35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Clerk Hollman: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order. Committee Reports. Representative Barbara Flynn Currie, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules reports the following action taken on April 21, 2015: recommends be adopted, referred to the floor is Floor Amendment #4 to House Bill 218, Floor Amendment #3 to House Bill 745, Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 1320, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 1402, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 1458, Floor Amendment #2 House Bill 2555, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 2580, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 2658, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3311, Floor Amendment #3 to House Bill 3341, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3398, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3484, Floor Amendment #3 to House Bill 3529, Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3549, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3573, Floor Amendment #3 to House Bill 3620, Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3765, Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3788, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3822, Floor Amendment #3 to House Bill 3841, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3932, Floor Amendments #2 and 3 to House Bill 3933, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3973, Floor Amendment #3 to House Bill 4011; recommends be adopted for the Order of Resolutions is Senate Joint Resolution #16."

Speaker Lang: "The House will be in order. Members will be in their chairs. We shall be led in prayer today by the Reverend Lori M. Lowe, who is the Rector of St. Paul's Episcopal Church in McHenry. Reverend Lowe is the guest of Representative Franks. Members are asked to ge... to as... to refrain from starting their laptops, turn off cell phones and rise for the invocation and Pledge of Allegiance. Reverend Lowe."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

- Reverend Lowe: "I will offer two prayers today. One in recognition of International Earth Day and one for the opening of this Session. As you are so moved, let us pray. Heavenly Father and Mother, we thank You for this life-giving earth that we honor today. Bless all celebrations and programs that seek to express our appreciation for this gift. May we be zealous for the earth, our island home, not only today but every day. Guide us in the task of being good stewards of Your creation. This we ask in Your sacred and unspoken name. And for the opening of this Session. Oh Lord, our Governor whose glory is in all the world, we commend this nation to Your merciful care. That being guided by Your providence, we may dwell secure in Your peace. Grant to the President of the United States, to the Governor of the State of Illinois, to the Members of this House of Representatives, and to all in authority wisdom and strength to know and to do Your will. Fill them with the love of truth and righteousness and make them ever mindful of their calling to serve the people of this state for the common good. These things we ask in the many names by which You are known to us, Amen."
- Speaker Lang: "We'll be led in the Pledge today by Representative Phillips."
- Phillips et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Lang: "Roll Call for Attendance. Leader Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. Please let the record show that Representatives Jones and Manley are excused today."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Brown."

Brown: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Please let the record reflect that Representative Bourne is excused today."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 115 Members of the House in attendance, we do have a quorum. The Chair recognizes Mr. Sommer."

Sommer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's my pleasure to introduce from my hometown of Morton, not one but two state championship teams. First of all, the girls won the Class 3A State Championship. They have a fantastic record this year and really worked hard as a team. I want to introduce them to you. Their head coach is Bob Becker. Assistant Coach is Rodney Knuppel and Megan Hasler are with us. And let me introduce the players. Seniors Kasleen... Kathleen Saunders and Emma Hess... Heisler. Juniors Chandler Ryan, Kayla McCormick, and Jadison Wharram. Sophomores Alexia Opal, Brandi Bisping, Lisa Walter, and Jacey Wharram. And freshmen Josi Becker, Kassidy Shurman, Jena Liddle, Crystal Cobler, and Caylie Jones. Please give those, the ladies, a warm welcome to Springfield and congratulations."

Speaker Lang: "Welcome to Springfield. Thank you for ... "

Sommer: "Additionally, let's not forget about the boys who are over to this side. The Morton boys' golf tournament, with a 15 and 1 dual match record, won all their invitationals, won the boys' Class 2A Golf State Championship. One of their members, Tommy Kuhl, a freshman on the team was the individual state champion. Their coach is Jamie King and Jake Tierney. Let me introduce the players: Aaron Osing, Trevor Tompkins, Pete Kuhl, Jack Zem, Adam Christianson, Tommy Kuhl, and Isaac

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Ingold. And joining the group today is Principal Marjorie Johnson, Athletic Director Greg Prichard. Please congratulate them on a successful season also."

Speaker Lang: "Congratulations on your season. Mr. Tryon who seems to be wearing his garden is recognized."

Tryon: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I just want to remind everybody that tonight is the second annual bowling tournament fundraiser for the Diabetes Caucus. And last year, if you weren't there it was a lot of fun and it was exciting and we raised \$15 thousand. And there were two teams that almost tied. One was team HOT; which stands for H... Osmond or Ho ... Hammond, Osmond, and Tracy; and the other one was Team Big Strike. And Big Strike is headed by Will Davis, who just happens to be a Legislator by day but a professional bowler by night. We didn't know that we were bowling against a professional bowler. And I just am laying it down here today to Team Big Strike. I have gone out and got a couple of ringers: Senator Harmon and Representative Hays and we are now officially known as the Bowl Drink Caucus. And we are going to be there and looking to beat out and edge out Team Big Strike. So, that's the challenge today. If you're not, and Jordon Ren is on that team, and we're not... if you're not on a team, come see me and we'll make sure you have an opportunity to bowl tonight. So, I hope you can all make it. It'll be a lot of fun and it's for a great cause. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Davis, I heard your name used in debate."

Davis, W.: "Absolutely, Mr. Speaker. Yes, Ladies and Gentlemen, tonight is a fantastic event. I do want to, again, thank Representative Tryon for all of his hard work on behalf of

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

the Diabetes Caucus. Please, Ladies and Gentlemen, let's give him a great round of applause."

Speaker Lang: "Thank you."

Davis, W.: "So, yes, the gauntlet has been thrown down. When I looked at Representative Tryon, and I'm... I'm trying to really understand his shirt. I thought well, you know, I almost feel like I've been, you know, having some medical marijuana. You know, or something. With that..."

Speaker Lang: "Come see me up here, Sir."

Davis, W.: "Come see you up there? But... but please come out and support the event. If you have a team, great, we're looking forward to a great competition. But again, thank you very much Mike for all your hard work."

Speaker Lang: "And don't just show up, Ladies and Gentlemen, bring a check. Right, Sir? Mr. Davidsmeyer."

Davidsmeyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "Proceed, please."

Davidsmeyer: "Today, I have as my Page my legislative intern,
Aaron Traum. He's a senior at Illinois College this year,
will be graduating and I just want to give him a warm
Springfield welcome."

Speaker Lang: "Welcome. Thanks for being here with us. Leader Bellock."

Bellock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. And just to follow up. We're aiming to win this year. We brought two teams: the Bowling Stones, who are going to strike out diabetes with no time to spare. So, we're going to be there and we hope everyone else will come, too. And my second is I'm proud today

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

to have a Page who comes from my hometown, from Hinsdale, and it's Tom Mercurio. And he's at the Hinsdale Middle School and he's going to want to learn all about what we're doing today. So I'd ask you to give him a round of applause. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Thanks for being here with us. The Chair would like to acknowledge the return of Representative Crespo.

Welcome back, Sir. Representative Winger."

Winger: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege." Speaker Lang: "Please proceed."

Winger: "Thank you. Today here with me is someone that I served with in the City of Wooddale as an Alderman for several years and have great respect for him. So, if you'd please help me in welcoming, Alderman Art Woods, he's up in the gallery."

Speaker Lang: "Welcome, Alderman. Thank you. See they made you sit in the back row over there. Mr. D'Amico."

D'Amico: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege." Speaker Lang: "Proceed, Sir."

D'Amico: "I, too... I want to announce that we're going to be having softball practice tonight about 45 minutes after we adjourn here today. Let's not forget last year we... we lost the trophy over to the Senate, so we need to get it back here. That softball game is May 6. So we got... this week and next week to get some practice in. So, tonight, if you get a chance right after Session about 45 minutes, we'll be on the corner of Washington and Amos just loosening up a little bit getting ready for the ball game. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Sir. Representative Hammond."

Hammond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And as a Member of the championship team from 2014, I, too, would like to encourage

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

everyone to stop out to the bowling alley tonight. As you can see from the shirt around my shoulder, things are going to get pretty wild. But in case you're bored looking at each other and all of us, I would also like to announce that we're very pleased that a number... another Member of last year's team, Representative JoAnn Osmond will be returning for the occasion tonight, so come on out and say hi to JoAnn."

Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Representative. Mr. Davis, a return engagement."

Davis, W.: "Well, just very quickly. If anybody wants to know where the bowling event is, I have flyers here that have the address on it. And again, if anybody wants to contribute to the cause, I also have an invoice which has all the pertinent information on it and where you can write your... who you can write your check to, and mail it in or whatever the case may be. So, please stop by the desk and pick up a form."

Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Sir. Representative Hurley."

Hurley: "Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "Proceed, please."

Hurley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Along the same lines of athletics, we have members of the Special Children's Charities and Special Olympic Chicago in the gallery today. We have Dr. Casey Hogan, who's the President. Jim 'Skinny' Sheahan, Mike Sheahan, Sheila O'Callaghan, Colleen Rock Mueller; who is the daughter of Senate President Phil J. Rock, and Pam Munizzi, a former State Senator and State Rep. We also have some athletes... hold your applause... we also have some athletes attending today: William Tang, who's representing McGuane Park; John White, who's representing

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Kosciuszko Park, and Jacob Ruis who's resep... representing Loyola Park. So give a hand for our atheletes and they do have a reception tonight. There's a reception tonight at the Abraham Lincoln, 5-7 and they're going to honor Illinois Supreme Court Justice Ann M. Burke. So, thank you."

- Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Representative and welcome aboard everyone in the gallery. Mr. Clerk, House Resolution 338, Mr. Cabello. Please read a portion of the Resolution."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Resolution 338, offered by Representative Cabello.
  - Be it RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE NINETY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that we congratulate the Rock Valley College Eagles on winning the 2015 National Junior College Athletic Association Division III Championship in women's basketball and wish them many more successful years."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Cabello."

Cabello: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we are honored today to have the Rock Valley College Women's Basketball team, who finished their season, 27-7 and won their third, yes, their third National Championship in four years. This is an extraordinary achievement. You know, the Lady Eagles won the championship by defeating the Tigers of Roxbury, Massachusetts, by a score of 80-76. Also, one highlight... we also have with them their coach who also is the National Coach of the Year and the Coach of the Tournament and that was Misty Opat. Please help me congratulate, again, the Rock Valley College Lady Eagles and we're looking forward to... good luck on your fourth national title next year. And

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

they're back here, thank... please help me welcome them. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Congratulations. Those in favor of the Gentleman's Resolution will say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. Ladies and Gentlemen, we are now returning to priority Bills. But before we do that, Mr. Clerk, Committee Reports."

Clerk Hollman: "Committee Reports. Representative Mussman, Chairperson from the Committee on Special Needs Services reports the following committee action taken on April 21, 2015: recommends be adopted is House Joint Resolution 47, House Resolution 198. Representative Nekritz, Chairperson from the Committee on Judiciary - Civil reports the following committee action taken on April 21, 2015: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #4 to House Bill 341, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3122, Floor Amendment #3 to House Bill 3303, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3620; Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3983. Representative Bradley, Chairperson from the Committee on Revenue & Finance reports the following committee action taken on April 21, 2015: do pass Short Debate is House Bill 178, House Bill 2513. Representative Dunkin, Chairperson from the Committee on Tourism & Conventions reports the following committee action taken on April 21, 2015: recommends be adopted is House Joint Resolution 34, House Resolution 207, House Resolution 252. Representative Beiser, Chairperson from the Committee on Transportation: Regulation, Roads & Bridges reports the following committee action taken on April recommends be adopted is House Joint Resolution 38, House

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Joint Resolution 42, House Joint Resolution 51, House Resolution 217, recommends be adopted as amended is House Joint Resolution 39, House Joint Resolution Representative Franks, Chairperson from the Committee on Administration reports the State Government following committee action taken on April 21, 2015: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #3 to House Bill 3764, Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3895, House Resolution 174, House Resolution 225, House Resolution 249, House Resolution 283. Representative D'Amico, Chairperson from the Committee on Transportation: Vehicles & Safety reports the following committee action taken on April 21, 2015: do pass Short Debate is House Bill 1340, House Bill 251; recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3126, Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3670. Representative Kelly Burke, Chairperson from the Committee on Higher Education reports the following committee action taken on April 21, 2015: recommends be adopted as amended is House Joint Resolution Representative Fine, Chairperson from the Committee on Youth & Young Adults reports the following committee action taken on April 21, 2015: recommends be adopted is House Resolution 186, House Resolution 222, House Resolution 285. Representative Monique Davis, Chairperson from the Committee on Insurance reports the following committee action taken on April 21, 2015: recommends be adopted is House Joint Resolution 48. Representative Cassidy, Chairperson from the Committee on Juvenile Justice & System-Involved Youth reports the following committee action taken on April 21, 2015: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

2933. Representative Costello, Chairperson from the Committee on Agriculture & Conservation reports the following committee action taken on April 21, 2015: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3622. Representative Chapa LaVia, Chairperson from the Committee on Veterans' Affairs reports the following committee action taken on April 21, 2015: recommends be adopted is House Resolution 221, Resolution 226, House Resolution 263. Representative Golar, Chairperson from the Committee on Elementary & Secondary Education: School Curriculum & Policies reports the following committee action taken on April 21, 2015: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 806, Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3190, House Joint Resolution 45, House Joint Resolution 58, House Resolution 194; recommends be adopted as amended is House Joint Resolution Introduction of Resolutions; House Resolution 394, offered by Representative Manley, is referred to the Rules Committee."

Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Sandack is recognized."

Sandack: "Thank you, Speaker. A point of personal privilege,
please."

Speaker Lang: "Proceed, Sir."

Sandack: "I have some guests here too. Over my right hand shoulder, coming from Geneva, Illinois, are Madeline and Laura Maccabi. Good people and I want to welcome to... them to the people's House. Madeline is... will be attending Miami of Ohio University. She's not sure what she wants to major in yet, but she may be here someday because she's got those kind of skills. Let's give them a nice Springfield welcome, please."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

- Speaker Lang: "Thank you for being with us. We are ready to begin calling Bills, Representatives. Beginning with House Bill 3323 on the Order of Second Reading, Mr. Acevedo. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3323, a Bill for an Act concerning the environment. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Hoffman, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Hoffman. Is Mr. Hoffman in the chamber? Mr. Acevedo, what's your pleasure? Out of the record?"

Acevedo: "I'd like to move it."

Speaker Lang: "Bear with me. Mr. Acevedo."

- Acevedo: "Origin... Hoffman was the original Sponsor, but the sponsorship was turned over to me. Maybe that's the confusion?"
- Speaker Lang: "Are you... are you interested in Mr. Hoffman's Amendment?"
- Acevedo: "Yes. The... the Amend... Yes."
- Speaker Lang: "Do you want to proceed on the Amendment? With leave of the Body, please proceed, Sir."
- Acevedo: "Okay. The Amendment was just... changed some language for the EPA and there's been an agreement and we have decided that it'll... they'll continue to working on the Amendment which they will add in the Senate."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 1376, Mr. Arroyo. Mr. Arroyo. Luis Arroyo. Out of the record. House Bill 2690, Mr. Breen. Out of the record. House Bill 1485, Mr. Brown. Out of the record. House Bill 218, Representative Cassidy. Representative Cassidy. Out of the record. House Bill 3704, Mr. Crespo. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3704, a Bill for an Act concerning court. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment 1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 811, Mr. Drury. Mr. Drury. Out of the record. House Bill 2555, Representative Reaves-Harris. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2555, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Reaves-Harris, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Harris. Out of the record. House Bill 306. Representative Guzzardi. Out of the record. House Bill 173, Mr. McSweeney. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 173, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 1455. Representative McAsey. Out of the record. House Bill 821. Representative Mussman. Please read the Bill."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 821, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Mussman, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Mussman."
- Mussman: "So, this will become the Campus Sexual Assault Bill.

  I'd like to move it from Second to Third, but debate it on
  Third."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes'... All right. We'll let... we'll back off on that and let Mr. Sandack ask his question. Mr. Sandack."
- Sandack: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was a little slow to the switch. Usually we want to hear a little about the Amendment before we move it to Third. So, I'd ask the Sponsor for a brief summation of what the Amendment is."
- Mussman: "So, the Amendment is basically the... the meat of the Bill and what we're doing right now is we are aggregating changes to federal rule and statute all in one place so that the universities all across our state will be following the same protocols for victims of sexual abuse, of stalking, domestic violence. Okay."
- Sandack: "Thank you."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3303, Representative Reaves-Harris. Please read the Bill."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3303, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Reaves-Harris, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Reaves-Harris on the Amendment. No running, Representative."
- Reaves-Harris: "I apologize, Mr. Speaker. House... House Bill 303 amends the Freedom of Information Act, and allow records that's used for juveniles while or... through in course of a... an investigation to be maintained permanently or exempt from FOIAable. Or to..."

Speaker Lang: "Those..."

Reaves-Harris: "I'm sorry. Yes."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3840, Mr. Sims. Mr. Sims. Out of the record. House Bill 1646, Mr. Sosnowski. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1646, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this House Bill. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Sosnowski, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sosnowski on the Amendment."

Sosnowski: "This... this Amendment becomes the Bill. And I'd be happy to talk about it further on Third Reading."

