71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

- Speaker Lang: "The House will be in order. Members will be in their chairs. Members will be in their chairs. All Members will be in their chairs. We shall be led in prayer today by Lee Crawford, the Pastor of the Cathedral of Praise Christian Center in Springfield. Members and guests are asked to refrain from starting their laptops, turn off all cell phones and rise for the invocation and the Pledge of Allegiance. Pastor Crawford."
- Pastor Crawford: "Let us pray. Gracious God our Father, it is because of Your tender mercies that we are not consumed. Father, it's because your compassions they fail us not. Today we're thankful for they are new unto us every morning. So, we ask this day, gracious and sovereign God in Heaven, that You would invoke Your blessings upon this august assembly, upon the Speaker of this House, upon all of its Leaders, upon all of its Members, as well as their families. I pray that they be empowered with Your wisdom. They be led of Your spirit. That they may find strength in Your might. May Your grace, Your mercy, Your peace be with them this day. We pray this in the name of Your precious Son, Amen."
- Speaker Lang: "We'll be led in the Pledge today by Representative Cloonen."
- Cloonen et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Lang: "Roll Call for Attendance. Mr. Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you, Speaker. The excused Members for today are Representative Dan Burke, Representative Kelly Burke,

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

Majority Leader Currie, Representative Jones and Representative Sims."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Bost."

Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let the record reflect that Representatives Sosnowski and Sommer are excused today."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 108 Members present and we do have a quorum. Mr. Drury."

Drury: "Hi, Mr. Leader. I just want to introduce my son Dylan Drury to the General Assembly. He's visiting us today and he's going to be our Page for a day. So, please keep him busy."

Speaker Lang: "Welcome aboard. I'm sure the tips will be abounding. Mr. Bost."

Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If you could also add Representative Morrison to the excused roll."

Speaker Lang: "Representative who?"

Bost: "Tom Morrison."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Morrison is excused, Mr. Clerk. Chair recognizes Representative Bellock."

Bellock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to introduce Jack Ebersold today who is Paging. He's from Downers Grove South High School very interested in government and I'd like to ask everybody to welcome him here today..."

Speaker Lang: "Welcome to Springfield."

Bellock: "...on behalf of Rod Sandack and I. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Thank you for being here. Mr. Davidsmeyer."

Davidsmeyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise for a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "Please proceed."

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

Davidsmeyer: "Today I have with Mark Luth from South Jacksonville.

He's helping me out this summer and I'm happy to have him."

Speaker Lang: "Thank you. Mr. Harms."

Harms: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise on a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "Please proceed."

Harms: "I have my summer intern here Leah Bohlmann if everyone would welcome her to her Capitol."

Speaker Lang: "Welcome to the Illinois House. Mr. Moffitt."

Moffitt: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Rise to a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "Please proceed, Sir."

Moffitt: "If I could have the Body's indulgence, since we last met of course there was a national tragedy and with 19 firefighters out in Arizona who lost their lives. And I think it happens, you know, all the time, never to that amount. But it just brings forward the dangers that our public safety people face every day, firefighters, as well as, law enforcement and EMTs. They put them... themselves between us and harm's way. They were the best trained. I'm sure all of you heard about it. They were the elite of the elite. And be what you could perhaps describe as a perfect storm. Everything that could go wrong, did go wrong. But just to... in a way of paying tribute to those 19, as well as all other firefighters and those that protect us, law enforcement and EMTs every day, a moment of silence, please. I thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Moffitt. Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Rise on a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "Please proceed, Sir."

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

Walsh: "I'd like to take this moment to welcome the person that keeps my district office in order, my LA Colleen Prieboy who's up in the gallery."

Speaker Lang: "Welcome to Springfield. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "Introduction of Resolutions. House Resolution 474, offered by Representative Cross and House Resolution 500, offered by Representative Lang. These are referred to the Rules Committee."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Clerk, Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Hollman: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 468, offered by Representative Leitch. House Resolution 469, offered by Representative Leitch. House Resolution 470, offered by Representative Riley. House Resolution 471, offered by Representative Sacia. House Resolution 472, offered by Representative Bradley. House Resolution 473, offered by Representative Bradley. House Resolution 475, offered by Representative D'Amico. House Resolution 476, offered by Representative Cross. House Resolution 477, offered by Representative Cross. House Resolution 478, offered by Speaker Madigan. House Resolution 479, offered by Representative Smith. House Resolution 480, offered Representative Cross. House Resolution 481, offered by Representative Bellock. House Resolution 482, offered by Representative Chapa LaVia. House Resolution 483, offered by Representative Welch. House Resolution 484, offered by Representative Kelly Burke. House Resolution 485, offered by Representative Bradley. House Resolution 486, offered by Representative Golar. House Resolution 487, offered by Representative Bradley. House Resolution 488, offered by

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

Representative Bradley. House Resolution 489, offered by Representative Cross. House Resolution 490, offered Representative Cross. House Resolution 491, offered by Madigan. House Resolution 492, offered by Representative D'Amico. House Resolution 493, offered by Representative Gordon-Booth. House Resolution 494, offered by Representative Gordon-Booth. House Resolution 495, offered by Representative Kifowit. House Resolution 496, offered by Representative Pihos. House Resolution 497, offered by Representative Pihos. House Resolution 498, offered by Representative Pihos. House Resolution 499, offered by Representative Pihos. House Resolution 501, offered Representative Dunkin. And House Resolution 502, offered by Representative Dunkin."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Mautino moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'aye' have it. And the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Representative Pihos."

