

# SENATE JOURNAL

# STATE OF ILLINOIS

# NINETY-FOURTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

31ST LEGISLATIVE DAY

**FRIDAY, APRIL 15, 2005** 

9:13 O'CLOCK A.M.

# SENATE Daily Journal Index 31st Legislative Day

Action	Page(s)
Legislative Measure(s) Filed	175
Message from the President	173
Presentation of Senate Joint Resolution No. 38	7
Presentation of Senate Joint Resolution No. 39	175
Presentation of Senate Resolution No. 155	6
Presentation of Senate Resolution No. 156	6
Presentation of Senate Resolution No. 157	6
Presentation of Senate Resolution No. 158	174

Bill Number	Legislative Action	Page(s)
SB 0010	Third Reading	105
SB 0091	Consideration Postponed	106
SB 0219	Third Reading	102
SB 0229	Third Reading	106
SB 0245	Third Reading	106
SB 0250	Third Reading	107
SB 0272	Third Reading	102
SB 0314	Recalled – Amendment(s)	107
SB 0320	Third Reading	
SB 0431	Recalled – Amendment(s)	127
SB 0431	Third Reading	
SB 0716	Recalled – Amendment(s)	147
SB 0716	Third Reading	150
SB 0833	Recalled – Amendment(s)	151
SB 0833	Third Reading	169
SB 0847	Recalled – Amendment(s)	170
SB 0847	Third Reading	
SB 1296	Third Reading	171
SB 1435	Third Reading	
SB 1444	Recalled – Amendment(s)	
SB 1444	Third Reading	15
SB 1445	Third Reading	15
SB 1449	Consideration Postponed	16
SB 1449	Third Reading	103
SB 1461	Recalled – Amendment(s)	
SB 1461	Third Reading	17
SB 1465	Third Reading	18
SB 1466	Third Reading	18
SB 1493	Recalled – Amendment(s)	19
SB 1493	Third Reading	21
SB 1494	Third Reading	22
SB 1495	Third Reading	
SB 1503	Third Reading	
SB 1505	Third Reading	23
SB 1509	Third Reading	24
SB 1623	Recalled – Amendment(s)	25
SB 1623	Third Reading	26
SB 1624	Recalled – Amendment(s)	27
SB 1624	Third Reading	
SB 1625	Third Reading	29
SB 1638	Third Reading	

SB 1646	Third Reading	30
SB 1647	Third Reading	30
SB 1654	Third Reading	31
SB 1661	Third Reading	
SB 1665	Recalled – Amendment(s)	
SB 1665	Third Reading	
SB 1669	Third Reading	
SB 1670	Third Reading	
SB 1675	Recalled – Amendment(s)	
SB 1675	Third Reading	
SB 1676	Recalled – Amendment(s)	27
SB 1676	Third Reading	39
SB 1680	Third Reading	
SB 1681	Third Reading	
SB 1682	Third Reading	41
SB 1683	Third Reading	
SB 1684	Third Reading	
SB 1693	Third Reading	43
SB 1700	Recalled – Amendment(s)	44
SB 1700	Third Reading	70
SB 1701	Third Reading	70
SB 1708	Third Reading	
SB 1709	Third Reading	
SB 1711	Third Reading	
SB 1712	Third Reading	72
SB 1715	Third Reading	
SB 1713 SB 1723	Recalled – Amendment(s)	
SB 1723	Third Reading	
SB 1726	Third Reading	
SB 1727	Third Reading	
SB 1734	Third Reading	
SB 1739	Third Reading	
SB 1750	Third Reading	
SB 1752	Recalled – Amendment(s)	
SB 1752	Third Reading	
SB 1753	Third Reading	
SB 1770	Third Reading	82
SB 1771	Third Reading	82
SB 1776	Third Reading	83
SB 1777	Third Reading	83
SB 1778	Third Reading	
SB 1781	Third Reading	
SB 1787	Third Reading	
SB 1821	Third Reading	
SB 1825	Third Reading	
SB 1829		
	Third Reading	
SB 1842	Third Reading	
SB 1843	Third Reading	
SB 1844	Third Reading	
SB 1849	Third Reading	
SB 1851	Third Reading	
SB 1853	Third Reading	
SB 1874	Third Reading	
SB 1883	Recalled – Amendment(s)	92
SB 1883	Third Reading	
SB 1884	Third Reading	93
SB 1893	Third Reading	
SB 1900	Third Reading	
SB 1907	Third Reading	

SB 1909	Third Reading	95
SB 1910	Third Reading	95
SB 1911	Third Reading	96
SB 1914	Third Reading	96
SB 1931	Third Reading	97
SB 1935	Third Reading	98
SB 1943	Third Reading	98
SB 1944	Third Reading	99
SB 1948	Consideration Postponed	99
SB 1964	Third Reading	99
SB 1972	Third Reading	
SB 1983	Third Reading	
SB 1986	Third Reading	
SB 2012	Third Reading	
SB 2043	Third Reading	
SB 2086	Third Reading	
SJR 0038	Committee on Rules	
SJR 0039	Adopted	
SR 0156	Committee on Rules	
SR 0157	Committee on Rules	
HB 0360	First Reading	11
HB 0690	First Reading	
HB 0780	First Reading	
HB 0794	First Reading	
HB 0828	First Reading	
HB 0829	First Reading	
HB 0834	First Reading	
HB 0872	First Reading	
HB 1094	First Reading	
HB 1177	First Reading	
HB 1191	First Reading	
HB 1349	First Reading	
HB 1362	First Reading	
HB 1427	First Reading	
HB 1445	First Reading	
HB 1517	First Reading	
HB 1541	First Reading	
HB 1633	First Reading	
HB 2190	First Reading	
HB 2407	First Reading	
HB 2449	First Reading	
HB 2461	First Reading	
HB 2467	First Reading	
HB 2525	First Reading	
HB 2594	First Reading	
HB 2853	First Reading	
HB 3048	First Reading	
HB 3415	First Reading	
HB 3498	First Reading	
HB 3526	First Reading	
HB 3577	First Reading	
HB 3622	First Reading	
HB 3628	First Reading	
HB 3694	First Reading	
HB 3742	First Reading	
HB 3800	First Reading	
HB 3831	First Reading	
110001	1 Hot reading	

The Senate met pursuant to adjournment.

Honorable Emil Jones, Jr., President of the Senate, presiding.

Prayer by Dr. Richard Ahlgrim, Berean Baptist Church, Springfield, Illinois.

Senator Maloney led the Senate in the Pledge of Allegiance.

The Journal of Wednesday, April 13, 2005, was being read when on motion of Senator Hunter, further reading of same was dispensed with and unless some Senator had corrections to offer, the Journal would stand approved. No corrections being offered, the Journal was ordered to stand approved.

Senator Hunter moved that reading and approval of the Journal of Thursday, April 14, 2005, be postponed, pending arrival of the printed Journal.

The motion prevailed.

#### PRESENTATION OF RESOLUTION

# **SENATE RESOLUTION 155**

Offered by Senator Link and all Senators:

Mourns the death of Paul Chervin of Waukegan.

By unanimous consent, the foregoing resolution was referred to the Resolutions Consent Calendar.

Senator J. Sullivan offered the following Senate Resolution, which was referred to the Committee on Rules:

## SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 156

WHEREAS, The Governor's Travel Control Board has negotiated a State rate for travel on Amtrak between Chicago and Springfield by State officials and employees; and

WHEREAS, Implemented by Amtrak, this State rate has over the years saved taxpayer money and benefited Amtrak by encouraging the use of trains by those traveling on State business; and

WHEREAS, A similarly negotiated rate for travel between Chicago and the State's various public universities would increase train ridership and provide a much-needed transportation option for Illinois public university students, faculty, and administration as well as for State officials and employees conducting State business at those universities; therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE OF THE NINETY-FOURTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that we strongly urge the Governor's Travel Control Board to negotiate with Amtrak for the implementation of a special travel rate between Chicago and the cities of Bloomington-Normal, Carbondale, Champaign-Urbana, and Macomb; and be it further

RESOLVED, That copies of this resolution be transmitted to the Governor and the Governor's Travel Control Board.

Senator Hunter offered the following Senate Resolution, which was referred to the Committee on Rules:

#### SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 157

WHEREAS, The week of April 25, 2005, is National Minority Cancer Awareness Week; and

WHEREAS, Cancer affects some segments of the U.S. population more than others, cutting across medically underserved ethnic groups; and

WHEREAS, Common obstacles to maintaining health include inadequate health insurance, education level, illiteracy, poverty, and language barriers; and

WHEREAS, Currently, the African-American population in the U.S. bears a disproportionate burden of cancer; African-Americans have the highest mortality rate of any racial and ethnic group for all cancers combined and for most major cancers; and

WHEREAS, Currently, cancer is the second leading cause of death among Hispanic adults, following heart disease; and

WHEREAS, Asian-Americans suffer from the highest liver cancer incidence and mortality rate; and

WHEREAS, In 2005, an estimated 137,910 new cancer cases are expected to be diagnosed among African-Americans, of which an estimated 63,110 will die from their disease; and

WHEREAS, Among Hispanics, an estimated 67,400 new cancer cases will be diagnosed, of which 22,100 will die from their disease; and

WHEREAS, Minorities have a decreased likelihood of surviving five years after diagnosis of cancer due to factors associated with poverty which include reduced access to medical care, diagnosis of cancer at a later stage when the disease has spread, and disparities in treatment; and

WHEREAS, Among African-American and Hispanic women, breast cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer; and

WHEREAS, Among African-American and Hispanic men, prostate cancer is the second leading cause of cancer death; and

WHEREAS, Among African-Americans, colorectal cancer is the second most commonly diagnosed cancer; and

WHEREAS, Among Hispanics, colorectal cancer is the third most commonly diagnosed cancer; and

WHEREAS, An estimated 15,500 deaths are expected among African-Americans due to lung cancer, more than from any other cancer; and

WHEREAS, An estimated 4,500 deaths are expected among Hispanics due to lung cancer, the leading cause of cancer death among Hispanic men; therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE OF THE NINETY-FOURTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that we recognize the week of April 25, 2005, as National Minority Cancer Awareness Week; and be it further

RESOLVED, That we support the American Cancer Society principle, "By 2015: Eliminate the disparities in cancer burdens among population groups by reducing age-adjusted cancer incidence and mortality rates and improving quality of life in the poor and underserved"; and be it further

RESOLVED, That we urge Governor Rod Blagojevich to promote the awareness of the unequal cancer burden for ethnic and medically underserved populations; and be it further

RESOLVED, That a suitable copy of this resolution be delivered to Governor Rod Blagojevich.

Senators Dillard - Watson and all Republican Members offered the following Senate Joint Resolution, which was referred to the Committee on Rules:

#### SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 38

WHEREAS, The General Assembly takes pride in recognizing the accomplishments and contributions of Illinois officials and citizens; and

WHEREAS, Senator James Peyton "Pate" Philip became Senate President in 1993; and

WHEREAS, Senator Philip started his political career in 1965 as York Township Auditor, was elected to the Illinois House of Representatives in 1966, and served there until 1974, when he was elected to the Illinois Senate; in the Senate, he served as Minority Leader from 1981 until he was elected Senate President in 1993, and he was re-elected Senate President in 1995, 1997, 1999, and 2001; and

WHEREAS, Senator Philip served as Chairman of the DuPage County Republican Central Committee for just under 34 years; and

WHEREAS, Senator Philip is recognized for his work to increase public access to the legislative process, improve our schools, streamline government, hold the line on taxes, and improve the State's job climate; and

WHEREAS, Senator Philip ably served the changing needs of DuPage County through three decades of public service as farmland and woodlands became subdivisions and bustling commercial centers; and

WHEREAS, Senator Philip brought the concerns of DuPage County and his constituents to the legislature, bringing water system improvements, flood control, and other infrastructure projects to the suburbs; and

WHEREAS, Senator Philip protected DuPage County, his constituents, and other suburban counties from the burden of excessive property tax increases; and

WHEREAS, Senator Philip is a lifelong resident of DuPage County, where he is active in numerous civic and charitable organizations; and

WHEREAS, In 1989, the Illinois General Assembly appropriated \$10 million to the Illinois Department of Natural Resources for the acquisition of Tri-County State Park, where the goal was to blend the forest, marshland, and grasslands of DuPage County's Pratt's Wayne Woods Forest Preserve to the south with the newly purchased conservation lands to the north; and

WHEREAS, In 1991, the Illinois Department of Natural Resources developed the Tri-County State Park Native Vegetation Restoration Plan to guide preliminary restoration activities at the site; and

WHEREAS, In 1995, plans began for the construction of the new Region 2 Illinois Department of Natural Resources headquarters and the Tri-County State Park Visitor Center; construction began in the fall of 2000, and the park opened to the public in April of 2003; and

WHEREAS, Senator Philip is an avid outdoorsman, whose support for conservation and love of outdoor sports and recreation is legendary; therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE OF THE NINETY-FOURTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES CONCURRING HEREIN, that we rename the Tri-County State Park located in DuPage, Kane, and Cook counties, with its headquarters at 3 South 580 Naperville Road in Wheaton, in honor of James "Pate" Philip; and in implementing this honor, we designate the park as the James "Pate" Philip State Park and designate the park's visitor's center as the James "Pate" Philip State Park Visitor Center; and be it further

RESOLVED, That suitable copies of this preamble and resolution be presented to Senator James "Pate" Philip, the Director of the Department of Natural Resources, the President of the Forest Preserve District of DuPage County, and the Board of Commissioners of the Forest Preserve District of DuPage County.

#### MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE

A message from the House by

Mr. Mahoney, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed bills of the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL NO. 690

A bill for AN ACT in relation to economic development.

HOUSE BILL NO. 805

A bill for AN ACT concerning business.

HOUSE BILL NO. 914

A bill for AN ACT concerning coroners.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1397

A bill for AN ACT concerning children.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1475

A bill for AN ACT concerning schools.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1592

A bill for AN ACT concerning minors.

HOUSE BILL NO. 2241

A bill for AN ACT concerning State government.

HOUSE BILL NO. 2408

A bill for AN ACT concerning finance.

HOUSE BILL NO. 2453

A bill for AN ACT concerning regulation.

HOUSE BILL NO. 3596

A bill for AN ACT concerning public aid.

Passed the House, April 14, 2005.

MARK MAHONEY, Clerk of the House

The foregoing House Bills Numbered 690, 805, 914, 1397, 1475, 1592, 2241, 2408, 2453 and 3596 were taken up, ordered printed and placed on first reading.

A message from the House by

Mr. Mahoney, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed bills of the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL NO. 756

A bill for AN ACT concerning State government.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1463

A bill for AN ACT concerning driver's licenses.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1633

A bill for AN ACT concerning business.

**HOUSE BILL NO. 2461** 

A bill for AN ACT concerning aging.

HOUSE BILL NO. 2526

A bill for AN ACT concerning employment benefits.

HOUSE BILL NO. 2547

A bill for AN ACT concerning human rights.

HOUSE BILL NO. 3555

A bill for AN ACT concerning education.

HOUSE BILL NO. 3628

A bill for AN ACT concerning children.

HOUSE BILL NO. 3694

A bill for AN ACT concerning local government.

HOUSE BILL NO. 3819

A bill for AN ACT concerning health care. Passed the House, April 14, 2005.

MARK MAHONEY, Clerk of the House

The foregoing House Bills Numbered 756, 1463, 1633, 2461, 2526, 2547, 3555, 3628, 3694 and 3819 were taken up, ordered printed and placed on first reading.

A message from the House by

Mr. Mahoney, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed bills of the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL NO. 340

A bill for AN ACT concerning criminal law.

HOUSE BILL NO. 794

A bill for AN ACT concerning firearms.

HOUSE BILL NO. 2577

A bill for AN ACT concerning State government. HOUSE BILL NO. 2578

A bill for AN ACT in relation to health.

HOUSE BILL NO. 2946

A bill for AN ACT concerning criminal law.

HOUSE BILL NO. 3523

A bill for AN ACT concerning State government.

HOUSE BILL NO. 3528

A bill for AN ACT concerning State government. HOUSE BILL NO. 3532

A bill for AN ACT concerning methamphetamine.

HOUSE BILL NO. 3800

A bill for AN ACT concerning the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District.

HOUSE BILL NO. 3816

A bill for AN ACT concerning transportation.

Passed the House, April 14, 2005.

MARK MAHONEY, Clerk of the House

The foregoing House Bills Numbered 340, 794, 2577, 2578, 2946, 3523, 3528, 3532, 3800 and 3816 were taken up, ordered printed and placed on first reading.

A message from the House by

Mr. Mahoney, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed bills of the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL NO. 782

A bill for AN ACT concerning local government.

HOUSE BILL NO. 834

A bill for AN ACT concerning public health, which may be referred to as Ally's Law.

HOUSE BILL NO. 962

A bill for AN ACT in relation to foreign trade zones.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1178

A bill for AN ACT concerning regulation.

HOUSE BILL NO. 2369

A bill for AN ACT concerning children.

HOUSE BILL NO. 2853

A bill for AN ACT concerning business.

HOUSE BILL NO. 3471

A bill for AN ACT concerning employment.

HOUSE BILL NO. 3696

A bill for AN ACT concerning regulation.

HOUSE BILL NO. 3742

A bill for AN ACT concerning government.

HOUSE BILL NO. 3851

A bill for AN ACT concerning state government.

Passed the House, April 14, 2005.

MARK MAHONEY, Clerk of the House

The foregoing House Bills Numbered 782, 834, 962, 1178, 2369, 2853, 3471, 3696, 3742 and 3851 were taken up, ordered printed and placed on first reading.

A message from the House by

Mr. Mahoney, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed bills of the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL NO. 875

A bill for AN ACT concerning regulation.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1285

A bill for AN ACT concerning liquor.

HOUSE BILL NO. 2941

A bill for AN ACT concerning criminal law.

HOUSE BILL NO. 3488

A bill for AN ACT concerning education.

HOUSE BILL NO. 3802

A bill for AN ACT concerning education.

Passed the House, April 14, 2005.

MARK MAHONEY, Clerk of the House

The foregoing **House Bills Numbered 875, 1285, 2941, 3488 and 3802** were taken up, ordered printed and placed on first reading.

#### READING BILLS FROM THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES A FIRST TIME

House Bill No. 360, sponsored by Senator Winkel, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.

House Bill No. 690, sponsored by Senator Righter, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.

**House Bill No. 780**, sponsored by Senator DeLeo, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.

**House Bill No. 794**, sponsored by Senator Sandoval, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.

House Bill No. 828, sponsored by Senator Jacobs, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.

**House Bill No. 829**, sponsored by Senator Jacobs, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.

[April 15, 2005]

- House Bill No. 834, sponsored by Senator Link, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.
- **House Bill No. 872**, sponsored by Senator Silverstein, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.
- **House Bill No. 1094**, sponsored by Senator Righter, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.
- **House Bill No. 1349**, sponsored by Senator Harmon, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.
- House Bill No. 1362, sponsored by Senator Garrett, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.
- House Bill No. 1427, sponsored by Senator Link, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.
- **House Bill No. 1445**, sponsored by Senator Sandoval, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.
- **House Bill No. 1517**, sponsored by Senator Rutherford, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.
- House Bill No. 1541, sponsored by Senator Garrett, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.
- **House Bill No. 1633**, sponsored by Senator Silverstein, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.
- House Bill No. 2190, sponsored by Senator Demuzio, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.
- **House Bill No. 2407**, sponsored by Senator J. Sullivan, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.
- House Bill No. 2449, sponsored by Senator Haine, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.
- House Bill No. 2461, sponsored by Senator Lightford, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.
- House Bill No. 2467, sponsored by Senator Crotty, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.
- **House Bill No. 2525**, sponsored by Senator Harmon, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.
- **House Bill No. 2594**, sponsored by Senator Cullerton, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.
- **House Bill No. 2853**, sponsored by Senator Althoff, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.
- **House Bill No. 3048**, sponsored by Senator Haine, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.
- **House Bill No. 3415**, sponsored by Senator Cullerton, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.

**House Bill No. 3498**, sponsored by Senator Crotty, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.

**House Bill No. 3526**, sponsored by Senator Winkel, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.

House Bill No. 3577, sponsored by Senator Haine, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.

House Bill No. 3622, sponsored by Senator Jacobs, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.

House Bill No. 3628, sponsored by Senator Cullerton, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.

House Bill No. 3694, sponsored by Senator DeLeo, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.

**House Bill No. 3742**, sponsored by Senator Raoul, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.

**House Bill No. 3800**, sponsored by Senator Crotty, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.

**House Bill No. 3831**, sponsored by Senator Collins, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.

**House Bill No. 1177**, sponsored by Senator Munoz, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.

House Bill No. 1191, sponsored by Senator Dahl, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.

#### READING OF BILL OF THE SENATE A THIRD TIME

On motion of Senator Link, **Senate Bill No. 1435**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 57; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Garrett	Meeks	Sieben
Bomke	Haine	Pankau	Silverstein
Brady	Halvorson	Peterson	Sullivan, D.
Burzynski	Harmon	Petka	Sullivan, J.
Clayborne	Hendon	Radogno	Syverson
Collins	Hunter	Raoul	Trotter
Cronin	Jacobs	Rauschenberger	Viverito
Crotty	Jones, J.	Righter	Watson
Cullerton	Jones, W.	Risinger	Wilhelmi
Dahl	Lauzen	Ronen	Winkel
del Valle	Lightford	Roskam	Wojcik
DeLeo	Link	Rutherford	Mr. President
Demuzio	Luechtefeld	Sandoval	
Dillard	Maloney	Schoenberg	

Forby Martinez Shadid

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

#### SENATE BILL RECALLED

On motion of Senator Silverstein, **Senate Bill No. 1444** was recalled from the order of third reading to the order of second reading.

Senator Silverstein offered the following amendment and moved its adoption:

#### AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO SENATE BILL 1444

AMENDMENT NO. 2. Amend Senate Bill 1444 by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

"Section 5. The Clerks of Courts Act is amended by changing Section 27.3c as follows:

(705 ILCS 105/27.3c) (from Ch. 25, par. 27.3c)

Sec. 27.3c. Document storage system.

- (a) The expense of establishing and maintaining a document storage system in the offices of the circuit court clerks in the several counties of this State shall be borne by the county. To defray the expense in any county that elects to establish a document storage system and convert the records of the circuit court clerk to electronic or micrographic storage, the county board may require the clerk of the circuit court in its county to collect a court document fee of not less than \$1 nor more than \$15 \$\$, to be charged and collected by the clerk of the court. The fee shall be paid at the time of filing the first pleading, paper, or other appearance filed by each party in all civil cases or by the defendant in any felony, misdemeanor, traffic, ordinance, or conservation matter on a judgment of guilty or grant of supervision, provided that the document storage system is in place or has been authorized by the county board and further that no additional fee shall be required if more than one party is presented in a single pleading, paper, or other appearance. The fee shall be collected in the manner in which all other fees or costs are collected. The court document fee provided in this subsection (a) shall not apply to any petty offense moving violation written by a municipal police department in counties having a population of more than 650,000 but less than 3,000,000 inhabitants whether written under the Illinois Vehicle Code or under any municipal ordinance.
- (b) Each clerk shall commence charges and collections of a court document fee upon receipt of written notice from the chairman of the county board together with a certified copy of the board's resolution, which the clerk shall file of record in his or her office.
- (c) Court document fees shall be in addition to other fees and charges of the clerk, shall be assessable as costs, and may be waived only if the judge specifically provides for the waiver of the court document storage fee. The fees shall be remitted monthly by the clerk to the county treasurer, to be retained by the treasurer in a special fund designated as the Court Document Storage Fund. The fund shall be audited by the county auditor, and the board shall make expenditures from the fund in payment of any costs relative to the storage of court records, including hardware, software, research and development costs, and related personnel, provided that the expenditure is approved by the clerk of the circuit court.
- (d) A court document fee shall not be charged in any matter coming to the clerk on change of venue or in any proceeding to review the decision of any administrative officer, agency, or body. (Source: P.A. 86-1386; 87-670.)".

The motion prevailed.

And the amendment was adopted and ordered printed.

There being no further amendments, the foregoing Amendment No. 2 was ordered engrossed, and the bill, as amended was ordered to a third reading.

#### READING OF BILLS OF THE SENATE A THIRD TIME

On motion of Senator Silverstein, **Senate Bill No. 1444**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 33; Nays 22; Present 1.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Harmon Radogno Silverstein Clayborne Hendon Raoul Sullivan, D. Collins Risinger Hunter Syverson Crotty Jones, W. Ronen Trotter Cullerton Lightford Rutherford Viverito del Valle Link Sandoval Mr President DeLeo. Maloney Schoenberg Demuzio Meeks Shadid Dillard Munoz Sieben

The following voted in the negative:

Bomke Luechtefeld Watson Garrett Pankau Wilhelmi Brady Haine Burzynski Halvorson Peterson Winkel Cronin Petka Wojcik Jacobs Dahl Jones, J. Righter Roskam Forby Lauzen

The following voted present:

Sullivan, J.

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator DeLeo, **Senate Bill No. 1445**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 56; Nays 1.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Haine Pankau Silverstein Bomke Halvorson Peterson Sullivan, D. Brady Harmon Petka Sullivan, J. Burzynski Hendon Radogno Syverson Clayborne Hunter Raoul Trotter Collins Jacobs Rauschenberger Viverito Watson Cronin Jones, J. Righter Jones, W. Crotty Risinger Wilhelmi Winkel Cullerton Lauzen Ronen del Valle Lightford Roskam Wojcik

[April 15, 2005]

DeLeo Link Rutherford Mr. President
Demuzio Luechtefeld Sandoval

Dillard Martinez Schoenberg
Forby Meeks Shadid
Garrett Munoz Sieben

The following voted in the negative:

Dahl

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein

Senator Dahl asked and obtained unanimous consent for the Journal to reflect his affirmative vote on Senate Bill No. 1445

On motion of Senator Schoenberg, **Senate Bill No. 1449**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

Pending roll call on motion of Senator Schoenberg, further consideration of Senate Bill No. 1449 was postponed.

At the hour of 10:44 o'clock a.m., Senator DeLeo presiding.

#### SENATE BILL RECALLED

On motion of Senator Trotter, **Senate Bill No. 1461** was recalled from the order of third reading to the order of second reading.

Senator Trotter offered the following amendment and moved its adoption:

# AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE BILL 1461

AMENDMENT NO. 1. Amend Senate Bill 1461 by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

"Section 5. The Department of Public Health Powers and Duties Law of the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois is amended by adding Section 2310-339 as follows:

(20 ILCS 2310/2310-339 new)

Sec. 2310-339. Chronic Kidney Disease Program.

- (a) The Department, subject to appropriation or other available funding, shall establish a Chronic Kidney Disease Awareness, Testing, Diagnosis and Treatment Program. The program may include, but is not not limited to:
- (1) Dissemination of information regarding the incidence of chronic kidney disease, the risk factors associated with chronic kidney disease, and the benefits of early testing, diagnosis and treatment of chronic kidney disease.
  - (2) Promotion information and counseling about treatment options.
  - (3) Establishment and promotion of referral services and testing programs.
- (4) Development and dissemination, through print and broadcast media, of public service announcements that publicize the importance of awareness, testing, diagnosis and treatment of chronic kidney disease.
- (b) Any entity funded by the Program shall coordinate with other local providers of chronic kidney disease testing, diagnostic, follow-up, education, and advocacy services to avoid duplication of effort. Any entity funded by the Program shall comply with any applicable State and federal standards regarding chronic kidney disease testing.
- (c) Administrative costs of the Department shall not exceed 10% of the funds allocated to the Program. Indirect costs of the entities funded by this Program shall not exceed 12%. The Department shall define "indirect costs" in accordance with applicable State and federal law.

- (d) Any entity funded by the Program shall collect data and maintain records that are determined by the Department to be necessary to facilitate the Department's ability to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of the entities and the Program. Commencing with the Program's second year of operation, the Department shall submit an annual report to the General Assembly and the Governor. The report shall describe the activities and effectiveness of the Program and shall include, but is not limited to, the following types of information regarding those persons served by the Program: (i) the number, (ii) the ethnic, geographic, and age breakdown, (iii) the stages of progression, and (iv) the diagnostic and treatment status.
- (e) The Department or any entity funded by the Program shall collect personal and medical information necessary to administer the Program from any individual applying for services under the Program. The information shall be confidential and shall not be disclosed other than for purposes directly connected with the administration of the Program or as otherwise provided by law or pursuant to prior written consent of the subject of the information.
- (f) The Department or any entity funded by the Program may disclose the confidential information to medical personnel and fiscal intermediaries of the State to the extent necessary to administer the Program, and to other State public health agencies or medical researchers if the confidential information is necessary to carry out the duties of those agencies or researchers in the investigation, control, or surveillance of chronic kidney disease.
- (g) The Department shall adopt rules to implement the Program in accordance with the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act.".

The motion prevailed.

And the amendment was adopted and ordered printed.

There being no further amendments, the foregoing Amendment No. 1 was ordered engrossed, and the bill, as amended was ordered to a third reading.

#### READING OF BILLS OF THE SENATE A THIRD TIME

On motion of Senator Trotter, **Senate Bill No. 1461**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 57; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Garrett	Meeks	Sieben
Bomke	Haine	Munoz	Silverstein
Brady	Halvorson	Pankau	Sullivan, D.
Burzynski	Harmon	Peterson	Sullivan, J.
Clayborne	Hendon	Petka	Syverson
Collins	Hunter	Radogno	Trotter
Cronin	Jacobs	Raoul	Viverito
Crotty	Jones, J.	Rauschenberger	Watson
Cullerton	Jones, W.	Righter	Wilhelmi
Dahl	Lauzen	Risinger	Winkel
del Valle	Lightford	Ronen	Wojcik
DeLeo	Link	Roskam	Mr. President
Demuzio	Luechtefeld	Sandoval	
Dillard	Maloney	Schoenberg	
Forby	Martinez	Shadid	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Trotter, **Senate Bill No. 1465**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 32; Nays 25.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Clavborne Martinez Haine Collins Halvorson Meeks Cronin Harmon Munoz Raoul Crottv Hendon Ronen Cullerton Hunter del Valle Jacobs Sandoval DeLeo. Lightford Schoenberg Demuzio Link Shadid Forby Maloney Silverstein

The following voted in the negative:

Althoff Jones, J. Radogno Sullivan, D. Bomke Jones, W. Rauschenberger Watson Brady Lauzen Winkel Righter Luechtefeld Wojcik Burzynski Risinger Dahl Pankau Roskam Dillard Rutherford Peterson Garrett Petka Sieben

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Trotter, **Senate Bill No. 1466**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 58; Navs None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Meeks Shadid Bomke Haine Munoz Sieben Brady Halvorson Pankau Silverstein Burzynski Harmon Peterson Sullivan, D. Clayborne Hendon Petka Sullivan, J. Collins Hunter Radogno Syverson Cronin Jacobs Raoul Trotter Crotty Jones, J. Rauschenberger Viverito Cullerton Jones, W. Righter Watson Dahl Lauzen Risinger Wilhelmi del Valle Lightford Ronen Winkel DeLeo Link Roskam Wojcik Luechtefeld Mr. President Demuzio Rutherford Dillard Malonev Sandoval Forby Martinez Schoenberg

Sullivan, J.

Trotter

Viverito

Wilhelmi

Mr. President

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

#### SENATE BILL RECALLED

On motion of Senator Harmon, **Senate Bill No. 1493** was recalled from the order of third reading to the order of second reading.

Senator Harmon offered the following amendment and moved its adoption:

#### AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO SENATE BILL 1493

AMENDMENT NO. 2. Amend Senate Bill 1493, AS AMENDED, by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

"Section 5. The School Code is amended by changing Sections 2-3.25g and 5-2.1 and by adding Section 5-1b as follows:

(105 ILCS 5/2-3.25g) (from Ch. 122, par. 2-3.25g)

Sec. 2-3.25g. Waiver or modification of mandates within the School Code and administrative rules and regulations.

(a) In this Section:

"Board" means a school board or the governing board or administrative district, as the case may be, for a joint agreement.

"Eligible applicant" means a school district, joint agreement made up of school districts, or regional superintendent of schools on behalf of schools and programs operated by the regional office of education.

"State Board" means the State Board of Education.

- (b) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this School Code or any other law of this State to the contrary, eligible applicants may petition the State Board of Education for the waiver or modification of the mandates of this School Code or of the administrative rules and regulations promulgated by the State Board of Education. Waivers or modifications of administrative rules and regulations and modifications of mandates of this School Code may be requested when an eligible applicant demonstrates that it can address the intent of the rule or mandate in a more effective, efficient, or economical manner or when necessary to stimulate innovation or improve student performance. Waivers of mandates of the School Code may be requested when the waivers are necessary to stimulate innovation or improve student performance. Waivers may not be requested from laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to special education, teacher certification, exteacher tenure and seniority or Section 5-2.1 of this Code or from compliance with the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (Public Law 107-110).
- (c) Eligible applicants, as a matter of inherent managerial policy, and any Independent Authority established under Section 2-3.25f may submit an application for a waiver or modification authorized under this Section. Each application must include a written request by the eligible applicant or Independent Authority and must demonstrate that the intent of the mandate can be addressed in a more effective, efficient, or economical manner or be based upon a specific plan for improved student performance and school improvement. Any eligible applicant requesting a waiver or modification for the reason that intent of the mandate can be addressed in a more economical manner shall include in the application a fiscal analysis showing current expenditures on the mandate and projected savings resulting from the waiver or modification. Applications and plans developed by eligible applicants must be approved by the board or regional superintendent of schools applying on behalf of schools or programs operated by the regional office of education following a public hearing on the application and plan and the opportunity for the board or regional superintendent to hear testimony from educators directly involved in its implementation, parents, and students. If the applicant is a school district or joint agreement, the public hearing shall be held on a day other than the day on which a regular meeting of the board is held. If the applicant is a school district, the public hearing must be preceded by at least one published notice occurring at least 7 days prior to the hearing in a newspaper of general circulation within the school district that sets forth the time, date, place, and general subject matter of the hearing. If the applicant is a joint agreement or regional superintendent, the public hearing must be preceded by at least one published notice (setting forth the time, date, place, and general subject matter of the hearing)

occurring at least 7 days prior to the hearing in a newspaper of general circulation in each school district that is a member of the joint agreement or that is served by the educational service region, provided that a notice appearing in a newspaper generally circulated in more than one school district shall be deemed to fulfill this requirement with respect to all of the affected districts. The eligible applicant must notify in writing the affected exclusive collective bargaining agent and those State legislators representing the eligible applicant's territory of its intent to seek approval of a waiver or modification and of the hearing to be held to take testimony from educators. The affected exclusive collective bargaining agents shall be notified of such public hearing at least 7 days prior to the date of the hearing and shall be allowed to attend such public hearing. The eligible applicant shall attest to compliance with all of the notification and procedural requirements set forth in this Section.

(d) A request for a waiver or modification of administrative rules and regulations or for a modification of mandates contained in this School Code shall be submitted to the State Board of Education within 15 days after approval by the board or regional superintendent of schools. The application as submitted to the State Board of Education shall include a description of the public hearing. Following receipt of the request, the State Board shall have 45 days to review the application and request. If the State Board fails to disapprove the application within that 45 day period, the waiver or modification shall be deemed granted. The State Board may disapprove any request if it is not based upon sound educational practices, endangers the health or safety of students or staff, compromises equal opportunities for learning, or fails to demonstrate that the intent of the rule or mandate can be addressed in a more effective, efficient, or economical manner or have improved student performance as a primary goal. Any request disapproved by the State Board may be appealed to the General Assembly by the eligible applicant as outlined in this Section.

A request for a waiver from mandates contained in this School Code shall be submitted to the State Board within 15 days after approval by the board or regional superintendent of schools. The application as submitted to the State Board of Education shall include a description of the public hearing. The description shall include, but need not be limited to, the means of notice, the number of people in attendance, the number of people who spoke as proponents or opponents of the waiver, a brief description of their comments, and whether there were any written statements submitted. The State Board shall review the applications and requests for completeness and shall compile the requests in reports to be filed with the General Assembly. The State Board shall file reports outlining the waivers requested by eligible applicants and appeals by eligible applicants of requests disapproved by the State Board with the Senate and the House of Representatives before each May 1 and October 1. The General Assembly may disapprove the report of the State Board in whole or in part within 30 calendar days after each house of the General Assembly next convenes after the report is filed by adoption of a resolution by a record vote of the majority of members elected in each house. If the General Assembly fails to disapprove any waiver request or appealed request within such 30 day period, the waiver or modification shall be deemed granted. Any resolution adopted by the General Assembly disapproving a report of the State Board in whole or in part shall be binding on the State Board.

- (e) An approved waiver or modification may remain in effect for a period not to exceed 5 school years and may be renewed upon application by the eligible applicant. However, such waiver or modification may be changed within that 5-year period by a board or regional superintendent of schools applying on behalf of schools or programs operated by the regional office of education following the procedure as set forth in this Section for the initial waiver or modification request. If neither the State Board of Education nor the General Assembly disapproves, the change is deemed granted.
- (f) On or before February 1, 1998, and each year thereafter, the State Board of Education shall submit a cumulative report summarizing all types of waivers of mandates and modifications of mandates granted by the State Board or the General Assembly. The report shall identify the topic of the waiver along with the number and percentage of eligible applicants for which the waiver has been granted. The report shall also include any recommendations from the State Board regarding the repeal or modification of waived mandates.

(Source: P.A. 93-470, eff. 8-8-03; 93-557, eff. 8-20-03; 93-707, eff. 7-9-04.)

(105 ILCS 5/5-1b new)

Sec. 5-1b. Elementary school districts. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Code, the school board of any elementary school district that is located in a Class II county school unit and whose territory includes all or any part of the territory included within a high school district that crosses township boundaries may, by resolution, withdraw from the jurisdiction and authority of the township treasurer and the trustees of schools that currently serve the elementary school district and transfer and otherwise submit to the jurisdiction and authority of the township treasurer or trustees of schools of another township that then serves the high school district.

(105 ILCS 5/5-2.1) (from Ch. 122, par. 5-2.1)

Sec. 5-2.1. Eligible Voters: For the purposes of this Article persons who are qualified to vote in school elections shall be eligible to vote for the trustees of schools who have jurisdiction over the elementary school district or unit school district in which the person resides.

If However, if the application of this Section results in an elector voting for trustees of a school township in which he does not reside because the elementary or unit school district crosses township boundaries and has been assigned to the jurisdiction of the trustees of an adjoining township, that elector shall also be eligible to vote for the trustees of the township within which he resides. Moreover, an elector who resides in a high school district that crosses township boundaries and has been assigned to the jurisdiction of the trustees of an adjoining township shall be eligible to vote for both the trustees of the township in which he or she resides and the trustees of the township having jurisdiction over the high school district in which he or she resides.

(Source: P.A. 85-1435.)

Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect upon becoming law.".

The motion prevailed.

And the amendment was adopted and ordered printed.

There being no further amendments, the foregoing Amendment No. 2 was ordered engrossed, and the bill, as amended was ordered to a third reading.

#### READING OF BILLS OF THE SENATE A THIRD TIME

On motion of Senator Harmon, **Senate Bill No. 1493**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Sieben

Silverstein

Sullivan, D.

Sullivan, J.

Syverson

Wilhelmi

Winkel

Woicik

Mr. President

Trotter Watson

Yeas 56; Nays 2.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Munoz Bomke Pankau Haine Halvorson Peterson Brady Burzynski Harmon Petka Clayborne Hendon Radogno Collins Hunter Raoul Cronin Jacobs Rauschenberger Crotty Jones, J. Righter Cullerton Risinger Lauzen Dahl Lightford Ronen del Valle Roskam Link DeLeo Luechtefeld Rutherford Demuzio Malonev Sandoval Dillard Martinez Schoenberg Forby Meeks Shadid

The following voted in the negative:

Jones, W. Viverito

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Dillard, **Senate Bill No. 1494**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 57; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Meeks Sieben Bomke Haine Munoz Silverstein Brady Halvorson Pankau Sullivan, D. Peterson Sullivan, J. Burzynski Harmon Clayborne Hendon Petka Syverson Collins Hunter Radogno Trotter Cronin Jacobs Raoul Viverito Crottv Rauschenberger Watson Jones, J. Cullerton Jones, W. Risinger Wilhelmi Dahl Ronen Winkel Lauzen del Valle Lightford Roskam Wojcik DeLeo Link Rutherford Mr. President Demuzio Luechtefeld Sandoval Dillard Maloney Schoenberg Martinez Shadid Forby

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Dillard, **Senate Bill No. 1495**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 58; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Shadid Althoff Garrett Meeks Bomke Haine Munoz Sieben Bradv Halvorson Pankau Silverstein Harmon Peterson Sullivan, D. Burzynski Clayborne Hendon Petka Sullivan, J. Collins Radogno Syverson Hunter Cronin Jacobs Raoul Trotter Crotty Jones, J. Rauschenberger Viverito Jones, W. Cullerton Righter Watson Dahl Wilhelmi Lauzen Risinger del Valle Lightford Ronen Winkel DeLeo Link Roskam Wojcik Demuzio Luechtefeld Rutherford Mr. President Dillard Malonev Sandoval Martinez Schoenberg Forby

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein

On motion of Senator Trotter, **Senate Bill No. 1503**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 49; Nays 7; Present 1.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Meeks Forby Bomke Garrett Munoz Brady Haine Pankau Burzynski Harmon Peterson Clayborne Hendon Radogno Collins Hunter Raoul Cronin Jacobs Risinger Crotty Jones, W. Ronen Cullerton Lightford Roskam Dahl Link Rutherford del Valle Luechtefeld Sandoval DeLeo Schoenberg Maloney Dillard Martinez Sieben

Silverstein Sullivan, D. Sullivan, J. Syverson Trotter Viverito Watson Winkel Wojcik Mr. President

The following voted in the negative:

The following voted present:

Demuzio Halvorson Jones, J. Petka Rauschenberger Righter Wilhelmi

Lauzen

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Trotter, **Senate Bill No. 1505**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 58; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Meeks Shadid Bomke Haine Munoz Sieben Pankau Brady Halvorson Silverstein Burzynski Harmon Peterson Sullivan, D. Clayborne Hendon Petka Sullivan, J. Collins Hunter Syverson Radogno

[April 15, 2005]

Cronin Jacobs Raoul Trotter Viverito Crotty Jones, J. Rauschenberger Cullerton Jones, W. Righter Watson Dahl Lauzen Risinger Wilhelmi del Valle Ronen Winkel Lightford DeLeo Link Roskam Woicik Demuzio Luechtefeld Rutherford Mr. President Dillard Maloney Sandoval

Forby Martinez Schoenberg

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

Senator Geo-Karis asked and obtained unanimous consent for the Journal to reflect her affirmative vote on **Senate Bill No. 1505**.

On motion of Senator Trotter, **Senate Bill No. 1509**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 39; Nays 16; Present 1.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Meeks Shadid Bomke Geo-Karis Munoz Silverstein Clayborne Halvorson Peterson Sullivan, D. Collins Harmon Radogno Sullivan I Crottv Hendon Raoul Trotter Cullerton Jacobs Rauschenberger Viverito del Valle Lightford Risinger Wilhelmi Link Ronen DeLeo Woicik Dillard Mr. President Malonev Sandoval

Forby Martinez Schoenberg

The following voted in the negative:

Brady Jones, J. Petka
Burzynski Jones, W. Righter
Cronin Lauzen Roskam
Dahl Luechtefeld Rutherford
Demuzio Pankau Sieben

The following voted present:

Haine

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

Watson

#### SENATE BILL RECALLED

On motion of Senator Sandoval, **Senate Bill No. 1623** was recalled from the order of third reading to the order of second reading.

Senator D. Sullivan - Senator Winkel offered the following amendment and moved its adoption:

#### AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE BILL 1623

AMENDMENT NO. 1\_. Amend Senate Bill 1623 by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

"Section 1. Short title. This Act may be cited as the Consular Identification Document Act.

- Section 5. Definition. As used in this Act, "consular identification document" means an official identification card issued by a foreign government that meets all of the following requirements:
  - (1) The consular identification document is issued through the foreign government's consular offices for the purpose of identifying a foreign national who is living outside of that nation.
  - (2) The foreign government requires an individual to provide the following to obtain the consular identification document: (A) proof of nationality; (B) proof of identity; and (C) proof of residence in the consular district.
  - (3) The foreign government includes the following security features in the consular identification document: (A) a unique identification number; (B) an optically variable feature such as a hologram or color-shifting inks; (C) an ultraviolet image; (D) encoded information; (E) machine readable technology; (F) micro printing; (G) secure laminate; and (H) integrated photograph and signature.
  - (4) The consular identification document includes the following data: (A) the name and address of the individual to whom it is issued; (B) the date of issuance; (C) the date of expiration; (D) the name of the issuing consulate; and (E) an identification number. The consular identification document must include an English translation of the data fields.
  - (5) The issuing consulate has filed with the Department of State Police a copy of the issuing consular's consular identification document and a certification of the procedures that are used to satisfy the requirements of paragraphs (2) and (3).

Section 10. Acceptance of consular identification document.

- (a) When requiring members of the public to provide identification, each State agency and officer and unit of local government shall accept a consular identification document as valid identification of a person.
- (b) A consular identification document shall be accepted for purposes of identification only and does not convey an independent right to receive benefits of any type.
- (c) A consular identification document may not be accepted as identification for obtaining a driver's license or registering to vote.
- (d) A consular identification document does not establish or indicate lawful U.S. immigration status and may not be viewed as valid for that purpose, nor does a consular identification document establish a foreign national's right to be in the United States or remain in the United States.
  - (e) The requirements of subsection (a) do not apply if:
  - (1) a federal law, regulation, or directive or a federal court decision requires a
  - State agency or officer or a unit of local government to obtain different identification;
    - (2) a federal law, regulation, or directive preempts state regulation of identification requirements; or
  - (3) a State agency or officer or a unit of local government would be unable to comply with a condition imposed by a funding source which would cause the State agency or officer or unit of local government to lose funds from that source.
- (f) Nothing in subsection (a) shall be construed to prohibit a State agency or officer or a unit of local government from:
  - (1) requiring additional information from persons in order to verify a current address or other facts that would enable the State agency or officer or unit of local government to fulfill its responsibilities, except that this paragraph (1) does not permit a State agency or officer or a unit of local government to require additional information solely in order to establish identification of the person when the consular identification document is the form of identification presented;

- (2) requiring fingerprints for identification purposes under circumstances where the State agency or officer or unit of local government also requires fingerprints from persons who have a driver's license or Illinois Identification Card; or
- (3) requiring additional evidence of identification if the State agency or officer or unit of local government reasonably believes that: (A) the consular identification document is forged, fraudulent, or altered; or (B) the holder does not appear to be the same person on the consular identification document.

Section 15. Privacy and disclosure limitations. Use by a State agency or officer or a unit of local government of information collected from, or appearing on, a consular identification document is subject to the same privacy and disclosure limitations that apply to the Illinois Identification Card."

The motion prevailed.

And the amendment was adopted and ordered printed.

There being no further amendments, the foregoing Amendment No. 1 was ordered engrossed, and the bill, as amended was ordered to a third reading.

#### READING OF BILL OF THE SENATE A THIRD TIME

On motion of Senator Sandoval, **Senate Bill No. 1623**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 45; Nays 13; Present 1.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Forby	Munoz	Silverstein
Brady	Garrett	Pankau	Sullivan, D.
Clayborne	Geo-Karis	Peterson	Sullivan, J.
Collins	Halvorson	Radogno	Trotter
Cronin	Harmon	Raoul	Viverito
Crotty	Hendon	Rauschenberger	Wilhelmi
Cullerton	Hunter	Ronen	Winkel
Dahl	Jacobs	Rutherford	Wojcik
del Valle	Lightford	Sandoval	Mr. President
DeLeo	Link	Schoenberg	
Demuzio	Martinez	Shadid	
Dillard	Meeks	Sieben	

The following voted in the negative:

Bomke	Jones, W.	Righter	Watson
Burzynski	Lauzen	Risinger	
Haine	Maloney	Roskam	
Jones, J.	Petka	Syverson	

The following voted present:

#### Luechtefeld

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

#### SENATE BILL RECALLED

On motion of Senator Viverito, **Senate Bill No. 1624** was recalled from the order of third reading to the order of second reading.

Senator Viverito offered the following amendment and moved its adoption:

#### AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE BILL 1624

AMENDMENT NO. 1. Amend Senate Bill 1624 by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

"Section 5. The Department of State Police Law of the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois is amended by changing Sections 2605-5 and 2605-375 as follows:

(20 ILCS 2605/2605-5)

Sec. 2605-5. Definitions. In this Law:

"Department" means the Department of State Police.

"Director" means the Director of State Police.

"Missing endangered senior" means an individual 65 years of age or older who is reported missing to a law enforcement agency and is, or is believed to be:

(1) a temporary or permanent resident of Illinois;

(2) at a location that cannot be determined by an individual familiar with the missing individual; and

(3) incapable of returning to the individual's residence without assistance.

(Source: P.A. 91-239, eff. 1-1-00.)

(20 ILCS 2605/2605-375) (was 20 ILCS 2605/55a in part)

Sec. 2605-375. Missing persons; Law Enforcement Agencies Data System (LEADS).

- (a) To establish and maintain a statewide Law Enforcement Agencies Data System (LEADS) for the purpose of providing electronic access by authorized entities to criminal justice data repositories and effecting an immediate law enforcement response to reports of missing persons, including lost, missing or runaway minors and missing endangered seniors. The Department shall implement an automatic data exchange system to compile, to maintain, and to make available to other law enforcement agencies for immediate dissemination data that can assist appropriate agencies in recovering missing persons and provide access by authorized entities to various data repositories available through LEADS for criminal justice and related purposes. To assist the Department in this effort, funds may be appropriated from the LEADS Maintenance Fund.
  - (b) In exercising its duties under this Section, the Department shall do the following:
    - (1) Provide a uniform reporting format for the entry of pertinent information regarding
  - the report of a missing person into LEADS. The report must include all of the following:
- (A) Relevant information obtained from the notification concerning the missing person, including all of the following:
  - (i) a physical description of the missing person;
  - (ii) the date, time, and place that the missing person was last seen; and
  - (iii) the missing person's address.
  - (B) Information gathered by a preliminary investigation, if one was made.
- (C) A statement by the law enforcement officer in charge stating the officer's assessment of the case based on the evidence and information received.
- The Department of State Police shall prepare the report required by this paragraph (1) as soon as practical, but not later than 5 hours after the Department receives notification of a missing person.
  - (2) Develop and implement a policy whereby a statewide or regional alert would be used in situations relating to the disappearances of individuals, based on criteria and in a format established by the Department. Such a format shall include, but not be limited to, the age of the missing person and the suspected circumstance of the disappearance.
  - (3) Notify all law enforcement agencies that reports of missing persons shall be entered as soon as the minimum level of data specified by the Department is available to the reporting agency and that no waiting period for the entry of the data exists.
  - (4) Compile and retain information regarding lost, abducted, missing, or runaway minors in a separate data file, in a manner that allows that information to be used by law enforcement and other agencies deemed appropriate by the Director, for investigative purposes. The information shall include the disposition of all reported lost, abducted, missing, or runaway minor cases.
    - (5) Compile and maintain an historic data repository relating to lost, abducted,

missing, or runaway minors and other missing persons, including, but not limited to, missing endangered seniors in order to develop and improve techniques utilized by law enforcement agencies when responding to reports of missing persons.

- (6) Create a quality control program regarding confirmation of missing person data,
- timeliness of entries of missing person reports into LEADS, and performance audits of all entering agencies.
- (7) Upon completion of the report required by paragraph (1), the Department of State Police shall immediately forward the contents of the report to all of the following:
- (A) all law enforcement agencies that have jurisdiction in the location where the missing person lives and all law enforcement agencies that have jurisdiction in the location where the missing person was last seen;
- (B) all law enforcement agencies to which the person who made the notification concerning the missing person requests the report be sent, if the Department determines that the request is reasonable in light of the information received;
  - (C) all law enforcement agencies that request a copy of the report; and
  - (D) the National Crime Information Center's Missing Person File, if appropriate.
- (8) The Department of State Police shall begin an investigation concerning the missing person not later than 24 hours after receiving notification of a missing person.
- (c) The Illinois Law Enforcement Training Standards Board shall conduct a training program for law enforcement personnel of local governmental agencies in the statewide coordinated missing endangered senior alert system established under this Section.

(Source: P.A. 90-18, eff. 7-1-97; 90-130, eff. 1-1-98; 90-372, eff. 7-1-98; 90-590, eff. 1-1-00; 90-655, eff. 7-30-98; 90-793, eff. 8-14-98; 91-239, eff. 1-1-00.)

Section 10. The Illinois Police Training Act is amended by changing Section 10.10 as follows: (50 ILCS 705/10.10)

Sec. 10.10. Training in child abduction <u>and missing endangered senior</u> alert system. The Board shall conduct a training program for law enforcement personnel of local governmental agencies in the statewide coordinated child abduction alert system developed under Section 2605-480 of the Department of State Police Law of the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois <u>and the statewide coordinated missing endangered senior alert system developed under Section 2605-375 of the Department of State Police Law of the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois.</u>

(Source: P.A. 93-310, eff. 7-23-03.)

Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect January 1, 2006.".

The motion prevailed.

And the amendment was adopted and ordered printed.

There being no further amendments, the foregoing Amendment No. 1 was ordered engrossed, and the bill, as amended was ordered to a third reading.

#### READING OF BILLS OF THE SENATE A THIRD TIME

On motion of Senator Viverito, **Senate Bill No. 1624**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 57; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Haine Munoz Sieben Bomke Halvorson Pankau Silverstein Brady Harmon Peterson Sullivan, D. Burzynski Hendon Petka Sullivan, J. Clayborne Hunter Syverson Radogno Collins Jacobs Raoul Trotter

Cronin Jones, J. Rauschenberger Viverito Watson Crotty Jones, W. Righter Cullerton Lauzen Risinger Wilhelmi Dahl Lightford Ronen Winkel Demuzio Roskam Woicik Link Dillard Rutherford Mr. President Luechtefeld Forby Sandoval Maloney Garrett Martinez Schoenberg Geo-Karis Meeks Shadid

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein

On motion of Senator Schoenberg, **Senate Bill No. 1625**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 32; Nays 26.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Bomke Garrett Martinez Sullivan, J. Clayborne Haine Meeks Trotter Collins Halvorson Munoz Viverito Crotty Harmon Raoul Wilhelmi Cullerton Hendon Ronen Mr. President del Valle Hunter Sandoval DeLeo Lightford Schoenberg Shadid Demuzio Link

Forby Maloney

The following voted in the negative:

Althoff Jones, J. Radogno Sullivan, D. Bradv Jones, W. Rauschenberger Syverson Watson Burzynski Lauzen Righter Cronin Risinger Winkel Luechtefeld Dillard Pankau Roskam Wojcik Geo-Karis Peterson Rutherford Jacobs Sieben Petka

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Silverstein

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

Senator Dahl asked and obtained unanimous consent for the Journal to reflect his negative vote on Senate Bill No. 1625.

On motion of Senator Burzynski, **Senate Bill No. 1638**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 59; Nays None.

[April 15, 2005]

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Martinez Schoenberg Bomke Geo-Karis Meeks Shadid Munoz Sieben Brady Haine Halvorson Pankau Silverstein Burzynski Clayborne Harmon Peterson Sullivan, D. Collins Hendon Petka Sullivan, J. Cronin Hunter Radogno Syverson Crottv Raoul Trotter Jacobs Cullerton Jones, J. Rauschenberger Viverito Dahl Jones, W. Righter Watson del Valle Wilhelmi Lauzen Risinger Winkel DeLeo Lightford Ronen Demuzio Link Roskam Wojcik Dillard Luechtefeld Rutherford Mr. President Maloney Sandoval Forby

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Dillard, **Senate Bill No. 1646**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 59; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Garrett	Martinez	Schoenberg
Bomke	Geo-Karis	Meeks	Shadid
Brady	Haine	Munoz	Sieben
Burzynski	Halvorson	Pankau	Silverstein
Clayborne	Harmon	Peterson	Sullivan, D.
Collins	Hendon	Petka	Sullivan, J.
Cronin	Hunter	Radogno	Syverson
Crotty	Jacobs	Raoul	Trotter
Cullerton	Jones, J.	Rauschenberger	Viverito
Dahl	Jones, W.	Righter	Watson
del Valle	Lauzen	Risinger	Wilhelmi
DeLeo	Lightford	Ronen	Winkel
Demuzio	Link	Roskam	Wojcik
Dillard	Luechtefeld	Rutherford	Mr. President
Forby	Maloney	Sandoval	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Dillard, **Senate Bill No. 1647**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 58; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Martinez Shadid Garrett Bomke Geo-Karis Munoz Sieben Brady Haine Pankau Silverstein Burzynski Halvorson Peterson Sullivan. D. Clayborne Harmon Petka Sullivan, J. Collins Hendon Radogno Syverson Cronin Hunter Raoul Trotter Crottv Jacobs Rauschenberger Viverito Cullerton Jones, J. Righter Watson Wilhelmi Dahl Jones, W. Risinger del Valle Lauzen Ronen Winkel DeLeo. Lightford Roskam Woicik Link Rutherford Mr. President Demuzio Dillard Luechtefeld Sandoval Forby Maloney Schoenberg

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein

On motion of Senator Radogno, **Senate Bill No. 1654**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 59; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Martinez Althoff Garrett Schoenberg Bomke Geo-Karis Meeks Shadid Brady Haine Munoz Sieben Burzynski Halvorson Pankau Silverstein Peterson Clayborne Sullivan, D. Harmon Collins Hendon Petka Sullivan, J. Cronin Hunter Radogno Syverson Crotty Jacobs Raoul Trotter Cullerton Rauschenberger Viverito Jones, J. Dahl Jones, W. Righter Watson del Valle Risinger Wilhelmi Lauzen DeLeo. Lightford Ronen Winkel Demuzio Link Roskam Wojcik Dillard Luechtefeld Rutherford Mr. President Forby Malonev Sandoval

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Righter, **Senate Bill No. 1661**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

[April 15, 2005]

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 58; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Geo-Karis Meeks Shadid Bomke Munoz Sieben Haine Brady Halvorson Pankau Silverstein Burzynski Harmon Peterson Sullivan, D. Clayborne Hendon Petka Sullivan, J. Collins Hunter Syverson Radogno Raoul Crottv Jacobs Trotter Cullerton Jones, J. Rauschenberger Viverito Dahl Jones, W. Righter Watson del Valle Wilhelmi Lauzen Risinger DeLeo. Lightford Ronen Winkel Demuzio Link Roskam Wojcik Dillard Luechtefeld Rutherford Mr. President Forby Maloney Sandoval Garrett Martinez Schoenberg

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

#### SENATE BILL RECALLED

On motion of Senator Geo-Karis, **Senate Bill No. 1665** was recalled from the order of third reading to the order of second reading.

Senator Geo-Karis offered the following amendment and moved its adoption:

# AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE BILL 1665

AMENDMENT NO. <u>1</u>. Amend Senate Bill 1665 by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

"Section 5. The Illinois Act on the Aging is amended by changing Section 4.02 as follows: (20 ILCS 105/4.02) (from Ch. 23, par. 6104.02)

Sec. 4.02. The Department shall establish a program of services to prevent unnecessary institutionalization of persons age 60 and older in need of long term care or who are established as persons who suffer from Alzheimer's disease or a related disorder under the Alzheimer's Disease Assistance Act, thereby enabling them to remain in their own homes or in other living arrangements. Such preventive services, which may be coordinated with other programs for the aged and monitored by area agencies on aging in cooperation with the Department, may include, but are not limited to, any or all of the following:

- (a) home health services;
- (b) home nursing services;
- (c) homemaker services;
- (d) chore and housekeeping services;
- (e) day care services;
- (f) home-delivered meals:
- (g) education in self-care;
- (h) personal care services;
- (i) adult day health services;
- (i) habilitation services:
- (k) respite care;

- (k-5) community reintegration services;
- (l) other nonmedical social services that may enable the person to become self-supporting; or
- (m) clearinghouse for information provided by senior citizen home owners who want to rent rooms to or share living space with other senior citizens.

The Department shall establish eligibility standards for such services taking into consideration the unique economic and social needs of the target population for whom they are to be provided. Such eligibility standards shall be based on the recipient's ability to pay for services; provided, however, that in determining the amount and nature of services for which a person may qualify, consideration shall not be given to the value of cash, property or other assets held in the name of the person's spouse pursuant to a written agreement dividing marital property into equal but separate shares or pursuant to a transfer of the person's interest in a home to his spouse, provided that the spouse's share of the marital property is not made available to the person seeking such services.

Beginning July 1, 2002, the Department shall require as a condition of eligibility that all financially eligible applicants and recipients apply for medical assistance under Article V of the Illinois Public Aid Code in accordance with rules promulgated by the Department.

