30th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "The House will be in order. Members will be in their chairs. We shall be led in prayer today by Reverend John P. Chrzan who is with St. Gilbert Catholic Church in Grayslake. Reverend Chrzan is the guest of Representative Yingling. Members and guests are asked to refrain from starting their laptops, turn off cell phones, and rise for the invocation and Pledge of Allegiance. Reverend."
- Reverend Chrzan: "Good afternoon. Let us pray. God of the ages, we humbly ask Your blessing on the work of this House gathering to conduct the business of the people. You offer us the gift of wisdom. Help us to be wise in crafting just laws to govern and advance the life of our great state. You call us to be people of compassion. Help us to work for the good of all Illinoisans, especially those suffering want of even the most basic necessities of a dignified life. Help us to be people of abiding integrity, able to discern what is the right thing to do in all circumstances and ready with the courage to do it. Blessed be Your name, Oh God, for You live and reign forever and ever, Amen."
- Speaker Lang: "Be led in the Pledge today by Representative Willis."
- Willis et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Lang: "Roll Call for Attendance. Leader Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. Please let the record reflect the excused absences of Representatives Andrade, Crespo, Mautino, and Riley."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Brown."

Brown: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. All Republicans are present today." Speaker Lang: "Mr. Clerk, please take the record. We have 113 Members present. We do have a quorum and prepared to do our business. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "Committee Reports. Representative Barbara Flynn Currie, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules reports the following committee action taken on April 13, recommends be adopted, referred to the floor is Amendment #2 to House Bill 1335, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 1422, Floor Amendment #4 to House Bill 2404, Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 2616, Floor Amendment #3 to House Bill 3103, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3158, Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3933. Representative Barbara Flynn Currie, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules reports the following committee action taken on April recommends be adopted, referred to the floor is Floor Amendment #3 to House Bill 1445. Representative Daniel Burke, Chairperson from the Committee on the Executive reports the following committee action taken on April 14, 2015: do pass Short Debate is House Bills 1567 through House Bill 2398, House Bill 521 through House Bill 1284, House Bill 1286 through House Bill 1309, House Bill 4141 through House Bill 4190. Introduction of Resolutions. House Resolution 326, offered by Representative Lang. House Resolution 328, offered by Representative McAsey. House Resolution 329, offered by Representative Lilly. House Resolution 333, offered by Representative Dunkin. House Resolution 335, offered by Representative Hoffman. House Resolution 344, offered by

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Representative Gabel. House Resolution 351, offered by Chapa LaVia. House Joint Resolution 60, offered by Representative Sims. House Joint Resolution 61, offered by Representative Pritchard. House Joint Resolution 62, offered by Representative Leitch. These are referred to the Rules Committee."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Pritchard."

Pritchard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to rise for a personal message from my constituents back home."

Speaker Lang: "Please proceed."

Pritchard: "Ladies and Gentlemen, over the weekend, I think all of you have been following the news of the tornado that hit Representative Demmer and my district in Ogle and DeKalb, part of Boone and Winneb... and McHenry Counties. It has really been heartwarming to see the outreach of the citizens of our state when disaster strikes. For those people that say the state can't work together and this is a me first generation, the response, I think, has been overwhelming. Part of the damage that occurred, occurred most severely in my district, and as a result of that, two people lost their lives. The grandparents of a person that has been on this House Floor serving as our Page. These individuals didn't expect an F4 tornado to hit their homes. They weren't prepared. They weren't listening to the alerts that were going on. If I can bring a message from these individuals it would be that all of you remind your constituents that when there are weather alerts they ought to be taking precaution, that they ought to take plans on how they will respond. It also would behoove all of us to work with our county emergency management program

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

directors to have a plan in place for how we are going to react to this kind of disaster. They don't happen often in Illinois, but they have happened in the last 20 years across various parts of this state. And each time, we find there are varying degrees of preparedness. So, working with your local coordinators, I think, would be a great way to plan for disaster which may come. And when it does come, open your hearts to those victims, because when you look at the devastation that we've seen, the Governor, Lieutenant Governor, many others have been through that area. It is devastating. It's like a bomb goes off in a community and you have houses that are flattened, you have houses that have disappeared. And fortunately, only two people lost their lives in this area. But they are two people that today we want to remember and remember what we can learn from this situation. Can we pause for a moment of prayers for those victims and those that are trying to recover their lives?"

- Speaker Lang: "The Body will take a moment of silence. Thank you,
 Mr. Pritchard. You may be seated, Ladies and Gentlemen. Chair
 recognizes Mr. Phelps. Mr. Clerk, House Resolution 342."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Resolution 342 is offered by Representative Phelps.
 - RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE NINETY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that we congratulate the Harrisburg Middle School volleyball team, the Bulldogs, on winning the 2015 Southern Illinois Junior High School Athletic Association Class L State Championship and we wish them many more years of success; and be it further

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

RESOLVED, That a suitable copy of this resolution be presented to the Bulldogs as an expression of our esteem and respect."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Phelps."

Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "Excuse me, Mr. Phelps."

Phelps: "Ladies and Gentlemen..."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Phelps."

Phelps: "Yes."

Speaker Lang: "Ladies and Gentlemen, got a new gavel, it's very heavy. We have lots of work to do and only a handful of days to do the work in. Please take all of your private conversations to the rear of the chamber so that when we get to your Bill we can all hear you. Mr. Phelps."

Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if you... if I could have your attention. Up in the Speaker's Gallery today, I have some very important quests that have made their school and community very proud. I have with us today the Harrisburg Middle School Lady Bulldogs, the Class L State Champions of the volleyball. So let's give them a round of applause. Now, I wasn't going to do this, but I'm ... I'm probably going to owe Representative Terri Bryant's Senator, Dave Luechtefeld, one because they beat Murphysboro in the championship. But we won't forget that, Terri, later on. But let me introduce the girls. They are 26 and 2 on the season, Big Seven Conference champs and regional champs. The girls I have with me today, Shianne Barker, Ivy Brown, Devin Butler, Karsyn Davis, Josie Drone, Alli Ferrell, Paige Gulley, Savannah Hubbs, Mackenzie Marshall, Abbie Riden, Madeline Rider, Annabella Robinson, their coaches, great

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

coaches, Heather Cox, head coach; Beverly Alvey, assistant coach. Also have some administrators up here today: Superintendent Mike Gauch and his wife; my neighbor, John Crabb, the principal; Assistant Principal and AD, Gabe Angelly. Guys, I can't tell you how much it means to me that you brought this home. Thank you for being here, and God bless you."

- Speaker Lang: "Congratulations. Mr. Phelps moves to adopt the Resolution. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. Congratulations, ladies. Chair recognizes Representative Willis."
- Willis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In light of our... the recent tornados that went through yesterday, Representative Williams and I visited the Illinois Fire Service Institute. We saw firsthand mu... much of the training that our firefighters, both volunteer and professional firefighters, do in the state. In fact, they even were... I don't know if you want to call it brave enough, but they put us both in turnout gear and we actually went into a live fire burn. So I want to thank the Illinois Fire Service Institute for hosting us yesterday and for all of the hard work that they do for firefighters throughout the State of Illinois. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Hays."

Hays: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And welcome back to Springfield to everyone. I just wanted to announce that the first spring meeting of the Boat Drink Caucus will be held this evening at the Butternut Hut around 9 p.m."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Phelps."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Phelps: "Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for allowing me to do that, but I have a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "Please proceed."

- Phelps: "I have... me and my fellow caucus members, the Illinois Sportsman's Caucus is doing their annual dinner and auction next Tuesday, April 21 at 5:30, at the Inn at 835 on 2nd Street. We've got the good old Jim Sacia and John Sullivan coming to do the auction. And we're doing it a little different this year because we're bringing in the Congressional Sportsman's Foundation out of D.C. So we'd love to have you, and if you have any questions please get ahold of me."
- Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Sir. Ladies and Gentlemen, an announcement, please, about how we're going to conduct our business today. As you know, we're doing first and second priorities. So if we get to your name, we'll be doing your first or your second priority. We're going to be running these in alphabetical order, roughly, and I'm going to switch back and forth between the parties, roughly. And we're going to start with Second Readings. The first one is House Bill 3824, Mr. Bradley. Out of the record. House Bill 3655, Mr. Burke. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3655, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments have been approved for consideration. And no Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3104, Mr. Andersson.

 Please read the Bill."

30th Legislative Day

- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3104, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3476, Representative Chapa LaVia. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3476, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3667, Mr. Batinick. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3667, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3369, Leader Bellock. Out of the record. House Bill 369, Representative Conroy. Read the Bill, please."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 369, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3543, Mr. Brown. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3543, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 4074, Mr. D'Amico. Please read the Bill."

30th Legislative Day

- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 4074, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3718, Mr. Davis. Will Davis. Out of the record. House Bill 3977, Leader Durkin. Leader Durkin. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3977, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 2790, Representative Fine. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2790, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 30... excuse me. House Bill 2698, Mr. Franks. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2698, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 2567, Representative Gabel. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2567, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 2755, Representative Golar. Representative Golar. Out of the record. House Bill

30th Legislative Day

- 4112, Representative Gordon-Booth. Out of the record. House Bill 3241, Mr. Fortner. Mr. Fortner. Out of the record. House Bill 3213, Mr. Frese. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3213, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment 1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. Mo Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 2705, Ms... Representative Hammond. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2705, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #2 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 2731, Representative Hernandez. Representative Hernandez. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2731, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment 1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3184, Representative Hurley. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3184, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3425, Mr. Jackson. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3425, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

30th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 2556, Representative Jesiel. Out of the record. House Bill 2653, Representative Mayfield. 2653. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2653, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3270, Representative Lilly. Out of the record. House Bill 2812, Mr. Mitchell. Christian Mitchell. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2812, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment 1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 303, Representative McDermed. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 303, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment 1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative McDermed, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative McDermed on the Amendment."
- McDermed: "We took out the effective date of the Act so that it would apply to all requests for severance agreements to be included under the Freedom of Information Act because in some jurisdictions they are already being turned over."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

30th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3457, Mr. Meier. Mr. Meier. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3457, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 2661, Mr. Moylan. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2661, a Bill for an Act concerning crim...
 concerning civil law. Second Reading of this House Bill. No
 Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are
 filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 4122, Representative Nekritz. Read the Bill, please."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 4122, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3143, Mr. Pritchard. Mr. Pritchard. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3143, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment 1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 1424, Mr. Rita. Read the Bill, please."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1424, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

30th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3763, Representative Scherer. Representative Scherer. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3763, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3428, Representative Sente. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3428, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3841, Mr. Sims. Out of the record. House Bill 3673, Mr. Smiddy. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3673, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 2482, Representative Soto. Do you wish to move your Bill? Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2482, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 2811, Representative Tabares. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2811, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment

30th Legislative Day

- #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3079, Mr. Sommer. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3079, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 259, Mr. Sosnowski. Mr. Sosnowski. Out of the record. I'm sorry, Sir. I didn't see you waving. Go back to Mr. Sosnowski's Bill, House Bill 259, and please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 259, a Bill for an Act concerning gaming. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3684, Representative Wallace. Representative Wallace. Out of the record. House Bill 1453, Mr. Walsh. Mr. Walsh. Out of the record. House Bill 397, Mr. Welch. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 397, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendments 2 and 3 were adopted previously. No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 4107, Mr. Wehrli. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 4107, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

30th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3538, Mr. Wheeler. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3538, a Bill for an Act concerning gaming. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 2677, Representative Williams. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2677, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment 1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 152, Representative Willis. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 152, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment 1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Willis, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Willis on the Amendment. Ladies and Gentlemen, please, please. I'd like to hear Representative Willis. Please proceed, Representative."
- Willis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Amendment is just a technical Amendment for the City of Chicago on there."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment will say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. The Chair recognizes Mr. Sandack who has changed his mind. Returning to a few of these. House Bill 3824, Mr. Bradley. Please read the Bill."

30th Legislative Day

- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3824, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. A fiscal note has been requested but not filed at this time."
- Speaker Lang: "Please hold that Bill on the Order of Second Reading. House Bill 3718, Mr. Davis. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3718, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative William Davis, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Davis."
- Davis, W.: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #1 just further defines the structure of the advisory councils.

 Particularly, how the subsets will be defined in counties other than Cook County where this is... has originated from. I ask for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. House Bill 4112, Rep... Third Reading on that one, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 4112, Representative Gordon-Booth. Representative Gordon-Booth. Representative.
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 4112, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3241, Mr. Fortner. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3241, a Bill for an Act concerning land.

 Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Moving to Third Readings. Ladies and Gentlemen, I think you have some sense of where your name is in the alphabet, so please be ready when we get to you. The first Bill is House Bill 404, Mr. Acevedo. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 404, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Acevedo."

Acevedo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 404 just adds... adds an Amendment to the... the District Act that the citizens of persons employed upon contracts it's the provisions that may not be citizens but are legally authorized to work in the United States. This is just an additional Bill that I sponsored last year. And it's just adding just some minor... minor additions regard... regarding permanent residents and individuals granted asylum."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Sandack: "Representative, you mentioned in your opening that you ran this Bill last Session. What happened to the Bill last time?"

Acevedo: "It passed."

Sandack: "Did it go to the Senate?"

Acevedo: "Yes, it did."

Sandack: "And did it not come out of the Senate?"

