

University of Illinois
Auxiliary Facilities System
(A Segment of the University of Illinois)

Report Required under *Government Auditing Standards*
For the Year Ended June 30, 2021
Performed as Special Assistant Auditors
for the Auditor General, State of Illinois

**University of Illinois
Auxiliary Facilities System
(A Segment of the University of Illinois)**

**Report Required under *Government Auditing Standards*
For the Year Ended June 30, 2021**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page(s)
University Officials	1
<i>Government Auditing Standards</i> Report	
Summary	2
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	3
Schedule of Findings	
Current Findings	5
Other Reports Issued Under a Separate Cover	

The System's financial statements as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, have been issued under a separate cover.

**University of Illinois
Auxiliary Facilities System
(A Segment of the University of Illinois)**

**Report Required under *Government Auditing Standards*
For the Year Ended June 30, 2021**

THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

**EX OFFICIO MEMBER
The Governor of Illinois**

Honorable J.B. Pritzker Springfield

MEMBERS

Ramón Cepeda Darien
Tami Craig Schilling Okawville
Kareem Dale Chicago
Donald J. Edwards Chicago
Ricardo Estrada Chicago
Patricia Brown Holmes Chicago
Naomi D. Jakobsson Urbana
Stuart C. King Champaign
Sarah Phalen Springfield

STUDENT TRUSTEES

Mariama Mwilambwe University of Illinois – Urbana – Champaign
Lavleen Mal University of Illinois – Chicago
Austin Vertheim University of Illinois – Springfield

BOARD OFFICERS

Donald J. Edwards Chair
Lester H. McKeever, Jr. Treasurer
Avijit Ghosh Chief Financial Officer and Comptroller
Thomas R. Bearrows University Counsel
Gregory J. Knott Secretary

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICERS

University System

Timothy L. Killeen President
Avijit Ghosh Chief Financial Officer and Comptroller

University of Illinois – Urbana – Champaign

Robert Jones Chancellor and Vice President
Danita M. Brown Young Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs

University of Illinois – Chicago

Michael Amiridis Chancellor and Vice President
John Coronado Vice Chancellor for Administrative Services
J. Rex Tolliver Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs

University of Illinois – Springfield

Karen Whitney Interim Chancellor and Vice President
Van Vieregge Interim Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs (through May 31, 2021)
Ann Comerford Interim Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs (effective June 1, 2021)

**University of Illinois
Auxiliary Facilities System
(A Segment of the University of Illinois)**

**Report Required under *Government Auditing Standards*
For the Year Ended June 30, 2021**

Summary

The audit of the financial statements of the University of Illinois Auxiliary Facilities System (System) was performed by RSM US LLP in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*. This report is an integral part of that audit.

Based on their audit, the auditors expressed an unmodified opinion on the System's basic financial statements, issued under a separate cover.

Summary of Findings

The auditors identified four matters involving the System's internal control over financial reporting that they considered to be a material weakness and significant deficiencies. Further, the auditors identified one noncompliance matter.

<u>Item No.</u>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Last/First Reported</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Finding Type</u>
Current Findings				
AUX 2021-001	5	2020/2020	Inadequate Internal Controls over Census Data	Material Weakness and Noncompliance
AUX 2021-002	9	2020/2009	Inadequate Controls over Expense Deferrals and Other Cut-off Issues	Significant Deficiency
AUX 2021-003	11	New	Amortization of Premiums Related to Debt	Material Weakness
AUX 2021-004	12	2020/2008	Inadequate Controls over University Procurement Card Transactions	Significant Deficiency

Exit Conference

The University waived an exit conference in a correspondence from Brent Rasmus, Controller and Assistant Vice President for Business and Finance, on March 25, 2022. The responses to the recommendations were provided by Jennifer Erickson, Senior Associate Director for Business and Finance, in a correspondence dated March 30, 2022.



RSM US LLP

**Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over
Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based
on an Audit of Financial Statements
Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards***

Honorable Frank J. Mautino
Auditor General
State of Illinois

and

Board of Trustees
University of Illinois

As Special Assistant Auditors for the Auditor General, we have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the business-type activities of the University of Illinois Auxiliary Facilities System (System), a segment of the University of Illinois, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the System's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated May 4, 2022.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the System's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed an instance of noncompliance or other matters that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings as item AUX 2021-001.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the System's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the System's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the System's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified. However, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings, we did identify certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be a material weakness and significant deficiencies.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings as items AUX 2021-001 and AUX 2021-003 to be material weaknesses.

