53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

Speaker Lang: "The House will be in order. Members will be in their chairs. We shall be led in prayer today by Pastor Michael Hurst who is with New Horizon Christian Fellowship Aurora. Pastor Hurst is the auest Representative Kifowit. Members and guests are asked to refrain from starting their laptops, turn off your cell and rise for the invocation and Pledge Allegiance. Pastor Hurst."

Pastor Hurst: "Let us pray. Father, Your word declares that if Your people, who are called by Your name, would humble themselves and pray, turn from their wicked ways, that You will hear from Heaven and that You will heal our land. Father, I ask that You would blas... bless the State of Illinois, from Cairo to Chicago, from DeKalb to Decatur, from Moline to Maywood, and from Aurora to Antioch. Bless the State Representatives. Bless them and their families with health, safety and prosperity. Father, You have plans for our state I ask that You will reveal Your plans to these, Your servants, in this House. May they be led by Your spirit. May their interest be for the common good of the citizens that they represent. May their governance be for the welfare of our state. I ask, Father, that You would grant to each one of them a desire for justice, the wisdom to legislate, the knowledge to solve problems, compassion to act and the courage to lead. Father, unite them as one Body, diverse parts playing different roles, would come together to function in unison. Father, create in each one of them a clean heart and renew Your steadfast spirit in each one of them. Father, use them to carry out Your will

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

- in the villages, towns, townships, cities, counties and regions that they represent. For Yours is the kingdom, the power and the glory, both now and forever, Amen."
- Speaker Lang: "We'll be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Roth."
- Roth et all: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Lang: "Roll Call for Attendance. Leader Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. Please let the record show that Representatives Bradley, Dan Burke, Will Davis, Farnham, Jones and Nekritz are excused today."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Bost."
- Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let the record reflect that Representative Osmond and Pihos are excused on the Republican side of the aisle today."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 110 Members present and we do have a quorum. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "Committee Reports. Representative Barbara Flynn Currie, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules reports the following action taken on May 10, 2013: recommends be adopted, and referred to the floor is Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1598, and Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1908. Introduction of Resolutions. House Joint Resolution 36, offered by Representative Daniel Burke, and House Joint Resolution 37, offered by Representative Halbrook are referred to the Rules Committee."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Clerk, Agreed Resolutions."

53rd Legislative Day

- Clerk Hollman: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 334, offered by Representative Welch. And House Resolution 335, offered by Representative Cross. House Resolution 336, offered by Representative Drury. House Resolution 337, offered by Representative Crespo. And House Resolution 338, offered by Representative Fortner. And House Resolution 339, offered by Representative Bill Mitchell."
- Speaker Lang: "Leader Currie moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'.

 The 'ayes' have it. And the Agreed Resolutions are adopted.

 Mr. Clerk, please read the Adjournment Resolution."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Joint Resolution 37, offered by Representative Currie.
 - RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE OF THE NINETY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES CONCURRING HEREIN, that when the two Houses adjourn on Thursday, May 09, 2013, the Senate stands adjourned until Tuesday, May 14, 2013, or until the call of the President; and the House of Representatives stands adjourned until Friday, May 10, 2013, and when it adjourns on that day, it stands adjourned until Tuesday, May 14, 2013 at 12:00 noon, or until the call of the Speaker."
- Speaker Lang: "Leader Currie moves for the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. The Chair recognizes Mr. Zalewski."
- Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."
- Speaker Lang: "Please proceed, Sir."

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

Zalewski: "Mr. Speaker, as you well know it's been a tough spring for both sides of town on the baseball side, but we... we've got a cure for at least one part of that equation. The White Sox caucus is going to meet next Thursday, May 16, at 9:00 p.m. at DH Browns when the Sox play the f... the Angels. So. We would encourage all Sox Caucus members to come that evening to get this ship turned around. And then, so everyone's aware, the summer outing is going to be July 22 with the Sox playing the Angels again. That's July 22, so ask Members to mark their calendars. But we need to get this thing figured out because it's slipping away from us. So, next Thursday, May 16, at 9 p.m., White Sox Caucus meeting."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Cabello."

Cabello: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise for a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "Please proceed."

Cabello: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like for everybody to welcome Mr. John Sweeney and John Lichty, very good friends from Winnebago County, and help out many people in the community. Welcome to Springfield, gentlemen. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Welcome to Springfield, sir... gentlemen.

Representative Bellock."

Bellock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. And thank you, Representative Zalewski, and we will try to get this thing figured out with the team."

Speaker Lang: "Members, we're starting on page 8 of the Calendar, under the Order of Senate Bills-Second Reading.

53rd Legislative Day

- If you have a Bill there that's ready to go, please be ready. Senate Bill 70, Representative Gordon. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 70, a Bill for an Act concerning gaming. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 205, Representative Hoffman. Mr. Hoffman. Out of the record. Senate Bill 206, Mr. Zalewski. Mr. Zalewski. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 206, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments.

 No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 338, Leader Currie.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 338, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 494, Representative Conroy. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 494, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1310, Mr. Zalewski. 1310. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1310, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No

53rd Legislative Day

- Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1379, Mr. Unes. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Out of the record. Senate Bill 1410, Mr. Welch. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1410, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1404, Mr. Evans.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1404, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1417, Mr. Moffitt.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1417, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1568, Mr. Hoffman.

 Mr. Hoffman. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1585,

 Representative Gabel. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1585, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1640, Representative Williams. Please read the Bill."

53rd Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1640, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1657, Mr. Zalewski.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1657, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1686, Representative Gordon. Representative Gordon. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1686, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1737, Mr. Brown. Mr. Brown. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1764, Mr. Reboletti. Mr. Reboletti. Out... out of the record. Senate Bill 1790, Representative Golar. Representative Golar. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1790, a Bill for an Act concerning housing. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1791, Representative Flowers. Representative Flowers. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1792, Mr. Schmitz. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1792, a Bill for an Act concerning regulations. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

53rd Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1801, Leader Currie.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1801, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1824, Mr. Sosnowski.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1824, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1843, Mr. Hoffman. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1844, Mr. Hoffman. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1847, Mr. Schmitz. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1847, a Bill for an Act concerning workers. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1853, Mr. Sacia.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1853, a Bill for an Act concerning regulations. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1859, Mr. Jefferson.
 Mr. Jefferson. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1859, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No

53rd Legislative Day

- Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1900, Representative Kelly Burke. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1900, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate 1925, Representative Gordon. Representative Gordon. Out of the record. Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1925, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate 1951, Mr. Franks. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1951, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1953, Representative Mayfield. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate bill 1953, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1988, Mr. Schmitz.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1988, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

53rd Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2101, Mr. Harms.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2101, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #2 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2169, Mr. Zalewski. Mr. Zalewski. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2178, Representative Will Davis. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2182, Mr. Sandack. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2182, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2184, Mr. Martwick.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2184, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2194, Mr. Evans. Mr. Evans. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2194, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2197, Mr. Acevedo.

 Mr. Acevedo. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2229,

 Representative Conroy. Please read the Bill."