Speaker Lang: "Just a brief description, Sir."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

- Sosnowski: "This regards elimination tournaments and licensing in the State of Illinois."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3973, Mr. Phillips. Mr. Phillips. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3973, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Phillips, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Phillips."
- Phillips: "Yes, Sir. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm asking for the adoption of the Floor Amendment #2, Sir."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 1052, Mr. Yingling. Out of the record. House Bill 3764, Representative Scherer. Representative Scherer. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3764, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. This Bill was read a second time on a previous day. Floor Amendment #1 was adopted previously. Floor Amendment #3 is offered by Representative Scherer and has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Scherer on Amendment 3."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

- Scherer: "I think I have to hold it."
- Speaker Lang: "Lady takes the Bill from the record. House Bill 3122, Mr. Pritchard. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3122, a Bill for an Act concerning veterans. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Pritchard, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Pritchard."
- Pritchard: "Yes. Ladies and Gentlemen, this is a Bill and the Amendment that... that adds language that was required by the Department of Employment and Opp... and Security that would allow and set up a framework for employers to prefer and to advertise for hiring veterans in a position that they have. Be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen, we're beginning to roll Bills now on Third Reading. The first Bill is House Bill 2932, Mr. Sommer. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2932, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sommer."
- Sommer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like the Body's attention, please. This Bill was brought to me by the family of a fallen Marine. They are with us today. I'm going to explain the Bill, make some comments. I think a few colleagues will have a few things to say and then I will introduce the man and family to

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

you. Major Reid Nannen... Marine Major Reid Nannen of Hopedale, served two tours of duty in Afghanistan as a pilot. He protected our forces in that country. Yet when he returned to the United States and was in the midst of Top Gun fighter training in Nevada, he lost his life a little over a year ago. His Marine friends from around the world came to Hopedale for his visitation and then his funeral. But one of the things that the Marines mentioned to the family was that they did not see the flags lowered at half-staff. And everyone was surprised, because though Major Nannen was from Illinois, the State of Nevada, immediately the next day, lowered their flags to half-staff. And the Governor of Nevada, and the state's two United States Senators, sent personal letters condolence to the family. Yet, the statute in Illinois deprived that recognition to Major Nannen. In the current statute, law enforcement officers, or police killed in the line of duty, the flags are lowered. Firemen, EMTs, likewise the flags are lowered. But the statute now says that the military personnel has to be killed by hostile fire. The family brought this legislation to me after considering what had happened and didn't want that lack of tribute paid to some other family's member. This legislation... the intent of this legislation is that all our military personnel that wear the uniform, should they lose their life on active duty or training in the performance of their duties, would be included among those who would be so honored by having the state's flags lowered. I'd like to introduce the family at this time. The Nannen family is in the Speaker's gallery. If the Nannen family would please rise, I'll introduce them to you. To our

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

immediate right is Mary Ann Nannen, the mother of Marine Major Nannen. Dale Nannen, the father of Reid Nannen, who's holding the Major's son now transferred to grandma, that's Curtis. And then to our far left is Sarah Nannen, the wife of Major Reid Nannen and the mother of their four children. She's holding Isla. They have two other children that are not with us today. They are Peter and Betsy, they're in school. Other Members may wish to comment, but I believe that we owe this tribute, not only to them, but to all families and all members of the military who give their life in service to our country. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Butler."

Butler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the real Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Butler: "Representative Sommer, thank you very much for advancing this legislation. The Nannen family is... are residents of the 87th district of which I'm proud to serve on their behalf here in Springfield. Thank you for being here today. It's a small token of our appreciation that this chamber could pass this legislation on behalf of Reid. It's people like Reid and his service to this country that have allowed someone like me the choice not to serve in the military. And I thank him for that and I thank your family for giving him to us. Let me just read a short quote that was in 'Peoria Journal Star' about Reid, and this is from U.S. Marine Lieutenant Colonel Bruce Gordon. It says, 'Eeyore'... I assume Eeyore was his nickname... Eeyore inspired me to do better. He inspired all of us to do better, and he did this without trying. Every time that you see a flag at half-staff in this state, it will be

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

in tribute to your son. So, remember that when you see that. Thank you for being here and thank you for what you've done to advance this legislation."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Chapa LaVia."

Chapa LaVia: "Thank you, Speaker. And will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Chapa LaVia: "Representative, I really want to thank you as being the Chairwoman of Veterans' Affairs and every one of our Members in the Veterans' Affairs Committee who is now a hyphenated Sponsor. Thank you so much for your dedication to the military family. To the family, just like my last colleague said, we will and we always, especially our Marines, Semper Fi. It's so important, and that's why I get so passionate on this House Floor, whether it's a veteran that's get... receiving assistance for posttraumatic stress or whether it's a veteran's family who is now eligible for tuition waivers so your sons can go to school on behalf of our state because of the dedication you've given us. Know that in this time we're talking, your son's life meant tons to the future of the State of Illinois and I want to thank you for your dedication. It's not just your son, it's you and your family and your sons and your grandchildren. So, I want to thank you from the bottom of my heart and I'm sure I could speak for the rest of my committee. And they can speak also, but I want to thank you for the dedication and commitment to this country. Thank you very much, Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Costello."

Costello: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And thank you, Representative Sommer. This Bill is truly a fitting honor and it's very

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

important for all of us in this Body and across this country to realize that men and women in our military, both abroad and here at home, put their lives on the line in training and in service to this country every day. As we're sitting here, members of our military are training to protect our Constitution, our country, our state. Thank you very much, Mr. Sommer, again. And to the family, thank you so much for the service of your son."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sommer to close."

Sommer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. One of the good ways that we can honor Major Nannen is to pass this legislation in thinking of him. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Body will take a brief moment of silence. Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mitchell. Please take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Tryon is recognized."

Tryon: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "Proceed, Sir."

Tryon: "Today in the gallery is the student advisory council from my district that has been working on a Bill with me. And I would ask you to recognize the students from Crystal Lake Central High, Crystal Lake South, Jacobs High School in Algonquin, Dundee Crown High School in Dundee, Huntley High School in Huntley, and they are Jordyn Nimmer, Madelyn Lanham, Christina Meyer, Madelynn Eberhardt, and Chris... Kaitlyn

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

- Melendy. They're in the gallery and would you welcome them to Springfield. Thank you."
- Speaker Lang: "Glad you're here with us today. Thank you. Mr. Bennett."
- Bennett: "Thank you... thank you, Mr. Chair. Point of personal privilege, also."
- Speaker Lang: "Proceed, Sir."
- Bennett: "Thank you, Sir. In our gallery today are 25 family physicians in the gallery today. They are from the Illinois Academy of Family Physicians, and they are here, actually, on both sides of us today. They're part of the lobby day that they are visiting us in force. So, would you please stand? I think you see enough of them on both sides. Would you please give them a round, warm welcome from the House Floor, if you would."
- Speaker Lang: "Welcome. Thank you. Thank you for the introdu... introduction, Sir. House Bill 4025, Representative Conroy.

  Out of the record. House Bill 4011, Representative Feigenholtz. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 4011, a Bill for an Act concerning..."
- Speaker Lang: "I understand there are Amendments, Representative.

  So, we'll move the Bill back to the Order of Second Reading.

  Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 4011, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. This Bill was read a second time a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2 and 3 have been approved for consideration. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Feigenholtz."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Feigenholtz on Amendment 2."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

- Feigenholtz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 4011 is a Bill that follows in a long established practice to align... oh."
- Speaker Lang: "On the Amendment, Representative."
- Feigenholtz: "This is cleanup and clarification of some concerns that the Governor's Office, the pension boards had. I'd appreciate adoption."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "Floor Amendment #3 is offered by Representative Feigenholtz and has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Feigenholtz."
- Feigenholtz: "Thank you. As I was saying earlier. Illinois has a long history of aligning our state policy..."
- Speaker Lang: "On Amendment 3, Representative."
- Feigenholtz: "This is a... this is a procurement language cleanup and we need to move it."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 4112, Representative Gordon-Booth. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 4112, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Gordon-Booth."
- Gordon-Booth: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 4112 is an initiative of the Illinois Law Enforcement containing a Standards and Training Board. This Bill will... it states the

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

board must conduct crisis... with a crisis intervention team program where officers are trained to identify symptoms of mental illness, de-escalate situations involving those peoples, and get individuals help. Officers who successfully complete this program are given a certificate. The law center... the Law Enforcement Standards and Training Board has been working with NAMI, the National Alliance on Mental Illness, for many years working to train officers. This particular piece of legislation is supported by law enforcement throughout the State of Illinois as well as many mental health institutions across the state. This passed committee with no 'no' votes. I am open for any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Lady yields."

Sandack: "Representative, two questions. You mentioned that law enforcement is supportive. My analysis has the State Police and the Illinois Association of Chiefs of Police as neutral. Why are they neutral?"

Gordon-Booth: "I've never known them to be neutral as... from everything that I've known, the communication has been that they're actually proponents of this legislation."

Sandack: "All right. Thank you. That helps me."

Gordon-Booth: "No problem."

Sandack: "And lastly, I was reading through the analysis. Isn't this just something they can do on their own? Why do we need legislation to do the standards that you mentioned?"

Gordon-Booth: "Excellent question. Actually, the reason why we need this is because they aren't... they have been doing this

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

on their own, but they're... unfortunately because of many of the instances that have been going on across our country, there have been some rather shady outfits that have been sort of creating their own mental health training without any standards attached to them. So, what we're trying to do is standardize those trainings so that everyone is receiving the same high quality... the high quality trainings across the state."

Sandack: "Thank you for the answers to the questions."

Gordon-Booth: "Thank you."

'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Brown, Cavaletto, Harris, Mitchell, Pritchard. Please take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3273, Representative David Harris. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3273, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Harris."

Harris, D.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is... this is exactly the type of Bill that all of us talk about and support when we talk about doing away with units of local government. This Bill dissolves a sanitary district which is no longer needed in the City of Prospect Heights. All of the land under the authority of the sanitary district has now been incorporated into municipal boundaries.

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

And this would dissolve that sanitary district which has no employees, no equipment. And I ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Gentleman's Bill vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves, Members. Pritchard. Please take the record. On this question, there are 84 voting 'yes', 30 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3464, Representative Hernandez. Representative Hernandez. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3464, a Bill for an Act concerning human rights. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Hernandez."

Hernandez: "Thank you, Speaker. House Bill (sic-3464) 3463 is an initiative of the Department of Human Rights. The genesis of the Bill stems from several administrative law judges that are not sustaining charges of discrimination and real estate transactions based on oral discriminatory statements because they are interpreting the Human Rights Acts to prohibit only those that are printed, circulated, posted, mailed or published. The Bill clarifies the intent of the law by being more explicit in forbidding making any oral statements. I am... I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Sandack: "Representative, I only see one opposition or opponent.

The Illinois Rental Property Owner's Association. Are they still opposed?"

Hernandez: "There is a concern on the preference. We are working that out. The Real Estate Association is as well, working and just kind of flushing it out. They talked to me about this. And so, they're looking to remedy that in the Senate side, but we..."

Sandack: "So, you stand..."

Hernandez: "We're pretty much ironing that out."

Sandack: "...you stand committed to continue to work with them as
 it goes to the Senate?"

Hernandez: "Yes."

Sandack: "Thank you."

'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Bennett, Bradley, Brown, Hays, Pritchard, Tryon. Mr. Bradley. Please take the record. On this question, there are 108 voting 'yes', 6 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1493, Representative Lilly. Representative Lilly. Out of the record. House Bill 3761, Representative McAsey. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3761, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative McAsey."

McAsey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 3761 bans the sale of padded crib bumper pads in the State of

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Illinois. It is substantially similar to House Bill 5348 which passed this chamber last year. While stopping the sale of padded crib bumper pads, this Bill allows for the sale of mesh crib liners. The exception for the sale of mesh crib liners is the result of a compromise with the industry. Several companies currently produce and market mesh liners. I am not aware of any manufacturers of crib bumper pads in the State of Illinois. Padded bumpers are manufactured overseas. This Bill has an effective date of January 1, 2018, which is also the result of a compromise with industry that allows manufacturers additional time to adjust their product lines to be in compliance with the law. This Bill would include sales in retail settings as well as online. I believe that banning the sale of crib bumper pads is an important step for our state to take. The American Academy of Pediatrics quidelines tell parents not to use bumper pads because of the risk of suffocation, strangulation, and falls. Research has shown a link between crib bumper pads and dozens of deaths in numerous other industries. Specifically, research conducted by Bradley Thach, an M.D. and a Professor of Pediatrics at Washington University in St. Louis, reviewed data and concluded that 27 deaths were reported by medical examiners or coroners as attributed to crib bumper pads. Twenty-five nonfatal injuries were also reported. Additionally, NJ Scheers, Project Manager of the Infant Suffocation Project, reviewed an additional 76 deaths and in reviewing the medical examiner's findings, concluded that 48 of those deaths were causally related to or associated with crib bumpers. As recently as 2010, babies Adin and Preston suffocated against

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

bumper pads. There is no dispute that crib bumper pads are inherently dangerous and have been linked to infant deaths. This ban is a necessary step to prevent additional unnecessary deaths. Previously, we have taken steps in this Body to decrease the incidents of sudden infant deaths by requiring education of new parents and continuing education for child care providers. Our state already bans the use of crib bumper pads in licensed child care settings. And while certainly those education efforts are critical, alone they're not enough. Through those education efforts, the incidents of sudden unexplained infant death has decreased, but so long as crib bumper pads, a soft bedding with only a decorative purpose remain available for sale, that message that 'bare is best' is confused. Care givers, family members mistakenly believe they're purchasing a safety product and... without understanding the lethal dangers. This is an important step to protect against additional preventable deaths. Because of the dangers that they pose, the State of Maryland and the City of Chicago already have bans. The use of crib bumper pads is banned not only in child care in Illinois, but in many other states. An important next step to ensure the safety is the ban of ... sale of crib bumper pads. There's a broad coalition of supporters that include the Attorney General, Lisa Madigan, the Commissioner Joseph Mohorovic of the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, the Illinois Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics, Kids in Danger, SIDS of Illinois, Ann & Robert Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago, the Ounce of Prevention Fund, Illinois Action for Children, Ever Thrive Illinois, and numerous others. There is no reason

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

to delay taking action. Because of the dangers of crib bumper pads, I ask for your support of House Bill 3761. Please help our state to take this important next step to prevent unnecessary infant deaths. Thank you. And I will answer any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, I think it was last week when there was spirited debate on a very similar Bill. House Bill 2653 passed this House and is on its way to the Senate. Passing this Bill would conflict with what we just did. It would conflict. It would pas... it would send a ridiculous message. It would show that we're not very serious Legislators. Vote 'no' on this Bill. Republicans, there should be no reason to vote 'yes' on this Bill. Vote 'no'."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Mayfield."

Mayfield: "Thank you, Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Mayfield: "Okay. Representative, what is the impact of this Bill to the state?"

McAsey: "I... I'm sorry. I could not hear your question?"

Speaker Lang: "Ladies and Gentlemen, can we allow these two to hear each other? Could we hold down the noise. Ladies and Gentlemen. Please proceed."

Mayfield: "My question is, what is the impact of your Bill on the State of Illinois?"

McAsey: "The impact on the State of Illinois would be that we would make an important step forward to protect children, to prevent un..."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Mayfield: "Let me correct that. What is the financial impact on the State of Illinois?"

McAsey: "Give me one moment. There was a fiscal note filed and that returned that there would be minimal fiscal impact."

Mayfield: "Oh. Can you define 'minimal'?"

McAsey: "I can tell you what information is in the fiscal note that was filed. It states that there would be minimal fiscal impact. I did previously state that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no manufacturers of padded crib bumper products in the State of Illinois. These products are manufactured overseas and are sold..."

Mayfield: "I'd have to disagree with you, Representative. Per my information from the manufacturers, there are actually 19 businesses in the State of Illinois that manufacture all or part of a bumper pad. Nineteen businesses in the State of Illinois that we are basically saying, you are what... we don't want your business here. We do not want you to continue to hire our employees here in the State of Illinois. We don't want the tax dollars that you are paying to the State of Illinois. You are more than welcome to go to Indiana or Wisconsin. 19 businesses that you are saying, you are not welcome here. Now, let's talk about the employees of those 19 businesses. We're talking a couple hundred families that you are looking to impact. Families that you are looking to unemploy, Representative. And for those of you in the chamber that are considering voting 'yes' on this, some of these businesses may be in your district. We have 2 in Chicago, 2 in Oakbrook, 2 in Exton. There's 1 in Wheaton, 1 in Barrington, 1 in Arlington Heights, 1 in Libertyville, 1 in

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

McHenry. Let's see, Franklin Park, Northbrook... I think that pretty much covers it. So... and now, my understanding, Representative, is that you were also given this list of 19 businesses. So, can you answer that?"

McAsey: "I am not aware of any companies that manufacture padded crib bumper pads..."

Mayfield: "Okay. Well, I'm telling there are..."

McAsey: "...in the State of Illinois."

Mayfield: "...19."

McAsey: "There may be and likely you have a list of companies in Illinois that produce and sell products that are for children, what percentage of those companies... businesses, what... what sort of a footprint they have in the State of Illinois. That I don't know. I have asked those questions and have not been provided information. Is it merely that one of those companies is represented by legal counsel here? I don't know that they have any sort of a manufacturing presence."

Mayfield: "Okay. To the Bill. We're looking to close businesses with this Bill. We're looking to send a message that we don't want business, we don't want products. We're starting with the bumper pads, which as we already know in a very good Bill that passed last week where we can apply safety standards to bumper pads, safety standards that have been applied to 13 thousand other juvenile and infant products. We know that the juvenile products industry, the scientific arm of the juvenile industry, has stated that they can make a very safe product and put it out there on the market. There is absolutely no need to ban the bumper pads. The juvenile products industry also let in... the Representative and the...

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

the advocates know that they are willing to develop a hybrid. They're willing to continue to work with them, but were told no, absolutely not. We don't want to work with you. We're not interested in protecting business. We're not interested in protecting jobs. We're okay with you closing companies and laying off people in the State of Illinois. We have a problem with this Bill here. And as I stated last week, there is not one direct death that can be attributed to a bumper pad. Now if the Representative wants to start reading off names... I have the list here as well, but I'm willing to give her the full information of those cases and not just the selective information that has been shared with the chamber. I am asking everyone in here, it is not too late. I know that this Bill has been heavily worked. There's a lot of advocates out there that have called you and told you that you need to vote 'yes' on this Bill. I'm saying that a 'yes' vote on this Bill is sending the wrong message. We need to vote 'no' or 'present'. Think about those companies. Think about those families that you are affecting. It is okay to vote 'present' on this Bill. But don't send a message... let's send a message that we already passed something good. That we want a product on the market. We don't want people at home making their own bumper pads. We don't want businesses going to Indiana or Wisconsin. We don't want to lay off one person. We don't want to negatively affect one family. Vote 'no' or 'present' on this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Ives."

