120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Speaker Lang: "The House will be in order. We shall be led in prayer today by Brother James Gaffney, FSC, and President of Lewis University in Romeoville. Brother Gaffney is the guest of Representative Walsh. Members and guests are asked to refrain from starting their laptops, turn off cell phones, and rise for the invocation and Pledge of Allegiance. Brother Gaffney."

Brother Gaffney: "Gracious and caring God, today we pause to give thanks and praise for the privilege and responsibility of public service and governmental Leadership for the people of this proud state, the Land of Lincoln. May we be reminded and renewed in our shared commitment to the common good, which frequently requires wise and enlightened compromise not in core principles, and values, but on the means to achieve the greater good. Moreover admit the various fiscal and other challenges facing government in Illinois. May You always keep mind the urgent needs of the impoverished, in marginalized; those are the most vulnerable and disadvantage who often lack effective advocates. Lastly, may we be guarded... guided in Your spirit as we seek to acknowledge and affirm the good intentions of political allies and opponents alike in pursuit of a far more hopeful future for all the good people of Illinois, Amen."

Speaker Lang: "Be led in the Pledge by Mr. Skoog."

Skoog - et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker Lang: "Roll Call for Attendance. Mr. Brown."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

- Brown: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Please let the record reflect that all Republicans are present this morning. Thank you."
- Speaker Lang: "Leader Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. Please let the re… record reflect the excused absences of Representatives Drury, Dunkin, and Lilly."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Clerk, please take the record. We have 115 answering the roll and we do have a quorum. Mr. Clerk, House Resolution 1175. Mr. Walsh."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Resolution 1175, offered by Representative Walsh, Manley, and McAsey.
 - RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE NINETY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that we congratulate Brother James Gaffney, FSC, on his retirement as President of Lewis University on June 30, 2016, and we wish him the best in all his future endeavors."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Today I'm honored to rise to present a congratulatory Resolution to Brother James Gaffney, FS... FSC. Brother James is the ninth President of Lewis University and he started his tenure in 1988. And during his tenure, Lewis has achieved many, many goals from student enrollment to being one of the top rated or the highest ranked Catholic University in Illinois by the 2016 collegechoice.net rankings of the 75 best Catholic colleges and universities. But not only that, Brother James has been a icon in the Will County community for his tenure there. And it's just fitting that today I was able to invite him down and he graciously accepted to give us

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

our invocation and secondly, to honor him for the dedicated service to the Will County community, Lewis University, and most importantly, the students that went through that school over the many years. Thank you, Brother James, for everything you've done. We truly appreciate it."

Speaker Lang: "Thank you. Those in favor of the Resolution will say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. Mr. Clerk, Rules Report. Committee Reports."

"Committee Reports. Representative Clerk Hollman: Chairperson from the Committee on Judiciary - Criminal reports the following committee action taken on April 18, 2016: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 4615, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 4615, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 5572, Floor Amendment #1 to House 5995, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill Bill Representative Hernandez, Chairperson from the Committee on Consumer Protection reports the following committee action taken on April 18, 2016: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 5907, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 6285. Representative DeLuca, Chairperson from the Committee on Cities & Villages reports the following committee action taken on April 19, 2016: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 5711. Representative Rita, Chairperson from the Committee on Business Occupational Licenses reports the following committee action taken on April 19, 2016: recommends be adopted is House Resolution 1118. Representative Costello, Chairperson from the Committee on Agriculture & Conservation

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

reports the following committee action taken on April 19, 2016: recommends be adopted is House Joint Resolution 141. Representative Evans, Chairperson from the Committee on Economic Development & Housing reports the following committee action taken on April 19, 2016: recommends be adopted is House Resolution 1105. Representative Verschoore, Chairperson from the Committee on Environment reports the following committee action taken on April recommends be adopted as amended is House Resolution 1139. Representative Beiser, Chairperson from the Committee on Transportation: Regulation, Roads & Bridges reports the following committee action taken on April 19, recommends be adopted is House Joint Resolution 136, House Resolution 138, House Joint Resolution Representative Jackson, Chairperson from the Committee on Counties & Townships reports the following committee action taken on April 19, 2016: recommends to adop... recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 746. Representative Gabel, Chairperson from the Committee on Human Services reports the following committee action taken on April 19, 2016: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 581, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 5576, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 5602, Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 6213, House Resolution 1068, House Resolution 1109, House Resolution 1133. Representative Chairperson from the Committee on International Trade & Commerce reports the following committee action taken on April 19, 2016: recommends be adopted is House Resolution 1092, House Resolution 1115, House Resolution

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Representative Daniel Burke, Chairperson from the Committee on Executive reports the following committee action taken on April 19, 2016: do pass Short Debate is House Bill 4443; do pass as amended Short Debate is House Bill 4477, House Bill 4820, House Bill 5045, House Bill 5472; recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 689, Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 6125. Introduction of Resolution. House Resolution 1176, offered by res... offered by Representative Bellock is referred to the Rules Committee."

Speaker Lang: "Chair recognizes Mr. Acevedo."

Acevedo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. If I can please have your attention, please? These gentlemen, who I'm going to introduce, deserve our respect, and if you could just hold your applause 'til I name them all. We have the Commander from the American Legion, Jose Guereca, served in the U.S. Army, Desert Storm. His son Joshua Guereca who is the Commander of ROTC Community Lake High School. We have the Senior Vice Commander Rudy Aceveto, served in the U.S. Army, a Vietnam vet. And we have Senior... Service officer Roberto Ramirez, U.S. Army, Vietnam vet. He's the recipient of four bronze stars, recipient from the VFW 55... 547 from the Pilsen Community. They're here with us today. Please welcome them here to Springfield."

Speaker Lang: "Thank you for joining us today. We appreciate you being here. Mr. Demmer is recognized."

Demmer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "Please proceed, Sir."

Demmer: "I'd like to welcome a group of foreign exchange students who are currently attending schools in my district and

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Representative Stewart's district. They come from all over the world. We have Aziz from Kuwait, Casey from Indonesia, Damien from India, Carmen from Moldova, Bibi from Tajikistan, and Hani from Pakistan. Let's give them a warm welcome to the Capitol."

Speaker Lang: "Thank you. It's good to see you here with us today. Mr. Bennett, for what reason do you rise?"

Demmer: "Point of personal privilege, if I may."

Speaker Lang: "Proceed, Sir."

Demmer: "Thank you, Sir. I'd like to introduce my nephew today; he's Page for the day. This is Charlie Bennett Barker with us and I'm really glad to have him here in Springfield. Also, in the gallery his mom and dad, and my sister Carol, Jerry. So if you guys would. So, if you could give them a round of applause, please. A nice warm welcome, please. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Happy to have you here. Representative Bryant."

Bryant: "Thank you, Mr. Sper... Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "Go right ahead."

Bryant: "I want to welcome this morning... sorry. I want to welcome this morning Girl Scrout... Girl Scout Troop #50313 from La Grange, sitting right up in the gallery. These young ladies are constituents of Leader Durkin and they're led by my good friend Katie Justak. So we're happy to have them here today and appreciate a warm welcome from the House."

Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Representative. Mr. Harris."

Harris, D.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Po... point of personal privilege, please."

Speaker Lang: "Proceed, Sir."

120th Legislative Day

- Harris, D.: "Thank you. I'd like the House to welcome my Page for the day, Amelia McKenna who is with us. She's a seventh-grade student from Our Lady of the Wayside in Arlington Heights. She's a robotics team expert and her dad is with us today up in the gallery, Greg. Amelia, thank you for being here."
- Speaker Lang: "Welcome to the House chamber, thank you. Mr. Meier."
- Meier: "Yes, point of personal privilege."
- Speaker Lang: "Go right ahead, Sir."
- Meier: "I, too, would like to welcome my Page for the day a foreign exchange student from Germany, Wencke Wagemann. She is currently going to Breese Central High School and is staying with Emily Henrichs. Thank you."
- Speaker Lang: "Welcome aboard. Representative Cassidy."
- Cassidy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I am also excited to introduce my Pages for the day. Many of you know Kyle Hillman from the National Association for Social Workers. He is my neighbor and his daughters, who are my... also my neighbors, Kyla and Shauntae Hillman are here today as our Pages... as my Page for the day."
- Speaker Lang: "Welcome, thanks for joining us. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 57."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #57 is on the Order of Second Reading and has been read in full a second time."
- Speaker Lang: "Please move this to the order of Third Reading.

 The Chair recognizes Mr. Brown."

120th Legislative Day

- Brown: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Republicans request an immediate caucus in Room 118 for one hour."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Brown."
- Brown: "Like... I'd like to withdraw that Motion. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Lang: "We're happy to have you withdraw that Motion. Mr. Brown, that's the most popular thing you've ever done on the House Floor. Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen, moving back to your priority Bills starting first with Bills on the Order of Second Reading. House Bill 1290, Leader Currie. Out of the record. House Bill 5924, Representative Fine. Representative Fine. Out of them. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5924, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day.

 No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Fine."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Fine on the Amendment."
- Fine: "This Amendment takes away all opposition to the Bill and it has to do with guardianship of a relative of a family member."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 4558, Mr. Bennett. Mr. Bennett. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4558, a Bill for an Act concerning wildlife. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

- day. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 5973, Mr. Evans. Mr. Evans. Do you wish to move your Bill, Sir? Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5973, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Evans, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Evans on the Amendment."

Evans: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment is one that I've been trying to work on. I removed the realtors, removed the CPAs. So, I further watered down the Bill to try to get it passed, so we can help a few folks in a few categories."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Demmer."

Demmer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Demmer: "Representative, I know you mentioned this, removes the opposition of a couple groups. Is... is there any remaining opposition?"

Evans: "I think the department would remain. I'm trying to get some clarity from the department. Other than not really wanting to do anything new, I haven't got any clarity from the department. So, I don't know that they're officially opposed, but I think the larger opposition was the realtors and CPAs. And after some kind of good frank discussions, I... it was pretty clear that maybe we don't want to be that ambitious. But as far as working on the funeral home industry,

120th Legislative Day

- being a barber, or... or a roofer, I think those are three categories in which we can give folks an opportunity."
- Demmer: "Representative, our analysis says that you had agreed to bring this Amendment back to committee. Has this gone through committee?"
- Evans: "I would like to bring it back to committee. I think we were, you know, kind of running out of time. So the realtors issue was... was with committee, but I'm not... I'm not opposed to that. But I think it was a timing issue."
- Demmer: "So, Representative, I... I just... in our analysis it indicates that you had agreed to bring the Amendment back to committee. I don't know if that's at all a possibility. That's just probably what the understanding of the committee had been at the time this was approved."
- Evans: "And again, I'm going to keep working with the department.

 If... my understanding was with the removal of the... of that and
 I haven't heard from the department, I mean, I was hoping to...
 to move it because we could be running out of time."
- Demmer: "So, I... I understand that we're coming up with a tigh...
 tight time frame here. I... I'm just, you know, following... I
 wasn't on the committee. I'm just following what's been noted
 there and that's... that there was an agreement to bring an
 Amendment back to committee. And you know, if we adopt this
 Amendment here on the floor today, obviously, it couldn't go
 back to committee."
- Evans: "I... I think, again, the larger... Speaker, can I take it out of the record?"
- Speaker Lang: "Remove the Bill from the record, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 6167, Representative Sente. Please read the Bill."

120th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 6167, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 6098, Mr. Demmer. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 6098, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Demmer."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Demmer."
- Demmer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. My intention is to... to adopt this Amendment and keep the Bill on the Order of Second Reading. This Amendment simply changes the website that was specified in the Bill. So, again, I'd like to adopt the Amendment and keep the Bill on Second Reading."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Please hold the Bill on the Order of Second Reading at the request of the Sponsor. House Bill 6162, Mr. Skoog. Do you want to move the Bill to Third, Sir? Out of the record. House Bill 1056, Representative Tabares. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1056, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Tabares."

120th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Representative Tabares."
- Tabares: "Yes, I recommend that House Floor Amendment be adopted."
- Speaker Lang: "Briefly explain."
- Tabares: "The House Floor Amendment #1 provides that the distinction between vehicles and manufactured homes, by providing language treating licenses for dealers of manufacturing homes different than car dealers. This House Floor Amendment #1 includes the suggested changes from the Governor's message. This Bill was vetoed in the 99th General Assembly."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 5918, Mr. Thapedi. Mr. Thapedi. Out of the record. House Bill 6109, Mr. McAuliffe.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 6109, a Bill for an Act concerning domestic violence. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 5762, Representative Harper. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5762, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. But notes have been requested on the Bill and have not been filed."

120th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Please hold this Bill on the Order of Second Reading. House Bill 4351, Mr. Harris. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4351, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Rep... Correction. Floor Amendment #1 has been adopted. No further Amendments. No Motions..."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 6261, Mr. Sandack.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 6261, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 6130, Representative Hurley. Out of the record. House Bill 4377, Representative Mayfield. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4377, a Bill for an Act concerning business. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Mayfield."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Mayfield."
- Mayfield: "Thank you. This right here is a repetition of the Used Car Bill that we ran last time. What we are doing here is... we've actually tweaked the Bill a little bit. There were some things that the used automobile dealers, the independent auto owners, they were initial... they were very opposed to the Bill in its present form. And the Governor did veto the Bill. So, we're actually bringing it back with some of... not some... but not everything that they'd ask for. We did include a

120th Legislative Day

- deductible; that was something new. We included the type of vehicles. They... they asked for different... I'm sorry. The... they asked for the deductible, that's in there. There's an exclusion for antique vehicles that we wanted to make sure was in there from the last Bill because that was a concern for others. And I'm open for questions."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 6093, Mr. Sosnowski.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 6093, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Sosnowski."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sosnowski."
- Sosnowski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Floor Amendment becomes the Bill and addresses the federal FAST Act and MAP-21. Thank you."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 6181, Representative Willis. Representative Willis. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 6181, a Bill for an Act concerning education. The Bill was read for a second time previously. No

120th Legislative Day

- Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Willis."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Willis."
- Willis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Floor Amendment actually enhances the Bill. It's from the State Board of Ed. Reco... and what it does is it allows them to carry over con... continuing ed hours."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 1190, Representative Kelly Burke. Out of the record. House Bill 5017, Representative Wheeler. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5017, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. The Bill was read for a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Barb Wheeler."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Wheeler."
- Wheeler, B.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment 1 strikes the language from the Bill that says no objection may be filed to a petition filed under subsection 1 of this Section allowing for the pet... for somebody to object to the petition of expungement."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 4671, Representative Feigenholtz. Do you wish to move the Bill, Representative? I saw no signal. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4671, a Bill for an Act concerning animals. The Bill was read for a second time previously.

Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #4 is offered by Representative Feigenholtz."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Feigenholtz."

Feigenholtz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a... an Amendment that fixes a technical error that was removed and then replaced in this Amendment."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sandack."

Sandack: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Sandack: "Sara, my analysis says that you were going to bring back any Amendment to the committee. Is that incorrect?"

Feigenholtz: "Yeah, that was another Bill."

Sandack: "That was another Bill. It wasn't this Bill? Are we sure it wasn't this Bill, Representative Feigenholtz?"

Feigenholtz: "Yeah, I think you're talking about a Bill that was in Exec. This was not in Exec.; this Bill went to Ag."

Sandack: "Where... where did this Bill come... what... what committee was this presented in?"

Feigenholtz: "Agriculture."

Sandack: "Right. My analysis says that you were going to bring it back. So, I'm going to go talk to the Minority spokesperson. If I have it wrong, we're all cool. If we don't have it wrong, I suspect you'll be bringing it back to

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

committee because you're a conscientious, thoughtful, respected Legislator."

Feigenholtz: "Thank you."

Sandack: "You're welcome."

Speaker Lang: "Well, Representative, now what do you want to do?"

Feigenholtz: "You're the Speaker. I would like to adopt the Amendment, but you know, I'll indulge Representative Sandack."

Speaker Lang: "Why don't we take this out of the record briefly and I promise to come back to it."

Feigenholtz: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Out of the record. Mr. Clerk, Rules Report."

Clerk Bolin: "Rules Report. Representative Currie, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules reports the following committee action taken on April 19, 2016: recommends be adopted Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 5201."

Speaker Lang: "House Bill 114, Representative Flowers.

Representative Flowers. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 114, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. The Bill was read for a second time previously.

Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendments 2, 3, and 4, have been approved for consideration. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Flowers."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "Mr. Speaker, Floor Amendment #2 is an agreed to cleanup language by the department and I know of no opposition."

