135th Legislative Day

- Clerk Hollman: House Perfunctory Session will come to order. Committee Reports. Representative Barbara Flynn Currie, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules reports the following committee action taken on May 21, 2014: recommends be adopted for the floor is Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 2801 and Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 3038."
- Speaker Turner: "Ladies and Gentlemen, Members are asked to be at their seats. We shall be led in prayer today by Reverend Greg Breeden who is with the Shelbyville Church of the Nazarene in Shelbyville, Illinois. Reverend Breeden is the guest of Representative Halbrook. Members and guests are asked to refrain from starting their laptops, turn off all cell phones and rise for the invocation and Pledge of Allegiance."
- Reverend Breeden: "Would you pray with me. Heavenly Father, we thank You for the days You give us, we thank You for this day, it's a beautiful day outside and we have light, and it's here for a while. We have opportunities and I pray that You bless us today as we explore the opportunities You give us. Would You help us to be pleasing in Your sight and be pleasing to our constituents. Would You bless this state, Lord, and bless these Representatives. I ask, Lord, that we would act justly and that we would love mercy, and we'd walk humbly before You. Pour out Your blessings on us. We ask this in Your name, Jesus, Amen."
- Speaker Turner: "We shall be led in the Pledge of Allegiance today by Representative Halbrook."
- Halbrook et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands,

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker Turner: "Roll Call for Attendance. Leader Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. Please let the record show that Representative Hernandez is excused today."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Bost."

Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let the record reflect that Representative Schmitz and Sosnowski, if you can say that, are excused today on the Republican side of the aisle."

Speaker Turner: "Clerk, please take the record. With a count of 115 Members present, a quorum is established. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "Committee Reports. Representative Rita, Chairperson from the Committee on Business & Occupational Licenses reports the following committee action taken on May 21, 2014: recommends be adopted Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1778, Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1778 and Floor Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1778. Representative Chapa LaVia, Chairperson from the Committee of Veterans' Affairs reports the following committee action taken on May 21, 2014: recommends be adopted Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3835 and Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 3222. Introduction of Resolutions. House Resolution 1121, offered by Representative Ford; House Resolution 1122, offered by Representative Nekritz; and House Resolution 1130, offered by Representative Ives."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Martwick, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Martwick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise on a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed, Representative."

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

Martwick: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, joining me today on the floor, I have a couple of special guests. Standing directly to my right is my mother, Gloria Martwick and I'm also joined by my niece Cecilia Budzynski. Would you please join me in giving them a warm Springfield welcome."

Speaker Turner: "Welcome to your Capitol. Representative Cassidy, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Cassidy: "Point of person privilege."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed."

Cassidy: "I want to welcome my all-star intern Dan Huspek and his mom Angela who are in the gallery today visiting Springfield."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you and welcome to your Capitol.

Representative Sommer, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Sommer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A point of personal privilege."

Speaker Turner: "Please state your point."

Sommer: "I'd like the chamber to welcome several guests standing up beside... behind me. My district secretary Anne Armitage, my intern Jacob Brisbin, and more importantly Anne's daughter Haley Armitage who has graduated from Morton High School just a few days ago and is now a member of the Illinois Army National Guard. Thank you, Haley."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you, welcome to your Capitol.

Representative Phelps, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Phelps: "Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed."

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Just help me welcome my Page today Lucas Chittick to

Springfield. Welcome Lucas, glad you're here."

Speaker Turner: "Welcome to your Capitol. Representative D'Amico, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

D'Amico: "Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Turner: "State your point."

D'Amico: "I just want to let everybody know that tonight is the annual House/Senate softball game, it's over at Lincoln Park.

Try to get there about 5:00, and our jerseys are in the back so go on back there and grab one for the game tonight, and hope everybody comes out and has a good time tonight. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you, Representative. Go house.

Representative David Harris, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Harris, D.: "Point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Turner: "Please state your point, Sir."

Harris, D.: "Thank you very much. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm very pleased to announce today that I have my Page here from my district, Lizzy Ferrazza who's a 4th grader and up in the gallery is her mother, Angela. So let's give them a nice Springfield welcome."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you. Welcome to your Capitol.

Representative Moffitt, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Moffitt: "Point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Turner: "Please state your point, Sir."

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

Moffitt: "After you've been to the ballgame to cheer the House on to keep that trophy here by whipping the Senate, those that are just watching the game will still need some exercise. So, we're going to walk tonight, east side of the Capitol down by the Lincoln statue, 9:30 p.m. So, you can do your receptions and the ballgame and everything else. If for some reason it'd be bad weather, we'll come inside and do the tunnels, but east side Capitol, 9:30 p.m., walk the walk not just talk the talk about being fit. See you there."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you, Representative. Representative Bost, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. An inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Turner: "Please state your inquiry."

Bost: "I... I seem to notice that when Mr. Mapes leaves the podium we stop doing things. How does that work?"

Speaker Turner: "What are you talking about, Representative?"

Bost: "Just... just questioning."

Speaker Turner: "On page 17 of the Calendar, we have Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 1007, Representative Pihos."

Pihos: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Today it is my extreme privilege and honor to introduce you to Laura Lake up on the Republican side of the gallery. She is Miss Northern Suburbs 2014 hailing from Lombard, Illinois. She's getting a masters of Public Administration at Northern Illinois University, and she has a wonderful platform that we can all support and that is feeding America and working together to end hunger. She's also an accomplished violinist and will be competing in several weeks in the Miss Illinois contest. But I just want to take a minute to tell

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

you about her platform which brings attention to the fact six Americans in and one in eight people internationally suffer from malnutrition and these numbers continue to rise, yet America wastes over 33 billion tons of food each year. Whereas, Miss Northern Suburb, Laura Lake has volunteered for several food banks, served weekly dinners for the hungry, became a member of the Northern Illinois Food Bank of Young Professionals Board, created a social mediate campaign called 'Take the Pledge', attended hunger walks, begun creating a food drive event and working with Feeding America. We wish her much good luck in her upcoming competition and we appreciate the fact that she's also a mentor to Isabella Solano, a 4th grader from Gurnee who is accompanying her today. So, please give them a warm Springfield welcome."

- Speaker Turner: "Welcome to your Capitol. Representative Pihos moves that the House adopt House Resolution 1007. All those in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. Representative Soto, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Soto: "Thank you, Speaker. I found some glasses in Room 114. If any of the Members here in the General Assembly lost some glasses in Room 114, I think it was yesterday, please come over here. I'll keep them right here in front of my desk. Thank you."
- Speaker Turner: "Thank you, Representative. Members, we will begin on page 10 of the Calendar on Senate Bills under the Order of

135th Legislative Day

- Second Reading. Senate Bill 122, Mr. Clerk. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 122, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 587, Representative McAsey. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 587, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 646, Representative Osmond. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 646, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 647, Representative Feigenholtz. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 647, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1048, Representative Welch. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 1048, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1547, Representative Lang. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1739, Repre... Out of the

135th Legislative Day

- record. Senate Bill 2187, Representative Bradley. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2583, Representative D'Amico. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 2583, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment 1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2730, Representative Nekritz. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2952, Representative Lang. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 2952, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment 1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 3000, Representative McAsey. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3000, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 3044, Representative Rita. Representative Rita. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3056, Representative Riley. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3056, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments.

 No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 3081, Representative Burke. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3275, Representative

135th Legislative Day

- Reboletti. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3283,
 Representative Feigenholtz. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
 Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3283, a Bill for an Act concerning
 State Government. This Bill was read a second time on a
 previous day. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor
 Amendment #2, offered by Representative Feigenholtz, has been
 approved for consideration."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Feigenholtz."
- Feigenholtz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #2 is a Amendment offered by DCFS just to clear some technical items up. I'd be glad to answer any questions."
- Speaker Turner: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 3283. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 3309, Representative Walsh. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3309, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Second Reading of this Senate Bill.

 Amendment #2 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments.

 No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 3387, Representative Kifowit. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3387, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment 2, offered by Representative Kifowit, has been approved for consideration."

135th Legislative Day

- Speaker Turner: "Representative Kifowit."
- Kifowit: "Thank you, Speaker. Floor Amendment 2 simply sets the boundaries for the Fox Valley Park District to move this from an appointed board to an elected board. I hope for its adoption."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Kifowit moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 3387. All in favor say 'aye; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 3414, Representative Harris. Representative Greg Harris. Mr. Clerk, please the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3414, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 3434, Representative Burke, Representative Kelly Burke. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3434, a Bill for An Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Turner: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 3437, Representative Kelly Burke. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3437, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

135th Legislative Day

- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 3440, Representative Ford. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3440, a Bill for an Act concerning public health. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Senate 3552, Representative Yingling. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3552, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Yingling, has been approved consideration."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Yingling."
- Yingling: "The Amendment just makes a technical change to clarify a potential conflicting language in the statute."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Yingling moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 3552. All in favor say 'aye; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Senate 2829, Representative Zalewski. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 2829, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Zalewski, had been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Zalewski."
- Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor... I wish to adopt Floor Amendment #1. It simply represents an agreement between the

135th Legislative Day

- rental association and Municipal League regarding the appeals process."
- Speaker Turner: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 2829. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Sente Bill 2727, Representative Andrade. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 2727, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Andrade, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Andrade."
- Andrade: "Yes, thank you very much, Speaker. Senate Bill 2727, there's a Floor Amendment 2 which is an agreed by IRMA and all the parties. It's just a Floor Amendment clarifying a definition of 'over the counter drug' and it also gives it times... a little more time to... the companies to come into effect to the law."
- Speaker Turner: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 2727. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Representative Rosenthal."
- Rosenthal: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to table a Bill, Senate Bill 648."

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

Speaker Turner: "Gentleman moves to table the Bill, Senate Bill 648. Seeing no objection, the Bill is tabled. Members, we will begin on page 7 of the Calendar with Senate Bills on Third Reading. Please be prepared to present your Bill. First we have Senate Bill 3234, Representative Zalewski. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3234, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Zalewski."

Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill is not a fee increase. It simply allows retailers instead of filing their own return with the Department of Revenue when it comes to this tire fee, to remit the fee to the tire supplier who will collect the tax. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Sandack."

Sandack: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "The Sponsor will yield."

Sandack: "Representative, would you repeat the very first thing you said?"

Zalewski: "Not a fee increase."

Sandack: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Zalewski to close."

Zalewski: "Vote... please vote 'aye'."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 3234 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Members, please record yourselves. Representative Cassidy, Chapa LaVia, Crespo, Durkin. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 70 voting 'yes',

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

42 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', Senate Bill 3234, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3255, Representative Kifowit. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3255, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Kifowit."

Kifowit: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly. This Bill eliminates the requirement of disabled veterans who are a hundred percent disabled by the DOD to go back every two years and reaffirm they're disabled. There's no opposition."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 3255 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', and 0 voting 'present', Senate Bill 3255, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Zalewski, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Zalewski: "Inquiry of the Chair, Mr..."

Speaker Turner: "Please state your inquiry."

Zalewski: "Could the Sargent of Arms remove these enemies from the House Floor. We're not going to be intimidated by their spiffy new uniforms for tonight."

Speaker Turner: "It's friendly until 5:00, Representative.

Representative Reboletti, for what reason do you seek recognition."

135th Legislative Day

- Reboletti: "Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Rules, I move to discharge the Senators from the House Floor."
- Speaker Turner: "Moving on. Senate Bill 3256, Representative Sims.

 Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3256, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Sims."
- Sims: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 3256 will allow intermediate care facilities for the developmentally disabled and long term care facilities for those under the age of 22, the function under the same direct billing practices that were extended to nursing homes. This Bill is similar... is identical to House Bill 5975 that passed out of the House 112 to 0 early this Session. I know of no opposition and would ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Turner: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 3256 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', and 0 voting 'present', Senate Bill 3256, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3262, Representative Mautino. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3262, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Mautino."

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

Mautino: "Thank you. This is an initiative of the Department of Revenue and what it does is matches our Illinois Motor Fuel Tax Codes to the Federal Motor Fuel Tax Agreements. And the interest rate is currently at one percent. It removes the provision that requires certain motor carriers to make their tax payments by certified check; they can do it electronically. Be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Turner: "On that, we have Representative Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Sandack: "Representative, looking over the analysis I was struck, is it possible that this Bill would increase gas prices?"