Pihos: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly. Since we last met on June 22, the State of Illinois lost one of its greatest Legislators, Senator Beverly Fawell of Glen Ellyn, passed away and left a great legacy behind. I was always her constituent and then had the great honor of her being my constituent. She was a great mentor and advisor and we shared the same passion for seniors, children, and the disabled. Our state has lost a great advocate for mental health issues, advocate for the disabled and she established assisted living centers for seniors in Illinois. By far she will be remembered for her deep

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

commitment to her family and she is greatly to be admired for giving up her position, here in the Senate to take care of her son and raise his family after his passing. A Resolution will be forthcoming, but I would like to take just a moment to remember her at this time. Thank you. Thank you, Members."

Speaker Lang: "Representative David Harris."

Harris, D.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We seem to have a couple of moments before we start the business. I would like to rise on a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "Please proceed, Sir."

Harris, D.: "Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I stand here today to compliment the Members of the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1. I sat through their first meeting which lasted four and a half or five hours and the Conference Committee Members really are trying to reach a compromise. There is a good-faith effort being made to come up with a solution to the pension problem. We have some of the most distinguished Legislators in both chambers working on this issue. Their work is tedious, it takes time. And it's not just what will work from a number's perspective, but it's also what will work from a political perspective of getting us a position that we can pass in the House and the Senate. The work takes time, and I say that because as many of you know as we read in the paper the Governor has said, today is a deadline day. He wants to see a pension Resolution by today. Ladies and Gentlemen, there are two problems with that. First of all, the date is arbitrary and unrealistic. It is a date made up by the Governor. Think about this, the Governor in January said, he's going to form a pension task force and the

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

report was going to be out by April. Oh, wait a minute, that's January of 2012 and we're still waiting for that April report. The specific numbers on a pension Bill take time for the actuaries to work through. The second reason why I think the date is unrealistic is, the fact that the Conference Committee is a Legislative Body. It is inappropriate for the Governor to dictate a date for a Legislative Body to have completion on any issue. It is an unwarranted intrusion of the Executive into the legislative function. So, my compliments to the Members of the Conference Committee. They're doing great work; they're making progress. It's a difficult, difficult task that they have in front of them. We've been fighting it for two years. They're making a good-faith effort to reach a solution on this most difficult issue. I encourage them in their efforts. And again, I compliment them on everything that they've done so far."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Phelps."

Phelps: "Point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "Please proceed, Sir."

Phelps: "I would like everybody to join me in wishing a happy birthday, 29 again, to Emily Deakin-Harmony. Happy birthday, Emily. Happy birthday."

Speaker Lang: "Happy birthday. Mr. Leitch."

Leitch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "Please proceed, Sir."

Leitch: "I would just like to advise the Body that the two Agreed Resolutions I passed were both for very notable Peorians. One of them was the legendary Harold A. 'Pete' Vonachen. Pete Vonachen was a larger-than-life icon in Peoria, certainly

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

well-known throughout the state for his deep friendship with Harry Caray. He and Harry Caray became best friends in 1950 when Pete Vonachen was doing concessions at the old Robertson Field House in Peoria. Pete went on to make a tremendous career opening the famous Vonachen's Junction restaurant, which had the railroad cars, and also became a statewide known attraction in Peoria. Pete also is famous for his rescue of minor league baseball in Peoria, and famously got himself ejected in a few colorful episodes with the umpires during the games, got himself suspended. Was famous for having the organist play Three Blind Mice when he was disputing a call. But beyond that, Pete was one of the most warm and gentles ... generous individuals our state has ever known. He gave a moving, memorable eulogy of Harry Caray at his funeral in Chicago. And above all, he's been a wonderful friend, husband and gentleman in every respect to his family and all those who loved him so much in Peoria. So, I would just like to advise the Body of that and wish Pete, Godspeed. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Hammond."

Hammond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege." Speaker Lang: "Please proceed."

Hammond: "Members of the General Assembly, I wish you would join me in welcoming the mayor of Macomb, Mayor Mike Inman to Springfield today, up in the gallery. Welcome to Springfield."

Speaker Lang: "Welcome, mayor. Members, on page 5 of the Calendar, under the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions, there appears House Bill 183, Representative Phelps. Please proceed, Sir."

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "Excuse me Mr. Phelps. Mr. Phelps."

Phelps: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House..."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Phelps."

Phelps: "Yes."

Speaker Lang: "The Chair recognizes Mr. Reboletti. For what reason do you rise?"

Reboletti: "Mr. Speaker, I have an inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Lang: "Please proceed, Sir."

Reboletti: "Mr. Speaker, pursuant to Rule 78(c) it was... it's my understanding that the Rules Committee may have vetted this piece of legislation to see if it comports with the constitutionality, if it exceeds the scope of the Governor's Amendatory Veto powers. So, I'm inquiring if to that vetting and review was made. I know that sometimes in this Body the Speaker makes a determination that the Governor has exceeded the Amendatory Veto powers he's given by the Constitution. And I was wondering if that was the case in this particular piece of legislation?"

Speaker Lang: "Speaker's Chief Counsel will respond to your question. Sir."

Reboletti: "Thank you."