120th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Rep...
 Representative Flowers. Correction."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Flowers."
- Clerk Bolin: "Floor Amendment #3 is offered by Representative Flowers."
- Flowers: "That, too, is an agreed to Amendment and there is no..."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Floor Amendment #4 is offered by Representative Flowers."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Flowers."
- Flowers: "The Amendment is agreed to and there's no opposition."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 4325, Mr. Hays. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4325, a Bill for an Act concerning gaming. Bill was read for a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3239, Mr. Andrade. Mr. Andrade. Out of the record. House Bill 4996, Mr. Welch. Please read the Bill."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4996, a Bill for an Act concerning education. The Bill was read for a second time previously.

Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Welch."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Welch."

Welch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to adopt House Floor Amendment #2 which makes the Bill a permissive Bill and it also clarifies that the duties of a liaison between a school district and DCFS are additional duties and not a new position. I ask for adoption of House Floor Amendment 2."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 5029, Mr. Kay. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5029, a Bill for an Act concerning health. The Bill was read for a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Kay."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Kay."

Kay: "Mr. Speaker, I'm... I'm, again, rerunning the previous conversation I had with you three days ago."

Speaker Lang: "You've had no answer on this, Sir?"

Kay: "No, you didn't."

Speaker Lang: "That's correct. So..."

Kay: "No, I..."

Speaker Lang: "...I'm going to take this out of the record but we..."

120th Legislative Day

- Kay: "Well, now, wait a minute. Just a little... a little clarification here. I took it back to committee. I got to committee; I had witnesses. When I got to committee, I was told it wasn't going to be heard in this committee it was going to be put into a subcommittee. Now, I need a little direction here because there's only so many committees this can go to."
- Speaker Lang: "I'll promise you an answer today. I don't know who I'm going to get it from, but I will get you an answer, Sir. Let's take this out of the record."
- Kay: "You're a good man, Speaker."
- Speaker Lang: "Thank you. For the record? House Bill 5572, Mr. Sims. Mr. Sims. Out of the record. House Bill 6285, Representative Moeller. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 6285, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. The Bill was read for a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Moeller."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Moeller."
- Moeller: "Floor Amendment 2 would make some substantive changes to the underlying Bill. It specifies the notice requirement that park owners are required to adhere to when issuing fines to tenant owners or to mobile home owners. It also specifies that park owners cannot reduce... take fines out of rent payments up to 45 days from the issuance of the fine, and that they cannot refuse to except rent for up to 45 days from the notice of the... of the fine. The Amendment is agreed to, there is no opposition. And this passed out of committee yesterday. Be happy to answer any questions."

120th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 4627, Mr. Tryon. Mr. Tryon. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4627, a Bill for an Act concerning education. The Bill was read for a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 6332, Mr. Beiser. Mr. Beiser. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 6332, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. The Bill was read for a second time previously.

 No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Beiser."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Beiser."
- Beiser: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Floor Amendment brings it in... it takes away opposition of the State Police. And basically what the Amendment does it... it has a reporting requirement goes to the... notice of fining goes to the state's attorney rather than to the state's police. I ask for its adoption and be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 5995, Mr. Sullivan. Mr. Sullivan. Out of the record. House Bill 5201, Representative

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Gordon-Booth. Out of the record. Mr. Clerk, House Resolution 799, Representative McDermed."

Clerk Bolin: "House Resolution 799 offered by Representatives McDermed, McAuliffe, Chapa LaVia, Bourne, and Anthony.

RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE NINETY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that we designate April 30, 2016 as Military Brat Day in Illinois to appreciate the sacrifice and service of these patriots by giving them our thanks and respect."

Speaker Lang: "Representative McDermed."

McDermed: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There are more than 15 million military brats in the United States. Today, in honor of declaring April 30, Military Brat Day, we have two of Illinois's military brat da... brats with us here in the gallery. We have Sandra Zoellner and Bonnie Amos. They have lived all over the world and all over this country, but this is their first visit to the Capitol. Please give them a warm Springfield welcome. And I urge your 'aye' vote for this wonderful Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Welcome to the House chamber. Thank you for being here. Those in favor of the Resolution will say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. Moving to Third Reading Bills, Ladies and Gentlemen. The first one is House Bill 5402, Mr. Acevedo. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5402, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Acevedo."

Acevedo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Today is a special day. It... It's quite extraordinary

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

that my friends from the Dorman Dunn VFW are here today. But basically this Bill has... when... when you have a regular license plate and you want to switch it over to a VA... there's a VA license plate... there's a fee of \$29. What this Bill does is it exempts the \$29. If you follow certain criterias of the Secretary of State for the military, it'll take away the \$29 fee if you're a Vietnam vet... or a veteran. I'll be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 5882, Representative Conroy. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5882, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Conroy."

Conroy: "Thank you, Speaker. This legislation would extend for five years, from 2020 to 2025, the tax credit provided employers that match an employee's contribution to Bight Start, Bright Direction or College Illinois accounts. In addition, it would increase the maximum annual tax credit provided to employers from 500 to 1000. The Amendment made it so that the increase from 500 to 1000 goes into effect January 1 of 2017."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill say 'yes'... excuse me those in favor of the Bill vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

wish? Have all voted who wish? Please take the record. There are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 6163, Mr. Crespo. Mr. Crespo. Out of the record. House Bill 4668, Leader Currie. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4668, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Leader Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. This is a technical Bill. When we last made a change in when... when there had to be certification for valid access, we failed to include the township officials. So, this makes them meet the 68 rather than the 61 day standard as does everybody else. I'm aware of no opposition. Happy to answer your questions."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Gabel, Mr. Crespo. Please take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 5902, Mr. Guzzardi. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5902, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Guzzardi."

Guzzardi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill will ensure free speech rights for high school journalist. We had a vigorous debate about this Bill in committee. It's been amended to address the concerns of the committee Members and came out

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

unanimously with the Amendment. I look forward to answering any questions from Members and urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 6057, Mr. Harris, David Harris. David Harris. Out of the record. House Bill 5603, Greg Harris. Sorry, Sir. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5603, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Harris."

Harris, G.: "Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen, Members of the House. This is a trailer Bill to the Nursing Home Camera Bill that was recently passed to poo... provide an ax... extra layer of protection to seniors and persons in nursing homes. It addresses issues of making sure that installations of the cameras comply with the Fire and Life Safety Codes. It addresses a very big concern of the nursing home industry, as far as, you know, when an employee 'must' versus 'may' turn off one of these cameras at the request of the resident. And it also gives the Department of Public Health explicit rulemaking authority, which the department was not sure that they had in the underlying Bill. I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield for a few questions?"

120th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."
- Sandack: "Representative, I was going through my analysis quickly and looking at the text. I couldn't tell if there were any remaining opponents to your Bill. Do you know of any opponents?"
- Harris, G.: "I know tha... I know that there was a... there was an addition..."
- Sandack: "Thank you."
- Harris, G.: "I know that there was an additional suggestion from the industry that came forward after we had our filing deadlines here. There was a meeting with Senator Link and the advocates this morning. I do... I was not there. I just don't know the outcome of that."
- Sandack: "All right. So, if they have an opposition it has been articulated to you and they're working with the Senate Sponsor?"
- Harris, G.: "They... they sent me some language, but honestly, you know, we sent that over to the Senate to be addressed there."
- Sandack: "Alright. So, as far as you know, no one's given you language for purposes of today and that there's at least continued negotiation and communications."
- Harris, G.: "Yes. And again, we were in Executive. I just wasn't able to attend the meeting. I don't know how it was resolved."
- Sandack: "Thank you, Representative."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Bellock."
- Bellock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Bellock: "So, Representative, this is the issue that a lot of us were still opposed to from last year and that was just why in committee you were trying to clarify exactly what you're doing this year. Can you just clarify that for a minute for us, again?"

Speaker Lang: "Sure. So, there are changes that were requested by the industry and by the Attorney General to be sure that installations of these devices were compliant with the appropriate Fire and Life Safety Codes. There was also a change of the word 'may' to 'shall' at the request of the industry, who were very concerned that language was permissive about when a employee or agent of a nursing home could turn off or disable a camera at the request of a resident. They just didn't want it to be their discretion; they wanted it be... to be mandatory. So, we changed that language at their request. And there was also a change made at the Department of Public Health to give them explicit rulemaking authority over all of the Sections of this statute. Those were the major points."

Bellock: "Thank you very much, Representative. To the Bill. There was just a lot of people who still had concerns. I don't know what the final vote in the committee was, but my concern was just dating back to people in a nursing home that it is their only home and some of us were opposed to the original idea of the video monitoring in the home. Just as now we have another Bill this year about wearing the bracelets and Public Health is opposed to that because they feel that that shows that you're living in an institution. So, I know there is some abuse in some homes, but at the same time, with the

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

monitoring, this is a person's home and it's just that one bed with that one little table next to it. And so, that was our opposition was to the underlying Bill and so, we just... some of us didn't feel we could vote for this Bill either. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Harris to close."

Harris, G.: "Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen. And just to follow up on the Lady's previous comment, to just remind Members that these cameras can only be installed at the request of the resident. There is no requirement; there is no mandate. This is at the request of the resident, their family, or designated personal representative. And I would appreciate an 'ave' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves, Members. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 81 voting 'yes', 33 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1191, Representative McAsey. Representative McAsey. Out of the record. House Bill 40... House Bill 4462, Representative Mussman. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4462, a Bill for an Act concerning public health. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Mussman."

Mussman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 4462 is an expansion of our current statute on access to life-saving epinephrine... EpiPens, which we expanded just a

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

few years ago. And today's Bill is an effort to fill in the gap by allowing access in other areas where people and children are likely to experience anaphylaxis for the first time including school buses, day cares, summer camps, college campuses, and restaurants. The Bill allows access, but does not mandate any entity, to carry pens and training options created under the first Bill ensure free and low-cost training options are available. A portion of the Bill, it specifically allows our front-line police officers the option to carry and is known as Annie LeGere's Law, in memory of a 13-year-old Illinois girl who tragically passed away last summer. I want to add special thanks to Representative Manley, Senator Nybo, and all of the concerned stakeholders who worked very hard to craft this important piece of legislation. There is no opposition. And I urge an 'aye' vote in support of better access to this life-saving device. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all vo... Please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 5736, Representative Hernandez. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5736, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Hernandez."

Hernandez: "Thank you, Speaker. House Bill 5736 extends the current sunset date for the Covering ALL KIDS program to continue to provide health care coverage for children.

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Currently, the program is scheduled to sunset on July 1 of this year. The Bill changes the sunset date to October of 2019. For those unfamiliar with Covering ALL KIDS program, this is the health insurance program that ensures that children with family incomes from about 200 to 300 percent of the federal poverty level in Illinois have access to health insurance coverage. The Act provides access to preventive primary and oral health care for kids through the age of 18. And all families in this program are required... required to pay a monthly premium and copay for every visit. Also, passage of the Bill... of this Bill is necessary in order to receive federal Medicaid match for the entire Medicaid population of 3.2 million people including the 1.6 million children in Illinois. I ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Bellock."

Bellock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Bellock: "Thank you. Representative, so I just want to reaffirm some of the facts of this."

Hernandez: "Sure."

Bellock: "That the 30... I think its 39 thousand kids pretty much a little bit more or less that are in... First of all, I want to clarify with you that the ALL KIDS has three different programs and this program is called the Covering ALL KIDS program, correct?"

Hernandez: "That's correct, yes."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Bellock: "Okay. I want to make sure of that. And in this program the... there is a copay and there is a payment of a premium, correct?"

Hernandez: "Yes, there is."

Bellock: "Okay, great."

Hernandez: "It's a \$40 premium monthly for one child. After one child... if there's two, plus it's 80... \$80 a month."

Bellock: "Thank you."

Hernandez: "That's what I'm being told."

Bellock: "And of those 39 thousand children, there is an 86 percent federal match."

Hernandez: "That's correct."

Bellock: "Correct. Okay. Thank you. To the Bill, Mr. Speaker. I just want to reiterate that this program that is just being extended is different than the other two ALL KIDS programs. It's confusing, but this program is called Covering ALL KIDS, of which there must be a premium paid, there must be copays paid, and the children, 39 thousand of those children, do get an 86 percent enhanced match by the Federal Government. So, that's a huge issue on getting payment back from the Federal Government especially when we're in so much trouble with the ... you know, the foresee of how much we're going to pay in Medicaid. Under my understanding from the head of HFS, if this program is not extended, we take the chance of not getting, not only this money, but it would endanger the 22 billion that our Medicaid program is going to cost us this year. That's not out of General Revenue, but we get... this year we will probably spend, moving forward, \$22 billion on our Medicaid program. Therefore, I think it's important in

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

2019 we will have the opportunity ourselves to review this program and to look at the MOE, but right now according to the Federal Government we cannot change that MOE and therefore endanger our Medicaid reimbursement by the Federal Government. So, I am going to support this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Kay."

Kay: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Kay: "A couple quick questions, Representative. I heard the previous speaker say there's 39 thousand kids involved in this and there is federal match. How much will that federal match be?"

Hernandez: "It's... as she has said, there's 86 percent, so it's eight cents out of a dollar, basically."

Kay: "Okay. And out of the 39 thousand people that we want to keep eligible within this program are there any out-of-state residents now in this program?"

Hernandez: "You have to be an Illinois resident."

Kay: "Well, but that's not my question. Are there any today that are in the program from years gone by where we know out-ofstate residents who were allowed to enroll in the Illinois ALL KIDS program?"

Hernandez: "Representative, you have to be an Illinois resident in order to be even eligible for the program. So, you have to be living here..."

Kay: "Okay."

Hernandez: "...when you apply, you have to be living here."

120th Legislative Day

- Kay: "Okay. And then lastly, what is the... what is the annual income that serves as a benchmark for someone to qualify for the program?"
- Hernandez: "So that's 200 per... 2 to 300 percent out of the federal poverty level that qualifies families to participate in this program."
- Kay: "Well, let me... let me take that step back. Could somebody that has a... a gross income of \$50 thousand a year qualify?"
- Hernandez: "It... it's dependent upon the family size."
- Kay: "Well, give me a range. Is... if you have a family of four and they're making \$50 thousand, would they qualify?"
- Hernandez: "It's likely not."
- Kay: "If they made \$60 thousand a year and they had a family of four, would they qualify?"
- Hernandez: "Okay. So, my understanding is 318 percent of the federal poverty level is very dependent upon what the family size is."
- Kay: "Well, my staff just handed me the... the detail I was looking for that I didn't have with me. So, is it possible for a two person family with income of 33 to 50 thousand dollars a year to qualify?"
- Hernandez: "That's possible, yes."
- Kay: "And three person family \$42 to \$63,800, is that possible?"
 Hernandez: "Yes."
- Kay: "And a four-person family of... somewhere in the neighborhood of 51 thousand to 77 thousand, would they qualify?"
- Hernandez: "Yes, that's correct, but let me add that these families have to pay a premium. They have to pay a monthly premium and copays for every visit."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Kay: "Understand. One last question. Would a five-person family with a gross income of 60 thousand ranging up to a little over 90 thousand dollars qualify for this plan?"

Hernandez: "No, I don't think so."

Kay: "Okay. Thank you, Representative. I appreciate your
responsive answers."

Hernandez: "You're welcome."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Demmer."

Demmer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Demmer: "Representative, this extends a sunset date..."

Hernandez: "That's correct."

Demmer: "...and it extends it 'til what point?"

Hernandez: "October 1 of 2019."

Demmer: "And why was that date chosen?"

Hernandez: "I believe it... it aligns with what the federal does.

It reviews it every, I believe, five years and that would be at that point that they would be looking back..."

Demmer: "Is that also the date that our memorandum of... or our mai... Maintenance of Effort..."

Hernandez: "Yes. Yes..."

Demmer: "...agreement expires?"

Hernandez: "I believe so. Yes."

Demmer: "So it's aligned with that..."

Hernandez: "Correct."

Demmer: "...because we made, through a Maintenance of Effort agreement, a promise to keep eligibility levels at a certain point through that date."

Hernandez: "Yes, Representative. That's correct."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Demmer: "This is a... you mentioned before that this program charges monthly premiums, as well as, copays. Do you know how much those premiums are in the course of the year?"

Hernandez: "So I... I did mention that before that it is \$40 on a monthly... monthly for one child; for two or more, it'll be \$80 a month."

Demmer: "So I... I have actually information from the department saying that in the course of a year we collect \$13 million in premiums from individuals who are covered in this program. Now part of that we have to reimburse the Federal Government, but part of that the... the state gets to keep. And so, by my math and... and let me know if this is something you... you would agree with, we serve about 40 thousand people at a net cost to the state of just about \$7 million."

Hernandez: "That's... that's about right. Yes."

Demmer: "Because we have an 86 percent match from the Federal Government on this."

Hernandez: "That's correct."

