# 78th GENERAL ASSEMBLY

# June 12, 1973

| 1.  | PRESIDENT:  |
|-----|---|
| 2.  | Will the Senate please come to order. The prayer will       |
| 3.  | be delivered by the Reverend Ancel Willey of Laurel United  |
| 4.  | Methodist Church of Springfield. Will our guests please     |
| 5.  | rise? Reverend Willey.                                      |
| 6.  | (Prayer by Reverend Willey,                                 |
| 7.  | of United Methodist Church,                                 |
| 8.  | Springfield, Illinois)                                      |
| 9.  | Reading of the Journals. Senator Soper.                     |
| 10. | SECRETARY:  |
| 11. | Thursday, May 31, 1973.                                     |
| 12. | SENATOR SOPER:  |
| 13. | Mr. President, I move that we dispense with the further     |
| 14. | reading of the Journal of May 31st, and unless there's some |
| 15. | corrections or additions to be made by one of the Senators, |
| 16. | then the Journal of May 331st stand approved.               |
| 17. | PRESIDENT:  |
| 18. | You've heard the motion to approve the Journal of May       |
| 19. | 31st. All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no.      |
| 20. | The motion carries. The Journal is approved. Senator Soper  |
| 21. | SECRETARY:  |
| 22. | Friday, June 1, 1973.                                       |
| 23. | SENATOR SOPER:  |
| 24. | Mr. President, I move that we dispense with the further     |
| 25. | reading of the Journal of June 1st, and unless there's some |
| 26. | corrections to be made or additions by some Senator that    |
| 27. | the Journal of June 1st stand approved.                     |
| 28. | PRESIDENT:  |
| 29. | Senator Soper moves to dispense with further reading        |
| 30. | of the Journal of June 1st. Are there corrections or        |
| 31. | additions? It is moved to approve. All in favor signify     |
| 32. | by saying aye. Contrary no. The motion carries. The         |
| 33. | Journal for June 1 is approved.                             |

- 1. SECRETARY:
- 2. Monday, June 4, 1973.
- 3. SENATOR SOPER:

June 4th.

- I move that we dispense with the further reading of 5. the Journal of June 4 and unless there's some corrections
- or additions to be made by some Senator that...that the 6.
- 7.
- Journal of June 4th stand approved. Mr...Mr. President,
- 9. PRESIDENT:

R

16.

22.

23.

24.

25.

31.

32.

- 10. Yeah. Senator Soper has moved to dispense with
- reading of the Journal June 4 and move for it's approval. 11.
- Are there additions or corrections? All in favor of the 12.
- motion signify by saying age. Contrary no. The motion 13.
- 14. carries. Senator Soper.
- 15. SENATOR SOPER:
- Mr. President, I move that we postpone the reading 17.
  - of the Journals of June 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th and June 11th,
- pending the arrival of the printed Journal and the approval 18. 19.
- thereafter. 20. PRESIDENT:
- 21. Senator Soper has moved that we dispense with
  - the further reading of the Journals specified until
  - the arrival of the printed Journal. All in favor signify
  - by saying aye. Contrary no. Motion carries. Communications. SECRETARY:
- (Secretary reads Communication). 26.
- 27. PRESIDENT:
- 28. ...lst reading.
- SECRETARY: 29. 30.
  - HB 909, Senator Harris (Secretary reads title of bill) 1st reading of the bill.

    - HB 998, Senator Davidson (Secretary reads title of bill) 1st reading of the bill.

- HB 1299, Senator Newhouse (Secretary reads title of bill) 1. 1st reading of the bill. 2.
- HB 1362, Senator Harber Hall 3.
- (Secretary reads title of bill) 4.
- 1st reading of the bill. 5.
- HB 1414, Senator Newhouse (Secretary reads title of bill) 6.
- 1st reading of the bill. 7.
- HB 1494, Senator Knuppel (Secretary reads title of bill) 8.
- 1st reading of the bill. 9.
- 10.
  - HB 1797, Senator Shapiro (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 1st reading of the bill. 11.
- 12.
- House Bills on 2nd reading. Mr. Sweeney, would you 13.
- ring the bell? House Bills on 2nd reading. Senator 14.
- Davidson. Senator Hynes. Senator Clarke, 420. 15.
- HB 420 (Secretary reads title of bill) 17.

  - 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
  - Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading.

    - Senator Latherow, 424, advance.
- SECRETARY: 22.

PRESIDENT:

SECRETARY:

PRESIDENT:

16.

18.

19.

20.

21.

23.

25.

26.

27.

- (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
- 24. PRESIDENT:
  - Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading.

  - Senator Latherow, 520, advance.
- SECRETARY: 28.
- HB 520 (Secretary reads title of bill) 29.
  - 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
- 30. PRESIDENT:
- 31. Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. 32.
  - Senator Netsch, 535. Advance.

4 .

6.

7.

8. 9.

13.

16. 17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22. 23.

24.

25. 26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

11. 2.

3.

l. SECRETARY:

PRESIDENT:

PRESIDENT.

SENATOR WOOTEN:

Stew.

PRESIDENT:

2 HB 535 (Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 3.

5.

Senator Regner, 559. Advance. SECRETARY:

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. 10.

Senator Wooten, do you want 591 advanced? Ok, for what 11.

12. purpose do you arise?

14.

On a point of personal privilege. I'd like to point 15.

out the presence in the President's gallery today of

Stewart Winstein, 19th Congressional National Committeeman.

PRESIDENT:

594, Senator Regner. 594, advance.

SECRETARY:

HB 594 (Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading.

Senator Shapiro. Senator Regner, 708, advance.

SECRETARY:

HB 708 (Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading.

Senator Rock, 794, advance. Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

On 794 in Committee, Mr. President I agreed that I would

- 1. allow Senator Moore the opportunity to offer an amendment.
- I would like to move it, but I'll move it with the under-3.
- standing that when he's ready we'll call it back for the 4. purpose of him...
- 5. PRESIDENT:

2.

9.

11.

13.

18.

21.

24.

26.

31.

33.

- 6. Yes, is that Senator Don Moore or Senator Howard Mohr.
- 7. SENATOR ROCK:
- 8.
- Senator Don Moore.
- PRESIDENT: 10.
- Ok. He is here. SENATOR ROCK:
- 12.
- Well if he wants to offer it now we can try it now. PRESIDENT:
- 14.
- Senator Moore do you have the amendment? 15. SENATOR ROCK:
- 16. Ok, can we move it with the understanding that I will
- 17. call it back for that purpose.
- 19. Very good.

PRESIDENT:

HB 794

- SECRETARY: 20.
- (Secretary reads title of bill) 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Public Health, 22.
- Welfare and Corrections offers one amendment. 23.
- PRESIDENT: 25. Senator Rock.
  - SENATOR ROCK:

PRESIDENT:

- Yes, that was an amendment which I asked the committee 27.
- 28. to offer, it's an amendment from the Department of Public
- Health. It merely changes one section with...with regard 29.
- to fixtures and I would move it's adoption. 30.
- Is there further discussion? Senator Mitchler. 32. SENATOR MITCHLER:
  - -5-

This is the lead poisoning bill and I...was...

wondering, I haven't seen the committee amendment. It

came out of the Committee. If I could see the committee

amendment maybe it does the same thing as an amendment

have on the Floor. I don't think it does. If not,

then I have Amendment No. 2.

### PRESIDENT:

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

17.

Senator Rock do you want to describe what the committee amendment does for Senator Mitchler's explanation? Senator Rock.

# SENATOR ROCK:

Yes, Senator...Senator Mitchler the committee amendment was offered by me on behalf of the Department of Public Health. It merely changes three or four words in one Section as it relates to fixtures. It is no way...identical or similar to the amendment which you propose to offer and I would move it's adoption. It's just...de minimis amendment.

### PRESIDENT:

Is there further discussion? Senator Rock has move the adoption of Amendment No. 1. All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no. Motion carries, Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Senator Mitchler.

# SENATOR MITCHLER:

Mr. President, Members of the Senate, I would offer Amendment No. 2 to HB 794. And the Amendment is on the Secretary's desk. And I have given copies to the leader-ship on both sides of the aisle. Would you like me to explain the amendment? I move for the adoption of the amendment.

# SENATOR ROCK:

I would like it explained. I think one of the reasons

I did not agree to accept this amendment in committee is

I think this is a policy decision that the full Senate should

make and I would direct the memberships attention to what the bill says and what this amendment purports to do. And I am going to make every attempt to resist this amendment. You are amending...it's a two part amendment with the second part from line 15 to line 25 if you'll read the bill I think it's totally unnecessary. It's excess baggage from lines 5 to 12 I think is the guts of the amendment and it concerns the percentage of lead that is in paint and I think it's something that the full Senate should address itself to. And I would ask the Senator to explain why he needs this amendment.

#### PRESIDENT:

1.

2.

3.

**4**.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29. 30.

31. 32.

33.

Senator Mitchler.

#### SENATOR MITCHLER:

Yes, I... T've offered the amendment, now I'd like to explain the amendment so that the members of the Senate understand. We have already passed out of this Body SB number 3, which is really identical to HB 794 with the exception of the amendment that I am proposing. Now, this bill creates the lead poisoning substances control. I believe we're in agreement with about everything except the language that is going to be used in the bill as it relates to the description of lead bearing substance. Now the amendment that I have and if you'll refer to your bill, HB 794, it deletes lines 1 through 6 on page 2, and inserts a new definition of lead bearing sub-The insertion in the amendment that I am proposing is identical to the language contained in SB 3 that this Body has already passed and is now over in the House, in fact it will be heard either this afternoon or tomorrow in the House Committee. Now, the reason, the reason for Amendment No. 2 and to change the language from the existing language in Amendment...in HB 794 is that the paint manufacturers

l. in the State of Illinois manufacture paint that goes to 2. all other States and naturally you would like to have 3. them have the type of an amendment that...that's why I'm 4. getting my no smoking bill fellows... I would like to have 5. a type...of an amendment that would permit them to man-6. ufacture paint that could be distributed throughout the 7. United States and therefore the defintion of lead bearing 8. substance should be the Federal law and regulation which 9. is what Amendment No. 2 does to HB 794. It says that lead 10. bearing substance means any paint or other surface 11. coating material containing more than five-tenths of one 12. percent lead by weight. And if the Federal law changes 13. and that's lowered, then the Illinois statute will automatically 14. change to conform to the Federal law and regulation ... Now, 15. I know of no reason why anyone should oppose such an 16. amendment in such language. And it's very similar to

# PRESIDENT:

SB 3.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

0.

1.

۷.

В.

Is there further discussion? Senator Netsch and then Senator Knuepfer. Senator Netsch.

# SENATOR NETSCH:

Mr. President, I would like to respond directly to the point that Senator Mitchler just made that there is no reason for anyone to oppose this change and suggest there is a very good reason for opposing it. We heard a great deal of testimony in the Welfare Committee on this question of the proper level for lead content in paint. We heard a good deal of testimony and read a good many things about the effect thereof. It is quite true I think, it is fair to say that there is not absolutely conclusively established evidence that says that the level of .06 is the proper level. On the other hand there is a great deal of evidence to suggest that anything above that is going to be and has been

a source of very serious injury to those children who may come in contact with that paint. Our feeling was, the feeling of many of us who struggled with this problem that the paint industry can adjust to this changed level. Why should we take any chances at all with respect to the health of children, and we're not just talking about kids living in an inner-city ghetto, we're talking about lead levels found in children throughout this State wherever the testing has been done to date. Why shouldn't we for once resolve the doubts in favor of the health of the children involved instead of the industry and the answer that we always get under such circumstances is we can't do it, you'll drive us out of business, you'll put us in an economically disadvantageous position. I think that is nonsense when it comes to something as critical as this and a...a source of a health hazard that is as dangerous and horrible as this when it does occur. I am convinced that the Federal government is just playing games with what its level is going to be. And that for once the States ought to tell the Federal government what is the proper way to go and I would strongly suggest that if the State of Illinois a major industrial State takes this position firmly in its own legislation that .06 is the proper level and the only proper level that that itself may influence the Federal government in its revised regulations. I think that is the proper course for us to follow and I would hope that is what we do.

# PRESIDENT:

l.

2.

3.

4.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31. 32.

33.

Senator Knuepfer.

# SENATOR KNUEPFER:

Well, Senator Netsch, you have suggested that this is a question of resolving doubts in favor of the children.

I don't...I sincerely do not think that's true. This is an area in which Federal Standards ought to obtain, and

the Federal government is moving in the direction. you know and I know that the real problem is not this issue at all. If we really wanted to address ourselves to this problem we would have to address ourselves to the destruction of probably a 100,000 homes in the State of Illinois. Because the real problem is caused not by 06 or .1 but the real problem is caused by the paints which were manufactured some 30, 40 and 50 years ago in which the lead content was up 15 to 20, 25%. As a practical economic matter we cannot address ourselves to that problem because it would mean the distruction, the repainting, the recovering of a great many homes. There are some areas in...in this State and most of them would be in your City. They're neither the City of Chicago nor the State of Illinois has the resources to address itself to the real solution of the problem of lead poisoning. And I submit to you that is the real solution. Short of that it seems to me that our problem is to try and conform to a Federal standard and that Federal standard, because manufacturers of a commodity such as paint simply cannot economically manufacture the product for different markets. You well know in Chicago that you have a different standard set of City Ordinance and certainly within the concept of Home Rule. I suggest to you that if you want that is perfectly acceptable to me. I do not think however it is a desirable concept for the State of Illinois. In part we're playing games because as I suggested to you earlier, the real problem nobody has tried or has even suggested that we attack because the expense of the real problem is immense. I suggest to you that this is a desirable amendment and we ought to support it. PRESIDENT:

1. 2.

3.

4.

5. 6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11. 12.

13.

14.

15. 16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

22.

23.

24.

25.26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

21.

Is there further discussion? Senator Saperstein. SENATOR SAPERSTEIN:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
 I think Senator Knuepfer is right as far as it concerns the

older buildings, that is a big problem. But I think we have to look at it in terms of what the...the American Institute

of Pediatrics stated as it relates to the safe percentage of lead. The American Institute of Pediatrics said that

of lead. The American Institute of Pediatrics said that anything more than .06 is a danger to children, and it is

a danger to lead poisoning in children and to mental retardation. We...it is true that the older buildings are also a

factor in this, but it is also true without qualification that the Institute of Pediatrics stated without equivocating

on the problem that any more than .06 is a danger to lead poisoning and mental retardation in children. This is the thing we ought to consider. It is true that the Federal

Government is dragging it's feet when it determines that .5 is a safe level content. It is not, and anything more ought to be rejected.

PRESIDENT:

4.

5.

6.

7.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18. 19.

