESSION
of the 78th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
October 23, 1973

1. PRESIDENT:

2. The Senate will come to order. Pursuant to
3. our adjournment motion of yesterday the Regular
4. Session of the 78th General Assembly. Reading
5. of the Journal. Senator Soper.

6. SENATOR SOPER:

7. Mr. President, I move that we postpone the
8. reading of the Journal of October 22nd...pending
9. the arrival of the printed Journal.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Senator Soper moves that we postpone reading
12. of the Journal pending the arrival of the printed
13. Journal for Monday October 22nd. All in favor
14. signify by saying aye. Contrary no. The motion
15. carries. On the order of total vetoes...Messages
16. from the House we should perhaps dispose of those first.
17. Messages from the House.

18. SECRETARY:

19. Message from the House by Mr. Selcke, Clerk.

20. (Secretary reads Message from the House)

21. PRESIDENT:

22. House Bills 18, 122, 311, 412, 1436, 1471 and
23. 1491 in which the House has concurred in the specific
24. recommendations of the Governor are ordered to be
25. placed on the Calendar on the order of concurrence
26. in House action on amendatory vetoes, So ordered.
27. Senator Netsch.

28. SENATOR NETSCH:

29. Thank you, Mr. President. I wonder if I might
30. appropriately move at this time to take SB 608 from
31. the Table and place it on the Calendar. This is
32. a bill...

33.

1. I think the...I think the motion would be
2. to take from the Table and recommit to the Executive
3. Committee.
4. SENATOR NETSCH:
5. ...Commit to the Executive Committee.
6. PRESIDENT:
7. Yes.
8. SENATOR NETSCH:
9. ...This was left off by mistake.
10. PRESIDENT:
11. It...it was an error.
12. SENATOR NETSCH:
13. ...and the subcommittee is already in existence...
14. PRESIDENT:
15. Yes.
16. SENATOR NETSCH:
17. ...and we have already discussed this.
18. PRESIDENT:
19. Okay. I...the motion is in order. On the motion
20. Senator Ozinga is recognized.
21. SENATOR OZINGA:
22. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, this bill
23. was inadvertently omitted or not omitted but...Tabled
24. at the time of hearing by mistake. There was another
25. bill that was handled by Senator Hall which was assigned
26. by myself to a subcommittee and this bill if, with your
27. approval, is recommitted to the Executive Committee would
28. be assigned to the subcommittee of Senators Graham, Merritt
29. and Welsh.
30. PRESIDENT:
31. The motion is to take from the Table SB 608 and
32. recommit to the Executive Committee. All in favor signify
33. by saying aye. Contrary no. The motion carries and

1. Senator Ozinga...as Chairman of Executive has ordered
2. that bill to the same subcommittee as is hearing
3. Senator Hall's bill. What is that number Senator Hall?
4. ...SB 975. So, these two bills then will be in the
5. same subcommittee of Senators Graham, Merritt and Welsh.
6. On the order of amendatory vetoes, SB 276, Senator Howard
7. Mohr. Senator Mohr.

8. SENATOR MOHR:

9. Yes, Mr. President, Members of the Senate, you know
10. the Governor did issue an amendatory veto to SB 276 and
11. I would hopefully attempt to override the veto. Much
12. has been said about this particular bill. It allows
13. for the appointment and removal of a Chief of Police and
14. a Fire Chief by the Mayor of a municipality with the
15. advice or consent of a council or a village board. Would
16. grant him the same authority that is given...given him
17. in other appointments to department heads. The Governor
18. proposes that this legislation should provide for a
19. public hearing, charges preferred if you will, before a
20. Police and Fire Commission and the Chief of Police or
21. Fire Department brought before the board for a hearing.
22. I submit, Members of the Senate, that this provides for
23. ...this creates many, many problems especially in smaller
24. communities where personalities are involved you have to
25. specify charges and then they have to be aired out publicly.
26. All that we are asking in this bill is to have the same
27. right that the Governor has in his appointments and his
28. removals. I'll give you a couple of examples of removals
29. by the Governor without hearing. One would be Don Adams
30. for example who was Chairman of the Liquor Control Commission.
31. Another, Larry Johnson, who was the Governor's appointment
32. ousted without notice as Chairman of the Liquor Control
33. Commission. Another would be Bob Gibson who was the

1. Governor's appointment as the Chairman of the Capital
2. Development Board ousted without a hearing. And then
3. just recently a man dedicated to many years of service
4. to the State, Al Slicer, Director of Vocational Rehabil-
5. itation. These are just a few examples. So all we're
6. asking for is that...mayor and the council elected by
7. the people of a municipality have the right to make
8. these appointments. Senator Dougherty I know is...had
9. a lot of input into this legislation and probably would
10. have something to say on it. I've laid a memo on your
11. desk...that I received from the Illinois Municipal League
12. who is in strong support of this concept and ask to
13. override the letter from the firm of Ansell, Diamond and
14. Link who are probably foremost attorneys on...of municipal
15. law in the State of Illinois. So all of these people are
16. in support of it. I'll be happy to answer any questions
17. that you have and I would...appreciate your support on
18. the override of this amendatory veto.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Senator Dougherty.

21. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:

22. I might add in support of Senator Mohr's motion that
23. this is the second time this bill's appeared before this
24. Body. In the prior Session this bill was defeated by
25. Governor Ogilvie only for the...the reason only that it
26. was not germane to the original subject of the bill. That
27. is the bill that had been introduced under one measure and
28. then transferred to this area. And he thought it was not
29. germane to the proper subject but even though he was in
30. sympathy with...what he tried to do in the bill. I do
31. believe that the mayor of any municipality has the right
32. to appoint his cabinet and a right to dismiss them. If
33. they have a civil service rights they revert back to the

1. rank they had prior to being appointed a chief. They
2. do not lose anything and I believe this is a good
3. measure. I think that the Governor was ill-informed
4. and I say this without malice. I am going to support
5. the motion to override the veto.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Is there further discussion? The question is,
8. shall SB 276 pass - the specific recommendations of
9. the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. And
10. on that question, the Secretary will call the roll.

11. SECRETARY:

12. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
13. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
14. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
15. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
16. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
17. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
18. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
19. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
20. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
21. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. On that question, the yeas are 47. The nays are 2.
24. SB 276 having received the required three-fifth's vote
25. is declared passed, the specific recommendations of the
26. Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. We will re-
27. vert to the order of total veto. Now it's the intention
28. of the Chair to proceed through these bills on the
29. Calendar in this order in their numerical order. Now
30. the first one that we have received prior notice on insofar
31. as being ready to be called is, I...I haven't checked
32. today with Senator Chew but you have made indications to
33. my office that you do wish to consider SB 417. Now that

1. is the lowest bill number on the order of total vetoes
2. and so we are prepared to proceed with 417 if Senator
3. Chew, you're ready to have the bill called under the
4. provisions of the motion that has been filed. Senator
5. Chew.

6. SENATOR CHEW:

7. Mr. President, that...this bill deals with the
8. Safe Tire Act on automobiles. And, what, the meat of the
9. bill was to allow dealers to transport trucks from a
10. point in the State of Illinois to a point out of the
11. State of Illinois without compelling dealers to comply
12. with our Safe Tire Act. We amended the bill prior to
13. passage to satisfy the opponents of the bill and it was
14. so stated by many of the dealers that most of these kinds
15. of automobiles were actually transported by vehicles
16. with safe tires. The Governor's message in the veto was
17. merely to say that unsafe tires are dangerous everywhere.
18. Well, I agree with the Governor. Unsafe tires are dangerous
19. but if we are...dispose of a vehicle in the City of
20. Chicago and it's going to be transported into the State
21. of Indiana we felt that the selling dealer should not
22. be penalized with having to purchase new tires in order
23. to sell that vehicle where the State of Indiana does
24. not have a reciprocal law as the State of Illinois. And
25. as long as the automobile is going out of the State of
26. Illinois that this Safe Tire Act should not apply to a
27. vehicle that was being transported out of the State. I
28. felt that the veto should not have been and I would certainly
29. ask the Senate to override the Governor's veto on this
30. piece of legislation. I'm prepared to answer any questions
31. if I can from any questioners.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Is there further discussion? The question is,

Oct 23, 1973
SB 501

1. shall SB 417 pass, the veto of the Governor to the
2. contrary notwithstanding. On that question the
3. Secretary will call the roll.

4. SECRETARY:

5. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
6. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
7. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
8. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
9. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
10. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
11. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
12. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
13. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
14. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Call the absentees.

17. SECRETARY:

18. Bruce, Carroll, Clarke, Course, Daley, Donnewald,
19. Dougherty, Fawell, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Hynes,
20. Kosinski, McCarthy, Howard Mohr, Nudelman, Ozinga,
21. Rock, Roe, Saperstein, Savickas, Scholl, Shapiro,
22. Sommer, Weaver, Welsh, Wooten.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. On that question the yeas are 25. The nays are 12.
25. SB 417 having failed to receive the required three fifth's
26. vote is declared lost. The next bill that the Chair has
27. been notified is SB 501. Senator Soper.

28. SENATOR SOPER:

29. Mr. President and Members of the Senate, I think
30. everyone in this Chamber is cognizant and is
31. knowledgeable as to the contents of SB 501. We dis-
32. cussed this bill which allows students who find it
33. impossible to attend medical school in the State of

0 129, 123

1. Illinois and who attend qualified medical schools
 2. in foreign countries and come back to this State,
 3. allow them to intern here, take the medical exam-
 4. ination and if they can pass the medical examination
 5. after that time to become physicians of the State of
 6. Illinois. There was some objection to this bill by
 7. Senator Rock when we first came up to the Senate. I
 8. think those objections were cleared when the Medical
 9. Association worked with myself and my staff to put an
 10. amendment on the bill in the House. It was amended
 11. properly. Now there is no objection by the Medical
 12. Association.and...I think it's about time that this
 13. reverse discrimination was discontinued and we allowed
 14. our students...come from the State of Illinois to
 15. practice in the State after they've been qualified, and they've
 16. passed the proper medical examination which is standard
 17. throughout the United States. They can't become
 18. surgeons or physicians in this State unless they pass
 19. that qualifying examination. I would appreciate your
 20. favorable vote on this override.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Senator Hynes.

