

77th GENERAL ASSEMBLY

SENATE FLOOR DEBATE

APRIL 18, 1972

1. CHAPLAIN: Reverend Robert Sprigg. Pastor of Christ the  
2. King Church of Springfield.

3. (trouble with the tape recorder)

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Messages from the House. Senator ParTEE.

6. SENATOR PARTEE:

7. I'd like the attention of the membership on this  
8. question, because you might be asking questions about it later  
9. and if you'll just listen for a moment, maybe you'll make  
10. an explanation. Last week we made the announcement in the  
11. Senate that we were going to try to compress in this  
12. Session our attention to those bills that related to four  
13. categories. There seems to be a great deal of apprehension,  
14. misunderstanding and almost hostility in the House about  
15. that concept, and certainly all of you know that I certainly  
16. did not mean, in any way, to stress them with any kind  
17. of concepts that was, in their view, unfortunate. But we  
18. tried to do what we thought was in the best interest of  
19. handling the business that had presented itself to us.  
20. I for one had been very much in . . . in the mind of joining  
21. the House and Senate in many commissions, as you know, last  
22. year, in reference to reapportionment and other measures, and  
23. I believe that the House and Senate must work in accord if  
24. we're to do our work. But because of these misapprehensions  
25. and things, I'm going to just tell you what we have done after  
26. a conference with the House. Perhaps Senator Graham would  
27. agree that in my or his remarks we don't want to make any  
28. economy or provision between these two Houses and we have in a  
29. measure reevaluated the rather strong position we took last week  
30. and we are now saying this. First that the emphasis in this  
31. Session will still be given to appropriation, revenue, constitu-  
32. tional implementation and administrative measures, and that no  
33. measure now pending on the Senate Calendar or in a Senate committee

1. will be ignored or not heard, unless it is not presented and  
2. not called by the Senate sponsor and that new measures introduced  
3. in this abbreviated Session, which come to the Senate after  
4. passage from the House will be considered, but only after the  
5. priority measures have been dealt with and in order to facilitate  
6. the hearing of these new measures introduced in this Session,  
7. we strongly urge you to notify...this...is going to the House...we  
8. ask you to notify the Senate sponsors to either call their bills  
9. or to take them off the Calendar to make the appropriate room  
10. on the Calendar for these new measures. Now, in light of this,  
11. I would suggest, to the members of the Senate, that those bills,  
12. which you desire which, are not within those four categories,  
13. nonetheless be introduced if you desire it, but they will  
14. be and stand next to the priority items and when we have completed  
15. the priority items we'll get to get to these bills in the interven-  
16. ing period. If there is any lull in the handling of those priority  
17. items, where time is appropriate, we'll adjust ourselves to  
18. them. But the first thing I would ask, is, that many of the  
19. bills you will find on the Calendar on House Bills which you  
20. are sponsors of, which bills no longer need passing, or they  
21. were companion measures to House Bills which have already been  
22. passed and signed into law. So, what we'll have to do first,  
23. it seems to me, is for Senate sponsors to make certain that  
24. the bills that you are now sponsoring are actually wanted by  
25. the House sponsors and those that are not, let's clear them from  
26. the Calendar..ah..by your suggesting to your leadership on either  
27. side, which bills you do not want to call, so we can put them  
28. in a package, take them off the Calendar and make appropriate  
29. rules for those Bills that the membership now desires to be  
30. heard. Are there any questions about that? I'd be happy to  
31. answer them.

1. Senator Clarke.

2. SENATOR CLARKE:

3. I'd just like to make a point here in raising the ques-  
4. tion to the President pro tempore, that in the light of  
5. this...ah...relaxation...to a certain extent...ah...it means  
6. in effect doesn't it that our members, several members got  
7. up, while Senator Fawell isn't here at the moment, indicated  
8. that...ah...he had a bill...or he raised the question of  
9. a Senator who had a problem in his district and House members  
10. are going to introduce a Bill and...ah...get some publicity  
11. that he might not get, or that he might want to follow through  
12. himself and maybe you should speak to that point in terms  
13. of...ah in the...next week...and...ah what it means in terms  
14. of introduction of Bills.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Senator Partee.

17. SENATOR PARTEE:

18. Yes, ah...what I have just said would be embracive of  
19. that concept and if a Senate member has a bill, that Bill  
20. should go in certainly this week or no week, so that it  
21. would be on the list of those Bills to be considered as  
22. far as measures have been...ah...considered and...ah...under  
23. the circumstances, of course, the Rules Committee would  
24. admit one of these bills and they would go on the Calendar  
25. to the Committees as...ah...any other measure would.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Is there further discussion? Are there any Senators,  
28. who wish to make motions to table Bills, or send Bills to  
29. Committee in line with the suggestion of the President pro  
30. tempore? Senate bills on 3rd reading. Are there any Senate  
31. Bills on 3rd reading that members wish to bring up at this  
32. time? Senator Berning.

33. SENATOR BERNING:

34. Did you call for introduction of Bills?

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Introduction of bills. There . . . There still, Senator  
3. Partee, there still seems to be some question here about bills  
4. that are introduced. Do they still go to the Rules Committee  
5. now, or . . .

6. SENATOR PARTEE:

7. Yes, they'd still go to the Rules Committee and they will  
8. go to the Assignment of Bills Committee.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Senator Carroll.

11. SENATOR CARROLL:

12. Mr. President and members of the Senate, I have a bill  
13. upon the Secretary's Desk that is the deficiency appropriation  
14. for Public Aid to last from now until the first of July. It  
15. is in the amount of 135 million dollars. Originally it had  
16. been anticipated it would be 166 million, but it's 103rd  
17. down to 135. I know it's a lot of money. I talked to the  
18. leadership on the other side of the aisle and to our leader-  
19. ship here, and I, inasmuch as this is somewhat of an emergency,  
20. I would ask leave of the Senate that it be advanced to 2nd  
21. Reading without reference to a Committee.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Is there objections? Leave is granted. Senate Bills  
24. on 3rd Reading. Senator Berning.