Demmer: "...as part of that Maintenance of Effort agreement that we have in place. Are you aware of how I... we talked, I think, a little about eligibility for this program. Is there a redetermination that happens for people who are a part of this program? Are they... is their eligibility checked..."

Hernandez: "There is..."

Demmer: "...periodically?"

Hernandez: "I'm sorry. There is an annual..."

Demmer: "Annual redetermination."

Hernandez: "...redetermination."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Demmer: "So, checking residency status, checking what their income is, ensuring they still qualify for this program. Is that right?"

Hernandez: "That's correct."

Demmer: "And so, if... I think this has been mentioned before too, but if this... if we do not renew, if we do not extend the sunset and we break that Maintenance of Effort agreement what are the potential consequences?"

Hernandez: "We potentially... lose our \$40 million. We put that at risk, the \$40 million match that the federal gives us."

Demmer: "And isn't it possible that we would put at risk, too, the entire Medicaid program?"

Hernandez: "That's cor..."

Demmer: "And that..."

Hernandez: "...that..."

Demmer: "...at least a large portion or perhaps all of it would come under further scrutiny from the Federal Government?"

Hernandez: "Thank you, Representative, for emphasizing that.

That is correct. It's potentially hindering 22 billion, our

Medicaid net."

Demmer: "And the federal match on that would be probably what 15 billion or so. So we're talking about an understanding and agreement the state has through a Maintenance of Effort agreement that extends through 2019, that, if violated, could potentially put at risk up to \$15 billion in federal matching funds the state currently receives."

Hernandez: "That's correct."

Demmer: "Thank you for bringing this Bill. I had plan to support it."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Speaker Lang: "Representative Gabel."

Gabel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. I... I want to thank the Representative for bringing this Bill forward. This is a program that has been able to bring Illinois to insure just about all of our children. We are a model for the country and I'm very proud of the program. We all know that children, if they're not healthy, they can't do well in school and they can't learn. So, I strongly support this Bill and encourage everyone to vote 'aye'. Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Pritchard."

Pritchard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Pritchard: "Representative, just a... a little bit more. I think we've had some good questions and answers to issues around this. Are the children that are applying for medical help getting prompt treatment, and is the state paying for those services or like a lot of the health care for state employees, there's unpaid bills."

Hernandez: "Representative, it depends on where the families go and naturally, there would... there will be some bills that are delay in payment."

Pritchard: "But you don't have any idea of whether this is largely paid on a prompt basis or if this also is creating duress for our medical providers."

Hernandez: "There is an expedited plan, as well as... or there is a not. But it... it is pressed upon that the bills get paid."

Pritchard: "And the... the comments have been made about 39 thousand children under this program. Is that 100 percent of the children that are involved in the ALL KIDS program?"

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Hernandez: "No, not at all. So, there is three parts to this program. This one is just the Covering ALL KIDS, which it... it aims at the 39 thousand that we're talking about, but the overall Medicaid effects about 1.6 million children."

Pritchard: "Okay. Well, thank you for bringing this Bill forward."

Hernandez: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Lady yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Flowers: "Representative Hernandez, thank you very much for extending the sunset date on this very important legislation.

But I do have one question. In regards to the redetermination, in 2009, did we do re... redetermination in 2009?"

Hernandez: "Yes, we did."

Flowers: "Okay. I just wanted the clarification. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Mitchell."

Mitchell, B.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Can you... thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise... To the Bill. To the... the Members of the Illinois House need to know this. I voted against the original program when I think it was back in 2005-2006. I said at... I said at the time, there are undocumented immigrants in this Bill. Subsequently, the audit after audit has proven me correct. Since the inception of the program, the State of Illinois... the State of Illinois that is broke has spent \$320 million on undocumented immigrants; \$320 million that we could have spent on our schools, on our seniors, but the Illinois House chose to take a different path. I voted 'no'

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

then and I was right. I will vote 'no', now. And I urge... and I will be right. I urge the House to vote 'no'."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Harris."

Harris, G.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And to the Bill. I want to commend the Representative for bringing this Bill forward. This is one of the single most important pieces of legislation that we vote on that will keep costs down... that will be sure that every child in Illinois is kept healthy and are treated in a preventative way before they become a larger cost to the state. And I also want to thank the Lady from DuPage for bringing up the very important point that we stand the risk of losing all of our Medicaid funding because of Maintenance of Effort... understanding, the Bill... if this Bill does not pass and become law. And as we look at a lot of things we're going to be doing in the context of our budget, this Maintenance of Effort issue is going to come up over and over and over again. We should not be putting ourselves at risk of losing billions of dollars of ... of federal money that comes to the State of Illinois, nor should we be putting ourselves in a position where we would actually have to pay the Federal Government hundreds of millions of dollars back because the State of Illinois has failed to hold up its end of the bargain."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Ammons."

Ammons: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Sponsor and to the Bill.

I just want to thank Representative Hernandez for bringing this important piece of legislation during my time here in the House. A nation is really, really measured on two important criteria; one of them is how you treat your

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

children, and the other is how you treat your seniors. And this is a good Bill for great measurement of our state. Thank you so much, Representative Hernandez."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Hernandez to close."

Hernandez: "I just want to thank and appreciate the... the debate.

I also want to ta... thank the Health and Family Services

Department for having me carry the Bill. It was them who
brought me... they were the ones who brought me the Bill. I am

very humble and proud to carry this Bill because really, in

reality, who does not want good health for our children. Thank

you."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Sir Moylan. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 77 voting 'yes', 38 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 5561, Mr. McSweeney. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 5561, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. McSweeney."

McSweeney: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 5561 would encourage voluntary dual credit partnerships between community colleges and high schools. It's modeled after a program in my district, it's a pilot program for three years. There's no... no mandates for participation. Programs that are in existence now can continue. There is no opposition. I would appreciate a 'yes' vote."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please take the record. There are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Resolution 1121, Representative Nekritz. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "House Resolution 1121, offered by Representative Nekritz.

RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE NINETY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that April 19, 2016 be recognized as Education and Sharing Day in the State of Illinois."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Nekritz."

Nekritz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I am really delighted and honored to be presenting House Resolution 1121 today to recognize today as Education and Sharing Day in the State of Illinois. I am joined up in the Speaker's Gallery by Rabbi Avraham Kagan and Rob... Rabbi Meirmoscowitz, both of whom I... if they're not constituents, they're right close by to my district. So, I'm delighted to recognize them today. This is an honor of Rabbi Menachem Mendel Schneerson, who was a Lubavitcher Rabbi that... who focused a lot... was... was a world renounced scholar and teacher, and who focused very much on education, service, charity, and good will. And I'd... so, that's what this Resolution is honoring today. I'd also like to just recognize Rabbi Daniel Mochavic... Mosaowitz who was a leader in the Lubavitch-chabad movement in Illinois, and really expanded that movement in Illinois and... and was a

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

tremendous leader. He passed away a couple years ago right about this time. And so, we want to be think… keep… keeping him in our thoughts and prayers, as well. So with that, I would ask for the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Resolution will say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The ayes have it. And the Resolution is adopted. House Bill 1380, Mr. Phelps. Out of the record. House Bill 6328, Mr. Turner. Mr. Turner. Out of the record. House Bill 4536, Mr. Walsh. Out of the record. House Bill 4501, Mr. Yingling. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 4501, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Yingling."

Yingling: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. 4501 is a continuation of a policy that the General Assembly put into place. It's a follow-up on a Bill that Representative Conroy ran and other legislation I know Representative Franks has run. As we all know, Illinois has more units of government than any other state in the country. And what this would do, this is a permissive Bill, so it would extend consolidation powers to counties. And I think it's important to note that this only affects appointed boards within the county. It does not affect elected boards. This has substantial bipartisan support; it's a good measure to move forward. And I'm happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 93 voting

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

'yes', 19 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4522, Mr. Hoffman. Mr. Hoffman. Out of the record. House Bill 4872, Mr. Cabello. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4872, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Cabello."

Cabello: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 4872 provides that a photo or a copy of the United States currency is deemed to be competent evidence in the same extent as the currency itself. What we're trying to do here is... the reason for change in a case where large amounts of currency are used, it can be impractical to introduce the actual currency into evidence because of its size, weight, and accountabl... or excuse me... its unavailability. We're also trying to free up some for our undercover operations. And there are no opponents. And I would respectfully ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Cabello moves for the passage of the Bill.

Those in favor will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Flowers. Please take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 6086, Representative Kifowit. Representative Kifowit. Out of the record. House Bill 4528, Representative McAsey. Representative McAsey. Out of the record. House Bill 5551, Representative Williams.

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Representative Williams. Out of the record. House Bill 6069, Representative Willis. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 6069, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Willis."

Willis: "Thank you. This Bill creates the credit for Prior Learning Act. This Act is an initiative of the Illinois Colle... Community College Board. What the... it will do is it allows them to come up with guidelines to look at prior learning. That means employment, training, experiences outside of the academic environment where skills that comp... comprise terminal objectives are mastered and those can be acceptable for a college credit. It is not a mandate; it is a guideline to come up with the guidelines on what does constitute prior learning so that students can earn college credit. It's mostly for nontraditional students that have already been out in the work field and that are going back to return for their education. I urge an 'aye' vote. And I'm willing to take any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Ammons."

Ammons: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just a correction to the record.

For House Bill 4072, I intended to vote 'yes' on that."

Speaker Lang: "The record will reflect your intention."

Ammons: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "On Representative Willis's Bill, those in favor will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Evans. Please take the record. There are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

hereby declared passed. House Bill 1052, Mr. Yingling. Out of the record. House Bill 4447, Representative Kelly Burke. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 4447, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Burke."

Burke, K.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 4447 is a cleanup, just changing some technical terms and definitions and adding a couple provisions to the Bill passed last year regarding the Parentage Act. I urge an 'aye' vote. And I will take any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Evans, Riley. Please take the record. There are 106 voting 'yes', 9 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 6041, Mr. Moffitt. Please... You gave both signals, Sir. Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 6331, Representative Cassidy. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 6331, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Cassidy."

Cassidy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 6331 could possibly be described as a magic unicorn. This is a... an initiative of the Cook County Sheriff's Office that seeks to sync State Law with existing Federal Laws that are designed to prevent stalkers from owning or possessing firearms. And we worked with the National Rifle Association

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

to work out this language. So, I am standing before you presenting a Bill that is agreed to by the NRA."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves, Members. Mr. Thapedi. Brady. Unes. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 99 voting 'yes', 15 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4232, Mr. Reis. Mr. Reis. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 4232, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Reis."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Reis: House. House Bill 4232 is a Bill that is long overdue. One of the unus... fortunate consequences of this budget impasse is that School Construction Grants, for schools that were given the go ahead to start construction, have been tied up and that money has not been appropriated to be released by the Capital Development Board. It is not GRF money, it is capital bonds that are from the last Capital Bill. So, this money would... or this Bill would free up the \$74 million to go to these schools. Bipartisan, Democrat, and Republicans are on this list and many of which have had to go out and borrow money to continue construction or halt construction this spring because they've run out of funds. So, I hope I could have your support so that the schools could get their school construction grants."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Franks."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Franks: "So, you're saying this is not GRF funds; this is Capital funds."

Reis: "Yes."

Franks: "Have the bonds already been sold?"

Reis: "No. There's no money in the accounts, so they will have to go and issue no… new bonds."

Franks: "Do we have any idea what that's going to cost the taxpayers."

Reis: "Well, maybe a little bit more than it was before."

Franks: "Right."

Reis: "But never the less, these schools were given the go-ahead and..."

Franks: "No, it's not... I understand. I'm not against school construction."

Reis: "But what... what I'm... I'm..."

Franks: "I'm not arguing against school construction."

Reis: "I want to finish answering your question."

Franks: "I want to know dollars and cents."

Reis: "We would have had to issue the bonds anyway because they were promised; we would still owe interest on those bonds."

Franks: "Well, there's a lot other..."

Reis: "The interest rate may be a little bit higher."

Franks: "...a lot other promises have been made and not fulfilled either 'cause we could then bond the entire budget if we're going to do that argument. But what I'm trying to find out is how much it's going to cost the taxpayers on this. So, you're talking \$74 million. Do we have any idea what the... what the

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

- bond ratings would be and who would be issuing the bonds? Would it be the State of Illinois or would it be a subset of the State of Illinois?"
- Reis: "I'm pretty sure it's the State of Illinois and I think we just issued some bonds not too long ago, 6 or 7, 8 percent, so."
- Franks: "What's the revenue source?"
- Reis: "This is from the Capital Bill from 2009. So, it'd be part of the video gaming, the increase on license plates, and driver's license and the income... or the sales tax on health and beauty aids."
- Franks: "Is that a stable source of revenue at this point? Has it been decreasing or increasing in the last five years?"
- Reis: "By all indications, it's been pretty steady. Unless we repeal part of those health and beauty aids. Which there's a Bill for that this year."
- Franks: "That's what I'm wondering. So, I'm wondering how much has the revenue been on those tha… with… what you're relying on for this revenue source? What has the revenue been last year, for instance?"
- Reis: "I don't have that information, Representative."
- Franks: "I'd like to know that 'cause I'd like to know whether we'd be able to pay the bonds with the revenue source."
- Reis: "Well, I'm sure the bonding companies would want to know that too. And if they're going to issue us the bonds, they're comfortable with it."
- Franks: "Well, before we vote to issue bonds, don't you want to make sure that we can pay for them?"

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Reis: "Representative, the State of Illinois promised these schools this money."

Franks: "So, the State of Illinois has made a lot of promises that it hasn't kept."

Reis: "Well..."

Franks: "Primarily because of our ineffectiveness here in this room."

Reis: "We won't... I won't argue with that one bit, we agree on that."

Franks: "Right. So, there's a lot of promises, but I also know that your Governor said he didn't want to take on anymore debt and increase the debt. So, where is the Governor on this Bill when he said he didn't want any more debt being taken on in this state?"

Reis: "The Governor supports my Bill as he has since I introduced it last June."

Franks: "Okay. I hope he's then supporting other... other measures then to fund other promises that we've made to social service agencies, for instance and to higher education, and for other things while our state is crumbling around us. 'Cause, you know, we're looking at Chicago State that might close in two weeks. I don't see anybody doing a bond for them and we made a promise there. Wouldn't that be correct?"

Reis: "Is that a rhetorical question?"

Franks: "Yeah. No, it's not. I'm... I'm serious. 'Cause you're arguing that we made a promise that we should bond it. Well, if we're going to use that logic, why don't we do it for everybody then?"

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Reis: "I'm sure there'll be lots of Bills coming up for that over the next couple weeks."

Franks: "Well, I think it would be easier if we just passed a budget and quit this charade. Because if we did pass a budget we wouldn't need this Bill and that's the problem with this.

'Cause we don't... we're not sure what the revenue source is..."

Reis: "Representative, the Bill that the Democrats passed last year, that they say funded State Government, did not include this."

Franks: "Okay."

Reis: "Did not include this last year."

Franks: "So, why don't we have an omnibus budget and work on it?

Because... and then again we have this issue. Could you please
list the schools who will be receiving money in this?"

Reis: "I can. I'm sure there... it's on everyones..."

Franks: "It... it's not on my analysis..."

Reis: "...synopsis."

Franks: "...unfortunately."

Reis: "Okay. Mundelein High School, Flora Community High School, Mount Vernon Township High School, Knoxville, Grand Ridge Community... School District, Meridian, South Central, Chester Community, Pleasant Plains, East Peoria, Wayne City, Marion, Meridian, and Hazel Crest."

Franks: "How were those chosen?"

Reis: "Many, many years ago with the CDB, they have a very extensive list... criteria that they go through and a lot of these have been waiting over 10 years to do their project. They requalified when the Capital Bill passed and they were given the go-ahead. Some schools are already finished and had

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

to borrow money to pay the contractors. One of the schools that still owed \$11 million just had to go and issue new bonds because the contractors were going to go pull out a month ago. And the old school is being dismantled as the new one's being built, so those kids have nowhere to go this fall unless they get the \$11 million to finish this."

Franks: "How many other schools are on this... the CDB's list that weren't included in this Bill?"

Reis: "Oh, dozens, since I think this is from 04'. So every..."

Franks: "Well, that concerns me. I... I'm just not sure what the criteria was for certain schools to be included and not others."

Reis: "Representative, I appreciate your questioning. We... we could debate all of that."

Franks: "Well, you're the one bringing the Bill."

Reis: "These are schools that were approved two years ago. They were approved to go ahead and bid construction and the ha... and the state halted their grants last July 1st."

Franks: "So, these are only schools that are in the midst of construction?"

Reis: "Yes or already finished that are still owed money."