20. 21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Senator Newhouse.

roll call on this bill.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Just one more point Mr. President and Senators and that is this. The...the question arises then what will happen to the industry in the interim and will this work a hardship on the industry. Well the fact is that Sherman-Williams has already achieved the point that we are suggesting, they've already gone to the...the point that the Federal government is talking about, reaching it at some point in the future. Now in addition to that there is a period of time contemplated by this bill that will permit them to deal with the stock that they presently have on hand, so the fact is that this doesn't work any real hardship, that this is the level that

ought to be achieved and I would hope that we give a favorable

#### SENATOR BELL:

٦.

2.

З.

5.

6.

7. 8.

9.

10.

11.

13.

14.

15.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29. 30.

31.

32.

33.

Well, Mr. President, Members of the Senate, I think what we have...personally what we have to do here is we have to look at the...at the real issue in my mind is mental retardation. And what...how it is brought about through the eating by children of paint that has an extra amount of lead in it or a lead that's above and beyond a certain percentage point. Now, that is the question. What should be the particular percentage point that's allowed in lead based paint? I say to you that the Federal standards call for a half of one percent, but these standards have been tested they've been found to be satisfactory as far as the Federal Government is concerned, and that for us to superimpose side standards over and above that at this time causes an undue burden in the paint industry that is for all practical purposes not proper or fitting. You know, why not go to .003 of a percent or...that would be even better yet. I say that in lieu of the Federal guidelines, in lieu of the fact that the Federal studies at this time show that a half of one percent is a proper amount. It's a vast improvement over what's been previously, that we should not impose on the paint industry side standards that mean that they're going to be producing this one level for one State, another level for another State, and...really it seems to like it's causing...a ridiculous situation.

# PRESIDENT:

Senator Rock.

# SENATOR ROCK:

Yes, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, before I move to Table Amendment No. 2, which I intend to do, I would like to elaborate just for a moment on what Senator Bell so rightly referred to as the real issue. The real issue Ladies and Gentlemen is how much of a percentage

of lead are we going to allow in our paint, so that our kids can get at it or not get at it. I have a letter from the Environmental Protection Agency in Washington, D.C., dated January, 1972, and I will quote only in part. I don't think it's out of context, and if anybody wants the whole letter they're welcome to it. It relates on how they have done studies concerning lead poisoning, and the letter, and I quote, these studies elaborated in the attached In House Technical Report indicate that lead paint in excess of 0.05%, my bill calls for 0.06, but this letter says in excess of 0.05% could constitute a danger to the health of children with pica, our conclusion is similar to that of the American Academy of Pediatrics, which strongly recommended that lead point... 15. . lead paint in excess of 0.06% should not be permitted. Now, the City of Chicago has adopted an ordinance which has been upheld by the courts in our State which calls for this percentage. I think the State should do no less. 19. Most certainly it should try to do better. The real issue as Senator Bell would have us believe is not whether we're concerned with lead poisoning but whether or not we're concerned with the manufacturers, whether or not they have to change the labels on their paint. Well, I say let's have a vote in favor of the children and let's make the paint companies change their labels. I know that's a hardship but I think they can well afford to do it. I move to Table Amendment No. 2.

#### PRESIDENT:

ı.

2.

3.

5.

7.

8.

9.

10. 11.

12.

13.

14.

16.

17.

18.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Senator Rock, would you withhold your motion? Senator Berning.

#### SENATOR BERNING:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Body, speaking as one lone legislator I resent bitterly and categorically

the implication that any inclination to support SB 794 is in derogation of the rights or concerns for children. I submit to the members of this Body that aspirin in the home or even such as table salt is a violent poison. It's up to the members of the family to protect their children and not allow them to pick the paint off the wall or to get a can of paint and drink it. This is a specious argument. We owe our...an obligation to the industry as well. These are realistic standards and I suggest we support them. PRESIDENT:

Senator Smith.

# SENATOR SMITH:

1. 2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Senate, the argument made for the higher percentage of lead might sound reasonable and perhaps factual. It is polite. But it so happens that a majority of the buildings where lead poisoning has resulted are a lot of these old, run down, leaning, creaky, ratinfested, disease breeding old buildings that are a disgrace to our boasted of 20th century civilization. It's a little astonishing to me to sit here and hear the part of the argument with regards to this particular amendment that I have heard. This bill was heard in committee, if I mistake not last week, was it not? They brought the attorney, their national attorney, the attorney that represents them throughout these United States, here to sell us a particular trend of thought. A very entertaining gentleman and a very talkative gentleman. He talked at length and he supported the 0.05 lead content, and when roll was called I called attention and that's all that I arise for now, Mr. President, is to read from their own national publication which I hold here in my hand. Unlike those who will probably support this amendment, their association states here on whatever the page is, you can verify it by reading here as I now do.

They presented no argument against the...00.06 lead content of paint. As a matter of fact they say here in their national publication that the National Paint and Coatings Association which represents more than 900 companies has notified the commission that it anticipates it's members can produce by January, 1974 interior products not exceeding the 0.06% maximum, not exceeding the 0.06% maximum lead level and can produce by January, 1975 exterior products not exceeding that limitation. In the light of their own admission as evidenced in their own national publication I hope that the entire membership on this side of the aisle at least will vote against the Senator's proposed amendment to SB 794.

PRESIDENT:

l.

2.

3.

4.

, 5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23. -

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Is there further discussion? Senator Mitchler can close the debate and then we'll proceed to Senator Rock's motion to Table. Senator Mitchler.

### SENATOR MITCHLER:

Mr. President, Members of the Senate, in lieu of the fact that we passed SB 3 and at that time I believe we had a debate on the same very subject that we're talking about now, I just move for adoption of Amendment No. 2. Ask for a favorable roll call.

#### PRESIDENT:

Senator Mitchler has moved the adoption of the amendment, Amendment No. 2. Senator Rock has moved to Table. On the motion to Table, all in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no. 27 to 27, the way I count it. There's been a request for a roll call, on the motion to Table. The Secretary will call the roll.

### SECRETARY:

Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth

1. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, 2. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard 3. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, 4. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, 5. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, 6. 7. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President. PRESIDENT: 8. For what purpose does Senator Course arise? 9. SENATOR COURSE: 10. Am I recorded Mr. President? 11. PRESIDENT: 12. You...you are not recorded. 13. SENATOR COURSE: 14. Record me as voting aye, please. 15. PRESIDENT: 16. Senator McCarthy recorded? 17. SECRETARY: 18. As voting aye. 19. PRESIDENT: 20. On that question the yeas are 25, the mays are 26, the 21. motion to Table fails. On Senator Mitchler's motion to adopt 22. Amendment No. 2, all those in favor signify by saying aye. 23. Contrary no. Motion to adopt carried 26 to 25. Are there 24. further amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. Senator 25. Hynes, HB 344. 26. SECRETARY: 27. ... (Secretary reads title of bill) 28. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Local Government 29. offers one amendment. 30. PRESIDENT:

Senator Hynes.

SENATOR HYNES:

31.

32.

1. Move the adoption of the amendment.

#### PRESIDENT:

2.

3.

4.

5,

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

...For what purpose does Senator Buzbee arise?

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Point of personal privilege, Mr. President. It's my understanding that I was not recorded on that last vote on the...on the amendment, and I voted aye. To Table, I voted aye to Table, on the roll call.

#### PRESIDENT:

Well, we are on other business. There was no request for verification. I announced the results. On the motion to Table it would not have been enough to carry anyway, Senator Buzbee. Proceed.

### SENATOR BUZBEEL

Mr...Mr. President, still on a point of personal privilege, I realize that would not have been a sufficient, however on the motion to put the amendment on the bill it would have tied and that would affect...had the effect of killing the motion.

### PRESIDENT:

Well there was no objection raised. We are on another order of business. You...you have made your point. I would rule that it is not effective and proceed with Senator Hynes who is considering the amendment to HB 344. Senator Hynes. SENATOR HYNES:

I move the adoption of the committee amendment. PRESIDENT:

Senator Hynes has moved the adoption of committee

Amendment No. 1 to HB 344. All in favor signify by saying

aye. Contrary no. The motion carries, the amendment is

adopted. Are there further amendments? That...that was all

the committee amendments? Are there amendments from the

Floor? 3rd reading. Senator Hynes you also had...no, he

- 1. didn't want to call 345. 754, advance.
- SECRETARY: 2.
- 3. HB 754 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 4.
- 5. PRESIDENT:
- Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. 6.
- 7. Senator Roe, 889? Senator Regner, 907, advance.
- SECRETARY: 8.

11.

16.

18.

19.

20.

21.

24.

26.

28.

33.

- HB 907 (Secretary reads title of bill) 9.
- 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 10.
  - PRESIDENT:
- Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. 12.
- 908, Senator Regner, advance. 13.
- SECRETARY: 14.
- HB 908 (Secretary reads title of bill) 15.
  - 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
- PRESIDENT: 17.
  - Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading.
    - Senator Hynes, 969, advance.
  - SECRETARY:
    - HB 969 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 22.
- PRESIDENT: 23.

SECRETARY:

- Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading.
- Senator Chew, 1120. HB 1120, advance.
- 25.
- HB 1120 (Secretary reads title of bill) 27.
  - 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
- PRESIDENT: 29.
  - Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading.
- 30.
- 1218, Senator Newhouse. HB 1218. 1247, Senator Savickas. 31.
- 1247, advance. 32.
  - SECRETARY:

- HB 1247 (Secretary reads title of bill) 1. ..
- 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 2.
- 3. PRESIDENT:
- Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. 4.
- Senator Knuepfer, 1318. Senator Regner, 1976, advance. 5.
- SECRETARY: 6.
- HB 1976 (Secretary reads title of bill) 7.
- 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 8.
- PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 9.
- Any amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. Senator
- 10.
- Bartulis, 1287, HB 1287. 11.
- HB 1287 (Secretary reads title of bill) 13.
- 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 14.
- PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 15.
- Any amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. HB 1218, 16.

SECRETARY:

SECRETARY:

12.

19.

21.

26.

- Senator Newhouse. Wishes the bill advanced. 17.
- SECRETARY: 18.
- 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 20.
  - PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
- Any amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. 22.
- Go to the order of Senate Bills, 2nd and if we can have 23.

HB 1218 (Secretary reads title of bill)

- a little order it would be appreciated. Senator Newhouse. 24.
- Senator Savickas, SB 732. Wishes the bill advanced. 25.
- SB 732 (Secretary reads title of bill) 27.
  - 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
- 28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 29.
- Any amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. SB 734. 30.
  - Senator Savickas, wishes the bill advanced.
- 31.
- SECRETARY: 32.
- SB 734 (Secretary reads title of bill) 33.

- 1. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
- 2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
- 3. Any amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. SB 736,
- 4. Senator Savickas, advance.
- 5. SECRETARY:
- 6. SB 736 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 7. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
- 8.
- PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 9.
- Any amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. We'll
- proceed to Senate Bills on 3rd reading. SB 115, Senator 10.
- Knuppel. Gentlemen, if you'll...and Ladies of the Senate if you'll 11.
- pay attention we'll tell you which bills we're going to 12.
- call, we'll give you a little advance and ask that you 13.
- please be ready. Senator Knuppel, you wish 115 held? 14.
- 2...299, Senator Smith. If you'll just indicate whether 15.
- you want the bill called or not. 371, Senator Donnewald. 16.
- 17.
- Ok. 459 and 460, Senator Johns. Hold those. 461, Senator
- Partee. 531, Senator Graham. 614, Senator Vadalabene, and 18.
- 1034, Senator Weaver. We'll start with those. 19.
- 20. SECRETARY:
- 21. SB 229 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 22.
- 23. 299, Mr...
- SECRETARY: 24.

26.

- 25. SB 299 (Secretary reads title of bill)
  - 3rd reading of the bill.
  - PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
- 28. Senator Smith.
- 29. SENATOR SMITH:
- ...and members of the Senate. This is the regular 30.
- appropriation bill for the FEPC Commission. This bill was 31.
- in committee...it was amended by approximately a hundred and... 32.
- or some 60 or 65 thousand dollars was added to the requested 33.

appropriation and then afterwards by the task force on the
 other side of the aisle the bill was held some...

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Senator, would you hold it just one minute, please?

Members of the Senate, we ask that you hold it down so the Secretary can hear, number one so that you're properly recorded. It's impossible to hear up here, and we would respectfully ask that you give consideration to the speaker. Senator Smith, proceed.

# SENATOR SMITH:

3.

4.

5.

6.

7. 8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

17.

I think that this bill having been held on the Calendar since early March that perhaps all of the members are familiar with it. As I said a moment ago, the original appropriation requested by the Department was some \$671,000, and after consultation with Mr. Leahy in the Governor's Office I pointed out the fact that they have no attorney in connection with this Department, an amendment was prepared and offered increasing the appropriation some 165,000, 160,000, then when the bill was called on 2nd reading here on the Floor of the House, the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee offered an amendment to reduce the total appropriation by some \$30,000 and that resulted in the figure that you see on the bill now. \$806,850, the annual appropriation for the FEPC Commission. Frankly I am not hestitant in saying that I would consider it a personal victory if perchance this bill should succeed. The older members know I .worked some 14 years here in the Legislature trying to effect the passage of this Commission. The Commission is established. We were the last industrial State to so do, and since that time no State that has such a commission has once asked that the commission be abolished. I would appreciate a most favorable roll call, Mr. Chairman.

Any further discussion? The question is shall SB 299

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

1. pass and on that question the Secretary will call the roll. 2. SECRETARY: Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, 3. 4. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, 5. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth 6. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, 7. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard 8. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, 9. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, 10. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, 11. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, 12. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President. 13. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 14. Buzbee, aye. Don Moore, aye. Sours, no. On that 15. question the yeas are thirty-eight, the nays are two. SB 299 having received the constitutional majority is declared 16. 17. passed. ŚB 371, Senator Donnewald. 18. SECRETARY: 19. SB 371 (Secretary reads title of bill) 20. 3rd reading of the bill. 21. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 22. Senator Donnewald. 23. SENATOR DONNEWALD: 24. Yes, this is the ordinary and contingent expenses of 25. the..the appropriation for the Commerce Commission as 26. amended. I know of no objection in its present form. 27. would ask for a most favorable roll call. 28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 29. Further discussion? The question is shall SB 371 30. pass and on that question the Secretary will call the roll. 31. SECRETARY: Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, 32.

Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,

- Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth ı. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, 2. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard 3. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, 4. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, 5. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, 6. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, 7. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President. 8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 9. Glass, aye. On that question the yeas are forty-six, 10. the mays are none. SB 371 having received the constitutional 11. majority is declared passed. Senator Partee on 461, did 12. he step off the Floor? SB 614, Senator Vadalabene. 13. SECRETARY: 14. SB 614 (Secretary reads title of bill) 15. 3rd reading of the bill. 16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 17. Senator Vadalabene. 18. SENATOR VADALABENE: 19. Thank you Mr. President and Members of the Senate. 20. The Secretary of State as State Librarian is empowered to make 21. grants to 20 library systems for supplementary library services 22. for all the citizens of the State of Illinois through their 23. local public library. SB 614 appropriates \$3,645,575 to various 24. grants to the public library system? And I would appreciate 25.
- 27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

a favorable vote.

