40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Speaker Lang: "The House will be in order. Members will be in their chairs. We shall be led in prayer today by Elder Roscoe Greene who is with the Greater Mt. Olive Church of God in Christ in Aurora, Illinois. Elder Greene is the guest of Representative Kifowit. Members and guests are asked to refrain from starting their laptops, turn off all cell phones and rise for the invocation and Pledge of Allegiance. Elder Greene."

Elder Greene: "Shall we look away. Gracious Father, the foundation of wisdom, whose will is good and gracious, whose law is truth. We pray so to guide and bless our Legislative Body assembled here today, that they may enact laws that shall be fair and acceptable to the glory of Your name and the welfare of this people. Father, we commend this work and pray that You grant to this Body and to all in authority wisdom and strength to know and do Your will. Fill them with love and truth and righteousness and make them ever mindful of their calling to serve the people in Your fear. And teach Your people to rely on Your strength to accept their responsibilities to their fellow citizens, that they may elect good leaders who make wise decisions for the well-being of our society. Moreover, we commend to Your gracious care in keeping all the men and women of our Armed Forces, here at home and abroad, protect them day by day with Your heavenly grace. Strengthen them in their trials and temptations, give them courage to face the perils which beset them. And grant them a sense of Your abiding presence wherever they may be. Father, may the matters discussed here today serve as a catalyst to move

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

forward and move us to a fast and see growth in all areas of our lives. May we leave here recognizing You are the Lord of all wisdom and You are willing to lead us forward. This we pray in the name of our Lord and Savior. Amen."

- Speaker Lang: "We'll be led in the pledge today by Representative Hurley."
- Hurley et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Lang: "Roll Call for Attendance. Leader Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. Please let the record reflect that Representatives Feigenholtz and Golar are excused."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Bost."
- Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let the record reflect that Representative Durkin and Sacia are excused on the Republican side of the aisle today."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Clerk, please take the record. 112 Members being present, we do have a quorum. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "Committee Reports. Representative Barbara Flynn Currie, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules reports the following committee action taken on April 15, 2013: recommends be adopted, referred to the floor is Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 494; Floor Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 945; Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 1047; Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 1323; Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 1391 Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 1555; Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 1571; Floor Amendment #4 to House Bill 2265; Floor Amendment #3 to House Bill 2404;

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 2453; Floor Amendment #3 to House Bill 2496; Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 2562; Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 2616; Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 2646; Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 2668; Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 2748; Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 2748; Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 2971; Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 2993; Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3006; House... Floor Amendment #4 to House Bill 3023; Floor Amendment #3 to House Bill 3104; Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3260; Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3300; and Floor Amendment #2 to House Resolution 169. Introduction of Resolutions. House Resolution 241, offered by Representative McSweeney and House Resolution 242, offered by Representative Jones are referred to the Rules Committee."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Bost."

Bost: "Mr. Speaker, point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "Please proceed."

Bost: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to, if I can, let you know about a thing that happened in my district today and actually last night. One of the residents went out, and... and in our area, we'll go out and... and hunt through the woods for morel mushrooms. And any rate, a constituent was out, one that I know very well, and... and he didn't come home last night and we sent a crew out to start searching yesterday evening and... and searching through the woods... wooded area in the northern part of Jackson County and... and everyone was naturally concerned. Volunteers came out, worked the woods and also the thing that I want to bring up is... is that businesses volunteered

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

and that's the way this works so well when our communities come together and work together. Black Diamond Harley Davidson who own a helicopter came in and did a search. And during that search was able to locate him. He had hypothermia. They were able to get him in this afternoon and they did find him and locate him. I just wanted to make a special statement of how important it is that people volunteer and help and work together and these... and this one turned out successful. And I just want to send out a special thank you to them."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Bost for a second round."

Bost: "Second announcement. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Republicans seek an immediate caucus."

Speaker Lang: "How long will the caucus be, Sir?"

Bost: "About an hour, maybe."

Speaker Lang: "The Republicans will caucus in Room 114 and the House will be in recess to the call of the Chair. The House will be in Order. The House will be in Order. Mr. Clerk, House Bill 3379, Representative Tabares. Out of the record. House Bill 3147, Representative Flowers. Welcome back, Representative Flowers. Do you wish to call your Bill? Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3147, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3147 does exactly what the title says. It reinstates parental rights, and I know of no objections to the Bill. And I'll be more than happy to

40th Legislative Day

- answer any questions. And I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Lady moves for the passage of the Bill. The Chair recognizes Mr. Martwick."
- Martwick: "Mr. Speaker, may I interrupt for a point of personal privilege?"
- Speaker Lang: "Please proceed, Sir."
- Martwick: "Mr. Speaker, I... I would ask for a moment of silence on the House Floor."
- Speaker Lang: "Can you... Can we just... Let's just finish this Bill. We'll come right back to you, Sir. Would that be all right? Thank you. Representative Flowers. There being no debate, those in favor of the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Jakobsson. Please take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Now, the Chair recognizes Mr. Martwick."
- Martwick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't know if my fellow Members are aware, but there seems to have been some sort of incident that very well may be another terrorist attack on our foreign soil. There was an... two explosions at the finish line of the Boston Marathon and current news reports say that at least two people have died and at least 23 people have been gravely injured. This is a serious event and we... we should never, ever become callous to these events that happen on our soil. I ask for a moment of

40th Legislative Day

- silence so that we can recognize those who have died and commune with those who are suffering."
- Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Members. Thank you, Mr. Martwick.

 House Bill 3357, Mr. Meier. Out of the record. House Bill
 3081, Representative Mell. Out of the record. House Bill
 197, Representative Mayfield. Out of the record. House Bill
 1391, Mr. Yingling. Sponsor requests that the Bill be
 placed on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, please
 put the Bill on the Order of Second Reading and read the
 Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1391, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. This Bill was read a second time on a previous day.

 No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Yingling, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Yingling on the Amendment."
- Yingling: "Thank you. I move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to the Bill. It just isolates a geographic area that this Bill would pertain to."
- Speaker Lang: "...in favor of the Amendment will say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 2765, Mr. Costello.

 Out of the record. House Bill 1552, Representative Monique

 Davis. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1552, a Bill for an Act concerning insurance. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Davis."

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Davis, M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1552 is a request by the Department of Insurance. It makes changes to... in the invoice or notice for annual report and reporting requirements in the Insurance Code. It eliminates the requirement that the Department of Insurance mail a quarterly invoice to the insurance company for the appropriate filing fees for documents such as articles of incorporation or organizations and any other amendment. House Bill 1552 eliminates the requirement for an insurance company to send notice to the insured individual on a semiannual basis regarding coverage for a dependent. And the reason is, current law insurance may not end or deny coverage to an unmarried independent by the age... before 26 but of course with the Federal Law we no longer will have to do that. I'd ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Lady moves for the passage of the Bill. The Chair recognizes Representative Osmond."

Osmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Osmond: "Representative, was there any opposition to this?"

Davis, M.: "There was no opposition."

Osmond: "And in committee nobody filed. They all... It came out without opposition totally?"

Davis, M.: "That is correct."

Osmond: "All right. Thank you."

Davis, M.: "You're welcome."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

40th Legislative Day

- Please record yourselves. Davidsmeyer, Tracy. Please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2623, Mr. Fortner. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2623, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Out of the record. House Bill 1573, Mr. Jefferson. Mr. Jefferson. Out of the record. House Bill 374, Mr. Mautino. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 374, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Mautino. Out of the record. House Bill 3112, Representative McAsey. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3112, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative McAsey."
- McAsey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This legislation is the initiative of National Board Certified Teachers. Essentially what it does is bring national board teaching certification in line with the state certification renewal cycles and sets forth professional development requirements for Illinois nationally board certified teachers. I ask for the Body's support and am willing to answer any questions."
- Speaker Lang: "Lady moves for the passage of the Bill. Those in favor will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Dunkin, Walsh. Mr. Dunkin. Please take the

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3191, Representative Tracy. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3191, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Tracy."

Tracy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3191 requires the University of Illinois Hospital and other hospitals to adopt an influenza and pneumonia promise... whatever... immunization policy that includes procedures for offering immunizations against influenza virus when available between September 1 and April and against that same new pneumococcal disease. I'm sorry, I'm not health personnel upon."

Speaker Lang: "Best explanation I've ever heard. No debate. Those in favor of the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Dunkin, Hays, Smith. Mr. Smith. Please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 353, Mr. Drury. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 353, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Drury."

Drury: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 353 creates the Fiscal Integrity of Special State Funds Commission that has

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

the specific mandate of looking into the over 700 special funds that the State of Illinois has; how transfers are being made. How borrowing's being made from these funds and suggesting whether or not we can do different things with these funds in terms of our budget and how we present it to the public. It's a transparency Bill. It came out of the State... State Government Committee unanimously. And I ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. The Chair recognizes Mr. Reboletti."

Reboletti: "Good afternoon, Speaker. How are you?"

Speaker Lang: "Yes, Sir, it's a pleasure to see you."

Reboletti: "You look... you look well today."

Speaker Lang: "Happy Monday, Sir."

Reboletti: "Will the same to you. Will... Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "No."

Reboletti: "Will you yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman yields."

Reboletti: "Oh, thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "As I said, the Gentleman yields."

Reboletti: "Representative, I couldn't hear a word you said because the Speaker seems to have lost a little control of the Body. So, could you... could you tell us a little bit, again, about what... about what the Bill does?"

Drury: "Absolutely. House Bill 353 creates the Fiscal Integrity of Special State Funds Commission. It's a very limited commission that would expire December 31 of 2014. And its specific purpose would be to look into the over 700 special

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

funds the State of Illinois currently has in order to see,

1) whether we need these funds, 2) why we're using these
funds to pretend that we have a balanced budget, and 3) if
there's a better way of presenting our budget that includes
some of these special state funds in them so that the
public can get a real sense of what Illinois's budget looks
like."

Reboletti: "I thought we had a balanced budget Amendment so that this would be moot, wouldn't it?"

Drury: "Excuse me?"