The Department shall, in conjunction with the Department of Public Aid, seek appropriate amendments under Sections 1915 and 1924 of the Social Security Act. The purpose of the amendments shall be to extend eligibility for home and community based services under Sections 1915 and 1924 of the Social Security Act to persons who transfer to or for the benefit of a spouse those amounts of income and resources allowed under Section 1924 of the Social Security Act. Subject to the approval of such amendments, the Department shall extend the provisions of Section 5-4 of the Illinois Public Aid Code to persons who, but for the provision of home or community-based services, would require the level of care provided in an institution, as is provided for in federal law. Those persons no longer found to be eligible for receiving noninstitutional services due to changes in the eligibility criteria shall be given 60 days notice prior to actual termination. Those persons receiving notice of termination may contact the Department and request the determination be appealed at any time during the 60 day notice period. With the exception of the lengthened notice and time frame for the appeal request, the appeal process shall follow the normal procedure. In addition, each person affected regardless of the circumstances for discontinued eligibility shall be given notice and the opportunity to purchase the necessary services through the Community Care Program. If the individual does not elect to purchase services, the Department shall advise the individual of alternative services. The target population identified for the purposes of this Section are persons age 60 and older with an identified service need. Priority shall be given to those who are at imminent risk of institutionalization. The services shall be provided to eligible persons age 60 and older to the extent that the cost of the services together with the other personal maintenance expenses of the persons are reasonably related to the standards established for care in a group facility appropriate to the person's condition. These non-institutional services, pilot projects or experimental facilities may be provided as part of or in addition to those authorized by federal law or those funded and administered by the Department of Human Services. The Departments of Human Services, Public Aid, Public Health, Veterans' Affairs, and Commerce and Economic Opportunity and other appropriate agencies of State, federal and local governments shall cooperate with the Department on Aging in the establishment and development of the non-institutional services. The Department shall require an annual audit from all chore/housekeeping and homemaker vendors contracting with the Department under this Section. The annual audit shall assure that each audited vendor's procedures are in compliance with Department's financial reporting guidelines requiring a 27% administrative cost split and a 73% employee wages and benefits cost split. The audit is a public record under the Freedom of Information Act. The Department shall execute, relative to the nursing home prescreening project, written inter-agency agreements with the Department of Human Services and the Department of Public Aid, to effect the following: (1) intake procedures and common eligibility criteria for those persons who are receiving non-institutional services; and (2) the establishment and development of non-institutional services in areas of the State where they are not currently available or are undeveloped. On and after July 1, 1996, all nursing home prescreenings for individuals 60 years of age or older shall be conducted by the Department.

As part of the Department on Aging's routine training of case managers and case manager supervisors, the Department may include information on family futures planning for persons who are age 60 or older and who are caregivers of their adult children with developmental disabilities. The content of the training shall be at the Department's discretion.

The Department is authorized to establish a system of recipient copayment for services provided under this Section, such copayment to be based upon the recipient's ability to pay but in no case to exceed the actual cost of the services provided. Additionally, any portion of a person's income which is equal to or less than the federal poverty standard shall not be considered by the Department in determining the copayment. The level of such copayment shall be adjusted whenever necessary to reflect any change in the officially designated federal poverty standard.

The Department, or the Department's authorized representative, shall recover the amount of moneys expended for services provided to or in behalf of a person under this Section by a claim against the person's estate or against the estate of the person's surviving spouse, but no recovery may be had until after the death of the surviving spouse, if any, and then only at such time when there is no surviving child who is under age 21, blind, or permanently and totally disabled. This paragraph, however, shall not bar recovery, at the death of the person, of moneys for services provided to the person or in behalf of the person under this Section to which the person was not entitled; provided that such recovery shall not be enforced against any real estate while it is occupied as a homestead by the surviving spouse or other dependent, if no claims by other creditors have been filed against the estate, or, if such claims have been filed, they remain dormant for failure of prosecution or failure of the claimant to compel administration of the estate for the purpose of payment. This paragraph shall not bar recovery from the estate of a spouse, under Sections 1915 and 1924 of the Social Security Act and Section 5-4 of the Illinois Public Aid Code, who precedes a person receiving services under this Section in death. All moneys for services paid to or in behalf of the person under this Section shall be claimed for recovery from the deceased spouse's estate. "Homestead", as used in this paragraph, means the dwelling house and contiguous real estate occupied by a surviving spouse or relative, as defined by the rules and regulations of the Illinois Department of Public Aid, regardless of the value of the property.

The Department shall develop procedures to enhance availability of services on evenings, weekends, and on an emergency basis to meet the respite needs of caregivers. Procedures shall be developed to permit the utilization of services in successive blocks of 24 hours up to the monthly maximum established by the Department. Workers providing these services shall be appropriately trained.

Beginning on the effective date of this Amendatory Act of 1991, no person may perform chore/housekeeping and homemaker services under a program authorized by this Section unless that person has been issued a certificate of pre-service to do so by his or her employing agency. Information gathered to effect such certification shall include (i) the person's name, (ii) the date the person was hired by his or her current employer, and (iii) the training, including dates and levels. Persons engaged in the program authorized by this Section before the effective date of this amendatory Act of 1991 shall be issued a certificate of all pre- and in-service training from his or her employer upon submitting the necessary information. The employing agency shall be required to retain records of all staff pre- and in-service training, and shall provide such records to the Department upon request and upon termination of the employer's contract with the Department. In addition, the employing agency is responsible for the issuance of certifications of in-service training completed to their employees.

The Department is required to develop a system to ensure that persons working as homemakers and chore housekeepers receive increases in their wages when the federal minimum wage is increased by requiring vendors to certify that they are meeting the federal minimum wage statute for homemakers and chore housekeepers. An employer that cannot ensure that the minimum wage increase is being given to homemakers and chore housekeepers shall be denied any increase in reimbursement costs.

The Department on Aging and the Department of Human Services shall cooperate in the development and submission of an annual report on programs and services provided under this Section. Such joint report shall be filed with the Governor and the General Assembly on or before September 30 each year.

The requirement for reporting to the General Assembly shall be satisfied by filing copies of the report with the Speaker, the Minority Leader and the Clerk of the House of Representatives and the President, the Minority Leader and the Secretary of the Senate and the Legislative Research Unit, as required by Section 3.1 of the General Assembly Organization Act and filing such additional copies with the State Government Report Distribution Center for the General Assembly as is required under paragraph (t) of Section 7 of the State Library Act.

Those persons previously found eligible for receiving non-institutional services whose services were discontinued under the Emergency Budget Act of Fiscal Year 1992, and who do not meet the eligibility standards in effect on or after July 1, 1992, shall remain ineligible on and after July 1, 1992. Those persons previously not required to cost-share and who were required to cost-share effective March 1, 1992, shall continue to meet cost-share requirements on and after July 1, 1992. Beginning July 1, 1992, all clients will be required to meet eligibility, cost-share, and other requirements and will have services discontinued or altered when they fail to meet these requirements.

(Source: P.A. 92-597, eff. 6-28-02; 93-85, eff. 1-1-04; 93-902, eff. 8-10-04.)

Section 10. The Family Caregiver Act is amended by adding Section 27 as follows: (320 ILCS 65/27 new)

Sec. 27. Elder caregivers of adult children with developmental disabilities. Subject to appropriation or to inclusion of this population in the federal Older Americans Act, the Department may provide support to caregivers who are age 60 or older and who are caring for their adult children with developmental disabilities, in collaboration with the Department of Human Services.

Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect upon becoming law.".

The motion prevailed.

And the amendment was adopted and ordered printed.

There being no further amendments, the foregoing Amendment No. 1 was ordered engrossed, and the bill, as amended was ordered to a third reading.

At the hour of 10:57 o'clock a.m., Senator Hendon presiding.

#### READING OF BILLS OF THE SENATE A THIRD TIME

On motion of Senator Geo-Karis, **Senate Bill No. 1665**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 59; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Garrett	Martinez	Schoenberg
Geo-Karis	Meeks	Shadid
Haine	Munoz	Sieben
Halvorson	Pankau	Silverstein
Harmon	Peterson	Sullivan, D.
Hendon	Petka	Sullivan, J.
Hunter	Radogno	Syverson
Jacobs	Raoul	Trotter
Jones, J.	Rauschenberger	Viverito
Jones, W.	Righter	Watson
Lauzen	Risinger	Wilhelmi
Lightford	Ronen	Winkel
Link	Roskam	Wojcik
Luechtefeld	Rutherford	Mr. President
Maloney	Sandoval	
	Geo-Karis Haine Halvorson Harmon Hendon Hunter Jacobs Jones, J. Jones, W. Lauzen Lightford Link Luechtefeld	Geo-Karis Meeks Haine Munoz Halvorson Pankau Harmon Peterson Hendon Petka Hunter Radogno Jacobs Raoul Jones, J. Rauschenberger Jones, W. Righter Lauzen Risinger Lightford Ronen Link Roskam Luechtefeld Rutherford

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator DeLeo, **Senate Bill No. 1669**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 58; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

[April 15, 2005]

Shadid

Sieben

Silverstein

Sullivan, D.

Sullivan, J.

Syverson

Trotter

Viverito

Watson

Winkel

Woicik

Mr. President

Wilhelmi

Althoff Garrett Martinez Bomke Geo-Karis Meeks Brady Haine Munoz Halvorson Pankau Burzynski Clavborne Harmon Peterson Collins Hendon Radogno Cronin Hunter Raoul Crotty Jacobs Rauschenberger Cullerton Righter Jones, J. Risinger Dahl Jones, W. del Valle Lauzen Ronen Roskam DeLeo Lightford Demuzio Link Rutherford Dillard Luechtefeld Sandoval Schoenberg Forby Maloney

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator DeLeo, **Senate Bill No. 1670**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 58; Nays 1.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Martinez Garrett Schoenberg Bomke Geo-Karis Meeks Shadid Munoz Sieben Brady Haine Halvorson Pankau Silverstein Burzynski Clayborne Sullivan, D. Harmon Peterson Collins Hendon Petka Sullivan, J. Cronin Hunter Radogno Syverson Crotty Jacobs Raoul Trotter Cullerton Jones, J. Rauschenberger Viverito Dahl Jones, W. Righter Watson del Valle Lauzen Risinger Wilhelmi DeLeo Lightford Ronen Wojcik Demuzio Link Roskam Mr. President Dillard Luechtefeld Rutherford Forby Maloney Sandoval

The following voted in the negative:

#### Winkel

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

#### SENATE BILL RECALLED

On motion of Senator Cronin, Senate Bill No. 1675 was recalled from the order of third reading to the order of second reading.

Senator Cronin offered the following amendment and moved its adoption:

#### AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE BILL 1675

AMENDMENT NO. 1. Amend Senate Bill 1675 on page 1, line 11, after "equal to", by inserting "50% of".

The motion prevailed.

And the amendment was adopted and ordered printed.

There being no further amendments, the foregoing Amendment No. 1 was ordered engrossed, and the bill, as amended was ordered to a third reading.

## READING OF BILL OF THE SENATE A THIRD TIME

On motion of Senator Cronin, Senate Bill No. 1675, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 59; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Garrett	Martinez	Schoenberg
Bomke	Geo-Karis	Meeks	Shadid
Brady	Haine	Munoz	Sieben
Burzynski	Halvorson	Pankau	Silverstein
Clayborne	Harmon	Peterson	Sullivan, D.
Collins	Hendon	Petka	Sullivan, J.
Cronin	Hunter	Radogno	Syverson
Crotty	Jacobs	Raoul	Trotter
Cullerton	Jones, J.	Rauschenberger	Viverito
Dahl	Jones, W.	Righter	Watson
del Valle	Lauzen	Risinger	Wilhelmi
DeLeo	Lightford	Ronen	Winkel
Demuzio	Link	Roskam	Wojcik
Dillard	Luechtefeld	Rutherford	Mr. President
Forby	Maloney	Sandoval	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein

## SENATE BILL RECALLED

On motion of Senator Cronin, Senate Bill No. 1676 was recalled from the order of third reading to the order of second reading.

Senator Cronin offered the following amendment and moved its adoption:

#### AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE BILL 1676

AMENDMENT NO. 1 . Amend Senate Bill 1676 by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

"Section 5. The School Code is amended by changing Sections 21-25 and 21-27 as follows: (105 ILCS 5/21-25) (from Ch. 122, par. 21-25)

Sec. 21-25. School service personnel certificate.

- (a) Subject to the provisions of Section 21-1a, a school service personnel certificate shall be issued to those applicants of good character, good health, a citizen of the United States and at least 19 years of age who have a Bachelor's degree with not fewer than 120 semester hours from a regionally accredited institution of higher learning and who meets the requirements established by the State Superintendent of Education in consultation with the State Teacher Certification Board. A school service personnel certificate with a school nurse endorsement may be issued to a person who holds a bachelor of science degree from an institution of higher learning accredited by the North Central Association or other comparable regional accrediting association. Persons seeking any other endorsement on the school service personnel certificate shall be recommended for the endorsement by a recognized teacher education institution as having completed a program of preparation approved by the State Superintendent of Education in consultation with the State Teacher Certification Board.
- (b) Until August 30, 2002, a school service personnel certificate endorsed for school social work may be issued to a student who has completed a school social work program that has not been approved by the State Superintendent of Education, provided that each of the following conditions is met:
  - (1) The program was offered by a recognized, public teacher education institution that first enrolled students in its master's degree program in social work in 1998;
  - (2) The student applying for the school service personnel certificate was enrolled in the institution's master's degree program in social work on or after May 11, 1998;
  - (3) The State Superintendent verifies that the student has completed coursework that is substantially similar to that required in approved school social work programs, including (i) not fewer than 600 clock hours of a supervised internship in a school setting or (ii) if the student has completed part of a supervised internship in a school setting prior to the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 92nd General Assembly and receives the prior approval of the State Superintendent, not fewer than 300 additional clock hours of supervised work in a public school setting under the supervision of a certified school social worker who certifies that the supervised work was completed in a satisfactory manner; and
    - (4) The student has passed a test of basic skills and the test of subject matter knowledge required by Section 21-1a.

This subsection (b) does not apply after August 29, 2002.

(c) A school service personnel certificate shall be endorsed with the area of Service as determined by the State Superintendent of Education in consultation with the State Teacher Certification Board.

The holder of such certificate shall be entitled to all of the rights and privileges granted holders of a valid teaching certificate, including teacher benefits, compensation and working conditions.

When the holder of such certificate has earned a master's degree, including 8 semester hours of graduate professional education from a recognized institution of higher learning, and has at least 2 years of successful school experience while holding such certificate, the certificate may be endorsed for supervision.

(d) Persons who have successfully achieved National Board certification through the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards shall be issued a Master School Service Personnel Certificate, valid for 10 years and renewable thereafter every 10 years through compliance with requirements set forth by the State Board of Education, in consultation with the State Teacher Certification Board. However, each holder of a Master School Service Personnel Certificate shall be eligible for a corresponding position in this State in the areas for which he or she holds a Master Certificate without satisfying any other requirements of this Code, except for those requirements pertaining to criminal background checks.

(Source: P.A. 91-102, eff. 7-12-99; 92-254, eff. 1-1-02.)

(105 ILCS 5/21-27)

- Sec. 21-27. The Illinois Teaching Excellence Program. The Illinois Teaching Excellence Program is hereby established to provide categorical funding for monetary incentives and bonuses for teachers and school counselors who are employed by school districts and who hold a Master Certificate. The State Board of Education shall allocate and distribute to each school district an amount as annually appropriated by the General Assembly from federal funds for the Illinois Teaching Excellence Program. Unless otherwise provided by appropriation, each school district's annual allocation shall be the sum of the amounts earned for the following incentives and bonuses:
  - (1) An annual payment of \$3,000 to be paid to (A) each teacher who successfully completes the program leading to and who receives a Master Certificate and is employed as a teacher by a school district and (B) each school counselor who successfully completes the program leading to and who

receives a Master Certificate and is employed as a school counselor by a school district. The school district shall distribute this payment to each eligible teacher or school counselor as a single payment or in not more than 3 payments.

(2) An annual incentive equal to \$1,000 shall be paid to each teacher who holds a

Master Certificate, who is employed as a teacher by a school district, and who agrees, in writing, to provide 60 hours of mentoring during that year to classroom teachers. This mentoring may include, either singly or in combination, (i) providing high quality professional development for new and experienced teachers, and (ii) assisting National Board for Professional Teaching Standards (NBPTS) candidates through the NBPTS certification process. The school district shall distribute 50% of each annual incentive payment upon completion of 30 hours of the required mentoring and the remaining 50% of the incentive upon completion of the required 60 hours of mentoring. Credit may not be granted by a school district for mentoring or related services provided during a regular school day or during the total number of days of required service for the school year.

(3) An annual incentive equal to \$3,000 shall be paid to each teacher who holds a

Master Certificate, who is employed as a teacher by a school district, and who agrees, in writing, to provide 60 hours of mentoring during that year to classroom teachers in schools on academic early warning status or in schools in which 50% or more of the students receive free or reduced price lunches, or both. The school district shall distribute 50% of each annual incentive payment upon completion of 30 hours of the required mentoring and the remaining 50% of the incentive upon completion of the required 60 hours of mentoring. Credit may not be granted by a school district for mentoring or related services provided during a regular school day or during the total number of days of required service for the school year.

Each regional superintendent of schools shall provide information about the Master Certificate Program of the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards (NBPTS) and this amendatory Act of the 91st General Assembly to each individual seeking to register or renew a certificate under Section 21-14 of this Code.

(Source: P.A. 92-796, eff. 8-10-02; 93-470, eff. 8-8-03.)

Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect upon becoming law.".

The motion prevailed.

And the amendment was adopted and ordered printed.

There being no further amendments, the foregoing Amendment No. 1 was ordered engrossed, and the bill, as amended was ordered to a third reading.

## READING OF BILLS OF THE SENATE A THIRD TIME

On motion of Senator Cronin, **Senate Bill No. 1676**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 59; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Martinez Schoenberg Geo-Karis Bomke Meeks Shadid Haine Munoz Sieben Bradv Burzynski Halvorson Pankau Silverstein Clayborne Harmon Peterson Sullivan, D. Collins Hendon Petka Sullivan, J. Cronin Hunter Radogno Syverson Jacobs Raoul Trotter Crotty Cullerton Jones, J. Rauschenberger Viverito Dahl Jones, W. Righter Watson del Valle Wilhelmi Lauzen Risinger DeLeo Lightford Ronen Winkel

Demuzio Link Roskam Wojcik
Dillard Luechtefeld Rutherford Mr. President

Forby Maloney Sandoval

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

At the hour of 11:00 o'clock a.m., Senator DeLeo presiding.

On motion of Senator Hunter, **Senate Bill No. 1680**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 58; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Meeks Shadid Bomke Haine Munoz Sieben Brady Halvorson Pankau Silverstein Burzynski Harmon Peterson Sullivan, D. Clayborne Hendon Petka Sullivan, J. Collins Hunter Radogno Syverson Cronin Jacobs Raoul Trotter Crotty Jones, J. Rauschenberger Viverito Cullerton Jones, W. Righter Watson Dahl Lauzen Risinger Wilhelmi del Valle Lightford Ronen Winkel DeLeo. Link Roskam Wojcik Luechtefeld Rutherford Demuzio Mr. President Dillard Malonev Sandoval Forby Martinez Schoenberg

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Hunter, **Senate Bill No. 1681**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 51; Nays 4; Present 1.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Meeks Shadid Bomke Geo-Karis Munoz Sieben Brady Haine Pankau Silverstein Burzynski Halvorson Peterson Sullivan, D. Clayborne Harmon Petka Sullivan, J. Collins Hendon Syverson Radogno

Crotty Hunter Raoul Trotter Cullerton Viverito Jacobs Risinger Dahl Jones, W. Ronen Wilhelmi del Valle Lightford Roskam Winkel Rutherford Woicik DeLeo Link Mr. President Demuzio Malonev Sandoval Forby Martinez Schoenberg

The following voted in the negative:

Jones, J. Righter Lauzen Watson

The following voted present:

#### Luechtefeld

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Harmon, **Senate Bill No. 1682**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 30; Nays 28.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Clayborne Silverstein Haine Maloney Collins Halvorson Martinez Sullivan, J. Crottv Meeks Harmon Trotter Cullerton Hendon Munoz Viverito del Valle Wilhelmi Hunter Ronen DeLeo Jacobs Sandoval Mr. President Demuzio Lightford Schoenberg Shadid Forby Link

The following voted in the negative:

Althoff Geo-Karis Radogno Syverson Bomke Jones, J. Rauschenberger Watson Jones, W. Righter Winkel Brady Burzynski Lauzen Risinger Wojcik Cronin Luechtefeld Roskam Dahl Pankau Rutherford Dillard Peterson Sieben Garrett Petka Sullivan, D.

This roll call verified.

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

Senator Raoul asked and obtained unanimous consent for the Journal to reflect his affirmative vote on Senate Bill No. 1682.

[April 15, 2005]

On motion of Senator Harmon, **Senate Bill No. 1683**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 59; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Martinez Schoenberg Bomke Geo-Karis Meeks Shadid Bradv Haine Munoz Sieben Halvorson Pankau Silverstein Burzynski Clayborne Peterson Harmon Sullivan, D. Collins Hendon Petka Sullivan, J. Cronin Hunter Radogno Syverson Crottv Jacobs Raoul Trotter Cullerton Jones, J. Rauschenberger Viverito Dahl Jones, W. Righter Watson del Valle Lauzen Risinger Wilhelmi DeLeo Lightford Ronen Winkel Demuzio Link Roskam Wojcik Dillard Luechtefeld Rutherford Mr. President Maloney Sandoval Forby

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Haine, **Senate Bill No. 1684**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 30; Nays 27; Present 1.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Dillard Munoz Sullivan, J. Bomke Forby Petka Syverson Bradv Haine Rauschenberger Trotter Jacobs Righter Watson Burzynski Clayborne Jones, J. Risinger Wilhelmi Cronin Jones, W. Roskam Winkel Dahl Lauzen Rutherford DeLeo Luechtefeld Sieben

The following voted in the negative:

Collins Meeks Schoenberg Harmon Crotty Hendon Pankau Shadid Cullerton Hunter Peterson Silverstein del Valle Radogno Sullivan, D. Lightford Demuzio Link Raoul Wojcik Maloney Garrett Ronen Mr. President Geo-Karis Martinez Sandoval

The following voted present:

#### Viverito

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Link, **Senate Bill No. 1693**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Martinez

Meeks

Munoz

Pankau

Petka

Raoul

Righter

Roskam

Rutherford

Schoenberg Shadid

Sandoval

Ronen

Peterson

Yeas 54; Nays 4.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Forby Bomke Garrett Brady Geo-Karis Burzynski Halvorson Clayborne Harmon Collins Hendon Cronin Hunter Crotty Jacobs Cullerton Jones, J. Dahl Lauzen del Valle Lightford DeLeo Link Demuzio Luechtefeld Dillard Maloney

Sieben Silverstein Sullivan Sullivan, D. Syverson Trotter Viverito Watson Wilhelmi Winkel Wojcik Mr. President

The following voted in the negative:

Haine Radogno Jones, W. Risinger

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

Senator Link asked and obtained unanimous consent to recess for the purpose of a Democrat caucus.

Senator Burzynski announced there would be a Republican caucus immediately upon recess.

At the hour of 11:40 o'clock a.m., the Chair announced that the Senate stand at recess subject to the call of the Chair.

## AFTER RECESS

At the hour of 12:16 o'clock p.m., the Senate resumed consideration of business. Senator Hendon, presiding.

#### SENATE BILL RECALLED

On motion of Senator Clayborne, Senate Bill No. 1700 was recalled from the order of third reading to the order of second reading.

Senator Clayborne offered the following amendment and moved its adoption:

#### AMENDMENT NO. 3 TO SENATE BILL 1700

AMENDMENT NO. 3. Amend Senate Bill 1700 by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

"Section 5. The Public Utilities Act is amended by changing Sections 13-100, 13-101, 13-102, 13-103, 13-202.5, 13-203, 13-204, 13-205, 13-209, 13-214, 13-216, 13-301, 13-305, 13-401, 13-403, 13-406, 13-407, 13-501, 13-502, 13-504, 13-505, 13-506, 13-506.1, 13-509, 13-514, 13-515, 13-517, 13-701, 13-712, 13-801, and 13-1200 and by adding Sections 13-100.5, 13-203.1, 13-203.2, 13-203.3, 13-203.4, 13-203.5, 13-203.6, 13-204.5, 13-400, 13-518.1, and 13-804 as follows:

(220 ILCS 5/13-100) (from Ch. 111 2/3, par. 13-100)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-100. This Article shall be known and may be cited as the Telecommunications Reform Act of 2005 Universal Telephone Service Protection Law of 1985.

(Source: P.A. 84-1063.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-100.5 new)

Sec. 13-100.5. References to former law. References in this Act or any other law, rule, regulation, or other document to the Universal Telephone Service Protection Law of 1985 are references to the Telecommunications Reform Act of 2005. The Sections of this Act pertaining to public utilities, public utility rates and services, and the regulation thereof, shall not apply to public mobile services.

(220 ILCS 5/13-101) (from Ch. 111 2/3, par. 13-101)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-101. Application of Act to telecommunications rates and services. Except to the extent modified or supplemented by the specific provisions of this Article, the Sections of this Act pertaining to public utilities, public utility rates and services, and the regulation thereof, are fully and equally applicable to noncompetitive telecommunications rates and services, and the regulation thereof, except where the context clearly renders such provisions inapplicable. Except to the extent modified or supplemented by the specific provisions of this Article, Articles I through V, Sections 8 301, 8-505, 9-221, 9-222, 9-222.1, 9-222.2, 9-250, and 9-252.1, and Article Articles X and XI of this Act are fully and equally applicable to competitive telecommunications rates and services, and the regulation thereof; in addition, as to competitive telecommunications rates and services, and the regulation thereof, all rules and regulations made by a telecommunications carrier affecting or pertaining to its charges or service to the public shall be just and reasonable, provided that nothing in this Section shall be construed to prevent a telecommunications carrier from accepting payment electronically or by the use of a customer-preferred financially accredited credit or debit methodology. Sections 8-305, 8-401, 8-502, and 8-507 of this Act apply to the price-capped telecommunications services of an incumbent local exchange carrier. As of the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 92nd General Assembly, Sections 4-202, 4-203, and 5-202 of this Act shall cease to apply to telecommunications rates and services.

(Source: P.A. 92-22, eff. 6-30-01.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-102) (from Ch. 111 2/3, par. 13-102)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-102. Findings. With respect to telecommunications services, as herein defined, and the communications environment that now exists in the State of Illinois, the General Assembly finds that:

- (a) universally available and widely affordable telecommunications services are essential to the health, welfare and prosperity of all Illinois citizens;
- (b) federal regulatory and judicial rulings in the 1980s caused a restructuring of the telecommunications industry and opened some aspects of the industry to competitive entry, thereby necessitating revision of State telecommunications regulatory policies and practices;
- (c) revisions in telecommunications regulatory policies and practices in Illinois beginning in the mid-1980s brought the benefits of competition to consumers in many telecommunications markets, but not in local exchange telecommunications service markets;
- (d) the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 established the goal of opening all telecommunications service markets to competition and accords to the states certain responsibilities the responsibility to establish and enforce policies necessary to attain that goal;

- (e) it is in the immediate interest of the People of the State of Illinois for the State to exercise its rights within the new framework of federal telecommunications policy to ensure that the economic benefits of competition in all telecommunications service markets are realized as effectively as possible;
- (e-5) since the passage of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, national telecommunications policy has reaffirmed the increased benefits of a pro-competitive de-regulatory framework that provides incentives for both incumbent carriers and new entrants to accelerate rapidly private sector investment in advanced telecommunications and information technologies in a manner that best allows for innovation and sustainable facilities-based competition;
- (e-10) significant changes in the communications industry, both among incumbent telecommunications providers and by the entry of new entrants, have brought the benefits of competition to consumers and businesses in Illinois:
- (e-15) advancements in and the convergence of technologies that provide voice, video, and data transmission, including landline, wireless, cable, satellite, and Internet transmissions involving Internet Protocol enabled services (including voice, video, and data), are substantially increasing consumer choice, reinventing the communications industry and marketplace with unprecedented speed, and making available highly competitive products and services and new methods of delivering all forms of communications services;
- (e-20) there is now significant communications competition in Illinois and a continuing convergence of multiple technologies, including facilities-based telecommunications services, cable telephony services, wireless services, advanced information services, high speed broadband transport services, and Internet Protocol enabled voice, video and data services;
- (f) the <u>continued</u> competitive offering of all telecommunications services will increase innovation and efficiency in the provision of telecommunications services and <u>may</u> lead to reduced prices for consumers, <u>a wider choice of services</u>, increased investment in communications infrastructure, the creation of new jobs, and the attraction of new businesses to Illinois; and
- (g) protection of the public interest requires changes in the regulation of telecommunications carriers and services consistent with the competitive environment and convergence of technologies to ensure, to the maximum feasible extent, the reasonable and timely development of effective competition in all telecommunications service markets.

(Source: P.A. 90-185, eff. 7-23-97.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-103) (from Ch. 111 2/3, par. 13-103)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

- Sec. 13-103. Policy. Consistent with its findings, the General Assembly declares that it is the policy of the State of Illinois that:
- (a) telecommunications services should be available to all Illinois citizens at just, reasonable, and affordable rates, provisioned over a well-maintained and reliable telecommunications infrastructure system, and that such services should be provided as widely and economically as possible in sufficient variety, quality, quantity and reliability to satisfy the public interest;
- (b) consistent with the protection of consumers of telecommunications services and the furtherance of other public interest goals, competition in all telecommunications service markets exists and should be pursued as a substitute for regulation in determining the variety, quality and price of telecommunications services and that the economic burdens of regulation should be reduced to the extent possible consistent with the furtherance of market competition and protection of the public interest;
- (b-5) given the global nature of the telecommunications marketplace, it is critical that the State of Illinois establish and exercise its telecommunications policy within the framework of federal telecommunications policy to ensure that the economic benefits of competition in all communications markets are maintained and enhanced;
- (c) all necessary and appropriate modifications to State regulation of telecommunications carriers and services should be implemented without unnecessary disruption to the telecommunications infrastructure system or to consumers of telecommunications services and that it is necessary and appropriate to establish rules to encourage and ensure orderly transitions in the development of markets for all telecommunications services;
- (d) the consumers of telecommunications services and facilities provided by persons or companies subject to regulation pursuant to this Act and Article should be required to pay only reasonable and non-discriminatory rates or charges and that in no case should rates or charges for non-competitive telecommunications services include any portion of the cost of providing competitive telecommunications services, as defined in Section 13-209, or the cost of any nonregulated activities;
- (d-5) consumers of telecommunications services will benefit from marketplace pricing flexibility, which is designed to provide consumers with more services, more choice and new innovations at lower

overall prices and increased value;

- (e) the regulatory policies and procedures provided in this Article are established in recognition of the changing nature of the <u>communications</u> telecommunications industry and therefore <u>telecommunications</u> should be subject to systematic legislative review to ensure that the public benefits intended to result from such policies and procedures are fully realized; and
- (f) development of and prudent investment in advanced telecommunications services and networks that foster economic development of the State should be encouraged through the implementation and enforcement of policies that promote effective and sustained competition in all telecommunications service markets.

```
(Source: P.A. 90-185, eff. 7-23-97.)
(220 ILCS 5/13-202.5)
(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)
```

Sec. 13-202.5. Incumbent local exchange carrier. "Incumbent local exchange carrier" means, with respect to an area, the telecommunications carrier that provided noncompetitive local exchange telecommunications service in that area on February 8, 1996, and on that date was deemed a member of the exchange carrier association pursuant to 47 C.F.R. 69.601(b), and includes its successors or 5 assigns, and affiliates.

```
(Source: P.A. 92-22, eff. 6-30-01.)
(220 ILCS 5/13-203) (from Ch. 111 2/3, par. 13-203)
(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)
Sec. 13-203. Telecommunications service.
```

"Telecommunications service" means the offering of telecommunications for a fee directly to the public, or to such classes of users as to be effectively available directly to the public, regardless of the facilities used, the provision or offering for rent, sale or lease, or in exchange for other value received, of the transmittal of information, by means of electromagnetic, including light, transmission with or without benefit of any closed transmission medium, including all instrumentalities, facilities, apparatus, and services (including the collection, storage, forwarding, switching, and delivery of such information) used to provide such transmission and also includes access and interconnection arrangements and services.

"Telecommunications service" does not include, however:

- (a) the rent, sale, or lease, or exchange for other value received, of customer premises equipment except for customer premises equipment owned or provided by a telecommunications carrier and used for answering 911 calls, and except for customer premises equipment provided under Section 13-703;
- (b) telephone or telecommunications answering services, paging services, and physical pickup and delivery incidental to the provision of information transmitted through electromagnetic, including light, transmission; (c) community antenna television service which is operated to perform for hire the service of receiving and distributing video and audio program signals by wire, cable or other means to members of the public who subscribe to such service, to the extent that such service is utilized solely for the one-way distribution of such entertainment services with no more than incidental subscriber interaction required for the selection of such entertainment service.

The Commission may, by rulemaking, exclude (1) private line service which is not directly or indirectly used for the origination or termination of switched telecommunications service, (2) cellular radio service, (3) high-speed point-to-point data transmission at or above 9.6 kilobits, or (4) the provision of telecommunications service by a company or person otherwise subject to Section 13-202 (c) to a telecommunications carrier, which is incidental to the provision of service subject to Section 13-202 (c), from active regulatory oversight to the extent it finds, after notice, hearing and comment that such exclusion is consistent with the public interest and the purposes and policies of this Article. To the extent that the Commission has excluded cellular radio service from active regulatory oversight for any provider of cellular radio service in this State pursuant to this Section, the Commission shall exclude all other providers of cellular radio service in the State from active regulatory oversight without an additional rulemaking proceeding where there are 2 or more certified providers of cellular radio service in a geographic area.

```
(Source: P.A. 90-185, eff. 7-23-97.)
(220 ILCS 5/13-203.1 new)
```

Sec. 13-203.1. "Telecommunications" means the transmission, between or among points specified by the user, of information of the user's choosing, without change in the form or content of the information as sent and received.

```
(220 ILCS 5/13-203.2 new)
```

Sec. 13-203.2. "Advanced service" means high speed, switched, broadband, wireline telecommunications capability that enables end users to originate and receive high-quality voice, data, graphics or video telecommunications using any technology.

(220 ILCS 5/13-203.3 new)

Sec. 13-203.3. "Broadband service" means lines (or wireless channels) that terminate at an end user location, connect the end user to the Internet, and carry information at the end user location at information transfer rates exceeding 200 kilobits per second ("kbps") in at least one direction.

(220 ILCS 5/13-203.4 new)

Sec. 13-203.4. "Information service" means the offering of a capability for generating, acquiring, storing, transforming, processing, retrieving, utilizing, or making available information via telecommunications, and includes electronic publishing, but does not include any use of any such capability for the management, control, or operation of a telecommunications system or the management of a telecommunications service.

(220 ILCS 5/13-203.5 new)

Sec. 13-203.5. "Internet protocol ("IP") enabled service" means services and applications relying on the Internet Protocol family, including the digital communications capabilities of increasingly higher speeds, which use a number of transmission network technologies, and which generally have in common the use of the Internet protocol.

(220 ILCS 5/13-203.6 new)

Sec. 13-203.6. "Customer premises equipment" means equipment employed on the premises of a person (other than a carrier) to originate, route, or terminate telecommunications.

(220 ILCS 5/13-204) (from Ch. 111 2/3, par. 13-204)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-204. "Local Exchange Telecommunications Service" means telecommunications service between points within an exchange, as defined in Section 13-206, or the provision of telecommunications service for the origination or termination of switched telecommunications services, but does not include public mobile services.

(Source: P.A. 84-1063.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-204.5 new)

Sec. 13-204.5. "Intrastate switched access service" means access to the switched network of a telecommunications carrier for the purpose of originating or terminating communications between points within the State of Illinois.

(220 ILCS 5/13-205) (from Ch. 111 2/3, par. 13-205)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-205. "Interexchange Telecommunications Service" means telecommunications service between points in two or more exchanges, but does not include public mobile services. (Source: P.A. 84-1063.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-209) (from Ch. 111 2/3, par. 13-209)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-209. "Competitive Telecommunications Service" means (i) a telecommunications service, its functional equivalent or a substitute service, which, for some identifiable class or group of customers in an exchange, group of exchanges, or some other clearly defined geographical area, is reasonably available from more than one provider, whether or not such provider is a telecommunications carrier subject to regulation under this Act or (ii) any other telecommunications service classified as competitive under this Article. A telecommunications service may be competitive for the entire state, some geographical area therein, including an exchange or set of exchanges, or for a specific customer or class or group of customers, but only to the extent consistent with this definition.

(Source: P.A. 84-1063.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-214) (from Ch. 111 2/3, par. 13-214)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-214. (a) "Public mobile services" means air-to-ground radio telephone services, cellular radio telecommunications services, offshore radio, rural radio service, public land mobile telephone service, and commercial mobile services, as defined in 47 U.S.C. Section 332(d)(1) and other common carrier radio communications services.

(b) "Private radio services" means private land mobile radio services and other communications services characterized by the Commission as private radio services.

(Source: P.A. 85-1405.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-216)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-216. Network element. "Network element" means a facility or equipment used in the provision of a telecommunications service. The term also includes features, functions, and capabilities that are provided by means of the facility or equipment, including, but not limited to, subscriber numbers, databases, signaling systems, and information sufficient for billing and collection or used in the transmission, routing, or other provision of a telecommunications service. (Source: P.A. 92-22, eff. 6-30-01.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-301) (from Ch. 111 2/3, par. 13-301)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

- Sec. 13-301. Consistent with the findings and policy established in paragraph (a) of Section 13-102 and paragraph (a) of Section 13-103, and in order to ensure the attainment of such policies, the Commission shall:
- (a) participate in all federal programs intended to preserve or extend universal telecommunications service, unless such programs would place cost burdens on Illinois customers of telecommunications services in excess of the benefits they would receive through participation, provided, however, the Commission shall not approve or permit the imposition of any surcharge or other fee designed to subsidize or provide a waiver for subscriber line charges; and shall report on such programs together with an assessment of their adequacy and the advisability of participating therein in its annual report to the General Assembly, or more often as necessary;
- (b) establish a program to monitor the level of telecommunications subscriber connection within each exchange in Illinois, and shall report the results of such monitoring and any actions it has taken or recommends be taken to maintain and increase such levels in its annual report to the General Assembly, or more often if necessary;
- (c) (Blank), order all telecommunications carriers offering or providing local exchange telecommunications service to propose low cost or budget service tariffs and any other rate design or pricing mechanisms designed to facilitate customer access to such telecommunications service, and shall after notice and hearing, implement any such proposals which it finds likely to achieve such purpose;
- (d) investigate the necessity of and, if appropriate, establish a universal service support fund from which local exchange telecommunications carriers who pursuant to the Twenty-Seventh Interim Order of the Commission in Docket No. 83-0142 or the orders of the Commission in Docket No. 97-0621 and Docket No. 98-0679 received funding and whose economic costs of providing services for which universal service support may be made available exceed the affordable rate established by the Commission for such services may be eligible to receive support, less any federal universal service support received for the same or similar costs of providing the supported services; provided, however, that if a universal service support fund is established, the Commission shall require that all costs of the fund be recovered from all local exchange and interexchange telecommunications carriers certificated in Illinois on a competitively neutral and nondiscriminatory basis. In establishing any such universal service support fund, the Commission shall, in addition to the determination of costs for supported services, consider and make findings pursuant to paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of item (e) of this Section. Proxy cost, as determined by the Commission, may be used for this purpose. In determining cost recovery for any universal service support fund, the Commission shall not permit recovery of such costs from another certificated carrier for any service purchased and used solely as an input to a service provided to such certificated carrier's retail customers; and
- (e) investigate the necessity of and, if appropriate, establish a universal service support fund in addition to any fund that may be established pursuant to item (d) of this Section; provided, however, that if a telecommunications carrier receives universal service support pursuant to item (d) of this Section, that telecommunications carrier shall not receive universal service support pursuant to this item. Recipients of any universal service support funding created by this item shall be "eligible" telecommunications carriers, as designated by the Commission in accordance with 47 U.S.C. 214(e)(2). Eligible telecommunications carriers providing local exchange telecommunications service may be eligible to receive support for such services, less any federal universal service support received for the same or similar costs of providing the supported services. If a fund is established, the Commission shall require that the costs of such fund be recovered from all telecommunications carriers, with the exception of public mobile service providers wireless carriers who are providers of two-way cellular telecommunications service and who have not been designated as eligible telecommunications carriers, on a competitively neutral and non-discriminatory basis. In any order creating a fund pursuant to this item, the Commission, after notice and hearing, shall:
  - (1) Define the group of services to be declared "supported telecommunications services" that constitute "universal service". This group of services shall, at a minimum, include those services as defined by the Federal Communications Commission and as from time to time amended. In

addition, the Commission shall consider the range of services currently offered by telecommunications carriers offering local exchange telecommunications service, the existing rate structures for the supported telecommunications services, and the telecommunications needs of Illinois consumers in determining the supported telecommunications services. The Commission shall, from time to time or upon request, review and, if appropriate, revise the group of Illinois supported telecommunications services and the terms of the fund to reflect changes or enhancements in telecommunications needs, technologies, and available services.

- (2) Identify all implicit subsidies contained in rates or charges of incumbent local exchange carriers, including all subsidies in interexchange access charges, and determine how such subsidies can be made explicit by the creation of the fund.
  - (3) Identify the incumbent local exchange carriers' economic costs of providing the
  - supported telecommunications services.
- (4) Establish an affordable price for the supported telecommunications services for the respective incumbent local exchange carrier. The affordable price shall be no less than the rates in effect at the time the Commission creates a fund pursuant to this item. The Commission may establish and utilize indices or models for updating the affordable price for supported telecommunications services.
- (5) Identify the telecommunications carriers from whom the costs of the fund shall be recovered and the mechanism to be used to determine and establish a competitively neutral and non-discriminatory funding basis. From time to time, or upon request, the Commission shall consider whether, based upon changes in technology or other factors, additional telecommunications providers should contribute to the fund. The Commission shall establish the basis upon which telecommunications carriers contributing to the fund shall recover contributions on a competitively neutral and non-discriminatory basis. In determining cost recovery for any universal support fund, the Commission shall not permit recovery of such costs from another certificated carrier for any service purchased and used solely as an input to a service provided to such certificated carriers' retail customers.
  - (6) Approve a plan for the administration and operation of the fund by a neutral third party consistent with the requirements of this item.

No fund shall be created pursuant to this item until existing implicit subsidies, including, but not limited to, those subsidies contained in interexchange access charges, have been identified and eliminated through revisions to rates or charges. Prior to May 1, 2000, such revisions to rates or charges to eliminate implicit subsidies shall occur contemporaneously with any funding established pursuant to this item. However, if the Commission does not establish a universal service support fund by May 1, 2000, the Commission shall not be prevented from entering an order or taking other actions to reduce or eliminate existing subsidies as well as considering the effect of such reduction or elimination on local exchange carriers.

Any telecommunications carrier providing local exchange telecommunications service which offers to its local exchange customers a choice of two or more local exchange telecommunications service offerings to residential end users shall provide annually to its residential end users, or post on its website, a list of its local exchange telecommunications service offerings available to its residential end users, to any such customer requesting it, once a year without charge, a report describing which local exchange telecommunications service offering would result in the lowest bill for such customer's local exchange service, based on such customer's calling pattern and usage for the previous 6 months. At least once a year, each such carrier shall provide a notice to each of its local exchange telecommunications service customers describing the availability of this report and the specific procedures by which customers may receive it. Such report shall only be available to current and future customers who have received at least 6 months of continuous local exchange service from such carrier.

(Source: P.A. 91-636, eff. 8-20-99.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-305)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-305. Amount of civil penalty. A telecommunications carrier, any corporation other than a telecommunications carrier, or any person acting as a telecommunications carrier that violates or fails to comply with any provisions of this Act or that fails to obey, observe, or comply with any order, decision, rule, regulation, direction, or requirement, or any part or provision thereof, of the Commission, made or issued under authority of this Act, in a case in which a civil penalty is not otherwise provided for in this Act, but excepting Section 5-202 of the Act, shall be subject to a civil penalty imposed in the manner provided in Section 13-304 of no more than \$30,000 or 0.00825% of the carrier's gross intrastate annual telecommunications revenue, whichever is greater, for each offense unless the violator has fewer than

35,000 subscriber access lines, in which case the civil penalty may not exceed \$2,000 for each offense.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section or Article, if any telecommunications carrier subject to an alternative form of regulation plan that was adopted by the Commission prior to the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 94th General Assembly violates the retail service quality rules promulgated by the Commission pursuant to Section 13-712 or pursuant to such alternative form of regulation plan, the Commission may impose, for any such violation by such telecommunications carrier, maximum civil penalties of up to \$33,000 or 0.00908% of such telecommunications carrier's gross intrastate annual telecommunications revenue, whichever is greater. This provision for a violation by a telecommunications carrier subject to an alternative form of regulation plan as of the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 94th General Assembly shall remain in force and effect through July 1, 2008.

A telecommunications carrier subject to administrative penalties resulting from a final Commission order approving an intercorporate transaction entered pursuant to Section 7-204 of this Act shall be subject to penalties under this Section imposed for the same conduct only to the extent that such penalties exceed those imposed by the final Commission order.

Every violation of the provisions of this Act or of any order, decision, rule, regulation, direction, or requirement of the Commission, or any part or provision thereof, by any corporation or person, is a separate and distinct offense. Penalties under this Section shall attach and begin to accrue from the day after written notice is delivered to such party or parties that they are in violation of or have failed to comply with this Act or an order, decision, rule, regulation, direction, or requirement of the Commission, or part or provision thereof. In case of a continuing violation, each day's continuance thereof shall be a separate and distinct offense.

In construing and enforcing the provisions of this Act relating to penalties, the act, omission, or failure of any officer, agent, or employee of any telecommunications carrier or of any person acting within the scope of his or her duties or employment shall in every case be deemed to be the act, omission, or failure of such telecommunications carrier or person.

If the party who has violated or failed to comply with this Act or an order, decision, rule, regulation, direction, or requirement of the Commission, or any part or provision thereof, fails to seek timely review pursuant to Sections 10-113 and 10-201 of this Act, the party shall, upon expiration of the statutory time limit, be subject to the civil penalty provision of this Section.

Twenty percent of all moneys collected under this Section shall be deposited into the Digital Divide Elimination Fund and 20% of all moneys collected under this Section shall be deposited into the Digital Divide Elimination Infrastructure Fund.

(Source: P.A. 92-22, eff. 6-30-01.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-400 new)

Sec. 13-400. Commission jurisdiction prohibited.

- (a) The Commission shall not exercise jurisdiction over:
  - (1) advanced services, as defined in Section 13-203.2;
  - (2) broadband service, as defined in Section 13-203.3;
- (3) any retail service not commercially available on the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 94th General Assembly;
  - (4) information services, as defined in Section 13-203.4;
  - (5) Internet protocol ("IP") enabled services, as defined in Section 13-203.5; and
  - (6) customer premises equipment, as defined in Section 13-203.6.
- (b) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (a), the Commission shall have jurisdiction to the extent that it has been specifically delegated to the Commission by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 or any successors or amendments thereof or by orders of and regulations promulgated by the Federal Communications Commission.

(220 ILCS 5/13-401) (from Ch. 111 2/3, par. 13-401)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-401. Certificate of Service Authority.

(a) No telecommunications carrier not possessing a certificate of public convenience and necessity or certificate of authority from the Commission at the time this Article goes into effect shall transact any business in this State until it shall have obtained a certificate of service authority from the Commission pursuant to the provisions of this Article.

No telecommunications carrier offering or providing, or seeking to offer or provide, any interexchange telecommunications service shall do so until it has applied for and received a Certificate of Interexchange Service Authority pursuant to the provisions of Section 13-403. No telecommunications carrier offering or providing, or seeking to offer or provide, any local exchange

telecommunications service shall do so until it has applied for and received a Certificate of Exchange Service Authority pursuant to the provisions of Section 13-405.

Notwithstanding Sections 13-403, 13-404, and 13-405, the Commission shall approve a cellular radio application for a Certificate of Service Authority without a hearing upon a showing by the cellular applicant that the Federal Communications Commission has issued to it a construction permit or an operating license to construct or operate a cellular radio system in the area as defined by the Federal Communications Commission, or portion of the area, for which the carrier seeks a Certificate of Service Authority.

No Certificate of Service Authority issued by the Commission shall be construed as granting a monopoly or exclusive privilege, immunity or franchise. The issuance of a Certificate of Service Authority to any telecommunications carrier shall not preclude the Commission from issuing additional Certificates of Service Authority to other telecommunications carriers providing the same or equivalent service or serving the same geographical area or customers as any previously certified carrier, except to the extent otherwise provided by Sections 13-403 and 13-405.

Any certificate of public convenience and necessity granted by the Commission to a telecommunications carrier prior to the effective date of this Article shall remain in full force and effect, and such carriers need not apply for a Certificate of Service Authority in order to continue offering or providing service to the extent authorized in such certificate of public convenience and necessity. Any such carrier, however, prior to substantially altering the nature or scope of services provided under a certificate of public convenience and necessity, or adding or expanding services beyond the authority contained in such certificate, must apply for a Certificate of Service Authority for such alterations or additions pursuant to the provisions of this Article.

The Commission shall review and modify the terms of any certificate of public convenience and necessity issued to a telecommunications carrier prior to the effective date of this Article in order to ensure its conformity with the requirements and policies of this Article. Any Certificate of Service Authority may be altered or modified by the Commission, after notice and hearing, upon its own motion or upon application of the person or company affected. Unless exercised within a period of two years from the issuance thereof, authority conferred by a Certificate of Service Authority shall be null and void.

(b) The Commission may issue a temporary Certificate which shall remain in force not to exceed one year in cases of emergency, to assure maintenance of adequate service or to serve particular customers, without notice and hearing, pending the determination of an application for a Certificate, and may by regulation exempt from the requirements of this Section temporary acts or operations for which the issuance of a certificate is not necessary in the public interest and which will not be required therefor. (Source: P.A. 87-856.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-403) (from Ch. 111 2/3, par. 13-403)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-403. Interexchange service authority; approval. The Commission shall approve an application for a Certificate of Interexchange Service Authority only upon a showing by the applicant, and a finding by the Commission, after notice and hearing, that the applicant possesses sufficient technical, financial and managerial resources and abilities to provide interexchange telecommunications service. The removal from this Section of the dialing restrictions by this amendatory Act of 1992 does not create any legislative presumption for or against intra Market Service Area presubscription or changes in intra-Market Service Area dialing arrangements related to the implementation of that presubscription, but simply vests jurisdiction in the Illinois Commerce Commission to consider after notice and hearing the issue of presubscription in accordance with the policy goals outlined in Section 13-103.

The Commission shall have authority to alter the boundaries of Market Service Areas when such alteration is consistent with the public interest and the purposes and policies of this Article. A determination by the Commission with respect to Market Service Area boundaries shall not modify or affect the rights or obligations of any telecommunications carrier with respect to any consent decree or agreement with the United States Department of Justice, including, but not limited to, the Modification of Final Judgment in United States v. Western Electric Co., 552 F. Supp. 131 (D.D.C. 1982), as modified from time to time.

(Source: P.A. 91-357, eff. 7-29-99.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-406) (from Ch. 111 2/3, par. 13-406)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

13-406. No telecommunications carrier offering or providing noncompetitive telecommunications service pursuant to a valid Certificate of Service Authority or certificate of public convenience and necessity or price-capped competitive telecommunications service pursuant to subsection (b) of 13-506.1 shall discontinue or abandon such service once initiated until and unless it shall demonstrate, and the Commission finds, after notice and hearing, that such discontinuance or abandonment will not deprive customers of any necessary or essential telecommunications service or access thereto and is not otherwise contrary to the public interest. No telecommunications carrier offering or providing competitive telecommunications service shall discontinue or abandon such service once initiated except upon 30 days notice to the Commission and affected customers. The Commission may, upon its own motion or upon complaint, investigate the proposed discontinuance or abandonment of a competitive telecommunications service and may, after notice and hearing, prohibit such proposed discontinuance or abandonment if the Commission finds that it would be contrary to the public interest. (Source: P.A. 84-1063.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-407) (from Ch. 111 2/3, par. 13-407)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-407. Commission study and report. The Commission shall monitor and analyze patterns of entry and exit and changes in patterns of entry and exit for each relevant market for telecommunications services, including emerging high speed telecommunications markets and all services defined in Sections 13-203.2, 13-203.3, 13-203.4, and 13-203.5, and shall include its findings together with appropriate recommendations for legislative action in its annual report to the General Assembly.

The Commission shall also monitor and analyze the status of deployment of services to consumers, and any resulting "digital divisions" between consumers, including any changes or trends therein. The Commission shall include its findings together with appropriate recommendations for legislative action in its annual report to the General Assembly. In preparing this analysis the Commission shall evaluate information provided by telecommunications carriers that pertains to the state of competition in telecommunications markets including, but not limited to:

- (1) the number and type of firms providing <u>communications</u> telecommunications services, including the services defined in Sections 13-203.2, 13-203.3, and 13-203.5 <u>broadband telecommunications</u> services, within the State;
- (2) the <u>communications</u> telecommunications services offered by these firms to both retail and wholesale customers;
- (3) the extent to which customers and other providers are purchasing the firms' communications telecommunications

## services;

- (4) the technologies or methods by which these firms provide these services, including descriptions of technologies in place and under development, and the degree to which firms rely on other wholesale providers to provide service to their own customers; and
  - (5) the tariffed retail and wholesale prices for services provided by these firms.

The Commission shall at a minimum assess the variability in this information according to geography, examining variability by exchange, wirecenter, or zip code, and by customer class, examining, at a minimum, the variability between residential and small, medium, and large business customers. The Commission shall provide an analysis of market trends by collecting this information from firms providing communications telecommunications services within the State. The Commission shall also collect all information, in a format determined by the Commission, that the Commission deems necessary to assist in monitoring and analyzing the communications telecommunications markets and the status of competition and deployment of communications telecommunications services to consumers in the State.

(Source: P.A. 92-22, eff. 6-30-01.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-501) (from Ch. 111 2/3, par. 13-501)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-501. Tariff; filing.

- (a) No telecommunications carrier shall offer or provide telecommunications service to a residential end user unless and until a tariff is filed with the Commission which describes the nature of the service, applicable rates and other charges, terms and conditions of service, and the exchange, exchanges or other geographical area or areas in which the service shall be offered or provided. The Commission may prescribe the form of such tariff regarding a telecommunications service offered or provided to a residential end user and any additional data or information which shall be included therein. A telecommunications carrier that offers or provides a telecommunications service to business end users may file a tariff with the Commission that describes the nature of the service, applicable rates and other charges, terms and conditions of service, and the exchange, exchanges or other geographical area or areas in which the service will be offered or provided.
  - (b) After a hearing on noncompetitive services or a hearing pursuant to subsection (d) of Section

13-505 for competitive services, the Commission has the discretion to impose an interim or permanent tariff on a telecommunications carrier as part of the order in the case. When a tariff is imposed as part of the order in a case, the tariff shall remain in full force and effect until a compliance tariff, or superseding tariff, is filed by the telecommunications carrier and, after notice to the parties in the case and after a compliance hearing is held, is found by the Commission to be in compliance with the Commission's order.

(c) Nothing in this Section shall be construed to require a telecommunications carrier to tariff special equipment and service arrangements when provided to meet the unique telecommunications services requirements of a small number of customers.

(Source: P.A. 92-22, eff. 6-30-01.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-502) (from Ch. 111 2/3, par. 13-502)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-502. Classification of services.

- (a) All telecommunications services offered or provided under tariff by telecommunications carriers shall be classified as either competitive or noncompetitive. A telecommunications carrier may offer or provide either competitive or noncompetitive telecommunications services, or both, subject to proper certification and other applicable provisions of this Article. Any tariff filed with the Commission as required by Section 13-501 shall indicate whether the service to be offered or provided is competitive or noncompetitive.
- (a-5) All telecommunications services offered or provided by any telecommunications carrier, including, without limitation, all existing or future telecommunications services, facilities, features, or functionalities, shall be classified as competitive as of the effective date of this amendatory. Act of the 94th General Assembly without further Commission review, except as provided in this subsection. The competitive classification provided in this subsection shall apply to the telecommunications services offered or provided by any telecommunications carrier that, on or after the effective date of this amendatory. Act of the 94th General Assembly, has entered into an approved interconnection agreement with one or more unaffiliated competitive carriers as a result of negotiations or arbitrations, pursuant to the provisions of Section 251 of the federal Telecommunications. Act of 1996 or any successors or amendments thereof.
- (b) For a telecommunications carrier that has not entered into an approved interconnection agreement in accordance with subsection (a-5), a A service shall be classified as competitive only if, and only to the extent that, for some identifiable class or group of customers in an exchange, group of exchanges, or some other clearly defined geographical area, such service, or its functional equivalent, or a substitute service, is reasonably available from more than one provider, whether or not any such provider is a telecommunications carrier subject to regulation under this Act. All telecommunications services not properly classified as competitive shall be classified as noncompetitive. The Commission shall have the power to investigate the propriety of any classification of a telecommunications service on its own motion and shall investigate upon complaint. In any hearing or investigation, the burden of proof as to the proper classification of any service shall rest upon the telecommunications carrier providing the service. After notice and hearing, the Commission shall order the proper classification of any service in whole or in part. The Commission shall make its determination and issue its final order no later than 180 days from the date such hearing or investigation is initiated. If the Commission enters into a hearing upon complaint and if the Commission fails to issue an order within that period, the complaint shall be deemed granted unless the Commission, the complainant, and the telecommunications carrier providing the service agree to extend the time period.
- (c) In determining whether a service should be reclassified as competitive <u>for carriers subject to subsection (b)</u>, the Commission shall, at a minimum, consider the following factors:
  - (1) the number, size, and geographic distribution of other providers of the service;
  - (2) the availability of functionally equivalent services in the relevant geographic area and the ability of telecommunications carriers or other persons to make the same, equivalent, or substitutable service readily available in the relevant market at comparable rates, terms, and conditions;
    - (3) the existence of economic, technological, or any other barriers to entry into, or exit from the relevant market:
  - (4) the extent to which other telecommunications companies must rely upon the service of another telecommunications carrier to provide telecommunications service; and
  - (5) any other factors that may affect competition and the public interest that the Commission deems appropriate.
  - (d) No tariff classifying a new telecommunications service as competitive or reclassifying a

previously noncompetitive telecommunications service as competitive, which is filed by a telecommunications carrier <u>subject to subsection (b)</u> which also offers or provides noncompetitive telecommunications service, shall be effective unless and until such telecommunications carrier offering or providing, or seeking to offer or provide, such proposed competitive service prepares and files a study of the long-run service incremental cost underlying such service and demonstrates that the tariffed rates and charges for the service and any relevant group of services that includes the proposed competitive service and for which resources are used in common solely by that group of services are not less than the long-run service incremental cost of providing the service and each relevant group of services. Such study shall be given proprietary treatment by the Commission at the request of such carrier if any other provider of the competitive service, its functional equivalent, or a substitute service in the geographical area described by the proposed tariff has not filed, or has not been required to file, such a study.

- (e) In the event any telecommunications service has been classified and filed as competitive by the telecommunications carrier, and has been offered or provided on such basis, and the Commission subsequently determines after investigation that such classification improperly included services which were in fact noncompetitive, the Commission shall have the power to determine and order refunds to customers for any overcharges which may have resulted from the improper classification, or to order such other remedies provided to it under this Act, or to seek an appropriate remedy or relief in a court of competent jurisdiction. This subsection (e) does not apply to any telecommunications services that have been classified as competitive pursuant to subsection (a-5).
- (f) If no hearing or investigation regarding the propriety of a competitive classification of a telecommunications service is initiated within 180 days after a telecommunications carrier files a tariff listing such telecommunications service as competitive, no refunds to customers for any overcharges which may result from an improper classification shall be ordered for the period from the time the telecommunications carrier filed such tariff listing the service as competitive up to the time an investigation of the service classification is initiated by the Commission's own motion or the filing of a complaint. Where a hearing or an investigation regarding the propriety of a telecommunications service classification as competitive is initiated after 180 days from the filing of the tariff, the period subject to refund for improper classification shall begin on the date such investigation or hearing is initiated by the filing of a Commission motion or a complaint. This subsection (f) does not apply to any telecommunications services that have been classified as competitive pursuant to subsection (a-5). (Source: P.A. 92-22, eff. 6-30-01.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-504) (from Ch. 111 2/3, par. 13-504)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-504. Application of ratemaking provisions of Article IX.

