78TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

May 15, 1973

1.	PRESIDENT:
2.	The Senate will come to order. The prayer will
3.	be delivered by the Reverend LaVon Baylor of St.
4.	Timothy United Methodist Church of Litchfield. Will
5.	our guests please rise. Reverend Baylor.
6.	(Prayer by Reverend Bayler,
7.	St. Timothy United Methodist Church,
8.	Litchfield, Illinois)
9.	Thank you very much Reverend Bayler. Reading of
10.	the Journal. Senator Soper.
11.	SENATOR SOPER:
12.	Mr. President, members of the Senate, I move that
13.	we postpone the reading of the Journals of May 10th,
14.	11th, 12th, 14th, pending the arrival of the printed
15.	Journal and approval of the same.
16.	PRESIDENT:
17.	Senator Soper moves that we postpone reading of
18.	the Journals of May 10, 11, 12 and 14, pending the
19.	arrival of the printed Journal. All in favor signify
20.	by saying aye. Contrary no. The motion carries.
21.	Now, the Chair wishesthe Chair recognizes Senator
22.	Davidson. Senator Davidson.
23.	SENATOR DAVIDSON:
24.	Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
25.	Senate, it's my distinct pleasure to ask for a
26.	suspension of the rules for introduction of a
27.	congratulatory resolution. And I would like all the
28.	Senators to join me. This is a congratulatory
29.	Resolution to Lieutenant Ensch, John Ensch a native
30.	of Springfield who was a POW who is now returned home,
31.	and is sitting on the President's podium, and after
32.	we adopt the Resolution, I would like to present him

to the Senate.

PRESIDENT:

ı.

- Senator Davidson moves for the suspension of the 2.
- rules for the introduction of and immediate consideration 3.
- of the Resolution. All in favor signify by saying aye. 4.
- Contrary no. The motion carries. The rules are suspended.
- 5.
- Secretary will read the Resolution. 6.

7. SECRETARY:

- (Secretary reads Senate Resolution 156) 8.
- PRESIDENT: 9.
- 10. Senator Davidson.
- SENATOR DAVIDSON: 11.
- Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, 12.
- it's my pleasure to present to you Lieutenant and Mrs. 13.
- John Ensch on the President's podium. Lieutenant Ensch. 14.
- LIEUTENANT JOHN ENSCH: 15.
- Thank you very much. Last time I was ever here, 16.
- I was on a tour like those kids up there. I never, ever 17.
- thought I'd be up here talking to the Senate. But...on 18.
- behalf of myself and my family, I want to say thank you 19.
- very much. I know you've got a lot of work to do here, 20.
- and I won't take too much of your time. But, this is 21.
- a very distinct honor for me to have this happen to me, 22.
- from my home State. I'm very proud to have served my 23.
- Country and my State, had the opportunity to do so, 24.
- and I also want to say thank you, not only for this but 25.
- on behalf of all the POW's for all the work that the 26.
- United States and the State of Illinois did getting 27.
- us back, and I'd like to make an appeal as private 28.
- citizens that we don't forget the MIA's. We've still got 29.
- some guys over there that, or possibly got guys over 30.
- there, we don't know. But, there are some we don't 31.
- even know what happened to, and those are the primary 32.
- concern for us that came back...our comrades, we want 33.

- 1. back.
- 2. PRESIDENT:
- 3. All in favor of the adoption of the Resolution
- 4. signify by saying aye. Contrary no. The motion carries,
- 5. and the Resolution is adopted. Lieutenant Ensch, we
- 6. certainly thank you and this response is only symbolic
- 7. of what I know is heartfelt thanks by all of us for the
- 8. sacrifice and the contribution you have made to us as
- 9. citizens of the United States, and of Illinois. And
- 10. again, we thank you. Senator Davidson.
- 11. SENATOR DAVIDSON:
- 12. I would like to also present to the Senate,
- 13. Lieutenant Ensch's brother and sister-in-law who are
- 14. with him, Mr. and Mrs. Leon Ensch.
- 15. PRESIDENT:
- 16. Committee reports.
- 17. SECRETARY:
- 18. (Secretary reads Committee Reports)
- 19. PRESIDENT:
- 20. Resolutions.
- 21. SECRETARY:
- 22. Senate Resolution 157 by Senator Mitchler and all
- 23. members of the Senate. And it's congratulatory.
- 24. PRESIDENT:
- 25. Senator Mitchler.
- 26. SENATOR MITCHLER:
- 27. Mr. President, this is a congratulatory resolution.
- 28. Copies have been distributed to the Minority Leader and
- 29. the Majority Leader. And I would move for the suspension
- 30. of the rules, the immediate consideration and adoption
- 31. of this Resolution.
- 32. PRESIDENT:
- 33. Senator Mitchler moves to suspend the rules for

- 1. the immediate consideration of the Resolution. All
- in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no. The
- 3. motion carries, the rules are suspended. Senator
- 4. Mitchler.
- 5. SENATOR MITCHLER:
- 6. Yes, Mr. President, I would now move for
- 7. immediate consideration and adoption of this Resolution.
- 8. PRESIDENT:
- 9. Senator Mitchler moves for the adotpion of the
- 10. Resolution. All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary
- 11. no. The motion carries, and the Resolution is adopted.
- 12. SENATOR MITCHLER:
- 13. Mr. President.
- 14. PRESIDENT:
- Senator Mitchler.
- 16. SENATOR MITCHLER:
- 17. As long as I'm on my feet. If I might rise on
- 18. a point of personal privilege. In the gallery immediately
- 19. to my rear are a group of 26 foreign exchange students
- 20. who are the guests and under sponsorship of Rotary
- 21. District 644, Fred Depiro from Downers Grove, Illinois
- 22. in DuPage County. And I would like to have them rise and
- 23. have them be recognized by the Illinois State Senate.
- 24. These are 26 foreign exchange students touring the
- 25. Illinois State Capitol Complex today.
- 26. PRESIDENT:
- 27. Senator Wooten.
- 28. SENATOR WOOTEN:
- Mr. President, on a point of personal privilege,
- 30. I'd like to note the presence on the Floor today of
- 31. my predecessor, Senator Carpentier. Welcome him to
- the Chamber today.
- 33. PRESIDENT:

Message from the Senate... 1. SECRETARY: 2. (Secretary reads message from the President) 3. PRESIDENT: 5. Senator Howard Mohr. SENATOR HOWARD MOHR: 6. Yes, Mr. President, up in the gallery to my right 7. 8. are two very fine friends of mine from the fine village of Forest Park, who I'd like at this time to introduce 9. 10. to the Senate, Ruth and Bob Savage. PRESIDENT: 11. 12. Introduction of bills. SECRETARY: 13. 14. SB 1167 (Secretary reads title of bill). SB 1168 (Secretary reads title of bill). 15. 1st reading of the bills. 16. 17. PRESIDENT: Message from the House. 18. SECRETARY: 19. 20. (Secretary reads message from House). PRESIDENT: 21. 22. House Bills on 1st reading. HB 288, Representative Martin. HB 368, Representative Catania. HB 446, 23. Representative Choate. HB 553, Representative Taylor. 24. 25. HB 554, Representative Giorgi. HB 616, Representative Kelly. HB 625, Representative Kosinski. Yes, the 26. 3rd, I mean the 4th column should read 1st reading. 27. It begins at the bottom of the 3rd column. But it's 28. on page 3 of today's Calendar, beginning with HB 368 29. in the 4th column. That heading should say 1st reading. 30. It is a printer's error. HB 616, Representative Kelly. 31. HB 625, Representative Kosinski. HB 630, Representative 32.

Gibbs. HB...HB 675, Representative Rayson. HB 695,

Representative Houlihan. HB 749, Representative 1. 2. Catania. HB 788, Representative Kelly. HB 866, Representative Ewell. Senator Course. The 866, HB 3. 866. Senator Course. 4 . SECRETARY: ' 5. (Secretary reads title of bill) HB 866 6. 1st reading of the bill. 7. PRESIDENT: 8. Senate Bills on 2nd reading. Senator Vadalabene, 9. he's not on the Floor. Senator Ozinga, 131. 146, 10. Senator Ozinga. 11. SECRETARY: 12. (Secretary reads title of bill) SB 146 13. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 14. PRESIDENT: 15. NO... Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd 16. reading. SB 131. 17. SECRETARY: 18. SB 131 (Secretary reads title of bill) 19. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 20. PRESIDENT: 21. Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. 22. Senator Conolly, you wish to advance 150? Senator 23. Berning, 191. Senator Fawell, 225. Senator Knuepfer, 24. 235. Advance. 25. SECRETARY: 26. (Secretary reads title of bill) 27. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 28. PRESIDENT: 29. Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. 30. 237, advance. 31. SECRETARY: 32.

SB 237 '(Secretary reads title of bill)

- 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
- 2. PRESIDENT:
- 3. Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading.
- 4. 239, advance.
- 5. SECRETARY:
- 6. SB 239 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 7. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Local Govern-
- ment offers one amendment.
- 9. PRESIDENT:
- Senator Knuepfer.
- 11. SENATOR KNUEPFER:
- 12. Move the adoption of the amendment.
- 13. PRESIDENT:
- 14. Senator Knuepfer moves the adoption of committee
- 15. Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion? All in favor
- 16. signify by saying aye. Contrary no. The motion carries.
- 17. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Are there amendments from
- 18. the Floor? 3rd reading. Do you want to advance it
- 19. Senator Wooten. SB 246.
- 20. SECRETARY:
- 21. SB 246 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 22. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
- 23. PRESIDENT:
- 24. Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading.
- 25. Senator Berning, 321. Senator McBroom, 337. Senator
- 26. Wooten, 342, advance.
- 27. SECRETARY:
- 28. SB 342 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 29. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
- 30. PRESIDENT:
- 31. Senator Wooten.
- 32. SENATOR WOOTEN:
- 33. Yes, Mr. President, I would like to offer an amendment

- to SB 342. The purpose of this amendment is to eliminate 1.
- all reference to constitutional implementation, and to 2.
- 3. slightly expand the language. This has to do with revenue
- 4. ...expenditure of revenue sharing funds, and I would
- 5. like...I would like to also to mention that I'm ready to
- 6. call this back from 3rd to 2nd in case other difficulties
- 7. develop but I believe that this will answer objections
- 8. which have been made on the grounds of constitutional
- 9. implementation, and I would move the adoption of this
- 10. amendment.
- 11. PRESIDENT:
- 12. Is there further discussion? Senator Wooten moves
- 13. the adoption of Amendment No. 1. All in favor signify
- 14. by saying aye. Contrary no. The motion carries, the
- 15. amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments
- 16. from the Floor? 3rd reading. Senator Newhouse, 402,
- 17. advance.
- 18. SECRETARY:
- 19. SB 402 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 20. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
- 21. PRESIDENT:
- 22. Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading.
- 23. Senator Chew. Senator Wooten, do you want to advance
- 24. 434 for Senator Keegan? Do you know about that bill?
- 25. Oh, Senator Rock says no. Ok. We'll...Senator Roe,
- 26. 468. He's not on the Floor. Senator Mitchler 477.
- Senator Vadalabene. Senator Partee.
- SENATOR PARTEE: 28.

. 27.

- I just think the record ought to show that Senator 29.
- Vadalabene and Senator Knuppel are in...and Senator 30.
- Regner and Senator Moore are in an election contest, 31.
- and that accounts for their absence. 32.
- PRESIDENT: 33.

Yes, the record will show that Senator Don Moore, ı. Senator Regner, Senator Vadalabene and Senator Knuppel 2. are absent because of their required attendance in the з. recount procedure in the election contest. Senator 4. Carroll, 5...Senator Sommer, 539. Senator Carroll or 5. Senator Wooten, do you know whether Senator Keegan might 6. 7. wish 553 advanced? 553. 8. SECRETARY: SB 553 (Secretary reads title of bill) 9. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 10. 11. PRESIDENT: Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. 12. Senator Sours, 566. 567, Senator Sours. Senator Latherow, 13. 597. He's not on the Floor. Senator Johns, 645, advance. 14. 15. SECRETARY: (Secretary reads title of bill) 16. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Transportation 17. and Public Utilities offers two amendments. 18. 19. PRESIDENT: 20. Senator Johns. 21. SENATOR JOHNS: I'm...I'm not sure that I have had those amendments. 22. I move the adoption of the amendments. That's what I 23. 24. wanted. 25. PRESIDENT: Is there further discussion? Senator Johns moves 26. the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1. All in favor 27. signify by saying aye. Contrary no. The motion is carried, 28. the amendment is adopted. Senator Johns. 29.

SENATOR JOHNS:

No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

30.

31.

32.

33.

Mr. President, I move for the adoption of Amendment

Is there further discussion? Senator Johns moves 1. 2. the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2. All in favor 3. signify by saying aye. Contrary no. The motion is 4. carried and Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Are there amend-5. ments from the Floor? 3rd reading. Senator Bartulis, 6. Senator Welsh, 676. Advance. 7. SECRETARY: 8. SB 676 (Secretary reads title of bill) 9. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Pensions and 10. Personnel offers one amendment. 11. PRESIDENT: 12. Senator Welsh. 13. SENATOR WELSH: 14. Mr. President, I move for the adoption of Committee 15. Amendment No. 1. 16. PRESIDENT: 17. Is there further discussion? All in favor of the 18. motion to adopt Committee Amendment No. 1 signify by 19. saying aye. Contrary no. The motion carries. The 20. amendment is adopted. Are there amendments from the 21. Floor? 3rd reading. Senator Bartulis, we passed by 22. you a minute ago. Do you wish to advance 673? Yes, 23. advance. 24. SECRETARY: 25. SB 673 (Secretary reads title of bill) 26. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Pensions and 27. Personnel offers Amendment No. 1. 28. PRESIDENT: Senator Bartulis. Do you wish to move its adoption? 29.

-10-

ments from the Floor? 3rd reading. Senator Fawell,

Senator Bartulis moves to adopt Committee Amendment No. 1.
All in favor signify by saying age. Contrary no. The

motion carries, the amendment is adopted. Are there amend-

30.

31. 32.

- 1. SB 225. Advance.
- 2. SECRETARY:
- SB 225 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 4. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Local Government
- 5. offers amendments numbered one and two.
- 6. PRESIDENT:
- Senator Fawell.
- 8. SENATOR FAWELL:
- 9. The first amendment is the Home Rule Amendment
- 10. offered by Senator Dougherty, and I move the adoption
- 11. of the same.
- 12. PRESIDENT:
- 13. Is there further discussion? All in favor of
- 14. the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 signify by
- 15. saying aye. Contrary no. The motion carries.
- 16. Amendment No. 1. is adopted. Senator Fawell.
- 17. SENATOR FAWELL:
- 18. Amendment No. 2 approved by the Committee is merely
- 19. a rewording of the entire act. But there's no substitive
- 20. changes. The same thing.
- 21. PRESIDENT:
- 22. Senator Fawell moves the adoption of Committee
- 23. Amendment No. 2. All in favor signify by saying aye.
- 24. Contrary no. The motion carries. Amendment No. 2 is
- 25. adopted. Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd
- 26. reading. Senator Sours in connection with con-
- 27. sideration of SB 567, do you wish to make a motion
- 28. in that regard? Senator Sours.
- 29. SENATOR SOURS:
- 30. Yes, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
- 31. Senate, I have left with the Secretary one very large
- 32. seventy page amendment to this bill. So that every-
- 33. one will have a chance to look...look into each particular

- problem involved. It is...it refers to the...skeleton 1. Workman's Compensation agreed bill. I'd like to make 2. the proper motion that the bill...that the Amendment 3. No. 1 be printed and each member of this Chamber given 4. 5. a copy. PRESIDENT: 6. Senator Sours moves that the amendment be printed. 7. All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no. 8. motion carries, and the amendment will be printed. 9. Senator Newhouse. Senator Welsh 689. Senator Course, 10. 11. 710. advance. SECRETARY: 12. SB 710 (Secretary reads title of bill) 13. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Transportation 14. and Public Utilities offers one amendment. 15. PRESIDENT: 16. Senator Course. Do you wish to move the adoption 17. of committee amendment? Senator Course moves the adoption 18. of committee Amendment No. 1. All in favor signify by 19. saying aye. Contrary no. The motion carries, the 20. Amendment is adopted. Are there amendments from the 21. Floor? 3rd reading. Senator Shapiro, 760. 760. 22. Senator Course, 762. Senator Carroll, 764. Senator 23. Hynes. Senator Saperstein, SB 824. Hold. Senator 24. Glass, 831. 25. SECRETARY: 26. SB 831 (Secretary reads title of bill) 27. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Executive 28. offers one amendment. 29.
- 30. PRESIDENT:
- 31. Senator Glass.
- 32. SENATOR GLASS:
- 33. I would move for the adoption of Amendment 1.

1. Committee amendment 1. 2. PRESIDENT: Senator Glass...is there further discussion? 3. Senator Glass moves the adoption of committee Amendment 4 . 5. All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no. The motion carries, Amendment No. 1 is adopted. 7. SECRETARY: Amendment No. 2 by Senator Glass. 8. PRESIDENT: 9. Senator Glass. 10. SENATOR GLASS: 11. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 would 12. clarify that the appointees to the commission from 13. the legislature would be from opposite parties, and 14. I move for the adoption of the amendment. 15. PRESIDENT: 16. Senator Glass moves the adoption of committee 17. Amendment No. 2. Is there further discussion? All 18. in...I'm sorry. This is not a committee amendment, this 19. is an amendment from the Floor. Is there further discussion? 20. All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no. The 21. motion carries. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Are there 22. further amendments from the Floor? 23. SECRETARY: 24. Amendment No. 3 by Senator Glass. 25. PRESIDENT: 26. Senator Glass. 27. SENATOR GLASS: 28. Mr. President, Senators, Amendment 3 would specify 29.

-13-

that the recommendations of the commission do not go

into effect immediately but go into effect sixty days

after submission. I would move for adoption of Amendment

30.

31.

32.

33.

No. 3.

1.	PRESIDENT:
2.	Is there further discussion? Senator Glass moves
3.	the adoption of Amendment No. 3. All in favor signify
4.	by saying aye. Contrary no. The motion carries,
5.	Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Are there further amend-
6.	ments from the Floor? 3rd reading. Senator Fawell,
7.	you have SB 646, you were off the Floor at the time
8.	we passed it by.
9.	SECRETARY:
10.	SB 646 (Secretary reads title of bill)
11.	2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Local Government
12.	offers one amendment.
13.	PRESIDENT:
14.	Senator Fawell.
15.	SENATOR FAWELL:
16.	this is the Home Rule Amendment, I wasI move
17.	the adoption.
18.	PRESIDENT:
19.	Is there further discussion? All in favor signify
20.	by saying aye. Contrary nay. The motion carries.
21.	Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Are there amendments from
22.	the Floor? 3rd reading. Senator Hynes, you were off
23.	the Floor when we reachedhold it, ok. Senator
24.	Fawell, you have another bill, 647. Advance.
25.	SECRETARY:
26.	SB 647 (Secretary reads title of bill)
27.	2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
28.	PRESIDENT:
29.	Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading.
30.	Senator Harber Hall, 858, you wish it advanced? SB 858.
31.	Advance.
22	SECRETARY:

SB 858 '(Secretary reads title of bill)

1. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 2. PRESIDENT: 3. Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. 4. Senator Conolly, advance. SECRETARY: 5. 6. SB 878 (Secretary reads title of bill) 7. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Local 8. Government offers one amendment. 9. PRESIDENT: 10. Senator Conolly. SENATOR CONOLLY: 11. Move the adoption. 12. 13. PRESIDENT: Senator Conolly moves the adoption of committee .14. 15. Amendment No. 1. All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no. The motion carries, Amendment No. 1 is 16. adopted. Are there further...are there amendments from 17. the Floor? 3rd reading. 879, Senator Conolly, advance. 18. SECRETARY: 19. . SB 879 (Secretary reads title of bill) 20. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Local 21. Government offers one amendment. 22. PRESIDENT: 23. Senator Conolly. 24. SENATOR CONOLLY: 25. Move the adoption. 26. PRESIDENT: 27. Senator Conolly moves the adoption of committee 28. Amendment No. 1. All in favor signify by saying aye. 29. Contrary no. The motion carries, Amendment No. 1 is 30. adopted. Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd 31. reading. Senator Fawell, 884. Senator Swinarski, 898.

32.

33.

Advance.