23. SENATOR HYNES:

24. Mr. President, I wonder if the sponsor would yield
 25. to a question?

26. PRESIDENT:

27. He indicates that he will. Proceed.

28. SENATOR HYNES:

29. Senator, is...is it my understanding that this
 30. bill will give to Illinois residents who take their
 31. training out of State the same privileges...insofar
 32. as being licensed in the State of Illinois is con-
 33. cerned as nonresidents presently have?

Oct 23, 1972

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Soper.

3. SENATOR SOPER:

4. That's what we're trying to correct this reverse
5. discrimination where a student that goes to a...foreign
6. medical school say in Italy, in...in Guadalajara or
7. in Mexico or anyplace that medical...student that's a
8. national of that country comes to this State and he
9. can qualify and take the licensure examination after
10. certain...certain internships in this State where now
11. a student that comes from the State of Illinois and
12. goes to a foreign medical school can't do the same
13. thing that his roommate could...could do and we want
14. to have this reverse discrimination stopped.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Senator Netsch.

17. SENATOR NETSCH:

18. I have a question for the sponsor also. Senator
19. Soper, some of us are having a little difficulty under-
20. standing what the bill really does now...as it got
21. amended in the House not as it came through the
22. Senate initially. ...Could you explain how this bill
23. as finally passed changes the present circumstances?
24. If it does.

25. SENATOR SOPER:

26. Well...

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Soper.

29. SENATOR SOPER:

30. You know I'm not a surgeon, I'm not a physycian,
31. I'm not a doctor. We sat down with the Medical Association
32. and we asked them that their amendment would do one thing
33. to give the privileges to the students that come from

Oct 29, 1923

1. the State of Illinois the same privileges and from the
2. same...foreign medical school that the foreign student
3. would have coming to the State of Illinois so there
4. wouldn't be reverse discrimination and they told me
5. that this amendment that they put on would do exactly
6. that...that and that any student that would come from
7. a foreign medical school will have to come from a
8. school that's...that's accepted by the Medical Association.
9. And that...that his internship and his examination would
10. be the same that is taken by any student in...that goes to
11. a medical school in the State of Illinois, he's got to
12. take the same examination that's all we want to do. Give
13. them the privilege of interning here and give them the
14. privilege of taking the examination that's necessary and
15. is standard throughout the 48...throughout the 50 states.
16. Now I will say this that this one...one part of the
17. Governor's veto in which he said that...we wouldn't be
18. able to maintain our reciprocal agreements with other
19. States. Now that's...he's got some faulty information
20. because the same examination is given throughout the 50
21. States and the State of Illinois asks a higher qualification
22. or passing grade. The State of Illinois as I understand
23. it asks for a passing grade of 75 on this examination
24. while our sister States, other States throughout the
25. United States asks for only a grade of 70. So we...we
26. couldn't say that our reciprocal agreement would be
27. violated in anyway and where...the examination is standard
28. and where the Medical Association agrees on it and finally
29. we brought down the barriers I think we ought to give our
30. students a chance to be able to become physicians in this State
31. if they...if they have to go to a foreign medical school
32. because we haven't sufficient space for them in this
33. State.

Oct 23, 1973

1. PRESIDENT:
2. Senator Netsch.
3. SENATOR NETSCH:
4. Just to comment on the reason for the question, Senator
5. Soper, the...the quarrel was not with what you were
6. attempting to accomplish when the bill came through
7. the Senate. Many of us thought it was a good idea the...
8. the concern was whether or not the House Amendment didn't
9. really end up defeating the...the original objective of the
10. bill. And thank you for the answer.

11. PRESIDENT:
12. Senator Partee.

13. SENATOR PARTEE:
14. Well, that was about what I was going to say. I think
15. that Senator Soper's original idea was an excellent idea
16. and I supported it. I think however that the Medical
17. Society in its change of the bill by amendment in the
18. House did not do exactly what ought to have been done
19. and it makes it, in my opinion, not as good a bill as
20. it was when it was passed out of the Senate. I'm going
21. to support Senator Soper with this understanding that
22. we will see how this works under the new arrangement
23. and if it does not do what you think should have been
24. done in the original bill then I'll join you next term
25. in getting back to what you wanted to do originally.
26. There has to be it seems to me some protection for these
27. medical students who are unfortunate enough to not be
28. able to get into American schools and who are working
29. in other areas. I'm...I'm fearful that the Medical
30. Society is a little overprotective of themselves and I
31. think it's unfortunate. I'm going to support you now
32. but we're going to watch it very carefully and if we
33. have to change it again we'll do it.

Oct 29, 1973

- 1. PRESIDENT:
- 2. Is there further discussion? Senator Soper.
- 3. SENATOR SOPER:
- 4. Thank you, very much. That's an agreement.
- 5. PRESIDENT:
- 6. Senator Knuppel.
- 7. SENATOR KNUPPEL:
- 8. In the rural downstate areas, the need justifies
- 9. the ends in this case because the two counties where
- 10. I principally practice at one period we had nine new
- 11. doctors come into the area - five of those nine had
- 12. been trained outside of the United States. I think
- 13. the American boys who have been and the Illinois boys
- 14. who have been shut out of the universities should have
- 15. that same opportunity. If the bill doesn't do it, then
- 16. I'm with Senator Partee; we'll come back next time and
- 17. see that it does do it but as long as it does no harm,
- 18. as long as the intent is there, I'm going to vote for it.
- 19. PRESIDENT:
- 20. Is...the question is, shall SB 501 pass the veto
- 21. of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding? On
- 22. that question the Secretary will call the roll.
- 23. SECRETARY:
- 24. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
- 25. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
- 26. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
- 27. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
- 28. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
- 29. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
- 30. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
- 31. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
- 32. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
- 33. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

02423/1973

- 1. PRESIDENT:
- 2. On that question the yeas are 54. The nays are
- 3. none. SB 501 having received the required three-fifth's
- 4. vote is declared passed, the veto of the Governor to the contrary
- 5. notwithstanding. Senator Berning. ...For what purpose
- 6. does Senator Chew arise?
- 7. SENATOR CHEW:
- 8. Point of personal privilege. May I...
- 9. PRESIDENT:
- 10. Proceed.
- 11. SENATOR CHEW:
- 12. May I introduce to the Senate Attorney Sandy
- 13. Williams who is in the gallery here who is a lawyer
- 14. and furthering her studies in constitutional law,
- 15. who is giving a visit here to see how this august
- 16. Body operates. To Senate...Senate will recognize
- 17. her presence. Thank you.
- 18. PRESIDENT:
- 19. SB 534, Senator Berning. Yes. Take it out of
- 20. the record. Senator Romano do you wish to call SB 577?
- 21. Senator Romano.
- 22. SENATOR ROMANO:
- 23. ...Mr. President, Members of the Senate, first I'd
- 24. like to say that the Governor's veto of SB 577 contradicts
- 25. his signing of SB 1889. A bill that allowed pension credit
- 26. for Masters in Chancery which the Illinois Pension Laws Com-
- 27. mission ...which the Illinois Pension Laws Commission
- 28. disapproved but they had...they did approve 577. All...
- 29. all of...all of 577 did was...was clarify a law that was
- 30. passed in 1971 and signed by Governor Ogilvie in law.
- 31. It stated that all credit rendered theretofore by employees
- 32. of the CTA could be credited to State Pensions. I...I
- 33. think he was ill advised on this veto and I would ask the

1. indulgence of the Senators here to override the Governor's
2. veto.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Is there further discussion? The question is,
5. shall SB 577 pass, the veto of the Governor to the
6. contrary notwithstanding. On that motion the
7. Secretary will call the roll.

8. SECRETARY:

9. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
10. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
11. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
12. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
13. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
14. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
15. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
16. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
17. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
18. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Senator Mitchler. Record Senator Mitchler, aye.
21. On that question the yeas are 43. The nays are 3.
22. SB 577 having received the required three-fifths
23. vote is declared passed, the veto of the Governor to
24. the contrary notwithstanding. SB 584, Senator Sours.
25. ...Sen...Senator Sours.

26. SENATOR SOURS:

27. I have filed the proper motion. We have done
28. what this bill does with reference to other govern-
29. mental entities on numerous occasions...that's all
30. I have to say. This...this increases the interest
31. ...the bond interest to 7% and has the Arrington
32. 6% cutoff. I don't know why the Governor vetoed it.
33. He probably might have been misadvised.

1. PRESIDENT:
2. Senator McCarthy.
3. SENATOR MCCARTHY:
4. ...The sponsor yield to a question.
5. PRESIDENT:
6. Indicates that he will. Proceed.
7. SENATOR MCCARTHY:
8. ...Senator, I have the Governor's message on
9. 584. He says that 584 meant the Park District
10. Code to extend the 7% limitation from July 1, 1972
11. to July 1, 1975 with the 6% limitation thereafter.
12. He goes on further to say it is identical to HB 1054
13. which I signed into law as Public Act 78-209, therefore
14. SB 584 is not necessary. Had you read that message?
15. PRESIDENT:
16. Senator Sours.
17. SENATOR SOURS:
18. I may have read it in the past. If I had and
19. remembered it I wouldn't have made that motion. I
20. withdraw the motion. If it's already the law so what.
21. PRESIDENT:
22. You wish to withdraw your motion then, Senator
23. Sours? Yes. Senator Sours. Yes. So ordered.
24. SB 594 then, Senator Sours.
25. SENATOR SOURS:
26. Now...Mr. President, Senators, there have been
27. several civic center bills presented in the last
28. Session and prior Sessions. The Governor's veto
29. in this case I feel is in error, and I say that as
30. kindly as I can. ...He has vetoed this bill because
31. he says it is duplicative legislation. I tried to
32. explain yesterday when Senator Mitchler's bill was
33. up before us that this is not duplicative, that there

1. is a substantial difference. This bill provides for
2. a referendum for the expenditure of the first nickel.
3. The other bill, Representative Day's bill, does not.
4. Now I happen to believe that we have no right to pass
5. legislation that permits a tax burden without a refer-
6. endum. Some years ago I unwittingly passed a bill
7. which at one time had the front door referendum and
8. by the time I got back and not having noticed it that
9. had been stricken. I Tabled the bill then and I feel
10. the same way now. I...I believe in a referendum. I
11. don't believe a board of alderman, I don't believe a
12. county board ought to have the right to dig in the
13. taxpayers pocket without the consent of the people
14. who are going to pay the bill. Now the other bill in
15. question permits the expenditure of up to \$300,000
16. for the preliminary matters inherent in civic center
17. legislation, architects,...land studies, things like
18. that. This bill up now makes that a matter of referendum
19. too. Now that's all this bill does. If you like it
20. I'd appreciate it's support. If you don't like it it
21. doesn't really matter. I think this is the better of
22. the two bills because I believe in the...in the refer-
23. endum principle. I believe in the front door referendum
24. principle. I believe in the referendum principle from
25. the penny one not from the first penny after \$300,000.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Is there further discussion? Senator Soper.