53rd Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2229, a Bill for an Act concerning higher education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2245, Representative Cloonen. Representative Cloonen. Representative Cloonen. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2245, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2266, Mr. Rita.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2266, a Bill for an Act concerning regulations. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2318, Mr. Sims. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2318, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2320, Representative Gabel. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2320, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2326, Leader Currie.

 Please read the Bill."

53rd Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2326, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 205, Mr. Hoffman. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 205, a Bill for an Act concerning state government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1568, Mr. Hoffman. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1568, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1843, on the order of Hoffman. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1843, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1844, Mr. Hoffman.
 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1844, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2169, Mr. Zalewski.

 Please read the Bill."

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2169, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. On page 2 of the Calendar, under the Order of House Bill-Second Reading, appears House Bill 532, Mr. Zalewski. Mr. Zalewski. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 532, a Bill for an Act concerning regulations. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Zalewski, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Zalewski."
- Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wish to adopt the Amendment. It extends the Electrologist Licensing Act to 2024."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Gentleman's Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 533, Mr. Zalewski.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 533, a Bill for an Act concerning regulations. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered Representative Zalewski, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Zalewski."

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

- Zalewski: "Mr. Speaker, I wish to adopt Floor Amendment #1 to
 House Bill 533. It adopts the... it extends the Surgical
 Technologist Act 10 years."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 62, Mr. Ford. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 62, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. The Bill is read for a second time on a previous day. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Ford, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Ford."

- Ford: "Thank you. I move for the adoption of House Amendment #2. It simply eliminates the task force, the advisory council, for the measure."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Ford moves for the adoption of the Amendment. On that question, the Chair recognizes Mr. Reis."

Reis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Reis: "Representative, is this a Floor Amendment or did this Amendment go through committee? I'm trying to get the time line on your Bill here."

Ford: "This Amendment was in... it's a Floor Amendment."

Reis: "So, does it change the Bill substantially?"

Ford: "No."

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

Reis: "Tell us what the Amendment does..."

Ford: "I said the Amendment simply..."

Reis: "...to the underlying Bill."

Ford: "...eliminates an advisory council, that's all it does. So, it reduces the merits of the Bill."

Reis: "So, you're creating a commission to study..."

Ford: "No. This was a... the Bill... the underlying Bill does something totally different. This Amendment simply eliminates an advisory council. The Bill had a component in it that required an 11-member data collection and reporting advisory council to examine the annual reports produced by the Illinois Department of Corrections on the total number of incarcerated persons. Well, this Amendment eliminated that task."

Reis: "So, does the underlying Bill change at all?"

Ford: "No."

Reis: "So, why is this needed?"

Ford: "Ask your question again and tell me exactly what..."

Reis: "Why is the Amendment needed to the underlying Bill again?"

Ford: "To remove one of the requirements of the Bill."

Reis: "I know it does that but why is that needed?"

Ford: "It was an agreement with the Department to remove that?"

Reis: "The Department of Corrections?"

Ford: "The Department of Corrections and the Secretary of State and it's an agreed Bill because of that."

Reis: "An agreed Bill?"

Ford: "Yes."

Reis: "Everyone agrees with your Bill?"

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

Ford: "Yes."

Reis: "I doubt that, Representative. I also... this is a House Bill and the House Bill deadline was several weeks ago."

Ford: "There's rules to how you can get extensions."

Reis: "Rules, okay. Well, I guess we'll wait and debate this on Third Reading. I think you're going to have... find out Representative, that there's a lot of opposition to your Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Durkin."

Durkin: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Durkin: "I'm... I'm really fascinated by the titles that some of these Bills get. What exactly does pop have to do with this Bill? I'm... maybe I'm reading it wrong, no representation without pop."

Ford: "I don't know. I didn't put that up there."

Durkin: "I've heard, you know, there's that no taxation without representation. Is this the 2013 taxpayers' rights fighting for the people? We're replacing that early coun... early years of our... our statehood which we discussed the... the tea party, no represe... representation without taxation. Now, it's no representation without pop."

Ford: "Could be. I don't know. We... we will find out when we debate the Bill."

Durkin: "Do you have any idea where that came from?"

Ford: "I'll look into it."

Durkin: "Ah no, no, no... let's... let's talk about it right now.

We've got some time. Please. I... I really... I'm fascinated by
this 'cause it really is something which, in all the years

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

I've been here, I have absolutely no idea how the titles of these Bills relate to actually what is being debated. So, give me a guess."

Ford: "It's a..."

Durkin: "We got time"

Ford: "How 'bout a..."

Durkin: "We have a group of young... youngsters here..."

Ford: "Okay."

Durkin: "...who want to learn about the process and about government, and this a great way to educate them."

Ford: "So, it's... it's a... should be an abbreviation."

Durkin: "Of what?"

Ford: "Population."

Durkin: "All right. All right. Population of what?"

Ford: "You know what this Bill is all about."

Durkin: "Illinois, Dominican Republic, what?"

Ford: "I'd rather debate this on the Third Reading."

Durkin: "All right. All right."

Ford: "It's..."

Durkin: "All right. Well, we'll we'll sharpen our wits and our elbows, and good luck."

Ford: "All right."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sacia."

Sacia: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Sacia: "Representative Ford, help me out. Is this the Bill where we want to count the inmates and use that as their voting base, as opposed to their back home address? Is that what this is?"

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

Ford: "That's correct."

Sacia: "Why... why are we doing that, Sir? Wouldn't that be considered a temporary address?"

Ford: "Well, I think it's the right thing to do. And I know that this would be a Bill that some will believe in and some won't."

Sacia: "Okay."

Ford: "And there would be... this would be a Bill that some will vote 'no' and some will vote 'yes'."

Sacia: "Like all Bills."

Ford: "Right."

Sacia: "Some will vote 'no'..."

Ford: "Right."

Sacia: "...and some will vote 'yes'. Yes. But if you're in the military... if I'm in the military and I'm in Afghanistan I still use my home address, correct?"

Ford: "Actually, I believe that if you're in the military that you're not counted. You're sort of disenfranchised."

Sacia: "No. No. You're still counted and I ... I believe ... "

Ford: "You're not counted in the census."

Sacia: "...it isn't. It has no merit or... I understand where you're... what your comments are. But Representative Ford, I'm trying to understand why we need to say that an incarcerated person should be counted in say, the Menard area as opposed to the Chicago area. What... what does that accomplish? Would you help me understand that? I truly don't understand."

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

- Ford: "I... I tell you, if we could just get this Bill to Third and we will have time, I have all the answers for you. This simply eliminates..."
- Sacia: "I like that, Representative. I'll talk to you more on Third."

Ford: "Thank you."

Sacia: "Thank you."

- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Gentleman's Amendment will say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. But a fiscal note and a mandates note have been requested on the Bill as amended and have not been filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Please hold the Bill on the Order of Second Reading while Mr. Ford retrieves the notes. Mr. Clerk, Senate Bill 1379, there's a request from the Sponsor. Oh. Please read the Bill. Senate Bill 1379, Mr. Unes."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1379, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Members we're going to start doing Senate Bills-Third Reading on page 3 of the Calendar. Please look at the Calendar to see if your Bill is coming up so we can move through this list expeditiously and complete as many as we possibly can before we head home for Mother's Day. The first one is Senate Bill 39, Mr. Franks. Please read the Bill."

