

78th General Assembly

June 27, 1973

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

2. The Senate will be in order. The invocation will be by
3. Dr. Adam Baum, Central Baptist Church, Springfield, Illinois.
4. Dr. Baum.

5. (Prayer given by Dr. Baum)

6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

7. Thank you, Dr. Baum. Reading of the Journal.

8. SECRETARY:

9. Monday, June 18, 1973.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

11. Senator Soper.

12. SENATOR SOPER:

13. Mr. President, Members of the Senate. I move that we
14. dispense with the further reading of the Journal of June 18th
15. unless there's some corrections or additions to be made that
16. the Journal stand approved.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

18. You've heard the motion of the Gentlemen from Cicero.
19. All in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed. The ayes have
20. it. The motion prevails.

21. SECRETARY:

22. Tuesday, June 19, 1973.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

24. Senator Soper.

25. SENATOR SOPER:

26. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, I move we dispense
27. with the further reading of the Journal of June 19th unless
28. there's some corrections or additions to be made that the
29. Journal stand approved.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

1. You've heard the motion of Senator Soper. Senator
2. Latherow, for what purpose do you want to confuse the Senate?
3. All in favor, signify by saying aye. Opposed. The ayes have it.

1. The motion prevails.
2. SECRETARY:
3. Wednesday, June 20, 1973.
4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
5. Senator Soper.
6. SENATOR SOPER:
7. Mr. President, I move that we dispense with the further
8. reading of June 20th unless there's some corrections or
9. additions to be made that the Journal stand approved.
10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
11. Senator Latherow moves that your motion is approved. All
12. in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed. The ayes have it.
13. The motion prevails. Committee reports. Senator Soper.
14. SENATOR SOPER:
15. Mr. President, I move that we postpone the reading of
16. the Journals of June 21st, 22nd, 23rd, 25th, 26th and the
17. approval of the same pending the arrival of the printed
18. Journal.
19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
20. All in favor of the motion signify by saying aye. Contrary
21. no. The ayes have it and the motion prevails.
22. SENATOR SOPER:
23. And, Mr. President, I state that on July 1st if Senator
24. Latherow and Senator Ozinga want to come they can read the
25. Journal in full to themselves.
26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
27. All in favor of that motion signify by saying aye. Opposed
28. no. The ayes have it. The motion prevails. Reading...
29. Committee Reports.
30. SECRETARY:
31. (Secretary reads Committee Reports)
32. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
33. Any further business on the Secretary's desk? Messages

1. from the House.

2. SECRETARY:

3. (Secretary reads Message from the House)

4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

5. Senator Partee, did you seek recognition? Senator

6. Savickas.

7. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

8. There are the Sanitary District Bills and I would move

9. if we have leave to move them to 2nd reading without reference.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

11. I think it would be preferable if we would withhold that

12. motion for a moment till the rest of the Sena...more Senators

13. are on the Floor. Do you agree, Senator Partee?

14. SECRETARY:

15. (Secretary continues to read Messages from the House)

16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

17. If I could have the attention of the Senate. We do

18. have a possibility of directing our attention to some of the

19. House Bills on 2nd reading perhaps. With hope in mind that

20. we won't get involved in a serious controversy regarding some

21. amendments during the time that our attendance is a little

22. bit short. So, if we could go through some routine movement of

23. House Bills on 2nd reading to 3rd reading at this time in a

24. regular routine of business, that would be helpful to us. So,

25. shall we run through those, but if we get into a controversial

26. problem, then the Chair will probably rule for a suspension

27. of the rules that will take 30 votes to engage in that so

28. please let's don't go through that routine. Senator McBroom.

29. Senator Scholl. You're not prepared to...nor 555? Senator

30. Swinarski on 878. Senator Knuepfer on 1063, has asked that

31. the bill be read by title a 2nd time.

32. SECRETARY:

33. HB 1063 (Secretary reads title of bill)

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
2. Are there any amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading.
3. Senator McBroom on the Floor? Senator Carroll is 1956
4. fraught with...I wish Senator McBroom were on the Floor. Well
5. it would be helpful. We have a...one recall. Who's asking?
6. SECRETARY:
7. Senator Knuepfer on 1451.
8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
9. Senator Knuepfer asks leave of the Body to recall...We
10. have a motion...come take your amendment back. Let's move
11. HB 1956 with the understanding if there is a problem, Senator
12. McBroom will return it to the order of 2nd reading. Read it
13. by title a 2nd time.
14. SECRETARY:
15. HB 1956 (Secretary reads title of bill)
16. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
18. Any amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. Why don't
19. we do the same with 1937? He wants to leave that. All right
20. we won't. If some of the Senators happen to be in their
21. offices, the Senators on the Floor would invite your attention
22. to the Floor so we can proceed with the business of the Senate.
23. Will the sergeant at arms ring the bell again please. Senator
24. Regner, did you...did you say there was a possibility that an
25. agreement had been reached on noncurrence?
26. SENATOR REGNER:
27. Yes...Mr. President. On HB 174, I would move that the
28. Senate recede from Senate Amendment No. 1 to HB 174.
29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
30. Would the Senator explain the reason for your motion?
31. SENATOR REGNER:
32. Yes, this is a bill that mandates that condominiums and
33. co-ops be assessed at the same value or rate as single

1. family residences. While in the Senate there was an amend-
2. ment attached which exem...eliminated co-ops from this bill.
3. Since that time I have had conversations with the assessors
4. office in Cook County and with the leadership on the other
5. side and we have agreed to recede from that amendment to
6. HB 174.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

8. You have heard the motion of the Senator from Mr. Prospect.
9. All in favor will signify...we have to have a roll call. We
10. have to have a roll call. It's been moved by Senator Regner
11. that we recede from our amendment that the House refused to
12. concur in. The Secretary will call the roll.

13. SECRETARY:

14. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
15. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
16. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
17. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
18. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
19. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
20. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
21. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
22. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
23. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

25. Carroll, aye. Netsch, aye. Harris, aye. Bartulis, aye.
26. Shapiro, aye. Bruce, aye. Buzbee, aye. Berning, aye. On
27. this question, the yeas are 39, the nays are none. The Senate
28. by this roll call has receded from their amendment to HB 174.
29. Senator Roe, would you want to take up 188 on a noncurrence
30. list at this point in time? What is your motion, Senator?

31. SENATOR ROE:

32. Mr. President, I move that the Senate recede from its
33. amendment which the House refused to concur in.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

2. Senator Roe, moves that the Senate do recede from their
3. amendment to House Bill 188 and the Senator from Rochelle will
4. explain his reasons and the amendment. Give us a brief
5. description of why you make your motion.

6. SENATOR ROE:

7. Well, the House sponsor is not enthused with the amend-
8. ment and this amendment was put on without my knowledge.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

10. What was the amendment, Senator Roe? Senator Rock,
11. the Chair would like to know what we're voting on, I think.

12. SENATOR ROCK:

13. This is amendment, an amendment from which we do not
14. it seems to me, in our good judgment wish to recede. It was
15. put on by the Committee on Local Government, and I think it's
16. the home rule amendment.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

18. I'm sorry I brought it up.

19. SENATOR ROE:

20. I think you're right, Senator Rock.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

22. Well, in any event, the Senate Sponsor has moved that
23. the Senate do recede in the amendment to HB 188 and the Secre-
24. tary will call the roll.

25. SECRETARY:

26. Bartulis, Bartulis...

27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

28. Senator Roe, did you want to take your motion out of
29. the record?

30. SENATOR ROE:

31. Yes.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

33. Just let us know what the game plan is. We'll be glad to

1. cooperate. We have to ... Gentlemen. Then, Senator Roe
2. you have an alternate proposal that you can make.

3. SENATOR ROE:

4. I would request that a Conference Committee be appointed.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

6. Senator Roe moves to refuse to recede from the amendment
7. on HB 188 and asks that a con...Committee on Conference be
8. established. All in favor? Opposed. So ordered. Committee
9. on Conference. Senator Hynes. Do you wish to direct any of
10. your attention to 144...344. I can't hear you sir. Hold it.
11. The time is arrived when we must start somewhere, so let's
12. direct our attention to House Bills on 3rd reading. If you
13. remember, those of you who were listening yesterday, we had
14. just completed a complete call of House Bills on 3rd reading
15. as they appeared on yesterday's Calendar. So at this point
16. in time, it seems that we might start at the top of the list
17. and see if there can be some action on some of those bills.
18. Senator Harris is not on the Floor. Senator Bartulis. Senator
19. Conolly, interested in HB 124? Senator Bartulis. Senator
20. Mitchler, for what purpose do you rise?

21. SENATOR MITCHLER:

22. ...Mr. President, HB 534 should be noncontroversial.
23. That's amended down and it's ... it's in its proper form
24. and everything. If you'd like to just pass it, I think we
25. could start with that one.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

27. I thought you were going to say 350.

28. SENATOR MITCHLER:

29. No. 534.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

31. Senator Mitchler has suggested that we move to HB 534.
32. Secretary will read it by title a 3rd time.

33. SECRETARY:

1. HB 534 (Secretary reads title of bill)

2. 3rd reading of the bill.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

4. The Senator from Oswego will explain his bill.

5. SENATOR MITCHLER:

6. Yes, this is a...an appropriation of \$100,000 to the
7. Department of Transportation which will get them started.
8. And, there's matching funds involved in this 90% Federal.
9. And, this has the approval of the Department. And, this
10. is for a railroad grade separation and widening certain
11. streets in North Farnsworth Avenue in Aurora, Illinois, over
12. the Burlington-Northern Railroad overpass. And, I would ask
13. for a favorable roll call.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

15. Any discussion? There seems to be some discussion.
16. Senator Fred Smith.

17. SENATOR SMITH:

18. Mr. President, no opposition whatever to his bill insofar
19. as I personally am concerned. But, I am still wondering,
20. Senator, you will recall, Mr. President, the Senator called
21. this bill back to 2nd reading and said he was amending out
22. \$100,000. The Digest at that time showed that the appropriation
23. was \$100,000, and Journal showed 100,000 and there's yet in
24. the bill a 100,000. I'm just curious to understand how he
25. eliminated the 100,000 on 2nd reading.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

27. Senator Mitchler.

28. SENATOR MITCHLER:

29. Yes, Senator Smith, and I mentioned that I would clarify
30. that. In the House...HB 534 was amended from the original
31. appropriation of 3 and a half million dollars to \$100,000.
32. Now, the amendment that was drawn and put on the bill was
33. one of those amendments that a couple of fellows got together

1. and they drew up without going through the Reference Bureau.
2. Now, when the Senate Bill got over to the House and we
3. wanted to amend it like we did the House Bill, Representative
4. Schoeberlein went to the Legislative Reference Bureau and
5. they had a different type of an amendment. Instead of trans-
6. ferring...money they said it had to have the word appropriate
7. ...appropriate the money. So, what I am doing in the Senate
8. with HB 534 is putting into the bill the proper Legislative
9. Reference Bureau Amendment that really did the same thing
10. that they amended it in the House. And, that's the reason.
11. The bill was amended down to \$100,000, but this gives us the
12. Legislative Bureau proper language and bill's in its pro-
13. per form now. And, when Representative Jack Hill gets the
14. bill back in the House and we pass it he'll concur in this
15. amendment and then the bill will be in proper form to be pre-
16. sented to the Governor.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

18. Senator Smith.

19. SENATOR SMITH:

20. It had been amended in the House? Down to what?

21. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

22. Senator.

23. SENATOR SMITH:

24. 100,000.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

26. Senator Mitchler.

27. SENATOR MITCHLER:

28. Yes, the bill had been amended from 3 1/2 million to
29. 100,000 in the House and we put on the same amendment here,
30. only in correct language. So, we didn't really amend the
31. bill down. We just corrected the language.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

33. Please, Gentlemen. Senator Smith can neither hear nor

1. SENATOR SMITH:

2. All that I'm trying to establish here is that the
3. Senator's statement was, to say the least, misleading.
4. Because you said that you were taking out a \$100,000. You
5. did not actually do that did you Senator?

6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

7. Senator Mitchler.

8. SENATOR MITCHLER:

9. The bill as it came to the Senate from the House called
10. for an appropriation of \$100,000. So, we left that 100,000
11. the same and when I was explaining the amendment I said that's
12. what the amendment really did and that's what it did do, but
13. it was already at 100,000 but had clarifying language. Maybe
14. I should have emphasized clarifying language. It's still a
15. 100,000. That's not very much.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

17. Any further discussion? The question before the Senate
18. is shall HB 534 pass. The Secretary will call the roll.

19. SECRETARY:

20. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
21. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
22. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
23. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
24. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
25. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
26. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
27. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
28. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
29. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

31. There's been a request for a call of the absentees. The
32. absentees will be called.

33. SECRETARY:

1. Buabee, Carroll, Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Daley, Donnewald,
2. Kenneth Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuppel, Kosinski,
3. McBroom, McCarthy, Howard Mohr, Netsch, Newhouse, Nudelman,
4. Ozinga, Palmer, Rock, Romano, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith,
5. Sommer, Sours, Swinarski, Walker, Welsh.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

7. Sommer, aye. Latherow, aye. They're in conference.
8. Smith, aye. Kenneth Hall, aye. Get on the roll call. It's
9. easier if we answer the roll call the first time and let the
10. Secretary tabulate it without all the confusion. On this
11. question, the yeas are 30, the nays are 3. The bill having
12. received the constitutional majority required is therefore
13. declared passed. Senator Mitchler moves, having voted on
14. the prevailing side, the vote by which this bill was passed
15. be reconsidered. Senator Merritt moves to Table the motion
16. by Senator Mitchler. All in favor of Senator Merritt's motion
17. signify by saying aye. Opposed. The ayes have it and the
18. motion prevails. Senator Conolly has informed me that he
19. thinks we would be advised well to call HB 124. It will be
20. read by title a 3rd time and Senator Hynes has a piece of
21. business we can work on too. HB 124.

22. SECRETARY:

23. HB 124 (Secretary reads title of bill)
24. 3rd reading of the bill.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

26. Senator Conolly.

27. SENATOR CONOLLY:

28. Mr. President, this bill just authorized as it says the
29. Department of Transportation to make studies of the shoreline'
30. on Lake Michigan. I'm sure all of you are aware that Lake
31. Michigan is at the highest level it has been in about a hundred
32. years. It's causing a great deal of damage. Any time we
33. have a Northeast wind from Wisconsin down through Chicago and

1. through Indiana. This would allow our own Department to
2. have the opportunity to authorize...to study this problem.
3. It's my understanding that there is an appropriation in the
4. Department's appropriation.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

6. Any further discussion? Senator Knuppel.

7. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

8. I don't see the need for this because it's a Federal
9. problem. There's other states that border on Lake Michigan.
10. And, I don't see the point in...in spending this money for
11. a study that we can't do anything about, because there's
12. plenty of Federal studies and it's a Federal problem and
13. there's Federal Legislation pending with respect to it.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

15. Senator Partee.

16. SENATOR PARTEE:

17. I just had one question. I don't see it in the bill,
18. but are there any aviational inquiries in this bill?

19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

20. Senator Conolly.

21. SENATOR PARTEE:

22. You know what I mean?

23. SENATOR CONOLLY:

24. Any increase?

25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

26. Restate your question, Senator?

27. SENATOR PARTEE:

28. Anything in this bill which would cause this committee
29. to be concerned about where...

30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

31. Gentlemen, break up the conferences please.

32. SENATOR PARTEE:

33. ...any aviational facilities would be placed with re-
ference to the Lake?

1. SENATOR CONOLLY:

2. No, and this is not...creating any new agency. It's
3. just the Department of Waterways within the Department of
4. Transportation who is doing this, not a Legislative Body.
5. ...This is a local problem since it...many of our state lands,
6. the Illinois Beach State Park is being eroded away, many of
7. our city parks along the shore line are being eroded away
8. and it is not just a National problem. It's very much a
9. state and local problem.

10. SENATOR PARTEE:

11. You understand my concern. Ok.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

13. Any further discussion? Question before the Senate is
14. shall HB 124 pass. The Secretary will call the roll.

15. SECRETARY:

16. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
17. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
18. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
19. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
20. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
21. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
22. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
23. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
24. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
25. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

27. Sommer, aye. Knuepfer, aye. Mitchler, aye. Palmer,
28. aye. Nudelman, aye. Rock, aye. Schaffer, aye. Senator
29. Welsh, aye. On this question, the yeas were 43, the nays
30. were 2. The bill having received the constitutional majority
31. required is declared passed. Senator Hynes. Senator
32. Vadalabene has indicated that he has a bill on 3rd reading
33. which he has worked out that could be called at this time

1. and it is 462. Senator Mitchler. Senator Mitchler, Senator
2. Mitchler. Senator Vadalabene says he has removed the problem
3. you had with HB 462 and he's prepared to call it. The Sec-
4. retary will read it by title a 3rd time.

5. SECRETARY:

6. HB 462 (Secretary reads title of bill)
7. 3rd reading of the bill.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

9. Senator Vadalabene.

10. SENATOR VADALABENE:

11. Thank you, Mr. President, and Members of the Senate. The
12. HB 462 is similar to the bill which Senator Mitchler passed
13. out of the Senate which created the Private Sewage Disposal
14. Licensing Act. There was much discussion on it at that time
15. and I would appreciate a favorable vote.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

17. Any discussion? Question before the Senate is shall
18. HB 46...Senator Mitchler.

19. SENATOR MITCHLER:

20. Yes, this bill is the same as the bill that passed out
21. of the Senate. It does have one amendment to have some...
22. just some clarifying language in the bill that...when it came
23. over from the House, it's sponsored by Representative Calvo
24. in the House and I would recommend a favorable roll call.
25. It's a very important bill.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

27. Question before the Senate is, shall HB 462 pass. Sec-
28. retary will call the roll. Senator Merritt. I asked for
29. discussion. Harris? Senator Harris.

30. SENATOR HARRIS:

31. Well,...just point out that...

32. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

33. Gentlemen.

1. SENATOR HARRIS:

2. ...that was a very significant amendment. The units
3. local government are taken out of the operation of this
4. bill. So, this bill is not in the same form as the one
5. that Senator Mitchler passed earlier. ...A very significant
6. and limiting amendment has been applied here and the state
7. still is involved...I frankly feel differently towards this
8. bill now that that drastic amendment has been imposed as
9. compared to the one that Senator Mitchler passed.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

11. Senator Merritt.

12. SENATOR MERRITT:

13. ...Mr. President, Members of the Senate, I hate to
14. oppose my good friend, Senator Vadalabene on this, but I
15. think it just points up to us once again, and I don't care
16. how right the cause might be, but it points up once again
17. our constantly interfering with everybody with licensing,
18. control and regulation. If you come from rural areas like
19. I do and those that do live in the rural areas better be well
20. aware of what they're doing here. I think it...does a great
21. disservice to a lot of our fine people in this business who've
22. had no trouble over the years, aren't having any now. And I
23. certainly oppose the bill.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

25. Any further discussion? The question will be put. Shall
26. HB 462 pass. The Secretary will call the roll.

27. SECRETARY:

28. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew,
29. Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,

30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

31. Gentlemen, we can't hear the response to the roll call.

32. Just a moment, Mr. Secretary. Continue.

33. SECRETARY:

1. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
2. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
3. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard Mohr,
4. Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer,
5. Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, Saperstein, Savickas,
6. Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, Sommer, Soper, Sours,
7. Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr.
8. President.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

10. Scholl, aye. Scholl, no. Been a request for a call
11. of the absentees. The absentees will be called.

12. SECRETARY:

13. Bartulis, Bell, Chew, Clarke, Glass, Graham, Johns,
14. Keegan, Knuepfer, McBroom, McCarthy, Howard Mohr, Don Moore,
15. Netsch, Newhouse, Regner, Roe, Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer,
16. Shapiro, Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Walker, Weaver,
17. Wooten.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

19. The sponsor requests that the bill be placed on the order
20. of consideration postponed. So ordered. Senator Hynes has
21. indicated that we might go to HB 344 on nonconcurrency in
22. Senate amendment. And, it would be nice if we could have
23. some order so the Senator might speak to his motion.

24. I just see the Lt. Governor walking on the Floor. Welcome
25. Neil. Nice to see you. Senator Hynes.

26. SENATOR HYNES:

27. Mr. President, this bill provides that a person on an
28. eligibility list for a police or fire department who becomes
29. over age while the list is pending is nevertheless eligible
30. to be appointed. The Senate attached the Home Rule Amendment.
31. The House has deleted the Home Rule Amendment and I would
32. move that we recede from the Senate Amendment.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

1. Senator Hynes has moved that the Senate do recede from
2. the Senate Amendment to HB 344. Any discussion? Senator
3. Glass.
4. SENATOR GLASS:
5. Senator Hynes, I was trying to follow you. If we do
6. recede will there be a Home Rule Amendment on the bill or
7. not?
8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
9. Senator Glass.
10. SENATOR GLASS:
11. I didn't hear the answer to my question.
12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
13. Did you answer his question?
14. SENATOR HYNES:
15. There will not be. The House refused to accept it and
16. I am moving that we recede from the Home Rule Amendment.
17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
18. I have just been informed that it would be the desire
19. of the leadership that this be held. And,...Senator will you
20. please take your motion out of the record. Be taken out of
21. the record. Senator...Senator Ozinga has requested that
22. HB 447 be read by title a 3rd time. It's the 3rd time,
23. Senator.
24. SECRETARY:
25. HB 447 (Secretary reads title of bill)
26. 3rd reading of the bill.
27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
28. Senator Ozinga.
29. SENATOR OZINGA:
30. This is the annual appropriation for the expenses of the
31. Legislative Reference Bureau for the fiscal year 1974 and will
32. become effective immediately. This is the one we amended the
33. other day for the cost of living amendment. I would appreciate

1. a favorable roll call. All in favor. The question before
2. the Senate is shall House Bill 447 pass. Secretary will
3. call the roll.

4. SECRETARY:

5. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew,
6. Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
7. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall,
8. Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Latherow,
9. McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don Moore,
10. Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee,
11. Regner,

12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

13. Gentlemen, we just...there's 2 problems. 1st the noise
14. is too high and the Senators are not responding loud...loudly
15. enough. 1 or both problems are contributing to an almost im-
16. possible situation for the Secretary. I hate to keep remind-
17. ing you, but this is a fact. Mr. Secretary, continue.

18. SECRETARY:

19. Rock, Roe, Romano, Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Schoil,
20. Shapiro, Smith, Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene,
21. Walker, Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

23. Moore, aye. Sours, aye. Howard Mohr, aye, Saperstein...
24. just a moment, we'll get you. Saperstein, aye. Vadalabene,
25. aye. Clarke, aye. Mitchler, aye. On this question, the
26. yeas were 44, the nays were none. The bill having received
27. the constitutional majority is therefore declared passed.
28. Until we have a full complement does a Senator have a bill
29. that he thinks we could call that is at least semi-noncontro-
30. versial. Senator Conolly. Senator Course, did you ask re-
31. cognition?

32. SENATOR COURSE:

33. I have an appropriation bill, Mr. President. Try that one.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
2. What was the number, Senator?
3. SENATOR COURSE:
4. 789, Mr. President.
5. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
6. HB 789 will be read by title..
7. SECRETARY:
8. HB 789 (Secretary reads title of bill)
9. 3rd reading of the bill.
10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
11. Senator Course.
12. SENATOR COURSE:
13. Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. HB 789
14. is ... makes the annual appropriation to the Department of
15. Revenue. It has 2 amendments. One was put on in committee
16. and one was put on the Floor of the Senate. Both are agreed
17. by the leadership on both sides of the aisle. I would appreciate
18. a favorable roll call.
19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
20. Any discussion? The question before the Senate is shall
21. HB 789 pass. Secretary will call the roll.
22. SECRETARY:
23. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
24. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
25. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
26. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
27. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
28. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
29. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
30. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
31. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
32. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

1. Kenneth Hall, aye. Nimrod, aye. Swinarski, aye. Bruce...

2. On this question, the yeas were 48, the nays were none. The
3. bill having received the constitutional majority required is
4. declared passed. Senator Knuepfer.

5. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

6. I would like...ask leave of the Body to return HB 1451
7. to the order of 2nd reading for the purpose of putting an
8. amendment on.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

10. Is leave granted? I would like to say at this time that if
11. any other Senator has a similar motion, it will help the
12. Secretary's office if we do it now. Is leave granted? HB
13. 1451 is now on the order of 2nd reading for the consideration
14. of amendment. Senator Knuepfer.

15. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

16. ...This is an amendment that I have discussed with
17. Senator Saperstein and was put on at the request of Senator
18. Hall. And, it simply provides that 708 Boards shall not
19. operate any direct services in competition when present
20. services are already being delivered. I would be happy
21. to answer any questions. It's a limitation upon the activity
22. of 708 Boards.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

24. Any discussion?

25. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

26. I move the adoption of the amendment.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

28. Senator Knuepfer moves the adoption No. 2 to HB 1451.
29. All in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed. The ayes
30. have it. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?
31. The bill's returned to the order of 3rd reading. Senator
32. Buzbee.

33. SENATOR BUZBEE:

1. Would...would you call HB 610 now? Are you just jumping
2. around looking for bills to call?

3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

4. I don't...well...We can call some nonconstroversial bills
5. for the...mean time ...mean time we're going to try to get back.
6. You're in order.

7. SENATOR BUZBEE:

8. Well, I just was going to ask for 610 to be called first.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

10. He asks...Senator Buzbee has asked that HB 610 be read a
11. 3rd time and called for passage. Secretary will read the bill.

12. SECRETARY:

13. HB 610 (Secretary reads title of bill)
14. 3rd reading of the bill.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

16. Senator Buzbee.

17. SENATOR BUZBEE:

18. Yes, Mr. President, there were 2 amendments which were
19. put on in the Appropriations Committee which had the support
20. of both sides of the aisle. Yesterday we attempted to put
21. another amendment on which was defeated. And, so, I would
22. ask for a favorable roll call of the bill as it now stands.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

24. Question before the Senate is shall HB 610 pass. Sec-
25. retary will call the roll.

26. SECRETARY:

27. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
28. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
29. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
30. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
31. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
32. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
33. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,

1. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
2. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
3. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
5. Scholl, aye. Course, aye. Regner, aye. On this ques-
6. tion, the yeas are 47, the nays are none. The bill having
7. received the constitutional majority is declared passed.
8. Next bill will be HB 490.
9. SECRETARY:
10. HB 490 (Secretary reads title of bill)
11. 3rd reading of the bill.
12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
13. Senator Conolly.
14. SENATOR CONOLLY:
15. Mr. President, this bill is just as it was suggested by
16. ...read by the Secretary of the Senate. It is in the...
17. Calendar is incorrect on this bill. The bill is...merely di-
18. rects the Department of Transportation to investigate and
19. plan for the consolidation, abandonment, and removal of little
20. used unprofitable branch line railroad trackage. And the re-
21. location and removal of railroad yards, railroad trackage
22. and ...from high density population areas, to be more com-
23. patable with urban or rural growth areas. This is just re-
24. questing the Department of Transportation to take this on as
25. a type of study. It's the product of the Committee for Re-
26. location of Railroads. The Commission that is no longer in
27. existence, but this was one of their recommendations. I know
28. of no opposition to this bill and I would recommend it's
29. passage.
30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
31. Any discussion? Senator ParTEE.
32. SENATOR PARTEE:
33. Well, I know of some opposition to it. This bill came

1. out of committee 8 to 7. Apparently, the Department of
2. Transportation is not in absolute opposition to the bill,
3. but they take the position that this bill would duplicate
4. a lot of other studies that are being made and it might
5. interfere with the regional transportation plan for the
6. Chicago area. It may also mean that they have to hire
7. consultants and there's no budgeting for that. So, there
8. is some opposition. At least this Senator and I would hope
9. that those on this side would not support it.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

11. Any further discussion? Question before the Senate is
12. shall HB 490 pass. Secretary will call the roll.

13. SECRETARY:

14. Bartulis.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

16. Just a moment. Just a moment. We'll wait. Continue.

17. SECRETARY:

18. Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, Clarke,
19. Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty,
20. Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Hynes,
21. Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer,...

22. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

23. Just a moment...Just a moment. Gentlemen, we have
24. all the time too. So, we'll wait. We will not continue
25. the roll call until the Senate is in order. Continue.

26. SECRETARY:

27. Knuppel, Kosinski, Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt,
28. Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod,
29. Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
30. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, Sommer,
31. Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver, Welsh,
32. Wooten, Mr. President.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

1. Netsch, no. Saperstein,...Been a request for a call
2. of the absentees. Absentees will be called.

3. SECRETARY:

4. Bell, Bruce, Chew, Conolly, Course, Daley, Donnewald,
5. Dougherty, Kenneth Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuppel,
6. Kosinski, McCarthy, Newhouse, Nudelman, Palmer, Partee,
7. Regner, Rock, Romano, Saperstein, Savickas, Swinarski,
8. Welsh.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

10. Regner, aye. Gentlemen, we just can't wait every
11. roll call for the Gentlemen to come in from the catacombs.
12. We're just losing too much time. On this question, the
13. yeas were 30, the nays were 5. The bill having received
14. the constitutional majority therefore is declared passed.
15. 32 with the latecomers. The nays were 5. The next bill
16. bill be HB 603. Be read by title for Senator Dougherty.
17. Senator Dougherty, we're reading your bill by title a
18. 3rd time. Wait till we read the bill, Senator.

19. SECRETARY:

20. HB 603 (Secretary reads title of bill)
21. 3rd reading of the bill.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

23. Senator Dougherty;

24. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:

25. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, HB 603 is the
26. annual appropriation of the Board of Economic Development.
27. This bill is amended in the House...amended down and amended
28. down yesterday in the Senate. I move it's favorable approval
29. so we can send it back and have the amendments concurred in.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

31. Any discussion? Senator Merritt.

32. SENATOR MERRITT:

33. I didn't ... I didn't hear Senator Dougherty's motion.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

2. I can understand why. Will you repeat your statement,
3. Senator?

4. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:

5. Senator I just repeated what happened yesterday when we
6. put the pay raise amendment on it. We took your amendment on it.
7. We made a cut of \$63,000 and then we also...we added the ap-
8. propriation for the Midwest Nuclear Conference. And that's
9. the shape the bill is in now and we'd like to send it back.
0. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

1. Any further discussion? Question before the Senate is
2. shall HB 603 pass. The Secretary will call the roll.

3. SECRETARY:

4. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew,
5. Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
6. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall,
7. Hynes,...

8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

9. Just a moment. Just a moment, Mr. Secretary. Continue.

0. SECRETARY:

1. Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
2. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard Mohr,
3. Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer,
4. Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, Saperstein, Savickas,
5. Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, Sommer, Soper, Sours,
6. Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr.
7. President.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

9. McBroom, aye. Newhouse, aye. Donnewald, aye. Glass,
0. aye. Vadalabene, aye. On this question, the yeas are 47, the
1. nays are none. The bill having received the constitutional
2. majority is declared passed. Senator Vadalabene, for what
3. purpose do you rise?

1. SENATOR VADALABENE:

2. On a point of personal privilege, Mr. President.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

4. In the President's Gallery directly in front of me
5. is the Superintendent of the Service Educational Region of
6. Madison County and his Bradley Law Class, he's teaching
7. Law at Bradley, and his students. I wish they would stand
8. and be recognized.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

10. Gentlemen, for a few more moments we will attempt to call
11. noncontroversial bills. Does a Senator have a bill that he
12. wishes to call before we get on the regular numerical order
13. of calling the bills? Senator Knuepfer.

14. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

15. I think I have a series of bills that are now noncon-
16. troversial. That's HB 1451, 2, 3 and 4. If they are
17. controversial at this point I don't know...

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

19. One of those bills was just amended and it is not back
20. from Enrolling and Engrossing yet, Senators.

21. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

22. Oh...sorry.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

24. Do you want to consider 53 and...52, 53 and 54? Perhaps
25. 51 would be back by then.

26. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

27. They really have to go as a package. If 51 doesn't
28. go the others don't mean anything.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

30. All right. Then you...will you please wait. Senator
31. Rock. Senator Rock. We're at 424....224, I'm sorry. 224 Senator
32. Carroll. 311. Will you like it called? Read it by title.

33. SECRETARY:

1. HB 311 (Secretary reads title of bill)
2. 3rd reading of the bill.
3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
4. Any discussion? Senator Carroll.
5. SENATOR CARROLL:
6. Thank you, Mr. President. This bill which we started
7. to discuss once before and found a technical defect in the
8. amendment, deals with prohibition against hiring professional
9. strikebreakers. It was amended by our committee to include
10. both sides, that neither the labor nor management aspects can
11. hire what would be deemed professional strikebreakers. And,
12. I'd be willing to answer any questions. I understand there's
13. no problems with the bill in it's form now.
14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
15. Senator Glass.
16. SENATOR GLASS:
17. Well, thank you, Mr. President, and I would like to ask
18. Senator Carroll one question. The amendment...
19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
20. Just a moment...just a moment. Continue.
21. SENATOR GLASS:
22. Thank you, Mr. President, the amendment, Senator Carroll,
23. we put on in committee that you just spoke of that does pro-
24. hibit strikers...professional strikers from participating in
25. strikes, that is persons who do not work for the company
26. other than officials of the union from participating in strikes.
27. I know you cannot speak for what the House will do, but can
28. you give this Body any assurance that that amendment will not
29. be taken off in the House.
30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
31. Senator Carroll.
32. SENATOR CARROLL:
33. Well, Senator Glass, as you well know, you know each

1. Chamber will do as they see fit no matter what any of us
2. think. It's my personal feeling that innate fairness would
3. dictate that if you cannot hire a professional strikebreaker
4. who owns...who earns a major portion of his income from doing
5. that activity, you can't hire him either way. That's what
6. fairness would dictate and that's what I would personally
7. support.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

9. Senator Glass.

10. SENATOR GLASS:

11. Well, I...I understand that. I just had some concern that
12. ...we do get a commitment at least as far as you are concerned
13. as a sponsor here and I would hope to get one from the House
14. sponsor that that amendment would be left on because of course
15. it was on that basis that at least I supported the bill and I
16. think some others in committee. So, I'd appreciate getting that
17. kind of assurance if we could.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

19. The Chair might suggest that the House couldn't do that
20. without concurrence of the Senate anyway. Senator Sours.

21. SENATOR SOURS:

22. Perhaps we could get a commitment, Mr. President, and
23. Senators, from Senator Carroll and the others on his side,
24. that if the amendment were stripped we would not concur. Then
25. I think, we would be on safe ground.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

27. Are you, Senator Carroll, can you make that commitment?

28. SENATOR CARROLL:

29. I think, Senator Sours, what you're saying if they were
30. to take out the concept entirely it would then be up to this
31. Body to not recede if they would not concur with this amend-
32. ment.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

...Senator Sours.

