78th GENERAL ASSEMBLY February 28, 1973

1.	PRESIDENT:
2.	Will the Senate please come to order? The prayer will be
3.	offered by Dr. Thomas Luke, of the First Methodist Church of
4.	Springfield. Dr. Luke.
5.	(Prayer given by Dr. Luke)
6.	PRESIDENT:
7.	Reading of the Journal.
8.	SECRETARY:
9.	Wednesday, February 21, 1973, eleven thirty o'clock a.m.,
10.	•••
11.	PRESIDENT:
12.	Senator Soper.
13.	SENATOR SOPER:
14.	I move that we dispense with the further reading of the
15.	Journal, and unless some Senator has some corrections to make
16.	that the Journal of the 21st of February stand approved.
17.	PRESIDENT:
18.	Are there corrections or additions? Hearing none, the
19.	Journal shall stand approved.
20.	SECRETARY:
21.	Thursday, February 22nd, 1973, eleven thirty o'clock a.m.
22.	PRESIDENT:
23.	Senator Soper.
24.	SENATOR SOPER:
25.	Mr. President, members of the Senate, I move that we dis-
26.	pense with the further reading of the Journal of the of
27.	February 22nd. And unless some Senator has some corrections
28.	or omissions to the Journal, that the Journal stand approved.
29.	PRESIDENT:
30.	Are there additions or corrections? Hearing none, the
31.	Journal stands approved. Reading of the Journal That
32.	I that's takes care of the Journals that have not been pre-

sented. Committee reports.

33.

1.	SECRETARY:
2.	Senator Graham, Chairman of the Committee on Assignment of
3.	Bills, reports the following bills have been assigned to commit-
4.	tees.
5.	To the Committee on Appropriations:
6.	SB numbered 171, 174, 182, 183,
7.	185 and 186.
8.	To the Committee on Education:SB number 12 172, 173
9.	and 179.
10.	To the Committee on Elec Executive:
11.	SB 188.
12.	Committee on Insurance and Financial Institutions:
13.	SB 180.
14.	Committee on Judiciary:SB 181 and 187.
15.	Committee on Revenue: SB 175, 189 and 190.
16.	Senator Conolly, Chairman of the Committee on Transportation
17.	and Public Utilities reports: SB 19, 21, 33 and 23 with the
18.	recommendation the bills Do Pass.
19.	PRESIDENT:
20.	Resolutions.
21.	SECRETARY:
22.	Senate Joint Resolution No. 11 by Senator Graham.
23.	(Secretary reads Resolution No. 11)
24.	PRESIDENT:
25.	Senator Graham.
26.	SENATOR GRAHAM:
27.	Mr. President, as I call the membership's attention to the
28.	fact that we're coming in next Tuesday, I will move the adoption
29.	of the adjournment resolution.
30.	PRESIDENT:
31.	Is the motion understood? We are considering the adjourn-
32.	ment resolution for next week, which provides for us to return

into session Tuesday, March 6th at eleven thirty a.m. All in $\frac{1}{2}$

favor of adoption of the Resolution signify by saying aye. Contrary nay. The Resolution is adopted. The next order, the introduction of bills. The Secretary informs me that he has no bills on the Secretary's desk. Do the Senators have any bills that they want to present for introduction at this time? Senator Saperstein, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

Introduction ... introduction of a Resolution. I am now getting sponsors. Can we hold a minute while I bring it over? PRESIDENT:

By all means, we will give you time to proceed. While Senator Saperstein is ... getting her sponsors ... contacted. we will proceed to the order of SB 2nd ... Senator Graham. SENATOR GRAHAM:

I'd like to make a motion at this time. I'd like to move Mr. President, and members that SB No. 2 ... that the Committee on Revenue be discharged from further consideration of SB No. 2, and it be re-referred to the Committee on Local Government. I would like to also move the SB 128, that the Committee on Local Government be discharged from any further consideration of 128 and that it be referred to the Committee on Utilities. And this is by agreement of the sponsors and the committee chairmen.

PRESIDENT:

1.

2.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13. 14.

15.

16. 17.

18.

19.

20.

21. 22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27. 28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

... The first motion from Senator Graham is to discharge SB 2 from Revenue and refer to Local Government. All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary nay. The motion is carried, and the bill will be ordered accordingly. Yeah. Now, the second motion is SB 128 to discharge from Local Government and refer to Public Utilities. All in favor of Senator Graham's motion signify by saying aye. Contrary nay. The motion is carried, and the bill will be so ordered. Senate Bills, 2nd reading. I understand that Senator Sours is awaiting prepara-

tion of amendments on those bills on 2nd reading of his. We will ... for what purpose does Senator Buzbee seek recognition? SENATOR BUZBEE:

Mr. President, I would like to move that SB 108 be taken from the Local Government Committee and passed on to 2nd reading. This is a bill that Senator Gilbert introduced the last Session, and it didn't get passed. It's simply a bill to vacate a highway easement in Jackson County. I've talked to the Chairman of Local Government Committee and he is willing to go along with this.

PRESIDENT:

l.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

'9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Senator Buzbee has moved to discharge the Senate Committee on Local Government from further consideration of SB 108, and have the bill placed on the order of 2nd reading. All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary nay. The motion is carried, and the bill will be ordered accordingly. Does Senator Nimrod seek recognition? Senator Nimrod.

SENATOR NIMROD:

Mr. President, I would ask leave that my name might be included as a co-sponsor on Senate Bill 118.

PRESIDENT:

Is there leave? So ordered.

SENATOR NIMROD:

Thank you.

PRESIDENT:

Senate bills on 3rd reading. Senator Sours.

SENATOR SOURS:

Mr. President, Senators, SB 70 amends the County Zoning Act. It provides for a five dollar per diem increase in the allowance, making it from twenty to twenty-five dollars, and the mileage from ten to fifteen cents for members of Boards of Appeals where the County had less than one million inhabitants. That is exactly all the bill does. An increase of five

- dollars per diem, a five cent increase on the mileage. 1.
- PRESIDENT: 2.
- Is there further discussion? The Secretary will read З.
- the bill. 4.

5.

- 6. Senate Bill No. 70. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 3rd reading of the bill. 7.

SECRETARY:

- PRESIDENT: . 8.
 - 9. Is there further discussion? Secretary will call the roll.
- SECRETARY: 10.
- Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, 11.
- Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty ... 12.
- PRESIDENT: 13.
- Senator Dougherty. 14.
- SENATOR DOUGHERTY: 15.
- I have no particular objection to this bill, because I do 16.
- believe that the county has the right to do as what is indicated 17.
- by the conscious. Still, however, I do object to the fact that 18.
- 19. Senator Sours was joined by his colleagues in voting down the
- Home Rule Amendment which we ... insisted on putting on all 20.
- legislation favoring Congress. You know that ... our method of
- doing this is just merely a safeguard to preserve our rights 22.
- 23. under the Constitution, so until he's willing to accept this
- amendment, I'm going to vote present. 24.
- SECRETARY: 25.

21.

- Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Hynes, 26.
- Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Latherow, McBroom, 27.
- McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, 28.
- Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock 29.
- Roe, Romano, Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, 30.
- Smith, Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
- 31.
- Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President. 32.
- PRESIDENT: 33.

ı. Senator Don Moore. 2. SENATOR DON MOORE: З, How am I recorded, Mr. President? 4. PRESIDENT: 5. You are not recorded. 6. SENATOR DON MOORE: 7. I'd like to be recorded as voting aye. 8. PRESIDENT: 9. Senator Don Moore, aye. On that question the yeas are 10. thirty-three, the nays are three, and three present. The bill 11. having received a constitutional majority is declared passed. 12. Senator Rock. 13. SENATOR ROCK: 14. I wonder, Mr. President, if I might verify that roll call. 15. PRESIDENT: 16. Sure. Secretary will ... you want those voting in the 17. affirmative only? Or both positions. 18. SENATOR ROCK: 19. No. The afirmative will be sufficient. 20. SECRETARY: 21. The following voted in the affirmative: Bartulis, Bell, 22. Berning, Buzbee, Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Daley, Davidson, Fawell, 23. Glass, ... 24. PRESIDENT: 25. Mr. Secretary ... What purpose does Senator Chew arise? SENATOR CHEW: 26. 27. Mr. President, I would like to vote no on that bill. 28. PRESIDENT: 29. Senator Chew ... 30. SENATOR CHEW: 31. I'd like to have leave of the Body to change my vote from 32. yes to no. 33. PRESIDENT:

Well ... the rule is, Senator Chew, that you must have
 unanimous consent. Is there objection? There is objection,
 Senator Chew.

