26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Speaker Lang: "The House will be in order. Members will be in their chairs. Members will be in their chairs. We shall be led in prayer today by Pastor Bernard Samuel... Samuel Kendrick who is with the Glad Tidings Apostolic Assembly in Bolingbrook, Illinois. Pastor Bernard is the guest of Representative Manley. Members and guests are asked to refrain from starting their laptops, turn off all cell phones and rise for the invocation and Pledge of Allegiance. Pastor."

Pastor Kendrick: "Amen to the Speaker of the House, Mr. Mike Madigan, to Minority Leader of the House, Tom Cross and to Mrs. Golar of District #6, Susan Kifowits of District #84 and to Emily McAsey, District #85 and to my own district leader, Amen, 98 Natalie McAs... Natalie Manley. Love God, we have come this morning to invoke Your presence in this, the 98th General Assembly of the Illinois House Representatives on this day, March 8, 2013. Lord, as these men and women, the elected officials of this great state, the Land of Lincoln, the land of the free and the home of the brave. First of all, Lord, let me thank You for the grace and the mercy which You've allowed each of us to travel here via the airplane, jet, car and train. Lord, to arrive here safely to conduct the business at hand for this Session of the 98th General Assembly of the House of Representatives. (unintelligible) God we have come for Your quidance and for Your wisdom. We ask at this time that the elective Legislative Body of this House conduct the hand, Lord, which consists of business at budgets, passing laws and enacting policies that

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

effect the citizens of our great state. While petitioning You, Lord, for Your wisdom and guidance for this Body, please allow each school to remain open to provide the quality education and viable training programs that would help and open opportunities for employment for the citizens of this State of Illinois. But do not let me forget the future generation of our society regardless of their ethnicity and their race, creed, or color. We ask You, Lord, that You will give each one of us a fresh start to secure the educational and economic they call stability. The Lord help them to find help each one of these Members of this great Body to find the funds so necessary that our great state can continue to grow and attract businesses from all over the world, that would help us to be more competitive in this world. Now, as we render all our concerns, I have one more request. That someone in this Body would sponsor a law that would help my brothers and sisters who have felony convictions, but allow avenues that they be paved, that they can obtain gainful employment and become productive citizens, it is my prayer. Now, Lord, after this Session is over and has ended, we will know better, we have done all that we could do for the constituency of our state. But not least... last but not least. I'll say it again, last but not least, I thank You, Lord, for this day, March the 8th 2013 and for 44 years of marriage today to my special bride Mrs. Rena Kendrick. This is my prayer, Amen and Amen."

Speaker Lang: "Happy anniversary, Pastor. And that we'll be led in the Pledge today by Representative Conroy."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

- Conroy et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Lang: "Roll Call for Attendance. Leader Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. Please let the record show that Representative Bradley is excused today."
- Speaker Lang: "Leader Bost."
- Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let the record reflect that Representative Hatcher is excused on the Republican side of the aisle today."
- Speaker Lang: "Thank you. Mr. Clerk, please take the record.

 There are 115 Members present, we do have a quorum. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "Committee Reports. Representative Mayfield, Chairperson from the Committee on Public Safety: Police & Fire reports the following committee action taken on March 07, 2013: do pass Short Debate is House Bill 2247. Representative Ford, Chairperson from the Committee on Restorative Justice reports the following committee action taken on March 07, 2013: do pass as amended Short Debate is House Bill 1548; do pass as amended Standard Debate House Bill 1348. Representative McAsey, Chairperson from the Committee on Environment reports the following committee action taken on March 08, 2013: recommends be adopted is House Resolution 58. Representative Berrios, Chairperson from the Committee on Financial Institutions reports the following committee action taken on March 08, 2013: do pass Short Debate is House Bill 1323. Representative Flowers,

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Chairperson from the Committee on Health Care Availability and Accessibility reports the following committee action taken on March 08, 2013: do pass Short Debate is House Bill 23. Introduction of Resolutions. House Resolution 141 is offered by Representative Brown. This is referred to the Rules Committee."

Speaker Lang: "The Chair recognizes Representative Manley."

Manley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to ask for a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "Please proceed."

Manley: "Good morning, Members. I just wanted to mention that Pastor Kendrick from Glad Tidings Church in Bolingbrook is here and that his wife of 44 years is unable to be with us today but she is listening via the Internet. So, if we could wish them a very happy anniversary, I'd appreciate it."

Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Representative. Could we ask Members to be in their seats and staff retire to the rear of the chamber. Members, please retire to your seats, staff to the rear of the chamber. The Chair recognizes Representative Brady."

Brady: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if I could have your attention for just a moment and ask for a moment of silence. On Tuesday, March 5, 39-year-old Bloomington firefighter Chris Brown celebrated his 12-year anniversary as a Bloomington firefighter. That same night, working as... at a traffic accident as a volunteer Hudson, Illinois, Fire Department firefighter, Chris was hit and killed when a semitruck

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

struck him on the Interstate. Yes, Chris is one of my constituents, as importantly, Chris was a friend. His obituary today, it lists Chris's life accomplishments none of them more important to him than being a loving husband to his wife Amy, a father to his two sons Max, 12 and Mason, 8. As we leave here today, please remember Chris and all those who have gone before him and all of those who put their life on the line every day for the protection and safety for the citizens in our state and across this country. May his soul and all the souls of the faithfully departed, through the mercy of God, rest in peace, Amen."

Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Brady."

Brady: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "On page 6 of the Calendar, under the Order of House Bills-Second Reading, appears House Bill 2381, Representative Cavaletto. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2381, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Moving now to House Bills-Third Reading, we'll continue where we left off on page 7 of the Calendar with House Bill 188, Representative Gabel. Representative Gabel? Representative Gabel? Out of the record. House Bill 198, Representative Osmond. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 198, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Osmond."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

- Osmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 198 is a request of the Northern Illinois Police K-9. And this is for establishing a K-9 memorial. This memorial will be established at the Highland Memorial Park in Libertyville, Illinois. It is spons... or supported by the Sheriff's Department of Cook County, Lake County, McHenry County, Grundy County, and Jo Daviess County. And I would be happy to answer any questions. I know of no opposition."
- Speaker Lang: "Lady moves for the passage of the Bill. There being no debate, those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Record yourselves, Members. It's Friday. Representative McAuliffe. Please take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'yes', 6 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 743, Mr. Jackson. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 743, a Bill for an Act concerning wildlife. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Jackson."

- Jackson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, House Bill 743 changes a two-day hunting only to a three-day hunting only season for youth between the dates of September the 1 and October the 31. I'd like to ask for an 'aye' vote. And I would entertain any questions that you may have."
- Speaker Lang: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill.

 Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'.

 The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Farnham, Mr. Thapedi, Representative Mayfield. Please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 962, Mr. Thapedi. Out of the record. House Bill 989, Mr. Reis. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 989, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Reis."

Reis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 989 provides the issuance of a public safety diver license plate. Throughout Illinois we have public safety dive teams that are often assigned to go out and help cars that have went off into lakes, ditches, rivers, when there's flooding, or even boating accidents and many times they work in multiple counties and they really don't have a way of... of having a tax base to provide their equipment and... and dive equipment and showers and boats and things like that. So, we've been working on this. It's supported by the Illinois Fire Chiefs Association, the FOP, the Illinois Sheriff's Association, and the Illinois Law Enforcement Training and Standards Board. Be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill.

Those in favor will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Leitch. Please take the record. On this question, there are 107... 108 voting 'yes',

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

7 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1003, Representative Hammond. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1003, a Bill for an Act concerning wildlife. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Hammond."

Hammond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Last year when we passed the baiting Bill, we defined bait as any type of liquid or solid used to lure deer or turkey. Unfortunately, we did not exempt water. So, under the current law, the livestock farmers are not able to water their livestock. This would change that and exempt water. Be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Lady moves for the passage of the Bill. The Chair recognizes Mr. Hays."

Hays: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Lady yields."

Hays: "Representative, does this Bill have anything at all to do
 with road kill?"

Hammond: "No, Representative, it does not."

Hays: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Representative Hammond, you might want to vote for your Bill. Representative Tracy. Please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1017, Representative Feigenholtz. Please read the Bill."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1017, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Feigenholtz."

Feigenholtz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1017 is a Bill that we've all been waiting for. It is an opportunity for us to have data collection around care coordination, Medicaid reform, and its efficacy. If you want to see improved health outcomes, you're for this Bill. If you want to see redo... reduced health disparities, you are for this Bill. I'd be glad to answer any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Lady moves for the passage of the Bill. Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Golar, Hoffman, Jefferson. Mr. Hoffman. Please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1022, Mr. Walsh. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1022, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I have HB1022 which adds stalking which is a Class IV Felony to the list of convicted crimes which would make it an individual ineligible for participation in the Treatment Alternative for Safe Communities or otherwise known as the TASC program. This is an initiative of the Will County

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

State's Attorney. And I'd be glad to ask any... answer any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. The Chair recognizes Mr. Franks."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman yields."

Franks: "Representative, what's the purpose of this. I'm reading it and it will add stalking, which is a Class IV Felony to the list of the convicted crimes which would make an individual ineligible for participation in the TASC program, correct?"

Walsh: "Yes."

Franks: "Okay. And why did your state's attorney want this?"

Walsh: "Basically this is the first and only time that stalking has been given TASC probation since this program's been incepted and they felt that it was sort of a loophole in the system because TA... stalking is and some of you consider it a violent crime."

Franks: "Okay. All right. So, it's really not a... this was a loophole to close for... drug crimes."

Walsh: "Basically, it takes... Yes. It is dealing with chemical dependency and then... and they basically make that inel... stalking ineligible."

Franks: "That makes perfect sense then. Thank you for explaining it. Yes. Thank you."

Walsh: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

record. 114 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Moving to page 8 of the Calendar, there appears House Bill 1041, Mr. Tryon. Out of the record. House Bill 1201, Mr. Sosnowski. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1201, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sosnowski."

Sosnowski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill simply allows for those non-Home Rule municipalities and their... on those rare instances for them to be able to create some sort of setbacks in regards to proposed wind turbines within municipal boundaries. I don't know of any opposition to this Bill. I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor... Saved by the bell. Mr. Franks with a question."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman yields."

Franks: "Representative, I was having trouble under... hearing you. What does this Bill do?"

Speaker Lang: "Before you answer, Sir. Ladies and Gentlemen, let's get through some Bills today. We can only do that if we can hear the people who are speaking. Would you please hold... please hold the noise down. Mr. Sosnowski."

Sosnowski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill... These are very rare cases, may never happen. These are for non-Home Rule municipalities that don't have zoning. This would allow them to be able to have a setback if a proposal for a wind turbine were to be requested within municipal boundaries.

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Right now they are not allowed by the law to have any setback at all."

Franks: "What do you mean by a setback? I'm not sure I... Could you give me an example? I just don't understand what you're trying to get at here."