1.	SECRETARY:
2.	SB 898 (Secretary reads title of bill)
3.	2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Local Government
4.	offers one amendment.
5.	PRESIDENT:
6.	Senator Swinarski.
7.	SENATOR SWINARSKI:
8.	No further amendments other than committee amendments.
9.	PRESIDENT:
0.	You move the adoption of
1.	SENATOR SWINARSKI:
2.	adoption of the committee amendment.
3.	PRESIDENT:
.4 .	Senator Swinarski moves the adoption of committee
.5.	Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion? All in favor
.6.	signify by saying aye. Contrary no. The motion carries.
.7.	Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Are there amendments from
.8.	the Floor? 3rd reading. Senator Fawell, do you wish
.9.	to advance 905? Hold. 907. 908, ok. That series.
20.	Senator Merritt, do you wish to advance 915? SB 915.
21.	Senator Newhouse, 916. Advance.
22.	SECRETARY:
23.	SB 916 (Secretary reads title of bill)
24.	2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Judiciary
25.	offers one amendment.
26.	PRESIDENT:
27.	Senator Newhouse. Do you move the adoption of
28.	the amendment? Senator Newhouse moves the adoption of
29.	committee Amendment No. 1. All in favor signify by
30.	saying aye. Contrary no. The motion carries, the
31.	Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Are there amendments from

the Floor? 3rd reading. Senator Newhouse, you were

off the Floor when we passed by SB 688, do you wish to

31.

32.

1.	advance that?
2.	SENATOR NEWHOUSE:
3.	Advance it.
4.	PRESIDENT:
5.	Yes, advance.
6.	SECRETARY:
7.	SB 688 (Secretary reads title of bill)
8.	2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Public Health,
9.	Welfare and Corrections offers one amendment.
10.	PRESIDENT:
11.	Senator Newhouse. You wish to move the adoption of
12.	committee Amendment No. 1, on SB 688. The Committee on
13.	PublicSenator Newhouse moves to adopt committee
14.	Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion? All in favor
15.	of the motion signify by saying aye. Contrary no.
16.	The motion carries. Amendment No. 1 is adopted.
17.	Senator Carroll, 920. Senator McBroom. Senator Merritt,
18.	930. Advance.
19.	SECRETARY:
20.	SB 930 (Secretary reads title of bill)
21.	2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
22.	PRESIDENT:
23.	Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading.
24.	Senator Fawell, 939. Advance.
25.	SECRETARY:
26.	SB 939 (Secretary reads title of bill)
27.	2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
28.	PRESIDENT:
29.	Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading.
30.	Senator Fawell, 940. Senator Fawell, yes, advance.
31.	SECRETARY:
	SR 940 (Secretary reads title of bill)

33. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

1. PRESIDENT:

- Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading.
- 3. Senator McBroom, 973. Senator Rock, 992. 993...Senator
- 4. Harber Hall, 1008, hold. Senator Hynes, 1055. Hold.
- Senator Glass, what about 1061, Senator. Advance.
- 6. SECRETARY:
- 7. SB 1061 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 2nd reading of the bill. No Committee amendments.
- 9. Amendment No. 1 by Senator Hynes.
- 10. PRESIDENT:
- 11. Senator Hynes.
- 12. SENATOR HYNES:
- 13. Amendment No. 1 is the...in effect the consenus of the
- 14. Revenue Committee as to modifications that should be made
- 15. in this bill. It does two things. First, it limits the
- 16. interest that would be payable to four...not to exceed
- four percent. And secondly, it provides that if interest
- 18. is not earned by the County that it will not be payable.
- 19. It does not require the local governmental bodies to...to
- 20. pay from their general fund for any interest. I've checked
- 21. with Senator Harber Hall who suggested this amendment, and
- 22. he has...finds it to meet with his approval. Senator
- 23. Clarke was not on the Floor, and I have not had a chance
- 24. to talk to him. I do not think there will be any
- objection to it, and I would move its adoption.
- 26. PRESIDENT:
- 27. Is there further discussion? All in favor of
- 28. the adoption of the amendment signify by saying aye.
- 29. Contrary no. The motion carries. The amendment is
- 30. adopted. Are there...further amendments from the Floor?
- 31. 3rd reading. Senator Glass, 1078. Hold. Senator
- 32. Latherow, 1082, hold. Senator Schaffer, 1099. Advance.
- 33. SB 1100, advance.

SECRETARY:

- SB 1100 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
- A PRESIDENT:
- 5. Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading.
- 6. Senator Daley, we passed by your name on the order of
- 7. 2nd reading a few minutes ago. There is a ...1027, do
- 8. you wish to advance that bill?
- 9. SECRETARY:
- 10. SB 1027 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 11. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
- 12. Amendment No. 1 by Senator Daley.
- 13. PRESIDENT:
- 14. Senator Daley.
- 15. SENATOR DALEY:
- 16. This is an amendment was suggested by the committee
- 17. members, which stated that in the...whereby the State
- 18. would give \$2.00 worth of aid in the matching fund by
- 19. the City would be \$1.00, and also no municipality may
- 20. receive more than 50% of any such appropriation. This
- deals with the program whereby the Department of Local
- 22. Government would institute a program to aid the cities,
- 23. towns and villages throughout the State in...in destroying
- 24. and demolishing of abandoned buildings.
- 25. PRESIDENT:
- 26. Is there further discussion? All in favor of the
- 27. adoption of the amendment signify by saying aye. Contrary
- 28. no. The motion carries, the amendment is adopted. Do
- 29. you wish to call 1025, Senator Daley? Hold that. 3rd...
- 30. on SB 1027, it is ordered to 3rd reading. The motion...
- 31. Senate Bills on 3rd reading. SB 281. Senator, I did speak
- 32. to a couple of sponsors of these appropriation bills.
- 33. They are exempted from the action deadline.

- Let's...I'm sorry, I should not have called it. ı.
- SB 276, Senator Howard Mohr. 2.
- SECRETARY: 3.
- (Secretary reads title of bill) SB 276 4.
- 3rd reading of the bill. S,
- PRESIDENT: 6.
- Senator Mohr. 7.
- SENATOR HOWARD MOHR: 8.
- Mr. President, this is a bill that allows mayors 9.
- to appoint police and fire chiefs. I would remind 10.
- members that there is an amendment on...an amendment 11.
- on this bill that makes it mandatory for the mayor to 12.
- get the advice and consent of his board or council. 13.
- have been some objections from the Chief of Police 14.
- Association, who now are not objecting based on 15.
- this requirement that we have in the bill. It's 16.
- always been...the authority has always been given 17.
- the municipal or corporate authorities the right to 18.
- appoint, and the right to remove. Until 1971, there 19.
- was a case in...in the Appellate Court in Edwardsville 20.
- dealing with this subject, and the court ruled that 21.
- the municipal officials had the right to appoint, but 22.
- didn't have the right to remove. And what we are
- 23. saying here that it is the responsibility of the
- mayor and the city council to oversee their police
- 25. and fire departments. They are responsible to the
- people and should have this...this right. I know of
- no objection. Now it's supported by the Illinois
- Municipal League, and I would ask for a favorable 29.
- roll call. 30.

26.

27.

- PRESIDENT: 31.
- Senator Rock. 32.
- SENATOR ROCK: 33.

- 1. Yes, Mr. President, I rise in support of SB 276.
- 2. And I would urge our members to give this bill their
- 3. full consideration.
- 4. PRESIDENT:
- 5. Is there further discussion? Question is, shall
- 6. SB 276 pass, and on that question the Secretary will
- 7. call the roll.
- 8. SECRETARY:
- 9. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
- 10. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
- 11. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
- 12. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
- 13. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
- 14. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
- 15. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
- 16. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
- 17. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
- 18. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
- 19. PRESIDENT:
- 20. Senator Buzbee, aye. Newhouse, aye. Senator
- 21. McBroom, aye. Senator Merritt, aye. Senator Carroll,
- 22. Senator Bruce, Senator Netsch, Senator Hynes, Senator
- 23. Sours. On that question the yeas are forty-eight, the
- 24. nays are one. SB 276 having received a constitutional
- majority is declared passed. Senator Berning, do you
- 26. wish to recall SB 2 to the order of 2nd reading? For
- purposes of an amendment.
- 28. SENATOR BERNING:
- 29. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Body.
- 30. PRESIDENT:
- 31. SB 2 is ordered to 2nd reading. Is there leave?
- 32. SB 2, Senator Berning.
- 33. SENATOR BERNING:

- This will be Amendment No. 2. A copy was furnished
 to Senator Rock for his review. All it does is simply
 provide for a two percent service charge to go to the
 clerk for the providing of the services under the
 provisions of SB 2, and I would move for the adoption.
 PRESIDENT:
 - Senator Berning moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment No. 2 be adopted. All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no. The motion carries. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. Senator Buzbee, 293. Senator Partee, 301. Yes. SB 301.
- 14. SECRETARY:

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

20.

33.

- 15. SB 301 (Secretary reads title of bill)
 16. 3rd reading of the bill.
- 17. PRESIDENT:
- 18. Senator Partee.
- 19. SENATOR PARTEE:
- 21, Senator Glass was good enough to give us an amendment 22. to, which we took, and which we think makes this a 23. better bill. This is a bill which would, as expressed 24. by Mr. Weidrich in the Tribune, would be the kind 25. of bill that would be protective of those persons 26. who desire to run a clean establishment and who would 27. have some recourse when persons who sought to buy 28. alcoholic beverage, who were not old enough, would proffer some identification which was later found to 29. 30. be spurious. As you know, a person has to rely on the identification offered him. In those cases where 31. people come in to make purchases, and if a person 32.

This is a bill we discussed before which

came in with spurious identification, this bill provides

- 1. for that person to be dealt with and also gives as a
- defense, not a complete defense, but a...a person
- who is a seller may offer in evidence that fact that
- he was taken advantage of by spurious identification.
- It would also prevent those persons, and they are few
- 6. in number, who are connected with law enforcement
- 7. agencies from utilizing young people to purchase
- alcohol to set up the...the seller with a spurious
- 9. kind of contrived case. It is an excellent piece
- 10. of legislation, made even better by the amendment,
- 11. and I would solicit your support.
- 12. PRESIDENT:
- 13. Is there further discussion? Senator Glass.
- 14. SENATOR GLASS:
- 15. Mr. President and Senators, very briefly, the
- 16. amendment suggested by Senator Roe and myself and
- 17. added in committee that Senator Partee has alluded
- 18. to, I think does make the bill a good bill. Before
- 19. the amendment, there was some question that the
- 20. tavern owner might have a complete defense if he
- 21. relied on a piece of identification that proved to
- 22. be false which we felt was improper and I think
- 23. the way that it is now worded is...is fair that the
- 24. identification and the fact that he showed it to the
- 25. tavern owner may be admitted in evidence in defense
- 26. of a case of this type. I think it is a now a good bill,
- 27. and I will support it.
- 28. PRESIDENT:
- 29. Is there further discussion? The question is
- 30. shall SB 301 pass? Senator Fawell:
- 31. SENATOR FAWELL:
- 32. I...I'm sorry my memory fails me, Senator Partee.
- 33. Would...the actual, and I don't have a copy of the bill

- in my...in my list of bills here. But the actual changes
- 2. then that are made by this bill, are what? I...I can't
- 3. recall. I know I heard it in Judiciary, and you mention
- 4. that Senator Glass has the amendment. It evidently
- 5. changes the wording on page 2, so that the presentation
- of an identification card by a minor is not automatically
- a defense to the actions to the crime of selling to a
- 8. minor. And it simply may be, but...what is the basic
- 9. change? I'm sorry. You...you may have...I think you
- 10. probably quite accurately brought this out, but I...I missed...
- 11. what is the basic change that is being set forth in this
- 12. legislation?
- 13. PRESIDENT:
- 14. Senator Partee.
- 15. SENATOR PARTEE:
- 16. The basic aim of this legislation is to prevent
- 17. persons from using spurious identification for the
- purpose of purchasing alcoholic beverages. Concomitantly,
- 19. it gives the seller the right to use the fact of such
- 20. spurious identification as a defense to any charge which
- 21. he may...may be leveled against him for having sold to
- 22. a minor. There have been some experiences which have
- 23. been the subject matter of the Federal Grand Jury
- 24. in an area of this State, particularly, the Northern
- 25. district of Illinois, Federal Court, where it is alleged
- 26. that policemen have taken youngsters who were not
- 27. old enought into a place to buy beverages and have
- 28. subsequently gone in and arrested the seller, and have
- 29. on some instances not charged them with a crime in
- 30. lieu of a blandishment in form of money. This bill
- 31. would, in my opinion prevent that kind of an operation.
- 32. It would also place in jeopardy any person less that
- 33. 21 who permitted himself to be utilized for such a

- ı. nefarious purpose. It does not give to the person who
- 2. made the sale a carte blanche defense, but it is usable
- in such a case that he was given spurious information.
- That basically is the bill.
- 5. PRESIDENT:
- 6. Senator Fawell.
- 7. SENATOR FAWELL:
- Yes, now, all right. Comes back to me now slowly.
- 9. The one area, and I think you're absolutely correct
- 10. that it would certainly limit the ability of police
- 11. shake down or anything of that sort. The wording in
- 12. which I would have trouble is in subparagraph B on
- 13. page 1, where it would seem to me that what we're
- 14. saying here that adequate written evidence of age and
- 15. identify of the person is any document issued by
- 16. Federal, State, County or Municipal government or sub-
- 17. division including but not limited to a motor operators
- license, or registration certificate, or an identification 19.
- card issued to a member of the armed forces. And evidently,
- 20. it would appear that as long as the person submitted
- 21. what is defined here as adequate written evidence, even
- though it may be fraudulent, that really is all 23.
- that has to be done. The...the picture may be of some-
- 24. one that doesn't even...if it's an identification card
- 25. with a picture, that in no way relates to the identifica-
- 26. tion. Now, I'm_maybe I'm incorrect here, but it seems to
- me that what we're saying is as long as there is any form 28. of identification card presented that...that is...all that
- 29. need be shown.
- 30. PRESIDENT:

22.

- 31. Senator Partee.
- 32. SENATOR PARTEE:
- 33. If it was adequate, it would not be fraudulent.

- 1. By definition it eliminates fraudulent. It would be
- 2. adequate from the standpoint of the seller to be
- given written documentation which is set forth in
- 4. the bill in several forms, but it might not be adequate
- 5. if it were found to be spurious. Now, when you walk
- 6. in and you're eighteen or nineteen years old and you
- 7. have long hair, and you have a large beard, you may look
- like you're twenty-five. And if the seller says, may
- 9. I see your driver's license and you hand him a driver's
- 10. license which is not your driver's license, which is
- 11. a spurious driver's license, then on examination of
- 12. this entire situation, and if it were found to be
- 13. spurious it would not then by definition be adequa
- 13. spurious, it would not then by definition be adequate.
- 14. PRESIDENT:
- 15. Senator Fawell.
- 16. SENATOR FAWELL:
- 17. Well, I... would respectfully differ there Senator,
- 18. because it would appear to me that what we're saying
- 19. here, and I understand the thrust of the bill. I...I
- 20. think there's some real sound basis behind it. But it
- 21. is true that if you present an identification card that
- 22. belongs to someone else, the bill goes on to point out
- 23. that any person under the age of 21 years who presents
- 24. or offers to any licensee, his agent or employee a false,
- 25. fraudulent identification card, that that is a crime.
- 26. And insofar as the minor is concerned, ...but on the
- 27. other hand I think we also ought to make it very
- 28. clear to the Body that what we are saying is that
- 29. tavern owners has a defense here and that any type of
- 30. an identification card as defined in subparagraph B,
- 31. on page 1, even though it is false and fraudulent, for
- 32. which the minor would be committing a crime. Neverthe-
- 33. less, insofar as the tavern operator is concerned he has a

right to rely upon that. I think that that is clearly 1. what the bill does say, and what it means is that, 2. theoretically, a ten year old boy could present one з. of these so-called adequate written evidences. I think 4. so, because you must read literally what it says. That 5 insofar as the purposes of this Act are concerned, ade-6. quate written evidence of age and identity of the person 7. is a document issued by a Federal, State or County, or municipal government, or subdivision or agency thereof, 9. including but not limited to a motor vehicle operators 10. license. Now, it's quite true, that that minor is, 11. committing a crime in so doing it, but the point is 12. that as long as it is any one of these identification modes, .13. the tavern operator has a right to rely upon it and 14. althought as Senator Glass has pointed out that it... 15. it is no longer worded that it shall be a defense, it's 16. just about the same thing when you point out to the 17. Court this...this may be a defense. This is an 18. acceptable defense and what it means is that the tavern 19. operator simply has to say, look you give me any one 20. of these identification cards and I don't...care if it 21. shows that you are only two feet tall and you're seven 22. feet tall; I'll accept it because that will be a defense

25. PRESIDENT:

for me.

23. 24.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Senator Partee.

SENATOR PARTEE:

Senator, you're a good lawyer, and I...the example that you just conjured up, you would not have mentioned had you...read the amendment. The amendment says that not only must a person make a presentation, but it must be reasonably relied upon. Now, if a ten year old boy came in, it is obvious that he did not reasonably rely

upon the card. I'm sure that had you read that amend-1. ment, you would have not made that argument, because it 2. just is absolutely out of place on this bill. 3. person must have two things. That's why I said that 4. the amendment made this a salutary piece of legislation. 5. He must have the card, identification document and he 6. 7. must have reasonably relied on that document. that makes for two considerations, and even those two 8. considerations does not render the tavern keeper, not 9. quilty. He must show by evidence, he has a right to 10. show by evidence that he saw this card and that he 11. reasonably relied upon it. It is up to the court to 12. determine if under those circumstances a man could or 13. should have reasonably relied upon it. We're not giving 14. him anything, we're just giving the...the shopkeeper 15. an opportunity to defend himself, and that's all it .16.

18. PRESIDENT:

17.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Senator Fawell, are you finished?

SENATOR FAWELL:

amounts to.

Just one...one point. I...I...I will agree that when you say reasonably relied upon the presentation of the card that that softens it. But I think that in practicality, we're talking about the fact that as long as the tavern owner can show that there was any one of these particular identification modes handed to him; he would have a right to point out to the court that that is deemed by the legislature to be adequate written evidence, and as a practical matter, it's going to be a form of an out. That I think is going to produce more, much more of a problem than the particular potential that you're referring to. You're saying that the only reason for the presentation of this

- bill is because there are some dishonest cops who will
- shake down tavern operators...which is a practical
- problem and I...I think gives certainly some foundation
- for the presentation of the bill. But I think what
- you're doing is...is completely throwing the baby out
- 6. with the washwater here, because you're...you're opening it
- 7. up. So that we might as well just simply say, let's take the
- 8. ...let's take the age limit down to 18 and forget about it...
- 9. which we may be doing very shortly to 19 at least.
- 10. still will oppose the bill and I think that you're
- 11. creating much more of the potential of harm and unen-
- forceability. You're making the Act basically unen-
- 13. forceable because of the fact that you've got an
- 14. enforceable Act now which is being used detrimentally
- 15. by dishonest police officers. And I...I don't think
- 16. that that means that we have a reasonable piece of legis-
- 17. lation, and I will stand in opposition to it.
- 18. PRESIDENT:
- 19. Senator Sours.
- 20. SENATOR SOURS:
- 21. I'd like to ask, Mr. President, Senator Partee a
- 22. question or two.
- 23. PRESIDENT:
- 24. He indicates he'll yield. Senator Sours.
- 25. SENATOR SOURS:
- 26. Can you conceive of one errant tavern owner whose
- 27. license would be revoked once this bill were passed?
- 28. And if so, give me the factual situation.
- 29. PRESIDENT:
- 30. Senator Partee.
- 31. SENATOR PARTEE:
- 32. Yes, I can Senator. If a person came into an
- 33. establishment who was obviously not the person whose

- card he had, or whose driver's license he had. I would
- certainly think that he'd be...tavern owner could not
- 3. say that he reasonably relied upon that identification.
- 4. Let me give you an example, that takes me back a few
- years. I had an occasion once while in the State's
- 6. Attorneys office to look into the matter of a bum check,
- 7. and when I brought in the gentleman who had cashed the
- 8. check, I said, how could you reasonably rely on the
- 9. fact that the man who offered you this check was the
- 10. person to whom it was drawn. The man who offered the
- 11. check was of the Negro or black race and the person
- 12. whose name was on it was an obvious Polish name. And
- 13. I said now you just ought to know that you can't reasonably
- 14. rely on the fact that this is the person who is there.
- 15. I can think of myriad examples where a person would
- 16. not reasonably rely on any indentification shown and
- 17. would not make the sale on that basis, and of course,
- 18. would not be found not quilty if such a bill passed.
- 19. PRESIDENT:

- Senator Sours.
- 21. SENATOR SOURS:
- 22. I have to agree with Senator Fawell on this. This
- 23. is saloon keepers legislation. I thought we had finished
- 24. with them last semester when we took off liability on the

shop. Now, this bill simply says that the judge can

- 25. dram shop and other...other scavanger acts on the dram
- 27. even instruct the jury if it gets into a...a situation
- 28. where you have a jury, that this was reasonable, because
- 29. it says, beginning on line 26, it defines on page 1,
- 30. it defines, it says, "adequate written evidence of age
- 31. and so forth, and so forth is a document. Then it
- 32. goes on to say at the bottom of that page, "proof that
- 33. the defendant, licensee, or his employee or agent

- demanded, was shown and acted in reliance. Now, the l.
- rule of reason, whose rule is that? Yours or mine? 2.
- I can see a situation here as Senator Fawell stated a 3.
- minute ago. We have some juvenile come in, and he has 4.
- 5, some spurious evidence, identifying him as a certain
- 6. person. Now, there's a reason...there's a reason and
- 7. it's been on the books for many years, to deprive
- 8. certain minors of the right to go into a saloon,
- or a tavern, you call them anything you want and 9.
- 10. buy liquor. Now, I think we ought to either abolish
- the rule completely, or keep it completely. Now, the 11.
- way the law is now, it is a positive duty of the 12.
- tavern owner or his agents, and servants, his bartenders, 13.
- 14. it is a positive duty that the party who is receiving
- 15. the alcoholic beverage is an adult person. Now anything
- less than that is putting garlic in the soup again. 16.
- 17. This is bad legislation. It's typical of the saloon
- 18. keepers lobby, and ought to be defeated.
- 19. PRESIDENT:

- Is there further discussion? Senator Partee. 20.
- 21. SENATOR PARTEE:
- 22. There's been a great deal of misunderstanding
- 23. and there has been verbalized here some things about
- 24. this bill which just simply do not exist. Basically and
- 25. fundamentally, the liquor industry is with us. Now,
- if you want to repeal the sale of alcohol, that's 26.
- one thing. Now one of the cities in this State has 27.
- a very large distributorship and sells liquor through-28.
- out the world, and as long as we have saloons, as 29.
- long as we permit the sale of alcoholic beverages, I see absolutely nothing wrong with trying to regulate it
- in the best interest of the entire community as well as 32.
- the industry. Now, you and I know that the saloons, 33.