28. SENATOR SOPER:

29. Senator Sours, I...sorry, I wasn't listening too
30. carefully but this only deals with the Peoria Civic
31. Center this bill is. Thank you very much.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Is there further discussion? The question is,

1. shall SB 594 pass the veto of the Governor to the
2. contrary notwithstanding. On that question the
3. Secretary will call the roll.

4. SECRETARY:

5. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
6. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
7. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
8. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
9. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
10. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
11. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
12. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
13. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
14. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Aye. On that question the yeas are 42. The nays
17. are 5. SB 594 having received the required three-fifths
18. vote is declared passed, the veto of the Governor to the
19. contrary notwithstanding. SB 620, Senator Glass.

20. SENATOR GLASS:

21. Thank you, Mr. President and Senators, I have had
22. delivered to your desks a brief statement of the...an
23. explanation of SB 620 and 621. Thank you, Mr. President,
24. which are companion bills. Together these bills pro-
25. vide 7.2 million dollars to fund the cost to public
26. schools of financing Vocational Education programs over
27. and above the ordinary academic program. The Governor
28. has claimed the money is not in his budget but he has
29. in fact given vocal support to education and Vocational
30. Educational and as a matter of fact the State has not
31. increased its support for Vocational Education in
32. elementary and secondary schools for six years. ...So
33. I don't think this type of lip service is very effective

1. and during this same period we have seen our
2. enrollment rise from 128,000 up to 460,000 or
3. almost four times. We simply cannot expect
4. to operate good quality Vocational Education
5. programs without this...without this minimum
6. of financing and I think it's forced our
7. schools with Vocational Education programs to
8. cut back, to try to spread the cost more thinly
9. over the programs that they do have and this
10. simply is not getting the job done. ...This
11. bill would begin to move Illinois toward a
12. reasonable rate of funding...for Vocational
13. Education. We have provided almost 100,000,000
14. dollars increased funding for our elementary and
15. secondary schools this year and I think this is
16. discriminatory against Vocational Education.
17. Many of our young people today don't go to college,
18. should not go to college but they ought to have an
19. equal opportunity to be trained for a trade or
20. vocation. So I think this is a modest but important
21. step for the State to take and earnestly urge your
22. support for the override so I now move, Mr. President,
23. that SB 622 pass the veto of the Governor to the
24. contrary notwithstanding.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Is there further discussion? The question is,
27. shall SB 620 pass the veto of the Governor to the
28. contrary notwithstanding. On that question the
29. Secretary will call the roll.

30. SECRETARY:

31. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
32. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
33. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth

1. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
2. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
3. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
4. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
5. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
6. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
7. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Saperstein, aye. Request to call the
10. absentees. Senator Nudelman, aye. Call the absentees.

11. SECRETARY:

12. Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, Course, Daley, Donnewald,
13. Dougherty, Kenneth Hall, Hynes, Keegan, Knuppel, Kosinski,
14. McCarthy, Howard Mohr, Netsch, Palmer, Partee, Regner,
15. Rock, Savickas, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Wooten.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Ozinga, aye. Senator Chew, aye. On that question
18. the yeas are 36. The nays are 3. SB 620 having re-
19. ceived the required three-fifths vote is declared passed
20. the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.
21. ...SB 621...Glass.

22. SENATOR GLASS:

23. Thank you, Mr. President, I would ask leave for the
24. same roll call. ...Seriously, it is a companion bill
25. to...to 620 that was just discussed. I'd be happy to
26. answer any question but this is the bill providing the
27. funding and I would urge your support.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Is there further discussion? The question is,
30. shall SB 621 pass veto of the Governor to the contrary
31. notwithstanding. And on that question the Secretary
32. will call the roll.

33. SECRETARY:

1. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
2. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
3. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
4. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
5. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
6. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
7. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
8. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
9. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
10. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. ...Senator Glass. Call the absentees. ...Fawell,
13. aye.

14. SECRETARY:

15. Buzbee, Carroll, Course, Daley, Donnewald, Dougherty,
16. Kenneth Hall, Hynes, Knuppel, Kosinski, McCarthy, Netsch,
17. Nudelman, Palmer, Partee, Saperstein, Savickas, Swinarski,
18. Vadalabene, Wooten.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. On that question...Senator Glass.

21. SENATOR GLASS:

22. Mr. President, I would move for postponed consideration.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Glass moves to postpone consideration. All
25. in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no. Motion
26. carries. So ordered. SB 800, Senator Regner.

27. SENATOR ERGNER:

28. Yes, Mr. President, Members of the Senate, I'm going to
29. move that the Senate pass SB 800 notwithstanding the veto
30. of the Governor. This bill passed the Senate by a vote of
31. 41 to nothing and it passed the House by a vote of 153 to
32. nothing. And what it does, it cleans an archaic law off
33. the books which requires cities and villages provide voter
34. ...lists of registered voters. These lists are available
35. on precinct poll sheets and through other means and

1. I feel they're of no use at all other than incurring
2. added expenses for our local governments which I don't
3. feel is necessary and I so move the passage of SB 800.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

5. Senator Dougherty.

6. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:

7. I join with Senator Regner in asking you all to over-
8. ride the Governor's veto...this bill for the particular
9. reason that he stated it would...put an undue hardship
10. should someone facetiously demand the City of Evanston,
11. or the City of Blue Island or the Village of Oak Park
12. or Cicero, if you will, to provide a list of registered
13. voters within that when the same function is already
14. performed by the County Clerk and those areas of the
15. Chicago Board of Election Commission. I urge support
16. ...of Senator Regner's proposition.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

18. ...Further discussion? Senator...Senator Bell.

19. SENATOR BELL:

20. ...I might address a question to Senator Regner.
21. Senator Regner would this legislation not cause some
22. problems as far as being able to check voter lists in
23. reference to...ghost voting?

24. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

25. Senator Regner.

26. SENATOR REGNER:

27. ...Senator Bell, these lists are available through
28. the county boards right now and the poll sheets are
29. available and all they do...and this happened in last
30. April in Mt. Prospect, my own village. They had to
31. provide this extra list and they had to hire people
32. and all they did is take the precinct poll sheets
33. that exist and copy them and it cost the village \$3500

1. and when they are requested to do it that's all they
2. do is copy another list.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

4. Senator Kenneth Hall. Sorry, Senator Bell.

5. SENATOR BELL:

6. Yes, I'm just trying to clarify this, so Senator
7. Regner, as I understand it, this is a duplication, an
8. unnecessary duplication.

9. SENATOR REGNER:

10. That's...that's what I said it's just cleaning
11. an archaic law off the books of...things that we
12. already have prepared and I feel it's unnecessary
13. to do it in duplicate.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

15. Senator Kenneth Hall.

16. SENATOR KENNETH HALL:

17. Thank you, Mr. President, would the Senator yield...?
18. Senator, I was off the Floor, I just want to get this
19. straight in my mind. In one of the areas I represent
20. that we have a board of election down there and the...
21. the board is controlled by the chief judge of the
22. county but the city...the City of East St. Louis pays
23. the bill. Now, and they do put out a printed voters
24. list. Do you mean to tell me that this would eliminate
25. this voter's list being printed?

26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

27. Senator Regner.

28. SENATOR REGNER:

29. No, what it would do is eliminate the possibility
30. or...of the village or the cities having to provide or
31. prepare another list of registered voters if it's re-
32. quested of a candidate.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

1. Senator Keegan.

2. SENATOR KEEGAN:

3. Still am mystified by this. In my community
4. lists are obtained from the County Clerk in the area
5. outside of Rockford and from the Board of Commission...
6. of Election Commissioners within the City of Rockford.
7. Does this mean that they are restricted in the dis-
8. tribution of the list of registered voters?

9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

10. Senator Regner.

11. SENATOR REGNER:

12. No. No, Senator, there'd be no restriction. All
13. it would say is that the villages do not have to prepare
14. another list of registered voters which is a duplication
15. right now.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

17. Senator Keegan.

18. SENATOR KEEGAN:

19. How common is that? How common is that?

20. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

21. Senator Regner.

22. SENATOR REGNER:

23. ...Over the years it's very seldom been enforced
24. but last April it was enforced in several of the municipal
25. elections in my area in particular where the villages
26. actually had to prepare another list and incur the added
27. expense.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

29. Senator Keegan.

30. SENATOR KEEGAN:

31. I...I do hope it's fully realized because sometimes
32. there is reluctance on the part of the election authorities
33. to distribute those lists where they are...are validly.

1. needed. I would hate to have a cutback in the
2. distribution of these lists. Can you assure
3. me that that will not be the effect?

4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

5. Senator Regner.

6. SENATOR REGNER:

7. ...Well, first of all, I think I failed to make
8. this clear in the beginning. It only affects Cook
9. County which is where the law does apply. And we
10. have never had any problems in Cook County in getting
11. the voter lists that we want or request in the mu-
12. nicipalities outside of Chicago. We've never had any
13. problem at all.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

15. Senator Keegan. Any further discussion? The
16. question is shall SB 800 pass veto of the Governor
17. to the contrary notwithstanding. On that the
18. Secretary will call the roll.

19. SECRETARY:

20. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
21. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
22. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
23. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
24. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
25. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
26. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
27. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
28. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
29. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

31. Partee, aye. Vadalabene, aye. Clarke, Aye.
32. Soper, aye. On that question the yeas are 48. The
33. nays are none. SB 800 having received the required

1. three-fifths vote is declared passed the veto of
2. the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Senator
3. Harris on SB 833. On your Calendar, Members of the
4. Senate, that bill is shown as SB 883 and it should be
5. 833. Senator Harris.