1. SENATOR SOURS:

2. What assurance would we have, Senator Carroll, that the
3. members on the left side would...would adopt that position?
4. Now, if we don't have some assurance, I suggest the bill just
5. stay here and become moribund with the passage of time.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

7. Senator Carroll.

8. SENATOR CARROLL:

9. Well, I had assumed when the committee had placed on this
10. amendment that that was the opinion of the Senate and that
11. we should pass it in this form. As I've said, if they do not
12. accept the amendment and it comes we should not recede from
13. the amendment.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

15. Senator Sours.

16. SENATORS SOURS:

17. And are you in such, I won't use the word control, but
18. in such a meeting of the minds on your side that that would
19. be the position on your side and not just a few errant members
20. going all directions.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

22. Senator Carroll.

23. SENATOR CARROLL:

24. It's my understanding from discussing it with leader-
25. ship that that is the position we would be taking.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

27. Senator Wooten.

28. SENATOR WOOTEN:

29. A question for the sponsor. ...Senator Carroll, how
30. does this affect, say the little four or five man shop if
31. they get involved in a strike it's a common practice for
32. those in allied trades to give them a hand in maintaining
33. the picket line. Would this...would this bar that kind of
cooperative picketing?

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

2. Senator Carroll.

3. SENATOR CARROLL:

4. It's my understanding that as to the individual workers
5. in that plant there's no question that the union through its
6. business agents, representatives and other recognized officials
7. are allowed to also help out on a picket line. And I think
8. it's a concept of this amendment that the union could not go
9. out and hire people to be professional picketers just as the
10. employee could not hire professional strike breakers.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

12. In other words, the bar is against professional picketers
13. as it is against professional strikebreakers, but in an allied
14. industry as...cause I know that if a little four man shop...
15. gets going, they normally check with another shop to give them
16. some...to give them a hand...just maintaining somebody walking
17. back and forth in front...

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

19. Senator Carroll.

20. SENATOR WOOTEN:

21. ...and this would not bar that huh?

22. SENATOR CARROLL:

23. It's my understanding and Senator Mitchler, I know, is
24. paying close attention to the debate, that that was clearly
25. the understanding of the amendment to prohibit the unions
26. from doing that which we are prohibiting management from
27. doing and that is hiring people whose a substantial portion
28. of their income would be from professional picketing.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR BRUCE):

30. Senator Bruce. Senator Mitchler.

31. SENATOR MITCHLER:

32. Yes, Mr. President, Members of the Senate, HB 311 with
33. the amendment appears to be a very acceptable piece of

1. legislation. And, with the understanding that that's the form
2. in which it's going to be finally approved, we'll be all
3. right. Now, we want the assurance from your side of the aisle
4. that...that you will agree and concur in having that amend-
5. ment stay on when it goes back to the House. Now, if they
6. attempt to take it off and it comes back here, the bill
7. must be defeated, because in it's original form as come
8. over from the House, it's unacceptable. It must have this
9. amendment. With that assurance that we get from your side
10. of the aisle, why we'll give you the necessary votes to pass
11. this.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

13. Senator Carroll.

14. SENATOR CARROLL:

15. Senator Mitchler, if I might. Now, you know, I'm not
16. a union official or I don't represent any labor unions or
17. anything like that. It's my understanding that the intent
18. to your amendment, I happen to agree with what the thrust of
19. what you're trying to do and I do agree that it in its original
20. form it would not have passed out of your committee, and
21. that is our commitment. That that was the thrust in order
22. to get passage and it's my understanding further that what
23. you're trying to attempt to do is to say that the unions
24. cannot employ professional strikebreakers...strikers. That
25. they cannot hire people to picket. Now, I don't know exactly
26. what the House thinking will be as far as the exact words
27. from the union officials. And, other than with that cavity
28. out then that language is going to have to be acceptable to
29. you if they don't accept this. I would assume they would
30. accept this. I think this does exactly what you're saying.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

32. Senator Mitchler.

33. SENATOR MITCHLER:

Well, at this point then, Senator Carroll, may I suggest

1. that we hold HB 311. This is only Wednesday, we've got a
2. couple of days. You counsel with the House sponsor,
3. Representative Hanahan and if he gives you his assurance
4. that this bill will be acceptable in the present form...

5. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

6. He's ... I'm afraid he's not hearing...Senator Mitchler,
7. I'm afraid he is not hearing your question.

8. SENATOR MITCHLER:

9. Yes, Senator Carroll, my suggestion is that we hold HB
10. 311 on the Calendar here. It's Wednesday. Sometime today
11. if you can counsel with Representative Hanahan who is the
12. sponsor in the House, get his assurance that he will accept
13. the bill like this. If he gives you his word that he'll do
14. that, I'm sure that he can deliver. Thank you.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

16. Take it out of the record. Senator Harris.

17. SENATOR HARRIS:

18. I would just point out and I know that every one knows
19. it. Henry Coco who is, you know, this is his bill. He's
20. been here for years with it. I know...I discussed with him
21. day before yesterday, his complete acceptance of this amend-
22. ment. Seems to me that that of course, should be communicated
23. to Representative Hanahan and it would be great to get this
24. off our back and get it resolved.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

6. It will be taken out of the record. Next bill...next
7. bill would be Senator Hynes' 345. We could do two things
8. for Senator Hynes at this time. He wants to ... it's his
9. desire that he make some motion with regard to HB...I thought
10. that was being held Senator Hynes. It has now...you want to
11. ...for what purpose do you rise, Senator, if we can have
12. some order.

SENATOR HYNES:

1. I was just simply standing here and...

2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

3. We could break up the conferences right in front of
4. Senator Hynes it would be helpful.

5. SENATOR HYNES:

6. I was simply standing here and the Chair called my
7. name. I do not wish to call 345. I am ready to go on 344
8. if that meets the approval of everyone involved. But I
9. was told that 344 is now acceptable to everyone.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

11. Let's act on 344. What is your motion?

12. SENATOR HYNES:

13. As I explained earlier the Senate added a Home Rule
14. Amendment. The House refused to concur. And I move that
15. the Senate recede from Amendment No. 1 to HB 344 so that
16. the Home Rule Amendment will no longer be a part of this
17. bill.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

19. Senator Hynes moves that the Senate do recede from the
20. Home Rule Amendment attached to HB 344. Any discussion?
21. Please, Gentlemen, just a moment. This effectively Gentlemen
22. is passage stage. The Secretary will call the roll. On the
23. question, shall the Senate recede.

24. SECRETARY:

25. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew,
26. Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty,
27. Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Hynes, Johns,
28. Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Latherow, McBroom,
29. McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don Moore,...

30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

31. Just a moment, Mr. Secretary. Gentlemen, we cannot,
32. cannot hear your response. Continue.

33. SECRETARY:

1. Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga,
2. Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, Saperstein,
3. Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, Sommer, Soper,
4. Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver, Welsh, Wooten,
5. Mr. President.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

7. Partee, aye. Berning, aye. Nimrod, aye. Weaver, aye.
8. On this question, the yeas are 42, the nays are none. The
9. bill having received the constitutional majority is declared
10. passed. The Senate recede. On the motion for the Senate
11. to recede is declared passed. Next bill will be HB 445.
12. ...Senator Harris.

13. SENATOR HARRIS:

14. Mr. President, on the order of House Bills 3rd...I have
15. a bill, there is an amendment on the Secretary's desk. HB
16. 164. Could we recall 164 to 2nd reading for purposes of
17. amendment that...then could be enrolled and engrossed and
18. we can get to it later in the day?

19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

20. You've heard the motion of the President of the Senate.
21. Is leave granted? Leave is granted, Senator. The bill is
22. now on the order of 2nd reading.

23. SENATOR HARRIS:

24. This amendment provides an appropriation...an additional
25. appropriation in the bill for 7,654 dollars for three ... two
26. employees of the Department of Mental Health and one former
27. employee for legal expenses in connection with the law suit
28. that were the parties to, defendents in. Ultimately, this
29. appropriation...this expenditure would probably be recoverable
30. under the Court of Claims and I've discussed with Representative
31. Berry the inclusion of this amendment. The employees are Dr.
32. Staunton, Dr. Pipenbrink and former employee who was the acting
33. director at the time of the law suit John Briggs. I move the
adoption of the amendment.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

2. You've heard the motion of the Senator from Pontiac.
3. All in favor will signify by saying aye. Opposed. The
4. ayes have it and the amendment is adopted. Any further
5. amendments? HB 164 will be returned to the order of 3rd
6. reading and the Secretary will read HB 345 by title a
7. 3rd time. Now, we want to hold it. We won't read it by
8. title. Senator Kenneth Hall, are you prepared on HB ...
9. He's not here so he's not...Senator Mitchler, are you
10. prepared on 350? Senator Kenneth Hall on the Floor? Are
11. you prepared on HB 353. We're going right down the...we'll
12. go right down the line. You are ready, Senator? Read by
13. title the...Senator Partee, for what purpose do you rise?
14. SENATOR PARTEE:

15. Just to suggest there seems to be a little bashfulness
16. and timidity here this morning. Why don't we just go right
17. on down the roll and let's get them going. Because...

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

19. That's our intention now, Senator. I think you're
20. absolutely correct. 353 by title a 3rd time.

21. SECRETARY:

22. HB 353 (Secretary reads title of bill)
23. 3rd reading of the bill.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

25. Senator Kenneth Hall.

26. SENATOR KENNETH HALL:

27. Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. This
28. bill simply allows State waiver or payment of tuition and
29. fees for those who need college or trade school degrees in
30. order to get decent jobs. Those who would benefit would be
31. men who received better than honorable discharge but...not
32. but...I mean better than dishonorable discharge but not
33. honorable discharges, should not be penalized all their lives

1. for making mistake when...as a young man. Now, many of
2. these acts that lead to less than honorable discharges are
3. acts that would not place a lifetime stigma on someone
4. doing the same thing in civilian life. For that reason, it's
5. only fair that we not permanently penalize people who want
6. to better themselves with education so that they can find
7. a decent job and support their families. Now, this scholar-
8. ship bill will cost the State very little money because very
9. few men are involved. But it will be a great help to those
10. who want to help themselves and leave past mistakes behind
11. them. I would ask your most favorable support of this bill.
12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

13. Senator Mitchler. Senator Mitchler.

14. SENATOR MITCHLER:

15. ...Mr. President, Members of the Senate...this bill is
16. diverting itself from what has been a past history and past
17. practice. Now, I have in my hands, a letter from the Depart-
18. ment of Illinois Veterans of Foreign Wars. The Department
19. Commander, Pat Harrell. Dear Senator Mitchler, We respect-
20. fully request your influence to discourage the passage of
21. House Bill 353 which would permit the availability of State
22. Scholarship funds to those veterans who have received dis-
23. charges other than honorable. Now, these...this reward that
24. we gave at...sometime in the past to veterans who have
25. honorable discharges for these scholarships was in recogni-
26. tion of their service to their country and the fact that they
27. did attain an honorable discharge. And that is not only the
28. policy of the Veterans of Foreign Wars but the Disabled
29. American Veterans. Frank L. Calderala, the National Service
30. Officer. We strongly urge your opposition to House Bill
31. 353 which would make possible State scholarship funds to
32. those veterans whose discharge from military was other than
33. honorable. Now, let me tell you, we don't want to stop

1. anyone from participating and becoming good citizens and
2. returning they might have done a wrong. They're rehabilitated.
3. Now, the way they do this is they have their discharge
4. reviewed and have it changed. Now, there are 2 bills now
5. pending in Congress that is going to even make it easier
6. for the Vietnam War veterans to accomplish this. Because
7. they were under some very unusual circumstances serving
8. in Vietnam and the Federal Congress is now taking that
9. under consideration. But even at this time, even if they
10. don't do that there is a process where a man or a woman
11. can have their discharge reviewed and if it is found proper
12. they can have it returned to such a state that they would
13. have acceptance for these scholarships. Now, this is wrong.
14. We had a bill that we killed in our Senate Industry and
15. Labor Committee that was attempting to do a very similar
16. thing, giving benefits to those with less than an honorable
17. discharge. It's contrary to the policy of the veteran's
18. organizations, the American Legion, the Veterans of Foreign
19. Wars, Disabled Veterans. And, I would ask for a unfavorable
20. roll call on HB 353. I've discussed it with the sponsor
21. not only in the Senate, but also the sponsor in the House,
22. Representative Barnes and they know the position...I don't
23. know how the bill got out of the committee onto the Floor.
24. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

25. Senator Buzbee.

26. SENATOR BUZBEE:

27. Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. President, I rise in
28. probably what's ... somewhat of an unfamiliar roll in opposi-
29. tion to my good friend, Senator Hall. I voted for a bill
30. just the other day that would have a similar effect in that
31. a person with something other than an honorable discharge
32. would be able to go ahead and obtain certain types of jobs.
33. The reason I voted for that particular bill was, I felt that it

1. was sort of like a prison sentence. The man had paid his
2. debt to society and therefore shouldn't be penalized the
3. rest of his life. But, this particular bill I am opposed
4. to, Mr. President, because the military scholarships that
5. are given to veterans are given on an automatic basis when
6. you apply to a university and tell them, I am a veteran and
7. you give them the proof that you are a veteran with a certain
8. type of discharge. Then, they automatically give you that
9. scholarship. There's nothing to do with need, there has
10. nothing to do with your scholastic ability or anything else.
11. As long as you can be admitted to that university in the
12. State of Illinois, you receive the scholarship. Now, I don't
13. think that we ought to give these scholarships, which...they
14. were...they are to be given out on the basis of the fact that
15. you are a veteran. I don't think that we ought to give them
16. out to people who have not served honorably as a veteran.
17. Now, I have a...I have a copy here from the Marine Corp
18. Separation and Retirement Manual. It lists 5 different types
19. of discharges that can be given today. The honorable condi-
20. tion...honorable discharge rather is under honorable condi-
21. tions. The general discharge is under honorable conditions
22. and then the undesirable discharge is under conditions other
23. than honorable. The bad conduct discharge is for conditions
24. other than honorable and the dishonorable discharge is under
25. dishonorable conditions. Now, if I understand this bill cor-
26. rectly, what it would do is, it would say that anybody with
27. a bad conduct discharge, or pardon me, anybody with an un-
28. desirable discharge would still be available, would still be
29. ...you could still get the scholarship. I don't think that
30. should be done because, especially in the last few years there
31. have been many undesirable discharges given for the reason
32. I know not why, of course, in every case or in a lot of the
33. cases, but I just simply believe that this military scholarship

1. is a scholarship given as a reward for good service in the
2. military.. And, I don't think that we ought to allow people
3. who have anything less than a general discharge, which is the
4. 2nd down on the list, after honorable, I don't think we ought
5. to allow anybody with less than a general discharge to re-
6. ceive a military scholarship. Now, it so happened, that when
7. this bill was voted on in the Education Committee, I was gone
8. for a few minutes presenting a bill to another committee and
9. I was voted by proxy in favor of the bill. Had I been there,
10. I would not have voted myself in favor of the bill. I am
11. opposed to the bill in its present form and I think that we
12. ought to limit the military scholarships the way that they
13. are limited now just to people with honorable and general
14. discharges.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

16. Senator Newhouse.

17. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

18. Thank you, Mr. President. This is another in a series
19. of bills that are seeking to redress something that seems to
20. me to be a tremendous miscarriage of justice in some cases.
21. I don't completely disagree with my colleague who just spoke,
22. Senator Buzbee, but let me give you an example of what
23. happens on the discharge situation. A recruiting officer
24. whose position in the recruiting business is based upon
25. what he can produce, a salesman, sells a young man from
26. the inner city on the theory that he can go into the...to
27. the services and get certain kinds of training...certain
28. kinds of education. The young man volunteers...volunteers
29. to go into the service. Finds that on going into the
30. service that those things that were promised to him are not
31. available. The recruiting Sergeant isn't around. There's
32. no one around as a matter of fact to counsel him. So, with
33. that in mind, that he did not get what was promised him, he

1. is not a prime recruit as a matter of fact. And, what's
2. offered him by way of an alternative is a discharge under
3. less than honorable conditions, but in many cases this is
4. not explained to him. I see Representative DiPrima on the
5. Floor. I've discussed this situation with him. I've dis-
6. cussed this situation with both the agencies involved,
7. Veterans of Foreign Wars and the American Legion. And,
8. Representative Barnes has discussed this with them. And,
9. as a matter of fact, they have verbally said to him and
10. have written...have given him some written communications
11. to suggest that they know that these kinds of disparages
12. go on. And, what occurs then is a man who has been dis-
13. charged under conditions less than honorable has a mark that
14. he carries with him through the rest of his career no matter
15. what he does. He can't even wipe it out the way you wipe out
16. a jail sentence. Now, under those circumstances, it seems to
17. me, that we'd be less than feeling if we would consider
18. that this is a person who's committed a crime for which he'd
19. be marked for the rest of his life. Now, we're talking about
20. something that goes into the State pot. We're talking about
21. scholarships. We give scholarships based upon need to all
22. kinds of people. I would suggest that those people who have
23. done some service to this country are no less eligible for
24. scholarships than those who do other kinds of things. Such as,
25. we make scholarships available for person who've served their
26. terms in jail. As a matter of fact, we make scholarships
27. available for persons who are in jail and I think it's a
28. good idea. I think we'd be no less responsible if we made
29. these scholarships available to those persons who have gone
30. into the service, who have served somehow or have attempted
31. to serve their country and come back to us now and say we
32. need...we're in need of training and we want to get scholarships.
33. They ought to be available. The 2nd point is this. That

1. if we're going to relieve ourselves of some financial burdens
2. in the future such as, the Public Aid rolls. Such as, the
3. inducement to stop people from doing some things extra legal,
4. I understand you, Mr. President, then it seems to me that
5. the logical way out is to make it possible for those persons
6. to receive all kinds of training that's available to them.
7. And, I would suggest it's a good deal and I would ask for
8. a favorable roll call on it.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

10. Senator Saperstein.

11. SENATOR SAPERSTEIN:

12. Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I rise
13. in support of this bill. And, I do this for many reasons and
14. one of which the...Senator Newhouse has alluded to and that is
15. our very excellent educational program in our prisons. We
16. allow the prisoners to take GED tests so they may be permitted to
17. go on to a higher education. We offer college courses in our
18. prisons and these young men to whom we are considering this
19. afternoon have committed no crime. And, if we believe in re-
20. habilitation, I think that it...it is imperative that we allow
21. and give them the tools and the opportunity to better themselves.
22. And,...I urge your support of this and I don't think that the
23. veterans organizations would oppose educational opportunities in
24. the prisons. And, why should they oppose educational oppor-
25. tunities for men and women who re...did not commit crimes. This
26. is one way of rehabilitating the men and women who have re-
27. ceived less than honorable which is no crime, which is no crime.
28. I urge your support.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

30. Senator Partee.

31. SENATOR PARTEE:

32. Well, I urge support of this bill, Mr. President. Some
33. of these so-called crimes are...for which people got less

1. than honorable discharges grow out of some very small and
2. picayunish things. A young man told me that one of the
3. things he had problems with is when at a particular camp
4. someone in charge of him didn't like the fact that he wore
5. a natural hair cut. Well, you know, let me tell you, the
6. first person I ever saw with a natural hair cut. It was
7. Little Orphan Annie. She had a natural. That's a long
8. time ago. The people have a right, I think, to wear any-
9. thing they want on their heads, whether it's natural hair
10. or purchased hair or whatever it is. But, some of these
11. incidences grow out of very small and picayunish things and
12. do not relate in any way to either the character or the
13. integrity of the person involved. Now, we talk about re-
14. habilitation. We have institutes of rehabilitation. We
15. spent money by the tons for rehabilitation and a person comes
16. out of the service with this taint on his record as it were,
17. it is not a dishonorable discharge but it is something less
18. than honorable. And, he ought to have, it seems to me, the
19. right to go to school and to prepare himself to make a con-
20. tribution to this great society of which we are so proud. I
21. think this is an excellent bill and I certainly am going to
22. support it.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

24. Senator Fawell.

25. SENATOR FAWELL:

26. Mr. President, I don't think it was mentioned and Senator
27. Glass has not been on the Floor during this debate, but in
28. committee at any rate, this bill seemed to have rather com-
29. plete, as I recall, approval of the committee. Once the
30. sponsor did make 2 promises. One he would report to the
31. committee as to the estimated cost which had been...there
32. had been estimates which had gone into the millions, and as
33. I recall, the final figures given to us that this would cost

1. about an extra 450 thousand, in that area. The...the other
2. amendment which Senator Glass asked for is that all bad con-
3. duct discharges be exempted from the purview of this bill.
4. I'm not sure if this has been mentioned. But, at least all
5. bad conduct discharges have been eliminated from the benefits
6. of this bill, and I think it's important to recognize that.
7. I think, therefore, that many of your veterans organizations
8. and veterans would be much more acceptable to, Bullfrog
9. Mitchler says no, but I...I can't say. I'm not quite as
10. active as Senator Mitchler is in the veterans organizations,
11. but I did want to bring this out. I thought it was of merit
12. in our review of the legislation.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

14. Senator Nimrod.

15. SENATOR NIMROD:

16. Mr. President, we are talking about scholarships as a
17. reward and an honor to our veterans who served so they can
18. be exempt from their tuition and fees. Then I hear about
19. rehabilitation from those who are for this. What rehabili-
20. tation? How do we know person's even applying is rehabili-
21. tated? And when you compare a man who's been in service
22. with a man who's a con...ex-convict? Is that what you're
23. doing? Equating the two together? When you can tell a
24. veteran who's sitting in a classroom who was in camp with
25. another veteran who was an absolute person who messed up
26. everything or did actually did wrong and did harm and dis-
27. credit to his country or to himself or to the people involved.
28. You want that same veteran that's in the classroom to say,
29. he's getting the same reward that I am? Are we taking away
30. all the incentives to do the right kind of thing and say
31. whether you do right or wrong, you're entitled to the same
32. privileges? I would be ashamed to be a part of something
33. that would reward the veterans in this case. I served in

1. that I would be ashamed to be sitting side by side in class-
2. rooms and know that number of these people who I served with
3. or if after that in other things in...those in Vietnam, that
4. they were getting the same kind of reward. We're not look-
5. ing at the merits of what we're doing. We're mixing up our
6. values. And, I can't conceive how we can possibly reward
7. anyone who has done wrong. It's up to the Federal Government
8. as Senator Mitchler has said. They are taking the re...the
9. corrective action. If there is something that has to be
10. changed on those discharges, it's not our right to change it.
11. It's the right of Congress and the army who...were involved
12. in this to start with. And, let's put the pressure on there
13. and then the men can qualify or we can extend it if they
14. decide to do it. But, this is the wrong way of doing it
15. and you're mixing up apples and oranges and I certainly hope
16. that we will not support this kind of a measure.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

18. Senator Knuppel.

19. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

20. Mr. President and Members of this Body, I think I was
21. identified in the Constitutional Convention and here as a very
22. conservative Democrat. I want to say one thing about these
23. people. First of all, they're not draft dodgers, that's
24. number one. They at least went to serve their country, whether
25. they finished it in a commendable manner is another thing.
26. Secondly, whoever applies must have the qualifications to
27. complete a college education. Now, I submit to you that this
28. person will be a citizen and a taxpayer the rest of his life.
29. Now, when I went to the service and was...surveyed out at
30. college, I'd say I was a very bad risk. I was on both dis-
31. ciplinary and scholastic probation. I spent most of my time
32. except when I was in the Pacific...I spent most of my time
33. in the State's campus because I didn't cotton to the regulation.

1. that existed in the army and some of the unreasonable rules
2. and regulations which were imposed. I say I was a bad risk,
3. but the government invested in the GI Bill with me and I
4. hope that I have justified that. When I returned to college
5. I maintained a straight A college average, having left on
6. disciplinary and scholastic probation. These men are young
7. when this occurs. And, the money that they invested, I re-
8. pay each year in income taxes to the Federal Government.
9. This might be the best investment this state ever made. I
10. submit to you and to the members on this side that it would
11. be a tragic mistake because we've eliminated the bad conduct
12. and the dishonorable. It would be a bad mistake on our part.
13. These people are citizens. They'll be taxpayers. This isn't
14. an honor, it's a duty of us to educate our people whether
15. they be veterans, whether they be before they're veterans.
16. Now, I submit to you that it would be a serious mistake to
17. make this type of discrimination. And, I speak as a veteran.
18. I served my country as well as anybody in this Body. I volun-
19. teered, I gave up three years of my life. And, I say that..
20. that there's many young men here who didn't run off to Canada
21. who didn't seek some type of a campus deferment because their
22. father was rich enough to keep them in college. These are
23. boys who went and tried and for some reason just didn't
24. cotton to the type of supervision they got in the armed
25. services. And, many of them may be worthwhile citizens.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

27. Senator Graham.

28. SENATOR GRAHAM):

29. Seems to me like along about now we're going to be called
30. to vote with our head instead of our heart and I'd like to
31. move the previous question if everyone else in the chamber
32. doesn't want to speak.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

1. Move for the previous question. All in favor signify
2. by saying aye. Opposed nay. The motion carried. Senator
3. Hall may close debate.

4. SENATOR KENNETH HALL:

5. Thank you, Mr. President. I'd just to say in closing
6. here and as it's been so ably put by Senator Knuppel, and
7. the others who spoke in favor of this bill. Now, these
8. people...these Gentlemen did go to the service. They didn't
9. run off to Canada and other places. These people served
10. in the armed service. Now, all that we're simply asking
11. is this. Is that one in every ten of Illinois' veterans
12. returning to this State at the rate of 2500 a month
13. have less than an honorable discharge. And, now this is
14. simply defined by the Department of Defense as non-criminal
15. acts. Such as the inability to adjust to military service.
16. And, it does not include the provision...and it does include
17. ...does not include the provision for bad conduct or for
18. dishonorable discharges. Now, the discharge covered in this
19. Act by civil definition and comparison as we commonly accept
20. the definition, would not even meet the legal requirements
21. of a misdemeanor question but there's a gross disparity.
22. So what I'm asking you this, is to give these fellows a chance
23. to get an education. They have to qualify before they're
24. even accepted. Now, just look at this. The statistics show
25. from the Department that in 1950 there were 5,527 general
26. discharges from the armed services. In 1970, that figure
27. rose to 27,534. But, in 2 short years, in 1972 a staggering
28. 43,419 thousand had less than honorable, not bad conduct or
29. dishonorable but less than honorable discharge. So all
30. we're asking is to give people who have served for their
31. country, who made a mistake while they were young. They
32. weren't dodgers...draft dodgers. They served. We're asking
33. that they be given a chance. I would ask your most favorable

1. support for this bill.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

3. The question is shall HB 353 pass and upon that question,
4. the Secretary will call the roll.

5. SECRETARY:

6. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
7. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
8. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
9. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
10. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
11. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
12. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
13. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
14. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
15. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

17. Request for the absentees has been made. The absentees
18. will be called.

19. SECRETARY:

20. Bruce, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Davidson, Donnewald,
21. Glass, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Keegan, Kosinski, Howard
22. Mohr, Ozinga, Rock, Romano, Savickas, Shapiro, Soper,
23. Vadalabene,

24. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

25. Sponsor asks for postponed consideration. HB 353 will
26. be placed on the order of postponed consideration. Senator
27. Rock, 483. 518, Senator Knuppel. 518.

28. SECRETARY:

29. HB 518 (Secretary reads title of bill)
30. 3rd reading of the bill.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

32. Senator Knuppel.

33. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

1. Mr. President, and Members of the Body, this bill
2. is designed to compensate the...the various County Treasurers
3. throughout the State for their handling of inheritance tax
4. matters on behalf of the State of Illinois. The present law
5. provides that the County Treasurer may retain from the monies
6. collected by him 4% of the taxes paid. Under the new consti-
7. tution this is no longer true, and County Treasurers are
8. presently receiving nothing for their collection of Illinois
9. State Inheritance Taxes. This Act would provide a minimum
10. charge of \$50 or all of the taxes at the 4% level if less
11. than \$50. I'm told that this will cost the State in collec-
12. tions of it's inheritance taxes approximately 1 million
13. dollars. However, as you know, County Government is the one
14. most severely affected by loss of revenues under the new con-
15. stitution. This would provide them a fee for handling in-
16. heritance tax matters. I think that it's a good bill. A
17. reasonable reallocation of the collections on inheritance
18. taxes. Would recommend a favorable roll.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

20. Senator Dougherty:

21. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:

22. I...would the Senator yield?

23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

24. He indicated he will.

25. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:

26. Are you aware, Senator, that some time ago there was a
27. Senate Bill that went out of here that provided the county
28. would get one half of the inheritance tax fees? 21...25%
29. they amended it down to 25%. Would this be in addition to
30. that 25%?

31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

32. Senator Knuppel.

33. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

1. Sir,...this bill was introduced in the House, the bill
2. you refer to, I think, was introduced here. I...this would
3. not be in addition to it. This would be a flat...a flat
4. rate for handling it I assume. And, because the bills originated
5. in different locations, the Governor can take care of this
6. if he thinks that it's inappropriate. I'm sure that if the
7. 1/4...if the bill providing for 1/4 passed it would...I
8. would hope that the Governor would veto or...1/4 that the
9. Governor would veto this one. But, there's no assurance,
10. the status of the House, that anything will pass over there.
11. Right.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

13. Is there any further discussion? Senator Hall.

14. SENATOR HARBER HALL:

15. Mr. President, HB...SB 462 is a similar bill to this.
16. ...Senator the...Senator Knuppel, the bill 518...HB 518 is
17. an attempt to just compensate the County Collector for collect-
18. ing and returning to the state the inheritance tax. It's in
19. lieu of the 4% tax that was deducted previously for many
20. years as compensation for that work. However, our HB...04
21. SB 5...462 is something else again and I believe that it was
22. agreed that this bill 518 would be held in this Body until
23. the outcome of SB 462 was determined. I have talked just
24. this morning with the House sponsor of 462 and they're try-
25. ing to move that over there and there's a good deal of sup-
26. port for it. So, I'd think it appropriate if we could hold
27. this. Thank you.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

29. Senator Knuppel.

30. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

31. I'd be happy...I'll be happy to take it out of the record
32. with the understanding that we come up here to Saturday night,
33. you know, I mean, then I recall the bill because I feel they're

1. entitled to something. I'll take it out of the record.
2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
3. HB 518 will be taken out of the record. For what pur-
4. pose does Senator Course arise?
5. SENATOR COURSE:
6. Point of personal privilege, Mr. President. Mr. President,
7. Members of the Senate, to my rear is a exchange student from
8. Finland, Purdy Rosella, who is in the United States studying
9. on a...as an exchange student studying this year in this
10. country for one year. He's accompanied by Mrs. Watson
11. the former Director of the Department of Education, Registra-
12. tion. I'd like both of them to stand and be recognized.
13. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
14. HB 596, Senator Welsh.
15. SECRETARY:
16. HB 596 (Secretary reads title of bill)
17. 3rd reading of the bill.
18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
19. Senator Welsh.
20. SENATOR WELSH:
21. Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. 596
22. extends an exemption to library districts, in the Limitation
23. of Indebtedness Act and I would appreciate a favorable roll
24. call.
25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
26. Senator Regner.
27. SENATOR REGNER:
28. ...Senator Welsh, I assume what this is doing is giving
29. unlimited, nonreferendum bonded indebtedness. And, it's extend-
30. ing it...just like a Home Rule Unit has right now?
31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
32. Senator Welsh.
33. SENATOR WELSH:
No referendum would still be required, Senator. It's

1. still subject to the limitations of the Constitution.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

3. Any further discussion? Senator Fawell.

4. SENATOR FAWELL:

5. I...I'm sorry, Senator, I missed this bill entirely. Can

6. you...it's a...amends limitation of Indebtedness Act. Are

7. you...are you altering the percentages of assessed valuation?

8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

9. Senator Welsh.

10. SENATOR WELSH:

11. No, I'm merely extending an exemption that is enjoyed

12. by many units of local government. And, I'm extending that

13. exemption to library districts.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

15. Senator Fawell.

16. SENATOR FAWELL:

17. Extending that. In no other way are you altering...the...

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

19. Senator Welsh.

20. SENATOR WELSH:

21. No, again, the...the limitations of the Constitution of the

22. State of Illinois still apply. Would apply in this case to

23. library districts as they...as they to township road funds,

24. public hospitals, fire pretention districts and on and on and on.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

26. Senator Fawell.

27. SENATOR FAWELL:

28. So, that in no way are you decreasing that...that limi-

29. tation for any of the districts?

30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

31. Senator Welsh.

32. SENATOR WELSH:

33. No, sir.

1. SENATOR FAWELL:
2. Yeah.
3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
4. Senator Berning.
5. SENATOR BERNING:
6. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to ask the
7. sponsor to clarify then what there is not required. If I
8. read this correctly, the limitations prescribed in Section 1,
9. and those are delineated, shall not apply to any indebtedness
10. of any library. In other words, the library is free and
11. clear of any restrictions on any amount of indebtedness that
12. they want to incur. Is that correct?
13. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
14. Senator Welsh.
15. SENATOR WELSH:
16. Hopefully it will...with the passage of this bill.
17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
18. Senator Berning.
19. SENATOR BERNING:
20. Well, isn't this similar then to just giving them a
21. carte blanche check?
22. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
23. Senator Welsh.
24. SENATOR WELSH:
25. No, sir. A referendum would still be required in any
26. ...on any bond issue or any increase in the tax.
27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
28. Senator Berning.
29. SENATOR BERNING:
30. Where...where are we given that protection?
31. SENATOR WELSH:
32. In Chapter 85 and in the Constitution of the United
33. States.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

2. Senator Hall.

3. SENATOR HARBER HALL:

4. Well, Mr. President, several of us on this side of the
5. aisle were concerned some days ago about this bill and we
6. got together with the...with Senator Welsh and we have de-
7. termined that this is a good bill. I presume he's taken off
8. an amendment that we had ask him to put on. ...Is that right?