Sosnowski: "Sure. If there's a proposal..."

Franks: "'Cause I can't hear."

Sosnowski: "...proposal for a turbine tower, right now non-Home Rule municipality without zoning, they can't allow... they can't have any setback at all from a structure, so zero, they're not allowed to do anything. So, this would allow those municipalities to create some sort of setbacks according to what they will hope to do."

Franks: "All right. So, you'll have some local control on the sitings of these type of towers."

Sosnowski: "Exactly."

Franks: "All right. That sounds reasonable. Thank you."

Sosnowski: "Sure, no problem."

'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Arroyo, Walsh. Mr. Walsh. Please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1203, Mr. Sosnowski. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1203, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sosnowski."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Sosnowski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is basically clean up language. Some of you will remember Representative Tryon passed a Bill; it was signed by the Governor last year in regards to listing requirements for metes and bounds. So, this allows for counties now to not be required to have the full description of metes and bounds and simply just do the legal address for a property. We passed it for municipalities within the Municipal Code. We did not do that for counties, so this cleans that language up. I'd ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. And Mr. Reboletti is recognized."

Reboletti: "Thank you, Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman yields."

Reboletti: "Representative, I'm not sure if you recall that there was basically an agreement to suspend further publication legislation and not to do that until 2016. Do you recall that?"

Sosnowski: "Yes, I do."

Reboletti: "And does this go back on that agreement?"

Sosnowski: "It does not. Actually, we had a conversation and Representative... Leader Mautino was involved in that. The Press Association is okay with this Amen... with the Amendment and the agreement."

Reboletti: "All right. I want to make that clear to the Body.

Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you. I'd just like to reiterate Joe's remarks.

With the... when we did the changing of the public notices

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

through the agreed Bill process, we had thought we had actually done this for counties. We did this same change for the towns and cities and it was everyone's intention that this be the law. The Press Association is fine with it and the agreement still stands. And I want to thank the Sponsor."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Arroyo, Davis, Sims. Please take the record. On this question, there are 98 voting 'yes', 16 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1281, Representative Senger. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1281, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Senger."

Senger: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 1281 has to do with the Criminal Code of 2012. Basically, this is a Bill that has been worked on for three years. It passed the House unanimously last year. It has to do when one basically steals ones password identity whether it be e-mail or whether it be Facebook or whatever and then causes harm. It stalled in the Senate due to a request from the telecoms at AT&T for an Amendment. Working it through this year, the telecoms decided an Amendment was not necessary. So, I'm asking for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Lady moves for the passage of the Bill. Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Arroyo. Costello. Please take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1295, Mr. Riley. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1295, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Riley."

Riley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. House Bill 1295 just adds a... another type of project to the Urban Development Act. This Act was passed in the 96th General Assembly. It got unanimous votes from both Bodies. And I just want to add transportation projects as another use under this Act."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill.

Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'.

The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Kelly Burke. Please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1346, Leader Currie. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1346, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Leader Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker, Members of the House. This adds one member to a mortality review team in the Department of Juvenile Justice, somebody who has legal, academic or law

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

school experience. I know of no opposition. I'd be grateful for your vote."

Speaker Lang: "Lady moves for the passage of the Bill. There being no debate, those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1349, Mr. Schmitz. Mr. Schmitz. Out of the record. House Bill 1351, Mr. Poe. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1351, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Poe."

Poe: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Bill that's brought to me by the IMRF. It does not cost the state any extra money and it will monitor those people that go back to work on contract after they retire. It's just a safeguard. And I think it cleans up some language for them. And I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. The chair recognizes Mr. Franks."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman yields."

Franks: "What are you seeking to do with this Bill, Representative?"

Poe: "What was happening, people was going back and they could go back under the two different plans, either the 599 hours

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

or the 999. Well, what was happening, they were going over that and then they sort of get in trouble with their past benefits, although on retirement, so they'd have to go back. And it sort of protects the employee from having to go back and pay into the retirement system plus losing some of their pension benefits. What this will do is everybody will be all notice that this is what... even the employer and the employee and this will stop this from going over this hours that are allowed."

Franks: "Are there any policy issues here though, because I'm not sure what I... these are benefiting people who are already retired, correct..."

Poe: "Right."

Franks: "...who are already receiving a pension?"

Poe: "Right."

Franks: "Who then, while receiving a pension, go back to work oftentimes for the same places that they had retired from to continue to receive additional taxpayer funds."

Poe: "That's absolutely right. And now we're... we're not dealing with that part of the Bill that has nothing to do with what we're doing here today, but I also know the State of Illinois does that a lot. I know some people, of course, by living in Springfield that does that. But like in the State of Illinois, we're saving a lot of money. People could go in at certain times of the year. They help write grants or they do this or that, but yet they don't have to put them back on benefits they already have those. So, it's a pretty good deal for the State of Illinois. And I'm sure it works that way for the municipalities also."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Franks: "Would they only be contractual workers, or would they be getting benefits as well?"

Poe: "My understanding, they're just contractual. They get a salary; they don't get no more benefits; they don't build their benefits up. This... what was happening, the people was working over their hours. If they could work 69 they might be... 699, they might be working a thousand. We're going to stop that and make sure we abide by what... what the intent of the law was when we did it."

Franks: "So, they're not earning an additional pension..."

Poe: "No."

Franks: "...or getting additional...

Poe: "No."

Franks: "...health care benefits."

Poe: "Right.

Franks: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Zalewski. Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. Those in favor will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Farnham, Soto. Please take the record. On this question, there are 88 voting 'yes', 27 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1370, Representative Hernandez. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1370, a Bill for an Act concerning human rights. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Hernandez."

Hernandez: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 1370 just makes a tech... technical change to the

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

- language. It changes the basic pilot program to e-verify program. It is no longer a pilot program and simply aligns it with the federal program. I ask for your 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Lady moves for the passage of the Bill. Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Ives. Please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1388, Mr. Zalewski. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1388, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Zalewski."
- Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is an initiative of...

 House Bill 1388 is an initiative of the Illinois Podiatrist
 Association. They want to clean up the statute and ensure
 that the term podiatric physician is used in turn... instead
 of a podiatrist. I'd ask for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill.

 Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'.

 The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Drury. Please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1389, Mr. Turner. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1389, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Turner."

Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1389 amends the RTA Act. It extends the ability of the RTA to issue, sell and deliver specified additional Working Cash Notes until July 1, 2016. I'd ask for the Body's support. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. The Chair recognizes Mr. Reboletti."

Reboletti: "Thank you, Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman yields."

Reboletti: "Representative, why do the RTA need to increase their authorization from 100 million to 400 million for the borrowing authority?"

Turner: "This is just an extension of it. They currently have it and it's going to sunset in July and this just allows them to continue to do things. The state is behind on paying them. And this allows them to continue to lend out and do different infrastructure improvements."

Reboletti: "And... did you clear this with your seatmate? I know he doesn't really like the RTA very much, so I was wondering if he was supporting of this Bill."

Turner: "I asked him to hold off on it."

Reboletti: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Will Davis."

Davis, W.: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman yields."

Davis, W.: "I know he's my seatmate and I probably could have just whispered this question to him and got an answer, but

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

for the purposes of everyone that's on the floor I would just like to know does this Bill eliminate the RTA?"

Ford: "Representative Davis, this Bill does not eliminate the RTA."

Davis, W.: "Damn, that's to bad. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Riley."

Riley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, to the Bill. I'm going to support this Bill. It is clear that these Working Cash Notes make a lot of sense for the RTA, but I just want to go on record to say this. There's been many conversations about different Bills and different policies of not only the RTA but all of the service boards. And I wish that the RTA and the service boards need to understand that the General Assembly is part... is part of their budget making process, if you will and also their policy making process. And I would hope that the RTA and all of the service boards understand that they are in the business. They were created to serve all of the people of the regions that they serve and not just some. And so I would hope that they would look at this. I hope it passes, but I hope they understand that we want transportation equity in northeast Illinois. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Davis, DeLuca, Ford, Sullivan. Representative Monique Davis. Please take the record. On this question, there are 65 voting 'yes', 49 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Members, we're returning to page 4 on the Calendar, under the Order of House Bills-Second Reading, there appears House Bill 1188. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1188 was read a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill for a third time."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1188, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Burke."

Burke, D.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a Bill that has to do with a referenda having been passed in the Palos Fire Protection District. As a result of Cook County's reassessment of property, there was a substantial decline in the amount that had been approved by the voters of the Palos Fire Protection District to the extent of 1.9 million. These dollars were dependent upon to restore and to provide appropriate equipment for the fire protection district. These were equipment that were 20 years old, out of date, and the voters, in fact, understood exactly what the assessment would mean to their increase in tax. It's approximately \$85 a year. And they had absolutely approved this as appropriate measure to restore that fire protection district with the appropriate equipment to protect its residents. Be happy to answer any questions."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Burke moves for the passage of the Bill. The... the Chair recognizes Mr. Sacia."
- Sacia: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Lang: "Gentleman yields."
- Sacia: "Dan, I had occasion to visit with a lobbyist about this Bill, a lobbyist in favor of it and gave me occasion to read up on it. The question I have that I did not get a chance to ask him, was the... was the referendum passed to allow them this additional money for purchase prior to the EAV being lowered?"
- Burke, D.: "That is absolutely right. In fact, the ballot was very complete in insisting that the amount would be 1.9 million and that their realized increase would be approximately \$85 a year. And the voter understood entirely what they were voting on."
- Sacia: "I understand that, but then was it... was the EAV lowered subsequent to that?"
- Burke, D.: "Subsequent. It took three months after the referendum."
- Sacia: "So, in other words, these voters are now recognizing that money they thought would be available will no longer be available. Is that correct?"
- Burke, D.: "That is absolutely correct."
- Sacia: "Okay. There... has there been any pushback regarding the issue?"
- Burke, D.: "I have had no... no discussion on that regard. It seems like it's a very reasonable request. The voters knew exactly what they were doing..."

Sacia: "Sure."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Burke, D.: "...and they indicated that approval by their ballot."

Sacia: "I appreciate your input, Representative."

Burke, D.: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sullivan."

Sullivan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, we all at sometimes have referendums that are passed in local communities where the voters decide what they want to do in regard to moneys to that unit of government. Unfortunately, in today's paradigm of real estate in declining values, our laws haven't caught up with the realities that take place in our communities and when that happens, sometimes we need to come to the General Assembly to fix it. And this is that one instance where the voters said we would like the ability to improve our infrastructure within this fire protection district, but with the decreased values they were no... unable to realize the money that they needed to help this... this fire protection district. So, we need to come here and fix this. We've done this in the past a couple Bills; on unfortunately, our laws haven't caught up to try and make this a permanent solution, so you'll probably see a few more of these. I think Elaine Nekritz had the last one that we did and it passed overwhelmingly. And so, I do advise people to pass this Bill over. Hopefully, we can work on a permanent fix. A permanent fix would be to have our real estate market increase, but unfortunately we're not in that paradigm. So, please, go ahead and support this Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Moffitt."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Moffitt: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just to the Bill. I appreciate the Representative bringing this Bill. I think pretty much all of us say we're in favor of local control. This is an opportunity to support the will of the people at the local level. They've indicated what they want for their fire protection... for their fire department. They had referendum on it. This is really correcting an unforeseen complication caused by falling assessed values and this allows the will of the people to continue. And I think it's easy to say, well, that's not my area, that's not my problem. That's Palos's problem. That's their issue. But as we travel the state, any one of us, we're traveling in all other fire departments protection area. So it becomes an issue for all of us. So, this is clearly an issue that should pass and it's just simply carrying out the will of the people that was expressed at the local level. It's a good Bill. Thanks."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Burke to close."

