57th Legislative Day 5/31/2017

HB0123	Third Reading	57
HB0136	Third Reading	281
HB0188	Third Reading	209
HB0238	Out Of Record	103
HB0238	Recalled	98
НВ0238	Recalled	108
НВ0238	Third Reading	99
НВ0238	Third Reading	109
НВ0270	Recalled	11
НВ0270	Third Reading	11
НВ0303	Recalled	14
НВ0303	Third Reading	15
НВ0348	Third Reading	12
HB0434	Recalled	25
HB0434	Third Reading	26
HB0470	Third Reading	27
НВ0479	Recalled	195
НВ0479	Third Reading	197
HB0481	Third Reading	28
НВ0531	Recalled	29
НВ0531	Third Reading	30
НВ0643	Third Reading	290
НВ0759	Third Reading	37
НВ0769	Third Reading	68
НВ0812	Third Reading	38
HB1774	Third Reading	291
НВ1783	Third Reading	72
НВ1785	Third Reading	250
НВ1785	Vote Intention	272
НВ1914	Third Reading	39
HB2527	Recalled	40
HB2527	Third Reading	40
HB2537	Third Reading	207
HB2543	Third Reading	130
НВ2559	Third Reading	70
HB2665	Recalled	41
НВ2665	Third Reading	42
нв2698	Third Reading	47
нв2771	Vote Intention	180
нв2801	Third Reading	48
нв2810	Third Reading	49
	_	

57th Legislative Day		5/31/2017
НВ2880	Third Reading	261
НВ2893	Third Reading	51
нв2953	Recalled	104
нв2953	Third Reading	105
нв3001	Third Reading	205
нв3001	Vote Intention	206
нв3095	Third Reading	71
НВ3122	Third Reading	53
нв3150	Third Reading	206
нв3293	Third Reading	53
нв3376	Third Reading	281
нв3879	Third Reading	56
нв3910	Third Reading	13
SB0001	Concurrence	225
SB0003	Concurrence	264
SB0003	Vote Intention	270
SB0008	Concurrence	103
SB0031	Concurrence	89
SB0031	Vote Intention	98
SB0047	Recalled	181
SB0047	Third Reading	181
SB0057	Concurrence	131
SB0081	Concurrence	239
SB0100	Concurrence	60
SB0209	Recalled	275
SB0209	Third Reading	276
SB0209	Vote Intention	281
SB0262	Concurrence	132
SB0266	Concurrence	132
SB0322	Concurrence	133
SB0326	Concurrence	134
SB0402	Recalled	278
SB0402	Third Reading	279
SB0473	Concurrence	150
SB0473	Vote Intention	163
SB0646	Concurrence	260 271
SB0652 SB0675	Concurrence	83
SB0701	Concurrence	58
	Concurrence	
SB0707	Concurrence	151
SB0764	Concurrence	59
SB0768	Concurrence	60

57th Legislative Day		5/31/2017
SB0852	Concurrence	192
SB0865	Concurrence	151
SB0885	Concurrence	152
SB0886	Concurrence	219
SB0887	Concurrence	85
SB0898	Concurrence	61
SB0899	Concurrence	63
SB0910	Concurrence	87
SB0910	Vote Intention	89
SB0941	Concurrence	272
SB1029	Concurrence	63
SB1223	Concurrence	64
SB1261	Concurrence	273
SB1348	Concurrence	153
SB1399	Concurrence	154
SB1400	Concurrence	155
SB1434	Concurrence	156
SB1462	Concurrence	157
SB1489	Concurrence	158
SB1532	Concurrence	159
SB1544	Concurrence	73
SB1556	Concurrence	74
SB1598	Concurrence	163
SB1668	Concurrence	164
SB1688	Concurrence	74
SB1688	Vote Intention	79
SB1688	Vote Intention	79
SB1688	Vote Intention	79
SB1688	Vote Intention	89
SB1688	Vote Intention	107
SB1693	Concurrence	75
SB1694	Concurrence	76
SB1720	Concurrence	165
SB1722	Concurrence	77
SB1722	Vote Intention	83
SB1774	Concurrence	178
SB1811	Concurrence	78
SB1839	Concurrence	245
SB1842	Concurrence	166
SB1843	Concurrence	274
SB1843	Vote Intention	275
SB1869	Concurrence	166

57th Legislative Day		5/31/2017
SB1895 SB1902 SB1933 SB1933	Concurrence Concurrence Concurrence Vote Intention	171 204 79 85
SB2034	Concurrence	172
SB2046	Concurrence	174
SB2057	Concurrence	81
SB2068	Concurrence	175
SR0277	Adopted	300
SR0353	Adopted	3
SR0470 SR0492 SR0528	Adopted Adopted Adopted Adopted	301 301 302
SR0579 SR0581 SR0582	Adopted Adopted Resolution Offered Resolution Offered	209 2 2
SR0583 SR0584 SR0585	Resolution Offered Resolution Offered Resolution Offered	3 3 3
SR0586 SR0587 HJR0001	Resolution Offered Resolution Offered Adopted	3 83 213
НJR0002	Adopted	215
НJR0003	Adopted	215
НJR0011	Adopted	4
HJR0016	Adopted	294
HJR0022	Adopted	295
HJR0024	Adopted	296
НЈR0037	Adopted	297
НЈR0040	Adopted	297
НЈR0042	Adopted	299
HJR0065	Adopted	304
HJR0065	Resolution Offered	304
SJR0012	Adopted	5
SJR0017	Adopted	5
SJR0021	Adopted	210
SJR0026	Adopted	7
SJR0032	Adopted	193
SJR0034	Adopted	7
SJR0039	Adopted	9
SJR0040	Adopted	212
SJR0043	Adopted	210

57th Legislative Day		5/31/2017
SJR0044 AM1000100 AM1000113 AM1000116 AM1000145 AM1000161 AM1000175 AM1000195 AM1000196	Resolution Offered Appointment Rejected Appointment Confirmed	218 293 122 123 124 125 126 127 128
AM1000196 AM990526 AM990527 AM990528 AM990531 AM990532 AM990533 AM990535	Appointment Confirmed	127 111 111 112 113 113 114 115
AM990536 AM990541 AM990542 AM990543 AM990546 AM990547 AM990548 AM990556 AM990573	Appointment Confirmed	116 117 118 118 119 120 120 125
AM990574 Appointment Confirmed  Senate to Order-Senator Link Prayer-Elder Michael Young Pledge of Allegiance Journal-Postponed Committee Reports Messages from the House		122 1 1 1 1 2 2
Messages from the House Senate Stands at Ease Committee Reports Senate Stands at Ease Committee Reports Senate Stands in Rece	e/Reconvenes e/Reconvenes	83 86 86 108 108 110

### 57th Legislative Day 5/31/2017

Executive Session	110
Executive Session Arises	129
Committee Reports	129
Senate Stands at Ease/Reconvenes	179
Committee Report	179
Messages from the House	191
Messages from the House	203
Messages from the House	208
Messages from the House	217
Committee Reports	218
Senate Stands in Recess/Reconvenes	218
Senate Stands at Ease/Reconvenes	271
Committee Reports	271
Executive Session	293
Executive Session Arises	294
Messages from the House	304
Resolutions Consent Calendar-Adopted	304
Adjournment	305

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The regular Session of the 100th General Assembly will please come to order. Will Members please be at their desk? Will our guests in the galleries please rise? The invocation today will be given by Elder Michael Young, Main Street Church of the Living God, Decatur, Illinois.

ELDER MICHAEL YOUNG:

(Prayer by Elder Michael Young)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Please remain standing for the Pledge Allegiance. Senator Cunningham.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM:

(Pledge of Allegiance, led by Senator Cunningham)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Blueroomstream.com seeks permission to videotape. Seeing no objection, permission granted. Mr. Secretary, Reading of the -- of the Journal -- Reading and Approval of the Journal.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Journal of Tuesday, May 30th, 2017.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hunter.

#### SENATOR HUNTER:

Mr. President, I move to postpone the reading and approval of the Journal just read by the Secretary, pending arrival of the printed transcript.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hunter moves to postpone the reading and approval of the Journal, pending the arrival of the printed transcript. There being no objection, so ordered. Mr. Secretary, Resolutions.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 581, offered by Senator Harmon and all Members.

It is a death resolution, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Resolution Consent Calendar.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 582, offered by President Cullerton.

It is substantive.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senator Cunningham, (Vice) Chairperson of the Committee on Higher Education, reports Senate Joint Resolution 40 Be Adopted; Motion to Concur on House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 887 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Raoul, Chairperson of the Committee on Judiciary, reports Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 910 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Sandoval, Chairperson of the Committee on Transportation, reports Senate Joint Resolution 21 Be Adopted; Motion to Concur with House Amendment 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 675 and Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 2802 Recommend Do Adopt.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Mr. Secretary, Messages from the House.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Message from the House by Mr. Mapes, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

passage of a bill of the following title, to wit:

Senate Bill 57.

Together with the following amendment which is attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 57.

We have received like Messages on Senate Bill 262, with House Amendment 1; Senate Bill 266, with House Amendment 1; Senate Bill 322, with House Amendment 1; Senate Bill 326, with House Amendment 1; Senate Bill 707, with House Amendment 1; Senate Bill 852, with House Amendments 1 and 2; Senate Bill 865, with House Amendment 2; Senate Bill 885, with House Amendments 1, 2, and 3; Senate Bill 1261, with House Amendment 1; Senate Bill 2046, with House Amendments 1 and 2; and Senate Bill 2068, with House Amendment 1. All passed the House, as amended, May 30th, 2017. Timothy D. Mapes, Clerk of the House.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Would all Senators at the sound of my voice come to the Senate Floor immediately? It is May 31st and we are going to House Bills 3rd Reading. All Members at the sound of my voice, please come to the Senate Floor immediately. Mr. Secretary, Resolutions.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolutions 583 through 586, offered by Senator McGuire and all Members.

They are all death resolutions, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Resolution Consent Calendar. With leave of the Body, we'll turn to page 36 of the printed Calendar, Senate Resolutions. Senate Resolution 353. Senator Collins. Mr. Secretary, please

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

read the resolution.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 353, offered by Senator Collins.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Collins, on your resolution.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Resolution 353 states that the General Assembly work together to create an environmental justice agenda that creates clean energy jobs that are accessible to all.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Resolution 353 pass. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the resolution is adopted. WCIA seeks permission to video and audio. Seeing no objection, permission granted. House Joint Resolution 11. Senator Collins. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Joint Resolution 11, offered by Senator Collins.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Collins, on your resolution.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Joint Resolution 11 encourages ISBE and each school district in the State to consider the benefits of the attendance awareness campaign "Every Student Counts, Every Day Matters" encouraged by the Illinois Attendance Commission.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

House Joint Resolution 11 pass. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the resolution is adopted. We will turn to page 36 of the printed Calendar, Resolutions. Senate Joint Resolution 6. Senator Anderson. Senate Joint Resolution 12. Senator Manar. There's a letter on file for Senator Manar to carry the resolution. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Joint Resolution 12, offered by Senator Haine and Manar.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar, on the resolution.

### SENATOR MANAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. This resolution was put together by Senator Haine and myself. It comes from a conversation that came to us from stable owners in northern Madison County. It sets up a task force to see how recreational trails could be better utilized in the State.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is... As this resolution requires the expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote will be required. The question is, shall Senate Joint Resolution 12 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 51 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Joint Resolution 12, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. Senate Joint Resolution 17. Senator Harris. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Joint Resolution 17, offered by Senator Harris.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment 1, offered by Senator Harris.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harris, on your amendment.

#### SENATOR HARRIS:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. SJR 17 creates the Task Force on Modes of School Transportation for Elementary and Secondary Education. Sets forth the membership of the Task Force and provides that the Task Force shall conduct a review of current State and federal laws regarding the use of various modes of transport of elementary and secondary educational students and make recommendations to the State Board of Education and the General Assembly regarding safe transportation of students and shall present legislative and administrative recommendations to the Governor and General Assembly no later than December 15, 2017.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion on the amendment? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Joint Resolution 17, offered by Senator Harris.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Senator Harris, on your resolution.

#### SENATOR HARRIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. The amendment was the resolution and I ask for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

All those in favor will say -- as the result -- as this resolution requires State expenditure -- funds, a roll call vote will be required. The question is, shall Senate Joint Resolution 17 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 50 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Joint Resolution 17, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. Senate Joint Resolution 26. Senator Tom Cullerton. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Joint Resolution 26, offered by Senator Tom Cullerton. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cullerton, on your resolution.

### SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

Senate Joint Resolution 26 urges President Trump and the U.S. Congress to remove the ban on sports betting or wagering by repealing the Professional (and) Amateur Sports Protection Act. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment — and the resolution is adopted. Senate Joint Resolution 32. Senator Manar. Senate Joint Resolution 34. Senator Bivins. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Joint Resolution 34, offered by Senator Bivins.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Bivins, on your resolution.

### SENATOR BIVINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is Joint -- we're going to be honoring a fallen soldier, so may I have the attention of the Body? Senate Resolution -- Joint Resolution 34 is recognizing Lance Corporal Alec Catherwood. He was assigned to the 3rd Battalion, 5th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division of the I Marine Expeditionary Force in Camp Pendleton, California. He passed away on October 14th, 2010, while conducting combat operations in Helmand Providence {sic} (Province) in Afghanistan. Corporal Catherwood was a 2009 graduate of Byron High School, a member of the Future Farmers of America. He was also a Boy Scout and had a black belt in Tae Kwon Do. He earned many personal service awards, including the Purple Heart, Combat Action Ribbon, National Defense Service Medal, Global War on Terrorism Service Medal, and Korean Defense Service Medal. He left behind a -- his parents, his sister, a fiancée, maternal grandparents, and many aunts and uncles and cousins. And this resolution will designate the portion of Illinois Route 72 from the south side of the bridge in Byron to the Ogle County/DeKalb County line as "Lance Corporal Alec E. Catherwood Memorial Road" in honor of Lance Corporal Alec Catherwood and his service to our nation. And I'd just like to thank the Senate President and Senators Harmon, Lightford, Clayborne, Althoff, and Righter for their assistance in bringing this to the Floor. You know, we can't do a lot. We can't understand. We -- a lot of us have spoken at these funerals and

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

-- and we can't pretend to know what these Gold Star families go through and we can't offer much other than our sympathy and our prayers and our thoughts to them, but this is one thing that we can do, is designating these roads to -- to allow people to ask the question, especially young people, what's this road named for -- who's it named for, and carry on their memory. That's the one thing we can do is honor their memory. And I'd like to conclude by reading something "The Great Communicator", Ronald Reagan, said, just a portion. He said, "Most of them were boys when they died, and they gave up two lives - the one they were living and the one they would have lived. When they died, they gave up their chance to be husbands and fathers and grandfathers. They gave up their chance to be revered old men. They give {sic} (gave) up everything for our country, for us. And all we can do is remember." PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Please stand for a moment of silence. (Moment of silence observed) As this resolution requires expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote will be required. The question is, shall Senate Joint Resolution 34 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Joint Resolution 34, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. Senate Joint Resolution 35. Senator Weaver. Senate Joint Resolution 36. Senator Weaver. Senate Joint Resolution 39. Senator Mulroe. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Joint Resolution 39, offered by Senator Mulroe.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Mulroe, on your resolution.

#### SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. resolution is also one -- for one of our fallen soldiers. Corporal Donald Bollman enlisted in the United States Marine Corps in '65. He served in California as a Marine Guard before beginning his tour in South Vietnam. While serving in South Vietnam with the 3rd Battalion, 3rd Marines, India Company, Corporal Donald Bollman's company came under heavy attack from a North Vietnamese Army battalion. He was killed in action on March 1st, 1967, at the young age of twenty-three. For his heroic actions in the face of enemy -- the enemy, Corporal Bollman received a Purple Heart and a Bronze -- Bronze Star with a V for Valor. This resolution will designate the bridge on Illinois Route 19, Irving Park Road, that runs over the Des Plaines River in Schiller Park as the -- as the "Corporal Donald W. Bollman Bridge". Senator Bivins said it so eloquently and we're just here to honor Corporal Bollman's memory and what he sacrificed for us and our country.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

As this resolution requires expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote will be required. The question is, shall -- Senate Joint Resolution 39 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Joint Resolution 39, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. House Joint Resolution... With leave of the Body, we'll turn to page 35 of the

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

printed Calendar, House Bills 3rd Reading. House Bill 3910. Senator Martinez. Senator Martinez. With leave of the Body, we'll go to page 29 of the printed Calendar, House Bills 3rd Reading. House Bill 238. Senator Biss. Mr. Secretary, please... House Bill 270. Senator Stadelman. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. Senator Stadelman seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 270 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 270. Mr. Secretary, have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Stadelman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Stadelman, on your amendment.

SENATOR STADELMAN:

I will explain on 3rd. I move that it be adopted.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on 3rd Reading is House Bill 270. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 270.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Stadelman, on your bill.

#### SENATOR STADELMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. House Bill 270 requires allegations of sexual assault by a police officer performing his or her duties to be investigated by an agency other than the one where the officer is employed. The bill also requires that each law enforcement agency shall have a written policy regarding the investigation of the officer involved in the alleged criminal sexual assault that involves a law enforcement officer employed by that agency. The goal here is simply to provide an independent review process when it comes to allegations of an -- officer-related conduct involving sexual assault. I'm not aware of any opposition. I'm happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 270 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 51 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 270, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 303. Senator Harmon. House Bill 348. Senator Tom Cullerton. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 348.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cullerton, on your bill.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

#### SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 348 amends the Illinois Constitutional Amendment Act, requiring the Office of Secretary of State to publish on its website the same information already required in newspaper and pamphlet publication. The Secretary of State is neutral on this bill. It requires the newspaper publication to also include a link to the web page. Finally, the bill waives the newspaper publication and pamphlet mailing requirements if the proposed amendment concerns abolishing the Office of the Lieutenant Governor. I know of no opposition.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 348 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 348, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. With leave of the Body, we'll go back to House Bill 3910. Senator Martinez. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3910.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Martinez.

#### SENATOR MARTINEZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. 3910 amends the Illinois Controlled Substances Act to allow emergency medical service {sic} (services) personnel to administer Schedule II, III, IV, and -- or V

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

controlled substance to a person in the scope of their employment without a written, electronic, or oral prescription of a prescriber. And I'll be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3910 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3910, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 303. Senator Harmon. Senator Harmon seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 303 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 303. Mr. Secretary, have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Harmon.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon, on your amendment.

#### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is House Bill 303. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 303.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd -- 3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon, on your bill.

#### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 303 is a comprehensive reform of the civil asseture -forfeiture -- civil asset forfeiture process. This is a product of extensive negotiations over the duration of the Session, and in -- in the end, we have reached an agreement with all of the stakeholders as proponents, including the ACLU, the State's Attorneys Association, the State Police, the Illinois Sheriffs' Association, the Chiefs of Police, and the Illinois State Bar Association. The most important part of this bill is that it quit -- places the burden of proof in forfeiture cases on the government rather than on the innocent owner. It also provides an expedited process for innocent owners. I want to thank my cosponsors, including my Republican cosponsors. I appreciate your participation in this - Senator Rose, Senator Connelly. Your -your mere names on the bill made it for a much better negotiation as we worked with all the competing stakeholders. I also want to thank our sitting Parliamentarian, Ashley Jenkins, for all of her work on this bill, getting it to the finish line. Happy to answer any questions, but I ask for your Aye votes on this very important bill.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Righter, for what purpose do you rise?

#### SENATOR RIGHTER:

Will the sponsor yield for a few questions, Mr. President, please?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

He indicates he will.

#### SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you -- thank you, Mr. President. Senator Harmon, I'm a former practitioner of civil asset forfeiture. You made a couple comments in your opening that I would like to follow up on. One has to do with, I think, as you phrased it, the expedited process for innocent owners. Can you describe the process that -- that -- how it's being expedited and how there's a demonstration with regards to them being an innocent owner?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon.

### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Well, let me perhaps set the stage here before answering the question, Senator. For those of you who have been following some of the news reports about asset forfeiture, the story line goes like this: Grandma lends her car to her grandson, who picks up one of his friends. They're driving around. They're pulled over. The friend has some drugs in his pocket. The police make the arrest, but they also begin the —the process of forfeiting grandma's car. In that case, grandma is the innocent owner. She wasn't at the scene. Her grandson was driving the car with her permission, but she had no knowledge or

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

complicity in the underlying drug offense. I'm trying to get a - a good summary for you of that expedited process here from our able staff, so I can give you a -- a short, but understandable summary.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Could we please keep the conversations down? This is final action.

#### SENATOR HARMON:

Senator, I can also get you greater detail if you'd like. It's -- it's essentially the model of like a small claims court, where the innocent owner comes in. I think one of the most important epiphanies during this negotiation was when the State's Attorneys recognized that they are always playing on their home court and an -- an innocent owner walks in to court without a lawyer, without any familiarity with the process and is intimidated and overwhelmed by the -- the hoops that one has to jump through. So we're -- we're trying to create the functional equivalent of a small claims court model for this class of owner to come in and retrieve their property.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. And -- and the reason that I'm -- I am particularly interested in this, Senator Harmon, is because, in my years of doing that work, it was not uncommon at all for the fact situation that you have presented in a hypothetical basis to be the facts, where a young man or woman uses someone else's car completely without their knowledge to -- to make a -- to make a delivery of an illegal drug. In those cases, universally, when

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

that fact pattern was presented to me or the law enforcement officers involved, there was no question. You simply never, never, walked down that road of -- of -- of -- of a civil asset forfeiture. You didn't even file the paperwork. There were cases, however, where - in -- in a few - where it wasn't nearly that clear. In other words, yes, the -- the title -- the person whose name's on the title of the vehicle would come forward and say, I didn't know anything about that, but maybe from an objective viewpoint, maybe they did and maybe they didn't, and so it took a little time to really dig into the facts of the case to determine whether or not they were truly an innocent owner. That's why I'm asking about the quote, unquote, expedited process, because I want to make sure that the law enforcement community has an opportunity to research a little bit and verify the claims of the individual who proclaims themselves to be innocent. So I quess you and I are stalling a little bit here so that you can provide me with some -- little more information on the -- the expedited nature of the new process.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon.

#### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator, thank you for your indulgence here. It is a long and thick bill and it took us all -- but we're on page 195, if you want to turn to the innocent owner hearing. But, in short, a couple of -- a couple things, I -- I would agree with you that there are cases where a reasonable State's Attorney would not pursue forfeiture and -- and we'd hope that would happen in those cases nine times out of ten or ninetynine times out of a hundred. We have certainly experienced stories

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

that suggest that is not happening all the time. Perhaps some of these tales are apocryphal, but I have read enough firsthand accounts from real people in real situations that just makes you scratch your head. So the first thing with the -- with the big change here is that the government needs to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that forfeiture is appropriate. The -- the innocent owner hearing allows that innocent owner to come in and prove just those things that you've said, also by a preponderance standard, that I did not know the car was going to be used in a crime. I am not complicit in that crime. We -- we have struck a balance, though, in that gray area you cited and the State's Attorneys and -- and State Police and others are on board. They recognize that gray area. We've created a -- a -- a -- a process by which both sides can make their claims, be heard, be heard quickly, and resolve the issue.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President, and thank you, Senator, for that response. I -- going back to what you said initially about this, I think that -- that out of a hundred is clearly ninety-nine-plus, I mean, in my experience. The reason that you and I both hear stories about the perhaps innocent owner being pushed around is because those are the only stories we -- they don't ever write the stories and tell the stories about the State's Attorneys or the prosecutors who were completely reasonable and said, "Of course, you" -- "Aunt Jones, you didn't know anything about that." But what I hear you telling me is that the reform in this bill will provide an opportunity not only for the owner who proclaims

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

themselves -- themselves to be innocent, but also for the State's Attorney to put on evidence saying, "No, I understand what -- what -- what he or she is saying, but, Your Honor, we have -- this evidence that says they're not innocent owners. They've been completely complicit in what's gone on here. They knew what little Johnny was doing on the fifteen drug runs that he made before that and we're clearly acquiescing in that." So I just want to ensure - 'cause I think what you're seeking here, Senator, in House Bill 303 is balance - and I want to ensure that there is -- that there is balance, both -- both sides have the opportunity to present the evidence to make the case, and you're telling me that's in this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon.

#### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Yes, Senator. I believe this bill is balanced. I believe that folks on both sides of the issue wish the -- the bill had tipped more in their favor, but we have resolved this, I think, in a fair way. I would also point out, to our discussion of the ninety-nine times out of one hundred, I -- I think that the folks on the side of law enforcement and the State's Attorneys recognized that the news coverage of that one time out of a hundred was so gnawing at -- at regular folks that it completely undermined trust in the system, which is why we have struck this balance and why both sides are moving forward, not as neutral parties, but as proponents of this reform. The -- the most important thing we can do is restore trust that this system is fair and that the -- the burden is on the government to say we have the legal right to forfeit this property.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

To the bill, if I might, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President, and thank you, Senator Harmon, and -- for your good work here. I rise as a supporter of -- as a former prosecutor and someone who did a lot of work with regards to civil asset forfeitures, I rise as a proponent of the bill. I will assure you that ninety-nine times out of a hundred the vehicle is being seized and is rightfully seized. The cash that is being seized is rightfully seized. But there are those cases that are those rare exceptions and they're made even more rare by someone who's perhaps not being reasonable or using the threat of a forfeiture to get something else, which is completely inappropriate. As long as there is balance and as long as there's an opportunity for both sides to present their evidence, that's what the system ought to have. I think that's what this bill provides and I would urge an Aye vote. Thank you, Mr. President. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Connelly, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR CONNELLY:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will yield.

SENATOR CONNELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President, and thank you, Leader Harmon, for

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

your great work on this. Senator Righter mentioned the innocent owner. I want to ask about the innocent individual. So -- and I'll just try to come up with a quick hypothetical. There's been an -- a -- an armed robbery at the convenience store down the street. There's a blue Honda Accord. They stop a blue Honda Accord five miles away that meets the description. The charge is made, you plead innocent -- innocence, you go to trial and you're found not guilty. And by -- you've -- you've been -- you know, you've -- you're acquitted, not just preliminary hearing, but all the way to trial. Under this bill, that individual doesn't necessarily get his car back or his property back. Is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon.

#### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator, thank you for the question. This was one of those really difficult sticking points in the negotiation. The way we solved that is, in the case of an acquittal, an asset -- civil asset forfeiture proceeding can still move forward, but the burden of proof on the government goes up from a preponderance standard to a clear and convincing evidence standard - not ideal from either side's perspective, but the notion that we're all familiar with - Senator Aquino was talking about this in another bill - the difference between criminal and civil proceedings and the burden of proof. So it is possible that someone can be acquitted even though the -- they -- the government can prove the underlying crime occurred and that the person was involved and that the property was facilitating that crime; the burden stays with the government, the burden of proof goes up,

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

but, yes, the forfeiture proceeding can continue.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Connelly.

SENATOR CONNELLY:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

#### SENATOR CONNELLY:

I -- I, again, want to commend Leader Harmon and those who've worked on this bill in both Chambers. Believe it or not, I think it has work to do. There's work -- there's more -- steps down the road that need to be taken. It does strike a balance. I served in the Cook County State's Attorney's Office, I have two late uncles who were Commanders in the Chicago Police Department, so no one, I don't think, will question my law enforcement bona fides. But this is a -- a step in the right direction. I commend the sponsor and I strongly urge an Aye vote from everyone. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rose, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR ROSE:

To speak to the bill, if I may.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

#### SENATOR ROSE:

Senator Harmon, first and foremost, let me thank you for your working on this all Session and allowing me to come along with you for the ride. You know, last week some - oh, heck, I've -- I've lost track of time, may have been earlier this week for all I know,

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

but there was a point in time -- earlier this week or last week where there's another bill. Some people may have been miffed at me for being a purist on the First Amendment and the right to speak freely in this country, but I am. It's the First Amendment, Fourth Amendment, Fifth Amendment, and Eighth Amendment, and without those amendments, we have no country. And so, as a former prosecutor, I was always troubled by how the existing Illinois statutes treated this topic. In fact, as a law student, I wrote my 3L paper on the topic of asset forfeiture, oddly enough, and the federal government revised this in the 1980s, I believe. It was Barney Frank and Henry Hyde who led that effort. So this may be one of these issues where you're so far left and I'm so far right, we've come back around. But it is certainly the right thing to do for Illinois. It is a hallmark and a bedrock principle of this country that the government should not take your property without process. And particularly in the case of innocent owners, there's a litany of wrong, frankly, in the history of this country related to asset forfeiture. And so I am pleased to stand here today as your cosponsor. I'm only irritated that I've somehow been deleted as your chief cosponsor and now I'm merely relegated to sponsor, but, nonetheless, I support the bill and I'm looking forward to voting for the bill and would urge the rest of the Body to do so as well. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon, to close.

#### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, and thank you all. Senator Rose, I thought your name would be up on the board myself, so I'm not sure how we got out of sequence when we changed vehicles here. I

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

apologize for that. This is a -- a -- an imperfect bill, but a dramatic step forward. I think what unites Senator Rose and I on the opposite side of that circle is this notion that somehow the government can take your property and make you come in and prove that you should get it back. That galls me. That galls my cosponsors. We have fundamentally changed that equation. There will be more work to do in the future, but going forward, when this bill passes, the government will have to prove that it has a right to take your property, and that alone is worth your Aye votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall House Bill 303 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 303, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Seeing that it's five minutes after 12, so that's eleven hours and fifty-five minutes to go before the end of May 31st, the timer is going on. House Bill 368. Senator Biss. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. Out of the record. House Bill 434. Senator Althoff. Mr. -- Senator Althoff seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 434 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 434. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Althoff.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Althoff, on your amendment.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

#### SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Thank you, Mr. President. The amendment becomes the bill and I'll discuss it on 3rd, please. We'd just like it adopted.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is House Bill 434. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 434.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Althoff, on your bill.

#### SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to start by noting right from the get-go that House Bill 434 is -- thank you -- is revenue neutral - revenue neutral. Although you may be seeing this as an increase in a fee, we also are eliminating another fee and just making it a lot easier for people to move forward. So let me explain to you what happened. We had an audit conducted by the U.S. Coast Guard and we found that Illinois was deficient in Sections regulating the certificate of number, or basically registration numbers. So this legislation actually mirrors

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

federal regulations. It also provides for a change to the title and registration process. Smaller boats under twenty-one feet are often obtained at garage sales, small local sales, and so what we did is we eliminated the need for title transfer and found that most of those owners would rather pay that ten-dollar fee to register their boats. That's what this bill does. It's extraordinarily important. I believe outside the City of Chicago, my area has the largest number of boat owners and they're very supportive of this legislation. And I would ask for an Aye vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 434 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 50 Ayes, 3 Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 434, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 470. Senator McCann. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 470.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McCann.

#### SENATOR McCANN:

...you -- thank you, Mr. President and Members of the -- of the Body. House Bill 470 is a initiative of one of the FFA organizations in my district. The Pittsfield Future Farmers of America came to myself and -- and our Representative and asked if

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

we would carry this legislation. I told them I would be honored I think there's nothing better. I know we've had some conversations this -- over the last year or two about State designations. I think probably the greatest benefit that State designations bring to the table is that it gets our young people involved in the process. I think our founding fathers developed a -- a form of government that doesn't work properly unless the electorate, unless the citizens are engaged and informed and involved and unless they show up. And so this really drives it home for these young people. It -- it turns it into more than a civics class. It turns it into a civics experience and then it possibly, hopefully, hooks them for the rest of their lives. And so House Bill 470 would make corn the State grain of Illinois. Now I've had some folks mention that I ran a bill two years ago that was a -- an initiative of Chatham Elementary School making sweet corn the State vegetable. So this is different. Sweet corn is considered a vegetable by the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Corn is considered a grain. So this bill would make corn the State grain of Illinois. I ask for an Aye vote. Would answer any questions.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 470 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 48 Ayes, 5 Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 470, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 479. Senator Raoul. House Bill 481. Senator Hutchinson. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 481.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson.

#### SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Please excuse a slight technical delay. Sorry about that. Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Body. House Bill 481 is a recommendation of a constituent of the House sponsor. It amends the Nursing (Home) Care Act by mandating IDPH to permit a person to satisfy the supervised clinical experience for placement on the Health Care Worker Registration Act {sic} (Registry) through clinical experience at an assisted living establishment. House Bill 481 also authorizes IDPH to adopt rules that the Health Care Worker Registry incorporate — incorporate information noting where an individual on the Registry acquired his or her training. Know of no opposition. Would appreciate your support.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 481 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 481, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 531. Senator Harmon. Senator Harmon seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 531 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 531. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Harmon.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon, on your amendment.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is House Bill 531. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 531.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon, on your bill.

### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 531 reflects another significant criminal justice reform bill, also the product of a Session-long negotiation among critical stakeholders. I'm pleased to report we have an agreement on juvenile parole. We have not made all of the stakeholders

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

proponents, but we have at least achieved neutrality. This bill continues our general response to the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in Miller against Alabama that laid out the fundamental notion that juvenile offenders are simply wired differently and have a propensity, much more so than older offenders, to be rehabilitated. We are creating a -- a parole system that would permit long sentences to be revisited at ten years or fifteen or twenty years depending on -- on the -- the -- the crime. I'd be happy to try to answer any questions. I know Senator Connelly has some concerns we've discussed that I expect we're going to discuss here on the Floor, but I ask for your Aye votes after that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Connelly, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR CONNELLY:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

### SENATOR CONNELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President, and thank you, Leader Harmon. In light of the last day of Session and whatnot, I thought I would just make a few comments about this. You know, the past year and a half, both sides of the aisle and -- and certainly the Governor's Office have been very supportive of -- of a number of criminal justice reform measures and -- and I've taken the lead on a number of them, as have Members of both parties, and -- and I -- I -- very supportive of the concept, supportive of the initiative. This -- however, this bill I think goes a bit too far. We have talked about, for the past year and a half, you know, people getting

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

second chances and the like and -- and we've all supported that. But today I think we need to start thinking about the people who are victims of these crimes and the families of the victims and the neighborhoods that these crime victim families live in. There's a concept in -- in criminal justice that I actually learned during the course of my time on the Criminal Justice Reform Commission called incapacitation and incapacitation is that time period when somebody who has committed a -- a serious violent crime is no longer on the street. They're no longer on the street. They're incarcerated. This bill will allow those -- many who have committed very serious violent crimes to have an opportunity to be back on the street within ten years. More importantly, last year we passed a Constitutional Amendment in support of crime victims' rights. Under this bill, those families, those crime victims may have to, after ten years, be in front of a parole board facing the person who committed the crime against their family. We have gone to great lengths in the past year and a half and all of us, frankly, have been very supportive. This, frankly, is a bridge too far. I have enormous, enormous respect for the sponsor, but I urge a No vote. I remain opposed to this bill and I ask all to be very mindful of their switch when they vote on House Bill 531. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Nybo, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR NYBO:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR NYBO:

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Some people, you know, have accused me of being soft on crime over on this side of the aisle, so here's a situation where I'm going to break with that accusation and actually ask for a No vote as well. A lot of times -- you know, I'm not, unlike many of my colleagues over here, a former prosecutor, and so on a lot of these issues, I do defer to the experts and the professionals. And for as long as I've served, the State's Attorney from the -- the -the county that has the most amount of residents that I represent, which is DuPage County, for the first time as long as I've served, he called this morning, very concerned about this bill and what we were about to do with this proposal. I think Senator Connelly articulated very well the concerns with this bill. This -- this might be something that I could consider if we set the age limit lower than twenty, but I just think when you start talking about nineteen-year-olds and twenty-year-olds, that is, to -- to quote Senator Connelly, "a bridge too far." Senator, I'd love to be able to support you in your efforts on bills like these. This is one I just can't.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rose, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR ROSE:

Thank you. To the bill, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR ROSE:

I -- despite my sharing of joys a moment ago with the sponsor, we're going to share some concerns on this one. I am extremely worried about this, and when you look at the -- well, I'll just put it this way, I don't think it's a bridge too far, as much as

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

I think it's bad public policy in the first place. The sentencing is in a -- place because we put the sentencing in place, so if we feel that a criminal law sentencing range is too stringent for that particular criminal offense, then that needs to be addressed. To open up a -- a -- a blanket opportunity here, I just think it's bad public policy and it goes against, frankly, the -- the entire point of the -- the -- the Criminal Code here. I -- I do understand the fact that it's not a guarantee, that it's a prisoner review board, but if the issue is they've been sentenced too long, then you ought to go after the individual crime that you're worried about why they've been sentenced too long and then have a straight up or down vote on that, not punt this to some unelected board, because the State's Attorneys are elected locally, we're elected to set a sentencing range in motion in the front end for any particular crime, but now we're basically going to say, well, never mind the sentencing range we've set into motion, you know, don't worry about that, here's -- here's an end run around that system and -- and I just -- I just think this is bad public policy. And with that, I'd urge a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR RAOUL:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

#### SENATOR RAOUL:

First off, I want to commend the sponsor for working on this over time. I know -- and as he noted, the Supreme Court, and it's not just liberals on the Supreme Court, but -- but the entire

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Supreme Court recognized that the age of an offender when they commit the crime should weigh in on how we treat their long sentence and that we -- we should be evaluative of offenders on an individualized basis. The Governor, when he came into office, recognized that in criminal justice we have moved to a place where we've moved away from individualized evaluation and he appointed a criminal justice reform commission to reverse some of the negative, easy -- easy legislative letter material policies that we put in place so we could say we were tough on crime. That has cost us fiscally. We can't afford it. But, secondly, it's not just. We ought to empower the Prisoner Review Board and -- and -- and judges to evaluate, to use their discretion to evaluate individual circumstances. A prisoner review board is not going to open up the gates of the Department of Correction {sic} (Corrections) and let every youthful -- every offender that may have committed a crime in their young days out. The sky is not going to fall. But we ought to recognize a message that the Supreme Court of these United States of America sent us to say that we evaluate these offenders who may have committed a crime when they were very young and who have spent a considerable amount of time in the Department of Corrections and make a decision based on each individual case, and not doing so is unjust. It's inconsistent with the principles of -- of criminal justice and -and I urge an Aye vote on this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon, to close.

### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate for the debate. I would like to close by pointing out

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

that the opposition voiced on the Floor today is the exact reason this is a good bill and we should pass it. The Supreme Court -the U.S. Supreme Court's jurisprudence on youthful offenders is moving forward and is relying upon the -- the brain science of development. And the science of brain development suggests that young people don't reach the age of fully formed brains at eighteen or at twenty-one. It's not till the mid-twenties, so we're still a few years away from the -- the brain science here. But to the point made, there is no judge on the planet who can look at a nineteen-year-old and say, I know for a fact that you're the kind of young person who is going to mature and rehabilitate in prison or you're the kind who is never going to get out of prison. That's why we create this parole process, so that ten years or fifteen years down the road, we can have a second look at the offender and say whether or not it's appropriate for them to be released. The Prisoner Review Board is in favor of this bill. The State's Attorneys Association is neutral. I'm hopeful that the Governor will sign it. To the concern over victims, a concern that I shared, victims were at the table. This is prospective only. It will not disturb any victims who are -- whose offenders have already been imprisoned. This is an important piece of legislation that we're advancing. I want to thank Dan Johnson, who's here in the gallery. He did yeoman's work on behalf of Restore Justice Illinois in bringing all the stakeholders together and reaching a successful conclusion. Let's reward all that work with your Aye votes.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall House Bill 531 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 36 Ayes, 17 Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 531, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 737. Senator Righter. House Bill 739. Senator Righter. House Bill 740. Senator Righter. House Bill 741. Senator Righter. House Bill 742. Senator Righter. That's the quickest we did anything with Righter. House Bill 759. Senator Mulroe. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 759.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Mulroe, on your bill.

### SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. House Bill 759 clarifies that nothing in the limited lines producer license Section shall be construed to require financial institutions, or their employers {sic} (employees), to become licensed if the financial institution enrolls or performs other administrative services to enable customers to purchase insurance coverage. I know of no opposition. I'd ask for your support.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 759 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 759,

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 769. Senator Muñoz. Senator Rose, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR ROSE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

SENATOR ROSE:

And let me also say that last joke was pretty darn funny, Mr. President. I'm here today with a guest of mine, a good friend, Cassandra Eversole-Gunter. She is the newly minted Mayor of Villa Grove and she was over today at some other meetings and thought she'd stop by. So, may we welcome her? We'd appreciate it. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Welcome to Springfield, Madam Mayor. House Bill 772. Senator Koehler. House Bill 812. Senator McCann. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 812.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McCann.

SENATOR McCANN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I promise this will be the last State designation bill I run today. So, that being said, House Bill 812 designates shelter dogs and cats as the State pet of Illinois. I ask for an Aye vote.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 812 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 44 Ayes, 5 Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 812, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 1273. Senator Harmon. House Bill 1785. Senator Hutchinson. House Bill -- House Bill 1804. Senator Hutchinson. House Bill 1853. Senator McConnaughay. Senator McConnaughay. House Bill 1914. Senator Koehler. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 1914.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Koehler, on your bill.

### SENATOR KOEHLER:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. This bill extends the authorization for public water districts and not-for-profit private water companies allowing them to construct, alter, maintain, and extend waste line -- wastewater lines along, upon and across or under highway, streets, and public grounds. Be happy to answer any questions.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 1914 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 1914, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 1955. Senator Althoff. House Bill 2527. Senator Stadelman. Senator Stadelman seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 2527 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 2527. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Stadelman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Stadelman, on your amendment.

#### SENATOR STADELMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'll explain it on 3rd. I move that it be adopted.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is House Bill 2527. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2527.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Stadelman, on your bill.

#### SENATOR STADELMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. House Bill 2527 creates a high school diploma program for adult learners. I know, in Rockford in many of the communities, we have a large percentage of the population of adult learners who still have not received their high school diploma. This is obviously an economic development issue and it will provide chances for those who've not been able to graduate from high school to get their diploma and increase their work opportunities. Happy to answer any questions. I ask for an Aye vote.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2527 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 2527, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 2543. Senator Sandoval. House Bill 2559. Senator Muñoz. House Bill 2665. Senator Harmon. Mr. -- Senator Harmon seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 2665 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 2665. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 3, offered by Senator -- Silverstein. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

With leave of the Body, Senator Harmon will present the amendment. Senator Harmon, on the amendment.

### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is House Bill 2665. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2665.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon, on your bill.

### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senator Silverstein asked me to take over sponsorship of this bill yesterday. It's an initiative of the Guardianship and Advocacy Commission on which we both serve. It provides a regime of training for court-appointed guardians for individuals with disabilities. The amendment addresses the most significant area of concern that we heard from some families who wanted to be able to serve as guardians of their family members without going through

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

the training. There still was some lingering concern in committee, but I think we've addressed the brunt of it with the amendment. Happy to answer any questions to the best of my ability and I ask for your Aye votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Nybo, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR NYBO:

Will the sponsor yield for a couple questions?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will.

SENATOR NYBO:

Senator, is this the amendment that we discussed in committee yesterday? Or -- or it was earlier this week, I believe, we discussed this particular amendment. Right?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Yes, Senator, this is the amendment we discussed in the Executive Committee. It's just now catching up to the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Nybo.

SENATOR NYBO:

It -- it's my understanding that there were still groups concerned about this bill and that there was going to be -- there were going to be discussions that took place to try to address their concerns. So it sounds like not all of their concerns have yet been addressed?

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon.

#### SENATOR HARMON:

No -- no, I don't believe so, Senator. We heard from one witness in committee, which was, I think, news to both the sponsor and to me, as a cosponsor, who raised some lingering concerns about whether or not this training was required. I believe, with the amendment, we have struck a balance, where you can go into court when you're obtaining guardianship and file an affidavit for good cause that you already have the skills that you need. Essentially, it creates a back door for a judge to say to a prospective guardian, you know what, I -- I -- I really think you need to accumulate a few more tools in order to be a successful guardian. So I don't believe we responded to -- to the concerns raised in the committee. I know that there were some subsequent conversations. I just don't know how to do it other than not passing the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Nybo. The question is -- Senator Radogno. Leader Radogno.

#### SENATOR RADOGNO:

Thank you. I just have a couple questions for the sponsor. One of the...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

He indicates he will yield.

#### SENATOR RADOGNO:

The issues that were raised in committee that I don't think were answered is, why is Cook County excluded, since that's the largest area that would have guardians?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Senator Harmon.

#### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you -- thank you, Mr. President. An interesting question, Senator Radogno, and -- and I can report, as the new sponsor of the bill, that it has been reported to me that Cook County is exempt from the training because it has its own probate court and access to individuals that know how to care for those with special needs in addition to an abundance of resources that are unavailable in other counties.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Radogno.

#### SENATOR RADOGNO:

What about counties like DuPage County or other counties? Do they not have probate courts?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon.

#### SENATOR HARMON:

This is the way the bill came over from the House. That's the best explanation I can muster.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Radogno.

### SENATOR RADOGNO:

So who -- the training program itself, who's going to provide it and who is going to pay for that? And do families need to pay a fee in order to take it?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon.

#### SENATOR HARMON:

The Guardianship and Advocacy Commission will develop that

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

training program. It is not specified in the bill, nor are there any fees associated with it in the bill. I would point out that this affidavit for good cause, I think, should satisfy the concerns of any family member who is seeking to be a guardian of -- of their own family member. I think it would be quite shocking for a judge to say, no, and in that rare case, perhaps it's appropriate. This is more intended for folks who are serving as guardians for a nonrelative and who may not have the relationship or the skills to be a guardian.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Radogno.

#### SENATOR RADOGNO:

Thank -- thank you. I -- to the bill. I just don't think that the -- the need or a problem has been identified for which we're attempting to develop a solution. There clearly will be some sort of cost in developing this program. My guess is, it may be farmed out to a private entity, but that then will become a -another cost associated with the -- to the general taxpayer, when, in fact, there really hasn't been a screaming need for this. fact, there are parents and -- and families that do not want this bill. Those are the ones that signed in in opposition. So while we're trying to get a budget together, we have absolutely no money. We talk about how bad property taxes are. This is exactly the kind of thing that will lead to increased property taxes, albeit a small amount with something like this, but this is the type of thing we do over and over and over again that leads to those huge bills. So, I would urge a No vote on this. Thank you. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion? Seeing none, Senator Harmon,

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

to close.

#### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I haven't had this bill long, but I have no idea how it attaches to property taxes. It's a -- Guardianship and Advocacy is a State agency. But on behalf of Senator Silverstein, I ask for your Aye votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall House Bill 2665 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 33 Ayes, 21 Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 2665, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 2698. Senator Hutchinson. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2698.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson, on your bill.

### SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 2698 creates the State Small Business Credit Initiative Fund in the Build Illinois Act. It requires that the Small Business Credit Initiative Fund make loans and investments in Illinois businesses in the same manner as the Illinois Capital Revolving Loan Fund. This is an initiative of DCEO and I thank the chief cosponsorship of Senator McConnaughay and hope everyone joins in with support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2698 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 2698, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 2801. Senator Harmon. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2801.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon, on your bill.

#### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 2801 is identical to a bill we passed out of the Senate with a unanimous vote in the last General Assembly. It did not make it through the House. This year it has come back to us with broad support across the building. It equalizes the tax treatment of liquefied natural gas and propane to gasoline and diesel fuel to encourage the use of alternative fuels. I'm not aware of any opposition. I ask you for your Aye votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2801 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Bill 2801, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 2802. Senator Sandoval. House Bill 2810. Senator Manar. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2810.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar, on your bill.

#### SENATOR MANAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill is an initiative of the Illinois animal control officers. It says that an animal -- that a person is prohibited from adopting or transferring, selling, offering for sale, bartering, or giving away from "as a pet a dog or a {sic} cat" to "any animal" - so that's the language change - forfeited or relinquished to the person who forfeited the animal or person who lives with them. This is in response to how pets are treated during incidences when animal control officers are called to situations with law enforcement. I'd be happy to take any questions on the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Barickman, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

#### SENATOR BARICKMAN:

I just want to make the Body aware. My understanding is that

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

the Illinois Federation of Dog Clubs and Owners are opposed to this legislation, because when combined with current law regarding impoundment, it will make it easier to seize animals with less proof. And I'd encourage the Body to approach the vote cautiously. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield, please?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

First, Senator Manar, I -- I would ask you first to respond if you -- if you care to, to the point that Senator Barickman just made.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar.

SENATOR MANAR:

Is the question, do I care to, or would I respond?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar.

SENATOR MANAR:

Yes, so I have a piece of paper in front of me from the Illinois Federation of Dog Clubs and Owners that was delivered to my office a few days ago. This bill got a hundred and sixteen votes in the House. We worked on an amendment to remove opposition from the Farm Bureau. What the previous speaker said is articulated in the document that's in front of me from the Federation.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

And -- and, Senator, when you were describing the bill, I was listening to it, but I'll be honest with you, I became a little confused in the language. I don't want you to repeat it. I'd like you to give me the hypothetical. What -- what goes on now that, if this were to become law, we're going to prevent? Thank you, Mr. President. Thank you, Senator Manar.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion? Senator Manar, to close. SENATOR MANAR:

Just to answer your question, it would be an instance where law enforcement calls an animal control officer to, I presume, the scene of a crime, potential crime, there's animal abuse, and this would put more measures in place to make sure that that animal doesn't end up back in the same place with someone else in the same house in an environment that is not good for the animal. So I would say it's rare, but the animal control officers brought this forward and asked that we consider this change. I would ask for an Aye vote, Mr. President. Thank you.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall House Bill 2810 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 38 Ayes, 16 Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 2810, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 2893. Senator Anderson. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2893.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Anderson, on your bill.

#### SENATOR ANDERSON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. House Bill 2893 is a repeat of a bill that we passed out of here with very little opposition and we -- the -- there's a Floor amendment on this. It just adds an immediate effective date. I know of no opposition and I would ask for a favorable vote.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Holmes, for what purpose do you rise?

### SENATOR HOLMES:

Just to clarify, and I do, of course, want to thank my friend, the sponsor, for continually bringing these bills and calling me out on the Floor. I will be consistent and I will vote No on your legislation and look forward to seeing what you're going to bring next Session. Thank you.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2893 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 51 Ayes, 3 Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 2893, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 2953. Senator Sandoval.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

House Bill 2963. Senator Harmon. House Bill 3001. Senator Hastings. Out of the record. House Bill 3095. Senator Muñoz. House Bill 3122. Senator Castro. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3122.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Castro, on your bill.

#### SENATOR CASTRO:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. House Bill 3122 amends the IMRF provisions of the Illinois Pension Code, providing that any person who holds part-time office as a member of a governing body, whether he or she is elected or appointed, is — prohibited from participating in an IMRF plan. Officials will be deemed part-time if they work less than a thousand hours a year. House Bill 3122 will not apply to officials elected or appointed prior to the effective date. I ask for an Aye vote.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any question? Seeing none, the -- the question is, shall House Bill 3122 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3122, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3293. Senator Castro. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

House Bill 3293.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Castro, on your bill.

#### SENATOR CASTRO:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. House Bill 3293 is an initiative of the House sponsor. Requires that when an individual or entity that is not a school district, religious organization, school bus vendor, or school bus company sells a school bus, the recipient must remove or conceal the school bus insignia, disconnect or remove the stop signal arm and flashing signal system, and change the color of the bus. We had a lot of debate in committee about this, and to clarify and go back to what Senator Oberweis had brought, we looked at the law, Senator, and this only addresses the part of selling. There is nothing in the Code. Everything that it talks about is operating, but there is nothing or any language that reflects the selling of the school bus, so this just adds that language to the law. I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator McCarter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR McCARTER:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates she will yield.

SENATOR McCARTER:

Senator, what -- what was the -- what happened in your

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

district or somewhere else that caused you to want to bring this bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Castro.

#### SENATOR CASTRO:

Well, there was a couple things. One, the House sponsor mentioned that there was an individual who had a school bus and it was parked in front of their home and the individual was concerned that it was still painted yellow. They were concerned that they — you know, the thought was that they didn't want people using a school bus. Children think a school bus — it's — it's a safe zone. Correct? And this individual was using it for private use. They did not want folks to be using it for an unscrupulous nature. On top of the fact that there has been organizations who have used the school bus off hours — still yellow, still with the arm — for private parties, and that's where it goes back to — the operating part of it and now — again, there was a lot of discussion about that, but hopefully that answers your question, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McCarter.

SENATOR McCARTER:

To the bill. Ladies and...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

#### SENATOR McCARTER:

Ladies and Gentlemen, you know, we got the timer on - for what reason, I have no idea. We could have just skipped this bill and about a hundred others. I mean, let's face it, we -- we got a lot of important things to do here, including passing a budget

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

that will eliminate a lot of pain on the people that we represent. But we're afraid of somebody walking into a school bus or, at eleven o'clock at night when it's being used as a party bus, we're afraid that people might -- children might get on it at eleven o'clock at night. This is -- this is really bad priorities and a great example of it. Vote No.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3293 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 38 Ayes, 10 Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3293, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3342. Senator Raoul. House Bill 3376. Senator Manar. House Bill 3539. Senator Biss. House Bill 3744. Senator Hunter. Senator Hunter. House Bill 3784. Senator Weaver. House Bill 3879. Senator Raoul. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3879.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul, on your bill.

#### SENATOR RAOUL:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 3879 allows the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority to conduct an inventory of law enforcement agencies, county sheriff's offices, circuit court clerks, or circuit clerks in the State that operate using a

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

predominantly paper system. I urge your Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3879 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3879, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Trotter in the Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

On the regular Calendar of House Bill 123 {sic}, page 28, we go to House Bill 123. Senator Link. Senator Link, you wish to proceed? He indicates he does. Mr. Secretary, read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 123.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Link, to the bill.

### SENATOR LINK:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill designates the last Monday in September of -- as Indigenous Peoples Day. It would be a day to recognize the contribution of indigenous people, suitable for ceremony and fellowship designed to promote greater understanding of kinship between the indigenous peoples and nonindigenous people in the State of Illinois. I know of no opposition to the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

question is, shall House Bill 123 pass. All those in favor would vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 47 Yeas, no Nays, no voting Present. House Bill 123, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

WSIL-TV seeks permission to record video and audio. Seeing no objection, permission granted. On page 38 of the printed Calendar is the Order of Secretary's Desk, Senate Bills, Concurrence. This is final action. Senate Bill 8. Senator Harmon. Out of the record. Senate Bill 31. President Cullerton. Out of the record. Senate Bill 100. Senator Jones. Senate Bill 701. Senator Morrison. Mr. Secretary, read the motion.

#### ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 701.

Signed by Senator Morrison.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Morrison, on your motion.

### SENATOR MORRISON:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is an improvement to the bill. It allows unused vacation time from the final three months of the final rate of the earnings period to be included in the amount due to the IMRF by the municipality. I would ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 701. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 701. The bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 764. Senator Morrison. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

### ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 764.

Signed by Senator Morrison.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Morrison, on your motion.

### SENATOR MORRISON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. This amendment simply changes from "shall" to "may" regarding the posting of a sign in English and in Spanish to include the toll-free phone number operated by DCFS to receive reports of child abuse and neglect. I would ask the Members for an Aye vote on this.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 764. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 764. The bill is declared passed. With leave of

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

the Body, we'll go back to Senate Bill 100. Senator Jones. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

### ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 100.

Signed by Senator Jones.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Jones, on your motion.

#### SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move to concur with the House. House made a few changes, but most importantly, for my colleagues on the opposite side of the aisle, we was able to keep this piece of legislation bipartisan. Look for a favorable roll call. Thank you.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 100. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. There are 4 -- 50 Ayes, 1 Nay, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur on House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 100, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 768. Senator Martinez. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

#### ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 768.

Signed by Senator Martinez.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Senator Martinez, on your motion.

#### SENATOR MARTINEZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. I ask for concurrence on -- to extend the sunset of the Clinical Social Worker {sic} (Work) and Social Work Practice Act from January 1st, 2018 to January 1st, 2028. It also clarifies the oversight, investigative, and disciplinary duties of the Social Work Examining and Disciplinary Board in the Department of Financial and Professional Regulations {sic} (Regulation). It also makes additional clarifying and technical changes throughout and I'll be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion on the motion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 768. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 768, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill -- 898. Senator Althoff. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

### ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 898.

Signed by Senator Althoff.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Althoff, on your motion.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Thank you very much, Mr. President. Actually, the concurrence becomes the bill. It actually clarifies the conditions under which properly educated, trained physical therapists may perform dry needling procedures. This was an issue that we collaborated on with Representative Mah over in the House. It's an excellent bill. The Department loves it. The physical therapists love it, as do the acupuncturists. So I'd ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Rose, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR ROSE:

Will the sponsor yield, please?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

She indicates she will.

SENATOR ROSE:

Did you say this is an excellent bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Althoff.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

It's an excellent, important bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does the Senate concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 898. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 898, and the bill is declared passed.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Senate Bill 899. Senator Althoff. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

### ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 899.

Signed by Senator Althoff.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Althoff, on your motion.

#### SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. The House Floor amendment adds two things to this bill, a peer review language clarification and it creates a CPA Coordinator within the Department of Finance and Professional Regulations {sic} (Financial and Professional Regulation). It was an initiative of the CPA Society. Neither the House nor the Senate sponsor had a problem with it. I'd ask for an Aye vote.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 899. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 899, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1029. Senator Barickman. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

#### ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1029.

Signed by Senator Barickman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Barickman, on your motion.

#### SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. In the House, an amendment was made to add the language "from an appropriation made to the Department for this purpose, use funds received under this Act to pay for the". I know of no opposition remaining to this bill and would ask for a favorable vote on the motion -- adopt the motion. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1029. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate concurs with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1029, and is -- the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1223. Senator Lightford. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

### ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in their adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1223.

Signed by Senator Lightford.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Lightford, on your motion.

#### SENATOR LIGHTFORD:

I'm working on -- oh, hi. I'm -- I -- I'd like to move to

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

adopt the motion and explain it on 3rd, please, Mr. President. It's already been adopted. Okay. Then give me -- then give me a moment, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Lightford.

#### SENATOR LIGHTFORD:

Thank you for your patience, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. There were a couple changes that they made in the House that I'd like to share with you. It did remove all opposition. Now the State Board and School Management Alliance are both neutral. It removes the compacting curriculum, grade telescoping, and early high school graduation from the definition of "accelerated placement". It changes provisions concerning transparency, the referral process, and providing parents with a written plan to an option rather than a requirement. It removes language concerning a required appeals process for accelerated placement. And it also provides ISBE, that they shall adopt the rules to determine the data collection related to accelerated placement and how to make that data publicly available. I believe that they made the bill a much better bill and I'd be happy to answer questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the -- oh, I'm sorry, Senator McCarter, for what purpose do you rise?

#### SENATOR McCARTER:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

She indicates she will yield.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

#### SENATOR McCARTER:

Senator, is this -- would you -- would you consider this a - a new mandate in any way?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Lightford.

SENATOR LIGHTFORD:

No.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McCarter.

### SENATOR McCARTER:

I mean, I'm -- I'm looking at -- it has a list of requirements and -- and why would that not be some additional burden on schools? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Lightford.

#### SENATOR LIGHTFORD:

I -- I believe that with the amendment that was made, it satisfied the opposition, and therefore the School Management Alliance have been opposed to all mandates and I guess with this amendment, by them removing their opposition, I would argue that it's no longer a mandate.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McCarter.

SENATOR McCARTER:

To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

### SENATOR McCARTER:

You know, this -- when I was on Education Committee, I -- I -- I saw time and time after -- you know, people coming forward

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

with -- with mandates, requirements, whether they're big or small. And I understand that the school -- the Management School Board Alliance {sic}, I mean, they kind of dropped that and said, no, let's -- let's -- let's be in favor of this. And even on the -negotiating with the -- the -- the new formula and mandate relief, they really didn't seem to think that this was an issue, but I still think it's an issue. And they can say that it's okay now and they're not -- they're not going to take a stand against mandates, but I'll tell you what, when I have to go home and talk to the actual schools that have to actually implement these things, they're not sitting here to -- to -- to oppose it. I know they send some people here to -- to speak on their behalf, but I'm telling you, when it comes down to the local schools, they're the ones that have to do the work, and I walked into one of my schools years ago and saw a complete wall full of mandates and it wasn't just to impress me. It wasn't just to -- to, you know, put 'em on display. They literally had a white board, planning how they were going to implement all the rules that we had put in place. So, I'm sure it's a good thing, but I will trust that the schools in my district can do this because they care about the kids and they're smart enough to do it. So I'm going -- I'm going to be a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion? Senator Lightford, to close. SENATOR LIGHTFORD:

Thank you, Mr. President. I just want to remind the Body that this bill was on the Agreed Bill List. It's actually the gifted program and we've spent a lot of time promoting gifted education in the State collectively as a Body. I think that

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

seventy-seven percent of our school districts have a gifted program. We're just trying to implement a statewide policy to go along with the gifted programs that the school districts already have. It would allow students to enter school early. They can take classes above grade level. It allows them to skip grades and graduate early if they are gifted students, if they've been tested and if the school district is in support of that. So this is just to bring it all to make sense across the board for all school districts. I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1223. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, 1 Nay, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1223, and the bill is declared passed. KTVI FOX 2 St. Louis seeks permission to video. State Journal-Register seeks permission to take pictures. Leave is granted. With leave of the Body, we'll turn to page 31 of the printed Calendar, House Bills 3rd Reading. House Bill 769. Senator Muñoz. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 769.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz, on your bill.

SENATOR MUÑOZ:

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This bill designates April 1st of each year as Illinois State Trooper Day in order to honor the dedicated men and women of the Illinois State Police. Illinois State Trooper Day shall be observed throughout the State with civic remembrances of the sacrifices made by the State Police, especially those who have lost their lives in the line of duty. I know of no opposition to the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Oberweis, for what purpose do you rise?

## SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will yield.

## SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Senator, you didn't happen to pick April 1st as the day for any particular reason, did you?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz.

## SENATOR MUÑOZ:

No. It was a date that they picked and -- and one of the reasons why -- here I'll show -- April 1st was the chosen date because April 1st, 1922, John J. Stack was appointed the first Director of the Illinois State Police. Additionally, the first eight Illinois State highway patrol officers were also appointed on the same date.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion? Seeing none, the question

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

is, shall House Bill 769 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 769, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 2559. Senator Muñoz. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 2559.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz, on your bill.

## SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill would require courts granting a name change to a person with a criminal record who has not received a pardon, who has pending arrests or charge, to forward a copy of the name change order, including associated documents, to the Illinois State Police. The State Police must in turn update any criminal history transcript or offender registration of each person eighteen years or older in the name change order to include name change as well as his or her former name. I know of no opponents.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2559 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Bill 2559, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3095. Senator Muñoz. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3095.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz, on your bill.

## SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill amends the State Police Act by stating that any person who has been honorably discharged, who served in combat mission by proof of hostile fire pay or imminent danger pay during deployment on active duty, anyone who has at least four {sic} (three) years of full active and continuous military duty along with an honorable discharge prior to being hired is deemed to have met the collegiate educational requirements for an appointment to the -- Department of the State Police officer. I know of no opponents. This is to help our veterans to become a police officer because they have not met the college requirements. This is what we're trying to do to help our veterans.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3095 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3095, having received the required constitutional majority,

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

is declared passed. With leave of the Body, we'll turn to page 31 of the printed Calendar, House Bill 1783. Senator Rose. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 1783.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rose, on your bill.

## SENATOR ROSE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill -- excuse me for a second -- I think we've already passed this once this Session. This is the House bill version of it. It amends the Electronic Funds Transfer Act by requiring a person who owns an ATM to post phone numbers for consumers to call if there's a problem with that particular ATM machine. It -- it also repeals the Check Printer and Check Number Act, which is obsolete at this point. I will say that there was some confusion in committee about this. I did agree to hold it on 2nd, pending a resolution to that. My understanding is that that has been resolved and that your side of the aisle has been made aware of that and agrees with calling this at this time. If there's anybody who thinks that that's not the case, please tell me and I'll pull the -- that was right. You were the one, weren't you, Mr. President? Yeah, but I think your staff -- knows and agrees with the fact that it's all been resolved now. So, with that, I would open a -- ask for an Aye vote. Thanks.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 1783 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed,

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 1783, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 15 -- we're back on page 40 of Concurrences. Senate Bill 1544. Senator Mulroe. Senator Mulroe. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

### ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1544.

Signed by Senator Mulroe.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Mulroe, on your motion.

## SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 54 {sic} deletes the whole bill and becomes the bill. It extends the fifteen-day deadline for long term care facilities to submit a resident's admission documents to forty-five days. This is a negotiated bill. I know of no opposition. I'd ask for your support.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1544. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur on House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1544, and the bill is declared passed. Senate

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Bill 1556. Senator Cunningham. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

### ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1556.

Signed by Senator Cunningham.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cunningham, on your motion.

### SENATOR CUNNINGHAM:

Thank you, Mr. President. The bill is an initiative of the Secretary of State's Office. Makes some changes to the Vehicle Code. The House amendment just removes a notification provision from the underlying bill. That — the removal of that was agreed to actually here in the Senate, but there was a drafting error and it wasn't included, so the amendment take — takes care of that. There's no opposition. I'd appreciate your Yes votes on concurrence.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1556. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Have -- having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to 1556, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1688. Senator Raoul. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

### ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1688.

Signed by Senator Raoul.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul, on your motion.

### SENATOR RAOUL:

Thank you. The amendment in the House to this bill was a page-and-line amendment at the request of the Department of Insurance. It clarifies any ambiguities by explicit -- explicitly authorizing discretionary denials based on prior convictions after evidence of rehabilitation and conviction-based impairment has been considered.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1688. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 46 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1688, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1693. Senator Tom Cullerton. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

### ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1693.

Signed by Senator Tom Cullerton.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cullerton, on your motion.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Thank you, Mr. President. I'm moving to concur. All this is doing when it came over from the House is changes the effective date from effective immediately to January 1st. I ask for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to 1693. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1693, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1694. Senator Koehler. Please read -- Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

## ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1694.

Signed by Senator Koehler.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Koehler, on your motion.

## SENATOR KOEHLER:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. This amendment just removes the opposition between the tow truck operators and the financial institutions. And I'd appreciate an Aye vote.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1694. All

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1694, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1722. Senator Raoul. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

### ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1722.

Signed by Senator Raoul.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul, on your motion.

### SENATOR RAOUL:

Thank you, Mr. President. The amendment in the House, sponsored by -- Leader Durkin, creates the Violent Crimes Suppression {sic} (Violent Crime Intelligence) Task Force, adds a five-year sunset, and creates a first-offender diversion program at the discretion of the State's Attorney.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1722. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 36 Ayes, 12 Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1722, and the bill is declared passed.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Senate Bill 1811. Senator Althoff. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

### ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1811.

Signed by Senator Althoff.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Althoff, on your motion.

### SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Thank you, Mr. President. The House amendment made the following changes: The definition is broadened to include mental health professionals in the Telehealth bill. There are a number of mental health professionals who are not licensed but are authorized to practice - they were added. And the Telehealth definition from the Insurance Code is included to ensure continuity with both Acts. I know of no one who opposed. I think these are great additions and I would ask to concur.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the -- the Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1811. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. The Senate, having concur -- having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1811, and the bill is declared passed. Senator Anderson, for what purpose do you rise?

## SENATOR ANDERSON:

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Yes, Mr. President, I -- I intended to vote Nay on Senate Bill 1688. I'd like the record to reflect that, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The record will reflect your intention. Senator Fowler, for what purpose do you rise?

### SENATOR FOWLER:

...President, I -- I also intended to vote Nay on 1688. I'd like the record to reflect, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Record will reflect your intention. Senator Schimpf, for what purpose do you rise?

## SENATOR SCHIMPF:

Yes, Mr. Chairman {sic}, I was late getting to -- getting to my switch and -- and I hit -- hit the wrong one. I'd like to be a No on Senate Bill 1688.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The record will reflect your intention. Senator Raoul, for what purpose do you rise?

## SENATOR RAOUL:

Point of personal privilege. I -- I'd just like to thank...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

### SENATOR RAOUL:

I'd like to just thank my colleagues who voted Yes on 1688. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senate Bill 1933. Senator Manar. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

### ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1933.

Signed by Senator Manar.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar, on your motion.

### SENATOR MANAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment that I'm asking the Body to concur on would bring closure to the debate that we've had for two years now that I think we've come to a broad agreement on to institute automatic voter registration in the State of Illinois. The amendment the House added to the bill becomes the bill. incorporates several changes in regards to how agencies are covered and how agencies interact and provide for automatic voter registration. In addition to that, there's -- oh, there's about a dozen or so changes that -- technical in nature that came from both the Secretary of State's Office and the State Board of Elections to help implementation go a bit smoother. I would like to take the opportunity to thank my colleagues in the Senate for constructive criticism on this bill, both this Session and the previous Session when we had the first debate. I believe that the place where we landed here is a very good step forward for the people of the State and I think it also shows that we can come to bipartisan agreement on major issues, such as what's contained in I look forward to any questions on the concurrence this bill. motion. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Any discussion? Senator Rezin, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR REZIN:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

To the bill.

### SENATOR REZIN:

I would like to commend the sponsor. I know that we've gone back and forth. Thank you for taking your bill and also our bill, bringing it together and making actually a very good bill. Also would like to thank you for addressing the State Board of Elections' concern in this amendment in the bill and would like to ask for everyone's support. Thank you.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1933. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1933, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 2057. Senator Rose. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

### ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2-0-5-7.

Signed by Senator Rose.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rose, on your motion.

### SENATOR ROSE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is an agreed bill. The -the amendment clarified the language so it'd clean it up and be
easier to read. But, basically, it's the same thing we did in the

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

original version, which was unanimous in the idea that public health departments should not regulate co-ops, communal living arrangements, fraternities, sororities, things of that nature, any differently than they regulate any other private residential leasehold estate. Again, it is an agreed bill and I'd appreciate an Aye vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2057. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2057, and the bill is declared passed. Senator Hastings, for what purpose do you rise?

Just a purpose of announcement, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your announcement.

## SENATOR HASTINGS:

Senator Silverstein wanted me to wish everyone a happy Shavuot. It's a Jewish holiday and that's the reason why he is not here today and he asked for an excused absence. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Weaver, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR WEAVER:

I'd like to have my -- Mr. President, I'd like to have my

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

vote on 1722 registered as a No - 1722.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The record will reflect your intention. Mr. Secretary, Messages from the House.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

A Message from the House by Mr. Mapes, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to wit:

Senate Bill 446.

Together with the following amendment which is attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 446.

Passed the House, as amended, May 31st, 2017. Timothy D. Mapes, Clerk of the House.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Mr. Secretary, Resolution.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 587, offered by Senator Connelly and all Members.

It is a death resolution, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Resolution Consent Calendar. If we -- go to the Supplemental Calendar No. 1, Secretary's Desk, Concurrence of Senate Bills. Senate Bill 675. Senator Stadelman. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Amendments 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 675.

Signed by Senator Stadelman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Stadelman, on your motion.

### SENATOR STADELMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. The concurrence makes some technical changes to an initiative from the Secretary of State. It addresses several issues it identified to address the practice of multiple people using one address to receive and then sell fraudulent State driver's licenses or ID cards and establish greater penalties for the Secretary of State's Office to go after these offenders. I ask for an Aye vote.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 675. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendments 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 675, and the bill is declared passed. KFVS-TV seeks permission to video the Senate. Permission's granted. Mr. Secretary -- Senator Biss, for what purpose do you rise?

### SENATOR BISS:

For a point of personal privilege, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

SENATOR BISS:

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Thank you. You know, a few minutes ago, we voted on Senate Bill 1933, a bill that I'm a cosponsor of, and I had trouble with my voting box and so was recorded as not voting. I'd like the record to reflect my intent to enthusiastically vote Aye.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The record will reflect your intention. Senate Bill 887. Senator McGuire. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 887.

Signed by Senator McGuire.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McGuire, on your motion.

## SENATOR McGUIRE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 887, as amended, allows the Illinois Board of Higher Ed and the Illinois Community College Board to collect a processing and handling fee for producing individual level data requests pursuant to data sharing agreements outside State and federal statute with safeguards regarding personally identifiable information. I know of no opposition. Ask for concurrence.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate -- will the Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 887. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, 1 Nay, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with

57th Legislative Day

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

5/31/2017

House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 887, and the bill is declared passed. Will the Committee on Assignments please meet in the President's Anteroom immediately? Will the Committee on Assignments please meet in the President's Anteroom immediately? (at ease) Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

Senator Clayborne, Chairman of the Committee on Assignments, reports the following Legislative Measures have been assigned: Refer to Executive Committee - Motion to Concur with House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 57, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 262, Motion to -- to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 322, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 886, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1399, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1434, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1598, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1774, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1842, Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2034, and Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2046; re-refer from Revenue Committee to Executive Committee - Motion to Concur with House Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 473; refer to Licensed Activities and Pensions Committee - Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 326, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 865, and Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 885; refer to the Special Committee on the Oversight of Medicaid Managed Care - Senate Resolution 561; refer to State Government Committee - Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 266, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Bill 707, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1400, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1462, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1489, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1532, Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1668, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1869, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1895, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1902, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2068; Be Approved for Consideration - Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 852, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1348, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1720, Floor Amendment 1 and 2 to House Bill 2953, Senate Resolutions 283, 379, 579, Senate Joint Resolution 43, Floor Amendment 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 479, Floor Amendment 1 to House Bill 328, and House Bill 3259.

Signed, Senator James F. Clayborne, Chairman.

Correction: Under Be Approved for Consideration, I read Floor Amendment 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 479; it should have been Floor Amendment 1 and 2 to House Bill 479.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

I would like to make an announcement. Executive will be meeting at 3:15 in Room 212. State Government will be meeting at 3:15 in Room 409. Licensed Activities and Pensions will be meeting at 3:15, Room 400. On Supplemental Calendar No. 1, Senate Bill 910. Senator Bush. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 910.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Signed by Senator Bush.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Bush, on your motion.

SENATOR BUSH:

I recommend that the motion be adopted. Look at him. He's looking at me like no.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Bush.

SENATOR BUSH:

So, the motion to concur, it amends the Illinois Voting Rights Act of 2011, and instead of the original changes made to the Counties Code, the amendment does not include a provision found in the original bill that prohibited discrimination against or in favor of any political party or individual.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is -- is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 910. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 33 Ayes, 22 Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 910, and the bill is declared passed. Leader Radogno, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR RADOGNO:

Point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

SENATOR RADOGNO:

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Yes, well, I know we're in a hurry, but I did have my light on to speak on that last bill and I was just passed over. I had it on pretty early.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

I apologize. It probably was my mistake, but I did not see it. So I apologize. Senator Raoul, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR RAOUL:

Point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

### SENATOR RAOUL:

I think there must be some technical difficulties that prevented you from seeing Leader Radogno's light, because I intended to vote Yes to that Senate Bill 910 and I'd like the record to reflect that that was my intention. I know that's happened with others here today, so I've joined the club.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The record will reflect your intention. Senator Rose, for what purpose do you rise?

### SENATOR ROSE:

I'll take this opportunity to correct the record on Senator Raoul's bill, Senate Bill 1688, and I'd ask the record to reflect my intention to have voted No on the gentleman's bill. Thank you. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The record will reflect your intention. Senate Bill 31. President Cullerton. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 31.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Signed by President Cullerton.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

President Cullerton, on your motion.

## SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. As amended by the House, Senate Bill 31 provides that State or local law enforcement shall not arrest or detain a person solely on the basis of his or her immigration status or based on an immigration detainer. This prohibition does not apply if there's a valid judicial warrant. The amendment that the House added represents an -- agreed language between proponents and law enforcement. It eliminates the provisions of the bill dealing with safe zones and federal registries, and as a result, there's no opposition from the law enforcement groups. They either support the bill or are neutral, which includes the Illinois State's Attorneys Association and the Illinois Chiefs of Police. Be happy to answer any questions. But, again, the opposition that existed when the bill left here has been removed and I'd ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion on the motion? Senator Biss, for what purpose do you rise?

## SENATOR BISS:

To the motion, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the motion.

### SENATOR BISS:

I just want to thank the sponsor for his tireless work on this issue. We've had this bill around for years. It has an urgency to it now because of the current administration in

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Washington and the chance to enact this into law is crucial for vulnerable people across the State of Illinois. Nobody should be scared to call 9-1-1 in the State of Illinois. It's not sensible. It's not fair. It's not good for public safety. And I strongly urge everyone in this Chamber to vote Aye on this bill and I strongly urge the Governor to sign it immediately. People in Illinois need our help. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR HARMON:

To the motion, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the motion.

## SENATOR HARMON:

I, too, commend the Senate President for his hard work on this bill and I share Senator Biss's notion that we do have a renewed sense of urgency. My hometown of Oak Park recently enacted its own welcoming village ordinance, joining more than ten other communities in Illinois and almost six hundred across the country in passing welcoming policies. We did so in Oak Park because we value our community's diversity and we know it is important to welcome immigrants. I'm -- I'm proud of what we did at home in Oak Park and I'm very proud to be participating today in the Senate in this effort to reaffirm those values for all of Illinois. The Illinois TRUST Act sets reasonable constitutional limits on local police interaction with ICE enforcement and, most importantly, it fosters trust between local police and immigrant communities. In my district, in the villages of Melrose Park and Stone Park and Northlake and Bensenville and Wood Dale, there is a -- a growing

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Latino population that is unnerved by what is going on today at the national level and that ripple-through, that distrust at home is -- undermines all that we have worked for to establish sound and embracing communities. Now all we're doing today in this bill is saying that immigration detainers must be issued by a judge in order for the local police to comply. Local police cannot stop, search, or arrest anyone based purely on the person's immigration or citizenship status. But this, too, is important - State and local police can still act upon valid enforceable federal warrants and can still communicate with ICE and other federal law enforcement agencies. So this is common sense, effective reform aimed at a critical public safety issue that respects the Constitution. Again, I applaud the sponsor and I urge you all to vote Aye.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Radogno. I only make a mistake once. I won't do it a second time. Leader Radogno...

SENATOR RADOGNO:

Thank...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

...for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR RADOGNO:

Thank you. To the bill, Mr. President. This...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To -- to the bill.

SENATOR RADOGNO:

Okay. This is not a new idea. This bill has been around for several years and it's never quite made it this far, because it had real problems and there were real objections. I think I voted

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

for it out of committee a few times, but not able to support it on the Floor because it wasn't quite right. So I join with others that commend the sponsor in getting this to the point where local law enforcement, State's Attorneys, all believe that this is collectively good, in particular, for fostering cooperation between various communities and the police so that we can keep the entire State safer. So I would urge a -- a Yes vote on this and hope it gets a high number. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR RAOUL:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

### SENATOR RAOUL:

I'd, too, like to commend the sponsor. You know, as a previous speaker referenced, we often look at the face of the undocumented immigrant community as being Latino, but it's -- it's -- it's a lot more diverse than that. As many of you all know, I am of Haitian -- I'm a child of two Haitian immigrants and what many of you all may not know is I have a sister who educated herself to work in the fashion industry, but after spending time in Guantánamo Bay -- has dedicated her career over the last twenty-five years working with undocumented Haitian immigrants, who are here as a result of both political and economic oppression. And so when we think about this, we need to think about it more broadly than perhaps we sometimes view it. And we also have to think about our own heritage. We are a nation of immigrants. I -- I don't know everybody's background in here, but I would bet that if you

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

trace it back, you could take -- trace it back to immigrant status in this physical land, and so we shouldn't pretend that we are not and we shouldn't pretend like -- like we have the resources to direct our local law enforcement to do anything other than focus on fighting crime. And to that extent, we shouldn't have local law enforcement focused on doing what we have a federal agency charged to do. And so I commend the sponsor for working on this and I urge everybody to vote Aye.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Martinez, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR MARTINEZ:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

### SENATOR MARTINEZ:

Let me just give a little stats of what we've been finding out lately. It says here that there are an estimated half a million undocumented immigrants in Illinois with eighty-seven percent of them that are in what's called mixed-status household. That means at least one family member is either a U.S. citizen or some kind of a legal immigration status. Now, a study done by the University of Illinois found that seventy percent of undocumented Latino immigrants said that they are less likely to contact police if they are victims of a crime and only forty-four percent of all Latinos were less likely to contact police. This was before --before the administration -- before the current administration in Washington came in. One of the things that we also saw that I think is -- is what really is prompting that -- that this bill is so important to us, that right now -- in 2016 {sic}, the -- of the

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

undocumented without any criminal record whatsoever, without criminal record, there was an increase of over a hundred and fiftysix percent of people were actually deported, of the undocumented that were not criminals. They were not "bad hombres". Do you remember that saying? Want to get rid of all the "bad hombres". In -- in 2016, of the undocumented non-criminals, forty-two hundred were deported. Up to today, to this day today, this year, we have over ten thousand eight hundred and forty-five undocumented, nonviolent, non-criminals that have been deported. So this is why it's very important right now that we continue to have a bill that's secure, a bill that -- we have the TRUST Act, because it really will give a sense of the police and communities working together to make sure that they can report a domestic violence. They're not afraid to actually go ahead and have somebody arrested in their home, afraid to give up that because of their -- because of their legal status. So I encourage everyone here to vote Yes on this bill. I think this brings the -- the law enforcement in line with what we want them to do, is to actually protect us, but not go ahead and just stop people just because of how they look. So I encourage an Aye vote. Thank you, Mr. President, for this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Aquino, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR AQUINO:

Thank you, Mr. President. I wasn't going to rise, but I -- I felt compelled, because when I spend my time in my district outside of Springfield and I go back to Chicago, one of the things that I try mostly to do is to go visit my public schools and I've been to almost a hundred percent of them. And the most

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

disappointing conversations is when I -- when I talk to administrators and teachers and parents and so forth and they talk about all the great strides and success that their schools have done, how disappointing it is to hear how -- how many absences they've experienced of their students in the last year, and -- and when -- when saying, look, we don't know if there's correlation or causation here of -- of the rhetoric that's coming from the White House and the rhetoric that's coming nationwide against Latinos, against immigrants of all -- of -- of all nationalities. It's not only a Latino issue. I don't stand up right now and speaking because I'm Latino; I am not an immigrant to this country. actually by my -- my -- my background is I'm Puerto Rican and we are citizens of this country, but I am neighbors to so many from so many around the world from its -- I represent the Ukrainian Village and -- which is in my district. I represent pockets of where Filipinos live in my district, of Mexicans, of Latin Americans, of -- of all different people. And so if we can send a message to those families that are afraid to send their kids to school, to school, because they're afraid that when they come back, their American citizen children would not -- will come back to an empty home. This is not only impacting our schools, it's also impacting our businesses, so many businesses that are owned by immigrants, that they are -- they come with an entrepreneurial spirit and open up businesses in our district that are being affected by this because so many people are afraid to leave their homes. There is a -- there is a -- there -- we certainly need -there's a purpose for immigration enforcement - that's on the federal level. Our police should be -- our police here in our State and -- and in our cities and so forth should be dealing with

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

issues that are -- that do not deal with immigration. The fix is not here in Illinois and -- and in this Capitol; the fix should be in Washington and let them do that. And so, I stand, too, to thank the sponsor for all his hard work. And, Mr. President, thank you so much and I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

President Cullerton, to close.

## SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Well, I also want to join you in congratulating the sponsor of this bill for all the hard work that he has done in having countless meetings and working with the coalition of immigration groups, but also the Cook County State's Attorney, the Illinois Attorney General, the State's Attorneys Association, the Chiefs of Police, the Fraternal Order of Police, the Sheriffs' Association, and the Illinois State Police, to have their, either, support or neutrality, because the sole overriding purpose of this bill is to protect the trust and cooperation between immigrant communities and law enforcement agencies. So with that, I ask for an Aye vote.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 31. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 37 Ayes, 17 Nays, none voting Present. Senate — the Senate — having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 31, and the bill is declared passed. Senator Hunter, for what purpose do you rise?

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

### SENATOR HUNTER:

Person -- an announcement, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your announcement.

### SENATOR HUNTER:

I meant to vote Yes on Senate Bill 31 concurrence and I did not, so please register me as a Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Record will reflect your intention. Senator Collins, for what purpose do you rise?

### SENATOR COLLINS:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Tomorrow is a very big day for one of our colleagues. She celebrates her twenty-ninth birthday, I believe. I'm not sure of that, but -- the actual age, but Senator Hunter will be celebrating her birthday tomorrow and since we will not be here, I would hope that all of us could wish her a very great birthday. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Happy birthday, and you don't look a day over twenty-nine. House Bill 238. Senator Biss. Senator Biss seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 238 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 238. Mr. Secretary, have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Biss.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Biss, on your amendment.

## SENATOR BISS:

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is House Bill 238. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 238.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Biss, on your bill.

## SENATOR BISS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Well, here we are once again. The Community Care Program is one of the programs we have here in the State of Illinois that works the best. It's a program that we use to provide care for older adults to enable them to stay in their homes, to live in their communities, to have lives with dignity and fulfilling lives, and it's a program that keeps seniors out of nursing homes as well, and therefore, in the long term, saves the State money. For years, the Rauner administration has had this program in its crosshairs, trying to set up something they call the Community Reinvestment Program, an untested, confusing, not clearly described program, without legislative authority, to make

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

significant cuts and put seniors at significant risk to lose this crucial care. House Bill 238, as recently amended, retains the current eligibility standards for the Community Care Program. It allows us to stand up for seniors, who are right now living in fear of losing -- losing this care. I'm happy to take any questions and I would strongly appreciate Aye votes from everyone on both sides of the aisle to protect this essential State program.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Righter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR RIGHTER:

To the bill, if I might, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, wow! I -- I don't even know what to do with the caricature with -- that was just painted of the Governor, that he is this big, bad, angry guy who really doesn't care about senior citizens. The current Community Care Program is wasteful. It's wasteful. It pays people to do things that the seniors may not need and it pays people to do things for hours on end that should take an hour or two. The new program that the Governor has proposed commits, first, that every single senior who is served currently by the program will be interviewed individually to determine their individual needs and then the services will be tailored to those needs, which the current program doesn't even come close to be doing. Everyone says, well, how can you save a hundred or a hundred and twenty million dollars by converting to the new program? And the reason we can do that is

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

because we're going to tailor the needs of the senior to what they need. No one will lose services under this. There isn't one person in the program today who will go without services if we transition to the new program. Now, we here in this Chamber and in this General Assembly time and time and time again, "We need to save money." "We're running a deficit." "We need help." Part of the reason is because we refuse to do things new for fear that the AARP or some other group is going come after us and send mailers saying you don't care about old people. Quit locking us into ways of spending money and then complain that we can't save money. This is another example of that. Come on, let's get out of the entrenched attitudes that we have here and let's move forward with a program that details the seniors' needs to what they need. It details those services and you can actually save the money, because, Ladies and Gentlemen, we need to save the money. you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McCarter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR McCARTER:

To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR McCARTER:

The previous speaker is correct. This is -- the Governor has proposed a different way of doing things, a way of providing the care that's needed and a way of not providing the care that's not needed. And there are savings in this program. And I'm just telling you this, this is one of those tough decisions in a budget that you got to look at and you say, are we willing to do things

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

differently? Are we willing to shift the paradigm and do things differently even though it's uncomfortable? And for every dollar that you fail to take that leap, you fail to take that step — that step to do things differently, you've got to fill it in with another dollar from the taxpayers. So what we're deciding to do is take a hundred and twenty million dollars, which is what this change is valued at, and put that burden on the taxpayers. So, do we look ahead and say, we can do better and still provide good care for our seniors and respect the taxpayers or do we continue to do things the way we've done 'em year after year after year, which has done nothing but produce deficit after deficit after deficit? We have the choice. Let's do something new. Let's move forward and do the right thing. Vote No.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter, I'm in a good mood today, somewhat, so I will allow you a second time for a short period of time.

## SENATOR RIGHTER:

Mr. President, thank you for your graciousness. I -- I hope that you'll thank me when I'm done. It's my understanding that before Senator -- Biss began to present the bill, he -- he attached Floor Amendment 1 to the bill. I'm looking at the most recent Assignments Report that was supposed to, I think, kick out Floor Amendment 1 onto the Floor and I -- the Floor amendment that actually is on the report is to House Bill 328, not House Bill 238. House Bill 328 is actually pending in the House of Representatives. So I wonder if -- if maybe it would not be a good -- a bad idea to pull this bill out of the record, recognizing that Senator Biss's Floor amendment is actually still in Assignments.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

We'll ask the Secretary for a -- a clarification on the committee report. Senator Biss, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR BISS:

I would like to take this bill out of the record and respectfully recommend that the Committee -- Committee on Assignments meet again to consider this matter.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Thank you, Senator Biss. With leave of the Body, we'll be going back to page 38 of the printed Calendar and we'll be doing Concurrences. Senate Bill 8. Senator Harmon. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 8.

Signed by Senator Harmon.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon, on your motion. Senator Harmon.

## SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 8 is our omnibus procurement reform bill. We passed it over to the House. The House has streamlined the bill and sent it back to us. While it is not everything we sent to them, what has come back is -- is good procurement reform. I'm not aware of any opposition and I ask you for your Aye votes.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 8. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 8. The bill is declared passed. House Bill 2953. Senator Sandoval. Senator Sandoval seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 2953 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 2953. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Sandoval.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Sandoval, on your amendment.

#### SENATOR SANDOVAL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. I'd like to adopt Amendment No. 1 and No. 2 and speak to both of the amendments on 3rd Reading.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

...Sandoval.

## SENATOR SANDOVAL:

I'd like to adopt Amendment No. 1 and speak to it on 3rd Reading.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Sandoval.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Sandoval, on your amendment.

SENATOR SANDOVAL:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to adopt No. -- Amendment No. 2 and speak to it on 3rd Reading.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is House Bill 2953. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2953.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Sandoval, on your bill.

SENATOR SANDOVAL:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 2953 is a -- is a bill on behalf of the RTA, the CTA, Metra, Pace, and the Public Transportation Association. It's an agreed-upon bill and there are no opponents. And it changes the financial threshold for the bidding process for the RTA and all assisted transit agency {sic} and it puts it in line with the federal threshold for procurement.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

I'd ask a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Nybo, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR NYBO:

Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

He indicates he will.

SENATOR NYBO:

Senator, so when I'm not serving down here, I'm a daily commuter on Metra and -- and frequently I notice that -- that train cars are -- are sometimes not functioning correctly. My analysis says that this is a -- a bill that will allow an agency like Metra to more quickly repair equipment that's not working correctly. Is that consistent with your understanding, that we're going to be able to repair things more quickly if we adopt this more streamlined method for contracting?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Sandoval.

SENATOR SANDOVAL:

In theory, the threshold would allow more -- maximum flexibility for the transit agency to make your train ride a -- a lot more efficient.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Nybo.

SENATOR NYBO:

To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

#### SENATOR NYBO:

I -- I am very encouraged to hear that. Anything that gives these transit agencies a better ability to maintain their equipment is something that I support and I'd ask all Members to support this great proposal. Thank you, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion? Seeing none, Senator Sandoval, to close.

SENATOR SANDOVAL:

It's a good bill and I ask your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Question is, shall House Bill 2953 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 43 Ayes, 6 Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 2953, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Will the Committee on Assignments please meet in the President's Anteroom immediately? Will the Committee on Assignments please meet in the President's Anteroom immediately? Senator Tracy, for what purpose do you rise?

#### SENATOR TRACY:

Thank you, Mr. President. To say hello.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Hello.

#### SENATOR TRACY:

Also, Senate Bill 1688, I would like the record to reflect that my intention was to vote No.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

The record will reflect your intention. Senator McCarter, for what purpose do you rise?

### SENATOR McCARTER:

Purpose of an announcement.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your announcement.

#### SENATOR McCARTER:

I -- today I have with me, my wife, Victoria, and up in the gallery to my right, I have her mother, Mary Ramatowski. That's right, a good Polack - a good, legally immigrated Polack. And from -- and -- so I'd like you to welcome both of them today. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Welcome to the Illinois Senate. (at ease) Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senator Clayborne, Chairman of the Committee on Assignments, reports the following Legislative Measures have been assigned: Be Approved for Consideration - Floor Amendment 1 to House Bill 238. Signed, Senator James F. Clayborne, Chairman.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

We'll turn back -- turn back to page 29 of the printed Calendar. Can we keep the noise down, please? House Bill 238. Senator Biss. Senator Biss seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 238 to the -- Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 238. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Biss.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Biss, on your amendment.

SENATOR BISS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move for its adoption for real this time.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is House Bill 238. Mr. President {sic}, please read the bill.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 238.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Biss, on your bill.

## SENATOR BISS:

Thank you, Mr. President. You know, it's funny, the last time I began introducing this bill, I said, here we are again, because we'd had this discussion over the course of years, and now here we are yet once again. I want to thank the gentleman from Coles County for his eagle eyes. Thank you to the correction of the scrivener's error and I look forward to your support for this important measure to protect Illinois seniors.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 238 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes -- or all those in favor will vote Aye. And opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 32 Ayes, 21 Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 238, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. The Senate will stand in recess to the call of the Chair. Please go to your committees immediately. The Senate stands in recess.

### (SENATE STANDS IN RECESS/SENATE RECONVENES)

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Will all Members at the sound of my voice come to the Senate Floor immediately? We will be going to Executive Appointments immediately. To fulfill our responsibility under Article V, Section 9 of the Constitution, we will now proceed to the Order of Advise and Consent. Senator Muñoz.

## SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move that the Senate resolve itself into Executive Session for the purpose of acting on the appointments set forth in Appointment Messages 99-0526, 527, 528, 529, 531, 532, 533, 535, 536, 541, 542, 543, 546, 547, 548, 556, 573, 574, and Appointment Messages 100-0113, 116, 145, 149, 161, 175, and 195, and 196.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz moves the Senate to resolve itself into

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Executive Session for the purpose of acting on Appointment Messages just read. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the motion carries. The Senate is resolved into Executive Session. On page 42 of the regular Calendar of Orders {sic} of Executive Appointments - Appointment Message, Mr. Secretary, read Appointment Message 99-0526.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on Executive Appointments recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following non-salaried appointment: To be a member of the State Board of Health, Margaret Kirkegaard.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz.

## SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Messages {sic} just read. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 48 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. A majority of Senators elected concurring by a record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the appointment just read. Mr. Secretary, Appointment Message 99-0527.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on Executive Appointments recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

non-salaried appointment: To be a member of the Workforce Investment Board, Patricia Fabijanski.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz.

#### SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? The -- seeing none, the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. A majority of Senators elected concurring by record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the appointment just read. Mr. Secretary, Appointment Message 99-0528.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on Executive Appointments recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following non-salaried appointment: To be a member of the Workforce Investment Board, Grailing Jones.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz.

#### SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. A majority of Senators elected concurring by a record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the appointment just read. Mr. Secretary, Appointment Message 99-0529.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on Executive Appointments recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following non-salaried appointment: To be a member of the Workforce Investment Board, Aaron Tennant.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz.

## SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. A majority of Senators elected concurring by a record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. Mr. Secretary, Appointment Message 99-0531.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Mr. President, the Committee on Executive Appointments recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following non-salaried appointment: To be a member of the Illinois Sports Facilities Authority, Rosemarie Andolino.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz.

SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. A majority of Senators elected concurring by a record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. Mr. Secretary, Appointment Message 99-0532.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on Executive Appointments recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following non-salaried appointment: To be a member of the Illinois Sports Facilities Authority, Jeffrey Yordon.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz.

### SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there's 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. A majority of Senators elected concurring by a record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. Mr. Secretary, Appointment Message 99-0533.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on Executive Appointments recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following non-salaried appointment: To be the Public Administrator and Public Guardian for Gallatin County, Lawrence Wooden.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz.

## SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. A majority of Senators elected concurring by a record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. Mr. Secretary,

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Appointment Message 99-535.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on Executive Appointments recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following non-salaried appointment: To be a member of the Lottery Control Board, Haydee Olinger.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz.

## SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. A majority of Senators elected concurring by a record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. Mr. Secretary, Appointment Message 99-0536.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on Executive Appointments recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following non-salaried appointment: To be a Trustee of the Board of Trustees of Northeastern Illinois University, George Vukotich.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz.