25. SENATOR BERNING:

26. I . . . I understood it that you were not calling . . .  
27. not calling . . . referred to committee . . .

28. PRESIDENT:

29. It's the understanding of the Chair that these will be  
30. referred . . . That bill will be automatically referred to  
31. the Rules Committee. The Rules Committee will refer it back to  
32. the Body. House Bills on 3rd Reading. Are there House Bills  
33. on 3rd Reading members would like to call? Senator Coulson.

1. SENATOR COULSON:

2. Yes, Mr. President, let's give one a try...ah...HB2766.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. HB2766, Senator Coulson.

5. SENATOR COULSON:

6. Mr. President and members, this is an innocuous bill,  
7. which re-enacts into legislation what was thought to be the  
8. rules anyway. It's a permissive bill which simply know how  
9. the school boards to reimburse teachers when they're called  
10. on these short tours of active duty with the National Guard.  
11. There are some 176 teachers in the Guard. It was thought that  
12. this was the rule anyway, but some school boards have felt  
13. that they didn't have the power to ah make up the difference  
14. between the school teacher's salary and what the Guard paid  
15. them. It permits agdress against the ah salary for what the  
16. Guard does pay. I know of no objection of resistance to it.  
17. Maybe we can get it off the Calendar.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Is there any objection? Secretary will call the roll.

20. SECRETARY:

21. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier,  
22. Carroll, Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson,  
23. Donnewald, Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen,  
24. Hall, Harris, Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,  
25. Kusibab, Latherow, Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt,  
26. Mitchler,

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Mitchler:

29. SENATOR MITCHLER:

30. Before I cast a vote, Mr. President, I would like to ask  
31. the sponsor a question relating to this bill. Will he yield?

32. PRESIDENT:

33. He will yield.

SENATOR MITCHLER:

1. Senator, Do any other public employees...ah...have the  
2. difference in their pay made up to be paid by the State?

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Senator Coulson.

5. SENATOR COULSON:

6. A great many others. In fact almost all others, but it  
7. is done by a separate law in each case. Federal civil ser-  
8. vice employees, post office employees, policemen, fireman,  
9. each one requires a separate law. This is simply an amend-  
10. ment to the school code and could not of course cover all  
11. employees. It covers only school people, but it is a rule  
12. which is pretty widely accepted..ah..throughout the State, I may  
13. add even in private employment, most private employers make  
14. up the difference.

15. SENATOR MITCHLER:

16. Aye.

17. SECRETARY:

18. Mohr, Neistein, Newhouse, Nihill,

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Senator Nihill.

21. SENATOR NIHILL:

22. Mr. President, will Senator Coulson yield to a question,  
23. please?

24. PRESIDENT:

25. He indicates he will.

26. SENATOR NIHILL:

27. Ah...Is this additional to their pay they get or just pick  
28. up the pay they would have got if they were here.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Coulson.

31. SENATOR COULSON:

32. The bill provides only for payments of the difference,  
33. a credit is given for the pay they received as National Guards-  
men so that a a...lieutenant in the national guard would receive

1. pay. A private or a corporal would receive a proportionally  
2. larger amount.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Senator Nihill.

5. SENATOR NIHILL:

6. I vote aye.

7. SECRETARY:

8. O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Rock, Romano, Rosander,  
9. Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Soper, Sours, Swinarski,  
10. Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Berning aye. Coulson aye. Rock aye. Mohr aye. Smith  
13. aye. For what purpose does Senator McCarthy arise?

14. SENATOR MCCARTHY:

15. I have wanted to explain my vote if I might.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Senator McCarthy is recognized.

18. SENATOR MCCARTHY:

19. I've not voted. I want to vote present. Nothing against  
20. this bill, but it strikes me from the conversation that took place  
21. on the Floor of the Senate last week that there was pretty much  
22. feeling of unanimity that matters that have been held over from  
23. previous sessions should not be considered 'til the main object  
24. of this legislative session was accomplished. There was even  
25. an effort by Senator Neistein, which was withdrawn by him,  
26. to strike off the matters that were on the Calendar. Mr. President  
27. and members of the Senate, I think that if we start passing the  
28. first bill of the bills that are left over, start to say no on  
29. other bills that come along for that reason--for I think the  
30. central purpose, the main purpose of this legislative  
31. session is to consider the four areas of legislation that  
32. the President pro tempore has indicated--should be con-  
33. sidered bad judgment to move in on any of these bills at this



1. present time and I would like to be recorded as present.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Senator McCarthy votes present. On that question the  
4. yeas are 48. Nays are none, one present. The Bill is  
5. declared passed. Senator Chew.

6. SENATOR CHEW:

7. Yes, Mr. President, I would like to have HB3033 called.  
8. Its an act to establish...

9. PRESIDENT:

10. 3033

11. SENATOR CHEW:

12. Its an act to establish urban strength mission to...ah...as-  
13. certain the use of it of land that we have in Chicago...

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Just a moment Senator...let's get a little more order please...

16. SENATOR CHEW:

17. We have in Chicago...

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Just a second. We still don't have the attention of the  
20. body. Proceed Senator.

21. SENATOR CHEW:

22. and sponsored by some hundred employees members over  
23. in the House and it is a Commission to ascertaining the  
24. usage that can be gotten from land that is scattered through-  
25. out the State of, the State of Illinois to...ah...create  
26. this Commission, to study the possibility of additional  
27. recreation areas throughout the State...ah...as an example  
28. we have an expressways in Chicago ah... the land directly  
29. under the expressway are not being er, is not being utilized  
30. ah...for any meaningful purpose and the purpose of establishing  
31. this Commission is to see what purpose this land can be  
32. used for. I would ask for a favorable vote on it, please.