- (a) Except where the context clearly renders such provisions inapplicable, the ratemaking provisions of Article IX of this Act relating to public utilities are fully and equally applicable to the rates, charges, tariffs and classifications for the offer or provision of noncompetitive telecommunications services. However, the ratemaking provisions do not apply to any proposed change in rates or charges, any proposed change in any classification or tariff resulting in a change in rates or charges, or the establishment of new services and rates therefor for a noncompetitive local exchange telecommunications service offered or provided by a local exchange telecommunications carrier with no more than 35,000 subscriber access lines. Proposed changes in rates, charges, classifications, or tariffs meeting these criteria shall be permitted upon the filing of the proposed tariff and 30 days notice to the Commission and all potentially affected customers. The proposed changes shall not be subject to suspension. The Commission shall investigate whether any proposed change is just and reasonable only if a telecommunications carrier that is a customer of the local exchange telecommunications carrier or 10% of the potentially affected access line subscribers of the local exchange telecommunications carrier shall file a petition or complaint requesting an investigation of the proposed changes. When the telecommunications carrier or 10% of the potentially affected access line subscribers of a local exchange telecommunications carrier file a complaint, the Commission shall, after notice and hearing, have the power and duty to establish the rates, charges, classifications, or tariffs it finds to be just and reasonable.
- (b) Subsection (c) of Section 13-502 and Sections 13-505.1, 13-505.6, and 13-507 of this Article do not apply to rates or charges or proposed changes in rates or charges for applicable competitive or interexchange services when offered or provided by a local exchange telecommunications carrier with no more than 35,000 subscriber access lines. In addition, Sections 13-514, 13-515, and 13-516 do not apply to telecommunications carriers with no more than 35,000 subscriber access lines. The Commission may require telecommunications carriers with no more than 35,000 subscriber access lines to furnish information that the Commission deems necessary for a determination that rates and charges for any competitive telecommunications service are just and reasonable.

- (c) For a local exchange telecommunications carrier with no more than 35,000 access lines, the Commission shall consider and adjust, as appropriate, a local exchange telecommunications carrier's depreciation rates only in ratemaking proceedings.
- (d) Article VI and Sections 7 101 and 7 102 of Article VII of this Act pertaining to public utilities, public utility rates and services, and the regulation thereof are not applicable to local exchange telecommunication carriers with no more than 35,000 subscriber access lines.

(Source: P.A. 89-139, eff. 1-1-96; 90-185, eff. 7-23-97.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-505) (from Ch. 111 2/3, par. 13-505)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-505. Price Rate changes and cost studies ; competitive services.

- (a) Any proposed increase or decrease in rates or charges, or proposed change in any classification or tariff resulting in an increase or decrease in rates or charges, for a competitive telecommunications service shall be <a href="mailto:effective permitted">effective permitted</a> upon the filing of the proposed rate, charge, classification, or tariff. Prior notice of an increase shall be given to all potentially affected customers by mail, publication in a newspaper of general circulation, or equivalent means of notice.
- (b) Notwithstanding any of the other provisions in Section 9-201 or Section 13-504, as applicable, any proposed increase or decrease in the rates or charges of non-competitive telecommunications services shall be effective 15 days after filing with the Commission. Prior notice of an increase or decrease shall be given to all potentially affected customers by mail, publication in a newspaper of general circulation, or equivalent means of notice. If a hearing is held pursuant to Section 9 250 regarding the reasonableness of an increase in the rates or charges of a competitive local exchange service, then the telecommunications carrier providing the service shall have the burden of proof to establish the justness and reasonableness of the proposed rate or charge.
- (c) The Commission shall not require a cost study to be filed for the following: (i) any statutory reclassification of a service pursuant to subsection (a-5) of Section 13-502 of this Article; (ii) any price increase for any competitive or noncompetitive telecommunications service; (iii) any retail service package filed pursuant to Section 13-518.1 or any price increase or decrease to such service package; or (iv) any new retail service offering, including new or revised features and functionalities of an existing service.
- (d) For price changes other than those described in subsection (c) above, no cost study shall be required unless: (i) upon the written complaint to the Commission by a telecommunications carrier that offers a competing telecommunications service to the telecommunications service for which the price is being changed; and (ii) if the Commission has a reasonable basis to believe that the changed price for such telecommunications service may not exceed the long-run service incremental cost of such service, the Commission shall provide notice in writing to the telecommunications carrier offering such service of the basis for that belief. The telecommunications carrier shall respond in writing within 21 days and shall indicate whether the price exceeds long-run service incremental cost or whether that price is being offered to meet an offer to end users by a competing telecommunications carrier or to meet an offer made to a former end user that has accepted an offer for that service from a competing telecommunications carrier. If the telecommunications carrier responds that the price is being offered to meet the price of a competitor, then the telecommunications carrier shall provide the price being offered by the competitor and a description of the product or service being provided by the competitor at that price. The Commission shall not take any further regulatory action if the telecommunications carrier demonstrates that the price is being offered to meet an offer to end users by a competing telecommunications carrier or to meet an offer made to a former end user that has accepted an offer for that service from a competing telecommunications carrier. If, after receiving the telecommunications carrier's response, the Commission has a reasonable basis to conclude that the disputed price does not exceed the long-run service incremental cost of such service and that the price is not being offered to meet an offer to end users by a competing telecommunications carrier or to a former end user that has accepted an offer for that service from a competing telecommunications carrier, the Commission may initiate a proceeding to investigate the reasonableness of the price. The telecommunications carrier shall provide a cost study to the Commission within 28 days of a request made by the Commission during such proceeding. If, after notice and hearing, the Commission determines that such disputed price does not exceed the long-run service incremental cost of such service and that the price is not being offered in response to an offer to end users by a competing telecommunications carrier or to a former end user that has accepted an offer for that service from a competing telecommunications carrier, it shall order the telecommunications carrier to adjust such disputed price so that the revised price recovers the long-run service incremental cost of such service.

(c) Nothing in this Section shall be construed to limit any telecommunications carrier's ability to bring

an action under other applicable law.

(Source: P.A. 90-185, eff. 7-23-97.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-506)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-506. Tariffs for competitive telecommunications services.

- (a) Telecommunications carriers may file proposed tariffs for any competitive telecommunications service which includes and specifically describes a range, band, formula, or standard within which or by which a change in rates or charges for such telecommunications service could be made without prior notice or prior Commission approval, provided that any and all rates or charges within the band or range, or determinable by the operation of the formula or standard, are consistent with the public interest and the purpose and policies of this Article and Act, and are likely to remain so for the foreseeable future. To the extent any proposed band or range encompasses rates or charges which are not consistent with the public interest and the purposes and policies of this Article and Act or otherwise fully proper, or any proposed formula or standard determines rates or charges which are not consistent with the purposes and policies of this Article and Act or otherwise fully proper, the Commission after notice and hearing shall have the power to modify the level, scope, or limits of such band or range, and to modify or limit the operation of such formula or standard, as necessary, to ensure that rates or charges resulting therefrom are consistent with the purposes and policies of this Article and Act and fully proper, and likely to remain so in the foreseeable future.
- (b) (Blank). The Commission may require a telecommunications carrier to file a variable tariff as described in paragraph (a) for any or all competitive telecommunications services which are offered or provided by such carrier, if the Commission finds, after notice and hearing, that the determination of rates or charges for such service by a tariff would improve the Commission's ability to effectively regulate such rates or charges and that such improvement is required by the public interest. Any such tariff required by the Commission shall be approved only if it is also consistent with the provisions of paragraph (a) of this Section.
- (c) After a tariff filed pursuant to this Section becomes effective, the telecommunications carrier shall determine the rates and charges for services according to the provisions thereof. (Source: P.A. 90-185, eff. 7-23-97; 90-574, eff. 3-20-98; 90-655, eff. 7-30-98.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-506.1) (from Ch. 111 2/3, par. 13-506.1)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-506.1. Alternative form forms of regulation for noncompetitive services.

(a) In addition to the provisions of this Article, the services classified as competitive pursuant to subsection (a-5) of Section 13-502 of this Article under this amendatory. Act of the 94th General Assembly and offered or provided by any qualifying telecommunications carrier, as defined in this Section, shall also be subject to the ratemaking provisions of Article IX or Section 13-504, as applicable, unless the carrier offering the competitively classified services elects to be subject to the provisions of this Section. Notwithstanding any of the ratemaking provisions of this Article or Article IX that are deemed to require rate of return regulation, the Upon providing notice to the Commission pursuant to subsection (b), a qualifying telecommunications carrier shall be subject to may implement an alternative form forms of regulation in the form of a rate moratorium plan, as defined in this Section, which is hereinafter referred to as the basic dial tone protection plan. in order to establish just and reasonable rates for noncompetitive telecommunications services including, but not limited to, price regulation, earnings sharing, rate moratoria, or a network modernization plan. The Commission is authorized to adopt different forms of regulation to fit the particular characteristics of different telecommunications carriers and their service areas.

The General Assembly finds and declares that such a basic dial tone protection plan meets In addition to the public policy goals declared in Section 13-103 and the goals of this Section to: , the Commission shall consider, in determining the appropriateness of any alternative form of regulation, whether it will:

- (1) recognize the significant level of retail competition in the communications industry and the convergence of technologies; reduce regulatory delay and costs over time;
  - (2) adopt a more appropriate form of regulation;
  - (3) (2) encourage innovation in services;
  - (4) (3) promote efficiency;
- (5) (4) facilitate the broad dissemination of technical improvements to all end users elasses of ratepayers;
  - (6) (5) enhance economic development of the State; and
- (7) (6) provide for <u>market-based pricing of retail telecommunications services in a competitive communications environment.</u> fair, just, and reasonable rates.

(b) A telecommunications carrier providing noncompetitive telecommunications services may petition the Commission to regulate the rates or charges of its noncompetitive services under an alternative form of regulation. The telecommunications carrier shall submit with its petition its plan for an alternative form of regulation. The Commission shall review and may modify or reject the carrier's proposed plan. The Commission also may initiate consideration of alternative forms of regulation for a telecommunications carrier on its own motion. The Commission may approve the plan or modified plan and authorize its implementation only if it finds, after notice and hearing, that the plan or modified plan at a minimum:

The General Assembly further finds that such a plan:

- (1) is in the public interest;
- (2) will produce fair, just, and reasonable rates for telecommunications services;
- (3) responds to changes in technology and the structure of the telecommunications industry that are, in fact, occurring;
- (4) constitutes a more appropriate form of regulation based on the Commission's overall consideration of the policy goals set forth in Section 13-103 and this Section;
- (5) specifically identifies how ratepayers will benefit from any efficiency gains, cost savings arising out of the regulatory change, and improvements in productivity due to technological change;
  - (2) (6) will maintain the quality and availability of retail telecommunications services; and
  - (3) (7) will not unduly or unreasonably prejudice or disadvantage any particular customer

class, including non-qualifying telecommunications carriers.

(b) Any qualifying telecommunications carrier may elect to be governed under a rate moratorium alternative form of regulation that consists of the provisions contained in the provisions of this subsection (b) upon providing notice to the Commission that it elects to do so. A rate moratorium alternative form of regulation plan that contains the provisions of this subsection (b) shall become effective 30 days after notice is provided by any qualifying telecommunications carrier to the Commission. During that 30 day period, the qualifying telecommunications carrier shall remain subject to the form of regulation that it was under on the date that it provided notice to the Commission. The rate moratorium alternative form of regulation plan authorized by this subsection (b) shall consist of the following provisions:

- (1) All price-capped competitive telecommunications services, as defined in this Section, offered or provided by any qualifying telecommunications carrier shall be included in the basic dial tone protection plan. All other competitive telecommunications services shall be excluded from such plan.
- (2) The rates for price-capped competitive telecommunications services shall not exceed the rates that the telecommunications carrier charged for those services on February 1, 2005; this restriction upon the rates of such price-capped competitive telecommunications services shall remain in full force and effect through July 1, 2008; provided, however, that nothing shall be construed to prohibit reduction of those rates:
- (3) Notwithstanding any other provision in this Section or Article, a telecommunications carrier that elects to be subject to a dial tone protection plan pursuant to this Section shall continue to offer the price-capped competitive telecommunications services at all times through July 1, 2008;
- (4) Notwithstanding any other provision in this Section or Article, any residential end user may elect to purchase price-capped competitive telecommunications service at any time through July 1, 2008 and, to the extent that such residential end user elects to change service from a retail service package not subject to such dial tone protection plan to price-capped competitive telecommunications service, any applicable termination provisions of the retail service package shall apply, but only if such residential end user has been clearly informed of the existence of any term and termination fees at the time such residential end user ordered such service package; and
- (5) No other terms from any plan adopted under prior Commission authority shall be required under subsection (b), except to the extent set forth in Section 13-712 (e-10) regarding retail service quality measures, exclusions, calculations, and standards for any telecommunications carrier subject to an alternative form of regulation plan on the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 94th General Assembly.
- (c) For purposes of subsection (b) of this Section: (i) "price-capped competitive telecommunications service" means the stand-alone primary residence network access lines, along with any associated untimed local usage charged on a per-call basis and not subject to presubscription (for purposes of this subsection, a primary residence network access line with such usage shall be considered a stand-alone offering subject to price cap, notwithstanding the purchase by the customer of additional service elements, features or functionalities for such line, so long as such additional service elements, features, or functionalities are purchased on an individual basis, and not as part of a service package, the

additional service elements, features, or functionalities for such line shall not be subject to price cap); and (ii) a "qualifying telecommunications carrier" is any incumbent local exchange carrier that has entered into an approved interconnection agreement with one or more unaffiliated competitive carriers as a result of negotiations or arbitration pursuant to the provisions of Section 251 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 or any successors or amendments thereof.

(e) An alternative regulation plan approved under this Section shall provide, as a condition for Commission approval of the plan, that for the first 3 years the plan is in effect, basic residence service rates shall be no higher than those rates in effect 180 days before the filing of the plan. This provision shall not be used as a justification or rationale for an increase in basic service rates for any other customer class. For purposes of this Section, "basic residence service rates" shall mean monthly recurring charges for the telecommunications carrier's lowest priced primary residence network access lines, along with any associated untimed or flat rate local usage charges. Nothing in this subsection (e) shall preclude the Commission from approving an alternative regulation plan that results in rate reductions provided all the requirements of subsection (b) are satisfied by the plan.

(d) Any alternative form of regulation granted for a multi-year period under this Section shall provide for annual or more frequent reporting to the Commission to document that the requirements of the plan are being properly implemented.

(e) Upon petition by the telecommunications carrier or any other person or upon its own motion, the Commission may rescind its approval of an alternative form of regulation if, after notice and hearing, it finds that the conditions set forth in subsection (b) of this Section can no longer be satisfied. Any person may file a complaint alleging that the rates charged by a telecommunications carrier under an alternative form of regulation are unfair, unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or are otherwise not consistent with the requirements of this Article; provided, that the complainant shall bear the burden of proving the allegations in the complaint.

(f) Nothing in this Section shall be construed to authorize the Commission to render Sections 9-241, 9-250, and 13-505.2 inapplicable to noncompetitive services.

(Source: P.A. 87-856.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-509) (from Ch. 111 2/3, par. 13-509)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-509. Agreements for provisions of competitive telecommunications services differing from tariffs. A telecommunications carrier may negotiate with customers or prospective customers to provide competitive telecommunications service, and in so doing, may offer or agree to provide such service on such terms and for such rates or charges as are reasonable, without regard to any tariffs it may have filed with the Commission with respect to such services. Within 30 days after executing any such agreement, the telecommunications carrier shall submit to the Commission written notice of a list of any such agreements (which list may be filed electronically). The notice shall identify the general nature of all such agreements, the parties to each agreement, and a general description of differences between each agreement and the related tariff. A copy of each such agreement and any cost support required to be filed with the agreement by some other Section of this Act shall be provided to the Commission within 10 business days after a request for review of the agreement is made by the Commission or is made to the Commission by another telecommunications carrier. Upon submitting notice to the Commission of any such agreement, the telecommunications carrier shall thereafter provide service according to the terms thereof, unless the Commission finds, after notice and hearing, that the continued provision of service pursuant to such agreement would substantially and adversely affect the financial integrity of the telecommunications carrier or would violate any other provision of this Act. This Section does not apply to the provision of competitive telecommunications services offered or provided to business end users by a telecommunications carrier that does not file tariffs for such business services pursuant to Section 13-501.

Any agreement or notice entered into or submitted pursuant to the provisions of this Section may, in the Commission's discretion, be accorded proprietary treatment.

(Source: P.A. 92-22, eff. 6-30-01; 93-245, eff. 7-22-03.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-514)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-514. Prohibited Actions of Telecommunications Carriers. A telecommunications carrier shall not knowingly impede the development of competition in any telecommunications service market. The following prohibited actions are considered per se impediments to the development of competition; however, the Commission is not limited in any manner to these enumerated impediments and may consider other actions which impede competition to be prohibited:

(1) unreasonably refusing or delaying interconnections or collocation or providing inferior

connections to another telecommunications carrier;

- (2) unreasonably impairing the speed, quality, or efficiency of services used by another telecommunications carrier;
- (3) unreasonably denying a request of another provider for information regarding the technical design and features, geographic coverage, information necessary for the design of equipment, and traffic capabilities of the local exchange network except for proprietary information unless such information is subject to a proprietary agreement or protective order:
- (4) unreasonably delaying access in connecting another telecommunications carrier to the local exchange network whose product or service requires novel or specialized access requirements;
  - (5) unreasonably refusing or delaying access by any person to another telecommunications carrier;
- (6) unreasonably acting or failing to act in a manner that has a substantial adverse effect on the ability of another telecommunications carrier to provide service to its customers;
- (7) unreasonably failing to offer services to customers in a local exchange, where a telecommunications carrier is certificated to provide service and has entered into an interconnection agreement for the provision of local exchange telecommunications services, with the intent to delay or impede the ability of the incumbent local exchange telecommunications carrier to provide inter-LATA telecommunications services;
- (8) violating the terms of or unreasonably delaying implementation of an interconnection agreement entered into pursuant to Section 252 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 in a manner that unreasonably delays, increases the cost, or impedes the availability of telecommunications services to consumers;
- (9) unreasonably refusing or delaying access to or provision of operation support systems to another telecommunications carrier or providing inferior operation support systems to another telecommunications carrier;
- (10) unreasonably failing to offer network elements that the Commission or the Federal Communications Commission has determined must be offered on an unbundled basis to another telecommunications carrier in a manner consistent with the Commission's or Federal Communications Commission's orders or rules requiring such offerings;
  - (11) violating the obligations of Section 13-801; and
- (12) violating an order of the Commission regarding matters between telecommunications carriers. (Source: P.A. 92-22, eff. 6-30-01.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-515)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-515. Enforcement.

- (a) The following expedited procedures shall be used to enforce the provisions of Section 13-514 of this Act. However, the Commission, the complainant, and the respondent may mutually agree to adjust the procedures established in this Section.
  - (b) (Blank).
- (c) No complaint may be filed under this Section until the complainant has first notified the respondent of the alleged violation and offered the respondent 5 business days 48 hours to correct the situation. Provision of notice and the opportunity to correct the situation creates a rebuttable presumption of knowledge under Section 13-514. After the filing of a complaint under this Section, the parties may agree to follow the mediation process under Section 10-101.1 of this Act. The time periods specified in subdivision (d)(7) of this Section shall be tolled during the time spent in mediation under Section 10-101.1
- (d) A telecommunications carrier may file a complaint with the Commission alleging a violation of Section 13-514 in accordance with this subsection:
  - (1) The complaint shall be filed with the Chief Clerk of the Commission and shall be served in hand upon the respondent, the executive director, and the general counsel of the Commission at the time of the filing.
  - (2) A complaint filed under this subsection shall include a statement that the requirements of subsection (c) have been fulfilled and that the respondent did not correct the situation as requested.
  - (3) Reasonable discovery specific to the issue of the complaint may commence upon filing of the complaint. Requests for discovery must be served in hand and responses to discovery must be provided in hand to the requester within 14 days after a request for discovery is made.
  - (4) An answer and any other responsive pleading to the complaint shall be filed with the Commission and served in hand at the same time upon the complainant, the executive director, and the general counsel of the Commission within 7 days after the date on which the complaint is filed.

- (5) If the answer or responsive pleading raises the issue that the complaint violates subsection (i) of this Section, the complainant may file a reply to such allegation within 3 days after actual service of such answer or responsive pleading. Within 4 days after the time for filing a reply has expired, the hearing officer or arbitrator shall either issue a written decision dismissing the complaint as frivolous in violation of subsection (i) of this Section including the reasons for such disposition or shall issue an order directing that the complaint shall proceed.
  - (6) A pre-hearing conference shall be held within 14 days after the date on which the complaint is filed.
- (7) The hearing shall commence within 30 days of the date on which the complaint is filed. The hearing may be conducted by a hearing examiner or by an arbitrator. Parties and the Commission staff shall be entitled to present evidence and legal argument in oral or written form as deemed appropriate by the hearing examiner or arbitrator. The hearing examiner or arbitrator shall issue a written decision within 60 days after the date on which the complaint is filed. The decision shall include reasons for the disposition of the complaint and, if a violation of Section 13-514 is found, directions and a deadline for correction of the violation.
- (8) Any party may file a petition requesting the Commission to review the decision of the hearing examiner or arbitrator within 5 days of such decision. Any party may file a response to a petition for review within 3 business days after actual service of the petition. After the time for filing of the petition for review, but no later than 15 days after the decision of the hearing examiner or arbitrator, the Commission shall decide to adopt the decision of the hearing examiner or shall issue its own final order.
- (e) If the alleged violation has a substantial adverse effect on the ability of the complainant to provide service to customers, the complainant may include in its complaint a request for an order for emergency relief. The Commission, acting through its designated hearing examiner or arbitrator, shall act upon such a request within 2 business days of the filing of the complaint. An order for emergency relief may be granted, without an evidentiary hearing, upon a verified factual showing that the party seeking relief will likely succeed on the merits, that the party will suffer irreparable harm in its ability to serve customers if emergency relief is not granted, and that the order is in the public interest. An order for emergency relief shall include a finding that the requirements of this subsection have been fulfilled and shall specify the directives that must be fulfilled by the respondent and deadlines for meeting those directives. The decision of the hearing examiner or arbitrator to grant or deny emergency relief shall be considered an order of the Commission unless the Commission enters its own order within 2 calendar days of the decision of the hearing examiner or arbitrator. The order for emergency relief may require the responding party to act or refrain from acting so as to protect the provision of competitive service offerings to customers. Any action required by an emergency relief order must be technically feasible and economically reasonable and the respondent must be given a reasonable period of time to comply with the order.
- (f) The Commission is authorized to obtain outside resources including, but not limited to, arbitrators and consultants for the purposes of the hearings authorized by this Section. Any arbitrator or consultant obtained by the Commission shall be approved by both parties to the hearing. The cost of such outside resources including, but not limited to, arbitrators and consultants shall be borne by the parties. The Commission shall review the bill for reasonableness and assess the parties for reasonable costs dividing the costs according to the resolution of the complaint brought under this Section. Such costs shall be paid by the parties directly to the arbitrators, consultants, and other providers of outside resources within 60 days after receiving notice of the assessments from the Commission. Interest at the statutory rate shall accrue after expiration of the 60-day period. The Commission, arbitrators, consultants, or other providers of outside resources may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction for an order requiring payment.
- (g) The Commission shall assess the parties under this subsection for all of the Commission's costs of investigation and conduct of the proceedings brought under this Section including, but not limited to, the prorated salaries of staff, attorneys, hearing examiners, and support personnel and including any travel and per diem, directly attributable to the complaint brought pursuant to this Section, but excluding those costs provided for in subsection (f), dividing the costs according to the resolution of the complaint brought under this Section. All assessments made under this subsection shall be paid into the Public Utility Fund within 60 days after receiving notice of the assessments from the Commission. Interest at the statutory rate shall accrue after the expiration of the 60 day period. The Commission is authorized to apply to a court of competent jurisdiction for an order requiring payment.
- (h) If the Commission determines that there is an imminent threat to competition or to the public interest, the Commission may, notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, seek temporary, preliminary, or permanent injunctive relief from a court of competent jurisdiction either prior to or after

the hearing.

- (i) A party shall not bring or defend a proceeding brought under this Section or assert or controvert an issue in a proceeding brought under this Section, unless there is a non-frivolous basis for doing so. By presenting a pleading, written motion, or other paper in complaint or defense of the actions or inaction of a party under this Section, a party is certifying to the Commission that to the best of that party's knowledge, information, and belief, formed after a reasonable inquiry of the subject matter of the complaint or defense, that the complaint or defense is well grounded in law and fact, and under the circumstances:
  - (1) it is not being presented to harass the other party, cause unnecessary delay in the provision of competitive telecommunications services to consumers, or create needless increases in the cost of litigation; and
  - (2) the allegations and other factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, are likely to have evidentiary support after reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery as defined herein.
- (j) If, after notice and a reasonable opportunity to respond, the Commission determines that subsection (i) has been violated, the Commission shall impose appropriate sanctions upon the party or parties that have violated subsection (i) or are responsible for the violation. The sanctions shall be not more than \$30,000, plus the amount of expenses accrued by the Commission for conducting the hearing. Payment of sanctions imposed under this subsection shall be made to the Common School Fund within 30 days of imposition of such sanctions.
- (k) An appeal of a Commission Order made pursuant to this Section shall not effectuate a stay of the Order unless a court of competent jurisdiction specifically finds that the party seeking the stay will likely succeed on the merits, that the party will suffer irreparable harm without the stay, and that the stay is in the public interest.

(Source: P.A. 92-22, eff. 6-30-01.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-517)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-517. Provision of advanced telecommunications services.

- (a) Every Incumbent <u>Local Exchange Carrier Local Exchange Carrier (telecommunications carrier that offers or provides a noncompetitive telecommunications service)</u> shall offer or provide advanced telecommunications services to not less than 80% of its customers by January 1, 2005. <u>An Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier may satisfy this requirement through services offered or provided by an affiliate.</u>
- (b) The Commission is authorized to grant a full or partial waiver of the requirements of this Section upon verified petition of any Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier ("ILEC") which demonstrates that full compliance with the requirements of this Section would be unduly economically burdensome or technically infeasible or otherwise impractical in exchanges with low population density. Notice of any such petition must be given to all potentially affected customers. If no potentially affected customer requests the opportunity for a hearing on the waiver petition, the Commission may, in its discretion, allow the waiver request to take affect without hearing. The Commission shall grant such petition to the extent that, and for such duration as, the Commission determines that such waiver:
  - (1) is necessary:
    - (A) to avoid a significant adverse economic impact on users of telecommunications services generally;
    - (B) to avoid imposing a requirement that is unduly economically burdensome;
    - (C) to avoid imposing a requirement that is technically infeasible; or
    - (D) to avoid imposing a requirement that is otherwise impractical to implement in exchanges with low population density; and
  - (2) is consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

The Commission shall act upon any petition filed under this subsection within 180 days after receiving such petition. The Commission may by rule establish standards for granting any waiver of the requirements of this Section. The Commission may, upon complaint or on its own motion, hold a hearing to reconsider its grant of a waiver in whole or in part. In the event that the Commission, following hearing, determines that the affected ILEC no longer meets the requirements of item (2) of this subsection, the Commission shall by order rescind such waiver, in whole or in part. In the event and to the degree the Commission rescinds such waiver, the Commission shall establish an implementation schedule for compliance with the requirements of this Section.

(c) As used in this Section, "advanced telecommunications services" means services capable of supporting, in at least one direction, a speed in excess of 200 kilobits per second (kbps) to the network demarcation point at the subscriber's premises.

As used in this Section, "affiliate" means a person that is (directly or indirectly) owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership or control with, another person. As used in this Section, "person" includes an individual, partnership, association, joint stock company, trust, corporation, or limited liability company.

(Source: P.A. 92-22, eff. 6-30-01.) (220 ILCS 5/13-518.1 new)

Sec. 13-518.1. Retail service packages. Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Act:

(1) A telecommunications carrier may offer retail telecommunications services, both competitive and non-regulated services or products, in a package to residential and business end users so long as the total price of such service package exceeds the long-run service incremental cost of the telecommunications services included in the service package. The telecommunications services included in a service package may be offered under the rates, terms and conditions of the service package so long as each of the noncompetitive or price-capped competitive telecommunications services contained within such service package is separately tariffed and offered to end users on a stand-alone basis. To the extent the service package includes non-regulated services or products, the Commission shall have no jurisdiction over the prices, terms or conditions for the offering of such non-regulated services or products nor shall such non-regulated services or products be required to be included in the service package tariff. For purposes of this Section "non-regulated services or products" means anything that is neither a competitive telecommunications services as defined in this Article.

(2) Any retail service package that contains both competitive retail telecommunications services and noncompetitive retail telecommunications services shall be classified as a retail competitive telecommunications service, without further Commission review, so long as each noncompetitive telecommunications service within the package is separately tariffed and offered to end users on a stand-alone basis.

(220 ILCS 5/13-701) (from Ch. 111 2/3, par. 13-701)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-701. <u>Telephone cooperatives</u>; <u>supervision by Commission</u>; <u>annual financial report</u>.

(a) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act to the contrary, the Commission has no power to supervise or control any telephone cooperative as respects assessment schedules or local service rates made or charged by such a cooperative on a nondiscriminatory basis. In addition, the Commission has no power to inquire into, or require the submission of, the terms, conditions or agreements by or under which telephone cooperatives are financed. A telephone cooperative shall file with the Commission either a copy of the annual financial report required by the Rural Electrification Administration, or the annual financial report required of other public utilities.

(b) Sections 13-712 and 13-713 of this Article do not apply to telephone cooperatives.

(Source: P.A. 84-1063.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-712)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-712. Basic local exchange service quality; customer credits.

- (a) It is the intent of the General Assembly that every telecommunications carrier meet minimum service quality standards in providing basic local exchange service on a non-discriminatory basis to all classes of customers.
  - (b) Definitions:
  - (1) "Alternative telephone service" means, except where technically impracticable, a wireless telephone capable of making local calls, and may also include, but is not limited to, call forwarding, voice mail, or paging services.
  - (2) "Basic local exchange service" means residential and business lines used for local exchange telecommunications service as defined in Section 13-204 of this Act, excluding:
    - (A) services that employ advanced telecommunications capability as defined in

Section 706(c)(1) of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996;

- (B) vertical services;
- (C) company official lines; and
- (D) records work only.
- (3) "Link Up" refers to the Link Up Assistance program defined and established at 47
- C.F.R. Section 54.411 et seq. as amended.
- (c) The Commission shall promulgate service quality rules for basic local exchange service, which may include fines, penalties, customer credits, and other enforcement mechanisms and which shall apply equally to all telecommunications carriers providing basic local exchange service. Each service quality

standard in such rules shall be reasonable, and any fines, penalties, customer credits and enforcement mechanisms shall be proportionate to the violation of that service quality standard. In developing such service quality rules, for imposing such fines, penalties, customer credits and other enforcement mechanisms, the Commission shall consider, at a minimum, the carrier's gross annual intrastate revenue; the frequency, duration, and recurrence of the violation; and the relative harm caused to the affected customer or other users of the network. In imposing fines, the Commission shall take into account compensation or credits paid by the telecommunications carrier to its customers pursuant to this Section in compensation for the violation found pursuant to this Section. These rules shall become effective within one year after the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 92nd General Assembly.

- (d) The rules shall, at a minimum, require each telecommunications carrier to do all of the following:
- (1) Install basic local exchange service within 5 business days after receipt of an order from the customer unless the customer requests an installation date that is beyond 5 business days after placing the order for basic service and to inform the customer of its duty to install service within this timeframe. If installation of service is requested on or by a date more than 5 business days in the future, the telecommunications carrier shall install service by the date requested. A telecommunications carrier offering basic local exchange service utilizing the network or network elements of another carrier shall install new lines for basic local exchange service within 3 business days after provisioning of the line or lines by the carrier whose network or network elements are being utilized is complete. This subdivision (d)(1) does not apply to the migration of a customer between telecommunications carriers, so long as the customer maintains dial tone.
- (2) Restore basic local exchange service for a customer within 24 hours of receiving notice that a customer is out of service. This provision applies to service disruptions that occur when a customer switches existing basic local exchange service from one carrier to another.
- (3) Keep all repair and installation appointments for basic local exchange service, when a customer premises visit requires a customer to be present.
- (4) Inform a customer when a repair or installation appointment requires the customer to be present.
- (e) The rules shall include provisions for customers to be credited by the telecommunications carrier for violations of basic local exchange service quality standards as described in subsection (d). The credits shall be applied on the statement issued to the customer for the next monthly billing cycle following the violation or following the discovery of the violation. The performance levels established in subsection (c) are solely for the purposes of consumer credits and shall not be used as performance levels for the purposes of assessing penalties under Section 13-305. At a minimum, the rules shall include the following:
  - (1) If a carrier fails to repair an out-of-service condition for basic local exchange service within 24 hours, the carrier shall provide a credit to the customer. If the service disruption is for 48 hours or less, the credit must be equal to a pro-rata portion of the monthly recurring charges for all local services disrupted. If the service disruption is for more than 48 hours, but not more than 72 hours, the credit must be equal to at least 33% of one month's recurring charges for all local services disrupted. If the service disruption is for more than 72 hours, but not more than 96 hours, the credit must be equal to at least 67% of one month's recurring charges for all local services disrupted. If the service disruption is for more than 96 hours, but not more than 120 hours, the credit must be equal to one month's recurring charges for all local services disrupted. For each day or portion thereof that the service disruption continues beyond the initial 120-hour period, the carrier shall also provide either alternative telephone service or an additional credit of \$20 per day, at the customers option.
  - (2) If a carrier fails to install basic local exchange service as required under subdivision (d)(1), the carrier shall waive 50% of any installation charges, or in the absence of an installation charge or where installation is pursuant to the Link Up program, the carrier shall provide a credit of \$25. If a carrier fails to install service within 10 business days after the service application is placed, or fails to install service within 5 business days after the customer's requested installation date, if the requested date was more than 5 business days after the date of the order, the carrier shall waive 100% of the installation charge, or in the absence of an installation charge or where installation is provided pursuant to the Link Up program, the carrier shall provide a credit of \$50. For each day that the failure to install service continues beyond the initial 10 business days, or beyond 5 business days after the customer's requested installation date, if the requested date was more than 5 business days after the date of the order, the carrier shall also provide either alternative telephone service or an additional credit of \$20 per day, at the customer's option until service is installed.
  - (3) If a carrier fails to keep a scheduled repair or installation appointment when a customer premises visit requires a customer to be present, the carrier shall credit the customer \$50 per

missed appointment. A credit required by this subsection does not apply when the carrier provides the customer with 24-hour notice of its inability to keep the appointment.

- (4) If the violation of a basic local exchange service quality standard is caused by a carrier other than the carrier providing retail service to the customer, the carrier providing retail service to the customer shall credit the customer as provided in this Section. The carrier causing the violation shall reimburse the carrier providing retail service the amount credited the customer. When applicable, an interconnection agreement shall govern compensation between the carrier causing the violation, in whole or in part, and the retail carrier providing the credit to the customer.
- (5) When alternative telephone service is appropriate, the customer may select one of the alternative telephone services offered by the carrier. The alternative telephone service shall be provided at no cost to the customer for the provision of local service.
  - (6) Credits required by this subsection do not apply if the violation of a service quality standard:
    - (i) occurs as a result of a negligent or willful act on the part of the customer;
    - (ii) occurs as a result of a malfunction of customer-owned telephone equipment or inside wiring;
    - (iii) occurs as a result of, or is extended by, an emergency situation as defined in Commission rules;
  - (iv) is extended by the carrier's inability to gain access to the customer's premises due to the customer missing an appointment, provided that the violation is not further extended by the carrier;
    - (v) occurs as a result of a customer request to change the scheduled appointment, provided that the violation is not further extended by the carrier;
    - (vi) occurs as a result of a carrier's right to refuse service to a customer as provided in Commission rules; or
  - (vii) occurs as a result of a lack of facilities where a customer requests service at a geographically remote location, a customer requests service in a geographic area where the carrier is not currently offering service, or there are insufficient facilities to meet the customer's request for service, subject to a carrier's obligation for reasonable facilities planning.
- (7) The provisions of this subsection are cumulative and shall not in any way diminish or replace other civil or administrative remedies available to a customer or a class of customers.
- (e-5) If a telecommunications carrier that is subject to an alternative form of regulation plan on the effective date of this amendatory. Act of the 94th General Assembly fails to comply with the requirements set forth in paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) of subsection (e) regarding basic local exchange service provided to residential end users, the credits to be paid or charges to be waived shall be calculated as set forth in subsection (e), except that any such credits or charges to be waived shall be 10% higher than those set forth in those paragraphs. This subsection shall take effect 6 months after the effective date of this amendatory. Act of the 94th General Assembly.
- (e-10) Notwithstanding any other provision in this Section or Article, a telecommunications carrier that is subject to an alternative form of regulation plan on the date of the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 94th General Assembly shall be subject to the following conditions if it elects to be subject to a dial tone protection plan pursuant to Section 13-506.1 of this Article:
- (1) Such prior alternative regulation telecommunications carrier shall continue to be subject to the retail service quality measures, exclusions, calculations and standards set forth in the Commission's orders in the proceeding in which such plan was adopted, but such telecommunications carrier shall not be subject to any retail service quality-related rate reductions or penalties that may have applied under such plan or the Commission's orders;
- (2) To the extent the measures adopted under such an alternative form of regulation plan are also contained in the rules promulgated by the Commission pursuant to this Section, the retail service quality measures, exclusions, calculations and standards adopted pursuant to the Commission's order in the proceeding in which such prior alternative regulation plan was adopted shall apply rather than such rules, except to the extent the service quality standard provided in the rules is more stringent;
- (3) Such telecommunications carrier shall also be subject to any measures that are contained in the rules promulgated by the Commission pursuant to this Section that are not measures that are included in such telecommunications carrier's alternative form of regulation plan;
- (4) The civil penalties applicable to any violations of items (1) through (3) of this subsection are set forth in Section 13-305; and
- (5) Such telecommunications carrier shall report its performance measurement results pursuant to items (1) through (3) of this subsection to the Commission consistent with the requirements of

subsection (f) of this Section.

- (f) The rules shall require each telecommunications carrier to provide to the Commission, on a quarterly basis and in a form suitable for posting on the Commission's website, a public report that includes performance data for basic local exchange service quality of service. The performance data shall be disaggregated for each geographic area and each customer class of the State for which the telecommunications carrier internally monitored performance data as of a date 120 days preceding the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 92nd General Assembly. The report shall include, at a minimum, performance data on basic local exchange service installations, lines out of service for more than 24 hours, carrier response to customer calls, trouble reports, and missed repair and installation commitments.
- (g) The Commission shall establish and implement carrier to carrier wholesale service quality rules and establish remedies to ensure enforcement of the rules. These rules shall become effective within one year after the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 94th General Assembly. The wholesale service quality rules and standards shall be reasonable and any remedies shall be proportionate to the actual damages, if any, to the other telecommunications carrier. Any carrier-to-carrier rules developed by the Commission pursuant to this subsection shall: (1) not exceed the duties imposed on telecommunications carriers pursuant to Section 251 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 and regulations promulgated thereunder or any amendments and successors thereof; (2) only relate to basic local exchange service to end users and shall specify the terms and conditions regarding the transfer of customer information, telephone numbers, and required unbundled network elements when a basic local exchange end user customer transfers from one telecommunications carrier to another telecommunications carrier; (3) apply equally to any telecommunications carrier providing basic local exchange service; (4) include no more than 12 performance measures; and (5) be the only wholesale service quality rules that apply at the expiration of any wholesale performance plan previously adopted by the Commission for any telecommunications carrier prior to the amendment of this subsection or on July 1, 2007, whichever date is earlier. At a minimum, the rules shall include measures for unbundled loop return, return of customer service records, loss notifications, and number portability with remedies. Any telecommunications carrier that is not subject to a Commission-approved remedy plan as of the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 94th General Assembly shall have 6 months after the effective date of the rules promulgated pursuant to this subsection under this amendatory Act of the 94th General Assembly to comply with the requirements of this subsection, to the extent that the rules promulgated pursuant to this amendatory Act contain measures to which such carrier was not subject as of the effective date of this amendatory Act. Nothing in this Section is intended to limit the ability of a telecommunications carrier to seek inclusion of performance measures and remedies in the context of arbitration before the Commission pursuant to Section 252 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. This subsection shall not apply to certain rural telephone companies subject to 47 U.S.C. 251(f). (Source: P.A. 92-22, eff. 6-30-01.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-801) (from Ch. 111 2/3, par. 13-801)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-801. Incumbent local exchange carrier obligations.

(a) This Section provides additional State requirements for incumbent local exchange carriers that the General Assembly believes are consistent with and not preempted by contemplated by, but not inconsistent with, Section 261(e) of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, and regulations promulgated thereunder or any amendments or successors thereof, not preempted by orders of the Federal Communications Commission. A telecommunications carrier not subject to regulation under an alternative regulation plan pursuant to Section 13 506.1 of this Act shall not be subject to the provisions of this Section, to the extent that this Section imposes requirements or obligations upon the telecommunications carrier that exceed or are more stringent than those obligations imposed by Section 251 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 and regulations promulgated thereunder.

Nothing in this Article or this Section shall be construed to require any incumbent local exchange carrier to provide any other telecommunications carrier with interconnection, collocation, access to any network element, whether unbundled or combined with other network elements, or resale where the Federal Communications Commission does not require such interconnection, collocation, access to any network element, or resale to be provided pursuant to Section 251 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 or any amendment or successor thereof.

An incumbent local exchange carrier shall provide a requesting telecommunications carrier with interconnection, collocation, network elements, and access to operations support systems on just, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory rates, terms, and conditions to enable the provision of any and all existing and new telecommunications services within the LATA, including, but not limited to, local

exchange and exchange access. The Commission shall require the incumbent local exchange carrier to provide interconnection, collocation, and network elements in any manner technically feasible to the fullest extent possible to implement the maximum development of competitive telecommunications services offerings. As used in this Section, to the extent that interconnection, collocation, or network elements have been deployed for or by the incumbent local exchange carrier or one of its wireline local exchange affiliates in any jurisdiction, it shall be presumed that such is technically feasible in Illinois.

- (b) Interconnection. (1) An incumbent local exchange carrier shall provide for the facilities and equipment of any requesting telecommunications carrier's interconnection with the incumbent local exchange carrier's network on just, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory rates, terms, and conditions:
  - (1) (A) for the transmission and routing of local exchange, and exchange access telecommunications services:
  - (2) (B) at any technically feasible point within the incumbent local exchange carrier's network; however, the incumbent local exchange carrier may not require the requesting carrier to interconnect at more than one technically feasible point within a LATA; and
  - (3) (C) that is at least equal in quality and functionality to that provided by the incumbent local exchange carrier to itself or to any subsidiary, affiliate, or any other party to which the incumbent local exchange carrier provides interconnection.
- (2) An incumbent local exchange carrier shall make available to any requesting telecommunications carrier, to the extent technically feasible, those services, facilities, or interconnection agreements or arrangements that the incumbent local exchange carrier or any of its incumbent local exchange subsidiaries or affiliates offers in another state under the terms and conditions, but not the stated rates, negotiated pursuant to Section 252 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. Rates shall be established in accordance with the requirements of subsection (g) of this Section. An incumbent local exchange carrier shall also make available to any requesting telecommunications carrier, to the extent technically feasible, and subject to the unbundling provisions of Section 251(d)(2) of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, those unbundled network element or interconnection agreements or arrangements that a local exchange carrier affiliate of the incumbent local exchange carrier obtains in another state from the incumbent local exchange carrier in that state, under the terms and conditions, but not the stated rates, obtained through negotiation, or through an arbitration initiated by the affiliate, pursuant to Section 252 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. Rates shall be established in accordance with the requirements of subsection (g) of this Section.
- (c) Collocation. An incumbent local exchange carrier shall provide for physical or virtual collocation of any type of equipment necessary for interconnection or access to network elements at the premises of the incumbent local exchange carrier on just, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory rates, terms, and conditions. The equipment shall include, but is not limited to, optical transmission equipment, multiplexers, remote switching modules, and cross-connects between the facilities or equipment of other collocated carriers. The equipment shall also include microwave transmission facilities on the exterior and interior of the incumbent local exchange carrier's premises used for interconnection to, or for access to network elements of, the incumbent local exchange carrier or a collocated carrier, unless the incumbent local exchange carrier demonstrates to the Commission that it is not practical due to technical reasons or space limitations. An incumbent local exchange carrier shall allow, and provide for, the most reasonably direct and efficient cross-connects, that are consistent with safety and network reliability standards, between the facilities of collocated carriers. An incumbent local exchange carrier's network elements platform, or a noncollocated telecommunications carrier's transport facilities, and the facilities of any collocated carrier, consistent with safety and network reliability standards.
- (d) Network elements. The incumbent local exchange carrier shall provide to any requesting telecommunications carrier, for the provision of an existing or a new telecommunications service, nondiscriminatory access to network elements that are required by the Federal Communications Commission to be made available on an unbundled basis pursuant to Section 251(c)(3) and 251(d)(2) of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 and regulations promulgated thereunder or any amendments or successors thereof, on an any unbundled or bundled basis, to the extent that such network elements are required by the Federal Communications Commission to be provided on an unbundled basis pursuant to Section 251(c)(3) and 251(d)(2) of that Act and regulations promulgated thereunder or any amendments or successors thereof, as requested, at any technically feasible point on just, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory rates, terms, and conditions.
  - (1) An incumbent local exchange carrier shall provide unbundled network elements in a manner that allows requesting telecommunications carriers to combine those network elements to provide a telecommunications service.

- (2) An incumbent local exchange carrier shall not separate <u>any required</u> network elements that are currently combined <u>with other required network elements</u>, except at the explicit direction of the requesting carrier.
- (3) Upon request, an incumbent local exchange carrier shall combine any sequence of required unbundled network elements that it ordinarily combines for itself; including but not limited to, unbundled network elements identified in The Draft of the Proposed Ameritech Illinois 271 Amendment (12A) found in Schedule SJA 4 attached to Exhibit 3.1 filled by Illinois Bell Telephone Company on or about March 28, 2001 with the Illinois Commerce Commission under Illinois Commerce Commission Docket Number 00 0700. The Commission shall determine those unbundled network elements the incumbent local exchange carrier ordinarily combines for itself if there is a dispute between the incumbent local exchange carrier and the requesting telecommunications carrier under this subdivision of this Section of this Act.

The incumbent local exchange carrier shall be entitled to recover from the requesting telecommunications carrier any just and reasonable special construction costs incurred in combining such unbundled network elements (i) if such costs are not already included in the established price of providing the network elements, (ii) if the incumbent local exchange carrier charges such costs to its retail telecommunications end users, and (iii) if fully disclosed in advance to the requesting telecommunications carrier. The Commission shall determine whether the incumbent local exchange carrier is entitled to any special construction costs if there is a dispute between the incumbent local exchange carrier and the requesting telecommunications carrier under this subdivision of this Section of this Act.

(4) A telecommunications carrier may use a network <u>elements</u> or combination of <del>platform consisting solely of combined</del> network elements, to the extent that such network elements are required by the Federal Communications Commission to be made available on an unbundled basis pursuant to Section 251(c)(3) and 251(d)(2) of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 and regulations promulgated thereunder or any amendments or successors thereof, of the incumbent local exchange carrier to provide end to end telecommunications service for the

provision of existing and new local exchange, interexchange that includes local, local toll, and intraLATA toll, and exchange access telecommunications services within the LATA <u>directly</u> to its <u>local exchange</u> end users or payphone service providers <u>without the requesting telecommunications earrier's provision or use of any other facilities or functionalities</u>.

(5) The Commission may shall establish maximum time periods for the incumbent local exchange carrier's provision of unbundled network elements, subject to the provisions of subsection (g) of Section 13-712 to the extent applicable. The maximum time period shall be no longer than the time period for the incumbent local exchange carrier's provision of comparable retail telecommunications services utilizing those network elements. The Commission may establish a maximum time period for a particular network element that is shorter than for a comparable retail telecommunications service offered by the incumbent local exchange carrier if a requesting telecommunications carrier establishes that it shall perform other functions or activities after receipt of the particular network element to provide telecommunications services to end users. The burden of proof for establishing a maximum time period for a particular network element that is shorter than for a comparable retail telecommunications service offered by the incumbent local exchange carrier shall be on the requesting telecommunications carrier. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Article, unless and until the Commission establishes by rule or order a different specific maximum time interval, the maximum time intervals shall not exceed 5 business days for the provision of unbundled loops, both digital and analog, 10 business days for the conditioning of unbundled loops or for existing combinations of network elements for an end user that has existing local exchange telecommunications service, and one business day for the provision of the high frequency portion of the loop (line-sharing) for at least 95% of the requests of each requesting telecommunications carrier for each month.

In measuring the incumbent local exchange carrier's actual performance, the Commission shall ensure that occurrences beyond the control of the incumbent local exchange carrier that adversely affect the incumbent local exchange carrier's performance are excluded when determining actual performance levels. Such occurrences shall be determined by the Commission, but at a minimum must include work stoppage or other labor actions and acts of war. Exclusions shall also be made for performance that is governed by agreements approved by the Commission and containing timeframes for the same or similar measures or for when a requesting telecommunications carrier requests a longer time interval.

(6) When a telecommunications carrier requests a network elements platform referred to in subdivision (d)(4) of this Section, without the need for field work outside of the central office, for an end

user that has existing local exchange telecommunications service provided by an incumbent local exchange carrier, or by another telecommunications carrier through the incumbent local exchange carrier's network elements platform, unless otherwise agreed by the telecommunications carriers, the incumbent local exchange carrier shall provide the requesting telecommunications carrier with the requested network elements platform within 3 business days for at least 95% of the requests for each requesting telecommunications carrier for each month. A requesting telecommunications carrier may order the network elements platform as is for an end user that has such existing local exchange service without changing any of the features previously selected by the end user. The incumbent local exchange carrier shall provide the requested network elements platform without any disruption to the end user's services.

Absent a contrary agreement between the telecommunications carriers entered into after the effective date of this amendatory. Act of the 92nd General Assembly, as of 12:01 a.m. on the third business day after placing the order for a network elements platform, the requesting telecommunications carrier shall be the presubscribed primary local exchange carrier for that end user line and shall be entitled to receive, or to direct the disposition of, all revenues for all services utilizing the network elements in the platform, unless it is established that the end user of the existing local exchange service did not authorize the requesting telecommunications carrier to make the request.

(6) (e) Operations support systems. Subject to the provisions of subsection (g) of Section 13-712 to the extent applicable, the The Commission may shall establish minimum standards with just, reasonable, and

nondiscriminatory rates, terms, and conditions for the preordering, ordering, provisioning, maintenance and repair, and billing functions of the incumbent local exchange carrier's operations support systems provided to other telecommunications carriers.

- (e) (f) Resale. An incumbent local exchange carrier shall offer all retail telecommunications services, that the incumbent local exchange carrier provides at retail to subscribers who are not telecommunications carriers, within the LATA, together with each applicable optional feature or functionality, subject to resale at wholesale rates without imposing any unreasonable or discriminatory conditions or limitations. Wholesale rates shall be based on the retail rates charged to end users for the telecommunications service requested, excluding the portion thereof attributable to any marketing, billing, collection, and other costs avoided by the local exchange carrier. The Commission may determine under Article IX of this Act that certain noncompetitive services, together with each applicable optional feature or functionality, that are offered to residence customers under different rates, charges, terms, or conditions than to other customers should not be subject to resale under the rates, charges, terms, or conditions available only to residence customers.
- (f) (g) Cost based rates. Interconnection, collocation, and network elements , and operations support systems to the extent required by the Federal Communications Commission to be made available pursuant to Section 251(c) of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 and regulations promulgated thereunder or any amendments or successors thereof, shall be provided by the incumbent local exchange carrier to requesting telecommunications carriers at cost based rates consistent with Section 252 of such Act and regulations promulgated thereunder or any amendments or successors thereof. The immediate implementation and provisioning of interconnection, collocation, network elements, and operations support systems shall not be delayed due to any lack of determination by the Commission as to the cost based rates. When cost based rates have not been established, within 30 days after the filing of a petition for the setting of interim rates, or after the Commission's own motion, the Commission shall provide for interim rates that shall remain in full force and effect until the cost based rate determination is made, or the interim rate is modified, by the Commission.
- (g) (h) Rural exemption. This Section does not apply to certain rural telephone companies as described in 47 U.S.C. 251(f).
- (i) Schedule of rates. A telecommunications carrier may request the incumbent local exchange carrier to provide a schedule of rates listing each of the rate elements of the incumbent local exchange carrier that pertains to a proposed order identified by the requesting telecommunications carrier for any of the matters covered in this Section. The incumbent local exchange carrier shall deliver the requested schedule of rates to the requesting telecommunications carrier within 2 business days for 95% of the requests for each requesting carrier
- (h) (j) Special access circuits. Nothing Other than as provided in subdivision (d)(4) of this Section for the network elements platform described in that subdivision, nothing in this Section amendatory Act of the 92nd General Assembly is intended to require or prohibit the substitution of switched or special access or private line services by or with a combination of network elements nor address the Illinois Commerce Commission's jurisdiction or authority in this area.

(i) (k) The Commission shall determine any matters in dispute between the incumbent local exchange carrier and the requesting carrier pursuant to Section 13-515 of this Act.

(Source: P.A. 92-22, eff. 6-30-01.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-804 new) Sec. 13-804. Access services.

- (a) The rates of any telecommunications carrier providing intrastate switched access service or intrastate dedicated special access shall be deemed to be just and reasonable if such rates were established pursuant to a Commission order or if such rates are no higher than such carrier's interstate rates for interstate switched access service or interstate dedicated special access as found to be just and reasonable under the orders and regulations of the Federal Communications Commission. For purposes of this Section, the intrastate rates of a carrier will be considered to be no higher than its interstate rates, if the carrier's intrastate rates are no higher than its interstate rates within 30 days following the effective date of this amendatory. Act of the 94th General Assembly or within one day following the effective date of any new FCC orders and regulations issued after that date.
- (b) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Section or Article, the Commission retains the authority, upon complaint by another telecommunications carrier, to investigate and review the intrastate switched access service and intrastate dedicated special access rates of any telecommunications carrier that provides intrastate switched access service or intrastate dedicated special access at rates higher than its interstate rates for either of such services to determine whether such rates are just and reasonable and to revise them to the extent necessary to make them just and reasonable, provided that the Commission shall have no authority to order a telecommunications carrier to set its rates for intrastate switched access services or intrastate dedicated special access at rates lower than its interstate rates for those services.
- (c) Subsections (a) and (b) shall not apply to incumbent local exchange carriers serving 35,000 or fewer access lines whose intrastate switched access rates are based upon the methodologies approved in the Second Interim Order of the Commission in Docket No. 01-0808, unless the Commission determines to investigate and changes the methodologies approved in that Second Interim Order.
- (d) For purposes of this Section, the rate for intrastate switched access services means the composite, per-minute rate for these services, including all applicable fixed and traffic-sensitive charges.
- (e) Nothing in subsection (a) of this Section prohibits a telecommunications carrier from electing to offer intrastate switched access service or intrastate dedicated special access at rates lower than its interstate rates.
- (f) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Section or Article, the Commission retains the authority to review, upon complaint by a telecommunications carrier, the provision of intrastate dedicated special access by another telecommunications carrier to determine whether or not it is being provided in an unreasonably discriminatory manner.

(220 ILCS 5/13-1200)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2005)

Sec. 13-1200. Repealer. This Article is repealed July 1, 2008 2005.

(Source: P.A. 92-22, eff. 6-30-01.)

(220 ILCS 5/13-402.1 rep.) (220 ILCS 5/13-408 rep.) (220 ILCS 5/13-409 rep.) (220 ILCS 5/13-502.5 rep.) (220 ILCS 5/13-503 rep.) (220 ILCS 5/13-505.3 rep.) (220 ILCS 5/13-505.5 rep.) (220 ILCS 5/13-505.6 rep.) (220 ILCS 5/13-505.7 rep.) (220 ILCS 5/13-508 rep.) (220 ILCS 5/13-508.1 rep.) (220 ILCS 5/13-518 rep.) (220 ILCS 5/13-802 rep.)

Section 10. The Public Utilities Act is amended by repealing Sections 13-402.1, 13-408, 13-409, 13-502.5, 13-503, 13-505.3, 13-505.4, 13-505.5, 13-505.6, 13-505.7, 13-508, 13-508.1, 13-518, and 13-802.

Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect upon becoming law.".

The motion prevailed.

And the amendment was adopted and ordered printed.

There being no further amendments, the foregoing Amendment No. 3 was ordered engrossed, and the bill, as amended was ordered to a third reading.

## READING OF BILLS OF THE SENATE A THIRD TIME

On motion of Senator Clayborne, **Senate Bill No. 1700**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 37; Nays 14; Present 7.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Haine Meeks Sieben Halvorson Munoz Sullivan, D. Bradv Peterson Trotter Burzynski Harmon Clayborne Hendon Petka Viverito Crottv Hunter Radogno Watson del Valle Jacobs Righter Woicik DeLeo Lightford Roskam Mr. President Dillard Link Rutherford Forby Maloney Sandoval Geo-Karis Martinez Shadid

The following voted in the negative:

CollinsLauzenRonenWilhelmiCullertonLuechtefeldSchoenbergWinkelDemuzioRaoulSilversteinGarrettRauschenbergerSyverson

The following voted present:

Bomke Jones, J. Pankau Sullivan, J. Dahl Jones, W. Risinger

Dun Jones, w. Risinger

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Clayborne, **Senate Bill No. 1701**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 59; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Martinez Schoenberg Bomke Geo-Karis Meeks Shadid Brady Haine Munoz Sieben Burzynski Halvorson Pankau Silverstein Clayborne Harmon Peterson Sullivan, D. Collins Hendon Petka Sullivan, J. Cronin Hunter Radogno Syverson Crotty Jacobs Raoul Trotter Viverito Cullerton Jones, J. Rauschenberger Jones, W. Watson Dahl Righter

del Valle Lauzen Risinger Wilhelmi DeLeo Ronen Winkel Lightford Demuzio Link Roskam Wojcik Dillard Luechtefeld Rutherford Mr. President Sandoval Forby Maloney

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein

On motion of Senator Silverstein, **Senate Bill No. 1708**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 58; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Meeks Shadid Bomke Geo-Karis Munoz Sieben Bradv Haine Pankau Silverstein Halvorson Peterson Sullivan, D. Burzynski Clayborne Harmon Petka Sullivan, J. Collins Hendon Syverson Radogno Cronin Hunter Raoul Trotter Crotty Jacobs Rauschenberger Viverito Cullerton Jones, J. Righter Watson Wilhelmi Dahl Jones, W. Risinger Lauzen del Valle Ronen Winkel DeLeo Lightford Roskam Wojcik Demuzio Link Rutherford Mr. President Luechtefeld Sandoval Dillard Forby Schoenberg Malonev

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Silverstein, **Senate Bill No. 1709**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 59; Navs None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Martinez Schoenberg Bomke Geo-Karis Meeks Shadid Brady Haine Munoz Sieben Burzynski Halvorson Pankau Silverstein Clayborne Harmon Peterson Sullivan, D. Collins Hendon Petka Sullivan, J. Cronin Hunter Syverson Radogno

[April 15, 2005]

Crotty Jacobs Raoul Trotter Cullerton Viverito Jones, J. Rauschenberger Dahl Jones, W. Righter Watson del Valle Lauzen Risinger Wilhelmi Lightford Ronen Winkel DeLeo Link Roskam Demuzio Woicik Dillard Luechtefeld Rutherford Mr. President Forby Maloney Sandoval

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Silverstein, **Senate Bill No. 1711**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 58; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Martinez Schoenberg Bomke Geo-Karis Meeks Shadid Brady Haine Munoz Sieben Burzvnski Halvorson Pankau Sullivan, D. Peterson Clayborne Harmon Sullivan, J. Collins Hendon Petka Syverson Cronin Troffer Hunter Radogno Crottv Jacobs Raoul Viverito Cullerton Jones, J. Rauschenberger Watson Dahl Jones, W. Righter Wilhelmi Risinger del Valle Lauzen Winkel DeLeo Lightford Ronen Woicik Demuzio Link Roskam Mr. President Dillard Luechtefeld Rutherford Forby Maloney Sandoval

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Silverstein, **Senate Bill No. 1712**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 58; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Martinez Shadid Sieben Bomke Geo-Karis Meeks Munoz Silverstein Brady Haine Pankau Halvorson Sullivan, D. Burzynski

Clayborne Harmon Peterson Sullivan, J. Collins Hendon Petka Syverson Cronin Hunter Radogno Trotter Crotty Jacobs Raoul Viverito Cullerton Watson Jones, J. Rauschenberger Righter Wilhelmi Dahl Jones, W. del Valle Risinger Winkel Lauzen DeLeo. Lightford Ronen Wojcik Demuzio Link Roskam Mr. President Dillard Luechtefeld Rutherford Forby Sandoval Maloney

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein

On motion of Senator Raoul, **Senate Bill No. 1715**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 59; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Martinez Schoenberg Bomke Geo-Karis Meeks Shadid Brady Haine Munoz Sieben Burzynski Halvorson Pankau Silverstein Clayborne Harmon Peterson Sullivan, D. Collins Hendon Petka Sullivan, J. Cronin Hunter Radogno Syverson Jacobs Trotter Crottv Raoul Cullerton Viverito Jones, J. Rauschenberger Dahl Jones, W. Righter Watson del Valle Risinger Wilhelmi Lauzen DeLeo Lightford Ronen Winkel Demuzio Link Rockam Woicik Dillard Luechtefeld Rutherford Mr. President Sandoval Forby Maloney

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

# SENATE BILL RECALLED

On motion of Senator Clayborne, **Senate Bill No. 1723** was recalled from the order of third reading to the order of second reading.

