



SENATE JOURNAL

STATE OF ILLINOIS

ONE HUNDREDTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

61ST LEGISLATIVE DAY

Perfunctory Session

TUESDAY, JUNE 27, 2017

3:17 O'CLOCK P.M.

SENATE
Daily Journal Index
61st Legislative Day

Action	Page(s)
Joint Action Motion(s) Filed	44
Message from the House	5, 6, 10, 11, 22, 24, 40, 42, 43
Message from the President	3
Presentation of Senate Resolution No. 654	3
Presentation of Senate Resolution No. 655	4
Presentation of Senate Resolutions No'd. 656-660	3
Presentation of Senate Resolutions No'd. 661-666	4

Bill Number	Legislative Action	Page(s)
SR 0655	Committee on Assignments	4
HB 3185	First Reading	44
HB 3792	First Reading	44

The Senate met pursuant to the directive of the President..
Pursuant to Senate Rule 2-5(c)2, the Secretary of the Senate conducted the perfunctory session.
Silent prayer was observed.

MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF THE SENATE PRESIDENT
STATE OF ILLINOIS

JOHN J. CULLERTON
SENATE PRESIDENT

327 STATE CAPITOL
SPRINGFIELD, IL 62706
217-782-2728

June 27, 2017

Mr. Tim Anderson
Secretary of the Senate
Room 401 State House
Springfield, IL 62706

Dear Mr. Secretary:

Pursuant to Rule 2-10, I am scheduling a Perfunctory Session to convene on June 27, 2017.

Sincerely,
s/John J. Cullerton
John J. Cullerton
Senate President

cc: Senate Minority Leader Christine Radogno

PRESENTATION OF RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 654

Offered by Senator Link and all Senators:
Mourns the death of Thaddeus "Ted" V. Killian of Waukegan.

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 656

Offered by Senators Stadelman – Syverson and all Senators:
Mourns the death of Brian Scott Anderson of Rockford.

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 657

Offered by Senator Althoff and all Senators:
Mourns the death of Howard W. Silverblatt of McHenry.

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 658

Offered by Senator Althoff and all Senators:
Mourns the death of Urban Peter Wagner of McHenry.

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 659

Offered by Senator Althoff and all Senators:
Mourns the death of Dorothy A. Miller of Cary.

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 660

Offered by Senator Althoff and all Senators:
Mourns the death of Geri N. Davis.

[June 27, 2017]

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 661

Offered by Senator Althoff and all Senators:
Mourns the death of Lorenza Burrafato of Woodstock.

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 662

Offered by Senator Althoff and all Senators:
Mourns the death of William H. "Bill" Bruce of McHenry.

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 663

Offered by Senator Althoff and all Senators:
Mourns the death of Brian K. Lee of McHenry.

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 664

Offered by Senator Althoff and all Senators:
Mourns the death of Donald James Ruemelin of Richmond.

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 665

Offered by Senator Althoff and all Senators:
Mourns the death of Charlene S. Reichert.

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 666

Offered by Senator Althoff and all Senators:
Mourns the death of Dwayne E. Raney of Woodstock.

By direction of the Secretary, the foregoing resolutions were referred to the Resolutions Consent Calendar.

Senator Steans offered the following Senate Resolution, which was referred to the Committee on Assignments:

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 655

WHEREAS, Global climate change refers to the recent and ongoing rise in global average temperature near Earth's surface, caused mostly by increasing concentrations of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere, resulting in changing global climate patterns; and

WHEREAS, The scientific community overwhelmingly agrees that the main cause of the current global warming trend is human expansion of greenhouse gasses, which causes warming that results when the atmosphere traps heat radiating from Earth toward space; and

WHEREAS, Effects of global climate change, each of which we are already experiencing, include: hotter than normal temperatures, drought, insect outbreaks, an increasing number of wildfires and other natural disasters, declining water supplies, reduced agricultural yields, health impacts in cities due to excessive heat, and flooding and erosion in coastal areas; and

WHEREAS, In order to mitigate the effects of global warming, in 2015, 195 nations entered into the Paris Climate Agreement, based upon the United Nation's Framework Convention on Climate Change, which, for the first time, brought all nations into a common cause to undertake ambitious efforts to combat climate change and adapt to its effects; as such, the Paris Climate Agreement chartered a new course in the global climate effort; and

WHEREAS, The Paris Agreement's central aim is to strengthen the global response to the threat of climate change by keeping a global temperature rise this century well below 2 degrees Celsius above pre-industrial levels and to pursue efforts to limit the temperature increase even further to 1.5 degrees Celsius; additionally, the agreement aims to strengthen the ability of countries to deal with the impacts of climate change; and

[June 27, 2017]

WHEREAS, On June 1, 2017, President Trump announced that the United States would be exiting the Paris Climate Agreement, joining Syria and Nicaragua as the only two countries not party to the Agreement; and

WHEREAS, President Trump's decision to abandon the agreement for environmental action signed by 195 nations is a remarkable rebuke to heads of state, climate activists, corporate executives, and most importantly, American citizens across the nation; the Paris Agreement was intended to bind the world community into battling rising temperatures in concert, and the departure of the Earth's second-largest polluter is a major setback; and

WHEREAS, According to a Yale University Study conducted in 2016, over 50% of Americans in each state believe in the effects of global warming, including 73% of people in the State of Illinois; according to the same study, an estimated 65% of people in Illinois support the Paris Climate Agreement; and

WHEREAS, In response to President Trump's announcement to exit the Paris Climate Agreement, nine states, including, California, New York, Massachusetts, Washington, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Vermont, Oregon, and Hawaii have joined to form the United States Climate Alliance, in order to implement the provisions of the Paris Climate Agreement; furthermore, the United States Climate Alliance is being joined at the city level by 187 mayors of major cities, including Los Angeles, Boston, New York, and Chicago; therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE OF THE ONE HUNDREDTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that we urge Governor Rauner to immediately join the nine other states in the United States Climate Alliance; and be it further

RESOLVED, That as governor of a state that supports the Paris Climate Agreement by an overwhelming majority of 65%, we request that Governor Rauner join with both Democratic and Republican governors from the aforementioned states, to stand up for the people of the State of Illinois and join the United States Climate Alliance; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the State of Illinois is urged to develop a plan for the State to achieve 100% clean energy by 2045; it should include the lowest cost measures available to meet the energy needs of the State; low income citizens should be included in the benefits derived, including quality careers adhering to local source hiring for workers displaced by fossil fuel reduction, equitable access through ownership and benefits to new opportunity for historically marginalized communities, and affordable clean energy options; and be it further

RESOLVED, That suitable copies of this resolution be delivered to Governor Rauner, United States Senator Richard Durbin, United States Senator Tammy Duckworth, and the entire Illinois Congressional Delegation.

MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has adopted the following joint resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 46

RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE ONE HUNDREDTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE SENATE CONCURRING HEREIN, that we designate the portion of Roosevelt Road from Cicero Avenue to Austin Avenue in Chicago as Muhammad Ali Road; and be it further

[June 27, 2017]

RESOLVED, That the Illinois Department of Transportation is requested to erect at suitable locations, consistent with State and federal regulations, appropriate plaques or signs giving notice of the name of Muhammad Ali Road; and be it further

RESOLVED, That suitable copies of this resolution be delivered to the Secretary of the Illinois Department of Transportation and the family of Muhammad Ali.

Adopted by the House, June 22, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

The foregoing message from the House of Representatives reporting House Joint Resolution No. 46 was referred to the Committee on Assignments.

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has refused to concur with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 763

A bill for AN ACT concerning State government.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 763

Non-concurred in by the House, June 24, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

Under the rules, the foregoing **House Bill No. 763**, with Senate Amendment No. 1, was referred to the Secretary's Desk.

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 311

A bill for AN ACT concerning regulation.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 311

Concurred in by the House, June 24, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 370

A bill for AN ACT concerning education.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 2 to HOUSE BILL NO. 370

Concurred in by the House, June 24, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 434

[June 27, 2017]

A bill for AN ACT concerning government.
 Which amendment is as follows:
 Senate Amendment No. 2 to HOUSE BILL NO. 434
 Concurred in by the House, June 24, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by
 Mr. Mapes, Clerk:
 Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 771

A bill for AN ACT concerning local government.
 Which amendment is as follows:
 Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 771
 Concurred in by the House, June 24, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by
 Mr. Mapes, Clerk:
 Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 786

A bill for AN ACT concerning local government.
 Which amendment is as follows:
 Senate Amendment No. 2 to HOUSE BILL NO. 786
 Concurred in by the House, June 24, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by
 Mr. Mapes, Clerk:
 Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 791

A bill for AN ACT concerning transportation.
 Which amendment is as follows:
 Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 791
 Concurred in by the House, June 24, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by
 Mr. Mapes, Clerk:
 Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 799

A bill for AN ACT concerning transportation.
 Which amendment is as follows:
 Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 799
 Concurred in by the House, June 24, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by
 Mr. Mapes, Clerk:
 Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendments to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

[June 27, 2017]

HOUSE BILL 1560

A bill for AN ACT concerning business.
Which amendments are as follows:
Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 1560
Senate Amendment No. 2 to HOUSE BILL NO. 1560
Concurred in by the House, June 24, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 1896

A bill for AN ACT concerning local government.
Which amendment is as follows:
Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 1896
Concurred in by the House, June 24, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendments to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 1954

A bill for AN ACT concerning regulation.
Which amendments are as follows:
Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 1954
Senate Amendment No. 2 to HOUSE BILL NO. 1954
Concurred in by the House, June 24, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 2363

A bill for AN ACT concerning transportation.
Which amendment is as follows:
Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 2363
Concurred in by the House, June 24, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 2482

A bill for AN ACT concerning State government.
Which amendment is as follows:
Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 2482
Concurred in by the House, June 24, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

[June 27, 2017]

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 2516

A bill for AN ACT concerning civil law.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 2 to HOUSE BILL NO. 2516

Concurred in by the House, June 24, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 2538

A bill for AN ACT concerning local government.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 2538

Concurred in by the House, June 24, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 2550

A bill for AN ACT concerning transportation.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 2550

Concurred in by the House, June 24, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 2641

A bill for AN ACT concerning criminal law.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 2641

Concurred in by the House, June 24, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 2647

A bill for AN ACT concerning State government.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 2647

Concurred in by the House, June 24, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

[June 27, 2017]

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has adopted the following joint resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 61

WHEREAS, The State of Illinois owes a debt of gratitude to veterans who have protected our nation by serving in the military; and

WHEREAS, The high rate of veteran suicide is a tragedy that Illinois should be compelled to do everything within its power to prevent; the Veteran Suicide Prevention Task Force gathered information and testimony on ways to give support to veterans in need and to prevent veteran suicide; and

WHEREAS, The Veteran Suicide Prevention Task Force found one method of suicide prevention is encouraging veterans to own therapy dogs; therapy dogs provide many positives to veterans such as comfort in unfamiliar places; therapy dogs provide constant affectionate companionship and give a sense of duty to a veteran that bolsters his or her sense of self; and

WHEREAS, There is no current standard of identification or regulation of therapy dogs in Illinois; the Illinois Veteran Suicide Prevention Task Force recommended the State of Illinois develop a licensing program for therapy dogs; and

WHEREAS, Other states also have programs for therapy dogs; Tennessee has a program called "Patriot Paws"; Illinois is host to a program in Chicago called "Valor", which provides an in-house eight-week program for veterans and dogs; therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE ONE HUNDREDTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE SENATE CONCURRING HEREIN, that we urge the Department of Financial and Professional Regulation to research programs of identification and training for therapy dogs, especially therapy dogs designated for veterans, and provide a report to the General Assembly on possibilities for legislation to provide a certification program for therapy dogs in the State of Illinois; and be it further

RESOLVED, That a suitable copy of this resolution be delivered to the Department of Financial and Professional Regulation.