A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings as items AUX 2021-002 and AUX 2021-004 to be significant deficiencies.

System's Responses to the Findings

The System's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings. The System's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

SIGNED ORIGINAL ON FILE

Schaumburg, Illinois
May 4, 2022

**University of Illinois
Auxiliary Facilities System
(A Segment of the University of Illinois)**

**Schedule of Findings
For the Year Ended June 30, 2021**

Current Findings – Government Auditing Standards

Finding AUX 2021-001 Inadequate Internal Controls over Census Data

The University of Illinois Auxiliary Facilities System (the System) did not have adequate internal control over reporting its census data and did not have a reconciliation process to provide assurance census data submitted to its pension and other postemployment benefits (OPEB) plans was complete and accurate.

Census data is demographic data (date of birth, gender, years of service, etc.) of the active, inactive, or retired members of a pension or OPEB plan. The accumulation of inactive or retired members' census data occurs before the current accumulation period of census data used in the plan's actuarial valuation (which eventually flows into each employer's financial statements), meaning the plan is solely responsible for establishing internal controls over these records and transmitting this data to the plan's actuary. In contrast, responsibility for active members' census data during the current accumulation period is split among the plan and each member's current employer(s). Initially, employers must accurately transmit census data elements of their employees to the plan. Then, the plan must record and retain these records for active employees and then transmit this census data to the plan's actuary.

We noted the University's employees are members of both the State Universities Retirement System (SURS) for their pensions and the State Employees Group Insurance Program sponsored by the State of Illinois, Department of Central Management Services (CMS) for their OPEB. In addition, we noted these plans have characteristics of different types of pension and OPEB plans, including single employer plans and cost-sharing multiple-employer plans. Finally, CMS' actuaries use census data for employees of the State's public universities provided by SURS along with census data for the other participating members which is provided by the State's four other pension plans to prepare the projection of the OPEB plan's liabilities.

During testing, we noted the following:

- The University had not performed an initial complete reconciliation of its census data recorded by SURS to its internal records to establish a base year of complete and accurate census data.
- After establishing a base year, the University had not developed a process to annually obtain from SURS the incremental changes recorded by SURS in their census data records and reconcile these changes back to the University's internal supporting records.

Based on information we obtained while performing our audit, we learned these deficiencies are pervasive across the public universities participating in SURS and across the State's agencies participating in one of the other four State pension plans, the State Employees' Retirement System of Illinois. These conditions significantly increase the risk there could be errors at one or more employers within the plans, and these errors could have a significant impact on SURS' and CMS' measurement of pension and OPEB liabilities, respectively.

In addition, we noted errors within CMS' allocation of OPEB-related balances across the State's funds, public universities, and the Illinois State Toll Highway Authority related to a failure by CMS to account for a separately financed specific OPEB liability for certain groups of employees at one component unit of the State. The impact of these errors resulted in the University restating its beginning net position by \$205,462,904 as of July 1, 2020.

**University of Illinois
Auxiliary Facilities System
(A Segment of the University of Illinois)**

**Schedule of Findings
For the Year Ended June 30, 2021**

Current Findings – Government Auditing Standards

Finding AUX 2021-001 Inadequate Internal Controls over Census Data (continued)

Based upon the significance of these issues alone, we concluded a material weakness exists within the University's internal controls related to ensuring both SURS and CMS can provide their respective actuaries with complete and accurate census data related to the University's active employees. Even given these exceptions, we performed detail testing of a sample of employees and certain data analysis tests of the total population of the University's census data transactions reported to SURS.

Based on our analysis of transactions reported by the University to SURS during the census data accumulation period throughout Fiscal Year 2019, we noted the following:

- Nineteen of 3,839 (1%) instructors eligible for SURS were not properly included in the Plan. SURS determined the total potential impact to each employee's total service credit was it could be off by 0.50 to 9.50 years.
- One of 264 (1%) employees with a departure on a leave of absence had the start date of the leave of absence untimely reported to SURS by the University. SURS determined the total potential impact to this employee's total service credit was it could be off by 0.25 years.

