

78TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

JUNE 12, 1974

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Pursuant to our adjournment motion of yesterday, the
3. Senate will come to order. Will the Senate please come to
4. order. The prayer will be offered by Chaplain Robert Schwyhart
5. of the American Baptist region office in Springfield. Chaplain
6. Schwyhart. Will our guests in the Gallery please rise.

7. CHAPLAIN SCHWYHART:

8. (Prayer by Chaplain Schwyhart)

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Reading of the Journal. Senator Graham.

11. SENATOR GRAHAM:

12. Mr. President, I move you that we postpone the reading of
13. the Journals of June 5th, Thursday, June 6th, Monday, June 10th,
14. and Tuesday, June 11th until the arrival of the printed copy.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Senator Graham moves that we postpone consideration of the
17. Journals of June 5th, 6th, 10th and 11th until the arrival of
18. the printed Journal. Is there discussion? All in favor of the
19. motion signify by saying Aye. Contrary no. The motion carries.
20. So ordered. Message from the Governor.

21. SECRETARY:

22. (Message from the Governor, June 11, 1974)

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Executive. Committee reports.

25. SECRETARY:

26. Senator Clarke, the Chairman of the Committee on Revenue
27. reports SB 1553 with a recommendation the bill Do Pass.

28. Senator Fawell, the Chairman of the Committee on Education
29. reports SB 1614 with a recommendation the bill Do Pass As
30. Amended.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Messages from the House.

33. SECRETARY:

(House has passed HB 2826 and asks concurrence of the Senate
to wit.)

1. PRESIDENT:

2. For what purpose does Senator Howard Mohr arise?

3. SENATOR MOHR:

4. Mr. President and Members of the Senate, the House Bill
5. the Secretary just read, the House Bill 2826...

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Do you wish to offer a motion in connection with that bill?

8. SENATOR MOHR:

9. Yes, I do Mr. President.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Proceed. You are recognized.

12. SENATOR MOHR:

13. I'd like to move to discharge the Committee on...have it
14. read a first time and advanced to the order of second reading
15. without reference to committee. There's a million dollars in
16. federal funds involved and we have to get this bill in the
17. process this week.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. All right. Senator Mohr moves to suspend the rules for
20. the purpose of reading HB 2826. HB 2826...a first time and
21. advanced to second reading without reference to committee.
22. Senator Bruce.

23. SENATOR BRUCE:

24. What's the content of 2826?

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Senator Mohr explained it is a substantive bill amending
27. the Dangerous Drug Act. It requires action by the Illinois
28. General Assembly prior to Monday or the State will lose a
29. million dollar federal grant. Is there further discussion?
30. Perfectly legitimate for you to raise any points you want.

31. All in favor of the motion signify by saying Aye. Contrary no.
32. The motion carries. And the bill will be read a first time and
33. advanced to second reading without reference. Proceed Mr. Sec-

1. retary.

2. SECRETARY:

3. HB 2826.

4. (Secretary reads title of bill)

5. 1st reading of the bill.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Second reading.

8. SECRETARY:

9. (Message from the House. The House has adopted HJR 107

10. and asks concurrence of the Senate to it)

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Executive. Resolutions.

13. SECRETARY:

14. Senate Resolution Number 478 - By Senator Kosinski and all

15. Members of the Senate.

16. It's congratulatory.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Senator Kosinski moves to suspend the rules for the

19. immediate consideration of the resolution. All in favor signify

20. by saying Aye. Contrary no. The motion carries. On the motion

21. to adopt. All in favor signify by saying Aye. Contrary no.

22. The motion carries and the resolution is adopted. Senate bills

23. on second reading. Senator Sours do you wish 1212 advanced?

24. SECRETARY:

25. SB 1212.

26. (Secretary reads title of bill)

27. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Are there amendments from the Floor? Third reading. 1218.

30. SECRETARY:

31. SB 1218.

32. (Secretary reads title of bill)

33. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Are there any amendments from the Floor on 1218? Third
3. reading. 1237. Advance.

4. SECRETARY:

5. SB 1237.

6. (Secretary reads title of bill)

7. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Are there...are there amendments from the Floor. Third
10. reading. 1244. Advance.

11. SECRETARY:

12. SB 1244.

13. (Secretary reads title of bill)

14. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Are there amendments from the Floor? Third reading.
17. 1264. Senator Knuppel.

18. SECRETARY:

19. SB 1264.

20. (Secretary reads title of bill)

21. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations offers
22. Amendments numbered one, two and three.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Knuppel.

25. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

26. Mr. Chairman, we have no objections to Amendment No. 1 and
27. 2. We do have objections to Amendment No. 3.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. All right. Senator McBroom moves the adoption of Committee
30. Amendment No. 1. All in favor signify by saying Aye. Contrary
31. no. The motion carries. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Senator
32. McBroom moves the same motion on Amendment No. 2. Is there dis-
33. cussion? Senator Knuppel.

1. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

2. Now, it's my understanding that this has been worked out.
3. That 2's continued on another amendment, Amendment No. 4 that's
4. to be offered later. Is this correct? I have no objection.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. All in favor of the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2
7. signify by saying Aye. Contrary no. The motion carries.
8. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Amendment NO. 3. Senator McBroom.

9. SENATOR MCBROOM:

10. Yes, Mr. President, Members of the Senate, this simply
11. transfers the...takes no money out of the appropriation for the
12. Racing Board. It transfers the jurisdiction of the attorney
13. for the Racing Board to the Attorney General's Office. And, I
14. move for the adoption of Amendment No. 3.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Senator McBroom moves the adoption of Committee Amendment
17. No. 3. Senator Knuppel.

18. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

19. We would resist this amendment on the basis that if there
20. is one sensitive area in state government where the opinion of
21. an attorney is required promptly at all times, it's in conjunc-
22. tion with the Racing budget. The people are suspended, they
23. need hearings, they need their due process. Often, the opinions
24. and I was with the Attorney General's Office for eight years and
25. I had charge of the opinions, who they were referred to and how
26. they were written over that period of time. Many times they're
27. political in nature and the opinion is marked political so that
28. the person writing the opinion or at least the person to write
29. the opinion knows that it's a political opinion and therefore
30. it's often delayed. These people in this sensitive area need
31. counsel to advise them at all times. Politics, above all, should
32. not be injected into the...

33. PRESIDENT:

Senator Knuppel, excuse me. You are not receiving the

1. attention of the Senate. We've got a great deal of work to do.
2. This is an important amendment. There is a difference of opinion
3. on it. And, Senator Knuppel is entitled to be heard by the
4. Members of the Senate. May we please have order. Proceed.
5. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

6. Well, I don't want to prolong it, I...I just feel that
7. everybody that's voting on this should be aware of the fact, as
8. I say, that this is one of the most sensitive areas where an
9. attorney is needed promptly with an opinion at the earliest
10. possible date. If you...here about a year ago, there were some
11. drivers suspended for allegedly or reportedly being suspicioned
12. of having fixed races with respect to the perfecta and other
13. races. Also, with respect to the abolition of free passes
14. which was a political thing, it brought a lot of heat. The
15. opinion was long delayed. I just feel that the Racing Board
16. is...is one of the most sensitive areas and in light of what's
17. happened with respect to the scandals in racing in Illinois,
18. that this is one area that this money should not be removed.
19. Now, I...I understand and well know that in court the Attorney
20. General is the only person who can represent the Racing Board.
21. But, there are such sensitive decisions that have to be made
22. promptly here that I think this is one area that we're making
23. a serious mistake to remove these funds.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Senator, Senator Sours and then Senator McBroom. Senator
26. Sours.

27. SENATOR SOURS:

28. Mr. President, I'm wondering if the Senator from Petersburg
29. or that part of the state, where ever he represents the state,
30. I wonder if he'd answer a question.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. He indicates that he'll yield. Proceed.

33. SENATOR SOURS:

Senator, what's wrong with the Attorney General handling

1. these matters? Other than what you say, he's a poor miscreant
2. of a politician and none of us in this room appear to fit in
3. that category.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Knuppel.

6. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

7. What I'm saying is is the...with respect to the matters in
8. court, he has to represent the Racing Board and he is the proper
9. official. But, what I'm saying is when you have people that you
10. have to suspend because of medicating horses or suspected fixing
11. of races. Many of those things require legal counsel. They
12. need somebody who will render them a prompt decision. They
13. can't wait the ninety days that's sometimes required to get...
14. to get opinions through political channels. And, I know that
15. sometimes it takes this long Senator.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Senator Sours.

18. SENATOR SOURS:

19. Senator, you've read around the law business probably as
20. many as twenty years which is about half of what I've been rumbl-
21. ing around the law business and you know there's nothing fast
22. in the law. The law is inexorable but is awfully slow. Now,
23. do you know of any reason why Scott, the present Attorney General,
24. isn't eminently qualified to do just that kind of law business
25. for the people in the State of Illinois. Give me one good
26. reason. Let's...let's forget politics. Let's talk about the
27. office and also the officer in that office.

28. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

29. Well, Senator Sours, I may have only practiced half as long
30. as you but I would be willing to compare notes on how much legal
31. practice each of us has done. And, with respect to the Attorney
32. General's Office, I served eight years in that office and I pro-
33. bably know as much about it as anybody that's serving there now.

1. I would say this, that the same people who are...were there
2. when I was there are still there writing the opinions. Their
3. completely capable. That's not the point. I have no quarrel
4. ...the Attorney General seldom if ever writes an opinion. He
5. only signs them. Those people are completely competent. That's
6. not the issue. The issue is that you have a sensitive situation
7. with the Racing Board on suspensions, on...on possible fixing,
8. on medication and...and it has no reflection politically at all
9. except that whether it was a Democrat or a Republican. The
10. ordinary channels that have to be taken, and I said this, when
11. I was there it took ninety days to get an opinion too. But,
12. somebody who's been suspended or who was going to be suspended
13. makes or requires that the Racing Board have prompt attention
14. to...this man gets his hearing, that they're advised legally
15. what the results are going to be. They can't wait like a
16. state's attorney for an opinion to be written and then mailed
17. to them ninety days later. That's the only problem.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Senator Sours.

20. SENATOR SOURS:

21. I think I can finish this with a brief sentence. I see
22. where little Caesar who heads up that Racing Board already had
23. his press conference on this. Now, let's just afford him the
24. best legal services the State of Illinois furnishes to wit -
25. the Attorney General.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Senator Glass.

28. SENATOR GLASS:

29. Thank you Mr. President. I'd like to call the attention
30. of Senator Knuppel what the law of Illinois is. I think he is
31. familiar with it but to make sure the rest of the Membership
32. is aware of this, in the case of Fergus vs. Russel in 1915, the
33. Illinois Supreme Court held that by our Constitution the Office

1. of the Attorney General is impressed with all of the common
2. law powers and duties. As the Office of the Attorney General
3. is the only office that common law which is thus created by
4. our Constitution. The Attorney General is the chief law officer
5. of the state and the only officer empowered to represent the
6. people in any suit or proceeding in which the state is the real
7. party in interest. Now, insofar as Senator Knuppel your comment
8. that it's all right for the Attorney General to represent the
9. various agencies in court. The Supreme Court goes on to say
10. that he is the sole official advisor of the executive officers
11. and of all boards, commissions and departments of the state
12. government. And, it is his duty to conduct the law business
13. of the state both in and out of court. The case of Stein vs.
14. Howlett which was decided under the new Constitution, under
15. the Constitution of 1970, continues that earlier holding in
16. effect. So, I would submit to you and to all of the Senators
17. that under the law of Illinois this amendment is proper and
18. the law business and law affairs of the state, they are to be
19. conducted by the Office of the Attorney General through deputies
20. retained by him and responsible to him.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Senator Nimrod. Senator Nimrod, I didn't realize...I do
23. recall now. Senator Partee did seek recognition prior to my
24. notice of your seeking recognition. Senator Partee.