Adopted by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

The foregoing message from the House of Representatives reporting House Joint Resolution No. 61 was referred to the Committee on Assignments.

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has adopted the following joint resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 62

WHEREAS, The Annbriar Golf Course in Waterloo, Gateway National in Madison, Governors Run in Carlyle, Kokopelli in Marion, Rend Lake Golf Resort in Whittington, Stone Creek Golf Club in Urbana,

[June 27, 2017]

and Stonewolf Golf Club in Fairview Heights offer some of the most beautiful and captivating courses for one to play golf on in the State of Illinois; and

WHEREAS, These seven courses currently operate as independent golfing destinations; if one wishes to visit each course it would require separate dealings with all seven in order to set up tee times and lodging; and

WHEREAS, Other states have linked their courses into a "trail" to boost tourism and thus revenue; Alabama created the highly successful Robert Trent Jones Trail; Iowa and Ohio have also connected many of their golf courses and have seen tremendous positive economic growth by doing so; and

WHEREAS, The success of linking golf courses into a statewide golf trail is dependent upon ease of use; ultimately, there should be one internet portal that would enable golfers to reserve tee times and book lodging at all seven golf courses; and

WHEREAS, Other states have already demonstrated that this concept can be tremendously successful and similar results are expected in Illinois; and

WHEREAS, Illinois State parks and lodges could utilize additional revenue streams; the additional revenue could be used for maintenance, repairs, and improvements or to enhance the image and marketing of said facilities; therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE ONE HUNDREDTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE SENATE CONCURRING HEREIN, that the Illinois Office of Tourism is urged to do a feasibility study on linking together the seven golf courses stated above into the Abraham Lincoln Golf Trail (ALGT); and be it further

RESOLVED, That the study should include, but not be limited to, the following:

- (1) electronic tee time scheduling - at the start this could be an 800 number that golfers would call, but the move to a fully-automated, electronic tee time scheduling system should take place as soon as possible;
- (2) automated lodging/hotel reservations - the convenience of automated bookings and reservations encourages usage;
- (3) 24/7 customer service assistance - until the ALGT had the ability to handle customer service itself, this could be outsourced to a third party, which should have the ability to schedule all tee times and make lodging reservations at all courses that are part of the ALGT;
- (4) ongoing training - customer service is the hallmark of any successful organization; annual training for all golf course staff is recommended;
- (5) promotion - information about the trail can be disseminated at the individual courses, advertisements on the website, and paid advertising space;
- (6) public relations "buzz" and "word of mouth"; and
- (7) licensing merchandise - merchandise with a logo is a natural for all member pro shops; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the seven courses listed above would be considered phase one of the ALGT; as the trail becomes operational, additional golf courses in central and northern Illinois could be added; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the study is requested to be completed by December 31, 2018; and be it further

RESOLVED, That a suitable copy of this resolution be delivered to the Illinois Office of Tourism.

Adopted by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

The foregoing message from the House of Representatives reporting House Joint Resolution No. 62 was referred to the Committee on Assignments.

[June 27, 2017]

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has adopted the following joint resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 63

WHEREAS, The State of Illinois and its operating units are currently experiencing a fiscal situation that is demanding the close attention of the people of Illinois; and

WHEREAS, The State of Illinois and its operating units are constantly incurring millions of dollars of expenses every day just to continue to operate, with much of these operating expenses being piled up into what is now a backlog of more than \$14 billion in unpaid State bills; and

WHEREAS, One element of these overall operating costs, and of the State's unpaid bill backlog, are the moneys paid by the State and its taxpayers to rent or lease parcels of real property; and

WHEREAS, Serious questions have arisen as to the interrelationship between some of the lease agreements entered into by the State in recent months, the actual market values of the properties being leased, the lease payments that would be paid if their properties were leased in an arm's length transaction between independent parties, and the overall fiscal situation of the State; therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE ONE HUNDREDTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE SENATE CONCURRING HEREIN, that the Auditor General is directed to conduct a performance audit of the State's decision to enter into a five-year, \$2.4 million lease for property at 2410 South Grand Ave. East, Springfield, Illinois ("lease"); and be it further

RESOLVED, That the audit include, but not be limited to, the following determinations:

(1) The justification for the space request by the Department of Human Services (DHS), including the location and condition of the premises where the records were previously stored and the functions were previously performed ("existing space");

(2) Whether the Department of Central Management Services (CMS) or other appropriate State agencies considered renovating the existing space and, if so, what projections were made for the cost of renovating the existing space;

(3) Whether CMS considered the availability of other State-owned or leased space before the decision to enter into a new lease was made, including what specific State-owned or leased properties were reviewed prior to making the decision to enter into a new lease;

(4) Whether CMS conducted an analysis of the cost-benefit of purchasing instead of leasing the property at 2410 South Grand Ave. East, Springfield, Illinois, including costs associated with renovating and maintaining the property;

(5) Whether DHS or any other appropriate State agency has conducted a cost-benefit analysis comparing the costs of digitizing records as compared to maintaining records in hard copy form, including the costs of storage, access, and travel, if any, to retrieve hard copy records for various official purposes, as well as the security risks of confidential records in one form as compared to the other;

(6) The role of the Procurement Policy Board ("Board") in reviewing the lease, including

[June 27, 2017]

whether the Board has any conflict-of-interest procedures for members to recuse themselves because of personal, professional, or financial relationships;

(7) Identification of the persons involved in the procurement, and their respective roles and responsibilities;

(8) The process, time frame, and coordination followed by CMS in examining the lease requirements and advertising the procurement opportunity, including any steps taken to ensure adequate competition;

(9) Whether any confidential information was shared between the CMS leasing agent and any of the bidders or potential bidders in the procurement process;

(10) The decision of CMS to proceed with the warehouse lease after receiving only one bid; and

(11) Whether relationships between the seller of the property ("Barney's"), the buyer of the property, and the chairman of the Procurement Policy Board played a role in the warehouse lease; and be it further

RESOLVED, That we call upon the State of Illinois and its operating units, including, but not limited to, the Department of Central Management Services, to immediately suspend the drafting, negotiation, perfection, and signing of any lease on any parcel of real property, and that this suspension is to continue until the conclusion of the audit directed by this resolution; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Department of Human Services, the Department of Central Management Services, the Procurement Policy Board, and any other State agency or other entity having information relevant to this audit shall cooperate fully and promptly with the Auditor General's Office in the conduct of this audit; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Auditor General commence this audit as soon as possible and report his findings and recommendations upon completion in accordance with the provisions of Section 3-14 of the Illinois State Auditing Act; and be it further

RESOLVED, That for the purpose of this resolution, the conclusion of this audit shall not be deemed to have taken place until the Legislative Audit Commission has reviewed the findings of this audit in a public meeting, and the General Assembly has been accorded adequate time on its legislative calendar to take any actions that may be reasonably deemed necessary or desirable to implement any recommendations made by the audit and to remedy any problems or dysfunctions uncovered by the audit; and be it further

RESOLVED, That suitable copies of this resolution shall be presented to Governor Bruce Rauner; Michael Hoffman, the Acting Director of Central Management Services; Frank J. Mautino, Auditor General; and Jane Stricklin, the Executive Director of the Legislative Audit Commission.

Adopted by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

The foregoing message from the House of Representatives reporting House Joint Resolution No. 63 was referred to the Committee on Assignments.

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

[June 27, 2017]

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has adopted the following joint resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 66

WHEREAS, The members of the Illinois General Assembly wish to recognize and remember Frank Lloyd Wright, an American architect, interior designer, writer, and educator who designed over 1,000 structures, on his 150th birthday; and

WHEREAS, Frank Lloyd Wright was born Frank Lincoln Wright in Richland Center, Wisconsin to William Carey Wright and Anna Lloyd Jones on June 8, 1867; he passed away in Phoenix, Arizona on April 9, 1959; and

WHEREAS, When Frank Lloyd Wright was 14 his parents separated; in 1885, the divorce was finalized and he changed his middle name of Lincoln to Lloyd after his mother's side of the family; and

WHEREAS, Frank Lloyd Wright attended Madison High School, but never received a degree; he was admitted to the University of Wisconsin-Madison as a special student in 1886; he took classes part-time for two semesters and worked with a professor of civil engineering; he left school without a degree, but was granted an honorary Doctorate of Fine Arts from the University in 1955; and

WHEREAS, Frank Lloyd Wright moved to Chicago to seek an apprenticeship with the firm of Adler & Sullivan; after two short interviews he became an official apprentice with the firm; and

WHEREAS, On June 1, 1889, Frank Lloyd Wright married his first wife, Catherine "Kitty" Lee (Tobin) Wright; he received a loan of \$5,000 from Louis Sullivan and built his first home in Oak Park; he later married Maude "Miriam" Noel Wright and then Olgivanna Lloyd Wright; and

WHEREAS, Frank Lloyd Wright went on to have his own practice in the Schiller Building on Randolph Street in Chicago and took on many projects in the Chicago area; and

WHEREAS, Frank Lloyd Wright won the "Royal Gold Medal" in 1941 and the "AIA Gold Medal" in 1949; over the years, many of his structures have also received the "Twenty-five Year Award", which showcases buildings that set a precedent; and

WHEREAS, Frank Lloyd Wright was known for his unique vision for urban planning in the United States, including Illinois; his creative period spanned over 70 years; in addition to houses, he also designed offices, churches, schools, hotels, museums, and other structures; there are 13 Frank Lloyd Wright properties that are open to the public in Illinois; and

WHEREAS, Frank Lloyd Wright has many properties open to the public, including the Dana-Thomas house in Springfield, the B. Harley Bradley House in Kankakee, the Kenneth Laurent House in Rockford, his home and studio, Unity Temple in Oak Park, the Pettit Memorial Chapel in Belvidere, the Frank L Smith Bank in Dwight, the Muirhead Farmhouse in Hampshire, and the Fabyan Villa in Geneva; therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE ONE HUNDREDTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE SENATE CONCURRING HEREIN, that we designate the Frank Lloyd Wright properties that are open to the public in Illinois as part of the Frank Lloyd Wright Trail.

Adopted by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

The foregoing message from the House of Representatives reporting House Joint Resolution No. 66 was referred to the Committee on Assignments.

[June 27, 2017]

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 313

A bill for AN ACT concerning regulation.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 313

Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 690

A bill for AN ACT concerning employment.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 690

Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 2665

A bill for AN ACT concerning civil law.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 3 to HOUSE BILL NO. 2665

Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 2699

A bill for AN ACT concerning employment.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 2699

Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 2702

A bill for AN ACT concerning regulation.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 2702

Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

[June 27, 2017]

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendments to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 2713

A bill for AN ACT concerning business.