Reboletti: "I thought we had a balanced budget Amendment.

Shouldn't this be moot, then?"

Drury: "We have a balanced budget... We have an Amendment that says that our revenue needs to meet our expenditures. And certainly there are ways that we get there, but I think that we need to examine how the special state funds... what role they play in getting... getting from A to B."

Reboletti: "What do... When do the recommendations have to be in by?"

Drury: "The commission will expire December 31 of 2014 and that's when the report would... would come in and it also doesn't just request recommendations but also... requires the committee to present legislation. And LRB would be required to help write that legislation."

Reboletti: "And do any... any of the members get compensation?"

Drury: "There's no compensation. They may be reimbursed for expenses, but it is a noncompensated commission."

Reboletti: "Thank you."

40th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill.

 Those in favor vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Bost, Lily, Turner. Please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2453, Mr. Riley. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2453, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Riley."
- Riley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Actually, there was supposed to be an Amendment on this Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Gentleman asks the Bill be put on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, please put the Bill on the Order of Second Reading and read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2453, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. This Bill was read a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Riley, is approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Riley."
- Riley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Essentially, House Floor Amendment #1 is a gut and replace, but all this Amendment does, it makes it clear that the purpose of the Bill just accrues to Metra, the Commuter Rail Board and not any of the other service boards under the RTA."

40th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment will say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 2404, Leader Currie.

 Please read the Bill. Sponsor asked the Bill be moved to
 the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, please do that and
 please read the Bill on Second."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2404, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. This Bill was read a second time on a previous day.

 Amendment #2 was adopted previously. Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Currie, is approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Majority Leader Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Speaker, Members of the House. This is a technical Amendment. I'd appreciate your "aye' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Amendment will say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 2583, Dan Burke. Out of the record. House Bill 2623, Mr. Fortner. Mr. Fortner. Out of the record. House Bill 71, Representative Cassidy. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 71, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Cassidy."
- Cassidy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 71 is an initiative of the department to provide additional tools to

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

the department and the Inspector General to address issues of fraud and abuse primarily from providers. It mirrors Federal Law and puts some of the same provisions that allow the department to... to go after the issues of abuse as we currently enjoy under Federal Law. And I ask for a... a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Lang: "The Lady moves for the passage of the Bill. Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Morrison. Please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1532, Mr. DeLuca. Mr. DeLuca. 1532. Out of the record. House Bill 3088, Mr. Evans. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3088, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Evans."

Evans: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill makes some technical changes to the board of trustees for the Chicago Teachers Pension Fund. Just a couple of changes and I ask for your support."

Speaker Lang: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill.

The Chair recognizes Mr. Bost."

Bost: "Thank you, Mr... you were... Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman yields."

Bost: "Okay. You said there were some technical changes. What exactly are they?"

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Evans: "Well, there's two main changes, Mr. Representative. One of the changes is in regards to the categories. As you can see, the main category... the third dot, where it says one active member who is a principal. Right now, administrators were technically not considered under that principal's category. With the change they will be considered and also a date change when you have to present all your information to be placed on the ballot. Those were the two main changes."

Bost: "Any financial impact on it?"

Evans: "No."

Bost: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "There being no further debate, those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? D'Amico, Jefferson. Mr. D'Amico. Please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2773, Representative Hammond. Representative Hammond. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2773, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Hammond."

Hammond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2773 is actually a reduction in fees. It changes the fee schedule on the Dealer Recovery Trust Fund. Rather than a standard \$500 annually, it is based on the number of cars sold so that the fee would actually range from \$150, if you sell less

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

than 200 cars, to the \$500 that it currently is. And I appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "The Lady moves for the passage of the Bill. The Chair recognizes Mr. Moffitt."

Moffitt: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It's just kind of a rare opportunity to actually reduce some business fees, make it more profitable in Illinois and it's a good message to send to small business. I hope we can do some more of this. When this fee went into effect a few years ago, there was considerable push back from the used car, independent car dealers and this is attempting to adjust that. It's a good... I hope you'll all support it. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Davis, Mell. Please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2919, Representative Fine. Out of the record. House Bill 2411, Representative Hoffman. Mr. Hoffman. Out of the record. The Chair recognizes Representative Osmond."

Osmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "Please proceed."

Osmond: "I would like to ask all the Members of the General Assembly to join me in wishing Assistant Clerk, Brad Bolin happy birthday."

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

- Speaker Lang: "House Bill 2341, Representative Jakobsson. Out of the record. House Bill 2977, Representative Lilly.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2977, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Lilly."
- Lilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly. HB 27... 2977 increases State Government transparency and public information by requiring the Department of Human Services shou... to designate more than one official to serve the department as an ambassador. Which would include informing the public of its services, assisting the public in accessing services and it would receive information and methods... and new methods to disseminating information of the department services. This Bill passed out of the House last General Assembly. And I ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "The Lady moves for the passage of the Bill. The Chair recognizes Leader Bost."
- Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, I know, you know, we just got here and everybody is kind of just... this is moving along real good and that's all wonderful but if you'll notice, Representative, last year whenever this... whenever this Bill was called and then moved over to the Senate, it did pass, but what was the vote on that Bill?"

Lilly: "I... It... 60 votes."

Bost: "It got... It got 60 votes, exactly... Well, actually it got 61 votes."

Lilly: "Oh."

40th Legislative Day

- Bost: "Sixty-one votes. Ladies and Gentlemen, if... if you could, I think this might have some... If you'll notice... Representative, if you would. Who all are opposed to this and what are the reasons why they're opposed to it? Do you under... do you know why?"
- Lilly: "I'm sorry. I can't hear you."
- Bost: "Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker? Can we get... Can we get some quiet... I think that this... last year when this was... Ladies and Gentlemen, last year when this Bill was presented in this House, it barely got out of this chamber. So, I would have to say that probably there's some... You need to be paying close attention. Ma'am, I... I ask, who are the opponents and what are the problems that we're hearing they might have with it?"
- Lilly: "I have, on my analysis, there's no opponents and if there was an opponent; unfortunately they have not had the opportunity to speak with me."
- Bost: "Okay. What... what we have is opponents and if you don't have them..."
- Lilly: "No, I do not.
- Bost: "...on your analysis, we have SEIU, Citizens Action Illinois..."
- Lilly: "To our knowledge, no one has submitted opposition."
- Bost: "...Greater Chicago Food Depository. Maybe we need to find out exactly... If our analysis is wrong, then that's fine. Let's get together. Let's pull it out and find out what, but I truly do want to debate the Bill based on who are those people that are in opposition to it. If your analysis shows not, then we need to get this verified on our side

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

because, obviously, if this is the exact same language that was moved out of here last year, there was some real question on it. And I would like..."

Lilly: "I'd like to respond to that real briefly. Last year there was no one who approached me on their concerns and this year there's not... has not been anyone to approach me on those concerns."

Bost: "Okay."

Lilly: "Now, what would..."

Bost: "I'm a little bothered by that because according to...

Maybe they just come to our side of the aisle by the way of
the analysis look."

Speaker Lang: "Ladies and Gentlemen...

Lilly: "That may be."

Speaker Lang: "...could we please hold this down to a dull roar, so we can hear the speakers. Thank you."

Bost: "All right. Ma'am... Representative, if you could, explain one more time what exactly, this Bill does."

Lilly: "Thank you, Representative. The Bill increases the State Government transparency to the citizens of Illinois. We are trying to make sure that the citizens know the services and have access to services that the state are providing for the citizens in need. We are also making sure that the... the dissemination of information, how and what the state is offering its citizens is increased. So we're going to work with the Department of Human Services to make sure that this can, indeed, benefit those who are in need in our great state."

Bost: "But... but..."

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Lilly: "This is of... this is an area that I am dealing with daily within my department trying to really help our citizens understand what it is that the state is offering."

Bost: "Okay. My... my question has to be, in the process of informing the people... or informing the state and informing people of the services that are available, do you know the overhead that this will cost... what it's going to cost the department?"

Lilly: "Just to make note. We... I have amended this Bill with the Department of Human Services. It is... will be rendered upon appropriation allocation."

Bost: "So, Wait... wait a minute. So... so, the language..."

Lilly: "Is subject to appropriation."

Bost: "...in this Bill says that it is based... It's based on the fact that there would be appropriations made available to do these..."

Lilly: "It is subject to appropriation. Initially, we were able to work it through with the Human Services to utilize existing staff so it would not be any addition... any additional manpower or staffing to the department. Now, because they have looked at the need and tried to figure out how we can make sure that the citizens are getting the information they need, they're... they're saying, well, it is possible. So I was willing to amend this particular legislation to include 'subject to appropriation'."

Bost: "Okay, that... that is in the language, correct?"

Lilly: "Yes, Sir."

Bost: "All right. Do you realize how many pieces of legislation, 'cause I... I checked this last year, how many

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

pieces of legislation are out there that are subject to appropriation?"

Lilly: "I... I can..."

Bost: "A lot."

Lilly: "...I can probably pick a number. Yes."

Bost: "A lot. Okay. The problem with that is... is if all of a sudden we start appropriating these things that we are subject to appropriation, is there any... anything in the Bill that says if it's not appropriated within a certain length of time that this piece of language goes away?"

Lilly: "What... To answer that question... Thank you. To answer that question, there is not; however, the Department of Human Services is currently trying to increase their transparency as we speak."

Bost: "Okay."

Lilly: "It is something they think..."

Bost: "And if... and if they..."

Lilly: "...they can stand improvement on."

Bost: "And you know what, if they want to do that through their administration, then that... that's great. I think that's something appropriate, I don't think there's anybody that's going to disagree with that; however, if we move forward with language that will then cost us more money and the money won't be getting to the people that deserve it, instead, it'll be tied up with advertising to make sure people know, that's a concern."

Lilly: "As... as indicated, we are working with the Department of Human Services to really make sure the citizens of Illinois understand what our great state is offering them. At any

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

given time, we can do things a little better. This legislation is about making sure the people who are in need know what the state is offering and know how to access it. That's what this Bill is about."

Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, last year this barely made it out. The reason it barely made it out is, is because even if we put something that's subject to appropriation, if we move forward, don't you believe that the revenues in this case should be directed towards providing the services? If the department themselves seize an opportunity to make sure that people are aware, then I think that they should be wise enough to do that. We don't have to pass that in legislation. I believe there was a problem last year. Obviously, as the Members of the General Assembly thought there would have been a problem last year by it getting that close on the votes, so I will be voting 'no'."

Speaker Lang: "David Harris."

Harris, D.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Question of the Sponsor?" Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Harris, D.: "Representative, I seem to recall that last year I was the 60th vote on this Bill. And I... and we put it out."

Lilly: "Thank you."

Bost: "So, help me understand, what is different this year from last year?"

Lilly: "Thank you, Representative. There is nothing different outside of a 'subject to appropriation'."

Harris, D.: "Okay."

40th Legislative Day

- Lilly: "This Bill passed out of the House as many Bills have and it went over to the Senate and it got stalled. So..."
- Harris, D.: "Okay."
- Lilly: "...I'm back at it as many of us have experienced this Session."
- Harris, D.: "And was last year's Bill also just limited to the Department of Human Services?"
- Lilly: "Yes."
- Harris, D.: "Okay. I didn't realize that. I... I will ask the same kind... kind of the same question I asked last year, which... isn't everyone in the department expected to be an ambassador for that department? I mean, aren't all of the employees expected to behave as though they were... whenever they engage with the public as though they are ambassadors of the department? In other words, bringing as much good will to the people as they possibly can?"
- Lilly: "All employees for the State of Illinois, and even here we, in the General Assembly, are ambassadors for what we offer the citizens of Illinois."
- Harris, D.: "Okay. And my last question, it says the department shall designate one or more officials or employees to serve as department ambassador. So it is conceivable that the secretary could designate herself as the department ambassador, and she could then do all the things that are in this Bill. Correct?"
- Lilly: "Correct. They have the latitude to pick which staff that would be interested, yes."

40th Legislative Day

- Harris, D.: "In which case we really wouldn't need an appropriation, because the secretary has her, in this case her, but his or her salary already paid. Correct?"
- Lilly: "Well, the bet... the creativity and the energy that we generate through our efforts here in meeting the needs of the State of Illinois, they can grow and they can expand so we want to make sure... I believe with them asking us to do a 'subject to appropriation', to make sure if that is needed. We have that flexibility. However, you are correct. Our existing team is taking on this task because it is important to the citizens of Illinois."
- Harris, D.: "I mean, this is the type of thing that could be done within the normal routine tasks of their day to day activities. Correct?"
- Lilly: "I'm not sure if I heard all of that, but I believe so."
- Harris, D.: "Well, the objective is to inform the public about services to assist the public in accessing the services to recommend and implement more efficient practices. All of those things could be done by the secretary or the assistant secretary of the department in the normal course of activities currently, right?"
- Lilly: "Currently, no individual within the department has been charged to be reaching... to reach out..."
- Harris, D.: "Right."
- Lilly: "...and expand. So, this legislation allows and... and makes sure that that is an opportunity to do so."
- Harris, D.: "Right. And I'll just repeat the one question, which I think you answered, the secretary could designate herself to be that person, correct?"

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Lilly: "With the supervisor participating in her role in her job description, yes."

Harris, D.: "Well, she's... she's as high as she get... as high as they get in the department. Well, I don't know if I'll be the 60th vote again this year, but I'm going to vote for your Bill."

Lilly: "I appreciate your questions."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Ford."

Ford: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Ford: "Representative Lilly, thank you for this Bill. I have a few questions. The first one is, the Bill passed last year, was it subject to appropriations last year also?"

Lilly: "No, it was not. We added that Amendment this year."

Ford: "Thank you. And if there is an ambassador, who would the ambassador answer to?"

Lilly: "The department head."

Ford: "The department head. Is it possible that an ambassador may reveal that there are possible waste in the departments where we could save more money in that department?"

Lilly: "Correct. Many of the discussion was... were based on how we can be more efficient. Yes."

Ford: "Yes. So, you think that getting the word out to the community about all of the benefits that the department has to offer is what taxpayers would want, right?"

Lilly: "Correct, I believe."

Ford: "And the final question I had... it's probably... I heard the previous speaker say that all employees can be ambassadors.

Isn't it possible that employees are busy filling requests

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

and find it very difficult to deal with outside duties of being an ambassador to the department? They have to deal with their responsibilities within their call at the time. Is that correct?"

Lilly: "Yes. Not only is that possible, that is a fact."

Ford: "Thank you, Representative. I rise in support of this Bill and I think that this is what government should be. We should do everything that we can to spread the word about everything that taxpayers' dollars are being used for. And I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Reboletti."

Reboletti: "Thank you, Speaker. I would... I would request a verification if this were to receive a Constitutional Majority. And ask if the Sponsor will yield?"

Speaker Lang: "The Sponsor will yield and your request is acknowledged."

Reboletti: "Representative, how much would a... an ambassador make on behalf of DHS?"

Lilly: "That information is not available because we are... we are only implementing this particular legislation with current team."

Reboletti: "And... and that's some of the concerns I have with the legislation. Is it an \$85 thousand a year position, is it a \$25 thousand a year, is it contractual? I have no idea what I'm voting on because I don't know what the salary range is and what the benefit range is."

Lilly: "All of that will be determined by the department."

Reboletti: "Well, Mr. Speaker, to the Bill. I guess, Ladies and Gentlemen, it's almost vote for the Bill and find out what

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

happens later. We have no idea how much this position would need if it were to be fulfilled with an appropriation. The fact of the matter is, we are \$9 billion in debt on current bills. That will probably increase this year, so I can't even imagine how we'll find any amount of money to fund this. So, what I would... I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Lilly to close."

Lilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And I thank my fellow colleagues for your questions. All I will like to state is, if you're really concerned about people understanding that there are resources available to them to meet their needs by their taxpaying dollars, then this is the type of legislation that's going to get their needs met. And we here, as Legislators, have made it an issue with the department to make sure that the government or the state programs are transparent; they are making sure that people know about their services and how to access them and it is a direct effort to make sure that their needs are being met. With that being said, Mr. Speaker, I ask for an 'aye' vote."

'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Please vote your own switches, Members. There's been a verification requested. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Davis, DeLuca, Dunkin, Sente. Representative Dunkin, Representative Sente. Please take the record. On this question, there are 65 voting 'yes', 51 voting 'no'. Mr. Reboletti? And the Gentleman has asked for a verification.

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Members will be in their seats for the verification. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "A poll of those voting in the affirmative:
Acevedo; Arroyo; Berrios; Bradley; Burke, D.; Burke, K.;
Cassidy; Chapa LaVia; Conroy; Crespo; Currie; D'Amico;
Davis, M.; Davis, W.; DeLuca; Drury; Dunkin; Evans;
Farnham; Feigenholtz; Fine; Flowers; Ford; Franks; Gabel;
Gordon-Booth; Harris, D.; Harris, G.; Hernandez; Hoffman;
Hurley; Jackson; Jakobsson; Jefferson; Jones; Kifowit;
Lang; Lilly; Manley; Martwick; Mautino; Mayfield; McAsey;
Mell; Mitchell, C.; Moylan; Mussman; Nekritz; Riley; Rita;
Scherer; Sente; Sims; Smith; Soto; Tabares; Thapedi;
Turner; Walsh; Welch; Williams; Willis; Yingling; Zalewski,
and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Reboletti."

Reboletti: "Representative John Bradley."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Bradley. Mr. John Bradley. Mr. Bradley is up here, Sir. Next case."

Reboletti: "Hold on. I'm just waiting to see who else might be coming up here first. I'll withdraw my request, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "There being 65 voting 'yes', 55... 51 voting 'no'.

This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Returning to Representative Lilly, House Bill 2675. Out of the... Yes. Out of the record. House Bill 2585, Representative Manley. Out of the record. House Bill 2816, Representative Manley. Out of the record. House Bill 1457, Mr. Leitch. Mr. Leitch. Please read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1457, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Leitch."

Leitch: "Thank you very much. All this Bill does is remove language in the Nursing Homecare Act that's obsolete and shouldn't be in the Act any more. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Davis, Thapedi, Turner, Mr. Turner. Please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', and 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3052, Representative McAsey. Please read the Bill. Out of the record. House Bill 29... House Bill... House Bill 2356, Mr. Mautino. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2356, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Question of the Clerk. Is... has the Amendments been placed on the Bill? What's the status?"

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "No Amendments have been adopted to this Bill.

Floor Amendment #2 was referred to the Rules Committee."

Mautino: "Out of the record."

Speaker Lang: "Out of the record, Sir. House Bill 2996, Representative Mell. Read the Bill, please."

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2996, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Mell."

Mell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2996 amends the Regulatory Sunset Act, extends the repeal of the Illinois Occupational Therapy Practice Act. And I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "The Lady moves for the passage of the Bill.

There being no debate, those in favor of the Bill will vote

'yes'... Excuse me. You're a little slow on the trigger Mr.

Reboletti. The Chair recognizes Mr. Reboletti."

Reboletti: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Lady yields."

Reboletti: "Representative, I was wondering what your counsel was charging over there because he was... seems to already have already billed and left."

Mell: "We'll, pro bono today for me."

Reboletti: "Well, he's pro bono."

Mell: "Yeah."

Reboletti: "Now, he... he tried to pass this before, I think he met with some failure. Is there any changes in the Bill, this time?"

Mell: "We don't believe that's accurate."

Reboletti: "What changes were made in this from... I see there's changes in the educational requirements?"

Mell: "It's just technical changes."

Reboletti: "Thank you."

Mell: "Thank you, Sir."

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Mr. Ford. Please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3243, Representative Mell. House Bill 3243. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3243, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Mell."

Mell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill simply adds cigarettes to the definition of litter."