Burke, D.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think the Members do understand that the voters of Palos have made a decision to keep their fire equipment up to date in order to protect the residents of that community. They indicated that support by a vote in favor of this referendum. And I would simply encourage the Body to pass this legislation. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Tryon, for what reason do you rise?"

Tryon: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to ask Representative Burke a couple questions."

Speaker Lang: "Well, he's already closed, Sir."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Tryon: "Oh, did he close?"

Speaker Lang: "Your light was not on."

Tryon: "All right. Sorry, I didn't hear that. I apologize."

Speaker Lang: "Thank you. Those in favor of the Gentleman's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Bellock, Davidsmeyer, Harms, Jones. Record yourselves, Members. Please take the record. On this question, there are 86 voting 'yes', 29 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Returning to page 9 of the Calendar, under the Order of House Bills-Third Reading, appears House Bill 1443, Mr. Moylan. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1443, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Moylan."

Moylan: "This proposed legislation is because an event that happened at a local high school in my district. Mr. Chairman, I urge the Members to vote 'yes' on this important issue. Okay."

Speaker Lang: "All right. Mr. Clerk, put this Bill on the Order of Second Reading and hold it there. House Bill 1444, Mr. Zalewski. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1444, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Zalewski."

Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is an initiative of IMRF. It's simply some clean up language that IMRF wishes

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

to accomplish within this statute. I'd ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. The Chair recognizes Representative Reis."

Reis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Reis: "Representative, is this your twelfth Bill?"

Zalewski: "It's getting there, Representative. I... I ran out of fingers. I don't know where we're at... where we're at right now."

Reis: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "That's it, Sir? Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed no. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Davidsmeyer, Jones. Mr. Jones. Please take the record. There are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1455, Representative Pihos. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1455, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Pihos."

Pihos: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. House Bill 1455 promotes awareness for millions of people who may be at risk for COPD which is Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. Twelve million people suffer from this in the United States. It is the third leading cause of death and yet 12 million people, we predict, are still undiagnosed. So, we would like to raise public awareness. COPD continues

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

- to cost the U.S. millions of dollars because of hospitalizations. It is a treatment that can be fixed, if we can catch it in time. And I hope... I would like to answer any questions. And I hope you'll support this Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "The Lady moves for the passage of the Bill. There being no debate, those in favor vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1458, Representative Hernandez. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1458, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Hernandez."
- Hernandez: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 1458 makes two technical changes to the Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities Act. One of the changes allows individuals to be brought to a mental health hospital facility instead of a hospital in case it's an involuntary admission. And the other will allow mental records to be released by subpoena with written consent or a court order. I ask you for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Lady moves for the passage of the Bill. The Chair recognizes Mr. Franks, who chooses not to speak. Those in favor of the Bill... Representative Bellock."
- Bellock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Lang: "Lady yields."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Bellock: "Did the mental health summit take a position on this Bill, Representative? They usually review every Bill regarding mental health."

Hernandez: "Honestly, Representative, they never approached me about this."

Bellock: "So, can you just tell me exactly what this is when the party... I mean, 'cause these issues are extremely important..."

Hernandez: "Sure."

Bellock: "...as far as privacy goes."

Hernandez: "So, my understanding is that there is... with the ... currently, now there is in the court there is no court order that has to be in terms of the involuntary admission. The individual must already been subject to involuntary admission on an outpatient basis and under the care of court order custodian. The court order specifies the custodian powers and the duties and may allow the custodian the authority to admit the respondent to a hospital if they fail to comply with the order. The individual must then violate the court's order and the court must grant the custodian's request before the individual is involuntarily admitted. My understanding is that there is, currently, right now, in language referring to the individ... Give me one second, Representative. So, the language currently refers to taking individuals being treated to the hospital. It's specified on the agreed order. That no longer applies. So, as it... it is proposed the language will be replaced with a mental health facility. That's... that's the change."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Bellock: "Thank you very much. I'm just... I'm not quite clear on it still. My concern is we've spent years on this issue regarding involuntary commitment and who can be involved in it. And I'm still not quite clear on it. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Rep..."

Hernandez: "It just..."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Hernandez to close."

Hernandez: "I just... I just want to add, Representative, that a proponent is also the Mental Health America that isn't of any health. I just ask for your 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Ford. Please take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 4 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Bellock, your light is on, do you wish to speak? Thank you. Next Bill is House Bill 1461, Mr. D'Amico. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1461, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. D'Amico."

D'Amico: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill was brought to me by Representative Brunsvold's family. And what this does, this lets the spouse keep the retired license plates of a Member in the General Assembly. What happened to Mrs. Brunsvold was she was driving along after Joel had passed away and the

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

license plates are automatically suspended. So, we would like the spouse of a retired Legislator to just be able to keep the license plates."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. The Chair recognizes Mr. Riley."

Riley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Riley: "Representative D'Amico, if this transfer occurs then at the death of the spouse, then the plate essentially is null and void at that point or is there some sort of facility for the plate to be bequeathed further?"

D'Amico: "No, the spouse only."

Riley: "Spouse only?"

D'Amico: "Yeah."

Riley: "All right. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Gentleman's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Walsh. Please take the record. On this question, there are 96 voting 'yes', 19 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1486, Mr. Mautino. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1486, a Bill for an Act concerning liquor. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Leader Mautino."

Mautino: "Thanks. 1486 is an initiative of the beer distributors. I know of no opposition. Everything with a

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

- line under it is new language; everything with a line through it is stricken. I ask for your 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill.

 Those in favor will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

 Please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed.

 Representative Kosel, for what reason do you rise?"
- Kosel: "A point of personal privilege, please. It was so noisy in the chamber for that last Bill I couldn't hear the speaker at all. I know we're all anxious to get out of here, but let's attend to the business at hand, please."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Kosel's point is well-taken. Can we keep the noise in the chamber down and that'll enable us not only to hear but to move more expeditiously. The next Bill is House Bill 1517, Leader Acevedo. Please proceed. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1517, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Leader Acevedo."
- Acevedo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1517 provides that the money in a Fraternal Order of Police Fund shall be paid and... to a specified entity subject to appropriations by the General Assembly and distribute by the Secretary of State. I'll be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill.

 Those in favor will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please take the record. On this question, there are 110 voting 'yes', 5 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Art Turner in the Chair."

Speaker Turner: "Page 9 of the Calendar. Representative Fortner, House Bill 1522. Clerk, please read the record."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill..."

Speaker Turner: "Out of the record. House Bill 1534, Representative Unes. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1534, a Bill for an Act concerning utilities. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Unes."

Unes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1534 will simply allow for a self-directing customer to... It amends the Public Utilities Act. It will simply allow for a self-directing customer to have a window to apply with DCEO for a gas energy efficiency tax exemption. This identical Bill passed unanimously in the 97th General Assembly in the Senate. I know of no opponents and ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Will House Bill 1534 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk, please take the record. On a vote of 115 voting 'aye', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', House Bill 1534 shall... having received a

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

- Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Jefferson."
- Jefferson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of The General Assembly. Point of personal privilege, please."
- Speaker Turner: "State your point."
- Jefferson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Today we have a bunch of 7th graders from St. Bernadette School in Rockford, that great city to the north. I'd just like for you to give them a warm welcome, if you would please."
- Speaker Turner: "Welcome to your Capitol. On page 9 of the Calendar, Representative Brauer, House Bill 1529. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1529, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Brauer."
- Brauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is an initiative of Illinois Sheriff's Association. It was brought to me to... for retired police officers. I know no opposition. I'll answer any questions."
- Speaker Turner: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill.

 Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 1529

 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The

 voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who

 wish? Clerk, please take the roll. On a vote of 109 voting

 'yes', 6 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', House Bill 1529,

 having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby

 declared passed. House... Representative Acevedo."
- Acevedo: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in a point of personal privilege."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Speaker Turner: "Please state your point."

Acevedo: "Yesterday, I had the privilege of meeting several men who as we speak are graduating from the Illinois State Police at the Academy today. I just want to wish them a great career and a safe career."

Turner: "Thank you, Representative. Page 9 of the Calendar, House Bill 1570, Leader Lang. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1570, a Bill for an Act concerning gaming. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Leader Lang."

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and gentlemen, House Bill 1570 is designed only to clear up a technical problem in the Video Gaming Act regarding the restriction of video gaming machines in an OTB. The Video Gaming Act, when it passed, was very clear that we wished to allow these machines in an OTB, but a Bill we passed later the same year seemed to bring that into question. The Gaming Board needs clarification and this Bill will provide that clarification. This does not in any way expand gaming. I would appreciate your support."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Reboletti."

Reboletti: "Will the Leader yield?"

Speaker Turner: "He does."

Reboletti: "Leader, this wouldn't be considered an expansion of gaming. This is from a previous Bill that had been passed and clarifying language. Would that be fair to say?"

Lang: "That is absolutely correct. This is clean up language."

Reboletti: "And how many off-track betting parlors will be receiving the relief from this legislation?"

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Lang: "Well, if I could hear you, I'd have answered your question. Could we try that again, Sir?"

Reboletti: "I said, how many off-track betting parlors would be receiving relief from your legislation?"

Lang: "I really don't know, but I think it's just a very small number."

Reboletti: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Lang to close."

Lang: "Please vote 'aye'."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no further debate, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 1570 pass?' All in fa... all in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 91 voting 'yes', 21... 24 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', House Bill 1570, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1581, Representative Beiser. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1581, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Beiser."

Beiser: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1581 as amended is an initiative of the American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, and the Humane Society. Basically, it prohibits the driver of a motor vehicle from having an animal in their lap while they're driving. It is not a primary offense, not a moving offense and it does exempt commercial motor vehicles, farm tractors, and farm to market agricultural transportation. I'd be happy to answer

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

any questions. Five states already have this; Illinois would be the sixth."

Speaker Turner: "Gentleman from Jackson County, Representative Bost."

Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor yields."

Bost: "You know this is too fun, right?"

Beiser: "We have to have one like this on Friday."

Bost: "Well, you know, a couple questions. One, did you check with Paris Hilton to make sure she's all right with this?"