6. SENATOR HARRIS:

7. Yes, this is SB 833, a very simple bill and not
8. an expensive one. It provides for a 20% supplement
9. to the authorization for premiums to the county fairs
10. and a 20% increase to the premiums for the agricultural
11. extension or what is commonly known as the 4-H programs.
12. The total cost of these two programs as provided by
13. this bill is \$360,000 increase over the appropriation
14. for that purpose today. In the budget there is
15. anticipated to be a balance in the Agricultural Premium
16. Fund, and this money comes from the Agricultural Premium
17. Fund, there is anticipated to be a balance of \$1,850,000.
18. Just absolutely no question but what we have the money
19. available for this purpose and this supplement will go
20. a long way to reducing the deficits that the county fairs
21. are experiencing today. One of the main reasons why they
22. are experiencing these...deficits is that in recent years
23. as the value of livestock has gone up, and correspondingly
24. the premium rates have remained the same, exhibitors are
25. being more and more discouraged from participating in
26. the county fairs because the premium rates are not
27. attractive enough to invite them. Now I'm certain that
28. everyone of us particularly the downstate Members have had
29. communication from representatives of the various
30. county fairs and they are most anxious to see an effort
31. made to get the sense of the Senate subsequent to the veto
32. on behalf of the Governor. This bill passed here 48 to
33. nothing in May. I've tried to make perfectly clear that

1. we do have available and from this source of funds that
2. I think is an appropriate source, source of funds. It has
3. no impact on the General Revenue Fund. Clearly we still
4. will have over a million and a half surplus in the
5. Agricultural Premium Fund. I invite you to join me
6. in the motion to pass this bill the veto of the Governor
7. to the contrary notwithstanding.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

9. Any further discussion? The question is, shall
10. SB 833 pass the veto of the Governor to the contrary
11. notwithstanding. On that the Secretary will call
12. the roll.

13. SECRETARY:

14. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
15. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
16. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
17. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
18. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
19. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
20. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
21. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
22. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
23. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

25. Bartulis, aye. Scholl, aye. Latherow, aye.
26. Soper, aye. Request to call the absentees.

27. SECRETARY:

28. Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, Course, Daley,
29. Donnewald, Dougherty, Kenneth Hall, Hynes, Johns,
30. Kosinski, McCarthy, Newhouse, Nudelman, Palmer,
31. Partee, Rock, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Swinarski,
32. Vadalabene, Wooten.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

1. ...Question the yeas are 36 the nays are 1.
2. SB 833 having received the required three-fifths
3. vote is declared passed the veto of the Governor
4. to the contrary notwithstanding. Senator Bruce.
5. SENATOR BRUCE:
6. Yes, if it's appropriate at this time, I'd
7. like to have that roll call verified.
8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
9. ...Request to...for verification of the roll
10. call. Members please be in their seats. Secretary
11. will call the roll.
12. SECRETARY:
13. The following voted in the affirmative:
14. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Chew, Clarke, Conolly,
15. Davidson, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Keegan,
16. Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Latherow, McBroom,
17. Merritt, Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don Moore, Nimrod,
18. Ozinga, Regner, Roe, Romano, Schaffer, Scholl,
19. Shapiro, Sommer, Soper, Sours, Walker, Weaver,
20. Welsh, Mr. President.
21. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
22. Senator Soper. Senator Soper not...not on the
23. Floor. Is Senator Walker recorded? You're recorded.
24. Senator Soper...Senator Moore, for what purpose do
25. you arise?
26. SENATOR MOORE:
27. ...How...How am I recorded, Mr. President?
28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
29. How is Senator Don Moore recorded? Recorded aye.
30. SENATOR MOORE:
31. That's how I wanted to vote.
32. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
33. Senator Soper is not on the Floor, his name will

1. be removed. Move to postpone consideration until
2. Senator Soper returns to the Floor.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

4. Postpone consideration on SB 833. ...Bill 866,
5. Senator Partee.

6. SENATOR PARTEE:

7. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the
8. Senate. This is a motion to override the Governor's
9. veto. The veto stated that there were technical
10. difficulties in the bill and a supposed ambiguity
11. and that the limited dividends was not defined by
12. regulation. And I think the person who wrote the
13. message just simply didn't know that section 221D3
14. or section 236 Housing does in fact define what
15. limited dividend corporations are. This is a bill
16. that came to me from the Housing and Urban Development
17. Director for the Chicago area, Mr. John Wayner and
18. this is a bill that would provide relief in those
19. situations where a small number of houses have been
20. built under the limited dividend or for not for profit
21. corporation structure of the Federal Government and
22. the bill would bring relief to those limited numbers
23. of buildings on the basis of confining the amount of
24. taxes within a workable limitation. The bill is
25. absolutely necessary to save some housing of that
26. nature and description because they would and have
27. started going into bankruptcy which means the Federal
28. Government loses all of the money that it has advanced
29. for it. I would solicit your votes for an override
30. of this veto.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

32. Senator Glass.

33. SENATOR GLASS:

1. I'd like to ask the sponsor a question if I
2. might, Mr. President. ...Senator Partee, in reading
3. the veto message, I notice the Governor mentions that
4. it's possible to construe the bill in such a manner
5. that benefits could be extended to profitable FHA
6. insured projects on the Chicago lakefront. And I'd
7. appreciate your comments on that if that is correct
8. I...I certainly wouldn't want this to become law.

9. SENATOR PARTEE:

10. Well, you may believe if that was correct, I wouldn't
11. have sponsored the bill. I would yield to Senator
12. Netsch who wants to respond specifically to that...
13. your question.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

15. Senator Netsch.

16. SENATOR NETSCH:

17. Thank you, Mr. President. Thank you, Senator Partee.
18. This was a matter that was of some concern even before
19. the veto occurred and at the time the bill was heard
20. in Committee the witnesses...in support of it were asked
21. very specifically, is there anyway that this bill could
22. be read to help such projects as Carl Sandburg Village,
23. Marina City and so forth. The witnesses were sure in
24. their own minds and so assured us that there was no way.
25. I have since gone over the language myself half a dozen
26. times and I think that with the five qualifications that
27. are written in there there is no possible way. To sort
28. of button it up, I asked someone at the Metropolitan
29. Housing and Planning Council, and I initiated the request
30. so their tax exempt status will not be in jeopardy, to
31. please obtain for me a ruling from someone who truly
32. understood all of the intricacies as to whether or not it
33. could cover that kind of housing project. And the

1. answer that I have which I would be happy to show
2. you later, Senator Glass, from a...from the senior
3. vice president and counsel of Dobbin Mule, Inc.
4. says and I'll just read the one sentence. There
5. is no question that the language of the bill
6. excludes from its ambit all projects insured by
7. the Federal Housing Administration except those
8. insured under section 221D3 or section 236. Those
9. are, as you know, the low moderate income subsidized
10. housing units. The language of the bill may not be
11. quite as artful as it might have been. There is no
12. question that the intent was not to reach any upper
13. middle or upper income housing projects and I'm
14. satisfied in my own mind and I admit I'm helping to
15. make a record to that effect right now, that it does
16. not do that. That certainly was not the intention
17. of Senator Partee.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

19. Senator Glass.

20. SENATOR GLASS:

21. Well thank you...Mr. President, thank you, Senator
22. Netsch, for that explanation. I...however...do feel
23. the language of this veto message has raised a number
24. of questions in my mind and if Senator Partee's ex-
25. planation is correct, I certainly would like to support
26. the bill. However before I do, I'm going to...hope to
27. have an opportunity if...if it doesn't pass at this time
28. or if you don't proceed with it now to examine some of
29. these authorities because from the language of this veto
30. message there is some real concern I think raised as to
31. whether the bill is going to accomplish its intention
32. and it, of course, had broad support when it passed but I
33. would hate for us to pass a piece of legislation and not

1. have it do the job of helping the...through this...these
2. tax incentives low and moderate income housing.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

4. Senator Palmer.

5. SENATOR PALMER:

6. ...Senator Glass, I'm directing my remarks to you
7. that I have researched this matter thoroughly too. This
8. particular subject is very much in concern in my district
9. where we have these low income...high rise buildings and
10. because of the Governor's message, I researched the
11. technicality raised and I can assure Senator Glass that
12. it does not apply to the buildings he has this great fear.
13. And it's a proper bill and if any...if there's anything
14. that would be wrong with it we could correct it by amend-
15. ment and it would be quite an...unjust if we did not pass
16. it now. It...it's kind of a bill that's necessary at the
17. present time.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

2. Any further discussion? Senator Partee may close.

3. SENATOR PARTEE:

4. Well, I would simply say that when Mr. Wayner of HUD
5. brought me the bill, we had long discussions and I certainly
6. would not put in a bill that had any kind of interpretation
7. that the Governor's Message suggests. As a matter of fact,
8. they say that there were difficulties and if there had
9. been they might simply of called me and asked me
10. about them and we could have adjusted it on that basis.
11. But they didn't do that so the bill is, as it states,
12. limited to the type of housing it states and nobody
13. gets any benefit except the taxpayers who have lent their
14. money through the aegis of the Federal government to these
15. buildings which will go into bankruptcy if this kind of
16. remedial legislation is not sustained. And I'd appreciate
17. your vote.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

19. The question is shall SB 866 pass, the veto of the
20. Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. And on that
21. question the Secretary will call the roll.

22. SECRETARY:

23. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
24. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
25. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
26. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
27. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
28. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
29. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
30. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
31. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
32. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

1. Course, aye. Netsch, aye. Buzbee, aye. Kosinski,
2. aye. Request to call the absentees.

3. SECRETARY:

4. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Conolly, Davidson, Fawell,
5. Glass, Graham, Hynes, Knuepfer, Latherow, McBroom, Merritt,
6. Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don Moore, Newhouse, Nimrod, Ozinga,
7. Regner, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Sommer, Soper,
8. Sours, Swinarski, Walker, Weaver, Mr. President.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

10. Request to postpone consideration. SB 443, Senator
11. Walker. We're...we're now going to item vetoes, Members.
12. Senator Walker's is the first one on the list at...that's
13. SB 443. Senator Walker.

14. SENATOR WALKER:

15. Thank you, Mr. President. The Board of Governors is
16. responsible for the development of these high quality
17. educational programs. And they've determined that currently
18. available funds for fiscal '74 are not sufficient. I'm
19. going to apologize in advance for the number of motions
20. that I have here. Motion number 1 in regard to SB 443,
21. is in regards to page 1, line 14 wherein personal services
22. were decreased \$606,200 and I now move that that sum be
23. restored, the item reduction of the Governor to the contrary
24. notwithstanding.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

26. Senator Walker, we're going to have the Secretary
27. read this motion. You have a number of them. We'll have
28. him read this motion so we know that it's correct.