Speaker Lang: "The Lady moves for the passage of the Bill. The Chair recognizes Mr. Reis."

Reis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Lady yields."

Reis: "Representative, will you give everybody a little more detail as exactly what this Bill does and the fines that might be imposed?"

Mell: "Well, currently, litter is defined as any garbage, trash, refuse, debris, rubbish, grass clippings, garden waste, and... other things, but cigarettes aren't listed in our specific definition. So, for instance, I have a Bill that would say that the minimum fine for littering is \$50; this would then include cigarettes."

Reis: "So, who's going to enforce this new rule... law should it become law?"

Mell: "I beg your pardon?"

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Reis: "Who will enforce this new rule if it should become law?"

Mell: "Local municipalities, I assume."

Reis: "So, policemen and sheriffs and..."

Mell: "That's correct."

Reis: "Will anyone be able to make a citizen's arrest?"

Mell: "That'd be great, but no."

Reis: "Ladies and Gentlemen, to the Bill. This is almost unbelievable, \$50 fine for throwing your cigarette butt on the ground. And you know, I don't like that. I think it's distasteful; I don't smoke. But where are we going to stop with this, and you know, is the second fine going to be higher? Is there going to be jail time? I mean, what's coming with this? I think most of these cigarette butts are biodegradable. We've got a lot more important things to talk about here than fines for cigarette butts. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Bost."

Bost: "Smoke'em if you got'em. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Lady yields."

Bost: "It says cigarettes, cigarettes in its entirety, what if you flick ashes, is that considered part of the cigarette?"

Mell: "No, Representative, I don't think... I think that would be difficult."

Bost: "What about if you field dress your butts?"

Mell: "If you... What?"

Bost: "Field dress your butts."

Mell: "Okay. You got me there. I don't know what that means."

Bost: "Okay. In the military they teach you how to field dress your butts. Okay. That... I'm sure that Representative Chapa

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

LaVia, my Marine friend over here, she'll know how to do that. Basically, it's when you take... take the cigarette... and the reason for that was during wartime so that no one could track you. I... I don't know if you know this or not, but the bright side... and we did figure this out after the Vietnam War, that we would give our veterans cigarettes which would encourage them to smoke and then chastise them for smoking in the VFWs when they get back here. But the basic what it is, is taking apart the filter, wadding up the paper and spreading the filter, elements around so that it couldn't be detected. Now, if someone does that, does that... is that considered litter?"

Mell: "Is that... Do people do that?"

Bost: "Yes. Old military people do."

Mell: "Oh, okay. I would assume that that would fall under the..."

Bost: "Fall under it, right?"

Mell: "...definition of a cigarette."

Bost: "Okay. That... that's what I'm trying to say... figure out.

When it says cigarette, what is the definition or what all

or part of a cigarette? And if you say all or part, if you

do that, if you do that and I know that this sounds like..."

Mell: "I can't hear you."

Bost: "Think about the enforcement on this."

Mell: "Okay."

Bost: "The ash is still part of the cigarette, but you told me that that wouldn't be the case, because your definition just says cigarette. Now, if the butt of the cigarette is

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

still considered the cigarette, why would the ash not be considered the cigarette?"

Mell: "Well, you know, I'm looking at the other... the other items that are in the definition of litter and you could... you could make these cases for every single one of these. Like you know, is one grass clipping, like one little blade of grass does that fall into it. I mean, I think we all know what a cigarette is, Representative and when there's far too many on our streets and our roads. And I'm just trying to clean it up a little."

Bost: "All right. I have to agree with the former speaker that maybe we're going too far with this. I don't like to see the waste. I think there's a problem there, but to say that we're actually getting to it this way, I don't know that we are. But we'll see."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Riley."

Riley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Lady yields"

Riley: "Representative Mell, I've heard the conversation here and one of the… well, matter of fact, the previous speaker, talked about a process that is known in the military as 'field stripping'. Matter of fact, the drill sergeant might say, the smoking lamp is lit, field strip those cigarettes, soldier. And what they would do is, they would take off all of the little biodegradable pieces and of course, I didn't smoke, but what would be left would be the butt. And all of the soldiers would put the butt in their pocket because they knew that the filter was not biodegradable. And it was essentially garbage and we would be at our duty stations,

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

we would be littering. And would you agree that that would be the case that the butt would actually be considered litter?"

Mell: "Yes, I would agree and I really love it that they... that they taught the military to do that. That's really great."

Riley: "Way back in the early '70s when I served."

Mell: "Well, they're always pretty much ahead of their time."

Riley: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Mell to close."

Mell: "I just ask for an 'aye' vote. Thank you so much."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Burke, Costello, Hays, Morrison. Please take the record. On this question, there are 71 voting 'yes', 45 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 160, Representative Osmond. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 160, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Osmond."

Osmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 160 extends the time period during which a school district may transfer moneys from specified funds for all purpose from June 30, 2013 to June 30, 2016. This... All the Amendments have addressed the opposition. And I would be happy to answer any questions."

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Speaker Lang: "Lady moves for the passage of the Bill. Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Mr. Farnham. Please take the record. On this question there are 95 voting 'yes', 21 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1568, Representative Nekritz. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1568, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Nekritz."

Nekritz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It isn't often around here that we give people a second chance. House Bill 1568 seeks to do that. What it does... What it asks is for... and these are people who have had repeat DUIs, but there are folks out there who do get their lives back together, stop abusing substances, and... and they are trying to work. Right now they're probably driving to work illegally. House Bill 1568 would allow those folks to be able to get a Restricted Driving Permit after having gone through an administrative hearing to demonstrate that they're alcohol and substance abuse free. And they would have to demonstrate that by a preponderance of the evidence. And then lastly, they would have to use a BAIID device the rest of their lives in order to get this Restricted Driving Permit."

Speaker Lang: "Lady moves for the passage of the Bill. The Chair recognizes Mr. Bost."

Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Speaker Lang: "Lady yields."

Bost: "Representative, right now we have hearing officers that if you get a DUI you go before. What's different in this other than the fact they can then decide to have the device on their vehicle permanently? What then... what does this do differently?"

Nekritz: "Currently, if you have four DUIs, you're... you're prohibited from ever getting a driver's license in the State of Illinois. This would... this would retain that, but you would be able to get a Restricted Driver's Permit by going before that hearing officer and demonstrating that you've turned your life around and that you're... that you're going to operate the vehicle with a BAIID device the rest of your life."

Bost: "So, this is after four they can go through this process."

Nekritz: "This is after four."

Bost: "Wow."

Nekritz: "You have to wait five years..."

Bost: "Okay."

Nekritz: "...in order to be able to... in order to be able to apply for this. You'd still have to go before a hearing officer. You'd have to demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that you had turned your life around and you were substance abuse free. And you would have to have the BAIID device. And Representative, I would... you know, it's very clear that these people are driving anyway. They're driving to and from work if there's no other way for them to support themselves. This gives them at least an opportunity

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

to drive legally with all these... with all these hurdles they have to get across."

Bost: "And I understand what you're trying... trying to do. I... I don't know about... well, they're doing it illegal anyway, I've never kind of, put that in perspective. I know, that when we... when we first put all of these tiers in place, I thought four was... I mean, that... that's a pretty radical... If you had four DUIs, there's a real issue. Would you not agree?"

Nekritz: "Representative, I would agree with that. Yes."

Bost: "And..."

Nekritz: "But again, you'd have to wait five years before you could... before you could even do th... before you could even have this considered."

Bost: "Now... now, the device that we're talking about that's on the vehicles... I've heard rumors that there are some problems... there's some way that they can be bypassed and other things like that. Have you heard any of..."

Nekritz: "I... I'm not aware of that and I believe... And the Secretary of State is actually neutral... neutral on this Bill and I think, in part, they are neutral on this Bill because they believe in those BAIID devices and they are working."

Bost: "Is there any device that might be able... for instance, if they are saying we can... we will only allow you to drive to and from work between the hours... that's what a permit is."

Nekritz: "But this is a Restricted Driving Permit..."

Bost: "Right."

Nekritz: "...and that's exactly what it does, correct."

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Bost: "Have you heard of any type technology... I mean, I know we have an insurance company that now you can put a device on a car and it tells how good of driver you are. Do we have any type of technology that... that might tie with that so that we know what their route is from point A to point B, that they have this special permit that would send a message back if they would violate that?"

Nekritz: "Well, Representative, I have to admit I'm not up on that kind of technology."

Bost: "That... you know, I'm just... I'm just trying to make sure...

Hey, I... I'm... you know from the Bill that I've had here that

I want to try to give people second chances, but this was...

Wow. I'll... I'll listen to the rest of the debate. Thank
you."

Nekritz: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Franks."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields"

Franks: "Representative, this is the first I've heard of this Bill. What's the genesis of this Bill?"

Nekritz: "Representative, I have a constituent in my district, or used to be in my district, who had completely turned his life around and was now helping other alcoholics turn their lives around, but he was unable to get to work because he... legally because he could no longer get a permit even though he been, you know, substance abuse free for 10 or more years. And there was no way for him to get a second chance even though he'd completely turned his life around."

40th Legislative Day

- Franks: "Well, it wouldn't be a second chance, it'd be a fifth chance, correct. I mean, he had... he had prior chances."
- Nekritz: "He had a problem. For sure."
- Franks: "Right, I get that."
- Nekritz: "And... and people do. I just... My sense is that, you know, we... Again, when we cut these people off completely and give them no opportunity to drive legally, they are undoubtedly driving illegally."
- Franks: "Right now, the law indicates, according to our analysis that for persons who have been convicted during a 20-year period for DUI, if they've been convicted twice there's an automatic revocation for five years, correct?"
- Nekritz: "If that's what the analysis says, I'll believe it, Representative."
- Franks: "Yeah, I just don't know... I'm just wondering because I'm wondering if it's two convictions. What's the mandatory penalty? And if there's three convictions is there a mandatory penalty? And if there's a forth conviction..."
- Nekritz: "Yes, but you can... after your second and third convictions there's a time frame within which you can apply for a Restricted Driving Permit."
- Franks: "I get that. But I'm wondering, is there a mandatory prison sentence, because after this... you're... you're driving lethal weapons when people are driving drunk. And driving is a privilege and not a right. I'm very concerned that if we would be sending a message that after four DUIs a certain amount of time, we're still going to allow you to get a Restricted Driving Permit."