Any further discussion? The question is shall SB 614 pass and on that question the Secretary will call the roll.

30. SECRETARY:

26.

28.

29.

31.

32.

33.

Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth

- Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
- 2. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
- 3. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
- 4. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
- Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
- 6. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
- Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
- 8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
- 9. Harris, aye. Graham, aye. Buzbee, aye. On that
- 10. question the yeas are forty-nine. The nays are one. One
- 11. voting present. SB 614 having received a constitutional
- 12. majority is declared passed. Senator Graham, SB 531.
- 13. SECRETARY:

- 14. SB 531 (Secretary reads title of bill)
  - 3rd reading of the bill.
- 16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
- 17. Senator Graham.
- 18. SENATOR GRAHAM:
- 19. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, this is what is
- 20. known generally as the Comptroller's Omnibus Bill. I have
- 21. had no conversation or objections to it and I will accept a
- 22. favorable roll call.
- 23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
- 24. Any further discussion? The question is shall SB 531
- 25. pass and on that question the Secretary will call the roll.
- 26. SECRETARY:
- 27. Bartulis, Bell...
- 28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
- 29. Gentlemen, we're going to publicly announce those that
- 30. are violating these rules today. It is impossible for the
- 31. Secretary to hear how you are voting on these issues. Now
- 32. if we have to call you by name and ask you to get off the
- 33. Floor with your caucuses, that's what we're going to do.

- Proceed with the roll call.
- SECRETARY:

12.

17.

18.

21.

26.

27.

- 3. Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, Clarke,
- 4. Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty,
- 5. Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Hynes,
- 6. Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Latherow, McBroom,
- 7. McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch,
- 8. Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner,
- 9. Rock, Roe, Romano, Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl,
- 10. Shapiro, Smith, Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene,
- 11. Walker, Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
  - PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
- 13. On that question the yeas are forty-nine, the mays are.
- 14. none. SB 531 having the constitutional majority is declared
- 15. passed. Senator Weaver, SB 1034.
- SECRETARY:
  - SB 1034 (Secretary reads title of bill)

    3rd reading of the bill.
  - •
- 19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
- 20. Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

- 22. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, this does just
- 23. as the Calendar states. If there are any questions, I'd
  - be harry to answer there are
- 24. be happy to answer them. Otherwise I'd appreciate a favorable 25. roll call.
  - PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
  - Any further discussion? The question is shall SB 1034
- 28. pass and on that question the Secretary will call the roll.
  - 8. Full data on that question the secretary will call the roll
- 29. SECRETARY:
- 30. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
- 31. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
- Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
- 33. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,

Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
 Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
 Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
 Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
 Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,

Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Netsch, no. Buzbee, no. Kosinski, no. Newhouse, no. Scholl, aye. Mitchler, aye. Request to call the absentees.

#### SECRETARY:

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Bruce, Carroll, Chew, Course, Daley, Donnewald,
Dougherty, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan,
McCarthy, Don Moore, Nimrod, Palmer, Partee, Rock, Savickas,
Smith, Sommer, Sours, Swinarski, Welsh.
PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

On that question the yeas are thirty-two, the nays are seven. SB 1034 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. I'm going to give you the next series of bills so we'll ask that you pay attention and be ready. Senator Partee's 461 will be next. SB 1037, Senator Ozinga. 1061, Senator Hynes. 1162 and 3, Senator Regner. 1167, Senator Vadalabene, 1171, Senator Vadalabene. 1177, Senator Knuppel. Proceed on SB 461, Senator Partee.

SECRETARY:

SB 461 (Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Senator Partee.

# SENATOR PARTEE:

Mr. President, Members of the Senate, SB 461 as amended on the Floor of the Senate the other day, is the appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses

of the Office of the Governor. Appreciate a favorable 1. 2. roll call. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 3. Any further discussion? The question is shall SB 4. 461 pass, and on that question the Secretary will call the 5. roll. 6. SECRETARY: 7. Bartulis, Bell, Berning ... 8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): ٠9. Caucuses off the Floor, please. Senator Saperstein 10. your guest. Senator Sommer your guest. Proceed with the 11. roll call. 12. SECRETARY: 13. Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, Clarke, 14. Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty, 15. Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Hynes, 16. Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Latherow, 17. McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don 18. Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer, 19. Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, Saperstein, Savickas, 20. Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, Sommer, Soper, Sours, 21. Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, 22. .Mr. President. 23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 24. Saperstein, aye. Berning, aye. McBroom, aye. 25. Knuppel, aye. On that question the yeas are forty-seven, 26. the mays are none. SB 461 having received the constitutional 27. majority is declared passed. SB 1037, Senator Ozinga. 28. SECRETARY: 29. SB 1037 (Secretary reads title of bill) 30. 3rd reading of the bill. 31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 32.

Senator Ozinga.

| i.  | SENATOR OZINGA:   |
|-----|---|
| 2.  | Mr. President, Members of the Senate, this is the       |
| 3.  | appropriation for the construction of a large culvert   |
| 4.  | along Crawford Avenue about 119th Street which is the   |
| 5.  | completion of the Stony Creek drainage of the southwest |
| 6.  | area. And I would appreciate a favorable roll call.     |
| 7.  | PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):                       |
| 8.  | Senator Wooten.   |
| 9.  | SENATOR WOOTEN:   |
| 0.  | Senator Ozinga this is not in the appropriation bill,   |
| 1.  | is it?  |
| 2.  | PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):                       |
| 3.  | Senator Ozinga.   |
| 4.  | SENATOR OZINGA:   |
| 5   | It is the appropriation bill.                           |
| 6.  | PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):                       |
| 7.  | Any further discussion? Senator Bruce.                  |
| 8.  | SENATOR BRUCE:  |
| 9., | Senator Ozinga is this in the Governor's budget as      |
| ο.  | proposed by the Division of Water Resource Management?  |
| 1.  | PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):                       |
| 2.  | Senator Ozinga.   |
| 3.  | SENATOR OZINGA:   |
| 4.  | Evidently it is Senator, because I didn't know it was   |
| 5.  | coming up until it got here on the Calendar.            |
| 6.  | PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):                       |
| 7.  | Senator Bruce.  |
| 8.  | SENATOR BRUCE:  |
| 9.  | That doesn't answer my question. Is this a budgeted     |
| 0.  | item? AndI'm told that it is not, and I would like to   |
| 1.  | knowIt's not in the Division of Waterways standard      |
| 2.  | appropriation, I just want to clear that up.            |

1

(SENATOR MOHR):

PRESIDING OFFICER

- 1. Senator Ozinga.
- 2 SENATOR OZINGA.
- I believe that it is. The reason I say that is 3.
- that it's really a culvert under a highway, both the 4.
- 5. Transportation Department...the Highway Department and
- 6. the Division of Waterways have been going back and forth
- 7.
- together with the City of Alsip, the city of...the County
- 8. of Cook. They've all been in the act and therefore I
- think that this is all part of the same picture. 9.
- PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 10.
- 11. Senator Bruce
  - SENATOR BRUCE:

12.

17.

26.

- Well, I'd just point out to the members of this side 13.
- that according to a memorandum received from the Division 14.
- of Waterways that this is not in the approved plan, there-15.
- 16. fore I would urge a nonfavorable vote.
  - PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
- Any further discussion? Senator Ozinga may close 18.
- the debate. 19.
- 20. SENATOR OZINGA:
- Well, this comes as a little bit of a surprise because 21.
- I thought that it was part of the situation. This has been 22.
- a long standing conversation between the various departments, 23.
- namely the County of Cook, the City of Alsip, the State of 24.
- 25.
  - Illinois and they have been part of the drainage problem for the entire southwest area. This is a final wrap-up and I
- would again appreciate a...favorable roll call. 27.
- PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 28.
- The question is shall SB 1037 pass. And on that question 29.
- the Secretary will call the roll. 30.
- SECRETARY: 31.
  - Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
- Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, 33.

Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth 1. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, 2. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard З. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, 4. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, 5. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, 6. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, 7. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President. 8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 9. Kosinski, aye. Fawell, aye. Knuepfer, aye. On this 10. question the yeas are thirty-four, the mays are five. SB 1037 11. having received the constitutional majority is declared 12. passed. SB 1061, Senator Hynes. 13. SECRETARY: 14. Senate... 15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 16. Senator Hynes on the Floor? Senator Partee. 17. SENATOR PARTEE: 18. Is that for 1061? 19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 20. Yes Senator. 21. SENATOR PARTEE: 22. Pass it. 23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 24. SB 1162, Senator Regner. 25. SECRETARY: 26. SB 1162 (Secretary reads title of bill) 27. 3rd reading of the bill. 28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 29. Senator Regner. 30. SENATOR REGNER: 31. Mr. President...and members of the Senate, this bill 32.

33.

appropriates \$7,500 for the ordinary and contingent expenses

- of the State Property Insurance Study Commission. 1. I'd ask 2. for a favorable roll call.
- 3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
- Any further discussion? The question is shall SB 1162
- pass and on that question the Secretary will call the roll. 5.
- 6. SECRETARY:

Λ

19.

20.

21.

22.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

- 7. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
- Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, 8.
- Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth 9.
- Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, 10.
- Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard 11.
- Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, 12.
- Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, 13.
- Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, 14.
- Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, 15.
- Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President. 16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 17.
- Ozinga, aye. Donnewald, aye. Johns, aye. Course, 18.
  - aye. Savickas, aye. Rock, aye. On that question the yeas
  - are forty-five, the mays are none. SB 1162 having received

  - the constitutional majority is declared passed. SB 1163, Senator Regner.
- SECRETARY: 23.
  - SB 1163 (Secretary reads title of bill)
  - 3rd reading of the bill.
  - PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

  - Senator Regner.

SENATOR REGNER:

- Mr. President, members of the Senate this bill 29.
- appropriates \$250,000 for the Department of Registration 30.
- and Education to administer the Residential Contractors 31.
- Licensing Act. And I'd ask for a favorable roll call. 32.
- PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 33.
  - -31-

Any further discussion? The question...the question 1. is shall SB 1163 pass and on that question the Secretary 2. 3. will call the roll. SECRETARY: 4. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, 5. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, 6. 7. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, 8. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard 9. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, 10. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, 11. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, 12. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, 13. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 15. Mitchler, aye. On that question the yeas are thirty-16. five, the nays are three. SB 1163 having received the 17. constitutional majority is declared passed. SB 1167, 18. Senator Vadalabene. 19. SECRETARY: 20. SB 1167 (Secretary reads title of bill) 21. 3rd reading of the bill. 22. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 23.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Senator Vadalabene.

Thank you Mr. President and members of the Senate, SB 1167 provides for an appropriation from the General Fund, in dollar amount approximately equal to the number of bicycles in Illinois for the purpose of starting a bike-way program. The companion bill, HB...SB 83 is now over in the House, and is awaiting this appropriation, and I'd appreciate a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

1. Senator Latherow. 2. SENATOR LATHEROW: 3. Well, I'm just wondering Senator Vadalabene, I've 4. had some calls on this particular piece of legislation. 5. And I said to these people I recognize the fact that it's nice to be for things, like I supported the highway program 6. 7. some three or four years ago in thinking that in all possibility we would be taking care of in some way, shape 8. or form, and then a few years later find not. And now 9. we come to the bicycle trail bills which these people 10. say that is direly . needed, that they have an abandoned 11. 12. railroad that they...certainly could be used for bicycle trail and they want me to support this bill. Now what 13. chance do I have of receiving any of this money in...in 14. Hancock County which this call was from for bicycle trails 15. along the old C B & Q railroad? 16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 17. Senator Vadalabene. 18. SENATOR VADALABENE: 19. 20. ...the amount of bicycles in your district will be estimated on a per capita appropriation and you will get 21. 'X amount of dollars per bicycle in your area from the 22. Department of Transportation. 23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 24. Senator Latherow. 25. SENATOR LATHEROW: 26. I...didn't quite hear your answer on the per capita 27. part. 28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 29. Senator Vadalabene. Senator Latherow, we had difficulty 30. hearing you. Would you repeat? 31.

I didn't hear your answer on the per capita statement.

SENATOR LATHEROW:

32.

| 1.  | SENATOR VADALABENE:  |
|-----|--|
| 2.  | One dollar per bicycle in your district, Sir.              |
| 3.  | PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):                          |
| 4.  | Senator Latherow.  |
| 5.  | SENATOR LATHEROW:  |
| 6.  | Are you going to count them or am I?                       |
| 7.  | PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):                          |
| 8.  | Senator Vadalabene.  |
| 9.  | SENATOR VADALABENE:  |
| 10. | The Department of Transportation. Neither one of us.       |
| 11. | HB 83 administers that program and I would appreciate a    |
| 12. | favorable vote.  |
| 13. | PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):                          |
| 14. | Any further discussion? If not, the Secretary              |
| 15. | will call the roll. The question is shall SB 1167 pass,    |
| 16. | and on that question the Secretary will call the roll.     |
| 17. | SECRETARY:   |
| 18. | Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,           |
| 19. | Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, |
| 20. | Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth     |
| 21. | Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,   |
| 22. | Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard     |
| 23. | Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,       |
| 24. | Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,         |
| 25. | Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,    |
| 26. | Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,       |
| 27. | Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.                      |
| 28. | PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):                          |
| 29. | HallHarber Hall, aye. Fawell, aye. Don Moore,              |
| 30. | aye. On that question the yeas are 41, the mays are two.   |
| 31. | SB 1167 having received the constitutional majority is     |

declared passed. Senator Vadalabene on SB 1171.

32.

33.

SECRETARY:

SB 1171 (Secretary reads title of bill) 1. 3rd reading of the bill. 2.. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 3. Senator Vadalabene. 4 . SENATOR VADALABENE: 5. Thank you Mr. President and Members of the Senate. 6. SB 707 creates a vehicle recycling board to expidite the 7. recycling of junk cars and has five public members appointed 8. by the Governor and I would appreciate a favorable vote. 9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 10. Any further discussion? The question is shall SB 1171 11. pass, and on that question the Secretary will call the roll. 12. SECRETARY: 13. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, 14. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, 15. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth 16. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, 17. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard 18. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, 19. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, 20. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, 21. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, 22. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President. 23. 24. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 25. Carroll, aye. Glass, aye. Ozinga, aye. Swinarski, aye. On that question the yeas are forty-three, the nays 26. 27. are none. SB 1171 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. SB 1177, Senator Knuppel. 28. 29. SECRETARY: 30. SB 1177 (Secretary reads title of bill) 31. 3rd reading of the bill. 32. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 33. Senator Knuppel.

#### SENATOR KNUPPEL:

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17. 18.

19. 20.

21.

22.

25. 26.

27.

28.

29,

32.

33.