Beiser: "I don't have the number, I'm sorry. I did check with..."

Bost: "So, what if... what if the animal in the lap has a cell phone?"

Beiser: "I'm not... I'd wish... we'd have to refer to... I'd have to defer to Representative D'Amico on that one. He's the expert on the cell phone issue."

Bost: "And... it's any animal. It's not just a dog or a cat?"

Beiser: "Yes. Animal, any animal."

Bost: "But if you don't want to carry your hamster, that'd be bad."

Beiser: "You've got a point there."

Bost: "And who did you say brought this to you?"

Beiser: "Pardon me?"

Bost: "Who... who... Where was the genesis of the Bill from?"

Beiser: "The Humane Society and the American Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals."

Bost: "This has been a major issue around the state."

Beiser: "This is actually the first I've heard of it when they brought it to me."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Bost: "Do we have a seatbelt law that ties with these animals in any way, if they're not in the lap?"

Beiser: "No, they're not... they do not have to be seat belted or child restrained or anything like that."

Bost: "Is... have... is... has there been any comment from the dogs themselves?"

Beiser: "I consulted with, not the dog, but my seatmate Pat
Verschoore who would be impacted by this and he seemed he
checked with his dog and Molly is fine with this."

Bost: "Molly's, all right?"

Beiser: "Yes."

Bost: "Okay. Do we have a statistic, maybe of exactly how many dogs are injured in our state every year from riding on the laps on their owner while driving?"

Beiser: "There is no statistics to that point."

Bost: "Would you like... maybe... maybe rather than passing this Bill, we should go ahead and pass a study first to make sure the Bill is necessary."

Beiser: "Knowing how State Government works, we could be waiting for that for years though."

Bost: "And... and when enforcing this, who actually receives the ticket, the dog or the driver?"

Beiser: "The driver."

Bost: "All right. We just want to make sure. Now, awhile ago... we earlier we had a canine Bill. These two work well together?"

Beiser: "Yes."

Bost: "All right, then."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Zalewski."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Zalewski: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor yields."

Zalewski: "Representative, what's an implement of husbandry?"

Beiser: "I'll defer to some of my deeper southern Illinois Representatives."

Zalewski: "Is it what many of us think it would be?"

Beiser: "I can't read your mind, but I'm not sure I want to."

Zalewski: "On a serious note. Can a police officer affect a traffic stop for having the pet on your lap?"

Beiser: "Yes. They can stop but they cannot use that as a means to search elsewhere."

Zalewski: "Okay. Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "He indicates that he does."

Beiser: "I'd like to remind this Body this is not my first Bill."

Mautino: "Is it your last Bill?"

Beiser: "It could be."

Mautino: "So, there's an exemption in here though for farm vehicles and tractors. So, my daughter is driving her combine and her puppy Cujo is on her lap. That's not a stop?"

Beiser: "Not a stop."

Mautino: "Not a stop. So, my daughter, the combine and Cujo are safe on the roads?"

Beiser: "You can tell them they don't have to worry about this Bill."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Mautino: "Does the animal have to be live? That's actually Norine's question."

Beiser: "Pardon me? Does the enemy have to be alive?"

Mautino: "She wanted to know, yeah."

Beiser: It does not... it does not touch on that subject. I would assume that, we would be at the officer's discretion and mercy."

Mautino: "Well, that came from some of chefs in the place here wanted to know if road kill counted. So, that would a no."

Beiser: "That'd be a no."

Mautino: "No further questions. Good luck, seriously, good luck."

Beiser: "Yeah, I know."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Sullivan."

Sullivan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor yields."

Sullivan: "Representative, this is not a primary stop Bill."

Beiser: "Correct."

Sullivan: "So, if they see the animal in the front seat, they can't do anything unless there's a secondary offense, which is a primary stop."

Beiser: "No. They could stop you if the animal's in the lap, but they couldn't, what we were told that, you know, they couldn't use that for a reason to search the trunk and other aspects of the vehicle."

Sullivan: "Well..."

Beiser: "And it's not a moving violation."

Sullivan: "In your Bill, in line 17 Section (c), the law enforcement officer may not stop or search a motor vehicle,

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

its contents or its passengers solely because of the violation. So, what I'm reading here is it may not... you may not stop them for the violation. So, I don't know if your Bill is written correctly for what you're trying to do. So, the question remains is this a primary enforcement Bill or a secondary offense?"

Beiser: "The intention to secondary... and you're right on the language, Ed, I think that needs to be clarified."

Sullivan: "Okay. Moving further on that, so if let's say that I was speeding and my dog or my kitty cat was sitting next to me and then the kitty cat or the dog jumped into my lap to see what going on, when I rolled down the window, how do you know or what is the protection for me not to get that secondary ticket? How do I prove otherwise? I mean, I'm just trying to pet my kitty cat."

Beiser: "It'd be at the officer's discretion. I hope you have a good relationship with that officer."

Sullivan: "I'm sorry?"

Beiser: "I said, that's the officer's discretion."

Sullivan: "Okay. Ladies and Gentlemen, to the Bill. I don't think the Bill is written correctly for the intended purpose. If you look at the exemptions, you could be driving an 18-wheel truck and have your dog in your lap and you're exempt, but if you're driving your little Honda whatever, a little car and your dog or kitty cat jumps in your lap, you're not exempt. That alone is a problem. So, I would urge someone to go get the trophy and bring it out here."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Tracy."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Tracy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor yields."

Tracy: "Representative Sullivan touched on some of my issues. I mean, it seems that it doesn't make sense that a commercial vehicle would be exempt and why would someone driving a combine down the highway be exempt with a python in their lap?"

Brauer: "With a what, python?"

Tracy: "Phy... I mean, you know, I'm just wondering, you know what, all kinds of pets. I'm not sure it's... You know, I remember a couple of years ago we decided that horses were companion animals. I'm... so, I'm just trying to picture... I'm sure we're talking pot belly pigs, goats, hamsters, gerbils. I'm just trying to picture it all. Ferret? Python? Fish? Gold Fish? And do those Pepperidge Farm ones count? Now, I just, you know, I think we've gone to the extreme here. I mean, I certainly... I see people riding with their dogs in their laps. But I do think we have ... you know, to the Bill. I would say we have a lot of laws against distracted driving and certainly I think the officer would have an opportunity. My concern is, is I don't think this is a moving violation, but I'm not sure. It says it's a petty offense, but I don't know if it's accumulative and eventually it'll get up to a misdemeanor. It's a petty offense not a petting offense, just to clarify. So, you know, I... I know you've had a lot of good Bills, but I don't think this is one of them."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Fortner."

Fortner: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor yields."

- Fortner: "I want to follow up on the previous Representative's questions, as I was thinking about the same example. Suppose I've just picked up a gold fish. I don't want it to spill; I might want to keep it in my lap. Now, I understand that what you're trying to do is to deal with distracted driving, but I don't see anything in here that talks about the size of the animal. Because the way I would read this then, in order to avoid the ticket, I would have to hold the thing in my hand, 'cause the hand is not... it only says in the lap. So the way I read it, I could hold my goldfish in my hand that's okay, but setting it on my lap so I can use two hands on the wheel that's not okay. I really think there are some serious drafting issues in your legislation here, Representative."
- Beiser: "I would agree with that and strategically, I think what I would ask the Speaker to do is to put this back on Second Reading, so we can take care of all these issues."
- Speaker Turner: "Mr. Clerk, please move this Bill back to Second Reading. House Bill 1589, Representative Thapedi. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1589, a Bill for an Act concerning gaming. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Thapedi."
- Thapedi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, from Morehouse College. House Bill 1589 memorializes the legislative intent in the Riverboat Gambling Act, relative to the suppliers of casino chips that have a value embossed, engraved or imprinted on

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

them. The clarification requires suppliers of chips to place either their name or their logo on the individual chip. All chips must be approved by the Illinois Gaming Board. There is currently a companion Bill running in the Senate that has passed out of committee unanimously. And I'm available to answer any questions."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Lang."

Lang: "Thank you. I thank Representative Thapedi for handing the Bill. There... this all comes about because as the law says that the manufacturer of the chips used at the casinos have to have their name on it. But the manufacturers are simply putting their logo on it and the Gaming Board says, well, that's not your name. So, this is simply to clear up the law so they can use the logo; otherwise, these casinos in Illinois will have to spend hundreds of thousands of dollars to redo their chips and that would be a waste of their money. So, I would ask your support."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Davis."

Davis, W.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Turner: "Sponsor yields."

Davis, W.: "Representative, as I'm reading the analysis, it indicates that there is an opportunity for the Gaming Board to waive this requirement?"

Thapedi: "There is that opportunity, yes."

Davis, W.: "Could you explain that a little bit, please."

Thapedi: "Well, essentially, what the Gaming Board wants to do and this is as the result of the negotiations, the Gaming Board wants to ensure that they can protect the integrity of the table games. So, in the scenario in which... and

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

actually this applies, not only just to the chips, but also to equipment as well. So, if the Gaming Board determines that it's not necessary that a particular logo or name or whatever identifying mark of the supplier or manufacturer is not on that particular product as long as it preserves the integrity of the game, the Gaming Board is okay with it."

- Davis, W.: "So... so, there is an opportunity where it may... where the Gaming Board may say in order to protect the integrity of the game do not put a logo or license on the chip. Does that defeat the purpose of the Bill though?"
- Thapedi: "It... does not and again, keep in mind, Representative Davis, that this addresses the manufacturer and the supplier of that particular chip. For an example, you go to the River's Casino and any other casino in the state, you will see, actually, the name of the operator on the chip. This relates specifically to who the supplier and who the manufacturer is. If you look at a casino chip, how often do you look at it that closely to see who actually manufactured the chip or who's supplying the chip and again, with respect to chips, these are only those chips that have a value imprinted or embossed on them. So, for an example, with poker and I'm not a poker player, but I don't think that they have a dollar amount actually on the chip, if that answers your question."
- Davis, W.: "Well, I guess I was just concerned about the exemption. If the idea is to put that on there to prevent fraud or some type of... or something if somebody has chips from this casino and decides to take them to this casino. I

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

mean, but if you're exempting that, it just seemed like in some way it was defeating the purpose of the Bill."

Thapedi: "Cor... And I understand your concern, but that's not going to happen because, again, we're talking about specifically the manufacturer or the supplier of the chip. The name of the casino is already going to be on the chip on both sides. It's going to be there..."

Davis, W.: "Okay."

Thapedi: "...but as far as folks that are manufacturing chips and again logo or name. The statute as it exists right now requires the name, but because so many chips in circulation have a logo of who the supplier or who the manufacturer is, this just clarifies the legislative intent to ensure that we can cover both name and/or logo. What... strike that... not name and/or logo but name or logo."

Davis, W.: "I got it. Thank you very much."

Thapedi: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Thapedi to close."