30th Legislative Day

- Acevedo: "No, it did... it did come out of the Senate. I'm just adding some additional where it said... where I'm... I'm... the U.S. nationals, permanent resident aliens, and individuals granted asylum. I'm just adding them to the list."
- Sandack: "And... and is that a requirement from some place to add
 this language?"
- Acevedo: "Is that... pardon?"
- Sandack: "I'm asking, why are you adding this language? Is it required under State of Federal Law? Is there something compelling you to make this change?"
- Acevedo: "I'm sorry, Representative. It... it's just actually a cleanup Bill. We're just adding these... these individuals who were not added in the last time we passed the Legislation."
- Sandack: "Okay. My analysis says that this is required language to comply with Federal Law authorizing noncitizens who are here on work visas or otherwise legally authorized to work and that this is required under Federal Law. Is that not accurate to your mind?"
- Acevedo: "You know what, Representative, I really can't answer that. I... I don't know about... too much about Federal Law."
- Sandack: "Okay. So then what is compelling you to bring this language change, I guess, is what I'm asking you."
- Acevedo: "Well, I'm... well, obviously, if it says in your synopsis that it is required by Federal Law, maybe that's probably why I was asked to do it in the first place."
- Sandack: "There you go. All right. So, it is required by Federal Law?"
- Acevedo: "Okay. Yes."
- Sandack: "Thank you, Representative. Appreciate that."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Ladies and Gentlemen, vote your switches, please. Feigenholtz, Jesiel, Winger. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'yes', 2 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3102, Representative Ammons. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3102, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Ammons."

Ammons: "Thank you, Leader. This Bill is going to do two basic things. One of them is to just make a technical change to the law where the word 'executive director' in one place is used and 'director' is used in another. We just want to make that consistent. And the second part of this Legislation is to make permanent a pilot program that increased diversity in the civil service hiring procedure for the University of Illinois."

Speaker Lang: "Lady moves for the passage of the Bill. Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Let's move this along, Members. Andersson, Arroyo, Fortner. Mr. Fortner. Please take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Chair recognizes Representative Winger."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Winger: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to rise on a point of personal privilege. I'd like to..."

Speaker Lang: "Please proceed."

Winger: "Thank you. I'd like to welcome Mr. Allaire and Ms. Burke and the Wood Dale Junior High. They came from Wood Dale to see us today and they're up in the gallery."

Speaker Lang: "House Bill 2722, Mr. Anthony. Please read the Bill." Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2722, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Anthony."

Anthony: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2722 is an initiative of the Department of Corrections. If an inmate is released on good credit, basically what we want to do is to remove their address from the Department of... the DOC's website. I favor an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Gentleman's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves, Members. Chapa LaVia, Flowers, Mayfield, Thapedi, Winger. Chapa LaVia, Winger. Please take the record. On this question, there are 74 voting 'yes', 39 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2557, Representative Kelly Burke. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2557, a Bill for an Act concerning gaming. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Burke."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

- Burke, K.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill is a simple Bill that allows a... an organization that has a regular Bingo permit to also have a special Bingo permit and to hold that special Bingo in the same place... the same physical location as their regular Bingo. I know of no opposition and I ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Lady moves for the passage of the Bill. Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Chapa LaVia, Drury, Flowers, Sims. Mr. Drury. Please take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 217, Representative Cassidy. Representative Cassidy on 217. Please read the Bill. Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 233, Mr. Brady. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 233, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Brady."

Brady: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 233 deals with testing... DNA testing as it pertains to homicide investigations through law enforcement and the coroners of the State of Illinois. It deals with having buccal swabs at the time of a homicide investigation postmortem conducted and then provided as evidence to help in that case as well as other unsolved cases across the state and country. I'd be happy to answer any questions."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Dunkin, Gabel, Reaves-Harris. Reaves-Harris. Please take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3692, Representative Cloonen. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3692, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Cloonen."

Cloonen: "Last year, we passed a Bill for the post-91... 9/11 veterans to have in-state tuition. This Bill allows pre-9/11 veterans to have in-state tuition. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Franks."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Franks: "Representative, I understand what you're trying to do, and I had asked for some fiscal notes."

Cloonen: "Yes."

Franks: "I have not gotten those sent to me. And I just see right now on the computer for the first time and I'm just reading them because for some reason they were not delivered to my office. But it appears that this would cost around \$30 million a year. Is..."

Cloonen: "The note that I... the note that I have on impact it says that it would not change the amount of authorize... authorization for any type of state issued or state supported

30th Legislative Day

- bond, and therefore, would not affect the level of state indebtedness."
- Franks: "Just give me a moment. There was a legislative note... have you seen the fiscal note that was filed? 'Cause it's on... I just... I'm just seeing it for the first time and I can read it to you. It says, House Bill 3692, once enacted, will ensure that veterans will be eligible for all federal benefits that could total as much as \$30 million as specified by the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs Section 702 compliance. Is it your understanding that there's no fiscal impact to the State of Illinois?"
- Cloonen: "That's my... my... that is my understanding because it's just asking for in-state tuition, not a fee... not a tuition waiver."
- Franks: "And who would pay that tuition? Would that be from the Federal Government?"
- Cloonen: "That... yes. That helps... this Bill helps Illinois become in line with the Federal Government."
- Franks: "Okay. So, if we don't do this Bill, I bet it's an easier way to say it is if we didn't do this Bill it would cost... it could cost the state or the veterans the 25 to 30 million dollars."
- Cloonen: "It is my understanding that is correct."
- Franks: "Okay. Well, thank you for bringing this forward."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Flowers. Please take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Chair recognizes Mr. Unes."

Unes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise for a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "Proceed, please."

Unes: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to recognize with us today in the gallery from the Pekin Area Chamber of Commerce we have the Director of the Pekin Chamber of Commerce, Bill Fleming, who also brought down a group from the Pekin Area Chamber of Commerce Leadership School. If they would please stand and be recognized. Welcome to the Capitol."

Speaker Lang: "Welcome. We're happy you're here. Thanks for joining us. House Bill 3430, Representative Bryant. Please read the Bill. No running, Representative."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3430, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Bryant."

Bryant: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This Bill actually is an oil and gas Bill. Presently, there is approval or denial process when someone applies for an oil and... or gas lease. This allows for a deficiency letter so that, when pressed for time, there isn't a disapproval given instead of an approval. So, it actually just cleans up the Bill. And I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Phelps."

Phelps: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Bryant: "Yes."

Speaker Lang: "Lady yields."

30th Legislative Day

- Phelps: "Representative Bryant, does this have anything to do with cooking barbeque with oil and gas? I can't find it in the Bill."
- Bryant: "It does not. But if it did, you would find that Murphysboro would do the best job with it."
- Phelps: "Okay. So if we're walking down 17th Street, can I make...
 do an Amendment later on on this to do that?"
- Bryant: "You certainly could do that and I would appreciate it."
- Phelps: "Okay. Thank you, Representative."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Golar. Please take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2915, Mr. Costello. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2915, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Costello."
- Costello: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the Body. House Bill 2915 creates the Illinois Caregiver Advise, Record, and Enable Act. It is agreed to language with AARP, the Hospital Association, and the Illinois Trial Lawyers. The Care Act recognizes the critical role family caregivers play in keeping loved ones safe. It creates three main systems. The first is a system of identification. The name of the family caregiver is recorded when a loved one is admitted into the hospital. The second, it creates a system of notification. The family caregiver is notified if a loved one is discharged

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

from a home or transferred to another facility. And third, it creates a system of training. The hospital must make an effort to provide an explanation of instruction of after care tasks. There are currently 2.4 million family caregivers in the State of Illinois. I thank you and I ask for an 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill... rescued by Representative Bellock."
- Bellock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I was just standing to commend everybody from the Federal Government that has worked on this and everybody from the State of Illinois for helping parents and families with disabilities. Thank you."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Ammons. Please take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Chair recognizes Mr. Bennett."

Bennett: "Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "Proceed, Sir."

Bennett: "I'd like to recognize Paul Ritter and the environmental biology science class from Pontiac High School. Would you please stand? Let's give them a round of applause. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Welcome. Happy you're with us today. House Bill 3262, Leader Currie. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3262, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Leader Currie."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

- Currie: "Thank you very much, Speaker, Members of the House. This comes from the Inspector General of the Chief Purchasing Officer for general services. It's just cleanup language with respect to the state purchasing bulletin. Now that things are online, specifics about dates and so forth no longer make sense. Technical. Happy to answer your questions and appreciate your 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Lady moves for the passage of the Bill. Those in favor will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Flowers, Golar, Verschoore. Please take the record. On this question, 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2569, Mr. Cabello. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2569, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Cabello."

Cabello: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2569 provides that the defendant pleads guilty and the plea shall not be accepted until the court shall have full explained to the defendant the following: that the... that they understand what the maximum min... minimum penalties are, any possible increased sentence by reason of the fact of a prior conviction or further convictions, and the consequences of the plea of the defendant's ability to retain or obtain housing in the public or private sector requires loans or education for... education or other purposes enrolls in certain degree programs. I'd be more than welcome

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

to answer any questions. And I respectfully ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Leader Currie. Please take the record. On this question, 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3194, Representative Monique Davis. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3194, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Davis."

Davis, M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3194 is a weatherization Bill. It's an initiative of the Chicago Jobs Council. It makes a few changes in the requirements for those who are repairing or weatherizing homes of some of the less affluent. One of the major changes is it adds a person who has work skill background on to the board. And I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a few questions?"

Speaker Lang: "Lady yields."

Sandack: "Thank you. Representative, why is this legislation necessary?"

Davis, M.: "Well, the program already exists. The funding comes from a previous work... okay. Okay. The bun fu... the bond fund already exists. The weatherization is a program that was

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

developed to assist those families who could save energy that would help those families and it would also help people learn to work. It's entrepreneurial. They'll learn to do weatherization, they'll learn to repair homes, and to, you know, help people keep their heat inside and have less money being spent on heat."

Sandack: "Representative..."

Davis, M.: "So, that's why it's necessary."

Sandack: "Thank you. Are you aware of some former scrutiny and some bad press on this program from the Chicago Sun-Times?"

Davis, M.: "No."

Sandack: "Well, let me offer something that the Auditor General put in the... his report."

Davis, M.: "Okay."

Sandack: "It is interesting to note that this program, in the past, has received much scrutiny for damaging reports made in regards to the program's overall efficiency and overall impact. In 2009, upon the creation of this initiative, as much as \$425 million in taxpayer dollars were promised to be put into the initiative for purposes of helping urban communities, but the reports also show, from the Sun-Times article, that large portions of the funding that went into this Weatherization Initiative did not directly impact the actual neighborhoods and the individuals the program was supposed to assist and that 80 percent of the total amount of the funds went to administrative costs."

Davis, M.: "Well, that's..."

Sandack: "Are you aware of any of that, Representative?"

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

- Davis, M.: "No, I'm not aware of that at all. In fact, they have spent very little of that money. They haven't even spent I don't think 30 million. They've only spent about \$27 million of those funds."
- Sandack: "Well, Representative, this is from the Auditor General, William Holland's office. And he said just 183 homes, since this program was in its inception in 2009, have been impacted. Is that a good efficient use..."

Davis, M.: "Well, that's one..."

Sandack: "...of the taxpayer's money?"

Davis, M: "...one of the reasons that we're making these changes is to put people on that board who have some expertise. They're putting..."

Sandack: "To the..."

- Davis, M.: "We're putting people on the board who have expertise and also making sure that this program complies with the federal requirements. And we do get federal money for this program also."
- Sandack: "Thank you, Representative. Ladies and Gentlemen, to the Bill. This is a poster board example of a program well intended but hasn't worked. Folks in certain areas where money should have been designated haven't gotten the money. Since 2009, only 183 homes have actually been improved. So what's what? Let's double down, add more money, and see if we can do it better? I suggest you vote 'no'. This is a well-intended but bad Bill. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Will Davis."

Davis, W.: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill, Ladies and Gentlemen. In lieu of what the previous speaker just said,

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

he acknowledged that it was a well-intended program. The irony in what he might have said is that if they had spent the money and misappropriated it, then he'd have a problem with it being misappropriated. So if this Bill is an attempt to better structure the way the dollars ultimately are spent by reforming the board as appropriate, then these are the things that if we're good stewards of state dollars that we need to be doing and taking a look at how we administer programs such as this. Weatherization is something that's necessary. It's something that's needed. And if this is an attempt, again, to better structure the program so that... so that we're not misappropriating whatever money may be available for or misspending those dollars, then this is exactly the type of thing that we need to do. So I encourage you to vote 'yes' for this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Dunkin."