Parliamentarian Wier Vaught: "Representative, on behalf of the Speaker, because the Sponsor filed a Motion to override there was no reason for the Rules Committee to review a Motion to accept; therefore, a compliance review has not been done."

Reboletti: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Phelps."

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

- Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to make a Motion to override the Governor's Amendatory Veto changes to House Bill 183, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Gentleman's Motion will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 71... 77 voting 'yes', 31 voting 'no'. This Motion, having received the required three-fifth Majority, prevails. And House Bill 183 is declared passed notwithstanding the Governor's recommendations for change. Mr. Bill Mitchell."
- Mitchell, B.: "Thank you... thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."
- Speaker Lang: "Please proceed."
- Mitchell, B.: "Just like to announce to the Body that this coming Thursday, Illinois should be prepared, it's about to welcome another Brown to Illinois. Adam and his wife Stephanie are due on Thursday. So, give them a big hand."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative McAsey."
- McAsey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I also rise on a point of personal privilege."
- Speaker Lang: "Please proceed."
- McAsey: "I want to offer birthday greetings to one of our colleagues back here on the back row. It is Representative Kate Cloonen's birthday. Let's wish her a happy birthday."
- Speaker Lang: "Happy birthday, Representative. Mr. Dunkin."
- Dunkin: "Thank you... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members of the House.

 Point of personal privilege."
- Speaker Lang: "Please proceed."

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

- Dunkin: "I'd like to welcome some of the interns from my office who are here, all the way from Chicago, they got up here. Including my daughter happened to come down as well. Right here in the gallery to my right. So say hello welcome to the House of Representatives here in Springfield."
- Speaker Lang: "Welcome to the House of Representatives. Mr. Franks."
- Franks: "Mr. Speaker, I can't tell if we are going to be winding down. I know we came today to work on the Override, which we've just done, but I was under the impression that we might be doing some other things. I know... are we getting... are we nearing adjournment?"
- Speaker Lang: "The Chair doesn't know how to answer that question, Sir."
- Franks: "Okay. Well, I'd like to talk a little bit then about the circumstances. Well, we'll talk about Metra on Thursday, but today, I want to talk about the circumstances surrounding this... this Special Session. Because we've seen the Governor in the press a lot lately saying that he wants nothing more than to get our pension reform done. And I think today was another deadline that apparently is going to come and go with no pension relief. So, whenever he's on the news, the radio or on TV, we hear it from the Governor. And this... and this time he normally blames the Legislature for inaction, when in fact, I believe it's his lack of Leadership and direction that has made this situation worse. So, here we are six weeks after our Session ended, three weeks after one Special Session summoned us back to Springfield the Governor still has no pension plan. And it does not appear that we're any closer to

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

solving our pension crisis. We're no closer than we were a year ago. We're no closer than we were three years ago when the Governor said he was put on this earth to solve the pension crisis; yet we're still waiting for a plan. For the Governor, to his credit, says he's not a dictator. He says that Legislators need to legislate so that he can sign the product of that work, but the gaping hole in this logic is that the Legislature in both chambers have actually passed pension reform Bills. Different Legislature... different Legislators are going to have obviously different ideas about how to solve the problems. But Leaders, they find a way to bring together groups with common goals but different ideas about how to achieve them. Leaders proactively seek out opportunities to work with those to achieve progress. It's Leaders that do not simply issue a statement, lamenting the lack of harmony between the House and the Senate and call it a day. The Governor has not led here and that's why we're here today. Now understand that this cost us \$17 million a day. That's more than \$700 thousand an hour or \$6.2 billion a year. As far as I'm concerned, I believe the Governor has been negligent in his duties, plain and simple, and where I see this, I recently read an AP article about Detroit's fiscal crisis. And the emergency manager of Detroit is currently negotiating with the city's creditors to avoid bankruptcy. And he's asking the city's pension funds to except less than 10 cents for every dollar that they're owed, less than 10 cents on the dollar. Now, on February 28 of this year, we stood here and asked the Governor to call us into a Special Session and convene a meeting of the whole, dealing only with

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

pension reform, not to do any other work until we actually solve the pension crisis. And 131 days since then, our state has taken on an additional \$2.2 billion to finance these obligations to the pension systems, and it has been downgraded further by two of the three major credit agencies. And so, we're fast approaching the emergency manager of Detroit, what he has encounter. And none of us what to go back to our districts and tell our constituents, who are pension members, that they're going to get dimes instead of dollars. So, I'd ask the Governor not to govern by press release, and simply hope that this thing will work out by itself. And I believe his disengagement endangers the security of the retiree's future. I believe it endangers the quality of Illinois schools, hospitals, and roads. And frankly, it just endangers the future of our state. So, I say were stand ready to do our part in the chamber, Governor and I'd ask you to do yours. Now I've heard you said there'd be consequences and that you would cut the Legislator's pay and his salary if we didn't get this done today. Well, I say you should do that but I also say you shouldn't stop with the Legislator's, that you ought to start with yourself. You ought to also start with the staff and that of the Lieutenant Governor. So, instead of awaiting the results of a Conference Committee at a cost of \$120 million per week, he should call us into Special Session right now, convene a Committee of the Whole, and work on the pension issue. So, Governor, if you're in the building, I'd ask you to come to the House Floor and to do your job."

Speaker Lang: "The Chair recognizes Representative Fine."

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

Fine: "Thank you, Speaker. I would just like to be on the record as I would have voted 'no' to... I would have preferred to uphold the Governor's Veto, but I have arrived late."

