39th Legislative Day 5/4/2017

HB0688	First Reading	4
HB1954	First Reading	4
HB2465	First Reading	4
HB2664	First Reading	4
HB2937	First Reading	4
HB3261	First Reading	4
HB3400	First Reading	4
HB3464	First Reading	4
SB0031	Recalled	117
SB0031	Third Reading	118
SB0058	Third Reading	14
SB0315	Recalled	16
SB0315	Third Reading	18
SB0320	Recalled	15
SB0320	Third Reading	16
SB0448	Recalled	105
SB0448	Third Reading	106
SB0473	Recalled	19
SB0473	Third Reading	20
SB0473	Vote Intention	21
SB0567	Third Reading	136
SB1029	Recalled	21
SB1029	Third Reading	22
SB1072	Recalled	23
SB1072	Third Reading	24
SB1224	Third Reading	25
SB1225	Third Reading	26
SB1226	Third Reading	26
SB1251	Third Reading	27
SB1252	Third Reading	28
SB1276	Third Reading	29
SB1285	Second Reading	9
SB1286	Recalled	30
SB1286	Third Reading	31
SB1290	Third Reading	32
SB1294	Recalled	33
SB1294	Third Reading	34
SB1304	Third Reading	36
SB1312	Third Reading	38
SB1321	Third Reading	39
SB1322	Recalled	40

39th Legislative Day		5/4/2017
SB1322	Third Reading	40
SB1347	Third Reading	41
SB1353	Third Reading	46
SB1353	Vote Intention	48
SB1373	Third Reading	48
SB1376	Third Reading	49
SB1400	Recalled	50
SB1400	Third Reading	51
SB1409	Third Reading	51
SB1410	Third Reading	52
SB1424	Third Reading	53
SB1428	Third Reading	75
SB1434	Recalled	76
SB1434	Third Reading	77
SB1439	Second Reading	137
SB1444	Third Reading	78
SB1459	Recalled	79
SB1459	Third Reading	79
SB1461	Recalled	81
SB1461	Third Reading	81
SB1467	Third Reading	82
SB1470	Third Reading	83
SB1478	Third Reading	84
SB1479	Third Reading	85
SB1483	Third Reading	85
SB1486	Recalled	86
SB1486	Third Reading	87
SB1502	Recalled	88
SB1502	Third Reading	89
SB1518	Third Reading	106
SB1524	Third Reading	107
SB1525	Third Reading	108
SB1527 SB1527	Recalled Third Reading	109 110
SB1529	Third Reading Third Reading	111
SB1531	Recalled	112
SB1531	Third Reading	113
SB1591	Third Reading Third Reading	114
SB1667	Second Reading	73
SB1692	Recalled	115
SB1692	Third Reading	116
SB1700	Recalled	116
201/00	NECATIEU	110

5/4/2017 39th Legislative Day 75 SB1719 Second Reading SB1980 Second Reading 137 SB2012 Second Reading 9 5 SR0170 Adopted 6 SR0427 Adopted Resolution Offered 2 SR0482 SR0483 Resolution Offered 2 Resolution Offered 2 SR0484 Resolution Offered 2 SR0485 Resolution Offered 2 SR0486 Resolution Offered 72 SR0487 1 Senate to Order-Senator Lightford Prayer-Pastor Scott Marsh 1 Pledge of Allegiance 1 Journal-Postponed 1 Committee Reports 2 Committee Report Correction 7 Introduction of Guest-President Cullerton 10 Remarks by Dr. Miguel Ángel Mancera 11 Committee Reports 13 Senate Stands in Recess/Reconvenes 72 72 Committee Reports Adjournment 139

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

The regular Session of the 100th General Assembly will please come to order. Will the Members please rise? Will our guests in the galleries also rise? The invocation today will be given by Pastor Scott Marsh, Texas Christian Church, Clinton, Illinois. Pastor Marsh.

PASTOR SCOTT MARSH:

(Prayer by Pastor Scott Marsh)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Please remain standing for the Pledge of Allegiance. Senator Murphy.

SENATOR MURPHY:

(Pledge of Allegiance, led by Senator Murphy)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Leave is granted. James Carder, Blueroomstream.com, requests permission to videotape. Leave is granted. Mr. Secretary, Reading and Approval of the Journal.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Journal of Wednesday, May 3rd, 2017.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

Madam President, I move to postpone the reading and approval of the Journal just read by the Secretary, pending arrival of the printed transcript.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Senator Hunter moves to postpone the reading and approval of the Journal, pending arrival of the printed

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

transcripts. There being no objection, so ordered. Mr. Secretary, Resolutions.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 484, offered by Senator Anderson and all Members.

Senate Resolution 485, offered by Senator Manar and all Members.

And Senate Resolution 486, offered by Senator Rose and all Members.

They're all death resolutions, Madam President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Resolution Consent Calendar.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 482, offered by Senator Morrison.

And Senate Resolution 483, offered by Senator Althoff.

They are substantive.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, please come to the Senate Floor. We are tasked with a very busy day. We have invited guests and we will have 3rd Readings. Please come to the Senate Floor immediately. Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senator Harmon, Chairperson of the Committee on Executive, reports Senate Amendment 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 315, Senate Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 1592, and Senate Amendments 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1933 Recommend Do Adopt; and House Bill 3222 Do Pass.

Senator Landek, Chairperson of the Committee on State Government, reports Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 707 and Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1869 Recommend Do Adopt; Senate

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Resolutions 352 and 427 Be Adopted; and House Bills 623, 2371, 2379, 2551, 3108, 3143, 3179, 3234, and 3455 Do Pass.

Senator Martinez, Chairperson of the Committee on Licensed Activities and Pensions, reports Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1607 Recommend Do Adopt; and House Bills 164, 299, 618, 732, 815, 1772, 1811, 2408, 2496, 2661, 2783, 2966, 3322, 3450, and 3452 Do Pass.

Senator Mulroe, Chairperson of the Committee on Insurance, reports House Bills 759, 817, and 3874 Do Pass.

Senator Hutchinson, Chairperson of the Committee on Revenue, reports Senate Bills 1285, 1719, and 2012 Do Pass, as Amended; Senate Amendments -- Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 473, Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 700, Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 852, Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1072, Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1073, Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1434, Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1434, Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1783, and Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1783 Recommend Do Adopt; and House Bills 155, 465, 743, and 2813 Do Pass.

Senator Harris, Chairperson of the Committee on Agriculture, reports Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1294 Recommend Do Adopt; and House Bills 1800, 2488, 2998, and 3093 Do Pass.

Senator Holmes, Chairperson of the Committee on Commerce and Economic Development, reports House Bills 736 and 1813 Do Pass.

Senator Manar, Chairperson of the Committee on Appropriations II, reports Senate Bill 1993 Do Pass.

And Senator Koehler, Chairperson of the Committee on Environment and Conservation, reports Senate Resolution 170 Be Adopted; and House Bills 513, 685, 772, 2386, 2719, 2733, 2876, 3014, and 3048 Do Pass.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Harmon in the Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

WAND requests permission to videotape the proceedings. Seeing no objection, permission is granted. House Bills 1st Reading, please.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 688, offered by Senator Cunningham.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill -- 1954, offered by Senator Holmes.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2465, offered by Senator Steans.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2664, offered by Senator Harris.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2937, offered by Senator McConnaughay.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3261, offered by Senator Weaver.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate -- House Bill 3400, offered by Senator Althoff.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

And House Bill 3464, offered by Senator Schimpf.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st Reading of the bills.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, if all Members within the sound of my voice could please be at their desks, we do have an honored guest with us today in the Senate. We also have a very long Calendar of Senate Bills on 3rd Reading. We'd ask all Members

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

to be at their desks. This will be final action. If all Members within the sound of my voice could please be at their desks, we'll be turning to final action momentarily. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, before we turn to our printed Calendar and begin where we left off yesterday on the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading, we are going to first turn to Senate Supplemental Calendar No. 1, which is being distributed to the Members' desks. When that's been distributed, we'll turn to those items. We'll then also return to some other items on the Calendar that we passed over yesterday, awaiting amendments that are now in the Chamber. So, bear with us. Senate Supplemental Calendar No. 1 is being distributed and we'll turn to that shortly. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, Senate Supplemental Calendar No. 1 has been distributed to the Members' desks. We're going to begin on that Order with Secretary's Desk, Resolutions. We have Senate Resolution 170. Senator Koehler, are you ready to proceed? We'll come back to that, Senator. Senator Hunter, are you ready to proceed with Senate Resolution 352? Senator Barickman, on Senate Resolution 427. We'll return to that Order in a few minutes. Mr. Secretary, let's turn back to Secretary's Desk, Resolutions. Senator Koehler's ready to proceed with Senate Resolution 170. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 170, offered by Senator Koehler.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Koehler.

SENATOR KOEHLER:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. This resolution is a recommendation of Kuusakoski Recycling and Peoria

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Disposal. It urges the Sustainable Electronics Recycling International, which is called SERI, and the R2 Technical Advisory Committee to modify the R2 Standard to develop an option for the management of CRT glass through beneficial use, including uses such as landfill construction aggregate and alternative daily cover. This is a -- a big issue in all of our communities is the old TV sets that we're trying to dispose of and this would put us in the step of the right direction of -- of doing this properly. So I'd appreciate an Aye vote. Happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion of the gentleman's resolution? Any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Resolution 170 pass. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the resolution is adopted. Senator Barickman, are you ready to proceed with Senate Resolution 427? Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 427, offered by Senator Barickman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Barickman, on your resolution.

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Resolution 427 designates today, May 4th, 2017, as "Bluebird Project Day" in the State of Illinois. The resolution urges schools to install bluebird houses throughout their areas to attract the eastern bluebird back to its native habitat to beautify our communities and to grow our environment. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none,

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

the question is, shall Senate Resolution 427 pass. All those in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the resolution is adopted. Senator Barickman, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

A point of personal privilege, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Please state your point, Senator.

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Mr. President and -- first of all, thank you to the Members to {sic} their support of the prior resolution. I want to introduce, above the President's Gallery here, some of my quests today, and if I could have them stand. With us today is students from Pontiac Township High School, TriPoint High School, Prairie Central Grade School, Prairie Central High School, and Heartland Community College. They're visitors of the Senate today. worked together on the Bluebird Project and the -- the -- the topic of our prior resolution. These students have installed hundreds of bluebird houses throughout the area to attract eastern bluebird back to its native habitat. I certainly want to thank the students for their work. I want to thank their -- Mr. Ritter, Paul Ritter, who accompanies them, along with many others. Paul, thank you for your great work with these students. If I could -- Ladies and Gentlemen, please join me in welcoming these fine young men and women to our Illinois State Capitol. Thank you, all.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Will our guests please rise and be welcomed to the Illinois State Senate? Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Mr. President, I have a Committee Report Correction, dated

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

May 4th, 2015 {sic}.

On May 3rd, 2017, the Senate Committee on Licensed Activities and Pensions omitted Senate Bill -- Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1882 from its report to the Senate. Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1882 is reported to the Senate with a recommendation of Recommend Do Adopt.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator McCann, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR McCANN:

Thank you, Mr. President. On a point of personal privilege, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Please state your point.

SENATOR McCANN:

Thank you, sir. I would like to introduce a group that I have visiting today. Right above me here on the Republican side of the gallery, we have the eighth grade students from Western Community School District in -- in western Pike County, over by the Mississippi River. They joined me here on the Senate Floor this morning. They just took their Constitution test and we -- and we discussed that. They showed me up a time or two - I -- I hate to say that. They are joined with their -- with their chaperones and teachers, Mrs. Lister, Mrs. Ebers, Mrs. Koeller, and Mrs. Kendrick, their nurse. If you could all join me in welcoming them to the Capitol. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Will our guests please rise and be welcomed to the Illinois State Senate? Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, remaining on the Supplemental Calendar No. 1, we have Senate Bills 2nd Reading.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Senate Bill 1285. Senator Althoff. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1285.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue adopted Amendments 1, 2, and 5.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1719. Senator Biss. Senate Bill 1993. Senator Trotter. Senate Bill 2112 {sic}. Senator Weaver. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2012.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

...further amendments -- no further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Senator Hutchinson, for what purpose do you rise?

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Please state your point, Senator.

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I have with me visiting, in the gallery right behind me, the Democratic Women of the South Suburbs, whose mission is to unite, promote, encourage, and recruit Illinois women to the Democratic Party. They've sponsored twenty-five students today for their annual lobby day from Bloom High School, Homewood-Flossmoor, Hillcrest, Illiana Christian, Rich East, Southland Prep, Thornwood, and Thornridge. This is the fifth sponsored trip that they've done, and these young women in their purple scarves are down here seeing exactly what it looks like to work on behalf of the people of the State of Illinois. So, please join me in giving them a warm welcome. And will my lovely young ladies please stand up to be welcomed to the Illinois State Senate in Springfield, Illinois?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Welcome to the Illinois State Senate and thank you for your work. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, we're about to welcome President Cullerton's special guest and then we'll be turning to Senate Bills 3rd Reading. If all Members could be at their desks. President Cullerton in the Chair.

PRESIDENT CULLERTON:

Members of the Senate, if can I have your attention, please? Ladies and Gentlemen, Members of the Senate, today we have the honor of welcoming Dr. Miguel Ángel Mancera, the Mayor of Mexico

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

City. Dr. Mancera has a long-standing commitment to public service. Before becoming Mayor, he served as an advisor to municipal officials before becoming Attorney General of Mexico City in 2008. And since becoming Mayor in 2012, Dr. Mancera has been recognized nationally and internationally for his progressive stance and promotion of social justice. His administration has made great strides in health care and administrative reform and is a strong voice against human trafficking. So with a record like that he should feel right at home here in the Illinois Senate. So please join me in welcoming the Mayor of Mexico City, Dr. Miguel Ángel Mancera.

DR. MIGUEL ÁNGEL MANCERA:

(Remarks by Dr. Miguel Ángel Mancera)

PRESIDENT CULLERTON:

Senator Harmon in the Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, the Senate will stand at ease for a few moments to -- to allow the Committee on Assignments to meet. And if all Members of the Committee of -- on Assignments could please report to the President's Anteroom. Senator Oberweis, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

...you, Mr. President. A point of personal privilege. I'd like to extend a warm Springfield welcome to some of our students from Fox Valley Montessori School in Aurora - if they'll please stand up - up in the balcony here.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Will our guests in the galleries please rise and be welcomed to the Illinois State Senate? Senator Bennett, for what purpose

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

do you seek recognition?