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 39, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Franks."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 39 requires the court to confiscate a person's passport or travel restrictions on a defendant who's been arrested for first degree or other violent crime. Passed the San... Senate unanimously. I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Chair recognizes Mr. Reboletti."

Reboletti: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman yields."

Franks: "Representative, don't the judges already have the ability to do... to do this?"

Franks: "Under current law, judges are not required to confiscate the passport of a person charged with a crime.

Judges can use their discretion to determine whether an arrestee is likely to be a flight risk, but there's no requirement."

Reboletti: "Is there a particular case as to why you're requiring this?"

Franks: "I'm not sure. I know this came from the Senate. I... the one that could probably come to my mind would be, perhaps, the issue with the gentleman in from France in... in the New York hotel. Or there could be some issues here in Chicago where people have fled to other countries after being charged with crimes."

Reboletti: "I don't necessarily have an issue with it. I just know that we used to routinely ask for confiscation of the passport, depending on the type of the offense, especially

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

if they were flight risk. So, your... I was just trying to figure out if there's a particular case that... where a judge should have taken a passport and he or she did not and so, that's why we have the Bill."

Franks: "And it's not just for American citizens. That's...
that's probably the one thing that... this stands out. This
would allow a judge to confiscate a passport or travel
document if someone, who was in this country here, who's
not an American citizen."

Reboletti: "Thank you."

'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves, Members. It is Friday. Kelly Burke, Wheeler. Please take the record. On this question, there are 110 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Chair recognizes Representative Scherer."

Scherer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "Please proceed."

Scherer: "I have a very special group here today. It is Washington Middle School and the teacher is Lisa Appenzeller and they're right here in Springfield. So, they may grow up to be in one of these chairs. Let's give them a hand of."

Speaker Lang: "Welcome to Springfield. Welcome to the Capitol. Senate Bill 84, Mr. Costello. Please read the Bill."

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 84, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Costello."

Costello: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Senate Bill 84 requires the back fees paid to ISBE for reinstatement of a lapsed teaching certificate to be paid to the Teacher Certificate Institute Fund, which is administered by ISBE but is locally controlled by the Regional Office of Education. Currently, these fees are paid to the Teachers Certificate Revolving Fund, which is controlled by ISBE. This gives greater local control of education funds. This legislation passed the House in '97 unanimously, passed the Senate this year unanimously, passed out of committee on leave and there's no opposition. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. There being no debate, those in favor vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Turner. Please take the record. On this question, there are 110 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 204, Mr. Hoffman. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 204, a Bill for an Act concerning state government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 204 would amend the State Police Act by waiving the college education requirement for police

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

officers that have been honorably discharged or are active members of the Illinois National Guard or a reserve component of the United States Armed Service. This is an initiative of the State Police Merit Board. I know of no opposition."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. The Chair recognizes Representative Ives."

Ives: "Thank you, Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Ives: "I'm just reading this and I'm wondering if they are required to substitute the experiment... collegiate experience for the military service experience, or is this a 'may'?"

Hoffman: "Well the... what it says is that the Police Merit Board... the educational requirements will be exempted if you serve in the Illinois National Guard or reserve component of the U.S. Armed Forces, and have been awarded the south... Southwest Asian Service Medal, Kosovo Campaign Medal, Korean Defense Service Medal or served in the Afghan, Iraq or Global War. It's a way of ensuring that people who've served in the Armed Services, who may not have a full college education, can serve in the State Police."

Ives: "Okay. So, are they required to make the sub...
substitution or can they just simply decide to substitute
it?"

Hoffman: "Well, it's my understanding that the Police Merit Board determines who's going to go through a State Police Class. So, you... they will not have to have the full college

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

requirement if they've served. So, they are required to substitute, but that doesn't mean they have to be hired."

Ives: "It has nothing to do with them having to hire these folks? They..."

Hoffman: "No."

Ives: "I just want to know if this is permissive or if we're making them... making this a required criteria that they substitute one for the other?"

Hoffman: "No. Maybe I'm not understanding the question. So, the process is this. If they're going to have a State Police class, the Police Merit Board decides who's going to be in it. This says is, if you have served, then the educational requirements that are currently in existence don't apply to you. So, they can... that you... you can be considered for induction into that class. So, they are required to... to... if you have served, they are required to take that into account."

Ives: "They're required to do it then? They have to decide that the clerk who served in Afghanistan is equivalent to the person who had a certain amount of college. Is that correct?"

Hoffman: "I don't understand what you're saying."

Ives: "Okay. My... my line of questioning actually relates..."

Hoffman: "I don't think you understand what you're saying, to
 be very frank."

Ives: "No. I actually do understand exactly what I'm saying. My
point is, is that we're making requirements based on
military service that may not be equivalent to what is
currently the standard."

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

Hoffman: "Look, if you don't want to give people who've served in the Armed Forces this... this type of incen... or this type of ability to be a State Policeman, vote 'no'. Vote 'no'."

Ives: "I... I don't want to require us to make a decision at the state level to offer this substitution."

Hoffman: "Then vote 'no', vote against the people who've served us. Go ahead."

Ives: "Well, there's a similar Bill... Bill that's coming up that requires this in local areas as well. I mean we're seeing a number of these where the state's deciding exactly what is the proper requirements to do certain things, when it's not our decision. We should not have to do this. I urge..."

Hoffman: "Then vote..."

Ives: "...a 'no' vote."

Hoffman: "...against it."

Ives: "To the Bill. This Bill is additionally making requirements on other services, other par... agencies in the state, based on military service without letting the decision stand at the local level. We should not be requiring this. If they see that the service generated... or the service that these men and women served in is commensurate with the college education or the equivalence... equivalent to somebody else they're looking at as a candidate, that's fine. They should be able to make that decision, but we shouldn't require that they make that decision. I urge a 'no' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Cabello."

Cabello: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. Representative Hoffman, thank you very much for bringing this Bill

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

forward. I agree with it 110 percent. And I apologize for being late, but I would like to be added as cosponsor. And I requestfully ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Moffitt."

Moffitt: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. You know, I think we just need to have some common sense here. Representative Hoffman, thank you for bringing this forward. Thank you for honoring those who have served. Thank you who have been thoroughly vetted through the military that we've trusted to protect our freedoms and say could waive an educational requirement. Representative, I want to thank you for that and commend you for that. You know, we've ... we have kind of a checkered past in Illinois with some ... some political figures. All of them that, I believe, have been... had to do time, whatever, and that's over many decades. Highly educated people, would have met the requirements, you know, the educational requirement. But now, when we have some veterans who have been thoroughly vetted just through the service, lots of people observing their... their performance. So, this is good legislation in support of our veterans, another way of saying thank you. I appreciate you bringing it forward and I would also like to be a cosponsor. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sullivan."

Sullivan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman yields."

