53rd Legislative Day 5/25/2017

НВ0189	Second Reading	194
НВ0512	Second Reading	10
НВ1332	Second Reading	10
HB1774	First Reading	198
НВ1785	First Reading	198
НВ1853	Second Reading	11
НВ2028	Second Reading	4
HB2439	Second Reading	5
НВ2510	Second Reading	11
НВ2525	Second Reading	194
HB2622	Second Reading	11
НВ2778	Second Reading	5
HB2802	Second Reading	195
НВ2909	Third Reading	63
НВ2909	Vote Intention	63
HB2910	Third Reading	64
НВ2950	Third Reading	65
НВ2957	Third Reading	66
НВ2959	Second Reading	12
НВ2965	Third Reading	66
НВ2966	Third Reading	67
нв2973	Third Reading	68
НВ2976	Third Reading	69
нв2977	Second Reading	5
нв2987	Third Reading	73
нв2989	Third Reading	70
нв2993	Recalled	71
нв2993	Third Reading	72
нв2995	Third Reading	74
нв2998	Third Reading	75
нв3001	Second Reading	195
нв3005	Second Reading	12
нв3014	Recalled	75
нв3014	Third Reading	76
нв3014	Vote Intention	77
нв3018	Third Reading	78
нв3032	Third Reading	79
нв3036	Second Reading	6
HB3045	Third Reading	80
HB3048	Third Reading Third Reading	80
HB3058	Third Reading Third Reading	81
1100000	Initia heading	01

53rd Legislative Day		5/25/2017
нв3059	Third Reading	82
нв3060	Recalled	82
HB3060	Third Reading	83
НВ3081	Third Reading	85
НВ3084	Third Reading	85
нв3090	Third Reading	86
нв3090	Vote Intention	88
нв3092	Third Reading	87
нв3093	Third Reading	88
нв3106	Third Reading	89
HB3108	Third Reading	89
HB3110	Third Reading	90
HB3120	Third Reading	91
HB3121	Third Reading	92
HB3130	Third Reading	93
НВ3139 НВ3143	Third Reading Third Reading	94 95
нв3143 НВ3157	Recalled	95
HB3157	Third Reading	96
HB3161	Third Reading Third Reading	97
НВ3163	Second Reading	6
нв3165	Third Reading	98
нв3168	Third Reading Third Reading	99
нв3169	Third Reading Third Reading	100
НВ3172	Third Reading	100
НВ3188	Third Reading	101
НВ3189	Third Reading	102
НВ3211	Third Reading	103
НВ3212	Third Reading	104
нв3215	Third Reading	104
НВ3215	Vote Intention	195
НВ3216	Third Reading	112
НВ3222	Recalled	120
НВ3222	Third Reading	121
НВ3234	Third Reading	132
НВ3240	Second Reading	13
НВ3244	Second Reading	13
НВ3251	Third Reading	133
НВ3255	Third Reading	134
НВ3261	Recalled	134
HB3261	Third Reading	135
HB3272	Third Reading	137

53rd Legislative Day		5/25/2017
НВ3273	Third Reading	137
НВ3282	Third Reading	138
НВ3322	Third Reading	139
НВ3325	Third Reading	140
НВ3359	Third Reading	142
НВ3368	Third Reading	143
НВ3369	Third Reading	143
нв3376	First Reading	198
НВ3394	Third Reading	144
нв3396	Third Reading	145
НВ3399	First Reading	198
нв3400	Third Reading	146
НВ3419	Third Reading	147
НВ3437	Third Reading	151
HB3449	Recalled	152
HB3449	Third Reading	153
HB3449	Vote Intention	169
нв3450	Recalled	167
нв3450	Third Reading	168
НВ3455	Third Reading	169
HB3462	Third Reading	171
HB3464	Third Reading	172
нв3469	Third Reading	173
HB3488	Second Reading	13
нв3490	Third Reading	173
НВ3502	Third Reading	174
НВ3507	Third Reading	175
HB3514	Third Reading	176
НВ3521	Third Reading	177
НВ3528	Third Reading	177
НВ3536	Third Reading	178
HB3601	Third Reading	179
HB3615	Third Reading	180
НВ3615	Vote Intention	181
HB3631	Third Reading	181
HB3649	Third Reading	182
НВ3658	Third Reading	185
HB3684	Third Reading	186
нв3691	Third Reading	187
нв3691	Vote Intention	194
нв3701	Third Reading	193
нв3745	Second Reading	9

53rd Legislative	Day	5/25/2017
HB3773 HB3922 SB0444 SB0444 SB0643 SB0704 SB0991 SB0991 SB1453 SB1453 SB1606 SB1607 SB1607 SB1748 SB1748 SB2021 SR0212 SR0536 SR0537 SR0538 SR0539 HJR0043 SJR0022 SJR0037 SJR0043 SJRCA0017	Second Reading Recalled Third Reading Second Reading Second Reading Recalled Third Reading Third Reading Adopted Resolution Offered Resolution Offered Resolution Offered Adopted Adopted Adopted Resolution Offered Resolution Offered Resolution Offered Resolution Offered	197 14 15 16 195 196 19 20 56 57 14 31 32 58 59 45 46 2 197 197 197 197 6 60 50 2 197
Senate to Order-Prayer-Senator K Pledge of Allegi Journal-Postpone Committee Report Messages from th Senate Stands at Committee Report Adjournment	oehler ance d s e House Ease/Reconvenes	1 1 1 2 197 199 199

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

The regular Session of the 100th General Assembly will please come to order. Will the Members please be at their desk? Will our guests in the galleries please rise? The invocation today -- will be Senator Koehler. Senator Koehler.

SENATOR KOEHLER:

Thank you, and if you know that I'm doing the invocation, you know that I always go to my favorite all-time prayer. So please forgive me if you get tired of hearing this, but I think the words are inspirational, certainly to me. Please join me in prayer.

(Prayer by Senator Koehler)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Please remain standing for the Pledge Allegiance. Senator Cunningham will lead us in the prayer -- Pledge.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM:

(Pledge of Allegiance, led by Senator Cunningham)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Yes. Now with leave of the Body, Lisa E. Yuscius -- Yuscius -- excuse me, Lisa - from the Blueroomstream.com has requested permission to videotape. We also have Mr. Lee Milner from the Bluestream {sic} and Illinois Times, to video and photo shoot with leave of the Body. Seeing no objection, leave is granted. Mr. Secretary, Reading and Approval of the Journal.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Journal of Wednesday, May 24th, 2017.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator -- Senator Hunter moves to approve the Journals -- Senator Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Thank you, Mr. President. I move to postpone the reading and approval of the Journal just read by the Secretary, pending arrival of the printed transcript.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Hunter moves to postpone the reading and approval of the Journal, pending arrival of the printed transcripts. Being there are no objections, so ordered. Mr. Secretary, Resolutions. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 536, offered by Senator Castro and all Members.

It is a death resolution, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Resolutions Consent Calendar. Mr. Secretary...

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Joint Resolution 43, offered by Senator Mulroe.

It is substantive.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senator Landek, Chairperson of the Committee on State Government, reports Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1453 and Senate Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 1606 Recommend Do Adopt; Senate Resolutions 337 and 483 Be Adopted; House Bill 1853 Do Pass; House Bill 3005 Do Pass, as Amended; House Joint Resolution 16 Be Adopted; Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3904 and Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 4011 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Martinez, Chairperson of the Committee on Licensed Activities and Pensions, reports Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 299 and Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 313 Recommend Do Adopt.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Senator Harmon, Chairperson of the Committee on Executive, reports Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 643 Recommend Do Adopt; Senate Resolution 523 Be Adopted; House Bills 512 and 2510 Do Pass; House Bill 3488 Do Pass, as Amended; and Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 3222 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator McGuire, Chairperson of the Committee on Higher Education, reports Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2550 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Morrison, Chairperson of the Committee on Human Services, reports Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1748 Recommend Do Adopt; Senate Resolutions 488, 489, and 528 Be Adopted; and Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2589 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Raoul, Chairperson of the Committee on Judiciary, reports Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 444 Recommend Do Adopt; House Bills 189, 2525, 2622, and 3001 Do Pass; Senate Amendment 3 to House Bill 2401, Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2572, Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2702, and Senate Amendments 4 and 5 to House Bill 3449 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Sandoval, Chairperson of the Committee on Transportation, reports Senate Joint Resolutions 35 and 36 Be Adopted; and House Bills 2453, 2802, and 3240 Do Pass.

Senator Holmes, Chairperson of the Committee on Commerce and Economic Development, reports Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1560 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Van Pelt, Chairperson of the Committee on Public Health, reports House Bill 481 Do Pass; and Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 2820 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Bertino-Tarrant, Chairperson of the Committee on Education, reports Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 213, Senate

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Amendment 2 to House Bill 370, Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 760, and Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2527 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Biss, Chairperson of the Committee on Labor, reports Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 622, Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 690, and Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 2771 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Hutchinson, Chairperson of the Committee on Revenue, reports Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1542 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Mulroe, Chairperson of the Committee on Insurance, reports Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 328 Recommend Do Adopt; House Bills 1332 and 2959 Do Pass; House Bill 3244 Do Pass, as Amended; Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 1944 {sic} (1954) and Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2721 Recommend Do Adopt.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Will all Members at the sound of my voice please come to the Senate Floor? All Members at the sound of my voice, please come to the Senate Floor. There will be 3rd -- a lot of action today. We will be hearing 3rd -- Senate Bills 3rd Reading, House Bills 2nd Reading. Tomorrow is the last day to get out all House bills out of the Senate. Members, please come to the Floor so we can start. Let's go to -- on page 50 of the regular Calendar in the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 159. House Bill 159. Senator Hutchinson. Senator Hutchinson. House Bill 1764. Senator Hutchinson. House Bill 2028. Senator Fowler. Senator Fowler indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2028.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. Proceeding on, House Bill 2439. Senator Cunningham. Senator Cunningham, you wish to proceed? He indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2439.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. Continuing down the Calendar, 25 -- House Bill 2527. Senator Stadelman. House Bill 2527. Proceeding on, House Bill 2771. Senator Hutchinson. Senator Hutchinson, House Bill 2721 {sic}? ...down, House Bill 2778. Senator Jones. Senator Jones. The Senator indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2778.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. Continuing down the Calendar, House Bill 2953. Senator Sandoval. Senator Sandoval. House Bill 2977. Senator Lightford. Senator Lightford. House Bill 3004. Senator Lightford. If we can go back -- Senator? Senator -- going back to Senator Lightford's bill, 2977. 2977. Senator indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2977.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. Going to page 51, we have House Bill 3036. Senator Jones. Senator Jones, you wish to proceed? He indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3036.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. House Bill 3163. Senator Bennett. Senator Bennett, do you wish to proceed? Senator indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3163.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. Okay, skip ahead to page 54. We have House Joint Resolution 43. Senator Koehler. Senator Koehler wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the resolution.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Joint Resolution 43, offered by Senator Koehler.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there... Senator Koehler, on the bill -- on the House resolution.

SENATOR KOEHLER:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. If I could have everybody's attention. As we enter the Memorial Day weekend...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Can we please get your attention, Members?

SENATOR KOEHLER:

As we enter the Memorial Day weekend, I think it's fitting and proper that we honor our fallen warriors who have given their last measure to the benefit of this country. And I'm pleased to be joined by Representative Mike Unes, who I share part of the district with in the Peoria area, to present this resolution. Sergeant Douglas J. Riney of Fairview, which is a small town in northern Fulton County, was killed in Afghanistan last October. Sergeant Riney was assigned to the 3rd Cavalry Regiment, also known as the "Brave Rifles". Sergeant Riney joined the Army in July of 2012 and has been with the 3rd Cavalry since December of 2012, serving as a petroleum supply specialist. He was previously deployed in Afghanistan from July 2014 to February of 2015. His awards and decorations include the Purple Heart, the Bronze Star, Army Commendation Medal, four Army Achievement Medals, the Afghan Campaign Medal and three -- three stars, and the NATO Medal. What this resolution does is it resolves that we designate a section of Illinois Route 78 from Canton to Farmington as the "Sergeant Douglas Riney Memorial Highway". And it's very fitting for us to honor our fallen warriors in this manner, at the road that's heavily traveled in Fulton County and when people see it, they'll

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

remember their friend, their brother, and their -- their son. So I'd ask for the Senate's approval of this resolution.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

It's going to be a roll call vote. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is -- as this resolution requires the expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote will be required. The question is, shall House Joint Resolution 43 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. Voting is open. Have -- have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? House Joint Resolution 43 -- take the record. House Joint Resolution 43, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. Senator Koehler.

SENATOR KOEHLER:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to make some introductions. And again, I'm joined with -- by my side here is Senator -- I mean, Representative Mike Unes. The family of Sergeant Riney is with us today. I'd like to have them stand as I introduce them. Wife, Kylie; daughter, Ella; son, James; Sergeant Riney's mother, Pam, and her husband, Don; his father, Dave; and Kylie's mother, Donna Edy. So if the Senate would please join me in giving a heartfelt thanks and a welcome to this family. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Welcome to the Illinois Senate. Senator Martinez, you seek recognition?

SENATOR MARTINEZ:

Point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

State your point.

SENATOR MARTINEZ:

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, today I just want to talk about a young man that is going to be leaving us soon. As many of you know, he's one of our Pages. He's been here for five years. He started here in 2012, Stephen Ortega. He just graduated from the University of Springfield in communications. God knows, we need a lot of communication around here. But he is — his plans are to go to California, you know, to Hollywood, I would think. He wants to major over there in film and in media. I just want to wish — I — I want the Senate to thank him for his service with us as a Page for the past five years and give him a good heartfelt send-off to California when he's ready to take that challenge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Mr. Ortega, the best of luck to you. Senator Oberweis, you seek recognition?

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Yes, a -- a point of personal privilege, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

State your point, sir.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

I would like to introduce to the Chamber a -- a very good long-term friend of mine, Glenn Shimkus, who worked with me at Oberweis Dairy till he got smart and went out and started a technology company, and his son, Brandon, who is a freshman, just finishing his freshman year at Purdue. I'd like to extend a -- a warm Springfield welcome to them. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Both of you, welcome to the Illinois Senate. Okay, going back to page 51 to House Bill 3745. Senator Van Pelt. The Senator indicates she wants to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3745.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Education adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. House Bill 3803. Senator Hutchinson. Senator Hutchinson. On House Bill 3817, Senator Hastings. Senator Hastings on the Floor? House Bill 3922. Leader Harmon. Leader Harmon. Going to Supplemental Calendar No. 1, begin with House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bills {sic} 189. Senator Raoul. Senator Raoul, on House Bill 189. House Bill 481. Senator Hutchinson. Senator Hutchinson. House Bill 512. Senator Nybo. Senator Nybo, do you wish to proceed? He indicates that he wants to -- proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 512.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill -- Senate {sic} Bill 1332. Senator Morrison. Senator Morrison indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 1332.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. Continuing down the line, House Bill 1853. Senator McConnaughay. Senator McConnaughay. Senator McConnaughay. Senator indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 1853.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. Continuing down the list, we have House Bill 2453. Senator Hutchinson. Senator Hutchinson, 2453. House Bill 2510. Senator Muñoz. Senator Muñoz. The Senator indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2510.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. On House Bill 2525, Senator Raoul. Senator Raoul. On House Bill 2622, Senator Biss. Senator Biss. He -- you wish to proceed? Senator indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2622.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. Continuing, House Bill 2802. House Bill 2802. Senator Sandoval. Senator Sandoval. House Bill 2959. Senator Biss. Senator Biss, you wish to proceed? Senator indicates that he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2959.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. On House Bill 3001, Senator Hastings. Senator Hastings on the Floor? Proceeding to House Bill 3005. Senator Collins. The Senator indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3005.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on State Government adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. Continuing on House Bill 3240. Senator Tom Cullerton. Senator Tom Cullerton, do you wish to proceed? Senator indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3240.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. On House Bill 3244, Senator Tom Cullerton. Senator Tom Cullerton, you wish to proceed? Senator indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3244.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Insurance adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. House Bill 3488. Senator Muñoz. Leader Muñoz indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3488.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Public Health {sic} (Executive) adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. Okay. With leave of the Body, we'll go back to regular Calendar, on House Bill -- 3922. Leader Harmon. Leader Harmon. Indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3922.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Environment and Conservation adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. With leave of the Body, we'll go back to page 4 and we will be picking up Senate Bill 1606. Senator Nybo. Senator Nybo, do you wish to proceed? He indicates he does. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1606.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 3, offered by Senator Nybo.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Nybo, wish to explain?

SENATOR NYBO:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would ask for adoption of the amendment and we can discuss it on 3rd. And I appreciate you calling this today. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor would vote Aye. Opposition -- all opposed will vote Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. All Members at the sound of my voice, we're going to 3rd Readings. Members -- all Members at the sound of my voice, come to the Senate Floor. We're going to 3rd Readings. On page 14 of the regular Calendar in the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading. This is final action. On Senate Bill 444, Senator Clayborne. Senate Bill 444. Senator Clayborne. Senator Clayborne indicates he wishes to proceed. Senator seeks the leave of the Body to return to -- Senate Bill 444 on Order of 2nd Reading.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 444. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Clayborne.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Clayborne, to explain the amendment.

SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

I would like to explain it on 3rd Reading and just move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. Seeing none, the Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. On Senate Bill 444, Senator -- Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 444.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Clayborne.

SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

Thank you. Floor Amendment 2 becomes the bill and this just adds language to the Student Online Personal Protection Act, where

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

if you get consent of the parents and the -- the student, then the national assessment, or the ACT or SAT, has the ability to share their information for purposes of students receiving information on scholarships, employment opportunities, financial aid, and/or postsecondary educational opportunities. I would ask for your favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Well, is there any discussion? Senator Barickman.

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Simply, to the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

To the bill, sir.

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. We discussed this bill in committee and -- and my understanding is that this bill is not reflective of the agreement that exists in another bill that has already been passed, I believe by this Chamber, a bill supported by the sponsor, Senator Hastings, and worked by Senator Connelly. I would simply remind, at least the -- the Members on this side of the Chamber, that this is not an agreed-upon bill. And I'd urge a No vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Any further discussion? Senator Clayborne, to close.

SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

Again, no -- none of the stakeholders came to see me. So I -- I don't -- I -- I've talked to one of my colleagues, but no stakeholders came to see me to address on this bill. So the real question is, whether there's a compromise and an agreement, do we believe that parents and students going to college should have

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

access, if they give consent, to educational opportunities, financial aid. That is the question that's being debated, not whether or not there was an agreement. This is about constituencies and the people we represent. I would ask for your favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 444 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On the question, there are 36 Yeas and 20 Nays. The -- Senate Bill 444, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Biss, you seek recognition?

SENATOR BISS:

Yes. For purposes of an introduction, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Proceed with your introduction.

SENATOR BISS:

Thank you. Members, I'd like to draw your attention to some young people up in the gallery on the Republican side of the aisle. They are students from the Peace and Justice Committee of the Social Studies Club at Normal Community High School, together with their teacher and chaperone, Patrick Lawler. They're here today to advocate for the passage of a sound and sane budget and to express wisdom and maturity well beyond their years. In doing so, I had a chance to meet with them earlier today and I hope that all of you will get to do so. They handed me a letter that they had written, signed by a number of these students, and it ends with - by saying that "The education and future of Illinois students

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

lies in your hands and we urge you to make the decision that needs to be made, establishing a budget for the good of the young minds of Illinois." I hope we'll all take that to heart and I hope you'll join me in welcoming these wonderful young people from Normal Community High School. Thank you very much.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Welcome to Springfield. Continuing down the Calendar, we're going to Senate Bill 991. Senator Oberweis. Senator Oberweis, do you wish to proceed? Mr. Secretary, read the bill. Senator Oberweis seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 991 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 991. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Oberweis.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Oberweis, to explain the amendment.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to approve the amendment and I'll explain the bill on 3rd Reading.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration, Mr. Secretary?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

991. Senator indicates that he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 991.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Many of you may be aware that about ten years or twelve years ago, this Body passed a bill requiring the left lane on interstates to be used for passing only. In my conversations with the State Police as to why they weren't enforcing the bill, they indicated that they believed that it was not enforceable as written. So they drafted two minor amendments to the bill, which they say would make it enforceable. I can give you those amendments very quickly. It adds -- as to when it's okay to use the left lane, it adds the words "or when otherwise directed by traffic markings, signs, signals, or any person authorized under Section 11-203 of this Code to direct traffic". And it deletes the words "when no other vehicle is directly behind the vehicle in the left lane". Again, I -- I know of no opposition to the bill. It is wording from the State Police and I urge a Yes vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate... Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Mr. President. Would the sponsor yield?