Dunkin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, this Bill is pretty straightforward. House Bill 3194, it does several things. It requires two voting members of the board to have experience in weatherization or energy efficiency and at least one to have experience in workforce development. Part of the challenges that some of the grantees... and no program is perfect... but some of the grantees have run across issues where they... it could have been avoided had there been individuals with experience on it. Furthermore, this Bill raises the cap on the maximum annual grant from 500 to 2 million dollars while maintaining a 15 percent limit for the administrative purposes. The cap on this is really spent on homes and it raises it from 6500 to 10 thousand. So the money

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

in the program has been in place for quite some time. We're simply making some modifications on it to make it a better program. If you look at the Department of Corrections and the large influx of overcrowding and you look at the high level of recidivism of those individuals, for example, who return, do we throw the Department of Corrections system out of the window? Do we close down schools that actually have kids who are having... who are struggling with their math and their reading? No, we try to fix it. We try to add a little bit of more tweak to make the program better, to make the school systems better, to make our jails hopefully improve. In this case, it deals with weatherization and how it is that we can improve an economic impact on communities that have been disenfranchised and isolated from some of the economic weatherization and the sustainable growth model of a job and workforce development construct. That's all this Bill does. It's not complicated. This Bill simply tries to improve this program that is needed and that passed out of here several years ago with a large consensus. So, I hope some of the questions have been asked. You know, if we base everything on what the media says, we might as well shut down and go home and let them come govern. This is a positive Bill; it's a good Bill. It cleans up and straightens up a program that a lot of us supported and that I would encourage for an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Ford."

Ford: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

30th Legislative Day

- Ford: "Representative, thank you for bringing this legislation forward. I just have two questions. If you do nothing to this statute, what would happen?"
- Davis, M.: "If we do nothing, it could reduce the federal funds we receive for weatherization programs. And we also will not have the expertise of a job training person on that board."
- Ford: "So, this Bill is not starting up the Urban Weatherization Program, it's simply improving."
- Davis, M.: "It's been in existence since 2013."
- Ford: "So if someone has a problem with the current legislation or the current law, they would have to do something like get a Bill to eliminate it. And this Bill improves it."
- Davis, M.: "Well, that's what they would certainly have to do.

 These funds have already been appropriated in 2013. Only 27 million have been spent. We think with the changes made, we will... we will better adhere to federal guidelines in reference to the classification of the workers."
- Ford: "And a final question. Although there... there hasn't been a lot of people put to work because of the climate of the unemployment in the State of Illinois, can you say that there have been a lot of people that have been trained and now have a better outlook in life as a result of the Urban Weatherization law?"
- Davis, M.: "Absolutely. Job training, people being able to take care of their families, people being less or not dependent on the government to take care of them and their families, and having learned a skill. It's also a jobs program where people actually have work to do and will be working. Thank you, Representative."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Ford: "Thank you. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sullivan."

Sullivan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. I reluctantly rise in opposition to this Bill. Certainly, the intent was one to help low income families winterize their houses, save on energy costs, and get people to learn a skill and help communities out. Unfortunately, the program hasn't followed the intent of the Bill, and I'm going to read one specific area for you. On page 4 of the Bill, line 16 paragraph H; a grant recipient may not use more than 15 percent of total grant amount for administrative expenses. So in the Bill, when we pass it, we said, your overhead, your administrative expenses can't be more than 15 percent. Our Auditor just audited this program and said they're putting in 80 percent on overhead expenses. Now, if it was 20 percent, 25 percent, I could have that discussion, but 80 percent from the Auditor General, not the Sun-Times, that's something that needs to be revisited. If you want to bring this Bill back and talk about how we're going to bring these expenses in line with the intent of the program, I'm willing to help. I'm willing to help pass this because the intent is good, but right now, the program is failing. It is not doing what it needs to be done. It's a waste of money when you're putting 80 percent in overhead to run a program. The people it's intended for are not getting the services that they need and not what the program wants to do. So, reluctantly, at this point until changes to the Bill are made to bring it in line with the intent, I urge everyone to vote 'no'."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Hoffman."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. I understand the discontent of the other side of the aisle and I understand that you are concerned about the weatherization program and some of the reports that have been in the news media, but this makes it better. This tightens it up and makes it better. I don't understand why you wouldn't want to improve it. And for those of you who go around the state and have gone around the state campaigning against the prevailing wage, this actually would make this subject to the federal prevailing wage which would potentially lower the amount that's going to be paid to the people who are working in this program and make it more accessible. So if you want to reduce the prevailing wage, vote for the Bill. If you want to tighten up the program, vote for the Bill. But to just get up and say, we're against something and trying to make it better, I don't understand it. I'm voting 'aye'."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Willis."

Willis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. Last week in my district, I was proud to host a CEDA workshop where we had over 10 families come out and meet with counselors from CEDA regarding the weatherization program. At the end of that, I was told that 8 out of those 10 families qualified. I'm very proud to support this Bill because I think this is something that we need, certainly in depressed communities, and if I had such a small turnout and still had that many people qualify... and actually the one man that did not qualify had qualified in the past and had been... just came by to thank CEDA for the wonderful program that they offer and the

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

weatherization. So I urge an 'aye' vote by this Body. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Davis to close."

Davis, M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. First, I'd like to clarify a misstatement that 80 percent of the dollars went for salary. That is truly false. The department administers the program. Dollars are given to major groups who do administer the programs and they are nonprofit organizations. So they are not getting 80 percent. This Bill, as Representative Hoffman states, puts this Bill more along federal guidelines, will help us to administer it to the ability where even the people who just had tornadoes in their community could benefit from a weatherization program. People could come into their community, put shingles on the roof, help replace the windows, help with the weatherization of the doors. It is always better in the State of Illinois if we can do things to save com... compu... com... people money, consumer's money and to save the state money. And this Bill does just that. It puts people to work, it adheres to federal guidelines, and there's no new money being requested. I urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Acevedo, Rita. Please take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 65 voting 'yes', 47 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 113, Mr. DeLuca. Please read the Bill."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 113, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. DeLuca."

DeLuca: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, House Bill 113, this is the public announcement blackout. As many of you know, we already have a blackout period for correspondence... taxpayer-funded correspondence. This refers to announcements that are made about state-funded projects or grants with the same language making it consistent after September 1 before a General Election. There was an Amendment on this. The Amendment removed any opposition. It addressed any of the concerns that were brought up. I want to thank the Governor's Office and his staff for working on this. And I ask for a 'yes' vote."

'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Breen, Burke. Kelly Burke. Please take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 169, Mr. Dunkin. Mr. Dunkin. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 169, a Bill for an Act concerning arrest records. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Dunkin."

Dunkin: "Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen. This is an agreed Bill by the Illinois State Police, the sheriffs... Illinois State Sheriffs'... Chiefs Association. It's a Bill, if there is a missing identity... excuse me, if there is a mistaken identity

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

that the police made, that there is a process in place to wipe their records clean. And I would encourage my colleagues for an 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. Those in favor will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Breen, Butler, Phillips, Tryon, Unes. Mr. Tryon. Please take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 93 voting 'yes', 20 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3119, Mr. Demmer. Please read the Bill. Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 3695, Mr. Evans. Mr. Evans. Out of the record. House Bill 4011, Representative Feigenholtz. Representative Feigenholtz. Out of the record. House Bill 165, Representative Flowers. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 165, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Flowers."
- Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 165 merely call for prayer in the school.

 And I'll be more than happy to answer any questions you may have in regards to the Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Lady moves for the passage of the Bill. Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3552, Mr. Guzzardi. Please read the Bill."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3552, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Guzzardi."

Guzzardi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 3552 pertains to the rights of transgender people to specify certain issues in their wills or other burial documents. Those include the name by which they'll be referred to, the gender pronoun which they will use, or how their remains will be presented and other such issues. This is neither expressly permitted nor expressly forbidden in current law. But I believe that on matters of civil rights it's important to take proactive steps to assert such rights. And no one has yet opposed this Bill. And I urge Members an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Guzzardi, you might want to vote for your own Bill. Mr. Harris, Mr. Phillips. Please take the record. On this question, there are 79 voting 'yes', 34 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2505, Mr. David Harris. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2505, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Harris."

Harris, D.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask this be taken out of the record. I need some legislative intent and the person is not here. Thank you."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Speaker Lang: "Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. Returning to House Bill 3695, Mr. Evans. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3695, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Evans. No running, Sir."

Evans: "All right. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and this august Assembly. House Bill 3695 makes a specific change and requires the Chicago Public Schools to make a minimum payment to the Chicago Teachers' Pension Fund. That minimum payment is estimated around \$195 million. The 0.6... excuse me, 0.26 percent of the 3.07 percent levy that they have now. I ask for your support."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Sandack: "Representative Evans, I noticed there are some proponents and opponents and first of all, this is for Chicago only, correct?"

Evans: "Yes, it only..."

Sandack: "So..."

Evans: "...affects the Chicago Teachers' Pension Fund."

Sandack: "Nowhere else in Cook County."

Evans: "Yes."

Sandack: "And the opponents are the City of Chicago and the Chicago
Public Schools and the proponents are the Teachers Union
Pension Fund, Retired Teachers Association. Why is the City
of Chicago against your Bill?"

Evans: "Oh, they're not opposed anymore. The opposition was removed."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Sandack: "So it's an agreed Bill now?"

Evans: "Yes."

Sandack: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Franks."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Franks: "Marcus, I think it's a good Bill. I just want to clarify for those who may have some questions that this... this won't raise any taxes at all, correct?"

Evans: "No. It only deals with the current 3.07 percent tax levy that they already have. The Bill, in a sense, is a minimum payment requirement Bill. Right now, they should make payments, but they're not required to make payments. This, at least, sets a floor of required payments. The actual payment for this year is somewhere around 600 million. So they should do a lot more, but this Bill requires they do something."

Franks: "So this will guarantee about a third of that payment will have to be done without any negoc... with any discussion."

Evans: "Exactly. At least a third to... you know, we don't know, I mean, if the fund is funded now to a low amount like many of our pension funds. This would at least, you know, keep it reasonably solvent."

Franks: "Thank you. I think it's a good Bill."

Evans: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Ives."

Ives: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Ives: "Representative Evans, our analysis also says that the Department of Revenue is opposed. Is that still true? And If so, why?"

Evans: "Opposition has been removed to this point..."

Ives: "Okay. Thank you."

Evans: "...including the department."

'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Those switches are right in front of you, Members. Please record yourselves. Drury, Kay, Sosnowski. Mr. Drury, Mr. Sosnowski. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 102 voting 'yes', 9 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Returning to House Bill 2505, Mr. David Harris. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2505, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill..."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Harris."

Clerk Bolin: "...this House Bill."

Harris, D.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2505 is a relatively minor Bill that is meant to protect those for whom a guardian has been appointed on a temporary basis. The Cook County Guardian's office is in support of the Bill if we insert legislative intent in the... on the floor debate and we do have questions to be asked along those lines."

Speaker Lang: "Leader Nekritz."

Nekritz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

- Nekritz: "Representative Harris, under what circumstances may a court appoint a temporary guardian pursuant to Section 11a-4(a) of the Probate Act of 1975?"
- Harris, D.: "Yes. The court may appoint a temporary guardian for the immediate welfare and protection of an adult before the adjudication of disability or after adjudication pending an appeal of the adjudication of disability or upon the current guardian's death, incapacity, resignation, suspension, or removal."
- Nekritz: "And what is the purpose of this change in House Bill 2505 that makes to that... that makes to the Section I referred to earlier?"
- Harris, D.: "The change ensures that a court limits a temporary guardian's powers and duties to those powers and duties that are specifically enumerated in the court order appointing the temporary guardian. The change is consistent with the court's duty to ensure the interests of the alleged disabled person are not outweighed by the interest of others and that temporary guardianship is utilized for the protection of the immediate welfare of the alleged disabled person."
- Nekritz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And thank you, Representative Harris, for answering those questions. And I urge an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Cabello, Drury, Lilly, Thapedi. Mr. Drury. Representative Lilly. Please take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1335, Mr. Greg Harris. Please read the Bill. I understand this is going back to Second. Mr. Clerk, please put this on the Order of Second Reading and read the Bill, please."

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1335, a Bill for an Act concerning health.

 The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Greg Harris."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Harris on the Amendment."
- Harris, G.: "The Amendment is the word 'that' and the lawyers tell me 'that' must be in the Bill. So I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3693, Mr. Hoffman. Mr. Hoffman. Out of the record. Mr. Hoffman has appeared. Mr. Clerk, please read House Bill 3693."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3693, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I apologize but I do know the alphabet and I thought there was Hays and Hernandez that were before me, that's why I was indisposed. But here I am and I know you're happy to have me."

Speaker Lang: "You want to proceed on your Bill now, Sir?"

Hoffman: "Yes, I would."

Speaker Lang: "Good."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

- Hoffman: "House Bill 3693 is an initiative and it's a local initiative. In Belleville we have a coterminous township with the city. This would indicate that if the township trustees vote to abolish that township and the city accepts the responsibilities of that township, that... for that township only, the township would be abolished and the city would accept the duties and responsibilities."
- 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Cabello, Chapa LaVia, Hurley. Chapa LaVia. Please take the record. On this question, there are 106 voting 'yes', 7 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 248, Mr. Kay. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 248, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Kay."

- Kay: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 248 is a simple change to the Open Meetings Act and it adds the word 'open' and 'closed'. 'Open' was there, 'closed' was not, in the past. Having to do with challenges that could be made to a court for the purpose of rendering something that was handled approp... inappropriately from an operational standpoint to correcting it at the court level. And I ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Chapa LaVia, Drury,

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Gordon-Booth. Mr. Drury. Please take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3823, Representative Kifowit. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3823, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Kifowit."

Kifowit: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Bill simply amends the School Code and the School Construction Law to provide that if a school district gets funds from the School Construction Grant that it goes to reduced property taxes."

Speaker Lang: "Lady moves for the passage of the Bill. There being no debate, those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Chapa LaVia, Drury, Evans, Mitchell, Zalewski. Mr. Drury. Please take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3599, Mr. Leitch. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3599, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Leitch."

