

77th GENERAL ASSEMBLY

SENATE FLOOR DEBATE

June 23, 1972

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senate will come to order. Prayer by the Chaplain, Reverend  
3. Joseph Ferriera, pastor of the Zenobia Baptist Church of Pawnee.

4. REVEREND FERRIERA:

5. (Prayer.)

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Reading of the Journal. Moved by Senator Bidwill, reading  
8. of the Journal be dispensed with. All in favor signify by saying  
9. aye. Contrary minded. Motion prevails. Committee reports. We  
10. have some messages and some conference committee reports. Let's  
11. move to House Bills on Second Reading with the understanding that  
12. if there is something with any great controversy out of respect to  
13. our colleagues that haven't joined us yet we ought to hold off on  
14. it. The . . . Senator Fawell here? Senator Baltz here? Senator  
15. Knuepfer. Senator Clarke, can some of these like 4084 and Senator,  
16. Senator Rock or Bruce. Senator Knuepfer is here. Okay. 4094.  
17. Do you wish to advance that? 4094.

18. SECRETARY:

19. Second Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Any amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. 4096.  
22. Senator Graham is handling that. 4096.

23. SECRETARY:

24. Second Reading of the bill. One committee amendment from  
25. Appropriations.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Senator Graham, do you want to move the adoption of the  
28. committee amendment? All right. We'll get back to that. 4083,  
29. Senator Mohr.

30. SECRETARY:

31. Second Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Any amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Is Senator

1. Rock on the Floor? No. Senator Cherry.

2. SENATOR CHERRY:

3. Is there a committee amendment on the bill that you just

4. called? 409 . . . No . . . Yes . . . 4096.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. 4096 was not called.

7. SENATOR CHERRY:

8. How about 40 . . .

9. PRESIDENT:

10. If there was, there was a committee amendment and Senator

11. Graham asked that it be held.

12. SENATOR CHERRY:

13. I see.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. 4094. There was no amendment on it. 4155, Senator Graham.

16. SENATOR GRAHAM:

17. Mr. President and members of the Senate, this is the bill

18. we brought out of committee yesterday for the purpose of getting

19. ourselves into conformity for residency, difference in resident

20. requirements in voting for President and Vice-President this

21. year. The amendment isn't prepared yet. I'd like to move it to Third

22. Reading at this time so when the amendment is prepared, we can move

23. it back, adopt the amendment and go. Right, Senator Dougherty?

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Senator Dougherty indicates that's okay. 4155.

26. SECRETARY:

27. Second Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Any amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. 4182.

30. SECRETARY:

31. Second Reading of the bill. One committee amendment from

32. Appropriations.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Clarke moves the adoption of Committee amendment.  
2. All in favor signify by saying aye, contrary minded. The amend-  
3. ment is adopted. Any further amendments? Third Reading. 41....  
4. Senator Clarke.  
5. SECRETARY:  
6. One Floor amendment offered by Senator Clarke.  
7. PRESIDENT:  
8. Can you explain the amendment, Senator.  
9. SENATOR CLARKE:  
10. Yes, this amendment just makes the minor reductions in the  
11. commodity travel and other that being in the lapse period. Didn't  
12. want to put it on in Committee because it might have conflicted  
13. with the Committee amendment.  
14. PRESIDENT:  
15. Is there any further discussion? Senator Hynes.  
16. SENATOR HYNES:  
17. I would just briefly like to see the amendment if we can  
18. hold it for one second. What is the total involved in the....  
19. PRESIDENT:  
20. Senator Clarke.  
21. SENATOR CLARKE:  
22. \$75,000 reduction.  
23. PRESIDENT:  
24. All in favor signify by saying aye, contrary minded. The  
25. amendment is adopted. Any further amendments? Third Reading.  
26. Senator Graham is now ready on 4096. Senator Graham moves for the  
27. adoption of the Committee amendment. All in favor signify by saying  
28. aye, contrary minded. The amendment is adopted. Any further  
29. amendments? Third Reading. 4185, Senator Knuepfer. 4185.  
30. SECRETARY:  
31. Second Reading of the bill, no Committee amendments.  
32. PRESIDENT:  
33. Any amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. 4247, Senator

1. Clarke. Do you wish to advance that, Senator? 4247.

2. SECRETARY:

3. Second Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Any amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. 4374,

6. Senator Latherow. 4374.

7. SECRETARY:

8. Second Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Any amendments from the Floor? Third . . . Senator Latherow.

11. SENATOR LATHEROW:

12. Mr. President, I have two amendments for this bill. There  
13. was no effective date on this so I have this one that adds the  
14. scetion of July 1st or on becoming law, whichever's later and then  
15. I also have an amendment that puts back into it the Fine Arts Building  
16. at Western Illinois University which was taken out in the House.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Well, let's take the first amendment which there certainly  
19. isn't any controversy. Senator Latherow moves the adoption of  
20. Amendment No. 1. All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary  
21. minded. This is the effective date amendment. Now the second . . .  
22. That amendment is adopted. The second amendment is the one  
23. putting that appropriation in for the building again. Senator  
24. Hynes.

25. SENATOR HYNES:

26. Senator, would you object into moving into Third Reading  
27. so we can talk about the amendment and possibly bring it  
28. back later? Rather than adopt the second amendment.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. And with the understanding, is that okay, Senator Latherow?  
31. Okay.

32. SENATOR LATHEROW:

33. We have adopted the one amendment.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. The first amendment is adopted. The second amendment we're  
3. going to hold off on. Third Reading. 4433. Is someone handling  
4. that for Senator Harris? 4433. Senator Latherow.

5. SENATOR LATHEROW:

6. There is another amendment for that bill if we could put it  
7. on at this time. I think there is no objection to it.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. 4374.

10. SENATOR LATHEROW:

11. This puts the planning money in for Governors State and  
12. Chicago State and we'll send that down. I think there is no objection  
13. to this.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Well, Senator Hynes. Senator Hynes.

16. SENATOR HYNES:

17. If we could do the same, I have not seen that one either.  
18. The same with that, please. Move it to Third Reading so we can . . .  
19. Three amendments all together.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. One amendment is adopted. That's just the effective date.  
22. The two others and we'll hold up on those until . . . All right. 4433.

23. SECRETARY:

24. Second Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Any amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. 443 . . . Let's  
27. see. 4522, Senator Knuepfer. 4522.

28. SECRETARY:

29. Second Reading of the bill. One committee amendment from  
30. Appropriations.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Senator Knuepfer, do you move the adoption of committee amend-  
33. ment? All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary minded. The  
34. amendment is adopted. Any further amendments? Third Reading.

1. 4615, Senator Weaver. 4615.
2. SECRETARY:
3. Second Reading of the bill, no Committee amendments.
4. PRESIDENT:
5. Any amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. 4616.
6. SECRETARY:
7. Second reading of the bill, no Committee amendments.
8. PRESIDENT:
9. Any amendments from the Floor on 4616? Third Reading.
10. 4617, 4617.
11. SECRETARY:
12. Second Reading of the bill, no Committee amendments.
13. PRESIDENT:
14. Any amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. 4681, that
15. should be Senator Knuppels name in there on 4681. 4681.
16. SECRETARY:
17. Second Reading of the bill, no Committee amendments.
18. PRESIDENT:
19. Any amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senator
20. Knuppel, 4133 is also on Second Reading. Do you want to
21. advance that? 4133.
22. SECRETARY:
23. Second Reading of the bill, no Committee amendments.
24. PRESIDENT:
25. Any amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. 4683. 4683.
26. SECRETARY:
27. Second Reading of the bill, no Committee amendments.
28. PRESIDENT:
29. Any amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. 4684.
30. 4684.
31. SECRETARY:
32. Second Reading of the bill, no Committee amendments.
33. PRESIDENT:

1. Any amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. 4084.
2. SECRETARY:
3. Second Reading of the bill, no Committee amendments.
4. PRESIDENT:
5. Any amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. 4135.
6. SECRETARY:
7. Second reading of the bill, one Committee amendment from
8. Appropriations.
9. PRESIDENT:
10. Senator Baltz, do you move the adoption of the Committee
11. amendment? All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary minded.
12. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments? Third
13. Reading. Senators Clarke and Cherry, these are the bills that
14. are left on Second Reading now if you want to, if someone
15. wants to advance them. 1339, Senator Fawell, is, you want to
16. proceed? 1339.
17. SECRETARY:
18. Second Reading of the bill, no Committee amendments.
19. PRESIDENT:
20. Any amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. 4102,
21. Senator Horsley has that, now that one he has some amendments
22. on we better, well, he isn't here. I think you better hold that.
23. 4103, Senator Rock is not here. Senator Cherry, you want to...
24. Senator Cherry, you want to advance 4103 for Senator Rock. Al-
25. right, 4103.
26. SECRETARY:
27. Second Reading of the bill, no Committee amendments.
28. PRESIDENT:
29. Any amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. 4437,
30. Senator Carroll. Senator Graham, are you going to take that?
31. 4437, Senator Carroll. 4437, let's advance it if we can. 4437.
32. SECRETARY:
33. Second Reading of the bill, no Committee amendments.



1. PRESIDENT:

2. Any amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Any Senate  
3. Bills on Third Reading that members wish to call? Senator Latherow.

4. SENATOR LATHEROW:

5. Mr. President, I have a bill that has been Tabled. House  
6. Bill 4430 and Senator Knuppel and I have been doing a little work  
7. on that and I wonder if that wasn't . . . that was put on the Table  
8. because we failed to bring it out of Committee to try to get an  
9. amendment and I'd like now to take this from the Table and put it  
10. on Second Reading.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. The motion is to suspend the rules. Is there objection?  
13. Leave is granted. Senator Knuppel.

14. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

15. I have a bill, House Bill 4621. All this bill does is to  
16. change the language in certain sections of the Homestead Act  
17. to comply with the bill we passed the last Session. We changed  
18. the home exemption from 5,000 to 10,000 and didn't correct  
19. the language. We changed sections like 3 and 4, 1 and 4, but  
20. didn't change 6, 9, 10, and 11. I'd like to have the Revenue  
21. Committee--it's been assigned to Revenue Committee--I'd like to  
22. have Revenue Committee discharged and have it placed on Second  
23. Reading.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Is there objection? The leave is granted. On Senate Bills  
26. on Third Reading. 1607, I wonder, Senator Cherry or is, or Senator  
27. Hynes, does someone want to handle that for Senator Lyons? Can  
28. someone check into that? We'll get back to it later. Senator  
29. Cherry or Senator Donnewald, my guess is that is the bill we're  
30. going to have to pass today. House Bills on Third Reading. These  
31. are the first priority bills scheduled for calling: 1569, Senator  
32. Horsley; 2222, Senator Mitchler; 2653, Senator Fawell; 2723, Senator  
33. Gilbert; 3068, Senator Hynes. Senator Horsley is not here. Senator

1. Mitchler, do you wish to call 20 . . . You want to hold that.  
2. 2653, Senator Fawell is not here. 2723. You wish to call that,  
3. Senator Gilbert? Senator Gilbert.

4. SENATOR GILBERT:

5. 2723 is a transfer of bill to allow transfer from the  
6. General Revenue Fund to the Common School Fund of . . . on the . . .  
7. at the request of the Governor and allows this to be done other  
8. than on the first of the month . . . first day of the month is now  
9. the law. The main purpose of this bill is to take care of the  
10. situation that may develop particularly this year in the down-  
11. state school systems because of the late extension of the real  
12. and personal property tax which means that many of the districts  
13. will not get a disbursement from the local county treasurer  
14. until possibly October or November. Under the present law,  
15. when the common schools make the request for money from the  
16. State the money is transferred to the body auditor of student  
17. jurisdiction of this Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction.  
18. Two claims are made for two months in September. This would allow  
19. two months in October also. Now this does not mean any extra  
20. money during the course of the year and would mean that some  
21. months later on in year, possibly January or February, the local  
22. school district would not get a claim. They would have already  
23. received their money in October but by that time they would have  
24. their local money and therefore it will save them and asking for  
25. additional tax anticipation warrants or have the situation where  
26. they would not have enough money to pay their bills in October.  
27. This bill was amended to take care of the fact that it will come  
28. effective on July 1st of this year and I know of no opposition to  
29. it. I think Senator Hynes and the Democrats have looked at this  
30. bill. Senator Cherry also is interested in it and I would ask for  
31. a favorable roll call.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Is there any discussion? The Secretary will call the roll.

1. SECRETARY:

2. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier,  
3. Carroll, Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson,  
4. Donnewald, Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen, Hall,  
5. Harris, Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,  
6. Kusibab, Latherow, Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt,  
7. Mitchler, Mohr, Neistein, Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer,  
8. Partee, Rock, Romano, Rosander, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Soper,  
9. Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Cherry aye. Gilbert aye.

12. SENATOR GILBERT:

13. This applies to all schools in the State. I was merely  
14. pointing out that the downstate schools will have a problem that  
15. probably will not exist in the other areas of the State and that  
16. is why I said this because of the tax bills. It applies to  
17. Chicago. All the schools in the State.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Nihill aye. On that question the yeas are 35; the nays  
20. are none. The bill having received the constitutional majority  
21. is declared passed. 3068, Senator Hynes. The next bills to be  
22. called are: 3608 and 09, Senator O'Brien; 3673, Senator Baltz;  
23. 3782, Senator McCarthy; 4099, Senator Groen; 4112, Senator Rosander--  
24. I assume someone is handling that for Senator Rosander; and  
25. 4121, Senator Donnewald. 3608 and 09, Senator O'Brien. Can these  
26. be taken on one roll call Senator, these two bills?

27. SENATOR O'BRIEN:

28. I'd like to have House Bill 3608 brought back for purposes  
29. . . . brought back to Second Reading for purpose of an amendment.  
30. This is a technical amendment. I talked it over with Senator Laughlin.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Just a moment. For what purpose does Senator Latherow  
33. arise?

1. SENATOR LATHEROW:

2. Mr. President, Senator Sours is to be here at ten o'clock  
3. I wonder if they would hold them until he gets here.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. That's two minutes from now.

6. SENATOR LATHEROW:

7. He usually runs on schedule.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator O'Brien.

10. SENATOR O'BRIEN:

11. If we can put the amendment on, I've spoken with Senator  
12. Laughlin. It's purely a technical amendment and then I will hold  
13. the bills until Senator Sours comes.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Okay.

16. SENATOR O'BRIEN:

17. I know he wants to vote for them.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. 3608 is called back to second Reading. Can you explain  
20. the amendment, Senator O'Brien?

21. SENATOR O'BRIEN:

22. As I said before, this will be Amendment No. 10 and it just  
23. brings the inheritance gift tax limit to \$2,500 which, as Senator  
24. Laughlin indicated, would be a good idea to bring it into conformity  
25. with Illinois law. We forgot one figure of \$2,500 on, I think it was  
26. line 32, and this amendment clears that technical situation up.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Alright. Is there any discussion? All in favor of  
29. adoption of Amendment No. 10 indicate by saying aye. Contrary  
30. minded. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments? Third  
31. Reading. We'll get back to that as soon as he indicates to the  
32. Chair. 3637...3763, Senator Baltz.

33. SENATOR BALTZ:

1. Mr. President, members of the Senate, 3673 appropriates  
2. \$130,000 for the correction of a problem that was created  
3. by putting Highway 80 through the Village of Rockdale. It was  
4. Highway 80 is build up, you men who drive through there to Chicago,  
5. anyway, Highway 80 was built up rather high with fill under it.  
6. It created a real run-off problem in certain areas of Rockdale  
7. and this would relieve it by constructing a flood relief channel  
8. with an overflow structure over the I & M Canal so that the spillage  
9. could go directly into the Des Plaines River. The . . . I'd  
10. appreciate a favorable roll call on this. It will alleviate a  
11. rather difficult situation created by our constructing a highway  
12. through this village.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Is there any discussion? The Secretary will call the roll.

15. SECRETARY:

16. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier, Carroll,  
17. Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson, Donnewald,  
18. Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen, Hall, Harris,  
19. Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Kusibab, Latherow,  
20. Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Mohr,  
21. Neistein, Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Rock,  
22. Romano, Rosander, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Soper, Sours, Swinarski,  
23. Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Carpentier aye. O'Brien aye. Hynes aye. Johns aye.  
26. Berning aye. On that question the yeas are 36; the nays are  
27. none. The bill having received the constitutional majority is  
28. declared passed. 3782, Senator McCarthy.

29. SENATOR McCARTHY:

30. Yes, Mr. President, House Bill 3782 calls for \$15,000  
31. appropriation for the study of the South Fork of the Sangamon  
32. River. This river flows through Christian and Sangamon Counties,  
33. and drains portions of Shelby and Montgomery. In recent years

1. floods have been increasing in their various frequency and the  
2. floods have covered the Illinois State Highway 104 near Kincaid  
3. and this study is badly needed because homes in the affected  
4. areas are frequently flooded, very modest sum, and I would ask  
5. that the Senate concur in this.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Senator Knuepfer.

8. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

9. Well, I don't mean by this to pick on Senator McCarthy. I  
10. just want to say in case anybody is not aware of it, this is the  
11. time of year when all of the pork comes home to roost and all of  
12. those bills which have not been authorized as budgetary items are  
13. here. Now Senator McCarthy isn't the only one and that's why I  
14. suggest I'm not meaning to pick on him, but we have a Calendar  
15. full of these things which are obviously going to go through and  
16. then be vetoed by the Governor. And I just want to make people  
17. aware of it. I'm not trying to stir up a hornet's nest here.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Senator McCarthy.

20. SENATOR MCCARTHY:

21. I would ask, then, that we not consider this bill at this  
22. time if there's some controversy. The only reason I called it  
23. was one was just called here for Will County and I thought there  
24. was a desire to move them off the Calendar. But I'll hold the bill.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. All right. Secretary will call the roll.

27. SECRETARY:

28. Arrington, Baltz, Banning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier,  
29. Carroll, Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson,  
30. Donnewald, Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen, Hall,  
31. Harris, Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Kusibab,  
32. Latherow, Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler,  
33. Mohr, Neistein, Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee,

1. Rock, Romano, Rosander, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Soper, Sours,  
2. Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Vadalabene aye. Bidwill aye. Soper aye. On that question  
5. the yeas are 39; the nays are none. The bill having received  
6. the constitutional majority is declared passed. Is Senator Clarke...  
7. 4112, Senator Rosander's bill. Who's handling that? Appropriation  
8. for Vocational Education Rehabilitation. Senator Knuepfer is  
9. handling that. You want to call that? All right. 4112.

10. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

11. I don't know if any explanation is necessary. It's been  
12. through the Task Force. As far as I'm concerned you can call  
13. the roll on it unless somebody has some questions.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Secretary will call the roll.

16. SECRETARY:

17. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier,  
18. Carroll, Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson,  
19. Donnewald, Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen, Hall,  
20. Harris, Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Kusibab,  
21. Latherow, Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler,  
22. Mohr, Neistein, Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee,  
23. Rock, Romano, Rosander, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Soper, Sours,  
24. Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver..

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Johns aye. On that question the yeas are 39; the nays are  
27. none. The bill having received the constitutional majority is  
28. declared passed. Senator Partee.

29. SENATOR PARTEE:

30. Mr. Chairman, Mr. President, I'm moving, having spoken to  
31. Senator Clarke about this, to discharge the Rules Committee of  
32. House Bill 4392 and have it placed on the Calendar on the order  
33. of Second Reading.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Is there objection?

3. SENATOR PARTEE:

4. First Reading, I'm sorry.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Leave is granted. 4121, Senator Donnewald.

7. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

8. Yes, Mr. President, I think Senator Clarke has an amendment.

9. I'd like to pull that back for the purpose of an amendment.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. 4121 is brought back to Second Reading for purpose of  
12. amendment. Senator Clarke, do you have the amendment ready?

13. Can you explain the amendment, Senator?

14. SENATOR CLARKE:

15. Well it's the sum of 75 thousand. It was appropriated to  
16. the Reference Bureau for expenses of drafting in connection with  
17. the Labor Laws Commission. We felt for constitutional reasons  
18. this is where it should be.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Is there any discussion? All in favor signify by saying aye.  
21. Contrary minded. The amendment is adopted. For intervening business,  
22. the Chair is going to read the title of the bill discharged from  
23. the Rules Committee. House Bill 4392 was read the first time  
24. and advanced to Second Reading. Now we're ready for 4121, Senator  
25. Donnewald.

26. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

27. I would move a favorable roll call, Mr. President.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. The Secreatry will call the roll.

30. SECRETARY:

31. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier, Carroll,  
32. Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson, Donnewald,  
33. Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen, Hall, Harris.



1. Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Kusibab,  
2. Latherow, Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler,  
3. Mohr, Neistein, Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee,  
4. Rock, Romano, Rosander, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Soper, Sours,  
5. Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Chew, aye. Carpentier, aye. Johns, aye. Horsley, aye.  
8. On that question the yeas are 40, the nays are none. The bill  
9. having received the constitutional majority is declared passed.  
10. The next bills to be called are 4129, Senator Coulson. 4131,  
11. Senator Coulson. 4134, Senator Weaver. 4139, Senator Knuepfer  
12. and 4158, Senator Baltz. 4129, Senator Coulson.

13. SENATOR COULSON:

14. Thank you, Mr. President. This is the routine appropriation  
15. which has received no amendment in this House and will need no  
16. Conference Committee. That's about the only kind thing that I can  
17. say about it in comparison to other appropriations. I would ask  
18. your favorable vote.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

20. Is there any discussion? The Secretary will call the roll.

21. SECRETARY:

22. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier, Carroll,  
23. Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson, Donnewald,  
24. Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen, Hall, Harris,  
25. Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Kusibab, Latherow,  
26. Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Mohr, Neistein,  
27. Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Rock, Romano,  
28. Rosander, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Soper, Sours, Swinarski,  
29. Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

31. On that question the yeas are 33, the nays are none. The bill  
32. having received the constitutional majority is declared passed.  
33. 4131, Senator Coulson.