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

He indicates that he will.

SENATOR JONES:

Senator Oberweis, with the passage of this bill, would -- do you believe it would cause or increase racial profiling, if this bill was passed into law?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Thank you for the question, Senator. There is absolutely, positively, no way that it would increase racial profiling in any way. All it says is, if you're going to use the left lane, use it for passing.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

So, Senator Oberweis, who actually makes the decision, you know, on why they should be pulled over? You said this law was already passed ten years ago. What authority did the State Police actually need to enforce the law?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

May I remind my good friend, Senator Jones, this is not my first bill here, Senator? This would not change that in any way. The State Police have argued that the original bill was not clear and that they needed this as clarification so they could enforce the bill for those people who ride in the left lane when they're not -- when they shouldn't be.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator McCarter.

SENATOR McCARTER:

Question -- question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Excuse me, Senator. You have to push your button, Senator Jones, if you want to address the Chair. Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

You know I'm a new Member, Mr. President. I don't know how the rules work over here. To the bill, Mr. President. Just to give a brief story, about ten years ago, on my way to Champaign, Illinois, I was pulled over by the State Police for driving in the right lane and he threatened to give me a ticket. So that's —that's my only concern, Senator Oberweis. I'm sorry, driving in the left lane. So that's my only issue — you know, would this cause more racial profiling, you know, if this bill was passed? So I urge a Present vote. I'm sorry I can't support you, my good friend, on this bill, but good luck.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Thank you, Mr... Senator, I -- I believe that, if anything, it would eliminate the possibility of racial profiling, 'cause it makes clear when they can and when they cannot stop somebody for traveling in the left lane. So I hope you will reconsider and give us a -- a positive Yes vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator McCarter, sir.

SENATOR McCARTER:

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

He indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR McCARTER:

Senator, what -- what does the -- what's the consequences of driving in the left-hand lane illegally?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

It would be a traffic ticket. I'm not sure of the consequences or the fine, but it -- that does not change at all. All this does is clarify when the State Police can enforce the law and when they can't. It doesn't change any penalties. It doesn't change anything else.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator McCarter.

SENATOR McCARTER:

So, just to make it real clear so Senator Jones doesn't get another ticket, nor myself, when is it acceptable to drive in the left-hand lane?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

The answer is, it's only acceptable to drive in the left-hand lane on a multi-lane interstate highway when you are passing other traffic. Similar legislation to this is now being enacted in a number of states. It has been general policy in Europe for thirty years. It helps to reduce accidents. It saves lives and helps traffic to move in a more smooth fashion.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Rose.

SENATOR ROSE:

Thank you. Can I ask a question of the sponsor?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

He indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR ROSE:

Senator Oberweis, so, like many first-year prosecutors, I started off in the traffic court and spent some time down there hanging out, dealing with petty offenses. I don't know this --what is your objective? 'Cause I don't think this gets you where you want to go, if I understood your objective.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Thank you, Senator. My objective is to save lives, to make traffic safer on interstates, and I believe that if we followed the law of using the left lane only for passing, it means that traffic will move more smoothly and there would be less cars darting in and out, switching lanes, and -- and moving around, which is one of the things that tends to cause accidents. So the intention is to try to reduce...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Please - there's a debate going on - please hold it down a little bit. Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

The intention is to save lives and reduce accidents, and this is the wording. It's two very minor adjustments to the existing law that they say would clarify the law and reduce unwarranted

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

stops, but make them realistic if they do stop somebody.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Rose.

SENATOR ROSE:

So -- so, generally speaking, Senator Oberweis, I've joined you in your efforts to free up our highway system. In this one, I -- I disagree. And -- and I -- I think you said your -- I -- I think you said your goal is to save lives, one, which is admirable, but, two, to basically keep people out of the left lane. all you're going to do then is stack up cars in the right lane, which then will lead to more in and out weaving, which is your goal to prevent. I -- I -- and I quess, from my perspective, if you're in downstate Illinois and you got three cars in the right lane and nobody in the left lane, go on by. I -- I just don't know why you can't use the lane that's available. I -- I I -- I do about forty-five thousand miles a year on my car, and -- and, you know, if for no other reason than I'm not going to be a hypocrite today, I'm going to vote No on this bill. But I don't get -- I don't think this solves your problem, because you're just going to stack everybody up in the right lane and that's going to lead to more weaving in and out in the long term, and so -- I've joined you on several other initiatives, Senator, but I -- I don't think I can join you on this one. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Oberweis, to respond.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

On the contrary, Senator, it does exactly the opposite of that. It allows you to lose -- use the left lane anytime you are passing. And it's -- it's the policy that is followed now in an

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

increasing majority of states. More states are moving this direction. It has been the policy in Europe for, as I said, about forty years. If you drive in Europe at all, it's -- it's enforced by the motorists, who will flash lights if you're in the left lane and you're not passing. And it encourages traffic to stay to the right except when you're passing. It -- it -- it makes traffic move at a safer, consistent level across all lanes. It saves lives and helps traffic move more rapidly.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Bivins.

SENATOR BIVINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. A question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR BIVINS:

Senator, if I'm driving down a four-lane highway, interstate, and there is nobody in front of me for five miles, there's nobody behind me for five miles, and I'm in the left lane, can I get a ticket?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

The answer is, if a -- State Police decides to stop you, they could issue a ticket. So the answer is, stay in the right lane when you're driving down and there's no other traffic for five miles in either direction. And -- and, by the way, there would be no change. The same thing is true, you are asked -- required to stay in the right lane now, a bill that was passed by this Body, as I said, about ten years ago.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Bivins.

SENATOR BIVINS:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

...bill.

SENATOR BIVINS:

Here -- here's the problem I have: Some interstates in Illinois here, as you know, need some repair from time to time. There's a few roads I drive on - I-80 being one 'em, off of 80 - and it's -- there's very seldom more than five cars on that -- down that road, four-lane highway, and you can see for miles in front of you, miles behind you, and the right lane is in great disrepair and is a washboard at times, and so to avoid those pockets where you know that you might have a mile, two miles of roads, you get in the left lane. There's nobody in front of you or behind you. I -- I think it would be a disservice to give somebody a ticket for avoiding bad highways. And the same thing could be said on I-39 and some other roads that -- that you travel frequently that you know there are problems with. So I'm going to have to encourage a No vote on this. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Oberweis, to respond.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Senator, you were a law enforcement officer. I doubt that you ever gave anybody an unreasonable ticket. And by the way, the situation you talk about here is excluded because the current law also says when there are obstructions or - and I can't even read - instructions {sic} or hazards exist in the right-hand lane,

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

you're able to use the left-hand lane, no problem.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Syverson.

SENATOR SYVERSON:

Thank you. A question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Gentleman indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR SYVERSON:

Senator, this is already current law right now. It's in place, so you're not changing what is the existing law. Is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Yes, that's correct.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Syverson.

SENATOR SYVERSON:

Well, they've given a couple of examples of -- of where it may be an issue. When you're on, for example, 39, driving home -- or 55, driving home from Springfield, and you have six lanes, the goal is to keep those cars that are driving extremely slow in the left lanes to get them to move over so traffic can move -- flow smoother so you don't have people swerving in and out. Isn't that -- wasn't that the intent of the original legislation?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Yes, that's exactly the intent. And, unfortunately, too many

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

people today don't realize that the law is that they're already supposed to stay to the right unless passing. And the State Police have indicated they feel they can't enforce the law without this slight change in wording.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Thank you. May we please have order in the Chamber? There is a debate going on. Senator Syverson.

SENATOR SYVERSON:

I -- thank you. I couldn't hear that last answer, so if it could be...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Oberweis, do you wish to repeat it, the answer? You want to repeat your answer? He did not hear your last answer. SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Yes. I said this is the current law and the State Police have indicated they feel they can't enforce it without this slight modification. And the problem is that you will occasionally get someone who is driving, let's say, today, seventy miles an hour and they say they have a right to use the left-hand lane because they're going the speed limit and, in fact, that's not the law today. It won't be the law if this passes. This just allows the State Police to enforce the current law.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Syverson.

SENATOR SYVERSON:

And, in fact, there are cases where just because the speed limit is seventy miles an hour, doesn't mean you can't go slower than that. There is a minimum speed limit and you have some individuals that do in fact drive slower and those are the ones

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

the goal is to try to avoid them being in those — those left lanes, which we've all been in those cases where it creates frustration with drivers. One thing I would — I think it would be helpful is if we had the Department put up some signs reminding people that the left lane is for passing, because many drivers aren't even aware that that's the law. So maybe what we need to do is do a resolution or something asking the Department to put some signs up or, on their electronic boards, add that message that — reminding people that the left lanes are for passing. So maybe we need to look at either amending this to making it a resolution to urge them to do that. 'Cause I think that would do a lot more to help educate people that — how they're supposed to follow the current law, since, again, most people don't — I don't even think they're aware of it. So that may be something to think about, Senator. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Oberweis, you wish to respond? There was no question. SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Thank you, Senator. And -- and that's exactly what my conversation with them was. I suggested doing exactly that. And they said that if we can get this type of language so that they feel comfortable with it, they would do that. Without this language, they really didn't want to do it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any further discussion? Is there any further discussion? Seeing none, Senator Oberweis, to close. Senator Oberweis, to close.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Thank you. Yes, I -- I think all of us here in this Body are

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

looking for ways to save lives. This is a pretty clear one in my opinion. And I ask for a Yes vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 991 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the -- take the record. On the question, there 11 Yeas, 28 Nays. Senate Bill 991, having not -- having failed to receive the required constitutional majority, is declared failed. Senator Anderson, you -- you seek recognition? Please, Members of the Body, please. Senator Anderson.

SENATOR ANDERSON:

Point of personal privilege, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

State your point.

SENATOR ANDERSON:

Mr. President, Members of the Senate, today I have a very special guest. Maddie Hughes is my Page for the Day. She is eleven years old. She goes to Blessed Sacrament School here in Springfield. Her interests include fashion design, volleyball and tennis. A lot of you might know her dad, Matt Hughes. He's a very close friend to many of us and a -- and a lobbyist. Just happy to have her with me today. It is her first time to the Capitol and I would ask to give her a warm welcome. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Welcome to the Illinois Senate. Proceeding on, on page 25 of the regular Calendar is Senate Bill 1453. 1453. Senator McCann. Senator McCann. Continuing on page 26, Senate Bill 1607. Senator Bush. Senator Bush. Senate Bill 1607. On page 29, Senate Bill

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

-- oh! Okay. The Senator indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill, and I apologize. The Senator seeks leave of the Body -- Senator Bush seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 607 {sic} to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1607. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 3, offered by Senator Bush.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Bush.

SENATOR BUSH:

Mr. President, I would ask that we adopt the -- the amendment and I will explain it on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

All those in favor will -- is there any discussion? All those in favor will -- will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. Opinion of the Chair, the Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. There any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. Now on Senate Bill 1607, Senator Bush, and -- do you wish to proceed? The Senator indicates she wish -- she does. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1607.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Bush, to the bill.

SENATOR BUSH:

Thank you very much, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1607 requires all prescribers to -- to check the Prescription Monitoring Drug {sic} Program for a patient's controlled substance prescription history before prescribing Schedule II, III, IV, or V drugs, except in the case of oncology treatment or hospice care. A designee of the prescriber may check the PMP on their behalf. It also requires the Department of Human Services to adopt rules requiring the PMP to be implemented into existing electronic health care records by January 1 -- excuse me, 2021. I would ask for an Aye vote. I am happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? Senator Althoff.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Indicates she'll yield.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Senator Bush, this came through committee and I believe it passed out of committee unanimously, but we did have a conversation. The Illinois Medical Society, can you state their position for the Body?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Bush.

SENATOR BUSH:

Yes, I would be happy to. The Illinois Medical Society

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

remains opposed to the bill. They opposed the bill directly in committee. After committee, they -- we all know what this term means, they stopped working the bill. So they remain opposed, but it is not a bill they felt that they wanted to work against.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the -- Senator Bush, to close. Excuse me, there was lights. Leader Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Mr. President, thank you very much. Will the sponsor yield, please?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

She indicates she'll yield, sir.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you. Senator Bush, you and I have spoken about this bill. In fact -- a fact, that you and I, some weeks ago, had a meeting where we were talking about this bill and a piece of legislation that I was sponsoring on a related issue. Since then, you've adopted Amendment 3. What - and you just put it on the bill - what did -- what changes did Amendment 3 make?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Bush.

SENATOR BUSH:

Sorry, got to find the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Proceed.

SENATOR BUSH:

Thank you. That amendment was actually asked for by oncology and took out oncology from the bill - patients of oncology. They didn't need to check those prescriptions.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

So if -- if I understand that correctly, Senator, anyone who may be being treated by an oncologist -- any prescription that's written by an oncologist has been removed from the requirement that's in Senate Bill 1607. Is that anybody being treated by an oncologist?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Bush.

SENATOR BUSH:

No, actually it's in the course of oncology treatment. So they have to be in current treatment by an oncologist.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

So being treated by an oncologist, so -- now I want to take that a step further. If they're being treated by an oncologist and also a different kind of physician, as long as they're being treated by an oncologist, does the exception apply? Does it matter if there is another physician involved, who has a different specialty or is a general practitioner?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Bush.

SENATOR BUSH:

No. The general practitioner would have to check the prescription drug monitoring database. If -- but, can I just say, that's kind of what we're trying to address here. The problem is, and we all know, there's an opioid crisis in the United States,

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

and particularly in the State of Illinois and in many of the counties that we live in. The problem that we're trying to get at is exactly what you're talking about, which is maybe someone has a legitimate prescription that's out there. We're trying to make sure that when a doctor prescribes a class narcotic, it's very simple, go into the database, see if that patient is being prescribed in other -- by other physicians, whether that's across the State line or within the State. So that's one of the problems we're trying to solve here.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. And I appreciate the -- the -- the motivation, if you will, behind the bill. You and I have talked about that. So I -- I'm not -- I'm not sure I'm clear on the exception. So if they are being treated by an oncologist, any prescription that the oncologist writes is exempted or any prescription that is written to the patient if they are being treated by an oncologist is exempt? 'Cause those could be two very different things.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Bush.

SENATOR BUSH:

So I'd -- be happy to read to you. On page 2 of the actual legislation, "Before issuing a prescription for a Schedule II, III, IV, or V controlled substance, a prescriber or his or her designee shall access the Prescription Monitoring Program to determine compliance with this Section. A prescriber who prescribes a Schedule II, III, IV, or V controlled substance in

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

the course of oncology treatment, a condition associated with" -"a condition associated with oncology, or hospice care is exempt
from having to check the Prescription Monitoring Program prior to
prescribing the controlled substance."

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

So, what I understand you to read, Senator Bush, there is that if the prescription is written in the course of the oncology treatment, then that particular instance would be -- would be exempt. What -- and there are prescriptions out there, Senator Bush, that sometimes have more than one treatment effect. What if there -- what -- what if -- what if a physician -- and in the end, Senator Bush -- and let me explain why I'm asking these questions, is because in the end, we all go home and to one degree or another we're probably asked by our constituents, okay, what -- what does this mean or what am I supposed to do? And that's the point of my question. In fact, I was called by a physician yesterday about this bill, saying -- and they were aware of the amendment, but not sure what the amendment -- what effect the amendment might have. So if there is a prescription for a medication that might have more than one purpose or more than one effect, do they fall in or outside the exception?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Bush.

SENATOR BUSH:

I'm -- I'm sorry, Senator, maybe you could make that a little clearer for me. I'm not quite sure what your question was.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Senator Righter, the -- the lady didn't understand your... SENATOR RIGHTER:

I understand. I understand. There are many prescription medications, Senator, that have more than one effect. They might... Okay. So if a medication is prescribed by an oncologist that has to do with the oncology treatment but might have another purpose or effect, does that medication fall -- the prescribing of that medication fall in or outside the perimeters of the exception? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Bush.

SENATOR BUSH:

So I don't know what those would be, but let me be clear why I agreed to exclude oncology. These are patients that are being treated for cancer. Part of the treatment for cancer is to use these particular class narcotics. That's why I agreed to exclude them. I think we are, however, missing in talking about this and I'm happy to continue to answer -- and answer questions about this one specific, small instance. What we are trying to get at here -- here is doctor shopping. I've worked on -- on the bill. I have worked on -- been -- been part of the Lake County opioid task force from day one, nearly five years. My office attends every meeting. We know that this is one of the things we absolutely have to change. It's number one. It is their largest priority. And it's my largest priority in this arena. We have got to do something about the number of class narcotics that are being prescribed without adequate precaution and making sure -- we've got to be able to hold, frankly, the medical society accountable. If they are going to prescribe to people who are already being prescribed to, then I would say to you - for the medical society,

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

I've said it to them - it's indefensible and reprehensible that they don't want to do this every time they write a prescription. For me, it is just unconscionable. But I agreed to -- and frankly, if it meant that I'd get unanimous support for the bill, I'd take the amendment out. But I understand the argument. When someone is dying of cancer, that's a whole lot different than someone that's going in and doctor shopping for medication. That's what we're trying to prevent here, Senator Righter. I appreciate your questions and I know you want to dig into the weeds on this and I hear you. But I -- I just urge an Aye vote. I think that to pick this apart like that, we are doing such an injustice to the people that are becoming addicted to OxyContin, to drugs like that, and moving on to use heroin -- heroin. We know it's a reality. And there is no damn reason that you can't take a minute - because it's not part of the electronic monitoring -- excuse me, the electronics that they use now as doctors. They, God forbid, have to slip over to another tab and log into another system to check it. We are one of forty-nine states that has prescription drug monitoring. Our doctors should use it. And, frankly, every doctor that I've talked to does use it. And the ones that don't, we just need to require that they look at the -- the database of prescriptions that's out there -- that is out there before they prescribe.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

To the bill, if I might, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

To the bill.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you. Actually, Senator, I'm going to vote for your bill. I'm -- I'm -- I -- I'm not trying to pick it apart. I mean, I know it's the last week or so and we're all kind of hyped up here. I -- I'm not trying to pick it apart. My questions were designed to get clarity. One of the things that we tend to forget about and it's the -- I don't know what the Springfield equivalent of Potomac Fever is, but one of the things that we get afflicted with, particularly in the last couple weeks of Session, is we forget that the things that we pass and become law, our constituents have to live with, and before they can live with them, they got to understand what it means. That was the point of my questioning. Actually, I think the Senator is in the -- on the right -- in the right direction here. There is an issue out there. Now, the prescription monitoring system that -- that we're requiring medical practitioners to consult is not the easiest tool to use, and I hope that everyone would be willing to admit that. And perhaps, in terms of the opioid crisis, there are some that suggest that this shoots a little too broadly. I don't know about that or not, but I think that this bill has enough merit that we do need to move it over into the other Chamber and -- and continue to have conversations about it. So, with that, Mr. President, I will gladly urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Rose.

SENATOR ROSE:

A couple questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator indicates she will yield.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

SENATOR ROSE:

Thank you. First of all, to Senator Righter's question about what the functional equivalent of Potomac Fever is, it's the Sangamon Flu - but that's a different story altogether. Is -- is this a "may" or a "shall"? Because it looks to me that, in our analysis, the first version was a "shall" and now the amendment is a "may".

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Bush.

SENATOR BUSH:

It's a "shall".

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Rose.

SENATOR ROSE:

To be clear, though -- I'll -- I'll let her finish. Okay. So -- but they can -- designate the actual look-up authority to an assistant, an aide, a nurse. So the doctor's time is not taken doing this look-up. They can just hand it off to an assistant. They can - a med tech or whatever - look it up, make sure there isn't an issue. Is that accurate?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Bush.