Mr. President and Members of this Body. Public Law 92516 of the 92nd Congress amended the Federal Pesticide Control Act to provide for standarization of the control and registration of pesticides in all the states of the United States. And the objective of this bill is to comply with that and to provide....an authority to the inneragency committee on pesticides to cooperate with Federal agencies. The agency designated in the State of Illinois by this law is the Department of Agriculture. It's an administration bill. I would recommend a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Any further discussion? The question is shall SB 1177 pass and on that question the Secretary will call the roll. SECRETARY:

Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard

Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,

Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,

Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,

Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Regner, aye. On that question the yeas are fortythree, the mays are none. SB 1177 having received the

30. constitutional majority is declared passed. SB 1187,

31. Senator Hynes.

SECRETARY:

SB 1187 (Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Senator Hynes.

## SENATOR HYNES:

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15. 16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21. 22.

23.

24.

25.

26. 27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Mr. President, SB 1187 is a technical correction to a ...an Act that we passed in the last Session establishing a new fiscal year for the Chicago Board of Education. Previously the Chicago Board of Education had a fiscal year of ... which coincided with the calendar year and it differed therefore substantially from every other district in the State. The bill which we passed last year would make it closer in terms of its fiscal year to the rest of the State and would there...thereby assist both the State and the Chicago Board in financial planning. This is an amendment called for by bond counsel to protect the credit rating of general obligation bonds. What it in effect does is to permit the Board to have a full year levy of the tax for bonds as opposed to a 2/3's levy which will be called for for all other taxes. I know of no opposition to the bill. And I would ask for a favorable roll call.

## PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Any further discussion? The question is shall SB 1187 pass? And on that question the Secretary will call the roll. SECRETARY:

Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver
Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

1. 2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 3. . Weaver, aye. On that question the yeas are forty-4. four, the nays are none. SB 1187 having received a constitutional majority is declared passed. SB 1194, Senator 5. 6. Harris. 7. SECRETARY: 8. SB 1194 (Secretary reads title of bill) 3rd reading of the bill. 9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 10. 11. Senator Harris. 12. SENATOR HARRIS: SB 1194 is the fiscal '74 appropriation for the Illinois 13. General Assembly. I believe that agency has some significance 14. to the members of this Body. I would appreciate a favorable 15. 16. roll call. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 17. Any further discussion? The question is shall SB 1194 18. pass, and on that question the Secretary will call the roll. 19. 20. SECRETARY: Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, 21. 22.

Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard

Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,

Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,

Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President. 30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

31.

32.

33.

Carroll, aye. On that question the yeas are forty-one ...four, the mays are one. SB 1194 having received the

constitutional majority is declared passsed. SB 1195,
 Senator Harris.
 SECRETARY:
 SB 1195 (Secretary reads title of bill)

SB 1195 (Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

SENATOR HARRIS:

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

24:

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Mr. President, this is the appropriation for the district office allowance for the members of the General Assembly. Would appreciate a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Further discussion? The question is shall SB 1195 pass and on that question the Secretary will call the roll. SECRETARY:

Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,

Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Carroll, aye. Kenneth Hall, aye. McCarthy, aye.
Weaver, aye. Glass, aye. Ozinga, aye. On that question
the yeas are forty-eight, the nays are one. SB 1195
having received the constitutional majority is declared
passed. Senator Course.
SENATOR COURSE:

Yes, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. I believe on the Secretary's desk is an amendment to a concurrence in the...SB 1176, an amendment put on the...on SB 1176 in the House.

1.

2.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28. 29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Is there discussion on SB 1176 which is on the Secretary's
 desk. Senator Graham would like the amendment explained.

Senator Course.

SENATOR COURSE:

Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, what this does, the...the original appropriation was for \$25,000,000 and this cuts the appropriation down to \$15,000,000. The... it was agreed that the \$10,000,000 wouldn't be needed until sometime late in the year and it was useless to appropriate the \$25,000,000 at this time. And I move the concurrence and ...the Senate concur in Amendment 1, No. 1 to SB 1176.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Senator Course moves to concur with the House Amendment
No. 1 on SB 1176. Senator Graham. All those in favor, roll
call, I'm sorry. The Secretary will call the roll.

Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,

SECRETARY:

Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Glass, aye. Carroll, aye. Weaver, aye. Bell, aye. Davidson, aye. Buzbee, aye. Netsch, aye. Sours, aye. On that question the yeas are forty-eight, the nays are none. SB 1176 having received the constitutional

majority is declared passed. Now, if we may have your
 attention, Senator Graham.

SENATOR GRAHAM:

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Due to a slight error in the Committee on Assignment of Bills, I would like to have leave of the Body at this time to have HB 3198 discharged from the Committee on Public Health and Welfare...Welfare and re-referred to the Committee on Judiciary. where it rightfully belongs. HB 1398. ...I guess the motion is to discharge the Committee on Public Health and Welfare from further consideration and have it re-referred to the Committee on Judiciary. And I so move. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

All in favor of Senator Graham's motion signify by saying aye. Opposed. So ordered. Senator Latherow, you had a bill that you wanted to bring back to 2nd, then we're going into House Bills, 3rd. Gentlemen if you have any amendments, we'll go to that now. Amendments on House Bills, 3rd. Act on those and then start at HB 805. Senator McCarthy has an amendment to HB 360. Senator McCarthy moves to recall HB 360 back to the order of 2nd reading. Is there leave? Senator McCarthy, the Secretary has the amendment on his desk. Will you explain the amendment?

Yes, Mr. President this is an amendment of course to your bill that's been agreed upon between you and myself. What it does add the firemen and put them in the same position as the police are. Know of no objection to it. Move it's adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Senator McCarthy moves the adoption of Amendment No...

Amendment No. 1 to HB 360. All those in favor signify by saying aye. Aye. Opposed. So ordered, 3rd reading.

Senator Latherow on HB 739. Senator Latherow asks leave

1. to bring HB 739 back to the order of 2nd reading for

the purpose of an amendment. Is there leave? Leave.

3. Will you explain your amendment, Senator?

. SECRETARY:

2.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11. 12.

13.

14. 15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24. 25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32. 33. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Nimrod.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Senator Latherow.

SENATOR LATHEROW:

Mr. President, members of the Senate, this is an amendment to the bill allowing the board of town auditors to contract with other municipalities and so on in townships within the county to furnish funds for a fire district, strictly to be qualified...to qualify them for this use of the money of Federal revenue sharing. Move the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Further discussion? Senator Latherow moves the adoption of...Amendment No. 1. Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. President. These...both these bills now are on the so-called consent calendar, or agreed bill list. Now having been amended do they go back on the agreed list or do they just go on the order of 3rd reading?

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

They go back on the agreed list, unless we disagree. SENATOR ROCK:

Well, the...the only point I make is that that list
was given to each of the membership before we left on Friday,
and presumably everybody took a look at and read the bills
and now with the ten minutes before we're going to get to
the agreed list amendments are proposed. I'm not saying
the amendments are good, bad or indifferent, but it just

seems to me it's a standard practice that they should go
 on 3rd reading.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Well, I presume that the amendments have been read and agreed to if...if they are not, then I...I would agree that they could go back on...on 3rd reading if there is objection. Senator Latherow did you want to explain...

SENATOR LATHEROW:

з.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29. 30.

31.

32.

33.

Senator Rock this amendment was the one that...that
Senator Nimrod wanted to put on, and was an agreed amendment
with the sponsors in the House and I moved it to 3rd and
forgot to put the amendment on. He called my attention to
it and I agreed to bring it back. Now, I don't want to
foul up the agreed list. If this bill going back on there
fouls it up, makes no difference to me. But I think the
amendment was...does what the bill was meant to do to
begin with when it came over here.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Senator Rock is there ... Senator Wooten.

# SENATOR WOOTEN:

...this bill, as I recall, in its present form is identical to one that I sponsored and we passed out of here. What does this amendment do to make it different.

What...in what way is it changed?

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Senator Latherow.

# SENATOR LATHEROW:

Well, this amendment as I said before allows the area to spend its money on the fire districts within the area providing they come up to the maximum levy that they're allowed and so on. It merely...it merely gives them per-, mission of the emergency, priority expenditure to be a fire district expenditure.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Senator Wooten.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

SENATOR WOOTEN:

As I recall the bill permits townships to expend local revenue sharing funds in cooperative agreements with other units of government and other groups. This merely spells

out fire protection districts as well?

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Senator Latherow. Senator Latherow.

SENATOR LATHEROW:

Yes. Yes, that's right.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Senator Wooten.

SENATOR WOOTEN:

I just...merely would question whether or not you need it at all, because I believe the present language says other units of government, and I think fire protection districts would qualify.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Senator Graham.

SENATOR GRAHAM:

I hope we don't get into this kind of a hassle too often, because the agreed list was for the purpose of trying to expedite our business. However, I do agree with Senator Rock that once a bill is taken of...the agreed list and amended that it should go back on the Calendar on the regular order of business, 3rd reading, House Bills. So I think that will solve our problem, let's get on with it. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

...Senator Latherow you moved the adoption of that...

Senator Latherow moves to adopt Amendment No. 1 to HB 739.

All in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed. Amendment

No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments? 3rd reading.

1. They are back on the agreed bill list. Senator Graham. SENATOR GRAHAM: 2. I was reminding the Secretary if too many people 3. don't give him too many things to do, that the bill we 4. just discussed will be on the order of House Bills, 3rd 5. reading on the regular list, so...so scratch it off your 6. agreed bill list so you don't read it so we vote on it the 7. same time. That's what I'm trying to do. 8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 9. Senator Graham requests we take those two bills off 10. 11. SENATOR GRAHAM: 12. The one we were discussing that was amended. 13. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 14. HB 360 and 739... 15. SENATOR GRAHAM: 16. I think that's only fair. . 17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 18. ...that were amended. All right, that's...that's agreeable 19. they'll be placed on the order of 3rd reading. Senator McBroom. 20. SENATOR MCBROOM: 21. Mr. President, members of the Senate, I arise on a 22. point of personal privilege. In the gallery behind you 23. Mr. President are two young men, one whose down here visiting 24. his father, the other's his friend. One is Todd Porter who 25. is the son of Jerry Porter who's the head of the Republican 26.

and be recognized.PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

House Bills, 3rd reading. Senator Knuepfer.

SENATOR KNUEPFER:

27.

28.

31.

32.

33.

Is...this still time for motions for bringing back

Staff, the Senate Appropriation Committee, and his friend

Craig Nelson both from Elmhurst. I wonder if they'd arise



from the order of 3rd reading to 2nd reading? ı. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 2. Yes Senator. 3. SENATOR KNUEPFER: 4. HB 620, I would like to bring...leave to bring from 5. the order of 3rd reading to 2nd reading for the purpose 6. of adding an amendment that I had agreed on with Senator 7. Course. 8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 9. Senator Knuepfer requests leave to bring back HB 620 10. to the order of 2nd reading for the purpose of amendment. 11. Is there leave? Is he...does the Secretary have the amendment? 12. SENATOR KNUEPFER: 13. Yeah, the Secretary has the amendment. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 15. Will you please ... explain ... 16. SECRETARY: 17. Amendment No. 2. 18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 19. Will you explain Amendment No. 2? 20. SENATOR KNUEPFER: 21. The amendment simply changes...20 years to 30 years, 22. and the bill got out of the Transportation Committee with 23. that agreement that I would make that change. This does 24. make the change, and I would move the adoption of Amendment 25. No. 2, I believe it is. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 27. Senator Knuepfer moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 28. to HB 620. All those in favor signify by saying aye. 29. Opposed. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments? 30. 3rd reading. Senator Shapiro on HB 1410. I'm sorry, that's 31. HB 14. 32.

SENATOR SHAPIRO:

Mr. President, Members of the Senate, I would like
 leave of the Senate to return HB 14 to the order of 2nd
 reading for purposes of an amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Senator Shapiro requests leave to bring HB 14 to the order of 2nd reading for the purpose of an amendment. Is there leave? Leave is granted.

8. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

5.

6.

7.

9.

10.

11.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19. 20.

21.

22.

25.

26.

27.

28.

31.

32.

33.

Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate

HB 14 as you know as originally introduced is a ban on the burning of leaves throughout the State. The amendment

that I'm about to place on it is an amendment worked out with the House sponsor, Environmental Protection Agency,

and is approved by the EPA and I would like to read it to

you, then urge it's adoption. The Board may not adopt

any regulation banning the burning of leaves throughout the State generally. The Board may, by regulation, restrict

or prohibit the burning of leaves within any geographical area of the State if it determines based on medical and

biological evidence generally accepted by the scientific community that such burning will produce in the atmosphere

of that geographical area contaminants in sufficient quantities and of such characteristics and duration as to

24. be injurious to humans, plant or animal life, or health.

I urge it's adoption.

I urge it's adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Senator Shapiro moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to HB 14. All those in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed.

Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments? 3rd

Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments? 3rdreading. Senator Conolly.

SENATOR CONOLLY:

May I have leave Mr. President to take back off 3rd reading HB 449 to add an amendment that was overlooked by

ı. mė. 2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 3. Senator Conolly request leave to return HB 449 to 4. the order of 2nd reading for the purpose of an amendment. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Would you explain your 5. 6. amendment? 7. SENATOR CONOLLY: 8. This amendment was an amendment that was suggested 9. in the...Personnel and Pension's Committee and removed all objections and it was not actually taken as a committee 10. 11. amendment, so that's why I... overlooked ... amending it. But it will take...give the 25 years to State Police of creditable 12. service, and I move the adoption of the amendment. 13. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 14. 15. Senator Conolly moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1. 16. All those in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments? 3rd reading. 17. 18. Any other Senators have bills that they'd like to bring 19. back. Senator Berning. 20. SENATOR BERNING: Thank you Mr. President. Actually this is, Senator 21. Latherow's bill. It came from the House...Representative 22. McMasters is the chief sponsor. In committee a discussion 23. arose over of the maintenance of these bridges in this 24. Illinois-Mississippi park... 25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 26. 27. Senator would you...would you give us the bill number, please. 28. SENATOR BERNING: 29. 162, I thought I did. I'm sorry. HB 162. 30.

Senator Latherow requests leave to return SB...or

HB 162 back to the order of 2nd reading for Senator Berning's

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

31. 32.

1. amendment. Is there leave? Is there leave, Senator Latherow?

2. Leave is granted. Senator Berning, will you explain the

3. amendment?

SECRETARY:

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12. 13.

14.

15.

16.

17. 18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

Amendment No. 2 by Senator Berning.

SENATOR BERNING:

This is the amendment that was agreed to in committee, but there was no opportunity to prepare the amendment for the committee so it was prepared afterwards, and has the endorsement of the Department of Conservation. In fact, they were the ones who finally drafted the amendment for us. It simply designates who shall be responsible for the maintance of these bridges...addition states the Department of Transportation shall be...shall maintain these unless they are designated by the Department of Conservation as part of an access or a driveway of the park. It seemed that this was necessary in order to define who's responsibility these bridges in this Mississsippi-Illinois State park actually

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Any further discussion? Senator Latherow. Senator Latherow moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to HB 162. All those in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments? 3rd reading. Last call for House Bills, go back from 3rd to 2nd for purpose of an amendment. Any Senator request that at this time? House Bills, 3rd reading. Pick up where we left off yesterday with HB 811, Senator Course. 820, Senator Scholl. 821, Senator Bell. Ring the bell, please.