SENATOR BENNETT:

Point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Please state your point, Senator.

SENATOR BENNETT:

Thank you, Mr. President. I also have some guests from my Champaign office on the Republican side of the rear gallery. If you'll please stand. I have my interns from my Champaign office today, at least three of them. I have Nathan Fazio, a sophomore; Vera Ratner, a graduating senior from the University of Illinois; and Austin Stadelman, who's a freshman at the University of Illinois in Urbana-Champaign and also the son of Steve Stadelman, a fellow Senator; also, Michelle Gonzales, who runs my Champaign office and does an incredible job. If you'll please give them a warm Senate welcome.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Will our guests please rise and be welcomed to the Illinois State Senate? Senator Link in the Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Stadelman, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR STADELMAN:

A point of personal privilege, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

SENATOR STADELMAN:

Just want to clarify Senator Bennett's remarks. I appreciate his willingness to take on my son and other interns in his office. He does a wonderful job with the interns every semester, showing

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

them what's going on, especially here in Springfield. But, obviously, my son decided to work for a real Senator instead of my office this time around. So, welcome all.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Welcome to Springfield. Senator Harmon in the Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senator Harmon, Chairman of the Committee on Assignments, reports the following Legislative Measures have been assigned: Refer to Executive Committee - Floor Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 31 and Floor Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1289; re-refer from State Government Committee to Executive Committee - House Joint Resolution 34.

Signed, Senator Don Harmon, Chairman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Mr. Secretary. At this point, I would announce that the Senate Executive Committee will meet today at 2:10 p.m. in Room 212. That's the Senate Executive Committee meeting today at 2:10 p.m. in Room 12 {sic}. Senator Bertino-Tarrant, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR BERTINO-TARRANT:

Thank you, Mr. President. For an announcement today.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Please make your announcement.

SENATOR BERTINO-TARRANT:

Thank you. Members of the Senate, I am pleased and happy to announce, in our gallery, students from one of the largest parishes in the Joliet Diocese, seventh grade students from St. Mary

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Immaculate, who are here today to learn about government and what goes on at our Capitol. So please extend them a warm welcome. Students, you want to wave?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Please stand and be welcomed to the Illinois State Senate. Ladies and Gentlemen, we're turning to the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading. This is final action. I'd ask all Members to be at their desks. With leave of the Body, we're going to begin by picking up a handful of bills early in the Calendar that we covered yesterday that were amended since then. So, with leave of the Body, we'll move around a little bit until we get back into the regular order. So we're going to start on page 10 on the printed Calendar. Near the bottom of the page is Senate Bill 58. Senator Hunter. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 58.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 58 was -- was incorporated with changes after discussions with law enforcement and what it basically does is require all law enforcement agencies to adopt a written policy on drug and alcohol testing following officer-involved shootings. The written policy must require each officer who was involved in shootings causing injury or death to a person to submit to alcohol and drug testing. And the agreed-upon language was testing must be -- must take place as soon as

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

practical {sic}, but no later than the end of the officer's shift. I know of no opposition to this bill and I would ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 58 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. And Senate Bill 58, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. With leave of the Body, we're going to turn to page 12 of the printed Calendar. Near the bottom of the page is Senate Bill 315. Senator Morrison. With leave of the Body, we'll come back to that. Senator Morrison, you're also at the top of page 13 on Senate Bill 320. Do you wish to proceed with that one? start with Senate Bill 320. Senator Morrison seeks leave of the Body to recall Senate Bill 320 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Seeing no objection, leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 320. Mr. Secretary, have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Morrison.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Morrison, on your amendment.

SENATOR MORRISON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to adopt this and then discuss it on 3rd, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Senator Morrison moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 320. All in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Have there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 320. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 320.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Morrison.

SENATOR MORRISON:

Mr. President, this bill simply adds a representative of the Department of Public Health to the child death review team.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Is there any discussion? Any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 320 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. And Senate Bill 320, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Morrison, would you like to return to Senate Bill 315 at this point? With leave of the Body, we'll turn back to page 12, near the bottom of the page, Senate Bill 315. Senator Morrison seeks

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

leave of the Body to recall Senate Bill 315 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Seeing no objection, leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 315. Mr. Secretary, have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment 1, offered by Senator Morrison.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Morrison, on your amendment.

SENATOR MORRISON:

Could we please adopt it and discuss it on 3rd?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Morrison moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 315. All in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Have there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Morrison.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Morrison, on Floor Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR MORRISON:

Mr...(microphone cutoff)...I make the same request, please, on this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Morrison moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 315. All in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Have there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 315. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 315.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Morrison.

SENATOR MORRISON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 320 {sic} (315) regulates a binary explosive material called tannerite. It is used exclusively for target practice. I know of no opposition to this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 315 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 42 voting Aye, 7 voting No -- I'm sorry, 43 voting Aye, 7 voting No, none voting Present. And Senate Bill 315, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Castro, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR CASTRO:

Point of introduction, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Please make your introduction, Senator.

SENATOR CASTRO:

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to welcome all the sixth grade students from Highland Elementary School from back in my district in Elgin. So, if you would stand up and be recognized. And welcome to Springfield.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Will our guests please rise and be welcomed to the Illinois State Senate? Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, we're going to turn to page 16 of your printed Calendar. Near the bottom of the page is Senate Bill 473. Senator -- Muñoz seeks leave of the Body to recall Senate Bill 473 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Seeing no objection, leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 473. Mr. Secretary, have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Muñoz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Muñoz, on your amendment.

SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. The amendment becomes the bill. I ask for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Muñoz moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 473. All in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Have there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

473. Senator -- Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 473.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Muñoz.

SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The bill makes four changes to homestead exemption provisions of the Property Tax Code. In Cook County, it increases the amount of senior citizens homestead exemptions to eight thousand dollars. It currently is five thousand right now. The second one, in all counties, increases the maximum increase {sic} (income) limitation to -- qualify for the senior citizens assessment freeze to sixty-five thousand. It's currently at fifty-five thousand, but as they were going around Cook County, a lot of the residents were saying if they can put it to sixty-five, a lot more would be qualified, so that's why they did that. And also, the senior citizen freeze would provide a minimum benefit of a two thousand reduction in EAV. And then last, it increases the general homestead exemption to ten thousand. Currently, it's seven thousand. I will attempt to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 473 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 voting

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. And Senate Bill 473, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, we're going to pick up now where we left off yesterday. We are beginning on page 22 of your printed Calendar, starting with Senate Bill 1008. Senator Althoff. Senator Hutchinson, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Not sure if this is a point of personal privilege, but I made a mistake.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Please state your point, Senator.

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

I was sitting at my desk and neglected to vote for Senate Bill 473. So I was hoping that the record would reflect my true intention, which was to vote Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Record will reflect your true intention, Senator. Thank you for that correction. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, we're going to be skipping over some bills that we believe are not ready to be called at the request of the sponsor, trying to streamline our Calendar management today. We're going to turn next to the top of page 23. Senate Bill 1020. Senator Connelly. Mr. -- Mr. Secretary, Senator Connelly seeks leave of the Body to recall Senate Bill 1020 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Seeing no objection, leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1020. Mr. Secretary, have there been any -- Senator Connelly would like to take this bill out of the record. Mr. Secretary, do we need to put that back on 3rd Reading? 3rd Reading. We're going to jump ahead to Senate Bill 1029. Senator Barickman. Senator

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Barickman seeks leave of the Body to recall Senate Bill 1029 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Seeing no objection, leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1029. Mr. Secretary, have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration? ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Yes, Mr. President. Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Barickman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Barickman, on your amendment.

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to adopt the amendment and it becomes the bill. I'll explain it on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Barickman moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1029. All in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Have there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading, Senate Bill 1029. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 1029.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Barickman.

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Thanks, Mr. President. This is an initiative of the Illinois Environmental Council. It creates the Illinois Natural Area -- Areas Stewardship Act. The program allows for non-profit land conservation organizations to apply for grants for land stewardship. The grant program draws out of the Natural Areas Acquisition Fund and can be only -- can only be used for eligible lands. Know of no opposition. This bill does not create any new taxes or fees, simply changes the allowable purpose of the fund. Ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1029 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1029, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. We're going to move down the page to Senate Bill -- I'm sorry, we're going to turn to page 25 of your printed Calendar. We're going to turn to Senate Bill 1072. Senator McConchie. Mr. Secretary, Senator McConchie seeks leave of the Body to recall Senate Bill 1072 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Seeing no objection, leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1072. Mr. Secretary, have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration? ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Yes. Floor Amendment 2, offered by Senator McConchie.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

...McConchie, on your amendment.

SENATOR McCONCHIE:

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Thank you, Mr. President. The amendment becomes the bill. I move for its adoption, discuss it on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator McConchie moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1072. All in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Have there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1072. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 1072.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator McConchie.

SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Thank you, Mr. President. The bill simply requires that on a local property tax bill, if there is an abatement by a local government, that that amount be clearly denoted as to how much it is. It's a good thing for transparency and provides an incentive for local governments to give money back to taxpayers. Be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1072 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. And Senate Bill 1072, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1073. Senate Bill 1085. Senator Althoff. Let's jump forward to page 27 on your printed Calendar. Senate Bill 1122. Senator McConnaughay. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, we're going to turn to page 28 of the printed Calendar. Senate Bill 1224. Senator Syverson. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 1224.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Syverson, on the bill.

SENATOR SYVERSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. This legislation just moves the task force with -- for opportunities for person {sic} with disabilities, it moves it from Employment Security over to DHS. Don't know of any opposition and would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1224 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1224, having received the

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Continuing on this Order, Senate Bill 1208. Senator Brady. I'm going the wrong direction on the Calendar. Senate Bill 1225. Senator Syverson. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 1225.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Syverson.

SENATOR SYVERSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. This just is some language cleanup regarding trust funds from a audit from the Department of Human Services. Know of no objection and just ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1225 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1225, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1226. Senator Syverson. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 1226.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Senator Syverson.

SENATOR SYVERSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. This, as amended, is language that -- that addresses the administration of medications in day programs. And I think most of the issues have all been worked out with everybody and would just ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1226 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1226, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1251. Senator Harris. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 1251.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Harris.

SENATOR HARRIS:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. 1251 provides the methods of taking are subject to modification by administrative rule based on lake-wide scientific assessment of - data or fishery needs. No opposition and look for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none,

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

the question is, shall Senate Bill 1251 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1251, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1252. Senator Harris. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 1252.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Harris.

SENATOR HARRIS:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1252 provides the Department of Natural Resources may issue a maximum of five commercial fishing licenses that the Department shall advertise through public drawings to accept new qualified commercial fishing candidates and establish a ranking order to fill open Lake Michigan commercial fishing licenses. No opposition. Request a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1252 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. And Senate Bill 1252, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Bill 1267. Senator Sandoval. Top of page 29 of your printed Calendar. Senate Bill 1276. Senator Barickman. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 1276.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Barickman.

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Thanks, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1276 is an initiative of the Department of Human Services. Makes two changes. First, it will change the initial forensic examiner's report to align the likeliness of retaining fitness with the statutory period of fitness restoration. It will also change the reporting period for individuals adjudicated not guilty by reason of insanity from every sixty days to every ninety days. I know of no opposition. Ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator Barickman. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1276 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1276, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator McCann, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR McCANN:

On a point of personal privilege, once again, Mr. President.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Please state your point, Senator.

SENATOR McCANN:

Thank you, sir. I would like to introduce -- here on the Republican side of the gallery, directly above me, we have some more eighth grade visitors here as part of Illinois History Fair. We have eighth grade students from Blessed Sacrament School, right here in Springfield. So, let's welcome 'em to the Capitol today. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Welcome to the Illinois State Senate. Continuing on the order of the Calendar, Senate Bills 3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1286. Senator Mulroe. Senator Mulroe seeks leave of the Body to recall Senate Bill 1286 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Seeing no objection, leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1286. Mr. Secretary, have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Yes. Floor Amendment No. 1, by Senator Mulroe.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Mulroe, on your amendment.

SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. I -- I move for its adoption and I -- I'll explain it on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Mulroe moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1286. All in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Have there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Yes. Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Mulroe.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Mulroe, on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR MULROE:

Mr. President, I believe we did not hear that in committee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Bear with us for one moment.

SENATOR MULROE:

Sure.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Let's check our paperwork. Thank you, Senator Mulroe. We believe that Floor Amendment No. 3 has been referred by committee. So, Mr. Secretary, is that where we are? Can you read Amendment 3? Senator Mulroe, on Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd also ask that it -- move for its amendment {sic} and I'll discuss it on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Mulroe moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1286. All in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Have there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1286. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Senate Bill 1286.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Mulroe.

SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you again, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1286 is a comprehensive rewrite of Illinois' captive insurance law. It provides clarity to current law and provides for the formation and operation of captive insurers. I know of no opposition. I'd ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1286 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. And Senate Bill 1286, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Leave of the Body, we'll return to Senate Bill 1289. Senate Bill 1290. Senator Rezin. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 1290.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Rezin.

SENATOR REZIN:

Thank you -- thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1290

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

allows Waltham Community School to exceed its debt from -- increase its debt from six to nine million dollars. All of the taxing bodies have sent letters of support. And what's unique about this bill is that taxpayers will not be asked to pay for that; that there was a negotiated agreement from the TIF monies to help pay for this bond issue for a new school. I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1290 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 51 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. And Senate Bill 1290, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1294. Senator Hutchinson. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. Senator Hutchinson seeks leave of the Body to recall Senate Bill 1294 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Seeing no objection, leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1294. Mr. Secretary, have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Yes. Floor Amendment 2, offered by Senator Hutchinson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Hutchinson, on your amendment.

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Floor Amendment 2 becomes the bill. I'll explain it on 3rd. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Hutchinson moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1294. All in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Have there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading, Senate Bill 1294. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 1294.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Hutchinson.