Sullivan: "Representative on page 2 of your Bill, we talk about all persons who have been honorably discharged have been... where they Afghan, and Iraqi Campaign Medal can replace

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

their service for the educational component. So, that's existing law that we're striking and expanding existing law to include other people that have served in other campaigns. So, you're not changing the underlying definition of who can replace educational requirements with service, you're just expanding it. Is that correct?"

Hoffman: "Exactly."

Sullivan: "And so, by doing this, you're saying the service you've provided is equal to the potential education requirements to get on the application list, not to actually be chosen?"

Hoffman: "That's what I was attempting to explain to the previous speaker."

Sullivan: "I was just trying to help you explain a little better, so..."

Hoffman: "...You're explaining it better than I did."

Sullivan: "...so, we're not..."

Hoffman: "See, not everybody can go to West Point."

Sullivan: "Right. Thank you. To the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, this does not put someone ahead of someone with education experience. It says we can replace your education experience with similar service to our country. Once you get on the list everything is equal. We're just allowing certain people that couldn't go to college, 'cause they were serving our country, to have the opportunity to be a cadet within the services."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Hoffman to close."

Hoffman: "Well, thank you. I... I really appreciate the... the previous three speakers. I think they explained the Bill a

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

bit better than I did. And I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Gentleman's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 722, Mr. Bost. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 722, a Bill for an Act concerning liquor. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Bost."

Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 722 simply fixes a problem. When we passed the law a couple of years ago that when you leave a restaurant the bottle can then be sealed and put in a bag and sealed and be taken home and... and the rest be consumed later, we included everything but we, unfortunately, did not include wineries. This just simply adds wineries. Be glad to answer any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill.

Those in favor of the Bill vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Hurley, Sosnowski. Please take the record. On this question, there are 110 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 20... 723, Mr. Acevedo. Mr. Acevedo. Out of the record. Senate Bill 850, Mr. Yingling. Please read the Bill."

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 850, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Yingling."

Yingling: "This is a... this is a consensus Bill that came over from the Senate. What it does is it creates a short... short-term local siting exemption for siting landscape waste transfer centers for... for composting. And this passed out of committee unanimously. And again, it passed out of Senate unanimously. I'd be more than happy to answer any questions. And I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill.

Those in favor vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Poe, Williams. Mr. Poe. Please take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'yes', and 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1005, Mr. Christian Mitchell. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1005, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Mitchell."

Mitchell, C.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This... this Bill is in response to some of the issue we've seen, both on Michigan Avenue and else places in my district and others with regard to violent flash mobs. And what it would basically say is if you are convicted of mob action and use social media to organize the mob, you... that... that organization, via Twitter or whatever it was, could be used as an

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

aggravating factor in your sentencing. I want to emphasize that though the most famous issues with flash mobs, who are using social media to provoke violence, have occurred on Michigan Avenue. This has happened in other places. My State Senator had someone basically shot very close to his home after she posted a picture of herself on Facebook. And it's also reflective of the fact that violence has become more localized and smaller, and Twitter has become a vital organizing tool. This gives our law enforcement the ability to keep up with the changing times. I ask for an 'aye' vote, and I welcome any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. The Chair recognizes Mr. Reboletti."

Reboletti: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Reboletti: "Representative, what do you mean by the court can use it by an aggravating factor? What does that actually do?"

Mitchell, C.: "So, as noted in the Criminal Code there are a couple different things. For example, having been formally convicted of first degree murder or voluntary manslaughter, at the discretion of the judge, this can be... is given weight and can be considered as a reason to impose a more severe sentence."

Reboletti: "And it's become a little more commonplace to see some of these flash mobs in the City of Chicago, in particular wasn't it not that long ago where on Michigan Avenue there was a number of young individuals that were up and down Michigan Avenue acting... they were either robbing

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

people or pushing people fighting with people? Is that what this is in response to, Representative?"

Mitchell, C.: "This is in response to that, in addition to some incidents kind of further south, where social media was used specifically to target someone and mobilize a group of people to go attack them."

Reboletti: "And... and this happens pretty quickly because I think that attack on Michigan Avenue, they... they gathered within probably about a half an hour of those tweets and the Facebooking going on. Is that a fair assessment?"

Mitchell, C.: "That's... that's correct."

Reboletti: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Ford."

Ford: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Ford: "Representative, I have a few questions about 'mob action'. Could you define to me what it means to be in the mob?"

Mitchell, C.: "So... so, mob action is defined, as you can probably see in our analysis, as knowing or recklessly using force or violence, disturbing the peace by two or more persons acting together and without authority of law, assembly of two persons with the intent to commit or facilitate the commission of a felony or misdemeanor, the knowing assembly of two or more persons without authority of law for the purpose of performing a violent act against the person or property of anyone supposed to have been guilty of violation or for the purpose of exercising

53rd Legislative Day

- correctional powers or regulative powers over any person by violence."
- Ford: "So, do you think that it's fair to put these acts that young people may be attempting to commit in the same category of mob action where you have the traditional mob where they kill people and they did heinous acts? Is this Bill going to put those young people in the same category as a mobster?"
- Mitchell, C.: "So, I... No. Representative Ford, that's not what I would say. What I would say, however, is that 'mob action' is defined, in this case, as being group action. And what we've seen in many cases is that you have younger people, often being influenced by older people or occasionally by people in their same peer group, to go and commit violent action. And what this would say is, if you're going to be the person inciting this action, organizing others to go downtown or go to your neighborhood or go wherever it is to commit a violent act, that could be used as an aggravating term in your... in your sentencing to change that incentive structure on the street."
- Ford: "So, currently, if this happens with young people, what's the penalty for a young person that's committing or attempting to commit misbehavior?"
- Mitchell, C.: "One second. And I could be wrong about this Representative, but my understanding is that mob action currently is a Class IV Felony, which I want to say is one to three years and some sort of fine, intentionally."
- Ford: "And so you say this is a penalty enhancement and it's... does it have an age..."

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

Mitchell, C.: "No."

Ford: "...attached to it?"

Mitchell, C.: "So, Representative, I object to that characterization. This is not a penalty enhancement. It allows for this to be considered as an aggravating factor by the judge, but it is not a mandatory penalty enhancement."

Ford: "But it allows the judge's discretion?"

Mitchell, C.: "Indeed."

Ford: "Is there an age attached to it?"

Mitchell, C.: "I do not believe age is specified in the Bill."

Ford: "Well, I appreciate your effort in trying to bring peace to the street and I'll continue to listen to the debate.

And I congratulate you on the work but I'm not sure if I could vote for your Bill."

Mitchell, C.: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Monique Davis."

Davis, M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Davis, M.: "First of all, Christian, I'm glad you want to keep peace in Chicago. We have peace officers assigned to do that and they should do that. Your Bill, if I'm not mistaken, correct me if I'm wrong, states that an extended sentence can be given if a person is accused of this act. Is that correct?"

Mitchell, C.: "That's correct."

Davis, M.: "Okay. So, all right..."

Mitchell, C.: "Can be at the discretion of the judge."