SENATOR CHEW:

4.

5.

6.

7.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

33.

didn't vote one way or the other. I didn't vote yes, but I see I'm recorded as yes. So, in order to keep the record

Mr. ... Mr. President, I really didn't vote yes.

straight, I'm asking leave to vote no.

9. PRESIDENT:

Well, Senator Chew ... under the rules ... you ... you. The roll call shows that you gave some indication to the Secretary ...

SENATOR CHEW:

Mr. President, the roll call is made by man. And if I say I did not vote on the bill at all, then whata ya saying? That my word is not good as the Secretary's? I'd like to have a ... PRESIDENT:

Senator Chew ...

SENATOR CHEW:

... ruling on that. I said I didn't vote. I'm the one that votes.

PRESIDENT:

making at all. You have been here long enough to know and ... and since you are making a public issue out of this. We will ... we will deal with it under the rules of the Senate.

Senator Chew. I am not suggesting the reference you are

SENATOR CHEW:

Well, fine. I'd like to ... I saying ...

PRESIDENT:

PRESIDENT:

And your motion ... your motion, your remedy at this point, you sought unanimous consent. There was objection expressed.

SENATOR CHEW:

32. Mr. President, I tried to do it ...

Senator Chew, will ... will you permit me to explain your 2. remedy. 3. SENATOR CHEW: 4. Yes. 5. PRESIDENT: 6. At this point, your remedy is to move to suspend the rules. 7. Under the rules where we are now, you have available to you that 8. motion. 9. SENATOR CHEW: Mr. President, I'm merely asking you to correct the roll call. 10. Otherwise I can walk off the Floor, and you can't get a verifica-11. tion so it amounts to the same thing. 12. 13. PRESIDENT: 14. Senator Sours. What purpose do you arise? SENATOR SOURS: 15. Mr. President, before I ... Mr. President, before I give 16. 17. Charlie, Senator Chew my copy of Shakespeare's, Much Ado About Nothing, I would have no objection to his name being excised. 18. PRESIDENT: 19. Senator Chew, then, can reput his request for unanimous 20. 21. consent to be removed from the roll call. Is there leave? 22. Under the rules, since it does not change the result, and since 23. leave has been granted, the Secretary is instructed to remove from the roll call Senator Chew. Do you wish to be recorded in 24. 25. the negative, Senator Chew? SENATOR CHEW: 26. 27. Mr. President, I wish to be recorded in the negative. 28. PRESIDENT: On the question of passage of SB 70, the Record ...

ı.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Senator Shapiro.

SENATOR SHAPIRO:

SENATOR SHAPIRO:

You are not recorded.

PRESIDENT:

(LSU/2-73/2M)

... Mr. President, how am I recorded?

...Please record me as voting aye.

PRESIDENT:

1.

2.

3.

4. 5.

6. 7.

8.

9.

10. 11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20. 21.

22. 23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

We will reach Senator Shapiro's name in the verification. That is the order of business that we are on. The Secretary will proceed under the request of Senator Rock to verify votes on the roll call.

SECRETARY:

Davidson, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Knuepfer,
Latherow, McBroom, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don Moore,
Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga, Regner, Roe, Schaffer,
Scholl, Shapiro, Sommer, Soper, Walker, Weaver, Wooten, Mr.
President.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Knuppel.

SENATOR KNUPPEL:

Go ahead and vote. I \dots mine's a point of order or \dots or personal privilege.

PRESIDENT:

On the motion of passage of SB 70, the yeas are thirtythree, the nays are four, present three, and the bill is declared passed. Senator Knuppel.

SENATOR KNUPPEL:

Now, just for point of clarification, because we're just starting this Session here, I thought that a motion to verify was one to verify that the person had actually voted because there's noise in this Body, and it's possible that the Secretary could make a mistake. I realize that his motion was to change his vote which is different, but I would think that any member of this Body, upon a roll call for verification rose and said I didn't vote would ... this is the very purpose of the roll call is to verify. And that he would be entitled to have the erroneous recordation removed. Now, if I'm wrong ... I ... I don't really believe so, because it's possible, and I'm not

trying to put the onus on the Secretary. There's noise in this Body, and I would think if a person didn't vote, otherwise your going to have me down therein front of that Secretary all the rest of the Session, because once the roll call is announced if I'm on it and I don't want to be on it then I'm going to have to stay down there, and see whether I'm on it. And ... and I ... I think this ... is ... is erroneous ruling to say that you can't rise at any time, even without leave of the Body, and say that I never voted because that's the purpose of the motion. Is to verify the roll call. Not to verify whether the people are here or not here, but to verify whether the person actually voted or not.

PRESIDENT:

ı.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30. 31.

32.

33.

The Chair just would observe that Senator Knuppel's remarks are reasonable and appropriate to the circumstance we've just experienced. I want to point out that the matter of changes in roll call should precede the announcement of the results and that from that point on the Senate has expressed itself. Of course, the privilege of verification always obtains once a roll call has been announced. For what purpose does Senator Mohr arise?

SENATOR HOWARD MOHR:

Mr President, one of the reasons I selected this ringside seat is so that I could observe some of the goings-on in this Body. And there is no illness to be casted upon the Secretary of the Senate. There hasn't been in the past when the Secretary was the opposite party, two fine gentlemen and I don't think that we should question their integrity. I was sitting here, before this discussion came up, when Senator Chew came down to the desk, and asked that his name be removed. So his name was put on there, in my opinion, with his knowledge. So I think we're making a lot noise about nothing. The vote has been decided by a thirty-three to whatever roll call, and I

just don't like the idea of somebody saying something about
 our Secretary or our Assistant Secretary. They are men of high
 ideals and ... and great character in my humble opinion.

4. PRESIDENT:

5.

6.

7.

8.

9. 10.

11.

12.

13. 14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19. 20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26. 27.

28. 29.

30.

31.

32.

Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:

Yes, Mr. President. Just an inquiry, because I believe the matter will come up in the future. Senator Shapiro asked how he was recorded when we were in the process of verification. Although that may have been inappropriate, the answer came from the Secretary that he was not recorded. However, as we went down the verification, when we came to Senator Shapiro's name he was recorded in the affirmative. How does that occur? PRESIDENT:

The Secretary announces that he recorded him at the time. Now, yeah ... I would just observe, and very candidly, I'm not certain under what rule I am announcing ... I ... I'm proceeding, but I want to call attention to the fact that if in verification a Senator is not on the Floor, and is questioned, he is removed. In the event that during the time period a Senator returns to the Floor, it's the conclusion of the Chair that an equal opportunity for a Senator to express himself should be afforded. A Senator on the Floor, prior to a final determination of verification, it seems to me, and I honestly cannot cite to you a rule that operates this, but as a matter of equity and result, a Senator on the Floor, who was not on the Floor at the time the original roll call should be afforded the same opportunity of expression that is denied a Senator if he leaves the Floor during the time of the taking of the Record and the verification. That is the rationale I would apply to the result of Senator Shapiro's being recorded. And until this Senate as a Body determines otherwise, ... I would rule in that fashion. Proceed, Senator Bruce. I ... I attempted to respond to your

1. inquiry.

2.

4.

5.

6. 7.

8. 9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14. 15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

SENATOR BRUCE:

Right. I cannot recall the rule number, but the ruling of the Chair then is in derogation of the rule that says that once the roll call is announced that is final. And, am I to understand that any time during a verification of the roll call a Senator's name, if he returns to the Floor will then during the verification, be able to vote.

PRESIDENT:

I am suggesting that that is consistent with the results that we practice, and I would so rule unless the Senate orders otherwise.

SENATOR BRUCE:

It ... it is in opposition to the stated rule that once the roll call has been announced, and in the two years I have been here, we have had great controversy over that and consistently the Chair has ruled that once the roll call is announced a person cannot add his name to the roll call during verification. All I want to know is if the Chair is changing that rule, I think we should be apprised of that.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Sours has sought recognition prior to ... the Minority Leader. I ... I've been trying to recognize on both sides, so Senator Sours is recognized.

SENATOR SOURS:

Mr. President, I think you're doing an admirable job this morning. But if Senator ... Bruce would have it his way. Then I suggest we put Chew back on the roll call. Let him answer to Daley on that one.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Partee. Senator Partee.