25. SENATOR PARTEE:

26. Well, Mr. President, I would hope to bring some reason to
27. this debate on the concept that what we are talking about,
28. generally, is politics and personalities and this is a very very
29. crucial kind of issue which ought to be decided on the basis of
30. the merits and the concept involved and not on the politics and
31. the personalities involved. The truth of the matter is, and I've
32. heard Fergus vs. Russel mentioned here and Fergus vs. Russel,
33. Senator Glass, does not, in my opinion, hold what you said it

1. held. It related to the language of the appropriation and that
2. was really what it amounts to. You have to know that this was
3. a decision which was rendered in 1915 and it's very strange that
4. now some...all these years later, it is now being used as the
5. vehicle by which the Attorney General may obtain all of these
6. additional jobs. This is a matter which was discussed in some
7. length and detail in the constitutional convention. And, if
8. you will read that transcript from the constitutional convention,
9. you'll find that a gentleman by the name of Tomei had this to
10. say concerning Fergus vs. Russel. Well, he never listens, I'm
11. not worried about it. Now, the...Mr. Young said the duties of
12. Attorney General were set forth in the case entitled Fergus vs.
13. Russel. It was then said by Mr. Tomei we'd...now we do not
14. intend...we do not intend by this section to either reduce or
15. expand the powers of the Attorney General but to simply keep them
16. as they are at the present time. We do not intend that it never
17. crossed our mind that the other executive officers could not
18. hire attorneys as executive aides or executive assistants or
19. technical advisors, whatever you want to call them, as they do
20. at the present time. Now, I think the dichotomy here represents
21. what the Attorney General's function is and I have a copy of
22. the Constitution here that sets forth the duties of the Attorney
23. General. Now, in the State Constitution, the statute says what
24. the duties of the Attorney General are and it lists them. There
25. are eleven specific items. I say to you that nobody intends to
26. ever try to controvert the authority that's set forth in this
27. statute in terms of what the Attorney General's rights and duties
28. and prerogatives are. I only say to you that there is a funda-
29. mental difference between the light of a department of government
30. to have staff attorneys or whatever you want to call them, who
31. make on the moment decisions which in many instances obviate
32. expensive law suits later. When the matter goes to court, it
33. is of course the duty of the Attorney General to defend that

1. matter. But, the right of the Attorney General to have under
2. his domain all of the attorneys and all of these various boards
3. is a very serious one. And, I think on that basis, this amend-
4. ment should be defeated. We've been through this a lot of times
5. on many, many boards. This is an expansion which is not war-
6. ranted by law, by...by constitution, by statute, by any stretch
7. of the imagination and I suspect that the grafting of this power
8. and do all of these boards and commissions will be taken...will
9. be given a very serious look and a rejection by the Supreme
10. Court.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Senator Nimrod.

13. SENATOR NIMROD:

14. Mr. President and fellow Senators, I don't think there's
15. any question about the merits of what we're doing. It's only
16. been shown in the courts. I do think though, however, that we
17. do see wherever there is waste, wherever there is duplication,
18. wherever there is inefficiency. If it happens to fall, become
19. under professional ranks of the attorneys, I think it's our
20. responsibility to attend to that matter. Now, whatever the
21. aid departments or the agencies want to do, in addition, that
22. should be up to them and they ought to present those merits to
23. the...to the proper channels that come through the Legislature
24. and ask for money. But certainly those legal attention that
25. are in those agencies now are certainly entitled to be under
26. the jurisdiction and the control of the Attorney General who
27. is constitutional of that officer. I think we find that there
28. is almost eight hundred thousand dollars that the State loses
29. right now because the Attorney General's...assistant Attorney
30. General's are not in directly in the Department of Public Aid.
31. I think we can see where there has been direct duplication of
32. effort on a part of legal services and a number of the depart-
33. ments have abused this privilege and have hired attorneys who

1. prepare a case and, in fact, have even tried it in the case of
2. Commonwealth Edison that went up before the Commerce Commission
3. and all the evidence was thrown out. One other thing I want to
4. warn us about and that's this, and that is that we lose the
5. right to be defended by the Attorney General. If we act on
6. legal advice on someone that's other than an Attorney General
7. so that means we can find our executive officials and depart-
8. ment heads within this whole state of Illinois who, in fact,
9. would have to defend themselves in law cases where they act on
10. advice which is not theirs. I think the issue is clear. I,
11. although, do not believe that politics is any part of this. I
12. think it's all in its merits and I certainly would support this
13. amendment.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Is there further discussion? Senator Rock.

16. SENATOR ROCK:

17. Thank you Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
18. Senate, I, too, rise in opposition to this amendment. I will...
19. as I will rise on every other one similar. The Senate Appro-
20. priations Committee and I would like a response from the sponsor
21. of this and the other amendments. The Committee so far has
22. adopted amendments total dollars attributable to the Office of
23. the Attorney General on two, four, six, eight bills that we've
24. seen so far of \$3,942,819. My question to the sponsor of this
25. amendment is that is a similar amount to be cut out of the appro-
26. priation for the Attorney General which just came over from the
27. House which amounts to about nine million dollars.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Senator McBroom.

30. SENATOR MCBROOM:

31. The answer to that is no Senator Rock. I...I want...be
32. recognized Mr. President when...when my time comes.

33. PRESIDENT:

Senator Rock have you completed...

1. SENATOR ROCK:

2. Well, I...I was going to pursue it. I would like to know
3. why if not why not. If the Attorney General's budget as it now
4. sits calls for nine million dollars and with but eight code
5. departments or agencies so far we have succeeded in adding there-
6. to 3.9 million additional dollars, why don't we cut that corres-
7. ponding amount out of the...his own agency appropriation?

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator McBroom.

10. SENATOR MCBROOM:

11. Mr....Mr. President, I have to say with all due respect
12. that I...I feel that Senator Rock's question is partially rhe-
13. torical. I think we know, all of us, what the thrust of this
14. amendment is and when Senator Rock is finished I'd like to make
15. a comment Mr. President.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Senator Rock.

18. SENATOR ROCK:

19. Well, I would just say in conclusion and in opposition to
20. this amendment and the other amendments that this is a very
21. dangerous way to do business. It either belongs in the Office
22. of the Attorney General or it does not. If it does, this form
23. is bad and subject to attack and I would urge opposition to
24. this amendment.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Is there further discussion? Senator McBroom may close
27. the debate on Amendment No. 3 to SB 1264.

28. SENATOR MCBROOM:

29. Well, Mr. President, I simply want to say this in closing
30. this...in closing the debate and this will be the genesis of my
31. position, Mr. President, on this and future amendments when...
32. when Senator Knuppel and I served together in the Constitutional
33. Convention and on the Judiciary Committee, Mr. President, in the

1. Constitutional Convention. Senator Knuppel and I went through
2. this at great length at that time. He took the position that
3. there should be more than one Attorney General in the State of
4. Illinois and I took the position that there was only one. And,
5. therefore I move the adoption of the amendment Mr. President.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Senator McBroom has moved the adoption of Committee Amend-
8. ment No. 3 to SB 1264. Is there a request for a roll call? The
9. question is shall the Senate adopt Committee Amendment No. 3 to
10. SB 1264? Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote No.
11. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
12. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
13. question the Yeas are twenty-nine, the Nays are twenty-eight
14. and the amendment is adopted.

15. SECRETARY:

16. Amendment No. 4 by Senator Nimrod.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Senator Nimrod.

19. SENATOR NIMROD:

20. Mr. President and fellow Senators, there was some question
21. about the Control Medication Board when this particular matter
22. was heard on the Racing Board and I personally visited the Racing
23. Commission and went to the Control Medication Board facilities
24. and had a chance to observe this operation and I believe that
25. the finesse and the refinement of the amount of control on this
26. whole program that was instituted some three, four years ago
27. under Alexander MacArthur and certainly been one that's been
28. proposed within the State of Illinois that puts it above all other
29. states in this area. I can't determine myself what the amount
30. is but some of it should be a prerogative of the Board and on
31. that basis, I would certainly move that this amendment would re-
32. store on that basis the money for the Control Medication Board
33. and this would amount to \$130,925 and I would move for the

1. amendment...passage of this amendment.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Senator Knuppel.

4. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

5. There's no objection to this. There was a problem in the
6. Committee and that's been solved.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Is there further discussion? The question is shall the
9. Senate adopt Amendment No. 4 to SB 1264. All in favor signify
10. by saying Aye. Contrary no. The motion carries. The amendment's
11. adopted. Are there further amendments.

12. SECRETARY:

13. Amendment No. 5 offered by Senator Berning.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. For what purpose does Senator Partee arise?

16. SENATOR PARTEE:

17. Just to make this observation. I certainly don't expect
18. this place to be as peaceful and as tranquil as Tennyson's book
19. but I can't hear the explanation of the persons who are talking
20. and let's do something about it.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Your point is well taken. Will the Sergeant at Arms enforce
23. Rule 2. Now those who are not entitled to the Floor, we ask
24. you to leave. It contributes significantly to the confusion.
25. Now, we are dealing with very serious and important amendments.
26. The rule is clear and the Secretary...and the Sergeant at Arms
27. is going to be directed to enforce the rule. The Members will
28. please be in their seats and we have before us the consideration
29. of Amendment No. 5. Senator Berning.

30. SENATOR BERNING:

31. Thank you Mr. President. Amendment No. 5 on Page 1 of 1264
32. deletes lines 22 through 26 and then again on Page 1, line 30
33. by deleting 1,901,287 and inserting in lieu thereof \$1,881,287.

1. I move for the adoption Mr. President.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Senator Knuppel.

4. SENATOR KNUPPTEL:

5. I don't believe that the amendment has been distributed or
6. printed and I don't understand what the purpose of the amendment
7. is. It's not a committee amendment. It's Senator Berning's him-
8. self. Are they being distributed now?

9. SENATOR BERNING:

10. The amendment is being distributed at this time. Very
11. briefly what this does, Mr. President, is strikes an appropria-
12. tion for twenty thousand dollars. The explanation for the
13. twenty thousand being for the use of the Board in instituting
14. programs for the recruitment, training and upgrading of minorities
15. employed by any licensee of the Illinois Racing Board. Now, I
16. submit that the Illinois Racing Board in no way should be inject-
17. ing itself into the employee problems of licensees. Furthermore
18. Mr. President, previously a contract for \$18,500, namely nine
19. thousand in fiscal year '74 and the balance for fiscal year '75,
20. was signed from this line item for a Fire Safety Study. That
21. is again in no way related to minority improvement. Actually
22. that is a contractual service sort of contract and whether or
23. not it was necessary is irrelevant at this particular point.
24. The substance of the matter is that we have an item in this bill
25. which does not belong there and which has been violated in the
26. past. Therefore, since we have affirmative action programs and
27. other training programs through duly constituted offices there
28. is no justification for a recruitment, training and upgrading
29. of anybody employed by licensees of the Illinois Racing Board.
30. I submit this is an inappropriate use of taxpayers money by a
31. state agency. Therefore, I renew my motion that we adopt Amend-
32. ment No. 5.

33. PRESIDENT:

Senator Knuppel.

1. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

2. Well, it's my understanding that this is an attempt by Sen-
3. ator Berning to strike out money that would be used to conduct
4. a study with respect to the facilities on the back...on the back
5. stretch of the different racing tracks. Part of it to study the
6. conditions for fire safety and I would submit to him that there's
7. been a number of fires here in the State of Illinois that have
8. been very detrimental to racing. One just recently when Fair-
9. mount was destroyed; Maywood has had two fires, one of which
10. cost four lives of these people who were there taking care of
11. these animals. Then, we've had two fires at the fairgrounds in
12. the last four or five years that have destroyed innumerable valu-
13. able horses and there have been fires at other tracks. That this
14. is very badly needed. That a great number of people who work as
15. grooms and otherwise in this business do require assistance.
16. Many of them are minority groups who need special training. I
17. don't suspect that there are very many people in this room that
18. know how to groom a horse, to treat a horse, to wrap a horse's
19. legs, to cool him off, to do the things that have to be done.
20. Now, I think that it's a noble gesture on the part of the Racing
21. Board to try to teach these people. It's a very limited field
22. today, as we know. Even Hudson Sours, who grew up with horses
23. all his life, called a horseshoer the other day, an agister, when
24. he was a farrier and there aren't many people who understand or
25. know this business and I can't think of a better group to super-
26. vise or train. And, there are a large number of minorities in
27. this occupation. They live under the most...the poorest type
28. of circumstances with respect to food, lodging, and otherwise.
29. I feel that the appropriation is appropriate. That it's been
30. through Appropriations Committee and it's been approved with the
31. amendments by the...by that committee that have been proposed.
32. I would submit that this amendment be rejected.

33. PRESIDENT:

Senator Partee.

1. SENATOR PARTEE:

2. Mr. President, I would also ask that this amendment be
3. rejected. It seems strange to me that when this department
4. wants to spend twenty thousand dollars to help and train and
5. upgrade minorities in these kinds of jobs that someone would
6. come along and want to take it out. Now, those someones who
7. want to take out these are the ones who cry the most about the
8. welfare situation and when you are trying to get people off
9. welfare, you have to get them off with jobs. And, that's the
10. only way you can ever get them off. And, to give a person an
11. opportunity to learn something where he can be self-sufficient
12. and self-sustaining, is in my opinion the way we ought to go.
13. To deny this twenty thousand dollars to help train people to
14. jobs and get them off welfare, I think is very meaningful. I
15. think this amendment should be rejected.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Is there further discussion? Senator Berning moves the
18. adoption of Amendment No. 5. All in favor signify by saying
19. Aye. Contrary no. I hear a request for a roll call. Are
20. there...a roll call has been requested. All those in favor will
21. vote Aye. The question is shall the Senate adopt Amendment No.
22. 5. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote No. The voting
23. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
24. Take the record. On that question the Yeas are twenty-nine,
25. the Nays are twenty-six. Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Are there
26. further amendments? Senator Donnewald.

27. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

28. Mr. President, I'd like to have that last roll call verified.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. As you wish. The Secretary will read just the affirmative
31. votes...Senator Donnewald. Yes. The Secretary will verify those
32. voting in the affirmative. Will the Members please be in their
33. seats.

1. SECRETARY:

2. The following voted in the affirmative;

3. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Clarke, Conolly, Davidson, Glass,
4. Graham, Harber Hall, Knuepfer, Latherow, McBroom, Merritt,
5. Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don Moore, Nimrod, Ozinga, Regner, Roe,
6. Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Sommer, Soper, Sours, Walker,
7. Weaver, Mr. President.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Mitchler is talking with Senator Hall, Harber Hall.
10. He is on the Floor. Roll call has been verified. Are there
11. further amendments...amendments from the Floor? Before the
12. Secretary proceeds, the Chair is pleased to announce to the
13. Senate that a former Member of this Body, an outstanding Member
14. of this Body, the Comptroller of the city of Chicago, Marshall
15. Korshak is with us this afternoon. Are there further amendments
16. to SB 1264? Third reading. SB 1267. Advance.

17. SECRETARY:

18. SB 1267.

19. (Secretary reads title of bill)

20. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriation offers
21. six amendments.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Senator Swinarski.

24. SENATOR SWINARSKI:

25. I think the Chairman of the Committee wishes the Floor for
26. his amendments.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Do you wish to yield to Senator McBroom? Senator McBroom
29. is recognized. Senator McBroom.

30. SENATOR MCBROOM:

31. The first amendment was offered by Senator Weaver. It's
32. the retirement amendment. I move for the adoption of it Mr.
33. President.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator McBroom moves to adopt Committee Amendment No. 1.
3. Is there discussion? All in favor signify by saying Aye. Con-
4. trary no. The motion carries. Amendment No. 1 is adopted.

5. Senator McBroom.

6. SENATOR MCBROOM:

7. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 2 Mr. President.
8. This amendment amounts to a reduction in all of the divisions
9. Mr. President. And, reflects no new programs which I believe
10. has been the policy enunciated by most of the leadership and
11. it involves \$610,000. I move for the adoption of it Mr. Presi-
12. dent.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Senator McBroom moves the adoption of the amendment.
15. Senator Swinarski do you wish to be recognized. Yes. Senator
16. Swinarski.

17. SENATOR SWINARSKI:

18. Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, what
19. this amendment basically does is reduce in contractual services
20. travel commodities, printing and equipment in all departments
21. within the Department of Law. Enforces substantial decreases
22. and it's the department head, the Director of the Department
23. of Law Enforcement has testified to the fact that this can
24. hamper law enforcement in the State of Illinois and I urge a
25. no vote on this amendment.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Is there further discussion? Do wish a roll call? All in
28. favor of the motion signify by saying Aye. Contrary no. My
29. ear detects something different. I think we probably will save
30. time, I just don't want to make that determination. You asked
31. for a verification of the last roll call. I'm going to call for
32. a roll call. The question is does the Senate concur in the
33. amendment to Amendment No. 2 to SB 1267. Those in favor will
34. vote Aye. Those opposed will vote No. The voting is open.

1. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the
2. record. On that question the Yeas are thirty, the Nays are
3. twenty-four, one Voting Present. Amendment No. 2 is adopted.
4. Senator McBroom do you wish to explain Amendment No. 3?

5. SENATOR McBROOM:

6. Yes Mr. President. I move for the adoption of Amendment
7. No. 3. It reduces the request by the State Police for its
8. additional seventy-five new troopers Mr. President. We added
9. several hundred new troopers to the State Police not very long
10. ago and it is our opinion that this is not necessary. It amounts
11. to a little over six hundred thousand dollars. I move for the
12. adoption of Amendment No. 3 Mr. President.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Senator Swinarski, Senator Swinarski.

15. SENATOR SWINARSKI:

16. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, it
17. is necessary according to the Director of Labor to have these
18. additional police because at the present time we have a training
19. program established in the State of Illinois which is considered
20. one of the finest in the country and we are sending our state
21. troopers at the present time, one day per month and two weeks
22. per year to be retrained. And, these seventy-five additional
23. policemen are there so that they can fill in the vacancies to
24. the new training program that we wish to create making the de-
25. partment the finest in the country. Without these additional
26. seventy-five policemen we will not be able to establish the
27. training program that the Director desires and I urge a no
28. vote and a roll call.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Buzbee.

31. SENATOR BUZBEE:

32. Yes, Mr. President. A question to the sponsor of the
33. amendment, Senator McBroom.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. He indicates that he will yield. Proceed.

3. SENATOR BUZBEE:

4. Senator, I wonder, I know you are aware of the fact that
5. we have a bill pending which will probably come up today or
6. tomorrow dealing with the state police that would increase by
7. two percent over the sixteen hundred that are presently authorized.
8. It would increase by two percent to start their training period.
9. Now, I'm wondering will your amendment automatically cut out the
10. money for that two percent overage plus the seventy-five or just
11. the seventy-five?

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Senator McBroom.

14. SENATOR MCBROOM:

15. Just the seventy-five Senator Buzbee.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Is there further discussion? Senator Hynes.

18. SENATOR HYNES:

19. Mr. President, I too would rise in opposition to this amend-
20. ment. I believe that this is an important addition to the State
21. Police Force and it involves the effort to...by affirmative
22. action increase the number of minority members of the State
23. Police. And, I...I would urge all Members on this side of the
24. aisle to oppose this amendment.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Senator McBroom.

27. SENATOR MCBROOM:

28. Mr. President, I...before I say anything, I'd like to...I'd
29. like to repeat Senator Partee's speech of a minute ago in regard
30. to noise in the...in the Senate Chambers.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Your point is well taken, for some reason, this afternoon,
33. we are quite disorderly and inattentive. Now, it's clear that

1. we have a good many on the Floor not entitled to the Floor. We
2. are dealing with important amendments and the Members who have
3. the Floor are entitled to our attention. Now, we will proceed
4. and progress much more expeditiously if we will be in our seats
5. and be attentive. Proceed Senator McBroom.

6. SENATOR MCBROOM:

7. Well, Mr. President, before I respond to Senator Hynes, I'd
8. like to make another observation that I did the other day. If
9. the doormen would not be quite so polite and hold the door open
10. so long when Members of the Senate are visiting in the Rotunda.
11. It makes a substantial difference in the noise level in here Mr.
12. President. And, they are trying to accommodate the members and
13. stand with the door open. That's where part of your noise comes
14. from. Senator Hynes and I discussed this particular amendment
15. in the Appropriations Committee. I was not persuaded then nor
16. am I now that there would be any assurance that minorities would
17. be added by this particular amendment. We did increase the State
18. Police Force substantially. I don't know how you make assurances
19. of that type and I move for the adoption of the amendment.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. The question is shall Amendment No. 3 to SB 1267 be adopted.
22. All in favor signify by saying Aye. Contrary no. The motion
23. to...roll call is requested. The question is shall the Senate
24. concur in Amendment No. 2, I'm sorry. Amendment No. 3 to SB 1267.
25. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote No. The
26. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
27. wish? Take the record. On that question the Yeas are thirty,
28. the Nays are twenty-seven. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Senator
29. McBroom.

30. SENATOR MCBROOM:

31. Yes, Mr. President, I move for the adoption of Amendment
32. No. 4 Mr. President. This...this adds, it doesn't add, it main-
33. tains last years level of twenty weight inspectors at the various

1. weighing stations around the state and it circumvents the
2. necessity of having state police doing this type of work which
3. was their original intention. I move for the adoption of
4. Amendment No. 4.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Senator Swinarski.

7. SENATOR SWINARSKI:

8. Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, what
9. this bill basically does is authorize people who are not state
10. policemen to have police authority. It's the department's con-
11. tention in this matter that the people who are weight inspectors
12. and inspecting these trucks have to have this authority of police
13. power in order to issue tickets for violations and to look for
14. flaws in the...in the laws and a person who is not a police
15. officer does not have this authority and therefore they do not
16. wish twenty inspectors in their department who are not state
17. police officers but they would like it with the additional
18. seventy-five police officers that was requested before, to have
19. them in charge of the weigh stations throughout the state. And,
20. I urge Members of this side of the aisle to vote no on this
21. important amendment.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Senator Latherow.

24. SENATOR LATHEROW:

25. Well, Mr. President, I'd just like to recognize the fact
26. that in many of these weigh stations handled by people who have
27. been in the business for many years. Many of us feel can con-
28. tinue to be handled by them just as efficiently as putting a more
29. highly paid personnel in that spot.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Is there further discussion? The question is does the Senate
32. concur in the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 4. Those in
33. favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote No. The voting is open. Have

1. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Yeas
2. are thirty, the Nays are twenty-five. Amendment No. 4 is
3. adopted. Amendment No. 5. Senator McBroom.

4. SENATOR MCBROOM:

5. Well, this...this is a technical language change. I don't
6. believe Senator Swinarski has any objection to this Mr. President.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. He indicates that he has no objection. Those in favor of
9. the adoption of Amendment No. 5 signify by saying Aye. Contrary
10. no. The motion carries. Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Senator
11. McBroom. Amendment No. 6.

12. SENATOR MCBROOM:

13. This is...has become known as the legal amendment Mr. Pre-
14. sident and for the same reasons enunciated a...a minute ago, I
15. move for the adoption of it Mr. President. There can't be more
16. than one Attorney General for the State of Illinois. I move the
17. adoption.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Senator McBroom moves the adoption of Amendment No. 6.
20. Senator Swinarski.