Senator Clayborne offered the following amendment and moved its adoption:

# AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO SENATE BILL 1723

AMENDMENT NO. 2\_. Amend Senate Bill 1723, AS AMENDED, by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

"Section 5. The Procurement of Domestic Products Act is amended by changing Sections 5, 10, 15, 25, and 30 as follows:

(30 ILCS 517/5)

Sec. 5. Definitions. As used in this Act:

"Manufactured in the United States" means, in the case of assembled articles, materials, or supplies, that design, final assembly, processing, packaging, testing, or other process that adds value, quality, or reliability occurs in the United States.

"Procured products" means assembled articles, materials, or supplies purchased by a State agency.

"Purchasing agency" means a State agency.

"State agency" means each agency, department authority, board, commission of the executive branch of State government, including each university, whether created by statute or by executive order of the Governor.

"United States" means the United States and any place subject to the jurisdiction of the United States. (Source: P.A. 93-954, eff. 1-1-05.)

(30 ILCS 517/10)

- Sec. 10. United States products. Each purchasing agency making purchases of procured products manufactured articles, materials, and supplies shall promote the purchase of and give preference to manufactured articles, materials, and supplies that have been manufactured in the United States. Procured products Manufactured articles, materials, and supplies manufactured in the United States shall be specified and purchased unless the purchasing agency determines that any of the following applies:
- (1) The procured products manufactured articles, materials, and supplies are not manufactured in the United States in reasonably available quantities.
- (2) The price of the procured products manufactured articles, materials, and supplies manufactured in the United States exceeds by an unreasonable

amount the price of available and comparable procured products manufactured articles, materials, and supplies manufactured outside the United States.

(3) The quality of the procured products manufactured articles, materials, and supplies manufactured in the United States is substantially less than

the quality of the comparably priced, available, and comparable procured products manufactured articles, materials, and supplies manufactured outside the United States.

- (4) The purchase of the procured products manufactured articles, materials, and supplies manufactured outside in the United States better serves is not in the public interest by helping to protect or save life, property, or the environment.
- (5) The purchase of the procured products manufactured articles, materials, or supplies is made in conjunction with contracts or offerings of

telecommunications, fire suppression, security systems, communications services, or Internet services, or information services.

(6) The purchase is of pharmaceutical products, drugs, biologics, vaccines, medical

devices used to provide medical and health care or treat disease or used in medical or research diagnostic tests, and medical nutritionals regulated by the Food and Drug Administration under the federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act.

In determining the price of procured products manufactured articles, materials, and supplies for purposes of this Section, consideration shall be given to the life-cycle cost <u>, including maintenance and</u> repair of those procured products manufactured articles, materials, and supplies.

(Source: P.A. 93-954, eff. 1-1-05.)

(30 ILCS 517/15)

Sec. 15. Contracts; prequalification.

- (a) Each contract awarded by a purchasing agency on or after the effective date of this Act through the use of the preference required under Section 10 shall contain the contractor's certification that procured products manufactured articles, materials, and supplies provided pursuant to the contract or a subcontract shall be manufactured in the United States.
- (b) Chief procurement officers, as provided in Section 20-45 of the Illinois Procurement Code, and the Capital Development Board, as provided in Section 30-20 of the Illinois Procurement Code, must promulgate rules for prequalification of suppliers and contractors under this Section.

(Source: P.A. 93-954, eff. 1-1-05.)

(30 ILCS 517/25)

Sec. 25. Penalties. If a contractor is awarded a contract through the use of a preference under this Act and knowingly supplies procured products manufactured articles, materials, or supplies under that contract that are not manufactured in the United States, then (i) the contractor is barred from obtaining any State contract for a period of 5 years after the violation is discovered by the purchasing agency, (ii) the purchasing agency may void the contract, and (iii) the purchasing agency may recover damages in a civil action in an amount 3 times the value of the preference.

(Source: P.A. 93-954, eff. 1-1-05.)

(30 ILCS 517/30)

Sec. 30. Capital Development Board; exemption. The Capital Development Board (CDB) is exempt from the requirements of this Act with respect to a specific project if (i) CDB determines that the project is too complex for the 5 major construction building trades to identify the numerous individual procured products articles, materials, and supplies required for the project or (ii) CDB determines that procured products the articles, materials, and supplies required for the project are too numerous or complex to be able to efficiently assess the sites where manufactured.

(Source: P.A. 93-954, eff. 1-1-05.)".

The motion prevailed.

And the amendment was adopted and ordered printed.

There being no further amendments, the foregoing Amendment No. 2 was ordered engrossed, and the bill, as amended was ordered to a third reading.

#### READING OF BILLS OF THE SENATE A THIRD TIME

On motion of Senator Clayborne, **Senate Bill No. 1723**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 59; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Garrett	Martinez	Schoenberg
Bomke	Geo-Karis	Meeks	Shadid
Brady	Haine	Munoz	Sieben
Burzynski	Halvorson	Pankau	Silverstein
Clayborne	Harmon	Peterson	Sullivan, D.
Collins	Hendon	Petka	Sullivan, J.
Cronin	Hunter	Radogno	Syverson
Crotty	Jacobs	Raoul	Trotter
Cullerton	Jones, J.	Rauschenberger	Viverito
Dahl	Jones, W.	Righter	Watson
del Valle	Lauzen	Risinger	Wilhelmi
DeLeo	Lightford	Ronen	Winkel
Demuzio	Link	Roskam	Wojcik
Dillard	Luechtefeld	Rutherford	Mr. President
Forby	Maloney	Sandoval	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Sandoval, **Senate Bill No. 1726**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 58; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Meeks Shadid Bomke Geo-Karis Munoz Sieben Haine Pankau Silverstein Brady Burzynski Halvorson Peterson Sullivan, D. Clayborne Harmon Petka Sullivan, J. Collins Hendon Radogno Syverson Cronin Hunter Raoul Trotter Crottv Rauschenberger Viverito Jacobs Cullerton Jones, J. Righter Watson Dahl Jones, W. Risinger Wilhelmi del Valle Winkel Lauzen Ronen Roskam DeLeo Lightford Woicik Demuzio Link Rutherford Mr. President Dillard Maloney Sandoval

Forby Martinez Schoenberg

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Sandoval, **Senate Bill No. 1727**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 36; Nays 15; Present 2.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Bomke Halvorson Petka Syverson Troffer Brady Hendon Radogno Hunter Watson Burzynski Rauschenberger Clayborne Wilhelmi Jacobs Risinger Dahl Lauzen Ronen Winkel del Valle Lightford Roskam Mr President Demuzio Link Sandoval Luechtefeld Forby Schoenberg Garrett Meeks Sieben Geo-Karis Peterson Sullivan, J.

The following voted in the negative:

Althoff Haine Maloney Rutherford
Cronin Harmon Pankau Shadid
Crotty Jones, J. Raoul Viverito
Dillard Jones, W. Righter

The following voted present:

Collins Munoz This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein

On motion of Senator Garrett, **Senate Bill No. 1734**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 59; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Martinez Schoenberg Bomke Geo-Karis Meeks Shadid Brady Haine Munoz Sieben Burzynski Halvorson Pankau Silverstein Clayborne Harmon Peterson Sullivan, D. Collins Hendon Petka Sullivan, J. Cronin Hunter Radogno Syverson Crotty Jacobs Raoul Trotter Cullerton Jones, J. Rauschenberger Viverito Jones, W. Watson Dahl Righter del Valle Lauzen Risinger Wilhelmi DeLeo Lightford Ronen Winkel Demuzio Link Roskam Woicik Dillard Rutherford Mr. President Luechtefeld Forby Maloney Sandoval

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein

On motion of Senator Link, **Senate Bill No. 1739**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 58; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Martinez Shadid Bomke Geo-Karis Meeks Sieben Brady Haine Munoz Silverstein Halvorson Pankau Sullivan, D. Burzvnski Clayborne Harmon Peterson Sullivan, J. Collins Hendon Petka Syverson Cronin Hunter Raoul Trotter Crottv Jacobs Rauschenberger Viverito Cullerton Jones, J. Watson Righter Dahl Jones, W. Risinger Wilhelmi del Valle Lauzen Ronen Winkel DeLeo Lightford Roskam Woicik Link Rutherford Mr. President Demuzio

Dillard Luechtefeld Sandoval Forby Maloney Schoenberg

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator J. Sullivan, **Senate Bill No. 1750**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 59; Navs None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Martinez Schoenberg Bomke Geo-Karis Meeks Shadid Brady Haine Munoz Sieben Burzynski Halvorson Pankau Silverstein Clayborne Harmon Peterson Sullivan, D. Collins Hendon Petka Sullivan, J. Cronin Hunter Radogno Syverson Crotty Jacobs Raoul Trotter Cullerton Rauschenberger Viverito Jones, J. Dahl Jones, W. Righter Watson del Valle Risinger Wilhelmi Lauzen DeLeo Lightford Ronen Winkel Demuzio Link Roskam Woicik Dillard Luechtefeld Rutherford Mr. President Sandoval Forby Maloney

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

## SENATE BILL RECALLED

On motion of Senator Collins, **Senate Bill No. 1752** was recalled from the order of third reading to the order of second reading.

Senator Collins offered the following amendment and moved its adoption:

# AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE BILL 1752

AMENDMENT NO. 1. Amend Senate Bill 1752 on page 1, line 5, by replacing "and 12-803" with ", 12-803, 12-805, and 12-808"; and

on page 3, line 32, after "or", by inserting "<u>under a wage deduction summons served on or after January 1, 2006</u>,"; and

on page 9, line 14, after "or", by inserting ", under a wage deduction summons served on or after January 1, 2006,"; and

on page 9, by replacing lines 25 and 26 with the following:

"(735 ILCS 5/12-805) (from Ch. 110, par. 12-805)

Sec. 12-805. Summons; Issuance.

(a) Upon the filing by a judgment creditor, its attorney or other designee of (1) an affidavit that the affiant believes any person is indebted to the judgment debtor for wages due or to become due, as provided in Part 8 of Article XII of this Act, and includes the last address of the judgment debtor known to the affiant as well as the name of the judgment debtor, and a certification by the judgment creditor or his attorney that, before filing the affidavit, the wage deduction notice has been mailed to the judgment debtor by first class mail at the judgment debtor's last known address, and (2) written interrogatories to be answered by the employer with respect to the indebtedness, the clerk of the court in which the judgment was entered shall issue summons against the person named in the affidavit as employer commanding the employer to appear in the court and answer the interrogatories in writing under oath. The interrogatories shall elicit all the information necessary to determine the proper amount of non-exempt wages. The interrogatories shall require that the employer certify that a copy of the completed interrogatories as specified in subsection (c) of Section 12-808 has been mailed or hand delivered to the judgment debtor and shall be in a form consistent with local court rules. The summons shall further command federal agency employers, upon effective service of summons pursuant to 5 USC 5520a, to commence to pay over deducted wages in accordance with Section 12-808. The summons shall be in a form consistent with local court rules. The summons shall be accompanied by a copy of the underlying judgment or a certification by the clerk of the court that entered the judgment, or by the attorney for the judgment creditor, setting forth the date and amount of the judgment, allowable costs expended, interest accumulated, credits paid by or on behalf of the judgment debtor and the balance due the judgment creditor, and one copy of a wage deduction notice in substantially the following form:

"WAGE DEDUCTION NOTICE

(Name and address of Court)

Name of Case: (Name of Judgment Creditor),

Judgment Creditor v.

(Name of Judgment Debtor),

Judgment Debtor.

Address of Judgment Debtor: (Insert last known address)

Name and Address of Attorney for Judgment Creditor or of Judgment Creditor (if no attorney is listed): (Insert name and address)

Amount of Judgment: \$...... Employer: (Name of Employer)

Return Date: (Insert return date specified in summons)

NOTICE: The court shall be asked to issue a wage deduction summons against the employer named above for wages due or about to become due to you. The wage deduction summons may be issued on the basis of a judgment against you in favor of the judgment creditor in the amount stated above.

The amount of wages that may be deducted is limited by federal and Illinois law.

- (1) Under Illinois law, the amount of wages that may be deducted is limited to the
- lesser of (i) 15% of gross weekly wages or (ii) the amount by which disposable earnings for a week exceed the total of 45 times the federal minimum hourly wage or, under a wage deduction summons served on or after January 1, 2006, the minimum hourly wage prescribed by Section 4 of the Minimum Wage Law, whichever is greater.
- (2) Under federal law, the amount of wages that may be deducted is limited to the lesser of (i) 25% of disposable earnings for a week or (ii) the amount by which disposable earnings for a week exceed 30 times the federal minimum hourly wage.
  - (3) Pension and retirement benefits and refunds may be claimed as exempt from wage deduction under Illinois law.

You have the right to request a hearing before the court to dispute the wage deduction because the wages are exempt. To obtain a hearing in counties with a population of 1,000,000 or more, you must notify the Clerk of the Court in person and in writing at (insert address of Clerk) before the Return Date specified above or appear in court on the date and time on that Return Date. To obtain a hearing in counties with a population of less than 1,000,000, you must notify the Clerk of the Court in writing at (insert address of clerk) on or before the Return Date specified above. The Clerk of the Court will provide a hearing date and the necessary forms that must be prepared by you or your attorney and sent to the judgment creditor and the employer, or their attorney, regarding the time and location of the hearing. This notice may be sent by regular first class mail."

(b) In a county with a population of less than 1,000,000, unless otherwise provided by circuit court rule, at the request of the judgment creditor or his or her attorney and instead of personal service, service of a summons for a wage deduction may be made as follows:

- (1) For each employer to be served, the judgment creditor or his or her attorney shall pay to the clerk of the court a fee of \$2, plus the cost of mailing, and furnish to the clerk an original and one copy of a summons, an original and one copy of the interrogatories and an affidavit setting forth the employer's mailing address, an original and one copy of the wage deduction notice required by subsection (a) of this Section, and a copy of the judgment or certification described in subsection (a) of this Section. The original judgment shall be retained by the clerk.
- (2) The clerk shall mail to the employer, at the address appearing in the affidavit, the copy of the judgment or certification described in subsection (a) of this Section, the summons, the interrogatories, and the wage deduction notice required by subsection (a) of this Section, by certified or registered mail, return receipt requested, showing to whom delivered and the date and address of delivery. This Mailing shall be mailed on a "restricted delivery" basis when service is directed to a natural person. The envelope and return receipt shall bear the return address of the clerk, and the return receipt shall be stamped with the docket number of the case. The receipt for certified or registered mail shall state the name and address of the addressee, the date of the mailing, shall identify the documents mailed, and shall be attached to the original summons.
- (3) The return receipt must be attached to the original summons and, if it shows delivery at least 3 days before the return date, shall constitute proof of service of any documents identified on the return receipt as having been mailed.
  - (4) The clerk shall note the fact of service in a permanent record.
- (c) Instead of personal service, a summons for a wage deduction may be served and returned in the manner provided by Supreme Court rule for service, otherwise than by publication, of a notice for additional relief upon a party in default.

(Source: P.A. 89-28, eff. 6-23-95; 90-677, eff. 1-1-99.)

(735 ILCS 5/12-808) (from Ch. 110, par. 12-808)

Sec. 12-808. Duty of employer.

- (a) An employer served as herein provided shall pay the employee the amount of his or her exempt wages.
- (b) To the extent of the amount due upon the judgment and costs, the employer shall hold, subject to order of court, any non-exempt wages due or which subsequently come due. The judgment or balance due thereon is a lien on wages due at the time of the service of summons, and such lien shall continue as to subsequent earnings until the total amount due upon the judgment and costs is paid, except that such lien on subsequent earnings shall terminate sooner if the employment relationship is terminated or if the underlying judgment is vacated or modified.
- (b-5) If the employer is a federal agency employer and the creditor is represented by an attorney, then the employer, upon service of summons and to the extent of the amount due upon the judgment and costs, shall commence to pay over to the attorney for the judgment creditor any non-exempt wages due or that subsequently come due. The attorney for the judgment creditor shall thereafter hold the deducted wages subject to further order of the court and shall make answer to the court regarding amounts received from the federal agency employer. The federal agency employer's periodic payments shall be considered a sufficient answer to the interrogatories.
- (c) Except as provided in subsection (b-5), the employer shall file, on or before the return date or within the further time that the court for cause may allow, a written answer under oath to the interrogatories, setting forth the amount due as wages to the judgment debtor for the payroll periods ending immediately prior to the service of the summons and a summary of the computation used to determine the amount of non-exempt wages. Except as provided in subsection (b-5), the employer shall mail by first class mail or hand deliver a copy of the answer to the judgment debtor at the address specified in the affidavit filed under Section 12-805 of this Act, or at any other address or location of the judgment debtor known to the employer.

A lien obtained hereunder shall have priority over any subsequent lien obtained hereunder, except that liens for the support of a spouse or dependent children shall have priority over all other liens obtained hereunder. Subsequent summonses shall be effective in the order in which they are served.

- (d) The Illinois Supreme Court may by rule allow an employer to file answers to interrogatories by facsimile transmission.
- (e) Pursuant to answer under oath to the interrogatories by the employer, an order shall be entered compelling the employer to deduct from wages of the judgment debtor subject to collection under a deduction order an amount not to exceed the lesser of (i) 15% of the gross amount of the wages or (ii) the amount by which disposable earnings for a week exceed 45 times the Federal Minimum Hourly Wage prescribed by Section 206(a)(1) of Title 29 of the United States Code, as amended, in effect at the time the amounts are payable, for each pay period in which statutory exemptions under Section 12-804

and child support garnishments, if any, leave funds to be remitted or, under a wage deduction summons served on or after January 1, 2006, the minimum hourly wage prescribed by Section 4 of the Minimum Wage Law, whichever is greater. The order shall further provide that deducted wages shall be remitted to the creditor or creditor's attorney on a monthly basis.

(Source: P.A. 89-28, eff. 6-23-95; 90-677, eff. 1-1-99.)

Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect January 1, 2006.".

The motion prevailed.

And the amendment was adopted and ordered printed.

There being no further amendments, the foregoing Amendment No. 1 was ordered engrossed, and the bill, as amended was ordered to a third reading.

### READING OF BILLS OF THE SENATE A THIRD TIME

On motion of Senator Collins, **Senate Bill No. 1752**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 58; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Garrett	Martinez	Shadid
Bomke	Geo-Karis	Meeks	Sieben
Brady	Haine	Munoz	Silverstein
Burzynski	Halvorson	Pankau	Sullivan, D.
Clayborne	Harmon	Peterson	Sullivan, J.
Collins	Hendon	Petka	Syverson
Cronin	Hunter	Radogno	Trotter
Crotty	Jacobs	Raoul	Viverito
Cullerton	Jones, J.	Rauschenberger	Watson
Dahl	Jones, W.	Righter	Wilhelmi
del Valle	Lauzen	Ronen	Winkel
DeLeo	Lightford	Roskam	Wojcik
Demuzio	Link	Rutherford	Mr. President
Dillard	Luechtefeld	Sandoval	
Forby	Maloney	Schoenberg	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Halvorson, **Senate Bill No. 1753**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 59; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Garrett	Martinez	Schoenberg
Bomke	Geo-Karis	Meeks	Shadid
Brady	Haine	Munoz	Sieben

[April 15, 2005]

Burzynski Halvorson Pankau Silverstein Clayborne Peterson Harmon Sullivan, D. Collins Hendon Petka Sullivan, J. Cronin Hunter Radogno Syverson Raoul Crotty Jacobs Trotter Cullerton Jones, J. Rauschenberger Viverito Dahl Jones, W. Righter Watson del Valle Lauzen Risinger Wilhelmi DeLeo Lightford Ronen Winkel Link Roskam Wojcik Demuzio Dillard Luechtefeld Rutherford Mr. President Forby Maloney Sandoval

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator DeLeo, **Senate Bill No. 1770**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 59; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Martinez Schoenberg Bomke Geo-Karis Meeks Shadid Bradv Haine Munoz Sieben Burzynski Halvorson Pankau Silverstein Clayborne Harmon Peterson Sullivan, D. Collins Hendon Petka Sullivan, J. Radogno Cronin Hunter Syverson Crotty Jacobs Raoul Trotter Viverito Cullerton Jones, J. Rauschenberger Dahl Jones, W. Righter Watson del Valle Lauzen Risinger Wilhelmi Winkel DeLeo Lightford Ronen Demuzio Link Roskam Wojcik Dillard Luechtefeld Rutherford Mr. President Forby Maloney Sandoval

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator DeLeo, **Senate Bill No. 1771**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 58; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Martinez Schoenberg Bomke Geo-Karis Meeks Sieben Brady Haine Munoz Silverstein Burzynski Halvorson Pankau Sullivan, D. Clayborne Peterson Harmon Sullivan, J. Collins Hendon Petka Syverson Cronin Hunter Radogno Trotter Crotty Jacobs Raoul Viverito Cullerton Jones, J. Rauschenberger Watson Dahl Jones, W. Righter Wilhelmi Risinger del Valle Winkel Lauzen DeLeo Lightford Ronen Woicik Roskam Mr. President Demuzio Link Luechtefeld Rutherford

Dillard Forby Sandoval Maloney

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein

On motion of Senator Lauzen, Senate Bill No. 1776, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 57; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Martinez Sieben Bomke Geo-Karis Meeks Silverstein Brady Haine Munoz Sullivan, D. Burzynski Halvorson Pankau Sullivan, J. Harmon Peterson Clavborne Syverson Collins Hendon Petka Trotter Cronin Hunter Raoul Viverito Crotty Jacobs Righter Watson Wilhelmi Cullerton Jones, J. Risinger Jones, W. Dahl Ronen Winkel del Valle Lauzen Roskam Wojcik DeLeo Lightford Rutherford Mr. President Demuzio Link Sandoval Dillard Luechtefeld Schoenberg Forby Maloney Shadid

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein

On motion of Senator Rutherford, Senate Bill No. 1777, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the negative by the following vote:

Yeas 10; Nays 44; Present 1.

[April 15, 2005]

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Peterson Risinger Trotter
Dillard Radogno Rutherford
Geo-Karis Righter Sullivan, D.

The following voted in the negative:

Link Sieben Bomke Forby Brady Garrett Luechtefeld Silverstein Burzynski Haine Maloney Sullivan, J. Clayborne Halvorson Martinez Syverson Collins Meeks Viverito Harmon Cronin Wilhelmi Hendon Munoz Crotty Hunter Pankau Winkel Cullerton Jacobs Raoul Mr President Dahl Jones, J. Ronen del Valle Jones, W. Sandoval DeLeo Lauzen Schoenberg Demuzio Lightford Shadid

The following voted present:

### Roskam

Forby

This bill, having failed to receive the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared lost, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

On motion of Senator Rutherford, **Senate Bill No. 1778**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the negative by the following vote:

Yeas 25; Nays 32.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Hendon Radogno Trotter Bomke Risinger Watson Jones, J. Jones, W. Roskam Winkel Brady Cronin Lauzen Rutherford Wojcik Dahl Luechtefeld Sieben Dillard Sullivan, D. Peterson Geo-Karis Petka Syverson

The following voted in the negative:

Maloney

Silverstein Burzynski Garrett Martinez Clayborne Haine Meeks Sullivan, J. Collins Halvorson Munoz Viverito Crotty Harmon Pankau Wilhelmi Cullerton Hunter Raoul Mr. President del Valle Jacobs Ronen DeLeo. Lightford Sandoval Demuzio Link Schoenberg

Shadid

This bill, having failed to receive the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared lost, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

On motion of Senator Rutherford, **Senate Bill No. 1781**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the negative by the following vote:

Yeas 27; Nays 30.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Geo-Karis Peterson Sullivan, D. Bomke Hendon Petka Syverson Brady Jones, J. Righter Trotter Cronin Jones, W. Watson Risinger Dahl Lauzen Roskam Winkel Dillard Luechtefeld Rutherford Wojcik Garrett Pankau Sieben

The following voted in the negative:

Burzynski Shadid Forby Maloney Clayborne Martinez Silverstein Haine Collins Halvorson Meeks Sullivan, J. Crotty Munoz Viverito Harmon Cullerton Hunter Raoul Wilhelmi del Valle Ronen Mr. President Jacobs DeLeo Lightford Sandoval Demuzio Link Schoenberg

This bill, having failed to receive the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared lost, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

On motion of Senator Dillard, **Senate Bill No. 1787**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 57; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Martinez Shadid Bomke Geo-Karis Meeks Sieben Munoz Silverstein Brady Haine Burzvnski Halvorson Pankau Sullivan, D. Clayborne Harmon Peterson Sullivan, J. Collins Hendon Petka Syverson Cronin Hunter Radogno Trotter Crottv Jacobs Raoul Viverito Cullerton Jones, J. Righter Watson Dahl Jones, W. Risinger Wilhelmi del Valle Lauzen Ronen Winkel DeLeo Lightford Roskam Wojcik Link Rutherford Demuzio

[April 15, 2005]

Dillard Luechtefeld Sandoval Forby Maloney Schoenberg

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Watson, **Senate Bill No. 1821**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 46; Navs 4; Present 2.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Halvorson Pankau Bomke Hendon Peterson Burzynski Hunter Petka Clayborne Jacobs Radogno Dahl Jones, J. Raoul del Valle Jones, W. Righter DeLeo Lauzen Risinger Demuzio Lightford Ronen Luechtefeld Roskam Forby Garrett Martinez Rutherford Geo-Karis Meeks Sandoval Haine Munoz Shadid

Sieben
Silverstein
Sullivan, J.
Trotter
Viverito
Watson
Wilhelmi
Winkel
Wojcik
Mr. President

The following voted in the negative:

Collins Schoenberg Maloney Sullivan, D.

The following voted present:

Cullerton Harmon

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Link, Senate Bill No. 1825, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 56; Nays 2.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Geo-Karis Meeks Silverstein
Bomke Haine Munoz Sullivan, D.
Brady Halvorson Pankau Sullivan, J.

Syverson

Trotter

Viverito

Watson

Winkel

Wojcik

Mr. President

Wilhelmi

Burzynski Harmon Peterson Clayborne Hendon Petka Collins Hunter Radogno Cronin Jacobs Raoul Righter Crotty Jones, J. Ronen Cullerton Jones, W. del Valle Roskam Lauzen DeLeo Lightford Rutherford Demuzio Link Sandoval Dillard Luechtefeld Schoenberg Forby Shadid Maloney Garrett Martinez Sieben

The following voted in the negative:

Dahl Risinger

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Cullerton, **Senate Bill No. 1829**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the negative by the following vote:

Yeas 11; Nays 46; Present 1.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Collins DeLeo Meeks Trotter
Cullerton Hendon Raoul Mr. President
del Valle Hunter Ronen

The following voted in the negative:

Althoff Geo-Karis Sieben Munoz Bomke Pankau Silverstein Halvorson Brady Harmon Peterson Sullivan, D. Burzynski Jacobs Petka Sullivan, J. Clayborne Jones, J. Radogno Syverson Cronin Jones, W. Righter Viverito Crottv Risinger Watson Lauzen Dahl Lightford Roskam Wilhelmi Demuzio Link Rutherford Winkel Dillard Luechtefeld Sandoval Wojcik Forby Malonev Schoenberg Garrett Martinez Shadid

The following voted present:

## Haine

This bill, having failed to receive the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared lost, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

On motion of Senator del Valle, **Senate Bill No. 1842**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 43; Nays 14.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Martinez Schoenberg Clayborne Haine Meeks Shadid Collins Halvorson Munoz Silverstein Peterson Cronin Harmon Sullivan, D. Crottv Hendon Petka Sullivan, J. Cullerton Hunter Radogno Trotter del Valle Jacobs Raoul Viverito DeLeo Lightford Ronen Watson Demuzio Link Roskam Wilhelmi Dillard Luechtefeld Rutherford Mr. President Maloney Sandoval Forby

The following voted in the negative:

Bomke Jones, J. Righter Winkel Brady Jones, W. Risinger Wojcik Burzynski Lauzen Sieben

Dahl Pankau Syverson

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Dillard, **Senate Bill No. 1843**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 55; Nays 1.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Meeks Shadid Forby Bomke Garrett Munoz Sieben Geo-Karis Pankau Silverstein Brady Haine Peterson Sullivan, D. Burzynski Clayborne Halvorson Petka Sullivan, J. Collins Harmon Radogno Syverson Cronin Hendon Raoul Trotter Crotty Hunter Righter Viverito Risinger Watson Cullerton Jacobs Dahl Jones, J. Ronen Wilhelmi del Valle Lightford Roskam Winkel DeLeo Luechtefeld Rutherford Wojcik Demuzio Maloney Sandoval Mr. President Dillard Martinez Schoenberg

The following voted in the negative:

Jones, W.

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein

On motion of Senator Dillard, **Senate Bill No. 1844**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the negative by the following vote:

Sullivan, D. Viverito Watson Winkel

Yeas 25; Nays 33.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Lauzen	Righter
Brady	Luechtefeld	Risinger
Cronin	Martinez	Roskam
Crotty	Pankau	Rutherford
Dillard	Peterson	Sandoval
Geo-Karis	Petka	Shadid
Jones, J.	Radogno	Sieben

The following voted in the negative:

Bomke	Forby	Lightford	Sullivan, J.
Burzynski	Garrett	Link	Syverson
Clayborne	Haine	Maloney	Trotter
Collins	Halvorson	Meeks	Wilhelmi
Cullerton	Harmon	Munoz	Wojcik
Dahl	Hendon	Raoul	Mr. President
del Valle	Hunter	Ronen	
DeLeo	Jacobs	Schoenberg	
Demuzio	Jones, W.	Silverstein	

This bill, having failed to receive the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared lost, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

On motion of Senator Lightford, **Senate Bill No. 1849**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 56; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Garrett	Martinez	Sieben
Bomke	Geo-Karis	Meeks	Silverstein
Brady	Haine	Munoz	Sullivan, D.
Burzynski	Halvorson	Pankau	Sullivan, J.
Clayborne	Harmon	Peterson	Syverson
Collins	Hendon	Petka	Trotter
Cronin	Hunter	Radogno	Viverito

[April 15, 2005]

Crotty Jacobs Raoul Watson Wilhelmi Cullerton Jones, J. Risinger Dahl Jones, W. Ronen Wojcik del Valle Lauzen Roskam Mr. President Rutherford DeLeo Lightford Demuzio Link Sandoval Dillard Luechtefeld Schoenberg Forby Maloney Shadid

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Lightford, **Senate Bill No. 1851**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 40; Nays 17.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Geo-Karis Meeks Sieben Clayborne Haine Munoz Silverstein Collins Halvorson Peterson Sullivan, J. Crottv Harmon Petka Trotter Cullerton Hendon Viverito Radogno del Valle Hunter Raoul Wilhelmi DeLeo Jacobs Ronen Mr President Demuzio Lightford Rutherford Sandoval Dillard Link Forby Maloney Schoenberg Garrett Martinez Shadid

The following voted in the negative:

Bomke Jones, J. Righter Watson Brady Jones, W. Risinger Wojcik Roskam Burzynski Lauzen Cronin Luechtefeld Sullivan, D. Dahl Pankau Syverson

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Lightford, **Senate Bill No. 1853**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 38; Nays 18; Present 1.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Clayborne Geo-Karis Maloney Shadid Collins Silverstein Haine Martinez Crotty Halvorson Meeks Sullivan, D. Cullerton Harmon Munoz Sullivan, J. del Valle Hendon Trotter Peterson DeLeo Hunter Radogno Viverito Demuzio Jacobs Raoul Wilhelmi Dillard Lightford Ronen Mr. President Forby Link Sandoval

Garrett Luechtefeld Schoenberg

Petka

The following voted in the negative:

Althoff Jones, J. Righter Watson
Bomke Jones, W. Risinger Winkel
Brady Lauzen Roskam Wojcik
Burzynski Pankau Rutherford

The following voted present:

Dahl

Cronin

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Sieben

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Clayborne, **Senate Bill No. 1874**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 57; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Geo-Karis Meeks Sieben Bomke Munoz Silverstein Haine Pankau Brady Halvorson Sullivan, D. Burzynski Harmon Peterson Sullivan, J. Clayborne Hendon Petka Syverson Collins Hunter Radogno Trotter Crotty Jacobs Raoul Viverito Cullerton Righter Watson Jones, J. Dahl Jones, W. Wilhelmi Risinger del Valle Ronen Winkel Lauzen DeLeo Lightford Roskam Wojcik Demuzio Rutherford Mr. President Link Dillard Luechtefeld Sandoval Forby Maloney Schoenberg Garrett Martinez Shadid

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

### SENATE BILL RECALLED

On motion of Senator Cullerton, **Senate Bill No. 1883** was recalled from the order of third reading to the order of second reading.

Senator Cullerton offered the following amendment and moved its adoption:

### **AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE BILL 1883**

AMENDMENT NO. 1. Amend Senate Bill 1883 on page 2, line 32, after "Commissions", by inserting "or the giving of information, training, or advocacy or assistance in any meetings or administrative proceedings held pursuant to the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, the federal Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, or the federal Social Security Act, to the extent allowed by those laws or the federal regulations or State statutes implementing those laws".

The motion prevailed.

And the amendment was adopted and ordered printed.

Senator Cullerton offered the following amendment and moved its adoption:

# AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO SENATE BILL 1883

AMENDMENT NO. 2\_. Amend Senate Bill 1883 on page 1, by replacing lines 15 and 16 with "legal services."; and

on page 2, line 22, after "construed to", by inserting "conflict with, amend, or modify Section 5 of the Corporation Practice of Law Prohibition Act or".

The motion prevailed.

And the amendment was adopted and ordered printed.

There being no further amendments, the foregoing Amendments numbered 1 and 2 were ordered engrossed, and the bill, as amended was ordered to a third reading.

# READING OF BILLS OF THE SENATE A THIRD TIME

On motion of Senator Cullerton, **Senate Bill No. 1883**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 58; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Garrett	Martinez	Shadid
Bomke	Geo-Karis	Meeks	Sieben
Brady	Haine	Munoz	Silverstein
Burzynski	Halvorson	Pankau	Sullivan, D.
Clayborne	Harmon	Peterson	Sullivan, J.
Collins	Hendon	Petka	Syverson
Cronin	Hunter	Radogno	Trotter
Crotty	Jacobs	Raoul	Viverito
Cullerton	Jones, J.	Righter	Watson
Dahl	Jones, W.	Risinger	Wilhelmi
del Valle	Lauzen	Ronen	Winkel
DeLeo	Lightford	Roskam	Wojcik
Demuzio	Link	Rutherford	Mr. President
Dillard	Luechtefeld	Sandoval	
Forby	Maloney	Schoenberg	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Winkel, Senate Bill No. 1884, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 58; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Martinez Bomke Geo-Karis Meeks Brady Haine Munoz Burzynski Halvorson Pankau Clayborne Harmon Peterson Collins Hendon Petka Cronin Hunter Radogno Crotty Jacobs Raoul Cullerton Jones, J. Righter Jones, W. Dahl Risinger del Valle Lauzen Ronen DeLeo Roskam Lightford Demuzio Link Rutherford Dillard Luechtefeld Sandoval Forby Maloney Schoenberg

Sieben Silverstein Sullivan, D. Sullivan, J. Syverson Trotter Viverito Watson Wilhelmi Winkel Wojcik Mr. President

Shadid

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein

On motion of Senator Silverstein, Senate Bill No. 1893, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 58; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Bomke Geo-Karis Brady Haine Halvorson Burzvnski Clayborne Harmon Collins Hendon Cronin Hunter Crottv Jacobs Cullerton Jones, J. Dahl Jones, W. del Valle Lauzen DeLeo Lightford Link Demuzio

Martinez Meeks Munoz Pankau Peterson Petka Radogno Raoul Righter Risinger Ronen Roskam Rutherford Shadid Sieben Silverstein Sullivan, D. Sullivan, J. Syverson Trotter Viverito Watson Wilhelmi Winkel Woicik

Mr. President

Dillard Luechtefeld Sandoval Forby Maloney Schoenberg

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Brady, **Senate Bill No. 1900**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the negative by the following vote:

Yeas 22; Navs 30.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Jones, J. Petka Syverson Bomke Brady Lauzen Radogno Watson Burzynski Link Righter Winkel Cullerton Luechtefeld Risinger Wojcik Dahl Meeks Roskam Geo-Karis Peterson Sieben

The following voted in the negative:

Lightford

Clayborne Garrett Silverstein Malonev Collins Haine Martinez Sullivan, D. Crotty Halvorson Munoz Trotter del Valle Pankau Harmon Viverito DeLeo Hendon Raoul Wilhelmi Demuzio Mr. President Hunter Ronen Dillard Jacobs Schoenberg

This bill, having failed to receive the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared lost, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Shadid

On motion of Senator Cullerton, **Senate Bill No. 1907**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 56; Nays None.

Forby

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Geo-Karis Meeks Silverstein Bomke Haine Munoz Sullivan, D. Brady Halvorson Pankau Sullivan, J. Burzynski Harmon Petka Syverson Clayborne Hendon Radogno Trotter Collins Hunter Raoul Viverito Crotty Jacobs Righter Watson Cullerton Jones, J. Risinger Wilhelmi Jones, W. Dahl Ronen Winkel del Valle Lauzen Roskam Wojcik

DeLeo Lightford Rutherford Mr. President Sandoval Demuzio Link Dillard Luechtefeld Schoenberg Forby Shadid

Maloney Garrett Martinez

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Sieben

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Haine, Senate Bill No. 1909, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 54; Nays 1.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Geo-Karis Martinez Shadid Bomke Haine Meeks Sieben Brady Halvorson Munoz Silverstein Pankau Sullivan, D. Burzynski Harmon Clayborne Hendon Peterson Sullivan, J. Collins Syverson Hunter Petka Crottv Jacobs Trotter Radogno Cullerton Jones, J. Raoul Viverito Dahl Jones, W. Righter Watson Wilhelmi del Valle Lauzen Risinger DeLeo Lightford Ronen Woicik Roskam Mr. President Demuzio Link Forby Luechtefeld Rutherford

Maloney Garrett Schoenberg

The following voted in the negative:

# Sandoval

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Haine, Senate Bill No. 1910, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 41; Nays 8; Present 1.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Haine Pankau Sullivan, D. Brady Harmon Peterson Sullivan, J. Clayborne Hendon Petka Trotter Collins Hunter Viverito Radogno

[April 15, 2005]

Crotty Jones, W. Raoul Cullerton Lightford Risinger del Valle Link Ronen DeLeo Luechtefeld Roskam Dillard Rutherford Maloney Shadid Forby Meeks Geo-Karis Munoz Silverstein

The following voted in the negative:

Burzynski Lauzen Sandoval Demuzio Martinez Syverson Jones, J. Righter

The following voted present:

Dahl

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Haine, **Senate Bill No. 1911**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 57; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Geo-Karis Meeks Bomke Munoz Haine Halvorson Pankau Bradv Burzynski Harmon Peterson Clayborne Hendon Petka Collins Hunter Radogno Crotty Jacobs Raoul Righter Cullerton Jones, J. Dahl Jones, W. Risinger del Valle Lauzen Ronen Roskam DeLeo Lightford Demuzio Link Rutherford Dillard Luechtefeld Sandoval Forby Maloney Schoenberg Garrett Shadid Martinez

Sieben Silverstein Sullivan, D. Sullivan, J. Syverson Trotter Viverito Watson Wilhelmi Winkel Wojcik Mr. President

Watson Wilhelmi

Wojcik

Mr. President

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Haine, **Senate Bill No. 1914**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 50; Nays 5; Present 1.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Forby Bomke Geo-Karis Brady Haine Burzynski Halvorson Clayborne Harmon Collins Hendon Crotty Hunter Cullerton Jones, W. Dahl Lauzen del Valle Lightford DeLeo Link

Munoz Pankau Peterson Radogno Raoul Righter Risinger Ronen Roskam Rutherford Schoenberg Shadid

Sieben

Sandoval

Silverstein Sullivan, D. Sullivan, J. Syverson Trotter Viverito Watson Wilhelmi Winkel Wojcik Mr. President

The following voted in the negative:

Garrett Jones, J. Jacobs Martinez

Maloney

Meeks

The following voted present:

### Luechtefeld

Demuzio

Dillard

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

At the hour of 1:57 o'clock p.m., Senator Link presiding.

On motion of Senator Halvorson, **Senate Bill No. 1931**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 56; Nays None; Present 1.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff
Bomke
Brady
Burzynski
Clayborne
Collins
Crotty
Cullerton
Dahl
del Valle
DeLeo
Demuzio

Haine Halvorson Harmon Hendon Hunter Jacobs Jones, W. Lauzen Lightford Link Luechtefeld

Maloney

Geo-Karis

Munoz Pankau Peterson Petka Radogno Raoul Righter Risinger Ronen

Roskam

Rutherford

Schoenberg

Sandoval

Silverstein Sullivan, D. Sullivan, J. Syverson Trotter Viverito Watson Wilhelmi Winkel Wojcik Mr. President

[April 15, 2005]

Dillard

Forby Martinez Shadid Garrett Meeks Sieben

The following voted present:

Jones, J.

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Pankau, **Senate Bill No. 1935**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 56; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Geo-Karis Meeks Bomke Haine Munoz Brady Halvorson Pankau Burzynski Harmon Peterson Clayborne Hendon Petka Collins Hunter Radogno Crotty Jacobs Raoul Cullerton Jones, J. Righter Dahl Jones, W. Risinger Lauzen del Valle Ronen DeLeo Lightford Roskam Demuzio Link Rutherford Luechtefeld Dillard Sandoval Forby Malonev Schoenberg Shadid Garrett Martinez

Sullivan, D. Sullivan, J. Syverson Trotter Viverito Watson Wilhelmi Wojcik Mr. President

Sieben

Silverstein

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Dillard, **Senate Bill No. 1943**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 57; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Geo-Karis Meeks Sieben Bomke Haine Munoz Silverstein Brady Halvorson Pankau Sullivan, D. Burzynski Harmon Peterson Sullivan, J. Clayborne Hendon Syverson Petka Collins Trotter Hunter Radogno

Crotty Jacobs Raoul Viverito Righter Watson Cullerton Jones, J. Dahl Jones, W. Risinger Wilhelmi del Valle Lauzen Ronen Winkel Roskam Wojcik DeLeo Lightford Link Rutherford Mr. President Demuzio Dillard Luechtefeld Sandoval Forby Maloney Schoenberg Garrett Martinez Shadid

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein

On motion of Senator Lauzen, **Senate Bill No. 1944**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 57; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Geo-Karis Meeks Bomke Haine Munoz Bradv Halvorson Pankau Harmon Peterson Burzynski Clayborne Hendon Petka Collins Hunter Radogno Crottv Jacobs Raoul Cullerton Righter Jones, J. Dahl Jones, W. Risinger del Valle Lauzen Ronen Roskam DeLeo Lightford Rutherford Demuzio Link Dillard Luechtefeld Sandoval Forby Malonev Schoenberg Martinez Shadid Garrett

Sieben Silverstein Sullivan, D. Sullivan, J. Syverson Trotter Viverito Watson Wilhelmi Winkel Wojcik Mr. President

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Dillard, **Senate Bill No. 1948**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

Pending roll call on motion of Senator Dillard, further consideration of **Senate Bill No. 1948** was postponed.

On motion of Senator Schoenberg, **Senate Bill No. 1964**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 56; Nays None.

[April 15, 2005]

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Geo-Karis Munoz Bomke Haine Pankau Peterson Brady Halvorson Harmon Petka Burzynski Radogno Clayborne Hendon Collins Hunter Raoul Crotty Jacobs Righter Cullerton Jones, J. Risinger Dahl Jones, W. Ronen del Valle Lauzen Roskam DeLeo Lightford Rutherford Link Demuzio Sandoval Dillard Luechtefeld Schoenberg Forby Maloney Shadid Sieben Garrett Meeks

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Lauzen, **Senate Bill No. 1972**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 56; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Haine Munoz Bomke Pankau Halvorson Harmon Peterson Bradv Burzynski Hendon Petka Clayborne Hunter Radogno Collins Jacobs Raoul Crotty Jones, J. Righter Cullerton Jones, W. Risinger Dahl Lauzen Ronen del Valle Lightford Roskam DeLeo Link Rutherford Demuzio Luechtefeld Sandoval Forby Malonev Schoenberg Garrett Martinez Shadid Geo-Karis Meeks Sieben

Silverstein Sullivan, D. Sullivan, J. Syverson Trotter Viverito Watson Wilhelmi Winkel Wojcik Mr. President

Silverstein

Sullivan, D.

Sullivan, J.

Syverson

Trotter

Viverito

Watson

Winkel

Wojcik Mr. President

Wilhelmi

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Righter, **Senate Bill No. 1983**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 57; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Geo-Karis Meeks Bomke Haine Munoz Brady Halvorson Pankau Burzynski Harmon Peterson Clayborne Hendon Petka Collins Hunter Radogno Crotty Raoul Jacobs Cullerton Jones, J. Righter Dahl Jones, W. Risinger del Valle Lauzen Ronen DeLeo Lightford Roskam Demuzio Link Rutherford Dillard Luechtefeld Sandoval Forby Maloney Schoenberg Garrett Martinez Shadid

Silverstein Sullivan, D. Sullivan, J. Syverson Trotter Viverito Watson Wilhelmi Winkel Wojcik Mr. President

Sieben

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Hunter, **Senate Bill No. 1986**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 57; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Geo-Karis Meeks Bomke Haine Munoz Brady Halvorson Pankau Burzynski Harmon Peterson Clayborne Hendon Petka Collins Hunter Radogno Crotty Jacobs Raoul Cullerton Jones, J. Righter Dahl Jones, W. Risinger del Valle Lauzen Ronen DeLeo Lightford Roskam Demuzio Link Rutherford Luechtefeld Dillard Sandoval Forby Maloney Schoenberg Garrett Martinez Shadid

Silverstein Sullivan, D. Sullivan, J. Syverson Trotter Viverito Watson Wilhelmi Winkel Wojcik Mr. President

Sieben

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

## CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILLS ON CONSIDERATION POSTPONED

On motion of Senator Harmon, **Senate Bill No. 219** having been read by title a third time on April 14, 2005, and pending roll call further consideration postponed, was taken up again on third reading.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the negative by the following vote:

Yeas 25; Nays 30; Present 2.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Harmon Meeks Munoz Collins Hendon Radogno Crottv Hunter Cullerton Lightford Raoul del Valle Link Ronen DeLeo Maloney Sandoval Garrett Martinez Schoenberg

The following voted in the negative:

Bomke Haine Peterson Syverson Brady Halvorson Petka Viverito Jacobs Righter Watson Burzynski Clayborne Wilhelmi Jones, J. Risinger Dahl Jones, W. Rutherford Winkel Demuzio Lauzen Shadid Wojcik Luechtefeld Sieben Forby Geo-Karis Pankau Sullivan, J.

The following voted present:

Dillard Roskam

This bill, having failed to receive the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared lost.

On motion of Senator Lightford, **Senate Bill No. 272** having been read by title a third time on March 9, 2005, and pending roll call further consideration postponed, was taken up again on third reading.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 35; Nays 20.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Link Sandoval Forby Clayborne Garrett Maloney Shadid Collins Haine Martinez Silverstein Crotty Halvorson Meeks Sullivan, D. Cullerton Harmon Munoz Sullivan, J. del Valle Hendon Peterson Trotter DeLeo Hunter Raoul Viverito Ronen Demuzio Jacobs Mr. President Dillard Rutherford Lightford

Silverstein

Trotter

Sullivan, D.

Mr. President

Winkel

Wojcik

The following voted in the negative:

Bomke Lauzen Roskam Brady Pankau Schoenberg Burzynski Petka Sieben Dahl Radogno Syverson Jones, J. Righter Watson Jones, W. Risinger Wilhelmi

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Harmon, **Senate Bill No. 2086** having been read by title a third time on April 14, 2005, and pending roll call further consideration postponed, was taken up again on third reading.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the negative by the following vote:

Yeas 27; Nays 28; Present 1.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Clayborne Haine Maloney Schoenberg Collins Halvorson Martinez Silverstein Crotty Harmon Meeks Sullivan, D. Munoz Cullerton Hendon Syverson del Valle Hunter Raoul Trotter DeLeo Lightford Ronen Mr President Garrett Link Sandoval

The following voted in the negative:

Althoff Watson Jacobs Radogno Bomke Righter Wilhelmi Jones, J. Brady Jones, W. Risinger Winkel Burzynski Lauzen Roskam Wojcik Rutherford Dahl Luechtefeld Shadid Demuzio Pankau Forby Peterson Sieben Geo-Karis Petka Sullivan, J.

The following voted present:

### Viverito

This bill, having failed to receive the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared lost.

On motion of Senator Schoenberg, **Senate Bill No. 1449** having been read by title a third time on April 15, 2005, and pending roll call further consideration postponed, was taken up again on third reading.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 31; Nays 22; Present 1.

[April 15, 2005]

The following voted in the affirmative:

Clayborne Garrett Maloney Schoenberg Shadid Collins Haine Martinez Crottv Halvorson Meeks Silverstein Cullerton Harmon Munoz Trotter del Valle Hendon Radogno Viverito DeLeo Hunter Raoul Wilhelmi Demuzio Ronen Mr President Jacobs Forby Sandoval Link

The following voted in the negative:

Althoff Lauzen Risinger Syverson Brady Luechtefeld Roskam Watson Burzynski Pankau Rutherford Winkel Dahl Peterson Sieben Wojcik Geo-Karis Petka Sullivan, D. Jones, W. Righter Sullivan, J.

The following voted present:

### Dillard

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

#### READING OF BILLS OF THE SENATE A THIRD TIME

On motion of Senator Munoz, **Senate Bill No. 2012**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 56; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Geo-Karis Meeks Sieben Bomke Haine Munoz Silverstein Halvorson Pankau Sullivan, J. Brady Burzynski Harmon Peterson Syverson Clayborne Hendon Petka Trotter Collins Hunter Radogno Viverito Crotty Jacobs Raoul Watson Righter Cullerton Jones, J. Wilhelmi Dahl Jones, W. Risinger Winkel del Valle Lauzen Ronen Wojcik DeLeo Lightford Roskam Mr. President Demuzio Link Rutherford Dillard Luechtefeld Sandoval Forby Maloney Schoenberg Garrett Martinez Shadid

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein

On motion of Senator Martinez, **Senate Bill No. 2043**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Silverstein

Sullivan, D.

Sullivan, J.

Syverson

Trotter

Viverito

Watson

Winkel

Wojcik

Mr. President

Wilhelmi

Yeas 56; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Haine Munoz Bomke Halvorson Pankau Brady Harmon Peterson Hendon Petka Burzynski Clayborne Hunter Radogno Collins Jacobs Raoul Crotty Jones, J. Righter Cullerton Jones, W. Risinger Dahl Lauzen Ronen del Valle Lightford Roskam Demuzio Link Rutherford Dillard Luechtefeld Sandoval Forby Maloney Schoenberg Garrett Shadid Martinez Geo-Karis Meeks Sieben

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein

On motion of Senator del Valle, **Senate Bill No. 10**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 47; Nays 9.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Martinez Shadid Bomke Geo-Karis Meeks Sieben Brady Haine Munoz Silverstein Clayborne Halvorson Pankau Sullivan, D. Collins Harmon Peterson Sullivan, J. Crotty Hendon Radogno Syverson Cullerton Hunter Raoul Trotter del Valle Jacobs Risinger Viverito DeLeo Ronen Wilhelmi Lauzen Demuzio Lightford Rutherford Winkel Dillard Link Sandoval Mr. President Forby Malonev Schoenberg

The following voted in the negative:

Burzynski Jones, W. Righter Dahl Luechtefeld Watson Jones, J. Petka Wojcik

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Clayborne, **Senate Bill No. 91**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

Pending roll call on motion of Senator Clayborne, further consideration of **Senate Bill No. 91** was postponed.

On motion of Senator Cullerton, **Senate Bill No. 229**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 47; Nays 5.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Garrett Malonev Bomke Geo-Karis Martinez Brady Haine Meeks Clayborne Halvorson Munoz Collins Harmon Pankau Crotty Hendon Peterson Cullerton Hunter Radogno del Valle Jacobs Raoul Jones, W. Ronen DeLeo Roskam Demuzio Lauzen Dillard Link Rutherford Forby Luechtefeld Sandoval

Schoenberg Shadid Sieben Silverstein Sullivan, D. Sullivan, J. Trotter Viverito Wilhelmi Winkel Mr. President

The following voted in the negative:

Burzynski Jones, J. Watson Dahl Risinger

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Halvorson, **Senate Bill No. 245**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 52; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Haine Pankau Silverstein Halvorson Bomke Peterson Sullivan, D. Brady Harmon Petka Sullivan, J. Burzynski Hendon Radogno Syverson Clayborne Hunter Raoul Trotter Collins Righter Viverito Jacobs Risinger Crotty Jones, J. Watson Cullerton Jones, W. Ronen Wilhelmi Dahl Lauzen Rockam Winkel Luechtefeld Rutherford Mr. President Demuzio Dillard Sandoval Maloney Forby Martinez Schoenberg Garrett Meeks Shadid Geo-Karis Munoz Sieben

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

On motion of Senator Cullerton, **Senate Bill No. 250**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 56; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Geo-Karis Meeks Sieben Bomke Haine Munoz Silverstein Halvorson Pankau Brady Sullivan, D. Burzynski Harmon Peterson Sullivan, J. Clayborne Hendon Petka Syverson Collins Hunter Radogno Trotter Crotty Jacobs Raoul Viverito Cullerton Jones, J. Righter Watson Dahl Jones, W. Risinger Wilhelmi del Valle Lauzen Ronen Winkel Mr. President DeLeo Lightford Roskam Demuzio Rutherford Link Dillard Luechtefeld Sandoval Forby Maloney Schoenberg Garrett Martinez Shadid

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

# SENATE BILL RECALLED

On motion of Senator Cullerton, **Senate Bill No. 314** was recalled from the order of third reading to the order of second reading.

Senator Cullerton offered the following amendment and moved its adoption:

## **AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO SENATE BILL 314**

AMENDMENT NO. 2. Amend Senate Bill 314 by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

"Section 5. The Illinois Horse Racing Act of 1975 is amended by changing Sections 3.077, 3.12, 3.20, 3.22, 3.23, 14, 15, 18, 20, 25, 26, 26.2, 27, 29, and 31.1 and by adding Sections 3.24, 3.25, 3.26, and 3.27 as follows:

(230 ILCS 5/3.077)

Sec. 3.077. "Non-host licensee" means a licensee, other than an advance deposit wagering licensee, operating concurrently with a host track.

(Source: P.A. 89-16, eff. 5-30-95.)

(230 ILCS 5/3.12) (from Ch. 8, par. 37-3.12)

Sec. 3.12. "Pari-mutuel system of wagering" means a form of wagering on the outcome of horse races in which wagers are made in various denominations on a horse or horses and all wagers for each race are pooled and held by a licensee for distribution in a manner approved by the Board. Wagers may be placed via any method or at any location authorized under this Act.

(Source: P.A. 89-16, eff. 5-30-95.)

(230 ILCS 5/3.20)

Sec. 3.20. "Licensee" means an individual organization licensee, an inter-track wagering licensee, an or inter-track wagering location licensee, or an advance deposit wagering licensee, as the context of this Act requires.

(Source: P.A. 89-16, eff. 5-30-95.)

(230 ILCS 5/3.22)

Sec. 3.22. "Wagering facility" means any location at which a licensee, other than an advance deposit wagering licensee, may accept or receive pari-mutuel wagers under this Act.

(Source: P.A. 89-16, eff. 5-30-95.)

(230 ILCS 5/3.23)

Sec. 3.23. "Wagering" means, collectively, the pari-mutuel system of wagering, inter-track wagering, and simulcast wagering , and advance deposit wagering.

(Source: P.A. 89-16, eff. 5-30-95.)

(230 ILCS 5/3.24 new)

Sec. 3.24. Advance deposit wagering. "Advance deposit wagering" means a method of pari-mutuel wagering in which an individual may establish an account, deposit money into the account, and use the account balance to pay for pari-mutuel wagering authorized by this Act. An advance deposit wager may be placed in person or from any other location approved by the Board via a telephone-type device or any electronic means. Any person who accepts an advance deposit wager who is not licensed by the Board as an advance deposit wagering licensee shall be considered in violation of this Act and the Criminal Code of 1961. Any advance deposit wager placed in person shall be deemed to have been placed at that wagering facility.

(230 ILCS 5/3.25 new)

Sec. 3.25. Advance deposit wagering fee. "Advance deposit wagering fee" means the amount paid to or retained by a person, as defined in Section 3.14, for the purpose of administering a pari-mutuel system of advance deposit wagering.

(230 ILCS 5/3.26 new)

Sec. 3.26. Source market fee. "Source market fee" means any amount remaining from advance deposit wagering after payment of winning wagers, any breakage, any privilege or pari-mutuel tax, any interstate commission fee, and any advance deposit wagering fees.

(230 ILCS 5/3.27 new)

Sec. 3.27. Advance deposit wagering licensee. "Advance deposit wagering licensee" means a person licensed by the Board to conduct advance deposit wagering. An advance deposit wagering licensee shall be an organization licensee or a person or third party who contracts with an organization licensee in order to conduct advance deposit wagering.

(230 ILCS 5/14) (from Ch. 8, par. 37-14)

Sec. 14. (a) The Board shall hold regular and special meetings at such times and places as may be necessary to perform properly and effectively all duties required under this Act. A majority of the members of the Board shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of any business, for the performance of any duty, or for the exercise of any power which this Act requires the Board members to transact, perform or exercise en banc, except that upon order of the Board one of the Board members may conduct the hearing provided in Section 16. The Board member conducting such hearing shall have all

powers and rights granted to the Board in this Act. The record made at the hearing shall be reviewed by the Board, or a majority thereof, and the findings and decision of the majority of the Board shall constitute the order of the Board in such case.

- (b) The Board shall obtain a court reporter who will be present at each regular and special meeting and proceeding and who shall make accurate transcriptions thereof except that when in the judgment of the Board an emergency situation requires a meeting by teleconference, the executive director shall prepare minutes of the meeting indicating the date and time of the meeting and which members of the Board were present or absent, summarizing all matters proposed, deliberated, or decided at the meeting, and indicating the results of all votes taken. The public shall be allowed to listen to the proceedings of that meeting at all Board branch offices.
- (c) The Board shall provide records which are separate and distinct from the records of any other State board or commission. Such records shall be available for public inspection and shall accurately reflect all Board proceedings.
- (d) The Board shall file a written annual report with the Governor on or before May March 1 each year and such additional reports as the Governor may request. The annual report shall include a statement of receipts and disbursements by the Board, actions taken by the Board, a report on the industry's progress toward the policy objectives established in Section 1.2 of this Act, and any additional information and recommendations which the Board may deem valuable or which the Governor may request.
- (e) The Board shall maintain a branch office on the ground of every organization licensee during the organization licensee's race meeting, which office shall be kept open throughout the time the race meeting is held. The Board shall designate one of its members, or an authorized agent of the Board who shall have the authority to act for the Board, to be in charge of the branch office during the time it is required to be kept open.

(Source: P.A. 91-40, eff. 6-25-99.)