1. SENATOR COULSON:

2. Mr. President, this is the routine appropriation for the  
3. Institute for Environmental Quality. This is the research arm  
4. which I think is noncontroversial. It has no amendment in this  
5. House. I would appreciate a vote.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

7. Is there any discussion? The Secretary will call the roll.

8. SECRETARY:

9. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier, Carroll,  
10. Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson, Donnewald,  
11. Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen, Hall, Harris,  
12. Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Kusibab,  
13. Latherow, Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler,  
14. Mohr, Neistein, Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee,  
15. Rock, Romano, Rosander, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Soper, Sours,  
16. Swinarski...

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

18. Excuse me, Mr. Secretary. For what purpose does Senator Saperstein  
19. arise?

20. SENATOR SAPERSTEIN:

21. Would the sponsor answer a question please?

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

23. He indicates he will.

24. SENATOR SAPERSTEIN:

25. All right. Senator Coulson, in several of these appropriation  
26. bills I the term Institute for Environmental Quality or Institute  
27. for Public Aid, will you tell me what this means. What is the  
28. meaning of institute?

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

30. Senator Coulson.

31. SENATOR COULSON:

32. Yes, when this program was first begun two years ago the entire  
33. environmental study was developed into three aspects. A research

1. arm, a rule-making arm, and a quasijudiciary or enforcement arm.  
2. Each of this has its separate name. The institute is a research  
3. arm. This consists entirely of academic people who study what  
4. is happening to the lakes. They're the ones who inform us about  
5. cagmium deposits in Lake Michigan let's say or the danger of DDT.  
6. Then it is up to one of the other agencies to enact rules and up  
7. to the third agency to enforce the rule. This is the research  
8. arm.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)  
10. Senator Saperstein.

11. SENATOR SAPERSTEIN:  
12. Who appoints members to the institutes?

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)  
14. Senator Coulson.

15. SENATOR COULSON:  
16. The Governor appoints the Directors of it and the staff people  
17. are almost exclusively academic scientific people.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)  
19. Senator Saperstein.

20. SENATOR SAPERSTEIN:  
21. Was it by the Governor?

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)  
23. Senator Coulson.

24. SENATOR COULSON:  
25. With confirmation of the Senate, yes.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)  
27. Continue the roll call.

28. SECRETARY:  
29. Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)  
31. Senator Saperstein recorded? Saperstein, aye. On that question  
32. the yeas are 36, the nays are none. This bill having received  
33. the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 4134,

1. Senator Weaver.

2. SENATOR WEAVER:

3. Mr. President and members of the Senate, this is the annual  
4. appropriation to the State University's Retirement System. I'd  
5. appreciate a favorable roll call.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

7. Is there any discussion? The Secretary will call the roll.

8. SECRETARY:

9. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier, Carroll,  
10. Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson, Donnewald,  
11. Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen, Hall, Harris,  
12. Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Kusibab,  
13. Latherow, Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler,  
14. Mohr, Neistein, Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee,  
15. Rock, Romano, Rosander, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Soper, Sours,  
16. Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER:

18. Romano, aye. On that question the yeas are 41, the nays are  
19. none. This bill having received the constitutional majority is  
20. declared passed. House Bill 4139, Senator Knuepfer. Senator  
21. Knuepfer.

22. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

23. That is the appropriation for the EPA. It has had Committee  
24. hearings some reductions were made. I don't think any further  
25. explanation is in order unless anybody wants any. I would move for  
26. a favorable roll call.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

28. Is there any discussion? The Secretary will call the roll.

29. SECRETARY:

30. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier, Carroll,  
31. Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson, Donnewald,  
32. Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen, Hall, Harris, Horsley,  
33. Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Kusibab, Latherow, Laughlin,

1. Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Mohr, Neistein, Newhouse,  
2. Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Rock, Romano, Rosander,  
3. Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene,  
4. Walker, Weaver.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

6. Donnewald, aye. On that question the yeas are 38, the nays  
7. are none. This bill having received the constitutional majority  
8. is declared passed. House Bill 4158, Senator Baltz. House Bill  
9. 4159, Senator Laughlin.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. The next bills to be called now are 4201, Senator Clarke.  
12. 4210, Senator Knuepfer. 4211, Senator Coulson. And 4215, Senator  
13. Weaver. 4201, Senator Clarke.

14. SENATOR CLARKE:

15. This is the appropriation bill for the Governor's office and  
16. I would appreciate a favorable roll call.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Is there any discussion? The Secretary will call the roll.

19. SECRETARY:

20. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier, Carroll,  
21. Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson, Donnewald,  
22. Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen, Hall, Harris,  
23. Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Kusibab, Latherow,  
24. Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Mohr, Neistein,  
25. Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Rock, Romano,  
26. Rosander, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Soper, Sours, Swinarski,  
27. Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. On that question the yeas are 36; the nays are none. The bill  
30. having received the constitutional majority is declared passed.  
31. The I have indicated to Senator Mohr that we could revert ...okay  
32. we'll get back to that shortly then. 4210, Senator Knuepfer. Hold.  
33. 4211, Senator Coulson. 4211.

1. SENATOR COULSON:

2. Yes, Mr. President. This is the appropriation for the Board  
3. of Regents which operates several of the State Universities. I  
4. cannot tell you that it is in completely satisfactory form to either  
5. side but I can see no benefit gained from delaying further. I  
6. would urge you to accept it as it is as a compromise. I'm able to  
7. count and neither of us can do much about this bill except pass it  
8. in the form which it is.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Is there any discussion? The Secretary will call the roll.

11. Senator Hynes.

12. SENATOR HYNES:

13. Excuse me, Senator, I was occupied at the moment talking about  
14. this very matter. I did not know you were going to call it. Could  
15. you hold it for approximately a half hour?

16. PRESIDENT:

17. 4211 will be held. 4215, Senator Weaver.

18. SENATOR WEAVER:

19. Mr. President and members of the Senate, this is the annual  
20. appropriation to the Board of Trustees to the University of Illinois  
21. for this fiscal year. Would appreciate a favorable roll call.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Is there any discussion? The Secretary will call the roll.

24. SECRETARY:

25. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier, Carroll,  
26. Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson, Donnewald,  
27. Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen, Hall, Harris,  
28. Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuspfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Kusibab,  
29. Latherow, Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler,  
30. Mohr, Neistein, Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee,  
31. Rock, Romano, Rosander, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Soper, Sours,  
32. Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Savickas aye. Chew aye. On that question the yeas are 37;  
2. the nays are none. The bill having received the constitutional  
3. majority is declared passed. 4261 is the bill. It's on Third  
4. Reading. This is the bill that yesterday. Senator Gilbert moved  
5. to reconsider because an amendment inadvertently had been left off.  
6. 4261 . . .

7. SENATOR GILBERT:

8. I'd like to call it back to Second Reading for purposes of  
9. an amendment. The amendment provides that the bonds that might  
10. be issued under this and it is permissive, of course, are not to  
11. exceed 7 percent per annum and shall mature twenty years from date.  
12. It also has an amendment that says this Act takes effect upon its  
13. becoming a law. I inadvertently failed to put this amendment on.  
14. I've had it in my drawer for three or four weeks and when I passed  
15. the bill I had forgotten it and we reconsidered it so I know of no  
16. objection to this amendment. I have given copies of it to both  
17. sides of the aisle.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Is there any discussion? All in favor signify by saying  
20. aye. Contrary minded. The amendment is adopted and back to Third  
21. Reading. The next bills to be called are: 4286, 429...Senator Groen;  
22. 4293, Senator Latherow; 4294, Senator Gilbert; and 4295, Senator  
23. Dougherty. The 4293, Senator Latherow.

24. SENATOR LATHEROW:

25. Yes, Mr. President, this is the appropriation for the  
26. Department of Conservation. I might say that some of the amendments  
27. that went on weren't quite satisfactory with us on this side, but  
28. I think it's time to call it. I appreciate a favorable roll call.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Is there any discussion? The Secretary will call the roll.

31. SECRETARY:

32. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier, Carroll,  
33. Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson, Donnewald,  
34. Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen, Hall, Harris,

1. Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Kusibab,  
2. Latherow, Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler,  
3. Mohr, Neistein, Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee,  
4. Rock, Romano, Rosander, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Soper, Sours,  
5. Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR JOHNS)

7. Palmer aye. Thank you, Ben. Palmer aye. Bruce, Senator  
8. Bruce, did you mention something? Do you want to be recorded on  
9. this? Is it all right? All right. The yeas are 42; the nays  
10. are nothing. Having received the constitutional majority is  
11. declared passed. 4294, Senator Gilbert. Senator Gilbert, I saw  
12. him here just a moment . . . All right, sir. I'll erase it.  
13. Okay, 42 . . . 4261, that's the one you just amended?

14. SENATOR GILBERT:

15. Yes, but I want to vote on it now. It's back on Third  
16. Reading. It's had intervening business.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR JOHNS)

18. Right. 4261.

19. SENATOR GILBERT:

20. Gentlemen and lady of the Senate, this bill has been passed  
21. before from this Body and as I explained through my error we didn't  
22. amend it. It has been amended to comply with the . . . makes it a  
23. good bill now. I'd ask for a favorable roll call.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR JOHNS)

25. Any discussion? The Secretary will call the roll, please.

26. SECRETARY:

27. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier, Carroll,  
28. Cherry, Chew, Collins, Clarke, Coulson, Course, Davidson, Donnewald,  
29. Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen, Hall, Harris,  
30. Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer; Knuppel, Kosinski, Kusibab, Latherow,  
31. Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Mohr, Neistein,  
32. Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Rock, Romano,  
33. Rosander, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Soper, Sours, Swinarski,



1. Senator Knuepfer.

2. SENATOR KNUEFFER:

3. Well, maybe if you could just hold this a second, Senator.  
4. I understand that an appropriation is in the Department of Revenue's  
5. appropriation. Can we just hold this a second until we confer?

6. PRESIDENT:

7. The bill will be held. The next bills to be called are:  
8. 4330, Senator McCarthy; 4373, Senator Sours; 4384, Senator Baltz;  
9. 4387, Senator Savickas. 4330, Senator McCarthy. Hold. 4373,  
10. Senator Sours.

11. SENATOR SOURS:

12. Mr. President and Senators, that is an appropriation and  
13. this is not an attempt to be humorous either. That is an appro-  
14. priation to ascertain the middle of the . . . of the main channel  
15. of the Illinois River. It so happens that one of the demesnes  
16. across the river in Tazewell County according to the Tri-County  
17. Planning Commission of Peoria, Woodford and Tazewell County Counties  
18. contemplates silling on land he owns through the middle of the  
19. river. Now the problem is where's he going to end. There's been  
20. considerable opposition to what his plans are for filling in for  
21. what is known Peoria Lake. And this in some way will determine at  
22. least where the main, where the center line is of the township in  
23. Tazewell County which would be contiguous to the township in Peoria  
24. County. It's not a frivolous bill. It's needed. It's not a lot of  
25. money. I don't think 30 thousand dollars will be spent. I don't think  
26. half of that will be spent. I'd appreciate a favorable roll call.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Is there any discussion? The Secretary will call the roll.

29. SECRETARY:

30. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier, Carroll,  
31. Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson, Donnewald,  
32. Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen, Hall, Harris,  
33. Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Kusibab, Latherow,

1. Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Mohr, Neistein,  
2. Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Rock, Romano,  
3. Rosander, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Soper, Sours, Swinarski,  
4. Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Hynes, aye. On that question, the yeas are 34; the nays are none.  
7. The bill having received a constitutional majority is declared passed.  
8. 4387, Senator Savickas. Oh, excuse me, I am sorry, 4384 is first and  
9. then 4387. 4384, Senator Baltz.

10. SENATOR BALTZ:

11. Mr. President, and members of the Senate, this bill includes in  
12. the homestead exemption laws for people over 65, property which they  
13. own and lease land. There are a number of areas in the State, Lake  
14. Bloomington, I understand, and Lake Springfield where there are 99  
15. year leases obtained from the city for the land. Individuals then  
16. build homes on them, I suppose worth all the way up to 40, 50, or  
17. 60 thousand dollars. They have not been able to take the homestead  
18. exemption if they were 65 or over. This bill simply allows them to  
19. do that.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Any discussion? The Secretary will call the roll.

22. SECRETARY:

23. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier,  
24. Carroll, Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson,  
25. Donnewald, Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen, Hall,  
26. Harris, Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Latherow,  
27. Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Mohr, Neistein,  
28. Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Rock, Romano, Rosander,  
29. Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,  
30. Weaver.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Knuppel, aye. Donnewald, aye. Nihill, aye. Johns, aye. Graham,  
33. aye. Palmer, aye. On that question, the yeas are 37; the nays are none.

1. The bill having received the constitutional majority is declared  
2. passed. Senator Dougherty advises me that on 4295 the objections  
3. or the questions that were raised have been resolved and you can  
4. proceed with the roll call on that. Is that correct, Senator?  
5. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:  
6. If you will, please.  
7. PRESIDENT:  
8. 4295. Secretary will call the roll.  
9. SECRETARY:  
10. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier, Carroll,  
11. Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson, Donnewald,  
12. Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen, Hall, Harris, Horsley,  
13. Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Kusibab, Latherow, Laughlin,  
14. Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Mohr, Neistein, Newhouse,  
15. Nihill, . . .  
16. PRESIDENT:  
17. Senator Nihill.  
18. SENATOR NIHILL:  
19. The way I read the Calendar here, 26 thousand 8 hundred.  
20. That's wrong, I assume. Is that right?  
21. PRESIDENT:  
22. Senator Dougherty indicates that's 20 . . . Senator Dougherty.  
23. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:  
24. That question has been resolved. The sum is actually \$26 million.  
25. SECRETARY:  
26. . . . O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Rock, Romano, Rosander,  
27. Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene,  
28. Walker, Weaver.  
29. PRESIDENT:  
30. Palmer aye. On that question, the yeas are 40; the nays are  
31. none. The bill having received the constitutional majority is  
32. declared passed. Senator Savickas, 4387.  
33. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

1. Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. On that question the yeas are 39; the nays are none. The bill  
4. having received the constitutional majority is declared passed.  
5. Senator Gilbert, you do not want to call 4294?

6. SENATOR GILBERT:

7. They are waiting for an amendment on that.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. 4295, Senator Dougherty.

10. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:

11. Thank you Mr. President. Mr. President and members of the  
12. Senate, Senate Bill...House Bill 4295 is another one of the  
13. senior citizen's property tax relief. These bills, this is, this  
14. bill's identical to all three bills that have been heretofore  
15. passed. The bills are by agreement and the Governor has his choice  
16. of signing any one of the four. I would ask for a favorable  
17. roll call.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Is there any discussion? The Secretary will call the roll.

20. SECRETARY:

21. Arrington, Baltz, . . .

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Just a moment. Just a moment. Senator Knuepfer.

24. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

25. Senator Dougherty, is the appropriation on the bill or off  
26. the bill at this point in time?

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Dougherty.

29. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:

30. Will you wait just one moment? My note says the appropriation  
31. is twenty-six million eight hundred thousand dollars. Well, it...  
32. the bill says twenty-six million eight hundred thousand.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Mr. President and members of the Senate, this bill appropriates  
2. \$172 thousand to the Illinois Department of Agriculture for the  
3. preparation of the Chicago Metropolitan Area River Basin Plan by the  
4. Soil Conservation Service. It's ... actually what it does, it's  
5. 50-50 between the Chicago Metropolitan Sanitary District, between the  
6. Department of Conservation and the Federal Government. They are all  
7. participating in this study for continued work in DuPage, Cook, Kane,  
8. Lake, and Will Counties.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Senator Knuepfer.

11. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

12. Hold the fort for a moment. I have some problems with this bill  
13. as Senator Savickas well knows. And we all ought to have some problems  
14. with this bill because everybody wants to get into the act these days.  
15. HUD is presently financing NIPC to do the exactly the same thing.  
16. HUD finances NIPC to the tune of \$2 hundred thousand a year just for  
17. the same purpose. The Corps of Engineers is doing exactly the same  
18. thing, and now the Department of Waterways, in part, has made some  
19. studies of the same area and now we are proposing to add a fourth.  
20. Now I recognize that as far as the Chicago Metropolitan Sanitary  
21. District this is an on-going project with them, but I say to you we've  
22. surveyed this area to death. Ever since the fight against pollution  
23. got to be a popular kind of a thing, every governmental agency wants to  
24. get into the act. This is redundant; it is unnecessary. The State  
25. should not participate in it since it is participating in part in  
26. financing NIPC. Since HUD is participating in NIPC, there are too  
27. many surveys being made of this problem right now and not enough action  
28. and I would certainly encourage on that basis, a negative vote.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Is there further discussion? Senator Clarke.

31. SENATOR CLARKE:

32. Well I would just like to add to that that the Committee vote on  
33. this bill was 11 to 6 and it's a non-budgeted item; it's not in the budget

1. and I think we should oppose it.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Is there further discussion? Senator Savickas may close the  
4. debate. Senator Savickas.

5. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

6. Well Mr. President and members of the Assembly, we are a little  
7. in error when we say NIPC is performing the same type of work. Their  
8. major concern is with the water coming from the Wisconsin line. The  
9. Corps of Engineers is on the little Calumet River and their major  
10. concern there is the Indiana water shift. Now, we are just asking to  
11. have the State help contribute to what the Metropolitan Sanitary  
12. District has been shouldering for the last few years. The Federal  
13. Government has committed \$4 hundred 15 thousand to this and the local  
14. sponsors must split the difference, which is \$172 thousand 5 hundred  
15. apiece. I would ask your favorable support in this legislation.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. The Secretary will call the roll.

18. SECRETARY:

19. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier, Carroll,  
20. Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson, Donnewald,  
21. Dougherty ...

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Senator Dougherty.

24. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:

25. I rise in support of this bill. I am aware of what they  
26. are trying to do. I am also aware of NIPC's participation in it, I  
27. too am a member of the Advisory Commission to NIPC. However, they have  
28. failed to redo their homework in the area in the Southern part of Cook  
29. County. Every time we have a heavy rainfall or even the melting snows  
30. we have problems in Markham, Flossmoor, Calumet City. Calumet City is  
31. in a very dangerous situation right now and if this appropriation will  
32. help in any way to alleviate that situation, it is money well-spent.  
33. I am aware of that situation that's also in Senator Walker's district.

1. That's the area that is affected the most. I think it is needed  
2. and they say that everybody is getting in the act. That is true,  
3. but there is need. There is absolute need for it and I urge that  
4. the Senate approve this bill.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Continue with the roll call.

7. SECRETARY:

8. . . . Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen, Hall, Harris,  
9. Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Kusibab, Latherow,  
10. Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Mitchler, Mohr, Neistein, Newhouse,  
11. Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Rock, Romano, Rosander, Saper-  
12. stein, Savickas, Smith, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,  
13. Weaver.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator Savickas.

16. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

17. Mr. President, I would like to move for postponed consideration.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Motion to postpone. All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary  
20. minded. The motion prevails. The next bills to be called are: 4449,  
21. Senator Knuepfer; 4452, Senator Carpentier; 4457, Senator Knuepfer;  
22. 4468, Senator Gilbert; 4488, Senator Walker; 4504, Senator Gilbert;  
23. and 4508, Senator Latherow. 4449, Senator Knuepfer. Hold. 4452,  
24. Senator Carpentier. Hold. 4457, Senator Knuepfer. 4457.

25. SENATOR KNUEFFER:

26. 4457 makes another \$200 million available to the EPA for  
27. financing pollution control projects. We all share, I think, on both  
28. sides of the aisle, a concern with one part of the bill which provides  
29. for advancing federal government moneys. We are concerned that the  
30. program go ahead, however, and I suspect that it would be the wish of  
31. all of us, both on the Democratic and the Republican side, that Director  
32. Blaser pay particular attention to the problem of advancing federal  
33. funds so that we do not end up in the kind of a situation where only  
34. those get served who come in first. I am willing to gamble. I guess

1. that gamble is willingness on the other side too and I would move  
2. for a favorable roll call.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Is there any discussion? The Secretary will call the roll.

5. SECRETARY:

6. Arrington, Baltz, . . .

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Just a moment. For what purpose does Senator Cherry arise?

9. SENATOR CHERRY:

10. The purpose of discussing this Bill, Mr. President. I'd like  
11. to ask the sponsor several questions in connection with this legis-  
12. lation. Is this part of the bond package that we passed in the last  
13. Session, Senator Knuepfer?

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator Knuepfer.

16. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

17. This is part of the bond package, Senator Cherry. At the last  
18. session ... the people voted 750 million. At the last Session we  
19. authorized 200 million in bonds to be issued. This will authorize  
20. another 200 million making for a total of 400 million.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Senator Cherry.

23. SENATOR CHERRY:

24. Well this is the one where we had much controversy with respect  
25. to the payment or the approval rather, of the \$750 million immediately.  
26. Then subsequently we met and we discussed and we agreed that we would  
27. issue the first \$200 million worth of bonds. Isn't that correct?

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Senator Knuepfer.

30. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

31. That's correct, Senator.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Senator Cherry.



1. SENATOR CHERRY:

2. Now, how much of the authorized first \$200 million was  
3. actually paid out?

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator . . .

6. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

7. Just a moment, I'll get the exact figures. I think 66 million  
8. is the amount that has been paid out to date, but I can get you the  
9. exact numbers.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Senator Cherry.

12. SENATOR CHERRY:

13. My information, Senator Knuepfer, is that we spent, I believe,  
14. some 17 million and not 60 some odd million as you say. I'd like to  
15. get that correct figure and want to know why we need an additional  
16. authorization for \$200 million when we still have remaining  
17. actually spent some hundred and eighty some odd million dollars out  
18. of that first approval.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Senator Knuepfer.

21. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

22. The answer is Senator, that we have authorized \$80 million has  
23. already been spent and there is an additional \$21 million that has been  
24. cleared. Now that leaves approximately another \$100 million  
25. available.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Senator Cherry.

28. SENATOR CHERRY:

29. That's out of the first approval, at least a hundred million  
30. dollars available. What do we need to appropriate this additional  
31. \$200 million for if we still have at your figures over a hundred  
32. million dollars available?

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Knuepfer.

2. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

3. Well, Senator Cherry, one of the things that I tried to point  
4. out when I was discussing this issue is there is a \$166 million  
5. appro ... 166 million is intended to advance the Federal portion.  
6. Now, the rest are for projects that are already or that the Depart-  
7. ment anticipates will be authorized during the next fiscal year.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Cherry.