Mautino: "No."

Sandack: "And why is that?"

Mautino: "We are... we're still matching the same... matching... All we do here is match to the federal rates that they do under the Federal Motor Fuel Tax, and the structures on our per-gallon, it's remained the same."

Sandack: "That was the question. So, we're matching the federal, but the federal's not higher than it currently is now? What the state charges now?"

Mautino: "Yeah. There's no change in the actual rate structure itself."

Sandack: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Mautino to close."

Mautino: "Appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 3262 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 112 voting 'yes', 2 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', Senate Bill 3262, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Brady, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Brady: "Point of personal privilege please, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Turner: "State your point, Sir."

Brady: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to introduce today my Page from Bloomington and Bloomington High School. Please say hello to Allison Baldwin who is walking right up the aisle here. Allison, nice to have you in Springfield. Give her a nice Springfield welcome, please."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you and welcome to your Capitol.

Representative Senger, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Senger: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Turner: "Please state your point."

Senger: "I'd like to introduce my Page today Amanda McDonough and her mother Gina, who's up in the balcony from Aurora. So, let's welcome, give a strong Springfield welcome."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you and welcome to your Capitol. Senate Bill 3267, Representative Smith. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3267, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law, which may be referred to as the Incentivized Education and Family Support for Community Corrections Amendments. Second... Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Smith."

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

Smith: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 3267 intends to reduce recidivism by offering probation time credit up to 180 hours to shorten their sentence, if attained for high school diploma, career certificate, vocational certificate, associate or a college degree. Participants is entirely voluntary and there is no sanction nonparticipation. Violent offenders are excluded from this participation. The defendant shall be entitled to a time credit towards the completion for probation or accreditation discharge as follow: a high school diploma or a GED: 90 days; associate degree career certificate or vocational technical certificate: 120 days, a bachelor's degree: 180 days. The court may, at any time, terminate probation or conditional discharge if warrant by the conduct of the defendant or offenders and to end as the justice as provided in Section 5-6-4. Education helps reduce recidivism, probationary can be reduce crime in their own educational achievement. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Mr. Clerk, the status of the Floor Amendment on Senate Bill 3267."

Clerk Hollman: "Floor Amendment #2 has been adopted."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you. Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 3267 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 62 voting 'yes', 52 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. Senate Bill 3267, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

passed. Senate Bill 3276, Representative Pritchard. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3276, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Pritchard."

Pritchard: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an initiative of the State Treasurer to improve efficiency in his office that encourages circuit and county clerks to remit fees and fines directly to the appropriate state agency. It is not a fee increase, is it perspective only, and there's no known opposition. I would ask for your support."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 3276 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 85 voting 'yes', 28 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present. Senate Bill 3276, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Sente Bill 3286, Representative Verschoore. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3286, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Verschoore."

Verschoore: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What this Bill does is, it grounds... grants the entry into a residential gated community including common areas, common elements to a process sever authorized under Section 2-202 of the Code who is attempting to serve a process to a defendant or a witness that lives within this community. The

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

reason I'm supporting this... I don't know of any... any opposition to this. The reason I'm supporting it: it avoids obstruction to administration of judges, reduces cost delays when delivering time-sensitive legal process, enhances the efficiency of the court, enhances the efficiency of private process server, gives clear direction to law enforcement and clarifies duties and responsibility of private condominium owners and administrators. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 3286 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 113 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', Senate Bill 3286, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Osmond, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Osmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed."

Osmond: "Above me in the gallery, I'd like everyone to give the students of Millburn Grade School in my district a welcome to the General Assembly."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you and welcome to your Capitol. Senate Bill 3288, Representative Stewart. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3288, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Stewart."

135th Legislative Day

- Stewart: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Senate Bill 3288 amends the General Assembly Operations Act to streamline the Secretary of State procedures with respect to Session laws. It allows the Secretary of State to provide electronic copies in lieu of bound volumes. I know of no opponents. And I would ask for your 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Turner: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 3288 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 112 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', Senate Bill 3288, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3294, Representative Welch. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3294, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

 Speaker Turner: "Representative Welch."
- Welch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 3294 amends the Counties Code and the Illinois Municipal Code by adding a requirement that any household goods, recycling bin, which you should have pictures of in your Bill analysis, as defining a Bill must prominently display a permanent label with a name, address and contact information of the person or entity that owns or operates the bin. The label must also indicate whether the owner/operator is a not-for-profit entity or a for-profit entity. Currently, our law does not address this issue. This Bill creates one of transparency, allows consumers to know

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

who they're donating their goods to. I am not aware of any opposition to this Bill. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "On that, we have Representative Kosel."

Kosel: "Thank you. As you can see as a cosponsor of this Bill I wanted to call... stand up in strong support. We have so many of these boxes showing up in our various communities and you have no idea who placed them there, where the profits from these boxes are going or how they're being handled, and I applaud the Sponsor for bringing this back after it got stuck in the Senate last year, and ask for your approval."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Welch to close."

Welch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 3294 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 111 voting 'yes', 3 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', Senate Bill 3294, having received the Constitution Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3306, Representative Scherer. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3306, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Scherer."

Scherer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Today I'm presenting Senate Bill 3306 which would give workers who lose their jobs after the FAFSA deadline, a chance to apply for MAP grants without raising any cost. This Bill is bipartisan and passed unanimously out of the Senate and out of the House Higher Ed

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

Committee. I'm open to any questions and would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 3306 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', and 0 voting 'present', Senate Bill 3306, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3312, Representative Brauer. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3312, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Brauer."

Brauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the same Bill as 4223. It is the ABATE Poker Run Bill and it takes it out of Charitable Gaming's Act and moves it to the Raffles Act, from state control to local control. The only difference in this Bill is that there's a \$25 limit to the fee that they can charge on the local license. I'll answer any questions."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 3312 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 108 voting 'yes', 6 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', Senate Bill 3312, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed.

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

Senate Bill 3313, Representative Manley. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3313, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Manley."

Manley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 3313 is a Bill that would require any new construction or phone system upgrade after July 1 of 2015 to allow a 9-1-1 call to go out with an access code. It's a direct result of an incident in Texas. A little girl tried to dial 9-1-1 from a hotel room. She understood how to dial 9-1-1 but didn't realize she needed an outside line code. This Bill passed the Senate unanimously with all Senators as cosponsors. I hope that everyone in the House will join me in cosponsoring as well. I'll answer any question."

Speaker Turner: "The Lady moves for the passage of Senate Bill 3313. All in favor vote 'aye'; all oppose vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', and 0 voting 'present', Senate Bill 3313, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3314, Representative Cabello. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3314, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Cabello."

Cabello: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an issue of the Illinois Municipal League and

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

the Municipal Clerks of Illinois. Amends the Municipal Clerk Training Act to reduce the number of ex-officio numbers on the Municipal Clerk Training Institute Committee from nine members to one. The reason for the change, Ladies and Gentlemen, is according to the clerks, the ex-officio positions are often vacant and difficult to fill and they're just trying to close up some loopholes. I... answer any questions and appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Monique Davis."

Davis, M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. My switch wasn't working for Senate Bill 3313 and I wish to be recorded as an 'aye' vote.

Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "The Journal with reflect your request. Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 3314 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. Senate Bill 3314, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3318, Representative Hoffman. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3318, a Bill for an Act concerning gaming. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 3318 is an initiative of the horse racing industry. I know of no opposition. It allows OTBs the option to accept wages... wagers on races outside North America for one year after the effective date of the Bill, and creates a

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

single uniform distance for which OTBs must be located from the track in which it receives its license to 140 miles. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Reboletti."

Reboletti: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "The Sponsor will yield."

Reboletti: "Representative Hoffman, what would Willie do on this Bill?"

Hoffman: "Willie loves his master, so he'd be in favor of this Bill."

Reboletti: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Hoffman to close."

Hoffman: "I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 3318 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 94 voting 'yes', 20 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', Senate Bill 3318, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3322, Representative Mautino. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3322, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman... Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This legislation is an initiative of the Department of Insurance. It is their cleanup Bill and it sets forth Sections which repeal outdated provisions of these Acts, and codify

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

the current practices. It does make a few technical changes where necessary and that way it will clarify the Code's interpretation. Appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 3322 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', Senate Bill 3322, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3324, Representative Osmond. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3324, a Bill for an act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Osmond."

Osmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 3324 is an initiative of the Department of Insurance. It amends the Insurance Code, makes changes to the surplus lines, and brings Illinois in compliance with the federal non-admitted and reinsurance reformat. I know of no opposition. I'll be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Turner: "On that, we have Representative Franks."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "The Sponsor will yield."

Franks: "Representative, why didn't you carry the last Bill that
Mr. Mautino had? I'd like to know."

Osmond: "They didn't ask me."

Franks: "They should have. You could've... you would have been better."

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

Osmond: "Do you understand that Bill?"

Franks: "I'm not sure what I understand any more, but I'll appreciate all tips you can give me and..."

Osmond: "Your hair looks better today."

Franks: "Thank you. I took your tips; I took everyone's tips yesterday. I appreciate that. I studied hard. It's amazing what a little water will do."

Osmond: "And this is not my last Bill."

Franks: "I know. You have one more Bill. I just wanted to make sure everyone knew this is not her last Bill, but I did want to ask a question about tax loopholes and reporting requirements. Could you tell us how this Bill changes current law dealing with tax loopholes?"

Osmond: "One second."

Franks: "Sure."

Osmond: "This legislation changes the Insurance Codes so that all trasi... transactions with unauthorized insurers are subject to a tax and reporting requirements. Surplus line transactions are taxed at 3.5 percent. And transactions must be reported to the Surplus Line Association."

Franks: "So, you're saying there was a problem before where folks weren't..."

Osmond: "Reporting."

Franks: "...weren't reporting. So, this is not an increase in the tax."

Osmond: "Yeah."

Franks: "This is just giving a penalty for those that don't accurately report their taxes."

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

Osmond: "Right. It's just bringing us into the guidelines that is set forth by the Federal Government."

Franks: "Thank you. And I look forward to your next Bill as well.

Thank you."

Osmond: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Osmond to close."

Osmond: "Appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 3324 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', and 0 voting 'present', Senate Bill 3324, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3332, Representative McAsey. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3332, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative McAsey."

McAsey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Senate Bill 3332 is an initiative of the Attorney General Lisa Madigan. What this legislation does, is to close a loophole regarding escape of sexually violent persons. This loophole was discovered when a sexually violent person fled the State of Illinois and because of the civil nature of that, the body attachment order could not allow for law enforcement to take that person into custody and bring them back to our state. So, closing this will better protect people in our community

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

as well as in other states. So, I appreciate your support. I know of no opposition."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 3332 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', Senate Bill 3332, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3334, Representative Evans. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3334, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Evans."

Evans: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Sente Bill 3334 is a Department of Revenue initiative that amends the Income Tax Act. It provides that the statute of limitations on claims for refunds are suspended while the taxpayer is financially disabled... financially disabled encompasses when an individual is dealing with a serious injury, serious illness for an extended period of time and not able to file the claim. Ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 3334 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', Senate Bill 3334, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr.

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

Clerk, Senate Bill 3364, Representative Reboletti. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Sente Bill 3364, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Reboletti."

Reboletti: "Thank you, Speaker, Members of the Body. This Bill seeks to address some of the issues that have occurred because of the Cook County Boot Camp Program where individuals were sentenced to illegal sentences and were subsequently released and one of those individuals, Bryon Champ, shot up a park. What this would require is that the judges as well as the state's attorney and the sheriff confirm the person's eligibility and make sure that they can be in the program before they begin. I'd be willing to take any questions."

Speaker Turner: "On that, we have Representative Monique Davis."

Davis, M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "The Sponsor will yield."

Davis, M.: "Representative, can you tell me the nature and origin of this legislation?"

Reboletti: "The nature and origin of the legislation is that the Cook County Impact Incarceration Program, known as Boot Camp, had a number of individuals that were sentenced there, dozens, that were ineligible, and there is no measure to make sure that those who are eligible are in, and those that are ineligible are out. You had Cook County judges that were giving illegal sentences so when people should have had mandatory prison, they were given this as an option even though the Illinois Criminal Code does not allow it, and there have been some drastic outcomes including Bryon Champ, who

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

should never have been in the program, shooting up a park and hitting 13 individuals."