- the persons who sell alcohol are under very, very
- different kinds of laws than ordinary businesses. As
- Bob Weidrich points out in his Tower Ticket, if a de-
- partment store customer drives a stake into the heart
- 5. of another, the offender is arrested. But the store
- 6. remains open. If the same occurs in a tavern, the
- place is immediately placed in jeopardy of losing
- its license. We do make a terrible difference, and
- we're not trying to change that difference. But that
- 10. we do make a very long difference between the
- 11. operation of this legitimate business and other legitimate
- 12. business. Now, I'll tell you that the threat of
- 13. liquor license revocation puts a golden hammer in
- 14. the hands of a wrong policeman to beat tavern owners
- 15. and retail dealers into submission without ever going
- 16. to court. And I say to you that this bill as it would
- 17. operate would say to the tavern dealer; you are a
- legitimate business, you have a license to pay this,
- 19. and if you make the reasonable effort to determine
- 20. if the person is a minor, then you may use that in
- 21. court if you're charged with a violation. That's only
- 22. humanistically fair to give any licensee the right to
- 23. prove or to disprove any issue in a court which is
- 24. brought against him. What is the other alternative?
- 25. If a person is afraid, or has any kind of inkling that
- 26. the person is not a minor and he refuses to sell, then
- 27. he loses business. But here is an opportunity as
- 28. I see it for him to have some guidelines, some standards.
- 29. We can't say to him, you pick them out...minors, at their
- peril. We can't say that. You can't tell a minor from
- 31. anybody else these days, under certain circumstances.
- So do we say to the man whose selling alcoholic beverages,
- 33. here are some standards by which you may decide whether

- 1. or not this person should be served. You have to
- first of all on the basiis of your experience in life
- reasonably rely that the information which he gives
- 4. you in the form of a draft card or a driver's license
- 5. or some other very, very acceptable identification,
- 6. determine if he is or is not a minor. And on that
- 7. basis you can make a decision as to whether or not
- 8. a sale should be made to that person. I'm sorry that
- 9. the things have been said about this bill that just
- 10. simply aren't so. And if a man has a duty to see
- 11. whether they are a minor or not, we ought to give
- 12. them some standards by which to make that determination.
- And I'm grateful to those, Senators Glass and Roe,
- 14. who gave us this succinct amendment that makes it
- 15. a better bill, and it is a good piece of legislation.
- 16. And I earnestly solicit your vote.
- 17. PRESIDENT:
- 18. The question is shall SB 301 pass? And on that
- 19. question the Secretary will call the roll.
- 20. SECRETARY:
- 21. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
- 22. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
- 23. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
- 24. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
- 25. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
- 26. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
- 27. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
- 28. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
- 29. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
- 30. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
- 31. PRESIDENT:
- 32. Senator Mitchler, aye. Senator Davidson, aye.
- 33. Senator McBroom, aye. Senator Latherow wishes to be

1. recorded present. On that question the yeas are thirty-2. seven, the mays are three, one voting present. The bill 3. having received a constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Mohr, do you wish to call 311? Senator Sours, 313? Senator Sours, do you wish to call SB 313? 5. SECRETARY: 7. SB 313 (Secretary reads title of bill) 8. 3rd reading of the bill. 9. PRESIDENT: 10. Senator Sours. SENATOR SOURS: 11. 12. Mr. President, Senators, this is a bill given to me by Bob Stuart, of the Park Board Association. It 13. provides a front door referendum and the syllabus is 14. its full explanation. It's for certain funds on 15. referendum only, front door referendum to operate a 16. 17. police system within a park board. 18. PRESIDENT: 19. Senator Rock. SENATOR ROCK: 20. 21. Well, the front door referendum, however does not apply to park districts organized after July 1, 1973. 22. It applies to those organized prior thereto. Is that 23. not correct? 24. PRESIDENT: 25. Senator Sours. 26. SENATOR SOURS: 27. Yes, I think he's correct. 28. PRESIDENT: 29. Senator Rock. 30. SENATOR ROCK: 31. From whence sprang this bill please, I missed your 32.

opening comment.

1. PRESIDENT:

Senator Sours.

3. SENATOR SOURS:

4. Bob Stuart, Springfield lawyer, represents the

5. Park Board Association people. He brought this bill.

6. I know of only one opponent, I might just as well

7. disclose that, that that is the North Michigan Avenue

8. Association. This is a front door referendum for the

purpose of maintaining a police system only in a park

10. board.

12. 13.

14.

15. 16.

17.

23.

28.

30.

31.

32.

33.

11. PRESIDENT:

Is there further discussion? Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Question of the sponsor, Mr. President. Senator

Sours, does this allow the park boards or the districts to

contract from municipalities or counties to provide

police protection or within the district.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Senator Sours.

20. SENATOR SOURS:

21. Senator Weaver, I believe this bill provides for22. the police system within the park board only, and not

contract out. It's just an additional..it's an additional

24. tax on the front door referendum, the people either want

25. it or they don't and it doesn't matter one way or the

26. other to me.

27. PRESTDENT:

Senator Weaver.

29. SENATOR WEAVER:

I would just think it would just be more economical for most park districts to contract with the local municipality or the county to provide this service. And it might be more acceptable to the public in a referendum if that were possible.

- 1. PRESIDENT: 2. Senator Sours. З. SENATOR SOURS: 4. I'm told, I haven't read this bill for a while, that 5. what he inquires is a fact. That such funds shall be used 6. for the organization and so forth, and they can also 7. pay to it a municipality or other body already having a 8. police force establishment. That appears on the first page 9. of the bill. 10. PRESIDENT: 11. Senator Weaver. 12. SENATOR WEAVER: 13. Well, I certainly rise in support of this because 14. most municipalities are providing this service with no... 15. at no cost to the park district and this would be one 16. way in which to supplement police protection for the 17. municipality and the park district. 18. PRESIDENT: 19. Is there further...Senator Rock. 20. SENATOR ROCK: 21. Well, my only question is why...why are we exempting 22. those districts established after July 1, 73? Why not just 23. make it across the board applicable to all park districts, 24. period. 25. PRESIDENT: 26. Senator Sours. 27. SENATOR SOURS: Well, park boards commencing to live after that 28. 29. date, Senator, this could be in the very referendum setting up that system after that date. It could be. 30.
 - Senator Rock.

PRESIDENT:

31.

32.

33.

I think that's an adequate answer.

SENATOR ROCK: 1. No...no question but that it could. Why not make it 2. be so? Why exempt them? 3. PRESIDENT: 4. Senator Sours. 5. SENATOR SOURS: 6. This bill says on line 28, page 1, no tax...no 7. 8. such tax shall be levied in any such district, except 9. those park districts organized after July 1, 1973, until 10. the question of levying such tax has first been submitted to the voters of such district, at any general or special 11. election". 12. PRESIDENT: 13. Senator Rock. 14. SENATOR ROCK: 15. Well, I...I also can read. That's...that's the... 16. genesis of my question. Why the except, between the 17. 18. two commas, why are we exempting those park districts organized after July 1? Everybody else has got to have 19. 20. a front door referendum except some park districts in... on the planning board that are going to be organized 21. 22. after July 1, '73. I say why? PRESIDENT: 23. 24. Senator Sours. SENATOR SOURS: 25. I don't think that necessarily follows: 26. PRESTDENT: 27. Is there further discussion? Question is shall 28. SB 313 pass. And on that question, the Secretary will 29. call the roll. 30. SECRETARY: 31. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, 32.

Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson,

- Donnewald, Dougherty, Fawell,
- 2. PRESIDENT:
- Senator Fawell.
- 4. SENATOR FAWELL:

Well, just briefly, I want to rise in support of 5. the bill. I think Senator Rock has brought out a good 6. point. But I think that the answer is that as a practical 7. matter very few park districts are going to be organized 8. after this date, but those that are, the people will have 9. 10. the chance to vote upon all of the permissive tax rates. They will know that by voting in the referendum that they 11. are going to be authorizing a permissive tax for police 12. expenses in the park district. And, therefore, you do...you 13. do really have a front door referendum for everyone. But 14. more important than that, I would implore you on the other 15. side of the aisle to recognize that this is very much of 16. a problem downstate and we do need the legislation with 17. the referendum so that the existing park district can be 18. able with the consent of the people to have the police 19. protection which is very, very necessary. And I repeat, 20. that as long as any new district to be created is going 21, 22. to have to present to the people the question as to whether or not they will go along with the permissive tax 23.

26. SECRETARY:

24.

25.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Hynes,
Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Latherow,
McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard Mohr,
Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga,
Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, Saperstein,
Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, Sommer,
Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver,

for police authority, I think you have the front door

referendum for everyone. And I vote aye.

- 1. Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
- 2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER)
- On that question there are thirty-seven yeas,
- 4. one may. SB 313 having received a constitutional
- 5. majority is declared passed. Senator Schaffer, 320.
- 6. Senator Schaffer. Senator Sours, 323. 324. SB 324.
- 5 SECRETARY:
- 8. SB 324 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 9. 3rd reading of the bill.
- 10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- Senator Sours.
- 12. SENATOR SOURS:
- 13. ...we probably ought to consider these two bills
- 14. together, Mr. President, because they...they involve the
- 15. same transaction.
- 16. SECRETARY:
- 17. SB 325...SB 325. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 18. 3rd reading of the bill.
- 19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER)
- 20. Senator Sours there'll be separate rool calls on
- 21. these bills, but you may discuss them together...Senator
- 22. Sours.
- 23. SENATOR SOURS:
- 24. The genesis of these two bills, Mr. President and
- 25. Senators, is simply this: What is the remedy of an
- 26. automobile owner, for example, whose automobile is gamaged
- 27. or who may be injured when a collision occurs between
- 28. his automobile and a truck having a certificate of
- 29. convenience and necessity under the Commerce Commission.
- 30. And as a corporation was granted that certificate, and
- 31. thereafter, the corporation because of nonpayment of tax
- 32. and charges, whatever the case may be, is de-incorporated.
- 33. By that I mean, dissolved in the Circuit Court of

- 1. Sangamon County, or elsewhere. That leaves an aggrieved
- 2. person with no party to sue, because the corporation
- 3. which had the certificate of convenience and necessity
- 4. is out of business. One never knows where the officers
- 5. might be, besides they wouldn't be liable anyway, or any
- 6. of the parties involved other than the actual driver
- 7. of the truck who may be the proud owner of a suit of
- 8. clothes and a pair of shoes, period. Now, these two
- 9. bills say that where a corporation enjoying a certificate
- 10. of convenience and necessity is dissolved or is no longer
- 11. in exixtance, that fact must be furnished by the Commerce
- 12. Commission. So as to have the Commerce Commission
- 13. revoke and go out and pick up the certificate of
- 14. convenience and necessity. That is all this does.
- 15. It is a good bill, because there are probably a number
- 16. of trucking companies, conceivably, corporations originally
- 17. no longer in the corporate form. In fact, dissolved,
- 18. still operating under the certificate. This is some
- 19. protection for the person who would be injured and whose
- 20. property would be destroyed. These are good bills,
- 21. and I believe they merit the approval of this Chamber.
- 22. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 23. Are there any questions? Further discussion?
- 24. The question is shall SB 324 pass? And on that question
- 25. the Secretary will call the roll.
- 26. SECRETARY:
- 27. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
- 28. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
- 29. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
- 30. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
- 31. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
- 32. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
- Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,

- 1. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
- Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
- 3. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
- 4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 5. Newhouse, aye. Buzbee, aye. Saperstein, Aye.
- Wooten, aye. On that question the ayes are thirty-
- 7. four, the mays are none. SB 324 having received a
- 8. constitutional majority is declared passed. Is there
- 9. further discussion on SB 325? If not, the question is
- 10. shall SB 325 pass? And on that question the Secretary
- 11. will call the roll.
- 12. SECRETARY:
- Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
- 14. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson,
- Donnewald, Dougherty, Fawell,
- 16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 17. Senator Donnewald.
- 18. SENATOR DONNEWALD:
- 19. May I address this to the sponsor? Well, Senator,
- 20. SB 325 is applicable to utilities and also applicable
- 21. to motor carriers?
- 22. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- Senator Sours.
- 24. SENATOR SOURS:
- 25. Yes, Mr. President and Senators, these...these bills
- 26. affect that situation where a trucking company for
- 27. example has a certificate from the Commerce Commission,
- 28. and as you know when they...when the certificate is
- 29. issued, there's an...also certificate of insurance, public
- 30. liability policy number and all that...that resides with
- 31. the Commerce Commission. Now the problem arises that
- 32. there is no present system whereby an aggrieved party
- 33. who may be injured has a defendent if the...other than

- 1. the truck driver himself. And there's no superior in
- 2. the doctrine of principle and agency if the corporation
- 3. has been dissolved. And that's...that's the situation
- 4. these two bills attack. There's no way to get the
- 5. trucking company into court because as a corporation
- 6. it has been dissolved, and no longer exists. And
- 7. there's no personal liability on the officers and
- 8. directors. You've got one man to sue and that's the
- 9. driver.
- 10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 11. Senator Donnewald.
- 12. SENATOR DONNEWALD:
- 13. Yes, one more question. What will this cost
- 14. in additional monies as far as the Commerce Commission
- 15. is concerned? Have you made a study or inquiry in that
- 16. or on that?
- 17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 18. Senator Sours.
- 19. SENATOR SOURS:
- 20. I, Paul Maunton and the...an agent, representative
- 21. of the Commerce Commission and I have discussed this.
- 22. The cost is minuscule. If it needs...if it needs a
- 23. small appropriation, we can do that too. I think the
- 24. public ought to be protected.
- 25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 26. Senator Donnewald.
- 27. SENATOR DONNEWALD:
- 28. Well, what...what does minuscule mean, Senator?
- 29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 30. Senator Sours.
- 31. SENATOR SOURS:
- 32. That's the size of the tip that it's variously rumored
- 33. that you give your barber.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 2. Senator Donnewald. 3. SECRETARY: 4. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth 5. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, 6. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard 7. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, 8. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, 9. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, 10. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, 11. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President. 12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 13. Saperstein, aye. Newhouse, aye. Harber Hall, 14. aye. On that question the ayes are thirty-six, the 15. nays are two. SB 325 having received a constitutional 16. majority is hereby declared passed. SB 327, Senator Regner. 17. SECRETARY: 18. (Secretary reads title of bill) SB 327 19. 3rd reading of the bill. 20. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 21. Senator Regner. 22. SENATOR REGNER: 23. Mr. President, members of the Senate, SB 327 24. authorizes the Junior College Boards to regulate and 25. control traffic on their own property. And that's 26. all it does and was asked for by the Junior College 27. Board, and I ask for your support. 28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 29. Senator Buzbee. 30. SENATOR BUZBEE: Thank you, Mr. President, would the sponsor yield 31.

32.

33.

to a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

1. Senator Regner. 2. SENATOR BUZBEE: 3. In committee when this bill was heard, there was a 4. considerable amount of confusion as to where the money 5. from the fines that would be collected go. 6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 7. Senator Regner. 8. SENATOR REGNER: 9. Senator Buzbee, that was Amendment No. 2 that was 10. put on the Floor. It was not a committee amendment, but 11. I put the amendment on saying that the Junior College 12. districts shall not receive the fines, so they would 13. go to the local governments involved in that area. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 15. Senator Buzbee. 16. SENATOR BUZBEE: 17. Thank you, that clears up my question. 18. the bill. 19. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 20. Any other discussion? The question is shall SB 327 21, pass? And on that question the Secretary will call the 22. roll. 23. SECRETARY: 24. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, 25. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, 26. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth 27. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, 28. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard

44

Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,

Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,

Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,

Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,

29.

30.

31.

32.