SENATOR BUSH:

Yes, Senator Rose, that was actually part of the Heroin Crisis Act that allows for that to happen. You're absolutely right, someone in the office can look the information up with the permission of the doctor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Rose.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

SENATOR ROSE:

Then I have one follow-up question and then a comment, Mr. President. The follow-up question is, so let's assume someone comes back as having been a -- a habitual -- not -- I guess, not a prescriber, but they're on this list. Okay? What then? What steps are taken to help that person address their addiction? And what authority does the doctor have -- I mean, they would be violating medical confidentiality to turn them over to the police, I assume. But what authority does the doctor have to deny that prescription or otherwise?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Bush.

SENATOR BUSH:

So, thank you for the question. It's really not the point of this legislation. The point of this legislation is that we require a doctor to check the database before writing a script. And that's really all that we're doing with this, is requiring that they do that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Rose.

SENATOR ROSE:

Well, to the bill. I intend to vote for this, but I think that the question that's unanswered is the most important question. To be brutally honest here, we need to check the registry, but if we haven't answered the question of what happens when the registry comes back positive, that's the big what if. So, I mean, I assume we're leaving it up to medical ethics to address the situation, but - well, I'm getting back into the question, which I said I wouldn't do - but I think that, Senator Bush, needs to be the next

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

inquiry, because it's far more important that individual address their addiction, in my mind, and that's what we should be doing. So I intend to vote for the bill and thank you for your time, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator McCarter.

SENATOR McCARTER:

To -- to the bill. I agree with many of the things the previous speakers have said, but I think the one thing that we have to remember is, accountability is good. Is -- is this perfect? No. It could be -- I hope it gets better. I think we all hope it gets better. We hope -- we hope it gets better so it's more effective, not so we're right, but so it's effective, so that it produces results of saving lives. And so I think, as I've stated before, accountability is critical, whether it's us personally and who we know or through the -- the -- the medical profession. So I do hope it gets better, but I think this is a step in the right direction. Accountability is good. I urge -- I urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Bush, to close.

SENATOR BUSH:

Well, first, I want to apologize to Senator Righter for assuming he was all over me, because that's never happened before. So -- but -- but, truly, thank you for your honesty and your questions. I -- I urge an Aye vote. It's not perfect. You're absolutely right. Pharmacists don't want to have to be the ones that are checking the data on -- they don't want that accountability. There is a lot of work still to be done, but it

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

is a step in the right direction. And I thank you so much for the bipartisan support. And I just urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 1607 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On the question, there are 58 voting Ayes, no voting Nay, no Presents. Senate Bill 1607, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Jones, so what purpose do you rise, sir?

SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Mr. President. Purpose of a announcement.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Proceed, sir.

SENATOR JONES:

Morgan Park High School is located in the Morgan Park neighborhood in my legislative district, which I also share with Senator Cunningham. The boys' basketball team, the Mustangs - please stand - were the public league champions three times, Class AA. In addition, they were regional champions six consecutive years, from 2010 to 2016. Morgan Park also competes in the public league and is a member of the Illinois High School Association. Please join me in giving 'em a warm Senate welcoming.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Welcome to the Illinois Senate and congratulations. Senator Rose, what reason do you rise?

SENATOR ROSE:

Joining that personal privilege, if I may.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Sir.

SENATOR ROSE:

Ladies and Gentlemen, I appreciate the fact that Senator Jones has welcomed the team. I want to offer my congratulations. But to your athletic director up there, Mr. Berger, your in-laws in Moultrie County say hello and we're -- they're very proud of you. Congratulations to the whole team on behalf of Senator Bennett and myself that represent the University of Illinois. We hope you all come down to Champaign and play basketball. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Thank you, sir. Continuing on the Calendar, on page 29, we have Senate Bill 2021. Senator Hastings. Senator Hastings, do you wish to proceed? Gentleman indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2021.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Hastings.

SENATOR HASTINGS:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of -- of the Illinois Senate. Senate Bill 2021, as amended, increases the credit given to a defendant who is incarcerated for a bailable offense that did not post bail. The credit is increased from five dollars to thirty dollars. It's given upon the defendant's application. This bill also provides that the mandatory consecutive prison sentences shall not be imposed upon an individual charged with a felony when

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

he or she violates the terms of their electronic home monitoring while on pretrial release or out on bond, except upon a third or subsequent conviction, upon which a mandatory consecutive sentence shall be imposed. This bill is a combination of two bills, Senate Bill 1441 and Senate Bill 9 -- 903, that were passed out of this Chamber last month by a unanimous vote. I want to thank Senator Van Pelt for being a chief cosponsor on this bill and there are no known opponents. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing none, Senator Hastings, to close.

SENATOR HASTINGS:

I ask for an Aye vote, Mr. President. Thank you very much. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 2021 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 Yeas, no voting Nays, 2 Present. Senate Bill 2021, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. On resolution -- on page -- on page 52, we have Resolutions {sic} 212. Senator Hastings. You want -- wish to continue, sir? ...Hastings wishes to continue. Mr. Secretary, read the resolution.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 212, offered by Senator Hastings.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Hastings.

SENATOR HASTINGS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I want to read this resolution

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

because it's a historic resolution and it's very special to the State of Illinois and to our country. WHEREAS, Benjamin O. Davis Sr. was born on July 1st, 1877 in Washington, D.C. to Louis Davis, a servant to General John A. Logan and Henrietta Davis; WHERE {sic}, Benjamin Davis Sr. entered Howard University in 1897 and became a member of the black unity of the National Guard; WHEREAS, On July 13th, 1898, Benjamin Davis Sr. enlisted as a volunteer soldier in the 8th United States Volunteer Infantry during the Spanish-American War. On February 2nd, 1901, Benjamin Davis Sr. received a regular commission and was promoted to the rank of Second Lieutenant while serving in Troop M, the 9th Calvary {sic} in the Philippines. In 1902, Benjamin Davis Sr. had two -- two children, Olive and Benjamin Jr., with his wife, Elnora, who passed away shortly after giving birth to their second child. Benjamin Davis was then assigned to the Philippines as a supply officer as {sic} the 9th Calvary {sic} Camp -- Camp Stotsenburg; shortly thereafter, he married Sadie Overton, a teacher at Wilberforce University. He became a president -- a professor of military science and tactics at Wilberforce University, and later a professor of military science (and tactics) at the Tuskegee Institute. In 1924, he became an instructor of the 372nd Infantry of the Ohio National Guard in Cleveland; during the summers of 1930 to '33, he traveled in {sic} (to) Europe as the official Army representative for the Pilgrimage of War Mothers and Widows. Benjamin Davis Sr. was first -- given his first independent command in 1938 of the 369th National Guard Infantry Regiment. On October 25th of 1940, after forty-two years, forty-two years of service in the Army, Benjamin Davis Sr. became the first African American General in the United States Army with a promotion to Brigadier

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

General, where he served at the -- as a Brigade Commander at Fort Riley, Kansas - my first post in the military - as {sic} the 2nd Calvary {sic} Division. WHEREAS, Benjamin Davis Sr. was appointed to the Committee on Negro Troop Policies, where he helped solve racial problems within the military. Benjamin Davis was awarded the -- one of the highest military medals, the Distinguished Service Medal, for combatting segregation in the U.S. Armed Forces. Benjamin Davis Sr. served his country for fifty years, retiring in 1948, when -- he passed away on November 22nd -- or 26th of 1970 and is buried at Arlington National Cemetery. The VFW Post 311 in Richton Park was incorporated on August 2nd and it was named in honor of General Davis; and, be it RESOLVED BY THE SENATE OF THE 100TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that we honor the life and the legacy of General Benjamin O. Davis Sr. and that we declare August 2nd, 2017 as the General Benjamin O. Davis Sr. Day in the State of Illinois. Thank you, Mr. President. I ask for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Resolution 212 pass. All those in favor will say Aye. All opposed, Nay. In the opinion of the Chair, the Ayes have it, and the resolution is adopted. Senator Hastings.

SENATOR HASTINGS:

Point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

State your point, sir.

SENATOR HASTINGS:

I have two introductions today. First and foremost, I want

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

to introduce the All-American Post -- the VFW Post 311 in Richton Park. In order to become an All-American Post, you have to do a myriad of certain things that are special to the community and I just want to mention a few. They have their annual Stand Down event where over thousands -- three -- I think it's three thousand people come to get military supplies, immunization, medical health. It's an unbelievable post. We have the best bid whist team in the entire State of Illinois. And I want to thank their Commander, who is here today, Commander Bill Browne. Just want to give him a quick round of applause for all the service, and the men and women of VFW Post 311.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Welcome and thank you for your service.

SENATOR HASTINGS:

The second introduction, Mr. President, is extremely special. The resolution we have just passed in reference to Benjamin O. Davis Sr. Doug Melville, who stands next to me today, is the great-great-grandson of Benjamin O. Davis Sr. He flew in from New York today for this special day for his great-grandfather. The historic -- the historic part of his family is this: At West Point, we haven't built a barracks at West Point in over a hundred years. They went through names of generals who they can name a barracks after, that being General Norman Schwarzkopf, General Westmoreland. The list goes on and on, but the one name they picked was Benjamin O. Davis. And for the first time in a hundred years, they're going to build a new barracks. But, more importantly, what his great-grandfather had done and what his great-great-grandfather has accomplished in bringing people together across the State of Illinois and the country and what

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

they've done for the Armed Services of our country is unprecedented and remarkable. And the fact that he's here today and the fact that he's representing his family is truly special for the State of Illinois, because they came back to Illinois and that's what's important. And I just want to say thanks to the Davis family for their contribution and thanks to the men and women who serve our country on a day-to-day basis. Mr. President, I'd like to give him a warm Springfield welcome. Thank you very much.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Please welcome the Davis family to the Illinois Senate. Welcome, sir, and thank you for your service. Continuing, we'll go to page 53, down to Senate Joint Resolution 37. Senator Manar. Senator Manar, you wish to proceed? Mr. Secretary... The Senator wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Manar.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Manar.

SENATOR MANAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would move for the adoption of Floor Amendment 2 to the resolution.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

To the resolution. Mr. Secretary, read the resolution.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Joint Resolution 37, offered by Senator Manar.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Manar.

SENATOR MANAR:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. If I could have your attention for the purposes of Senate Joint Resolution 37. This resolution's purpose is to name Route 48 through Decatur in Macon County after one of the most remarkable citizens that the State of Illinois has ever seen in years, one of the most remarkable citizens that have run for public office, someone who overcame incredible obstacles, and throughout his life showed his love for his country as a veteran and his love for his city in Decatur and, in 1998, was the first African American individual in the State of Illinois to be elected to the Office of Sheriff, and that was in Macon County. I first met Roger Walker - and the Walker family is with us today - I first met Roger when I served as the Appropriations Director for a Senate President here, Emil Jones, who was good friends with Roger Walker. Mr. Walker at the time was serving as the Director of the Department of Corrections. I believe he was installed in that job for obvious reasons to many in Decatur that became very apparent to legislators and to State government when he took over what is undoubtedly a difficult task. He got that job because he was personable, because he was positive, because he could work with both sides of the aisle, and because he ran a pretty good operation in Macon County in the Sheriff's Department. So this resolution is intended to

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

recognize accomplishment on the part of this individual man for what he overcame in his life, for all of the things that led to his election as Sheriff, the first African American Sheriff in the State of Illinois in 1998, which is hard to believe. But I couldn't capture in words today all of the trials and tribulation that I probably can't even imagine what he had to go through to achieve that office. So I think it's appropriate that we memorialize him as an individual, as a public servant, as a veteran, as a -- graduate of Eisenhower High School in Decatur, as a former State official, and someone who has achieved -- and who had achieved much in his life and who was a true trailblazer in Illinois. I appreciate the fact that the family came to me and asked me to present this resolution and I would ask for the Chamber's adoption. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate -- of the Senate. I rise to give witness and honor to Roger Walker's achievement. While I didn't know Roger Walker personally, I know that his struggles and his sacrifice made my journey to the General Assembly much easier. So I join with my colleague, Senator Manar, on honoring the family of Roger Walker. He was indeed a trailblazer, an outstanding public servant, who displayed persistence and fortitude in the face of many obstacles and societal restraints and barriers of that time. So I would also urge all my colleagues to recognize his sacrifice and his service to the State.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Hunter.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

SENATOR HUNTER:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. To the resolution. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

To the resolution.

SENATOR HUNTER:

I knew the late Roger E. Walker when he was a sheriff as well as the Director of Department of Corrections and I also know the Walker family in Decatur and I've also had the pleasure of worshipping at their church in Decatur, the Main Street Church of the Living God, where the pastor is Overseer Thomas Walker, and his beautiful wife, Margaret. And these are some of the most loving, not only family members, but the entire congregation. I mean, when you first walk into the church, you can just feel their — their love when you first walk in, you know, and I just can't wait to get back there to worship with the family. And — and I'd like to also commend the sponsor for sponsoring this resolution, because Mr. Walker was a great man. So thank you very much and I urge an — an Aye vote from everyone.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Bivins.

SENATOR BIVINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the resolution. I had the honor of working with Roger Walker as a sheriff and he's everything that you said he was and is. And I can tell you something else, that — that the sheriffs loved him. He was a great guy. He was a lot of fun to be around. He was always happy and uplifting when you were around him. And it — I just always — any time I saw him, he was — he was always — always had a smile, and we really enjoyed him and appreciated his service and the service of his family for

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

allowing you to share him with us. We -- we thank you for that. So thank you and I urge a strong affirmative vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Thank you. Leader Clayborne.

SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I, too, met Director Walker, I guess around about 2003. I was actually speaking at a conference in Collinsville for blacks in law enforcement. And I finished the speech and -- and Director Walker came up to me and introduced himself and -- and he made me feel like I had known him for a long period of time, and he was a very, very personable man, who believed in people, who believed in his community. He had a passion for his job and he had a passion for making sure that there was fairness in the performances -- performance of his duties. I would also say that even during the time that Director Walker became ill, he was still a very proud man and made no excuses and did his job. I had a lot of respect for, and still have a lot of respect for, Director Walker and I, too, stand here to say I'm in support of Senate Joint Resolution 37. I urge and -- and -- that all my colleagues support this resolution as well. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Manar, to close.

SENATOR MANAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. Thank you to my colleagues in the Senate for offering their kind words to memorialize both this resolution and Roger Walker. In 1998, when Roger was elected Sheriff in Macon County, I was a young staffer here at the Senate. I had just started working here. I was assigned to the late Senator Vince Demuzio. I answered his phone. That was my job.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

And I recall hearing of Roger's election in Decatur as a young staffer, someone who was first getting involved in politics, and I recall reading for the first time in The State That Roger was the first African American in the entire State to be elected Sheriff. The power behind that, the meaning behind that, doesn't just belong with one man, even though he was a great man; it belongs with a lot of people that — that helped him to get to that point and beyond, and many of those people are here with us today and I know many are listening in Decatur that couldn't make it. But this resolution, I view it as a very small part of what we can do to help recognize the achievements of great people that are among us as fellow citizens in the State of Illinois. I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

As the resolution requires an expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote will be required. The question is, shall Senate Joint Resolution 37 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 58 voting Ayes, No voting Nays, 1 {sic} (0) Present. And Senate Joint Resolution 37, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. Senator - Manar, purpose for your rising.

SENATOR MANAR:

For a point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

State your point, sir.

SENATOR MANAR:

First of all, I would like to thank the Members of the Body

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

for adopting the resolution. Many individuals are here with us from Decatur that are pillars in the community, certainly pillars in the African American community in Decatur that I have the privilege of representing here in the Senate. I would like to introduce the -- the members of the Walker family that are here: Brother, Lee; sister, Hilda; nephews, Shalen and Corey. Corey is also the CEO and founder of the Decatur Black Chamber of Commerce, and I believe it was a conversation that we first had many months ago that have -- has led to the passage of this resolution. And then, finally, Roger's brother, Overseer Thomas Walker, who is beyond a pillar of the Decatur community, who was by my side on this Floor when I took my Oath of Office. I would just like to rise, recognize their efforts, recognize the efforts of their family, and give them a welcome to Springfield, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Family, will you please rise and receive, again, a welcome from the Illinois Senate? Going back to page 25. Senate Bill 1443. Senator McCann. Senator McCann, do you wish to proceed? He indicates he wish to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. ...bill will be a recall. Does -- Senator McCann wishes leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 1453 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading of Senate Bill 1453, Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator McCann.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator McCann.

SENATOR McCANN:

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Thank you, Mr. President. I would move for the adoption of the amendment and I would like to discuss it on 3rd, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1453. Senator, do you wish to proceed? The Senator indicates that he does. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1453.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator McCann, to the bill.

SENATOR McCANN:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Body. Senate Bill 1453 comes to me from a constituent. It's a constituent initiative and it harkens back to a program that we had at one time. It's a -- it calls upon CMS to develop and implement a supported employee employment program for -- for the disabled. We did away with that program about four years ago because we thought there weren't enough folks participating in it evidently at the time. But I've had several families, and one particular family who has taken the lead on this, come to me and draw to my attention

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

that there is a need for this. There is definitely a reason to have this program. I've -- I appreciate all of my cosponsors and everyone here who has worked with me all winter and spring to get this bill to where it's at. Appreciate labor working with me. They -- they played a great role in coming up with this amendment that -- that makes them a strong supporter. I believe CMS is -- is still opposed because they feel that right now there -- there's enough on their plate. But I believe that it is a worthy program. It's one that worked in the past and I believe it's one that can work in the future and I believe it's up to us to see that it does. So I would ask for your Aye votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1453 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On the question, there are 58 -- 58 Yeas, 1 not voting. Senate Bill 1453, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Turning to page 27, Senate Bill 1748. Senator Righter. Senator Righter. Senator Righter, do you wish to proceed, sir? Indicates he wishes to proceed. The Senator seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 1748 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1748. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Righter.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Righter, to the amendment.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Chamber. I would ask that Floor Amendment 1 be adopted.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any -- discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. Opinion of the Chair, the Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. Mr... Senator indicates he wish to proceed. Please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1748.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Chamber. Senate Bill 1748 is a compilation of five House bills that came over from the House of Representatives. The bills were a result of ideas that came from a hearing, I think that took place last fall in the City of Chicago, with regards to issues within the State's CILA system. They are Department of Human Services' initiatives. The amendments that have been placed on are also a result of work with the Illinois Association of Rehabilitative {sic} (Rehabilitation) Facilities, as well as Equip for Equality.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

I believe that those two entities, along with the Department of Human Services, are all in support. These are designed to tie down some loose ends with regards to safety and security of the residents in the CILA facilities. I'll be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1748 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 58 Yeas -- Ayes and no Nays -- Ayes and no Nays, 1 voting Present {sic} (not voting). Senate Bill 1748, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Regular Calendar -- turn to the page 41. Scratch that last request. We're going to Senate Joint Resolution 22. Senator Schimpf. Senator, Senate Joint -- Senate Joint Resolution 22? Mr. Secretary, read the resolution.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Joint Resolution 22, offered by Senator Schimpf.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Schimpf.

SENATOR SCHIMPF:

Thank you -- thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. I rise this afternoon to speak on Senate Joint Resolution 22, which seeks to name a portion of Illinois Route 3 near Chester after fallen Chester Police Officer James Brockmeyer, so I -- I would ask -- I would ask for your attention. Officer Brockmeyer was killed in the line of duty on October 28th, 2016, while protecting his community in pursuit of a suspect. Officer

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Brockmeyer was a key member of the Chester community. Prior to serving as a police officer, he worked for the city's public utilities department, and in addition to being a police officer, he concurrently served as a volunteer firefighter. This young man was one of our best and one of our brightest. He epitomized everything that is good about our first responders and his loss was a tragic blow, not only to his family, but also to the City of Chester, which is one of the great communities within the 58th Senate District and our State of Illinois. I can't think of a more fitting tribute to Officer Brockmeyer than to have a section of Illinois Route 3 from Water Street to State Street in Chester named as the "Officer James I. Brockmeyer Memorial Highway"; therefore, I respectfully ask for the adoption of Senator -- Senate Joint Resolution 22.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any questions? Is there any questions? Seeing none, Senator, to close?