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1294, as amended by Floor Amendment No. 2, creates the Industrial Hemp Act, which allows any person to apply to the Illinois Department of Agriculture for a license to grow, cultivate, or process industrial hemp or industrial hemp related products. The bill gives the Department the discretion to adopt rules necessary for the administration and enforcement of the Act, including rules concerning the standards and criteria for licensure. The bill defines industrial hemp as the plant Cannabis sativa L. and any part of that plant, whether growing or not, with a THC concentration of not more than .3 percent on a dry weight basis. The bill also removes industrial hemp from the definition of "cannabis" in the Cannabis Control Act. This bill really is about the ability of Illinois farmers in this State to be able to grow and produce industrial hemp so that we can make anything that

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

you can get in the grocery store right now, anything you can get in a Whole Foods or Hy-Vee or Mariano's right now. And so I'm really excited to bring this forward and I do want to -- I did want to say a note that I had a really wonderful cosponsor in Senator Sam McCann. So, it's a new day. Happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Is there any discussion? Senator McCann, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR McCANN:

To the -- to the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

To the bill, Senator.

SENATOR McCANN:

I would just like to recommend an Aye vote on this wonderful piece of legislation. Illinois used to lead the nation in the production of industrial hemp. I think we should seize every opportunity to restore ourselves as the "Capital of the Midwest" once again. And I want to thank the sponsor for bringing this amazing piece of legislation. And thank you for allowing me to be your cosponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Is there any further discussion? Seeing -- Senator Hutchinson wishes to -- oh! I'm sorry. Senator Holmes, do you seek recognition?

SENATOR HOLMES:

Thank you, Mr. President. I just want to thank the sponsor of this important piece of legislation. We need to understand that Illinois, first and foremost, is an agricultural state and

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

the uses for hemp are many and varied and I'm glad to see that we're going to be able to grow that in Illinois. So I urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator Holmes. Senator Hutchinson, to close. SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

We -- we cannot let Kentucky beat us. We can do this. Eighty-eight percent of our land use is agriculturally based. We have some of the best farmland in the Midwest. We got to win this one. So I just -- I hope I see a whole lot of green buttons on this bill. And I'm so excited that we're moving it forward. So, thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator Hutchinson. The question is, shall Senate Bill 12 -- 1294 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. And Senate Bill 1294, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1296. Senator Hutchinson. Senate Bill 1304. Senator Anderson. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 1304.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Anderson.

SENATOR ANDERSON:

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1304, this is a -- a pretty simple piece of legislation. Basically what we're saying is, is we're going to put in statute that to be a fire chief of a paid department - this excludes volunteer departments - but, to be a chief, you either have to do one of two things, either have to have the proper training and/or you have to have at least ten years' experience. This is something that I was very surprised that wasn't in statute to begin with. But I -- I would ask for an Aye vote and I'm -- I'm willing to answer any questions, Mr. President. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator Anderson. Is there any discussion? Senator Raoul, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR RAOUL:

To the bill. I just...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

To the bill, Senator.

SENATOR RAOUL:

I just rise in support of the gentleman's bill and I urge every Member to vote with my teammate, Yes on this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 1304 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 voting Aye, none voting No, 1 voting Present. And Senate Bill 1304, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator McCann, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR McCANN:

37

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Mr. President, thank you for recognizing me once again. On a -- point of personal privilege, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

State your point, Senator.

SENATOR McCANN:

I rise the third time and I'll try to let this be the charm, Mr. President. I would like to recognize one of those people who make this Chamber work. There -- there are an awful lot of people who do an awful lot of work behind the scenes and one of those people is one of our full-time Pages, Jason Edwards, and today is his twentieth birthday. So, if you could call join me in saying happy birthday to Jason.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Happy birthday, Jason. Thanks for the work you do. Senate Bill 1312. Senator Muñoz. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 1312.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Muñoz.

SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate bill removes the State Attorney's approval requirement in order for a veteran or servicemember to participate in Veterans Servicemember {sic} (and Servicemembers) Court. It also amends certain provisions within the Act to be consistent with Mental (Health) Court Treatment Act. I know of no opposition to the bill and I'll attempt to answer any questions.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1312 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. And Senate Bill 1312, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1319. We'll come back to Senate Bill 1319. Thank you. Senate Bill 1321. Senator Connelly. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 1321.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Connelly.

SENATOR CONNELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1321 is an initiative of the DuPage County State's Attorney's Office. It removes an inconsistency and ambiguity in two offenses, the offense of grooming and the offense of traveling to meet a minor. I know of no opponents and I ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1321 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 voting Aye, none voting

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

No, none voting Present. And Senate Bill 1321, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1322. Mr. Secretary -- Senator Steans seeks leave of the Body to recall Senate Bill 1322 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Seeing no objection, leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1322. Mr. Secretary, have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Steans.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Steans, on your amendment.

SENATOR STEANS:

It becomes the bill. I'll speak to it on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Steans moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1322. All in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Have there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading, Senate Bill 1322. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 1322.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Steans.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

SENATOR STEANS:

Yes, I want to thank the Department of Public Health and Department of Human Services to {sic} work with me on this to get it to an agreed bill. It is providing that if a facility has received notice from DPH that its SMHRF provisional license application has been accepted as complete and the facility has verified in writing to the Department that it will comply with Division of Mental Health staff training program, that the provisional license shall be issued at the facility within sixty days. I would look forward to your Aye votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1322 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. And Senate Bill 1322, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1337. Senator Rezin. Top of page 30 of your printed Calendar. Bill 1347. Senator Biss. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 1347.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Biss.

SENATOR BISS:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1347 creates the Living Wage Act, which simply says that --

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

contractors and subcontractors working for the State of Illinois must pay a living wage of sixteen dollars and thirty-six cents per hour, increasing with inflation every single year. We do a pretty good job in our State, and many local governments, of having direct employees have collective bargaining rights and -- and, therefore, typically decent wages - and that's important economically; it's also important as we as a State try to send a signal about our value system - but we often fall off when it comes to contractors and subcontractors. Senate Bill 1347 solves that problem. I think it's a matter of economic growth for the State and economic justice for our residents and constituents. And I would urge an Aye vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Senator McCarter, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR McCARTER:

Question of the sponsor, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Sponsor indicates that he'll yield. Senator McCarter.

SENATOR McCARTER:

Senator, what -- what would happen to a vendor if they -- they failed to -- to pay the sixteen dollars and thirty-seven {sic} cents an hour?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Biss.

SENATOR BISS:

There is a variety of consequences, including DOL being authorized to impose penalties and, I think crucially, consideration in awarding of future contracts, as well as liability for unpaid wages.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator McCarter.

SENATOR McCARTER:

Could they ever be kept from being a vendor? I mean, could they be disbar -- disbarred?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Biss.

SENATOR BISS:

Yes, if they became repeat violators.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator McCarter.

SENATOR McCARTER:

And I think a repeat would be a second offense. Let me ask you this: Do you -- do you think this would increase or decrease the cost of goods and services to the State of Illinois?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Biss.

SENATOR BISS:

I -- I think it would do the following: I think it would result in significant economic expansion. I think that expansion would result in increased revenue to the State. I think that the -- simultaneously, obviously, those wages would be increased, which would in the short term possibly increase costs paid the State -- by the State. It's unclear of course, but I think if you look at the likely dynamic consequence of those two outcomes balanced against each other, my judgement is that it would be beneficial to the State's bottom line over time.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator McCarter.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

SENATOR McCARTER:

To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

To the bill, Senator.

SENATOR McCARTER:

Ladies and Gentlemen, we're -- if -- if you had -hadn't noticed that -- we've got a budget crisis and this bill is not going to help our budget crisis whatsoever. I understand it's -- it's a nice -- nice gesture, especially during campaign time, to say that you care for people and you want their vote. But, this will only increase the cost of goods and services to the State of Illinois. If whoever's providing those products is forced to pay this sixteen dollars and thirty-seven {sic} cents, the price will go up. And -- and here's another thing, you may -- you may -- you may take them away as a vendor -- potential vendor, because I quarantee you they're not going to separate their operations. If they're selling to the State and they're selling in the private sector, they're not going to have a corner of their factory that produces goods just for the State of Illinois, paying sixteen dollars and thirty-seven {sic} cents, so you can have a special price, a special increased price just for goods going to the State. They're not going to separate that. They won't have the efficiencies. They will just quit. You will reduce the number of vendors, you will increase the price, just so you can say that you care for some people. If you want to say that you care for people, pass a budget and live within your means - live within your means. This is a -- this is a very bad bill and it's seriously flawed. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Senator Rooney, for what purpose do you rise?

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

SENATOR ROONEY:

Mr. President, to the bill, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

To the bill, Senator.

SENATOR ROONEY:

I just -- teach for a living that price ceilings and price floors do more damage than good. I'm not going to vote for one when I come down here.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Thank you, Senator. Senator Biss, to close.

SENATOR BISS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Just two -- two brief comments about the discussion we had. First of all, I have -- I have some good news for the previous speaker - we just saved you a penny. It's sixteen thirty-six an hour, is what's mandated in -- in -- in the bill. But -- but, secondly, I think we're hearing a real -- a real explanation of the -- of the dispute underway. The question is, do we want to encourage using the buying power of the State, businesses across the State to raise wages and create greater economic opportunity for all, which will eventually lift up the State's economy and bring in more revenue, or do we want to just participate in a race to the bottom? I think the choice is clear. For those of you who agree, please vote Aye.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 1347 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the -- the record. On that question, there are 29 voting Aye -- 29 voting Aye, 21 voting No. And Senate Bill 1347, having

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

failed to receive the required constitutional majority, is declared lost. Senator Biss.

SENATOR BISS:

I'd like to place this on the Order of Postponed Consideration, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HARMON)

Mr. Secretary, please put that on the Order of Postponed Consideration. Senator Muñoz in the Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senate Bill 1351. Senator Biss. Senator Biss. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1353. Senator Steans. Indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1353.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Steans.

SENATOR STEANS:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. This bill raises to sixty dollars the personal needs allowance for people living in community-integrated living arrangements, or CILAs, the medically complex developmental disability facilities, or the I -- you know, the MC/DDs, or intermediate care facilities, ICF/DDs, and it also does the same for residents of SMHRFs. They have been at thirty dollars personal needs allowance for many years. There's a cost of three million dollars to this, I will note. I think that we have not raised these for an -- an amazingly long period of time - in a lot of cases, not since 1988 for

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

individuals. This year, the Departments, I will note, are opponents - I think because of the fiscal costs. Last year, they were neutral on a similar bill. It's a very small cost and I think one that gives dignity to the residents who have not -- who have been living at thirty dollars a month for their personal needs allowance for a very long time. Urge your Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? Senator Syverson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR SYVERSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

To the bill, Senator.

SENATOR SYVERSON:

While I certainly appreciate what the sponsor's trying to do and there is -- it's been a number of years since it was increased, it's just, as she even mentioned, the problem is the cost. Right now, we can't -- we can't pay our bills. We can't even pay the bills to the facilities that these -- these patients are -- and residents are in. And so, to increase spending at this point, I think would be irresponsible. And hopefully, if we get our budget issue resolved, this would be something that we could address after that, in fact. But I would urge a No vote just because we cannot afford to add new spending to our current budget. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Steans, to close.

SENATOR STEANS:

I remain very optimistic that we're working collegially here

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

and are going to get to a budget. I would urge your Aye vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 1353 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 35 voting Aye, 18 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1353, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1355. Senator Hastings. Senator Hastings. Out of the record. Senator Tracy, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR TRACY:

Thank you, Mr. -- or -- Mr. President. I would like to show and have the record reflect that, on Senate Bill 1351 $\{sic\}$, I wish to be recorded as a No vote. I inadvertently hit the wrong button.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

The record will reflect, Senator, your intent was a No vote on Senate Bill 1351. Correction: 1353, a No vote. Senate Bill 1373. Senator McConnaughay. Indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1373.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator McConnaughay.

SENATOR McCONNAUGHAY:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1373 amends the

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Department of Transportation Law, the Reginal Transportation Authority Act, and repeals the Bi-State Transit Safety Act. This has to do -- deal with cleanup language for programs, federal programs, that no longer exist. I know of no opposition. I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1373 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1373, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1376. Senator McConnaughay. Indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1376.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator McConnaughay.

SENATOR McCONNAUGHAY:

Thank you, Mr. President. And like the last bill, this one also makes technical changes to update a statute as it relates to discontinued programs. So this amends the Civil Administration {sic} (Administrative) Code of Illinois, making the technical changes and updating the statute as it relates to the IDOT rail freight program. And I know of no opposition to this one as well and also ask for an Aye vote.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1376 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1376, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1386. Senator Lightford. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1400. Senator Mulroe. Senator Mulroe seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 1400 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1400. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Mulroe.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Mulroe, on your amendment.

SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. The amendment actually addressed AFSCME's opposition. I'll explain it more on 3rd. I'd move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

3rd Reading. On the Order of the 3rd Reading, Mr. Secretary,

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1400.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Mulroe.

SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is an initiative of the -- of the Department of Public Health. It -- Senate Bill 1400 consolidates the substantive statutory provisions relating to the Department of Public Health's (Health) Care Worker Registry into one Act. The amendment actually clarified language and definitions to reduce possible confusion. It addressed AFSCME's concerns. We got it about eighty-five percent right. We've agreed to continue working on it in the House. So I'd ask that it be passed out of the Senate and then in the House continue working on it. So I'd ask for your favorable votes. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? Any discussion? There being none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1400 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1400, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1409. Senator Connelly. Indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Senate Bill 1409.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Connelly.

SENATOR CONNELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1409 is an initiative of the DuPage County State's Attorney's Office. Makes a number of changes to financial crimes against the elderly and disabled. I'm aware of no opponents and I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1409 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1409, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1410. Senator... Indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1410.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Connelly.

SENATOR CONNELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Senate Bill

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

1410 is a repeat of a bill we passed unanimously last year that, for some reason, got held up in the House. It provides -- it amends the Police Training Act to provide that courses in cybercrimes and crimes committed with personal technology devices shall be part of a curriculum for probationary police officers. Again, I'm not aware of any opponents.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1410 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1410, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1415. Senator Holmes. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1417. Senator Althoff. Senator Althoff. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1424. Senator Biss. Indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1424.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Biss.