SENATOR MUÑOZ:

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 52 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. A majority of Senators elected concurring by a record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the appointment just read. Mr. Secretary, Appointment Message 99-0541.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on Executive Appointments recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following non-salaried appointment: To be the Public Administrator and Public Guardian for Hamilton County, Joseph Ghibaudy.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz.

#### SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. A majority of Senators

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

elected concurring by a record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the appointment just read. Mr. Secretary, Appointment Message 99-0542.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on Executive Appointments recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following non-salaried appointment: To be the Public Administrator and Public Guardian for Wabash County, Joseph Ghibaudy.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz.

#### SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. A majority of Senators elected concurring by a record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the appointment just read. Mr. Secretary, Appointment Message 990543.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on Executive Appointments recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following non-salaried appointment: To be the Public Administrator and Public Guardian for White County, Joseph Ghibaudy.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Senator Muñoz.

#### SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. A majority of Senators elected concurring by a record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the appointment just read. Mr. Secretary, Appointment Message 99-0546.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on Executive Appointments recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following non-salaried appointment: To be a member of the Mid-Illinois Medical District, Rex Brown.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz.

## SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. A majority of Senators elected concurring by a record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the appointment just read. Mr. Secretary, Appointment Message 99-0547.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on Executive Appointments recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following non-salaried appointment: To be a member of the Illinois Sports Facilities Authority, Timothy Rand.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz.

## SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. A majority of Senators elected concurring by record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the appointment just read. Mr. Secretary, Appointment Message 99-0548.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on Executive Appointments recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following non-salaried appointment: To be a member of the Workforce

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Investment Board, Debra Day.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz.

SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. A majority of Senators elected concurring by a record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the appointment just read. Mr. Secretary, Appointment Message 99-0573.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on Executive Appointments recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following non-salaried appointment: To be a member of the Guardianship and Advocacy Commission, William Davis.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz.

SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are -- are 47 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. A majority of Senators elected concurring by a record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. Mr. Secretary, Appointment Message 99-0574.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on -- Executive Appointments recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following non-salaried appointment: To be a member of the Guardianship and Advocacy Commission, Michael McAuliffe.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz.

#### SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. A majority of Senators elected concurring by a record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the appointment just read. Mr. Secretary, Appointment Message 100-0113.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on Executive Appointments

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following non-salaried appointment: To be a Trustee of the Board of Trustees of Northeastern Illinois University, Carlos Azcoitia.

#### SENATOR MUÑOZ:

That's close enough.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz.

### SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. A majority of Senators elected concurring by a record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the appointment just read. Mr. Secretary, Appointment Message 100-0116.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on Assignments recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following non-salaried appointment: To be a Trustee of the Board of Trustees of Northeastern Illinois University, Jim Palos.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz.

#### SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

the appointment just read.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the -- the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. A majority of Senators elected concurring by a record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the appointment just read. Mr. Secretary, Appointment Message 100-0145.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on Assignments recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following salaried appointment: To be a member of the Human Rights Commission, Patricia Bakalis Yadgir.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz.

## SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Messages {sic} just read. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. A majority of Senators elected concurring by a record vote, the Senate does advise and

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

consent to the appointment just read. Mr. Secretary, Appointment Message 99-0556.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on Assignments recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following salaried appointment: To be a member and Chair of the Illinois Racing Board, Jeffrey Brincat.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz.

## SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 46 Ayes, 1 Nay, 1 voting -- none voting Present. A majority of Senators elected concurring by a record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the appointment just read. Mr. Secretary, Appointment Message 100-0149.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on Executive Appointments recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following salaried appointment: To be a member and Chair, Local Panel, of the Illinois Labor Relations Board, Robert Gierut.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

#### SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. A majority of Senators elected concurring by a record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the appointment just read. Mr. Secretary, Appointment Message 100-0161.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on Assignments recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following non-salaried appointment: To be a Trustee of the Board of Trustees of Northeastern Illinois University, Jonathan Stein.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz.

## SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. A majority of Senators elected concurring by a record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the appointment just read. Mr. Secretary, Appointment Message 100-0175.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on Assignments recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following salaried appointment: To be a member of the Civil Service Commission, Casey Urlacher.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz.

#### SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question -- a majority of Senators elected concurring by a record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the appointment just read. Mr. Secretary, Appointment Message 100-0196.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on Assignments recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following salaried appointment: To be a member of the Pollution Control Board, Carrie K. Zalewski.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Senator Muñoz.

#### SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. A majority of Senators elected concurring by a record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the appointment just read. Mr. Secretary, Appointment Message 100-0195.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on Assignments recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following salaried appointment: To be a member of the Pollution Control Board, Brenda Carter.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz.

## SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. A majority of Senators elected concurring by a record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the appointment just read. Senator Muñoz.

SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move that the Senate arise from Executive Session.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz moves that the Senate arise from Executive Session. All in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the motion carries. The Senate has arisen from Executive Session. Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senator Harmon, Chairperson of the Committee on Executive, reports Motions to Concur - House Amendments {sic} 2 to Senate Bill 57, House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 262, House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 322, House Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 473, House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 886, House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1399, House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1434, House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1598, House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1774, House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1842, House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2034, and House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2046 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Martinez, Chairperson of the Committee on Licensed Activities and Pensions, reports Motions to Concur - House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 326, House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 865, and House Amendments 1, 2, and 3 to Senate Bill 885 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Landek, Chairperson of the Committee on State

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Government, reports Motions to Concur - House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 266, House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 707, House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1400, House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1462, House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1489, House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1532, House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1668, House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1869, House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1895, House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1902, and House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2068 Recommend Do Adopt.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

With leave of the Body, we'll turn to page 32 of the printed Calendar, House Bills 3rd Reading. House Bill 2543. Senator Sandoval. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2543.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Sandoval, on your bill.

## SENATOR SANDOVAL:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 2953 is an initiative of... House Bill 2543 permits interstate carrier operating intrastate to operate a motor vehicle upon the State's public roads without an Illinois operating authority number painted or affixed to the side of the cab or the power unit. It's a proponent {sic} of the Mid-West Truckers Association and the Illinois Trucking Association. There are no opponents. I'd ask a favorable vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2543 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed,

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 2543, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. With -- with leave of the Body, we'll be going to Supplemental Calendar No. 2, Senate Bill 57, for concurrence. Senator Stadelman. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 57.

Signed by Senator Stadelman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Stadelman, on your motion.

## SENATOR STADELMAN:

I will explain it on 3rd. I move that the motion be adopted. Concurrence. Thank you very much, Mr. President. Once again, Senate Bill 57 allows victims of domestic violence to have their shared wireless service plan separated without approval from the primary account holder so they can continue to use their current phone number or contract after separation from their abusers. What the amendment from the House does, it sets up the process through the court order of protection filed. The clerk of court shall notify the wireless provider. The Illinois Commerce Commission will now maintain this list of providers. There's no opposition. I ask for an Aye vote.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate adopt House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 57. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 57, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 262. Senator Clayborne. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 262.

Signed by Senator Clayborne.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Clayborne, on your motion.

## SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

These -- this motion is more technical than anything, changing "shall" to "may", changing "diversity" to "contract", and changing "prime contractors" to "prime contractor". Essentially, the bill is still the same. Creates accountability for those who are doing business with the State to make sure that whatever they agreed to that they follow through. I would ask for your favorable vote.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate adopt Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 262. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 262, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 266.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Senator Mulroe. Mr. Secretary, please read the amendment -- or the motion.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 266.

Signed by Senator Mulroe.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Mulroe, on your motion.

#### SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. While the House amendment replaced everything and becomes the bill, the underlying intent remains the same. It establishes the Illinois Veterans Home at Chicago and the Chicago Veterans Home Fund. The amendment requires that the Department of Veteran -- Veterans' Affairs shall operate and maintain the Illinois Veterans Home at Chicago. Additionally, the receipts for the Chicago fund will be deposited into the Illinois Veterans' Home {sic} (Homes) Fund for future consolidation. I know of no opposition. I'd ask for your support.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with Amendment 1 -- House Bill -- Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 266. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 266, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 322. Senator Hunter. Mr. Secretary, please

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

read the motion.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 322.

Signed by Senator Hunter.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hunter, on your motion.

### SENATOR HUNTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. The motion is that -- is that it basically allows the City of Chicago to issue and renew a -- a license. And I would ask for a favorable vote and to adopt...

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with Amendment -- House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 322. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 51 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 322, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 326. Senator Harmon. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 326.

Signed by Senator Harmon.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon, on your motion.

## SENATOR HARMON:

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 326 is an amendment to the Interior Design Title Act and changes it to the Registered Interior Designers Act. This bill has come back from us -- come back to us from the House with the amendment we were anticipating to further refine some issues with the Mechanics Lien. I know that Senator Hutchinson has a question for legislative intent and I'm happy to answer that and any other questions you may have.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McConchie, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will yield.

#### SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Thank you. We -- we discussed this a little while earlier in committee and, unfortunately, we weren't able to get all of our questions answered in committee, so I wanted to be able to just run through a few things here. What -- what is the problem exactly that we're trying to solve through this? And I know that IDFPR, for example, has indicated that there is a concern that they have about the inability perhaps to be able to recoup the costs associated with this. So can you comment as far as both the purpose and, you know, what will be the ultimate cost to the State for this?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon.

#### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Thank you for the question,

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Senator. This is a very modest step forward for the profession of interior designers to move them into the next realm of their professionalism. I understand the Department doesn't want to do anymore work with whatever funds that they have. My understanding is that the -- the fee for registration can be set at an appropriate level to cover the Department's costs. If we've gotten that wrong, we can certainly revisit it. The other thing is to put interior designers on a somewhat equal footing to other design professionals in -- with respect to mechanics liens.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McConchie.

#### SENATOR McCONCHIE:

So -- thank you. So, just to kind of recap what the Department said in committee, they -- they know of no safety issues that are associated with this. They -- any time in which there may be something structural that is done with this, they are already having to work with architects or other professionals that -- that do have the -- the safety issues covered, so there's nothing a part of this that has anything to do with safety from the Department's perspective. The only upside that was identified has to do with the mechanics liens, which you just mentioned. So, for those that may not know what that is, you know, this would allow for, in the case of a bankruptcy - you know, you're working on a big building - in the case of bankruptcy, they're able to get in line along with plumbers and other licensed professionals to ensure that they would be able to, you know, get paid perhaps, you know, during a bankruptcy proceeding, get further or forward in line with that. I understand all of that. The -- the issue that the Department has indicated is there appears to be no guarantee --

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

it's going -- they said it will cost 'em at least a quarter of a million dollars to set this up and to -- to maintain it and there's no guarantee that JCAR will upgrade the fee schedule in a -- to -- to a degree that would allow them to be able to recoup those costs. So, I guess, just my question to you is, is there any way that we can -- to address this to keep it revenue neutral from the State if the only benefit is not safety, but is simply in regards to mechanics liens?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon.

### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I don't know where to begin. Department, not JCAR, sets the fees, so the Department can set those fees. A quarter of a million dollars sounds like a -- a generous estimate. I -- I'll have to defer to the Department. But we're not significantly increasing what they already do. What we are trying to do is figure out a way to fit interior design professionals in correctly in the firmament of other design professionals, like architects and engineers, to put them on some sort of comparable footing. And I will tell you that this has been -- this started off as a much more ambitious bill. interior designers had their hopes set on a -- a much higher goal and we came up short, but we negotiated with the architects in particular and came to this conclusion. There's a great deal of misperception and, frankly, a -- a significant degree of sexism involved here. Interior designers are significantly -- it's a -it's a female-dominated profession and the number of times I've heard people say, I don't want to pay for someone who's picking out throw pillows. That's not what interior designers do.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

are professionals, who fill a niche within the architectural and engineering space, and we're simply trying to get them to fit within that -- that design professional class.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

You've run out of time, Senator McConchie. If you got one quick statement, it's fine. Senator McConchie.

SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

#### SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Thank you. You know, so in -- in regards to this, the Department has clearly indicated that there are no safety issues that would be addressed by this. The only advantage is two-fold: One of which, they'd be able to say that they're registered, the interior designers, and the other is that they would be able to get a mechanics lien. While it is true the Department would set these standards, as far as the costs, those would have to be approved by JCAR and JCAR has previously proved themselves generally unwilling to do much in regards to the increase - this is what the Department said - much in regards to the approval of the increase of fees. I -- I -- I mean, this I don't think has anything to do with sexism. This is simply about the fact that it has nothing to do with safety and is simply about allowing a certain group of people to pay a fee to be able to get mechanics liens. And this is not revenue neutral to the State. This will cost State money. I encourage a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson, for what purpose do you rise?

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

### SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

I just have a quick question for legislative intent. Will the sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Sponsor indicates he will.

### SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Do the entities listed -- do the entities listed in Section 1(b) of the Illinois Mechanics Lien Act still need to provide services for the purpose of improving property?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon.

## SENATOR HARMON:

Yes, they do. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson. Senator Harmon, to close.

# SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I certainly want to make it clear, I was not suggesting sexism was a motivating factor for anybody in this Body debating this bill. This has just been a prevailing theme for the industry and one that I think we should pay attention to. I will also volunteer during our summer sessions to host a primer on JCAR, because it is clear that many Members of the Body don't understand the limited role. JCAR doesn't approve anything. JCAR can't prohibit the Department from filing rules increasing those fees without a bipartisan supermajority vote. So if the Department is blaming JCAR for its own inability, they're barking up the wrong tree. This is a very modest step forward for interior designers. They are looking for some footing to compete as professionals.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

This gives them a modicum of that and I wish them well and I'm proud to sponsor the bill. I ask you for your Aye votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall the -- shall the Senate concur with amendment -- House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 326. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 37 Ayes, 19 Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 326, and the bill is declared passed. Senator Steans, for what purpose do you rise?

# SENATOR STEANS:

For a point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

# SENATOR STEANS:

You know, I just -- today is the last bill for one of our staff members who has been, I think, the longest staff member in the Senate. Nia Odeoti-Hassen is going to be retiring at the end of June after thirty-six years of service to the Illinois Senate. And I believe she's got her very last bill up today, which is what's bringing her to the Floor. She came -- she told me today that she thought she was going to be here just as an intern on a sabbatical from university and instead she stayed thirty-six years. We've been blessed to have her. She's been an amazing -- really just an icon of -- icon of the Senate and a fountain of information. Public Health, I think she's been on practically that entire thirty-six years if not. So, really, just please give

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

a warm thank you to -- to Nia.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR HARMON:

A point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

## SENATOR HARMON:

As we congratulate Nia, I also -- I know she will appreciate, I hope, me pointing out another transition here. She is the last of our staff who was hired by Phil Rock when he was Senate President. So, her departure leaves a void with her departing, but also with the last of the -- the Phil Rock staffers, who are so prevalent around Springfield, leaving our staff. So, Nia, you will be sorely missed. I know Phil would love to give you a hug himself if he were here.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

# SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

I just wanted to add to -- a hearty congratulations to my sorority sister. Soror Nia is what I would call her. And I know she's been here for thirty-six years, but she's also been instrumental for planning the AKA Day in the Capitol for the last -- over a decade. The amount of young women she's mentored along the way and the fact that the very first day I stepped on this

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Floor, she came and told me she expected really big things from me, and every time I could, she would come be right there to give me a nice warm hug and then tell me to keep plugging along. She truly is the definition of what service means and what being a servant to people means. So I wanted to also say congratulations to my soror on behalf of our whole sorority and all the people you ushered through this Capitol over those years. We love you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

This is definitely becoming a kumbaya moment. Senator Mulroe, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President. A point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

### SENATOR MULROE:

I, too, want to congratulate Nia. I, you know, served as Chairman of the Public Health Committee for two years. I want to thank her for her advice, her insight, her guidance. She's guided many Chairmen and Chairwomen through the -- the Public Health Committee process, most notably President Barack Obama, when he was here in the Illinois Senate. And I just wanted to publicly thank you for all you've done for me and congratulate and wish you the best in the future.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rose, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR ROSE:

Point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

## SENATOR ROSE:

Yeah, on behalf of our side of the aisle, it has been a true pleasure to work with you. I -- I can't remember -- like, I guess -- what was it? Two years ago? I can't remember whether it was two years or three years ago, we had a sepsis bill. I remember you walking over to me one day on the Floor and you said, "Don't worry, we're going to get this done." And I -- I will always remember that, always cherish that, because one of the great things that I learned about you is it's always about the betterment of the citizens of Illinois and putting them first. And so, from our side of the aisle, congratulations and a heartfelt well-wishes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar, for what purpose do you rise?

# SENATOR MANAR:

Point of personal privilege, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

# SENATOR MANAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. I will be brief. Nia, you know that you and I have something in common. We both walked into the Senate with the intention of beginning and ending an internship. Along the way, we've gotten to know each other well. As a young staffer, you taught me how to run a committee. You taught me how to analyze a bill. You taught me how to write better and you taught me the importance of this institution and how to respect the institution of the Senate. I can't express to you how thankful I am, as -- as a staffer and now as a Member, for that process that you took me through over many years. As you know, my family adores you. The Manar family adores you and we wish you nothing

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

but the very best. Thank you very much.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Trotter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR TROTTER:

Point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

## SENATOR TROTTER:

Thank you. Nia, for those of you who don't know, when I came to the Illinois General Assembly, I started in the House, so -and -- and through the years, no one has held that against me. But when I had an opportunity to come to the Senate, and one of the reasons I came to the Senate, because my background was in health care and I came here as a health care advocate, but I knew before I got here that Nia was the person to talk with. Nia was the individual that -- that was going to lead me down and help me carry all of this tremendous legislation. It was with Nia that I worked with, that we brought together -- we brought child care, real child care, and -- and moving it through the Medicaid process from one hundred percent up to a hundred and sixty-six percent until we got All Kids program and then we went into the -- the extended programs to ensure that all of our -- our individual -our constituents had access to a quality health care program. Nia, I mean, when I went to Appropriations, I argued with, then, Emil Jones. I wanted to be over the Health Committee. It was my turn, so I can work directly with her, and Emil thought it was best that he gave that position to Barack Obama, and well... Who knows, if I would've stayed with Nia and health care, I -- I would have been in the Presidency too. So -- so -- but, Nia, thank you so much

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

for your direction and -- and the work you've done and -- and the -- the input that you've had in this process down here. Again, you've -- you've made a difference in the quality of life of all the citizens of this State through many generations. Thank you very much.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Radogno, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR RADOGNO:

Thank you, Mr. President. A point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

## SENATOR RADOGNO:

Well, with no disrespect to the rest of the Democratic staff, Nia, you are my favorite, my absolute favorite. So that health care committee that Senator Trotter got bumped in favor of Senator Obama, I was on that health care committee and that's when I first got to know Nia. And at that time, we were in the majority - well, two differences - we were in the majority and we had money, so it was a very happy time. And so a couple bills that we worked together on that went through the committee and -- and were obviously very relevant to human services were, number one, the Halal Food Act, which when it first came up, I had no idea what it was. Nia was instrumental in helping me shepherd that through the General Assembly and then we had many Muslim Day activities that I was always included in, so it was a -- a really great experience. In fact, I remember the day we passed it, the Muslim community was here and we were doing a picture and who comes running out to get included in it, but Barack Obama. ...was like, you know, I'm the one in the majority here. So that was a really great experience.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

And the other one was - again, to the point that we had money at the time - raising the eligibility level for the AAB -- AABD population to one hundred percent of the federal poverty level. And, again, it was a tough thing to do. No -- as you all know, we have scarce resources and even though we had more at the time, every dollar competes amongst many needs, and that was one that we were able to get through with the help of the other side and, of course, with the -- the guidance of Nia. So I will truly miss you. I know you've had some health issues. I wish you -- that you feel better for a long time and enjoy your retirement, and come and visit every once in a while. Thanks, Nia.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Collins, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR COLLINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. A point of personal -- privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

# SENATOR COLLINS:

Nia, this is a short and brief thank you, because I never served with you on the Public Health Committee, but what I want to acknowledge today is that, as a legislator who represents a large Muslim community, I want to thank you for educating me, giving me the knowledge and the understanding and the appreciation of the Muslim American community. That has gone a long way in helping us move forward as a Legislature. So I just want to thank you. You epitomize what it means to be a faithful Muslim American and hopefully we can all grow to appreciate your contributions as a Muslim American. So I just want to wish you the best.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Senator McCann, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR McCANN:

On a point of personal privilege, please, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

### SENATOR McCANN:

Well, Nia and I have a unique relationship. She is my constituent and I am her Senator and I am so proud to be her Senator. I am so honored to have served with you. I thank you for allowing me to serve you and I thank you for all of the service you have rendered to the people of Illinois over these last years. You are the epitome of the term "consummate professional" and you are a brilliant lady and I am better for having known you and the State of Illinois is better for having had you in her service. I wish you all the best and congratulations on a monumental accomplishment. Thank you, dear.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hastings, for what purpose do you rise?

# SENATOR HASTINGS:

Point of personal privilege, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

## SENATOR HASTINGS:

I, too, have a large Muslim population in my community and the one thing when I go out and speak at the mosques and all the different community activities, they ask me, "Do you know Nia?" And, to me, that's a testament of what you've done, not only for my community, but for the Muslim families across the south suburbs. And the two words in Arabic that I'd like to say to you is, (speaks

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

in Arabic), which means thank you very much, and then (speaks in Arabic), which means you're always welcome here. And, Nia, thank you so much for everything you've done for us. God bless you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Jones, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR JONES:

Thank you. Personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

# SENATOR JONES:

I would also like to take the opportunity to thank you, Nia, and congratulate you on your retirement. When I think back over the many years, the lifetime that I've been down here running around the Senate, and especially as a small child - many of you all do not know, Nia used to babysit me, and when I would run around this Chamber, Nia would grab me and say "There's no running on the Senate Floor". So, Nia, on behalf of my family, my father, would like to wish you a happy retirement and hope to see you soon. Enjoy!

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hunter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR HUNTER:

Personal privilege, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

## SENATOR HUNTER:

I just wanted to say, Nia, thank you so much. When I was first -- when I first came to the Senate, you took me under my {sic} wings. You -- you gave me all kinds of bills to pass. I

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

was -- well, I came in when the Health and Human Services was -- was together and, of course, Barack was the -- the Chair and I was the Vice-Chair. And, you know, you explained the bill process to me. You worked with me. You directed me and -- and you told me what to do, when to do, how to do it, you know. And so I just want to thank you, Nia, I am going to miss you. I don't know who we -- we are going to work with now to plan the AKA Day at the Capitol, but I'm sure we'll figure it out, because you've -- you've trained us very well. You've trained us very well. Sorry. And so we'll figure it out. We'll just figure it out, Nia. But thank you so much. God bless you. I wish the best for you and, who knows, maybe we'll see you back around here soon in some other capacity. I don't know, but I hope so. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Our last speaker, President Cullerton.

SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

Yes, thank you. Point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

Nia, I want to thank you for your many years of service. I believe that you came here in 1980, a year after I - '81? - two years after I started in the House. But I want to particularly thank you for the kindness that you showed me in 1991, when I came to the Senate, because when I first came to the Senate from the House, I was not well liked over here. I had been the Floor Leader for the -- Speaker Madigan in the House and some of the Senators back then thought that the Speaker was kind of trying to dominate the process and -- and controlled everything and didn't give the

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Senate its due. So when I came over here, I had -- I -- I wasn't really that well received, but Nia was -- was fantastic. And I also want to specifically thank you for helping us with the creation of the Muslim Action Day Intern Program, which you -- you helped us create. And the diversity that we have in our caucus, we're very proud of. That is something that will be a legacy for you as you go on in -- in retirement. We're going to miss you. I don't know why you're retiring so early, since you only started in 1981, but I hope you have a great time in retirement. Thank you so much.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senate Bill 473. Senator Muñoz. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

# ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 473.

Signed by Senator Muñoz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz, on your motion.

### SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This bill had passed out unanimously. The change was a technical change for Cook County. The taxable year would be 2017, and for all other counties, the taxable year would be 2018. Know of no opposition on the concurrence.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 473. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 48 Ayes, 1 Nay, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with Amendment — House Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 473, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 707. Senator Hastings. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

## ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 707.

Signed by Senator Hastings.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hastings, on your motion.

# SENATOR HASTINGS:

The Floor amendment states that a State agency -- suffers a breach of security shall report to the General Assembly, rather than a specific House and Senate committee. I'd urge an Aye vote for the motion to concur.

# PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 707. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 707, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 852. Senator Hutchinson. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion. ...of the record. Senate Bill 865. Senator Manar.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

## ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 865.

Signed by Senator Manar.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar, on your motion.

## SENATOR MANAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. The House made a small change to this bill that would reflect many conversations. It would just state that the school report card has to include pension contributions that are made on behalf of school districts for every district in the State.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 865. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 865, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 885. Senator Koehler. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

# ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1, 2, and 3 to Senate Bill 885.

Signed by Senator Koehler.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Senator Koehler, on your motion.

## SENATOR KOEHLER:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. When this bill left the Senate, I said that there was going to be a lot of work done on this in the House and indeed there was, hence the three amendments. It took away all the opposition and it passed the House 115 to 0. I think those are good changes and made this a better bill. I'd appreciate an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with Amendments 1, 2, and -- House Amendments 1, 2, and 3 to Senate Bill 885. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendments 1, 2, and 3 to Senate Bill 885, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 886. Senator -- Senator Cullerton. President Cullerton. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1348. Senator Martinez. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

## ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 8-8... Oop!

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Martinez, on your motion.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Hang on.

SENATOR MARTINEZ:

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

I move...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Excuse me, hold on one second. Mr. Secretary.

### ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1348.

Signed by Senator Martinez.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Martinez, on your motion.

## SENATOR MARTINEZ:

I motion to concur with the -- with the amendment. It is -- it actually sunsets the Medical Practice Act from December -- extends the sunset -- Act from December 31st, 2017, to the December 31st, 2019. And I'll be happy to answer any questions.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1348. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1348, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1399. Senator Mulroe. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

## ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1399.

Signed by Senator Mulroe.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Mulroe, on your motion.

## SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. The motion to concur - makes technical changes to the sentencing language of offenses in juvenile detention orders to include the language "electronic monitoring and home detention", not only "electronic home detention". Additionally, the bill narrows the applicability of the underlying bill to juveniles subject to the jurisdiction of the program and who satisfy the eligibility screening -- program. I'd appreciate your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1399. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1399, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1400. Senator Mulroe. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

## ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1400.

Signed by Senator Mulroe.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Mulroe, on your motion.

SENATOR MULROE:

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Thank you, again, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. House Amendment No. 1 keeps the underlying intent of the original bill, of Senate Bill 1400, which was to consolidate the substantive statutory provisions relating to the Department of Public Health -- Health's health care worker registration into one Act. The amendment removes a provision that would require employers to obtain a waiver to hire someone who has committed narcotics racketeering and removes language allowing DPH to determine the -- by rules additional finding that could have individuals removed from the registry. I know of no opposition. I'd ask for your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1400. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1400, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1434. Senator Tom Cullerton. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

### ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1434.

Signed by Senator Tom Cullerton.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cullerton, on your motion.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1434, we passed out of here with fifty-three votes, 53 to nothing. What they did in the House is they just did a clerical -- some cleanup language from the Department of Revenue. Passed out unanimously out of the House. I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1434. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1434, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1462. Senator Holmes. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

## ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1462.

Signed by Senator Holmes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Holmes, on your motion.

### SENATOR HOLMES:

Thank you so much, Mr. President. This, very simply, makes the changes that were suggested when we were in committee and we mentioned would be worked on in the House. They're making the program subject to appropriations and it requires that the program is implemented once the appropriations are enacted. In addition, it defines "beginning entrepreneur".

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

# PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1462. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 52 Ayes, 3 Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1462, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1489. Senator Rezin. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

## ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1489.

Signed by Senator Rezin.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rezin, on your motion.

# SENATOR REZIN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 on Senate Bill 1489 simply takes out training fees. I ask for an Aye vote.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1489. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1489, and the bill is declared passed.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Senate Bill 1532. Senator Collins. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill -- the motion.

## ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1532.

Signed by Senator Collins.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Collins, on your motion.

## SENATOR COLLINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 1 retains the bill as it passed the Senate, but adds that the average daily attendance of students with an individualized education program and 504 plan shall be displayed on districts' report cards. I know of no opposition and I ask for your support.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator McCarter, for what purpose do you rise?

# SENATOR McCARTER:

Question of the sponsor, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

...indicates she will yield.

# SENATOR McCARTER:

Senator, we -- in the committee -- in the State Government Committee, we -- we didn't see you there, so we just wanted to simply ask you. The way you sent it over was very basic, but it came back with some -- some more in-depth requirements. Can you just explain what those were and -- and why you're okay with 'em? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Collins.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

### SENATOR COLLINS:

This was language that came out of the commission looking at truancy and we worked with Representative Pritchard to put in the information to deal with kids with disabilities and IEPs. These are kids that have special needs and we wanted to ensure that — we ensure that their attendance rate was notarized on the report cards to help them in any capacity to continue to see that they have the attendance in the classroom.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McCarter.

## SENATOR McCARTER:

But -- but that's not a simple thing, is it? I mean, there are a lot of children with IEPs. In fact, in some schools, it could be even half or even more than half. I mean, isn't that a -- that's kind of a complex thing for schools to put together, isn't it?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Collins.

# SENATOR COLLINS:

No, it's not difficult. Currently, the percentage of students with disabilities are already displayed on school district report cards. All we're asking is to look at their daily attendance -- average of daily attendance.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McCarter.

### SENATOR McCARTER:

Okay, so -- but what you're going to -- I understand that that's of the -- the number of students is available. Now what you're -- have to -- going to have to cross-reference, which one

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

of those students was in attendance. Are you not?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon in the Chair. Senator Collins.

### SENATOR COLLINS:

No. All we're asking is to take an account of average daily attendance for those students that have IEPs in order for them to receive the services that they need.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator McCarter.

SENATOR McCARTER:

To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

To the bill, Senator.

# SENATOR McCARTER:

Here -- here's -- I know it seems simple, but it just became complex, because you've got a certain group of kids, specific kids that have IEPs, and -- and instead of just taking a -- an average daily attendance over the whole school and having that number and then knowing at any time and -- that -- how many people have IEPs, okay, children have IEPs, that -- that's easy, but when you have to cross-reference the two, you've got to keep the -- you've got to keep the daily attendance, not average daily attendance, but you've got to keep the daily attendance for each one of those specific kids. It's not as easy -- from what I can see, it's not as easy as -- as -- as it -- it appears and, I mean, I'm -- again, we can -- we continue to put more and more burdens on local schools. To me, this is just one more burden. So, for that reason, I -- I respectfully have to vote No. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Further -- further discussion? Senator Manar, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR MANAR:

DEIMITOR PRIMIT.

Speak to the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

To the bill, Senator.

# SENATOR MANAR:

I would like to commend the sponsor for her work, not just on this bill, but on multiple bills that have come before this with the Attendance Commission. And while I appreciate the previous speaker's remarks about the burdensome nature of attendance reports, it's an absolute must, not just for how we distribute money today, but for how we hopefully distribute money in the future. I think the biggest change that this bill provides for, which will be helpful, which I know is the sponsor's intent, is to make sure that the school report card, which is the place where the public consumes information about everything from school funding to local property taxes to now pension contributions, which we just passed -- this is a critical piece that should be added, which is what the sponsor is working toward. And I rise in support of the bill and would ask my colleagues to support it as well.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Senator Collins, to close. SENATOR COLLINS:

Thank you. Part of the work of the Illinois State Board of Education and the Attendance Commission was to put forth strategies to help parents, education professionals, and ISBE address and prevent chronic absenteeism. Our kids cannot learn, especially those that need IEPs and have 504 plans, without being in the

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

classroom, and I think this benefits all students in the educational system in the State. And I ask for your Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. The question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1532. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 48 voting Aye, 7 voting No, and none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1532, and the bill is declared passed. Senator Anderson, for what purpose do you rise?

# SENATOR ANDERSON:

Yes, Mr. President, I would like the record to reflect I meant to be an Aye vote on Senate Bill 473.

# PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

The Senate -- the record will so reflect your intention. I have a copy of your hand added to the record. Next on our Calendar, Senator Link's motion to concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1598. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

# ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1598.

Signed by Senator Link.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Link, on your motion.