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Nekritz: "After you wait five years, after you go through a number of hoops and you have to demonstrate, not just by a preponderance of the evidence that... that you've... that you've turned your life around and then you have to have the BAIID device the rest of your life. You can't drive without the BAIID device ever again."

Franks: "So you're saying people are doing it because they're driving illegally now."

Nekritz: "I'm sure they are."

"Right, right. Look I... I appreciate it. To the Bill. Franks: Mr. Speaker, I'm going to respectfully vote 'no' on this. And I understand what our... the Sponsor's trying to do, but I think this is much too dangerous. When someone has been convicted not once, not twice, not even three times, but four times with a DUI to then somehow to say, well, we've got him yet another chance... you know what, they've had enough chances. And I... and I admire people who are able to change... to turn their life around, but we need to send a strong message that driving under the influence is not acceptable. If we were in Europe and you drive under the influence, in many European countries, if you are caught once driving under the influence, you have a lifetime ban and cannot drive. Here we give opportunities not once, not twice, not three times, but four times. So, I would suggest that our laws are lenient enough to allow people not only second chances but third chances and fourth chances. I don't think that we need to be giving a fifth chance and I'd ask you to vote 'no'."

40th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "There are still five people wishing to speak on this Bill. The Chair recognizes David Harris."
- Harris, D.: "Thank you... thank you, Mr. Speaker. And a question of the Sponsor."
- Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."
- Harris, D.: "Representative, so as I understand it, this only applies to those individuals who have had four DUI convictions and have a lifetime ban on getting a Restricted Driving Permit. Is that correct?"
- Nekritz: "That's correct. For those that have fewer DUIs, they already have this opportunity."
- Harris, D.: "Right."
- Nekritz: "And they don't have to demonstrate as much in terms of turning their life around. And they don't have to drive with the BAIID device the rest of their lives."
- Harris, D.: "And what do you... the previous speaker really hit on something. I mean, four DUIs is a lot. What did you say to your constituent who was driving, presumably illegally, to get to and from work even though he has a noble cause of trying to help other alcoholics?"
- Nekritz: "Representative, I... I said to him that I thought that since he had turned his life around and he was helping others turn their life around, that giving him an opportunity under some very restrictive circumstances, in order be able to conduct his life and to support his family, might be a laudable goal."
- Harris, D.: "Yeah. And to the Bill, Ladies and Gentlemen. The Lady's intentions are good and I... you have to feel that an individual who has... who has been clean for five years and

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

is willing to drive with a device is prob... is a fairly good risk of not having a relapse. But a fairly good risk with someone who has four DUIs to my mind is not sufficient. There's just too great a possibility that that can happen again, whatever the device may be and I will have a real concern about giving that individual that fifth opportunity. I stand in opposition and recommend a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Reboletti."

Reboletti: "Thank you, Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Reboletti: "Representative, are you aware of what, after a fourth violation, what the penalty is for... what the charge could be charged under the DUI statutes?"

Nekritz: "What the... what the criminal penalty is?"

Reboletti: "Right."

Nekritz: "I don't off the top of my head, Representative."

Reboletti: "It... it..."

Nekritz: "But I know it includes the lifetime revocation on the… or a lifetime ban on driver's license."

Reboletti: "So the Members of the Body are aware, a fourth time violation is a Class II felony, which is punishable by three to seven years in the Illinois Department of Corrections. If you charge it as a Class III felony a second time, which would also be four violations, they would be extended-term eligible from three to ten years in the Illinois Department of Corrections. Let me ask you this question, Representative. What if the person was convicted of four DUIs based off of narcotics violations? So, they've

40th Legislative Day

- used heroin or marijuana or crack cocaine, does the BAIDD device detect that?"
- Nekritz: "No, it doesn't, Representative."
- Reboletti: "Would those people then be eligible for relief under your legislation?"
- Nekritz: "Well, they might be, but I would assume that the Secretary of State would take that into consideration and indicate that, that because those things are not detectable, that that person might not be eligible for the RDP."
- Reboletti: "And what if the person is indigent, are they still eligible for relief?"
- Nekritz: "I think it would be similar to what we do under...
 under the other circumstances where the BAIID device is
 eligible for... is available for those people. I don't know...
 I don't know what the... what the relief is for them and what
 the... what the support is for them in getting the BAIID
 device."
- Reboletti: "And it's... it's four convictions within a 20-year period? Or is it the amount of convictions over the lifetime of your driver's license?"
- Nekritz: "I... as far as I'm aware, it's four... four convictions over the lifetime of your... over your lifetime."
- Reboletti: "And your constituent had a fourth DUI and was ineligible for relief. Is that what happened?"
- Nekritz: "Correct. Yeah, there was no relief currently."
- Reboletti: "And how long have they been sober for?"

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Nekritz: "I would say... I... I don't recall exactly 'cause we've been looking at this for a number of years, but I... I suspect he was... he's been clean for 20 years."

Reboletti: "Mr. Speaker, to the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, a Class II felony is obviously very serious. And if you drive... when you're driving to or from Springfield, you'll see signs indicating how many people have died in traffic fatalities and DUIs are a major contributing factor to that. And I know we've worked on tightening legislation regarding vehicle safety and especially with respect to young folks, but with respect to somebody who is a chronic violator of the DUI statutes, under 11-501 in the Illinois Compiled Statutes, I think at some point in time you should not be eligible for relief. I think a fourth time may be too many bites at the apple. And I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Mitchell."

Mitchell, C.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Mitchell, C.: "Representative Nekritz, where's the Secretary of State on this?"

Nekritz: "They are neutral on this Bill."

Mitchell, C.: "Okay. And I noticed that Mothers Against Drunk
Driving was a proponent. Can you talk about that a little
bit."

Nekritz: "I don't bel... I don't remember that they signed it in committee... if they're indicating that on the analysis... I know that there had been some discussion in that regard that they... that they would be supportive of it, but I was not aware that they'd actually indicated that support."

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Mitchell, C.: "Okay. What were those conversations like, 'cause it seems like that's an odd group to be on a Bill like this unless there's some merit behind it."

Nekritz: "These were conversations that the Illinois State Bar Association had with that organization so I wasn't party to those directly. But I know that they'd indicated that they thought that this relief was fair relief for those who had turned their life around. And this is comparable to what other states do as well in allowing people to be able to under very limited circumstances, drive to and from work."

Mitchell, C.: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Nekritz to close."

Nekritz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I recognize that... that the folks that we are trying to help here are not terribly sympathetic, but I do believe that for those that actually do manage to get their lives turned around that we need to provide them with the ability to be able to support themselves, to support their family, and to get to and from work. They do have to, as I said several times, they do have to demonstrate that they've turned their life around to the Sec... to an administrative Hearing officer. This is the same officers that hear these cases after two DUIs and after three DUIs, so I think they know what they're doing. They can tell when... when the case has been adequately made and when it hasn't. And we are requiring the BAIID device for the rest of their lives. I think that's still a pretty significant safety control measure. And as I've indicated, the Secretary of State's Office neutral on this. They're the ones who are going to have to be administering it.

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

We've been working with them in putting this legislation together. So, I think this is appropriate relief for those that are in desperate need of it. And I ask for your support."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Davis, Dunkin, Hoffman, Sims, Davis, Dunkin. Please take the record. On this question, there are 38 voting 'yes', 78 voting 'no'. And the Bill fails. House Bill 3011, Mr. Schmitz. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3011, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Schmitz."

Schmitz: "Thank you, Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 3011 deals with cases of arson. Right now the standard violation of arson does not have a statute of limitations and residential arson has a three-year statute of limitations. So, this Bill would simply remove the three- year statute of limitations in the cases of residential arson to make it more consistent with standard arson."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill.

Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'.

The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mitchell. Please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill having received the

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2453, Mr. Riley. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2453, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Riley."

Riley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2453 essentially compels Metra to come before the House Mass Transit Committee and the Senate Transportation Committee upon such time that they have either a change in their fare box recovery ratio or a fare increase."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'... Mr. Riley, we adopted the Amendment today, so we're going to take this out of the record and we'll get back to you on the next list. Thank you for pointing that out to the Chair. House Bill 2536, Mr. Sims. Out of the record. House Bill 2969, Mr. Smiddy. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2969, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Smiddy."

Smiddy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2969 will amend language in the 1953 Illinois Securities Law regarding the limitation period for bringing an action to void a security sale. I would hope... I'd be happy to answer any question. And I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill.

Those in favor will vote 'yes'; opposed will vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves,

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Members. Cabello, Harms, Hatcher, Schmitz, Mr. Cabello, Representative Hatcher. Please take the record. On this question there are 93 voting 'yes', 21 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2760, Mr. Sosnowski. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2760, a Bill for an Act concerning health facilities. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sosnowski."

Sosnowski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill addresses some unknowns in current legislation. It's an agreed upon Bill with the Illinois Medical Society and the nursing home providers. It allows for those patients coming from out-of -state who are coming into an in-state facility prescribed care from an out-of-state doctor, it creates a transition period so that within three months, in most cases, they'll be... have a in-state physician and for those more extreme cases, they'll have an in-state doctor after six months. I'd ask for passage. And I'm available for any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill.

Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'.

The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Have all voted who wish? Cabello, Schmitz. Please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1647, Mr. Durkin. Mr. Durkin. All right. The Chair read the number wrong. 1047, Mr. Durkin. Out of the record. House Bill 2455, Mr.

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Fortner. Out of the record. House Bill 2518, Mr. Zalewski. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2518, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Zalewski."

Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2518 is an enforcement mechanism. It's a cleanup Bill for the Illinois Department of Revenue. It makes several technical changes with respect to enforcement. I'd ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill.