SENATOR BISS:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1424 takes on what is, I think, a pretty severe problem in modern American life, which is the role that big money plays in our politics. It does this by -- instead of doing what many have

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

sought to do and try to get around Supreme Court free speech requirements, we don't do any of that. Instead, we create a parallel system that candidates can opt into. Candidates who don't like the system can continue funding their campaigns in the way that currently exists in Illinois law, but those who choose to opt in can limit their contributions to a smaller size and, in exchange for accepting that limitation, have their especially small contributions matched to the six-to-one level, thus elevating the voices of ordinary participants in the campaign process and hopefully dampening the voices of the biggest participants. This is something that sounds ambitious and difficult and hard to believe, but it's actually been in place in New York City for several -- for several years now and has had a significant impact on the kinds of people who are able to participate in their political process, as well as the policy-making process enacted by their city council. It's something that can be made to work. It's something that doesn't require waiting for constitutional change in Washington. And it's something that would transform our system in a really beneficial way. Happy to take your questions and I would certainly urge your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? Senator Righter, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield, please? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Sponsor indicates he will yield.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Biss, my first question

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

is, what is the effective date of the -- of the legislation? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Biss.

SENATOR BISS:

The effective date is immediate, but if I could anticipate where I think this question is going, to set up the process by which this would be implemented takes time. There's, for instance, a six-month window -- before which the -- during which the board gets appointed - that would take us into 2018. Then there's a yearlong implementation phase. So it would not have an impact on the 2018 election cycle, much as you might prefer for it to.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Senator, I -- I -- you -- that was well anticipated. But I -- I -- I have to ask you, if that's the case, then wouldn't it have been smart, considering you are the sponsor, to put language in there to delay the effective date so the perception isn't that you personally will benefit from this in your campaign?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Biss.

SENATOR BISS:

I'm a little baffled by the perception and I think the statement that I made is clear. It's factual; it's in the bill. Now, if -- if you're prepared to support the bill, I'd be happy to do that and just delay the effective date. Is that something you're comfortable doing?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Righter.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Oh, absolutely not. That really was for your benefit, Senator. That was for your benefit, Senator, not mine. But now let's ask questions that I'm concerned about. I think of all of the -- I -- all of the things that our constituents back home would look to Springfield and say, "Wow, I cannot believe they are spending my hard-earned tax dollars on that", all of those things would completely drop off the radar screen once they realize that they're paying for today's campaign commercials. My question for you, Senator, is, when I get that question back home, what is your response? What is the value of asking the taxpayers to pay for today's campaigns?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Biss.

SENATOR BISS:

It's to have a functioning democracy, Senator. We ask the taxpayers to pay for the machines at polling places. We ask for the taxpayers to pay for salaries of election judges. We ask for the taxpayers to pay for a variety of things associated with having a functioning election system so we can have a functioning democracy, so we can have a functioning government. I see this as a crucial part of that. And I will tell you that when I talk with my constituents at home, they have deep, deep concerns about the nexus between private money, private interests and electoral politics, and would see a measure to improve our situation in that regard as enormously beneficial.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Well, Senator, my -- my question was aimed at the content. How is this bill going to improve the conduct and the messaging of the candidates on TV? Because that's the implication you're giving, that this is going to make the system better. Well, that requires a change, to some extent, in the messaging. How does this bill affect that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Biss.

SENATOR BISS:

Well, first of all, I would say that this bill, most importantly, would result in a change in the conduct of elected officials, which I think is the most important thing. But -- but, to -- to answer your question directly, look, the question is, who ought candidates appeal to, who ought campaigns communicate with? This sets up a system where it will be much more important and valuable for candidates to appeal to ordinary people, who, as you are pointing out right now very, very adeptly, are turned off by our system, are repulsed by our system. This is a bill that if enacted into law, would reward people for appealing to the broad communities that they are seeking to represent and -- and motivating more participation. I think that would be pretty transformative in our political process.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

To the bill, if I might?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

To the bill, Senator.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Thank you very much, Mr. President. Thank you, Senator Biss, for those answers. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this bill would literally guarantee a candidate at least twelve million out of GRF in a campaign and could be as much as fifty million. But, you know what, let's just stay with twelve, let's just stay with This isn't going to change the character or nature of campaigns; it's just going to change who's paying for 'em - the same people, by the way, who are paying for your roads and your schools and your police officers and your fire protection and efforts to clean up your water. Now, in this building right now, we are working to try to find money to meet our priorities and not bankrupt taxpayers out there. You vote for this bill, the message to them is, you know what, those things are important, but we really want you to pay for our commercials. The commercials that they can't stand watching anymore, you're going to tell your constituents they're going to get to pay for those. There is a continuing appropriation in this bill, which means that the money will go out to pay for these things regardless of what the General Assembly says, a continuing -- automatic. You know where you don't have a continuing appropriation? Your schools. So, is it more important to make sure schools have money or to make sure the candidates and the politicians have money? That's the message that this bill sends. I urge a No vote. Thank you, Mr. President. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator McConchie, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Sponsor indicates he will yield.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Thank you. Senator Biss, how is this funded? Is this -- my understanding is, this is funded completely from GRF, correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Biss.

SENATOR BISS:

That's right.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator McConchie.

SENATOR McCONCHIE:

So, would you -- given our fiscal situation - six billion dollars currently overspending, we -- we don't know how it is that we're going to be able to balance our -- our books and so forth - what about a -- a system similar to what the federal government has? I recently did my taxes and there was a little check box at the top in which I could contribute to the presidential campaign fund, and out of that, those funds are made available for people under particular circumstances, but it was completely voluntary. Would you consider a voluntary system like this, instead of this involuntary system that you have here?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Biss.

SENATOR BISS:

Well, I think the last ten years of history have shown that the federal system has significant problems with it. I think the implication here - and I think the -- you and the previous speaker are getting {sic} in a similar direction here - is that this is something that we somehow can't afford right now - and, boy, can we not afford anything right now. But I would peel back the layers

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

of the onion a little bit and ask us why we can't afford anything right now and ask who has been pulling the strings when it comes to designing our revenue system and who has been pulling the strings that result in the kinds of decisions that -- get made around here. I think if that we were to fix that question, it would have a significantly beneficial impact on our State's bottom line.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator McConchie.

SENATOR McCONCHIE:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

To the bill, Senator.

SENATOR McCONCHIE:

You know, obviously, we do have a financial situation here. We -- there's many things that we can't afford. There -- you know, I'd be interested in talking about a voluntary system that people could decide to get into, but your out-of-hand dismissal of that really makes me question what really the ultimate purpose is of this. And so I'll encourage a No vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Barickman, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Sponsor indicates he will yield.

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Senator, I want to pick up where Senator Righter left off maybe. But can you explain this notion of a continuing

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

appropriation and why you have introduced this legislation with such a continuing appropriation?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Biss.

SENATOR BISS:

Simply to ensure that this would work.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Barickman.

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

What do you mean? What do you mean, just so it would work? I mean, why the reason for a continuing appropriation versus a request of the General Assembly to fund this on an annual basis? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Biss.

SENATOR BISS:

Because if you think about the order in which things would have to happen, there would be, you know, for example, people making contributions with the expectation of the match prior to the -- prior to the enactment of a budget. It's a problem that we've run into in other areas, in pretty obvious and painful ways, and I think all of those need to be looked at.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Barickman.

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Right, but for all of those to be looked at, have you introduced legislation that, say, would create a continuing appropriation for our schools?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Biss.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

SENATOR BISS:

I have not.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Barickman.

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

So I'm confused by -- you said, you know, let's -- let's talk about the -- the need here and the priority that needs to be set and the logical order for which you wish to create. But the logical order for which you're creating here is that a fund that is -- consists of dollars from taxpayers would go first to political campaigns, such as your own under this legislation, all before schools, social service providers, and all the other many interests that rely on a State budget. So what priority are you sending? What -- why -- why are you doing that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Biss.

SENATOR BISS:

Well, I certainly wouldn't use the word "before". It's being appropriated in a different way on a different calendar, and that's because of the timeline on which electoral campaigns happen. So if -- if you're making an argument about the -- the relationship between the school year, for example, and the timeline of our budget, I think that's an important conversation to happen. But this is something that if you were to have this subject to a subsequent appropriation, there would be an incredible amount of miscommunication between people about how the program would operate and I think it would not be effective.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Barickman.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

The school districts throughout the State today - we're in May - have been convening for thirty, sixty, or more days to do budget planning for the fall and spring of '17 and '18, yet they don't have and you have not introduced a continuing appropriation for them. Yet, again, I'm still not understanding why you have decided that a continuing appropriation to accommodate an election calendar for which you're subjected to is more important than all the planning that all those administrators, students, teachers, and parents are relying on people like you and me to come together on a budget. What's the priority again that you have here in a continuing appropriation?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator, I've let you ask several questions and I think he's been trying to answer to the best he could. If you want to do to the bill? One more. Senator...

SENATOR BISS:

Yes, well, perhaps, the lesson that we've unfortunately learned for the last two years is that no State priority ought to be subject to an annual appropriation, and if that's the direction that you want to go, then let's talk about how to put the entire State budget in a continuing appropriation. The bottom line is that this bill is -- exists to deal with a pressing problem and I've designed it in a way that I think it will actually work to take that problem on.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Barickman. To close?

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

To -- to the bill.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

To the bill?

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Yeah, to the bill. Thank you, Mr. President. Listen, Ladies and Gentlemen, look, this is a incredibly dangerous precedent that is proposed here by the sponsor. The responses to the questions here are that it's about the process and the election calendar. But let's -- let's be clear here, this is not a debate about calendars. This is a debate about priorities, priorities of this Legislature in this bill that suggest that it's more important than {sic} the people of this State fund political campaigns than they fund all the other things that aren't funded by a continuing appropriation, things that mean something to the people we all represent, things that matter to people at home that aren't about elections, but are about lives. I urge a No vote. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Rose, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR ROSE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Question, if I may.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Sponsor indicates he will yield.

SENATOR ROSE:

Senator Biss, is there anything in this bill that would prevent these dollars from being used on negative advertising, negative attacks, the type of spewing hatred and venom we've come to see from elections?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Biss.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

SENATOR BISS:

What this bill does is incentivizes candidates to run a campaign that appeals to people, appeals to a broad mass of people. And specifically that kind of negative campaign that turns people off that all of us hate so much, by virtue of closing off that opportunity for potential candidates, would be -- that would be counterproductive. So I think that - to a question asked by the previous sponsor - the primary purpose of this is to change behavior in government, but I think it would also have a -- a really significant benefit on an ancillary level in change in behavior in campaigns, because it incentivizes campaigns that actually appeal to people.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Rose.

SENATOR ROSE:

So, the direct answer to my question was no; there's nothing that prevents people from using this to spew hatred, venom, or otherwise.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Biss.

SENATOR BISS:

There is no restriction on free speech in this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Rose.

SENATOR ROSE:

Thank you. Senator Biss, I -- you've been around for a while. Let's go back in time for a minute. Let's go back to Governor Blagojevich. Do you remember when he accused Judy Baar Topinka as having been George Ryan's Treasurer and he said, "Judy Baar

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Topinka, George Ryan's Treasurer, what was she thinking?" Do you remember that ad?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Biss.

SENATOR BISS:

I was not a legislator at the time, but as a citizen, I did have the occasion to see that ad once or twice.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Rose.

SENATOR ROSE:

Well, she -- at the time that ad had run -- the time that ad had run, she was also Governor Blagojevich's Treasurer, because the Office of Treasurer is independently elected, as we all know in this -- in this Chamber. That deliberately distorted, deliberately lied to the people of the State of Illinois, and deliberately defamed her. If this bill passes today and we're going to use taxpayer money to spew venom at each other, the people of Illinois are going to look and say, "What the heck are those guys thinking?" "What the heck are they thinking?" - and they'd be right.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Raoul, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR RAOUL:

To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

To the bill, Senator.

SENATOR RAOUL:

I'm a little bit amazed, Mr. President, all this outrage over negative campaigning being expressed today. There's been radio

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

silence over the last couple of years about that negative campaigning. That doesn't come from public financing; it comes from the über rich. And what has happened as a result of that, there's been a lack of -- courage in this Chamber, as well as in the other Chamber, because of private funds being used to be hung over people's head to make them afraid of their shadows. And I think we need to escape that period of time. There have been a couple of courageous people who have tried to move this -- this government forward. All this expression of concern about education funding and -- and the budget, well, we haven't seen that concern when we're -- there's a good faith effort to try to move those things forward. This rings of hypocrisy to me. Efforts towards public financing help us move away from this ugly era of government, this ugly era of government that is dominated by folks who are able to put millions in a -- a campaign fund and hang it over certain legislators' heads to say either be with me or I'll find somebody to replace you. We need to move away from that. This is a measure that can help us do that. This is not something that hasn't been tried elsewhere. We need to make an effort to try to change the -- the -- for the benefit of Members on both sides of the aisle, to try to change the dynamics down here. And I -- I urge support for the sponsor's bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Nybo, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR NYBO:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

To the bill, Senator.

SENATOR NYBO:

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

And, actually, I'd -- I -- I'd like to just direct my brief comments, because I think we've discussed this bill enough, but -- but to the previous speaker. The suggestion that campaign money is being held over our heads, that there's been a lack of courage, and -- and I'm not exactly sure who he's -- was referring to, but the insinuation that -- that some of us have been threatened is -- is absolutely untrue. I -- I've heard that a couple times for the last month and -- and it's not true, and I'm -- I'm hearing some remarks on the other side of the aisle, but no one's threatened me. I'm not aware of any of my colleagues who have been threatened and the insinuation that the -- the comments that we make, the positions that we hold, and the votes that we take is somehow not how we sincerely feel about things, in that it's not based on principle, I -- I think that's quite candidly an offensive suggestion. So, I'm going to urge a No vote on this bill. But more broadly speaking, I'm going to ask that we, again, try to be as civil as we can. You know, I'm a man of my word, I want to get things done, but, you know, let -- let -- let's try to work with each other, let's not throw accusations out there, and let's certainly not throw accusations out there that are not founded in truth. So -- but I urge a No vote on this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Biss, to close.