SENATOR SCHIMPF:

Once again, I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

As the resolution requires expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote will be required. The question is, shall Senate Joint Resolution 22 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 58 voting Yeas, no voting Nays, 1 -- 1 not voting. Senate Joint Resolution 22, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. Senator Schimpf. SENATOR SCHIMPF:

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Thank you, Mr. President. Point of personal privilege. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

State your point, sir.

SENATOR SCHIMPF:

Thank you, again, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. I have the distinct honor today of being joined on the Senate Floor by some quests, and I -- I'd like you to -- I would like you to stand when I -- when I mention your name. I have the honor of being joined by Dixie and Don -- Don Brockmeyer, the -- the parents of fallen Officer Brockmeyer. I'm also joined on the Floor here today by Dale Brockmeyer, his uncle; and Kathy Bowen, his aunt; also by Chester Police Chief Ryan Coffey; and Representative Jerry Costello, who has provided tremendous assistance to the family and to the community of Chester when dealing with this tragedy. Additionally, in the -- in the gallery to my right, we are joined by representatives of the Chester Police Department. If you would -- if you would, please stand as well. The Chester Police Department, the City of Chester, the Randolph County Sheriff's Department, including Randolph County Sheriff Sharon {sic} (Shannon) Wolff, many other extended family members and friends. If you -- if you're joining us today to honor Officer Brockmeyer, I'd ask that you rise. At this time, Ladies and Gentlemen, I would ask that the Illinois Senate rise for a moment of silence to -- to honor fallen Officer Brockmeyer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Would all Members rise? (Moment of Silence observed) Thank you, sir, and thank you, family, for your -- your sacrifice to the State of Illinois. Appreciate you. Starting at the top of page 41. We start on top of the page, on the regular Calendar, of 41

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

on House Bill 3rd Reading, 2 -- 2909. House Bill 2909. Senator Morrison. Senator Morrison wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 2909.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Morrison.

SENATOR MORRISON:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill amends the Public Aid Code by exempting a person who had surgery and needs different eyeglasses from the adult Medicaid limit of new eyeglasses every two years. I know of no opposition. I would appreciate an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing none, does -- Senator Morrison, to close. Okay. The question is, shall House Bill 2909 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. All opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 voting Ayes, no Nays, and 6 not voting. On that question, House Bill 2909, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Morrison.

SENATOR MORRISON:

Mr. President, in my fervor for delivering a -- an -- an -- a speech on my bill, I forgot to vote for it. So, could I please be recorded as having the intention?

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

The record shall -- the record shall...

SENATOR MORRISON:

Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

...reflect that you wanted to vote Aye on 2910 {sic}. Okay, continuing down -- down the Calendar, House Bill 2910. We have Senator Nybo. Senator Nybo, on House Bill 2910. Gentleman indicates he wishes to proceed. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 2910.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Mr. -- Senator Nybo.

SENATOR NYBO:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is an initiative of the Cook County Public Guardian to amend the Juvenile Court Act of 1987 to allow courts to approve the placement of minors in out-of-state residential treatment centers upon application by DCFS when the court determines that the placement is in the best interest of the - of the child and is the least restrictive, most family-like setting for the minor. It also requires the Department -- the Department's application to include an explanation of a variety of factors in making such a placement. Would ask for the support of my colleagues. I'm happy to answer any questions. No opposition. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2910 pass. All those in

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Aye, no voting Nays, 3 not voting. House Bill 2910, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. On House... On House Bill 2950, Senator Van Pelt. Senator Van Pelt, on 2950. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 2950.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Van Pelt.

SENATOR VAN PELT:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 2950 requires that each school, charter school, or -- and non-public, non-sectarian elementary or secondary school to provide information periodically throughout the year to students concerning what to do if the student is being bullied and what resources are available. The bill also requires the school personnel to be available for help with a bully and also to make a report about bullying -- making a report about bullying shall be made known to parents or legal guardians and students -- guardians and students and school personnel. I urge your Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2950 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Take the record. On that question, there are 51 voting Yea, no voting Nays, 8 not voting. House Bill 2950, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 2957. Senator Mulroe. Senator Mulroe indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 2957.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Mulroe, to the bill.

SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. House Bill 2957 allows patients to synchronize their prescriptions so they are dispensed and picked up by the patient at the same time. I know of no opposition. I ask for your favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the -- the question is, shall House Bill 2957 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? On that question, there are 57 -- take the record. On that question, there are 57 voting Yea, no voting Nays, 2 not voting. House Bill 2957, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 2963. Leader Harmon. Leader Harmon. House Bill 2965. Senator Martinez. Senator Martinez, do you wish to proceed? The lady indicates she wish to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill. ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 2965.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Martinez, to the bill.

SENATOR MARTINEZ:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. House Bill 2965 amends the Residential Mortgage License Act of 1987 by requiring a licensee to accept payments in whole month payment amounts when a mortgage is in arrears more than one month. The payments are applied to the unpaid balance in the manner provided in the licensee's mortgage with their mortgagor. And I'll be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2965 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Yeas, no voting Nay, 3 not voting. House Bill 2965, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. On House Bill 2966, 2966 of the Senator Martinez. Senator Martinez, do you wish to... Excuse me, Althoff. Senator Althoff. Senator Althoff, do you wish to proceed? Right. A -- a good cosponsor. Yes. But -- but you can proceed, Senator. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 2966.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Senator Althoff.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. And, quite frankly, I was honored by the comparison, so it's just fine. House Bill 2966 amends the Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund. Makes two changes. It updates the qualification section for IMRF Board of Trustees to ensure that board candidates are vested, regardless of the tier in which they participate. And, number two, it corrects incorrect terminology referenced in the accelerated payment statute. These are basically technical changes. I know of no opposition and would appreciate an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

There -- is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2966 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that, House Bill 2966, having received the required constitutional majority, will be -- compared {sic} passed. Having received 57 Aye votes, no voting Nay, House Bill 29 -- 2966, having received those, is -- is considered passed. Okay. On House Bill 2973, Senator Althoff. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 2973.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator...

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Althoff.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Again, thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 2973 is very similar to a bill that passed out of the Senate unanimously. It amends the Department of Veterans Affairs Act and transfers the duties, information, and report responsibilities from the Illinois Discharged Servicemembers' {sic} (Servicemember) Task Force to the Illinois Veterans' Advisory Council. As a member of the Illinois Discharged Service {sic} Task Force, we were working very collaboratively with the other task force. Felt it would be more efficient if we actually combined the two together and created one. It's very efficient. I'd appreciate an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2973 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take that — take the record. On that question, there are 57 voting Yea, no Nays, 2 not voting. House Bill 2973, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. On House Bill 2976, 2976, Senator Lightford. She indicates she wish to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 2976.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Senator Lightford, on 2976.

SENATOR LIGHTFORD:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 2976 requires every private institution of higher education approved by the Illinois Student Assistance Commission for purposes of the Monetary Award Program to submit an annual two-page report on its voluntary supplier diversity program to the Department of Central Management Services. It also requires the Department to publish the results of the respective reports provided by each private institution and post the reports on its Internet website for five years after submission. This bill is identical to a bill that passed out of our Senate Higher Ed Committee with all Yes and O Noes. It is a initiative of the Supplier Diversity Coalition, the Federation of Women Contractors, and the Hispanic American Construction Industry Association. I'd be happy to answer questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing none, on that, the question is, shall House Bill 2976 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 voting Yeas, no voting Nay, 6 not voting. House Bill 2976, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 2987. House Bill 2987. Senator Hunter. Senator Hunter. House Bill 2989. House Bill 2989. Senator Raoul. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 2989.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Raoul, to the bill.

SENATOR RAOUL:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 2989 prohibits the Department of Corrections from limiting in-person visits to inmates because of the availability of video conferencing. It also requires the Department to issue a standard written policy on visitations for each institution and facility of the Department. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2989 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. House Bill 2989, having received 57 Yeas, no Nays, 2 not voting, it has received the required constitutional majority and declared passed. House Bill 2976 -- excuse me, 2993. House Bill 2993. Senator McGuire. Indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill. Recall. The Senator seeks leave of

Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

the Body to return House Bill 2993 to the Order of 2nd Reading.

Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 2993.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Yes. Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator McGuire.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator McGuire.

SENATOR McGUIRE:

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I move adoption of the amendment, which I will be happy to explain on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? All those in favor, vote Aye -- will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. On House Bill 2993, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 2993.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator McGuire.

SENATOR McGUIRE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill -- House Bill, excuse me, 2993, as amended, provides that school districts may serve students produce grown and harvested by students in school-owned or community gardens if the produce meets requirements adopted by rule by the Department of Public Health. I know of no opposition. Ask for your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2993 pass. All those in

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Yeas, no voting Nays, 4 not voting. House Bill 2993, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. With leave of the Body, we'll go back to House Bill 2987. Senator Hunter. Senator Hunter wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill. ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 2987.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. House Bill 2987 creates the State Agency Student Worker Opportunity Act and basically it provides that when a State agency has a job opening, that agency shall notify the Department of Human Services, Juvenile Justice, Department of Children and Family Services, who must take steps to notify qualified applicants of the opening. State agencies also are required to keep records regarding the number of applications received from the aforementioned agencies. And I would ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2987 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? On -- take the record. On that question, there are 57 voting Yea,

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

no voting Nays, 2 not voting. House Bill 2997 -- 87, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Continuing on page 41, at the bottom of the Calendar is House Bill 2995. Senator Tracy. Senator Tracy. She indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 2995.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Tracy.

SENATOR TRACY:

Thank you, Mr. President. This House bill was a -- a recommendation of the Department of Agriculture. It amends the Civil Administrative Code and repeals the Section that created the Agricultural Export Advisory Committee and makes conforming changes. It was a similar bill to one that I had previously passed here in the Senate. It -- actually this committee didn't meet much. Most of it expired in 2003 and that's why the Department is requesting it. So I would request an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Okay. Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2995 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Yeas, no voting — no voting Nays, 4 not voting. House Bill 2995, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Going to top of page 42. We're going to House Bill 3rd Readings,

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

2998. 2998. Senator Weaver. Senator Weaver, do you wish to proceed? Indicates he -- he will. Mr. Secretary, read the bill. ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 2998.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

...you, Mr. President. This is an agency proposal coming from Ag. It -- it amends the Diseased Animal {sic} (Animals) Act to remove the requirement for rules under the Act to be printed in pamphlet form and furnished to the public in pamphlet form. Now this can be done on the Internet, web-based. It's intended to address an audit finding where there'd been a -- a insufficient posting and this will solve that problem. I know of no opposition. We request a Yes vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2998 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Yeas, 1 voting Nay, and no voting Present, no -- Senate Bill 2998, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate {sic} Bill 3002. Senator Manar. Senator Manar. House Bill 3014. House Bill 3014. Senator Bush. Indicates she wishes to proceed. Please, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

House Bill 3014.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Bush. Senator -- Senator Bush seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 3014 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 3014. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Yes. Floor Amendment 1, offered by Senator Bush.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Bush.

SENATOR BUSH:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. I would ask for adoption of the amendment and I will explain it on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Senator Bush, are there any -- Mr. Secretary, are there any further amendments approved for consideration?

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

3rd Reading. On House Bill 3014, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3014.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Senator Bush.

SENATOR BUSH:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. This is the recommendation of the Illinois Environmental Council. The amendment retains House Bill 3014, as passed by the House, and it makes clear that, commencing on 7/1/2017, it is unlawful, unless authorized by a municipal or county ordinance, for any person to knowingly place into a container designated for collection by a residential hauler for processing at a recycling center: household sharps, certain plastic products, polystyrene, landscape waste, food scrap, and motor oil containers or other hazardous waste containers. I know of no objections and I would ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3014 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Yeas, no voting Nays, 4 not voting, 1 voting Present. On -- House Bill 29 -- 3014, having...(microphone cutoff)..., is then declared passed. Senator Bush.

SENATOR BUSH:

Mr. President, in honor of Senator Morrison and the incredible job that she does here, I decided to follow suit and not vote for my bill. So I would be reflected -- like to be reflected as a Yes on my last bill of which there were no objections to, instead of

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

opponents. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR TROTTER)

It will be shown -- so recorded in the record. Thank you. Senator Lightford in the Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Schimpf, on House Bill 3018. The gentleman indicates he wish to proceed. Please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3018.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Schimpf.

SENATOR SCHIMPF:

Thank you, Madam President and Members of the Senate. House Bill 3018 is an initiative of the Illinois Department of Veteran {sic} (Veterans') Affairs. This is the same bill as Senate Bill 1757, my bill that we passed unanimously. It amends statutory references to change Illinois Veterans' Commission to the Illinois Department of Veterans' Affairs. I know of no opposition to this bill and I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3018 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3018, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Schimpf, on House Bill 3032. The gentleman indicates he wish to proceed. Please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3032.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Schimpf.

SENATOR SCHIMPF:

Good afternoon, again, Madam President and Members of the Senate. House Bill 3032 creates the Military Economic Development Committee. This is not a new committee; instead, this is a reorganization and improvement of the Interagency Military Base Support and Economic Development Committee that already exists. This bill moves some administration of the committee out of DCEO and into the Office of the Lieutenant Governor. It adds more veterans to committee membership and allows for removal of nonparticipating committee members. I know of no opposition to this bill. I am happy to answer any questions that you may have and I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3032 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3032, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Rose, on House Bill 3033. Senator Rose. Senator Rose. Out of

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

the record. Senator McConchie, on House Bill 3045. The gentleman indicates he wish to proceed. Please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3045.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator McConchie.

SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Thank you, Madam Chair -- Madam President. This bill simply has the -- instructs the Secretary of State to start collecting email addresses. There is a random -- survey that the Secretary of State does for proof of liability coverage for insurance and this would just allow them to be able to do this electronically. I know of no opposition.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3045 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 voting Yea, 1 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3045, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Rezin, on House Bill 3048. The lady indicates she wishes to proceed. Please read the bill. ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3048.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Senator Rezin.

SENATOR REZIN:

Thank you, Madam Chair {sic}. House Bill 3048 simply abolishes the Illinois Low-Level Radioactive Waste Task Group, which no longer meets.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3048 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Yea, 1 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3048, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Harris, on House Bill 3058. The gentleman indicates he wish to proceed. Please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3058.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Harris.

SENATOR HARRIS:

Thank you, Madam President. House Bill 3058 requires the Department of Ag to review applications from entities in control of public ground requesting pest and disease inspections and allows the Department to decide to comply with the request, or not, as deemed appropriate. It also adds -- repeals a provision requiring a person to notify the Department of any nursery stock received from a foreign country and a subsequent inspection by the

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Department. There is no opposition and I request a favorable vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3058 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3058, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3059. Senator Bertino-Tarrant. The lady indicates she wish to proceed. Please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3059.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Bertino-Tarrant.

SENATOR BERTINO-TARRANT:

Thank you, Madam President. House Bill 3059 requires school districts to report average daily attendance figures for each grade level served.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3059 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3059, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3060.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Senator Martinez. She indicates she wish to proceed. Senator Martinez seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 3060 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 3060. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Yes. Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Martinez.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Martinez, on Floor Amendment 1.

SENATOR MARTINEZ:

I ask that the Senate recommend {sic} the amendment and I'll be happy to discuss it on 3rd Reading.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is House Bill 3060. The lady indicates she wishes to proceed. Please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3060.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Martinez.

SENATOR MARTINEZ:

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Thank you, Madam President. House Bill 3060 is a recommendation of the Chicago Coalition for the Homeless. It amends the Vital Records Act to require that specified fees for birth record searches or certified copies of birth records be waived for all requests made by homeless persons. It limits the number of -- of birth certificates issued to the homeless person annually, four -- four per year. And it also declares that anyone who knowingly or intentionally falsifies a verification is subject to a penalty of a hundred dollars. And I'll be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Senator Syverson, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR SYVERSON:

Thank you, Madam President. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

To the bill, Senator.

SENATOR SYVERSON:

I just want to thank the Senator for working with us and getting some clarification of some early concerns we had. With that clarification, I would urge our side to support this legislation. So thank you, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Further discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3060 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3060, having received the required constitutional majority, is

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

declared passed. House Bill 3072. Senator Hastings, on House Bill 3072. Senator Hastings, on House Bill 3072. Out of the record. Senator Harris, on House Bill 3081. The gentleman indicates he wish to proceed. Please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3081.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Harris.

SENATOR HARRIS:

Thank you, Madam President. House Bill 3081 provides that beginning July 1st, 2018, licenses issued to Type I and Type II meat and poultry processing and slaughter establishments shall not expire, provided the establishment remains in compliance with the provision of the Meat and Poultry Inspection Act.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3081 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3081, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen, please turn your Calendars to the top of page 43. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, Senator Connelly. The gentleman indicates he wish to proceed. Please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3084.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Connelly.

SENATOR CONNELLY:

Thank you, Madam President. House Bill 3084 is a technical cleanup to the Vehicle Code and Criminal Code. It's an initiative of the DuPage County State's Attorney's Office. I'm unaware of any opponents and I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3084 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Yea, 1 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3084, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Manar, on House Bill 3090. Gentleman indicates he wish to proceed. Please read the bill. ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3090.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Manar.

SENATOR MANAR:

Thank you, Mr. {sic} President. This is cleanup language from the Department of Agriculture. Allows them to make available in electronic format all recorded brands, rather than the requirement in current law to publish that information. I know of

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

no opposition to the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3090 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3090, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3091. Senator Manar. Out of the record. House Bill 3092. Senator Harris. Gentleman indicates he wishes to proceed. Please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3092.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Harris.

SENATOR HARRIS:

Thank you, Madam President. House Bill 3092 removes the requirement to -- for a respondent to file a verifying response to the human rights charge. Currently, respondent must submit a verified response within sixty days or risk the default and judgment against them. We had amendments earlier that deleted any opposition we had with the Attorney General and the others. And, at this time, there's no opposition. I request a favorable vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3092 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3092, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator -- Senator Anderson, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR ANDERSON:

Yes, Madam President, I missed the vote on Senate {sic} Bill 3090. I would like to be recorded as an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Record will reflect your intention, Senator. Senator McConchie, on House Bill 3093. The gentleman indicates he wish to proceed. Please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3093.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator McConchie.

SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Thank you, Madam President. This bill simply adds the definition of "hunting license" to allow for electronic copies to be considered. This is identical to legislation we've previously passed out of here. It's just the House version. I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3093 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

question, there are 55 voting Yea, 1 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3093, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Muñoz. Out of the record. House Bill 3106. Senator Mulroe. The gentleman indicates he wish to proceed. Please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3106.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Mulroe.

SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Madam President, Members of the Senate. House Bill 3106 provides that Illinois Supreme Court marshals are peace officers and have -- shall have all powers possessed by police officers and sheriffs. It also provides that no marshal may exercise police powers unless he or she has received training from the Illinois Law Enforcement Training Standards Board or obtained a waiver from training based on prior law enforcement experience. I know of no opponents. I'd ask for your Aye votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3106 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3106, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Anderson, on 3108. The gentleman indicates he wishes to proceed. Please read the bill.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3108.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Anderson.

SENATOR ANDERSON:

Thank you, Madam President, Members of the Senate. This bill comes to us -- it -- it -- it changes the revolving loan program and it ups the dollar amount for ambulances. Being on the fire department and a fire department that has a fire-based ambulance, these things get more and more expensive every year with the technology that we have coming forth. This changes it for -- from one hundred thousand dollars to two hundred thousand dollars. I know of no opposition and I would ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3108 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3108, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Steans, on House Bill 3110. The lady indicates she wish to proceed. Please read the bill. ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3110.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Senator Steans.

SENATOR STEANS:

Thank you, Madam President. This bill provides that any contract between a State agency and an authorized social service provider may be terminated, suspended, or reduced by either party for any reason upon thirty days written prior notice. If the State agency intends to suspend, terminate, or reduce the amount of one of the contracts for a particular program due to the failure of appropriation or reduction in funds, in at least forty-five days the State agency is required to notify the Governor and Legislative Leaders in writing of its intent. I don't know of any oppositions and I thank the Governor's Office and folks with social service agencies in working together on this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3110 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3110, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Bivins, on House Bill 3120. Gentleman indicates he wish to proceed. Please read the bill. ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3120.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Bivins.