21. SENATOR SWINARSKI:

22. Mr. President, Ladies, Members, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
23. Senate, I believe that this amendment is perhaps one of the most
24. important out of the six amendments. In that stated previously
25. by Senator Partee, it is a belief that each department needs an
26. immediate judgement, it needs an immediate decision from a legal
27. expert, from legal counsel and this is especially true in law
28. enforcement. We find out throughout the state and throughout
29. the country that all law enforcement agencies within the counties,
30. within the cities and within various other states. They need an
31. opinion quick and fast and after we've found out in the past,
32. we've found out that the Attorney General is not given this kind
33. of information. It takes him two and three months to be able

1. to come up with these opinions because his office, well, it's
2. been noted three...perhaps even last week maybe because of the
3. free junkets he's taking out of this country. He doesn't have
4. time to run his office and I think within the Department of Law
5. Enforcement more than any other department, we need the legal
6. advice there and we need it immediately. And with the Attorney
7. General who's not doing the proper job, I think we certainly
8. should have them there. And, I urge a no vote.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Senator McBroom.

11. SENATOR MCBROOM:

12. Mr. President and Members of the Senate, Senator Swinarski
13. had...and I respect what he has to say but has made some blanket
14. charges or assumptions that I am not willing to accept. He
15. said that we know that we can't get service from the Attorney
16. General's Office or something...language of that nature. He
17. said we know that we've encountered delays. I'm...I don't
18. know that Mr. President. Maybe the other fifty-eight Members
19. know that but I certainly don't know that. Also I want to point
20. out, Mr. President, to the Members of the Senate that we are
21. not taking any attorneys away from any of these agencies. They're
22. still there, they're still available. All we're asking is that
23. they receive the approval of the Attorney General's Office, the
24. money is still in the budget. I cannot see where the big problem
25. is Mr. President and...and I am not persuaded again and I don't
26. want to be redundant that...that we have three and four and five
27. Attorney General's. To my knowledge, we only have one. And, I
28. move the adoption of it.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Graham.

31. SENATOR GRAHAM:

32. I can't let the..Senator Swinarski get by with that charge
33. either Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

1. I'd like to ask you Senator, where's the Governor been for the
2. last week or so?

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Is there further discussion? Senator Swinarski.

5. SENATOR SWINARSKI:

6. I understand Senator Graham that the Governor's down in
7. his office working.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. The question is shall the Senate concur in Amendment No. 6
10. to SB 1267. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will
11. vote No. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take
12. the record. On that question the Yeas are thirty, the Nays are
13. twenty-five. Amendment No. 6 is adopted. Are there further
14. amendments?

15. SECRETARY:

16. Amendment No. 7 by Senator Harber Hall.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Senator Hall:

19. SENATOR HALL:

20. Mr. President and Senators, this amendment breaks out
21. between Chicago and Springfield the budget for the Fire Marshall.
22. We've had some trouble determining just how the personnel were
23. assigned and because they were all lumped under one budget it
24. was difficult to analyze thoroughly, the budget. So, we've
25. broken it out and assigned the people that are...who are working
26. in Chicago to the Chicago Office and those in Springfield to
27. the Springfield Office changing none of the figures involved
28. but we do add one Executive III to the Spring...to the Chicago
29. Office or to the Springfield Office to run the Springfield Office.
30. I move for adoption of this. It's a increase of twenty-one
31. thousand dollars.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Senator Swinarski.

1. SENATOR SWINARSKI:

2. Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this
3. amendment was just handed to me about fifteen seconds ago and
4. I haven't had the time to look at it and I don't believe Senator
5. Hynes nor any Member of this side of the aisle has the oppor-
6. tunity previously to look at this particular amendment. However,
7. from what I can see just on the surface, what we're...we're
8. trying to do here is to take a department and say how many people
9. shall work in Springfield, how many people shall work in Chicago,
10. not to leave that up to the determination of the Director or
11. the head of that department. I'd like to direct a question,
12. perhaps, to the sponsor of this amendment and ask him, perhaps,
13. what would happen with a man who's working two or three days
14. in the Chicago Office and two or three days in the Springfield
15. Office. On what budget? Would we have to come in for a transfer
16. of funds? How exactly would it work? What if we find out in
17. the East St. Louis area as happened last year that it was neces-
18. sary to send for additional state fire marshalls into that area.
19. Would we...and taking them out of Chicago. Would it be necessary
20. for us to come to the Legislature and have a bill passed in order
21. to get that? Should it be up to the direction and discretion of
22. the Director as it is in any other department? What we're trying
23. to do here is run the Executive Branch of government through
24. the Legislative Branch. This is bad policy. If we continue to
25. do this, we'll do it in every department within the state. And
26. perhaps we should say how many people should be in Sanga...San-
27. gamon County and how many people should be in Cook County and
28. how many people should be in McHenry County and spell out the
29. exact details to the...the entire appropriation. I think this
30. is bad legislation.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Hynes.

3. SENATOR HYNES:

4. I think perhaps there was a question pending somewhere in
5. that...in the statement. Then Senator Hall may want to respond
6. to that question before I make the comments that I wish to make.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Senator Hall.

9. SENATOR HALL:

10. Well, I just wanted to clarify the...the first statement
11. that the Senator from Chicago made in respect to no one has...
12. on your side had seen the aisle. You were probably ready to
13. refute that too. These were...this...this amendment was dis-
14. cussed early in the Appropriations Committee and adopted there
15. for adoption for further adoption here today, the sponsor might
16. not have been present at that meeting but he is not quite cor-
17. rect that no one on your side of the aisle has seen this amend-
18. ment, and, in fact, discussed it.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Senator Hynes.

21. SENATOR HYNES:

22. Senator, that may be so. I...I also do not recall seeing
23. the amendment before,... it is possible that it was discussed.
24. I do not recall it. But in any event I think that the principle
25. that is involved in this amendment is one that we should think
26. about very carefully. Because if we are going to take every
27. state agency and department and division of depart...of each
28. department and allocate the employees and all of the other line
29. items in the budget by office we are going to unduly restrict the
30. operation of these departments and, as Senator Swinarski pointed
31. out, inject the Legislature I think, beyond the point it ought
32. to be in the administrative operation of government. I have
33. supported amendments in almost...on almost every appropriation

1. bill to make the appropriation more specific in terms of telling
2. us how the funds are going to be appropriated. I think it is a
3. mistake for the Legislature to continue to appropriate lump sum
4. ...appropriations to the various departments and then allow them to
5. spend as they see fit without any legislative control, but I
6. think this goes a step beyond what we ought to be doing and
7. what we...what we have been doing in these other amendments, and
8. I would, therefore, oppose the amendment and urge all members on
9. this side of the aisle to do the same.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Is there further discussion? Question is does the Senate
12. concur in Amendment No. 7 to Senate Bill 1267. Those in favor
13. will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote No. The voting is open.
14. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the
15. record. On that question the Yeas are 28, the Nays are 26.
16. Amendment No. 7 is adopted. Are there further amendments from
17. the Floor? Third reading. Senator McBroom.

18. SENATOR MCBROOM:

19. Yes, Mr. President, I'd like to offer a Floor amendment.
20. It adds 30,000 dollars. It's a technical amendment and I don't
21. believe that Senator...it was an error in typing, as I understand
22. it, Mr. President. I move for the adoption of it. I don't be-
23. lieve Senator Swinarski objects to this.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. My order to third reading then is rescinded. The bill is
26. before us on second reading. The Secretary will read the amend-
27. ment or Senator McBroom, you can explain it if you wish.

28. SECRETARY:

29. Amendment No. 8.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Amendment No. 8. Senator McBroom.

32. SENATOR MCBROOM:

33. I...I...It was...it was a typing error, Mr. President, and

1. this...an error of 30,300 dollars, and this corrects the typing
2. error. I move for the adoption of it, Mr. President.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Senator McBroom moves the adoption of Amendment No. 8 to
5. Senate Bill 1267. All in favor signify by saying Aye. Contrary
6. No. Motion carries. Amendment No. 8 is adopted. Are there
7. further amendments from the Floor? Third reading. Senate Bill
8. 1280.

9. SECRETARY:

10. Senate Bill 1280.

11. (Secretary reads title of bill.)

12. Second reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations offers
13. one amendment. One committee amendment.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator McBroom.

16. SENATOR MCBROOM:

17. Yes, Mr. President, this is the Retirement Amendment. I
18. move for the adoption, Mr. President, and the...would like to
19. ...I move for the adoption of the amendment, Mr. President.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Senator McBroom moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to
22. Senate Bill 1280. All in favor signify by saying Aye. Contrary
23. No. Motion carries. The amendment's adopted. Senator McBroom.

24. SENATOR MCBROOM:

25. Yes, Mr. President, I'd like to make a request that this
26. bill be held on second reading. There's discussion of another
27. amendment if that meets with your approval, Mr. President.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. The bill will be held. Senate Bill 1286. Advance.

30. SECRETARY:

31. Senate Bill 1286.

32. (Secretary reads title of bill.)

33. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

1. PRESIDENT:
2. Are there amendments from the Floor? Third reading.
3. Senate Bill 1296. Advance.
4. SECRETARY:
5. Senate Bill 1296.
6. (Secretary reads title of bill.)
7. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. Amendment
8. No. 1 by Senator Merritt.
9. PRESIDENT:
10. Senator Merritt.
11. SENATOR MERRITT:
12. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment No.
13. 1 is merely a corrective amendment made by the Department of
14. Transportation. It adds a Section 3 on Limited Access, which
15. was left out of the bill originally, and I would move its adop-
16. tion.
17. PRESIDENT:
18. Senator...Senator Buzbee.
19. SENATOR BUZBEE:
20. Mr. President, I...I don't have any objection whatsoever
21. to Senator Merritt's vacating highways, but in Vermilion County.
22. However, Mr. President, I have a highway easement in Jackson
23. County that I have been wanting to...I got a letter from the
24. lady who would like to have the title to her property back in
25. about April of 1973. Mr. President, I'm...I'm rising, I guess,
26. on a point of personal privilege in that I wrote the lady and
27. told her her not knowing the rules of the Illinois State Senate,
28. that it was too late to introduce that bill when I got it, and
29. it was my understanding that this session was going to be re-
30. stricted strictly to matters of an emergency type mat...ma...
31. matter. Now this lady is in her 80's...
32. PRESIDENT:
33. Senator, the matter before the Senate...you are on a point

1. of personal privilege and you're recognized for that point. We
2. really have a lot of work to do and your matter can be resolved,
3. I think, without taking up the entire time of the Senate.

4. SENATOR BUZBEE:

5. Am I led to understand, Mr. President, that I could intro-
6. duce that bill?

7. PRESIDENT:

8. I...I've...It's perfectly obvious, Senator, that those
9. matters are being considered on more than just this bill. And
10. I think it might be a more appropriate fashion to communicate
11. privately on matters like this and not consume the time of 58
12. other members while we are trying to move and process a great
13. deal of work here today. I think I've made the point, Senator.
14. We are considering amendment No. 1 to Senator Merritt's bill,
15. which is a highway vacation bill and there are several other
16. highway vacation bills before us.

17. SENATOR BUZBEE:

18. Very well, Mr. President, I will chat with you later then.
19. Thank you.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. The question is, does the Senate concur in Amendment No. 1
22. to Senate Bill 1296. All in favor signify by saying Aye. Con-
23. trary No. The motion carries. The amendment's adopted. Are
24. there further amendments from the Floor? Third reading. 1348.
25. Advance.

26. SECRETARY:

27. Senate Bill 1348.

28. (Secretary reads title of bill.)

29. Second reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriation
30. offers five amendments.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Senator McBroom.

33. SENATOR MCBROOM:

1. Well, amendment No. 1 was offered by the other side of the
2. aisle, Mr. President, and we have no objection to it, if you want
3. to ask Senator Hynes for an explanation why that's...I...

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Wooten.