## SENATOR LINK:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is just clarifying the PV -- PPV leasing may be valued according to terms of the settlement

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

agreement pursuant to Section 10-385 of the Property Tax Code. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1598. All in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 43 voting Aye, 8 voting No, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1598, and the bill is declared passed. Next on the Calendar, Senator Bivins' motion to concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1668. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

# ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1668.

Signed by Senator Bivins.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Bivins.

## SENATOR BIVINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1668 is the IDNR and IDOT property conveyances. The amendments add what -- and Amendment 1 adds one piece of property in Will County, as requested by IDOT. Amendment 2 removes language authorizing certain real estate conveyances in McHenry, Lake, and Pulaski counties. I know of no opposition. Appreciate an Aye vote.

# PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1668.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

All in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1668, and the bill is declared passed. Next, we have Senator Biss's motion to concur in the House amendment to Senate Bill 1720. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

# ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1720.

Signed by Senator Biss.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Biss.

# SENATOR BISS:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Amendment 1 adds the words "chief procurement officer at a" to clarify the initial bill. There's no substantive change and I'd appreciate your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1720. All in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 32 voting Aye, 22 voting No, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1720, and the bill is declared passed.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Turning to the top of page 3 of your Supplemental Calendar. With leave of the Body, we'll return to Senate Bill 1774. Senate Bill 1842. Mr. Secretary, please read Senator Mulroe's motion.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1842.

Signed by Senator Mulroe.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Mulroe, on your motion.

# SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. The amendment becomes the bill. It extends the statute of limitations for prosecution of certain child sex crimes. It provides that when a victim is under eighteen years of age at the time of the offense, a prosecution may be commenced within twenty-five years of the victim attaining the age of eighteen years for the following offenses: involuntary servitude, involuntary sexual servitude of a minor, and trafficking in persons. I ask for your support.

# PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1842. All in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1842, and the bill is declared passed. And next on our Calendar is Senate Bill 1869. Senator Aquino. Mr. Secretary,

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

please read the Senator's motion.

# SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1869.

Signed by Senator Aquino.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Aquino, on your motion.

# SENATOR AQUINO:

Thank you, Mr. President. The amendment simply creates the Language Access to Government Services Task Force to study and reduce the language barriers existing among Illinois residents who are limited English proficient. It's a -- a task force made up of eighteen members that will not get compensated, so it's all volunteer. This came out of the House unanimously and I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Is there any discussion? Senator Tracy, for what purpose do you rise?

# SENATOR TRACY:

Thank you, Mr. President. Questions of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Sponsor indicates that he'll yield. Senator Tracy.

## SENATOR TRACY:

Senator Aquino, we thought this was a very good bill when it left the Senate and now it has kind of a -- a change that there's a task force added. I -- I'm glad to hear that it's totally volunteer. Does that mean it will not have any expenses associated with it?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Senator Aquino.

## SENATOR AQUINO:

From my take of the legislation, that is correct. I don't - I don't believe this is added cost to -- to the State.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Tracy.

### SENATOR TRACY:

So there'll be no expenses for mileage or transportation or meals or anything not associated with the task force?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Aquino.

# SENATOR AQUINO:

Senator Tracy, so, in looking at the -- the legislation, it says "no compensation" and so it -- it -- it -- it makes no notes towards -- to getting compensated for those things, so I would say, no, they would not get compensated at all.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Tracy.

# SENATOR TRACY:

Right. I -- I get that about the compensation part, but it does concern me there may be expenses, because that's totally separate from a -- a salary or compensation and it -- it's kind of customary that when we -- create a task force, that's often put with the -- the -- part of -- of creating a task force. So I'm also wondering, what does this hope to accomplish? I thought the simple focus of your bill was to allow anybody wanting to understand Illinois law, the bills, the -- all the information that you can get on the State websites, that with a simple link that we were going to put that it would translate many languages

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

and accomplish this, and so this takes it another step forward beyond your focus. I -- isn't that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Aquino.

# SENATOR AQUINO:

I believe it takes it a little step forward. I wouldn't say that it takes it beyond what we were trying to accomplish. As — in here, it — basically, the — the — the motivation behind this is to have this all-volunteer task force be able to look for legislation elsewhere to help with bridging the barrier of — for non-English speakers to — to anything. Let me take a look here, apologize. One — one other note that I was just made aware of, that this is a task force for only one year. It's to find — it's — it's to — to help find with — legislation to — in other areas of the — the — the — the country to help better — to help Illinois bridge the gap, the divide, for people that are — have difficulty speaking English in the State and — and — and — and providing them information to access information that we provide here more accessible, I suppose.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Tracy.

## SENATOR TRACY:

Thank -- thank you. That -- that one-year finality thing does help a bit and I appreciate your comments and -- and I'm sorry it changed from what you were simply trying to accomplish and -- and adding something on does kind of complicate the matter. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Further discussion? Senator McCarter, for what purpose do

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

you rise?

SENATOR McCARTER:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

The sponsor indicates that he will yield.

SENATOR McCARTER:

Senator, I -- I'm trying to figure out the rules here, but if -- if you were to pull this bill from the record right now and file a motion to non-concur, we could uphold that and you would have your bill as original and we would be able to pass your first bill right through here. What do you think about that idea?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Aquino.

## SENATOR AQUINO:

While I appreciate that suggestion, I am going to go with the bill that we have here with the amendment that was put on by the Representative that I worked with in the House and so I will -- like to take a vote on what -- what's at -- at -- at hand right now.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator McCarter.

SENATOR McCARTER:

To the bill. All right. Well, I...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

To the bill. To the bill.

SENATOR McCARTER:

I thought that was...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

To the bill, Senator.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

### SENATOR McCARTER:

To the bill. I thought that'd be a good idea to keep your bill intact and be able to pass your first bill right through here. I would have liked to have done, 'cause you -- you had a simple bill that accomplished what you were trying to accomplish and I would have liked to have supported it. But for this reason now, it's just been complicated and made into another task force, which is way off base from what we should be spending our time on, I'm going to vote No.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Further discussion? Seeing none, Senator Aquino, do you wish to close?

# SENATOR AQUINO:

Again, this was a -- a -- a bill that came out of the House unanimously. This is a task force that is all volunteers and it only lasts for one year, so I ask for your Aye vote. Thank you. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

The question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1869. All in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 51 voting Aye, 1 voting No, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1869, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1895. Senator McCann. Mr. Secretary, please read the gentleman's motion.

# SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1895.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Filed by Senator McCann.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator McCann, on your motion.

### SENATOR McCANN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1895 is a constituent initiative. Its aim is to allow fire service, volunteer fire service, and volunteer rescue squad personnel to respond to -- to cell phone calls and text messages that have to do with their volunteer service while on the job. The -- the amendment from the House, House Amendment No. 1, essentially clarifies that this will not supersede any -- any workplace rules and it will not supersede, especially, any situation that we might have with Illinois Department of Corrections and -- and so forth. This removed our last opponent, CMS. There's no opposition and I would appreciate an Aye vote.

# PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1895. All in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1895, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1902. Senator Brady. Let's move on to Senate Bill 2034. Senator Trotter. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2034.

Signed by Senator Trotter.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Trotter, on your motion.

## SENATOR TROTTER:

Thank you very much. Senate Bill 2034 is basically a compilation of three different initiatives, which are: Establish five rights for defendants with regard to bail specifically; it encourages the Administrative Office of Illinois Courts to adopt a data-driven, validated statewide risk assessment tool to determine if a defendant is a danger to the community or a flight risk; and thirdly, it extends the sunset date of the Illinois (Street) Gang and Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Law by five years.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question... Oops! Senator Connelly, for what purpose do you rise?

# SENATOR CONNELLY:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

To the bill, Senator.

## SENATOR CONNELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President and Leader Trotter. I just wanted to quickly say -- stand in support of the bill. I wanted to make a statement, though. The -- as a Member of the Senate - we've been talking about this over here - I'm getting sick and tired of these wonderful bills that leave our Chamber 58 to nothing, then the House does their meddling on these bills. It's really pissing

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

me off. But this actually is good meddling and I urge an Aye vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Well, thank you, Senator. Is there any further discussion? Senator Trotter, do you need to close?

SENATOR TROTTER:

I just seek an Aye vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

The question is...

SENATOR TROTTER:

And -- and thank you...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

The question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2034. All in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2034, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 2046. Senator Steans. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

# SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2046.

Signed by Senator Steans.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Steans, on your motion.

## SENATOR STEANS:

Yes, this is an income tax checkoff bill, where they just consolidated Illinois Police Memorial and State Police Memorial

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

checkoffs into the Thriving Youth Income Tax Checkoff bill. I urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2046. All in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2046, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 2068. Senator McGuire. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2068.

Signed by Senator McGuire.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator McGuire.

## SENATOR McGUIRE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 2068 when it left this Chamber did the following: It allowed the board of trustees of a public library or -- or library district, through a majority vote, to authorize an advisory question of public policy to be placed on the ballot at the next regularly scheduled election. House Amendment No. 1 narrows the advisory question to those which are, quote, "directly relating {sic} (related) to the operation of the library". I think this is an improvement in the bill. I know of no opposition. I

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

ask for an Aye vote, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2068. All in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2068, and the bill is declared passed. Senator Mulroe, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Please state your point, Senator.

## SENATOR MULROE:

So we recognized Nia leaving, you know, the Senate Chamber a while ago. I have another announcement. We have another person on staff, Angela Reed, who staffs the Insurance Committee, she is also leaving as well. While it's a sad day for me, because, you know, this is my first year being the Chairman of Insurance Committee; I've had Angela at my side to -- to guide me through that process and I couldn't tell you, and you probably already know, Insurance Committee is a complicated committee to be on, complicated issues. Angela has been here for three years. I don't know where she got all the knowledge she did or how she did it, but she did it and it's helped me through the process. I'm going to miss her, but there's one thing I want to say about Angela. Her husband is in Washington, D.C., working for a veterans law

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

judge since the beginning of the year. So rather than abandon us and all -- and all her knowledge in the Insurance Committee, she stayed with us through the end of Session. So today is her last official day of -- of a Session day. She may have another week that she's in town, but she actually sacrificed her time away from her husband to be with us and to serve the citizens of the State of Illinois. And for that, I'll be forever grateful. I thank you very much and I wish you the best. She -- Angela is going to be working in -- in the Congressional Research Service at -- at the Library of Congress as a legislative assistance {sic} in D.C. to be back with her husband. So, if we can all give her a really warm goodbye.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Congratulations, Angela. Godspeed. Senator Trotter in the Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Hunter, you seeking recognition?

SENATOR HUNTER:

Yeah. Point of personal privilege, if I...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

State your point.

## SENATOR HUNTER:

I, too, Angela, would like to recognize you. Angela. Anyway I'll -- I'll continue to talk. Angela was my staffer over the Energy and Public Utilities Committee and I'm really -- I'm sorry that she's gone, matter of fact, because I looked forward to working with her and really learned a whole lot from her. But she's going to bigger and better things. Going to big town, Washington, D.C., and that's -- that's a good deal for her, so --

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

and her husband. And so, Angela, we will miss you and I look forward to hearing from you. Please don't forget us. Okay? Thank you and I wish you the best of luck. Thank you and God bless. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Thank you. Thank you very much, Angela. Continuing on -- on Supplement Calendar No. 2, we are going to continue with Senate Bill 1774 on Motions to Concur. Senator Harmon, you wish to proceed? Gentleman says he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the motion.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1774.

Signed by Senator Harmon.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Leader Harmon.

## SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This bill resurrects the Comprehensive Lead Education, Reduction, and Window Replacement (Program) Act. As you may remember, when I passed it out of the Senate, I told you it would be amended in the House. It has come back with the exact amendment we promised, so Senator Connelly can rest easy. And I ask for your Aye votes to concur on this amendment.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion on the motion? Seeing none, Leader Harmon, on Senate Bill 1774. The question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1774. All those in favor, vote Aye. All opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Take the record. On that question, there are 53 voting Aye, no Nays, and no Presents. Senate Bill 1774, having received the required constitutional amendment -- majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments 1 to Senate Bill 1774, and the bill is declared passed. Leader Harmon, you seek recognition? SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Just a parliamentary inquiry. I want to clarify that that was a motion to concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 and that the Secretary's records reflect that. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Thank you. Will the Members on the Committee of Assignments please report to the President's Anteroom? Please report to the President's Anteroom, Committee on Assignments. Leader Link back in the Chair. (at ease)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Mr. Secretary, Committee Report.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senator Clayborne, Chairman of the Committee on Assignments, reports the following Legislative Measures have been assigned: Refer to Education Committee - Motion to Concur with House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 4-4-6; refer to Higher Education Committee - House Bill 1776; refer to State Government Committee - Senate Resolution 539; and Be Approved for Consideration - Motion to Concur on House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1261 and Floor Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 47.

Signed, Senator James F. Clayborne, Chairman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Bennett, for what purpose do you rise?

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

### SENATOR BENNETT:

For the purpose of a clarification.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your clarification.

### SENATOR BENNETT:

Thank you, Mr. President. It's come to my attention that I apparently did not vote, though I intended to, for a bill yesterday that was sponsored by Senator Hutchinson, House Bill 2771. If the record could reflect that I intended to vote Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Record will reflect your intention. Senator Righter, for what purpose do you rise?

## SENATOR RIGHTER:

Inquiry of the Chair, if I might, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Maybe. What's your inquiry?

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

My inquiry, Mr. President, is pretty specific. I'm curious about whether or not the Secretary of the Senate has received any Messages from the House on budget-related matters. And more specifically, my inquiry is whether or not there's been any Messages with regards to a balanced budget that's come over from the House or, quite frankly, any action whatsoever. Do we have any indication whatsoever that there's even a pulse over in the House of Representatives with regards to budget matters? That's my inquiry.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

They're sending -- they're sending it by carrier pigeon, so we're waiting. With leave of the Body, we'll turn to page 7 of

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

the printed Calendar, Senate Bill 47. Senator Hutchinson. Senator Hutchinson seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 47 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 47. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Hutchinson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson, on your amendment.

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Hi. The amendment becomes the bill. I'll explain it on 3rd. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will vote -- say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 47. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 47.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson, on your bill.

### SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I know this is unusual at this

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

late date, but I wanted to be able to send Senate Bill 47, which is a stand-alone film tax credit extension. We have placed the film tax extension in almost every revenue bill that we've -- revenue package that we've sent over. Senate Bill 852 was just the film tax credit and the live theater tax credit. Unfortunately, only the live theater tax credit came back, even though these work really well together and generate widespread support together, more so than they do individually. So, today, I'd like to give it a chance to stay alive as long as it can in the House and send this back over there and then concur later on the live theater tax credit that we've passed three times. So I would appreciate your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Althoff, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Will the sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates she will.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Senator Hutchinson, you're absolutely correct. This is an extremely -- I shouldn't say extremely important. This is a very crucial issue to the State of Illinois with regard to the importance of tax credits. You're correct, we've done both. Can you elaborate on the timing and why it's so important to keep this alive and the difference between the live theater and the film credit for me, please?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

### SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

The live theater tax credit actually expires in January, so were cutting that extremely close. The film tax credit has another year to go. The problem is, in order to plan and book seasons out, they usually do those in five- to ten-year increments and so what we see now are shows like Empire and Chicago Fire, Chicago PD, Chicago Med, all the shows, and the amazing growth in the film industry that's happening in Chicago right now, a little concerned about drawing -- running down the clock on this. So in order for them to plan outward, we really need to go on ahead and get this on the books now. So I just want to give it a chance to stay alive, because I know they're having a number of conversations about tax credits and omnibus things over in the House, so that's the reason why I want to get it over there. We, again, passed this three times.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Althoff.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

To the bill. I would concur...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

## SENATOR ALTHOFF:

I would concur. I think this is an -- an excellent effort to move forward and to keep this issue alive. And -- and let me remind the Body that the uniqueness of Illinois with regard to our tax credits with live theater and film is that we actually tied everything to Illinois jobs, not to the industry, but to jobs that are created in the State of Illinois. This is really a good effort. I appreciate the fact that Senator Hutchinson is trying

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

to keep it alive and I would urge the Body to vote Aye. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McCarter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR McCARTER:

To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR McCARTER:

The -- the value of both of these is about fifty-three million dollars. So, decide - what do you want to spend fifty-three million dollars on? I mean, you -- surely, you've got somebody, some program, in your -- in your district that has shut down or near to be shutting down and they could use part of this. Again, we get back to priorities. I mean, really, you -- you want to take a chance that they're going to leave? They're not going to leave. This is not -- this -- this is not the only profit that they make. I mean, for those of you that are -- favor a -- a millionaire tax, why would you ever vote for this? I mean, these -- these guys are making millions and millions of dollars and you're going to give 'em a credit when you got providers that are being shut down? This is ridiculous. I -- I -- I can't believe that you -- you -- we would do this on the last day. I take it as you don't care about those providers that are hurting. I'm not going to do that. But this is about priorities and we're going to show people what our priorities are - today, now. I urge a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Oberweis, for what purpose do you rise?

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

### SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Question of the sponsor, Mr. Chairman {sic}.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

She indicates she will yield.

## SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Senator, may I ask how you picked this particular industry to receive this load of money from the State, this credit from the State, as opposed to the other eighty-six hundred industries and businesses that might also like to have a handout?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson.

### SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Oh, you people are funny. We have had this conversation I don't know how many times. We know that these are tax credits that inure to jobs that actually happen here. It doesn't go back to the industry, as Senator Althoff pointed out. It is absolutely amazing to me for people who've not yet once voted on a budget stand up and talk about how sorry they are for the services that are shutting down. Have a seat. At this point, we passed -- we voted on a responsibly balanced budget. And I understand that you want to take potshots on the last day, 'cause you're running out of time to do other things, but this is a thing that we've already passed. We passed it damn near unanimously multiple times. It's come out pretty much on Agreed Bill List in the Revenue Committee. So just stop. We're doing this because we passed a clean bill over to the House and the bill came back incomplete and I want to give it a chance to keep going. That's all this is. playing games, never have. Please vote Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Senator Oberweis.

### SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Senator, I find your comments disgusting. We're here trying to take care of the taxpayers of Illinois and you are out giving one special group of people...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Let's -- let's keep...

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

...a tax credit.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Let's keep this gentlemanly and ladylike. This is the Senate. This isn't the House. This is the Senate. Let's act with decorum. Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

## SENATOR OBERWEIS:

This is a classic Illinois example of special interest legislation. Here we are, favor one group over the other group. Why not let the market make the determination of where those dollars should go? Let's -- we're short of dollars everywhere for social services. We want more money for education. Obviously, the State is financially bankrupt. Why are we giving away another fifty million dollars? This makes no sense whatsoever and to pick one special group to be the -- the receivers of this largesse is just fundamentally wrong.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter, for what purpose do you rise?

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

Mr. President, first of all, I -- I want to thank you for your admonition. It is late. There's a lot of frustration, particularly high. People are getting a little chippy. So I appreciate your admonition that we should just talk about the subject matter and respect the fact that people have different opinions on the -- the merit of this particular tax credit. I would like to ask the sponsor a question if she might yield, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

She indicates she will.

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you. Senator Hutchinson, what's wrong with the House? Do they not like movies? Why do we keep sending that over there? Do they not like movies? That's a serious question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson.

### SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

I don't know. We kept trying to ask why it was taken out. I don't know. I don't -- I really don't have an answer to that. But I do know that the bill that we sent over, it went out with over fifty votes on it. It was a well-regarded bill. It was also a part of, almost anytime we talked or contemplated, a omnibus tax package just because of how much return on investment you get for these types of credits. So I -- I don't know why it came out, but I just want to give it a chance to keep it alive over there, since they are going to be in continuous Session as they're dealing with this.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Senator Righter.

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

Well, I think thanks in part to their lack of action on anything that resembles a budget, I think we're all going to be in continuous Session here, Senator Hutchinson. But, I mean, in all seriousness, I mean, we have, we -- we -- you've spent a lot of time on this. We've spent a lot of time on this. I mean -- I mean, have you had - this is an earnest question - have you had any conversations with any of your colleagues in the House? I mean, I don't know who's picking these bills up. What -- surely, you've gotten some feedback on what the issue is here.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson.

## SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

I think they -- they've really kind of kept it, like, at this time, we're just going to go with the live theater tax credit. I -- I haven't gotten much more information than that, except for this is the direction we want to take. And again, this -- this expires in a year, so there's time to, you know, work on this except for the fact that the industry needs to plan out for when they book those shows. I'd also -- I'd also add that right now - and I said this when we passed this the first time - there used to be -- it used to be Los Angeles is where film happened, New York was where theater happened, and Chicago you had a smattering of both, and what's happening in Illinois is the -- both sides. There's way more theater and way more film happening in Chicago. And it is -- really been a boon for the State, which is why we put those two tax credits together. Also, they're sellable, so if you can't use them, you can still insert them into the economy. So

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

it's -- it is -- it is one of the smarter ones that we do. The return on the investment is something you have to look for when you decide whether to do an exemption or not. And I'm a firm believer in studying all of that. So, again, this is just my effort to keep it alive. I don't know what's going to necessarily happen, but I wanted to make sure that it was still a vehicle that they could use to insert into their credit extension conversations, which is something we do every year.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter. Oh! Senator Syverson, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR SYVERSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I guess, a question of the sponsor. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

She indicates she will yield.

## SENATOR SYVERSON:

Well, first, I enjoy when this bill comes up for debate every -- every couple years, because it gives us a chance for us to listen to you argue for Reaganomics. And we always enjoy that, so thank you. But I do, and I rise in support of this, because based on that, when you talk about the economics of it, like we do when we give credits to other organizations, this one, especially on the movie side, has significant economic return, not just in the direct filming but all the other work that gets done. I've had the opportunity to -- to visit some of the backroom supports, where they're doing some of the sound music that's being taped in Chicago and -- and -- and work around that. So clearly there's a lot of work that's being generated because of that tax credit here. So, I just hope we remember the importance of how those tax credits

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

are generating jobs when we look at tax credits for other areas of -- of business in Illinois. So I thank you for bringing it forward and it's -- again, it's always fun to have you guys argue on behalf of our economics.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Nybo, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR NYBO:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

## SENATOR NYBO:

Thank you. Like -- like Senator Syverson, I also stand in support of this bill and I think some of the discussion has been unfair to the sponsor. And I think that we can calm things down a bit here. I -- I look at issues like this in terms of the mobility of economic activity. So, a couple days ago, we had a discussion about investment professionals, how -- how easy it is for economic activity and jobs to leave our State. And I made the argument that with investment professionals, it's very easy for them to transfer their business, their activity, their jobs to other states. And I would say that -- that film production and TV production is exactly the same situation. It is so easy to move these jobs and -- and these shows and these films to other states. Chicago Med doesn't need to be filmed in Chicago. It can be filmed anywhere and the fact that this -- that this bill is -- or the credit is sunsetting in one year is so important because they plan these productions so far in advance. And if -- if we don't support this tax credit and renew this tax credit, like other situations we've dealt with, this is a very real situation where we will be

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

pushing economic activity out of our State. I support this bill and the only thing that I ask the sponsor to consider is there are other areas where we are trying to do this, where we are losing opportunities because we don't have the right tax structure. So I encourage a Yes vote on this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson, to close.

### SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Thank you, Senator Althoff, Senator Nybo, and Senator Syverson. I really, really appreciate that. I wholeheartedly agree there are other areas where we're not doing as well as we can do, because we do have an antiquated, unworkable tax code - absolutely. And the only point I finally make is that, right now, there -- you can make the City of Vancouver in Canada look like any city in the United States. So if you want to do something in Chicago, let's make sure their coming here to Illinois. We got lots of places to do it. We're open for business. Please vote Yes.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 47 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 47 Ayes, 6 Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 47, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Mr. Secretary, Messages from the House.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

A Message from the House by Mr. Mapes, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to wit:

Senate Bill 3.

Together with the following amendment which is attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 3.

We have received like Messages on Senate Bill 646, with House Amendments 1 and 3; Senate Bill 652, with House Amendment 4; Senate Bill 734, with House Amendment 1; Senate Bill 867, with House Amendment 1; Senate Bill 941, with House Amendment 1; Senate Bill 1648, with House Amendment 1; Senate Bill 1663, with House Amendment 3; and Senate Bill 1671, with House Amendment 2. All passed the House, as amended, May 31st, 2017. Timothy D. Mapes, Clerk of the House.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

We'll go to Supplemental Calendar 2, Concurrences. Senate Bill 852. Senator Hutchinson. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 852.

Signed by Senator Hutchinson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson, on your motion.

### SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

This is what we were talking about before. This is 852, which we passed with very, very strong majorities. I would like to move to concur with the one part that came back to the Senate, just the

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

live theater tax credit. It's -- lot of the same arguments stand. Please vote Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 852. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 47 Ayes, 7 Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 852, and the bill is declared passed. With leave of the Body, we'll turn to page -- 36 of the printed Calendar, Resolutions. Senate Joint Resolution 32. Senator Manar. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Joint Resolution 32, offered by Senator Manar.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar, on your resolution.

## SENATOR MANAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Joint Resolution 32 - thank you, Mr. President - would designate the section of Route 16 in Macoupin County from Stagecoach Road to the City of Gillespie as "Private First Class Gary Wayne Price Memorial Highway". If the Body would indulge me just for a few moments, I would like to read a few important sentences from this resolution. "United States Army Private First Class Gary Wayne Price started his tour of duty in Vietnam on April 6, 1967; he was killed in action by small arms fire on May 6, 1967" - one month later - "while serving near Plieku in Vietnam as an Infantryman with Company B, 3rd Battalion, 12th

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Infantry Regiment, 4th Infantry Division; he was nineteen years old" at the time. Three months later, a small memorial was erected in the Village of Dorchester, which is in southern Macoupin County, and we believe it to be the first memorial erected in the State of Illinois for a soldier who lost his life in Vietnam. Private Price was awarded the Purple Heart Medal, the Combat Infantry Badge, the Vietnam Service Medal with One Service Star, the Vietnam Campaign Medal, and the National Defense Service Medal to recognize his service. He was laid to rest in Bunker Hill in the city cemetery, where more than 1,200 people viewed his body prior to his burial. He was born to Mr. and Mrs. Vern T. Price in Dorchester on July 28th, 1947. He graduated from Gillespie High School in 1966. I did not know Gary Price, but I do know his family and they are wonderful people. They are remarkable people. And I would ask the Body to adopt this resolution.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

As this resolution... Senator McCann, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR McCANN:

To the resolution, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the resolution.

### SENATOR McCANN:

I would just like to say that it is indeed an honor to share the representation of Macoupin County with Senator Manar and it's an honor to be here with the family in the Chamber today. And we appreciate the service of Pfc. Price. We appreciate the sacrifice of his family. My father-in-law is a decorated combat veteran of Vietnam and I know for a fact that that is one -- one war where

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

all gave some and some gave all. And we thank the Price family for their sacrifice and I recommend an Aye vote. Thank you, Senator Manar, for bringing the resolution.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

As this resolution requires expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote will be required. The question is, shall Senate Joint Resolution 32 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. Senator Manar.

## SENATOR MANAR:

I rise for a point of personal privilege, Mr. President. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

### SENATOR MANAR:

I would like to recognize three individuals, who -- who are here in the gallery. Gary Price's sister, Judy Schwallenstecker; his brother-in-law, Ollie Schwallenstecker, who served on the County Board with me for many years; and his niece, Pam Monetti. I would like to, on behalf of the State Senate, thank your family for the service of Gary and for your continued efforts in our community and for what you do for so many people. And thank you for being here today.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

With leave of the Body, we'll turn to page 79 -- or 29 of the printed Calendar, House Bill 479. Senator Raoul seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 479 to the Order of 2nd Reading.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 479. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Raoul.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul, on your amendment.

### SENATOR RAOUL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Floor Amendment 1 contains most of the body of the bill. I hope to explain it on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Raoul.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul, on your amendment.

### SENATOR RACUL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Floor Amendment 2 is a technical amendment. Again, I'll explain the full bill on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is House Bill 479. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 479.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul, on your bill.

### SENATOR RAOUL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 479, as amended, creates two Acts, the Fantasy Sports Contest Act as well as the -- Internet Gaming Act, to regulate and bring transparency and consumer protection to activity that has been ongoing in the State of Illinois, but has not -- but has not been regulated or taxed for that matter. First off, the first portion of the bill that deals with the Fantasy Sports Contest Act is very similar to a bill, House Bill 3655, which we passed out on May 23rd of last year. There was much contention about that bill, because there was a feeling that the bill sought to regulate one sort of online activity and omitted regulating Internet gaming. And so, after much negotiation, this bill represents an effort to regulate both sorts of activity. Many of you all may be familiar with the daily fantasy sports. There has been and there's pending lawsuits with regards to the legality of daily fantasy sports as unregulated gaming in the State of Illinois. The Attorney General has opted not to take any further action on -- on daily fantasy sports until those lawsuits are resolved as to the question of whether it's gaming. In the interim, what this is an effort to do

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

is to do the same thing that the State of New York and other states have done with regards to daily fantasy sports while such lawsuits were pending, is to legislate in order to -- to regulate the -- the activity and to provide some consumer protections and to prevent individuals of -- under -- under the age of twenty-one from participating in such sports and to prohibit such contests to be based on amateur sports, such as high school sports or collegiate sports. The bill sets out licensure requirements, fees, and a -- a graduated tax structure based on annual contest operator revenues. The bill also creates regulation, as I mentioned earlier, for Internet gaming. It would allow the Gaming Board to issue licenses to existing casinos to operate Internet gaming. Such licenses could be valid for up to five years. Again, iGaming participants would have to be over the age of twenty-one. It would...

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul, could you wrap it up? You've already exceeded the time limit on the clock. Thank you.

## SENATOR RAOUL:

Yes, Mr. President. There's a lot in this bill, as you know, and there's some things that I must say. There — there was an issue raised in committee on this bill with regards to a concern of the horsemen and the — the tracks. There was negotiations that took place subsequent to that and there's language in this bill to address those concerns. I would say the one caveat to that is the Arlington Race Track had some concerns that we agreed to continue to work on in a — a — a trailer bill. I urge… PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Righter, for what purpose

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

do you rise?

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Will the sponsor yield, please, Mr. President?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

He indicates he will.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Raoul, the -- you raised in your opening, right there, an issue that the horseracing industry had and you said that that had been resolved. Can you give me just a little more detail on -- on what the issue was and how it was resolved?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

## SENATOR RAOUL:

Yes, it was an issue with regards to the splitting of the proceeds that -- between the tracks and the horsemen. Given my limited knowledge of the operation of these tracks and the proceeds, what I had the representatives of both the tracks and horsemen do is sit in the room and come up with language that both sides were satisfied on, and that's what's represented in the bill. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

The second area of inquiry I have, Senator Raoul, has to do with the tax rate that's going to be applied to the Internet gaming provision in this bill. My understanding is, it's fifteen percent, which pales in comparison to the marginal tax rates that riverboat casinos pay. I'm curious about the reason for the disparity. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Senator Raoul.

### SENATOR RAOUL:

Sure. So daily fantasy sports is vastly different from the operation of casino with regards to its revenues and with regards to extent to which it -- it had -- there's a history of operation to determine what type of revenues would be generated and it's -- it's a industry still in the -- in development and we didn't want to set a tax rate, that just wouldn't make sense, for -- for -- operates -- to engage in the activities, so we tried to create a graduated tax rate based on revenues. And -- and that's what the structure is. The fifteen percent rate that you referred to is the highest rate, based on revenues exceeding eight million dollars.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

## SENATOR RIGHTER:

Senator, I think that what you were talking about was the sports management piece. I was asking about the Internet gaming piece, which is a separate provision. And my question was about the marginal rate charged there relative to casinos. So I'll end with that, Mr. President, and thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Syverson, for what purpose do you rise?

### SENATOR SYVERSON:

Thank you. To the -- the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

## SENATOR SYVERSON:

I just want to rise in support and thank the Senator for his

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

work on this. I know a lot of this was thrown together at the last minute with a goal to try to keep these -- these issues alive and so this can move over to the House and there's certainly going to be more work to be done on this, but this is -- this at least keeps this moving forward and so I appreciate you taking the extra time to get this done and get it moving in this last -- last few minutes. So I rise in support of the -- the gentleman's legislation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Murphy, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR MURPHY:

Question to the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

He indicates he will yield.