Thapedi: "Please give me an 'aye' vote on this. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no further debate, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 1589 pass?' All in... all in favor vote... 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Durkin. Clerk, please take the roll. On a vote of 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', House Bill 1589, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2269, Representative Marcus Evans. Clerk, please read the Bill."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2269, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Evans."
- Evans: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill just extends the sunset five years. Currently, a provision of a Notary Act will expire July 1st of this year. And all I simply want to do is extend that to July of 2018. I ask for your 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Turner: "Seeing no further debate, the question is,
 'Shall House Bill 2269 pass?' All in favor vote all in
 favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is
 open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
 Representative Mitchell. Representative Mitchell. Clerk,
 please take the roll. On a vote of 115 voting 'yes',
 O'voting 'no', O voting 'present', House Bill 2269, having
 received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared
 passed. Representative Bellock, for what reason do you wish
 to speak?"
- Bellock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to be shown voting 'yes' on House Bill 1458. Thank you."
- Turner: "The record will reflect your intention. Representative Ives, for what reason do you rise?"
- Ives: "I'd also like to be recorded as voting 'yes' for 1458."
- Turner: "The record shall reflect your request. Thank you. House Bill 2310, Representative Beiser. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2310, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Beiser."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

- Beiser: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd ask this Body to erase all memory of my last presentation prior to... House Bill 2310 is an initiative of the Mid-West Truckers, the Illinois Truckers Association and National Solid Waste Management Association. It simply extends the model years for Special Haul... for the registration of Special Hauling... Hauling Vehicles."
- Speaker Turner: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 2310 pass?' All in favor vote 'yes'; all opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Berrios. Representative Ives. Clerk, please take the record. On a vote of 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', House Bill 2310, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2361, Representative Mautino. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2361, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you. House Bill 2361 is an initiative of the Illinois Ready Mixed Concrete Association. I've been working on this Bill for a couple of years now. A version very similar passed from the Senate last year and this would insert the ready mix truck and three-axle sewer cleaning combination jet back trucks into the Section that exists for solid waste trucks... garbage trucks. So, these are three-axle trucks that up through the '90s were able to run at the weights of 22 thousand single axle, 40 thousand tandem, 50 thousand gro... 54 thousand gross weight, the same

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

as garbage trucks. Because of change in the Federal Law, between midnight and the morning, that weight structure was changed. So, we've been working to get these... to get this set so these 700 trucks throughout the State of Illinois, who do not have standard daily routes, daily pick ups, they're on call and they will not be over the... will not exceed any posted road or posted weight limits, can be set into the same Section of the law as other three-axle trucks. Appreciate an 'aye' vote and happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Bost."

Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just rise in support of the Bill. All this simply does is allow these trucks to operate. For those of you that don't know, that was the business I was in before I became a Legislator. But the… it does not violate any of the bridge laws which is the important thing to make sure how the weight is evenly spread, so it does not do any more damage to roads. It's just a… just a place that needs to be filled in here in the law. And I stand in support of the Gentleman's Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Mautino to close."

Mautino: "Appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2361 pass?'
All in favor vote 'yes'; all opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Martwick, Representative Nekritz, Representative Wheeler. Representative Nekritz. Clerk, please take the roll... record. On a vote of 114 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', House Bill 2361,

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Tracy, for which reason... for what..."

Tracy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege?"

Speaker Turner: "Please state your point."

Tracy: "I rise because a very valuable member of the House Republican staff, John Slocum, who's standing here in front, who has provided a keen legal mind to many of our judiciary staffings and the like, has a new job opportunity and a new chance to move on. And we can't say... it'll be like losing a left arm. And we wish him well in his endeavors, but this is his last day. And I just would ask you all to help me honor his great accomplishments."

Speaker Turner: "Congratulations, John. Representative Phelps, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Phelps: "Purpose of an announcement, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Turner: "State your purpose."

Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Don't forget March the 20 at 6 p.m., at the State House Inn in Springfield here, the Illinois Legislative Sportsmen's Caucus will hold their annual live and silent auction with guest star auctioneers Representative Jim Sacia and Senator John Sullivan. They do a great job for us every year. If you want to join, please see our... Representative Sullivan, Bost, Verschoore, or myself. Members, don't forget to bring your silent auction items or a monetary donation. It's a good event, hope to see you there."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Speaker Turner: "Looking forward to it. Thank you,
Representative. Returning to page 9, House Bill 2393,
Representative Hammond. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2393, a Bill for an Act concerning insurance. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Hammond."

Hammond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2393 deletes a provision in the Illinois Insurance Code. Decades ago when we instituted mandatory automobile insurance, we required the insurers to notify the individual of uninsured motorist coverage not only at their initial application, but also on the their first renewal. This simply takes out the first renewal. And I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 2393 pass?' All in favor vote 'yes'; all opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representatives, please record yourselves. Representative D'Amico. Representative Drury. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 91 voting 'yes', 24 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present, House Bill 2393, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2423, Representative Will Davis. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Hou..."

Speaker Turner: "Out of the record. Thanks. Mr. Clerk, please move House Bill 2428 back to Second Reading at the request of the Sponsor. Returning to page 7 of the Calendar, Representative Ford, you have House Bill 64. Clerk, please read the Bill."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 64, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ford."

Ford: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 64 is an extension of the Bill that is signed into law from last year. And it simply extends the prohibition of requesting the password to universities. We are not requesting the prohibition in elementary schools, only in post-secondary schools, colleges. And there's... at this time, I don't believe there is any opposition. With the Amendments, I think it removed all opposition. I move for the passage of House Bill 64."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Reboletti."

Reboletti: "Mr. Speaker, I was enjoying your private conversation, but I was wondering if you want to share it with the rest of us over here. You think they're doing fine? Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor yields."

Reboletti: "Representative... well, first I had a question about the penalties. If there's a violation of this Act, what would happen?"

Ford: "There's a petty offense, but... and it would be for the universities. No criminal offense just stated fines."

Reboletti: "It's... but a petty offense, you're only talking about a couple hundred dollar fine maybe?"

Ford: "Possibly."

Reboletti: "Does that... that's very much of a deterrent is it?"

Ford: "It's not."

Reboletti: "Right."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Ford: "The deterrent is the law itself."

Reboletti: "Right. But the enforcement mechanism is a punishment to keep... to deter people from that behavior. So, if it's a \$50 ticket, I can't imagine that people be that concerned about violating somebody's password or looking at their social networking site."

Ford: "Well, it also protects the universities from possible lawsuits from making this request of violating a person's right to privacy. You know, I'm not really looking to penalize the universities or penalize anyone. What we want to do is make sure that we put on notice to universities that it is a violation of the right to privacy to ask this question."

Reboletti: "No. And I appreciate that. I'm not sure if the Criminal Code already covers this because there is some computer tampering statutes, so I'm not sure. Would that already be covered under that? I know you're not an attorney, but..."

Ford: "The social media."

Reboletti: "Yes."

Ford: "The social media is new to the... to the... to our society, and that's why this Bill is here. And I have someone here to advise me, one moment. So, I've been advised that it may cover, but this is a specific measure speaking to the social media."

Reboletti: "An inquiry of the Chair, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Turner: "State your inquiry."

Reboletti: "What happened with the Amendments? Did they go right to the floor or did they go to committee first?"

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Speaker Turner: "Mr. Clerk, status of the Amendments."

Clerk Hollman: "Amendments... Committee Amendment #1 and Floor Amendment #2 were adopted to the Bill."

Reboletti: "Why didn't it go back to committee? Is there a reason it became a Floor Amendment? Representative Ford, do you know why it would be...?"

Ford: "I took Amendment #1 back to the committee and it was agreed that Amendment #2 could come to the floor because it was a small technical Amendment."

Reboletti: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Reis. Representative Durkin.

Representative Durkin, do you wish to speak?"

year, I had a very, you know, a very good Durkin: "Last discussion with the Sponsor and I have the utmost respect for him and what he's trying to accomplish, but last year when we passed the... this Facebook law I'm not quite sure where the problem arose within the business community or also with the public sector 'cause I believe that your Bill does help with the public sector and I think that this is more of reinventing the wheel. But at the time, I was not quite convinced that there was a problem. A matter of fact, I believe this was the Bill that was passed last year was a solution in search of a problem. What we are now doing is that we are completely rewriting human resource books and we are now left with trying to... how to determine how employees are going to use computers but also how do we regulate cyberspace. It's a very complicated issue. I've... as I said earlier, I have utmost respect for the Sponsor, but I don't believe... I think we're moving to quick on this.

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

And I believe that we are now getting it clearly into an area of unintended consequences 'cause we are... we do know that in those situations whether it's schools or it's in the state office or an employment location, that employees do communicate with each other and at times employees do pass threatening messages to other employees. These... these... last year's legislation and this I believe is going to put a significant hurdle upon employees to try to maintain a safe workplace but also a safe school place. So, I respectfully request a 'no' vote. I believe that there is a way to solve these problems and do it in a more manageable basis but I don't believe this gets the job done."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Chapa LaVia."

Chapa LaVia: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the General Assembly. This Bill came before us and Representative Ford has worked extremely hard with the opponents on this. And we agreed that it would come to the floor. So, I stand in strong support of what the Gentleman is doing. He spent a lot of time on this with the opponents and it was agreed on in our committee that it would come here, so I stand in strong support of what he's trying to do. It's very important for the 21st century to make sure that we're putting in parameters and making sure that the kids are safe, and the administration is safe just in case there's any litigation that comes out of these social network issues so everybody is protected. I think it's a great start, Representative Ford. And I want to commend you for all the work you did and have done in the past when we've

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

asked with you to work with the opponents. So, from my committee, I commend you and I stand in strong support."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Zalewski."

Zalewski: "Will the Sponsor yield, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor yields."

Zalewski: "LaShawn, how... I remember I supported the Bill last year. How many times does the school ask for a password?"

Ford: "I don't know."

Zalewski: "Is... is there a problem of schools asking for passwords? I mean, is... are we addressing a policy problem here?"

Ford: "We're addressing concerns from constituents that... that's applying for universities and constituents that's already enrolled at a university. It is believed that when their personal password is acquired it also gives their personal e-mail address as well. So, not only does it violate the right to privacy for an individual, but it also, once you give your social media password, each social media is linked to a personal e-mail address and credit card information. This is clearly a protection for consumers and for the public. And the previous speaker spoke about workplace. This has nothing to do with the workplace. And I'm willing to work with him on his Bill that he would like to amend the law from last year."

Zalewski: "So... so, there are schools that have their applications... Are these trade schools? Are they... or are they part of the University of Illinois ask you for your password and e-mail address?"

Ford: "So... so, it's post-secondary."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Zalewski: "That's... that's university level."

Ford: "University level, right."

Zalewski: "So, what kind... are they all asking 'cause I haven't filled out a college application..."

Ford: "Right."

Zalewski: "...so I don't know who's asking for it."