6. SENATOR MCBROOM:

7. or Senator Wooten, either one.

8. SENATOR WOOTEN:

9. Yes, Mr. President, this amendment merely details the projects
10. proposed to be undertaken by the Department...the Division of
11. Waterways. I move its adoption.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Question is does the Senate concur in amendment No. 1. All
14. in favor signify by saying Aye. Contrary No. The motion carries.
15. Amendment No. 1's adopted. Amendment No. 2. Senator McBroom.

16. SENATOR MCBROOM:

17. I believe the next one is to be offered by Senator Schaffer.
18. Yes. Mr. President, does...Senator Schaffer do you care to ex-
19. plain the amendment or do you want...Mr. President and members
20. of the Senate this amendment adds about 4 million dollars to the
21. appropria...to the Division of Waterways' appropriation and spells
22. out some specific projects that are badly needed, Mr. President,
23. and I move for the adoption of it.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Senator Wooten.

26. SENATOR WOOTEN:

27. Mr. President, I'm in a somewhat embarrassing situation here
28. in that there are aspects of the amendment in which I find myself
29. in some philosophical agreement but I object to the long list of
30. additional projects. I think I'd better take the posture that
31. inasmuch as I am sponsoring the bill on the behalf of the Division
32. of Waterways, I would resist this amendment.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Buzbee. Is there further discussion? Question is,
2. does the Senate concur in Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1348.
3. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote No. The
4. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
5. wish? Take the record. On that question the Yeas are 28, the
6. Nays are 25. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Senator Wooten.

7. SENATOR WOOTEN:

8. I think Senator Weaver has the next two amendments to of-
9. fer.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Senator Weaver.

12. SENATOR WEAVER:

13. Well, Mr...Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amend-
14. ment No. 3 deletes 100,000 dollars for the Lake Springer - Oak-
15. ley Dam project. This has been a controversial project for many
16. years. It is judged to be economically unsound and will need-
17. lessly take thousands of acres of land in one of the richest
18. farming areas in the State of Illinois, if not the world. The
19. State and Federal EPA have been very critical of the project
20. because of poor water quality. I think the average depth of
21. this impoundment would be in the neighborhood of seven feet.
22. Project costs have gone up and up, the local sponsor, basically
23. the City of Decatur, has...is depending on this, they say, for
24. water supply, which they certainly will not need until about
25. the turn of the century and it's been judged by experts that
26. availability of underground water exists at a much more economical
27. cost, so I think this project should not be included in the Water-
28. ways' Appropriation and I would move for its adoption.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator McCarthy.

31. SENATOR MCCARTHY:

32. Yes, Mr. President, I rise on this amendment to make a
33. motion to Table it. Before I do, I'd like to ask the Senate to

1. consider the amendments, this 3, 4, and 5, that are coming up
2. in a bipartisan spirit, because it's the position of the mem-
3. bers on this side of the aisle that we will support a motion
4. to Table the next amendment, which is one dealing with a lake over
5. in Vermilion County and we'll also support the fifth amendment,
6. which is one that involves a project down in Southern Illinois.
7. What we ask the Senate to do, Mr. President, is to restore this
8. bill by the Tabling of the next three amendments to the position
9. that the bill was in when it was introduced by the Department
10. through the Governor after it was within the budget and had
11. cleared through the Bureau of the Budget. And I have looked at
12. the bill, and what's involved here is 31 different projects and
13. three of them have been singled out by the sponsors of the amend-
14. ment to have those deleted. I would want to say this, that I
15. don't believe that's the best thing that we in the Senate can do
16. to single out three projects for deletion here. I think that our
17. primary responsibility lies in the appropriation area and cer-
18. tainly on this amendment where there's a hundred thousand dollars
19. involved, I don't believe it can be said that the hundred thousand
20. dollars is disturbing from a budgetary matter. The appropriation,
21. which I think should be restored, merely does this. It says,
22. it's on page 3, it says, Sangamon River - Lake Springer. It says
23. continue instrumentation and funding to provide hydrologic and
24. ecologic data for flood management programs in cooperation with
25. joint research effort of the University of Illinois, make cooper-
26. ative site and drainage surveys and studies and purchase and in-
27. stall gaging and other instrumentation equipment for obtaining
28. water resource information and data...100,000 dollars. Certain-
29. ly that type of a request is a reasonable one and I would ask my
30. colleagues on both sides of the aisle to engage in an effort to
31. Table this amendment so that this matter would be restored.
32. Now, questions have been raised about the entire project,
33. but this attempt on this amendment, I don't believe

1. answers those questions. As a matter of fact, if anything, it
2. provides the money, Mr. President, if anything it provides the
3. money to get some answers to some questions that have been
4. raised. Now I understand why the...a motion has been made, but
5. I...I...I think that we've got better things to do in the Senate
6. than to single out three projects out of 31 and invite...invite
7. sometime in the future, possibly recrimination. But just as a...
8. sort of a parting shot let me say this that...and I...I made a
9. inquiry at the Department of Transportation and they said that
10. in last year they were able to acquire without controversy
11. from the University of Illinois 89 acres from the Allerton Farm
12. because of a highway, Interstate 72, that's going through. So,
13. if we were to argue about the sacrosanct of the Allerton Insti-
14. tution, I think this voluntary conveyance of 89 acres probably
15. is one that would defeat the sacrosanct. So, I don't want to
16. talk myself out of it. I've asked some of the members on your
17. side of the aisle to support me. I respectfully move that the
18. amendment be Tabled.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Is there further discussion? Well, there can be no further
21. discussion. The motion to Table is not debatable. Question is,
22. shall the Senate Table Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1348. On
23. that question those in favor of the motion to Table will vote
24. Aye. Those opposed will vote No. The voting is open. The
25. motion is a motion to Table. Those who support Senator McCarthy's
26. position will vote Aye. Those who support Senator Weaver's po-
27. sition will vote No. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
28. who wish? Take the record. On that question the Yeas are 28,
29. the Nays are 27. Motion to Table prevails. Senator Weaver.
30. Senator Weaver has requested verification. All those who have
31. voted in the affirmative, Secretary will confirm.

32. SECRETARY:

33. The following voted in the affirmative: Bruce, Buzbee,

1. Carroll, Chew, Conolly, Course, Donnewald, Dougherty, Kenneth
2. Hall, Hickey, Hynes, Johns, Knuppel, Kosin...Kosinski, McCar-
3. thy, Merritt, Moore...Don Moore, Newhouse, Nudelman, Partee,
4. Rock, Romano, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Sommer, Swinarski,
5. Wooten.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Yes, Senator Hynes is on the Floor. Is Senator Don Moore
8. on the Floor? Strike Senator Don Moore's name from the roll
9. call. Sen...For what purpose does Senator McBroom arise?

10. SENATOR MCBROOM:

11. Is Senator Chew on the Floor?

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Well, he was here at the time his presence was...yeah.

14. Well, the question is raised by Senator McBroom. A perfect-
15. ly legitimate question. Senator Chew's presence has been ques-
16. tioned. Is Senator Chew on the Floor? Senator Partee.

17. SENATOR PARTEE:

18. The rule requires that the person be present at the time
19. his name is called on verification. He was present. He was
20. standing right before you says...and said loudly "Chew is here"
21. and now he is gone. There is no necessity for a person to re-
22. main forever. He was here when his name was called.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. For what purpose does Senator Knuepfer arise?

25. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

26. Well, to address myself to the question that Senator Partee
27. just...just re...asked about. The...it has been the practice at
28. all times since I have been here on a verification to ask the
29. questions. The roll...at a later date. The roll call goes so
30. fast that quite frequently you don't have an opportunity to chal-
31. lenge each one as the clerk reads it. Now it has been frequently
32. my experience that after the roll call has been counted or has
33. been articulated, then the Senator's request, is such

1. and such a Senator here? And I think that's the way it ought
2. to be.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. The point is moot. For what purpose does Senator Howard
5. Mohr arise?

6. SENATOR MOHR:

7. I ask, Mr. President, that Senator Don Moore's name be
8. stricken. I don't believe that he is on the Floor.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Yes, that point was raised and his name has been taken
11. from the roll call. For what purpose does Senator Soper arise?

12. SENATOR SOPER:

13. Well, in that case the vote is tied and the motion fails,
14. doesn't it?

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Well, we have not completed the verification, Senator. Are
17. there further queries from the members on verification? Senator
18. Donnewald. Senator Donnewald has requested verification of the
19. negative roll call. We will proceed with the reading of the
20. names of those who voted in the negative.

21. SECRETARY:

22. The following voted in the negative. Bartulis, Bell, Bern-
23. ing, Clarke, Davidson, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall,
24. Knuepfer, Latherow, McBroom, Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Netsch, Nim-
25. rod, Ozinga, Regner, Roe, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Soper, Sours,
26. Vadalabene, Weaver, and Mr. President.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Yes, Senator Roe is on the Floor. On that question the
29. Yeas are 27 by verification; the Nays are 27 upon verification.
30. Therefore, the motion to Table is lost. For...the question re-
31. curs then to the main motion which is the adoption of amendment
32. No. 3. Senator McCarthy.

33. SENATOR MCCARTHY:

1. Yes, Mr. President, we made a stab at bipartisanship and
2. had some there for awhile. I can't help but think that Sena-
3. tor Vadalabene comes up and said that I hit the red button, and
4. he would, of course, have hit green, but he misunderstood my
5. parliamentary maneuver. I think I'm going to have to spend more
6. time with Senator Vadalabene. I haven't seen him for two or
7. three months, so that we can get our understanding of the
8. English language as to what we mean on the motions. But, again,
9. Mr. President, now there is a motion before the Chambers to
10. adopt the amendment. I would ask that those that really believe,
11. as I've talked to you before and voted before, that you would
12. vote no on the motion to adopt the amendment, and if...if Senator
13. Sam moves...stays on red...stays on red, I'm going to go on red
14. and if it comes out the same score of 27:27, then the amendment
15. fails and we've accomplished our same thing by indirection. But
16. I do believe, and I want to say this, Mr. President, that we
17. have, as I say, we are attempting to approach this in a bipartisan
18. way to put this bill in the way in which it came in here and move
19. on to bigger and better things and I would appreciate it - a nega-
20. tive vote on the motion to adopt.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Senator Harber Hall.

23. SENATOR HALL:

24. I feel obliged, Mr. President, to speak in response to
25. Senator McCarthy's suggestion that just because the department
26. introduces a bill with many different projects in it that we
27. ought to automatically support all of them. This particular
28. project here, that we're talking about now, the Oakley, or so-
29. called Springer Dam, is one of the worst measures ever devised
30. by the Corps of Engineers. With an average depth of 7 and 1/2
31. feet, that lake will be a mudhole. Now it's only because, Mr.
32. President, that the kind of thinking suggested by Senator Mc-
33. Carthy that this Legislature continue to appropriate year after

1. year sums of monies that the Corps and the Congress in Washington
2. continue to support them and we have so far spent 5 and 1/2
3. million dollars on this worthless Federal boondoggle, which the
4. City of Decatur, the taxpayers of the City of Decatur,
5. will be obliged to spend 20 million dollars on if it's approved.
6. Now is the time to stop this and I urge the approval of this
7. amendment.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Wooten.

10. SENATOR WOOTEN:

11. Mr. President, I would suggest that there is considerable
12. argument pro and con on this particular project - the whole
13. project, and much of it is quite persuasive both ways. I would
14. merely point out that the section in the appropriation calls for
15. the obtaining of further hydrologic and ecologic data. My
16. understanding is that it will provide ammunition both ways. It
17. does not set the con...the project in concrete. If it did I
18. would not have...really would not have engaged in what I believe
19. is a bipartisan effort to restore this and the next two projects.
20. But, in the spirit of bipartisanship, I...because I...the bill
21. is under my management, I am going along with that. Now, I would
22. suggest that...that this amendment be rejected as part of the
23. bipartisan agreement on 3, 4, and 5.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Senator Weaver.