For employers where their employees participate in plans with multiple-employer and cost-sharing features, the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' *Audit and Accounting Guide: State and Local Governments* (AAG-SLG) (§ 13.177 for pensions and § 14.184 for OPEB) notes the determination of net pension/OPEB liability, pension/OPEB expense, and the associated deferred inflows and deferred outflows of resources depends on employer-provided census data reported to the plan being complete and accurate along with the accumulation and maintenance of this data by the plan being complete and accurate. To help mitigate against the risk of a plan's actuary using incomplete or inaccurate census data within similar agent multiple-employer plans, the AAG-SLG (§ 13.181 (A-27) for pensions and § 14.141 for OPEB) recommends an employer annually reconcile its active members' census data to a report from the plan of census data submitted to the plan's actuary, by comparing the current year's census data file to both the prior year's census data file and its underlying records for changes occurring during the current year.

Additionally, eligibility criteria for participation in SURS under the Illinois Pension Code (Code) (40 ILCS 5/15-134(a)) states any person who is an employee of the University becomes a participant in SURS. Under the Code (40 ILCS 5/15-107), an employee is a person who works for the University in a secretarial, mechanical, labor, clerical, educational, administrative, or other staff position which is either (a) permanent and continuous or (b) for a period of four months or an academic term, whichever is less, who is:

- 1) not a student employed on a less than full-time temporary basis;
- 2) not receiving a retirement or disability annuity from SURS;
- 3) not on military leave;
- 4) not eligible to participate in the Federal Civil Service Retirement System,
- 5) not currently on a leave of absence without pay more than 60 days after the termination of SURS' disability benefits;
- 6) not paid from funds received under the Federal Comprehensive Employment and Training Act as a public service employment program participant hired on or after July 1, 1979;
- 7) not a patient in a hospital or home;
- 8) not an employee compensated solely on a fee basis where such income would net earnings from self-employment;

**University of Illinois
Auxiliary Facilities System
(A Segment of the University of Illinois)**

**Schedule of Findings
For the Year Ended June 30, 2021**

Current Findings – Government Auditing Standards

Finding AUX 2021-001 Inadequate Internal Controls over Census Data (continued)

- 9) not providing military courses pursuant to a federally-funded contract where the University has filed a written notice with SURS electing to exclude these persons from the definition of an employee;
- 10) currently on lay-off status of not more than 120 days after the lay-off date;
- 11) not on an absence without pay of more than 30 days; and,
- 12) a nonresident alien on a visa defined under subparagraphs (F), (J), (M), or (Q) of Section 1101(a)(15) of Title 8 of the United States Code who (1) has met the Internal Revenue Service's substantial presence test and (2) became an employee on and after July 1, 1991.

Further, for CMS' OPEB plan, we noted participation in OPEB is derivative of an employee's eligibility to participate in SURS, as members of SURS participate in OPEB as annuitants under the State Employees Group Insurance Act of 1971 (Act) (5 ILCS 375/3(b)).

In addition, the Illinois Pension Code (40 ILCS 5/15-157) requires the University to, at a minimum, withhold contributions of each employee's total compensation of 8% (9.5% for firefighters or police officers) for their participation in SURS, unless further contributions by the employee would either exceed the maximum retirement annuity in the Code (40 ILCS 5/15-136(c)) or the Tier 2 earnings limitation within the Code (40 ILCS 5/15-111(b)), and remit these amounts to SURS. Further, the Code (40 ILCS 5/15-155(b)) requires the University remit employer contributions to SURS reflecting the accruing normal costs of an employee paid from federal or trust funds. Additionally, the Act (5 ILCS 375/10) requires active employees to make contributions as set by CMS and the Act (5 ILCS 375/11) requires employer contributions by the University for all employees not totally compensated from its Income Fund, local auxiliary funds, and the Agricultural Premium Fund.

Finally, the Fiscal Control and Internal Auditing Act (30 ILCS 10/3001) requires the University establish and maintain a system, or systems, of internal fiscal and administrative controls to provide assurance funds applicable to operations are properly recorded and accounted for to permit the preparation of reliable financial and statistical reports.

University officials stated the census data reconciliation had not been completed because they believed their existing processes which are mostly automated, and other controls, would result in materially correct census data being provided to SURS and CMS. Additionally, University officials stated the discrepancies identified in the transaction testing during the accumulation period occurred due to human error and incomplete reporting logic.