SENATOR MURPHY:

Thank you. Senator, does this bill have any impact on brickand-mortar facilities, the casinos that are already in place?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RACUL:

Well, it -- it could have a positive impact on the brick-and-mortar facilities. We did have a witness, an expert, testify in committee on -- given that we're -- we're talking about existing casinos...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Could we -- could we move out of the line of debate? Thank you. Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

That would be the -- the licensees. The -- the witness

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

testified that those who participate in iGaming often are drawn to the -- the actual physical operations and so it could have a -- a positive impact on existing casinos.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Murphy.

### SENATOR MURPHY:

Thank you. So, this is likely. And historically, New Jersey has seen an increase in those attending brick and mortar. So would you think then that would be -- as we study this, then a casino that has to give away forty percent of their revenue or had to pay an exorbitant fee for their license, we might be able to renegotiate that arrangement then?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

### SENATOR RAOUL:

Well, you're asking me to answer for a hundred and seventy-seven legislators and -- and -- and the Governor, and I -- in as much as I feel that I have the faith and confidence of each and every one of you-all and Governor Rauner, I -- I'm incapable of predicting such renegotiations, but I -- as I indicated to you in committee, I'm committed to examining the issue with you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Murphy. No? Senator Raoul, to close.

## SENATOR RAOUL:

Mr. President, I want to make sure I address a concern raised by Senator Righter with regards to iGaming. And my -- my answer is similar in that we don't know exactly what iGaming is going to generate, as -- as opposed to the brick and mortar, the actual physical facilities, and it's going to take some investment. And

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

it's something that, if iGaming booms, I'm sure we can revisit if there's a -- similar revenue from -- from it than -- than what exists at the casinos. So I urge, as we search for revenues to balance our budget and to make sure we -- provide for the Education Assistance Fund and Pension Stabilization, I -- I urge you-all to vote Aye as -- for this bill as providing such revenue.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall House Bill 479 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 42 Ayes, 10 Nays, 1 voting Present. House Bill 479, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Mary Ann Ahern and Carol Marin, both of NBC Chicago, seek permission to videotape. Seeing no objection, permission granted. Mr. Secretary, Messages from the House.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

A Message from the House by Mr. Mapes, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to wit:

Senate Bill 1839.

Together with the following amendments which are attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Amendments 3, 4, and 5 to Senate Bill 1839.

We have received a like Message on Senate Bill 1843, with House Amendment 2. Passed the House, as amended, May 31st, 2017. Timothy D. Mapes, Clerk of the House.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

A Message from the House by Mr. Mapes, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to wit:

Senate Bill 1483.

Together with the following amendment which is attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1483.

Passed the House, as amended, May 31st, 2017. Timothy D. Mapes, Clerk of the House.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

With leave of the Body, we'll turn to Supplemental Calendar No. 2, Concurrences. Senate Bill 1902. Senator Brady. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1902.

Signed by Senator Brady.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Brady, on your motion.

## SENATOR BRADY:

Thank you, Mr. President. This rewrites the bill. It says the Department may establish locally held funds to -- to receive for the State Fair Association. Evidently, they thought banks weren't the right thing to do. Maybe the Credit Union started lobbying the bill over there. I don't know. But anyway, I'd ask for your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with Amendment -- House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1902. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1902, and the bill is declared passed. With leave of the Body, we'll turn to page 33 of the printed Calendar, House Bills 3rd Reading. House Bill 3001. Senator Hastings. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3001.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hastings, on your bill.

## SENATOR HASTINGS:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill amends the Security Deposit Return Act by providing that a landlord may withhold the tenant's security deposit for cleaning, repair, or replacement of any building component or the value of any amenity, if damaged, will not be replaced, provided the lease specifies a dollar amount; that the itemized statement required under the Act reference a dollar amount specified in the written lease associated with the specific building component or amenity and include a copy of the applicable portion of the lease; and if, no fault of the landlord, the landlord is unable to produce receipts, the landlord "shall" - instead of "may" - produce other evidence of the cost; and that

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

landlord shall provide a verified statement detailing why the landlord is unable to produce required receipts or copies and verifying that the landlord has provided all other evidence of the cost. In committee, one of the members of our committee had asked that I speak with the Illinois Housing Action Association. We have met. And he agreed to do a trailer bill in the next Session. And I'll take any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3001 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 40 Ayes, 4 Nays, 2 voting Present. House Bill 3001, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Righter, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR RIGHTER:

Point of personal privilege, if I might, Mr. President.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

I would like the record to reflect my sincerest intentions to vote Aye on House Bill 3001. I was standing next to my switch, but it just wouldn't seem to go green, so if the record would so reflect. Thank you, Mr. President.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The -- your -- the record will reflect your intentions. With leave of the Body, we'll turn to page 33 of the printed Calendar, House Bills 3rd Reading. House Bill 3150. Senator Harmon. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3150.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon, on your bill.

### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 3150 allows a county recorder to establish a fraud referral and review process for deeds believed to be fraudulent. It's an initiative of the Cook County Recorder. I'm not aware of any opposition and I ask you for your Aye votes.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3150 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3150, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 2537. Senator Hastings. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2537.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hastings, on your bill.

### SENATOR HASTINGS:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill, as amended, provides

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

that a divorce judgment must contain a provision authorizing an ex-spouse to resume usage of their former or maiden name at any time he or she wishes to do so. In addition, it also increases the gross annual income threshold needed to use maintenance formula from two hundred and fifty to five hundred thousand dollars. This bill has no opponents and I'll answer any questions. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2537 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 2537, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Mr. Secretary, Messages from the House. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

A Message from the House by Mr. Mapes, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to wit:

Senate Bill 1904.

Together with the following amendments which are attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1904.

Passed the House, as amended, May 31st, 2017. Timothy D. Mapes, Clerk of the House.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

With leave of the Body, we'll turn to page 29 of the printed

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Calendar, House Bills 3rd Reading. House Bill 188. Senator Raoul. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 188.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul, on your bill.

### SENATOR RAOUL:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 188 is an initiative of the Illinois Association of Defense Trial Counsel and the ISBA. It provides that a party filing any other pleading or motion prior to the filing of a motion objecting to a court's jurisdiction over the party's person waives all objections to the court's jurisdiction over the party's person prospectively, unless the initial motion is a motion for extension of time to answer or otherwise plead or a motion requesting a judgment, relief from judgment, or relief from a default judgment. I urge an Aye vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 188 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 188, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. We're on Supplemental Calendar No. 2, Resolutions. Senate Joint -- Senate Resolution 579. Senator Harmon. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Senate Resolution 579, offered by Senator Harmon.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon, on your resolution.

### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Resolution 579 names June 7th of this year as Kathleen -- "Kathleen Janush Day" in honor of a unique and tremendous teacher in my district.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Joint -- Senate Resolution 579 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye -- will say Aye. Opposed, say Nay. The Ayes have it, and the resolution is adopted. Senate Joint Resolution 43. Senator Mulroe. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

Senate Joint Resolution 43, offered by Senator Mulroe.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senator Mulroe, on your resolution.

## SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. This resolution designates the month of May in the year of 2017 as Cystic Fibrosis Awareness Month in the State of Illinois. I'd ask for its adoption.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Joint Resolution 43 pass. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the resolution is adopted. We'll go to Supplemental Calendar No. 1. Senate Joint Resolution 21. Senator Schimpf. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

#### ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Joint Resolution 21, offered by Senator Schimpf. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Schimpf, on your resolution.

### SENATOR SCHIMPF:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. I would ask for -- ask for your attention, as this resolution does honor a fallen soldier. I rise this evening to speak on Senate Joint Resolution 21, which seeks to name a portion of US Route 51 after a fallen soldier, Private First Class Tyler Iubelt. PFC Iubelt was a member of the 1st Cavalry Division Sustainment Brigade. was killed in action on November 12, 2016, in a bomb attack on Bagram Air Force {sic} (Air) Base in Afghanistan. The -- the Army told his mother that PFC Iubelt and another soldier intercepted a suicide bomber before that bomber could get inside the facility and detonate his bomb and that would have killed a number -- a tremendous number of other soldiers. So, PFC Iubelt did save a number of lives. His awards and decorations include the Bronze Star, the Purple Heart, the Afghanistan Campaign Medal with one campaign star, the Army Service Ribbon and the Combat Action Badge. Tyler was just a bit over twenty when he gave his life in support of our freedom. He leaves behind his mother, father, three brothers, his wife Shelby, and his daughter Violet, who is only about eleven months old. I recently spoke with Tyler's mother. It's been an exhausting couple weeks for her, between the return of Tyler's unit from Afghanistan and then the Memorial Day ceremonies this past weekend. The honor that I'm asking you to bestow is an honor she has asked for. The -- the students at the elementary school in Tamaroa also sent me a whole bunch of letters

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

asking if we could do this. I had the opportunity to -- to attend Tyler's visitation. I got there about half an hour after the visitation started and I had to wait in line an hour and a half to -- to meet the family. I think practically everybody in Perry County was there. So this is a very small gesture, but it is one that is meaningful and will be greatly appreciated by the family and the community. I respectfully ask that we adopt Senate Joint Resolution 21.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

As this resolution requires expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote will be required. The question is, shall Senate Joint Resolution 21 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Joint Resolution 21, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. Senator Schimpf. SENATOR SCHIMPF:

Point of personal privilege, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

SENATOR SCHIMPF:

I just -- I would just ask that we observe a moment of silence to honor the memory and sacrifice of PFC Iubelt. (Moment of silence observed)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senate Joint Resolution 40. Senator Weaver. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Senate Joint Resolution 40, offered by Senator Weaver. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Weaver, on your resolution.

#### SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is a -- setting up a Statewide Task Force for {sic} (on) the Future of Adult Education and Literacy. The last time this was done was 2009 when they did a five-year strategic plan. They want to now update that plan and just focus on what's going on with the workforce in our State. I do have to clarify that one of my colleagues said this was about adult education and I would like to make clear it's about adult literacy - whoever that fireman was that said that - not adult education. He had some concerns about the name. But this is just a great program ready to go forward and study this -- with strategic planning. I'd request an Aye vote.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

As this resolution requires expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote will be required. The question is, shall Senate Joint Resolution 40 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Joint Resolution 40, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. With leave of the Body, we'll turn to page 37 of the printed Calendar, Resolutions. House Joint Resolution 1. There's a letter on file allowing Senator Hastings to present. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

House Joint Resolution 1, offered by Senator Haine.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hastings for Senator Haine.

#### SENATOR HASTINGS:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Joint Resolution No. 1 designates Illinois Route 100 in the Village of Godfrey as the Officer Blake Snyder Memorial Road (sic) (Highway). And if you bear with me, my explanation of this joint resolution will not do it the justice that Senator Haine would have. Officer Blake Snyder was a four-year veteran in the St. Louis County Police Department and was killed in the line of duty. Just to read some of the excerpts: Officer Snyder brought with him to the St. Louis County Police Department a particular talent for -- for conducting Driving While Intoxicated arrests. A former co-worker said of Officer Snyder's work, "His reports were pristine", and "He could never be beat in court, and the defense attorneys knew that". He was called into action in response to a complaint of disturbing the peace in Green Park, Missouri, and he was shot and killed by the perpetrator of the disturbance. I just ask for an Aye vote for this resolution for Officer Snyder. Thank you, Mr. President.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

As this resolution requires expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote will be required. The question is, shall House Joint Resolution 1 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Joint Resolution 1, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. House Joint

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Resolution 2. Senator Harris. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Joint Resolution 2, offered by Senator Harris.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harris, on your resolution.

#### SENATOR HARRIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. HJR 2 creates the Underrepresented Groups in Academia Task Force within the Board of Higher Education to examine the strategies of -- of how to grow underrepresented groups in institutions of higher education and to ascertain the viability of increasing those numbers of lab schools in the State and creating the State-owned and -operated trade schools. I request an affirmative for this adoption.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, as this resolution requires expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote is required. The question is, shall House Joint Resolution 2 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Joint Resolution 2, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. House Joint Resolution 3. Senator Harris. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Joint Resolution 3, offered by Senator Harris.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harris, on your resolution.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

#### SENATOR HARRIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. HJR 3 amends -- as amended, establishes the Trade Policy Task Force within the Office of Trade and Investment in the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity in order to analyze the important issues relative to the growth of international trade from and to Illinois and make recommendations to Congress, the United States Trade Representative, and the White House National Trade Council regarding trade policies that best serve Illinois. I request a -- an affirmative adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? As this resolution requires expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote will be required. The question is, shall House Joint Resolution 3 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Joint Resolution 3, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. President Cullerton, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

TON O. COLLENTON.

Purposes of an announcement.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your announcement.

SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

I would like to request a Democratic Caucus to be held in my office immediately for a half hour.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Althoff, for what purpose do you rise?

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

#### SENATOR ALTHOFF:

The Senate Republicans would also like to caucus immediately for about half an hour.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

President's Office? Oh! President Cullerton, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

Yeah, this request is upon recess. I believe that the Committee on Assignments is going to meet right now.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Will the Committee on Assignments please meet in the President's Anteroom immediately? And will all Members of respective caucuses go to your meetings immediately, please. We are under the clock. Please go immediately to your caucuses. Mr. Secretary, Messages from the House.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

A Message from the House by Mr. Mapes, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to wit:

Senate Bill 1.

Together with the following amendments which are attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1.

We have received like Messages on Senate Bill 81, with House Amendment 1. Passed the House, as amended, May 31st, 2017. Timothy D. Mapes, Clerk of the House.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senator Clayborne, Chairman of the Committee on Assignments, reports the following Legislative Measures have been assigned: Be Approved for Consideration - Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 3, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 81, Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 646, Motion to Concur with -- to Concur with House Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 652, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 734, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 941, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1843, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1843, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1904, and Floor Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 402.

Signed by Senator James F. Clayborne, Chairman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The Senate will stand in recess to the call of the Chair.

(SENATE STAND IN RECESS/SENATE RECONVENES)

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Will all Members at the sound of my voice come to the Senate Floor immediately? We will be going to final action. Mr. Secretary, Resolutions.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Joint Resolution 44, offered by Senator McCann.

It is substantive, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

With leave of the Body, we'll be going to Supplemental Calendar No. 2, Concurrences. Senate Bill 886. President Cullerton. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 8-8-6.

Signed by President Cullerton.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

President Cullerton, on your motion.

#### SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

Yes, I move to concur with Senate {sic} Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 8-8-6. This deals with the process by which the State may dispose of the James R. Thompson Center. It allows for either a sale of the property or the leaseback of the property to the State after a sale. It requires an agreement to conform with City of Chicago regulations regarding zoning density; requires the purchaser to enter into an agreement regarding the future of the Clark/Lake CTA station; and requires the transfer of several State offices to the Bilandic Building. Be happy to answer any questions and ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Righter, for what purpose do you rise?

#### SENATOR RIGHTER:

Will the sponsor yield, please, Mr. President?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will.

#### SENATOR RIGHTER:

President Cullerton, why do the provisions -- why do the

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

provisions in there with regards to Chicago zoning need to be in State law? Isn't that something the City of Chicago can do their own -- do on their own through their ordinances?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

President Cullerton.

#### SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

Yeah, actually, we have ordinances in Chicago that said if you take over a City block and you build a big skyscraper, you have to pay impact fees. And so, in negotiations with the State and the Rauner Administration and the City, the -- the State -- Rauner Administration wanted to have those waived. The City did not agree to that. What we're saying here is that since they have to be paid, we're going to make it easier for the purchaser, so the purchaser is the one that would have to make the applicable payments to the City of Chicago with respect to the zoning density, which are called impact fees.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

To the gentleman's motion, if I might, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the motion.

#### SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Well, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, here we go. This is round one of making sure we shovel the money up north. For those of you who put in your revenue estimates in your budget that the Thompson Center was going to be worth three hundred million dollars, get your eraser out and erase that number, because we're going to put forty or fifty million

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

dollars more in the City of Chicago's pocket, which means it comes out of ours. We weren't balanced already, that makes it a little bit harder. Ladies and Gentlemen, in every budget negotiation that has taken place in this building, in an effort to try to get to a balanced budget, we have counted on three hundred million dollars from the sale of the Thompson Center. And now you're about to vote for a bill that says, you know what, we don't need that forty to fifty million dollars; we're going to give it to Mayor Emanuel. That's not right. That's not right. I appreciate it's not what the City wants, but this shouldn't be about what the City wants. This should be about - that's State property - this should be about what's best for the State and this is clearly not best for the State. I urge a No vote. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Nybo, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR NYBO:

To the motion, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the motion.

### SENATOR NYBO:

Mr. President, you know, I -- I -- I've been here long enough to learn now that, when we're on timed debate, not to ask questions. So I'm just going to get to the point about why I think we should all vote No on this. This has nothing to do with normal impact fees that a developer would have to pay for -- for -- for -- Mr. President, the -- the -- the commitment is -- the -- the contracts are now subject to the condition that the commitment from the purchaser to make applicable payments to the City of Chicago with respect to additional zoning density. You know what

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

that's referring to? That's referring to a program that the City of Chicago initiated last year. And -- and let's -- let's be clear about why we're trying to sell the Thompson Center. We think we have an asset that we can sell for the benefit of the taxpayers and maximize as much as we can in selling that asset. maximizing as much as we can in selling that asset depends on increasing zoning density so that we can put a bigger building on this property. And so we're going to put a bigger building on this property, we're going to sell it off to the developer, and we're hoping to make three hundred million dollars. But now, the City of Chicago is going to come in and say I don't care how much you can sell this property for, you can only sell it if you agree to -- to pay us some zoning density fee - yeah, zoning density fee. And the City of Chicago could do just what they did last year. They could come in and they could say, well, this zoning density fee is a hundred and fifty million dollars. This zoning density fee is half the purchase price. The bottom line is, what this is about is this is the City of Chicago seeing an opportunity to fleece Illinois taxpayers and to -- once again hurt us. the City of Chicago is putting their hands out and saying, where's mine? You know what? I suspect in the last hour or two that we're here, all we're going to see is -- are -- are bills and proposals that are all about Chicago. And so if you want to help out Chicago and give Chicago another fifty million dollars and hurt Illinois taxpayers, vote for this bill. But if you want to stand up to the -- for -- for -- for State taxpayers and support what's in the best interest of the State of Illinois -- and that's why we're here, we're here to support the State of Illinois and do what's best for the State of Illinois, not for the City of Chicago. I

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

urge a No vote. This is going to hurt taxpayers. It's going to make it more difficult to sell the Thompson Center, and at the end of the day, we're going to be in a situation where we're helping nobody - which, when we adjourn tonight, is going to be the situation that we're in. We will have helped nobody.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Brady, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR BRADY:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the motion.

#### SENATOR BRADY:

Ladies and Gentlemen, I stand in opposition to the bill. I -- I can't figure out exactly what the House Democrats are trying to do. In committee, Senator Cullerton said, we just want 'em to have to pay the fee for -- for the -- for upgrading the zoning. Well, that's City ordinance; they've got the right to do that. And -- and he said that we want to make sure they -- they protect the transit system. Well, there's an agreement. When this building was built, there was an agreement between the parties the City, the Transit Authority, and the State. That agreement would hold upon any future purchaser. I -- I'm very suspicious about why the City wants this. I agree with the previous speakers. It seems to me that it's just further to shake down the State of Illinois, to extract money from all the taxpayers for the benefit of the City of Chicago. There is absolutely no reason in my mind, nor has anyone been able to answer a reason, why this additional language that the House Democrats put on this bill is necessary. The City has the ordinances in place. There's an agreement in

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

place to meet what we're trying to meet here. Please vote No. This is just another example of a power grab from the City of Chicago.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

President Cullerton, to close.

### SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. So, you guys propose legislation, we draft it, we introduce it, we vote Yes, and you vote No. We have to change our relationship. You proposed a budget. We drafted it. We passed it. Part of the budget is three hundred million for the Thompson Center. You can't get the three hundred million unless you pass a law to allow -- otherwise it can't be sold. So we proposed the law. Now what you're really saying is, you want us to overrule the existing ordinance in Chicago that anybody else -- anybody else would have to pay if they bought a City block. You actually want us to put in here that the City cannot collect the impact fee that they would otherwise -- otherwise get and you call it a -- a land grab, even though you want the State of Illinois to be a special type of purchaser. So, in order to implement the Rauner budget that we passed with his spending limits and his tax rate, in order to do that and have it balance, we have to pass this bill. I'd ask for an Aye vote.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall the Senate concur on House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 886. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 35 Ayes, 22 Nays, none voting Present.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Having concurred with -- having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 886, and the bill is declared passed. Supplemental Calendar No. 3. Concurrence on Senate Bill 1. Senator Manar. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1.

Signed by Senator Manar.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Excuse me, Lee Milner, <u>Illinois Times</u>, seeks permission to photograph. Permission is granted. Senator Manar.

### SENATOR MANAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to go through the changes that the House made to the bill compared to when it left the Senate first. This concurrence motion includes a property tax relief incentive that would provide, through a separate appropriation not in the funding model, funding to school districts at reduced property tax rates. This is targeted at districts with high rates and low values, which was much of the discussion that has been had most recently at the Governor's School Funding Reform Commission. The concurrence motion includes also appropriating two hundred and fifteen million dollars in the base funding for normal costs for Chicago Public School pensions. It makes other recommendations that came about through our continued bipartisan discussion. Those are the larger changes. I'd be happy to take any questions that the Body has. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Barickman, for what purpose do you rise?

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

#### SENATOR BARICKMAN:

To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill -- to the motion.

#### SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Ladies and To the motion. Gentlemen, this is -- this is one of those -- this is one of those amazing moments where evidently the -- we've learned tonight that the House of Representatives has adjourned. They haven't been able to figure out a method of passing a budget, but certainly they've delivered a bailout for CPS. So here we go. So, we all understand this is probably one of the most technical issues that the General Assembly has faced. Obviously, there's a lot at stake. Regardless of some of the rhetoric that's been told over the many years, lately months and weeks, of this debate, I think it's important to focus on a couple of things. First, several years ago, the Senate Republican Caucus identified the inequities in broken school funding system - that led us to where we're at here today. We have consistently said we need a fair and impartial funding system. We need a -- we need a funding system that works for all of our students all around the State. We need a funding system that is transparent to taxpayers and we need a funding system that is evidence-based, because a pure evidence-based funding mechanism is the best method of delivering resources to districts who are most in need. We've had political debates about how we handle the controversial Chicago block grant, about whether or not the State of Illinois should pay for the Chicago Public School pension systems. We've had debates about whether the evidence-based model should be manipulated for political purposes.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

And we've had debates about whether we should deliver meaningful mandate relief to school districts to give them more flexibility to spend their dollars in the way they feel is best. I understand spreadsheets are being passed around in this very late hour designed to generate support for a bill that's being put forward here as a mechanism to bail out the City of Chicago. The questions we should ask about that spreadsheet are whether or not this bill -- this model fixes the broken funding formula, whether or not it addresses the inequities and removes the special deals that are all inherent in the broken system that we have today. What do we know? Look at the file that ISBE has produced today and -- and look at the Illinois school Report Card, and ask yourself, how do districts like East St. Louis, who has some of the highest concentrations of poverty in the State - 98.8 percent poverty concentration - East St. Louis gets two hundred and thirty-seven dollars of increased funding under this bill. What does CPS do with its eighty-three percent...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Barickman, your time is up. Senator Connelly, for what purpose do you rise? Timer has been agreed upon a long time ago, all day, so keep up with the timer.

SENATOR CONNELLY:

To the bill, Mr. President. I'll speak as quickly as I can. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Connelly.

#### SENATOR CONNELLY:

I'll be very quick, Mr. President. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

...bill.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

#### SENATOR CONNELLY:

You know, a few -- a few years ago we debated Senate Bill 16, last year Senate Bill 1, and I do want to credit Senator Manar. He and I have had conversations on one subject matter, which is the property -- available local resources. And, folks, in the suburbs and in other parts of the State, when you do a tax increment financing district, the first people to complain, and rightfully so, are your local public schools. And, usually, the local government will work out some type of compromise with that local school. I bring that up because available local resources for the City of Chicago should, you think -- you would think, include the Loop - perhaps the most valuable property in Illinois. Let's look -- I'll give you a few years, because the timer's on, about the amount of money Chicago has diverted from public schools in TIF districts. 2006, five hundred million dollars; 2007, five hundred and fifty-five million dollars; 2008, four hundred and ninety-five million; 2009, five hundred and twenty million dollars. Do you want to know who backfills that money? Every one of your constituents who doesn't live in the City of Chicago. backfill that money with your tax dollars. Senator Manar, with all due respect, even if this bill came back without an -- a slight technical change, I would have brought this up. Unless and until Chicago's downtown and their entire property wealth is included in this formula, the rest of the State of Illinois is backfilling the money so that developers and others can -- can get paid. And frankly, what's really disturbing, is this past year, cynically, the City of Chicago used some of that TIF money to settle a teachers' contract dispute. I strongly urge a No vote. And for those of you who don't live in the City of Chicago, please, don't

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

bail them out again. Thank you, Mr. President.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Barickman, for a second time. Thank you.

#### SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Mr. President, I appreciate the -- the indulgence from yourself and -- and the Senate President. I'll be brief. spreadsheets that have been distributed to Members encouraging them to consider this legislation deserve your attention. spreadsheets walk through increases in funding that school districts would anticipate to receive as suggested by this bill. Number one, we need to look at whether we're fixing the inequities in the system, and so we should look at the Illinois school Report Card for things like the concentrations of poverty that exist in various school districts and ask ourselves whether or not this is delivering the resources to some of those school districts. I gave one example. East St. Louis School District, 98.8 percent poverty concentration, receives two hundred and thirty-seven dollars of increase per student. Compare that to CPS with eightythree percent concentration of poverty, over thirteen hundred dollars in resources being generated to CPS. Why is that? that fixing the system? And finally, Mr. President, where does the money come from to fund this system? Our analysis says that this bill will cost seven hundred and five million dollars to implement. Of that seven hundred and five million dollars, seventy percent of those dollars are going to CPS. Thirty percent of those dollars, two hundred million, is left for everyone else. yourself the question, why -- why is that so and where does the seven hundred million dollars come from? It certainly wasn't in the budget that the Senate Democrats pushed forward. Therefore,

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

I respectfully ask for a No vote -- No vote. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Mike Flannery, WFLD Channel 32 News, seeks permission to record proceedings or live broadcast. Seeing no objection, permission granted. Senator Rezin, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR REZIN:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

#### SENATOR REZIN:

Thank you. Senate Bill 1 -- in Senate Bill 1, CPS receives seventy percent of the money from the State, while having only nineteen percent of the students. While downstate receives thirty percent of the education dollars in this bill, their property taxes -- seventy percent of their property taxes have to make up the funding for the downstate districts - with no mandate relief. This bill is fair and it's equitable for one school and one school only. Chicago, who has lost over eight thousand students in the last five years, receives more than seven hundred million dollars in this bill. This bill is simply more of the same, more money for CPS, which means less money for the downstate districts. CPS wins the lottery and the downstate schools have to foot the bill. I ask for a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rose, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR ROSE:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

To the bill.

### SENATOR ROSE:

Ladies and Gentlemen, if this is about poverty, listen to these statistics. East St. Louis, ninety-nine percent poverty - ninety-nine - two hundred and thirty-seven dollars per student. CPS gets over thirteen hundred dollars per student. Cicero, ninety-two percent poverty. They get six-hundred dollars less than CPS under this bill. Cairo, ninety-nine percent poverty. They get a hundred and forty-five dollars per a kid. CPS gets thirteen hundred and thirty-three dollars per a kid. Don't tell me this is about poverty. You know what it is about? What it is about is this, seventy-percent of the money in this bill goes to CPS - seventy percent. They have nineteen percent of the students. You ask yourselves if that's fair before you push your button. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Nybo, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR NYBO:

To the motion, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the motion.

### SENATOR NYBO:

And, Mr. President, I'll be quick too, because I know we only have three hours left in our legislative Session and I know that we want to drive as many millions of dollars as we can up to the City of Chicago in these last couple hours. So, you know what, we're sitting down here, as a State we have no budget, we have no money to pay our bills, we have the highest debt in the country, and we've got an economy -- a business climate that is driving

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

both people and jobs out of this State, and -- and we're State legislators. Right? We're supposed to do the best that we can do for the State of Illinois. And, again, this ties back into the bill that we just debated. And we're sitting here, three hours to midnight, and -- and -- and what do we care about? It's all about Chicago. Chicago has its hands out and is saying, "I don't care that the State of Illinois is in a crisis mode. What can we get in these last couple hours?" This is millions more going to the City of Chicago. This does nothing to help our State. I urge a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Bertino-Tarrant, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR BERTINO-TARRANT:

To the motion.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the motion.

### SENATOR BERTINO-TARRANT:

I'm happy that our friends on the other side of the aisle know more than the fifty superintendents that were here today to support this bill. I'm glad you know more than the Illinois Association of School Administrators, the Illinois Association of School Board Association -- School Boards, the Illinois Association of School Business Officials, who have put their green light on this bill. I am not aware of anyone over on the other side who has been in education as long as some of the people that I have spoken to. I am glad the other side of the aisle is more knowledgeable to be able to tell us that this bill is not good for the children of Illinois - all the children in Illinois. It is disheartening that this is becoming a hate fest against a city -

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

that the last time I looked was a part of Illinois. This is education funding that -- organizations throughout the State of Illinois support. There's only one person who does not support this, Governor Rauner, and he's telling you that it's a bad bill. This is a good bill. It is for all the kids in Illinois. And I strongly urge an Aye vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McConchie, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR McCONCHIE:

To the bill -- or to the motion, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the motion.

### SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Thank you. I just wanted to draw attention to a comparison between two different districts here in the State. So, first, let's talk about a three-hundred-thousand-dollar home in the City of Chicago, a -- its listed value, two hundred ninety-four thousand; 2016 total tax bill was only five thousand sixty dollars. However, in Streator, we have the same value home, two hundred and ninety-nine thousand, with a total tax bill of nine thousand seven hundred and seventy-eight dollars - nearly double what the same value home in Chicago is paying. Now let's look at -- each one of these have very high concentrations of poverty area and let's just look at the difference between them. Streator, two-hundred and sixty-eight dollars per student is what they get under this bill versus Chicago getting thirteen hundred and thirty-three dollars. Ladies and Gentlemen, what this bill does is -- Chicago has refused to tax their own residents to the level that other places across the State have done and, as a result through this mechanism,

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

through this bill, are getting - in -- in just this particular case - five times or six times the amount of -- of funding per poor child in these students {sic}. This is not a way of reaching equity in our State. We need to address not just the property tax issue, but the available EAV, what it is that we're actually taxing, and be able to get to equity in that way. I encourage a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Lightford, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR LIGHTFORD:

Thank you, Mr. President. Briefly to the motion, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the motion.

### SENATOR LIGHTFORD:

I'd like to rise in support of the motion and to thank the House sponsor for all the hard work he did on this bill and all of the adjustments that were made on behalf of Representative Pritchard's request and the other House Republicans. There were a number of changes that was put in the previous bill that was sent over. Senator Manar did just such a wonderful job in bringing a fair and equitable system to the State. We all know that this is a broken system. It has been. The City of Chicago is just unique in that it's such a larger district than any other district. It's the third largest school district in the country, and so you're going to have some differences, you're going to have some dynamics that none of us can do anything about. I spoke about this bill when we passed it over to the House. I passionately spoke about this bill. I don't want to be long winded on tonight. This is a really good bill. I encourage an Aye vote.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Anderson, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR ANDERSON:

To the motion, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the motion.

#### SENATOR ANDERSON:

I -- I don't know where to start. This is -- this is beyond a bad bill. The -- the -- my -- my colleagues have eloquently pointed out how Chicago is getting the lion's share here. But I think the bigger issue is, is that we just keep throwing more money at this problem rather than sitting down and -- and working out a -- a true funding formula that we can -- that we can all -- that we can all get. Like, I mean, if -- if we're just going to keep throwing money at it, then -- then, I mean, with your logic, why don't we not only give Chicago the seven hundred and five million, but, heck, just throw another seven hundred million in there for downstate. That's all we're doing. We're just throwing money at this -- at this issue like we can print it. And I wish we would just have a real conversation of real funding reform. And the -- the argument that I've heard is that, oh, well, every -- with this bill, every school district is making out better. Well, yeah, you throw seven hundred million dollars at any formula, you're going to come out better. Let's -- let's just have a real conversation about -- about the -- the funding problem that we have in the State of Illinois.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Bush, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR BUSH:

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

To the bill, Mr. President, and I really...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To -- to the motion.