Leitch: "Thank you very much, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

To me, this is a very, very important Bill and no doubt will save lives in the future. The Bill authorizes young people to preauthorize a release of files when they are decompensating

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

at a state university. In order to respect confidentiality like rules, this is a preauthorization. This measure comes to me from a very tragic event. The Predmore family in Bartonville lost their son Chris. And the great frustration for families like Chris is they have seen these episodes happen time and time again and had they known, there's probably a wonderful chance their son would have survived. I believe this is an important Bill and one that has, to date, had no opposition. I'd ask for your support."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Gentleman's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Davis, Evans, Lilly. Please take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Chair recognizes Mr. Leitch for a point of personal privilege."

Leitch: "Thank you, Speaker. It's a very high honor to welcome to the chamber the Class 1A basketball champions, stand up, fellas, from Brimfield High School. This is a... this was a very, very exciting team. They lost a couple games in the tournament and then went on a tear in their own league tournament. Then went on a 20 game tear to defeat other ranked teams in the state and came out the big winners for the first time in the history of the school. We're very proud of you. So, thanks for coming and hope you have a wonderful day in the Capitol."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

- Speaker Lang: "Welcome. Thank you for being with us. House Bill 3898, Mr. Martwick. Mr. Martwick. Out of the record. House Bill 3748, Mr. McAuliffe. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3748, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. McAuliffe."
- McAuliffe: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3748 would set up guidelines so people couldn't discriminate against those who've served in the military. I'm asking for your support and be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Bennett, Drury. Please take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes', O voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Returning to one Bill on the Order of Second Reading, there appears House Bill 3933. Representative McAsey. Representative McAsey. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3933, a Bill for an Act concerning courts.

 Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments.

 Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative McAsey."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative McAsey on the Amendment."
- McAsey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. The Amendment addresses a concern that was raised by the Attorney General's Office related to the pass-through of funds related to the Access to Justice pilot program. I would ask for the adoption of the Amendment."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3556, Representative Moeller. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3556, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Moeller."

Moeller: "Thank you, Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 3556 makes a minor change to the Business District Development Act. It gives municipalities the ability to transfer funds between business development districts. This is an initiative of one of my communities that has three... three of these districts. The ability would only be for districts that are adjacent, similar to TIF Districts. I'll be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Lady yields."

Sandack: "Representative, could you just take me through how mechanically this would happen? And obviously, is this permitted in any taxing district, a TIF or another likeminded discreet district where they could essentially move funds from one discreet fund to another?"

Moeller: "Currently, you can move funds between TIF Districts.

This Bill only applies to business development districts where that is currently not permitted."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

- Sandack: "And... and why isn't it permitted and why should it be permitted, Representative?"
- Moeller: "I don't know why it's currently not permitted. The reason why this Bill was introduced is we have... I have a municipality who has three business development districts. They want to have infrastructure improvements that span two districts that are adjacent, and with this change they'll be able to use revenues that are generated in one district to help with the infrastructure improvements in the adjacent district."
- Sandack: "Okay. So it's obviously for a common improvement. In your Bill, it wouldn't be permitted just to transfer funds generally from one to the other. It would need to be for some common improvement or some type of improvement that would benefit both districts or all three districts."
- Moeller: "Well, actually, they would be able to transfer funds. They could use it to... they're already restricted in what they can use those funds for; infrastructure, marketing, economic development purposes. And they have to be based on a business plan that the municipality has approved for that business development district. But under this change, they would be able to transfer funds from one district to another as long as they're adjacent and adjoining."
- Sandack: "Okay. And... but... as long as they're adjacent and adjoining. But you couldn't envision a situation where one could just deplete the funds in one for the benefit of the other without... I mean, there's got to be a common good or a common basis upon which both funds get a benefit, right?"

Moeller: "I would imagine, yes."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Sandack: "Okay. So it's not your intent that this essentially deplete funds from one to another?"

Moeller: "That is not the intent. And..."

Sandack: "Thank you, Representative."

Moeller: "...and business development districts are funded through sales tax increments so this does not affect any other taxing district."

Sandack: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Franks."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Lady yields."

Franks: "Representative, I understand what you're trying to do.

One concern I have, though, is what would happen... I understand it's all in one municipality. What would happen, though, if the municipality had multiple school districts? Because..."

Moeller: "This does not affect any school district. This is only sales tax increment."

Franks: "But this is akin to a TIF District, correct?"

Moeller: "It's not funded through property taxes, no."

Franks: "How is it funded?"

Moeller: "Through an increment... an additional sales tax increment."

Franks: "Okay. All right. So, it's for folks who are spending money in these business districts."

Moeller: "Correct."

Franks: "Would it be an easier solution if part of the requirement is that these business districts must be contiguous?"

Moeller: "That is one of the requirements."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Franks: "Would it be easier just then to redefine the business district and have it created so it's larger to create one bus... one business district instead of multiple ones. Because my concern is, after a while, I'm not sure the business district is going to continue to meet the definition of blighted, for instance."

Moeller: "This would give a munic... certainly a municipality would have that option. This gives them greater flexibility in order to transfer funds between and use money on infrastructure improvements that span two business development districts. It doesn't preclude them from the ability of merging or creating one larger business development district, but it gives them the option to transfer between if it becomes untenable to create a larger."

Franks: "How are these created, these business development districts?"

Moeller: "They are created by ordinance in the municipality."

Franks: "Are they done by statute here, as well? Or are they only done at the local level?"

Moeller: "There is a business development district statute..."

Franks: "Okay. And..."

Moeller: "...in the state."

Franks: "...is there a certain amount of time that these exist, or are they in perpetuity?"

Moeller: "I believe they are in perpetuity, but I... I may be wrong on that."

Franks: "That would be a concern I would have because I'm just not sure how these things are... are set up, but I... and that's... I

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

know you're talking to Ms. Hollman there who I rely on for advice, as well. She's pretty smart, Sydney, by the way."

Moeller: "Yeah."

Franks: "What was she whispering into your ear? Then... that might help my next question."

Moeller: "It was not... it was not germane to your question."

Franks: "Oh, it was about dinner or something. Okay. So these exist in perpetuity and you have multiple business districts in one municipality."

Moeller: "You can, yes."

Franks: "Okay. I'm going to listen to more of the debate. I'm just...

I'm trying to get my head around whether there's any potential downside on this if we move the moneys around. I just want to make sure that the people who are paying these are actually getting the benefit. Because it's almost like a user fee if you're in this one certain area because that's where they're holding this additional funds to be used for that area. And I don't know if that's an incentive for people to shop in a certain place or to spend their money, but I want to make sure that the re... that we are able to protect the underlying thoughts for this. And that's why I think it might be easier to consider, maybe after we pass this out of here in the Senate, that maybe we... we look at changing the boundaries and having a... and having one larger instead of multiple ones. I see she's writing you a note."

Moeller: "Okay. Okay. We'll... we'll take that under advisement."

Speaker Lang: "Anything further, Mr. Franks."

Franks: "No, I was just watching them talk. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Thank you. Representative Ives."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Ives: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Ives: "I remember voting for this in committee and when... when you say that if you are going to have this... this tax be used in a... in an adjacent district, wouldn't that still take approval by that local city council or municipality?"

Moeller: "I'm sorry. Could you restate your question?"

Ives: "Would... wouldn't this still, this... this transition of funds from one business district into another area, wouldn't it still have to be voted on by the local city council?"

Moeller: "Yes, it's my understanding that it would be."

Ives: "Okay. And if... do these business districts, are they allowed to take on debt, like a TIF District is allowed to take on debt for the rehabilitation of that district, are these business districts? Or are they using those sales tax revenue as the backstop or the collateral for any debt?"

Moeller: "It is my understanding that they can issue bonds to make improvements in a business development district..."

Ives: "Based on the sales tax revenue that they are expected to collect from that district. Is that correct?"

Moeller: "Certainly as part of the whatever type of bond they end up issuing for that improvement, the sales tax revenue that's generated in those districts would be a collateral or... or used..."

Ives: "And..."

Moeller: "...towards that debt."

Ives: "...if you... if they found then that those... the debt was somehow at risk of... they would risk a default and they didn't have enough sales tax revenue, maybe there was a downslide in the

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

economy, something like that, wouldn't that municipality still be responsible for that debt and have to dip into general revenues?"

Moeller: "I imagine it would depend on the type of bond that was issued. If it's a general obligation bond, yes. If it's a revenue bond, I would imagine probably not. It depends on the type of debt that's issued."

Ives: "Okay. So I don't often like to give a lot of control to the locals in today's environment; however, I do think that this is a good Bill because... I know, particularly in our city, you know, one of our TIF Districts was at risk and we needed to use profitable TIF funds from one district to use... to prop up another TIF District, otherwise we were going to have to dip into general revenue funds. And so I think that what you have here is you give a city's flexibility to deal with the concerns that they're having to deal with at that point in time. So, I'm actually in favor of this Bill for that reason in that you get in an all-encompassing environment and you still must have city control, a city vote to use the funds in this way. So I think it's a good Bill. Thank you."

Moeller: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sullivan."

Sullivan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Sullivan: "Representative, I think you're hearing kind of a theme that we're trying to get our hands around on whether this is truly going to benefit the communities that you want. I think the Representative previous to me went down the path, and

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

there's two... two discussions I want to have. The first one is, back to the bonds..."

Moeller: "Yeah."

Sullivan: "...how would it work if one business district floats bonds and the collateral is the one percent sales tax, yet the municipality then votes to take that revenue stream, or part of it, and put it in another business district? What happens in that case where we've been using the collateral of the anticipated tax revenue to pay off these bonds? Who's under the hook for that? Is it the business district or does it now go to the municipality that might be liable should you have a sales tax failure like we did, you know, five years ago?"

Moeller: "Well, I would imagine that they would be able to use sales tax. Sales tax is generated throughout the entirety of their business development district to meet the obligations of whatever bonds they are issuing."

Sullivan: "Okay. And second to that, there's no restriction on how much money you can take from one business district to another. I mean, it's just the vote of the board. And so that's obviously another concern that maybe we might want to revisit that says you can't take all the money, maybe enough... you know, and I don't know how to frame that. I'm just telling you a concern would be you're pilfering one district for the benefit of the other, and so the... the tax liabilities that are raised in one are in essence going to fund another business district. Similar legislation has been done in TIFs and are proposed for TIFs, so that's why some of us are a little wary right now."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Moeller: "Yeah. This would give municipalities the same ability to transfer funds and BDDs as they have right now in TIF."

Sullivan: "Okay."

Moeller: "And ultimately, it would be up to the municipality to decide, based on their understanding of the financial obligations and requirements in each business development district, how much money they are willing to transfer."

Sullivan: "Okay. Well, thank you for your responses."

Moeller: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Tryon."

Tryon: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Tryon: "Representative, I rise in support of your Bill. I think, in this time period, municipalities are faced with limited options on how to finance growth. I think one of the confusions were having here is we're treating everything like it's a property tax. This is the city who voted to put in place a hotel tax and a sales tax for economic development, not a property tax. They sold bonds, not general obligation bonds based on real estate, but they would be selling debt certificates using this as a source to retire those certificates. If the certificates were to be retired or they had other sources of revenue that they could put in place of that, they're free to do that. And I think having mechanisms for municipalities to be able to finance economic development without going to property taxpayers is a great tool. Would that be kind of a summary of what this tax is?"

Moeller: "Yes. That's a... that's a great summary."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Tryon: "So... so, in this situation, it's one city, it's separate districts, and they have issued and taken the vote and had the debate on a hotel tax and a... and a sales tax for the business community that benefits everybody. And when those certificates are retired, maybe they want to transfer that ability to be able to continue to have economic development without property taxes in that way. Would that be a safe way to say that? I think this is a..."

Moeller: "Yeah."

Tryon: "...good tool and I... I rise in support of it. You could add me as a Sponsor. Thank you."

Moeller: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Andersson."

Andersson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the speaker yield? Or spon..."

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Andersson: "Thank you. Sponsor. I rise in support of the Bill. I want to clarify the question that was addressed with regard to bond issuances. In this circumstance, what will happen is if a community issues bonds based on a pledged amount of the sales tax increase pursuant to one of these districts, what will happen is the community is bound to pay that amount back, bound and required by contract with the bondholders. So there is a backstop in effect for what happens. The community will not be able to take money that has already been pledged. These dollars address simply that which is over that amount. So the bonding authority is not in jeopardy. This is... these are amounts above and beyond that can then be used in co... contiguous districts to benefit, really, all of them. Because

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

remember, we're not talking about different communities, we're talking about the same community with different districts. I rise in support."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of..."

Moeller: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "...the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Davis, Drury, Leitch. Please take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 110 voting 'yes', 3 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Chair recognizes Mr. Fortner."

Fortner: "Thank you, Speaker. On House Bill 3102 it was my intent to vote 'present'."

Speaker Lang: "The record will reflect your intentions.

Representative Nekritz is recognized on a point of personal privilege."

Nekritz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I appreciate your patience. I just wanted to... in the... in the gallery to my left is a group of students from Harper Community College. They are members of the Harper Community College Senate and for many of them it's their first trip to Springfield. So I wanted to recognize them and give them a warm Springfield welcome."