Which amendments are as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 2713

Senate Amendment No. 2 to HOUSE BILL NO. 2713

Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 2721

A bill for AN ACT concerning regulation.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 2721

Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 2812

A bill for AN ACT concerning public aid.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 2812

Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 2820

A bill for AN ACT concerning health.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 2 to HOUSE BILL NO. 2820

Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 2893

A bill for AN ACT concerning wildlife.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 2893

[June 27, 2017]

Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 2977

A bill for AN ACT concerning education.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 2977

Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 2993

A bill for AN ACT concerning education.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 2993

Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 3005

A bill for AN ACT concerning State government.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 3005

Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 3033

A bill for AN ACT concerning State government.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 3033

Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 3060

A bill for AN ACT concerning health.

Which amendment is as follows:

[June 27, 2017]

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 3060
Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 3092

A bill for AN ACT concerning human rights.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 2 to HOUSE BILL NO. 3092

Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 3157

A bill for AN ACT concerning State government.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 3157

Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 3161

A bill for AN ACT concerning State government.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 3161

Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 3244

A bill for AN ACT concerning insurance.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 3244

Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 3261

A bill for AN ACT concerning State government.

[June 27, 2017]

Which amendment is as follows:
Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 3261
Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:
HOUSE BILL 3462

A bill for AN ACT concerning regulation.
Which amendment is as follows:
Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 3462
Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:
HOUSE BILL 3488

A bill for AN ACT concerning health.
Which amendment is as follows:
Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 3488
Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:
HOUSE BILL 3536

A bill for AN ACT concerning local government.
Which amendment is as follows:
Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 3536
Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:
HOUSE BILL 3656

A bill for AN ACT concerning safety.
Which amendment is as follows:
Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 3656
Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:
HOUSE BILL 3741

[June 27, 2017]

A bill for AN ACT concerning business.
Which amendment is as follows:
Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 3741
Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:
Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:
HOUSE BILL 3791

A bill for AN ACT concerning business.
Which amendment is as follows:
Senate Amendment No. 2 to HOUSE BILL NO. 3791
Concurred in by the House, June 25, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:
Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to-wit:
SENATE BILL NO. 60

A bill for AN ACT concerning local government.
Together with the following amendments which are attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:
House Amendment No. 1 to SENATE BILL NO. 60
House Amendment No. 2 to SENATE BILL NO. 60
Passed the House, as amended, June 26, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE BILL 60

AMENDMENT NO. 1. Amend Senate Bill 60 by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

"Section 5. The Township Code is amended by changing Sections 205-140 and 205-145 and by adding Section 205-141 as follows:

(60 ILCS 1/205-140)

Sec. 205-140. Initiating proceedings for particular locality; rates and charges; lien.

(a) This Section applies to townships to which Section 2015-141 does not apply.

(a-1) ~~(a)~~ A township board may initiate proceedings under Sections 205-130 through 205-150 in the manner provided by Section 205-20.

(b) The township board may establish the rate or charge to each user of the waterworks system or sewerage system, or combined waterworks and sewerage system, or improvement or extension at a rate that will be sufficient to pay the principal and interest of any bonds issued to pay the cost of the system, improvement, or extension and the maintenance and operation of the system, improvement, or extension and may provide an adequate depreciation fund for the bonds. Charges or rates shall be established, revised, and maintained by ordinance and become payable as the township board determines by ordinance.

(c) The charges or rates are liens upon the real estate upon or for which sewerage service is supplied whenever the charges or rates become delinquent as provided by the ordinance of the board fixing a delinquency date.

(d) Notwithstanding any provision of law to the contrary, the township shall conduct a cost study regarding the connection charge of the township:

(1) before the township increases or creates a connection charge;

(2) upon the request of the supervisor or a majority of the township board of the township;

(3) upon the request of a majority of the mayors or village presidents of the

[June 27, 2017]

municipalities located within or substantially within the township or township's facility planning area; or

(4) upon the filing with the township board of a petition signed by 10% or more of the customers who have paid connection charges to the township in the previous 5 calendar years.

The cost study shall be conducted by an independent entity within 6 months of action taken under paragraphs (1), (2), (3), or (4) of this subsection (d). For purposes of subsections (d) and (e), the term "independent entity" shall mean an engineering firm that has not entered into a contract with any State agency, unit of local government, or non-governmental entity for goods or services within the township or township service area in the 24 months prior to being contracted to perform the cost study. After performing a cost study under this subsection (d), an independent entity may not contract with any State agency, unit of local government, or non-governmental entity for goods or services within the township or township service area in the 24 months after completion of the cost study other than to perform further cost studies under this subsection (d). A township shall not be required to conduct more than one cost study in a 60 month period under paragraphs (3) or (4) of this subsection (d). The cost study must include, at a minimum, an examination of similar water main and sewer connection charges in neighboring units of local government or units of local government similar in size or population. Following the completion of the cost study, no increase or new connection charge may be imposed unless the increase or new charge is justified by the cost study. If the connection charge the township charged prior to completion of the cost study is higher than is justified by the cost study, the township shall reduce its connection charge to the amount justified by the cost study. For purposes of this subsection (d), "connection charge" means any charge or fee, by whatever name, assessed to recover the cost of connecting the customer's water main, sewer, or water main and sewer service line to the township's facilities, and includes only the direct and indirect costs of physically tying the service line into the township's main.

(e) If a cost study has been conducted pursuant to subsection (d) of this Section and a new cost study is requested under paragraph (3) or (4) of subsection (d), the township shall obtain a written quote from an independent entity detailing the cost of the requested cost study and one of the following shall occur prior to a new cost study beginning:

(1) each township, village, and municipality whose mayor or president requested the cost study under paragraph (3) of subsection (d) shall pay a proportionate share of the entire cost of the cost study as detailed in the written quote required under this subsection (e); or

(2) the customers who signed the petition under paragraph (4) of subsection (d) shall pay a pro rata share of the entire cost of the cost study as detailed in written quote required under this subsection (e).

Payments required under either paragraph (1) or (2) of this subsection (e) shall be made to the township clerk, who shall forward the same to the independent entity upon receipt of entire amount of the written quote for the cost study. If the entire amount of the written quote for the cost study has not been received within 90 days from the township clerk providing public note of the amount of the written quote, then those amounts received by the township clerk shall be refunded to the persons or entities which paid them. (Source: P.A. 99-481, eff. 9-22-15; 99-498, eff. 1-29-16.)

(60 ILCS 1/205-141 new)

Sec. 205-141. Initiating proceedings for particular locality: rates and charges: lien: certain townships.

(a) This Section applies to any township that (i) has a population between 31,500 and 32,000 according to the 2010 federal decennial census; and (ii) is located within a county that has a population between 260,000 and 265,000 according to the 2010 federal decennial census.

(a-1) A township board may initiate proceedings under Sections 205-130 through 205-150 in the manner provided by Section 205-20.

(b) The township board may establish a fair and reasonable rate for each user of the waterworks system or sewerage system, or combined waterworks and sewerage system, or improvement or extension at a rate that will be sufficient to pay the principal and interest of any bonds issued to pay the cost of the system, improvement, or extension and the maintenance and operation of the system, improvement, or extension and may provide an adequate depreciation fund for the bonds. Rates shall be established, revised, and maintained by ordinance and become payable as the township board determines by ordinance.

(b-5) The township board may establish a fair and reasonable connection charge for each new user added to the township's waterworks system or sewerage system.

(c) The charges or rates are liens upon the real estate upon or for which sewerage service is supplied whenever the charges or rates become delinquent as provided by the ordinance of the board fixing a delinquency date.

(d) Notwithstanding any provision of law to the contrary, a cost study shall be conducted regarding the connection charge of the township:

(1) before the township increases or creates a connection charge;

(2) upon the request of the supervisor or a majority of the township board of the township;

(3) upon the request of a majority of the mayors or village presidents of the municipalities located within or substantially within the township or township's facility planning area; or

(4) upon the filing with the township board of a petition signed by 10% or more of the customers who have paid connection charges to the township in the previous 5 calendar years.

The cost study shall be conducted by an independent entity within 6 months of action taken under paragraphs (1), (2), (3), or (4) of this subsection (d). If a cost study is requested under paragraphs (1) or (2) of this subsection, then the township shall order and pay for the cost study. If a cost study is requested under paragraphs (3) or (4) of this subsection, then the municipalities whose mayors or presidents requested the cost study under paragraph (3), or the customers who filed a petition under paragraph (4), shall choose the independent entity to conduct the cost study, order the cost study, and pay for the cost study. After performing a cost study under this subsection (d), an independent entity may not contract with any State agency, unit of local government, or non-governmental entity for goods or services within the township or township service area in the 24 months after completion of the cost study other than to perform further cost studies under this subsection (d). A township shall not be required to conduct more than one cost study in a 60 month period under paragraphs (3) or (4) of this subsection (d). The cost study must include, at a minimum, an examination of residential and commercial connection charges for the waterworks system or sewerage system, whichever applies, in at least 30 units of local government in Illinois with a similar number of customers as are connected to the township's waterworks system and sewerage system. Following the completion of the cost study, no increase or new connection charge may be imposed unless the increase or new charge is justified by the cost study. If the connection charge the township charged prior to completion of the cost study is higher than is justified by the cost study, the township shall reduce its connection charge to the amount justified by the cost study.

(e) For purposes of this Section:

"Connection charge" means any nominal charge or fee, by whatever name, assessed to recover the cost of connecting the customer's water main, sewer, or water main and sewer service line to the township's facilities, and includes only the direct and indirect costs of physically tying the service line into the township's main line in the adjoining utility easement.

"Independent entity" means an engineering firm that has not entered into a contract with any State agency, unit of local government, or non-governmental entity for goods or services within the township or township service area in the 24 months prior to being contracted to perform the cost study.

(60 ILCS 1/205-145)

Sec. 205-145. Special fund. All revenue derived from the operation of a waterworks system or sewerage system, or combined waterworks and sewerage system, constructed, acquired, extended, or improved to serve a particular locality shall be set aside as collected and shall be deposited in a special fund of the township. That fund shall be used only (i) to pay the cost of operating and maintaining the waterworks system or sewerage system, or combined waterworks and sewerage system, constructed, acquired, extended, or improved to serve a particular locality, (ii) to provide an adequate depreciation fund, and (iii) to pay the principal and interest on the bonds issued by the township under Sections 205-130 through 205-141 205-140 for the purpose of constructing, acquiring, extending, or improving the system. (Source: P.A. 76-1360; 88-62.)

Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect upon becoming law."

AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO SENATE BILL 60

AMENDMENT NO. 2. Amend Senate Bill 60, AS AMENDED, with reference to page and line numbers of House Amendment No. 1, on page 1, line 11, by replacing "2015-141" with "205-141".

Under the rules, the foregoing **Senate Bill No. 60**, with House Amendments numbered 1 and 2, was referred to the Secretary's Desk.

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to-wit:

SENATE BILL NO. 421

A bill for AN ACT concerning local government.

[June 27, 2017]

Together with the following amendments which are attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

House Amendment No. 1 to SENATE BILL NO. 421

House Amendment No. 2 to SENATE BILL NO. 421

Passed the House, as amended, June 26, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE BILL 421

AMENDMENT NO. 1. Amend Senate Bill 421 on page 1, line 10, by replacing "authority." with "Authority. The authority to regulate the movement of drones under this Section is governed by and strictly limited to the regulations found in Part 107 of Subchapter F of Chapter 1 of Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations.".

AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO SENATE BILL 421

AMENDMENT NO. 2. Amend Senate Bill 421 by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

"Section 5. The Airport Authorities Act is amended by changing Section 8.14 as follows:

(70 ILCS 5/8.14) (from Ch. 15 1/2, par. 68.8-14)

Sec. 8.14. Rules and regulations adopted under and in pursuance of the powers granted by Sections 8.10, 8.11 and 8.12 must be contained in an ordinance which shall be placed on file in the office of the Authority in typewritten or printed form for public inspection not less than 15 days before adoption. Such ordinance may prescribe such fines as the Board of Commissioners deems appropriate of not less than \$1 nor more than ~~\$1,000~~ \$200 upon conviction for each offense, and may provide that, in case of continuing violation of any such rule or regulation, each calendar day that such violation continues constitutes a separate offense.

Notice of the filing of and public hearing upon any ordinance prescribing fines or penalties and of the date and time for its being publicly heard shall be published one time in a newspaper generally circulated within the Authority not more than 30 nor less than 15 days prior to the date of such hearing. Such ordinance may be amended at the public hearing and may be later amended where no new rule or regulation is adopted or existing fine or penalty modified, without pre-filing or publication of notice prior to the adoption of the amendatory ordinance. After adoption, typewritten or printed copies of each ordinance of an authority which prescribes fines or penalties shall be made available at the office of the authority for distribution upon request.

All fines, when collected, for violations of any such ordinance of an authority shall be paid into its treasury.

(Source: P.A. 76-968.)".

Under the rules, the foregoing **Senate Bill No. 421**, with House Amendments numbered 1 and 2, was referred to the Secretary's Desk.

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to-wit:

SENATE BILL NO. 776

A bill for AN ACT concerning civil law.

Together with the following amendment which is attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

House Amendment No. 1 to SENATE BILL NO. 776

Passed the House, as amended, June 26, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE BILL 776

AMENDMENT NO. 1. Amend Senate Bill 776 on page 1, line 22, by deleting "or electronic face-to-face".

[June 27, 2017]

Under the rules, the foregoing **Senate Bill No. 776**, with House Amendment No. 1, was referred to the Secretary's Desk.

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to-wit:

SENATE BILL NO. 1290

A bill for AN ACT concerning education.

Together with the following amendments which are attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

House Amendment No. 1 to SENATE BILL NO. 1290

House Amendment No. 2 to SENATE BILL NO. 1290

Passed the House, as amended, June 26, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE BILL 1290

AMENDMENT NO. 1. Amend Senate Bill 1290 by replacing line 25 on page 44 through line 2 on page 45 with the following:

"(1) A majority of the voters of the district voting on an advisory question voted in favor of the question regarding the use of funding sources to build a new school building and its effect on property tax rates at the general election held on November 8, 2016."

AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO SENATE BILL 1290

AMENDMENT NO. 2. Amend Senate Bill 1290, AS AMENDED, by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

"Section 5. The Illinois Municipal Code is amended by changing Section 11-74.4-7 as follows:

(65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-7) (from Ch. 24, par. 11-74.4-7)

Sec. 11-74.4-7. Obligations secured by the special tax allocation fund set forth in Section 11-74.4-8 for the redevelopment project area may be issued to provide for redevelopment project costs. Such obligations, when so issued, shall be retired in the manner provided in the ordinance authorizing the issuance of such obligations by the receipts of taxes levied as specified in Section 11-74.4-9 against the taxable property included in the area, by revenues as specified by Section 11-74.4-8a and other revenue designated by the municipality. A municipality may in the ordinance pledge all or any part of the funds in and to be deposited in the special tax allocation fund created pursuant to Section 11-74.4-8 to the payment of the redevelopment project costs and obligations. Any pledge of funds in the special tax allocation fund shall provide for distribution to the taxing districts and to the Illinois Department of Revenue of moneys not required, pledged, earmarked, or otherwise designated for payment and securing of the obligations and anticipated redevelopment project costs and such excess funds shall be calculated annually and deemed to be "surplus" funds. In the event a municipality only applies or pledges a portion of the funds in the special tax allocation fund for the payment or securing of anticipated redevelopment project costs or of obligations, any such funds remaining in the special tax allocation fund after complying with the requirements of the application or pledge, shall also be calculated annually and deemed "surplus" funds. All surplus funds in the special tax allocation fund shall be distributed annually within 180 days after the close of the municipality's fiscal year by being paid by the municipal treasurer to the County Collector, to the Department of Revenue and to the municipality in direct proportion to the tax incremental revenue received as a result of an increase in the equalized assessed value of property in the redevelopment project area, tax incremental revenue received from the State and tax incremental revenue received from the municipality, but not to exceed as to each such source the total incremental revenue received from that source. The County Collector shall thereafter make distribution to the respective taxing districts in the same manner and proportion as the most recent distribution by the county collector to the affected districts of real property taxes from real property in the redevelopment project area.

Without limiting the foregoing in this Section, the municipality may in addition to obligations secured by the special tax allocation fund pledge for a period not greater than the term of the obligations towards payment of such obligations any part or any combination of the following: (a) net revenues of all or part of any redevelopment project; (b) taxes levied and collected on any or all property in the municipality; (c) the full faith and credit of the municipality; (d) a mortgage on part or all of the redevelopment project; (~~d~~-

[June 27, 2017]

5) repayment of bonds issued pursuant to subsection (p-130) of Section 19-1 of the School Code; or (e) any other taxes or anticipated receipts that the municipality may lawfully pledge.

Such obligations may be issued in one or more series bearing interest at such rate or rates as the corporate authorities of the municipality shall determine by ordinance. Such obligations shall bear such date or dates, mature at such time or times not exceeding 20 years from their respective dates, be in such denomination, carry such registration privileges, be executed in such manner, be payable in such medium of payment at such place or places, contain such covenants, terms and conditions, and be subject to redemption as such ordinance shall provide. Obligations issued pursuant to this Act may be sold at public or private sale at such price as shall be determined by the corporate authorities of the municipalities. No referendum approval of the electors shall be required as a condition to the issuance of obligations pursuant to this Division except as provided in this Section.

In the event the municipality authorizes issuance of obligations pursuant to the authority of this Division secured by the full faith and credit of the municipality, which obligations are other than obligations which may be issued under home rule powers provided by Article VII, Section 6 of the Illinois Constitution, or pledges taxes pursuant to (b) or (c) of the second paragraph of this section, the ordinance authorizing the issuance of such obligations or pledging such taxes shall be published within 10 days after such ordinance has been passed in one or more newspapers, with general circulation within such municipality. The publication of the ordinance shall be accompanied by a notice of (1) the specific number of voters required to sign a petition requesting the question of the issuance of such obligations or pledging taxes to be submitted to the electors; (2) the time in which such petition must be filed; and (3) the date of the prospective referendum. The municipal clerk shall provide a petition form to any individual requesting one.

If no petition is filed with the municipal clerk, as hereinafter provided in this Section, within 30 days after the publication of the ordinance, the ordinance shall be in effect. But, if within that 30 day period a petition is filed with the municipal clerk, signed by electors in the municipality numbering 10% or more of the number of registered voters in the municipality, asking that the question of issuing obligations using full faith and credit of the municipality as security for the cost of paying for redevelopment project costs, or of pledging taxes for the payment of such obligations, or both, be submitted to the electors of the municipality, the corporate authorities of the municipality shall call a special election in the manner provided by law to vote upon that question, or, if a general, State or municipal election is to be held within a period of not less than 30 or more than 90 days from the date such petition is filed, shall submit the question at the next general, State or municipal election. If it appears upon the canvass of the election by the corporate authorities that a majority of electors voting upon the question voted in favor thereof, the ordinance shall be in effect, but if a majority of the electors voting upon the question are not in favor thereof, the ordinance shall not take effect.

The ordinance authorizing the obligations may provide that the obligations shall contain a recital that they are issued pursuant to this Division, which recital shall be conclusive evidence of their validity and of the regularity of their issuance.

In the event the municipality authorizes issuance of obligations pursuant to this Section secured by the full faith and credit of the municipality, the ordinance authorizing the obligations may provide for the levy and collection of a direct annual tax upon all taxable property within the municipality sufficient to pay the principal thereof and interest thereon as it matures, which levy may be in addition to and exclusive of the maximum of all other taxes authorized to be levied by the municipality, which levy, however, shall be abated to the extent that monies from other sources are available for payment of the obligations and the municipality certifies the amount of said monies available to the county clerk.

A certified copy of such ordinance shall be filed with the county clerk of each county in which any portion of the municipality is situated, and shall constitute the authority for the extension and collection of the taxes to be deposited in the special tax allocation fund.

A municipality may also issue its obligations to refund in whole or in part, obligations theretofore issued by such municipality under the authority of this Act, whether at or prior to maturity, provided however, that the last maturity of the refunding obligations may not be later than the dates set forth under Section 11-74.4-3.5.

In the event a municipality issues obligations under home rule powers or other legislative authority the proceeds of which are pledged to pay for redevelopment project costs, the municipality may, if it has followed the procedures in conformance with this division, retire said obligations from funds in the special tax allocation fund in amounts and in such manner as if such obligations had been issued pursuant to the provisions of this division.

All obligations heretofore or hereafter issued pursuant to this Act shall not be regarded as indebtedness of the municipality issuing such obligations or any other taxing district for the purpose of any limitation imposed by law.

(Source: P.A. 95-15, eff. 7-16-07; 95-164, eff. 1-1-08; 95-331, eff. 8-21-07; 95-346, eff. 8-21-07; 95-459, eff. 8-27-07; 95-653, eff. 1-1-08; 95-662, eff. 10-11-07; 95-683, eff. 10-19-07; 95-709, eff. 1-29-08; 95-876, eff. 8-21-08; 95-932, eff. 8-26-08; 95-964, eff. 9-23-08; 95-977, eff. 9-22-08; 95-1028, eff. 8-25-09 (see Section 5 of P.A. 96-717 for the effective date of changes made by P.A. 95-1028); 96-328, eff. 8-11-09; 96-1000, eff. 7-2-10.)

Section 10. The School Code is amended by changing Sections 19-1 and 19-11 as follows:
(105 ILCS 5/19-1)

Sec. 19-1. Debt limitations of school districts.

(a) School districts shall not be subject to the provisions limiting their indebtedness prescribed in the Local Government Debt Limitation Act.

No school districts maintaining grades K through 8 or 9 through 12 shall become indebted in any manner or for any purpose to an amount, including existing indebtedness, in the aggregate exceeding 6.9% on the value of the taxable property therein to be ascertained by the last assessment for State and county taxes or, until January 1, 1983, if greater, the sum that is produced by multiplying the school district's 1978 equalized assessed valuation by the debt limitation percentage in effect on January 1, 1979, previous to the incurring of such indebtedness.

No school districts maintaining grades K through 12 shall become indebted in any manner or for any purpose to an amount, including existing indebtedness, in the aggregate exceeding 13.8% on the value of the taxable property therein to be ascertained by the last assessment for State and county taxes or, until January 1, 1983, if greater, the sum that is produced by multiplying the school district's 1978 equalized assessed valuation by the debt limitation percentage in effect on January 1, 1979, previous to the incurring of such indebtedness.