#### SENATOR BUSH:

Thank you. To the motion, I apologize, and I'll make it brief. So, Senator Andy Manar began to work on this very shortly after he was elected to the Senate. We saw his first iteration and we had what we called losers and winners. And there were — I didn't vote for the bill, even though it was something I really believed we needed to do. A lot of people give up when something is difficult to do. This is something that we absolutely need to do. I want to thank you for your work. And I want to remind everybody that Chicago is in the State of Illinois and that what happens in Chicago should matter to all of us. And if anybody wants the incredible level and the density of poverty that Chicago has, line up and ask for it, because when you have that kind of poverty, you have to make sure that you are funding adequately. So I urge an Aye vote. Thank you so much.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McConnaughay, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR McCONNAUGHAY:

To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the -- to the motion.

#### SENATOR McCONNAUGHAY:

Thank -- thank you, Mr. President. So, this is the third piece of a negotiation that we talked about nearly two weeks ago. I remember standing up and talking about this when we were talking about work comp and standing up and talking about this when we

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

talked about property tax reform. And here we are and I'm asking the same - feels like déjà vu - the same question. We were in, in this Chamber, in a negotiation that included education funding reform that doesn't look like anything like what we're voting on here tonight. And I ask the question - I don't expect to get an answer, but I'm just putting it out there - I'd like to know what happened to the negotiations that we were working on a week ago, two weeks ago, that was very close to something that both sides could work with. And -- and -- and just one more thing about superintendents - and I've heard a couple of you talk about superintendents know better - you know what I know about superintendents? All they care about is wanting more money. So, I don't really -- I care more about what my taxpayers want and the parents of students in our schools than our superintendents. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar, to close.

### SENATOR MANAR:

Well, thank you for all of the questions. So -- so let me start here. You can't make stuff up. You just can't make it up. There are two hundred and sixty-eight school districts in Illinois, on a per pupil basis, that get more money in this bill than the City of Chicago - that's not even counting the property tax relief component. That is a fact. It's indisputable. That's a fact. There are poor districts all over the State that have to educate kids that the current formula isn't serving well. Chicago is one of them. It would do us much good if we concentrated less on the political aspect of that and got down to the root of the problem, which this bill addresses. There are spreadsheets circulating.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

They are from the State Board of Education, Bruce Rauner's State Board of Education. I would remind everyone that modeling was done. We were criticized the other day for not having modeling. Now we're being criticized for having modeling. We were criticized for not having property tax relief. Now we're being criticized for having property tax relief. We were criticized for having losers in previous bills. No -- no accolades for that this time. Now this one's not good enough. Senator McConnaughay asked, what's the difference? The difference is, we sat in a room for five months together and we couldn't come to an agreement, but everybody else in this State has come to an agreement that this is a good bill. There's only one person that doesn't agree with that and he occupies an office on the second floor of this building. This is a good bill. I would agree with the first speaker's opening remark. This is an amazing moment - because this is going to end inequity. Why people in this Chamber are clinging to the current system, fighting to preserve it, is beyond me. We know it's broken. We know it's broken. This bill is going to fix it. It's going to relieve pressure on property taxes. It will send money to the poorest school districts in the State we all represent. Many of you represent them as well. I represent them. CPS schools educate them. This is turning a major corner for the first time in twenty years in Illinois. It will attack poverty at the root of poverty, which is in a public school classroom. I ask for an Aye vote.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall the Senate concur -- concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 35 Ayes, 22 Nays, none voting Present. Having -- having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate concurs with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1, and the bill is declared passed. With leave of the Body, we'll go to Senate Bill 81. Senator Lightford. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 81.

Signed by Senator Lightford.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Lightford, on your motion.

### SENATOR LIGHTFORD:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a bill to raise the State minimum wage from nine dollars -- from eight twenty-five per hour to nine dollars, effective January 1 of 2018, to fifteen dollars by January 1 of 2022. The amendment also increases the minimum wage for individuals under the age of eighteen, but at a slower pace, going from eight dollars on the first of January of 2018 to twelve dollars on January 1 of '22. And finally the amendment creates a tax credit for small businesses employing no more than fifty employees to offset the wage increase. Now we've discussed minimum wage bills a number of times. We've sent quite a few over to the House. This is the bill that the House has sent to us. There has been some significant changes as it relates to the teen subminimum, the TIF credit provision, as well as the -- the wage in itself. I'd be happy to answer questions.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rooney, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR ROONEY:

To the motion, please, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the motion.

#### SENATOR ROONEY:

Mr. President, the last time we touched on a subject like this under the -- the Living Wage Bill, I -- I politely tried to stay away from the economics by simply making the general economic statement that these types of bills tend to hurt more than they help and I politely sat down. But then after that, we got more of the purty speechifyin' that goes on about strong arms of government and so forth and so on. So I feel like laying out some economic truth might show some people that there are hearts on both sides of this aisle that are looking for people -- looking out for people who need them to be defended. Any economist who can get their politics out of their economics, both conservative, liberal, all the rest, will tell you that these bills are always a trade-off between benefiting people who will keep their jobs at the expense of people who will not have jobs. Now, I fully respect that people want to help the folks who do have jobs. But we don't ever seem to get the respect in return that we're sticking up for the people who won't get them. We're talking about the unemployed, people who are making far less than minimum wage because they're making zero. We're talking about discouraged workers, people who are making far less than the minimum wage because they're making zero. And we're talking about the underemployed, people who might be making minimum wage and might be making even a little more than

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

minimum wage because they're stuck in a job that they don't want, because the one that's really more fulfilling for them and the one that will pay them more is not open right now. What these three kinds of people all have in common is a need for more job openings. And as one of the previous speakers on the previous bill mentioned, sometimes facts are indisputable. These bills push the number of job openings down. Yes, you help the people with jobs, but you hurt the people who are waiting for jobs. And so if I can close with just a little bit of anticipating what might come, 'cause I've heard it before. You'll hear people say they've seen studies and they have examples that sometimes the effects I'm talking about - I see the light, Mr. President - that sometimes the effects that I'm talking about don't happen. I freely admit that's correct, because the studies show when you are creating enough jobs to take the hit from these bills and still have job openings left over, that's when this can be part of a net positive...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Please wrap it up right now.

### SENATOR ROONEY:

Yes, sir. But we're not creating enough jobs to absorb the hit for those people that we're standing for by voting No.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Biss, for what purpose do you rise?

#### SENATOR BISS:

To the motion, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the motion.

#### SENATOR BISS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I -- I want to just speak really

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

quickly, 'cause I -- I think that most people like the idea of paying workers a living wage and most people would prefer to pay workers more than fifteen dollars per hour, but you hear arguments against bills like this - like we just heard - that say, hey, I would love to pay workers more, but we just can't. I'm sorry, we can't; I'm sorry, it doesn't work; I'm sorry, it would be bad for the economy; I'm sorry, it would backfire. And what I can't help but notice is the people who are apologizing about how we can't do this stuff are never the people who would be benefiting from the raise. It's always the people who would be paying out the raise. They're apologizing all the way to the bank. And the good news about this bill before us is that it's no longer uncharted territory. We're not the first in the nation. This is a step that has been taken by other states, by a variety of municipalities, and the sky has not fallen, and jobs have not been destroyed. In fact, they're economically thriving parts of the country, parts of the country which we have -- we would love to have economic activity and unemployment rates like, that currently have legislation just like this in effect. It's common sense. It will help people. The scare tactics have turned out to be not just overblown, but flat wrong elsewhere in the country. It's long past due. I urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McCarter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR McCARTER:

To the bill. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the motion.

SENATOR McCARTER:

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

To the motion. We've -- this has been tried before and so I'll just repeat, as someone who employs people, who -- for almost twenty years, my goal has been to hire as many people as possible and give as many people as possible the -- the opportunities to succeed. Now these are people that don't have much of an education - most of them don't even have a high school education. But in my company, we do everything we can to equip them to at least do the job that we have for them. And what will happen, as it is in any business, you will essentially take away the opportunity for the people that you say you're trying to help. Now I'm not sure that too many in this room have been in the situation to have to make those tough decisions - to hire, to fire, to lay people off. It's the worst part of the -- it's the worst part of being in business. It'd be great if you could just hire people all the time and give 'em more money, but that's not the reality of small business. The reality is, there's a price that you can sell your product at and the cost of doing business in this State is extremely high already - and there's only so much you can pay people and stay in business. Now if you want to do -- you want to help -- you want to help people? Lower the cost of doing business. Therefore, what happened in Alton won't happen again. A thousand workers won't be sent to Mississippi because we fail to fix workers' comp. You want to help people? Lower the cost of doing business in this State. That'll give them -- that'll give people the opportunity and the real help that I believe you want to. This is an artificial, insincere way of helping people. It won't work. Business owners are probably just a little smarter than you and they'll react. Vote No.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Senator Lightford, to close.

### SENATOR LIGHTFORD:

Thank you, Mr. President, and thank you to the previous speakers. I'll just note that there's millions of working people in our State that can't earn enough to support themselves or their families. This is the best chance in more than a decade to raise wages and living conditions for hundreds of thousands of Illinoisans trapped in a low-wage economy and that's what we need to build, the economy, from the bottom up. That's what we need to do. Build up from the bottom up to reenergize the middle class in Illinois. I ask for an Aye vote.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall the Senate concur on -- House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 81. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 30 Ayes, 23 Nays, 2 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 81, and the bill is declared passed. Chicago Tribune seeks permission to photograph. WBEZ seeks permission to take photos. Seeing no objection, permission granted. Senator McCarter, for what purpose do you rise?

### SENATOR McCARTER:

I know this is formality, be it -- I'd just like a verification of the roll call. This is too important.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McCarter has requested a verification. Will all Members please be in their seat? The Secretary will now read the

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

affirmative votes.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Members voting in the affirmative: Aquino, Biss, Bush, Castro, Clayborne, Collins, Tom Cullerton, Cunningham, Harmon, Hastings, Hunter, Hutchinson, Jones, Koehler, Landek, Lightford, Link, Manar, Martinez, McGuire, Mulroe, Stadelman, Steans, Trotter, Van Pelt, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McCarter, do you question the presence of any Member voting in the affirmative?

SENATOR McCARTER:

Senator Jones.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Jones is in the arena. Senator McCarter, do you question the appearance of any Member?

SENATOR McCARTER:

I withdraw.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

On a verified roll call, the vote is 30 Affirmative, 23 No, and 2 Present. Senate Bill 1839. Senator Cunningham. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 3, 4, and 5 to Senate Bill 1839.

Signed by Senator Cunningham.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cunningham, on your motion.

#### SENATOR CUNNINGHAM:

Thank you, Mr. President. The House amendments effectively

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

turn Senate Bill 1839 into an omnibus telecom rewrite bill. This bill includes the language from Senate Bill 1381, which we passed last week. That was the AT&T Modernization Act. But there are a number of other elements that have been added to the bill. There's new exceptions for utilities to give 'em extra time to work through their transition away from copper lines. There are changes to the 9-1-1 Systems Act, suggested by the State Police and the Statewide 9-1-1 Advisory Board. The Video Competition Act and the 9-1-1 Act are both -- the sunsets for those Acts are both extended by this bill. And increases to 9-1-1 surcharge fees are also included in the bill. Be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

There any discussion? Leader Radogno, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR RADOGNO:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill -- or the motion.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the motion.

### SENATOR RADOGNO:

Thank you. This is a very important bill. And it's had a lot of work done to it. I think it's in good shape at this point. The reason it's important: Number one, the telecom modernization is something that we've been trying to pass for several years now. It's critical to our infrastructure in order to invite businesses to come to this State. So it's -- it's very important that we do -- do that for economic development. Number two, the 9-1-1 system is set to not have any funding beginning July 1st if we don't pass this. That would be a disaster. I do understand there's some problems or some questions about the level of fees included in

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

here. There will, in fact, be an increase in fees from about -forty -- let's see -- from about eighty-five cents to a dollar
fifty for communities outside of Chicago. And it will allow
Chicago, through their City Council, to raise the fee on cell
phones per line to five dollars apiece. No question that's a hefty
fee, but 9-1-1 service is really, really important. And again,
the modernization of the telecom law is critical to the future
success of this State. So I would urge everyone to vote Aye on
this and finally get us -- at least moving forward in this area.
Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Nybo, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR NYBO:

Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will.

## SENATOR NYBO:

Senator, I -- I -- I see that a new provision is part of this bill and we've been working on modernization for a long time, but I see a new provision now enables Chicago to raise their 9-1-1 rate to five dollars per line from three dollars and ninety cents per line. Do -- do we have any quantification -- I mean, do we know how much that's going to be in total costs? A total cost - do we understand what the total cost is going to be on an annual basis or any sort of measurement?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cunningham.

## SENATOR CUNNINGHAM:

The total number that will come into the City's 9-1-1 center

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

because of it? I don't know what that total number is. I'm sorry.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Nybo.

SENATOR NYBO:

To the motion.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

...motion.

## SENATOR NYBO:

So, I -- like the Leader, I'm going to support this -- this bill as well, because I think the modernization effort is very important. However, I am concerned that at the last minute, yet again, we're -- we're -- we're adding in something for the City of Chicago to get more money back to the City of Chicago. So, I'm seeing a pattern here. You know, I -- I -- I wish we could have kept this bill clean, focused on modernization, which I think is important, and -- and hopefully that issue perhaps can be addressed when the Governor reviews the bill. So, I -- I urge a No vote, but I -- I wish we wouldn't have added -- I mean I -- I urge a Yes vote, but wish we would not have added this component on.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cunningham, to close.

## SENATOR CUNNINGHAM:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator, I, too, wish we would've had -- just had an AT&T bill. That's not the way the House decided to handle this. They elected to put a -- a number of telecom elements into this bill. As far as it funneling money to Chicago, I know that's the popular talking point right now, but this allows for increases and fees throughout the State. In fact, 9-1-1 systems throughout the State outside of Chicago will be able to

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

raise their rates at a higher rate than Chicago will. Chicago will bring in more -- a higher dollar figure, but the rate increase will be higher for all the other systems, and I think tying those two things is appropriate here. Obviously, no one wants to vote for a fee increase, but we have to remember, going back two years ago when Senator Sullivan passed the 9-1-1 Act, we put a lot of requirements on these 9-1-1 systems. We asked them to modernize, and for some of them, we actually made them reduce their fees. We created the eighty-seven-cent standard fee. That was a reduction for a number of systems. They have lost revenue. Because of that, they can't keep up with the goals we have put in place for them for modernizing their systems. I was talking to some of the 9-1-1 systems boards. We've seen, for instance, in Champaign County, where two-thirds of their revenue used to be covered by fees from phone lines and a third from the municipalities that feed into this system. That's been turned around the last couple years. Now they have to charge the emergency systems that feed into the 9-1-1 center higher fees, which just passes on the cost to taxpayers. This charges the fee to the actual people who hold the phone lines. So I understand the objection to having this lumped together, but I think it's appropriate. I think, in total, this is a bill that will help us modernize our phone systems and modernize our 9-1-1 systems throughout the State, something that is very important, and I urge an Aye vote.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall the Senate confer -- confer -- concur with Amendments 3, 4, and 5 to Senate Bill 1839. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, 3 Nays, 1 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with -- House Amendments 3, 4 and 5 to Senate Bill 1839, and the bill is declared passed. With leave of the Body, we'll go to page 31 of the printed Calendar. House Bill 1785. Senator Hutchinson. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 1785.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Can we keep the conversations down? This is final action on all these bills and they are extremely important. Senator Hutchinson, on your bill.

## SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 1785 is an initiative that amends the Vital Records Act to permit an individual sex designation to be changed on his or her birth certificate upon the declaration of a licensed mental health care or mental {sic} (health) care professional. House Bill 1785 endeavors to remove the surgery requirement, allowing transgender and intersex persons to do the gender marker on their birth certificate with a declaration from a licensed medical or mental health professional that a person has undergone clinically appropriated {sic} (appropriate) treatment for the intent of gender transition or an intersex condition, allowing for a sex designation change on one's birth certificate. Currently, in Illinois, gender reassignment surgery is required for sex designation change on a birth

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

certificate. However, the federal government and thirteen other states, as well as the Illinois Secretary of State, have all removed that requirement. So, simply put, this bill allows for the same change on a birth certificate that you can already currently do without surgery on a driver's license and on your passport and on your Social Security card. I'm happy to answer any questions and I would -- I would love your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Schimpf, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR SCHIMPF:

Will the sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

She indicates she will.

### SENATOR SCHIMPF:

Yes, Senator Hutchinson, it's -- it's late, but one of the shared experiences that we have is that we both attended the law school evidence classes, kind of a painful experience for me. One of the topics was the -- the hearsay rule and the exceptions to the hearsay rule. Is it your opinion that -- well, what do you think? Do you think that if this bill becomes law, we would have to change the Illinois Rules of Evidence and get rid of the hearsay exception for vital health records?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson.

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

No.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Schimpf.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

SENATOR SCHIMPF:

The -- to the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR SCHIMPF:

The question -- the question is, actually, very germane, because a birth certificate, like a death certificate, is not in the same category as a driver's license or some other type of identification. This is something that is a vital record that we rely upon in our courts of law. And you don't have to -- you don't have to take the word of another politician for that. actually go and google the Illinois Rules of Evidence right now and you will see that there is an exception, a hearsay exception, for vital health records. This is something -- you know, hearsay, I'm not going to be foolish enough to try to explain the hearsay rule. Probably two thirds of all practicing attorneys can't -can't explain it. But it basically means that an out-of-court statement is generally not allowed. However, a birth certificate is something that is presumed as true and accurate. something that has incredible legal significance. It's something that no matter how well intentioned our laws are, that is something that we should take very seriously if we're going to mess around with a birth certificate. It's not the same as a driver's license, it's not the same as a form of I -- another form of ID. I think that we need to think carefully about this. I would ask for a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McCarter, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR McCARTER:

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

### SENATOR McCARTER:

Ladies and Gentlemen, this is an emotional issue, but an important issue, because it makes a statement about how we move forward as a State, as a society, in protecting the rights of the citizens. As -- as we -- as we know, there is never a time that we're able to satisfy everyone. Sometimes, as I -- as I believe in this case, we might be urged to change law to accommodate a very small minority, yet negatively affect the rights of the majority. I'm not going to attempt to answer any unanswerable questions, because I -- I, like you, don't understand every situation that exists. Proponents say that they're modernizing, preventing discrimination, providing privacy, and simply making the documents consistent. I view this as a gravitation to political correctness. Where are we at now? Birth -- birth certificate gender can be changed if -- if a surgery takes place. The birth certificate name cannot be changed, even though the same evidence is submitted. If this bill passes and signed in law, one's gender and name can be changed. The Illinois Assoc of Police -- Association of Police Chiefs are opposed to this bill - after it was made clear that not only is the gender being changed, but the name. They might have concerns about identity fraud. bill ignores truth. Humans have an objective, immutable biological sex that it cannot change. A person's sex identity is not determined by one's subjective beliefs, desires, or feelings. It's a function of his or her nature. Sexual identity is not a social construction. It's an object -- objective fact rooted in our

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

nature in either female or male persons. The most obvious fact about each of us is that we are either male or female. Insurance rates can be rated improperly to the advantage or disadvantage of others insured in the pool. That goes for health insurance and life insurance. Can not one become a minority contractor, not just as a woman but as any other defined person on the spectrum? You say spectrum - yeah, at different areas on the rainbow between a man and a woman is forty or more positions, including gender fluid, intersex, transgender female, two spirit, and others. Under this law, there's no limit in a lifetime how many times one might change. If an organization or an individual has -- as a result of their religious beliefs refuses to interact with new gender assignment, could they be sued or jailed for a hate crime or violation of the Human Rights Act? Lawsuits inspired by the change in the law would impact the right to live out one's religious beliefs and that is to refuse to -- and that is to refuse to participate in something against -- or his religious beliefs or efforts to the following -- I'm -- I'm almost finished. you. The legal implications must be left -- must not be left to chance, to be settled in court. The legislation violates millennia of socially acceptable cultural norms and makes them subservient to a personal point of view. If it is not this, then perhaps it is just simply a matter of protecting the belief that God or whatever you believe, in your opinion, created a man and a woman. At time -- at -- at that time of conception, a unique DNA was created. All -- all the transitioning efforts that are -- that are -- that are cannot change that. Final -- and I'll close with this, a quote by Dennis Prager and I think it applies to Illinois: What we see happening is not tolerance. It means first,

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

acceptance. And second, celebration. ...You not only have to live with what you may differ with..., you have to celebrate it or pay a steep price. I urge a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR RIGHTER:

To the bill, if I might, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

## SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Chamber. You know, in looking through the bill, it appears to me, Ladies and Gentlemen, and -- and -- and oftentimes in our policies, we -- we are careful about people of younger ages and what we allow them to do or subject them to. We also in our policies oftentimes set certain criteria - before judgement A can be reached process B must be gone through. And in this bill, which allows a mental health professional to write a letter declaring someone to be of a different gender, there is no minimum age applied to this whatsoever, nor is there any -- any threshold in so far as the minimum amount of time or sessions that must be held in order to make that judgment. Now, I understand that the reaction to that of some might be, well, just leave it to the professionals. But you may recall, and I think it was last year or perhaps the year before, a majority of the Members in this Senate voted for a bill prohibit mental health counselors from -- counseling individuals, in particular young people, with regards to their sexual preference. We banned professionals from counseling in one direction, because the proponents said there might be an agenda

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

out there by mental health professionals and we can't trust them, so we, by State law from here in Springfield, are going to say, you can't counsel in that way. Are we going to be so naïve as to believe that agendas don't run in both directions? Are we going to be so naïve as to believe that it is acceptable for someone of a young and tender age to go into a mental health professional, who maybe have an agenda different than the parents or different than you and I and move a young person in a certain direction? Because we know that can happen and we've recognized that in this Body by passing legislation and making it a law, saying we couldn't do it back then. Ladies and Gentlemen, this is a bad and dangerous — dangerous idea, because there are no safeguards in here whatsoever for the youngest of Illinoisans who may be struggling with an issue. I urge a No vote. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Biss, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR BISS:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR BISS:

Thank you, Mr. President. You know, the -- after both -- the comments we just heard from the previous three speakers, I think we may have forgotten the sponsor's extremely, extremely clear explanation of how modest and minor a change this is, how it just puts this particular government document in line with numerous other government documents that already exist. But, listen, I -- I know that this is a kind of emotionally complicated vote for some people. And I'll tell you why. It's 'cause there's a lot of

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

people who don't think they've met a transgender person, a lot of people who haven't sat down and talked with an openly transgender person about what that transition meant and felt like and what life before that transition felt like. And the reason that many people haven't had the experience of having that conversation is because of the stigma and the bias and the bigotry that exists in our society that this bill is part of trying to cure. But here's the deal. We have all spent time with transgender people, we just may not know it. And if we had had a conversation one-on-one with that person, looking into that person's eyes, hearing from that person about that experience, we would know that it wasn't foisted upon them, that it wasn't a decision, that it wasn't a choice, that it wasn't an agenda, but that it was something that came from within and something that they had to listen to. And if you'd had that conversation, you'd understand that we have no right to interfere with that, none, none. It's not our place. It's not our job. It's not what we're here for. This bill just says that the county clerks, like so many other government entities, should listen to and trust the individual. Everybody should vote Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Castro, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR CASTRO:

To the motion, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR CASTRO:

First off, I'd like to commend the Senate sponsor, Senator Hutchinson, along with the House sponsor, Greg Harris. I think Senator Biss summed it up beautifully. Until you've experienced

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

that or know someone who has walked in those shoes, you don't understand what they go through. I had a friend who went through this whole process. It took fifteen years and she had to go through the entire change, spending thousands and thousands of dollars. And watching her struggle, be afraid, live in the shadows, be embarrassed, be afraid that she's going to be assaulted just because she wanted to be who she identified as. I don't want to prolong the debate, but it's time, let's pass this bill. I urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson, to close.

## SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Thank you, everybody. I just want to make sure that I read some things into the record so that we can refute some things that actually were said that are not factual. Number one, in 1955, the State of Illinois determined that you could turn -- change your -- your driver's license with a surgery requirement. That was the accepted medical standard at the time and it had absolutely no bearing on the State's Rules of Evidence hearsay hearings - hasn't then, doesn't now. It's also a fact that the birth certificate is not a static document. There are a number of ways you can amend a birth certificate. It's not like the birth certificate stays that way until you die for a number of different reasons. As a matter of fact, you're going to hear a trailer bill after this that makes sure we don't inadvertently open or unseal adoption records, which leads me to my third point, which is this is not a name change bill. So the fact that there's some late opposition to come on after the fact is because there was also some discord sowed over some things that are not factual. This is not a name

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

change bill. The other thing I wanted to point out is that, currently, you do not need surgery for your driver's license change, you do not need surgery for your passport. These are the requirements: a medical report form, a psychiatric report form, a physician's statement, or other acceptable documentation to indicate that a change has taken place or the customer's in the process of undergoing a gender change. Court order is not even required, but it's considered the best evidence. That's on your driver's license. For your passport: ID that resembles your current appearance, passport photo that resembles your current appearance, a physician's certificate that validates whether your gender transition is either in process or complete, and proof proof - of a legal name change. That's for your federal passport; that's for your federally issued Social Security card. So we have people - one person I know very, very well - who right now school records are updated, passport's updated, Social Security card is updated, but the foundational document, the document you need to get all those other things, is the one thing that you can't update in this State, unlike thirteen other states or across the country right now for your passport, your Social Security card, and your driver's license. I understand that there are people who don't believe that this actually exists. I understand that, but it does. And for those, or anybody who's listening right now, I want you to know that even though there are people who don't believe that you exist, we see you and we hear you and this is a commonsense change to make sure you can go through your life with the dignity you deserve. I urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall House Bill 1785 pass. All those in

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 32 Ayes, 22 Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 1785, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 646. Senator Koehler. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 646.

Signed by Senator Koehler.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Koehler, on your motion.

## SENATOR KOEHLER:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Just to remind you that this is a -- was originally a bill that talked about a five-year pilot program of domestic violence co-location. It's really to improve the child welfare interventions by enhancing the safety and stability of children. Amendments -- House Amendment No. 1 gives really DCFS more leeway in terms of adopting rules on qualification requirements for domestic violence advocates. It also changes some of the language to the Child Care Act. It is a cleanup language for the Adoption reform Act of 2005 and it also, in House Amendment No. 3, talks about what we just voted on with Senator Hutchinson's bill. And it limits the adoption information made available when issuing a new birth certificate. And I'm happy to answer any questions and ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

the Senate concur with House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 646. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 646, and the bill is declared passed. With leave of the Body, we'll turn to page 42 of the printed Calendar, Postponed Consideration. House Bill 2880. Senator Hastings. Now, this has been well debated, so there will be one proponent and one opponent. Senator Hastings, on your bill. SENATOR HASTINGS:

I want to yield my time so the opponent...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator -- excuse me. I'm sorry, Senator Hastings. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2880.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hastings, on your bill.

### SENATOR HASTINGS:

I want to thank the Chamber for -- first and foremost, I want to say thanks to everybody that I've had the opportunity to speak with and to educate on quarries and clean construction/demolition debris fills in the State of Illinois. There's one thing that I know when I go to my grave people will say about me, that when I'm committed to fighting for something, I will go tooth and nail and

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

fight for it until I die. And when I give my word, my word is my bond. I've agreed to work with other Senators in this Chamber on groundwater monitoring testing. This bill, 2880, has nothing to do with groundwater monitoring testing. This has to deal with closing a quarry, a portion of a quarry, and it expedites a bureaucratic process that's already in place. For those, again, that I've had a chance to talk to, I really appreciate all of your support and I ask for an Aye vote. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McGuire.

SENATOR McGUIRE:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

### SENATOR McGUIRE:

I appreciate the opportunity to again explain why this bill -- why defeating this bill is vital to the health and safety of Illinois residents. I mentioned yesterday that Will County is home to nine clean construction/demolition debris landfills. This is a photo I took early this month of one of them. I'd like to read relevant parts of the sign to you: Clean construction debris, bricks, rocks, stone, broken concrete, reclaimed asphalt pavement, soil generated from construction or demolition activity - again, soil. Loads will be inspected by spotter and dozer operator. Clean fill only, no exceptions. All loads will be inspected. After yesterday's debate on this bill, I received a letter from Dean Olson, the landfill specialist for the County of Will. Dean's letter reveals that the Illinois EPA recently sampled soil in already placed -- these aren't incoming loads, these are loads

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

which have been accepted at nine of Will County's CCDD landfills. Eight of them, eight of those samples, showed presence of metals above the allowable limit. To quote, "Samples taken last month at the CCDD and uncontaminated soil sites in Will County exceeded a portion of the maximum acceptable concentration stable of chemical constituents for some of the metals." Furthermore, I was informed that similar results were found at other CCDD and uncontaminated sites in the State. What are these metals? Possibly arsenic, which is carcinogenic; possibly lead, which causes dysfunction and birth defects; possible -- possibly cadmium, which is -- causes fatal kidney disease. So, indeed, there is a connection between clean construction/demolition debris landfills and groundwater. So the results of that Illinois EPA sampling at the nine sites in Will County certainly suggests that the current inspection methods are not fail-safe - they're fallible. stand to put our groundwater at risk. So, Senator Hastings, I appreciate your introduction late yesterday of Senate Bill 401, in which you acknowledge the need for groundwater monitoring. I wish to renew my request that you remove House Bill 2880 from the record, that you combine House Bill 2880 and Senate Bill 401 and that we work together this summer on that joint bill to come up with a bill which will ensure beyond a doubt the safety of the drinking water for residents of Illinois.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall House Bill 2880 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 29 Ayes, 25 Nays, 1 voting Present. House Bill 2880, having not received the required

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

constitutional majority, is declared failed. Supplemental Calendar 3. Senate Bill 3. Senator Tom Cullerton. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 3.

Signed by Senator Tom Cullerton.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cullerton, on your motion.

## SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 3 has come back for concurrence. It had an added amendment on it, so it got more bipartisan support than it received over here - 75 Yeas. The sponsor also, in the House, is currently filing - and as we all know, the House will be back in Session consistently - a trailer bill to follow up behind it. I would ask for -- to accept the motion or ask for the vote. I don't remember...

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Nybo, for what purpose do you rise?

## SENATOR NYBO:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the motion.

## SENATOR NYBO:

To the motion. I'm going to change my vote on this. I'm going to support this bill. Yes, Senator Cullerton. I think earlier -- last week, I said I think we've started taking some steps backwards. Today, with this motion, I think we're going

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

back on the right path that we need to be going down, which is working together. I think this is a good bill. What I'm going to ask the sponsor to consider is that the Governor does have a good idea that I think needs to have a -- a -- a fair chance of being considered and put into law, and that is to give local districts the ability to have referenda and consolidate themselves. discussed it in the Executive Committee. I -- I know there's some wrinkles that need to be worked out with the particular bill that's been filed, but I'd ask the sponsor to work with me, as -- as he's been working on this bill, to try to give the Governor's idea the light of day to see if we can make that bill work and -- and even have a broader, better effort to consolidate bloated government throughout the entire State of Illinois. This is a step forward. I'd encourage us to try to approach issues like workers' compensation and property tax in the same way that we've now addressed consolidation. We can work together, if we try, and perhaps this is a good way to end our Session. I know we're coming back. I encourage a Yes vote on this. Good bill, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rose, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR ROSE:

Question, if I may?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

He indicates he will yield.

SENATOR ROSE:

Senator Cullerton, what is the -- what's going to be in the trailer bill? What's the issue that's causing a need for a trailer bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Senator Cullerton.

## SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

So I'm not entirely sure what the House sponsor is going to be putting in the trailer bill, but he's committed to working with the other side of the aisle to -- I guess, some of the issues that have been brought up previously through, I want to say, referendum opportunities. I'm not exactly sure how far it's going to go. I have no knowledge of what the House sponsor is doing. But he's committed to working with the Members on the other side over in the House to get that accomplished. And, like I said prior, sounds like they're going to be down here a lot, so I'm sure they'll have plenty of opportunity to talk to each other.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rose.