29. SECRETARY:

30. (Secretary reads motion)

31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

32. Senator Partee.

33. SENATOR PARTEE:

1. I think we'll be able to proceed a little more
2. orderly if we in the first instance have copies of
3. these motions. They involve figures. It's difficult
4. to listen to them and make the mental subtractions to
5. know what the amount is involved. We don't have copies
6. of these motions and we'd like to withhold any vote on
7. them until we do have copies of them. I see from the
8. ocular view point there seem to be a lot of them and
9. we certainly can't remember them. And we need copies
10. of them before we can adjust ourselves to them.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

12. Senator Walker.

13. SENATOR WALKER:

14. Are we on item vetoes, Mr. President, or item reductions?

15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

16. I called item vetoés on SB 443. Senator Walker.

17. SENATOR WALKER:

18. I think part of the confusion is due to the fact
19. that 443 is under item vetoes, under my...sponsorship
20. and also under item reductions under Senator Rock's
21. sponsorship who handled these bills for me at the close
22. of last Session. I think to properly get them on the
23. Calendar and in response to Senator Partee, I didn't get
24. all of your request. I think they should be on the Calendar.
25. They're on their twice and they're on there in error in one
26. place. I don't know where.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

28. No. They're not in there. Senator Partee.

29. SENATOR PARTEE:

30. The fact that they appear on the Calendar twice is
31. not in any way an error. One of the motions may well
32. address itself to the item reduction. Another motion
33. may address itself to the item veto. Those are separate

1. and distinctive approaches and they are absolutely mutually
2. exclusive. One of them requires a certain number of votes,
3. while the other requires six votes more than that to deal
4. with it affirmatively. I would suggest that we get copies
5. of all motions filed on the desk of each of the Members so
6. that they can vote intelligently on these motions. Now one
7. of them as called will be an item veto, the other as called
8. will be an item reduction. Whether or not we can handle
9. all of the item reductions at the same time and then go
10. to the vetoes or vice versa or whether you want to fragment
11. it and go in and out with the vetoes on the item on one and
12. then reduction on the other is a question which we will
13. have to decide. I suppose the Chair would have to decide.
14. But I certainly feel that with this large number of items
15. that we should not address ourselves to them until we have
16. copies of the motion so that we will know what we are doing
17. and approach it intelligently.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

19. SB...Senate Rule 71, just amended at the start of the
20. Session states that if more than one motion is filed with
21. respect to any bill, all such motions shall be heard at the
22. time the bill is called. I think that you should get...get
23. your motions in order, Senator Walker and the leadership,
24. Senator Partee should have copies of the...those motions.
25. President Harris.

26. SENATOR HARRIS:

27. Well, I want to respond with...to the points that
28. Senator Partee made. I have no objections to...making
29. available to the leadership or to all Members for that
30. matter...the motions that have been filed. The point
31. though that I think should be made is that...my office
32. had prepared a folder for every Member of the Messages
33. from the Governor and that information, including as

1. relates to item vetoes is in that file so the information
2. as affecting the bill is on everybody's desk now or in
3. their office. The Members do have that information. Now,
4. the...information about which of the bills do have motions
5. filed for consideration, I think probably is appropriate
6. and ...I think we can arrange to have that available. But
7. I think the information affecting the bill itself, that
8. would come into consideration after a motion is filed to
9. consider a...an item reduction or an item veto, you already
10. have.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

12. Senator Partee.

13. SENATOR PARTEE:

14. Then...can we have copies of the motions?

15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

16. Copies of the motions should be provided by Senator
17. Walker. Wonder if we can have Senator Harris and Senator
18. Partee up to the podium for a moment, please? Senator
19. Walker. Senator Walker.

20. SENATOR WALKER:

21. Thank you, Mr. President, inasmuch as there was some
22. forty-eight, forty-nine of these motions, I think I've been
23. advised of a more expeditious way to handle them rather
24. than to have to stand up here for an hour or so catching
25. them one at a time and I'd now like to take them out of
26. the record. But I would like to have them called tomorrow,
27. if I'm prepared and I think I will be at that time to
28. handle them all at one time,

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

30. All right, very fine. SB 443 will be taken out of
31. the record. Go to the item reductions, Senator Weaver
32. on SB 449. Senator Harris.

33. SENATOR HARRIS:

1. Mr. President, Senator Weaver has left his desk for
2. just a moment, consulting with Senator Partee. He is
3. prepared to proceed with this bill, with the consideration
4. of the reductions on this bill. I see he has finished
5. his communications. He should be recognized.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

7. Senator Weaver.

8. SENATOR WEAVER:

9. Well, Mr. President and Members of the Senate, my
10. motion is to restore the reductions made by the Governor
11. on SB 449, on page 1, line 11; page 2, lines 5, 6; and
12. on page 2, line 7. This restoration is...in the amount
13. of \$3,690, 156, one line 11, page 1 - personal services.
14. And in the amount of \$399,700 for the university division
15. of services for crippled children. I think every member
16. has received from Dr. Corbally a breakdown on what this
17. reduction amounts to in the operation of the University.
18. In addition to the concerns expressed by the administration,
19. there's also a very great concern by the students in the
20. prospect of having a tuition increase if sufficient monies
21. are not appropriated by the State for the operations of
22. the three campuses. I have received petitions in support
23. of restoration from over 3500 students from the Urbana
24. campus. Now these...these petitions were instigated by
25. a bi-partisan group of college Democrats, college Republicans,
26. members of the student senate, and other interested students.
27. If...anyone has any questions about these two line items
28. that I seek to restore, I'd be happy to try to answer them.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

30. Senator Wooten.

31. SENATOR WOOTEN:

32. Yes, Mr. President, Senator Weaver, I'm curious as
33. to why you are not seeking to restore the employer-----

1. contributions to the State University's Retirement System.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

3. Senator Weaver.

4. SENATOR WEAVER:

5. Senator Wooten, I'd be happy to try to restore that
6. item of the State's contribution if every other system,
7. State supported system of higher education worked for
8. restoration also. These contributions go into one fund
9. which supports all State supported universities, junior
10. colleges, throughout the State. I don't think it would
11. be appropriate for one Board of Governors, Board of
12. Regents, Board of Trustees or the Junior College Board
13. to appropriate money if all of them didn't appropriate
14. equally what was deleted by the Governor. In the case
15. of the University of Illinois that amounted about \$12,000,000.
16. So I would be happy to offer a motion of restoration if
17. each and every other sponsor did likewise.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

19. Any further discussion? Senator Partee.

20. SENATOR PARTEE:

21. Well, Mr. President, this happens all the time in
22. school budgets. I happen to remember when Governor
23. Ogilvie was Governor and at the beginning of the year
24. when he was about to announce his budget he made substantial
25. cuts in the amounts of money which were requested by
26. the various universities, and within forty-eight hours
27. after he had made what was then a rather startling
28. statement that he was cutting the money asked for by
29. the universities, several newspapers throughout the
30. State and particularly three of the four in Chicago
31. wrote rather glowing editorials and gave him accolades
32. and encomiums for his sagacity in cutting what had
33. become a burdening kind of budget for our universities.

1. Despite that there was no override, there was no resistance
2. to what is reasonableness and practicality in the amount
3. of money which is to be given to universities bases on the
4. overall amount of money which is available for those insti-
5. tutions. You will find that the institutions came here, and
6. recognizing what the amount of money available was made
7. adjustments in their budgets, and juggled some items
8. between themselves and even considering those changes
9. there is in fact as of this moment a five point one percent
10. increase in the budget of the State of...of the University
11. of Illinois. So what this Governor has done is not in
12. any way to shackle the educational process. Rather he
13. has given additional money to the University over and
14. above the last budget. I think to put money into a budget
15. which money does not exist is political and perhaps fool-
16. hearty. You can only get twelve out of a dozen. You
17. can't get fifteen out of a dozen. You can't get that
18. which does not exist. I think it is within the purview
19. of the Governor's range of permissible authority to make
20. a judgment. He has talked to these universities, he's
21. talked to their presidents and their heads on many occasions,
22. and many of them will privately tell you that the monies
23. which are now allocated for their particular universities
24. are in fact adequate. We have a five point one percent
25. increase. Enrollments are not going up, they're going down.
26. The number of persons required to run our universities
27. are not increasing, they are decreasing. It seems to me
28. that it is but a palative to go back and say we put some
29. money back in your budget and then have to answer that
30. you don't know where that's money's coming from. You
31. address yourself, you allude to yourselves as fiscal
32. conservatives. I suggest to you that this is fiscal
33. irresponsibility. Maybe our roles will be reversed, and

1. we will become for this purpose the fiscal conservatives
2. while you amuse yourselves with being the fiscal irrespon-
3. sible persons. This budget should not be changed. It's
4. all the money there is in this area and I submit that this
5. reduction is a proper one.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

7. Senator Hynes.

8. SENATOR HYNES:

9. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, I would second
10. the remarks of Senator Partee. I think all of us would
11. like to be...in a position to support this effort. But
12. basically it comes down to a very limited State pie which
13. has to be divided up and allocated. And we are going to
14. face over the next few days many, many proposals for the
15. restoration of sums of the additions of monies, and if
16. even a significant percentage of those pass, this State
17. is going to be fiscally in grave jeopardy. And frankly
18. at this point, this motion does not rank with a high
19. enough priority to justify it's passage. The university
20. has already granted four and a half percent pay increases
21. as I understand it to it's employees. Something that
22. other systems have not been able to do. I just think
23. under the present fiscal circumstances of the State that
24. this motion cannot be supported.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

26. Any further discussion? Senator Weaver may close.

27. SENATOR WEAVER:

28. Well, Mr. President and Members of the Senate, the
29. Governor is very good at using figures. I would like to
30. quote a few figures of fact. The appropriations to the
31. University of Illinois in 1973 were \$188,294,000. The
32. appropriations approved by the Governor this fiscal year
33. \$198,000,000, roughly a \$10,000,000 increase. The Board

1. of Higher Education has committed 5,000,000 of that
2. 10,000,000 to expansion and enrollment in the health
3. profession schools. Expanding throughout the State of
4. Illinois, Peoria, Rockford and Urbana, as well as the
5. Medical Center in Chicago. The money for these expansions
6. is certainly justified, but the increase for the regular
7. operation and the continuation of the other three campuses
8. amounts to 2.7 percent increase. Inflation hits the
9. universities just as it does all of us. We provided
10. for approximately 4.1 million dollars, excuse me about
11. 2 million dollars for salary adjustments alone. This
12. restoration basically will offset layoffs, replace
13. equipment that's over 25 years old, library purchases,
14. and to meet deferred maintenance requirements. Now, it's
15. been said by the distinguished Minority Leader that
16. enrollments are going down. That's not so at the University
17. of Illinois. Enrollments have reached an all time high of
18. 35,500. So the cost per student contributed by the State
19. of Illinois keeps going down every year. I could go on
20. to explain other areas of need, but I think the information
21. you've received from Dr. Corbally justifies this restoration
22. and I'd certainly ask for a favorable roll call.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

24. The question is shall item...items on page 1, line
25. 11 and page 2, lines 5 and 6, and page 2, line 7, in SB
26. 449 be restored. The item reduction of the Governor to
27. the contrary notwithstanding. On that the Secretary will
28. call the roll.