(230 ILCS 5/15) (from Ch. 8, par. 37-15)

- Sec. 15. (a) The Board shall, in its discretion, issue occupation licenses to horse owners, trainers, harness drivers, jockeys, agents, apprentices, grooms, stable foremen, exercise persons, veterinarians, valets, blacksmiths, concessionaires and others designated by the Board whose work, in whole or in part, is conducted upon facilities within the State. Such occupation licenses will be obtained prior to the persons engaging in their vocation upon such facilities. The Board shall not license pari-mutuel clerks, parking attendants, security guards and employees of concessionaires. No occupation license shall be required of any person who works at facilities within this State as a pari-mutuel clerk, parking attendant, security guard or as an employee of a concessionaire. Concessionaires of the Illinois State Fair and DuQuoin State Fair and employees of the Illinois Department of Agriculture shall not be required to obtain an occupation license by the Board.
- (b) Each application for an occupation license shall be on forms prescribed by the Board. Such license, when issued, shall be for the period ending December 31 of each year, except that the Board in its discretion may grant 3-year licenses. The application shall be accompanied by a fee of not more than \$75 \$25 per year or, in the case of 3-year occupation license applications, a fee of not more than \$180 \$60. Each applicant shall set forth in the application his full name and address, and if he had been issued prior occupation licenses or has been licensed in any other state under any other name, such name, his age, whether or not a permit or license issued to him in any other state has been suspended or revoked and if so whether such suspension or revocation is in effect at the time of the application, and such other information as the Board may require. Fees for registration of stable names shall not exceed \$150 \$50.00.
  - (c) The Board may in its discretion refuse an occupation license to any person:
    - (1) who has been convicted of a crime;
    - (2) who is unqualified to perform the duties required of such applicant;
    - (3) who fails to disclose or states falsely any information called for in the application;
    - (4) who has been found guilty of a violation of this Act or of the rules and regulations of the Board; or
    - (5) whose license or permit has been suspended, revoked or denied for just cause in any other state.
  - (d) The Board may suspend or revoke any occupation license:
    - (1) for violation of any of the provisions of this Act; or
    - (2) for violation of any of the rules or regulations of the Board; or
    - (3) for any cause which, if known to the Board, would have justified the Board in

refusing to issue such occupation license; or

- (4) for any other just cause.
- (e) Each applicant shall submit his or her fingerprints to the Department of State Police in the form and manner prescribed by the Department of State Police. These fingerprints shall be checked against the fingerprint records now and hereafter filed in the Department of State Police and Federal Bureau of Investigation criminal history records databases. The Department of State Police shall charge a fee for conducting the criminal history records check, which shall be deposited in the State Police Services Fund and shall not exceed the actual cost of the records check. The Department of State Police shall furnish, pursuant to positive identification, records of conviction to the Board. Each applicant for licensure shall submit with his occupation license application, on forms provided by the Board, 2 sets of his fingerprints. All such applicants shall appear in person at the location designated by the Board for the purpose of submitting such sets of fingerprints; however, with the prior approval of a State steward, an applicant may have such sets of fingerprints taken by an official law enforcement agency and submitted to the Board.
- (f) The Board may, in its discretion, issue an occupation license without submission of fingerprints if an applicant has been duly licensed in another recognized racing jurisdiction after submitting fingerprints that were subjected to a Federal Bureau of Investigation criminal history background check in that jurisdiction.

(Source: P.A. 93-418, eff. 1-1-04.)

(230 ILCS 5/18) (from Ch. 8, par. 37-18)

- Sec. 18. (a) Together with its application, each applicant for racing dates shall deliver to the Board a certified check or bank draft payable to the order of the Board for \$10,000 \$1,000. In the event the applicant applies for racing dates in 2 or 3 successive calendar years as provided in subsection (b) of Section 21, the fee shall be \$20,000 \$2,000. Filing fees shall not be refunded in the event the application is denied
- (b) In addition to the filing fee of \$10,000 \$1000 and the fees provided in subsection (j) of Section 20, each organization licensee shall pay a license fee of \$200 \$100 for each racing program on which its daily pari-mutuel handle is \$100,000 or more but less than \$400,000 or more but less than \$700,000, and a license fee of \$400 \$200 for each racing program on which its daily pari-mutuel handle is \$400,000 \$700,000 or more. The additional fees required to be paid under this Section by this amendatory Act of 1982 shall be remitted by the organization licensee to the Illinois Racing Board with each day's graduated privilege tax or pari-mutuel tax and breakage as provided under Section 27.
- (c) Sections 11-42-1, 11-42-5, and 11-54-1 of the "Illinois Municipal Code," approved May 29, 1961, as now or hereafter amended, shall not apply to any license under this Act. (Source: P.A. 91-40, eff. 6-25-99.)

(230 ILCS 5/20) (from Ch. 8, par. 37-20)

- Sec. 20. (a) Any person desiring to conduct a horse race meeting may apply to the Board for an organization license. The application shall be made on a form prescribed and furnished by the Board. The application shall specify:
  - (1) the dates on which it intends to conduct the horse race meeting, which dates shall be provided under Section 21;
  - (2) the hours of each racing day between which it intends to hold or conduct horse racing at such meeting;
  - (3) the location where it proposes to conduct the meeting; and
  - (4) any other information the Board may reasonably require.
- (b) A separate application for an organization license shall be filed for each horse race meeting which such person proposes to hold. Any such application, if made by an individual, or by any individual as trustee, shall be signed and verified under oath by such individual. If made by individuals or a partnership, it shall be signed and verified under oath by at least 2 of such individuals or members of such partnership as the case may be. If made by an association, corporation, corporate trustee or any other entity, it shall be signed by the president and attested by the secretary or assistant secretary under the seal of such association, trust or corporation if it has a seal, and shall also be verified under oath by one of the signing officers.
- (c) The application shall specify the name of the persons, association, trust, or corporation making such application and the post office address of the applicant; if the applicant is a trustee, the names and addresses of the beneficiaries; if a corporation, the names and post office addresses of all officers, stockholders and directors; or if such stockholders hold stock as a nominee or fiduciary, the names and post office addresses of these persons, partnerships, corporations, or trusts who are the beneficial owners thereof or who are beneficially interested therein; and if a partnership, the names and post office

addresses of all partners, general or limited; if the applicant is a corporation, the name of the state of its incorporation shall be specified.

- (d) The applicant shall execute and file with the Board a good faith affirmative action plan to recruit, train, and upgrade minorities in all classifications within the association.
- (e) With such application there shall be delivered to the Board a certified check or bank draft payable to the order of the Board for an amount equal to \$10,000 \$1,000\$. All applications for the issuance of an organization license shall be filed with the Board before August 1 of the year prior to the year for which application is made and shall be acted upon by the Board at a meeting to be held on such date as shall be fixed by the Board during the last 15 days of September of such prior year. At such meeting, the Board shall announce the award of the racing meets, live racing schedule, and designation of host track to the applicants and its approval or disapproval of each application. No announcement shall be considered binding until a formal order is executed by the Board, which shall be executed no later than October 15 of that prior year. Absent the agreement of the affected organization licensees, the Board shall not grant overlapping race meetings to 2 or more tracks that are within 100 miles of each other to conduct the thoroughbred racing.
- (e-5) In reviewing an application for the purpose of granting an organization license consistent with the best interests of the public and the sport of horse racing, the Board shall consider:
  - (1) the character, reputation, experience, and financial integrity of the applicant and of any other separate person that either:
    - (i) controls the applicant, directly or indirectly, or
    - (ii) is controlled, directly or indirectly, by that applicant or by a person who controls, directly or indirectly, that applicant;
  - (2) the applicant's facilities or proposed facilities for conducting horse racing;
  - (3) the total revenue without regard to Section 32.1 to be derived by the State and horsemen from the applicant's conducting a race meeting;
  - (4) the applicant's good faith affirmative action plan to recruit, train, and upgrade minorities in all employment classifications;
  - (5) the applicant's financial ability to purchase and maintain adequate liability and casualty insurance;
  - (6) the applicant's proposed and prior year's promotional and marketing activities and expenditures of the applicant associated with those activities;
  - (7) an agreement, if any, among organization licensees as provided in subsection (b) of Section 21 of this Act; and
  - (8) the extent to which the applicant exceeds or meets other standards for the issuance of an organization license that the Board shall adopt by rule.
- (9) whether the applicant has sufficient capitalization with which to organize, promote, and operate a race meet in the succeeding year.
- (10) the applicant's support of live racing and the growth of the Illinois horse racing industry, as measured by the following factors:
- (A) The applicant's efforts in the prior and proposed year to increase wagering on Illinois races and the purses generated.
  - (B) The applicant's efforts in the prior and proposed year to market and promote Illinois racing.
  - (C) The applicant's efforts to maintain and improve its racing facility.

In granting organization licenses and allocating dates for horse race meetings, the Board shall have discretion to determine an overall schedule, including required simulcasts of Illinois races by host tracks that will, in its judgment, be conducive to the best interests of the public and the sport of horse racing.

(e-10) The Illinois Administrative Procedure Act shall apply to administrative procedures of the Board under this Act for the granting of an organization license, except that (1) notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (b) of Section 10-40 of the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act regarding cross-examination, the Board may prescribe rules limiting the right of an applicant or participant in any proceeding to award an organization license to conduct cross-examination of witnesses at that proceeding where that cross-examination would unduly obstruct the timely award of an organization license under subsection (e) of Section 20 of this Act; (2) the provisions of Section 10-45 of the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act regarding proposals for decision are excluded under this Act; (3) notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (a) of Section 10-60 of the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act regarding ex parte communications, the Board may prescribe rules allowing ex parte communications with applicants or participants in a proceeding to award an organization license where conducting those communications would be in the best interest of racing, provided all those communications are made part of the record of that proceeding pursuant to subsection (c) of Section

10-60 of the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act; (4) the provisions of Section 14a of this Act and the rules of the Board promulgated under that Section shall apply instead of the provisions of Article 10 of the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act regarding administrative law judges; and (5) the provisions of subsection (d) of Section 10-65 of the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act that prevent summary suspension of a license pending revocation or other action shall not apply.

- (f) The Board may allot racing dates to an organization licensee for more than one calendar year but for no more than 3 successive calendar years in advance, provided that the Board shall review such allotment for more than one calendar year prior to each year for which such allotment has been made. The granting of an organization license to a person constitutes a privilege to conduct a horse race meeting under the provisions of this Act, and no person granted an organization license shall be deemed to have a vested interest, property right, or future expectation to receive an organization license in any subsequent year as a result of the granting of an organization license. Organization licenses shall be subject to revocation if the organization licensee has violated any provision of this Act or the rules and regulations promulgated under this Act or has been convicted of a crime or has failed to disclose or has stated falsely any information called for in the application for an organization license. Any organization license revocation proceeding shall be in accordance with Section 16 regarding suspension and revocation of occupation licenses.
- (f-5) If, (i) an applicant does not file an acceptance of the racing dates awarded by the Board as required under part (1) of subsection (h) of this Section 20, or (ii) an organization licensee has its license suspended or revoked under this Act, the Board, upon conducting an emergency hearing as provided for in this Act, may reaward on an emergency basis pursuant to rules established by the Board, racing dates not accepted or the racing dates associated with any suspension or revocation period to one or more organization licensees, new applicants, or any combination thereof, upon terms and conditions that the Board determines are in the best interest of racing, provided, the organization licensees or new applicants receiving the awarded racing dates file an acceptance of those reawarded racing dates as required under paragraph (1) of subsection (h) of this Section 20 and comply with the other provisions of this Act. The Illinois Administrative Procedures Act shall not apply to the administrative procedures of the Board in conducting the emergency hearing and the reallocation of racing dates on an emergency hasis
  - (g) (Blank).
- (h) The Board shall send the applicant a copy of its formally executed order by certified mail addressed to the applicant at the address stated in his application, which notice shall be mailed within 5 days of the date the formal order is executed.

Each applicant notified shall, within 10 days after receipt of the final executed order of the Board awarding racing dates:

- (1) file with the Board an acceptance of such award in the form prescribed by the Board;
- (2) pay to the Board an additional amount equal to \$200 \$110 for each racing date awarded; and
- (3) file with the Board the bonds required in Sections 21 and 25 at least 20 days prior to the first day of each race meeting.

Upon compliance with the provisions of paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) of this subsection (h), the applicant shall be issued an organization license.

If any applicant fails to comply with this Section or fails to pay the organization license fees herein provided, no organization license shall be issued to such applicant. (Source: P.A. 91-40, eff. 6-25-99.)

(230 ILCS 5/25) (from Ch. 8, par. 37-25)

Sec. 25. An admission fee shall be assessed for each person charged admission when There shall be paid to the Board at such time or times as it shall prescribe, the sum of fifteen cents (15¢) for each person entering the grounds or enclosure of each organization licensee and inter-track wagering licensee a upon a ticket of admission except as provided in subsection (g) of Section 27 of this Act. The admission fee shall be \$0.15. If tickets are issued for more than one day then the admission fee sum of fifteen cents (15¢) shall be paid for each person using such ticket on each day that the same shall be used. Provided, however, that no charge shall be made on tickets of admission issued to and in the name of directors, officers, agents or employees of the organization licensee, or inter-track wagering licensee, or to owners, trainers, jockeys, drivers and their employees or to any person or persons entering the grounds or enclosure for the transaction of business in connection with such race meeting. The organization licensee or inter-track wagering licensee may, if it desires, collect such amount from each ticket holder in addition to the amount or amounts charged for such ticket of admission.

Accurate records and books shall at all times be kept and maintained by the organization licensees and inter-track wagering licensees showing the admission tickets issued and used on each racing day and the

attendance thereat of each horse racing meeting. The Board or its duly authorized representative or representatives shall at all reasonable times have access to the admission records of any organization licensee and inter-track wagering licensee for the purpose of examining and checking the same and ascertaining whether or not the proper amount has been or is being paid the State of Illinois as herein provided. The Board shall also require, before issuing any license, that the licensee shall execute and deliver to it a bond, payable to the State of Illinois, in such sum as it shall determine, not, however, in excess of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000), with a surety or sureties to be approved by it, conditioned for the payment of all sums due and payable or collected by it under this Section upon admission fees received for any particular racing meetings. The Board may also from time to time require sworn statements of the number or numbers of such admissions and may prescribe blanks upon which such reports shall be made. Any organization licensee or inter-track wagering licensee failing or refusing to pay the amount found to be due as herein provided, shall be deemed guilty of a business offense and upon conviction shall be punished by a fine of not more than five thousand dollars (\$5,000) in addition to the amount due from such organization licensee or inter-track wagering licensee as herein provided. All fines paid into court by an organization licensee or inter-track wagering licensee found guilty of violating this Section shall be transmitted and paid over by the clerk of the court to the Board.

(Source: P.A. 88-495; 89-16, eff. 5-30-95.)

(230 ILCS 5/26) (from Ch. 8, par. 37-26)

Sec. 26. Wagering.

- (a) Any licensee may conduct and supervise the pari-mutuel system of wagering, as defined in Section 3.12 of this Act, on horse races conducted by an Illinois organization licensee or conducted at a racetrack located in another state or country and televised in Illinois in accordance with subsection (g) of Section 26 of this Act. Subject to the prior consent of the Board, licensees may supplement any pari-mutuel pool in order to guarantee a minimum distribution. Such pari-mutuel method of wagering shall not, under any circumstances if conducted under the provisions of this Act, be held or construed to be unlawful, other statutes of this State to the contrary notwithstanding. Subject to rules for advance wagering promulgated by the Board, any licensee may accept wagers in advance of the day of the race wagered upon occurs.
- (b) No other method of betting, pool making, wagering or gambling shall be used or permitted by the licensee. Each licensee may retain, subject to the payment of all applicable taxes and purses, an amount not to exceed 17% of all money wagered under subsection (a) of this Section, except as may otherwise be permitted under this Act.
- (b-5) An individual may place a wager under the pari-mutuel system from any licensed location or via any other method authorized under this Act provided that wager is electronically recorded in the manner described in Section 3.12 of this Act. Any wager made electronically by an individual while physically on the premises of a licensee shall be deemed to have been made at the premises of that licensee. Any wager made via a telephone-type device or electronic means by an individual while not physically on the premises of the licensee (advance deposit wagering) shall be deemed to have been made at the host track at the time at which the race upon which the wager was placed occurs.
- (c) Until January 1, 2000, the sum held by any licensee for payment of outstanding pari-mutuel tickets, if unclaimed prior to December 31 of the next year, shall be retained by the licensee for payment of such tickets until that date. Within 10 days thereafter, the balance of such sum remaining unclaimed, less any uncashed supplements contributed by such licensee for the purpose of guaranteeing minimum distributions of any pari-mutuel pool, shall be paid to the Illinois Veterans' Rehabilitation Fund of the State treasury, except as provided in subsection (g) of Section 27 of this Act.
- (c-5) Beginning January 1, 2000, the sum held by any licensee for payment of outstanding pari-mutuel tickets, if unclaimed prior to December 31 of the next year, shall be retained by the licensee for payment of such tickets until that date. Within 10 days thereafter, the balance of such sum remaining unclaimed, less any uncashed supplements contributed by such licensee for the purpose of guaranteeing minimum distributions of any pari-mutuel pool, shall be evenly distributed to the purse account of the organization licensee and the organization licensee.
- (d) A pari-mutuel ticket shall be honored until December 31 of the next calendar year, and the licensee shall pay the same and may charge the amount thereof against unpaid money similarly accumulated on account of pari-mutuel tickets not presented for payment.
- (e) No licensee shall knowingly permit any minor, other than an employee of such licensee or an owner, trainer, jockey, driver, or employee thereof, to be admitted during a racing program unless accompanied by a parent or guardian, or any minor to be a patron of the pari-mutuel system of wagering conducted or supervised by it. The admission of any unaccompanied minor, other than an employee of the licensee or an owner, trainer, jockey, driver, or employee thereof at a race track is a Class C misdemeanor.

(f) Notwithstanding the other provisions of this Act, <u>subject to the approval of the Board</u>, an organization licensee may contract with an entity in another state or country to permit any legal wagering entity in another state or country to accept wagers solely within such other state or country on races conducted by the organization licensee in this State. <u>The Board shall adopt rules concerning the criteria for approval required under this subsection (f)</u>. Beginning January 1, 2000, these wagers shall not be subject to State taxation. Until January 1, 2000, when the out-of-State entity conducts a pari-mutuel pool separate from the organization licensee, a privilege tax equal to 7 1/2% of all monies received by the organization licensee, and such privilege tax shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue within 48 hours of receipt of the moneys from the simulcast. When the out-of-State entity conducts a combined pari-mutuel pool with the organization licensee, the tax shall be 10% of all monies received by the organization licensee with 25% of the receipts from this 10% tax to be distributed to the county in which the race was conducted.

An organization licensee may permit one or more of its races to be utilized for pari-mutuel wagering at one or more locations in other states and may transmit audio and visual signals of races the organization licensee conducts to one or more locations outside the State or country and may also permit pari-mutuel pools in other states or countries to be combined with its gross or net wagering pools or with wagering pools established by other states.

(g) A host track may accept interstate simulcast wagers on horse races conducted in other states or countries and shall control the number of signals and types of breeds of racing in its simulcast program, subject to the disapproval of the Board. The Board may prohibit a simulcast program only if it finds that the simulcast program is clearly adverse to the integrity of racing. The host track simulcast program shall include the signal of live racing of all organization licensees. All non-host licensees shall carry the host track simulcast program and accept wagers on all races included as part of the simulcast program upon which wagering is permitted. All advance deposit wagering licensees shall carry the signal of all organization licensees and accept wagers on all races conducted by the organization licensee. The costs and expenses of the host track and non-host licensees associated with interstate simulcast wagering, other than the interstate commission fee, shall be borne by the host track and all non-host licensees incurring these costs. The interstate commission fee shall not exceed 5% of Illinois handle on the interstate simulcast race or races without prior approval of the Board. The Board shall promulgate rules under which it may permit interstate commission fees in excess of 5%. The interstate commission fee and other fees charged by the sending racetrack, including, but not limited to, satellite decoder fees, shall be uniformly applied to the host track and all non-host licensees.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, an organization licensee may maintain a system whereby advance deposit wagering may take place or an organization licensee may contract with another person to carry out a system of advance deposit wagering. All advance deposit wagers placed from within Illinois must be placed through a Board-approved advance deposit wagering licensee; no other entity may accept an advance deposit wager from a person within Illinois. All advance deposit wagering is subject to any rules adopted by the Board. An advance deposit wagering licensee may retain an advance deposit wagering fee not to exceed 6.5% of all wagers placed through the system. However, an organization licensee licensed as an advance deposit wagering licensee operating and maintaining its own advance deposit wagering system may retain an advance deposit wagering fee not to exceed 6.5% of all wagers placed through the system, subject to approval by the Board. Each host track shall pay a share of all source market fees and any breakage to an organization licensee operating at a racetrack located in Madison County, provided that the organization licensee conducted live racing in 2004 and the current year, in an amount equal to the proportion of total moneys wagered in the previous calendar year at the organizational licensee operating at a racetrack located in Madison County and all of its inter-track wagering location licensees as compared to the total statewide moneys wagered, with the exception of moneys wagered from advance deposit wagering, in the previous year. The proportion shall be certified by the Board in writing within 45 days after the end of the calendar year and the host track shall make payment to the organization licensee located in Madison County within 90 days following the end of the calendar year. The first payment under this provision shall be due following the end of the first calendar year in which advance deposit wagers are accepted. The moneys received by an organization licensee operating at a racetrack in Madison County shall be distributed as follows: 50% to the organization licensee operating at a racetrack in Madison County and 50% to the purse account at the racetrack in Madison County. After distributing the moneys to the organization licensee operating at a racetrack in Madison County, the source market fees shall be paid as follows: 50% to the host track and 50% to the purse accounts at the host track. To the extent any fees substantially equivalent to source market fees or other fees deducted from advance deposit wagering conducted in Illinois for wagers in Illinois or other states have been placed in escrow or otherwise withheld from wagers pending a determination of the legality of advance deposit wagering, no action shall be brought to declare such wagers illegal, provided that all such fees shall be paid to the appropriate host track within 30 days after the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 94th General Assembly.

- (1) Between the hours of 6:30 a.m. and 6:30 p.m. an intertrack wagering licensee other than the host track may supplement the host track simulcast program with additional simulcast races or race programs, provided that between January 1 and the third Friday in February of any year, inclusive, if no live thoroughbred racing is occurring in Illinois during this period, only thoroughbred races may be used for supplemental interstate simulcast purposes. The Board shall withhold approval for a supplemental interstate simulcast only if it finds that the simulcast is clearly adverse to the integrity of racing. A supplemental interstate simulcast may be transmitted from an intertrack wagering licensee to its affiliated non-host licensees. The interstate commission fee for a supplemental interstate simulcast shall be paid by the non-host licensee and its affiliated non-host licensees receiving the simulcast.
- (2) Between the hours of 6:30 p.m. and 6:30 a.m. an intertrack wagering licensee other than the host track may receive supplemental interstate simulcasts only with the consent of the host track, except when the Board finds that the simulcast is clearly adverse to the integrity of racing. Consent granted under this paragraph (2) to any intertrack wagering licensee shall be deemed consent to all non-host licensees. The interstate commission fee for the supplemental interstate simulcast shall be paid by all participating non-host licensees.
- (3) Each licensee conducting interstate simulcast wagering may retain, subject to the payment of all applicable taxes and the purses, an amount not to exceed 17% of all money wagered. If any licensee conducts the pari-mutuel system wagering on races conducted at racetracks in another state or country, each such race or race program shall be considered a separate racing day for the purpose of determining the daily handle and computing the privilege tax of that daily handle as provided in subsection (a) of Section 27. Until January 1, 2000, from the sums permitted to be retained pursuant to this subsection, each intertrack wagering location licensee shall pay 1% of the pari-mutuel handle wagered on simulcast wagering to the Horse Racing Tax Allocation Fund, subject to the provisions of subparagraph (B) of paragraph (11) of subsection (h) of Section 26 of this Act.
- (4) A licensee who receives an interstate simulcast may combine its gross or net pools with pools at the sending racetracks pursuant to rules established by the Board. All licensees combining their gross pools at a sending racetrack shall adopt the take-out percentages of the sending racetrack. A licensee may also establish a separate pool and takeout structure for wagering purposes on races conducted at race tracks outside of the State of Illinois. The licensee may permit pari-mutuel wagers placed in other states or countries to be combined with its gross or net wagering pools or other wagering pools.
- (5) After the payment of the interstate commission fee (except for the interstate commission fee on a supplemental interstate simulcast, which shall be paid by the host track and by each non-host licensee through the host-track), the advance deposit wagering fee, and all applicable State and local taxes, except as provided in subsection (g) of Section 27 of this Act, the remainder of moneys retained from simulcast wagering pursuant to this subsection (g), and Section 26.2 shall be divided as follows:
  - (A) For interstate simulcast wagers made at a host track, 50% to the host track and 50% to purses at the host track.
  - (B) For wagers placed on interstate simulcast races, supplemental simulcasts as defined in subparagraphs (1) and (2), and separately pooled races conducted outside of the State of Illinois made at a non-host licensee, 25% to the host track, 25% to the non-host licensee, and 50% to the purses at the host track.
- (6) Notwithstanding any provision in this Act to the contrary, non-host licensees who derive their licenses from a track located in a county with a population in excess of 230,000 and that borders the Mississippi River may receive supplemental interstate simulcast races at all times subject to Board approval, which shall be withheld only upon a finding that a supplemental interstate simulcast is clearly adverse to the integrity of racing.
- (7) Notwithstanding any provision of this Act to the contrary, after payment of all applicable State and local taxes and interstate commission fees, non-host licensees who derive their licensees from a track located in a county with a population in excess of 230,000 and that borders the Mississippi River shall retain 50% of the retention from interstate simulcast wagers and shall pay 50% to purses at the track from which the non-host licensee derives its license as follows:
  - (A) Between January 1 and the third Friday in February, inclusive, if no live

thoroughbred racing is occurring in Illinois during this period, when the interstate simulcast is a standardbred race, the purse share to its standardbred purse account;

- (B) Between January 1 and the third Friday in February, inclusive, if no live thoroughbred racing is occurring in Illinois during this period, and the interstate simulcast is a thoroughbred race, the purse share to its interstate simulcast purse pool to be distributed under paragraph (10) of this subsection (g);
- (C) Between January 1 and the third Friday in February, inclusive, if live thoroughbred racing is occurring in Illinois, between 6:30 a.m. and 6:30 p.m. the purse share from wagers made during this time period to its thoroughbred purse account and between 6:30 p.m. and 6:30 a.m. the purse share from wagers made during this time period to its standardbred purse accounts:
- (D) Between the third Saturday in February and December 31, when the interstate simulcast occurs between the hours of 6:30 a.m. and 6:30 p.m., the purse share to its thoroughbred purse account;
- (E) Between the third Saturday in February and December 31, when the interstate simulcast occurs between the hours of 6:30 p.m. and 6:30 a.m., the purse share to its standardbred purse account.
- (7.1) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act to the contrary, if no standardbred racing is conducted at a racetrack located in Madison County during any calendar year beginning on or after January 1, 2002, all moneys derived by that racetrack from simulcast wagering and inter-track wagering that (1) are to be used for purses and (2) are generated between the hours of 6:30 p.m. and 6:30 a.m. during that calendar year shall be paid as follows:
  - (A) If the licensee that conducts horse racing at that racetrack requests from the Board at least as many racing dates as were conducted in calendar year 2000, 80% shall be paid to its thoroughbred purse account; and
  - (B) Twenty percent shall be deposited into the Illinois Colt Stakes Purse Distribution Fund and shall be paid to purses for standardbred races for Illinois conceived and foaled horses conducted at any county fairgrounds. The moneys deposited into the Fund pursuant to this subparagraph (B) shall be deposited within 2 weeks after the day they were generated, shall be in addition to and not in lieu of any other moneys paid to standardbred purses under this Act, and shall not be commingled with other moneys paid into that Fund. The moneys deposited pursuant to this subparagraph (B) shall be allocated as provided by the Department of Agriculture, with the advice and assistance of the Illinois Standardbred Breeders Fund Advisory Board.
- (7.2) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act to the contrary, if no thoroughbred racing is conducted at a racetrack located in Madison County during any calendar year beginning on or after January 1, 2002, all moneys derived by that racetrack from simulcast wagering and inter-track wagering that (1) are to be used for purses and (2) are generated between the hours of 6:30 a.m. and 6:30 p.m. during that calendar year shall be deposited as follows:
  - (A) If the licensee that conducts horse racing at that racetrack requests from the Board at least as many racing dates as were conducted in calendar year 2000, 80% shall be deposited into its standardbred purse account; and
  - (B) Twenty percent shall be deposited into the Illinois Colt Stakes Purse Distribution Fund. Moneys deposited into the Illinois Colt Stakes Purse Distribution Fund pursuant to this subparagraph (B) shall be paid to Illinois conceived and foaled thoroughbred breeders' programs and to thoroughbred purses for races conducted at any county fairgrounds for Illinois conceived and foaled horses at the discretion of the Department of Agriculture, with the advice and assistance of the Illinois Thoroughbred Breeders Fund Advisory Board. The moneys deposited into the Illinois Colt Stakes Purse Distribution Fund pursuant to this subparagraph (B) shall be deposited within 2 weeks after the day they were generated, shall be in addition to and not in lieu of any other moneys paid to thoroughbred purses under this Act, and shall not be commingled with other moneys deposited into that Fund.
- (7.3) If no live standardbred racing is conducted at a racetrack located in Madison County in calendar year 2000 or 2001, an organization licensee who is licensed to conduct horse racing at that racetrack shall, before January 1, 2002, pay all moneys derived from simulcast wagering and inter-track wagering in calendar years 2000 and 2001 and paid into the licensee's standardbred purse account as follows:
  - (A) Eighty percent to that licensee's thoroughbred purse account to be used for thoroughbred purses; and
  - (B) Twenty percent to the Illinois Colt Stakes Purse Distribution Fund.

Failure to make the payment to the Illinois Colt Stakes Purse Distribution Fund before January 1, 2002 shall result in the immediate revocation of the licensee's organization license, inter-track wagering license, and inter-track wagering location license.

Moneys paid into the Illinois Colt Stakes Purse Distribution Fund pursuant to this paragraph (7.3) shall be paid to purses for standardbred races for Illinois conceived and foaled horses conducted at any county fairgrounds. Moneys paid into the Illinois Colt Stakes Purse Distribution Fund pursuant to this paragraph (7.3) shall be used as determined by the Department of Agriculture, with the advice and assistance of the Illinois Standardbred Breeders Fund Advisory Board, shall be in

addition to and not in lieu of any other moneys paid to standardbred purses under this Act, and shall not be commingled with any other moneys paid into that Fund.

(7.4) If live standardbred racing is conducted at a racetrack located in Madison County at any time in calendar year 2001 before the payment required under paragraph (7.3) has been made, the organization licensee who is licensed to conduct racing at that racetrack shall pay all moneys derived by that racetrack from simulcast wagering and inter-track wagering during calendar years 2000 and 2001 that (1) are to be used for purses and (2) are generated between the hours of 6:30 p.m. and 6:30 a.m. during 2000 or 2001 to the standardbred purse account at that racetrack to be used for standardbred purses.

- (8) Notwithstanding any provision in this Act to the contrary, an organization licensee from a track located in a county with a population in excess of 230,000 and that borders the Mississippi River and its affiliated non-host licensees shall not be entitled to share in any retention generated on racing, inter-track wagering, or simulcast wagering at any other Illinois wagering facility.
- (8.1) Notwithstanding any provisions in this Act to the contrary, if 2 organization licensees are conducting standardbred race meetings concurrently between the hours of 6:30 p.m. and 6:30 a.m., after payment of all applicable State and local taxes and interstate commission fees, the remainder of the amount retained from simulcast wagering otherwise attributable to the host track and to host track purses shall be split daily between the 2 organization licensees and the purses at the tracks of the 2 organization licensees, respectively, based on each organization licensee's share of the total live handle for that day, provided that this provision shall not apply to any non-host licensee that derives its license from a track located in a county with a population in excess of 230,000 and that borders the Mississippi River.
  - (9) (Blank).
  - (10) (Blank).
  - (11) (Blank).
- (12) The Board shall have authority to compel all host tracks to receive the simulcast of any or all races conducted at the Springfield or DuQuoin State fairgrounds and include all such races as part of their simulcast programs.
- (13) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, in the event that the total Illinois pari-mutuel handle on Illinois horse races at all wagering facilities in any calendar year is less than 75% of the total Illinois pari-mutuel handle on Illinois horse races at all such wagering facilities for calendar year 1994, then each wagering facility that has an annual total Illinois pari-mutuel handle on Illinois horse races that is less than 75% of the total Illinois pari-mutuel handle on Illinois horse races at such wagering facility for calendar year 1994, shall be permitted to receive, from any amount otherwise payable to the purse account at the race track with which the wagering facility is affiliated in the succeeding calendar year, an amount equal to 2% of the differential in total Illinois pari-mutuel handle on Illinois horse races at the wagering facility between that calendar year in question and 1994 provided, however, that a wagering facility shall not be entitled to any such payment until the Board certifies in writing to the wagering facility the amount to which the wagering facility is entitled and a schedule for payment of the amount to the wagering facility, based on: (i) the racing dates awarded to the race track affiliated with the wagering facility during the succeeding year; (ii) the sums available or anticipated to be available in the purse account of the race track affiliated with the wagering facility for purses during the succeeding year; and (iii) the need to ensure reasonable purse levels during the payment period. The Board's certification shall be provided no later than January 31 of the succeeding year. In the event a wagering facility entitled to a payment under this paragraph (13) is affiliated with a race track that maintains purse accounts for both standardbred and thoroughbred racing, the amount to be paid to the wagering facility shall be divided between each purse account pro rata, based on the amount of Illinois handle on Illinois standardbred and thoroughbred racing respectively at the wagering facility during the previous calendar year. Annually, the General Assembly shall appropriate sufficient funds from the General Revenue Fund to the Department of Agriculture for payment into

the thoroughbred and standardbred horse racing purse accounts at Illinois pari-mutuel tracks. The amount paid to each purse account shall be the amount certified by the Illinois Racing Board in January to be transferred from each account to each eligible racing facility in accordance with the provisions of this Section.

- (h) The Board may approve and license the conduct of inter-track wagering and simulcast wagering by inter-track wagering licensees and inter-track wagering location licensees subject to the following terms and conditions:
  - (1) Any person licensed to conduct a race meeting (i) at a track where 60 or more days of racing were conducted during the immediately preceding calendar year or where over the 5 immediately preceding calendar years an average of 30 or more days of racing were conducted annually may be issued an inter-track wagering license; (ii) at a track located in a county that is bounded by the Mississippi River, which has a population of less than 150,000 according to the 1990 decennial census, and an average of at least 60 days of racing per year between 1985 and 1993 may be issued an inter-track wagering license; or (iii) at a track located in Madison County that conducted at least 100 days of live racing during the immediately preceding calendar year may be issued an inter-track wagering license, unless a lesser schedule of live racing is the result of (A) weather, unsafe track conditions, or other acts of God; (B) an agreement between the organization licensee and the associations representing the largest number of owners, trainers, jockeys, or standardbred drivers who race horses at that organization licensee's racing meeting; or (C) a finding by the Board of extraordinary circumstances and that it was in the best interest of the public and the sport to conduct fewer than 100 days of live racing. Any such person having operating control of the racing facility may also receive up to 6 inter-track wagering location licenses. In no event shall more than 6 inter-track wagering locations be established for each eligible race track, except that an eligible race track located in a county that has a population of more than 230,000 and that is bounded by the Mississippi River may establish up to 7 inter-track wagering locations. An application for said license shall be filed with the Board prior to such dates as may be fixed by the Board. With an application for an inter-track wagering location license there shall be delivered to the Board a certified check or bank draft payable to the order of the Board for an amount equal to \$2,500 \$500. The application shall be on forms prescribed and furnished by the Board. The application shall comply with all other rules, regulations and conditions imposed by the Board in connection therewith.
  - (2) The Board shall examine the applications with respect to their conformity with this Act and the rules and regulations imposed by the Board. If found to be in compliance with the Act and rules and regulations of the Board, the Board may then issue a license to conduct inter-track wagering and simulcast wagering to such applicant. All such applications shall be acted upon by the Board at a meeting to be held on such date as may be fixed by the Board.
  - (3) In granting licenses to conduct inter-track wagering and simulcast wagering, the Board shall give due consideration to the best interests of the public, of horse racing, and of maximizing revenue to the State.
  - (4) Prior to the issuance of a license to conduct inter-track wagering and simulcast wagering, the applicant shall file with the Board a bond payable to the State of Illinois in the sum of \$50,000, executed by the applicant and a surety company or companies authorized to do business in this State, and conditioned upon (i) the payment by the licensee of all taxes due under Section 27 or 27.1 and any other monies due and payable under this Act, and (ii) distribution by the licensee, upon presentation of the winning ticket or tickets, of all sums payable to the patrons of pari-mutuel pools.
  - (5) Each license to conduct inter-track wagering and simulcast wagering shall specify the person to whom it is issued, the dates on which such wagering is permitted, and the track or location where the wagering is to be conducted.
  - (6) All wagering under such license is subject to this Act and to the rules and regulations from time to time prescribed by the Board, and every such license issued by the Board shall contain a recital to that effect.
  - (7) An inter-track wagering licensee or inter-track wagering location licensee may accept wagers at the track or location where it is licensed, or as otherwise provided under this Act.
  - (8) Inter-track wagering or simulcast wagering shall not be conducted at any track less than 5 miles from a track at which a racing meeting is in progress.
  - (8.1) Inter-track wagering location licensees who derive their licensees from a
  - particular organization licensee shall conduct inter-track wagering and simulcast wagering only at locations which are either within 90 miles of that race track where the particular organization licensee is licensed to conduct racing, or within 135 miles of that race track where the particular organization licensee is licensee is licenseed to conduct racing in the case of race tracks in counties of less than 400,000 that

were operating on or before June 1, 1986. However, inter-track wagering and simulcast wagering shall not be conducted by those licensees at any location within 5 miles of any race track at which a horse race meeting has been licensed in the current year, unless the person having operating control of such race track has given its written consent to such inter-track wagering location licensees, which consent must be filed with the Board at or prior to the time application is made.

- (8.2) Inter-track wagering or simulcast wagering shall not be conducted by an inter-track wagering location licensee at any location within 500 feet of an existing church or existing school, nor within 500 feet of the residences of more than 50 registered voters without receiving written permission from a majority of the registered voters at such residences. Such written permission statements shall be filed with the Board. The distance of 500 feet shall be measured to the nearest part of any building used for worship services, education programs, residential purposes, or conducting inter-track wagering by an inter-track wagering location licensee, and not to property boundaries. However, inter-track wagering or simulcast wagering may be conducted at a site within 500 feet of a church, school or residences of 50 or more registered voters if such church, school or residences have been erected or established, or such voters have been registered, after the Board issues the original inter-track wagering location license at the site in question. Inter-track wagering location licensees may conduct inter-track wagering and simulcast wagering only in areas that are zoned for commercial or manufacturing purposes or in areas for which a special use has been approved by the local zoning authority. However, no license to conduct inter-track wagering and simulcast wagering shall be granted by the Board with respect to any inter-track wagering location within the jurisdiction of any local zoning authority which has, by ordinance or by resolution, prohibited the establishment of an inter-track wagering location within its jurisdiction. However, inter-track wagering and simulcast wagering may be conducted at a site if such ordinance or resolution is enacted after the Board licenses the original inter-track wagering location licensee for the site in question.
  - (9) (Blank).
- (10) An inter-track wagering licensee or an inter-track wagering location licensee may retain, subject to the payment of the privilege taxes and the purses, an amount not to exceed 17% of all money wagered. Each program of racing conducted by each inter-track wagering licensee or inter-track wagering location licensee shall be considered a separate racing day for the purpose of determining the daily handle and computing the privilege tax or pari-mutuel tax on such daily handle as provided in Section 27.
- (10.1) Except as provided in subsection (g) of Section 27 of this Act, inter-track wagering location licensees shall pay 1% of the pari-mutuel handle at each location to the municipality in which such location is situated and 1% of the pari-mutuel handle at each location to the county in which such location is situated. In the event that an inter-track wagering location licensee is situated in an unincorporated area of a county, such licensee shall pay 2% of the pari-mutuel handle from such location to such county.
- (10.2) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, with respect to intertrack wagering at a race track located in a county that has a population of more than 230,000 and that is bounded by the Mississippi River ("the first race track"), or at a facility operated by an inter-track wagering licensee or inter-track wagering location licensee that derives its license from the organization licensee that operates the first race track, on races conducted at the first race track or on races conducted at another Illinois race track and simultaneously televised to the first race track or to a facility operated by an inter-track wagering licensee or inter-track wagering location licensee that derives its license from the organization licensee that operates the first race track, those moneys shall be allocated as follows:
  - (A) That portion of all moneys wagered on standardbred racing that is required under this Act to be paid to purses shall be paid to purses for standardbred races.
  - (B) That portion of all moneys wagered on thoroughbred racing that is required under this Act to be paid to purses shall be paid to purses for thoroughbred races.
- (11) (A) After payment of the privilege or pari-mutuel tax, any other applicable taxes, and the costs and expenses in connection with the gathering, transmission, and dissemination of all data necessary to the conduct of inter-track wagering, the remainder of the monies retained under either Section 26 or Section 26.2 of this Act by the inter-track wagering licensee on inter-track wagering shall be allocated with 50% to be split between the 2 participating licensees and 50% to purses, except that an intertrack wagering licensee that derives its license from a track located in a county with a population in excess of 230,000 and that borders the Mississippi River shall not divide any remaining retention with the Illinois organization licensee that provides the race or races, and an intertrack wagering licensee that accepts wagers on races conducted by an organization licensee that

conducts a race meet in a county with a population in excess of 230,000 and that borders the Mississippi River shall not divide any remaining retention with that organization licensee.

(B) From the sums permitted to be retained pursuant to this Act each inter-track wagering location licensee shall pay (i) the privilege or pari-mutuel tax to the State; (ii) 4.75% of the pari-mutuel handle on intertrack wagering at such location on races as purses, except that an intertrack wagering location licensee that derives its license from a track located in a county with a population in excess of 230,000 and that borders the Mississippi River shall retain all purse moneys for its own purse account consistent with distribution set forth in this subsection (h), and intertrack wagering location licensees that accept wagers on races conducted by an organization licensee located in a county with a population in excess of 230,000 and that borders the Mississippi River shall distribute all purse moneys to purses at the operating host track; (iii) until January 1, 2000, except as provided in subsection (g) of Section 27 of this Act, 1% of the pari-mutuel handle wagered on inter-track wagering and simulcast wagering at each inter-track wagering location licensee facility to the Horse Racing Tax Allocation Fund, provided that, to the extent the total amount collected and distributed to the Horse Racing Tax Allocation Fund under this subsection (h) during any calendar year exceeds the amount collected and distributed to the Horse Racing Tax Allocation Fund during calendar year 1994, that excess amount shall be redistributed (I) to all inter-track wagering location licensees, based on each licensee's pro-rata share of the total handle from inter-track wagering and simulcast wagering for all inter-track wagering location licensees during the calendar year in which this provision is applicable; then (II) the amounts redistributed to each inter-track wagering location licensee as described in subpart (I) shall be further redistributed as provided in subparagraph (B) of paragraph (5) of subsection (g) of this Section 26 provided first, that the shares of those amounts, which are to be redistributed to the host track or to purses at the host track under subparagraph (B) of paragraph (5) of subsection (g) of this Section 26 shall be redistributed based on each host track's pro rata share of the total inter-track wagering and simulcast wagering handle at all host tracks during the calendar year in question, and second, that any amounts redistributed as described in part (I) to an inter-track wagering location licensee that accepts wagers on races conducted by an organization licensee that conducts a race meet in a county with a population in excess of 230,000 and that borders the Mississippi River shall be further redistributed as provided in subparagraphs (D) and (E) of paragraph (7) of subsection (g) of this Section 26, with the portion of that further redistribution allocated to purses at that organization licensee to be divided between standardbred purses and thoroughbred purses based on the amounts otherwise allocated to purses at that organization licensee during the calendar year in question; and (iv) 8% of the pari-mutuel handle on inter-track wagering wagered at such location to satisfy all costs and expenses of conducting its wagering. The remainder of the monies retained by the inter-track wagering location licensee shall be allocated 40% to the location licensee and 60% to the organization licensee which provides the Illinois races to the location, except that an intertrack wagering location licensee that derives its license from a track located in a county with a population in excess of 230,000 and that borders the Mississippi River shall not divide any remaining retention with the organization licensee that provides the race or races and an intertrack wagering location licensee that accepts wagers on races conducted by an organization licensee that conducts a race meet in a county with a population in excess of 230,000 and that borders the Mississippi River shall not divide any remaining retention with the organization licensee. Notwithstanding the provisions of clauses (ii) and (iv) of this paragraph, in the case of the additional inter-track wagering location licenses authorized under paragraph (1) of this subsection (h) by this amendatory Act of 1991, those licensees shall pay the following amounts as purses: during the first 12 months the licensee is in operation, 5.25% of the pari-mutuel handle wagered at the location on races; during the second 12 months, 5.25%; during the third 12 months, 5.75%; during the fourth 12 months, 6.25%; and during the fifth 12 months and thereafter, 6.75%. The following amounts shall be retained by the licensee to satisfy all costs and expenses of conducting its wagering: during the first 12 months the licensee is in operation, 8.25% of the pari-mutuel handle wagered at the location; during the second 12 months, 8.25%; during the third 12 months, 7.75%; during the fourth 12 months, 7.25%; and during the fifth 12 months and thereafter, 6.75%. For additional intertrack wagering location licensees authorized under this amendatory Act of 1995, purses for the first 12 months the licensee is in operation shall be 5.75% of the pari-mutuel wagered at the location, purses for the second 12 months the licensee is in operation shall be 6.25%, and purses thereafter shall be 6.75%. For additional intertrack location licensees authorized under this amendatory Act of 1995, the licensee shall be allowed to retain to satisfy all costs and expenses: 7.75% of the pari-mutuel handle wagered at the location during its first 12 months of operation, 7.25% during its second 12 months of operation, and 6.75% thereafter.

(C) There is hereby created the Horse Racing Tax Allocation Fund which shall remain in

existence until December 31, 1999. Moneys remaining in the Fund after December 31, 1999 shall be paid into the General Revenue Fund. Until January 1, 2000, all monies paid into the Horse Racing Tax Allocation Fund pursuant to this paragraph (11) by inter-track wagering location licensees located in park districts of 500,000 population or less, or in a municipality that is not included within any park district but is included within a conservation district and is the county seat of a county that (i) is contiguous to the state of Indiana and (ii) has a 1990 population of 88,257 according to the United States Bureau of the Census, and operating on May 1, 1994 shall be allocated by appropriation as follows:

Two-sevenths to the Department of Agriculture. Fifty percent of this two-sevenths shall be used to promote the Illinois horse racing and breeding industry, and shall be distributed by the Department of Agriculture upon the advice of a 9-member committee appointed by the Governor consisting of the following members: the Director of Agriculture, who shall serve as chairman; 2 representatives of organization licensees conducting thoroughbred race meetings in this State, recommended by those licensees; 2 representatives of organization licensees conducting standardbred race meetings in this State, recommended by those licensees; a representative of the Illinois Thoroughbred Breeders and Owners Foundation, recommended by that Foundation; a representative of the Illinois Standardbred Owners and Breeders Association, recommended by that Association; a representative of the Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association or any successor organization thereto established in Illinois comprised of the largest number of owners and trainers, recommended by that Association or that successor organization; and a representative of the Illinois Harness Horsemen's Association, recommended by that Association. Committee members shall serve for terms of 2 years, commencing January 1 of each even-numbered year. If a representative of any of the above-named entities has not been recommended by January 1 of any even-numbered year, the Governor shall appoint a committee member to fill that position. Committee members shall receive no compensation for their services as members but shall be reimbursed for all actual and necessary expenses and disbursements incurred in the performance of their official duties. The remaining 50% of this two-sevenths shall be distributed to county fairs for premiums and rehabilitation as set forth in the Agricultural Fair Act;

Four-sevenths to park districts or municipalities that do not have a park district

of 500,000 population or less for museum purposes (if an inter-track wagering location licensee is located in such a park district) or to conservation districts for museum purposes (if an inter-track wagering location licensee is located in a municipality that is not included within any park district but is included within a conservation district and is the county seat of a county that (i) is contiguous to the state of Indiana and (ii) has a 1990 population of 88,257 according to the United States Bureau of the Census, except that if the conservation district does not maintain a museum, the monies shall be allocated equally between the county and the municipality in which the inter-track wagering location licensee is located for general purposes) or to a municipal recreation board for park purposes (if an inter-track wagering location licensee is located in a municipality that is not included within any park district and park maintenance is the function of the municipal recreation board and the municipality has a 1990 population of 9,302 according to the United States Bureau of the Census); provided that the monies are distributed to each park district or conservation district or municipality that does not have a park district in an amount equal to four-sevenths of the amount collected by each inter-track wagering location licensee within the park district or conservation district or municipality for the Fund. Monies that were paid into the Horse Racing Tax Allocation Fund before the effective date of this amendatory Act of 1991 by an inter-track wagering location licensee located in a municipality that is not included within any park district but is included within a conservation district as provided in this paragraph shall, as soon as practicable after the effective date of this amendatory Act of 1991, be allocated and paid to that conservation district as provided in this paragraph. Any park district or municipality not maintaining a museum may deposit the monies in the corporate fund of the park district or municipality where the inter-track wagering location is located, to be used for general purposes; and

One-seventh to the Agricultural Premium Fund to be used for distribution to agricultural home economics extension councils in accordance with "An Act in relation to additional support and finances for the Agricultural and Home Economic Extension Councils in the several counties of this State and making an appropriation therefor", approved July 24, 1967.

Until January 1, 2000, all other monies paid into the Horse Racing Tax Allocation Fund pursuant to this paragraph (11) shall be allocated by appropriation as follows:

Two-sevenths to the Department of Agriculture. Fifty percent of this two-sevenths shall be used to promote the Illinois horse racing and breeding industry, and shall be distributed by

the Department of Agriculture upon the advice of a 9-member committee appointed by the Governor consisting of the following members: the Director of Agriculture, who shall serve as chairman; 2 representatives of organization licensees conducting thoroughbred race meetings in this State, recommended by those licensees; 2 representatives of organization licensees conducting standardbred race meetings in this State, recommended by those licensees; a representative of the Illinois Thoroughbred Breeders and Owners Foundation, recommended by that Foundation; a representative of the Illinois Standardbred Owners and Breeders Association, recommended by that Association; a representative of the Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association or any successor organization thereto established in Illinois comprised of the largest number of owners and trainers, recommended by that Association or that successor organization; and a representative of the Illinois Harness Horsemen's Association, recommended by that Association. Committee members shall serve for terms of 2 years, commencing January 1 of each even-numbered year. If a representative of any of the above-named entities has not been recommended by January 1 of any even-numbered year, the Governor shall appoint a committee member to fill that position. Committee members shall receive no compensation for their services as members but shall be reimbursed for all actual and necessary expenses and disbursements incurred in the performance of their official duties. The remaining 50% of this two-sevenths shall be distributed to county fairs for premiums and rehabilitation as set forth in the Agricultural Fair Act;

Four-sevenths to museums and aquariums located in park districts of over 500,000 population; provided that the monies are distributed in accordance with the previous year's distribution of the maintenance tax for such museums and aquariums as provided in Section 2 of the Park District Aquarium and Museum Act; and

One-seventh to the Agricultural Premium Fund to be used for distribution to agricultural home economics extension councils in accordance with "An Act in relation to additional support and finances for the Agricultural and Home Economic Extension Councils in the several counties of this State and making an appropriation therefor", approved July 24, 1967. This subparagraph (C) shall be inoperative and of no force and effect on and after January 1, 2000.

- (D) Except as provided in paragraph (11) of this subsection (h), with respect to purse allocation from intertrack wagering, the monies so retained shall be divided as follows:
  - (i) If the inter-track wagering licensee, except an intertrack wagering licensee that derives its license from an organization licensee located in a county with a population in excess of 230,000 and bounded by the Mississippi River, is not conducting its own race meeting during the same dates, then the entire purse allocation shall be to purses at the track where the races wagered on are being conducted.
  - (ii) If the inter-track wagering licensee, except an intertrack wagering licensee that derives its license from an organization licensee located in a county with a population in excess of 230,000 and bounded by the Mississippi River, is also conducting its own race meeting during the same dates, then the purse allocation shall be as follows: 50% to purses at the track where the races wagered on are being conducted; 50% to purses at the track where the inter-track wagering licensee is accepting such wagers.
  - (iii) If the inter-track wagering is being conducted by an inter-track wagering location licensee, except an intertrack wagering location licensee that derives its license from an organization licensee located in a county with a population in excess of 230,000 and bounded by the Mississippi River, the entire purse allocation for Illinois races shall be to purses at the track where the race meeting being wagered on is being held.
- (12) The Board shall have all powers necessary and proper to fully supervise and control the conduct of inter-track wagering and simulcast wagering by inter-track wagering licensees and inter-track wagering location licensees, including, but not limited to the following:
  - (A) The Board is vested with power to promulgate reasonable rules and regulations for the purpose of administering the conduct of this wagering and to prescribe reasonable rules, regulations and conditions under which such wagering shall be held and conducted. Such rules and regulations are to provide for the prevention of practices detrimental to the public interest and for the best interests of said wagering and to impose penalties for violations thereof.
  - (B) The Board, and any person or persons to whom it delegates this power, is vested with the power to enter the facilities of any licensee to determine whether there has been compliance with the provisions of this Act and the rules and regulations relating to the conduct of such wagering.
  - (C) The Board, and any person or persons to whom it delegates this power, may eject or exclude from any licensee's facilities, any person whose conduct or reputation is such that his

presence on such premises may, in the opinion of the Board, call into the question the honesty and integrity of, or interfere with the orderly conduct of such wagering; provided, however, that no person shall be excluded or ejected from such premises solely on the grounds of race, color, creed, national origin, ancestry, or sex.

- (D) (Blank).
- (E) The Board is vested with the power to appoint delegates to execute any of the powers granted to it under this Section for the purpose of administering this wagering and any rules and regulations promulgated in accordance with this Act.
- (F) The Board shall name and appoint a State director of this wagering who shall be a representative of the Board and whose duty it shall be to supervise the conduct of inter-track wagering as may be provided for by the rules and regulations of the Board; such rules and regulation shall specify the method of appointment and the Director's powers, authority and duties.
- (G) The Board is vested with the power to impose civil penalties of up to \$5,000 against individuals and up to \$10,000 against licensees for each violation of any provision of this Act relating to the conduct of this wagering, any rules adopted by the Board, any order of the Board or any other action which in the Board's discretion, is a detriment or impediment to such wagering.
- (13) The Department of Agriculture may enter into agreements with licensees authorizing such licensees to conduct inter-track wagering on races to be held at the licensed race meetings conducted by the Department of Agriculture. Such agreement shall specify the races of the Department of Agriculture's licensed race meeting upon which the licensees will conduct wagering. In the event that a licensee conducts inter-track pari-mutuel wagering on races from the Illinois State Fair or DuQuoin State Fair which are in addition to the licensee's previously approved racing program, those races shall be considered a separate racing day for the purpose of determining the daily handle and computing the privilege or pari-mutuel tax on that daily handle as provided in Sections 27 and 27.1. Such agreements shall be approved by the Board before such wagering may be conducted. In determining whether to grant approval, the Board shall give due consideration to the best interests of the public and of horse racing. The provisions of paragraphs (1), (8), (8.1), and (8.2) of subsection (h) of this Section which are not specified in this paragraph (13) shall not apply to licensed race meetings conducted by the Department of Agriculture at the Illinois State Fair in Sangamon County or the DuQuoin State Fair in Perry County, or to any wagering conducted on those race meetings.
- (i) Notwithstanding the other provisions of this Act, the conduct of wagering at wagering facilities is authorized on all days, except as limited by subsection (b) of Section 19 of this Act. (Source: P.A. 91-40, eff. 6-25-99; 92-211, eff. 8-2-01.)

(230 ILCS 5/26.2) (from Ch. 8, par. 37-26.2)

Sec. 26.2. In addition to the amount retained by licensees pursuant to Section 26, each licensee may retain an additional amount up to 3 1/2% of the amount wagered on all multiple wagers plus an additional amount up to 8% of the amount wagered on any other multiple wager that involves a single betting interest on 3 or more horses. Amounts retained by organization licensees and inter-track wagering licensees on all forms of wagering shall be allocated, after payment of applicable State and local taxes and advance deposit wagering fees, if applicable, among organization licensees, inter-track wagering licensees, and purses as set forth in paragraph (5) of subsection (g) of Section 26, subparagraph (A) of paragraph (11) of subsection (h) of Section 26, and subsection (a) of Section 29 of this Act. Amounts retained by intertrack wagering location licensees under this Section on all forms of wagering shall be allocated, after payment of applicable State and local taxes, among organization licensees, intertrack wagering location licensees, and purses as set forth in paragraph 5 of subsection (g) of Section 26 and subparagraph (B) of paragraph (11) of subsection (h) of Section 26. (Source: P.A. 89-16, eff. 5-30-95.)

(230 ILCS 5/27) (from Ch. 8, par. 37-27)

Sec. 27. (a) In addition to the organization license fee provided by this Act, until January 1, 2000, a graduated privilege tax is hereby imposed for conducting the pari-mutuel system of wagering permitted under this Act. Until January 1, 2000, except as provided in subsection (g) of Section 27 of this Act, all of the breakage of each racing day held by any licensee in the State shall be paid to the State. Until January 1, 2000, such daily graduated privilege tax shall be paid by the licensee from the amount permitted to be retained under this Act. Until January 1, 2000, each day's graduated privilege tax, breakage, and Horse Racing Tax Allocation funds shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue within 48 hours after the close of the racing day upon which it is assessed or within such other time as the Board prescribes. The privilege tax hereby imposed, until January 1, 2000, shall be a flat tax at the rate of 2% of the daily pari-mutuel handle except as provided in Section 27.1.

In addition, every organization licensee, except as provided in Section 27.1 of this Act, which

conducts multiple wagering shall pay, until January 1, 2000, as a privilege tax on multiple wagers an amount equal to 1.25% of all moneys wagered each day on such multiple wagers, plus an additional amount equal to 3.5% of the amount wagered each day on any other multiple wager which involves a single betting interest on 3 or more horses. The licensee shall remit the amount of such taxes to the Department of Revenue within 48 hours after the close of the racing day on which it is assessed or within such other time as the Board prescribes.

This subsection (a) shall be inoperative and of no force and effect on and after January 1, 2000.

- (a-5) Beginning on January 1, 2000, a flat pari-mutuel tax at the rate of 1.5% of the daily pari-mutuel handle, other than from advance deposit wagering from a location other than a wagering facility, which shall be subject to a pari-mutuel tax at the rate of 1%, is imposed at all pari-mutuel wagering facilities, which shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue within 48 hours after the close of the racing day upon which it is assessed or within such other time as the Board prescribes.
- (b) On or before December 31, 1999, in the event that any organization licensee conducts 2 separate programs of races on any day, each such program shall be considered a separate racing day for purposes of determining the daily handle and computing the privilege tax on such daily handle as provided in subsection (a) of this Section.
- (c) Licensees shall at all times keep accurate books and records of all monies wagered on each day of a race meeting and of the taxes paid to the Department of Revenue under the provisions of this Section. The Board or its duly authorized representative or representatives shall at all reasonable times have access to such records for the purpose of examining and checking the same and ascertaining whether the proper amount of taxes is being paid as provided. The Board shall require verified reports and a statement of the total of all monies wagered daily at each wagering facility upon which the taxes are assessed and may prescribe forms upon which such reports and statement shall be made.
- (d) Any licensee failing or refusing to pay the amount of any tax due under this Section shall be guilty of a business offense and upon conviction shall be fined not more than \$5,000 in addition to the amount found due as tax under this Section. Each day's violation shall constitute a separate offense. All fines paid into Court by a licensee hereunder shall be transmitted and paid over by the Clerk of the Court to the Board.
- (e) No other license fee, privilege tax, excise tax, or racing fee, except as provided in this Act, shall be assessed or collected from any such licensee by the State.
- (f) No other license fee, privilege tax, excise tax or racing fee shall be assessed or collected from any such licensee by units of local government except as provided in paragraph 10.1 of subsection (h) and subsection (f) of Section 26 of this Act. However, any municipality that has a Board licensed horse race meeting at a race track wholly within its corporate boundaries or a township that has a Board licensed horse race meeting at a race track wholly within the unincorporated area of the township may charge a local amusement tax not to exceed 10¢ per admission to such horse race meeting by the enactment of an ordinance. However, any municipality or county that has a Board licensed inter-track wagering location facility wholly within its corporate boundaries may each impose an admission fee not to exceed \$1.00 per admission to such inter-track wagering location facility, so that a total of not more than \$2.00 per admission may be imposed. Except as provided in subparagraph (g) of Section 27 of this Act, the inter-track wagering location licensee shall collect any and all such fees and within 48 hours remit the fees to the Board, which shall, pursuant to rule, cause the fees to be distributed to the county or municipality.
- (g) Notwithstanding any provision in this Act to the contrary, if in any calendar year the total taxes and fees required to be collected from licensees and distributed under this Act to all State and local governmental authorities exceeds the amount of such taxes and fees distributed to each State and local governmental authority was entitled under this Act for calendar year 1994, then the first \$11 million of that excess amount shall be allocated at the earliest possible date for distribution as purse money for the succeeding calendar year. Upon reaching the 1994 level, and until the excess amount of taxes and fees exceeds \$11 million, the Board shall direct all licensees to cease paying the subject taxes and fees and the Board shall direct all licensees to allocate any such excess amount for purses as follows:
  - (i) the excess amount shall be initially divided between thoroughbred and standardbred purses based on the thoroughbred's and standardbred's respective percentages of total Illinois live wagering in calendar year 1994;
  - (ii) each thoroughbred and standardbred organization licensee issued an organization licensee in that succeeding allocation year shall be allocated an amount equal to the product of its percentage of total Illinois live thoroughbred or standardbred wagering in calendar year 1994 (the total to be determined based on the sum of 1994 on-track wagering for all organization licensees issued

organization licenses in both the allocation year and the preceding year) multiplied by the total amount allocated for standardbred or thoroughbred purses, provided that the first \$1,500,000 of the amount allocated to standardbred purses under item (i) shall be allocated to the Department of Agriculture to be expended with the assistance and advice of the Illinois Standardbred Breeders Funds Advisory Board for the purposes listed in subsection (g) of Section 31 of this Act, before the amount allocated to standardbred purses under item (i) is allocated to standardbred organization licensees in the succeeding allocation year.