Failure to ensure complete and accurate census data was reported to SURS could result in a material misstatement of the University's financial statements and reduced the overall accuracy of pension/OPEB-related liabilities, deferred inflows and outflows of resources, and expense recorded by the State, the State's agencies, and other public universities and community colleges across the State. In addition, failure to reconcile active members' census data reported to and held by SURS to the University's internal records could result in each plan's actuary relying on incomplete or inaccurate census data in the calculation of the pension and OPEB balances, which could result in a material misstatement of these amounts. Finally, the allocation error involving one component unit in the OPEB plan resulted in misstatements within each employer's allocation, which resulted in a restatement at the University. (Finding Code No. AUX 2021-001, AUX 2020-001)

**University of Illinois
Auxiliary Facilities System
(A Segment of the University of Illinois)**

**Schedule of Findings
For the Year Ended June 30, 2021**

Current Findings – Government Auditing Standards

Finding AUX 2021-001 Inadequate Internal Controls over Census Data (continued)

Recommendation

We recommend the University implement controls to ensure census data events are timely and accurately reported to SURS.

Further, we recommend the University work with SURS to annually reconcile its active members' census data from its underlying records to a report of census data submitted to SURS' actuary and CMS' actuary. After completing an initial full reconciliation, the University may limit the annual reconciliations to focus on the incremental changes to the census data file from the prior actuarial valuation, provided no risks are identified that incomplete or inaccurate reporting of census data may have occurred during prior periods. Any errors identified during this process should be promptly corrected by either the University or SURS, with the impact of these errors communicated to both SURS' actuary and CMS' actuary.

Finally, we recommend the University work with SURS and CMS to identify and address any unremitted or erroneously remitted employee and, if applicable, employer contributions related to these events.

System Response

Accepted. Note the exceptions referenced in this finding are not specific to the Auxiliary Facilities System; they represent exceptions for the entire University of Illinois System.

With 69,000 employees, the University has numerous electronic and manual controls in place to ensure that employees who are eligible for SURS and OPEB are identified correctly. The University also has numerous controls over census data for the University's 30,000 employees eligible for SURS and OPEB benefits that the University believes would prevent a material misstatement of the University's pension and OPEB balances.

However, the University acknowledges its controls over census data did not always ensure timely reporting of other employee events to SURS and CMS. Additionally, controls for determining pension and OPEB eligibility did not always operate as intended. The University will continue to work with SURS to complete the base year census data reconciliation, will enhance controls to improve the timeliness of reporting certain employee events impacting pension and OPEB census data, and will strengthen processes for determining pension and OPEB eligibility.

**University of Illinois
Auxiliary Facilities System
(A Segment of the University of Illinois)**

**Schedule of Findings
For the Year Ended June 30, 2021**

Current Findings – Government Auditing Standards

Finding AUX 2021-002 Inadequate Controls over Expense Deferrals and Other Cut-off Issues

The University of Illinois Auxiliary Facilities System (the System) has not established adequate controls over accurately identifying and recording deferred expense transactions and reporting prepaid expenses at fiscal year-end for financial reporting purposes.

During our audit, we noted the System's procedures to identify and record prepaid expenses include a review of all cash disbursements by University Payables (UPAY). UPAY identifies expense transactions that pertain to multiple fiscal years and codes them for further review by University Accounting and Financial Reporting (UAFR). UAFR will then post year-end journal entries to appropriately defer the identified expense transactions. In addition to the review of all cash disbursements by UPAY, UAFR also requires individual units to identify and report known accrual and deferral transactions at fiscal year-end for certain fund types. We also noted the System's year-end accounts payable procedures include specifically reviewing cash disbursements made subsequent to year-end through the issuance of the financial statements to determine which accounting period the related expense transactions pertain.

During our test work over 49 System expense transactions totaling \$5,178,837, we noted the following:

- One transaction (2%) totaling \$83,571 that was recorded as an expense for fiscal year ended June 30, 2021, should have deferred \$20,893 as a prepaid asset since it had a service period in fiscal year 2022.

The projected impact of this error is an understatement of prepaid assets of \$466 thousand, and an overstatement of expense of \$466 thousand. Management evaluated these estimated projected errors and determined they were immaterial, thus no adjustment was recorded.

During our test work over 17 System parking revenue transactions totaling \$3,391,523, we noted the following:

- Two transactions (12%) totaling \$219,609 that were recorded as revenue for fiscal year ended June 30, 2021, should have been partially accrued as of June 30, 2020 at an amount of \$163,500.

The projected impact of this error is an understatement of opening net position of \$1.2 million, and an overstatement of revenue of \$1.2 million. Management evaluated these estimated projected errors and determined they were immaterial, thus no adjustment was recorded.