SENATOR BISS:

Thank you, Mr. President, and thank you for this debate that I found really instructive. I -- I want to, in closing, home in on a comment made by one of the -- the latter speakers on the topic of Governor Blagojevich, who ran some pretty nasty, negative ads that I think we can all agree were a little bit misleading. But

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

he also did other stuff that's probably more important for us to remember in thinking about his legacy in political campaigns. And when we think about why he lives where he lives right now, that has a lot to do with the campaign fundraising tactics that he was involved in because of him being another candidate involved in a desperate effort to bring in more big contributions from wealthy individuals and powerful interests. And that is the problem that we're trying to solve with this bill. It's a problem that's gotten way worse in recent years, partially for cultural reasons, partially because of the U.S. Supreme Court, and partially because of some specifics going on in Illinois right now. And it's a problem that impinges on our ability right here in this Chamber to have legislation that's good for all of our constituents rather than just a couple of them. And so this approach to improve the mechanism by which candidates raise money for campaigns will transform the question of who people in this room are really answering to and it's something, like the speaker behind me mentioned, is happening right now in other parts of the country and worse. It's not radical. It's not impossible. It's not different. It's something that can be done. It's being done elsewhere. When it's done, it transforms politics, and I think our politics in Illinois are in need of transformation. I urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 1424 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 30 voting Aye, 23 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1424, having received

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Righter, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. I seek to verify the roll call on that most recent vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Righter requested a verification. Will all Members please be in their seats? To all Members, please be in your seats for verification of roll call. Mr. Secretary will read the affirmative votes.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Members voting in the affirmative: Aquino, Bennett, Biss, Bush, Castro, Clayborne, Collins, Cunningham, Harmon, Harris, Hastings, Holmes, Hunter, Hutchinson, Koehler, Lightford, Link, Manar, Martinez, McGuire, Morrison, Mulroe, Muñoz, Raoul, Sandoval, Stadelman, Steans, Trotter, Van Pelt, Mr. President.

Does Senator Righter question the presence of any Member voting in the affirmative?

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Senator Harris, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is Senator Harris in the Chamber? Senator Harris? If not, Mr. Secretary, strike the name. Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

That'll be all, Mr. President. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Harmon, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR HARMON:

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. -- Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

State your inquiry.

SENATOR HARMON:

If -- if a Member is struck from the roll call during the verification process and it takes him some time to return to the Senate Floor, is that Member then added back to the roll call, Mr. President? Could you consult with the Parliamentarian on that, please?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Yes. Give me a moment. If they came back before we closed the roll, then they would be reinstated. Senator Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. If a Member is being detained by another Member of the -- never mind. Thank you very much, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Mr. Secretary, Senator Harris is in the Chamber. Can you put his name back in? Senator Righter, is there any other Member? SENATOR RIGHTER:

Well, at the risk of prompting Senator Harmon to get up and talk some more in the middle of the verification, Senator Sandoval. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Sandoval? Is Senator Sandoval in the Chamber? Senator Sandoval in the Chamber? Mr. Secretary, strike his name. Any others? On a verified roll call, there are 29 Ayes, 23 Nays, 0 voting Present. Having failed to receive the required constitutional majority, Senate Bill 1412 {sic} is declared failed. Senator Biss.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

SENATOR BISS:

I'd like to ask this to be placed on the Order of Postponed Consideration, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Bill will be... Mr. Secretary, place Senate Bill 1424 on Postponed Consideration. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, we will recess for Senate Exec and, after that, we will come back for further Floor action. Senate stands in recess to the call of the Chair.

(SENATE STANDS IN RECESS/SENATE RECONVENES)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senate will come to order. Mr. Secretary, Resolutions.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 487, offered by Senator McCarter and all Members.

It's a death resolution, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Mr. Secretary, Resolution Consent Calendar. Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senator Harmon, Chairperson of the Committee on Executive, reports Senate Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 31 and Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1289 Recommend Do Adopt.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Will all Members please come to the Senate Floor? All Members, please come to the Senate Floor. We're going to 3rd Readings. We have a lot of bills to do yet. Like to get 'em done

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

soon. We don't want to be here all night. We're now proceeding to the Calendar, page 6, Senate Bills 2nd Reading. 1667 was Senator Haine's bill, but he's been -- given permission to Senator Mulroe to move. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

Senate Bill 1667.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Judiciary adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Haine.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Mulroe, on the amendment, please.

SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. I'd ask to move the amendment -- or ask for its adoption and I'd explain it on 3rd. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

3rd Reading. On the Order of 3rd Reading, Mr. Secretary...

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Correction, the bill will just sit. Senator Morrison, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR MORRISON:

For the point of personal privilege, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Please proceed.

SENATOR MORRISON:

Thank you. Today, Thursday, May 4th, is recognized across the country as Children's Mental Health Awareness Day. Our goal this year focuses on the importance of integrating behavioral health and primary care for children, youth, and young adults with mental or substance abuse disorders. It's estimated forty-five percent of mental health issues in children and twenty-nine percent of mental health issues in adults can be traced to adverse childhood experiences, including exposure to violence, natural disasters, and physical abuse. It's important for all of us to continue increasing awareness in the availability of services for children under emotional or physical distress. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

You're welcome, Senator. Senator Schimpf, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR SCHIMPF:

Point of personal privilege, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Please proceed, Senator.

SENATOR SCHIMPF:

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, we actually have some -- some visitors in the -- the gallery above the Democratic side. We

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

have elementary students from Gardner Elementary School in Waterloo, my hometown. And what's interesting is, some of them don't know me as Senator Paul; they know me as Coach Paul. We have some of my soccer players in the group. So I'd ask -- ask that we give a warm Springfield welcome to the students from Gardner Elementary.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Will our guests in the gallery please rise? Welcome to the Senate. Supplemental Calendar 1, Senate Bills 2nd Reading. 1719. Senator Biss. Indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1719.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

3rd Reading. We'll now proceed in the Calendar, page 31, Senate Bill {sic} 3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1427. Ladies and Gentlemen, please report to the Senate Floor. This is 3rd Reading, final action. ...Bill 1427. Senator Syverson. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1428. Senator Rezin. Indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Senate Bill 1428.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Rezin.

SENATOR REZIN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1428 is an initiative of the Illinois Community College Board, which -- this bill establishes four alternative methods of credentialing for the issuance of high school equivalency certificates. The following alternative methods will be allowed: high school equivalency based on high school credit, high school equivalency based on post-secondary credit, high equivalency based on a foreign diploma, and a high school equivalency based on completion of a competency-based program approved by the Board. I know of no opposition.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1428 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1428, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1434. Senator Cullerton. Senator Cullerton seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 1434 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1434. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Tom Cullerton.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Cullerton, on your amendment.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

I'll explain it on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

3rd Reading. On the Order of 3rd Reading, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1434.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1434 deals with the rental purchase agreement and sets us to be in line with all fortynine other states in the way we designate our taxation on rental -- rental units - and not businesses or ownership, actual pieces of property.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Any discussion? Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1434 pass. All those in favor will say {sic} Aye.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1434, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1444. There's a letter on file for Senator Haine to give permission for Senator Mulroe to proceed with the bill. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1444.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Mulroe.

SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1444 repeals the mandatory subrogation arbitration law with respect to property damages of less than twenty-five hundred dollars. The initial intent of the law was to arbitrate the matters and hopefully resolve the matters sooner than later for smaller cases. But it turns out, some non-standard insurance companies were using it to delay the resolution of the -- of the cases and the claims. So we're repealing that law. I know of no opposition. I'd ask for your Aye votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Any discussion? There being none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1444 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

question, there are 53 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1444, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1451. Senator Link. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1453. Senator McCann. Senator McCann. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1455. Senator Manar. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1459. Senator Holmes. Senator Holmes seeks the leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 1459 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1459. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Holmes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Holmes.

SENATOR HOLMES:

Yes, I ask for adoption of the amendment. I will explain it. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

3rd Reading. On the Order of 3rd Reading, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1459.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Holmes.

SENATOR HOLMES:

Thank you so much, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1459, as amended, establishes a Notarization Task Force on Best Practices and Verification Standards to Implement Electronic Notarization to review national standards on best practices in relation to electronic notarization, including security concerns and fraud prevention. I would ask for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1459 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1459, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Bennett, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR BENNETT:

Point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Please proceed.

SENATOR BENNETT:

Thank you, Mr. President. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, if you could direct your attention to the rear Democratic side of the gallery, we have Stephanie Huchel's eighth grade -- Stephanie Huchel here with the eighth grade of the Westville Junior High School in Westville, Illinois. And they're very interested in what we're -- what we're doing today. So if you'll give them a

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

kind Senate welcome.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Will our guests in the gallery please rise? Welcome to the Senate. Senate Bill 1461. Senator Holmes. Senator Holmes seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 1461 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1461. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Holmes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Holmes, on your amendment.

SENATOR HOLMES:

Yes, it just removed any opposition. I would ask for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

3rd Reading. On the Order of 3rd Reading, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1461.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Senator Holmes.

SENATOR HOLMES:

Thank you so much, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1461, as amended, removes a contradiction in the Film Production Services Tax Credit Act of 2008 that states the film office must report annually on each film production vendor used in the project, whether the vendor's minority-/female-owned, the amount of money spent by the film production on that vendor. At the same time, it prohibits the release of proprietary information about the film production and the vendor. I would ask for its adoption.

Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1461 pass. All those in favor will say -- will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1461, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1467. Senator Anderson. Indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1467.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Anderson.

SENATOR ANDERSON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1467, this amends the Wildlife Code. Allows anyone to use a

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

crossbow to take any animal within their respective archery seasons. I don't know of any opposition, or vocal opposition rather, except, maybe, Senator Holmes. And I would ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? Senator Raoul, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR RAOUL:

I rise in support of my teammate's bill. I ask everybody to support the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

There being no further discussion, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1467 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 47 voting Aye, 2 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1467, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1470. Senator Connelly. Indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1470.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Connelly.

SENATOR CONNELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1470 amends the Uniform Disposition of Unclaimed Property Act. To prevent -- presumed abandonment of property, if a holder has

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

presumed abandoned property of at least a thousand dollars and has not received communication from the owner, the holder shall send certified mail to the last known address. A signed receipt constitute -- constitutes communication with the owner and the property is no longer deemed abandoned. I worked with the Treasurer's Office on the language. I know of no opposition.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1470 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1470, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1478. Senator Althoff. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1478.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Althoff.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1478 is, again, a cleanup. It's an initiative IEMA -- of IEMA and it abolishes the Radiologic Technologist Accreditation Advisory Board. This Board is actually being rolled into another existing council and will serve the State of Illinois just as adequately. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is,

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

shall Senate Bill 1478 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1478, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1479. Senator Link. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1479.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Link.

SENATOR LINK:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amends the Election Code. Provides grace period for -- registration and changes of address shall be conducted for eligible residents in connection with voting at certain elderly care facilities. I know of no opposition to the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1479 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 52 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1479, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1482. Senator Rose. Senator Rose. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1483. Senator Althoff. Indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary,

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1483.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Althoff.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

I apologize, my little computer isn't working. However, Senate Bill 1483 actually changes the time frame for providing information, school records, from fifteen days to five days. That's consistent with what we require for the Freedom of Information. We're just making this uniform. I'd ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1483 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1483, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1486. Senator Weaver. Senator Weaver seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 1486 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1486. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Weaver. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Senator Weaver, on your amendment.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Request adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

3rd Reading. On the Order of 3rd Reading, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1486.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is a State Board of Education bill and it's their -- duplicative and obsolete bill. The only thing that's really added in this bill is physician assistants and advanced practical {sic} (practice) nurses can sign off on prolonged medical conditions. There are no -- no known opposition to this bill. It was an agreed bill coming out of committee. And I'd request a -- a Yea vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1486 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 52 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1486, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1488. Senator Rezin. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1493. Senator Jones. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1502. Senator Hastings. Senator Hastings seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 1502 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1502. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 4, offered by Senator Hastings.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Hastings, on your amendment.

SENATOR HASTINGS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1502 creates the Right to Know Act, which would require commercial Internet website operators who collect personally identifiable information to notify customers of the information they collect as well as any third party — third parties to whom they may disclose the information to. A violation of this Act is a violation of the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive (Business) Practices Act and may be enforced by the Attorney General. Currently, Illinois law requires businesses and State agencies that collect personal information to implement security measures and notify the users of any data breaches, but commercial website operators are not required to tell customers what data they collect or with whom they share that data with. After extensive testimony in

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

committees, it was shown that the amount of information that these technology companies collect on you is perplexing. They know more about you than you know about you, and they have the ability to share this information. Your deepest darkest secrets, information to you that you may not want shared could be used against you until we pass this law and it provides protection to all of us in this room who use technology or at least online -- a commercial Internet website. And I ask for your Aye vote and I'll answer any questions, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Any discussion on the amendment? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

3rd Reading. To all Senators, please: We're going to put the timer on. It's late already and we still have a lot of bills to move, so we're going to have the timer on for every bill from now on. On the Order of 3rd Reading, Mr. Secretary, read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1502.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Hastings.

SENATOR HASTINGS:

Again, Mr. President, technology in Illinois is evolving. We're a great State that has a lot of technology companies. The

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

issue is, is that a lot of these technology companies, more specifically commercial Internet website operators, collect personally identifiable information, in which they share it with third parties. That's a very frightening thought. Your personal, sensitive information, whether that be your name, age, address, social security number, even your sexual orientation or religion, they can sell this to other third parties for a profit. To me, that's a problem, because you as a consumer should have the right to know what information is sold to third parties. You should have the right to inquire to a company what information they are collecting and who they are giving it to. This bill -- when we asked people across Illinois - ninety-two percent of them, they said - ninety-two percent find it just perplexing that these companies are able to collect information and then sell it to third parties. A lot of discussion has been had on this bill. I feel like I'm the greatest job creator in the State of Illinois. I've created more jobs than the Governor with this bill and that's why I ask for your Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Any discussion? Senator Connelly, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR CONNELLY:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Sponsor indicates he will yield.

SENATOR CONNELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Hastings, you and I have worked on a number of privacy bills, and I know the clock's on, so I'll be as brief as possible. I just want to give it -- kind of

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

a hypothetical. So let's say I own a Beggars Pizza franchise and I have fifteen employees, and I'm taking -- I'm -- I'm taking orders online. Obviously, I would be compiling data and whatnot. This franchise owner then is going to have to - wouldn't you agree? - either purchase incredibly strong cybersecurity insurance or they're going to have to hire, either third party or in-house, a tech expert to essentially go through all of the data, make sure it's compiled for a year in the event that somebody seeks that information. Isn't that true?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Hastings.