The Chair recognizes Mr. Reboletti."

Reboletti: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Reboletti: "Representative, you say it was a cleanup Bill. I was wondering exactly what was being cleaned up in the Bill?"

Zalewski: "There are a number of provisions the department felt, with respect to certain enforcement mechanisms, that the statute needed to be amended or... or updated to change with the times or address certain issues they were... they were dealing with when it came to enforcement. So, this Bill changes some of that."

Reboletti: "I... I'm looking here about the administrative hearings regarding property tax cases. It says something about appeals and the cases that have over 1500 pages. Is there a reimbursement for that done, to the state?"

Zalewski: "I'm not aware what pro... You're talking about a
 property tax provision, Representative?"

Reboletti: "It... it says here that..."

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Zalewski: "Oh, I see, I see."

Reboletti: "...requiring the appellants..."

Zalewski: "I'm sorry, Dennis, what's your question?"

Reboletti: "It says that you're requiring appellants from administrative hearings or property tax cases to reimburse DOR. How do they... what's the amount that they have to reimburse them for?"

Zalewski: "I think your rep... I think you're reimbursing them for the amount of paperwork they're... like the copies they're making."

Reboletti: "Do you know what the current rate is or does the rate stay the same? Because I know that people are always concerned about fee increases and people's ability to access the process."

Zalewski: "Hold on, Representative, I'm going to look through the statute here and see if I can answer an answer. I don't know that there's a fee currently within the statute. My belief is that the department finds itself dealing with a lot of these requests for copious amounts of paperwork and it's... it's not efficient for them to just simply run the machine without trying to be reimbursed for its expenses. So, I would... I would be troubled to call... to characterize it as a fee increase as much as just trying to be more efficient with the resources the state has."

Reboletti: "And I appreciate that. Obviously, we want people to access the system if necessary, but it just says in our analysis that there's reimbursement rates of 75 cents per page of testimony taken before the department and then 25

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

cents per page on all matters. So, I was just wondering if you knew if those numbers were the same from last year or if those were increases. That's all."

Zalewski: "I don't think it's an increase, Representative. I think we're... we're adding a new piece to the enforcement statute. So, I don't think that's an increase of acts over anything."

Reboletti: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Franks."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Franks: "Representative, one of the provisions, according to our analysis, is a interest rate change that the Department of Revenue would pay for refunds owed to taxpayers. Is that correct?"

Zalewski: "That's what the analysis indicates is... to mine, as well, Representative."

Franks: "Okay. And how would that interest rate change?"

Zalewski: "Give me one moment, Representative. It goes down."

Franks: "Okay. What is it now?"

Zalewski: "For... for the first year, right now, it's the rate
 for short-term treasury bills."

Franks: "Which is practically nothing, right?"

Zalewski: "Correct."

Franks: "And why would we have the government not pay for money that they owe but if conversely, a citizen owed the government... How much does the citizen have to pay in interest for being late?"

Zalewski: "Jack, can you repeat your question one more time."

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

- Franks: "Right now, 'cause today's tax day. This is appropriate. Let's assume for the sake of argument, I'm late tomorrow and I don't file my taxes 'til tomorrow and I owe a thousand dollars to the State of Illinois. How much would I have to pay in... forget the penalties because that's... I have to pay a stupid tax, I get that, but how much would I have to pay in interest for being late?"
- Zalewski: "Under the current law or what we're proposing to do?"
- Franks: "Under the current law, not... not DOR. As a taxpayer, if

 I am late and I owe the state money, what interest rate am

 I charged?"
- Zalewski: "Jack, I don't want to answer incorrectly. I am being told... we think it may be one and a hal... one and a quarter percent, but I don't want to put that out there as fact."
- Franks: "Okay. But it... but it's something based on a monthly basis and it's compounded. So, let's assume it's 1 percent a month and 12 percent a year.

Zalewski: "Okay."

Franks: "Does that sound... Can we use that for the sake of argument?"

Zalewski: "Okay."

Franks: "So, if you're a taxpayer and you're late, over a oneyear period, you're expected to pay 12 percent interest. But if you're the government and you owe the taxpayer, you're saying we're not going to pay what we're charging you; we're going to charge... we're only going to pay you 25 percent of what you pay us."

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Zalewski: "One... one moment. So, what I'm told, Representative, is that because... by implementing this change, we're actually affecting a positive result for both the taxpayer and the government because we... we in turn are getting their same rate to them for us being late as they are for us getting their tax return late."

Franks: "That's what I'm trying to find out..."

Zalewski: "Yeah."

Franks: "...because it seems to me that the government is getting a windfall here and I think, whatever that government charges its citizens is what the citizens ought to expect from their government. I don't want the government to get a windfall by saying, you know what, if I don't pay you back quickly enough, I get to hold your money and pay under market interest, but if you don't pay me quickly enough, I get to charge you four times the amount of the going rate."

Zalewski: "I... I think the change we're making addresses that problem that exists currently. We're trying to address that problem 'cause right now, as you well know, we're not doing a very nice job of getting people their refunds on time."

Franks: "Oh, it's... we're years behind."

Zalewski: "So, this addresses that by making that a two way street when it comes to the rate of interest."

Franks: "Okay. That's what I wanted to make sure was taxpayer friendly because when I'm reading this analysis, it doesn't indicate that, because, right now, do you know how long the back... how large the back... the backlog of being owed tax return... or tax refunds are?"

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Zalewski: "I'm told... I'm told we're caught up on income tax refunds, Representative. I'm told we're caught up."

Franks: "We're totally caught up?"

Zalewski: "That's what I'm told."

Franks: "I find that very hard to believe 'cause I've seen..."

Zalewski: "On... on income tax refunds, Representative. There may be other tax... types of tax refunds where we may not be caught up."

Franks: "Even for businesses? 'Cause I can tell you, a year ago businesses were 18 months behind in getting theirs."

Zalewski: "On income tax refunds, we are caught up, Representative."

Franks: "Okay."

Zalewski: "That's what I'm told."

Franks: "I'm going to listen to the debate. I want to read the Bill; I'm only looking at the analysis here. I'd just like to know real numbers and what the real interest rates are charged for consumers and the citizens and the real interest rate here. I understand you say you're trying to even it out but I'd like to see... Do you have language in the Bill that has a comparison?"

Zalewski: "That has the comparison of... past to current?"

Franks: "Yeah. And what each party would be paying, meaning citizens on one hand and the government on the other."

Zalewski: "I'm looking through the Bill, Representative, right now. I... I don't... the Bill simply changes the... the dates of the interest of what's... the effective interest date. I don't see a comparison of the actual rates within the statute, so my guess is, by looking at the date... we're

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

looking at the date time frame before it's x after it's y. I don't see a... I don't see a comparison within the statute of the actual rate.'

Franks: "Thank you. I appreciate that. And I guess the other issue that I'm looking at in the analysis, is they're going to be charging 75 cents a page. Now, didn't we do something with the FOIA Bill that was significantly lower than that for the first 50 pages? Wasn't it 25 cents a page for the first 50 pages? And also, I think for medical records I think it was something similar. Why would the Department of Revenue get three times what other entities get?"

Zalewski: "You know, Representative, I don't... I don't have the information of what was in FOIA. I... my belief is that the department feels, again, as I mentioned in the previous speaker, if they're going to be charged with providing the testimony and the documents, they need to be able to be efficient. And they find themselves in a posture where they're not efficient by having to make these copies. They seem to believe 75 cents per page is a reasonable amount for the testimony and a quarter a page for the other information."

Franks: "But nobody else gets..."

Zalewski: "Seventy-five cents maybe because you have to deal
 with transcription. I don't... I don't know."

Franks: "I just know that we don't have... I'd like to see what the other groups have. I know when you... we passed... I think in the medical malpractice Bill, we limited it to 25 cents a page. And maybe I'm wrong, but I believe it's 25 cents a page for the first 50 pages and maybe Jessica knows."

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

- Zalewski: "Again, it seems to me that the difference is between what's testimony versus what's simply copies. And if you're dealing with a court reporter and you have to deal with transcription, you may have to deal with an extra amount of work to get that testimony in a format that the attorney or the taxpayer can use and that may be the reason for the increase in charge."
- Franks: "Okay. Well, I've got a problem with the increase and unless they can prove it and I think it should be uniform throughout the government and I don't think the Department of Revenue ought to get additional funds simply because they're the Department of Revenue. But I appreciate your answers."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Harris."

Harris, D.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Harris, D.: "Representative, do you remember in committee what the Department of Revenue representative said about this... this fee?"

Zalewski: "About the reimbursement for the copies?"

Harris, D.: "Well, no, specifically the \$100 penalty."

- Zalewski: "I remember the discussion we had about the car sellers and there was a concern about car... car dealer tax returns and what was happening when people weren't filing those returns on time. I don't remember any other discussion about the rest of the Bill."
- Harris, D.: "And I will tell you, I'd like to come to your defense here because the Bill came out of committee on a 10 to nothing vote, yet I can't do that. There... the

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

explanation that the department gave in committee in my mind was confusing. And I don't think it's being cleared up by the debate on this House floor. And I think that the previous speaker made reference to this... some of the confusion that exists around the Bill. So, notwithstanding the strong vote coming out of committee, I still think there's confusion about this Bill, what it really does and is there an unfair impact on individuals versus the department."

Zalewski: "Well, and Representative, let me be clear about what the discussion was in committee, because none of the... I don't rem... unless I was mistaken, I don't remember there being a substantive discussion about the testimony reimbursement portion of... of the Bill. I remember there being a very good discussion about the Form ST-556 which was, we need this penalty because even if the car dealer doesn't owe the tax they need to be able to get the information from the car dealer and they need some sort of stick to do that. And the committee Members agreed. I don't remember any discussion about the reimbursement for the copies."

Harris, D.: "Okay. And I still have some... I still have some confusion, but I appreciate you taking a couple of moments."

Speaker Lang: "Thank you. Mr. Zalewski to close."