Speaker Lang: "That's on House Bill 183, Representative. Is that correct?"

Fine: "Yes. That is correct."

Speaker Lang: "The Chair... the record will reflect your intentions."

Fine: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "The Chair recognizes Speaker Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, if I can have your attention. This concerns scheduling, plans for the day. We have successfully overridden the Governor's Veto on the conceal and carry Bill. The plan is for the House to stand at ease, pending possible action in the Senate on a trailer Bill to the conceal and carry Bill. So, the last time I talked with the Senate they were in Senate Committee. Their plan is to go to Senate Caucus and pending the results of the caucus, they may proceed to the floor and call a Bill, which in some ways might amend the conceal and carry Bill. But it's all speculative and it depends upon what happens in the Senate Democratic Caucus. And so, our plan would be to stand at ease pending word from the Senate or message from the Senate. So, stay close, enjoy the afternoon."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Reis."

Reis: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Lang: "Are you being coached on your comments, Sir?"

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

Reis: "I'm not sure I can do two things at once, but by Rules, not by anything else. With... with all due respect from the former Speaker, I move that the House stand adjourned."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Reis."

Reis: "I couldn't debate before and make a Motion like that, so now I can debate."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Reis, the Chair rules you are not recognized for that purpose."

Reis: "Can I poll to with draw my Motion?"

Speaker Lang: "Representative Monique Davis."

Davis, M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. First, I would just like to say, I don't how many of you are aware there is massive violence taking place in the City of Chicago. Each and every day children are being killed. We are asking those of you who have a great deal of concern, Chicago Legislators, downstate Legislators to meet us for a press conference at 12:30 in the rotunda. Kindly meet us in the rotunda at 12:30 where we will talk about what the Governor may be able to do to help stem the violence in Chicago. Seventy people were shot yesterday, 70, 12 died. Over the holiday weekend, two five-year-old babies are shot in a park, different parks. Cole Park, he's in the hospital critically ill. Cooper Park, this other child is in the hospital critically ill. As we pray for these families and these children, we're going to ask the Governor to take some special action to assist our city in stemming this tide of violence where African-American children and young people are losing their lives. Please come to the rotunda and be a part of the press conference. Thank you very much."

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Reis has indicated to the Chair that he is withdrawing his Motion. The House will stand at ease."
- Speaker Madigan: "The House will come to order. The Members shall be in their chairs. The Chair recognizes Mr. Lang for the purpose of a Motion."
- Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House suspend the appropriate Rule so that the Motion to Concur on Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1453 can be heard in Judiciary Committee immediately."
- Speaker Madigan: "This matter has been discussed with the office of Representative Cross and it can be characterized as an agreed item. We've all heard the Motions. Is there leave? Leave is granted and the Motion is adopted. And the Judiciary Committee will meet immediately in Room 114. And the House shall stand in recess."
- Speaker Lang: "The House will be in order. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "Committee Reports. Representative Nekritz, Chairperson from the Committee on Judiciary reports the following committee action taken on July 09, 2013: recommends be adopted is the Motion to Concur with Senate amendment #2 to House Bill 1453."
- Speaker Lang: "On Supplemental Calendar #1, under the Order of Concurrence, appears House Bill 1453. Mr. Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move that the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1453. The... this is the trailer Bill for the concealed carry; it contains three items. The first being duty to... to inform, and so basically if a police officer asks if a person with a concealed carry has a weapon, they must advise them immediately upon being...

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

upon that request. The second item is a technical change. The mental health notifications from the... that arise from schools or law enforcement would go directly to the Illinois State Police. This is a request from the Department of Human Services. As written in the Bill, the mental health notifications all filtered through them. This just simply takes two areas which were out of compliance in the Bill and makes it enforceable. The third item is a change to signage. And basically, it says that of the... with the 23 areas which are off limits for concealed carry and are restricted areas, that they do not have to post signs. They can, but they do not have to, within those 23 areas that are prohibited. That's what the Amendment does. I would ask for an 'aye' vote in Concurrence on Amendment #2. Be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Lang: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. The Chair recognizes Mr. Bost."

Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And I apologize for interrupting the debate. But if we could, we need to excuse Representative Schmitz for the rest of the day."

Speaker Lang: "The record will so indicate. Mr. Bost on the Motion."

Bost: "Ya, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "The Gentleman yields."

Bost: "Representative, if... if this does not pass, the Bill pretty well stands as negotiated. And is that not correct?"

Mautino: "That is correct. A couple of these as said are technical changes, but the House has overridden the changes,

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

notwithstanding of the Governor, in 183 and the Senate recently has as well. So, that is now the law."

Bost: "It... it is. So, here we passed a brand new law and we probably should go ahead and let that, or at least my opinion, we should go ahead and let that go through. If... if we discover, as the process is going along, is it possible for the agencies involved to come by that through JCAR and request these changes without us doing this if necessary?"

Mautino: "Either through JCAR or by a change in the statute. As far as the technical changes, the Department of Human Services brought us that language, as you recall, on the last day and that they realized that the mental health for tracking, the proper tracking routes for it, should probably be different. And so that's why they're asking for this change"

Bost: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, to the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen I will be voting 'no' and it's because I feel that we did have an agreement on this Bill. That's why we went ahead and passed... overrode the Governor's Veto earlier today. I do believe that maybe if these things... we see in the future that these need to be done, we can either come back through JCAR or bring a trailer Bill in the future but not necessarily on the same day that we passed it. So, I'm going to encourage a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Williams."

Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. This Bill does contain some provisions that were included in the Amendatory Veto. But I think it's important to highlight the components that were discussed in the Amendatory Veto that are not included so we know what we're dealing with and we know what

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

we are ... we are not dealing with. First of all, this does not contain any expanded prohibition on guns and alcohol, so as it stands, we passed this today, we still do not preclude the carrying of concealed loaded weapons in places where alcohol is served. So, you can drink in a restaurant, you can drink in a bar where under 50 percent of the sales are from alcohol and you can have a loaded gun within reach. Secondly, not can you only have a... one loaded gun within reach, this Bill does not contain any limitation on the number of weapons that can be carried on a person, nor does it carry any limitation on the rounds of ammunition that can be carried. So let's be sure and recognize what we are missing from the Bill that we are considering right now. We also don't accept the suggestion of the Governor in this Bill. We haven't incorporated the parking lot ban. We haven't corporate... incorporated the definition what exactly concealed means, which is important item for law enforcement. And there's a severe lack of transparency in who's getting the permits and the lack of the ability of local... units of local government to weigh in on what commonsense gun laws are best for their communities. We also may recall from our May discussion that this Bill repeals but... the failure of this Bill... this Bill today to include a Home Rule preemption, as discussed in the AV, creates a serious change in thousands of laws throughout the state which will be repealed and none of us reviewed those thoroughly. So, I think while I'll be voting 'yes' for this because it does make some very minor improvements to the Bill that was passed, I think it's important to keep in mind what we are missing. And to keep in mind especially of concern to

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

me and my constituents and the small businesses in my community, the fact that guns and alcohol, which we all agree don't mix, are, you know, is permitted under this version and this under the original version as was the Bill that we're considering right now. So, again, this Bill, while making a slight improvement to the very flawed and dangerous legislation that we passed earlier today, lacks some significant protections for public safety and significant prohibition... significant protections for local communities to make their own decision as to the needs of their residents. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Reboletti."

Reboletti: "First, I have an inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Lang: "State your inquiry, Sir."

Reboletti: "Mr. Speaker, is this Bill requires 71 votes to pass?

Is it an immediate effective date?"

Speaker Lang: "Yes, Sir, it is required 71 votes."

Reboletti: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Reboletti: "Representative, having been part of the negotiation group working with you, prior to this trailer Bill, what was your understanding of the signage requirement for prohibitive places?"

Mautino: "In the Bill that we negotiated in the past it was the intent and the intent of this Body that the prohibited areas, specifically 23 of them, be required to post signs so that people could comply with the knowingly requirements in the Bill we passed."

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

Reboletti: "It... and I realize this is not your Bill, you didn't craft this legislation, but what is the reason now to change what was negotiated and passed by almost 90 people here a few weeks back, and then, the override here with 77 votes. What... what has changed now that we are going to flip the entire thing upside down and say, really you don't have to, the burden is now on the permit holder. What has changed?"

Mautino: "Well... one, I don't really disagree with the... the responsibility for the permit holders to know and understand the Illinois law that we passed. We require more training than anywhere else in the country, and for those who are new to this, they can and should know the areas that are specifically prohibited. This does not say that they can... that they can't post signage and I would request and suggest that they do. There were concerns that in some of the facilities that... that those possessing a card should know; and therefore, it should be the responsibility of the cardholder. And they didn't want signs all over every sidewalk, every public building, every hospital, so there was that concern as well. So, a personal responsibility plus the concerns of the placing of signage."

Reboletti: "And I appreciate that Leader, but to the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, it is important that the residents of this state or those who are coming from out of state that are going to conceal and carry now under the law, that's about maybe an hour old, it put people on notice as to where they can and cannot carry. Of course they're going to take training. They're going to take legal courses that will teach them where they can and cannot carry. So, for instance, if you are in

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

the Cook County Forest Preserve, one, you have to have a sign says you're in the Cook County Forest Preserve. Otherwise, you could be in a neighboring forest preserve, in a park where different restrictions may apply. We have state buildings that the average citizen would not know is a state building, like some of the historical homes that we own, that if you took your family on vacation and were visiting there and were concealing and carrying at the same time, you could be arrested for a Class B or a Class A misdemeanor depending on what your prior history was. If we... when we prosecute trespass cases, and I've prosecuted hundreds of them at public housing facilities, you have ... the most important element is that you have a notice requirement. So, there is signage around the entire complex saying that you have to be a resident or have a pass to be there. Why are we changing this Why are we waiting till now, July 9, to change this? Just because the Governor's been on a reelection tour for his Primary and he wanted to change things, doesn't mean we need to change the bargain that all of the caucuses agreed upon throughout the last Session and by the end of May. This Bill has not even had the ink dry yet, and we're already tinkering with it without any necessity to do so. So now when people will get arrested and will have to hire a lawyer, and have to go to trial to prove that they did not knowingly know that they were in a state building or that they were in the Cook County Forest Preserve, any of those things, we'll be back here again to change it. We post signs up and down the interstate saying that you have to... you have to drive the speed limit which is 65. Why don't we take those signs down