SENATOR PARTEE:

... I ... I will yield to Senator Chew. Apparently he ...

has been named in the debate. And he ... then you can get back
 to me later cause ...

PRESIDENT:

3. 4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17. 18.

19. 20.

21. 22.

23.

24.

25. 26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Senator Chew.

SENATOR CHEW:

Mr. President, if I had ... the ... the Atlantic Ocean for my ink well, and the largest oak tree in California for my fountain pen, and the clear blue sky for my stationery, I still wouldn't have enough room to tell Hudson Sours that I think that he is one of the greatest guys who should of gone to Harvard instead of Yale.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Partee.

SENATOR PARTEE:

I don't want to belabor the questions which have arisen with reference to the rule. We will certainly address ourselves to that particular rule when we sit down next week. But, I would suggest to you, and I'd like to ... to have your ... give it some thought. That, if you can add a name to a roll call which is being verified you actually defy the definition, verification means to recall and recount those already recorded on a situation. You cannot verify that which has not been already done. So, I'd ask you to think about that when we'll be talking about the entire rules on the 6th of the ... next month.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Knuppel.

SENATOR KNUPPEL:

Well, I'd just suggest that when members of either Party are off the Floor when a roll call is made if your rule ... prevails, you're going to have an awful lot of verifications while somebody is out hustlin to try to get some votes in in here. And I think it'd be a ... a bad precedent, it'd slow down the work, and I think you might consider that when your ... when

you're considering the rule. Because I know that if we were

short a man or two and we thought they were in the building, we

would call for a verification, go out and hustle, you could do

same thing, I think it's a bad rule.

PRESIDENT:

2.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18. 19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32. 33. Senator Latherow.

SENATOR LATHEROW:

Well, Mr.... Mr. President, I think we can all recall occasions of long winded speeches. I wouldn't say from which side, probably from both, trying to get somebody back here to increase a number when a verification of roll call has been called. Not particularly today or last year or two years or four years ago.

... And it's an agreement with the Chair and others that they can work out something to take care of this I'm all for it. But all the to-do we've had this morning I'm must agree is over nothing.

PRESIDENT:

3rd ... 3rd reading of Senate Bills. For what purpose does Senator Walker arise?

SENATOR WALKER:

calls I rather like to look down there and see Eddie and his associate. I much prefer to look at them, at them rather than the gentleman who is volunteered to supervise or oversee these roll calls down there. I would like to make this suggestion, I do feel the decorum this Session is considerably improved over last Session. I know ... I assume we're still working on the temporary rules, and ... we have a list of people who are entitled to the Floor. I would like to suggest this, when the Rules Committee next meets) to the leadership, both Majority and Minority, that you consider so we'll be able or so Eddie will be able to hear the answer as the roll is called, that those even entitled to the Floor, and you know who they are we well as I do,

be put outside the rail and that includes the administrative
 assistant and all others entitled to Floor privileges while we're
 on a roll call. And then perhaps we won't have these controversies
 taking up ten or fifteen or twenty minutes of unnecessary time.
 And Mr. President, I would like to most respectfully suggest
 that you consider this when we are on roll calls. Thank you.
 PRESIDENT:

Senate Bill ... Senator Bell.

SENATOR BELL:

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31. 32.

33.

Mr. President, ... I would like leave of this Senate to recognize group of people, a large group of people that have come ... come from the great County of Will down to the Illinois Legislature this morning, at ... some expense to themselves both monetarily and time-wise, with the purpose in mind of appearing before the Senate, up in the audience, or before the House up in the audience, as a Will County Taxpayers' Protest Association and ... they're down here, fellow Senators to ... to let us know that they're unhappy with ... the present tax situation, and I would move the leave of this Body that we recognize them as being here this morning and what their intent of being here is for. Thank you.

PRESIDENT:

Thank you Senator Bell. Senator Partee.

SENATOR PARTEE:

For those nice people left, I would like for them to know that seated in the President's Gallery, immediately behind the President, are a group of gentlemen from Iran, and they're here with Miss Clasenna Harvey who is with the Illinois Development group, and these men are here from Iran observing the Illinois Legislature. I wish they would stand and be recognized by the Senate.

PRESIDENT:

Thank-you, Senator Partee. SB 88. Senator Hall. Secretary will read the bill.

1. SECRETARY:

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

. 9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24,

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31. 32.

33.

2. SB 88 (Secretary reads title of bill)

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Mr. President, SB 88 on passage stage is a measure ... awaited by people throught the State. I would like to call it today, but I've been notified by a gentleman ... on the other side of the aisle that he would like to propose an amendment on 2nd reading and for that reason I would like to have it brought back to the order of 2nd reading and ... the amendment would be explained by Senator Rock.

PRESIDENT:

Is there leave? The bill is ordered returned to 2nd reading for purpose of amendment. Senator Hall. For what purpose does Senator Buzbee seek recognition?

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Mr. President, I would like to offer an amendment to SB 88. I would like to offer the amendment to SB 88 by inserting after subparagraph B and prior to paragraph C the following:

However, any such person whose name is submitted to the Senate for confirmation in the first four months of 1973, who has not filed a statement as otherwise required under this Act, may satisfy the requirements of this Act by filing his statement within thirty days

after the effective date of this amendatory Act of 1973.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee has offered Amendment No. 1. Senator Sours. I'm sorry, Senator Soper.

SENATOR SOPER:

... Mr. ... Mr. President, question to Senator Buzbee, if he'd answer a question. In other words, the ethic ... the ethics document that a nominee ... a nominee by the Governor,

wouldn't have to be filed til after he was ... after he was approved by the Senate. Is that correct under this? You're on SENATOR BUZBEE:

Mr. President, Senator Soper ...

PRESIDENT:

1. 2.

4.

5.

6. 7.

8.

9.

11.

12.

13. 14.

15.

16.

17. 18.

19. 20.

21. 22.

23.24.

25.

26. 27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32. 33. Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

This amendment would simply make the whole bill consistent where we're giving local candidates, because of a misunderstanding of the bill, we don't want them to be thrown out of office on a technicality. We're simply doing the same think for the Governor's appointees.

SENATOR SOPER:

Mr. President, now ...

PRESIDENT:

Senator Soper.

SENATOR SOPER:

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I would state this ... that a nominee for a Directorship in ... in the Governor's Cabinet should ... should know and must know that the Senate, the Executive Committee, should have all the information on this party. Now, getting this information after the sixty days have gone by and he ... he becomes ... the ... the subject of ... of affirmation without ... without cause to be heard by the Senate because we failed to ... to act on the nomination seems ridiculous to me. And that's what could happen if this ... if this takes effect. I think that what we want to do is ... is cure... cure something for people that have forgotten to put their ... put their name on the line as far as the ethics condition is concerned. But, the people will have a chance and in most instances they do have had on file previously if they were elected officials an ethics statement. Now, in these cases of nominations by the Governor, we wouldn't have a chance to ... to look

at the ethics statement. I think we should look at it ... I
 think this amendment should be defeated.

PRESIDENT:

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8. 9.

10.

11.

12. 13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19. 20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26. 27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32. 33. Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Well, Mr. President, members of the Senate, I rise in support of this amendment. I do not intend to vote for the bill, amended or unamended. But just in answer to Senator Soper's always lucid comments, last week in Executive there was some question raised as to the filing date of those ethic statements by the Governor's appointees. They have in fact all filed their statements, albeit one or two days late depending on whose interpretation of the law. It seems to be that if one can be elected as a Mayor of a municipality of this State without having filed such a statement at all, certainly we ought to give Governor's appointees a couple of more days within which to file. So I think the amendment is a valid one, and I would ask support for it.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Knuppel.

SENATOR KNUPPEL:

Well, the same logic applies with the same validity to the Governor's appointees is ... as it applies to local candidates. I'm sure the voters would like to know before they vote or before they voted what the economic status of the candidate was the same as the Executive Committee would like to know before they pass. But the logic's the same. In either case they don't know and the question is whether this is sufficient to bar that person from holding the office he seeks. In one case the voter doesn't have any information, and in the other one the Executive Committee doesn't have it. So, the logic is identical. I personally would like to see the whole Ethics Act vote ... thrown out because I don't think it amounts to a whole heck

1. of a lot.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

9.

10.

11.

12. 13.

14.

15. 16.

17.

18. 19.

20.

21. 22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27. 28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

2. PRESIDENT:

Senator Ozinga.