Generally accepted accounting principles require transactions to be reported in the period they are incurred. Additionally, the Fiscal Control and Internal Auditing Act (30 ILCS 10/3001) requires the University to establish and maintain a system, or systems, of internal fiscal and administrative controls to provide assurance that revenues, expenditures, and transfers of assets, resources, or funds applicable to operations are properly recorded and accounted for to permit the preparation of accounts and reliable financial and statistical reports and to maintain accountability over the State's resources. The University's system of internal controls should include procedures to ensure expenses and revenues are recognized in the appropriate reporting period.

System officials indicated that the units associated with the exceptions did not adequately follow established procedures to record the transactions in the proper period.

**University of Illinois
Auxiliary Facilities System
(A Segment of the University of Illinois)**

**Schedule of Findings
For the Year Ended June 30, 2021**

Current Findings – Government Auditing Standards

Finding AUX 2021-002 Inadequate Controls over Expense Deferrals and Other Cut-off Issues (continued)

Failure to accurately analyze and record cash receipts and disbursements within the proper fiscal year may result in the misstatement of the System's financial statements. (Finding Code No. AUX 2021-002, AUX 2020-002, AUX 2019-001, AUX 2018-001, AUX 2017-001, AUX 2016-001, AUX 2015-001, AUX 2014-001, AUX 2013-001, AUX 12-01, AUX 11-01, AUX 10-03, AUX 09-03)

Recommendation

We recommend the System continue to review its process to assess the existence of current period revenues and expenses and consider changes necessary to ensure they are accurately identified and recorded for presentation in the System's financial statements.

System Response

Accepted. While the University believes it has processes in place to prevent material errors in the financial statements, the highly decentralized environment and large volumes of transactions presents challenges in identifying all errors. The University will continue to improve controls related to year-end cutoff.

**University of Illinois
Auxiliary Facilities System
(A Segment of the University of Illinois)**

**Schedule of Findings
For the Year Ended June 30, 2021**

Current Findings – Government Auditing Standards

Finding AUX 2021-003 Amortization of Premiums Related to Debt

The University of Illinois Auxiliary Facilities System (the System) amortized premiums on the straight-line basis as opposed to the effective interest basis resulting in a material overstatement of the premium balance as of June 30, 2021.

The System amortizes the premiums for related bond issuances on the straight-line basis. We requested that the System calculate the related amortization had the effective interest method been used and schedules showing this calculation were provided. Upon review of the related schedules, we found that the variance between straight-line and the effective interest method had accumulated over the years and resulted in an overstatement of the reported premium of \$8.3 million as of June 30, 2021 for the System. An adjustment to correct for this error was made by the System.

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 62, *Codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting Guidance Contained in Pre-November 30, 1989 FASB and AICPA Pronouncements*, paragraph 185, states that the difference between the present value and the face amount should be treated as a discount or premium and amortized as interest expense or revenue over the life of the bond in such a way as to result in a constant rate of interest when applied to the amount outstanding at the beginning of any given period. This is the interest method.

Additionally, the Fiscal Control and Internal Auditing Act (30 ILCS 10/3001) requires the System to establish and maintain a system, or systems, of internal fiscal and administrative controls. Proper internal controls should ensure that management is recording amounts in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

System officials indicated the change in accounting principle with the issuance of GASB Statement No. 62 was identified and an analysis of the difference between the straight-line method and the effective interest method was completed. System officials indicated they believed this analysis had historically provided them with support that the difference between the two methods did not materially misstate the System's financial statements. System officials agreed to convert to the effective interest method in fiscal year 2021 so that the analysis of the two methods would not be necessary going forward.

As a result of the lack of internal control in this area, the System's draft financial statements were materially misstated. (Finding Code No. AUX 2021-003)

Recommendation

We recommend management implement controls to monitor the variance more closely between straight-line and effective interest amortization to determine if adjustments need to be made.

System Response

Accepted. The University has implemented the effective interest method for amortization of premiums related to bond issuances starting in fiscal year 2021.

**University of Illinois
Auxiliary Facilities System
(A Segment of the University of Illinois)**

**Schedule of Findings
For the Year Ended June 30, 2021**

Current Findings – Government Auditing Standards

Finding AUX 2021-004 Inadequate Controls over University Procurement Card Transactions

The University of Illinois Auxiliary Facilities System (the System) has not complied with University policies and internal controls over procurement card transactions.