Speaker Lang: "Welcome. Glad you're here with us. Mr. Evans is recognized."

Evans: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "Please proceed."

Evans: "Most of the secretaries have been notified. Today, we have a Senate versus House charity basketball game. It's going to

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

be at Lanphier High School today. So, it's going to be 7:30 this evening, so if you can get there at 7 that would be great. It'll be a quick game, 20 minute running half. So everybody's ACL should make it back safely tomorrow if you participate. Even if you don't play, please come out. It's for a good cause and hopefully we can make this an annual thing where we promote good health and also support a cause."

Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Sir. House Bill 3203, Mr. Moffitt. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3203, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Moffitt."

Moffitt: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This legislation amends the Municipal Code to grant eligibility to veterans who have turned... who've gone above the age 35 to still take the firefighter exam if they reach that age while serving as a member of the military forces of our country, if they were on active duty. And this is a... but still under the age of 40. It's a way to thank our veterans and it's a way to put the training, the life experience, and the expertise of our veterans available for civil service once they get back. It's a shame that they would be blocked from testing just because they were on active duty. Last year, we extended this eligibility service to those that had gone past the age because they were on active duty to those in fire protection districts. This would then add to those that are in municipal fire departments. I think, clearly, it's the right thing to do and it's the right time to do it. I'd ask for a 'yes' vote. Thank you."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Burke, Chapa LaVia, Dunkin, Feigenholtz, Guzzardi, Lilly, Nekritz. Chapa LaVia, Lilly, Nekritz. Representative Lilly. Please take the record, Mr. Clerk. There are 113 voting 'yes', O voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3540, Mr. Phelps. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3540, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Phelps."

- Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

 House Bill 3540 is an initiative of the Illinois Department
 of Natural Resources. Just makes it easier for them to know
 who owns a non-productive well."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please take the record. There are 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 133, Mr. Thapedi. Out of the record. House Bill 3448, Mr. Turner. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3448, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Turner."

Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3448 is a Treasurer's Office initiative that amends the Illinois Estate and

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Generation-Skipping Transfer Tax Act. It removes a requirement that the state transfer tax returns must be filed with the State Treasurer. Under the current law, state transfer taxes must be paid by those who are required to pay the federal transfer tax. The return must be filed with the Attorney General, and additionally, payments due on or after July 1, 2012, a copy of the return must be filed with the State Treasurer. My House Bill 3448 removes the provision that requires the copy to be filed with the State Treasurer's Office. This Bill has an immediate effective date. And I appreciate the support of the Body."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Gentleman's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Dunkin, Evans, Gabel, Golar. Gabel. Please take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2673, Mr. Tryon. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2673, a Bill for an Act concerning health.

Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Tryon."

Tryon: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2673 would correct an oversight in the Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities Code in the Section dealing with involuntary medication petitions. Right now, if an individual is determined unfit to stand trial, they are transferred generally from a county facility to a state hospital and... and ordered by the court to go through a

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

therapeutical treatment. When they are determined to be fit for trial, they're... they're transferred back to the county jail and this simply allows the court ordered treatment to be maintained while they're in custody awaiting trial at the county jail. If there's any questions, I'd be glad to answer them, otherwise, I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Turner in the Chair. Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 2673 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 112 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', and 0 voting 'present', House Bill 2673, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, House Bill 2477, Representative Lang. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2477, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Leader Lang."

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, this Bill has no opposition, as far as I know. It comes from the Illinois Credit Union League and the main provision of the Bill provides that if an out of state credit union forgets to file paperwork with the state, it does not impair the collectability of the loan. It also says a new appraisal is not required if no money has changed hands and no money... additional moneys are advanced on a refinance or a restructuring of a loan. I ask for your support."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 2477 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 111 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present', House Bill 2477, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3119, Representative Demmer. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3119, a Bill for an Act concerning children. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Demmer."

Demmer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3119 clarifies current practice where DCFS is allowed to consent for an emergency medical treatment for children who are in temporary protective custody. These are things that are required under federal consent decree and this is clarifying in state statute that they have the ability to comply with that."

Speaker Turner: "On that, we have a question from Representative Franks."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Franks: "Representative, can you tell me why the Family Defense Center is an opponent?"

Demmer: "I've heard very little from them. I did receive one note that they would like parental consent to be required for the initial health screening. Currently, that's required to be done within 24 hours of temporary protective custody, and in many cases, you can't contact the parents, you can't find the parents. DCFS is required to do this and so this kind of clarifies that they are able to consent for that screening."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Franks: "I... I understand what you're saying. I... I'm concerned though that the parents don't have the first right and DCFS can maybe be just the backup. I don't have a lot of confidence in DCFS. There's been a lot of problems with DCFS in the last few years of which we all know about and we've seen in the paper. I'm just wondering, if they have the ability to contact a parent and know where the parent is, or parents are, I think it'd be a better procedure to allow the parents to be the one to consent. Now I could see if they didn't know where a parent or guardian would be that they would be the primary one who would be able to, but I am concerned that this would take away a parent's right, especially if they know where the parent or parents live."

Demmer: "This only applies in situations in which an individual, a child, has been taken into temporary protective custody. Sometimes that might be because of things related to the parents and so there... there may not be a clear situation. So, this... this only applies after the steps have been taken to establish temporary protective custody."

Franks: "How long is temporary protective custody, normally?"

Demmer: "I... I don't think that there's a normal answer for that."

Franks: "Well, is there... is there any way that we could try to protect the parents as well to have a say? That's my only concern."

Demmer: "Sure. I understand that, you know, we're looking at cases where within 24 hours of having been taken into custody, there may be emergency medical treatment necessary, be screenings necessary, and I think it's important that we... that time is of the essence in those situations."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Franks: "Okay. We'll discuss it more later. I appreciate it."

Demmer: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Ives."

Ives: "Thank you. To the Bill. I... I strongly encourage a 'no' vote on this Bill. Strongly encourage it. I have dealt with the DCFS, I've had my constituents deal with the DCFS, and I've had them take children away when they think that it's medically necessary and it's proven to be different. I wouldn't trust the DCFS with any of this. Absolutely not. This Bill goes too far. It doesn't even let... it doesn't even say that you have to first try to even connect with the parents. They can just simply take the child and take him through medical processing and the parent has no right of refusal or right to even be there or nearby. And this can happen at any age, it doesn't say age 14 and above. It can happen to the 2-month-old, where the parents are falsely accused of doing things to the child that have later found out to be false. I fully support, instead, the Family Defense Center, and I would trust their opinion over DCFS every time. DCFS is a scandalized government agency in Illinois. We have yet to even see the investigations fully vet their new procedures. You can't just simply take a DCFS initiative Bill and say that anything was done in its proper form. There's not enough protections for parents at all in this Bill. This Bill goes too far. Please vote 'no'."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Sullivan."

Sullivan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

- Sullivan: "So Representative, can we revisit the temporary custody aspect and why would one... why would a child be brought into temporary custody?"
- Demmer: "Circumstances in which the child may be in harm's way, be at risk of harm. Circumstances in which the child needs an advocate they don't have."
- Sullivan: "So that primarily will be the parent that has put that child in harm's way and why they were temporarily removed from that situation."
- Demmer: "I think, in many cases, yes."
- Sullivan: "And... and I forgot, was there an answer for how long this typically takes place?"
- Demmer: "Yeah. I got an answer on that, actually. Temporary protective custody lasts 48 hours."
- Sullivan: "Okay. So for 48 hours, we... potentially we could have a problem where... and... and I'm reading the... the... the language here. We're talking about temporary custody of a child, and if we don't give them emergency treatment, such treatment would likely result in the death or permanent harm of the child. So what we're saying here is that if this child does not get this treatment after they've been taken from their family because the family probably did something, something really bad would happen. They could die without this treatment."
- Demmer: "I think it's very important that if the child is facing emergency medical need that we be able to provide those kind of services."
- Sullivan: "Thank you. To the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, we get that there's some problems in some of our agencies, including

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

this one, and... and I'm cognizant to that. But this Bill is talking about providing emergency aid to a child that would die if they don't get that aid. I think that trumps the right to the parent that might have already put that child in protective custody because of their negligence or some other actions that they may have taken. So please, I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Ammons."

Ammons: "Thank you. Would the speaker yield, please?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Ammons: "Sponsor, I'm sorry. I just wanted to clarify a question though. DCFS currently has the authority within 24 to 48 hours to have that child taken to the emergency room... as a requirement taken to the emergency room currently to be... have a physical, a full physical before that child is permanently placed in a either parent or relative care or a foster care home. I'm not understanding why this would be necessary under the current law 'cause it already exists."

Demmer: "That's a good question. And so, right now, DCFS is bringing children for those screenings in the terms of the DH consent decree, the federal consent decree from the courts, which establishes that requirement. It's unclear in state statute that they have the explicit consent to be able to do that. So right now it's kind of happening because the court has ordered that it happens, and this is providing a state statute that says, yes, DCFS is able to consent to that initial emergency screening."

Ammons: "So I think that the child within 24 hours before the investigator can leave the child with a foster home or a

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

relative caregiver, they have to give him a temporary medical card which is used immediately within a certain period of time in order for that child to... to go on to another caregiver they have to go to the emergency room or a doctor for them to have an exam. I'm still unclear as to what changes under this law except that we may actually be adding some additional requirement to DCFS staff. Is this a staff initiated..."

Demmer: "It doesn't add additional requirements. This is a DCFS initiated Bill and they've asked for clarification because, as I said, they're... they are currently doing this. They are currently doing it because it's part of the Federal Court consent decree and this is proving in state statute clarification that, yes, they are allowed to do that."

Ammons: "So this is just to codify what they already do..."

Demmer: "That's correct."

Ammons: "...and because of the federal consent decree."

Demmer: "That's correct."

Ammons: "Okay. Thank you for clarification."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Thapedi."

Thapedi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Thapedi: "Representative, what prompted this piece of legislation?

Did something occur that prompted DCFS to ask you to bring this Bill today?"

Demmer: "This was an initiative that was brought to me by DCFS. I don't know if there was a specific case that prompted them.

I think in reviewing their policies they saw that they were doing this in accordance with the federal consent decree and

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

wanted to make sure the state statute was in compliance with that."

Thapedi: "Okay. And we heard a little bit earlier from an earlier speaker that mentioned the Family Defense Center. Who are those people? If you know."

Demmer: "I... I don't know."

Thapedi: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative, what is the problem that DCFS wants to take custody of a child and become, without a court order... without a court order, being deemed their temporary guardian?"

Demmer: "This doesn't change anything that has to do with how the state can take temporary protective custody. It makes no changes to that, whatsoever. This says..."

Flowers: "What..."

Demmer: "...that once the child has been taken into temporary protective custody, following the law which this does not change, once they do take them into temporary protective custody, they're able to do a medical screening within the first 24 hours to determine if the child is sick or if they need medical attention."

Flowers: "What happens now?"

Demmer: "That happens now. Currently, DCFS is doing medical screenings within the first 24 hours of taking temporary protective custody. They're currently doing that. The reason they're doing that is because the Federal Court, in a consent decree, ordered them to do that. This Bill simply brings state

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

statute in compliance with the federal consent decree and what current practice is happening."

Flowers: "My question, again, I understand you're trying... you say you're trying to codify Federal Law."

Demmer: "It's a federal consent decree, so it's from the courts and it's all... it's already current practice in the State of Illinois. This brings..."

Flowers: "What's... what is the law? What is the law? Not the practice."

Demmer: "Right."

Flowers: "Cause' we could change that any time."

Demmer: "Right."

Flowers: "So you're putting this into law, and there lies the difference."

Demmer: "This... this clarifies... so in current law, it's... there's... there... it's not directly addressed."

Flowers: "What is current law?"

Demmer: "It's not directly addressed. And that's where DCFS said, because we don't have..."

Flowers: "No. No. If DCFS takes custody of a child, it is current law that DCFS is responsible. So I'm just trying to figure out what is it that you're trying to do by putting this into law? Because it's already there. And just because... just because DCFS take a child into custody does not mean that that parent's rights have been terminated. You're trying to usurp that parent's rights."

Demmer: "We're looking at a very narrow window here of... of the 48 hours..."

Flowers: "I'm looking at the... No, no, no."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Demmer: "...of up to 48 hours of temporary..."

Flowers: "You can do that..."

Demmer: "...protective custody."

Flowers: "...you can do that now. Right now, if a child is found in the streets, the first thing that will probably happen after the police is called, then DCFS may be called, and the first thing that would happen is that child would be taken either to the hospital or to a police station, period. That will never change. So what is the purpose of this legislation?"

Demmer: "The purpose of this legislation is when that child is taken to the hospital, it clarifies that, yes, DCFS can bring that child in for a medical screening..."

Flowers: "Your... according..."

Demmer: "...within the first 24 hours."

Flowers: "...according to this, it says, shall be deemed a child's legally authorized representative, and that is not so. It cannot... DCFS cannot be deemed a child legal authorized representative until such time he or she goes to court and the court will be the one to sever the relationship after a protocol has been set forth in regards to what a parent is... or can and cannot do."

Demmer: "This does not change how they are deemed a legal representative."

Flowers: "I think..."

Demmer: "It does not change that process."