SENATOR BIVINS:

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Thank you, Madam President. House Bill 3120 provides that if the Illinois Department of Labor ascertains the prevailing wage for a public body, then the public body can satisfy the newspaper publishing requirement by posting the Department's hyperlink detailing the prevailing wages on their website. This is identical to Senate Bill 1856, which passed the Senate 53 to 0. It's an initiative of the Park District Association. I know of no opposition and I'd ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Question is, shall -- excuse me, is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3120 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 voting Yea, 1 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3120, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Harris, on House Bill 3121. The gentleman indicates he wish to proceed. Please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3129 -- excuse me, House Bill 3121.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Harris.

SENATOR HARRIS:

House -- thank you, Madam President. House Bill 3121 stipulates that a person has sixty days after the issuance of notice from the Department of Ag to pay an inspection fee or monetary penalty resulting from an administrative hearing or

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

violation of the Weights and Measures Act. There is no opposition and I request a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3121 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3121, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Harris, on House Bill 3130. The gentleman indicates he wish to proceed. Please read the bill. ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3130.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Harris.

SENATOR HARRIS:

Thank you, Madam President. House Bill 3130 amends the Illinois Pesticide Act. Changes that a product registration fee per two-year registration period changes to every two years instead of the way it's currently done with every other year. So it just changes the penalty for late registration to a hundred dollars per product in addition to the regular product registration fee. There is no opposition and request a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3130 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3130, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Please turn your Calendars to the top of page 44. Top of page 44 on House Bills 3rd Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, House Bill 3rd Reading, with House Bill 3139. Senator Collins. The lady indicates she wishes to proceed. Please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3139.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Thank you, Madam President and Members of the Senate. House Bill 3139 replicates Senate Bill 1947 that passed out unanimously and basically what it does is it requires each school district, beginning January 1st, 2018, to collect and review chronic absence data and determine what systems of support and resources are needed to engage chronically absent students and their families to encourage daily attendance and promote success. I know of no opposition and I ask for your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3139 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

House Bill 3139, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Hunter, on House Bill 3143. The lady indicates she wishes to proceed. Please read the bill. ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3143.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

Thank you, Madam Chair -- Madam President. House Bill 3143 amends the State Prompt Payment Act by expanding the list of goods or services furnished to the State to include services concerning prevention, intervention, or treatment services and supports the {sic} (for) youth provided by a vendor by virtue of a contractual agreement. I know of no opposition and I would ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3143 pass. All those in -- all those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 35 voting Yea, 20 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3143, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Righter, my apologies. I didn't recognize your light until I was already into the call. Thanks. Senator Hunter, on House Bill 3157. The lady indicates she wish to proceed. Please read the bill. Senator Hunter seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

3157 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 3157. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Yes, Madam President. Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Hunter.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

I wish to adopt Floor Amendment 1 and I will explain it on 3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? There is one blinking light. Senator Righter. Can you please turn his light -- thank you. Seeing no discussion, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

...further amendments reported, Madam President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is House Bill 3157. Please read the lady's bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3157.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

Thank you, Madam President. House Bill -- House -- I'm sorry.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

House Bill 3157 amends -- well, the Floor amendment requires the Department of Public Health to provide an annual report to the General Assembly that identifies the locations of food deserts and -- and -- within the State and provides information about health issues associated with food deserts. And the Department may use federal reports to satisfy the bill's requirement. I know of no opposition and I would ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3157 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3157, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3161. Senator Bertino-Tarrant. The lady indicates she wishes to proceed. Please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3161.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Bertino-Tarrant.

SENATOR BERTINO-TARRANT:

Thank you, Madam President. House Bill 3161 requires the Department of Human Services to create and maintain a website to educate the public on heroin and prescription opioid abuse. The website will include such items as the warning signs of heroin and prescription addiction, helpful hints for parents on how to discuss

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

the dangers of the addictions with their children, available treatment options and services. It also adds provision to ensure we capture federal funding under the Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Grants. I know of no opposition.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3161 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3161, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Raoul, on House Bill 3165. The gentleman indicates he wishes to proceed. Please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3165.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

Thank you, Madam President. House Bill 3165 provides for training in restorative practices for the Department of Juvenile Justice. It also authorizes the Department to adopt rules and guidelines to implement the training.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3165 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3165, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Collins, on House Bill 3167. Senator Collins, on House Bill 3167. Out of the record. Senator Hunter, on House Bill 3168. The lady indicates she wish to proceed. Please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3168.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

Thank you, Madam President. House Bill 3168 allows minors subject to DCFS oversight due to being a delinquent minor under Article V of the -- of the Juvenile Court Act to have the same access to Department records and the same ability to participate in DCFS investigations as a minor subject to DCFS oversight due to abuse, neglect, or dependent minors. This is an initiative of the Cook County Public Guardian. I know of no opposition and I would ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3168 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3168, having received the required constitutional

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

majority, is declared passed. Senator McConchie, on House Bill 3169. The gentleman indicates he wishes to proceed. Please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3169.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator McConchie.

SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Thank you, Madam President. This bill's a initiative of DCFS. Simply changes references of -- on children from wards of the State to youth in care throughout the statute. I know of no opposition. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3169 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3169, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3172. Senator Tracy. The lady indicates she wishes to proceed. Please read the bill. ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3172.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Tracy.

SENATOR TRACY:

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Thank you, Madam President. I like that. Thank you. House Bill 3172 amends the Vehicle Code and it provides that semi-trucks be subject to a safety test at an official testing station at least every twelve months, rather than the present six months that's now required by law. And this bill is intended to save the truckers and the truck companies time and money by only requiring a test once a year instead of the current six-month requirement. I know of no opposition and I would certainly -- an Aye -- appreciate an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3172 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Yea, 1 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3172, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Link in the Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

House Bill 3179. Senator Clayborne. Senator Clayborne. House Bill 3188. Senator Fowler. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3188.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Fowler, on your bill.

SENATOR FOWLER:

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the House bill version of the Senate bill that previously passed. It simply repeals the David R. {sic} (A.) Wirsing Food Animal Institute Act. The Illinois Department of Agriculture supports repealing the David A. Wirsing Animal -- Food Act, as the Act has never been implemented. Know of no opposition. Ask for an Aye vote, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3188 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, 1 Nay, none voting Present. House Bill 3188, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3189. Senator Rezin. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3189.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rezin, on your bill.

SENATOR REZIN:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is your lucky day. This is another initiative of the Department of Ag. House Bill 3189 simply repeals the Specialty Farm Products Buyers Act. This is an unnecessary regulation and would create a cost savings. I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

House Bill 3189 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, 1 Nay, none voting Present. House Bill 3189, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3211. Senator Morrison. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3211.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Morrison, on your bill.

SENATOR MORRISON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. This bill requires that ISAC, the Illinois Student Assistance Commission, will identify college students who are potentially eligible to receive SNAP benefits. They will work in consultation with DHS to determine the factors to be used in that eligibility and will develop an electronic notice for higher ed institutions that include SNAP eligibility criteria. I know of no opposition. This is a wonderful bill. It will help some of our low-income students stay in school.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3211 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 50 Ayes, 1 Nay, 1 voting Present. House Bill

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

3211, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3212. Senator Trotter. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3212.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Trotter, on your bill.

SENATOR TROTTER:

Thank you very much, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. This is an initiative by the Chicago Coalition of {sic} (for) the Homeless. It amends the Emancipation of Minors Act by allowing - allowing a homeless minor between the ages of sixteen and eighteen to the -- consent in living in a transitional housing program without first being partially emancipated by the courts. There are no known opponents to this legislation to my knowledge and I ask for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3212 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3212, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3213. Senator Hutchinson. House Bill 3215. Senator Hunter. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3215.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hunter, on your bill.

SENATOR HUNTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. I hope I got the right version of it. House Bill 3215 requires school districts to make feminine hygiene products available, at no cost to students, in the bathroom in school -- and -- in the bathrooms of school buildings. This bill applies to every public school, charter schools, and Chicago Public Schools.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Tracy, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR TRACY:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates she will.

SENATOR TRACY:

Senator Hunter, is there -- is this what we would classify as an unfunded mandate on our schools?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

Yes, it is. It is a mandate.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Tracy.

SENATOR TRACY:

Has there been any thought to perhaps providing a pilot

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

program to -- to assess what the cost would be to a -- a particular school district?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

No.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Tracy.

SENATOR TRACY:

And has there been any discussion about maybe making this as a "may provide", rather than a "must provide" so that it is not an unfunded mandate on our school systems?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

We considered that, Senator, but decided against it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Tracy.

SENATOR TRACY:

And do we have a cost estimate of what this might be to our school systems?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

I don't have those numbers with me at this time, Senator, but I'll be more than happy to provide them with you -- for you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Tracy.

SENATOR TRACY:

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

And also, I'm -- I'm presuming that these would be in every restroom in every school in Illinois where the students are aged -- what ages? I'm sorry, I don't have it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

We're talking about ages six to twelve, Senator -- grades - grades - grades, high school. Well, junior high and high school. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Tracy.

SENATOR TRACY:

And are we to -- to understand that those public restrooms are open to the public at all times that the school is open, whether it be for a ballgame or a school conference?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

Yeah, I suppose so. Yeah.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Tracy.

SENATOR TRACY:

Thank you for those answers, Senator Hunter. And to the bill, if I may.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR TRACY:

I -- I certainly recognize and it's -- it's kind of a -- a - a sensitive subject since we want to help our -- our students have everything that they need while they're at school, but, again,

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

at this critical time in our State budget, I -- I think it's -it's very difficult to put further mandates. And I believe that the School Alliance {sic} (Statewide School Management Alliance) has tried to find some common ground that we could accommodate students who are in need of these products, but would not put them out for availability in every public restroom in every school in Illinois that serves ages -- grades six through twelve, because, you know, there again, they're open to the public. Think about if you have a school conference on a Saturday or you have multiple ballgames during the week, there's definitely a cost associated with this. And the School Alliance Management {sic} has estimated it could be in the neighborhood of thirteen million and that's why we were saying let's try a pilot project in some schools, see what it is. I think there was testimony in committee that schools that have tried this on a voluntary basis have found that there was huge waste and toilets being clogged, things being strapped to the walls. You know, it -- it's -- it is a serious subject that involves and impacts our school system and I just would hope that we could accommodate what you're trying -- the sponsor is trying to find a solution for in a -- a way that is not so mandated, that it's not so costly to a school system, that it could be in a place where a student could -- get free supplies when needed, but not make them available en masse to the public to be abused, to be stolen, to be taken inappropriately for their own private use in their homes. It -- it's something that we really have to think about when we -- we do a bill like this. And so, to that, I -- I -- I just think we need to do a -- a No vote at this point and urge the sponsor to work on this in a way that can accomplish what she is trying to accomplish, but that saves money for our schools

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

and is not another mandate that they are not prepared to take on and afford.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Holmes, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR HOLMES:

Thank you, Mr. President. Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates she will yield.

SENATOR HOLMES:

Yes. Senator, one of the reasons for you proposing this bill, I believe, and you may elaborate on this, are there students who literally miss days of school because they do not have access to these products?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

Yes, that is a fact, Senator. So many young ladies just simply cannot afford it and -- that time of month. Rather than go to school and be embarrassed, they stay at home.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Holmes.

SENATOR HOLMES:

Do -- do our schools provide paper towels when you need to wash your hands? Do our schools provide toilet paper, which, again, I suppose people could come in and steal toilet paper to take it home for their personal use? But I'm just asking, do we provide those necessary items for our students?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hunter.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

SENATOR HUNTER:

Yes, of course, we do, Senator Holmes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Holmes, to the bill.

SENATOR HOLMES:

I think it's absolutely ridiculous that we're having a discussion about this. I think this is something that is shown to be necessary. The fact that we provide toilet paper and towels, I think that providing sanitary protection to our young women who need access to that product in order to make their -- facilitating their education better and being able to go to school, I think it's important and I certainly would encourage an Aye vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Bush, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR BUSH:

To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR BUSH:

First of all, I commend the sponsor for bringing this forward and I would like to point out for maybe those that aren't aware, young women don't plan when they're going to get their period. This is something that happens, much like urination, much like the need to go to the washroom. You don't know when it's going to happen. And to think that we can't make sure that something that is an absolute necessity is not supplied to our young women in our schools is really absurd. For every woman here, I can guarantee you, every one of you has a story about the excessive toilet paper

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

that we grabbed and used to excess in order to maybe protect ourselves for a while. It's absurd. This is not going to be an incredible expense, because, frankly, most young women carry them with them. So they're not always going to use these. They'll have them for the most part. But these are for young women that when they get their period and they are in school, don't have to cram toilet paper in their underwear, don't have to, you know, put something behind them because they have blood on their pants. And to think that this is something that we can even argue is a mandate. Women deserve to be taken care of the same way those who urinate, which men and women both do, and - I'm sorry - and defecate. So, I urge an Aye vote and I know this - we're laughing, but this isn't funny. It really isn't funny. This is a serious issue and it's about damn time we took care of it. Thank you. I'd urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hunter, to close.

SENATOR HUNTER:

Thank you very much. I appreciate the comments from my colleagues. This is basically a -- a personal hygiene issue here, as well as dignity. And so many of our young ladies have had issues, and many of us have had these issues for a very, very long time and there was no one at school whom we could -- could really go to for these type of products. Some people suggested to keep them at the teacher's desk, some said at the principal's desk. Well, who wants to go down there? Once you go down there, everybody knows what you're doing, you know, so this is a personal dignity issue and I would just simply ask for an Aye vote. Thank you. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

The question is, shall House Bill 3215 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 34 Ayes, 16 Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3215, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3216. Senator Manar. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3216.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar, on your bill.

SENATOR MANAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill was debated in the Senate Labor Committee. It would provide that third-party contracts entered into by the State are permissible only when they are in the best interest of the State. The bill also sets forth nine different conditions to demonstrate what is in the best interest of the State. Be happy to take any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Any discussion? Senator McCarter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR McCARTER:

To the bill, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR McCARTER:

Ladies and Gentlemen, this -- we -- we had a long discussion

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

about this in the committee. The -- the problem with these conditions is they're not objective. They are very subjective. And one of them says that the savings shall be large enough. what's large enough - a dollar, ten dollars, a thousand dollars, ten thousand? What -- whatever -- who -- who knows what that is? The -- the other thing is this, it can't -- will not diminish the quality or quantity. How good is it? To what standard? what you think or what somebody else thinks? Is it what someone that's really kind of upset about their job situation, is it what they think? This -- these conditions are very subjective. And what it does is it takes away the Executive Branch's ability to manage. And so I understand that given the issue that -- the --Senator Manar, you had mentioned in the committee, about the nurses, that this was targeted towards that, or maybe to include that. Maybe it's just to include that. All right? Because maybe there was more to it than -- you know, you see this as a bigger problem than just the nurses. But this is -- and -- and the fact that the -- the fact that the Governor reversed that decision, to me means -- doesn't mean anything in this -- in this case. Because I still think whether it's a Republican Governor or a Democrat Governor, we have to give them the ability to manage and for them to determine what's in the best interest of the State. This ties the hands of the Executive Branch. I do not believe it's good for the State at any time under any Governor, whether they be Republican or Democrat. I urge a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rose, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR ROSE:

Couple questions of the sponsor, if I may.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will yield.

SENATOR ROSE:

Senator Manar, I do have some questions, because a lot of this is very subjective and the beauty is in the eye of the beholder. But let's start with one of these criterion here. "The potential economic advantage of a third-party contract is not outweighed by the public's interest in having a particular service performed directly by State employees" - as determined by who? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar.

SENATOR MANAR:

I'm not sure I understand your question, Senator. Could you point me to the passage in the bill that you just referenced? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rose.

SENATOR ROSE:

Page 2, line 26. One of the factors that -- a number of factors all must be satisfied, but one of the factors is "the potential economic advantage of a third-party contract is not outweighed by the public's interest in having a particular service performed directly by State employees". And so my question is simply, who makes that determination? How do you know what is -- what -- what's in the public's interest in having those functions performed by a State employee?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar.

SENATOR MANAR:

So, that's a good question and I think that's kind of the --

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

the basis of this bill and what this bill seeks to accomplish. I would say the initial determination would be on the part of the administration, whoever happens to be in office administration immediately, whoever is next, Democrat Republican. You know, this bill sets forth a framework and criteria that have to be met in order for a third-party contract to be entered into and that, of course, can always be challenged. So if an administration makes a determination that a third-party contract meets criteria number 8 in the bill, they'll have to defend that potentially or -- or not. So I think it's with any decision that's made by any Executive administration, there's always the potential for someone to challenge that decision and we have various processes to mitigate those disagreements.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rose.

SENATOR ROSE:

So they go to court. Is there some definition of the public's interest? I mean, is this just going to be left up to a judge to say what's in the judge to be -- what they think the public interest is to be? Because I think actually we're the ones elected to make the decision of what's in the public's interest, not the judges. So what's the -- what's the criterion that goes into deciding how you define "public's interest"?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar.

SENATOR MANAR:

I think that would be up to - in the particular instance you just gave - both sides to make their case. I could probably give

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

you my definition of what public interest is and what's in the best public interest. That might differ from yours. The point here is to say that maybe there is a predominant reason to have a third-party contract; it should just be in the public's best interest. That's -- that's what the bill says. I'll -- I'll give you an example of what may not be in the public's best interest. If a third-party contract is short-term in nature, that may on its face save money compared to what we spend for a particular service or a particular duty of State government, but the contract only lasts a couple years and has some dramatic escalator at the end of it that balloons the cost. That, I would argue, is not in the best interest of the taxpaying public in the State. But there probably are some circumstances where that makes sense. just saying in this bill that we should put it in the law, that -- that there should be some strict criteria for third-party contracts. You know, the -- the argument about whether this is subjective or not, I guess that just is in the eye of the reader of the bill. I think it's difficult to argue that this bill both hampers administrative ability to manage and is subjective at the same time. I think those two things -- those two points are in conflict with each other. It can't be both. So we're just saying you got to meet some criteria to have a third-party contract and those are some pretty basic things in this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rose.

SENATOR ROSE:

So, notwithstanding the answer you just gave, another one of your criterion, number 6 -- where you acknowledge that there might be times where a rate increase towards the end of the contract

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

would be in the public's interest, number 6 specifically disallows that from being considered, because all of these contracts -- all of these criterion must be met in order to go with a third-party vendor. But could you -- so you just gave an example and I think we can both think of plenty of examples of contracts that are not in the -- the public's best interest. But could you think of an example or would you agree that there might be a space where a certain contract might marginally diminish the quality or quantity, but the savings are so large that it's still worth doing it anyway?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar.

SENATOR MANAR:

There may be. I don't know what that would be. I mean, there may be. I think that would be so incredibly rare, it would be pretty close to nonexistent. So there -- there -- there may be a hypothetical that would be accurate with what you just described, Senator. I don't know what it would be. So I'm not going to say that's impossible, but I would also say that it is probably so rare it's almost impossible or nonexistent, certainly in terms of how State government interacts with third-party contractors to provide services to its taxpayers.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rose.

SENATOR ROSE:

To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR ROSE:

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

So, first of all, thank you, Senator Manar, for your answers to the questions, but I -- I think that's the problem with the drafting in this bill. All of these criteria must be satisfied and rather than get at the public interest, we're actually completely locking down the authority of any Governor to capture savings if anyone wants to challenge it and by the time they go to court with all the lawsuits, you'll never capture any savings. And while I appreciate the fact that -- that right now we have a Governor, I would simply say be careful what you wish for, because Governor's are going to change over time and this didn't bind anybody's hands the last decade, but now we're going to bind every future Governor's hands no matter where they come from. And I just -- I just don't know why you would put this kind of constraint. Clearly when you say all conditions must be met, it makes it impossible to do virtually anything. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Oberweis, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

First of all, I want to agree with Senator Rose. This is an incredibly subjective bill and it interferes with good management techniques, but then it gets worse. In committee, we discussed this possibility: Supposing one company in Illinois competes for the contract and they would have nine hundred Illinois workers; another company is based in New York, but has an Illinois office at which they would use and employ a thousand workers in Illinois,

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

although it would add one management person or a human resources person in their home State of New York. Barred - that was the answer in committee, because it has one non-Illinois, one out-ofstate job is created. But then it gets worse from there. about what this causes in terms of retribution. This is like declaring war on other states and saying we are not going to allow a contract -- or allow a company in Indiana or in Wisconsin to compete in Illinois. Guess what? The logical thing is for Indiana and Wisconsin to enact similar legislation so that Illinois companies are prohibited from competing in other states. This is very much like trade wars. We enact tariffs and duties on goods produced in other countries. Guess what? They then enact duties and tariffs on goods produced here and we can't ship to them. Bad for both countries. This bill would be bad for Illinois and bad for any other states that get involved in such a war.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar, to close.