33. PRESIDENT:

Is there any discussion? Senator Laughlin.

1. SENATOR LAUGHLIN:

2. Yes, Mr. President, Senator Chew would you yield  
3. to a question, please?

4. SENATOR CHEW:

5. Yes.

6. SENATOR LAUGHLIN:

7. ...Ah...I'm trying to look at this thing in a hurry  
8. and you call this an Urban Areas Recreation Land Study Commission.  
9. How would this apply to a district such as the one I rep-  
10. resent...where the largest City is 27 thousand...

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Just a moment Senator Laughlin. Will the members be  
13. in their seats. Let's try and maintain some order. Proceed  
14. Senator Laughlin.

15. SENATOR LAUGHLIN:

16. How would this study apply to an area such as that  
17. which I represent where there is a city say of twentyseven  
18. thousand million estimate 8 or 10...ah...2 or 3, 5000 it  
19. is not what you call an urban area. Now...ah... what is  
20. the significance of the title of this Commission?

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Senator Chew.

23. SENATOR CHEW:

24. Ah... Senator, your area would certainly be a part  
25. of the study...ah...what we are attempting to do is to find...  
26. ah...the ration land that cities all will have the right  
27. to...ah...to act in what the best usage of this land, so  
28. your area would certainly not be left out.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Laughlin.

31. SENATOR LAUGHLIN:

32. Well, Senator Chew, you have now succeeded in confusing  
33. me further. You're talking about a study to be made of  
areas within cities, that are not presently being used

1. and I haven't got any such animals. How in the world will it  
2. apply to my area?

3. SENATOR CHEW:

4. Well, Senator, if you don't have such land available in your  
5. area that you represent, it wouldn't affect you at all. However,  
6. this commission would be available to take in consideration any-  
7. thing that you recommend to it as to the best usage of the land.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Laughlin.

10. SENATOR LAUGHLIN:

11. Well, I just would make this comment. I'm going to oppose  
12. this bill. I think it's a very narrowly drawn bill. I think  
13. the function is pretty well taken care of now by a State code  
14. department.

15. SENATOR CLARKE:

16. Mr. President.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Senator Clarke. Senator Clarke.

19. SENATOR CLARKE:

20. Mr. President, members of the Senate, I would suggest  
21. that the members on this side ought to take in consideration the  
22. fact that the Department of Conservation is an extensive division  
23. of systems research in long range planning and they are doing  
24. this kind of thing. There are a great many other agencies doing  
25. this kind of thing. We have a Municipalities Committee that could  
26. do this kind of thing, and I think that in line with our attitude  
27. of looking at scant commissions, especially in the creating of  
28. new commissions where we're trying to cut down on commissions, we  
29. ought to let this go last. And finding it further . . . that  
30. theory working through the committee system, I really don't think  
31. there is any need for this within additional appropriation of 50,000.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Senator Chew.

1. SENATOR CHEW:

2. Yes, Mr. President. The appropriation is reduced, now, to  
3. 50,000, Senator Clarke. However, this bill is absolutely necessary.  
4. Those of you that live in urban areas or  
5. represent urban areas know darn well that we have lands available  
6. that could be put to use for purposes of recreation, and the House  
7. sponsor has had a conference with the Governor, Senator Clarke,  
8. and the Governor has agreed to sign this bill if it is passed over  
9. here. They could have three to seven votes in the House and  
10. certainly . . . ah . . . I represent Chicago. I have a district  
11. in Chicago. I'm personally aware, Senator Clarke, of the many  
12. parts of the land that is available, that could be put to use  
13. that's not put to use because it does not come under the heading  
14. of the Chicago Park District. I'm certain that there are areas in  
15. your city or your town, or certain areas that you represent that  
16. can duplicate Chicago. What we're trying to do is to study the  
17. possibilities of . . . of putting this land to good use. We didn't  
18. introduce a bill . . .

19. PRESIDENT:

20. For what purpose does Senator Coulson arise?

21. SENATOR COULSON:

22. I wish to make a point of parliamentary inquiry.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. What is your . . .

25. SENATOR COULSON:

26. Under the rules of this House, the sponsor of a bill is allowed  
27. to make a presentation in favor of this bill. As I understand the  
28. rules, from that point forward he should remain silent until other  
29. members have finished discussing it, unless they address their  
30. inquiries to him. It seems to me, Mr. President, we have just  
31. entered into a very bad practice of allowing the sponsor of a  
32. bill to make a speech by speech repudiation of every single speaker  
33. who opposes his bill. This gives him a chance to speak 16, 17,

1. 18 times. It's in violation of our rules. I would most respectfully  
2. urge the gentleman to confine his remarks into issue any further  
3. debate, until he closes the debate.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. The point is well taken. When the Chair recognized Senator  
6. Chew, there were no other Senators asking for the Floor at that  
7. point. In the meantime, there are other Senators who have asked  
8. for the Floor. Senator Chew, do you wish to continue now, or  
9. close the debate?

10. SENATOR CHEW:

11. Mr. President, I'll be glad to yield the Floor to anyone who  
12. would have a suggestion or a question. Perhaps, merely answering  
13. Senator Clarke's question he made of me, he asked a question and  
14. also made a misstatement. Well, I want to clarify the bill. I  
15. do not intend to violate the rules. Certainly I'm not going to  
16. do that, and I'll abide by the Chair's ruling.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Senator Gilbert.

19. SENATOR GILBERT:

20. Senator Chew, I'm not familiar with the setup of the park  
21. district in Chicago. Is all of the City of Chicago in a park  
22. district or a number of park districts? What . . . what is the  
23. setup there generally?

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Senator Chew.