29. SECRETARY:

30. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
31. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
32. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
33. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,

1. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
2. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
3. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
4. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
5. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
6. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
8. Bartulis, aye. Request to call the absentees.
9. SECRETARY:
10. Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, Course, Daley, Donnewald,
11. Dougherty, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Knuepfer,...
12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
13. Harber Hall, aye.
14. SECRETARY:
15. Knuppel, Kosinski, McCarthy, Newhouse, Nudelman,
16. Palmer, Romano, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Swinarski,
17. Vadalabene.
18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
19. Senator Walker.
20. SENATOR WALKER:
21. Am I recorded, Mr. President?
22. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
23. Senator Walker is recorded. Move to postpone
24. consideration. If we can go back to total vetoes, Senator
25. Berning request to call SB 534.
26. SENATOR BERNING:
27. Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Body. I
28. believe all of you now have on your desks a copy of not
29. only the Governor's veto message on SB 534, but also a
30. response to that message. And I would hope that you
31. might take just a moment while I am talking to take a
32. quick look at it. But first let me go back to the bill
33. itself, SB 534 and read for you only lines 6 and 7 which

1. says the State or any unit of local government or school
2. district may, I emphasize may, this is a permissive bit
3. of legislation and is intended only to bring the benefits
4. of deferred compensation to any of the rest of our employees
5. who would like to participate in a program, the same
6. benefits that now exist for the university personnel.
7. I submit to you simply this, if it is an advantage for
8. one segment of the State's employees it at least ought to
9. be available to the rest of the body of State employees.
10. Referring briefly to the Governor's message and the
11. response, let me point out to you that the criticism
12. of the Governor that this is restricted to insurance
13. companies for life insurance or annuities, is for the
14. ...is included in the bill for the expressed purpose of
15. providing additional continuing compensation or income
16. if you will for retired employees, thereby assuring
17. at least to some degree, a continuum of income so as to
18. meet the living costs. I might point out for those of
19. you who are listening that the hospital association
20. of Illinois supports this. They would very much like
21. to institute a program of this nature for their employees,
22. and I repeat only for those who want to participate.
23. There is nothing mandatory. It is strictly optional.
24. One of the points the Governor made was that this ought
25. to be consolidated into one central agency. This I
26. submit would place an unreasonable burden on the State
27. for administrative costs. Let's let those private
28. enterprise activities who want to provide this service
29. to our employees assume that administrative and over-
30. head expense. The State should not have to assume this.
31. For your information, on May 23rd, SB 534 passed this
32. Body by a vote of 46 to zero. Without malice I would
33. point out that I believe the Governor was misinformed

1. in his veto of this measure and I would respectfully
2. request that the Members in this Body again provide
3. their support and pass this measure so that our employees
4. who want to have the benefit of a deferred income program
5. with the tax benefits that it brings to them, they ought
6. to have this prerogative.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

8. Senator Buzbee.

9. SENATOR BUZBEE:

10. Thank you, Mr. President. A question of the sponsor.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

12. He indicates he'll yield.

13. SENATOR BUZBEE:

14. I used to be in the life insurance business and I
15. still don't really understand in your bill the difference
16. in deferred compensation and those...entities or instruments
17. that are now called tax-sheltered annuities which these
18. people can presently buy, my wife is a teacher and recently
19. purchased a tax-sheltered annuity which doesn't happen
20. to be funded with a life insurance or an annuity contract,
21. but with a variable annuity. I don't understand what
22. the reason for this bill is in lieu of the fact that they
23. can now under Federal law buy tax-sheltered annuities,
24. not have to pay tax on those annuities until they start
25. drawing that back upon retirement.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

27. Senator Berning.

28. SENATOR BERNING:

29. This bill sets up the mechanics whereby an employee
30. may authorize the employer or the agency to withhold a
31. stated amount and act as investing agent for whatever
32. investment of annuity program the individual department
33. embarks on. As I said, there's nothing mandatory, but it

1. is an option that ought to be made available.

2. SENATOR BUZBEE:

3. I understand that, except my point is that option is
4. now available.

5. SENATOR BERNING:

6. That option is not...it is available to anybody
7. who wants to go out in the open market and engage in an
8. investment program, I assume that's true. But for the
9. average employee who wants to participate in an annuity
10. program, this is the avenue that he must have, and I
11. repeat that it is now an option available to the university
12. personnel, but to them only.

13. SENATOR BUZBEE:

14. It is...I beg to differ with you, Senator, it is also
15. available to school districts, and any school district in
16. the State of Illinois at the present time. Again I say
17. my wife just purchased one.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

19. Senator Berning.

20. SENATOR BERNING:

21. Well, I...if you are correct and if my information
22. is incorrect that teachers as well as in the university
23. system have this option, that's fine. But that only makes
24. the argument more substantive that we ought to provide
25. this for the rest of the State employees so they at least
26. have the option.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

28. Senator Buzbee.

29. SENATOR BUZBEE:

30. Let...let me assure you they do have them, not only
31. did she just purchase one, I used to sell them, to school
32. teachers. But I...I don't understand why you have the
33. restriction, why couldn't you fund this with a mutual fund,

1. or a...any other kind of investment. Why do you restrict
2. it just to life insurance or annuity type contracts?

3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

4. Senator Berning.

5. SENATOR BERNING:

6. Perhaps, this could be expanded to include an un...
7. almost unlimited number of varieties of programs. But it
8. seemed to me in my naivete that perhaps this was the
9. easiest kind of an option to offer to our employees. At
10. least it would give them one option whereas today they have
11. none. If then, we pass this and the option then is available
12. and we find that it ought to be broadened, I would be delighted
13. to join you Senator in expanding the provisions of the law.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

15. Ok. I would ask both Senators to conclude the...
16. time is up for both Members, so Senator Buzbee.

17. SENATOR BUZBEE:

18. I...I will make this closing statement Senator. This
19. is my maiden speech this Session so... It...it seems to me
20. that we are always talking about the free enterprise system
21. in this country and it seems to me that we are being most
22. restrictive here in not allowing the free enterprise system
23. to work as it should and open those contracts up if we
24. decide we want to do it to all forms of investment. Thank you.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

26. Senator Bell.

27. SENATOR BELL:

28. Yes, Mr. President, I might just offer this observation
29. in reference to SB 534, that the Federal government in 1962
30. passed Public Law 38-270, which made it possible to use life
31. insurance company annuity and later on into the variable
32. annuity program for the funding media, they felt that it
33. probably would qualify as the best secure device for those

1. that are moving into retirement years to be certain of
2. their retirement future. Now, I'm not going to argue
3. the pros and cons of different funding media, only
4. to say the Federal government has promoted this particular
5. device. I think it'd be well for the State of Illinois to
6. accept it for those other State employees that care to
7. participate.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

9. Senator Berning, you may close.

10. SENATOR BERNING:

11. I repeat, somewhere along the line, these provisions
12. have been made available to the university personnel and
13. perhaps to the school personnel although this was not my
14. understanding. I think it's incumbent upon us to at
15. least open this up as an option to the rest of the State
16. employees if they want to take advantage of it. I repeat,
17. it is strictly may, permissive, not the least bit
18. obligatory. Is not going to be a financial burden on
19. the State. There is no obligation devolving upon the
20. State, and there is at least one organization which has
21. indicated a great interest in this for their employees,
22. and that's the Illinois Hospital Association. Mr. President
23. and Members of the Body, I respectfully request your aye vote
24. on this motion to override the Governor's veto notwith-
25. standing.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

27. The question is shall SB 534 pass. The veto of
28. the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. On that
29. question the Secretary will call the roll.

30. ACTING SECRETARY (MR. WRIGHT):

31. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
32. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
33. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth

1. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
2. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
3. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
4. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
5. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
6. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
7. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

9. Mitchler, aye. McBroom, aye. Clarke, aye. Fawell,
10. aye. Keegan, no. Senator Berning.

11. SENATOR BERNING:

12. Mr. President, I am bitterly disappointed in what
13. appears to be a strictly partisan point of view on what
14. ought to be, if anything ought to be, strictly non-
15. partisan. And that is a matter which affects the welfare
16. and well being of our State employees. When I recall that
17. this measure passed without one dissenting vote and with
18. almost unanimous vote back in May... I'm at a loss to
19. understand why there can be such a change in attitude
20. toward a benefit for our State employees. Mr. President,
21. I respectfully suggest that the members of the other
22. side are not listening. I would respectfully suggest
23. that with...as innocuous a bill as this is from the stand-
24. point of cost to the State of Illinois, I respectfully
25. request and suggest that with a measure as significant
26. in the context of long range benefit to our employees
27. who ultimately will retire...God willing, they will with
28. the help of those of us on this side I assure you...