Ives: "Question of the Chair."

Speaker Lang: "Proceed."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Ives: "I was hoping that immediately following this Bill we could run the other nanny state Bill of banning lion meat?"

Speaker Lang: "That doesn't appear to be on my agenda at the moment, Representative."

Ives: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Gabel."

Gabel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Gabel: "So... you read a pretty impressive list of organizations that support this legislation. It's my understanding that there... these are organizations that have worked to improve and children's lives and keep children alive for many, many years. These are not organizations that take this kind of issue lightly. It includes the Attorney General's Office and a number of other organizations that you've stated. I... I have a ques... a number of questions for you. First, these manufacturers that may be manufacturing bumpers, do you think it's possible that they're manufacturing the safer kinds of bumpers?"

McAsey: "I am aware that there are numerous companies that do manufacture and market the mesh liner, which would be allowed under this legislation. Because I don't know specifically who those companies are or what exactly their products are, it is quite possible that those companies are already manufacturing a mesh liner. Certainly, it seems that the children's product industry is moving toward manufacturing mesh liners. One of the components of this legislation is a very long effective date. It's not effective until January 1 of 2018. That represents a compromise with the manufacturing industry so

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

that, if those manufacturers choose, they can develop a new product line, develop a mesh liner that they could still sell in the State of Illinois or adjust their supply chains accordingly."

Gabel: "Thank you. Are there... can you purchase these kinds of more dangerous bumpers, the bumpers that actually have caused chil... infants to die in their cribs, can you purchase those in Chicago?"

McAsey: "You cannot purchase those in the City of Chicago. The City of Chicago has had a ban of the sale of crib bumper pads that went into effect in 2012. In fact, that ban in the City of Chicago is even stricter than this legislation. In the City of Chicago you may not purchase the padded bumpers or the mesh liners. This legislation represents a compromise allowing for the sale of the mesh liners. The State of Maryland also bans the sale of crib bumper pads. That ban went into effect in June of 2013 and was the result of a very lengthy study within the State of Maryland looking at both the benefits and potential benefits and risks of using this product. They concluded that it was inherently dangerous and confused the message of safety experts including the American Academy of Pediatrics and so many others and chose to ban the sale in the State of Maryland. Another important note with regard to the ban is that in child care in the State of Illinois, we already ban the use of bumper pads in licensed child care facilities because of the dangers and the risks and the numerous suffocation deaths."

Gabel: "Is it true that the Illinois Retail Merchants Association is neutral on your Bill now?"

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

McAsey: "That is correct. The Illinois Retail Merchants Association has no position on the legislation."

Gabel: "To the Bill. I strongly encourage people to vote 'yes' on this legislation. This has... bumpers have been shown to be a very dangerous product... consumer product and I think it is our responsibility to protect the infants of this state. As you can see, there are so many supporters of this legislation. Already a quarter of the people in this state cannot use this. They're not used at day care centers. I think it's our responsibility to protect the rest of the children. So, I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Ford."

Ford: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Can I bump... I mean, yield my time to Rita Mayfield?"

Speaker Lang: "Representative Mayfield."

Mayfield: "Thank you, Speaker. Just... I want to just want to recap again that this Bill is a no-brainer. This Bill is a vote 'no', vote 'present', walk away, go take a break, but do not vote 'yes' on this Bill. This is a bad Bill. It really is. There are no instances that can be directly attributed to the bumper pad. We know we can put a very safe product on the market. We don't want businesses closing and going to other states. We don't want people losing their job. And Speaker, I want a verification on the vote."

Speaker Lang: "Your request is acknowledged. Representative Davidsmeyer."

Davidsmeyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Davidsmeyer: "Will this Bill limit people from going... I represent a border s... border district. Will this limit the ability of somebody to go into St. Louis, purchase these and come back into the State of Illinois?"

McAsey: "It would not, unfortunately."

Davidsmeyer: "Okay."

McAsey: "It would... this only impacts sales in the State of Illinois, whether in a retail setting or online."

Davidsmeyer: "So... so, these will still be sold. They just won't be sold and made here in the State of Illinois?"

McAsey: "That's correct."

Davidsmeyer: "All right. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Nekritz."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. Ladies and Nekritz: Gentlemen, we make these kinds of decisions all the time. We are faced with choices between balancing public safety and looking at data and determining whether or not we need to tip a balance in terms of public safety and I think when we're talking about infants, we're talking about newborns, we're talking about young families, that is the balance that we have to strike and make a decision that protects those individuals. This is not a choice between jobs and... and... or no jobs. This is a choice, a very difficult balance, but a balance none the less that I think we need to strike and choose public safety, choose data and choose... and base our decision on evidence. And so, I would... this is the kind of thing I think that we definitely need to vote 'yes' and support families and protect infants in our state. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Williams."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Williams: "Representative, you read off a long list of proponents.

I'm wondering if Lurie Children's Hospital has weighed in officially?"

McAsey: "Yes. Lurie Children's Hospital has officially taken a stance as a proponent as a supporter of this legislation."

Williams: "Okay. And on the prior legislation, did they weigh in?"

McAsey: "On the prior legislation, meaning a different..."

Williams: "The different... I'm sorry."

McAsey: "The Bill that was considered..."

Williams: "Last week's Bill."

McAsey: "...that was considered on this floor? The Lurie Children's Hospital as well as the other members of the safety and medical community that support this legislation, were in opposition to other legislation that had been previously considered on this floor."

Williams: "Thank you. To the Bill. I get the concern for Illinois jobs and Illinois business. That's been a focus of many of our efforts this Session, but I don't think this Bill is about that. First of all, there's some conflict... conflicting information as to whether Illinois manufacturers do make this product. I've heard from another source just now that that's actually not the case. So, that's still up in the air I think. But in terms of, if you want... you really want to make the right decision on an issue like this, you really need to look to the experts. And interestingly, I have a constituent who weighed in on this topic and her name is Dr. Karen Sheehan.

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

She is the… she's a resident of my district and she directs Lurie Children's in… Inner jury Prevention and Research Center. Let me say that again. Lurie Children's Hospital Injury Prevention and Research Center. I think it's fair to say she's an expert. She weighed in just yesterday and said she'd like to urge my support of the Bill to ban padded bumper pads being sold in Illinois. Now, if she's not an expert, I don't know who's an expert. She says it provides no safety benefit and has been linked to suffocation deaths. You know, say you don't maybe agree with the good doctor, say you've heard other information that may conflict. Just on the off chance that they might be right and you might be wrong, isn't it better than instead of worrying about economic impacts, we worry about children's lives. I would urge an 'aye' vote. Listen to the experts and vote 'yes'."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Zalewski."

Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Zalewski: "Representative, can you tell me... can you describe for
 me the definition of a 'crib bumper pad' in this Bill?"

McAsey: "If you'll give me one moment, I'm just trying to scroll to it because I want to make sure that I read the... give you the precise definition. So, 'crib bumper pad' is defined in this legislation to mean any padding material including, but not limited to, a roll of stuffed fabric that is designed for the placement within a crib to cushion one or more of the crib's inner sides adjacent to the crib mattress. 'Crib bumper pad' does not include mesh liners."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Zalewski: "And what's the definition... what's the difference in
 definitions between a 'commercial dealer' and a
 'manufacturer'?"

McAsey: "You're... you're getting to the difference in the definition between a 'commercial dealer' and a 'manufacturer'?"

Zalewski: "Correct."

McAsey: "Well, the... the manufacturer would... Well, with regard to the penalty, the penalty would apply to a commercial dealer, importer, distributor, wholesaler or retailer, but does not apply to the manufacturer. Is that..."

Zalewski: "Yeah."

McAsey: "...your question?"

Zalewski: "Can you... I guess the question is what is the... under your current Bill who would bear the brunt of the new found regulation? Who would be most im..."

McAsey: "Oh, with regard to compliance?"

Zalewski: "Correct."

McAsey: "So, I think that in terms of compliance, it would be the retailer who is placing the item really into this stream of commerce and offering that item for sale that really would bear the burden of compliance."

Zalewski: "And then, I... I know you've been working on this Bill quite a bit, Representative. I just... if you could just gently describe for me the differences as you see them between the Bill we did today and the Bill we did last week."

McAsey: "Okay. So this legislation, I believe really takes a step to protect children and families from an inherently dangerous product. The legislation that was previously debated on this

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

floor which considered a standard commonly known as the ASTM standard, is a standard that is promulgated by a committee, committee includes members of the manufacturing industry. There are 38 members of the manufacturing community and 2 members of the safety and advocacy community that are members of that committee making a total of 40 members. So, essentially, this is an industry-driven committee that writes an industry-driven standard. Something that is, you know, pro... pro their industry. It's not at all a safety standard in my opinion as well as in the opinion of safety and medical experts. Under that particular standard, the ASTM standard, the... the standard would allow for bumpers that are up to 2 inches in thickness. It would essentially say that bumpers that are up to 2 inches in thickness are safe. I think that that standard actually is a step backward, because I think that in... essentially endorsing a standard like that as a state, we are telling parents that a product that complies with this false safety standard is safe. And that's in fact not the case whatsoever."

Zalewski: "Okay. I... to the Bill. I... I think I agree what one of the speakers said. I... you know, it's certainly delicate whenever you talk about issues like this because you always want to be respectful of the business interests and the interests at hand and you have to balance that against what's best for, obviously, new parents and parents of young children. And in... in this limited instance, I think we're faced with a choice of acknowledging that maybe all not all manufacturer here is... is willing to embrace this particular piece of legislation, but that doesn't necessarily mean it's

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

bad legislation. My expectation is I take the Sponsor at her... at her word when she sees good faith differences in what was passed last week and what was passed this week. I think it's a good Bill. And I urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Hays."

Hays: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. It is now officially Groundhog Day. My alarm went off and it's again April 16, last Thursday. I saw Bill Murray and Andie MacDowell in the corridor. I'm just saying."

Speaker Lang: "That's all you have, Sir? Representative McAsey to close."

"Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Thank you to all of McAsey: the Members of the Body for your thoughtful and thorough debate on this measure. I ask for your support of House Bill 3761, which would ban the sale of padded crib bumpers in Illinois to protect our infants. This is a Bill about saving children's lives. Padded crib bumpers are inherently dangerous. They've been linked to dozens of infant deaths. Now is the time to take the important step to ban padded bumper pads and protect our infant's lives. I will conclude my remarks today with excerpts from a letter sent to me by Commissioner Joseph Mohorovic of the Illinois Consumer Product Safety Commission also an Illinois... he calls Illinois home. I think that he eloquently captured the reason that this legislation is so necessary. He writes, Padded crib bumpers present an array of serious risks. Virtually all of them latent hazards that parents might not instinctively appreciate. Moreover, they contribute to a misperception that

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

a soft padded crib environment is a safe crib environment. Professional safety experts all recognize that an uncluttered crib is the safest environment for a baby's sleep. Seeing padded bumpers on the shelves contradict the message the safety community needs care givers to remember, bare is best. He continues, Parents may not realize that a product they purchase to make the crib safer is actually making it less safe. Our job to help parents keep their children safe by ensuring that products sold for use in cribs cannot increase the chances a family will have to endure the unimaginable tragedy of a child's death. We need to do all we can to protect the precious blessings that are sleeping, growing, and blossoming in cribs across Illinois. I ask for your 'yes' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Ladies and Gentlemen, the Lady has moved for the passage of her Bill. Representative Mayfield has asked for a verification. Members will be in their seats and vote their own switches. Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Batinick, Jesiel, Pritchard, Sims. Mr. Sims. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 63 voting 'yes', 48 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present'. And Representative Mayfield has asked for a verification. Do you persist, Representative? She does. Mr. Clerk, before you read the affirmative, will staff retire to the rear of the chamber, will all Members be in their chairs. Mr. Clerk, please read the affirmative vote."

Clerk Hollman: "A poll of those voting in the affirmative.

Representative Acevedo; Representative Ammons;

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Representative Andrade; Representative Arroyo; Representative Bennett; Representative Bradley; Representative Bryant; Representative Daniel Burke; Representative Representative Kelly Burke; Cassidy; LaVia; Representative Representative Chapa Cloonen; Representative Conroy; Representative Costello; Representative Crespo; Representative Currie; Representative D'Amico; Representative Monique Davis; Representative William Representative Drury; Representative Representative Evans; Representative Feigenholtz; Representative Fine; Representative Ford; Representative Representative Gabel; Representative Golar; Representative Gordon-Booth; Representative Guzzardi; Representative Greg Harris; Representative Hernandez; Representative Hoffman; Representative Hurley; Representative Jackson; Representative Kifowit; Representative Lang; Representative Lilly; Representative Martwick; Representative McAsey; Representative McAuliffe; Representative Christian Mitchell; Representative Moeller; Representative Moffitt; Representative Moylan; Representative Mussman; Representative Nekritz; Representative Riley; Representative Rita; Representative Representative Sente; Representative Representative Smiddy; Representative Soto; Representative Tabares; Representative Wallace; Representative Representative Welch; Representative Williams; Representative Willis; Representative Winger; Representative Yingling; and Representative Zalewski."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Mayfield."

35th Legislative Day

- Mayfield: "I withdraw."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Mayfield withdraws her verification request. On this question, there are 63 voting 'yes', 42 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Franks is recognized on a point of personal privilege."
- Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to welcome back to our Body your former seatmate... former Senator, former Representative, very good friend of mine. He made the mistake the Godfather of his child which is always questionable. But Ladies and Gentlemen, please have warm Springfield welcome for our former colleague and the Sheriff of Cook County, Thomas Dart."
- Speaker Lang: "Welcome, Mr. Sheriff. Things haven't changed much since you left, Sir. Mr. Turner in the Chair."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Sandack, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Sandack: "A point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker. And can I have the Body's attention, we have an honored guest here, if it's possible."
- Speaker Turner: "Ladies and Gentlemen, can we please have your attention. Representative Sandack."
- Sandack: "Thank you, Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I know we have Sheriff Dart here and It's good to see him here. Up above at the gallery is a young man we should all take a look at Isaiah Martinez is there with his college coach John Hefferman and Jay Keller. That young man there, right there is a freshman at the University of Illinois. He just

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

went 34-0 for U of I wrestling and won a national championship as a freshman. The first freshman to do that since 1999. I happened to turn on the Big Ten Network when the Big Ten Wrestling Conference Championship was on and we caught his match against a defending 145 pound champion from Minnesota and that young man threw that gentleman around like a rag doll. In wrestling, the term stud is used sometimes a little loosely. That guy is a stud. So, let's give him a fine welcome to Springfield, please."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you, Representative. And welcome to your Capitol. Representative Demmer, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Demmer: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A point of personal privilege."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed, Sir."

Demmer: "I'd like to welcome... I have a Page down from Sterling,
Illinois. Jamie Nelson's down here in the front. I'd just
like to give him a warm Springfield welcome. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you, welcome to your Capitol. Continuing down the Order of Third Readings, we have House Bill 3592, Representative Morrison. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3592, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Morrison."

Morrison: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3592 is an initiative of IMRF. It's really just cleanup language. What we would do is make sure that the participants who have taken the early retirement incentive that they are paying the appropriate contribution rate. I don't know of any opposition. And I ask for an 'aye' vote."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

- Speaker Turner: "On that, we have Representative Franks."
- Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I want to just take a look at the notes. I know we had asked for some notes and I wanted to make sure that we have received all those. 'Cause I... when I saw the Bill, I wasn't sure I understood it all. So, representative, we received all the notes that we had requested?"
- Morrison: "I'm pretty sure you filed those notes after it was already on Third Reading?"
- Franks: "I thought we had filed them before. My... my analysis indicates that there was notes that have been filed. I have not received those. Do you know when they were filed?"
- Speaker Turner: "Representative, the Clerk has advised me that the notes were filed after the Bill was already on Third Reading."
- Franks: "Oh. Okay. Well, then perhaps the Gentleman can answer some of the questions because I'm not sure; that's why I filed them. I wanted to know whether there was a fiscal impact to the state, and also whether there was a pension impact to the state."
- Morrison: "I've been told by legal staff that I am around that there is no fiscal impact to the state. That really we're just clarifying how much the participants should be paying. And in the case of elected officials, they're rates are going up. The rates that they pay. And for sheriffs, their rates are also going up from 6 and a half to 7.5 percent."

Franks: "Is that in this Bill?"

Morrison: "No, I don't believe it is, but that is how it is currently run."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Franks: "So, what does this Bill do? That's why I was trying to see the real numbers and that's why I'd asked for those... that information. What exactly does this Bill do?"

Morrison: "Give me one quick moment here. Mr. Speaker, could we just take this out of the record for a second?"

Speaker Turner: "Mr. Clerk, please remove this Bill from the record. House Bill 1453, Representative Walsh. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1453, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1453 was brought to me by a local traffic attorney. Basically what this Bill does... it allows the court or a judge to allow one supervision... one court supervision for the first offense for a violation of speeding 26 miles over the speed limit. Currently, right now, that is a charge that cannot receive supervision; therefore, it stays on the person's record forever, unless they go through the expungement process. But this is just on highways and then the Amendment that we put on there is excluding construction and school zones. I'd be happy to answer any question. And requestfully ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "I have Representative Hays."

Hays: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Hays: "Representative, then would this be totally at the discretion of the judge?"

Walsh: "Yes, it would."

Hays: "Thank you."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Speaker Turner: "Representative Walsh to close."

Walsh: "I request an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 1453 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Members, please record yourselves. Representative Davidsmeyer. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 78 voting 'yes', 33 voting 'no' and 0 voting 'present', House Bill 1453, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1051, Representative Zalewski. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1051, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Zalewski."

Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the rideshare trailer Bill. It does three things. Adjust... it makes perfectly clear the preemptory nature of the Bill. It adds some language for the banking industry to ensure their rights are protected in the lien situation. And it adds a five-year sunset. I'd ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "On that, we have Representative Hays."

Hays: "Representative, has all opposition been removed from the Bill?"

Zalewski: "My understanding is the City of Chicago, Chad, is... is generally opposed to Bills where we adjust preemption language. But other than that, they haven't slipped."

Hays: "Thank you."

Zalewski: "You're welcome."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Zalewski to close."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Zalewski: "Vote 'aye'."

Speaker Turner: "Question is, 'Shall House Bill 1051 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all vote who wish? Have all vote who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 110 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no' and 0 voting 'present', House Bill 1051, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1666, Representative Unes. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1666, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Unes."

Unes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1666 will provide an exemption under... to the current law for some trucks that have rare loaded motorized fork lifts. There are some industries such as home builders or lumber yards or other supply truck businesses that it is a necessity for them to carry a rare loaded motorized fork lift. When they do this, there's only one place to put it on the truck and that is in the back. And that sometimes causes the license plate of that truck to be blocked. So, this will provide an exemption for them. I know of no opponents and ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 1666 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 110 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no' and 0 voting 'present', House Bill 1666, having received

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, the status of House Bill 3765."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3765 is on Third Reading."

Speaker Turner: "Please move that Bill back to the Order of Second Reading and read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3765, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Scherer."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Scherer."

Scherer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Today I'm presenting House Bill 3765. This is Amendment #1. I'd like to adopt the Amendment on the floor and move it to Third for a discussion. The Amendment addresses the concerns of Members of the committee."

Speaker Turner: "The Representative moves that the House adopt Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3765. Excuse me. Representative Butler, do you have a question on the Amendment?"

Butler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "For a question on the Amendment?"

Butler: "No. I'm sorry, not on the Amendment. My apologies."

Speaker Turner: "We're going to debate the Bill on Third Reading Representative."

Butler: "My bad."

Speaker Turner: "Lady moves that the House adopt Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3765. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'aye' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

35th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. But a fiscal note and a Home Rule note have been requested and have not been filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Please hold that Bill on the Order of Second Reading. House Bill 2636, Representative Sandack. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2636, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Sandack."
- Sandack: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2636 amends the Deposit of State Moneys Act. And it makes certain changes throughout the Act, providing that nothing shall be construed as requiring of financial institution to provide a product or service in this state that the financial institution does not otherwise provide. It makes a similar change in the Public Funds Investment Act. I know of no opposition."
- Speaker Turner: "On that, we have a question from Representative Monique Davis."
- Davis, M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Turner: "Indicates that he will."
- Davis, M.: "Representative Sandack, what problem are you choosing to solve or create?"
- Sandack: "There's no problem sought to be created. The problem that's being addressed is the fact that certain banks, usually smaller ones, are being required to acknowledge that they provide services that they don't when they're... when they're submitting requests to... RFPs on certain services. So, this is simply clarifying an existing problem in the State Law that exists now."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Davis, M.: There are existing problems with RFPs for the Treasurer's Office?"

Sandack: "Well, not the Treasurer itself, but for small RF... small banks that want to respond to RFPs pursuant to the Treasurer's Act. Essentially, it requires a bank to say they provide every array of service when they do or don't. And all it really should say is, do you provide service 'X' responsive to the RFP. That's what my Bill does."

Davis, M.: "Is this legislation intended to restrict or hinder state or local Treasurer's consideration of a financial institution's community lending and reinvestment activity in deciding whether to deposit federal or... I'm sorry. Our public funds are obtain financial services from a bank or credit union?"

Sandack: "No."

Davis, M.: "You sure?"

Sandack: "I am."

Davis, M.: "Is that the intent of your Bill?"

Sandack: "For the third time, no."

Davis, M.: "Well, what impact will this legislation have on efforts to encourage more investment in less affluent neighborhoods and communities of color?"

Sandack: "It'll have no impact."

Davis, M.: "Pardon?"

Sandack: "It will have no impact. It will neither hurt nor help."

Davis, M.: "You're hoping it doesn't."

Sandack: "No, Ma'am."

Davis, M.: "That is not your intention, but it very well could."

Sandack: "No, Ma'am."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Davis, M.: "How does the Bill fit in with the various settlements and consent decrees that are entered into by the banking industry for the past lending practices?"

Sandack: "I'm sorry. I didn't hear your question. Please repeat...
repeat it."

Davis, M.: "How does this Bill fit in with various settlements and consent decrees that have be entered into by the banking industry for their past lending practices?"

Sandack: "It will have no effect of on it."

Davis, M.: "You know like redlining and stuff."

Sandack: "It will have no effect on it."

Davis, M.: "So, obviously, we don't need this Bill."

Sandack: "No, Ma'am. You're not... you're not listening to me. This clarifies an existing loophole in our law. It makes smaller banks able to respond to RFPs, because they do not provide the same services as a large bank like Wells Fargo. We want community banks to be able to respond to RFPs in the communities they... you know, they ply their services. They do not provide every single service a big bank like Bank of America provides. That's what my Bill says."

Davis, M.: "But how could... how could this legislation by itself be of service to those banks you're speaking of?"

Sandack: "I'm not sure I can explain it any differently Representative. The idea is that small banks..."

Davis, M.: "Well, you do know what..."

Sandack: "That small banks...

Davis, M.: "...concern is..."

Sandack: "Excuse me."

Davis, M.: "My concern is..."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Sandack: "I'm listening."

Davis, M.: "...the redlining that banks sometimes practice. Their inability or desire... they don't have a desire to loan to women and their businesses or they may not want to loan to African Americans who wish to get a loan for a business. Or they may not want to loan to small businesses so that they can increase or start a business in our communities. So, will..."

Sandack: "Is that question?"

Davis, M.: "It is a well written question."

Sandack: "Ma'am, this has nothing to do with that litany and compound question you just asked. This just permits smaller banks the ability to compete with larger banks and respond to RFPs. That's it."

Davis, M.: "They can compete and respond to... Now, they can do that."

Sandack: "They cannot."

Davis, M.: "Why not?"

Sandack: "Because they don't provide the array of services the large banks do. Right now the law says you have to provide every single service under an RFP, even ones you're not responding to. This just says small banks or any bank only has to provide the service that it's competing through the RFP process and no more."

Davis, M.: "So, are you... are you limiting some of the services that they..."

Sandack: "No."

Davis, M.: "...have to adhere to?"

Sandack: "No. They provide the service they provide. That's it."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

- Davis, M.: "I just fail to see how this legislation is going to be of service to the State of Illinois and to the banks that exist in the State of Illinois. We're very concerned with what your real objective is here. If... if the things you're saying to me on the floor are true, then we don't need the Bill."
- Sandack: "No, Ma'am. We need the Bill because there's a... right now, small banks cannot and do not provide every single service under the RFP litany."
- Davis, M.: "So, with this Bill they'll be able to provide every service?"

Sandack: "No."

Davis, M.: "Okay."

- Sandack: "They'll be able to pro... they'll be able to respond RFPs with the specific services they provide. That's it."
- Davis, M.: "Well, I think there's a lot of unknown... things that are not known here with the intent of your legislation; therefore, I will be voting 'present'."

Sandack: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Batinick."

Batinick: "Thank you... thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Batinick: "Does this Bill increase competition amongst banks by adding the amount of banks that can do business for the state?"

Sandack: "It does."

Batinick: "Okay. Does this actually help the George Bailey style banks?"

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Sandack: "It does."

Batinick: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Zalewski."

Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Zalewski: "Ron, I... as much... I can appreciate the last speaker's questions and the previous one before that, but I do have some questions as well. Our analysis says, it's unclear why this change is necessary. Can you explain that?"

Sandack: "Yeah. And I actually had a number of conversations with the Treasurer's Office. With all due respect to the new Treasurer and some of the new people in his office, I don't think they understood. We passed this Bill out of committee last Session. It essentially lets... banks right now are supposed to provide every service imaginable under the RFPs, whether it's state or city. And small banks maybe don't have money or cash management services. If they're not seeking an RFP on cash management services but instead want to respond to lock box service, they technically can't respond to the lock box service that they do undertake. This just says banks only have to provide services that for an RFP that they provide and not the litany of other services that they don't."

Zalewski: "And our analysis also says that the Attorney General
 is opposed?"

Sandack: "They're no longer opposed; they're neutral."

Zalewski: "Okay. Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Evans."

Evans: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Evans: "Representative, it's my understanding that House Bill 2636 is not intended to restrict or in any way hinder the State Treasurer or local county or city Treasurer's consideration of a financial institution's community lending and reinvestment activity in deciding whether to deposit public funds or obtain financial services from a bank or credit union. Is this correct?"

Sandack: "That is correct, and that was asked by your colleague previously. But to reiterate, that's correct."

Evans: "Just wanted clarity. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Will Davis."

Davis, W.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Davis, W.: "If you've answered this already I apologize, Representative. But what impact will the legislation have on efforts to encourage more investment in less affluent neighborhoods and communities of color?"

Sandack: "I think it will have... it doesn't directly affect positively or negatively. But I think it will indirectly help in that area because smaller banks will now be able to respond to RFPs to cities and communities that they're situated in. I think that helps the local economy. So, I think that's an indirect benefit."

Davis, W.: "Well... well, let me maybe ask it this way. So, if your legislation says that if a bank doesn't offer a service we're still giving them the flexibility to apply for certain RPFs, if... if the bank doesn't offer any particular services to help small and minority businesses, if they don't do it, then are we letting them off the hook by still giving them the ability

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

to apply for state RFPs, when a lot of us encourage that our RFPs, you know, try to help small and minority businesses. So, how are we making that easier?"

Sandack: "I don't think so, Will, because right now, as the law stands, technically only the big banks could respond to these RFPs: Wells Fargo, Bank of America, et cetera. And I think that has a negative effect on competition and it obviously precludes smaller banks from competing. To your point, obviously we're going to do everything we can to encourage banks to expand their services when it makes sense and obviously try and help them in the local communities. So..."

Davis, W.: "But..."

Sandack: "...I'm open to anything that would affect that, sure."

Davis, W.: "But... well... Okay. Let me make sure... make sure I understand. So, if the RFP calls for something involving small and minority businesses or things like that and that small bank doesn't provide..."

Sandack: "They couldn't respond to the RFP."

Davis, W.: "...those kind of ... It can't respond to the RFP?"

Sandack: "That's exactly right. It would stay the same way in my..."

Davis, W.: "But are... but are we making it so they're able to respond to the RFP?"

Sandack: "No, not in my legislation. They either provide the service or they don't. And you know... That's it. Now, if we want to encourage an expansion of this, I hear you. But you can't respond to an RFP that you don't provide a service for. That would be like me all of a sudden answering an electrician

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

RFP when I'm not an electrician, I'm not licensed. So, you got to have the service in order to respond to the RFP."

Davis, W.: "In order to respond. But it sounds like when you opened up your... your conversation about this Bill, you made it sound like that smaller banks were applying for RFPs and not having the services..."

Sandack: "Exactly..."

Davis, W.: "...available?"

Sandack: "...because... Yes. Because you have to respond only to the service you provide. But the law currently says if you don't provide XYZ service, even though you do provide the one you're responding to, you technically cannot qualify for that RFP. Doesn't make any sense."

Davis, W.: "Okay. I think... I think that makes a little bit better sense. But is there anyway that we can encourage these smaller banks..."

Sandack: "I'm all ears. I'd love to help you on that."

Davis, W.: "...to do that? By maybe not moving this kind of legislation where it seems like they're still let off the hook because they don't provide the services and maybe they should provide them?"

Sandack: "Will, I mean, that's... I hear you. But we want to expand the base of services that exist right now and that does... this does that. I'm happy to work on a trailer or another piece of legislation to encourage banks to reinvest even more and/or expand their base of services."

Davis, W. "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Andrade."

Andrade: "Will the speaker yield?"

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Speaker Turner: "The speaker will yield."

Andrade: "Thank you, Chairman. Would... would you say that some small banks are owned by minorities?"

Sandack: "I sure hope so. I'm sure..."

Andrade: "Now..."

Sandack: "...that some are."

Andrade: "...would... would these small banks probably provide every service?"

Sandack: "I don't think they can, but maybe some do."

Andrade: "Right. But some... so, maybe your Bill might helpfully help smaller banks that are owned by minorities actually..."

Sandack: "Respond to RFPs..."

Andrade: "...have the opportunity to respond to this Bill."

Sandack: "...and get business out of states and cities, right."

Andrade: "Because they might not be able to compete with the Wells Fargo or Chase, or one of these banks. So, one of the side effects could be that... that it's opening and opening up to more banks."

Sandack: "Correct."

Andrade: "So and hopefully there's some minority banks that can actually apply now for this service."

Sandack: "Yes, Sir."

Andrade: "Now, this legislation does not require the... them to accept the bank that does not provide one of these services, but it does allow the bank to now apply for the service, correct?"

Sandack: "Yes."

Andrade: "All right. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Sandack to close."

35th Legislative Day

- Sandack: "Thank you. I want to thank everyone for the spirited debate. It really is a simple Bill. It's just letting small banks apply and compete with the bigs on services they already provide. I'm happy to work with Members of the General Assembly on another type of Bill, a trailer Bill if you will, that would help smaller banks work more aggressively in their communities and provide additional service. But for today, this is a good Bill. And I ask for your affirmative vote. Thank you."
- Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2636 pass?'
  All in favor vote 'aye'; all 'opposed' vote 'nay'. The voting
  is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
  Have all voted who wish? Representative Drury, Evans, Harris.
  Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 106 voting
  'yes', 4 voting 'no' and 3 voting 'present', House Bill 2636,
  having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby
  declared passed. Mr. Clerk, House Bill 1320 was on Postponed
  Consideration. Can we please move that Bill back to the Order
  of Second Reading and read the Bill?"
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1320, the Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Wehrli."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Wehrli."
- Wehrli: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment addresses some of the concerns from smaller municipalities under 6 thousand in a town attraction basis. I urge its passage."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Zalewski, do you have a question on the Amendment?"
- Zalewski: "Question on the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed."

Zalewski: "Grant, why only 6 thousand?"

- Wehrli: "That appears to be the point where we go from a small local maybe one, two or three, sworn officer department to what I would consider a larger full-time, 24-hour, 7 days a week, 365 department in talking with some of my downstate constituents on... or Members on both sides of the aisle."
- Zalewski: "Well, I'm wondering if you'd consider hearing some of your suburban colleagues and go up to 30 thousand because I think that would cast a bigger net on the ability, if you could call some more votes on this measure."
- Wehrli: "I appreciate that. I think the net cast is sufficient at this point in time."
- Zalewski: "Thank you, Sir. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Turner: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 1320. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, House Bill 1320, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1320, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. The Bill has been read for a third time on a previous day."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Wehrli."
- Wehrli: "Thank you. This is the Amendment we just had. I did hear some of the concerns moving forward. I believe that the Amendment has cured some of the concerns. And I urge an 'aye' vote. I'm happy to answer any questions."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no debate, the question is... With a late light, we have Representative Frese."

Frese: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "The Sponsor will yield."

Frese: "Representative, as you know the Chief of Police of Monmouth, Illinois, was in opposition to this. And I want to thank you for reaching out. As you know, Monmouth is in my district. And I... we communicated some of the, you know, the differences and thank you for working with him. Thank you for the Amendment. And I would just urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 1320 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Members, please record yourselves. Representative Acevedo, Anthony, Arroyo, Bryant. Walsh. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On account of 88 voting 'yes', 20 voting 'no', and 2 voting 'present', House Bill 1320, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3983, Representative McDermed. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill. Mr. Clerk, House Bill 3983. Can you please move this Bill back to the Order of Second Reading and please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3983, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative McDermed."

Speaker Turner: "Representative McDermed."

35th Legislative Day

- McDermed: "Okay. There is an Amendment to the Bill. We needed to remove reference to forest preserves in the Bill. It was removed two out of the six times that it appeared. The remaining four have now been removed."
- Speaker Turner: "Lady moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3983. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "There are no further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, House Bill 3764. Can you please move this Bill back to the Order of Second Reading and read the Bill?"
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3764, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. Floor Amendment #1 has been adopted. Floor Amendment #3 is offered by Representative Scherer."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Scherer."
- Scherer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Today I'm presenting House Bill 3764. This is House Floor Amendment #3. The Bill is a result of much work with CMS and IDOT. I've just been talking about the Amendment now. It requires that CMS sell or move to another agency any car driven less than 7 thousand miles."
- Speaker Turner: "Lady moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #3 to House Bill 3764. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "There are no further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

35th Legislative Day

- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. House Bill 1485, Representative Brown. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1485, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments have been approved for consideration. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Members, we're going to move some Bills from Second Reading to Third Reading. Please be in your seat and prepared. House Bill 3510, Representative Ammons. Out of the record. House Bill 3573, Representative Ammons. Out of the record. House Bill 3404, Representative Chapa LaVia. Out of the record. Out of the record. House Bill 4029, Representative Conroy. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4029, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. House Bill 2658, Representative Davidsmeyer. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2658, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is... Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Davidsmeyer."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Davidsmeyer."
- Davidsmeyer: "This Amendment just changes the structure of the Bill. Originally we had CMS in there and there was no need to have CMS. So, it just deals with the Department of State Police."
- Speaker Turner: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 2658. All in favor say 'aye'; all

35th Legislative Day

- opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "There are no further Amendments. And no Motions have been filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. House Bill 235, Representative Fine. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 235, a Bill for an Act concerning insurance. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. House Bill 3670, Representative Davidsmeyer. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3670, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Davidsmeyer."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Davidsmeyer."
- Davidsmeyer: "The original Bill had a few concerns from the Secretary of State. This Amendment just takes away those concerns."
- Speaker Turner: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3670. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "There are no further Amendments. And no Motions have been filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Representative Batinick, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Batinick: "...like a point of personal privilege."