9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

10. Senator Welsh.

11. SENATOR WELSH:

12. ...Senator Hall, the amendment still applies and I don't
13. think it would make any difference if it was taken off or
14. kept on. It, really, the amendment doesn't do anything, but I
15. would hold, remove it if...if you would feel more comfortable.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

17. Senator Hall.

18. SENATOR HALL:

19. ...Well, I thought we were going to remove it. We would
20. like to have it off, but outside of that we would then sup-
21. port it. If you want to bring it back and take it off.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

23. Senator Welsh.

24. SENATOR WELSH:

25. Mr. President, then I would ask leave of the Body to
26. ...to take HB 596 back to the order of 2nd reading for the
27. purposes of Tabling Amendment No. 1.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

29. Senator Welsh asks leave to remove...to move HB 596 back
30. to the order of 2nd reading. Is there leave? Leave is granted.

31. Senator Welsh.

32. SENATOR WELSH:

33. Mr. President, I now move that Amendment No. 1 to HB...

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

2. Senator Welsh moves to reconsider the vote by which
3. Amendment No. 1 to HB 596 was adopted. All in...Is there leave?

4. Leave is granted. Senator Welsh moves to Table Amendment
5. No. 1 to HB 596. All in favor signify by saying aye.

6. Opposed nay. The Amendment is Tabled. 3rd reading. ...

7. Senator Hall arise?

8. SENATOR KENNETH HALL:

9. A point of person privilege, Mr. President. ... Mr.
10. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I would like
11. to present to you in the President's Gallery, the honorable
12. Circuit Judge from my area, Judge Cunningham, his lovely
13. wife, his son Tom Cunningham and his daughter Deneal Cunningham
14. and also accompanying them are Mr. and Mrs. Paul Barkman, who
15. is the Chief Clerk of the Circuit Court and his niece Cynthia
16. Demorriss. I would like if they would stand and be recognized
17. by the Senate.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

19. Senator Welsh on 596.

20. SENATOR WELSH:

21. Mr. President...Mr. President, Members of the Senate, I
22. would appreciate a favorable roll call on HB 596.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

24. Is there any further discussion? Senator Clarke.

25. SENATOR CLARKE.

26. Let me just reiterate what Senator Hall said. That I
27. think this bill's all right. It requires a referendum.
28. Actually I think the amendment did do something. My understand-
29. ing was that the Constitutional Convention extended to 40
30. years the length of time bond issues can run. And, I think
31. that is undesirable and that's why we did want the amendment
32. off. So I'd urge a favorable vote.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

1. The question is shall HB 596 pass and upon that question
2. the Secretary will call the roll.

3. SECRETARY:

4. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
5. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
6. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
7. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
8. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
9. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
10. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
11. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
12. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
13. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

15. Don Moore, aye. Merritt, aye. Saperstein, aye.
16. Buzbee, aye. Bruce, aye. Glass, aye. McBroom, aye.
17. Donnewald, aye. Sours, aye. On that question, the ayes
18. are 42 and the nays are none. HB 596 having received a
19. constitutional majority is declared passed. 661 Senator
20. Shapiro.

21. SECRETARY:

22. HB 661 (Secretary reads title of bill)
23. 3rd reading of the bill.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

25. Senator Shapiro.

26. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

27. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, HB 661 provides for
28. a State Board of Education composed of 16 members to be appointed
29. from the Judicial Districts throughout the State. Eight from the
30. 1st district and 2 from each of the other 4 districts, with
31. an additional member to be appointed at large by the Governor
32. with advice and consent of the Senate, making up a 17-member
33. board. No more than 9 members shall be from the same political party. These members will serve 6-year staggered terms and

1. no member can be appointed for more than 2 six-year terms.
2. The bill provides that the board members shall be reimbursed
3. for necessary expenses and may claim up to \$50 per diem for
4. meeting days. Until the expiration of the present Superinten-
5. dent of Public Instruction's term, the board will serve in an
6. advisory capacity and will arrange for the appointment of a
7. State Superintendent of Education when the present term of
8. our present Superintendent of Education expires. The Board
9. will select its own chairman from its membership for a 2-year
10. term, and will assume all duties currently delegated to the
11. Superintendent of Public Instruction. The Board as it will
12. be constituted will have jurisdiction over public and private
13. schools, preschool through grade twelve and vocational educa-
14. tion. The Board in addition shall analyze the present and
15. future aims, needs and requirements of education and shall
16. make their recommendations to the General Assembly for ap-
17. proval. And, the Board will also recommend to the General
18. Assembly the appropriate relationship between the Board, local
19. school boards and various State agencies. In addition, there
20. will be a 3-member committee to join 3 members of the Board
21. of Higher Education in order to coordinate the developing
22. policy matters of mutual concern between our primary and
23. secondary schools and higher education such as occupational
24. education, teacher preparation certification and the trans-
25. ition between our secondary schools and our junior colleges.
26. If there are any questions concerning the bill I will attempt
27. to answer them to the best of my ability. If not, I would
28. appreciate a favorable roll call.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

30. Senator Hynes.

31. SENATOR HYNES:

32. Mr. President, this bill as we are all well aware, pro-
33. vides for an appointed State Board of Education and many of

1. us are of the feeling that the Board ought to be of...com-
2. posed of either elected members or ought to be mixed in terms
3. of having elected and appointed members. This Body I think
4. indicated its preference yesterday on that issue. And per-
5. haps we might say that issue is closed. I'm not so sure that
6. is true. But, beyond that, the bill as amended contains a
7. provision which I think is discriminatory with respect to
8. the County of Cook and the City of Chicago. The 1st Judicial
9. District is treated in a unique fashion in this bill in that
10. the amendment, Amendment No. 3, I believe, adopted yesterday
11. attempts to break the 1st Judicial District into subdistricts,
12. Chicago and suburban Cook County, and allocates the members
13. 4 from the city, 4 from suburban Cook County. I do not
14. think that is justifiable. First of all because it is not
15. done in any other district in the state, and secondly because
16. on a basis of pure population, the 4 and 4 breakdown cannot
17. be justified. I think the bill is very seriously defective
18. on that ground alone, if for no other.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

20. Any further discussion? Senator Netsch do you wish...
21. Senator Netsch.

22. SENATOR NETSCH:

23. Mr. President, may I just underscore what Senator Hynes
24. has said to the Senate sponsor of the bill. I favor an
25. appointed Board. I will vote for the bill. I think it is in
26. its ... good form with respect to that. But, it is not fair
27. or right and it's going to inject a political element into
28. this whole procedure which is most unfortunate and I would
29. strongly urge you to seek nonacceptance by the House of that
30. amendment.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

32. Any further discussion? The question is shall HB 661
33. pass and upon that question, the Secretary will call the roll.

1. SECRETARY:

2. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
3. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
4. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
5. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
6. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
7. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
8. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
9. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
10. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
11. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

13. Harber Hall, aye. Latherow, aye. Graham, aye.
14. Harris, aye. On that question, the ayes are 36, the nays
15. are 1 and 1 present. HB 661 having received the constitu-
16. tional majority is declared passed. 66...Senator Swinarski.
17. There's been a request for a verification of the roll call,
18. the Senators will please be in their seats. The Secretary
19. will verify the ayes.

20. SECRETARY:

21. The following voted in the affirmative: Bartulis,
22. Bell, Berning, Buzbee, Clarke, Conolly, Davidson, Fawell,
23. Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Latherow,
24. McBroom, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch,
25. Newhouse, Nimrod, Ozinga, Regner, Roe, Schaffer, Scholl,
26. Shapiro, Sommer, Soper, Sours, Walker, Weaver, Wooten, Mr.
27. President.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

29. Verification is...the roll has been verified. Senator
30. Glass.

31. SENATOR GLASS:

32. Having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider
33. the vote by which HB 661 passed.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

2. Senator Glass moves to reconsider. Senator Shapiro
3. moves to Table. All in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed
4. nay. Motion to Table carries. 662, Senator Shapiro.

5. SECRETARY:

6. HB 662 (Secretary reads title of bill)

7. 3rd reading of the bill.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

9. Senator Shapiro.

10. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

11. Mr. President, HB 662 provides an appropriation of
12. \$25,000 for the State Board of Education to meet its expenses
13. for the F.Y. '74. The breakdown on this appropriation pro-
14. vides for 20 days of meetings for the 17 members, plus expense
15. money for the 17 members during those 20 days, for an approxi-
16. mate total of \$25,000. I would urge a favorable roll call.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

18. Is there any discussion? Question is shall HB 662
19. pass and upon that question the Secretary will call the roll.

20. SECRETARY:

21. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
22. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
23. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
24. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
25. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
26. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
27. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
28. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
29. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
30. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

32. Newhouse, aye. Donnewald, aye. Kenneth Hall, aye.
33. Wooten, aye. Kosinski, aye. Romano, aye. Schaffer, aye.

1. On that question, the ayes are 43 and the nays are 1. HB
2. 662 having received the constitutional majority is declared
3. passed. 724, Senator Mohr.

4. SECRETARY:

5. HB 724 (Secretary reads title of bill)
6. 3rd reading of the bill.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

8. Senator Howard Mohr.

9. SENATOR MOHR:

10. Yes, Mr. President. HB 724 is a bill that came out of
11. the study commission established by the House of Representa-
12. tives over a year ago. And, this legislation is a product of
13. that commission. The bill calls for a...creating a separate
14. department of developmental disabilities and takes away this
15. activity from the Department of Mental Health. The feeling
16. is that the Department of Mental Health has failed in this
17. responsibility in this area and that a separate department
18. should be set up. This bill received considerable hearing in
19. committee. Was referred to a subcommittee who've worked on
20. this legislation for several weeks and came back with a rec-
21. ommendation out of Senator Knuepfer's Public Health Committee.
22. The dropping of the mental retardation label as this legisla-
23. tion provides for the same as the Federal Government done...
24. has done, is certainly a big step and a step in the right di-
25. rection. We all know that mental illness is potentially
26. temporary and that retardation is permanent. Twenty-four
27. states have already enacted this legislation recognizing
28. the need for the separation. Taking away the stigma of or
29. the label of mental health and have enacted legislation to
30. do what we are attempting to do here today. There are al-
31. most 700 retarded placed in out-of-state facilities paid
32. for by the State of Illinois, the Department of Mental
33. Health. And, the feeling is and it can be substantiated
that the Department has little or no idea of what services

1. or care is being provided for these people. In Illinois
2. we have about 55,000 children who suffer from cerebral
3. palsy alone. 60% of these are retarded. That...which
4. means there are about 22,000 that are labeled as clients
5. of...of the mental health or under the Mental Health De-
6. partment. There are about 300,000 people that are affected
7. would be affected by this legislation in Illinois. The
8. parents of these children are most deeply concerned and
9. we would just really like to zero in on the problem, focus
10. some attention to the problem and pass this legislation.
11. And, let the Governor know that we're concerned, let the
12. Department of Mental Health know that we are most unhappy
13. with this operation and it's time that we make some changes.
14. I know that Senator Knuepfer and Senator Saperstein and
15. Senator Bell and others on the subcommittee might have some-
16. thing to add to this but we'll be happy to answer any questions
17. that you might have.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

19. Senator Wooten.

20. SENATOR WOOTEN:

21. ...Senator Mohr, just a question. As I recall during
22. the committee hearing and also during the subcommittee hear-
23. ing, the question was made...what amendments would you accept
24. because it is doubtful that this bill could move out unamended?
25. I don't see any indication of an amendment. Was any amend-
26. ment offered or adopted to this bill?

27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

28. Senator Mohr.

29. SENATOR MOHR:

30. No, ... Senator, there hasn't been any amendments offered
31. or talked about to me. I'm not aware of any that anybody else
32. might have.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

1. Senator Partee.

2. SENATOR PARTEE:

3. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, I am certain that
4. most of you have had several indepth conversations with
5. people who are on both sides of this question. They're all
6. very, very well motivated and are some of the most devoted
7. kind of parents that live in this state. I would hope that
8. this bill could pass. I certainly plan to vote for it. I know
9. that many of you are reluctant to do so because you have
10. been so strongly lobbied on the other side. I would sug-
11. gest to you that even if this bill passes, there is some
12. question as to whether it will be signed into law. I think
13. the most salutary thing that can come out of its passage is
14. that those persons who on both sides of this questions, to-
15. gether with the director of that part of government which
16. has this matter under consideration will, in fact, get to-
17. gether and will bring about a climate of agreement whereby
18. a bill can be passed in the next Session which would have
19. the approbation of all of the divergent groups. In that
20. context I think this bill ought to pass so that it will
21. at least get everybody together on the subject. And, I
22. certainly envision that this will happen if this bill passes.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

24. Senator Shapiro.

25. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

26. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, very briefly, I
27. just want to reiterate what the distinguished minority leader
28. has stated. I was chairman of the subcommittee that held
29. the hearing on 724. There was an attempt by both sides to
30. reach some type of a compromise through amendments. The
31. meeting was futile. And, in its present form, I would urge
32. a favorable vote for HB 724.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

1. Senator Knuepfer.

2. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

3. Well, I...I will say, when we first got the bill we
4. had some reservations about it, but as we began to look at
5. the programing in the Department of Mental Health, particu-
6. larly as it related to the area of retardation, and began
7. to develop a feeling that somehow or other the Department
8. needed to focus more surely on this problem which is of
9. concern, of great concern to so many parents of this state.
10. We became increasingly concerned with the passage of this
11. bill. And, I will say I started out with one...as one who
12. was somewhat negative. I do think it is an area that we
13. ought to be telling the Governor as we are doing now that
14. we have a concern. That our parents, many parents have a
15. concern. And, I would hope that this side of the aisle as
16. well would support this kind of legislation.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

18. Senator Mohr may close debate.

19. SENATOR MOHR:

20. Mr. President, there's not much to be said after the
21. three previous speakers. I would ask for a favorable roll
22. call.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

24. The question is shall HB 724 pass and upon that question,
25. the Secretary will call the roll.

26. SECRETARY:

27. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
28. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
29. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
30. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
31. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
32. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
33. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,

1.
2. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
3. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

5. Ozinga, aye. Welsh, aye. Nudelman, aye. Carroll,
6. aye. Scholl, aye. Sours, aye. On that question, the
7. ayes are 48, the nays are 1 and 1 present. HB 724 having
8. received a constitutional majority is declared passed.
9. 725.

10. SECRETARY:

11. HB 725 (Secretary reads title of bill)
12. 3rd reading of the bill.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

14. Senator Mohr.

15. SENATOR MOHR:

16. Yes, Mr. President, this is almost a companion bill to
17. 724. It deals with the Department of Public Health. It
18. would require the Department to develop standards for the
19. designation of regional centers for infant and maternity
20. cases...to expectant mothers whose health is impaired or
21. who is in jeopardy of delivering a distressed or handicapped
22. infant. It would require that the Department make a study,
23. report back to the General Assembly on July 1 of '74 showing
24. ...designate that centers, plans, total expected annual
25. deliveries, required personnel and equipment and transporta-
26. tion and this sort of thing. ...It again would mandate the
27. Department to make the...make the study or...require them to
28. set up the standards and report back to this Body on July 1st
29. of '74. It's supported by the Department and by many of the
30. ...organizations that were mentioned here in 724. Any
31. questions, I'd be happy to answer.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

33. Senator Knuepfer.

1. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

2. Well, I just want to say that with the end in view of
3. preventing retardation. This is one of the best bills that
4. has come before this Assembly. It's an excellent bill. I
5. certainly hope that we can get unanimous support on it, because
6. this is the kind of a bill that prevents retardation rather
7. than trying to deal with it after it's already occurred.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

9. Senator Rock.

10. SENATOR ROCK:

11. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
12. Senate, I rise in support of HB 725. I think it's an ex-
13. cellent bill and would urge all the members on this side to
14. vote aye.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

16. Senator Berning.

17. SENATOR BERNING:

18. Thank you, Mr. President. ...The comments I intended to
19. make have essentially been made. I'd just merely like to add
20. that difficult as it is to accept the fact that we do have these
21. unfortunate children, and admirable as it is to take care of
22. them, how much more desirable is it to attempt to prevent the kinds
23. of births that are represented by this circular that's sent
24. out just this morning. One out of thirty-three babies born
25. in Illinois will eventually be diagnosed as retarded. This
26. is tragic. If we can avoid even 1% of these births we will
27. have done mankind and the citizens of Illinois a great service.
28. And, I wholeheartedly support HB 725.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

30. Any further discussion? Question is shall HB 725 pass
31. and upon that question, the Secretary will call the roll.

32. SECRETARY:

33. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,

10736
4/27/13

- 1. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
- 2. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
- 3. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
- 4. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
- 5. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
- 6. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
- 7. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
- 8. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
- 9. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

11. Merritt, aye. Knuppel, aye. On that question, the
12. ayes are 51, the nays are none. HB 725 having received a
13. constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Welsh
14. asks leave to bring HB 736 back to the order of 2nd reading
15. for the purpose of amendment. Is there leave? Leave is
16. granted. Senator Welsh.

17. SENATOR WELSH:

18. Now, Mr. President, I yield to Senator Nimrod on the
19. amendment.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

21. Senator Nimrod.

22. SENATOR NIMROD:

23. Yes, Mr. President, and fellow colleagues, the...this bill
24. basically is for park districts, where there are 2 or more
25. park districts that exist within a municipality. It provides
26. for the means of calling for a referendum and making it into
27. one park district. However, the amendment that I am presenting
28. to this will require at least 50% of the voters within the
29. district being disannexed to be on the petition. In other
30. words it will take 50% of those voters within that district
31. on the petition before they can be disannexed and the refer-
32. endum...by means of a referendum. And, I would ask for the
33. adoption of this amendment. I have discussed this with both
Senator Welsh and the House sponsor and they are in agreement

HB 736
6/27/13

- 1. with it.
- 2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 3. Senator Rock.
- 4. SENATOR ROCK:
- 5. Just a question, if the sponsor of the amendment will
- 6. yield. What is the current law. ...Are we...what...
- 7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 8. Senator Nimrod.
- 9. SENATOR NIMROD:
- 10. The current law of course is that there has to be a
- 11. the people ... there's no means actually of having them being
- 12. disannexed unless that old park district votes. What this
- 13. does is allow a part of the park district to be taken away
- 14. where it's within...the limits of another municipality.
- 15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 16. Any further discussion? Senator Nimrod offers Amend-
- 17. ment No. 1 to HB 736. Senator Fawell.
- 18. SENATOR FAWELL:
- 19. My only point is that 50% of the registered voters as I
- 20. understand it is a tremendously high number signatures, Senator
- 21. Nimrod. 50% you're talking of the...50% of the voters would
- 22. have to sign the petition?
- 23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 24. Senator Nimrod.
- 25. SENATOR NIMROD:
- 26. Yes, ... what we're asking for ...what...what...the
- 27. reason for...this bill came to my attention in reading it
- 28. and it seemed to me that a ... the way the bill was written
- 29. that what could happen is this. Is within the municipality
- 30. if there are two park districts then one group, let's say
- 31. they represent 25% of that municipality the other 75% of
- 32. that municipality could disannex them from the park district
- 33. which they've been in for 10 or 50 or 100 years. I don't

HB 234
6/27/73

1. think that's fair. If they want to go ahead and have a
2. referendum to disannex that group from the park district
3. they've been in then at least 50% of those voters ought to
4. be on that petition before they're disannexed. Now, I'm
5. not saying I'm for the bill but if the bill's going to go
6. through it should have this kind of protection for those
7. people who are being disannexed from one park district to
8. the other. And, that means if 50% of them want to get out
9. then that will ok their petition to go ahead and have their
10. referendum.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

12. Senator Fawell.

13. SENATOR FAWELL:

14. ...My...maybe I don't understand the bill but it appears
15. as though there's a referendum that follows anyway where the
16. people have the opportunity to vote on this question. It
17. seems to me that you're making it pretty difficult to ever
18. get the matter to a referendum. ... Isn't this so?

19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

20. Senator Nimrod.

21. SENATOR NIMROD:

22. Well let's take the case of 75% and 25% within a muni-
23. cipality. The...if there was just a referendum held then
24. that 75% within that municipality could vote out that 25%.
25. Even if they didn't want to get out. And, even if they were
26. in a better park district and didn't want to leave, they
27. would be forced to leave even though they had paid for those
28. services over all those years. Now, what this does by re-
29. quiring them to have 50% on the petition is that it at least
30. gets 50% of those peoples from that minority representation
31. to approve having the referendum. Now, when they have their
32. referendum it takes a majority vote to do it. Then they are
33. not being certainly discriminated against and being forced

HB 736
6/27/73



- 1. out of something they would have to get out of in the first
- 2. place.
- 3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 4. Any further discussion. Senator Nimrod offers Amend-
- 5. ment No. 1 to HB 736. All in favor signify by saying aye.
- 6. Opposed nay. The amendment's adopted. 3rd read...any
- 7. further amendments? 3rd reading. HB 684, Senator Hynes.
- 8. SECRETARY:
- 9. HB 684 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 10. 3rd reading of the bill.
- 11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 12. Senator Hynes.
- 13. SENATOR HYNES:
- 14. This bill is exactly what the syllabus indicates. It's
- 15. the annual appropriation for the Board and I would ask for a
- 16. favorable roll call.
- 17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 18. Is there any discussion? Senator Graham.
- 19. SENATOR GRAHAM:
- 20. Who's going to be in charge of dispersing this money?
- 21. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 22. Senator Hynes.
- 23. SENATOR HYNES:
- 24. Who's going to be in charge of dispersing it?
- 25. SENATOR GRAHAM:
- 26. Yeah, who's in charge of this department?
- 27. SENATOR HYNES:
- 28. The director you mean? Mr. O'Melia. Is that what...
- 29. is that what you mean?
- 30. SENATOR GRAHAM:
- 31. He will be in charge of it? Where does David Fogle
- 32. fit into this? Anywhere?
- 33. SENATOR HYNES:

1. Oh, no, no, no, that is the Law Enforcement Commission.
2. SENATOR GRAHAM:
3. Thank you.
4. SENATOR HYNES:
5. It has nothing to do with this.
6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
7. Any further discussion? Senator Berning.
8. SENATOR BERNING:
9. Thank you, Mr. President, I'd just like to call the
10. attention of the Body to this particular appropriation which
11. is going to serve a very worthy cause. My only comment, my
12. only reason for emphasizing this is simply that this is 3
13. million dollars we would not have to appropriate out of
14. general revenue had we been willing to pass the surcharge
15. on fines.
16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
17. Any further discussion? The question is shall HB 684
18. pass and upon that question, the Secretary will call the
19. roll.
20. SECRETARY:
21. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
22. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
23. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
24. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
25. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
26. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
27. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
28. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
29. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
30. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
32. Netsch, aye. Buzbee, aye. Bruce, aye. Scholl, aye.
33. Schaffer, aye. Sours, aye. Soper, aye. Romano, aye.

1. On that question, the ayes are 51 the nays are none. HB
2. 684 having received a constitutional majority is declared
3. passed. 73...Senator Latherow, 739.
4. SECRETARY:
5. 739 (Secretary reads title of bill)
6. 3rd reading of the bill.
7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
8. Senator Latherow.
9. SENATOR LATHEROW:
10. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, this is a bill
11. that will allow local governments to distribute their internal
12. revenue sharing to such portions as local fire districts and
13. plant trees in some townships and so on. I think it's an
14. agreed amendment that we finally got before the Legislature.
15. I move for a favorable roll call.
16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
17. Is there any discussion? The question is shall HB 739
18. pass and upon that question the Secretary will call the roll.
19. SECRETARY:
20. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
21. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
22. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
23. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
24. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
25. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
26. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
27. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
28. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
29. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
31. Shapiro, aye. Glass, aye. Netsch, aye.
32. SECRETARY:
33. HB 739 (Secretary reads title of bill)

1. 3rd reading of the bill.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

3. On HB 739, the ayes are 48, the nays are none. HB
4. 739 having received a constitutional majority is declared
5. passed. Senator Glass asks to bring HB 757 back to the
6. order of 2nd reading for the purposes of amendment. Is
7. there leave? Leave is granted. Senator Glass.

8. SENATOR GLASS:

9. Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to offer an
10. amendment to HB 757 which I drafted this morning after having
11. this problem called to my attention by Senator Fawell. Under
12. the bill as it presently exists we call for the trustee of
13. a land trust to disclose the identity of all persons who own
14. an interest in the land trust and then for the governmental
15. agency or governmental unit which is purchasing the land to
16. require from the person so disclosed affidavits with regard
17. to any other interests that may exist in the land. This
18. amendment would provide that if the party disclosed by the
19. trustee or managing agent cannot be located after diligent
20. inquiry the affidavit can be made by an adult who is legally
21. competent to execute the same or, excuse me, it can be made
22. by a next of kin or representative...a legal representative
23. of such party. And, I would move for adoption of the amend-
24. ment.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

26. Senator Glass moves the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to
27. HB 757. And, is there any discussion? All in favor signify
28. by saying aye. Opposed nay. Amendment No. 4 is adopted.
29. Any further amendments? 3rd reading. 771, Senator Schaffer.

30. SECRETARY:

31. HB 771 (Secretary reads title of bill)

32. 3rd reading of the bill.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

1. Senator Schaffer.

2. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

3. This is the appropriation for HB 770 which passed out
4. of here on the consent Calendar for the Weather Modification
5. Control Act which provides for the registration and super-
6. vision of those people who affect the weather. The guys
7. that salt the clouds and I believe there was no controversy
8. on the substantive bill and it's a relatively small appropriation.
9. Appreciate a favorable roll call.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

11. Is there any discussion? The question is shall HB 771
12. pass and upon that question, the Secretary will call the roll.

13. SECRETARY:

14. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
15. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
16. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
17. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
18. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
19. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
20. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
21. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
22. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
23. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

25. Course, aye. On that question, the ayes are 42, the
26. nays are 2. HB 771 having received a constitutional
27. majority is declared passed. 799, Senator Scholl. 803
28. Senator Regner. Senator Regner requests leave to return
29. HB 803 to the order of 2nd reading for the purpose of an
30. amendment. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Senator
31. Regner.

32. SENATOR REGNER:

33. Yes, Mr. President, what I want to do is Table Amendment
No. 2. I found a couple of technical errors in it and I do

1. have another amendment to offer. So, I now move having
2. voted on the prevailing side on the adoption of Amendment No.
3. 2, I'd like to reconsider the vote by which that amendment
4. was adopted.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

6. Senator Regner moves to reconsider the vote by which
7. Amendment No. 2 to HB 803 was adopted. All in favor...
8. Senator Partee.

9. SENATOR PARTEE:

10. Now, the amendment you're Tabling, you have another
11. amendment in its place instead? Could we have a copy of that
12. please?

13. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

14. All in favor of reconsideration signify by saying aye.
15. Opposed, nay. Motion carries. Senator Regner moves to Table
16. Amendment No. 3...2 Amendment No. 2 to HB 803. All in favor
17. signify by saying aye. Opposed, nay. Amendment No. 2 is
18. Tabled. Senator Regner offers Amendment No. 4 to HB 803.
19. Senator Partee.

20. SENATOR PARTEE:

21. I wish you would just take it out of the record till we
22. get a chance to check the amendment. See if we had them be-
23. fore, we wouldn't have to ask you to hold it. But, we haven't
24. seen it and we'd like to see it before we vote on it.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

26. It'll be taken out of the record. 3rd reading. Senator
27. Scholl's 820. Senator Mitchler 903? Senator Mitchler 903?

28. SECRETARY:

29. HB 903 (Secretary reads title of bill)
30. 3rd reading of the bill.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

32. Senator Mitchler.

33. SENATOR MITCHLER:

1. Yes, Mr. President, Members of the Senate, that's
2. exactly what the bill does. ...You've noted from time to
3. time that we've had these separate bills in to appropriate
4. the necessary money for veterans who've lapsed from their
5. bonus that is due to them in the various wars, Vietnam, the
6. Korean War, World War II. And, this would give the authority
7. to the Illinois Veterans Commission to process these forms.
8. Now, the only objection that someone might have is the fact
9. that a Legislator in his district wouldn't have the privilege
10. of serving his constituents by introducing this legislation
11. and putting it through. And, in talking with Administrator
12. Houlihan I believe that it will be no problem to have the
13. Legislators, the Representatives and Senators, notified when
14. any of these applications come in to the Illinois Veterans
15. Commission for approval. It would cut down on a lot of bill
16. work and a lot expense to the state if it could be administered
17. this way. I consider this very good legislation and it's
18. approved of by the Illinois Veterans Commission. I would ask
19. for a favorable roll call.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

21. Any further discussion? The question is shall HB 903
22. pass. The Secretary will call the roll.

23. SECRETARY:

24. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
25. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
26. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
27. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
28. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
29. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
30. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
31. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
32. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
33. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

2. On this question, the yeas were 48, the nays were none.
3. The bill having received the constitutional majority re-
4. quired is declared passed. Next bill will be 918. Read by
5. title a 3rd time for Senator Swinarski.

6. SECRETARY:

7. HB 918 (Secretary reads title of bill)
8. 3rd reading of the bill.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

10. Senator Swinarski.

11. SENATOR SWINARSKI:

12. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, this is the ordin-
13. ary and contingent appropriation for the Department of Reg-
14. istration and Education. It passed committee favorably and
15. I ask for favorable roll call.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

17. Any discussion? Question is shall HB 918 pass. Sec-
18. retary will call the roll.

19. SECRETARY:

20. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
21. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
22. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
23. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
24. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
25. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
26. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
27. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
28. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
29. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

31. Shapiro, aye. On this question, the yeas were 50, the
32. nays were none. The bill having received the constitutional
33. majority is declared passed. Next bill 1152, Senator Kenneth
Hall. Be read by title.

HB 1141
3rd reading
6-27-78

1. SECRETARY:

2. HB 1152 (Secretary reads title of bill)

3. 3rd reading of the bill.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

5. Senator Hall.

6. SENATOR KENNETH HALL.

7. Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. This
8. bill is exactly as it...the appropriation has been changed.
9. It's 30,970 dollars less and it's for the ordinary and con-
10. tingent expenses of the Commission on Human Relations. I
11. would ask your most favorable support.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

13. Any further discussion? Secretary will call the roll.

14. SECRETARY:

15. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
16. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
17. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
18. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
19. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
20. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
21. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
22. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
23. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
24. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

26. Senator Kenneth Hall, aye. Senator McCarthy, aye.
27. Senator Howard Mohr, aye. Where is he? Senator Nimrod
28. indicates that he is now prepared on HB 1141. ...The bill
29. was 39 to nothing. The bill having received the constitu-
30. tional majority required is therefore declared passed.

31. SECRETARY:

32. HB 115...

33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

1. We just passed that.

2. SECRETARY:

3. 1141 (Secretary reads title of bill)

4. 3rd reading of the bill.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

6. What happened to me?...Senator Nimrod.

7. SENATOR NIMROD:

8. Mr. President, this bill will allow the local governments

9. and the states to take charge of that particular authority

10. which they have in the Constitution of having intergovernmental

11. contracts. And, allows them to jointly self-insure and author-

12. ize public agencies to enter into contracts with each other on...

13. both on purchasing and on service contracts. I would be glad

14. to answer any questions on this bill. And, if not I would

15. ask for a favorable roll call.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

17. Any further discussion? Senator Dougherty.

18. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:

19. Nimrod, this bill is in its original form, is it not?

20. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

21. It has not been amended, Senator.

22. SENATOR NIMROD:

23. Yes, sir. This bill is in its original form.

24. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:

25. It's not been amended.

26. SENATOR NIMROD:

27. It has not been amended.

28. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:

29. All right. Thank you.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

31. Question before the Senate is shall HB 1141 pass. Secretary

32. will call the roll.

33. SECRETARY:

SECRETARY:

1. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
2. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
3. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
4. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
5. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
6. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
7. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
8. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
9. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
10. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

12. Glass, aye. Vadalabene...Palmer, aye. Nudelman, aye.
13. Saperstein, aye. Hynes, aye. Berning, aye. On this question,
14. the yeas are 40, the nays are none. The bill having received
15. the constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator
16. Regner. Where are we now on 803?

17. SENATOR REGNER.

18. ...There's a...an amendment on the Secretary's desk.
19. And, it's ok with Senator Partee after he looked at it and
20. I would like to move the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to HB
21. 803.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

23. I think rest...It's on 3rd reading. So, we have to get
24. leave of the Body to move...

25. SENATOR REGNER:

26. ...Leave to move the HB 803 back to 2nd reading for the
27. purpose of an amendment.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

29. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. The bill is now on
30. the order of 2nd reading and the Senator will explain his
31. amendment to the rest of the Body.

32. SENATOR REGNER:

33. What this amendment does is the same as Amendment No. 2

1. which we Tabled earlier, but it does clear up some technical
2. problems that we did have with Amendment No. 2.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

4. Senator from Mr. Prospect moves the adoption of Amendment
5. No. 3 will this be? Amendment No. 4 HB 803. All in favor
6. signify by saying aye. Opposed. The ayes have it. The
7. amendment...any further amendments? The amendment is adopted.
8. Any further amendments? The bill will be returned to the
9. order of 3rd reading. Senator Welsh, are you prepared now
10. on 736?

11. SECRETARY:

12. HB 736 (Secretary reads title of bill.
13. 3rd reading of the bill.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

15. Senator Welsh.

16. SENATOR WELSH:

17. Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Body, 736 is
18. the bill that was thoroughly discussed and debated by Senator
19. Nimrod a few moments ago. And, I'd appreciate a favorable
20. roll call.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM)

22. Any discussion? Question before the Senate is shall
23. HB 736 pass. The Secretary will call the roll.

24. SECRETARY:

25. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
26. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
27. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
28. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
29. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
30. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
31. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
32. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
33. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,

1. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

3. Daley, aye. Buzbee, aye. Netsch, aye. I can't see
4. with the blind up. Shapiro, aye. On this question, the yeas
5. were 42, the nays were 1. The bill having the constitu-
6. tional majority is therefore declared passed. 757. 757,
7. Senator Glass. No. ... Next one will be SB 1293, Senator
8. Rock. Read the bill by title.