10. SENATOR CHERRY:

11. Senator Knuepfer, what do you mean when you say hopefully we  
12. are going to get this money back? Are we putting up money for the  
13. Federal Government in the hope that we are going to get it back?  
14. Is that what you are telling us?

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Senator Knuepfer.

17. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

18. Senator, I made the point very clear when I discussed this, and  
19. your people are well aware as I am of the problem. I have a great  
20. concern with this advance of the Federal funds. So do the people on  
21. your side on the Appropriations Committee, and the statement was made  
22. on both sides of the aisle that we reluctantly agreed to this kind of  
23. an advance. Hopefully the Federal funds are going to be available.  
24. There are two bills, a House and a Senate bill which I am informed have  
25. this kind of money in them. I ... I share your reluctance, if reluct-  
26. ance is what you have, but if we do not do this we are going to hold up  
27. the projects and this has been a part of the reason for the delay in  
28. funding during this current year is the Federal funds have not been  
29. available.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Senator Cherry.

32. SENATOR CHERRY:

33. I have more than reluctance. I have great concern about making

1. these kind of advances because we could put ourselves in the same  
2. position as we were when we expected Federal reimbursement for our  
3. Public Aid program. I wish you would hold this, Senator Knuepfer,  
4. so that we could get further into the situation and get some more  
5. facts. I am not about to vote, and I am talking about myself as  
6. an individual Senator, I am not about to vote for a Bill that has  
7. an "if come" situation with respect to getting money from the  
8. Federal Government because we are not talking about a few dollars  
9. here, we are talking about probably one of the most substantial  
10. appropriations we are being called upon to vote in this Session.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Is there ... Senator Knuepfer.

13. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

14. I will be happy to hold the bill, Senator Cherry, and I want  
15. to point out however that I specifically called the attention of  
16. this Body to that problem. I wanted everybody to be aware of that  
17. problem and when you vote, vote on that basis. I will hold it but  
18. this has been no secret and that's why I got up and made this point  
19. rather than just saying "Here is the bill, and I would appreciate  
20. a favorable roll call." I wanted your attention on that point, so  
21. it will be held in any case until we can discuss it further.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. All right. The bill will be ... Senator Neistein.

24. SENATOR NEISTEIN:

25. In the last few days of this Session I think we ought to vote  
26. a bill up or down and not keep going back to the bill. Now once a  
27. bill is called ...

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Just a moment, Senator. Let's give Senator Neistein our  
30. attention. He is always so attentive to all the other Senators that  
31. we want to make sure that ... Senator Neistein.

32. SENATOR NEISTEIN:

33. I'm opposing this holding of bills. I think that once we call

1. a bill if it's not heard it should be stricken from the Calendar,  
2. if it's called it should be voted up or down. I think it's unfair  
3. to the other Senators that are at their seats watching for certain  
4. legislation and then it's held and then they are called away or  
5. they are not at their seats in Conference reports. I think the  
6. last few days we will have the same size Calendar unless we clear  
7. the deck once and for all, and I don't know if I have to make a  
8. motion or confer with Leadership, but I think once a bill is called,  
9. you've gone over the list seven or eight times. How many times are  
10. we going to cull out these bills? I think once it's called, for  
11. example this bill. The Senator expressed a desire he says "I'll  
12. hold it." I think we ought to vote on it to either pass it or  
13. defeat it, but we ... are at the last day or two, I don't think we  
14. should be repeating the bills on the Calendar and the columns and  
15. the priorities.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. It would take a change in the rules to follow your suggestion,  
18. Senator.

19. SENATOR NEISTEIN:

20. Well then I'd like to have Senator Clarke and Senator Partee call  
21. a meeting of the Rules Committee and kill all of these bills.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. I think they heard your message. For what purpose does Senator  
24. Savickas arise?

25. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

26. Mr. Chairman, for the purpose of making a motion. Having voted  
27. on the prevailing side of House Bill 4373, I now move to reconsider  
28. by which the bill was passed.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. 4373. Senator Savickas. Senator Sours, the motion is being made  
31. in connection with a bill of yours. Motion by Senator Savickas to  
32. reconsider the vote by which that was adopted. Senator, do you want  
33. to explain your motion?

1. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

2. Well Mr. President and members of the Assembly, it was brought  
3. to my attention by Senator Knuepfer that quite a few agencies now are  
4. making studies and I think that one of these agencies should probably  
5. take over this study without any increase in appropriation, so I  
6. would move then to reconsider the vote by which this Bill has passed.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Senator Walker..

9. SENATOR WALKER:

10. I thank you, Mr. President. This Bill that the Senator is referring  
11. to, 4373. I just have a hunch that the purpose of the Senator's motion  
12. is to trade a few votes on ... what's the number of your Bill, Senator?

13. PRESIDENT:

14. What is the point of order, Senator Cherry?

15. SENATOR CHERRY:

16. Senator Walker is out of order. If he wants to address himself  
17. to the motion pending by Senator Savickas, he may but I think his  
18. comments are unwarranted, not pertinent to the merits of the motion.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Senator Walker will confine his remarks to the motion at hand.

21. SENATOR WALKER:

22. Thank you, Mr. President. If Senator Cherry would hold his horses  
23. I would be able to confine myself, but as usual he is up popping off  
24. before I am completed. I have a hunch that this is a trade here and  
25. Soper says it takes 30 votes so I have explained my vote and my  
26. position. Thank you.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Sours.

29. SENATOR SOURS:

30. I was interrupted immediately while this was going on. How many  
31. votes does this take?

32. PRESIDENT:

33. This takes 30 votes.

1. SENATOR SOURS:
2.       Okay.
3. PRESIDENT:
4.       Is there further discussion? Senator Savickas may close the
5. debate.
6. SENATOR SAVICKAS:
7.       Well, Mr. President, it's going to be obvious that we do not
8. have the 30 votes. I would like to remind the other Senators there
9. that if they have any special interest Bills here that deal with
10. appropriation, it will also take 30 votes to pass their appropriations.
11. So I think this ought to be taken into consideration as we call these
12. next Bills up. I withdraw my motion.
13. PRESIDENT:
14.       Senator Savickas withdraws his motion. 4468, Senator Gilbert.
15. 4468.
16. SENATOR GILBERT:
17.       I am waiting for an amendment on 4466, and 4468 are really
18. companion Bills and I would rather hold it. Thank you.
19. PRESIDENT:
20.       They will be held. 4488, Senator Walker. All right. 4504,
21. Senator Gilbert.
22. SENATOR GILBERT:
23.       Senate Bill 4504 is the appropriation for the ordinary and
24. contingent expense of the State Scholarship Commission. Yesterday
25. I amended the Bill to add \$100 thousand to it which was taken from
26. House Bill 4668 that Senator Fawell was sponsoring in relation to
27. special education scholarships for teachers. In the event 4668
28. should not be enacted into law, the Governor by amendatory veto
29. could strike this \$100 thousand appropriation. That's the only
30. difference in the appropriation now and as it was introduced. I
31. ask for a favorable roll call.
32. PRESIDENT:
33.       Is there any discussion? Secretary will call the roll.

1. SECRETARY:
2. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier, Carroll...
3. PRESIDENT:
4. For what purpose does Senator Gilbert arise?
5. SENATOR GILBERT:
6. The Calendar is wrong as to the amount; this is 59 million,
7. 316 thousand rather than 59 thousand, 315 dollars. I want you to
8. realize that this is the scholarship appropriation.
9. SECRETARY:
10. Cherry, Chew, Clarke ...
11. PRESIDENT:
12. Just a moment. For the Secretary to hear the roll, for you to
13. hear your name, we have to have some order. Proceed.
14. SECRETARY:
15. Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty,
16. Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen, Hall, Harris, Horsley, Hynes,
17. Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Kusibab, Latherow, Laughlin, Lyons,
18. McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Mohr, Neistein, Newhouse, Nihill,
19. O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Rock, Romano, Rosander, Saperstein,
20. PRESIDENT:
21. Senator Saperstein.
22. SENATOR SAPERSTEIN:
23. Mr. President and gentlemen, I would like to ask Senator Gilbert
24. the final figures. There was so much noise I couldn't hear it.
25. PRESIDENT:
26. Senator Gilbert.
27. SENATOR GILBERT:
28. The final figure, Senator Saperstein, would be 59 million 316
29. thousand dollars.
30. SECRETARY:
31. Savickas, Smith, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
32. Weaver.
33. PRESIDENT:

1. Walker, aye. Hynes, aye. Palmer, aye. Mohr, aye. Nihill, aye.  
2. Romano, aye. On that question, the yeas are 43; the nays are none;  
3. the Bill having received the constitutional majority is declared passed.  
4. I am advised that 4159, which was passed over before, that we are now  
5. ready to call. 4159, Senator Laughlin.

6. SENATOR LAUGHLIN:

7. Yes, Mr. President. I'd like to ... unanimous consent to bring  
8. this Bill back to second reading. I think Senator Hynes has the  
9. amendment, the amendment is agreed upon. I understand that Sena  
10. Knuppel wants to speak.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. I ... I'm sorry. The Chair wasn't ...

13. SENATOR LAUGHLIN:

14. No ... Senator Hynes has the amendment. I guess it's up here  
15. on the desk, but I think Senator Hynes is going to move the adoption  
16. of the amendment. There is no dispute about it.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. All right.. 4159, called back to second reading for purpose of  
19. amendment. Senator Hynes offers Amendment No. 1. Can you explain the  
20. amendment, Senator.

21. SENATOR HYNES:

22. Amendment No. 1 removes ... reduces the appropriation by \$64  
23. thousand. It eliminates Public Information Officer III and Accountant  
24. IV in the Management Division. It also will delay the appropriation  
25. for the clerical personnel to process applications under the Firearm  
26. Owners' Registration Unit which the hiring of these persons ...  
27. personnel will not be necessary until June 1 of next year and that  
28. appropriation will then be included in next year's appropriation Bill.  
29. It also removes a \$25 thousand capital item which the Department now has  
30. stated is unnecessary. There is no objection that I know of to the  
31. amendment.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. All in favor of the amendment, indicate by saying aye, contrary



1. minded. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments. Third Reading.  
2. We'll get back to that very shortly, Senator Laughlin. I am also  
3. advised that 4099 is now ready and it's Senator Clarke who is handling  
4. that. Senator Clarke.

5. SENATOR CLARKE:

6. Well, Mr. President, this is the Department of Insurance annual  
7. appropriation, and I understand it was cut 38 thousand in the House  
8. so it now stands at three million six hundred and eighty three thousand.  
9. I would appreciate a favorable vote.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Is there any discussion? Senator Neistein.

12. SENATOR NEISTEIN:

13. This bill was approved by the Task Force in the Senate and all  
14. the fat has been culled out of it, and I recommend its passage.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Thank you, Senator. 4099. The Secretary will call the roll.

17. SECRETARY:

18. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier, Carroll,  
19. Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson, Donnewald,  
20. Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen, Hall, Harris, Horsley,  
21. Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Kusibab, Latherow, Laughlin,  
22. Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Mohr, Neistein, Newhouse,  
23. Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Rock, Romano, Rosander,  
24. Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene,  
25. Walker, Weaver.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Horsley aye. Knuppel aye. Egan aye. Bidwill aye. Sours aye.  
28. On that question the yeas are 41; the nays are none. The bill having  
29. received a constitutional majority is declared passed. 4159, Senator  
30. Laughlin.

31. SENATOR LAUGHLIN:

32. Yes, this is the annual appropriation for the Department of Law  
33. Enforcement. I can't go into detail. It isn't customary on these bills.  
34. I just ask for a favorable roll call.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Is there any discussion? The Secretary will call the roll.

3. Just a moment. Senator Knuppel.

4. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

5. I have a question with respect to this Bill. Is this the  
6. appropriation that covers the IBI?

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Senator Laughlin.

9. SENATOR LAUGHLIN:

10. To my knowledge, it is.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Senator Knuppel.

13. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

14. Then I will have great reservations about voting for the  
15. appropriation. I'm very deeply concerned about many of the activities  
16. of the Illinois Bureau of Investigation as they have been carried on  
17. throughout the last year. Also, about their intrusion into Cass County  
18. and into election matters which did not concern them, into the way they  
19. have conducted raids for supposedly purposes for publicity at Southern  
20. Illinois University, their conduct in a Southern Illinois County where  
21. they invaded a private party and roughly treated people who were there  
22. playing in a golf tournament, and in many other ways where they have  
23. hired, and in fact, almost entrapped youngsters to go out and encourages  
24. them by undercover agents to purchase drugs so that they might enhance  
25. their own stature as a law enforcement officers. I think their conduct  
26. has been ill-advised in many instances. I will not vote against this  
27. Bill because I am in favor of law and order and there are other people  
28. included in this appropriation, but I want to say this here and now that  
29. I will watch very closely, if I return to this Senate, what the conduct  
30. of the Illinois Bureau of Investigation. I am most unhappy with what  
31. I have seen about their conduct and their hiring of people, their  
32. promises of immunity given to some people if they will ... after they  
33. have been caught in drug traffic, if they will work with the IBI to

1. uncover others. I think they actually promote . . . in my  
2. district, in small towns in other places, they promote and  
3. enhance drug traffic and create drug traffic where in many times  
4. it does not exist at all. Where they pick out young people and  
5. ask them if they can find drugs, encourage them to find drugs,  
6. and these young people then, in order to flatter their own  
7. egos, to assume, I assume, some position of importance, go  
8. out, and they do have knowledge sometimes which they haven't  
9. turned in. But they are many times only 17 or 18 years of  
10. age. There was a horrendous story in one of the papers in  
11. my district where a young man, only 17 years old, was approached  
12. by an undercover agents of the IBI and asked if he knew  
13. where they could get some drugs. This was a newspaper  
14. story, and I assume they reported what happened in court  
15. correctly. The young man apparently had some knowledge. He  
16. made an approach, purchased some drugs which they said turned  
17. out to be heroin and the young man later was given two years  
18. or three years probation. But I just can't subscribe to  
19. this type of Gestapo tactics, nor can I feel right in appro-  
20. priating money to people who will actually encourage the vio-  
21. lations of the law in order to catch others and entrap young  
22. people, innocent young people in this. Also, their action,  
23. if they have no more to do than harrass people for failure  
24. to have filed with the County Clerk assumed name certificates.  
25. If we're spending our time with this type of law enforcement  
26. with organized crime at the height it is, I think it's  
27. really a waste of our time and money. I think that the IBI  
28. might well reexamine their policies in many of these areas  
29. and get on with the real law enforcement and real organized  
30. crime and the abatement thereof. And this is the reason  
31. that I feel that I can't support this appropriation. I will  
32. not vote against it, because, as I say, I am in favor of  
33. law and order. I am in favor generally of the appropriation,

1. but I certainly have been appalled during the last year by  
2. the conduct of the IBI in many, many respects. And their  
3. budget is included in here and as a protest against many of  
4. the carryings on of their officers and their Director before  
5. this Director, I feel that I have to withhold my vote and  
6. vote only present.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Senator Laughlin may close the debate.

9. SENATOR LAUGHLIN:

10. Well, I just want Senator Knuppel to know and other  
11. members to know that of course I don't condone Gestapo tactics  
12. at all. This is a new agency. There were some problems, I  
13. think, with the Director we originally had. We have now, I  
14. think, an excellent man as Director. I think they are doing  
15. a good job. Just recently, I think it was the local paper  
16. there was an interview and a recitation of some of the things  
17. that they'd done well in the field of organized crime. So  
18. it's very worthwhile. Unfortunately sometimes some things  
19. go wrong. But I assure you there is no intent or any policy  
20. on the part of the State of Illinois to use Gestapo tactics  
21. against anyone. I'd appreciate passage of the Bill.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Secretary will call the roll.

24. SECRETARY:

25. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier,  
26. Carroll, Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course,  
27. Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert,  
28. Graham, Groen, Hall, Harris, Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer,  
29. Knuppel, Kosinski, Kusibab, Latherow, Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom,  
30. McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Mohr, Neistein, Newhouse,  
31. Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Rock, Romano,  
32. Rosander, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Soper, Sours, Swinarski,  
33. Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Johns, aye. On that question the yeas are 33; the nays  
3. are none. The Bill having received a constitutional majority  
4. is declared passed. 4508, Senator Latherow.

5. SENATOR LATHEROW:

6. Mr. President, that bill seems to be resting real  
7. peaceable right where it is. Let's leave it there a few days.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. All right. We shall do that. On the next column,  
10. these are the Bills that will be called first. 4550,  
11. Senator Dougherty. 4551, Senator Dougherty, 4557, Senator  
12. Dougherty, 4606, Senator Graham. 4614, Senator Weaver.  
13. 4622, Senator Davidson, and 4623, Senator Latherow. For  
14. what purpose does Senator Palmer arise?

15. SENATOR PALMER:

16. Mr. President and members of the Senate, I would just  
17. like to call attention to the members here that the Governor's  
18. proposed budget is about 7, 450,000,000. I'd like to call  
19. attention to the Body here that as of yesterday you have  
20. already appropriated 7 billion 490 million, so you are about  
21. 50 million over. I would wish, and I think you ought to  
22. check your checkbook here, just like I did. That's what  
23. brought it to my attention. You know, it's like Senator  
24. Chew says, we have certain personal obligations, and it came  
25. to my attention that I'd better check by checkbook, so I  
26. decided to check the checkbook here, and these are the figures.  
27. The budget calls for 7 billion , 450 million. You have  
28. already appropriated as of yesterday 7 billion, 490 million.  
29. And each day the Calendar calls for 330, yesterday's Calendar  
30. appropriated about 338 million dollars. I just thought I'd  
31. call it to your attention that you maybe ought to look at  
32. your checkbook and your balances and look at these staggering  
33. figures, and instead of reading your newspapers and the track

1. results, which were pretty good yesterday, Mr. Bidwill,  
2. a . . . I thought we ought to pay attention to this.

3. SENATOR PALMER:

4. Roll call. Could I make a motion?

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Senator . . . for what purpose does Senator Hall arise?

7. SENATOR HALL:

8. I just wanted to try to check figures with Senator  
9. Palmer, and while I'm on the Floor I'd like to say the  
10. youngsters that you see filing in and out are from my  
11. district, Dunbar School. They are accompanied by their  
12. principal, Mr. McKinney. I'd like to introduce this group  
13. to the Senate.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. 4450, Senator Dougherty.

16. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:

17. Mr. President, I'll hold 4550, 4551 and 4557.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. All right. I have been advised by Senator O'Brien  
20. that he is, remember that on 3608 and 3609 we bypassed on  
21. the understanding that we would come back when he was ready,  
22. and it was held at Senator Latherow's request. He has indicated  
23. that he is now ready. And Senator O'Brien is recognized.

24. SENATOR O'BRIEN:

25. Mr. President and members of the Senate, I was very  
26. impressed with what the Senator . . .

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Just a minute. May I interrupt? Can these two bills  
29. be taken on one roll call?

30. SENATOR O'BRIEN:

31. Yes.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Is there objection? Leave is granted.

1. SENATOR O'BRIEN:

2. Mr. President, members of the Senate. I was impressed  
3. with Senator Palmer's comments on...relating to fiscal matters  
4. in the State of Illinois. House Bills 3608 and 3609 implements  
5. the Illinois State Lottery and empowers the Commission to  
6. implement such Act. It sets forth specific guidelines that  
7. conservatively can generate approximately 100 million dollars  
8. to help finance State operations in relation to local govern-  
9. mental units and education in Illinois. There are six states  
10. who have currently taken this progressive approach to raising  
11. badly needed revenue in their states without raising State  
12. Income Tax. This is a pragmatic approach, in my estimation,  
13. to a very tough problem. We're going to have to face it sooner  
14. or later. This bill is going to go up or down in the Senate  
15. today. There were over 100 members in the Illinois House  
16. who voted in favor of this measure, and I hope that the  
17. Senate will act accordingly. There have been several argu-  
18. ments posed against the institution of a State lottery.  
19. One, that it is immoral and that the State should not go into  
20. the business of legalized gambling. I'd like to illustrate  
21. to the...I'd like to point out to the members of this Body  
22. that you can't legislate morality. Prohibition illustrated  
23. that to this country. I'd also like to point out that we  
24. have had legalized gambling in the State of Illinois for a  
25. number of generations in the form of racetrack betting. It's  
26. also been indicated that this would take the form of a  
27. regressive tax on those people who are poor and on welfare.  
28. There have been several studies made of states that are currently  
29. operating successful lotteries. And in New Jersey, a study  
30. was performed in relation to whom bought the tickets,  
31. where they bought the tickets and what economic group they  
32. represented. The members of the Senate Revenue Committee  
33. had the opportunity to take a look at some statistics in

1. relation to the New Jersey lottery, and I'd like to have every  
2. member of this Body also given this opportunity. 10% of the  
3. tickets purchased in the New Jersey were purchased by the  
4. people who made \$5,000 or under. 47% of the tickets pur-  
5. chased in the New Jersey lottery were purchased by people  
6. who made from \$5,000 to \$10,000. 32% of the tickets purchased  
7. in the New Jersey lottery were purchased by people who made  
8. from \$10,000 to \$20,000. And the remaining 11% of the tickets  
9. purchased in New Jersey were purchased by people who made  
10. \$20,000 or more. The majority of purchased tickets in New  
11. Jersey took place at drug stores, super markets, newsstands  
12. railroad stations and things of that nature. Only 7% of New  
13. Jersey's tickets being bought in bars and lounges. I think  
14. that this certainly indicates that it is not a regressive  
15. tax on the poor in relation to who is buying the tickets and  
16. where the tickets are being bought. The question is then raised  
17. whether or not organized crime would benefit from a State  
18. lottery. In testimony that we had before the Senate Revenue  
19. Committee, it was stated that we can have a successful and  
20. foolproof lottery set up in this State. And in the states,  
21. the six states, that currently have a lottery: New Jersey,  
22. New York, New Hampshire, Connecticut, Pennsylvania and  
23. Massachusetts, organized crime has not been able to infiltrate  
24. their lottery systems. However, organized crime works very  
25. well off the racetracks. Organized crime has not been able  
26. to infiltrate bingo in Illinois. And if anything, a lottery  
27. run by the State of Illinois would probably put the numbers  
28. and bolita out of business because they wouldn't be able to  
29. compete with the prize money generated from a State run lottery.  
30. In closing, Mr. President and members of the Senate, I'd  
31. just like to point out that the average taxpayer pays Federal  
32. tax, State tax, sales tax, luxury tax, gas tax, license tax.  
33. As a matter of fact, he's taxed to death. He'd like to have



1. the opportunity to spend 50¢ a week as he sees fit. And I  
2. think it can help finance many of our State operations.  
3. There are many people in the State of Illinois, in my es-  
4. timation, who favor this Bill. The Chicago Today ran a poll.  
5. There were approximately 4,200 responses to the poll the  
6. Chicago Today ran. 97.3% of those people that answered that  
7. poll were in favor of it. I think that the members in this  
8. Body should also take that into consideration when they vote  
9. on this measure. The Bill is in the best technical shape  
10. that it can be in. There were ten amendments put on the  
11. Bill since it's come over from the House. I'd be more than  
12. happy to answer any specific questions in relation to this  
13. matter.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator Sours.