- 1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- Donnewald, aye. Newhouse, aye. Romano, aye.
- On that question the ayes are forty-six, the nays
- 4. are none...zero. SB 327 having received a constitutional
- majority is declared passed. SB 328, Senator Fawell.
- 6. SECRETARY:
- SB 328 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 3rd reading of the bill.
- 9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 10. Senator Fawell.
- 11. SENATOR FAWELL:
- 12. Yes, Mr. President, members of the Senate, SB 328
- 13. is a bill given to me by the Illinois Park District
- 14. Association. And what it does is to authorize park
- 15. districts to issue revenue bonds for the construction
- 16. of recreation facilities, so that in other words, rather
- 17. than having each separate mode of recreation facility
- 18. having a separate Act, this sets forth that any re-
- 19. creational facilities that are to be constructed
- 20. and financed through the revenues can...they can
- 21. utilize this particular Act in...in selling the bonds.
- 22. I know of no opposition and would ask for a favorable
- 23. roll call on the...from the Body.
- 24. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 25. Is there any discussion? The question is shall
- 26. SB 328 pass? And on that question the Secretary will
- 27. call the roll.
- 28. SECRETARY:
- 29. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
- 30. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
- 31. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
- 32. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
- 33. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard

- 1. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
- Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
- 3. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
- Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
- 5. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
- 6. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 7. Donnewald, aye. Buzbee, aye. Hall, aye. Newhouse,
- 8. aye. Regner, aye. Ken Hall, aye. Carroll, aye. On
- 9. that question the ayes are forty-six. The mays are none.
- 10. SB 328 having received a constitutional majority is
- 11. hereby declared passed. SB 329.
- 12. SECRETARY:
- 13. SB 329 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 14. 3rd reading of the bill.
- 15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 16. Senator Fawell.
- 17. SENATOR FAWELL:
- 18. Yes, Mr. President, members of the Senate, this
- 19. is another in a series of bills given by the Illinois
- 20. Park District Association. It was reported out of the...
- 21. Committee on Local Government unanimously. What it
- 22. does is to amend the existing law which now states
- 23. that if in regards to a vacancy in the office of park
- 24. district commissioner, if there is sufficient time to
- 25. meet the requirement for nomination the..there
- 26. must be an election. Otherwise, the law now states that
- 27. the person appointed to fill the vacancy shall hold
- 28. his office until the expiration of the term for which
- 29. he was appointed. What this bill does is to in effect
- 30. state that he will, he must run whenever the next
- 31. election comes along. Now, if he doesn't have time
- 32. to qualify, he won't have to run in the first election
- 33. after his appointment, but the next ensuing election

- 1. for which he has time in which to file his petition
- papers, he must do so. Again, I know of no opposition
- 3. and ask for a favorable roll call.
- 4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- Senator Berning.
- 6. SENATOR BERNING:
- 7. I...like to direct a question to the sponsor.
- 8. As I interpret this, it would actually eliminate the
- 9. requirement for a person to file an ethic statement
- 10. or anything else until the next subsequent election
- 11. which could be four years away. Is that the way...?
- 12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 13. Senator Fawell.
- 14. SENATOR BERNING:
- 15. ...you read this.
- 16. SENATOR FAWELL:
- 17. I'm...I'm sorry there's so much noise in the back-
- 18. ground here, I couldn't get the question.
- 19. SENATOR BERNING:
- 20. My question is, in reading this, does it not
- 21. eliminate or attempt to eliminate from a person's
- 22. requirement in fulfilling the office the need to
- 23. file an ethics statement, until the next subsequent
- 24. election which is four years away. I don't see how
- 25. we could do that.
- 26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 27. Senator Fawell.
- 28. SENATOR FAWELL:
- 29. No, it...it in no way effects the ethics statement.
- 30. It does not address itself to that whatsoever.
- 31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 32. Senator Berning.
- 33. SENATOR BERNING:

- It says to meet the requirements for nomination. And
- doesn't that...the ethic statement also fall in that
- 3. category?
- 4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- Senator Fawell.
- 6. SENATOR FAWELL:
- No, not...not as far as the park district code is
- 8. concerned. We're talking here about the requirements
- 9. under the Park District Code for the filing of petition
- for nomination. And has nothing to do with a separate
- 11. distinct statute to which you are referring that refers
- 12. to the obligation at given times for the filing of
- 13. ethic statements and by all nominees, whether park
- 14. district, city, county whatever it may be. It has,
- 15. Senator, nothing to do and in no way effects the
- 16. problem of filing your ethics statement as a nominee.
- 17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 18. Any further discussion? The question is shall
- 19. SB 329 pass? And on that question, the Secretary will
- 20. call the roll.
- 21. SECRETARY:
- 22. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
- 23. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
- 24. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
- 25. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
- 26. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
- 27. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
- 28. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
- 29. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
- 30. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
- 31. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
- 32. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 33. Donnewald, aye. On that question the ayes are

- 1. fifty-two, the mays are none. SR 329 having received
- a constitutional majority is declared passed. SB 330,
- Senator Fawell. Read the bill.
- 4. SECRETARY:
- 5. SB 330 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 6. 3rd reading of the bill.
- 7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- Senator Fawell.
- 9. SENATOR FAWELL:
- 10. Yes, Mr. President, members of the Senate, this
- 11. also is part of the package of the Illinois Park
- 12. District Association sponsored bills. It received
- 13. the unanimous endorsement from the Committee on
- 14. Local Government. What it does is to amend the
- 15. park district code to provide that, the area to be
- included in a proposed incorporated park district,
- 17. must have at least a 20 million assessed valuation.
- 18. Again, I know of no opposition to this bill and ask
- 19. for a favorable roll call, a majority roll call.
- 20. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 21. Is there any discussion? The question is shall
- 22. SB 330 pass? And on that question the Secretary will
- 23. call the roll.
- 24. SECRETARY:
- 25. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
- 26. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
- 27. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
- 28. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
- 29. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
- 30. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
- 31. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
- 32. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
- 33. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,

- Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
- 2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 3. Romano, aye. On that question the ayes are fifty-
- 4. one. The navs are none. SB 330 having received a con-
- 5. stitutional majority is declared passed. SB 331.
- 6. SECRETARY:
- 7. SB 311 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 3rd reading of the bill.
- 9. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 10. Senator Fawell.
- 11. SENATOR FAWELL:
- 12. Yes, Mr. President, members of the Senate, SB 331
- 13. is the last of four bills given to me by the Illinois
- 14. Park District Association. What this bill does is to
- 15. amend the park district code to provide that disconnection
- 16. of territory from a park district cannot be granted in
- 17. the event it reduces the assessed valuation of the existing
- 18. district to less than 20 million. I would ask for the
- 19. favorable roll call.
- 20. PRESUDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 21. Any discussion? The question is shall SB 331 pass?
- 22. And on that question the Secretary will call the roll.
- 23. SECRETARY:
- 24. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
- 25. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
- 26. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
- 27. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
- 28. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
- 29. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
- 30. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
- 31. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
- 32. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
- 33. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

- ı. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 2. On that question the ayes are fifty, and the nays
- З. are none. SB 331 having received a constitutional
- majority is declared passed. SB 332, Senator... 4.
- 5. 332.

25.

28.

- 6. SECRETARY:
- 7. (Secretary reads title of bill) SB 332
- 8. 3rd reading of the bill.
- 9. (SENATOR WEAVER): PRESIDING OFFICER
- 10. Senator McCarthy.
- 11. SENATOR MCCARTHY:
- Yes, Mr. President, members of the Senate. SB 332 12.
- 13. I think is a candidate for a nearly unanimous vote by
- the Body. What it does is this: When we passed a bill 14.
- 15. last Session making defective tires a violation of the
- motor vehicle code, we forgot to specify whether or not 16.
- that tire type of violation was in fact a moving violation. 17.
- 18. And the genesis of the bill that I propose to you now
- 19. is a telephone call-in show where early in February
- 20. this year one of my constituents called in and
- said I understand now that if you don't have your tires 21,
- 22. a certain way that's a moving violation and you lose
- 23. your driver's license. I looked at the Motor Vehicle
- 24. Code and found that the Section we now wish to amend
- did not have this Section in the Act and so I'm attempt-
- ing to add it. Now it works out this way. A tire violation 26.

of the Motor Vehicle Act. But if this bill is passed,

- still is a Class B misdemeanor and subject to penalities 27.
- this will be the type of violation along with I believe 29.
- 68 general equipment type violations that are not 30.
- considered to be moving violations for purpose of 31.
- suspension or revocation of a motor vehicle driver's 32.
- license. Peter Miller, formerly of the Senate and House 33.

- 1. is representing the Teamsters now. He tells me that the
- 2. Teamsters are strongly in favor of this legislation, was
- 3. heard in committee, and I understand passed unanimously.
- 4. I think it's an excellent bill and one that follows our
- 5. legislative spirit in the past. If there's any questions
- 6. that any of the members have, I'll be happy to answer them
- 7. if there's no objection, I strongly encourage we have a
- 8. favorable vote. This is one that will, I think, help your
- 9. people.
- 10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 11. Is there any further discussion? The question is
- 12. shall SB 332 pass and on that question the Secretary will
- 13. call the roll.
- 14. SECRETARY:
- 15. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
- 16. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
- 17. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
- 18. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
- 19. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
- 20. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
- 21. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
- 22. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
- 23. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
- 24. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
- 25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 26. Hall, aye. Schaffer, aye. On that question the
- 27. ayes are forty-nine, the mays are none. SB 332 having
- 28. received a constitutional majority is declared passed.
- 29. SB 336, Senator Partee. SB 334, Senator McCarthy.
- 30. SECRETARY:
- 31. SB 343.
- 32. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER)
- 33. SB 344, Mr. Secretary.

1. SECRETARY:

31.

32.

33.

- SB 344 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 3rd reading of the bill.
- 4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- Senator McCarthy.
- 6. SENATOR MCCARTHY:

7. Yes, Mr. President, members of the Senate, I think 8. this area is perhaps a little bit more...I'm going to use 9. the word controversial. It's a bad choice of words, but at 10. least, there are different opinions on...on this entire 11. subject. I'd like to explain where the bill came from, 12. and where...why it is in the shape it is now. What the 13. bill does is change the studded tire permissible period 14. in Illinois. At the present time, studded tires are 15. permitted on Illinois highways between October 1, and 16. May 1 of each winter. Say it again. You can put the 17. tires on October 1 and leave them on until May 1st of 18. the next year in Illinois. This bill changes that to 19. where you put them on now legally if passed, November 1st, 20. and you must remove them by April 1st. So what it does, it 21. cuts out October and it cuts out April as permissible 22. periods on a set of tires. This bill is a product, 23. Mr. President, of the Motor Vehicle Laws Commission, 24. and Representative Neff and Senator Course and myself 25. who are in charge of a subcommittee. And we had two 26. public hearings on this bill. People from the Chicago 27. Motor Club wanted to retain the use of studded tires. 28. Certain people in the engineering field thought that the 29. studded tires were causing excessive wear on our high-30. ways, and they wanted them banned. What we did in the sub-

committee was come forth with a compromise that was

acceptable to the Chicago Motor Club. Acceptable to

the tire industry, and the Department of Transportation

- never did take a position one way or another. So
- 2. what we have is a blend of compromise in this very
- 3. simple bill which deletes the use of studded tires for
- 4. these two months, October and April. Taking all of the
- 5. factors into consideration we feel that this will
- 6. retain for the highway user the safety that he thinks
- he has real or imagine in his...in his studded tire,
- 8. and they want these studded tires. They want to be
- 9. able to use them. We feel that in eliminating their
- 10. use during October and April, Mr. President, we will
- 11. save the taxpayers money because the roads won't be
- 12. chewed up during those two months when there isn't
- 13. much ice, snow on the highway. So, I could go on and
- 14. on, and Kenny Course is available to speak on it.
- 15. But this is the product of it and I recommend the
- 16. legislation to you subject to the questions and the
- 17. explanations of the other members of the Body.
- 18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- Senator Course.
- 20. SENATOR COURSE:
- 21. Yes, Mr. President, members of the Senate, I was
- 22. privileged to study...to be a member of this sub-
- 23. committee of the Motor Vehicle Laws Commission with
- 24. Senator McCarthy and Representative Neff, and we did
- 25. hear extensive testimony from the people who Senator
- McCarthy mentioned. And it was our final conclusion
- 27. that we would save the people of the State of Illinois
- 28. probably sixty million dollars a year by just shortening
- 29. this term two months. One month in the beginning and
- 30. one month on the end. So, I would recommend the
- 31. membership on this side of the aisle to support this
- 32. legislation. Thank you.
- 33. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

in the name
TO STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PA
Snip

- 1. Is there further discussion? The question is shall
- 2. SB 344 pass? And on that question the Secretary will
- call the roll.
- 4. ACTING SECRETARY (MR. WRIGHT)
- 5. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
- 6. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
- 7. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
- 8. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
- 9. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
- 10. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
- 11. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
- 12. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
- 13. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
- 14. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
- 15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 16. Romano, aye. Senator Clarke, aye. Knuppel, aye.
- 17. On that question the ayes are forty-eight, the mays
- 18. are none. SB 344 having received a constitutional
- 19. majority is declared passed. SB 345.
- 20. SECRETARY:
- 21. SB 345 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 22. 3rd reading of the bill.
- PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER)
- 24. Senator Fawell.
- 25. SENATOR FAWELL:
- 26. Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate,
- 27. if I may, I'd give an explanation here for both 345
- 28. and 346. They are companion bills, and in fact, the
- 29. more important of the two is 346. These bills are
- 30. the product of the...of a committee of the Chicago
- 31. Bar Association in conjunction with the Illinois
- 32. Children's Commission, and the basic thrust of the
- 33. two bills is to rectify the results of the U.S Supreme

- Court decision which in effect tells in regard to ı. the adoption of children that the punitive father of the 2.
- illegitimate child has to be given proper notice 3.
- and has to have a due process procedure before his 4.
- rights can be cut off in regard to the child. And 5.
- the Illinois Supreme Court has of course had to follow 6.
- the dictates of the U.S. Supreme Court, has therefore, 7.
- held that the existing adoption laws in Illinois are 8.
- unconstitutional in part. So what we are doing in 9.
- SB 346 is to set forth basic due process procedures 10.
- in regard to the obtaining of a consent from the 11.
- punitive father of the illegitimate child or the surrender. 12.
- And also to set up a procedure whereby his rights 13.
- can be terminated by certain notice procedure that 14.
- is set forth. That is the thrust, there are a number of 15.
- details throughout the legislation, but that is the thrust 16.
 - of the bill, to set forth a due process procedure
- whereby the rights of the punitive father of the 18.
- illegitimate child can be terminated. 19.
- (SENATOR WEAVER): PRESIDING OFFICER 20.
- Senator Dougherty. 21.
- SENATOR DOUGHERTY: 22.

17.

27.

- Mr. President and members of the Senate, I'm a 23.
- co-sponsor of these bills and I join with Senator 24.
- Fawell in asking that they be approved and supported 25.
- by this group. As the original sponsor of the bill 26.
- that set up this program and the Adoption Act of 1959,
- and the President of this Senate was a member of 28.
- the Commission. Former Governor...former Governor 29.
- Kerner and former Lieutenant Governor Paul Simon, Tony
- Scariano, former Senator Canfield. This bill specifically 31.
- provides, as originally drafted, that the father of 32.
- a child born out of wedlock, to use a kinder term, 33.

- 1. would have no right whatsoever. We wrote that right
- 2. into the Act, that the father...punitive father would
- 3. have no rights whatsoever; the reasons for that was...
- was to guard against any shake downs of the petitioners,
- 5. or interference with the rights of the mother. Because
- 6. we use the term sole surviving parent to designate
- 7. the mother of a child born out of wedlock and her rights
- to consent. We have added some further safety provisions
- 9. but the Supreme Court of the United States held that
- Section unconstitutional, not because the Act itself
- 11. is unconstitutional; it was because of the particular
- case they were handling. I was a witness in the case,
- 13. and it did not have general application that would
- 14. apply to a man who had lived in common law with a
- 15. woman for seventeen or eighteen years, and fathered
- 16. several children. He did not abandon them. He did
- 17. support them. And therefore, when he went through the
- 18. courts, they held that he did have a right to notification,
- 19. and he did have a right to consent or to object to the...
- 20. adoption of his children. These are very fine bills,
- 21. that are badly needed, and I urge this Body to support
- 22. them.
- 23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 24. Further discussion? The question is shall SB 345
- 25. pass? And on that question the Secretary will call the
- 26. roll.
- 27. SECRETARY:
- 28. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
- 29. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
- 30. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
- 31. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
- 32. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
- 33. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,

- 1. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
- Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
- 3. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
- 4. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
- PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER)
- Senator Sours.
- 7. SENATOR SOURS:
- I'm going to vote present on this bill. I have
- the feeling in my very bones, that these definitions
- 10. will be perfect conduits to screw up many land titles in
- 11. the future. I just don't want to be an obstructionist.
- 12. But I think we're in for it on some highly technical
- 13. Supreme Court cases in the future just because of this bill.
 - 14. SECRETARY:
- Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver, Welsh,
- Wooten, Mr. President.
- PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER)
- 18. Kenny Hall, aye. On that question the ayes are
- 19. forty-six. The mays none. SB 345 having received a
- constitutional majority...excuse me, one voting present.
- 21. SB 345 having received a constitutional majority is
- 22. declared passed. SB 346.
- 23. SECRETARY:
- 24. SB 346 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 25. 3rd reading of the bill.
- 26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER)
- 27. Senator Fawell.
- 28. SENATOR FAWELL:
- Yes, the explanation has already been given. I
- would ask for a favorable roll call.
- 31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 32. Is there further discussion? The question is
- 33. shall SB 346 pass? And on that question the Secretary

will call the roll. 1. SECRETARY: 2. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, 3. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, 4 . Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth 5. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, 6. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard 7. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, 8. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, 9. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, 10. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, 11. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President. 12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 13. On that question the ayes are forty-three, the 14. SB 347 having received a nays one, one present. 15. constitutional majority is declared passed. SB 353, 16. Senator Sours. 353. 17. 18. SECRETARY: (Secretary reads title of bill) SB 353 19. 3rd reading of the bill. 20. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 21. Senator Sours. 22. SENATOR SOURS: 23. This bill, Mr. President, Senators, is quite simple 24. because it simply increases the amount to be loaned or 25. borrowed from 75000 to \$15,000. That amendment appears on 26. line 17 on the first page of the Body of the bill. 27. what has happened in the intervening years, many people are 28. buying mobile homes today that cost more than \$7500 and 29. they need more time actually, they need more time to 30.

make repayment. So the amount has been increased, \$7500

has been excised and \$1500 put in there in it's place,

and line 21, the term of the loan is increased...

31.

32.

- 1. to 121 months, which is just about ten years. That is
- 2. all the bill does.
- 3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER)
- 4. Excuse me just a minute, I think I made a mistake
- 5. and called 347 as having passed. It should be 346. Let
- 6. the record show. Is there any further discussion? The
- 7. question is shall SB 353 pass, and on that question the
- 8. Secretary will call the roll.
- 9. SECRETARY:
- 10. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
- 11. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson,
- Donnewald, Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber 12.
- Hall, Kenneth Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, 13.
- Knuppel, Kosinski, Latherow McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, 14.
 - Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse,
- Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, 16.
- 17. Roe, Romano, Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl,
- Shapiro, Smith, Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, 18.
- Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President. 19.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER) 20.
 - Request for the absentees. The absentees will be
- called. 22.

SECRETARY:

15.

21.

23.

25.

27.

- Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, 24.
- Dougherty, Kenneth Hall, Hynes, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel,
- Kosinski, McBroom, Netsch, Newhouse, Nudelman, Ozinga, 26.
- Palmer, Partee, Rock, Romano, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith,
- Sours, Vadalabene, Welsh, Mr. President.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER) 29.
- McBroom, aye. On that question the ayes are thirty, and 30.
- the nays are five. SB 353 having received a constitutional 31.
- majority is declared passed. Senator Sours. 32.
- SENATOR SOURS: 33.



33.

Having voted on the prevailing side, I'dilike to make 2. the appropriate motion to lock this in. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 3. 4 . Senator Sours moves to reconsider the vote by which 5. SB 353 passed. Senator Regner moves to Table. All in 6. favor signify by saying aye. Opposed nay. The motion 7. is Tabled. SB 358. Senator Berning. 8. SECRETARY: 9. (Secretary reads title of bill) 10. 3rd reading of the bill. 11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER) 12. Senator Berning. 13. SENATOR BERNING: 14. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Body, 15. this measure is designed to clarify the control over 16. swimming beaches and swimming pools. It is a Department 17. of Public Health bill. It has had two or three amend-18. ments. There is the possibility that the Department 19. of Public Health, in conjunction with Mr. Lee Schwartz. 20. will have an additional amendment, but by agreement, 21. they would like to see the bill passed and if an amend-22. ment is necessary, they will apply it in the House. 23. Therefore, unless there are any questions, I would 24. appreciate a favorable roll call. 25. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER) 26. Senator Dougherty. 27. SENATOR DOUGHERTY: 28. What Senator Berning says is true. This bill came 29. out of committee, recommendation Do Pass; subject to 30. several amendments. I myself offered the amendments 31. to Senator Berning. They were offered and adopted. 32. And I do also know that Mr. Schwartz and the Department

are working out an overall amendment which they feel

1. t

2.

4. 5.

6.

7.

8.

9. 10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

22. 23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

they would rather put on in the House, get this bill over there in the House. Don't accept the Calendar.

I urge an aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Is there further discussion? Question is shall SB 358 pass, and on that...excuse me. Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:

Would Senator Berning yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Senator Berning.

SENATOR BRUCE:

My question is, this act has been in effect since 1931 and I've had some work with it in recent months. And speaking with Verdun Randolph who is chief of this division, and three weeks ago, he saw no real need for changing that. What I'm worried about, is that we're presently under construction with many pools. The EPA has changed regulations every couple of months on a lot of construction projects. This bill has been on the Statute books since 1931. He saw no reason to change it. Now, why are we changing it.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Senator Berning.

SENATOR BERNING:

You are correct. This dates back to July 8, 1931.

And there have been no amendments or corrections since that time. But the stimulus for this bill essentially goes back to our recreational trailer coach park statute. And the Attorney General's opinion removed the swimming pools from the jurisdiction of the Recreational Areas Licensing Act, and placed them back under the Swimming Pool Act because the Swimming Pool Act is a little more specific than the Recreational Act. The

- 1931 act, however, does not have the minimum standards
 for health and safety with regard to beaches and swimming
- pools that are necessary. And this the reason that the
- 4. Department of Public Health, Mr. Randolph, assistant,
 5. Joe Townshead the atterney is the one who has brought
- 5. Joe Townshend, the attorney is the one who has brought
- 6. this to us.
- 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER)
- 8. Any further discussion? The question is shall SB
 9. 358 pass, and on that question the Secretary will call
- 9. 358 pass, and on that question the Secretary will call the 10.
- 11. SECRETARY:
- 12. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
- 13. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson,
- 14. Donnewald, Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber
- 15. Hall, Kenneth Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer,
- 16. Knuppel, Kesinski, Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt,
- 17. Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse,
- 18. Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Rëgner, Rock,
- 19. Roe, Romano, Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl,
- 20. Shapiro, Smith, Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski,
- 21. Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
- 22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER)
- 23. On that question the ayes are thirty-seven, the
- 24. nays are none. SB 358 having received a constitutional
- 25. majority is declared passed. For what purpose does
- 26. Senator Bell rise?
- 27. SENATOR BELL:
- 28. Yes, Mr. President, I'd ask leave of this Body in
- 29. order to introduce a school district in the gallery.
- 30. Do I have that leave? Mr. President, it gives me a
- 31. great deal of pleasure as Senator from the 42nd District
- 32. encompassing most of Will County and specifically Homer
- 33. Township to introduce to this Body this afternoon Homer

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 3. SB 361, Senator Johns, do you wish to call that? 4. 361. 5. 6. SECRETARY: (Secretary reads title of bill) SB 361 7. 3rd reading of the bill. 8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 9. Senator Mohr. 10. SENATOR HOWARD MOHR: 11. Yes, I would request that the sponsor hold this one, 12. Senator Johns. We do have some ... some problems or questions 13. on it, if you can hold that for a day or so, we'll get 14. back to you. Ok? 15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 16. We'll take it out of the record. SB 362. 17. 18. SECRETARY: SB 362 (Secretary reads title of bill) 19. 3rd reading of the bill. 20. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 21. Senator Johns. 22. 23. SENATOR JOHNS: Mr. President, this is a copy of a piece of legislation 24. designed by the Department of Transportation to give 25. back some property that they purchased and have no 26. longer need of, and it involves \$755, which the State 27. will sell for that price to the former owner. 28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 29. Is there any discussion? Question is shall SB 6...362 30. pass, and on that question the Secretary will call the 31. roll. 32.