To the extent the excess amount of taxes and fees to be collected and distributed to State and local governmental authorities exceeds \$11 million, that excess amount shall be collected and distributed to State and local authorities as provided for under this Act.

(Source: P.A. 91-40, eff. 6-25-99.)

(230 ILCS 5/29) (from Ch. 8, par. 37-29)

Sec. 29. (a) After the privilege or pari-mutuel tax established in Sections 26(f), 27, and 27.1 is paid to the State from the monies <u>from wagering other than advance deposit wagering</u> retained by the organization licensee pursuant to Sections 26, 26.2, and 26.3, the remainder of those monies retained pursuant to Sections 26 and 26.2, except as provided in subsection (g) of Section 27 of this Act, shall be allocated evenly to the organization licensee and as purses. <u>Monies from advance deposit wagering shall be allocated as provided in subsection (g) of Section 26.</u>

- (b) (Blank).
- (c) (Blank).
- (d) Each organization licensee and inter-track wagering licensee from the money retained for purses as set forth in subsection (a) of this Section, shall pay to an organization representing the largest number of horse owners and trainers which has negotiated a contract with the organization licensee for such purpose an amount equal to at least 1% of the organization licensee's and inter-track wagering licensee's retention of the pari-mutuel handle for the racing season. Each inter-track wagering location licensee, from the 4% of its handle required to be paid as purses under paragraph (11) of subsection (h) of Section 26 of this Act, shall pay to the contractually established representative organization 2% of that 4%, provided that the payments so made to the organization shall not exceed a total of \$125,000 in any calendar year. Such contract shall be negotiated and signed prior to the beginning of the racing season. (Source: P.A. 91-40, eff. 6-25-99.)

(230 ILCS 5/31.1) (from Ch. 8, par. 37-31.1)

Sec. 31.1. (a) Organization licensees collectively shall contribute annually to charity the sum of \$750,000 to non-profit organizations that provide medical and family, counseling, and similar services to persons who reside or work on the backstretch of Illinois racetracks. These contributions shall be collected as follows: (i) no later than July 1st of each year the Board shall assess each organization licensee, except those tracks which are not within 100 miles of each other which tracks shall pay \$30,000 annually apiece into the Board charity fund, that amount which equals \$720,000 \$690,000 multiplied by the amount of pari-mutuel wagering handled by the organization licensee in the year preceding assessment and divided by the total pari-mutuel wagering handled by all Illinois organization licensees, except those tracks which are not within 100 miles of each other, in the year preceding assessment; (ii) notice of the assessed contribution shall be mailed to each organization licensee; (iii) within thirty days of its receipt of such notice, each organization licensee shall remit the assessed contribution to the Board. If an organization licensee wilfully fails to so remit the contribution, the Board may revoke its license to conduct horse racing.

(a-5) In addition to any amount specified under subsection (a), each race track that conducts live racing shall contribute \$81,250 annually to the Board's charity fund, except that those race tracks that are not within 100 miles of any other race track shall pay \$25,000 annually. In addition, all organization licensees shall pay \$50,000 collectively each year from the purse accounts for thoroughbred racing to the Board's charity fund on a pro rata basis, based on the total number of thoroughbred racing days awarded by the Board in the current year, and all organization licensees shall pay \$50,000 collectively each year from the purse accounts for standardbred racing to the Board's charity fund on a pro rata basis, based on the total number of standardbred racing days awarded by the Board in the current year.

(b) No later than October 1st of each year, any qualified charitable organization seeking an allotment of contributed funds shall submit to the Board an application for those funds, using the Board's approved form. No later than December 31st of each year, the Board shall distribute all such amounts collected that year to such charitable organization applicants.

(Source: P.A. 87-110.)

Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect upon becoming law.".

And on that motion, a call of the roll was had resulting as follows:

Yeas 16; Navs 32; Present 2.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Clayborne Harmon Munoz
Cullerton Hendon Peterson
del Valle Hunter Sandoval
DeLeo Jones, W. Silverstein
Halvorson Martinez Wilhelmi

The following voted in the negative:

Althoff Forby Raoul Bomke Garrett Righter Brady Geo-Karis Risinger Burzynski Jacobs Roskam Collins Jones, J. Rutherford Crotty Lauzen Schoenberg Dahl Meeks Shadid Demuzio Pankau Sieben Dillard Petka Sullivan, D.

The following voted present:

Haine Maloney

The motion failed.

And Senate Bill 314 was held on the order of second reading.

## READING OF BILL OF THE SENATE A THIRD TIME

On motion of Senator Halvorson, **Senate Bill No. 320**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 56; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Geo-Karis Meeks Bomke Haine Munoz Brady Halvorson Pankau Burzynski Harmon Peterson Clayborne Hendon Petka Collins Hunter Radogno Crotty Jacobs Raoul Cullerton Jones, J. Righter Dahl Jones, W. Risinger del Valle Lauzen Ronen DeLeo. Lightford Roskam Demuzio Link Rutherford Luechtefeld Dillard Sandoval Malonev Forby Schoenberg Garrett Martinez Shadid

[April 15, 2005]

Mr. President

Sullivan, J.

Syverson

Viverito

Watson

Winkel

Sieben

Silverstein

Sullivan, D.

Sullivan, J.

Syverson

Trotter

Viverito

Watson

Winkel

Wilhelmi

Mr President

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein

## SENATE BILL RECALLED

On motion of Senator Halvorson, **Senate Bill No. 431** was recalled from the order of third reading to the order of second reading.

Senator Halvorson offered the following amendment and moved its adoption:

## AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE BILL 431

AMENDMENT NO. <u>1</u>. Amend Senate Bill 431 by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

"Section 5. The State Finance Act is amended by adding Section 5.640 as follows:

(30 ILCS 105/5.640 new)

Sec. 5.640. The Clean Communities Recycling Fund.

Section 10. The Environmental Protection Act is amended by changing Sections 21.3, 22.44, 34, 39, 42, and 58.8 and by adding Sections 4.2, 21.7, 22.15a, 22.50, 22.51, and 22.52 as follows: (415 ILCS 5/4.2 new)

Sec. 4.2. Guidance documents. The Agency is authorized to prepare and distribute guidance documents relative to its administration of this Act and rules adopted pursuant to this Act. These documents shall not be considered rules for the purposes of the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act.

(415 ILCS 5/21.3) (from Ch. 111 1/2, par. 1021.3)

Sec. 21.3. Environmental reclamation lien.

- (a) All costs and damages for which a person is liable to the State of Illinois under Section 22.2, 22.15a, 55.3, or 57.12 and Section 22.18 shall constitute an environmental reclamation lien in favor of the State of Illinois upon all real property and rights to such property which:
  - (1) belong to such person; and
  - (2) are subject to or affected by a removal or remedial action under Section 22.2 or <u>investigation</u>, preventive action, corrective action, or enforcement action under Section 22.15a, 55.3, or 57.12 22.18.
- (b) An environmental reclamation lien shall continue until the liability for the costs and damages, or a judgment against the person arising out of such liability, is satisfied.
- (c) An environmental reclamation lien shall be effective upon the filing by the Agency of a Notice of Environmental Reclamation Lien with the recorder or the registrar of titles of the county in which the real property lies. The Agency shall not file an environmental reclamation lien, and no such lien shall be valid, unless the Agency has sent notice pursuant to subsection (q) of Section 12.15 and 15.15 and 15.15
- (d) The environmental reclamation lien shall not exceed the amount of expenditures as itemized on the Affidavit of Expenditures attached to and filed with the Notice of Environmental Reclamation Lien. The Affidavit of Expenditures may be amended if additional costs or damages are incurred.
- (e) Upon filing of the Notice of Environmental Reclamation Lien a copy with attachments shall be served upon the owners of the real property. Notice of such service shall be served on all lienholders of record as of the date of filing.
- (f) (Blank) Within 60 days after initiating response or remedial action at the site under Section 22.2 or 22.18, the Agency shall file a Notice of Response Action in Progress. The Notice shall be filed with the

## recorder or registrar of titles of the county in which the real property lies.

- (g) In addition to any other remedy provided by the laws of this State, the Agency may foreclose in the circuit court an environmental reclamation lien on real property for any costs or damages imposed under Section 22.2, 22.15a, 55.3, or 57.12 or Section 22.18 to the same extent and in the same manner as in the enforcement of other liens. The process, practice and procedure for such foreclosure shall be the same as provided in Article XV of the Code of Civil Procedure. Nothing in this Section shall affect the right of the State of Illinois to bring an action against any person to recover all costs and damages for which such person is liable under Section 22.2, 22.15a, 55.3, or 57.12 or Section 22.18.
- (h) Any liability to the State under Section 22.2, 22.15a, 55.3, or 57.12 or Section 22.18 shall constitute a debt to the State. Interest on such debt shall begin to accrue at a rate of 12% per annum from the date of the filing of the Notice of Environmental Reclamation Lien under paragraph (c). Accrued interest shall be included as a cost incurred by the State of Illinois under Section 22.2, 22.15a, 55.3, or 57.12 or Section 22.18.
- (i) "Environmental reclamation lien" means a lien established under this Section. (Source: P.A. 92-574, eff. 6-26-02.)

(415 ILCS 5/21.7 new)

Sec. 21.7. Clean Communities Recycling Fund. The Clean Communities Recycling Fund is created as a special fund in the State treasury. Moneys in the Fund shall be used, subject to appropriation, by the Agency solely for anti-litter programs, including but not limited to litter cleanup efforts by the State and local governments, adopt-a-highway programs, and education efforts to encourage recycling and discourage littering.

(415 ILCS 5/22.15a new)

Sec. 22.15a. Open dumping cleanup program.

- (a) Upon making a finding that open dumping poses a threat to the public health or to the environment, the Agency may take whatever preventive or corrective action is necessary or appropriate to end that threat. This preventive or corrective action may consist of any or all of the following:
  - (1) Removing waste from the site.
  - (2) Removing soil and water contamination that is related to waste at the site.
- (3) Installing devices to monitor and control groundwater and surface water contamination that is related to waste at the site.
  - (4) Taking any other actions that are authorized by Board regulations.
- (b) Subject to the availability of appropriated funds, the Agency may undertake a consensual removal action for the removal of up to 20 cubic yards of waste at no cost to the owner of property where open dumping has occurred in accordance with the following requirements:
- (1) Actions under this subsection must be taken pursuant to a written agreement between the Agency and the owner of the property.
  - (2) The written agreement must at a minimum specify:
- (A) that the owner relinquishes any claim of an ownership interest in any waste that is removed and in any proceeds from its sale;
- (B) that waste will no longer be allowed to accumulate at the site in a manner that constitutes open dumping;
- (C) that the owner will hold harmless the Agency and any employee or contractor used by the Agency to effect the removal for any damage to property incurred during the course of action under this subsection, except for damage incurred by gross negligence or intentional misconduct; and
- (D) any conditions imposed upon or assistance required from the owner to assure that the waste is so located or arranged as to facilitate its removal.
- (3) The Agency may establish by rule the conditions and priorities for the removal of waste under this subsection (b).
  - (4) The Agency must prescribe the form of written agreements under this subsection (b).
- (c) The Agency may provide notice to the owner of property where open dumping has occurred whenever the Agency finds that open dumping poses a threat to public health or the environment. The notice provided by the Agency must include the identified preventive or corrective action and must provide an opportunity for the owner to perform the action.
- (d) In accordance with constitutional limitations, the Agency may enter, at all reasonable times, upon any private or public property for the purpose of taking any preventive or corrective action that is necessary and appropriate under this Section whenever the Agency finds that open dumping poses a threat to the public health or to the environment.
- (e) Notwithstanding any other provision or rule of law and subject only to the defenses set forth in subsection (g) of this Section, the following persons shall be liable for all costs of corrective or

preventive action incurred by the State of Illinois as a result of open dumping, including the reasonable costs of collection:

- (1) any person with an ownership interest in property where open dumping has occurred;
- (2) any person with an ownership or leasehold interest in the property at the time the open dumping occurred;
  - (3) any person who transported waste that was open dumped at the property; and
  - (4) any person who open dumped at the property.

Any moneys received by the Agency under this subsection (e) must be deposited into the Subtitle D Management Fund.

- (f) Any person liable to the Agency for costs incurred under subsection (e) of this Section may be liable to the State of Illinois for punitive damages in an amount at least equal to and not more than 3 times the costs incurred by the State if that person failed, without sufficient cause, to take preventive or corrective action under the notice issued under subsection (c) of this Section.
- (g) There shall be no liability under subsection (e) of this Section for a person otherwise liable who can establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the hazard created by the open dumping was caused solely by:
  - (1) an act of God;
  - (2) an act of war; or
- (3) an act or omission of a third party other than an employee or agent and other than a person whose act or omission occurs in connection with a contractual relationship with the person otherwise liable. For the purposes of this paragraph, "contractual relationship" includes, but is not limited to, land contracts, deeds, and other instruments transferring title or possession, unless the real property upon which the open dumping occurred was acquired by the defendant after the open dumping occurred and one or more of the following circumstances is also established by a preponderance of the evidence:
- (A) at the time the defendant acquired the property, the defendant did not know and had no reason to know that any open dumping had occurred and the defendant undertook, at the time of acquisition, all appropriate inquiries into the previous ownership and uses of the property consistent with good commercial or customary practice in an effort to minimize liability;
- (B) the defendant is a government entity that acquired the property by escheat or through any other involuntary transfer or acquisition, or through the exercise of eminent domain authority by purchase or condemnation; or
  - (C) the defendant acquired the property by inheritance or bequest.
- (h) Nothing in this Section shall affect or modify the obligations or liability of any person under any other provision of this Act, federal law, or State law, including the common law, for injuries, damages, or losses resulting from the circumstances leading to Agency action under this Section.
- (i) The costs and damages provided for in this Section may be imposed by the Board in an action brought before the Board in accordance with Title VIII of this Act, except that subsection (c) of Section 33 of this Act shall not apply to any such action.
- (j) Neither the State, the Agency, the Board, the Director, nor any State employee is liable for any damage or injury arising out of or resulting from any action taken under this Section.

(415 ILCS 5/22.44)

Sec. 22.44. Subtitle D management fees.

- (a) There is created within the State treasury a special fund to be known as the "Subtitle D Management Fund" constituted from the fees collected by the State under this Section.
- (b) The Agency shall assess and collect a fee in the amount set forth in this subsection from the owner or operator of each sanitary landfill permitted or required to be permitted by the Agency to dispose of solid waste if the sanitary landfill is located off the site where the waste was produced and if the sanitary landfill is owned, controlled, and operated by a person other than the generator of the waste. The Agency shall deposit all fees collected under this subsection into the Subtitle D Management Fund. If a site is contiguous to one or more landfills owned or operated by the same person, the volumes permanently disposed of by each landfill shall be combined for purposes of determining the fee under this subsection.
  - (1) If more than 150,000 cubic yards of non-hazardous solid waste is permanently disposed of at a site in a calendar year, the owner or operator shall either pay a fee of 10.1 cents per cubic yard or, alternatively, the owner or operator may weigh the quantity of the solid waste permanently disposed of with a device for which certification has been obtained under the Weights and Measures Act and pay a fee of 22 cents per ton of waste permanently disposed of.
  - (2) If more than 100,000 cubic yards, but not more than 150,000 cubic yards, of non-hazardous waste is permanently disposed of at a site in a calendar year, the owner or operator shall pay a fee of \$7,020.

- (3) If more than 50,000 cubic yards, but not more than 100,000 cubic yards, of non-hazardous solid waste is permanently disposed of at a site in a calendar year, the owner or operator shall pay a fee of \$3,120.
- (4) If more than 10,000 cubic yards, but not more than 50,000 cubic yards, of non-hazardous solid waste is permanently disposed of at a site in a calendar year, the owner or operator shall pay a fee of \$975.
- (5) If not more than 10,000 cubic yards of non-hazardous solid waste is permanently disposed of at a site in a calendar year, the owner or operator shall pay a fee of \$210.
- (c) The fee under subsection (b) shall not apply to any of the following:
  - (1) Hazardous waste.
  - (2) Pollution control waste.
- (3) Waste from recycling, reclamation, or reuse processes that have been approved by
- the Agency as being designed to remove any contaminant from wastes so as to render the wastes reusable, provided that the process renders at least 50% of the waste reusable.
  - (4) Non-hazardous solid waste that is received at a sanitary landfill and composted or recycled through a process permitted by the Agency.
  - (5) Any landfill that is permitted by the Agency to receive only demolition or construction debris or landscape waste.
- (d) The Agency shall establish rules relating to the collection of the fees authorized by this Section. These rules shall include, but not be limited to the following:
  - (1) Necessary records identifying the quantities of solid waste received or disposed.
  - (2) The form and submission of reports to accompany the payment of fees to the Agency.
  - (3) The time and manner of payment of fees to the Agency, which payments shall not be more often than quarterly.
  - (4) Procedures setting forth criteria establishing when an owner or operator may measure by weight or volume during any given quarter or other fee payment period.
- (e) Fees collected under this Section shall be in addition to any other fees collected under any other Section.
  - (f) The Agency shall not refund any fee paid to it under this Section.
- (g) Pursuant to appropriation, all moneys in the Subtitle D Management Fund shall be used by the Agency to administer the United States Environmental Protection Agency's Subtitle D Program provided in Sections 4004 and 4010 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (P.L. 94-580) as it relates to a municipal solid waste landfill program in Illinois and to fund a delegation of inspecting, investigating, and enforcement functions, within the municipality only, pursuant to subsection (r) of Section 4 of this Act to a municipality having a population of more than 1,000,000 inhabitants. The Agency shall execute a delegation agreement pursuant to subsection (r) of Section 4 of this Act with a municipality having a population of more than 1,000,000 inhabitants within 90 days of September 13, 1993 and shall on an annual basis distribute from the Subtitle D Management Fund to that municipality no less than \$150,000. Pursuant to appropriation, moneys in the Subtitle D Management Fund may also be used by the Agency for activities conducted under Section 22.15a of this Act.

(Source: P.A. 92-574, eff. 6-26-02; 93-32, eff. 7-1-03.)

(415 ILCS 5/22.50 new)

Sec. 22.50. Compliance with land use limitations. No person shall use, or cause or allow the use of, any site for which a land use limitation has been imposed under this Act in a manner inconsistent with the land use limitation unless further investigation or remedial action has been conducted that documents the attainment of remedial objectives appropriate for the new land use and a new closure letter has been obtained from the Agency and recorded in the chain of title for the site. For the purpose of this Section, the term "land use limitation" shall include, but shall not be limited to, institutional controls and engineered barriers imposed under this Act and the regulations adopted under this Act. For the purposes of this Section, the term "closure letter" shall include, but shall not be limited to, No Further Remediation Letters issued under Titles XVI and XVII of this Act and the regulations adopted under those Titles.

(415 ILCS 5/22.51 new)

Sec. 22.51. Clean Construction or Demolition Debris Fill Operations.

(a) No person shall conduct any clean construction or demolition debris fill operation in violation of this Act or any regulations or standards adopted by the Board.

(b)(1)(A) Beginning 30 days after the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 94th General Assembly but prior to July 1, 2008, no person shall use clean construction or demolition debris as fill material in a current or former quarry, mine, or other excavation, unless they have applied for an interim

authorization from the Agency for the clean construction or demolition debris fill operation.

- (B) The Agency shall approve an interim authorization upon its receipt of a written application for the interim authorization that is signed by the site owner and the site operator, or their duly authorized agent, and that contains the following information: (i) the location of the site where the clean construction or demolition debris fill operation is taking place, (ii) the name and address of the site owner, (iii) the name and address of the site operator, and (iv) the types and amounts of clean construction or demolition debris being used as fill material at the site.
- (C) The Agency may deny an interim authorization if the site owner or the site operator, or their duly authorized agent, fails to provide to the Agency the information listed in subsection (b)(1)(B) of this Section. Any denial of an interim authorization shall be subject to appeal to the Board in accordance with the procedures of Section 40 of this Act.
- (D) No person shall use clean construction or demolition debris as fill material in a current or former quarry, mine, or other excavation for which the Agency has denied interim authorization under subsection (b)(1)(C) of this Section. The Board may stay the prohibition of this subsection (D) during the pendency, of an appeal of the Agency's denial of the interim authorization brought under subsection (b)(1)(C) of this Section.
- (2) Beginning September 1, 2006, owners and operators of clean construction or demolition debris fill operations shall, in accordance with a schedule prescribed by the Agency, submit to the Agency applications for the permits required under this Section. The Agency shall notify owners and operators in writing of the due date for their permit application. The due date shall be no less than 90 days after the date of the Agency's written notification. Owners and operators who do not receive a written notification from the Agency by October 1, 2007, shall submit a permit application to the Agency by January 1, 2008. The interim authorization of owners and operators who fail to submit a permit application to the Agency by the permit application's due date shall terminate on (i) the due date established by the Agency if the owner or operator received a written notification from the Agency prior to October 1, 2007, or (ii) or January 1, 2008, if the owner or operator did not receive a written notification from the Agency by October 1, 2007.
- (3) On and after July 1, 2008, no person shall use clean construction or demolition debris as fill material in a current or former quarry, mine, or other excavation without a permit granted by the Agency for the clean construction or demolition debris fill operation or in violation of any conditions imposed by such permit, including periodic reports and full access to adequate records and the inspection of facilities, as may be necessary to assure compliance with this Act and with Board regulations and standards adopted under this Act.
- (4) This subsection (b) does not apply to the use of clean construction or demolition debris as fill material in a current or former quarry, mine, or other excavation located on the site where the clean construction or demolition debris was generated.
- (c) In accordance with Title VII of this Act, the Board may adopt regulations to promote the purposes of this Section. The Agency shall consult with the mining and construction industries during the development of any regulations to promote the purposes of this Section.
- (1) No later than December 15, 2005, the Agency shall propose to the Board, and no later than September 1, 2006, the Board shall adopt, regulations for the use of clean construction or demolition debris as fill material in current and former quarries, mines, and other excavations. Such regulations shall include, but shall not be limited to, standards for clean construction or demolition debris fill operations and the submission and review of permits required under this Section.
- (2) Until the Board adopts rules under subsection (c)(1) of this Section, all persons using clean construction or demolition debris as fill material in a current or former quarry, mine, or other excavation shall:
- (A) Assure that only clean construction or demolition debris is being used as fill material by screening each truckload of material received using a device approved by the Agency that detects volatile organic compounds. Such devices may include, but are not limited to, photo ionization detectors. All screening devices shall be operated and maintained in accordance with manufacturer's specifications. Unacceptable fill material shall be rejected from the site; and
  - (B) Retain for a minimum of 3 years the following information:
    - (i) The name of the hauler, the name of the generator, and place of origin of the debris or soil;
    - (ii) The approximate weight or volume of the debris or soil; and
    - (iii) The date the debris or soil was received.
- (d) This Section applies only to clean construction or demolition debris that is not considered "waste" as provided in Section 3.160 of this Act.

(415 ILCS 5/22.52 new)

Sec. 22.52. Conflict of interest. Effective 30 days after the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 94th General Assembly, none of the following persons shall have a direct financial interest in or receive a personal financial benefit from any waste-disposal operation or any clean construction or demolition debris fill operation that requires a permit or interim authorization under this Act, or any corporate entity related to any such waste-disposal operation or clean construction or demolition debris fill operation:

(i) the Governor of the State of Illinois;

(ii) the Attorney General of the State of Illinois;

(iii) the Director of the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency;

(iv) the Chairman of the Illinois Pollution Control Board;

(v) the members of the Illinois Pollution Control Board;

(vi) the staff of any person listed in items (i) through (v) of this Section who makes a regulatory or licensing decision that directly applies to any waste-disposal operation or any clean construction or demolition debris fill operation; and

(vii) a relative of any person listed in items (i) through (vi) of this Section.

The prohibitions of this Section shall apply during the person's term of State employment and shall continue for 5 years after the person's termination of State employment. The prohibition of this Section shall not apply to any person whose State employment terminates prior to 30 days after the effective date of this Amendatory Act of the 94th General Assembly.

For the purposes of this Section:

- (a) The terms "direct financial interest" and "personal financial benefit" do not include the ownership of publicly traded stock.
- (b) The term "relative" means father, mother, son, daughter, brother, sister, uncle, aunt, husband, wife, fatherin-law, or mother-in-law.

(415 ILCS 5/34) (from Ch. 111 1/2, par. 1034)

- Sec. 34. (a) Upon a finding that episode or emergency conditions specified in Board regulations exist, the Agency shall declare such alerts or emergencies as provided by those regulations. While such an alert or emergency is in effect, the Agency may seal any equipment, vehicle, vessel, aircraft, or other facility operated in violation of such regulations.
  - (b) In other cases other than those identified in subsection (a) of this Section:
- (1) At any pollution control facility where in which the Agency finds that an emergency condition exists creating an immediate danger to <u>public</u>

health or welfare or the environment, the Agency may seal any equipment, vehicle, vessel, aircraft, or other facility contributing to the emergency condition; and -

- (2) At any other site or facility where the Agency finds that an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health or welfare or the environment exists, the Agency may seal any equipment, vehicle, vessel, aircraft, or other facility contributing to the imminent and substantial endangerment.
- (c) It shall be a Class A misdemeanor to break any seal affixed under this section, or to operate any sealed equipment, vehicle, vessel, aircraft, or other facility until the seal is removed according to law.
- (d) The owner or operator of any equipment, vehicle, vessel, aircraft or other facility sealed pursuant to this section is entitled to a hearing in accord with Section 32 of this Act to determine whether the seal should be removed; except that in such hearing at least one Board member shall be present, and those Board members present may render a final decision without regard to the requirements of paragraph (a) of Section 5 of this Act. The petitioner may also seek immediate injunctive relief. (Source: P.A. 77-2830.)

(415 ILCS 5/39) (from Ch. 111 1/2, par. 1039)

Sec. 39. Issuance of permits; procedures.

(a) When the Board has by regulation required a permit for the construction, installation, or operation of any type of facility, equipment, vehicle, vessel, or aircraft, the applicant shall apply to the Agency for such permit and it shall be the duty of the Agency to issue such a permit upon proof by the applicant that the facility, equipment, vehicle, vessel, or aircraft will not cause a violation of this Act or of regulations hereunder. The Agency shall adopt such procedures as are necessary to carry out its duties under this Section. In making its determinations on permit applications under this Section the Agency may consider prior adjudications of noncompliance with this Act by the applicant that involved a release of a contaminant into the environment. In granting permits, the Agency may impose reasonable conditions specifically related to the applicant's past compliance history with this Act as necessary to correct, detect, or prevent noncompliance. The Agency may impose such other conditions as may be necessary to accomplish the purposes of this Act, and as are not inconsistent with the regulations promulgated by the Board hereunder. Except as otherwise provided in this Act, a bond or other security shall not be required

as a condition for the issuance of a permit. If the Agency denies any permit under this Section, the Agency shall transmit to the applicant within the time limitations of this Section specific, detailed statements as to the reasons the permit application was denied. Such statements shall include, but not be limited to the following:

- (i) the Sections of this Act which may be violated if the permit were granted;
- (ii) the provision of the regulations, promulgated under this Act, which may be violated if the permit were granted:
- (iii) the specific type of information, if any, which the Agency deems the applicant did not provide the Agency; and
- (iv) a statement of specific reasons why the Act and the regulations might not be met if the permit were granted.

If there is no final action by the Agency within 90 days after the filing of the application for permit, the applicant may deem the permit issued; except that this time period shall be extended to 180 days when (1) notice and opportunity for public hearing are required by State or federal law or regulation, (2) the application which was filed is for any permit to develop a landfill subject to issuance pursuant to this subsection, or (3) the application that was filed is for a MSWLF unit required to issue public notice under subsection (p) of Section 39. The 90-day and 180-day time periods for the Agency to take final action do not apply to NPDES permit applications under subsection (b) of this Section, to RCRA permit applications under subsection (d) of this Section, or to UIC permit applications under subsection (e) of this Section.

The Agency shall publish notice of all final permit determinations for development permits for MSWLF units and for significant permit modifications for lateral expansions for existing MSWLF units one time in a newspaper of general circulation in the county in which the unit is or is proposed to be located.

After January 1, 1994 and until July 1, 1998, operating permits issued under this Section by the Agency for sources of air pollution permitted to emit less than 25 tons per year of any combination of regulated air pollutants, as defined in Section 39.5 of this Act, shall be required to be renewed only upon written request by the Agency consistent with applicable provisions of this Act and regulations promulgated hereunder. Such operating permits shall expire 180 days after the date of such a request. The Board shall revise its regulations for the existing State air pollution operating permit program consistent with this provision by January 1, 1994.

After June 30, 1998, operating permits issued under this Section by the Agency for sources of air pollution that are not subject to Section 39.5 of this Act and are not required to have a federally enforceable State operating permit shall be required to be renewed only upon written request by the Agency consistent with applicable provisions of this Act and its rules. Such operating permits shall expire 180 days after the date of such a request. Before July 1, 1998, the Board shall revise its rules for the existing State air pollution operating permit program consistent with this paragraph and shall adopt rules that require a source to demonstrate that it qualifies for a permit under this paragraph.

(b) The Agency may issue NPDES permits exclusively under this subsection for the discharge of contaminants from point sources into navigable waters, all as defined in the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as now or hereafter amended, within the jurisdiction of the State, or into any well.

All NPDES permits shall contain those terms and conditions, including but not limited to schedules of compliance, which may be required to accomplish the purposes and provisions of this Act.

The Agency may issue general NPDES permits for discharges from categories of point sources which are subject to the same permit limitations and conditions. Such general permits may be issued without individual applications and shall conform to regulations promulgated under Section 402 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as now or hereafter amended.

The Agency may include, among such conditions, effluent limitations and other requirements established under this Act, Board regulations, the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as now or hereafter amended, and regulations pursuant thereto, and schedules for achieving compliance therewith at the earliest reasonable date.

The Agency shall adopt filing requirements and procedures which are necessary and appropriate for the issuance of NPDES permits, and which are consistent with the Act or regulations adopted by the Board, and with the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as now or hereafter amended, and regulations pursuant thereto.

The Agency, subject to any conditions which may be prescribed by Board regulations, may issue NPDES permits to allow discharges beyond deadlines established by this Act or by regulations of the Board without the requirement of a variance, subject to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as now or hereafter amended, and regulations pursuant thereto.

(c) Except for those facilities owned or operated by sanitary districts organized under the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District Act, no permit for the development or construction of a new pollution control facility may be granted by the Agency unless the applicant submits proof to the Agency that the location of the facility has been approved by the County Board of the county if in an unincorporated area, or the governing body of the municipality when in an incorporated area, in which the facility is to be located in accordance with Section 39.2 of this Act.

In the event that siting approval granted pursuant to Section 39.2 has been transferred to a subsequent owner or operator, that subsequent owner or operator may apply to the Agency for, and the Agency may grant, a development or construction permit for the facility for which local siting approval was granted. Upon application to the Agency for a development or construction permit by that subsequent owner or operator, the permit applicant shall cause written notice of the permit application to be served upon the appropriate county board or governing body of the municipality that granted siting approval for that facility and upon any party to the siting proceeding pursuant to which siting approval was granted. In that event, the Agency shall conduct an evaluation of the subsequent owner or operator's prior experience in waste management operations in the manner conducted under subsection (i) of Section 39 of this Act.

Beginning August 20, 1993, if the pollution control facility consists of a hazardous or solid waste disposal facility for which the proposed site is located in an unincorporated area of a county with a population of less than 100,000 and includes all or a portion of a parcel of land that was, on April 1, 1993, adjacent to a municipality having a population of less than 5,000, then the local siting review required under this subsection (c) in conjunction with any permit applied for after that date shall be performed by the governing body of that adjacent municipality rather than the county board of the county in which the proposed site is located; and for the purposes of that local siting review, any references in this Act to the county board shall be deemed to mean the governing body of that adjacent municipality; provided, however, that the provisions of this paragraph shall not apply to any proposed site which was, on April 1, 1993, owned in whole or in part by another municipality.

In the case of a pollution control facility for which a development permit was issued before November 12, 1981, if an operating permit has not been issued by the Agency prior to August 31, 1989 for any portion of the facility, then the Agency may not issue or renew any development permit nor issue an original operating permit for any portion of such facility unless the applicant has submitted proof to the Agency that the location of the facility has been approved by the appropriate county board or municipal governing body pursuant to Section 39.2 of this Act.

After January 1, 1994, if a solid waste disposal facility, any portion for which an operating permit has been issued by the Agency, has not accepted waste disposal for 5 or more consecutive calendars years, before that facility may accept any new or additional waste for disposal, the owner and operator must obtain a new operating permit under this Act for that facility unless the owner and operator have applied to the Agency for a permit authorizing the temporary suspension of waste acceptance. The Agency may not issue a new operation permit under this Act for the facility unless the applicant has submitted proof to the Agency that the location of the facility has been approved or re-approved by the appropriate county board or municipal governing body under Section 39.2 of this Act after the facility ceased accepting waste.

Except for those facilities owned or operated by sanitary districts organized under the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District Act, and except for new pollution control facilities governed by Section 39.2, and except for fossil fuel mining facilities, the granting of a permit under this Act shall not relieve the applicant from meeting and securing all necessary zoning approvals from the unit of government having zoning jurisdiction over the proposed facility.

Before beginning construction on any new sewage treatment plant or sludge drying site to be owned or operated by a sanitary district organized under the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District Act for which a new permit (rather than the renewal or amendment of an existing permit) is required, such sanitary district shall hold a public hearing within the municipality within which the proposed facility is to be located, or within the nearest community if the proposed facility is to be located within an unincorporated area, at which information concerning the proposed facility shall be made available to the public, and members of the public shall be given the opportunity to express their views concerning the proposed facility.

The Agency may issue a permit for a municipal waste transfer station without requiring approval pursuant to Section 39.2 provided that the following demonstration is made:

- (1) the municipal waste transfer station was in existence on or before January 1, 1979 and was in continuous operation from January 1, 1979 to January 1, 1993;
- (2) the operator submitted a permit application to the Agency to develop and operate

the municipal waste transfer station during April of 1994;

- (3) the operator can demonstrate that the county board of the county, if the municipal waste transfer station is in an unincorporated area, or the governing body of the municipality, if the station is in an incorporated area, does not object to resumption of the operation of the station; and
  - (4) the site has local zoning approval.

(d) The Agency may issue RCRA permits exclusively under this subsection to persons owning or operating a facility for the treatment, storage, or disposal of hazardous waste as defined under this Act.

All RCRA permits shall contain those terms and conditions, including but not limited to schedules of compliance, which may be required to accomplish the purposes and provisions of this Act. The Agency may include among such conditions standards and other requirements established under this Act, Board regulations, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (P.L. 94-580), as amended, and regulations pursuant thereto, and may include schedules for achieving compliance therewith as soon as possible. The Agency shall require that a performance bond or other security be provided as a condition for the issuance of a RCRA permit.

In the case of a permit to operate a hazardous waste or PCB incinerator as defined in subsection (k) of Section 44, the Agency shall require, as a condition of the permit, that the operator of the facility perform such analyses of the waste to be incinerated as may be necessary and appropriate to ensure the safe operation of the incinerator.

The Agency shall adopt filing requirements and procedures which are necessary and appropriate for the issuance of RCRA permits, and which are consistent with the Act or regulations adopted by the Board, and with the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (P.L. 94-580), as amended, and regulations pursuant thereto.

The applicant shall make available to the public for inspection all documents submitted by the applicant to the Agency in furtherance of an application, with the exception of trade secrets, at the office of the county board or governing body of the municipality. Such documents may be copied upon payment of the actual cost of reproduction during regular business hours of the local office. The Agency shall issue a written statement concurrent with its grant or denial of the permit explaining the basis for its decision.

(e) The Agency may issue UIC permits exclusively under this subsection to persons owning or operating a facility for the underground injection of contaminants as defined under this Act.

All UIC permits shall contain those terms and conditions, including but not limited to schedules of compliance, which may be required to accomplish the purposes and provisions of this Act. The Agency may include among such conditions standards and other requirements established under this Act, Board regulations, the Safe Drinking Water Act (P.L. 93-523), as amended, and regulations pursuant thereto, and may include schedules for achieving compliance therewith. The Agency shall require that a performance bond or other security be provided as a condition for the issuance of a UIC permit.

The Agency shall adopt filing requirements and procedures which are necessary and appropriate for the issuance of UIC permits, and which are consistent with the Act or regulations adopted by the Board, and with the Safe Drinking Water Act (P.L. 93-523), as amended, and regulations pursuant thereto.

The applicant shall make available to the public for inspection, all documents submitted by the applicant to the Agency in furtherance of an application, with the exception of trade secrets, at the office of the county board or governing body of the municipality. Such documents may be copied upon payment of the actual cost of reproduction during regular business hours of the local office. The Agency shall issue a written statement concurrent with its grant or denial of the permit explaining the basis for its decision

- (f) In making any determination pursuant to Section 9.1 of this Act:
- (1) The Agency shall have authority to make the determination of any question required to be determined by the Clean Air Act, as now or hereafter amended, this Act, or the regulations of the Board, including the determination of the Lowest Achievable Emission Rate, Maximum Achievable Control Technology, or Best Available Control Technology, consistent with the Board's regulations, if any.
- (2) The Agency shall, after conferring with the applicant, give written notice to the applicant of its proposed decision on the application including the terms and conditions of the permit to be issued and the facts, conduct or other basis upon which the Agency will rely to support its proposed action.
- (3) Following such notice, the Agency shall give the applicant an opportunity for a hearing in accordance with the provisions of Sections 10-25 through 10-60 of the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act.
- (g) The Agency shall include as conditions upon all permits issued for hazardous waste disposal sites

such restrictions upon the future use of such sites as are reasonably necessary to protect public health and the environment, including permanent prohibition of the use of such sites for purposes which may create an unreasonable risk of injury to human health or to the environment. After administrative and judicial challenges to such restrictions have been exhausted, the Agency shall file such restrictions of record in the Office of the Recorder of the county in which the hazardous waste disposal site is located.

- (h) A hazardous waste stream may not be deposited in a permitted hazardous waste site unless specific authorization is obtained from the Agency by the generator and disposal site owner and operator for the deposit of that specific hazardous waste stream. The Agency may grant specific authorization for disposal of hazardous waste streams only after the generator has reasonably demonstrated that, considering technological feasibility and economic reasonableness, the hazardous waste cannot be reasonably recycled for reuse, nor incinerated or chemically, physically or biologically treated so as to neutralize the hazardous waste and render it nonhazardous. In granting authorization under this Section, the Agency may impose such conditions as may be necessary to accomplish the purposes of the Act and are consistent with this Act and regulations promulgated by the Board hereunder. If the Agency refuses to grant authorization under this Section, the applicant may appeal as if the Agency refused to grant a permit, pursuant to the provisions of subsection (a) of Section 40 of this Act. For purposes of this subsection (h), the term "generator" has the meaning given in Section 3.205 of this Act, unless: (1) the hazardous waste is treated, incinerated, or partially recycled for reuse prior to disposal, in which case the last person who treats, incinerates, or partially recycles the hazardous waste prior to disposal is the generator; or (2) the hazardous waste is from a response action, in which case the person performing the response action is the generator. This subsection (h) does not apply to any hazardous waste that is restricted from land disposal under 35 Ill. Adm. Code 728.
- (i) Before issuing any RCRA permit, or any permit for a waste storage site, sanitary landfill, waste disposal site, waste transfer station, waste treatment facility, waste incinerator, or any waste-transportation operation, or any permit for a clean construction or demolition debris fill operation, the Agency shall conduct an evaluation of the prospective owner's or operator's prior experience in waste management operations. The Agency may deny such a permit if the prospective owner or operator or any employee or officer of the prospective owner or operator has a history of:
  - (1) repeated violations of federal, State, or local laws, regulations, standards, or ordinances in the operation of waste management facilities or sites; or
  - (2) conviction in this or another State of any crime which is a felony under the laws of this State, or conviction of a felony in a federal court; or
  - (3) proof of gross carelessness or incompetence in handling, storing, processing, transporting or disposing of waste.
- (i-5) Before issuing any permit or approving any interim authorization for a clean construction or demolition debris fill operation in which any ownership interest is transferred between January 1, 2005, and the effective date of the prohibition set forth in Section 22.52 of this Act, the Agency shall conduct an evaluation of the operation if any previous activities at the site or facility may have caused or allowed contamination of the site. It shall be the responsibility of the owner or operator seeking the permit or interim authorization to provide to the Agency all of the information necessary for the Agency to conduct its evaluation. The Agency may deny a permit or interim authorization if previous activities at the site may have caused or allowed contamination at the site, unless such contamination is authorized under any permit issued by the Agency.
- (j) The issuance under this Act of a permit to engage in the surface mining of any resources other than fossil fuels shall not relieve the permittee from its duty to comply with any applicable local law regulating the commencement, location or operation of surface mining facilities.
- (k) A development permit issued under subsection (a) of Section 39 for any facility or site which is required to have a permit under subsection (d) of Section 21 shall expire at the end of 2 calendar years from the date upon which it was issued, unless within that period the applicant has taken action to develop the facility or the site. In the event that review of the conditions of the development permit is sought pursuant to Section 40 or 41, or permittee is prevented from commencing development of the facility or site by any other litigation beyond the permittee's control, such two-year period shall be deemed to begin on the date upon which such review process or litigation is concluded.
- (1) No permit shall be issued by the Agency under this Act for construction or operation of any facility or site located within the boundaries of any setback zone established pursuant to this Act, where such construction or operation is prohibited.
- (m) The Agency may issue permits to persons owning or operating a facility for composting landscape waste. In granting such permits, the Agency may impose such conditions as may be necessary to accomplish the purposes of this Act, and as are not inconsistent with applicable regulations promulgated

by the Board. Except as otherwise provided in this Act, a bond or other security shall not be required as a condition for the issuance of a permit. If the Agency denies any permit pursuant to this subsection, the Agency shall transmit to the applicant within the time limitations of this subsection specific, detailed statements as to the reasons the permit application was denied. Such statements shall include but not be limited to the following:

- (1) the Sections of this Act that may be violated if the permit were granted;
- the specific regulations promulgated pursuant to this Act that may be violated if the permit were granted;
- (3) the specific information, if any, the Agency deems the applicant did not provide in its application to the Agency; and
- (4) a statement of specific reasons why the Act and the regulations might be violated if the permit were granted.

If no final action is taken by the Agency within 90 days after the filing of the application for permit, the applicant may deem the permit issued. Any applicant for a permit may waive the 90 day limitation by filing a written statement with the Agency.

The Agency shall issue permits for such facilities upon receipt of an application that includes a legal description of the site, a topographic map of the site drawn to the scale of 200 feet to the inch or larger, a description of the operation, including the area served, an estimate of the volume of materials to be processed, and documentation that:

- (1) the facility includes a setback of at least 200 feet from the nearest potable water supply well;
- (2) the facility is located outside the boundary of the 10-year floodplain or the site will be floodproofed;
- (3) the facility is located so as to minimize incompatibility with the character of the surrounding area, including at least a 200 foot setback from any residence, and in the case of a facility that is developed or the permitted composting area of which is expanded after November 17, 1991, the composting area is located at least 1/8 mile from the nearest residence (other than a residence located on the same property as the facility);
- (4) the design of the facility will prevent any compost material from being placed within 5 feet of the water table, will adequately control runoff from the site, and will collect and manage any leachate that is generated on the site;
- (5) the operation of the facility will include appropriate dust and odor control measures, limitations on operating hours, appropriate noise control measures for shredding, chipping and similar equipment, management procedures for composting, containment and disposal of non-compostable wastes, procedures to be used for terminating operations at the site, and recordkeeping sufficient to document the amount of materials received, composted and otherwise disposed of; and
  - (6) the operation will be conducted in accordance with any applicable rules adopted by the Board.

The Agency shall issue renewable permits of not longer than 10 years in duration for the composting of landscape wastes, as defined in Section 3.155 of this Act, based on the above requirements.

The operator of any facility permitted under this subsection (m) must submit a written annual statement to the Agency on or before April 1 of each year that includes an estimate of the amount of material, in tons, received for composting.

- (n) The Agency shall issue permits jointly with the Department of Transportation for the dredging or deposit of material in Lake Michigan in accordance with Section 18 of the Rivers, Lakes, and Streams Act.
  - (o) (Blank.)
- (p) (1) Any person submitting an application for a permit for a new MSWLF unit or for a lateral expansion under subsection (t) of Section 21 of this Act for an existing MSWLF unit that has not received and is not subject to local siting approval under Section 39.2 of this Act shall publish notice of the application in a newspaper of general circulation in the county in which the MSWLF unit is or is proposed to be located. The notice must be published at least 15 days before submission of the permit application to the Agency. The notice shall state the name and address of the applicant, the location of the MSWLF unit or proposed MSWLF unit, the nature and size of the MSWLF unit or proposed MSWLF unit, the nature of the activity proposed, the probable life of the proposed activity, the date the permit application will be submitted, and a statement that persons may file written comments with the Agency concerning the permit application within 30 days after the filing of the permit application unless the time period to submit comments is extended by the Agency.

When a permit applicant submits information to the Agency to supplement a permit application being reviewed by the Agency, the applicant shall not be required to reissue the notice under this subsection.

- (2) The Agency shall accept written comments concerning the permit application that are postmarked no later than 30 days after the filing of the permit application, unless the time period to accept comments is extended by the Agency.
- (3) Each applicant for a permit described in part (1) of this subsection shall file a copy of the permit application with the county board or governing body of the municipality in which the MSWLF unit is or is proposed to be located at the same time the application is submitted to the Agency. The permit application filed with the county board or governing body of the municipality shall include all documents submitted to or to be submitted to the Agency, except trade secrets as determined under Section 7.1 of this Act. The permit application and other documents on file with the county board or governing body of the municipality shall be made available for public inspection during regular business hours at the office of the county board or the governing body of the municipality and may be copied upon payment of the actual cost of reproduction.

(Source: P.A. 92-574, eff. 6-26-02; 93-575, eff. 1-1-04.)

(415 ILCS 5/42) (from Ch. 111 1/2, par. 1042)

Sec. 42. Civil penalties.

- (a) Except as provided in this Section, any person that violates any provision of this Act or any regulation adopted by the Board, or any permit or term or condition thereof, or that violates any order of the Board pursuant to this Act, shall be liable for a civil penalty of not to exceed \$50,000 for the violation and an additional civil penalty of not to exceed \$10,000 for each day during which the violation continues; such penalties may, upon order of the Board or a court of competent jurisdiction, be made payable to the Environmental Protection Trust Fund, to be used in accordance with the provisions of the Environmental Protection Trust Fund Act.
  - (b) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (a) of this Section:
  - (1) Any person that violates Section 12(f) of this Act or any NPDES permit or term or condition thereof, or any filing requirement, regulation or order relating to the NPDES permit program, shall be liable to a civil penalty of not to exceed \$10,000 per day of violation.
  - (2) Any person that violates Section 12(g) of this Act or any UIC permit or term or condition thereof, or any filing requirement, regulation or order relating to the State UIC program for all wells, except Class II wells as defined by the Board under this Act, shall be liable to a civil penalty not to exceed \$2,500 per day of violation; provided, however, that any person who commits such violations relating to the State UIC program for Class II wells, as defined by the Board under this Act, shall be liable to a civil penalty of not to exceed \$1,000 for the violation and an additional civil penalty of not to exceed \$1,000 for each day during which the violation continues.
  - (3) Any person that violates Sections 21(f), 21(g), 21(h) or 21(i) of this Act, or any RCRA permit or term or condition thereof, or any filing requirement, regulation or order relating to the State RCRA program, shall be liable to a civil penalty of not to exceed \$25,000 per day of violation.
  - (4) In an administrative citation action under Section 31.1 of this Act, any person found to have violated any provision of subsection (o) of Section 21 of this Act shall pay a civil penalty of \$500 for each violation of each such provision, plus any hearing costs incurred by the Board and the Agency. Such penalties shall be made payable to the Environmental Protection Trust Fund, to be used in accordance with the provisions of the Environmental Protection Trust Fund Act; except that if a unit of local government issued the administrative citation, 50% of the civil penalty shall be payable to the unit of local government.
  - (4-5) In an administrative citation action under Section 31.1 of this Act, any person found to have violated any provision of subsection (p) of Section 21 of this Act shall pay a civil penalty of \$1,500 for each violation of each such provision, plus any hearing costs incurred by the Board and the Agency, except that the civil penalty amount shall be \$3,000 for each violation of any provision of subsection (p) of Section 21 that is the person's second or subsequent adjudication violation of that provision. The penalties shall be deposited into the Environmental Protection Trust Fund, to be used in accordance with the provisions of the Environmental Protection Trust Fund Act; except that if a unit of local government issued the administrative citation, 50% of the civil penalty shall be payable to the unit of local government.
  - (5) Any person who violates subsection 6 of Section 39.5 of this Act or any CAAPP permit, or term or condition thereof, or any fee or filing requirement, or any duty to allow or carry out inspection, entry or monitoring activities, or any regulation or order relating to the CAAPP shall be liable for a civil penalty not to exceed \$10,000 per day of violation.

- (b.5) In lieu of the penalties set forth in subsections (a) and (b) of this Section, any person who fails to file, in a timely manner, toxic chemical release forms with the Agency pursuant to Section 25b-2 of this Act shall be liable for a civil penalty of \$100 per day for each day the forms are late, not to exceed a maximum total penalty of \$6,000. This daily penalty shall begin accruing on the thirty-first day after the date that the person receives the warning notice issued by the Agency pursuant to Section 25b-6 of this Act; and the penalty shall be paid to the Agency. The daily accrual of penalties shall cease as of January 1 of the following year. All penalties collected by the Agency pursuant to this subsection shall be deposited into the Environmental Protection Permit and Inspection Fund.
- (c) Any person that violates this Act, any rule or regulation adopted under this Act, any permit or term or condition of a permit, or any Board order and causes the death of fish or aquatic life shall, in addition to the other penalties provided by this Act, be liable to pay to the State an additional sum for the reasonable value of the fish or aquatic life destroyed. Any money so recovered shall be placed in the Wildlife and Fish Fund in the State Treasury.
  - (d) The penalties provided for in this Section may be recovered in a civil action.
- (e) The State's Attorney of the county in which the violation occurred, or the Attorney General, may, at the request of the Agency or on his own motion, institute a civil action for an injunction, prohibitory or mandatory, to restrain violations of this Act, any rule or regulation adopted under this Act, any permit or term or condition of a permit, or any Board order, or to require such other actions as may be necessary to address violations of this Act, any rule or regulation adopted under this Act, any permit or term or condition of a permit, or any Board order.
- (f) The State's Attorney of the county in which the violation occurred, or the Attorney General, shall bring such actions in the name of the people of the State of Illinois. Without limiting any other authority which may exist for the awarding of attorney's fees and costs, the Board or a court of competent jurisdiction may award costs and reasonable attorney's fees, including the reasonable costs of expert witnesses and consultants, to the State's Attorney or the Attorney General in a case where he has prevailed against a person who has committed a wilful, knowing or repeated violation of this Act, any rule or regulation adopted under this Act, any permit or term or condition of a permit, or any Board order.
- Any funds collected under this subsection (f) in which the Attorney General has prevailed shall be deposited in the Hazardous Waste Fund created in Section 22.2 of this Act. Any funds collected under this subsection (f) in which a State's Attorney has prevailed shall be retained by the county in which he serves
- (g) All final orders imposing civil penalties pursuant to this Section shall prescribe the time for payment of such penalties. If any such penalty is not paid within the time prescribed, interest on such penalty at the rate set forth in subsection (a) of Section 1003 of the Illinois Income Tax Act, shall be paid for the period from the date payment is due until the date payment is received. However, if the time for payment is stayed during the pendency of an appeal, interest shall not accrue during such stay.
- (h) In determining the appropriate civil penalty to be imposed under subdivisions (a), (b)(1), (b)(2), (b)(3), or (b)(5) of this Section, the Board is authorized to consider any matters of record in mitigation or aggravation of penalty, including but not limited to the following factors:
  - (1) the duration and gravity of the violation;
  - (2) the presence or absence of due diligence on the part of the respondent in attempting to comply with requirements of this Act and regulations thereunder or to secure relief therefrom as provided by this Act;
  - (3) any economic benefits accrued by the respondent because of delay in compliance with requirements, in which case the economic benefits shall be determined by the lowest cost alternative for achieving compliance;
  - (4) the amount of monetary penalty which will serve to deter further violations by the respondent and to otherwise aid in enhancing voluntary compliance with this Act by the respondent and other persons similarly subject to the Act;
    - (5) the number, proximity in time, and gravity of previously adjudicated violations of this Act by the respondent;
    - (6) whether the respondent voluntarily self-disclosed, in accordance with subsection
    - (i) of this Section, the non-compliance to the Agency; and
  - (7) whether the respondent has agreed to undertake a "supplemental environmental project," which means an environmentally beneficial project that a respondent agrees to undertake in settlement of an enforcement action brought under this Act, but which the respondent is not otherwise legally required to perform.

In determining the appropriate civil penalty to be imposed under subsection (a) or paragraph (1), (2),

- (3), or (5) of subsection (b) of this Section, the Board shall ensure, in all cases, that the penalty is at least as great as the economic benefits, if any, accrued by the respondent as a result of the violation, unless the Board finds that imposition of such penalty would result in an arbitrary or unreasonable financial hardship. However, such civil penalty may be off-set in whole or in part pursuant to a supplemental environmental project agreed to by the complainant and the respondent.
- (i) A person who voluntarily self-discloses non-compliance to the Agency, of which the Agency had been unaware, is entitled to a 100% reduction in the portion of the penalty that is not based on the economic benefit of non-compliance if the person can establish the following:
  - (1) that the non-compliance was discovered through an environmental audit, as defined in Section 52.2 of this Act, and the person waives the environmental audit privileges as provided in that Section with respect to that non-compliance;
    - (2) that the non-compliance was disclosed in writing within 30 days of the date on which the person discovered it;
    - (3) that the non-compliance was discovered and disclosed prior to:
      - (i) the commencement of an Agency inspection, investigation, or request for information:
      - (ii) notice of a citizen suit;
      - (iii) the filing of a complaint by a citizen, the Illinois Attorney General, or the State's Attorney of the county in which the violation occurred;
      - (iv) the reporting of the non-compliance by an employee of the person without that person's knowledge; or
      - (v) imminent discovery of the non-compliance by the Agency;
    - (4) that the non-compliance is being corrected and any environmental harm is being remediated in a timely fashion;
    - (5) that the person agrees to prevent a recurrence of the non-compliance;
  - (6) that no related non-compliance events have occurred in the past 3 years at the same facility or in the past 5 years as part of a pattern at multiple facilities owned or operated by the person;
  - (7) that the non-compliance did not result in serious actual harm or present an imminent and substantial endangerment to human health or the environment or violate the specific terms of any judicial or administrative order or consent agreement;
    - (8) that the person cooperates as reasonably requested by the Agency after the disclosure; and
  - (9) that the non-compliance was identified voluntarily and not through a monitoring, sampling, or auditing procedure that is required by statute, rule, permit, judicial or administrative order, or consent agreement.

If a person can establish all of the elements under this subsection except the element set forth in paragraph (1) of this subsection, the person is entitled to a 75% reduction in the portion of the penalty that is not based upon the economic benefit of non-compliance.

(j) In addition to an other remedy or penalty that may apply, whether civil or criminal, any person who violates Section 22.52 of this Act shall be liable for an additional civil penalty of up to 3 times the gross amount of any pecuniary gain resulting from the violation.

(Source: P.A. 93-152, eff. 7-10-03; 93-575, eff. 1-1-04; 93-831, eff. 7-28-04.)

(415 ILCS 5/58.8)

Sec. 58.8. Duty to record; compliance.

- (a) The RA receiving a No Further Remediation Letter from the Agency pursuant to Section 58.10, shall submit the letter to the Office of the Recorder or the Registrar of Titles of the county in which the site is located within 45 days of receipt of the letter. The Office of the Recorder or the Registrar of Titles shall accept and record that letter in accordance with Illinois law so that it forms a permanent part of the chain of title for the site.
- (b) A No Further Remediation Letter shall not become effective until officially recorded in accordance with subsection (a) of this Section. The RA shall obtain and submit to the Agency a certified copy of the No Further Remediation Letter as recorded.
- (c) (Blank). At no time shall any site for which a land use limitation has been imposed as a result of remediation activities under this Title be used in a manner inconsistent with the land use limitation unless further investigation or remedial action has been conducted that documents the attainment of objectives appropriate for the new land use and a new No Further Remediation Letter obtained and recorded in accordance with this Title.
- (d) In the event that a No Further Remediation Letter issues by operation of law pursuant to Section 58.10, the RA may, for purposes of this Section, file an affidavit stating that the letter issued by

operation of law. Upon receipt of the No Further Remediation Letter from the Agency, the RA shall comply with the requirements of subsections (a) and (b) of this Section.

(Source: P.A. 92-574, eff. 6-26-02.)

Section 15. The Litter Control Act is amended by changing Sections 8 and 9 as follows:

(415 ILCS 105/8) (from Ch. 38, par. 86-8)

- Sec. 8. Persons who violate any of Sections 4 through 7 are subject to the penalties set out in this Section.
- (a) Any person convicted of a violation of Section 4, 5, 6 or 7 is guilty of a Class B misdemeanor. A second conviction for an offense committed after the first conviction is a Class A misdemeanor. A third or subsequent violation, committed after a second conviction is a Class 4 felony. All fines imposed for violations of this Act shall be deposited into the Clean Communities Recycling Fund to be used as set forth in Section 21.7 of the Environmental Protection Act.
- (b) In addition to any fine imposed under this Act, the court may order that the person convicted of such a violation remove and properly dispose of the litter, may employ special bailiffs to supervise such removal and disposal, and may tax the costs of such supervision as costs against the person so convicted.
- (c) The penalties prescribed in this Section are in addition to, and not in lieu of, any penalties, rights, remedies, duties or liabilities otherwise imposed or conferred by law.

(Source: P.A. 85-1410.)

(415 ILCS 105/9) (from Ch. 38, par. 86-9)

Sec. 9. Whenever litter is thrown, deposited, dropped or dumped <u>in violation of Section 5</u> from any motor vehicle not carrying passengers for hire, the presumption is created that the operator of that motor vehicle has violated Section 5, but that presumption may be rebutted.

(Source: P.A. 78-837.)

Section 20. The Illinois Vehicle Code is amended by changing Sections 11-1413 and 16-105 as follows:

(625 ILCS 5/11-1413) (from Ch. 95 1/2, par. 11-1413)

Sec. 11-1413. Depositing material on highway prohibited.

(a) No person shall dump, deposit, drop, throw, spill, deposit, discard, or otherwise dispose of any bottle, glass, nails, tacks, wire, cans, or any litter (as defined in Section 3 of the Litter Control Act) from any motor vehicle upon any public highway, upon any public or private property, or upon or into any river, lake, pond, stream, or body of water in this State except as permitted under any of paragraphs (a) through (e) of Section 4 of the Litter Control Act.

Whenever litter is thrown, deposited, dropped, or dumped in violation of this subsection (a) from any motor vehicle not carrying passengers for hire, the presumption is created that the operator of that motor vehicle has violated this Section, but that presumption may be rebutted. No person shall throw, spill or deposit upon any highway any bottle, glass, nails, tacks, wire, cans, or any litter (as defined in Section 3 of the Litter Control Act).

- (b) Any person who violates subsection (a) upon any highway shall immediately remove such material or cause it to be removed.
- (c) Any person removing a wrecked or damaged vehicle from a highway shall remove any glass or other debris, except any hazardous substance as defined in Section 3.215 of the Environmental Protection Act, hazardous waste as defined in Section 3.220 of the Environmental Protection Act, and potentially infectious medical waste as defined in Section 3.360 of the Environmental Protection Act, dropped upon the highway from such vehicle.

(Source: P.A. 92-574, eff. 6-26-02.)

(625 ILCS 5/16-105) (from Ch. 95 1/2, par. 16-105)

Sec. 16-105. Disposition of fines and forfeitures.