SENATOR HASTINGS:

No. Actually, Senator, it's not. So the three elements under this law that would make you subject to this law is that you would have to collect information, you would have to maintain the information, and you would either have to sell or share it with a third party - that's what would make you subject to this law. So, in your situation, no, they wouldn't have to buy cybersecurity insurance or any of the stuff that you had just annotated in your statement.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Connelly.

SENATOR CONNELLY:

I -- a follow up -- well, not a follow-up. This morning, we spent - and -- and I mean this with -- with grace - you spent forty-five minutes in Subcommittee on Cybersecurity regarding in the election, the Board of Elections was hacked. We don't know if it was by Russians or Eastern Europeans or whatnot. What this bill does is -- is it puts a mandate on business to maintain this

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

information in the -- in the WebSphere and basically puts that data for all to see -- for all hackers to see. Tell me why this bill doesn't make our information technology companies more susceptible to hacking, and therefore this bill actually does the opposite of what you -- propose that it does.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Hastings.

SENATOR HASTINGS:

Senator Connelly, I believe that you're mistaken in terms of what this bill does. This bill does not maintain that companies and organizations that run Internet websites, it doesn't make them maintain information. That's -- that's not true and that's not in the bill. You, as a company, have the decision whether or not to make a conscious choice to maintain the information and that's up to you as a business owner. This is not a mandate on business owners to maintain information. It's their choice.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Connelly, to the bill.

SENATOR CONNELLY:

Hastings. I appreciate -- 'cause we've worked on a number of bills together. We're actually -- I'm a chief cosponsor on a privacy bill regarding student information, which requires for K through twelve parental consent, and certainly, with higher education students, they too are -- companies have to get the consent of the student to allow that information to be disseminated. I'm troubled by -- I just think this bill puts far too much of an -- of a burden on our businesses. Chicago, for all the negative we hear about Illinois, Chicago is a tech hub. Data analytics companies do want

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

to come to Chicago. They're there; they're bringing millennials from all over the country. And I find this bill is going to put a lot of that growth in jeopardy. And I appreciate that we work together on a number of privacy bills, but, on this one, I'm going to have to respectfully urge a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Van Pelt, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR VAN PELT:

A question to the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Sponsor indicates he will yield.

SENATOR VAN PELT:

Senator, according to our discussion, this bill will only come into play if someone were to sell their information to a third party. Is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Hastings.

SENATOR HASTINGS:

Senator Van Pelt, in -- in the previous question -- he had asked a certain question about how this would apply. There's three elements of this bill. You have to do all three of these things in order for this bill to apply to you: You have to collect the information, you have to maintain that information, and you have to sell or share it to a third party. That's when this law would apply to you. And to address a statement that was made by a previous speaker: He had spoken on the fact that this bill would hurt the technology companies in a hub like Chicago, that it -- it's bustling in terms of people want to come to Chicago and start up a technology company. We've put a small business exemption in

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

this bill for that reason. And for the most part, we've accommodated almost every exception we could to make sure that people felt comfortable with this bill. And by all means, I want Chicago to be the -- the tech hub of the country, if not just the Midwest.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Van Pelt.

SENATOR VAN PELT:

Thank you, Senator. So, if a company was just to share the information without selling it, would they still be subject to this law?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Hastings.

SENATOR HASTINGS:

You would have to collect the information, maintain the information, and sell or share the information. If you are missing one of those elements, then you do not fall under the law.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Van Pelt.

SENATOR VAN PELT:

Did I hear you say "sell or share the information"?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Hastings.

SENATOR HASTINGS:

In the bill {sic} (amendment), on page 5, line 10 -- it's to "disclose" the information. That's the exact language in the bill. And that means release, transfer, share, disseminate, make available, or otherwise communicate orally or in writing. Selling is including {sic} in that. And in regards to the overall bill,

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

though, Senator, you still have to collect, maintain -- you have to meet those three elements under this bill as well.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Van Pelt.

SENATOR VAN PELT:

So, Senator, as we spoke earlier, my concern is, if we are including any company that has more than ten employees that is collecting information and sharing it, that they'll be subject to having to gather all the information about - say it was me - about me on the entire site and all the places I've been and been -- and put all that together and put my name on it. To me, it's -- it's like it's exposing me even more to, you know, if the company got hacked, or -- or if someone was being devious, could collect that information, where they have all that information with just me on it, just because they collected it. You know, they would have to then -- if I request it, they would then have to report that information, search me out completely and report that information to me. Is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Hastings.

SENATOR HASTINGS:

You know, Senator, this -- the companies would have to maintain the information, and in terms of disclosing the personal information, if you look at page 8 of the bill {sic}, they would have to disclose the information to third parties. And -- and for you, as a -- as a consumer, you would have the right under this bill to determine whether or not -- what information they're collecting, maintaining, and selling or sharing. So you would have to initiate this on your own personal account.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Van Pelt.

SENATOR VAN PELT:

Okay, I understand what you're saying. My -- but, again, my -- my primary problem is, if it's just collecting information. It seems like we're casting a very large net. And I'm wondering if that is getting us where we need to go or are we just talking about when they collect it and then sell it? Now, if they're selling my information, I want to know about that. But if they're sharing the information -- I get, you know, information from the bank all the time, saying we're going to share this. You know, is it okay if we share this or share that? You know, I say okay, because I - I really want them to share with people who can help bring me the -- the programs and the products that I need and services that I need. But if they're selling it and making money off it, I would like to know that. And if this bill covers everything, any time there's any transfer of any information by email or if I go to somebody's website, to me that's a little overkill. You know, we're asking them to gather information about individual people and keep that maintained in -- in case there's a request for it, and then if there's a request for the information, or like I say, if someone hacks into their system, they could actually gather a lot of information about one person that they wouldn't be able to get now. So, for that reason, I'm going to vote Present on this bill. I am a sponsor on the bill, but I'm uncomfortable with it being information that's just shared, you know, instead of just being sold. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Hastings.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

SENATOR HASTINGS:

There was a question there, Mr. President. And, Senator Van Pelt, I want to address exactly what you're talking about. This bill does not, does not require companies to maintain or collect any information. That's not what this does. What it does is that if it collects -- if a company by their own choice collects, maintains, and then sells or shares that information, it gives you, as an individual consumer, the right to ask them who are you selling it to. That's what this bill does. It doesn't require companies to collect information at all. The other thing it does is it gives -- it -- it gives a curative process for the business to correct themselves after thirty days. They have a thirty-day time period to correct themselves. From that point forward, if they do not correct themselves, the Attorney General has the authority to enforce the Act. That's the curative measure. I don't want you to think as if I -- when we spoke here, that it's only if they -- we're not mandating companies to collect and maintain this information; they have to do it on their own accord. And for me and for you, if they're collecting, maintaining, and selling or sharing that information, you have just stated you wanted the right to know whether or not they're doing it, and that's exactly what this bill does.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Barickman, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR BARICKMAN:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

To the bill, Senator.

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Thank you, Mr. President. I -- we had a very spirited debate in committee on this. Sounds like there were further debates in -- in subcommittee. I -- I want to, I guess, relay to the Body the concerns that I have as I've listened to the debate in committee, as I've listened to the debate on the Floor, the presentation by the sponsor, and as I've taken time to study this bill. Here's -- you know, there was earlier discussion about the -- the -- the tech hub for which is Chicago and I think, you know, some of us have seen some of the positive news of entrepreneurs and others in Chicago. That -- first of all, that's not limited to Chicago. You look around this State, whether it's down at the U of I, who has a tremendous entrepreneurial environment that is spurred from the University of Illinois and creating jobs in that market; we have similar efforts going on in Bloomington-Normal at Illinois State University and elsewhere around our State. And so the concerns on this bill that I raised in committee are for some small businesses, some of those entrepreneurial of those businesses that exist, and the crippling effect that this legislation may have on some of those companies. What this -what this legislation does is impose significant burdens and obligations on businesses who employ as few as ten or eleven - I'm sure the sponsor will clarify that - ten or eleven employees, which, as we all know, for a small business, for an entrepreneurial business, the obligations to satisfy government-imposed mandates when you're just growing and when you're just getting by can be tremendous. Here, the obligations include those to keep logs and databases of customer and website traffic data, and when they do so, think of -- think of what - and this goes to the prior speaker's comments - think of what that exposes under this legislation to

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

the public. No longer are you anonymously trafficking the Web. Whether it's Amazon or various places, blogs, small businesses, farm -- I represent a lot of farm community who use the Web for their customer interactions - no longer are you an anonymous player in those interactions. With this legislation, the -- the -- the -- these companies, small businesses, have to keep data on you the person, personally identified with you, and if you're comfortable with that and the fact that some of these companies may -- may not put in place the safequards to protect themselves from hackers and other people who may expose your data with your name on it, then go for it with this one. I personally am very uncomfortable with No longer are we anonymously participating in Web interactions. And think of this, think of the interstate commerce effects that may be impacted here. When someone in Indiana orders products through website in Michigan and that website in Michigan collects your data and says we're going to send it to this Illinois resident by using FedEx, that is a disclosure of your data. sponsor's been very specific to say "selling and sharing" - it's disclosing. And so when that website in Michigan picks up your data and discloses it to FedEx and UPS and shippers, suddenly this law triggers obligations on them. And -- and what happens if they don't supply -- if they don't satisfy those obligations? Illinois government and the Attorney General's Office is going to go out and - what? - prosecute these small businesses who didn't comply with our unique set of rules here in Illinois. The... I'll wrap up.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Wrap it up, Senator.

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Yeah, I'll wrap up, Mr. President. The federal government is -- has a system of rules and regulations to handle Internet traffic, FTC, Interstate Commerce. I think we, as one of fifty states, needs to let them handle those issues and not create additional burdens for our many people in businesses here in Illinois. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Hastings.

SENATOR HASTINGS:

To close?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Nybo, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR NYBO:

Will the sponsor yield, Mr. President?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Sponsor indicates he will yield.

SENATOR NYBO:

Senator, I think you've done good work on this bill, but the -- the question I have is, assuming this -- this regulatory structure is imposed and -- and now you've got these storage requirements, perhaps notification requirements, and -- and -- and if the consumer wants to make an inquiry to the -- to the e-commerce vendor, whoever's subject to it, can -- I'm -- I'm not understanding. How does this help the consumer? If this is in place, how is the consumer helped? How -- how are people helped with this?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Hastings.

SENATOR HASTINGS:

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

You know, Senator, I appreciate your question, because you didn't drink the Kool-Aid that everyone sold around this building today. I'll just tell you right now, the -- the misinformation that's been spewed out of mouths of others here is the best I've ever heard since we talked about the budget. I'll just tell you, in this case right here, we're not making companies collect information; we're not making them maintain information. doing it already. It's an eighty-four-billion-dollar industry in terms of using this information and selling it off. This happens on a day-to-day basis and the consumers in the State of Illinois have no protections to know what the information is that these companies are selling or sharing with others across the country. To me and to anyone else, I would find this to be very concerning. This is sensitive information, personally identifiable information that you may have, and you may be subject to this too and they can sell it off and it may harm you in the future.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Nybo.

SENATOR NYBO:

To the bill, Mr. Speaker {sic}. Senator, I -- I think you just answered...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

To the bill, Senator.

SENATOR NYBO:

Senator, I think you just answered my question indirectly. This -- this bill is going to do nothing about changing the data collection practices and the ability of -- of those who collect data to sell it off. At the end of the day, we're -- we're going to impose a very complex, complicated regulatory scheme that's

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

going to be very difficult, if not impossible, for companies that engage in e-commerce, which today is just about every company. And at the end of the day, after -- if this were to become law, after it's in place, the consumer is in no better position than the consumer is in right now. I just don't see any benefit that -- that someone who's buying a pizza on the Internet is getting from this bill. But what I see is -- we just spent, you know, a half hour, an hour ago, talking about revitalizing our industrial hemp industry here in the State. And -- and that's great. If we can get that industry going, that's wonderful. But in front of us right now and in front of the world is a thriving technology industry and -- and we've been very fortunate to capture a lot of the growth in that industry. But every technology company that I've spoken to, from -- from Microsoft down to Uber, Lyft, anybody that operates on the Internet and engages in e-commerce, is opposed to this bill. And -- and, to me, it sounds like the message that we're sending is not "Come to Illinois. Grow your businesses here. We have opportunities. We want you". Instead, we're, yet again, saying "You're bad. We don't want you here. We want to make it more difficult for you and we're going to go after you." And I think it sends the wrong message. People are watching across the country what happens on this bill. I think it sends the wrong message. I'd encourage you to try to continue to work on this issue in a way that's less disruptive and less harmful to the industry.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Hastings, to close.

SENATOR HASTINGS:

See -- you know what, Mr. President, the previous speaker

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

nailed it right on the head. All the technology companies in this entire country, they oppose this bill, 'cause this bill tells them how they should help consumers, consumers who are vulnerable to these companies collecting information. Eighty-four billion dollars in terms of what they make. What does the consumer make off it? They don't make nothin'. And they're going to take all this information, sell it and share it. Does that not concern you? Does that not concern you? How does this put a person -- a consumer who operates online, how does this put them in a better position than they were before this bill? I'll tell you exactly how. You now have the ability, you now have the right to know what information they collect on you, your sensitive information, stuff you want nobody knowing about. You have the right to know, you have the right to inquire, and you have the right, now, to say "Hey, Attorney General, would you mind enforcing this law so that these law -- these -- this information that people collected doesn't affect me?" Because there's companies that are out there that'll collect this information, they'll sell it to the wrong person, and the wrong person will use it against them in the long That's what this is about. It puts people in a better position tomorrow, once this bill passes, than it did yesterday, because people have an added layer of protection when, in this day and age, people can hack, take all this information, sell it, whatever it may be. You may not think this is a good bill and there's people throughout this Chamber that have drank the Kool-Aid, which is great from the technology companies, but why would a technology want to spend a couple more bucks out of the eightyfour billion dollars to -- to help protect consumers. God forbid if they did something to help people. This isn't going to stifle

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

-- this isn't going to stifle technology innovation entrepreneurship. These are the same arguments that were used in California when California passed a similar law and California is in a better position now than they were in the tech industry. And I will just tell you this, if you care about your consumers, you care about your neighbors, and you care about all that sensitive information that's shared across the board, you better vote Yes, because if you vote No, guess what, you're telling them "to heck with you back home, let everybody know the information". Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 1502 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 31 voting Aye, 21 voting Nay, 1 voting Present. Senate Bill 1502, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Righter, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. I seek a verification of that most recent roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Righter has requested a verification. Will all Members please be in their seats? The Secretary will read the affirmative votes. Secretary will read the affirmative votes. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Members voting in the affirmative: Aquino, Bennett, Bertino-Tarrant, Biss, Bush, Castro, Clayborne, Collins, Tom Cullerton, Cunningham, Harmon, Hastings, Holmes, Hunter, Hutchinson, Koehler,

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Landek, Lightford, Link, Manar, Martinez, McCann, McGuire, Morrison, Mulroe, Murphy, Raoul, Stadelman, Steans, Trotter, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Righter, question any presence of any Member voting in the affirmative?