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

Davis, M.: "We've talked about extended sentences in this Body and we find that extended sentences extend our budget requests. Every time we extend sentences, we need more dollars for the Department of Corrections, and we have not increased safety any place. Your Bill also says, listen very carefully, Ladies and Gentlemen, any signs, signals, sounds, writings, images or intelligence of any nature transmitted by wire, radio, electromagnetic, photoelectric... Anyway, a lot of merchants will actually be hurt by this because this is sometimes how they advertise. They advertise using images. They advertise using photographs. They advertise using symbols. So, some innocent people can be caught up under the umbrella of protecting Michigan Avenue."

Mitchell, C.: "So, Representative, I'd like to respond."

Davis, M.: "Yes, Sir. Go right ahead."

Mitchell, C.: "So... so, a couple of things. So, first of all, this person has already been... for this to kick in, this person has already been convicted of mob action. What you're citing is the definition of electronic communication from the Criminal Code. This person has already been convicted of mob action. This is..."

Davis, M.: "But he's convicted based on what you're naming..."

Mitchell, C.: "No."

Davis, M.: "...as the things that created the mob action."

Mitchell, C.: "No. That... that's not true. This... this person is..."

Davis, M.: "Okay. If we're looking at a computer, what do I see on that computer..."

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

Mitchell, C.: "Representative..."

Davis, M.: "...that tells me to go to Michigan Avenue? What do I see?"

Mitchell, C.: "Representative, what I'm saying is, I hear your question, I think it's slightly detached from what this Bill does. You have already been convicted of mob action, there has already been somebody that has been jumped or accosted or robbed. What this says is if you are found to be the person who has incited this action through using Twitter or Facebook or something like that, it can be used as an aggravating factor in your sentencing. The second thing I would say about your budgetary concern is that there's a reason why this Bill is supported by the Chicago Land Chamber of Commerce, the Illinois Retail Merchants Association. This is having a direct negative economic impact on their businesses from people not feeling safe enough to walk in and out or even walk around in their neighborhoods."

Davis, M.: "May I ask another question?"

Mitchell, C.: "Yes, Ma'am."

Davis, M.: "I don't know why people are not feeling safe. I was downtown Saturday and people were all over there. You could hardly drive downtown because there's so many people down there shopping, eating, working. Now, sometimes, Christian, sometimes, something occurs that creates what people see as mob action when it's really just a bunch of young people with nothing to do. They can't afford to go to the park because it costs money for five-or six-week programs. They can't go free like I used to do. They can't go to a skating

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

rink 'cause there's none there, can't go bowling 'cause it's not there. So they're looking for something to do. Do we want it on Michigan Avenue? No, we don't. But we want to open up opportunities in the communities they live in..."

Mitchell, C.: "Sure."

Davis, M.: "...for them to have some fun."

Mitchell, C.: "Absolutely."

Davis, M.: "Now, they're going to close down the Red Line so those kids won't even get to go downtown much more. To the Bill, Mr. Speaker. This Bill is extremely well-intentioned. But it's one of those things that creates, once again, an overcrowded court docket, an overcrowded jail, expense that the rest of us taxpayers have to continue to pay. Not enough probation officers, not enough people who are readyish, let's say, to counsel children, but let's pretend that we're going to stop children's bad behavior and we're going to catch up a lot of innocent people who use the Internet. We're going to catch a lot of innocent people who use the internet, who are going be accused of starting this. Now, I don't know where you would... how the judge would decide that you're the person who initiated the first hash tag, you're the fir... hash tags doesn't even have be your real name. I respect you Representative Mitchell. I respect Mr. Reboletti, but I also know that Mr. is a strong prosecutor and he continues to Reboletti prosecute in this building. The Bill may get 118 votes but there's one vote it will not get, number one, because I am watching the budget of the State of Illinois. I urge a 'no' vote."

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

Speaker Lang: "Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman yields."

- Flowers: "Representative, number three on the analysis says when two or more persons without authority of law. So, wouldn't we have to get permission now in order to walk down the street if there's two or more people? The knowingly assembl... assemble of two or more persons without the authority of law for the purpose of performing a violence act? If there's two or more people, how would you know, how is it defined that that person is going to commit a violent act?"
- Mitchell, C.: "So... so first, that's not new law. Mob action is already defined in the Criminal Code."
- Flowers: "I understand that mob action is already defined. Oh, you're saying that the two or more is already defined?"
- Mitchell, C.: "This is lifted directly from the Unified Code of Corrections, which already exists. All that is added in this Bill is, when we talk about factors and extending term of sentence, using electronic media to organize a mob action becomes a factor that can be used in imposing a second term... extended-term sentence."
- Flowers: "So, let me ask this question then. If there's a group of parents that want to protest and they use Twitter to send out word that they want to protest because of what's happening with their tax dollars, would they be considered part of a mob action?"
- Mitchell, C.: "No. Because, once again, mob action, as defined here, implies the commission of a crime or violence. They

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

would not be organizing, I imagine, to rob anybody or to go into a store and shoplift or to accost anyone. So, no, they would not be committing mob action by definition of the Criminal Code."

- Flowers: "And you say that there are seven instances where the court may consider imposing an extended sentence. Where do these seven issues come from?"
- Mitchell, C.: "So, these are already codified in the Criminal Code. I want to say it's Section 25, but don't... don't quote me on that. But they're already codified in the Criminal Code originally from the '80s and... and updated in 2012."
- Flowers: "And so, my last question to you, Sir. What good would an extended sentencing do? As far as, would it make them better if they serve a longer time, and as one of the previous speakers stated, have you factored in how much it's going to cost the people of the State of Illinois..."
- Mitchell, C.: "So... so, I would say, let me answer this..."
- Flowers: "As opposed... as opposed to using an opportunity to use some type of intervention programs to prevent the mob actions from happening in the first place?"
- Mitchell, C.: "Sure. So... so, let me answer your question a couple of ways. So, first of all, as you know, I am extremely supportive of anything that can reduce recidivism, that treats our... our public health problem of recreational drugs as a public health problem rather than as a criminal justice problem. This is not that case. This is a case of making sure that we're not disrupting economic activity in the City of Chicago on the one hand, and making sure that law enforcement can deal with the fragmented gang

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

structure that we now see as opposed to the old corporate structure on the south and west sides of Chicago. And it's... it's making sure that the way that folks are now organizing their mobs, we can actually take legal action on. In terms of the extended sentence, it's about changing the structure of incentives on the streets so if folks know if they try to get other people to commit mob action, if they incentivize other folks to jump in the group thing, it often happens with younger people, and do a criminal act, they will be held more responsible. So, I hear what you're saying. You know I agree with you in terms of how we reform our criminal justice system, but this is taking an affirmative step to make sure that we protect our businesses and that we protect our families on the south and west side of Chicago and other where... other places."

Flowers: "Well, let's go back to the south and west side of the City of Chicago. Now, how is this Bill applicable because that's... the problem is there are no businesses. So, as a result of not having any businesses, we don't have jobs and opportunities. So, that is probably part of the reason why. I'm not justifying anyone's behavior, but if this Bill is specifically for the south and west side of the City of Chicago..."

Mitchell, C.: "I didn't say that."

Flowers: "Well, what did you say about the south..."