16. SENATOR SOURS:

17. Mr. President and Senators. This Bill, in my opinion,  
18. is singularly free of any taint of virtue or goodness. I  
19. believe it merits a little discussion, and I probably would  
20. like to consume my entire time on discussing its lack of  
21. chastity. A little humorous note. A letter from the Central  
22. Illinois Conference of the Methodist Church indicated to me  
23. that they polled their members and that there were 680  
24. against it and one for it. My inquiry was who would be the  
25. one? He probably operates a local pool hall. Now, there are  
26. some very important personages opposing this Bill. Mr.  
27. Siragusa just happened to be in town the day this was called  
28. in Committee, and his comments have been well publicized. I  
29. read somewhere, though I don't have the clipping, of a comment  
30. made by the Chicago Crime Commission which at least to some  
31. of us downstate is highly regarded. Now here's a little  
32. comment by a...that appeared in the press quoting a legis-  
33. lator who said about this Bill, "The real winners are the

1. school children. It is better that the wagering money goes  
2. to the Common School Fund than to illegal lotteries. I  
3. agree with that. Criminal elements are racetracks. I  
4. agree with that, too. But that's not justification. That's  
5. an indirect extenuation. The charade of immorality is not  
6. pertinent when people are overtaxed and burdened. That,  
7. likewise, is not germane to the subject. Here's what another  
8. comment in the press was. "The State becomes actively engaged  
9. in promoting the purchase of lottery tickets. The State  
10. becomes a fill, a huckster all in the names of better schools  
11. or improved highways or holding down local taxes." Here's  
12. another press comment. "They," meaning the legislators,  
13. "tried to make the measure look like an old folks' bill." So  
14. we have two of the five Commission members, older persons, to  
15. help operate the lottery. Then it gives a sort of a . . .  
16. sanctity because older people are supporting it, perhaps.  
17. The comment further says, "using senior citizens as stooges  
18. will not make it any better, nor will the sugar-coating prom-  
19. ises of increased aid to schools and local government. The  
20. lottery is a cheap trick," I'm quoting, "designed to make it  
21. easy for legislators to abandon their responsibility to see that  
22. that government works efficiently." Now we hear the argu-  
23. ment, Mr. President and Senators, that we've always had  
24. gambling, therefore, why not legitimate it instead of having  
25. is a bastard occupation. We're going to give it a name . . . a  
26. because the State's going to operate it. Some of the early  
27. chronicles will prove that point that we've always had gambling.  
28. Henry VIII, for example, of the six wife fame was renounced as  
29. a crap-shooter, when he wasn't spending time with his women  
30. and his falcon hunting. Augustus, Caesar's nephew, in  
31. Slatonious's Lives of the Twelve Caesars was a crap-shooter.  
32. They used to throw the dice, the alea, for an estate, a villa.  
33. Now we hear that the State of New Jersey has this and that

1. might be a good omen. But may I say to the Chamber I'd  
2. rather die in a cave than live in many places in New Jersey.  
3. Now, what is inherently wrong with this Bill is that the  
4. State of Illinois, if this Bill passes, is about to engage  
5. in promoting the sale of lottery tickets, the same as the  
6. shill, the huckster and the criminal or the carnival barker,  
7. perhaps in the name of better schools, I don't care, perhaps  
8. in the aid of deficit of revenue or in the name, perhaps, of  
9. holding down local taxes. Now may I say this, the State  
10. traditionally has been neutral. Track racing is merely  
11. regulated. The State does not wear the eyeshade in the back  
12. room. It is one thing to say people are going ... why  
13. shouldn't the State get a piece of the action. It doesn't  
14. follow, Mr. President, that the State should run the game  
15. and actually promote the game, and actually spend money to  
16. advertise the game. Further, what has recently been a crime  
17. punishable by a prison term is now about to thrive under  
18. government sponsorship. Can you imagine from daylight to  
19. darkness. What's next? And I think that's a reasonable  
20. inquiry because we can do things in the future in some con-  
21. formity with what has been in the past. Off-track betting,  
22. public dice games, public poker games, blackjack, 3-card  
23. monte, we might just as well socialize the movies, after all  
24. they're real revenue producers, pool halls, bowling alleys  
25. and just about every innocent amusement, that's where the  
26. real money is. If gambling is legalized, ladies and gentle-  
27. men of the Senate, those who run the games of chance, believe  
28. me, will cease to be criminals. For criminals and criminal  
29. activity are created by legal, legislative statutory defini-  
30. tion and not otherwise. The socialization of gambling, we  
31. are told is necessary to keep it honest. But if one reads the  
32. newspapers for the last two years, perhaps the last person  
33. to operate the game and have the eyeshade is a public State

1.           functionary. Finally, gambling is peculiarly inappropriate  
2.           activity for any government to sponsor. If we say simply  
3.           that under the pressure of fiscal trouble our great State  
4.           should establish a tradition of changing activities once  
5.           held sinful and illegal into not merely acceptable legitimate  
6.           practices, but into the very objects of special public spon-  
7.           sorship. Now as I said in the beginning, this Bill is  
8.           singularly devoid of any taint of goodness. I hope it fails.  
9.           PRESIDING OFFICER: (Senator Egan)

10.                        Senator Partee.

11.           SENATOR PARTEE:

12.                        It is not my desire to pontificate, it is simply my  
13.           desire to say to you that editorial writers are not sacrosanct.  
14.           They are but human beings like the rest of us. And one of  
15.           the editorials alluded to was published on the 19th day of  
16.           June in the Chicago Tribune and my name was mentioned as  
17.           being one of the ring-leaders of this example of legislative  
18.           debauchery. That was their phrase. Simply because I had  
19.           proffered for this bill and amendment, which said, in essence,  
20.           that of the five persons who would serve as commissioners,  
21.           two of them would be persons over the age of 65 years. It  
22.           was no attempt to make of it an old folks' bill. If I had, I  
23.           might have added that 40% of the employees be persons over  
24.           65. It was no attempt to sugarcoat anything. It was but a  
25.           fact, a recognition that persons over 65 years of age are not  
26.           idiots, that many persons in our society who have reached  
27.           the ripe age of 65 years of age are quite active, mentally  
28.           and physically. We are living in a world where our senior  
29.           citizens are being shunted aside. Persons who have made con-  
30.           tributions throughout their lives are now treated as though  
31.           they did not exist. An article appeared in a paper the other  
32.           day which alarmed me. It talked about persons over 65 years  
33.           of age in a Country called Japan who were really shunted aside.

1. There has always been a rather close and kinetic relationship  
2. between persons from the Far East and their parents. It said  
3. in that article that today our senior citizens, and thank  
4. goodness we have such an appellation for them as senior  
5. citizens, I have always, from childhood, hated the expres-  
6. sion "old folks", thank goodness our senior citizens are  
7. persons that somebody recognizes are still human who have  
8. made some substantial contribution to the progress of this  
9. Nation. And to try to give some recognition to that fact  
10. brands me as a demagogue, brands me as a legislative de-  
11. baucheror. Well, I feel no concern for that statement. But  
12. I am concerned that this Bill will hold down taxes. And it  
13. is quite interesting to me that those who are least interested  
14. in holding down taxes, who can find no way to vote for  
15. measures which will finance government, are most concerned  
16. about all of the aspects of human endeavor except funding  
17. government. I stood at the microphone just ahead of me  
18. where Senator Kenneth Hall now sits and explained to the  
19. people of the State that I believe that government should be  
20. funded and should be funded properly. And I voted for the  
21. income tax bill and God knows where we would have been if we  
22. had not had it. And there are those who did not vote against  
23. it who now want \$30,000 from this...who did not vote for it  
24. who now want \$30,000 from this State to find out where the  
25. middle of a lake is. I think there is a quality in life  
26. called consistency, and if I possess any quality, I hope  
27. that it is consistent. People say we shouldn't do this  
28. for fifty cents because it will be ruinous to our Nation.  
29. Well, if you really believe that we shouldn't have any form  
30. of gambling, why don't you come in with some bills to abolish  
31. the racetracks. That's a form of gambling and the dollars  
32. upon dollars which come from those racetracks which finance  
33. our schools, which help us to find out where the middle of the

1. lake is would be dollars of which we would be bereft if we  
2. didn't have the racetracks. So now, if you really believe  
3. what you say, go all the way. Well, I suppose it's very good  
4. where you have a Post and Paddock Club or where you have a  
5. beautiful club with flowers on the table and beautiful silverware  
6. and cutlery of all kinds and descriptions, beautiful...well,  
7. cloth and napkins, yes, where people of money, where people  
8. of influence can go and enjoy cocktails and watch the races,  
9. where little people who would bet fifty cents which is their  
10. experience with that part of life called chance will be denied  
11. their right. Rich people, yes. Poor people, no. I'm  
12. not actually happy about having to fund government or attempting  
13. to fund government by a lottery, but it's interesting to me  
14. that whenever someone has a bill here that they think is a  
15. good measure, they invoke the experiences of other States.  
16. They say California has had this bill for six years. It's  
17. worked out very well. This law was passed in New York several  
18. years ago. Time upon time we are asked to vote for legis-  
19. lation because it has worked out well in other States. It  
20. is to be ignored, I suppose, in this argument against this  
21. legislation that New York and New Jersey and other States  
22. derisively referred to here where many fine people live, work  
23. and rear their families; derisive statements about other  
24. States which have seen the light and have commenced to help  
25. a tremendous problem of financing government by a lottery.  
26. I'm not happy, as I say, about having to resort to this, but  
27. I do recognize that the taxes on real estate are becoming  
28. almost confiscatory and we must necessarily find avenues for  
29. raising finance to fund out ever burgeoning governments. I  
30. think that this is a good bill. It is a step in the right  
31. direction. Those States which have it have not had all of  
32. the problems that have been dreamed and conjured up by those  
33. who are opposed and they have raised substantial monies to  
34. bottom their governments, to structure their programs that are

1. so needed in their State governments. I'm going to support  
2. this legislation knowing full well that there are some deep  
3. and abiding political questions underlying your votes; knowing  
4. and recognizing that it comes in an election year at a time when  
5. there are those who would have to either sign or not sign it  
6. are concerned about its effect on what will transpire in  
7. November of this year. This is a good piece of legislation.  
8. It is much needed. It will be bring one hundred million  
9. dollars to our coffers and then we will have plenty of money  
10. to find out where the middle of a lake is.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Senator Carpentier.

13. SENATOR CARPENTIER:

14. Mr. President and members of the Senate, many years ago  
15. when I was a young boy growing up my father was mayor of our  
16. town. In those days we had legalized gambling. We had slot  
17. machines in every saloon and they used to be nickel, dime,  
18. quarter slot machines. We're talking about lottery tickets,  
19. fifty cents; maybe they'll spend a dollar, maybe more. But  
20. I can recall that every Saturday and Sunday the mayor would  
21. get a call, "My husband lost his whole paycheck in the slot  
22. machine. What can you do about it?" I also recall a few  
23. years ago I introduced a bill in this Senate and it passed  
24. unanimously and was killed in the House very simply asking  
25. that a referendum be held if a new racetrack was being built  
26. because the present mayor of our City without any public  
27. hearings annexed land into our City and he is the attorney  
28. for the racetrack...build a racetrack in our town; so, I got  
29. curious under those circumstances and I checked with some  
30. former personnel directors of our major industrial plants in  
31. the Quad City area, such as Deere and International Harvester;  
32. and on Monday mornings they used to have lines of wives asking  
33. that they get an advance on their husband's paycheck because

1. they blew that money in the nickel, dime, quarter slot machines  
2. and I think that this is just another measure that's going to  
3. add a tremendous burden to our relief roles when we start  
4. something like this in our grocery stores, cigar counters  
5. and everything else. There's no way that I can vote for a  
6. bill like this.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Senator Clarke.

9. SENATOR CLARKE:

10. Mr. President and members of the Senate, before I start  
11. I'm sorry to hear that Senator Partee has had some unfavorable  
12. publicity in the Chicago Tribune. I sympathize with him because  
13. sometimes the papers have not looked too favorably on some of  
14. my stands. I know what he means, but I assure him that if he  
15. does what he thinks right eventually the papers might approve  
16. most of the time. Let me say on this issue that it seems to  
17. me the major issue is whether the public policy of the State  
18. of Illinois should be to go into the gambling business. We  
19. have a trend here. We, of course as has been mentioned, have  
20. racing in the State of Illinois. A year ago we authorized bingo.  
21. Now, we're talking about lotteries after a few weeks ago talking  
22. about raffles and chances. And I've seen in various articles  
23. in the papers that leaders of government in Cook County have  
24. suggested that we should be looking at offtrack betting because  
25. New York is doing that too quite successfully. I think that  
26. there is a distinct difference between what we have done up  
27. to this point and what we're talking about today because we  
28. authorize by law and we regulate bingo games; we authorize  
29. and regulate horse racing, but now we're talking about whether  
30. the State of Illinois should run a gambling enterprise, a  
31. lottery; and next year we'd be talking about whether the State  
32. of Illinois should run a gambling enterprise, offtrack betting.  
33. And I'd like to say that the public policy of this State is a



1. very important thing that we ought to think long and hard  
2. about. Secondly, it's a little surprising to me that  
3. this issue even comes up this year because a year ago  
4. December I was in San Francisco and opened the paper and  
5. read about a shoe box. My brother was in Spain and he  
6. read about a shoe box over there. It was worldwide--the  
7. State of Illinois had all this come out of what we say  
8. we have now so we ought to have more of it in another form.  
9. I think we have enough problems to repair the image of the  
10. State of Illinois and its governing body including the legis-  
11. lature without asking for more problems and more regulations  
12. in putting this State in the gambling business. I would  
13. certainly urge a no vote.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator Laughlin.

16. SENATOR LAUGHLIN:

17. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, I'd like  
18. to approach this subject from just a little different stand-  
19. point and that is one purely that of taxes and a tax system.  
20. Presently, as Senator O'Brien has so well said, we have  
21. the federal government, the state government and local govern-  
22. ments all taxing our people. Some of the taxes are known; some  
23. are not known; some are hidden, and we have a hodgepodge. And  
24. I'll admit that perhaps it's sort of a dreamy, a pipe dream  
25. so to speak, that some day we might have a rational, orderly  
26. tax system based upon the ability of the taxpayer to pay. I  
27. voted for the income tax, Senator Partee. As a matter of fact,  
28. when your Governor Kerner was wanting to extend the sales  
29. tax to services on haircuts, cleaning and said he was talking  
30. about a fair system to taxation, I stood on this Floor and  
31. said, "I'll vote for a flat rate income tax. Put your money  
32. where your mouth is or your mouth where your money is, either  
33. way you want to look at it, Governor Kerner," and he didn't

1. do it and neither did your party. And so, when I had a chance  
2. to vote for the income tax, I did because it was right and even  
3. though I was bailing out the Chicago schoolteachers at the same  
4. time which offended me greatly, I still voted for the income tax.  
5. A lottery is the most regressive form of taxation there can be  
6. and if you adopt it, you simply postpone any hope that ultimately  
7. we might have a fair system of taxation in each level of govern-  
8. ment based upon the ability to pay. I gave an illustration  
9. in the Committee. Under the present income tax law in Illinois--  
10. a family of five with an income of \$5000 would pay no income  
11. tax, but if that same family bought one fifty cent lottery ticket  
12. each week and spent \$26.00, half of it would be going to the  
13. State of Illinois for taxes. Now, that's a heck of a way to  
14. run a railroad, and there's no sense in compounding an already  
15. mixed-up tax system with a bill like this. If it is true that  
16. the poor man should have the right to gamble, you have no  
17. business taking his money away from him. Let him gamble, control  
18. it and let him have all the profits, but to use it as a system  
19. and a part of a system of our taxation which at most can only  
20. partially fill a need which is insatiable is utterly wrong. It  
21. is a regressive system of taxation. I'd like to say this: I  
22. also resent the fact that there's a part of this money is to  
23. go to the common schools. If you were to take a tax on the  
24. lottery, then it ought to go to General Revenue because if  
25. you can tell me the correlation between gambling and the educa-  
26. tion of our children, I'll listen. I frankly can't understand  
27. it. It's human nature; it's my nature; I think it's almost  
28. everyone's nature to want to get something for nothing. I can  
29. recall walking past the grocery store to elementary school where  
30. at certain times the grocer had little candy bars and if you got  
31. one with a pink center, you got another one free. Then I can  
32. remember when the slot machines were across from our junior  
33. high school, across from our senior high school at a time I

1. attended and many of the youngsters put their money in the  
2. machines instead of eating; and do you know what you're going  
3. to do here, I think Senator O'Brien, correct me if I'm wrong,  
4. it is estimated that there will be 3500 outlets expected  
5. in the State of Illinois--supermarkets, wherever you go, there  
6. they are. And I close on this note: People will do it  
7. because it's available. It's like the young lady once said  
8. to her boyfriend, "Familiarity breeds contempt."

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Senator Palmer.

11. SENATOR PALMER:

12. Mr. President and members of the Senate, the Senate  
13. sponsor of this bill has represented to this group that  
14. the passage of this bill will bring in an anticipated revenue  
15. to this State in excess of one hundred million dollars, and  
16. I recall the Senator from Peoria objecting to government  
17. sponsorship of this kind of income, yet he sponsors the bill  
18. for an investigation to find the center of the Peoria Lake  
19. for \$30,000. I believe that a letter to Rand-McNally for  
20. eight cents would give us that kind of information and if  
21. the Senator from Peoria is talking about Henry VIII shooting  
22. craps, I think the Senator from Peoria shot a big crap for  
23. the State of Illinois. Now, at this time I think this is the  
24. proper time for me to repeat what I told this Body; that we  
25. are today fifty million dollars short of the anticipated  
26. budget. I'm repeating this and I'm underlining it this  
27. way; that I did not pick these figures out of the air. Any  
28. Senator can call the Bureau of the Budget and you will get  
29. the same information I got that as of today you are fifty  
30. million dollars short in your anticipated budget expenditures.  
31. I think that this Body should think and think well to realize  
32. that they must have some additional income and as far as I  
33. can see from looking at the calendar, this is the only bill

1. that I see that is talking about bring in some revenue into  
2. the State except what we're doing here is nothing but  
3. appropriating millions and millions of dollars and like I  
4. stated before, the calendar shows \$300,000,000 each day.  
5. Thank you.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Senator Cherry.

8. SENATOR CHERRY:

9. Mr. President and members of the Senate, a comment was  
10. made by Senator Clarke and he said that the basic issue on this  
11. bill is gambling. I assume he means the morality of people  
12. in making the purchases in the event that this bill is passed.  
13. He further went on to say that it puts the State in gambling.  
14. Well, maybe what he means to say is the State should not  
15. operate a lottery; someone else should. I don't think I want  
16. anyone else to operate this lottery if it's passed. I don't  
17. think I want the syndicate of this State, that exists in this  
18. State, to take over this business of purchasing lottery tickets.  
19. I don't think I want the kind of people who are in the racetrack  
20. business where we've read about all of the scandals of fixed  
21. races, jockey suspended because of using improper materials  
22. to spur their horses on. I don't think I'd want that kind of  
23. a situation in lottery, and I think the State properly belongs  
24. in this area if it is to be. He made comment about shoe boxes  
25. and much has been said and written about shoe boxes being related  
26. to racetracks which is legal in this State and maybe that's the  
27. truth, but they also failed to say about \$100,000 contribution  
28. that was made by an individual, a racetrack owner, to the  
29. Republican party that had been made sometime ago and that  
30. subsequently that racetrack owner received dates so that his  
31. tracks could remain open and get preferential treatment in  
32. respect to the issuance of dates. I haven't heard anything  
33. about that. They talk about shoe boxes which, of course, I don't

1. think anybody here condones. I'm not talking about shoe boxes  
2. with shoes. So, if we do have racetracks which have had  
3. all this scandal that we've heard about recently, I think  
4. it's time to stop these kinds of machinations, and I think  
5. we ought to put the State in the business of operating the  
6. racetracks rather than have the same conditions exist that  
7. we've heard and read about within the past few months and  
8. I'm including the \$100,000 contribution thrown in with what  
9. has occurred. What's our alternatives? We need this money,  
10. and I've read in the paper that although the Governor hasn't  
11. said it to me individually or to any members of our side that  
12. he doesn't want this bill. I think that if this were not an  
13. election year, I think the Governor would relish this bill  
14. and have his tasters out to get this \$100,000,000 because we  
15. can use it, and it's necessary, and it's needed. Where are  
16. we going to raise the money that we're short? Where is it  
17. going to come from? I've heard many words from the other side  
18. about alternatives. I have failed to see one bill which has  
19. been introduced in this Senate which would make up the deficiency  
20. and the monies that don't exist to pay our current State costs.  
21. We have our crisis in our Chicago and State school systems which  
22. are threatened to close with strikes with the discontinuation  
23. of much needed programs. Where's that money going to come  
24. from? Here's \$100,000,000 approximately that's ready where  
25. people can engage in street programs and the purchase of tickets  
26. and not necessarily go to the racetracks in the conditions that  
27. Senator Partee mentioned. I might mention too all of the plush  
28. Cadillacs and Mark IV Continentals that are parked at the race-  
29. tracks where people are going for an afternoon or night of  
30. entertainment. These are for people who are on the streets, who  
31. want to engage in the little game of chance, where they can  
32. hopefully be a winner, however difficult, however remote. I think  
33. we ought to give the Governor this money. I think he would.