Zalewski: "I appreciate the concerns by the previous speakers, but again, it's important to remember, nothing in this Bill is what I would construe to be a fee increase as much as things the department is asking us to do to make their jobs

40th Legislative Day

- more efficient. We're asking departments to be more efficient and this is one way the department says, let us be more efficient. I don't see any fee increase or hidden fee increase in here that should make Members averse to voting for the Bill. I'd ask for an 'aye' vote."
- 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves, Members. Chapa LaVia, Davis, Drury, Fine, Sims. Please record yourselves. Representative Fine. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 52 voting 'yes', and 64 voting 'no'. The Chair recognizes Mr. Zalewski."
- Zalewski: "Mr. Speaker, can I move this to the Order of Postponed Consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "This Bill will be placed on the Order of Postponed Consideration. The Chair recognizes Mr. Riley."
- Riley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege, please."
- Speaker Lang: "Please proceed, Sir."
- Riley: "I just want to make the House aware. This is a very important day and for all the CPAs, it's not because it's tax filing day. It's because it's the birthday of the finest seatmate that a Member could have. I'd like for all of you to join me in wishing Representative Camille Lilly a happy birthday today."
- Speaker Lang: "House Bill 2991, Mr. Arroyo. Mr. Arroyo. Out of the record. House Bill 438, Mr. Bradley. Please read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 438, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "This is a Bill for Hamilton County 911 that would allow them to collect money that's already been collected. It would require a referendum. We passed this Bill during Veto Session out of the House overwhelmingly. It was bipartisan support. I would ask for an 'aye' vote, again."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. Those in favor will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Please record yourselves, Members. Berrios, Burke, Drury, Evans, Lilly, Smiddy. Mr. Drury. Please take the record. On this question, there are 69 voting 'yes', 47 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2432, Representative Hernandez. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2432, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Hernandez."

Hernandez: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 2432, as adopted, the Bill establishes a general use of reloadable prepaid card disclosure. This card is a fairly new product and is currently exempt from Federal Laws. The Bill sets up basic disclosures to aid consumers when purchasing reloadable prepaid cards. The disclosures are provided on a pre-purchase basis and also on and with the card. The disclosures address dormancy, inactivity, and

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

periodic service fees as well as identify the expiration date and any other fees imposed in connection with the card. It's an agreed Bill. And I ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Lady moved for the passage of the Bill. Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Arroyo, Sims. Mr. Arroyo. Please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2839, Mr. Durkin. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2839, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Durkin."

Durkin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2839 allows a physician assistant the ability to prescribe a plan of treatment for home health care services as long as that assistant has been authorized to prescribe such services by a licensed physician."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill.

Those in favor will vote 'yes'; opposed will vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Burke, Chapa LaVia, Davidsmeyer, Representative Chapa LaVia. Please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1573, Mr. Jefferson. Please read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1573, a Bill for an Act concerning liquor. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Jefferson."

Jefferson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. 1573 simply establishes a registry of agents of manufactured license in this state to make sales of deliverance of alcoholic liquor to licensed distributors and important distributors on the commission's website. This is simply to establish a registry for those people that do that type of work."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill.

Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed will vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Franks, Unes, Mr. Unes. Please take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes', 3 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1052, Mr. Bradley. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1052, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Thank you. I'm honored to present this next Bill. It is the results of the negotiation and collaboration between the Advanced Practice Nurses and the Medical Society. This is an agreed Bill. I know of no opposition to the Bill. It would give greater powers to the Advanced Practice Nurse... Nurses thereby opening up health care to a greater level of accessibility in the state, but not at the expense of quality or quality service or quality care and it would be

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

performed... their duties would be performed under the oversight and collaboration of a physician. I'd ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill.

There being no debate, those in favor of the Bill will vote
'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who
wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

Chapa LaVia, DeLuca. Chapa LaVia. Please take the record.
On this question, there are 116 voting 'yes', 0 voting
'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional
Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2623, Mr.
Fortner. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2623, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Fortner."

Fortner: "Thank you, Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 2623 clarifies a portion of the municipal aggregation legislation and it provides that the municipalities, as part of their solicitation of bids, may ask for the source of the power, essentially clarifying where the power is going to be coming from when they solicit their bids. Happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill.

Those in favor will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Franks, Mitchell. Please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1405, Mr. Bradley. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1405, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "This is an initiative of the Cook County. It would allow some greater flexibility in some archaic legislation, which is too narrowly drafted regarding tuberculosis funds being not just required for tuberculosis. It will be a benefit to the residents of that area and I'd ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. Those in favor will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Kelly Burke. Please take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'yes', 2 this Bill, having voting 'no'. And received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 83, Representative Dan Burke. Please read the Bill. Mr. Burke, you have an Amendment. Do you want this moved back to Second for the Amendment? The Gentleman asks that the Bill be placed on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, please do that and read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 83, a Bill for an Act concerning animals. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Dan Burke, has been approved for consideration. Also, a Motion has been filed by Representative Burke to table Amendments 2 and 3."

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Burke, you have Amendment #2 and also a Motion to table Amendment 2. What's your pleasure?"

Burke, D.: "I am sorry, Mr. Speaker. I intend to proceed with Amendment #1 only. So, I..."

Speaker Lang: "So, this could... so, you want to withdraw Amendments 2 and 3, Sir?"

Burke, D.: "That's right."

Speaker Lang: "The Amendments are withdrawn. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill on Third Reading. It's in exactly the position it was before we did all that."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 83, a Bill for an Act concerning animals. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Burke."

Burke, D.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I unfortunately heard some barking and howling a moment ago, but let me assure you, it's not my intention to persuade this Body that this is the most important Bill that you're going to consider in this Session, but indeed, as we regulate, as we control, as we try to accommodate the needs of our constituencies and certainly organizations that have weighed in on this very serious matter to those individuals, this is something that this government has to accommodate with respect to the proper treatment of their dogs. This Bill would offer some very minor controls with respect to this activity. There are some dramatic examples of how individuals mistreat their animals. This is, I think, a very reasonable and precise method in which to

40th Legislative Day

- offer some humane treatment for these animals. And I'd be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. The Chair recognizes Leader Bost. Can we have some quiet in the chamber, please. Thank you. Mr. Bost."
- Bost: "Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Lang: "Gentleman yields."
- Bost: "Representative, the original... the Amendments were the things that were removing the opposition of the... like the dog club owners and stuff like that. What was the reason that those now have been taken off and that means that it goes back to the original?"
- Burke, D.: "I believe that Amendment #1 became adopted... Mr. Speaker, inquiry? Mr. Speaker, inquiry of the Clerk. Was Amendment #1 acted upon?"
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Clerk, please tell the Body the status of the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendments 2 and 3 have been withdrawn."
- Burke, D.: "So, Amendment #1 is part of the Bill as we will consider it today."
- Speaker Lang: "Yes, Sir."
- Burke, D.: "Amendment #1 was what the Farm Bureau was concerned with."
- Bost: "Okay. And that was... that was the case where they had the hunting clubs and there's quite often that you have to... while you're working another dog, you're going to leave him for possibly, more than that length of time."

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Burke, D.: "Exactly, and that was never the intention of this legislation. And there are... there was one other party that was concerned and we have had discussions with them and we are going to recommend that their concerns be addressed at the Senate level."

Bost: "Okay, do you... that is a dog club owner, correct?"

Burke, D.: "Yes, correct."

Bost: "And what are... what are their concerns, just so I know for the..."

Bost: "Yes, correct."

Burke, D.: "I believe for the most part that they just philosophically, that's the dog club owners and dog club members, philosophically are concerned with any kind of control that we would try to place on the ownership of these animals. Not that they don't agree that animals should be treated in a humane fashion, but they just, I think, across the board object to anything that would limit their engagement with their animal."

Bost: "Thank... thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, I think there are some concerns. With all due respect to the Sponsor and I know he's trying to do what's right, there are some groups that are very humane in the way they treat their animals that have had some specific concerns. I think the regulations... I understand in a case where someone has abused an animal, I think we have laws all ready to cover that, but as we tether... we start talking about tethering, there are reasons why we tether dogs for long periods of time, especially when you're dealing with hunting, when you're dealing with these dog clubs and I

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

have some real concerns with the Bill. And based on that, I'll be voting 'no' and I'd encourage you to do the same."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Kosel."

Kosel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman yields."

Kosel: "Since we heard this Bill in committee, I have gotten some communication from some very humane dog owners who are very concerned about a specific thing and I want to know if this is still in the Bill, that requires there to be food and water at all times when being tethered?"

Burke, D.: "That is in the Bill."

Kosel: "That is still in the Bill?"

Burke, D.: "Correct."

Kosel: "Are you aware that quite often dogs are not fed freely all day, that they are given food at very specific times and that they... people would actually consider that to be a serious problem."

Burke, D.: "What we're saying is that we don't necessarily command that the food and water be out there available for the entire time of the tethering but be provided as well as shelter. So, we're not saying that food and water has to be available at the entire time that the dog is tethered."

Kosel: "Can... can I suggest that... that we correct that over in the Senate so that we say something like, that they have been fed properly and watered properly not that it has to be available at all times Because I do think..."

Burke, D.: "Yes. And as I was responding to the previous speaker that's our intention to get that..."

Kosel: "Okay."

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Burke, D: "...corrected at the Senate level."

Kosel: "Thank you."

Burke, D.: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Arroyo."

Arroyo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Arroyo: "Mr. Burke, could you explain what that... I'm not too familiar with tethering. Could you tell me what that does, please?"

Burke, D.: "Tethering is another technical term, when you tie an animal to a post or a secure device of some kind. And in this instance, it's been the case that many animals have been inhumanely tied with chains on collars that actually dig into their flesh and in very often, some of these animals become strangled as a result of being left unattended on a tether."

Arroyo: "Would that cover a lion? What kind of animal... would just a dog or does it cover lions, too, Mr. Burke?

Burke: "No, but we do talk about not being able to eat a dog in this Bill so..."