SENATOR MANAR:

Well, I -- I would like to thank the previous speaker for justifying the necessity of this bill. We passed this bill once before. I would ask the Chamber to support it again. This is pretty simple. We're not saying that -- that the State cannot enter into third-party contracting agreements with vendors that do business in the State of Illinois. We're just putting forward nine simple criteria that they have to meet, some of which are that they meet standards for hiring veterans. That ought to be the law in this State. If you're going get a contract with the State of Illinois, you ought to uphold and embrace hiring of veterans. You should hire Illinois workers. You shouldn't farm

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

your work out to people in other states. That's something I think we can all agree on. That's something that we need. If we're going to spend thirty-seven billion dollars in a budget, a large chunk of which goes to vendors, we ought to make sure that they hire people in Illinois. And -- and, finally, this one's probably a little more sensitive because the last time we debated this bill the Governor hadn't changed his mind about the nursing contract. But this bill, had it been in place, would have prevented that -- all of that angst that happened to individuals in the State. A hundred and twenty-four people went through that entire episode only to have the Governor flip flop his position for the very reason that we're offering this bill today. I ask for an Aye vote, Mr. President. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall House Bill 3216 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 37 Ayes, 19 Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3216, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3222. Senator Harmon. Senator Harmon seeks leave of the Body to return Senate -- House Bill 3232 -- 3222 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Seeing no objection, leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 3222. Mr. Secretary, have there been any amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Harmon.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon, on your amendment.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is House Bill -- 3222. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3222.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon, on your bill.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 3222 is a modernization of the Administrative Procedure Act, as it relates to the interaction between the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules and the agencies that promulgate those rules. I'd be happy to entertain any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Nybo, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR NYBO:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield?

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will.

SENATOR NYBO:

Leader, can you give us a little bit more explanation? You -- you referred to this as a modernization of the rulemaking process. Can you explain to me what we're modernizing?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Thank you for the question, Senator. There are four major components of the bill. First, there is an existing requirement that the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules evaluate agency rules every five years. That is not practical and has not happened. Instead, we're permitting JCAR to evaluate rules at its discretion when necessary. We are removing language that some agencies were interpreting as allowing them to promulgate policy not in rule, but in forms. We are prohibiting agencies from utilizing emergency rulemaking power in situations where the emergency is created by the agency and would otherwise be avoidable. And, finally, we're asking an agency—or requiring an agency to appear before the Joint Committee if it has not promulgated rules on first notice in a timely fashion, as required or implied by statute.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Nybo.

SENATOR NYBO:

Leader, your use of the term modernization suggests that -that our current rulemaking process may be antiquated or not in
step with what other states are doing, so can you tell me if this

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

proposal is adopted -- let me ask you this -- this question, what other states have recently done this or -- or, you know, how is what we're doing right now antiquated and not consistent with what the more modern or current, you know, form of rulemaking process operates?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator -- Senator, we are responding to real problems we have had in the administrative rule process here in Illinois. This is not adopting best practices from other states. It is responding to very particular, albeit small, problems that we have encountered in the administrative rulemaking process.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Nybo.

SENATOR NYBO:

Leader, that seems to be my recollection of what you discussed when you presented this bill at committee. And I know it's late in the day and many of us are tired, and -- and, lo and behold, we've got a -- a JCAR bill in front of us. But I -- I think it's important to focus in on this. So, you -- you said that there has been problems with the emergency rulemaking process. Can -- can you give me an example of what you would consider to be a -- a -- a problem or an abuse of the emergency rulemaking process?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

Certainly. Thank you, Mr. President. Yes, let -- let me

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

give you just an example and this is -- I will retract my use of the word modernization if that gives you some comfort. We are -- we are responding to historical defects in the rulemaking process and the interaction between agencies and the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules. So, for instance, we pass a statute. Governor signs it into law. It requires an agency to promulgate rules. It sets a deadline for promulgating those rules. The agency realizes, after eleven months, that they have one -- one month to promulgate these rules and so they say, we're going to use emergency rulemaking, which should be done in more extraordinary circumstances to respond to a real emergency, not one caused by the delay or negligence of the agency.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Nybo.

SENATOR NYBO:

Leader, I -- I -- I appreciate that hypothetical situation that you just outlined for us, but the -- the -- I'd like to go back to the question that I -- I asked, which was, can you give me one real example of -- of where abuses occurred in your opinion or -- or some situation, some -- we know -- what's an example of a problem that we're trying to correct? Where has this happened? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. The Joint Committee on Administrative Rules promulgates an annual report. I don't have it here with me on the Floor. I'd be happy to share a copy with you. My recollection is, it outlines several circumstances that would fit this description.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Nybo.

SENATOR NYBO:

I appreciate that; however, you -- you'd have to agree that if this was such an egregious problem, any of us would be able to point to at least one situation that we're trying to correct. Right? I mean, if it was such a big problem, we'd -- we would know about it. Right?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Nybo, let me remind you, we are talking about the saucy subject of administrative rulemaking in JCAR. There is nothing exciting about this. This is simply a balance of powers issue between the -- or the -- the Executive Branch exercising legislative power through rulemaking and the Legislative Branch's retention of some control over that delegated power. There is nothing sexy here. These are ministerial and mundane things ninety-nine times out of one hundred. That doesn't mean it's unimportant that the Executive Branch exercise this delegated legislative authority consistent with the delegation that we have enacted by law.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Nybo.

SENATOR NYBO:

Leader, I agree. I mean, this is definitely not sexy, but my opinion is, I'm not even sure there's a problem here. So, at -- at the committee, we discussed the frequency with which emergency rulemaking has been undertaken and -- and we zeroed in on the years

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

2012 through 2016, and -- and I'm not going to go through all the numbers, but my recollection is that, in 2015, emergency rulemaking was exercised approximately twenty times, and in 2016, it was exercised approximately the same amount of times, twenty instances. But that, in 2014, it was nearly triple the rate, that there were sixty-four instances of -- of emergency rulemaking in -- in 2014. Now you don't -- you don't dispute those numbers do you?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I don't dispute the numbers. I think that you are right in proportion. I don't have them in front of me. I know the phenomenon you're describing. Two observations: One, I know that you don't serve on JCAR. I would encourage you to consult with some of your colleagues who do to get a better appreciation for how this works. The anomaly you're pointing to, though, illustrates one example of emergency rulemaking, where we, as a General Assembly, expressly delegate emergency rulemaking to an agency for a reason, and the spike you're referring to, if I recall correctly, was in response to statutory direction in the SMART Act that told the -- the agency to use emergency rulemaking to effectuate the changes we directed by statute. So, in that case, it was not an abuse; it was -- it was in direct conformity with the statute that we passed. I also understand that as we talk about years, we implicitly talk about who was in the Governor's Office at that time, and I know that that's of some concern to you, based on our conversation in committee. emphasize, my view of JCAR is that it is a -- a -- a legislative

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

prerogative and one that we need to defend no matter who the Governor is, no matter what political party the Governor holds. This is not about the current Governor. There were abuses of past Governors. And, as I said in committee and will say again here on the Floor, I am proud that JCAR resisted in a bipartisan fashion overreaches by the Executive, and in those cases, it was a Democratic Governor and Democrats on JCAR said, no, you are overreaching. I — it is my sincere hope that the current Joint Committee on Administrative Rules follows that same pattern.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Nybo.

SENATOR NYBO:

To the bill, Mr. President, and I -- I appreciate...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR NYBO:

...your -- your indulgence with the questions there. Leader, we've been discussing this -- this hypothetical problem with emergency rulemaking, and -- and yet, in our conversation and in the discussion of this bill, we -- we don't have one single example that we can point to as abuse right now, I mean during the conversation. I maintain, and -- and I think that the -- the only reasonable conclusion is, there is not abuse of emergency rulemaking taking place. If anything, what we've seen over the last two years is -- is a reduction in the use of emergency rulemaking process. And -- and -- and any reasonable person could only conclude that the timing here is suspicious. For the last two years, we've had one-third the amount of emergency rulemaking process going on, being exercised, and yet, all of a sudden, this

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

is a problem. It's not a problem. Now, here's what I see as a problem and I see it with this bill and I see it with the bill that we just passed, is you're not the Governor, I'm not the Governor, and nobody else here in the room is the Governor, yet what we're attempting to do is be the Governor. We're trying to restrict his ability to -- to -- to enter third-party contracts and now we're trying to restrict his ability to allow agencies to engage in emergency rulemaking process. That's not our job. Our job is to oversee, our job is to legislate, but our job is not to serve as the Governor. And this is yet another example where, because of who's in that office and the fact that we might disagree with some of the decisions that are being made, we're attempting to play the role of the Governor. You want to talk about a dangerous precedent? This is a dangerous precedent. I'd encourage the Members to vote No. And -- and, Leader, I'd encourage the Members to vote No whether it was a Governor from your party or from my party.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rezin, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR REZIN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Question for the sponsor, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will yield.

SENATOR REZIN:

Thank you, Leader Harmon. I have one question for you. I did sit on JCAR a couple years ago and you are correct; there is a huge learning curve in this all-exciting committee that we sit -- sat on dealing with administrative rule. But does JCAR have the ability to accept or deny requests for -- to use emergency

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

rule by the agencies?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

I'm not sure that I understand the question. Let me try to answer it, and if I don't, you can ask it again. Agencies have an implicit right to use emergency rulemaking in emergencies. The Joint Committee on Administrative Rules can, by a supermajority vote, suspend emergency rules while they are pending before the committee. There is no request in advance to use the rulemaking authority. There is simply a statutory reaction JCAR is permitted to take.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rezin.

SENATOR REZIN:

...you. I -- and again, to the same question, I do recall, though -- I thought that the agencies would put a request in asking for emergency rule, then we, as a committee, would decide whether we would accept or deny the use of the emergency rule, given the situation from the agency head, having had the discussion with the staff of JCAR as to why they want to use the emergency ruling.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

I have absolutely no idea what you're talking about, Senator. There -- there is no -- there is no request for emergency rulemaking. It either exists in statute or it is an implied power of the agency to respond to a legitimate emergency and promulgate emergency rules, then JCAR can suspend, if we determine that it's

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

not an emergency.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rezin. Senator Mulroe, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. I have a couple questions for legislative intent.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Sponsor indicates he will yield.

SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you. Senator Harmon, what situations is this legislation in House Bill 3222 intended to address?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator, the Senate amendments to House Bill 3222 are intended to address those instances, one, where the statute expressly states that a rulemaking is to occur by a specific date or, two, where there is — where it is clear that a rulemaking will be required to implement the substantive provisions of the statute. The amendments are not intended to address those situations where there is a genuine legal question regarding whether a rulemaking is necessary.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Mulroe.

SENATOR MULROE:

And one more question. Thank you for your answer. What would happen in a situation in which there is a genuine legal question regarding whether a rulemaking is necessary?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Senator Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. In those instances where there is a legal question regarding the necessity of a rulemaking and the agency has consulted with the Attorney General, for example, and the agency or Attorney General has provided an analysis or explanation concluding that a rulemaking is not necessary under or contemplated by the statute, then it may be necessary to amend the statute to address the question and clarify the rulemaking issue. In such an instance, agency representatives would not be required to appear before JCAR. For purposes of legislative intent, an agency that has a genuine legal question regarding the necessity of undertaking a rulemaking, has raised that legal question with JCAR, and has provided a legal explanation or analysis to JCAR on the issue on whether the rulemaking is required, would not be required to appear before JCAR under this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon, to close.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I think much more has been made of this bill than is necessary. To one of the prior speakers, my failure to be able to identify a specific instance of abuse of emergency rulemaking is a direct result of my failure to prepare for a question I did not anticipate. Every month, we have a -- a -- a binder of legislative -- or of administrative rules that are promulgated, including emergency rules. I would be happy to share those with you and you could see for yourself the times that those are done. I -- I would also invite anyone to attend a JCAR meeting, because it is -- it is clear to me that most Members don't

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

understand what it is that the JCAR does. And I will close with this, I need to emphasize, the Executive Branch has no rulemaking authority except that which is given to it by us in the General Assembly. It is a delegation of legislative power and JCAR serves as an essential check on that delegation of power. This is not about Democrats versus Republicans. This is not about this Governor or that Governor. This is about the Legislature protecting its domain. And I would advance this bill in these circumstances regardless of who the Governor was, and I will point out, again, JCAR's proud history of standing up to Governors, Democrats and Republicans alike, when they overreach. I ask for your Aye votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall House Bill 3222 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 36 Ayes, 21 Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3222, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3234. Senator Althoff. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3234.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Althoff, on your bill.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. House Bill 3234 modernizes -- updates the State Historical Library Act to reflect

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

current preservation practices of historical records. We've passed this legislation in the Senate twice before. It always got hooked up into the -- House. We started it this Session in the House. It's now come back to the Senate. Hopefully, this is the last time this Body will see this legislation. I'd ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3234 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, 2 Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3234, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3251. Senator Tom Cullerton. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3251.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cullerton, on your bill.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the General Assembly. House Bill 3251 expands the offense of cyberstalking to -- to include a person who, knowingly, surreptitiously, and without lawful justification, installs or places electronic monitoring software or spyware on a cell phone, computer, or other device. I know of no opposition. I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3251 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there is 57 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3251, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3255. Senator Tracy. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3255.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Tracy, on your bill.

SENATOR TRACY:

...you -- thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 3255 is an initiative of the Board of Higher Education and it provides a statutory cleanup for the Board's Act regarding outdated programs, membership requirements, committees, task forces, and other language that has since become obsolete. And I would ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3255 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, 1 Nay, none voting Present. House Bill 3255, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3261. Senator Weaver. Mr.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Secretary, please read the bill. Senator Weaver seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 3261 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 3261. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Weaver.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Weaver, on your amendment.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Move for adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is House Bill 3261. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3261.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Weaver, on your bill.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. You're going to like this bill so

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

much that even Senator Clayborne's going to vote for this one. We're pretty certain. I know he's going to like it. In fact, this bill sets a record for -- I hear from Senator Tom Cullerton, came out of committee in forty-five seconds. That was a record yesterday. I want to thank -- thank Senator Hastings for helping us put it together. And this bill provides that, the purpose of veterans' preference, members of the Illinois National Guard or a reserve component of the United States Armed Forces are considered veterans if they served a minimum of four years in that role. There's no opposition. We request an Aye vote and I thank you very much.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Schimpf, for what -- what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR SCHIMPF:

To the -- to the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR SCHIMPF:

Yes, I'd like to just thank the -- thank the -- the sponsor for the work that -- that he did to make this bill a lot better. I would urge an Aye -- an Aye vote on this as well.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Seeing no further discussion, the question is, shall House Bill 3261 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3261, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

passed. House Bill 3272. Senator McConchie. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3272.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McConchie, on your bill.

SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is identical to a previous bill that we passed out of the Senate unanimously. It just states that lake trout, salmon, and lake whitefish cannot be taken using commercial fishing devices. I know of no opposition.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3272 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no -- 1 Nay, none voting Present. House Bill 3272, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3273. Senator McConchie. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3273.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McConchie, on your bill.

SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is also identical to a

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

previous Senate bill that passed out unanimously. Cleans up language in the Code regarding commercial fishing licenses. There will be five licenses still available, but DNR shall now advertise a public drawing for which qualified -- applicants would be able to determine their ranking on the waiting list for unfilled licenses. I know of no opposition.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3273 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there's 52 Ayes, 1 Nay, none voting Present. House Bill 3273, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3282. Senator Nybo. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3282.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Nybo.

SENATOR NYBO:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is an initiative of the Community Bankers. And this will provide that if a financial institution transfers any data from its records to an independent data process servicer, then the data will always remain the property of the financial institution and not the third-party vendor. In other words, the -- the data -- independent data processor will only have temporary control of the data for purposes

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

of performing the contracted services. There is no opposition that I'm aware of on this bill and I'd be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Could we please keep the noise down a little bit on the Floor? Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3282 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3282, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3298. Senator Manar. House Bill 3322. Senator Althoff. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3322.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Althoff. Can we keep the noise down, please, a little bit, so we could hear these bills? Thank you.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 3322 brings Illinois in accordance with national terminology, duties, and standards for surveying. It was drafted by the Illinois Professional Land Surveyors Association, negotiated with the Department. I know of no objection and I'd ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3322 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed,

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 52 Ayes, 1 Nay, none voting Present. House Bill 3322, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill -- House Bill 3325. Senator Connelly. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3325.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Connelly, on your bill.

SENATOR CONNELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. House Bill 3325 amends the Public Water District Act to provide that a general manager of a public water district may be discharged at a meeting upon a majority vote of the members present. Current law requires a unanimous vote. I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3325 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. Senator Righter, a little late light. I'll give it. It's a late light when I say "Is there any discussion?" and I see no lights on; that means there's no discussion. But I will give you a break on this one. So, Senator Righter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Mr. President, I appreciate that. I pressed my button when Senator Connelly started talking, so if there's a technician in

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

the room, maybe we should have him -- bring him here. That's when I pressed my button. 'Cause I'm really, really excited, after just having been lifted out of the medically induced coma that was the JCAR debate, to have a conversation about public water districts. So I have a question for the sponsor, if I might, Mr. President. Thank you, again, for recognizing my late light.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

I think he will yield.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you. Senator Connelly, as you and I talked previously, the policy that is that water district boards of trustees need to have a unanimous vote in order to discharge a manager has been in place since 1945. So I would respectfully ask that you offer up a two-part answer to this question, Senator Connelly. First, what led to the requirement of a unanimous vote back in 1945? And, two, why you think seventy-two years later you think it's appropriate to dislodge it? Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Connelly.

SENATOR CONNELLY:

Well, thank you, Senator Righter. It's a great question. It's two parts. I can't answer the first part, because I think the only person left in the Chamber who was around in 1945 is Dave Syverson and he's not here to answer that question. Secondarily, I have no -- idea why it hadn't happened, but what happens is you require a unanimous vote of a board and what has happened in some parts of the State is they'll just -- they will ask one member to -- to essentially not show up for the meeting and that person then therefore has control of the board. This simply requires a

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

unanimous {sic} vote. I appreciate the levity this late in the afternoon and I'd ask for a Yes vote. I think I answered your question, Senator. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion on this great bill? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3325 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3325, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3342. Senator Raoul. Out of the record. House Bill 3359. Senator Hastings. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3359.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hastings, on your bill.

SENATOR HASTINGS:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 3359 amends the Forcible Entry and Detainer Article, Code of the Civil Procedure {sic} (Code of Civil Procedure) by changing references to forcible entry and detainer actions and actions for possession to eviction actions. This bill makes corresponding changes to the relevant statutes. I'll answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3359 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed,

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3359, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3368. Senator Trotter. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3368.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Trotter, on your bill.

SENATOR TROTTER:

Thank you very much, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. House Bill 3368 adds a new Section to the School Code requiring the school {sic} (State) Board of Education to post resources regarding the teaching of entrepreneurial skills for use by school districts with secondary schools. ISBE shall gather input from business groups and universities when developing the list of resources. To my knowledge, there are no opponents to the bill. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3368 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3368, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3369. Senator Van Pelt. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3369.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Van Pelt, on your bill.

SENATOR VAN PELT:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 3369 requires the State Board of Education to post resources regarding the teaching of high-skilled manufacturing, to be used in high schools and also in vocational education programs. I urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3369 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3369, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3394. Senator Morrison. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3394.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Morrison, on your bill.