SECRETARY:

were.

31. HB 821 (Secretary reads title of bill)

32. 3rd reading of the bill.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

- Senator Bell. ı.
- 2. SENATOR BELL:

8.

10.

25.

26.

- Yes Mr. President, Members of the Senate. This bill 3.
- seeks to address itself to a problem that's come up in the... 4.
- the election of...in library districts. Seeks to make a 5.
- correction whereby the territory that seeks come into a 6.
- 7. library district that that vote will be taken and it will
- also seek to have the vote taken in the...in the district
- that...that currently has the library district. Tries to 9.
- make it a more equitable procedure. It's a needed situation
- up in Greengarden township out in my county, and...I think 11.
- that's about it. Ask for a favorable roll call. 12.
- PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 13.
- Any further discussion? The question is shall HB 821 14.
- pass and on that question the Secretary will call the roll. 15.
- SECRETARY: 16.
- Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, 17.
- Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, 18.
- Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth 19.
- Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, 20.
- Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard 21.
- Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, 22.
- Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, 23.
- Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, 24.
- Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,

Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

- 27. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
- 28. Graham, aye. Merritt, aye. Weaver, aye. Knuppel,
- aye. Swinarski, aye. Sours, aye. On that question the 29.
- 30. yeas are forty, the mays are one. HB 821 having received
- 31. the constitutional majority is delcared passed.
- 32. Course, HB 811.
- SECRETARY: 33.

HB 811 (Secretary reads title of bill)

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate.

- 3rd reading of the bill.
- PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
- Senator Course.
- 4. SENATOR COURSE:

HB 811 amends the Vehicle Code. It extends enforce-6. 7. ment powers of investigators for the Secretary of State to include violations of the Motor Carriers of 8. 9. Property Law, and an explanation of the bill. Under 10. the present law, violations of the Illinois Motor Carriers of Property Law the regulating for hire trucks and buses 11. 12. may lead to an arrest but only by a State Police trooper, 13. by an investigator of the Illinois Commerce Commission, 14. by a...a sheriff or by a local police officer. The 15. investigators of the Secretary of State, however, do not have the enforcement power to make an arrest, nor to 16. 17. issue a summons for violation of that law. House Bill 18. 811 will grant these enforcement powers to the investi-19. gators of the Secretary of State. The State Police are

- this legislation, and...as does the Secretary of State'sOffice. I would appreciate a favorable roll call, Mr.
- 23. President.

20.

25.

26.

27.

- 24. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
  - Any further discussion? The question is shall HB 811 pass? And on that question the Secretary will call the roll.

    ACTING SECRETARY (MR. WRIGHT):

in favor of this. The Illinois Commerce Commission favors

28. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,

29. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,

30. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth

31. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,

32. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard

33. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,

- Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
- Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
- Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
- 4. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
- 5. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
- 6. Howard Mohr, no. Nudelman, aye. On that question
- the yeas are forty-four, the nays are one. HB 811 having
- received the constitutional majority is declared passed.
- 9. ...826.

11.

13.

15.

16.

19.

20.

21. 22.

23. 24.

25. 26.

27.

28.

- 10. SECRETARY:
  - HB 826 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 12. 3rd reading of the bill.
  - PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
- 14. Senator Schaffer.
  - SENATOR SCHAFFER:
  - This is a...very simple bill. It basically will allow
- 17. candidates for State office including those of us who run
- 18. for the Legislature to get copies of the precinct by precinct
  - results of our own election. Several of us have had troubles
  - getting copies of these precincts even months after the
    - election of the individual count, that was amended to provide
    - for more time for the clerks to comply with the law. I
    - suspect it's a very limited problem, but where it exists,
    - it's a real problem. I would yield for questions.
  - PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
    - TIMETER (SENATOR MORK)
    - Senator Rock.

SENTAOR ROCK:

- Yes, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. In our
- 9. county we don't have that problem but in addition to that
- O. our County Clerk and Board of Election Commissioner Official,
- we are charged per page. Would you object to an amendment
- which calls for at least reimbursing the County Clerk for
- the costs involved? I don't see why a candidate has to get

it for nothing, that's my only point.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR ROCK:

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

I have such an amendment prepared, if it might make it more amenable to some of the members on this side.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Şenator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

If...the amendment was permissive, because quite frankly most of the Clerks hand this literature out free right now, and if it were a permissive amendment I certainly wouldn't have any objections. But I'd hate to be in a position where I as a candidate for State Senator paid and a candidate for sheriff walked in and got it for nothing, if you follow me.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Well, I do follow what you're saying. The point is if you're going to ask for a copy of something that means the Clerk has to photocopy or otherwise make some arrangement, and I think it's not unreasonable that a fee for at least reimbursment of his costs should be provided for. My amendment would say that County Clerk or Board of Election Commissioners shall charge for the above copy a fee not to exceed \$3.00 per page.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Well, my only thought on that quite frankly Senator is, and I agree with you as a former county official, I know the clerks downstate at least, are besieged by people

for copies of precinct list and for results, and it does cost the taxpayers a great deal of money. And I...I for one would support legislation that would empower the clerks to charge for all of this stuff a reasonable amount. personally don't have any hang-ups on that amendment, but I again find...I think would find ourselves in the situation of being the only ones who are charged for this information. I really don't know. I'm not at all sure...in my county, I have four counties that send it to me automatically for nothing right now. And one county with only five precincts that I get a total for the five precincts, but I have yet to see a breakdown of those five precincts, but I have yet if the amendment were optional, I'd certainly go along with it, but I think I'd hate to enforce the clerk to charge me for something that I'd be the only one he'd charge for, if you follow me.

# PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

These are Chicago prices, is that right Senator Rock? SENATOR ROCK:

Up to, not to exceed our price. Right.

# PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Any further discussion? Senator Schaffer may close the  $\dot{}$  debate.

## SENATOR SCHAFFER:

2.

3. 4.

5.

6.

7.

8. 9.

10.

11.

12. 13.

14.

15. 16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29. 30.

31.

32.

33.

Well, in relation to Senator Rock's suggestion, I think what I prefer to do at this point would be to put this bill through, but I would be more than happy to come back at a later date and authorize clerks to charge for this type of material, not just those of us who are running for State office, but anybody who wishes it. I think this material should be readily available to the public, but I've seen...precinct lists that are worth \$70 or \$80 handed out many, many times to just anybody who came in

and I'm convinced that 90% of these things wouldn't be picked ı. 2. up if there was even a penny a page charge. I think there's 3. a need for legislation in this area. I would submit to you that perhaps this is not the bill. I would appreciate 4. 5. support for this bill. It's a very simple thing and I think 6: it's a good thing, and I would be very willing to come back at a later date and propose that type of legislation. 7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 8. The question is shall HB 826 pass, and on that question 9. 10. the Secretary will call the roll. SECRETARY: 11. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, 12. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, 13. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth 14. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, 15. 16. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, 17. 18. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, 19. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, 20. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, 21. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 22. Bartulis, aye. Newhouse, aye. Kenneth Hall, aye. McBroom, 23. aye. Donnewald, aye. Johns, aye. On that question the 24. 25. yeas are thirty-seven the nays are none. HB 826 having received a constitutional majority is declared passed. 26.

SECRETARY:

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33:

HB 827 (Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Senator Carroll.

HB 827, Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you Mr. President, Members of the Senate. HB 827 deals with the subrogated amount on a property damage claim when the insured has paid part of the monies and the insurance company comes in and sues in its own behalf and in behalf of its insured. Last year in the 77th General Assembly I passed HB 2467 that had a proration aspect in it that has ended up being a little bit too much and it is...actually went far beyond what we thought it would do. The net effect of it was that the insurance companies were not even coming in and filing these suits so that the insured were not better off as we had hoped they would be, but actually worse off. This is...does not go back to the old system but comes about half way back and says that where there has been a legitmate dispute as to how much the property damage was, that there will be a proration of the gross receipts by way of suit or settlement so that the insured and the insurance company will share pro rata as to the total settlement as compared to what their claims were in its origin. If there are any questions I'd be glad to...answer any of them and would

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Senator Bell.

ask for a favorable roll call.

## SENATOR BELL:

ı.

2.

З,

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Yes, Senator Carroll, I'm sorry I...I didn't follow your explanation on that. I wonder if you'd go over that again?

# SENATOR CARROLL:

All right. Jim the...going back again a second. We changed the law two years ago and said at that time anytime there's a subrogation claim that the insured and there's a settlement by suit or compromise, the insured gets his full deductible, back first before the

insurance company gets any money whatsoever because there had l. 2. been numerous examples of the insureds getting zero or almost zero regardless of the amount of the recovery and 4. as an attempt to cure that defect we started giving them the full amounts. It was found that that also was some-6. what unfair because in many cases the insurance company 7. does not receive the full amount of the claim, but they 8. only get a percentage back. So this bill...and what we 9. found was that the insurance companies in those instances 10. would not bother to file a suit because their real monies 11. and interest coming back to them were so negligible that 12. they didn't benefit by pursuing the claim. So this actually 13. went to the detriment of the insured who we were originally 14. trying to help. This bill says if for example there's a 15. \$500 property damage bill, and the insured paid a \$100 16. deductible, he is entitled to 1/5th of the recovery if 17. the recovery is 500, he gets his full 100 back. If the 18. recovery is 300, he gets \$60 back, he gets that pro rata

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

21. Senator Bell.

share.

19.

20.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

SENATOR BELL:

Well, I'd like to say Senator Carroll, having been
15 years as an insurance agent that...I think your explanation is good. Sounds like a piece of needed legislation,
and I would certainly urge the members on this side of the
aisle to support this bill. It looks like a good bill.
PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

FRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MONK).

Any further discussion? Did you want to close, Senator? SENATOR CARROLL:

No, I'd just ask for a roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

The question is shall HB 827 pass and on that question

- the Secretary will call the roll.
- 2. SECRETARY:

1.

- 3. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
- Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, 4.
- Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth 5.
- Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, 6.
- Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard 7.
- 8. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
- Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, 9.
- Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, 10.
- Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, 11.
- Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President. 12.
- PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR): 13.
- 14. Course, aye. Nudelman, aye. Hynes, aye. Knuppel,
- aye. On that question the yeas are forty-one, the nays 15.
- are two. HB 827 having received the constitutional majority 16.
- is declared passed. HB 828, Senator Carroll. 17.
- 18. SECRETARY:

20.

- 19. HB 828 (Secretary reads title of bill)
  - 3rd reading of the bill.
  - PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):
- 22. Senator Carroll.
- 23. SENATOR CARROLL:
- 24. Thank you Mr. President, HB 828 deals with the area
- of life insurance situations where the company's become 25.
- 26.
- insolvent. And this is to protect us from a situation similar to what we're going through now in the Equity Funding 27.
- situation where it is quite possible that multi-million 28.
- of dollars have been defrauded from the people who were 29.
- policy holders of that particular company. And very similar 30.
- to what happers already by Illinois law in casualty insurance 31.
- cases. We have demanded here as a provision of doing 32.
- business in the State of Illinois that the insurance 33.

industry itself clean its own house. That they create a management company of their own that only comes into operation and effect should a company become insolvent. And in that case where a company has become insolvent and the rest of the companies of this State form their own association, can assess their own membership up to a maximum of 2% of premiums written to protect those people who have been paying preminums for years and years to this insurance company, to protect those policies for the future for...the life insurance that these people were attempting to There's a maximum assessment in here. We know from experience that this happens very seldom, but we think that it's sufficient when it does happen that the industry in effect as a cost of doing business within this State that it, itself, assume these costs at a very minimal amount. We have given this association of insurance companies the power to say that anyone of their companies or groups of their companies are actually also a little bit shakey or small and cannot join this pool of assessment. They can eliminate any companies if they so see fit as long as they protect that portion of the 11 million citizens of Illinois who have been paying in premiums for years to this particular company that had become insolvent. would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR);

Senator Merritt.

#### SENATOR MERRITT:

1. 2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19:

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32. 33. Mr. President and Members of the Senate, I certainly rise in opposition to this proposed legislation. I think it's bad in almostany form. I don't believe it would have ever gained passage out of committee without an amendment attached to it. The amendment has been stricken at this point. The bill even with the amendment was not the best bill in the

world. This...this makes it a real bad piece of legislation. The real question is why should any life insurance company be legally required to guarantee the financial soundness and operation of his competitors. I think that's a real basic thing. They refer to the fact that this...that we do have a similar program now regulating the operation of casualty insurance companies. But you've got to remember in a casualty company, you're only dealing perhaps in an expiration of one year, three years at the most, and then they can revise their rates accordingly. This is completely contrary to what's going on in this bill because the company would have to take over the policy at the same rate to be in existence the next 20, 30, 40 years until death occurs. In addition the company would have to assume the liability of cash values, which you don't have in the casualty policies. I just think that it should be pointed out to the Body that our foreign companies under the 2% premium tax are currently paying into State revenue nearly \$70,000,000 a year. And the Department by their own admission says it takes about \$3,000,000 to 4,000,000 somewhere in that area to do their investigative work and to police these companies. Now, what the Department of Insurance is virtually saying here we can't do our work properly any longer and we want this board created to do it for us. This is bad legislation at its best. I would...I would ask members on this side of the aisle or other side also to oppose it. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Senator Knuepfer.

#### SENATOR KNUEPFER:

1. 2.

3.

4.

6. 7.

8.

9.

10.

11. 12.

13.

14. 15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

23.

24.

25. 26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Well, I want to suggest to you that if...if there is any liability in this kind of concept it ought to be on the part of the State of Illinois. I just came from the House where they are engaging in some rather lengthy and

vociferous debate in reference to the City Saving and Loans Association. And this kind of a thing is...somewhat of the same concept. The State of Illinois has the regulatory power. They are the ones that are supposed to prevent these kinds of incidents from happening. And I would suggest to that in view of the fact that they have a...regulatory power, if there is a failure, that failure is that of the State of Illinois, not of the companies...the rest of the companies operating in this business. So that to the extent that you believe that these people were deprived of something unjustly, put the liability right where it belongs, on the Department of Insurance or any other department of the State of Illinois. But don't say to a 3rd party you've got a liability because we didn't regulate the way we should have regulated. And I personally would...second what Senator Merritthassuggested. This is. I think, a bad principle to embody in law and it ought to be defeated.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR MOHR):

Any further discussion? Senator Carroll may close the debate.