1. appreciate it even though he has said to the contrary, and I  
2. think that the day has come where we need to address ourselves  
3. not to increase our State Income Tax, not to increase our Sales  
4. Tax, not to increase it by other means of taxation but in a  
5. manner which would be very, very easy to get this money for  
6. the coffers of our State to run our State government; and so  
7. I would urge every member of the Senate to consider the plight,  
8. the economic straits that we find ourselves in at this moment  
9. with the monies necessary to run our State of Illinois. Let's  
10. get this income. I don't want to raise any franchise taxes  
11. of corporation because we can't. I don't think we could get  
12. one vote from the other side by doing that, by submitting a  
13. bill. We've tried it before and have failed miserably because  
14. we haven't had one vote from the other side of the aisle that  
15. would increase franchise taxes of the wealthy corporations of  
16. our State. This is not going to hurt anyone. It's going to be  
17. a very easy source of revenue which is as I said is much  
18. necessary, and I would urge support of this bill.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

20. Senator Gilbert.

21. SENATOR GILBERT:

22. Well I rise in opposition of this bill and to attempt to  
23. put this on the aid to education, it's interesting to me that  
24. not one single letter and I just checked with my secretary here  
25. and I checked with my secretary in Carbondale, not one single  
26. letter has come to me or to my office from any educator in the  
27. State of Illinois asking that we attempt to get them additional  
28. money through this means. The schools are in need of money, yes;  
29. but even the schools are not willing to go far enough to say,  
30. "We want our money from gambling."

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

32. Senator Graham.

33. SENATOR GRAHAM:

1. Mr. President and members of the Senate, I had originally  
2. not intended to say anything on this bill and I find that  
3. it's rather difficult to abstain. I perhaps more than any  
4. other member of this Senate happen to know something about  
5. the days of the depression and all the things that accompanied  
6. those days. I was in the fair City of St. Louis at that time,  
7. had one job that paid me \$8.00 a week for washing dishes in  
8. a restaurant, had another one as a doorman at the Missouri  
9. Theater that paid me \$17.00 a week there and I'd take care  
10. my tuxedo and shine shoes and so forth and pay my care fare;  
11. and in the City of St. Louis at that time every cigar store  
12. was only an indication that if you sit by the counter in the  
13. back was a bookie and the bookie was thriving upon not the  
14. \$5.00 bets, not the \$2.00 bets. He was thriving on the fifty  
15. cent bets and I saw the despair. I saw people lose their  
16. paychecks and at that time a \$35.00 paycheck for sanding  
17. Chevrolets, wet sanding, or Fords was a pretty good paycheck  
18. and if you weren't there to start sanding at 8 o'clock,  
19. someone else had your job. I remember that. I watched  
20. the slots. I've been in Las Vegas and some of you have too,  
21. Reno and Winnamucka and watched those who didn't know any better  
22. grasp at the last straw to try to make it and lost; and that  
23. is the same with this. It's a hundred million dollars they  
24. talk about or whatever wild guess we have. It's only a  
25. guess. It's pie in the sky, but it's dirt on the carpet  
26. of moral responsibility. No one knows. No one can tell you  
27. what the cost of this is going to be administratively now  
28. or next year or in the future. The Federal law prohibits  
29. the uses of mail for the mailing out of this sort of material  
30. and in the States where they have it, I'll tell you where  
31. they exercise the exchange of monies, collection and dis-  
32. bursement of monies by and large, it's branch banking, financial  
33. institutions. This bill puts the cart before the horse by

1. delegating all of the crucial decisions of the Lottery  
2. Commission, without a legislative overview. All we are  
3. supposed to do is pass this bill and set into motion something  
4. over which we have no control. Gentlemen, I understand the  
5. need of money. I voted for the income tax, perhaps the toughest  
6. vote I ever made. I don't understand the need of money extracted  
7. by and large from the people who can least afford to pay it  
8. and they are not encompassed in the payment of income taxes  
9. as we know it. There is no use of robbing Peter and paying  
10. Paul. So we rob Peter of his money with lottery chances and  
11. pay him off on the relief rolls. Is that moral responsibility?  
12. No, not by any stretch of anyone's imagination, and I can  
13. tell you, gentlemen, as I stand here before you that I was  
14. a victim once of that despair and thought I should win, there  
15. was a way. And I walked in a bookie joint in St. Louis and I  
16. knew this fellow and I said, "Give me the winner in the eighth  
17. race at Tijuana." He said, "Oh, no." I said, "I'll take  
18. \$.50 on his nose." That's all I had. I didn't know that there  
19. was a horse in that race named Oh No, but there was and he won  
20. and paid \$99.95; so, I got a fourth of that and the biggest  
21. fight I ever had in my life was to not continue to believe that  
22. that would happen every day in the week. It doesn't. The  
23. Arlington Park Racetrack is in my district. Once in awhile  
24. I go out there. It won't be in the new district, they apportioned  
25. me out of there. And I know that when I go to Arlington Park,  
26. it is the same as buying these lottery chances that you're  
27. not going to win. If I have enough money to allocate for a day  
28. at the track, set my limits at the time I go out there and  
29. figure I'm going to get beat out of it in the third or fourth  
30. race or something and go home, that's my business; but all you  
31. have to do is go down in the grandstand or down in front of  
32. the finish lines and see who is down there reading their papers  
33. because four of them have gotten together and put fifty cents



1. on a horse on the nose, gentlemen. If we have . . . If we have  
2. reached a position in the State of Illinois where we have to  
3. finance our schools by preying upon the poor, God save our  
4. State.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER (Senator Rock):

6. Senator Neistein.

7. SENATOR NEISTEIN:

8. While I was . . . While I was moved by Senator Graham's  
9. autobiography, we've heard this argument before. The matter  
10. is cut and dried. I'm surprised Senator O'Brien called the  
11. bill. There won't be one Republican vote on this bill. I  
12. move the previous question.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER (Senator Rock):

14. Senator Neistein moves the previous question. All in  
15. favor. Senator O'Brien may close the debate.

16. SENATOR O'BRIEN:

17. Well, Mr. President and members of the Senate, in an attempt  
18. to answer some of the questions that were raised by Senators in  
19. this Body, I'd like to point out to Senator Graham that the  
20. 100 million dollar estimate was a very low estimate, and Senator  
21. Walker has on his desk information from individuals who believe  
22. that a State run lottery with approximately 5500 outlets, Senator  
23. Laughlin, would generate something close to 120 million dollars  
24. for the State of Illinois, taking into consideration the relation-  
25. ship that we have with bordering States such as Wisconsin and  
26. Indiana. I'd further like to point out to Senate Graham that  
27. there are some states that have a lottery that put an amendment  
28. on their lottery that say no more than 15 percent of the gross  
29. can go for administration. That issue is left to the Commission.  
30. You talk about control of this Commission. You talk about  
31. control of a State run lottery. Gentlemen, if you'd have taken  
32. the time to read this bill, you would have found out that the  
33. individual that has direct control over this is the Governor.

1. If the Commission and the lottery goes corrupt, it'll be  
2. because of the Governor. Also, gentlemen, the next body that  
3. would have the greatest amount of control over a State run  
4. lottery in this bill would be the State Senate of Illinois.  
5. There are five commissioners that serve on this Commission,  
6. two of which will be senior citizens over 65, which was a good  
7. amendment with good intentions. But of those five commissioners,  
8. one would be coming up for ratification by the Senate every  
9. year, so the State Senate would have the next greatest amount  
10. of control and influence over a State run lottery, because it  
11. would confirm one commissioner every year. In relation to Senator  
12. Laughlin's comments, I don't know how the Senator can ignore  
13. experiences of other states that are currently running lotteries.  
14. In New Jersey, the study that was performed certainly indicates  
15. that it's not a regressive tax, and I'm very much amazed by  
16. his concern for poor people in the State of Illinois. I appreci-  
17. ated the help that Senator Laughlin gave me in committee  
18. in relation to some technical amendments, but quite frankly,  
19. Senator, if you're appalled by the situation that 50 percent  
20. of the funds from the lottery would go to the Common School  
21. Fund to finance education in the State of Illinois, we'll take  
22. that out. We'll put it in the General Revenue Fund. The reason  
23. that it's going to the Common School Fund is because that's  
24. probably one of the greatest areas of need in the State of  
25. Illinois, because one political party has poor priorities.  
26. The entire issue, Mr. President and members of the Senate, if  
27. I could have some attention, boils down to one thing--whether  
28. or not it's morally right for the State to go into the business  
29. of a lottery. Senator Sours, I think, didn't put any sugar-  
30. coating on his argument in relation to a regressive tax and  
31. defending the poor. He just said it was immoral. Well I'd  
32. like to point out to Senator Sours as it was pointed out  
33. earlier today that there is race track betting in Illinois and,

1. Senator Sours, 623 million dollars will be waged at the Illinois  
2. racetracks this year. Senator Sours, since World War II, 601 million  
3. dollars in revenue has been generated for the State of Illinois  
4. on its small share of the proceeds from paramutual betting. If  
5. the Senator is so much against gambling, why hasn't he sponsored  
6. legislation abolishing horse racing in the State of Illinois  
7. which has existed for the last 25 years? What has that Senator  
8. done if it's against his moral code? He's done absolutely  
9. nothing. Is it because his favorite newspaper, the Chicago  
10. Tribune, which I might add is against this bill, received  
11. six free charity racing days last year, which netted them  
12. 178 thousand dollars? Or is it because his political party  
13. received a 100 thousand dollar contribution? Or is it because  
14. he likes the idea of the private corporations reaping the big  
15. profits and the citizens and taxpayers of the State of Illinois  
16. getting the pennies? Or is it because his Governor told him  
17. to oppose it? Why hasn't Senator Sours, the pristine paragon  
18. of purity from Peoria, sponsored a bill abolishing horse racing  
19. in the State of Illinois? Mr. President and members of the  
20. Senate, there's a lot of hypocrisy in this Chamber today, and  
21. I'm going to tell it like it is. I've had the opportunity  
22. to sit on that Senate Revenue Committee for two years with Senator Sou  
23. I've also seen him vote in that committee and on the Floor of  
24. the Senate. In my estimation, his record, in relation to fiscal  
25. responsibility for the State of Illinois, is about the poorest  
26. I've ever seen. Senator Sours continually votes for legislative . . .  
27. legislation . . .

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Just . . . just . . .

30. SENATOR O'BRIEN:

31. . . . benefiting specific . . .

32. PRESIDENT:

33. The Chair is going to rule that the Senator O'Brien is

1. going to have to confine his remarks to the bill at hand.

2. Senator O'Brien may proceed.

3. SENATOR O'BRIEN:

4. I am confining my remarks to the question at hand, Mr.

5. President, and I'd like to point out that the Senator from

6. Peoria has continually voted for legislation that gives tax breaks

7. to individuals. Let me go on.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Well, the Chair . . .

10. SENATOR O'BRIEN:

11. Just the other day, members in this Body voted . . .

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Just . . . Senator Clarke.

14. SENATOR CLARKE:

15. I just want to reiterate your point of order that we don't

16. attack other Senators personally.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. This is correct. Senator Clarke's point is well taken.

19. This is contrary to the rules. Senator, we do not question the

20. motivation of others. The question before the Body is House

21. Bill 3608 and the Senator will confine himself to the remarks

22. on that bill.

23. SENATOR O'BRIEN:

24. All right. I have a few comments that I think are pertinent

25. to fiscal responsibility. I'm a good friend of Senator Sours'.

26. I've learned a lot from him on the Senate Revenue Committee.

27. I'd just like to point out that the members of this Body just

28. the other day voted for the senior citizens' personal property

29. tax exemption which costs the State of Illinois 30.2 million

30. dollars. I'd also like to point out that House Bill 4218 which

31. was sponsored by the Senator from Peoria originally passed the

32. Senate yesterday, and that cost the taxpayers of the State of

33. Illinois with a 500 thousand dollar personal property tax

1. exemption about 50 million dollars. I'd also like to point out  
2. that the 1970 State Constitution indicates that we have to abolish  
3. personal property taxes by 1979. I think that it's extremely  
4. important for this Body to address itself to methods that will  
5. generate needed revenues to operate our governments. There's  
6. something called, Mr. President and members of the Senate, involuntary  
7. taxes and voluntary taxes. This is an opportunity to vote for a  
8. voluntary tax. Some of the type of voluntary taxes that we have,  
9. or some of the forms of voluntary taxes that we have in the State  
10. of Illinois are cigarettes, liquor, racetracks, and if we vote  
11. in favor of this measure, a lottery. Involuntary taxes are  
12. State Income Tax, Personal Property, and other . . . and a few  
13. other measures. This gives us the opportunity to add an involun-  
14. tary tax which, I feel, the taxpayers want. CBS editorial was  
15. in favor of this measure; the Chicago Today has come out in  
16. favor of the measure. In Michigan a month ago, there was a  
17. referendum put to the voters, and I consider Michigan somewhat  
18. to be a conservative state. The vote in Michigan was 3 to 1  
19. in favor of State run lottery. New York last year had the  
20. same vote. It came out 3 to 1 in favor of a State lottery. If  
21. Illinois votes this bill down, and I think there's a peculiar  
22. situation present, it will be the first State out of the six  
23. states that have voted on this question--all passing it--that  
24. has had a political party take a caucus position. Mr. President  
25. and members of the Senate, I think that this bill is needed.  
26. I think the taxpayers want it. I can envision 12 new millionaires  
27. in the State of Illinois every year, drawing at Sox Park,  
28. Cubs Park, University of Illinois football games, and other  
29. sporting events . . . I think that this is something we really  
30. have to address ourselves to and give a positive vote, because  
31. no matter who the Governor will be next Session, we'll be sitting  
32. in our chairs and there'll be a bill increasing the Income Tax  
33. for the State of Illinois, and unless we vote for measures like

1. this, we'll be voting for an increase in the State Income Tax.

2. I urge an aye vote.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Senator O'Brien, can 1605. . . uh . . . Senate Bill 1605

5. be included in this roll call, too?

6. SENATOR O'BRIEN:

7. Yes.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Is there any objection? Leave is granted. The roll call,

10. then, is on Senate Bill 1605 and House Bills 3608 and 3609.

11. The Secretary will call the roll.

12. SECRETARY:

13. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier,

14. Carroll, Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course,

15. Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham,

16. Groen, Hall, Harris, Horsley, . . .

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Senator Horsley.

19. SENATOR HORSLEY:

20. I was hoping that I wouldn't have to be called upon to

21. vote on this bill. There are a few people in my district who

22. are for this bill. It so happens that most of them are on

23. relief, most of them are on ADC, and on the public rolls, and

24. I can't help but feel that this is merely another sign of the

25. moral decline that we're facing in this country today. And you

26. know, I guess maybe I'm getting a little calloused, but when

27. I picked up the paper, I believe it was two days ago, and read

28. where the Democratic Convention in the State of Minnesota had

29. adopted as a plank in its platform advocating the legality of

30. marriage between homosexuals, I began to wonder just how far

31. down the hill we have gone. Now, to me, that's the most amazing

32. thing I've ever heard is for a State political party to advocate

33. such a plank in its platform.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. For what purpose does Senator Knuppel arise?

3. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

4. Well I fail to see the germaneness of homosexuality and  
5. marriage between those parties with the lottery. Now, I just . . .

6. PRESIDENT:

7. The poi . . . The point . . .

8. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

9. Point of order.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. The Senator will confine himself to the bills at hand.

12. Senator Horsley may proceed.

13. SENATOR HORSLEY:

14. I . . . I can understand the Senator's remarks, because I  
15. know that he couldn't possibly understand the distinction between  
16. those two, and I'm sure that . . . that someday, maybe, with a  
17. little education, you may be able to understand it.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Just . . . The Chair will rule that those remarks of  
20. Senator Horsley are out of order. The Senator will confine  
21. himself . . . Senator Horsley may proceed.

22. SENATOR HORSLEY:

23. So again I say that just in a last feeble effort to try and  
24. keep a little bit of morality in the State and to keep people  
25. from taking the money off of their Operation Breadbasket and  
26. out of the mouths of their children, given by Public Aid, to  
27. buy a lottery ticket so that some of it gets back to the  
28. State of Illinois. I certainly don't want to be a part of this  
29. decline, and I vote no.

30. SECRETARY:

31. . . . Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, . . .

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Senator Knuepfer.

1. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

2. Senator Knuppel, you obviously weren't here the other  
3. day. I did ask a question of your side. A bill came up on  
4. the Liquor Control Commission and the Liquor Control Commission  
5. wanted to hire additional personnel to investigate gambling and  
6. prostitution. And I asked the simple question, how that related  
7. to liquor control, and two members of your side came running  
8. over to say how stupid could I be. Didn't I understand that?

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Just . . . Just a moment.

11. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

12. I vote no.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. For what purpose does Senator Neistein arise?

15. SENATOR NEISTEIN:

16. He already sat down. I wanted to know what relationship his  
17. little talk had to do with what he was saying of the bill at  
18. hand? And for the record, that's 335 times he's stood up in the  
19. last week, and I hope they make him the leader of the Republican  
20. Party for which he's bucking.

21. SECRETARY:

22. . . . Knuppel, . . .

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Knuppel.

25. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

26. The question here is -is where do taxes come from, and  
27. ultimately they come from the people. Following that question  
28. is, is how do you want to raise them, and it's just that simple.  
29. Or have we reached the point yet where we want to raise taxes  
30. for our basic institutions of government through a game of chance  
31. where the results are uncertain, and where the burden will fall  
32. is also uncertain. I, personally, am not willing yet to accept  
33. that type or that manner of financing government. I regret that



1. this morning the debate has degenerated into charges about  
2. shoe boxes and so forth, because, actually, you don't need a  
3. shoe box. You can do it with a brown envelope. You can do  
4. it with combined insurance company stock. You can do it with  
5. racetrack stock. You can do it with contributions of bank  
6. stock when you're running for reelection as judge on the  
7. Supreme Court. That isn't what the issue is here. The issue  
8. is, is are you ready yet to finance the basic institutions of  
9. government through a lottery, and I am not; therefore, my  
10. vote is no.

11. SECRETARY:

12. . . . Kosinski, Kusibab, Latherow, . . .

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Senator Latherow.

15. SENATOR LATHEROW:

16. Mr. President, thanks for the opportunity to speak, and I  
17. hope I don't exceed my three minutes for probably the first time  
18. in the history of that light for me. First of all I want to  
19. qualify the fact that I am a real property taxpayer. I am a  
20. personal property taxpayer. I am an income taxpayer, and I  
21. do support local bond issues for education and so forth without  
22. an exception, and I supported revenue to make the State of  
23. Illinois fiscal. Not too long ago, I received in the local  
24. paper from what I think is probably one of the most outstanding  
25. country editors in my area, an editorial from Al Syler of  
26. Pittsfield, Illinois, and I'd like to take the privilege, Mr.  
27. President, to read this. And it says: "Oh, Al, we love to  
28. kid ourselves. Hallelujah, friends, we are moving toward  
29. painless taxation in Illinois. Yes, sir, that's the word  
30. out of Springfield. The Illinois House has passed a bill.  
31. As of now it awaits action in the Senate. It will be done  
32. through a State lottery and is expected to pump 100 million  
33. into the Illinois Treasury. The measure would provide 50 million

1. for education and so forth. Opponents of the proposal say it's  
2. morally wrong and economically disastrous. One church group  
3. says it is evil. But we're so far down the road of moral decay  
4. already, what's another harmless lottery? Besides, the proponents  
5. reply that 70 percent of the people gamble anyway, so why not a  
6. little painless taxation for Illinois? Why should the people  
7. in New Hampshire and New Jersey and so forth have all the  
8. fun? Why should we be denied a portion of action? Kidding  
9. ourselves is a great American past time. Painless taxation is  
10. part of that same fundamental phobia. We kid ourselves that  
11. we can have more and greater governmental services and projects,  
12. and at the same time, have lower taxes. We kid ourselves that  
13. we can have higher wages, less productivity and lower prices  
14. in the marketplace. We kid ourselves that we can have more  
15. take-home pay, more jobs, and at the same time, throttle  
16. private enterprises that provide the jobs. We kid ourselves  
17. that every governmental project and every expenditure is an  
18. unnecessary boondoggle except the one that we want in our own  
19. backyard." That's been mentioned today several times. "We kid  
20. ourselves that the higher local taxes are the result of the  
21. insidious outside influence, namely the Legislature, than the  
22. budgets of our own local taxing bodies." Mr. President.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Latherow, you will conclude your remarks.

25. SENATOR LATHEROW:

26. Thank you. Mr. President, I'm going to vote at this time  
27. in prevalence to Senator Neistein's desires, but I think I have  
28. a familiar person here at my side that may continue these remarks.  
29. I vote no.

30. SECRETARY:

31. . . . Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, . . .

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Senator Merritt.