9. SECRETARY:

10. HB 1293 (Secretary reads title of bill)

11. 3rd reading of the bill.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

13. Senator Rock.

14. SENATOR ROCK:

15. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
16. Senate. I wonder if Senator Knuepfer will pay attention?
17. I know he's opposed to this.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

19. Senator Knuepfer is listening I hope, and may we have
20. some order on the Floor. I feel some debate coming.

21. SENATOR ROCK:

22. Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, HB
23. 1293 would provide financial assistance in the form of a
24. loan for registered nurses in the baccalaureate study of
25. nursing. It is an attempt to increase the quality of
26. health care in the State of Illinois. This bill was amended
27. once at the request of certain members of the Public Welfare
28. Committee. And, the amendment merely strikes Section 11,
29. that dealing with grants to approved institutions. I think
30. the bill is deserving of support. It is an attempt on the
31. part of the House sponsor and myself to deal with a problem
32. of health care in the State of Illinois. This bill would
33. provide money to the State Scholarship Commission so that

1. nurses could engage in the baccalaureate program and enhance
2. their education. I would ask for a favorable roll call.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

4. Senator Knuepfer, and then Senator Schäffer.

5. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

6. Yeah, first thing I would like to point out is that at
7. the present time there is no appropriation on this bill since
8. the appropriation bill was defeated last night. The second
9. thing I would like to point out is the...the basic inherent
10. problems in dealing with medical groups piece by piece. We
11. had a bill that would have provided nephrologists with a
12. scholarship of \$12,500 per year. That bill did not pass out
13. of the Public Health and Welfare Committee. This was the
14. second bill. That bill did pass out. This kind of a concept
15. if this Body authorizes and oks it will be the creek bills
16. of the future. Because not only are there nephrologists,
17. not only are there nurses, there is a short supply of dental
18. technicians, x-ray technicians, urologists, pediatricians,
19. and we've all got our favorite profession. So that if this
20. concept is embodied into law, we will all be introducing bills
21. next year to provide scholarships for our favorite groups.
22. Now, let me say to you first of all that there are scholar-
23. ships available. And, that scholarships ought to be as de-
24. termined by the Illinois State Scholarship Commission or the
25. Board of Higher Education. But, if we allow ourselves in this
26. Legislative Body to get into a logrolling situation where I
27. support your favorite medical group, and you support my
28. favorite medical group. I think we have...will have created
29. a monster that we do...will not wish to have created in the
30. next Session and the one thereafter. Further, the standards
31. are wide open. We have some...we have some very specific
32. standards set by the Illinois State Scholarship Association.
33. If you will care to look at the standards for this, the standards

1. in essence say that if I don't feel I have enough money to
2. go to school well, then I can apply for a scholarship. Now, the
3. scholarship becomes a grant. I think it's a bad precedent
4. for this Legislative Body to establish. I think there are means
5. for securing these scholarships within the framework
6. of our present Scholarship Act. And, I do not think it
7. desirable that this subject become one in which we barter
8. with one another for our favorite health care care program.
9. Secondly, let me point out the danger of oversupply. This
10. Legislature addressed itself some years ago to teacher
11. scholarships. And, we created a massive oversupply because
12. we didn't know when to turn the faucet off. The results of
13. that is..are complaints by teachers presently that their
14. wages and salaries are held down by the oversupply situation.
15. And, certainly that is true. I would suggest that we oppose
16. this kind of a concept.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

18. We have several speakers. We'll recognize one from
19. the other side of the aisle. Senator Partee. Then Senator
20. Schaffer, then Senator McBroom. Senator Partee.

21. SENATOR PARTEE:

22. Briefly, let me just say that I am, too, concerned about
23. the oversupply. But, if there is any area in American develop-
24. ment where we do not have an oversupply, it is in the field
25. of health technicians. Whether they be doctors or nurses or
26. whatever. It occurs to me that things ought to logically
27. be taken in steps and this program in my view is a logical
28. step toward what I hope we will have in this State one day,
29. which they now do have at the University of Denver in Colorado.
30. It is a degree in nursing which is something more than the
31. present nursing degree as we know it, yet something less than
32. the medical degree as we know it. In many areas we have con-
33. cerned ourselves about a lack of complete competent medical

1. facilities. Many areas are bereft of doctors. They just
2. don't have them and nurses are going to have to go into that
3. gap and fill that void. To give nurses this addition training
4. in terms of a baccalaureate approach seems to me to be the
5. way to go. And, it seems to me to be just an initial step
6. toward reaching that happy goal, I think, when we will have
7. nurses who will be just less than doctors, but more than nurses
8. as we now recognize them. And, I think, on this basis among
9. others, it is a good bill.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

11. Senator Schaffer and then Senator McBroom. Senator
12. Schaffer. Senator McBroom.

13. SENATOR MCBROOM:

14. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, would Senator
15. Rock yield to a question? Senator Rock, perhaps I don't
16. understand and you could educate me here a bit. What is the
17. point in pursuing this measure when the appropriatio...com-
18. panion appropriation bill has been killed?

19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

20. Senator Rock.

21. SENATOR ROCK:

22. Well, I do not in all candor consider the action taken
23. at the Appropriations Committee last night to be the last
24. chance, frankly. In addition to that you and I well know
25. that we're coming back in the fall. If this substantive
26. program, if you'll read Section 2...it says the General
27. Assembly...if this measure is passed, it says the General Assembly
28. has found and hereby declares that this is the policy of
29. our state to do this. And, I would assume that if in fact
30. this Body so states that an appropriation measure will follow
31. either a new introduction or I will make the appropriate
32. motion to take from the Table that bill which was in fact,
33. reported out do not pass last night.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

2. Senator McBroom.

3. SENATOR MCBROOM:

4. Well, I...I guess you've answered my question. In
5. essence it would be pretty rough going without an appropri-
6. ation measure either now or in the fall, but I'd have to say
7. to you Senator Rock, I concur with Senator Knuepfer's com-
8. ments.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

10. Senator Sours.

11. SENATOR SOURS:

12. Mr. President, Senators, I can't recall when I heard
13. any better presentation than that made by Senator Knuepfer.
14. If that's a left-handed compliment even. Now, there is
15. some reference made to logrolling. And, I can
16. predict and prophesy exactly what he says is going to happen
17. if this bill passes. We'll come in piecemeal and we'll
18. have all the specialities and I happen to be one who favored
19. the bill for the kidney patients because it's such a mysteri-
20. ous disease and does need extensive research. Buy, we're
21. going to come in here and fragment good thinking. We're
22. going to come in on this speciality and that speciality and
23. this para group and there are a lot of them. They call them-
24. selves social workers even. Little like the woman, I think I
25. mentioned this one day in the Chamber, woman remarked to her
26. husband who was a Naval officer, she said, my husband's a Naval
27. Doctor. And, her friend remarked, my how those doctors
28. specialize. Now, if there is going to be any scholarship
29. aid for nurses, there ought to be scholarship aid for the
30. para groups. The P-a-r-a groups along side the nurses. There
31. ought to be other scholarship assistance. But, to single
32. out one...one group because it may have clout and we see the
33.

1. evidence of clout here all the time. When bad legislation
2. gets through the hopper then everything Senator Knuepfer
3. said to me is valid. And, this bill ought to be defeated.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

5. Senator Nimrod.

6. SENATOR NIMROD:

7. Mr. President, I think several points have been
8. brought out. I would however, like to bring to our atten-
9. tion a couple of more items that were missed. I don't
10. think any of us oppose the fact of the nurses getting a
11. degree and there being a baccalaureate degree. I think it's
12. been brought out that this is not a scholarship. This is a
13. grant. It's an outright grant of 9 thous...10 thousand
14. dollars, 4500 dollars a year. And, what would happen in
15. this case. Why not increase the loans? A nurse will earn
16. between 10 and 15 thousand dollars after her first year.
17. Now, in that kind of a case this...certainly she has 10
18. years to pay back that money. In fact, it's my personal
19. belief that we have gone far too...down the road of finan-
20. cial assistance scholarships. What we ought to do is do away
21. with all the financial scholarships and make loans available
22. to all the students that want to go to school. What we have
23. done is created an atomosphere here where people in the middle
24. income families with children cannot afford to send their
25. children to school because they're paying out so much in
26. taxes to take care of those in lower incomes children to
27. put them through school. Now, I think that what we're doing
28. here is a bad precedent. I think we should not do this. We
29. should increase the loan capability. We should have a waiver
30. on the interest as they complete their education and that
31. gives them the greatest incentive and the opportunity to
32. go ahead. But, certainly to make an outright grant and to
33. make it free for anyone in the United States who wants to

1. come to Chicago to be a nurse to get an outright grant is
2. not the way to approach a scholarship. It's not the way to
3. solve a problem of people that we need to perform services
4. and it's certainly a definite waste of money. I would hope
5. that we would not support this measure.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

7. Senator Harris, were you seeking recognition? Mr.
8. President.

9. SENATOR HARRIS:

10. Real briefly, and I know the one thing we don't need
11. is a lot of debate, I'm mindful of the fact that if we were
12. to impose this program, we create the opportunity then for
13. other short supply kinds of people let's...let me just give
14. you one example. Downstate there's a tremendous shortage
15. of pharmacists, for example. Well, aren't we inviting a
16. baccalaureate assistance program for pharmacists on a grant
17. basis next time? And, then another and then another. Per-
18. haps a further example of the folly of doing these things on
19. a special vertically identifiable basis, is to use the example
20. that we hear presented to us day after day as relates to
21. pensions. Well, this pension system isn't equal to another
22. one or that downstate pension system gets more than this
23. Cook County or City of Chicago Pension System so let's bring
24. them up and then what we usually do is not make them equal
25. but we give them a step or two ahead to catch up for the period
26. that they've been behind. What do you suppose happens? A
27. year later or two years later, the other system who's now
28. behind is in for its catch up. I think it would be a terrible
29. mistake to take this step proposed for a most deserving group
30. of people. A group of people in short supply. The nurses,
31. but the concept is clearly in my judgment, a mistake.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

33. Any further discussion? Senator Berning.

1. SENATOR BERNING:

2. Just one additional comment. Desirable as this legis-
3. lation and the objectives of it may be, I subscribe whole-
4. heartedly to the justifications for defeating this bill. And
5. it's a bit ironical in my opinion, that the very groups now
6. promoting this legislation were the ones who were not inter-
7. ested in expanding the availability of health care personnel
8. and helped defeat the physician's assistant bill. On a
9. lighter note, Mr. President, in line with what has been sug-
10. gested in the way of expansion of baccalaureate underwriting,
11. I might add that some of us poorer members of this Body were
12. never able to complete our education and maybe we ought to
13. have a legislative baccalaureate program underwritten. And
14. maybe some of our friends... on the newsstand over there
15. need a little additional help. We should in...invoke a
16. baccalaureate underwriting program for them. What I'm
17. saying is that this would be a bad precedent and ought to
18. be...this bill ought to be defeated.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

20. Any further discussion? Senator Rock will close the
21. debate.

22. SENATOR ROCK:

23. Yes, Mr. President, thank you, I will be as brief as
24. possible but I think a couple of the comments do require
25. rebuttal. Senator Nimrod stressed of course the fact that
26. he'd...he characterizes this as an outright grant. That
27. someone can come marching into the State of Illinois and
28. pick up 9 thousand dollars and do absolutely nothing. Fact
29. of the matter is, there was a grant provision which was
30. stricken by Amendment No. 1. This is a loan and a loan only
31. to registered nurses. Not paramedics, not social workers,
32. not anything else. Registered nurses. The standards for
33. the applicant are in my judgment, identical to those standards

1. now required by the State Scholarship Commission with re-
2. gard to other programs. As to need and as to...again
3. pointing to Senator Nimrod, active prac...the applicant
4. has had to have been in active practice of professional
5. nursing in Illinois for a year preceding the application.
6. We hear talk about precedents and what a bad precedent this
7. would be. The fact of the matter is, we had a similar pro-
8. gram for teachers, we had a similar program for social
9. workers under the...in the Department of Mental Health, I be-
10. lieve. The only reason for cutting off the teacher program as
11. Senator Knuepfer again indicated last night, was all of a
12. sudden we had too many teachers. And, I point out last night
13. and I point out again today. I do not think that is a valid
14. reason for cutting it off. We are in effect saying we
15. have too many educated people running around in the State
16. of Illinois and it's a very dangerous precedent. We sure
17. don't want that to happen. ... I think that this legis-
18. lation is no more or...no worse a precedent than any of
19. the other's similar programs. There is a crying need for
20. health care in our state. This bill...this program addresses
21. itself to that need. The statutes of our state currently
22. provide for both grants...grants mind you and scholarships
23. to members of the medical profession through the Board of
24. Higher Ed. There is no provision in our statute book for
25. a loan to registered nurses. This bill attempts to fill
26. that gap. It's an attempt and only an attempt to increase the
27. quality of health care in Illinois. It is not a logrolling effort
28. and I would ask for a favorable roll call.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

30. The question before the Senate is, shall HB 1293 pass.
31. Secretary will call the roll.

32. SECRETARY:

33. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,

1. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
2. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
3. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
4. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
5. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
6. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
7. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
8. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
9. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

11. Conolly, aye. Donnewald, aye. McCarthy, aye. Been
12. a request for a call of the absentees. Absentees will be
13. called.

14. SECRETARY:

15. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce,

16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

17. Berning is voting no.

18. SECRETARY:

19. Bruce, Chew, Clarke, Course, Keegan, Merritt, Howard
20. Mohr, Don Moore, Newhouse, Palmer, Roe, Schaffer, Sommer,

21. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

22. Newhouse, aye. Savickas, aye. How was Senator Glass
23. recorded.

24. SECRETARY:

25. No.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

27. On this question, the yeas are 32, the nays are 17.
28. There's been a request for a verification of the affirmative
29. votes. There will be a roll of those voting in the affir-
30. mative.

31. SECRETARY:

32. The following voted in the affirmative:

33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

1. ...Just a moment, Mr. Secretary. Ladies and Gentlemen,
2. there is no real need going through this exercise if we're
3. not going to be in our seats. Will the Senate be in their
4. seats please. And, then we will continue. Until that time
5. we will rest. Will the Senate be in their seats. Continue.

6. SECRETARY:

7. The following voted in the affirmative: Buzbee, Carroll,
8. Conolly, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,

9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

10. Is Senator Conolly within the bar? He is not. His
11. name will be removed. Continue.

12. SECRETARY:

13. Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty, Fawell, Kenneth Hall,
14. Hynes, Johns, Knuppel, Kosinski, McCarthy, Merritt, Howard
15. Mohr,

16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

17. Is Senator Donnewald on the Floor? He is. Gentlemen,
18. let's have some order.

19. SECRETARY:

20. Howard Mohr. Netsch, Newhouse, Nudelman, Partee,

21. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

22. What is the question? Let's use the microphone if
23. you're addressing a question to the Chair. Senator Mitchler.

24. SENATOR MITCHLER:

25. Mr. President, where is Senator Merritt? I believe I
26. heard his name called and then...

27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

28. Is Senator Merritt on the affirmative list?

29. SECRETARY:

30. He is not within the bar. His name will be removed.

31. SECRETARY:

32. Newhouse, Nudelman, Partee, Rock, Romano, Saperstein,
33. Savickas, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, ...

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
2. Senator Shapiro. Senator Shapiro is not within the
3. bar. His name will be removed.
4. SECRETARY:
5. Smith, Soper, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Welsh, Wooten.
6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
7. Senator Conolly is now within the bar. His name will
8. be returned. Cannot change a vote on the verification of
9. a roll. On this question, the yeas are 30, the nays are
10. 17. The bill having received the constitutional majority is
11. declared passed. How many wants to know...Senator Partee.
12. SENATOR PARTEE:
13. Having voted on the prevailing side I move that the vote
14. by which this bill passed be reconsidered.
15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
16. Senator Carroll moves to Table the motion of Senator
17. Partee. All in favor of Senator Carroll's motion signify
18. by saying aye. Opposed. The ayes have it. The motion pre-
19. vails. The next bill will be HB 1303. Read by title a
20. 3rd time. Gentlemen, please.
21. SECRETARY:
22. HB 1303 (Secretary reads title of bill)
23. 3rd reading of the bill.
24. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
25. Senator Knuppel.
26. SENATOR KNUPPEL:
27. Mr. President and Members of this Body, HB 1303 is
28. designed to provide that when a teacher by written request
29. asks the board to make pro rata deduction of dues to a pro-
30. fessional association, teachers organization that is, that
31. they will do so. This is legislation supported by all teachers
32. groups and it conforms to many other practices where health
33. premiums and other things are deducted from the teachers

1. salary on a pro rata basis. I suggest a favorable roll call.
2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
3. Discussion? Senator Sours.
4. SENATOR SOURS:
5. Would Senator Knuppel enumerate what he means by teachers
6. organizations?
7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
8. Are you propounding that question, Senator Knuppel? He
9. will answer.
10. SENATOR KNUPPEL:
11. Yes. The I.E.A. and I...as I understand it, the Teachers
12. Federation.
13. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
14. Senator Sours.
15. SENATOR SOURS:
16. They may not do that now?
17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
18. Senator Knuppel.
19. SENATOR KNUPPEL:
20. They may ...
21. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
22. Gentlemen, please.
23. SENATOR KNUPPEL:
24. They may by contractual provisions. The problem is in
25. many instances, the board does not do this on request and it's
26. in many of their contracts, however it is not in others. It's
27. not a requirement.
28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
29. Senator Sours.
30. SENATOR SOURS:
31. Well, then would this compel the board to do just that?
32. By compulsion?
33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

1. Senator Knuppel

2. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

3. Upon written request the board would do this. Yes.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

5. Senator Sours.

6. SENATOR SOURS:

7. What if the board didn't do it and what would it cost?

8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

9. Senator Knuppel.

10. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

11. I don't know that any criminal provisions or other

12. provisions are here. I assume that the attorney or that the

13. teacher could hire an attorney and get an injunctive relief

14. requiring it. And, the cost, I assume, is probably just the

15. same as it is with health insurance and everything else.

16. They do these things anyway most of them...many of them are

17. printouts through computers in todays society anyway.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

19. Senator Mitchler.

20. SENATOR MITCHLER:

21. Well, Mr. President, Members of the Senate, the bill

22. that we have here would mandate boards to...school boards

23. to provide the teacher write-off for the dues. If...they upon

24. request ... mandates them to do it upon request. Now,

25. many school boards are doing this now. Some school boards

26. find that in the best interest of the school, they do not

27. do it. Now, that's a local elected unit of government and

28. they have that. What the real point and the bad part of this

29. is, this is a negotiable item. This is to be determined

30. between the teachers and the organization they represent

31. and the school board. Now, if you're going to start a pre-

32. cedent for having the I.E.A. or any of these other teacher

33. organizations as you termed them, Senator Knuppel, come

1. to the Illinois General Assembly to have us negotiate things
2. that they should negotiate directly with the school board
3. then you're circumventing the authority of the school board.
4. Now, that...this would be a item if you had a public employ
5. collective bargaining act and they were collective bargaining
6. this would be a negotiable item. Now you're preempting that
7. authority of the board and that's what makes this a bad bill.
8. I talked to Bob Burgess about this from the I.E.A. just...
9. earlier today and I said, any school board can do this now,
10. but this mandates them to do it if it's requested by the
11. teachers organization. Now, that's the wrong kind of legis-
12. lation and I'm going to ask for an unfavorable roll call on
13. HB 1303.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

15. Senator Glass.

16. SENATOR GLASS:

17. Well, thank you, Mr. President, I just wanted to add
18. to the comments of Senator Sours and Mitchler. This is
19. nothing more than a mandatory dues check-off provision which
20. certainly ought not to be in the law. If there's anything
21. that should be within the prerogative of the local board
22. this is it. And as Senator Knuppel has pointed out in many
23. instances this is done by contract and I think that's where
24. it ought to remain, and therefore this bill should be defeated.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

26. Senator Berning.

27. SENATOR BERNING:

28. Just one additional observation, Ladies and Gentlemen of
29. the Body, we know full well that there are at the present time
30. irritants between the boards and the teachers organizations.
31. Here, it seems, we would merely be adding to the list of
32. irritants and I suggest that any benefit is not worth what
33. apparently would be disadvantages and I also suggest a no vote.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

2. Senator McCarthy.

3. SENATOR MCCARTHY:

4. Yes, Mr. President. Mr. President, Members of the Senate,
5. I rise in support of this bill. I think there is some mis-
6. understanding. I don't know how many members have read the
7. bill. The bill merely says that the board upon the written
8. request of a teacher...upon the written request of a teacher
9. shall withhold from the compensation of that teacher the
10. membership dues. And it is a form of check off but yet we
11. have many places in the Statute of the State of Illinois,
12. where this is ... where this is permitted and where we have
13. mandated public officials in the State of Illinois to with-
14. hold amounts of money upon the written request of an employee.
15. The auditor...the old Auditor of Public Accounts, we gave
16. him permission to withhold money for the purchase of savings
17. bonds or other types of bonds. There was no controversy. All
18. we were doing was allowing the individual and his employer to
19. contract. And I might state further that there are other pro-
20. visions in the law that allow and force the employer to with-
21. hold money for the benefit of a group. Let's take the law of
22. garnishment. The...in the garnishment section, we have man-
23. dated that the employers upon receiving certain documents must
24. withhold certain amount of money for the benefit of a...of a
25. 3rd party to the contract between the employer and the employee.
26. And, all you're doing here is allowing the employer and employee
27. to bargain and mandating that the employer shall honor a
28. written request which is voluntary on the part of the teacher.
29. It's good, sound legislation. It's not an unreasonable re-
30. quest. It should be granted.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

32. Senator Merritt.

33. SENATOR MERRITT:

1. ...I wonder if the sponsor would yield to a question...

2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

3. He indicates that he will.

4. SENATOR MERRITT:

5. I assume when you're saying professional organizations,
6. in other words, I think in at least...well I know in my own
7. home town school district, I believe if memory serves me
8. correctly that they withhold currently the dues of a teacher
9. in say for instance the National Education Association,
10. the Illinois Education Association and then the local...ed-
11. ucation association. ... And, they just do that, I guess,
12. by agreement. No particular written form on it. I wonder
13. if the sponsor would explain to me how...how this bill would
14. affect that given situation.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

16. Senator Knuppel.

17. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

18. Well, if they're doing it already it wouldn't affect
19. it at all. As has been pointed out, often they do this...
20. they have a payroll savings plan for bonds and other things.
21. All this does is say that when the person requests in writing,
22. he's entitled to it as a matter of right. Now, as...I...there's
23. a great number of districts that are already doing this as
24. has been pointed out but for uniformity with the teachers or-
25. ganizations and so forth, to collect this, this would be to
26. the advantage of the employed teachers.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

28. Senator Merritt.

29. SENATOR MERRITT:

30. Thank you.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

32. Senator Merritt.

33. SENATOR MERRITT:

1. Oh, no, he answered me.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

3. Senator Buzbee.

4. SENATOR BUZBEE:

5. Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. President, I really don't
6. understand what the objection of this bill is, because there
7. certainly is no monetary cost to the school board or the
8. school district here. Because, they have a bookkeeping staff
9. that has to sit there and figure out the Federal Income Tax
10. check off, the State Income Tax check off, the Teacher Re-
11. tirement System check off and in a lot of cases they also
12. figure out such things as on...such things as United Fund
13. check off. And, there are several different types of check-
14. off items. Now, if you have a basic objection to the teachers
15. being able to have their funds...their professional dues
16. being collected, I can understand that, but I really don't
17. understand why the...the monetary objection because as I
18. just pointed out, all these various funds are already taken
19. out anyhow. And, this would just simply be one more where
20. they...where they just add another few dollars or whatever
21. the case may be for the professional organization dues check
22. off. I think this is a good bill. Most districts do already
23. do it. I think, in my district, to the best of my knowledge
24. all of them now do it on request. And, have been doing it for
25. several years. This is just simply saying to those districts
26. who do not do it, that it's a right that every employee ought
27. to be able to have their professional dues checked off. When
28. I worked at Caterpillar Tractor Company in 1956 as a blue-
29. collar worker, our union dues were checked off then. That
30. was...been an agreement for years and years and years. As
31. a matter of fact they even went so far as when you had to
32. buy your steel-toed safety shoes they would check that off
33. your check if you wanted. I think it's good legislation

1. and I rise very much in support of it.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

3. Senator Schaffer, then Senator Soper.

4. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

5. Would the sponsor yield for a question?

6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

7. He indicates that he will.

8. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

9. Would any part of the professional dues so collected go

10. to support the political activities of the various organi-

11. zations?

12. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

13. I didn't get the implication...the question, please.

14. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

15. I said would any part of the dues collected go to

16. support the political activities of the various...teacher

17. organizations?

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

19. Senator Knuppel.

20. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

21. I wouldn't...I would not think so. That's a separate branch

22. of the thing and that's I.P.A.C.E. that's not I.E.A. and I...I

23. just couldn't ... you're asking me a question that I'm not

24. familiar enough with how they pay their dues to answer but

25. I would not think so.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

27. Senator Schaffer.

28. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

29. I think that that is paid in one check, Senator and if

30. I'm correct, would you be interested in bringing this bill

31. back for an amendment that would allow or require the teachers

32. organizations in this check off to put that portion of the

33. dues that relates to the political activities into a fund and

1. let each individual teacher assign the particular political
2. party or candidate he wants his political dues, monies to go
3. to.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

5. Senator Knuppel.

6. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

7. Well, I think that would make it administratively a
8. nightmare. I had...the almost the same thing with the soy-
9. bean check-off bill and I can't see how that could possibly
10. work. I think it would be an administrative nightmare.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

12. Senators...no. Senator Schaffer.

13. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

14. Well, I don't think that taxpayers...should...should have
15. to pay for collecting political contributions regardless of
16. who gets them.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

18. Senator Soper.

19. SENATOR SOPER:

20. Now, Mr. President, and Senator Knuppel, the only reason
21. I object to a mandate on this is because the various school
22. districts have a limited amount of space on their checks that
23. they've already printed. And, various,... and their computers
24. have limited space. Now, if they're put in a position where
25. they have to change their computerization or their checks
26. because of the fact we mandate them instead of them being
27. able to do this by negotiation. Now, the only reason for ne-
28. gotiation is so that they have the determination of whether
29. or not they are going to compel themselves to add to their
30. cost. I have no objection to...to the check-off system, but
31. I think that the...it shouldn't be mandated. It should be a
32. matter of...of the school board and the association or
33. the unions getting together and seeing that...that it can

1. be done in a responsible manner. This would mandate them
2. and it would be impossible for some school boards to do this
3. without additional cost. Therefore I'd say that...allow all the
4. school boards and the associations get together and they'll
5. work it out. Our school boards have worked it out and there's
6. no problems there. But others that don't do it may have the
7. problems so why should we give them more problems.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

9. Senator Knuppel will close the debate.

10. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

11. Well, despite all the gibberish that we have heard,
12. nevertheless, this simplifies the method of collection through-
13. out this state. I mean you can divide what the contribution
14. is and you can do it one way in one district and a different
15. in another, you can collect it once every semester or some-
16. thing else. But, all this does is to make it uniform. It's
17. a good bill. Simplifies the procedure and it makes it easier,
18. I would think, for most districts than the way it is. I
19. submit it's a good bill and deserves an aye vote.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

21. The question...question before the Senate is shall HB
22. 1303 pass. The Secretary will call the roll.

23. ACTING SECRETARY (MR. WRIGHT):

24. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
25. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
26. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
27. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
28. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
29. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
30. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
31. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
32. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
33. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
2. Newhouse, aye. Netsch, no...Netsch, aye. Netsch, aye.
3. There's been a request for a call of the absentees. He
4. withdrew the request. Gentlemen I...we just prevail upon you
5. to don't swarm around the Secretary's desk when we're trying
6. to tabulate the roll call. It's a very..very difficult task.
7. Please, give him a chance. We will announce the roll call.
8. On this question, the yeas were 40 the nays were 14. The
9. bill having received the constitutional majority is declared
10. passed. The next bill will be HB ... What purpose do you
11. rise, Senator Johns?
12. SENATOR JOHNS:
13. Mr. President, having voted on the prevailing side, I
14. move we reconsider on this House Bill.
15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
16. Senator Carroll moves to Table the motion by Senator
17. Johns. All in favor of the motion to Table signify by saying
18. aye. Opposed. The ayes have it and the motion prevails. The
19. next bill will HB 1324 when the Senate is in order.
20. ACTING SECRETARY (MR. WRIGHT):
21. HB 1324 (Secretary reads title of bill)...
22. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
23. Senator Latherow.
24. ACTING SECRETARY (MR. WRIGHT):
25. 3rd reading of the...
26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
27. Senator Latherow.
28. SENATOR LATHEROW:
29. Mr. President, I'd like to move this back to 2nd for the
30. purpose of an amendment.
31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
32. We will take the reading out of the record as Senator
33. Latherow is requesting leave of the Body to return 1324 to

1. the order of 2nd reading for the purpose of considering an
2. amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. The bill
3. will be placed on the order of 2nd reading. Senator Latherow.
4. SENATOR LATHEROW:

5. Well, the amendment is merely a clarifying amendment as
6. they checked the bill, the first paragraph in its entirety
7. was felt to be not necessary and we struck that one para-
8. graph. Clarification only. I move the adoption of the
9. amendment.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

11. Senator Latherow moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1
12. to HB 1324. All in favor will signify by saying aye. Opposed.
13. The ayes have it the amendment is adopted. Any further amend-
14. ments? The bill will be returned to the order of 3rd reading.
15. Next bill will be 1427, Senator Newhouse.

16. ACTING SECRETARY (MR. WRIGHT):

17. HB 1427 (Secretary reads title of bill)
18. 3rd reading of the bill.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

20. Just a moment, Senator Newhouse. Continue. Senator
21. Newhouse.

22. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

23. Thank you, Mr. President, I would...the light just came
24. on,...Gentlemen, this is a bill that provides freedom of
25. choice for medical services. It does just this. A person
26. who has a green card from the State who wants to use the
27. services of any institution or any individual is permitted
28. to do so. That means for example if one wants to visit an
29. Osteopath or a Chiropracter he's perfectly willing...he's
30. perfectly entitled to do so. But if he wants to choose one
31. hospital over another as long as his green card covers that
32. that he can do so. I...there...we've had...I've had some
33. discussion about this bill with Senator Knuepfer and my

1. my...my information from the sponsor of this bill is that
2. it simply covers those things which the green card will
3. cover by financing from the state in any case. I would be
4. delighted to answer any questions. I would appreciate a
5. favorable roll call on the vote.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

7. Senator Knuepfer.

8. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

9. Well, I have as Senator Newhouse suggests I have had
10. some discussions with him and we view this bill somewhat
11. differently. It seems to me that this is the broadest grant
12. of state policy that I have ever seen. And, let me just read
13. it to you because it doesn't take any length of time. That
14. any person seeking health services should have the complete
15. freedom of choice in selecting the person of whose services
16. he wishes to avail...himself. Subject only to reasonable
17. licensing or certification. Now, the way I read that, if I
18. happen to be a patient at Zeller Zone Center or Reid Zone
19. Center, I have the complete option of saying, I want some-
20. body else from the private sector. The way I read that I
21. have the complete option to say to the State of Illinois,
22. you're picking up my bills. I've got an option to go
23. anywhere I want, you pick these bills...you pick these
24. up. ...I've also discussed this with Senator Davidson and
25. Senator Davidson feels this is good for the chiropractors
26. and that consequently because obviously it does involve them.
27. But, I would suggest that you look at what I would consider an
28. immense policy statement on the part of the State of Illinois not
29. only to decide whether you shall use the services of general
30. medicine chiropractic medicine or osteopathic or whatever you
31. have, but any doctor that you wish to go to. And, I think that
32. this fosters or foists upon the State of Illinois an immense
33. possible liability for service delivery by this very simple

1. statement. And, I would urge those of you to read this
2. statement. As I suggested, Senator Newhouse and I have
3. a difference of opinion about what it means. This is what
4. I would suggest to you what it means and these are the
5. implications.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

7. Senator Sours. And, Gentlemen, are all of these
8. caucuses necessary? Senator Sours, you're being recognized.
9. Break up your causus and you're recognized.

10. SENATOR SOURS:

11. ...Mr. President, Senators, I'd like to ask the sponsor-
12. ing Senator two questions. If he'll yield.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

14. Indicates he...

15. SENATOR SOURS:

16. Question 1, who is paying the bills for these services
17. so selected? Is it the patient or is it you and I the tax-
18. payer?

19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

20. Senator Newhouse.

21. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

22. It's both Senator. In the case of the green card the
23. state is paying it. The state is paying it in any case.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

25. Senator Sours.

26. SENATOR SOURS:

27. But the state is paying a very substantial portion am
28. I not correct ?

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

30. Senator Newhouse.

31. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

32. Insofar as the green card is concerned, yes Senator, that's
33. true.

1. SENATOR SOURS:
2. And in many cases the entire bill.
3. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:
4. That's correct Senator. But, that's no change. It's
5. paying it now.
6. SENATOR SOUR:
7. All right, well. Now, who is it that wants this?
8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
9. Senator Newhouse.
10. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:
11. Many of the...of the physicians in fact or rather the prac-
12. tioners in private practice. ...The Cook County Hospital
13. Governing Commission for example is...as...as a practice
14. begun to shift off some of those people who are presently
15. going to Cook County to other hospitals in the vicinity....
16. Very recently as a matter of fact, in just adjacent to my
17. district there've been three hospitals to close and move
18. out. There...these are resources that could be used other-
19. wise. And, I suggest that this bill would make some use of
20. those facilities.
21. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
22. Senator Sours.
23. SENATOR SOURS:
24. Well, that's the end of my questions and now I have a
25. comment or two inasmuch as we're on debate. I can see a lot
26. of mischief in this bill. And, I trust unlike the last bill
27. it's not already passed with 30 votes though I'm afraid it
28. has, because we were talking about logrolling awhile ago
29. and I'm awfully certain that we've done something in this
30. one, too, Mr. President, Senators, back scratching with mutual
31. aid. Now, the trouble with this bill is, I a young doctor
32. can join the local ward Republican Club or Democrat Club
33. or the neuter club which frequently sends us members in this
Legislature, and I can then sign them all up to come to me.