26. SENATOR CHEW:

27. Senator, originally the park district was a separate entity  
28. in itself and it also had a park district police department. The  
29. park district has more than confined itself to boulevards and  
30. adjacent land and parks, and et cetera.

31. SENATOR GILBERT:

32. Is all of Chicago in the park district? Ah . . . It us.  
33. Senator Rock indicates that it is. Now, now what about . . .

1. What does the Planning Commission do in Chicago in relation to  
2. setting aside areas or recommending areas to the Park District  
3. for the development of parks? Do they do that in Chicago?

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Chew.

6. SENATOR CHEW:

7. Senator Gilbert, the Park District really doesn't take any  
8. action on lease of land, unless you get a strong desire for  
9. community growth and the purpose of this commission is to work  
10. with the Chicago Park District and other park districts and  
11. groups of people that are interested in getting, er, land set  
12. aside for recreational purposes. I would say, in a direct answer  
13. to your question, that commission would be very vital insomuch  
14. as we would attempt to work with the Park District and community  
15. groups.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Senator Gilbert.

18. SENATOR GILBERT:

19. But the question I'm asking is, doesn't the Planning Com-  
20. mission have a duty to become involved in this very thing of  
21. recommending that if certain areas of the . . . covered by the  
22. Park District, which is the city, that certain types of development  
23. recreation in some areas and all business in others, that type  
24. of thing--isn't that a part of their duties? Certainly that's  
25. the way that the planning commission in our community, which  
26. is a small county annex, and then they have a Greater Egyptian  
27. Regional Planning Authority, which has offices in Carbondale that  
28. encompasses three counties. And they make this type of recommen-  
29. dation, and I was just wondering if this is not possibly a duplication  
30. of the things that the Planning Commission itself might be doing  
31. in Chicago, or should be doing, if they're not.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Senator Chew. Just a moment. Please. Can we have some

1. order. Senator Chew.

2. SENATOR CHEW:

3. In direct answer to your question, we, the Northeast Planning  
4. Commission, have taken some action in the City of Chicago, but  
5. the Chicago Planning Commission does not, in fact, recommend to  
6. the Chicago Park District. Just as an example, Senator, the basic  
7. plan that can't be used for recreational purposes . . . ah . . .  
8. they, they have been more or less concerned with blighted  
9. areas to recommend . . . slum and blighted areas for the construc-  
10. tion of housing, not necessarily recreation.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Senator Groen.

13. SENATOR GROEN:

14. Mr. President and members, my memory may fail me, but I recall  
15. on at least one and possibly two occasions, and I think the  
16. last occasion when Senator Swanson had the bill when he was a  
17. member of the Senate, that authorized the Chicago Park District  
18. to issue bonds without a referendum to provide funds for the building  
19. of buildings and other things. And among them was the acquisition  
20. of property for recreational purposes. I think, Senator Chew,  
21. they are, in fact, exercising this perogative under the authority  
22. given to them previously and I really can't see any purpose or  
23. need in the expenditure of money to absolutely duplicate that  
24. function, which so many other agencies of government are already  
25. operating . . . it, it, . . . including the Chicago Park District,  
26. under its bonding authority.

27. SENATOR CHEW:

28. Mr. President.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Chew may close the debate.

31. SENATOR CHEW:

32. I have seen amenable to this . . . er . . . Since the other  
33. side has not had the inclusion of the willingness of the Chief

1. Executive of the State, his excellency, the Governor, I'd be  
2. willing to hold the bill until the leadership on the other side  
3. is able to talk to the Governor or whomever he wanted to talk,  
4. to ascertain the feasibility of the study commission. Senator  
5. Groen, Senator Clarke, or it's perfectly all right with me. I  
6. am not in any hurry about it and I'd like for you to talk to the  
7. Governor, or whoever he wanted to talk to, and get back to me as  
8. to his feeling toward it. I don't want to take a lot of time of  
9. you distinguished gentlemen. And, Senator Clarke, I'd be glad  
10. to amend it, if it's all right with you. So it's agreed, Mr.  
11. President, that Senator Clarke or whoever he appoints will discuss  
12. it with the Governor and study the feasibility of it and the  
13. Senate, here, cannot find that it is a good commission, I'll  
14. be willing to pay for it.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Did you wish it . . . I'm sorry. I was talking to  
17. Senator Donnewald. You wish to hold it then?

18. SENATOR CHEW:

19. I'll hold it under the conditions that Senator Clarke or  
20. his nominee or nominees will talk to the Governor on the  
21. feasibility of this bill and we can discuss it after that meeting  
22. with the leadership over there. If we don't find it feasible  
23. for Senator Clarke, I'd be willing to table it.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. For what purpose Senator Neistein . . . The bill will  
26. be held. For what purpose does Senator Clarke arise?

27. SENATOR CLARKE:

28. Well, Mr. President, I . . . I just want to say that I  
29. don't wish the Senator to be under a misunderstanding that  
30. he is setting certain provisions for holding this bill. I  
31. have conferred with the Governor within the last . . . the  
32. Governor's people within the last five minutes and they have no  
33. position on this bill. So why don't you go ahead. Vote it



1. up or down if you want to. Really, I don't think that that's  
2. the reason for holding it.

3. SENATOR CHEW:  
4. Mr. President.

5. PRESIDENT:  
6. Senator Chew.

7. SENATOR NEISTEIN:  
8. I have the Floor.

9. PRESIDENT:  
10. Senator Chew.

11. SENATOR CHEW:  
12. I'll yield to Neistein.

13. PRESIDENT:  
14. For what purpose does Senator Neistein arise?

15. SENATOR NEISTEIN:  
16. I arise because I have the Floor and the President  
17. announced that the bill was being held and I took the Floor.  
18. I'll tell you why I took the Floor. Last week I announced  
19. that I would make a motion to strike all bills on the Calendar  
20. so that we'd have ample time and room to consider the Governor's  
21. program, the appropriations and all these important measures  
22. and problems that face the Legislature and so at this time--  
23. this isn't a frivolous motion--I'm going to move that all  
24. bills on the Calendar be stricken, and this last bill by  
25. Senator Chew, with all deafness to you, Charlie, my dear friend,  
26. is the reason why I renew my motion to strike all bills on the  
27. Calendar.