- (a) Except as provided in Section 16-104a of this Act and except for those amounts required to be paid into the Traffic and Criminal Conviction Surcharge Fund in the State Treasury pursuant to Section 9.1 of the Illinois Police Training Act and Section 5-9-1 of the Unified Code of Corrections and except those amounts subject to disbursement by the circuit clerk under Section 27.5 of the Clerks of Courts Act, fines and penalties recovered under the provisions of Chapters 11 through 16 inclusive of this Code shall be paid and used as follows:
  - 1. For offenses committed upon a highway within the limits of a city, village, or incorporated town or under the jurisdiction of any park district, to the treasurer of the particular city, village, incorporated town or park district, if the violator was arrested by the authorities of the city, village, incorporated town or park district, provided the police officers and officials of cities, villages,

incorporated towns and park districts shall seasonably prosecute for all fines and penalties under this Code. If the violation is prosecuted by the authorities of the county, any fines or penalties recovered shall be paid to the county treasurer. Provided further that if the violator was arrested by the State Police, fines and penalties recovered under the provisions of paragraph (a) of Section 15-113 of this Code or paragraph (e) of Section 15-316 of this Code shall be paid over to the Department of State Police which shall thereupon remit the amount of the fines and penalties so received to the State Treasurer who shall deposit the amount so remitted in the special fund in the State treasury known as the Road Fund except that if the violation is prosecuted by the State's Attorney, 10% of the fine or penalty recovered shall be paid to the State's Attorney as a fee of his office and the balance shall be paid over to the Department of State Police for remittance to and deposit by the State Treasurer as hereinabove provided.

2. Except as provided in paragraph 4, for offenses committed upon any highway outside

the limits of a city, village, incorporated town or park district, to the county treasurer of the county where the offense was committed except if such offense was committed on a highway maintained by or under the supervision of a township, township district, or a road district to the Treasurer thereof for deposit in the road and bridge fund of such township or other district; Provided, that fines and penalties recovered under the provisions of paragraph (a) of Section 15-113, paragraph (d) of Section 3-401, or paragraph (e) of Section 15-316 of this Code shall be paid over to the Department of State Police which shall thereupon remit the amount of the fines and penalties so received to the State Treasurer who shall deposit the amount so remitted in the special fund in the State treasury known as the Road Fund except that if the violation is prosecuted by the State's Attorney, 10% of the fine or penalty recovered shall be paid to the State's Attorney as a fee of his office and the balance shall be paid over to the Department of State Police for remittance to and deposit by the State Treasurer as hereinabove provided.

- 3. Notwithstanding subsections 1 and 2 of this paragraph, for violations of overweight and overload limits found in Sections 15-101 through 15-203 of this Code, which are committed upon the highways belonging to the Illinois State Toll Highway Authority, fines and penalties shall be paid over to the Illinois State Toll Highway Authority for deposit with the State Treasurer into that special fund known as the Illinois State Toll Highway Authority Fund, except that if the violation is prosecuted by the State's Attorney, 10% of the fine or penalty recovered shall be paid to the State's Attorney as a fee of his office and the balance shall be paid over to the Illinois State Toll Highway Authority for remittance to and deposit by the State Treasurer as hereinabove provided.
- 4. With regard to violations of overweight and overload limits found in Sections 15-101 through 15-203 of this Code committed by operators of vehicles registered as Special Hauling Vehicles, for offenses committed upon a highway within the limits of a city, village, or incorporated town or under the jurisdiction of any park district, all fines and penalties shall be paid over or retained as required in paragraph 1. However, with regard to the above offenses committed by operators of vehicles registered as Special Hauling Vehicles upon any highway outside the limits of a city, village, incorporated town or park district, fines and penalties shall be paid over or retained by the entity having jurisdiction over the road or highway upon which the offense occurred, except that if the violation is prosecuted by the State's Attorney, 10% of the fine or penalty recovered shall be paid to the State's Attorney as a fee of his office.
- (b) Failure, refusal or neglect on the part of any judicial or other officer or employee receiving or having custody of any such fine or forfeiture either before or after a deposit with the proper official as defined in paragraph (a) of this Section, shall constitute misconduct in office and shall be grounds for removal therefrom.
- (c) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section, all fines imposed for violations of subsection (a) of Section 11-1413 of this Code shall be remitted in accordance with subsection (g) of Section 5-9-1 of the Unified Code of Corrections.

(Source: P.A. 88-403; 88-476; 88-535; 89-117, eff. 7-7-95.)

Section 25. The Clerks of Courts Act is amended by changing Sections 27.5 and 27.6 as follows: (705 ILCS 105/27.5) (from Ch. 25, par. 27.5)

Sec. 27.5. (a) All fees, fines, costs, additional penalties, bail balances assessed or forfeited, and any other amount paid by a person to the circuit clerk that equals an amount less than \$55, except restitution under Section 5-5-6 of the Unified Code of Corrections, reimbursement for the costs of an emergency response as provided under Section 11-501 of the Illinois Vehicle Code, any fees collected for attending a traffic safety program under paragraph (c) of Supreme Court Rule 529, any fee collected on behalf of a State's Attorney under Section 4-2002 of the Counties Code or a sheriff under Section 4-5001 of the

Counties Code, or any cost imposed under Section 124A-5 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963, for convictions, orders of supervision, or any other disposition for a violation of Chapters 3, 4, 6, 11, and 12 of the Illinois Vehicle Code, or a similar provision of a local ordinance, and any violation of the Child Passenger Protection Act, or a similar provision of a local ordinance, and except as provided in subsection (b) shall be disbursed within 60 days after receipt by the circuit clerk as follows: 47% shall be disbursed to the entity authorized by law to receive the fine imposed in the case; 12% shall be disbursed to the State Treasurer; and 41% shall be disbursed to the county's general corporate fund. Of the 12% disbursed to the State Treasurer, 1/6 shall be deposited by the State Treasurer into the Violent Crime Victims Assistance Fund, 1/2 shall be deposited into the Traffic and Criminal Conviction Surcharge Fund, and 1/3 shall be deposited into the Drivers Education Fund. For fiscal years 1992 and 1993, amounts deposited into the Violent Crime Victims Assistance Fund, the Traffic and Criminal Conviction Surcharge Fund, or the Drivers Education Fund shall not exceed 110% of the amounts deposited into those funds in fiscal year 1991. Any amount that exceeds the 110% limit shall be distributed as follows: 50% shall be disbursed to the county's general corporate fund and 50% shall be disbursed to the entity authorized by law to receive the fine imposed in the case. Not later than March 1 of each year the circuit clerk shall submit a report of the amount of funds remitted to the State Treasurer under this Section during the preceding year based upon independent verification of fines and fees. All counties shall be subject to this Section, except that counties with a population under 2,000,000 may, by ordinance, elect not to be subject to this Section. For offenses subject to this Section, judges shall impose one total sum of money payable for violations. The circuit clerk may add on no additional amounts except for amounts that are required by Sections 27.3a and 27.3c of this Act, unless those amounts are specifically waived by the judge. With respect to money collected by the circuit clerk as a result of forfeiture of bail, ex parte judgment or guilty plea pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 529, the circuit clerk shall first deduct and pay amounts required by Sections 27.3a and 27.3c of this Act. This Section is a denial and limitation of home rule powers and functions under subsection (h) of Section 6 of Article VII of the Illinois Constitution.

- (b) The following amounts must be remitted to the State Treasurer for deposit into the Illinois Animal Abuse Fund:
  - (1) 50% of the amounts collected for felony offenses under Sections 3, 3.01, 3.02, 3.03,
  - 4, 4.01, 4.03, 4.04, 5, 5.01, 6, 7, 7.5, 7.15, and 16 of the Humane Care for Animals Act and Section 26-5 of the Criminal Code of 1961;
    - (2) 20% of the amounts collected for Class A and Class B misdemeanors under Sections 3,
  - 3.01, 4, 4.01, 4.03, 4.04, 5, 5.01, 6, 7, 7.1, 7.5, 7.15, and 16 of the Humane Care for Animals Act and Section 26-5 of the Criminal Code of 1961; and
  - (3) 50% of the amounts collected for Class C misdemeanors under Sections 4.01 and 7.1 of the Humane Care for Animals Act and Section 26-5 of the Criminal Code of 1961.
- (c) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section, all fines imposed for violations of the Litter Control Act and for violations of subsection (a) of Section 11-1413 of the Illinois Vehicle Code shall be remitted in accordance with subsection (g) of Section 5-9-1 of the Unified Code of Corrections. (Source: P.A. 92-454, eff. 1-1-02; 92-650, eff. 7-11-02; 93-800, eff. 1-1-05.)

(705 ILCS 105/27.6)

Sec. 27.6. (a) All fees, fines, costs, additional penalties, bail balances assessed or forfeited, and any other amount paid by a person to the circuit clerk equalling an amount of \$55 or more, except the additional fee required by subsections (b) and (c), restitution under Section 5-5-6 of the Unified Code of Corrections, reimbursement for the costs of an emergency response as provided under Section 11-501 of the Illinois Vehicle Code, any fees collected for attending a traffic safety program under paragraph (c) of Supreme Court Rule 529, any fee collected on behalf of a State's Attorney under Section 4-2002 of the Counties Code or a sheriff under Section 4-5001 of the Counties Code, or any cost imposed under Section 124A-5 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963, for convictions, orders of supervision, or any other disposition for a violation of Chapters 3, 4, 6, 11, and 12 of the Illinois Vehicle Code, or a similar provision of a local ordinance, and any violation of the Child Passenger Protection Act, or a similar provision of a local ordinance, and except as provided in subsection (d) shall be disbursed within 60 days after receipt by the circuit clerk as follows: 44.5% shall be disbursed to the entity authorized by law to receive the fine imposed in the case; 16.825% shall be disbursed to the State Treasurer; and 38.675% shall be disbursed to the county's general corporate fund. Of the 16.825% disbursed to the State Treasurer, 2/17 shall be deposited by the State Treasurer into the Violent Crime Victims Assistance Fund, 5.052/17 shall be deposited into the Traffic and Criminal Conviction Surcharge Fund, 3/17 shall be deposited into the Drivers Education Fund, and 6.948/17 shall be deposited into the Trauma Center Fund. Of the 6.948/17 deposited into the Trauma Center Fund from the 16.825% disbursed to the State

Treasurer, 50% shall be disbursed to the Department of Public Health and 50% shall be disbursed to the Department of Public Aid. For fiscal year 1993, amounts deposited into the Violent Crime Victims Assistance Fund, the Traffic and Criminal Conviction Surcharge Fund, or the Drivers Education Fund shall not exceed 110% of the amounts deposited into those funds in fiscal year 1991. Any amount that exceeds the 110% limit shall be distributed as follows: 50% shall be disbursed to the county's general corporate fund and 50% shall be disbursed to the entity authorized by law to receive the fine imposed in the case. Not later than March 1 of each year the circuit clerk shall submit a report of the amount of funds remitted to the State Treasurer under this Section during the preceding year based upon independent verification of fines and fees. All counties shall be subject to this Section, except that counties with a population under 2,000,000 may, by ordinance, elect not to be subject to this Section. For offenses subject to this Section, judges shall impose one total sum of money payable for violations. The circuit clerk may add on no additional amounts except for amounts that are required by Sections 27.3a and 27.3c of this Act, unless those amounts are specifically waived by the judge. With respect to money collected by the circuit clerk as a result of forfeiture of bail, ex parte judgment or guilty plea pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 529, the circuit clerk shall first deduct and pay amounts required by Sections 27.3a and 27.3c of this Act. This Section is a denial and limitation of home rule powers and functions under subsection (h) of Section 6 of Article VII of the Illinois Constitution.

- (b) In addition to any other fines and court costs assessed by the courts, any person convicted or receiving an order of supervision for driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs shall pay an additional fee of \$100 to the clerk of the circuit court. This amount, less 2 1/2% that shall be used to defray administrative costs incurred by the clerk, shall be remitted by the clerk to the Treasurer within 60 days after receipt for deposit into the Trauma Center Fund. This additional fee of \$100 shall not be considered a part of the fine for purposes of any reduction in the fine for time served either before or after sentencing. Not later than March 1 of each year the Circuit Clerk shall submit a report of the amount of funds remitted to the State Treasurer under this subsection during the preceding calendar year.
- (b-1) In addition to any other fines and court costs assessed by the courts, any person convicted or receiving an order of supervision for driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs shall pay an additional fee of \$5 to the clerk of the circuit court. This amount, less 2 1/2% that shall be used to defray administrative costs incurred by the clerk, shall be remitted by the clerk to the Treasurer within 60 days after receipt for deposit into the Spinal Cord Injury Paralysis Cure Research Trust Fund. This additional fee of \$5 shall not be considered a part of the fine for purposes of any reduction in the fine for time served either before or after sentencing. Not later than March 1 of each year the Circuit Clerk shall submit a report of the amount of funds remitted to the State Treasurer under this subsection during the preceding calendar year.
- (c) In addition to any other fines and court costs assessed by the courts, any person convicted for a violation of Sections 24-1.1, 24-1.2, or 24-1.5 of the Criminal Code of 1961 or a person sentenced for a violation of the Cannabis Control Act or the Controlled Substance Act shall pay an additional fee of \$100 to the clerk of the circuit court. This amount, less 2 1/2% that shall be used to defray administrative costs incurred by the clerk, shall be remitted by the clerk to the Treasurer within 60 days after receipt for deposit into the Trauma Center Fund. This additional fee of \$100 shall not be considered a part of the fine for purposes of any reduction in the fine for time served either before or after sentencing. Not later than March 1 of each year the Circuit Clerk shall submit a report of the amount of funds remitted to the State Treasurer under this subsection during the preceding calendar year.
- (c-1) In addition to any other fines and court costs assessed by the courts, any person sentenced for a violation of the Cannabis Control Act or the Illinois Controlled Substances Act shall pay an additional fee of \$5 to the clerk of the circuit court. This amount, less 2 1/2% that shall be used to defray administrative costs incurred by the clerk, shall be remitted by the clerk to the Treasurer within 60 days after receipt for deposit into the Spinal Cord Injury Paralysis Cure Research Trust Fund. This additional fee of \$5 shall not be considered a part of the fine for purposes of any reduction in the fine for time served either before or after sentencing. Not later than March 1 of each year the Circuit Clerk shall submit a report of the amount of funds remitted to the State Treasurer under this subsection during the preceding calendar year.
- (d) The following amounts must be remitted to the State Treasurer for deposit into the Illinois Animal Abuse Fund:
  - (1) 50% of the amounts collected for felony offenses under Sections 3, 3.01, 3.02, 3.03,
  - 4, 4.01, 4.03, 4.04, 5, 5.01, 6, 7, 7.5, 7.15, and 16 of the Humane Care for Animals Act and Section 26-5 of the Criminal Code of 1961:
    - (2) 20% of the amounts collected for Class A and Class B misdemeanors under Sections 3,
  - 3.01, 4, 4.01, 4.03, 4.04, 5, 5.01, 6, 7, 7.1, 7.5, 7.15, and 16 of the Humane Care for Animals Act and

Section 26-5 of the Criminal Code of 1961; and

- (3) 50% of the amounts collected for Class C misdemeanors under Sections 4.01 and 7.1 of the Humane Care for Animals Act and Section 26-5 of the Criminal Code of 1961.
- (e) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section, all fines imposed for violations of the Litter Control Act and for violations of subsection (a) of Section 11-1413 of the Illinois Vehicle Code shall be remitted in accordance with subsection (g) of Section 5-9-1 of the Unified Code of Corrections. (Source: P.A. 92-431, eff. 1-1-02; 92-454, eff. 1-1-02; 92-650, eff. 7-11-02; 92-651, eff. 7-11-02; 93-800, eff. 1-1-05.)

Section 30. The Unified Code of Corrections is amended by changing Section 5-9-1 as follows: (730 ILCS 5/5-9-1) (from Ch. 38, par. 1005-9-1) Sec. 5-9-1. Authorized fines.

- (a) An offender may be sentenced to pay a fine which shall not exceed for each offense:
- (1) for a felony, \$25,000 or the amount specified in the offense, whichever is greater, or where the offender is a corporation, \$50,000 or the amount specified in the offense, whichever is greater;
  - (2) for a Class A misdemeanor, \$2,500 or the amount specified in the offense, whichever is greater;
  - (3) for a Class B or Class C misdemeanor, \$1,500;
  - (4) for a petty offense, \$1,000 or the amount specified in the offense, whichever is less;
  - (5) for a business offense, the amount specified in the statute defining that offense.
- (b) A fine may be imposed in addition to a sentence of conditional discharge, probation, periodic imprisonment, or imprisonment.
- (c) There shall be added to every fine imposed in sentencing for a criminal or traffic offense, except an offense relating to parking or registration, or offense by a pedestrian, an additional penalty of \$5 for each \$40, or fraction thereof, of fine imposed. The additional penalty of \$5 for each \$40, or fraction thereof, of fine imposed, if not otherwise assessed, shall also be added to every fine imposed upon a plea of guilty, stipulation of facts or findings of guilty, resulting in a judgment of conviction, or order of supervision in criminal, traffic, local ordinance, county ordinance, and conservation cases (except parking, registration, or pedestrian violations), or upon a sentence of probation without entry of judgment under Section 10 of the Cannabis Control Act or Section 410 of the Controlled Substances

Such additional amounts shall be assessed by the court imposing the fine and shall be collected by the Circuit Clerk in addition to the fine and costs in the case. Each such additional penalty shall be remitted by the Circuit Clerk within one month after receipt to the State Treasurer. The State Treasurer shall deposit \$1 for each \$40, or fraction thereof, of fine imposed into the LEADS Maintenance Fund. The remaining surcharge amount shall be deposited into the Traffic and Criminal Conviction Surcharge Fund, unless the fine, costs or additional amounts are subject to disbursement by the circuit clerk under Section 27.5 of the Clerks of Courts Act. Such additional penalty shall not be considered a part of the fine for purposes of any reduction in the fine for time served either before or after sentencing. Not later than March 1 of each year the Circuit Clerk shall submit a report of the amount of funds remitted to the State Treasurer under this subsection (c) during the preceding calendar year. Except as otherwise provided by Supreme Court Rules, if a court in imposing a fine against an offender levies a gross amount for fine, costs, fees and penalties, the amount of the additional penalty provided for herein shall be computed on the amount remaining after deducting from the gross amount levied all fees of the Circuit Clerk, the State's Attorney and the Sheriff. After deducting from the gross amount levied the fees and additional penalty provided for herein, less any other additional penalties provided by law, the clerk shall remit the net balance remaining to the entity authorized by law to receive the fine imposed in the case. For purposes of this Section "fees of the Circuit Clerk" shall include, if applicable, the fee provided for under Section 27.3a of the Clerks of Courts Act and the fee, if applicable, payable to the county in which the violation occurred pursuant to Section 5-1101 of the Counties Code.

(c-5) In addition to the fines imposed by subsection (c), any person convicted or receiving an order of supervision for driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs shall pay an additional \$100 fee to the clerk. This additional fee, less 2 1/2% that shall be used to defray administrative costs incurred by the clerk, shall be remitted by the clerk to the Treasurer within 60 days after receipt for deposit into the Trauma Center Fund. This additional fee of \$100 shall not be considered a part of the fine for purposes of any reduction in the fine for time served either before or after sentencing. Not later than March 1 of each year the Circuit Clerk shall submit a report of the amount of funds remitted to the State Treasurer

under this subsection (c-5) during the preceding calendar year.

The Circuit Clerk may accept payment of fines and costs by credit card from an offender who has been convicted of a traffic offense, petty offense or misdemeanor and may charge the service fee permitted where fines and costs are paid by credit card provided for in Section 27.3b of the Clerks of Courts Act.

- (c-7) In addition to the fines imposed by subsection (c), any person convicted or receiving an order of supervision for driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs shall pay an additional \$5 fee to the clerk. This additional fee, less 2 1/2% that shall be used to defray administrative costs incurred by the clerk, shall be remitted by the clerk to the Treasurer within 60 days after receipt for deposit into the Spinal Cord Injury Paralysis Cure Research Trust Fund. This additional fee of \$5 shall not be considered a part of the fine for purposes of any reduction in the fine for time served either before or after sentencing. Not later than March 1 of each year the Circuit Clerk shall submit a report of the amount of funds remitted to the State Treasurer under this subsection (c-7) during the preceding calendar year.
- (c-9) There shall be added to every fine imposed in sentencing for a criminal or traffic offense, except an offense relating to parking or registration, or offense by a pedestrian, an additional penalty of \$4 imposed. The additional penalty of \$4 shall also be added to every fine imposed upon a plea of guilty, stipulation of facts or findings of guilty, resulting in a judgment of conviction, or order of supervision in criminal, traffic, local ordinance, county ordinance, or conservation cases (except parking, registration, or pedestrian violations), or upon a sentence of probation without entry of judgment under Section 10 of the Cannabis Control Act or Section 410 of the Controlled Substances Act. Such additional penalty of \$4 shall be assessed by the court imposing the fine and shall be collected by the circuit clerk in addition to any other fine, costs, fees, and penalties in the case. Each such additional penalty of \$4 shall be remitted to the State Treasurer by the circuit clerk within one month after receipt. The State Treasurer shall deposit the additional penalty of \$4 shall be in addition to any other fine, costs, fees, and penalties and shall not reduce or affect the distribution of any other fine, costs, fees, and penalties and shall not reduce or affect the distribution of any other fine, costs, fees, and penalties.
- (d) In determining the amount and method of payment of a fine, except for those fines established for violations of Chapter 15 of the Illinois Vehicle Code, the court shall consider:
  - (1) the financial resources and future ability of the offender to pay the fine; and
  - (2) whether the fine will prevent the offender from making court ordered restitution or reparation to the victim of the offense; and
  - (3) in a case where the accused is a dissolved corporation and the court has appointed counsel to represent the corporation, the costs incurred either by the county or the State for such representation.
- (e) The court may order the fine to be paid forthwith or within a specified period of time or in installments.
- (f) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (g), all fines, costs and additional amounts imposed under this Section for any violation of Chapters 3, 4, 6, and 11 of the Illinois Vehicle Code, or a similar provision of a local ordinance, and any violation of the Child Passenger Protection Act, or a similar provision of a local ordinance, shall be collected and disbursed by the circuit clerk as provided under Section 27.5 of the Clerks of Courts Act.
- (g) Except for amounts added to fines under this Section, all fines imposed for violations of the Litter Control Act and for violations of subsection (a) of Section 11-1413 of the Illinois Vehicle Code shall be remitted to the State Treasurer for deposit into the Clean Communities Recycling Fund.

(Source: P.A. 92-431, eff. 1-1-02; 93-32, eff. 6-20-03.)

Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect upon becoming law.".

The motion prevailed.

And the amendment was adopted and ordered printed.

There being no further amendments, the foregoing Amendment No. 1 was ordered engrossed, and the bill, as amended was ordered to a third reading.

## READING OF BILL OF THE SENATE A THIRD TIME

On motion of Senator Halvorson, **Senate Bill No. 431**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 55; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Bomke	Geo-Karis	Martinez	Schoenberg
Brady	Haine	Meeks	Shadid
Burzynski	Halvorson	Munoz	Sieben
Clayborne	Harmon	Pankau	Silverstein
Collins	Hendon	Peterson	Sullivan, D.
Crotty	Hunter	Petka	Sullivan, J.
Cullerton	Jacobs	Radogno	Syverson
Dahl	Jones, J.	Raoul	Trotter
del Valle	Jones, W.	Righter	Viverito
DeLeo	Lauzen	Risinger	Watson
Demuzio	Lightford	Ronen	Wilhelmi
Dillard	Link	Roskam	Winkel
Forby	Luechtefeld	Rutherford	Mr. President
Garrett	Maloney	Sandoval	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein

Senator Althoff asked and obtained unanimous consent for the Journal to reflect her affirmative vote on Senate Bill No. 431.

#### SENATE BILL RECALLED

On motion of Senator Harmon, **Senate Bill No. 716** was recalled from the order of third reading to the order of second reading.

Senator Harmon offered the following amendment and moved its adoption:

## AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE BILL 716

AMENDMENT NO. 1 . Amend Senate Bill 716 by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

"Section 5. The Use Tax Act is amended by changing Section 2a as follows:

(35 ILCS 105/2a) (from Ch. 120, par. 439.2a)

Sec. 2a. Pollution control facilities.

(a) As used in this subsection (a), "pollution control facilities" means any system, method, construction, device or appliance appurtenant thereto sold or used or intended for the primary purpose of eliminating, preventing, or reducing air and water pollution as the term "air pollution" or "water pollution" is defined in the "Environmental Protection Act", enacted by the 76th General Assembly, or for the primary purpose of treating, pretreating, modifying or disposing of any potential solid, liquid or gaseous pollutant which if released without such treatment, pretreatment, modification or disposal might be harmful, detrimental or offensive to human, plant or animal life, or to property.

Until July 1, 2003, the purchase, employment and transfer of such tangible personal property as pollution control facilities is not a purchase, use or sale of tangible personal property.

(b) Beginning July 1, 2005, tangible personal property that is certified by the Pollution Control Board as a "pollution control facility", as that term is defined in Section 11-10 of the Property Tax Code, is exempt from the tax imposed by this Act if the property is used as part of a livestock management facility or a livestock waste handling facility (i) that has been approved by the Department of Agriculture under the provisions of the Livestock Management Facilities Act and (ii) that is located within an agricultural area established by a county under the Agricultural Areas Conservation and Protection Act.

To document this exemption, a purchaser must provide the retailer with a copy of the certification

issued by the Pollution Control Board, along with a certification, verified by the purchaser, that the tangible personal property will be used primarily as a pollution control facility in an approved livestock management facility or livestock waste handling facility located in an agricultural area.

The provisions of this subsection (b) are exempt from Section 3-90.

(Source: P.A. 93-24, eff. 6-20-03.)

Section 10. The Service Use Tax Act is amended by changing Section 2a as follows:

(35 ILCS 110/2a) (from Ch. 120, par. 439.32a)

Sec. 2a. Pollution control facilities.

(a) As used in this subsection (a), "pollution control facilities" means any system, method, construction, device or appliance appurtenant thereto used in this State acquired as an incident to the purchase of a service from a serviceman for the primary purpose of eliminating, preventing, or reducing air and water pollution as the term "air pollution" or "water pollution" is defined in the "Environmental Protection Act", enacted by the 76th General Assembly, or for the primary purpose of treating, pretreating, modifying or disposing of any potential solid, liquid or gaseous pollutant which if released without such treatment, pretreatment, modification or disposal might be harmful, detrimental or offensive to human, plant or animal life, or to property.

Until July 1, 2003, the purchase, employment or transfer of such tangible personal property as pollution control facilities is not a purchase, use or sale of service or of tangible personal property within the meaning of this Act.

(b) Beginning July 1, 2005, tangible personal property that is certified by the Pollution Control Board as a "pollution control facility", as that term is defined in Section 11-10 of the Property Tax Code, is exempt from the tax imposed by this Act if the property is used as part of a livestock management facility or a livestock waste handling facility (i) that has been approved by the Department of Agriculture under the provisions of the Livestock Management Facilities Act and (ii) that is located within an agricultural area established by a county under the Agricultural Areas Conservation and Protection Act.

To document this exemption, a purchaser must provide the retailer with a copy of the certification issued by the Pollution Control Board, along with a certification, verified by the purchaser, that the tangible personal property will be used primarily as a pollution control facility in an approved livestock management facility or livestock waste handling facility located in an agricultural area.

The provisions of this subsection (b) are exempt from Section 3-75.

(Source: P.A. 93-24, eff. 6-20-03.)

Section 15. The Service Occupation Tax Act is amended by changing Section 2a as follows:

(35 ILCS 115/2a) (from Ch. 120, par. 439.102a)

Sec. 2a. Pollution control facilities.

(a) As used in this subsection (a), "pollution control facilities" means any system, method, construction, device or appliance appurtenant thereto transferred by a serviceman for the primary purpose of eliminating, preventing, or reducing air and water pollution as the term "air pollution" or "water pollution" is defined in the "Environmental Protection Act", enacted by the 76th General Assembly, or for the primary purpose of treating, pretreating, modifying or disposing of any potential solid, liquid or gaseous pollutant which if released without such treatment, pretreatment, modification or disposal might be harmful, detrimental or offensive to human, plant or animal life, or to property.

Until July 1, 2003, the purchase, employment and transfer of such tangible personal property as pollution control facilities shall not be deemed to be a purchase, use or sale of service or of tangible

personal property, but shall be deemed to be intangible personal property.

(b) Beginning July 1, 2005, tangible personal property that is certified by the Pollution Control Board as a "pollution control facility", as that term is defined in Section 11-10 of the Property Tax Code, is exempt from the tax imposed by this Act if the property is used as part of a livestock management facility or a livestock waste handling facility (i) that has been approved by the Department of Agriculture under the provisions of the Livestock Management Facilities Act and (ii) that is located within an agricultural area established by a county under the Agricultural Areas Conservation and Protection Act.

To document this exemption, a purchaser must provide the retailer with a copy of the certification issued by the Pollution Control Board, along with a certification, verified by the purchaser, that the tangible personal property will be used primarily as a pollution control facility in an approved livestock management facility or livestock waste handling facility located in an agricultural area.

The provisions of this subsection (b) are exempt from Section 3-55.

(Source: P.A. 93-24, eff. 6-20-03.)

Section 20. The Retailers' Occupation Tax Act is amended by changing Sections 1a and 5k as follows: (35 ILCS 120/1a) (from Ch. 120, par. 440a)

Sec. 1a. Pollution control facilities.

(a) As used in this subsection (a), "pollution control facilities" means any system, method, construction, device or appliance appurtenant thereto sold or used or intended for the primary purpose of eliminating, preventing, or reducing air and water pollution as the term "air pollution" or "water pollution" is defined in the "Environmental Protection Act", enacted by the 76th General Assembly, or for the primary purpose of treating, pretreating, modifying or disposing of any potential solid, liquid or gaseous pollutant which if released without such treatment, pretreatment, modification or disposal might be harmful, detrimental or offensive to human, plant or animal life, or to property.

Until July 1, 2003, the purchase, employment and transfer of such tangible personal property as pollution control facilities is not a purchase, use or sale of tangible personal property.

(b) Beginning July 1, 2005, tangible personal property that is certified by the Pollution Control Board as a "pollution control facility", as that term is defined in Section 11-10 of the Property Tax Code, is exempt from the tax imposed by this Act if the property is used as part of a livestock management facility or a livestock waste handling facility (i) that has been approved by the Department of Agriculture under the provisions of the Livestock Management Facilities Act and (ii) that is located within an agricultural area established by a county under the Agricultural Areas Conservation and Protection Act.

To document this exemption, a purchaser must provide the retailer with a copy of the certification issued by the Pollution Control Board, along with a certification, verified by the purchaser, that the tangible personal property will be used primarily as a pollution control facility in an approved livestock management facility or livestock waste handling facility located in an agricultural area.

The provisions of this subsection (b) are exempt from Section 2-70.

(Source: P.A. 93-24, eff. 6-20-03.)

(35 ILCS 120/5k) (from Ch. 120, par. 444k)

Sec. 5k. Building materials exemption; enterprise zones and agricultural areas.

- (a) Each retailer who makes a qualified sale of building materials to be incorporated into real estate in an enterprise zone established by a county or municipality under the Illinois Enterprise Zone Act by remodeling, rehabilitation or new construction, may deduct receipts from such sales when calculating the tax imposed by this Act. For purposes of this Section, "qualified sale" means a sale of building materials that will be incorporated into real estate as part of a building project for which a Certificate of Eligibility for Sales Tax Exemption has been issued by the administrator of the enterprise zone in which the building project is located. To document the exemption allowed under this Section, the retailer must obtain from the purchaser a copy of the Certificate of Eligibility for Sales Tax Exemption issued by the administrator of the enterprise zone into which the building materials will be incorporated. The Certificate of Eligibility for Sales Tax Exemption must contain:
  - (1) a statement that the building project identified in the Certificate meets all the requirements for the building material exemption contained in the enterprise zone ordinance of the jurisdiction in which the building project is located;
    - (2) the location or address of the building project; and
    - (3) the signature of the administrator of the enterprise zone in which the building project is located.

In addition, the retailer must obtain certification from the purchaser that contains:

(1) a statement that the building materials are being purchased for incorporation into

real estate located in an Illinois enterprise zone;

- (2) the location or address of the real estate into which the building materials will be incorporated;
- (3) the name of the enterprise zone in which that real estate is located;
- (4) a description of the building materials being purchased; and
- (5) the purchaser's signature and date of purchase.

The deduction allowed by this Section for the sale of building materials may be limited, to the extent authorized by ordinance, adopted after the effective date of this amendatory Act of 1992, by the municipality or county that created the enterprise zone into which the building materials will be incorporated. The ordinance, however, may neither require nor prohibit the purchase of building materials from any retailer or class of retailers in order to qualify for the exemption allowed under this Section

(b) Beginning July 1, 2005, each retailer who makes a qualified sale of building materials to be incorporated into real estate as part of a livestock management facility, livestock pasture operation, or livestock waste handling facility located in an agricultural area established by a county under the

Agricultural Areas Conservation and Protection Act by new construction, may deduct receipts from those sales when calculating the tax imposed by this Act. For purposes of this subsection, "qualified sale" means a sale of building materials that will be incorporated into real estate (i) in a livestock management facility or livestock waste handling facility that has been approved by the Department of Agriculture under the provisions of the Livestock Management Facilities Act or (ii) in a livestock pasture operation that is not subject to the Livestock Management Facilities Act, as provided in the definition of "livestock management facility" in that Act. For purposes of this subsection, the terms "livestock management facility" and "livestock waste handling facility" have the meanings set forth in Sections 10.30 and 10.40 of the Livestock Management Facilities Act.

To be eligible for the exemption under this subsection, the livestock management facility, livestock pasture operation, or livestock waste handling facility must be located within an agriculture area established by a county pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Areas Conservation and Protection Act. To document the exemption allowed under this subsection, the retailer must obtain from the purchaser a copy of a Certificate of Eligibility for Sales Tax Exemption issued by the Department of Agriculture, based on information provided to the Department of Agriculture by the county board governing the agricultural area into which the building materials will be incorporated. The Certificate of Eligibility for Sales Tax Exemption must contain.

- (1) a certification by the Department of Agriculture (i) that the livestock management facility, livestock pasture operation, or livestock waste handling facility has been approved by the Department of Agriculture under the provisions of the Livestock Management Facilities Act or (ii) that the facility is otherwise exempt from such approval;
- (2) the location or address of the livestock management facility, livestock pasture operation, or livestock waste handling facility; and
- (3) a certification by the Department of Agriculture that the livestock management facility, livestock pasture operation, or livestock waste handling facility is located within an agricultural area established by a county under the provisions of the Agricultural Areas Conservation and Protection Act and reported by the county to the Department of Agriculture.
- In addition, the retailer must obtain certification from the purchaser that contains:
- (1) a statement that the building materials are being purchased for incorporation into real estate at a livestock management facility, livestock pasture operation, or livestock waste handling facility that has been approved by the Department of Agriculture or that is exempt from approval and that is located in an Illinois agricultural area;
- (2) the location or address of the livestock management facility, livestock pasture operation, or livestock waste handling facility into which the building materials will be incorporated;
- (3) the name of the agricultural area in which the livestock management facility, livestock pasture operation, or livestock waste handling facility is located;
  - (4) a description of the building materials being purchased; and
  - (5) the purchaser's signature and date of purchase.
  - (c) The provisions of this Section are exempt from Section 2-70.

(Source: P.A. 91-51, eff. 6-30-99; 91-954, eff. 1-1-02; 92-484, eff. 8-23-01; 92-779, eff. 8-6-02.)

Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect upon becoming law.".

The motion prevailed.

And the amendment was adopted and ordered printed.

There being no further amendments, the foregoing Amendment No. 1 was ordered engrossed, and the bill, as amended was ordered to a third reading.

# READING OF BILL OF THE SENATE A THIRD TIME

On motion of Senator Harmon, **Senate Bill No. 716**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 55; Navs None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

A 141 CC	C+	M-1	C11
Althoff	Garrett	Maloney	Sandoval
Bomke	Geo-Karis	Martinez	Schoenberg
Brady	Haine	Meeks	Shadid
Burzynski	Halvorson	Munoz	Sieben
Clayborne	Harmon	Pankau	Silverstein
Collins	Hendon	Peterson	Sullivan, J.
Crotty	Hunter	Petka	Syverson
Cullerton	Jacobs	Radogno	Trotter
Dahl	Jones, J.	Raoul	Viverito
del Valle	Jones, W.	Righter	Watson
DeLeo	Lauzen	Risinger	Wilhelmi
Demuzio	Lightford	Ronen	Winkel
Dillard	Link	Roskam	Mr. President
Forby	Luechtefeld	Rutherford	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

At the hour of 3:26 o'clock p.m., Senator DeLeo presiding.

#### SENATE BILL RECALLED

On motion of Senator Link, **Senate Bill No. 833** was recalled from the order of third reading to the order of second reading.

Senator Link offered the following amendment and moved its adoption:

## AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE BILL 833

AMENDMENT NO. 11. Amend Senate Bill 833 by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

"Section 5. The Illinois Municipal Code is amended by changing Sections 11-74.4-3 and 11-74.4-7 as follows:

(65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3) (from Ch. 24, par. 11-74.4-3)

- Sec. 11-74.4-3. Definitions. The following terms, wherever used or referred to in this Division 74.4 shall have the following respective meanings, unless in any case a different meaning clearly appears from the context.
- (a) For any redevelopment project area that has been designated pursuant to this Section by an ordinance adopted prior to November 1, 1999 (the effective date of Public Act 91-478), "blighted area" shall have the meaning set forth in this Section prior to that date.

On and after November 1, 1999, "blighted area" means any improved or vacant area within the boundaries of a redevelopment project area located within the territorial limits of the municipality where:

- (1) If improved, industrial, commercial, and residential buildings or improvements are detrimental to the public safety, health, or welfare because of a combination of 5 or more of the following factors, each of which is (i) present, with that presence documented, to a meaningful extent so that a municipality may reasonably find that the factor is clearly present within the intent of the Act and (ii) reasonably distributed throughout the improved part of the redevelopment project area:
  - (A) Dilapidation. An advanced state of disrepair or neglect of necessary repairs
  - to the primary structural components of buildings or improvements in such a combination that a documented building condition analysis determines that major repair is required or the defects are so serious and so extensive that the buildings must be removed.
    - (B) Obsolescence. The condition or process of falling into disuse. Structures have become ill-suited for the original use.
    - (C) Deterioration. With respect to buildings, defects including, but not limited
  - to, major defects in the secondary building components such as doors, windows, porches, gutters and downspouts, and fascia. With respect to surface improvements, that the condition of roadways,

alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, off-street parking, and surface storage areas evidence deterioration, including, but not limited to, surface cracking, crumbling, potholes, depressions, loose paving material, and weeds protruding through paved surfaces.

- (D) Presence of structures below minimum code standards. All structures that do not meet the standards of zoning, subdivision, building, fire, and other governmental codes applicable to property, but not including housing and property maintenance codes.
- (E) Illegal use of individual structures. The use of structures in violation of applicable federal, State, or local laws, exclusive of those applicable to the presence of structures below minimum code standards.
- (F) Excessive vacancies. The presence of buildings that are unoccupied or under-utilized and that represent an adverse influence on the area because of the frequency, extent, or duration of the vacancies.
- (G) Lack of ventilation, light, or sanitary facilities. The absence of adequate ventilation for light or air circulation in spaces or rooms without windows, or that require the removal of dust, odor, gas, smoke, or other noxious airborne materials. Inadequate natural light and ventilation means the absence of skylights or windows for interior spaces or rooms and improper window sizes and amounts by room area to window area ratios. Inadequate sanitary facilities refers to the absence or inadequacy of garbage storage and enclosure, bathroom facilities, hot water and kitchens, and structural inadequacies preventing ingress and egress to and from all rooms and units within a building.
- (H) Inadequate utilities. Underground and overhead utilities such as storm sewers and storm drainage, sanitary sewers, water lines, and gas, telephone, and electrical services that are shown to be inadequate. Inadequate utilities are those that are: (i) of insufficient capacity to serve the uses in the redevelopment project area, (ii) deteriorated, antiquated, obsolete, or in disrepair, or (iii) lacking within the redevelopment project area.
- (I) Excessive land coverage and overcrowding of structures and community facilities. The over-intensive use of property and the crowding of buildings and accessory facilities onto a site. Examples of problem conditions warranting the designation of an area as one exhibiting excessive land coverage are: (i) the presence of buildings either improperly situated on parcels or located on parcels of inadequate size and shape in relation to present-day standards of development for health and safety and (ii) the presence of multiple buildings on a single parcel. For there to be a finding of excessive land coverage, these parcels must exhibit one or more of the following conditions: insufficient provision for light and air within or around buildings, increased threat of spread of fire due to the close proximity of buildings, lack of adequate or proper access to a public right-of-way, lack of reasonably required off-street parking, or inadequate provision for loading and service.
- (J) Deleterious land use or layout. The existence of incompatible land-use relationships, buildings occupied by inappropriate mixed-uses, or uses considered to be noxious, offensive, or unsuitable for the surrounding area.
- (K) Environmental clean-up. The proposed redevelopment project area has incurred Illinois Environmental Protection Agency or United States Environmental Protection Agency remediation costs for, or a study conducted by an independent consultant recognized as having expertise in environmental remediation has determined a need for, the clean-up of hazardous waste, hazardous substances, or underground storage tanks required by State or federal law, provided that the remediation costs constitute a material impediment to the development or redevelopment of the redevelopment project area.
- (L) Lack of community planning. The proposed redevelopment project area was developed prior to or without the benefit or guidance of a community plan. This means that the development occurred prior to the adoption by the municipality of a comprehensive or other community plan or that the plan was not followed at the time of the area's development. This factor must be documented by evidence of adverse or incompatible land-use relationships, inadequate street layout, improper subdivision, parcels of inadequate shape and size to meet contemporary development standards, or other evidence demonstrating an absence of effective community planning.
- (M) The total equalized assessed value of the proposed redevelopment project area has declined for 3 of the last 5 calendar years prior to the year in which the redevelopment project area is designated or is increasing at an annual rate that is less than the balance of the municipality for 3 of the last 5 calendar years for which information is available or is increasing at an annual rate that is less than the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers published by the United States

Department of Labor or successor agency for 3 of the last 5 calendar years prior to the year in which the redevelopment project area is designated.

- (2) If vacant, the sound growth of the redevelopment project area is impaired by a combination of 2 or more of the following factors, each of which is (i) present, with that presence documented, to a meaningful extent so that a municipality may reasonably find that the factor is clearly present within the intent of the Act and (ii) reasonably distributed throughout the vacant part of the redevelopment project area to which it pertains:
  - (A) Obsolete platting of vacant land that results in parcels of limited or narrow size or configurations of parcels of irregular size or shape that would be difficult to develop on a planned basis and in a manner compatible with contemporary standards and requirements, or platting that failed to create rights-of-ways for streets or alleys or that created inadequate right-of-way widths for streets, alleys, or other public rights-of-way or that omitted easements for public utilities.
    - (B) Diversity of ownership of parcels of vacant land sufficient in number to retard or impede the ability to assemble the land for development.
    - (C) Tax and special assessment delinquencies exist or the property has been the subject of tax sales under the Property Tax Code within the last 5 years.
    - (D) Deterioration of structures or site improvements in neighboring areas adjacent to the vacant land.
  - (E) The area has incurred Illinois Environmental Protection Agency or United States Environmental Protection Agency remediation costs for, or a study conducted by an independent consultant recognized as having expertise in environmental remediation has determined a need for, the clean-up of hazardous waste, hazardous substances, or underground storage tanks required by State or federal law, provided that the remediation costs constitute a material impediment to the development or redevelopment of the redevelopment project area.
  - (F) The total equalized assessed value of the proposed redevelopment project area has declined for 3 of the last 5 calendar years prior to the year in which the redevelopment project area is designated or is increasing at an annual rate that is less than the balance of the municipality for 3 of the last 5 calendar years for which information is available or is increasing at an annual rate that is less than the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers published by the United States Department of Labor or successor agency for 3 of the last 5 calendar years prior to the year in which the redevelopment project area is designated.
- (3) If vacant, the sound growth of the redevelopment project area is impaired by one of the following factors that (i) is present, with that presence documented, to a meaningful extent so that a municipality may reasonably find that the factor is clearly present within the intent of the Act and (ii) is reasonably distributed throughout the vacant part of the redevelopment project area to which it pertains:
  - (A) The area consists of one or more unused quarries, mines, or strip mine ponds.
  - (B) The area consists of unused rail yards, rail tracks, or railroad rights-of-way.
  - (C) The area, prior to its designation, is subject to (i) chronic flooding that adversely impacts on real property in the area as certified by a registered professional engineer or appropriate regulatory agency or (ii) surface water that discharges from all or a part of the area and contributes to flooding within the same watershed, but only if the redevelopment project provides for facilities or improvements to contribute to the alleviation of all or part of the flooding.
  - (D) The area consists of an unused or illegal disposal site containing earth, stone, building debris, or similar materials that were removed from construction, demolition, excavation, or dredge sites.
  - (E) Prior to November 1, 1999, the area is not less than 50 nor more than 100 acres and 75% of which is vacant (notwithstanding that the area has been used for commercial agricultural purposes within 5 years prior to the designation of the redevelopment project area), and the area meets at least one of the factors itemized in paragraph (1) of this subsection, the area has been designated as a town or village center by ordinance or comprehensive plan adopted prior to January 1, 1982, and the area has not been developed for that designated purpose.
  - (F) The area qualified as a blighted improved area immediately prior to becoming vacant, unless there has been substantial private investment in the immediately surrounding area.
- (b) For any redevelopment project area that has been designated pursuant to this Section by an ordinance adopted prior to November 1, 1999 (the effective date of Public Act 91-478), "conservation area" shall have the meaning set forth in this Section prior to that date.

On and after November 1, 1999, "conservation area" means any improved area within the boundaries

of a redevelopment project area located within the territorial limits of the municipality in which 50% or more of the structures in the area have an age of 35 years or more. Such an area is not yet a blighted area but because of a combination of 3 or more of the following factors is detrimental to the public safety, health, morals or welfare and such an area may become a blighted area:

- (1) Dilapidation. An advanced state of disrepair or neglect of necessary repairs to the primary structural components of buildings or improvements in such a combination that a documented building condition analysis determines that major repair is required or the defects are so serious and so extensive that the buildings must be removed.
  - (2) Obsolescence. The condition or process of falling into disuse. Structures have become ill-suited for the original use.
- (3) Deterioration. With respect to buildings, defects including, but not limited to, major defects in the secondary building components such as doors, windows, porches, gutters and downspouts, and fascia. With respect to surface improvements, that the condition of roadways, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, off-street parking, and surface storage areas evidence deterioration, including, but not limited to, surface cracking, crumbling, potholes, depressions, loose paving material, and weeds protruding through paved surfaces.
- (4) Presence of structures below minimum code standards. All structures that do not meet the standards of zoning, subdivision, building, fire, and other governmental codes applicable to property, but not including housing and property maintenance codes.
- (5) Illegal use of individual structures. The use of structures in violation of applicable federal, State, or local laws, exclusive of those applicable to the presence of structures below minimum code standards.
- (6) Excessive vacancies. The presence of buildings that are unoccupied or under-utilized and that represent an adverse influence on the area because of the frequency, extent, or duration of the vacancies.
- (7) Lack of ventilation, light, or sanitary facilities. The absence of adequate ventilation for light or air circulation in spaces or rooms without windows, or that require the removal of dust, odor, gas, smoke, or other noxious airborne materials. Inadequate natural light and ventilation means the absence or inadequacy of skylights or windows for interior spaces or rooms and improper window sizes and amounts by room area to window area ratios. Inadequate sanitary facilities refers to the absence or inadequacy of garbage storage and enclosure, bathroom facilities, hot water and kitchens, and structural inadequacies preventing ingress and egress to and from all rooms and units within a building.
- (8) Inadequate utilities. Underground and overhead utilities such as storm sewers and storm drainage, sanitary sewers, water lines, and gas, telephone, and electrical services that are shown to be inadequate. Inadequate utilities are those that are: (i) of insufficient capacity to serve the uses in the redevelopment project area, (ii) deteriorated, antiquated, obsolete, or in disrepair, or (iii) lacking within the redevelopment project area.
- (9) Excessive land coverage and overcrowding of structures and community facilities. The over-intensive use of property and the crowding of buildings and accessory facilities onto a site. Examples of problem conditions warranting the designation of an area as one exhibiting excessive land coverage are: the presence of buildings either improperly situated on parcels or located on parcels of inadequate size and shape in relation to present-day standards of development for health and safety and the presence of multiple buildings on a single parcel. For there to be a finding of excessive land coverage, these parcels must exhibit one or more of the following conditions: insufficient provision for light and air within or around buildings, increased threat of spread of fire due to the close proximity of buildings, lack of adequate or proper access to a public right-of-way, lack of reasonably required off-street parking, or inadequate provision for loading and service.
- (10) Deleterious land use or layout. The existence of incompatible land-use relationships, buildings occupied by inappropriate mixed-uses, or uses considered to be noxious, offensive, or unsuitable for the surrounding area.
- (11) Lack of community planning. The proposed redevelopment project area was developed prior to or without the benefit or guidance of a community plan. This means that the development occurred prior to the adoption by the municipality of a comprehensive or other community plan or that the plan was not followed at the time of the area's development. This factor must be documented by evidence of adverse or incompatible land-use relationships, inadequate street layout, improper subdivision, parcels of inadequate shape and size to meet contemporary development standards, or other evidence demonstrating an absence of effective community planning.
  - (12) The area has incurred Illinois Environmental Protection Agency or United States

Environmental Protection Agency remediation costs for, or a study conducted by an independent consultant recognized as having expertise in environmental remediation has determined a need for, the clean-up of hazardous waste, hazardous substances, or underground storage tanks required by State or federal law, provided that the remediation costs constitute a material impediment to the development or redevelopment of the redevelopment project area.

- (13) The total equalized assessed value of the proposed redevelopment project area has declined for 3 of the last 5 calendar years for which information is available or is increasing at an annual rate that is less than the balance of the municipality for 3 of the last 5 calendar years for which information is available or is increasing at an annual rate that is less than the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers published by the United States Department of Labor or successor agency for 3 of the last 5 calendar years for which information is available.
- (c) "Industrial park" means an area in a blighted or conservation area suitable for use by any manufacturing, industrial, research or transportation enterprise, of facilities to include but not be limited to factories, mills, processing plants, assembly plants, packing plants, fabricating plants, industrial distribution centers, warehouses, repair overhaul or service facilities, freight terminals, research facilities test facilities or railroad facilities.
- (d) "Industrial park conservation area" means an area within the boundaries of a redevelopment project area located within the territorial limits of a municipality that is a labor surplus municipality or within 1 1/2 miles of the territorial limits of a municipality that is a labor surplus municipality if the area is annexed to the municipality; which area is zoned as industrial no later than at the time the municipality by ordinance designates the redevelopment project area, and which area includes both vacant land suitable for use as an industrial park and a blighted area or conservation area contiguous to such vacant land.
- (e) "Labor surplus municipality" means a municipality in which, at any time during the 6 months before the municipality by ordinance designates an industrial park conservation area, the unemployment rate was over 6% and was also 100% or more of the national average unemployment rate for that same time as published in the United States Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics publication entitled "The Employment Situation" or its successor publication. For the purpose of this subsection, if unemployment rate statistics for the municipality are not available, the unemployment rate in the municipality shall be deemed to be the same as the unemployment rate in the principal county in which the municipality is located.
  - (f) "Municipality" shall mean a city, village or incorporated town.
- (g) "Initial Sales Tax Amounts" means the amount of taxes paid under the Retailers' Occupation Tax Act, Use Tax Act, Service Use Tax Act, the Service Occupation Tax Act, the Municipal Retailers' Occupation Tax Act, and the Municipal Service Occupation Tax Act by retailers and servicemen on transactions at places located in a State Sales Tax Boundary during the calendar year 1985.
- (g-1) "Revised Initial Sales Tax Amounts" means the amount of taxes paid under the Retailers' Occupation Tax Act, Use Tax Act, Service Use Tax Act, the Service Occupation Tax Act, the Municipal Retailers' Occupation Tax Act, and the Municipal Service Occupation Tax Act by retailers and servicemen on transactions at places located within the State Sales Tax Boundary revised pursuant to Section 11-74.4-8a(9) of this Act.
- (h) "Municipal Sales Tax Increment" means an amount equal to the increase in the aggregate amount of taxes paid to a municipality from the Local Government Tax Fund arising from sales by retailers and servicemen within the redevelopment project area or State Sales Tax Boundary, as the case may be, for as long as the redevelopment project area or State Sales Tax Boundary, as the case may be, exist over and above the aggregate amount of taxes as certified by the Illinois Department of Revenue and paid under the Municipal Retailers' Occupation Tax Act and the Municipal Service Occupation Tax Act by retailers and servicemen, on transactions at places of business located in the redevelopment project area or State Sales Tax Boundary, as the case may be, during the base year which shall be the calendar year immediately prior to the year in which the municipality adopted tax increment allocation financing. For purposes of computing the aggregate amount of such taxes for base years occurring prior to 1985, the Department of Revenue shall determine the Initial Sales Tax Amounts for such taxes and deduct therefrom an amount equal to 4% of the aggregate amount of taxes per year for each year the base year is prior to 1985, but not to exceed a total deduction of 12%. The amount so determined shall be known as the "Adjusted Initial Sales Tax Amounts". For purposes of determining the Municipal Sales Tax Increment, the Department of Revenue shall for each period subtract from the amount paid to the municipality from the Local Government Tax Fund arising from sales by retailers and servicemen on transactions located in the redevelopment project area or the State Sales Tax Boundary, as the case may be, the certified Initial Sales Tax Amounts, the Adjusted Initial Sales Tax Amounts or the Revised Initial

Sales Tax Amounts for the Municipal Retailers' Occupation Tax Act and the Municipal Service Occupation Tax Act. For the State Fiscal Year 1989, this calculation shall be made by utilizing the calendar year 1987 to determine the tax amounts received. For the State Fiscal Year 1990, this calculation shall be made by utilizing the period from January 1, 1988, until September 30, 1988, to determine the tax amounts received from retailers and servicemen pursuant to the Municipal Retailers' Occupation Tax and the Municipal Service Occupation Tax Act, which shall have deducted therefrom nine-twelfths of the certified Initial Sales Tax Amounts, the Adjusted Initial Sales Tax Amounts or the Revised Initial Sales Tax Amounts as appropriate. For the State Fiscal Year 1991, this calculation shall be made by utilizing the period from October 1, 1988, to June 30, 1989, to determine the tax amounts received from retailers and servicemen pursuant to the Municipal Retailers' Occupation Tax and the Municipal Service Occupation Tax Act which shall have deducted therefrom nine-twelfths of the certified Initial Sales Tax Amounts, Adjusted Initial Sales Tax Amounts or the Revised Initial Sales Tax Amounts as appropriate. For every State Fiscal Year thereafter, the applicable period shall be the 12 months beginning July 1 and ending June 30 to determine the tax amounts received which shall have deducted therefrom the certified Initial Sales Tax Amounts, the Adjusted Initial Sales Tax Amounts or the Revised Initial Sales Tax Amounts, as the case may be.

(i) "Net State Sales Tax Increment" means the sum of the following: (a) 80% of the first \$100,000 of State Sales Tax Increment annually generated within a State Sales Tax Boundary; (b) 60% of the amount in excess of \$100,000 but not exceeding \$500,000 of State Sales Tax Increment annually generated within a State Sales Tax Boundary; and (c) 40% of all amounts in excess of \$500,000 of State Sales Tax Increment annually generated within a State Sales Tax Boundary. If, however, a municipality established a tax increment financing district in a county with a population in excess of 3,000,000 before January 1, 1986, and the municipality entered into a contract or issued bonds after January 1, 1986, but before December 31, 1986, to finance redevelopment project costs within a State Sales Tax Boundary, then the Net State Sales Tax Increment means, for the fiscal years beginning July 1, 1990, and July 1, 1991, 100% of the State Sales Tax Increment annually generated within a State Sales Tax Boundary; and notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, for those fiscal years the Department of Revenue shall distribute to those municipalities 100% of their Net State Sales Tax Increment before any distribution to any other municipality and regardless of whether or not those other municipalities will receive 100% of their Net State Sales Tax Increment. For Fiscal Year 1999, and every year thereafter until the year 2007, for any municipality that has not entered into a contract or has not issued bonds prior to June 1, 1988 to finance redevelopment project costs within a State Sales Tax Boundary, the Net State Sales Tax Increment shall be calculated as follows: By multiplying the Net State Sales Tax Increment by 90% in the State Fiscal Year 1999; 80% in the State Fiscal Year 2000; 70% in the State Fiscal Year 2001; 60% in the State Fiscal Year 2002; 50% in the State Fiscal Year 2003; 40% in the State Fiscal Year 2004; 30% in the State Fiscal Year 2005; 20% in the State Fiscal Year 2006; and 10% in the State Fiscal Year 2007. No payment shall be made for State Fiscal Year 2008 and thereafter.

Municipalities that issued bonds in connection with a redevelopment project in a redevelopment project area within the State Sales Tax Boundary prior to July 29, 1991, or that entered into contracts in connection with a redevelopment project in a redevelopment project area before June 1, 1988, shall continue to receive their proportional share of the Illinois Tax Increment Fund distribution until the date on which the redevelopment project is completed or terminated. If, however, a municipality that issued bonds in connection with a redevelopment project in a redevelopment project area within the State Sales Tax Boundary prior to July 29, 1991 retires the bonds prior to June 30, 2007 or a municipality that entered into contracts in connection with a redevelopment project in a redevelopment project area before June 1, 1988 completes the contracts prior to June 30, 2007, then so long as the redevelopment project is not completed or is not terminated, the Net State Sales Tax Increment shall be calculated, beginning on the date on which the bonds are retired or the contracts are completed, as follows: By multiplying the Net State Sales Tax Increment by 60% in the State Fiscal Year 2002; 50% in the State Fiscal Year 2003; 40% in the State Fiscal Year 2004; 30% in the State Fiscal Year 2005; 20% in the State Fiscal Year 2006; and 10% in the State Fiscal Year 2007. No payment shall be made for State Fiscal Year 2008 and thereafter. Refunding of any bonds issued prior to July 29, 1991, shall not alter the Net State Sales Tax Increment.

(j) "State Utility Tax Increment Amount" means an amount equal to the aggregate increase in State electric and gas tax charges imposed on owners and tenants, other than residential customers, of properties located within the redevelopment project area under Section 9-222 of the Public Utilities Act, over and above the aggregate of such charges as certified by the Department of Revenue and paid by owners and tenants, other than residential customers, of properties within the redevelopment project area during the base year, which shall be the calendar year immediately prior to the year of the adoption of

the ordinance authorizing tax increment allocation financing.

(k) "Net State Utility Tax Increment" means the sum of the following: (a) 80% of the first \$100,000 of State Utility Tax Increment annually generated by a redevelopment project area; (b) 60% of the amount in excess of \$100,000 but not exceeding \$500,000 of the State Utility Tax Increment annually generated by a redevelopment project area; and (c) 40% of all amounts in excess of \$500,000 of State Utility Tax Increment annually generated by a redevelopment project area. For the State Fiscal Year 1999, and every year thereafter until the year 2007, for any municipality that has not entered into a contract or has not issued bonds prior to June 1, 1988 to finance redevelopment project costs within a redevelopment project area, the Net State Utility Tax Increment shall be calculated as follows: By multiplying the Net State Utility Tax Increment by 90% in the State Fiscal Year 1999; 80% in the State Fiscal Year 2000; 70% in the State Fiscal Year 2001; 60% in the State Fiscal Year 2002; 50% in the State Fiscal Year 2003; 40% in the State Fiscal Year 2004; 30% in the State Fiscal Year 2005; 20% in the State Fiscal Year 2006; and 10% in the State Fiscal Year 2007. No payment shall be made for the State Fiscal Year 2008 and thereafter.

Municipalities that issue bonds in connection with the redevelopment project during the period from June 1, 1988 until 3 years after the effective date of this Amendatory Act of 1988 shall receive the Net State Utility Tax Increment, subject to appropriation, for 15 State Fiscal Years after the issuance of such bonds. For the 16th through the 20th State Fiscal Years after issuance of the bonds, the Net State Utility Tax Increment shall be calculated as follows: By multiplying the Net State Utility Tax Increment by 90% in year 16; 80% in year 17; 70% in year 18; 60% in year 19; and 50% in year 20. Refunding of any bonds issued prior to June 1, 1988, shall not alter the revised Net State Utility Tax Increment payments set forth above.