1. I can be something less than a physician, I can be a
2. psychologist, I can be a social worker, and there Gentlemen,
3. is a real gem, and I can get them coming to me because of
4. politics. I can also charm them, in case I'm not attuned to
5. politics. And, pretty soon I am reaping what some of the
6. druggists were reaping three or four years ago in the fair
7. City of Chicago before the big investigation, because of
8. undue influence. Now, Senator, what is wrong with this bill
9. is the great possibility of undue influence. Another possibility
10. is, we will be paying for services less by professional standards.
11. Now, we're not paying counterfeit money to people who are
12. called doctors, less than physicians and surgeons, licensed
13. to practice in all the branches, not just the sternum or the
14. sacroiliac. Now, this is a bad bill. I don't think those
15. who are the objects of the bounty of your tax money and mine
16. ought to have the right to insist, and that's what this bill
17. does, who shall service the complainants. This is something we
18. should oppose and should beat.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

20. Senator Rock and then Senator Howard Mohr. And, then
21. Senator Partee. You're number three.

22. SENATOR ROCK:

23. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
24. Senate, I always enjoy getting up immediately behind Senator
25. Sours because he and I differ on so many points, and I differ
26. on this one. I rise in support of HB 1427. We've heard a
27. lot of talk about social workers and clubs of one sort or
28. another but what this bill does, it merely provides a freedom
29. of choice to individuals in choosing a medical practitioner.
30. Now, I have, as many of you have, read the Medical Society
31. Position Paper on this particular legislation. And, I want
32. to address myself to their two complaints to the bill. The
33. Society contends that this bill gives authority to the Judiciary

1. which is currently vested in our Legislature. This in my
2. judgment is clearly not so. As a careful reading of the bill
3. reveals. The power of the Legislature rather than being de-
4. minished is reaffirmed by this legislation. The other Society
5. contention, in my judgment again equally erroneous, is that
6. the bill would bankrupt prepaid health plans. Currently
7. in Illinois I'm led to believe there is no prepaid health
8. plan which restricts one choice...on one's choice of a medical
9. practitioner or health provider. This is good legislation.
10. I urge...support of it.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

12. Senator Howard Mohr.

13. SENATOR MOHR:

14. Yes, Mr. President, very briefly, I rise in support
15. of this legislation. This is a product of the Health Care
16. Licensure Commission that has put a lot of time and study into
17. this particular area. And, I think it's a good bill and would
18. urge members on our side to support Senator Newhouse.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

20. Senator Partee and then Senator Davidson.

21. SENATOR PARTEE:

22. Well, Mr. President, I have listened carefully to the
23. arguments and pontifications about this matter and I've come
24. to know that everything I hear on the Floor of this Senate is
25. not...is not correct. Yesterday, I heard that David slew Goliath
26. with slungshot and I read the Bible last night and it didn't
27. say that. It said he knocked him down with a slungshot, but
28. he cut his neck off with a sword. So, everything you hear
29. here you have to think about it later. Well, let me just
30. suggest to you that what is involved here and let me say
31. parenthetically that the Illinois State Medical Society has
32. in many, many instances done a very worthwhile job and we're
33. normally on the same wavelength. But in this instance, I think

1. when they talk about health maintenance organizations, they
2. are really starting out to build what might be called a
3. monopoly or a cartel of medical care where people who are
4. unable to pay for medical services, who are still people
5. must necessarily go through what I call an assembly line
6. practice and they are deprived of what every American ought
7. to have, a freedom of choice in the selection of the person
8. that they want to service them. Now, that selection on an indi-
9. vidual basis means that the practitioner who serves them will
10. have an interest in doing a good personal job. The person
11. would not be locked in on any assembly line basis in a health
12. maintenance organization where the contract is made by the
13. health maintenance organization with the Department of Public
14. Welfare and others and you have to come there and you have
15. to take whatever you are given. People ought to have the
16. freedom of choice and this bill simply states that. I think
17. it is a part of the American ideal that every person should
18. have a freedom of choice. And, there's absolutely nothing
19. wrong with this bill. As a matter of fact it is one of the
20. most salutary pieces of legislation I've seen in here this
21. Session.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

23. Senator John Davidson.

24. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

25. Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, Senator
26. Mohr and Senator Partee have pretty well covered the points
27. I wanted to make. The thing I think you should be aware of,
28. the Members of the Senate should be aware of, that the Advisory
29. Committee which functioned with the li...Health Licensure
30. Study Commission had a representation from every health delivery
31. service in the book, MD., Nurses, Chiropractors,
32. Osteopaths, Podiatrist, Physical Therapists, Hospital Administra-
33. tors, for whatever you want to name. The whole list, I think there's

1. something like 23 or 24 of them, as well as Members of both
2. the Senate and the House were Members of the full Commission
3. and public members and one of the public members was an
4. M.D. One of them was also a Chiropractor. But, this bill
5. came from them and the other part, I think has been made
6. that's part of America as apple pie is our freedom of choice.
7. You also have support from the Illinois Inter-Professional
8. Council of Health Professions which is composed of the
9. Illinois State Dental Society, Illinois Optometric Associa-
10. tion, Illinois Podiatrist Society, Illinois State Veterinary
11. Medical Association, Illinois Association of Osteopathic
12. Physicians and Surgeons. Of all since you've noticed in
13. favor of this bill, I think, Senator Partee and Senator Rock
14. covered the points which was put out on a physician paper.
15. The thing...matter it's a freedom of choice for a person to
16. go...if they want. And I think it's a good piece of legis-
17. lation and I urge a favorable vote.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

19. Senator Newhouse will close the de...Senator Berning.
20. And, then Senator Newhouse will close the debate.

21. SENATOR BERNING:

22. Thank you, Mr. President, I've been listening with great
23. interest to the comments on both sides of the aisle. And, I
24. am the first to confess that I am not knowledgeable in the
25. area of health care. But, what distresses me here is that while
26. some have implied that this right of choice is being denied
27. to people I don't know of anything that restricts anyone from
28. seeking any medical attention that he wants. This says, it
29. is the policy of the state that any person seeking health
30. services should have the complete freedom of choice. And, I
31. don't know of any restriction on the freedom of choice. Now,
32. if you are implying here that this applies only to Relief
33. clients then why don't you say so? So we know what it's about.

1. When we talk about freedom of choice and motherhood and
2. apple pie I submit to you that the taxpayers in my district
3. are a little distressed over having practically no choice in
4. how much of their tax dollars we take away and then what we do
5. with it. And, if this is going to further impose mandates on the
6. use of those tax dollars, I would be opposed. There's one
7. other thing in this bill that distresses me as a laymen and
8. that is the first paragraph. Where it says that any person
9. needs only to comply with such applicable statutory require-
10. ments as to insure that they are qualified to practice. This
11. reminds me of unfortunate days when we had demonstrators
12. marching up and down the streets, breaking windows and every-
13. thing else and saying it's a bad law. I don't want to be
14. guided by it. It seems to me that here we are statutorily
15. providing that we...if a person in health care doesn't want
16. to abide by certain statutory restrictions or guidelines,
17. he doesn't have to. This to me seems to be one of the most
18. controversial, unclear, fraught with problems, bills that
19. I have had the opportunity to witness and read this Session.
20. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

21. Senator Newhouse will close the debate. Senator Nimrod
22. Nine Senators have spoken already. Senator Nimrod.
23. SENATOR NIMROD:

24. Mr. President,...would the sponsor yield to a question?
25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

26. He indicates he will.

27. SENATOR NIMROD:

28. Does this mean that someone who has...asks for assistance
29. and they are told to go to a clinic, a public clinic or a
30. doctor in a particular area, does this mean they would not have
31. to go there, and they could to to somewhere else of their own
32. choosing?

33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

1. Senator Newhouse.

2. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

3. I'm not sure I understand the question, Senator. Would

4. you...are you suggestion that...I'm not...I don't understand

5. the question.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

7. Restate your question, Senator Nimrod.

8. SENATOR NIMROD:

9. Assuming a recipient of whether it be public aid or any

10. other areas where they are looking for assistance, assuming

11. that they have been directed to go to a particular group of

12. clinics or to a number of doctors, and they were told to go

13. to any one of these that they want that they could go...that

14. are on that list. Now, could they refuse to go to them and

15. go to someone of their own choosing?

16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

17. Senator Newhouse.

18. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

19. I don't know how to answer that question, Senator. I don't

20. know that such a practice exists, but if such a practice existed

21. I would assume that the answer is yes. The same dollar is

22. going to be spent and if a person needs medical care, he

23. ought to be able to go to the person he chooses for that

24. medical care.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

26. Senator Nimrod.

27. SENATOR NIMROD:

28. And, in fact, if they were told to go to a medical doctor

29. licensed in all its branches, that's a regular physician

30. and surgeon or one at least within that definition as I

31. understand it, and they chose not to go to one who is licensed

32. in all the branches and bring a report back from him, Would

33. that be of their own free choosing?

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
2. Senator Newhouse.
3. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:
4. Senator, I...you're ...you're playing with a theoretical
5. question. If you're asking me if a person can to to a herbologist,
6. the answer is no.
7. SENATOR NIMROD:
8. I didn't say a herbologist, but I did hear a number of
9. other categories that they could go to other than a licensed
10. doctor in all its branches.
11. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:
12. Senator, this bill...let me answer that question directly.
13. This bill would suggest that if a person needs to go to an
14. a...a...chiropractor or needs to go to for example a...an...
15. optometrist both of whom are licensed by the State of Illinois
16. that he'd be free to do so.
17. SENATOR NIMROD:
18. In lieu of going to a licensed physician or doctor,
19. licensed in all its branches, a physician or a surgeon?
20. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
21. The red light is on, Senator. You've had five minutes
22. questioning. Senator Newhouse will close debate. Your
23. time is up, Senator Nimrod. You can break the rules and the
24. time is up. If you're going to make a statement, you're
25. going to make it. We have precluded others from the same
26. thing, so if you want to break the rules, make your statement.
27. SENATOR NIMROD:
28. Well, I don't want to break the rules...
29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):
30. You just did.
31. SENATOR NIMROD:
32. ...but I asked questions in five minutes and never had one
33. answer so there must be something wrong with the bill.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

2. I can't help that nor neither can the rest of the
3. Senators. Senator Newhouse can close the debate.

4. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

5. Mr. President, I tried to answer all questions as best
6. I could in the light in which they were framed. I cannot
7. anticipate the kind of situation that the Senator posed to
8. me. It is not the practice of the Public Aid Department, I
9. do not think, to have a list of doctors whom they recommend.
10. I would suggest further that the practice of medicine like
11. the practice of law is a charismatic kind of practice. And
12. you have a bedside manner and you do go out for customers.
13. I would suggest at the same time that the shortage of medical
14. practitioners makes this debate absolutely incredible. In
15. my district, there simply aren't enough doctors to go around.
16. In my district there aren't enough hospitals to go around so
17. why we're playing pitty pat with this just puzzles me all to-
18. gether. I...call the roll.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

20. Question before the Senate is shall HB 1427 pass. Sec-
21. retary will call the roll. Senator Mohr.

22. ACTING SECRETARY (MR. WRIGHT):

23. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
24. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
25. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
26. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
27. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
28. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
29. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
30. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
31. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
32. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

1. McCarthy, aye. Bruce, aye. Scholl, aye. Berning, no.
2. Request to call the absentees. On that question, the yeas
3. are 34, the nays are 12. HB 1427 having received a constitu-
4. tional majority is declared passed. Senator Carroll.
5. Senator Carroll moves to reconsider. Senator Newhouse moves
6. that motion lie on the Table. All those in favor signify
7. by saying aye. Opposed. Motion carries. 1451, Senator
8. Knuepfer.

9. ACTING SECRETARY (MR. WRIGHT):

10. HB 1451 (Secretary reads title of bill.)

11. 3rd reading of the bill.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

13. Senator Knuepfer.

14. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

15. ...This bill is a part of a package...SB...or HB 1451, 2,
16. 3, and 4. The basic bill however is HB 1451 which we are
17. discussing. And, as the synopsis suggests, it makes some
18. changes in the so-called 708 Act. ...Some of those changes
19. are requiring for example members of a community mental health
20. board to be residents of the governmental unit in which the
21. board operates. Further, it requires the community mental
22. health boards to develop plans for health delivery and relate
23. those plans to comprehensive health planning. It authorizes
24. the board to participate in activities of various associations.
25. There are a number of other minor technical problems that it
26. deals with. I would be happy to go in with them in detail.
27. Sufficeth to say, I have gone over this in some detail with
28. Senator Saperstein and Senator Hall. I think we are all
29. satisfied that we have put an amendment on relative to a
30. problem that Senator Hall had suggesting that community mental
31. health agency...708 referendum boards may not compete with
32. the private agencies within their area of jurisdiction. I
33. think now it has met most of the problems we had. The

1. Department of Mental Health originally opposed it, but
2. with the amendments that we put on restricting the grants
3. to the...bringing the grant program back to the Department
4. of Mental Health and having the 708 Boards only as...in the
5. posture of...co...of reporting on the grant program, not
6. in position of making the grant program. I think we...we've
7. solved the problem with the Department of Mental Health. I
8. don't know whether any other problems. If there are I'd
9. be happy to talk to...talk to you about the details of
10. the bill further.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

12. Senator Saperstein.

13. SENATOR SAPERSTEIN:

14. ...I rise in support of this bill as amended. There
15. are some serious questions left however, I think this is
16. the best that can be secured at the present time. And, I
17. think we both agree, Senator Knuepfer and I and I'm sure
18. all the Senator, that we've got to accept this bill and then
19. watch the development of the 708 Boards in their communities.
20. I urge your support of the bill.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

22. Any further discussion? The question is shall HB 1451
23. pass and on that question, the Secretary will call the roll.

24. ACTING SECRETARY (MR. WRIGHT):

25. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
26. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
27. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
28. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
29. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
30. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
31. Ozingá, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
32. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
33. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,

1. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
3. Palmer, aye. Carroll, aye. Buzbee, aye. Harber Hall,
4. aye. Fawell, aye. Regner, aye. Bruce, aye. Johns, aye.
5. Donnewald, aye. Hynes, aye. On that question, the yeas are
6. 45, the nays are none. HB 1451 having received the constitutional
7. majority is declared passed. 1452, Senator Knuepfer.
8. ACTING SECRETARY (MR. WRIGHT):
9. HB 1452 (Secretary reads title of bill)
10. 3rd reading of the bill.
11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
12. Senator Knuepfer.
13. SENATOR KNUEPFER:
14. All that this does is authorize community mental health
15. boards having jurisdiction within a township to contract with
16. the township. It's simply contractual authority, no more.
17. I would appreciate a favorable roll call. I think it's very
18. simple.
19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
20. Further discussion? Question is shall HB 1452 pass and
21. on that question, the Secretary will call the roll.
22. ACTING SECRETARY (MR. WRIGHT):
23. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
24. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
25. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
26. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
27. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
28. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
29. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
30. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
31. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
32. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

1. Bartulis, aye. Fawell, aye. Scholl, aye. On that
2. question, the yeas are 41, the nays are 2. HB 1452 having
3. received the constitutional majority is declared passed.
4. HB 1453, Senator Knuepfer.
5. ACTING SECRETARY (MR. WRIGHT):
6. HB 1453 (Secretary reads title of bill)
7. 3rd reading of the bill.
8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
9. Senator Knuepfer.
10. SENATOR KNUEPFER:
11. This authorizes community health boards to cooperate...
12. to enter into contractual agreements with cities, villages or
13. incorporated towns and authorizes them as well to expend
14. funds made available through Federal, State and Local As-
15. sistance Act of 1972. It's the same as the bill we just
16. passed except that one pertained to townships, this one
17. pertains to municipalities. I would appreciate a favorable
18. roll call.
19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
20. Any further discussion? Question is shall HB 1453
21. pass and on that question, the Secretary will call the roll.
22. ACTING SECRETARY (MR. WRIGHT):
23. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
24. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
25. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
26. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
27. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
28. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
29. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
30. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
31. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
32. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

1. Weaver, aye. Soper, aye. Dougherty, aye. Netsch,
2. aye. Latherow, no. On that question, the yeas are 44, the
3. nays are 1. HB 1453 having received the constitutional
4. majority is declared passed. HB 1454, Senator Knuepfer.
5. ACTING SECRETARY (MR. WRIGHT):
6. HB 1454 (Secretary reads title of bill)
7. 3rd reading of the bill.
8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
9. Senator Knuepfer.
10. SENATOR KNUEPFER:
11. All this does is authorize contractual arrangements be-
12. tween community mental health boards and counties. It's as
13. simple as that.
14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
15. Further discussion? Question is shall HB 1454 pass and
16. on that question, the Secretary will call the roll.
17. ACTING SECRETARY (MR. WRIGHT):
18. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
19. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
20. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
21. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
22. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
23. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
24. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
25. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
26. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
27. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
29. Soper, aye. Course, aye. Daley, aye. On that question,
30. the yeas are 43, the nays are 1. HB 1454 having received the
31. constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Knuepfer
32. on HB 1470.
33. ACTING SECRETARY (MR. WRIGHT):

1. HB 1470 (Secretary reads title of bill)

2. 3rd reading of the bill.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

4. Senator Knuepfer.

5. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

6. HB 1470 addresses itself to a problem created by the
7. method in which the state funds expenditures for school bus
8. transportation. The method is very simply that the local
9. government raises a certain tax rate. Beyond that tax rate,
10. the state pays all. So, once the contractual cost between
11. the school board and the bus company reaches the amount of the
12. tax rate, there is no longer any incentive on the part of the
13. school boards to save any money, because none of it is their
14. money. It's all state money. Because of this in some parts
15. of the state there have been some sweetheart contracts entered
16. into between the school board and busing companies because
17. the tax as I suggested earlier, the money didn't come from
18. the local government anyway. Now, this bill establishes
19. some guidelines for this. And the guideline is a 7...any
20. increase more than 7% per year based upon the number of
21. pupils, in other words if the number of pupils goes up that
22. is taken into consideration, but beyond that any increase
23. beyond 7% may be denied by the O.S.P.I.'s office unless, and
24. this was the amendment we put on this morning, unless a
25. justifiable exception exists as provided for by guidelines
26. prescribed by the O.S.P.I. That's essentially what it does.
27. It attempts to get some of these costs under control and I
28. would be happy to answer any questions on the bill.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

30. Senator Bell.

31. SENATOR BELL:

32. Well, Mr. President, Members of the Senate, I don't have
33. any really bad commentary on this. There's just something

1. about this bill that strikes me a little bit right in the
2. gut. And, that's the fact that it seems to me that it's
3. striking at some of the principles that we hold dear and
4. true. Free enterprise. And, as I understand it these various
5. busing groups are able to deal with local school boards and
6. local school boards have the option or are mandated I guess
7. in fact, to contract with the lowest bid. And here, it seems
8. to me, what we're setting up is the state through the Office
9. of Superintendent of Public Instruction, O.S.P.I., a kind of
10. a hammer situation that to me as I say, seems to strike at
11. some of our free enterprise system. I think it's a bad bill.
12. And, I don't personally like to see O.S.P.I. pick up this
13. additional strength.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

15. Senator Don Moore.

16. SENATOR MOORE:

17. Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. I too
18. arise in opposition to this bill. If there are a few school
19. districts in the state that have abused the present formula
20. and there are certain sweetheart contracts as referred to by
21. Senator Knuepfer, I'm sure that there's probably some pro-
22. vision of the Criminal Code that the State's Attorney of those
23. respective counties could go ahead and enforce. I think that
24. this is a bad bill. I don't see why all school districts in
25. the State of Illinois should be penalized because of one or
26. two that have abused this privilege. Insofar as the 7% in-
27. crease I think this is ridiculous. I think that in many cases
28. the cost of labor alone exceeds 7% a year and as far as the
29. guidelines of the O.S.P.I., I've seen what his guidelines
30. have done insofar as the attempt to desegregate the schools
31. of this state and to attain or overcome racial imbalance of
32. the schools. Wherever he has seen fit to enforce these guide-
33. lines, there's been chaos and I sure as heck don't want to give

1. him the authority of promulgating guidelines to regulate
2. these type of contracts. I think this is a bad bill. I
3. think it should be defeated.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

5. Senator Walker.

6. SENATOR WALKER:

7. Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate, if this
8. legislation passes, it means that all contracts will have to
9. be approved by Dr. Bakalis' office and I can see where that
10. would create great havoc if not destroy the private bus
11. industry. Especially in, take my district for example,
12. where most of them are operated by members of my party and
13. I know that he would attempt to be fair but I'm not sure
14. that he would be and that's one particular reason that I
15. oppose the bill. The reason I'm opposing it is because it
16. takes the authority from every local school district and gives
17. it to Bakalis. And, I would much rather have the school boards
18. in my district make those decisions rather than the good Dr.
19. As I've stated before, I've represented school districts for
20. a number of years and I might add, I'm still with the ad-
21. ministrators. The I.E.A. hasn't swung me over to their side
22. yet as apparently it has on both sides of the aisle, either
23. by campaign contributions or intimidation. And, I would
24. be remiss in my duty if I didn't suggest that this bill
25. receive a...a very unfavorable vote. As I say, I'm speaking
26. for the school districts in my own particular district and
27. I think the same would apply to every Senator's district
28. here. Thank you.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

30. Senator Weaver.

31. SENATOR WEAVER:

32. Well, Mr. President, and Members of the Senate, I rise
33. in opposition to this bill, also. I think it is a subject

1. if there are sweetheart contracts in certain areas of the
2. State of Illinois that certainly they should be investigated.
3. But, I think, the whole problem here should be and might
4. rightly be referred to the School Problems Commission for
5. some resolution.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

7. Senator Knuepfer may close the debate.

8. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

9. Well, I want to suggest first of all to Senator Bell
10. that I see this as no threat to the free enterprise system.
11. When state money is involved, we ought to be involved. And
12. as I suggested earlier, if we're only talking about local
13. money, I wouldn't be concerned, but the kind of money we're
14. talking about and the problem comes because it's all state
15. money. And, this is wherein there is an incentive for sweet-
16. heart contracts. We have an obligation to supervise the
17. spending of state money. We have a whole School Code as
18. a matter fact, that tells public school administrators that
19. they must secure bids on certain kinds of things, that they
20. must do this and they must do that. I suspect under that con-
21. text those would be an interference with the free enterprise
22. system. I don't read it that way. I read it simply as an
23. attempt by the State of Illinois to watch out for the spend-
24. ing of its tax dollars. We're spending it. It's state money
25. and I think we have an obligation to make certain that it is
26. well spent. And I...I urge your support of this concept.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

28. The question is shall HB 1470 pass and on that question
29. the Secretary will call the roll.

30. SECRETARY:

31. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
32. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
33. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth

1. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
2. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
3. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
4. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
5. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
6. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
7. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

8.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

10. Mitchler, no. On that question, the yeas are 13, the
11. nays are 24. HB 1470 having failed to receive the constitu-
12. tional majority is declared lost. 1471, Senator McCarthy.

13. SECRETARY:

14. HB 1471 (Secretary reads title of bill)

15. 3rd reading of the bill.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

17. Senator McCarthy.

18. SENATOR MCCARTHY:

19. Yes, Mr. President, this bill is the only agreed item of
20. legislation in the field of unemployment compensation that
21. has been called for a vote, in this Body. What the bill does
22. ...oh...got to get another hundred on the board up there.

23. What this bill does is effective...

24. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

25. Senator...for what purpose does Senator Mitchler arise?

26. SENATOR MITCHLER:

27. Well, ... I... believe as sponsor I...thought we were
28. going to hold this one here. We were talking about some
29. other things...Senator McCarthy. And, I suggest maybe we
30. hold this instead at this particular time calling 1471. We've
31. got some other problems we were trying to iron out and I
32. thought maybe we'd talk about that before you called this
33. bill. Get those ironed out first.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
2. Senator McCarthy.
3. SENATOR MCCARTHY:
4. Well, ... I don't mind holding it except that there's
5. no disagreement about the bill, but we'll catch it another
6. day. It's an agreed bill.
7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
8. Take it out of the record. 1494, Senator Knuppel. 1494.
9. SECRETARY:
10. HB 1494 (Secretary reads title of bill)
11. 3rd reading of the bill.
12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
13. Senator Knuppel.
14. SENATOR KNUPPEL:
15. 1494, Gentlemen, is a typical licensure bill requiring
16. licensing of facilities for the care and handling of mentally
17. retarded. Applies both to private facilities and be applicable
18. January 1st, 1974, and, at a later date in July of 1975 with
19. respect to state facilities. Never in Illinois have we had
20. such provisions. The Federal Government is considering such
21. provisions. The necessity of different type of facilities
22. for mentally retarded from those of the aged and others su-
23. pervised by the Department of Mental Health is required. This
24. is a good bill, will improve the standards and promote a pro-
25. gram that's designed to benefit the mentally retarded. I
26. suggest a favorable roll call.
27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
28. Senator Knuepfer.
29. SENATOR KNUEPFER:
30. I...I'm sorry, I did not get all of your explanation,
31. Senator Knuppel. ... Would you just be as brief as you can
32. and tell me what the essence of the bill was? Separation
33. of the facility?

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

2. Senator Knuppel.

3. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

4. The bill would require the Department of Public Health
5. in consultation with the Department of Mental Health to de-
6. velop standards for the facilities for the care of the mentally
7. retarded.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

9. Senator Knuepfer.

10. SENATOR KNUEPFER

11. Senator, the only thing I wonder about on this bill.
12. This was a companion bill. Now, this didn't go through my
13. committee. This came through another committee. This is
14. a companion bill to a HB 232. And, HB 232 is in the Study
15. Committee of the Public Health Welfare and Corrections De-
16. partment. ... I have some reservations about the desirability
17. of passing this out when its companion bill which we un-
18. doubtedly will be amending this fall...will be in the process
19. of being amended. If we pass this bill out and leave the com-
20. panion bill there, we may find that we don't have the proper
21. structure for getting both of them out. So, I don't know
22. in detail beyond that, but I would suggest that it probably
23. would be more appropriate to put this with the package with
24. the other bill.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

26. Senator Harris.

27. SENATOR HARRIS:

28. Well, I want to point out that the provisions of this
29. bill are that mental health facilities be licensed by the
30. Department of Public Health, not the Department of Mental
31. Health. I don't understand the rationale of that whatsoever
32. and I certainly think that we should withhold action on
33. this bill until the question of...of committee action is

1. thorough and final on the action by the Senate on HB 232.
2. I would encourage really consideration of committing this
3. bill to the Committee on Public Health, Welfare and Correc-
4. tions, or at least withholding support on it for now. It
5. just doesn't make sense to me to empower the Department of
6. Public Health with the responsibility of licensing local
7. mental health care facilities in the field of mental health.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

9. Senator Knuppel.

10. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

11. Well, in view of the comments by the President of the
12. Senate, I will hold the bill, talk to the House sponsor and
13. see if he's willing to go along with that. And, I ask leave
14. to withdraw from the roll...or from the record.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

16. Take it out of the record. 15...Senator Buzbee.

17. SENATOR BUZBEE:

18. Mr. President, I rise on a point of personal privilege.
19. I would appreciate it if you would hear this Senator Mohr and
20. Senator Bartulis, if you would hear this...Senator Bartulis.
21. I am addressing this point of personal privilege to you and
22. Senator Mohr. Yesterday afternoon on the Floor of the Senate,
23. I made two points about HB 763. One about an agreement that
24. I felt had been made in committee and two, about an agreement
25. that I felt had been made on the Floor of the Senate. Senator
26. Mohr checked the tape on the discussion on HB 763 in moving
27. it from 2nd to 3rd and found that there had been nothing said
28. on my part or Senator Bartulis' part. Now, Senate or HB 763
29. went from 2nd to 3rd on June the 14th. On June the 15th, HB 1655
30. which is...was sponsored in the House by Representative Hart, and
31. in the Senate by Senator Bartulis, and it deals on the Calen-
32. dar it said something to the effect of dealing with the Coal
33. Mining Act. I mistook that bill for 763 on June the 15th,

1. and I have the copy of...the conversation. Senator Graham
2. was the Presiding Officer and he said 1655 an Act to amend
3. etc., etc., 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amend-
4. ments. Presiding Officer: Any amendments from the Floor?
5. 3rd reading. The Senator from Champaign, Senator Buzbee.
6. Senator Buzbee: Mr. President, there was an agreement in
7. committee on SB 1655 that there would be an amendment on
8. 2nd reading which would limit this bill only to the exclusion
9. ...only to the coal mining industry and not to oil and to
10. the other industries. And this was agreed in committee that
11. this...and I'm just wondering if this amendment is going
12. to be put on. Presiding Officer, Senator Graham: Do you
13. understand that, Senator Bartulis? Is there an amendment
14. to be adopted? He said he will move it back in that event.
15. Senator Buzbee: Thank you. So, at the time, I was talking
16. about one bill thinking that I was talking about another
17. bill. I publicly apologize to Senator Bartulis on that 2nd
18. reading - that at the time - that 763 was moved, there was
19. no agreement.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

21. Senator Partee.

22. SENATOR PARTEE:

23. I was just...make the observation that what Senator
24. Buzbee has done is a very noble thing. And what is...is the
25. thing which makes the State Senate capable of being the finest
26. organization and club in the world. I think if more of us
27. would from time to time if we are wrong admit it, and we could
28. get on moving with business, I think it would make this even
29. a better place to work.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

31. Point is well...well taken...1508, Senator Fawell.

32. Not on the Floor?

33. SENATOR HARRIS:

If I could have the attention momentarily of the Senate.

1. I'm sure that you'll all share with me the enthusiasm and
2. pleasure that I just had in meeting the 10 State Senators
3. of 1973 Boy's State. I would like to have the Senate stand
4. and acknowledge their presence among us as our fellow Senators.
5. I'd like for each of them to step to the microphone and
6. identify themselves and let us know from where they are.

7. (Boys introduce themselves)

8. While they're here with us I would like to recognize Senator
9. Mitchler who has a resolution on the Secretary's desk for
10. immediate consideration of the Senate. Senator Mitchler.

11. SENATOR MITCHLER:

12. Yes, I wonder if the Secretary might read this resolution
13. as long as we have these fine gentlemen with us. I just
14. happened to have this one in my desk, Senator Partee.

15. SECRETARY:

16. Senate Resolution 235 by Senators Mitchler, Harris,
17. Partee and all Members of the Senate.

18. (Secretary reads Senate Resolution 235.)

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Is there leave for the immediate consideration of the
21. adoption of the resolution? All in favor of the motion to
22. adopt, signify by saying aye. Contrary no. The motion
23. carries. The Resolution is adopted.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

25. I'd like to make a remark or two on Boy's State. I just
26. had the pleasure of meeting my Senator and told him that I
27. was a...I was privileged to attend Boy's State a few years
28. back and I'd sure love to change rolls with him today. Do
29. you have any bills coming up Senator? Senator Knuppel.

30. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

31. On a point of personal privilege. I have 5 guests in the
32. balcony to my left here. They're ladies from Adams County.
33. Mrs. Roy, Mrs. Brady, Mrs. Moore, Mrs. Johnson and a...there

1. are two Mrs. Roys. If they'd stand I'd appreciate their
2. introduction.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

4. Back to Boy's State for one minute. Tomorrow afternoon
5. between 1 and 2 or somewhere between that hour, the Boy's
6. State Band is going to come over and play a couple of numbers
7. and if you want a treat why we'll open the windows and hope
8. that the...we might take a couple of minute break and enjoy
9. this great band. 1547, Senator Kosinski.

10. SECRETARY:

11. HB 1547 (Secretary reads title of bill)

12. 3rd reading of the bill.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

14. Senator Kosinski.

15. SENATOR KOSINSKI:

16. Thank you, Mr. President, honorable Senators. This is
17. a safety road bill as I would call it. It's requested by the
18. State Police and it's needed very much during the winter time.
19. It prohibits SB or rather HB 1547 would prohibit the driving
20. of any motor vehicle with any window covered with snow, ice,
21. frost or other nontransparent material in such a way as to
22. materially obstruct or obscure the driver's clear view. The
23. State Police feel that they could prevent many accidents...

24. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

25. Senator Savickas, Senator Savickas.

26. SENATOR KOSINSKI:

27. If Senator Savickas wishes to talk about it I...ought to
28. put him on the mike.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

30. Senator Savickas, this is a very important bill and we'd
31. like your attention.

32. SENATOR KOSINSKI:

33. We'll scrape the ice. There are many accidents caused
by the window being full of snow, ice and some cars when the

1. window is broken put plastic which is nontransparent. Too
2. many accidents, too many people are either killed or injured
3. by this type of negligence by the driver. I feel this is
4. very important legislation for the safety of our people
5. on the roads. And, I without equivocation, Mr. President,
6. wish that all Senators vote for this piece of legislation.
7. I move for a favorable roll call.
8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
9. Senator Savickas.
10. SENATOR SAVICKAS:
11. Yes, Mr. President, would Senator Kosinski yield to
12. a question?
13. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
14. He indicates he will.
15. SENATOR SAVICKAS:
16. I'd like to know what happens as frequently does on
17. snow storms and these ice storms that we have not only in
18. Chicago but throughout the state, and you're driving down
19. on the expressway and snow builds up on your rear window
20. and side windows, how are you going to get out of traffic
21. shovel this off and prevent yourself from getting a ticket?
22. I think that it's kind of a silly bill to say that if you
23. have snow and ice on the window when it's snowing and hailing
24. out that you're gonna...liable to a ticket. How would you
25. prevent this.
26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
27. Senator Kosinski.
28. SENATOR KOSINSKI:
29. Senator have you ever heard of defrosters, windshield
30. wipers, defoggers? I think they're very essential in auto-
31. mobiles and I think they do the job.
32. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
33. Senator Savickas.