Mitchell, C.: "I didn't say that, Representative. What I noted was that everyone is saying it's all about Michigan Avenue, Michigan Avenue. That's not true. Senator Raoul had somebody shot down the street from his house

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

after she posted a picture of herself on Facebook. A bunch of people retweeted and decided to go and try to kill her and only attempted... only succeeded in shooting her in the leg. So, what... what is important here is that we don't have the old g's like we use to, like, gangs are different. They are more splintered, they are block by block, they are neighborhood by neighborhood rather than region by region. And they are organizing using Twitter and social media. So, in addition to protecting our businesses on Michigan Avenue, this gives law enforcement the ability to help change that incentive structure on the street to incentivize younger people to do these kinds of actions."

Flowers: "Well, my final question to you, and thank you very much for your patience and your time, but in the past, if a longer sentencing would have been successful, we wouldn't be having the problems that we're having today. So my point to you is that I think that if we keep on doing the things the same way we've been doing it, we're going to continue to get the same results. And that's exactly what's happening here. So what we need to do is pause for a minute because the police has the tools already to work with. We have gang prevention, gang intervention, we have lock them up. Our tax dollars, that's the reason we can't educate the kids now because we're so busy incarcerating and sending them away longer. And the Supreme Court and other courts have ruled that it has not worked and it's just costing the people more money. So, what I would suggest and what I would appreciate, and I understand what it is that you're trying to do, but once again, we already have laws on the

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

book that should be implemented. And this is not going to make me and the people on the south and west side any safer and I think that is part of the problem, because there's that perception that we're tough on crime. And yes, we are tough, but we're tougher on ourselves because it costs us more in the long run. Thank you very much and I appreciate you."

Mitchell, C.: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Dunkin."

Dunkin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, many of you know that I am not a big proponent penalty enhancement of further improving incarceration numbers. But the fact of the matter is, this is a different dynamic in the simple sense that these are new times where people are using electronic mechanisms to communicate to commit crimes in our neighborhoods, on the south and west sides, downtown, on the north side, in the suburbs across this country. It's a new reality; it's a new fact whether we like it or not. And this Bill merely responds to that new phenomenon, that new fact of electronic communication by way of Facebook, text messaging, e-mail, computer, cell phones. It's a new reality. When criminals change with the times, we have to adjust ourselves accordingly. This Bill is really not over the top. It's merely leading the criminal where they are today. And so, I support this Bill because they are using mass media texts and Facebook to assemble, to do harm, to do things that are simply unheard of when you get mass amounts of individuals together and they want to dominate a

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

situation and they hide under that to commit a heinous crime. It is where we are today, Ladies and Gentlemen, and we have to respond accordingly. This Bill merely speaks to that. It mirrors and reflects what's going on today in this particular city, state and nation with these knuckleheads who are terrorizing our respective communities. And this is something that I'm supporting wholeheartedly, after someone like myself... I'm not... I'm not for mandatory minimums. I'm not for... for exten... extension of sentencing, but this speaks to where we are today. I would urge a strong 'yes' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Zalewski."

Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. It's Friday, and we're all a little stir-crazy and I think we're... we're misconstruing a lot of what's in our analysis. This is a very straightforward Bill that the Gentleman's brought forth that's going to address a very narrow problem. We have a fundamental issue in our Criminal Code where we need to continually update it to deal with modern technology. Law enforcement is saying to us, when there's an instance when people are using social media to congregate and commit acts of violence or acts of recklessness in the Gentleman's district, we don't have the proper ability, under the law, to treat them as the way they should be treated in order to make sure that it doesn't happen again. So, let's not focus on the underlying issue of mob action because the General Assembly's made that policy judgment. That's against the law. Let's focus on the fact that our Criminal Code needs to be fixed so that when we have individuals

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

using technology to do the things they're doing and make the Gentleman's district less safe, we can help him make that change. This is a good Bill. It's narrowly drafted. It deals with a part of the corrections code, not the Criminal Code, and it deserves an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Reboletti, whose name was mentioned in debate."

Reboletti: "That's right. It is Reboletti, Mr. Speaker. My name was used in debate and I'm very appreciative of some previous speakers who are now budget hawks, and I'm sure that they will be helping us go through the budget with a fine tooth comb as we try to conclude in the budgetary process as they're concerned about the spending. This has absolutely nothing to do with the budget. It has to do with the State of Illinois's public safety. And when people stop coming to Chicago, shopping on Michigan Avenue, going to the ball games, and tourism stops, you're going to see even a bigger problem in the budget. So, while we're so concerned about the mob action participants and protecting their rights, how about protecting the rights of everyday citizens who want to go shopping, take their family to the restaurant. How about stand up for those folks once in a while instead of always trying to protect the criminal element."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Durkin."

Durkin: "Just briefly. The Gentleman behind me I know his name is used and it's butchered often. There's only one 'o' in that name? So, but anyway, getting to the Bill, this is not just exclusive to Michigan Avenue. This happens... these

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

issues happen in the suburbs, downstate Illinois. It's becoming a pervasive problem throughout the State of Illinois. But fact versus fiction, extended-term sentencing is rarely used. It's verily... it's only in the most extreme circumstances that a court will exercise that type of sentencing. So, I think that the... some of the rhetoric in the language that was used here today is not actually true. So, I think it's a good Bill, I think we should pass it. And I think, again, it's discretionary, but it's only brought out in the most limited and probably the most heinous situations when there is a conviction for the crime of mob action. I... I support the Bill and... and I would recommend an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Mayfield."

Mayfield: "I yield my time to Representative Monique Davis."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Monique Davis."

Davis, M.: "Thank you, Sir. I would like a question answered by Christian Mitchell. Would this Bill have stopped the murder of Hadiya Pendleton?"

Mitchell, C.: "I... I don't see how that's a relevant question, but I will say I don't know if social media was used in that case."

Davis, M.: "Well, the question, Sir..."

Mitchell, C.: "I'd imagine probably not."

Davis, M.: "...the question, Sir, is are children's lives as valuable as the merchants on Michigan Avenue?"

Mitchell, C.: "So... so, Representative..."

Davis, M.: "Would this... hold on... would this Bill have stopped the young college freshman at Columbia University from

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

being shot and killed yesterday? Will this Bill stop the murders of black boys mostly, and girls on the south and west side of Chicago? And if it doesn't do that, you should be ashamed of yourself."

Mitchell, C.: "So... so, Representative, let me... let me respond in a couple of ways. So, I've said a couple of times during this debate that this is not just about Michigan Avenue. Would it have stopped those specific murders that you mentioned, probably not."

Davis, M.: "Do you..."

Mitchell, C.: "Would it... Representative..."

Davis, M.: "...have a Bill for it? Do you have a Bill for that?"

Mitchell, C.: "I've... Representative, I've let you finish every sentence you've started."

Davis, M.: "You..."

Mitchell, C.: "I would just ask that same respect from you. Now, would it have stopped those specific murders, possibly not. Are there other murders that it can prevent affirmatively by making sure that folks know that electronic media cannot be used as a tool to mobilize violent mob action? I believe the answer to that question is yes. So, that is... that is the reason why this Bill is being put forth. It's about property, protecting lawabiding citizens. I would also respond to those folks concerned about businesses on the south and west sides of Chicago. Part of the reasons that folks don't locate there even from our own communities is..."