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

30. I think Senator Berning...

31. SENATOR BERNING:

32. ...I would respectfully suggest that the Members on
33. the other side reappraise their situation and vote for this

1. helpful, not harmful bill to give our State employees
2. the same benefit we have given to our university and
3. school personnel. I ask you in all sincerity, how can
4. you discriminate in this fashion?
5. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
6. Senator Berning, are you asking to call the absentees,
7. or...
8. SENATOR BERNING:
9. Call the absentees, please.
10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
11. All right then, request to call the absentees.
12. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. WRIGHT)
13. Carroll, Chew, Conolly, Course, Daley, Donnewald,
14. Dougherty, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Hynes, Knuppel,
15. Kosinski, McBroom, McCarthy, Mitchler, Nudelman, Newhouse,
16. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Roe, Saperstein, Savickas,
17. Smith, Sommer, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Welsh, Wooten.
18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
19. Senator Mitchler is not in the Ozarks, he's present.
20. He votes aye. On that question the yeas are twenty-five,
21. the nays are eight. SB 534 having failed to receive the
22. required 3/5's vote is declared lost. Any other Member
23. wish to call a bill? Any announcements? Senator McBroom.
24. SENATOR MCBROOM:
25. Yes, Mr. President, I'd like to...if I'm in order,
26. I'd like to call SB 380, at this time.
27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
28. On total veto? Senator McBroom wishes to call
29. SB 380.
30. SENATOR MCBROOM:
31. Well, Mr. President, Members of the Senate, this
32. bill has to do with the extension of the terms of the
33. Members of the Board of Supervisors. In the 77th General

1. Assembly, we extended the terms of highway commissioners to
2. 1977, in order to make township elections come up every
3. four years. In the process of doing that, Mr. President,
4. we overlooked half of the supervisors, and this bill...
5. would provide that half of the...the one-half of the
6. supervisors which we overlooked would run in...in 19...
7. 1977 instead of making them run in 1975. I would
8. respectfully submit to the Senate that the Governor
9. got some poor advise in vetoing this bill. I have
10. talked to some of the Members on the other side, last
11. Spring as a matter of fact, I talked to Senator Dougherty
12. at length about this bill. It has absolutely no political
13. overtones or connations to it. I would appreciate
14. a favorable roll call, Mr. President.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

16. Senator Dougherty.

17. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:

18. What Senator McBroom says is true. We did talk
19. about this bill and at the time I was opposed to it
20. and I withdrew my opposition for awhile because it's
21. based upon the theory that it would be a savings of some
22. several millions of dollars to the townships in the...in
23. setting up the town, these supervisors would be set
24. up for six years. They would...extend their terms.
25. Well that didn't sound too bad to me, but it also
26. provides that the changing of the township lines,
27. the allocation of the bill that provides for the town-
28. ship shelter, there...there's about some 600 townships...
29. I want to read my notes then. ...several hundred town-
30. ships who must extend their boundaries in order to comply
31. with laws that we've previously passed. The political
32. connation is not there, I agree. However...we are not
33. doing what we consider to be right by the people who live

1. within these townships in order to more expressly
2. spread the cost of government in the areas where it
3. is needed. I do not think that this bill is proper
4. in its form for the reason that it does not do what
5. it is intended to do, when it is a violation of the
6. law and...to the extent that we are now eliminating
7. the changing of township lines to conform to legislation
8. that has been heretofore passed and it's my position
9. and I regretfully take it, but the fact remains that
10. the...the township supervisors as it were...are now
11. county officers, and they are no longer township
12. supervisors, and there's...the Governor...the Attorney
13. General did extend their terms for a period of a few
14. months some time ago by an opinion. I believe we must
15. attack this problem right at its roots, hold elections
16. at the proper time in order to tend the problems resulting
17. in township government may be solved. I regret that I
18. must oppose this override.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

20. Senator McBroom.

21. SENATOR MCBROOM:

22. Mr. Chairman or Mr. President and Members of the
23. Senate, I would have to take issue with Senator Dougherty
24. when he says that Township Supervisors are county
25. officials. A Township Supervisor, Senator Dougherty, is
26. only a county official if he also happens to be a
27. County Board member. There are many...there are many
28. Township Supervisors who are not members of the County
29. Board. At the same time I want to concede to you that
30. there are some...some supervisors who wear both hats.
31. But if this is wrong then we were in error in extending
32. the terms of the Highway Commissioners. This...this
33. particular measure has the strong support of the Illinois

1. Township Officials Association and what you said about the
2. boundaries, Senator Dougherty, I think is an argument in
3. my favor and a reason to vote for the bill.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

5. Senator Dougherty. Senator Dougherty. Will you use
6. Senator Donnewald's mike please Senator.

7. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:

8. I agree that many Township Supervisors are Township
9. Supervisors only and that others are members of the County
10. Board and thence...therefore are county officials. I believe
11. that the township problems can best be solved by a bill that
12. was introduced here by Senator Nimrod, that we agreed upon
13. for a commission to...study the problems of township govern-
14. ment. I think we can best do that by subscribing to that
15. theory and appointing people to that commission. I think
16. that at this time to postpone selection we are doing an
17. injustice to the people who will live in the townships.
18. Those are the ones we must think of. This has been a whole
19. era of...of argument for a long time and my...position was
20. a bit ambivalent at times but I got to thinking about it
21. again and I do believe that this bill should not be over-
22. ridden.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

24. President Harris.

25. SENATOR HARRIS:

26. Will the sponsor yield to a question? The...fact
27. occurs to me that the elections that would be affected
28. if this bill were to become law, if the veto were to
29. be overridden our elections that will be held next spring,
30. is that not correct. Well, aren't there petitions being
31. circulated now for...

32. SENATOR MCBROOM:

33. No, no, no, no...

1. SENATOR HARRIS:

2. ...township supervisor, or does this apply to 75?

3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

4. Senator...

5. SENATOR MCBROOM:

6. This applies to 75, Senator Harris. I'm sorry. I
7. answered you incorrectly, I did not understand your question.

8. SENATOR HARRIS:

9. All right. That does clear...up a question that I
10. thought would...it would have been germane then about...
11. the application of the effect of Senator Nimrod's bill.
12. That this was too urgent an issue. Nevertheless the fact
13. is clear before us, and I'm sure everyone particularly the
14. downstate members have received communications in regard
15. to this bill. The State-wide cost of holding these town-
16. ship elections...our staff has informed me will amount
17. to some 7 million dollars. The townships would have to
18. spend for holding elections instead of having that 7 million
19. dollars available to the various townships for the extension
20. of their services, for which they exist. It just seems
21. perfectly clear to me that this is an appropriate course of
22. action for us to pursue and I would particularly the
23. downstate members from the other side would join Senator
24. McBroom in his motion to override.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

26. Senator Donnewald.

27. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

28. (Machine trouble)...will in all probability be uncontested,
29. there are literally hundreds of polling places opened around
30. the State where there really is no election. With one person
31. on the ballot, if this bill...if this override does go
32. through all township officers would be up at the same time
33. and the voters could have a chance to have an intelligent

1. discussion on how township government is functioning.
2. (Machine trouble) voters...and virtually every other
3. civic groups, and those of us that have been trying to
4. get election consolidations, this is a small but valuable
5. step forward and I urge support for this override.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

7. Any further discussion? Senator Merritt.

8. SENATOR MERRITT:

9. Yes, Mr. President, Members of the Senate, just very
10. briefly, (machine trouble)...been involved in township and
11. county government for approximately seventeen years before
12. coming to this Body. I'm informed by all of my township people
13. back home (machine trouble)...going to placed...the burden
14. be placed on their shoulders at a time certainly when we
15. all know that county and township governments are
16. scurrying to find monies today, not places to which to
17. throw it away, and that's exactly what it's going to do
18. over at the State of Illinois, about seven million dollars
19. is going to go down the drain in these unnecessary elections.
20. I think this is a people's bill. I think it's in the best
21. interest financially and...

22. (machine trouble)

23. SENATOR NIMROD:

24. (Machine trouble) ... and this was one item that was on
25. their agenda and they asked both President Harris and myself
26. and Senator Hall and the other Senators that were there, to
27. be sure and convey the fact that they do have resolutions
28. supporting this, that it would waste money and they asked
29. us to please do what we could to override this bill so that
30. we can get a uniformity out of this particular procedure of
31. electing these people. It's a one time bill. It's to adjust
32. inequities, and it certainly is...and our opportunity right
33. now to take this step.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

2. Senator Rock.

3. SENATOR ROCK:

4. Well, I just wanted to add, Mr. President, that I rise
5. in opposition to this bill. There's been an awful lot of
6. dialogue and I would assume that those incumbents would be
7. in favor of this bill as Senator Nimrod just indicated,
8. because by virtue of this bill their terms of office will
9. be legislatively prolonged. In addition I have not heard
10. the reason, if there is one, given for the extension of the
11. deadline for altering boundaries. We went long and hard on
12. this in the 77th General Assembly, and set a definite time.
13. And now apparently we're going to come back every Session
14. and keep extending that time. I think this is a bad bill
15. and we should sustain the Governor's veto.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

17. Any further discussion? Senator McBroom may close.

18. SENATOR MCBROOM:

19. Well I think...I think everything that should have
20. been said probably has been said. I appreciate the comments
21. that were made by my colleagues on this side of the aisle.
22. I...I am absolutely perplexed, Mr. President, at the opposition
23. to this bill. I...I sometimes am...recall Senator Partee's
24. expression, there are good reasons for things and then if
25. you look far enough you might find the real reason, and...I'm...
26. I'm perplexed as to what the opposition might be. Senator
27. Schaffer made a very telling point when he said we're going
28. to have elections for one person, and that's part of the
29. genesis of this bill, and Senator Harris made...another
30. argument in favor of it when he alluded to the cost of
31. seven million dollars which is the figure submitted to me
32. by Troy Kost. I...I would appreciate a favorable roll call,
33. Mr. Chairman.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

2. The question is shall SB 380, the veto of the Governor
3. to the contrary notwithstanding. On that question the
4. Secretary will call the roll.

5. SECRETARY:

6. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
7. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
8. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
9. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
10. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
11. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
12. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
13. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
14. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
15. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

17. Scholl, aye. Netsch, no. Berning, aye. Postpone
18. consideration, you can when we adjourn Senator. We'll
19. go to the order of the Secretary's desk. Senator Walker
20. on SB 89.

21. SENATOR WALKER:

22. Thank you, Mr. President. In regard to SB 89, there's
23. a House amendment on there and I'd like to move that we
24. non-concur in House Amendment No. 1 to SB 89. All in favor
25. signify by saying aye.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

27. Senator Walker. Senator Walker moves that we non-
28. concur in House Amendment No. 1 to SB 89. All those in
29. favor signify by saying aye. Opposed. Motion carries.
30. SB 400, also on the Secretary's desk, Senator Fawell.