1. SENATOR KOSINSKI:

2. If you don't have them then you shouldn't be driving a
3. car.

4. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

5. Senator, not all cars have rear window defoggers and
6. defrosters and not all defoggers work when there is a snow
7. storm or a hail storm that covers these windows with ice.
8. I think that again this is one of those silly bills that we
9. had on the statutes that harass the public more than help
10. them. I would suggest a no vote on this bill.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

12. Senator Palmer.

13. SENATOR PALMER:

14. Senator Kosinski, what are the penalty provisions in
15. case this b...provisions are violated.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

17. Senator Kosinski. Senator Kosinski.

18. SENATOR KOSINSKI:

19. It's...it's under the moving violations, Senator. And,
20. you as a lawyer probably would know it more than I do what
21. the violations would be. I think I've seen you in traffic
22. court.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

24. Senator Soper.

25. SENATOR SOPER:

26. Senator Kosinski, would you yield to a few questions?

27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

28. Within the allotted time.

29. SENATOR KOSINSKI:

30. To you, Senator, if it's sensible, yes.

31. SENATOR SOPER:

32. Well, I have some sensible questions. Now, when you're
33. driving down a highway especially if you're on Edens or on
the Eisenhower Expressway, Stevenson's Expressway and...your

1. windsh...your back window's frosted or snow becomes...piled
2. up on the rear window. Would you want us to stop, open the
3. door and be massacred on that highway?

4. SENATOR KOSINSKI:

5. I think, Senator, again, I said that the...you have wind-
6. shield wipers that'll take care of the snow from most times.

7. SENATOR SOPER:

8. Yes, but not on a back window.

9. SENATOR KOSINSKI:

10. ...rain, hail, snow...

11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

12. One at a time please Gentlemen. We all...

13. SENATOR SOPER:

14. ...the back window is very difficult to have windshield
15. wipers. Now, I have...I have defoggers and defrosters what-
16. ever you call them. It takes a long time for them to work and
17. sometimes even they don't help on the rear. But, I would sug-
18. gest to you and not facetiously, that with this bill you also
19. put in an...in an amendment which says that every car should
20. carry a hammer for the back window in case it gets defrosted, you
21. hit it with a hammer, you knock the window out.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

23. Senator Rock.

24. SENATOR ROCK:

25. Well, I just rise, Mr. President, to clear up a couple
26. of the questions that have been asked. If you'll take a
27. look at 95 1/2, Chapter 12-503 to which this bill is an amend-
28. ment. There are certain prohibitions now with regard to obstruc-
29. tions concerning the...both the front and rear window of an
30. automobile. In addition it says now, that no person shall
31. drive any motor vehicle when the windshield or rear window
32. is in such defective condition or repair as to directly impair
33. the driver's vision to the front or rear. I think the reason

1. the State Police wish this further delineation is that one
2. can reasonably read, no person shall drive any motor vehicle
3. when the windshield or rear window is in such defective con-
4. dition. If, in fact, a window is frosted over or covered with
5. snow, it is, in fact, in a defective condition such as to di-
6. rectly impair the driver's vision to the front or the rear.
7. For a violation of any provision of Section 12, Section 16
8. comes into play and says it is a misdemeanor for any person
9. to violate any provision of this Act, meaning that previous
10. Section 12. And the penalty for that misdemeanor upon con-
11. viction, so I presume a traffic citation would be issued,
12. is not less than 10 or more than \$100 as is every other
13. traffic citation. I hope that answers the questions. I
14. think the bill has some merit and should receive our support.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

16. Senator Walker.

17. SENATOR WALKER:

18. I'll only add to that. Thank you, Mr. President, Members
19. of the Senate. No. 1, the House sponsor is a former Chief
20. of Police up North somewhere of Chicago and I've found his
21. legislation, although he's a member of the opposite party,
22. rather effective over a period of years. And, when I read
23. a synopsis like this it reminds not only in my town but in
24. my own precinct, we're two blocks from the school and yet
25. those doting mothers have to run those kinds that two blocks
26. in the automobile in the winter, they'd be better off in
27. my estimation if they'd let them walk, they run them to
28. school in their car and then they have P. E. the first...the
29. first...right...as soon as they get there. You see them
30. going down the street and they've scraped a little spot
31. on the driver's side and that's the extent of it. And, even
32. though the statute does provide as Senator Rock has said, that
33. it describes it in a state of defective repair I think perhaps

1. this bill might add something to it and that might save
2. some of those kids lives and perhaps their unthinking mothers
3. on occasion. I intend to support the bill. I think it's a
4. good bill and I'd appreciate the support of others and if
5. everyone else has had their little say on this I'd like to
6. move the previous question but I wouldn't by any stretch of
7. the imagination want to preclude the other 50 who haven't
8. said anything yet from speaking.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

10. Senator, we'll ask you to withhold that for a minute.
11. There are two other speakers that I have acknowledged. Senator
12. Merritt.

13. SENATOR MERRITT:

14. Yes, very briefly, and I'm not going to belabor the Body
15. long. And, Senator Kosinski, I certainly have a very high
16. regard for you as one of our respected colleagues but I
17. think this just once again points up the folly of our really
18. horseing around with some legislation here, taking up the
19. time of the Body when we know we can't comply with it. I
20. question whether it's even enforceable from a law enforcement
21. standpoint you're going to create lots of troubles.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

23. Senator Mitchler.

24. SENATOR MITCHLER:

25. This is a good bill. Senator Kosinski's got a good
26. bill here. Nothing gripes me more than after...when it
27. snows and you come out to these parking lots after you
28. get out of work and you find that you got somebody that's
29. so darn lazy they don't sweep off the back window of their
30. car and they take off down the highway. Now, that's what
31. this bill is for. Don't try to make it...believe that when
32. you're in a sleet storm or something like that you should
33. get off the highway if you can't see out of your windows.

1. ...your windshield wiper and your defoggers aren't working.
2. But, this bill is intended that when somebody comes out and
3. you've got 2, 3 inches of snow in your back window, you don't
4. only as Senator Walker said, you go out and ... a little tiny
5. piece over here where you can look out so you don't get your
6. coat dirty. You go around to the other side and you clean
7. your window so you can see out the back window. That's
8. what Senator Kosinski wants to do and it's a good bill and
9. let's get behind Senator Kosinski.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

11. Shades of Senator Collins. Senator Roe.

12. SENATOR ROE:

13. I...I didn't realize this was so big but I still think
14. we should move the previous question.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

16. Senator Roe moves the previous question. All those in favor
17. signify by saying aye. Opposed. The ayes have it by a
18. slim majority. And, it's unfortunate, Senator that we
19. can't hear you sum up. The question is shall HB 1547 pass
20. and on that question, the Secretary will call the roll.

21. SECRETARY:

22. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
23. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
24. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
25. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
26. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
27. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
28. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
29. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
30. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
31. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

33. Request to call the absentees.

1. SECRETARY:
2. Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, Clarke, Course, Daley,
3. Davidson, Donnewald, Fawell, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel,
4. Latherow, Howard Mohr, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga,
5. Palmer, Savickas, Schaffer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene,
6. Weaver, Mr. President.
7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
8. Senator Savickas.
9. SENATOR SAVICKAS:
10. How did Waltz King Course vote on this one?
11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
12. Senator Course is not recorded. Senator Course.
13. SENATOR COURSE:
14. Vote me aye, Mr. President. And, how did Silent Sam
15. Savickas vote?
16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
17. The question is or...On that question, ...on that question,
18. the yeas are 26, the nays are 10. HB 154...Senator...Senator.
19. Request postponed consideration. We'll hear...we'll hear that
20. on Monday. Senator Latherow, HB 1324.
21. SECRETARY:
22. HB 1324 (Secretary reads title of bill)
23. 3rd reading of the bill.
24. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
25. Senator Latherow.
26. SENATOR LATHEROW:
27. Mr. President, and Members of the Senate, this is the,
28. I guess you'd say Amtrak Bill that has to do with 6 different
29. routes throughout the State of Illinois and I'd be glad to
30. answer any questions and would appreciate a favorable roll
31. call.
32. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
33. Further discussion? Question is shall HB 1324 pass

1. and on that question the Secretary will call the roll.

2. SECRETARY:

3. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
4. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
5. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
6. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
7. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
8. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
9. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
10. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
11. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
12. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

14. Nimrod, aye. Shapiro, aye. Johns, aye. Palmer, aye.
15. Knuppel, aye. Bruce, aye. Soper, aye. Wooten, aye. Walker,
16. aye. Netsch, aye. On that question, the yeas are 43 and nays
17. are none. HB 1324 having received the constitutional majority
18. is declared passed. Senator Fawell, HB 1508.

19. SECRETARY:

20. HB 1508 (Secretary reads title of bill)
21. 3rd reading of the bill.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

23. Senator Fawell.

24. SENATOR FAWELL:

25. Yes, Mr. President, Members of the Senate, this is one
26. of the land trust bills which has been introduced...HB 1508
27. is Representative Porter's bill in the House and it specifi-
28. cally applies to cases where a land trustee is making an
29. application for a license or a permit. It has the amend-
30. ment which Senator Knuppel asked for in committee for states
31. that the application for a license or permit is such that
32. relates to the use of the land or an interest therein or
33. improvements thereon, so that it would cover zoning requests

1. or applications. I know of no opposition to this bill at
2. this time and would ask for a favorable roll call of the
3. Senate.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

5. Senator Partee.

6. SENATOR PARTEE:

7. Senator Fawell saw me standing and changed his words
8. to, at this time. There is still no opposition, Senator.
9. As a matter of fact, I wanted to say that this is the
10. kind of bill which the city council in Chicago passed the
11. other day. And, I think it is a good bill and merits
12. everybodys support.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

14. Further discussion? Senator Netsch? Senator Netsch.

15. SENATOR NETSCH:

16. Mr. President, will the sponsor yield for a question?

17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

18. He indicates he will.

19. SENATOR NETSCH:

20. Could you just very briefly compare this to 757. I had
21. missed this on the list. I am just now looking at it. I
22. realize it's a new Act as opposed to an amendment to an
23. existing Act but in...in any other major respects could you
24. quickly describe how it differs from 757? Or am I putting
25. you on the spot, Senator Fawell?

26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

27. Senator Fawell.

28. SENATOR FAWELL:

29. Well, I'm...the point that you have just mentioned,
30. this is a new Act whereas the bill to which you refer is an
31. amendment to the Corrupt Practices Act. And, in addition,
32. the...Representative Kempiners' bill goes further in regard
33. to asking for a disclosure of anybody that may be a beneficiary

1. of a beneficiary in effect. Saying that, all right you may
2. disclose the beneficiaries but couldn't it be possible
3. the beneficiary is a trustee for someone else. So, that his
4. bill goes to that extent. It also goes to the extent of...
5. of requiring that an affidavit be obtained from each of
6. the disclosed beneficiaries that they in turn are not
7. holding it on behalf of anyone else. It all...it covers
8. lawsuits, condemnation matters, the whole gamut. This
9. specifically addresses itself to the problem of somebody
10. that as a land trustee may apply for some type of a license
11. or a permit or zoning matters. It only...it makes it
12. clear that when you are doing that you ought to disclose
13. for whom the title is held, who the beneficiaries may be.
14. So, it...it addresses itself only to...only to one aspect
15. of the land trustee problem.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

17. Senator Netsch.

18. SENATOR NETSCH:

19. The...but it does...well you're saying in the first
20. place that it is limited to instances where a land trust
21. has some relationship to a governmental entity?

22. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

23. Senator Fawell.

24. SENATOR FAWELL:

25. That is correct. Anytime that you're filing an appli-
26. cation for a permit or for a license, some type of a power
27. to be received from a governmental entity, then at that time
28. you've got to simply divulge who you really are.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

30. Senator Netsch.

31. SENATOR NETSCH:

32. One other question,...in specifically mentioning the fact
33. that it applies also where a license or permit or some others

1. right or benefit from the state is being sought. It
2. appears to me that it may in that respect go beyond 757.
3. Is that your understanding also? That is, 757 seems to apply
4. only to the ownership of land or interests therein and not
5. necessarily to the license permit situation.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

7. Senator Fawell.

8. SENATOR FAWELL:

9. I...think that could be correct. I'd have to put the
10. two bills together and compare them. I think you...I think
11. that is correct.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

13. Senator Sours.

14. SENATOR SOURS:

15. I just have one question to the sponsor. I don't know
16. whether you've looked this bill over carefully at the very
17. end of the punishment provisions. What would be an example,
18. in your opinion, of subornation of perjury in this bill. I
19. can't imagine any. And, if...if there couldn't be any then
20. of course we probably shouldn't have that in the statutes.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

22. Senator Fawell.

23. SENATOR FAWELL:

24. Well, I...I could assume that anybody who may bring about
25. ...cause the...the false statements to be set forth in the
26. application could be guilty of subornation of perjury here.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

28. Senator Sours.

29. SENATOR SOURS:

30. That might be the third assistant office boy when he
31. wasn't using the mop and broom. You wouldn't want him
32. punished would you?

33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

1. No. No, absolutely not, Senator. But, it...it doesn't
2. change the law, criminal law of perjury and it simply in-
3. corporates by reference as you have pointed out Sections
4. 32-2 and 32-3 of the Criminal Code.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

6. Senator Rock.

7. SENATOR ROCK:

8. Well, I just wanted to reiterate what Senator Partee said.
9. I rise in support of this bill. This is the so-called land
10. trust, motherhood, Lawrence Welk, the hot dog approach to
11. government, but there's a significant difference between this
12. bill and 757 to which I am opposed, in that the onus, the
13. burden of disclosure is not on the governmental unit, but on the
14. trustee or the land trust people themselves. I think 757 is
15. backwards. It puts the duty on the governmental unit to ob-
16. tain these affidavits from the holders of the trust. This
17. bill at least is right side up. It says if in fact a land trust
18. is going to do any kind of business at all with any governmental
19. unit they have to make full disclosure. I think it's a good bill
20. and worthy of our support.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

22. Senator Bell. Any further discussion? Question is shall HB
23. 1508 pass and on that question the Secretary will call the roll.

24. SECRETARY:

25. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
26. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
27. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
28. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
29. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
30. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
31. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
32. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
33. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

2. Carroll, aye. Romano, aye. Saperstein, aye. McBroom,
3. aye. Newhouse, aye. On that question, the yeas are 49, the
4. nays are 1. HB 1508 having received the constitutional
5. majority is declared passed. For what purpose does Senator
6. Ozinga arise.

7. SENATOR OZINGA:

8. I guess you might call it a matter of personal privilege.
9. The only reason I rise is just to explain my vote on that
10. last bill. I voted aye on that bill. Now, I have been
11. approached so many times because of my banking establishments
12. and things like that where people have said, is it not a
13. conflict of interest. Now, this is a bill that I voted aye
14. on. The bankers ordinarily would be interested in having
15. a no vote because this bill will cause additional work for the
16. trusts...in trust departments of banks and banking. And,
17. anybody that might submit an affidavit that might be one
18. ounce out would be subjected to perjury in this case. I
19. just say that because so many of these reporters have asked
20. time in and time out do you think it best to be on the floor
21. or sitting in a seat even though you are an elected official
22. of some category and this is a good example with my aye vote
23. there. It probably was a little bit antagonistic to the
24. banking profession and the trust departments.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

26. 1570, Senator Conolly.

27. SECRETARY:

28. HB 1570 (Secretary reads title of bill)

29. 3rd reading of the bill.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

31. Senator Conolly.

32. SENATOR CONOLLY:

33. The funds covered, Mr. President, in this appropriation

1. are used by the General Assembly for their electronic
2. data processing such as the various roll calls and so forth
3. that you have on your desk plus the machines around the
4. various areas for your staff to use. No funds can be
5. appropriated without the approval of the House Joint
6. Committee on Legislative Data Processing. I move the
7. approval of this bill.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

9. Is there any discussion? The question is shall HB 1570
10. pass and upon that question, the Secretary will call the roll.

11. SECRETARY:

12. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
13. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
14. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
15. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
16. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
17. Mohr, Don. Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
18. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
19. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
20. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
21. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

23. On that question, the ayes are 46, the nays are none.
24. HB 1570 having received a constitutional majority is declared
25. passed. 1617, Senator Bruce.

26. SECRETARY:

27. HB 1617 (Secretary reads title of bill)
28. 3rd reading of the bill.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

30. Senator Bruce.

31. SENATOR BRUCE:

32. Yes, Mr. President, I'd like to have leave of the
33. Body to move HB 1617 back to the order of 2nd reading for

the purpose of an amendment.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

2. Is there leave? Leave is granted.

3. SENATOR BRUCE:

4. Yes, Amendment No. 1 to HB 1617 deletes language on
5. page 2 that relates to the clipping of dogs' ears and to
6. the tethering of animals on leashes three times their
7. length. There's been so much discussion about it. It's
8. my opinion and the Department of Agriculture and the Humane
9. Society that it's best to leave that out and leave it up
10. to the Department by regulation to determine what's going
11. to be done in those two fields. And, so I...what this
12. amendment does is to delete that language and I move the
13. adoption of Amendment No. 1.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

15. Senator Bruce moves adoption of Amendment No. 1 to HB
16. 1617. All in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed nay.
17. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments? 3rd
18. reading. Senator Kosinski, 1871?

19. SECRETARY:

20. HB 1871 (Secretary reads title of bill)
21. 3rd reading of the bill.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

23. Senator Kosinski.

24. SENATOR KOSINSKI:

25. Thank you, Mr. President, Senators. This is strictly
26. a clarifying bill. It merely is corrective in character
27. by clarifying the definition of the term assets. The bill
28. is satisfactory and it is approved by the Employees Pension
29. Laws Commission. I seek a favorable roll call.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

31. Senator Vadalabene.

32. SENATOR VADALABENE:

33. I think this is a very good bill and I urge all my
colleagues to support Senator Kosinski.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
2. Is there further discussion? The question is shall
3. HB 1871 pass. Upon that question, the Secretary will call
4. the roll.
5. SECRETARY:
6. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
7. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
8. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
9. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
10. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
11. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
12. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
13. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
14. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
15. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
17. Davidson, aye. Latherow, aye. Soper, aye. Vadalabene,
18. ... On that question, the ayes are 47, the nays are none.
19. HB 1871 having received the constitutional majority is de-
20. clared passed. Senator Vadalabene.
21. SENATOR VADALABENE:
22. Having voted on the prevailing....
23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
24. Senator Kosinski, 72? 1872?
25. SECRETARY:
26. HB 1872 (Secretary reads title of bill)
27. 3rd reading of the bill.
28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
29. Senator Kosinski.
30. SENATOR KOSINSKI:
31. Mr. President, Senators, HB 1872 would create an option
32. to a Chicago fireman to take a lesser retirement annuity
33. and provide with the difference in his equity an annuity to

1. a wife, parent, child, brother or sister. The so-called
2. revisionary annuity option is presently in effect in most
3. retirement systems in Illinois including the General
4. Assembly Retirement System, the State Employees Retirement
5. System, the Teachers' Retirement System and several systems
6. in Cook County. This will not cost the State a penny or the
7. City of Chicago. I seek your favorable roll call.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

8. Is there any discussion. The question is shall HB 1872
9. ...excuse me...Senator Shapiro.

10. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

11. ...Would the sponsor yield to a question? When was
12. this amended, in the Senate or in the House?

13. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

14. Senator Kosinski.

15. SENATOR KOSINSKI:

16. It was amended in the Senate.

17. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

18. Does the amendment have the approval of the Commission?

19. SENATOR KOSINSKI:

20. It sure does, Senator.

21. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

22. All right.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

24. Any further discussion? Question is shall HB 1872 pass
25. and upon that question, the Secretary will call the roll.

26. SECRETARY:

27. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
28. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
29. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
30. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
31. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
32. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
33. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,

1. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
2. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
3. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

5. On that question, the ayes are 40 and the nays are none.
6. HB 19...1872 having received the constitutional majority
7. is declared passed. HB 164, Senator Harris.

8. SECRETARY:

9. HB 164 (Secretary reads title of bill)
10. 3rd reading of the bill.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

12. Senator Harris.

13. SENATOR HARRIS:

14. ...This bill in addition to the appropriations of the Depart-
15. ment of Conservation also appropriates \$7,000 to the Department of
16. Mental Health. Now, if you'll recall, we amended that earlier this
17. morning. It provides for appropriations to these employees of both
18. the Department of Conservation and the Department of Mental Health
19. for expenses that they have incurred in the line of their respon-
20. sibilities and activities as employees. I cleared the amendment we
21. put on with Representative Berry this morning. Would appreciate
22. a favorable roll call.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

24. Is there any discussion? The question is shall HB 164 pass
25. and upon that question, the Secretary will call the roll.

26. SECRETARY:

27. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
28. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
29. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
30. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
31. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
32. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
33. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,

1. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
2. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

4. On that question, the ayes are 46 and the nays are none.
5. HB 164 having received a constitutional majority is declared
6. passed. 1617, Senator Bruce.

7. SECRETARY:

8. HB 1617 (Secretary reads title of bill)
9. 3rd reading of the bill.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

11. Senator Bruce.

12. SENATOR BRUCE:

13. Yes, Mr. President, Members of the Senate, HB 1617 is
14. the Humane Care for Animals Act. It's a new Act. It had a
15. very good hearing in committee. Senator Merritt, Senator
16. Latherow, Senator Knuppel and others went through this in
17. some detail. It allows the Department of Agriculture to re-
18. gulate the treatment and care of animals in the State of
19. Illinois. It was drafted by the Department in cooperation
20. with the Illinois Humane Society, other groups interested in
21. proper care of animals throughout the State. I have deleted
22. the two provisions that have caused us difficulties in the
23. past. That is relating to the cropping of ears of dogs and
24. what to do on a tethered animal. We had times the length of
25. the body. We had other language. As far as I know I would add
26. to all the Members of the Senate, I'd alert your attention to this,
27. as far as I know, Senator Bernie Neistein has no objection to
28. this bill.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

30. Are there any questions? Any discussion? Senator Sours.

31. SENATOR SOURS:

32. Mr. President, Senators, I had a similar bill which failed.
33. I didn't mind that, but I sure am glad to see one as good. And,
I hope it passes. Some of my best friends are four footed.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
2. Senator Latherow.
3. SENATOR LATHEROW:
4. Well, Mr. President, Members of the Senate, I'd like
5. to recognize that just like this one wasn't quite like this
6. one.
7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
8. Any further discussion? Senator Harris.
9. SENATOR HARRIS:
10. Well, would this bill define the trimming of ears as
11. mayhem? I haven't had a chance to look at the bill.
12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
13. Senator Bruce.
14. SENATOR BRUCE:
15. Senator Harris, a moment ago, I deleted that provision
16. from the bill. Frankly we just cannot get agreement on it.
17. I didn't want to get into the problem that Senator Sours
18. did. The bill states nothing as to the cropping of ears.
19. The Department is just going to have to work it out by rule
20. and regulation. ...
21. SENATOR HARRIS:
22. I think that really is the problem with Hudson's bill
23. and ... like he a good many of my friends are four footed
24. and I happen to have one with cropped ears. And, it was
25. done when it was young. I'm sure it hurt, but it survived
26. quite comfortably in the meantime and I know that's the basic
27. concern with people about that definition of mayhem. ...
28. Other than that, if the bill is similar well fine and dandy.
29. I think nobody has any objection, but I would hate to find
30. out that we passed a bill here that would prohibit that or
31. define it as some kind of criminal act ...and that was the
32. whole basis of my concern.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

1. Is there further discussion. Senator Harber Hall.
2. SENATOR HALL:
3. Question of the sponsor please. Would this, Senator
4. Bruce, take care of the situation of burning horses' feet
5. and spiking them with acid, nails, things like that?
6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
7. Senator Bruce.
8. SENATOR BRUCE:
9. Yes, I believe it will. And, that's is in the first
10. Section defining cruelty in that no person may beat, cruelly
11. treat, torment, overload, overwork or abuse any animal. It
12. is not specifically defined and this is the very thing that
13. Senator Harris was talking about and the cropping of ears.
14. We just cannot get all the groups together. What we're
15. trying to do is in the general define it in hopes that we
16. can get something on the books and define it in case by
17. case if we have to go to court. But, it does not explicitly
18. prohibit that.
19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
20. Any further discussion? The question is shall HB 1617
21. pass and upon that question, the Secretary will call the roll.
22. SECRETARY:
23. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
24. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
25. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
26. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
27. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
28. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
29. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
30. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
31. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
32. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

1. Senator Smith, aye. It is the intention of the Chair
2. to go to the order of consideration postponed, so I wish
3. you would all look over them, and we'll start at the top
4. and go right down the list. If there are any Senators
5. that wish to call them. On that question the ayes are,
6. Senator Welsh, aye. On that question the ayes are 46 and
7. the nays are none. HB 1617 having received a constitutional
8. majority is declared passed. Senator Sours, 567? Senator
9. Roe, HB 187? Senator Roe. Senator Latherow, HB 351?
10. Senator Nimrod, HB 444? Senator Carroll? Senator Bell,
11. HB 1012? Senator Knuppel, 1017? Senator Wooten, 1085?
12. HB 1085, Senator Knuppel...Wooten.

13. SENATOR WOOTEN:

14. Yes, Mr. President and fellow colleagues, this bill was
15. considered the other day and was greeted with the most elo-
16. quent silence in a point that I assure you is well taken.
17. And, I hope that you will regard more the merits of the
18. legislation than those of its Senate sponsor. It has passed
19. almost every obstacle in a near unanimous state and for Rep-
20. resentative McGrew's sake I hope that this fairly innocuous
21. bill will be favored with a favorable roll call.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

23. Is there any discussion? Senator Harris.

24. SENATOR HARRIS:

25. Well, I would just like to observe that I think the point
26. was made the other day, as Senator Wooten and I have had what
27. I think is a most effective conversation and I hope the ...
28. I would hope that the Members from this side of the aisle would
29. support this worthy legislation of Representative McGrew and
30. would appreciate a favorable roll call.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

32. The question is shall HB 1085 pass and upon that question,
33. the Secretary will call the roll.

1. SECRETARY:

2. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
3. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
4. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
5. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
6. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
7. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
8. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
9. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
10. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
11. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

13. Senator Knuppel, aye. Senator Howard Mohr, aye. On
14. that question, the ayes are 52 and the nays are none. HB
15. 1085 having received a constitutional majority is declared
16. passed. 1120, Senator Chew? 1298, 1299, Senator Newhouse?
17. 1334, Senator Wooten?

18. SENATOR WOOTEN:

19. On a more a point of personal privilege than anything
20. else, Mr. President, I'd like to call the attention of the
21. Membership to this particular bill on 1334 which is identical
22. to SB 1049. I would propose to keep this on postponed con-
23. sideration until the last moment. As you know there is a
24. package of bills relating to abortion in the House and I would
25. like to keep this bill in its present posture until we see
26. what disposition if any is made of those three bills in the
27. House.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

29. Senator Hall, 1410? You wish to call it or hold it?

30. SECRETARY:

31. Hold it.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

33. 1548, Senator Sours. 1584, Senator Davidson. 1584,

1. Senator Davidson.

2. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

3. Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, we had
4. dialogue on this bill yesterday. The synopsis of the bill is
5. incorrect because there is a dollar limit on this which is now
6. if we pass this bill will be identical to what we amended SB
7. 428 down to so the Chicago teachers' pension in relation to
8. widows and children would be the same. This is exactly what
9. this does. It's a good bill. They have...this bill is paid
10. for by 1% contribution by the employees themselves with the
11. increase in salary the amount of money has gone up. I'd
12. appreciate a favorable roll call.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

14. Is there any discussion? Senator Glass.

15. SENATOR GLASS:

16. ...Thank you, Mr. President, Senators. I did not vote
17. at all on this bill yesterday because of certain concerns
18. raised by Senator Berning and as expressed to the Membership
19. that this was a bill that had not gone through the Pension
20. Laws Commission Study. I did however vote for it in committee
21. and upon reviewing it, I'm convinced that it's a reasonable
22. bill inasmuch as it brings the downstate teacher survivor
23. benefits up to par with those of the Chicago teachers. And,
24. I remember now that was the reason I supported it in committee
25. and will support it on the Floor. And, would urge a favorable
26. roll call.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

28. Senator Knuepfer:

29. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

30. I want to ask a question of the sponsor.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

32. He indicates he'll yield.

33. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

1. Is this funded or isn't it funded?
2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
3. Senator Davidson.
4. SENATOR DAVIDSON:
5. It's funded by a 1% contribution from the employees.
6. This is for the widows and the children.
7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
8. Senator Knuepfer.
9. SENATOR KNUEPFER:
10. Where...where did the extra money to provide this
11. increased benefit come from?
12. SENATOR DAVIDSON:
13. It comes from the increase...there's been no increase
14. in this amount of monies for 5 years and the increase in the
15. teachers' salaries in the 5 years with the 1% contribution is
16. increased enough to make the reserves as I understand that the
17. reserves will pay for this increase.
18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
19. Senator Knuepfer.
20. SENATOR KNUEPFER:
21. That...that sounds to me like circumnavigation of the
22. question. ...To the best of my knowledge...I don't...it
23. doesn't sound like it's funded. I don't know what the sit-
24. uation is as far as the Pension Laws Study Commission goes.
25. It's...I would gather that this will increase the...the lia-
26. bilities of the State. I don't know what this fund is presently
27. funded at but I would guess that somewhere about 30% and
28. everytime we add to that we diminish the 30% to 29, 28, 27.
29. ...I'd like to support all of these increases, they may be
30. meritorious but when they diminish the value of the money
31. left for the rest of the pensioners who have put their own
32. money in then I think we've got to stand and say no.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

1. Senator Berning. Senator Berning.

2. SENATOR BERNING:

3. Mr. President, Members of the Body, I rise in opposition
4. to this measure. We all know the condition of the teachers'
5. pension funds. We have been asked to pass sizable appropriation
6. bills to attempt to offset the unfunded liability of these
7. funds. And, in my opinion, this bill is similar to others
8. that ought not to be acted on until after the time we've had
9. an occasion to completely analyze the entire pension programs
10. of all as...all natures that are...we are responsible for here in
11. the State Legislature in order to put them on an equal basis.
12. The sponsor is correct that there is a 1% contribution but
13. these are not segregated funds; 1% contribution for these
14. benefits but these are not separated from the entire con-
15. tribution and are commingled with the rest of the pension
16. funds. Therefore it cannot be stated that this is self-
17. supporting or that there is any provision to cover these add-
18. itional costs. This is just going to compound an already bad
19. situation and I respectfully suggest that this measure
20. ought to be defeated.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

22. Senator Harber Hall.

23. SENATOR HALL:

24. Mr. President, I don't want to take a position at this
25. time for or against the bill. I would point out to the
26. Members of the Body that in the explanation of the bill and
27. it perhaps a misimpression with many people that a reference
28. was made that this is for widows and children. I would point
29. out that it does not differentiate widows from widowers. It
30. applies to widowers as well as to widows because we don't
31. differentiate in the sexes. ...We have many more women
32. teachers now who are coming up for retirement and upon their
33. demise why...their formerly-called widows pension will be

1. widowers pensions. And, I think that has to be considered
2. into this. I just wanted to point that out that the sponsor
3. had referred to this as for widows and their children, but
4. it's for all the deceased' benefactors.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

6. Is there further discussion? Senator Davidson may
7. close debate.

8. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

9. Senator Hall...Senator Harber Hall is correct. It is
10. widow or widowers. I think this was covered thoroughly
11. yesterday and today and I'd appreciate a favorable roll call.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

13. The question is shall HB 1584 pass and upon that
14. question, the Secretary will call the roll.

15. SECRETARY:

16. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
17. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
18. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
19. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
20. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
21. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
22. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
23. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
24. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
25. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

27. Newhouse, aye. Scholl, aye. Scholl. Netsch, aye.
28. Kosinski, aye. On that question, the ayes are 33 and the
29. nays are 5. HB 1584 having received a constitutional
30. majority is declared passed. Senator Johns. Senator
31. Johns is in Senator Knuppel's desk.

32. SENATOR JOHNS:

33. Mr. President having voted on the prevailing side

1. on HB 1584, I move we reconsider.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

3. It was on postponed consideration, Senator.

4. SENATOR JOHNS:

5. Was it? Ok.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

7. That's true. Excuse me. Senator Johns moves...Senator

8. Bruce moves... to...moves to reconsider, Senator Bruce moves

9. to Table. All in favor of Tabling signify by saying aye.

10. Opposed nay. Motion to Table carries. We'll move to the

11. order of the Secretary's desk? For what purpose does Senator

12. Netsch arise?

13. SENATOR NETSCH:

14. Mr. President, I would like to make a motion at this

15. time if I might, to discharge the Executive Committee from

16. further consideration of HB 1620.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

18. Well, Senator Netsch, your motion is out of order.

19. Senator Schaffer filed a similar motion on Saturday the

20. 23rd of June. your motion is improper...

21. SENATOR NETSCH:

22. ... I had also filed a...a like motion, but I believe

23. that the rules do not specify who is...who may file such

24. a motion to discharge. I am entitled to file it under the

25. rules and I hear no objection from Senator Schaffer.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

27. Senator Schaffer..

28. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

29. While I am not about to make that motion, it was not my

30. intent to prohibit anyone else from doing it and I'd ask

31. leave of the Body to remove that motion from the record.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

33. Well, Senator Netsch, the motion was filed by Senator

1. Schaffer and your motion is out of order. Senator Schaffer
2. did file this motion on June 23rd, 1973 consideration of
3. which is still pending before the Senate. Your motion is
4. improper because it represents the same question as Senator
5. Schaffer's motion to discharge on HB 1620. Senator...Senator
6. Netsch.

7. SENATOR NETSCH:

8. I think that if you will check the records of the Sec-
9. retary of the Senate, you will also find that I had filed a
10. motion on June 15th to like effect. I did not call the
11. motion because at that time, Senator Schaffer was considering
12. filing it himself and he was the original sponsor of the bill.
13. I have a copy of the motion that I filed on June the 15th.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

15. Well, Senator Schaffer's motion is still under considera-
16. tion, Senator Netsch. Senat...Senator Netsch.

17. SENATOR NETSCH:

18. May I make one other appointment as a matter of procedure,
19. I believe it is correct that there is no requirement in the
20. rules that a written notice be filed when someone is attempting
21. to discharge a committee. It has not been done all Session.
22. The rules do not so specify. The motion can be made at any-
23. time. And, as a matter of fact, I think we have seen it made
24. time and time again in the last few days here.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

26. The question that we're considering now, Senator Netsch,
27. is whether Senator Schaffer's motion is still pending.

28. Senator Schaffer.

29. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

30. It was not my intent to prevent anyone else from making
31. that motion even though I do not choose to make it at this
32. time. Consequently, I'd ask leave of the Body to strike that
33. motion from the record.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

2. Well, Senator Schaffer, the Secretary advises me that
3. it has been journalized. You...you at this time wish to
4. withdraw this motion? Senator Schaffer withdraws his
5. motion as to discharge on HB 1620. Senator Harris.