Davis, M.: "So, in other words, we're taking this out of the hands of the judges?"

Reboletti: "No, we're not, Representative. We're saying that as we do in the Illinois Department of Corrections, that when a minimus is issued, that a sentence to Cook County Boot Camp is signed off on by the state's attorney in the courtroom, the judge, and then if there are any errors, the sheriff has the ability..."

Davis, M.: "So once again, we're going to give some..."

Reboletti: "I'd like to..."

Davis, M.: "...another responsibility to the sheriff. The sheriff is going to have..."

Reboletti: "This has nothing to do, Representative, with the issue of serving process on tenets who aren't paying their bills.

This is a..."

Davis, M.: "I know... I'm not speaking of that Bill, Representative."

Reboletti: "Representative, this is..."

Davis, M.: "What I'm saying to you is you are giving..."

Reboletti: "Representative, I was trying to answer your question first and you interrupted. So, what I'm trying to explain to you, this is a duty of the sheriff because the sheriff runs the jail, and he's supposed to manage the population and give those sentences out, put the individuals in the right portion of the jail."

Davis, M.: "The sheriff does not give sentences out."

Reboletti: "The sheriff carries out the sentence from the court, Representative..."

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

Davis, M.: "Yes, he does..."

Reboletti: "...and this is..."

Davis, M.: "...carries out them, but he doesn't give them."

Reboletti: "I understand that, Representative, I went to law school and I'm familiar with how the court system works. What I'm explaining to you is that the sheriff has to follow the sentence. So, if the individual is available for Department of Corrections, he's going to be sentenced to the jail, probably to general population, and then placed on a bus to go to Stateville. If he is eligible for Boot Camp, it's entirely different section of the jail which they manage. No different than we ask that the Illinois Department of Corrections make sure that an individual who is sentenced there is supposed to be there. And there are instances where the minimus, which is the sentencing order from the court, is wrong and the Illinois Department of Corrections will not take that individual. We're just making sure that if a sentence is supposed to be meted out for Boot Camp that all the parties are aware and agree that this is the correct place for that individual to be."

Davis, M.: "My concern, Representative, is getting these, whatever the certification is from all of these people, so that a person can take advantage. Maybe a person can't take advantage because one of these people has not even gotten the paperwork."

Reboletti: "Well, here's the process, Representative. So that in court there's a minimus; there's a sentencing order. And unfortunately, there were some judges, some intentionally some unintentionally, sentencing those individuals to this

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

program. This is a diversionary program for lower level, nonviolent offenders. This was never intended for people with violent offenses and with gun offenses, which is what happened. The sheriff indicated that he had no mechanism to say that these individuals that were sentenced there, he could not turn them away at the door. This would give him an option. This would also give the state's attorney an opportunity to object and not sign the document because they could say that, pursuant to this particular class of crime, maybe a Class X Felony where only mandatory prison is the sentence, that he would be ineligible."

Davis, M.: "So, currently, Representative Reboletti, currently, without your legislation, a judge decides that a defendant who has committed a low level crime, according to the information the judge has and the judge decides that this person can go to the Boot Camp and kind of get education and be given job training and so forth. So, you want the state's attorney and the sheriff's office, and I don't know who else, to sign off on this to say that the jur... that the judge was correct or the judge was incorrect."

Reboletti: "Representative, unfortunately, people were sentenced illegally. That means that judges who we entrusted to execute the laws that we pass here, some of those individuals did not follow the law. And so, this makes sure that those who belong in the program, the low level offenders you're speaking of, have that opportunity. This General Assembly determined that violent offenders including those with gun offenses should be ineligible."

135th Legislative Day

- Davis, M.: "So you're saying, if you have a gun offense, you can't be in the jobs program?"
- Reboletti: "Representative, what I can tell you is that for a long period of time dozens of individuals who were not eligible for the program because their sentences were not legal, went into the program. And so people who should have been serving large periods of time for violent offenses went for four months to the county jail..."
- Davis, M.: "Because you have a weapon that means you have committed a violent crime?"
- Reboletti: "Representative, what I'm saying to you is this. If the sentence is mandatory prison, the person is ineligible for Boot Camp. And..."
- Davis, M.: "Because... because a person is carrying a weapon, I don't think it makes him a criminal. Now if he didn't have a FOID Card, he may have violated the law, but it doesn't make him a violent criminal."
- Reboletti: "Representative, I would suggest to you that if a person points a gun at you and asked you for money, that that is criminal."
- Davis, M.: "That's called robbery, Representative."
- Reboletti: "That's called armed robbery and that is..."
- Davis, M.: "Mr. Attorney, that's called robbery."
- Reboletti: "That's not, Representative."
- Davis, M.: "To the Bill, Mr. Speaker. My fear, with this legislation, is that many people who should be given an opportunity to go to the jobs program will be denied that opportunity. Now, I do know we had one case in Cook County; we had one case that made the newspaper where someone slipped

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

through the cracks. We had one case and the sheriff decided that this gentleman had committed some violent crimes and should have not been in the Boot Camp Program, one case. My fear is that young people who commit crimes, not violent, will not get the certification or the okay from all of these people. This is not a program for the elite; this is not a program for the rich people from the different suburban areas. This is a program to help children turn their lives around. And if we give certain people an opportunity to deny a large group of people the opportunity to be in this program, we won't have a really successful job corps. I think it's so important, and I'm sure this Bill will get a lot of support because people are fearful of appearing soft on crime, but I'm going to vote 'no' because I do not believe that the coordination of these signatures will take place on a timely basis giving equal opportunity to those who have committed nonviolent crimes. And I, Mr... will vote 'no'. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Will Davis."

Davis, W.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Davis, W.: "Representative, what is the current procedure to allow someone into the Boot Camp Program?"

Reboletti: "Well, I'm not an expert in the Cook County Boot Camp, but most of the time it is nonviolent offenses or whether some mandatory prison, but the violent offenses are excluded out. And so, what we've had here, to correct the previous speaker, is that it wasn't one incident, Representative, it was hundreds of people illegally sentenced. So, yes, there's a certain age category, I think it's 18 to 34, there is also

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

the ability to do physical activity because there's a lot of physical activity to it."

Davis, W.: "Hold on. Before you..."

Reboletti: "And then there's certain crimes that are excluded."

Davis, W.: "No, no, no, no. I was asking about procedure, because if what your Bill… if I understand what your Bill is asking, your Bill is saying that in order for someone to be in, there needs three signatures. So, what is the current process to allow someone into the program?"

Reboletti: "Well, the problem, Representative, lies in the fact that a judge signs a sentencing order, and if the judge..."

Davis, W.: "What is the ... what is the ..."

Reboletti: "That's what I'm saying right now."

Davis, W.: "So, right now it's the judge."

Reboletti: "The judge... There's a sentencing hearing, the individual is sentenced to whatever the sentence is. So, let's say, for instance, it's armed robbery. Armed robbery is not eligible for Boot Camp."

Davis, W.: "That's not what I'm asking."

Reboletti: "I have to..."

Davis, W.: "I'm asking... just..."

Reboletti: "But what I'm saying to you, Representative, is that if the judge says you're going to Boot Camp even though you can't go right now, the person was accepted to Boot Camp. And that's what was happening."

Davis, W.: "So... so, the answer to my question is the judge."

Reboletti: "The judge, that's correct."

Davis, W.: "Okay. That's what... what I was just trying to get at."

Reboletti: "The judge is right."

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

- Davis, W.: "Right now, it's the judge says..."
- Reboletti: "That's right."
- Davis, W.: "...that if someone can go to Boot Camp that it's the judge's decision? So..."
- Reboletti: "And we determine the eligibility by statute."
- Davis, W.: "So, you're suggesting that it be more than just the judge to sign off on someone going to Boot Camp, correct?"
- Reboletti: "We're... we're making sure that the sentencing order comports with what the General Assembly believes are the eligibility factors, which is what we do with the Illinois Department of Corrections."
- Davis, W.: "Okay. So, again I... so your Bill is saying that not just the judge but also a couple of other individuals must sign off before an individual can go to Boot Camp."
- Reboletti: "That each one of those parties is agreement that the sentence that was meted out, they are eligible for the program. That's yes."
- Davis, W.: "Okay. So... so, too, the comment of the previous speaker, is there a time line set for this? 'Cause right now it's one person who, at a sentence hearing says, Boot Camp, it's done and that individual goes into Boot Camp. But what you're suggesting, again, is other individuals. So, is there a time line set that the state's attorney and at least, if I read it correctly, the county sheriff in that case would have to sign it. Is there a time line set forth that they would have to obtain these signatures?"
- Reboletti: "I would anticipate, Representative, that the individual's already incarcerated, number one. Number two is, it's then determined that if they are eligible that there'd

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

be a sentencing order. So, the first folks that would sign off on it would be the judge and the state's attorney in the courtroom then by process. And then as the individual goes into the… back to the jail, I assume that either Sheriff Dart or his designee would then look at the sentencing order and say, yes, this person is eligible pursuant to Illinois statute and that that is when the person would begin their sentence."

Davis, W.: "But isn't that what the judge was supposed to do in the first place to say whether or not this person is eligible..."

Reboletti: "You're absolutely right."

Davis, W.: "...by why of Illinois statute?"

Reboletti: "The judge was supposed to do that..."

Davis, W.: "So..."

Reboletti: "...and in hundreds of cases the orders were not... the statutes were not followed."

Davis, W.: "Okay. So, this is a... so this is a judicial problem you're trying to correct then?"

Reboletti: "This is a... we're trying to make sure that if somebody is eligible for a program, they're sentenced to that program.

But we've had illegal sentences..."

Davis, W.: "By judges, correct?"

Reboletti: "By judges."

Davis, W.: "Okay. So, again, this is a judicial program... judicial issue that you're trying to correct because judge are not following the law and are making bad decisions, correct?"

Reboletti: "Some... some are not following the law because of their own personal beliefs and some are making mistakes. And they

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

- are sentencing somebody based on the fact that they believe that they were eligible but they weren't."
- Davis, W.: "Okay. So, in your previous comment when you said this is someone who's already incarcerated, so going back to my time line issue, you're saying that it's... it doesn't matter how long your takes because... how long it takes because the person is already in jail?"
- Reboletti: "I would assume that they're... because of the court order that the person would begin either the next day or it would probably take a couple of days to process the program, the person entering the program, 'cause there may or may not be open beds for the program. So, maybe the person has to wait a couple of days or a week to get into the program and I would assume within a certain time frame that the individual would be placed in the program and the sheriff would sign off. Otherwise, I guess the attorney... the defense attorney could come back with a motion and say, look, my client isn't in Boot Camp he should already be there by now."
- Davis, W.: "Okay. Again, I guess, I'm not necessarily saying that I have a necessarily a problem with it, but again, when we talk about time line, and again, we're talking about the discretion of the county sheriff, the discretion of a state's attorney, you know, in certain counties, unfortunately, you know, if I'm a minority and I get in a situation in some of these counties, you know, that's why I'm talking about time line because these individuals who might not care about me simply because of the color of my skin would just simply let me sit in anguish and not send me to the Boot Camp as they

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

should. So, that's why I'm talking about time line. Not that I'm against what you're attempting to do..."

Reboletti: "No, I..."

Davis, W.: "...but..."

Reboletti: "And I appreciate that, Representative. And Mr. Speaker, can I take the Bill out of the record for a little bit so I can have some offline conversation?"

Speaker Turner: "Mr. Clerk, please take this Bill out of the record. Senate Bill 3398, Representative Sims. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3402, Representative Kay. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3402, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Kay."

Kay: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 3402 allows a vehicle with a dealer plate from another state to be exempt from registration requirements if the vehicle is coming or commuting for repairs into Illinois. Currently, an out-of-state truck cannot cross state lines to be repaired unless it has a permit. This negatively hurts businesses like truck centers in Troy, Illinois and other metropolitan repair facilities. In this particular case, trucks would be stopped going to this facility that have out-of-state licenses, possibly being ticketed. I ask for an 'aye' vote; open to any questions."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Flowers. Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 3402 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', Senate Bill 3402, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Williams, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Williams: "Point of personal privilege, please."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed."