1. SENATOR MERRITT:

2. Mr. President, very briefly, I did not get an opportunity  
3. to say anything before the debate was closed off. I'm certainly . . .  
4. The remarks I have are not addressed as a moralist, because  
5. I'm well aware you're not going to legislate morality, honesty,  
6. or ethics. It's got to come from the heart and the soul. It's  
7. been said here today that people are going to gamble anyway, and  
8. why shouldn't the State get a piece of the action? Well I think  
9. there's plenty of evidence to support the view that gambling  
10. certainly satisfied some deep-seated desire or need within that  
11. party, and that the determined gambler will find a way to gamble.  
12. But does it necessarily follow, gentlemen, that the State should  
13. run the game? I think it should also be noted that in Michigan  
14. recently, after passing such a lottery law, that they saw fit to  
15. repeal it. I certainly voted for the income tax knowing full  
16. well it wasn't necessarily a politically expedient vote; in fact  
17. knowing it might hurt me in my district, but also knowing full  
18. well it was the only way to face a fiscal responsibility to  
19. my State, and I would continue, if necessary, to do it that  
20. way rather than feel like it's being done with gambling. Now,  
21. just remember this, that we as legislators are really advocating  
22. about all the rights that we might have concerning the decisions  
23. and the implementation of this legislation by the State Lottery  
24. Commission. We don't know how they'll operate it. In fact it's  
25. of concern to me how they're even going to be able to get the  
26. tickets to these people until they change the U.S. federal  
27. laws. Those can't be sent through the mail. What are we going  
28. to do? Put these in State office buildings throughout every  
29. community, every county seat, to where people can go pick them  
30. up? Well we're really putting the State in the gambling business,  
31. and, in addition, it's been pointed out, it's a well known fact,  
32. that many of those States that weren't doing so well with it,  
33. they had to really come up with millions and millions of dollars

1. to promote gambling, to make it successful. Well I don't want  
2. to be a part of that, and neither do the people of my district  
3. by a big majority want a part of that. I feel the time's come  
4. that we better be thinking in terms of strengthening the moral  
5. fiber of our country instead of weakening it.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Senator will . . .

8. SENATOR MERRITT:

9. I vote no, Senator Neistein.

10. SECRETARY:

11. . . . Mitchler, . . .

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Senator Mitchler.

14. SENATOR MITCHLER:

15. I think Senator Neistein assumed what the vote was going  
16. to be ahead of time. The thing that I want to point out is  
17. this. Both sides of the aisle, and each of us come down here  
18. this Session, you know that we all said that there would be  
19. no increase in taxes; there'd be no new taxes. Well now this  
20. bill--this lottery law--is a generation of taxes for the  
21. State of Illinois. It's a new tax and you'd be going back on  
22. your word. I'm going to vote no.

23. SECRETARY:

24. . . . Mohr, Neistein, Newhouse, Nihill, . . .

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Senator Nihill.

27. SENATOR NIHILL:

28. Mr. President and Senators--Bernie, I will only take a  
29. minute--they claimed here a couple of years ago on bingo . . .  
30. We had a hassle on this Floor, as you know, I was instru-  
31. mental, getting around. The good Senator on the other side,  
32. Mohr, done a great job, and we got that out and it helped the  
33. schools. I can't see nothing wrong with a bill like this--a

1. lottery bill. Sure there'll be faults with it here and there,  
2. but if some of you Senators, throughout your district, get off  
3. your duff and get out and talk to your people . . . Don't put  
4. the words in their mouths and say don't vote for this; it's  
5. no good. You canvass your people, believe me, and they'll  
6. tell you they want this bill, and when you go back home, you're  
7. going to get a lot of heat with this for not voting for this.  
8. Believe me. You are. I'm just voting yes.

9. SECRETARY:

10. . . . O'Brien, Ozinga, . . .

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Senator Ozinga.

13. SENATOR OZINGA:

14. This is not the 333rd time that I've been up to speak on  
15. a bill; however, I think this is the third time this week which  
16. is probably a record for me. However I do want to continue  
17. with satisfying Senator Nihill who I have addressed before  
18. this week and to tell him that I have talked to my people and  
19. I have received a few people in my district that wanted this  
20. bill, but they are very few. However, I would like to continue  
21. with the editorial that Cliff Latherow . . . Senator Latherow  
22. started and was so rudely interrupted, where he left off, and  
23. it read: " . . . We kid ourselves that higher local taxes  
24. are the result of some insidious outside influence . . ." like the  
25. Legislature here gathered " . . . rather than the budgets of our  
26. own local taxing bodies. We kid ourselves that for a company  
27. to make a profit is morally wrong. We kid ourselves that we  
28. can restrict imports from other countries to protect our interest.  
29. At the same time we expect those countries to buy our products  
30. without restraint. We kid ourselves that we can control inflation  
31. by asking everybody to exercise prudence except the federal  
32. government. Our proclivity for self-deception extends far  
33. beyond the realm of economics and government. We kid ourselves

1. that nothing's too good for our kids, and provide them with  
2. every kind of material advantage. We forget that their needs  
3. are far different and more complex, then we wonder why their  
4. problems become our problems because we've failed to listen  
5. and to deal with them early. We kid ourselves that we are  
6. free of prejudice and bigotry until we are faced with the  
7. reality in our own environment. We kid ourselves that a  
8. Sunday morning in church is a sufficient token of recognition  
9. toward righteous living and brotherhood, and now, we are going  
10. to kid ourselves that there is such a thing as painless taxation.  
11. It's not surprising. All of us, individuals and government  
12. alike, have much in common with Joe E. Lewis, the comedian  
13. and horse player, when he once said, 'I hope I break even  
14. today. I need the money.'" I vote no.

15. SECRETARY:

16. . . . Palmer, Partee, Rock, Romano, Rosander, Saperstein,  
17. Savickas, . . .

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Senator Savickas.

20. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

21. I'd like to just mention, on a little article from Charlie  
22. Chew's favorite newspaper, the Chicago Tribune, where there's  
23. an article that "Lottery is Corrupt, Ogilvie Asserts". He seems  
24. that he is making a play for those people who are against  
25. gambling and against the lottery and his very bright, young,  
26. brilliant running mate supported the lottery. He voted for it  
27. in the House. It seems that they're going to tie up both groups  
28. of people--those that are opposed and those that are for. I  
29. congratulate them on this political tactic that they have so  
30. successfully developed. I vote aye.

31. SECRETARY:

32. . . . Smith, Soper, Sours, . . .

33.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Sours.

3. SENATOR SOURS:

4. Perhaps in about a minute or two I can say what I want  
5. to say, and the only reason I am talking again is because I  
6. was described rather minutely by the sponsor and by others  
7. about a bill to determine the middle of the Illinois River.  
8. Maybe . . . Maybe perhaps that I follow the Tribune line, or  
9. maybe perhaps that I am doing what the Governor wants, none  
10. of which is true. As an example only, I oppose this bill because  
11. some years ago I had a client by the name of Rawls. He lost  
12. 35 hundred dollars in a crap game at the Palace Arcade in Peoria.  
13. Under the law then and now, I brought suit to recover it. I  
14. got him his money back, less the depletion resulting from the  
15. expert counsel services. I gave him the money. Where do you  
16. think he went? Right back to the Palace Arcade, and he lost  
17. it all. But coming down the stairs, he slipped on an old  
18. riser and he broke his back, so I was called to see him at  
19. the hospital, and we brought suit against the owners of the  
20. land because of a defective stair, and the Travellers' Insurance  
21. Company gave him 65 hundred dollars. I took my moiety, sent  
22. him on his way later on with his residue, and where do you  
23. think he went? Finally the poor devil went to the penitentiary  
24. because he was a bus operator and he was embezzling from the  
25. local streetcar company. Now I lived in Peoria when it was  
26. wide open, believe me, and you're not going to have lottery  
27. without all the concomitant gambling--gambling beyond your  
28. wildest dreams, believe me. And as I've often said, once you  
29. put garlic in the soup, you never can take it out, and once  
30. we accede to unprinciple, we're dead. I vote no.

31. SECRETARY:

32. . . . Swinarski, Vadalabene, . . .

33.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Vadalabene.

3. SENATOR VADALABENE:

4. Mr. President and members of the Senate, Senator Laughlin  
5. stated a while ago about putting your money where your mouth  
6. is or put your mouth where your money is, and Senator Laughlin  
7. also knows that I have the highest respect and admiration for  
8. him, and I've told him so many times. However, I served in the  
9. House of Representatives under two Governors and I also . . . I  
10. don't know if the Legislative Digest in the Senate is different  
11. than the Legislative Digest in the House, but Senator Laughlin,  
12. if you'll take the Legislative Digest of 1969, you'll see a  
13. gasoline tax, a cigarette tax, a liquor tax, a dry cleaning  
14. tax, a laundry tax, a hotel tax, a motel tax, and, if you  
15. recall, there was a tax on barber shops and beauty shops. If  
16. you'll recall, there was a tax on ten cents a pound on inner  
17. tubes, \$1.00 for each battery, five cents a pound on tread  
18. tires, and these were all administration bills under the  
19. present Governor, sponsored by Republican members in the House.  
20. Now the only reason why I'm bringing this up, Senator Laughlin,  
21. is that I think that you should have continued when you talked  
22. about the Governor before Governor Ogilvie and failed to mention  
23. about the present Governor and what the income taxes that he . . .  
24. beside the income taxes that they were proposing. So what  
25. I'm saying to Senator Laughlin is, with the respect, that I  
26. think that my father always told me . . . Senator Carpentier  
27. always talks about his father, God bless him, who was my  
28. personal friend. My father always told me that there was never  
29. a pancake made thin enough that it didn't have two sides. So  
30. there was two sides to this question here--under the Governor  
31. that you talked about and under the present Governor. Now there  
32. is a racetrack in my district and there is a racetrack in a  
33. township of another county that I represent, and I'm sure that



1. these tracks has caused many problems for families. They  
2. caused probably some people to go out of business, and they  
3. probably have caused many, many serious problems. And I don't  
4. know what to do about this State Lottery Bill. I've been  
5. pondering and pondering and pondering about it, and I've  
6. finally I've come to this decision. Mr. President, members  
7. of the Senate, I'm going to vote no.

8. SECRETARY:

9. . . . Walker, . . .

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Senator Walker.

12. SENATOR WALKER:

13. Thank you, Mr. President. Members of the Senate, with  
14. Senator Neistein's permission, I'm going to take a couple of  
15. minutes here, because I thought I heard my name mentioned, and  
16. I appreciate Senator O'Brien not affixing some favorite terms  
17. that some of you are prone to do before that. I'm going to  
18. brief, because I've told you before, I'm more gregarious than  
19. I am garrulous. I'll leave that to some of the more astute  
20. articulate lawyers here in the Senate. But I heard mentioned  
21. that I had something on my desk. Well I've got a note here  
22. from Norb Kosinski that I was going to keep a secret from the  
23. fellows on this side of the aisle. It says: "Dear Jack,  
24. Without your full cooperation on Senate Bill 1154, it never  
25. would have passed. I'm very grateful for your support."  
26. I found an editorial here. I found a telegram against Senator  
27. Dougherty's bill. I understand he's working that one out.  
28. I found this Lottery Bill looks dead. And then I found an  
29. article from the Hammond Times here: "Public indicates support  
30. for Illinois Lottery Bill." Well it just happens, I'm on the  
31. Indiana line, and that paper too often doesn't express my  
32. sentiments. I have an article here from the Chicago Heights  
33. Star: "We hope the Senate will exhibit somewhat greater restraint

1. in facing up to State revenue." That's Bill Williams. Some-  
2. times we have disagreements. Mike Killian: "Lottery Pro and  
3. Con". While I was still digging, I found this Illinois State  
4. AFL-CIO newsletter, June the 17th. The writer doesn't like  
5. taxes, but with reluctance faced with reality, he did testify  
6. for a State lottery before the Senate Revenue Committee. I  
7. assume that's Stan Johnson. And then I get down to the clincher  
8. here, a letter from the Central Illinois Conference. I'm sure  
9. that the Governor presiding up there, in particular, and Senator  
10. Horsley'll appreciate this. Incidentally, it's addressed to a  
11. friend of mine from Peoria, Hudson Sours. I find that the  
12. Methodists, by a vote of 680 to 1, are opposing this bill. Being  
13. a left-handed Irishman and kind of bu<sup>m</sup>Methodist, I would guess,  
14. I'm force<sup>d</sup> to go along. I figure this 680 to 1 vote . . . I  
15. figure that fella probably ran a pool room somewhere, and that  
16. reminded me of the time Pat Moore arranged a little exhibition  
17. between Minnesota Fats and myself. I don't gamble. I don't  
18. have to anymore. I did in high school and college--I had to  
19. make a buck someway or other--and I've been known to hustle a  
20. pool table on occasions. But Minnesota Fats and I played a  
21. game out here at one of the . . .

22. PRESIDENT:

23. For what purpose does Senator Mohr arise?

24. SENATOR MOHR:

25. Mr. President, I hate to break in, but there's a very  
26. important phone call for Senator Walker.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Walker . . .

29. SENATOR WALKER:

30. Tell Rev . . . Tell Reverend . . . Tell Reverend Keller  
31. in Lansing I'll be with him in just a minute. I'm going to  
32. conclude with this. Minnesota and I were playing a game of  
33. pool. He lets me win the first game, he lets me win the second

1. game, and then he says, Walker, I'll shoot you for a C note.  
2. I says,<sup>h</sup> Minnesota, I've been hustled by experts. I declined  
3. his proposition.<sup>h</sup> I vote no on this bill, House Bill 3608.

4. SECRETARY:

5. . . . Weaver.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Request for a call of the absentees. The absentees will  
8. be called.

9. SECRETARY:

10. Arrington, Bidwill, Carroll, Collins, Fawell, Groen, Harris,  
11. Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Newhouse, O'Brien, . . .

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Senator O'Brien.

14. SENATOR O'BRIEN:

15. Mr. President and members of the Senate, just briefly in  
16. explaining my vote, at a time when we have a revenue crisis  
17. in the State of Illinois, at a time when the schools in the  
18. State of Illinois are fighting for money to keep their doors  
19. open to educate the kids, at a time when the public is fed up  
20. with taxes of every form, I think it's a shame that this State  
21. has failed to recognize a new method for generating revenue  
22. to operate its local governmental units and finance its educational  
23. system. I'm sorry that we didn't take the lead set by New  
24. York, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Connecticut, Pennsylvania,  
25. and Massachusetts. I vote aye.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Motion to postpone consideration. All in favor signify  
28. by saying aye. Contrary minded. Motion prevails. That is  
29. on all three bills. We have some distinguished . . . There is  
30. no . . . No vote has been announced. Postponed . . . It's already  
31. been postponed. We have some distinguished guests. If we may  
32. change the tone here for a moment, we have the officers of  
33. Boys' State here. I wonder if we can't ask the new Governor

1. here or the Department Commander of the American Legion to  
2. name the officers here.

3. DEPARTMENT COMMANDER:

4. Mr. President and Senators, I would like to introduce our  
5. newly elected Governor of Boys' State for the year 1972, Mr.  
6. Tom Garrison of Urbana.

7. TOM GARRISON:

8. Mr. President and members of the Senate, I bring you  
9. greetings from over 1280 boys at Boys' State and I'd like to  
10. introduce to you at this time our officers for this year:  
11. First of all, Stephen Leake, our Lieutenant Governor. He  
12. is from Rockford, Illinois. Next, we have Terry Davis from  
13. Roodhouse, Illinois. He is the Secretary of State. Our  
14. Auditor of Public Accounts is Rusty Hunt. He is from Urbana  
15. also. Next, our Attorney General, Craig Edgeston from Canton.  
16. Our State Treasurer is Robert Harshburger from Plainfield,  
17. Illinois. Our Clerk of the Supreme Court is Ronald Presley  
18. from Bourbonnais, Illinois. Our President Pro Tem of the  
19. Senate is Daniel Moran from Roselle. Our Speaker of the House  
20. is Kel Got from Quincy. Our Supreme Court Justices were here,  
21. but they had to leave. Thank you.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Thank you very much, gentlemen. We're pleased to have you  
24. with us. Senator Mitchler a little later on is going to have a  
25. Resolution commending you and your colleagues at Boys' State.  
26. We're very proud to have you with us. I can't speak for the  
27. other officers, but the Lieutenant Governor is a big improvement  
28. over the incumbent. Let me tell you that. Thank you very, very  
29. much. We do have some other...Senator Clarke.

30. SENATOR CLARKE:

31. I thought before we got some other business, I've talked  
32. to Senator Partee about four bills that we...

33. PRESIDENT:

1. We do have one...I promised Senator Mohr on a very important  
2. resolution that we bring up at this time. Senator Mohr.

3. SENATOR MOHR:

4. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, I would like  
5. to introduce a resolution at this time and ask that we pay  
6. particular attention to it, and I've asked Senator Horsley to  
7. read this resolution to the Body and after it has been read,  
8. I would invite all members of the Senate to join me in the  
9. sponsorship of this resolution. Senator Sours.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Senator Sours has the resolution. Senator Sours is recognized.

12. SENATOR SOURS:

13. (RESOLUTION HONORING SENATOR BIDWILL)

14. The poetry in the life of an institution like this Senate is to  
15. be found in its history. It is to be found in this familiar  
16. room and once in these well-known halls, Senators like Senator  
17. Bidwill and other distinguished public servants worked, debated,  
18. argued, conceded, laughed and even choked up with sadness and  
19. emotion and worshiped together. Many of them are now gone;  
20. but if we listen, we can hear their laughs, their cheers, their  
21. catcalls, but a Senate cannot long survive on poetry alone.  
22. No matter how glorious, no matter how heroic, the past is the  
23. past and has meaning only in the present. It is the present,  
24. Senator Bidwill, which we find you to be in good health that  
25. counts. It is the present which always especially matters  
26. because that is where the past and the present meet and we shall  
27. all miss you, Arthur, a kind, courteous and a gentleman's  
28. gentleman. Mr. President, I make the proper motion--the rules  
29. has suspended; we consider this Resolution immediately.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. All Senators will be shown as sponsors. I wish the Lieutenant  
32. Governor could be shown as a sponsor on this one. Senator  
33. Smith.

1. SENATOR SMITH:

2. ...let this Resolution be adopted without an expression com-  
3. ing forth from me...I say that because I know that in the hearts  
4. and in the minds of those of us who have served with him, Senator  
5. Bidwill is admired and respected. If you survey the Senator as  
6. a man, you will find in him many, many things to admire and perhaps  
7. nothing to condemn. He's brave, he's far-seeing, he's quick in  
8. his insight, he's immediate in action, he's bold, he's cautious,  
9. he's prudent and yet daring. I recall full well when he was the  
10. President pro tem of the Senate and I can't let the opportunity  
11. pass to pay that for promptness, firmness, fearlessness, strong  
12. practical wisdom and a complete sense of unsolid justice, it  
13. would be hard, perhaps difficult to find his equal here in this  
14. Body. But there is a standard, Mr. President, which I think  
15. the good Senator, my friend, like all the rest of us should be  
16. judged and considered. I mean that the standard of that  
17. morality which a man owes to truth to his family, to his  
18. friends, to his constituents and to the membership of this  
19. Body and when considered in less sense, those of us who have  
20. been here over the years know that he has served here greatly  
21. and justly according to his heart's conviction and with that  
22. conviction he knows, and I want you to know, that I feel a  
23. sense of admiration for him which I can but scarcely accord  
24. to but few of us. And if the time should come during my lifetime  
25. when the statues of the members who have served here over the  
26. years were to be erected in testimony of our gratitude, Senator,  
27. I would vote to give to his one of the highest places of honor.  
28. Senator Sours, I appreciate your courtesy in letting me express  
29. the real mintage of my heart.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER:

31. Senator Sours. I have a rebuttal from Senator Bidwill here.

32. SENATOR BIDWILL:

33.

1. Senator Sours, who loves to quote poetry, may I give a  
2. return. A great poet once said, "Break, break at the foot of  
3. thy crags, O sea; but that my tongue could utter the thoughts  
4. that arise me". And Freddy, old friend, I know how you felt  
5. the other day when the same thing happened to you. I never  
6. thought the time would come when I might be lost for words,  
7. but I feel a lot like the widow who, her husband died and  
8. he was the kind of a guy in town that did everything that  
9. the book said not to do, and unfortunately the preacher of  
10. the church in the town was sick in the hospital so they imported  
11. a preacher from a town about fifty miles away and he in his  
12. sermon gave the number one sermon---what a great fellow this  
13. man was, great servant he was of the people and a great  
14. father. Bridget nudged Johnny, the oldest son, next to her  
15. and said, "Johnny, on the way out take a look in the coffin.  
16. I think we're burying the wrong man". Thirty-eight years is  
17. a long time and as Freddy Smith says, memories is...many things  
18. happen here. But somebody once said, "This is the most  
19. exclusive club in the State of Illinois", and it is because  
20. you come here judged by a wide constituency who sends you here  
21. to represent them and all of the people of this great sovereign  
22. State. And where we have our differences, our party differences  
23. probably, individual differences, we make strong and loyal friends  
24. and where other people outside can pick on us, I have seen so many  
25. times regardless of what party you were on, what side you were  
26. on, don't pick on us when one of us is around regardless of what  
27. side we're on, what side of the fence. I don't want to talk any  
28. longer because I'm afraid I can't, but I had when all these bills  
29. were being drawn up and we were given preferential lists of  
30. these bills, I had Senator Coulson check...is he here? I  
31. had Senator Coulson check a few bills that he and I thought  
32. should be sponsored, but the Chair overruled us and without  
33.

1. calling out the numbers of the bills, the bills were a bill to  
2. license soap making by Soper and Latherow; a bill requiring added  
3. lavatories in public buildings by Mohr-Johns; a bill taxing fruit  
4. gum by Cherry and Chew; increasing penalties on drunken pedestrians  
5. by Walker and Weaver; defining crimes of arson by Carpentier,  
6. Berning and Newhouse; limiting back use of animals by Harris and  
7. Lyons; an Act licensing musical shows by Rock and Hall; an Act  
8. taxing liquors by Sours and Collins; an Act taxing cough drops  
9. by Groen and Horsley; and last of all, a Resolution pensioning  
10. retired members by Fawell and Partee. (Applause) I wish I  
11. could tell you how much I appreciate this. I'll never forget it.  
12. PRESIDENT:

13. Senator Soper.

14. SENATOR SOPER:

15. Just so the Body might know, Mr. President, if Bidwill makes  
16. it 'till tomorrow, he's got another birthday, and I think they're  
17. going to revoke his medicare card.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Senator Neistein.

20. SENATOR NEISTEIN:

21. Senator Soper stole my speech, but I want to have Senator  
22. Donnewald lead the Senators here in wishing our great Knight of  
23. Malta, and I have special regard for him because being a Knight  
24. of St. Philip, we're both papal representatives, and I'll ask  
25. Senator Donnewald to lead us in Happy Birthday for our great  
26. colleague, Senator Bidwill.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Donnewald is recognized.

29. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

30. All together now. (All sing Happy Birthday)

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Senator Clarke is recognized.

33. SENATOR CLARKE:



1. I have four bills that I talked to Senator Partee about  
2. that are handled by, one by Senator Mohr and three by Senator  
3. Bruce that I'd like to ask unanimous consent to remove from the  
4. Table and put on second reading. And these are bills relating  
5. to Hazardous Substance Act, handled by Representative Burditt.  
6. I'd like to make that motion.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. What are the numbers of the bills?

9. SENATOR CLARKE:

10. The bills are: House Bill 1781, 1668, 1669 and 3785.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Is there objection? Leave is granted. While we are  
13. away from the regular order of business, the Chair has two  
14. Conference Committee reports where I have an indication that  
15. they have been examined by both sides. One is on House Bill  
16. 4361. This is...Senator Knuppel may be the Senate sponsor on  
17. this one, is that correct, Senator? Senator Knuppel, what is  
18. your motion?

19. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

20. I move the adoption of the Conference Committee report.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Can you explain very briefly what the Bill is about and  
23. what the Conference Committee report is.

24. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

25. Well, the Bill has to do with the choosing the method of  
26. financing the Cooperative Extension Service, and we put an  
27. amendment on here in the Senate, which was overlooked in  
28. the House, and because we didn't add a dollar sign, it was  
29. necessary to go to a Conference Committee. That was the one  
30. that required a dollar sign.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Is there any discussion? The Secretary will call the  
33. roll for the adoption... Senator Partee. The Secretary will

1. call the roll on the motion by Senator Knuppel on the adoption  
2. of the Conference Committee report of House Bill 4361.

3. SECRETARY:

4. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier,  
5. Carroll, Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course,  
6. Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham,  
7. Groen, Hall, Harris, Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel,  
8. Kosinski, Kusibab, Latherow, Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy,  
9. Merritt, Mitchler, Mohr, Neistein, Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien,  
10. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Rock, Romano, Rosander, Saperstein,  
11. Savickas, Smith, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,  
12. Weaver.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Egan, aye. Romano, aye. Knuepfer, aye. On that question  
15. the yeas are 37; the nays are none. The Conference Committee  
16. report is accepted by the Senate. We have another one that  
17. where we have an indication that both sides ...the leadership  
18. on both sides has examined the Conference Committee report  
19. and that is on House Bill 4445. This is, Senator Sours I believe  
20. is the...is Senator Sours on the Floor? I don't think we ought  
21. to adopt any Conference Committee reports until the Senate  
22. sponsors are present. Senator Partee.

23. SENATOR PARTEE:

24. I just talked to Senator Clarke about House Bill 2215. I'm  
25. making a motion to take it from the Committee and place it on the  
26. order of Second Reading...discharge Committee and place it on  
27. Second Reading. The Illinois Police Training Act.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Is there objection? The leave is granted. We also have  
30. some messages from the House with amendments. I know that Senator  
31. Egan has one. We may have some others when the members are present.  
32. Senator Egan, yours is House Bill, Senate Bill or House Bill?

33. SENATOR EGAN:

1. Senate Bill 915.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Senate Bill 915. Can you explain the House Amendment,  
4. Senator?

5. SENATOR EGAN:

6. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate...

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Just a moment. Let's call off the conferences on the  
9. Floor. Please.

10. SENATOR EGAN:

11. Senate Bill 915 is an increase in salary for associate  
12. judges, that class of judges that were formally magistrates.  
13. The amendment reduced the amount that we passed out of the  
14. Senate to a \$5,000 increase and requires that single county  
15. districts add on to that \$4,500. The amendment is very simple.  
16. That's all they do, and I would ask that a we get a favorable  
17. roll call.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Senator Laughlin.

20. SENATOR LAUGHLIN:

21. Yes. I feel I must say something about this, Mr. President  
22. and members of the Senate. This increase that is provided  
23. is provided for the judges that need it most. Of that, I think  
24. there is no question. I find myself in this position. Last year  
25. the increase provided in the Bill which was passed and vetoed by  
26. the Governor was \$21,500. This increase is \$2,000 in excess of  
27. the one that was provided in the bill in which the Governor  
28. vetoed last year. I've read the Governor's veto message. I  
29. don't know whether he's got this in his budget or whether he  
30. hasn't. But more than this, this Bill, I think, does one or two  
31. other things. Number one, I don't recall and perhaps Senator  
32. Egan can correct me on this, this permits an add-on by Counties  
33. such as DuPage, single district counties, and I don't think that's

1. in the law now, am I right or am I wrong?

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Senator Egan.

4. SENATOR EGAN:

5. Correct. But DuPage is the only other county outside of  
6. Cook.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Senator Laughlin.

9. SENATOR LAUGHLIN:

10. Well, what I'm pointing out is that in our internecine  
11. warfare in the Judicial Advisory Council, that was one thing  
12. that everybody agreed on. That there should be no more ex-  
13. tension of the add-on principle to any other county. I have  
14. one other thing that concerns me greatly and makes this a very  
15. difficult vote for me. And that is that the other day this  
16. Body defeated a Commission bill. However, I am not so naive  
17. as to think there might be another chance somewhere along the  
18. line to vote on such a Commission bill. And that Commission  
19. bill did not address itself to the lowest echelon of the judi-  
20. ciary. It applied only to elected officials. So I am also  
21. concerned that if I vote for the \$23,500 here I have anchored my-  
22. self, and I will find it very difficult not to vote for in-  
23. creases for other judicial offices that might be recommended  
24. over and above the bill which passed last year and which I  
25. voted for and which I'd vote for again. The demands were a  
26. little bit higher. I thought they were petty, not in this  
27. case. Not for the associate judges. But I...we're splintering  
28. the thing, and I think it's wrong. I think the judiciary should  
29. all be handled in one package. I simply want my remarks to go  
30. on the record here because I find it a very difficult matter.  
31. I think for the reasons I've stated, I'll have to vote no, and  
32. I hate to do it because these fellows do deserve some increases.  
33. PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Gilbert.

2. SENATOR GILBERT:

3. Well, I feel the this should all be...the judiciary  
4. should all have the one bill. I agree, though, that this is  
5. the one group that needs an increase more than any other of  
6. the judges. And I hate to see this just in one bill, but if  
7. there's any group that needs an increase it is the associate  
8. judges, in my opinion.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Is there further discussion? Senator Bruce.

11. SENATOR BRUCE:

12. Well, I would like to footnote, perhaps, the Laughlin  
13. comments. That is, I was the sponsor of the judicial pay raise  
14. a year ago. And as you know the Governor changed that sub-  
15. stantially. The one group of people that need the pay raise  
16. are the associate judges. But the amount set forth in the bill  
17. here, Senate Bill 915, is \$2,000 more than was carried a year  
18. ago in my bill. The add-on is the difficulty I see in this  
19. bill. It has been the Judicial Advisory Council's attempts  
20. through the years to remove the add-ons from all counties. The  
21. Appellate judges used to get a Cook County add-on. We, through  
22. raising all the salaries substantially of all Appellate judges  
23. were able to rid ourselves of that add-on. It has been our  
24. hope that we could also do that with Circuit judges, so that  
25. all Circuit judges in Illinois are paid the same rather than  
26. have a, having a add-on in Cook County. Now we are working  
27. toward that goal. We are going to eventually have to attempt to  
28. remove the add-on from the magistrates, who are now the associate  
29. judges. To say at this late date that we should tell DuPage County,  
30. you now have to give an add-on, an additional compensation to  
31. your judges, I think is a backward step, something the Judicial  
32. Advisory Council this year took action on and opposed. I don't  
33. want to say unanimously, I do not remember, but I believe it was

1. unanimous in opposition to continuing and increasing the add-ons  
2. throughout the State. Finally, this is a problem that we have  
3. with the judicial salaries, and that is; they all see themselves  
4. as in one system. And if we give the raise here, next year we  
5. are going to be not just talking about the raise that was carried  
6. in the bill that I had, but a raise \$2,000 more for each judges'  
7. system more than that because they'll say, well, now the magistrates  
8. have got 23,500, you can no longer compensate us at 27,500 or  
9. go to 29,000...We've got to think in terms of 31,000. And the  
10. Appellate judges will say no longer in the terms of 37,500, but  
11. we've got to speak in terms of 39,000 or 40,000. And, obviously,  
12. if you go to 40,000 for the Appellate, the Supreme is going to  
13. say 40,000 is no longer enough for Supremes, we've got to go to  
14. 42,500 or 43,500. It's a question of escalation. Senator  
15. Laughlin and I have spoke about this. It is a bill that is very  
16. hard to vote against, because if anyone needs the pay raise,  
17. it is the now associate judges. They are the ones...the for-  
18. gotten ones that a year ago, they had just gotten tied up  
19. with the add-on and other problems. I wish I could vote for  
20. this and support it, but I cannot.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Senator Knuppel.

23. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

24. I'd like to ask the sponsor a question. I think there's  
25. several of us in here who feel exactly as Senator Bruce does,  
26. that the opening of this up to an additional County will be an  
27. erosion, and it will open the door where these people will  
28. come in. Is there any reason that this couldn't go to a  
29. Conference Committee and get off the add-on and then approve  
30. it from that point?

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Senator Egan.

33. SENATOR EGAN:

Senator Knuppel, the provision to require an add-on in

1. single-district counties affects only one county in Illinois  
2. and will not ever affect any others, that I can see. This is  
3. the only single-district county outside of Cook County, and  
4. that's the way the circuits are drawn. They're drawn in mil-  
5. tiple counties and there's no way that I can figure out, and  
6. I don't think that anyone else can to add on to multiple  
7. county districts. This is going to affect only one county,  
8. and those people in that county want it, and so I would suggest  
9. that we go along with them. It's their provision for their  
10. own county, and that's why I'm asking that we concur in this  
11. amendment.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Very specifically, Senator Knuppel, as far as procedure,  
14. if the Senate nonconcur in the amendment, then it would  
15. automatically go to a conference committee.

16. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

17. Well, I understand. It would have to, I assume, go to a  
18. conference committee. Now I personally feel that all of this is  
19. a very fine explanation but it doesn't answer the question. It  
20. answers the question that I asked. Yes. But, I went through the  
21. Constitutional Convention and I heard all of this by these judges  
22. saying that there shouldn't be any add-on in Chicago that didn't  
23. also extend to all the judges downstate. It was discrimination.  
24. That the judges downstate held the same jobs, they did the same  
25. work, etc. etc. And I'm sure, from what I've seen while I've  
26. been here, in this Body, that opening the door leads to further  
27. erosion. I don't want to open that door. I want to vote a pay  
28. raise for associate judges. They are very deserving. I sup-  
29. ported the bill to give them a pay increase last year and against  
30. overriding the Governor's veto. I'm very much in sympathy with  
31. their plight because they have no tenure. They serve at the will  
32. of the Circuit Court for a certain specified term. Often they  
33. have more experience than the men who even appoint them, and

1. often are very able. They handle the most distasteful type of  
2. judicial work, but I cannot see why that anyone has to submit  
3. to something that's completely unpalatable. In other words, if  
4. I like meat, I don't see why I have to eat arsenic with it. And  
5. I can't see the necessity for having an add-on for DuPage  
6. County. When you say those people want it, you're not talking  
7. about the voter, you're talking about the legislator or the  
8. judges. Now which is it? Could you answer that?

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Senator Egan.

11. SENATOR EGAN:

12. Well, I can't speak for them, Senator Knuppel. I can tell  
13. you that that's how the amendment got on the bill. It got on  
14. by the people who represent the people.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Senator Knuppel.

17. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

18. Well, then it would be the legislators, I assume that stated  
19. they wanted it, and I didn't know whether you meant the legis-  
20. lators or the judges. That's how I feel about it and I am on  
21. the horns of a dilemma at this moment as to how to vote, because  
22. I personally don't feel that this Body should concur in this amend-  
23. ment with the add-on for the additional County because I think  
24. that it opens door to next year, not merely a reasonable increase,  
25. which I think the judges after this lapse of time may very well  
26. be entitled to. But it opens the door to the argument for the  
27. additional \$7,500 for every one of the Circuit judges in addition  
28. to a \$2,000 or \$3,000 pay increase. And I think we have to fight  
29. this type of insidious thing, even right here and now. I think  
30. that if we refuse to concur in the amendment that then the matter  
31. will go to a Conference Committee and can be taken off. And not  
32. because I am opposing associate judges pay increase, but because  
33. I oppose the other, and if I can get it off, I'll vote for the



1. pay increase. I'm going to have to vote no.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Senator Rock.

4. SENATOR ROCK:

5. Yes. Mr. President and members of the Senate, I rise  
6. in support of this bill. I too, like Senators Knuppel and  
7. Bruce and Laughlin would rather that the House had not put  
8. on the DuPage County add-on. However, I think that we are  
9. all practical realists, if that's the way the bill, if that...  
10. is my understanding is the only way the bill got out of that  
11. House. Now if we refuse to concur and try to iron it out  
12. we are going to get nowhere. The fact of the matter is that  
13. you and I both know and Senator Laughlin well knows that the  
14. first order of business in the 78th General Assembly is going  
15. to be Judicial redistricting, and I think this question of  
16. add-ons as well as single county districts or not is included  
17. in that matter, so I don't think we need concern ourselves  
18. about whether or not DuPage, or Lake, or McHenry, or Will or  
19. anybody else can have an add-on. That whole matter is going to  
20. be reviewed as a top priority item by both the Judicial Advisory  
21. Council and this Body first order of business next January. So  
22. as a practical matter, gentlemen, these judges are entitled to  
23. this money and if we have to eat a little crow and worry about  
24. some ancillary problem, let's do it. I urge an aye vote.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Senator Walker.

27. SENATOR WALKER:

28. Thank you, Mr. President, Senators. For a number of years,  
29. in answer to Senator Knuppel's question, I have espoused the  
30. cause of giving the judges the salaries that I feel  
31. that they are entitled to. The present salary of \$18,500, there  
32. isn't a lawyer in this chamber that I would estimate that doesn't  
33. make twice as much as that in private practice. These judges are

1. on the bench, every decision they make they please someone  
2. and they displease someone. I can't see where \$23,500 is  
3. too much to pay the judges, especially those in suburban  
4. Cook County. They put in a full day there, they go from  
5. court to court, like the old circuit riders. I am going to  
6. urge the Senators on my side of the aisle to support this.  
7. Thank you.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Egan may close the discussion. Just a moment,  
10. Senator Merritt.

11. SENATOR MERRITT:

12. Just ... just one brief question, if I may, Senator Egan.  
13. Although my digest showed it might have been subject to the  
14. President's wage freeze, I am wondering in my own mind when you  
15. go from \$18,500 to \$23,500, that's approximately what ... twenty  
16. five percent or more, how do you circumvent that, or aren't they  
17. subject?

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Senator Egan.

20. SENATOR EGAN:

21. This classification of judges were formerly magistrates.  
22. They are what is considered a step raise, a promotion, and they  
23. are exempt specifically by the regulations, within a year. That's  
24. why we have to get this done now by July 1st, because after a  
25. year a promotion without a pay raise is no longer considered a  
26. promotion. But this is exempt.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Merritt. Senator Egan may close the debate.

29. SENATOR EGAN:

30. Just a word on the add-on for DuPage County to allay those  
31. fears that have been voiced. This is the only county that it  
32. affects, that there are ... we tried several different ways to  
33. figure out a method of doing it for the other counties and no one

1. could come up with the answer. I don't think it's possible  
2. and as Senator Rock points out, this whole problem will be  
3. solved, I am sure in the next Session. I ask for a favorable  
4. roll call.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Secretary will call the roll.

7. SECRETARY:

8. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier,  
9. Carroll, Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson,  
10. Donnewald, Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen, Hall,  
11. Harris, Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer,...

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Senator Horsley.

14. SENATOR HORSLEY:

15. I had been called to the telephone and I didn't hear all  
16. of this and I want to make sure that I cast the proper vote on  
17. this bill. As I understand, the way this bill stands now it in-  
18. volves only the associate judges and gives them a \$5,000 raise  
19. with no add-on or distinction between Cook County and downstate.  
20. Is that correct?

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Senator Egan.

23. SENATOR EGAN:

24. That's partially correct, Senator. Now in DuPage County  
25. and in Cook, they have provided for an add-on.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Senator Horsley.

28. SENATOR HORSLEY:

29. There ... there is no other judges getting a raise in this  
30. except the associate judges and that's the effect of the bill if  
31. we adopt the amendment as come over from the House. I vote aye.

32. SECRETARY:

33. Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Kusibab, Latherow,

1. Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Mohr,  
2. Neistein, Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee,  
3. Rock, Romano, Rosander, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Soper,  
4. Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Rock, aye: For what purpose does Senator Dougherty arise?

7. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:

8. When you announced the roll call I was just going to ...

9. PRESIDENT:

10. No, no, no, no ... Oh, I see, proceed I thought you said ...

11. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:

12. I address myself to Senator Clarke and Senator Partee, I  
13. have spoken to both of them. I am now moving to take House Bills  
14. ...

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Hold off ... just a second. On this question, on this  
17. question, the yeas are 35, the nays are 3, one present. The  
18. Senate concurs in the House amendment. Senator Chew moves to  
19. reconsider, Senator Kosinski moves to Table. All in favor of  
20. the motion to Table, signify by saying aye, contrary minded ...  
21. motion to Table prevails. Senator Dougherty.

22. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:

23. Mr. President and members of the Senate, what I am trying  
24. to say is this: Senate Bill 1130, which left this Body and is  
25. now over in the House, has been revived this morning. It's on  
26. the Order of 2nd Reading over there. Now if this bill should  
27. pass over there, we must pass three bills to implement it that  
28. are now on the Table in this House. I have discussed with  
29. Senator Partee and Senator Clarke, and they are agreeable. I  
30. move to take House Bills 4331, 4332, and 4333 from the Table and  
31. place them on the Order of 2nd Reading.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Is there objection? Leave is granted. Now we have ...

HB 1440  
Reconsiders the Vote  
6-23-72

1. We might as well proceed ... Senator Cherry.

2. SENATOR CHERRY:

3. Yesterday, Mr. President, we adopted an amendment to  
4. House Bill 4614, and having voted on the prevailing side, I  
5. move to reconsider the vote by which this amendment was passed.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. The ... just a second ... this is Senator Weaver's bill.  
8. Senator Weaver will have to call it back to 2nd Reading if he  
9. wishes to do so. Senator Weaver calls House Bill 4614 back  
10. to 2nd Reading for purpose of amendment. Senator Cherry moves  
11. to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 1 was adopted.  
12. Is there any discussion? All in favor of the motion to reconsider  
13. indicate by saying aye ... contrary minded. It is reconsidered.  
14. Those in favor of the motion to Table by Senator Cherry, indicate  
15. by saying aye, contrary minded. Motion to Table prevails. The  
16. bill will go back to 3rd Reading. Senator Gilbert.

17. SENATOR GILBERT:

18. I have discussed this matter with Senator Saperstein, Senator  
19. Hynes, Senator Partee and Senator Clarke. This is the School  
20. Problems Commission formula which is in House Bill 4465, which is  
21. in committee. I now move to discharge committee and have the bill  
22. placed on 2nd Reading.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Is there objection? The leave is granted. What was the  
25. number on that?

26. SENATOR GILBERT:

27. 4465.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. 4465. Senator Vadalabene, you indicated you had a motion.

30. SENATOR VADALABENE:

31. Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Having  
32. voted on the prevailing side on Senate Bill 1440... or House Bill  
33. 1440, I now move that the vote on which that bill was taken be

HB 1440  
6/23/72

1. reconsidered. House Bill 1440.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. The motion is to reconsider the vote by which House Bill  
4. 1440, sponsored by Senator Berning, was defeated. Is there  
5. discussion on that motion? The Secretary will call the roll.  
6. It requires 30 votes.

7. SECRETARY:

8. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier,  
9. Carroll, Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson,  
10. Donnewald, Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen, Hall,  
11. Harris, Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,  
12. Latherow, Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler,  
13. Mohr, Neistein, Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer,  
14. Partee, Rock, Romano, Rosander, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith,  
15. Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Senator Merritt, aye. Carpentier, aye. Johns, aye. Mohr,  
18. aye. Palmer, aye. On that question, the yeas are 39, the nays  
19. are none. The bill having received the necessary 30 votes for  
20. reconsideration, it is reconsidered. Is it acceptable to use  
21. that same roll call then on the same bill for passage? All right,  
22. Senator Knuppel will be voted in the affirmative on passage.  
23. The ... we will proceed to ... the next bill on ... House Bills  
24. on Third Reading, 4606, Senator Graham. Senator Graham is recognized

25. SENATOR GRAHAM:

26. Mr. President, 4606 bill is put in as protection against  
27. a possibility of it being deleted from the omnibus bill. I  
28. hear it is still on so we will just pass it for the time being.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. All right. Senator Knuepfer advises me that 4210 that  
31. was advanced to Third Reading, they have an agreed amendment  
32. on now. 4210 is brought back to Second Reading for purpose of  
33. amendment. Senator Knuepfer is recognized.

1. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

2. We ... Tabled two committee amendments the other day  
3. after the committee had approved the amendments. We discussed  
4. it further and agreed to Table those two amendments. We have  
5. now reached an agreement which is suitable to the Democratic  
6. Task Force, and I don't know whether these become ... we  
7. Tabled 1 and 2, I suppose these become 3 and 4 at this point  
8. in time, and I would then move ... these are reductions in  
9. the higher education package ... and I would move the adoption  
10. of Amendments No. 3 and 4.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (Senator O'Brien)

12. Any discussion on Amendment No. 3?

13. SECRETARY:

14. Yeah, they put them in the basket there.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (Senator O'Brien)

16. There are two amendments. No discussion on Amendment No.  
17. 3. All those in favor signify by saying aye. All those opposed.  
18. Amendment is adopted. Amendment No. 4, Senator Knuepfer.

19. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

20. Well that's another reduction. It just took two amendments  
21. to do it ...

22. PRESIDENT:

23. All those in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 4, signify  
24. by saying aye. Opposed nay. Amendment is adopted. Third Reading.  
25. Next bill for intervening business, and then we will come back to  
26. that Senator, is 4622, Senator Davidson. Is Senator Davidson on  
27. the Floor? 4623, Senator Latherow.