Flowers: "I would appreciate, Representative, if you would, please, just do me a favor, please. If you would just please take this out of the record. So, you're not going to do that? Okay."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Demmer: "Mary, this doesn't change that process of how that's...
that gets determined. It just simply says that after that
process has been determined, that DCFS may consent to medical
treatment during the terms of temporary protective custody."

Flowers: "According to our analysis, and this is the reason why, Sir, I am asking you, please, to take this out of the record to clear up the confusion. Because my analysis says that House Bill 3119 adds the scenario in which the Department of Children and Family Services shall be deemed a child's legal... legally authorized representative when the child is in temporary custody."

Demmer: "For the purposes..."

Flowers: "And..."

Demmer: "...for the purposes of consenting."

Flowers: "...and... and for the purpose of consenting to an HIV test is deemed necessary..."

Demmer: "That's..."

Flowers: "...to appropriate by DCFS to des... to designate in obtaining disclosure information. Representative..."

Demmer: "That... that... H... I'm sorry."

Flowers: "...please take this Bill out of the record."

Demmer: "Representative, the HIV portion is in existing law. This Bill does not change that. That's... this Bill does not change..."

Flowers: "Under the..."

Demmer: "...that."

Flowers: "Representative, I would appreciate..."

Demmer: "I... I'm sorry. The..."

Flowers: "I would appreciate..."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Demmer: "...the way the Bill, page 35 of this Bill, that... it does not change that portion that you're referring to and it does not change the process that goes... that DCFS goes through to determine temporary protective custody. It simply says that while the child is in temporary protective custody, DCFS may consent to emergency treatment, to medical screenings, and that type of thing during that 48 hour window that they're in temporary protective custody."

Flowers: "Our analysis says that... shall be deemed a child's legally authorized representative when the child is in temporary custody. And that's the reason why, Sir, that is the reason why I'm asking you just give me five minutes, please. Can you just give me five minutes?"

Demmer: "We... we may have five minutes as further debate goes."

Flowers: "Mr... No, no, no. Mr. Speaker, can you give him five minutes, please? Can we just be at ease for five minutes, please?"

Speaker Turner: "No. He hasn't requested."

Flowers: "Okay."

Speaker Turner: "We're... we're continuing with the debate."

Flowers: "Representative, I'm asking for clarity. I'm asking you to help me to help you."

Demmer: "Sure. Page..."

Flowers: "If this is in the best interest of the child..."

Demmer: "It is. And... and page..."

Flowers: "...you would. Well then therefore, you would please give me five minutes."

Demmer: "Sure. I'll give you five minutes."

Flowers: "Thank you."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

- Speaker Turner: "You'd like to remove the Bill from the record, Representative?"
- Demmer: "Yes."
- Speaker Turner: "Mr. Clerk, please remove this Bill from the record. Leader Lang in the Chair."
- Speaker Lang: "House Bill 3624, Mr. Verschoore. Out of the record.

 House Bill 3369, Representative Bellock. Please read the
 Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3369, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this... Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Chair recognizes Mr. DeLuca on a point of personal privilege."
- DeLuca: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, if I could direct your attention to the gallery over here, I have students from Governors State University, which is located in my district in University Park. And they're doing such fine work over there at Governors State. They were just awarded the 2015 ACE/Fidelity Investment Award for Institutional Transformation which came along with a \$10 thousand prize. Please give them a warm Springfield welcome. Thank you."
- Speaker Lang: "Welcome aboard. That was a great introduction, Representative. House Bill 3269, Mr. Poe. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3269, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Poe."

30th Legislative Day

- Poe: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This shows it's a license plates Bill, but this is an old Bill that the Masonic Fund has for Illinois Masonic Charities. All they're doing is opening it up so they can donate money to more of the charities that they support."
- 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Burke, Flowers, Golar, Guzzardi, Jones, Martwick, Mitchell, Zalewski. Representative Flowers, Jones, Mitchell. Please record yourselves. Mr. Jones. Please take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'yes', 3 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Chair recognizes Mr. Davis."
- Davis, W.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. May I have a point of personal privilege?"
- Speaker Lang: "Please proceed, Sir."
- Davis, W.: "I'm not sure if Representative Tryon has done this already. I don't know if you have, but I'm going to do it again. Ladies and Gentlemen, next week, the 21 of April is the second annual bowling fundraiser for the Diabetes Caucus. If you've not signed up, please feel free to do so as quickly as possible. I've got forms. I'm ready. My team Big Strike, the Big Strike, is going to win this year. So I challenge all newcomers to bring it on, get your teams together. So again, Ladies and Gentlemen, support a fantastic cause. I want to commend Representative Tryon on all the work that he's been doing on behalf of the Diabetes Caucus. And again, get your teams together, come out and join us, see if you can beat my

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

team as the best dressed team. Okay. Never mind, I'll leave that alone. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Hope you will join us next week."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Hammond."

- Hammond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to do a follow up on the previous speaker and, yes, I would encourage everyone if they can to come out to the tournament and see the repeat winners of last year's tournament. And as the sponsor of that team, I am honored to be joining Leader Durkin in sponsoring the winner of this year's team."
- Speaker Lang: "Ladies and Gentlemen, we're moving to second priority Bills. So if you have a Bill on second priority, this is your moment in time. We'll start with Second Readings. The first Bill is House Bill 2745, Mr. Andersson. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2745, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3884, Mr. Anthony. Mr. Anthony. Out of the record. House Bill 218, Representative Cassidy. Representative Cassidy. Out of the record. House Bill 4105, Representative Cloonen. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4105, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 4025, Representative Conroy. Out of the record. House Bill 2580, Mr. Costello. Out

30th Legislative Day

- of the record. House Bill 3932, Leader Currie. Out of the record. House Bill 3523, Mr. Brown. Mr. Brown. Out of the record. House Bill 3797, Representative Bryant. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3797, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments have been approved for consideration. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 2542, Representative Monique Davis. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2542, a Bill for an Act concerning regulations. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 3485, Representative Will Davis. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3485, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 2547, Mr. Demmer. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2547, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3750, Mr. Frese. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3750, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment

30th Legislative Day

- #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 2462, Mr. Greg Harris.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2462, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 4097, Mr. Kay. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4097, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3103, Representative Kifowit. Representative Kifowit. Out of the record. House Bill 3983, Representative McDermed. Read the Bill, please."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3983, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3882, Mr. Moffitt. Mr. Moffitt. Out of the record. House Bill 3359, Mr. Moylan. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3359, a Bill for an Act concerning safety.

 Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 1380, Mr. Phelps. Mr. Phelps. Out of the record. Oh, he's here in the nick of time. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

30th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1380, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Returning to House Bill 3882, Mr. Moffitt. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3882, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3332, Mr. Rita. Mr. Rita. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3332, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3764, Representative Scherer. Representative Scherer. Out of the record. House Bill 37... Representative has appeared. Please read House Bill 3764."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3764, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Scherer, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Scherer on your Amendment. No running, but hurry."
- Scherer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I simply ask that we file the Amendment. We've worked with CMS and this is the best we could come up with."
 - Speaker Lang: "Representative, do you have a Floor Amendment... do you have a Committee Amendment... a Floor Amendment too in

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

committee. Are you intending to run that? It's still in Rules."

Scherer: "Yes, if I can."

Speaker Lang: "Do you want to adopt Floor Amendment 1 now?"

Scherer: "Yes."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the... excuse me. Mr. Sandack."

Sandack: "Yeah. Will the... thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Lady yields."

Sandack: "Thank you. Representative Scherer, there's a... an Amendment in Rules, right now?"

Scherer: "We've worked with CMS and it's been a long journey, but what we have finally come up with is this final Amendment and I would just like to move it along so that we can discuss it on Third."

Sandack: "Okay. But my analysis says you agreed to hold the Bill until the Amendment comes and I don't know what the Amendment is and there's an Amendment further to come. And so I'm wondering why you're moving anything at this juncture until there's a... a release from Rules."

Speaker Lang: "I..."

Scherer: "Can I adopt it?"

Speaker Lang: "I believe that... I..."

Scherer: "Would you be agreeable with that?"

Sandack: "Does 2 become the ... "

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sandack, the Lady intends to hold her Bill on Second. She just wants to adopt this Amendment and then hold it on Second."

Sandack: "And then that becomes the Bill, essentially, the Second..."

30th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Until the other Amendment comes out of Rules." Sandack: "Thank you."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments have been approved for consideration. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Please hold this Bill on the Order of Second Reading. House Bill 3785, Representative Williams. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3785, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Moving to Third Readings. House Bill 2763, Mr. Burke. Mr. Burke. Out of the record. House Bill 1531, Representative Kelly Burke. Out of the record. House Bill 3137, Mr. Brady. Mr. Brady. Out of the record. House Bill 1530, Mr. Dunkin. Mr. Dunkin. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1530, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Dunkin."
- Dunkin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 1530 simply allows for temporary shelters to come up with a plan of execution when they have young people in their custody and not to let them wander off into the neighborhood or the streets. And it's been an... it's an agreed Bill and I would ask my colleagues for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Williams. Please take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3237, Representative Feigenholtz. Out of the record. House Bill 163, Representative Flowers. Please read the Bill."

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 163, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Flowers."
- Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 163 merely says that the State Board of Education cannot have separate performance standards for education based on race. And I urge an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Lady moves for the passage of the Bill. There being no debate, those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Brady, Zalewski. Mr. Brady. Please take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Going back to one Bill in the Order of Second Reading, there appears House Bill 3884, Mr. Anthony. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3884, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3158, Representative Gabel. Representative Gabel. Out of the record. House Bill 439, Mr. Hoffman. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 439, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is now an agreed to Bill. Essentially, what it would do is raise the amount of insurance that would be needed for a common carrier, a common... or a crew carrier, and that is individuals who transport railroad workers after they're done with their... their railroad duties back to where they may have started or to their place of origin. Now, it's an agreed Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Sandack: "Jay, I know you said it's an agreed Bill, so that means the Chamber is now on board and the other business groups that originally opposed your Bill are now in agreement with the new language?"

Hoffman: "I think the... the leading opponent was the Railroad Association..."

Sandack: "Right."

Hoffman: "...and they are now on board, they..."

Sandack: "So..."

Hoffman: "...agreed to the Amendment. So, I'm not..."

Sandack: "And there's no known opponents now?"

30th Legislative Day

- Hoffman: "I don't believe there are 'cause the Railroad Association was the… really the opponents and the rest just came on board,
 I believe, whenever the Railroad Association came on board."

 Sandack: "Very good. Thank you."
- 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves, Members. Leitch, Wallace. Leitch. Please take the record. On this question, there are 108 voting 'yes', 4 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1446, Representative Nekritz. Out of the record. House Bill 3434, Representative Willis. Representative Willis. Representative Willis. Representative."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3434, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Willis."
- Willis: "Actually, we need to turn this Bill back to Second Reading. I have an Amendment that I just placed in today, please."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Clerk, please place this Bill on the Order of Second Reading at the request of the Sponsor. House Bill 3753, Mr. Leitch. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3753, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Leitch."
- Leitch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. This Bill authorizes the Department of Aging to have a grant program that... for those facilities that would integrate mental health

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

services with their primary care. And I would ask for your support."

Speaker Lang: "Chair recognizes Mr. Davis."

Davis, W.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman yields."

Davis, W.: "Representative, are these competitive grants?"

Leitch: "They would be. At the moment, though, I should point out that this Bill is subject to appropriation. So it may be a while before the... any money would be released. But yes, the Department of Aging would be in charge of administering a competitive grant program."

Davis, W.: "Have you had any conversation with the administration about this program? Because right now, the Governor has sent out a bunch of letters suspending a number of agencies that were due grants that were administered... that were vetted appropriately. Agencies thought they were going to receive this money, but they have not because the Governor has sent out a number of suspension letters. So, in regards to that, have you had a conversation with the administration? Is this program going to be exempt from these..."

Leitch: "No."

Davis, W.: "...kind of actions from the administration? Or exactly what's going to happen here?"

Leitch: "I... I certainly share your concerns about what you just described, but this Bill would be subject to appropriation. So, given our financial circumstances right now, it's probably unlikely that it would be funded at this time."

Davis, W.: "Well, you said unlikely, but the Governor could, if he wanted to, decide to appropriate... well, I won't say

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

appropriate 'cause that's our job, but he could say I'd like to put money behind this program, could he not? Could he direct any of the budgetary allocations that would go to the Department of Aging and say to the director, you know what, director, I like this program. I think you should put some money behind it. Would he be able to do that?"

Leitch: "I think it would be handled in... in the way any conventional grant program is through the agencies. There'd be a set of criteria, there'd be applications, and a pro... a process whereby they would do it."

Davis, W.: "No, no. That's not what I'm suggesting."

Leitch: "I have not talked to the Governor about it. I don't know..."

Davis, W.: "I'm not... I'm not..."

Leitch: "...in this environment."

Davis, W.: "...suggesting process. We're talking about money. You said subject to appropriation."

Leitch: "Right. Which... it's..."

Davis, W.: "So if, when we go through our budgetary process, the Department of Aging will receive some money, if you will, through our process. Does that mean that the Governor can tell the director of that agency to say, yes, I like that program, we should put money behind that program?"

Leitch: "Theoretically, but I think highly unlikely."

Davis, W.: "You would what?"

Leitch: "Typ... I said theoretically, but highly unlikely.

Typically, in this chamber, a Bill that's subject to appropriation and is passed, in JCAR, we don't even see the Rules 'cause the agencies don't even bother to do the Rules.