School District 33-C that's up in the upper gallery and

ask for the Members of the Senate to recognize them.

1.

2.

SECRETARY:

Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, 2. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth З. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, 4. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard 5. 6. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, 7. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano. 8. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, 9. 10. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President. 11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 12. Savickas, aye. Johns, aye. Hynes, aye. Latherow, aye. On that question the ayes are forty-three, the 13. 14. mays none. SB 362 having received a constitutional 15. majority is declared passed. SB 383. Excuse me just a 16. minute. We missed one. Senator Johns, 364. Mr. Secretary. 17. SECRETARY: 18. SB 634 (Secretary reads title of bill) 19. 3rd reading of the bill. 20. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 21. Senator Johns. 22. SENATOR JOHNS: 23. Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, 24. 364 is amended to remove the interest rate ceiling for 25. industrial project revenue bonds issued by a municipality. 26. We have held this bill...this ceiling of interest at 7%. We have found that in industrial projects, and I might 27. 28. tell you that the Department of Business, the Illinois 29. State Chamber of Commerce, all the industrial consultants 30. have...have given me the information that we need to re-31. move this ceiling and let the market handle the interest 32. rate on the bonds. And this will open up further in-

1.

33.

dustrial development for especially the Southern Illinois

area, but throughout the State on industrial projects. l. And I would recommend a favorable action on this bill. 2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER) 3. 4. Is there any...Senator McCarthy. 5. SENATOR McCARTHY: Yes, Senator, I don't have a copy of the bill in 6. front of me. But this 7% ceiling on the municipality 7. bonds was...is the law at the present shape where it 8. was going to drop back July 1st of this year to 6% or was 9. this a straight 7 limit? 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER) 11. Senator Johns. 12. SENATOR JOHNS: 13. ...straight 7. 14. SENATOR McCARTHY: 15. This was a straight 7 and now you want to take it 16. off completely. 17. SENATOR JOHNS: 18. Senator McCarthy, your question is was it a straight 19. seven. No, that was the limit. We have found that few 20. people are interested in the bonds under the present 21. demand for money at 7% ceiling and we thought we could make 22. these bonds more attractive that the...just letting 23. the market dictate the interest payment. 24. SENATOR McCARTHY: 25. All right. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER) 27. Senator McCarthy. 28. SENATOR McCARTHY: 29. Yes, Mr. President, I think some comments are in 30. order here. Seven percent on a municiple, that's what 31.

32.

33.

this bill is all about. To a corporation at a 48% rate,

is the equivalent return of about 13.6 on a taxable

obligation. That's the way my arithmetic comes out. ı. 2. take "x" minus 48%, you'll come out to 7 and "x" will end 3. up 13.8. Now that isn't enough according to Senator 4. Johns to get a 13.8% return on bonds that are issued 5. by municipalities which are well secure. Because I 6. think it's elementary that one of the critical questions 7. that any investor has to make or any lender has to make 8. when he lends this money is whether or not it's going 9. to be repaid. That's the critical question. If I've 10. got the money and Senator Smith wants to borrow some 11. money from me, the critical question is, will he re-12. pay me. And if...he says he won't, all right. Then 13. if I get a 100% from you, Senator Smith, I've got a 14. bad loan. But yet if I get 13.8 or the equivalent 15. from a municipality and it is well secure...then what's 16. the poor stiff who wants to buy that trailer that 17. Senator Sours just talked about. What's he going to pay 18. for that house trailer when he wants to borrow \$15,000 19. and the only relief he gave him in the bill is to 20. give him ten years to pay it back which means he'll 21. pay interest for ten years rather than five years. 22. Now, let's look at Senator Johns' bill because that's 23. the one under the consideration. If I'm a lender, 24. the City of Marion wants to borrow some money from 25. me, I ask can the City of Marion pay me back. Well, 26. so far as I know about this bill, these are full 27. faith and credit obligations. They have the power 28. to tax all of the property, the real estate located 29. within the municipality. So, I come to the conclusion 30. that they can pay me back because with their powers to tax the real estate, I've got the earth, I've got 31. the earth as security. Now, Mr. President, if I'm 32. correct that I've got the earth as security, that's a 33.

1. pretty good loan. And if I'm getting the equivalent of 13.8% return, that's a darn good rate of return. 2. if I'm in the 70% income class and now I can get 8 or 9% 3. with no income tax involved at all, I've got a wonderful 4. 5. loan. And who's going to pay this loan off? And who's 6. going to pay the interest on it? The poor little retired 7. people, that have got the homes down in Marion that we've 8. talked about so long trying to give them a Homestead 9. exemption, and while we may have given it away, a little 10. Homestead exemption in last Session, the special Session 11. and the Session before that, we come along now...we come 12. along now, and take it back from them through this 13. sophisticated type of bill. I haven't finished yet, Gene. 14. But the implications go further than this and I alluded 15. to it earlier. If the municipalities with the earth 16. as security on their loan can get this rate of return 17. and the ceiling will be the limit if this bill passes, 18. where is the market going to be for the parents of the 19. children in the gallery when they want to get a loan. 20. They're going to have to compete...they're going to have 21. to compete against the municipalities. That means they're 22. going to have to go in heavier than 13.8, their security 23. won't be as good because they don't have the earth 24. as security. All they've got is their hands and 25. their back. And that will cut into the pie that 26. they get each week, the pie that the working man and the working woman gets each week, if I can call it a 27. pie, is roughly a circle. And a piece of that pie goes 28. for grocery expenses. A piece of that pie goes for State 29. income taxes, only 2 1/2%. A piece of that pie goes for 30. Federal income tax. A piece of that pie goes for 31. other consumable items, and then they've got their 32. piece of pie of interest that they pay. That piece 33.

of that pie to a lot of people that are buying trailers, homes at 8% and it is proposed that they go up, the piece of pie that goes for interest is getting bigger and bigger, and the results eventually will be that they're going to have to get a bigger pie. Because there's not enough pie for them to eat. That means they go out on strike, try to get wage demands put across so they can afford their cost of living, and the circle goes on and on. Now, I've taken nearly fifteen minutes, and I'm sorry if I have, but how this one noncontroversial bill can relate to what's left in the pie at the end of the day or at the end of the week for the working man is as simple as going from A, B, C, D, to E. And it's going to increase taxes, Mr. President, and I don't think there's a member of this Body that wants to increase taxes, because when you increase the amount that the bonds of Marion cost, you're going to increase the amount of money that's going to have to come back in to pay the interest and that means...that means an increase in the property taxes or else I'm deceiving myself. Senator Johns, I'm sorry if I've imposed upon you, but I wanted to make those statements. Just by way of conclusion, I think a no vote is a proper vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

ı.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17. 18.

19.

20.

21. 22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27. 28.

29. 30.

31.

32.

33.

Mr. President, will the sponsor yield for a couple
of questions?

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

He indicates he will.

SENATOR NETSCH:

You're sure you will. Could you tell me how much the amount of industrial revenue bonds that has been

issued throughout the State pursuant to this authorization, ı. or how much is outstanding at the present time? That 2. 3. would be sufficient. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 4. Senator Johns. 5. 6. SENATOR JOHNS: 7. I can tell you flatly that I have no idea. It would be very hard to comprehend. I would have no record... 8. 9. there is no facility that I know of Senator Netsch 10. that's set up at present to tell me all the bonds that are issued, that have been issued or the total 11. 12. amount involved as yet. 13. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 14. Senator Netsch. 15. SENATOR NETSCH: 16. Is the same true with respect to the types of 17. projects that have been financed by these industrial 18. revenue bonds? PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 19. 20. Senator Johns. 21. SENATOR JOHNS: 22. I...I do not have that information as yet either. 23. We only had this law tested by the Supreme Court as of maybe six months ago. And it is just now beginning to 24. 25. take hold in industrial development. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): Senator Netsch. 27. SENATOR NETSCH: 28. I do not recall from the terms of the bill as it 29. was finally enacted in what is thought to be valid form. 30. Is there provision for any gathering of all of the 31. information on the bonds issued and the projects to be 32.

financed from the...pursuant to the act?

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 1. 2. Senator Johns. SENATOR JOHNS: З. Senator Netsch, we have a department in State government that not only has the responsibility but the duty to have that information. That would come 6. forth from the Department of Business and Economic 7. 8. Development which has praised this bill from its 9. passage last Session. 10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): Senator Netsch. 11. 12. SENATOR NETSCH: At the present moment, you are not aware of...of 13. 14. that information or if indeed it exists at the present time. Is that correct? 15. 16. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): Senator Johns. 17. SENATOR JOHNS: 18. 19. That's correct. 20. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 21. Senator Netsch, do you have another question. SENATOR NETSCH: 22. 23. Just a comment. I...my problem, Senator Johns, is that I have grave reservations about the whole concept 24. of the use of the ... of bonding power, even revenue 25. bonding power for this type of activitiy and it has been 26. subjected to considerable criticism by some who have 27. studied it over a period of time, and I'm not sure 28. that until we know exactly how much we are going to 29. be issuing and for what purposes, that it is a good 30. idea to take the...the rate ceiling off on this sort 31.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

of project.

32.

1. Senator Soper.

SENATOR SOPER:

2.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Mr. President, I think we know what this is all about,
 you know. I move the previous question.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Senator Soper moves the previous question. All in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed nay. The motion carried. Senator Johns may close debate.

SENATOR JOHNS:

Mr. President, I... I don't know but as the drafter of the bill in the last Session which had all the endorsement of the Internal Revenue Service, the Illinois State Chamber of Commerce, the Department of Business. This particular bonding act put no obligation on a municipality. The bonds were issued and the...the obligation was on the project alone. There was no taxation, nor threat of taxation on the municipality which held the referendum and sold...sold the bonds. The project alone stood, as a test of, if you call it that, as a sole means of... of a...I'll think of the word in a minute, but anyway, the bonds were sold on the basis of the strength of the project. Now, forty other states, or maybe fortythree, now have this law in effect. We have been negligent for years in having this law. We lost an 80 million dollar plant to Wickliffe, Kentucky. lost another hundred million dollar plant to Missouri. All of the sister states have this law, and are stealing our industrial prospects. Now, I know again of no way that there is an obligation placed upon a municipality or the people for the bonds sold under this act. The project alone must stand and have a sinking fund to pay for the interest, the maintenance

and the building and the grounds and therefore, the bond ı. holder assumes the risk for the success or failure of 2. this project. It is not placed, and I repeat, it is 3. not placed as the responsibility upon the tax holder. 4. They in turn only act as a vehicle within this area, 5. or this municipality to sell these bonds, and therefore, 6. I close the debate. If I had thought that there was any 7. real serious question about this particular bill, I... 8. would have withheld it, cause our time is valuable and 9. I would try to find out what problems I had with 10. this bill. But, this is sought by many people who 11. feel that we will cause the industrial project 12. development bonds to blossom and bloom with this 13. removal of this particular ceiling of 7%. Thank you, 14. 15. Mr. Chairman.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

The question is, shall SB 364 pass? And on that question the Secretary will call the roll.

SECRETARY:

16.

17.

18. 19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,
Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,
Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard
Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,
Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Senator Johns.

SENATOR JOHNS:

I'd like to record my vote as aye on this. And let it

go as she's going.

2.

7.

8. 9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26. 27.

28. 29.

30. 31.

32.

33.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Senator Johns votes aye. Senator Buzbee, aye.
 On that question the ayes are eleven, the nays are
 eleven. SB 364 having failed to receive the con stitutional majority is declared lost. SB 383.

SECRETARY:

SB 383 (Secretary reads title of bill) 3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Senator Don Moore.

SENATOR DON MOORE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate, SB 383 amends the Election Code to provide that the County Clerk or Board of Election Commissioners as the case may be shall upon re-registration of a voter take a colored photograph of the applicant and affix the same to the voter's identification card. The County Clerk will be reimbursed to the extent of 50 cents which is approximately the cost that it costs us in the State of Illinois in the recent program that we initiated for our welfare recipients, to have your picture on the identification card and it is put on and it will be put on in such a manner that will almost make it impossible to tamper or deface it. I think this is a good bill. It will accomplish two purposes. One, it will be a great blow at vote fraud, because one having this identification card on your possession; the judge of election can look at a color photograph and determine whether or not that is the person who is signing the application to vote. And the other will provide a very useful piece of identification for the citizen. I think

the only type of identification, we have with our 1. picture on it today in the State of Illinois, is the 2. firearm owners identification card. I think that this 3. will be a great help, not only to law enforcement 4. officials but to the business community alike. As 5. far as cashing checks, as far as credit and so forth, 6. I believe that this voter's identification card will 7. serve a dual purpose, and I would appreciate a favorable 8. roll call. 9.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Senator Wooten. Senator Wooten.

SENATOR WOOTEN:

10.

11. 12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. President and colleagues, I rise in opposition of this bill. Not because I cannot see the tremendous value inherent in having such a voter identification card. This would be an excellent device to insure that the person appearing to vote is indeed the person entitled to vote. However, since I have had this brief essay into politics one of the first things I learned in my own district is the appalling turnover we have in voter registration and re-registration. It seems to me that at a time when we are doing everything we can to encourage registration and to facilitate registration, that while this would accomplish the end of positive voter identification, it would actually deter our drives in the other direction. It is certainly well intentioned and as I say would serve a most useful purpose in identification. But on a practical level this would be a simply horrendous task in my district with the tremendous turnover we have. We are a largely urbanized community. We have a population that is considerably transient in nature, people moving in and out into our large manufacturing

centers. I think of one township where, between elections, 1. 2. we had something like a 20% turnover. And to require this kind of elaborate process, and it is elaborate, 3. it's time consuming. It requires an appointment or 4. at least waiting in line. This is the sort of thing 5. which would deter active participating in voting. Now, 6. since I firmly believe that we want to do everything 7. to make voting registration easy, to increase participa-8. tion. With that consideration in mind, I must oppose 9. this legislation and solicit a negative vote from my 10. 11. colleagues. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Senator Kosinski.

SENATOR KOSINSKI:

12.

14.

15. 16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26. 27.

28.

31.

32.

33.

Mr. President, a personal privilege. It gives me a great honor and a great privilege to present to you one of the outstanding Congressmen of the great Congress. Ladies and Gentlemen and Senators, our great Congressman, Dan Rostenkowski.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Senator Hynes.

SENATOR HYNES:

Mr. President, Members of the Senate, while we're on the order of introductions, I would like to acknowledge the presence of Sister Joan of Arc and a large group from St. Christina's School in Chicago, and I wish the Senate would acknowledge their presence here.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

29. Is there any...Senator Donnewald...Dougherty.30. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:

Mr. President and Members of the Senate, I rise in opposition to this bill. I think it's another invasion of privacy. I don't know where we're going

with all this identification. You recall at the time of the institution of Social Security, your Social Security number was supposed to be a secret between yourself and your government. But now we have to have it on our insurance policies, we have to have it on everything else, and this is just another imposition of the like character on the people who would vote. There is in Cook County at every general registration, a change over of about 125 to 150,000 new registrations or change of addresses and so forth. This would require additional photographing. If we are to use the figure of approximately 6 million voters in the State of Illinois, this would mean the initial cost of some 3 million dollars on the State of Illinois plus the addition of all these change of addresses and reregistrations and new registrations. This is a bad bill. It's bearing the disguise of being in the...in the disguise of the issue of good government, cleaning up elections, it will do nothing but create embarrassment to the voters of Illinois and I urge its defeat. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Senator Knuepfer.

SENATOR KNUEPFER:

1.

2.

3.

4 .

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Senator Dougherty, I have heard many arguments in my day, and certainly there is an argument that this bill may be expensive, but the argument that this is an invasion of privacy is simply astounding to me. It is right that when I vote, the Judges assure themselves that it is me. Is there anything wrong with my banker assuring himself that when I cash my check that he is cashing a check from me? Senator, the...the argument on invasion of privacy simply suggests that deceit is the order of the day. And if it is illegal, if it is an

invasion of my privacy, to simply suggest that I don't 1. have a right to go around confusing people by saying 2. I'm somebody else than I really am, then Senator, I'm З. very confused. 4. 5. SENATOR DON MOORE: I'll yield to Senator Dougherty, he wishes to 6. 7. respond. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER) 8. 9. Senator Dougherty. 10. SENATOR DOUGHERTY: 11. What are you going to do in DuPage County when 12. you change registrations without even bringing 13. the person in to register? How are you going to get 14. around that? And you do do that out there, you know that. 15. How you going to get around that? 16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER) 17. Senator Knuepfer. 18. SENATOR KNUEPFER: 19. Well, I...I was addressing myself to the invasion 20. of privacy argument, Senator. I would be very happy to 21. have this bill apply in DuPage County. It would be no 22. problem for me whatsoever, and I think it would be as 23. good a bill there as it would be anywhere else in the 24. State of Illinois. 25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER) 26. Senator Carroll. 27. SENATOR CARROLL: 28. Thank you, Mr. President... 29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER) 30. Excuse me, Senator Carroll, Senator Swinarski. 31. SENATOR SWINARSKI: 32. Mr. President ...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER)

2. SENATOR SWINARSKI: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate, 3. it gives me a pleasure this afternoon to introduce a 4. former colleague of mine, and outstanding alderman in 5. the City of Chicago, Alderman Terry Gabinski, and not 6. only the Alderman, great Alderman, but also a great 7. friend of mine and it's my alderman, 32nd Ward. 8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER) 9. 10. Senator Carroll. 11. SENATOR CARROLL: Thank you, Mr. President. First if I may ask a 12. 13. question of the sponsor. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER) 15. Sponsor indicates he'll yield. 16. SENATOR CARROLL: 17. Thank you. Don, as I understand this, the State 18. will expend up to 50 cents for each application. Who pays 19. the additional cost of operating this type of facility? 20. Who's going to buy the equipment, put it in all the 21. polling places and things like that? 22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER) 23. Senator Moore. 24. SENATOR DON MOORE: 25. It is anticipated the total cost will be 50% 26. and this is based upon the experience we had when we issued I.D. cards to the welfare recipients. It takes 27. 28. approximately two minutes, and the picture is taken; 29. it's placed on the card, your signature is on the card. It's plasticized in such a way that if it is attempted 30. to be altered it can be readily seen. The whole process 31. takes about two minutes. The total cost is 50 cents and 32. it is provided in the case of our public aid people 33.

Senator Swinarski.

by, I believe, Polaroid was the one that furnished the 1. equipment, and so forth. 2. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

5.

6.

7 8.

9. 10.

11. 12.

13.

14.

15.

16. 17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22,

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28. 29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Yes, I oppose this bill because I think there is a basic distinction difference between this and the public aid case and that is the fixed location facilities, in which your picture can be taken. We're talking about maybe some 6,000 precincts in Cook County each one of which would have to have at least one of these machines available, every registration day. We're talking about a 152 places of registration in suburban Cook County, in the counties, in the townships, in the village halls that would have to have this type of equipment on hand each and every day. I think you're talking about a major expenditure of money by your cities and counties and villages to purchase this equipment to handle this kind of a job, bringing this type of equipment into the polling places on registration days. I think this is a very unworkable situation and would urge the defeat of this proposal at this time.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER)

Senator Moore.

SENATOR DON MOORE:

Mr. President, I move the previous question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER)

Senator Moore, Senator Course and Senator Rock both indicated they'd like to speak on this. Do you want to hold your motion? The question is, moving the previous question, all in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed nay. Motion carried. Senator Moore may close debate.