SENATOR MORRISON:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill puts into statute, which is already current practice, that when DCFS has an investigation

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

of an employment position in a school, that the district may choose to remove a school employee who is the subject of that investigation. It also clarifies that all employment decisions regarding school personnel shall be the sole responsibility of the school district or employer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3394 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3394, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3396. Senator Rezin. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3396.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rezin, on your bill.

SENATOR REZIN:

Thank you, again, Mr. President. House Bill 3396 simply allows a city to sell their wholesale power into the market.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3396 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Bill 3396, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3400. Senator Althoff. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3400.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Althoff, on your bill.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 3400 limits public utilities to back billing of water and waste water utilities to twelve months for residential customers and twenty-four months for nonresidential customers. The nonresidential portion is in uniformity to what already exists through JCAR rules by the ICC. We're just codifying.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Anderson, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR ANDERSON:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

She indicates she will yield.

SENATOR ANDERSON:

Senator, is this your first bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Althoff, if you want to answer.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

It is my first House bill dealing with water this Session.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Anderson.

SENATOR ANDERSON:

Your first bill after 4:25.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

I -- I don't think that was a question. Is there any further discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3400 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 3400, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3419. Senator Martinez. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3419.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Martinez, on your bill.

SENATOR MARTINEZ:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. House Bill 3419 prohibits expatriate entities or -- unitary business groups in which an expatriate {sic} (expatriated) entity is -- is a member from submitting bids or entering into contracts with State agencies unless the -- the contract is awarded as a sole source procurement or the purchase of pharmaceutical products, drugs, biologics, vaccine, medical supplies, or devices used to provide medical, health (care) or treat disease or used in medical or

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

research diagnostic tests, and medical nutritional {sic} (nutritionals) regulated by the Food and Drug Administration. It also prohibits the State from doing business with or investing in expatriate entities that reincorporates in foreign countries or - in an effort to avoid paying State and federal corporate income tax. And it requires the Illinois Investment Policy Board to identify expatriate entities so that the retirement systems can engage in shareholder's activism and divest from expatriate entity if the shareholder activism is unsuccessful. And I'll be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Nybo, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR NYBO:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield for a couple questions?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

She indicates she will.

SENATOR NYBO:

Senator, it -- it's my understanding that when this bill came over from the House that a lot of opposition from business groups had been removed and, in fact, that the -- the Manufacturers' Association and the Retail Merchants are now proponents of this bill. Right?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Martinez.

SENATOR MARTINEZ:

That is correct and the only opposition was the Chamber and I know that you were trying to get something that they -- might

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

make them comfortable, but we just did not get it from them. So, everybody else -- we worked it out where now the bill it just has them as the only opponent. Everybody else is on board.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Nybo.

SENATOR NYBO:

Thank you for preempting my next question. I just want that point to be understood again. You did attempt to try to work with the Chamber of Commerce and -- and those efforts were unsuccessful ultimately. Right?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Martinez.

SENATOR MARTINEZ:

Let me very clear. I -- you -- I know that you expressed an interest in trying to get something from them to actually take their opposition off, but we were not successful, 'cause I know you waited, then I -- and we waited for the -- to -- for them to give us something and it didn't happen.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Nybo.

SENATOR NYBO:

To the -- to the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR NYBO:

So, I vote -- I have voted against this proposal, I believe, in another version that another Member of the Body proposed and I voted against it at committee. But I did sit down with the Chamber. I'm going to change my vote and I'm going to support this bill.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

And and here's why: Fundamentally, the -- the Chamber's opposition comes down as -- as a matter of philosophy, that -- that for ideological reasons, they don't feel that this is a good idea. And when the business community comes to me and -- and they've come to me and they've come to us on many occasions with concerns about some of the things we do down here, but usually they come down with practical concerns. And -- and when we're about to do something that practically is going to hurt our economic activity, hurt our business community, hurt our opportunity to grow jobs and -- and -- and put people back to work, I will fight as aggressively as I can to -- to call attention to those measures and to try to prevent them from becoming law. But -- but on something like this that will have, in my opinion, no practical impact -- there's nothing about this bill in my opinion that will cause companies to leave this State, that will reduce the opportunities for -- for people to -- to become employed, and so what I would say is I'm going to support this bill and I would ask members of the business community that when you're going to come in and oppose legislation - our State has severe problems - let's make sure that we're opposing things that practically are going to have an impact, because I don't want to deal with philosophy when we're talking about our economic climate. I want to deal with matters of -- of pragmatics and practicality. I want to improve our economic climate and I'm not as interested in -- in fighting ideological battles. So, happy to support this and I'd encourage other Members to support it as well.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion? Senator Martinez, to close. SENATOR MARTINEZ:

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Thank you, Mr. President. And just thank you so much, Senator, for that. I just want to just make another point and it's, the federal government passed an identical -- identical ban on entering into contract with expatriate entities that has been in effect since 2003. But we should not be rewarding corporations that engage in complicated restructuring schemes to avoid paying taxes with State contracts or investments while small Illinois companies that work hard, play by the rules, and pay they -- they -- their fair share of income tax, are in a competitive disadvantage. Let's reward the good actors and let's vote for this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall House Bill 3419 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 45 Ayes, 10 Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3419, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3437. Senator Hunter. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3437.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hunter, on your bill.

SENATOR HUNTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 3437 requires that if a determination is made to close a charter school within Chicago Public Schools, the charter school must give at least sixty-day

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

notice for closures of all affected schools and {sic} (to) parents of legal -- and legal guardians. And if you can recall back in 2013, Chicago Public Schools made a decision to close fifty schools impacting twelve thousand students in the largest one-time school closure in the Schools' history. And this bill is an attempt to increase parent awareness in the -- in the case of a school closure. I know of no opposition and I ask for an Aye vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3437 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, 2 Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3437, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3449. Senator Tom Cullerton. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. Senator Cullerton seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 3449 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 3449. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 4, offered by Senator Tom Cullerton. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cullerton, on your amendment.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

If I could adopt the amendment and I'll explain it on 3rd. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? All those -- Senator Nybo, are you on... Okay. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 5, offered by Senator Tom Cullerton.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cullerton, on your amendment.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

If I could explain it on 3rd, Mr. President, and ask for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is House Bill 3449. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3449.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cullerton, on your bill.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 3449 creates the Geolocation Privacy Protection Act. It prohibits private entities from collecting and using geolocation information from a location-

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

based application without first receiving express consent from the -- from the user. We had a very good debate about this in committee. The first time, we met with all of the opponents. Last time, we actually ended up coming up with a third, fourth, and fifth amendment to try to alleviate most concerns. There was obviously one or two points which we couldn't come to an agreement on, but I believe we got as far as we could with this. This passed the House with 69 votes and I think we made it a better bill by being over here in the Senate. I ask for an Aye vote or I'll take any questions first.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Nybo, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR NYBO:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR NYBO:

All right. In contrast to the -- to the bill that I just spoke about, this is a bill that -- that the business community is concerned about and rightly so. And -- and we've discussed a couple of these bills before. The concern is that the requirements that we're attempting to impose on them are -- are practically extremely difficult to comply with. And -- and, again, it's difficult to comply with, and -- and similarly to another bill we discussed, Illinois has a growing vibrant technology economy. You know, we -- we've become a destination for a lot of high-growth companies in the tech sector. That's where the jobs are. That's what's putting people back to work. That's what's generating the

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

tax revenue that we so desperately need to -- to run our State government and support social services and fund our universities. But the business community is telling us that this is going to be difficult, if not impossible, for them to comply with. Again, this is the kind of bill, Senator, that sends the wrong message and this is the kind of bill that I think does have the potential to have a devastating impact in undercutting what right now is perhaps the one bright spot in our economy, which is our tech sector. So on this bill, I ask the Members to respectfully cast a No vote. I think it sends the -- the wrong message. I think it's going to be extremely difficult to comply with, and at the end of the day, consumers aren't going to be in any better position than they are right now. So, I urge a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion? Senator Righter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield, please?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Cullerton, who brought this bill to you? I mean, describe to me the problem that has been identified that you're trying to solve.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

The bill was brought to me by the House sponsor, Representative Williams, and the key was they were talking about

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

amending the fact that companies were taking your data off of what you were doing on your phone, taking your data, and they could sell it to other people and they could hand it out to other -- whether you knew it or not, whether you agreed to it or not.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Senator Cullerton, there is -- you know how this place is sometimes, there's a buzz, sometimes things are accurate, sometimes they're not accurate, and I kind of believe that if there's something out there, you ought to give the sponsor a chance to -- to deal with it. There's a little bit of talk that there's a law firm, Edelson PC, that -- that has created a bit of a cottage industry. In other words, they -- they put -- they get these statutes put in place and then what they do is they create business for themselves by doing that and they search for people who -- whose rights, according to the new law, have been violated and they initiate lawsuits and that's how they make their money. And that that's really what this is. It's just a mechanism for them or other people in the industry. Can you respond to that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

Well, Senator Righter, that was actually one of the concerns that was brought up not only by your side of the aisle, but by myself as well, and that is what, in Amendment 5, we -- that final amendment was that "An agreement (that) is void and unenforceable under this Section does not give rise to a private right of action under this Act." And also it has this Act can only be brought

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

forward by an action by the State's Attorney and the Attorney General and then there is a fifteen-day period that they can fix the problem before there's any issues.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Senator Cullerton, why are government agencies exempt from this privacy protection?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

So, part of the process, obviously, was bringing forward amendments. If you looked at Amendment 4, you'll see there's multiple layers in that amendment. Amendment 5 was to address the lawsuit issue. Each one that we tried to work with, that was an amendment brought forward that was a piece from -- and trust me, I had multiple meetings on this with most of the opponents in the room and we kept bringing -- saying bring forward what you have, bring forward, we'll work with as much as you can. That was a piece that we brought in there to address somebody who had an issue with the bill and felt we could accommodate by adding into the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

I have no doubt, Senator, that you had many meetings on this. You're a -- both a hardworking and diligent legislator, and what you've described to me is the process by which you resolve opposition and pick up votes. My next question is, who came to

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

you? What -- what government came to you and said, you know what, we -- everyone else should be protected, but government doesn't want to be? Who brought that to you?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

So big brother did not bring that one to me. Government -big government did not bring that one to me. Actually, every
lobbyist who was part of this bill on either side of the aisle had
said this would be -- to take out that as well, would be wise to
make the bill better. So I had people on both sides of the aisle
tell me that would be a good thing to remove from the bill and add
in as an amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Senator, I appreciate that the suggestions came from inside the Chamber and out, maybe both parties. I'm concerned that we are exempting government from protecting privacy. So I'm asking you why this doesn't -- I understand a lot of people brought it to you and said it'll make it a better bill. I need you to tell me why it makes it a better bill to say, this protection we're instituting for our citizens doesn't apply to the government.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

So, Senator, I appreciate you asking the same question a third time and I felt I've answered twice already, but I will do it again. Partially, because of who brought it to take opposition

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

off. My personal thought is also, as far as I know, and maybe you know what's going on more in government - I haven't been around as long - but I don't know who's selling government data to other entities.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

To the bill, if I might, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

You know, Ladies and Gentlemen, when I was in law school, I had a law professor in my trial advocacy class who said, "Dale, never ever ask a question more than three times, because by the third time you've asked, if you don't have an answer, everyone else gets it." Ladies and Gentlemen, you're being asked to vote in favor of a privacy protection Act that is opposed by some pretty significant groups. And that's fine. That's fine. But you're leaving out the government. You're leaving out all State agencies. All State agencies are exempt from this, and we all know government's never abused its statutory powers. It's never invaded anyone's rights. It's never taken advantage of citizens or invaded their privacy. Right? The question is - and -- and I hope the sponsor answers it at some point during the remainder of this debate or in the close - the question is, why exempt government from the protections you are affording to citizens from anyone else? I hope, during the rest of the debate, we all listen closely to see if we get that answer. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Is there any further discussion? Senator Connelly, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR CONNELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President. Just a few questions of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

He indicates he will yield.

SENATOR CONNELLY:

Senator Cullerton, I -- I appreciate what you're trying to do with the bill. Quick question. So if I go on my phone and I go to an app store and I hit a new app, under this law, should it pass and be signed by the Governor, it would require what?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

It would require you to say, yes, you allow them to sell your location data that they would record through the app.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Connelly.

SENATOR CONNELLY:

So -- so it -- it's either yes or no. I would -- let's say most of us probably would just say, no, or yes. So there are -- let's just say, for example, whether it's at 1871 or somewhere in Palo Alto, California, there's a company that's designing these types of apps. They're changing on a daily basis. I go to my app -- they don't know about this law and I go to the app store. I find that app and it's something that I want. I click on it. There's no -- there -- there's no provision that says, by the way, we're selling your geolocation information. They would be in

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

violation of the law. Is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

That would -- that would be correct.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Connelly.

SENATOR CONNELLY:

So this gets to the point I -- I wanted to make and -- and I -- I think I made it with the other online data information law that was debated here. In this bill, you allow fifteen days for an individual to cure a -- a violation of the Act. Is that correct? No?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

No, we do not allow an individual fifteen days. It's either the State's Attorney or the Attorney General going after. So an individual would have to go to the State's Attorney or the Attorney General, then they would have to go to the company, and then they would have fifteen days from that time period.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Connelly.

SENATOR CONNELLY:

And that's -- that's the point, though, were you not asked to put a thirty-day, what I would call a cure provision there? Because it's -- if we're trying to create better behavior with this law, don't you think it's better to give businesses the ability to cure this defect, as opposed to you've got five days

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

for -- or else it's gotcha time and you're in court being sued under the Consumer Fraud and Deceptive (Business) Practices Act or, even worse, a class action lawsuit.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

First, your data's incorrect. You said five, I said fifteen, so I appreciate that. It's fifteen days. Secondly, after this amendment was filed, after we had the fourth and fifth amendment, they had brought forward, why not thirty? But we had prior done the fifteen days. The initial bill didn't have the fifteen-day look back either. So we gave fifteen. They came after both amendments were filed, after we got done with committee to ask for thirty.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Connelly.

SENATOR CONNELLY:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR CONNELLY:

I support the concept behind these bills. I support the notion that there -- I -- in fact, I'm a chief cosponsor of a bill that Senator Hastings and I have passed twice now that requires parents to provide consent when information is being compiled by these companies. What bothers me is if the -- if the purpose is to -- essentially is to get consent, then giving thirty days for a -- for a -- some app manufacturer or producer at 1871 in Chicago or at Stanford University labs in Palo Alto, California, to make

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

the modification so that they're not in court, having to hire law -- law firms to defend them, is probably the better way to go. Again, I appreciate what's trying to be done here, but I think it could be -- if -- I would vote for this bill with a thirty-day cure provision. Without it, I would respectfully ask for a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hastings, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR HASTINGS:

Question of the sponsor, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will yield.

SENATOR HASTINGS:

Senator Cullerton, in -- in your Act, there is a provision, I believe it's Section 15. It says "Violation." If I'm mistaken on the Section, but I'm pretty sure it's Section 15 the last time I wrote it, had to deal with violations under the bill. So under a violation, my question is, is who would be the only one -- can you read it? Who is able to enforce a violation?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

So, Section 15, line 16 through 18, "Only a State's Attorney or the Attorney General may enforce a violation of this Act..."

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hastings.

SENATOR HASTINGS:

Nowhere in this bill does it have a private right of action. Is that correct?

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

Correct.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hastings.

SENATOR HASTINGS:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR HASTINGS:

This argument's been made through many of the technology bills that have thrown through this -- or flown through this Chamber over the last month now and it's about these class action law firms that are going to go ahead and bring suit against these technology companies. That's an excellent talking point for the Illinois and the Chicagoland Chamber of Commerce, 'cause that's all they have. But if you actually read the text to the bill, it has nothing to do - nothing to do - with a private class action lawyer or a law firm being able to bring -- and to enforce the Act. And in Senator Cullerton's bill, I think it's pretty clear and explicit. Fifteen days to cure - we all know it takes a little bit longer than {sic} the State's Attorney and the Attorney General to get things together, so by the time the curative process is over and by the time that the Attorney General or State's Attorney has the ability to bring a lawsuit, it's probably right around three months for somebody to figure out how to fix the problem and I think that's good. I support this bill. I support the notion of the bill. I support all the things that the previous Senator said he supported,

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

but then he said he couldn't support the bill. I just urge an Aye vote. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rose, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR ROSE:

To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR ROSE:

Just briefly, I want to be -- clarify this last point, 'cause it's a critical one. The bill states that only the Attorney General or the State's Attorney can -- can void a contract and can bring a cause of action under this Act. But it absolutely does not prevent any other party from bringing -- bringing an action under contract for a violation of the Consumer Fraud Act that now this is a part of. So, absolutely, you could sue under this as a private party. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cullerton, to close.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

So I'm -- I'm going to address that last comment just to say that is what Amendment 5 addressed. In Amendment 5, which is Section 20 (b), "An agreement that is void and unenforceable under this Section does not give rise to a private right of action under this Act." I'm also going to answer one more time a previous -- a -- a previous question. One great thing about government is we are constantly under FOIA. So every time you need to know what the government's doing, you can put in a FOIA piece of paperwork and find out what the government's doing - what they're creating

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

on you, what they're looking at you, and if the government is tracking you, they probably have already filled out the warrant and you can find that out. The fact is, is these companies can change these terms of service. It's one line within a term of service. You then hit the button when you open the Act {sic} and hit "agree". Now that agreement allows them to sell your data or give your data away - and it's not even your data; it's just they're tracking of your location. Trust me, your people don't want this once they find out about it and they will hate it once they find out that you voted against it. So I ask for an Aye vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Question is, shall House Bill 3449 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 33 Ayes, 22 Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3449, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Nybo, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR NYBO:

Thank you, Mr. President. I know it's late in the day, but this is an important issue and I'd like to request a verification of that roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Nybo has request a verification. Will all Members please be in their seat? The Secretary will... The Secretary will now -- will read the affirmative votes.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Those voting on -- in the affirmative: Aquino, Bennett, Bertino-Tarrant, Biss, Bush, Castro, Clayborne, Collins, Tom

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Cullerton, Cunningham, Harmon, Hastings, Holmes, Hunter, Hutchinson, Koehler, Landek, Lightford, Link, Manar, Martinez, McCann, McGuire, Morrison, Mulroe, Muñoz, Murphy, Raoul, Silverstein, Steans, Trotter, Van Pelt, and Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Nybo, do you question the presence of any Member voting in the affirmative?

SENATOR NYBO:

Senator Clayborne. Senator Hutchinson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

...Clayborne is in the -- in the pool. Senator -- Senator Nybo, do you question any other Member that's not in the Chamber? On an -- on a -- on a verified roll call, there are 33 Ayes, 22 Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 34 -- 3449, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3452. Senator Martinez. House Bill 3450. Senator Martinez. Senator Martinez seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 3450 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 3450. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Martinez.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Martinez, on your amendment.

SENATOR MARTINEZ:

I ask that this Body adopt the amendment and I'll be happy to discuss it on 3rd Reading.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is House Bill 3450. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3450.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Martinez, on your bill.

SENATOR MARTINEZ:

...you, Mr. President. As amended, 3450 extends the sunset of the Home Medical Equipment and Service {sic} (Services) Provider License Act and the Podiatric Medical Practice Act from January 1st, 2018 to January 1st, 2028. It also includes technical modernization and standardization changes throughout both Acts and clarifies the rulemaking and disciplinary duties of the Home Medical Equipment and the Service {sic} Board and the Department of Professional -- Financial and Professional Regulations {sic} (Regulation) when {sic} the Home Medical Equipment and Service {sic} Provider License Act. And I'll be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3450 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed,

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3450, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3452. Senator Martinez. Out of the record. House Bill -- Senator Jones, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Mr. President. Let the record reflect I'd like to be recorded as a Yes on House Bill 3449.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Record will reflect your intention. House Bill 3455. Senator Weaver. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3455.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Weaver, on your bill.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amends the Department of Natural Resources Law of the Civil Administration {sic} (Administrative) Code of Illinois and it creates an Adopt-a-Trail program, which allows groups of volunteers over the -- age of eighteen to adopt a trail and assist in spring cleanups, special events, trail maintenance, and accessibility projects. This is something that began at Jubilee Park in my district. It has been a great program. It cannot displace IDNR employees, which I think is important. It was unanimous out of committee, unanimous out of

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

the House, and there's no opposition. I'd request an Aye vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Tom Cullerton, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

Just a question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will yield.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

Senator Weaver, I actually -- I support your bill here and - but are we just doing a -- a similar -- there's a couple questions
from people over here on the aisle. Why do we even need to make
this an actual law? Wouldn't it fall under like highway
maintenance, where you can clean up the -- sides of the roads and
adopt a highway, or does this have to be a separate law entirely?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you for the question. I don't know all the background on this, but I know that there's been a dispute for quite a few years and there's not been an ability for folks to get in and actually do voluntary work and the Department of Natural Resources supports this and believes it's necessary and it, I think, certainly puts to rest some concerns that's been in our community. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

Perfect. Thank you, Senator Weaver. I look forward to voting

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

for your bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3455 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3455, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3462. Senator Martinez. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3462.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Martinez, on your bill.