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

So I think we're a long way from your concerns, but I respect your concerns."

Davis, W.: "Well, I appreciate that. Very briefly, to the Bill. Of course we've seen the actions of the Governor as it relates to grant programs. There's no indication whether or not he would do the same to this one or not, but my guess would be that, because the program is coming from his side of the aisle, he might be more inclined to support funding that grant program versus some of the other grant programs that we feel are essential and necessary to our communities, as well. I won't necessarily say vote 'no' because I can appreciate what the Member is doing. But the idea that the Governor may have that kind of discretion is a little problematic for me. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Leitch to close, briefly."

Leitch: "I'd ask for an 'aye' vote."

'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Bradley, Chapa LaVia, Reaves-Harris, Tryon. Please take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 110 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3137, Mr. Brady. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3137, a Bill for an Act concerning eye care coverage. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Brady."

Brady: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3137 seeks to provide that insurance

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

plans providing coverage for prescription topical eye medication shall not deny coverage for refilling a prescription when certain conditions are met. Basically, what the Bill does is that when individuals use their allotment of eye medication for drops, many times, especially seniors, do more than just a couple drops and... and don't get them in the eyes. Insurance wise, they have problems getting them filled within a 30-day period so they can stay current with the prescription. This would just simply say that it would not be that barrier that's presently there and that would keep them in the medication with the eye drops that they need. I'm not aware of any opposition to the Bill and I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Franks."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman yields."

Franks: "Representative, why do we need this Bill?"

Brady: "I'm sorry, Representative. I didn't hear what you said."

Franks: "I'm not sure why we need this Bill."

Brady: "The reason we need the Bill, besides the fact that the Illinois Society of Eye Physicians and Surgeons brought the initiative to me, but oftentimes there is the delay with insurance medication... or insurance that has covered this eye drop medication, and the individuals run out sooner because they are not maybe getting exactly two drops in or something along those lines."

Franks: "No. But that's not going to help the insurance portion of them getting reimbursed. I mean, right now, I just read a report this morning, we're 350 days behind paying our medical

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

providers if you have QCHP Insurance and you're an employee of the State of Illinois. So, I don't see how this helps someone get paid."

Brady: "It's not so much of helping someone get paid, it's helping them make sure that they don't have to wait for another 30-day cycle to get their medication refilled for the eye drops."

Franks: "Well, why don't we say that for all medication if you need it. I'm just wondering why we're... obviously, it's much cheaper to fill prescriptions than it is to go to the emergency room. Why are we choosing and picking and choosing which medication should be filled when it would be my argument that all medications ought to be filled because it..."

Brady: "Well, that..."

Franks: "...makes the most sense."

Brady: "Sure. Well, that could be a piece of legislation you might want to work on, Representative. This particular piece of legislation though is specific to eye... eye drops or eye topical medication."

Franks: "Yeah, I understand. I think... I think it's bad policy to be picking and choosing which ailments are going to get prescriptions, because the problem with that, if we do that, it's saying that the other ones shouldn't get it or there's no requirement. And that's what I'm concerned that if we pass a Bill like this, it gives insurance companies the ability do... to deny the filling of other prescriptions."

Brady: "Well, Representative, I went to great lengths, in fact, to work with insurance on this particular piece of leg... legislation to find where we could come up with common ground.

And we did that, obviously, with this particular piece of

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

legislation because I'm not aware of any opponents. I certainly can appreciate your passion and you concerns, but in particular to House Bill 3137 that's not what I'm seeking to do with the le... with the legislation."

Franks: "Well, I'm just concerned that it might set us back. Years ago, I chaired the Prescription Drug Task Force. We passed the Bill for the Senior Citizen's Prescription Drug Discount Act. I've been very involved in prescription issues. I'm concerned though if we pick one that we're hurting others. I could tell you one thing we did years ago were people who had asthma is we required insurance companies to provide more than one inhaler so folks could, you know, fill mul... fill a couple of the prescriptions at once, because sometimes when you're traveling you may not have your... your albuterol inhaler or whatever it might be. I think a better way to look at this is to allow for multiple pres... have a couple of them filled at once, if you're concerned and have the refills... maybe do the refills upfront, especially on a chronic issue like this and perhaps give them two or maybe three refills at once instead of doing it this way. 'Cause if you do it this way, I think what you're doing is providing coverage for the insurance companies to deny people to get the medications they need. I think a better approach would be to have multiple refills at once and I think that might be something you'd be willing to move to Second and we could... we've got weeks to do this, and perhaps amend it that way. You'd still get to the same conclusion. People would get their prescriptions and we would not allow the insurance companies to discriminate."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Brady: "I... I appreciate those concerns and comments and,
Representative, what I'll be happy to do is meet you half
way. If the Bill passes out of the chamber, we'll look at the
Amendment in the Senate."

Franks: "That's fine. I appreciate that. I just think there's a..."
Brady: "Sure."

Franks: "...I understand what you're trying to do. I just want to make sure everybody gets their prescriptions and I just don't want to give insurance companies an out so they don't have to give the medicines that people desperately need."

Brady: "Thank you very much. I appreciate those comments."

Franks: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Kay."

Kay: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Kay: "Representative Brady, it's my understanding in committee that you are looking at a real need here that has to do with the elderly and specifically, the elderly have a real hard time seeing. Is that not correct in many cases?"

Brady: "That was brought out in committee. That's correct, Representative."

Kay: "Yeah, it was brought out several times by individuals, providers, in fact, the insurance companies themselves brought out the fact that many times people can't see well enough to get the drops in their eyes and they waste a lot."

Brady: "Correct."

Kay: "So considering that fact, we're not talking about the need to give medications to everybody when they run out or lose it because people like yourself and myself are a little more

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

responsible. This is a direct need that the elderly have in Illinois and you're trying to address that need. Is that correct?"

Brady: "Yes."

Kay: "Okay."

Brady: "That's correct."

Kay: "I'm going to... I'm going to support your Bill. I think it's
 something that's well intended and definitely needed. Thank
 you, Representative."

Brady: "Thank you very much, Representative."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Brady to briefly close."

Brady: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Thank you for the comments of my colleagues. I ask for your 'yes' vote on House Bill 3137."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Breen, Feigenholtz. Mr. Breen. Mr. Brady, would you like to vote for your Bill? Thank you. Mr. Brady. Mr. Brady. Thank you. On this question... Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3158, Representative Gabel. Please read the Bill. I understand you want to move this to... back to Second Reading, Representative? Clerk tells us you have an Amendment."

Gabel: "Is it already? Sorry, I thought it was already adopted.

Okay. Yes, I'd like to move this back to Second."

30th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "At the request of the Sponsor, Mr. Clerk, please put this Bill on the Order of Second Reading. And please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3158, a Bill for an Act concerning health. This Bill was read a second time on a previous day. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Gabel, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Gabel."
- Gabel: "This Amendment simply changes the language from 'shall' to
 'may'."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments and no Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3103, Representative Kifowit. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3103, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Kifowit, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Kifowit."
- Kifowit: "Thank you. The Floor Amendment #3 just made a quick two changes to clarify some language with regards to the refund policy."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the..."
- Kifowit: "I ask for the adoptment."
- Speaker Lang: "...Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments and no Motions are filed."

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 1446, Representative

Nekritz. Representative Nekritz. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1446, a Bill for an Act concerning

transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Nekritz."

Nekritz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to start out my remarks by indicating that the Mothers Against Drunk Driving is for this Bill. This is a Bill that's been before this chamber before when we have attempted to give... this is... this is about redemption for those who actually do turn their lives around after having a problem with alcohol. Currently, in Illinois if you have four or more DUIs you cannot never drive again legally. The fact is that these people are driving, but they're just not driving legally. And this would allow them to... after five years after the completion of their last sentence, they would be able to go before the Secretary of State's administrative hearing procedure process, present evidence, convincing evidence that they have been alcohol free for three years, agree to drive with the interlock ignition device for the rest of their lives at their expense, and if they are successful in all that, the Secretary of State could give a restricted driver's permit. So again, the Mothers Against Drunk Driving is for this piece of legislation. I had... had the opportunity to work through this with Leader Durkin. And I believe that he is on board with that as well. So I'd ask for your support."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Sandack: "Elaine, let's kind of just walk through this a little bit so that it's clear what this Bill does and what it doesn't do."

Nekritz: "That'd be great."

Sandack: "So currently, the law as it exists right now, if someone is a five time DUI convicted offender, does she or he ever have the ability, as the law exists right now, to obtain a driver's license?"

Nekritz: "No, and not only could they not get a driver's license, they could not even get a restricted driver's permit."

Sandack: "And that would permit them to work, drop kids off at school, and live a life at least restricted so that there was some mobility."

Nekritz: "Correct."

Sandack: "And there's no ability right now to do so."

Nekritz: "Correct."

Sandack: "So under your Bill, after being convicted five times, how many years of proven sobriety..."

Nekritz: "Representative, I think it's four times."

Sandack: "Four, excuse me."

Nekritz: "It's four or more. Yes."

Sandack: "Thank you, Representative."

Nekritz: "Yeah."

Sandack: "After four, how many years of sobriety must be essentially established before you can even apply?"

Nekritz: "So, you have to... would have to wait until five... five years after the completion of your last sentence. And the evidence that you would have to submit to the Secretary of

30th Legislative Day

- State would have to demonstrate that you've been alcohol free for three years."
- Sandack: "And it's just a reb... I mean, it's an opportunity to get
 a restricted license. It doesn't mean you can get it,
 current?"
- Nekritz: "No, and I would think that there... the pool of people that would be eligible for this... for the... the pool of people that could actually demonstrate that they'd been alcohol free would be... there'd be a big difference between those two."
- Sandack: "And you mentioned it before, and it's worth reiterating, obviously, some of the strongest advocates for alleviating and fighting drunk driving and making safe roads are on board with your proposal."
- Nekritz: "That's... that's correct. The Mothers Against Drunk
 Driving put in a support slip in committee. They were actually
 there in committee and reiterated to me after committee that
 they do support it."
- Sandack: "And last question, Representative, thank you. Obviously, if we don't change anything, we're going to have people on the road that shouldn't be on the road."
- Nekritz: "That's correct, and we have those people today."
- Sandack: "And... so obviously, the idea is to get people who are rehabilitated, who have paid their debt to society, have become sober, and now want to get a restricted driving permit for purposes of limited driving if they qualified and if the Secretary of State says they qualify."
- Nekritz: "All that is correct, yes."
- Sandack: "And I actually forgot one thing, Representative. The...
 the driving mechanism, so you can use the ignition, there is

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

basically a prohibitive... you blow into the restrictor in order to show you're sober before you can even start the car."

Nekritz: "I... I couldn't quite hear you, Representative."

Sandack: "The... the device on the car, in order to start the car going forward for these people would require... one of those BAIID situations where they have to blow into and actually show they're sober."

Nekritz: "They do. And the BAIID devices have... the technology has advanced considerably since I think we first enacted BAIID Legislation. There's now a camera that takes a picture of you and the... you have to even blow periodically while you're driving to make sure that you didn't start the car and then start drinking."

Sandack: "Thank you, Representative. To the Bill. Obviously, this is somewhat controversial. Obviously, people should think it through if they should support this proposition, but I think this does everything the right way and it continues to promote safe driving and it has the support of those advocates who strongly fight day in day out drunken drivin... driving. They do not necessarily sign on to legislation willy-nilly. Indeed this has been vetted and I think it's the prudent course of conduct. I think everyone deserves actually one final chance and this is something that's almost fail-safe. Sure, anything could be... any human endeavor could... could prove a problem, but this has all the right screens and all the right mechanisms and promotes good policy. I urge a 'yes' vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Ives."

Ives: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Ives: "Let's say that a driver is allowed to go ahead and drive using the device to see if they're sober or not, yet they drive in a car that doesn't have the device, I mean, they offend one more time and they get caught for the fifth time. What then? What then happens? I mean, are we going to come back and say, well, now if it's your fifth time. I mean, I'm just wondering, is there really a stop point where you're just..."

Nekritz: "Representative, I think there's... there's two stop points here. One is the Secretary of State's Office that is vetting these individuals before they would be eligible for the restricted driver's permit. I don't think that, you know, that they have a... they're doing this now for offenders who are on their second and third DUI. So this is something they have experience with and I don't think they're willy-nilly, you know, taking people at their word without some real proof that, you know, that... that the BAIID device is working or that they have... you know, are worthy of getting a restricted driver's permit. And secondly, I would say if, you know, I believe the legislation says that if they... if they screw up that one last time, they're never eligible for this again."

Ives: "Okay. And do you know... the Secretary of State's Office, and what they're doing right now, do you know... like let's say they have a hundred people submit for the program and they... 50 percent of them get the program or 50 percent are denied or... what's their rate of approval?"

Nekritz: "I... for those that are appearing before them on their second and third DUIs, I don't know those rates. Obviously,

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

those that are... that would qualify under this legislation there's no record because there is no eligibility."

Ives: "Right. Well... right. Right. I'm just wondering what their
 past performances... I mean, are we... do we know truly that
 they're being discriminating?"

Nekritz: "I... I assume that those hearing office... I... I'm assuming that Secretary White is pretty careful with this. This is not a blot he wants on his record either."