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

and we'll just charge everybody the same knowledge that if you are driving on a Illinois highway you have to know it's 65. Then, take the signs down that say it's a construction zone, you should know it's a construction zone. That's why we have signage. That's why we have stop signs. So people know what they're supposed to be doing. But now we've entirely changed all that. When you walk into a courthouse, there's a sign that says you cannot bring the following items into the courthouse: weapons, cell phones, you name it, it's on that list. Why are we changing that now? But this will be litigated that's... there's no doubt that that's coming. But this is nothing more than grandstanding from the Governor who had to rewrite to do right as he thought he was doing, who was out of the negotiations 'cause he chose not to be involved, and now we are here at 3:14 on July 9, when the Federal Court has already entered an order saying that UUWs cannot be prosecuted in the State of Illinois. So, now we're changing how we prosecute criminal cases, putting the burden on a defendant, that is unconstitutional and so for that reason, and I do support the other portions of the Bill. I think more mental health reporting to more agencies is great. I believe that if you have a firearm in your vehicle and you're pulled over, you should immediately notify the law enforcement agent that you're doing so. I do think, that as under the negotiations were said, that the signage be placed where you cannot be, as we do for every other law. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sullivan."

Sullivan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, this is a difficult vote. When I first saw the

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

Amendment in regarding posting of signs, I thought that as a victory. I thought of it as a victory because I said, wow, the Governor doesn't realize he's letting my constituents walk into a building and if they get caught or accidentally walk in the building and arrested, that they didn't knowingly do it because there's no sign. And so, they'll be able to go to court and they will get off. And I thought, boy that ... that's actually probably a good thing. And then I thought about it some more. And there's two things why I think it's bad. The first is that if my constituents can accidentally do it, someone with ill intent could also walk into that building and say, you know what, I didn't know it was a governmental building. And what were they there to do, because they didn't have that? And now we don't have a vehicle by which to charge them, exactly what the prosecutor said. And then I thought more about my constituents. Those that might not know that I went from Cook County Forest Preserve into a municipal park on accident, 'cause it wasn't posted. Well, they're going to have to hire a lawyer. They're going to have to have to spend money and they're going to have to go to court and take time out of their... their jobs. And they're going to get off. And that's good, but it's also bad because now they have to spend their own money. Their own hard earned money because we didn't write a law correctly. We wrote the law the way we wrote it, we wouldn't have unintended consequences. And unintended consequences are people with bad ideas, doing bad things and getting away with it and people harmlessly walking into a building having to spend their own money. And so, Ladies and Gentlemen, I... I don't think that's a good thing.

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

I think we're setting up our constituents to fail. And that's not what we're here for. I think the Governor has done this and there's two pieces that I would agree with. If he wants two wins, we'll give him the first two wins, but this is going to be a bad idea. It's going to be a bad idea for your constituents and when they get a ticket, and they go to jail, and they have to spend their money, they're going to come talk to you. And I don't think you want that. I think this is a bad provision. If he wants to come back with another Amendment and change and take this piece out, I'll vote for it, but not with this Amendment in it. Thank you very much."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Cassidy."

Cassidy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I... I rise in support of... of today's measure. I remain concerned that we... that we haven't adopted enough of the ... the Governor's improvements, but I am grateful for what we've got here. My biggest concern, however, remains that we have fundamentally taken away the ... the ability of communities to make decisions based on the needs of their communities. And I predict and I think that it's a... it's a very safe bet that we will be... we will be back here fighting over each one of the ... of the restrictions in the underlying Bill because we've blown Home Rule. And during the first debate, the Sponsor was asked if we could get assurance that that wouldn't happen. That we would not be back talking about removing mass transit, and removing restaurants that serve alcohol, and removing some of the other protected areas and we couldn't get that assurance. And so, I fear that although the underlying Bill is better than where we started, we're going to be back here, time after

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

time after time, chipping away at all the things that everyone has lauded as the… as the great protections within this Bill. And I'm hopeful that we can… that we can admit, best hold the line and… and really get back to a place where we respect the needs of each other's communities. I'm going to support today, but I really do hope that we don't continue to chip away. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Reis."

Reis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Reis: "Representative Mautino, I have a hypothetical question for you. Well, first a real question. Is this just for commercial or for government buildings that have to have the signs or will it affect commercial businesses as well?"

Mautino: "Two separate areas. There are 23 places that in our negotiations we specifically excluded."

Reis: "Right."

Mautino: "Those are who are impacted by this. The other private properties are as the law exists in 49 other states under the signage."

Reis: "So, if you're a business that leases the 40th floor of the Hancock Building, they won't be affected?"

Mautino: "The owner of the..."

Reis: "Not owner of the building that leases the 40th floor."

Mautino: "Okay. The owner of record of that property who sets the decisions for that property, will make the decision on signage and use. In... and that is in the private sector. That not impacted by this Bill and that was in the original Bill. That's what we all agreed to."

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

Reis: "And that was in the original Bill."

Reis: "Okay."

Mautino: "Private property rights and contract rights take precedent in all things."

Reis: "So one more time, how does the Amendment to this Bill change what was passed earlier today?"