6. SENATOR HARRIS:

7. Now, I just want to learn. Are we not still on the
8. order of Bills on 3rd reading in the order of postponed
9. consideration?

10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

11. Senator, we're on the order of the Secretary's desk.
12. Bills on the Secretary's desk. I was just getting ready to
13. call SB 84.

14. SENATOR HARRIS:

15. Yeah...Then, would it not be appropriate to proceed with
16. that matter and when we get to the order of motions, Senator
17. Netsch proceed with her motion.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

19. We're on the order on the Secretary's desk in the order
20. of Senate Bills on the Secretary's desk...

21. SENATOR HARRIS:

22. ...She has an opportunity to get to this order of
23. business, I'm not trying to deny that but I'm just saying
24. that she now is in a position...

25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

26. She will have that opportunity...

27. SENATOR HARRIS:

28.to place that motion before the Body. No question
29. about that. And, it's a question then of whether we want
30. to proceed out of the regular order of business to that
31. question or continue where we are. And I...

32. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

33. Senator Netsch.

1. SENATOR NETSCH:

2. I suspect I know the result of this request, but if it
3. is necessary for me to request leave for me to proceed with
4. this motion at the present time, I would so request. And,
5. I would point out along with that that during the last few
6. days we have on numerous occasions moved to various

7. SENATOR HARRIS:

8. Don't deny that at all.

9. SENATOR NETSCH:

10. orders of business out of order. I think it is
11. probably...

12. SENATOR HARRIS:

13. We can do it now. We can do it now. We can do it
14. whenever....

15. SENATOR NETSCH:

16. I...I would request that we consider the motion now.

17. SENATOR HARRIS:

18. ...perfectly all right.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

20. Senator Netsch asks leave to return to the order of
21. motions. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Senator Netsch
22. moves to discharge the committee on Executive from further
23. consideration of HB 1620. Any discussion? Senator Harris.
24. SENATOR HARRIS:

25. Well, Mr. President, I just want to point out that this
26. is a matter that has a great deal of sensitiveness to it. I'm
27. one who has received a great deal of castigation about it. I
28. think this measure in it's form is far too drastic to be re-
29. acted to carefully, the way it ought to be reacted to by this
30. Body at this point in time. The bill remains in committee.
31. There was not definitive action taken on it by the committee.
32. It had a very thorough evaluation. I have indicated to the
33. sponsor that we'll continue to evaluate this during the

1. interim. And, I think this matter appropriately should remain
2. in the Executive Committee. Now anybody who was there that
3. day knows that Senator Schaffer had a very thorough hearing.
4. There was a great deal of questioning and the committee de-
5. termined not to take definitive action. The bill is alive,
6. it's well, it's going to receive continuing attention by
7. the committee and I don't mind heat. Let me tell you that.
8. But, we are not in position now in this Body to take de-
9. finitive action on a matter of this consequence and import
10. in my judgment. And, I would recommend that the bill...that
11. Senator Netsch's motion not be supported. That the bill re-
12. main there and that this important subject receive our con-
13. tinuing attention.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

15. Senator Partee.

16. SENATOR PARTEE:

17. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, I too was one of
18. those who was castigated because of the fact that this bill
19. having come over at a very late date was not brought out of
20. the committee. The fact of the matter is this is a subject
21. matter which deserves our attention and on which there must
22. be some legislation. It was I who worked very hard for over
23. a hundred clocked hours on the financial disclosure law which
24. is now the law of the land which is the Illinois Law, which
25. incidently is the strongest law of it's kind in the United
26. States. Now, I have an inordinate amount of pride about
27. having done that. I will have, I hope, the same amount of
28. pride for any contribution that I can make to a financial
29. disclosure law. We want a financial disclosure law. There
30. will be a financial disclosure law. This bill is not dead.
31. It will be exempted and we will have ample time to work on
32. it to make it as good a law as is humanly possible to be.
33. The bill in its present condition has many undesirable facets.

1. The bill in its present condition has many facets which will
2. assure its defeat. I am not beyond believing that when the
3. bill was sent, it was sent for a political purpose. It was
4. not designed to be passed. And, I suggest to you that when
5. this bill is worked on and is brought into a condition where
6. the members can buy it and can vote for it we will have ac-
7. complished more by doing that than we will by any spontaneous
8. immediate reactions to a bill which is garbled and which is
9. in poor form.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

11. Senator Nudelman.

12. SENATOR NUDELMAN:

13. Mr. President, based on the representations made both by
14. Senators Harris and Partee, I would now move you this motion
15. lay on the Table.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

17. Motion's made to Table Senator Netsch's motion. The
18. motion is not debatable. All in favor of Tabling? Not on a
19. motion to Table, Senator Netsch. The motion is to lay Senator
20. Netsch's motion on the Table. All in favor signify by saying
21. aye. Opposed nay. The motion carries. There's been a re-
22. quest for a roll call on the request...on the motion to Table.
23. For what purpose does Senator Buzbee arise?

24. SENATOR BUZBEE:

25. Point of personal privilege, Mr. President. I believe
26. before the motion to lay on the Table was made, I had my
27. hand up. You recognized that I was to speak. Unfortunately,
28. you looked...I had my hand up for quite some time...

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

30. Well, Senator Buzbee, there were several hands up.

31. Yours wasn't the only hand.

32. SENATOR BUZBEE:

33. I know that. I know that. But I want it to be clear that

1. I did have my hand up. That I wanted a chance to speak.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

3. Senator Buzbee did not have the Floor. The motion was
4. made to Table. It carried. Senator Netsch has asked for
5. a roll call. A roll call will be held. Secretary will call
6. the roll on the motion to Table. For what purpose does
7. Senator Clarke arise?

8. SENATOR CLARKE:

9. Mr. President, this is one of most serious question...
10. point of personal privilege...most serious question that's
11. come up before this Body this Session, in my opinion. Now,
12. I can understand the desire of the Senator on the other side
13. to cut off debate. I'd like to speak on this issue. I've
14. spoken on this issue in Executive Committee three separate times
15. but I have never seen, and my point is this, I have never seen
16. in this Body this Session or previously denial of the sponsor
17. of a motion or a bill to close the debate. And you just did
18. that to the Senator who ordered the Resolution.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

20. Senator Clarke, Senator Nudelman made a motion. It was
21. not debatable. During the discussion there was never a
22. question asked Senator Netsch. Had there been a question
23. asked, I would have recognized Senator Netsch. The Secretary
24. will call the roll.

25. SECRETARY:

26. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
27. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
28. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
29. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
30. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
31. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
32. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
33. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,

1. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
2. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

4. Kosinski, aye. Johns, no. On that question, the ayes
5. are 35, the nays are 20. The motion to Table prevails. We
6. will return to the items on the Secretary's desk. SB 84,
7. Senator Regner. For what purpose does Senator Netsch arise?
8. SENATOR NETSCH:

9. A point of personal privilege, Mr. President. I have
10. lost the motion, that is perfectly apparent. I suppose I
11. would have at any point. But, I would like to emphasize what
12. Senator Clarke said. This is one of the most critical issues
13. facing this Legislature this Session. And, I think it's
14. pretty outrageous that I was not even allowed to speak on
15. my own motion.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

17. Senator Regner.

18. SENATOR REGNER:

19. Yes, Mr. President, Members of the Senate, the amendment
20. that was put on SB 84 in...in the House clarified the meaning
21. in the Illinois Housing Authority that shelter here...shelter
22. care homes may be included in the operation of the Illinois
23. Housing Authority and I would move that we do concur in
24. House Amendment No. 1 to SB 84.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

26. Senator Regner moves to concur in House Amendment No. 1
27. to HB 1...SB 84, excuse me. Any further discussion? Senator
28. Rock.

29. SENATOR ROCK:

30. Thank you, Mr. President, I would urge the Members of
31. this side to vote as Senator Regner has suggested. I think
32. the amendment is a good one.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

1. Any further discussion? The Secretary will call the
2. roll.

3. SECRETARY:

4. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
5. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
6. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
7. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
8. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
9. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
10. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
11. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
12. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
13. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

15. Smith, aye. Saperstein, aye. Newhouse, no. Bartulis,
16. aye. Knuepfer, aye. On that question, the ayes are 45, the
17. nays are 1. The Senate concurs in House Amendment No. 1 to
18. SB 84. SB 94, Senator Knuepfer. ...298, Senator Smith. SB
19. 607, Senator Walker....07. Do you move to concur in House
20. Amendment No. 1 to SB 607?

21. SENATOR WALKER:

22. Right.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

24. Senator Walker moves to concur...that the Senate concur
25. in the House Amendment No. 1 to SB 607. Is there any discus-
26. sion. Senator Soper. Senator Soper.

27. SENATOR SOPER:

28. Yeah. Would the sponsor explain the amendment. Don't
29. mumble it just explain it.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WALKER):

31. Senator Walker.

32. SENATOR WALKER:

33. Yes, I'd be happy to Senator Soper. The Senate Bill was

1. amended on page 1, lines 1 and 6 by deleting 3-116, 117, in-
2. serting in lieu thereof and 116. That's all right, let them
3. keep up the noise, Mr. President. And, on page 3, by deleting
4. everything after line 30 and on page 4, by deleting lines 1
5. through 32 and I might say that this amendment made the bill
6. conform to SB 708 of which Senator Latherow was the sponsor.
7. Senators Course, Chew, McCarthy and myself were co-sponsors.
8. And, the amendment is approved by both the Secretary of
9. State's Office and the State Police. It merely made the
10. two bills that were passed conform. And, I'd appreciate
11. a favorable roll call. If Senator Soper has any further
12. questions, I'd be happy to answer them.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

14. Senator Soper.

15. SENATOR SOPER:

16. I'd like to have the amendment printed.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

18. ...copies of the amendment, Senator Walker.

19. SENATOR WALKER:

20. The amendment has been printed and I believe distributed
21. on the desks and I'd appreciate it if Senator Soper would
22. withdraw that request.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

24. Senator Soper, do you withdraw your request or your per...

25. SENATOR SOPER:

26. Well, Senator Walker smiled and if he's not being funny,
27. I'll withdraw the request.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

29. Senator Rock.

30. SENATOR ROCK:

31. Thank you, Mr. President, I rise in support of Senator
32. Walker's motion to concur. The amendment was offered in the
33. House by the Office of the Secretary of State. It is definitely

1. acceptable from our point of view. It deletes a Section that
2. is already contained in Senator Latherow's SB 708 and to
3. avoid needless duplication this amendment was offered. I
4. urge our members to support the motion to concur.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

6. Is there further discussion? Senator Walker moves that
7. the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to SB 607. Is
8. there further discussion? The Secretary will call the roll.

9. SECRETARY:

10. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
11. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
12. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
13. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
14. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
15. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
16. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
17. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
18. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
19. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

21. Newhouse, aye. Palmer, aye. Donnewald, aye. Saperstein,
22. aye. Chew, aye. On that question, the ayes are 44, the nays
23. are none. The Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to
24. SB 607. Senator Shapiro, SB 6...Senator Shapiro, 634?
25. Senator Regner, SB 153?the Senate sponsor for House
26. Joint Resolution 2? Senator Graham.

27. SENATOR GRAHAM:

28. I think if you could determine who the House sponsor is
29. you might be able to get a Senate sponsor.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

31. Representative Telcser and Katz.

32. SENATOR GRAHAM:

33. That might offer a problem.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

2. There's H.J.R. 4 with Borchers and Washburn. Harber
3. Hall. Is Harber Hall on the Floor. House Joint Resolution
4. 4, Senator Hall. On the Secretary's desk. Senator Harber
5. Hall moves the adoption of House Joint Resolution No. 4.
6. Is there further discussion? All in favor s...Senator
7. Hall.

8. SENATOR HARBER HALL:

9. I'd like to bring the message of this Resolution to
10. the attention of the Members if I might. It's true it should
11. have no opposition, but it's rather important I think to all
12. your constituents and mine. This Resolution would say to our
13. National Government that we in Illinois oppose the principle
14. of general amnesty to draft evaders. It's of course a com-
15. prehensive Resolution, but that's the general nature of it.
16. I think it's appropriate that it does become a matter before
17. this General Assembly and probably other ones as well so
18. that our National leaders will feel the effect of our senti-
19. ment presently and in years to come. I move for adoption of
20. this Joint Resolution.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

22. Senator Wooten.

23. SENATOR WOOTEN:

24. Just a question for Senator Hall. In order that there might
25. not be any flaw in this, do I understand the language here
26. is without justification historically. Do you mean to contend
27. that an amnesty has never been granted in the past following
28. a war. Because that certainly was the case after the Civil
29. War.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

31. Senator Hall.

32. SENATOR HALL:

33. ...Yes, ... I think it's historically true that general

1. amnesty in a war has never been the policy of our country
2. at any time.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

4. Senator Wooten.

5. SENATOR WOOTEN:

6. I simply state I believe you're in error there. That
7. that has occurred in the past.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

9. Any further discussion? All in favor of the adoption of
10. House Joint Resolution No. 4, signify by saying aye. Senator
11. Partee.

12. SENATOR PARTEE:

13. There's one phrase that I perhaps don't understand and
14. that is that part which relates to the granting of general or
15. unconditional amnesty. Now, is that to say by this resolution
16. that we are going on record to say that no unconditional
17. amnesty may be granted but saying implicitly that conditional
18. amnesty may be granted. Are we saying that by this resolution?

19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

20. Senator Hall.

21. SENATOR HALL:

22. I think under some conditions a type of amnesty could be
23. given. This says general amnesty. Conditional amnesty certainly
24. if it recognized the problem of failure to serve and provided
25. for some type of service in lieu of military service or some-
26. thing like that, it certainly could be done even in the face
27. of what we...what we're hopefully passing now.

28. SENATOR PARTEE:

29. Thank you.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

31. All in favor of the adoption of the resolution, signify
32. by saying aye. Opposed nay. The resolution is adopted.
33. Knuepfer, do you have one of these resolutions?

1. SENATOR KNUEFFER:

2. My name isn't on it, but the next one is mine H.J.R. 16.

3. ...Very simply, what H.J.R. 16 does is what a number of

4. other states do. It designates the Miss Illinois each year

5. as the honorary hostess of the State of Illinois and...for

6. those duties it also suggests that she should get a State

7. Scholarship at one of the State Universities. It is I under-

8. stand in the O.S.P.I.'s budget. I think it is a fitting

9. honor for Miss Illinois who does serve in a number of offi-

10. cial and semi-official capacities. She's been down here 2 or

11. 3 times before the House and the Senate this year and I'm

12. sure they will be in the future, too. I think it's a desir-

13. able resolution and I would ask your support.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

15. Senator Buzbee.

16. SENATOR BUZBEE:

17. Mr. President, I certainly enjoyed looking at Miss

18. Illinois when she was down here. I enjoy looking at beau-

19. tiful woman generally. But, I don't think that's any reason

20. for us to give them a scholarship in the first place. In the

21. second place, when you say Miss Illinois, are you talking about

22. Miss Illinois in the Miss America Contest, Miss Illinois in the

23. Miss U.S.A. Contest, who I believe was the young lady that

24. was down here, Miss Black Illinois, Miss Teen-Age Illinois,

25. just which Miss Illinois are we talking about? I don't

26. think because a beautiful girl wins a beauty contest is any

27. reason for us to give her a scholarship to a state university.

28. And, I'm going to yell discrimination because why don't they

29. give a scholarship to Mr. Illinois. Maybe all of us in this

30. Body can enter the contest, maybe we can all get scholarships

31. somehow or other. I...I'm opposed to this. I want to be re-

32. corded as voting no.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

1. Any further discussion? All in favor of the adoption
2. of House Joint Resolution 16 signify by saying aye. Opposed
3. nay. The resolution is lost. A roll call has been requested.

4. SENATOR KNUEFFER:

5. ...opposition which I did not...

6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

7. Is there...are you joined by another Senator or two?

8. SENATOR KNUEFFER:

9. Well, I...I do hope so.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

11. The Secretary will call the roll.

12. SENATOR KNUEFFER:

13. ...May I just have one further privilege? Since it
14. was in the O.S.P.I.'s budget, I assumed that there were no
15. problems with the bill. It appears that there are. Therefore,
16. I have not had the opportunity I think to close and if you will
17. give me a couple of moments, I will explain and perhaps answer
18. Senator Buzbee's question.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

20. Continue.

21. SENATOR KNUEFFER:

22. ...The Miss Illinois is a franchise held, it is in the
23. Miss America Contest. It is a franchise held by a civic, not-
24. for-profit organization. That answers, I think, that part
25. of the question. Secondly, Miss Illinois is asked to perform
26. a very substantial number of State duties, and willingly
27. does so. Thirdly, Miss Illinois is not only a beauty contest,
28. it is a talent contest as well. And, it doesn't seem to me
29. that there are...that a State scholarship is that...has that
30. kind of value that it represents something immeasurably greater
31. than the services that...that any Miss Illinois gives to the
32. State of Illinois in terms of her time. I think it's a...it's
33. an amendment...resolution that ought to be adopted. ... I

1. have explained it. I would appreciate those of you who feel
2. you can support it, please do.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

4. Secretary will call the roll.

5. SECRETARY:

6. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
7. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
8. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
9. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
10. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
11. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
12. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
13. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
14. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
15. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

17. Shapiro, no. Senator Knuepfer.

18. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

19. ...postponed consideration.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

21. Senate Resolution...or House Joint Resolution 16 will
22. be placed on the order of consideration postponed. Senate
23. Resolution 153, Senator Regner.

24. SENATOR REGNER:

25. Yes, Mr. President, what this Resolution does, it asks
26. that a special subcommittee of the Public Health and Welfare
27. Committee of the Senate investigate the certification of
28. Presbyterian St. Luke Hospital to build a new facility in
29. the suburb of Schaumburg. The investigation seeks to de-
30. termine if there was a conflict of interest and if the best
31. interest of the State were served in awarding of this con-
32. tract. There was...this is a highly competitive issue in
33. the area. There were 3 other hospitals and another group

1. of physicians that were trying to establish a hospital and
2. it was awarded to Presbyterian St. Luke and the permit was
3. signed by Dr. Lepper when he was and is receiving a supple-
4. mental income of \$27,000...\$27,500 from Presbyterian St.
5. Luke. It's not establishing a new committee or commission
6. or anything like that. It's using existing structure that
7. we have right now in the Senate. The Senate Committee on
8. Public Health and Welfare. There were over a six-year
9. period when this new hospital was being considered...

10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

11. For what purpose Senator Partee rise?

12. SENATOR PARTEE:

13. Oh, I'll wait till he's finished. I just wanted to
14. address myself to the resolution. When he's finished.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

16. When he's finished. All right.

17. SENATOR REGNER:

18. This was a highly conflicting thing for the past six
19. years when a hospital was being considered in the area and
20. we had some very strange circumstances that took place in
21. the area regarding it. Things such as a builder that had
22. violated a zoning ordinance in Schaumburg, the charges were
23. dropped against him in February when he agreed with...to a
24. thing put by the village to make a contribution to
25. Presbyterian St. Lukes. The individual that was chairman of
26. the Site Selection Committee for the site of this hospital
27. was president of a local bank at the time and this bank
28. was handling the various land donations and this individual
29. currently is under indictment for embezzlement from that
30. bank. There as an article in a local paper, a statement
31. that was made...back last February again right after the
32. Governor announced his intention to appoint Dr. Lepper
33. to this position of Comprehensive Health Planning Director,

1. that now, with this happening it's almost a sure thing that
2. St. Lukes would get the permit to build the hospital over
3. and above whatever anyone else did. So, I'm not saying
4. that there definitely was conflict of interest. I'm not
5. making any accusations. I'm just seeking to find out if
6. the best interest of the State were served and if there was
7. a conflict. And, I would urge a favorable vote and the
8. adoption of this resolution.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

10. Senator Partee.

11. SENATOR PARTEE:

12. Well, it is a fundamental part of life that in every con-
13. test somebody wins and somebody loses. Now, if someone that you
14. know lost a contract and someone else got it, I suppose that
15. is in keeping with what life is about. Now, it occurs to me
16. that this gentlemen who you now raised a question about was
17. approved by the committee the other day and is now going to
18. hold the position. Now, let me ask you one question. Did
19. you say the other day that he said he does not object to
20. this commission making this investigation?

21. SENATOR REGNER:

22. ...Senator Partee, I did not make that statement. Some-
23. one on the committee made that statement that he would probably
24. not object to it because if it proved to be no problem at
25. all that he would have a better reign as Director of Com-
26. prehensive Health Planning. But, I did not make this state-
27. ment. Someone on the...

28. SENATOR PARTEE:

29. I think it was Senator Ozinga I think maybe who made it.
30. Senator Ozinga, please.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

32. For what purpose Senator...Senator Ozinga.

33. SENATOR OZINGA:

1. For the purpose of answering Senator Partee's request.
2. Senator, I did. I talked to Dr. Lepper personally, and
3. positively with reference to this resolution. And, he said
4. that he welcomed the investigation because he felt that it
5. might do him more good by having it. I did ask him the
6. question point blank.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

8. Senator Graham.

9. SENATOR GRAHAM:

10. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, before Senator
11. Regner fell heir to this part...portion of the district,
12. we of reapportionment, I was involved as a Senator in this
13. controversy. I was very happy to turn over to him my volu-
14. minous file dealing with this subject matter. I don't think
15. it's the intention of Senator Regner and certainly isn't mine
16. to castigate Dr. Lepper in any manner, shape or form. Dr.
17. Lepper did seem favorable to this in our committee. But, I
18. want to tell you one thing, that I am not so sure yet and
19. the people in my district who are ultimately going to pay
20. the bill are not sure yet that their cries to have this
21. reevaluated with regard to the fact that this hospital was
22. being built on a floodplain is going to be hanging over the
23. minds of the people in Schaumburg for a long, long time
24. and I happen to believe they're right. Now, this is an
25. advisory thing insofar as Dr. Lepper's concerned. If it
26. isn't ...if there is something amiss there it will be cleared
27. up and if there isn't, he'll be glad to have this resolution.
28. If it needs clearing up, this commission should do it and
29. I urge unanimous support of this resolution by this Body.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

31. The...further discussion? All those in favor of adop-
32. tion of Senate Resolution 153, signify by saying aye. Opposed.
33. The resolution is adopted. The order of the Secretary's Desk.

1. Senate Bill 298, Senator Smith. No. Senator Smith.
2. SENATOR SMITH:
3. ...Appropriations Committee. Senator McBroom.
4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
5. Senator McBroom.
6. SENATOR SMITH:
7. A few moments ago I came to your desk with reference to
8. SB 298. And, at that time you had not received the proper
9. information. Have you now?
10. SENATOR MCBROOM:
11. Yes, we have. It's...I'll comply with your suggestion
12. on this, Senator Smith. Thank you for waiting.
13. SENATOR SMITH:
14. All right, then, Mr. Chairman, I move that we concur
15. with the House Amendment, whatever the number was ... 2 and
16. 3 to SB 298.
17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
18. Senator Smooth...Smith moves that we concur on Amendment
19. No. 2 and 3 to SB 298. All those in favor signify by...any
20. discussion? All those in favor signify...we have to have
21. a roll call, I'm sorry. Secretary will call the roll.
22. SECRETARY:
23. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
24. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
25. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
26. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
27. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
28. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
29. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
30. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
31. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
32. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

1. Palmer, aye. Kenneth Hall, aye. Course, aye. Knuppel,
2. aye. Soper, aye. Bartulis, aye. Walker, aye. Scholl, aye.
3. Sours, aye. Nudelman, aye. On that question, the yeas are
4. 46, the nays are none. The Senate concurs in House Amend-
5. ments 2 and 3 to SB 298. President Harris.

6. SENATOR HARRIS:

7. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, I want to announce
8. and I have informed Senator Partee of this. Arrangements
9. have been made sometime ago to give a local photographer
10. who was doing a little background story privilege of the
11. Floor very briefly to shoot a few candid shots. He will pro-
12. ceed now tith...for just a few minutes. I want to alert the
13. Membership to the fact that it will be taking place. He has
14. the complete understanding that he will catch no Senator in
15. an uncomplimentary position or that sort of thing. But I
16. want you aware of it. It will just be a brief interlude
17. while he'll be here on the Floor.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

19. Senator Nudelman.

20. SENATOR NUDELMAN:

21. Mr. President, Senator Harris, the photographer took
22. certain pictures before your announcement. I would respect-
23. fully request that those pictures taken before your announce-
24. ment, some of which were actually taken of Senators in an
25. uncomplimentary way...be...be burned or taken from the photo-
26. grapher. I don't think that's living up to the agreement the
27. photographer made with the President. I was in fact photo-
28. graphed from an unpleasant position prior to your announcement,
29. by the photographer I assume you're discussing.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

31. President Harris do you care to respond?

32. SENATOR HARRIS:

33. Well, I just...I had the understanding with this gentle-
man. I wasn't aware that he had started to take pictures.

1. I want to point out that the understanding is that the
2. Senate be alerted in advance that it's going to take place.
3. So, I would just respectfully point out to Mr. Beatty that
4. what he has done should not be used. The Senator has...
5. as the Senate is now on notice and he may proceed. I think
6. Senator Nudelman's point is valid.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

8. Everybody comb their hair and sit up straight. Senator
9. Chew, take off your glasses. Message...We have two more
10. resolutions. House Joint Resolution 23. Does anybody care
11. to call that? House Joint Resolution 61. Grotberg and...
12. Senator Harris,

13. SENATOR HARRIS:

14. Well, Mr. President, this really is a very simply reso-
15. lution and as Senator Partee has pointed out sometimes we can
16. accomplish with a phone call what we formalize through resolution
17. action. And, that might be the case here. But, there are some
18. significant problems developing in the creation of complete
19. new towns. And, this resolution directs the resources of the
20. Department of Local Government Affairs to be available on an
21. advisory basis and also to be the coordinating agency for
22. units of local government who are faced with the problem of
23. the development of new towns. The activity of coordination
24. of the services of additional state agencies that have skills
25. and expertise available for units of local government is
26. a sound idea. I would be happy to respond to any further
27. questions about the thrust of this resolution. Otherwise I
28. would be happy for a favorable roll call.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

30. Any further discussion? All those in favor of the adoption
31. of the resolution signify by saying aye. Opposed. The reso-
32. lution is adopted. We're going...we have a message from the
33. Governör. For what purpose...

1. SECRETARY:
2. A message...a message...
3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
4. A message from the Governor.
5. SECRETARY:
6. (Secretary reads message from the Governor.)
7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
8. Executive Committee. Senator Ozinga.
9. SENATOR OZINGA:
10. Well, I don't think it's necessary really to ask leave
11. to hear this nominee immediately or at the meeting this
12. evening, but I will have him there at the meeting for con-
13. formation this evening. And, I have told the messenger
14. from the Governor's office that I would hear this message in
15. committee this evening. Now, would all Members of the Execu-
16. tive Committee please pay attention. I know that you're all
17. anxious to get out of there and we have the meeting scheduled
18. for 7:00, however, I have talked to a number of the nominees
19. during the course of the day and they have agreed to come
20. early so that we will start as soon as we possibly can after
21. six o'clock. In other words, I'm moving it up one hour. As
22. soon as we have a quorum we will proceed. We shouldn't be
23. in there more than an hour at the most so we should be able
24. to be out of there within the hour. So, I urge you, be there
25. at 6 o'clock and we'll start as soon as we have a quorum.
26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
27. Second...second...Senator Davidson.
28. SENATOR DAVIDSON:
29. I'd like a point of personal privilege. I'd like to present
30. to the Senate Councilman Frank D. Pasquale from Elsinora,
31. California, and for the other side of the aisle, the vice-
32. chairman of the Democratic Committee of Riverside County of
33. California. And for the other side of the aisle, I'd also

1. like to present my Republican uncle from Elsinora, California,
2. Edwin Tomlin.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

4. Is the photographer taking pictures? House Bills 2nd
5. reading. 32, Senator Davidson.

6. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

7. Mr. President, Senator...Senator Hynes...Senator Hynes
8. had a request to Table motion NO....Amendment No. 4 on this
9. and talked to me about it.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

11. Senator...Senator Hynes.

12. SENATOR HYNES:

13. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, Amendment No. 4
14. included the Chicago system within this bill. And, it was
15. adopted with controversy and debate and discussion and in
16. fact with considerable confusion. The fact of the matter is
17. that presently in Chicago there is a procedure that is
18. operating very well and meets with the satisfaction of both
19. the teachers' union and the board for purposes of these
20. cases. And, it is the feeling of those parties that the pro-
21. cedure that presently exists in Chicago is much more preferable.
22. The problem in downstate Illinois is such that there is no
23. procedure at all and that's what the bill was intended to do.
24. And, I therefore having voted on the prevailing side on the
25. adoption of Amendment No. 4 to HB 32 move that the vote by
26. which that amendment was adopted be reconsidered.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

28. Senator Knuepfer.

29. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

30. Well, I want to do what I can to encourage my colleagues
31. on both sides of the aisle to resist this amendment. When
32. we discussed the amendment that was put on the other day, we
33. came to a general conclusion, I thought, that what was good

1. for downstate was good for Cook County as well. And, in
2. fact, there was some kind of consensus among Cook County
3. that that was all right. Now, what you're going to do by
4. this amendment is say that we have two different procedures.
5. Our procedure in Cook County is adequate. Well, maybe we'd
6. like to say that our procedure in downstate counties is
7. adequate right, too. But, what's sauce for the goose ought
8. to be sauce for the gander. And, if it's good enough to
9. impose on us then gentlemen, it ought to be good enough for
10. your school boards to live with. This ought to be state-
11. wide or it shouldn't be at all. And, don't come and
12. take yourself out and then all vote for it as a very fine
13. bill that ought to be supported. We want you in. We
14. think you're entitled to the same good bill that we're
15. entitled to. Join us. We welcome you and we may even sup-
16. port you in this endeavor to keep you in. Thank you.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

18. Senator Sours.

19. SENATOR SOURS:

20. I would like to ask Senator Hynes a question, if I
21. might, Mr. President.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

23. Senator Hynes.

24. SENATOR SOURS:

25. Well he yield?

26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

27. He will yield.

28. SENATOR SOURS:

29. Assuming this amendment is stripped off the bill, then
30. I presume...your side is going to support it. Am I correct?

31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

32. Senator Hynes.

33. SENATOR HYNES:

Well, I'm not really in a position to answer for my
side or for any side. I am going to support the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Senator Sours.

SENATOR SOURS:

I believe you know what's going on your side as well as
what...I know what's going on over here, including all the
flirtations, and the kissings and the osculations and the toadying
and the suckering. Now, is it your position that once we let
Chicago out of the noose here you're going..your side's going
to support this miserable bill so the rest of the state can
suffer with it.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Senator Hynes.

SENATOR HYNES:

Well, first of all Senator, I was not involved in any of
the activities that you described. And, I...I'm really not
sure who was. But, let me say, and I think that this also goes
to the comments of Senator Knuepfer, there has been and there is
in Chicago, a procedure for handling these cases which is a fair
procedure which has been working. In fact, the number of cases
each year is minimal. A handful of cases, I don't have the exact
statistics. I don't think it even exceeds 10 on the average. It
is not...it has not been a serious problem because there is a very
complicated very lengthy procedure that is used. There does not
seem to be any disagreement with it. The problem has been in
downstate Illinois, I am told, that there is no procedure and this
bill is an attempt to put in such a procedure. Now, it those per-
sons who...including yourself who opposed this bill were willing to
adopt a procedure for downstate similar to that that exists in
Chicago. It may well be that the sponsor of the bill would be willing
to take such an amendment. I don't know. I've looked at the bill.
I think it's a reasonable bill. There is no need for it in Chicago.
Furthermore the School Code has treated Chicago distinctly from the
very beginning. There is a sep...an entirely separate section

1. that deals with Chicago. I...I cannot speak for all the
2. Members of this side. I do not know what all of them are
3. going to do. I know there are many that are going to sup-
4. port the bill that did support it before. But, we see no
5. need to have this amendment on the bill. The bill as orig-
6. inally introduced went through the House and through the
7. Senate Education Committee was to apply to the area of the
8. state namely outside of Chicago that did not have a pro-
9. cedure and at the 11th hour an amendment was attached and some-
10. how in the confusion was adopted and that amendment I submit
11. was offered by persons who opposed the bill and would oppose
12. it any form. And, I think, the question could be turned
13. around. Would you oppose...would you support the bill even with
14. this in it and I don't think you would. It was intended
15. really to help in anyway it could to defeat the bill so, I
16. ...I made the motion to reconsider and I would ask for a
17. roll call on that motion.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

19. Senator Sours.

20. SENATOR SOURS:

21. There's noth...there's no other form of hypocrisy that
22. can ever beat running with the hare and holding with the
23. hounds. Now, before we get on that, he used most of my time
24. if you don't mind the comment, I'd like to ask the principal
25. Senate sponsor a question or two.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

27. Senator Davidson indicates he'll yield.

28. SENATOR SOURS:

29. Is it your position, Senator Davidson, that teachers
30. cannot get a fair and impartial hearing before school boards?
31. Just yes or no.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

33. Senator Davidson.

1. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

2. Senator Sours, the guts of this bill is what you addressed
3. yourself to. In some areas apparently the school board who have
4. fired a teacher can give them a fair hearing and other areas,
5. school boards who have fired a teacher cannot give them a fair
6. hearing and this is what it's all about. And, they'll wind up
7. going to expens...extensive court action and this is to set
8. up a procedure so that they can get a fair hearing after
9. they have already been fired by a school board if they ask
10. for a hearing.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

12. Senator Sours.

13. SENATOR SOURS:

14. Do you believe when you elect a school board member that
15. you should put him in charge of the conduct of operation of
16. the school? Just yes or no.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

18. Senator Davidson.

19. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

20. Senator Sours, you know that the school board member
21. who's elected is in charge of the school and he has to fire
22. this teacher in the first place or there's never ever any
23. hearing so he is in charge to set the policy and the levy
24. and etc., in running the school board.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

26. Senator Sours.

27. SENATOR SOURS:

28. One other question, then I have a little brief comment.
29. You are willing to have a school teacher be hired by a board
30. but not be fired by a board. Isn't that true?