Ives: "Well, okay. Do you know... all right, do you have different data on real offenders after being put in after the second and third DUI? Do you have data on reoffenders who have been offered this?"

Nekritz: "I... I apologize, Representative, I do not."

Ives: "Oh, okay. Well, I just... I think it adds some context to the discussion if we knew that they were being diligent with the review process with the second and third DUIs. I would just like to have some of that data behind me before I willy-nilly approve this and just say, well, I trust the Secretary of State's Office to vet this. It would be nice to have some statistics about that vetting process is all I'm asking for."

Nekritz: "Okay. Well, Representative, the... the individual who is here from the Secretary of State's Office would be happy to get that. I just don't have that right now."

Ives: "Okay. Thank you."

Nekritz: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Harris."

Harris, D.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

30th Legislative Day

- Harris, D.: "Representative, is this exactly the same Bill that you had in previous years?"
- Nekritz: "Yes, it is."
- Harris, D.: "Okay. I noticed that the Mothers Against Drunk Driving were in opposition to the Bill last year, but they're in support of the Bill this year. Was there anything that... that changed for them that made them supporters this year versus opponents last year?"
- Nekritz: "Well, I... I think I might be putting words in their mouth and I want to be cautious about doing that, but I... they had been for it before they were against it last year, and I think that they're... I'm assuming they just had a... a more extensive discussion about their position on it this year. I do think that, you know, the motivation for getting behind it is that they and we all know that these individuals are out there driving because they have to get somewhere. And so, we would rather have them be licensed and be... and be safe and insured as opposed to not."
- Harris, D.: "And the restricted driving permit, an individual who has a restricted driving permit, that limits an individual to driving in certain locations or... or is it simply that it's a hardship for them overall that they don't have a... a restricted driving permit?"
- Nekritz: "It... it restricts them as to the time of day that they can drive and it's the locations they can drive and that is part of the hearing process. It's determined by the Secretary of State as to what that individual's requirements would be. So, if they don't have kids, obviously, they don't have to drive to school to pick kids up. If they... and if they're

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

working, then they would be able to drive to work. But those are part... that's part of the decision that's made by the Secretary of State when issuing the restricted driver's permit."

Harris, D.: "Well, I commend you for your tenacity on this Bill.

I know it's been here several times before. And I thank you for answering my questions."

Nekritz: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Nekritz to close."

Nekritz: "Again, I would just like to, for the umpteenth time, reiterate that this is supported by the Mothers Against Drunk Driving. This is about redemption and an opportunity for those individuals in the State of Illinois to... who have truly turned their lives around to have an opportunity to be contributing citizens. And I ask for your support."

'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Davis, Kifowit, McAsey, Meier, Phelps. Kifowit, McAsey. Please take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 65 voting 'yes', 47 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3898, Mr. Martwick. Out of the record. House Bill 3624, Mr. Verschoore. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3624, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Verschoore."

Verschoore: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. All this is is a Bill that extends the time for the fast-track that... to work with the

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Federal Government. It moves it from 2014 to 2019. It's just kind of a cleanup language."

'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Breen, Butler, Fortner. Breen, Fortner. Please take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Martwick, do you wish to run that Bill? Mr. Martwick on House Bill 3898. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3898, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Martwick."

Martwick: "No, I'm sorry. Would you move it back to Second for me, please?"

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Clerk, please put this Bill on the Order of Second for... for... at the request of the Sponsor. Is there a pending Amendment, Sir? Is it... All right. The Bill will be held on the Order of Second Reading pending your Amendment. Chair recognizes Mr. Brady on a point of personal privilege. The Body will rise."

Brady: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In the early mornings... hours of April 7, my area, and especially the Illinois State University family, suffered a devastating loss when seven individuals from my district who were friends lost their lives when their plane, which they were returning on from the NCAA National Championship basketball game, crashed just outside of the Bloomington

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Airport. Killed were Thomas Hileman, Aaron Leetch, who was the assistant athletic director at Illinois State University, Torrey Ward, who was the assistant basketball coach at Illinois State University, Andy Butler, Jason Jones, Terry Stralow, and Scott Bittner. All were strong supporters of Illinois State University and its athletic programs. All leave behind spouses, or fiancés, or children, as well as many other families. At Red Bird Arena on the campus of Illinois State University, there's a room called the Legends Room. These gentlemen are all legends for their service and support to Illinois State University. Their passion for Illinois State University and its athletic programs will be the beacon that helps to heal so many who are grieving and help all of us who knew them to continue the work and support for a college and a community who love them and miss them dearly. Please join me in a moment of silence in their memories. Thank you very much."

- Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Brady. Mr. Clerk, Adjournment Resolution."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Joint Resolution 64, offered by Representative Currie.
 - RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE NINETY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE SENATE CONCURRING HEREIN, that when the House of Representatives adjourns on Friday, April 17, 2015, it stands adjourned until Monday, April 20, 2015 at 12:00 o'clock noon, or until the call of the Speaker and when the Senate adjourns on Thursday, April 16, 2015, it stands adjourned until Tuesday, April 21, 2015, or until the call of the President."

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Speaker Lang: "Leader Turner moves for the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. They 'ayes' have it. And the Adjournment Resolution is adopted. Mr. Clerk, Agreed Resolutions."

Hollman: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 2... Clerk Correction. House Resolution 323, offered by Representative Brady. House Resolution 325, offered by Representative Sente. House Resolution 327, offered by Representative Daniel Burke. House Resolution 330, offered by Representative Sims. House Resolution 331, offered by Representative Conroy. House Resolution 332, offered by Representative Yingling. House Resolution 334, offered by Representative Keith Wheeler. House Resolution 336, offered by Representative Bourne. House Resolution 337, offered by Representative Gordon-Booth. House Resolution 339, offered by Representative Anthony. House Resolution 340, offered by Representative Turner. Resolution 341, offered by Representative Cloonen. House Resolution 343, offered by Representative Gabel. House Resolution 345, offered by Representative Anthony. House Resolution 346, offered by Representative Costello. House Resolution 347, offered by Representative Sommer. House Resolution 348, offered by Representative Manley. House Resolution 349, offered by Representative Unes. House Resolution 350, offered by Representative Gordon-Booth. House Resolution 352, offered by Representative Madigan. House Resolution 353, offered by Representative Cavaletto. House Resolution 354, offered by Representative Meier. House Resolution 355, offered by Representative Sandack. House Resolution 356, offered by Representative Scherer. House

30th Legislative Day

- Resolution 357, offered by Representative Riley. House Joint Resolution 63, offered by Representative Durkin."
- Speaker Lang: "Leader Currie moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Mr. Clerk, returning to House Bill 3932, on the Order of Second Reading, Leader Currie. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3932, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Please hold this Bill on the Order of Second Reading. Chair recognizes Representative Chapa LaVia."
- Chapa LaVia: "Thank... thank you, Speaker, and my, you look amazing today. I just wanted to let everybody know, in the Republican annex we have our next State Fire Marshal, Mr. Matt Pat... Matt Perez. He hasn't been confirmed, that's next week, but if anybody would like to meet him, he's in the Republican side annex. And if we could welcome him to the State of Illinois."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Clerk, Rules Committee Report. Chair... Clerk does not wish to speak at this time. Mr. Sandack is recognized."
- Sandack: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise on a point of personal privilege. May I make an introduction, please?"
- Speaker Lang: "You're acknowledged, Sir."
- Sandack: "I've got some friends over here. My friend Robert Spadoni and some friends from Catholic Charities are here. They do wonderful work and I know they have an event, so I'd like to

30th Legislative Day

- acknowledge them and hopefully welcome them to our Capitol, if they'd rise."
- Speaker Lang: "Welcome. Glad you're here with us. Mr. Brady is recognized."
- Brady: "Thank you very... thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I would like to, on a point of personal privilege, as well, say thank you and introduce to the Illinois House of Representatives my Page for the day, Carter Fairfield, who did an outstanding job. How about a nice round of applause for Carter."
- Speaker Lang: "Hope you made out really well today. Thank you. Mr. Clerk, committee announcements."
- Clerk Hollman: "The following committees are meeting at 4:00 this afternoon. Meeting at 4:00 is Appropriations-Elementary & Secondary in Room 118, Judiciary-Civil in D-1, Environment in 413, Health Care Licenses in 122, Human Services in C-1, and Transportation: Vehicles & Safety in 115. Meeting at 4:30 is Agriculture & Conservation in D-1, Business Occupational Licenses in 413, Cities & Villages in 122, Veterans' Affairs in 114, and Counties & Townships in Room 115."
- Speaker Lang: "Members, the Chair would like to thank you and congratulate you for good work today. We got through everybody's first two priorities today, so thank you very much. And with that, Leader Currie moves that allowing perfunctory time for the Clerk, the House stand adjourned until Tuesday, April 15 at the hour of 11 a.m. Okay. Let's start over. Leader Currie moves that the House stand adjourned, allowing perfunctory time for the Clerk, to Wednesday, April 15 at the hour of 11 a.m. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the House stands

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

adjourned 'til tomorrow, Wednesday, April 15 at the hour of 11 a.m."

Clerk Hollman: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order. Introduction and First Reading of House Bills. House Bill 4198, offered by Representative Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 4199, offered Smiddy, a Bill for an Act concerning Representative appropriations. House Bill 4200, offered by Representative Zalewski, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. First Reading of these House Bills. First Reading of Senate Bills. Senate Bill 26, offered by Representative Sente, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 36, offered by Representative Franks, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 38, offered by Representative Wheeler, Barbara, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. Senate Bill 42, offered by Representative Zalewski, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 49, offered Representative Butler, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Senate Bill 86, offered by Representative Franks, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 87, offered by Representative Franks, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 94, offered by Representative Mautino, a Bill for an Act concerning insurance. Senate Bill 96, offered by Representative Walsh, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 107, offered Representative Conroy, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 650, offered by Representative Hoffman, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 663, offered by Representative Bennett, a Bill for an Act

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

concerning government. Senate Bill 665, offered by Representative Currie, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 672, offered by Representative Beiser, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 674, offered by Representative Beiser, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Senate Bill 679, offered by Representative Williams, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Senate Bill 689, offered by Representative Wehrli, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Senate Bill 706, offered by Representative Fine, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 731, offered by Representative Guzzardi, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 735, offered Representative Lang, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 748, offered by Representative Brown, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 749, offered by Representative Rita, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 751, offered by Representative Thapedi, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 788, offered by Representative Harris, Greg, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Senate Bill 791, offered by Representative Sullivan, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 792, offered by Representative Zalewski, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 804, offered by Representative Moffitt, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 807, offered by Representative Nekritz, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 813, offered by Representative Costello, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. Senate Bill 816, offered by Representative Franks, a Bill for an Act concerning local

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

government. Senate Bill 818, offered by Representative Guzzardi, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 833, offered by Representative Williams, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 834, offered by Representative Fine, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Senate Bill 837, offered by Representative Zalewski, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 838, offered by Representative Sosnowski, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 842, offered by Representative Zalewski, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Senate Bill 1205, offered by Representative Zalewski, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1246, offered by Representative Turner, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Senate Bill 1249, offered by Representative Gabel, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1253, offered by Representative Soto, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Senate Bill 1265, offered by Representative Nekritz, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. Senate Bill 1298, offered Representative Costello, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1319, offered by Representative Chapa LaVia, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 1335, offered by Representative Welch, a Bill for an Act concerning children. Senate Bill 1360, offered Representative Sims, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Senate Bill 1374, offered by Representative Burke, Kelly, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 1426, offered by Representative Mitchell, Christian, a Bill for an Act concerning the lottery. Senate Bill 1440, offered by

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

Representative Rita, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1444, offered by Representative Kifowit, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Senate Bill 1457, offered by Representative Chapa LaVia, a Bill for an Act concerning education. First Reading of these Senate Bills. Introduction of Senate Joint Resolution #16, offered by Representative Golar. This has been referred to the Rules Committee. Introduction and First Reading of House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #35, offered by Representative Durkin.

RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE NINETY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE SENATE CONCURRING HEREIN, That there shall be submitted to the electors of the State for adoption or rejection at the general election next occurring at least 6 months after the adoption of this resolution a proposition to add Section 13 to Article VII of the Illinois Constitution as follows:

ARTICLE VII

LOCAL GOVERNMENT

SECTION 13. UNFUNDED MANDATES

(a) If the General Assembly or any State executive action requires a unit of local government to establish, expand, or modify its activities in such a way as to necessitate additional expenditures of revenue by the unit of local government, then the State must provide funds to reimburse the unit of local government for the costs necessary to carry out the mandated requirement, except that the General Assembly may, but need not, provide funds for the following mandates:

30th Legislative Day

4/14/2015

- (1) legislatively mandated requirements requested by the unit of local government affected; and
- (2) legislatively mandated requirements that became effective before the effective date of this Section or State executive actions initially implementing legislation that became effective before the effective date of this Section.
- (b) A mandated requirement that is not funded is not enforceable while not funded unless the bill implementing the mandated requirement passed with the concurrence of at least three-fifths of the members elected to each house of the General Assembly and specifically states that it is a non-reimbursable mandate under this subsection.

SCHEDULE

This Constitutional Amendment takes effect upon being declared adopted in accordance with Section 7 of the Illinois Constitutional Amendment Act. This was First Reading in full of House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #35. There being no further business, the House Perfunctory Session will stand adjourned."