No partial elementary unit district, as defined in Article 11E of this Code, shall become indebted in any manner or for any purpose in an amount, including existing indebtedness, in the aggregate exceeding 6.9% of the value of the taxable property of the entire district, to be ascertained by the last assessment for State and county taxes, plus an amount, including existing indebtedness, in the aggregate exceeding 6.9% of the value of the taxable property of that portion of the district included in the elementary and high school classification, to be ascertained by the last assessment for State and county taxes. Moreover, no partial elementary unit district, as defined in Article 11E of this Code, shall become indebted on account of bonds issued by the district for high school purposes in the aggregate exceeding 6.9% of the value of the taxable property of the entire district, to be ascertained by the last assessment for State and county taxes, nor shall the district become indebted on account of bonds issued by the district for elementary purposes in the aggregate exceeding 6.9% of the value of the taxable property for that portion of the district included in the elementary and high school classification, to be ascertained by the last assessment for State and county taxes.

Notwithstanding the provisions of any other law to the contrary, in any case in which the voters of a school district have approved a proposition for the issuance of bonds of such school district at an election held prior to January 1, 1979, and all of the bonds approved at such election have not been issued, the debt limitation applicable to such school district during the calendar year 1979 shall be computed by multiplying the value of taxable property therein, including personal property, as ascertained by the last assessment for State and county taxes, previous to the incurring of such indebtedness, by the percentage limitation applicable to such school district under the provisions of this subsection (a).

(b) Notwithstanding the debt limitation prescribed in subsection (a) of this Section, additional indebtedness may be incurred in an amount not to exceed the estimated cost of acquiring or improving school sites or constructing and equipping additional building facilities under the following conditions:

(1) Whenever the enrollment of students for the next school year is estimated by the board of education to increase over the actual present enrollment by not less than 35% or by not less than 200 students or the actual present enrollment of students has increased over the previous school year by not less than 35% or by not less than 200 students and the board of education determines that additional school sites or building facilities are required as a result of such increase in enrollment; and

(2) When the Regional Superintendent of Schools having jurisdiction over the school district and the State Superintendent of Education concur in such enrollment projection or increase and approve the need for such additional school sites or building facilities and the estimated cost thereof; and

(3) When the voters in the school district approve a proposition for the issuance of

bonds for the purpose of acquiring or improving such needed school sites or constructing and equipping such needed additional building facilities at an election called and held for that purpose. Notice of such an election shall state that the amount of indebtedness proposed to be incurred would exceed the debt limitation otherwise applicable to the school district. The ballot for such proposition shall state what percentage of the equalized assessed valuation will be outstanding in bonds if the proposed issuance of bonds is approved by the voters; or

(4) Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraphs (1) through (3) of this subsection (b), if the school board determines that additional facilities are needed to provide a quality educational program and not less than 2/3 of those voting in an election called by the school board on the question approve the issuance of bonds for the construction of such facilities, the school district may issue bonds for this purpose; or

(5) Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraphs (1) through (3) of this subsection (b), if (i) the school district has previously availed itself of the provisions of paragraph (4) of this subsection (b) to enable it to issue bonds, (ii) the voters of the school district have not defeated a proposition for the issuance of bonds since the referendum described in paragraph (4) of this subsection (b) was held, (iii) the school board determines that additional facilities are needed to provide a quality educational program, and (iv) a majority of those voting in an election called by the school board on the question approve the issuance of bonds for the construction of such facilities, the school district may issue bonds for this purpose.

In no event shall the indebtedness incurred pursuant to this subsection (b) and the existing indebtedness of the school district exceed 15% of the value of the taxable property therein to be ascertained by the last assessment for State and county taxes, previous to the incurring of such indebtedness or, until January 1, 1983, if greater, the sum that is produced by multiplying the school district's 1978 equalized assessed valuation by the debt limitation percentage in effect on January 1, 1979.

The indebtedness provided for by this subsection (b) shall be in addition to and in excess of any other debt limitation.

(c) Notwithstanding the debt limitation prescribed in subsection (a) of this Section, in any case in which a public question for the issuance of bonds of a proposed school district maintaining grades kindergarten through 12 received at least 60% of the valid ballots cast on the question at an election held on or prior to November 8, 1994, and in which the bonds approved at such election have not been issued, the school district pursuant to the requirements of Section 11A-10 (now repealed) may issue the total amount of bonds approved at such election for the purpose stated in the question.

(d) Notwithstanding the debt limitation prescribed in subsection (a) of this Section, a school district that meets all the criteria set forth in paragraphs (1) and (2) of this subsection (d) may incur an additional indebtedness in an amount not to exceed \$4,500,000, even though the amount of the additional indebtedness authorized by this subsection (d), when incurred and added to the aggregate amount of indebtedness of the district existing immediately prior to the district incurring the additional indebtedness authorized by this subsection (d), causes the aggregate indebtedness of the district to exceed the debt limitation otherwise applicable to that district under subsection (a):

(1) The additional indebtedness authorized by this subsection (d) is incurred by the school district through the issuance of bonds under and in accordance with Section 17-2.11a for the purpose of replacing a school building which, because of mine subsidence damage, has been closed as provided in paragraph (2) of this subsection (d) or through the issuance of bonds under and in accordance with Section 19-3 for the purpose of increasing the size of, or providing for additional functions in, such replacement school buildings, or both such purposes.

(2) The bonds issued by the school district as provided in paragraph (1) above are issued for the purposes of construction by the school district of a new school building pursuant to Section 17-2.11, to replace an existing school building that, because of mine subsidence damage, is closed as of the end of the 1992-93 school year pursuant to action of the regional superintendent of schools of the educational service region in which the district is located under Section 3-14.22 or are issued for the purpose of increasing the size of, or providing for additional functions in, the new school building being constructed to replace a school building closed as the result of mine subsidence damage, or both such purposes.

(e) (Blank).

(f) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (a) of this Section or of any other law, bonds in not to exceed the aggregate amount of \$5,500,000 and issued by a school district meeting the following criteria shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory limitation and may be issued in an amount or amounts, including existing indebtedness, in excess of any heretofore or hereafter imposed statutory limitation as to indebtedness:

(1) At the time of the sale of such bonds, the board of education of the district shall have determined by resolution that the enrollment of students in the district is projected to increase by not less than 7% during each of the next succeeding 2 school years.

(2) The board of education shall also determine by resolution that the improvements to be financed with the proceeds of the bonds are needed because of the projected enrollment increases.

(3) The board of education shall also determine by resolution that the projected increases in enrollment are the result of improvements made or expected to be made to passenger rail facilities located in the school district.

Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (a) of this Section or of any other law, a school district that has availed itself of the provisions of this subsection (f) prior to July 22, 2004 (the effective date of Public Act 93-799) may also issue bonds approved by referendum up to an amount, including existing indebtedness, not exceeding 25% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property in the district if all of the conditions set forth in items (1), (2), and (3) of this subsection (f) are met.

(g) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (a) of this Section or any other law, bonds in not to exceed an aggregate amount of 25% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property of a school district and issued by a school district meeting the criteria in paragraphs (i) through (iv) of this subsection shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory limitation and may be issued pursuant to resolution of the school board in an amount or amounts, including existing indebtedness, in excess of any statutory limitation of indebtedness heretofore or hereafter imposed:

(i) The bonds are issued for the purpose of constructing a new high school building to replace two adjacent existing buildings which together house a single high school, each of which is more than 65 years old, and which together are located on more than 10 acres and less than 11 acres of property.

(ii) At the time the resolution authorizing the issuance of the bonds is adopted, the cost of constructing a new school building to replace the existing school building is less than 60% of the cost of repairing the existing school building.

(iii) The sale of the bonds occurs before July 1, 1997.

(iv) The school district issuing the bonds is a unit school district located in a county of less than 70,000 and more than 50,000 inhabitants, which has an average daily attendance of less than 1,500 and an equalized assessed valuation of less than \$29,000,000.

(h) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Section or the provisions of any other law, until January 1, 1998, a community unit school district maintaining grades K through 12 may issue bonds up to an amount, including existing indebtedness, not exceeding 27.6% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property in the district, if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) The school district has an equalized assessed valuation for calendar year 1995 of less than \$24,000,000;

(ii) The bonds are issued for the capital improvement, renovation, rehabilitation, or replacement of existing school buildings of the district, all of which buildings were originally constructed not less than 40 years ago;

(iii) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the issuance of the bonds at a referendum held after March 19, 1996; and

(iv) The bonds are issued pursuant to Sections 19-2 through 19-7 of this Code.

(i) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Section or the provisions of any other law, until January 1, 1998, a community unit school district maintaining grades K through 12 may issue bonds up to an amount, including existing indebtedness, not exceeding 27% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property in the district, if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) The school district has an equalized assessed valuation for calendar year 1995 of less than \$44,600,000;

(ii) The bonds are issued for the capital improvement, renovation, rehabilitation, or replacement of existing school buildings of the district, all of which existing buildings were originally constructed not less than 80 years ago;

(iii) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the issuance of the bonds at a referendum held after December 31, 1996; and

(iv) The bonds are issued pursuant to Sections 19-2 through 19-7 of this Code.

(j) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Section or the provisions of any other law, until January 1, 1999, a community unit school district maintaining grades K through 12 may issue bonds up to an amount, including existing indebtedness, not exceeding 27% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property in the district if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) The school district has an equalized assessed valuation for calendar year 1995 of

less than \$140,000,000 and a best 3 months average daily attendance for the 1995-96 school year of at least 2,800;

(ii) The bonds are issued to purchase a site and build and equip a new high school, and the school district's existing high school was originally constructed not less than 35 years prior to the sale of the bonds;

(iii) At the time of the sale of the bonds, the board of education determines by resolution that a new high school is needed because of projected enrollment increases;

(iv) At least 60% of those voting in an election held after December 31, 1996 approve a proposition for the issuance of the bonds; and

(v) The bonds are issued pursuant to Sections 19-2 through 19-7 of this Code.

(k) Notwithstanding the debt limitation prescribed in subsection (a) of this Section, a school district that meets all the criteria set forth in paragraphs (1) through (4) of this subsection (k) may issue bonds to incur an additional indebtedness in an amount not to exceed \$4,000,000 even though the amount of the additional indebtedness authorized by this subsection (k), when incurred and added to the aggregate amount of indebtedness of the school district existing immediately prior to the school district incurring such additional indebtedness, causes the aggregate indebtedness of the school district to exceed or increases the amount by which the aggregate indebtedness of the district already exceeds the debt limitation otherwise applicable to that school district under subsection (a):

(1) the school district is located in 2 counties, and a referendum to authorize the additional indebtedness was approved by a majority of the voters of the school district voting on the proposition to authorize that indebtedness;

(2) the additional indebtedness is for the purpose of financing a multi-purpose room addition to the existing high school;

(3) the additional indebtedness, together with the existing indebtedness of the school district, shall not exceed 17.4% of the value of the taxable property in the school district, to be ascertained by the last assessment for State and county taxes; and

(4) the bonds evidencing the additional indebtedness are issued, if at all, within 120 days of August 14, 1998 (the effective date of Public Act 90-757).