28. PRESIDENT:  
29. Senator Chew.

30. SENATOR CHEW:  
31. I move that Senator Neistein's motion lay on the Table.

32. PRESIDENT:  
33. Any further discussion on that motion? Senator Soper.

1. SENATOR SOPER:

2. I'll have the ruling in a minute, Senator. I think it's  
3. the majority that's voting yes. It is the majority of those  
4. voting aye. More nays. The more nays we have the better the . . .  
5. the . . . rul . . . the . . . that we support Neistein. Right?  
6. Nays support Neistein.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. That's correct.

9. SENATOR SOPER:

10. Okay. I support Neistein.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Senator Chew.

13. SENATOR CHEW:

14. I'd like to speak on . . . on the motion.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Senator, I don't think this motion is debatable. I  
17. don't think the Chair has the option to suspend the Rules.  
18. That's up to the membership. Is there any objection to the  
19. suspension of the Rules for the purpose of letting Senator Chew  
20. speak for the motion. There is objection, Senator. You're out  
21. of order. Secretary will call the roll. The question is  
22. there is a motion pending to have Senator Neistein's motion to  
23. strike all the bills on the Calendar lay on the Table. Those  
24. in favor Senator Chew's motion, lay on the Table, will vote  
25. in the affirmative. Those favoring Senator Neistein's motion  
26. will vote in the negative. Secretary will call the roll.

27. SECRETARY:

28.  
29.  
30.  
31.  
32.  
33.

1. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier,  
2. Carroll, Cherry, Chew,

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Senator Chew.

5. SENATOR CHEW:

6. I hope to keep this Bill on the Calendar that is to  
7. lay Neisteins motion on the table. Isn't that correct?

8. PRESIDENT:

9. That's correct.

10. SENATOR CHEW:

11. I vote aye...am I voting to keep the Bills on the Calendar?

12. PRESIDENT:

13. That's correct. You are voting...you are voting then  
14. in favor of your own motion, Senator.

15. SENATOR CHEW:

16. My own motion...I want...I want somebody to ring the  
17. bell here. I want to get all the Senators on the floor.

18. I vote aye.

19. SECRETARY:

20. Clarke.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Senator Clarke.

23. SENATOR CLARKE:

24. Mr. President, I understand the motivation behind this  
25. mo... motion, the original motion and...ah...I have a lot  
26. of sympathy with it. We have been contacting our individual  
27. Senators on specific Bills. We have a partial list prepared. By to-  
28. morrow we're going to have a fuller list of bills to take  
29. off the Calendar to table. I would suggest though that  
30. if this motion doesn't prevail that a applicable motion might  
31. be the Bills on postponed consideration but last week I  
32. was a little embarrassed because I had suggested privately...to  
33. the Pro Tem that we hesitate the motion for all bills on post-

1.           poned consideration. If the Bill couldn't have gotten the  
2.           majority to pass last year why should we fight the same  
3.           battles over this year and as soon as we came on the Floor,  
4.           my own Senator Carpentier got up and asked to consider a  
5.           Bill on postponed consideration. Ah...I still think that  
6.           makes a lot of sense. That's applicable if this does  
7.           not prevail, but I think we ought to first start combing  
8.           individually these bills in the Senate and paving  
9.           them so that we clear the way. I'm going to have to vote  
10.          no . . . er, yes.

11.         SECRETARY:

12.                 Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty,  
13.                 Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen, Hall, Harris, Horsley,  
14.                 Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Kusibab, Latherow,  
15.                 Laughlin, Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Mohr,  
16.                 Neistein, Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee,

17.         PRESIDENT:

18.                 Senator Partee.

19.         SENATOR PARTEE:

20.                 I want to explain my vote. There are many bills on  
21.                 this Calendar, which I heard sponsors of vote no on this  
22.                 motion, which they will be imploring us to vote for this  
23.                 if this motion obtains. I just really don't understand  
24.                 what we are about... We have these bills on the Calendar.  
25.                 We simply have to address ourselves to them and get them  
26.                 off the Calendar, Senator Clark pointed up many of these  
27.                 Bills would disappear from the Calendar as soon as the membership  
28.                 will let us know which ones they're not going with and we  
29.                 will have a smaller Calendar and we can take care of all  
30.                 these Bills that have been printed at the taxpayers' expense  
31.                 and have languished here, during the current year. I vote  
32.                 aye.

33.         SECRETARY:

                  Rock, Romano, Rosander, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Soper,

1. Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver.  
2. SECRETARY:  
3. On the question the yeas are 26; the nays are 15.  
4. Senator Chew's motion carries.  
5. PRESIDENT:  
6. Senator Soper.  
7. SENATOR SOPER:  
8. Ah...now, I move that all bills on postponed consideration  
9. be stricken from the Calendar.  
10. PRESIDENT:  
11. Senator Chew.  
12. SENATOR CHEW:  
13. I want to table it. (All Senators talking...unable  
14. to understand)  
15. PRESIDENT:  
16. There's been a motion to strike from the Calendar all  
17. bills on Postponed Consideration. There's been a subsequent  
18. motion to have that motion lie on the Table. On that question  
19. the Secretary will call the roll.  
20. SECRETARY:  
21. Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill,  
22. PRESIDENT:  
23. Senator Harris.  
24. SENATOR HARRIS:  
25. For...for inquiry, are we voting on the tabling motion,  
26. or the ...okay...  
27. SECRETARY:  
28. Carpentier, Carroll, Cherry, Chew,  
29. PRESIDENT:  
30. Senator Chew.  
31. SENATOR CHEW:  
32. I'd like the Chair to explain what the motion is so  
33. the members of this auspicious Body would know just exactly

1. how they're voting.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. I...I...it's been explained to me by Senator Rock,  
4. that the original motion was to table all Bills on Consideration  
5. Postponed and then a motion to Table that motion and that  
6. is the question before the Body. It takes a majority of  
7. those voting on the question.