35th Legislative Day

- Speaker Turner: "Please proceed, Sir."
- Batinick: "I just want to welcome the Will County Governmental League and a bunch of our mayors and board members are in the audience tonight. If you could wave up to them? Thank you."
- Speaker Turner: "Thank you and welcome to your Capitol. House Bill 573, Representative Moeller. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 573, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Moeller."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Moeller."
- Moeller: "House Amendment 1 specifies a land transfer from the Department of Human Services to the City of Elgin."
- Speaker Turner: "Lady moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 573. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "There are no further Amendments. And no Motions have been filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. House Bill 3529, Representative Demmer. Out of the record. House Bill 3620, Representative Soto. Out of the record. House Bill 3788, Representative Fortner. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3788, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Fortner."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Fortner."

35th Legislative Day

- Fortner: "Floor Amendment 1 simplifies the underlying Bill.

  Restricts it to simply codifying for commercial vehicles a time line for late payment of fines that matches what is already approved by JCAR for other passenger vehicles."
- Speaker Turner: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3788. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment it adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "There are no further Amendments. And no Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. House Bill 2555, Representative Reaves-Harris. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2555, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Reaves-Harris."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Reaves-Harris."
- Reaves-Harris: "House Amendment #2 to House Bill 2555 removes the absolute liability for purposes of driving, with any amount of cannabis in their system, under the influence... I'm sorry... with any amount of cannabis in the system as a DUI offense as well as allow a law enforcement to test other bodily fluids, i.e., salvia for the purposes of testing for the purpose... for the presence of alcohol or drugs for the offense of driving and... or operating the vehicle under the influence. Provides that a driver's... that a driver's impairment must be the approximate cause of death or injury resulting from an accident in order for the relevant penalty enhancement to apply."

35th Legislative Day

- Speaker Turner: "Lady moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 2555. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Representative Sandack, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Sandack: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."

  Speaker Turner: "Please proceed, Sir."
- Sandack: "Thank you. Standing up right now in the House Gallery behind me is a friend of mine from Downers Grove, Commissioner Sean Durkin who is in the City Council of Downers Grove. Let's give him a nice welcome from Springfield. And he's Jimmy Durkin's cousin."
- Speaker Turner: "Thank you and welcome to your Capitol. House Bill 745, Representative Welch. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 745, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments have been adopted. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Welch."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Welch."
- Welch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #2 is just a technical Amendment that adds an exemption for FOIA. I ask for approval."
- Speaker Turner: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 745. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

35th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "Floor Amendment #3 is offered by Representative Welch."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Welch. Mr. Clerk, please take that Amendment out of the record. Please take Floor Amendment #3 out of the record? Withdraw... withdraw Floor Amendment #3. My apologies."
- Clerk Bolin: "There are no further Amendments. And no Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, House Bill 806. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 806, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Golar."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Golar. Representative Golar, would you like to present the Amendment?"
- Golar: "Yes."
- Speaker Turner: "Go ahead. We're just adopting the Amendment, Representative. Would you just like to speak on Amendment #2?"
- Golar: "Thank you for your patience, Speaker and Members of the House. House Floor Amendment #1 becomes the Bill. Is the intent..."
- Speaker Turner: "Excuse me, Representative. We're... we're on House Floor Amendment #2. Would you like to withdraw Amendment #2?"
- Golar: "We're tabling number... #1 and we are actually addressing House Floor Amendment 2."
- Speaker Turner: "So, let's address... #2 so we can adopt that."

35th Legislative Day

- Golar: "Okay. Currently a teacher holding a professional educator's license must be either be endorsed for grades 1 to 6 or grades 5 to 8 to teach those respective grades at the elementary school level. All PEL licenses must have endorsements in a content area. This is basically teaching... having dual enrollment or young people between the ages of seventh and eighth grade to take high school courses."
- Speaker Turner: "Lady moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 806. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And Amendment #2 is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "There are no further Amendments. And no Motions have been filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. House Bill 3341, Representative Tryon. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3341, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #3 is offered by Representative Tryon."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Tryon."
- Tryon: "Basically, Floor Amendment #3 is making a clarification so that the language and the intent of the language is matching the federal statute. I would urge an adoption of Floor Amendment 3."
- Speaker Turner: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #... Floor Amendment #3 to House Bill 3341. All in favor say 'aye'; all 'opposed' say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted.

  Mr. Clerk."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

- Clerk Bolin: "There are no further Amendments. And no Motions have been filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. House Bill 341, Representative Barb Wheeler. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 341, a Bill for an Act concerning health.

  Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendments 2 and 4 have been approved for consideration. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Wheeler."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Wheeler,"
- Wheeler, B.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment expands immunity for... officers who are in corrections facilities... work in correction facilities, jails, municipal lockup facilities, who work with paroles or mandatory supervised release. And I ask for... to adopt this Amendment."
- Speaker Turner: "Lady moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3341 (sic-341). All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Floor Amendment #4 is offered by Representative Barbara Wheeler."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Wheeler."
- Wheeler, B.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Number 4 incorporates Amendments 2, 3... 2 and 3. So, again, it expands the immunity for the correction officers and officers for parole."
- Speaker Turner: "On that, we have... on the Amendment, we have a question from Representative Ammons."

Ammons: "Thank you."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Speaker Turner: "On Amendment #4? Okay. Lady moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #4 to House Bill 341. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Representative Ammons, for what reason do you rise?"

Ammons: "For point of personal privilege, please."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed, Representative."

Ammons: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I made a mistake on House Bill 1320. I intended to vote 'yes' and voted 'no' for that. If I can make a correction in the record."

Speaker Turner: "The Journal will reflect your request."

Ammons: "Thank you so much."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you, Representative. House Bill 3311, Representative Kay. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3311, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Kay."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Kay."

Kay: "Mr. Speaker, I am... I'm waiting on Representative Gabel to give me the heads up on the Amendment. This just came out of Rules, so I want to make sure that she's in agreement to move the Bill. So, could we set this back to Second for just a moment?"

Speaker Turner: "Mr. Clerk, please take this Bill out of the record. Members, we will be moving to Bills on the Order of Third Reading. Please be prepared and ready to present. First

35th Legislative Day

- up, we have House Bill 1531, Representative Kelly Burke. Out of the record. House Bill 2580, Representative Costello. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill. Mr. Clerk, please move this Bill back to the Order of Second Reading and read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2580, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Costello."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Costello."
- Costello: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. House Amendment, what it does is creates a system of checks and balance for reduction in weights on roads. I'd like to adopt that Amendment, please."
- Speaker Turner: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 2580. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. House Bill 3444, Representative DeLuca. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill. Mr. Clerk, please move that Bill back to the Order of Second Reading and read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3444, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative DeLuca."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative DeLuca."
- DeLuca: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to adopt Floor Amendment #1 which clarifies the language of what the process will be

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

when an elected municipal official owes money to the municipality. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3444. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. House Bill 2462, Representative Greg Harris. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2462, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Harris."

Harris, G.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill is an initiative of Attorney General Lisa Madigan. And it was brought to her as she talked to some families who had patients who had cause for concern about an elderly loved one in a nursing home. And what this Bill would do would be to allow... allow technology for recording or streaming of video voluntarily at the patient and the family's own expense, so that folks can observe and have a record of how... the care of their loved one in a nursing home. I am very appreciative to the Health Care Council of Illinois, the Health Care Association of Illinois, and the leading age groups who are the representatives of the nursing home industry for having suggested many changes to this Bill, which we've implemented. There's still a couple that have left to be done. There are negotiations ongoing. And the Attorney General has asked if we could move this Bill and continue the negotiations in the Senate. And this was a product of meetings

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

with the industry yesterday. So, I would appreciate your support."

Speaker Turner: "On that, we have Representative Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you, Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

- Sandack: "Representative, I heard a little bit at the end and unfortunately, was distracted. You're going to continue to work on..."
- Harris, G.: "Yeah. There's... there's some negotiations still. There are about 18 changes that were made with the industry in the two Amendments that have been adopted in the House. There are ongoing negotiations. We couldn't get to the deadline yesterday for the 3:00. So, you know, they've asked if we can move the Bill over to the Senate. Senator Link is the Sponsor there and will continue to negotiate."
- Sandack: "With respect... a part from the issues that remain to be negotiated through, this Bill... your Bill is only talking about obtaining or utiliz... utilizing technology for purposes of getting someone's consent with respect to their health care decisions and wishes."
- Harris, G.: "This would be, you know, to allow a nursing home resident or their designated guardian or legal representative to install a video camera, just as we see, you know, in public places of commerce or, you know, the… they videotape people on the street, to monitor their care in a nursing home."
- Sandack: "All right. So this is an expansive use of video by consent of the patient?"
- Harris, G.: "It... it is totally permissive. There... Yes."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

- Sandack: "All right. And it would be at their expense, as well.

  Right?"
- Harris, G.: "It would be at their expense."
- Sandack: "And the idea here is it would obviously monitor the care and the environment of the patient for his or her family and friends, so they know..."
- Harris, G.: "Not... not friends. Just for their designee... cause there are privacy concerns. And we're, you know, very targeting who may access or use these recordings to protect their privacy."
- Sandack: "And... and I'm assuming there's going to be some builtin protections for obviously privacy, when privacy is
  required?"
- Harris, G.: "Yes."
- Sandack: "All right. It's an interesting Bill and obviously with your recommendation and the word that it's going to be negotiated still, I intend to vote 'yes'. So, thank you, Sir."

Harris, G.: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Ives."

Ives: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Ives: "I will say I was approached by people at the DuPage County level. They're very much concerned that this may have an adverse impact on their convalescent center that they run, which is largely Medicaid population. How do... are they going to be... if they can't afford, essentially, to stay there other than on the taxpayers dime, are the taxpayers going to need to pick this type of monitoring expense?"

35th Legislative Day

- Harris, G.: "No. This is at the expense of the patient. But you raise a very interesting question, Representative Ives. That we are very concerned that people who might be of limited economic means might not be able to afford on their own to purchase the camera and the recorder that will go with it. So the Attorney General has found funds within a special fund within her office that would be used for a scholarship program so that no person, you know, who is lower income would be precluded from participating. But there would be no cost to the nursery home nor to the taxpayer."
- Ives: "So, our notes so... show that actually the IDPH would have to administer this scholarship program that'd be \$50 thousand worth. Is that true?"
- Harris, G.: "That is the Attorney General's Office who would administer it, I believe."
- Ives: "Okay. I guess there's a little bit of conflict then in what our analysis says. The other question I have though is one of their bigger concerns is, what do you do with... in rooms where there are two patients in one room or two residents in one room and one would like the monitoring and the other one doesn't?"
- Harris, G.: "So, there..."
- Ives: "I mean, they can't… they cannot afford to do separate rooms for these individuals. It would literally put them out of business. And so, that's one of their concerns."
- Harris, G.: "No. There's... there's a very elaborate process of consent required by both residents. And the home would have to show a good faith effort to move the other resident. And there is a long process... I'd be happy to go over it with you...

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

where there's consent and both parties must consent for monitoring to take place."

Ives: "Okay. Thank you. I... I understand you are going to work it on... on the Senate side. I don't know that all of those questions that DuPage County and the convalescent center are going to be answered through that, but I appreciate the intent of the Bill. Thank you."

Harris, G.: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative David Harris."

Harris, D.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Harris, D.: "Representative, I know we had talked about this previously. Are you now saying... initially this Bill only covered private paid nursing homes. Is that correct?"

Harris, G.: "It covers nursing homes and it covers larger ICFDDs."

Harris, D.: "I'm sorry. Say that again."

Harris, G.: "It covers nursing homes and it covers larger ICFDDs."

Harris, D.: "Okay. So, if an individual was in a nursing home on Medicaid and they request... and their... they or their... their custodian requests surveillance, it's now going to be paid for by the state?"

Harris, G.: "No. If... the primary responsibility would be on the person or their family. Now, if they were to be able to show... and I... I just misspoke to Representative Ives, so I will apologize to her. IDPH is the agency that would administer a scholarship program. So, there would be a certain amount money provided from the fund for a scholarship program. And up to that amount of funds each year, if a person was unable pay, there would be an opportunity for this scholarship to be used

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

for the camera. That way, you know, low-income people would not be excluded from the program."

Harris, D.: "Well, I... I know that we don't necessarily want to disadvantage low-income people and we want to treat individuals fairly. And to the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as you can see I'm a cosponsor to the Bill. I think the idea has genuine merit. I would be concerned that if we're... if now the state is expected to cover this for Medicaid patients, I would think every Medicaid patient would... would say, hey, let's... let's have coverage. I would like video surveillance for myself. Nonetheless, you... I know you're going to ... you're going to amend it in the Senate. I would ... I would recommend a 'yes' vote with the recognition that there are... are going to be Amendments in the Senate. I think it's a positive initiative. You have worked extremely hard to try to accommodate everyone's concerns about the Bill and those individuals who want to see changes. And I can recommend a 'yes' vote with the expectation that there will be further changes in the Senate."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Bellock."

Bellock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Bellock: "Thank you. You know, I understand the intent of the Sponsor, 'cause we have worked on a lot of issues regarding abuse and neglect. And I understand the intent of the Attorney General. So I'm just addressing this issue right now as to the privacy issue. So, Representative Harris."

Harris, G.: "I'm sorry, Representative."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Bellock: "No, that's okay."

Harris, G.: "I'm allowed now."

Bellock: "Okay. I wanted to ask on the issue of, you know, the consent. Is the consent given when... I'm just going to start off with this that I volunteered in a nursing home for 12 years."

Harris, G.: "Sure."

Bellock: "So, that's why I feel so strongly about privacy with people."

Harris, G.: "So let... let me just read some of the..."

Bellock: "Yeah."

Harris, G.: "privacy...

Bellock: "Okay."

Harris, G.: "...sections. All persons living in the room must give written consent before the recording device can be used."

Bellock: "Good."

Harris, G.: "So, before it can even be turned on or installed, all persons there must be given consen... must give consent. The resident or the roommate or their representative may withdraw consent at any time, you know, after the installation of the device. And then it must be turned off. It ensures that an existing device will be turned off when a new roommate is assigned to a room until that new roommate gives their consent."

Bellock: "Okav."

Harris, G.: "So, there's an elaborate series of processing to guarantee the privacy rights of all those. Also, it has to be a fixed device so that, you know, for instance, it would not

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

- roam over on to a roommate. It would be fixed just on the person who was involved."
- Bellock: "Right. Okay. So my other question that and I'll go on to other. But on that respect, there are a lot of people who are residents of nursing homes who are not totally... you know, they may be Alzheimer patients, mentally they have a problem... I think there are a lot of people that would not be able to know even that that was going on. So, is it a guardian or somebody else that gives..."
- Harris, G.: "There... there's a long list of persons... health care power of attorney... within the nursing home industry there is what's called a patient's representative. There is a list of relatives absent, you know, if the person..."

Bellock: "Okay."

- Harris, G.: "...does not have the ability to give consent that would give consent, for a person who's not capable of making this decision. There's also notice and posting requirements at the entrance to the facility and the entrance to the room so that everyone is aware that there might be a recording device there. We... we're trying to err on the side of caution with this."
- Bellock: "Right. Thank you very much. To the Bill, Mr. Speaker.

  And I respect all the work that Representative Harris has done on this Bill and the Attorney General. I'm just speaking from a lot of experience in that nursing homes a lot of people tend to forget that that is a wonderful person and that is their home and it may just be a 10 foot space that they live it and that there are a lot of unintended consequences that happen. Number one, there's a lot of health care given by

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

aides who have to roll people over backwards and forward. There is a lot of embarrassment there, but that is going to be all videoed. There are a lot of issues with Alzheimer patients that wander around rooms thinking they're in their own home, taking things out of a drawer out of that. That's an unintended consequence. I had a relative accused of stealing something. That would be on a video now. And it was just because they had Alzheimer's. There are a lot of other unintended consequences for this, but to me it's... it's the privacy issue, because that is their only home. And in a lot of smaller nursing homes your... your bed is literally 4 feet from the other beds. So all of this, and I see you have the consent of the other person, but that person, too, is going to show up on the video. And for all of us who have been in hospitals you know lots of times somebody has to roll somebody over in an un... you know ... handled way. And in this case, it happens day in and day out. And a lot of the aides that work in nursing homes take... take a lot of abuse themselves from people who really don't know what they're doing. But on a video that's going to look like those people are beating up somebody else. And I'm just saying... I'm just doing it from experience that my concern is privacy for that individual that that is their home that they reside in. And I respect all the work that you did and the Attorney General, but I just feel strongly about that. Thank you. So, I will not be supporting the Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Fortner."

Fortner: "Thank you, Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

35th Legislative Day

- Fortner: "I think my question, perhaps, follows on the previous speaker. But I... in terms of a licensed physician or the medical provider, if they came into the room to provide treatment, is there provisions for the physician, if they're doing a medical procedure, is this... that they're going to get videotaped while doing that procedure, where, and I know, if they were in a hospital setting, normally there would be... have to be consent by both the physician and the patient."
- Harris, G.: "So, Representative, and to the previous speaker, the Lady from DuPage, I would also say to her, that if you read through the Bill there are elaborate precautions that have been put forward in this Bill to protect the privacy of the patient. Especially in situations where care may be given or, you know, you could be rolled over or a situation where the patient may be bathed. The device may be turned off. The device may be covered by the staff during that time. All these privacy issues have been very carefully thought through with the representatives of the industry, with consumer advocates, to be sure that we don't expose any person to embarrassment, or, you know, unwanted recording."
- Fortner: "So, I'm just trying to understand this. Suppose a physician has to come in... you know, realizes there's an issue... comes in to provide a medical procedure, what... is there protection for that physician and what's... and if so what is it?"
- Harris, G.: "Are you talking about protect..."
- Fortner: "Well, I'm talking about his privacy."
- Harris, G.: "You know, are you talking about, can that kind of recording be turned off?"