SENATOR DON MOORE:

ı,

Just a few comments, Mr. President. There is some 2. question as to whether this pertains just to Cook County 3. or is applicable state-wide. It is applicable state-wide. 4. We know that we have bills introduced or we're talking 5, about bills for a state I.D. card at the cost of \$5.00 6. 7. with your picture on it. A driver's license with your picture on it. We've gone into this public aid problem 8. before. I think that actually this will...bill will end up 9. 10. being...or saving money rather than costing money. In answer to Senator Carroll's idea that we would have to have 11. 6,000 cameras set up in 6,000 polling places at one 12. 13. time. I think that this is the type of a problem that 14. can be resolved. You could take townships at a time, 15. you could take wards at a time. You surely won't need 6,000 cameras in order to have a one in every precinct 16. 17. throughout the County of Cook or throughout the State 18. of Illinois. I think it's an administrative problem that 19. can be resolved. I think that anything we do to take 20. a blow at vote fraud would be one of the greatest things 21. that this Session of the General Assembly could do. 22. And, I think this is one way of doing it. I think it's 23. a good bill, and I would urge support from both sides 24. of the aisle on its passage. I request a favorable 25. roll call, Mr. President. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER) 27. The question is shall SB 383 pass. And on that

26.

question the Secretary will call the roll.

29. SECRETARY:

28.

Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, 30. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, 31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER) 32.

Senator Course. 33.

SENATOR COURSE:

ı.

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise to explain my 2. vote. We opposed this bill...my side of the aisle 3. opposed this bill in committee, and for various 4. reasons. And, one of the reasons was that some people 5. may have object...to having their photograph on that registration card. What do we do in a case like that? 7. We're trying to encourage people to come out and vote 8. and here we're discouraging them by making them put 9. their photograph on there. Another thing that's 10. called to mind, Ladies and Gentlemen, what if a person 11. doesn't have to re-register for ten years and 12. their appearance changes. And they go into a polling 13. place to cast their vote and they're challenged 14. because they don't look like the photograph on there. 15. They don't look like the photograph that's on their 16. identification card. Another thing that's called to 17. mind, Ladies and Gentlemen, we have the Secretary of 18. State is going to...they want the Secretary of State 19. to issue identification cards for the purpose of cashing 20. checks or...identification cards with their picture 21. imposed on for the purpose of cashing checks and 22. identification, and retail establishments ofor credit 23. card purposes, currency exchanges. My contention is, 24. Ladies and Gentlemen, that these establishments want 25. this here, if they want an identification on anything, 26. let them pay for it. Don't come to the county or 27. the people and say the people ... we want you to 28. pay for it. And you people, you gentlemen that served 29. in the House a few years back will recall that on the 30. driver's license we had the color of a woman's hair. 31. We had an amendment to take that off because some 32. women objected to having the color of their hair on 33.

- the photograph because they were dying their hair and
- when they went in to...they were stopped by police
- officers they had gray hair when on the driver's license
- it showed they had red hair or black hair. Some
- women wore wigs, and they objected to this and...we
- took the color of the hair off of the photograph, or
- 7. off the driver's license. Ladies and Gentlemen, I
- don't think this is a good bill, and I vote no.
- 9. SECRETARY:
- 10. Davidson, Donnewald,
- 11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER)
- Senator Donnewald.
- 13. SENATOR DONNEWALD:
- 14. When Senator Course alluded to some people that
- 15. had photographs that you wouldn't be able to recognize,
- 16. I have mine which is on my gun registration, and believe
- 17. me, if they had to depend upon that, I wouldn't be able
- 18. to vote at all. I vote no.
- 19. SECRETARY:
- 20. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass,
- 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER)
- 22. Senator Glass.
- 23. SENATOR GLASS:
- 24. Mr. President, I would like to explain my vote.
- 25. I think I've heard more reasons for voting against this
- 26. bill than I could imagine existed. There's a good
- 27. reason for voting for it, Ladies and Gentlemen, it's because
- 28. it's a step toward honest elections and the elimination
- 29. of vote fraud. And, it's going to cost the public some-
- 30. thing, and I think it's worthwhile. That's the point,
- 31. it seems to me that's being missed, and I'm proud to vote
- 32. aye.
- 33. SECRETARY;

Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Hynes, 1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER) 2. Senator Hynes. 3. SENATOR HYNES: Mr. President, it's my judgement that the long 5. term effect of ... of this legislation will be to effective-6. ly deny the right to vote to many of our citizens. And 7. my vote is therefore no. And while I'm on my feet, 8. I would like to take the opportunity to introduce 9. 10. to the Senate and ask that they rise...a group from St. Christinia's School in Chicago and Sister Joan of 11. Arc who is...or rather Sister Annacita who is with 12. 13. the group. 14. SECRETARY: Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Latherow, 15. McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don 16. 17. Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, 18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 19. Senator Netsch. 20. SENATOR NETSCH: Mr. President, my vote is present, and I would like 21. to explain the...gentlemen on both sides of the aisle 22. why it is so. This is a very important, but very compli-23. cated question. Many of us had a number of problems that 24. we had to resolve in our minds, and we wanted some dis-25. cussion and some answers to questions. But a havit has 26. developed around here, even on relatively important 27. issues, of cutting off debate before any of that in-28. formation gets brought out. Therefore, some of us 29. are left without any opportunity to find answers to 30. the questions that we need. I would suggest to every-31. one if you want affirmative votes on your bills in 32.

the future, give us a chance to find out what we need

- to know in order to make a rational decision. My vote
- is present.
- 3. SECRETARY:
- Newhouse, Nimrod,
- 5. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- Senator Nimrod.
- 7. SENATOR NIMROD:
- 8. Mr. President, members of the Senate, it's very
- 9. obvious that the means of identification system is
- 10. very necessary as we have seen from the public aid
- 11. identification. We have seen millions of dollars having
- 12. been saved as a result of this for the State of Illinois,
- 13. in fraudulent checks. And they have been reduced to
- 14. practically nothing in their losses. Now I would think
- 15. that it's just as important for us to save millions of
- 16. votes if this is the case, and if it costs us a few
- 17. dollars to do the job, then we ought to do it all the
- 18. way. It's worth spending millions to accomplish the
- 19. fact after all. I vote yes.
- 20. SECRETARY:

- 21. Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee,
 - PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 23. Senator Partee.
- 24. SENATOR PARTEE:
- 25. I, like Senator Netsch, did not get a chance to
- 26. become involved in the debate because of a rather un-
- 27. timely motion made to cut off debate. But I think we do
- 28. when you allude to the other bills in here for identifica-
- 29. tion, should draw the distinction between them. This is
- 30. one that would cost a large , large sum of money. And the
- 31. others involved are those which are optional to people
- 32. who desire to purchase them, for a small sum of money
- 33. they can purchase them particularly those persons

- who do not drive an automobile and who need some other
- form of identification. So there's a basic difference
- between this situation and the other kinds of identifica-
- 4. tion bills which are here. I vote no on this one.
- 5. SECRETARY:
- Regner, Rock,
- 7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- Senator Rock.
- 9. SENATOR ROCK:
- Yes, Mr. President, members of the Senate, in my
- 11. judgement, this is a shame; one of many we've seen
- 12. perpetrated this Session. The pious cry goes up concern-
- 13. ing itself with vote fraud. But I would ask everybody to
- 14. take a look at the bill. Right now under our current
- 15. election laws, the voter registration card is not, in
- 16. fact, needed to vote. And now we're going to take a card
- 17. that you don't need anyway and put a picture on it. Now
- 18. if indeed the sponsors were serious about preventing
- 19. any type of fraud or collusion, why is there no sanction?
- 20. Why don't they say that a voter who presents himself
- 21. in a polling place and doesn't, in fact, have a regis-
- 22. tration card with a picture upon it will be disenfranchised.
- 23. The bill doesn't say that. The bill takes a card that
- 24. is not necessary, that 90% of the people have lost or
- 25. misplaced, don't need to carry under any type of sanction,
- 26. and says we ought to have a picture on it. The bill is
- bologna, and I vote no.
- 28. SECRETARY:
- 29. Roe, Romano, Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl,
- 30. Shapiro, Smith, Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene,
- 31. Walker, Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
- 32. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 33. Senator Moore.

SENATOR DON MOORE:

ı.

17.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

To briefly explain my vote before I request a poll 2. of the absentees, Mr. President. We have heard that we... 3. we are trying to encourage people to come out and vote. This is true and I don't think this bill in any way will keep people from coming out registering to vote. In fact, 6. it will probably increase the number of people because they 7. will have a valid piece of identification that can be used 8. for many purposes besides having a voter's registration 9. card in their pockets. But when we want people to come out 10. and vote, we want people to come out and vote who are 11. human, living beings, not people that have moved out, 12. not people who are in the graveyards. We want honest 13. people who are actually registered to come on out and 14. vote at our elections. And I think that this is the..is 15. the one method of doing it. As far as Senator Rock's .16.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

19. Senator Wooten, what is your point of order?20. SENATOR WOOTEN:

Point of order. How can Senator Moore be explaining his vote when he was recorded on the roll call as voting aye the first time through.

suggestion to make it mandatory that you present ...

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

I didn't know that he was recorded. He seeks recognition, Senator. Senator Moore.

SENATOR DON MOORE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President, I think that this is a good bill. I don't see where it will do any harm, as far as Senator Rock's suggestion to make it mandatory, I'd be happy to amend the bill in the House if this Senate sees fit to pass it over there, to make it mandatory that you produce this card. If he thinks this

- will assist in knocking out vote fraud, then I'm all for
- 2. it, and I'd be more than happy to take his suggestion in
- this manner...
- 4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 5. Senator Moore...
- 6. SENATOR DON MOORE:
- ...and I would request a poll of the absentees.
- 8. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 9. A request for poll of the absentees. They will be
- 10. called.
- 11. SECRETARY:
- 12. Chew, Clarke, Fawell, Kenneth Hall, Keegan, Knuppel,
- 13. Kosinski, Mitchler, Newhouse, Palmer, Romano, Savickas,
- 14. Smith, Soper, Vadalabene,
- 15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 16. On that question the yeas are twenty-six, the nays
- 17. are eighteen, three present. SB 383 having failed to
- 18. receive a constitutional majoritty is declared lost.
- 19. Senator Vadalabene on the Floor? Senator Hall, do you
- 20. wish to call 142? Senator Hall, do you wish to call 142?
- 21. SENATOR KENNETH HALL:
- 22. Let it go. Thank you, Mr. President, members of the
- 23. Senate. Better read this...
- 24 PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 25. The Secretary read 142.
- 26. SECRETARY:
- 27. SB 142 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 28. 3rd reading of the bill.
- 29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 30. Senator Hall.
- 31. SENATOR KENNETH HALL:
- 32. Tell him to turn it on. Thank you. I'd like to say
- 33. gentlemen that this...the Calendar is wrong, that was the

- original appropriation until Senator Harber Hall put
- the amendment on. Now at present, this only appropriates
- 3. 12,500 to the Joliet-Marquette Tri-Centennial Commission
- 4. for the purpose of recreating an Act. So, this was
- 5. Senator Rosander's gem last...I mean at last Session,
- 6. and I'm just following it up. And what we're going to
- do this time is they're going to re-enact the scene.
- 8. and this is added, and you want to...might know that the
- 9. Illinois Tourism have aided in writing this legislation
- 10. and that nine States are participating. We'd like to
- 11. get this on the way. This bill has been laying on the
- 12. Calendar for over two months. So I'd like your most
- 13. favorable vote on that bill.
- 14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 15. Is there any discussion? Senator Bell.
- 16. SENATOR BELL:
- 17. I think, members of the Senate, that \$12,500 is
- 18. little enough for this State to contribute to the
- 19. 300 year centennial of the exploration of this area
- 20. by Louis Joliet and Father Marquette. Now, I'm proud
- 21. to be co-sponsor of this particular legislation. There
- 22. are, in fact, as Senator Hall has pointed out, 9 States
- 23. participating in this, and I might add that the State
- 24. of Illinois is participating at a rather nominal level.
- 25. And I would hope that the members on this side of the
- 26. aisle would support this particular bill. We're...
- 27. running out of time. The Legislature does need to move
- 28. on it, and I would support Senator Hall's asking for a
- 29. favorable roll call.
- 30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 31. Is there any further discussion? The question is
- 32. shall SB 142 pass? And on that question the Secretary
- 33. will call the roll.

1. SECRETARY:

- Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,
- Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson,
- Donnewald, Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber
- 5. Hall, Kenneth Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer,
- 6. Knuppel, Kosinski, Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt,
- 7. Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse,
- 8. Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer,
- 9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER)
- Senator Hall, Senator Hall.
- 11. SENATOR HARBER HALL:

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Mr. President, fellow Senators. This bill in hearing in committee did point out a problem that I think we have in administration in the State of Illinois when certain commissions are formed and they are...the members of the commission are appointed by the Governor and they proceed to do what they think they're supposed to be doing, and then they come to the Legislature for the appropriation and find out that they were really not supposed to be committing the State or themselves to expenditures prior to receiving legislative approval of the expenditures of money. This particular group did make a very good presentation, but they had failed to consider that there are other approaches to funding State government, that is to say the approved method of budgetary procedures and going through the proper executive agency to apply for monies and get their concurrence with the project. We agreed in the committee to pass the bill out on a narrow vote, so long as the size of the expenditure was not what they asked for and a group of...studying it thought that 12,500 would be good seed...amount that they could use as seed money and go out and get more money. I would urge

the members to vote aye on this for the nominal amount

- that they're asking for now, that's been cut down from
- 2. 83,000 to 12,500. And I vote aye.
- 3. SECRETARY:
- 4. Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,
- 5. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro,
- 6. Smith, Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene,
- 7. Walker, Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
- 8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER)
- 9. Hall aye, Johns, aye. Knuppel, aye. Hynes, aye.
- 10. Schaffer, aye. Schaffer, no, excuse me. On that
- 11. question the ayes are forty-six, the mays are two.
- 12. SB 142 having received a constitutional majority is
- 13. hereby declared passed. SB 1147, Senator Vadalabene.
- 14. SECRETARY:
- 15. SB 1147 (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 16. 3rd reading of the bill.
- 17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER)
- 18. Senator Vadalabene.
- 19. SENATOR VADALABENE:
- 20. Thank you, Mr. President, this bill gives the
- 21. State Treasurer, Alan Dixon, the authority to
- 22. destroy redeemed bonds and coupons by shredding
- 23. rather than by cremation. If you remember last week
- 24. that this bill was advanced without reference to
- 25. 2nd reading and now to 3rd and has an emergency
- 26. clause, and I would appreciate a favorable
- 27. vote.
- 28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER)
- 29. Is there any discussion? The question is
- 30. shall SB 1147 pass, and on that question the Sec-
- 31. retary will call the roll.
- 32. SECRETARY:
- 33. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,

- 1. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson,
- Donnewald, Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber
- 3. Hall, Kenneth Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel,
- 4. Kosinski, Lätherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt,
- 5. Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse,
- 6. Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner,
- 7. Rock, Roe, Romano, Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer,
- 8. Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski,
- 9. Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
- 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER)
- 11. Newhouse, aye. Soper, aye. On that question
- 12. the ayes are forty-six, the mays are none. SB 1147
- 13. having received a constitutional majority is declared
- 14. passed. At this time we'll revert to the order of
- 15. Senate Bills on 2nd reading. Senator Harris.
- 16. SENATOR HARRIS:
- 17. Mr. President, I wish to call SB 416. We now
- 18. have the amendments prepared that I did not have when
- 19. we reached this bill on the order of 2nd reading earlier
- this morning. I might briefly say in general,
- 21. that these amendments are five in number. They are
- 22. being distributed to the members. We have worked
- 23. diligently and most cooperatively with the Department
- 24. of Insurance in developing these amendments. Amendments
- 25. No. 1,2,4 and 5 are what will be called department
- 26. requested amendments, that I have agreed to. And
- 27. Amendment No. 3 is Senator Partee's cost savings
- 28. amendment. They are on the Secretary's desk. I'll
- 29. be...Amendment No. 1 is a technical amendment that,
- 30. with the single exception of directing the matter of
- 31. self-insurance to be administered by the Director...
- 32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER)
- 33. Senator...Senator Bruce, do you have a question?

2. I wonder if Senator Harris, as you start on each 3. of these amendments, we've all had five in various orders 4. stacked on our desk. If you would just tell us what 5. the first couple of words on each one of these, so that 6. we know we're talking about the same amendment as 7. we come to each one, it would help us. 8. SENATOR HARRIS: 9. Amendment No. 1 is the one that begins on page 1, 10. line 18, and the first substantive change is after 11. December 31, 1973. Ok. That's Amendment No. 1. 12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER) 13. Will the Secretary read the bill a 2nd time? 14. SENATOR HARRIS: 15. Except for technical changes...requested by the 16. Director... 17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER) 18. Mr...Mr. President, the bill has not been read 19. a 2nd time. 20. SENATOR HARRIS: 21. Oh, I'm sorry. 22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER) 23. I asked the Secretary if he would do that. 24. SECRETARY: 25. (Secretary reads title of bill) 26. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER) 28. For what purpose does Senator Swinarski rise? 29. SENATOR SWINARSKI: 30. Mr. President, because of the involvement of 31. these amendments that were just given to us, some of the work and research that has been done by some of 32.

1.

33.

SENATOR BRUCE:

the members and some of the other amendments that

ı. could be proposed at this time, I'd like to move at this time to postpone consideration of SB 416 until tomorrow. 2. 3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER) 4 . Senator Harris. 5. SENATOR HARRIS: 6. Well, I would just point out to the Senator that 7. these are amendments that we have spent long hours in 8. developing with the department. We've got to get to 9. this issue sometime, and if we continue to post-10. pone these important decisions, we're going to be up 11. against a terrible deadline. I would just invite 12. Senator Partee to respond to that motion. I personally 13. want to resist it. I think we have to address ourselves 14. to this question and let's go ahead and get to the 15. question of the sense of the Body. 16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER) 17. Senator Swinarski, the motion is out of order 18. because Senator Harris had the Floor. Senator Partee. 19. SENATOR PARTEE: 20. I just heard a part of the motion. I thought the 21. motion was to postpone consideration of the bill but I 22. did not hear the reasons stated for the motion. 23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER) 24. Senator Swinarski's motion was out of order... 25. SENATOR PARTEE: 26. I recognize that. 27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER) ...also Senator Harris had the Floor and I thought 28.

31. SENATOR HARRIS:

may continue.

29.

30.

32. 33. Well, I will proceed then with a discussion of Amendment
No. 1 which essentially makes technical changes in the

he had a point of personal privilege. Senator Harris

- bill with the exception that the question of administration
- 2. of the self-insurance provisions of the bill. This is
- 3. transferred from the Department of Insurance to the
- 4. Office of Secretary of State. They are involved in this
- 5. question...in this administrative responsibility now, and
- 6. it was the determination of the Department of Insurance
- 7. that this would be a more appropriate place for the ad-
- 8. ministration of this Section of the bill relating to self-
- 9. insurance. I move the adoption of Amendment No. 1.
- 10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 11. Is there further discussion? All in favor of
- 12. adopting Amendment No. 1 to HB 416, signify by saying
- 13. aye. Opposed nay. The amendment's adopted.
- 14. Senator Harris.
- 15. SENATOR HARRIS:
- 16. Amendment No. 2...
- 17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):
- 18. For what purpose does Senator Netsch arise?
- 19. SENATOR NETSCH:
- 20. Mr. President, am I correct that it would not be
- 21. out of order for me to move to postpone consideration of
- 22. the amendments and further consideration of this bill
- 23. until tomorrow.
- 24. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER)
- 25. Senator Netsch, you are out of order. Senator...
- 26. SENATOR NETSCH:
- 27. Why am I out of order, Mr. President?
- 28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER)
- 29. Senator Harris now has the Floor, and when you
- 30. interrupted...
- 31. SENATOR NETSCH:
- You just recognized me.
- 33.

2. I recognized you... 3. SENATOR NETSCH: For what purpose? 5. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 6. To find out, for what purpose you asked for the Floor? 7. SENATOR NETSCH: I was attempting to get your attention after the 9. action on the last Amendment. And I...I thought you were 10. recognizing me between action on Amendments. 11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER) 12. I... Senator, I recognized Senator Harris to proceed 13. on Amendments to SB 416. 14. SENATOR NETSCH: 15. Will you recognize me at the conclusion of the next 16. Amendment? 17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 18. I'll recognize you when I deem it necessary. 19. SENATOR NETSCH: 20. That is not very fair, Mr. President. 21. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER) 22. Senator Harris may proceed. 23. SENATOR HARRIS: 24. Well, I have no objection to determining the sense 25. of the Senate. I can...deal with this question. I have 26. stated in response to the question being before us 27. earlier of postponing, which was not recognized to say 28. that I think this is a question that we must deal with, 29. and if the sense of the Senate is to proceed, let us 30. do so. If the sense of the Senate is otherwise, it's okay 31. with me. We...we will gain nothing by continuing to put 32. off these important decisions. There is, I think...I

(SENATOR WEAVER):

1.