(l) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Section or the provisions of any other law, until January 1, 2000, a school district maintaining grades kindergarten through 8 may issue bonds up to an amount, including existing indebtedness, not exceeding 15% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property in the district if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) the district has an equalized assessed valuation for calendar year 1996 of less than \$10,000,000;

(ii) the bonds are issued for capital improvement, renovation, rehabilitation, or replacement of one or more school buildings of the district, which buildings were originally constructed not less than 70 years ago;

(iii) the voters of the district approve a proposition for the issuance of the bonds at a referendum held on or after March 17, 1998; and

(iv) the bonds are issued pursuant to Sections 19-2 through 19-7 of this Code.

(m) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Section or the provisions of any other law, until January 1, 1999, an elementary school district maintaining grades K through 8 may issue bonds up to an amount, excluding existing indebtedness, not exceeding 18% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property in the district, if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) The school district has an equalized assessed valuation for calendar year 1995 or less than \$7,700,000;

(ii) The school district operates 2 elementary attendance centers that until 1976 were operated as the attendance centers of 2 separate and distinct school districts;

(iii) The bonds are issued for the construction of a new elementary school building to replace an existing multi-level elementary school building of the school district that is not accessible at all levels and parts of which were constructed more than 75 years ago;

(iv) The voters of the school district approve a proposition for the issuance of the bonds at a referendum held after July 1, 1998; and

(v) The bonds are issued pursuant to Sections 19-2 through 19-7 of this Code.

(n) Notwithstanding the debt limitation prescribed in subsection (a) of this Section or any other provisions of this Section or of any other law, a school district that meets all of the criteria set forth in paragraphs (i) through (vi) of this subsection (n) may incur additional indebtedness by the issuance of bonds in an amount not exceeding the amount certified by the Capital Development Board to the school district as provided in paragraph (iii) of this subsection (n), even though the amount of the additional

indebtedness so authorized, when incurred and added to the aggregate amount of indebtedness of the district existing immediately prior to the district incurring the additional indebtedness authorized by this subsection (n), causes the aggregate indebtedness of the district to exceed the debt limitation otherwise applicable by law to that district:

- (i) The school district applies to the State Board of Education for a school construction project grant and submits a district facilities plan in support of its application pursuant to Section 5-20 of the School Construction Law.
- (ii) The school district's application and facilities plan are approved by, and the district receives a grant entitlement for a school construction project issued by, the State Board of Education under the School Construction Law.
- (iii) The school district has exhausted its bonding capacity or the unused bonding capacity of the district is less than the amount certified by the Capital Development Board to the district under Section 5-15 of the School Construction Law as the dollar amount of the school construction project's cost that the district will be required to finance with non-grant funds in order to receive a school construction project grant under the School Construction Law.
- (iv) The bonds are issued for a "school construction project", as that term is defined in Section 5-5 of the School Construction Law, in an amount that does not exceed the dollar amount certified, as provided in paragraph (iii) of this subsection (n), by the Capital Development Board to the school district under Section 5-15 of the School Construction Law.
- (v) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the issuance of the bonds at a referendum held after the criteria specified in paragraphs (i) and (iii) of this subsection (n) are met.
- (vi) The bonds are issued pursuant to Sections 19-2 through 19-7 of the School Code.
- (o) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Section or the provisions of any other law, until November 1, 2007, a community unit school district maintaining grades K through 12 may issue bonds up to an amount, including existing indebtedness, not exceeding 20% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property in the district if all of the following conditions are met:
 - (i) the school district has an equalized assessed valuation for calendar year 2001 of at least \$737,000,000 and an enrollment for the 2002-2003 school year of at least 8,500;
 - (ii) the bonds are issued to purchase school sites, build and equip a new high school, build and equip a new junior high school, build and equip 5 new elementary schools, and make technology and other improvements and additions to existing schools;
 - (iii) at the time of the sale of the bonds, the board of education determines by resolution that the sites and new or improved facilities are needed because of projected enrollment increases;
 - (iv) at least 57% of those voting in a general election held prior to January 1, 2003 approved a proposition for the issuance of the bonds; and
 - (v) the bonds are issued pursuant to Sections 19-2 through 19-7 of this Code.
- (p) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Section or the provisions of any other law, a community unit school district maintaining grades K through 12 may issue bonds up to an amount, including indebtedness, not exceeding 27% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property in the district if all of the following conditions are met:
 - (i) The school district has an equalized assessed valuation for calendar year 2001 of at least \$295,741,187 and a best 3 months' average daily attendance for the 2002-2003 school year of at least 2,394.
 - (ii) The bonds are issued to build and equip 3 elementary school buildings; build and equip one middle school building; and alter, repair, improve, and equip all existing school buildings in the district.
 - (iii) At the time of the sale of the bonds, the board of education determines by resolution that the project is needed because of expanding growth in the school district and a projected enrollment increase.
 - (iv) The bonds are issued pursuant to Sections 19-2 through 19-7 of this Code.
- (p-5) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Section or the provisions of any other law, bonds issued by a community unit school district maintaining grades K through 12 shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory limitation and may be issued in an amount or amounts, including existing indebtedness, in excess of any heretofore or hereafter imposed statutory limitation as to indebtedness, if all of the following conditions are met:
 - (i) For each of the 4 most recent years, residential property comprises more than 80% of the equalized assessed valuation of the district.
 - (ii) At least 2 school buildings that were constructed 40 or more years prior to the

issuance of the bonds will be demolished and will be replaced by new buildings or additions to one or more existing buildings.

(iii) Voters of the district approve a proposition for the issuance of the bonds at a regularly scheduled election.

(iv) At the time of the sale of the bonds, the school board determines by resolution that the new buildings or building additions are needed because of an increase in enrollment projected by the school board.

(v) The principal amount of the bonds, including existing indebtedness, does not exceed 25% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property in the district.

(vi) The bonds are issued prior to January 1, 2007, pursuant to Sections 19-2 through 19-7 of this Code.

(p-10) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Section or the provisions of any other law, bonds issued by a community consolidated school district maintaining grades K through 8 shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory limitation and may be issued in an amount or amounts, including existing indebtedness, in excess of any heretofore or hereafter imposed statutory limitation as to indebtedness, if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) For each of the 4 most recent years, residential and farm property comprises more than 80% of the equalized assessed valuation of the district.

(ii) The bond proceeds are to be used to acquire and improve school sites and build and equip a school building.

(iii) Voters of the district approve a proposition for the issuance of the bonds at a regularly scheduled election.

(iv) At the time of the sale of the bonds, the school board determines by resolution that the school sites and building additions are needed because of an increase in enrollment projected by the school board.

(v) The principal amount of the bonds, including existing indebtedness, does not exceed 20% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property in the district.

(vi) The bonds are issued prior to January 1, 2007, pursuant to Sections 19-2 through 19-7 of this Code.

(p-15) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, the Oswego Community Unit School District Number 308 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$450,000,000, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) The voters of the district have approved a proposition for the bond issue at the general election held on November 7, 2006.

(ii) At the time of the sale of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that: (A) the building and equipping of the new high school building, new junior high school buildings, new elementary school buildings, early childhood building, maintenance building, transportation facility, and additions to existing school buildings, the altering, repairing, equipping, and provision of technology improvements to existing school buildings, and the acquisition and improvement of school sites, as the case may be, are required as a result of a projected increase in the enrollment of students in the district; and (B) the sale of bonds for these purposes is authorized by legislation that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(iii) The bonds are issued, in one or more bond issues, on or before November 7, 2011, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issues combined must not exceed \$450,000,000.

(iv) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article 19.

(v) The proceeds of the bonds are used only to accomplish those projects approved by the voters at the general election held on November 7, 2006.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-15) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation.

(p-20) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, the Lincoln-Way Community High School District Number 210 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$225,000,000, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) The voters of the district have approved a proposition for the bond issue at the general primary election held on March 21, 2006.

(ii) At the time of the sale of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that: (A) the building and equipping of the new high school buildings, the altering, repairing, and equipping of existing school buildings, and the improvement of school sites, as the case may be, are required as a result of a projected increase in the enrollment of students in the district; and (B) the sale

of bonds for these purposes is authorized by legislation that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(iii) The bonds are issued, in one or more bond issues, on or before March 21, 2011, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issues combined must not exceed \$225,000,000.

(iv) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article 19.

(v) The proceeds of the bonds are used only to accomplish those projects approved by the voters at the primary election held on March 21, 2006.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-20) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation.

(p-25) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Rochester Community Unit School District 3A may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$18,500,000, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the bond issuance at the general primary election held in 2008.

(ii) At the time of the sale of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that: (A) the building and equipping of a new high school building; the addition of classrooms and support facilities at the high school, middle school, and elementary school; the altering, repairing, and equipping of existing school buildings; and the improvement of school sites, as the case may be, are required as a result of a projected increase in the enrollment of students in the district; and (B) the sale of bonds for these purposes is authorized by a law that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(iii) The bonds are issued, in one or more bond issues, on or before December 31, 2012, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issues combined must not exceed \$18,500,000.

(iv) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article 19.

(v) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at the primary election held in 2008.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-25) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation.

(p-30) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Prairie Grove Consolidated School District 46 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$30,000,000, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the bond issuance at an election held in 2008.

(ii) At the time of the sale of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that (A) the building and equipping of a new school building and additions to existing school buildings are required as a result of a projected increase in the enrollment of students in the district and (B) the altering, repairing, and equipping of existing school buildings are required because of the age of the existing school buildings.

(iii) The bonds are issued, in one or more bond issuances, on or before December 31, 2012; however, the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$30,000,000.

(iv) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(v) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at an election held in 2008.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-30) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation.

(p-35) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Prairie Hill Community Consolidated School District 133 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$13,900,000, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) The voters of the district approved a proposition for the bond issuance at an election held on April 17, 2007.

(ii) At the time of the sale of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that (A) the improvement of the site of and the building and equipping of a school building are required as a result of a projected increase in the enrollment of students in the district and (B) the repairing and equipping of the Prairie Hill Elementary School building is required because of the age of that school building.

(iii) The bonds are issued, in one or more bond issuances, on or before December 31,

2011, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$13,900,000.

(iv) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(v) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at an election held on April 17, 2007.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-35) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation.

(p-40) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Mascoutah Community Unit District 19 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$55,000,000, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(1) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the bond issuance at a regular election held on or after November 4, 2008.

(2) At the time of the sale of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that (i) the building and equipping of a new high school building is required as a result of a projected increase in the enrollment of students in the district and the age and condition of the existing high school building, (ii) the existing high school building will be demolished, and (iii) the sale of bonds is authorized by statute that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(3) The bonds are issued, in one or more bond issuances, on or before December 31, 2011, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$55,000,000.

(4) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(5) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at a regular election held on or after November 4, 2008.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-40) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation.

(p-45) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (a) of this Section or of any other law, bonds issued pursuant to Section 19-3.5 of this Code shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory limitation if the bonds are issued in an amount or amounts, including existing indebtedness of the school district, not in excess of 18.5% of the value of the taxable property in the district to be ascertained by the last assessment for State and county taxes.

(p-50) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (a) of this Section or of any other law, bonds issued pursuant to Section 19-3.10 of this Code shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory limitation if the bonds are issued in an amount or amounts, including existing indebtedness of the school district, not in excess of 43% of the value of the taxable property in the district to be ascertained by the last assessment for State and county taxes.

(p-55) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Belle Valley School District 119 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$47,500,000, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(1) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the bond issuance at an election held on or after April 7, 2009.