SENATOR RIGHTER:

I do not, Mr. President. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

On a verified roll call, there are 31 Ayes, 21 Nays, 1 Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, Senate Bill 1502 is declared passed. With leave of the Body, we will now go back to page 16, Senate Bill 448. Majority Leader Clayborne seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 448 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 448. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Clayborne.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Clayborne.

SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

Yes, it just allows SIU to establish a faculty dental practice. I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

3rd Reading. On the Order of 3rd Reading, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 448.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Clayborne.

SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. This, as I stated, allows SIU School of Dental -- SIU School of Medicine, their dental school, to establish a faculty practice. I would ask for your favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 448 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 448, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Back to page 33 of the Calendar. Senate Bill 1518. Senator Althoff. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1518.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Althoff.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Is there not a Floor amendment?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Althoff, on your bill.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1518 is a quick-take pick -- piece of legislation for two parcels in McHenry County and McHenry County alone. It provides for purchased land to do the Randall Road expansion, which is an IDOT project, and one for Dowell Road, which is a McHenry County project. I'd ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1518 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 49 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1518, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1522. Senator Steans. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1524. Senator Weaver. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1524.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Weaver.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill allows for non-resident military to conceal carry when assigned to the -- to Illinois on Permanent Change of Station or Permanent Change of Assignment orders. That's for those who are not residents of Illinois, but will maintain an address in Illinois. It requires the Illinois State Police to be notified within thirty days of moving to an address outside the State, Permanent Change of Station or Permanent Change of Assignment to a duty station outside the State, or a separation or retirement from the United States Military. Passed the committee unanimously. There's no known opposition. I'd request your support. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1524 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1524, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1525. Senator Weaver. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1525.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is a DPR bill. What it does

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

is, if there's a licensed health care worker who's been convicted of criminal battery against a patient or is required to register as a sex offender and that health care worker has had his or her license revoked for a forcible felony conviction, that health care worker may not petition the Department to restore his or her license. This provides that that applicant or licensee may request that their record be held confidential. This was an agreed bill. There's no known opposition. I'd request a Yes vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1525 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 51 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1525, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1527. Senator Weaver. Mr. Secretary, read the bill. Senator Weaver seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 1527 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1527. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Weaver.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Weaver, on your amendment.

SENATOR WEAVER:

...adoption -- request adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

3rd Reading. On the Order of 3rd Reading, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1527.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Yeah, thank you, Mr. President. This is a bill that passed out of this House - 55 Yes last year, no one opposed. And basically all it does is renames the Illinois Workforce Investment Board and it also cleans up a little bit of language. There's no opposition and I'd request a Yes vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1527 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1527, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Hastings, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR HASTINGS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Point of personal privilege,

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Please proceed.

SENATOR HASTINGS:

I want to just welcome two people to Springfield that I want to thank for coming down. They've provided a lot of feedback and insight into various areas of criminal law that I'd never known about. I want to just have James and Heather please stand. James Kluppelberg spent close to two and half decades in jail because of a jailhouse snitch who used testimony to -- they used in the conviction of him when he was wrongfully accused and wrongfully incarcerated. This man battled it out for twenty-five years in jail. He went through a lot of horrific things, but he came out a better man, because he met Heather and he's engaged to her now, and I told him the one thing we have in common is that we're both about -- you married up and out of your league. But I want to give a warm Springfield welcome to James and Heather, and thank you for what you do for us in -- in letting us know more about criminal law in the State of Illinois. Give 'em a round of applause.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Will our guests in the gallery please rise? Welcome to the Senate. Congratulations. Senate Bill 1529. Senator Tracy. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1529.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Senator Tracy.

SENATOR TRACY:

Thank you, Mr. President. This legislation amends the Farm Nuisance Suit Act, which protects existing farms from nuisance suits brought by new neighbors to the farm. This bill clarifies existing law by specifically including horses in the definition of farm, rather than relying on the interpretation of the word "livestock". It adds the action of keeping livestock and includes horse keeping and horse breeding {sic} (boarding) to the activities included under the definition of the term "farm" in the Farm Nuisance (Suit) Act. I know of no opposition and I would ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1529 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 52 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1529, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1531. Senator Weaver. Senator Weaver seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 1531 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1531. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Weaver.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Weaver, on your amendment.

SENATOR WEAVER:

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

The amendment becomes the bill. I'd request adoption. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

3rd Reading. On the Order of 3rd Reading, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1531.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank -- thank you, Mr. President. This bill was negotiated with the Illinois Realtors and the DPR. It makes a change to the makeup of the real estate appraisers' licensing board. And they had a difficult time keeping that board staffed, so that's made that a little bit easier. This also adds a ten-year maximum cap for anybody serving on that board. There's no known opponents to this and I'd request an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1531 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

are 52 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1531, having received the required constitutional majority, is...(microphone cutoff)...is declared passed. Senate Bill 1532. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1546. Senator Mulroe. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1570. Senator Martinez. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1577. Out of the record. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1591. Senator Harmon. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1592. Senator Harmon. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1593. Senator McConnaughay. Senator McConnaughay. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1597. Senator Link. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1600. Senator McGuire. Senator McGuire. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1652. Senator Manar. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1653. Senator Manar. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1655. Senator Manar. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1680. Senator Sandoval. Out of the record. With leave of the Body, we'll be returning to Senate Bill 1591. Senator Harmon. Mr. Secretary, read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1591.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I appreciate the courtesy. You began moving so quickly, I was caught on my heels there. So, thank you. Senate Bill 1591 is a very modest change to the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District Act. It corrects a scrivener's error in a recent annexation law that we passed. I'm not aware of any opposition. I ask for your Aye votes.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1591 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 52 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1591, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Top of page 35. Senate Bill 1692. Senator Raoul. Mr. Secretary -- oh, no. Excuse me. Senator Raoul seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 1692 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1692. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Raoul.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Raoul, on your amendment.

SENATOR RAOUL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Floor Amendment 1 becomes the bill. I'll explain it on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

3rd Reading. On the Order of 3rd Reading, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1692.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Mr. Secretary. I mean, Mr. -- Senator Raoul, on your bill. SENATOR RAOUL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 1692 expands the list of medical professionals who are authorized to allow students sustaining a concussion to resume extracurricular activities and return to the classroom to -- to physician assistants working under the supervision of -- of a physician, and advanced practice nurses working under the supervision of a physician. Thank you. I urge your Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1692 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 52 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 1692, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1700. Senator McConnaughay. Senator McConnaughay seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 1700 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1700. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator McConnaughay.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator McConnaughay, on your amendment.

SENATOR McCONNAUGHAY:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment is a clarification on definitions. I will be holding it on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

3rd Reading. On the Order of 3rd Reading -- we're going to hold the bill. We'll now go to -- with leave of the Body, we'll be returning to page 9. Senate Bill 31. President Cullerton seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 31 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 31. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by President Cullerton.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

President Cullerton, on your amendment.

SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

Yes, Mr. -- Mr. President, I -- I only need to adopt Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

President Cullerton moves to withdraw Amendment 2. Mr. Secretary, are there any further Floor amendments?

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 3, offered by President Cullerton.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

President Cullerton, on the amendment.

SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. This is -- amendment becomes the bill. I'd like to adopt it and debate the bill on 3rd Reading. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

3rd Reading. On the Order of 3rd Reading, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 31.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

President Cullerton.

SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. This is a bill that's called the Trust Act, which is -- purpose of which is to clarify the limited circumstances for when law enforcement folks in Illinois would check on immigration status. And we call it the Trust Act because we want to foster trust between police and immigrant communities and refocus resources on fighting

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

priority crimes. And I must say that I spent a lot of time with a number of folks who were originally opposed and I want to thank them for all their time. We were able to get the help of the Illinois Chiefs of Police. I want to thank particularly former Senator Milner for his help. Also, the State's Attorney from Cook County and DuPage County were very helpful, Cook County Sheriff as well, to avoid having their opposition. The bill has a number of parts. First part basically is to make it very clear that law enforcement agencies would not be able to use State or local resources to enforce or comply with immigration detainers. point is that there has to be a warrant for them to be involved and that was -- been worked out with the State's Attorneys, as I indicated, and with the State's Attorneys Association. If a federal agency has obtained a valid criminal warrant, none of these -- Act's provisions apply. It also establishes safe zones at -at schools funded publicly, medical facilities, facilities operated by the Secretary of State, and courts, where federal immigration agents would not be allowed to make arrests unless they, once again, have a valid criminal warrant or -- or there's probable cause of criminal activity. And then we also deal with U visas and T visas, and that indicates that law enforcement agencies are required only to complete these U visa certification forms for a qualifying applicant. They're not required to certify. And so with that, I would be happy to answer any questions and ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? Senator Nybo, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR NYBO:

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Would the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Sponsor indicates he will yield.

SENATOR NYBO:

Mr. President, I -- I appreciate the fact that you've worked -- attempted to work with some law enforcement organizations to address their concerns with respect to this bill. I also understand, though, that there are some members of the law enforcement community that still have concerns with this bill in its current form. So, the question is, if this bill were to pass this Chamber, are you willing to continue to work with the law enforcement community to try to address their concerns while the bill is in the House?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

President Cullerton.

SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

Yes, absolutely. I -- I specifically understand that the State Police came up with some issues this morning, without time, you know, to provide for an amendment or to work out an agreement with them. I am also aware that the Illinois Sheriffs' Association indicated their opposition in April and they were not party to the negotiations to try to work out an agreement. They -- they may, possibly with these amendments, be less opposed to it, but so far they've indicated their opposition. My goal, of course, would be to get their neutrality at a minimum. So we made a lot of changes and if there's any more we can make to get -- and still keep the principles of the bill, I'll be happy to do so.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Nybo.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

SENATOR NYBO:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

To the bill, Senator.

SENATOR NYBO:

Mr. President, I certainly appreciate that. I'm going to vote No on this bill for two reasons that we discussed in committee. First, there still is concern from members of the law enforcement community - you -- you just talked -- discussed that - you know, notably State Police, and I want to make sure that -that their concerns are addressed. So I -- I appreciate the fact that you're willing to work with them. But also, for a broader reason, as a matter of principle. We discussed at committee and -- and as I'm talking right here, I -- I still am not aware of any other instance in law where -- where we, as a State, tell any unit of local government not to comply with federal requirements and federal law, not to cooperate with -- with federal agencies. It's -- it's unprecedented what you're attempting to do with this proposal. And I just think, as a matter of principle, we should not be in the -- in the practice of having units of government take legal positions to say that they will not comply with other units of government. I just think it's a bad path to go down. I understand the issues and the concerns that you're trying to address; I just think that this is a -- a -- a -- a situation and a road that -- that we, as a State, should not be going down. So I'll be voting No for those two reasons.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Righter, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR RIGHTER:

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Will the sponsor yield, please, Mr. President?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Sponsor indicates he will yield.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. President Cullerton, I'm -- I looked at the language of your bill which prohibits a law enforcement agency or official from detaining or continuing to detain an individual solely on the basis of any immigration detainer. And I -- and I have a copy of what the immigration detainer form looks like, and I -- I just -- I want to ask. There is a box on here -- a box -- you check boxes for why the person should be subject to the detainer and one of the boxes is "otherwise poses a significant risk to national security...". Is there an exemption in Senate Bill 31 to the language that prohibits a law enforcement from -- law enforcement from detaining someone pursuant to an immigration detainer if -- if the immigration office checks the box that says the individual poses a significant risk to national security?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

President Cullerton.

SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

If I -- if I understand your question, this would be a -- a federal agent filling out a form, saying that someone is a risk to national security, and then they want to detain them or have our law enforcement -- local law enforcement detain them. If someone is a risk to national security, we would say you should go to a court and get a warrant as soon as possible. I also believe there's an exemption for -- if there's probable cause to believe someone is committing a crime; then, we also would have our local

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

law enforcement folks be able to conduct an arrest. We're not trying to limit that. So if you have an ICE agent, which is the federal term for the federal agents, and they just fill out this form, and they say, "Oh, yeah, we think this guy's a risk to national security. I want to have you detain 'em", without going to a court, that's, I guess, the -- the fine line that we're drawing there. We're saying get -- get a warrant if that's that serious.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

To the bill, if I might, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

To the bill, Senator.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Chamber, I -- and I appreciate the Senate President's response. The answer clearly is no. There's no exception in Senate Bill 31 if the immigration detainer form filled out by the Department of Homeland Security -- that the basis of the detainer is the person poses a significant risk to national security. Now I appreciate the sponsor's response that, you know what, if it looks that serious, go get a warrant, probable cause, but there may not be at that point evidence that the someone -- that the person has committed a crime. I mean, how often do we hear in the news about individuals who are apprehended who have committed no crime yet, but -- except that we know that they are -- they are believed to be significant risk to national security? How often do we hear that? How often do we hear about terrorist attacks that happen

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

and later we learn that this person clearly posed a risk to national security? I mean, the sponsor calls this the Trust Act. I -- and that -- maybe that's appropriately named, but ironically the issue here is whether or not the citizens of this State can trust that law enforcement has the power to detain people who are a significant risk to national security. That's the ball game here, folks. That's the ball game - whether or not, by State law, a law enforcement officer in your community, if they have an immigration detainer from the Department of Homeland Security, indicating someone is a significant risk to national security, they have to lay down. That's wrong. That's not trusting. That's not -- that's not our constituents being able to trust that the law will be upheld. Please vote No. Thank you, Mr. President. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Oberweis, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Sponsor indicates he will yield.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Mr. President, I just have one question. Is -- there is at least some possibility that passing this type of legislation could result in a loss of significant federal dollars to the State of Illinois. Is there a limit beyond which you do not want to go? If it's a small amount, you may be willing to accept yes. But if it amounts to hundreds of millions or a billion or some significant number, is there a number at which you think this might be a mistake to have this as the law?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

President Cullerton.

SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

It's my belief that this bill -- I'm very -- I've been reassured, this bill does not in any way violate any federal statutes. So if there's any money that we would lose as result of some language that's in here, based on current federal law, then I would be very surprised and I would -- I would change the law. If -- if there's some future federal action that says that if this State law, if it becomes law, would result in a loss of federal dollars, then we'd have to reconsider it, 'cause we would have passed it. So, right now, my understanding is, it doesn't violate any federal laws; there's no rules that would result in us losing any -- any -- any -- any grants or -- or any statutorily funneled money to the State.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I -- if I understand, you're saying it's your opinion that this -- passing this law would not cost the State of Illinois federal dollars. I believe there are opinions on the other side, perhaps even the U.S. Attorney General, that might believe differently. And if, in fact, a court determines that the federal government may withhold funds based on this law, are you saying that you would seek to repeal this law or at least change the law?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

President Cullerton.

SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

Well, I just have been advised by my able counsel that the -

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

- that federal government cannot condition federal funding based on the statute that we have here. I'm not sure if there's not an argument -- maybe there's some discretionary grants that are -- that are granted to certain law -- law enforcement that -- that might bump up against this. I'm not -- I'm not positive of that. But I don't -- I know that there's no -- there's no federal law that we would be violating by passing this and, as a result, there would be no -- no way to condition federal funding based on the passage of this law. Grants might be a different category. I'm not -- I'm not certain on that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Oberweis.

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Mr. President, I appreciate that that's your opinion and your counsel's opinion now, but my question is simple and I think a yes or no will work. If it's determined by the Attorney General or by a court that in fact this will cost the State significant dollars, is it your statement that you will then seek to repeal this law? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

President Cullerton.

SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

Yes, if we find that out even before it passes, we would change it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Martinez, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR MARTINEZ:

To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

To the bill, Senator.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

SENATOR MARTINEZ:

I want to thank Senator -- President Cullerton for this bill. Today, you know, in our immigrant communities across the State, there is such an uncertainty going on and I think the trust factor has to be really put in -- in statute right now. Right now, I think that as law enforcement is out there and -- and taking care of crime, taking care of making sure that our neighborhoods are safe, we also have federal, you know, ICE out there causing a lot of issues in our community. And -- and we want to make sure that our law enforcement across the State - that'd be sheriffs' offices and/or State Police - that this is a federal issue. federal issue and this -- something that should not be -- be mixed in with the regular law enforcement, if it's not a federal crime or it's not a federal warrant. I don't think that this bill takes away from that kind of participation. What we're trying to do here with the Trust Act is just create a much more -- better relationship with law enforcement and the immigrant communities that are out there that are very afraid. In my community, many of the store fronts are empty; many of the busy, typical, you know, Saturdays and Sundays, where everybody's out, we -- people are not out shopping because people are afraid. And I know this is going on across the whole entire State and across the country right now with what's going on and all these deportations that are going on. The fact that a law -- local law enforcement assists a -- a -- a federal -- an ICE individual to come and arrest a person because of their immigrant status, without committing no crime, this is what we're trying to work out here with this Trust Act. We have to make sure that we can continue to nurture that relationship out there, that we're not afraid of law enforcement, but, at the same

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

time, as -- asking our local law enforcement to make sure that they respect the people in these communities that are immigrants that are not criminals, that go to work, and might not even come home, and now we have children at home without no parents. So there's a lot going on and I think this Trust Act that the President brought forward is a way of getting our immigrant community to continue to trust and work with law enforcement in making sure that the law's being carried out correctly and that we're not utilizing our law enforcement here to do their job, which is the -- the job that right now is terrorizing our community. So I want to just thank and commend the -- the -- the President on a bill that I think is long overdue and I think we just need it today more than ever based on what we're seeing out in our State -- in our -- in -- in our cities and especially in our neighborhoods. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Rose, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR ROSE:

Question of the sponsor, if I may, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Sponsor indicates he will yield.

SENATOR ROSE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. President, how are you? You said something a minute ago that piqued my curiosity. You said that this doesn't violate federal law. So my understanding is that the immigration detainer is a requirement of federal law. It's also in the Federal Register from the Attorney General of the United States and you also have the Supremacy Clause of the United State Constitution. So how do we have any authority to walk away?

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

President Cullerton.

SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

Senator, my understanding is that these detainers are requests. They are requests. They're not warrants issued by a court. They are requests from a employee of the federal government, saying "Hey, local law enforcement, we'd like you to hold somebody." And the purpose of the bill is to specifically define what the action should be of our local law enforcement, and as a result, there's no obligation for them to do it. Some do, some don't, and we're having a policy that we want to make with - with this bill that would be a uniform policy throughout the entire State of Illinois.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Rose.

SENATOR ROSE:

Well, to the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

To the bill, Senator.

SENATOR ROSE:

That flies in the face of the opinion of the Federal Register, Volume 82, No. 18, that basically says this is federal law and that we have to comply. But, more to the point, I mean, that's just a -- a matter of compliance with federal law, but I would ask why anybody would say that we should not hold on an immigration detainer somebody who's got a prior misdemeanor conviction and who's been charged with an offense that involves violence, threats, or assaults; sexual abuse or exploitation; driving under the influence of alcohol or a controlled substance; unlawful flight

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

from the scene of an accident; unlawful possession or use of a firearm or other deadly weapon. This is the actual immigration detainer form. That's one of the things we're saying we're now no longer going to hold people for. Forty-eight hours is what it's for - forty-eight hours. And to say we're not going to hold people who have been charged with those crimes, who are able to post bail, is a big, big mistake. And I hope that someday that doesn't revisit anyone's family in this Chamber, but make no mistake, that will visit someone's Chamber in the -- someone's family in the State of Illinois. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Van Pelt, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR VAN PELT:

To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

To the bill, Senator.

SENATOR VAN PELT:

I just want to say that I think this is a very important bill and the reason why is because when -- if a person is afraid to go to the hospital because they think that they might be detained or they're afraid to let their children go to school, thinking that they will come get the children and have them go home to get their parents, or if they're afraid to speak to law enforcement, we all are in danger. That -- that creates danger for all of us, because things are happening and there are eyes and ears that are hearing and seeing and they're not able to say anything. So I think we -- immigration reform is a big subject. We got a big problem we got to deal with in this country. But I believe that in the city that we live in and the State that we live in that we need to be

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

sure that everybody here feels comfortable and relaxed enough to go to school when it -- when it's time for school, go to the hospital if they're sick, and also go to law enforcement if they see something is going wrong without the fear of being arrested because an ICE agent sent something in about them. So, I'm supporting this bill, and I urge all my colleagues to -- to support it as well, for that purpose. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Holmes, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR HOLMES:

To the bill, please, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

To the bill, Senator.

SENATOR HOLMES:

I represent a fairly large immigrant community in the Aurora area and I think one of the -- other issues we need to look at here is the fear and uncertainty that so many of the population are living with, and I'm talking about legal immigrants as well as those that are undocumented, and they've been experiencing this since November and it's causing larger problems. And this would add a measure of trust between the immigrant community and our -- our -- our law enforcement officials. One of the things that is a major problem that I don't think we're really taking seriously is, very often when our immigrants are victims of crime themselves, there is a fear due to the intolerance that they're experiencing in the community to even be able to go to law enforcement. So, if a crime is committed against their neighbors or themselves, there's a fear to even be able to turn to law enforcement, which is where they should be able to turn to protect themselves or their

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

community. So I think this bill goes a long way in helping expand a little bit or create a level of trust so we can get past that. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Bivins, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR BIVINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

To the bill, Senator.

SENATOR BIVINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I just didn't want to lose the irony of the moment - that we're going to pass a law to tell law enforcement not to enforce the law. I would encourage a No vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Raoul, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR RAOUL:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Sponsor indicates he will yield.

SENATOR RAOUL:

And I -- I've been confused by all the debate. If there is somebody who's apprehended, who's committed a crime somewhere, and there -- there would likely be an outstanding warrant from law enforcement that law enforcement in another jurisdiction where -- law enforcement could still detain that individual, right? So I heard a lot of crimes listed. If there was an outstanding warrant, whether the person is an immigrant or not, you'd still be able to detain the person based on that. Isn't that correct?

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

President Cullerton.

SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

Yes, that is correct. If there's a warrant, obviously they could be detained, or if there's probable cause to believe that they're about to commit a crime, they can be detained. What -- what we're not allowing for is a -- the -- the civil violation of being undocumented, which is a federal issue, we're saying that without due process, without any warrants, we're asking our law enforcement, our sheriffs, not to detain them.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

And this is my last question. You know, I've heard a lot about threat to national security, and I imagine in instances where there was a serious threat to national security, it wouldn't just be Immigration involved. I imagine the FBI and Homeland Security and -- and there's nothing in this bill that said if all these other agencies were trying to apprehend somebody who was a serious threat to national security that law enforcement wouldn't be able to cooperate and -- and comply with detaining the person. Is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

President Cullerton.

SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

I agree with you and your question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

I urge an Aye vote on this -- on this bill, just based on common sense.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

President Cullerton, to close.

SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

Yes, I'd like to address the concerns raised. First of all, there's about half a million undocumented folks, we believe, in -- in Illinois and the issue about -- and maybe eleven or so million in the United States. The federal government has to determine the policies dealing with this -- this political issue. And there -and there's been proposals in Congress and you know that they've had -- up -- up until now been unable to resolve that, and that is something that they -- is their job. What I'm trying to do here is to acknowledge that we have a -- a problem with half a million folks here who are here - they're part of our economy, they go to our schools, they're working, they're paying taxes. And the -while the federal government is going to determine their status, they're in our community. And what's happened recently is that there's been a real fear that they're going to all be deported, even though they come in different categories - right? There's -- some are called DACA - kids that came here when they were very young, for example, is one category. They're all lumped into this category. So the problem is, for our society, is that they're not coming forward because they're afraid of being deported. They're not coming forward to -- to tell the -- tell the police they witnessed a crime, to tell the police they themselves have been a victim. And so what we want to say is -- and it's -- and it took a long time to work with law enforcement and the State's Attorneys to make sure we -- we -- we address the concerns that were raised

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

by some of our Senators, who happen to be prosecutors or former prosecutors. It's -- everybody knows what a warrant is and you know how to get one. If you have a reason for -- that a crime's being committed, it's not difficult to get a warrant. Go to a judge and say here's the evidence and you get a warrant. But -and we'd -- we'd like to look at the federal statutes that were cited to make sure that we haven't misunderstood, but we're -we're making -- we're -- these -- these ICE agents issue opinions that someone without due process ought to be detained and we're just saying, fine, if you want to go arrest them, it's up to you, but we're not having our law enforcement people be involved in that, and that's what the purpose of the bill is. That's why they call it the Trust Act. So I -- and if -- as far as any of the other issues raised about loss of federal dollars or whatever or violating federal laws, obviously, I've told Senator -- one of the earlier questioners, when this bill's over in the House, if more questions are raised, I will certainly be open to having it amended, having it come back so we can concur and so we can pass the Trust Act. So with -- appreciate the debate and urge an Aye vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 31 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 31 voting Aye, 21 voting Nay, 1 voting Present. Senate Bill 31, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Hutchinson, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

Point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Please proceed.

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Well, I thought I'd bring in a little lightness to the Chamber and I am standing to introduce, not my baby, but this is a baby that we all love. This is Lucas Berry, who is the son of our former staffer Mary Shaw and our current staffer Shawn Berry. He's been sitting here so nicely. And since we've been here all day, I just thought maybe he might add some smiles to the room. See, he even has a brand new badge himself that says "Baby Lucas Berry" for the Senate today. So everybody welcome his first trip to the Floor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Welcome. ...(inaudible)... Leave of the Body, page 19, Senate Bills {sic} 567. Senator Manar. Mr. Secretary, read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 567.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Manar.

SENATOR MANAR:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This bill authorizes Macon County and the City of Decatur to use quick-take proceedings for a period not to exceed one year after the effective date of the bill to acquire property for the purpose of the construction of the east bypass. This is a road project that's the largest in Macon County history and it's been in the

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

works for many years. It's a cooperative effort between city, county, State and federal government, and this would allow the project to move forward in a timely manner. Be happy to answer any questions. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Any discussion? There being none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 567 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 49 voting Aye, 1 voting Nay, 1 voting Present. Senate Bill 567 -- 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 567, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bills 2nd Reading on page 5 of the Calendar. Senate Bill 1439. President Cullerton. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1439.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

3rd Reading. Top of page 7. Senate Bill 1980. Senator Cunningham. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1980.

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

(Secretary reads title of bill)

The Committee on -- 2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Criminal Law adopted Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 3, offered by Senator Cunningham.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Cunningham, on your amendment.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment move -- removed a few provisions from the bill. I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

3rd Reading. Senator Trotter, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR TROTTER:

Purpose of announcement, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Please proceed.

SENATOR TROTTER:

Yes, immediately upon adjournment, the Senate Democrats will be meeting in the President's Office for about a half an hour for

39th Legislative Day

5/4/2017

a caucus.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senate Democrats will have a caucus immediately upon adjournment. Senator Althoff, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Thank you, Mr. President. The Senate Republicans would also like to take advantage of adjournment and have a caucus meeting for about thirty minutes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Althoff requests Senate Republicans caucusing immediately upon adjournment for thirty minutes. Also, Education will meet at 6 p.m. tonight. Education, 6 p.m. tonight. The deadline to file committee amendments to House bills is Friday, May 5th, at 4 p.m. There being no further business to come before the Senate, the Senate stands adjourned until the hour of 9:30 a.m. on the 5th day of May 2017. The Senate stands adjourned.