Davis, M.: "To the Bill. To the Bill, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill.

Thank you. To the Bill, Mr. Speaker. I believe there are

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

current laws on the books that protect merchants in Chicago and in the rest of the State of Illinois. But it does grieve me, it truly grieves me when people start to see property more valuable than lives. No one seems to be able to come up with a solution to stop the guns from killing the children, not grownups, children, children on the south and west side of Chicago. We talk about stopping crime and stopping mob action, but only in certain places, because it's being tolerated where you live. And where I live. And your Bill does absolutely nothing for the majority of the State of Illinois. I think trying to figure out more ways to incarcerate young black males, who are not being killed, is not the way to solve the electronic problem. People use electronics all the time. These same merchants use images and photocopy and so forth, but only they're going to be allowed to use it. Our children need support, not constant punishment. Our children need consideration. Our children don't need to be having closed schools. Are you supporting the moratorium on school closings, Sir? That's not a question; it's rhetorical. To the Bill, Mr. Speaker. I think the majority of people want to appear hard on crime. Bill says you're hard on kids. Kids who could innocently be caught up because I don't really know how a judge will know hash tag dkay. How you going to find hash They going to bring in the FBI tag dkay? to check everybody's computer? Some of these kids don't even have a computer at home. Mary Flowers just stated how important it is... how important it is to realize we already have laws on the books. Representative Flowers just stated mob action is

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

already against the law. This is a bad Bill. I would ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Bost."

Bost: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves to the previous question. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the previous question is put. Mr. Mitchell to close."

Mitchell, C.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Thank you all for your comments. Thank you for the debate. I would just say a couple of things to close. The first, this is not just about Michigan Avenue; it's about making our streets safer. It's about the fact that intervention is harder when you're talking about a 20- to 30-minute window between crimes. What we have to do is make sure that we have teeth and enforcement so that people understand that if they make this step, they take this action to make our communities less safe, that they will end up doing some time. I would just also note that this is going to be good for businesses, not only on Michigan Avenue, but all around the City of Chicago, as it helps us improve our climate for public safety. Thank you for your comments and questions. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Gentleman's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Gordon, Jefferson. Please take the record. On this question, there are 102 voting 'yes', 6 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1194, Mr. Mautino. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1194, a Bill for an Act concerning insurance. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. And I'm just getting my computer to the right Bill. House Floor Amendment #1 and the Bill, as presented, is agreed. It is negotiated. The Hospital Association's objections have been removed. This is the Navigator Act and basically, it creates a certification for those who would be navigators in the Affordable Care Act. It requires a process for certification through the Department of Insurance. Individuals and entities as a whole can apply for the certification and... and this will apply whether Illinois has its own exchange or whether it is a state/federal partnership or a purely federal exchange. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Bellock, Gabel, Harris. Representatives Bellock and Gabel. Please take the record. On this question, there are 87 voting 'yes', 21 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Chair recognizes Representative Bellock."

Bellock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I had a question on that Bill. I don't know, I think you didn't see my light."

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

- Speaker Lang: "Your light did not come on until I started calling for the vote, Representative."
- Bellock: "Oh, I'm sorry. I had a question on that. So, I'll bring it up later. Thank you."
- Speaker Lang: "Thank you. Senate Bill 1210, Mr. Welch. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1210, a Bill for an Act in relation to homeless persons. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Welch."

Welch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1210 is a Homeless Bill of Rights. It just came out of the Judiciary Committee 15-0. Senate Bill 1210 protects homeless people from discrimination by creating basic rights. Under the Bill, basic rights such as the right to maintain gainful employment, to access public services and spaces, to access emergency medical care and the right to vote on the same basis as others, cannot be denied solely because someone is homeless or lists a shelter as their address. A person discriminated against because of his or her housing status would have the right to take legal action and seek damages. No person in this state should suffer unnecessarily from cold or hunger, be deprived of shelter or the basic rights incident to shelter, or be subject to unfair discrimination based on his or her homeless status. I ask the House to support this Bill today."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. The Chair recognizes Mr. Bost."

Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

- Bost: "Representative, I don't think anybody wants to not allow homeless people their... their rights. I think there's some concerns that I might have though, when you say that... that... we have certain requirements of... of notification of address and those type things while voting. How is that handled in your Bill, or has the State Board of Elections said how that would be handled, or..."
- Welch: "Representative Bost, the answer to that particular question is already addressed in current Illinois law. Would you like..."
- Bost: "Okay. Yeah. I'd like an explanation of how that..."
- Welch: "Under Section 10 ILCS 5/3-2 Section (b), a homeless individual must have a mailing address in order to be eligible to register to vote. For purposes of this Act, Mr. Bost, a mailing address shall constitute a homeless individual's residents for voting purposes."
- Bost: "Can... can that let me ask this, can that mailing address then be a post office box?"
- Welch: "A mailing address I was going to complete that thought..."
- Bost: "Okay. That... that's why... that's why I'm asking it. A mailing address can be a post office box?"
- Welch: "It may include, but not be limited to, a shelter, a day shelter or a private residence."
- Bost: "Okay. So, it must be one of those three, not... it can't be a post office box? The address has to be... and... and in that case, there has to be... the State Law requires a residency requirement, correct?"
- Welch: "This... that is correct."

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

Bost: "Okay. So, and... and a certain length of time. Okay? And so, I'm trying to figure out how this works. Okay? I really am and like I said, I don't want to take anybody's rights away, but I'm... I'm afraid that we would be swinging a door open for possible voter fraud if we're not sensibly putting something in place. If it's just a post office box... but then, if it's a shelter... if it's a shelter and they use that address, would it be a long enough established time, because you have... you have to be a resident of a certain address for a certain period of time before you can vote. Is that not correct?"

Welch: "That is correct, Representative. Your... your issues are addressed already in the law that was previously cited."

Bost: "Okay, I'll... I'll look into that. I'm not sure... I'm not sure that it does, but I'll look into that. What... and could you please repeat again, what other areas besides voting, that... that the rights should be?"

Welch: "There are seven basic rights..."

Bost: "Okay."

Welch: "...under this Bill. One, the right to use and move freely in public spaces including public sidewalks, parks, transportation and buildings in the same manner as any other person and without discrimination. Two, the right to equal treatment by all state and municipal agencies without discrimination. Three, the right to not..."

Bost: "Can you say the second one again? I'm sorry. I'm having trouble hearing. I... It just."

Welch: "I... I also speak softly. The second one, is the right to equal treatment... the right to equal treatment by all state

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

and municipal agencies without discrimination. Three, the face discrimination while maintaining to not employment due to his or her lack of permanent mailing address or his or her mailing address being that of a shelter or social service provider. Four, the right to emergency medical care free from discrimination. Five, the right to vote... register to vote and receive documentation necessary to prove identity for voting discrimination. Six, the right to protection from disclosure of his or her records and information provided to homeless shelters and service providers to the state, municipal and private entities without legal authority. This includes the right to confidentiality of personal records and information in accordance with all limitations disclosure established by the Federal Homeless Information Systems, the Federal Management Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, which is known as HIPAA, and the Federal Violence Against Women Act. And then seventh, the right to a reasonable expectation of privacy in his or her personal property to the same extent as personal property in a permanent residence."