(2) Prior to the issuance of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that

(i) the building and equipping of a new school building is required as a result of mine subsidence in an existing school building and because of the age and condition of another existing school building and (ii) the issuance of bonds is authorized by statute that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(3) The bonds are issued, in one or more bond issuances, on or before March 31, 2014, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$47,500,000.

(4) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(5) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at an election held on or after April 7, 2009.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-55) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation. Bonds issued under this subsection (p-55) must mature within not to exceed 30 years from their date, notwithstanding any other law to the contrary.

(p-60) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Wilmington Community Unit School District Number 209-U may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$2,285,000, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(1) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the

voters at the general primary election held on March 21, 2006.

(2) Prior to the issuance of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that (i) the projects approved by the voters were and are required because of the age and condition of the school district's prior and existing school buildings and (ii) the issuance of the bonds is authorized by legislation that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(3) The bonds are issued in one or more bond issuances on or before March 1, 2011, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all those bond issuances combined must not exceed \$2,285,000.

(4) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-60) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation.

(p-65) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, West Washington County Community Unit School District 10 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$32,200,000 and maturing over a period not exceeding 25 years, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(1) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the bond issuance at an election held on or after February 2, 2010.

(2) Prior to the issuance of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that (A) all or a portion of the existing Okawville Junior/Senior High School Building will be demolished; (B) the building and equipping of a new school building to be attached to and the alteration, repair, and equipping of the remaining portion of the Okawville Junior/Senior High School Building is required because of the age and current condition of that school building; and (C) the issuance of bonds is authorized by a statute that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(3) The bonds are issued, in one or more bond issuances, on or before March 31, 2014, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$32,200,000.

(4) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(5) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at an election held on or after February 2, 2010.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-65) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation.

(p-70) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Cahokia Community Unit School District 187 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$50,000,000, but only if all the following conditions are met:

(1) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the bond issuance at an election held on or after November 2, 2010.

(2) Prior to the issuance of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that (i) the building and equipping of a new school building is required as a result of the age and condition of an existing school building and (ii) the issuance of bonds is authorized by a statute that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(3) The bonds are issued, in one or more issuances, on or before July 1, 2016, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$50,000,000.

(4) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(5) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at an election held on or after November 2, 2010.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-70) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation. Bonds issued under this subsection (p-70) must mature within not to exceed 25 years from their date, notwithstanding any other law, including Section 19-3 of this Code, to the contrary.

(p-75) Notwithstanding the debt limitation prescribed in subsection (a) of this Section or any other provisions of this Section or of any other law, the execution of leases on or after January 1, 2007 and before July 1, 2011 by the Board of Education of Peoria School District 150 with a public building commission for leases entered into pursuant to the Public Building Commission Act shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation.

This subsection (p-75) applies only if the State Board of Education or the Capital Development Board makes one or more grants to Peoria School District 150 pursuant to the School Construction Law. The amount exempted from the debt limitation as prescribed in this subsection (p-75) shall be no greater than the amount of one or more grants awarded to Peoria School District 150 by the State Board of Education or the Capital Development Board.

[June 27, 2017]

(p-80) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Ridgeland School District 122 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$50,000,000 for the purpose of refunding or continuing to refund bonds originally issued pursuant to voter approval at the general election held on November 7, 2000, and the debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-80) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation. Bonds issued under this subsection (p-80) may be issued in one or more issuances and must mature within not to exceed 25 years from their date, notwithstanding any other law, including Section 19-3 of this Code, to the contrary.

(p-85) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Hall High School District 502 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$32,000,000, but only if all the following conditions are met:

(1) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the bond issuance at an election held on or after April 9, 2013.

(2) Prior to the issuance of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that (i) the building and equipping of a new school building is required as a result of the age and condition of an existing school building, (ii) the existing school building should be demolished in its entirety or the existing school building should be demolished except for the 1914 west wing of the building, and (iii) the issuance of bonds is authorized by a statute that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(3) The bonds are issued, in one or more issuances, not later than 5 years after the date of the referendum approving the issuance of the bonds, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$32,000,000.

(4) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(5) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at an election held on or after April 9, 2013.

For purposes of any bonds issued under this subsection (p-85) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation. Bonds issued under this subsection (p-85) must mature within not to exceed 30 years from their date, notwithstanding any other law, including Section 19-3 of this Code, to the contrary.

(p-90) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Lebanon Community Unit School District 9 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$7,500,000, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(1) The voters of the district approved a proposition for the bond issuance at the general primary election on February 2, 2010.

(2) At or prior to the time of the sale of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that (i) the building and equipping of a new elementary school building is required as a result of a projected increase in the enrollment of students in the district and the age and condition of the existing Lebanon Elementary School building, (ii) a portion of the existing Lebanon Elementary School building will be demolished and the remaining portion will be altered, repaired, and equipped, and (iii) the sale of bonds is authorized by a statute that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(3) The bonds are issued, in one or more bond issuances, on or before April 1, 2014, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$7,500,000.

(4) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(5) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at the general primary election held on February 2, 2010.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-90) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation.

(p-95) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Monticello Community Unit School District 25 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$35,000,000, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(1) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the bond issuance at an election held on or after November 4, 2014.

(2) Prior to the issuance of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that

(i) the building and equipping of a new school building is required as a result of the age and condition of an existing school building and (ii) the issuance of bonds is authorized by a statute that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(3) The bonds are issued, in one or more issuances, on or before July 1, 2020, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$35,000,000.

(4) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(5) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at an election held on or after November 4, 2014.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-95) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation. Bonds issued under this subsection (p-95) must mature within not to exceed 25 years from their date, notwithstanding any other law, including Section 19-3 of this Code, to the contrary.

(p-100) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, the community unit school district created in the territory comprising Milford Community Consolidated School District 280 and Milford Township High School District 233, as approved at the general primary election held on March 18, 2014, may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$17,500,000, but only if all the following conditions are met:

(1) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the bond issuance at an election held on or after November 4, 2014.

(2) Prior to the issuance of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that

(i) the building and equipping of a new school building is required as a result of the age and condition of an existing school building and (ii) the issuance of bonds is authorized by a statute that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(3) The bonds are issued, in one or more issuances, on or before July 1, 2020, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$17,500,000.

(4) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(5) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at an election held on or after November 4, 2014.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-100) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation. Bonds issued under this subsection (p-100) must mature within not to exceed 25 years from their date, notwithstanding any other law, including Section 19-3 of this Code, to the contrary.

(p-105) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, North Shore School District 112 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$150,000,000, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(1) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the bond issuance at an election held on or after March 15, 2016.

(2) Prior to the issuance of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that

(i) the building and equipping of new buildings and improving the sites thereof and the building and equipping of additions to, altering, repairing, equipping, and renovating existing buildings and improving the sites thereof are required as a result of the age and condition of the district's existing buildings and (ii) the issuance of bonds is authorized by a statute that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(3) The bonds are issued, in one or more issuances, not later than 5 years after the date of the referendum approving the issuance of the bonds, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$150,000,000.

(4) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(5) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at an election held on or after March 15, 2016.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-105) and on any bonds issued to refund or continue to refund such bonds shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation. Bonds issued under this subsection (p-105) and any bonds issued to refund or continue to refund such bonds must mature within not to exceed 30 years from their date, notwithstanding any other law, including Section 19-3 of this Code, to the contrary.

(p-110) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Sandoval Community Unit School District 501 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$2,000,000, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(1) The voters of the district approved a proposition for the bond issuance at an election held on March 20, 2012.

(2) Prior to the issuance of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution,

that (i) the building and equipping of a new school building is required because of the age and current condition of the Sandoval Elementary School building and (ii) the issuance of bonds is authorized by a statute that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(3) The bonds are issued, in one or more bond issuances, on or before March 19, 2022,

but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$2,000,000.

(4) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(5) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at the election held on March 20, 2012.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-110) and on any bonds issued to refund or continue to refund the bonds shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation.

(p-115) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Bureau Valley Community Unit School District 340 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$25,000,000, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(1) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the bond issuance at an election held on or after March 15, 2016.

(2) Prior to the issuances of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that (i) the renovating and equipping of some existing school buildings, the building and equipping of new school buildings, and the demolishing of some existing school buildings are required as a result of the age and condition of existing school buildings and (ii) the issuance of bonds is authorized by a statute that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(3) The bonds are issued, in one or more issuances, on or before July 1, 2021, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$25,000,000.

(4) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(5) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at an election held on or after March 15, 2016.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-115) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation. Bonds issued under this subsection (p-115) must mature within not to exceed 30 years from their date, notwithstanding any other law, including Section 19-3 of this Code, to the contrary.

(p-120) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Paxton-Buckley-Loda Community Unit School District 10 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$28,500,000, but only if all the following conditions are met:

(1) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the bond issuance at an election held on or after November 8, 2016.

(2) Prior to the issuance of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that (i) the projects as described in said proposition, relating to the building and equipping of one or more school buildings or additions to existing school buildings, are required as a result of the age and condition of the District's existing buildings and (ii) the issuance of bonds is authorized by a statute that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(3) The bonds are issued, in one or more issuances, not later than 5 years after the date of the referendum approving the issuance of the bonds, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$28,500,000.

(4) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(5) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at an election held on or after November 8, 2016.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-120) and on any bonds issued to refund or continue to refund such bonds shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation. Bonds issued under this subsection (p-120) and any bonds issued to refund or continue to refund such bonds must mature within not to exceed 25 years from their date, notwithstanding any other law, including Section 19-3 of this Code, to the contrary.

(p-125) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Hillsboro Community Unit School District 3 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$34,500,000, but only if all the following conditions are met:

(1) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the bond issuance at an election held on or after March 15, 2016.

(2) Prior to the issuance of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that (i) altering, repairing, and equipping the high school agricultural/vocational building, demolishing the high school main, cafeteria, and gym buildings, building and equipping a school building, and improving sites are required as a result of the age and condition of the district's existing buildings and (ii) the issuance of bonds is authorized by a statute that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(3) The bonds are issued, in one or more issuances, not later than 5 years after the date of the referendum approving the issuance of the bonds, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$34,500,000.

(4) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(5) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at an election held on or after March 15, 2016.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-125) and on any bonds issued to refund or continue to refund such bonds shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation. Bonds issued under this subsection (p-125) and any bonds issued to refund or continue to refund such bonds must mature within not to exceed 25 years from their date, notwithstanding any other law, including Section 19-3 of this Code, to the contrary.

(p-130) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Waltham Community Consolidated School District 185 may incur indebtedness in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$9,500,000 to build and equip a new school building and improve the site thereof, but only if all the following conditions are met:

(1) A majority of the voters of the district voting on an advisory question voted in favor of the question regarding the use of funding sources to build a new school building without increasing property tax rates at the general election held on November 8, 2016.

(2) Prior to incurring the debt, the school board enters into intergovernmental agreements with the City of LaSalle to pledge moneys in a special tax allocation fund associated with tax increment financing districts LaSalle I and LaSalle III and with the Village of Utica to pledge moneys in a special tax allocation fund associated with tax increment financing district Utica I for the purposes of repaying the debt issued pursuant to this subsection (p-130). Notwithstanding any other provision of law to the contrary, the intergovernmental agreement may extend these tax increment financing districts as necessary to ensure repayment of the debt.