33.

PRESIDING OFFICER

think, let me just proceed. I think there is value

- in...in permitting a Senator to get the bill in shape
- that he is sponsoring. And I state to you that if there
- are further amendments that are offered by members, that
- opportunity is before them now and with the understanding
- 5. that in the light of the adoption of the amendments that
- I am proposing, one of which has been adopted, if
- there are members who on further evaluation when this
- bill is on 3rd reading without getting into the possibility
- of recalling to get into dilatory tactics, I would
- very seriously consider the presentation of any amendments
- 11. that would be offered to me prior to 3rd reading passage.
- 12. And see if we can't work them out. But I do not want
- 13. to give the opportunity to engage in further delay and
- 14. dilatory tactics once this bill gets to 3rd reading.
- 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER)
- Senator Swinarski.
- 17. SENATOR SWINARSKI:
- 18. I'd like to address this question if I might to
- Senator Harris.
- 20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER)
- 21. Senator Harris.
- 22. SENATOR SWINARSKI:
- 23. Senator Harris, from just looking at this very
- 24. quickly for the last two moments. I think between some
- 25. of the amendments on SB 416, that have been proposed by
- 26. other people, are very similar to the proposals you have
- made. In many instances, I do not see a conflict; in
- 28. some instances, I do see a conflict. I think by delaying
- 29. this because of the substance and the nature of this
- 30. bill by delaying this for only 24 hours so that we
- 31. have an opportunity to digest these amendments which
- 32. look quite, quite good in many instances, that it would
- 33. not be the slowing up of the legislative process at all. I

1. think tomorrow we would be able to move this very rapidly 2.

and I ask for your...consideration on this.

SENATOR HARRIS:

3.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

Well, it would just...the point that your raising is one that is always potentially a result when amendments are offered from the Floor. I personally would prefer to proceed with the consideration of the amendments that we've worked out. And I indicated just a moment before that I would be amenable to very careful evaluation of subsequent amendments when this bill goes on to 3rd reading. But not to commit myself to the opportunity for dilatory involvement in calling the bill from 3rd back to 2nd for the opportunity for the people to construct amendments that might be changed by the inclusion of the five amendments I am offering today. And I...if you have amendments that have no conflict with my five, then there's no problem on those amendments. If there are some that get involved, I indicate to you without a full commitment because I want to evaluate the question of... of whether it is, in fact, a question of delay or an honest opportunity to have the Body consider what should be every member's right to offer bona fide and serious amendments: and with that understanding, I would like to proceed with the adoption of my five amendments. And any others that will not get into conflict insofar as their contruction is concerned in the adoption of these.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER)

> Senator Harris, do you wish to continue or do you wish to yield to Senator Netsch for a question? SENATOR HARRIS:

I will yield, momentarily.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER)

Senator Netsch. 33.

SENATOR NETSCH:

1.

Mr. President, may I address this comment and question 2. to Senator Harris? I really don't quite understand this, 3. Senator Harris. This is...as you have said and many 4. others have said, one of the major bills and most difficult 5. 6. and complex of this Session. Nobody is asking for undue 7. dilatory tactics. All we're saying is that we're all of a 8. sudden presented with a package of very complex amendments 9. to a bill that's complex to begin with. We are asked 10. to vote on them without even the opportunity to read 11. them and then we are told that this is a package of five amendments, there shall be no more unless I say 12. 13. that they are acceptable. There are people in this room who have amendments to this bill who cannot even 14. 15. present them today because by the time these five are 16. adopted, they will not even conform to the language 17. of the bill as it then exists. And all we're saying 18. is, we would like 24 hours to read the amendments, to 19. see whether there are others that need to be made by 20. anyone and then we will proceed. And it seems to me 21. that that is not an unreasonable request. Everyone 22. has a right to try to have an impact on this important 23. issue if he so desires. That is all we are requesting. 24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER)

Senator Harris.

SENATOR HARRIS:

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Well, Mr. President, I thought I made clear, that I thought it was a better course of action to adopt the four amendments that the department has requested, and the amendment that Senator Partee has requested. And we'll know in what shape the bill is then if we take favorable action on those five. And then in that posture subsequent amendments can be drawn. I say to you that

1. the opportunity for offering amendments will not be 2. foreclosed. And I...I just...I want to point out, and 3. it's perfectly all right with me if...if we get beyond 4. the question of presentation of serious amendments, if the question I have raised does come into being so... 6. so be it. I've...just state clearly that the opportunity 7. for any member to offer amendments will be made available. 8. It does seem to me that it's a better course of procedure 9. to put the amendments on that the department wants. 10. we can proceed from the position insofar as individual 11. Floor amendments are concerned in...in the form that I 12. have agreed to with the department. This as you are... 13. I am sure, all aware was not a department bill when it 14. was introduced. But the department does support the 15. bill, enthusiastically I might add, with the adoption 16. of these amendments. This is a commitment that I have 17. made. Further, the ...the Amendment No. 2, the cost 18. savings amendment is Senator Partee's...I'm sorry, No. 3 19. which we have not reached, but I... I say to you that 20. I believe the most appropriate course of action is to 21, adopt these. And we know what construction will be re-22. quired for subsequent amendments. And that opportunity 23. will be given on an unlimited basis to every member.

Senator Netsch, do you have further questions?
SENATOR NETSCH:

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Do I understand then, Mr. President and Senator Harris, that you will hold the bill on 2nd reading until it...
SENATOR HARRIS:

I would like to advance it, and I will recall it for the opportunity of any Senator for the consideration of amendments.

SENATOR NETSCH:

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

. You will recall it ... 1. 2. SENATOR HARRIS: З. Yes. SENATOR NETSCH: 5. ...tomorrow... 6. SENATOR HARRIS: 7. Yes. 8. SENATOR NETSCH: ...at the request of any Senator who has an amendment 9. 10. to offer. SENATOR HARRIS: 11. 12. Yes, that's correct. 13. SENATOR NETSCH: 14. Thank you very much. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 15. 16. Senator Harris. 17. SENATOR HARRIS: All right, that's satisfactory to Senators Swinarski 18. 19. and Netsch. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 20. 21. Senator Harris may proceed. 22. SENATOR HARRIS: All right. Amendment No. 2 is an amendment requested 23. by the department that adds into the bill a section dealing 24. with fraudulent claims and delayed payments. Oh, I'm sorry, 25. at Senator Bruce's suggestion this is...no, this is... 26. well, the pages gave copies of the amendments to everyone 27. but me. Amendment No. 2 is the one that begins with the 28. language, Amends Senate 416 on page 15 by deleting Section 29. 638 and inserting in lieu thereof Section 640. Does that 30. identify it? That is Amendment No. 2. Now, this provides 31. for the inclusion of language dealing with fraudulent 32. claims in subparagraph 1 of that section and provision for,

ı. on the part of companies delaying of legitimate claims 2. providing for attorney's fees for delayed claims on the part of the company. I move the adoption of the amendment. 4. I might say that this amendment should be identified as 5. being sponsored by me and Senator Partee on Amendment 6. No. 2. 7. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 8. Senator Swinarski. 9. SENATOR SWINARSKI: 10. A question, please, for Senator Harris. Senator 11. Harris, will you yield for a question? 12. SENATOR HARRIS: 13. Yes. 14. SENATOR SWINARSKI: 15. I don't quite...I'm on page 15 now, and I can't quite 16. put this together and the explanation. I wonder if you'd 17. give a more thorough explanation. 18. SENATOR HARRIS: 19. Well, the...the things to do is really to have the 20. amendment in your hand, because it's all new language, 21. and it adds...it...it adds a complete new Section 638. And 22. if you will hold the amendment, you...you don't need to 23. address yourself to the copy of the bill, in considering 24. this amendment. It's entirely new language. This is the 25. amendment that begins with the line, Amends SB 416 on 26. page 15, line 15, by deleting Section 638. Now the effect 27. of this amendment is to add a complete new section in there. And if you...there is no interspersed language. 28. 29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): Senator Swinarski. 30.

Insurance that the paragraph 638 which was amendatory to

I see...in a statement made by the Department of

SENATOR SWINARSKI:

31.

32.

1. this bill which was approximately four sentences and 2. read as follows, cost savings is the intent of the Legislature in adopting this Act as to the extent that 3. implementation of this Act results in cost savings. 5. Such savings shall be returned to the policyholders in 6. accordance with the rules and regulations of the Depart-7. ment of Insurance until... 8. SENATOR HARRIS: 9. Senator...Senator... 10. SENATOR SWINARSKI: 11. What is the difference between that and ... 12. SENATOR HARRIS: 13. Well, Senator Swinarski that issue is not dealt with 14. in Amendment No. 2 at all. It is dealt with in Senator 15. Partee's amendment, which will be Amendment No. 3. And 16. that communication from the Department is no longer effective 17. insofar as the position of the Department is concerned re-18. lating to Amendment No. 2 which is their amendment. The 19. question of cost savings is dealt with in an amendment 20. that is not yet before us, and that is Senator Partee's 21. amendment which we anticipate to be Amendment No. 3. 22. Amendment No. 2 deals only with the inclusion of a 23. Section setting up procedures for fraudulent claims 24. and delayed payments, empowering the department to act 25. and authorizing the claimant to recover on those two subjects by means of the new Section 638. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 27. Any further discussion on Amendment No. 2? Senator 28. McCarthy. 29.

Senator Harris, suppose you have under your amendment, a collision of two cars, neither one of which are insured in any way. They don't...neither car nor the driver of

SENATOR McCARTHY:

30.

31.

32.

All right. Suppose one fellow says he is injured, the 2. driver who was stopped at a red light, and the other driver 3. hit him, let's assume that, for the hypothesis. Are they 4 . covered under this Amendment? PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 6. Senator Harris. 7. SENATOR HARRIS: 8. Well, I don't think your question applies to Section 9. 638 which is being established by this amendment. You're... 10. it's not germane to this section. Now, in the Act that 11. we are proposing to enact, every motorist operating in 12. Illinois is required to have this insurance. Well,... 13. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 14. Senator McCarthy. 15. SENATOR McCARTHY: 16. Senator, this is it. I said suppose you have a col-17. lision of two cars, uninsured all the way. One of them 18. stopped at the red light and the other one hits him in the 19. rear. I'm wondering if they're under 638, under this precise 20. language the way I read it, says line 7, in any claim or 21. action arising out of the operation, maintenance or use of 22. a motor vehicle, any person who directly or indirectly, 23. then it goes on, attempts to obtain some money, and if it's 24. true, he's guilty of a business offense. That's the way 25. I read the amendment. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 27. Senator Harris. 28. SENATOR HARRIS: 29. Well, if...if the person falsely as you will see down 30. in line 19. I believe that that constructs him into fraudu-31. lently making a claim, and that of course is the thrust of what 32.

these two cars have any insurance, got the factual situation?

1.

33.

the department requested in the inclusion of this language

in this section.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6. 7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14. 15.

16. 17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

3Í.

32.

33.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Senator McCarthy.

SENATOR McCARTHY:

I think this comes to the end of it, so that the uninsured people for the fraudulent claim purposes are here in 638.

SENATOR HARRIS:

I believe so.

SENATOR McCARTHY:

I think so, too. What I'm concerned about is the fellow that has a medical injury, a medical injury, that maybe isn't precisely spelled out. I'm wondering about the kind of problem he's going to get in, if he demands from the other fellow who doesn't have any insurance company that he pay him for this medical injury. Let me see if I can put it another way. The way I understand your Act, the only person who can sue after certain things are paid, is a person who has a serious and permanent personal injury. I'm just wondering if a fellow here who doesn't have an insurance company to go after, if he's guilty of a crime because he exercised his right to sue. And claims that he has a personal injury.

SENATOR HARRIS:

The last situation you are describing is a bona fide attempt to recover, but if he falsely or fraudulently attempts to, he is covered. I think that's the distinction. There must be a showing that he has falsely or fraudulently attempted to recover. But you're pointing out, that the last example you've given here is one of legitimacy, it seems to me. And he would have his right to recover if there is no coverage on the other operator, he would go to the... he would go to the assigned risk pool, assigned claims

1. plan.

2,

3.

4.

5, 6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16. 17.

18.

19. 20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Any further discussion? Senator Harris moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to SB 416. All in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed nay. The motion's adopted. Senator Harris.

SENATOR HARRIS:

Senator Partee will offer Amendment No. 3 which begins with language on page 15, line 23 by inserting immediately before the word "is" the following, and then it deals with or Section 639. This is Senator Partee's amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Senator Partee.

SENATOR PARTEE:

Let me first point out, Mr. President and Members of the Senate, that this is the inseverability clause. If this does not become a part of this law, or if this is declared to be unconstitutional, then the whole law fails. We are concerned and have been since the inception of the no fault concept that the benefits which flow from such an arrangement would be in the interest of the people. And that's why we call it the cost savings amendment. Now, I am concerned that the insurance companies are interested in it. I am concerned that people are interest in it for the basis of having their claims justiciably taken care of immediately. But more than that, I am concerned about the ever spiraling premium rates in this State. I don't want to make a personal example, but the automobile insurance premium I paid on our automobiles, I think, should be lowered and this in my humble opinion for myself and everybody else in this State is the way to do it. Now,

this amendment simply provides a vehicle by which the Director of Insurance can collect statistical data after this amendment shall have been passed and this bill shall have been passed, and on that basis mandate a reduction of premiums, which premium reductions will be for the benefit of the populace. I am not unaware of all of the divergent groups who are interested in seeing us maintain a status quo. But I am interested in seeing that the people shall have a reduction in their premiums, and this is the cost savings amendment and I recommend its adoption. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Is there any discussion? Senator Fawell.

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12. 13.

14. 15.

16. 17.

18.

19. 20.

21.

22.

23. 24.

25.

26. 27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Mr. President, and Members of the Senate, I think what Senator Partee is presenting here is certainly a step in the right direction. And I applaud his efforts. I don't... I haven't had time to digest this, and I'm going to suggest eventually that perhaps it be much stronger, because I... I am of the opinion that the insurance industry is asking for and they've got a clause in their bill that's worth a hundred million per year. It's one of the biggest raids upon the people of the State of Illinois that we have ever seen in this State. Unless we can have a bill that begins to treat the insurance industry as a public utility is treated, I don't believe that they should have the right to set their rates if they're able to complete the raid that they're about to make upon the people of the State of Illinois--And I believe there's a fantastic hoax-- And I hope for the next couple of weeks to try to slowly bring that story out. But if they are going to accomplish what they're trying to accomplish and to take away from the people after mandating that they have to have this insurance, and

the people have to pay for it, Senator Partee is absolutely 1. correct. It's not the insurance industries, they could 2. have done this long ago if they had wanted to. They want 3, to be in a position to have only basic medical costs so 4. 5. they can actuarially prognosticate their profits so they can make the kind of profits, that for instance, the life 6. insurance industry, is able to be made. And pile it up. 7. 8. I think we should make very sure if a piece of legis-9. lation like this ever slips out of this Body that the 10. insurance industry is made to toe the line. They don't 11. even set the premiums as far as I am concerned. 12. cause then, I think, Senator Partee you're absolutely 13. right; you're going right in the correct direction. 14. think it must be much stronger. I think the department 15. should set these rates on these kinds of policies, not 16. the insurance company. I would like to have a lot more time to look at this. I think, however, I want to say 17. 18. that we're going in the right direction, but we're going 19. to have to go a lot stronger in order to be able to 20. give to the people the kind of fantastic and exorbitant 21. profits which the insurance industry is going to be able 22. to make if they can pull the wool over the eyes of the 23. people of the State of Illinois as they have thus far 24. done in some of the publicity they have put out in regard 25. to this bill. I'm going to have a lot more to say about it in many facets and I think that we're going to have 26. to end up and put an amendment on this bill which is 27. 28. going to simply say that the Director of Insurance is going to set these premiums completely. And they're 29. going to be able to walk in and know every statistic not 30. just be able to set some basic ground rules; they're 31. going to be able to go in and actually set these premiums, 32. if a bill like this is every going to pass.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Senator Bell.

SENATOR BELL:

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Well, Mr. President, Members of this Body, I think you've just gotten done listening to a diatribe against an industry that's attempted to resolve through the utilization of automobile insurance a tremendous problem that we've had not only in the State of Illinois but in these United States. Every day you go out and enter into your automobile, and you drive from point A to point B. All you have to do is look at the amount of traffic that's on the highways, tremendous number of vehicles. And I say to you that coming from the insurance industry and, yes, I happen to be an insurance man, that's dealt for fifteen years with claims that the people have had, that's felt sympathetic for the claims that the people have had, but I'll tell you right now that in my humble opinion, the majority of those claims have been brought about by the legal fraternity and by a jockeying up of rates from many auto parts rebuilders, body shops. The cost of insurance, my friends, is merely a reflection of what it costs to get things fixed. And when labor rates are high, when legal fees are high, when we can have tortuous claims that we've had in the industry as they presently have existed up to this date. This is the reflection of auto insurance, that is what the auto insurance industry has had to put up with. Now, we're entering into a new era. I have grave reservations about SB 416, what we're in effect doing is we're moving into an area like unto workman's compensation. We're asking a private industry that has a right to make a profit to, in effect, become a public utility or to become as social insurance where those profits are going to be very, very closely

regulated. These are capital stock companies in many cases, or these are mutual companies that...people... have the right that are investing in those companies to a reasonable rate of return, and my friends in this Body, I've looked over many, many company reports and I haven't seen those profits from the auto insurance aspect. I haven't seen them. They haven't been there, for the last ten, fifteen years by and in large in auto industry; the auto insurance industry has suffered a loss, a loss and where they make up their profits is, you are right, Senator Fawell, they make them up writing life insurance where they can predict their costs accurately and in some cases accident and health insurance where in rare cases they can predict their costs. They've had to diversify. Now, this is a... this leglislation is going to have major, major ramifications in the insurance industry and the slurs that have been cast upon the insurance industry I really resent. And I think it's a case where there's many facets that enter into the picture, Senator, the least of which is ...has been the legal...not the least of which has been the legal fraternity.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Senator Soper.

SENATOR SOPER:

2.

3.

4.

5. 6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.33.

Mr. President, Members of the Senate, it's always been the policy of this Body that the sponsors should be able to put the bills in the shape he wants. Now, he has some amendments here. We don't know what all these amendments do, let him put them in the shape he wants them, we'll look at the amendments. Sponsor said that he'll let all of us see what these amendments do to the bill and then we will argue the merits of this thing.

Otherwise...on every amendment, we're going to be here ı. for four or five days, and argue this thing all over 2. 3. again because every amendment changes something else. So, let's ...get the amendments on, let them rest. Let's 4. 5. look at them. If yougot some ideas about some other 6. amendments, the sponsor amenable to any suggestions, and he'll either say I'll accept them, I won't accept them, 7. 8. we'll vote them up or down and let's get a bill in shape

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

and let's get out of here.

Any further discussion? Senator McCarthy.

SENATOR McCARTHY:

9.

10. 11.

12.

13.

14. 15.

16.

17. 18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23. 24.

25.

26.

27. 28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Yes, Mr. President, I am sympathetic with what Senator Soper talked about. But yet, there is an alternative, of course. The bill could always be re-committed to a committee where these bills...where these amendments could be debated in full. But I didn't hear such a motion being made. But I think you face...

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Senator McCarthy, let's confine our remarks to the amendment before us.

SENATOR McCARTHY:

All right. Thank you. All right, Senator Partee.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Senator Partee, Senator McCarthy has a quiry of you. SENATOR McCARTHY:

Yes, into this amendment on cost savings, is there two different techniques that the Director shall use, one...one for the Calendar year 1974 and another technique after 1974?

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Senator Partee.

SENATOR PARTEE:

2. indicates that. I certainly don't. 3. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER): 4. Senator McCarthy. SENATOR McCARTHY: Let me call your attention to page 2, line 22. 7. SENATOR PARTEE: 8. Line what? 9. SENATOR McCARTHY: 10. Line 22. 11. SENATOR PARTEE: 12. Yes. 13. SENATOR McCARTHY: 14. Now, after June 30, 1975 and pursuant to this article 15. only no insurer may establish a rate which does not meet 16. the following standards. That would be for ... 17. SENATOR PARTEE: 18. You're talking about page 2, aren't you? 19. SENATOR McCARTHY: 20. Yes, sir. 21. SENATOR PARTEE: 22. Good. 23. SENATOR McCARTHY: 24. Now, this...they can't establish a rate that's under 25. one, that's excessive, inadequate or unfairly discriminatory. 26. And then it goes on to define what is an excessive rate. 27. I'm just wondering how does F-1 and 2 differ with the pass 28. on or pass through savings for 1974. 29. SENATOR PARTEE: No. Senator, '74 is the year used for the collection 30. 31. of the statistical data on which the Director then makes a judgment as to the savings accumulated and how to pass 32. 33. them on to the policyholders.