31. SENATOR FAWELL:

32. Yes, I would move to not concur in the Amendment No. 1
33. placed on this bill in the House.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

2. Senator Fawell moves to non-concur in House Amendment
3. No. 1 to SB 400. All those in favor signify by saying aye.
4. Opposed. Motion carries. SB 638, Senator Shapiro.

5. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

6. Mr. President, I would move that the Senate concur
7. in House Amendment No. 1 to SB 638, and...and take No. 2
8. separately.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

10. Any further discussion? Senator Shapiro moves that
11. House Amendment No. 1...the Senate concur in House Amendment
12. No. 1 to SB 638. All those in favor signify... Senator Rock.

13. SENATOR ROCK:

14. Well, I did not realize we were going to get onto
15. this order of business. If we're going to concur in a
16. House amendment my suggestion to the sponsor would be
17. that he explain what the bill is and what the amendment
18. does.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

20. Senator Shapiro.

21. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

22. Mr. President, and Members of the Senate, SB 638, as
23. originally introduced, would simply clarify the section
24. defining service of a member of the General Assembly, to
25. provide that one year's service would be equal to no more
26. than one year's credit. In the House, House Amendment No. 1
27. would allow service credit to a legislator for prior service
28. as an elected justice of the peace or police magistrate or
29. referee in the Municipal Court of Chicago. It has very
30. little fiscal impact, because the number of legislators
31. involved is minimal. That particular legislator would
32. have to pay up all the back costs at 4% interest, compounded
33. annually and I urge that the Senate concur in House Amendment.

1. No 1.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

3. Any further discussion? Any further discussion, Senator
4. Rock. The question is shall the Senate concur in the House
5. Amendment No. 1 to SB 638. And on that the Secretary will
6. call the roll.

7. SECRETARY:

8. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
9. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
10. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
11. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
12. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
13. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
14. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
15. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
16. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
17. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

19. Bartulis, aye. Daley, aye. Palmer, aye. Buzbee,
20. aye. Kenneth Hall, aye. On that question the yeas are
21. forty-six, the nays are none. The Senate concurs on House
22. Amendment No. 1 to SB 638. Senator Shapiro.

23. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

24. Mr. President and Members of the Senate, House
25. Amendment No. 2 to SB 638 was an amendment added in the
26. closing days of the Session and it would have the effect
27. of repealing the provisions in each and all of the Pension
28. Code Articles which disallow pension benefits to an employee
29. convicted of a felony related to his employment. Now,
30. the Pension Laws Commission has asked the Attorney General
31. for an opinion on the appropriateness of these felony
32. provisions, and until the Attorney General responds
33. there should be no action on this amendment, so I now

1. move, Mr. President, that the Senate non-concur in House
2. Amendment No. 2 to SB 638.
3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
4. Any further discussion? All those in favor of
5. Senator Shapiro's motion to non-concur in House
6. Amendment No. 2 to SB 638, ...Senator Partee.
7. SENATOR PARTEE:
8. I'm sorry, Senator. I was distracted. I'm sure that
9. your explanation covered it, but is...I just caught a
10. part of it, and the part I caught was that unless this
11. amendment goes on it would have the effect of doing
12. violence to a lot of other statutes (machine trouble)...
13. is that what you're said?
14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
15. Senator Shapiro.
16. SENATOR SHAPIRO:
17. I...I couldn't hear.
18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
19. The...Senator Shapiro couldn't hear you Senator Partee.
20. If the members...
21. SENATOR PARTEE:
22. The same thing happened to me a minute ago, when you
23. were explaining it, but I thought I heard you say that
24. except for the action you are now taking a lot of other
25. pre-existing statutes would be substantially changed or
26. altered except for this action. Is that what you said?
27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
28. Senator Shapiro.
29. SENATOR SHAPIRO:
30. The...the...Senator Partee, the pre-existing statute,
31. if we non-concur in this amendment will remain as it is.
32. If we concur, it will be deleted.
33. SENATOR PARTEE:

1. Fine, that's what I think I caught. Thank you very
2. much. There are no objections.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

4. Senator Shapiro moves that the Senate non-concur in
5. House Amendment No. 2 to SB 638. All those in favor signify
6. by saying aye. Opposed. Motion carries. Any further
7. business to come before the Senate? Senator Knuepfer.

8. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

9. Well, following on the Secretary's desk, there is also
10. SB 791. And I would move that the Senate concur in the
11. two House amendments to SB 791. For Senator Rock's purpose,
12. let me provide you with a little background. This is the
13. retirement fund provision for a Mr. Operman who was the
14. first head of NEPSI. He is in very bad health at the present
15. time. He was getting paid from the retirement fund and
16. the Attorney General questioned the legality of the pay-
17. ments. The House amendments resolve a...language problem
18. number one and secondly, they make it immediately effective.
19. The bill affects only one person who needs to have clarified
20. his right to a retirement fund. The money has been put
21. away. There is no additional expenditure of money necessary
22. whatsoever, and I would certainly appreciate a record vote
23. and we need...that is a record vote of at least 36 on this,
24. since that's what we need to do to make it immediately
25. effective. Senator Dougherty I see...

26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

27. Senator Dougherty.

28. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:

29. Mr. President, I concur in what Senator Knuepfer has
30. said in regards to Mr. Operman's pension. This money was
31. set aside and contributed to by Mr. Operman while he was
32. acting...when he was Director of the NEPSI if you will, and
33. it's perfectly proper that we acquiesce to the changes that

1. were made in the House. I urge support of the measure.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

3. Senator Walker.

4. SENATOR WALKER:

5. Thank you, Mr. President. I understand that Mr.

6. Weinberger is in town, Senator Knuepfer and Senator Dougherty

7. and I'd like to have a chance to confer with him on this

8. bill. I wonder if the sponsor would hold it till the

9. next legislative day.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

11. Senator Knuepfer.

12. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

13. Senator, I can always hold something. I don't see

14. any real necessity on this. This man is sick. As I

15. understand, he is very, very sick. One legislative day

16. obviously isn't going to make any difference to this bill

17. at all as far as I'm concerned, but it ought to be passed

18. today. Let's get it off the Calendar, and I'm simply going

19. to ask for a vote today. If you don't give it to me I

20. don't get it, sir. It doesn't effect my pension, it effects

21. a Mr. Operman's.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

23. Senator Netsch.

24. SENATOR NETSCH:

25. Mr. President, would the Senate...would Senator Knuepfer

26. answer one question, please, just for the record. You said

27. the Attorney General questioned...the continued payment of

28. the pension. Why...why did he question it? On what basis?

29. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

30. Senator, I have no idea what the question was or what

31. the quest...point of law was. I...I sincerely, I do not

32. know. All I do know is that it was felt that NEPSI felt

33. that the only way we could clarify is for...is to pass this

1. bill. Now what the original point of law was, I have no
2. idea.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

4. Further discussion? Senator Knuepfer, you...Senator
5. Knuepfer moves that the Senate concur in House Amendment
6. No. 1 and 2 to SB 791. On that...the question, Secretary
7. will call the roll.

8. SECRETARY:

9. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
10. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
11. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
12. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
13. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
14. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
15. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
16. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
17. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
18. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

20. Johns, aye. Schaffer, aye. Graham, aye. Scholl, aye.
21. Kosinski, aye. On that question the yeas are forty-eight,
22. the nays are one. Senate does concur in House Amendments
23. No. 1 and No. 2 to SB 791. Senator Mitchler.

24. SENATOR MITCHLER:

25. Mr. President, I'd like to rise on a point of personal
26. privilege. Seated in the gallery in the center of the
27. President's gallery is a very close friend of mine and
28. my wife, happens to be a constituent of the President of
29. the Senate, Senator Harris. Mrs. Neil Parkey Emens from
30. Plano, Illinois up in Kendal County. And she's been in
31. the gallery watching things better than a lot of the
32. Senators have been on the Floor. So Parkey, I wonder if
33. you'd stand up and be recognized by the Senate.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
2. Announcements? Further business? Senator Fawell.
3. SENATOR FAWELL:
4. I simply want to remind the Members of the Education
5. Committee we meet immediately after adjournment for a
6. brief period of time.
7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
8. Senator Weaver.
9. SENATOR WEAVER:
10. Mr. President, there'll be a Republican caucus at
11. 8:30 in the morning in the President's office.
12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
13. Senator Donnewald.
14. SENATOR DONNEWALD:
15. A Democratic caucus, 9:00 tomorrow morning, sixth
16. floor.
17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
18. Senator Ozinga.
19. SENATOR OZINGA:
20. The...I've just talked to Bill Day of the Legislative
21. Council, and the Legislative Council meeting for tomorrow
22. morning will be postponed...
23. (machine trouble)
24. SENATOR HYNES:
25. ...asks that the reading of the Resolution be waived.
26. That the rules be suspended for the immediate...and I move
27. for the immediate adoption of the Resolution.
28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
29. Senator Hynes moves to suspend the rules and asks for
30. the immediate adoption of this Resolution number...260.
31. All...all those in favor of suspension of the rules, signify
32. by saying aye. Opposed. The rules have been suspended.
33. Now, Senator Hynes moves for the adoption of the resolution.

1. All those in favor of the adoption of the Resolution,
2. please rise. Resolution is adopted.

3. SECRETARY:

4. Senate Resolution 261 by Senator Mitchler and all
5. Members of the Senate.

6. Whereas this Body is deeply grieved by the untimely...

7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

8. Senator Mitchler.

9. SENATOR MITCHLER:

10. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, this Resolution
11. is for James Stroen Copley, known to many as Jim Copley,
12. publisher of the Copley newspapers of which we have a number
13. in the State of Illinois. And because it is a rather lengthy
14. Resolution, explaining in detail the many fine accomplishments
15. of this great man, I would ask for the reading by the
16. Secretary to be waived and ask for suspension of the rules,
17. immediate consideration and adoption of Senate Resolution
18. 261 which is a death resolution for James Stroen Copley.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

20. Senator...Senator Mitchler moves that the rules be
21. suspended for the adoption of...Senate Resolution 261.
22. All those in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed. The
23. rules have been suspended. Now Senator Mitchler moves
24. for the immediate adoption of Senate Resolution 261. All
25. those in favor please rise. Senate will stand adjourned
26. until 11 a.m. tomorrow morning.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.