26. SENATOR WEAVER:

27. Mr. President and members of the Senate, in closing I
28. think we would all have to agree that this project has been
29. studied to death. There's just no economic value to it and
30. the sooner we lay it to rest the better, and I'd encourage a
31. favorable roll call.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. The question is shall the Senate concur in Amendment No. 3

1. to Senate Bill 1348. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed
2. will vote No. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have
3. all voted who wish? For what purpose does Senator McBroom arise?

4. SENATOR MCBROOM:

5. Parliamentary Inquiry, Mr. President. Do I understand you
6. correctly to support Senator Weaver is an Aye vote, Mr. President.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. That is correct. Those who support Senator Weaver vote Aye.
9. Those oppose...who support Senator McCarthy vote No. Have all
10. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Yeas are
11. 26, the Nays are 30, 2 voting Present. And the Senate does not
12. concur in the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1348. Sen-
13. ator Swinarski. For what purpose...excuse me...for what purpose
14. does Senator Hall arise? Senator Hall has requested verification
15. of the roll call. Senator Hall, the negative or the affirmative?
16. Or both? Verification of the negative vote has been requested.
17. That is the Senator's right. The Secretary will verify those vot-
18. ing in the negative.

19. SECRETARY:

20. The following voted in the negative: Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
21. Chew, Course, Daley, Donnewald, Dougherty, Kenneth Hall, Hickey,
22. Hynes, Johns, Knuppel, Kosinski, McCarthy, Merritt, Moore, New-
23. house, Nudelman, Palmer, Partee, Rock, Romano, Saperstein, Sa-
24. vickas, Smith, Sommer, Swinarski, Vadalabene, and Wooten.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Is Senator Chew on the Floor? Remove Senator Chew's name
27. from the roll call. Is Senator Don Moore on the Floor? Remove
28. Senator Don Moore's name from the roll call. Is Senator Partee
29. on the Floor? Remove Senator Partee's name from the roll call.
30. Yes, Senator Daley is standing here on the Floor. Senator Hall,
31. for...is Senator John Conolly on the Floor? Is Senator John Con-
32. olly on the Floor? Well, we will...we'll verify the Aye roll...
33. we're on the negatives votes. I'm distressed. Three of

1. those voting in the negative have not been verified. Senator
2. Harber Hall for what purpose do you arise?

3. SENATOR HALL:

4. I hadn't finished. Senator Fred Smith, Sir.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Senator Smith is on the Floor. On verification then there
7. are 27 negative votes. Is...now there was a request for the
8. ...alright...well, there was. It apparently has been withdrawn.
9. On that question the Yeas are 26, the Nays are 27, and the
10. amendment is not adopted. For what purpose does Senator Mitchler
11. arise?

12. SENATOR MITCHLER:

13. Mr. President, I wonder if the Chair, Mr. President, you
14. would announce that we have a number of very wonderful people
15. in Springfield today and many of them came down here to speak
16. and converse with members of the Senate. I know I just had a
17. note passed in to me that I have 22 people from Aurora that
18. are anxious to speak with me. Donna Olson of Aurora is their
19. spokesman. And I wonder if the Chair would just explain to
20. these people that it is almost impossible for us to leave with
21. the type of voting that we have and the verification to go to
22. the outside of the Chambers to speak with them. If you would do
23. that from the podium so that they would understand, Mr. President.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Well, I think the Senator's point is well taken. We do
26. have some very important roll calls. Our Democrat colleagues
27. have an important meeting that they want to get to later this
28. afternoon. We have not been very productive, and it is very
29. difficult in proceeding with our activities here if the members
30. leave the Floor while we are on these roll calls. Now, I would
31. hope that our guests and our constituents would understand that.
32. We must proceed with our work before us. Senator Swinarski is
33. recognized on a point of personal privilege.

1. SENATOR SWINARSKI:

2. Mr. President, I raise...I stand for a point of personal
3. privilege. It gives me great pleasure to introduce to the mem-
4. bers of the Senate today the Alderman of the twelfth ward in
5. the City of Chicago, George Whack, who is with us this ev...
6. this afternoon.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Amendment No. 4. Senator Weaver.

9. SENATOR WEAVER:

10. Mr. President and members of the Senate. Again, amendment
11. No. 4 seeks to delete 100 thousand dollars for study purposes on
12. the Middle Fork Reservoir. This again is a dam project that's
13. of little value. The river that is seeking to be dammed is used
14. greatly for canoeing, fishing, boating, swimming, all sorts of
15. recreational projects and here again if the people of the State
16. of Illinois want to dam up all of these rivers and creeks, take
17. thousands and thousands of acres of good productive black soil
18. out of production of crops and food and fiber, why that's your
19. prerogative, but I would move for adoption of this amendment No.
20. 4.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Senator Wooten.

23. SENATOR WOOTEN:

24. Mr. President, in all candor, this is a troubling project
25. here, but once again I feel that I'm in the posture of managing
26. the bill and must accede to the Division of Waterways' request
27. to keep it reasonably intact, and also in part of the bipartisan
28. agreement to keep this section of the bill intact, I am going to
29. oppose this amendment. I believe Senator Merritt may have a
30. motion to make.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Senator Merritt.

33. SENATOR MERRITT:

1. Mr. President. Members of the Senate. I certainly hate
2. to rise in opposition to my good friend and colleague, whose
3. district does adjoin mine. He's been such a loyal friend over
4. the years. It also is displeasing to have to take issue with
5. part of the leadership, and I know he's a powerful member of
6. the Appropriations Committee. I'm sure he did what he must do
7. for a few handful of people in his county. But by the same
8. token, I must do, or attempt to do, what I feel is the high will
9. of 98 or 99% in my county of Vermilion. This is a budgeted
10. item, approved by the Bureau of the Budget. It meets the criter-
11. ion of the Governor insofar as there is heavy, local, financial
12. participation in this project, and if you'll just bear with me.
13. This didn't just happen yesterday, this has been an ongoing
14. project ever since the feasibility study was made in 1965. There
15. has never been a year that this Legislature has failed to either
16. appropriate new funds or re...reappropriate existing funds not
17. spent for this project. And here is what is key and important
18. as far as I'm concerned, as far as the people in my County of
19. Vermilion are concerned. By an overwhelming vote they created
20. the Vermilion County Conservation District. By another over-
21. whelming vote, and by referendum, they have proved one million,
22. two hundred and fifty thousand dollars of bonds in order to
23. purchase as much land as the state had invested. This is truly
24. a project with heavy local participation. I would just say on
25. behalf of those high 99% of the people in Vermilion County,
26. that I plead and beg with my fellow colleagues for a sense of
27. fair play, decency, and a respect for a project in my district
28. that a fellow Senator has seen fit to eliminate from another
29. district. I, as far as I'm concerned, after the confusion of
30. the last voting, my original thought was to make a motion that
31. the...that his...that Senator McBroom's mo...or Senator Weaver's
32. motion lie on the Table. I've reversed my thinking because it
33. caused so much confusion. I would just ask that those again,

1. in this body, that believe in fair play and rightness for another
2. Senator's district, would vote with me in opposing this motion.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Senator...Senator McCarthy.

5. SENATOR MCCARTHY:

6. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I just would
7. like to add that I...I'm in favor of Senator Merritt's motion
8. and I intend to vote red, or No, because I believe this bill
9. should be in the shape in which it came in. The explanations have
10. been given, but I would encourage my colleagues to engage in a
11. bipartisan effort here and support Senator Merritt with a No
12. vote.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Senator Weaver may close.

15. SENATOR WEAVER:

16. Well, Mr...Mr. President and members of the Senate, I'm cer-
17. tainly not against the Middlefork Conservation District. The
18. district has bought land, the State has bought land. That land
19. is being used for recreational purp...purposes, but to continue
20. the studies of the location of a dam on this river, which has
21. been proclaimed by the Natural History Survey as Illinois' finest
22. natural river, seems to be a big mistake, and I would ask for
23. support on this amendment.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Question is, then, does the Senate concur in the adoption of
26. Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1348. So that there is an...clear
27. understanding - those who support Senator Weaver will vote Aye.
28. Those who support Senator Merritt will vote No. The voting is
29. open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take
30. the record. For what purpose does Senator McBroom arise?

31. SENATOR MCBROOM:

32. Well, I believe that Senator Weaver wants a verification of
33. the negative votes, Mr. President.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Well, does Senator Weaver want a verification or does Sena-
3. tor McBroom? Senator McBroom has been recognized.

4. SENATOR MCBROOM:

5. Yes...Yes...I don't know where the Middlefork River is, Mr.
6. President. Senator Weaver wants it.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Senator Weaver, you wish a verification of the negative roll
9. call. There has been no request for verification. On that ques-
10. tion the yeas are 22, the nays are 29; amendment No. 4 is rejected.
11. Amendment No. 5. Senator Wooten.

12. SENATOR WOOTEN:

13. Amendment No. 5 is offered by Senator Berning.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator Berning.

16. SENATOR BERNING:

17. Yes, Mr...yes, Mr. President, amendment No. 5 seeks to
18. eliminate Kincaid Creek improvements. It's somewhat of a mis-
19. nomer. It does not have anything to do with a creek insofar as
20. I'm able to determine. There has been, over the years, construc-
21. tion in that area for...of a lake, and that is accomplished, from
22. what I understand, this, now then, is just embellishment. There
23. seems to be little or no need or justification particularly, Mr.
24. President, in light of the serious flooding problems that we
25. have in other areas, both in the north and in the southern part
26. of the State. Therefore, I move that amendment No. 5 be adopted,
27. Mr. President.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Senator Wooten.

30. SENATOR WOOTEN:

31. Yes, Mr. President, I would oppose this amendment. The
32. State of Illinois has been conducting over several years a major
33. project, not on Kincaid Creek, but on Kincaid Lake. We've expended

1. four million dollars. There's about 200,000 dollars of work left
2. to be done to complete it. It has to do with the construction of
3. additional access roads and the placing of riprap on eroding banks.
4. This is two of the major factors. This has become a major delight
5. for people all through Southern Illinois. It is one of our best
6. projects. It is just about in completion and I really think it
7. would be unwise for us to neglect to finish off this particular
8. project. It's only 200,000 dollars and this is part of the bipar-
9. tisan agreement, three amendments and I would urge the rejection
10. of this amendment.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Is there further discussion? Question is, does the Senate
13. concur in Amendment No. 5 to Senate Bill 1348. Those in favor
14. will vote Aye...all in favor will vote Aye. Do you wish a roll
15. call on this or do you want to take a voice vote on it? All in
16. favor of the amendment signify by saying Aye. Contrary No. Well,
17. it...it's apparent to me that the Ayes have it. Do you...you
18. want a roll call? Yeah, alright. A roll call has been requested:
19. The question is, does the Senate concur in the adoption of Amendment
20. No. 5 to Senate Bill 1348. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those
21. opposed will vote No. The voting is open. Have all voted who
22. wish? Take the record. On the question, those voting aye 26,
23. those opposed 26, and the amendment is rejected.

24. SECRETARY:

25. Is this your amendment, Senator Wooten?

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Senator Wooten.

28. SECRETARY:

29. Senator Wooten.

30. SENATOR WOOTEN:

31. Yes. Amendment No. 6 is a project on the Embarras River
32. that was inadvertently omitted from our original listing of
33. projects. I believe it has been examined and approved by the

1. staff on both sides and I would move for the adoption of amendment
2. No. 6, which is an engineering examination, survey, study, aerial
3. mapping, field work on the Embarras River, making improvements in
4. the watershed for water supply, flood control. This is to cooper-
5. ate, to get some basic information in cooperation with the Corps
6. of Engineers' project, I would move the adoption of amendment No.
7. 6.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Weaver.