SENATOR MARTINEZ:

Thank you, Mr. President, again. House Bill 3462 extends the sunset of the Pharmacy Practice Act by two years, until 2020. It also makes technical and clarifying changes throughout to be consistent with the current practices of the Department of Financial and Professional Regulations {sic} (Regulation) and industry terminology. And it also creates the Collaborative Pharmaceutical Task Force, which must make recommendations and propose rules for adoption by November 1st, 2019, and will dissolve on November 1st, 2020. And I'll be happy to answer any questions. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3462 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed,

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3462, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3464. Senator Schimpf. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3464.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Schimpf.

SENATOR SCHIMPF:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. House Bill 3464 amends the Private Detective, Private Alarm, Private Security, Fingerprint Vendor, and Locksmith Act of 2004 to include the Department of Corrections to the list of -- approved employers in which an applicant may gain the required experience for licensure. I have multiple Corrections facilities in my district. Having visited them, I can assure you that Corrections work is every bit as dangerous as that that our other first responders do. Correction workers manage grave security situations on a daily basis. Their omission from the list is an oversight that this bill corrects. I know of no opposition to this bill. I'm happy to answer any questions and I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3464 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3464, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3469. Senator Bennett. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3469.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Bennett.

SENATOR BENNETT:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Chamber. I know it's late in the day, but House Bill 3469 would permit a vehicle that's operated by a fire chief or a director or a coordinator with a municipal or county emergency service or disaster area {sic} (agency) to have a siren, whistle, or bell with a sound audible at least five hundred feet away. They're already allowed to have lights. This would just allow sirens for increased safety. It went through with no No votes in the House. I'd ask for your Aye vote here in the Senate.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3469 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3469, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3490. Senator Syverson. Mr.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3490.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Syverson, on your bill.

SENATOR SYVERSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. This legislation amends the Nursing Education Scholarship Law and -- and adds to the definition of approved institutions. Don't know of any opposition. Happy to answer any questions; otherwise, ask for a favorable roll call. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3490 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3490, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3502. Senator Bush. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3502.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Bush, on your bill.

SENATOR BUSH:

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 3502 creates the Advisory Council on Early Identification and Treatment of Mental Health Conditions Act. It creates the Advisory Council. The Council will be required to develop a set of recommendations and an action plan to address the barriers to early and regular screening of mental health conditions in children and young adults in Illinois. Council must deliver the recommendations and the plan to the Governor and the General Assembly within one year of the Council's first meeting. I'd ask for an Aye vote. Happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3502 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3502, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3507. Senator Clayborne. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3507.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Clayborne, on your bill.

SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 37 -- 3507 grants students whose parents are military personnel five days of excused absence if their parent is deployed, or on leave from, or back

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

from military duty. I would ask for your favorable vote.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3507 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3507, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3514. Senator Connelly. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3514.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Connelly, on your bill.

SENATOR CONNELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 3514 amends the Business Corporation Act, Limited Liability Company Act, Uniform Partnership Act, and Uniform Limited Partnership Act, and the Secretary of State Act. It declares that electronic filings from LLCs and corporations made with the Secretary of State shall not be deemed expedited services subject to certain fees solely because the filings are made electronically. It also provides for continuity of funding within the (Department of) Business Services Special Operations Fund. I know of no opponents. I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

House Bill 3514 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3514, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3521. Senator Brady. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3521.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Brady, on your bill.

SENATOR BRADY:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is an issue about eliminating a -- a couple positions that are relatively obsolete. It's an agreed bill and I ask for your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3521 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3521, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3528. Senator Weaver. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3528.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Weaver, on your bill.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amends the -- adds suggested changes to the Real Estate Licensing {sic} (License) Act to ensure the most efficient means to administer that Act. It also changes the requirements of the Real Estate Administration and Disciplinary Board to meet current practices and ensure that the Board has a representative of all licensures and registered professions administered under the Act. It was agreed to by the Illinois State REALTORS® Association, IDFPR, and the Chicagoland Apartment Association was neutral on this. There is no opposition that we know of and I'd request an Aye vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3528 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3528, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3536. Senator McGuire. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3536.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McGuire, on your bill.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

SENATOR McGUIRE:

Mr... Amendment?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McGuire.

SENATOR McGUIRE:

Mr. President -- thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 3536, as amended, increases the membership on the Board of the Joliet Regional Port District from ten members to eleven members, with the additional member being a resident of the Village of Romeoville and being appointed by the Village President, with the advice and consent of the Village's corporate authorities. I know of no opposition and ask for your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3536 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3536, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3539. Senator Biss. House Bill 3601. Senator Clayborne. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3601.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Clayborne, on your bill.

SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 3601 encourages East St. Louis School District 189 to allow students in the eleventh and twelfth {sic} to take classes at SWIC, or Southwestern Illinois community College, for dual credit at no cost to the student. I would ask for your favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3601 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, 1 Nay, none voting Present. House Bill 3601, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3615. Senator Weaver. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 3615.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Weaver, on your bill.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you very much. It was good working with Senator Lightford on this. This is an agency bill. It's to clean up and ensure that statute reflect -- reflects current practice based on case law and best practices. DCFS currently follows what's called the "Dupuy" process, which was required by law from that case, which permits a teacher to review an investigate {sic} summary and meet with a school administrator not involved in the investigation. So all this law does is -- this bill does is gets us consistent

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

with case law. It was unanimous out of committee. DCFS supports it and I'd request an Aye vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3615 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3615, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Bush, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR BUSH:

Thank you, Mr. President. I didn't get to my button in time. I'd like to be reflected as a Yes on 3631 {sic}.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Your -- your -- the record will reflect your indication of your intentions. House Bill 3631. Senator Clayborne. That's what we just did. House Bill 3631. Senator Clayborne. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3631.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Clayborne, on your bill.

SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman {sic}. We passed a similar bill out of here. It deals with the minor league teams avoiding minimum wage and overtime provisions. The exemption would only apply to players age twenty-eight or younger, as well as managers, coaches,

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

athletic trainers. Only minor league teams that are not affiliated with a major league team and operate seasonally would qualify. I would ask for your favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Connelly, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR CONNELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR CONNELLY:

I rise in strong support of Senator Clayborne's bill and strongly urge a Yes vote of the entire Chamber. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall -- Senator Raoul, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR RAOUL:

I think I must have a question for the sponsor based on that endorsement, but I think I'm going to vote for your bill anyway.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further ridiculous discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3631 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3631, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3649. Senator Manar. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

House Bill 3649.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar, on your bill.

SENATOR MANAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill amends the (State) Finance Act and requires that each State agency provide a report to the State Comptroller identifying the current liabilities held at the agency, by fund source; whether the liabilities are appropriated; and an estimate of interest penalties accrued under the State Prompt Payment Act. I know no opposition to the bill and I request an Aye vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Nybo, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR NYBO:

Will the sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will.

SENATOR NYBO:

Senator, can -- can you explain, what -- what are we -- what are we attempting -- what's the problem that we're trying to fix with this bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar.

SENATOR MANAR:

The bill would require agencies to make more frequent reports to the Comptroller's Office of bills that they have on hand, so

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

that we have a more accurate reflection of what we owe.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Nybo.

SENATOR NYBO:

You think -- do you -- do you think we have a problem of -- of not knowing what we owe? Is there -- is there misinformation out there?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar.

SENATOR MANAR:

Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Nybo.

SENATOR NYBO:

How frequently are agencies reporting to the Comptroller currently?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar.

SENATOR MANAR:

All right. So, right now, it's required once a year. This would require monthly.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Nybo.

SENATOR NYBO:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR NYBO:

Here -- here -- here's another situation where we're trying

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

to play the role of Governor and -- and -- and -- and tell the agencies how to operate. Again, Senator, if you want to be Governor, run for Governor, but let this Governor do his job. And I would urge a No vote on this.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Manar, to close.

SENATOR MANAR:

Well, I would just ask for an Aye vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall House Bill 3649 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 37 Ayes, 16 Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3649, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3656. Senator Manar. House Bill 3658. Senator Tracy. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3658.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Tracy, on your bill.

SENATOR TRACY:

Thank you. This is a bill concerning the State Property Control Act and it changes the reporting requirement imposed on agencies to the Property Control Division at Central Management (Services), and increases property value that triggers the reporting requirement from five hundred dollars to one thousand

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

dollars and it allows the administrator to set a higher limit by rule, and requires the value of the item to reflect it's a depreciated value, as determined by the administrator. No opposition and I would appreciate an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3658 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 50 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3658, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3684. Senator Koehler. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3684.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Koehler, on your bill.

SENATOR KOEHLER:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. This is a recommendation of the Illinois Retail Merchants Association. What this bill does is it amends the Food Handling Regulation Enforcement Act to make changes concerning the food sanitation manager certification process while retaining the specific minimum of eight hours of IDPH-approved training of the food safety standards. Essentially what this does is it eliminates the State certificate and fee because it's duplicative. People have to get a national certificate and fee. Appreciate an Aye vote.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3684 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3684, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3691. Senator Lightford. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3691.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Lightford, on your bill.

SENATOR LIGHTFORD:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 3691 helps Illinois families save for college from an early age. It builds upon the current Bright Start program to automatically open a 529 college savings account for every child born in Illinois. The program has a significant impact on families' life -- trajectory. Research has shown that low- and moderate-income children with even just a little bit of college savings, less than five hundred dollars, are three times more likely to go to college and four times more likely to complete college. In order to get the college savings account started, there will be a seed with an initial investment of fifty dollars per child. Low-income and moderate {sic} families are encouraged to save through a savings incentive, a one-to-one dollar match up

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

to seventy-five dollars annually. In terms of funding, it will be a public-private partnership. This bill allows the Treasurer's Office to accept private funds for the program. The private funds could come from foundations, corporations, philanthropists, and any others. Any State funding is subject to appropriations. It also out -- outlines how the program will be implemented if there is not enough funding for it to be statewide. There are no opponents to this bill. I'd be happy to answer questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Rooney, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR ROONEY:

To the bill, please, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR ROONEY:

Mr. President, I -- I genuinely commend the sponsor for having a heart that's in the right place. I certainly agree that the research shows that having these kinds of accounts does have great effects, but I think this bill is missing the entire point of why these childhood savings accounts have the effects that they do. It's not about the money in the account. It's about the psychological investment that parents get from having done something for their child and having started early. All those great effects do not come from a small savings account. They come from the psychological investment that a parent gets for doing something for their child that encourages them, as that child grows, to be the kind of parent that is more likely to read to their preschooler at night, to be the kind of parent who is going

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

to help that child with homework, et cetera, et cetera. The magic of these accounts does not come from the account. It comes from the parents' initiative and that's what this bill takes out. It's automatically a gift that's given that came from the government and the Treasurer gets all the -- all the little pats on the back for having done stuff, and there's no investment yet. I'm even fine with a program that does matching from a voluntary account that is opened up by the parents, because without that important first step, you're not going to get the results you want. I know it's a great sounding bill that makes somebody seem awful if they are opposed, but there's good reasons, because it's got to be something that the parents do, otherwise all those great benefits are not going to come at the same level that -- the research shows they will if it's something that they do. If this were even what we call a nudge in my business, that would be fine with me, but automatically opening takes away the most critical part of making this work. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McGuire, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR McGUIRE:

To the bill, please, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR McGUIRE:

I rise in fervent support of this bill. The previous speaker spoke of psychological effects. One of the psychological effects of staking a claim, giving a child an investment in higher education are the great aspirational effects it has on the child. For a child of poverty to know that the State of Illinois has

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

invested in his or her future and that his or her parents are matching that State investment has been shown to increase school performance in many ways. It inspires, motivates students to work hard in school to gain the grades necessary to gain a postsecondary education. Consider the effect of the quarterly statement, which a family will receive, when matched with the student's report card. So the family will be able to see the State's investment and their own personal investment in their child's higher education on a -on par, on the same climb with the child's performance in school. So match investment with grades and watch the beneficial effect, the symbiotic effect. Finally, I would like to mention that the -- the "north star" of our State's higher education policy, of course, is sixty by 2025; that eight years from now sixty percent of all adult Illinoisans will have a postsecondary credential of some value. We can only achieve that goal, which is necessary to make us economically competitive, by educating nontraditional groups of students. And the sponsor's bill would aid nontraditional groups of students, families which traditionally have not had the resources to send their sons and daughters to college. So, in the interest of developing human potential and the interest of enriching our State by promoting a better educated Illinois, I rise in strong support of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Collins, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR COLLINS:

Thank you, Mr. President, and to the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR COLLINS:

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Just as a reminder or maybe to inform and educate the Member on the other side of the aisle, this legislation is outgrowth of a bipartisan children's savings account task force that was formed in 2009, which I was the Chair of, and I'm glad to see that this legislation is moving forward. As the sponsor has indicated, this program helps low-income children attend and graduate from college. It promotes savings behavior that also supports financial literacy along the way. So I would encourage all our Members on this side to vote and support this legislation. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Righter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR RIGHTER:

To the bill, if I might, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Chamber. In -- in thinking over this legislation that Senator Lightford has - and -- and -- and I would join my colleague, Senator Rooney, in expressing what we know to be the best intentions of the sponsor - the -- the issue here raised isn't just whether or not we're going to put fifty bucks in a savings account. It's much -- much more broad than that. The -- the issue that's presented here has more to do with opportunities and what opportunities Illinoisans have. We live in a state, Mr. President, which over the years has seen diminishment of opportunity, not for a lack of government expanding its reach and saying we're going to do more and we're going to do more and we're going to do more but by the fact that economic opportunity continues to be diminished in this State.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

The economic forecast for this State in the next fiscal year for growth is less than one percent and the majority in this Chamber, just this week, added another five billion dollars that will be taken out of the private economy, which will do nothing but diminish that rate. The reason the people need this help and the reason the middle class is diminishing is because of a lack of economic growth. We don't have this money. You're promising MAP grants that you cannot fulfill. We do not have the money to -- to do this and this is not something we should be doing at this time. I urge a No vote. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Jones, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR JONES:

I would truly like to commend the sponsor for bringing this legislation forward. I think the purpose of this Body and the purpose of government is to invest in our future. And if you look at the history of what we're doing to our State universities, if we can't start with our younger generation and invest in them, what the -- what the heck are we doing here? So I urge a Aye vote and I'd like to thank Senator Lightford for bringing this forward. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

DIDING OFFICER: (DEMITOR ETMI)

Senator Lightford, to close.

SENATOR LIGHTFORD:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Thanks for all the comments. I just want to remind the Body that

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

this is subject to appropriation from State funds and that it's a public-private partnership, so we're hoping to have private investments to help us build a sustainable savings program. The CSA program will create a pathway to a college degree for more children. It supports early childhood development and maternal health and it helps build financial stability for families and communities. And I'd like to thank Senator Collins for adding some background institutional knowledge behind this legislation. And I encourage an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall House Bill 3691 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 34 Ayes, 18 Nays, and 1 Present. House Bill 3691, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3701. Senator Clayborne. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3701.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Clayborne.

SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 3701 is designed to ensure every high -- higher education institution in the State that receives State funds has a policy in place for awarding credit to prior military training and service and to provide clarity and transparency as to the credits being awarded. I would ask for

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

your -- your favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3701 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3701, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Castro, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR CASTRO:

Mr. President, I'd like to be recorded as a Yes vote on House Bill 3691.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The record will reflect your indication. Leave of the Body, we're going to go back to Supplemental Calendar No. 1, House bills. House Bill -- on 2nd Reading, House Bill 189. Senator Raoul. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 189.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. House Bill 481. Senator Hutchinson. Senator Hutchinson. House Bill 2453. Senator Hutchinson. House Bill 2525. Senator Raoul. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2525.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. House Bill 3001. Senator Hastings. Mr.

Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3001.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. House Bill 2802. Senator Sandoval. Mr.

Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2802.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senator Hastings, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR HASTINGS:

Mr. -- thank you, Mr. President. I was not recorded on a vote for House Bill 3215. I'd like to be recorded as a Yes vote. Thank you very much.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The record will reflect your indication. We will go to page 2 of the printed Calendar on Senate Bills 2nd Reading. Senate Bill 643. Senator Steans. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Senate Bill 643.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Executive adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment 2, offered by Senator Steans.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Steans, on your amendment.

SENATOR STEANS:

Please adopt the amendment and we'll talk about it when it gets to 3rd. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 704. Senator Lightford. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 704.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. House Bill 3773. Senator Sandoval. Mr.

Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3773.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Mr. Secretary, Resolutions.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolutions -- Senate Resolution 537, offered by Senator Hunter and all Members.

Senate Resolution 538, offered by Senator Morrison and all Members.

They are both death resolutions, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Resolution Consent Calendar.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 539, offered by Senator Jones.

And Senate Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 17, offered by Senator Radogno.

They are substantive.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Mr. Secretary, Messages from the House.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

A Message from the House by Mr. Mapes, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed bills of the following titles,

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Bill 1774.

We have received like Messages on House Bills 1785 and 3376. Passed the House, May 25th, 2017. Timothy D. Mapes, Clerk of the House.

A Message from the House by Mr. Mapes, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to wit:

Senate Bill 41.

Together with the following amendment which is attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 41.

We have received like Messages on Senate Bill 473, with House Amendment 3. Passed the House, as amended, May 25th, 2017. Timothy D. Mapes, Clerk of the House.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Mr. Secretary, House Bills 1st Reading.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 1774, offered by Senator Aquino.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 1785, offered by Senator Hutchinson.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3376, offered by Senator Manar.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

And House Bill 3399, offered by Senator Harmon.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

1st Reading of the bills.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senate Education Committee will meet tomorrow at 9 a.m. in Room 212. Senate Revenue Committee will meet in Room -- tomorrow at 9:30 in Room 212. I'll repeat that again. Senate Education Committee will meet tomorrow at 9 a.m. in Room 212. Senate Revenue Committee will meet tomorrow at 9:30 a.m. in Room 212. Will the Committee on Assignments please meet in the President's Anteroom immediately? The Committee on Assignments, please meet in the President's Anteroom immediately. (at ease) Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senator Clayborne, Chairman of the Committee on Assignments, reports the following Legislative Measures have been assigned: Refer to Education Committee - Floor Amendment 1 to House Bill 2977, Floor Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 453, and Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 704; refer to Executive Committee - House Bill 643 and House Bill 3720; refer to Higher Education Committee - Senate Joint Resolution 40; refer to Insurance Subcommittee on Auto Insurance Rates - Senate Bill 1706; refer to Public Health Committee - Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1544; refer to Revenue Committee - Floor Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 483 and Floor Amendment 1 to House Bill 159; refer to State Government Committee - House Bill 489; Be Approved for Consideration - House Bills 303, 531, 3399, 3648, Floor Amendment 1 to House Bill 688, Floor Amendment 2 to House Bill 2525, and Senate Joint Resolution 31. Pursuant to Senate Rule 3-8 (b-1), the following amendments will remain in the Committee on Assignments: Floor Amendment 1 to House Bill 1125 and Floor

53rd Legislative Day

5/25/2017

Amendment 1 to House Bill 3163.

Signed, Senator James F. Clayborne, Chairman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Just want to remind everybody that Education and Revenue will, again, be meeting in the morning at 9 a.m. and 9:30, respectively, in Room 212. There being no further business to come before the Senate, the Senate stands adjourned till the hour of 10 a.m., the 26th day of May 2017. The Senate stands adjourned.