Arroyo: "Okay. So, that would not cover the lion?"

Burke: "You shouldn't be facetious about this."

Arroyo: "Okay. Thank you. But I stand in support of your Bill..."

Burke: "Thank you.

Arroyo: "'Cause think it's a very good Bill, but I had a... kind of a little concern 'cause I don't..."

Burke, D.: "I know where your heart lies."

Arroyo: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Burke to close."

40th Legislative Day

- Burke, D.: "Thank you, again, Mr. Speaker. And I think those that have had this interest and have brought this to our attention have spoken to many of you. This is not an outrageous request. Again, it is not the most important Bill we are considering, but I might suggest to you that certainly if we can't care for the least of God's creatures, how are we going to attend to the really serious issues of child welfare and humane treatment of other... others in our society. I would certainly encourage you to consider this seriously and to afford me an 'aye' vote. Thank you very much."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves, Members. Please record yourselves. McAsey, Mitchell, Sente, Zalewski, Zalewski. Please take the record. On this question, there are 78 voting 'yes', 38 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Members, if you would pay attention to the Chair. We have a series of Bills to move from Second to Third. I intend to move them as rapidly as possible but that will require you to be in your chairs and to let's move this along so that we can get to our committees and go about our business. The first Bill is House Bill 2496, Mr. Brown. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2496, a Bill for an Act concerning state government. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Amendment #3, offered by Representative Bradley, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Brown."

Brown: "Thank you."

- Speaker Lang: "Excuse me the Chair apologizes. It's Mr. Bradley's Amendment. Mr. Brown, do you want to handle the Amendment or is it a hostile Amendment?"
- Brown: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to withdraw Amendment 3 from the res... from House Bill 2496... Thank you."
- Speaker Lang: "Well, you can't... you can't withdraw Mr. Bradley's Amendment, Sir. We're going to take this out of the record and we'll get back to you. House Bill 2616, Representative Tracy. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2616, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Tracy, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Tracy."
- Tracy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment... I would like to adopt Amendment 1 which actually becomes the Amendment."
- Speaker Lang: "Lady moves for the adoption of the Amendment.

 Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

 And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 2748, Mr. Harms. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2748, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment

40th Legislative Day

- #1, offered by Representative Harms, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Harms."
- Harms: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2748, which corrects a technical error that I found in the Bill. And I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment will say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 2993, Representative Senger. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2993, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Senger, has been for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Senger on the Amendment."
- Senger: "Thank you. The Amendment to the Bill it adds to the Bill. It's a correction to a reference in the Bill. So, it's a correction."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Amendment will say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3104, Mr. Sosnowski.

 Please read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3104, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Sosnowski has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sosnowski."
- Sosnowski: "This Amendment makes some minor adjustments to the Bill to take care of some concerns with the timing of due dates."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 1555, Leader Cross. We ready to go on Leader Cross's Bill? Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1555, a Bill for an Act concerning state government. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative Sandack, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sandack."
- Sandack: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Lang: "Please explain what the Amendment says, Sir.
 Nice try."
- Sandack: "Mr. Speaker, the Amendment conforms ITAP did not presently exist so that reports that are being sought from municipalities and other units of government are consistent with what ITAP puts out there right now. I think this is a good Amendment and a good Bill. I move for its admission."

40th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Gentleman's Motion, say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 1324, Representative Flowers. Representative Flowers. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1324, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 29, Representative Flowers. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 29, a Bill for an Act concerning state government. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3133, Mr. Franks.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3133, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. 3049, Representative Hernandez.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3049, a Bill for an Act concerning state government. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 924, Mr. Hoffman. Out of the record. House Bill 2508 Mr. Hoffman. Please read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2508, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third reading. House Bill 2517, Representative Hurley. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2517, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3390, Mr. Sims. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3390, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 2971, Mr. Smiddy.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2971, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Smiddy."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Smiddy."
- Smiddy: "This Amendment just removes the penalty distinctions based on the age of the offenders. I would ask that it be passed."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Gentleman's Motion say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3058, Representative Willis. Please read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3058, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 2562, Representative Jones. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2562, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Jones."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Jones."
- Jones: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment just makes a technical change and adds Members of the General Assembly.

 I ask for its adoption."
- Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 1323, Representative Berrios. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1323, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this House Bill. No committee Amendments. Floor Amendment 1, offered by Representative Berrios."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Berrios."
- Berrios: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment 1 removes language regarding punitive fines and it clarifies the language and makes this an agreed Bill."

40th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Motion say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 125, Representative Gordon. Welcome back, Representative Gordon. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk"
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 125, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this House Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments.

 No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3232, Representative Gordon. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3232, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 1011, Mr. Mitchell.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1011, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Zalewski, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Zalewski on the Amendment."
- Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #1 addresses some concerns that the committee had with the respect to boating rental facilities and penalty Section of the Bill. I'd ask for its adoption."

40th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 945, Mr. Yingling. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 945..."
- Speaker Lang: "Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 3260, Representative Scherer. You ready? Out of the record. House Bill 3390, Mr. Sims. Please read the Bill. That Bill's already been moved to Third Reading. House Bill 494, Representative Chapa LaVia. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 494, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendments 1 and 2 have been approved for consideration Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Chapa LaVia."
- Speaker Lang: "Out of the record. House Bill 2646, Representative McAsey. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2646, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative McAsey."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative McAsey."
- McAsey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Floor Amendment 2 is technical in nature. It provides for 15 days rather than 10 days for a condo association to respond to the inquiries. And I move for the adoption."

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Motion... Chair recognizes Mr. Sullivan."

Sullivan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Sullivan: "Representative, is there some impetus why we're making this change?"

McAsey: "With regard to the 10 day to 15 day?"

Sullivan: "Yes."

McAsey: "It's to address concerns of the committee. So, current law is 30 days. The Bill as introduced was providing for 10 days and this is setting that at 15 days."

Sullivan: "What does this do to change the underlying Bill that you have? I guess I'm asking, can you explain the underlying Bill? You're just adding... you're just changing the underlying Bill."

McAsey: "Right. So, the underlying Bill has to do with the payment of association fees in situations of home foreclosures. And there is information that's required from the homeowner's association with regard to what the amount of the association dues are. This specific Amendment is related to the time period for the association to respond with the information about the fees that are due and owing."

Sullivan: "So, what I'm trying to get at here, and I haven't read... I'm reading an analysis, and I do apologize for that, this came up so quickly. Prospective purchases. So, there's... you're changing the documents that can be given to prospective purchasers, shortening the time to 10 days. Is

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

this a prospective purchase where there is an agreement in place to purchase the unit?"

McAsey: "So, this is intended to help buyers of a home, to provide them with more clarity earlier on in the process, with regard to what association dues may be owing at the time of closing a real estate transaction."

Sullivan: "What is the time frame they... they presently have to do that?"

McAsey: "Currently the associations have 30 days to..."

Sullivan: "Okay."

McAsey: "...provide the information."

Sullivan: "That... that's what I was trying to get at."

McAsey: "I'm sorry, I thought that I had already provided that."

Sullivan: "That... That's what I was trying to get at. So, you're not going from... It's typically 10 days to 15 days giving them more time to not give the information. You're going 15 days... 30 days to 15 days."

McAsey: "Right. Well, initially it was 10, now it's 15. Yes."

Sullivan: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Amendment will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The… excuse me. Those in favor will say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3023, Representative Hurley. Read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3023, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this House Bill. No

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Committee Amendments. Floor Amendments 2 and 4 have been approved for consideration. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Hurley."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Hurley."

- Hurley: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the passage of Floor Amendment 2 to House Bill... House Bill 3023. This Amendment is in response to concerns of Members of the committee that the original Bill was too broad. It removes a provision regarding loitering. I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Floor Amendment #4 offered by Representative Hurley."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Hurley."
- Hurley: "I would also like to move for the passage of Amendment #4 to House Bill 3023. Amendment 4 limits the scope of the Bill to include only the immediate playground area not the entire building in which the playground is located. I would like to move this Amendment. And I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 3300, Representative Kifowit. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3300, a Bill for an Act concerning..."

40th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. Thank you. Mr. Clerk, Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk Bolin: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 239, offered by Representative Unes. House Resolution 240, offered by Representative Rosenthal. House Resolution 243, offered by Representative Burke. And House Resolution 244, offered by Representative Currie."
- Speaker Lang: "Leader Currie moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'.

 The 'ayes' have it. The Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Mr. Clerk. Listen up Members, committee announcements."
- Clerk Hollman: "The 5:00 committees will be meeting at 5:30 and the 5:30 committees will be meeting at 6:00. So, a half hour behind schedule. Meeting at 5:30 is the Agriculture & Conservation Committee in Room C-1, Business & Occupational Licenses in D-1, Executive in Room 114, Public Utilities in Room 413 and Higher Education in Room 115. Meeting at 6:00 is the Public Safety, Fire... Police & Fire Committee meeting in Room 114, Labor & Commerce in Room 413, Personnel & Pensions in Room 115, Counties & Townships in Room C-1, and Adoption Reform in D-1."
- Amendments to your Bills need to be filed by 3 p.m. Wednesday. All technical Amendments need to be filed by 3 p.m. Wednesday. And now, allowing perfunctory time for the Clerk, Leader Currie moves that the House stand adjourned 'til Tuesday April 16 at the hour of 10:00 a.m. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The

40th Legislative Day

4/15/2013

Motion is adopted and the House stands adjourned 'til Tuesday, April 16 at 10 a.m."

Clerk Hollman: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order. Introduction of Senate Bills. Senate Bill 1217, offered by Representative McAuliffe, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1221, offered by Representative Fortner, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 1565, offered by Representative McAsey, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 1584, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Senate Bill 1729, offered Representative Osmond, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1792, offered by Representative Schmitz, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1812, offered by Representative Brauer, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Senate Bill 2268, offered by Representative Kay, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. These are referred to the Rules Committee. There being no further business, the House Perfunctory Session will stand adjourned."