Ford: "So, it's at their discretion and you know, if the university decides that they want to ask, then they ask. And so, this Bill would also allow if there is reason to believe that there must be a request there's leverage in here for the university to call that individual into their office to try to get their information."

Zalewski: "So, you said constituents are... are citing a problem here. How many times would you say they cited this problem?"

Ford: "I don't know."

Zalewski: "Okay. Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Monique Davis."

Davis, M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of this legislation based upon the fact that we always want to improve things for children. Many times when we don't do anything, there is no improvement. This legislation was worked on in Elementary & Secondary Education Committee and I think after those who testified in favor of this legislation, many of us saw that it was an improvement in reference to children, their behavior in school, their relationships with each other, and also the administration's ability to talk with parents, bring parents on board and to make sure that our children are

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

respected and I think the expectations make children rise to the occasion. I asked Representative Ford to join him on this legislation because I think we have to recognize that technology is here. Technology is here; it will affect our lives and we all deserve certain rights, certain privileges and the school administration certainly deserves some information, but you also should have some privacy. I urge you to vote 'yes' on this legislation."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Bellock."

Bellock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor yields."

Bellock: "So, I just had one question and I may have missed this in the debate, Representative Ford. Right now, do they have the authority to go ahead and do this?"

Ford: "When you speak of 'they', you mean the university?"

Bellock: "Well or the schools."

Ford: "Yes."

Bellock: "They do have the authority to do this now?"

Ford: "To demand and require, yes."

Bellock: "So, if we don't agree with that, then we would vote 'yes' on your Bill because that would take that authority away from them?"

Ford: "If you agree to this measure, you would agree to the right to privacy of students in college, post-secondary institutions."

Bellock: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Ford to close."

Ford: "Thank you very much. I ask for a favorable vote."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

- Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 64 pass?'
 All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'no'. The voting
 is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
 Representative Burke, Feigenholtz, Franks, Mayfield.
 Representative Mell. Representative Nekritz. Clerk, please
 take the record. And with only 60 voting 'yes', 54 voting
 'no', 1 voting 'present', House Bill 64, having received
 the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed.
 Representative Bost, for which reason do you seek
 recognition?"
- Bost: "Tha... thank you, Mr. Speaker. If the record could reflect that Representative Durkin will be excused the rest of the day."
- Speaker Turner: "The record will reflect your request.

 Representative Drury, for which reason do you seek recognition?"
- Drury: "Thank you, Chair. I was recorded as a 'yes' vote on House Bill 1570. I'd like the record to record me and to show that I'm a 'no' vote. Thank you."
- Speaker Turner: "The record will reflect your request. House Bill 86, Representative Franks. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 86, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Franks."
- Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the General Assembly. This is a Bill that was brought to me by a constituent. And what we found is that there was pretty high fines for people who had forgotten to renew their

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

vehicle stickers. There's a \$120 fine. What we'd like to do for seniors is, those defined at 62 and over, is to reduce those fines for first-time offenders to \$25. There's no opposition."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Reboletti."

Reboletti: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor yields."

Reboletti: "Representative, I'm not sure why... Why did you choose the age of 62 as the cutoff?"

Franks: "Well, Mr. Harris told me he was going to be 62 and needed the help, but no, seriously, I'm kidding. Not you, the other Harris. We chose the Social Security age. The beginnings on the Social Security age was 62, so that's why we defined seniors as 62. I didn't use like what restaurants do it, you know, AARP age of 50. So, we did the Social Security age."

Reboletti: "I guess one of the general concerns I have is that under equal protection that we would treat all Illinois residents the same no matter what their age is. So, do you think this violates equal protection then?"

Franks: "I think it would pass. I think there's a overwhelm... overriding right and reason for this. Many of our seniors are on fixed incomes, and these penalties have become very punitive. Instead of being able to fix the problem to be, you know, retributive, this has become punitive and someone on a fixed income getting \$120 fine could be the difference of whether they get their medicines or their foods as opposed to a \$25 fine which they could probably absorb and then they could actually do and then rectify the problem.

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

So, I think the idea ought to be to fix the problem and not to punish people needlessly."

Reboletti: "No and I appreciate that, but I have some concerns it's not... things aren't applied equally, that we should reduce the fines for everybody. And you're right because this is only meant for people to get into compliance; it's not meant to have municipalities or counties make extra money. And I know they have financial issues as well, so that's one of my concerns."

Franks: "Originally, I had drafted the Bill that way for everyone and most of the opposition was from the municipalities, indicating that they'd like to have it just for seniors. I'd be hap... my initial idea was to have it for everybody and if we to do that and have it amended in the Senate, I'm happy to. I think it would pass constitutional muster as it is, but I wanted to get incremental gains here."

Reboletti: "I think you're probably right, it would probably would pass constitutional muster. I will... I'll come talk to you about an Amendment. Representative Sacia, whose birthday was earlier this week, says he has to vote 'present' cause he has a conflict."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Moffitt."

Moffitt: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor yields."

Moffitt: "Representative, just on a... it's related to this and perhaps you could clear it up. You know, I like what you're doing here. Do we have already in place... what about a veteran or soldier that's on active duty may have been late

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

in applying for renewal? Are they protected in any way to not have to pay an overdue fine?"

Franks: "Not under this statute."

Moffitt: "They would have to then?"

Franks: "Yeah, I think that's a good idea. Maybe we should..."

Moffitt: "Could we... would you consider adding that?"

Franks: "I would. Can we do it in the Senate side? And we can pick a Sponsor together and have it done, and send it back over."

Moffitt: "I'm fine with that or if you want to take it back to Second. But I just think this would be a good time to include those that have been on active duty, and it may have gotten lost in..."

Franks: "They may... they may be included under another statute."

Moffitt: "Okav."

Franks: "I don't think it's this one, because I know under the Soldiers and Sailors Relief Act there are some things..."

Moffitt: "Okay."

Franks: "...that are suspended while they're gone. I'm not sure if this fits into..."

Moffitt: "Well, then all the more reason to look at it for the Senate, but if you would consider working on that."

Franks: "Absolutely, that's a great idea."

Moffitt: "Thank you. Appreciate that and if it needs to, you know, if we don't have that now, I'd sure like to..."

Franks: "Thank you."

Moffitt: "...to work on that."

Franks: "Thank you for bringing that up."

Moffitt: "Thank you."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Speaker Turner: "Representative Pritchard."

Pritchard: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor yields."

Pritchard: "Representative, you indicated that this is to give relief to people that may perhaps be on fixed income or lower income. Isn't the easiest solution here that they just pay their registration fee so that they're not in violation of any law?"

Franks: "Yeah. And in a perfect world, yeah, but..."

Pritchard: "So, in other words, we're going to encourage people not to pay their fines or their fees?"

Franks: "No, I'm not saying that. I'm saying they're still going to... they're still going to have to register, but what I'm trying to do is to make the fines more palatable and being less punitive. I can tell you, I'm watching my parents age now and they're not nearly as ... oh, let's say they're more forgetful than they had been in the past and I think we see that with many of our... many of our parents. And it's certainly not intentional that they may forget to renew their license sticker, but I don't think someone on a fixed income ought to get hammered for that kind of offense and this is not a criminal offense. It's somebody forgot to do something. Let's not punish them. Let's just make sure that they comply. They'll pay a \$25 fine so they won't do it again. It's only for a first-time offense. This is not for habitual folks. In a perfect world they pay it upfront. I'm trying to be rational here. And I'm noticing that people tend to forgot things like this sometimes and we ought to be more human about it."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

- Pritchard: "So, in other words, the Secretary of State doesn't remind people?"
- Franks: "Sure. And you al... and a lot of people get bill reminders from ComEd and Nicor too and sometimes they just don't remember. And they get a fine and it's an extra interest charge, but they're not getting... they're not getting smacked 120 bucks because they forgot an arbitrary redline deadline."
- Pritchard: "I just think we're making it easy for people to avoid their responsibilities."
- Franks: "No, they'd still have to do the responsibility and they'd still pay a fine; it just wouldn't be as steep."
- Speaker Turner: "Mr. Franks to close."
- Franks: "Thank you. I appreciate the spirited debate. I think we ought to show some heart for people who need some help. I'd encourage an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 86 pass?'
 All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Arroyo, Feigenholtz. Clerk, please take the record. On a vote of 109 voting 'yes', 5 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', House Bill 86, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Returning to page 8 of the Calendar, House Bill 1349, Representative Schmitz. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1349, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Schmitz."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Schmitz: "Thank you, Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a simple piece of legislation that allows the fire protection districts to pass an ordinance to allow key boxes on structures that municipal fire departments already have. They've had it for several years, over 20 I believe right now and this would just add it to the Code and give them the ability to pass an ordinance, if they so desire. I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no debate, the question 'Shall House Bill 1349 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Ford. Representative Meier. Clerk, please take the record. On a vote of 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', House Bill 1349, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, please move House Bill 161 back to Second Reading at the request of the Sponsor. On the issue of Motions in Writing, House Bill 1041, House Bill 2873, and House Joint Resolution 13 to be tabled. All those in favor of the Motion say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Motions are tabled. On page 11, House Joint Resolution 8, Representative Verschoore. Out of the record. House Joint Resolution 12, Representative Rosenthal. Out of the record. House Resolution 5, Representative Flowers. Out of the record. House Resolution 47, Representative DeLuca."

DeLuca: "Thank you, Mr..."

Speaker Turner: "Clerk, please read the Resolution."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

- DeLuca: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Resolution 47 just encourages the people of the state to help in raising awareness of the importance of kick counting during pregnancy. Thank you."
- Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the Resolution. All those in favor say 'yes'; all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. House Resolution 59, Representative Lilly."
- Lilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Good day, Ladies and Gentlemen.

 I rise to present House Resolution 59 which proclaims March
 2013 to be Poison Prevention Month. The recognition... the
 Resolution, excuse me, congratulates the Illinois Poison
 Center on its 60th year of providing poison prevention and
 treatment recommendations to individuals and their
 families. I ask for your 'aye' vote. Thank you."
- Speaker Turner: "The Lady moves that House Resolution 59 be adopted. All those in favor of the Motion say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes" have it. And House Resolution 59 shall be adopted. HR61, Representative Pihos."
- Pihos: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. HR61 simply realizes or recognizes April 9, 2013 as Pay Equity Day in the State of Illinois which means that it takes women up to April 9 of 2013 to earn as much as men did in 2012. I would ask for your 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Turner: "The Lady moves that House Resolution 61 be adopted. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

- And House Resolution 61 shall be adopted. House Resolution 72, Representative Bellock."
- Bellock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. This is House Resolution 72 and what this does is gives awareness to Alzheimer's disease and proclaims March 13, 2013 as Annual Alzheimer's Association Advocacy Day in Illinois. I want to thank everybody in this chamber who has joined the Alzheimer Alliance. I think there's been over 40 people and next week, Lt. Governor Shelia Simon will be honoring Alzheimer Day in her office and we will have free lunches there and we ask everybody to join with us in raising the awareness of this disease that is just going at high rates due to the baby boomer population exploding. Thank you very much."
- Speaker Turner: "The Lady moves that House Resolution 72 be adopted. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And House Resolution 72 shall be adopted. House Resolution 73, Representative DeLuca."
- DeLuca: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Resolution 73 designates the last day of February 2013 and 2014 as Rare Disease Day in the State of Illinois. I ask that the Resolution be adopted."
- Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman moves that House Resolution 73 be adopted. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And House Resolution 73 shall be adopted. House Resolution 78, Representative... Representative Fortner."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

- Fortner: "Thank you, Speaker. Resolution 78 would recognize and congratulate the Taoist Tai Chi Society of the Midwest as part of their national organization on the grand opening last month of their newest center in St. Charles. They do a lot of great work with seniors and with developmentally disabled. And I would urge for its passage."
- Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman moved that House Resolution 78 be adopted. All those in favor of the Motion say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And House Resolution 78 shall be adopted. House Resolution #5, Representative Flowers."
- Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Resolution 5 establishes a task force for mental health. And I would urge an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall House Resolution 5 pass?' All those in... Representative Reis."