31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

32. Senator Davidson.

33. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

That's not true, Senator Sours, if a teacher is not fired

1. in the beginning by the school board, there's never ever
2. any hearing. And, the only reason there is a hearing is
3. if the school teacher is on tenure then if they're fired
4. and he feels he has not been fired justly he asks for a
5. hearing. Now, any school ... any teacher unless something's
6. happened to his mental capacity or etc., after he has been
7. on tenure should never be an incompetent teacher, should
8. never be on tenure in the first place. The school adminis-
9. trator should recommend to the board to fire them before
10. they get on tenure.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

12. Senator Sours.

13. SENATOR SOURS:

14. Now, Mr. President, let me make this comment and I think
15. I'll be finished, maybe in more ways than one. If a lawyer
16. were to file an affidavit for a change of venue touching every
17. single judge in the circuit of several counties I think his
18. clients would wonder about his mentality. Now, this is
19. exactly what this bill does. It tells every school board
20. outside of Chicago if they're let out of the sack, it says
21. to every school board, you cannot give a teacher a fair trial.
22. So, now we're going to have an expert that could be anybody
23. who had a backdoor A.B. degree to a sidedoor A.B. degree from
24. some inconsequential school. We're going to send him up and
25. he's going to be the hearing officer. Now, to me, this is
26. utterly fantastic and it shows to what terrible limitations
27. this Session is leading us into. This is an inherently bad
28. bill. I know of no virtue it has at all. After all, the
29. way the law is now, a teacher may still after a hearing get
30. into the circuit court anytime. So, why do we have to have
31. this stooge with a capital S. This is a bad bill. I under-
32. stand that with all the flirtation it's going to pass and
33. maybe our new Governor who is exemplified in some instances
rare courage, maybe he'll have what it takes to consign this

1. to oblivion. This is a bad bill. I cannot support it and
2. I hope it doesn't make the grade today.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

4. Senator Fawell.

5. SENATOR FAWELL:

6. Yes, Mr. President, Members of the Senate, I think that
7. what is taking place here is...been something to expose what
8. is a battle that's taking place between the American Federation
9. of Teachers in Chicago which does not want an independent
10. hearing officer to have the final decision. And, I quote
11. final decision, emphasizing those words, in regard to the
12. hiring or firing of a tenured teacher. The A.F.T. says we
13. don't want this type of a procedure but the I.E.A. says we
14. do want this kind of procedure and so we have two powerful
15. unions tangling with each other and of course it puts a lot
16. of people on the horns of a dilemma. I can understand Senator
17. Hynes making the request to Table the Knuepfer Amendment be-
18. cause of course under the Knuepfer Amendment the independent
19. hearing officer would make a final decision in regard to
20. the question of the hiring or firing of tenured teachers. So,
21. move No. 1 by Senator Hynes and I can understand I...again
22. say why he would make these moves is to attempt to put the
23. Chicago Amendment on which had the effect of saying that
24. o...the opinion the decision of the independent hearing
25. officer would be advisory only. Now, he wasn't successful
26. in that regard. Actually, Senator Bruce presented that partic-
27. ular amendment. That was certainly the Chicago thrust to try
28. to wiggle out of the Knuepfer Amendment. They weren't able
29. to do that. And, so, they finally decided well, we'll have
30. to live with it but certainly John Desmond and the A.F.T.
31. aren't going to allow that to happen. And, so, now it's a
32. bold frontal attack to simply say we're going to take Chicago
33. out of this. They talk about the fact that they're happy

1. with the system in Chicago. May I suggest to you that we're
2. very happy with the system downstate, but I'll go a bit
3. further and refer to the amendment that I think Senator Brad
4. Glass has presented or will present, I'm not quite sure. We
5. will accept, Senator Hynes, the amendment that was presented
6. that I referred to as the Chicago Amendment presented by, of
7. all men that downstater, Senator Bruce, that gave a special
8. privilege to the City of Chicago or attempted to do so, by
9. saying that the independent hearing officer would be advisory
10. only. We'll buy that and we'll make it uniform all over the
11. State of Illinois. All we need is your concurrence over there.
12. And I can understand those who are from the City of Chicago
13. going along with Senator Hynes on this, but I cannot compre-
14. hend how anybody downstate could possibly support the motion
15. he is making to Table the Knuepfer Amendment because it is
16. strictly a motion which has its genesis and origin from the
17. A.F.T. in order to rescue them and to settle a squabble which
18. is taking place between two unions fighting in this instance.
19. So, I certainly hope that this side of the aisle and I know the
20. feelings of some of the Senators from downstate areas on the
21. other side of the aisle. It's an unconscionable type of a
22. motion. Whatever the form might be, whether in the form it
23. is right now or to amend it so we have independent hearing
24. officers who give advisory opinions only, we'll buy either
25. one, but we believe that you've got to treat the entire state
26. the same way. And, I hope we can certainly resist this
27. particular motion which is aimed at simply doing away with the
28. Knuepfer Amendment.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

30. Senator Glass.

31. SENATOR GLASS:

32. Well, thank you, Mr. President. To clear the record,
33. Senator Fawell has very accurately described what we are fac-
ing today. ...And I will say to Senator Hynes also, yes, the

1. rest of the state would like to follow the procedure that
2. is followed in Chicago namely that the hearing officer hold
3. a hearing upon the discharge of a teacher and report to the
4. board for a final decision. And, I would ask you as sponsor
5. of this motion, whether you would, in fact, accept that amend-
6. ment. I offered an amendment that might not have been in that
7. precise form that had a vote of, I think, 23 to 23 and there-
8. fore was not added to the bill. But, I would like an answer
9. on that, whether you would support putting the rest of the
10. state in the same category as Chicago?

11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

12. Senator Hynes.

13. SENATOR HYNES:

14. Well, first of all let me say that I think that would be
15. up to the sponsor of the bill and to those other downstate
16. Senators who've talked to me about the bill and are supporting
17. this. But, I'd like to point out that this matter is not some-
18. thing that we are facing for the first time. We debated this
19. at great length in the 77th General Assembly. The bill was,
20. in fact, passed and vetoed. It has been pending here now
21. since January and I do not...I am not aware of any efforts
22. on the part of anyone other than Senator Davidson and the
23. House sponsor to introduce legislation to improve the system
24. or the non-system which exists in the downstate schools. So,
25. I think, if you want to work out something like that I am not
26. the person to talk to.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

28. Senator Glass.

29. SENATOR GLASS:

30. Well, my question then is would you support such an amend-
31. ment to put the rest of the state under the same hearing
32. officer procedure that exists in Chicago.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

1. Senator Hynes.

2. SENATOR HYNES:

3. Well, I have to give you the same answer. If...if an
4. agreeable solution can be worked out among those Senators interested
5. certainly I support it.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

7. Senator Glass.

8. SENATOR GLASS:

9. Well, in conclusion, I don't think it's necessary to
10. go through this ad nauseam. We've made the point that Chicago
11. if...if this motion succeeds is put in a special category and
12. it has a hearing officer advisory to the board whereas the
13. rest of the state will not under the bill. I would in response
14. to Senator Hynes, request the sponsor hold the bill and let's
15. work out an amendment so that the entire state is treated in
16. a uniform manner. And, failing that, I would certainly urge
17. the defeat of the motion.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

19. Senator Harber Hall.

20. SENATOR HALL:

21. You know that if it weren't so serious it would almost
22. be humorous, Mr. President. Less than two hours ago, we
23. passed a bill, HB 1584, sponsored in the Senate by Senator
24. Davidson also, and in the passage of that and the explanation
25. of it, we brought downstate teachers retirement in some areas
26. to the equivalency of the Chicago teachers benefits. And,
27. that's how it passed. And now here we are, the Chicago
28. people want to be treated differently when it comes to nego-
29. tiations than downstate teachers and we're seriously consider-
30. ing taking off this amendment? I think it's been amply shown
31. in this particular Session of the General Assembly that the
32. militant I.E.A. doesn't want anything for students. They
33. want everything for themselves. There's not one piece of

1. legislation in either Body of this General Assembly that
2. pertains to students and introduced and supported and initiated
3. if you will, by the I.E.A. Everything they've got down here
4. and it's a ... numerous bills is for their own person benefit.
5. Now, I suggest we get in tune to students statewide to teachers
6. statewide and quit bargaining between Chicago and downstate.
7. In any case, HB 32 does not smell too well, but in no case can
8. we show differentiation between Chicago and downstate.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

10. Senator Graham.

11. SENATOR GRAHAM:

12. Before we make a final decision, seems like once again
13. we have chosen up sides between Chicago and downstate and I
14. might be...might be well for me to remind the people last
15. year, that the C.T.A. subsidy was not provided by the Gentle-
16. men from Chicago.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

18. Senator Nimrod.

19. SENATOR NIMROD:

20. Mr. President, since the...Senator Hynes has said that
21. he would support such an amendment, I have just dug into my
22. files and I have found such an amendment and since he's the
23. sponsor of the amendment then maybe he would in good faith
24. then hold off his amendment and let's get these two amend-
25. ments together and let's jointly sponsor that amendment to
26. the bill which does exactly what Senator Hynes says he wants
27. to do. If not then I would say in good faith that he is cer-
28. tainly not saying in good faith what he is saying publicly
29. here. So, I would be happy to bring this over to you, Senator
30. Hynes and maybe we could discuss this and Senator Glass was
31. the original pres...person who made this amendment. And,
32. would you accept such consultation or meeting here and hold
33. your amendment up?

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

2. Senator...Senator Hynes.

3. SENATOR HYNES:

4. Well, let me clarify something. This is not my bill.
5. The downstate...the downstate Senators on this side of the
6. aisle support this bill and so do several of the downstate
7. Senators on that side of the aisle. I am simply saying
8. that the situation as it exists in Chicago is such that it
9. is working well. And, it meets with the approval of everyone
10. involved. I have no qualms about accepting any amendments as
11. long as you work it out with those persons that are interested
12. in the bill as it originally exists, Senator Davidson, the
13. other Senators from downstate that are interested in the bill.
14. And, I'd be happy to talk about it also. But, I'm not in a
15. position to say I'm not going to accept this amendment or that.
16. And, I think... I think we ought to get...we ought to have a
17. decision on this amendment and then proceed.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

19. Are...Senator Nimrod, are you asking the sponsor to
20. hold this bill or...

21. SENATOR NIMROD:

22. I was asking first of all...I was asking the person who
23. was sponsoring the amendment. Then my question might be to
24. the sponsor of the bill if he's heard the dialogue here.
25. Would he accept holding up this bill so that this kind of
26. amendment which we have been discussing can be resolved.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

28. Senator Davidson.

29. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

30. Well, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, everybody's
31. talking about this bill and they want to amend it except
32. the man who is sponsoring the bill here, myself. Now, there's
33. been 3 amendments put on this bill. Two were put on in

1. committee and then the one, No. 2 was Tabled in response to
2. adopt...amendment offered by Senator Merritt. Now, the bill
3. which...the proposed amendment which you hold in your hand
4. Senator Nimrod, is one that Senator Hall has laid on the Sec-
5. retary's desk which is identical to the amendment which Sen-
6. ator Glass offered which was defeated with the addition of
7. five words: Licensed to practice law in Illinois. I will not
8. accept this amendment. I will reject it. Now, I held this
9. bill in good faith for five weeks, to negotiate between the
10. school board association and their people and the I.E.A. to
11. try to work out a joint effort that we could agree on. And,
12. all the time we were negotiating the proposed amendment that
13. was offered by Senator Glass, was laid in his hand by the
14. School Board Association. Now, I...we want to pursue this.
15. The motion before the House is to reconsider the vote so that
16. you can Table or not Table Amendment No. 4 to take Chicago out.
17. It was not in the bill in the beginning because Chicago
18. school district has been separate in the Statutes since 1919
19. and I would be happy to co-sponsor if any other Senator next
20. Session a bill putting Chicago in the same category as we are.
21. But, let's quit fooling around with the bill that I'm handling
22. and try to do this, that and the other with it. Everybody
23. talks about they want to get their bill in their shape. Let's
24. vote this bill up or down the way that we have it amended, if
25. this amendment comes off, and get on with it. Now, this bill's
26. been kicked around and discussed and cussed by many people.
27. Let's get on with it. And, I will not hold it for amendment.
28. The vote before the...message before the House is to reconsider
29. the vote to Table Amendment No. 4. Mr. President, I urge we
30. call the vote and get on with it.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

32. Senator Nimrod you...

33. SENATOR NIMROD:

1. Yeah, then it's very obvious that they want to take
2. Chicago out of this bill and make them different then we
3. know how we have to vote, so on that basis we would urge
4. you to defeat the amendment.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

6. Senator Partee.

7. SENATOR PARTEE:

8. Well, this is just a suggestion, We've spent about 8
9. hours total talking about this bill and the suggestion is
10. this. There are those who seem to desire that Chicago and
11. downstate have the same system. It happens that people
12. everybody connected with the Chicago system, the teachers,
13. the unions, everybody is satisfied with that one. If that
14. is what is desired, why not strike everything after the en-
15. acting clause and put the Chicago system in and then the
16. Chicago system will be the system for the entire state and
17. there'll be uniformity of application. That's one way of
18. approaching it. Would you have any objections to that, Sen-
19. ator Davidson?

20. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

21. Senator Davidson.

22. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

23. Sir, you want me to strike everything and put the Chicago
24. system in this bill?

25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

26. Senator David...Senator Partee.

27. SENATOR PARTEE:

28. If that is what is desired that there be uniformity
29. throughout and inasmuch as what they are doing in Chicago now
30. has the approbation of everybody involved. If you find that that
31. system would work for you, why not take out everything in the
32. bill and put in that system and we go with it. .

33. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

I...

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

2. Senator Davidson.

3. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

4. I can't myself, I could possibly buy it but since the
5. people who have asked for this bill I'd have...who ask me to
6. handle this bill, I'd have to consult with them and I don't
7. know whether they would take that part or not. But, I think
8. there is one small item that the people downstate don't
9. really know how the Chicago system works and what they're
10. talking about when they say we'll buy the Chicago system,
11. because I don't think many of them are aware that how many
12. school board members involved and the hearing officer involved
13. or the cost, but let me kick it around a little.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

15. Senator Partee.

16. SENATOR PARTEE:

17. Well, then, why don't we take it out of the record and
18. let you sit down with the people who have to be satisfied
19. and who want to make input and let them make a decision
20. because I think we're going around and around here without
21. reaching any sort of definitive answers. So, why don't you
22. take it out of the record, get the people who are involved
23. together, show them this system and you can let us know
24. tomorrow if they buy it. And, if they do, we can have the
25. amendment prepared and we can go with it.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

27. Senator Davidson.

28. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

29. Sir, Senator Bruce was asking for the Floor...let me
30. before I answer this...

31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

32. We have so far three more speakers. Senator Bruce.

33. SENATOR BRUCE:

1. Well, I...I always defer to my leader on most issues...

2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

3. Four...

4. SENATOR BRUCE:

5. ...and I just have to disagree with him. I would say
6. this, the Members on the other side for every one of you who
7. will vote for 1952, Senator Rock's bill to allow us...the
8. teachers in the State to organize and bargain collectively
9. and print a little thing like the Chicago Teachers Union
10. has. I wouldn't be afraid of putting the Chicago procedure
11. in. In fact, I would be tickled to death if everyone of you
12. would vote for the bill which allows my downstate teachers
13. to organize and bargain with boards, have an arbitration
14. of the matter... Well, Brad, Senator Glass, is now shaking
15. his head no. Obviously, you don't want to do that. That
16. would be against your school boards. What I'm saying is we
17. don't have what Chicago has when it comes to organized
18. teachers. This solves our problem. It doesn't solve Chicago's
19. problem. They don't have a problem. Let's go about the
20. business of handling the problem in downstate. Some of us
21. you see perceive it as a problem. Some of us don't. The
22. question is what are we going to do about HB 32. And,
23. the sponsors put it in. I put it in a year ago. Senator
24. Saperstein put it in three years ago. It's passed this
25. Body twice. Once was vetoed by Governor Kerner because
26. we had a Republican over at the O.S.P.I. Once it was
27. vetoed by Governor Ogilvie because we had a Democrat over
28. at the O.S.P.I. I'm hopeful we can pass it this time.
29. We've got a Democrat in the Governorship, a Democrat over
30. in the O.S.P.I. Perhaps those two gentlemen can agree on
31. what we're going to do. I think it's a very good bill in
32. its original form. That's the way it's passed here twice.
33. Chicago doesn't have a problem. They have an organized

1. union, negotiation, arbitration, mediation, the whole ball
2. of wax. If you'll give me 1952, Senator Rock's bill, let
3. the teachers in downstate organize, I've got no problem.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

5. It's been...for what purpose Senator Graham arise?

6. SENATOR GRAHAM:

7. Mr. President, we've spent more time on this one
8. bill than any other bill in this General Assembly. My
9. only hope would be that sometime we'll get around to calling
10. it and Weaverize it. Now, you...after the voice of the
11. I.E.A. just got through speaking that they're not going to
12. buy the Chicago amendment on this bill. It's just
13. that...

14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

15. For what purpose...

16. SENATOR GRAHAM:

17. ...so why don't we get on with what we're doing and quit
18. this playing cats and dogs and do something with this bill.
19. That's what it's all about, I think.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

21. Senator Rock.

22. SENATOR ROCK:

23. Well, I was on the list to speak and I noticed that Sen-
24. ator Graham, whom I deeply respect, has been up twice now.
25. And I don't...you know.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

27. Well, Senator Rock, you're down I... You were number four
28. on the list so we'll get to you, I think. It's been pointed
29. out that the sponsor of the bill whose name appears on this
30. bill is Senator Davidson. And, the question was asked of
31. Senator Davidson by Senator Partee. Would you respond?

32. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

33. Yes, Mr. President. I think under the circumstances and

1. and the lateness of this Session, let's get on with it.
2. Vote this ... either take this amendment on or off and vote
3. this bill up or down as it is. And, if it goes up fine and
4. if it fails then we can work out to come in with the Chicago
5. plan for everybody for the next Session. Let's vote it up
6. or down and take a vote on the motion which is reconsider
7. to Table Amendment No. 4.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

9. Is there further discussion? Motion by Senator Hynes
10. to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 4 was adopted.
11. Request a roll call? Secretary will call the roll.

12. SECRETARY:

13. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
14. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
15. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
16. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
17. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
18. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
19. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
20. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
21. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
22. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER:

24. Kosinski, aye. Course, aye. Nudelman, aye. Daley, aye.
25. Chew, aye. Savickas, aye. Course, aye again. On that question
26. the yeas are 27, the nays are 28. The motion to reconsider
27. fails. That was the motion to reconsider. Senator Graham.

28. SENATOR GRAHAM:

29. Having voted on the prevailing side I move the vote be
30. reconsidered. The vote by which that amendment was just de-
31. feated.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

33. Senator...it was a motion to reconsider. Motion to

1. reconsider. Senator Soper moves that lay on the Table. All
2. those in favor, signify by saying aye. Opposed. The motion
3. is Tabled. There's another amendment to this bill...

4. SECRETARY:
5. Amendment No. 8.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
7. ...on the Secretary's desk. Amendment No. 8.

8. SECRETARY:
9. It was offered and ordered printed.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
11. Senator Davidson.

12. SENATOR DAVIDSON:
13. I'm the sponsor of this amendment and it was not adopted
14. but it was ordered printed and as I understand when we want
15. to withdraw it after it has been printed, we have to move to
16. Table. This is what started all the debate before because
17. this took away what...what they didn't want so consequently
18. I'll move to Table Amendment No. 8, I believe it is.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
20. Senator Davidson moves to Table Amendment No. 8. All
21. those in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed. Amendment
22. No. 8 is Tabled. Further amendments. 3rd reading. HB
23. 314, Senator McBroom, HB 554, Senator Scholl.

24. SENATOR SCHOLL:
25. 3rd.

26. SECRETARY:
27. HB 554 (Secretary reads title of bill)
28. 2nd reading of the bill.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
30. Senator...Any amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading.
31. HB 555, Senator Scholl...

32. SECRETARY:
33. HB 555 (Secretary reads title of bill)

1. 2nd reading of the bill. No Committee amendments.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

3. Any amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. 878,

4. Senator Swinarski.

5. SECRETARY:

6. HB 878 (Secretary reads title of bill)

7. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations

8. offers Amendments No. 1 and 2.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

10. Senator...Senator McBroom on the Floor please? Senator

11. McBroom on HB 878, Department of Law Enforcement.

12. SECRETARY:

13. Committee on Appropriations offers Amendments No. 1 and 2.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

15. Senator McBroom.

16. SENATOR MCBROOM:

17. Move the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

19. Senator McBroom moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

20. All those in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed. Pay

21. planned. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Now, Senator McBroom

22. offers Amendment No. 2. Would you explain that amendment.

23. SENATOR MCBROOM:

24. This amendment was offered in Committee by Senator Davidson.

25. It does the following: It increases the boiler inspection by

26. 22,000. This will enable its division to hire two more

27. boiler inspectors. You want further explanation of it,

28. Senator Rock or...I don't believe Senator Swinarski has any

29. objection to this amendment. Okay. Move its adoption.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

31. Senator McBroom moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

32. All those in favor, signify by saying aye. Opposed. Amend-

33. ment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments.

1. SECRETARY:
2. Amendment No. 3 by Senator Swinarski.
3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
4. Will you explain your amendment, Senator.
5. SENATOR SWINARSKI:
6. Mr. President, Amendment No. 3 is the pay raise for
7. the boiler inspection that Senator McBroom just proposed
8. a moment ago. It adds approximately \$7,000 in that
9. Department, for the pay grade raise.
10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
11. Senator McBroom.
12. SENATOR McBROOM:
13. We're in agreement with this Amendment. I move its
14. adoption.
15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
16. Senator McBroom moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3.
17. All those in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed? Amend-
18. ment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments? Senator...
19. SECRETARY:
20. Amendment No. 4 by Senator Swinarski.
21. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
22. Senator Swinarski.
23. SENATOR SWINARSKI:
24. Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Amendment No. 4
25. increases two items in the Department of Fire Prevention.
26. Two fire engineers, structural engineers that were not there
27. previously. One to be in the Springfield office. One to
28. be in the Chicago office. They do not have at the present
29. time any engineer working for the Department in order to
30. approve plans and specifications for hospitals and govern-
31. ment buildings. They need these two men. I spoke to Senator
32. McBroom in regard to this...
33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

1. Senator McBroom.

2. SENATOR MCBROOM:

3. Yes, Senator Swinarski and I have been talking about this
4. for two days. We're going to have to oppose this amendment,
5. Mr. President, and I would like to recite for the Members on
6. this side of the aisle a couple of the reasons. First of all
7. this amendment, Mr. President, was not in the Governor's
8. budget. To my knowledge that's one. To my knowledge, the
9. Director of Law Enforcement has not requested this amendment.
10. The Bureau of the Budget has not approved this amendment. I
11. would certainly hope that the members on this side of the
12. aisle would resist this amendment offered by Senator Swinarski.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

14. Further discussion? Senator Swinarski moves the adop-
15. tion of Amendment No. 4. All those in favor, signify by
16. saying aye. Opposed. Request for a roll call.

17. SECRETARY:

18. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
19. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
20. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
21. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
22. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
23. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
24. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
25. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
26. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
27. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

29. Shapiro, no. Harber Hall, no. Nudelman, aye. Clarke,
30. no. Conolly, no. Netsch, aye. Graham, no. On that question,
31. the yeas are 23, the nays are 28. Amendment No. 4 fails.

32. Further amendments?

33. SECRETARY:

1. Amendment No. 5, Senator Sommer.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

3. Senator Sommer.

4. SENATOR SOMMER:

5. Mr. President, fellow Members, this amendment makes
6. clear the constitutional prohibition against eavesdropping.
7. It places in the bill a prohibition against using any funds
8. or purchasing any equipment with the intent of eavesdropping
9. in an unconstitutional manner. Now, recently in the City of
10. Peoria we have had an outbreak of eavesdropping where it's
11. been revealed and charged that various shadowy police agencies
12. were eavesdropping on the Mayor of the City of Peoria with-
13. out his knowledge. This is in...this bill...or I would pro-
14. pose for this to be in the bill, for the purpose of notifying
15. police agencies that there's a proper way of doing this and
16. there's an improper way and if they wish to pursue the im-
17. proper way they will be liable for the penalties of the law.
18. I would urge adoption of this amendment.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

20. Senator McBroom.

21. SENATOR MCBROOM:

22. I think this is an excellent amendment and I move its
23. adoption.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

25. Further discussion? Senator McBroom moves the adoption
26. of Amendment No. 5. All...Senator Bruce.

27. SENATOR BRUCE:

28. Well, I just had a chance to take a look at the amendment.
29. I just wonder if we're not putting substantive language in
30. an appropriation bill to a major department which may cause
31. some difficulty down the way. I'm...I'm...our Constitution
32. says that there cannot be substantive language. This seems
33. to add that. I can see that there's a very good need for it,

1. but I...I'm somewhat worried about that. I don't want to
2. goof up the whole Department of Law Enforcement's budget
3. on this matter.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

5. The...Senator Harris.

6. SENATOR HARRIS:

7. Well, I think Senator Bruce raises a valid point. I have
8. looked at it and of course I'm not a constitutional lawyer
9. and certainly not a lawyer, but I point out this, that this
10. amendment was fairly carefully drawn. The language itself
11. comes right out of the Constitution. And, the point is that
12. we are not adding substantive law here. We are restricting
13. the use of these monies being appropriated. Now, there is no
14. intention to involve substantive language. It's ...it's
15. limiting on the use of the money. And, the language for
16. which it cannot be used is language that comes directly out
17. of the Constitution. I would just say this that if there
18. is action to impair utilization there's always the possibility
19. of action by some authority beyond us. But, I think we can
20. make the record here that there is no intention of applying
21. substantive language. I think the amendment is sound and I
22. think everybody would pretty well agree that eavesdropping
23. equipment to be purchased by the department is something
24. contrary to what we would want to be the public policy of
25. this State.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

27. Senator Rock.

28. SENATOR ROCK:

29. Well, I couldn't agree more with Senator Harris. And,
30. I think Senator Bruce, upon reflection that you and I were
31. castigated last Session because we put, I think a similar
32. amendment on the Department of Conservation and said none
33. of those funds could be used to print that crummy magazine.

HB 1282
2nd Reading
6-27-73

1. And, I think this is pretty much the same idea and I think
2. it's a good amendment.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

4. The subject...the amendment is limited to the subject
5. of appropriation so on that...Senator Sommer moves the
6. adoption of Amendment No. 5. All those in favor signify
7. by saying aye. Opposed. Amendment No. 5 is adopted.
8. Further amendments? 3rd reading. 911, Senator Clarke,
9. 999, Senator Schaffer. 1063, Senator Knuepfer. It did?
10. All right. 1282, Senator Harber Hall.

11. SECRETARY:

12. HB 1282 (Secretary reads title of bill)
13. 2nd reading of the bill. Senator Harber Hall offers three
14. amendments.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

16. Will you explain your amendments, Senator. Senator
17. Harber Hall.

18. SENATOR HALL:

19. Fellow Senators, this bill is a bill that has been worked
20. on for sometime by the Legislative Audit Commission in regard
21. to the Illinois Purchasing Act. These amendments have been
22. worked on following the submission of this Body from the
23. House of this general rewriting of the Purchasing Act. These
24. three amendments clarified the definition of a contract and
25. we deleted the definition of professional services. We clarify
26. and expand reference to situations concerning emergency pur-
27. chases and we require...we describe requirements for professional
28. and artistic contracts and filing those contracts with a con-
29. troller. It's been discussed the Audit Commission is an
30. entirely bipartisan commission. We discussed these amend-
31. ments with the minority leadership. I move adoption of first
32. of all Amendment 1.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

1. Senator Hall moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1.
2. All those in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed. Amend-
3. ment No. 1 is adopted. Amendment No. 2, Senator Hall.
4. SENATOR HALL:
5. I would move adoption. ... Mr. Secretary, are there 3
6. separate amendments to this or just one. I thought this
7. was all on one amendment.
8. SECRETARY:
9. No, there are amendments 1, 2 and 3.
10. SENATOR HALL:
11. On 1282.
12. SECRETARY:
13. On, 18...well now this is wrong. I have...there are no
14. amendments...
15. SENATOR HALL:
16. I have on the desk one amendment to 1282.
17. SECRETARY:
18. I'm sorry. There are...there is only one amendment.
19. SENATOR HALL:
20. I move adoption.
21. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
22. That amendment has been adopted. Further amendments
23. on HB 1282? 3rd reading. Senator McCarthy, 1631. 1639,
24. Senator Carroll. 1832, Harber Hall.
25. SECRETARY:
26. HB 1832 (Secretary reads title of bill)
27. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments. Amendments
28. No. 1, 2 and 3 offered by Senator Harber Hall.
29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
30. Senator Hall, will you explain your amendments.
31. SENATOR HALL:
32. Mr. President, fellow Senators, with the 1970 Constitution
33. the constitutional officer of Auditor General was established.

1. This bill is a comprehensive bill prepared to delineate the
2. duties of the Controller General of the State of Illinois
3. and in meeting with...

4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

5. Senator Partee.

6. SENATOR PARTEE:

7. Senator Hall was over this morning to tell me about
8. the presence of amendments, but I'm not yet...I've not yet
9. seen them... You know they were to bring those over as soon
10. as they got them? We haven't seen them yet. And if you'd
11. take this out of the record until we get a chance to see
12. them, I think we can save a lot of time.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

14. That was the agreement.

15. SENATOR HALL:

16. I apologize. I thought they had brought them over. We'll
17. do that. Please take it from the record.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

19. If you get it over to them well maybe we'll get back to
20. it. Take that out of the record. 1937, Senator McBroom. 1956
21. ...no that. Any amendments to House Bills on 3rd reading.
22. Anybody want to bring...Senator Knuepfer.

23. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

24. Well, if this is an appropriate time to clear the Calen-
25. dar in view of the unpopularity of House Bill 1470 ... and
26. the feelings of this Body I think it might be appropriate to
27. Table HB 1370.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

29. Senator Knuepfer asks leave of the Body to Table HB 1370,
30. Is there leave. Leave is granted HB 1370 is Tabled. 458,
31. Senator Nimrod.

32. SENATOR NIMROD:

33. Mr. President, I'd like to ask leave to have Senate...or

1. HB 458 moved to 2nd reading for purpose of an amendment.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

3. Senator Nimrod asks leave of the Body to bring back
4. HB 458 to 2nd reading for the purpose of an amendment. Is
5. there leave? Leave is granted. 2nd reading. Explain your
6. amendment, Senator.

7. SENATOR NIMROD:

8. Mr. President, this HB 458 is substantially the same
9. as SB 195, which we passed. And, what we're doing is
10. amending this substantially the same which allows municipali-
11. ties within one...one and half miles where you have an unin-
12. corporated area of 7,500 persons or more, gives them the
13. right to incorporation with certain rights for objections.
14. And I would move for the adoption of this amendment.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

16. Senator Nimrod moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1.
17. All those in favor...Senator Knuepfer.

18. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

19. I'm sorry. Are we on... What order are...

20. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

21. 3rd...House Bills, 3rd, bring them back to 2nd.

22. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

23. Back to 2nd. And, what are you doing with that Senator?

24. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

25. If we have a little order. We'd like to explain
26. these one time Gentlemen. Senator Nimrod.

27. SENATOR NIMROD.

28. Yes, what we're doing substantially is amending 458
29. substantially the way we had amended SB 195 which allowed
30. the municipalities within a mile and a half of 7,500 or
31. more people who wanted to incorporate, allow them the
32. right to certain objections so that they could be heard
33. in court.

1. SENATOR KNUEPFER:
2. Is...is.
3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
4. Senator Knuepfer.
5. SENATOR KNUEPFER:
6. Is this...is this relative to the same bill we passed
7. out on Prospect Heights. This does the same thing? Okay.
8. That's all.
9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
10. Senator Nimrod moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1.
11. All those in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed. Amend-
12. ment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments? 3rd reading.
13. Any other Senators wish to take care of this housekeeping?
14. Senator Conolly. Senator Conolly.
15. SENATOR CONOLLY:
16. On Senate Bills, 3rd reading is SB 150. I would like at
17. this time to move that this be recommitted to the Committee
18. on Transportation and Public Utilities.
19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
20. Senator Conolly asks leave to recommit SB 150 back to
21. the Transportation Committee. Is there leave? Leave is
22. granted. Senator Donnewald, on...Senator Donnewald had a
23. motion I believe on 713. SB 713. SB 713, Senator Donnewald.
24. SENATOR DONNEWALD:
25. Mr. President, I'd like to have SB 713 recommitted to
26. the Committee on Appropriations.
27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
28. Senator Donnewald asks leave to recommit SB 713 to the
29. Appropriations Committee. Is there leave? Leave is granted.
30. Senator Carroll on the Floor? HB 311. Hold it. Further
31. business? Senator Rock did you have something? Any further
32. business to come before the Senate? Senate stands adjourned
33. until 10:30 tomorrow. Senator Ozinga.

1. SENATOR OZINGA:
2. Executive Committee meeting sharply, promptly, 6 o'clock.
3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
4. Executive, 6 o'clock.
5. SENATOR OZINGA:
6. Room 212.
7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
8. Senator Harris.
9. SENATOR HARRIS:
10. Where is Senator Weaver? There will be a Republican
11. caucus immediately. I don't want to preclude anybody else's
12. announcements here, but there will be a Republican caucus in
13. my office immediately.
14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
15. Senate stands adjourned till 10:30 tomorrow morning.
- 16.
- 17.
- 18.
- 19.
- 20.
- 21.
- 22.
- 23.
- 24.
- 25.
- 26.
- 27.
- 28.
- 29.
- 30.
- 31.
- 32.
- 33.