Franks: "Are there any others that are... that are on... that have not been added to this list that are in construction or have finished?"

Reis: "No."

Franks: "I'd like to know that."

Reis: "Not that I'm aware of."

Franks: "Well, I need to know that because if some were left off. I mean, I... what about community colleges? Haven't some

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

of them started some of their stuff and then they had to stop because the state didn't do it? I mean, I want to have a list of where these things... I just don't understand what the criteria was to get into your Bill."

Reis: "CDB and ISBE made the choices of which schools they were going to allow to move forward and get cost share from this program."

Franks: "Based on what?"

Reis: "Need, the population, age of schools. They have a list that's been..."

Franks: "But... but there was obviously some that were left off and we... an... and to your argument that, you know, we should have passed a budget, there was a Bill I think it was Senate Bill 2046 that had all this in here and I think it passed the House."

Reis: "That came from GRF."

Franks: "Okay."

Reis: "That makes no sense."

Franks: "Okay."

Reis: "Makes no sense to pull that money out of GRF."

Franks: "Well, I'm concerned because I don't know what else is left off and why? You haven't told me the criteria that CDB has used."

Reis: "We've had a program in place since 2000 or earlier, probably back to Illinois First, a criteria of how schools are chosen. It's the same program. We're just now up to 2004; 2002 were funded with the Capital Bill, 2003 were, now we're up to 2004. That's how far behind they are on construction projects."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Franks: "I miss... I just want to know if you guys then are going to be doing the same on the other folks that have had promises as well, that the state is not fulfilled whether you're prepared then to go to bonding to pay for these things or whether we're going to have a serious discussion on the budget."

Reis: "I hope the latter."

Franks: "I'd like to hear the rest of the debate."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Kay."

Kay: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Kay: "So, I'd like to follow up if I may on some of the questions that the last speaker raised. With regard, David, to the issue of bonding, are we bonding just interest or are we bonding principle and interest?"

Reis: "We... we'll be doing both. We'll have to issue the bonds in order to pay the grants to the schools and then we'll have to pay those bonds back which include interest."

Kay: "So, based on your history in the General Assembly, is that
unusual?"

Reis: "Not at all."

Kay: "In fact, not to be leading here because I hadn't even talked to you before this Bill, but back in 2004 and 5, it seems to me like we bonded left and right and we're still paying on those today at an enormous rate of interest. So, why the concern today when not yesterday?"

Reis: "Well, I understand there's lots of things that need to take place here in this chamber this year with regards to a whole host of issues, but this one is non-GRF. It was money

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

that was promised, contracts were signed with contractors to do these projects and the State of Illinois pulled the rug out from under them. And this isn't a partisan issue. There's Representative Phelps and Representative Bradly both have school projects on here. So, I mean, it's unfortunate that school boards have been put into this situation where they have to either borrow money or tell the contractors to leave on a commitment that the State of Illinois made."

Kay: "And how many breaches of contract have we had so far?"

Reis: "I can't answer that. I mean, we've had superintendents come up and meet with the Speaker's Office and us, and CDB.

But it's very, very expensive to do those breach of contracts and go into the unknown situation of when will you get that contractor back to finish the project."

Kay: "And how many lien holders do we have involved with respect
to these districts?"

Reis: "A lot."

Kay: "And how many jobs do we have with respects to these districts?"

Reis: "A lot."

Kay: "A lot. So, is there another way... more responsible way to do this then what you're doing today? Because it seems like we've followed a path in the last 20 years that's not terribly responsible. And I think what you're trying to do today is preserve jobs, preserve school districts, make sure that we're not in breach of contracts and do it so that we have our educational programs for students in schools that were already started, moving forward not backward. Is that correct?"

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Reis: "Absolutely."

Kay: "Thank you, Representative. I'm going to support your Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Phelps."

Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Phelps: "Hey, David, this is the Bill about Wayne County and Meridian that we went and talked to the Speaker on."

Reis: "Yes."

Phelps: "Congratulations on getting this to the floor, by the way. But you said a while ago that you said you had bipartisan support. And I definitely want to support this, but I'm looking at my analysis and I don't see anybody as cosponsor on our side. So, I'd like to make a request since we came together for this meeting, I'd like to be added and some of us on... on our side as well."

Reis: "I will put you on as chief cosponsor."

Phelps: "Thank you. Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Williams."

Williams: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Williams: "Representative, did you... how'd you vote on Senate Bill 2046 last week?"

Reis: "No."

Williams: "Are you aware that this exact language was contained in that Bill?"

Reis: "It's not exact, Representative. That money came out of GRF not from the School Construction Bond."

Williams: "Okay. So, I think we're nitpicking a little bit here because..."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Reis: "No, no, no we're not nitpicking. That would have thrown a..."

Williams: "Really, okay. Representative, you know, we have other projects, all of us are dealing with projects that are held up and being held... by hostage by the Governor. And these are projects whether it's school construction... I have a Green Infrastructure Grant that's on hold. To the Bill. I think the issue that we're dealing with here is, again, the piecemeal budgeting. I've been using the word piecemeal for a year now to describe what we've been doing in Springfield. This is ultimately the same example of this. And regardless of how the Bill was funded last week, I didn't hear anyone talking about how there was merit in any of those projects that were listed. We're not even talking about the critical human services programs that were contained in that Bill or any of the other projects that we are talking about dealing with in our districts, whether it's infrastructure grants that are on hold for no reason except for the political games that we keep on playing. I think it's important to recognize that once again almost a year later we are still playing these games with our grants, with our projects, with our human service providers, with our higher education institutions, which are in jeopardy of closing and never being the same again, because we are losing a very important quality of programming and quality of services that we are... are just wasting and throwing away with these continued games. And for you to come here and ask us to jump on board with this like it's something we just, you know, should be doing as a matter of course seems a bit absurd when everything else is being

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

just let fall to the wayside. So, I think that's something to keep in the front of your mind as we discuss this issue moving forward. And I'd like you to keep in mind, my Green Infrastructure Grant, one of my schools has been waiting for, for over a year."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Davis."

Davis, W.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Davis, W.: "Representative, exactly how much money are we talking about?"

Reis: "According to our analysis and this came from CBD and ISBE, 74,574,000."

Davis, W.: "Seventy-four million dollars and I guess, just out of curiosity, while any of the other current budgetary or dollar conversations that we've been attempting to have over the last few years have been held up by the Governor, no votes from your side of the aisle, subject to the Turnaround Agenda. So, why isn't this subject to the Turnaround Agenda?"

Reis: "Well, can't answer for the second floor, like I said the second floor does support this Bill and it gets a little bit broader when you made a decision two years ago to hire a contractor to do a multimillion dollar projects and then the State of Illinois doesn't come through with their commitment."

Davis, W.: Right."

Reis: "Do you to... do you send those contractors home or do you go borrow money? You know what it's like to sit at a table with three bankers and say Representative Reis and Senator

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Righter, should we loan the school district \$11 million in hopes that the State of Illinois will pay us back some day?"

Davis, W.: "Well, you know, it's interesting..."

Reis: "You know, that's... that's pretty scary."

Davis, W.: "You... you're right and it's interesting you say that because we have whether you want to call them contractors or providers who are asking those same questions and those banks are saying no, because they're un... it's uncertain as to whether or not they're going to ... going to get paid. So, again, to the extent of which we've had this protracted conversation about money mun... monetary money issues and there's been a lot of 'noes' from your side of the aisle because you want to see reform as part of some conversation relative to how we spend money, why isn't this subject to those same conversations because, again, we've heard from at least one Member who has projects? I think we all have contractors, providers, who have been promised like you said, promised money and that money is not being forwarded to them so they can provide services. So how does... how does this differ or what makes what you want to do any different from the things that we like to do relative to the state providing a resource so that something can happen?"

Reis: "We have filed a Bill to do the entire Capital program.

Let it out, we'll vote for it."

Davis, W.: "Who's we?"

Reis: "Our side of the aisle."

Davis, W.: "Okay. So, you... again..."

Reis: "It's filed under Leader..."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Davis, W.: "...you want us to vote 'yes' on something you want, when we could..."

Reis: "...but it includes all..."

Davis, W.: "...we can pull out a lot of Roll Calls..."

Reis: "...it includes..."

Davis, W.: "...that show on your side of the aisle, a lot of red votes for things that we've wanted to do."

Reis: "That is..."

Davis, W.: "So, again, what makes what you want so much better than what we want where you're trying to get us to jump on board and support your issue?"

Reis: "Well, first..."

Davis, W.: "What makes it... makes it so much better?"

Reis: "Will you let me answer one of your questions? You make it sound like everyone on your side of the aisle is against my Bill. They're not."

Davis, W.: "I'm not suggesting that they are."

Reis: "You say us."

Davis, W.: "I'm not suggesting that they are."

Reis: "Well, we're talking about two different things. We're talking about capital projects versus discretionary spending in the budget. We haven't come to an agreement on that. It's not just Governor Rauner holding it up. It's... you point fingers at us, you got three fingers pointing back at yourself."

Davis, W.: "Well, I'm looking at Roll Calls and all of your Roll Calls with dollars that we like to spend admittedly are 'no' on your side of the aisle. So that's... that's not pointing fingers."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Reis: "Senate Bill 20..."

Davis, W.: "Would you like me... would you like me to get copies of the Roll Calls and bring them to you, and show you where you guys voted?"

Reis: "Include 2390, 115 'yes' votes."

Davis, W.: "Okay. So, it had 15 'yes' votes."

Reis: "Look, Representative, we can argue about a lot of things.

If we want to..."

Davis, W.: "I'm not arguing."

Reis: "...have had more capital projects, we've introduced a Bill to fund all capital projects coming from monies that are non-GRF. Let's support that Bill. But this is for these school districts, nonpartisan, geographically located all over the state..."

Davis, W.: "So... so, the other issues..."

Reis: "...and they'd like to finish it."

Davis, W.: "...so, the other issues are partisan?"

Reis: "No, I'm telling you this one's not."

Davis, W.: "Well, you said nonpartisan as if what I'm suggesting for the other issues, they are partisan. Now, I guess we could call it partisan because, again, if we look at a lot of those Roll Calls no one on your side of the aisle voted 'yes' to help provide resources and fund those projects. So, I'm just... I'm just simply asking because, again, if we're talking money, you can make a difference between capital and discretionary, and that's fine, but we're talking about our ability to vote to allow money to flow from the State of Illinois to provide resources to projects. And I'm just trying to understand what makes what you want to do any different than what we've

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

attempted to do where we couldn't garner your support for what things that we wanted to do. And I get the bipartisanship because if you've got a school on that list, why would you vote against it? And I'm clear on that. And if you want to talk about the list, matter of fact, where is the list? Can we see the list? Can you pull this out of the record, maybe we can examine the list to see exactly who's on it, who's not on it?"

Reis: "No. Your staff should had... included it on your analysis."

Davis, W.: "Well, it's not; I'm just simply asking you."

Reis: "I'll get you a copy..."

Davis, W.: "If... if..."

Reis: "I'll get you a copy."

Davis, W.: "Okay. Well, can you pull it out so we can examine that first?"

Reis: "No."

Davis, W.: "Okay. That's fine. So, again, just trying to go back to what makes it... what makes what you want to do so different..."

Reis: "Representative, I can't..."

Davis, W.: "...then what we've attempted to do."

Reis: "...I can't speak for the 108... 117 Representative in this chamber. This is..."

Davis, W.: "I said you."

Reis: "...this is..."

Davis, W.: "You."

Reis: "...my schools asked me to help them get their money back in June. I introduced this boon... Bill back in June. I've talked to the Speaker, my superintendents have come up. This is what

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

we have here today. We have another Bill that includes all the capital projects, but they came up and Representative Phelps's superintendents came up, and this is the Bill before us. If we'd like to talk about the other capital Bill, we can."

Davis, W.: "Fine. To the Bill, very briefly, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, this represents some of the challenges that we've had. When we've put issues out there from this side of the aisle and ask for help and support from that side of the aisle, we've been turned back. We've been told no or it has to be subject to reform items from the Governor's Turnaround Agenda, whatever those reform items are. So, we have been stagnant in turns of trying to help contractors, providers, who are providing services, who have signed contracts, who had those same promises that the Representative is saying that his builder contractors have had relative to schools. So, I'm just really trying to understand what makes it so much different than what we've attempted to do. And if the Representative can't really answer that, then he's looking for something special. He's saying to hell with everything else. Our contract is going to build schools so give them the money so they can build the schools. Give this authority so the bonds can be sold so they can build schools. So, again, if that's the case, then maybe we can talk about supporting something that we want in exchange maybe for what you want, Representative, 'cause nobody's against building schools. We all want to see those things happen, but if that's the way it's going to be, then maybe there's an opportunity at reciprocity. So, maybe we vote 'no' on this Bill. It fails.

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

And then maybe you'll come talk to us about maybe some exchange that we can have to help support the people who provide services and do things in our districts, just like what you're looking for, in yours. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Ford."

Ford: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Ford: "Well, Representative, I think that you're doing exactly what you're supposed to do. You're supposed to try to represent your district. And I think that's what we try to do over... on this side of the aisle and on your side of the aisle, to make sure that we try to represent our district. Would you be willing to cosponsor and vote for a Bill that will help my district in the same way that this Bill helps your district?"

Reis: "Well, Representative, I have voted for some of your Bills.

But your district..."

Ford: "I mean, for school construction. So, this is a ideal time for me. I represent a area, it's the largest area in Chicago, the largest community in Chicago, the Austin Community and we actually need a traditional high school in the area. Will you support me by getting construction in this Bill and I'll vote for your Bill. Regardless of what the Democrats say on this side, I'll support your Bill. I got a Amendment ready."

Reis: "The... you... you can't jump ahead on... did you say a school construction project?"

Ford: "Yes."

Reis: "You can't jump ahead of the CDB list."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Ford: "Well, we... I mean, I can work with you to make sure that it's in order. If... if by chance I could fall in line with your Bill for my district, will you amend your Bill to allow my district to be represented in it?"

Reis: "Come on, Representative, you're asking me to..."

Ford: "No, it's a yes or no and that's it..."

Reis: "No."

Ford: "...just a simple question."

Reis: "No. For... tw... two reasons, you have to..."

Ford: "Okay. Thank you. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sullivan."

Sullivan: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves the previous question, Mr. Sullivan, there's only one more speaker. Would you indulge the chair? No. Gentleman moves the call of the previous question. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the previous question is put. Mr. Reis to close."

Reis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and to Representative Davis, I know that there's a lot of discussions we need to have. Hazel Crest is on this list and I'm f... I'm sorry I failed to mention it before. I don't know if it's in your district; I think it is. They're owed three and half million dollars and I'd sure like to get them their money. But anyway, this is a... a something that should not be held up any further. These construction projects should move forward so that the school districts can act fiscally responsible to their taxpayers, and more importantly, these schools can finish up and our students can start this summer. I ask for your su... 'aye' vote."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

- 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves, Members. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 70 voting 'yes', 37 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Chair recognizes Mr. Mitchell."
- Mitchell, B.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."
- Speaker Lang: "Please proceed, Sir."
- Mitchell, B.: "On a lighter note, I'd like to introduce the… in the gallery, on the Republican side, will the young ladies and I have two gentlemen, please stand up. Stand up. These are the State Junior High State Champion girls from Mount Zion, Illinois, one of the best school districts in the State of Illinois, state champs. And… and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have two young gentlemen, are the state champs in their weight class from Mount Zion Junior High. So, welcome to the State Capitol. We're glad to have you."
- Speaker Lang: "Happy you're here, thanks for joining us. Mr. Clerk, House Resolution 1172, Mr. Hoffman."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Resolution 1172, offered by Representative Hoffman.
 - RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE NINETY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that we congratulate the members of the Althoff Catholic Crusaders basketball team on winning the 2016 IHSA Class 3A State

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Championship, and we wish them continued success and happiness in the future."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of... of the House. And thank you Members of the House for that round of applause for the Althoff Crusaders. They're the 2016 Illinois High School Basketball Association Class 3A State Champions. This is the first and I repeat, the first time that any team... basketball team has won from the City of Belleville. There's been 7800 games over 99 seasons and the Crusaders are the first state champions. Once again, give them a round of applause."

Speaker Lang: "Congratulations. Happy you're here with us today.

Representative Jesiel."

Jesiel: "Mr. Speaker, please let the record reflect that on HB4501 I intended to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Lang: "The record will reflect your intentions. Mr. Brady."

Brady: "Point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "Proceed, Sir."

Brady: "Thank you very much. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, please welcome today my Page from Normal, Illinois, Kingsley Junior High School eighth grader, Mr. Owen Hayes. Owen, please stand up. He's with his father Jeremy, from my district, in the gallery."