Reis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "The Sponsor yields."

- Reis: "Representative, it's Friday afternoon. Everyone's ready to get out of here, but there was some opposition to this Resolution in the Human Services Committee. Can you explain a little more detailed about what your Resolution does?"
- Flowers: "Representative, the Resolution merely just calls for a task force to study the DMV... I'm sorry... the DSM-5 and just to look at what we've done in regards to mental illness and report back to the Body."

Reis: "Will there be any cost to the state for this?"

Flowers: "No, not really. It's just a task force. We do it all the time."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

- Reis: "Representative, there were three people that voted 'no' and there is some opposition. I'm trying to clarify what is a concern to people."
- Flowers: "Well, there's... I can't answer as to the reason why they voted 'no', but we create task forces all the time. And again, it's just creating a task force to deal with the mandates of the new DSM-5 and to make sure that there's no conflict. And we will have hearings across the state."
- Reis: "Well, I think it's our understanding that there's a lot of misinformation and statistics that's listed in the Resolution. And that really the task force is just unnecessary and..."
- Flowers: "Well, I think that's subject to one's opinion, Sir, you know, some people think it is necessary and so that's the reason why we're going to have a discussion. That's the purpose of us being here to discuss and to report back. There's going to be a new book put out and the judges are going to have to eventually sentence people on... on this information. So, there will be conflicts with the DSM-IV, the DSM-5 as well as the state statute. So, we will need to clarify that."
- Reis: "I noticed here on the appointments that this will just be a House task force. Will there be any representation by Senate?"
- Flowers: "I didn't hear you, Sir."
- Reis: "I noticed in your task force makeup that there are just House Members, is that correct? Will there be any people from the Senate?"
- Flowers: "This is just a House Resolution."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

- Reis: "I know it's just a House Resolution, but your Resolution sets up a task force. Will there be any Senators on this task force or is there just House Members?"
- Flowers: "The Resolution is just a House Resolution, so it's calling for the House. And if the Governor wants to appoint someone from the Senate, he's more than welcome to do so."
- Reis: "Okay. To the Resolution. Thanks for your partial answers there. There was kind of... there was opposition to this Resolution, there was people that voted 'no'. I'll wait to hear the rest of the debate. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Osmond."

Osmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor yields."

- Osmond: "Representative, this is brought... been brought before twice. What difference is in this Resolution than the one that was put in in the 97th General Assembly?"
- Flowers: "Representative, I've amended this Resolution tremendously, and I've toned it down. And once again, it's a Resolution and I don't have the previous Resolution in front of me as far as word for word, but it's calling for a task force to study the understanding of mental illness and mental illness disorder. And that's all it does."
- Osmond: "What... what are they going to be charged with in this task force? What is the sole purpose? I believe in committee you were talking about comparisons. Could you explain as to what the comparisons would be?"
- Flowers: "The... there's the DSM-IV and the DSM-5 and every 20 years there's changes made to these books and each time they provoke conversation. Some people in the industry feel

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

like it should be one way, some feel in the industry it should be another way. There's disagreement just like if you put five doctors in a room there will be disagreement as to what the diagnosis may be."

- Osmond: "So, knowing that there's going to be disagreement, what is your task force charged to accomplish in this?"
- Flowers: "Well, the task force will be to have hearings, to have discussions, and to submit a report as to what came out of the task force. There is nothing binding; its not a Bill. It's just to provoke a discussion on these issues and to try to clarify what's in the state statute versus what's in the DSM-5 versus what was in the DSM-IV."
- Osmond: "When we talked about this in committee, the confusion I had was the basis of if we have a problem in the statutes today, why are not... why are we not dealing them... dealing with them one on one rather than establishing a task force.

 I... I guess, I'm really confused as to the purpose."
- Flowers: "Well, I don't understand. What do you mean 'one on one'?"
- Osmond: "Well, I mean, like you'd take..."
- Flowers: "When we have... when we have problems with a certain industry or a certain company or whatever is going on, we either pass a Resolution, we hold hearings, we have hearings on... we have a task force to collect data. I don't think we can go around one on one talking to every person..."

Osmond: "But we take..."

Flowers: "...every institution."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Osmond: "...we take issues and we work them here to make a better policy. I'm not sure that this is going to be the result of a task force."

Flowers: "Well..."

Osmond: "I'm not sure that we need a task force for that purpose."

Flowers: "Well..."

Osmond: "If there's a specific area that needs to be improved upon, perhaps that should be brought forth in a Bill."

Flowers: "Well, but Representative, I don't want a Bill. I don't want a Bill. I just want to hear the discussions. I want to learn; I want to know what's out there myself. There's a new book that's out there; it's called the DSM-5. What's in it? How will it affect our constituents? Unfortunately, there's a lot of people that's incarcerated because of mental illness. Are they getting the proper treatment? Is there new medication out there that these people can be taking that will not have the… the side effects that some of the medication is having, causing the weight gain, causing the depression, but through education, education, education."

Osmond: "Thank you, Representative, for answering my questions." Speaker Turner: "Representative Bellock."

Bellock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield? So, I respect your opinion on this, Representative Flowers, but I know when I was in committee the last two years, the... there was definite opposition by NAMI Illinois and there was definite opposition by the Illinois

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Psychiatric Society. So, do they still have that opposition to this Resolution?"

Flowers: "I'm sorry, Representative. Can you repeat the question?"

Bellock: "Sure, I'd be glad to. I said, I respect your opinion on this, but I know having served on Human Services the last two years that NAMI... both NAMI and the Illinois Psychiatric Society was adamantly opposed even to this Resolution. And I wondered if that opposition was still in effect?"

Flowers: "Well, Representative, you would agree with me that NAMI would have a vested interest. They should... they should really state their conflict of interest. When you take into consideration what these two books does, when you take into consideration that doctors, pediatricians, use these books to give medication to our children, to give medication to our loved ones, we need to know what is the best practice. We've heard the horror stories of psychotropic drugs. We've heard the horror stories of how these drugs who have black boxes. So, what I'm doing with this task force is educating ourselves because the DSM-5 is really overmedicating. It's saying basically that we need a red pill, a green pill and a purple pill for almost everything that we do and I think that's putting us all in a very precarious situation. So, can we talk? Can we have a discussion before I have to take this medication? Is there ... is there some other remedy? Is there another way I could handle this without being medicated? Do I have to be medicated, Representative? Do I have to walk around like a zombie? Can I change my diet?

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Can I change my environment? Is there an alterative? I think so many of our children are being harmed. You know, we could talk about the drug dealers on the corner, but let's talk about the drug dealers that's right in our homes in our medicine cabinets. The legal prescription drugs that's killing us; it's killing our children. I don't think fair, Representative. Can we talk? oftentimes, even with the methamphetamine and the crack cocaine, we didn't know about it. No one told us that this was coming to our community. We woke up one morning and it was here. We want to know about these new drugs that's going to be coming into our medicine cabinets that our doctors, whom we trust, is going to be given to children. It's going to be given to our loved ones. Our loved ones who have no say, our loved ones who depend on us to advocate for them. Can we at least help the children? Can we at least help the seniors? Can we at least help the people that's mentally ill that cannot help themselves; that's all. This is a Resolution. What are we afraid of with a Resolution? It's non-binding; it's having conversation. Can we talk? Can we all get along, please?"

Bellock: "I respect your opinion, Representative Flowers, but I do know in my area especially that the NAMI group is made up of mostly all volunteers who most of which are parents of children and loved ones who suffer from mental illness and they are still very opposed to this. Thank you very much."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Greg Harris."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Harris, G.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. When Representative Flowers first brought this forward, I think when I was on Human Services last year, there was a lot of concern from different groups about the language. And that intro... that introduced language has been changed substantially and now gets us to the Resolution which we're at today, which does, you know, really a very simple thing. The DSM-5 which comes out and redefines how behavioral health diagnoses are treated. It comes out every number of years. It runs to several thousand pages and it has an inner relatedness with the complied statutes of the State of Illinois also which run several thousand pages. What the Lady's Resolution does is it asks us to review the Illinois Compiled Statutes and any effects that changes from the DSM-IV in how medical science treats behavioral health, be looked at as it relates to our statutes. I think that's a sensible approach to do that whenever these major changes are made. And I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Reboletti."

Reboletti: "Mr. Speaker, I'm asking for a recorded vote and verification if it passes."

Speaker Turner: "Your request is heard. Representative Flowers to close."

Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. A lot of people who had dealings with drafting the DSM-5 really had a vested interest in the pharmaceutical companies. These are the same companies that's benefiting from this; that's benefiting from the drugs. The only thing I'm asking is, can we protect our

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

children? Can we protect ourselves? Can we protect our loved ones? I would appreciate an 'aye' vote on House Resolution 5. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "The Representative moves for the adoption of the Resolution. Members, there's a request for verification, so please vote your own switch. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Representative Acevedo. Have all voted who wish? Representative Arroyo, DeLuca, Jakobsson, Martwick, Tabares. Clerk, please take the vote. On a vote of 46 voting 'yes', 67 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', this Resolution has failed. Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "Postponed Consideration, please."

Speaker Turner: "Postponed Consideration requires a vote of 47, Representative."

Flowers: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Mr. Clerk, House Bill 1588. Status of the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1588, a Bill for an Act concerning property. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Turner: "Mr. Clerk, please move that Bill to the Order of Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, Senate Joint Resolution 26. Representative Currie moves for the adoptment of the Adjournment Resolution. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'no'. In... in the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Adjournment Resolution is adopted. Mr. Clerk, Agreed Bills... Agreed Resolutions."