# SENATOR CARROLL:

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. I'd merely close by making a few points as to what has been raised. First of all we have in this Session of the General Assembly passed a regulation requiring mandatory insurance for savings and loans, to avoid another situation like City Savings and Loans, to avoid exactly the type of debate that's going on in the House. Any of you who have followed the equity funding arguments would know that it was almost entirely impossible for the Department of Insurance to have found that situation. It is alleged that the Company was writing its own policies and underwriting those to another company in order

to gain this 1.8 times the premiums to fund themselves. And there was no way at that point for the Department to know which were and were not the legitimate life insurance policies that Equity was floating through its firm. What we are saying here which this State and any State has the right to say, that for the privilege of doing buiness in this State, for the privilege of insuring the lives of the 11 million people of this State, be they foreign or domestic corporations, for that privilege they must be willing to take the situation where a company and we know it's seldom, but it does happen, where a company becomes insolvent, that they pool among themselves to protect those little old ladies and everyone else who has paid premiums for 20, 30, or 40 years, to protect them from getting nothing back for all those years of paying I don't think anyone here in this Chamber would want to have to vote on the appropriation to save those people in the city...in the Equity Funding situation for the 20 or 30 million dollars it may take t o protect those who had been paying year in and year out to that particular company or any company that becomes insolvent. We have the right, we should have the duty of saying as a right of doing business they should come in and insure themselves. We have the situation in unemployment compensation where we say to insurers, insure yourself. I think that it should be reminded also that this was a commission proposal originally, bipartisan that first came to the Legislature under the Ogilvie administration, under the Republican Department of Insurance that has been carried forward under the present administration. This has had bipartisan support in its inception. And I would hope it has that kind of support on the roll call.

l.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17:

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

The question is shall HB 828 pass and on that question

(SENATOR MOHR):

PRESIDING OFFICER

the Secretary will call the roll.

2. SECRETARY:

9.

11.

12. 13.

14.

15.

16. 17.

18.

19. 20.

21.

22. 23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

32.

33.

3. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,

4. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,

5. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth

6. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,

Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard

8. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,

Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,

10. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,

Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,

Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Would you call the absentees please?

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

Been a request for a poll of the absentees. The

absentees will be called.

SECRETARY:

Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course,

Davidson, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Keegan, Knuppel,

Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga, Regner,

Roe, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Sommer, Soper, Sours, Vadalabene,

Walker, Weaver, Welsh, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR GRAHAM):

Been a request to place this bill on the order of consideration postponed. Theave granted? It is, it will be on

postponed consideration.

#### PRESIDENT:

May I have the attention of the Senate. We have arrived

30. at the hour of 1:00, at which time I indicated yesterday we

31. would proceed to a consideration of the agreed bill list.

That appears on page 2 of today's Calendar. And I think

it has been already announced that HB 360, HB 739 and HB 866

2. unanimous consent for the Senate to consider the final з. passage of the following bills, by one roll call. vote of each Senator to be entered in the Journal on each 4. bill according to his or her vote on this roll call. 5. there leave? The Secretary will read the bills. 6. 7. SECRETARY: HB 71 (Secretary reads title of bill) 8. 9. 1st reading of the bill. 10. HB 137 (Secretary reads title of bill) 1st reading of the bill. 11. HB 181 12. (Secretary reads title of bill) PRESIDENT: 13. Senator Rock. 14. SENATOR ROCK: 15. Just so the records clear, these bills are on 3rd reading, 16. 17. you've been saying 1st reading... 18. SECRETARY: 19. 3rd reading is right. SENATOR ROCK: 20. ...so we know ... 21. SECRETARY: 22. Thank you. 23. HB 181 (Secretary reads title of bill) 24. 3rd reading of the bill. 25. HB 199 (Secretary reads title of bill) 26. 3rd reading of the bill. 27. HB 203 (Secretary reads title of bill) 28. 3rd reading of the bill. 29.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

(Secretary reads title of bill)

have been removed from the agreed bill list. Is there

l.

HB 204

HB 231

3rd reading of the bill.

3rd reading of the bill.

30.

31.

32.

2.

8.

20.

22.

23. 24.

26.

28. 29.

32.

HB 237 (Secretary reads title of bill)

- 3rd reading of the bill.
- З. HB 264 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 4. 3rd reading of the bill.
- 5. HB 277 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 6. 3rd reading of the bill.
- 7. HB 278 (Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

- HB 279 (Secretary reads title of bill) 9.
- 10. 3rd reading of the bill.
- 11. HB 283 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 12. 3rd reading of the bill.
- HB 284 (Secretary reads title of bill) 13.
- 14. 3rd reading of the bill.
- 15. HB 296 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 3rd reading of the bill. 16.
- 17. HB 301 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 18. 3rd reading of the bill.
- 19. HB 318 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 21.
- HB 319 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- HB 342 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 3rd reading of the bill.

- HB 354 (Secretary reads title of bill) 25.
- HB 358 (Secretary reads title of bill) 27.
- 3rd reading of the bill.

HB 359 (Secretary reads title of bill)

30. 3rd reading of the bill.

3rd reading of the bill.

- 31. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- HB 374 (Secretary reads title of bill) 33.

3rd reading of the bill. l. 2. HB 376 (Secretary reads title of bill) 3rd reading of the bill. 3. HB 378 (Secretary reads title of bill) 4. 3rd reading of the bill. 5. IIB 386 (Secretary reads title of bill) 6. 7. 3rd reading of the bill. HB 390 (Secretary reads title of bill) 8. 3rd reading of the bill. 9. . HB 391 (Secretary reads title of bill) 10. 3rd reading of the bill. 11. HB 414 (Secretary reads title of bill) 12. 3rd reading of the bill. 13. HB 416 (Secretary reads title of bill) 14. 3rd reading of the bill. 15. HB 431 (Secretary reads title of bill) 16. 3rd reading of the bill. 17. HB 434 (Secretary reads title of bill) 18. 3rd reading of the bill. 19. (Secretary reads title of bill) HB 438 20. 3rd reading of the bill. 21. HB 442 (Secretary reads title of bill) 22. 3rd reading of the bill. 23. HB 453 (Secretary reads title of bill) 24. 3rd reading of the bill. 25. (Secretary reads title of bill) HB 457 26. 3rd reading of the bill. 27. (Secretary reads title of bill) HB 470 28. 3rd reading of the bill. 29. HB 499 (Secretary reads title of bill) 30. 3rd reading of the bill. 31.

HB 505

3rd reading of the bill.

32.

33.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

```
HB 527
                       (Secretary reads title of bill)
 1.
           3rd reading of the bill.
 2.
                HB 536 (Secretary reads title of bill)
 3.
 4.
           3rd reading of the bill.
                HB 542
                        (Secretary reads title of bill)
 5.
           3rd reading of the bill.
6.
                HB 557
                         (Secretary reads title of bill)
 7.
           3rd reading of the bill.
 8.
                HB 587
                         (Secretary reads title of bill)
 9.
           3rd reading of the bill.
10.
                HB 625
                        (Secretary reads title of bill)
11.
           3rd reading of the bill.
12.
                HB 629
                         (Secretary reads title of bill)
13.
           3rd reading of the bill.
14.
                HB 633
                         (Secretary reads title of bill)
15.
           3rd reading of the bill.
16.
                HB 642
                         (Secretary reads title of bill)
17.
           3rd reading of the bill.
18.
                HB 648
                         (Secretary reads title of bill)
19.
           3rd reading of the bill.
20.
                HB 660
                        (Secretary reads title of bill)
21.
           3rd reading of the bill.
22.
                HB 695
                         (Secretary reads title of bill)
23.
           3rd reading of the bill.
24.
                HB 696
                       (Secretary reads title of bill)
25.
           3rd reading of the bill.
26.
                HB 697
                         (Secretary reads title of bill)
27.
           3rd reading of the bill.
28 -
                HB 705
                       (Secretary reads title of bill)
29.
           3rd reading of the bill.
30.
                HB 712
                       (Secretary reads title of bill)
31.
```

1st reading of the bill...3rd reading of the bill.

HB 719 (Secretary reads title of bill)

32.

3rd reading of the bill. 1. HB 734 (Secretary reads title of bill) 2. 3rd reading of the bill. . 3. HB 737 (Secretary reads title of bill) 4. 3rd reading of the bill. 5. HB 785 (Secretary reads title of bill) 6. 3rd reading of the bill. 7. (Secretary reads title of bill) 8. 3rd reading of the bill. 9. (Secretary reads title of bill) HB 814 10. 3rd reading of the bill. 11. (Secretary reads title of bill) 12. 3rd reading of the bill. 13. HB 841 (Secretary reads title of bill) 14. 3rd reading of the bill. 15. HB 848 (Secretary reads title of bill) 16. 3rd reading of the bill. 17. HB 859 (Secretary reads title of bill) 18. 3rd reading of the bill. 19. HB 886 (Secretary reads title of bill) 20. 3rd reading of the bill. 21. (Secretary reads title of bill) 22. 3rd reading of the bill. 23. нв 910 (Secretary reads title of bill) 24. 3rd reading of the bill. 25. HB 914 (Secretary reads title of bill) 26. 3rd reading of the bill. 27. (Secretary reads title of bill) HB 947 28. 3rd reading of the bill. 29. HB 948 (Secretary reads title of bill) 30. 3rd reading of the bill. 31. HB 1054 (Secretary reads title of bill) 32.

3rd reading of the bill.

- 1. HB 1062 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 2. 3rd reading of the bill.
- 3, HB 1125 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 4. 3rd reading of the bill.
- HB 1405 (Secretary reads title of bill) 5.
- 3rd reading of the bill. 6.
- HB 1648 (Secretary reads title of bill) 7.
- 3rd reading of the bill. 8.
- PRESIDENT:
- Is there any objection to the consideration of the 10.
- final passage of these bills by this roll call, the vote 11.
- of each Senator to be entered in the Journal on each bill 12.
- according to his or her vote on this roll call? Hearing 13.
- no objection the next roll call will be on the final 14.
- passage of the bills just read by the Secretary. Mr. 15.
- Sweeney, will you ring the bell please? Is there any 16.
- discussion? The question is shall this series of bills 17.
- pass, and on that question the Secretary shall call the 18.
- roll. 19.
- SECRETARY: 20.
- Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, 21.
- Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, 22.
- Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth 23.
- Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, 24.
- Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard 25.
- Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, 26.
- Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
- Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, 28.
- Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, 29.
- Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President. 30.
- 31. PRESIDENT:

- 32. Senator Don Moore, aye. Senator Roe, aye. Senator
- 33. Swinarski, aye. Will any Senator that desires to change

- a vote on any bill notify the Secretary. Has every l.
- Senator notified the Secretary? This series of bills 2.
- having received a constitutional majority by record 3.
- vote is declared passed. The record vote of the yeas 4.
- and mays for each bill passed, shall be entered in the 5.
- 6. Journal. HB 846, Senator Shapiro.
- 7. SECRETARY:
- 8. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 3rd reading of the bill. 9.
- 10. PRESIDENT:

12.

19.

- 11. Senator Shapiro.
  - SENATOR SHAPTRO:
- 13. Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate,
- HB 846 as amended eliminates the preference that a junior 14.
- college must give to its resident students when and only 15.
- 16. when they enter into a mutual contract with an adjoining or
- 17. multiple junior...junior college district for the purposes
- 18. of providing those courses that have low enrollments so
- on and so forth. The legislation is permissive. It has
- 20. the backing of all the interest, vested interest as far
- 21. as the junior colleges are concerned and I would urge a
- 22. favorable roll call.
- 23. PRESIDENT:
- Is there further discussion? Senator Soper. 24.
- 25. SENATOR SOPER:
- 26. Senator, yield to a question or two? Now, under the
- 27. present law the students that are within the junior college
- district must be ... must be accommodated first. Now, is this 28.
- going to change the law so that anybody can come in...into 29.
- 30. a junior college district from out of the district, because
- the fact that you have a...an agreement on some special courses? 31.
- 32. PRESIDENT:

33.

Senator Shapiro.

# SENATOR SHAPIRO:

1.

10. 11.

12.

13. 14.

15.

16.

17. 18.

19.

20.

21.

22

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

2. No, Senator Soper. This only applies to where two junior college districts decide that only one of them can 3. 4. offer the course. Then the students from the two districts that want to take just this course...the students from each 5. 6. of the districts will be given equal preference. will be no preference, but only for that course. 7. not do away with the preference for any other course, only 8. those where there is a mutual agreement between the multiple . 9.

PRESIDENT:

districts.

Senator Soper.

SENATOR SOPER:

Now, how can a junior college agree with another junior college to offer a course and...and how do they fund this then?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Shapiro.

SENATOR SHAPIRO:

SENATOR SOPER:

Senator Soper, let me give you an example. The... many junior college districts want to offer courses in dental hygiene. Now to offer a course in dental hygiene requires not only physical plant but a lot of equipment and the course...the equipment alone sometimes will run in excess of \$100,000. Because...and then because each junior college district may have only one or two students that are interested in that course, possibly four or five districts will get together, decide which district is going to offer the course. That district will offer it, then they will charge back to the participating districts the tutition costs. In other words they'll all share equally.

They can do that now. Now, what you're...how do you pay for that \$100,000 worth of the equipment, that's what I want to know. That...the tuition costs don't take care of that because...Senator Shapiro, now, if you teach a course in dental hygiene, I know we have that course at our Junior College, they want to come from out...out of the district and come in...they can take the course if it's not taught in... in their school. Now...so you're not doing anything with this at all.

## PRESIDENT:

l.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

Í3.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Senator Shapiro.

# SENATOR SHAPIRO:

Well, admittedly all the bill does is when districts enter into a mutual contract in...to provide teaching courses that the preference limitation we have now is eliminated. And in that particular instance the dental hygiene, every junior college's district in the State cannot offer the course, and when they...in my area where one college has decided to take it, it...with mutual agreement with the other ones and they entered into contract to provide the service or the course to the other districts, I...I think it's discriminatory to keep the...the preferential treatment for the principal college district.

## SENATOR SOPER:

I understand all of that, Dr...Senator, but how does a junior college, you haven't answered that yet. They can't enter in any agreement where this one junior college would receive all the equipment and the other junior colleges pay for it. Does your bill allow for that where the other junior colleges would help pay for the equipment?

SENATOR SHAPIRO:

A junior college tuition charge backs are based on per capita costs which includes the cost of the equipment

amortization, so on and so forth.

SENATOR SOPER:

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

All...I...I don't believe that's right, because that's...

that's a separate entity and as far as the budgeting is

concerned, and if...and then how many...you say per capita. Well,

Mow many students and how long will the equipment be

available and how do you charge it off? How do you

depreciate it? Suppose you had...you said there are two

students, now suppose you had ten students altogether, two...

two from the...I'd call the mother college that's got the

equipment and six students from...from another...would they

pay...or you take, make ten students altogether, would...

would they pay 8/10ths of the equipment or \$80,000 toward

PRESIDENT:

the equipment?

Senator Shapiro.