(3) Prior to incurring the debt, the school board determines, by resolution, that (i) the building and equipping of a new school building is required as a result of the age and condition of the district's existing buildings and (ii) the debt is authorized by a statute that exempts the debt from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(4) The debt is incurred, in one or more issuances, not later than January 1, 2021, and the aggregate principal amount of debt issued in all such issuances combined must not exceed \$9,500,000.

The debt incurred under this subsection (p-130) and on any bonds issued to pay, refund, or continue to refund such debt shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation. Debt issued under this subsection (p-130) and any bonds issued to pay, refund, or continue to refund such debt must mature within not to exceed 25 years from their date, notwithstanding any other law, including Section 19-11 of this Code and subsection (b) of Section 17 of the Local Government Debt Reform Act, to the contrary.

(q) A school district must notify the State Board of Education prior to issuing any form of long-term or short-term debt that will result in outstanding debt that exceeds 75% of the debt limit specified in this Section or any other provision of law.

(Source: P.A. 98-617, eff. 1-7-14; 98-912, eff. 8-15-14; 98-916, eff. 8-15-14; 99-78, eff. 7-20-15; 99-143, eff. 7-27-15; 99-390, eff. 8-18-15; 99-642, eff. 7-28-16; 99-735, eff. 8-5-16; 99-926, eff. 1-20-17.)
(105 ILCS 5/19-11) (from Ch. 122, par. 19-11)

Sec. 19-11. Amount of indebtedness - Interest and maturity. Any district which has complied with Section 19-9 and which is authorized to issue bonds under Sections 19-8, 19-9 and 19-10 shall adopt a resolution specifying the amount of indebtedness to be funded, whether for the purpose of paying claims, or for paying teachers' orders, or for paying liabilities or obligations imposed on any district resulting from the division of assets as provided by Article 7 of this Act or Article 5 of this Act as it existed prior to July 1, 1952. The resolution shall set forth the date, denomination, rate of interest and maturities of the bonds, fix all details with respect to the issue and execution thereof, and provide for the levy of a tax sufficient to pay both principal and interest of the bonds as they mature. The bonds shall bear interest at a rate not to exceed the maximum rate authorized by the Bond Authorization Act, as amended at the time of the making of the contract, payable annually or semi-annually, as the governing body may determine, and mature in not more than 20 years from the date thereof or as otherwise authorized by law.

With respect to instruments for the payment of money issued under this Section either before, on, or after the effective date of this amendatory Act of 1989, it is and always has been the intention of the General Assembly (i) that the Omnibus Bond Acts are and always have been supplementary grants of power to issue instruments in accordance with the Omnibus Bond Acts, regardless of any provision of this Act that may appear to be or to have been more restrictive than those Acts, (ii) that the provisions of this

Section are not a limitation on the supplementary authority granted by the Omnibus Bond Acts, and (iii) that instruments issued under this Section within the supplementary authority granted by the Omnibus Bond Acts are not invalid because of any provision of this Act that may appear to be or to have been more restrictive than those Acts.

(Source: P.A. 86-4.)

Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect upon becoming law."

Under the rules, the foregoing **Senate Bill No. 1290**, with House Amendments numbered 1 and 2, was referred to the Secretary's Desk.

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to-wit:

SENATE BILL NO. 321

A bill for AN ACT concerning regulation.

Passed the House, June 26, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of the following joint resolution, to-wit:

SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 10

Together with the attached amendment thereto, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

House Amendment No. 1 to **SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 10**

Passed by the House, June 26, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 10

HOUSE AMENDMENT NO. 1

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION 10

AMENDMENT NO. 1. Amend Senate Joint Resolution 10 as follows:

on page 3, line 14, after "Out-of-School", by inserting "within the Department of Human Services"; and

on page 5, line 9, by deleting "and"; and

on page 5, line 18, by deleting "be it further"; and

on page 5, in between lines 18 and 19, by inserting the following:

"(12) The Lieutenant Governor or his or her designee; and be it further".

Under the rules, the foregoing **Senate Joint Resolution No. 10**, with House Amendment No. 1, was referred to the Secretary's Desk.

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of the following joint resolution, to-wit:

SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 2

Concurred in by the House, June 26, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

[June 27, 2017]

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of the following joint resolution, to-wit:

SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 16

Concurred in by the House, June 26, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of the following joint resolution, to-wit:

SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 20

Concurred in by the House, June 26, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of the following joint resolution, to-wit:

SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 37

Concurred in by the House, June 26, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has adopted the following joint resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 17

WHEREAS, It is appropriate and right to honor those great American figures who have served our nation in public office; and

WHEREAS, President Barack Hussein Obama is the pride of Chicago and Illinois; he began his professional life in Chicago as a community organizer; he attended Harvard Law School, where he was the first African American president of the Harvard Law Review; he taught constitutional law at the University of Chicago Law School for 12 years; and

WHEREAS, President Obama represented the 13th Senate District in the Illinois Senate from 1997 to 2004; he garnered respect and passed multiple progressive bipartisan legislation; and

WHEREAS, President Obama was elected United States Senator from Illinois with a strong mandate of 70% of the vote; his bid for the presidency was a similar success, creating an impressive coalition and making history as the first African American president; and

WHEREAS, As President, Barack Obama was given command of the federal government during the most troubling months of the worst economic recession since the Great Depression and brought the country back from the brink of financial free-fall; he signed into law the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act which saved the nation from the worst of the recession's effects; he also signed into law the Dodd-Frank financial institution regulations in order to help the nation avoid another economic catastrophe; and

[June 27, 2017]

WHEREAS, President Obama reduced the number of U.S. troops committed internationally; he signed the Paris Agreement and negotiated a successful Iran nuclear deal; he oversaw the opening of relations between the U.S. and Cuba; he served the United States with integrity and distinction and improved the image of the U.S. abroad; and

WHEREAS, President Obama fought for and signed the Affordable Care Act, providing healthcare to millions and protecting millions more from being denied for pre-existing conditions; he also urged the Supreme Court to strike down same-sex marriage bans and he repealed the "don't ask don't tell" policy; and

WHEREAS, President Obama served with distinction and dignity and the Illinois General Assembly would like to preserve his honor for generations to come; therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE ONE HUNDREDTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE SENATE CONCURRING HEREIN, that we designate the entirety of Interstate 294 of the Dwight D. Eisenhower System of Interstate and Defense Highways as the "President Barack Obama Tollway" in recognition of President Barack Obama's dedication to public service as an Illinois State Senator, U.S. Senator from Illinois, and President of the United States of America; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Illinois State Toll Highway Authority is requested to erect at suitable locations, consistent with State and federal regulations, appropriate plaques or signs giving notice of the name "President Barack Obama Tollway"; and be it further

RESOLVED, That suitable copies of this resolution will be distributed to the Director of the Illinois State Toll Highway Authority and President Barack Obama.

Adopted by the House, June 26, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

The foregoing message from the House of Representatives reporting House Joint Resolution No. 17 was referred to the Committee on Assignments.

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has adopted the following joint resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 36

WHEREAS, President Barack H. Obama served as the 44th President of the United States of America from January 20, 2009 to January 20, 2017; he was the first African American elected to the American Presidency, and the first President born out of the continental United States; and

WHEREAS, President Obama attended Occidental College in Los Angeles, California and graduated from Columbia University in New York in 1983; he attended Harvard Law School, where he was the first African American editor of the Harvard Law Review; he taught constitutional law at the University of Chicago Law School for 12 years and served the City of Chicago as a community organizer; and

WHEREAS, President Obama represented the 13th Senate District in the Illinois Senate from 1997 to 2004; and

WHEREAS, President Obama was elected to represent Illinois in the United States Senate in 2004 with over 70% of the vote; and

[June 27, 2017]

WHEREAS, President Obama was elected President in 2008 with just under 53% of the popular vote; he was re-elected in 2012; and

WHEREAS, As President, President Obama signed into law the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, which saved the nation from the worst of effects of the Great Recession; he also signed into law the Dodd-Frank financial institution regulations to help the nation avoid another economic catastrophe; and

WHEREAS, President Obama has been a progressive leader on numerous issues including, domestic policy, LGBTQ rights, women's rights, healthcare, economic policy, environmental policy, energy, gun control, internet policy, and foreign policy; and

WHEREAS, President Obama reduced the number of U.S. troops committed internationally; he signed the Paris Agreement and negotiated a successful nuclear deal with Iran; he oversaw the opening of relations between the U.S. and Cuba; and

WHEREAS, President Obama signed the Affordable Care Act, providing healthcare to millions and protecting millions more from being denied for pre-existing conditions; and

WHEREAS, President Obama was awarded the 2009 Nobel Peace Prize for his extraordinary efforts to strengthen international diplomacy and cooperation between people; and

WHEREAS, The Barack Obama Presidential Center will be hosted by the University of Chicago and will be located in Jackson Park on the South Side of Chicago; once completed it will become the 14th site in the National Archives and Records Administration presidential library system; therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE ONE HUNDREDTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE SENATE CONCURRING HEREIN, that we designate Interstate 55 as it travels from the Tri-State Tollway to East St. Louis as the "Barack Obama Presidential Expressway"; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Illinois Department of Transportation is requested to erect at suitable locations, consistent with State and federal regulations, appropriate plaques or signs giving notice of the name "Barack Obama Presidential Expressway"; and be it further

RESOLVED, That suitable copies of this resolution be presented to President Obama and the Secretary of the Department of Transportation.

Adopted by the House, June 26, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

The foregoing message from the House of Representatives reporting House Joint Resolution No. 36 was referred to the Committee on Assignments.

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed bills of the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL NO. 3185

A bill for AN ACT concerning education.

HOUSE BILL NO. 3792

A bill for AN ACT concerning education.

Passed the House, June 26, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

The foregoing **House Bills Numbered 3185 and 3792** were taken up, ordered printed and placed on first reading.

[June 27, 2017]

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 1542

A bill for AN ACT concerning civil law.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 1542

Concurred in by the House, June 26, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendments to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 2527

A bill for AN ACT concerning education.

Which amendments are as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 2527

Senate Amendment No. 2 to HOUSE BILL NO. 2527

Concurred in by the House, June 27, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 2589

A bill for AN ACT concerning criminal law.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 2589

Concurred in by the House, June 27, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 2810

A bill for AN ACT concerning animals.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to HOUSE BILL NO. 2810

Concurred in by the House, June 27, 2017.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendments to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 3449

A bill for AN ACT concerning business.

Which amendments are as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 4 to HOUSE BILL NO. 3449

Senate Amendment No. 5 to HOUSE BILL NO. 3449

Concurred in by the House, June 27, 2017.

[June 27, 2017]

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

JOINT ACTION MOTIONS FILED

The following Joint Action Motions to the Senate Bill listed below have been filed with the Secretary and referred to the Committee on Assignments:

Motion to Concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1290
Motion to Concur in House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1290

The following Joint Action Motion to the House Bill listed below has been filed with the Secretary and referred to the Committee on Assignments:

Motion to Recede from Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 763

READING BILLS FROM THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES A FIRST TIME

House Bill No. 3185, sponsored by Senator Bennett, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Assignments.

House Bill No. 3792, sponsored by Senator Harmon, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Assignments.

At the hour of 3:24 o'clock p.m., pursuant to **House Joint Resolution No. 65**, the Chair announced the Senate stand adjourned until the call of the President.