"It changes it in three ways. The first is duty to inform. So if a police officer asks you if you're carrying a weapon, you must immediately say, yes, I am, and I have a card and I am certified to carry it. The second item is a technical change requested by the Department of Services, and basically the mental health notifications in the Bill we drafted went all to the Department of... to the Department of Human Services, by their own language. By the time we passed the Bill, they realized the tracking was incorrect, and it was conflicting. So, this change says that mental health notification reports that come from schools and from law enforcement will go directly to the State Police. And then they'll find their way to the tracking and the tables at Human Services. So, it just split the way that we... we track the reporting. The third item deals with signs. And signs specifically on the 23 prohibited areas. And right now our law that we passed said you must place a sign at all entrances to these facilities and then... or parks where they're precluded. This Bill would say that 'you may' place signs. It does not require the signs to be set and so it takes away, for example, in your city hall, your hospitals, mental health clinics. Any of the areas which are strictly prohibited, it would say that they do not have to post the signs, and this

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

is pretty common throughout the other states, but there's no requirement on the signs itself, but there's also no prohibition from putting it. And personally, I would recommend that they put the signs for people to know that that's a restricted area. Last thing of that is... those are the... those are the basic three points and I'll let you continue to ask questions."

"Okay. I'm finished with my questions. Thank you, Reis: Representative, and to the Bill. I, like many of the speakers before us, agree with a couple of things in the... in the Amendment. But here we are, the ink hasn't even dried on a Bill, yet and we are wanting to change it. And we heard from a previous speaker that we're going to be coming back time after time after time trying to get what wasn't included in the Bill. We're setting a precedent today, if we pass this by saying, go ahead, you didn't get what you wanted in the Bill just keep them introducing Bill after Bill after Bill until you finally get what you had in it. So much time and energy and effort from all sides and all demographics of this state, got us our Bill that we voted to override today. Let's let the work... the Bill work. Let's see how it works and if there's any changes that we can make in next year's Session. So I would encourage a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Costello."

Costello: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I would like all of you to know that there are two components of this Bill... there are two components of this Bill that I really have no problem with. But the one problem that I do have, is the posting requirement. And I would like for all of

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

you to stop and think about this. We have no smoking signs plastered all over airplanes. So, when you fly, there are no smoking signs all over the airplane. Now, the stewardess tells you at the beginning of the flight, that all flights are no smoking. Why do we have those signs? To remind people, right, that you can't smoke on a flight. Secondly, speed limit signs. Why do we have speed limit signs? When you travel down the interstate, most people realize... most people realize in an area that 65 miles an hour, that that's the speed limit on the interstate. Correct? Why would we want signs in areas that you're prohibited from carrying a gun? To remind people that they cannot carry a weapon into a school, into a hospital. Okay? Also, for people from Chicago who travel to southern Illinois, you're allowed to carry a weapon into a forest preserve area. You're not allowed to carry into a municipal park. How do you delineate the difference between the two? For people in southern Illinois, going to Chicago, same situation. Another thing you should all think about, university systems. Universities, you're not allowed to carry a concealed weapon. There are universities that spread all over many of the towns that we represent. How do you know where you're allowed to carry, where you're not allowed to carry unless there's some type of a posting requirement. We've taken concealed carry votes for the last 6 months-plus. We came up with a bipartisan Bill that was agreed to by both chambers. And now in the last week to 10 days, the Governor has decided to get involved in conceal carry. I completely agree with my colleagues on the other side. Let's let this Bill that is going to go into place, work, and then let's

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

give it some time and see where the problems are. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Drury."

Drury: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 183 the... the Bill that passed just a few hours ago, you know, gets worse and worse every time you read it. It's really not a Bill that... that protects our constituents. Since that Bill went into place, town after town in the district I represent has been spending money on legal fees to try to preserve their Home Rule authority to enact assault weapons regulations over threats from various gun rights activists, and they still remain under the threat of lawsuits. House Bill 183 strips business owners who are always talking about bringing jobs to Illinois, it strips business owners of the ability to protect their premises or decide whether or not they want to have guns on their premises, leaving it to the owners of strip malls. So, the Bill really isn't about constituent services, the Bill really was just a... a power grab. The fact is that House Bill 1453 while not perfect, makes House Bill 183 just a little bit better and because of that I think we should be supporting it. And it does have my support, but let's not kid ourselves and say that House Bill 183, the original Bill, was about constituent services 'cause it really didn't keep our constituents in mind at all when it was passed."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Ives."

Ives: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Ives: "I just have a technical question about the numb... mental health reporting. What is the requirement right now with the

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

FOID card? Where is mental health reported to? Is that straight to the State Police, right now or does it go through DHS?"

Mautino: "Actually, I think those were the two conflicting pieces of statute that we're trying to put together with this change. So, right now, it would go to... mental health providers go to DHS, schools and law enforcement go to ISP. And this Bill makes sure that we keep that as it is and should be. 183 changed it."

Ives: "183 changed it. So this actually becomes more consistent with the way that we report with the FOID card. Is that correct?"

Mautino: "183 kept it consistent with the FOID Card; however, in 183 there was a technical error, which was pointed out by the Department of Human Services, actually, the same night we were passing the Bill. So they've asked for that correction."

Ives: "So this is a correction asked for by Human Services?"

Mautino: "Yes. Yep."

Ives: "So, now..."

Mautino: "It's in two locations within the Bill. It brings them into one."

Ives: "Okay. So, I mean, my preference is that the State Police
 has this information so they can act quickly on rescinding a
 FOID card or a concealed carry permit."

Mautino: "And... and with this, and without this, the State Police always has access to this data."

Ives: "Does this make it a quicker process though?"

Mautino: "Yes."

Ives: "Okay. Thank you. That's all."