SENATOR SHAPRIO:

Senator...Senator Bruce. All right. The...the...the charge back takes that into consideration. That's all I can tell you on it. I mean...the principal junior college district does not pick up the entire cost. They only pick it up on the basis of the number of students they have enrolled.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Soper...

SENATOR SOPER:

already can do this, and I don't think that...that you can add the tutition cost to the equipment. I mean the equipment cost to the tuition. I think...I don't think you can do that, and I think that the junior college that does put in the equipment should have the privilege of...of taking care of their own students first, and...and not...not this other manner. I think this will cause a lot of trouble.

#### PRESIDENT:

l.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Is there further...Senator Bruce.

#### SENATOR BRUCE:

I would just like to rise in support of this bill. clarifies a very difficult problem, Senator Soper. And that is the State Junior College Board has mandated that junior colleges go into cooperative agreements to limit the number of expensive courses, but the statute also says that the junior college must prefer in-district students. Well, if they do that it makes it very difficult for junior colleges to agree to offer like nursing in my junior college district, or ag-mechanics and we have a cooperative agreement with Belleville that they will offer aviation because they have an airport there. We don't really get in the question about equipment because they're already offering the course. don't buy their airplanes, they don't buy our tractors and diesel equipment. We just write it off and we hope that if we can offer it to a broad enough number of students and get the charge back from the State and from the other junior colleges it will pay for our equipment. We still pay for our own equipment. They pay for their own equipment, but if we can't get rid of this preference, junior colleges are reluctant to agree to have jointly offered courses. I think it's a very good bill and urge a favorable roll call.

### PRESIDENT:

Is there further discussion? The question is shall HB 846 pass? The Secretary will call the roll.

#### SECRETARY:

Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard

Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,

Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,

Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,

Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,

Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT:

2.

З.

4.

5.

6.

8.

9.

10.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20. 21.

22.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

32.

7. Senator Fawell, aye. Senator Donnewald, aye. Senator

Carroll, aye. Senator Latherow, Senator Bell, Senator Daley,

Senator Kenneth Hall, aye. On that question the yeas are

forty-five, the mays are none. HB 846 having received a

11. constitutional majority is declared passed. Will the

Sergeant at Arms close the door please, it lets in a great

deal of outside noise. HB 849. Is Senator Kosinski on the

Floor? HB 857, Senator Weaver.

SECRETARY:

HB 857 (Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Mr. President, Members of the Senate, HB 857 would amend Section 5 of the Illinois General Non...Not for Profit

Corporation Act. It would allow these non...Not for Profit

Corporations to indemnify their officers and directors against

expenses incurred when they are made defendants in law suits

as the result of their positions or: ...that they hold in

these corporations. The directors and officers would be

indemnified against the legal expenses, settlement costs,

29. except in cases arising as a result of willful misconduct.

30. Every State in the Union has this enabling or permissive

31. legislation, except Idaho and Illinois. If there are any

discussion...any questions I will be happy to try to answer.

33. PRESIDENT:

Is there further discussion? Senator Knuppel. 1. SENATOR KNUPPEL: 2. This is good legislation because these people assume 3. responsibilities and as long as they're acting in the course 4. of their employment they should be indemnified. I urge a 5. favorable roll call on this side of the aisle. 6. PRESIDENT: 7. Is there further discussion? The question is shall 8. HB 857 pass. The Secretary will call the roll. 9. SECRETARY: 10. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, 11. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, 12. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth 13. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, 14. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard 15. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, 16. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, 17. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, 18. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, 19. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President. 20. PRESIDENT: 21.

Aye. Senator Walker, aye. Senator Weaver do you wish to be recorded on your bill? Senator Weaver do you wish to be recorded on your bill? Yes. Senator Weaver, aye. On that question the yeas are thirty-eight, the nays are three. HB 857 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Kosinski, do you wish to call 849? HB 865, Senator Roe.

SECRETARY:

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

HB 865 (Secretary reads title of bill) 3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Roe.

#### SENATOR ROE:

l.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32. 33. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, this bill was developed by the Commission on Children after about a year of testimony and review in this area. There were several suits in Cook County that revealed that children, parents and foster parents were not getting the court on notice in situations involving emergency shelter care. Now, the reason for this was that there were long time periods and no time limits under the juvenile court act in which action had to be taken as far as these minors were concerned. Now there's two specific changes in the bill, that indicates that a hearing must be had within 36 hours for a child placed in emergency shelter-care, and that an adjudicatory hearing on a petition for dependency or neglect must be held within 90 days. Has been amended I think to satisfy Senator Rock's objection to the bill originally. I would

### PRESIDENT:

appreciate a favorable roll call.

Is there further discussion? The question is shall HB 865 as amended pass. On that question the Secretary will call the roll.

Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,

# SECRETARY:

Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT:

- 1. On that question the yeas are 36, the mays are 5.
- 2. HB 865 having received a constitutional majority is
- declared passed. HB 870, Senator Course. 3.
- 4. SECRETARY:
- 5. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 6. 3rd reading of the bill.
- 7. PRESIDENT:
- 8. Senator Course.
- 9. SENATOR COURSE:
- Thank you Mr. President, Members of the Senate. 10.
- HB 870 amends the Illinois Highway Code. It provides 11.
- for the painting of an edge...edge line on uncurbed high-12.
- ways having either U...Illinois or U.S. route traffic 13.
- 14.
- markings. Now, this is a recommendation of the Depart-
- ment of Transportation. And at the present time the 15.
- two-lane highways are only painted. This will make it 16.
- mandatory to paint all four-lane highways having traffic 17.
- of 1,000 or more vehicles per day. I think it's a good 18.
- piece of legislation and I would appreciate your support. 19.
- PRESIDENT: 20.
- Is there further discussion? The question is shall 21.
- HB 870 pass and on that question the Secretary will call 22.
- the roll. 23.
- SECRETARY: 24.
- 25. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
- Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, 26.
- Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth 27.
- Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, 28.
- Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard 29.
- Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, 30.
- Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, 31.
- Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, 32.
- Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, 33. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

ı. 2.

PRESIDENT:

З. 4.

10. 11.

13.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

aye. Berning, aye. On that question the yeas are 5. forty-seven, the mays are none. HB 870 having received

6.

a constitutional majority is declared passed. 874, 7. Senator Glass.

8. SECRETARY: 9.

HB 874

3rd reading of the bill. PRESIDENT:

12.

Senator Glass.

SENATOR GLASS:

Thank you Mr. President and Senators. HB 874 would 14.

> establish a presumption that when a certificate of title to a...an automobile is made out to a husband and a wife with a marital relationship shown, a presumption is

Soper, aye. Mitchler, aye. Sours, aye. Smith,

(Secretary reads title of bill)

established that the title is held as joint tenants with right to survivorship. This would eliminate the need for

a widow or widower upon the death of a spouse to have to

apply for a new title, that the title would automotically be in the survivor. I would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDENT:

Is there further discussion? Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Just a question Mr. President, if the sponsor will yield. In our files, Senator Glass, we have an amendment.

Was that amendment proposed, or was it adopted, or was it

...which would say that if the certificate of title is made out to more than one person, it has no reference whatever

31. to husband and wife, has...has that amendment in effect 32. been adopted? 33.

## 1. PRESIDENT:

3.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.31.

32.

Senator Glass.

SENATOR GLASS:

4. I'm not familiar with the amendment. As a matter of

fact, this bill when I...when it came up in committee, Iwalked in a little bit late and the testimony was underway.

7. I don't remember any amendment being offered, but... this

de Admits Asia (b. 18. de d. 18. de)

is limited to the situation of the spouses, husband and

wife.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

I'm advised that...it was not offered, so I think the

bill is a good one as it stands.

PRESIDENT:

Is there further discussion? The question is shall

SB...HB 874 pass, and on that question the Secretary will

call the roll.

SECRETARY:

Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,

Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,

Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth

Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,

Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard

Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,

Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,

Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,

Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,

Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT:

Not a bad idea. On that question the yeas are 37,

the nays are none. HB 874 having received a constitutional

33. majority is declared passed. Senator Course.

## SENATOR COURSE:

1.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32

33.

Yes, Mr. President, I looked at the consent Calendar 2. today and I saw that I had HB 866 on there and it was removed 3. from the consent Calendar. What is the procedure for handling 4. those bills? 5.

PRESIDENT:

Yes, they go back to 3rd reading and there... I understand there was amendment to be offered to that, and we'll... we will develop another agreed bill list though.

SENATOR COURSE:

Thank you.

PRESIDENT:

HB 879, Senator Berning.

SECRETARY:

HB 879 (Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Berning.

SENATOR BERNING:

Thank you Mr. President, Members of the Body. bill sets aside a portion of the motor fuel tax paid by

boaters now going into the motor fuel tax fund, sets it aside for use by the Department of Natural...Water Resources

for use in maintaining channels, rivers and waterways in

the State of Illinois. This is a bill approved by the

administration, supported by Mr. Guillou of the Division of Waterways. I would appreciate a favorable roll call.

These are monies that belong really to those who are using

the waterways and at this time not getting any benefit from them.

PRESIDENT:

Is.. Senator Donnewald.

SENATOR DONNEWALD:

Yes, Mr. President, I rise in opposition to this bill.
 I personally am not, I don't object to the use of motor
 fuel funds for mass transit, but I think we're going
 a little bit too far here. This will come out of MFT fund,
 and it takes money away from the Department of Transportation,
 municipalities, counties, road districts, and which...they

were supposed to be used for road construction. I would urge a no vote.

Mr. President, and Members of the Senate, I'd like

## PRESIDENT:

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26. 27.

28.

29. 30.

31.

32.

33.

Is there further discussion? Senator Latherow.

## SENATOR LATHEROW:

to recognize the fact also along with Senator Donnewald that about four years ago we passed legislation that we thought streched every bit of the imagination as far as what the people in the motor boat business may be taking from the motor fuel tax fund. And I asked for some verifications of the...to show that the people in the motor boats and so on were using enough gasoline to make it bearable to us the fact that we take one million dollars from the motor fuel tax and give to this particular fund and receive no information concerning that whatsoever. I think we're presently making a very large and possibly excessive contribution to the motor boating industry from motor fuel tax and I too would suggest that this bill be defeated.

### PRESIDENT:

Senator Sours.

## SENATOR SOURS:

Mr. President this is one of the days in my own self-effacing manner I haven't had much to say. I know that will draw considerable applause. You know we live by principle, that's p, l, e. And once we start digging away

at the motor fuel fund you can just about rationalize and make reasonable in your own mind a million other good, honest approprius diversions. It's little like chastity, there's no such thing as a little un-chastity. It's a little like putting garlic in the soup. Once it's there, it's there and the aroma lingers on, like my old friend Tennyson's, "The Brook", which no doubt would appeal to your Senator Wooten, being a classicist. Now, we can spend and spend and spend and pretty soon we'll quit building bridges, we'll quit building roads, we'll quit building shoulders on highways because we have other uses for the gasoline tax. I would like to also talk about maintenance and if any State in the 50 States needs a little more maintenance even in this austere administration, it's the State of Illinois. Now, this in principle is bad. I know there are other reasons probably for supporting the bill, but in principle we are departing from the...the fact that the road fund has been, ought to be, and I trust will remain inviolate. I'm going to oppose this bill on principle, an all-enduring principle. PRESIDENT:

Is there fur discussion? Senator Course. SENATOR COURSE:

1

2.

٦.

6.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Yes, Mr. President, Members of the Senate, I rise in support of this legislation. We're talking about that sacred cow, road fund again. But ladies and gentlemen this money can be refunded to the motor boat users, but very little of...of the tax money...ever is. Now, these people are entitled to it. These lakes and some...a lot of work done on it, and I think this is a good piece of legislation we're talking about a million dollars and it's only a drop in the bucket compared to what the motor boat owners pay every year. I would urge my side

of the aisle to support this legislation.

### PRESIDENT:

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Senator Knuppel.

#### SENATOR KNUPPEL:

Mr. Chairman, when we can't build the roads that are necessary in my district, I don't have one mile of four-lane highway. I think that it's atrocious to attack the motor fuel tax fund, and I say that you can't go wrong for long with Sours and Knuppel are together.

#### PRESIDENT:

Is there further discussion? Senator Berning may close the debate.

## SENATOR BERNING:

Thank you Mr. President and Members of the Body, I'd like to call your attention to the fact that up to this point the motor fuel tax money paid by boaters has been like the garlic in the soup lost in the motor fuel tax funds and benefited the boaters not one whit. Now I submit to you that a motor fuel tax in its concept is for and should be by those who use the highways, not those who burn gasoline or ... we hicle fuel for other than highway use and if you need further justification for this contention, I point out to you that the airplane owners now can and do apply for a refund and they get it, a refund on the motor fuel tax which is collected when they buy gasoline for their airplanes. There is no justification, no fairness, no equity whatsoever in requiring a motor boat user to pay a motor fuel tax to support the highways when the use of his vehicle is on the waterways. This is pure and simple fair treatment. It is further justified I might add by the fact that the boater could petition for a refund if he wanted to do so. This entails a bit of administrative chore would be costly to the department...effect the refund, so that there is justification on that score also. Fairness dictates
Mr President, Members of the Body, fairness dictates
that those who are paying these tax dollars get some
benefit from them and bear in mind that this is not all
the tax money paid by these people, the proceeds are now
in excess of \$4,000,000. This bill will...apply to about
\$1,000,000 of that \$4,000,000. This is pure and simple
justice and will do a portion of the job of reclaiming
our waterways. I earnestly solicit your aye vote.

### PRESIDENT:

١.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

í9.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

The question is shall HB 879 pass? And on that question the Secretary will call the roll.

### SECRETARY:

Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

#### PRESIDENT:

On that question the yeas are fourteen. The nays are ten. HB 879 having failed to receive a constitutional majority is declared lost. Senator Partee.

### SENATOR PARTEE:

Mr. President and Members of the Senate, I'd like to move for personal consideration and on a point of personal privilege to change the order of business. Seated to my left is a gentleman that most people in government have known for a long time, and his name is Mr. Roger W.

Irving. I would ask that we consider a Resolution which...

PRESIDENT:

Is there leave to revert to the order of Resolutions? So ordered. Senator Partee is recognized.

### SENATOR PARTEE:

l.

2.

3.

4.