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Fortner: "Yes."

- Harris, G.: "Yes. That's specifically spelled out in the legislation."
- Fortner: "And... and how... Would he know necessarily how to do it?

  Would he need... who would be turning off it in that case... who would be turning off the monitor?"
- Harris, G.: "It could be any employee or any person giving care.

  If you read the legislation."
- Fortner: "So, but that caregiver would presumably have to know how to operate that video. Would it..."
- Harris, G.: "Well, in talking to the nursing home industry, they said very often you would just put up a barrier. You could just put like a towel over the unit. There are many ways, you know, without having to understand the technical operation, you could obstruct the view and that's legally allowed."
- Fortner: "Okay. So that would be the procedure. Okay. I guess..."
  Harris, G.: "Sometimes the easiest ways are the best."
- Fortner: "I understand, the low tech method. I guess, I'm still a little nervous about that. But I will... I will take a deeper look at the Bill."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Lilly."
- Lilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. I, too, put forth legislation to address electronic monitoring. I agree, support, and believe that this Bill is the right action for families and the industry. We all have loved ones who need this type of care. I lost my loved one last month. She received quality care but electronic monitoring gave us and can give us a comfort. I truly believe this Legislation will give families peace of mind as they leave their family members

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

with others. I join Representative Harris to move this Bill forward which support the nursing home industries desires to give the best care possible and give families the comfort they need on beh... on behalf of their extended family, those who live in the residencies of the nursing home. I urge an 'aye' vote as Representative Harris moves this Bill forward to the Senate. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Tryon."

Tryon: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of the Sponsor's Bill. Will he yield for some questions?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Tryon: "Representative Harris, in... as I understand this Bill, is this..."

Harris, G.: "I... I can't see you in the glare of Leitch and Hays head. Okay."

Tryon: "I know... It kind of matches a straight line across the aisle, you know. But anyway, this is then an initiative of the patient. Is that... or the resident... is that not correct?"

Harris, G.: "Absolutely. This is completely voluntary. It is a choice of the family. It unders... it... it recognizes that... just like, you know, somebody who uses a camera to monitor their own family and their home. This is a family choice."

Tryon: "Right. So, some of us, in this chamber have been county board chairmen and have been charged with overseeing the operation of a nursing home. I was that county board chairman and we learned a lot about nursing homes. This is that resident's home. It should be... that resident should be able to have a lot to say about what they do with their care in their home, in their room. It's not just a room; it is their

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

home. We had a... we had a patient that was in our nursing home, had a prescription for two beers a day. We had a law that said you can't have alcohol on county property. We had to change that because we were violating that individual's rights. And... in this day and age... day and age when technology is changing, we're all familiar with video baby monitoring or... or baby monitoring for our children, this is similar to that. This is video monitoring for our loved one in a nursing home. And if they feel that's important and they want it, the extra care, the extra monitoring, I think it's their right to be able to control their home. So, I rise in support of your Bill. I don't see what all the problem is. I think you've done, I think, an incredible job at addressing some of the concerns. My questions is, I don't understand why they don't already have the right to do that? And... because I would think if it was their home, they'd have that right. And if it has to be cleared up, I thank you for bringing the Bill."

Harris, G.: "Thank you."

Tryon: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Barbara Wheeler."

Wheeler, B.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Representative...
the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Wheeler, B.: "Representative, there's been a lot of talk about privacy and modern technology, I thought you answered those questions very well. But one of the questions that wasn't brought up or maybe it was brought up earlier in debate, was the cost of the program. It's my understanding that all costs

35th Legislative Day

- would be paid for by the family that... by the family that wanted the video. Is that correct?"
- Harris, G.: "Yes. Yes, you're correct. This is... there is no mandate. There's no cost that's required of the government.

  This is an individual choice. And just as if you were to install video surveillance equipment in your own home, it would be your expense."
- Wheeler, B.: "Okay. I guess I have two questions. One, in our analysis... and I know it's not fair because you don't have it... but in our analysis it says IDPH is opposed because of the high cost of the program. That it... the estimated cost is \$6.2 million in personnel and enforcement. Are you... have any thoughts or comments? Are you aware of this \$6.2 million costs?"
- Harris, G.: "I... you know, the concern I've heard from IDPH is what if abuse occurred. What if abuse occurred to a patient and they would have to sit down and watch the tapes through to verify, you know, who was at fault or what happened. They're saying there could be a cost. I... I think that... you know, that's... that's specious at best. They've never come to me and said we have this cost. They've never come to my committee and said it, so I don't know what's on your analysis, but..."
- Wheeler, B.: "Okay. So, that would explains where that may come from. What is the \$50 thousand... \$50 thousand lottery program? I don't... could you tell me about this?"
- Harris, G.: "Yeah. This was in response to Representative David Harris's question. For those persons, you know, who cannot afford or their family cannot afford it, to be sure that they

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

didn't lose out on the right, you know, there was a fund from the Attorney General's Office that would be used to create a scholarship for low-income families, to be sure that somebody who is low income would have the ability to have the same protection as somebody of greater means."

Wheeler, B.: "Is there a revenue stream?"

Harris, G.: "My understanding is it's a fund that is within the Attorney General's Office..."

Wheeler, B.: "Okay."

Harris, G.: "...that is not a GRF."

Wheeler, B.: "One more, Representative. It said that your House Bill also may be in conflict with Federal Laws and rules. Do you know of any? Again, this from our analysis and I know you're at a disadvantage."

Harris, G.: "Yeah, with the one that I think we have room for concern was with... was HIPAA. And we've gone through with the industry and with the departments and I think that, you know, since it is the person who... whose care is being given, who's doing the consenting, the lawyer seem to feel that we've... are in compliance with HIPAA."

Wheeler, B.: "Okay. So no real concerns there?"

Harris, G.: "Not that I've heard, no."

Wheeler, B.: "Okay. Thank you. To the Bill. For the safety of our residents in nursing homes and our senior citizens, I urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Monique Davis."

Davis, M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

35th Legislative Day

- Davis, M.: "Representative Harris, I believe your objective is to... and you can stop me if that's not correct... I believe your objective is to protect the senior citizens who are in nursing homes. Is that correct?"
- Harris, G.: "Yes, it is."
- Davis, M.: "So senior citizens often are what... abused, not treated fairly by staff?"
- Harris, G.: "In most facilities that's never a problem. But sadly, Representative, in this last year there were 106 cases of abuse and neglect that were prosecuted in Illinois. And this is just one more tool in the toolbox to prevent that."
- Davis, M.: "So in other words, if the needs of one of those patients is not being met and services are not being provided on a timely basis, someone would have the accuracy of a camera's picture."
- Harris, G.: "Yes."
- Davis, M.: "What about people stealing from the residents?"
- Harris, G.: "If something like that happened, it could potentially have been caught on this recording also. And then the thief would be identified. And this would, you know, protect not only the patient but the facility, who I'm sure would want... if they had a bad employee, to be sure that they were caught and prosecuted and removed from service before they could harm another patient."
- Davis, M.: "Representative, this may be a little out of your league, but what other legislation have we passed to protect senior citizens in the 99th General Assembly?"

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Harris, G.: "I had a real good one in the last General Assembly that protected persons with disabilities, the Adult Protective Services Statute."

Davis, M.: "But in this General Assembly, I don't think we've done very much for our seniors. I've been asked... I've been asked by some groups what have you guys done for us. What have you done to protect senior citizens in this particular General Assembly? So the only thing I could come up with at the time was this Legislation that you were attempting to pass to protect senior citizens who find themselves very vulnerable at this time in their lives. And that this Bill that you have would give them a small amount of protection, because if people know their behavior is being captured, they're more likely to do the right thing. So, I do believe that those of us who care about our senior citizens, those of us who believe in the protection of our senior citizens, those of us who believe they are worthy, the lives they've led to get us where we are, they deserve protection. They deserve the same kind of protection that a person half their age would get. And I urge you to keep fighting for this Bill. Please add my name as a cosponsor. Thank you."

Harris, G.: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Demmer."

Demmer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Demmer: "Representative, if a resident chooses to have surveillance equipment installed in their room, what is the nursing home required to provide to... to allow for that installation?"

35th Legislative Day

- Harris, G.: "The space on the wall. The access to the room. There should be no cost to the nursing home."
- Demmer: "Electricity, perhaps?"
- Harris, G.: "Electricity, yes..."
- Demmer: "I mean, just a minimal."
- Harris, G.: "...and if they... if somebody would need to get into the phone room to run a wire or whatever."
- Demmer: "So, that's actually where I was going with that. Would the nursing home be required to provide access to a Wi-Fi network or an Internet access point for those devices?"
- Harris, G.: "My understanding is no."
- Demmer: "So, one of the… one of the lines in the Bill provides for either the broadcasting or recording of videos. How would they broadcast a video if there was no access to the Internet?"
- Harris, G.: "The Wi-Fi system would be... it would be the same way that if, you know, you had a Wi-Fi system set up in your home. You'd have to provide the Wi-Fi access also. Now in a... in some areas that may just be a box that would transmit into the air. Other areas you may need to get an access point. But again, that would be the responsibility of the family."
- Demmer: "So the nursing home would not be required to allow you to use the network access that they have for their own operations?"
- Harris, G.: "No."
- Demmer: "Allow you to access an outside connection to a cable system?"
- Harris, G.: "One of the concerns... one of the concerns that we addressed with the industry was their concern that if you had

35th Legislative Day

- a number of cameras streaming over their network it could crowd out other things within their network. So that's why we, you know made it very clear that this would be a separate access point."
- Demmer: "If the... if the video is streamed, is broadcast via the Internet or maybe broadcasted and recorded via the Internet, what protection... privacy protections would be in place to ensure that no one would have access to that Wi-Fi network and be able to view the video, be able to access recordings of the video, someone who's not authorized."
- Harris, G.: "Well, the Bill, you know, gives legal pro... gives us a set of people who legally have access to either the stream or the videos. And it also required, you know, the standard encryption that anytime we would transmit anything through one of our devices that is required."
- Demmer: "And while... well, that's true. It's... we do transmit quite a bit of data every day in our lives. We are talking about a very specific kind of sensitive data that is video recordings of every moment of your day."
- Harris, G.: "Sure. And there are, you know, multiple kinds of cameras that are used recording banking transactions, many people have cameras in their homes streaming video of their children when they're away. Could the Chinese government hack into this and steal? They probably could, but I think every realistic protection has been taken within the standards of modern technology."
- Demmer: "Thank you, Representative. To the Bill. I think one of the concerns that the privacy issue brings up is that idea that there's so much data that gets transmitted, that gets

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

stored in some kind of format. We've seen countless examples of data breaches happening in some of the largest companies in the world. I think when we're talking about the kind of information we are here where every waking moment and every sleeping moment of the day is recorded and streamed somewhere, we're talking about some very intimate moments being potentially at risk in some of these data breaches. So, I... I'd encourage you to think about that aspect of ensuring privacy throughout the entire course of the day. And understanding that it may be subject to the kind of breaches that we've seen in other places. I'd recommend a 'no' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Greg Harris to close."

Harris, G.: "Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen. Thank you for the very good comments. And thank you for the debate. But at the end of the day, this is about allowing families to make their own choices and to protect nurse... nursing home residents and persons with disabilities. It requires nothing of anybody. It is totally permissive. It allows people to make choices in their own lives and allows them to add an extra layer of protection for themselves or for a loved one who may be vulnerable. It's smart. It's good public policy. It's modern technology in action, increasing safety for all of us and our families. I would ask you for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2462 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting
is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
Have all voted who wish? Representative Sims. Mr. Clerk,
please take the record. On a count of 85 voting 'yes', 24

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

voting 'no', 3 voting 'present', House Bill 2462, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Williams, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Williams: "Hi. For a point of personal privilege, please."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed."

- Williams: "Ladies and Gentlemen, I wanted to ask you to join me in welcoming the St. Andrews School Bulldogs who are in the gallery today and enjoying all the sights and sounds that Springfield had to offer. Welcome, guys."
- Speaker Turner: "Thank you and welcome to your Capitol. Mr. Clerk,
  House Bill 3497, Representative Currie. Please read the Bill.
  Mr. Clerk, House Bill 3497. Please move this Bill back to the
  Order of Second Reading and read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3497, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendments #1 is offered by Representative Currie."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. This is a measure that would allow CDB to go into additional single prime contracts. The Amendment makes it a program that lasts for five years, so it's not a permanent program. I'd appreciate your 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Turner: "Lady moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3497. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

35th Legislative Day

- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. House Bill 3895, Representative Hammond. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill. Mr. Clerk, the status of House Bill 3895?"
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3895 is on Third Reading."
- Speaker Turner: "Please move that Bill back to the Order of Second Reading and read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: House Bill 3895, a Bill for an Act concerning government. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Hammond."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Hammond."
- Hammond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment 1 is a technical Amendment that adds to the Bill an initiative of the Department of Human Services. I'd like to adopt the Amendment and debate the Bill on Third Reading, please."
- Speaker Turner: "Lady moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3895. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. House Bill 3528, Representative Hernandez. Out of the record. House Bill 3299, Representative Lang. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3299, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Third Reading of the House Bill."
- Speaker Turner: "Leader Lang."
- Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

  This is a Bill that I've thoroughly discussed with almost all of you. As you know, two years ago we passed a four-year pilot

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

program to try medical marijuana in the State of Illinois. It was the most highly regulated law ever passed in America. And the purpose was to help some very sick people improve their quality of life. A year ago many of you joined me in a Bill that expanded that law to add epilepsy or children with epilepsy. That was a welcomed change. But now, as you know, during the interim almost two years will have passed between the time the law became effective and the actual beginning of the pilot program... the actual beginning where patients can go to a dispensary and purchase the product they need to alleviate their pain. This is unfair to them and it's wrong. It's also unfair and wrong to the entrepreneurs that have put hundreds of thousands of dollars into opening these businesses and hiring a lot of employees. Because of the delay and because we have a sunset coming up in two and a half years, you have a situation where these business owners... these licensees, who have put so much money into this... these businesses, will have to inflate their prices, so that they can make their money back. That's certainly unfair to patients. And if the prices are too high, patients will simply go back out on to the street to buy the product and it will hurt the program badly. So all this Bill does is keep a fouryear pilot program, but it starts that four-year pilot from the time the first dispensary is ready to open. This will give us time to assess the program, to figure out what's right about it, what's wrong about it, and to make sure prices stay at a reasonable level for patients. The only other thing this Bill does is to say to patients who already have their licenses to be able to purchase, your one year that you spent

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

a hundred dollars for won't start 'til the first dispensary opens. I've talked to many of you about it. Answered as many questions as I could. I think this is an important public policy measure for the State of Illinois to protect the most vulnerable and those with the greatest sicknesses in Illinois who we passed the Bill to help. For their quality of life and to protect these business owners, I would urge your 'aye' votes."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Sullivan."

Sullivan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Sullivan: "So, Representative, for the Body's interest, I want to kind of walk this back a little bit, get Tryon to move out of the way. So what we did a few years back, is we passed a kind of a groundbreaking idea in Illinois. And unfortunately, it didn't get implemented right away for certain reasons. And I'm not going to go into those reasons. Is that correct?"

Lang: "That is absolutely correct, Sir."

Sullivan: "Okay. So, we did a pilot program that sunsetted and bo... embedded in that original Bill was the idea to quantify what went right, what went wrong. Can you go into those details a little bit?"

Lang: "The original Bill from two years ago set up mechanisms within different state agencies whereby they could follow this program, study it, find out what was working, what wasn't working, and of course there's very sophisticated software and some very sophisticated training of employees to follow the progress of this law. And because there will only be two

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

years left, Representative, they won't have all the necessary tools at their disposal to study this the way we would like."

Sullivan: "So, if someone was opposed to this before, the idea here is, we want to make sure that we have the empirical evidence that yes this is working properly, no it's not working properly, here's how we change it, here's how we don't change it. So whether you voted for it or against it, you might want to have the evidence whether it worked fine or not fine. And that really is the intent of your Bill to have the entire four years, instead of the limited time because of bureau... you know, bureaucracy that really got in the way. Is that really kind of a... the intent?"

Lang: "That, and additionally, the higher cost for patients if we
 don't do this."

Sullivan: "And that was the second part of my discussion. The higher part of the cost is certainty. And the certainty that we know that people made large investments. Whether you're for or against it, these investments were made. And we know that this is compassionate. So we want to have certainty to the investors that yes we're going to have some time frame to evaluate this which will keep the cost lower for these patients. Is that kind of the secondary part of your Bill?"

Lang: "I could not have said it better, Representative."

Sullivan: "Okay. Well, I appreciate it. Ladies and Gentlemen, I will tell you up... upfront that when this Bill came through was... I... I was torn on whether to vote for it or not to vote for it. And I decided to not to vote for it. It passed. But whether you're for this Bill or against this Bill originally... and for you new Members, obviously you didn't have a choice...

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

we need to analyze it. And we need to have certainty. That's why I am going to join with some of my colleagues and... and then they're going to switch their positions and... and make sure that we know what is going on with this program or not going on with this program. I certainly urge an 'aye' vote at this time. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Leader Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. Please let the record show that Representative Zalewski will be excused the remainder of the afternoon."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you. Leader Lang to close."

Lang: "I appreciate Mr. Sullivan..."

Speaker Turner: "Excuse me, Representative. I... I apologize.

Representative David Harris had his light on."