- (l) "Obligations" mean bonds, loans, debentures, notes, special certificates or other evidence of indebtedness issued by the municipality to carry out a redevelopment project or to refund outstanding obligations.
- (m) "Payment in lieu of taxes" means those estimated tax revenues from real property in a redevelopment project area derived from real property that has been acquired by a municipality which according to the redevelopment project or plan is to be used for a private use which taxing districts would have received had a municipality not acquired the real property and adopted tax increment allocation financing and which would result from levies made after the time of the adoption of tax increment allocation financing to the time the current equalized value of real property in the redevelopment project area exceeds the total initial equalized value of real property in said area.
- (n) "Redevelopment plan" means the comprehensive program of the municipality for development or redevelopment intended by the payment of redevelopment project costs to reduce or eliminate those conditions the existence of which qualified the redevelopment project area as a "blighted area" or "conservation area" or combination thereof or "industrial park conservation area," and thereby to enhance the tax bases of the taxing districts which extend into the redevelopment project area. On and after November 1, 1999 (the effective date of Public Act 91-478), no redevelopment plan may be approved or amended that includes the development of vacant land (i) with a golf course and related clubhouse and other facilities or (ii) designated by federal, State, county, or municipal government as public land for outdoor recreational activities or for nature preserves and used for that purpose within 5 years prior to the adoption of the redevelopment plan. For the purpose of this subsection, "recreational activities" is limited to mean camping and hunting. Each redevelopment plan shall set forth in writing the program to be undertaken to accomplish the objectives and shall include but not be limited to:
  - (A) an itemized list of estimated redevelopment project costs;
  - (B) evidence indicating that the redevelopment project area on the whole has not been subject to growth and development through investment by private enterprise;
  - (C) an assessment of any financial impact of the redevelopment project area on or any increased demand for services from any taxing district affected by the plan and any program to address such financial impact or increased demand;
    - (D) the sources of funds to pay costs;
    - (E) the nature and term of the obligations to be issued;
    - (F) the most recent equalized assessed valuation of the redevelopment project area;
    - (G) an estimate as to the equalized assessed valuation after redevelopment and the general land uses to apply in the redevelopment project area;
  - (H) a commitment to fair employment practices and an affirmative action plan;
  - (I) if it concerns an industrial park conservation area, the plan shall also include a

general description of any proposed developer, user and tenant of any property, a description of the type, structure and general character of the facilities to be developed, a description of the type, class

and number of new employees to be employed in the operation of the facilities to be developed; and

(J) if property is to be annexed to the municipality, the plan shall include the terms

of the annexation agreement.

The provisions of items (B) and (C) of this subsection (n) shall not apply to a municipality that before March 14, 1994 (the effective date of Public Act 88-537) had fixed, either by its corporate authorities or by a commission designated under subsection (k) of Section 11-74.4-4, a time and place for a public hearing as required by subsection (a) of Section 11-74.4-5. No redevelopment plan shall be adopted unless a municipality complies with all of the following requirements:

- (1) The municipality finds that the redevelopment project area on the whole has not been subject to growth and development through investment by private enterprise and would not reasonably be anticipated to be developed without the adoption of the redevelopment plan.
- (2) The municipality finds that the redevelopment plan and project conform to the comprehensive plan for the development of the municipality as a whole, or, for municipalities with a population of 100,000 or more, regardless of when the redevelopment plan and project was adopted, the redevelopment plan and project either: (i) conforms to the strategic economic development or redevelopment plan issued by the designated planning authority of the municipality, or (ii) includes land uses that have been approved by the planning commission of the municipality.
- (3) The redevelopment plan establishes the estimated dates of completion of the redevelopment project and retirement of obligations issued to finance redevelopment project costs. Those dates; shall not be later than December 31 of the year in which the payment to the municipal treasurer as provided in subsection (b) of Section 11-74.4-8 of this Act is to be made with respect to ad valorem taxes levied in the twenty-third calendar year after the year in which the ordinance approving the redevelopment project area is adopted if the ordinance was adopted on or after January 15, 1981; shall not be later than December 31 of the year in which the payment to the municipal treasurer as provided in subsection (b) of Section 11-74.4-8 of this Act is to be made with respect to ad valorem taxes levied in the thirty-third calendar year after the year in which the ordinance approving the redevelopment project area if the ordanance was adopted is adopted on May 20, 1985 by the by the Village of Wheeling; and shall not be later than December 31 of the year in which the payment to the municipal treasurer as provided in subsection (b) of Section 11-74.4-8 of this Act is to be made with respect to ad valorem taxes levied in the thirty-fifth calendar year after the year in which the ordinance approving the redevelopment project area is adopted:
  - (A) if the ordinance was adopted before January 15, 1981, or
  - (B) if the ordinance was adopted in December 1983, April 1984, July 1985, or December 1989, or
  - (C) if the ordinance was adopted in December 1987 and the redevelopment project is located within one mile of Midway Airport, or
  - (D) if the ordinance was adopted before January 1, 1987 by a municipality in Mason County, or
  - (E) if the municipality is subject to the Local Government Financial Planning and Supervision Act or the Financially Distressed City Law, or
  - (F) if the ordinance was adopted in December 1984 by the Village of Rosemont, or
  - (G) if the ordinance was adopted on December 31, 1986 by a municipality located in

Clinton County for which at least \$250,000 of tax increment bonds were authorized on June 17, 1997, or if the ordinance was adopted on December 31, 1986 by a municipality with a population in 1990 of less than 3,600 that is located in a county with a population in 1990 of less than 34,000 and for which at least \$250,000 of tax increment bonds were authorized on June 17, 1997, or

- (H) if the ordinance was adopted on October 5, 1982 by the City of Kankakee, or if
- the ordinance was adopted on December 29, 1986 by East St. Louis, or
- (I) if the ordinance was adopted on November 12, 1991 by the Village of Sauget, or
- (J) if the ordinance was adopted on February 11, 1985 by the City of Rock Island, or
- (K) if the ordinance was adopted before December 18, 1986 by the City of Moline, or
- (L) if the ordinance was adopted in September 1988 by Sauk Village, or
- (M) if the ordinance was adopted in October 1993 by Sauk Village, or
- (N) if the ordinance was adopted on December 29, 1986 by the City of Galva, or
- (O) if the ordinance was adopted in March 1991 by the City of Centreville, or
- (P) if the ordinance was adopted on January 23, 1991 by the City of East St. Louis,

or

- (Q) if the ordinance was adopted on December 22, 1986 by the City of Aledo, or
- (R) if the ordinance was adopted on February 5, 1990 by the City of Clinton, or

- (S) if the ordinance was adopted on September 6, 1994 by the City of Freeport, or
- (T) if the ordinance was adopted on December 22, 1986 by the City of Tuscola, or
- (U) if the ordinance was adopted on December 23, 1986 by the City of Sparta, or
- (V) if the ordinance was adopted on December 23, 1986 by the City of Beardstown, or
- (W) if the ordinance was adopted on April 27, 1981, October 21, 1985, or December 30, 1986 by the City of Belleville, or
- (X) if the ordinance was adopted on December 29, 1986 by the City of Collinsville,
- (Y) if the ordinance was adopted on September 14, 1994 by the City of Alton, or
- (Z) if the ordinance was adopted on November 11, 1996 by the City of Lexington, or
- (AA) if the ordinance was adopted on November 5, 1984 by the City of LeRoy, or
- (BB) if the ordinance was adopted on April 3, 1991 or June 3, 1992 by the City of Markham, or
- (CC) if the ordinance was adopted on November 11, 1986 by the City of Pekin, or
- (DD) (CC) if the ordinance was adopted on December 15, 1981 by the City of Champaign, or
- (EE) (CC) if the ordinance was adopted on December 15, 1986 by the City of Urbana, or
- (FF) (CC) if the ordinance was adopted on December 15, 1986 by the Village of Heyworth, or
- (GG) (CC) if the ordinance was adopted on February 24, 1992 by the Village of Heyworth, or
- (HH) (CC) if the ordinance was adopted on March 16, 1995 by the Village of Heyworth, or
- (II) (CC) if the ordinance was adopted on December 23, 1986 by the Town of Cicero, or
- (JJ) (CC) if the ordinance was adopted on December 30, 1986 by the City of Effingham, or
- (KK) (CC) if the ordinance was adopted on May 9, 1991 by the Village of Tilton, or
- (LL) (CC) if the ordinance was adopted on October 20, 1986 by the City of Elmhurst, or
- (MM) (CC) if the ordinance was adopted on January 19, 1988 by the City of Waukegan, or
- (NN) (DD) if the ordinance was adopted on September 21, 1998 by the City of Waukegan.

However, for redevelopment project areas for which bonds were issued before July 29, 1991, or for which contracts were entered into before June 1, 1988, in connection with a redevelopment project in the area within the State Sales Tax Boundary, the estimated dates of completion of the redevelopment project and retirement of obligations to finance redevelopment project costs may be extended by municipal ordinance to December 31, 2013. The termination procedures of subsection (b) of Section 11-74.4-8 are not required for these redevelopment project areas in 2009 but are required in 2013. The extension allowed by this amendatory Act of 1993 shall not apply to real property tax increment allocation financing under Section 11-74.4-8.

A municipality may by municipal ordinance amend an existing redevelopment plan to conform to this paragraph (3) as amended by Public Act 91-478, which municipal ordinance may be adopted without further hearing or notice and without complying with the procedures provided in this Act pertaining to an amendment to or the initial approval of a redevelopment plan and project and designation of a redevelopment project area.

Those dates, for purposes of real property tax increment allocation financing pursuant to Section 11-74.4-8 only, shall be not more than 35 years for redevelopment project areas that were adopted on or after December 16, 1986 and for which at least \$8 million worth of municipal bonds were authorized on or after December 19, 1989 but before January 1, 1990; provided that the municipality elects to extend the life of the redevelopment project area to 35 years by the adoption of an ordinance after at least 14 but not more than 30 days' written notice to the taxing bodies, that would otherwise constitute the joint review board for the redevelopment project area, before the adoption of the ordinance.

Those dates, for purposes of real property tax increment allocation financing pursuant to Section 11-74.4-8 only, shall be not more than 35 years for redevelopment project areas that were established on or after December 1, 1981 but before January 1, 1982 and for which at least \$1,500,000 worth of tax increment revenue bonds were authorized on or after September 30, 1990 but before July 1, 1991; provided that the municipality elects to extend the life of the redevelopment project area to 35 years by the adoption of an ordinance after at least 14 but not more than 30 days' written notice to the taxing bodies, that would otherwise constitute the joint review board for the redevelopment project area, before the adoption of the ordinance.

- (3.5) The municipality finds, in the case of an industrial park conservation area, also that the municipality is a labor surplus municipality and that the implementation of the redevelopment plan will reduce unemployment, create new jobs and by the provision of new facilities enhance the tax base of the taxing districts that extend into the redevelopment project area.
  - (4) If any incremental revenues are being utilized under Section 8(a)(1) or 8(a)(2) of

this Act in redevelopment project areas approved by ordinance after January 1, 1986, the municipality finds: (a) that the redevelopment project area would not reasonably be developed without the use of such incremental revenues, and (b) that such incremental revenues will be exclusively utilized for the development of the redevelopment project area.

(5) If the redevelopment plan will not result in displacement of residents from 10 or more inhabited residential units, and the municipality certifies in the plan that such displacement will not result from the plan, a housing impact study need not be performed. If, however, the redevelopment plan would result in the displacement of residents from 10 or more inhabited residential units, or if the redevelopment project area contains 75 or more inhabited residential units and no certification is made, then the municipality shall prepare, as part of the separate feasibility report required by subsection (a) of Section 11-74.4-5, a housing impact study.

Part I of the housing impact study shall include (i) data as to whether the residential units are single family or multi-family units, (ii) the number and type of rooms within the units, if that information is available, (iii) whether the units are inhabited or uninhabited, as determined not less than 45 days before the date that the ordinance or resolution required by subsection (a) of Section 11-74.4-5 is passed, and (iv) data as to the racial and ethnic composition of the residential units. The data requirement as to the racial and ethnic composition of the residents in the inhabited residential units shall be deemed to be fully satisfied by data from the most recent federal census.

Part II of the housing impact study shall identify the inhabited residential units in the proposed redevelopment project area that are to be or may be removed. If inhabited residential units are to be removed, then the housing impact study shall identify (i) the number and location of those units that will or may be removed, (ii) the municipality's plans for relocation assistance for those residents in the proposed redevelopment project area whose residences are to be removed, (iii) the availability of replacement housing for those residents whose residences are to be removed, and shall identify the type, location, and cost of the housing, and (iv) the type and extent of relocation assistance to be provided.

- (6) On and after November 1, 1999, the housing impact study required by paragraph (5) shall be incorporated in the redevelopment plan for the redevelopment project area.
- (7) On and after November 1, 1999, no redevelopment plan shall be adopted, nor an existing plan amended, nor shall residential housing that is occupied by households of low-income and very low-income persons in currently existing redevelopment project areas be removed after November 1, 1999 unless the redevelopment plan provides, with respect to inhabited housing units that are to be removed for households of low-income and very low-income persons, affordable housing and relocation assistance not less than that which would be provided under the federal Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 and the regulations under that Act, including the eligibility criteria. Affordable housing may be either existing or newly constructed housing. For purposes of this paragraph (7), "low-income households", "very low-income households", and "affordable housing" have the meanings set forth in the Illinois Affordable Housing Act. The municipality shall make a good faith effort to ensure that this affordable housing is located in or near the redevelopment project area within the municipality.
- (8) On and after November 1, 1999, if, after the adoption of the redevelopment plan for the redevelopment project area, any municipality desires to amend its redevelopment plan to remove more inhabited residential units than specified in its original redevelopment plan, that change shall be made in accordance with the procedures in subsection (c) of Section 11-74.4-5.
- (9) For redevelopment project areas designated prior to November 1, 1999, the redevelopment plan may be amended without further joint review board meeting or hearing, provided that the municipality shall give notice of any such changes by mail to each affected taxing district and registrant on the interested party registry, to authorize the municipality to expend tax increment revenues for redevelopment project costs defined by paragraphs (5) and (7.5), subparagraphs (E) and (F) of paragraph (11), and paragraph (11.5) of subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3, so long as the changes do not increase the total estimated redevelopment project costs set out in the redevelopment plan by more than 5% after adjustment for inflation from the date the plan was adopted.
- (o) "Redevelopment project" means any public and private development project in furtherance of the objectives of a redevelopment plan. On and after November 1, 1999 (the effective date of Public Act 91-478), no redevelopment plan may be approved or amended that includes the development of vacant land (i) with a golf course and related clubhouse and other facilities or (ii) designated by federal, State, county, or municipal government as public land for outdoor recreational activities or for nature preserves and used for that purpose within 5 years prior to the adoption of the redevelopment plan. For the purpose

of this subsection, "recreational activities" is limited to mean camping and hunting.

- (p) "Redevelopment project area" means an area designated by the municipality, which is not less in the aggregate than 1 1/2 acres and in respect to which the municipality has made a finding that there exist conditions which cause the area to be classified as an industrial park conservation area or a blighted area or a conservation area, or a combination of both blighted areas and conservation areas.
- (q) "Redevelopment project costs" mean and include the sum total of all reasonable or necessary costs incurred or estimated to be incurred, and any such costs incidental to a redevelopment plan and a redevelopment project. Such costs include, without limitation, the following:
  - (1) Costs of studies, surveys, development of plans, and specifications, implementation and administration of the redevelopment plan including but not limited to staff and professional service costs for architectural, engineering, legal, financial, planning or other services, provided however that no charges for professional services may be based on a percentage of the tax increment collected; except that on and after November 1, 1999 (the effective date of Public Act 91-478), no contracts for professional services, excluding architectural and engineering services, may be entered into if the terms of the contract extend beyond a period of 3 years. In addition, "redevelopment project costs" shall not include lobbying expenses. After consultation with the municipality, each tax increment consultant or advisor to a municipality that plans to designate or has designated a redevelopment project area shall inform the municipality in writing of any contracts that the consultant or advisor has entered into with entities or individuals that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the redevelopment project area with respect to which the consultant or advisor has performed, or will be performing, service for the municipality. This requirement shall be satisfied by the consultant or advisor before the commencement of services for the municipality and thereafter whenever any other contracts with those individuals or entities are executed by the consultant or advisor;
  - (1.5) After July 1, 1999, annual administrative costs shall not include general overhead or administrative costs of the municipality that would still have been incurred by the municipality if the municipality had not designated a redevelopment project area or approved a redevelopment plan;
    - (1.6) The cost of marketing sites within the redevelopment project area to prospective businesses, developers, and investors;
  - (2) Property assembly costs, including but not limited to acquisition of land and other property, real or personal, or rights or interests therein, demolition of buildings, site preparation, site improvements that serve as an engineered barrier addressing ground level or below ground environmental contamination, including, but not limited to parking lots and other concrete or asphalt barriers, and the clearing and grading of land;
  - (3) Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction or repair or remodeling of existing public or private buildings, fixtures, and leasehold improvements; and the cost of replacing an existing public building if pursuant to the implementation of a redevelopment project the existing public building is to be demolished to use the site for private investment or devoted to a different use requiring private investment:
  - (4) Costs of the construction of public works or improvements, except that on and after November 1, 1999, redevelopment project costs shall not include the cost of constructing a new municipal public building principally used to provide offices, storage space, or conference facilities or vehicle storage, maintenance, or repair for administrative, public safety, or public works personnel and that is not intended to replace an existing public building as provided under paragraph (3) of subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 unless either (i) the construction of the new municipal building implements a redevelopment project that was included in a redevelopment plan that was adopted by the municipality prior to November 1, 1999 or (ii) the municipality makes a reasonable determination in the redevelopment plan, supported by information that provides the basis for that determination, that the new municipal building is required to meet an increase in the need for public safety purposes anticipated to result from the implementation of the redevelopment plan;
  - (5) Costs of job training and retraining projects, including the cost of "welfare to work" programs implemented by businesses located within the redevelopment project area;
  - (6) Financing costs, including but not limited to all necessary and incidental expenses related to the issuance of obligations and which may include payment of interest on any obligations issued hereunder including interest accruing during the estimated period of construction of any redevelopment project for which such obligations are issued and for not exceeding 36 months thereafter and including reasonable reserves related thereto;
    - (7) To the extent the municipality by written agreement accepts and approves the same,

all or a portion of a taxing district's capital costs resulting from the redevelopment project necessarily incurred or to be incurred within a taxing district in furtherance of the objectives of the redevelopment plan and project.

- (7.5) For redevelopment project areas designated (or redevelopment project areas amended to add or increase the number of tax-increment-financing assisted housing units) on or after November 1, 1999, an elementary, secondary, or unit school district's increased costs attributable to assisted housing units located within the redevelopment project area for which the developer or redeveloper receives financial assistance through an agreement with the municipality or because the municipality incurs the cost of necessary infrastructure improvements within the boundaries of the assisted housing sites necessary for the completion of that housing as authorized by this Act, and which costs shall be paid by the municipality from the Special Tax Allocation Fund when the tax increment revenue is received as a result of the assisted housing units and shall be calculated annually as follows:
  - (A) for foundation districts, excluding any school district in a municipality with a population in excess of 1,000,000, by multiplying the district's increase in attendance resulting from the net increase in new students enrolled in that school district who reside in housing units within the redevelopment project area that have received financial assistance through an agreement with the municipality or because the municipality incurs the cost of necessary infrastructure improvements within the boundaries of the housing sites necessary for the completion of that housing as authorized by this Act since the designation of the redevelopment project area by the most recently available per capita tuition cost as defined in Section 10-20.12a of the School Code less any increase in general State aid as defined in Section 18-8.05 of the School Code attributable to these added new students subject to the following annual limitations:
    - (i) for unit school districts with a district average 1995-96 Per Capita Tuition Charge of less than \$5,900, no more than 25% of the total amount of property tax increment revenue produced by those housing units that have received tax increment finance assistance under this Act;
    - (ii) for elementary school districts with a district average 1995-96 Per Capita Tuition Charge of less than \$5,900, no more than 17% of the total amount of property tax increment revenue produced by those housing units that have received tax increment finance assistance under this Act; and
    - (iii) for secondary school districts with a district average 1995-96 Per Capita Tuition Charge of less than \$5,900, no more than 8% of the total amount of property tax increment revenue produced by those housing units that have received tax increment finance assistance under this Act.
  - (B) For alternate method districts, flat grant districts, and foundation districts with a district average 1995-96 Per Capita Tuition Charge equal to or more than \$5,900, excluding any school district with a population in excess of 1,000,000, by multiplying the district's increase in attendance resulting from the net increase in new students enrolled in that school district who reside in housing units within the redevelopment project area that have received financial assistance through an agreement with the municipality or because the municipality incurs the cost of necessary infrastructure improvements within the boundaries of the housing sites necessary for the completion of that housing as authorized by this Act since the designation of the redevelopment project area by the most recently available per capita tuition cost as defined in Section 10-20.12a of the School Code less any increase in general state aid as defined in Section 18-8.05 of the School Code attributable to these added new students subject to the following annual limitations:
    - (i) for unit school districts, no more than 40% of the total amount of property tax increment revenue produced by those housing units that have received tax increment finance assistance under this Act;
    - (ii) for elementary school districts, no more than 27% of the total amount of property tax increment revenue produced by those housing units that have received tax increment finance assistance under this Act; and
    - (iii) for secondary school districts, no more than 13% of the total amount of property tax increment revenue produced by those housing units that have received tax increment finance assistance under this Act.
  - (C) For any school district in a municipality with a population in excess of 1,000,000, the following restrictions shall apply to the reimbursement of increased costs under this paragraph (7.5):
    - (i) no increased costs shall be reimbursed unless the school district certifies

that each of the schools affected by the assisted housing project is at or over its student capacity;

- (ii) the amount reimbursable shall be reduced by the value of any land donated to the school district by the municipality or developer, and by the value of any physical improvements made to the schools by the municipality or developer; and
- (iii) the amount reimbursed may not affect amounts otherwise obligated by the terms of any bonds, notes, or other funding instruments, or the terms of any redevelopment agreement.

Any school district seeking payment under this paragraph (7.5) shall, after July 1 and before September 30 of each year, provide the municipality with reasonable evidence to support its claim for reimbursement before the municipality shall be required to approve or make the payment to the school district. If the school district fails to provide the information during this period in any year, it shall forfeit any claim to reimbursement for that year. School districts may adopt a resolution waiving the right to all or a portion of the reimbursement otherwise required by this paragraph (7.5). By acceptance of this reimbursement the school district waives the right to directly or indirectly set aside, modify, or contest in any manner the establishment of the redevelopment project area or projects;

(7.7) For redevelopment project areas designated (or redevelopment project areas amended to add or increase the number of tax-increment-financing assisted housing units) on or after <u>January 1</u>, <u>2005</u> (the effective date of <u>Public Act 93-961</u>) this amendatory Act of the 93rd General Assembly, a public library district's increased costs attributable to assisted housing units located within the redevelopment project area for which the developer or redeveloper receives financial assistance through an agreement with the municipality or because the municipality incurs the cost of necessary infrastructure improvements within the boundaries of the assisted housing sites necessary for the completion of that housing as authorized by this Act shall be paid to the library district by the municipality from the Special Tax Allocation Fund when the tax increment revenue is received as a result of the assisted housing units. This paragraph (7.7) applies only if (i) the library district is located in a county that is subject to the Property Tax Extension Limitation Law or (ii) the library district is not located in a county that is subject to the Property Tax Extension Limitation Law but the district is prohibited by any other law from increasing its tax levy rate without a prior voter referendum.

The amount paid to a library district under this paragraph (7.7) shall be calculated by multiplying (i) the net increase in the number of persons eligible to obtain a library card in that district who reside in housing units within the redevelopment project area that have received financial assistance through an agreement with the municipality or because the municipality incurs the cost of necessary infrastructure improvements within the boundaries of the housing sites necessary for the completion of that housing as authorized by this Act since the designation of the redevelopment project area by (ii) the per-patron cost of providing library services so long as it does not exceed \$120. The per-patron cost shall be the Total Operating Expenditures Per Capita as stated in the most recent Illinois Public Library Statistics produced by the Library Research Center at the University of Illinois. The municipality may deduct from the amount that it must pay to a library district under this paragraph any amount that it has voluntarily paid to the library district from the tax increment revenue. The amount paid to a library district under this paragraph (7.7) shall be no more than 2% of the amount produced by the assisted housing units and deposited into the Special Tax Allocation Fund

A library district is not eligible for any payment under this paragraph (7.7) unless the library district has experienced an increase in the number of patrons from the municipality that created the tax-increment-financing district since the designation of the redevelopment project area.

Any library district seeking payment under this paragraph (7.7) shall, after July 1 and before September 30 of each year, provide the municipality with convincing evidence to support its claim for reimbursement before the municipality shall be required to approve or make the payment to the library district. If the library district fails to provide the information during this period in any year, it shall forfeit any claim to reimbursement for that year. Library districts may adopt a resolution waiving the right to all or a portion of the reimbursement otherwise required by this paragraph (7.7). By acceptance of such reimbursement, the library district shall forfeit any right to directly or indirectly set aside, modify, or contest in any manner whatsoever the establishment of the redevelopment project area or projects;

- (8) Relocation costs to the extent that a municipality determines that relocation costs shall be paid or is required to make payment of relocation costs by federal or State law or in order to satisfy subparagraph (7) of subsection (n);
  - (9) Payment in lieu of taxes;

- (10) Costs of job training, retraining, advanced vocational education or career education, including but not limited to courses in occupational, semi-technical or technical fields leading directly to employment, incurred by one or more taxing districts, provided that such costs (i) are related to the establishment and maintenance of additional job training, advanced vocational education or career education programs for persons employed or to be employed by employers located in a redevelopment project area; and (ii) when incurred by a taxing district or taxing districts other than the municipality, are set forth in a written agreement by or among the municipality and the taxing district or taxing districts, which agreement describes the program to be undertaken, including but not limited to the number of employees to be trained, a description of the training and services to be provided, the number and type of positions available or to be available, itemized costs of the program and sources of funds to pay for the same, and the term of the agreement. Such costs include, specifically, the payment by community college districts of costs pursuant to Sections 3-37, 3-38, 3-40 and 3-40.1 of the Public Community College Act and by school districts of costs pursuant to Sections 10-22.20a and 10-23.3a of The School Code;
  - (11) Interest cost incurred by a redeveloper related to the construction, renovation or rehabilitation of a redevelopment project provided that:
    - (A) such costs are to be paid directly from the special tax allocation fund established pursuant to this Act;
  - (B) such payments in any one year may not exceed 30% of the annual interest costs incurred by the redeveloper with regard to the redevelopment project during that year;
  - (C) if there are not sufficient funds available in the special tax allocation fund to make the payment pursuant to this paragraph (11) then the amounts so due shall accrue and be payable when sufficient funds are available in the special tax allocation fund;
  - (D) the total of such interest payments paid pursuant to this Act may not exceed 30% of the total (i) cost paid or incurred by the redeveloper for the redevelopment project plus (ii) redevelopment project costs excluding any property assembly costs and any relocation costs incurred by a municipality pursuant to this Act; and
  - (E) the cost limits set forth in subparagraphs (B) and (D) of paragraph (11) shall be modified for the financing of rehabilitated or new housing units for low-income households and very low-income households, as defined in Section 3 of the Illinois Affordable Housing Act. The percentage of 75% shall be substituted for 30% in subparagraphs (B) and (D) of paragraph (11).
  - (F) Instead of the eligible costs provided by subparagraphs (B) and (D) of paragraph (11), as modified by this subparagraph, and notwithstanding any other provisions of this Act to the contrary, the municipality may pay from tax increment revenues up to 50% of the cost of construction of new housing units to be occupied by low-income households and very low-income households as defined in Section 3 of the Illinois Affordable Housing Act. The cost of construction of those units may be derived from the proceeds of bonds issued by the municipality under this Act or other constitutional or statutory authority or from other sources of municipal revenue that may be reimbursed from tax increment revenues or the proceeds of bonds issued to finance the construction of that housing.

The eligible costs provided under this subparagraph (F) of paragraph (11) shall be an eligible cost for the construction, renovation, and rehabilitation of all low and very low-income housing units, as defined in Section 3 of the Illinois Affordable Housing Act, within the redevelopment project area. If the low and very low-income units are part of a residential redevelopment project that includes units not affordable to low and very low-income households, only the low and very low-income units shall be eligible for benefits under subparagraph (F) of paragraph (11). The standards for maintaining the occupancy by low-income households and very low-income households, as defined in Section 3 of the Illinois Affordable Housing Act, of those units constructed with eligible costs made available under the provisions of this subparagraph (F) of paragraph (11) shall be established by guidelines adopted by the municipality. The responsibility for annually documenting the initial occupancy of the units by low-income households and very low-income households, as defined in Section 3 of the Illinois Affordable Housing Act, shall be that of the then current owner of the property. For ownership units, the guidelines will provide, at a minimum, for a reasonable recapture of funds, or other appropriate methods designed to preserve the original affordability of the ownership units. For rental units, the guidelines will provide, at a minimum, for the affordability of rent to low and very low-income households. As units become available, they shall be rented to income-eligible tenants. The municipality may modify these guidelines from time to time; the guidelines, however, shall be in effect for as long as tax increment revenue is being used to pay for costs associated with the units or for the retirement of bonds issued to finance the units or for the life of the redevelopment project area, whichever is later.

(11.5) If the redevelopment project area is located within a municipality with a population of more than 100,000, the cost of day care services for children of employees from low-income families working for businesses located within the redevelopment project area and all or a portion of the cost of operation of day care centers established by redevelopment project area businesses to serve employees from low-income families working in businesses located in the redevelopment project area. For the purposes of this paragraph, "low-income families" means families whose annual income does not exceed 80% of the municipal, county, or regional median income, adjusted for family size, as the annual income and municipal, county, or regional median income are determined from time to time by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.

(12) Unless explicitly stated herein the cost of construction of new privately-owned buildings shall not be an eligible redevelopment project cost.

(13) After November 1, 1999 (the effective date of Public Act 91-478), none of the redevelopment project costs enumerated in this subsection shall be eligible redevelopment project costs if those costs would provide direct financial support to a retail entity initiating operations in the redevelopment project area while terminating operations at another Illinois location within 10 miles of the redevelopment project area but outside the boundaries of the redevelopment project area municipality. For purposes of this paragraph, termination means a closing of a retail operation that is directly related to the opening of the same operation or like retail entity owned or operated by more than 50% of the original ownership in a redevelopment project area, but it does not mean closing an operation for reasons beyond the control of the retail entity, as documented by the retail entity, subject to a reasonable finding by the municipality that the current location contained inadequate space, had become economically obsolete, or was no longer a viable location for the retailer or serviceman.

If a special service area has been established pursuant to the Special Service Area Tax Act or Special Service Area Tax Law, then any tax increment revenues derived from the tax imposed pursuant to the Special Service Area Tax Act or Special Service Area Tax Law may be used within the redevelopment project area for the purposes permitted by that Act or Law as well as the purposes permitted by this Act.

- (r) "State Sales Tax Boundary" means the redevelopment project area or the amended redevelopment project area boundaries which are determined pursuant to subsection (9) of Section 11-74.4-8a of this Act. The Department of Revenue shall certify pursuant to subsection (9) of Section 11-74.4-8a the appropriate boundaries eligible for the determination of State Sales Tax Increment.
- (s) "State Sales Tax Increment" means an amount equal to the increase in the aggregate amount of taxes paid by retailers and servicemen, other than retailers and servicemen subject to the Public Utilities Act, on transactions at places of business located within a State Sales Tax Boundary pursuant to the Retailers' Occupation Tax Act, the Use Tax Act, the Service Use Tax Act, and the Service Occupation Tax Act, except such portion of such increase that is paid into the State and Local Sales Tax Reform Fund, the Local Government Distributive Fund, the Local Government Tax Fund and the County and Mass Transit District Fund, for as long as State participation exists, over and above the Initial Sales Tax Amounts, Adjusted Initial Sales Tax Amounts or the Revised Initial Sales Tax Amounts for such taxes as certified by the Department of Revenue and paid under those Acts by retailers and servicemen on transactions at places of business located within the State Sales Tax Boundary during the base year which shall be the calendar year immediately prior to the year in which the municipality adopted tax increment allocation financing, less 3.0% of such amounts generated under the Retailers' Occupation Tax Act, Use Tax Act and Service Use Tax Act and the Service Occupation Tax Act, which sum shall be appropriated to the Department of Revenue to cover its costs of administering and enforcing this Section. For purposes of computing the aggregate amount of such taxes for base years occurring prior to 1985, the Department of Revenue shall compute the Initial Sales Tax Amount for such taxes and deduct therefrom an amount equal to 4% of the aggregate amount of taxes per year for each year the base year is prior to 1985, but not to exceed a total deduction of 12%. The amount so determined shall be known as the "Adjusted Initial Sales Tax Amount". For purposes of determining the State Sales Tax Increment the Department of Revenue shall for each period subtract from the tax amounts received from retailers and servicemen on transactions located in the State Sales Tax Boundary, the certified Initial Sales Tax Amounts, Adjusted Initial Sales Tax Amounts or Revised Initial Sales Tax Amounts for the Retailers' Occupation Tax Act, the Use Tax Act, the Service Use Tax Act and the Service Occupation Tax Act. For the State Fiscal Year 1989 this calculation shall be made by utilizing the calendar year 1987 to determine the tax amounts received. For the State Fiscal Year 1990, this calculation shall be made by utilizing the period from January 1, 1988, until September 30, 1988, to determine the tax amounts received from retailers and servicemen, which shall have deducted therefrom nine-twelfths of the certified Initial Sales Tax Amounts, Adjusted Initial Sales Tax Amounts or the Revised Initial Sales Tax Amounts as

appropriate. For the State Fiscal Year 1991, this calculation shall be made by utilizing the period from October 1, 1988, until June 30, 1989, to determine the tax amounts received from retailers and servicemen, which shall have deducted therefrom nine-twelfths of the certified Initial State Sales Tax Amounts, Adjusted Initial Sales Tax Amounts or the Revised Initial Sales Tax Amounts as appropriate. For every State Fiscal Year thereafter, the applicable period shall be the 12 months beginning July 1 and ending on June 30, to determine the tax amounts received which shall have deducted therefrom the certified Initial Sales Tax Amounts, Adjusted Initial Sales Tax Amounts or the Revised Initial Sales Tax Amounts. Municipalities intending to receive a distribution of State Sales Tax Increment must report a list of retailers to the Department of Revenue by October 31, 1988 and by July 31, of each year thereafter.

- (t) "Taxing districts" means counties, townships, cities and incorporated towns and villages, school, road, park, sanitary, mosquito abatement, forest preserve, public health, fire protection, river conservancy, tuberculosis sanitarium and any other municipal corporations or districts with the power to levy taxes.
- (u) "Taxing districts' capital costs" means those costs of taxing districts for capital improvements that are found by the municipal corporate authorities to be necessary and directly result from the redevelopment project.
- (v) As used in subsection (a) of Section 11-74.4-3 of this Act, "vacant land" means any parcel or combination of parcels of real property without industrial, commercial, and residential buildings which has not been used for commercial agricultural purposes within 5 years prior to the designation of the redevelopment project area, unless the parcel is included in an industrial park conservation area or the parcel has been subdivided; provided that if the parcel was part of a larger tract that has been divided into 3 or more smaller tracts that were accepted for recording during the period from 1950 to 1990, then the parcel shall be deemed to have been subdivided, and all proceedings and actions of the municipality taken in that connection with respect to any previously approved or designated redevelopment project area or amended redevelopment project area are hereby validated and hereby declared to be legally sufficient for all purposes of this Act. For purposes of this Section and only for land subject to the subdivision requirements of the Plat Act, land is subdivided when the original plat of the proposed Redevelopment Project Area or relevant portion thereof has been properly certified, acknowledged, approved, and recorded or filed in accordance with the Plat Act and a preliminary plat, if any, for any subsequent phases of the proposed Redevelopment Project Area or relevant portion thereof has been properly approved and filed in accordance with the applicable ordinance of the municipality.
- (w) "Annual Total Increment" means the sum of each municipality's annual Net Sales Tax Increment and each municipality's annual Net Utility Tax Increment. The ratio of the Annual Total Increment of each municipality to the Annual Total Increment for all municipalities, as most recently calculated by the Department, shall determine the proportional shares of the Illinois Tax Increment Fund to be distributed to each municipality.

(Source: P.A. 92-263, eff. 8-7-01; 92-406, eff. 1-1-02; 92-624, eff. 7-11-02; 92-651, eff. 7-11-02; 93-298, eff. 7-23-03; 93-708, eff. 1-1-05; 93-747, eff. 7-15-04; 93-924, eff. 8-12-04; 93-961, eff. 1-1-05; 93-983, eff. 8-23-04; 93-984, eff. 8-23-04; 93-985, eff. 8-23-04; 93-986, eff. 8-23-04; 93-987, eff. 8-23-04; 93-995, eff.

(65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-7) (from Ch. 24, par. 11-74.4-7)

Sec. 11-74.4-7. Obligations secured by the special tax allocation fund set forth in Section 11-74.4-8 for the redevelopment project area may be issued to provide for redevelopment project costs. Such obligations, when so issued, shall be retired in the manner provided in the ordinance authorizing the issuance of such obligations by the receipts of taxes levied as specified in Section 11-74.4-9 against the taxable property included in the area, by revenues as specified by Section 11-74.4-8a and other revenue designated by the municipality. A municipality may in the ordinance pledge all or any part of the funds in and to be deposited in the special tax allocation fund created pursuant to Section 11-74.4-8 to the payment of the redevelopment project costs and obligations. Any pledge of funds in the special tax allocation fund shall provide for distribution to the taxing districts and to the Illinois Department of Revenue of moneys not required, pledged, earmarked, or otherwise designated for payment and securing of the obligations and anticipated redevelopment project costs and such excess funds shall be calculated annually and deemed to be "surplus" funds. In the event a municipality only applies or pledges a portion of the funds in the special tax allocation fund for the payment or securing of anticipated redevelopment project costs or of obligations, any such funds remaining in the special tax allocation fund after complying with the requirements of the application or pledge, shall also be calculated annually and deemed "surplus" funds. All surplus funds in the special tax allocation fund shall be distributed annually within 180 days after the close of the municipality's fiscal year by being paid by the municipal treasurer to the County Collector, to the Department of Revenue and to the municipality in direct proportion to the tax incremental revenue received as a result of an increase in the equalized assessed value of property in the redevelopment project area, tax incremental revenue received from the State and tax incremental revenue received from the municipality, but not to exceed as to each such source the total incremental revenue received from that source. The County Collector shall thereafter make distribution to the respective taxing districts in the same manner and proportion as the most recent distribution by the county collector to the affected districts of real property taxes from real property in the redevelopment project area.

Without limiting the foregoing in this Section, the municipality may in addition to obligations secured by the special tax allocation fund pledge for a period not greater than the term of the obligations towards payment of such obligations any part or any combination of the following: (a) net revenues of all or part of any redevelopment project; (b) taxes levied and collected on any or all property in the municipality; (c) the full faith and credit of the municipality; (d) a mortgage on part or all of the redevelopment project; or (e) any other taxes or anticipated receipts that the municipality may lawfully pledge.

Such obligations may be issued in one or more series bearing interest at such rate or rates as the corporate authorities of the municipality shall determine by ordinance. Such obligations shall bear such date or dates, mature at such time or times not exceeding 20 years from their respective dates, be in such denomination, carry such registration privileges, be executed in such manner, be payable in such medium of payment at such place or places, contain such covenants, terms and conditions, and be subject to redemption as such ordinance shall provide. Obligations issued pursuant to this Act may be sold at public or private sale at such price as shall be determined by the corporate authorities of the municipalities. No referendum approval of the electors shall be required as a condition to the issuance of obligations pursuant to this Division except as provided in this Section.

In the event the municipality authorizes issuance of obligations pursuant to the authority of this Division secured by the full faith and credit of the municipality, which obligations are other than obligations which may be issued under home rule powers provided by Article VII, Section 6 of the Illinois Constitution, or pledges taxes pursuant to (b) or (c) of the second paragraph of this section, the ordinance authorizing the issuance of such obligations or pledging such taxes shall be published within 10 days after such ordinance has been passed in one or more newspapers, with general circulation within such municipality. The publication of the ordinance shall be accompanied by a notice of (1) the specific number of voters required to sign a petition requesting the question of the issuance of such obligations or pledging taxes to be submitted to the electors; (2) the time in which such petition must be filed; and (3) the date of the prospective referendum. The municipal clerk shall provide a petition form to any individual requesting one.

If no petition is filed with the municipal clerk, as hereinafter provided in this Section, within 30 days after the publication of the ordinance, the ordinance shall be in effect. But, if within that 30 day period a petition is filed with the municipal clerk, signed by electors in the municipality numbering 10% or more of the number of registered voters in the municipality, asking that the question of issuing obligations using full faith and credit of the municipality as security for the cost of paying for redevelopment project costs, or of pledging taxes for the payment of such obligations, or both, be submitted to the electors of the municipality, the corporate authorities of the municipality shall call a special election in the manner provided by law to vote upon that question, or, if a general, State or municipal election is to be held within a period of not less than 30 or more than 90 days from the date such petition is filed, shall submit the question at the next general, State or municipal election. If it appears upon the canvass of the election by the corporate authorities that a majority of electors voting upon the question voted in favor thereof, the ordinance shall be in effect, but if a majority of the electors voting upon the question are not in favor thereof, the ordinance shall not take effect.

The ordinance authorizing the obligations may provide that the obligations shall contain a recital that they are issued pursuant to this Division, which recital shall be conclusive evidence of their validity and of the regularity of their issuance.

In the event the municipality authorizes issuance of obligations pursuant to this Section secured by the full faith and credit of the municipality, the ordinance authorizing the obligations may provide for the levy and collection of a direct annual tax upon all taxable property within the municipality sufficient to pay the principal thereof and interest thereon as it matures, which levy may be in addition to and exclusive of the maximum of all other taxes authorized to be levied by the municipality, which levy, however, shall be abated to the extent that monies from other sources are available for payment of the obligations and the municipality certifies the amount of said monies available to the county clerk.

A certified copy of such ordinance shall be filed with the county clerk of each county in which any portion of the municipality is situated, and shall constitute the authority for the extension and collection of the taxes to be deposited in the special tax allocation fund.

A municipality may also issue its obligations to refund in whole or in part, obligations theretofore issued by such municipality under the authority of this Act, whether at or prior to maturity, provided however, that the last maturity of the refunding obligations shall not be expressed to mature later than December 31 of the year in which the payment to the municipal treasurer as provided in subsection (b) of Section 11-74.4-8 of this Act is to be made with respect to ad valorem taxes levied in the twenty-third calendar year after the year in which the ordinance approving the redevelopment project area is adopted if the ordinance was adopted on or after January 15, 1981, not later than December 31 of the year in which the payment to the municipal treasurer as provided in subsection (b) of Section 11-74.4-8 of this Act is to be made with respect to ad valorem taxes levied in the thirty-third calendar year after the year in which the ordinance approving the redevelopment project area if the ordanance was adopted is adopted on May 20, 1985 by the by the Village of Wheeling, and not later than December 31 of the year in which the payment to the municipal treasurer as provided in subsection (b) of Section 11-74.4-8 of this Act is to be made with respect to ad valorem taxes levied in the thirty-fifth calendar year after the year in which the ordinance approving the redevelopment project area is adopted (A) if the ordinance was adopted before January 15, 1981, or (B) if the ordinance was adopted in December 1983, April 1984, July 1985, or December 1989, or (C) if the ordinance was adopted in December, 1987 and the redevelopment project is located within one mile of Midway Airport, or (D) if the ordinance was adopted before January 1, 1987 by a municipality in Mason County, or (E) if the municipality is subject to the Local Government Financial Planning and Supervision Act or the Financially Distressed City Law, or (F) if the ordinance was adopted in December 1984 by the Village of Rosemont, or (G) if the ordinance was adopted on December 31, 1986 by a municipality located in Clinton County for which at least \$250,000 of tax increment bonds were authorized on June 17, 1997, or if the ordinance was adopted on December 31, 1986 by a municipality with a population in 1990 of less than 3,600 that is located in a county with a population in 1990 of less than 34,000 and for which at least \$250,000 of tax increment bonds were authorized on June 17, 1997, or (H) if the ordinance was adopted on October 5, 1982 by the City of Kankakee, or (I) if the ordinance was adopted on December 29, 1986 by East St. Louis, or if the ordinance was adopted on November 12, 1991 by the Village of Sauget, or (J) if the ordinance was adopted on February 11, 1985 by the City of Rock Island, or (K) if the ordinance was adopted before December 18, 1986 by the City of Moline, or (L) if the ordinance was adopted in September 1988 by Sauk Village, or (M) if the ordinance was adopted in October 1993 by Sauk Village, or (N) if the ordinance was adopted on December 29, 1986 by the City of Galva, or (O) if the ordinance was adopted in March 1991 by the City of Centreville, or (P) if the ordinance was adopted on January 23, 1991 by the City of East St. Louis, or (Q) if the ordinance was adopted on December 22, 1986 by the City of Aledo, or (R) if the ordinance was adopted on February 5, 1990 by the City of Clinton, or (S) if the ordinance was adopted on September 6, 1994 by the City of Freeport, or (T) if the ordinance was adopted on December 22, 1986 by the City of Tuscola, or (U) if the ordinance was adopted on December 23, 1986 by the City of Sparta, or (V) if the ordinance was adopted on December 23, 1986 by the City of Beardstown, or (W) if the ordinance was adopted on April 27, 1981, October 21, 1985, or December 30, 1986 by the City of Belleville, or (X) if the ordinance was adopted on December 29, 1986 by the City of Collinsville, or (Y) if the ordinance was adopted on September 14, 1994 by the City of Alton, or (Z) if the ordinance was adopted on November 11, 1996 by the City of Lexington, or (AA) if the ordinance was adopted on November 5, 1984 by the City of LeRoy, or (BB) if the ordinance was adopted on April 3, 1991 or June 3, 1992 by the City of Markham, or (CC) if the ordinance was adopted on November 11, 1986 by the City of Pekin, or (DD) (CC) if the ordinance was adopted on December 15, 1981 by the City of Champaign, or (EE) (CC) if the ordinance was adopted on December 15, 1986 by the City of Urbana, or (FF) (CC) if the ordinance was adopted on December 15, 1986 by the Village of Heyworth, or (GG) (CC) if the ordinance was adopted on February 24, 1992 by the Village of Heyworth, or (HH) (CC) if the ordinance was adopted on March 16, 1995 by the Village of Heyworth, or (II) (CC) if the ordinance was adopted on December 23, 1986 by the Town of Cicero, or (JJ) (CC) if the ordinance was adopted on December 30, 1986 by the City of Effingham, or (KK) (CC) if the ordinance was adopted on May 9, 1991 by the Village of Tilton, or (LL) (CC) if the ordinance was adopted on October 20, 1986 by the City of Elmhurst, or (MM) (CC) if the ordinance was adopted on January 19, 1988 by the City of Waukegan, or (NN) (DD) if the ordinance was adopted on September 21, 1998 by the City of Waukegan and, for redevelopment project areas for which bonds were issued before July 29, 1991, in connection with a redevelopment project in the area within the State Sales Tax Boundary and which were extended by municipal ordinance under subsection (n) of Section 11-74.4-3, the last maturity of the refunding obligations shall not be expressed to mature later than the date on which the redevelopment project area is terminated or December 31, 2013, whichever date occurs first.

In the event a municipality issues obligations under home rule powers or other legislative authority the proceeds of which are pledged to pay for redevelopment project costs, the municipality may, if it has followed the procedures in conformance with this division, retire said obligations from funds in the special tax allocation fund in amounts and in such manner as if such obligations had been issued pursuant to the provisions of this division.

All obligations heretofore or hereafter issued pursuant to this Act shall not be regarded as indebtedness of the municipality issuing such obligations or any other taxing district for the purpose of any limitation imposed by law.

(Source: P.A. 92-263, eff. 8-7-01; 92-406, eff. 1-1-02; 92-624, eff. 7-11-02; 92-651, eff. 7-11-02; 93-298, eff. 7-23-03; 93-708, eff. 1-1-05; 93-747, eff. 7-15-04; 93-924, eff. 8-12-04; 93-983, eff. 8-23-04; 93-984, eff. 8-23-04; 93-985, eff. 8-23-04; 93-987, eff. 8-23-04; 93-985, eff. 8-23-04; 93-1024, eff. 8-25-04; 93-1076, eff. 1-18-05; revised 1-25-05.)

Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect upon becoming law.".

The motion prevailed.

And the amendment was adopted and ordered printed.

Floor Amendment No. 2 was held in the Committee on Rules.

There being no further amendments, the foregoing Amendment No. 1 was ordered engrossed, and the bill, as amended was ordered to a third reading.

#### READING OF BILL OF THE SENATE A THIRD TIME

On motion of Senator Link, **Senate Bill No. 833**, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

. . .

Yeas 50; Nays 2.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Geo-Karis	Meeks	Shadid
Bomke	Haine	Munoz	Sieben
Clayborne	Halvorson	Pankau	Silverstein
Collins	Harmon	Peterson	Sullivan, J.
Crotty	Hendon	Petka	Syverson
Cullerton	Hunter	Raoul	Trotter
Dahl	Jacobs	Righter	Viverito
del Valle	Jones, J.	Risinger	Watson
DeLeo	Lightford	Ronen	Wilhelmi
Demuzio	Link	Roskam	Winkel
Dillard	Luechtefeld	Rutherford	Mr. President
Forby	Maloney	Sandoval	
Garrett	Martinez	Schoenberg	

The following voted in the negative:

Burzynski Lauzen

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

### SENATE BILL RECALLED

On motion of Senator Link, **Senate Bill No. 847** was recalled from the order of third reading to the order of second reading.

Senator Link offered the following amendment and moved its adoption:

#### AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE BILL 847

AMENDMENT NO. 1 . Amend Senate Bill 847 by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

"Section 5. The Public Library District Act of 1991 is amended by adding Section 15-82 as follows: (75 ILCS 16/15-82 new)

Sec. 15-82. Disconnection from district.

- (a) Any municipality or township that has a public and tax-supported library established under the provisions of any statute may be disconnected from a public library district by one of the following methods:
- (1) If a tax-supported public library is established in any municipality or township lying wholly or partially within a library district, the Board of Trustees of the library district may enact an ordinance providing for the disconnection of the library district from the municipality or township and providing for an appraisal setting forth the value of the tangible property of the district, the liabilities of the district, and the excess of the liabilities over the assets.

The Board of Trustees shall provide for the disconnection and appraisal only if (i) the territory to be disconnected comprises less than 10% of the district or (ii) the taxes collected by the district from the territory to be disconnected amount to less than 10% of the total amount of library taxes collected by the district annually.

(2) The electors of the library district residing in both the municipality or township and the library district may call for the disconnection by filing with the Board of Trustees a petition signed by at least 100 of the electors residing in both the municipality or township and the library district. The Board must certify the question to the proper election authority, which must submit the question to the electors residing in both the municipality or township and the library district at an election in accordance with the Election Code.

The election authority must submit the question in substantially the following form:

Shall the (insert name of township or municipality) be disconnected from (insert name of library district)?

If a majority of the electors voting on the question vote in the affirmative, then the library district is disconnected from the municipality or township.

(b) The district shall, upon enactment of a disconnection ordinance or upon an election approving disconnection, file with circuit court in which a majority of the disconnected territory lies an appropriate petition and a certified copy of the said ordinance or a certificate by the Board of the results of the election. The petition shall request entry of an order of disconnection and the preparation of an appraisal setting forth the value of the tangible property of the district, the liabilities of the district, and the excess of the liabilities over tangible assets or property. Notice shall be published by and within the disconnecting territory.

The circuit court shall, after a hearing upon the matter, enter its order revising the limits and boundaries of the district and setting forth the liability, if any, yet to be retired and paid for by the property owners of the disconnected territory.

(d) When any territory has been disconnected from a district under this Section and the court order providing for the disconnection also sets forth a continuing liability to be paid by the property owners of the disconnected territory, then the county collector of each county affected shall debit upon his or her books the taxes to be paid and thereafter levied by the district and extended against taxable property within the disconnected territory. The county clerk shall continue to extend district library taxes upon the taxable property within the disconnected territory, and the county collector shall continue to collect district library taxes upon the taxable property within the disconnected territory until the excess liability has been paid and retired.

Until final and full payment of the liability, the residents and property owners of the disconnected territory shall be entitled to full and free library service from the district. Upon the date of disconnection, the residents and property owners of the disconnected territory shall no longer be subject to any tax levies by the district. Upon full and final payment of the lability and thereafter, no resident or property owner of the disconnected territory shall have any right, title, and interest in and to the assets and

tangible property of the district affected by the disconnection.

- (d) The disconnecting territory shall bear all expenses of a disconnection election and all other costs and expenses incurred as a result of disconnection under item (1) of subsection (a).
- (e) The Board shall record a certified copy of the disconnection order with the recorder of deeds and with the county clerk and county collector of each county affected.

Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect upon becoming law.".

The motion prevailed.

And the amendment was adopted and ordered printed.

There being no further amendments, the foregoing Amendment No. 1 was ordered engrossed, and the bill, as amended was ordered to a third reading.

#### READING OF BILLS OF THE SENATE A THIRD TIME

On motion of Senator Link, Senate Bill No. 847, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Shadid Sieben Silverstein Sullivan, J. Syverson Trotter Viverito Watson Wilhelmi Winkel Mr. President

Yeas 53; Nays None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Geo-Karis	Meeks
Bomke	Haine	Munoz
Burzynski	Halvorson	Pankau
Clayborne	Harmon	Peterson
Collins	Hendon	Petka
Crotty	Hunter	Radogno
Cullerton	Jacobs	Raoul
Dahl	Jones, J.	Righter
del Valle	Lauzen	Risinger
DeLeo	Lightford	Ronen
Demuzio	Link	Roskam
Dillard	Luechtefeld	Rutherford
Forby	Maloney	Sandoval
Garrett	Martinez	Schoenberg

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

At the hour of 3:33 o'clock p.m., Senator Link presiding.

On motion of Senator Harmon, Senate Bill No. 1296, having been transcribed and typed and all amendments adopted thereto having been printed, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Yeas 50; Nays 1.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff Geo-Karis Meeks Shadid Sieben Burzynski Haine Munoz Clayborne Halvorson Pankau Silverstein Collins Harmon Peterson Sullivan, J. Crottv Hunter Petka Syverson Cullerton Trotter Jacobs Radogno Dahl Jones, J. Raoul Viverito del Valle Lauzen Righter Watson Del.eo Lightford Wilhelmi Risinger Demuzio Link Ronen Winkel Mr. President Dillard Luechtefeld Roskam Forby Maloney Sandoval Garrett Schoenberg Martinez

The following voted in the negative:

## Bomke

Act.

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

#### MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE

A message from the House by

Mr. Mahoney, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed a bill of the following title, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL NO. 2260

A bill for AN ACT concerning government.

Passed the House, April 14, 2005.

MARK MAHONEY, Clerk of the House

The foregoing House Bill No. 2260 was taken up, ordered printed and placed on first reading.

A message from the House by

Mr. Mahoney, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed bills of the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL NO. 315

A bill for AN ACT concerning animals, which may be referred to as the Anna Cieslewicz

HOUSE BILL NO. 542

A bill for AN ACT concerning State government.

HOUSE BILL NO. 712

A bill for AN ACT concerning civil law.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1074

A bill for AN ACT concerning natural resources.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1314

A bill for AN ACT concerning animals.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1320

A bill for AN ACT concerning children.

**HOUSE BILL NO. 1535** 

A bill for AN ACT concerning education.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1679

A bill for AN ACT concerning local government. HOUSE BILL NO. 2244

A bill for AN ACT concerning government.

HOUSE BILL NO. 2521

A bill for AN ACT concerning wildlife.

Passed the House, April 15, 2005.

MARK MAHONEY, Clerk of the House

The foregoing House Bills Numbered 315, 542, 712, 1074, 1314, 1320, 1535, 1679, 2244, 2521 were taken up, ordered printed and placed on first reading.

A message from the House by

Mr. Mahoney, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed bills of the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL NO. 2

A bill for AN ACT concerning taxes.

HOUSE BILL NO. 44

A bill for AN ACT concerning business.

**HOUSE BILL NO. 822** 

A bill for AN ACT concerning regulation.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1133

A bill for AN ACT in relation to public aid.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1370

A bill for AN ACT concerning employment.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1450

A bill for AN ACT concerning State government.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1870

A bill for AN ACT concerning civil law.

HOUSE BILL NO. 2004

A bill for AN ACT concerning education.

HOUSE BILL NO. 2137 A bill for AN ACT concerning employment.

HOUSE BILL NO. 2390

A bill for AN ACT in relation to bicycles.

A bill for AN ACT concerning taxes.

HOUSE BILL NO. 2712

HOUSE BILL NO. 3770 A bill for AN ACT concerning State government.

Passed the House, April 15, 2005.

MARK MAHONEY, Clerk of the House

The foregoing House Bills Numbered 2, 44, 822, 1133, 1370, 1450, 1870, 2004, 2137, 2390, 2712 and 3770 were taken up, ordered printed and placed on first reading.

## MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

# OFFICE OF THE SENATE PRESIDENT STATE OF ILLINOIS

EMIL JONES, JR. SENATE PRESIDENT 327 STATE CAPITOL Springfield, Illinois 62706

[April 15, 2005]

April 15, 2005

Ms. Linda Hawker Secretary of the Senate 403 State House Springfield, Illinois 62706

Dear Madam Secretary:

Pursuant to the provisions of Senate Rule 2-10, I hereby establish December 31, 2005 as the third reading deadline for the following categories of legislative measures:

Category: All Senate Bills on the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, Non-Substantive.

Also, pursuant to the provisions of Senate Rule 2-10, I hereby establish May 31, 2005 as the Third Reading deadline for the following Senate Bills:

2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 14, 19, 51, 201, 239, 257, 278, 321, 332, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 393, 399, 403, 404, 405, 414, 436, 457, 467, 507, 572, 750, 851, 1266, 1302, 1324, 1447, 1448, 1484, 1619, 1621, 1628, 1671, 1703, 1791, 1793, 1815, 1817, 1822, 1823, 1828, 1834, 1839, 1856, 1866, 1965 and 1974.

Sincerely, s/Emil Jones, Jr. Senate President

cc: Senate Minority Leader Frank Watson

## PRESENTATION OF RESOLUTION

## **SENATE RESOLUTION 158**

Offered by Senator Collins and all Senators: Mourns the death of Wendell Leon Nix, Jr. of Chicago.

By unanimous consent, the foregoing resolution was referred to the Resolutions Consent Calendar.

# RESOLUTIONS CONSENT CALENDAR

## SENATE RESOLUTION 146

Offered by Senator Hunter and all Senators: Mourns the death of Mary "Jean" Hunter of Chicago.

# **SENATE RESOLUTION 149**

Offered by Senator E. Jones and all Senators: Mourns the death of Gail Cynthia Mitchell of Chicago.

#### **SENATE RESOLUTION 150**

Offered by Senator Risinger and all Senators:
Mourns the death of William Edward VeZain of Princeton.

## SENATE RESOLUTION 151

Offered by Senator Forby and all Senators: Mourns the death of Ollie L. Musgrave of Marion.

### **SENATE RESOLUTION 152**

Offered by Senator Forby and all Senators: Mourns the death of Mary Louise Taylor of Benton.

## SENATE RESOLUTION 153

Offered by Senators Trotter – E. Jones and all Senators: Mourns the death of Alzata Pincham of Chicago.

## **SENATE RESOLUTION 154**

Offered by Senators Lauzen and all Senators: Mourns the death of Catherine A. Hawks of Geneva.

#### SENATE RESOLUTION 155

Offered by Senator Link and all Senators: Mourns the death of Paul Chervin of Waukegan.

# **SENATE RESOLUTION 158**

Offered by Senator Collins and all Senators: Mourns the death of Wendell Leon Nix, Jr. of Chicago.

Senator Link moved the adoption of the foregoing resolutions. The motion prevailed.

And the resolutions were adopted.

#### LEGISLATIVE MEASURE FILED

The following Committee amendment to the House Bill listed below has been filed with the Secretary and referred to the Committee on Rules:

Senate Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 870

#### PRESENTATION OF RESOLUTION

Senator Shadid offered the following Senate Joint Resolution and, having asked and obtained unanimous consent to suspend the rules for its immediate consideration, moved its adoption:

#### SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 39

RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE OF THE NINETY-FOURTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES CONCURRING HEREIN, that when the two Houses adjourn on Friday, April 15, 2005, the Senate stands adjourned until Tuesday, April 19, 2005, at 12:00 o'clock noon; and the House of Representatives stands adjourned until Tuesday, April 26, 2005, at 12:00 o'clock noon.

The motion prevailed.

And the resolution was adopted.

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

At the hour of 3:43 o'clock p.m., pursuant to **Senate Joint Resolution No. 39**, the Chair announced the Senate stand adjourned until Tuesday, April 19, 2005, at 12:00 o'clock noon.