Williams: "Today marks the fourth anniversary of the day that the law was signed to allow Illinois adult adoptees to obtain their original birth certificate. And while a birth certificate is just a simple piece of paper, for an adoptee having access to it can be life-changing in ways you cannot imagine. My district mate and dear friend Sara Feigenholtz worked for years and years, as many of you know, to make this happen for Illinois adoptees. Since the Bill was passed into law, three more state have passed laws to allow adult adoptees to get this information. The states in which I was born and adopted, Pennsylvania, has the law pending right now. Tonight we will celebrate this wonderful anniversary by screening the documentary film, A Simple Piece of Paper by filmmaker Jene Strauss, which tells the stories of how the ability to have your original birth certificate has impacted the lives of Illinois adoptees in many beautiful, amazing, and life changing ways. Please join Sara and I tonight at the Sangamo Club at 7:00 p.m. for this film and celebration. I think you will be amazed at the ways in which one piece of legislation can dramatically impact the lives of so many people. We should be really proud to have done this. We are joined today in the gallery by a group of people and filmmaker Jean Strauss. If

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

you can stand up, ladies and gentlemen. They have traveled... these folks have traveled across the state and across the country to be here and celebrate today, some with newly discovered family members and siblings. It's truly amazing. We truly hope you'll join us tonight and hope to see you at the Sangamo. Thank you."

- Speaker Turner: "Thank you, Representative. Representative Morrison, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Morrison: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 3318, I was recorded as a 'no', but I should have been 'yes'."
- Speaker Turner: "The Journal will reflect your request. Senate Bill 3409, Representative Fine. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3409, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Fine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. SB3409 is an agreed upon Bill that would allow dentists to administer flu vaccines to patients 18 years and older. Currently, only 40 to 45 percent of people receive a flu vaccine annually and the goal is to provide more access to the vaccine. This Bill has a strict recording requirement that says dentists much notify the patient's primary care physician and the Illinois Department of Public Health registry when a vaccine is administered. Again, there's no opposition to this Bill."
- Speaker Turner: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 3409 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 114 voting 'yes', 1 voting

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

'no', 1 voting 'present', Senate Bill 3409, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3411, Representative Hoffman. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3411, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 3411 is a straightforward Bill. The Bill simply prohibits counties, municipalities or the state from requiring a police officer to issue a specific number of citations in a given period of time. This would make it illegal to have a quota system where there is a specific number of citations required of a police officer to write in a given period of time. This does not, and I repeat, this does not prohibit the evaluation of an officer based on points of contact. These points of contact include stops, warnings, arrests, investigations and community outreach. I believe that this is a commonsense approach to making sure that we continue to restore faith in police officers. We... when we have a quota system, it removes the discretion and human judgment from these officers and I believe undermines the relationships that they have with the community they are sworn to protect. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "And now we have Representative Brauer."

Brauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "The Sponsor will yield."

Brauer: "Representative, I have a few quick questions for legislative intent. Will this legislation restrict the

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

ability of a sheriff or chief to have policies that require tickets to be written in traffic accidents and DUIs?"

Hoffman: "No."

Brauer: "Will this legislation in any way restrict the sheriff or chief to assign special traffic details based on complaints from citizens, such as speeding in school zones or neighborhoods, and require an officer to write a ticket for those violations?"

Hoffman: "This simply says that you can't have a quota system where there has to be a number of citations given in a specific period of time. So, the answer would be no."

Brauer: "Will this legislation allow an officer to simply refuse to write a traffic citation when that officer is assigned to traffic enforcement?"

Hoffman: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear that. Could you please repeat that? I apologize."

Brauer: "Will this legislation allow an officer to simply refuse to write a traffic citation when that officer is assigned to traffic enforcement?"

Hoffman: "No."

Brauer: "Thank you, Representative. I encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Anthony."

Anthony: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, to the Bill. Trust is the basis of any relationship with public or private. Citizens must be able to trust law enforcement officers and agencies to put public safety first and foremost. This Bill does not stop sheriff or police officers from evaluating their offices. What this Bill does, simply, it states that a sheriff or police officer... or a sheriff or a chief cannot use ticket

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

writing when it comes down to the evaluation process. We have to heal the divide between police and local citizens and I personally believe that this Bill, Senate Bill 3411, does just that. Public safety should be the top priority of our law enforcement agencies. And I encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Representative DeLuca."

DeLuca: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "The Sponsor will yield."

DeLuca: "Thank you. Representative Hoffman, as you know, we've talked about this Bill extensively. Had some meetings back in the district office with some of the local officials and also with some of the chiefs of police, so I also have some questions regarding legislative intent. If a department or law enforcement organization does not have traffic citation quotas or compare officers based on their issuance of citations currently, will this Bill affect that department?"

Hoffman: "No. If a police organization does not have citation quotas and does not compare officers based on their issuance of citations currently, this Bill will not have any effect on their department's operation."

DeLuca: "Thank you. So, are you saying that as long as the department does not require a ticket quota or compare officers based on the number of citations issued, this Bill will not affect them?"

Hoffman: "Yes."

DeLuca: "Does this Bill have any effect on any federal or state grants or funds awarded to the department?"

Hoffman: "No. It's very specific. If a federal or state grant requires a certain number of citations in a given period of

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

time, that requirement would not be prohibited by this Bill 'cause we don't want jeopardize federal or state dollars going to police departments."

DeLuca: "Thank you. And lastly, if a sheriff or a chief wanted to mandate that an officer stop, also known as points of contact, a certain number of people in a given period time, is this allowed under this legislation?"

Hoffman: "Yes, that would be allowed. The only thing that this Bill prohibits is requiring an officer to issue a specific number of traffic citations in a designated period of time."

DeLuca: "Thank you very much. I encourage an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Kay."

Kay: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "The Sponsor will yield."

Kay: "Yeah. Jay, just a couple of quick questions again on legislative intent. This Bill is not meant to take away any authority from management, that they presently have within a police department anywhere?"

Hoffman: "Well, the Bill is very specific in that it indicates that you could not require a specific number of citations be given in a period time, that's all it does. It still allows for management to evaluate an officer based on points of contact. Those points of contact would not include specific number of citations in a given period of time. They would include stops... the number of stops. You could have a quota for warnings, arrests, investigation and community outreach. So, I can't say that it wouldn't... some police departments probably have a quota system where they requires a specific

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

number of traffic citations in a given month by an officer. They may evaluate those officers based on that. This would prohibit that."

Kay: "So, if a chief decided that he wanted to have a seat belt week where seat belts were enforced and was looking for four or five officers to write 150 tickets, this Bill would prohibit him from doing that?"

Hoffman: "This Bill would prohibit a specific number of citations that would be... must be given in a given period of time. So, yes, it would."

Kay: "And the same for child restraints? Supposing that they had a child restraint awareness week, a 150 tickets to be written or more that would be prohibited?"

Hoffman: "Yes. but what is not prohibited is points of contact, and that would mean stops, warnings, arrests, investigations or community outreach. So if you were going to have safety belt week, you could say you have to have... you have to have 150 or 200 or 500 contacts with individuals to check their safety belt use. But you couldn't say that you have to write a 150 tickets during that period of time."

Kay: "Notwithstanding, that that would be the law."

Hoffman: "I... I couldn't year. I apologize."

Kay: "Well, I'm sorry. Notwithstanding, the fact that that would
be a broken law and a ticket should be written."

Hoffman: "Well, what we would say... what you would say is, if a ticket should be written, a ticket should be written. But we wouldn't say that you have to write 150 tickets."

Kay: "So, let's take another example. Supposing you had a high incident accident intersection of which we have several in

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

the Madison/St. Clair area that have incident rates that are very high. And so, we have a police chief who says we want officer A and B to set watch over these intersections and make sure that they're well protected, and indeed, if there's a violation, we write those violations up. And let's say over a 24-hour period of time that there are 10 potential citations that should be written. Would they be written by those two, three, four, five officers or not?"

Hoffman: "If... yes. This doesn't say that they shouldn't write the tickets. It simply says that you couldn't say you have to write 10 tickets in the first hour."

Kay: "Well, what if you had an officer who wrote three tickets in the first out... in the first hour and then the rest of the day down to his shift end he did nothing and then saw a violation. Would he be prohibited from writing that violation?"

Hoffman: "I'm not... I'm not sure that I understand."

Kay: "Well, let me rephrase."

Hoffman: "No, no. You would... This does not prohibit you from writing a citation for... if a citation is warranted in every instance, in every instance. A chief could say to his police officers, if at this intersection there's any violation of the law, you must write a citation. He can say that. He just can't say you must write a hundred citations at this... at this location in a given period of time."

Kay: "Yeah. I didn't phrase my question very well. Let me try it one more time. Supposing you have a police officer that's less than energetic and he decides to hit his quota in the first hour of the day and a violation comes up that requires

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

him to write a ticket. He can write that ticket, is that correct? Or he should write that ticket."

Hoffman: "Yes."

Kay: "Okay. And the same question would be true with respect to DUIs? You would... in one circumstance have an officer who might write two DUIs at ten in the morning one at two, and if he incurs another one at four in the afternoon, you'd expect him to write that ticket. Is that correct?"

Hoffman: "Yes. And as a matter of fact, a lot... most times, I believe, if it is a DUI, you'll be placed under arrest. You can still, under this Bill, have a quota for arrests."

Kay: "Okay."

Hoffman: "You just can't for citations."

Kay: "So, what you're saying is that this doesn't have to do... this is not in any way taking away a management tool from local police or State Police or whomever the case may be, it's simply saying that it's a performance tool for evaluation purposes."

Hoffman: "For... for evaluation purposes, you can still use for management tools or a performance tool, points of contact which would include stops, warnings, arrests, investigations and community outreaches. This simply says that an officer cannot be required or have a quota to issue a specific number of citations in any given period of time."

Kay: "Okay. And again, for legislative intent. This Bill, in no way, needs to... means to impede a supervisor's ability to get the job done by officers that serve underneath him?"

Hoffman: "No. The Bill is very specific and it still allows for points of contacts to be used for that purpose."

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

Kay: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Ives."

Ives: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "The Sponsor will yield."

Ives: "Do you think officers are writing bogus tickets? When they pull someone over, do you think that that... that they're usually... the ticket is warranted or not?"

Hoffman: "I would hope that they're not issuing bogus tickets. This Bill does not say that officers are or aren't issuing bogus tickets. I think the general public believes and in some cases it is true, that in a given month there would be... there's a quota put on some officers that they have to write so many citations. Therefore, if they're not up to their citation limit towards the end of the month, they may have to write more citations and it takes away the discretion of an officer to do what they're suppose... what they really want to do, and that's keep the motoring public safe."

Ives: "Okay. So, what is the real intent of this legislation? What are you really trying to do?"

Hoffman: "I'm trying to say that a quota system is not something that is fair to the officers, is not something that the general public supports, and it removes the discretion of human judgment from officers, and you can still require that officers have to have so many stops, warnings, arrests, investigations, and community outreach. You just can't require, in a given period of time, that there be a quota on the number of citations that have to be issued."

Ives: "Okay. To the Bill. So, what you have here really is... this is a tool, and I will tell you, the Wheaton City chief of

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

police basically said, that if 3411 passes, it presents a potentially enormous determent to Illinois traffic safety and creates a chilling effect on the ability of law enforcement executives to appropriately oversee and evaluate officer performance. What you have is you have labor coming down talking to Legislators and asking you as a Legislator to write the employee handbook for your individual municipalities. Now in my district, I have some cities that use this... a system like this. I have other cities that use a different system. I have other cities that don't have a system at all in terms of traffic stops with their officers. But the truth is that this is best decided at the local level with how they want to evaluate officers. Instead, we... we just decide here that we're going to write the employee handbook for municipalities. I mean, we're already doing that with our schools, write the employee handbook for our schools, sitting up here in Springfield and that's what's inappropriate here. We should let our own individual municipalities decide what's right for their individual situation. And, for us to be doing like this is complete interference at the local level, complete interference. So, please vote 'no'. Please give your own chief of police the ability to decide what's appropriate for their needs. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Fortner."