Bost: "Okay. Thank... thank you very much. I appreciate the... answering the question."

Welch: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Reis."

Reis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Reis: "Representative, our analysis shows that the Municipal League is an opponent."

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

Welch: "Representative, there are no longer any opponents to the Bill."

Reis: "So, your... so, was your Amendment then with... withdrew their opposition?"

Welch: "Yes."

Reis: "Okay. I want to follow up with what... what Representative Bost said. You know, when we go to vote we have to show our photo ID c... not our photo ID, but our voter registration card, right?"

Welch: "Correct."

Reis: "What address will be on that card now then?"

Welch: "It would be the address that was provided when they got the ID. That... that may happen to be a shelter, a day shelter, or a private residence."

Reis: "So, they will only be allowed to vote in that precinct then that that shelter is located in?"

Welch: "That's correct, but they'll have a right to vote."

Reis: "How will they cross-reference to see if maybe a person registered in two different shelters in two different precincts?"

Welch: "We... we have to trust the local authorities and the law does specifically say that election authorities, made by reasonable rules limit the place for voter registration of homeless individuals may be taken in the class of deputy registrars, who may take the voter registration of homeless individuals."

Reis: "Well, I... I think most everybody in this chamber agrees with six of your seven things. I think there's a lot of people that are uncomfortable about the voting aspect. And

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

you know, we've had homeless people in this country before we were a country, and I think this just opens up for fraud and abuse beyond what we are. I got a chuckle out of your comment that we have to trust the local officials. So, but we're getting... we're getting word yet that the Municipal League is still opposed to your Bill."

Welch: "I do want to state, Representative Reis, that this Bill, Senate Bill 1210, does not expand what's already existing law in the state, for voting."

Reis: "Go ahead, Representative, I'm listening. Representative, we have some time yet. We're still getting some strong comments that the Municipal League is strongly opposed to your Bill. Would you mind pulling it out to see if we can address their concerns and what it is?"

Welch: "We're not aware of any opposition to it, Representative.

And this is so important, I think many people don't know that only one state currently has a Homeless Bill of Rights and that's Rhode Island. This would be the sec... we would be the second state to..."

Reis: "Representative, there are..."

Welch: "...to make this statement."

Reis: "...49 other states that have conceal carry. That argument doesn't work very good with our side of the aisle. So, being #2 doesn't necessarily mean anything."

Welch: "Well I... I think this ... "

Reis: "This is a Senate Bill..."

Welch: "...is an important statement, Representative."

Reis: "I know, and all of us think our Bill's are important, but this is a Senate Bill, we won't have time to do

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

anything. If we pass this Bill it goes to the Governor. We're just asking for a little bit more time to see if the Municipal League's concerns have been addressed. We're getting word that they're not. And I guess there might be other people that have questions for you, but I would just ask that you take it out so we can hear about their concerns. Thank you."

Welch: "Thank you, Representative. I would just ask for your consideration on today's vote."

Speaker Lang: "Have you... you've completed your questions, Mr. Reis? Mr. Rita."

Rita: "Will... will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Rita: "Representative, in regards to right #5 with the vote, register to vote, does that change the existing law, what it is set today?"

Welch: "It does not, Representative."

Rita: "In... in terms of the way... what if... you said you could use a personal residence. What if fraud... what prevents the part of fraud? I have some questions in terms of how it's set up now, but you said it's not changing it. But what if someone would use that as a way of... fraudulently?"

Welch: "I don't understand the question, Representative. But existing law puts... gives election authorities, authority to put their own rules in place. And I know in Cook County those rules seem to... to be done quite effectively."

Rita: "Has there been any fraud in terms of registering vote or voter fraud due to people using homeless voter act as a way of registering more?"

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

Welch: "We are not aware of any, Representative."

Rita: "All right. Thank you."

Welch: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Welch to close."

Welch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I ask for support of Senate Bill 1210, which is a Homeless Bill of Rights. And I think we should make this important statement today. Thank you."

Lang: "Those in favor of the Gentleman's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Davis, Pritchard, Sullivan, Mr. Pritchard. Please take the record. On this question, there are 76 voting 'yes', 33 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1216, Mr. Acevedo, Mr. Acevedo. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1217, Mr. McAuliffe. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1217, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1217 amends the Illinois Dental Practice Act. It makes a technical change concerning the proof of current Basic Life Support Certification. And I ask for your 'aye' vote and be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill.

Those in favor vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Ford, Mr. Sommer. Please take

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1229, Mr. Zalewski. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1229, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Zalewski."

- Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As the Body may remember, we did a Bill on the Dietician and Nutritionist Act last year. This is trailer language that was asked for by Members of the Body and different individuals in the licensure area. I'd ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Gentleman's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Cavaletto, Chapa LaVia, Durkin. Please take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1399, Representative Williams. Representative Williams. Out of the record. Mr. Clerk, on page 6 of the Calendar, there appears Senate Bill 2157. The Sponsor requests that this Bill be placed on the Order of Second Reading. With leave of the Body, please move 2157 to the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, Senate Bill 1908, Mr. Harms. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1908, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2,

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

- offered by Representative Harms, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Harms."
- Harms: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move for the adoption of Amendment 2 to House Bill 1908. What it does is it takes two Sections that say 'shall' and changes them to a 'may'. I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted.

 Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1598, Mr. Ford. Is Mr. Ford in the chamber? Mr. Ford. Out of the record. Mr. Ford has returned. Mr. Clerk, Senate Bill 1598. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1598, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Ford, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Ford."
- Ford: "Thank you. I move for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2."
- Speaker Lang: "Please explain the Amendment, Sir."
- Ford: "Okay. I'll explain the Amendment. What the Amendment does it limits the number of points of contact for which the ratio and ethnic data must be collected to arresting or booking."

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. And now, leaving perfunctory time for the Clerk, Leader Currie moves that the House stand adjourned until Tuesday, May 14 at the hour of 12 noon. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the House does stand adjourned 'til Tuesday, May 14 at the hour of 12 noon. But we'll let Representative Lilly say something."
- Lilly: "Thank you, Speaker. Just a reminder this is the weekend before Capitol COWL production. Please, please, cast, remember to bring all of your costumes and props. We also have tickets for sale; this is a fund raiser. Can we please make sure we mention it to all our friends and family. Thank everyone... the rehearsal was excellent on yesterday. Please look forward to joining us on May 15. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Representative Lilly. The House stands adjourned."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order. First Reading of Senate Bills. Senate Bill 1361, offered by Representative Zalewski, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 1762, offered by Representative Chapa LaVia, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 2226, offered by Representative Hoffman, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Senate Bill 2340, offered by Representative Mayfield, a Bill for an Act concerning

53rd Legislative Day

5/10/2013

education. Senate Bill 2404, offered by Representative Hoffman, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. These are referred to the Rules Committee. There being no further business, the House Perfunctory Session will stand adjourned."