SENATOR OZINGA:

Mr. President, members of the Senate. This is an attempt to correct the situation as we have bumped into it in the Executive Committee. However, it's a little ... I'm afraid that it's acting a little hastily. Now, for the members on the other side of the aisle, we have taken the situation in hand, and we have an agreement with the Governor, so that he is resubmitting all of those names that were filed late, so that there's no problem any more as far as they're concerned. However, with your situation here, on this amendment I would be very doubtful and I'd rather study this just a little bit. We have the matter with the Attorney General's Office, we ... were ... look ... seeking a ... a clarification of the ruling. Now, that may be an entirely different situation, and I would just as soon hold it in abeyance for the time being.

PRESIDENT:

What ...

SENATOR OZINGA:

There is no ...

PRESIDENT:

Senator Ozinga, have you completed your presentation? Senator Swinarski.

SENATOR SWINARSKI:

President, members of the Senate, my good colleague, and chairman of the committee, Senator Ozinga ... Ozinga certainly more than anybody else here knows ... may I please have the microphone? Thank you. The Chairman of the Executive Committee, more than anybody else here knows the intent and the meaning of the law. We could take any piece of legislation, and any of the laws that have been passed here this

Session, the last Session, or any of our State statues and interplet them anyway that we so choose. And that's why we have the courts in this land. And that's why the courts are here to interpret the law. We all know, I believe we all know, and if we use an open mind with open judgment that the intent in the purpose of the Ethic Act, as it was passed at the time was to disclose the economic disclosures of people doing business with the State, to look for conflict of interest and to see if anything which would be harmful to the State could occur. We wanted people to reveal this, so that when they went in front of the Executive Committee, we could examine the Record and see that we are protecting the State. Certainly, this amendment is living up to that letter of the law. This amendment is saying, because the Governor appoints someone today that he ... let's say lives in the northern part of Illinois, South Beloit, that he does not have to run down to Springfield and file with the Secretary of State today or he is not qualified for office because we might have some very qualified people from the northern part of this State who have to get down and file that day with the Secretary of State or he is not qualified for public office. That is not the intent, that is not the meaning of this law. The intent and the meaning of this law, as I explained a moment ago is to make knowledge public by giving a person thirty days time, certainly the Chairman of the committee can hold up having the hearing come before the committee for those thirty days until he has in his possession the statement of economic disclosure. He can have, and the entire committee can have, all the information in front of them and when they have this information then I'm sure the committee will act accordingly. And, I think because of the fairness and because of the interpretation of the law and because of the open minds of the gentlemen from the other side of the aisle, I know that they too agree with this amendment.

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27:

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

1. PRESIDENT:

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Senator Graham.

SENATOR GRAHAM:

Mr. President and those who are practicing law with a license and those who are practicing law without a license, this is just this basically simple: We were provided by a twentythree per cent vote by the people of the State of Illinois with a Constitution, and it is clear in what it says, the Executive Committee perhaps in view of the fact that they may have a constitutional challenge should someone want to challenge, some of the recent absenteeism in the department of economic interest and others that should be filed, tried to make a concession last week as Senator Ozinga said. Now, I think it's time this General Assembly served notice on the Governor of the State of Illinois who had everything figured out last October, that we're tired of this string bean monkey business of sending up messages for people to be approved by the Executive Committee. And I am in no humor now to change the provisions by statue or otherwise, because it is just basically simple, if he wants the Executive Committee to act, if he wants to comply with the law that he so strongly upheld during the campaign and when he took his oath of office, then get the appointees in and let them know what the message is. This Constitution wasn't made...this Conjust that simple. stitution was devised ... Senator, as a freshman you'd better sit down for awhile.

PRESIDENT:

Sen ...

SENATOR GRAHAM:

This ...this Constitution was not ...

PRESIDENT:

Just a moment, Senator Graham. Senator Graham. Senator Graham. Sen ... Senator ... Senator Chew ... When your

ı. SENATOR GRAHAM:

2. Charlie, you've been here long enough to do that. 3.

PRESIDENT:

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

33.

Senator Graham has the Floor. Senator Graham has the Floor, Senator Chew. Senator Graham will proceed and the Senate will be in order.

SENATOR GRAHAM:

The truth might hurt a little bit, but this Constitution wasn't made for the people on the right side of the aisle on the one hand and the left side of the aisle for the other. It is perfectly clear, and I'm saying to you that I am not willing to make any concession for any late appointee, or any failure of anyone who he has appointed to not comply with the spirit of the law as we adopt it.

PRESIDENT:

Senator ... Senator Graham is speaking on the amendment before us. He may be taking some latitude, but in general his remarks were applied to the consideration of an amendment relating to the person whose nomination is subject to advice and consent of the Senate. Now, Senator Graham had the Floor, and Senator Chew if ... if you will hold your inquiry for a moment, please, Senator Swinarski also sought recognition, On this question, Senator Swinarski has already spoken and until ... until every Senator has been afforded the question before the Senate is the adoption of Senator Buzbee's motion to amend SB ... SB in the event he is recognized. Now the matter before ... what is the point, Senator Chew? SENATOR CHEW:

Mr. President, I want to know what is the ruling of the ... of the Chair when a member of the Body request from the Chair recognition whether it's during ...

32. PRESIDENT:

What the Chair will recognize, one Senator at a time.

Senator Graham was speaking on the matter before us, and until he completed his remarks the Chair will have no intention of recognizing an additional Senator and that is why when I interrupt a Senator who has the Floor I make the inquiry for the purpose of determining the Senators seeking recognition while another Senator has the Floor. I determine that Senator Graham was germane and should continue. At the conclusion of his remarks it would be an appropriate time to recognize a Senator who has spoken on the question who wants to proceed on a point of personal privilege. But, not until a Senator is finished with the opportunity for him to proceed under our rules. Now, that's the determination the Chair made. I hope that explaination satisfies Senator Chew. Senator Chew.

SENATOR CHEW:

l.

2.

3.

4.
 5.

6.

7.

8.

9:

10.

11:

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

... I don't have a copy of those rules. Would you furnish me with a copy?

PRESIDENT:

I certainly will, Senator.

SENATOR CHEW:

And, I'd like to know under what ...

PRESIDENT:

They are in the Journal of January 10, but I will see to it that you get a copy.

SENATOR CHEW:

That no member would be recognized until another member had finished his elaboration on even a point of personal privilege. I ... I don't believe that's in the rules.

PRESIDENT:

Well, the provision is in order to enjoy orderly procedure that one Senator at a time be recognized.

SENATOR CHEW:

Well, you couldn't recognize us all at the same time, so that isn't necessary for a rule. What I am asking you, as

President of this Senate, is that provision in the rules?

PRESIDENT:

I have made that observation. Yes.

SENATOR CHEW:

1.

2.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11. 12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

23.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32. 33. No, not your observation, your observation ... I'm asking one thing and you're saying another. Is that provision in the Rule Book?

PRESIDENT:

I ... I just acknowledged, yes. And I will see to it that you receive not only a copy of the Journal of January 10, but a special copy of the rules from me to you.

SENATOR CHEW:

I ... I suggest you give that to all of us over here, 'cause, ya know, we .. we haven't gotten all the information, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT:

For what purpose does Senator Swinarski arise? SENATOR SWINARSKI:

It's not a ... I rising ... for the purpose ... purpose of raising a personal privilege, take precedence over the debate or discussion of a ... of an amendment? According to the rules I'm reading, they do Mr. President. You don't have to sir. PRESIDENT:

The chair responds by saying that when a Senator seeks recognition in the midst of pending business, and offers as his reason for seeking recognition a point of personal privilege, the Chair does have the prerogative of determining his point. If it is consistent and germane to the issue under discussion, he may then proceed. If not, the Chair would determine that he would be recognized on a point of personal privilege at the conclusion of the order of business before the Senate. Uh, that's the position... yes ... well, I'm trying to make that clear. Senator Partee.

SENATOR PARTEE:

Mr. ... Mr. President,

PRESIDENT:

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26. 27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Senator Partee is recognized.

SENATOR PARTEE:

Mr. President, and members of the Senate I would hope that all of these questions about rules will be finalized next week in our meeting on the 6th of March, and then we can move along with dispatch. But I would like to get back for a moment, to the subject matter under consideration SB 88.

PRESIDENT:

The amendment to SB 88.