Fortner: "Thank you, Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "The Sponsor will yield."

Fortner: "I think a lot of the questions that I had initially have already been covered. There are a couple that haven't; I just want to make sure I understand. So, for instance, if a

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

municipality has in a collective bargaining agreement say, a phrase that would say, patrolmen are expected to write a certain number of citations in a given month. They could, under this legislation, in... say their next CBA, decide to instead say it has to be a certain number of stops that the patrolmen... that would then still be permitted. Is that correct?"

Hoffman: "Yes. It could be stops, it could be warnings, it could be arrests, investigations. What they do in... in the... the chief police of Belleville is in favor of this legislation, and what they do is they require a certain amount of community contacts. And then the officer then has the discretion whether to write the citation."

Fortner: "Right. I just wanted to make sure because I spoke with my chief of police and he initially had a lot concerns like... the way I thought I read it when we talked it through, he seemed to think as long as the bargaining agreement could be very specific about things like stops or arrests, that there was still some kind of tool for the patrolmen to be evaluated on that, that would still meet what they look for within their bargaining agreement."

Hoffman: "Yes... yes."

Fortner: "The second question that hasn't come up is the concern about evaluation from office to officer. We've talked about a fixed number, and as I understand it, there's also language in there that you couldn't compare. So, for instance, if a department had a rule that part of the evaluation was looking at, say, what was the average number of citations written by, say a patrolman in the department, that... and they were using

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

this to look at compared evaluations, that that also would no longer be permitted. Is that... did I read that part correctly?"

Hoffman: "I... I don't believe that would be prohibited."

Fortner: "Okay. So... so, your understanding of this Bill is that they would still be able to compare two different officers on the basis of the number of citations issued?"

Hoffman: "I believe that you just can't compare officer to officer, but you can compare to an average."

Fortner: "You could compare to an average then."

Hoffman: "It could be compared, you just can't mandate a specific number of citations be given in a given period of time."

Fortner: "Okay. So... so just to be real clear, because I think this is an important part from, again, some of the departments that I heard from in my district, that though you could not make a specific comparison from officer to officer it is your intent that the way this Bill would work is that there could be... one could look at the overall average performance of the patrolmen for instance within a department and evaluate based on individual's performance compared to that average. But just as long it's not saying, you know, you didn't have the same numbers as this other person."

Hoffman: "Well, okay. I got... I want to be... I want to make sure that... that it's clear. It's my understanding, this Bill would prohibit entities from using the number of citations in a specific period of time in an evaluation of job performance. So, I... the whole issue of using an average and looking at that, I don't know that that's prohibited, but as far as the... the entity using that number of citations in that specific time, it is my intent that you could not do that. So, I want

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

to make that clear. What you would have to do is, you could look at stops, warnings, arrests, investigations, community outreach, community contacts or a point what's called under the Bill points of contact. So, I don't want to really, you know, sugarcoat the issue here. I don't believe that you should be using the number of citations written in a given period of time as a job performance tool, not citations written. But I do believe you should be able to use arrests and points of contact."

Fortner: "Okay. thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Cabello."

Cabello: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gente... to the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, there's a lot of issues that were brought up with this Bill, a lot of different debate. Let's... let's get to the heart of this. When you pull somebody over, let's say it's your son, your daughter, your mother, your father, and the officer comes to the car. Do you want them mandated to give them a citation or do you want them to have the officer's discretion to say, folks, you were going five miles an hour over the speed limit. Folks, you didn't really stop at the stop sign as much as you were supposed to stop. Or what would you rather have them do? A lot of these folks are going to be correcting their actions once they are pulled over. That is what the police department is for. They're to ... they're to correct people's actions and they are there to arrest people. They're not supposed to be a revenue generating arm of the mayor's office or government. And unfortunately, the genesis of this Bill is because one mayor decided to tell his police department that this section of town, we are losing

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

tax dollars from. So, now you police officers are going to go and start issuing citations to the public that already pays too much in taxes so that we can make up for this lost revenue. Ladies and Gentlemen, the police department goes to different areas of town because there are, like some of the Representatives here have said, some issues, maybe is there a high traffic volume or a high traffic crash area. The officers are not going to go to this and sit there and watch a traffic crash happen. The officers are not going to go there and sit there and see an egregious act take place and not act upon it. The officers are there; they are trained very well. I believe that we have the finest police officers in the country in this state. They are going to do their job the way they believe and they have been trained to do it. So, Ladies and Gentlemen, when you look at that red button or when you look at that green button, think about how you want your police department to react. Do you want them to hurriedly get to a quota that is dictated to them by their commander or do you want them to have good sound judgment. I would say we want them to have good sound judgment. Representative Hoffman, I commend you for bringing this Bill forward. I'm honored to be on it with you. Thank you very much. Please vote 'yes'."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Hoffman to close."

Hoffman: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I really can't say it any better than Representative Anthony and Representative Cabello did. They are two individuals that have been on the front line as police officers. They understand that the relationship of

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

police officers with the community that they serve, when you remove the discretion and human judgment from those officers, is undermined. That is why we should not have quotas for citations written. We should not have an arbitrary number of citations that have to be written in a given period of time, whether it's a week, a month or a day. And we should not allow officers to be disciplined or evaluated on their job performance based on a quota system of citations. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 3411 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 106 voting 'yes', 9 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present, Senate Bill 3411, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "Committee Reports. Representative Currie, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules reports the following committee action taken on May 21, 2014: recommends be adopted Motion to Table Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 3022."

Speaker Turner: "Representative McAuliffe, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

McAuliffe: "Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Turner: "Please state your point, Sir."

McAuliffe: "I'm joined here with Senator Mulroe, and I'd like to have the whole Legislature welcome Oriole School which is in the northwest... which is located northwest side of the City of Chicago one of the great public schools in the city. Welcome."

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

- Speaker Turner: "Welcome to your Capitol. Senate Bill 3398, Representative Sims. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3398, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Sims."

- Sims: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 3398 amends the Illinois Vehicle Code by allowing an owner of a B or D plated license plate... license plated truck to pay a \$10 surcharge to be designated a covered farm vehicle. I know of no opposition and ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Turner: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 3398 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. Senate Bill 3398, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2636, Representative Lang. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2636, a Bill for an Act concerning public health. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Leader Lang."

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. This Bill concerns the medical cannabis but it's not an expansion. I know that it's not on the watch list for targets or anything like that. As you know, when we passed the original medical cannabis Bill last year, there were... there was a list of qualifying conditions for patients. That list was never

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

intended to be all inclusive, in fact, that Bill included a provision where the Department of Public Health could add provisions by rule. Since that time, we have determined that epilepsy was a disease, a condition, and the seizures associated with it should have been part of that Bill. We've had a significant amount of testimony, lots of anecdotal evidence, lots of research showing that epilepsy should have been part of that, and particularly as it relates to children. As you know, the Bill we passed said that no one under 18 could use the product, but yet, those who are under 18 who have had dramatic amounts of seizures can benefit from a small amount, a drop or two of marijuana oil. These marijuana oils are not high in THC, people don't get high from it. It simply has certain chemical qualities that diminish seizures. In fact, we had testimony in committee, and by the way, this passed committee 13 to 0, we had testimony in committee from parents who indicated their children with epilepsy, when they took a few drops of marijuana oil under their tongue, had their seizures reduced from over a hundred a day to less than five a day. Because of the laws of our state and the laws of other states, many parents have taken their kids to Colorado so they can live a normal life. This Bill would add epilepsy to the list of qualifying conditions and allow children under 18 to be able to use the product. This Bill also added provisions the Senate forgot to add. It forbids children from smoking the product and it forbids children from purchasing the product. And so, we've made those changes in our Amendment. The Amendment also recognizes that picking out just one group of children and saying you can have this

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

product, but children with other serious illnesses cannot, is not particularly fair. Ιf you have a child unfortunately, a brain tumor or Crohn's disease or some other serious painful condition, you would say why children with epilepsy and not our children? And so, this Bill doesn't give a blanket authority for those other children, but creates a path and simply says that the Department of Public Health can create rules to allow other children with other qualifying diseases permission to use this product, but not smoke it and not purchase it. And because of these changes, I believe we have a good solid piece of legislation that even those of you who voted against medical marijuana previously can support. All of us have heard from parents of children with epilepsy and all of us know how important this Bill is to them. I would encourage your 'aye' votes."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Leader yield?"

Speaker Turner: "The Sponsor will yield."

Sandack: "Leader Lang, you touched on it, but I think it's worth reiterating, the qualities of this product we're talking about with respect to minors. It's not smoked. There's no... I don't think there's any ability to actually get high or if there is, it's de minimis, and it's got good efficacy insofar as treating epileptic minors. Just differentiate a little bit more between what we passed last Session because I'm one of those that did not vote for it, but I think that there's a lot of compelling reasons for this Bill."

Lang: "When we passed last year's Bill, I would have preferred to include children, not because I wanted to get them high, but

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

because it's clear that a 17-year-old child with let's say, a serious condition of cancer that is very painful, is in no less pain than a 19-year-old person with the same disease. But in the process of negotiating that Bill, it was simply apparent I had to drop it out and I felt it was unfortunate that I did. Now that this Bill has come over from the Senate, we have an opportunity to at least create a path for children. In the area of epilepsy, which is where this Bill started, children don't get... they don't get... take this product to get high, in fact, they use a product that is marijuana oil which has hardly any THC in it at all. THC is the chemical in cannabis that allow... that would create a high in someone, and I'm assuming that the department, as they create their rules, would put limits on THC for other children if other children are allowed under these rules to get this product."

- Sandack: "And you mentioned it... I think you mentioned anecdotally some of the efficacy that this oil has shown for children with epilepsy. Is there any medical evidence or any treatise that show, I guess, the propriety of this advanced use for children with..."
- Lang: "The Epilepsy Foundation has done substantial research on this, and so, not only do we have thousands of anecdotal records but real good research on this issue as well."
- Sandack: "Thank you, Leader. To the Bill. I was one of the folks that Representative Lang indicated that didn't vote for medical marijuana. I didn't think the time was right. This Legislature the... as a whole, and the Governor thought otherwise. It now makes no sense to limit the ability for minors, juveniles with epilepsy, the ability to get a by-

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

product frankly, a very limiting nongatewayesque component of an oil that can could give them relief. So, I stand in strong support of this Bill and ask those who even voted like I did last time, which I... you know, to support this Bill because I think it's a good Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Demmer."

Demmer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "The Sponsor will yield."

Demmer: "Representative, you mentioned that this allows individuals under the age of 18 to purchase the oil. What's the consent agreement that a parent would have to arrange for this?"

Lang: "Under the original medical marijuana Bill that we passed, there's a procedure for caregivers to register. Parents would register as caregivers and then be able to purchase this product for their children."

Demmer: "So, this would have to have the agreement of the physician of the child, the parents plus the Department of Public Health to issue the card?"

Lang: "Right. None... none of the original requirements of House Bill 1, that we passed last year, are dropped. The child still needs a bona fide relationship with a doctor. The doctor still has to write a letter to the Department of Public Health asserting that the doctor wants the child to have this product. The medical records of the child to prove that there's a bona fide relationship and to prove the child has one of the qualifying diseases, if it's epilepsy or whatever other disease for children might be added by rule and the parents have to approve this as well, and so nothing has been

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

dropped. In fact, the requirement is now higher because the parents have to sign off on it and the patient, him- or herself being a minor, cannot purchase the product."

Demmer: "And the registration card to the patient or the caregiver will be issued. Is it different than the registration card that an adult would be issued?"

Lang: "Yes, it is a different card. So, that when they are purchasing the product, the dispensary that's selling the product knows it's being sold for a minor."

Demmer: "Now the... House Bill 1 established cultivation centers and dispensaries, would the cultivation centers be producing this oil product and selling it through dispensaries?"

Speaker Lang: "Under House Bill 1, all products are manufactured by the cultivation centers. The dispensaries only sell the product, they don't make any of it. So, the Department of Agriculture will be doing their appropriate due diligence to review the work of the cultivation centers, and it is also true that every product made by a cultivation center has to be labeled as to its content including THC."

Demmer: "Thank you very much."

Lang: "Thank you, Sir."