8. SENATOR CHEW:

9. So those that are voting to table the motion would vote  
10. aye. Is that correct?

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Those voting in favor of keeping these bills alive, would  
13. vote aye. Those in favor of your motion, as I understand . . .

14. SENATOR CHEW:

15. I vote aye.

16. SECRETARY:

17. Clarke.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Senator Clarke.

20. SENATOR CLARKE:

21. Now, Mr. President, members of the Senate, I think this  
22. is a reasonable proposition. I think that a bill that has  
23. been argued, debated and defeated, really . . . in the past  
24. year, really . . . uh . . . if going to go through the same  
25. motions again this year and they're . . . you're going to  
26. prolong this Session well up to the July 1st deadline, and if  
27. that's what you want, I think you should support this, but I  
28. think . . . support the safety motion but I think this safety  
29. motion should be defeated. I would urge you to vote no. I  
30. vote no.

31. SECRETARY:

32. Collins, Coulson, Course, Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty,  
33. Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham, Groen, Hall, Harris, Horsley,

1. Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski, Kusibab, Latherow,  
2. Laughlin,

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Senator Laughlin.

5. SENATOR LAUGHLIN:

6. Well, Mr. President, members of the Senate, I . . . I  
7. wouldn't support Senator Neistein's motion and now I am  
8. troubled. I've taken a quick look at consideration postponed,  
9. not because I sympathize with the motion to strike all these,  
10. but I am concerned about, for example, one bill, the last  
11. one on the list. I made inquiry of Senator Harris. He hasn't  
12. said anything about it when he voted except to . . . voted conscience.  
13. So this bill would still be alive . . . uh . . . if . . . This  
14. bill is essential. I hate to . . . hate to chop it. I don't  
15. know the reason for it . . . ah . . . inasmuch as Senator Harris  
16. didn't make a plea to keep this thing alive, then, I guess I'll  
17. vote no.

18. SECRETARY:

19. Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Mohr, Neistein,  
20. Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee,

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Senator Partee.

23. SENATOR PARTEE:

24. You know, I listened to the votes on this measure and I  
25. am amazed at some of the votes to kill this Calendar. Some of  
26. the persons who have new bills coming in this Session of the  
27. Legislature, which under our previous rules did not qualify,  
28. beseech that it implore some consideration of their particular  
29. bills, and there are many bills on this Calendar that should  
30. not die. Whether they ever passed or not is a different  
31. question, but there are bills on here that should not die.  
32. Among them is a bill, 1751 and 52, which is sponsored by  
33. Representative Byrd in the House, which is a bill of which there  
34. was no real consistence or understanding even at the time that

1. it was called. There have been a series of meetings with the  
2. Chicago Bar Association, Illinois Bar Association and a group of  
3. eight other rather pliable groups in this State, who have been  
4. working on this bill in intervening period and have now makes  
5. it in a posture, where it can be probably passed to all persons  
6. concerned. To kill that bill after all of that work of all of  
7. those groups, to me, seems a terrible thing to do and seems to  
8. be bereft of any kind of judgment or understanding of what the  
9. legislative process is about. I certainly am going to vote no  
10. against . . . aye, against killing this Calendar and I think  
11. that some of you would be best off

12.  
13.  
14.  
15.  
16.  
17.  
18.  
19.  
20.  
21.  
22.  
23.  
24.  
25.  
26.  
27.  
28.  
29.  
30.  
31.  
32.  
33.



1. to reconsider a rather hasty position, without really understand-  
2. ing what's really upon this postponed consideration Calendar.  
3. Many of these Bills are going to be voluntarily taken off,  
4. because the sponsors recognize there's no possibility  
5. of passing them, but there are some rather cogent and vital  
6. issues on this Calendar and I would urge those of you who  
7. want to maintain a posture of responsibility, to vote aye.

8. SECRETARY:

9. Rock, Romano,

10. PRESIDENT:

11. For what purpose does Senator Soper arise? We are  
12. on roll call.

13. SENATOR SOPER:

14. I understand that. But, at this time, with the explanation  
15. from Senator of the Pro Tem, Partee...I talked to the minority  
16. leader on our side. I think that...ah...I think if they  
17. get together, we can para...ah...get this Calendar down,  
18. where it means success. Now these motions are going to be made  
19. at all times unless we do that. At this time I am prepared  
20. to withdraw the motion that I had if...ah...if it's possible  
21. and if...ah...Chew...ah... Senator Chew withdraws his motion,  
22. let's let the leadership on both sides get together. If we've  
23. got some Bills that they have to stay, let them stay, but  
24. let's save some money by not threatening with this monstrosity  
25. every day. We have this thing coming up. We do nothing  
26. with it but sit with it. I think the leadership on both  
27. sides should get together and decide what Bills are going  
28. to stay and which Bills are going to get off this thing...and  
29. then, we...we'll have some sense with this session. I'll  
30. prepare to withdraw the motion, if Chew will withdraw his  
31. and we will get on with our business.