SENATOR PARTEE:

The amendment to that bill. And if Senator Hall, Senator Harber Hall, is on the Floor, I'd like for him to pay particular attention to what I say because the future of this entire bill may hinge on this kind of a solution. When this bill first came in, I had some reservations about it and I think I expressed them to you. Then the bill moved along to this point and I have come to recognize that there are a large number of people whose elections will be affected by, drastically, if this does not become law. I also know that there are lawsuits pending in certain places concerning the subject matter of the nonfiling by persons running for office. Hence, I say to you that I think the subject matter is something that you really desire to pass and may be a salutary kind of thing. On the other hand, I am not impressed with the so-called arrangement and agreement that has been entered into by the Chairman of the Executive and the Governor's people. I think that this Amendment, in its present form, will do more toward making certain that those persons appointed will not have their appointments later challenged by someone extrinsic to such agreements and if we pass this Amendment, then, I say to you I think there can be increase support for your bill. If

the Amendment is not passed, it will, in my judgement, lessen the possibilities of passing, what occurs to you to be a very fine piece of legislation ... sometimes, there is this thing called compromise and perhaps this is one day that we ought to think about it rather seriously ... you want this bill ... take this Amendment and I don't think you'll have any problems. If you don't take the Amendment, then I, I just don't know what's going to happen.

PRESIDENT:

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

... Senator Latherow.

SENATOR LATHEROW:

M... Mr. President, just a matter of suggestion, I might say on the day of January 10th on page 18, some of the members might familiarize themselves with Rule 59. Thank you, Mr. President. PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Mr. President, I would like to respond to the Minority Leaders ... reference of, on this Amendment ... receiving support from ... presumably the Democratic side, because ... the Amendment directs itself to only gubernatorial appointees ... subject to the advice and consent of the Senate. I have no objections, I state to those who are interested in adopting this Amendment, I have no objections and I have voiced no particular objections to it and I do seek passage today of this measure and it was my understanding that the Amendment would rise or fall on the vote, irrespective of ... how the sponsor of the measure ... intended to ... vote on it. I would raise one question about the Amendment now that Senator Partee has brought it up and that is, the matter that the bill itself only affects local government and by bringing into it coverage of state appointed officials I think ... we subject some sort of test on all other state employees that we do not desire to ... bring within

the purview of this type of legislation ... I, I certainly have
 no objections if, if the Amendment only pertained to Governor's
 appointments to the Amendment itself, but I do raise the question
 of, inasmuch as they are state employees, that it might open up
 some questions as to other state employees.

PRESIDENT:

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12. 13.

14.

16.

17.

18. 19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

31.

32.

33.

Is there further discussion? The question is. Senator Buzbee may close the debate.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Mr. President, on this my baptism under fire, I would ask all members of the Senate to consider this Amendment as simply making Sentor Hall's bill consistent for state and local candidates and confirmees and I would ask for your positive vote. Thank you.

inain you.

15. PRESIDENT:

... Senator Soper, I ... offered an opportunity for the members to be heard ... in the event ... I, I wanna point out that ... I called for an opportunity and would also observe that you have spoken on this measure. What purpose, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR SOPER:

... Parliamentary inquiry what ... what, what's the procedure, how many votes does it take on this?

PRESIDENT:

A simple majority of those voting on the question. All in favor of the adoption of the Amendment, sig ... a roll call has been requested. The question is, shall Amendment No. 1 to SB 88, be adopted? The Secretary will call the roll. Those in favor will vote aye. Those opposed will vote nay.

30. SECRETARY:

Bartulis, Bell, Berning,

PRESIDENT:

Senator Berning.

SENATOR BERNING:

1.

2.

3. 4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9. 10.

11. 12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19. 20.

21. 22.

23.

24.25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Mr. President, this incident raises a very interesting question and I think we ought not to treat it lightly. bill in its original form is designed to aid our local levels of government where we have little people, most of them not knowledgeable in government, who are only interested in serving their communities. They are agencies in a sense, very real sense, an agency of this Body. They have very little in the way of justification or reason to understand the Ethics Act. But when we get to the point of gubernatorial appointees, it seems to me, this is an entirely different situation. We are confronting people who are being solicited by the Executive Department to serve in very high levels of State Government, and if we are going to then say, we will overlook your shortcomings, it seems to me we are setting a very bad precedent. The Executive Committee has taken steps to correct the errors for those for whom we have had nominating petitions so far. But the effect of this Amendment would be to open it up indefinitely for all types of appointees and I think, I respectfully submit to the members of this Body that that is ill advised. Therefore, I vote no.

SECRETARY:

Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty, Fawell, PRESIDENT:

Senator Fawell,

SENATOR FAWELL:

... Mr. President, members of the Senate, it ... it is my opinion that, unfortunately, as one reads Section 2 of the Article 8 of the Illinois Constitution, there is a statement, and I quote it. "Failure to file a statement of economic interest within the time prescribed," and this is in regard to state offices or local offices, "shall, resolved ineligibility

l. for, or if you're a office holder already, forfeiture of 2. office. And it is the Attorney General's opinion that this is a self-effecting clause of our Constitution. I fear that 3. 4. there is nothing that we in the Legislature can do to help 5. local officials or state officials who have not filed their 6. statement of economic interest within the time prescribed by 7. our laws. I think, however, that if in some way this bill . 8 can be construed through some legal sophistry which courts 9. can engage in, that would have a good effect, and I frankly 10. can't see why if we're gonna give it to local officials we 11. can't give it to everyone. So therefore, I am going to support the bill and ... do vote aye on the Amendment. 12.

SECRETARY:

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Ozinga.

SENATOR OZINGA:

I am not antagonistic to the bill and I feel in, in the same way Harris Fawell does with reference to the bill. However, I'm a little bit fearful that this Amendment could muddy up the waters and, and do more harm that what we're really trying to accomplish here on the basis of the Constitution and the Statute as now exists. Therefore, I'm going to have to vote no on this Amendment.

SECRETARY:

Palmer, Partee,

PRESIDENT:

Senator Partee.

SENATOR PARTEE:

Just want to explain my vote, I just do not understand the

ı. no votes here. I'm not appalled, I'm not upset, it doesn't 2. frustrate me in any way, but I just simply cannot understand it 3. on a logical basis. The gentleman who offered the bill in it-4. self and those who have supported to this point, have supported 5. it on the basis that it is absolutely necessary to be protec-6. tive of people running in those areas, where, from which they 7. come, many of them are in mayors races. They say it is abso-8. lutely necessary a Now here's a bill and do you now go back home 9. and say, well the opportunity that was yours to be excused from 10. filing under the Ethics Act. I voted against it because I 11. wouldn't vote for an Amendment that included something else. 12. am cutting off my nose to spite my face. And you say to them when you go back that you voted against giving them a chance to 13. 14. run under those circumstances and I think it's a dreadful kind

of decision. I vote aye on this Amendment.

SECRETARY:

15.

16. 17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28. 29.

30.

31. 32.

33.

Regner, Rock,

PRESIDENT:

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Yes, Mr. President, explaining my aye vote, I think that the arrangement, whatever arrangement, the Chairman of Executive has attempted at least to make to accommodate the Executive Department. I think, both he and the arrangees are treading on a constitutional premise that I don't think we should mess with. There is a constitutional prohibition against submitting names in the same Session on two separate occasions and I think that just to obviate that problem. This is a simple Amendment and I vote aye.

SECRETARY:

Roe, Romano, Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, Sommer, Soper, Sours,

PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Sours.

2. SENATOR SOURS:

3.

4.

5.

6. 7.

8.

10.

11.

12. 13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Mr. President, Senators, I should think that any Governor before he made an appointment would certainly want that Ethics statement in his little warm fist even before he started considering the individual about to be appointed. Therefore, I vote no.

SECRETARY:

9. Swinarski,

PRESIDENT:

Senator Swinarski.

SENATOR SWINARSKI:

I'm sure that any Governor, but ... Mr. President, members of the Senate, like to reply to ... Senator Sours last statement, I'm sure that any Governor who has appointed any gentleman has done a complete and thorough examination of everything. However, that does not mean that that particular form has been filed with the Secretary of State; secondly, it could also mean because of some of the problems that we have today in the postal service, there's a few of them that do occur, some of the problems that we have, it could have been lost in the mail service, and so if a person lives in Cairo, Illinois, by the time for him to drive to Springfield to file with the Secretary of State's office, might take a day or two. I'm saying that this today is a non-partisan issue, gentlemen. Because, sure today we have a Democrat in the Executive branch of government, four years from today it might be someone else and four years from then it might be someone else. So look at it as a complete picture and what is right for the Executive branch and what is

PRESIDENT:

How does the Senator vote?

serve the State of Illinois.

right for the man accepting the position and who is willing to

1. SECRETARY:

Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

3. PRESIDENT:

5.