Demmer: "And to the Bill. Again, I was one who voted 'no' on House Bill 1 for the establishment of the program primarily because I was a little uncomfortable with the General Assembly being in the role of determining what was or wasn't effective medical practice. However, House Bill 1 is the law of the land today. I think this reflects a positive evolution ensuring that all patients who participate in the program are eligible to do so. And I'll be voting 'yes' today. Thank you."

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

Speaker Turner: "Representative Bellock."

Bellock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "The Sponsor will yield."

Bellock: "Thank you very much, Representative, and I know that we certainly all care about the children with epilepsy and we talked to a lot of the parents. I just have a couple of questions on this. Because, you know, I was so opposed to the other Bill, I just still have concerns on this in that, number one, there have been no clinical trials on this at all, have there?"

Lang: "In the area of epilepsy?"

Bellock: "Right."

Lang: "Well, there..."

Bellock: "On the use of the oil..."

Lang: "There... there are thousands of anecdotal records, but the Epilepsy Foundation it does substantial research into this. Whether there have been actual, put it in quotes, clinical trials' or not, I can't answer, Representative."

Bellock: "What I'm talking about is certified medical clinical trial."

Lang: "No, but if you're a parent that has a child that's had a hundred... generally has a hundred seizures a day and you put two drops of a product under their tongue and it reduces to zero or two or five, you're pretty happy."

Bellock: "Oh, I understand that. I just... my other question is, again, this goes one step further that... in a concern of mine as far as remember when I asked about the other about who is the enforcement on this and with the original Bill, it was

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

public health. And I had concerns that Public Health wouldn't even be able to enforce that because Public Health is so stretched as far as their personnel. I mean, it's been decimated as what they say when they come before us. So, in this case, in the other Bill, it was adults or people over 18 that were going to be able to manage it themselves. In this case, who is going to monitor the actual, you know, if somebody... I took it that it says syringe under the tongue. I mean, where is the enforcement going to come from that as to, you know, if it's being implemented by right. Is that Public Health again?"

Lang: "The Department of Public Health is responsible for patients.

And so, the parents would pay a fee just like caregivers under

House Bill 1. The Department of Public Health supports this
legislation."

Bellock: "Okay. And so, who in this case bears the liability on children if there is a problem? Like, this cannot be prescribed by a doctor because we all know that doctors cannot prescribe a federally, you know, illegal drug, so which marijuana still is considered that... so, a concern of mine that I asked some of the parents was, also, is about the liability of their child. Where does that lie if there is a problem with this? Does that lie on the Department of Public Health?"

Lang: "The..."

Bellock: "I mean, just like in any other type of medical treatment that's given..."

Lang: "When a doctor recommends a product to a patient..."

Bellock: "Right."

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

Lang: "...it starts there. So, if your doctor recommends something to you that you can go to Walgreens and purchase today and you have a bad reaction, you might want to go back to your doctor, but if your doctor is using normal medical... reasonable medical practices, there's no liability. It's clear that different people react in different ways to different pharmaceuticals and different over the counter medications, it's no different here."

Bellock: "Well, no... yes, there is a big difference here, Representative, because in any other medicine that is given is prescribed by a doctor. In this case, it is not being prescribed by a doctor because they cannot prescribe this."

Lang: "Well, except..."

Bellock: "A letter..."

Lang: "...but they are ... they are recommending the product..."

Bellock: "Right."

Lang: "...in writing, and they're asserting that they want their patient to have this product. So whether it's legal under Federal Law, illegal under Federal Law, or use the word 'prescribe' or use the word 'recommended', we get to the same place. It's a doctor signing a piece of paper recommending that his or her patient have this product."

Bellock: "Thank you. Again, I said... you know, I certainly care about this issue. I just still have concerns over that and I appreciate your answers. Thank you very much."

Lang: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Morrison."

Morrison: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

Morrison: "Thank you. Leader Lang, in your opening remarks you talked about the benefits of oil, and I'm just... well, first of all, let me just say I met with the parents and other participants in the Epilepsy Foundation. I found that their... their remarks compelling and we all want to help children who are suffering from epilepsy. But in your opening remarks, you talked about the benefits of oil, specifically oil, you know, placed under the tongue. Why wouldn't you put oils in the text of the Bill? It seems like in the text of the Bill there's just a very broad application of cannabis for treating epilepsy in children."

Lang: "Well, first of all, it's conceivable that someone who's over 18 would not use an oil, but they probably would because the evidence is strong that it's the oil that helps epilepsy.

These people are not interested in getting high, Representative."

Morrison: "I understand."

Lang: "They're not... and they're not in pain, so... so those that use medical cannabis to mask pain are not in this category. These are folks that are interested in alleviating their seizures, and that's what this oil will do, so they're not going to use it in any other way. There'd be no reason for them to, and there'd be no reason for them to purchase it in any other way."

Morrison: "I just... I have concerns that... for those... the way the Bill is drafted that there's an opening for children to have access to this and not just the oils, but perhaps smoking it or other things. So, I'll listen to the debate, but those are some of my concerns."

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

Speaker Turner: "Representative Jakobsson."

Jakobsson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "The Sponsor will yield."

Jakobsson: "Representative, there's been a lot of talk about this being used for children under 18. Are those over 18 with epilepsy able to use this?"

Lang: "Yes. This would add epilepsy as a qualifying condition under the original Act, and then say that those under 18 with parental and doctor permission and all those things, can use the product."

Jakobsson: "Thank you. I wanted to be sure to codify that with all of the compelling testimonies that we had in committee. There was so much attention given to those under 18, and I've had constituents contact me who are over 18 who are suffering from epilepsy, and they've told me about the days they've had to miss from their jobs or just the difficulty of dealing with things that they've forgotten immediately after an epilepsy episode. And they've come to me and said, what about us? And I said, yes, you're covered in that Bill. So, I just want to make sure it's right. So, to the Bill. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Representative David Harris."

Harris, D.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A question of the Sponsor?" Speaker Turner: "Sponsor yields."

Harris, D.: "Representative, as you know, I was one who voted for the medical marijuana Bill, HB 1 last year. Just a couple of questions I have about this Bill, if I may. First of all, by way of a clarification, I know one of the Representatives... one of the previous speakers had a question about the

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

physician being able to prescribe, and I think for clarification what we... or what you put into House Bill 1 was the fact that there had to be a written certification by a physician to a qualifying registered patient that and that certification, even though it wasn't a prescriptive order, it was and had to be dated and signed by that physician that was caring for the patient. Is that correct?"

Lang: "Yes, and it even went farther than that. You can't just pick any doctor..."

Harris, D.: "Right."

Lang: "...you have to have a bona fide relationship and you've to provide all your medical records..."

Harris, D.: "Right."

Lang: "...to prove that you have been treated by this doctor for this medical condition."

Harris, D.: "Right. And again, I think what we did in House Bill 1 and what this Legislature did was I think a positive step and a good Bill. On this one though, let me ask you. The... the House Bill 1 really is not yet in effect, I mean, yes, the rules are now written and the cultivation centers and dispensaries are being set up and the like, but it's not yet in effect. And did we not give the Department of Public Health the opportunity to expand the areas or the conditions for which medical marijuana can be used, and if they did... if we did, which I think that's what we did in House Bill 1, why do we have to come back and put this into law now before it's even operational?"

Speaker Lang: "It's a excellent question, Representative. And we did in House Bill 1, allow the department to expand the

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

medical condition by rule by having people come into a panel that they would create and state their case and convince them. But we have a couple of different issue here. First, it is so clear that this product helps for epilepsy, I didn't want to wait much longer, and nor did Senator Martinez who's with me who's the Senate Sponsor of the Bill. Second, and perhaps more important, this product is incredibly helpful for young children with epilepsy, and the law we passed did not allow for anyone under 18 to use this product under any circumstances. And it was a failing in that Bill. Maybe I had to do it to pass the Bill, but I felt it was a failing, a weakness in the Bill. And the department cannot add children under 18 to use this product by rule, they have to do it by statute."

Harris, D.: "Okay. And I want to track for a second on what, again, one of the previous speakers said. Other states, and I think Florida in particular, specifically designated the use of the oil. We don't do that in this... in this Bill. We say, forms other than, and I don't have the exact words in front of me, but forms other than solid or that can be smoked. I mean, you're just not talking about oils there, I mean, it can be consumed in other ways besides smoking, correct? I mean, you could... not that I would ever know about this, but you could put it in cookies or other sorts of baked goods."

Lang: "That... that's correct, but that's not what parents of these children do. There is no evidence that any parent would give this product to their child in any other way. But we need to allow for the fact that adults might use this product in another way, so the department will still have to deal with

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

these issues by rule. And relative to the issue of other qualifying conditions for those under 18, the department 'may' do that by rule and then when they do that they can limit by rule the THC content, they can limit by rule even further how a product would be taken, but we didn't think it was appropriate to just tell the department everything. They're going to bring in medical experts; they're going to bring in parents. They're going to bring in all kinds of people to discuss this issue before they come up with all of the rules that will move this forward. As you know from House Bill 1 and as you stated, we're still moving out the rules through the JCAR process for House Bill 1, and even at that, it will be the end of the year or at least fall before people are growing this product. And so, it will be many months before patients will have this product in their hand, a good length of time so the department can draft good strong rules that will go through the JCAR process to deal with those under 18."

Harris, D.: "Okay. Thank you. And just very briefly, to the Bill.

Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I believe and why I voted
for House Bill 1, I believe what we did here in this state
was very positive, it was a very tight Bill what we talked
about from seed to sale, House Bill 1 was a very tight Bill.
I have some initial concerns about Senate Bill 2636, however,
I believe the anecdotal evidence shows that the product does
work. I would have liked to seen some tighter language, but
I think the Sponsor has a good Bill here. I encourage a 'yes'
vote."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Ives."

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

- Ives: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a few questions?"
- Speaker Turner: "The Sponsor will yield."
- Ives: "I was present when you actually presented the original Bill in committee, and I actually had then spoke with your presenter who is also a resident in my district, and it was very... at that point it was very specific to epilepsy in children. Is that correct?"
- Lang: "When the Bill came out of committee, it was simply about epilepsy."
- Ives: "Okay. And I was very positive about that having heard from the presenter and in spending an entire hour with him and listening to him. And I'm now reading though... Floor Amendment 2 actually allows the Department of Health to add any other medical condition to this Bill. Is that correct?"
- Lang: "It does not mandate it, but it allows them to in the same way they can now add additional medical conditions under the original House Bill 1 that we passed."
- Ives: "So in children, could they... I mean, potentially say, well,
 this may help ADD?"
- Lang: "I didn't hear the question, Representative."
- Ives: "Could they potentially then say, you know, we're going to have the Department of Health then basically say, well, maybe this would be helpful for kids with ADD or ADHD or other physiological issues or anything. Is that correct?"
- Lang: "The answer to that would be only if it's a qualifying condition under the statute or another qualifying condition that the department themselves approve. They could not add

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

children for a condition that was not a qualifying condition under the law."

Ives: "Okay. But we're giving them a lot of leeway to decide how this product... or who... what conditions this product could be used for. We're setting it up with the rule makers and not just specifying that it's only for epilepsy, which we know it helps epilepsy, we know in a big way, right? They're going to have a lot of leeway here."

Lang: "This is why I added to the Bill that the product cannot be smoked and this is why I added to the Bill that the product cannot be purchased by those under 18 to make sure there were parents and doctors involved in the process. I would also state that, as you know, there's a rigorous rulemaking process here in the State of Illinois. It's not simply bureaucrats at the Department of Public Health, but it has to go through JCAR. And if you'll talk to the three Members of the House on your side of the aisle about the JCAR process, they will tell you how difficult it is and how rigorous it is. And I think you need not worry about what will come through that process."

Ives: "Okay. And... all right. That's all my questions. Thank you."
Speaker Turner: "Leader Durkin."

Durkin: "To the Bill. Add me to the list of people in this chamber who voted against House Bill 1 last year, but as you'll see right now I'm an hyphenated cosponsor, and I want to congratulate the Sponsor for the hard work he's done on explaining this issue. And you know, and I was, at first, you know, a bit skeptical because of my vote on House Bill 1 but also my background. But I took some time to really study the issue, and I think Leader Lang has done a very good job of

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

making sure that... it meets my satisfaction that it's not something to be smoked, it's going to be restricted, very highly restricted, but it's... if you look into the oil itself, it is a very low THC content to it. And I agree with him 100 percent that no one's going to get high or no kid's going to get high or any type of addictive situation which they will... which will present itself. But I also have a constituent who was down in my office last week and it was Kelly O'Donnell who's... her and her husband Bob live in Western Springs and their son Hugh O'Donnell..."