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

- Clerk Hollman: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 138, offered by Representative Wheeler. House Resolution 139, offered by Representative Wheeler. House Resolution 140, offered by Representative Phelps. House Resolution 142, offered by Representative Brown."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Currie moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolutions shall be adopted. Representative Riley, House Resolution 131, the Death Resolution. Representative Riley."
- Riley: "Thank you very much. You may remember a few weeks ago I mentioned that a good friend of mine, a good friend of the General Assembly, former Member and leader in this state, Manny Hoffman passed away. Everyone's heard the old adage politics is local. Forget the bad grammar, but it's really true, politics is local. And that's something that Manny Hoffman believed in to the deepest core of his being. I remember I came out to the south suburbs in 1977. I took a post in the planning department in Will County. And so, I wanted to come out and get involved in politics. Of course politics is sort of on my side of the aisle, but one of the first people I met was Manny Hoffman. We had done some things together regarding cancer, the Jennifer Fallick Cancer Center that started a little bit later and met Manny. And Manny introduced me to some other people that are known from the south suburbs by one name like, Aldo and Loletta. And I saw that this man, even though we didn't share a political ideology, that he was all about politics

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

is local, plain and simple. I came before you not too long ago, a couple years ago I guess it was, July of 2011 and stated that his dear wife of many years, Judy, had passed away. And you always saw Manny and Judy together. They were just a team and they were a team in terms of the philanthropy that they both shared. Business development, you know, Manny was an insurance man. He helped a lot of other people get involved in business, but he really helped with the community. That was what he was all about. I remember there was a time where, of course, Rich Township and a few other townships were sort of dominated by the GOP and everybody was kind of strident and so forth. They would always have a picnic, the Rich Township Republican organization. We're always welcome to that picnic, always welcome to the picnic, always went to it because at the end of the day a lot of the things that we we're trying to do at that time and that we're still trying to do in terms of develop our region, again, go across park. And that was what Manny was all about. There was an opening on the Rich Township Board. I was the supervisor of that board. Manny spoke to me at a golf outing and said, Al, you know, I'm retired. I just really want to help. How can I help? How can I help? So, I gave Manny some things to do, but I appointed him to that board. And Manny was a fantastic member and it was only when he decided to leave and go to Florida that he got off the board. There is one other point which is one of I guess his greatest hours. We're at an event that got national and international airplay, it was called Hands Across Southland, where we were demonstrating

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

the unity of the south suburban region. Essentially, we had 10 thousand people stretching hand to hand from Hazel Crest all the way down to... almost to University Park and Manny was representing George Ryan. I think it was 1999. Manny was representing George Ryan at the ending event. And I can tell you, I have it on tape, but I can tell you all the speeches that I've ever heard of many great people who came from the south suburbs, Manny Hoffman gave the greatest speech on the importance of race relations and the importance of the diversity of our area that I'd ever heard. That was my friend, Manny. So, Manny touched a lot of people, he touched a lot of causes and he made us realize that sometimes where ideology is important sometimes it just ain't that important all the time. And I quess his greatest legacy would be, for those things that we can come together in agreement on, let's do it. Let's do it because it's right. Let's do it because it makes sense and let's do it because we're all, in our own ways no matter where we come from in this great state, we're all trying to build communities. And so, I just wanted to tell you that story of Manny. Many of you know him and I'm sure you feel about him the same way that I did. But at the end of the day, here was a man that believed in people, tried to help, and lived up to that adage of politics is local in the end. Mr. Speaker, I would request a moment of silence in the memory of our former Member, Manny Hoffman."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Will Davis."

Davis, W.: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I also want to join my colleague, Representative Riley, in expressing our

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

condolences to Manny's family certainly on his loss. For those of you who don't know and have never heard of Manny Hoffman, one of the significant things about him is that he was actually one of us; he was a former State Legislator Springfield. And if you actually read the in Resolution that was drafted and introduced by Representative Riley, you'll know that Manny held a lot of positions. He was the mayor of the Village of Homewood, he served on park boards, library boards, and he's just done it all. And one of the significant things about Manny that I've come to know and appreciate about him is that, you know, back in the I guess in the late '80s early '90s as the south suburbs was changing and started to become more Democratic, well, Manny embraced that change. He said it's just one of the things that happen and as I've come to know Manny he was probably one of the most Republicans that I ever knew, that I'd ever had the chance to meet, again, not that he was absent from his own ideological feeling, but he just said, you know, we're changing and in some things we have to change. And I think, you know, knowing Manny would be a lesson for all of us in recognizing that, you know, things change over time, areas change and people change and that we've got to figure out how to embrace that change in order for us to continue to do good work here in the State of Illinois and represent the interest of people who are not necessarily from our districts and don't necessarily feel the same way that we feel about issues. But even in his latter years, Manny was very active; he tried to stay active. In my district,

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

there's even a small office complex where he used to have his insurance office, that's now named in his honor and it houses our local Chamber of Commerce as well. And Manny would... if nothing else, Manny was always good for water. So, if you're having an event, he would always say, can I get you some water? You need some water for it? And if you said, yes, he'd supply you with a couple of hundreds of bottles of water with his name on it, you know, for your event. So, he was... he was a really great guy and while I didn't know him as long and as well as Al knew him, but I can honestly say that in the short time that I did know him, you know, he became a good friend, an outstanding individual, always willing to help and to support things in the Southland for the people of the Southland. And if nothing else, I think that's why we should remember Manny Hoffman today. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Kosel."

Kosel: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. When you talk about Manny Hoffman and you listen to people from the Democratic side of the aisle astow his virtues, it just speaks to what kind of man he was. Manny was a Republican from the south suburbs, a dear friend in the true sense of the word friend of George Ryan who stood by him through thick and thin. He was a mentor. He was a disciplinarian. If this young Republican did not show up at the right Republican events, in no uncertain terms to let me know where I should be and why I should be there. He was a State Farm agent. He was well loved. He was everything that both of my colleagues from the other side of this tile... aisle said and we were

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

very, very proud to have him serve as a Republican in this chamber. Thank you very much for having the Resolution, Al and I would like to have other Members of this Assembly be added as Sponsors, if that meets with your approval. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Leitch."

Leitch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. First of all, I think it's appropriate that the Resolution of the death of a former Member be read by the Clerk. I don't know why that was omitted, but speaking for many of us who served with Manny, I would tell you that he was an outstanding individual. He had a smile for everyone. He was very, very highly respected by both sides of the aisle for his willingness to work so closely with each one of us. Manny reflected what might be a marvelous lesson for us. He didn't really care what Party you were. He didn't care what your opinion was, but he was a very gracious, outgoing individual who looked to understand all sides of all of the issues and to work collaboratively with his coll... colleagues. So, I share the comments made by our colleagues here and would say, Manny, rest in peace."

Speaker Turner: "There's been a Motion that all Members be added as cosponsors to the Resolution. Seeing no objection, all Members will be added. We'd like to take a moment of silence for our former Member. Thank you. Seeing no further business before the House, Leader Currie moves that the House adjourn 'til Tuesday... The Resolution was adopted yesterday. The House will adjourn to Tuesday, March 12 at

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

the hour of 12 noon, allowing perfunctory time for the Clerk. Thank you."

Clerk Hollman: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order. Correction of First Reading of House Bills from First Readings on February 19, 2013. House Bill 2362, was offered by Representative Brauer, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. First Reading of this House Introduction and First Reading of House Bills. House Bill 39... correction... House Bill 3419, offered by Representative Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3420, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3421, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making... concerning 3422, appropriations. House Bill offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3423, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3424, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3425, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3426, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3427, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3428, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3429, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3430, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3431, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3432, offered by Speaker

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3433, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3434, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3435, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3436, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3437, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3438, offered by a Bill Speaker Madigan, for Act concerning an offered by appropriations. House Bill 3439, Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3440, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3441, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning 3442, offered appropriations. House Bill by Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3443, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3444, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3445, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3446, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3447, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning 3448, offered appropriations. House Bill by Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3449, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3450, offered by

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3451, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3452, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3453, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3454, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3455, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3456, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3457, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3458, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3459, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3460, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3461, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3462, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for Act concerning an appropriations. House Bill 3463, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3464, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3465, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning Bill 3466, offered appropriations. House by Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3467, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3468, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

appropriations. House Bill 3469, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3470, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3471, offered by Madigan, a Bill for Speaker an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3472, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3473, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3474, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3475, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3476, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3477, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3478, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3479, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3480, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3481, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3482, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3483, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3484, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3485, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3486, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3487, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

making appropriations. House Bill 3488, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3489, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3490, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3491, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3492, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3493, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3494, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3495, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3496, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3497, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3498, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3499, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3500, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3501, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3502, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3503, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3504, offered by Speaker Madigan, Bill for concerning а an Act appropriations. House Bill 3505, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3506, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

concerning appropriations. House Bill 3507, offered by Madigan, Bill for Speaker а an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3508, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3509, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3510, offered by Madigan, Bill for Speaker а an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3511, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3512, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3513, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning Bill 3514, Speaker appropriations. House offered by Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3515, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3516, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3517, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3518, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3519, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning Bill 3520, offered appropriations. House by Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3521, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3522, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3523, offered by Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Bill 3524, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3525, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning 3526, appropriations. House Bill offered by Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3527, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3528, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3529, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3530, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3531, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3532, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3533, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3534, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3535, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3536, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3537, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3538, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3539, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3540, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3541, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3542, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Bill 3543, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3544, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3545, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3546, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3547, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3548, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3549, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3550, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3551, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3552, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3553, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3554, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3555, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3556, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3557, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3558, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3559, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3560, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3561, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3562, offered by Speaker

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3563, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3564, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3565, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3566, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3567, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3568, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3569, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3570, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3571, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3572, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3573, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3574, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3575, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3576, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3577, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3578, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3579, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3580, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3581, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

making appropriations. House Bill 3582, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3583, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3584, offered by Madigan, a Bill for Speaker an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3585, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. House Bill 3586, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3587, offered by a Bill Speaker Madigan, for Act concerning an Bill offered by appropriations. House 3588, Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3589, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3590, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning 3591, offered appropriations. House Bill by Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3592, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3593, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3594, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3595, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3596, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3597, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3598, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3599, offered by

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

Madigan, a Bill for Act concerning Speaker an Bill 3600, offered by appropriations. House Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3601, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3602, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning 3603, appropriations. House Bill offered bv Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3604, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3605, offered by Madigan, а Bill for an Act concerning 3606, appropriations. House Bill offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3607, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3608, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for Act concerning an 3609, offered appropriations. House Bill by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3610, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3611, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3612, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3613, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3614, offered by Madigan, Speaker Bill for Act concerning a an appropriations. House Bill 3615, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3616, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act

26th Legislative Day

3/8/2013

concerning appropriations. House Bill 3617, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3618, offered by Speaker Madigan, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. House Bill 3619, offered by Speak... offered by Representative Gordon-Booth, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. First Reading of these House Bills. Introduction of Resolutions. House... correction... Senate Joint Resolution 3, offered by Representative Kifowit. There being no further business, the House Perfunctory Session will stand adjourned."