6.

8.

9.

11.

13.

14.

15.

18.

19.

20.

21.

23.

24.

27.

28.

4. On that question, the yeas are 28. The mays are 28 and 1

present the ... the motion having failed to receive a majority,

is declared lost. Third reading. Senator Netsch.

7. SENATOR NETSCH:

I have another Amendment, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT:

10. ... It is ... we will stay on the order of 2nd reading and

SENATOR NETSCH:

12. I ... I'm not sure whether you have the copy yet or not.

If not, it's ... physically on its way in ... if you could hold

for just a moment, please.

PRESIDENT:

Secretary does not have it, we will stand at ease for a moment. Senator Partee.

SENATOR PARTEE:

Make a suggestion, that while it's being brought in physi-

cally, she make an explanation of it and then we can discuss it

while, we don't waste that time.

22. PRESIDENT:

I think that's an orderly utilization of our time, Senator

Netsch is recognized.

25. SENATOR NETSCH:

26. Mr. President, the amendment would ... change the 30 days to

10 days, in other words, it would reduce the period of time

following the effective date of the act during which the state-

29. ment must be filed. I would have proposed the same amendment ..

to the amendment that just failed, if it hadn't failed. But

31. since it did I it will apply only to the first part I

since it did, I ... it will apply only to the first part. I think that the ... the reason for it, if I may continue, Mr.

33. President, ... is that ... the ... number 1 the constitution-

ality of what we are doing, I think is defensible, although it's closed question, it's an iffy question ... under the new Constitution ... but assuming that it can be supported on constitutional grounds, I think it does establish a rather unfortunate precedent to start fussing around with the first really strong Ethics Bill that the State Legislature has passed and it seems to me that it would be much more palatable, much more acceptable, as a matter of policy if the period of time were shortened so that all of the people, who I am sure, many in good faith were caught unawares by this provision ... would have their statements in in a very short period of time and I think ... effectively before they are actually voted on at the elections ... which occur generally in April. I think if it were in that form the ... entire package ... would be much more acceptable to many outside of this Legislative Body. PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

ı.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Mr. PRESIDENT, I was glad to have the opportunity last
Friday to discuss this with Senator Netsch and at that time I
wasn't sure the 10 days would give sufficient notice and opportunity for those in local government who had not filed ...
since that time, it's come to my attention that virtually
every serious candidate ... who had filed ... their petitions
for office and w ... was now aware of this discrepancy in respect to an ethics ... requirement and they have filed those
... I sometimes wonder if 10 days in government to do anything
... is enough time, the way government operates. But, I now
... feel that the 10 day restriction is, should be adequate,
if they aren't aware after all the newspaper coverage of this
matter ... then probably they shouldn't be sitting in any governmental office, so I would support Senator Netsch's Amendment ...
reducing from 30 to 10 days the ... time period involved.

1. PRESIDENT:

4.

-5.

6. 7.

8.

9.

10.

11. 12.

13. 14.

15. 16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22. 23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29. 30.

31.

32.

33.

Senator Soper.

SENATOR SOPER:

I move the previous question.

PRESIDENT:

The motion is not debatable, all in ... does the Senate understand the motion? Senator Soper has moved the previous question. All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary nay. The motion carries. Senator Netsch may close the debate.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Mr. President, I think I also should call attention to ... the written form of the Amendment. It was prepared in the what proved to be fruitless expectations that the previous Amendment would be adopted and that provision also appears with a 10 day ... time period on the written Amendment. That Amendment has now been defeated, the one we previously voted on and the Amendment that I am proposing now would only change the original ... bill, SB 88, to 10 days from 30 days, and on that basis I think that my previous statement still stands. I think it's a much more acceptable form and I think there are some others who agree with that.

PRESIDENT:

For what purpose does Senator Knuepfer arise? SENATOR KNUEPFER:

Well, just I. I don't have the printed Amendment in front of me just simply to ask the question ... does the Amendment as submitted ... tie in with the bill as it presently exists and if not, I think we've got to redraft it. I, I don't have it so I can't tell.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch, you ...

SENATOR NETSCH:

Mr. President, we now have the Amendment redrawn ... in the

proper form so that it applies only to the existing form of
 SB 88 it changes the 30 days to 10 days with respect to local
 candidates and does nothing else. And if it would be more ...
 appropriate I would ask leave to withdraw the original Amendment
 and submit this Amendment in its proper form.

PRESIDENT:

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

The Chair rules that Senator Netsch has the right to offer the Amendment that she wants ... before the Senate is Amendment No. 2 as has been explained by Senator Netsch, its effect is to limit the operation of the Act to 10 days, as introduced by Senator Hall in the original instance. For what purpose does Senator Mitchler arise?

SENATOR MITCHLER:

... Mr. President, on the question of having these Amendments printed, so that we can have them, I notice we started the debate on this Amendment prior to the printing and I still don't have a copy of the Amendment and I wonder if we could just make it a policy to hold up. It doesn't take long to Xerox these, get them distributed by the Pages and then we have them and then we can put them in file in our books. I don't mind doing that without the service of the Page.

PRESIDENT:

Are ... Senator Mitchler, are you requesting that action be deferred until you have ... you have your right to have the printed Amendment before you. Are you asking that that be a ... afforded you at this time.

SENATOR MITCHLER:

Yes, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT:

Or are you making an observation?

SENATOR MITCHLER:

Yes, Mr. President, I ...

PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Mitchler, do you have a copy of the Amendment? On 2. the question, of the adoption of Amendment No. 2 offered by Sen-3. ator Netsch. For what purpose does Senator Soper seek recogni-4. tion? 5. SENATOR SOPER: 6. Mr. President, members of the Senate, there seems to be a 7. little confusion on this Amendment, now ... 8. PRESIDENT: 9. Senator, for what purpose do you seek recognition? 10. SENATOR SOPER: 11. Well, I, I'd like to ... clarify what, what this Amendment 12. is, I've heard two course on it ... 13. PRESIDENT: 14. Well, debate has been closed by you Senator. 15. SENATOR SOPER: 16. I know that. 17. PRESIDENT: 18. If you have a parlimentary inquiry, I will recognize you 19. for inquiry. 20. SENATOR SOPER: 21. I think my blunderbuss ... backfired on me, I guess. 22. PRESIDENT: 23. On the question of the adoption of Amendment No. 2, those 24. in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed signify by saying 25. nay. Those ... a roll call has been requested. The question is, 26. shall the Senate adopt Amendment No. 2? The Secretary will call 27. the roll, those in favor will vote aye. Those opposed will vote 28. nay. 29. SECRETARY: 30. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, 31. Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty, 32. Fawell, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth Hall,

33.

PRESIDENT:

I'm sorry, Senator Harber Hall.

SENATOR HARBER HALL:

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.27.

28. 29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Mr. President ... some of the Senators are a little bit unsure of what this Amendment does. Original bill provided that any candidate who had not filed, would have from 30 days. ... would have 30 days after this becomes law, after it's signed by the Governor, he would have 30 days in which to file his Ethics Statement. Senator Netsch had introduced Amendment 2 which reduces to only 10 days any candidate will have after the Governor signs this measure in which to file his Ethics Statement. I support the Amendment and I think it's a good one.

SECRETARY:

Kenneth Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, PRESIDENT:

Senator Knuppel.

SENATOR KNUPPEL:

I never cease to be amazed at the small miniscule amount of work we can accomplish here in a day. I certainly hope we don't have more than one Death Resolution, because we'll be after dark if we do have and that's about all we've adopted since we've been here. I don't know what difference it'd make if you're gonna waive this whether you waive it for 30 days, if you waive it for 10 days. I've heard the most illogical arguments on this Floor today, for reasons not to extend this to men that have been appointed and required Senate confirmation because they don't know what their financial status may be, but it's all right if the voters didn't know or don't know. This is a complete lack of consistency, it's really very unimportant whether it's 10 days or 30 days because if it's 10 days I'm sure there will be some that won't get their statements filed and if it's 30 there will probably still be some. It's the most rediculous exhibition from a p ... group of people. I heard Senator Berning a little

bit ago talk about maybe the local officials don't know, I
 wonder how many of us know what's going on here? I vote no.
 SECRETARY:

Kosinski, Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler,
 Howard Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,
 Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, Saperstein,
 Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, Sommer, Soper,
 Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver, Welsh, Wooten,
 Mr. President.