Speaker Lang: "Welcome to the House chamber, thank you. Mr. Phelps."

Phelps: "Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "Proceed."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. If you don't care, please join me in wishing Larry and Theresa Walsh a happy 13th anniversary, today. I don't know how she's done it."

Speaker Lang: "No one knows. It's a secret. Mr. Riley."

Riley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of person... personal privilege, please."

Speaker Lang: "Proceed, Sir."

Riley: "I'd like to wish our Speaker, Michael Madigan, a very happy birthday today."

Speaker Lang: "Happy birthday, Speaker. House Bill 5785, Mr. Clerk. Leader Turner. Out of the record. House Bill 6328 on the Order of Third Reading, Mr. Turner. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 6328, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Turner."

Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I know, we got a lot to do today, so I'll try to keep this quick. House Bill 6328 basically does two things. It allows for individuals with prior convictions to file a petition to expunge a new criminal record, and that's only in the cases where the... the person was either released without charge, acquitted of the offense, dismissed of charges, or successfully completed an order of supervision, or successfully completed probation for a certain drug and alcohol offenses. The second thing the Bill does would remove the fees for a person filing petitions where records sought to be sealed or expunged was the result of an arrest with no

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

charge, acquittal, dismissal, reversal, or is overturned. That's basically the two things that the Bill would do. I'd be happy to answer any questions and would really appreciate the support of the Members."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield..."

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor will yield."

Sandack: "...for a few questions? Art, I know you worked hard on this, and we had some very good dialogue. And I know you're... you're moving quickly, and I appreciate that. This is an important Bill, so I'm hoping you can break down the two things your Bill does with a little more specificity, so that we have clarity of the record and people know what they're voting on."

Turner: "Yes, Sir."

Sandack: So, the first part talks about expungements for what type of offenses? Is it acquittals, dropped charges?"

Turner: "So, that's a great question. The first part of the Bill deals with... with removing the barrier of having a prior conviction on your record."

Sandack: "So, we're talking about the second offense."

Turner: "Right."

Sandack: "So, there was a prior offense, which means no more expunges."

Turner: "Exactly. Prior offense, no more expunges. Now, you have this second offense. Under this... if the second offense can result in any disciplinary action by the court was thrown out, dismissed, or anything along those lines, then this offense would be eligible for expungement."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Sandack: "So, we're talking about a second offense with a... a duration of time. And if the case was dismissed, an acquittal occurred, et cetera, it lets someone then get an expungement on the second charge, and the first is no longer a barrier."

Turner: "Th... the first is no longer a barrier. Although, the first charge is not removed..."

Sandack: "Correct."

Turner: "...from that person's record. Right."

Sandack: "It is what it is on the first one."

Turner: "Right. Exactly."

Sandack: "And the second component of your Bill talks about the fees related to this expungement, correct?"

Turner: "That's right, Representative."

Sandack: "And so, for a ceiling or expungement on the second thing would be no cost."

Turner: "No cost on the second offense that you're looking to have removed."

Sandack: "All right. Thank you for that. And I remember it was...
this was... this is an important Bill, and I thank you for bringing it."

Turner: "Thank you."

Sandack: "I think it's important, and I think it's consistent with some of the things we talked about with the Governor's program of trying to reduce prison populations and recidivism and giving people a chance for a job and... and the opportunity to earn a living for their family and themselves, et cetera."

Turner: "Yes, Sir."

Sandack: "Is ISP still opposed as we stand here?"

Turner: "Yes, in full disclosure..."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Sandack: "And could you address the... as best as you know, what their opposition is?"

Turner: "Right. So, ISP's objection is not to the first part of the Bill, the policy portion..."

Sandack: "Right."

Turner: "...but to the fiscal aspect of the Bill. They're set to...
there's a fiscal note that was attached to the Bill, and it
says that they can... they would miss out on about \$527 thousand
in revenue with these changes. And... and actually that... that's
the total revenue received from expunges, so it's not exactly
clear..."

Sandack: "So, that hasn't..."

Turner: "...how much."

Sandack: "...been broken out with respect to folks that would apply if this Bill were the law."

Turner: "Sure, because it's hard to guess at how many members or who would exactly become available on that end, but go ahead."

Sandack: "I was going to ask you, do you have any idea what numbers your Bill would capture based on the last two or three years of people who had prior convictions, who would be eligible going forward for an expungement on a matter dismissed or if there was an acquittal?"

Turner: "I do have a number for that. It was actually over the last two years, it would have been... this would have applied for 19 thousand people who had come..."

Sandack: "Oh, wow."

Turner: "...to court and didn't have ... and had their case thrown out or dismissed."

Sandack: "All right."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Turner: "Yes."

Sandack: "Well, thank you for all the answers..."

Turner: "Thank you so much."

Sandack: "...Representative. Again, I commend you on bringing this Bill. It's an important Bill. And to the Bill, Mr. Speaker. While I respect ISP's concerns over the expense, certainly we should not be dismissing any type of revenue the state could get, especially to re... for reimbursement associated with the expenses associated with running the department. However, I think this is a very good Bill. I think this is something that's necessary. And I think it gives people a path for hopefulness towards getting a job. And which I think is the most important thing there is. So, I intend to vote 'yes'. Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Please record yourselves, Members. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 53 voting 'yes', 59 voting 'no'. And the Gentleman asks for Postponed Consideration. Mr. Mitchell, for what reason do you rise, Sir?"

Mitchell, B.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm usurping my colleague to my left. John Anthony is excused for the day."

Speaker Lang: "Record will so reflect. Mr. Andrade."

Andrade: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was in the back when House Bill 4232 came up. Please let the record show my intention to vote 'yes' for the Bill."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Speaker Lang: "The record will reflect that, Sir. Thank you.

House Bill 4518, Mr. Davis. Mr. Davis. 4518, Sir. Please read

the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 4518, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Davis."

Davis, W.: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 4518 is an initiative of the Health Services and Facilities Review Board. It's an attempt to make some technical changes to further amend... or further clarify how the board interacts with the Illinois Department of Public Health. And it makes some specific definitions as to what are considered 'health care facilities' that would otherwise be under their jurisdiction. Be more than happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Sandack: "Will, thi... this isn't my favorite board, so I'm interested... and... and I know I have no effect on this actual Bill because I've had a few interactions with this board. Tell me what it does, insofar as how this board is to interact with the Illinois Department of Public Health. What specifically is going to happen now that hasn't happened because of your Bill?"

Davis, W.: "Well... well, currently, the board has
Intergovernmental Agreements with the Department of Public
Health in terms of some of the services that the board needs.
And what I mean by services, because they don't do direct

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

services, we're talking about payroll, you know, HR-related services. So, this Bill gives them the ability to, if they desire, to then seek those services from CMS for... for instance. So, it just clarifies that they don't have to do the... have to contract the services from the Department of Public Health, and they can seek other agencies in which to obtain those services."

- Sandack: "Will, hel... help me. Because I thought the Health Review Board basically looked at Certificates of Need and gave out licensure and kind of depended on the landscape of the locality to determine if someone qualified, if there was a vital need, so that an emergency from the hospital or something could occur. How does that affect any interactions with the Illinois Department of Public Health?"
- Davis, W.: "Well, the… how the… I guess the statute that ultimately created the… the board, again, that's a very small shop in State Government."

Sandack: "Right."

- Davis, W.: "So, they interact, for a lack of a better word, through Intergovernmental Agreement with the Department of Public Health to provide those operational services."
- Sandack: "Okay. So you're saying right now the statute doesn't permit that, or this language you're offering helps clarify that they can do that?"
- Davis, W.: "Th... well, that they can seek those operational services from another agency like CMS. It doesn't impact how the board operates in terms of Certificates of Need."

Sandack: "Okay."

Davis, W.: "It doesn't mess with any of that."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

- Sandack: "All right. That's why I was questioning it. Because I...

 I've seen that operation. I'll... I'll save my opinions for other times, and we'll talk privately, but I just wanted to see what it is exactly what your Bill did. Thank you for answering my questions."
- Davis, W.: "Thank you."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Turner in the Chair. Chair recognizes Representative David Harris."
- Harris, D.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Question of the Sponsor?"

 Speaker Turner: "The Sponsor will yield."
- Harris, D.: "Representative, does this Bill do away with the Illinois Health Facilities Planning and Services Review Board?"
- Davis, W.: "It does not do away with either the Health Services and Facilities Review Board, nor does it eliminate the RTA."
- Harris, D.: "Th... that... from my perspective, that's unfortunate, but that... we'll leave that go for the time being. Specifically, to the Bill. The... the Bill is an initiative of the board. Is that correct?"
- Davis, W.: "Yes, it is."
- Harris, D.: "And as I read it, it says here that it removes the language modifying the definition of 'health care facilities'. Isn't that what they do? They review health care facilities. Why... why are we removing the definition of a 'health care facility'?"
- Davis, W.: "Well, I think it's..."
- Harris, D.: "Is this to give them broader authority?"
- Davis, W.: "No. No, this doesn't change their authority. Again, this is more cleanup language than anything. But it just kind

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

of further defines, I guess, the authority they have for facilities that are considered health care facilities, which does fall under their jurisdiction."

Harris, D.: "And they have to now have an Intergovernmental Agreement with IDPH to get their..."

Davis, W.: "I'm... I'm sorry. I couldn't hear you Rep..."

Harris, D.: "They now have to have an Intergovernmental Agreement with IDPH for support. Is that correct?"

Davis, W.: "Well, that's ... that's currently how it works..."

Harris, D.: "Say again."

Davis, W.: "...that... that they provide... that they get that operational support from IDPH."

Harris, D.: "Right."

Davis, W.: "So, this just kind of releases them from the mandate to get that support from..."

Harris, D.: "Oh, I'm sorry."

Davis, W.: "...IDPH."

Harris, D.: "It removes the requirement."

Davis, W.: "Removes the requirement..."

Harris, D.: "According... Okay."

Davis, W.: "...so they can seek those services from an entity like CMS."

Harris, D.: "Okay. And then the… it… it maintains current law on the section regarding permit denial of facilities licensed under a certain… under the Mental Health Rehabilitation Act. Specifically, what does that mean? What are they doing there?"

Davis, W.: "Hold on. Can you repeat that?"

Harris, D.: "Okay. They took it out. My staff just filled in what that was. So, going back on discontinuation of services.

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

If services are discontinued by a health care facility, what happens now? Is there a requirement that they report to the board or any report needs to be filed?"

- Davis, W.: "Yes. They do have to go through a process which...
 with the board and there are intervals in which that happens.
 The board is very proactive in reaching out to the facilities
 to makes sure that they send the information with regard to
 the discontinuation. So, if anything, our attempt here is
 just to further make sure we're... that they're clear and that
 those agencies or organizations, excuse me, that have to
 provide that information that they understand exactly how
 they are to provide it."
- Harris, D.: "Okay. And I know you... you have... you feel that this board is needed. I feel that it's not needed. Let's just for one second talk about those discontinuation of services reports. When they go for... when they discontinue services, they no longer need to go before the board to discontinue those services. Is that correct?"
- Davis, W.: "I be... I believe... I don't... I'm not sure, but I believe they don't have to go before the board, but there is a process that they have to submit documentation to the board relative to the discontinuation of those services."
- Harris, D.: "Right. And I think you're correct. Th... the situation now is they do not have to go before the board to actually present their case for discontinuation; they simply have to provide reports."
- Davis, W.: "Yes."
- Harris, D.: "But why... why then would not they provide an impact of what they're discon... what they're discontinuing of the

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

service is going to be? Why wouldn't they do that before? Why do they now have to have another report afterwards?"

Davis, W.: "Well, I think... I think if... if I understand correctly, initially that report would go to Department of Public Health.

And so, this is..."

Harris, D.: "Okay."

Davis, W.: "...making sure that the board, because they oversee those facilities to some degree, making sure that they receive the information so that they can better monitor the inventory that they are tasked with..."

Harris, D.: "Okay."

Davis, W.: "...overseeing."

Harris, D.: "Thank you for the answer to the question. And very briefly to the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have discussed the Illinois Health Facilities Planning and Services Review Board at any number of occasions. I contend that it's an interference with the free market. It's a holdover from... from planning days when we... we planned what health care services were going to be. What's happening in the health care market nowadays is we have all sorts of different variations, not just hospitals, but ambulatory surgical treatment centers, and FQHCs, and all sorts of variabilities taking effect now. And to force them to go before this board, both before and after, and file all these reports is nothing more than a bureaucratic nightmare. While I think the Gentleman's Bill is reasonable to do what he wants to do, the board itself, in my opinion, should be eliminated. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Franks."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Franks: "Following up on the previous speaker, I don't want to reiterate some of the stuff he said because I think, you know, I... I disagree with this board as well. I don't think it should be around. But I'm reading our analysis, and I want to make sure that I'm... that I'm getting it correctly. One of the reasons they say, our analysis, that they want this Bill is so that the Health Facility Board can become a stand-alone entity in the future. Is that your understanding of the reason for this?"

Davis, W.: "Well, I guess it would... What do you mean by standalone? I mean they're currently..."

Franks: "I can't... I'm having trouble hearing you."

Davis, W.: "I think they currently are a state entity in and of itself. They're quasi-governmental. They have an operating board. So..."

Franks: "They... they want to become... I think they made three times in our analysis that it's supporting the board's cause to try and become an independent entity."

Davis, W.: "Well, I... I think that's making reference to the current agreement that they have with DPH to provide some of their operational support. So, it's not doing anything different in terms of making them a stand-alone board. It's just clarifying that they don't have to seek those operational supports originally which... the way it was. They don't have to seek those operational supports..."

Franks: "From DPH."

Davis, W.: "...from DPH."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Franks: "So, what they're trying to do is bring it in-house."

Davis, W.: "Bring where?"

Franks: "'Cause if they need support, and they're saying they don't want to get it from DPH, it... it seems to me that they're trying to become a stand-alone entity, so not to have to rely on another entity to provide support so they could do the support themselves in-house."

Davis, W.: "No. No, not at all."

Franks: "Okay. Explain again."

Davis, W.: "Again... again, they're... they're... they are a small shop. They are a small shop. So, the operational supports like payroll, HR functions, they don't have the capacity to do that currently. But this just says that if they want to say talk to CMS about doing those functions in the same manner that CMS does it for a lot of other agencies, that they can go to CMS and seek those supports that it just... that it doesn't have to come specifically from DPH."

Franks: "Okay. All right. Thank you. And I really appreciate what the Spon... the Sponsor's answers. To the Bill. And... I understand what you're trying to do, but I think there's a bigger issue here. The question re... really ought to be should we per... be perpetuating a broken system that rations health care, encourages monopoly, increases... increases prices, and is a detriment to health care. Because by making it easier for them to stand alone or to work with other governmental entities, what we're doing is perpetuating a broken system that causes hardship and limits the amount of health care that people can get in the State of Illinois. I believe that this whole entity ought to be abolished. I believe the

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Governor probably believes this whole entity ought to be abolished. We have filed a Bill, Mr. Sandack and I, to have this abolished. I know the Governor is for that. So, to vote for this Bill would be perpetuating a broken system that needs to be scrapped. As a result, I would respectfully ask that the Members vote 'no', not in a repudiation of the Sponsor, because I think he's doing what he needs to do but in a much bigger picture. We need to reform health care in this state to make it affordable and accessible to all of our citizens and to stop the monopolies. Please vote 'no'."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Davis to close."

Davis, W.: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I can appreciate the comments of other speakers relative to the... to... to what the board does and the role that they play in health care system in ill... in Illinois. I disagree with that, with their assessment of it, because if we have a free market enterprise system in health care, then who protects to make sure that minority and underserved communities get health care? A free enterprise system means that folks can locate where they want to locate and do it in any manner in which they want to do it without being checked at all. And I'm sure if that's the system that we want relative to health care. We definitely want to make sure that communities, underserved communities, are being serviced in that arena. But that's not what this Bill does. Again, this is a technical Bill that speaks to how the Health Services Board can continue to operate and from which agency they could possibly seek services to. The idea of whether or not they belong... they should continue to operate as a board, that's another Bill and another discussion for

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

another day. But while they are here, we need to make sure that they are operating efficiently. We need to make sure they are operating effectively. And this Bill gives them that flexibility in order to do that. I certainly ask for your support."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4518 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record.

On a count of 99 voting 'yes', 13 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', House Bill 4518, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 5551, Representative Williams. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5551, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Williams."

Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This beal... this Bill deals with the rights of... parental rights of children who are in the custody of DCFS whose parents are actually incarcerated. And there's a steady number of parents and families in this situation. This Bill updates both the Child and Family Services Act and the Juvenile Court Act of 1987 to contemplate the incarcerated parent situation and make some commonsense changes to ensure that if it's in the best interest of the child, they're term... the parental rights would not be terminated just because a parent is incarcerated. I'd be happy to answer any questions. It is... there are no known opponents to the Bill."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Speaker Turner: "Seeing not debate, the question... Representative Sandack."

Sandack: "I was late to the switch. A question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Sandack: "Ann, it was almost impossible to hear you, and I apologize. Do you mind just quickly going through your Bill again just making..."

Williams: "Absolutely not."

Sandack: "...sure we have a clear record?"

Williams: "Absolutely not."

Sandack: "Thank you."

Williams: "So, this Bill provides for an update to the Child and Family Services Act as well as the Juvenile Court Act as to how parental rights are terminated for parents who are incarcerated. The law used to provide that if a child was in foster care for 15 out of 22-month period, their rights could be automatically terminated. Obviously, if a parent is incarcerated, sometimes they will exceed that amount of time. This still provides the parental rights can be terminated if it's not in the be... best interest of the child, but for the first time we're contemplating what is, unfortunately, a common situation, and that is children either born to incarcerated parents or children whose parents are incarcerated after they're born."

Sandack: "Thank you for that. Are there any opponents to your Bill?"

Williams: "I know of no opponents; it's supported by DCFS."

Sandack: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Williams to close."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Williams: "I appreciate your support on this important issue."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 5551 pass?'

All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Members, please record yourselves.

Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 76 voting 'yes', 34 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', House Bill 5551, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 5607, Leader Lang. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5607, a Bill for an Act concerning property. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Leader Lang."

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. This is an initiative of the State Treasurer. It came out of committee on leave. It would allow the State of Illinois to recover proceeds from matured, unredeemed U.S. savings bonds owned by Illinois residents that are in the possession of the Treasurer. This... this mirrors a program from the State of Kansas where the State Treasurer can take on the bonds and then attempt to get them to their rightful owner. At some point, they escheat to the state just like any other unclaimed property if no one claims it. They have to go through a court proceeding, et cetera, to be able to pull this off. I ask your support."

Speaker Turner: "On that, we have Representative Sandack."

Sandack: "Will the s... will the Leader yield for a question?"

Speaker Turner: "He will."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Sandack: "Lou, can you just explain why the reduction from seven to five."

Lang: "Can you try again, Ron? Thanks."

Sandack: "Yes."

Lang: "I'm sorry."

Sandack: "Can you explain the reduction in time from seven years to five? Or is five to seven, now that I think about it?"

Lang: "The Treasurer..."

Sandack: "Seven to five, yeah."

Lang: "...just felt that it was an appropriate thing to do."

Sandack: "Does it correlate to anything or does it something out of..."

Lang: "I... I... don't..."

Sandack: "...experience?"

Lang: "...think so, but he does have to go through a court procedure..."

Sandack: "Right."

Lang: "...and still has to follow the law regarding looking for these folks. Obviously, if the state..."

Sandack: "So, nothing procedurally changes with respect to that."

Lang: "In fact, I think it adds some procedural safeguards."

Sandack: "All right. But as far... insofar as a reduction in two years, as far as you know, you don't... you don't know where it correlates or what the impetus is?"

Lang: "There may be a reason. I, can't... have to tell you, I don't know at the present time."

Sandack: "Fair enough. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 5607 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 108 voting 'yes', 6 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', House Bill 5607, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 6057, Representative David Harris. Out of the record. House Bill 5584, Representative Wheeler. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5584, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Wheeler."

Wheeler, B.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 5584 consolidates the Round Lake Sanitary District and the Fox Lake Hills Sanitary District. All of the assets and responsibilities will fall under the Lake County Board. There are no opponents. And it'll be a great... cost savings to the board and the residents of Lake County. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 5584 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present, House Bill 5584, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4671 no, excuse me. Out of the record. House Bill 4589, Representative Feigenholtz. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4589, a Bill for an Act concerning liquor. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Feigenholtz."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Feigenholtz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 4589 is a Bill that is a trailer Bill to the Culinary and Hospitality Modernization Act. It defines what is food, and it also clarifies language about all-day drinks. I'm happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no... Oh, excuse me. Chair recognizes Representative Guzzardi."

Guzzardi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Feigenholtz, this Bill defines what is food?"

Feigenholtz: "It does."

Guzzardi: "What... what is food?"

Feigenholtz: "Well, ice is not food."

Guzzardi: "What is ice?"

Feigenholtz: "Frozen water. Any more questions, Mr. Guzzardi?"

Guzzardi: "That'll do it. Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "She indicates that she will."

Sandack: "Representative, because it was hard to hear over here, obviously, I'm a supporter; I'm on the board with you. But would you mind walking through what your Bill does because no one over here could hear you?"

Feigenholtz: "This is... the underlying Bill clarifies some language about brew kits, and other portions of the Bill define food. And it's... there's a piece in here that says ice is not considered food; this was the recommendation of some people. And it also clarifies... as you remember, last year we defined certain numbers of hours for happy hour, and what was unclear was whether or not establishments could continue to

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

have reduced prices for all-day drinks. So, this clarifies that."

Sandack: "So, this is basically an addition to defining food and what isn't food. A clarification and cleanup of the previous Bill we ran last Session..."

Feigenholtz: "Correct."

Sandack: "...that... it just puts some more defining terms and some clarifying language in the body of the statute."

Feigenholtz: "Correct."

Sandack: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Feigenholtz to close."

Feigenholtz: "I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4589 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', House Bill 4589, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, House Bill 4529, Representative Sandack. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4529, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 4529 is an initiative of the Capitol Development Board. This Bill simply transfers the Illinois Building Commission's function of identifying local building Codes to the Capitol Development Board, which does this right now. The CDB assumes this

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

responsibility, and the Illinois Building Commission basically no longer exists. So, this clarifies existing law and makes reality and the statutes in complete conformity. I'm happy to answer any questions. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 4529 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', House Bill 4529, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 119, Representative Flowers. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 119, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 119 would provide that each school may create a committee on retention of students. The committee would make a final recommendation on whether or not a student should be promoted. And... and it must consist of a board. And the Bill will review the school's decision in regards to retaining the student. House Bill 119 requires the committee to consider the performance and the evaluation of the teacher, as well as the student teacher, to determine if the student is being retained due to inadequate instructions. And furthermore, House Bill 119 also prohibits children with learning disabilities or have been undiagnosed as having a learning disability from being denied promotion to the next

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

level when the denial is directly related to their disability. And I'll be more than happy to answer any questions that you may have in regards to House Bill 119."

Speaker Turner: "The Chair recognizes Representative Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "The Sponsor will yield."

Sandack: "Thank you. Representative, to be clear, I'm looking at the analysis. You made some changes. This Bill... your Bill is permissive. Isn't that correct?"

Flowers: "It is permissive, yes."

Sandack: "It is not a mandate. Isn't that correct?"

Flowers: "No, it is not a mandate. You're correct."

Sandack: "And I know the Governor no longer opposes it. I think CPS has no position. Is there any remaining opposition to your permissive Bill?"

Flowers: "I know of no opposition to House Bill 119."

Sandack: "Thank you, Representative."

Flowers: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Pritchard."

Pritchard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "The Sponsor will yield."

Pritchard: "Representative, have you altered this from when we had it in committee?"

Flowers: "Oh, yes, drastically thanks to you and all the help that you gave me."

Pritchard: "Well, I certainly think that you've added a lot of permissive language here, rather than the mandatory language that we were talking about. Do you have any information on

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

whether school... the actual school centers in Chicago already have this kind of committee to review retention decisions?"

Flowers: "Representative, all I have to say is CPS is not opposed.

If they did have it, they would have testified to the fact that they did, and they did not."

Pritchard: "They did, but they did not."

Flowers: "They did not..."

Pritchard: "Testify."

Flowers: "...testify to the fact that they have such a committee.

They did not."

Pritchard: "So..."

Flowers: "And they do not have it; they do not have such a committee."

Pritchard: "So, this is a separate committee from those that are making the decision within in a school building, correct?"

Flowers: "Yes. And this committee will be set up by the superintendent and his designee, and the administrator of the instructions and the curriculum, and the principal of the school, and the school district, and a teacher in that particular area."

Pritchard: "And who would have made the determination to retain a student that..."

Flowers: "The final determination would be up to the committee, after they have in... investigated that all things that should have happened..."

Pritchard: "Did happen."

Flowers: "...has... has happened, yes."

Pritchard: "Okay. Thank you, Representative. It sounds like you've made a much improved Bill, and I hope this helps."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Flowers: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Franks."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "She indicates..."

Flowers: "Sure."

Speaker Turner: "...that she will."

Franks: "Representative, I'm a little unclear because of our analysis. Because here's what our analysis says. It says currently school boards must adopt a policy on student grade promotion. Okay? But your Bill, I think does not mandate it. It says it 'may'... each school board 'may' create a committee on the retention of students."

Flowers: "Representative, what you're reading as far as what's current... what's current law. This is different. This is a new policy in regards to the retention of the students."

Franks: "Okay. Versus grade promotion."

Flowers: "Right."

Franks: "Okay. Thank you for making that clear. And also, our analysis indicates that ISBE, IFT, and CTU are opposed. Is that incorrect?"

Flowers: "That was the underlying Bill. If you get an updated analysis, you'll see that there are no opponents to the Bill."

Franks: "All right. Thank you. Thank you for clarifying those two issues."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Flowers to close."

Flowers: "I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 119 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting
is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 105 voting 'yes', 6 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', House Bill 119, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 108, Representative Flowers. Mr. Clerk... Out of the record. House Bill 6163, Representative Crespo. Out of the record. Mr. Clerk, House Bill 4522, Representative Hoffman."

Clerk Bolin: "House..."

Speaker Turner: "Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4522, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speak... Mr. Speaker. This is an initiative of municipal volunteer fire departments. It indicates that a municipal volunteer fire department that... that answers a call of a nonresident for services rendered, may do the same thing as a fire protection district already has the power to do and that's charge for their... for the services that they provide. This just makes it consistent with what fire protection districts already can do. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Turner: "The Chair recognizes Representative Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "The Sponsor will yield."

Sandack: "Jay, can you walk through a little bit with more specificity what the Bill does as... and versus what current law right now permits?"

Hoffman: "I think there's a quirk in the current law. Current..."

Sandack: "Okay."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Hoffman: "...a current law allows fire protection districts that answer a call outside of their jurisdiction. For instance, there's a... the fire protection district who goes and answers the call right outside of a car crash. They then can get reimbursed by the insurance company when they're answering a nonresidence call. That's for the fire protection districts; however, a municipal volunteer district cannot get reimbursed."

Sandack: "So... Okay. So, the distinction is fire protection dis... districts can get service... provide services outside their jurisdiction subject to reimbursement for insurance, but a voluntary municipal department may not?"

Hoffman: "Currently they cannot."

Sandack: "Okay. What about a municipal department right now, not a voluntary department, but a municipal department? Can they do the very same thing a fire protection district can do?"

Hoffman: "Yes, I think so. Yes, they can."

Sandack: "Are... so this is... your Bill basically would bring parity to volunteer municipal departments with municipal departments and fire protection districts?"

Hoffman: "Yes. The specifics... specifically came from Fairmont City Fire Protection District, which is a municipal volunteer fire department. They always... they are right next to the interstate, and they always get called to address problems and crashes on the interstate. And this would allow them reimbursement, the same as everybody else."

Sandack: "And they're a volunteer department, evidently?"

Hoffman: "Yeah... yes."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Sandack: "And they're going and answering calls just outside their jurisdiction..."

Hoffman: "Yes."

Sandack: "...but not getting subject to reimbursement."

Hoffman: "Yes. So, the chief came to me and said, can we have parity in the law? And this not only just passed overwhelmingly last year, but it was on another provision that the Governor didn't like."

Sandack: "I think..."

Hoffman: "He liked this one."

Sandack: "...I remember that. So, last question, Jay. The fee structure that's set forth in here is equal to, not greater than the current fee structure for municipal departments and fire protection districts?"

Hoffman: "Yes."

Sandack: "So, it's pure parity?"

Hoffman: "Yes."

Sandack: "Thank you. Appreciate it."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Moffitt."

Moffitt: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "The Sponsor will yield."

Moffitt: "Representative, in... in some cases... the reference was made by prior speaker that this is outside their district.

This is simply... they're responding and these are nonresidents..."

Hoffman: "Yes."

Moffitt: "...that they're responding to."

Hoffman: "Yes. If I misspoke, yes. They're nonresidents. So… so, let's say that Fairmont… Fairmont Fire Protection District is

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

right by the interstate, and someone from Kansas has an accident on the interstate, their fire protection district could be right next to it or it could include it..."

Moffitt: "Right."

Hoffman: "...but if they answer that call, this brings parity to the system."

Moffitt: "Right. So, we're adding consistency. And if it's local folks there that need the assistance, they're all... already helping pay for this service. This is saying that other people... If it was someone from let's say Indiana and they're over here trying to recruit businesses away and then they need fire services. We think they should pay for that, or Wisconsin, or Iowa, or any of those other places, right?"

Hoffman: "Yes. And again, every other fire protection district can do that. This just allows..."

Moffitt: "Right. So it... it..."

Hoffman: "...municipal volunteers to do it."

Moffitt: "And if it was a resident of that protection area, they're not going to have to pay; they're already paying for the department. This is..."

Hoffman: "Right..."

Moffitt: "...others."

Hoffman: "...'cause they're already paying..."

Moffitt: "Yeah, thank you."

Hoffman: "...they're all paying through their taxes."

Moffitt: "It's good public policy. It really helps the taxpayers

of the district that's providing the service. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Ives."

Ives: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Ives: "I just had a question about the fee structure.

Occasionally munici... municipalities will go back and look over their fee structure and actually increase it based on the rates they're going to get back from Medicare. And you're tying it to a specific amount that are we going to have to go back and change these amounts or... or should we tie it more to a specific standard is my question more than anything?"

Hoffman: "The only thing that this Bill does is it adds vol... the volunteer municipal fire departments to what the already existing schedule is for other fire departments."

Ives: "Okay."

Hoffman: "It doesn't... this does not establish additional fee structure at all. And what this does is it actually will help the taxpayers in the volunteer municipal fire department because it'll ta... it'll lessen the burden for them when the fire department is answering calls of nonresidents who aren't contributing to that fire department's budget."

Ives: "Okay, I understand. I was just... I was just thinking that basically you are putting a dollar amount in here, in the Bill. Is the dollar amount in the actual Bill? Our analysis shows dollar amounts..."

Hoffman: "Is that...

Ives: "...'cause it may be better to just say..."

Hoffman: "If you..."

Ives: "...that it's the same..."

Hoffman: "...it... well..."

Ives: "...amounts."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Hoffman: "...can I just say, if you ask a question and then you...

Here's how it goes; you ask a question, I answer. You ask
another question, I answer."

Ives: "Yeah."

Hoffman: "But if you keep asking questions, and you never allow an answer, you never get an answer. So, you want me to just stand here and say nothing while you continue to ask questions, go ahead."

Ives: "I'm trying to clarify my question for you. Are you... are you asking for a specific dollar amount or should you... or are you better off tying it to that local district's amount? And if they decide to increase it, it increases automatically without coming back to the Legislature to ask for another increase, if you put in a specific dollar amount. That's my question."

Hoffman: "Okay. I got it. So, th... there is... there already is a specific dollar amount involved. We're not changing that. All we're doing is we're adding municipal fire... a municipal volunteer fire department to be able to access when a nonresident is served."

Ives: "Okav."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Hoffman to close."

Hoffman: "Yes. This is not changing the system. It just bring parity to the system for all fire departments. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4522 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting
is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record.

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

On a count of 85 voting 'yes', 27 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', House Bill 4522, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, House Bill 6226, Representative Hoffman. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 6226, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 6226 just attempts to make... when it comes to state project, it attempts to make parity with regard to the actions of the Department of Transportation that already exists with regard to federal projects. It would amend the Illinois Highway Code that provides it's unlawful for employees or agents of the Department of Transportation to falsify, distort, or misrepresent any facts relating to submission of plans, maps, specifications, cost of construction, bids or contracts for any department project. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Sullivan."
Sullivan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Sullivan: "Representative, we're trying to get to the bottom of the IDOT opposition. On our analysis it just says they don't like the way the Bill's written to some degree. Can you further elaborate on what you might think that is?"

Hoffman: "Yeah. Initially... initially they were concerned that the Bill was written... it would provide that they, if... if this... these misdeeds occurred, that it would provide that they would

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

have to rebid the project. So, instead of that, what we did is we said it'll have the same... the same criminal offenses that the federal does, and so we just adopted that. Their addi... their concern though is that they said that it doesn't have language that if the contactor commits these misdeeds that it's a criminal offense."