28. SENATOR LATHEROW:

29. Yes, Mr. President. This is a bill that helps complete  
30. a beef evaluation station of Western Illinois University. The  
31. building is there with nothing in sight. I suppose you'd call  
32. this a one-shot affair, and I'd add to that for your interest  
33. that this might be called a bull bill. In this building they

1. will house about 80 bulls for performance testing. It's a  
2. part of the University's program, but those people who have  
3. animals at this station will pay for their keep and they will  
4. also pay so much per head for their time while there. It  
5. will be a piece of information that will be of no cost to the  
6. State of Illinois whatever other than the filling of the salt  
7. areas, and so on as we are doing with this bill. I would  
8. appreciate favorable consideration, Mr. President.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (Senator O'Brien)

10. Is there any discussion on House Bill 4623? If not,  
11. Secretary will call the roll. Senator Baltz.

12. SENATOR BALTZ:

13. Mr. ... Mr. President, I have a question of the sponsor,  
14. if he will respond.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (Senator O'Brien)

16. Senator Latherow.

17. SENATOR LATHEROW:

18. I'd like, Mr. President...

19. SENATOR BALTZ:

20. I ... I haven't asked the question yet. The question is  
21. this.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (Senator O'Brien)

23. Senator Latherow.

24. SENATOR LATHEROW:

25. I think he asked if I would respond. Now I want to find  
26. out what the question is first, and then I will determine whether  
27. I will respond.

28. SENATOR BALTZ:

29. Well, Senator Latherow, this is strictly for the bulls as  
30. I have heard you describe the bill. There will be no other  
31. animals there. I want to know what the bulls will be doing while  
32. they are reclining in these deluxe accommodations.

33. PRESIDENT:



1. Senator Latherow.

2. SENATOR LATHEROW:

3. Well, I suppose, Senator Baltz, if I answered yours somewhat  
4. in the line of thinking that you may have, I'd say they're  
5. waiting on tomorrow night.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Is there further discussion? Secretary will call the roll.

8. SECRETARY:

9. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier,  
10. Carroll, Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course,  
11. Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham,  
12. Groen, Hall, Harris, Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel,  
13. Kosinski, Kusibab, Latherow, Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy,  
14. Merritt, Mitchler, Mohr, Neistein, Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien,  
15. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Rock, . . . Rock . . .

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Senator Partee.

18. SENATOR PARTEE:

19. In explaining my vote I'd like to say, Senator, I under-  
20. stand that when things are built, they have to be equipped. I  
21. have that same problem in my district about equipping something.  
22. I'm voting aye.

23. SECRETARY:

24. . . . Romano, Rosander, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Soper,  
25. Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Hall aye. While that's . . . While the Secretary is  
28. tabulating that, Senator Kosinski wants to be added to the  
29. roll call on the . . . the . . . on, on the . . . All right.  
30. Senator Kosinski wants to be added to the roll call on Senator  
31. Egan's bill confirming the House amendment. Leave is granted.  
32. Senator Latherow asks for a call of . . . poll of the absentees.

33.

1. SENATOR LATHEROW:  
2. Right.  
3. PRESIDENT:  
4. The absentees will be called.  
5. SECRETARY:  
6. Arrington, Berning, Bidwill, Carpentier, Carroll, Cherry,  
7. Chew, Collins, Coulson, Davidson, Fawell, Graham, Groen, Harris,  
8. Horsley, Johns, Knuepfer, Latherow, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy,  
9. Mohr, Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga, Rosander, Savickas,  
10. Smith, Swinarski.  
11. PRESIDENT:  
12. Senator Savickas.  
13. SENATOR SAVICKAS:  
14. How am I recorded on that?  
15. PRESIDENT:  
16. You are not.  
17. SENATOR SAVICKAS:  
18. Uh . . . I'd like to ask the sponsor a question.  
19. PRESIDENT:  
20. The sponsor, I think, will yield. Senator Latherow, will  
21. you yield to a question? He indicates he will, Senator.  
22. SENATOR SAVICKAS:  
23. I understand this bill is for 14 thousand 6 hundred dollars  
24. to construct a beef evaluation station, and I'd like to know  
25. if this is in the Governor's budget, or if this is a budgeted  
26. item.  
27. PRESIDENT:  
28. Senator Latherow.  
29. SENATOR LATHEROW:  
30. Senator Savickas, the Governor will sign the bill.  
31. PRESIDENT:  
32. Senator Savickas.  
33.

1. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

2. Well, I can't understand then why this wasn't included in  
3. his budget. Evidently many of the people on that side of the  
4. aisle oppose legislation that does not conform or fit into the  
5. Governor's original budget. I think this should be considered  
6. from our membership on the other side of the aisle instead of  
7. embarrassing the Governor by providing appropriations that he  
8. may have to sign that are not in his budget, that we should  
9. hold these . . . these type of bills.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. You don't have any other legislation in mind, Senator?  
12. Senator Latherow.

13. SENATOR LATHEROW:

14. Well, I'd just like to say, we, in the field of agriculture,  
15. have some problems with those people in, especially, higher  
16. education to have them to be able to recognize what our needs  
17. are. Now, I have failed to say, probably, that this is the  
18. only, or will be if we get this okayed, the only station in  
19. the State of Illinois. Iowa has one, and it will be a benefit  
20. to the University and a benefit to the beef growers who will  
21. pay the cost of the operation after this.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. On that question the yeas are 36; the nays are none.  
24. The bill having received a constitutional majority is declared  
25. passed. 4210, Senator Knuepfer. Senator Knuepfer. 4210.

26. SENATOR KNUEPPER:

27. Uh . . . This, now, then, is the budget for the Board of  
28. Higher Education, and I would appreciate a favorable roll call  
29. unless somebody has any questions.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Is there any discussion? Secretary will call the roll.

32. SECRETARY:

33. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier, Carroll,

1. Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson,  
2. Donnewald, Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen,  
3. Hall, Harris, Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,  
4. Kusibab, Latherow, Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt,  
5. Mitchler, Mohr, Neistein, Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga,  
6. Palmer, Partee, Rock, Romano, Rosander, Saperstein, Savickas,  
7. Smith, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Palmer aye. Swinarski aye. Neistein aye. On that question  
10. the yeas are 35; the nays are none. The bill having received  
11. a constitutional majority is declared passed. The next bills  
12. to be called are: 4636, Senator Mitchler; 4656 and that  
13. series down through 59, by Senator Graham; and 4661 by Senator  
14. Latherow. 4636, Senator Mitchler.

15. SENATOR MITCHLER:

16. Mr. President, members of the Senate, this is the appropriation  
17. for the Water Pollution Water Resources Commission. It's been  
18. cleared out of committee. I know of no objections, and I'd  
19. appreciate a favorable vote.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Is there any discussion? The Secretary will call the  
22. roll.

23. SECRETARY:

24. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, . . .

25. PRESIDENT:

26. For what purpose does Senator Partee arise?

27. SENATOR PARTEE:

28. I was just going to suggest they hold this one.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Uh . . . Senator Mitchler . . . We'll suspend . . .  
31. Technically, we're on roll call, but we'll suspend the rules  
32. and hold the bill. Senator Graham, that series. Can we have  
33. one roll call? No, no. They're not the same. I see. 4656,

1. Senator Graham.

2. SENATOR GRAHAM:

3. Yes, Mr. President, members of the Senate, this series of  
4. bills, as well as another series that are over here, are the  
5. bills constructed for the transition of the Auditor of Public  
6. Accounts and Comptroller this year. I hear there are two  
7. sets going down, if my information is correct, and the second  
8. floor will make up their minds, so the ones we can have the  
9. same roll call on, I would appreciate it.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Is there objection to having one roll call on the  
12. four bills? Senator Bruce. Senator Bruce.

13. SENATOR BRUCE:

14. Senator Graham, that was not my understanding at the  
15. present time. If you could hold this, perhaps I can get  
16. together with you and . . .

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Senator Graham.

19. SENATOR GRAHAM:

20. I'm not going to jump out the third floor window in  
21. any event. I'll be glad to.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. The bills will be held. 4661, Senator Latherow. Is  
24. Senator Latherow on the Floor? 4661.

25. SENATOR LATHEROW:

26. Mr. President, this is another real good bill, and you  
27. people from up in the Northeastern section are really interested  
28. in this. This affects the International Livestock Exposition.  
29. The Livestock Exposition itself has been furnishing most of  
30. the funds for premiums for this fair--the premium moneys and  
31. so forth--and the breeders have been furnishing the rest.  
32. Now in the last year, this association has failed to make  
33. enough profit in order to pay this substantive amount that is

1. necessary. Now we're asking to take from the Horse Racing Act,  
2. the premium funds necessary for 40 thousand dollars to cover  
3. premiums and expenses. Now the figures on this that this to  
4. the State of Illinois will be a profitmaking deal, if not a  
5. break even. The Chicago Association of Commerce says that this  
6. show in itself will generate 8 million dollars in fresh money; that  
7. is, sales to the area in which this Exposition is held. That in  
8. itself will yield back to the State of Illinois 40 thousand  
9. dollars. Now this has great support from the livestock industry  
10. in the State of Illinois and I think it is probably one of the  
11. outstanding Expositions that are held in the country, and I would  
12. appreciate a favorable roll call, Mr. President.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Is there any disucssion? Secretary will call the roll.

15. SECRETARY:

16. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier,  
17. Carroll, Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson,  
18. Donnewald, Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen, Hall,  
19. Harris, Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,  
20. Kusibab, Latherow, Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt,  
21. Mitchler, Mohr, Neistein, Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga,  
22. Palmer, Partee...

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Palmer.

25. SENATOR PALMER:

26. The sponsor a question here. Mr. Senator Latherow, you say  
27. there's an appropriation here for \$40 thousand. Does that come  
28. from the General Fund or this Agricultural Premium Fund.

29. SENATOR LATHEROW:

30. Agricultural Premium Fund. Yes, sir.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Senator Palmer.

33.

1. SENATOR PALMER:

2. Does the bill so provide?

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Senator Latherow.

5. SENATOR LATHEROW:

6. Yes. Yes, sir.

7. SECRETARY:

8. . . . Rock, Romano, Rosander, Saperstein, Savickas,  
9. Smith, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Merritt aye. Mitchler aye. Smith aye. On that question  
12. the yeas are 32; the nays are none. The bill having received  
13. a constitutional majority is declared passed. We have one  
14. additional conference committee report. I think, Senator Bruce,  
15. this is your bill. 4270 that we have . . . has been examined  
16. by the leadership on both sides. Senator Bruce.

17. SENATOR BRUCE:

18. Yes, Mr. President, 4270 is the bill that granted the  
19. power of eminent domain to cities outside their corporate  
20. limits. We had some difficulty with the City of Naperville  
21. and some problems of a pending law suit, and what the conference  
22. committee report does is add a section to the bill which  
23. excludes cities in counties having a population over 400 thousand  
24. dollars so there's no possible impact on that pending lawsuit  
25. in Naperville.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Is there any discussion? The Secretary will call the  
28. roll. The question is the adoption of the conference committee  
29. report on House Bill 4270.

30. SECRETARY:

31. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier,  
32. Carroll, Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course,  
33. Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham,

1. Groen, Hall, Harris, Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel,  
2. Kosinski, Kusibab, Latherow, Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy,  
3. Merritt, Mitchler, Mohr, Neistein, Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien,  
4. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Rock, Romano, Rosander, Saperstein,  
5. Savickas, Smith, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,  
6. Weaver.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Hall aye. Hynes aye. Egan aye. Berning aye. On that  
9. question the yeas are 32; the nays are none. The conference  
10. committee report is accepted. We have another conference  
11. committee report. It has been examined by the leadership on  
12. both sides. It is the . . . Senator Dougherty, on House  
13. Bill 3619. I believe that is your bill. Is that correct?

14. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:

15. Yes. I haven't seen the report yet.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Well, the Chair has a copy here. It has been examined  
18. by your leadership and the leadership on the other side. We'll  
19. just hold off on the motion on that until you've had a chance to  
20. see the report. The next bills on the Calendar are: 4663,  
21. Senator Gilbert; 4668, Senator Fawell; 4669, Senator Rock;  
22. 4670, Senator Clarke; and 4671, Senator Clarke. Senator Gilbert,  
23. Do you want to bring up 4663? All right. 4668 and 9 are to  
24. be held. 4670, Senator Clarke, do you want to . . . Hold.  
25. The next . . . On the next page, the following bills are priority,  
26. and I assume those first two bills are a continuation of those  
27. others there, Senator Clarke. 4674, Senator Bruce; 4675, Senator  
28. Bruce; 4676, Senator Groen; 4677, Senator Par . . . Well, every-  
29. thing on that page, House Bills on 3rd Reading, is first priority.  
30. Is Senator Bruce . . . Hold. 4677, Senator Partee? 4677.

31. SENATOR PARTEE:

32. Yes. A favorable roll call, please.

33.



1. PRESIDENT:
2. Is there any discussion? Secretary will call the roll.
3. SECRETARY:
4. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier,
5. Carroll, Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course,
6. Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham,
7. Groen, Hall, Harris, Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel,
8. Kosinski, Kusibab, Latherow, Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy,
9. Merritt, Mitchler, Mohr, Neistein, Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien,
10. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Rock, Romano, Rosander, Saperstein,
11. Savickas, Smith, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,
12. Weaver.
13. PRESIDENT:
14. Newhouse aye. Hall aye. On that question the yeas are 34;
15. the nays are none. The bill having received a constitutional
16. majority is declared passed. 4678, Senator Berning. Hold.
17. 4679. You want to hold that, Senator Rock? Senator Rock.
18. SENATOR ROCK:
19. I wonder if I might have a leave to apply the same roll
20. call as applied to Senate Bill 1475. That would save some time
21. anyway.
22. PRESIDENT:
23. 4685, Senator Egan. Senator Egan.
24. SENATOR EGAN:
25. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. We needed
26. a separate bill for the appropriation on the state's attorneys'
27. raise, and I'd ask for a favorable roll call.
28. PRESIDENT:
29. Is there any discussion? The Secretary will call the roll.
30. SECRETARY:
31. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier,
32. Carroll, Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson,
33. Donnewald, Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen,

1. Hall, Harris, Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,  
2. Kusibab, Latherow, Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt,  
3. Mitchler, Mohr, Neistein, Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga,  
4. Palmer, Partee, Rock, Romano, Rosander, Saperstein, Savickas,  
5. Smith, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Saperstein aye. On that question the yeas are 33; the  
8. nays are none. The bill having received a constitutional  
9. majority is declared passed. We have some resolutions. We  
10. have a message from the House. Senator Laughlin.

11. SENATOR LAUGHLIN:

12. Yes, Mr. President. I would like the Journal to show  
13. that Senator Collins is absent today because, of course, he  
14. wants to attend his daughter's wedding.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. The Journal will so show. Senator Nihill?

17. SENATOR NIHILL:

18. Uh . . . Mr. President, Senators, I have a resolution  
19. on the Secretary's Desk. Will you please read the resolution?  
20. Congratulatory.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. The Secretary will read the resolution.

23. SECRETARY:

24. (Senate Resolution No. 387 is read.)

25. PRESIDENT:

26. All Senators can be shown as co-sponsors. All in favor  
27. of the adoption of the resolution indicate by saying aye.  
28. Contrary minded. The resolution is adopted. We have a number  
29. of resolutions, as well as one from the House. Before we proceed  
30. to the other resolutions, we . . . we're not . . . the conference  
31. committee report on House Bill 3619 that was mentioned before.  
32. We're apparently ready on that. Senator Dougherty.

33.

1. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:  
2. I've joined with Senator Walker in calling the bill . . .  
3. No . . . You mean 3619?  
4. PRESIDENT:  
5. That's correct.  
6. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:  
7. I move we concur.  
8. PRESIDENT:  
9. The motion is to accept . . .  
10. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:  
11. That's right.  
12. PRESIDENT:  
13. . . . the conference committee report. Can you give us  
14. a very brief . . .  
15. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:  
16. It's mostly language changes necessary. That's all they  
17. really are. It's in . . .  
18. PRESIDENT:  
19. It . . .  
20. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:  
21. He's the sponsor of the bill.  
22. PRESIDENT:  
23. Senator Laughlin.  
24. SENATOR LAUGHLIN:  
25. Yes, I've looked over this one on behalf of our side and it  
26. just does clean up language. It has no substantive effect at  
27. all.  
28. PRESIDENT:  
29. The Secretary will call the roll.  
30. SECRETARY:  
31. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier, Carroll,  
32. Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson, Donnewald,  
33. Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen, Hall, Harris,

1. Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Kusibab,  
2. Latherow, Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler,  
3. Mohr, Neistein, Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer,  
4. Partee, Rock, Romano, Rosander, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith,  
5. Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Vadalabene aye. O'Brien aye. Hynes aye. Kosinski aye.  
8. Mitchler aye. Swinarski aye. Neistein aye. Knuepfer aye.  
9. On that question the yeas are 35. The nays are none. The  
10. conference committee report is accepted by the Senate. We have  
11. a number of resolutions.

12. SECRETARY:

13. Senate Resolution 388, introduced by Senator Graham. He's  
14. not on the Floor, so put it on the Consent Calendar.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Congratulatory. Let's put it on the Secretary's Desk.  
17. Senator Graham is not here right now. Senator Sours?

18. SENATOR SOURS:

19. Are you getting into House Joint Resolutions yet? I have  
20. one on the Secretary's Desk.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. We'll get to it very shortly. We're on those that have  
23. just been introduced. Just put that on the Secretary's Desk.

24. SECRETARY:

25. Senate Resolution 389, 390, and 391 and 392, introduced  
26. by Senator Mitchler and all Senators, and the one is Senator  
27. Weaver and Senator Mitchler; and they're all congratulatory.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. All in favor of the adoption of the resolutions indicate  
30. by saying aye. Contrary minded. The resolutions are adopted.  
31. All right. We have a Death Resolution. That'll come a little  
32. later. We have a . . . Senator Sours has House Joint Resolution  
33. 34 on the Secretary's Desk. Senator Sours.

1. SENATOR SOURS:

2. Mr. President, Senators, this resolution would simply  
3. memorialize the fact that there ought to remain, at least,  
4. a satellite animal diagnostic laboratory in the City of  
5. Peoria. You may remember last year, gentlemen, the big diagnostic  
6. laboratory is going to Kewanee in the district of Senator  
7. Davidson. That's all this does. It also suggests, however,  
8. that there be other satellite laboratories in Centralia, also  
9. one in Pike County, one in Henry County, and one in the Northern  
10. part of the State.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Senator Latherow.

13. SENATOR LATHEROW:

14. Mr. President, I might want to suggest to Senator Sours  
15. that we don't intend for that one in Henry County to be a  
16. satellite. We intend for that to be one and the others the  
17. satellites.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Is . . . Senator Rock.

20. SENATOR ROCK:

21. Senator, it seems . . . If my memory serves me now, I'm  
22. not . . . It seems to me that we deleted the appropriation for  
23. that animal laboratory at Kewanee. Now I don't know what's  
24. happened since or who . . . to whom is this resolution addressed?  
25. To whom does it memorialize? The Department of Agriculture?

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Senator Sours.

28. SENATOR SOURS:

29. I'll have . . . I'll have to read it. Do you have time  
30. for that? It's not too long. (Senator Sours reads House  
31. Joint Resolution 34.) That's all it does, Senator.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Senator Rock.

1. SENATOR ROCK:

2. Well, it seems to me that this Senate at least has preempted the  
3. Department of Agriculture in that regard because we specifically  
4. deleted that line item calling for land acquisition in placement  
5. of this plant in Kewanee. We did it two years in a row as far  
6. as I can remember.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Senator Sours.

9. SENATOR SOURS:

10. I didn't hear that.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Senator Rock.

13. SENATOR ROCK:

14. Well, there was a line item of appropriation in the Department  
15. of Agriculture's appropriation bill calling for the construction of  
16. this facility at Kewanee. In the last fiscal year we deleted that  
17. out of the budget much to the disgruntlement of the Department and  
18. this fiscal year we did the same thing.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Senator Sours.

21. SENATOR SOURS:

22. Apparently the House put that back in, Senator.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Rock...I wonder if we can just let this Resolution  
25. rest another day or two till this appropriation thing gets resolved.  
26. It is already on the Secretary's Desk so we're just going to let it...  
27. We have an adjournment Resolution, let's take that.

28. SECRETARY:

29. Message from the House, Mr. Selcke, Clerk. Mr. President - I  
30. am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives  
31. has adopted the following Joint Resolution in the adoption of which  
32. I am instructed to ask concurrence of the Senate to-wit: House Joint  
33. Resolution 147. Resolved by the House of Representatives, 77th

1. General Assembly, State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein,  
2. that when the two Houses adjourn on Friday, June 23, 1972 they stand  
3. adjourned until Monday June 26, 1972 at 1:00 p.m. Adopted by the  
4. House, June the 23rd.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Senator Clarke moves the adoption of the Resolution. All...  
7. Senator Partee.

8. SENATOR PARTEE:

9. I'm sorry. Is that the one that calls for adjournment today  
10. and return on Monday at 1:00 o'clock?

11. PRESIDENT:

12. That is correct.

13. SENATOR PARTEE:

14. Leave.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary minded.  
17. Resolution is adopted. We have a death Resolution. We also have  
18. one announcement, yes.

19. SECRETARY:

20. Pursuant to Senate Resolution 311, Senator Partee appoints  
21. the following members to the Committee created therein: Senators  
22. Vadalabene, Neistein, Graham and Carroll. Senate Resolution 393  
23. introduced by Senator Carpentier and its a death Resolution.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Senator Carpentier.

26. SENATOR CARPENTIER:

27. Mr. President, I move for the suspension of the rules for  
28. the immediate adoption of this Resolution.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. It's a death Resolution. All in favor please rise. Resolution  
31. is adopted. Senator Partee moves that the Senate stands adjourned  
32. until 1:00 o'clock on Monday. All in favor signify by saying aye.  
33. Contrary minded. Senate stands adjourned.