PRESIDENT:

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

On that question, the yeas are 37. The mays are 16 and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. Introduction of bills.

SECRETARY:

SB 191 by Senators Berning, Sours, Latherow and Ozinga. (Secretary reads title of bill) 192 by Senators Berning, Schaffer, Glass, Sours, Latherow, and Dougherty. reads title of bill) 193 by Senator Swinarski. (Secretary reads title of bill) 194 by Senator Swinarski. (Secretary reads title of bill) 195 by Senators Glass, Nimrod, Regner, Graham and Howard Mohr. (Secretary reads title of bill) 196 by Senators Glass, Don Moore, Bell, Roe, Ozinga, Conolly, Howard Mohr, Walker, Berning, Bartulis, Welsh and Nimrod. (Secretary reads title of bill) 197 by Senator Class, Senator Glass, I'm sorry. (Secretary reads title of bill) 197 by Senators Mitchler, Glass, Mohr, Graham, Knuepfer, Davidson, Weaver, Sommer, Scholl, Connolly, Regner, Bartulis, Soper, Walker, Don Moore, Roe, Sours, Berning and Nimrod. (Secretary reads title of bill) 199 by Senator Glass. (Secretary reads title of bill) 200 by Senator Mitchler. (Secretary reads title of bill) 201 by Senator Sours. (Secretary reads title of bill) 202 by Senator Sours. (Secretary reads title of bill) 203 by Senator Sours. (Secretary reads. title of bill) 204 By Senator Sours. (Secretary reads title of

- ı. bill) 205 by Senator Sours. (Secretary reads title of bill) 2. 206 by Senator sours. (Secretary reads title of bill) з. by Senators Roe, Glass, Schaffer and Walker. (Secretary reads 4. title of bill) 208 by Senators Bell, Mitchler, Schaffer, Roe, 5. and Ozinga. (Secretary reads title of bill) 209 by Senators 6. Kosinski, Hynes, Swinarski, Romano, Welsh, Mitchler, Saperstein, 7. Dougherty, Smith and Rock. (Secretary reads title of bill) 8. SB 210 by Senator Bell. (Secretary reads title of bill) 211 . 9. by Senators Harber Hall, Regner, Schaffer, Mitchler and Glass. 10. (Secretary reads title of bill) 1st reading of the bills.
 - Now that there has been intervening business, we can proceed with the consideration of SB 88 on the order of 3rd reading.

 Senator Hall. The Sen... the Secretary will read the bill.

 SECRETARY:
 - SB 88 (Secretary reads title of bill) 3rd reading of the bill.
- 18. PRESIDENT:

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27%

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

19. Senator Hall.

PRESIDENT:

- 20. SENATOR HALL:
 - like to take heed of Senator Knuppel's warning that we're spending too much time on one piece of business. I would hope that most everyone in the hall would understand the import of this piece of Legislation. I know that the people in East St. Louis are aware of it, I know that their representatives are well aware of it because they have a number of well qualified, otherwise candidates for public office who did not fill their Ethics statement out precisely according to the law that we passed here in the General Assembly on January 24th, 1972, simply because the information of the requirements of this statute were not disseminated down to the local levels. I know that the people in McLean County and surrounding counties are well aware of the

Mr. Senator, Mr. President and fellow Senators, I ... would

provisions of SB 88 because, out of 15 candidates running for 1. public office in McLean County in 2 ... for 2 particular offices, 2. in McLean County 11 had not complied with the law that we passed 3. on January 24th of 1972, simply because they were not made aware 4. 5. of the provisions of the law. This bill, will provide a means 6. of accommodating what I determine to be in the neighborhood of 7. 150 to possibly 200 individual candidates who are otherwise qualified for office who have filed for office and who would 8. undoubtedly make, for the most part without any known exceptions, 9. good office holders. It would qualify them to hold such office 10. that they're seeking, if elected. Senator Partee referred to 11. 12. Judicial cases involving this question of the right to be ... seated in office because of failure to file. It's my under-13. standing there have been four cases rendered in Circuit Courts, 14. too, saying that the candidates would not be able to even be 15. listed on the ballots in the contest to saying they must be 16. 17. contained and be allowed to remain on the ballot, but if eventually elected they would be unable to serve. Our bill merely 18. says that thir...ten days with Senator Netsch's Amendment, 10 19. 20. days after the Governor signs this bill, every candidate who is or contemplates public office at the local level, including 21. 22. school districts, townships, mayoralties and county boards if there are any, in the first quarter of 1973, elections in the 23. 24. first quarter of 1973 would be quali ... would have 10 days in 25. which to file an Ethics Statement had they not filed one previously. It's my understanding most have, this will enable the 26. Courts to rule that we have done our job in the General Assembly 27. by fixing laws that will provide for local government officials 28. in respect to filing Ethics Statements, in accordance with our 29. Constitution. There is a possible question of Constitution-30. ality, but I think the overriding interests would show that the 31. Constitution gives us the right to set the dates for filing any 32. statements that we require and we will be doing that when we 33.

pass this bill and I would interpret that to be ... constitutionally valid. I know of no other argument to make for it than it is right, that it will enable many, many, many local governments to continue operation without threat of disruption and chaos, I recommend and hope ... and will respect ... your vote in favor of SB 88.

PRESIDENT:

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Further discussion. Secretary will call the roll.

SECRETARY:

Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Mr. President, I told Senator Hall last week, that I was going to support this bill and I still intend to, as he knows there are several people who are legitimate candidates in my district who simply were not aware of the law and I think that this bill would give them the chance to go ... go ahead and serve in the office that they seek. I vote aye.

SECRETARY:

Carroll, Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson,
Donnewald, Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth
Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Latherow,
McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Don Moore,
Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee,
Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano, Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer,
Scholl, Shapiro, Smith, Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski,
Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.
PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Well, Mr. President, I've been informed in the last few minutes that this bill, passed the House by 122 to 22 or

something like that and I fail to understand if I have not
 explained the bill. It's needed, it is, should not be po litical in nature, it does not violate the intent of the Con stitution, in my opinion, and in sev ... several others that
 I've talked to. I would like to ask the President therefore
 to call the absentees and ... see if there aren't some that
 would like to vote at this time.

PRESIDENT:

8.

9.

10.

11. 12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.27.

28.

29.30.

31.

32.

33.

The absentees will be called. Secretary will proceed. SECRETARY:

Bell, Bruce, Carroll, Chew, Conolly, Course, Donnewald, Dougherty, Kenneth Hall, Hynes, Johns, Knuppel, PRESIDENT:

Senator Knuppel.

SENATOR KNUPPEL:

As I said before, I cannot see the logic which ... directed the refusal of what I considered a worthwhile Amendment ... and nor the distinction by the same token, I don't want to vote no on this bill because I think it solves some problems if it were in amended form, so I'm going to vote present, so that everybody knows I'm here at least. I., I, I would like to ... to have these things decided on their merits rather than partisanship ... Senator Hall refers to partisanship, but if he'll look at the vote on Senator Buzbee's Amendment, I think he'll see that ... that was decided along partisan lines. So maybe he who lives by the knife must die by the knife.

SECRETARY:

Kosinski, McCarthy, Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Rock, Romano, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Welsh.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall.

Ĺ		SENATOR	HAT.T.
-	•	OWNER	114411

May I postpone this measure?

3. PRESIDENT:

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12. 13.

14.

15.

16.

17. 18.

19.

20.

21,

22.

23.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29. .

30.

31.

32.

4. That is your right. You so move?

SENATOR HALL:

I move postponement of SB 88.

PRESIDENT:

SB 88 is placed on the order of postponed consideration.

Senator Donnewald.

SENATOR DONNEWALD:

... yes, Mr. President, I'd like to announce that Senator Course and Senator McCarthy are ill and ... they're absent this

morning, I'd like the record to so show ...

PRESIDENT:

Th ... Th... the Journal will so show. Senators Course and McCarthy. The Senate will revert to the order of Senate Bills on 2nd reading. Senator Sours.

Mr. President, Senators, when we were on that order of

SENATOR SOURS:

business there were a couple of bills that need amendment, which I assured would be amended. Now there was one bill, however, that was passed out of Judiciary unanimously and that was SB 105 which does nothing more than permits the summoning of petit and grand jurors by certified or registered mail, instead of the lengthy expensive ... process of serving them individually with summons. I'd like it passed on to 3rd reading.