Sullivan: "Okay."

Hoffman: "On the... on the federal side, it does say that. This just simply says, I believe, that if a contractor commits the misdeeds that we're talking about here, they would be guilty of fraud, and they could be prosecuted accordingly."

Sullivan: "Okay. So, your Bill, in essence, is trying to tie state statute with federal statute. IDOT thinks that we should also have the same penalties as federal on the contractor side, so that's probably the basis of their opposition. Is that fair enough?"

Hoffman: "Yeah, because we took care of their initial opposition that said that they'd have to rebid the project. We didn't say that here. It just makes it... we just mirrored the penalty."

Sullivan: "Okay. Now, and I guess I'm not a lawyer. I don't know the aspect of what would a contractor criminally be liable for fraud. Is it a lower penalty than say what you have introduced for the administration side? I guess, I un... what I'm trying to say is parity..."

Hoffman: "I'm going to try... I'm try..."

Sullivan: "...is parity."

Hoffman: "Yeah, I'm... I'm trying to get the answer."

Sullivan: "Okay."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Hoffman: "The question... so, I understand your question. I sa... wh... what I indicated is if... if a contractor commits the actions of... of misrepresenting facts, cost of construction on maps or plan specifications. Your question is whether or not if they are prosecuted under the current criminal statutes of fraud, whether that is less or more than what is contained..."

Sullivan: "Yes."

Hoffman: "...here. Can I ask staff real quick?"

Sullivan: "Yes."

Hoffman: "The answer is a violation is punishable by a fine of not more than \$10 thousand and/or imprisonment of not more than five years. That's under this..."

Sullivan: "Yes."

Hoffman: "...statute. I... I believe that the... that it depends on the amount of the fraud..."

Sullivan: "Right."

Hoffman: "...under the... the other... the Criminal Code as to what imprisonment or fines would be applicable."

Sullivan: "Okay. Well, that... that's fine. I... I guess I do understand their concerns about maybe we should have parity, and maybe we can look at that going forward. But thank you for answering my questions."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Franks."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Franks: "Following up on the previous speaker, Representative.

I'm... I'm looking at our analysis, and it looks to mo... to me
as though IDOT's arguing that mistakes happen, but they say
there's never intentional misrepresentation regarding work

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

contracts. That's... they must have testified to that in committee 'cause that's..."

Hoffman: "I don't believe that they showed up in committee for it."

Franks: "Yeah, that... that's what our analysis shows. But I'm...

I'm wondering what you're trying to do, and it makes perfect
sense to me, this is for someone who intentionally falsifies,
or distorts, or misrepresents. This is not an error that
happens. This is one that have to be intentional, correct?"

Hoffman: "Ye... yes."

Franks: "Okay. So you're just trying to match it up with the Federal Laws, and if people are intentionally misrepresent... misrepresenting, or falsifying, or distorting documents or numbers that they would be held accountable."

Hoffman: "Yes."

Franks: "Okay. That seems to make perfect sense, and I support your Bill."

Hoffman: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Sandack: "Representative, I'm a little late to the game. I heard my previous colleagues and previous speakers. Is it fair to say, and I'm looking at the statute, the text itself, that your Bill is only directed against a department for an act of misrepresentation and no one else?"

Hoffman: "Currently under... currently under Federal Law, if it's a federal project, that... an... and the contactor or the department falsely distorts or misrepresents any facts

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

related to submission of plans, maps, specifications, cost of construction, bids, or contracts for any department project, then it could be subject to a \$10 thousand fine and/or imprisonment of... of up to five years on federal projects. Currently that doesn't exist for simply state projects that don't have any federal money that goes into them. So what we would do... I'm serious I'm getting to it ... what we would is we would say if IDOT does these things, if a person at IDOT does these things intentionally, that they would be subject on simple... on purely state projects to the provisions of this Act. Your... your question is how come we don't have ... I assume your question is, IDOT's position was ... is, I believe, that ... that... well, we should say if a contractor does it on state projects, my position is that a contractor can be... can... can be prosecuted if he does that on a state project under current criminal law so, therefore, it's unnecessary."

Sandack: "Well, okay. I... I'm with you. Because is... is it your in... is it your statement that if a member of IDOT commits an act of fraud on a federal project or a state project, they're somehow immune from prosecution? That can't be right, Jay. Fraud's fraud."

Hoffman: "I believe... it's my position that we should not have individuals who work for the state, on federal or state projects, that... that do this."

Sandack: "I agree with you."

Hoffman: "I... I don't... I don't that... can I be very frank with you? I don't believe... I can't say for sure, but I don't believe that any person at IDOT has been... has had the provisions of this against them on any federal project."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Sandack: "And that's a good thing..."

Hoffman: "Just..."

Sandack: "...right?"

Hoffman: "...Well, I'm just saying for consistency."

Sandack: "Yeah. No, that's a good thing. So, I mean, obviously, there's not an instance that's driving this Bill, is there? There isn't a person at IDOT who has been exculpated from liability because she or he didn't have this Bill in place, and they got away with some terrible transgression or act of fraud?"

Hoffman: "Not to... not to my knowledge."

"All right. So, I'm looking at just... then this is Sandack: constructive criticism and nothing else, 'cause I think I know what you're trying to do, but what I see as a potential problem, loophole if you will, the Bill is directed only to IDOT employees and what you're saying could occur would seem to be something that happens in combination with an outside contractor or third party provider. And what I don't think you want and I know what I don't want is that this being directed inward only with no cooperative or no... no liability to a third party contractor that maybe acts in a conspiracy or in concert with some bad-doer internally. Because right now, the... as it's read, the outside da... do... bad-doer would be free from the imposition of this statute. I think that's potentially a problem. It... it shouldn't be just directed, in my opinion, inward, but it should be a little more expansive, and I think it would then be consistent with also Federal Law, because I don't think Federal Law excludes third party

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

contactors or contractors that do business with the state or federal agency."

Hoffman: "Yeah. I... I would submit to you that if... if indeed there were a conspiracy that that contractor would be prosecuted under Federal Law or State Law..."

Sandack: "I... I don't think you're..."

Hoffman: "...or maybe both."

Sandack: "...I don't think you're wrong."

Hoffman: "And... and I don't even know if they use... I don't know if they'd use the provisions in this..."

Sandack: "They might not."

Hoffman: "...of this to prosecute."

Sandack: "They might not. It may be an ancillary provision in the overall indictment, but as of right now, it's... they're... they're outside the purview of it. And I... I... for just food for thought, it seems it would be more comprehensive and would be a better well intended law... because right now it looks like it's only focused inwardly. And again, I don't think there's any huge problem happening at IDOT, but I get where you're going. And I appreciate you answering my questions, Jay. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Kay."

Kay: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Kay: "Now, Jay, I just have one question on this. Should there be some fraudulent act that is committed by a contractor during the execution or performance of a job, would a contract be then null and void in full or part... the construction contract?"

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Hoffman: "I... I'm sorry. The level I could..."

Kay: "Yeah. I... I'm sorry. It's hard to hear in here. If... if indeed a... a contractor was found to be doing something of a fraudulent nature during a project, would the contract then, that he was operating under and executer or entered into, would that contract be null and void in full or part?"

Hoffman: "I believe that in the provisions of the contracts that are entered into between IDOT and the contractors that they would... would have the ability to void that contract. I don't know that it would automatically be voided. I mean, if they find out that there's... I mean, if they're laying the... laying the last hundred feet of road, they may say finish the contract, let's get it done and then come back and bring an action against them."

Kay: "So, there's a potential here for rebidding based on wrongdoing?"

Hoffman: "As... not because of this law, not because of this Bill, but just because of the rules and regulations at IDOT."

Kay: "Okay. Thank you, Jay."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Wehrli."

Wehrli: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Wehrli: "Representative, can you think of any examples of fraud and... or misrepresentation that could occur that maybe require a higher punishment than a \$10 thousand fine or five years in jail?"

Hoffman: "I would say that if... if you were to have any type of conspiracy and or a Rico case involving fraud that it would be substan... could be... could be substantially more."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Wehrli: "But your legislation would limit that to five years or \$10 thousand."

Hoffman: "No, it would not."

Wehrli: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Hoffman to close."

Hoffman: "Yes. I just ask for a favorable Roll Call. And I appreciate the comments from the other side. I can guarantee you that when this goes to the Senate, if it's lucky enough to go to Senate, that I will talk to the Senate Sponsor about attempting to rectify some of these issues."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 6226 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record.

On a count of 69 voting 'yes', 44 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', House Bill 6226, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 5619, Representative Gabel. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5619, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Gabel."

Gabel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill requires that when children who are taken into custody and detained that they should get a review of detention decision within 24 hours and include weekends and holidays as is done similarly for adults. Currently, the law says that they have within... they have to make a hearing... have a hearing within 40 hours and it excludes weekends and holidays. So, what happens is... is that some

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

children who are detained on Friday end up being detained until Monday or Tuesday. This wouldn't be a problem, except that research shows that detention is very harmful to children, that it's critical to have this decision done earlier. That children who are detained are a less... have a... it changes the trajectory of their life. And there's more recidivism. They're less likely to graduate high school. There's lots of problems. So, this Bill really will allow them to have a hearing in a timely manner. For children who are dangerous, of which that's 25 percent of the children who get arrested are for violent crimes, clearly those people... those children would remain in detention."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognize Representative Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you. Representative, we had a chance to talk a little earlier today about this Bill, and I... there's some concerns you and I had... we shared about it. So, as it currently exists, I know there's some opposition to your Bill..."

Gabel: "Yes."

Sandack: "...pretty staunch opposition, but what is your intention,

I mean, to continue working on this, trying to find..."

Gabel: "It... it's my intention..."

Sandack: "...some agreement?"

Gabel: "...to continue working on this. I think there may be a resolution that we can come to to at least exclude... have weekends and holidays included in that time period, so that kids could get a hearing in a much shorter period of time."

Sandack: "So, as the law exists right now, it's a 40-hour re...
requirement before a determination. So, it could take up to

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

40 hours someone could be held before determination as to whether to continue to hold them or let them free..."

Gabel: "Correct."

Sandack: "...pending charges, correct?"

Gabel: "Correct."

Sandack: "Your Bill would make it 24."

Gabel: "Correct."

Sandack: "And it would not take weekends... it would keep weekends in the equation, meaning a judge may have to show up on a Saturday or Sunday and address it."

Gabel: "Correct. They... yes, they would have to be in the rotation to... yes, to come in..."

Sandack: "So, I don't want you..."

Gabel: "...on the weekends."

Sandack: "...to advocate on behalf of the opposition, but articulate as best you can what the primary objection is. Is it the 40 hours being reduced to 24, or is it the weekends?"

Gabel: "I believe it's the... I'm... it's unclear. It's unclear at this time. They're saying that it will cost them money to have to have weekend courts or to have to do more timely hearings. To me, the cost is really... should not be a consideration. There is... the judges are on salaries, so it shouldn't... doesn't cost anymore to get a judge in. It doesn't cost anymore to a state's attorney in."

Sandack: "Well, I'm... I'm certainly sympathetic to those expense discussions, but it seems to me, a child in custody, there ought to be something expedited about it just by nature. Is there anything about your Bill that separates more violent crimes from more, for lack of a better term, pedestrian..."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Gabel: "Well..."

Sandack: "...or less violent crimes?"

Gabel: "...well, any hearing would take that into consideration."

Sandack: "Would take in the actual..."

Gabel: "And... yes, the actual crime."

Sandack: "Whether they stay in custody..."

Gabel: "Correct."

Sandack: "...or not. But there is no..."

Gabel: "Correct."

Sandack: "...threshold as to what the crime is... the accused crime is from the get-go."

Gabel: "Well, the idea is just to do a detention hearing as soon as possible, so that would... they would then be able to judge the crime at that time."

Sandack: "All right. And... and thank you for answering these questions. Last one, I was told by a colleague of mine that Matt Jones gave a rather vigorous opposition to this in committee. And again, without adopting his arguments, had there been discussions with Mr. Jones and other law enforcement advocate and those in those system to try and work something out here?"

Gabel: "I have spoken to a number of them, and we've talked about maybe having at least a Sunday... a Sunday hearing, maybe not Saturday but having Sunday. So, there is some discussion."

Sandack: "All right."

Gabel: "And Matt Jones is always vigorous."

Sandack: "Thank you."

Gabel: "Needless... but the Bill did come out of committee 14-1."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Sandack: "Thank you. To the Bill. I... I share some of the concerns with the Sponsor about the current state of the law, with respect to children being held. Obviously, hardened, juvenile criminals, you know, will get their day in court, and they probably will get detention, and they probably will stay in the system longer. However, I'm... I have a hard time understanding why it can't be reduced from 40 hours. And so, I'm going to listen to further floor debate, but obviously, folks, this is one of those issues where you got to balance some competing interests. We don't want to, you know, unduly put expense upon our system; at the same time, children need to be dealt with the system as expedi... expeditiously as possible. Because they shouldn't be in the system as a whole, but certainly apart from hardened offenses, we probably want to address these issues sooner, rather than later. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Ives."

Ives: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. So this Bill was given a vigorous defen... opposition by Matt Jones in committee. I will say this, though, his testimony essentially centered around not being able to find judges on weekends. Now, I mean, let's be frank here. There's all sorts of other professionals, our military, doctors, all sorts of other folks that need to work on weekends. You know, unfortunately, crimes and arrests don't happen on, you know, the five-day work week from 8-5. So, the choice here is either that you put a juvenile in detention for well past a day and with not seeing anybody for any reason, not knowing why he's there, not knowing his status or anything like that. The truth is what you need to do is

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

you need to treat them and give them parity with what we already have for the adults. These children should not be locked up without seeing somebody who is looking at their case and making a decision. It doesn't mean that they're released. It simply means that they get at minimum a status hearing within 24 hours. So, I encourage you all to vote for this Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Hays."

Hays: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Hays: "Representative, I had a lengthy discussion with the sheriff of my home county who had some real concerns in terms of just pragmatic reality of... of the enforcement of... of this Bill. Did you... did you seek the counsel of any of the judicial committees... or any committees through the judiciary before you brought this Bill?"

Gabel: "This Bill is an in... initiative of the Juvenile Justice
Initiative."

Hays: "You know, my... my understanding is there are committees over in the Judicial Branch who take a look at, you know, the workings of the court, how can... how can that be streamlined, et cetera, et cetera. And to my knowledge, none of those folks were consulted, and I think there are some real concerns, and I think there are some... some pragmatic reasons why the 40-hour threshold is the law now as compared to the 24 hours for adults. And one of those, of course, is... is the... the simple reality of tracking down the appropriate parent or custodian of the child. Or there are other reasons that lend themselves to what's the appropriate venue for the child to be in; is it

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

safe for the child to go back home. And there are myriad of issues that come in with a minor that simply are not in play with... with an adult. And fo... you know, for that reason, I have pause as it relates to this Bill. And some of the law enforcement professionals that I'm very, very familiar with are encouraging a 'no' vote because they say pra... from a practical standpoint this will be very unworkable. So, thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Phelps."

Phelps: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Phelps: "Representative Gabel, I... I know you asked me to look at this Bill. And I've talked to numerous rural state's attorneys, and they're just worried about how logistically they're going to be able to do this to have a detention hearing for juveniles within a 24-hour period. So, I guess I'm putting you on the spot. What would you say to those questions... those state's attorneys about that?"

Gabel: "I mean par... part of re... of the reason that we want to do this is because adults don't have to wait the 40-hours."

Phelps: "Say that again."

Gabel: "Adults don't have the 40 hour limit..."

Phelps: "Okay."

Gabel: "...extension, and I feel like children are even more fragile than adults are. You know, I'm willing to look at the time frame as we move it over to the Senate, hopefully, but you know, I... I think that we have to treat children even more carefully. And you know, part of their reasoning was well, we don't really know why the children are there, and we have to

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

do all this investigation. You know exactly why the children are there. It says on their record why they're there; you know exactly what they're arrested for. They said that they can even... they have a checklist they can look down, and it... on this checklist they can see if the child had been... had committed other crimes or other things the child had done. So, that information is readily available. I don't really... I... I don't really understand that argument that they can't get the information. I also feel like it's important to be able to have it in the local district, for them to be able to see if they can find other family members where the child could go, rather than putting them in to detention. So, you know, I... I'm willing to look at this a little more in the Senate, but I... I think..."

Phelps: "Is this mandating that they have to do these juvenile det... the detention hearings within a 24-hour period?"

Gabel: "That... that's what the Bill says now."