Speaker Turner: "Excuse me, Leader Durkin. Shhh. Members of the General Assembly, Leader Durkin is speaking on a Bill."

Durkin: "But I think. Thank you. And I was visited by Kelly O'Donnell who's one of my constituents, lives in Western Springs, Illinois, her son Hugh is 14-years-old but at the age of 6 he experienced his first seizure and has happened on a regular basis. And since then, he's averaged approximately 60 to 70 days of school that he's lost because of the seizures. I also was visited by Casey Nunez. At the age of 12 she was diagnosed with her first... she experienced her first set of seizures, and she has approximately seven gran mal seizures on a nightly basis. I don't know how you could ignore these fact situations, these anecdotal situations of the ... of this terrible tragedy that these families are going through and particularly these young children. This all started many years ago with a young girl by the name of Charlotte Fiji out of Colorado and it's a product that they call Charlotte's Web and that's the oil that they use. By the age of two she experienced approximately 30... 300 gran mal seizures per week,

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

and then upon the first time she took a dose of this oil... could we bring some order in here, please?"

Speaker Turner: "Members, can we please bring the noise level down and take all conversation to the rear of the chamber. It's becoming increasingly difficult to hear the debate. Thank you very much. Leader Durkin."

Durkin: "But the first... the first person to actually use this as I said, this Charlotte Fiji at the age of two had her first episode of seizures and was it estimated that she suffered approximately 300 gran mal seizures per week. Upon her first dose of this oil, this cannabidiol oil which is what we're seeking to have passed in this Bill, there was a dramatic reduction the first day after taking that oil. No, there's no clinical studies, but the fact is, the parents have no other option to go. The medicines that these children are taking, some of them are so strong that they're equally debilitating actually as the actual infliction of the seizures that these children have. I think that it's... it's a very... it's a difficult decision, but I think we have an opportunity, a great opportunity to change the quality of life and improve the quality of life of these young children who are just living a horrible life and going through these very, very horrible seizure episodes. Again, I was an opponent to House Bill 1, but with a little research but also talking to these families, I believe this is the right thing to do. And I compliment the Sponsor for the work he's done in explaining the situation. I recommend an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Leader Lang to close."

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And Ladies and Gentlemen. I thank you all for your questions. I know this is a tough issue and I know that there's been a lot of good thought put into this. I appreciate particularly Members of the House who previously were opposed to House Bill 1 who have rethought their position as to this Bill and how it affects those with epilepsy and children. I particularly want to thank Senator Martinez who shepherded this through the Senate. I want to thank Leader Durkin for his help in moving this along. Ladies and Gentlemen, this is an important piece of legislation to help people with epilepsy and to potentially help children in our state receive a better quality of life. This Bill is not perfect. There are other things we could do to help children, but for today, I'm satisfied that we're creating a path to give these children and their families a better life. I would encourage your 'aye' votes."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 2636 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 98 voting 'yes', 18 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', Senate Bill 2636, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 17 of the Calendar, under the Order of Resolutions, we have House Joint Resolution 96. Representative Zalewski."

Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I present to the Body, House Joint Resolution 96. The Body is... rarely done Joint Committees, and hopefully, it's a testament to how important this issue is. We need to have a wholesale discussion about

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

sentencing in this Body... in this building. It became apparent to me over the course of the last several months. It's been apparent to many people for a good long while, and it needs to be thoughtful and it needs to be substantive and it needs to happen as soon as possible. So, I'm hopeful that I can get an 'aye' vote on this and deliver a report to the Body by the end of the year. I'd ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Reboletti."

Reboletti: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Reboletti: "Representative, I appreciate what you're trying to accomplish here, but I think I've served on a number of task forces and committees that have had the charge of addressing these issues. Why would this particular committee have any different outcome? I've been involved in the authoring of majority reports, minority reports, all of which are supposed to be digested by this Body, and for the most part, as you know the task force we spend a lot of time researching issues and a lot of time not much of anything comes out of them. Is this going to be any different?"

Zalewski: "Yes. I... only because I think, Representative, that you know as well as anybody that we're all talking about the same ideas here. It's... that there's really a lack of magic. There's a lot of... there's a lack of magic in the room about what we need to do here. It's a matter of sitting down and really finding out what the stakeholders feel about each idea and putting pen to paper. I mean, in the last few years, this Body has done really good things when the effort has been

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

bicameral, bipartisan, and that's what's going to happen here."

Reboletti: "And so when would this committee begin? Obviously, you have a date at which it should report back to the General Assembly. Would... do the meetings begin in June or do they begin in July..."

Zalewski: "As soon as the Leaders make their appointments, I sense that the work would begin. So, hopefully early June."

Reboletti: "And would the meetings be in Chicago? Are they going to be here? Will they be throughout the state..."

Zalewski: "Any..."

Reboletti: "...or we'll leave that up to the Members?"

Zalewski: "...anywhere we want to go that is willing to talk about these issues. I think it's important that this is a... this isn't just a Chicago problem, it isn't just a Cook County problem. What happens in Murphysboro is just as important as what happens in Cook County or Collinsville or Peoria. So, I think it needs to happen all over the state."

Reboletti: "Some of Members on my side of the aisle are concerned that we just realigned our sentencing guidelines with the CLEAR commission and so that... they feel this may be duplicative. What's your thoughts on that, Representative?"

Zalewski: "My response is, we just spent a spring in Judiciary where we couldn't do enhancement Bills because, in part, there was a concern that we weren't taking a careful analytical approach. And Members would come before the Judiciary Committee and they would... we would hear them out, and... and at the end of the day, we had... we would have to go back and explain then there really wasn't a need for this Bill or this

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

Bill would unduly burden the system without really providing a benefit that the… all the State of Illinois could see, and that's not a recipe for success. Having this one and one approach or this piecemeal approach is just not, you know it and I know it, it's not the way to do these things."

- Reboletti: "Are there any particular statutes that you're thinking about reviewing or this committee would review?"
- Zalewski: "I think we need to take a good look at the Correctional Code. I think we need to take a look at the Controlled Substances Code. I think we need to take a look at basically all the criminal statutes. And I cleared out a very nice job of taking old and making it new. This is more, I think my vision is, taking what's on the books and seeing whether it really works."
- Reboletti: "Well, Representative, I... I support it. I anticipate that I may be a member, I'm not sure, but I am a betting man and I'll going to assume I will be there, I would hope that you also will be there."

Zalewski: "I hope so too, Representative."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Flowers."

Reboletti: "I think it's important, Representative, that we do review our sentencing structure. A number of states have changed their approach with respect to dealing with individuals who are charged with drug crimes, how they're sentenced what the rehabilitative factors are, and how we should move forward, not only to have better outcomes for those individuals but to save the taxpayer dollar. So, I would hope that by December 1 that this committee would have a report that this General Assembly could act on. Thank you."

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield? Representative, once again, what is the purpose of this? Is it just to review the criminal justice system in regards to sentencing or are we talking about what we've done in the past and how we could deal with some type of reconciliation about the things that we've done and move forward and to learn from the mistakes that we've made in the past because it has cost all of us so much money, it has cost so many lives that we've destroyed because of our criminal justice system? Is that what you're trying to do here?"

Zalewski: "Yes."

Flowers: "I think it's fantastic quite frankly."

Zalewski: "Thank you, thank you..."

Flowers: "And I couldn't have wrote that script any better. And I would love... I look forward to joining you on this commission. Thank you."

Zalewski: "You and me, Mary."

Flowers: "Me and you, absolutely. Thank you. I Motion 'do pass'."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Dunkin."

Dunkin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. I'd like... to the Resolution. I'd like to thank the Sponsor for taking this issue very seriously along with us as Members here in this chamber. This issue has been discussed quite actively and robustly over the last year. This is certainly the right step for us. We look forward to working with all of us here in this chamber for great ideas of how we reform and look at our sentencing, look at the cost structure, look at sort of the balance of issues that really make all the difference in the world. So, thank you, Leader Zalewski, for this legislation.

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

I'm looking forward to working with you on this as well. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Riley."

Riley: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

Riley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Zalewski, I commend you on this Resolution and certainly anything that I can do to help out with it I will. There have been many committees that have tried to look at these kinds of issues but one of the things that I have found, and I want to ask you a question about it, is that over the years, over the last maybe 15 or so years, we've sort of moved from the evidence-based kind of methods of looking at what's happening, having practitioners come in, to really looking at statistical analysis that a lot of groups have done, which will give you statistically significant outcomes in terms of looking at convictions and so on and so forth. Many groups do it, you know, about the sentencing project and other groups. I'm hoping that you would enlist statisticians and these research groups that have done this kind of research to give sort of qualitative acceptance of what you qualitatively will... will look at. What do you think about that?"

Zalewski: "Absolutely. You have my firm commitment, Leader Riley, that this is going to be a data-driven micro approach to what is wrong with burdening our system like we do right now, and what can be done to fix it. Again, I think the solutions have been out there and we've just lacked the will to address them. And my goal is to try to parlay the data into something that people can vote for in this Body."

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

Riley: "Thank you. I firmly support your Resolution."

Speaker Turner: "Leader Lang in the Chair."

Speaker Lang: "I love that. Mr. Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield for a question or two?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Sandack: "Michael, I'm looking over the analysis and I think the basis and the purpose of this reform committee is very well intended and I think it... it's a good thing, but I'm always distressed when I see these things, especially when someone says, this is bicameral and bipartisan. Well, it's really not is it? Right?"

Zalewski: "Because of the math?"

Sandack: "Well, math matters, Michael, does it not? Does math bother you, it bothers me when it's not equal. So, when someone says bicameral and bipartisan usually suggesting a true partnership and you know, equal status at trying to glean better policies."

Zalewski: "Let me... let me answer your question this way, Ron."

Sandack: "Well, actually I get to ask the questions..."

Zalewski: "Okay."

Sandack: "...you have to answer them. That's how this works."

Zalewski: "Okay."

Sandack: "Right, Leader?"

Zalewski: "Whatever you ask, the answer's already coming."

Sandack: "I'm trained like that. But seriously, why not make this thing equal, co-status? This is an important type of thing you're endeavoring to do. And I join you in trying to do this right."

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

Zalewski: "Ron, what I would say is that there is noth..."

Sandack: "It's easy to say, Michael, come on."

Zalewski: "There is nothing that's going to pass this chamber that won't require by buy-in from your side. That's the bottom line. So, we need to be... we will need to include everyone. We'll need to include the Gentleman from... the Gentleman from DuPage or the Gentleman from Kendall or yourself. It's going to require bipartisan buy-in. And you know, the ma... to me, if there's three Members from our side, two from your side or one from our side four from your side, it's not going to matter as much as the substance. I just don't think that's... I don't think that's a reason not to vote for the Resolution."

Sandack: "To the Resolution. The Gentleman's response actually helps my position. It doesn't matter if it's four again one, two, three, the composition ought to be equal. This is an important commission. This is what we're trying to achieve ought to be bipartisan; it ought to be bicameral; this is in akin or akin to JCAR or the Audit Commission. It rises above petty partisan politics. The composition of this commission matters, I'm asking you... I'm going to ask the Sponsor to pull it from the record and to consider making this truly bicameral and bipartisan. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Zalewski to close."

Zalewski: "I appreciate the previous speaker's request. I'm going to decline it and what I'm going to say is, joint committees are unique in this Body. This isn't a task force. This isn't something we do around here every day, and as a result of that it has to reflect the Body. This is going to be important

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

- work. Hopefully those of you who know me know that I never shun anyone's opinion and I always try to listen, and especially, that's going to be especially true on this particular piece of work... So... if I'm fortunate enough to be named to the committee, I'd ask for an 'aye' vote and I hope that we can do good work on this."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Gentleman's Resolution will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Harris, Leach, Moffitt, Unes. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 83 voting 'yes', 33 voting 'no'. And the Resolution is adopted. Senate Bill 3022. The Chair recognizes Representative McAsey. Representative McAsey."
- McAsey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to table House Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 3022."
- Speaker Lang: "The Amendment is tabled. Mr. Clerk. Those in favor of the Lady's Motion to Table will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'.