I don't think that there's anything here that

ı.

SENATOR McCARTHY:

And is...is that savings...that savings that is 2. determined by the Director limited by F, which is line 3. 4.

22...

1.

5.

6.

7.

8. 9.

10.

11.

12. Ì3.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26. 27.

28. 29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

SENATOR PARTEE:

Not at all, and I'd like to know how you arrived at that erroneous conclusion.

SENATOR McCARTHY:

All right. This is the way I get it on line 27. We'll start on line 25, such a rate, meaning the rates insurance companies charges shall not be excessive, inadequate, I don't think anyone worries about the inadequate rate.

SENATOR PARTEE:

Well, I don't know whether anybody worries about it, but if you read further in the amendment, you'll find that the definition of inadequate is spelled out, so that we won't have anybody worrying about it or having misconceptions about it.

SENATOR McCARTHY:

All right. I'll worry a little bit then, I understand, I read further.

SENATOR PARTEE:

But needlessly, Senator. I don't want you to worry. I...

SENATOR McCARTHY:

Yes, I'll worry a little bit about that. Unfairly discriminatory, then it goes on, and says for the purposes of this section, no rate, no rate, shall be held to be excessive unless one, such rate is unreasonably high for the insurance provided, that part's all right. But, then the conjuctive and a reasonable degree of competition does not exist in the area with respect to the classification

to which this rate is applicable. Now, I'm going to stop
 there. What I get out of that is...

SENATOR PARTEE:

3.

4. 5.

6. 7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17. 18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26. 27.

28.

29. 30.

31.

32.

33.

Well, you can't stop there unless you read the entire sentence.

SENATOR McCARTHY:

All right. Or such rate will have the effect of destroying competition creating a monopoly. That's it.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Senator Partee.

SENATOR PARTEE:

Yes, that's it.

SENATOR McCARTHY:

...out of this, Senator, and I hope...hope I'm incorrect in my inference, is that if you've got three
companies writing in an area at rates that are unreasonable high, that are unreasonably high, that fact and
that fact alone will not allow the Director to cut their rates.
PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Senator Partee.

SENATOR PARTEE:

Well, if you go back on that same page to line 13, beginning in the middle of the sentence, you will see this language, the Director shall by order mandate perspective premium reductions if justified by the aggregate data published pursuant to subsection D. Now, you have to read these things in conjunction, you cannot take them out of context and understand them. They're confusing when you take them out of context. You must read it in its entirety. I discussed many of the questions that you're raising with the Director of Insurance, and I was satisfied after a substantial talk with him concerning some of the points that disturb you momentarily because

you have not had the opportunity to have full grasp of
 the entire amendment. But, the question you raised is
 not a question for me, and I think will not be for you,
 after you've had a chance to grasp the whole amendment.
 PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Senator...

SENATOR PARTEE:

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Any may I just point out that this is not a statistical data evaluation based on the first year. Under this amendment, the Director has the opportunity to each year make that same kind of any analysis, and make the same kind of needed adjustment. Now, that was something which the industry was not ecstatic about. But they're going to take it because this is a part of a cost saving feature and unless they have the opportunity, the Director has the opportunity to make that evaluation each year, it becomes in my opinion not meaningful. They were concerned about some experiences in Massachusetts. They say that means we've got to bring out books in every year for this or that. And I say, I don't care how often you have to bring them in as long as the people of this State are going to save money by it, then I'm for it. Not only that the Director has to publish these figures, it isn't any sub rosa sort of thing that only he knows about and the insurance company. These are figures that have to be published and are then, of course, related to the public scrutiny. So that everybody will know what happened in terms of the statistical data that is...that's set up. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

Any further discussion? Senator McCarthy, you have another question?

SENATOR McCARTHY:

I...I was getting very close, I think, to Senator Partee

here, but then he...he did kind of lose me. And let me state this, that if we don't discuss these amendments before they're adopted, the discussions go on, just go on. I've said that, and I'll continue. Now, Senator Partee, on that F, double i, F, page 2, starting on line 22, the definition of an excessive rate is that it must be unreasonably high and, and a reasonable degree of competition does not exist in the area, or such rates will have the effect of destroying competition or creating a monopoly. I take from that that if three companies that are writing insurance at an unreasonably high premium that they will not be held excessive, because that's what the language says. You referred me to subsection E above it, where the Director shall, by order, mandate prospective premium reductions, and then referred back to subsection D. Let me state what my quandary is in subsection D, that refers only to the calendar year. The way I read it, F refers only to the calendar year 1974, now I'm trying to look here into the future to June 30, 1975. Let's assume that there can be no excessive rates charged during 1974, and the first half of 1975, I want to know what happens after June 30, 1975, if it's different, and if different, how and why?

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

1.

2.

3.

4.

5,

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17. 18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

24. 25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

For what purpose ... Senator Soper rise? SENATOR SOPER:

Mr. President, I...I rise on a point of parliamentary inquiry. Now, we could go on all day, we've got a fifteen minute rule on talking on a subject. If...if the Senator...if the Senator from Decatur wishes to speak on this amendment, and tell us what he thinks about it for fifteen minutes, I'm willing to sit here and listen. Now, a man wants to put the bills in a certain shape and...and we're going

listen to these bills later on. But this question and ı. answer, we could have two gentlemen question and answer 2. for two days on any...on any bill and I want to know how 4. long they can go? Now, if it's fifteen minutes a piece, 5. let them speak for fifteen minutes, let the next one 6. answer for fifteen minutes, but I don't want to listen 7. for two and a half hours to this and listen to these bills 8. later on. I may not vote for any bill on this thing, and 9. I don't want to sit around here for two hours with this. 10. I'd like to get an answer on this, otherwise I'll move 11. the previous question, and let's get on with it. 12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR):

Senator McCarthy has one minute and we're not charging with the time you have taken...one minute to go. Senator Partee.

SENATOR PARTEE:

13.

14.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21. 22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

15.

He asked me a question first of all, Senator. Under the terms of this amendment, no insurance company can raise its premiums until the end of 1975. Now, it wouldn't matter if they were losing money horribly, they cannot raise their premiums until 1975. Now the thrust of this bill as expressed by the industry is that it would be a tax saving, it would be a saving, a premium saving to the consumer. Now, if that is so, and if that ... they believe that is so then they can accept this amendment that there will be no increase in premiums, no matter what happens until 1975. In the intervening period the Director has the right to look at the statistical data accumulated by experience under the operation of this bill and can then mandate premium reductions. Now, the example that you gave of the three insurance companies in one area could not obtain in

terms of not having excessive rates because the very ı. 2. last part of the paragraph involved which you did not stress, which you read very hastily, would say that 4. even if there were three companies within the same 5. district, and under the circumstances you delineated. 6. they still could be knocked out of the box on the basis 7. of the last part of the paragraph which you hurried 8. through and did not refer to later on the basis of 9. they're creating a monopoly. And the Director under 10. those circumstances would have the right to readjust the basic premium rate. Now, I don't know, if I've 11. 12. missed your point. But I think that's your answer.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR):

You do have just exactly ten seconds, Senator. SENATOR McCARTHY:

When I hurried for the last three lines, it was because of Senator Soper, it wasn't...

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR):

Senator Donnewald.

SENATOR DONNEWALD:

14.

15.

16. 17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30. 31.

32.

33.

Well, very briefly in response to Senator Soper,

I think this deserves deep and thorough searching
inquiry.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR):

Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:

Yes, I just have one question for Senator Partee, that Senator McCarthy brought up. In that same section F, you have small i, and there are three terms, excessive, inadequate and/or fairly discriminatory. Two then goes to explain the word excessive...the word excessive.

Three explains the word inadequate. But when we get to four there is no repeating of unfairly discriminatory. I

don't know whether the amendment was drafted that way, but it seems to me that after the word, subsection, there seems to be the words, as in all the other sections, no rate shall be held to be unfairly discriminatory unless, and then put in, consideration is given to pass and prospective loss experiences and so forth and so on. If that is the section it defines what is, unfairly discriminatory. My point is that you define two of those terms but not the third one. And it seems to me, we either need to add a new section or make it clear that section four is defining what is meant by the technical term unfairly discriminatory.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR):

Senator Partee.

SENATOR PARTEE:

1. 2.

3.

4.

5. 6.

7.

8.

9. 10.

11.

12. 13.

14.

15. 16.

17. 18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

I would compliment Senator Bruce on his perspicacity. It happens that the point you just raised did not escape my notice when I read this, and I mentioned that to the Director and he feels that there are adequate safeguards in the existing law in insurance in this State to enable him to make it a determination as to when a policy or the operation of a...of an insurance company is unfairly discriminatory. You are indeed a scholar.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR):

Senator Partee, do you move the adoption...

SENATOR PARTEE:

I move the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR):

Senator Partee moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3, all those in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Senator Harris.

SENATOR HARRIS:

Amendment No. 4 provides for optional excess no fault coverage above the basic 10,000 taking it to...oh,

I'm sorry, this is the amendment that begins with the 1. language, amends Senate Bill 416 on page 7 by deleting 2. lines 22 through 35 and inserting in lieu thereof the з. following. Now, the principal effect of this amendment, 4. the original section provided for the excess benefits 5. above the \$10,000 limit to 50, but in addition, it provides for work loss increments at the rate of \$50 per week increases up to...whatever the market will 8. offer. But the basic work loss provision required in 9. the...in the bill of \$200 per week for work loss, can 10. be implemented by purchase of \$50 per week increments 11. and that's provided for in Amendment No. 4. This is 12. a request by the Department. I have accepted it and 13. I would move the adoption of the amendment. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR): 15. Any further discussion? Senator Swinarski. 16. 17. SENATOR SWINARSKI: Address a question to Senator Harris. 18. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR): 19. Senator Harris indicates he'll yield. 20. SENATOR SWINARSKI: 21. After you go past the...the second paragraph and 22. you go on to page 8, I was with you up to that point, 23. Senator Harris. Can you start on line 22, optional 24. excess coverage. What does your bottom part of this 25. amendment do? 26. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR): 27. Senator Harris. 28. SENATOR HARRIS: 29. Yes, well, it's just conforming language in a sub-30. sequent section of the act purposed by this bill that has

to also be added. The...this second part of it is

not any substantive inclusion but just conforming

31.

32.

language where there are cross references later on in 1. the bill. I move the adoption of the amendment. 2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR): з. Senator Harris moves the adoption of Amendment No. 4. 4. All those in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed. 5. Amendment No. 4 is adopted. 6. 7. SENATOR HARRIS: Amendment No. 5 is an amendment requested by the 8. Department to make certain that the operation of a non-9. registered motor vehicle will also be included under 10. the coverage of the no fault provisions. This really, 11. I think, can be identified in the terms of a technical 12. amendment. It is not particularly significant, but 13. I found no objection to it and move the adoption of 14. 15. the amendment. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR): 16. Senator Harris... 17. 18. SENATOR HARRIS: There...there might be a possible loophole in claims 19. and this clearly closes that question. I move the adoption 20. of Amendment No. 5. 21. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR): 22. Senator Harris moves the adoption of Amendment No. 5. 23. All those in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed. 24. Amendment No. 5 is adopted. 25. SENATOR HARRIS: 26. If there are any members that have amendments that 27. don't get into conflict with language of the ones we've 28. adopted, we certainly should get to them today and give 29. the members their right to... 30. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR): 31. All right. Senator Rock. 32.

SENATOR ROCK:

Just...excuse me, Mr. President, just a question of
 the sponsor. Do these, Senator, represent the total sum
 of your agreement with the Director in the Department of
 Insurance?

SENATOR HARRIS:

5.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

We have an understanding about three additional definitions that we are not attempting to place into amendment form here in the Senate, but conceptually, the ...the Director has some question about the definitions of total disability, work loss, and serious injury. But he has accepted my suggestion that we'll continue to work on those and potentially draft language specifically dealing with those definitions in the House. But he accepts the bill in its form as it has now been amended, as a viable piece of legislation which he supports. I have committed myself to continue to work with him in trying to work out definitions on those three questions in...contained in the bill itself.

SENATOR ROCK:

The...the reason I...the reason that I ask Senator is that when the bill was introduced, it seems to me, the Department had a press release of sorts or testified, at least, and issued a press release and there was some concern expressed about the 60 consecutive day provision that in the judgment of himself and the department there should be that definition...perhaps should be reworked. Is there...

SENATOR HARRIS:

I'm sorry...it would be acceptable to me to reduce that figure. This is another matter of, I would say strategy or concern that Senator Partee and I have discussed. I would not be offended by reduction in that time period. It may be another one of those

whatever the sense of this Body is on the question, 2. that will ultimately shape this bill, if it is passed 3. and sent to the House. 4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR): 5. Senator Carroll. 6. SENATOR CARROLL: 7. Basically the same question. I understood the 8. Director to say that was one of his five basic criteria 9. of a good no fault bill and that one of the five 10. being that the 60 day was unconscionable. And that 11. would have to be reduced before he could support 12. the legislation. 13. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR): 14. Senator Palmer. 15. SENATOR PALMER: 16. Senator Harris, I want to, forgive me, but I did 17. not understand the treatment on those three matters, 18. the serious injury, work loss, and total disability. 19. How is that going to be handled? Cause I'm very much 20. concerned about that. 21, 22. SENATOR HARRIS: Well... I indicated that conceptually I was amen-23. able to restating them insofar as they are defined in 24. the...in the bill. 25. SENATOR PALMER: 26. How will that be handled Senator? 27. SENATOR HARRIS: 28. I indicated that...that it was a subject that we 29. would continue to work on and probably work out those 30. changed definitions in the House. But.. 31. SENATOR PALMER: 32.

things that ought to be dealt with in the House.

1.

33.

Well, my question...

SENATOR HARRIS:

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10. 11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16. 17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

... Well, now, wait a minute. I tried to make clear that I think the sense of a majority of this Body is what ultimately will rule on that question. I would have to see language that insofar as I'm concerned at this point in time, I'm satisfied with those definitions as contained in the bill now. The Director would like to see some change and I would say that we're close together, but we haven't reached complete understanding on it. He is perfectly willing for the bill to go to the House in the form that it is in now. And to continue to try and work out those changes in the House. But it's ultimately up to a majority of this Body to make a determination of what goes to the House. And I recognize this and...and don't want to foreclose any dialogue or debate any member of this Body who wants to address himself directly to those definitions.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR):

Senator Swinarski.

SENATOR SWINARSKI:

Will the Senator yield to a question? PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR):

He indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR SWINARSKI:

To clarify this once again, Senator Harris, and I don't want to belabor the point. As I understood it and as was explained to me from a few members of your side of the aisle, rather than go in at this time to amendments which could be similar to some of your amendments, and some which could be overlapping some of your amendments, that you'll give us the opportunity in the next day to be able to prepare amendments and whether you are for them or not for them you'll give...

2. You'll have the opportunity to present them. 3. SENATOR SWINARSKI: 4. ...to vote for or against them. 5. SENATOR HARRIS: That's correct. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR): 8. Any further amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. 9. Senator Bell. 10. SENATOR BELL: 11. Mr. President, it gives me great pleasure, stepping 12. in for Senator Mitchler from the 39th District, to 13. introduce to this Senate Body a group of high school 14. students from Troy Township in Will County, the Shorewood 15. School system. And I beg leave of the Senate, of the 16. Senate members to stand up and to recognize this group. 17. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR): 18. Announcements from the Floor? Senator Hynes. 19. SENATOR HYNES: 20. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, it gives 21. me great pleasure to introduce a group of community 22. leaders from the Mt. Greenwood community in Chicago. 23. Mr. James Johnson, President of the Community Council, 24. Mrs. Paul Durback, Mrs. Leahy, Mr. Ryan, Mrs. Christianson, 25. and a great group. I'd like the Senate please to stand 26. and acknowledge their presence here. They've come down 27. on an important piece of legislation. 28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR): 29. Senator Knuepfer. 30. SENATOR KNUEPFER: 31. I...I want to make a motion in reference to SB 955. 32. And that motion is that SB 955 be set down as a special

l.

33.

SENATOR HARRIS:

order of business before the Committee of the Whole

1.	at 4:00 on Monday, May 21st. That is a postponement from
2.	a week ago.
3.	PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR):
4.	Is leaveleave is granted. Senator Latherow.
5.	SENATOR LATHEROW:
6.	Mr. President, I'd like to announce that Agriculture,
7.	Conservation and Ecology will not meet in A-1. They will
8.	meet in M-1. That's M-1 at 4:30, 4:15.
9.	PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR):
10.	Senator Donnewald, do you have an announcement?
11.	SENATOR DONNEWALD:
12.	No, I have a Resolution.
13.	PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR):
14.	Senator Harris.
15.	SENATOR HARRIS:
16.	I noticed that Senator Weaver is off the Floor. There
17.	is a Republican caucus at 9:00 in M-1 tomorrow morning.
18.	9:00, M-1, tomorrow morning.
19.	PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR):
20.	Any further announcements? Senator Donnewald.
21.	SENATOR DONNEWALD:
22.	There is a Resolution up there I believe on
23.	Mayor Daley.
24.	PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR):
25.	We have two other Resolutions which we were going
26.	to hold until tomorrow. But, that is not one of the two.
27.	Senator McBroom.
28.	SENATOR McBROOM:
29.	Mr. President, in the way of announcements, Appro-
30.	priations will meet today. We're advancing it 15 minutes.
31.	It will be 4:30 on the Senate Floor.
32.	PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR):
33.	Appropriations on the Senate Floor in 15 minutes, 4:15.

1.	SENATOR MCDROOM:
2.	No, 4:30.
3.	PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR):
4.	4:30, I'm sorry. Senator Clarke.
5.	SENATOR CLARKE:
6.	The Revenue Committee will meet immediately, so
7.	will the members please get over there posthaste.
8.	PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR):
9.	We'll,we may as well wind up the Resolutions.
10.	We'll have a total of three. The Secretary will read
11.	the first Resolution.
12.	SECRETARY:
13.	Senate Resolution 158 by Senator Mohr and all
14.	members of the Senate, and it's commendatory.
15.	PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR):
16.	This Resolution commends Dr. Preston Bradley,
17.	strictly a Congratulatory Resolution. I move for the
18.	suspension of the rules. Is there leave? Now, I
19.	move the immediate adoption of the Resolution. All
20.	those in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed. The
21.	Resolution is adopted. Next one, Mr. Secretary.
22.	SECRETARY:
23.	Senate Resolution 159 by Senator McCarthy and
24.	it's commendatory.
25.	PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR):
26.	Senator McCarthy.
27.	SENATOR McCARTHY:
28.	This Resolution commends the Ursuline Order of
29.	Sisters on their hundredth anniversary in Decatur,
30.	Illinois. And I move that the rules be suspended for
31.	the immediate adoption of the Resolution.
32.	PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR):

Senator Swinarski...or Senator McCarthy moves

the suspension of the rules. Is there leave? Now, ı. Senator McCarthy moves the adoption of the Resolution, 2. all those in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed. 3. The Resolution is adopted. Senator Donnewald. 4. 5. SECRETARY: Senate Resolution 160 by Senators Donnewald, Partee 6. 7. and Rock. 8. SENATOR DONNEWALD: ... And all Senators. It's a birthday Resolution Q to Mayor Daley...Richard J. Daley. And I'm sure that 10. 11. we all want to join in that, and I move for the suspension 12. of the rules and the appropriate motion for adoption. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR): 13. 14. Senator Donnewald moves to suspend the rules. All those...is there leave? Now, Senator Donnewald moves 15. 16. the adoption of the Resolution commending Mayor Daley. 17. All those in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed. 18. Resolution is adopted. Senator Donnewald. 19. SENATOR DONNEWALD: 20. Yes, Mr. President for the sake of announcing a 21. caucus tomorrow morning at 9:30, sixth floor for 22. Democrats, 9:30. 23. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR): 24. Senator Savickas. 25. SENATOR SAVICKAS: Mr. President, Gentlemen of the Senate, I'd like to 26. take this opportunity to introduce a group of 7th graders 27. from the Marquette School and their teacher, Margaret 28. Castner, in the back audience here. Would they please 29. 30. rise? PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR HOWARD MOHR): 31. Any further announcements? Senate stands adjourned 32.

until 10:30 tomorrow morning.