32. PRESIDENT:

1. Is there objection to withdrawing motion? Senator  
2. Chew, are you willing to do the same?

3. SENATOR CHEW:

4. Mr. President, I..ah..I have no hesitancy in ..in..withdrawing  
5. the Motion...lie the Motion on the Table. I just like to  
6. say that the distinguished Senator from Chicago has gotten to-  
7. gether with the members of this side and I would assume that dis-  
8. tinguished Senator from Western Springs would work with  
9. the leadership over here..ah..because I hold ..on..on my desk, now,  
10. an indication, as to what bills I should call and what bills I  
11. should not call and that decision is left entirely up to me..as..  
12. as..an elected..ah..representative of the people. So, I with-  
13. draw the motion and I think we should get on down to business.  
14. Now, Senator Soper if you would..ah..take care of your senator-  
15. ial duties, everything 'll work out alright.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. For what purpose Senator Neistein arise?

18. SENATOR NEISTEIN:

19. To tell my partner, these motions Senator Soper...I'm  
20. kind of disheartened by his stand and I want to point out  
21. to my leader, Senator Partee, that the State existed and  
22. prospered without these bills that are on the Calendar and  
23. if we killed them all, the State would still be here and  
24. continue to prosper, without the bills also. I don't have  
25. the great concern that he has about what 's going to happen to  
26. our great sovereign State of Illinois if we killed all  
27. these bills..

28. PRESIDENT:

29. The...is there objection of withdrawal of the motion?

30. For what purpose Senator Groen arise?

31. SENATOR GROEN:

32. Mr. President, apparently we're allowing people to  
33. speak and I ask for that same privilege.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Well...we...do the...Chair...we...we have the request  
3. that we withdraw motion...if there is no...

4. SENATOR GROEN:

5. I would like to...I would like to speak to that motion,  
6. to that request.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Okay...Senator Groen is recognized.

9. SENATOR GROEN:

10. I see and feel a complete sense of inconsistency, in  
11. this body's action. We were in Session for a long time  
12. and there isn't a Bill on this Calendar, that could not  
13. have been called at a previous Session of this Body, had  
14. the sponsor seen fit to do so. Now, particularly in the  
15. case of Bills on postponed consideration, they have already  
16. been called and have been once rejected, by this Body.  
17. We now, come down here and we lay ground rules about introduction  
18. of Bills and we have four categories and we all know what  
19. they are. Unless the Bill embraces one of those categories,  
20. it goes to the Rules Committee and there it languishes and  
21. nothing apparently is going to happen to it. Now, I say  
22. to you, that there are some introductions that have taken  
23. place, since we came here a week ago that are far more important  
24. to the people of this State, than are those Bills that are  
25. resting on this Calendar, that have gone through Committee,  
26. some of which have been once tried on the Floor of this  
27. Body and were defeated; and yet we sit here and we do nothing  
28. with these Bills, except allow them to stay here and yet  
29. we say we are going to vote on these Bills and keep them  
30. on the Calendar, but we are not going to permit things of  
31. greater urgency to be considered. Now, it seems to me that  
32. this is ridiculous inconsistency on the part of this Body.  
33. The Bills that were mentioned here, this morning, the two  
34. by Senator Partee and the one involving Senator Harris,

1. both are appropriation bills and under our rules could be  
2. reintroduced at this Session, if they are of that great  
3. importance. There isn't anything on this Calendar of an  
4. appropriation or a revenue measure, of constitutional imple-  
5. mentation or the fourth category that could not be introduced  
6. now and acted upon as a new medicine and I say to you in  
7. the light of development, this is the way, it should be  
8. handled, rather than to have this Calendar literally a conglom-  
9. erate of nothing, but left over...things that were in the  
10. ice box for six months and about which we did nothing, because  
11. they seemingly weren't that important before, or as I say  
12. have been once already rejected by this Body. I think Mr.  
13. Chairman...er...Mr. President, it might be in order that  
14. we take the time to go down this Calendar and ask each spon...  
15. sponsor of a Bill, whether he intends to call that bill,  
16. and if, he doesn't intend to call it, let's get it off the  
17. Calendar. Let's stop arguing about this and let's do something  
18. about it.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Is there objection to the withdrawal of the motions?  
21. The motions are withdrawn. They will...we will strike them  
22. from the record. Senator Laughlin, for what purpose do  
23. you arise?

24. SENATOR LAUGHLIN:

25. Mr. President, members, a point of parliamentary inquiry.  
26. This was all triggered, I think, by the bill offered by  
27. Senator Chew. Senator Chew pursued it until he saw that  
28. it might have trouble and then he said, I agree to hold  
29. it. I will hold the Bill. Now we've been through the bit  
30. before, but it seems to me it destroyed the orderly conduct  
31. of business and I am not referring only to Senator Chew  
32. when I say this. This is what brings it to my attention.  
33. Seems to me, that we ought to absolutely proceed with our

1. bills when they are called and let them go up or down  
2. and not decide, after we've put in our large toe and tested  
3. the water and found it too hot, that we'll pull it out and  
4. try it another day. What are the rules? That's my parlia-  
mentary inquiry.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. The..the tradition of the Senate is that once a roll call  
7. has started..ah.., it's not possible for a Senator to hold a bill,  
8. but prior to that, a Senator may. For what purpose does  
9. Senator Chew arise?

10. SENATOR CHEW:

11. Personal..ah..point of personal privilege..ah..Mr. President.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Senator Chew.

14. SENATOR CHEW:

15. I explained to the leadership and to the Senators on the  
16. other side on this particular bill, that I'd wait until  
17. they had gone into conversation, as to the Governor's  
18. position on it and..ah..I know at this point they have not,  
19. I'm merely doing it as courtesy. If you vote it up or  
20. down, you know it's..it's..alright with me, but I don't want to  
21. call the bill and vote it upon, without giving the leader-  
22. ship on the other side a golden opportunity to discuss it  
23. with the ..ah..Governor of the State of Illinois. That's why I  
24. held the bill.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Is...Senator Partee.