 The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is tabled. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3022, a Bill for a Bill concerning courts. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. On page 5 of the Calendar, under the Order of House Bills-Second Reading, there appears House Bill 4665, Representative Golar. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 4665, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. This Bill was read a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #3 is offered by Representative Golar and is approved for consideration."

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

- Speaker Lang: "Representative Golar."
- Golar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just one moment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 4665 is an initiative of the Department of Human Rights. Floor Amendment 3 really becomes the Bill and it makes changes to the membership of the Inner Agency Committee on employees with disabilities. I'll be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment... say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. On page 6 of the Calendar, House Bills-Third Reading, House Bill 4889, Mr. Walsh. Please read the Bill. Excuse me, 5889, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Walsh. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 5889, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Walsh."
- Walsh: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 5889 is an initiative of the 12th Judicial Circuit in Will County. Basically what this Bill will do is give the Will County Board the availability to add an additional filing fee and a judgment fee on court cases that would... and then that money would be derived into a Judicial Facilities Fund which will be one of the revenue sources for building a new Will County courthouse. In Will County, the courthouse we presently have was built in 1969 when we had about 125 thousand people... residents in the county. We are now well over three-quarters of a million and the building just isn't

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

sufficient to handle the workload that's going through there right now. Secondly, we, due to our population, have the availability of eight additional judges to handle these cases. The Supreme Court won't allow us to have them 'cause we don't have the space to house them. I'd be happy to answer any questions. Would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Kosel."

Kosel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. Just want to let the people here know that this is an initiative of Will County to help itself. And where this courthouse, as he said, was built in the late '60s, it also has some structural problems that really need to be addressed. People should feel physically safe when they are in a courthouse, not just safe in... within our court system. So, I would ask for your approval, please."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sandack."

Sandack: "Thank you, Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a few questions?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Sandack: "Representative Walsh, I've had the pleasure and the frustration of utilizing that building quite frequently in my professional endeavors. It's clearly a dated building and it clearly needs work, as the previous speaker spoke of, there's some structural things. Just give us an idea of how, as the previous spoker... speaker talked about, how it's being contained in Will County. How the fees are being gen... utilized by participants and users of the system in Will County."

Walsh: "Well, basically, the way we have this set up is it would...

if you go and your first appearance in filing a say a civil

case, there would be, what the chief judges told me, the

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

intention is around \$15. It's anywhere between 10 or 30, but that first initial filing per party would be \$15. In a court judgment, if the person goes to the court and gets, let's just say a traffic ticket and gets supervision, pays the fee, it'd be a \$15 additional fee on there. That money then is diverted to the Judicial Facilities Fund that would be dedicated for the sole purpose of revenue for a new courthouse. Now, if a person were to get a ticket and they pay that fine without going to court, there's no additional fee. So, it's basically a user fee."

Sandack: "It is a user fee and I was just reminded by my colleague, in some instances the judges can waive the fees if it's deemed appropriate in their professional discretion."

Walsh: "That is correct."

Sandack: "Thank you. To the Bill. I stand in support of the Gentleman's Bill. It is a user fee, but it's... it is designated to those in the system at Will County who are either in because they should be in the system or wish to avail themselves of the court's privileges. So, I think this is a reasonable fee measured in all respects. And I ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Reboletti."

Reboletti: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Reboletti: "Representative, how much money would this raise yearly, is there any estimates as to what the totals would be?"

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

- Walsh: "The estimates based on the fees that were talked about with the chief judge, they're looking at anywhere between one and two million dollars per year."
- Reboletti: "And is there any idea about what the total cost of a new facility would be?"
- Walsh: "Well, that's all in discussions right now. I know the county has just purchased the neighboring building from First Midwest Bank. Some of the talks are anywhere between a hundred and fifty to two hundred million dollars depending on what the consultants come up with here in the near future. So, this would be one of the pieces of revenue for that funding."
- Reboletti: "And then I anticipate then there was a capital bill or the county board would come up with some other revenue streams and construction may happen or... they haven't even figured out exactly what is it they're going to do yet though, right? Is that fair to say?"
- Walsh: "That is fair to say. This is... this is an initiative of the chief judge who feels that, because he has a partnership in this courthouse, that we should... that he should be doing his fair part and that's why he came to us asking for this... this situation."
- Reboletti: "To the Bill, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, as somebody who practiced in that facility for eight years and still practices there from time to time, it is a measure whose time has come. The courthouse was once explained to me by another attorney as a building that looked like it was dropped off in a crate but the crate was actually what was left. It is not a very distinguished building, unfortunately, whose time has long come and gone. And when that building was built

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

in the late '60s, it was basically already too small. And there are a number of concerns in the facility for the safety of all of those who work there and who use its services. If you were to drive by on Chicago Avenue... Chicago Street on any given day, you will see hundreds of people lined up outside in all types of weather trying to get to their court calls. It's hard for court to start on time when the defendants and the case litigate... the case litigants are outside. There's also a number of safety risks of how inmates are transported back and forth to the new county jail. There was recently a portion, I think it was the floor, Representative Walsh, where there was flooding and collapse of a structure, again, making it unsafe for the people of the county and that those that use the facility. While this is a small portion of the funding, it only makes sense that one of the largest counties in the state, have a modern building that the people could be proud of and that will make sure that all those that are there are safe and that the business of justice can be meted out on a daily basis. I urge its support."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Walsh to close."

Walsh: "I'd just like to thank Leader Durkin and my friends here that worked with me on this Bill. As the previous speaker said that this building is not sufficient to handle the caseloads that we have. Just three weeks ago, the floor buckled and on first floor right in front of the elevators and just made everything in disarray and basically disrupts the purpose in the time that people have to spend in that building. So, again, I'd like to thank those that helped in working this Bill. And, I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Brauer, Cross. Please take the record. On this question, there are 72 voting 'yes', 42 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Under the Order of Resolutions, on page 18 of the Calendar, there appears House Resolution 1002, Mr. Cavaletto. Please proceed, Sir."

Cavaletto: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Resolution 1004, the Envirothon. It started in 1995. the Envirothon..."

Speaker Lang: "Mr... Mr. Cavaletto this is House Resolution 1002 which is also yours."

Cavaletto: "Okay. I thought you said 1004 first."

Speaker Lang: "We're doing 1002, Sir."

Cavaletto: "All right. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "You want to proceed?"

Cavaletto: "This 1002 urges the Federal Railroad Administration and IDOT along with the local muncip... governments and affected railroad corporations to work in concert to find their solution to a numerous grade crossing in Centralia. Especially the grade crossing on US51, they're both northbound and southbound traffic. Waits can reach up to a half an hour stifling commerce as well as potential delaying emergency responders. Not only affects Centralia but neighboring communities depends on the Route 51."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Resolution vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Resolution is adopted. Mr.

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

- Cavaletto, we'll go ahead and do, as long as you're standing, House Resolution 1004. Please proceed."
- Cavaletto: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Envirothon Fund stared in 1995. The Envirothon is a hands-on environmental problemsolving competition for high school students in the United States and Canada. It is a great avenue to teach ecology and promote natural resources management to our youth. Over 18 thousand students have learned these caretaking principles for the Envirothon since its inception."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Resolution say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. The Chair recognizes Mr. Brady."
- Brady: "Mr. Speaker, on a previous Bill, Senate Bill 5889, would like the record to reflect my intention was to vote 'yes'."
- Speaker Lang: "The record will reflect your intention, Sir. House Resolution 1023, Representative Wheeler. Please proceed."
- Wheeler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and thank you Members of the House. There is an Amendment to House Resolution 1023. The Amendment to the Resolution is a correction, a grammar correction from 'element' to 'elements'. And also we're going to move a, therefore, be it resolved, the best management practices for the safety of storage of tailings would be applied."
- Speaker Lang: "So, the Representative has already explained the Amendment, but Mr. Clerk, please announce the Amendment."
- Clerk Hollman: "Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Wheeler and has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Res... the Amendment to the Resolution say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

the Amendment is adopted. Now Representative, have you closed already on your Resolution?"

Wheeler: "Yes."

- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Resolution say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. House Resolution 1024, Representative Wheeler, please proceed."
- Wheeler: "Thank you. House Resolution 1024 encourages... encourage Illinois universities to apply a bike share or to explore a bike share program within their school."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Resolution say 'yes'; opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted.

 Mr. Hoffman on House Resolution 1026. Please proceed, Sir."

Hoffman: "This would designate Navy Week."

- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Resolution say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. House Resolution 1037, Representative Nekritz."
- Nekritz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Resolution 1037 acknowledges Caremark CVS for its recent action to stop selling any tobacco products."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Reboletti. Mr. Reboletti declines to speak on this Resolution. Those in favor of the Resolution say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have. And the Resolution is adopted. House Resolution 1040, Representative Hatcher. Please proceed."
- Hatcher: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Resolution 1040 asks that May be designated Motorcycle Awareness Month as it is every year in Illinois."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Resolution say 'yes'; opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted.

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

House Resolution 1041, Representative Hatcher. Please proceed."

Hatcher: "My pleasure. You know, often in Illinois we make a point of honoring heroes and in Illinois we have some people who have been loved by residents of Illinois, and in fact, the nation for decades. And one of those happens to be the famous master of horror, Svengoolie. On behalf of all those who appreciate science fiction and entertaining Saturday nights, we ask that you designated Halloween of 2014 as Svengoolie Day in Illinois."

Speaker Lang: "Really. Those in favor of the Resolution say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. House Resolution 1044, Mr. Dunkin. Please proceed, Sir."

Dunkin: "Is the Clerk going to do it?"

Lang: "Go ahead, Mr. Dunkin."

Dunkin: "Thank you. House Resolution 1044 is the Chicago Metropolitan Agency of Planning or CMAP to include the crossrail Chicago projects on its list of unconstrained projects were updated. GOTO2040 Document. And I would ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Resolution say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. Mr. Clerk, committee announcements."

Clerk Hollman: "The following committees, the following committees are meeting after... tomorrow morning at 9 a.m. Judiciary is meeting in Room D-1; Personnel and Pensions is meeting in Room 115, Revenue & Finance and State Government Administration is meeting in Room 114, Cities & Villages is

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

meeting in C-1; and Business & Occupation Licenses is meeting in 122."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Clerk, Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Hollman: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 1123, offered by Representative Bradley. House Resolution 1124, offered by Representative Pihos. House Resolution 1125, offered by Representative Franks. House Resolution 1126, offered by David Harris. House Resolution 1127, offered by Representative Cabello. And House Resolution 1128, offered by Representative Cross."

Speaker Lang: "Leader Curies moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Agreed Resolution are adopted. House Democrats, there will be a caucus immediately upon adjournment in Room 114. We don't particularly care what the Republicans do. House Democrats, there will be an immediate Democratic caucus in Room 114 upon adjournment. And now, allowing for perfunctory time for the Clerk, Leader Currie moves that the House stand adjourned. Excuse me. Mr. Bost."

Bost: "Just an inquiry of the Chair. I just want to know if the Governor is was going to be in attendance this time."

Speaker Lang: "I would like to know that myself, Sir."

Bost: "All right. Just check..."

Speaker Lang: "Leader Currie now moves that the House stand adjourned until Thursday, May 22 at the hour of 10 a.m. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the House does stand adjourned until tomorrow, Thursday, May 22 at the hour of 10 a.m. Good luck House in the softball game."

135th Legislative Day

5/21/2014

Clerk Hollman: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order. Introduction and First Reading of Senate Bill. Senate Bill 2015, offered by Representative Cavaletto, a Bill for an Act concerning government. First Reading of this Senate Bill. Introduction and First Reading of House Bill. House Bill 6270, offered by Representative Cassidy, a Bill for an Act creating the Cannabis Legalization Task Force. First Reading of this House Bill. Introduction of Resolutions. Senate Joint Resolution 53, offered by Representative Sims, is referred to the Rules Committee. There being no further business the Perfunctory Session is adjourned."