### SENATOR ROSE:

So, my question to you then is -- because I have a real concern about this part about the road districts. Simply put, I have -- two-thirds of the counties I represent, the counties don't have any road anything, 'cause they contract out to -- the county engineer contracts out to the township road commissioners. So, without a plan to transfer these services, there's going to be added costs, there's going to be additional costs, but, more importantly, there's nobody to plow the snow. Okay? So, I guess what I'm asking you is, in this -- in this trailer bill, can we talk about that issue to make sure that before there -- that consolidation occurs that you can actually make sure there's a plan in place to transfer those services or that we don't lose those services in the process or, more importantly, that we don't pay more money for those services?

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

They didn't actually adopt Amendment 2. They only adopted Amendment 1. At least that's the analysis I'm looking at right here on ILGA.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rose. Senator Rose.

SENATOR ROSE:

Is that the same bill? Is that Amendment 2, the bill that - Senate Bill -- the one we passed yesterday? Is that the standalone bill we passed the other day?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cullerton. Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

Are we good?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

All I know is the clock's running out. Senator Rose, for your last second.

SENATOR ROSE:

Hello! Thank you. The question -- never mind. Yes, Township 2, we are good. But I guess my concern is and the question I asked you is, in the trailer bill, can we work on that issue? Because I have a real concern -- I mean two-thirds of my counties have no way to absorb these services. None. So that's going to be a huge expense...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Time's up, Senator Rose. Senator Cullerton, to answer the question.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

## SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

I'll -- I'll be more than happy, when the trailer bill comes over, to -- to take a look at what's going to -- what's going to go with it. I'm sure Senator Yingling -- or Representative Yingling - I don't want to -- let's not go there - Representative Yingling will -- will keep me up to date on it. He and I tend to pass a lot of these consolidation bills together, as well as Representative Conroy.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McConnaughay, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR McCONNAUGHAY:

To the motion.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the motion.

### SENATOR McCONNAUGHAY:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Cullerton, I thank you. You have worked on this issue for a very long time and appreciate your efforts. You know, for some of us, especially if you represent the suburbs, you find yourself saying two things all the time. One is, we pay the highest property tax of any state in the country. And the other thing is, we have more units of local government than any other state in the country. And so this is a first step in that -- or an important step in that direction. I must admit I'm a little disappointed that the element that talks about citizen-initiated referendum did not end up in this bill. It was, like a lot of other things, part of the negotiation that went on in this Chamber that did not result in this bill, but I hear you talking about the trailer bill and I'm -- I'm hoping that what you're saying is the trailer bill's going to address that,

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

and I look forward to that. I, too, like Senator Nybo and others, am going to vote for this motion, because I believe that something is better than nothing. If we're really going to reduce property tax in this State, eliminating the vast amount of units of local government is probably the best way for us to do that. So, I will vote Yes and look forward to working with you on a trailer bill that hopefully will empower our voters at the local level to take control of the situation, make their own decisions by getting to referendum at the local level. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McConchie, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR McCONCHIE:

To the motion.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the motion.

## SENATOR McCONCHIE:

I just also want to join my colleagues in thanking Senator Cullerton for his hard work on this and I think -- I hope this is the beginning of an ongoing conversation. With as - as a previous speaker mentioned - with as many units of government that we have, obviously we have more than enough and anything that we can do to continue to try to provide better services at lower costs to our residents, I think is a good thing. And so I want to commend him for it and hope that we can -- able to continue to work together on that. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cullerton, to close.

## SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

Well, I appreciate that the hour is late and the lovefest is

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

starting, so I thank you for all the great words from over on that side of the aisle. As I said, part of the conversation — and I only was able to listen to a little bit of the back—and—forth in the House — had to do with Representative Yingling looking to work with the other side of the aisle to sort of come together on more government consolidation and more opportunities to do this. I have probably filed a bill on government consolidation every year since I've been here and have worked incredibly hard to try to get these things done. I'm glad you're all on board and I look forward to an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall the Senate confer -- concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 3. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 49 Ayes, 3 Nays, none voting Present. Having -- having received the required constitutional majority, the... Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 3, and the bill is declared passed. Will the Committee on Assignments please meet in the President's Anteroom immediately? President -- in the President's Anteroom, Committee on Assignments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Althoff, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Thank you, Mr. President. That strange mechanical problem that was happening on everyone's voting switches happened to mine on the last vote. May the record reflect that I would have voted Yes on the concurrence motion for Senate Bill 3?

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

The record will reflect, Senator. (at ease) Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senator Clayborne, Chairman of the Committee on Assignments, reports the following Legislative Measures have been assigned: Be -- re-refer from Executive Appointments Committee to Assignments Committee - Appointment Message 100-0100.

Signed, Senator James F. Clayborne, Chairman.

Senator Clayborne, Chairman of the Committee on Assignments, reports the following Legislative Measures have been assigned: Be Approved for Consideration - Appointment Message 100-0100.

Signed, Senator James F. Clayborne, Chairman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Back to Supplemental Calendar No. 3, Concurrences. Senate Bill 652. Senator Clayborne. Mr. Secretary, read the motion. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 652.

Signed by Senator Clayborne.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Clayborne.

### SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. This is just our new market tax credits. The bill originally went over to increase our allocation from twenty to fifty million. That was reduced. It extended the -- the tax credit. Provides more transparency by including allocation rounds in statute. Adds language grandfathering current community development entity

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

ownership interests at the request of the Illinois Bankers Association. And it adds additional reporting requirements requested by DCEO. I know of no opposition. I would ask for your favorable vote to continue to -- to extend this program to allow further growth and development in our more impoverished and high unemployment communities.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments {sic} 4 to Senate Bill 652. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments {sic} 4 to Senate Bill 652, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 734. Senator McConchie, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

## SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Mr. President, I'd like the record to reflect I intended to vote No on House Bill 1785.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

The record will reflect, Senator. Out of the record. Senate Bill 941. Senator Connelly. Mr. Secretary, read the motion. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 941.

Signed by Senator Connelly.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Connelly.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

## SENATOR CONNELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move to concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 941. It keeps the underlying bill. Adds language to the Liquor Control Act to define "third-party providers". The amendment reflects agreed language between WSDI, ABDI, and the Liquor Control Commission. I ask for a Yes vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, shall Senate concur in House Amendments {sic} No. 1 to Senate Bill 941 -- to Senate Bill 9-4-1. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments {sic} No. 1 to Senate Bill 941. The bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1261. Senator Holmes. Mr. Secretary, read the motion.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1261.

Signed by Senator Holmes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Holmes.

## SENATOR HOLMES:

Yes, basically what this does is it answers a question that came from the other side of the aisle. We wanted to make sure that the term "companion animal" does not include a service animal. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is,

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

shall the Senate concur in House Amendments {sic} 1 to Senate Bill 1261. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments {sic} 1 to Senate Bill 1261, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1843. Senator Raoul. Mr. Secretary, read the motion.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1843.

Signed by Senator Raoul.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Raoul.

## SENATOR RAOUL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The amendment keeps language that allows the -- the State Attorney Appellate Prosecutor to step in the place of the Cook County State's Attorney's Office to do -- in the discharge of their duties in certain cases, as well as it reenacts the Commission on Police Professionalism. I sat on that Commission. Our time expired before the work was done and so this would extend that Commission and require reporting on it on or before September 30, 2018.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

There any discussion? There being none, the question is, shall Senate concur in House Amendments {sic} No. 2 to Senate Bill 1843. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments {sic} 2 to Senate Bill 1843, and the bill is declared passed. Senator Jones, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

## SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Mr. President, please record me as a Yes on the previous bill. My button stuck.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator, the record will reflect. Page 8 of the Calendar. Senate Bill 209. Senator Link seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 209 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 209. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Link.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Link, on your amendment.

## SENATOR LINK:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'll just explain it on 3rd Reading, but I'll ask for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Link.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Senator Link, on Amendment 2.

SENATOR LINK:

We'll withdraw Floor Amendment 2, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Mr. Secretary, withdraw Amendment No. 2. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

3rd Reading. On the Order of 3rd Reading, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 209.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Link.

## SENATOR LINK:

Thank you, Mr. President. This increases the maximum wager on video gaming terminals from two to four dollars. The bill also increases the cash awards to individual hands from five hundred to eleven hundred and ninety-nine, but limits the cash awards for maximum wagers on a jackpot to ten thousand. This also clarifies the officers, employees, and agents of terminal operations {sic} (operators) who -- also are subject to prohibitions -- prohibitions on giving or -- anything of value licensed to {sic} (to licensed) establishments in inducement to place video gaming terminals in establishment. I know of no opposition to the bill. More than happy to answer any question.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? Senator Syverson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR SYVERSON:

A question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Sponsor indicates he will yield.

SENATOR SYVERSON:

Senator, didn't have a chance to talk to you earlier about this. The -- the -- the decision to with -- withdraw Senate Amendment 2, was that -- what -- what's the reasoning? I thought that -- that was the -- the reason we originally talked about having this language.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Link.

SENATOR LINK:

Thank you, Mr. President. It -- it was decided that this probably would be a good one and they have made a firm commitment - they still are for Senate Bill 7.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Syverson.

SENATOR SYVERSON:

With that change, can -- is the intent of this legislation, if it goes to the House, if it passes, then that negates everything that was done in Senate Bill 7?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Link.

SENATOR LINK:

No. No, it doesn't, sir. I mean this is just another --

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

it'd be like the amendment that was added on plus. There's more things in this than there were in the original Amendment -- in 7. But it does not negate 7 whatsoever. That is my prime, as yours is, gaming bill and that's are main concern is Senate Bill 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Syverson. Senator Link, to close.

SENATOR LINK:

I would just ask for an affirmative vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 209 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 37 voting Aye, 13 voting Nay, 2 voting Present. Senate Bill 209, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. On page 12 of the -- Calendar, Senate Bill 402. The sponsorship was changed to Senator Link. Senator Link seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 402 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 402. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Link.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Link.

SENATOR LINK:

Thank you, Mr. President. I will be more than happy to discuss it on 3rd Reading. I would just ask for its adoption. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

3rd Reading. On the Order of 3rd Reading, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 402.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Link.

### SENATOR LINK:

Thank you, Mr. President. This exempts Rosemont and Deerfield for -- ETSBs from the 9-1-1 consolidation mandate. Currently, the ETSBs that serve a population of twenty-five thousand are exempt from consolidation mandates. I'll be more than happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? Senator Righter, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Will the sponsor yield, please, Mr. President?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Sponsor indicates he will yield.

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

Senator Link, why -- why the -- why the carve-out? Why this

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

special exemption for these entities?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Link.

### SENATOR LINK:

Thank you, Senator Righter, for that question. It's a very simple one. I'll use Deerfield first. Deerfield would -- came up two hundred people short of the twenty-five thousand. During the day, they probably represent over fifty thousand people with the types of company and national headquarters they have in their town. And the other one, in Rosemont, the -- Rosemont is pretty much like a landlocked community, because they have Chicago on one side -- or basically all around it, and Chicago doesn't want to consolidate with them, so they're on their own. So their asking for -- to be able to do it on their own, their 9-1-1 services. So I -- it -- it's a unique situation and I could see where this would fall under that unconsequated {sic} mandate.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Righter. Senator Link, to close.

## SENATOR LINK:

I would just ask for affirmative vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 402 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 37 voting Aye, 15 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 402, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Schimpf, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR SCHIMPF:

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Mr. President, I would ask that -- that the record reflect that I intended to vote No on Senate Bill 209.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

The record will reflect, Senator. Senator Link in the Chair. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

House Bill 136. Senator Manar. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 136.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar, on your bill.

## SENATOR MANAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill establishes the Abraham Lincoln Presidential Library and Museum as an independent agency separate from the Illinois Historic Preservation Agency. This bill represents what I would describe as a compromise with the Rauner administration. I know of no opposition to the bill and would ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 136 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 136, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3376. Senator Manar. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3376.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar.

### SENATOR MANAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill adds a new provision to the Rehabilitation of Persons with Disabilities Act. It provides that it -- in, excuse me, DHS Home Services Program, the consumer has the right and discretion to select and hire a personal assistant or other individual provider of his or her choice. It also sets provisions in terms of the hours per week that an employee should work. This bill is similar to a previous bill that we passed out of the Chamber, believe it was last year. But -- it would differ in one regard: The cap would be fifty-five hours per week for overtime. I'd be happy to take any questions. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Righter, for what purpose do you rise?

## SENATOR RIGHTER:

Will the sponsor yield, please, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will.

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, very much. Senator Manar, why are we raising the cap on the overtime hours?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

#### SENATOR MANAR:

Because I think it's important that individuals that rely on care to stay in their homes have the ability to, a, choose their caretaker, of course provided they meet all of the criteria; and b, allow for that caretaker to stay in the home for a maximum of fifty-five hours per week.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

So, we -- if we did not do this - and obviously there's an added expense because you're paying overtime - if we did not do that, that means another employee would have to come in and perform whatever function it was the senior -- senior needed. Is that fair?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar.

### SENATOR MANAR:

I -- I believe that is fair. It takes time to care. The question here is, how much overtime are we going to allow? This would cap it at fifty-five hours a week.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

#### SENATOR RIGHTER:

To the bill, if I might, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

### SENATOR RIGHTER:

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I know the hour is late.

I want to point out the Governor's Office of Management and Budget

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

is opposed. The Department is opposed because there's an expense to this. And we should be clear, if this bill goes down, you save — twelve million dollars, but no senior loses any services. The issue here is whether or not someone needs to come in and complete the tasks that may be — may be done for the senior. I appreciate the issue that Senator Manar is presenting here. There is a fiscal cost. I don't think it's warranted. I would urge a No vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McCarter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR McCARTER:

To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR McCARTER:

Senator, we had a long -- we had a long discussion in the committee on this and now let me just be clear, the -- the actual increase in costs is about 4.6 because the Department is abiding by the rules proposed by JCAR. The -- the biggest difference here, one, it is a -- ten hours is a big difference, but the biggest difference here is the flexibility given to the administration to carry out the program as they have planned beginning August 1. And as very -- it was presented so well by the administration that I think they gave us a lot of assurance that they're going to do some good things in this program. And my question is, why not just wait and let them implement this, as they'd planned, with this flexibility? And I -- and I think there was somewhat of a consensus in -- in the committee that that was a good thing. But here -- here's the difference. There -- there are exceptions that

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

are similar between what you're proposing and what they -- what they have proposed as well. But in a -- but, for one, your proposal allows for a pre-approval and post-approval of overtime. But a pre-approval must be a blanket approval of at least one year. Yet, the administration has the flexibility to do that up to and including a year, because not every person that needs our assistance is the same. The -- here's -- here's what this comes down to. I don't think it's as much about money, although 4.6 million dollars is still something we need to be concerned about. What this comes down to is allowing the Executive Branch to do what they think is best. And I'm not, obviously, not the one that's being tapped on the shoulder and told how to vote by the Governor here. But this is a situation where we need to let him govern and I think everyone on the other side ought to think about this just a little bit. What happens if you let him govern? He's either going to do a good job and it's going to be good for the people you represent or he's going to mess it up and you're going to have something to say about it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Time's up, Senator McCarter. Senator Tracy, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR TRACY:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR TRACY:

Yes, in State Government Committee, we had quite a conversation about this and the representatives from the agency gave quite an extensive layout of the plans that will be

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

implemented to serve their clients, starting August 1, as outlined by JCAR. And it's interesting to note that during -- no time has anyone lost services that's served by this program when we have the forty-hour cap in place and then nobody that provided the services was terminated. So it seems to me that we're kind of searching for a solution when there's not yet been a problem and also there's going to be improvements to the program implemented starting August 1. And so our recommendation was let's hold off. Let's implement this -- this program that was laid out very well that seems to be giving the customers all the flexibility they would need to service them -- that -- so it -- it seems that this bill is premature, that we ought to wait and see how it -- it works out. Because, as I said, no one has lost services, even with a forty-hour cap, and no one has been terminated from providing the -- the services. So, here again, I -- I -- I would urge an Aye -- a No vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Nybo, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR NYBO:

Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will.

### SENATOR NYBO:

So, Senator, I'm confused. I don't sit on JCAR and -- and I feel that at some point -- I can't remember if it was today or yesterday that a colleague of ours sat here and explained that there's a lot of new Members and -- and we don't understand how JCAR operates and it's this wonderful agency that operates in a bipartisan cooperative manner. And so the question is, I -- I see

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

that what you're attempting to do here is -- is inconsistent with what JCAR is attempting to do on the very same issue, so if -- if JCAR is such a -- a wonderful asset to -- to rulemaking and legislating and governing, why are we overruling JCAR in this instance?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar.

### SENATOR MANAR:

I'm going to do my best to answer your question, Senator. JCAR is not attempting to do this. DHS is attempting to do this. And the -- the JCAR process is in place. But let's be clear, this is the second time it's been said, this is not a creation of JCAR. This is a creation of the Department, who is attempting to implement a rule in a place in statute where there's absence of law today.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Nybo.

### SENATOR NYBO:

I -- I don't know. My -- my analysis indicates that JCAR has -- has approved overtime rules for the Home Service {sic} (Services) Program and they did so in March. And -- and as I understand your bill, we are -- we are now making changes to the rules that JCAR put in place with respect to overtime hours. Maybe I misunderstood, but to me this would seem to be an instance where we're overruling JCAR and I'm just not understanding why we'd want to do that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Oberweis, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR OBERWEIS:

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will yield.

#### SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Senator Manar, I had understood that there was an agreement between you and Senator Landek and myself that this would be held until after the August 1st implementation of rules and we had a chance to see how those would work. Did I miss something?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar.

#### SENATOR MANAR:

I -- I believe you did. I did not make an agreement that I was going to hold the bill until August.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Oberweis.

### SENATOR OBERWEIS:

I would sure like to hear from Senator Landek if he agrees that there was no such agreement. But to the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

### SENATOR OBERWEIS:

We had testimony in committee, number one, nobody has ever been fired as a result of this; that the rules beginning August 1 would specify that if anybody does violate the rule, they would get warnings three times. There could be three violations. And only if they violated three times would they have a suspension. Then they'd have a ninety-day suspension, they could come back again. They could then -- if they violated again, they could violate three more times before they got a further ninety-day

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

suspension. And if that happened, they could come back and then they could violate three more times before they would have the possibility of even being permanently suspended, and that's never happened. These rules were put into effect for August 1 and we're asking you, here in the Senate, to at least give a chance to see how this works before we step in and create some additional -- I -- I guess this is something SEIU wants, but we'd like to at least let management see if this will work for a while before we -- we step in with a -- with a legislative solution to a problem that doesn't exist. For that reason, I'm asking you to vote Present or vote No until we have an opportunity for this to -- to take place. And -- and I sure would like to hear from Senator Landek, because I was told there was an agreement that this bill would be hold -- held to -- to give us a chance to see if -- if it works.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR HARMON:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

### SENATOR HARMON:

I -- I believe that perhaps my comments earlier were invoked on the Floor in debate. I would like to correct the record. JCAR didn't approve this. JCAR doesn't approve anything. As Senator Manar said, the Department promulgated rules after attempting to implement this without promulgating rules. JCAR failed to muster the votes to object or prohibit the rules from being filed, because at the moment, JCAR is not operating as it should operate in a bipartisan fashion. Let the record reflect the truth.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar, to close.

### SENATOR MANAR:

Thank you for the questions on this bill. I would just remind my colleagues of a couple of things. Number one, it costs fifty—two thousand dollars on average to have someone who's in a homecare setting today go to a nursing home. The average cost in-home is a fraction of that, it's fifteen thousand dollars. These costs are Medicaid matchable. And, frankly, I think it's the more humane thing to do, for someone to stay in their home, the home that they've paid for, saved for, want to live in, rather than putting individuals into an institutionalized setting. That's what this bill seeks to do. I ask for an Aye vote.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall House Bill 3376 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The -- the voting is open. I'll be all right. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 35 Ayes, 22 Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3376, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. With leave of the Body, we'll turn to page 30 of the printed Calendar, House Bills 3rd Reading. House Bill 643. Senator Bush. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 643.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Bush, on your bill.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

#### SENATOR BUSH:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. HB 643 freezes the General Assembly's mileage reimbursement and per diem rates and provides that there will be no FY'18 cost-of-living adjustment for the Members of the General Assembly and other offices set by the Compensation Review Board. The Comptroller's Office states that the FY -- FY'18 COLA would be 2.1 percent without the passage of a freeze. Happy to answer any questions. This passed out of the House with almost unanimous support and I would urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Jones, for what purpose do you rise?

### SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Mr. President. I urge a Aye vote. I think this is a great bill. We've been doing it for the past eight years and so I'd like to see all green lights on the board.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall House Bill 643 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 643, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. With leave of the Body, we'll turn to page 31 of the printed Calendar. House Bill 1774. Senator Raoul. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 1774.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

#### SENATOR RAOUL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the --Senate. House Bill 1774 creates an elected school board for the Chicago Board of Education. As you may know, City of Chicago is the only school district that does not have democracy in its governance. This allows the citizens of the City of Chicago to elect school board members. We did vote for equity in funding throughout the State. Now that we have funding, it's also important to add democracy. And so I urge your support to this bill.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 1774 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, 2 Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 1774, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Manar, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR MANAR:

For a point of personal privilege, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

#### SENATOR MANAR:

I rise, Mr. President, to congratulate Rhonda O'Brien, who is the bill status clerk here in the Senate Journal Room. She's part of the team of folks that are huddled up on the fourth floor that

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

do quiet, but important work that help us function as a Body. She's worked for the Senate since 1990 and she is instrumental in keeping track of all that we do on the Floor in our debate and making sure that it's done correctly and reflected in the record. I've known her for many years and I want to congratulate her, thank her for her work, and wish her the very best. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To fulfill our responsibilities under Article V, Section 9 of the Constitution, we will now proceed to the Order of Advise and Consent. Senator Muñoz.

SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move that the Senate resolve itself into Executive Session for the purpose of acting on appointments set forth in Appointment Message 100-0100.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz moves the Senate resolve itself into Executive Session for the purpose of acting on the Appointment Message just read. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the motion carries. The Senate is resolved into Executive Session. On... On Supplemental Calendar 4 is the Order of Executive Appointments - Appointment Messages. Mr. Secretary, Appointment Message 100-0100.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, the Committee on Executive Appointments {sic} (Assignments) recommends that the Senate Do Advise and Consent to the following salaried appointment: To be Chief Procurement Officer for the Capital Development Board, Margaret L. Van Dijk. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Senator Muñoz.

### SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate advise and consent to the appointment just read.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the Appointment Message just read. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 0 voting Aye, 53 Nay, none voting Present. Majority of Senators elected having refused to give their advise and consent by a record vote, the Senate rejects the Appointment Message just read. Senator Muñoz.

### SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move that the Senate arise from Executive Session.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Muñoz moves that the Senate arise from Executive Session. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the motion carries. The Senate has arisen from Executive Session. On page 37 of the printed Calendar, Resolutions. House Joint Resolution 16. Senator Holmes. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Joint Resolution 16, offered by Senator Holmes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Holmes, on your resolution.

### SENATOR HOLMES:

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Thank you so much, Mr. President. This quite simply extends the operation of the Mobile Integrated Healthcare Task Force. Just gives them a couple additional months to continue meeting.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is —seeing that as the result of this — requires expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote will be required. The question is, shall House Joint Resolution 16 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, 1 Nay, none voting Present. House Joint Resolution 16, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. House Joint Resolution 22. Senator Harris. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Joint Resolution 22, offered by Senator Harris.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harris, on your resolution.

### SENATOR HARRIS:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. House Joint Resolution 22 creates the Task Force on Modes of School Transportation for Elementary and Secondary Education. Sets forth the membership of the task force. Provides that the task force shall conduct a review of current State and federal laws regarding the use of various modes of elementary and secondary education for —— students and make recommendations to the State Board and the General Assembly regarding safe transport of students. I request a favorable affirmation of this House joint resolution.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, as a result of -- as this resolution requires expenditure of State funds, a call -- a roll call vote will be required. The question is, shall House Joint Resolution 22 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there's 54 Ayes, 1 Nay, none voting Present. House Joint Resolution 22, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. House Joint Resolution 24. Senator Bertino-Tarrant. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Joint Resolution 24, offered by Senator Bertino-Tarrant.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Bertino-Tarrant, on your resolution.

### SENATOR BERTINO-TARRANT:

Thank you, Mr. -- thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Investing in early childhood produces positive outcomes for students throughout their educational career and beyond. Coordinating early childhood programs with kindergarten programs is imperative to preparing our students. House Joint Resolution 24 establishes an advisory committee under the P-20 Council for the purpose of reviewing kindergarten transitions to encourage best practices for our youngest learners. I ask for your support. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, as this resolution requires expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote will be

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

required. The question is, shall House Joint Resolution 24 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 51 Ayes, 1 Nay, none voting Present. House Joint Resolution 24, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. House Joint Resolution 37. Senator Harris. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Joint Resolution 37, offered by Senator Harris.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harris, on your resolution.

### SENATOR HARRIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. HJR 37 establishes the International Job Protection Task Force in order to promote the protection of Illinois' jobs relative to international trade.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, as the -- as this resolution requires expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote will be required. The question is, shall House Joint Resolution 37 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 49 Ayes, 1 Nay, none voting Present. House Joint Resolution 37, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. House Joint Resolution 40. Senator Anderson. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Joint Resolution 40, offered by Senator Anderson.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Anderson, on your resolution.

### SENATOR ANDERSON:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Joint Resolution 40 designates that the -- that -- the Centennial Bridge in Rock Island as the "Master Sergeant Stanley W. Talbot Memorial Bridge". Sergeant -- Master Sergeant Talbot was born in Kewanee to Earl and Sheila Talbot on August 16th, 1970 {sic} (August 26, 1950). He went on to graduate from Annawan High School in 1968 and from Illinois State University in 1972. He served in the United States Marine Corps ROTC while in college. joined the Bloomington Police Department for two years before attending the Illinois State Police Academy in 1975. On June -on -- on 23 June 2001, Master Sergeant Stanley Talbot died from injuries sustained after being dragged by a vehicle fleeing a roadside safety checkpoint. Master Sergeant Talbot was survived by his wife and daughter, who are both members of the Illinois State Police; and a son, who serves as a -- at the -- with the Collinsville Police Department. I actually am now neighbors with Master Sergeant Talbot's daughter. They are a wonderful family. I -- I actually had 'em down for this resolution last year and we were unable to get it through the House. So with this -- with all green buttons on this, we'll -- we'll be able to get this done and I just ask for your Aye vote.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

As this resolution requires expenditures of State funds, a roll call vote will be required. The question is, shall House Joint Resolution 40 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Joint Resolution 40, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. Senator Anderson, for what purpose do you rise?

### SENATOR ANDERSON:

Point of personal privilege, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

### SENATOR ANDERSON:

I would just like if we could observe a moment of silence for Master Sergeant Talbot. (Moment of silence observed)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

House Joint Resolution 42. Senator Harris. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Joint Resolution 42, offered by Senator Harris.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harris, on your resolution.

### SENATOR HARRIS:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. House Joint Resolution 42 designates a portion of Illinois Route 97 in Springfield from 9th Street to Veterans Parkway as "Honorary Andre Iguodala Drive". Andre Iguodala was born here in Springfield. He graduated from Springfield Lanphier High School in 2002. It's the same high school where we held the Senate/House basketball game annually. He excelled academically and athletically. He was on the National Honor Roll -- Society. He was the Sun -- Chicago Sun-Times Player of the Year and a Second Team Parade All-American

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

for {sic} the Nike McDonald's {sic} (Nike) All-American Team, a first round draft pick in the 2004 NBA Draft, and also the first resident of Springfield who has won an NBA Championship and also was -- was named the NBA Finals MVP in 2015 with the Golden State Warriors. And this is the third consecutive year that he'll be playing in the NBA finals. And I think this is a great gesture for all that he and his family does with his foundation and giving back to the community of Springfield and I definitely would appreciate an affirmative vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

As this resolution requires expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote will be required. The question is, shall House Joint Resolution 42 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Joint Resolution 42, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. Senate Resolution 277. Senator Jones. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 277, offered by Senator Jones.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Jones, on your resolution.

### SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Resolution 277 urges finding solutions for {sic} the form of investment and economic development in order to increase employment rates for the African American youth. African American teens have the lowest employment rate compared to other ethnic and

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

racial groups. According to the BLS, as of July 2016, unemployment rates were as the -- follows: Caucasians 9.9 percent, African Americans 20.6 percent, Asians 10 percent, and Hispanics 11.3 percent, with little or no change from the previous year. I ask for your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall Senate Resolution 277 pass. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the resolution is adopted. Senate Resolution 470. Senator Harmon. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 470, offered by Senator Harmon.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon, on your resolution.

#### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Resolution 470 declares September as (Global) Pulmonary Fibrosis Month. And since I am ever the optimist that we will not be here again until September, I want to make sure I get your Aye votes now.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will -- of Senate Resolution 470, vote -- say Aye. And opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the resolution is adopted. Senate Resolution 483. Senator Althoff. Senate Resolution 492. Senator Harmon. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 492, offered by Senator Harmon.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

Senator Harmon, on your resolution.

### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Resolution 492 encourages schools to offer Breakfast After the Bell programs and encourages summer food service programs to operate as open sites for all children. So, as we depart for the summer, I hope that the schools will cooperate and help provide breakfast to kids in our districts who need it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Resolution 492 pass. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. And the Ayes have it, and the resolution is adopted. Senate Resolution 528. Senator Morrison. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 528, offered by Senator Morrison.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Morrison, on your resolution.

### SENATOR MORRISON:

Thank you, Mr. President. This resolution urges the Governor's Office to work with the Deaf and Hard of Hearing Commission to develop a report of recommendations to help ensure the Commission is better able to serve that community. The reports shall be submitted to the General Assembly by January 1st, 2018, and it will be made available to the public.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing as the result -- as this resolution requires expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote, it will be required. The question is, shall Senate Resolution 528

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

pass. All in -- all those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Resolution 528, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. President Cullerton, for what purpose do you rise?

### SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

Purposes of an announcement.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your announcement.

### SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I believe we have completed our business for the day. I just wanted to thank everyone for their hard work this particular Session. I believe this is my twentysixth straight year in the Senate where I ended up on the last night of the General Assembly and I really believe that this was one of the best, because not for the results that we accomplished, but rather for the cooperation that we had. Never saw it before where we had so much cooperation with both Republicans and Democrats working on legislation. I want to thank Senator Radogno for that and all the Republicans and all our Members over here, who started working together to try to solve the problems of the State early on. And I know that, right now, we would say that not a lot of those -- that work has resulted in legislation that's become law, but it will. All that work did not go to waste. So, I hope I don't see you for a long time, but it may be that I might have to see you pretty soon. Enjoy the summer as much as you can. Thank you.

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Mr. Secretary, Resolutions. Mr. Secretary, Messages from the House.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

A Message from the House by Mr. Mapes, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has adopted the following joint resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Joint Resolution 65.

Offered by Senator Clayborne.

(Secretary reads HJR No. 65)

Adopted by the House, May 31st, 2017. Timothy D. Mapes, Clerk of the House.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

On the Order of Resolutions is House Joint Resolution 65. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Joint Resolution 65, offered by Senator Clayborne. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Clayborne moves to suspend the rules for the purposes of immediate consideration and adoption of House Joint Resolution 65. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the rules are suspended. Senator Clayborne moves the adoption of House Joint Resolution 65. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the resolution is adopted. We will now proceed to the Order of Resolution Consent Calendar. With leave of the Body, all resolutions read in today will be added to the Consent Calendar. Mr. Secretary, have there

57th Legislative Day

5/31/2017

been any objections filed on any resolution on the Consent Calendar?

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No objections filed, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

If not, the question is, shall the resolutions on the Consent Calendar be adopted. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the motion carries. And the resolutions are adopted. All -- this is what I've been waiting for all day. There being no further business to come before the Senate, pursuant to House Joint Resolution 65, the Senate stands adjourned to the call of the Senate President. The Senate stands adjourned.