Phelps: "You know, and being 11 counties like I have, for example, a lot of my state's attorneys say how in the world logistically are they going to be able to do that. And they... is there funding for this?"

Gabel: "I understand. I... I think it's a... it's set as a goal. I mean, I don't know what would happen if the child were detained longer than 24 hours. But it's a... it's a goal that's set."

Phelps: "And I understand because it, you know, got one judge.

My counties we have one state's attorney, one circuit clerk.

And so, an officer's supposed to do a hearing on... on like a holiday with a courthouse, which is... may be a problem with

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

that. So, I'm just... I guess I'll just listen to some of the other debate."

Gabel: "Yeah. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Gabel to close."

Gabel: "Well, so this Bill is... is a Bill that will help keep children who do not deserve to be detained for up to 40 hours. It will allow them to go home to their parents or to another family member. Twenty-five percent of the children who are detained now end up being released when... when they have the hearing. That's over a thousand children a year are detained unnecessarily. I would appreciate your support on this Bill, and hopefully, it will get out, and we can continue to look at it in the Senate. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 5619 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 73 voting 'yes', 39 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', House Bill 5619, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, House Bill 4536, Representative Walsh. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4536, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House... House Bill 4536 is an initiative of the Illinois Park Districts Association. What this Bill does is raise their competitive bid threshold from 20 to 25 thousand. They have not had an increase since 20...

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

20... 2004 and at that time, it went from 10 to 20 thousand. They're asking to go from 20 to 25 due to cost-of-living increases over the last 12 years. Be happy to answer any questions and would respectfully ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Sandack: "Thank you. Representative, I was looking through my analysis. I understand the Governor no longer opposes the Bill. Is that your understanding?"

Walsh: "That is my understanding?"

Sandack: "Are there any other op... known opponents of your Bill?"

Walsh: "None that I'm aware of."

Sandack: "Thank you. Appreciate it."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Walsh to close."

Walsh: "I'd respectfully ask for an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4536 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 68 voting 'yes', 42 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', House Bill 4536, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4528, Representative McAsey. Out of the record. Mr. Clerk, House Bill 5025, Representative Welch. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5025, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Welch."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Welch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 5025 is an initiative of the Cook County Intermediate Service Centers. What this Bill does is it changes the salaries of the chief administrative officers and their appointed assistants at suburban Cook County Intermediate Service Centers to be uniform, brings parity with the salaries of other ROEs and their assistant ROEs across the state. There is no opposition to this Bill. And I ask for support."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 5025 pass?' I'm sorry. With a late light, we have Representative Jack Franks."

Franks: "Sorry, I apologize. Yeah, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm reading the Bill. I'm not sure... when you say it changes the salaries, I presume you're not bringing them down."

Welch: "We are not bringing them down."

Franks: "Okay. What's the cost? How much... how much money are you talking? What... what are we doing here?"

Welch: "The... the cost is neutral, Jack."

Franks: "Why's that?"

Welch: "What we're... when I say change, we're changing what funds they're being paid from. Currently, they're paid right now by an ISBE appropriation, which is General Revenue Funds. And we're changing them to be exactly like other ROEs across the state. And in Cook County, they're going to be paid by the Personal Property Tax Replacement Fund."

Franks: "An... and tell me why..."

Welch: "Just like..."

Franks: "...we need this?"

Welch: "...just like other ROEs."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Franks: "Why do we need to have it out of the Personal Property

Tax Replacement Fund? Is there too much money in that?"

Welch: "No."

Franks: "Okay. So, why..."

Welch: "In fact..."

Franks: "...why the change?"

Welch: "...in fact, what this does is it's cost neutral. So, as it... you know, the... the amount that's going to be expended is pretty much going to be the same, Jack. What happens, currently, with the budget impasse, being funded through ISBE, you could pretty much shut down your offices where teachers go for professional development, where they go to renew their certifications. However, ROEs are treated just like other state employees, and they have continued to be paid during the budget impasse."

Franks: "Our analysis indicates that the Bill will give ISCs a net gain of over \$100 thousand annually. Can you please explain that?"

Welch: "The ISCs are no different than the other ROE offices, Jack. And because of the implementation of consolidation that other ROE officers are going through, there's going to be a... a... a shifting of money throughout. And there is going to be a net gain of about \$100 thousand to these three intermediate service centers that services more students than any of the other ROEs across the state."

Franks: "So, I'm just trying to figure this out. They're going to get \$100 thousand additionally. And what is that money going to be used for?"

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Welch: "Professional development of our teachers, the process of certifying our teachers."

Franks: "Will... will they be adding more employees or just giving themselves raises?"

Welch: "No, Jack, they're... they're not giving themselves raises."

Franks: "Okay."

Welch: "It's... it's... it's to provide the services that their offices are mandated by statute to provide."

Franks: "So, they'll be adding staff to do the mandated... the mandated requirements."

Welch: "It... it's a possibility."

Franks: "Okay. I'm just... I just didn't think... I just didn't understand why we were doing this. Is there anybody opposed?"

Welch: "No."

Franks: "Okay. Thank you. Didn't mean to put you through the ringer. And I... I apologize for the late light."

Speaker Turner: "No problem, Jack. Representative Welch to close."

Welch: "I ask for approval of House Bill 5025."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 5025 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 103 voting 'yes', 10 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', House Bill 5025, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Members, we're going to move a couple Bills from Second Reading to Third Reading. Please be prepared. House Bill 5572, Representative Sims. Out of the record. House Bill 3239,

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

- Representative Andrade. Out of the record. We have House Bill 5572, again, Representative Sims. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5572, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. The Bill was read a second time previously.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Sims."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Sims on Floor Amendment #2."
- Sims: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment 2 simply adds several individuals to the task force. We add several academics and individuals who are... who represents victim rights groups to the task force. We're trying to move the task force forward. And I'd ask for its adoption."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Sandack, would you like to address Floor Amendment #2?"
- Sandack: "I... I'm sorry. To the Third Reading. Sorry."
- Speaker Turner: "Okay. Gentleman moves that the House adopt Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 5572. All in favor vote... all in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Floor Amendment #3 remains in the Rules Committee.

 No further amendments have been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Turner: "Clerk, please move this Bill to Third Reading.

 No. I'm sorry, I didn't get your signal right. Mr. Clerk,

 please hold this Bill on the Order of Second Reading. Thank

 you. House Bill 5201, Representative Gordon-Booth. Out of the

 record. Mr. Clerk, House Bill 5918, Representative Thapedi.

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Representative, I'm... I'm being told that your Floor Amendment is not ready to go yet. No, Sir. All right. Out of the record. House Bill 4661, Representative Jones. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4661, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. The Bill was read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendments 1 and 2 have been approved for consideration. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Jones."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Jones."

Jones: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Floor amen...

Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 4661 is cleanup language. It
removes the ability of the authority to discharge property
and also adds a conflict of interest statement. And I ask for
its con... consideration."

Speaker Turner: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 4661. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Jones."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Jones."

Jones: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Again, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 4661 simply adds Rich Township and Bloom Township to the Bill. And that's the addition. I ask for its approval."

Speaker Turner: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 4661. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. House Bill 5764, Representative Andrade. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5764, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. The Bill was read a second previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed, but notes have been requested on the Bill and have not been filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Mr. Clerk, ple... please keep this Bill on the Order of Second Reading. House Bill 3239, Representative Andrade. Out of the record. House Bill 6073, Representative Greg Harris. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 6073, a Bill for an Act concerning health. The Bill was read for a second time previously.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments.

 No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. House Bill 4532, Representative Sente. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4532, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. The Bill was read for a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 remains in Rules. No further Amendments have been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Sente. Mr. Clerk, Third Reading.

 House Bill 746, Representative Verschoore. Mr. Clerk, please
 read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 746, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. The Bill was read for a second time previously.

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Verschoore."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Verschoore."

Verschoore: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. What this does is it's a initiative by our county board. In the past, on our forest preserves... the commissioners were appointed by the 25-member board. What this does now is it will be divided into 7 equal districts, and it will be voted on by the... the public constituents."

Speaker Turner: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 746. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, House Bill 4370, Representative Will Davis. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4370, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. The Bill was read for a second time previously.

No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Will Davis."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Davis."

Davis, W.: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. As I grab my notes; they're around here somewhere, anyway. Give me just a moment, Mr. Speaker. I apologize. Amendment #2 to House Bill 4370 is a gut and replace Amendment that comes... becomes the Bill. And this is an agreement that we reached with the hospital association with regard to some of their concerns. So, it lifts the threshold from 50 beds to a hundred beds where hospitals will be subject to what we're attempting to do.

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Another change that it's made is that part of the Bill requires workshops associated with helping contractors to understand how to do business with hospitals. And originally, we were going to put this under the Health Services Board. The Amendment moves it over to the Central Management Service, but it also makes it subject to appropriation. And the… and the last… Well, I'll just say that's essentially what the Amendment does. Think there's one more thing, but there's no opposition to the Bill. Be happy to answer any questions."

- Speaker Turner: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 4370. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

 Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Leader Lang in the Chair."
- Speaker Lang: "House Bill 5931, Representative Gabel. Out of the record. House Bill 6020, Mr. Yingling. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 6020, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Bill was read for a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 5540, Leader Currie.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5540, a Bill for an Act to revise the law by combining multiple enactments and making technical corrections. The Bill was read for a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 4486, Mr. Zalewski.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4486, a Bill for an Act concerning liquor. The Bill was read for a second time previously.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments.

 No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. On the Order of Third Reading,
 House Bill 6013, Representative Cassidy. Out of the record.
 House Bill 854, Representative Reaves-Harris. Out of the
 record. House Bill 5651, Mr. Bennett. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5651, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Bennett."

"Thank you, Speaker. This Bill, House Bill 5651, and Bennett: what it does it allows the Secretary of State the option to require motor vehicle owners to select their birthday as the date that their motor vehicle's registration expires. If the motor vehicle has more than one registered owner, the owners may select one registered owner's birthday as the date registration expires. Additionally, this Bill will allow the Secretary... Secretary of State to adopt rules to administer the pro... proposed change, thereby allowing the Secretary of State the discretion to implement the change at a time that will avoid additional costs such as during the next replating process. The purpose of this legislation provide motorists an easy date to remember that their vehicle license plate renewals are due. This is very similar to the way the driver's license renewals are handled. I'd like to thank H. W. Devlin, Nathan Maddox, and Ellen Schmidt and along with Carrie Leitner

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

with the Secretary of State's Office for meeting with me and for drafting this agreed to Amendment. So, I'd like to move for an 'aye' vote, if I may."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Willis."

Willis: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield, please?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Willis: "I just have a quick question. Would it be the actual date of your birthday, or would it be the last day of the month of your birthday?"

Bennett: "I believe that is an option which they'll be able...
able to work through on that."

Willis: "Okay. Thank you."

Bennett: "Okay."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves, Members. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there a 109 voting 'yes', 2 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 5897, Representative Hammond. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5897, a Bill for an Act concerning land.

Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Hammond."

Hammond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 5897 allows the Beardstown Park District the opportunity to purchase two buildings and an adjoining nine... a little over nine acres of land from the Illinois Department of Military Affairs. Currently, the park district leases these properties, and the

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

department no longer utilizes the facilities or the land. And I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote. There is no opposition."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 5711, Mr. Sosnowski. Please read the Bill. Do I understand you have a Floor Amendment, Sir? We're going to move the Bill back to the Order of Second Reading. And, Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill on the Order of Second Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5711, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. The Bill was read for a second time previously.

No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Sosnowski."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sosnowski."

Sosnowski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment makes a clarification on what would be affected in this Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment will say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Returning to House Bill 4370, Mr. Davis. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4370, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Davis."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

- Davis, W.: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 4370 is affectionately called the Hospital Supplier Diversity Bill. It's following the path in past years where we have attempted to look at a sector to encourage that sector to do more as it relates to minority con..."
- Speaker Lang: "Excuse me, Mr. Davis. There's an error in the Chair. This was to be called not at the moment. We... I thought it was still on Second Reading."

Davis, W.: "I was ready to..."

- Speaker Lang: "I apologize, Sir. Mr. Clerk, out of the record.

 House Bill 5931, Representative Gabel. Out of the record. Mr.

 Clerk, House Resolution 1022, Mr. Thapedi. Mr. Thapedi on your Resolution. Please proceed, Sir."
- Thapedi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Resolution 1022 addresses the vacancy currently on the United States Supreme Court. It urges Washington to fill that vacancy with all deliberate speed. I'm available to answer any and all questions."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of par... parliamentary procedure."

Speaker Lang: "Yes, Sir."

Sandack: "This is a voice vote, correct?"

Speaker Lang: "This is a voice vote, Sir."

Sandack: "Unless it's requested that a Roll Call vote occur?"

Speaker Lang: "That would be correct."

Sandack: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Breen is recognized."

Breen: "Mr. Speaker, I would request a Roll Call vote on this particular Resolution, if that's allowed. And to the

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

Resolution. I think this is... spoke about this in committee. I... I had the Resolution to memorialize Justice Scalia after his passing, and it was a nonpartisan, nonpolitical Resolution. And I noticed, based on the Resolution numbers, that this Resolution was filed before we'd even filed the Resolution to memorialize the man's death before his chair was even cold. And so, this was not, to me, a Resolution that is in good taste... or was in good taste at the time it was filed. Certainly, this is a Resolution that not merely demanding that the seat be filled but be it filled by a liberal, is the way this Resolution is written. So, I don't see that that is something that... that this Body should opine on, that is a... not a proper subject for floor debate here or floor consideration. So, I would urge a 'no' vote on this particular Resolution. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Thapedi, you wish to close?"

Thapedi: "Ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Resolution will vote 'yes'; opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 66 voting 'yes', 41 voting 'no'. And the Resolution is adopted. House Bill 695, Mr. Franks. Mr. Franks. On the Order of Second Reading. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 695, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. The Bill was read for a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 was adopted. No further Amendments have been approved for consideration. No Motions are filed."

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 1402, Mr. Jones, on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Jones. Out of the record. Mr. Clerk, Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk Bolin: "Agreed Resolution. House Resolution 1174, offered by Representative Gordon-Booth."
- Speaker Lang: "Leader Currie moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolution. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Agreed Resolution is adopted. Mr. Clerk, committee announcements. Then... then no announcements. One more announcement about Amendments, Members. All technical Amendments must be filed by 1 p.m. Wednesday, which is tomorrow on my calendar. All technical Amendments must be filed by 1 p.m. tomorrow. And with that, leaving perfunctory time for the Clerk, Leader Currie moves that the House stand adjourned 'til Wednesday, April 20 at the hour of 11 a.m. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the House stands adjourned 'til tomorrow, April 20 at the hour of 11 a.m."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order. Introduction and First Reading of House Bills. House Bill 6558, offered by Representative Andersson, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill fif... House... House Bill 6559, offered by Representative McSweeney, a Bill for an Act concerning government. First Reading of these House Bills. Introduction and First Reading of Senate Bills. Senate Bill 185, offered by Representative Gordon-Booth, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. Senate Bill 320, offered by Representative Feigenholtz, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Senate Bill 321, offered by Representative Chapa

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

LaVia, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Senate Bill 436, offered by ... offered by Representative Gordon-Booth, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Senate Bill 462, offered by Representative Andrade, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 2137, offered by Representative Cabello, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 2410, offered by Representative Bourne, a Bill for an Act concerning wildlife. First Reading of these Senate Bills. Second Reading of House Bills. House Bill 4443, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 4477, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 4820, a Bill for an Act concerning liquor. House Bill 5045, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 5472, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of these House Bills. These will be held on the Order of Second Reading. Third Reading in full of House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #57, offered by Speaker Madigan.

RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE NINETY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE SENATE CONCURRING HEREIN, that there shall be submitted to the electors of the State for adoption or rejection at the general election next occurring at least 6 months after the adoption of this resolution a proposition to amend Section 1 of Article X of the Illinois Constitution as follows:

ARTICLE X

EDUCATION

SECTION 1. FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT - FREE SCHOOLS

A fundamental right of the People of the State is the educational development of all persons to the limits of their

120th Legislative Day

4/19/2016

capacities. It is the paramount duty of the State to provide for a thorough and efficient system of high quality public educational institutions and services and to guarantee equality of educational opportunity as a fundamental right of each citizen. Education in public schools through the secondary level shall be free. The State has the preponderant financial responsibility for financing the system of public education. There may be such other free education as the General Assembly provides by law.

SCHEDULE

This Constitutional Amendment takes effect upon being declared adopted in accordance with Section 7 of the Illinois Constitutional Amendment Act. This was the Third Reading in full of House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #57. There being no further business, the House Perfunctory Session will stand adjourned."