27. SENATOR PARTEE:

28. With many of the things that..ah..Senator Groen said, I  
29. find myself in disagreement, but one thing he said, I do  
30. agree with and I hasten to..to..suggest it and that is perhaps  
31. after tomorrow, when we have the list from the Senators,  
32. that we go through the entire Calendar, bill by bill on  
33. 3rd reading and let the sponsor of the bill at that time

indicate, whether or not he is going forward with the Bill.

1. Now, this will give every member of the Senate an opportunity  
2. to talk with the House sponsor of the Bill, so that the Senate  
3. sponsor in saying that the Bill will not go forward will be express-  
4. ing the desire of the House sponsor. I should suggest maybe  
5. we could do that tomorrow morning and I would think that this  
6. would help us immeasurably to clear up the Calendar. I would  
7. agree that that might be the way to go. Ah...we sist...we asked,  
8. we...asked last week for members to indicate which Bills they  
9. were going with and which weren't...which ones they weren't. I've  
10. talked to members of the House about...ah...whether or not their  
11. bills were going forward. They said, well, I haven't talked  
12. to the Senate sponsor. The Senate sponsor said he hasn't talked  
13. to the House sponsor and we drag on in that fashion and I would  
14. hope that Senator Clarke..ah...and members of that side would  
15. agree that we cool it tomorrow morning after each Senator has  
16. had a chance to talk with a House sponsor, go through the entire  
17. Calendar and make a judgment as to which bills you're going  
18. forward with and you'll find it probably will eliminate a lot  
19. of them, that way and thereby, diminish the Calendar. As to  
20. those things which we are now addressing ourselves we're only  
21. doing it because all of the appropriation revenue bills are not  
22. ready for presentation, and, as we are here, it makes sense to do  
23. something.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Might the Chair make the next suggestion. We will remind  
26. the membership immediately upon convening and then about an hour  
27. or so after convening tomorrow we will go through the entire  
28. list. I think this is the way to proceed. Senator Vadalabene  
29. you said...Senator Vadalabene, you wish to make a motion. For  
30. what purpose Senator Neistein arise?

31. SENATOR NEISTEIN:

32. Then, what will be the schedule for the rest of the day

33.

1. and tomorrow morning. Are we going to still go through the  
2. Calendar?

3. PRESIDENT:

4. The Chair will follow the wish of the Senators. If  
5. there are Senators who have bills they wish to call, they  
6. will be called until there's a motion to adjourn until  
7. tomorrow. Senator Vadalabene is recognized.

8. SENATOR VADALABENE:

9. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.  
10. Today I have introduced a deficiency appropriation bill in the  
11. Juvenile Court Act. This bill requests a deficiency appropriation  
12. in the amount of 225 thousand dollars. I have discussed this  
13. with Senator Harris and with the leadership on this side of  
14. the aisle, and as you know, this bill met with the Auditor  
15. of Public Accounts merely disburses this account, so I  
16. would like to ask leave to have this bill advanced to 2nd Reading  
17. without references to Committee.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Is there objection? Leave is granted. Are there other  
20. Senators . . . Senator Collins.

21. SENATOR COLLINS:

22. Mr. President, last week the appropriations bill for  
23. Agriculture was introduced and someone in the Department  
24. introduced it, I guess, someone in the administration intro-  
25. duced it and I'd like to have Senator Harris's name included  
26. as co-sponsor.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Harris's name will be added as co-sponsor of  
29. Senate Bill 1319. We have a message from the House.

30. SECRETARY:

31. A message from the House by Mr. Selcke, Clerk:

32. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate  
33. that the House of Representatives has passed a bill of the  
34. following title. The passage of which I am instructed to

1. ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit: HB 4113.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Senator Coulson.

4. SENATOR COULSON:

5. As I understand it, this is a message from the House  
6. indicating the passage of a House bill. At this time, I would  
7. like to make a motion that the messages from the House, referring  
8. to passage of House bills, be referred to the Rules Committee  
9. before the matter is placed on our Calendar.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Motion is to refer the matter to the Rules Committee. All  
12. in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary minded. Motion  
13. prevails. Is there further business to come before the  
14. Senate. Senator Clarke.

15. SENATOR CLARKE:

16. We announced any time to come in tomorrow morning?

17. PRESIDENT:

18. There any . . . There has been no announcement of indication.

19. SENATOR CLARKE:

20. Becuase I want to indicate a Republican caucus now, or  
21. prior to that . . . uh . . .

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Someone . . . uh . . . Senator Donnewald thinks it . . .  
24. it may be . . .

25. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

26. Senator Partee says that we are coming in at 11:00 o'clock  
27. tomorrow morning, so we'd have the Republican caucus at 10:00  
28. o'clock in 419.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Are there further announcements? Motion by Senator Harris  
31. that the Senate . . .

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Senator Partee.



1. SENATOR PARTEE:

2. Yes, Mr. President, that list bill I was over talking  
3. to Senato Coulson, 1113, which I think is the subject matter  
4. of a pay increase for state employees, which has already been . . .  
5. questions alleged . . . uh . . . settled obviously in court, but  
6. they have some need or some desire to pass a House bill, so  
7. I wasn't going to let the bill go to 2nd Reading without a  
8. reference to a committee.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Is there objection? Leave is granted. Motion of the  
11. Senate stand adjourned until . . . Senator Vadalabene.

12. SENATOR VADALABENE:

13. On a point of personal privilege, sir.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator Vadalabene is recognized.

16. SENATOR VADALABENE:

17. Immediately behind you in the President's Gallery is  
18. a group of women from (undiscernable word) as the League  
19. of Women Voters and I would for them to stand and be recognized.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Motion by Senator Harris that the Senate stands adjourned  
22. until 11:00 o'clock tomorrow morning. All in favor signify by  
23. saying aye. Contrary minded. Senate stands adjourned until  
24. 11:00 o'clock tomorrow morning.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.