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

Mautino: "They have to do it within 24 hours, actually."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Thapedi."

Thapedi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Thapedi: "Leader Mautino, in the Judiciary Committee we vetted out this Concurrence Motion and the new aspects of... of what you're proposing today. And again, I do understand that Members do have some concerns about what's in here. But most importantly, we talked about there was some action in the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals today. Is that correct?"

Mautino: "That is correct."

Thapedi: "And what occurred today in the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals?"

Mautino: "These... Seventh Circuit remanded it back to the Seven...
to the Appellate Courts."

Thapedi: "To do what?"

Mautino: "To answer an in... an injunction unless we passed a law today, which we did do."

Thapedi: "Which we did do, but however, at this point with this Concurrence Motion and these new changes, does that have any impact whatsoever on the proceedings that the Seventh Circuit has now remanded to those two respective courts?"

Mautino: "If I can stop you right there."

Thapedi: "Yes."

Mautino: "There is... this Concurrence does not have to do... does not have to do with what your concern is. By overriding the... and passing the Bill, changes of the Governor, notwithstanding, we have a law in place, which is what we were required to do."

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

Thapedi: "Yeah."

Mautino: "So, we've met the court requirement?"

Thapedi: "Okay. So as far as complying with the directives... I think counsel is conferring with you, go ahead."

Mautino: "And essentially we have mooted the other case."

Thapedi: "Excellent. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Brauer."

Brauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman yields."

Brauer: "Representative, I think this is a well-intended Bill. I do have a concern with the signage. When you talk about 23 different places that you cannot carry in, how many of those can you list without looking?"

Mautino: "Quite a few since I negotiated it."

Brauer: "Well try not to look and then tell me them, how many you can."

Mautino: "Okay. As far as the signs and the requirements, most of the Bills, and that's what's kind of neat about this, we received a listing of places that were requested by this Body and voted on by this Body where you should not carry. For instance: hospitals, mental health, parks in municipalities, think of your baseball diamond. That was actually mine I put in there, 'cause as a Little League coach I've never had a problem with a 12 year old, but the parents, I don't want them armed."

Brauer: "And grandparents..."

Mautino: "Okay. So, from there we would have parks where you could carry, in the outside, the open areas. The RTA, CTA, Pace, Metra, the RTA system, and these would also be included in

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

something that anyone want, and I... and this is one of the important pieces that I think some people are missing. Is, in the training requirements, it will be the right, duty and responsibility for people to know every one of those 23 prohibited areas. And they'll have..."

Brauer: "Well, Representative, you're writing this legislation and you've come up with about a half a dozen and I see you keep peeking at your notes. I... I think it's important that we realize the average citizen will not be able to remember the 23 different specific locations that they can and can't carry. I think it's a good idea to inform the police officers when you're carrying when you get stopped. I think it's a good idea for the State Police to be aware of this. But I am really concerned about this part of the Bill. The fact that the average citizen will not know the 23 specific places that they cannot carry."

Mautino: "I would have to say that in a course of our training, if they don't, that's a problem on our part. Because the biggest portion of this Bill is that we want to train people to the level of responsibility that they know the Illinois law. And they even... when they have to redo their training five years later, they must know the Illinois law. So, as far as the signage goes, I do agree. And I would suggest people put the signs at their entrances to protect themselves as well, in those certain facilities. This law would say they don't have to, but it's also the responsibility of anyone carrying a card to know."

Brauer: "Mr. Speaker, to the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, there's two ways to hide information. You can either have too much of

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

it or not enough of it. By expecting our con... citizens to think that they're going to remember 23 specific locations, they do's and they don'ts of each of those locations, I think is being a little optimistic. And because of that I'll be voting against this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Mautino to close."

Mautino: "Thank you very much. There are three items in the Motion to Concur. I think that it is very important and it is very important to law enforcement that they have the protection under the duty to inform. That if they ask someone, do you have a gun, that police officer should not be placed at risk and the answer should be given immediately. That's what this Bill will do. Notification of mental health is very important. One of the strongest Sections in the new law that we have passed and the statute as overridden, puts in... strengthens mental health and mental health reporting, so the wrong people do not get guns. Very important piece. Although this is a technical correction, it makes sure that the notification of someone who has potentially a mental health issue that would stop them from carrying a gun, gets reported to the right place. Though it is technical, does not mean it is not important to the functioning of the new law. As far as the signage, when we passed that legislation, we had an idea on the signage. The Senate has seen it in... they had concerns about the numbers and styles of posting. This Bill would say that you can post. It does not say you have to in those 23 specific areas. Our training, and I disagree with what some of the other Members have said today, our training program had better teach people what 23 areas they cannot carry in.

71st Legislative Day

7/9/2013

They had best know and understand that if they're going to have the responsibility of carrying a loaded weapon in public. I think that these make sense. These are the three changes and I ask for your vote in Concurrence to Amendment... Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1453."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1453. This Bill... this Motion requires 71 votes. Those in favor of the Gentleman's Motion will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Mr. Bradley. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 62 voting 'yes', and 47 voting 'no'. And the Gentleman's Motion fails. Seeing no further business, allowing perfunctory time for the Clerk, Leader Mautino moves that the regular Session adjourn pursuant to House Joint Resolution 45 with was previously adopted. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Motion is adopted. The House now stands adjourned until Tuesday, October 22, 2013 at 12:00 noon or until the call of the Speaker."