Harris, D.: "Thank... thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when we passed House Bill 1 in the 98th General Assembly we said there was going to be four years of a pilot program. To date, not a single cultivation center, not a single dispensary, not a single patient has received this product. We passed the toughest medical marijuana Bill in the United States, without doubt. We should be proud of what we did with House Bill 1, when it comes to medical marijuana. Yet not a single patient has benefitted from that... from what we did in this General Assembly, because of bureaucratic haggling and delays and just bureaucracy taking so long to get this... this Bill enacted. The Gentleman has a reasonable approach. The four-year clock should start at the time that that first dispensary opens and that first patient has the advantage to take... to do what we asked them to do which is

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

to... to regulate the marijuana under medicinal purposes. So a positive Bill. We should... we should pass this out overwhelmingly. I applaud the Gentleman for putting this Bill forward. Let's vote 'yes'."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Lang to close."

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I thank the Gentlemen on the other side for their kind comments. This is about... this is a rare opportunity to help patients and businesses flourish at the same time. I ask for your 'aye' votes."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3299 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 81 voting 'yes', 28 voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present', House Bill 3299, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4007, Representative Hoffman. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4007, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I know of no... no known oppositions to this Bill. This would allow dust suppressants to be considered non-waste for beneficial use determination by the Environmental Protection Agency. This would add them as beneficial use. The agency would still have to make a determination that this would not be hazardous to the environment. This would keep these

35th Legislative Day

- materials out of landfills and allow for their beneficial use. I ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Turner: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 4007 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Cassidy, Fine, Franks, Frese. Batinick. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 96 voting 'yes', 13 voting 'no', and 0 voting 'present', House Bill 4007, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Tryon, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Tryon: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On the previous Bill, which was House Bill 3299, I thought I... I had a switch malfunction. And it... it didn't light up and I'd... I would like the record to show that I was a 'yes'."
- Speaker Turner: "The Journal will reflect your request, Representative. House Bill 4120, Representative Brady. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill. Mr. Clerk, please move House Bill 4120 back to the Order of Second Reading and read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4120, a Bill for an Act concerning health. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Brady."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Brady."
- Brady: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 4120 is simply an initiative with the Department of Professional Regulation that seeks to make sure that all these standard, special permit needed for tissue

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

donation when the body is brought across state lines at the place of death. This occurs with tissue procurement... federal tissue procurement areas that have their centers, for instance, in Missouri... just over the Illinois line and that procurement is going to be done there and then transferred back within a 36-hour period to the recipient funeral home or coroner's office in Illinois. I'm not aware of any opponents to the Bill. It's simply advances tissue donation in the State of Illinois under the letter of the law."

Speaker Turner: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 4120. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. House Bill 3512, Representative Martwick. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3512, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Martwick."

Martwick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. House Bill 3512 is an initiative of the Department of Healthcare and Family Services. And it's an update to the Uniform Interstate Family Support Act. The Uni... Uniform Interstate Family Support Act or UIFSA provides universal and uniform rules for the enforcement of family support orders. This is the... the Act that allows child support orders to be enforced across state lines and even internationally. There was a recent Conference on Commissioners on Uniform State Laws... National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws.

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

and these were the recommended adoptions. So this makes technical and substantive changes throughout the Act in accordance with the best practices and recommendations from that conference. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "On that, we have Representative Ammons."

Ammons: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "The Sponsor will yield."

Ammons: "Thank you. I just wanted to ask a... one question about the process. How would verification of what is outstanding or old happen state-to-state or even country-to-country?"

Martwick: "I'm... I'm sorry... I'm having... I was unable to hear? Could you..."

Speaker Turner: "Members, can we please bring the noise level down in the chamber..."

Ammons: "That might help."

Speaker Turner: "...so we can hear the debate. Representative Ammons."

Ammons: "Thank you. I... I just want to get an idea of how verification from state-to-state will happen or country-to-country? Verifying what is outstanding or old."

Martwick: "What is outstanding or what is old? Well, I... the... I'm not really sure I understand. What do you mean how is the verification done? I'm not really sure I understand your question."

Ammons: "So, if I understand, the purpose of this Bill is to create a uniform inter... interstate, right?"

Martwick: "No. This is... so the Uniform Interstate Family and Support Act is something that's been in existence for years and years and years. And it is the framework of laws..."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Ammons: "Okay."

Martwick: "...that is adopted by all of the states that are a part of this compact..."

Ammons: "Okay."

Martwick: "...to enforce child support orders across state lines."

Ammons: "Okay."

Martwick: "...and even internationally. And so, there was recently a National Conference on... let me say this right here... a National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws."

Ammons: "Okay."

Martwick: "These were recommended adoptions. And so, of course, all of the several states were then asked to adopt these..."

Ammons: "Oh, the same..."

Martwick: "...provisions."

Ammons: "Thank you so much."

Martwick: "Yes. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Martwick to close."

Martwick: "I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3512 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Gordon-Booth, Mussman. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 111 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', and 0 voting 'present', House Bill 3512, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Leader Lang in the Chair."

Speaker Lang: "I'll say it again. It's good to be loved. House Bill 2503, Representative Mayfield. Please read the Bill."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2503, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Mayfield."

- Mayfield: "Hi, everyone. And thank you. This Bill is an initiative of the Secretary of State's Office and it is basically... the goal of this Bill is to reduce the number of fraudulent title... fraudulent titles and odometer fraud. It is intended to provide... I'm sorry... it's intended to address title fraud by providing electronic means to verify and exchange title, brand, theft or other data among states, ensures law enforcement, and purchases of vehicles. I'll answer any questions. And I recommend an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Lady moves for the passage of the Bill. Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Jesiel, Turner. Please take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3352, Mr. Moffitt. Mr. Moffitt. Please read... Out of the record. Returning to House Bill 3311, Mr. Kay, on the Order of Second Reading. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3311, the Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. Correction. The Bill was read for a second time today. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Kay."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Kay on the Amendment."

Kay: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to adopt Amendment #2, which is an enabling Amendment. It provides for the purpose

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

of enabling the Department of Human Services to crossreference its roster with public aid recipients with the
Department of Public Health's electronic reporting system for
death ri... death risk... Excuse me death resignations
established under the Vital Records Act. Essentially, this
allows the department to purge its list of people who have
received benefits when they're dead."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Brady, do you wish to speak on the Amendment?

Mr. No. Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed

'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr.

Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 1610 on the Order of Third Reading, Mr. Pritchard. Out of the record. House Bill 3933, Representative McAsey, on the Order of Third Reading. Out of the record. House Bill 1445, Representative Nekritz. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1445, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Nekritz."

Nekritz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As technology has moved ahead, the ability of the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District to clean up waste and to utilize the... the products that come from that has improved, as well. And we've passed legislation in the past to allow them to take advantage of the... the ability to sell those waste products into... into the private market. This is another one of those Bills that allows them to... to keep these... get these biosolids out of their... out of

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

the waste stream and use them as additives to soils and other types of products."

'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Gordon-Booth. Please take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3217, Mr. Phelps. Mr. Phelps. Out of the record. House Bill 3810, Mr. Riley. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3810, a Bill for an Act concerning wildlife. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Riley."

Riley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 3810 essentially lowers the age to use a crossbow during hunting season to 55 years old with very few exceptions. This is a Bill that I carried 118 to 0, during the 98th General Assembly. It stalled in the House. So, it's the same Bill that allows people to use a crossbow, with very few exceptions, removing... diminishing the age from 62 to 55."

'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Cassidy, Currie, Golar, Pritchard. Please record yourselves. Mr. Pritchard. Mr. Pritchard. Please take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3333, Mr. Rita. Mr. Rita. Please read the Bill."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3333, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Rita."

Rita: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We got House Bill 3333 what basically clarifies and fixes an unintended consequence on a Bill we passed late last year for appraisals and opinions for property values. And basically clears it up. It's agreed legislation between the relators and the appraisers 'cause it had unintended consequence that people that had both designations couldn't actually do the opinion or appraisal. So, I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Franks."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Franks: "I'm not sure... First of all, I really like the number. I don't know how you got that number, but I'm impressed. I think it's a nice one. It should be a phone number. But why do we need this Bill?"

Rita: "Unless it was 7777."

Franks: "That's mine. You got that. But go right ahead."

Rita: "My understanding in... they needed to clarify opinion of a broker and opinion of... and not an actual appraiser. So they had to clarify the language when we passed it a Bill... what was it... Senate Bill... I don't have the Bill. Senate Bill 3044 last year. So we had to clarify the definitions in what they can and cannot do for appraisals and opinions based on... Someone could be a broker and still give an opinion of value, but it's not set at an appraisal. An appraiser would give an appraisal value based on his appraisal."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Franks: "Correct."

Rita: "Does that make sense?"

Franks: "Right. And no one ever says the broker price opinion is

an appraisal. But it's pretty similar."

Rita: "Yes."

Franks: "But what I want to make sure is that broker price opinions can still be utilized by courts. 'Cause right now, they are utilized by courts, instead of appraisals, when they're seeking deficiencies for instance in a foreclosure judgment. So, I want to make it very clear with the legislative intent that this will not affect the judges using a broker's price opinion?"

Rita: "I believe so."

Franks: "Okay."

Rita: "And so, when they're given the opinion, there wouldn't be given opinion. It would be given the opinion on the appraisal as... as a broker. And it would clarify in which states. I don't... I don't believe that it would cause a consequence on what you're asking."

Franks: "Right. Because I think what... individuals are trying to save some money. Oftentimes they use a broker price opinion because they're significantly cheaper than a certified appraisal and they usually come about the same number. I know that courts rely on these. And I want to make sure that there's nothing in this Bill that would disallow a court from relying on brokers price opinions..."

Rita: "No."

Franks: "...and not requiring an appraisal?"

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Rita: "My understanding it... it doesn't not cause that. What it... what it... and it doesn't give the broker opinion where they could actually... You still... if you're looking for an appraisal for... to be certified as an appraisal, it would have to be done by an appraiser, but not in the instance what you're saying. That would... it just designates the two different... So... so that a broker would not do appraisals. You still have... If an appraisal would be required, you still have to have a certified appraiser do an appraisal."

Franks: "That makes sense. I just wanted to have... just for legislative intent, I wanted to be very clear that the broker price opinions can still be used."

Rita: "Ken... Ken's head was in the way there. I couldn't see you." Franks: "That's a... that's a problem."

Rita: "It's a big head."

Franks: "He's got a lot of makeup on, today, as well. But that's okay. We can... we can... we have some makeup remover. So, I just wanted to be clear for legislative intent that brokers price opinions can still be utilized by... by the court?"

Rita: "Yes."

Franks: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Gordon-Booth, Hays. Please take the record. There are 112 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Returning to House Bill 3352, Mr. Moffitt. Please read the Bill."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3352, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Moffitt."

Moffitt: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3352 was suggested by the Illinois Sheriff's Association. This Bill is permissive. It's local control. And there is a court security fee on court cases that a county board can use. This has not been increased for 12 years. Since that time, costs for security equipment has gone up. The need for security equipment has gone up. As you know, in the proposed... Governor's proposed budget, there's discussion or there's a proposal of 50 percent reduction in the local government share of the income tax revenue. This would give them one more tool to keep their courts safe, to address their needs. In order to do this, they have to do a study to indicate that the fees are needed. We did similar for sheriffs and clerk... clerk fees in the past. After they do a cost study, then the board has the ability to raise this fee. We're just empowering local government. They can decide whether or not to use it, but when they're probably going to lose another source of revenue, this is an option we can put out for them. I'm sure you've heard from local governments, from your county boards about their concern about losing that revenue. We obviously all want to keep our courts safe. Be happy to entertain any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Franks."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Franks: "Representative, I understand what you're trying to do, but I'm always reticent about allowing county boards to raise fees because I've never seen them not. And even though we say it's permissive, they always do. They always do. I... when I go to court, I hear the people always complaining about the court cost fees. And always the judge will say, well, talk to your State Legislator because they're the ones who set the fee. And then we look and say, no, really judge, we allow the counties to do it, but they always go to the max. So what this Bill would do would be to increase the maximum, how much counties can charge for the security fee. Is that correct?"

Moffitt: "It does lift... lift the cap, after they do a cost study."

Franks: "But I'm not sure what the cost study means. Because, you know, right now we have the Governor saying, well, I'm... I'm going to cut 31 percent of the higher education budget unless they cut administrative costs. There's nothing here that would require any cost to be cut. Actually they could hire more folks and increase their costs to justify the increase if they wanted to."

Moffitt: "If I was a resident of that county and watching what the county board did, and I'm a former county board member... county board chair, you have to justify those things. So people are going to be paying attention; they want their courts safe. We hear about incidents all the time. We know that the cost of security equipment's going up and the need is going up. And when we're reducing their revenue from another source..."

Franks: "I'm not..."

Moffitt: "...if they're going to have what they need..."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Franks: "...I'm not sure we're reducing the revenue from other sources. How much would it cost under your Bill now, if this should pass, for someone who gets a... I don't know... a ticket for speeding 12 miles over the limit and wanted to go contest that in court? What are the fees going to be, before any fine's imposed, if they go and plead for supervision?"

Moffitt: "Right now... I'd be happy to check on that. I don't know. You probably know."

Franks: "It's in the hund... it's in the hundreds of dollars."

Moffitt: "And I think it's going to vary from county to county."

"All right. And that's ... and ... and I understand what the Gentleman's trying to do. To the Bill, Mr. Speaker. We have a real problem with access to the courts in our... in our communities. If you're poor ... if you're making minimum wage, and you get a park... if you get a traffic ticket, it's almost impossible for you to afford to fight that ticket. It's almost impossible for you to go and ask for court supervision, simply because of the fees. Even if you're only granted a fine of \$50, by the time you walk out of there, it's going to cost you \$250 because of all the extra fees that our counties place on those tickets. If you are a small business and someone sends you a bad check or doesn't pay you, and you want to go try to collect that money, now it's going to cost even more. It makes it cost prohibitive. Understand that every time that we vote to increase the fees, what we're saying is we're limiting access to the court to the people who need it the most. I understand the Gentleman's argument, but there's got to be a better way than to continue to jack up the fees and costs on people who don't want to be in court in the first

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

place, who don't want to go at all, but have to go because if they get a speeding ticket or if someone didn't pay them or whatever it might be. But to continuously look to increase these fees has an unintended consequence of limiting access to our courts, which is... which is an anathema to what we're trying to do here. With a... it's a... it's a third part of our... of our government and it's equal... it's a coequal branch, but it really isn't if people can't use it. So I'd encourage everyone to vote 'no' and we should continue to discuss this. But let's stop jacking up the fees so poor people cannot use the courthouse."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Davis."

Davis, M.: "Well, you know, I just... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I certainly agree with Representative Franks. You know, when we talk about increasing fees on most of the poor people who... who are the ones you find in the court system, and exactly what will those fees be used for?"

Speaker Lang: "The Sponsor yields?"

Davis, M.: "Thank you. Where ever he is."

Moffitt: "Right... right over here."

Davis, M.: "Oh, okay. Hi. You moved your... Oh, the question is, what are they going to use the fees for? Why do they need to increase fees?"

Moffitt: "The fees are to address court security. To provide and make sure that our courts are safe. And the intent is that fees that they charge in this... take this study, would be to truly reflect the cost of providing the security for the courts. It'd be equipment; it'd be court security. And I think we need to keep in mind that if... if we don't cover it one...

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

one way or another we're going to cover the costs. So the taxpayers are going to cover the costs if it... we don't have the fees to cover the security that's needed. Day after day we hear about problems with security at our... at our courthouses and in our courts. And we want to make sure that..."

Davis, M.: "I... Representative, I have not heard about a problem with a court not having ample security. I read the papers every day and I have not seen one case where the... the courts were overwhelmed because security was not available and they could not keep order in the court. I've even heard of them using dogs in the court to keep order. So, I'm... I'm not going to belabor this issue, except to say, I think it's a very bad precedent in 2015 to begin this year raising fees on people. Increasing fees on people. Not increasing services, not making services more streamline, but increasing fees. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Of course."

Sandack: "Don, I was looking at the analysis and I looked at the Bill itself and the language that's being inserted that says unless the fee is set according to an acceptable cost study. What is an acceptable cost study?"

Moffitt: "We have the precedent set by cost studies that been...
both for the sheriff's fees and for the clerk fees. So, I
mean, the precedent is set. And I would like to go into more
detail and find those for you. With these questions, Mr.
Speaker, so I can get some of these questions answered... and
if you have questions... if you would, let me know, and that

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

was a very good one there. I would like to pull it from the record so that they... we can specifically address the questions that... that were raised there. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman takes the Bill from the record. House Bill 3428, Representative Sente. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3428, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Sente."

Sente: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. House Bill 3428 was brought to me by my local high school students at Stevenson High School. It is a college affordability measure. It quarantees when a high school student takes an Advanced Placement exam and receives a grade of 3 or higher, that he or she will receive either general education credit, if there's a corresponding course at the Illinois public university or community college, and if not, an elective credit which will count towards their degree. To specifically outline how many credits and which course is appropriate, the Bill will assign this process to the IEA. That is the Illinois Articulation Initiative. That is a panel of university professors that currently review community college courses and some of the 36 AP tests. Now they will be asked to review all of them. Fourteen states already quarantee a credit... quarantee credit for an AP grade of 3 or higher. I do want to offer some special thanks to Representative Batinick for his support in counsel on this initiative. And also, Representative Fortner who helped draft House Floor Amendment 1 to create a better Bill, I believe, and as a professor who serves on that panel

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

to explain to all of us how the IAI process works. I'm happy to take questions."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Fortner."