The University, which includes the System, operates a procurement card (P-Card) program which allows individuals throughout the University to make smaller purchases (defined as less than \$4,999) on a credit card which is directly paid by the University on a monthly basis. The University's policies require employees assigned a procurement card to complete training on policies and procedures, pass a test, and sign an agreement stipulating they will use the card in accordance with University policy. This agreement is also required to be authorized by the individual's supervisor or the department head. The University's policies require transactions incurred on the procurement card to be approved in the University's procurement card system by the individual cardholder and an assigned reviewer.

During our test work over 133 procurement card transactions totaling \$237,246, including 73 transactions noted for unusual activity, we noted the following:

- Seventy-three (55%) transactions (totaling \$6,987) were for charges such as refreshments, entertainment subscriptions, uniforms, drugs and pharmaceuticals, and professional and artistic services, all of which were prohibited by the University's procurement card policies.
- Six (5%) transactions (totaling \$14,261) were charges related to electronic services/software. The required Electronic Services/Software Purchased by P-Card Forms were not completed prior to using the P-Cards. Specifically, five Electronic Services/Software Purchased by P-Card Forms were completed 161 to 220 days late, and one Electronic Services/Software Purchased by P-Card Form was not completed.
- Three (2%) transactions (totaling \$19,731) exceeded the cardholder's single transaction limit amount. The required P-Card Exception Request Forms were not completed prior to using the P-Cards for those purchases. Specifically, one P-Card Exception Request Form was completed 47 days late, and the other two P-Card Exception Request Forms were not completed.
- One (1%) transaction (totaling \$6,615) was paid in two installments, circumventing the cardholder's single transaction limit of \$4,999.

The University has approximately 3,880 active procurement cards, and the procurement card expenditures paid for the year ended June 30, 2021 totaled \$48,486,125.

The University policy states that prior to using a P-Card to purchase allowable computer software and electronic services, the unit must complete and retain the Electronic Services/Software Purchased Form.

In addition, the University policy states that all purchases on the P-Card must be in compliance with system procurement, allowable expenditure and funding, payroll, and tax-related policies, as well as with all applicable state and federal statutes. A P-Card Exception Request Form is used to obtain approval to use the P-Card for an expense that is normally prohibited by a policy or is above a cardholder's limit. Approval must be obtained prior to using the P-Card for the purchase.

**University of Illinois
Auxiliary Facilities System
(A Segment of the University of Illinois)**

**Schedule of Findings
For the Year Ended June 30, 2021**

Current Findings – Government Auditing Standards

**Finding AUX 2021-004 Inadequate Controls over University Procurement Card Transactions
(continued)**

Further, the Fiscal Control and Internal Auditing Act (30 ILCS 10/3001) requires the University to establish and maintain a system, or systems, of internal fiscal and administrative controls, to provide assurance that: (1) resources are utilized effectively, and in compliance with applicable law; (2) obligations and cost are in compliance with applicable law; (3) funds, property, and other assets and resources are safeguarded against waste, loss, unauthorized use, and misappropriation; (4) revenues, expenditures, and transfers of assets, resources or funds applicable to operations are properly recorded and accounted for to permit preparation of accounts and reliable financial and statistical reports and to maintain accountability over the State's resources; and (5) funds held outside the State Treasury are managed, used, and obtained in strict accordance with the terms of their enabling authorities and that no unauthorized funds exist. The University's system of internal controls should include procedures to ensure procurement card transactions are in accordance with University policies and procedures.

This finding was first noted during the examination of the year ended June 30, 2008. In the subsequent years, the University has been unsuccessful in implementing a corrective action plan.

University management indicated the bulleted exceptions largely resulted from human error at the individual and/or unit level by not following procurement card policies and procedures.

Failure to properly review and approve procurement card transactions in accordance with University policies could result in erroneous or fraudulent transactions being recorded in the general ledger system. (Finding Code No. AUX 2021-004, AUX 2020-003, AUX 2019-002, AUX 2018-003, AUX 2017-004, AUX 2016-002, AUX 2015-002, AUX 2014-002, AUX 2013-002, AUX 12-02, AUX 11-03, AUX 10-02, AUX 09-02, AUX 08-03)

Recommendation

We recommend the University continue to review and improve its internal control over procurement card transactions to ensure compliance with University policies so that erroneous or fraudulent transactions are not recorded in the general ledger system.

System Response

Accepted. Note the exceptions referenced in this finding are not specific to the Auxiliary Facilities System; they represent exceptions for the entire University of Illinois System.

While the procurement card is an efficient purchasing mechanism, the University also recognizes the importance of procurement card process controls, training, and transaction monitoring. The University will implement the necessary corrective action to address the recommendation in this finding.