10. SENATOR WEAVER:

11. Well, let me say this, Mr..Senator Wooten. I see that this
12. is in lieu of another dam on the Embarras. Here again, this river
13. has been studied from Champaign County on down. Is this the
14. amendment you're talking about, Senator Wooten?

15. SENATOR WOOTEN:

16. Yeah. Mr. Chairman?

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Senator Wooten.

19. SENATOR WOOTEN:

20. Mr. President, I'm terribly sorry. Things get automatic
21. after awhile and this was...I had lined up in my own mind as
22. amendment No. 8 and properly should have been introduced by
23. Senator Bruce. It is an administration amendment, but it's...
24. I got a little out of sequence and I would hasten to say that
25. this should be introduced by Senator Bruce. And explained by
26. him.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Alright. Let the record show that this is amendment No. 6,
29. introduced by Senator Bruce. Senator Bruce.

30. SENATOR BRUCE:

31. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Body. Amendment No.
32. 6 deals with the Embarras River and the Lincoln Lake and Dam
33. Project that would be on that river. The amendment would appro-

1. piate 150,000 dollars for a survey in lieu of Lincoln Lake and
2. Dam. I wish, Senator Weaver, you had been down in my district
3. the last two weeks when we were about 3/4 under water. This
4. has the approval of the Appropriations Committee, including the
5. Chairman, Senator McBroom, the Bureau of the Budget, the Governor's
6. Office. We have worked with Leo Eisle in the last two weeks to
7. try to find out the alternatives to Lincoln Lake and Dam. Con-
8. gressman Shipley, last week, got an additional 300,000 dollar
9. appropriation from the United States Corps of Engineers restored
10. to the budget. This 150,000 dollars will be the amount of money
11. that we will participate with the Corps in a study in lieu of
12. Lincoln Lake and Dam, and I would solicit a voice vote on this
13. amendment if we have no difficulty with it.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Is there further discussion? Senator Weaver.

16. SENATOR WEAVER:

17. Well, my only comment, Mr. President and Senator Bruce, is
18. that as long as this is in lieu of Lincoln Dam on the Embarras, I'll
19. support the amendment.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. The question is, all in favor signify by saying....the question
22. is the adoption of amendment No. 6. All in favor signify by say-
23. ing Aye. Contrary No. Motion carries. Amendment No. 6 is adopted.
24. Amendment No. 7. Senator Wooten.

25. SENATOR WOOTEN:

26. Which one is this? OK.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Wooten.

29. SENATOR WOOTEN:

30. Well, here we are at amendment No. 7. Mr. President, this
31. was just handed me this morning and I hope I get all the details
32. right. Director Eisle wants to add some detailing in Section 1,
33. Paragraph 12, and Section 2, Paragraph 2, dealing with ongoing

1. projects. The Glaze Construction company is involved in a pro-
2. ject in the first section - I don't think we have any difficulty
3. on that at all on either side. The second...second section pro-
4. vided funds not to exceed 25% of the amount appropriating herein
5. may be expended to cover work pertinent to the present contract
6. awarded by the Department of Transportation to Kenny Construction
7. Company of Skokie, Illinois, completing a project currently under-
8. way. It involves no additional appropriation of funds, but mere-
9. ly details how some of the money appropriated is to be spent,
10. to be sure that it ties onto projects that are currently under-
11. way, and I move for the adoption of amendment No. 7.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Question is, does the Senate concur in the adoption of Amend-
14. ment No. 7 to 13...Senate Bill 1348. Is there further discussion?
15. All in favor signify by saying Aye. Contrary No. Motion carries
16. and amendment No. 7 is adopted. Are there further amendments from
17. the Floor? Third reading. Senate Bills on Third Reading. Senate
18. Bill 1475.

19. SECRETARY:

20. Senate Bill 1475.

21. (Secretary reads title of bill.)

22. Third reading of the bill.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Berning.

25. SENATOR BERNING:

26. Thank you, Mr. President. I suppose it would be safe to
27. say that this is a merely bill. It does, however, Senate Bill
28. 1475, in addition to other important provisions, close some of
29. the loopholes in the Personnel Code and the Civil Service System
30. that have been most disruptive and cause of great distress to
31. many of our State employees. I submit that this is a peoples'
32. bill. This, ladies and gentlemen, is a good government bill.
33. It ought to have the support of everyone. It certainly never was

1. intended and is not now intended to be a partisan bill. I
2. earnestly solicit your vote for Senate Bill 1475.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Senator Buzbee.

5. SENATOR BUZBEE:

6. Yes, Mr. President. Thank you. I notice at this late
7. hour, when it had been previously announced that we would try
8. to recess or adjourn at 4:30; it's now 25 minutes of 5 or 20
9. of 5, according to my watch, that we come to this most important
10. piece of Legislation, when various members of our sides of the
11. ...our side of the aisle, in particular the Minority Leader
12. had a commitment to go pick up the new Senator Elect from the
13. State of Arkansas at the airport, and various other people from
14. our side of the aisle have had to leave due to the proceedings
15. that we're going to have this evening. That at this late hour,
16. this, one of the most important bills of this Legislative session,
17. would be called for a passage vote. Now, Mr. President, I sat
18. as a member of the sub-committee that went all over the State of
19. Illinois - the Minority Spokesman on that sub-committee, if you
20. will, that went all over the State of Illinois to take testimony
21. from employees of the State of Illinois concerning the problems
22. that they have had under the present Personnel Code. Now, Mr.
23. President and members of the Senate, there were consistently two
24. types of employees who came before this sub-committee to testify.
25. One type was the type that I call the career...the career State
26. employee - the professional employee, who felt they had legitimate
27. complaints, who felt that they had been wronged under the present
28. Personnel Code, and in many cases I agreed with them. Many times,
29. I recall sitting there next to the Chairman of the sub-committee,
30. Senator Berning, when he and I were in agreement, Mr. President,
31. when we both said to that employee, yes, we feel you have been
32. wronged and we're going to try to do something about it. Now,
33. consistently, this type of employee had one major complaint.

1. In fact, this complaint was heard so many times that the Chairman
2. of the sub-committee, Senator Berning, and both...and of myself
3. would say to the employee, don't even talk to us about step 6 and
4. 7 - that abomination that is the point of hatred of most State
5. employees in this State, because we've heard so much testimony
6. on it, we know that it's something that should be changed, so you
7. don't have to give us your testimony, because we're going to do
8. something about it, hopefully. Now, unfortunately, when the
9. majority report was written, step 6 and 7 were not mentioned.
10. Unfortunately, when Senate Bill 1475 was written Steps 6 and 7
11. were not mentioned. Instead, what was done, was a complete re-
12. writing of the whole State's Personnel Code in a two week period,
13. I suspect. Or there's always that other possibility, of course,
14. that this bill was, in fact, written last fall and then a Senate
15. sub-committee was appointed to go out and take testimony in order
16. to rewrite the whole Personnel Code before we ever took one iota
17. of testimony. Now, Mr. President, there was a second type of
18. employee - State employee - who came before this sub-committee.
19. Invariably I played a little game with those employees and Senator
20. Berning would participate in another fashion in this game. I
21. would ask these employees when they first went to work for the
22. State of Illinois and they would tell me, why I went to work in
23. January, February or March of 1969. And I said, are you telling
24. me then that you first went to work during the early days of the
25. Ogilvie Administration? They would say yes. I would say, could
26. you tell me, did politics have anything at all to do with your
27. getting your job. They would say yes. And I would say, do you
28. happen to hold the position of the Republican Party of the State
29. of Illinois? And they would say yes. And I'd say what is that
30. position. They'd say I am the Republican County Chairman and some,
31. I would then ask them, when they first went under the Personnel
32. Code. They would tell me in July of 1972, an election year, I
33. might point out, Mr. President, so that their 6-month probationary

1. period would end in December, after the election of 1972, but
2. prior to the incoming of a new Governor, if in case, if, in fact,
3. that did happen, as in fact we know that it did. And invariably
4. Senator Berning would say, or one of the other Senators from the
5. Majority side, and tell me, have there been anybody, has there
6. been anybody appointed to fulfil that job that has been eliminated
7. by Governor Walker, that you had. They would say no. Now, Mr.
8. President, in closing, I would say that the fact of the matter is
9. that in 1972, the last year of the Ogilivie Administration, there
10. were 5,000 more in state employees than there were in 1971. The
11. fact of the matter is in 1973, the first year of the Walker Adminis-
12. tration, there were 5,000 less state employees than there were in
13. 1972. Now...

14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

15. We'd ask you to sum up, Senator, your time is up.

16. SENATOR BUZBEE:

17. I...Yes, Sir, I am now closing. I would point out, Mr.
18. President, that this bill is ill-conceived; it is not necessary,
19. and I would like to close by saying that the Advisory Board, who
20. by the way the Chairman of that Sub-Committee, likes to keep
21. quoting, the Advisory Board has resolved that the Advisory Board
22. to the Department of Personnel opposes ill-considered Senate Bill
23. 1475, proposing drastic changes in the Illinois Personnel Code.
24. The Board and the Department of Personnel have not been consulted
25. in the development of this far-reaching proposal. Too much is at
26. stake to pass this matter without serious public discussion. The
27. board will ask to be heard before the House of Representatives if
28. this bill passes the Senate. Mr. President, I would say that in
29. 1955 that the present Personnel Code took two years to write. We're
30. trying to rewrite it in two weeks. I would move that we...I would
31. ask that we vote No on this particular bill. Thank you.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

33. Senator Graham.

1. Mr. President and members of the Senate. I am always amazed
2. at the people who are defending, as weakly as they can, precipitate
3. manner in which Governor Walker and Nolan Jones has handled the
4. Department of Personnel. That's what this is all about. They're
5. talking about removing and letting employees from the list, they're
6. not saying how many employees they're going to hire under the new
7. Walker System of Personnel, because he has done the thing here in
8. this State of Illinois that he said throughout the State in 1972,
9. that he wasn't going to do. He believed in a merit system and
10. representation by the people, for the people. But, as soon as
11. he sat down at that big Chair on the Second Floor, he forgot what
12. he told them as he has in so many other cases and flooding control
13. not withstanding. Now, let's really put the burden of the blame
14. of the reason for this bill upon the person who it belongs...where
15. it belongs and it belongs on the Second Floor of the Capitol Build-
16. ing in the State of Illinois, in the Office of the Governor.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

18. Senator Harber Hall.

19. SENATOR HALL:

20. Mr. President, just as Senator Buzbee toured the State lis-
21. tening to the employees air their grievances with the State and
22. complain about the shoddy treatment they have had at the hands
23. of the Director of Personnel and our Governor, I too listened
24. and I rise in support of this bill that precipitated...that was
25. precipitated by the policies advanced by the Administration. It
26. is no longer fair treatment for public employees to be shopped
27. around, moved around, fired, layed off, exchanged under whatever
28. authority the Governor decides he wants to use. This bill was
29. precipitated by some of these actions, in April of '72. Secretary
30. of State John Lewis requested that the jurisdiction of the Person-
31. nel Code be extended to his office as provided by law. This request
32. was honored and after taking qualifying exams, his employees
33. began a probationary period which was scheduled to end on Novem-