Fortner: "Thank you, Speaker. To this Bill. I want to thank the Sponsor for, you know, the sit down and work out a number of issues. As the Sponsor indicates, this Bill actually does a couple of different things in it and those different things are important as to why this is a Bill, I think, we should consider voting for. One of the concerns I initially had is that we should be able to distinguish between those courses that demonstrate a proficiency that a student would want to rely upon in order to take other courses typically in their own major. This Bill addresses that and through the IAI process, a process we have used in Illinois for over 25 years to judge community college classes for transferability to the state universities, this process can also be used to judge what is an equivalent credit level for major courses from the AP system. So, if they took the AP course in high school, they would be able to say it's equivalent to this community college course. They would have the same code under the IAI process. Panels which are made up of members in that field of expertise from community colleges and from four-year universities in Illinois. The other part of this Bill also recognizes that we as a state permit, in fact, encourage high schools to offer these AP courses. When they offer them, they hold them out that these are courses by which you can get college credit. Now we don't say what kind of college credit, but there's some expectation. I think a reasonable expectation on the part of parents whose kids take these

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

classes that it's going to be worth something. However, what this Bill then does is to say, well, in some cases they may not have reached a level of proficiency by which they would be able to rely on their expertise to take other courses but still be able to have that course count for general education credit or elective credit if it's not appropriate to the general education package. That distinction is made by this Bill, as amended. And to those who'd say, well, should we impose this on the universities. Well, we already have a process. We did a lot of work over last few years on a dual credit system for, again, students at the high school level to take community college courses that would then have transfer power to other schools of higher education here in Illinois. And all this is saying is that the AP courses, courses designed to be equivalent, designed by higher education professionals, with tests whose questions are written by college professors, designed to be equivalent, that we would then treat them in the same manner at both levels. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Ives."

Ives: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Ives: "I'm trying to understand, 'cause I looked at your Bill and it may have been before the Amendment was put on. Your Bill initially said just that if you got a 3 or above, boom, you get college credit. Is that correct?"

Sente: "Yes."

Ives: "Okay. So, the Amendment is now taken it back to... there's... you... if you get a 3 or above, you are either awarded college

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

credit or you're awarded... you are put into a class at the college level that's of the same or equivalent? I don't quite get it."

Sente: "No. I'll explain it. Not exactly. So what the Amendment does is two key things. It's... it says that if you get a thr... a grade of a 3 or higher, that you will get... one of two possibilities will occur. You will get credit either in general education, as a general education credit, if there's an applicable course at the university or community college that you're going to. If there isn't an applicable course, then you will get elective credit. Either of those two scenarios will count towards your degree. It cannot go, with a 3, towards your major or a prerequisite to a major. The second thing the Amendment does is create this whole process for transparency and it invites the IEA which Representative Fortner is one of those college professors that serves on. And it identifies the specific course you would get credit for and the amount of credits for each of the 36 AP tests."

Ives: "So, okay. I'm okay with the second part of that. The first
 part says though if you get a 3 or above, they must give your
 either general or elective credit."

Sente: "Correct."

Ives: "Okay. And that folks, to the Bill, is where I differ. Because we have very well-known universities from... around the world. University of Illinois is a major attractor of Chinese students because we are known for our standards. And if you look at the University of Illinois, they already have an entire outline of what they will or will not accept for AP credit. And it goes down to... you know, it also says that we'll

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

place you if you get like a 1 in calculus AP, then you're only going to get into Math 220. But you don't get course proficiency. It just says that you can skip to this level. They have already identified, at the University of Illinois, at Harper College has whole other different thing. Northern Illinois, here's their AP exam credits. You can see they're already deciding. They have already decided if you get a 3, we're going to give you college credit here. If you get a 4 or 5, we'll give you college credit for this. They are already doing this. I don't see why we would force them. Force them to say you must give general or elective credit. Some of our universities are very selective and specifically to their particular area of expertise like the University of Illinois Electrical Engineering. Why would... we would force them to give any credit at all to one of their students coming into that particular college within inside that university is beyond me. We should not force them to do that. You need to take their curriculum at their course because, essentially, this Bill is going to lower the standards. Let the colleges decide what they're going to accept. They already do. They already differentiate between a psychology exam and an AP physics exam and a government exam and all the rest. So we should actually strive for our kids because typically if you get a 4 or a 5, you typically do get college credit. And all a 3 means... According to the AP exam itself, all the 3 means when you ca... get an AP exam score of that is that you are qualified in the sense that you are capable of understanding the material at the college level. It doesn't mean that you're qualified and that you've already passed that college

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

material. So, I actually urge a 'no' vote, because we need to keep our universities at high standards, the standards that they've decided. The standards that people depend on when they're sending their child to that. And we instead are just awarding credits where we're not the experts in this area. So I encourage you to just understand the universities are already determining based on the AP tests what they feel qualifies for credit at their particular university. Why this... this Assembly... why the General Assembly would get involved in this discussion at all is beyond me. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Dunkin."

Dunkin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Dunkin: "Representative, first off, let me applaud you for bringing this Bill. Did you communicate with the universities here in our state?"

Sente: "Absolutely, I did. I notified them when I first filed the Bill. I've been talking to them throughout the process. And House... the Amendment specifically addresses many of their con... oh, was my attempt at addressing their concerns. But they are still not entirely satisfied."

Dunkin: "Okay. And could you explain what the Illinois Articulation Initiative is?"

Sente: "Probably not as good as Representative Fortner could, but I will do my best. The Illinois Articulation Initiative is a panel of university professors that review currently the community college courses for their applicability when someone is entering into the university and determine how much credit and how much... and what specific course they will

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

receive. And they do... have reviewed some of the AP tests. Now we're asking them to use that same process, university professors from our Illinois colleges, to do this for the AP tests."

Dunkin: "So, they approved this overwhelmingly?"

Sente: "Who?"

Dunkin: "The Illinois Articulation."

Sente: "I did not poll them all."

Dunkin: "No. Excuse me. No, I said, the Illinois Articulation Initiative. They... they're in support of this as well?"

Sente: "I don't know if they've slipped in favor of it, but as I said, a 15-year member of that panel is the one who helped me write this Amendment so."

Dunkin: "Okay. Good deal. So..."

Sente: "And I did... Go ahead."

Dunkin: "And they did what now?"

Sente: "We're just goin... I don't know how the group as an entirety if... what their... each professor's position is. I can't respond to that."

Dunkin: "Sure. So, are they doing this in other states?"

Sente: "Absolutely, they're doing it in other states. And I'm glad you brought that up. Fourteen other states have this policy, where they're guaranteed credit for a grade of 3 or higher. And frankly, they're sending letters of solicitation to our students to come to their excellent schools. And frankly, I'm tired of it because this is one of the criteria that students use when they pick what school to go to. It speaks to college affordability. We've been discussing this problem in the state. I... I disagree it lowers the standards;

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

100 percent disagree with that. And when... like my alma mater, like Indiana University thinks it's okay for them and Ohio and... and Wisconsin and the State of Florida and et cetera, think this is okay. We need to keep our students in Illinois."

Dunkin: "Absolutely. Now, some of the universities, the University of Illinois in particular, they already accept students who get 4s or 5s. Am I correct?"

Sente: "Yes. And they do accept some 3s. The problem is there is not consistency. And the students are not sure what... they do... they can go to their website, but they're not guaranteed to get credit for a 3..."

Dunkin: "Okay."

Sente: "...today."

Dunkin: "So, this gives us a sense of uniformity and clarity as it relates to Advanced Placement classes who score above a 3 and above, correct?"

Sente: "Correct."

Dunkin: "So, this is... Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen, to the Bill. As a chair of Appropriations for Higher Education, I, along with my Committee Members, we do a number of special inquiry as it relates to what schools are doing, how current and progressive they are with relation to students of all sectors, in particularly, students who are taking advanced courses. And what this Bill simply does is it comes up with a sense of clarity, uniformity, and it really helps to attract and incentivize students to really look at a lot of our state institutions and to take these advanced classes that are in our respective high schools. Because the reason students not only take the advanced classes because they want their special

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

academic gratification, but they also are centi... are incentivized to make sure that the... their credits are transferable, in this case, especially if they're doing exceptionally well. And it gives them an advantage when they go to some of our state institutions and it also incentivizes them to go further with their education because they're that much more advanced. This is a good Bill. It is about time that our state is coming up with a uniform system that we can our... have all of our youth stay excited about school and stay in the advanced sector of achievement academically. I would encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "We still have five speakers on this Bill. Mr. Batinick is recognized."

Batinick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Batinick: "Representative Sente, over here. Hi. A couple of quick questions. Do you know what the net out migration is of college students in the State of Illinois?"

Sente: "I don't know the exact number, but I know you do. Can you tell me what that is?"

Batinick: "There are 16 thousand kids that should be going to school in Illinois that are going to school around the nation.

That's like missing two universities of students in our nation... or in our state. That's bad. Do you know how much more our tuition is versus con... their conference peers, Representative?"

Sente: "No, I don't."

Batinick: "Whether you're northern, southern, western, eastern or Illinois, we are 30 to 60 percent more expensive. This Bill

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

is not going to address everything about college affordability. We have a long journey of things we need to do in college affordability. This Bill is ridiculously reasonable. The Sponsor had gone... has gone head over everything trying to get... make everybody happy. This is a first step in a long journey to affordability of college education. I strongly urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Fortner."

Fortner: "Thank you, Speaker. I believe my name was used in debate. I will just try to brief to answer the question that was raised. In the Illinois Articulation Initiative there are actually two sets of panels. There are the major panels that look at courses submitted by the community colleges and universities to make sure they that are of sufficient content that they can be relied upon to take upper level courses and to serve as part of that major. But there's also a set of general education panels that review courses for that. In fact, what's interesting, the AP can be used. So if you, right now, go to a community college as a high school student having taken some AP courses, those can be put into a package. And in fact, the original purpose for the Illinois Articulation Initiative over two decades ago was to provide that even though general education's very different from school to school, all of the Illinois institutions would agree that if you complete the package, regardless of what courses are in the bundle, you can transfer it. And that includes already AP courses. So we already have precedent for this. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Harris."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Harris, G.: "I assume it's me 'cause my light's on. To the Bill.

I... I join with my colleague, the chairman of the Higher Ed Committee in commending the Lady for bringing this Bill forward. You know, for, you know, people who've come to my office, you know, trying to figure the complexities of how to enroll their students or make choices across colleges, this is a very common sense way to simply those choices, to make it easier for families to make decisions, and to allow people to get credit for Advanced Placement work. I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Sente to close."

Sente: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In closing, I'd like to state, the students who're taking AP classes and tests, they're highly motivated and bright individuals. We want to encourage them. They are generally dual majoring, going on to take advanced degrees. And we want those bright minds in our state. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Sommer. Please take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 90 voting 'yes', 22 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 133, Mr. Thapedi. Mr. Thapedi. Out of the record. House Bill 3785, Representative Williams. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3785, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Williams."

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

- Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill is an initiative of Sheriff Tom Dart. And basically all it does is provide that when people commit infractions and they are not in a middle of a sentence, rather they are pending trial or sentencing but they are detained in a jail, the jail is able to revoke their good behavior allowance days for infractions. And this is opposed to charging them with a new crime which would already burden the system and just create more time and more expense for the institution. So, I'd be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Bill vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Burke, Tryon, Wallace. Representative Wallace. Please take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Chair recognizes Representative Bellock."
- Bellock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Can you show me voting 'no' on House Bill 1453, please."
- Speaker Lang: "The record will reflect your intentions, Representative. House Bill 242, Representative Willis. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 242, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Willis."
- Willis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This Bill just adds an addition to our current stalking Bill. And what it does is it adds telephones as part of the surveillance

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

equipment that is... can be used and adds the use of a telephone to incorporate that in and increases what stalking can be called under with the telephone use. I'm willing to take any questions. I urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Davis."

Davis, W.: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Davis, W.: "Representative, I kept... I kept hearing you say telephone. Aren't those obsolete?"

Willis: "I'm sorry..."

Davis, W.: "Are you referring to a cell phone?"

Willis: "...cell phones. Yes, I'm referring to cell phones."

Davis, W.: "Oh... Thank you very much."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Sandack: "In addition to that good question from the... the Gentleman prev... previous to me, my analysis indicates that... is that the only technological change to the stalking law that your Bill sets forth?"

Willis: "Yes, it is."

Sandack: "And..."

Willis: "Well, and... and similar technology that goes along with that, but basically it is addressing the cell phone use. So that someone can ping you off of your cell phone. Most other things are already in there, but we found that the cell phone use was not as part of that."

Sandack: "And Representative, are there any known opponents to your Bill?"

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Willis: "No, there are not."

Sandack: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Franks, Cabello. Mr. Cabello. Please take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3689, Representative Cloonen. Representative Cloonen. Out of the record. The Chair is in receipt of a Motion from Representative McAsey. Pursuant to Rule 65, having voted on the prevailing side, she moves to reconsider the vote by which House Bill 3761 has passed. The Chair recognizes Representative McAsey."

McAsey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to Table the Motion to reconsider the vote."

'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Lady's Motion is tabled. Page 29 of the Calendar, under Motions in Writing, House Bill 397, Mr. Welch moves, pursuant to Rule 65, having voted on the prevailing side. He moves to reconsider the vote by which House Bill 397 passed. The Chair is in receipt of a request by Mr. Sims to table that Motion. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Motion is tabled. Chair recognizes Mr. Costello."

Costello: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege." Speaker Lang: "Please proceed, Sir."

Costello: "I'd like to remind the Body that the Sportsmen's Caucus we're having our annual event tonight at the Inn at 835.

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

- Cocktails are from 5:30 to 6:30. The event will start at 6:30. The Inn at 835 on Second Street. Look forward to seeing you there. Thank you."
- Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Sir. Mr. Clerk, Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk Hollman: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 391, offered by Representative Phelps. House Resolution 392, offered by Representative Phelps. House Resolution 393, offered by Representative Phelps. House Resolution 395, offered by Representative DeLuca."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Agreed Resol... Excuse me.

  Leader Currie moves for the adoption of the Agreed
  Resolutions. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The
  'ayes' have it. And the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. The
  Chair recognizes Mr. Davis."
- Davis, W.: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Just want to let the Members of the Appropriations Committee for Elementary & Secondary Education that the work group will still meet this afternoon. I promise you it won't be very long, but the work group will still meet this afternoon after Session. Thank you."
- Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Sir. Mr. Hoffman is recognized."
- Hoffman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. For Members of the Labor & Commerce Committee, the one Bill that has been posted will not be called today; therefore, we will not be meeting."
- Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Sir. Mr. Clerk, committee announcements.

  Pay attention, Members, please."
- Clerk Hollman: "The following committees were canceled.

  Elementary & Secondary Education: Charter School Policy was canceled; Revenue & Finance was canceled. The following

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

committees have changed the room where they're meeting. Cities & Villages will be in Room 114; Health Care Licenses will be in Room 122. Meeting immediately after Session are the following committees: International Trade & Commerce in D-1, Economic Development & Housing in C-1, Restorative Justice in 122, Renewable Energy & Sustainability in 413, Cities & Villages in Room 114, Elementary & Secondary Education: Licensing Oversight in Room 118. Meeting at 5:00 is Agriculture & Conservation in D-1, Health Care Licenses in Room 122, Juvenile Justice & System-Involved Youth in C-1."

- Speaker Lang: "And now, allowing perfunctory time for the Clerk, Leader Currie moves that the House stand adjourned 'til Wednesday, April 22 at the hour of 10 a.m. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the House does stand adjourned 'til Wednesday, April 22 at the hour of 10 a.m. Don't' forget to bring pretty shirts to the bowling outing."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order.

  Committee Reports. Representative Thapedi, Chairperson from the Committee on International Trade & Commerce reports the following committee action taken on April 21, 2015: recommends be adopted is House Resolution 11, House Resolution 195. Representative Evans, Chairperson from the Committee on Economic Development & Housing reports the following committee action taken on April 21, 2015: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment 1 to House Bill 3840. Representative Ford, Chairperson from the Committee on Restorative Justice reports the following committee action taken on April 21, 2015: recommends be adopted is Floor

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Amendment #3 to House Bill 218, Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 2471. Representative Williams, Chairperson from the Committee on Renewable Energy & Sustainability reports the following committee action taken on April 21, recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 1455, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3523. Representative DeLuca, Chairperson from the Committee on Cities & Villages reports the following committee action taken on April 21, 2015: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #1 to House 735. Representative Rita... Representative Mayfield, Chairperson from the Committee on Elementary & Secondary Education: Licensing Oversight reports the following committee action taken on April 21, 2015: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 306, Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 1790, Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3123. Representative Costello, Chairperson from the Committee on Agriculture & Conservation reports the following committee action taken on April 21, 2015: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3240, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3622. Representative Zalewski, Chairperson from the Committee on Health Care Licenses reports the following committee action taken on April 21, 2015: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 421, Floor Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 500. Introduction and First Reading of House Bills. House Bill 4205, offered by Representative Ford, a Bill for an Act concerning education. First Reading of this House Bill. Introduction and First Reading of Senate Bills. Senate Bill 659, offered by Representative Beiser, a Bill for an Act

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

concerning State government. Senate Bill 666, offered by Representative Franks, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 728, offered by Representative Wallace, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 764, offered by Representative Burke, Daniel, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Senate Bill 780, offered by Representative Riley, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 843, offered by Representative Nekritz, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Senate Bill 1255, offered by Representative Ford, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 1309, offered by Representative Unes, a Bill for an Act concerning aging. Senate Bill 1312, offered by Representative Burke, Kelly, a Bill for an Act concerning utilities. Senate Bill 1314, offered Representative Hoffman, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 1354, offered by Representative Gabel, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 1381, offered by Representative Verschoore, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1404, offered by Representative Pritchard, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Senate Bill 1405, offered by Representative Pritchard, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 1422, offered by Representative Costello, a Bill for an Act concerning wildlife. Senate Bill 1424, offered by Representative DeLuca, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Senate Bill 1448, offered by Representative Welch, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Senate Bill 1554, offered by Representative Cassidy, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1573, offered by

35th Legislative Day

4/21/2015

Representative Durkin, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1583, offered by Representative Nekritz, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Senate Bill 1596, offered by Representative Welch, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 1610, offered by Representative Chapa LaVia, a Bill for an Act concerning human rights. Senate Bill 1622, offered by Representative McDermed, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. First Reading of these Senate Bills. There being no further business, the House Perfunctory Session will stand adjourned."