5.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Now, this Resolution coming from Senator Harris and myself, and I hope all Members of the Senate will join and as I stand, Mrs. Irving is....in the gallery and I'd like for her to stand and be recognized by the Senate. Want you to know I tricked Mr. Irving over here, he didn't know what was going to happen. But he was born in Chambersburg, Illinois on August 4, 1925, where he attended grade and high school and earned letters for four years in basketball, baseball and track. And from September of 1943 until March of 1946 when he was honorably discharged, he served his nation with distinction during World War II, having been selected for Aviation Cadet Training in the Army Air Force. And in May of 1945, while attending advanced navigation school at San Marcos, Texas, Mr. Irving sustained an injury which left him permanently paralyzed and confined to a wheel chair. Undaunted, however, by this injury, Mr. Irving attentded Illinois College in Jacksonville, Illinois, receiving in 1953 an A.B. Degree. He also attended the University of California in Los Angeles where he earned the M. A. degree in 1955. He taught school at Midland School in Los Olivos, California from 1955 to 1958. He has applied his considerable talents as a historical researcher to the National Lincoln Sesqui-centennial Commission and revised a series of books title Day by Day History of Lincoln. And whereas Mr. Irving from March of 1963 to the present has served State government in Illinois in the following positions: Assistant Director of the Department of Personnel; Acting Director of the then newly created Department of General Services; Assistant

Director of the Department of General Services; Assistant State Auditor in the Office of the Auditor of Public Accounts and Associate Superintendent in the Division of Business Affairs of the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction; and whereas Mr. Irving has combined a deep commitment to the two-party system with an honest and compassionate manner of carrying out the considerable and varied responsibilities associated with the various described positions; and whereas Mr. Irving has demonstrated to all with whom he has worked a rare capability to lend brillance of mind as well as an ingrained sense of practicality to the role of dedicated public service to the citizens of this State; and whereas Mr. Irving's successful professional record is mirrored in his private life roles of devoted husband to his wife Alice Mae and loving father to his two sons, Michael and Scott; and whereas recent medical review and advice dictates that Mr. Irving prematurely retire from public service therefore, be it resolved, by . the Senate of the 78th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that we extend to Mr. Irving our commendation and deep gratitude and appreciation for his service to the State and People of Illinois and that a suitable copy of this Preamble and Resolution be forewarded to Mr. Roger W. Irving and his family. I would ask that the rules be suspended for the immediate consideration and adoption of this Resolution, and that all Senate members be shown as co-sponsor. PRESIDENT:

1.

2.

3. 4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

. 26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Senator Partee has moved to suspend the rules for the immediate consideration of the Resolution. All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no. The motion carries, the rules are suspended. For the matter of consideration of the Resolution, Senator Latherow is recognized.

### SENATOR LATHEROW:

ì.

2.

3.

6.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17:

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Mr. President, Members of the Senate, I too, would like to state my real fine feelings toward Roger Irving and the fact that at one time he did live in a good district in the State of Illinois which included Pike County. His father and I have met on many occasions for the good of the State of Illinois while our party affiliations didn't seem to be the same, we could agree on many points. And I am sure it's through the good work of Roger here in Springfield that Pike County is known because of Roger Irving as a real good County. Thank you, Mr. President.

#### PRESIDENT:

The President wishes to add his voice enthusiastically to the recognition of this great and dedicated public servant, Roger Irving. We all know who have experienced his contribution to State government what an outstanding practitioner of the art of government he is, and it is fitting that Senator Partee asked me to join as co-sponsor of this Resolution and I enthusiastically do so, and inquire whether there is leave for all Senators to join as co-So ordered. On the motion to adopt, all those sponsors. in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no. The record shows that the Resolution is adopted unanimously.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 199, Senator Palmer and it's congratulatory.

#### PRESIDENT:

Senator Palmer.

### SENATOR PALMER:

Mr. President and Members of the Senate, the Secretary has the Resolution congratulating one Oscar Nudelman a prominent citizen of the State of Illinois and a distinguished and eminent attorney for 50 years in the State of Illinois.

And on this Friday, June 15th, 1973 he will be honored for 50 years as an attorney in the State of Illinois who...they will confer upon him the title of Senior Counsellor of the Illinois Bar. I'm going to ask the Secretary to read the Resolution. I'd like to mention one more thing that is not in the Resolution that Oscar Nudelman has the distinction of being the father of our own Senator Harold Nudelman. Will the Secretary please read the Resolution?

1.

2.

₹.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26:

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Whereas, The Legal Profession is the foundation upon which order in our society is founded, and the attorney and the judges who make up that profession are those who contribute most to the stability of our civilization; and Whereas, the Illinois State Bar Association will on Friday, June 15th, 1973 honor Oscar M. Nudelman, Attorney at Law and confer upon him the title of Senior Counsellor of the Illinois Bar; and whereas Mr. Nudelman was admitted to the practice before the Supreme Court of Illinois on October 11, 1923; the United States District Court in 1925; the Supreme Court of the United States in 1959 and the United States Court of Appeals in 1966; and whereas he's a member of the Chicago, Illinois and American Bar Associations and is a past President of the Decalogue Society of Lawyers; and Whereas Mr. Nudelman has served his country as Government Appeal Agent and a Member of the Local Board of the Selective Service System; and as a Community Commander in the Civilian Defense System; and Whereas he's a past president of the sinai-Lawndale B'nai B'rith, and a Commissioner of its Adult Jewish Education Commission and has served as a speaker for the Decalogue Society and B'nai B'rith; and Whereas he has contributed to the sum of legal knowledge through his articles for bar journals and his participation in many of the organizations of lawyers in the State of Illinois; therefore, be it resolved, by the Senate of the 78th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that we congratulate Oscar M. Nudelman, Esquire, upon 50 years of service to the People of the State of Illinois through his work in the legal profession; and that we congratulate him upon his elevation to the honor of Senior Counsellor; and be it further resolved that a suitable copy of this preamble and Resolution be presented to Oscar M. Nudelman, Senior Counsellor of the Illinois Bar. And I move the Senate to suspend the rules and adopt this Resolution...

### PRESIDENT:

l.

2.

4.

6.

8.

9.

11.

12.

13.

14. 15.

16.

17.· 18.

19.

20.

21. 22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

29.

30.

31.

32.

On the motion to suspend for the immediate consideration, all in favor signify by saying age. Contrary no. The motion carries. On the motion to adopt, all in favor signify by saying age. Contrary no. The motion carries. The Resolution is adopted.

### SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 200 by Senator Kosinski and it's congratulatory.

#### PRESIDENT:

Senator Palmer has urged that all Senators join in co-sponsor of the Resolution for Mr. Nudelman. So ordered. Senator Kosinski.

# SENATOR KOSINSKI:

Mr. President, Members of the Senate, this is a Congratulatory message for...congratulating Reverend Father Kaleth, Pastor of St. Stanilaus Kostka on his 31st anniversary of priesthood and that is my home parish.

PRESIDENT:

On the motion to suspend for immediate consideration, all in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no. The motion carries. On the motion to adopt, all in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no. The motion carries, the Resolution

- is adopted. Senator Regner.
- SENATOR REGNER:
- 3. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, I'd like to
- 4. move to discharge the Committee on Local Government from
- 5. Senate Bills 958 and 959 so they can be re-referred to
- 6. the Committee on Revenue. I've discussed this with
- 7. Senators Harris and Partee both and they are in agreement.
- 8. PRESIDENT:
- 9. And...you also cleared with Senator Soper?
- 10. SENATOR REGNER:
  - Yes, right.
- 12. PRESIDENT:

11.

15.

17.

19.

20.

21.

24.

25.

26.

28.

31.

32.

33.

- 13. Yes. All right, the motion is to discharge the
- 14. Committee on Local Government from further consideration
  - of...are they Senate Bills or House Bills?
- 16. SENATOR REGNER:
  - Senate Bills 958 and 959.
- 18. PRESIDENT:
  - Senate Bills 958 and 959 and be re-referred to the
  - Committee on Revenue. All in favor signify by saying
  - aye. Contrary no. The motion carries, so ordered. Senator
- 22. Daley.
- 23. SENATOR DALEY:
  - Mr. President and fellow Senators, at this time I
  - would like to introduce a...a former State Senator, he's
    - presently chairman of the Zoning Board of Appeals in the City of

honorable Bud Finley. Bud. Also his son Patrick is with

- 27. Chicago. He's a neighbor, and a voter in my district, the
  - 7. The state of th
- 29. Bud.
- 30. PRESIDENT:
  - Senator Knuepfer.
  - SENATOR KNUEPFER:
    - Mr. President, Members of the Body, I would like to

1. discharge Local Government Committee from further consideration 2. of House Bills 1452, 1453 and 1454 for the purpose of re-3. referring them to the Public Welfare Committee. Then I would 4. like to make a further motion after that. PRESIDENT: 5. 6. Senator Soper is off the Floor. You have... 7. SENATOR KNUEPFER: 8. I have his concurrence, yes. 9. PRESIDENT: 10. All right. Senator Knuepfer moves to discharge the 11. Committee on Local Government from further consideration of House Bills 1452, 1453 and 54 and re-referred to the 12. 13. Committee on... SENATOR KNUEPFER: 14. 15. Public Welfare. 16. PRESIDENT: 17. Public Welfare, Health and Corrections. All in favor signify by saying age. Contrary no. The motion carries, 18. 19. so ordered. Senator Knuepfer. SENATOR KNUEPFER: 20. Now, I don't know in view...what the six-day ruling 21. 22. is anymore, but in... 23. PRESIDENT: 24. ...it has been suspended. SENATOR KNUEPFER: 25. ... the event that I need it, I want permission to 26. have them heard this evening. Do I need that? 27. PRESIDENT: 28. No. We amended the rules during the month of June 29. it is no longer necessary for the six-day notice. 30.

SENATOR KNUEPFER:

PRESIDENT:

Oh, ok. Then...

31.

32.

33.

1. ...it's a prerogative of the chair. SENATOR KNUEPFER: 2. Then can I make one announcement while I'm up here and that is... PRESIDENT: 5. Please do. 6. SENATOR KNUEPFER: 7. ... Public Health, Welfare and Corrections will meet at 7:00 o'clock tonight. I urge all of you to attend, we 9. only have two more meetings this week. Tonight and our 10. regular meeting Thursday at which we must dispose of all 11. the business that's going to be disposed of. Please show 12. up. 13. PRESIDENT: 14. Well, Senator did you say where the meeting is? 15. SENATOR KNUEPFER: 16. The...the meeting... 17. PRESIDENT: 18. Tonight. 19. SENATOR KNUEPFER: 20. ...the Senate Floor this evening, at 7:00 o'clock. 21. PRESIDENT: 22. Public Health, Welfare and Correction on the Senate 23. Floor at 7:00 p.m. tonight. Senator Mitchler. 24. SENATOR MITCHEER: -25. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, I have two 26. items. The first item, I would ask leave of the Senate 27. to have the Senate Appropriations Committee leave out, 28. or rather discharge from the Senate Appropriations 29. Committee HB 534. It's an appropriation bill that originally 30. was 3.5 million dollars, been reduced to \$100,000 for an 31. overpass, north Farnsworth Avenue, in Aurora, Illinois. 32. We passed a similar bill out of the Senate and it is now

33.

over in the House. I checked this out with the Senate 1. Appropriations Committee and notified both sides of the 2. aisle. I would ask leave of the Senate to have HB 534 3. 4. discharged from the Senate Appropriations Committee placed 5. on the order of 2nd reading.

#### PRESIDENT.

6.

7.

Я.

9. 10.

11.

12.

13. 14.

15.

16.

17.

18. 19.

20.

21. 22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30. 31.

32.

33.

Senator Mitchler has moved that HB 534 be discharged from further consideration by the Committee on Appropriations. All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no. the motion carries. So ordered. Senator Mitchler.

#### SENATOR MITCHLER:

The next item. I would like to introduce in the gallery immediately to my left a group of Cadet Girl Scouts from St. Rita's Church, in Aurora. The Rita-Kaskia School, and they're lead by Mrs. Robert Fox and I would ask the Girl Scouts to please rise and be recognized by the Senate. PRESIDENT:

Senator Newhouse.

#### SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Thank you, Mr. President. And I wonder if Senator Knuepfer would...be with me on this. Mr. President, I don't know what the proper motion is but I have Senate Bill, or rather House Bill 1299 which is a companion bill to HB 1298 which is to be heard in Public Health, Welfare tonight. Senator Knuepfer, now, they're companion bills and I'm wondering if the proper motion is to ask unanimous leave to have them heard together, since it has not been posted, it has to be heard before the end of the week. PRESIDENT:

.In what committee is 1299?

#### SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

I don't see it in any committee now, Senator, that's why I want to get it ......Perhaps this is not...if this is the proper form, I'd like to settle that...

2. PRESIDENT:

4. 5.

6. 7.

8.

10.

11.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

I don't think it has caught up with 1298.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

They were picked up at different times, so it may not have been assigned yet.

PRESIDENT:

Yes. 1299 is...in the Committee on Assignment of

9. Bills. It was only read a lst time today, so in the process

of things now, if you could move to discharge the Committee

on Assignment of Bills and have the bill referred to the

12. Committee on Public Health, Welfare and Safety. Is that

acceptable to the chairman of Public Health? All right.

Senator Newhouse moves to discharge the Committee on

Assignment of Bills from further consideration of HB 1299, and be referred to the Committee on Public Health, Welfare

The state of the contract of the state of th

and Corrections. All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary

no. Motion carries, so ordered. Now, Senator Knuepfer has

acknowledged that the bill will be heard this evening.

Senator Walker.

SENATOR WALKER:

Thank you Mr. President. Point of parliamentary inquiry.

Do we start tomorrow with 900, House Bills 3rd? Seems like

you always get down to me and then there's a distraction.

PRESIDENT:

Tomorrow we start with HB 900.

SENATOR WALKER:

Thank you. Now, Mr. President, there's a...a meeting

of the Licensed Activities and Credit Regulations Committee meeting tomorrow at 8:30 and the bills have been posted

31. and all bills assigned after the posting will be heard. I

32. understand there's some House Bills that over here, so if

the Senate sponsors pick them up and they're sent to committee

I'd suggest you be there at 8:30 tomorrow morning. ١. like to have a quorum by at least 8:32, let's say. 2. PRESIDENT: 3.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13

14.

15.

16.

17. 18.

19. 20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Are there further announcements? Senator McBroom. SENATOR MCBROOM:

Mr. Chairman, the Appropriations Committee will meet this afternoon in the Senate Chambers, but the time has been extended from 2:15 until 3:15. Secondly, Mr. President, Governor Hartigan's bill on the Department of Aging was to be heard today, and at his request it's being delayed until tomorrow. It will be the first bill heard tomorrow in the Appropriations Committee.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Clarke.

SENATOR CLARKE:

Mr. Chairman, the Revenue Committee will meet at 2:15, or as soon thereafter as possible in A-1.

PRESIDENT:

Are there further announcements? Senator Knuepfer. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

I'm looking up the number of a bill, or Senator Hynes In any case I want permission to show the lead sponsor as Senator Knuepfer rather than Senator Hynes, and Senator Hynes will be the secondary sponsor of the bill. May I give the Clerk the number as soon as we're able to locate it? I would move for leave to change the lead sponsor anyway on SB number blank, blank, blank.

PRESIDENT:

Is there leave? There's been a request for a roll call. The record will show that Senator Knuepfer is the lead sponsor of an unnumbered, unknown bill that Senator Knuepfer is going to inform the Secretary of. HB 1628, no longer unknown or unnumbered. Are there further announcements? Senate stands adjourned until 10:00 A. M. tomorrow morning.