1. ber 30 of '72. At the same time, a group of former employees who
2. had been terminated by Secretary Lewis filed a court action con-
3. testing their termination. One of the legal points raised in
4. this suit was appeal all the way to Illinois Supreme Court
5. which eventually decided in the employees favor on this legal
6. point. And, remanded the case back to the lower court for a de-
7. termination of the facts. By this time the incumbent Secretary
8. of State his employees were scheduled to end their probationary
9. periods and become certified Civil Service employees. An injunc-
10. tion was therefore obtained to prevent this certification and
11. maintain the employees in their probationary status. Now 18
12. months later, they're still probationary, Mr. President, and a
13. circuit court decision earlier this spring ruled that the exten-
14. sion of Civil Service as the present code provides was unconsti-
15. tutional and these employees may remain in the probationary sta-
16. tus for perhaps another 18 months. Now, this kind of thing needs
17. correction, legislative correction, beyond the question of the
18. validity of the court ruling however is Secretary of State
19. Howlett's own contention that he should have control over the
20. personnel administration of his office. He is an elected con-
21. stitutional office. How is it appropriate that he elected to
22. office to do a particular job has no authority with...for the
23. people in his office. I think this...his posi...his position
24. has merit. This bill directs its attention to his thoughts, a
25. separately elected State officer should be able to implement
26. Personnel policies and practices to improve the efficiency of
27. his office, without having to wait for another State official to
28. take action. Our Appropriation staff has estimated if this bill
29. passed and signed into law, because of the people already on
30. board, in the Secretary's Office, it would only cost the State
31. 250,000 dollars and most of that money, virtually all of it is
32. to strengthen the Civil Service Commission which certainly needs
33. strengthening. So, I support this bill and I hope my colleagues

1. will understand that this is an important piece of legislation,
2. who's time has come who's people...who citizens want it to become
3. effective and get out of the political game of switching personnel
4. at every whim.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR:)

6. Senator Schaffer.

7. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

8. Well, the hour grows late and I'll try and be very brief. I
9. too served on that sub-committee of the Senate Personnel and
10. Pensions Committee that travel around the State and listen to
11. the complaints of our employees. But, I think this is a good bill
12. and think that the thing I personally like about it the most
13. that it creates in my opinion for the first time a truly
14. independent Civil Service Commission with the power to effectively
15. defend the rights and interest of our employees. This bill
16. in my opinion addresses itself towards creating an atmosphere
17. for career employees, where the employees of the state do not
18. have to depend on the outcome of a particular election for their
19. continued appoi...appointment or continued place on the payroll.
20. I don't happen to believe in patronage politics and I respect
21. my colleagues that do. But, I think that patronage politics
22. is come to the end of the road in Illinois and I think that this
23. bill is that stop sign at the end of the road and I hope that
24. every one of both political parties will support it.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR:)

26. Senator Rock.

27. SENATOR ROCK:

28. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
29. Senate. I rise in opposition to SB 1475 and all of its 57
30. pages, it was amended the other day and there were some 35
31. substantive changes made in and among those 57 pages. I defy
32. anybody to tell me right now what this bill says and on that
33. basis if no other, I urge opposition or a no vote to this bill.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

2. Senator Knuppel.

3. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

4. Mr. President and members of the Senate. When I was
5. er lawyer and lived in the community and was very active in civ-
6. ic affairs and often I attended meetings and I had a tenant that
7. attended those same meetings and I observed frequently how he
8. voted and the things he said. And, I generally listened with an
9. awe and when it came time to vote, I voted in the opposite direc-
10. tion. One time I attended the meeting and I came in late and he
11. ...I noticed that he had voted Aye, so I voted Nay. And they
12. said, how could you do that without knowing what was in the bill.
13. Well, this bill, I don't know what's in it either, but I said, I
14. just know when he votes Yes, he's wrong 90% of the time, I'm going
15. to vote the other way and I'm going to be right 90% of the time.
16. When I look who's sponsoring this bill, I have to vote No, because
17. I know he's wrong 90% of the time.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

19. Further discussion? Senator Berning may close...I'm sorry,
20. President Harris.

21. SENATOR HARRIS:

22. Senate Bill 1475 responds to a need, a need that has resulted
23. from two things. The Verticchio Decision concerning constitution-
24. al officers request to have certified the employees of his depart-
25. ment under the existing Personnel Code. The court found the pre-
26. sent law to be unconstitutional in relation to the independence
27. of another constitutional law officer to proceed, this bill cures
28. that problem. The other reason this bill comes into being, be-
29. cause of the hypocrisy of this Chief Executive from the time he
30. was a candidate to the time that he is the Chief Executive. The
31. violation of the rights of the coded employees of Illinois under
32. this administration have been atrocious. This bill will cure that
33. problem and it ought be supported by an unanimous roll call.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR) :

2. Further discussion? Senator Berning may close.

3. SENATOR BERNING:

4. Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. President, some people un-
5. fortunately are blind and cannot see, others are just unwilling
6. to see. That is an even greater tragedy in my opinion. I
7. might remind Senator Buzbee that during the Kerner Administra-
8. tion the State Personnel roll doubled. But, let me just give
9. you, if anybody's interested, a bit of statistics. An interest-
10. ing history, since 1955, each succeeding administration placed
11. more and more State employees under the jurisdiction of the Per-
12. sonnel Code. Until, by the end of the Ogilvie Administration,
13. nearly all...nearly all the employees of the Governor's juris-
14. diction were covered by Civil Service. This situation, however,
15. brought to a head, the problems we are faced with today and the
16. inherent flaws in the present system. Before the Walker Admin-
17. istration each Governor had available an ever decreasing, yet
18. sizable, group of positions not under Civil Service which could
19. be dispensed on a patronage basis and they were. With the Corp
20. of Patronage Positions available there was never any need to tam-
21. per with the Civil Service Positions. That's while patronage
22. still existed, no concern was ever really expressed about the
23. viability the Civil Service System itself. When Governor Walk-
24. er came into office, few non Civil Service positions were left to
25. use for patronage. Rather than take advantage of this and carry
26. out his campaign promises, however, he has attempted to disman-
27. tle the Civil Service System. The best known example was his
28. attempt to remove five thousand jobs from the Civil Service cov-
29. erage last November, at the same time as cited in the report of
30. the sub-committee on Personnel through a number of loop holes in
31. the code and rules, he has been able to layoff employees without re-
32. gards to seniority, hire without competitive testing and bypass
33. qualified employees seeking com...promotions. Every sur...source

1. heard from except the Administration itself has attested to the
2. finding that morale among employees as...is at an all time low.
3. As, an indication of how em...many employees are not satisfied
4. with State employment, in 1973 nearly 11,300 employees resigned
5. their positions. That's 20% of the total work force, as compared
6. to previous highs of less than 14%. Governor Walker has also had
7. the highest turnover rate in at least the last 10 years. Sena-
8. tor Buzbee's minority report to the contrary notwithstanding.
9. Incidentally, Senator Buzbee, your appendix Number 1 at the back
10. of your report must have been prepared by the Bureau of the Bud-
11. get. It's that difficult to understand, a different formula
12. was used to calculate the turnover rate for 1972 and '73 than
13. was used for 64 through 71. Either the 64-71 percentages were
14. correct and the turnover in '73 was a staggering 33% or the 1973
15. percentages are correct and the percentages for 64-71 are really
16. anywhere between 2 and 6 percent lower than you have stated. In
17. either case, 1973 was a record year of dis-enchantment and turn-
18. over. Mr. President, I repeat my motion. I request a favorable
19. roll call on 1473. An outstanding bit of legislation.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

21. The question is shall Senate Bill 1475 pass. Those in favor
22. will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open.
23. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the
24. Yeas are 32, the Nays are 10. Senate Bill 1475, having received
25. the constitutional majority, is declared passed. Request for
26. verification. Will the members please be in their seats. Sec-
27. retary will call those voting in the affirmative.

28. SECRETARY:

29. The following voted in the affirmative:

30. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Clarke, Conolly, David-
31. son, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Knuepfer,
32. Latherow, McBroom, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard Mohr,
33. Don Moore, Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga, Regner, Roe,

1. Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Sommer, Soper,
2. Sours, Walker, Weaver, Mr. President.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

4. The roll call has been verified. President Harris.

5. SENATOR HARRIS:

6. Mr. President I now move to proceed to the order of mes-
7. sages on the Secretary's Desk, concurrence in a House amend-
8. ment to Senate Bill 1501. I don't think there need to be any
9. discussion on this. This is an important piece of legislation
10. that must be passed today so that the Department of Revenue
11. can proceed with the processing of this payroll. Senator Rock
12. will offer the motion but I move to proceed to that order of
13. business.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

15. Senator Rock.

16. SENATOR ROCK:

17. Thank you, Mr. President. I move that the Senate concur
18. on House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1501. The amendment
19. merely corrects a typographical error in the...there was a
20. transposition of certain numbers. The amendment merely put the
21. numbers back to where they were and I would ask that we concur.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

23. Further discussion? The question is shall the Senate con-
24. cur on Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1501. Those in favor will
25. vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open.
26. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question
27. the Ye...Ayes are 33, the Nays are 7, 11 voting Present. The
28. Senate concurs Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1501 and the bill,
29. having received the required constitutional majority, is declared
30. passed. Communications.

31. SECRETARY:

32. (Secretary reads Communications.)

33. The Honorable William C. Harris, President of

1. the Senate. Please accept my resignation as a member
2. of the Daley Information Systems Commission, effec-
3. tive immediately. Sincerely, Howard R. Mohr.

4. To the members of the Senate. I do hereby ap-
5. point Senator John J. Nimrod to serve as a member of
6. the Daley Information System Commission to replace
7. Senator Howard R. Mohr, who has resigned as of this
8. date. This appointment is effective until July 1,
9. 1974. William C. Harris, President.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

11. Announcements. Senator Newhouse.

12. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

13. Yes, Mr. President, very briefly. On a point of personal
14. privilege. I have a young lady here with me today who keeps
15. asking me when I'm going to speak and I told her I wasn't going
16. to speak but she prevailed upon me. I'd like to introduce my
17. daughter, Holly.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

19. Senator Weaver...President Harris.

20. SENATOR HARRIS:

21. Mr. President, I now move that the Senate stands adjourned
22. until 1 p.m. tomorrow, June 12th. I know that Senator Ozinga
23. wants to announce the Executive Committee meeting tomorrow
24. morning at 9. But, the motion will...after Senator Ozinga's...
25. well, there's several announcements. The motion will then be
26. to adjourn until 1 p.m., June 13th.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

28. Senator Ozinga.

29. SENATOR OZINGA:

30. Mr. President and members of the Senate. The Senate Execu-
31. tive Committee will continue its hearings on the Board of Educa-
32. tion members or nominees tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock, in Room
33. 212.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
2. Senator Glass.
3. SENATOR GLASS:
4. Thank you, Mr. President. All Senators should be aware that
5. the House is once again challenging the Senate to a softball game
6. and in order to get ready for this contest, which is next Wed-
7. nesday, mark your calendars for Monday, immediately after adjourn-
8. ment, softball practice, Diamond 4 at the north end of Lincoln
9. Park. Slow pitching. Diamond 4, north end of Lincoln Park, Mon-
10. day, June 17th, after adjournment.
11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
12. Practice is Monday, and the game is Wednesday. Will that be
13. enough time?
14. SENATOR GLASS:
15. That's all we need, Mr. President.
16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
17. Senator McBroom.
18. SENATOR MCBROOM:
19. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The Senate
20. Appropriations Committee will meet tomorrow afternoon at 3 o'clock
21. in Room 400, and I wish, Mr. President, that the Republican mem-
22. bers of the Appropriations Committee would pay attention to this
23. announcement. We will meet at 10 o'clock tomorrow morning in my
24. office instead of the usual 8 o'clock meeting for the Republican
25. members of the Senate Appropriations Committee at 10 o'clock in
26. Room 415, instead of 8 o'clock, Mr. President.
27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
28. Further announcements. Senator Newhouse.
29. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:
30. Mr. President, did I understand that Education is going to
31. be now in Room 400? Is that correct?
32. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
33. Senator Fawell. Re...question on Education meeting.

1. SENATOR FAWELL:

2. We have met.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

4. Any further questions, Senator Newhouse? Senator Harris
5. moves that the Senate stand adjourned until 1 p.m., tomorrow,
6. Thursday, June 13th. All those in favor signify by saying Aye.
7. Opposed. Senate stands adjourned.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.