PRESIDENT:

Secretary will read SB 105.

SECRETARY:

SB 105. (Secretary reads title of bill) 2nd reading of the bill, no committee amendments.

33. PRESIDENT:

Are there amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. Re solutions.

3. SECRETARY:

4.

5.

6..

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.24.

25.

26.

27. 28.

29:

30.

31.

32. 33. Senate Resolution No. 191 by Senator Partee and it's congratulatory.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Partee.

SENATOR PARTEE:

Mr. President ... I would like the members to know that this is a Congratulatory Resolution for William O'Connell, who's personably know as Bill O'Connell, he's been elected President of the Illinois Legislative Correspondence Association, which was formerly known as the State House Press Corp and Bill O'Connell is, in my opinion, a real asset to the newspaper profession. He is a fine person, he is a fine husband and father, he is a competent man at his trade or profession. I won't read it, but I would ask that all members join as sponsors and that the Rules be suspended for the immediate consideration and adoption of this Resolution.

PRESIDENT:

Is there leave? Senator Sours.

SENATOR SOURS:

Coming from the Athens of Illinois, Mr. President and not, and Senators, and I'm not lisping, I would certainly want to join in this ovation and this Resolution in behalf of Bill O'Connell, he's read by everyone in our community, he does an excellent job ... he's always fair and to me, that is the difference between good journalism and something less. I join in hoping that this will receive unanimous support of everyone.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Graham.

SENATOR GRAHAM:

Mr. ... Mr. President, I would like to ask the sponsor of this Resolution if Ed Nash is in support of it?

1. PRESIDENT:

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9. 10.

11. 12.

13.

14. 15.

16.

17.

18. 19.

20.

22.

24.

25.

26. 27.

28.

29.

30.

31.32.

33.

23.

2. Is there further discussion? Senator Knuppel.

SENATOR KNUPPEL:

I think an awful lot of Bill, but when Sours stands up and speaks in favor of the bill, I get suspicious.

PRESIDENT:

The quest ... Senator Partee has sought unanimous consent for suspension of the Rules and the immediate consideration of the Resolution. Is there leave? The motion is, shall the Resolution be adopted? All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary nay. The Resolution is adopted.

SECRETARY:

Senate Joint Resolution No. 12 by Senator Glass. (Secretary reads Joint Resolution No. 12)

PRESIDENT:

Senator Glass.

SENATOR GLASS:

Mr. President, members of the Senate, I would move the adoption of this Resolution extending the reporting date for the Urban Education Commission, of which I am Co-Chairman.

21. PRESIDENT:

Yeah. The motion is to suspend the ... is there leave to ... proceed to the immediate consideration of the adoption of the Joint Resolution. The motion is to adopt Senate Joint Resolution 12. All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary nay. The Resolution is adopted.

SECRETARY:

Sen ... Senate Joint Resolution No. 13 by Senator Saperstein, Buzbee, Palmer, Netsch, Keegan, Partee, Smith Conolly, Chew, Kosinski, Hall and Glass. And it proposes an amendment to the United State Constitution.

PRESIDENT:

Executive. Is there any further business to come before the Senate? Senator Mohr.

1. SENATOR (HOWARD) MOHR: 2. Mr. President, I would like to be included as a sponsor on SP 101, 102 and 118. 3. 4. PRESIDENT: 5. Is there leave? So ordered. Senator Weaver. 6. SENATOR WEAVER: 7. Mr. President, there will be a Republican caucus at 9:30 8. in the morning in M-1. 9. PRESIDENT: 10. ... announcements? There is one additional congratulatory 11. Resolution. Proceed Mr. Secretary. 12. SECRETARY: 13. Senate Resolution No. 35 by Senator McBroom, and it's con-14. gratulatory. PRESIDENT: 15. 16. Senator McBroom SENATOR MCBROOM: 17. 18. This ... Resolution congratulates a friend and neighbor of 19. mine, Senator Harris, and ... and I would ... very much appre-20. ciate the adoption of it, unanimous consent to suspend the Rules. PRESIDENT: 21. 22. Is there leave? The motion is, shall the Resolution be 23. adopted? All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary nay. 24. The Resolution is adopted. 25. SECRETARY: 26. Senate Resolution 36 by Senator Mitchler and it's con-27. gratulatory. 28. PRESIDENT: 29. Senator Mitchler. SENATOR MITCHLER: 30. Mr. President, members of the Senate, this ... Congratulatory 31. 32. Resolution is for Hilma V. Sandberg of Aurora...

33.

PRESIDENT:

1. Excuse me. 2. SENATOR MITCHLER: 3. ... in Kane County ... 4. PRESIDENT: 5. Senator Mitchler ... will the Sergeant at Arms please 6. move that meeting that's taking place in the corridor? Out of 7. the corridor, please? Proceed, Senator Mitchler. 8. SENATOR MITCHLER: 9. ... Thank you ... Hilma V. Sandburg of Aurora has attained 10. her 100th birthday, something that most all of us would like to 11. aspire to, and ... I would ask for suspension of the Rules, im-12. mediate consideration and adoption of this Resolution. It's a 13. congratulatory. 14. PRESIDENT: 15. Is there leave? The question is, shall the Resolution be 16. adopted? All in favor, signify by saying aye. Contrary nay. 17. The Resolution is adopted. Is there further business to come 18. before the Senate? Senator Moore. Senator Don Moore. 19. SENATOR DON MOORE: 20. Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate ... there 21. was a little confusion last week, Mr. President, but there will 22. be a meeting of the Senate Committee on Elections and Reappor-23. tionment tomorrow morning at 8:30 in Room A-1. Thank you. 24. PRESIDENT: 25. We have a Death Resolution. Will the members please be 26. in their seats? Will the members please be in their seats? 27.

Proceed, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution No. 37 by Senators Regner and Graham.

(Secretary reads Senate Resolution No. 37)

31. PRESIDENT:

28.

29.

30.

32.

33.

Senator Regner.

SENATOR REGNER:

1. Mr. President, members of the Senate, this is, as was
2. read a Death Resolution expressing our sorrow to the families
3. of ... three very courageous firemen, the people that ... pro4. tect all of us when we are home and we are here, and I would
5. ask for the suspension of the Rules so that we can adopt this
6. Death Resolution.

PRESIDENT:

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Is there leave? Senator Graham.

SENATOR GRAHAM:

Mr. President, you've heard me many times on the Floor of this Senate, say that perhaps the greatest fraternity or one of them in Illinois is the volunteer fireman. I still believe that. I'm sure that Senator Regner would join with me in the suggestion that all the members of this Senate who wanna join with us in extending our sympathy to those families would be most welcome at this time.

PRESIDENT:

Does Senator Graham suggest that all Senators be joined as co-sponsors? Is there leave? So ordered. The question is, shall the Resolution be adopted? All those in favor, please signify by arising. The Resolution is adopted.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution No. 38 by Senators McBroom and Don Moore. (Secretary reads Senate Resolution)

PRESIDENT:

Senator McBroom.

SENATOR MCBROOM:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, I realize that this probably comes as a shock to some members of the Senate, as Senator Knuepfer just informed me that he wasn't aware of Representative Houde's passing. I think the Resolution says it all, Mr. President, but, Representative Houde probably entered public service as one of the youngest men ever to do so

in Kankakee County, being elected Town Clerk at the age of 25.
 I have told many members of the General Assembly, I think few
 men entered the Legislature with the ... with his profound know ledge of township and county government. He'd served, as the
 Resolution indicated, many years as Town Clerk and on our Coun ty Board of Supervisors ... Senator Mohr and I would appreciate
 the unanimous consent of the Senate for the immediate adoption

the unanimous consent of the Senate for the immediate adoption of this Resolution and we appreciate the co-sponsorship of the other members. Thank you.

PRESIDENT:

8.

9.

10.

11. 12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.
 25.
 26.
 27.
 28.
 29.
 30.
 31.
 32.
 33.

Is there leave? Then all members shall be added as cosponsors and the question is the adoption of the Resolution. All those in favor signify be arising. The Resolution is adopted. The Senate stands adjourned until elev ... Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

I'd like ... before we adjourn I'd like to introduce to the Senate a group of boy scouts from Springfield ... who are participating in their merit badge and community and government.

Scout 11, Troop 11 from Springfield, Illinois, will you please rise? Boys.

PRESIDENT:

The Senate stands adjourned until 11:30 a.m., tomorrow.